CRAWFORD COUNTY

APPEARANCE DOCKET

BOOK 290
Vol. 2

JUNE 1991

PIRRELLO ENTEPRISES INC.
Scanned June 2017

1991

Mac Donald, Illig, Jones and Britton
Kevin C. Jennings

551.

SUSAN FUHRER REITER

vs.

M. PAUL REITER

Filed June 6, 1991
@ 2:06 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
] count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co. pd 1/10/92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

June 25, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. I accept service of the Complaint in Divorce. M. Raul Reiter, Dated: 6/17/91

Nov. 14, 1991 Affidavit Of Consent To Entry of Final Divorce Decree filed. (Of Defendant)

Nov. 14, 1991 Affidavit of Consent To Entry of Final Divorce Decree of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 14, 1991 Consent To Venue by Both Parties filed.

Jan. 10, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for Review Under §201(c) of Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Jan. 10, 1992 Praecipe to Transmit Record filed.

Jan. 21, 1992 D E C R E E A N D O R D E R F I L E D.

AND NOW, this 20 day of January, 1992, the Court, by virtue of the authority vested in it by law, decrees that Susan Fuhrer Reiter and M. Paul Reiter are hereby divorced from the bonds of matrimony, and all the duties, rights and claims, accorded to either of the said parties at any time heretofore, in pursuance of said marriage, shall hencefort cease and determine, and the said parties shall severally be at liberty to marry again as if they had never been married.

AN DIT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, pursuant to Pa.R.Civ.P 1920.1, et seq. & Act 26-1980, 23 Pa. Cons. Stat. §100 et seq., "The Divorce Code", that the terms, provisions and conditions of a certain property settlement agreement between the parties dated November 8, 1991 and attached to this Decree and Order as Exhibit "A", are hereby incorporated into this Decree and Order by reference as fully as though the same were set forth herein at length. Said agreement shall not merge with but shall survive this decree and Order.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro

1991

Michael Halliday
Halliday & Halliday

552.

Gerald Sullivan
Northwestern Legal Ser.

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

LEON W. STANCLIFF, SR.

vs.

PRUDENCE STANCLIFF

Filed June 6, 1991
@ 3:03 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
State pd 8-12-93	10.00
Pro Co pd 8-12-93	30.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY, VISITATION

1 copy (atty)

June 19, 1991 General Appearance filed. Enter my General Appearance in the above entitled Divorce action. I hereby accept service in and acknowledge receipt of a copy of the original Complaint filed in the above action.
Date: 6-1-91 Prudence Stancliff

July 9, 1991 Appearance for Northwestern Legal Services by Atty. Gerald Sullivan, attorney for Defendant filed.

May 13, 1992 Stipulation of Parties Concerning Custody and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 13th day of May, 1992, the Court, in consideration of the within Stipulation of the parties in the above-captioned matter, hereby accepts the foregoing Stipulation and enters same as an Order of Court.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 18, 1992 Copy of Order mailed to Atty. Halliday, copy to Gerald Sullivan.

Feb. 9, 1993, Defendant's Affidavity Under Section 3301(d)(1) of the Divorce Code filed.

Aug 12, 1993 Petition For Additional Remedies, filed.

Sept. 13, 1993 Motion for Petition for counsel Fees, Costs and Expenses and Order filed. AND NOW, this 3rd day of September 1993, the above motion allowed.
The Hearing is hereby scheduled for the 7th day of October, 1993, at 10:00 o'clock, a.m.,

FOR THE COURT,
Ann A. Walker, C.A.

Sept. 20, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Halliday and Barrett

Sep. 21, 1993 Motion for Appointment of Master filed.

Sep. 28, 1993 Memorandum and order filed. AND NOW, September 24, 1993, plaintiff's motion to appoint a Master is denied for the reasons set forth in the attached memorandum.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

9-28-93 Copies Dist. to Halliday & Barrett

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 10/8/93. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.
If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.
I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: March 12, 1998
Mar 12, 1998 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis E. Blystone

553.

IN RE:

CHANGE OF NAME OF
DAVID DANIEL SCHLOSSER

(TO DAVID DANIEL WASHBURN)

Filed June 7, 1991
@ 9:16 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 7/16/91	9.00

PETITION FOR CHANGE OF NAME

2 copies (atty)

JUne 7, 1991 Order For Publication filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 7 day of June 1991, upon consideration of the within Petition, it is ordered and decreed that a hearing be held on the 11 day of July 1991 at 9:00 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pa; that notice of the filing of the within Petition and of the aforesaid date and time of hearing shall be published inthe Meadville Tribune and the Crawford County Legal Lournal one time; that Petitioners through counsel, shall forthwith serve a true and correct copy fo the within Petition and this order upon Perry Dale Schlosser by reg. mail, ret. rec. req. deliverable to addressee only, and shall file proof of service thereof with the court prior to hearing. By the Court,
2 copies (atty) P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 12, 1991, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Curtis E. Blystone Esq. do hereby state that on June 7, 1991, a true and correct copy fo the Petition for Change of Name filed above, together with the Order for publication dated June 7, 1991, were mailed to Perry Dale Schlosser at his last known address at R. D. #5, Meadville, Pa. 16335, by Cert. Mail No. P. 398 128 690, postage prepaid, restricted delivery, ret. rec. requested, and that attached hereto is a duly executed return rec. showing del. to Perry Dale S chlosser, personally, on June 8, 1991.
Curtis E. Blystone, ESq.

July 11, 1991 Proof of Publication filed.

July 11, 1991 Affidavit filed. Curtis E. Blystone, Esq., does hereby state that he is the attorney for the Petitioners in the above case and that, as of July 9, 1991, he examined the Judgment and Debtor Dockets in the office of the Prothonotary of Crawford County and the Juvenile Court records in the office ofthe Clerk of Courts of Crawford County under the name of David Daniel Schlosser, and that such records indicate no judgments, debtor entries or juvenile proceedings against the Petitioner, David Daniel Schlosser. Curtis E. Blystone

July 11, 1991 Decree filed. NOW, this 11th day of July, 1991, after hearing upon the within Petition, and upon presentation of proof of publication of notice as required by law and of return of service upon Perry Dale Schlosser, the father of Petitioner David Daniel Schlosser, together with proof that there are no judgments or decrees of record or any other matters of like effect against the Petitioner, David Daniel Schlosser, and it appearing that there is no legal objection to the granting of the Petition.
IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED THAT THE NAME OF THE PETITIONER, DAVID DANIEL SCHLOSSER, BE AND IS HEREBY CHANGED TO DAVID DANIEL WASHBURN. BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 11, 1991 Copies to Curtis Blystone

1991

554.

Henderson & Goldberg

VIC KRESS REALTY

vs.

RICHARD AND CHERYL WHITE

Filed June 7, 1991
@ 10:29 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.25
state paid 5.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF WILLIAM D. CHISHOLM, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30 - 2 - 1

June 7, 1991 Rule entered upon plantiff to file complaint issued.

June 12, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

555.

Terry Toomey

Peters, Lindsay, Lewis & Hatheway
Brian J. Lindsay

LAURA S. NEWHARD

vs.

PAUL W. NEWHARD

Filed June 7, 1991
@ 11:34 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 12/18/91 -	#15.00
State Pd 12/18/91	#5.00
John Fuller, Mediator	
Pro Co pd 8-10-92	9.00
Pro.Co.pd 1/5/93	9.00
	90.00
John Fuller, Mediator	200.00
Pro Co Pd 3-21-95 #9038	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTIONS 201(c) or 201(d), 201(a)

1 copy (atty)

July 17, 1991 Appearance of Terry Toomey, attorney for defendant, filed.

Sept 20, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Sept. 23, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, James R. Irwin, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record, and as such say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, PA. on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated June 7, 1991. The Defendant acknowledged service on June 11, 1991 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

James R. Irwin, Esquire

Dec. 18, 1991 Notice and Order of Court filed. 1 copy Atty., 1 copy Mediator. ORDER: You, Laura Newhard, Def. have been sued in Court to obtain custody of the children:

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by John Fuller, Child Custody Mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, anOrder for custody may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP. Court Administrator, Courlthouse, Mdvl., Pa.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan. 6, 1992 copy in box for J. Irwin

May 22, 1992 ORDER FILED. AND NOW, May 20, 1992, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Justin Michael Newhard shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the child such as the education, medical treamtnet and religious instruction of the subject child.

II. Physical custody of Justin Michael Newhard shall be with his mother, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every Sunday from 1:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m, beginning May 17, 1992 and every Wednesday, from 5:00 p.m. until 9:00 p.m., beginning,May 20, 1992.

B. Major holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. Custody of the child shall be shared on the aforesaid holidays and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the child shall be in the custody of the mother on the aforesaid holidays until 1:00 p.m. and in the custody of the father on the aforesaid holidays from 1:00 p.m. until 9:00 p.m.

C. Minor holidays shall be defined as Memorial Day, 4th of July and Labor Day.

On even numbered years the child shall be in the custody of the mother on the 4th of July and in the custody of the father on Memorial Day and Labor Day.

On odd numbered years the child shall be in the custody of the mother on Memorial Day and Labor Day and in the custody of the father on the 4th of July.

D. Transportation of the child shall be provided as follows: The parent receiving custody of the child shall provide the transportation.

E. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

F. Neither mother nor fther shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmfdul to the child's health or well being.

G. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the child and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone nubmer.

H. Both parents and the child shall participate in counseling with Dr. Kathryn A. Anderson with the expectation that the father and the child and mother will benefit by such counseling and that the child can have a good, stable relationship with his father that will lead to more extensive visits between the child and the father.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference will be equally divided between the parties with the mother paying one-half of the cost thereof and the father paying the remaining one-half.

Gordon R. Miller, Judge

May 22, 1992 Copy of the Order to: James Irwin, Esq., Terry Toomey, ESq. & John Fuller, Esq.

June 11, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 9, 1992, the order of May 20, 1992 is hereby amended as follows:

Paragraph II (A) is amended to read "The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every Sunday from 1:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., beginning May 17, 1992 and every Wednesday, from 5:30 p.m. until 9:00 p.m., beginning May 20, 1992.

The remaining provisions in the order of May 20, 1992, shall remain in full force and effect.

Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

JUne 16, 1992 Copy in box for J. Irwin & T. Toomey

June 30, 1992 PLAINTIFF'S AFFIDAVIT UNDER SECTION 3301(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, filed.

Dec. 31, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 5, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Received from Plaintiff 6-9-92 Mediation 100.00

Received from Defendant 50.00 Lowered Med Fee 6-17-92

Pd C.C. Comm 6-25-92 Ck 6902 150.00 Med Fee

Aug. 10, 1992 Recd $50.00 mediatis costs from Defendant

Paid C.C. Comm 9-14-92 Ck#7046 Med Fees 50.00

11-29-94 Rec from Plff med dep 150.00

2-2-95 Pd Plff med Fee Refund 41.00

3-21-95 Pd Co $9037 100.00

Jan.8,1993 DIVORCE DECREE filed. AND NOW, Jan. 6,1993, it is ordered and decreed that LAURA S. NEWHARD,plaintiff and PAUL W. NEWHARD, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R.Miller,J.

Continued to Page 483

1991

Cusick, Madden, Joyce & McKay
Thomas W. Custer

556.

McDOWELL NATIONAL BANK, FORMERLY McDOWELL NATIONAL BANK OF SHARON

vs.

JOHN O. HERMAN AND DARLENE A. HERMAN, HUSBAND AND WIFE

Filed June 7, 1991
@ 11:36 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	34.00
	9.00 ✓

Rec'd Pd 7/19/91

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

3 copies (sheriff)

July 1, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. SERVED John O. Herman, on June 17, 1991, at 3:16, by handing to Rob Herman, his son, at their residence, 370 Scott Road, Jamestown, Crawford County, PA

SERVED Darlene A. Herman, on June 17, 1991 at 3:17 PM, by handing to Rob Herman, her son, at their residence, 370 Scott Road, Jamestown, Crawford County, PA

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 9, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Attorney for Plaintiff, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against defendant, no answer having been filed or appearance entered on behalf of the defendant, judgment is hereby entered against the defendant in the amount of Twenty three Thousand three hundred fifty-seven and 41/100 Dollars, being the amount liquidated as damages as set forth in the Complaint.

Debt $23,357.41

H-94,44
Judgment entered 7-9-91

Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro.

EXECUTION No. 1991 - 58

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
Charles J. Swick

557.

MARQUETTE SAVINGS ASSOCIATION

vs.

DELBERT G. MOON, JR. AND
DARLENE MOON

Filed June 7, 1991
@ 11:45 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	35.00
Pro Co Pd 7-10-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

July 11, 1991 **SHERIFF'S RETURN** filed. SERVED Delbert G. Moon, Jr., personally on June 14, 1991 at 2:33 PM at the residence of 44 Asbury Manor, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Darlene Moon personally on June 17, 1991, at 11:25 AM at her residence of 5B - 304 Berkshire Drive, Timbercrest Apts. Meadville, Crawford County, PA.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, July 10, 1991 @ 3:32 P.M. Upon praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to file an answer and the same is liquidated in the sum of Twenty-seven Thousand Eight Hundred One and 32/100 Dollars, foreclosure of the Mortgage, possession of the premises, with costs plus interest from April 15, 1991 at the rate of 11%.

M-43, 43

Debt.....$27,801.32 + costs & int.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

July 10, 1991 Judgment entered.

EX 1991-61

8

1991

Meyer, Darragh, Buckler, Bebenek & Eck
Bernard J. Rabik

558.

DOLLAR BANK, A FEDERAL SAVINGS BANK

vs.

MERVIN M. WOFFORD
KAREN M. WOFFORD

Filed June 7, 1991
@ 11:54 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	71.50
Pro co pd 8/1/91	9.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

July 19, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Paul Greiner, Deputy who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 26th day of June, 1991, at 1505 hrs. he served a Complaint, filed at No. AD 558 Term, 1991, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Karen Wofford at 3216 Pine Avenue, Erie County, Erie Pennsylvania By making known the ocntents thereof to Mervin Wofford and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford County.
So answers, Paul Greiner, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff
Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Louis Leon who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that onthe 21 day of June, 1991 at 12:20 p.m. he served complaint, filed at No. 558 Term, 1991 Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Mervin M. Wofford at 1269 Terrace St. Bridgeville. By making known the contents thereof to Pratt Wofford - friend and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the received from Crawford.
So answers, Louis Leon, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff
SERVED Mervin M. Wofford by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, PA as pe rhis Affidavit of Service attached. Served June 21, 1991.
SERVED Karen M. Wofford by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, PA as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served June 26, 1991.
so answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 1, 1991 Affidavit of Non-Military Service filed.

And Now, Aug. 1, 1991 at 2:26 p.m. upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Four Thousand Three Hundred Sixty-five and 56/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
W-114-104
judgment entered 8/1/91
notice of entry of judgment mailed 8/2/91
Debt $4,365.56
Judgment
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1991

559.

DARLA D. MELLRING

vs.

CHRISTOF A. MELLRING

Filed June 7, 1991

Tax & entry	Pd 6/26/91	$50.50
state	Pd 6/26/91	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	Pd 6/26/91	37.50
		9.00

6/26/91, Recd. $ 37.50 from Defendant

6/26/91, Paid $37.50 to Howard Stewart, Sheriff 6+6-6-91

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 7 day of June, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant may not go to residence of PL. Pl. accorded exclusive custody of chidlren until further order of Court.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for June 14, 1991, at 3:00 p M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

June 18, 1991 ORDER filed. ANDNOW, June 14, 1991, the matter is before the Court on an Abuse Petition. It appears the primary thrust of the wife's complaint is the irrational conduct of the father toward their four (4) children. It is contended that without justification he reacts precipitously and suddenly when the children's actions to not please him and the wife contends the children are generally afraid of him.

The parties have separated and the wife has moved all of the belongings she believes she is entitled to, to a new residence.

The father does not wish the Court to establish a temporary visitation arrangement with the children as he verbalizes he is so upset over her moving away and having removed most of the joint assets from the household that is has dulled his enthusiasm for visits with the children. The mother is willing to provide reasonable visits between father and the four(4) children, but requests the Court to require that all visits between father and children have a competent adult present because she contends she and the children are fearful that if they are alone with the father and he falls into one of his irrational spells, he is apt to assault or injure the children in some fashion.

At such time as the father desires visitation with this children, he may request the same and if the parties cannot mutually agree on a visitation schedule or the conditions of the visitation with the children, the Court advises that either may file a custody and visitation action with the Court and the matter will be referred promtly to a custody mediator.

The Defendant advises the Court he does not wish to contest the abuse allegations without admitting the same, but consents that a protection Order be entered. Accordingly, the Defendant is precluded from threatening, harassing or assaulting his wife or his four (4) children or procuring others to do so on his behalf.

The Defendant may not go to the residence of the wife for any purpose unless she specifically invites him to come to her new residence at 934 East Spruce Street.

Defendant advises the Court that he has been temporarily laid off, is paying support for three (3) children by a prior marriage and anticipates that the wife will file a support action for the four (4) children here at issue.

Any police officer having reasonable grounds to believe that the Defendant has threatened or assaulted his wife or any of the four 94) children and has received a sworn affidavit to said effect may apprehend the Defendant without the necessity of a formal arrest warrant,confine him in the Crawford County Jail for being in violation of this Order and notify the Court to schedule a prompt contempt hearing.

This Order shall remain in full force and effect for a period of one (1) year.

Defendant is DIRECTED to pay costs in this case within thirty (30) days of the time he is billed for the same by the Prothonotary at his address, RD #5, Box 21, Titusville, Pa.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 18, 1991 Copy of Order given to County Control; copy mailed to Plaintiff, Defendant, (6-20-91)

June 19, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Christof A. Mellring personally on June 14, 1991 at 2:05 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
So answers,
Diane Severo, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

10

1991

Brian Lindsay 560.	M. LISA ADAMS vs. CARY L. ALTER Filed June 7, 1991 @ 3:36 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.25 state paid 5.00	APPEAL OF PLAINTIFF FROM JUDGMENT OF HUBERT E. VOGAN, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30- 3- 02 June 11, 1991, Complaint filed. 2 copies (atty) June 12, 1991 Proof of Service filed. Aug. 20, 1991 Default Notice filed.

1991

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis E. Blystone

561.

MILDRED L. SHEARER

vs.

DONALD R. SHEARER, a/k/a
DONALD R. SHEARER, SR.

Filed June 10, 1991
@ 9:40 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro co pd 9/11/91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

June 10, 1991, Acceptance of service filed. I accept service of the Complaint in Divorce.
Dated: 6/10/91 Donald R. Shearer a/k/a Donald R. Shearer
a/k/a Donald R. Shearer, Sr.

Sept. 11, 1991 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 11, 1991 affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 11, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Sept. 17, 1991 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, September 13, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that MILDRED L. SHEARER, plaintiff, and DONALD R. SHEARER, a/k/a DONALD R. SHEARER, SR., defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

12

1991

JURY TRIAL

Peter J. Pietrandrea

562.

Voorhies, Rowley,
Wallace, Keck & Karson
George Rowley

MARILYN E. CERIMELE AND
EDWARD P. CERIMELE
HER HUSBAND

vs.

SANRAY CORPORATION,
d/b/a PERKINS RESTAURANT

Filed June 10, 1991
at 11:04 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	21.00
Pro Co pd 6-28-94	6.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

July 10, 1991 **SHERIFF'S RETURN** filed. SERVED Sanray Corp. d/b/a Perkins Restaurant, on June 14, 1991 at 10:30 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Laurie Mulligan, Secretary and person in charge at place of business, 231 Chestnut St., Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept 26, 1991 Appearance of Voorhies, Rowley, Wallace, Keck & Karson, by George Hardy Rowley, attorneys for defendant filed.

Sept. 26, 1991 Certificate of Servcie filed. I, certify that on Sept. 24, 1991, appearance praecipe served on plff atty.

Oct. 2, 1991 Certificate Of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Oct. 7, 1991 Answer and New Matter filed.

Oct. 25, 1991 Reply to New Matter filed.

Jan. 24, 1992 Motion For Sanctions filed.
June 15, 1992 Answer to Motion for Sanctions filed.

Sept. 15, 1992 Notice Of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Oct 21, 1992 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE OF ANSWERS TO INTERROGATOREIS DIRECTED TO DEFENDANT, filed.

Dec. 31, 1992 Notice Of Oral Depsotion of Marilyn E. Cerimele filed.

Apr. 4, 1994 Certificate of Readiness filed.

Apr. 25, 1994 Notice of Oral Deposition of David A. Vermeire, M. D., filed.

May 9, 1994 Certificate of Service of Interrogatories, filed.

May 23, 1994 Amended Notice Of Oral Deposition of David A. Vermeire, M.D. filed.

May 25, 1994 Notice of Video Deposition of Russell Morrison, D.O., filed.

May 25, 1994 Notice of Video Deposition of Lynn Ross DiMarzio Ph.D., filed.

May 25, 1994 Pre-Trial Statement filed.

June 3, 1994 Motion For Pre-Trial Conference filed.

Jun. 10, 1994 Pre-Trial Order, filed.

June 16, 1994 Deposition of Lynn Ross DiMarzio, Ph.D., filed.

June 16, 1994 Deposition of Lynn Ross DiMarzio, filed.

June 16, 1994 Motion To Examine Jury on Voir Dire filed.

June 23, 1994 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney the above case is settled, satisfied and discontinued of record.

1991

563.

TRINA KAY WOFFORD

vs.

ROSEVELT WOFFORD

Rec'd 7/11/91 Sheriff's Costs Paid $21.00.

Filed June 10, 1991
@ 11:29 a.m.

Tax & entry	Pd 7/11/91	$ 50.50
state	Pd 7/11/91	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	Pro Co Pd 7/11/91	21.00
		9.00

7/11/91 Paid to Howard N. Stewart Sheriff $21.00 Chk no. 6311

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copies (plff)

3 copies (sheriff)

ORDER:

And now, this 10. day of June, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Dft. may not contact Pl. for any purpose. Plf. may remove personal possessions and property from former residence at 953½ Park Ave. and Dft. may not interfere or be present. Dft. may go to 953½ Park Ave. on 6/11/91 between 9:00 a.m. and 2:30 p.m. to remove his personal clothing.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for June 18, 1991, at 3:30 p.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas. S. J.

June 12, 1991 **SHERIFF'S RETURN** filed. SERVED Rosevelt personally on June 10, 1991 at 12:17 PM at his place of employment, Joe's Car Cleaning, North Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 19, 1991 Re: Protection from Abuse ORDER: AND NOW, June 18, 1991, after hearing, the parties agree to the following:

The Defendant shall move away from the present house where they live for one (1) month. During this first month he may visit the house at the convenience of trina Kay Wofford. After a month the parties will decide whether or not they want to get back together again.

The Defendant agrees to pay the costs of this action. He also agrees to pay any costs for the medical damages incurred by his wife as a result of his hitting her that are not paid for by the insurance. Mrs. Wofford does have some insurance, but she doesn't know how much they will pay.

If the Defendant at any time violates this agreement here not to abuse the Plaintiff, the Plaintiff shall call the police immediately.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
James B. Dwyer, S.J.
Specially Presiding

June 21, 1991 copy in box for Atty. R.C. Thomas, copy sent to Defendant June 19, 1991 copy given to County Control.

1991

William J. Gregg

564.

JAMES EULIANO AND JO ANN EULIANO, HIS WIFE, AND THOMAS JONES, SINGLE

vs.

FRANK J. INCAGLIATO AND SALLY C. INCAGLIATO, HIS WIFE AND DONNA F. INCAGLIATO, SINGLE, THEIR HEIRS AND ASSIGNS

Filed June 11, 1991
@ 1:18 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	235.15
Pro Co Pd 9/12/91	9.00

COMPLAINT - ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

June 14, 1991 Motion For Service By Publication and Order filed. AND NOW, June 14, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the Sheriff of Crawford County is ORDERED AND DIRECTED to make service of the Complaint on the Defendants, FRANK J. INCAGLIATO and SALLY C. INCAGLIATO, his wife, and DONNA F. INCAGLIATO, single, their heirs and assigns, by publication once in The Meadville Tribune newspaper, a newspaper of general circulation in Crawford County, Pennsylvania, and in the Crawford County Legal Journal.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 18, 1991 Copy of order put in William Gregg's box.

July 30, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED the within Action to Quiet Title by publication once only, Wednesday, July 10, 1991 in the Meadville Tribune and The Crawford County Legal Journal. Proofs of Publication attached.

Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

August 5, 1991 MOTION FOR JUDGMENT, AFFIDAVIT and FINAL DECREE filed. AND NOW, August 5, 1991, upon presentation of the foregoing Motion, and after due consideration thereof, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the Defendants, FRANK J. INCAGLIATO and SALLY C. INCAGLIATO, his wife, and DONNA F. INCAGLIATO, single, their heirs and assigns, are forever barred from asserting any right, title, lien or interest in the land described in paragraph 4 of the Complaint in the aboe-captioned case inconsistent with the interest of the Plaintiffs as set forth in the Complaint, provided, however, that this Decree sahll become final only if the Defendants fail to take any action as is herein directed within thirty (30) days from the date of this Decree; IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DIRECTED that if no petition to open this judgment or to set aside this Order and Decree is presented by the Defendants, or no action of ejectment is commenced by them within thirty (30) days from and after the entry of this Order, the same shall become final, and if no such action is taken within said thirty (30) day period, the Prothonotary, on Praecipe of the Plaintiffs, shall enter final judgment.

P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

August 7, 1991 copy in box for Atty. Wm. Gregg

And now, Sept 9, 1991, at 10:49 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, FINAL JUDGMENT is hereby entered in the above captioned case, in accordance with the foregoing order of court, in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants.

Judgment entered - 9/9/91
I - 63, 153, 43

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1991

William J. Gregg

565.

IN THE MATTER OF:
THE PETITION OF MARY E. GRADY AND EARL WILLIAM FEATSENT, FOR CHANGE OF NAME OF MINOR CHILD, EARL WILLIAM FEATSENT

Filed June 11, 1991
@ 1:19 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

PETITION FOR A NAME CHANGE

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 12 day of June 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, a hearing is set for July 30, 1991 at 9:00 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse Meadville, Pa.

The Petitioners are directed to give notice of the hearnig by publication in two newspapers of general circulaiton in Crawford County, Pa.one of which publications may be in the Crawford County Legal Journal, and to the father by certified and regular mail at his last known address.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas. S. J.

July 30, 1991 Affidavit of Liens filed.

July 30, 1991 Affidavit of Register and Recorder filed.

July 30, 1991 Proofs of Publication filed.

July 30, 1991, DECREE filed. AND NOW, this 30th dayof July 1991, after hearing, and after presentation of the Proof of Publication of Notice of this hearing as required by law, together with proof that there are no judgments or decrees of record or any other matter of like effect and it appearing that there are no legal objections to the granting of the prayer of the petition and it appearing that the petitioner is eighteen (18) years of age, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED, that the name of the said EARL WILLIAM FEATSENT is HEREBY CHANGED TO WILLIAM JAMES GRADY.

A true and correct copy of this decree shall be served upon EARL WILLIAM FEATSENT, JR., the natural father of the petitioner by counsel for the petitioner and an appropriate proof of service filed with the Prothonotary. Earl William Featsent, Jr. the natural father, shall have ten (10) days from the date of service upon him of a copy of this decree in which to file objections by filing the same, in writing with the Office of the Prothonotary, Crawford County, Pennsylvania. In the event that objections are filed, the court shall sc hedule a hearing to give the natural father an opportunity to be heard. In the event that objections are not filed, this Decree shall become final upon praecipe by counsel for the petitioner ten (10) days after service of a copy of this decree on EARL WILLIAM FEATSENT, JR.

3 copies (atty)

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 2, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. William J. Gregg, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is an adult individual, over the age of eighteen (18) years, and a citizen of the United States of America.
2. That pursuant to a telephone conversation with Mr. Feastsent, he served a true and correct copy of the Decree upon Earl William Featsent, Jr. by placing the Decree in his office mailbox where Mr. Featsent picked up same at approximately 7:45 a.m. on August 2, 1991.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAITH NOT.

William J. Gregg

Aug. 2, 1991 Motion For Continuance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 29 day of July, 1991, moiton granted. Hearing in the above matter is rescheduled to August 30, 1991, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom NO. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Aug. 6, 1991 Copy of order put in William Gregg's box.

Aug. 8, 1991 Objections To Order of Court filed.

Sept. 3, 1991 DECREE filed. AND NOW, August 30, 1991 the Decree Nisi entered July 30, 1991 is hereby made final, and Earl William Featsent's name is hereby changed to William James Grady.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Sept. 6, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Gregg and Thomas

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker

R. Charles Thomas

566.

REBECCA S. ALTER

vs.

CARY L. ALTER

Filed June 11, 1991
@ 1:22 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co. Pd 9/27/91	9.00
Pro Co pd 11/8/91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 26, 1991 Affidavit of Service of Complaint in Divorce filed. R. Charles Thomas, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on June 12th, 1991, he sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint In Divorce, properly endorsed with the Notice To Defend, filed in the above action to the Defendant, Cary L. Alter, at Defendant's Last known address of RD #5, Meadville, PA 16335, by Certified Mail No. P 398 121 649, postage prepaid, restricted delivery, return receipt requested and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as 6/18/91.

R. Charles Thomas, Attorney

Sept. 26, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 26, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 26, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Sept. 30, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, September 30, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Rebecca S. Alter, plaintiff, and Cary L. Alter, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Nov. 8, 1991 Notice Of Election To Retake Maiden Name filed. Rebecca Alter TO BE KNOWN AS: Rebecca Smith

1991

pro se

567.

MARGARET REYNOLDS

vs.

JAMES S. TRACY

Filed June 12, 1991
@ 11:16 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00
plff on orig	19.50
Pro Co pd 12/3/91	9.00
Pro.Co. pd 4/15/96	5.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

DSB 1986 - 251

Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Six Thousand Three Hundred Fifty and 00/100 Dollars.
Debt ------$6,350.00
T - 94 JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL
James F. Simmons, Pro.

Issued (plff)

Aug. 22, 1991 Acceptance of Services files. I Harold Raynolds served James S. Tracy a Writ of Revival in behalf of Margaret J. Reynolds, onJuly 28, 1991 at 9:35 a.m. in the residence of Todd S. Tracy, Crawford County, PA.
Harold E. Reynolds

And Now, Dec. 3, 1991 at 3:29 p.m. Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and agaisnt the defendant in the amount of Six Thousand Three Hundred Fifty and 00/100 as per writ of revival above.
Judgment entered - 12/3/91
T - 94 Debt -----$6,350.00
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Apr. 15, 1996 at 2:34 PM Writing filed wherein plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following described property: ALL those certain pieces or parcels of land situate in Bloomfield Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania. (For more complete description, See Release of Lien of Judgment filed.)

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

568.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

RONALD E. WATKINS

vs.

JUDITH A. WATKINS

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

2 copies (atty)

June 21, 1991 **VERIFICATION OF SERVICE** filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for the above named plaintiff, and that as such I am authorized to make this verification; that I did on the 14th day of June, 1991, send to the above named Defendant, by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested, a true and vorrect copy of the divorce complaint filed at the above term and number; and that I did on the 19th day of June, 1991, receive a duly executed return receipt dated June 18, 1991.

Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire

Filed June 12, 1991
@ 12:58 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00

Pro C. Pd. 2-7-92 - 9.00

Oct. 18, 1991 Appearance of Mary Ann Kirkpatrick attorney for defendant filed.

Jan. 31, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT of DEFENDANT filed.

Jan. 31, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT of PLAINTIFF filed.

Jan. 31, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Feb. 11, 1992 **S T I P U L A T I O N A N D C O N S E N T D E C R E E F I L E D .**

ANDN OW, this 30th day of January, 1992, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Stipulation, this court here by directs that:

1. Divorce: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed inthe above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matirmony to the above named parties, onthe grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint and an affidavit has been f iled by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §3301(c) of the Divorce Code of Pennsylvania.

2. Equitable Property Determination and Distribution

2A Personalty: The plaintiff shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and personalty currently in his possession, including but not limited to pensions, retirement plans and IRA accounts; and defendant shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and personalty currently in her possession, including but not limited to pennsions, retirement plans and IRA accounts, and/or those items listed herein below that may still be located at the marital residence; refrigerator, china cabinet, television, VCR, cedar chest, small cedar chest, file cabinet, sewing machine, crocks and jugs, garden plant, rocker, saddle, and bridle, 6' step ladder, grill, 2 patio chairs, barrel planter, partial set of china and a chest that belonged to defendatn's mother.

2B Motor Vehicles i. Plaintiff shall be the sole owner of a certain 1985 Ford Bronco, and shall be responsible for any and all outstanding obligations due ando wing on said vehicle, including but not limited to: loan payments, insurance, title registrationand maintenance. Plaintiff shall hold defendant harmless and indemnify her form any and all liability arising, or which may arise, out of said obligations. Defendant shall execute any and all documents necessary to effect transfer of this vehicle.

ii. Defendant shall be the sole owner of a certain 1988 Ford Thunderbird, and shall be responsible for any and all outstanding obligations due and owing on said vehicle, including but not limted to: loan payments, insurance, title, registration and maintenance. defendant shall hold plaintiff harmless and indemnify him from any and all liability arising, or which may arise, out of said obligations. Plaintiff shall execute any and all documents necessary to effect transfer of this vehicle.

2C Real Property i. Defendant shall be the sole and exclusive owner of certain property located in Vernon Township, crawford County, Pennsylvania, which is more specifically described in Crawford County Deed Book 521, page 962, recorded March 9, 1979. Defendant shall be responsible for any and all outstanding obligations due and owing on said property, including but not limited to: insurance, mortgage (if any), utility assessments, maintenance and taxes. Plaintiff shall execute and deliver any and all documents necessary to effect said transfer. Defendant shall be responsible for the preparation and recording of the necessary documents and all customary closing costs in connection with said transfer.

ii. Plaintiff shall be the sole and exclusive owner of certain property located in Vernon Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, which are more specifically described in Crawford County Deed Book 39, page 415, recordedMay 20, 1988; Crawford County Deed Book 510, page 1109, recorded September 1, 1977; and Crawford County Deed Book 421, page 129, recorded June 7, 1966. Plaintiff shall be responsible for any and all outstanding obligations due and owing on said properties, including but not limited to; insurance, mortgages (if any), utility assessments, maintenance and taxes. Defendant shall execute and deliver any and all documents necessary to effect said transfer. Plaintiff shall be responsible for the preparation and recording of the necessary documents and all customary closing costs in connection with said transfer.

It is the intent of the parties hereto to transfer title to the above described properties on or about January 30, 1992, and Plaintiff shall deliver to Defendant the sum of Thirty-Seven Thousand Four Hundred Thirteen ($37,413.00) Dollars, said sum representing Defendant's equitable interest in and to the property, both real and personal, that shall become the sole and exclusive property of the Plaintiff.

2/10/92

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

1991

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

569.

VIRGINIA M. KRINER

vs.

CHARLES D. KRINER

Filed June 13, 1991
@ 11:43 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co paid 9/20/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

June 20, 1991 **ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE** filed. I hereby accept service of the Complaint in Divorce in the above-captioned action this 15 day of June, 1991.

Charles D. Kriner, Defendant

Sept. 18, 1991 Plaintiff's Affidavit Of Consent Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code filed.

Sept. 18, 1991 Defendant's Affidavit of Consent Under Section 201(c) ofthe Divorce Code, filed.

Sept. 18, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Sept. 25, 1991 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 25th day of September, 1991, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Upon consideration of the pleadings and Affidavits filed in the above-captioned matter, the Court her eby grants an absolute divorce form the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed form the date of the filing of the complaint, and an Affidavit has been file dby each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. No other matters or causes of actions provided under the Pennslylvania Divorce Code of 1980, as amended, are reserved by either of the parties.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

20

1991

Jack, Kookogey &
Felton
Robert J. Felton

570.

TERESA LYN CRAY

vs.

TIMOTHY J. CRAY

Filed June 13, 1991
@ 12:54 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $ 50.50

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

Sept 11, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Robert Felton, Esq. 144 West Spring St. Titusville, Pa. do hereby certify that in my capacity as atty. for plff in above matter, served a cert. copy of the Divorce Complaint in this matter on June 24, 1991 by sending dft. the same by cert. mail NO. P 297 231 633, ret. rec. req. A complaint was mailed to the dft. on Fri. June 14, 1991 and was accepted by dft's mother, Linda Bishop as reflected in the receipt for mailing and the ret. rec. attached hereto.
Robert J. Felton Esq.

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed.
Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 9/11/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.
If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.
If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:
Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: March 12, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
Mar. 12, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.
By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

571.

JENNIFER JEAN HAAS

vs.

ANTHONY LOUIS JORDAN

Filed June 13, 1991
@ 3:23 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	29.00
	9.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

ORDER:

And now, this 13 day of June, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions:
Def. may not go to residence of PLaintiff for any purpose.

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for June 19, , 1991 at 11:00AM., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 19, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 19, 1991 at the time of hearing the defendant denies he did anything but pull the hair of the plaintiff. The plaintiff alleges that he beat her, pulled her hair, bruised her and caused problems for the young child Natasha.

The Order of the Court is that the defendant shall stay away from the premises of the Plaintiff at 296 Walker Drive, shall have no contact at all with the Plaintiff or her child at any time or any place.

The Defendant shall pay costs in this proceeding.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
James B. Dwyer, S. J.

June 19, 1991, copy given to county control; June 20, 1991, copies mailed to plff and dft.

June 20, 1991 **SHERIFF'S RETURN** filed. SERVED Anthony Louis Jordan personally on June 19, 1991 at 10:55 AM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
So answers,
Carole Steffanucci, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Wasson, Egan & Wilson
William D. Wilson

572.

Ann Hansen Gamble
Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, Gamble and Fabian

AMERICAN FEDERAL SAVINGS, FORMERLY VENANGO FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION

vs.

JOHN T. GALLETTA AND SHERYL A. GALLETTA, HUSBAND AND WIFE

Filed June 13, 1991
@ 3:52 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	51.50
Pro Co Pd 9/3/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

3 copies (sheriff)

July 1, 1991, Appearance of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, Gamble and Fabian, by Ann Hansen Gamble, attorneys for defendants filed.

JUly 1, 1991 Acceptance of Servcie Under Rule 402(b) of the Pa. Rules of Civil Procedure filed. I accept service of the Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure on behalf of Sheryl A. Galletta and certify that I am authorized to do so.
Date June 18, 1991 Ann H. Gamble, Esq.

July 30, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. Before me the undersigned authority personally appeared Nancy A. Cox, Dep. Sheriff, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 1st day of July 1991 at 2:37 p.m. she served Notice to Defend Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure filed at No. AD 572 1991 Crawford Co. Pa. upon dft. at his place of visitation 1186 Elk St. Franklin Pa. 16323 sheriff's Office by making known the contents thereof to John T. Galletta in person and handing to and leaving with him the cert. copy of the Complaint received from Crawford County Sheriff. Nancy A. Cox, Dep. Sheriff
So answers, Gene Price, Sheriff

SERVED Sheryl A. Galletta on June 19, 1991 at 10:40 a.m. by handing a copy of the Compalint to Josephine Fink, Sec. to Atty. Ann Gamble, Park Bldg. Titusville, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED JOhn T. Galletta by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango county, Pa. as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served July 1, 1991.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 3, 1991, and now at 1:59 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in the above captioned action in favor of plaintiffs and against the defendants for failure to file answer, in the amount of Ten thousand Two Hundred Thirty Two and 82/100 Dollars, together with interest from June 30, 1991 and costs of suit.
Judgment entered - 9/3/91
G - 91, 151

Debt - $10,232.82
Int. from 6/30/91
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EXECUTION 1991 - 76

1991

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker
R. Charles Thomas

573.

JOYCE L. HOUGH

vs.

J. CRAIG HOUGH

Filed June 14, 1991
@ 8:42 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 9/27/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 23, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Sept. 26, 1991 Affidavit of Service of Complaint in Divorce field. R. Charles Thomas, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on june 14, 1991 he sent a true and correct copy of the complaint in divorce, properly endorsed with Notice to Defend, filed in the above action to the dft. J. Craig Hough, at dft's last known address of P.O. Box 338, Conneaut Lake, Pa. by cert. mial NO. P398 121 650 postage prepaid, restricted delivery ret.rec. req. and that attached hereto is a duly executed ret. rec. card showing date of delivery as 6/15/91 R. Charles Thomas, Esq.

Sept. 26, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Sept. 26, 199, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney ,for review under section 201(c) of the divorce code, this case moved to court.

Sept. 30, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, September 30, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Joyce L. Hough, plaintiff, and J. Craig Hough, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

Routman, Moore,
Goldstone & Valentino
Richard W. Epstein

574.

KAREN LYNN McCULLOUGH, AND
DELBERT L. ROUNDS, JR.

vs.

GARY ALAN STYBORSKI
R. D. #3, Box 12
Cambridge Springs, Pa. 16403

Filed June 14, 1991
@ 1:22 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	35.00
Pro Co Pd 5-7-92	6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

July 11, 1991 Writ Return filed. SERVED Gary Alan Styborski personally on June 21, 1991 at 1:29 PM at the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
So answers, Diane Severo, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr. 29, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney the above-captioned case is settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

575.

BETTY J. MARSHALL

vs.

ROBERT E. MARSHALL

7/31/91 Recd $300.00 from Plaintiff, Master Fee
9/6/91 Paid $157.50 To Master Bruce Barrett
Ck # 6383

Filed June 14, 1991
@ 1:15 p.m.

TAx & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Bruce Barrett, Master	
Pro Co Pd 9/25/91	9.00

COMPLAINT FOR ANNULMENT

1 copy (atty)

June 17, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE filed. I, Robert E. Marshall, being duly sworn acording to law, do hereby certify that I did on June 14th, 1991, at 1:19 o'clock p.m., receive a certified copy of the Complaint for Annulment, filed in the above captioned case, which complaint was handed to me personally at the offices of Mason and Mason Attorneys, 973 Liberty Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335.

Robert E. Marshall - Defendant

July 23, 1991 Motion For Appointment Of Master In Divorce and Order filed. AND NOW, July 23, 1991, Bruce barrett, Esquire, is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Annulment.

Deposit required: By Plaintiff $300.00

PER CURIAM,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 24, 1991 Copies of order put in box for atty. Mason and Barrett

Aug. 7, 1991 Notice of Hearing before Master, filed.

Aug. 22, 1991 Master's report filed.

Aug. 22, 1991 Notice of Filing Master's Report filed.

Sept. 3, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Robert E. Marshall, defendant, in the above captioned action, do her eby certify that I did receive a copy of the Master's Report and the NOtice of Filing of Master's Report, on the 28 day of August, 1991.

Robert E. Marshall

Sept. 6, 1991 MOtion To Authorize Payment Of Master's Fees and Order filed. And Now, the 6th day of September, 1991, the above motion allowed.

Gordon R. Miller, J

Sept. 10, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Mason and Barrett

Sept. 24, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is hereby moved to court for determination relating to annulment.

Oct. 8, 1991 **DECREE OF ANNULMENT FILED.**

AND NOW, October 7, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that the marriage between Betty J. Marshall, plaintiff, and Robert E. Marshall, defendant, is hereby annulled in accord with Pennsylvania Statute 23 Pa. C.S.A. 3305(a)(4).

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1991

Christopher D. Ferry
~~Donald E. Lewis~~ Withdrawal
~~William L. Walker~~

576.

William L. Walker

KENNETH AND DORIS MORIAN, his wife
t/d/b/a JONES FLOOR COVERING
~~FRANK AND TRUDY FORRO~~

vs.

~~KENNETH AND DORIS MORIAN~~

FRANK AND TRUDY FORRO

Filed June 14, 1991
@ 3:31 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.25
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 10-11-93	15.00
Pro.Co. pd 12/13/93	5.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF 30-3-01

June 14, 1991, Rule entered upon Kenneth and Doris Morian d/b/a Jones Floor Coverings, to File Complaint issued.

June 20, 1991, AMENDED Notice of Appeal filed.

June 25, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

June 25, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

July 11, 1991 Complaint filed.

July 26, 1991 Answer Containing New Matter filed.

Oct. 11, 1991, Answer to Appellants/Defendants' New Matter filed. 3 copies (atty)

Oct. 11, 1993 Upon praecipe of plaintiffs attorney to appoint Arbitrataors, the following Arbitrators have been appointment.
Tamera Rothchild, Chr.
Mark Prather
Nancy Sennett

Oct. 11, 1993 Withdrawl of appearance of Donald E. Lewis for Plaintiff and the APPEARANCE of Christopher D. Ferry, filed.

Nov. 4, 1993, Arbitrators take the qualifying oath.

Nov.9, 1993 Noticeof Arbitrator's Hearing filed.

Dec. 13, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Christopher D.Ferry, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff, this action Settled, Discontinued and Ended uponpayment of costs only.

1991

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug John B. Brautigan Alan R. Shaddinger 577.	NORTHWEST PACKAGING vs. ROSEMARIE V. RIGHT Filed June 14, 1991 @ 3:33 p.m. Taxe& entry paid $50.25 state paid 5.00	APPEAL OF PLAINTIFF FROM JUDGMENT OF WAYNE E. HANSON, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-3-01 June 18, 1991 Proof of Service filed. July 3, 1991 Complaint in Assumpsit filed.

1991

Kathleen R. Nelson

578.

RICHARD NESBITT

vs.

KELLY LYN BUCHANAN

Filed June 17, 1991
@ 9:26 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
State paid 5.00

PETITION FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

June 25, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. AND NOW, this 24th day of June, 1991, comes Kelly Lyn Buchanan, Defendant in the above captioned matter and acknowledges that she received the Petition for Custody and NOtice to defend in the above captioned matter by personally picking up same at the office of Attorney Kathleen r. Nelson, East Side Knolls, Rt. 18, Conneaut Lake, Pennsylvaniat, at 10:20 a.m. on June 24, 1991.

Kelly L. Buchanan

July 19, 1991 Stipulation and Consent Custody Order filed. AND NOW, this 19th day of July, 1991, upon agreement of the parties to this action, the following order is hereby entered:

1. Legal custody of Adam richard Nesbitt shall be shared between the father, Richard Nesbitt and the mother, Kelly Lyn Buchanan. The parties hereto shallshare information regarding the welfare of the children and shall discuss matters relating to the children's health, education and religious instruction.

2. Physical custody of Adam Richard Nesbitt shall be shared between the parties with the child in the custody of the Plaintiff/Father each week from Sunday at 9:00 a.m. until Tuesday at 6:30 p.m. The child shall be in the custody of the Defendant/Mother from Tuesday at 6:30 p.m. until the following Sunday at 9:00 a.m.

3. The parties shall attempt to agree on a schedule of holiday visitation; however, in the event that they cannot, the following schedule shall apply:

a) Christmas Day and New Year's Day - The child shall be in the custody of the Defendant/Mother until 11:00 a.m. and shall be with father from 11:00 a.m. until 8:00 p.m.

b) Thanksgiving - The child shall be in the custody of the Defendant/Mother until 2:00 p.m. and shall be with the Plaintiff/Father from 2:00 p.m. until 7:00 p.m.

c) Easter - Father shall hava ecustody of the minor child in 1991, and odd-numbered years thereafter from 6:30 p.m. on the evening preceding theholiday until 2:00 p.m. on Easter Day. Mother shall then have custody of the minor child from 2:00 p.m. until 7:00 p.m. In even numbered years Mother shallh ave the child from 6:30 p.m. on the evening preceding the holiday until 11:00 a.m. on Easter Day. Father shall then hav euctsoyd of the child from 11:00 a.m. on Easter Day through the following Tuesday at 6:30 p.m.

d) Birthday - The child's birthday shall be shared with the mother having custody of the child until 4:00 p.m. and the father from 4:00 p.m. until 7:00 p.m.

e) Halloween - The parties shall alternate the holiday which shall be defined as the hours between 6:00 p.m. and 9:00 p.m. of the day designated by the municipality as "Trick or Treat", with Mother having the child in 1991, and odd-numbered years thereafter and father in 1992, and even-numbered years thereafter.

4. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the parent receiving the child into his or her custody responsible for that end of the transportation.

5. Each pa rty shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent form his or her child.

6. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and cutody of the subject minor child.

7. Any person transporting the ch ild shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints areused in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

8. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each pa rty shall treat th eother in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage th eother party in the child's estimation.

9. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 19, 1991 copy of order put in Kathleen Nelson's box.

1991

Anthony J. Vardaro
Thomas, Truax, Spada-
fore, VArdaro, Walker

579.

DOMINIC. C. FRISINA AND
BLANCHE L. FRISINA, HIS WIFE

vs.

CHARLOTTE V. KURTZ,
DECEASED, HER HEIRS AND
ASSIGNS

Filed June 17, 1991
@ 9:27 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 1/31/92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

June 17, 1991 Motion for Leave to Serve by Publication Affidavit and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, upon motion of Anthony j. Vardaro, atty. for palitniffs in the above matter, leave is hereby granted to serve the above named defendants their heirs and assigns, generally, defendants in said action by publication of a notice of the facts set forth in the complaint in the Meadville Tribune, a newspaper of general circulaiton, and the Crawford County Legal Journal one (1) time and requiring the said Defendants to plead within 20 days form the last date of publication thereof.
Date: 6/17/91

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Dec. 20, 1991 Motion for Judgment and Final Decree filed. AND NOW, this 20 day of dec. 1991 upon presentation of the foregoing Motion and due consideration thereof, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Charlotte V. Kurtz, deceased, her heirs and assigns, are forever barred from asserting any right, title, lien, or interest inconsistent with the claim of the plaintiffs as set forth inthe Complaint inthis case; or in any way attacking the title of the plaintiffs in said property; from issuing or continuing any action and taxing the dame and from encumbering, mortgaging, or conveying the same or any part thereof; unless the defendants, her heirs and assigns, take such action as is herein directed within 30 days after the entry of this judgment. It is further ordered and decreed that if no petition to open this judgment or satisfy this decree is presented by the defendants, her heirs or assigns, within 30 days from the date and after the entry of this decree, same shall be final. If such action is not take within said 30 day period, the Prothonotary shall enter final jdugment upon praecipe of the plaintiff.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Dec. 30, 1991 copy of order to atty. vardaro.

Dec. 20, 1991 Affidavit filed. Anthony J. Vardaro, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law deposes and says that he is a member of th elaw firm of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro and Walker which firm is counsel for the Plaintiffs in the above captioned case, and as such makes this affidavit on behalf of said Plaintiffs; that on June 17, 1991 your Honorable Court entered an Order in said case directing that service of the Complaint be made on the Defendant, Charlotte V. Kurtz, deceased, her heirs and assigns, by publication in The Meadville Tribune and Crawford County Legal Journal one time; that service was made by publication in accordance with said Order as appears by Proofs of Publication attached hereto; and more than twenty days have elapsed from the date of the last publication of said ntoice and that the said defendant, Charlotte V. Kurtz, deceased, her heirs and assigns, have not appeared nor filed an answer to said Complaint

Anthony J. Vardaro

And Now, Jan. 23, 1992, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Final Judgment is hereby entered in the above captioned matter, 30 days having elapsed since issuance of Final decree and neither petition to open judgment nor petition to satisfy decree having been filed.
Judgment entered - 1/23/92
K - 203

FINAL JUDGMENT
JamesF. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

580.

Gerald Sullivan
Legal Services

LAWRENCE JOSEF KLARR

vs.

ADA J. KLARR

Filed June 17, 1991
@ 9:33 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
William Pineo mediator

PETITION FOR CUSTODY

4 copies (atty)

June 17, 1991, Petition for Temporary Custody, and Temporary Custody Order filed. TEMPORARY CUSTODY ORDER: AND NOW, this 17 day of June 1991 upon consideration fot he attached Petition for Temporary Custody, Petitioner father is hereby awarded temporary custody fothe three (3) minor children: Christian, Hermann and Noah, pending final disposition of this matter. Hearing set to determine temporary custody and visitation of these 3 minor children and two minor children with mother. Hearing June 28, 1991 at 9:00 a.m. BY THE COURT,
4 copies (atty) P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 26, 1991 Motion to Continue and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 26 day of June, 1991 upon defendant's Motion for Continuance, it is hereby Ordered that the hearing presently scheduled for june 28, 1991 at 9:00 is continued generally as matter has been referred to mediation.
2 copies (atty) P. R. Thomas, S. J.

June 26, 1991 Praecipe to Proceed in Forma Pauperis, and Affidavit to Proceed in Forma Pauperis filed.

COUNTERCLAIM FOR CUSTODY AND

June 26, 1991 ORDER OF COURT filed. You Josef Klarr, plaintiff have been sued in court to obtain custody of the minor children, Christian Klarr, Herman Klarr, Anna Klarr, Noah Klarr, and Mary Klarr.

You are ordered to appear in person at the time and place selected by WILLIAM PINEO, CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody partial custody, or visitation may be entered agaisnt you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

Pending the above ordered mediation, physical custody of Anna Klarr, and Mary Klarr shall be with the defendant Ada Klarr. the plaintiff Koesf Klarr shall continue to have custody of the 3 male children.

Neither parent or persons on their behalf shall change parental custody as aforesaid. Atty. will accept service.

Defendant is allowed to proceed without payment of court costs reserving to the court he right to impose costs, if appropriate.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephoen the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford countyBar Assoc. ser. tothe public Committee c/o Prothonotary, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 814 724-4636.
6/26/91 BY THE COURT,
3 copies (atty) P. Richard thomas, S. J.
1 copy (mediator)

July 11, 1991 Motion To Withdraw As Custody Mediator and Order filed. AND NOW, this 11th day of July, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, the same is hereby granted and the appointment of William Pineo, Esquire, as Custody Mediator is her eby withdrawn. The Court hereby appoints John Fuller, Esq., to be the Mediator in the above referenced matter.

BY THE Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 15, 1991 Copiesp put in box for Atty.'s Mason, Sullivan, Fuller and Pineo

Sept 22, 1998 ORDER: Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 7/11/1991. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear from you in writing on or before Oct 23, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objectioins must be filed in the Prothonotary's office on or before Oct 23, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
Date: Sept 22, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 5, 1998 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of Oct., 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given by publication of a "Notice of Intent To Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on Oct. 14, 1998, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, all and each of the 84 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice. A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 19011.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

581.

SANDRA FULTON

vs.

WARREN E. FULTON JR.

Filed June 17, 1991
@ 3:15 p.m.

Tax & entry Pd 6/21/91 $50.50
state Pd 6/21/91 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 21.00
Pro Se Pd 6/21/91 5.00

6/21/91 RECD $21.00 FROM PLAINTIFF

6/21/91 PAID $21.00 TO HOWARD STEWART, SHERIFF= COSTS CK # 1282

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy (plff)
3 copies (sheriff)

ORDER:

And now, this 17 day of June, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions:
Defendant will not go to Pl's residence for any reason.

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for June 26, 1991 at 11:00 AM in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 19, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Warren E. Fulton, Jr. personally on June 18, 1991 at 1:28 PM at his place of employment, Weber Harris Ford, Baldwin St., Meadville, PA. So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Chief Deputy
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 21, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff to discontinue action, the action is marked discontinued as the undersigned does not want to proceed further with it.

1991

Blystone & Blystone
Peter E. Blystone

582.

WESTFORD MILLING COMPANY

vs.

WILLIAM P. KUHN AND
CAROL KUHN

Filed June 17, 1991
@ 4:22 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	27.25
Pro.Co. Pd 5/22/92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

July 18, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Carol Kuhn personally on June 20, 1991 at 10:30 a.m. as the residence, R.D. #1, Atlantic, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED William P. Kuhn on June 20, 1991 at 10:31 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Carol Kuhn, his wife, at the residence, R.D #1, Atlantic, Crawford County PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, May 22, 1992 at 11:52 A.M. upon praceipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Seventeen Thousand Two hundred Sixty-four and 12/100 plus interest, and cost of suit.

"K-173-33"	Debt $17,264.12
Judgment entered 5/22/92	Judgment
Notice of entry mailed 5/8/92	Barbara H. King
	Prothonotary

1991

583.

GINA R. McCRORY

vs.

ROBIN L. RANCE

Filed June 17, 1991
@ 4:26 p.m.

Tax & entry Pd 5-28-92 $50.50
state Pd 5-28-92 5.00
sheriffs costs 21.00
Prothy Pd 5-28-92 9.00

Received from Defendant 5-28-92
Sheriff's costs 21.00

Paid Sheriff 5-28-92
Cert. Chk 6838 21.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy (plff)
3 copies (sheriff)

ORDER:

And now, this 17 day of June, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions:
Stay away from Gina McCroy

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for Thursday, June 20 1991, at 1:30 p M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
James B. Dwyer, S. J. Spec. Presid.

June 19, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Robin L. Rance personally on June 17, 1991 at 4:40 p.m. at Crawford CountyJailCenter St. Meadville, Pa.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 24, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, June 20, 1991, at the time for hearing, both parties appeared pro se. The Defendant admits having engaged in the conduct alleged in the petition; therefore, the Court enters the following order:

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass, or threaten the Plaintiff in any manner whatsoever, nor shall he procure others to do so on his behalf.
2. The Defendant shall not go to the Plaintiff's place of residence or her place of work.
3. The Defendant shall pay the medical bills incurred by the Plaintiff as a result of this incident of abuse.
4. The Defendant shall pay the costs of this proceeding.
5. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police Department in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides, and to County Control.
6. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe that it has been violated.

The Defendant is reminded that this is an Order of Court and violation of this order may punishable by a fine upo to $1;000.00 and a jail sentence of up to 6 months.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
James B. Dwyer, S.J.

June 25, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Plaintiff and Defendant and copy given to County Control.

1991

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma and Schug
John B. Brautigam

584.

CHARLES DIABLE

vs.

SUSAN DIABLE

Filed June 17, 1991
@ 4:32 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 2/27/92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

July 8, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE filed. AND NOW, July 2, 1991, comes John B. Brautigam, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That he is the Attorney for Charles Diable, Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished to him by the Plaintiff, he caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P 835 989 513. postmarked June 20, 1991, to the last known address of the Defendant, Susan Diable, at Box 64, Hartstown, Pa. 16131.

3. That the Defendant, Susan Diable, on June 20, 1991, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Caomplaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

4. Service of the Complaint against the Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Jan. 13, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 13, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 21, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for Review Under Section 3301(c) of Divorce Code this case moved to Court.

Feb. 28, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, February 28, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Charles Diable, Plaintiff, and Susan Diable, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this aciton for whicha final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

1991

585.

CINDY L. VOGT

vs.

JAMES K. ELMEN

Filed June 18, 1991
@ 10:37 a.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	21.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy (plff)
3 copies (sheriff)

ORDER:

And now, this 18 day of June, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions:
   Def. stay away from plaintiffs residence
   Make no contact with pl. or her child.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for June 26, 1991, at 4:00 p. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
James B. Dwyer, Judge

June 19, 1991 Sheriff's REturn filed. SERVED James K. Elmen personally at Crawford County Jail, Center Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA. Served June 18, 1991 at 12:45 PM.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 28, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 26, 1991, at the time fixed by Order of June 18, 1991 for the hearing in this matter, the Plaintiff did not appear, but the Defendant did appear.

Since the Plaintiff did not ask for a continuance and did not appear at the hearing, the Court has no alternative but to dismiss this action and to terminate the temporary abuse Order entered June 18, 1991.

A copy of this Order shall be:

A. Mailed by certified mail to the Plaintiff at 495 North St., Meadville, Pa.16335.
B. Delivered to the Meadville City Police Department.
C. Delivered to County Control.

Costs shall be paid by the County.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 3, 1991 copy to ~~County Control~~, Meadville City Police Dept. & Dft. Certified copy to Plf.
June 28, 1991 copy given to County Control

1991

James R. Irwin
Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack

586.

RAYMOND O. MATZ

vs.

JACQUELINE T. MATZ

Filed June 18, 1991
@ 11:20 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
STATE PAid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 2-14-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 14, 1992 Affidavit of Service filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record, and as such say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated June 18, 1991. The Defendant acknoledged service on June 19 1991 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt. James R. Irwin

Feb. 14, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 14, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 14, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Feb. 24, 1992 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 24 day of February, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that Raymond O. Matz, Plaintiff, and Jacqueline T. Matz, dEfendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

It is further ordered that the parties' Separation and Property Settlement Agreement dated February 11, 1992, is incorporated herein and made a part her eof and shall be fully enforceable under the provisions of the divorce Code.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

1991

James R. Irwin
Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack

587.

LORRI J. RICE

vs.

RUSTY ALLAN RICE

Filed June 18, 1991
@ 11:20 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	15.00
	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, COUNSEL FEES, EXPERT FEES, CHILD CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

June 25, 1991 Affidavit of Service field. I, James R. Irwin, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of Complaint in Divorce certified by Prothonotary on the above named defendant by cert. mail, addressee ohnly, ret. rec. req. dated June 18, 1991. The dft. acknowledged service on June 22, 1991 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed ret. rec. James R. Irwin Esq.

Sept. 24, 1991, Affidav it of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Sept 25, 1991 Stipulation and Consent to Entry of Custody Order and Order filed: AND NOW, this 25 day of September, 1991, upon presentation of the Stipulation and Consent of the parties, attached hereto, and after consideration of same, it is hereby ORDERED, DIRECED and DECREED that:

1. Legal custody of the parties' two (2) minor children shall be shared between the mother, Lorri Rice and the father, Rusty Rice. Primary physical custody of the children shall be with their mother.

2. The natural father, Rusty Rice shall have custody of the aforementioned minor children from 11:00 a.m. Saturday until 4:00 p.m. Sunday every other weekend. Said visitations shall begin Sepember 21, 1991.

3. The natural mother, Lorri Rice, shall have physical custody of the aforementioned minor children at all other times unless designated in this agreement.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

A. Christmas holiday visitation. For Christmas 1991 the natural father shall have visitation on Christmas Day from 5:00 p.m. until 12:00 noon December 27th. For Christmas 1992 the natural father shall have visitation from 6:00 p.m. Christmas Eve until 5:00 p.m. Christmas Day. The parties shall alternate the Christmas holiday visitation schedule each year thereafter.

B. Thanksgiving holiday visitation. For Thanksgiving 1991 the natural father shall have visitation from 11:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day, 1991, and every odd numbered year thereafter.

C. Easter holiday visitation. On years in which Easter falls on the natural father's weekend visitation period, Father shall have the children until 4:00 Easter Sunday. In the event Easter would fall on a weekend in which the mother maintains custody the children shall celebrate Easter with their mother.

E. Forth of July. Fourth of July 1992 shall be with the natural mother. The parties shall alternate this holiday each year thereafter.

5. The holiday visitation schedule shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

6. The natural father shall have the opportunity to take the children into his custody for a period of 5 consecutive days during the children's summer vacation, provided Father's vacation fall during the summer months. Father shall give to Mother one month advance notice of his intention to exercise his summer vacation with the children.

7. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the children at the home of the other.

8. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

9. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children.

10. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that seat belt or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

11. Neithe party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

12. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if it were to be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which, this order shall govern.

13. Except in emergency situations, each party shall give the other at least Twenty-four (24) hours notification when he or she will not be exercising a scheduled visitation.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Sept 26, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty. Irwin's box.

Oct. 11, 1991, REquest for Hearing and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 11th day of Oct. 1991 upon presentation and consideration ofthe foregoing REquest for hearing a hearing is scheduled with the Court on the 7th day of Nov. 1991 at 1:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller J.

Oct. 15, 1991 copy to J. Irwin ESq.

Oct 31, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed: I, James R. Irwin, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record, and as such say that I served a true and correct copy of the order setting a hearing date for Novembr 7, 1991 at 1:30 p.m. certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania on the above named defendant by certified mail, return receipt requested, dated October 15, 1991. Service was acknowledged on October 16, 1991 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.
James R. Irwin, Esquire

Nov. 12, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, November 7, 1991, after hearing, the Defendant is ordered to pay $200 toward Plaintiff's Counsel Fees, $100 on or before November 15, 1991, and the balance on or before december 6, 1991. Payment shall be made directly to counsel for the Plaintiff.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Nov. 15, 1991 All copies put in Atty. Irwin's box.

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order Terminating Case filed.

May 6, 1998 Order filed. Case terminated as of this date.

588.

JANINE T. ONDERKO

vs.

JIM ONDERKO

Filed June 18, 1991
@ 11:48 a.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	24.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy (plff)
3 copies (sheriff)

ORDER:

And now, this 18 day of JUne, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions:
Stay away form plaintiff and the two children completely - no contact with any of them.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for June 28, , 1991 at 3:30 p. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
James B. Dwyer, J

June 19, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Jim Onderko personally on June 18, 1991 at 2:00 pm at his residence, Box 84, Atlantic Rd., Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Edgar D. Householder, Chief Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 21, 1991 Motion to Reschedule Hearing and Order filed. And Now, June 21, 1991, Hearing on Plaintiff's Petition for Emergency Relief from Abuse is scheduled for July 16, 1991, at 11:00 a. m. O'clock in Courtroom number 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pennsylvania The Orde rof June 28, 1991, shall remain in full force and effect until said hearing.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 24, 1991 Copies of order Plaintiff and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

July 18, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, July 16, 1991, the Court finds after presentation of evidence by the plaintiff, that there is insufficient evidence for the Court to invoke the provisions provided for under the Abuse Act to restrain the defendant f rom assaulting or threatening to assault or harass the wife.

The Court notes there is a divorce pending in this case and that obviously each par ty is interested in retaining possession of the marital home. This matter can be resolved as part of the divorce a ction.

The Court also notes that there is tentatively scheduled for July 23, 1991 a custody mediation hearing, and the Court believes the custody matter can be amciably resolved through the use of a Mediator. If not, the Court can decide the custody issue at a de novo hearing in which that is the only issue.

ACCORDINGLY, the Court DISMISSES this petition and directs the County to pay the costs.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

July 19, 1991 Copies of order to James Irwin, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and County Control

1991

Christopher Brode

589.

MARK WAITLEVERTCH, APD

BETTY LORD

vs.

DAVID LORD

Filed June 18, 1991
@ 1:38 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	33.50
Sheriff's Costs	55.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy (plff)
3 copies (sheriff)

ORDER:

And now, this 18 day of JUne, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.

2. Special Conditions: Defendant may not go to residence of pl. for any purpose or may not take custody of child until further court order. Pl. awarded temporary custody of child.

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.

4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.

5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.

6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.

7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.

8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for June 26, , 1991 at 1:00 p.m.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 20, 1991 **SHERIFF'S Return** filed. SERVED David Lord personally on June 19, 1991 at 10:58 AM at his residence, 413 West Elm Street, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Chief Deputy
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 1, 1991 ORDER FILED. AND NOW, June 26, 1991, this abuse matter is before the Court, and while there is great disagreement by the husband as to the allegations of abuse toward the wife and child, the parties mutually agree that they have separated and will continue sto stay separated, and accordingly, to guide the conduct of the parties, the parties mutually agree to the following Order:

1. The defendant/husband will not harass, threaten, annoy or assault the plaintiff/wife in any manner or procure others to do so on his behalf.

2. He may not go to her place of employment for any purpose.

3. The parties mutually agree that on Friday, June 28, 1991, at 12:30 p.m., the wife may to to the former residence at 413 West Elm Street, Titusville, Pa. for the purpose of removing the baby's crib, potty chair and dresser. The husband agrees he will allow her entrance and will not interfere in any way. The plaintiff will be assisted by her father in making this repossession and the defendant will not threaten the father or preclude him from assisting his daughter in moving the furniture.

4. The parties apparently have a jointly owned Ford Fairmont car which is currently in the husband's possession. The Court directs that this car be surrendered to the wife for her transporation, with the exchange to take place at 4:00 p.m. this date at the Police Station parking lot in the City of Titusville. The defendant/husband will surrender all keys to the car in his possession.

5. As far as visitation between father and child are concerned. the parties mutually agree that the mother will deliver the baby each Saturday to the residence of the defendant's father, Theodore Lord, at 9:00 a.m. so that the father may have a day-long visit with the child at h is discretion. The visits shall last until 6:00 p.m., at which time, the mother will return and pick up the child. In delivering the child, the mother will deliver the necessary diapers,bottles or baby food necessary for the father to take care of the child during the one-day visitation period.

6. The Court directs that there shall be no arguments or confrontation between the parties during the delivery and pickup of the child and that the father shall return any unused items used in caring for the child for the day.

7. The husband is unemployed, and accordingly, no temporary Support Order is entered at this time, and if the mother wishes support for the child, she must file the standard support action at the Domestic Relations Section.

8. This Order shall remain in force and effect for a period of one (1) year.

9. Any Police Officer having reasonable grounds to believe that the abuse portions of this Order have been violated, may, upon a sworn statement of probable cause by the plaintiff, apprehend the defendant without the necessity of a warrant, lodge him in the Crawford County Jail and request an immediate contempt hearing.

10. In view of the fact the defendant is unemployed and the wife has recently secured employment, the County is directed to pay the costs in this case.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

July 3, 1991 copies to Atty. C. Brode, Defendant and County Control

Record Continued to Page 453

1991

Clinton A. Shamp Shamp, Arduini, and Hain 590.	ROBERT L. ADAMS AND CLAIRE M. ADAMS, HIS WIFE vs. HARRY W. BEAN AND JUDY A. BEAN, HIS WIFE Filed June 18, 1991 @ 3:33 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.50 state paid 5.00 sheriffs costs 27.00	COMPLAINT IN ASSUMPSIT 2 copies (sheriff) July 22, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Harry W. Bean by certified mail on July 1, 1991 at 720 Inlet Drive, Mt. Pleasant, SC. Signed return receipt attached. SERVED Judy a. Bean by cert. mail on July 1, 1991 at 720 Inlet Drive, Mt. Pleasant, SC. Signed Return Receipt attached. So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck
& Leemhuis, Inc
Richard A. Blakely
591.

Christopher Brode
Legal Services

ASBURY MANOR WEST

vs.

RAYMOND EDWARDS

Filed June 19, 1991
@ 10:33 a.m.

Indigent filing

6/21/91 CASH/BOND IN THE AMOUNT OF $675.00 POSTED. IN GENERAL ACCOUNT.

8/9/91 PAID $675.00 TO RAYMOND EDWARDS CHK# 6347

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF 30-2-01

June 19, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

June 19, 1991 Praecipe to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and Affidavit to Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed.

June 19, 1991, Petition for Reduced/Waiver of Bond and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 19 day of June 1991, upon consideration of Appellant's Application for Supersedeas and Petition for Reduced/Waiver of Bond, said Application and Petition are hereby granted. Bond in amount of $675. cash will be posted with Prothonotary.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 19, 1991, copy to C. Brode, Esq.

June 21, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

July 10, 1991 Complaint filed.

AND NOW, July 10, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Defendant's Attorney, judgment of Non Pros is hereby entered against the Plaintiff, Asbury Manor West and Ken Keller, Manager of Asbury Manor Mobile Home Park, Appellee. for failure to file a complaint within twenty (20) days.

A-184; K-101 JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Aug. 9, 1991 Motion For Return Of Supersedeas Bond filed.

Aug. 9, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, this 9 day of August, 1991, upon consideration of Appellant's Petition for the return of a Supersedeas Bond, previously paid to the Office of the Prothonotary located at the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. The bond having been paid in the amount of six hundred seventy-five dollars ($675.00) by the Appellant/Defendant Raymond Edwards.

Based on the Praecipe of Entry of Judgment of Non Pros, filed on July 10, 1991, by the above Appellant, and the Appellant's Petition for the return of a Supersedeas Bond, the Prothonotary is hereby directed and ordered to return said bond to the Appellant/Defendant Raymond Edwards.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

aug. 13, 1991 Copies of order to Richard Blakely and Christopher Brode

1991

592.

GEORGE E. HEILE
ANN H. HEILE
vs.

BERNARD A. NIEBAUER
ROSEMARY NIEBAUER

Filed June 19, 1991
@ 10:47 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$9.00
plff on orig	9.50
Rel. Pd. 3-3-92	5.00
Pro.Co. pd Rel. 6/8/94	5.00
Satisfaction PD.	5.00

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 529

The undersigned hereby agrees that judgment be revived; Judgment of revival indexed in favor of plaintiff and agaisnt the defendants in the sum of Twenty Eight Thousand Seven Hundred Two and 56/100 Dollars plus costs.
Dated.....June 19, 1991
Debt.....$28,702.56
N - 24, 144- JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Mar. 3, 1992 Writing filed wherein Plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following described property, to-wit All that certain piece of parcel of land situate in the Second Ward of the City of Meadville, Crawford County, State of Pennsylvania. For more complete description, see Release of Lien filed.

Jun.8, 1994 at 1:35 P.M. writing filed wherein Plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following described property, to-wit: ALL that certain piece or parcel of land situate in the Third Ward of the City of Meadville (formerly West Mead Township)Crawford County, State of Pennsylvania. For more complete description, see Release of Lien filed.

June 7, 1996 Order to Mark judgment by Plaintiff Satisfied filed.

1991

593

GEORGE E. HEILE AND
ANN H. HEILE

vs.

BERNARD A. NIEBAUER AND
ROSEMARY NIEBAUER

Filed June 19, 1991
@ 10:47 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$9.00
plff on orig	9.50
Release Pd. 3-3-92	5.00
Release Pd 6/8/94	5.00
Satisfaction 6/7/96	5.00

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB-1986-530

AD 1991 - 593

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment be revived; Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants in the sum of Twenty Five Thousand One Hundred Ninety Seven and 05/100 Dollars, plus costs.

Dated.....June 19, 1991
Debt.....$25,197.05

N - 24, 144 --- JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Mar. 3, 1992 Writing filed wherein Plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following described property, to wit:

All that certain piece or parcel of land situate in the Second Ward of the City of Meadville, Crawford County, State of Pa. For more complete description, see Release of Lien filed.

June 8, 1994 at 1:35 P.M. writing filed wherein Plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following described property, to-wit: ALL that certain piece or parcel of land situate in the Third Ward of the City of Meadville (Formerly WEst Mead Township), Crawford County, Pennsylvania. Formore complete description see Release of Lien filed.

June 7, 1996 Judgment Satisfied.

1991

594.

George E. Heile
Ann H. Heile

vs.

BERNARD A. NIEBAUER
ROSEMARY NIEBAUER

Filed June 19, 1991
@ 10:47 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00
plff on orig 9.50
Pro. Co. pd. 1/20/92 5.00

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 628

The undersigned hereby agrees to revival of judgment; Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and agaisnt the defendant in the sum of Fourteen Thousand Seven Hundred Seventy Eight and 03/100 Dollars plus costs.

Debt.....$14,778.03
Date.....June 19, 1991
N - 24, 144---JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Jan. 20, 1992 This judgment Satisfied.
(see papers filed)

1991

595.

GEORGE E. HEILE
ANN H. HEILE

vs.

BERNARD A. NIEBAUER
ROSEMARY NIEBAUER

Filed June 19, 1991
@ 10:47 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$9.00
plff on orig.	9.50
Release pd. 3-3-92	5.00
Release pd 6/8/94	5.00

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 629

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment be revived; Judgment is hereby indexed in favor of plaintiffs and agaisnt the defendants in the sum of Eighteen Thousand Sixty Two and 38/100 Dollars plus costs.

Dated.....June 19, 1991
Debt. $18,062.38
N - 24, 144 - JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Mar. 3, 1992 Writing filed wherein Plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following described property, to wit:
All that certain piece or parcel of land situate in the Second Ward of the City of Meadville, Crawford County, Stat or Pa. For more complete description see Release of Lien filed.

Jun. 8, 1994 at 1:35 P.M. writing filing wherein Plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following described property; to-wit: ALL that certain piece or parcel of land situate inthe Third Ward of the City of Meadville (formerly West Mead Township), Crawford County,Pennsylvania. For Complete description, see Release of Lien filed.

Revived to AD 1996-600

1991

596.

GEORGE E. HEILE AND
ANN H. HEILE, HIS WIFE

vs.

BERNARD A. NIEBAUER
ROSEMARY NIEBAUER, HIS WIFE

Filed June 19, 1991
@ 10:47 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$9.00 ✓
plff on orig	9.50
Release pd. 3-3-92	5.00 ✓
Release pd 6/8/94	5.00 ✓

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 630

The undersigned hereby agrees to revival of judgment; Judgment is hereby indexed in favor of the plaitniffs and against the defendants in the amount of Twenty Four Thousand Six Hundred Thirty and 34/100 Dollars plus costs.

Dated .....June 19, 1991
Debt.....$24,630.34
N - 24, 144 - JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Mar 3, 1992 Writing filed wherein Plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following described property, to-wit:

All that certain piece or parcel of land situate in the Second Wark of the City of Meadville, Crawford County, State of Pa. For more complete description, see Release of Lien filed.

Jun. 8, 1994 at 1:35 P.M. Writing filed wherein Plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following described property: to-wit: ALL that certain piece or parcel of land situate in the Third Ward of the City of Meadville (Formerly West Mead Township), Crawford County, Pennsylvania. For more Complete description, See Release of Lien filed.

Revived & AD 1996-602

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

597.

BRIAN J. SIMPSON

vs.

DONNA S. SIMPSON

Filed June 19, 1991
@ 2:13 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 9/9/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE
EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION
1 copy (atty)

Aug. 19, 1991 ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE, filed. I, the above named Defendant, hereby accept service of the Complaint and 201(d) notices in the above action.

Aug 19, 1991 PLAINTIFF'S AFFIDAVIT UNDER SECTION 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, filed.

Aug. 19, 1991 DEFENDANT'S COUNTER-AFFIDAVIT UNDER SECTION 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, filed.

Aug. 19, 1991 NOTICE OF INTENTION TO REQUEST ENTRY OF DIVORCE DECREE, filed.

Sept. 3, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case movedtocourt.

Sept. 17, 1991 **STIPULATION AND CONSENT DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 13 day of Sept., 1991, upon presentation and considerationof the foregoing Stipulation, this Court hereby directs that:

1. The Plaintiff shallhave sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and personalty currently in his possession and dEfendant shallhave sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and personalty currently in her possession, except as follows:

One walnut double frame and matching dresser with mirror, which are family heirlooms of the Plaintiff, currently in the possessionofPlaintiff, shall be the sole and exclusive propertyof Plaintiff. The parties will make arrangements between themselves for the exchange of this property within ninety (90) days formthedate hereof.

2. The 1985 Pontiac 6000 shall be the sole and exclusive property of the defendant and defendant shall be responsible for any and all outstanding balances due and owing on said property, includin but not limited to: insurance, registration, inspection, maintenance and title. Plaintiff shall execute any and all documents necessary to effect the transfer of title on this vehicle within five(5) days formthe date hereof.

3. Plaintiff is the owner of an existing pension through the State Employee Retirement System andthe parties shall divide the pension as follows:

a). Defendant shall receive thesum of $156.11 per monthcommencing when Plaintiff attains the age of 50. In the event that Plaintiff does not draw from his pension at age 50 or does not elect to retire at that time,them Plaintiff shallinitiate a wage assignment in thesumof $156.11 permonth for the benefit of defendant.

b). In the event Plaintiff should elect tomake a lump sumwithdrawal ofhis accumulated pension amount, that sumshallnot be in an amount that will prevent the retirement or pension system from paying Defendant the aforesaid $156.11 permonth.

c). Inaddition to the monthly payment to defendant of $156.11 per month, Defendant shall receive a lulmp sum payment of $20,000.00, whcih sum shall be paid as follows:

(i) Plaintiff shall executeany and all papers ncessary to effect a rull over of an existing IRA account to Defendant, which has an approximate balance of $5,00.00, within five (5) days fromthe date hereof.

(ii) The remaining balance shall be paid to Defendant in monthly installments of $300.00 per month until paid in full iwth no interest accumulating thereon unless there is a default in payment to Defendant by Plaintiff for a period in excess of 15 days fromthe date the payment is due. Said monthly payments shall be due on or before the 1st dayof each month and shall commenc within thrity (30) days from the date hereof. Plaintiff shall not be penalized for any early payment or prepayment made to defendant.

4. defendant shallhave soleand exclusive possessionofthemarital residence located in West Mead Township, crawford County Pennsylvania, and Defendant shall be responsible for any and all outstanding obligations due and owing thereof, including but mot limited to: utilities, taxes, maintenance and insurance. Plaintiff shall execute and deliver a Quitclaim Deed to said property within five (5) days fromthedate hereof.

5. Upon considerationof the pleadings and affidavits filed inthe above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolutedivorce formthe bondsof matirmony to the above named parties onthe grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and the parties have lived separate and apart for a period of at least two (2) years and an affidavit has been filed by eachof the parties evidencing that they consent to the entry of a divorce decree pursuant to 201(d) ofthe Divorce Code of Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
P. richard Thomas, S.J.

1991

Donald E. Lewis

598.

GREGG DAVID HOVIS

vs.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Filed June 19, 1991
@ 2:23 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

NOTICE OF APPEAL-PETITION FOR WRIT OF SUPERSEDEAS

2 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 18th day of June 1991 upon motion by the Ap pellant, a hearing is set on said Appeal for the 28 day of August 1991, at 1:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthosue, Meadville, Pa.

Further, notice of said Appeal and of this order of court are to be given forthwith by Appellant's atty. tothe appropriate respresentative so the Appellee by forwarding a true and correct c;opy of same to the Appellee by First Class, U.S. Mail, postage prepaid.

Finally, said appeal shall act as a Supersedeas to Appellee's Suspension of Appellant's operating privileges.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.
June 20, 1991 copy to Atty. Lewis.

Aug. 29, 1991 Order filed. ANDNOW, August 28, 1991, we dismiss the appeal and affirm the Order of theDepartment of Transportation.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
J. Quint Salmon, S.J.

Sept. 3, 1991 Copies of rder to Atty. Lewis and Kuhar

1991

Donald E. Lewis

599.

STEVEN ALLEN MARZKA

vs.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Filed June 19, 1991
@ 2:42 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

NOTICE OF APPEAL- PETITION FOR WRIT OF SUPERSEDEAS

2 copies (atty)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 18 day of june 1991, upon motion by the Appellant, a hearing is set on said Appeal for the 28 day of Aug. 1991 at 1:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

Further, notice of said appeal and of this order of court are to be given forthwith by appellant's attorney to the appropriate representatives of the appellee by forwarding a true and correct copy of same tothe Appellee by First Class, U. S. Mail, postage prepaid.

Finally, said Appeal shall act as a supersedeas to Appellees suspension fo Appellant's operating privileges.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

June 20, 1991 copy to Attys. Lewis.

Aug. 29, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, August 28, 1991, in view of the circumstances just outlined by counsel, theappellant's motion to withdraw his appeal is granted.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
J. Quint Salmon, S.J.

Sept. 3, 1991 Copies to Atty's Lewis and Kuhar

1991

Thomas, Truax. Spadafore, Walker, Vardaro
R. Charles Thomas

600.

William Gregg

8/30/91 Recd $75.00 from Plaintiff
Sept 3, 1991 paid Co. Comm $75.00

5-11-92 Received from Plf $75.00 Mediation Fees

LONNIE BALLUT

vs.

MARY SEBAK

Filed June 19, 1991
@ 2:45 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
John Fuller, mediator	150.00
	9.00

Pro to Pd 8/30/91
Paid in Pd 5-11-92

COMPLAINT FOR PARTIAL CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER: YOU, MARY SEBAK have been sued in Court to obtain partial custody of Matthew Joseph Sebak.

JOHN FULLER, ESQUIRE, has been appointed as mediator in this case for the purpose of conducting a mediation conference.

You are ordered to appear in person for the mediation conference that will be scheduled by the Custody Mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. tothe Public Comm.
c/o Prothonotary, Court House, meadville, Pa. 724-4636

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Aug 12, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, August 12, 1991, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Matthew Joseph Sebak shall be shared between the mother, Mary Sebak, and the father, Lonnie Ballut, that is each parent shall be entitled full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the child such as the child's education, medical treatment and religious instruction.

I. Physical custody of Matthew Joseph Sebak shall be with his mother, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit the child at the home of the mother every Saturday afternoon for a period of three (3) hours, from 2:00 p.m. until 5:00 p.m. These visits shall begin Saturday, August 3, 1991. The maternal grandmother, Margaret Sebak, shall not be present in the room where the father is with the child, during such visits.

B. The following further visitation schedule is set forth without prejudice to the right of either the mother or the father to request a further mediation conference at or around the time the child becomes one (1) year of age to determine whether the present visitation schedule should be expanded as the child becomes older.

(1) Beginning March 14, 1992, the father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every other weekend, from 12:00 noon on Saturday until 4:00 p.m. on Sunday. In addition, the parents shall share custody of the child on the major holidays of Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the child shall be in the custody of the mother on those three holidays until 1:00 p.m. and in the custody of the father on those three holidays from 1:00 p.m. until 5:00 p.m.

The provision os this paragraph shall apply until the child becomes two (2) years of age on March 3, 1993. When the child becomes two (2) years of age on March 3, 1993, the father shall continue to have the same visitation rights set forth in the previous paragraph and in addition thereto shall be entitled to have the child in his continuous custody for one (1) week in June, one (1) week in July and one (1) week in August, each Summer, beginning with the Summer of 1993, provided that he shall furnish the mother at least thirty (30) days written notice of the three one (1) week periods in which he intends to exercise his Summer visitation each year.

C. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

C. Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or well being.

E. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the child and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided with the father paying one-half of the cost thereof and the remaining one-half being paid by Crawford County.

P. Richard Thomas, S. Judge

Aug 14, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Thomas, Gregg and Fuller's boxes and copy mailed to Plaintiff.

Mar. 6, 1992 PETITION FOR REVIEW OF CUSTODY ORDER BY MEDIATOR and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 6th day of March, 1992, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, John Fuller, Esq., the Custody Mediator heretofore appointed in this case, is ORDERED and DIRECTED to schedule further mediation in order to review the visitation schedule contained in the Order of Aug. 12, 1991, and FURTHER, to address the requests of the Petitioner as set forth in the Petition.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Mar. 10, 1992 copy in box for R.C. Thomas, W. Gregg & J. Fuller

April 27, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, April 24, 1992, af ter mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Matthew Joseph Sebak shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the child such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject child.

II. Physical custody of Matthew Joseph Sebak shall be with his mother, subject the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every Saturday from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. beginning April 18, 1992 and continuing through June 13, 1992. The mother shall accompany the child on these visits.

B. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every Saturday from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. beginning June 20, 1992 and continuing through August 15, 1992. The mother shall not accompany the child on these visits.

C. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every other weekend from Saturday at 10:00 a.m. until Sunday at 5:00 p.m. begining August 22, 1992 and continuing until this order has been vacated or amended.

Continued to Page 463

1991

Shafer, swick Bailey,
Irwin & Stack

James R. Irwin

601.

JOEL E. ROBERTS, SR.

vs.

KATHLEEN M. ROBERTS

Filed June 20, 1991
@ 10:11 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro co pd 9/3/91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

June 28, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record, and as such say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania on the above named defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated June 20, 1991. The Defendant acknowledged service on June 21, 1991 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

James R. Irwin

Aug. 29, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

602.

MICHAEL F. GARCHER

vs.

FRANCES A. GARCHER

Filed June 20, 1991
@ 11:46 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 4-1-93	9.00
Pro Co pd 4/16/93	5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

June 27, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed: BRUCE A. BARRETT, Esq., being duly sworn to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of a Complaint for Divorce under Section 201(c) was sent by registered mail to the Defendant at, R.D. #4, Box 208, Saegertown, Pennsylvania 16433, on June 20, 1991. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

3. The registered mail was sent to the Defendant at, R.D.#3, Box 208, Saegertown, Pennsylvania 15433, on June 20, 1991. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. The Defendant received said registered mail on June 21, 1991. Said receipt card number 320 791 304 is attached hereto and signed by the defendants. Frances A. Garcher.

Bruce A. Barrett,
Attorney for Plaintiff

Feb. 1, 1993 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT OF DEFENDANT, filed.

Mar. 31, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr 1, 1993 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit records, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

April 13, 1993 AND NOW, April 13, 1993, it is ordered and decreed that MICHAEL F. GARCHER, plaintiff, and FRANCES A. GARCHER, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Apr. 16, 1993, NOtice of Election to Resume Former Names filed.
To Be Known As: FRANCES A. HEFNER

1991

Gregory A. Keenan
Thomas, Truax, Spadafore,
Walker & Keenan
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

603.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

JAMES M. ONDERKO

vs.

JANINE T. ONDERKO

Pro Co pd 1-31-96 9.00

Filed June 21, 1991
@ 9:39 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	15.00

John Fuller, mediator
4 counts paid 7/17/91 -$60.00
state paid 7/17/91 20.00
Pro Co Pd 8/14/91 #9.00
Joan D. Mason, master
Pro Pd 3-12-92 - #9.00
William Pineo Mediator 152.00
Pro Co 6-25-92 9.00
William Pineo, Mediator 152.00
Pro Co Pd 4-27-93 #1483 9.00

8/14/91 Rec'd #75.00 mediation from Plff.

8/19/91 Rec'd #75.00 from dft. mediation fees

Sept 3, 1991 paid Co. Comm. #75.00

Sept 3, 1991 paid Co. Comm. #75.00

Received from Plaintiff Atty Dr 150 5492 for mediation fee

Received from defendant 6-12-92 76.00 med fee

Pd Plff 65.00 refund from deposit for mediation Ck#6901

Pd Pro per et ux 9.00 per entrance from Plff deposit

Pd C.C. Comm 6-25-92 med fee 152.00 Ck#6902

Rec 3-1-93 from Plff 150.00 meddp

Pd Atty $65.00 refund from deposit mediation Ck 7452 4-1-93

4-16-93 Rec from Dft Med Fee 76.00

Cost Cost on page 457

Record Continued on Page 457.....

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

June 21, 1991, Motion to Set Hearing on Custody, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, June 21, 1991, the parties herein, their counsel and the child Daija are ordered to appear before JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR, at such time and place as the Child Custody Mediator shall determine.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

July 9, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, July 2, 1991, comes Lisa M. Sherretts being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legal secretary of Attorney for James M. Onderko, Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P398 123 159; postmarked June 24, 1991, to the last known address of the Defendant, Janine T. Onderko, c/o Classy Kids Collection, P.O. Box 5248, Conneaut Lake, PA 16316.
3. That the Defendant, Janine T. Onderko, on June 25, 1991, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto.
4. Service of the Complaint against Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Lisa M. Sherretts

July 17, 1991, Answer and Counterclaim, adding counts of Alimony, Alimony pendente Lite, Counsel Fees, Costs and Expenses, filed. 1 copy (atty)

August 8, 1991 ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Aug 7, 1991, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esq., IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Daija Onderko and Brianna Onderko shall be shared between the father, James M. Onderko, and the mother, Janine T. Onderko, that is each parent shall be entitled full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such as the children's education, medical treatment and religious instruction.

II. Physical custody of Daija Onderko and Brianna Onderko shall be shared between the mother and father as follows:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody during the months of June, July and August, each year, from Friday at 4:00 p.m. until Monday, at 9:00 a.m. During the months of September through May, the father shall be entitled to h ave physical custody of the children from Friday at 4:00 p.m. until Monday at 8:00 a.m. The mother shall be entitled to have physical custody of the children from Monday morning at either 8:00 a.m. or 9:00 a.m. until Friday afternoon at 4:00 p.m.

B. The mother and father shall share custody of the children on the major holidays of Thanksgiving, Christmas, Easter and the children's birthdays, and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary the children shall be in the custody of the mother on those days in the morning and until 1:00 p.m. land in the custody of the father from 1:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m.

C . The holidays of the 4th of July, Memorial Day, and Labor Day shall be alternated with the father having custody of the children on Memorial Day and Labor Day on odd numbered years and the mother having the custody of the children on Memorial Day and Labor Day on even numbered years. The mother shall have custody of the children on the 4th of July on add numbered years and the father shall have custody of the children on the 4th of July on even numbered years.

D. Each parent shall be entitled to have both children in their exclusive custody for a two (2) wk. period each yr. provided that the parent give the other parent at least thirty (30) days notice of this two (2) wk. period. During the two (2) wk period, there shall be no weekend visits but this two (2) wk period shall not include the holidays mentioned above.

E. The partie s have agreed and therefore are ordered to attend counseling with Kathy Berkey. Such counseling shall include the children and should be for such a period of time as recommended by Kathy Berkey. The father shall paid the uninsured portion of these counseling costs.

F. Transportation of the children on weekend, holidays and other visits shall be divided with the parent receiving custody providing transportation, that is, if the father is to receive custody of the children on Friday, he shall pick the children up at the mother's home and if the mother is to receive custody of the children on Monday, she shall pick the children up at the father's home.

G. Weekend visits shall commence Friday, July 26, 1991.

H. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

I. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which kmay be harmful to the children's health or well being.

J. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding one or both of the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

K. When counseling of the parents and children is completed, Kathy Berky shall furnish the attorney for the mother and the attorney for the father a copy of her evaluation and/or recommendations and at such time either party may request a further mediation conference or hearing.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided between the parties.

P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

August 9, 1991 copies to Attys. J.Irwin, M.A. Kirkpatrick, J.Fuller, Plf. and Dft.

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

604.

John Spataro

BARBARA HORNER

vs.

RICHARD HORNER

Filed June 21, 1991
@ 10:00 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 9/30/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

2 copies (atty)

June 28, 1991 Verification of Service filed: I, Brian J. Lindsay, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for th above named Plaintiff, and that as such I am authorized to make this verificationl; that I did on the 21st day of June, 1991, send to the above named Defendnat, by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint filed at the above term and number; and that I did on the 27th day of Juane, 1991, receive a duly executed return receipt dated June 26th, 1991.
Date June 27, 1991 Brian J. Lindsay
Attorney for Plaintiff

Sept. 25, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 25, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 27, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Oct. 3, 1991 Stipulation and Consent Decree filed. AND NOW, this 3rd day of October, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Stipulation, this Court hereby directs that:

1. The Plaintiff shallhave sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and personalty currently in her possession and Defendant shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and personalty currently in his possesion, except as provided hereinbelow:

a) The parties shall maintain their respective pensions and IRA accounts that currently exist.

b) The parties shall divide the Mutual Fund that currently exists on a 50/50 basis.

2. The 1989 Dynasty shall be the sole and exclusive property of Defendant and Plaintiff will execute any and all documents necessary to effect this transfer within ten (10) days from the date hereof. Defendant shall be solely responsible for any and all outstanding obligations due and owing on said vehicle, including but not limited to: bank loans, insurance, registration, title, maintenance and inspection. In the event, however, that Derfendant should sell the 1989, the net proceeds from said sale shall be distributed as follows: (1) to satisfy any and all outstanding obligations due andowing on said vehicle; and (2) that net remaining sale proceeds shall be divided between the parties on a 50/50 basis.

The 1984 Cutlass Cierra shall be the sole and exclusive property of Defendant and Plaintiff will execute any and all documents necessary to effect this transfer wihtin ten (10) days from the date hereof. Defendnat shall be soley responsible for any and all outstanding obligations due and owing on said vehicle, including but not limited to: insurance, registration, title, maintenance and inspection.

The Plaintiff shall be the sole and exclusive owner of 1 1980 VW diesel Rabbit that is no longer operable. Plaintiff shall receive any and all proceeds resulting from the salvage of said vehicle.

3. Plaintiff shall have th esole and exclusive use of the marital residence, located at 848 Martin Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania, until June 30, 1997 at which time the marital home shall be distributed as follows:

a) Plaintiff shallhave the first option to purchase the Defendant's one-half interest in the marital residence, which sum shall be one-half of the appraised market value.

b) In the event Plaintiff doe snot elect to purchase the Defendant's interest in the marital home as set forth in subpragraph 3(a) above, defendnat shallhave the option to purchase the Plaintiff's one-half interest in the marital residence, which sum shall be one-half of the appraised market value.

c) In the event Plaintiff and/or Defendant do not elect to exercise their options under subparagraph 3(a) and (b) aobfe, respectively, then the marital residence shall be listed for sale with a re altor. The realtor shall be mutually selected by Plaintiff and defendant. The net proceeds form the sale of the marital residence shall be divide dbetween the plaintiff and defendant on a 50/50 basis.

During th etime that Plaintiff is in possession of the marital residence, she shall be responsible for payment of the outstanding mortgage payment in the sum of $201.00 per month, the home equity loan payment of $156.00 per month, utilities, insurance and routine maintenance and keep the home in good repair, normal wear and tear excepted.

Any major maintenance on the residence, during Plaintiff's possession, shall be shared equally between the Plaintiff and Defendant, including but not limited to: replacement of roof, furnace, additional of sewge and water and any and all other major maintenance that may exceed the sum of Five Hundred ($500.00) Dollars.

4. The bonds of matrimony that have existed between the parties is hereby dissolved.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R Miller, J

Oct. 4, 1991 Copy of order put in Atty's Lindsay box.

Oct. 3, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, October 3, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Barbara Horner, plaintiff, and Richard Horner, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 8, 1992 PETITION FOR CONTEMPT and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 7th day of April 1992, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petition, a Rule is entered upon the Respondent to show cause, if any he has, why he should not be held in contempt and why the prayer of the Petitioner should not be granted.

THIS RULE IS RETURNABLE ON THE 5th day of May, 1992 in Courtroom No.1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa at 9:00 a.m.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

1 copy (atty)

Continued on Page 54A

Barbara Horner
vs.
Richard Horner

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 54
of Record
A.D. 1991 - 504

****

May 7, 1992 MOTION TO CONTINUE GENERALLY and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, May 4, 1992, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing, this matter is continued generally in order to allow the parties to negotiate a resolution between themselve.

FOR THE COURT:
Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm.

May 13, 1992 copy in box for B. Lindsay & J. Spataro

54
-6-

1991

1991

Louis J. Stack
Shafer, swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack

605.

WICKES LUMBER COMPANY

vs.

DAVID SUNDEAN, INDIVIDUALLY AND SCOTT V. SUNDEAN, INDIVIDUALLY AND d/b/a SUNDEAN BUILDERS, A PARTNERSHIP

Filed June 21, 1991
@ 10:07 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	64.50

COMPLAINT

3 copies (sheriff)

July 31, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared William Parker, Deputy, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 22nd day of Ju ly, 1991, at 1505 hours he served Complaint filed at AD 1991-605, Crawford Co., Pa, upon Defendant David Sundean, individually and dba Sundean Builders at 3240 Draketown Road, Erie Co., Edinboro, Pa by making known the contents thereof to David Sundean and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford County.

William Parker, Deputy
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared William Parker, Deputy, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 22nd day of July , 1991, at 1505 hrs. he served a complaint filed at AD 1991-605, Crawford Co., Pa., upon defendant Scott V. Sundean, individually and dba Sundean Builders at 3240 Draketown Road, Erie Co., Edinboro, Pa., by making known the contents thereof to David Sundean, adult in charge and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford County.

William Parker, Deputy
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared William Parker, Deputy, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 22nd day of July, 1991, at 1505 hrs. he served a Complaint at AD 605 1991 Crawford County Pa. upon dft. Sundean Builders at 3240 Draketown Rd. Erie County,Edinboro, Pa. by making known the contents thereof to David Sundean Adult in charge and handing to and leaving with him the cert. copy of the Complaint received from Crawford County.

William Parker, dep. Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff

SERVED David Sundean by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served July 22, 1991.

SERVED Scott V. Sundean by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie county, Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served July 22, 1991.

SERVED Sundean Builders by deputizing the Sheriff Of Erie County, Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served July 22, 1991.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

R. Charles Thomas
Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker

606.

Watts and Pepicelli
Theodore H. Watts

WILLIAM T. BOOKAMER

vs.

CINDY S. BOOKAMER

Filed June 21, 1991
@ 10:37 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
John Fuller, mediator
Pro Co Pd 1-17-92 ~~$75.00~~ 90.00

Received 1-17-92 Atty Med. Costs $75.00

Pd 3-11-92 Craw Co Comm Ch#6700 75.00 mediation

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Cindy S. Bookamer, Dft., have been sued in court to obtain custody fo the following child: Samanatha L. Bookamer.

You are hereby ordered to appear in person at such time and at such place as is hereby designated by the Custody Mediator hereinafter appointed for a conference.

You are further ordered to bring with you the following named child. Samanatha L. Bookamer.

JOHN FULLER, ESQ. Is hereby appointed as Custody Mediator in this case.

If you fail to appear at the time specified by the Custody mediator hereby appointed by this order or to bring the child an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a Warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot affore one, go to and telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Comm.
Crawford CountyCourthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

July 9, 1991 Appearance of Watts and Pepicelli By Theodore H. Watts, Attorney for defendant filed.

Dec. 11, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, dEc. 6th, 1991, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esq., IT IS ORDERED AND DECREEDAS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Samantha Lee Bookamer shall be shred between the father and the mother, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the child, such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject child.

II. Physical custody of Samantha Lee Bookamer shall be with her mother, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every Summer, beginning with the Summer of 1992, from June 15th to Aug. 15th, each year.

B. Beginning with the Christmas holiday in 1991, the father shall be entitled to have the child in his custody, every Christmas vacation, for a period not to exceed ten (10) days. Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, this ten (10) day period shall commence Dec. 26th and end January 4th.

C. Whenever the father is visiting in Crawford County he shall be entitled to have the child in his custody for a period not to exceed seventy-two (72) hours, provided he gives the mother at least seven (7) days notice of the intended visit.

D. Transportation of the child at Christmas and Summer visits shall be provided as follows: The mother and father shall equally divide transportation costs.

E. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

F. Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or well being.

G. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the child and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference will be divided as follows: The father shall pay one-half (½) of the cost thereof and the remaining one-half (½) shall be paid by Crawford County.

P. Richard Thomas, S. Judge

Dec. 16, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. R. Charles Thomas, Theodore Watts, John Fuller; copy mailed to Plaintiff, Defendant.

1991

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
E. Max Weiss

Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote
Stephen M. Houghton

Plowman and Spiegel
Kenneth W. Lee

607.

Goehring, Rutter & Boehm
Charles A. Merchant,

ASSOCIATED CONTRACTORS OF CONNEAUT LAKE, INC. AND THE AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY

vs.

TRU-WELD GRATING, INC.

Filed June 21, 1991
@ 11:32 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

PETITION TO STAY ARBITRATION AND TO DETERMINE ARBITRABILITY

June 24, 1991 AND NOW, June 24, 1991, upon presentation of a petition to stay arbitration and to detrmine arbitrability a rule to show cause is granted and directe to the respondent to show cause, if it any it has, why said petition should not be granted.

Said rule is returnable for heariang and/or argument for June 26, 1991 at 10:30 o'clock am in Courtroom No 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

A true and correct copy of this Order shall be served upon counsel for the respon ent, forthwith, by counsel for the petitioners.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

June 26, 1991 Response in Opposition to Petition to Stay Arbitration and to Determine ARbitrability, filed.

July 3, 1991 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, Jluly 2, 1991, the petition to stay the arbotration and to determine the arbitrability as to certain items of damage is refused.

The parties are ordered and directed to enter into a stipulation to be presented to the arbitrators prior to the arbitraiton to the effect that the parties agree that if the arbitrators award damages to the owner, that the arbitrators will enter a total award but specifically break down that award and identify a dollar amount for each item of damage so that the Court, in post award proceedings, will have an identity of the elements of damage awarded and the dollar amounts assigned thereto.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 3, 1991 Copies to Max Weiss and Charles Merchant

1991

Jack, Kookogey & Felton
Robert J. Felton

608.

TERRY L. WONDERLING

vs.

LORI
~~LAURIE~~ L. WONDERLING

Filed June 21, 1991
@ 12:01 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
state paid 10.00
Pro Co. pd 10-7-91 9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, PLAINTIFF'S AFFIDAVIT 201(d) DIVORCE CODE

Sept. 11, 1991, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Robert J. Felton, Esq. atty. at Law, 144 W. Spring St. Titusville, Pa. do hereby cert. that , in my capacity as atty. for plff. inthe above matter, served a cert. copy ofthe Divorce Complaint in this matter on July 6, 1991 by sending dft. the same by cert. mail NO. P 297 231 645 ret. rec. req. A complaint was mailed to the dft. on Wed. July 3, 1991 and was accepted byLouise HaNSON, AS reflected in the rec. for mailing and the ret. rec. attached hereto.

The said defendant called the undersinged atty. by phone on July 8, 1991, and advise that she had received a copy ofthe Complaint and was inthe process of contacting an atty.

Robert J. Felton ESq.

Oct 4, 1991 Affidavit Re Spelling of Defednant's Given Name filed. Name should be Lori, not Laurie).

Oct. 7, 1991 Affidavit of Service of Notice of Intention to Request entry of a divorce decree filed.

Oct. 7, 1991 Upon Praecipe of plaintiff attorney for Review under Section 201(d) of divorce code, this case moved to Court.

Oct. 16, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, October 14, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Terry L. Wonderling, plaintiff, and Lori L. Wonderling, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

Barber, Whitmire & Barber
John J. Barber

609.

William Kuhar

ROBERT P. CERVONE

vs.

PA. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Filed June 21, 1991
@ 1:28 p.m.
Tax & Entry paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

APPEAL FROM AN ACTION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

3 copies (atty)

ORDER:
AND NOW, June 21, 1991, hearing in the foregoing matter is set for Oct. 24, 1991 at 9:00 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 and 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The appellant shall give the appellee sixty (60) days notice of said hearing by copy of this Petition and Order. BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

June 28, 1991 Certificate Of Service filed. I, the undersigned hereby certify that on the 27th day of June, 1991, a copy of the within document was duly served by mailing same to the designated office listed below by certified Mail, Return Receipt requested.
To: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
PA Department of Transportation
Bureau of Driver Licensing
Harrisburg, PA 17123

John J. Barber

Oct 24, 1991 Motion for Continuance and order filed: AND NOW, to-with: this 24th day of October, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion for Continuance, there being no objection to the continuance of this matter by counsel for the defendant, it is hereby ORDERED that the hearing on this matter is continued from Thursday, October 24, 1991 to Nov 26, 1991 at 9:00 a.m. BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.
Oct 30, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Attys. Barber and Kuhar.

Oct 29, 1991 Motion to Quash Appeal and order filed: AND NOW, to-wit: this 29 day of October, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, it is hereby ORDERED that a Rule be issued against appellant, Robert P. Cervone, to show cause, if any, as to why the above-captioned appeal should not be quashed for having been untimely filed.
Said rule is returnable at the time of the hearing on this appeal, which is not set for November 26, 1991 at 9:00 a.m.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.
Oct 30, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Attys. Kuhar and Barber.

Nov. 12, 1991 Motion For Continuance and Order filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 12 day of November, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion for Continuance, there being no objection to the continuance of this matter by counsel for the Defendant, it is hereby ORDERED that the hearing on this matter is continued form Tuesday, November 26, 1991 to February 25, 1992 at 10:30 a.m. BY THE COURT,
1 copy atty Barber P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Feb. 27, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Feb. 25, 1992, the motion of the Dept. of Transportation to quash the appeal of the appellant is granted, and the appeal is quashed.
The Court recommends, however, that the suspension in this matter not begin until or after June 1, 1992.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
Mar. 2, 1992 sent copy to Attys. W. Kuhar & J. Barber

610.

DOREEN M. GEIGER

vs.

RODGER L. DENNIS

Filed June 21, 1991
@ 2:52 p.m.

~~Tax~~ & entry Pd 6-3-92 ~~$50.50~~ 26.75
state Pd 3-9-92 5.00
State Tax Bd .50
Sheriff's Costs ~~21.00~~ 19.50 1.00

see court order *

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 21st day of June, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff ~~or any of the minor children named in the Petition.~~
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff in any manner. Possession of Plaintiff's residence is awarded to plaintiff Defendant may not go to that residence. Plaintiff shall make arrangements to deliver defendants personal belongings to him.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for July 3, 1991, at 3:30 p M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

June 24, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Rodge L. dennis personally on June 21, 1991 at 3:00 PM in his car on Rt. 102, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. STewart, Sheriff

* July 10, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, July 3, 1991, at the time scheduled for hearing in this matter the Plaintiff appeared with counsel and the Defendant appeared without counsel. The parties agreed that the following Order would be entered, but the Defendant did not admit that he engaged in the conduct set forth in the Complaint. Based upon his agreement, however, the following Order is entered:

1. The Defenant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff in any way nor shall he procure others to do so.
2. Possession of the Plaintiff's residence shall remain with Plaintiff and the Defendant shall not go to that residence under any circumstances.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this order shall be delivered to the Titusville Police Department and to County Control.
5. This Order shall remain in full force and effect for one (1) year from this date or until July 2, 1992 unless modified or terminated by further Order of Court.
6. The Defendant shall pay one-half (½) of the Court Costs as he is on public assistance. He shall pay $42.75 to the Prothonotary of Crawford County no later than July 31, 1991. The County shall pay the remaining one-half (½) of costs.
7. Until the Defendant's address is changed, any mail that goes to that address shall be received by th ePlaintiff and delivered to the defendant by some appropriate means.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 15, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Defendant & Titusville Police and copies given to Co. Control and put in Atty. Sullivan's box.

1991

Jorden and White
Harry Faber White, II

611.

VICKY L. PARDEE

vs.

WILLIAM E. PARDEE

Filed June 21, 1991
@ 3:23 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
1 count Pd 7/1/91	15.00
state Pd 7/1/91	5.00
Pro Co. pd 11-9-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 6, 1992 Motion to Withdraw Claim and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 6th day of November, 1992, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, Count I relating to equitable distribution of marital property, is hereby withdrawn from the above captioned divorce.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Nov. 13, 1992 Copy of Order to Atty. Harry White, Dennis Kuftic.

Nov. 9, 1992 Acceptance of Service filed. AND NOW, this 22nd day of June, 1991, comes Dennis G. Kuftic, Esq., Attorney for William E. Pardee, and on behalf of his client, swears that he accepted service of a Divorce Complaint under Section 201(c) , and a Notice to Defend and Claim Rights on teh 22nd day of June 1991, in the above captioned matter, with the full force and effect of service under the Divorce Code of PA.

Dennis G. Kuftic, Esq.

Nov. 9, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Nov. 9, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Nov. 9, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney for Review under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Nov. 19, 1992 AND NOW, November 17, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that **VICKY L. PARDEE**, Plaintiff, and **WILLIAM E. PARDEE**, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

62

1991

Douglas W. Ferguson

612.

MacDonald Illig, JOnes & Britton
Marcia H. Haller
for K-Mart Corp. and Zamias'

CHARLES WHISTLER AND SARAH WHISTLER, HIS WIFE

vs.

GEORGE D. ZAMIAS, AN INDIVIDUAL AND STAD ASSOCIATES, A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP COMPOSED OF DAMIAN G. ZAMIAS, SAMUEL C. ZAMIAS AND STEPHEN G. ZAMIAS, AND K-MART CORPORATION

Filed June 21, 1991
@ 4:01 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Sheriffs Costs $112.50

Pro.Co. pd 12/14/92 6.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

July 17, 1991, Appearance of MacDonald, Illig, Jones and Britton, by Marcia H. Haller, attorneys for defendant K-Mart Corporation, filed.

July 24, 1991, Upon praecipe of attorneys for defendant K-Mart, Rule entered upon the plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

Oct. 11, 1991 Complaint filed. 3 copies (atty)

Nov. 8, 1991 Appearance of MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton by Marcia Haller for George D. Zamias, an Individual and Stad Associates, a General Partnership composed of Damian G. Zamias, Samuel C. Zamias and Stephen G. Zamias filed.

Nov. 25, 1991 WRIT RETURNED. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Raymond Bargas who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 26th day of June, 1991 at 2:43 P.M., he served Writ of Summons, filed at NO. 612 Term, 1991, Crawford County Pa, upon defendant George D. Zamias an indiv. and STAD Assoc., George D. Zamias, George G. Zamias by serving Mary Ann Zamias, Stephen Zamias and Samuel C. Zamias at 300 Market St., Johnstown, Cambria County, Pa. By making known the contents thereof to Mary Ann Zamias, co-owner and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Writ of Summons received from Crawford Cofunty. So answers,

R. A. Bargen, Deputy Sheriff
Jay Roberts Sheriff, Cambria Co.

SERVED K-Mart Corp. by Certified Mail addressed to K-Mart Corp., 3100 West Big Beaver Rd., Troy, Michigan 48084, on June 27, 1991. Signed return receipt is attached.

SERVED George D. Zamias an Individual and STAD Associate, by deputizing the Sheriff of Cambria County, PA, as per Affidavit of Service attached. Served on Juen 26, 1991.

SERVED George D. Zamias by deputizing the Sheriff of Cambria County, PA. as per h is Affidavit of Service attached. Served on June 26, 1991.

SERVED Damian G. Zamias by deputizing the Sheriff of Cambria County, Pa as per h is Affidavit of Service attached. Served on June 26, 1991.

SERVED Stephen Zamias by deputizing the Sheriff of Cambria County, Pa as per h is Affidavit of Service attached. Served on June 26, 1991.

SERVED Samuel C. Zamias by deputizing the Sheriff of Cambria Codunty, Pa as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on June 26, 1991.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 3, 1991 Answer and New Matter filed.

Dec 11, 1991 Substitution of Verification filed.

Dec. 12, 1991 Reply To New Matter filed.

Aug. 12, 1992 Motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories to Plaintiffs (First Set) and Responses to Requests for Production of Documents Directed to Plaintiffs (First Set) filed.

Dec. 14, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, the above case marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Donald E. Lewis 613.	PATRICIA P. HOLBEN, INDIVIDUALLY AND PATRICIA P. HOLBEN AS EXECUTRIX/ADMINISTRATRIX OF THE ESTATE OF BILL P. HOLBEN, DECEASED vs. THOMAS G. GRAHAM Filed June 21, 1991 @ 4:17 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.50 state paid 5.00	COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE 2 copies (atty)

1991

Bruce A. Barrett

614.

PATRICIA M. ENGSTROM

vs.

KENNETH E. ENGSTROM

COMPLAINT FOR VISITATION

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

Filed June 21, 1991
@ 4:18 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
William Pineo, mediator	
Sheriff's costs	34.40

9/6/91 Reed. $102.00 From Plaintiff
Oct 1, 1991 paid Co. Comm. $102.00

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Kenneth E. Engstrom, Dft. have been sued in court to obtain visitation of the minor children Jason Neal Engstrom and Jessica Nicole Engstrom.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by WILLIAM PINEO, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order of visitation may be entered against you or the ocurt may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot affored one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. SEr. tothe Public Comm. c/o Prothonotary, Crawford County Courthouse, meadville, Pa. 16335 814 724-4636.

Date: 6/21/91 BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

July 31, 1991 Sheriff's REturn filed. Before me,the undersigned authority, personally appeared David E. Hogue, Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 19th day of July, 1991 at 9:10 P.M., he served Order of Court and Complaint for Visitation upon Kenneth E. Engstrom at his residence, Pine Grove Trailer Park, Mercer, PA 16137 by handing to Kenneth E. Engstrom personally a true and attested copy of the original order and complaint and making known to him the contents thereof.

By: David E. Hogue, Deputy Sheriff
So answers,
William H. Romine, Jr., Sheriff
Mercer County, PA.

Aug. 9, 1991 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 8 day of Aug., 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter andupon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. This case be dismissed.
2. Costs and fees of this Mediation, wihc are fixed at $102.00 are assessed to the plaintiff in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.
3. Either par ty may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Aug. 14, 1991 Copies of order to William Pineo, Bruce Barrett and Kenneth Engstrom

1991

615.

MARTHA DELP

vs.

CONRAD DELP

7/1/91 Recd $ 33.50 From Plaintiff

7/1/91 Paid $33.50 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff LL #6303

Filed June 24, 1991
@ 2:33 p.m.

Tax & entry Pd 7/3/91 $50.50 ✓
state Pd 7/3/91 5.00
sheriffs costs 33.50
Dno. Co Pd 7/3/91- $5.00 ✓

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT
3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 24 day of June, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff ~~or any of the minor children named in the Petition.~~
2. Special Conditions: Plaintiff is awarded possession of the parties residence. Defendant shall not live there. Defendant shall not contact plaintiff in any manner.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for July 3, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

June 27, 1991, Sheriffs Return field. SERVED Conrad Delp personally, by a member of the Titusville, Police Dept., on June 24, 1991 at 6;00 p.m. in the City of Titusville./
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

July 3, 1991, UPon praecipe of plaintiff, the above captioned action is hereby marked discontinued, as the undersigned does not want to proceed further with it.

1991

Ely & Smith
Theodore B. Ely

616.

~~Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett Inc.~~ withdrawn
~~Timothy M. Sennett~~

HARBOR LEASING ASSOCIATES, II, A MARYLAND CORPORATION

vs.

JAMES E. KOCAN, INDIVIDUALLY AND d/b/a DAILEY & KOCAN CHEVROLET OLDSMOBILE, INC. A PENNSYLVANIA CORPORATION

Filed June 24, 1991
@ 3:33 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50 ✓
state paid 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 39.50

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

July 18, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Jamed E. Kocan on July 3, 1991 at 1:45 PM by handing copy Complaint to Thomas Dailey, his authorized agent, at his place of business, Dailey and Kocan Chevrolet Oldsmobile, Inc., 1198 Hydetown Road, Titusville, Crawford County, PA. Signed Acceptance of Service attached. Served dailey and Kocan Chevrolet Oldsmobile on July 3, 1991 at 1:46 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Thomas Dailey, part owner, at the place of business, 1198 Hydetown Toad, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sher.
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 27, 1991 Notice of default to Timothy M. Sennett filed.

Sept. 27, 1991 Notice of Default to James E. Kocan filed.

Sept. 27, 1991 notice of default to Dailey & Kocan Chevrolet Oldsmobile Inc. filed.

Oct. 7, 1991 answer filed.

Aug. 7, 1992, MOtion to Withdraw Appearance and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit, this 7 day of Aug. 1992, upon consideration of the foregoing motion filed by Timothy M. Sennett and the firm of Knox, McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett PC, itis hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Timothy M. Sennett and Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett PC may withdraw their appearance on behalf of Dailey and Kocan Chevrolet and Oldsmobile, Inc. and James E. Kocan, ind., inregard to the above referenced case. Gordon R. Miller, J.
Aug. 7, 1992, copies of order to Atty. Ely and T. Sennett.

Mar. 21, 1995 Motion for Judgment of Pleadings and/or SummaryJudgment, filed.

Mar. 21,1995 Verification in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment, filed.

Mar. 21,1995 Upon Praecipe of Theodore B.Ely,Esquire,Attorney for Plaintiff, the above captioned matter is placed on the next available Argument List.

ON APRIL,1995 ARGUMENT LIST

Apr.17, 1995 Notice of Motion, Certificate of Notice and ORDER filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 17 day of April, 1995, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion for Continuance in the above set forth matter, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the argument in the above set forth matter shall be continued to May, 1995,Argument list.

Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

4-17-95 Faxed Ely, Mailed Felton

ON MAY, 1995 ARGUMENT LIST

Jun. 9, 1995 Memorandum and Order filed. ORDER. AND NOW, June 8, 1995, for the reasons set forth in the attached memorandum, it is ordered as follows:
1. The plaintiff's motion for summaryjudgment is denied.
2. The plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings is granted in part and denied in part:
(a) The defendant Dailey & Kocan Chevrolet Oldsmobile, Inc. is in default of the equipment lease entered into between the plaintiff and the defendants on May 12, 1989.
(b) The amount of damages to which the plaintiff is entitled remains a disputed material fact.
3. This Court will set a hearing on the petition of any party to determine the amount of damages to which the plaintiff is entitled as a result of Dailey & Kocan's default of the equipment lease.
4. The plaintiff may file another motion for summaryjudgment on the issue of damages provided it supports such motion with proper affidavits and argues such motion under the applicable law.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

6-9-95 Dist.to Ely. 6-14-95 Mailed to Deft.

June 28, 1995, Motion to Amend Motionfor Judgment on Pleadings and/or Summary Judgment and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit, this 27 day of June 1995, upon consideration of the foregoing motion to amend plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings and/or summary judgment, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said motion is granted and the defendant James E. Kocan, shall be and hereby is deleted from said motion and from the court's consideration of plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings and/or summary judgment in the above set forth matter.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

6/29/95 faxed to Felton and Ely.

1991

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

617.

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
David L. Hotchkiss

THE PLANNING CORPORATION

vs.

CHRIS A. LEHMAN, INDIVIDUALLY AND d/b/a LEHMAN'S GARAGE

Filed June 25, 1991
@ 12:49 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	34.00
Pro. Co. pd 8/21/92	9.00
Pro Co Pd 9-10-92	5.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

July 17, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Chris A. Lehman personally on July 3, 1991 at 11:35 a.m. at his place of business Lehman's Garage, 117 North Main St. Springboro, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED Lehman's Garage on July 3, 1991 at 11:36 a.m. by hand a copy of the Complaint to Chris Lehman, Owner, at business, 117 North Main St. Springboro, Crawford County, pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. stewart, Sheriff

August 5, 1991 DEFENDANT'S ANSWER, NEW MATTER AND COUNTER CLAIM TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT filed.

Sept. 24, 1991, Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's New matter and Counter Claim, filed. 1 copy (atty)

Oct. 30, 1991 CERTIFICATE OF READINESS filed.

ON FEBRUARY 1991 TRIAL LIST

Dec. 4, 1991 Acceptance of Service of copy of Plaintiff's Response to Dft's New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

Feb. 18, 1992 ASSIGNMENT OF DATE FOR NONJURY TRIAL filed. FEb. 14, 1992: Nonjury trial in the above case is hereby set for Apr. 9, 1992, at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom NO. L. FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman
Feb. 21, 1992 copy in box for B. Barrett & D. Hotchkiss

Mar. 26, 1992 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CONTINUE A NON-JURY TRIAL PER PA.R.C.P. 216 and ORDER filed. ORDER: And Now, this 26th day of March, 1992, upon consideratioon of the foregoing Motion, it is hereby ordered: Non-Jury trial reset for April 21, 1992, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2. FOR THE COURT:
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.
Mar. 30, 1992 copy in box for B. Barrett & D. Hotchkiss

Apr. 24, 1992 AWARD filed. AND NOW, April 23, 1992 an award is made in favor of the Plaintiff and agianst the defendant in the sum of $682, with interest at the legal rate from July 31, 1992, and costs.
On the several counts of counterclaim, an award is made in faovr of the Plaintiff and against the defendnat, an no damages are awarded. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
L-32-182 Robert L. Walker, J
Apr. 24, 1992 copies given to Atty.'s

Apr. 24, 1992 Exhibits filed.

And Now, Aug. 21, 1992 at 11:14 A.M. upon praecipe of Plaintiff;s attorney, judgment is hereby entered against the Defendant based upon the award of court dated April 23, 1992.

Debt	$682.00
Judgment	
Barbara H. King	
Prothonotary	

L-32 - 182;
Entered Aug. 21, 1992
Abstract to Atty.Barrett.

EXECUTION - 1992 - 79

Sept. 10, 1992 This Judgement satisfied (see paper filed).

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

618.

CAROLYN KEBERT

vs.

LARRY G. KEBERT

Filed June 26, 1991
@ 9:39 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
3 counts paid	45.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	20.00
Pro pd. 1/10/92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY, CHILD SUPPORT, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, REASONABLE COUNSEL FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, POSSESSION

1 copy (atty)

July 12, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed: AND NOW, July 10th, 1991 comes Constance L. Deitman being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is legal secretary of Attorney for Carolyn Kebert, Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by certified mail, P398123136; postmarked June 26, 1991, to the last known address of the Defendant, Larry G. Kebert, RD#7, Meadville, Pa 16335.

3. That the Defendant, Larry G. Kebert, on June 28, 1991 personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above-matter and which is attached hereto.

4. Service of the Complaint against Defendant is there-effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Constance L. Deitman

Sept. 24, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Oct. 17, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Dec. 17, 1991 MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT as to Divorce, Equitable distribution of Property, Child Support and Alimony, filed.

Dec. 17, 1991 Notice of withdrawal fo Consent to divorce filed.

Jan. 10, 1992 Reinstatement of Consent to Divorce filed.

Jan. 10, 1992 Addendum To Agreement filed.

Jan. 10, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for Review Under Section 3301(c) of Divorce Code this case moved to Court.

Jan. 21, 1992 D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .

And, Now, January 20, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that Carolyn Kebert, Plaintiff, and Larry G. Kebert, defendant, are divorced frm the bonds of matrimony.

It is further ordered that the parties' Memorandum and Addendum thereto are incorporated herein and made a part hereof and shall be fully enforceable under the provisions of the Divorce Code.

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

1991

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore
Walker, Vardaro

Anthony J. Vardaro

619.

BETH ANN PENGAL

vs.

KEVIN E. PENGAL

Filed June 26, 1991
@ 9:55 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 10/24/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c)-DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

July 22, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Vicki Bradshaw, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the secretary to Anthony J. Vardaro, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff in this action and certifies that on June 26, 1991 she sent a true and correct ocpy of Complaint in this matter to Kevin E. Pengal at R.D. #6, Box 164A, Meadville, PA 16335 by certified mail, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, delivery restricted to addressee only, by certified mail no. P 398 121 438 which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A'/ Thereafter on July 1, 1991 it was returned to Anthony J. vardaro, Esquire, return receipt card bearing the same number and signe dby Kevin E. Pengal said return receipt card being attached hereto as Exhibit "A"/

Vicki Bradshaw

Oct. 1, 1991 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Oct. 18, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Oct. 22, 1991 upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Oct. 28, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, October 28, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Beth Ann Pengal, pl aintiff, and Kevin E. Pengal, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1991

Watts & Pepicelli, PC
Alan L. Pepicelli

Anthony J. Vardaro
Thomas, Truax, Spadafore
Vardaro & Walker

620.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Paul D. Shafer Jr.

ITALIAN CIVIC CLUB

vs.

KEBERT CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

Filed June 26, 1991
@ 9:58 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	23.00
Pro Co Pd 5-13-92	6.00

COMPLAINT IN REPLEVIN

1 copy (sheriff)

July 11, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Kebert Construction Company on June 27, 1991 at 2:30 p.m. by handing copy of Complaint to Albert Allegretti, Secretary/Treasurer and person in charge at place of business, R.D. #6, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answer

Robert M. Stevens, Dep. Sher.
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

Aug. 26, 1991 Defendant's Preliminary Objections to Complaint filed.

Mar. 9, 1992 Notice of Service of Requests for Production of Documents and Interrogatories filed.

May 6, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney the above captioned case is settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Prather, Prather & Pineo
Mark D. Prather

621.

EDNA ENSSLE AND FRED T. ENSSLE

vs.

ROBERT C. STRAW, EXECUTOR OF THE ESTATE OF CHARLES P. STRAW, DECEASED

Filed June 26, 1991
@ 10:53 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Pro Co Pd 9/20/91 9.00

COMPLAINT - ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

June 28, 1991 Motion for Service by Publication and Order filed: NOW, this 24th day of June, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing motion and affidavit, it appearing that the identity and whereabouts of defendants is unknown to plaintiffs following diligent search and inquiry, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that service be made upon defendants by publication in the Meadville Tribune and Crawford County Legal Journal, one time only, to include a notice requiring the defendants to file answer to said complaint within twenty (20) days following publication thereof.

Per Curiam,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge
July 1, 1991 Copy of order put in Atty. Prather's box.

July 31, 1991 Motion for Judgment, Affidavit of Service, and Order filed. ORDER: NOW, this 31st day of July 1991, an affidavit of service having b een filed, defendants having filed no answer thereto, the court, upon motion of attorneys for plaintiffs, hereby orders and decrees that the defendants above named, their heirs, successors and assigns, be and they are forever barred from asserting any ritht, lien, title, interest or claim, in and to the premises situate in Venango Township Crawford County, pa. bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

BEGINNING at a stonecorner on public road and corner of land now or formerly of Jay Rubner; thence north by line of land of said Rubner to land now or formerly of Christian Sintlinger; thence west by land of said Sintlinger so far as shall be necessary to include twenty two (22) acres of land, neat measure by running back said public road parallel with east boundary thereof; thence eastwardly by said public road to the place of beginning, and containing twenty -two (22) acres of land, neat measure.

It is ordered, adjudged and decreed that defendants, their heirs, successors and assigns be and they are hereby forever barred from asserting any right, title, lien, interest or claim, in the above described premises inconsistent with the interest or claim of plaintiffs as set forth herein; or from impeaching, issuing or maintaining any actions attaching the same, or any part thereof, unless defendants shall enter their appearance and contest this action within thirty days (30) following entry of this order, upon the expiration of which this order shall become final and absolute.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Recorder of Deeds of Crawford County Pa. is hereby directed to enter satisfaction of mortgage dated May 25, 1911 recorded May 27, 1911, in Crawford County MortgageBook 80, page 576, on behalf of Robert C. Straw, executor of the estate of Charles P. Straw, deceased, mortgagee.

Per Curiam,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

July 31, 1991 copy to M. Prather Esq.

Sept 20, 1991, And now, at 2:39 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Final Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendants for want of an answer in accordance with the order of court on July 31, 1991.
Judgment entered - 9/20/91
S - 250
S - 35

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1991

622.

Douglas Dinberg

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

LAUREN A. LONG

Filed June 26, 1991

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976-Sect. 303

ORDER: The court finds that the patient is severely mentally disabled and in need of treatment; shall receive inpatient treatment, at Oil City Area Health center or other appropriate facility for period of not to exceed 20 days. Patient represented by Douglas dinberg, esq.; A true and correct copy served upon Atty. Dinbert. Bruce L. Getsinger, esq. MHRO

1991

Mazzotta, McCague & Associates PC
Donald S. Mazzotta

623.

FIRST DEPOSIT NATIONAL BANK

vs.

TAMA L. HETRICK

Filed June 26, 1991
@ 2:42 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	27.00
Pro Co Pd 8/3/92	9.00

COMPLAINT - CIVIL ACTION

June 17, 1992 Complaint reinstated.

June 26, 1992 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Tama L. Hetrick on June 25, 1992 at 12:25 PM by handing a copy of the Complaint to Shirley Malinowski, Adult in Charge at the residence, R.D. #2, Box 912, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA.
so answers, Dale A. Collins, Deputy Sheriff
Robert M. Stevens, Sheriff

And Now, Aug. 3, 1992, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with affidavit of non military service and cert. of mailing of notice of intent to take default judgment attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer, in the sum of Five thousand Nine Hundred Ninety Two and 19/100 Dollars, with int. a ccruing on total bal. of $5,992.19 at rate of 21.9% from date of judgment.
H - 165

Debt ---- $5,992.19
Int. from 7/28/92
JUDGMENT
Barbara H. King,
Prothonotary

Aug. 6, 1992, notice of entry of judg. mailed to defendant.

1991

Thomas, Truax , Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker

Emil m. Spadafore Jr.
Gregory Keenan

624.

Watts and Pepicelli
Theodore H. Watts

SEYMOUR ELLIS AGENCIES, INC.
d/b/a PREMIER HARDWOODS
INTERNATIONAL, INC.

vs.

HOMERWOOD CORPORATION

Filed June 26, 1991
@ 3:40 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	33.50
Arb. pd 7-9-93	15.00
Pro.Co. pd 3/22/94	6.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

July 19, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Homerwood Corporation on July 3, 1991 at 2:00 pm by handing copy of Complaint to Jeff Presto, Foreman and person in charge at place of business, 1026 Industrial Drive, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 7, 1991 Defendant's Answers to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Oct. 7, 1991, Certifi cate of Service of Defendant's Answers filed.

Sept. 3, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for reference to the arbitrators, the following arbitrators are sworn: Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Ch.
William Pineo
~~Nancy Sennett~~ John Gagliotti

Sept. 3, 1992, Certification of Service of above praecipe filed.

Dec. 3, 1992, Arbitrators take the Qualifying Oath.

Mar. 22, 1994 Upon Praecipe of Gregory A.Keenan, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff, the above-captioned case settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Watts & Pepicelli PC
Theodore H. Watts

625.

David W. Pickens

W. L. DUNN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

vs.

THOMAS PHELPS

Filed June 26, 1991
@ 4:20 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriff's costs	21.00
Pro Pd 3/10/94	6.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

July 19, 1991 Answer, New Matter and Counter-claim filed.

July 22, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Thomas Phelps on July 1, 1991 at 11:40 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Charles Thellman, adult in charge of residence, RD # 6, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 21, 1992, Response to Defendants New Matter and Counter claim filed.

Mar. 7, 1994, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Watts and Pepicelli PC
Theodore H. Watts

626.

W. L. DUNN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

vs.

JOHN WOGE AND TINA M. WOGE

Filed June 26, 1991
@ 4:20 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	31.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

July 22, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Tina M. Woge personally on July 3, 1991 at 10:51 am at her residence, R.D. #6, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED John Woge on July 3, 1991 at 10:15 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Tina M. Woge, his wife, at the residence, R.D. #6, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Watts and Pepicelli PC
Theodore H. Watts

627.

W. L. DUNN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

vs.

RONALD LOJEK

Filed June 26, 1991
@ 4:20 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriff's costs	21.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

July 22, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Ronald Lojek on July 3, 1991, at 2:19 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Colleen Lojek, his wife, at the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA. Signed Acceptance of Service attached.

So answers,

Diane Severo, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Phillips and Galanter
Bret J. Southard

628.

JOHN MARIN

vs.

ALEX SAMOS

Filed June 27, 1991
@ 12:55 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	15.00
sheriffs costs	29.00
Sheriff's Costs	17.00
Pro Co. pd. 12/19/91	9.00
Plaintiffs costs	40.00
Cert pd 3-11-92	2.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

July 12, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Not found. Moved, no forward.
So answers,
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 6, 1991 Petition For Service Pursuant To PA R.C.P. 430 filed, and Orde rifled.

1. Petitioner is John Marin,, plaintiff in the above action.
2. Respondent is Alex Samos, defendant in the above action.
3. Petitioner filed a Complaint in Civil Action on June 27, 1991, and delivered a copy of same with the notice of suit and directions for service of process to the Sheriff's Office.
4. The Sheriff was unable to serve the respondent at 689 Park Avenue, Meadville, Crwaford County, Pennsylvania 16335, which is the address belived to be the residence address of the resopndent. The Sheriff made a return of - Moved, left no forwarding address.
5. Upon receipt of the She;riff's Return, counsel for the petitioner conducted an investigation and was able to confirm that the aforesaid is still a correct residence address.
6. Attached hereto is an Affidavit evidencing the efforts made by counsel for petitioner to verify that correct address for the respondent.

WHEREFORE, the petitioner prays for leave of tis Court to serve the respondent by sending a copy of the Complaint to the respondent at the aforesaid address by certified mail, which if not accepted cr claimed by the resondent may then be sent by regular mail, postage prepaid for which a certificate of mailing is obtained
Bret J Southard

AND NOW, to wit, this 6th day of September, 1991, upon consideration of the within Petition and upon motion of Phillips and Galanter, P.C., Attorneys for petitioner, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that petitioner may serve the respondent to R.C.P. 430 by sending a copy of the Complaint to the respondent, Alex Samos, at the address of 689 Park Avenue, Meadville, PA 16335, by certified mail, return receipt requested, which if not accepted or claimed by the respondent, may be resent by regular mail postage prepaid for which a certificate of mailing is obtained. Service shall be deemed to have been made on the date of the receipt of certified mail or the date of the mailing by regular mail of the copy of the Complaint in accordance with this Order Provided however that plaintiff shall make another attempt to effectuate personal service by hand delivering upon the defendant at his place of residence.
Gordon R. Miller, J

sept. 10, 1991 Copy of order mailed to Bret Southard

Sept. 12, 1991 Complaint reinstated.

Sept. 25, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. Not Found. Defendant moved from 689 Park Avenue, Meadville, Pa. approximately 6 months to a year ago. No forwarding address left at Post Office.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 6, 1991 Reinstatement of Praecipe, filed.

Dec. 10, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. SERVED the within Complaint on 11-13, 1991, at 1:25 PM, upon Alex Samos at abaove by handing to Meaghan Conley-roommate a true and correct copy thereof and made known to her the contents therein.
So answers,
Michele Ferry, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

And Now, Dec. 19, 1991 at 11:27 a.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with verification of non military service, Plaintiffs Bill of Costs,Default Notice copy, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of One Thousand Twenty Eight and 25/100 Dollars plus costs.
Judgment entered - 12/19/91 Debt --$1,028.25
S - 12
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Dec. 30, 1991 notice of entry of judgment mailed to dft.

1991

Specter Law Offices, PC
Diane Clark Hoffman

629.

JoANN M. CASTILE AND BILLY CASTILE, HER HUSBAND

vs.

H. J. WEBER CO., A CORPORATION, AND WILLIAM M. MARLING

Filed June 27, 1991
@ 1:02 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 33.00
Pro Co Pd 11/1/91 6.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Aug. 1, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED William M. Marling on July 6, 1991, by certified mail at 24847 Kennedy Ridge North Olmstead, Ohio, as per signed receipt attached.
SERVED H. J. Weber Company on July 18, 1991 by certified mail at 3140 West 25th Street, Cleveland, Ohio, as per signed receipt attached.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 28, 1991 upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is hereby settled and discotninued of record.

1991

Kathleen R. Nelson

630.

DENISE MORIAN

vs.

JAMES C. MORIAN

Filed June 27, 1991
@ 1:39 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	15.00
Pro co. paid 10/30/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY,
EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

July 8, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Kathleen R. Nelson, esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of h er Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affian ti sthe Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above=captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Notice were sent by registered mail to the defendnat, James C. Morian, June 28, 1991.
3. The registered mail was sent to Jamce C. Morian, at R.D.#1, Box 1C, Adamsville, PA. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. That the defendant received said registered mail on July 5, 1991. Said Return REceipt card P 848 678 912 is attached hereto, signe dby James Morian, and made a part hereof.

Kathleen R. Nelson

Oct. 25, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Oct. 25, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Oct. 25, 1991 Memorandum of Agreement filed.

Oct. 25, 1991 Upon Praecipe of plaintiff attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code this case moved to Court.

Nov. 8, 1991 Memorandum filed. This divorce actionwas submitted to the Court for the entry of a decree and contained a provision that the terms and conditions of a memorandum of agreement, essentially a separation agreement were to be incorporated by reference in the decree. it has been a long standing policy of this Court not to include such provisions in divorce decrees but to require counsel to prepare a seperate decree containing those items which properly belong in a divorce decree which are supported by the agreement.

Since the undersigned has not been in the fore front of activity of this Court for the past couple year were checked with the President Judge and found that there had been no change in that policy. We therefore return this file to the Porthonotary's Office with a request that counsel prepare an appropriate decree containing any provisions that they wish to have in the decree, that are properly contained in a divorce decree, and that are supported by the agreement between the parties which has been filed.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Nov. ±14, 1991 Copy of orde rput in box for Atty. Nelson

Nov. 27, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §3301 of the Divorce code, this case moved to court.

Dec. 4, 1991 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 4th da of December, 1991, the Court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE: Upon consideration of the pleadings and Affidavits filed in the above captioned case this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce formthe bonds of matrimony to the above named parties upon the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety days have elapsed from the date of filing ofthe Complaint, and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each consents to the divorce, pursuant to §3301 of the Divorce Code.
2. REAL ESTATE: The parties are owners as Tenants by the Entireties of real property in East Fallowfield Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania. Defendant shall pay as his sole obligation all past, present and future costs, expenses, or liabilities attributable to, or resulting from from the ownership of th epremises including, but not limited to, all mortgage payments (interest and principle) repairs and maintenance, taxes and utilities, and insurance. Defendant shall hold Plaintiff harmless in regard to said liabilities and sahll have the right to exclusive use to the property. Plaintiff shall deliver to the defendant a Quit-claim deed conveying to Defendant all of her right, title, claim and interest in the premises, which deed shall be in recordable form.
3. PERSONAL PROPERTY: The parties hereto shall each retain those items of personal property such as jewelry, clothing, and personal effects now in his or her possession. Furthermore, the parties shall abide by the previous agreement between them as to division of other tangible personal property.
4. DEBTS:

A) Defendant's obligation: Defendant shall be solely responsible for the following marital debts and obligations, and shall hold Plaintiff harmless in regard to same: Banc One vehicle loan (1989 S-10 Blazer), Marine Bank personal loan, Signet VISA account, National Credit Group VISA account, First Bank VISE account.

B) Plaintiff's Obligation: Plaintiff shall be solely responsible for the following marital debts and obligations and shall hold Defendant harmless in regard to same: Banc One vehicle loan (1991 Chevrolet Cavalier), Watchovia Master Card Account, Spiegel charge account, J.C. Penney account, Lerner's charge account, Chilsons charge account, Ralston's hardward charge account.

5. CUSTODY: Legal Custody of the minor child, James C. Morian, shall be shared between the aprties with primary physical custody with the Plaintiff. Defendant shall enjoy visitation with the minor child from time to time and from such times as shall be mutually agreeable between the parties.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon r. Miller

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin, Stack, Millin,
James R. Irwin
~~Ferguson & Spataro~~
~~John F. Spataro~~ withdrew

631.

Thomas, Truax,
Spadafore, Vardaro &
Walker,
R. Charles Thomas

Barbara J. Walton

CHERI L. SUMMERS

vs.

ROBERT R. SUMMERS

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, CUSTODY, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COSTS AND EXPENSES, AND COUNSEL FEES

1 copy (atty)

Filed June 28, 1991
@ 10:51 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
4 counts paid	60.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	25.00

William Pineo, Mediator

Mediation Costs	202.00
Pro Co Pd 10-30-92	9.00
Pro.Co.pd 10/30/92	9.00
Pro-Co.pd. 10-24-92	9.00
Pro Co Pd 5-8-95	9.00

July 22, 1991 Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker by R. Charles Thomas for defendant filed.

July 29, 1991 Motion for Appointment of Custody Mediator and Order filed: AND NOW, July 29, 1991 William Pineo, Esquire, is appointed Custody Mediator in this case.

P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1 copy Atty
1 copy Mediator

Sept. 16, 1991 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 12 day of September, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations ofthe Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shallshare legal andphysical custody of the subject minor child.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding thehealth, education, and the major events in thelife of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, andother care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party and and all confidential information which they may have regardingthesubject minor children.

3a. Primary physical custody of the subject minor children is awarded to theirmother, the plaintiff, the plaintiff, pending further review. The father shallhave visitation and temporary physical custody of the childen each weekend, exceptfor the last full weekend of each month, fromFriday after the colose of school until Sunday at 7:00 p.m.

b. The subject minor children shall have the right to telephone the parentnot having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. Should it be necessary for either partyto cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellationor modification shall notify theother party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity whichimpels the cancellationor modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellationor modificastion be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

5. The parties shall split responsibilities for transportation withthe party receiving the children in the exercise ofhis or her rights picking up the children at thehome ofthe other.

6. Each party shall teach said chidlren to give to theother party that love and respect which is due a parent formhis or her children.

7. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or beunder the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automboile in which the chidalren are being transported or in the bedroom ofthe children.

8. Any person transporting the children shall makesure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsyvlania.

9. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the characteroftheother inthe children's estimation. Each party shall treat theotherin a polite and respectful manner when inthe chidlrne's presence and eah party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the chidlren's estimation.

10. Neither party shall expose the children to the company of persons oftheopposite sex to whom they are not related by blood or marriage hetween thehours of9:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m., nor shall either party permit such persons to reside with them or remainin the resident overnight while he or she has the care and custody ofthe children.

11. The parties are directed to arrange for counseling and evaluation for themselves and for the children. The parties shall advise the mediator, through their attorneys, within two weeks of the date hereof, ofthe counselor they have selected. Said counselor is authorized and directed toshae with the mediator ary of his or her findings regarding the chiadlren and the parents. The mediator has advised the court that counseling is necessary inorderto reconcile the parties to their status as separated parents, todevelop with the modes of behavior for dealing with each other so that the chidlren will not be detrimentally affected by disputes between the parties, and to help the chidlren cope withthe new situation.

12. The mediator shall have further mediation to review the counselor's report andmade a further report and recommendation to the court. Said review shall takeplace within 60 days ofthis date.

13. The parties are free to amke additional or alternative arrangements respectingthe custidoal and visitation periods and arestrongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence ofwhich this Order shall govern.

14. Either party may request a review ofthis Order two years form date hereof to amke any adjustments.

15. Costs and fees ofthis Mediation, which are fixed at $202.00 are divied equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs inthis case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

16. Either partymay move the Court for a hearing de novo for thrity (30) days formdate hereof. If neither party so moves, this ORder shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon r. Miller, J

Sept. 19, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Spataro, Thomas and PIneo and copie smailed to plantiff and defendant.

Oct. 21, 1991 Motion and Order filed. AND NOW, this 21st day of October, 1991, upon consideration of the within Motion, a hearing is scheduled for the 3rd day of December, 1991, in Courtroom 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania, at 1:30 p.m.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 22, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's. Spataro and Thomas

Nov. 22, 1991 Motion For Continuance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 25 day of November, 1991 the within Motion is granted. Said hearing is now scheduled for the 31 day of Dec., 1991, at 9:00 a.m.

By the Court,
P. richard Thomas, S.J.

Nov. 26, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Spataro, Thomas & Pineo

10/11/91 Recd $101.00 from Defendant

Nov. 7, 1991 paid Co. Comm. $101.00

12/27/91 Recd $76.00 from Defendant

Dec. 31 1991 Paid Co. Comm. $76.00

10-30-92 Received from Plaintiff Mediation Fees 177.00

11-20-92 Pd. Comm. Med Fees Ch# 7186 177.00

5-12-93 Rec from Deft. Med. Dep. 150.00

6-29-93 Pd Deft # 7640 Med Dep refund 150.00

2-6-95 Rec'd from Def Med Dep 150.00

3-15-95 Pd Deft Med Refund #9068 $12.50

5-8-95 Pd Co. 128.50 Med Sec'y 9166

CONTINUED ON PAGE 454

1991

Kathleen R. Nelson
James R. Steadman

632.

DEBRA K. ESCHWEILER

vs.

KEVIN B. ESCHWEILER

Filed June 28, 1991
@ 11:24 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 8/28/92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

2 copies (atty)

Aug. 8, 1991 Proof of Service filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 9th day of july, 1991, comes JAMES R. STEADMAN, ESQ., who being duly sworn according to law does depose and say that he did send the Defendant, Kevin B. Eschweiler, a copy of the Divorce Complaint heretofore filed in the above captioned matter, by Certified Mail, Return Receipt requested, Restricted Delivery, under Certification Umber P422689, and that the said Defendant did receive the copy of the Complaint sent by the undersigned on July 1, 1991 at evidence of which the returned card for article P422689104, signed Kevin B. Eschweiler, and dated July 2, 1991, is annexed hereto and made a part hereof.

And further your deponent saith naught.

James R. Steadman

Dec. 3, 1991 Appearance of Kathleen R. Nelson for Plaintiff filed.

June 25, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug. 18, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 28, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under §3301(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Sept. 2, 1992 AND NOW, Sept. 1, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that **DEBRA K. ESCHWEILER**, plaintiff, and **KEVIN B. ESCHWEILER**, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

Barrett & Dratler
Sylvia L. Dratler

633.

DOROTHY H. SMITH

vs.

WILLIAM R. SMITH

Filed June 28, 1991
@ 2:59 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 11/19/91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

July 23, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. SYLVIA L. DRATLER, Esq., being duly sworn to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct ocpy of a Complaint for Divorce under Section 201(c) was sent by registered mail to the Defendant.
3. The registered mail was sent to the defendant, at 116½ First Street, Elks, West Virginia 26241 on July 10, 1991. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. The Defendant received said registered mail on July 12, 1991. Said receipt card number 320 791 307 is attached hereto and signed by the defendant, William R. Smith.

Sylvia L. Dratler

Nov 7, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Nov. 19, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Nov. 19, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Dec. 2, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, November 26, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Dorothy H. Smith, plaintiff, and William R. Smith, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck
& Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

634.

SAINT VINCENT HEALTH CENTER

vs.

SHARON C. KOUNOVSKY AND
JOSEPH A. KOUNOVSKY

Filed July 1, 1991
@ 11:52 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	29.00
Pro Co Pd 9-12-91	9.00 /
Pro.Co. pd 11/16/93	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

July 23, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Sharon C. Kounovsky personally on July 18, 1991 at 9:48 AM at the residence RD#2, Scenic Drive, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Joseph A. Kounovsky on July 18, 1991 at 9:49 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Sharon C. Kounovsky, his wife, at the residence R.D.#2, Scenic Drive, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Sept 12, 1991 @ 1:51 p.m. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney with Notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to answer or otherwise plead and the same is liquidated in the amount of Two Thousand Nine Hundred Thirteen and 08/100 Dollars plus interest from June 28, 1991. and all costs of suit.
J-153, 93 Debt.....$2,913.08 + Int. & costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

September 12, 1991 Notice of Judgment mailed Defendants.

Nov. 16, 1993 UponPraecipe of Lawrence C. Bolla, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff, the above case marked satisfaction in full of judgment, debt, interest and costs, filed.

1991

'Debra Welker, pro se

635.

HALLIE J. WELKER, A MINOR, BY DEBRA WELKER, HER PARENT AND NATURAL GUARDIAN

vs.

THOMAS AND LINDA SAMUELS

Filed July 1, 1991
@ 1:27 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 9/26/91	6.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (atty. for dft.)

Aug 21, 1991 Petition for Settlement of a Minor's Claim and Order filed: AND NOW, this 19th day of August, 1991, in consideration of the Petition for Settlement of a Minor's Claim filed by Petitioners, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

(a) The settlement of all claims held by the petitioner-minor, Hallie J. Welker, and petitioner Debra Welker, against thomas and Linda Samuels relating to the three-wheel vehicle accident of August 1, 1990, is approved upon the receipt of $2,700,00 and petitioner is authorized and directed to execute a release on behalf of the minor, Hallie J. Welker;

(b) The amount of $2,700.00 shall be placed into a savings account in the name of the minor, Hallie J. Welker, which account is to be insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Company or other appropriate government agency; and

(c) Withdrawals of principal and interest to be done only with a Court Order from a court of competent jurisdiction and to be used solely for the expenditures necessary for the maintenance, support and education of the minor, Hallie J. Welker, until she has reached age of her majority on October 9, 1999, at which time withdrawals of part or all of said account may be made without limitation by the minor, Hallie J. Welker.

James B. Dwyer, S.J.

Aug 27, 1991 Copy of mailed to MacDonald, Illig Jones & Britton firm.

Sept. 16, 1991 Proof of Deposit filed.

Sept. 18, 1991 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attorney the above-captioned matter is marked settled and discontinue of record.

1991

Donald E. Lewis 636.	JOYCE SPONTARELLI AND JAMES SPONTARELLI, HUSBAND AND WIFE vs. KELLY HIBBARD Filed July 1, 1991 @ 3:29 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.50✓ state paid 5.00	SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION Issued (sheriff) April 24, 1992. SHERIFF's RETURN FILED. Summons returned to Prothonotary unserved April 24, 1992. Sheriff's Office received no instructions or advance costs. So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Sheriff

1991

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett
637.

R. Charles Thomas

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore
and Walker
Gregory A. Keenan

SOUND DESIGN HEARING CENTER

vs.

PATRICIA J. YOUNKIN

Filed July 1, 1991
@ 4:03 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.25
state paid	5.00
Arb paid 9/25/91	15.00
Pro.Co. Pd. 5/21/92	9.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF WILLIAM D. CHISHOLM, DISTRICT MAGISTRATE 30-2-01

July 1, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

July 9, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

July 19, 1991 Complaint filed.

July 25, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, Esq., being duly sworn to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct totheb est of his knowledge, information and belief.
1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of a Complaint was sent by registered mail to the defendant.
3. The registered mail was sent to the defendant at, R.D. #6, Box 512, Williamson Road, Meadville, PA 16335, on July 22, 1991. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. The Defendant received said registered mail on July 23, 1991. Said receipt card number 320 791 312 is attached hereto and signed by the Defendant, Patricia J. Younkin. Bruce A. Barrett

Aug. 22, 1991 ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT, filed.

Sept. 23, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for reference to the arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed: Alan R. Shaddinger, Ch.
Louis j. Stack
Harry F. White II

Oct. 11, 1991 Arbitrators take the qualifying oath.

Oct. 24, 1991 Notice of Hearing before Board of Arbitrators filed.

Dec. 12, 1991, Notice of Hearing before Board of ARbitrators filed.

Jan. 29, 1992 Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore and Walker by Gregory A. Keenan for Defendant.

Feb. 11, 1992, Notice of Hearing before Board of Arbitrators filed.

March 11, 1992 Notice of Hearing Before Board of Arbitrators filed.

Apr. 10, 1992 Decision of Arbitrators filed in which they find both parties parties agree to be bound by the decisionof a board of two arbitrators. Judgment for Plaintiff and against Defendnt in the total amount of $1631.57, plus costs & interest form 4/10/92
Y-133
DECISION OF ARBITRATORS

And Now, May 21, 1992 at 2:26 P.M. upon praecipe of Bruce A. Barrett, Attorney for Plaintiff, judgment is hereby entered together with costs and interest from April 10, 1992, in the above captioned matter in accordance with decision of the Board of Arbitrators entered thereon.
Debt $1,631.57
Barbara H. King
Y-133 Prothonotary

EXECUTION 1992 - 48

1991

Jorden & White
William T. Jorden

638.

Pietragallo, Bosick
& Gordon
Robert J. Behling

MARY E. GRADY

vs.

COMMERCIAL UNION INSURANCE
COMPANIES

Filed July 1, 1991
@ 4:12 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	40.00
Pro Co Pd 2/13/92	6.00 ✓

PETITION FOR RELIEF

July 1, 1991 ORDER: filed. AND NOW, July 1, 1991 a rule to show cause is issued against Commercial Union Insurance Companies to show cause why the prayer of the petition should not be granted. Said rule is returnable for hearing and/or argument on Wed., july 24, 1991 at 3:00 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford CountyCourthouse.

A true and correct copy of the petition for relief and this order shall be served upon Commercial Union Insurance Companies or its duly authorized attorney by the attorney for the plaintiff, forthwith, and an appropriate return of service made.

BY THE COURT,
3 copies (atty) Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 24, 1991 Defendant's Answer and New Matter to Plaintiff's Petition for Rule to Show Cause filed.

July 29, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, July 24, 1991 a hearing on the rule to show cause is hereby continued generally to be rescheduled on motion of either party.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 30, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Atty Behling and put in Atty Jorden's box.

July 30, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority personally appeared Clifford Ziegler, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 15 day of July 1991, at 10:20 a.m. he served Petition for Relief at No. 638 1991 AD CRawford County, Pa. upon dft. Commercial Union Ins. Co. at Two Allegheny Center Pgh. 15212, by making known the contents thereof to David Schlockder - Claims and handing to and leaving with him, the cert. copy of the Writ received from Crawford Sheriff.

Sgt. C. Ziegler, Dep. Sheriff

So answers,

Eugene L. coon, Sheriff

SERVED Commercial Union Ins. Co. by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, Pa. as per his Affidavit of Servcie atta ched. Served on July 15, 1991.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 7, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for value received and upon payment of costs, the above captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Narcy L. Hughes
First Assistant
District Attorney

639.

~~Mason and Mason~~
~~M. Dan Mason~~
~~for Truman Boyd~~
WITHDRAWN

IN RE:

ONE 1984 BLUE BMW COUPE,
TEMPORARY REGISTRATION NO.
YLY-734(T),
VIN No. WBRAK402E8713490

Filed July 2, 1991
@ 10:13 a.m.

Entry Pd 7/5/91 $50.~~00~~
state Pd 7/5/91 5.00

PETITION FOR FORFEITURE OF SEIZED PROPERTY

2 copies (atty)

July 18, 1991 Return of Service filed. Pursuant to Pa.R.C.P. No. 405, I Cpl. Robert R. Piper, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that personal service of a copy of the within Petition was made upon Truman Boyd, on 7/18/91 date, at 1220 pm o'clock p.m. at athe following location Crawford Co. Jail.
Cpr. Robert R. Piper

July 30, 1991, Answer to Petition for forfeiture of Seized Property filed. 1 copy (atty)

Oct 30, 1991 Motion for Withdrawal of Appearance and Order filed: AND NOW, this 30, day of Oct., 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, M. Dan Mason, Esquire, is permitted to withdraw his appearance in the above stated matter.
BY THE COURT,
P. R. Thomas, S.J.

Nov 7, 1991 Copies of order given to Atty Hughes and Mason.

90

1991

Narcy L. Hughes
First Assistant
District Attorney

640.

~~Mason and Mason~~
~~M. Dan Mason~~
~~for Truman Boyd~~
withdrawn

IN RE:

ONE 1984 FORD F150 TRUCK,
REG. No. YR20873,
VIN No. 2FTDF15Y3ECA86926

Filed July 2, 1991
@ 10:13 a.m.

Entry 7/5/91 $50.~~00~~
state 7/5/91 5.00

PETITION FOR FORFEITURE OF SEIZED PROPERTY

2 copies (atty)

July 18, 1991 Return of Service filed. Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 405, I. Cpl. Robert R. Piper, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that perosnal service of a copy ofthe within Petitio was mad eupon Truman Boyd, on 7/18/91 date, at 1220 pm o'clock p.m. at athe following location Crawford Co. Jail.
Cpl. Robert R. Piper

July 30, 1991, Answer to Petition for Forfeiture of Seized Property filed. 1 copy (atty)

Oct 30, 1991 Motion for Withdrawal of Appearance and Order filed: AND NOW, this 30 day of Oct., 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, M. Dan Mason, Esquire, is permitted to withdraw his appearance in the above stated matter.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas,

Nov 7, 1991 Copies of order given to Attys Hughes and Mason.

1991

Narcy L. Hughes
First Assitant
District Attorney

641.

Public Defender
Stephen E. Hall

IN RE:

ONE 1982 SAAB, REGISTRATION NO. YLB606,
VIN NO. YS3AT34S9C1028864

Filed July 2, 1991
@ 10:13 a.m.
Entry Pd 7/5/91 $50.00 ✓
state Pd 7/5/91, 5.00

PETITION FOR FORFEITURE OF SEIZED PROPERTY

2 copies (atty)

July 18, 1991 Return of Service filed. Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. No. 405, I, Robert R. Piper, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that personal service of a copy of the within Petition was made upon Rose A. Hardin, on 7/18/91 date, at 1200 noon o'clock at the following locatation 1090 Morgan Village Rd.
Robert L. Piper

Nov. 22, 1991 Answer to Commonwealth's Petition for Forfeiture of Seized Property field.

92

1991

Narcy L. Hughes
Assistant District
Attorney

642.

Mark K. Waitlevertch
Asst. Pub. Defender
for Bobbie J. Hillard

IN RE:

ONE 1978 GREEN BUICK SKYLARK COUPE TEMPORARY PA. REGISTRATION NO. ZBB- 723(T), VIN NO. 4C27A8T142893

Filed July 2, 1991
@ 10:13 a.m.

Entry 7/15/91 $50.00
state 7/15/91 5.00

PETITION FOR FORFEITURE OF SEIZED PROPERTY

2 copies (atty)

July 18, 1991 Return of Service filed. Pursuant to Pa.R.C.P. No. 405, I, Robert R. Piper, being duly sworn accor ding to law, deposes and says that personal service of a copy of the within Petition was made upon Bobbie Jean Hilliard, on 7/18/91 date, at 1215 o'clock p.m. at the following location Crawford Co. Jail
Robert R. Piper

Aug. 1, 1991 Answer to Petition for Forfeiture of Seized Property filed.

1991

pro se

643.

Prather, Prather
& Pineo
Mark D. Prather

JAMES O'SCANNELL

vs.

BIFF KRESS

Filed July 2, 1991
@ 1:45 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.25 ✓
state paid	5.00
sheriff costs	21.00

APPEAL OF PLAINTIFF FROM JUDGMENT OF WILLIAM CHISHOLM

July 2, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

July 8, 1991 Complaint filed.

July 22, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Biff Kress on July 9, 1991 at 2;26 p.m. by handing copy of Complaint to Ginnie Steiger, adult in charge at Vic Kress Real Estate 369 Chestnut St. Meadville, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

Aug. 7, 1991, Answer filed.

[illegible]

1991

Joseph W. Tinko

644.

DAVID C. WILLIAMS

vs.

PATRICIA A. WILLIAMS

Filed July 3, 1991
@ 9:38 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 12/19/91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 3301(c) OR 3301(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 15, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Nov. 15, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Dec. 19, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. I, Patricia A. Williams, the udnersigned and defendant in the above captioned case, accepted service ofthe Complaint in the above case on July 18, 1991. Patricia A. Williams.

Dec. 19, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaitniff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Jan. 3, 1992 DECREE IN DIVORCE FILED.

AND NOW, to wit, this 30th day of December, 1992, the Court, having reviewed the above-captioned case, finds that the marriage between David C. Williams and Patricia A. Williams is irretrievably broken, that ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of filing the above-captioned Complaint in Divorce, and that David C. Williams and Patricia A. williams each filed an affidavit consenting to the divorce: THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJDUGED AND DECREED that David C. Williams, the plaintiff, and Patricia A. Williams, the defendant, be and hereby are divorced from the bonds of matrimony heretofore contracted between them. THIS DECREE OF DIVORCE constitutes a FINAL ORDER as to all pending claims herein.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Dennis D. Workman

645.

LINDA J. WILLIAMS

vs.

A. G. EDWARDS & SONS, INC., A DELAWARE CORPORATION; AND WILLIAM SMITH AND DENNIS WARP

Filed July 3, 1991
@ 10:50 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	35.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

July 23, 1991 Writ Returned: SERVED William Smith on July 15, 1991 at 12:08 p.m. by handing copy of Summons to Debbie Pratt, Cashier and person in charge at business, Downtown Mall, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED Dennis Warp on July 15, 1991 at 12:09 P.M. by handing copy of Summons to Debbie Pratt, Cashier and person in charge at business, Downtown Mall, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED A. G. Edwards & Sons, Inc., on July 15, 1991 at 12:10 p.m. by handing copy of Summons to Debbie Pratt, cashier and person in charge at business, Downtown Mall, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Brian J. Lindsay
Shafer, Swick Bailey, Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

646.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

SHELLEY R. CRANDALL

vs.

JOHN G. CRANDALL

12-9-92 #150.00 mediation deposit made by defendant

3-26-93 Pd dft Ck# 7435 150.00
1-16-95 Recd from dft med fee dp. 150.00
mar 20, 1995 Pd dft Refund med. fee #9036 51.00
5-8-95 Pd Co 90.00 med fee #9166
5-22-95 Recd from Plty med fee 90.00
8-15-95 Pd Co med fee #9358 90.00

Filed July 3, 1991
@ 12:54 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
4 counts paid	60.00 ✓
state paid	10.00
state paid	25.00
Pro co Pd 12/12/91	9.00 ✓
John Fuller, Mediator	90.00 ✓
5-8-95 Pd Pro	

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DISTRIBUTION, COUNSEL FEES, EXPERT FEES, CHILD CUSTODY, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 6, 1991 Appearance of Mary Ann Kirkpatrick for the Defendant filed.

Oct. 7, 1991, Request for Hearing and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 7 day of Oct. 1991 upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Request for Hearing a hearing is scheduled with the court of common pleas of crawford County, Pa. on the 26th of Nov. 1991 at 2:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct. 9, 1991 copies to Attys. Irwin and Kirkpatrick.

Oct. 7, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Oct. 18, 1991, Inventory of Defendant filed.

Oct 22, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Oct 22, 1991 Affidavit of Service of Subpoena filed.

Oct 22, 1991 Income and Expense Statement of John G. Crandall filed.

Oct. 23, 1991 Petition For Special Relief and ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 23, 1991, hearing on Defendant's Petition for Special Relief shall be held on Nov. 26, 1991, at 2:30 p.m. in court room 1 or 2 of the Crawford Co. Courthouse in Meadville,PA.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct. 24, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and James Irwin.

Dec. 2, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, James R. Irwin, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the atty. of record, and as such say that I served a true and correct copy of the complaint in divorce certified by the prothonotary of Crawford County, Pa. on the above named defendant by cert. mail, addressee only, ret. rec. req. dated June 5, 1991. The defendant acknowledged servic eon July 7, 1991 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt. James R. Irwin, Esq.

Dec. 12, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to Transmit the REcord, this case moved to court.

dec. 20, 1991 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

1. Divorce: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the abovecaptioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce fom the bonds of matrimony to the abovenamed parties, on the grounds tha tthe marriage is irretrievably broken and Ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of filing of the Complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the entry of a divorce decree, pursuant to Section 3301(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.
2. **Equitable Property Determination and Distribution:** It is ordered and decreed that the marital property of the above-named parties shall be divided in accordance with a Separation and Property Settlement Agreement dated November 16, 1991, executed by the parties and file din the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania at the abovecaptioned number and term.
This Agreement, in part, provides that the Defendant shall be the sole owner of jointly owned real estate located at R.D. #1, Saegertown, Pennsylvania, being the same land conveyed unto the Plaintiff and Defendant by a General Warranty Deed, duly file din the Recorder's Office of Crawford County, Pennsylvania.
further, it is ordered and decreed that the division of maritally owned personal property shall be made in accordance with Paragraphs 4, 5, 6 of the Separation and Proper ty aGreement dated November 26, 1991, which in part, provides:
a) The Defendant shall be the sole and exclusive owner of his pension, and the contents of the home, except those listed on Schedule A of the Agreement.
b) The Plaintiff shall be the sole and exclusive owner of personal property listed on Schedule A of the Agreement and Husband's 401(k) Retirement Plan.
c) The Defendant shall be the sole and exclusive of the 1980 Dodge Truck, automobile.
d) The Plaintiff shall be the sole and e xclusive owner of the 1988 Nissan Stanza, automobile.
3. **Alimony, counsel fees, Costs and Expenses:**
In accordance with Paragraph 10 of the November 26, 1991, Agreement niether of the parties hereto shall be awarded alimony counsel fees, costs and/or expenses.
4. **Other Matters:** This Court acknowledges that the Separation and Property Settlement Agreement of November 26, 1991 provides for resolution of the issues of child custody.
5. A copy of the Separation and Proper ty Settlement Agreement of the parties, dated November 26, 1991, which sets in further detail the agreement of the parties concerning the matters herein, shall be filed with this decree in the Prothonotary's Office at the abov enumber and term.
6. No other matters or cuases of action provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code are reserved by either of the parties.
This Order shall be effective as of this 20th day of December, 1991.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Dec. 9, 1992 Petition to Modify Custody and Order filed. And Now, December 8, 1992, this matter is referred to John Fuller, Child Custody Mediator, for further action.

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro,

Dec. 14, 1992 Copies of order to Atty's Kirkpatrick and Fuller

Mar. 25, 1993 Motion For Withdrawal Of Request For Mediation and For Return Of Deposit and Order filed. And, NOw, March 25, 1993, Motion for Leave to Withdraw Request for Mediation is granted and the Prothonotary is directed to return to John G. Crandall his $150.00 deposit.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller,
J

Mar. 30, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Irwin and Kirkpatrick

Jan. 16, 1995 Motion to Refer to Mediator and ORDER filed. And Now, January 16, 1995,the above matter is referred to John Fuller, Esq. who shall promptly schedule mediation.

By the Court:
Gordon R.Miller, J.

1-16-95 Faxed to Attys. Irwin, Fuller & Kirkpatrick

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 96 (a).....

Feb. 14, 1995 Appearance of Peters, Lindsay, Lewis & Hatheway by Brian J. Lindsay, Attorney for plaintiff, filed.

Mar.15, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 14, 1995 after mediatio conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of John M.Crandall and Joshua R.Crandall shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein,that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject children.

II. Physical custody of John M.Crandall and Joshua R. Crandall shall be with their mother,subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody every other weekend from 5:30 o'clock p.m. on Friday to 6:00 o'clock p.m. on Sunday, beginning March 17, 1995.

B. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

1. Thanksgiving holiday visitation. The natural father shall have visitation for Thanksgiving 1995,and every odd year thereafter from 8:00 o'clock a.m. until 8:00 o'clock p.m. Thanksgiving Day. The natural mother shall have visitation on Thanksgiving day from 8:00 o'clock a.m. until 8:00 o'clock p.m. each even numbered year.

2. Christmas holiday visitation. The natural mother shall have custody for Christmas 1995 and every odd numbered year from 6:00 o'clock p.m. December 24th until 12:00 o'clock noon December 25th. The natural father shall have visitation for Christmas 1995,and every odd year thereafter,from 12:00 o'clock noon December 25th until 8:00 o'clock p.m. December 26th. During even numbered years,the parties shall reverse the aforestated holiday schedule with father having the first Christmas visitation period.

3. Easter holiday visitation. The natural father shall have visitation for Easter 1996 and each even numbered year from 6:00 o'clock p.m. the Saturday preceding Easter until 12:00 o'clock noon Easter Sunday. Mother shall have Easter visitation from 12:00 o'clock noon until 8:00 o'clock p.m.Easter Sunday every even numbered year. During odd numbered years the parties shall reverse the aforestated holiday schedule.

4. The holiday visitation schedule shall take precedence over the other visitation provisions of this order.

C. Beginning with the Summer of 1995, the children shall be in the custody of the father for a period dating from July 14th to August 27th, each summer, provided however,that during this period the children shall visit their mother two (2) weekends and provided further, that the children shall visit their father two(2) weekends between June 1st and July 14th. The weekends shall be slected by the children,subject to their parents approval.

D. Transportation of children on weekends, holidays and Summer visits shall be provided as follows: The parent receiving cusotdy shall provide transportation.

E. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

F. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

G. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

H. Despite the provisions above relating to father's custody during the summer,the children shall be in the custody of their mother eachsummer for a four (4) day period to enable them to attend scounting activities, currently August 15th trhough August 18th.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within ten (10) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided between the parties. The Prothonotary of Crawford County is hereby directed to refund a port of the deposit paid in advance by the Defendant, less the cost of the custody order.

Gordon R. Miller, Judge

3-23-95 Faxed to Attys. Kirkpatrick, Lindsay & Fuller

Jun. 21, 1995 Motion for Request for De Novo Hearing and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 20 day of June, 1995 Plaintiff's motion to withdraw her request for de novo hearing is hereby granted.

BY THE COURT
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

6-21-95 Faxed Kirkpatrick, Lindsay, Irwin & Fuller

1991

FEDERMAN & PHELAN
Frank Federman

647

MARGARETTEN AND COMPANY, INC.

VS.

RICHARD J. COURSEY AND
SUSAN M. COURSEY

Filed July 5, 1991 @ 11:35 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50 ✓
User fee paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	25.00
Pro Co Pd 9/12/91	5.00 ✓

CIVIL ACTION/MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

July 22, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Susan M. Coursey personally on July 9, 1991 at 2:10 p.m. by handing copy of Complaint to her at the residence 368 Benson Ave. Meadville Crawford County Pa.

SERVED Richard J. coursey on July 9, 1991 at 2:11 p.m. by handing copy of Complaint to Susan M. Coursey, his wife, at the residence, 368 Benson Ave, Meadville, Pa.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept 9, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is hereby marked discontinued and ended of record.

98

1991

MacDonald, Illig Jones
& Britton
JOHN F. MIZNER

648

Jorden & White
Harry Faber WHite

DAVID E. JONES AND
CAROL JONES, his wife

VS.

MICHAEL BRINK

Filed July 5, 1991 @ 1:43 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User fee pd 7/15/91	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	25.25
Pro Co pd 9/3/91	5.00
Pro Co pd 9/12/91	1.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

July 23, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Michael Brink on July 16, 1991 at 2:55 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Diane Dobson, adult person in charge of residence, Laura Road Extension, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 23, 1991, Answer filed. 1 copy (atty)

Aug. 29, 1991 UPon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above action is marked settled anddiscontinued of record.

1991

MACDONALD, ILLIG, JONES
& BRITTON
Daniel M. Miller

649

PATRICK F. CALLAHAN

VS.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Filed July 5, 1991 @ 2:01 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	$40.50
Pro Co pd 10/19/92	5.00

PETITION FOR APPOINTMENT OF BOARD OF VIEWERS PURSUANT TO SECTION 613 OF THE EMINENT DOMAIN CODE

2 copies (sheriff)

July 22, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. And Now, July 11, 1991, at 10:05 a.m. Served the within Petition for Appointment of Viewers upon the Atty. General handing to Kellie Negley, Sec'y and person in charge of time of service a true and correct copy of the orig. Petition for Appointment of Board of Viewers and making known to her the contents thereof at 16th Floor, Strawberry Square, Harrisburg, Daughpin County Pa.

And Now, July 11, 1991 at 10:)5 AM served the within petition for Appointment of Board of viewers by personally handing to Marian Van Horn Secy,. and person in charge at time of service and making known to her the contents at Trans. and Safety Bldg. Harrisburg, Dauphin County, Pa.

So answers, William H. Linngaton
Sheriff of Dauphin County, Pa.
By: Fred Malane, Dep Sheriff

SERVED Office of Atty. General for the Pa. Dept. of Trans., by deputizing the sheriff of Dauphin County, Pa. as per affidavit of Servcie received from Sheriff of Dauphin County hereto attached. Howard n. Stewart,Cheriff

Sept. 12, 1991 Motion for Appointment of Board of Viewers PUrsuant toSection 613 of the Eminent Domain Code filed.

Sept. 19, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, September 17, 1991, upon consideration of the Petitioner's Motion for Appointment of Board of Viewers PUrsuant to Section 613 of the Eminent Domain Code, and it appearing that a Board ovViewers should be granted to determine the damages sustained by Petitioner by reason of the de facto condemnationof his Property located at 290 Race Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AN D DECREED that a Board ofViewers, consisting of JOhn D. Petruso, Esquire, HOward Newson, and Francis Richmond is hereby appointed to view the premises, hold hearings and file a report determining the damages sustained by the petitioner in thse proceedings.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller,J

Sept. 19, 1991 Copies mailed to Atty. Miller, Howard Newson and francis Richmond and copy put in box for Atty. Petruso

Nov. 12, 1991 Notice Of View and Hearing filed.

Nov.18, 1991 Preliminary Objections To Petition For Board Of View filed.

Oct. 19, 1992 Upon praecipe of Planitiff Attorney the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

October 21, 1992 Motion to set an evidentiary hering filed.

October 21, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, October 19, 1992,upon motion of Michael J. Creighton, attorney for the Respondent, an evidentiary hearing is set for December 16, 1992 at 9:15 A.M. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Oct. 22, 1992 Copies of Order mailed to Attys. Michael Creighton and Daniel Miller.

100

1991

WATTS AND PEPICELLI PC
Alan L. Pepicelli

650

Rubinate & Marks
James P. Coletta
Peter J. Schmitt Co. Inc

EDNA D. SIMMONS and
DAVID M. SIMMONS, her husband

vs.

PETER J. SCHMITT, CO., INC.,
a corporation, SOUTH PARK PLAZA, INC.
a corporation, PARK AVENUE
PLAZA, INC., a Corporation, and
PARK AVENUE PLAZA COMPANY, a
partnership

Filed July 5, 1991 @ 4:12 P.M.

Tax & Entry paid $50.50 ✓

User fee paid 5.00

Sheriff's costs 93.10

Pro Co pd 3/9/92 6.00 ✓

WRIT OF SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

July 19, 1991 Acceptance of Service and Entry of Appearance filed AND NOW, this 16 day of July, 1991, I hereby accept service of the Writ of Summons in Civil Action on behalf of Peter J. Schmitt Co., Inc., a corporation, only, one of the above-captioned Defendants by receipt of a copy thereof, being duly authorized to accept service on behalf of said Defendant, Peter J. Schmitt Co., Inc.
I hereby enter my appearance as attorney for said defendant, Peter J. Schmitt Co., Inc., only, in the above-captioned case.
James P. Coletta

Sept 17, 1991 Writ Returned: AND NOW, July 19, 1991 the attached complaint against Park Avenue Plaza, Inc. Defendant is being returned unserved.
So answers, Robert N. Michel, Sheriff
Roger Gunesch, Deputy Sheriff

SERVED Peter J. Schmitt Co. by certified mail on July 11, 1991, at 678 Bailey Avenue, Buffalo, NY 14240. Signed return receipt attached.

SERVED Peter J. Schmitt Co., Inc., by certified mail on July 12, 1991 at 355 Harlem Road, West Seneca, NY 14224. Signed return receipt attached.

SERVED Park Avenue Plaza, Inc. on July 15, 1991 at 12:27 p.m. by handing a copy of Summons to Phyllis Humes, Secretary and person in charge at 290 Park Avenue Plaza, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED Park Avenue Plaza Company on July 15, 1991, at 12:28 p.m. by handing copy of summons to Phyllis Humes, Secretary and person in charge, at 290 Park Avenue Plaza, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED South Park Plaza, Inc., on July 15, 1991 at 12:29 p.m. by handing a copy of Summons Phyllis Homes, Secretary and person in charge at 290 Park Avenue Plaza, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

NOT SERVED, Park Avenue Plaza, Inc., not served as per Affidavit of Service attached.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 4, 1991 Appearance of Rubinate & Marks by James P. Coletta for Peter J. Schmitt Co., Inc. filed.

Mar. 4, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, the above captioned case is hereby marked settled and discontinued fo record.

1991

PEPICELLI, YOUNGS AND YOUNGS
Lisa Pepicelli Youngs

651

DEBORAH HEIST-HOMER

VS.

JOHN M. HOMER

Filed July 8, 1991 @ 3:19 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User Fee paid	5.00
State paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 10/10/91	9.00
Pro CoPd 10/29/91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

2 copies (atty)

July 9, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed: AND NOW, this 8th day of July, 1991, I, John M. Hoamer, Defendant in the above caption divorce action, hereby certify that I have this date received and accepted service of a certified copy of a complaint of Divorce with Notice to Defend attached hereto. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities. John M. Homer

Oct. 8, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Oct. 8, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Oct. 8, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review under 201(c) of the Divorce code, this case moved to court.

Oct. 16, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, October 14, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Deborah Heist-Homer, plaintiff, and John M. Homer, defendnat, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.S

Oct. 29, 1991, NOTICE OF ELECTION TO RETAKE prior name filed. To Be. Known As; DEBORAH HEIST.

1991

BRIAN J. LINDSAY

652

VALERIE LYNN VALENTINE

VS.

RAYMOND ROBERT VALENTINE, III

Filed Jul 8, 1991 @ 4:09 P.M.

Tax & Entry Paid	$50.50
User fee paid	10.00
1 count paid	15.00
State Paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 4/30/92	9.00
Pro co pd 10/29/93	- 5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE
CUSTODY/VISITATION

2 copies (atty)

Aug. 7, 1991, Acceptance of Service filed. I, the above named defendant hereby accept service ofthe Complaint in the above action Date: 8/2/91 Raymond Robert Valentine, III,

Mar. 24, 1992 Agreement and Consent Custody Order, viled. AND NOW, this 23rd day of March, 1992, the Court, in consideration of the following Agreement of the parties to this action, does hereby enter the following as a Consent Order based on the terms of said Agreement. Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Mar 24, 1992 - 2 copies atty.

Apr. 24, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 24, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr. 24, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED.
May 4, 1992:
AND NOW, May 1, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Valerie Lynn Valentine, Plaintiff, and Raymond Robert Valentine, III, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Oct. 28, 1993, Notice of election to Retake Maiden Name filed.
TO BE KNOWN AS VALERIE LYNN RILEY.

1991

SHAFER, SWICK, BAILEY,
IRWIN AND STACK
James R. Irwin

653

DAVID W. WAID

VS.

MARINDA S. WAID

Filed July 8, 1991 @ 4:16 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
State Paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 10/22/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION
3301(c) or 3301(d)

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 18, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record, and as such say that I served a true and correct copy of the complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated July 8, 1991. The Defendant acknowledged service on July 9, 1991 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

James R. Irwin

Oct. 18, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

OCt. 18, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Oct 18, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Oct. 30, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, October 29, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that David W. Waid, plaintiff, and Marinda S. Waid, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

104

1991

FERGUSON & SPATARO
John Spataro

654

William B. Moore

Jorden & White
Harry Faber White

PATRICK J. HERMAN

VS.

THE ZONING HEARING BOARD
OF CAMBRIDGE SPRINGS

Filed July 9, 1991 @
2:55 P.M.

Tax & Entry Paid $50.50

User fee paid 5.00

APPEAL FROM THE DECISION OF THE
ZONING BOARD OF CRAWFORD COUNTY, CAMBRIDGE TOWNSHIP
PENNSYLVANIA

Certiorari Issued (Philip Right)

Oct. 26, 1992 Petition for Rule to Show Cause and Order filed. AND NOW, this 26 day of October, 1992, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, a Rule to Show Cause, if any it has, why it should not be held in contempt for failure to file a copy of the record with the Prothonotary within twenty days, as directed by a certiorari, dated July 9, 1991, is hereby directed to the Defendant returnable for hearing in Courtroom No. 1 or 2, at 1:30 o'clock p.m., on Dec. 16, 1992., at the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania .

Per Curiam,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Oct. 28, 1992 Copies of order to Atty's Spataro and Moore

Nov. 18, 1992 Motion to Withdraw Appearance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 17th day of NOvember, 1992, uponconsideration of the within Motion, John F. Spataro, esq., is hereby granted leave to withdraw his appearance as counsel for the Appellant.

BY THE COURT,
GordonR. Miller, J.

Nov. 23, 1992 Copy of the Order to Attys. Spataro & Moore

Nov. 24, 1992, Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law filed on behalf of Zoning Hearing Board filed.

Dec. 15, 1992 Appellee's Answer to Notice of Appeal filed.

Jan. 7, 1993 Motion For Leave To Introduce Further Testimony filed.

Jan. 7, 1993 Order filed. AND NOW, January 4, 1993, based on a Memorandum and Order entered this day, the request in Appellant's motion is moot insofar as this matter has been remanded to the Zoing Hearing Board of Cambridge Township for a new hearing at which time the testimony requested to be presented can be presented to that board.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Jan. 8, 1993 Copies givent to Atty's Moore and White

Jan. 7, 1993 Order filed. AN DNOW, December 16, 1992, by agreement of the parties, the Zoning Ordinance of Camabridge Township, No. 004 of 1984 Series, adopted on November 12, 1984, is made a part of the record in this matter.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Jan. 8, 1993 Copies given to Atty's White and Moore

Jan. 7, 1993 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, January 6, 1993, this matter is remanded to the Zoning Hearing Board of Cambridge Township to conduct a hearing after proper notice with respect to the Appellant's request for an interpretation of ordinance filed on April 24, 1991.

This Court relinqueishes jurisdiction without prejudice to the Appellant to file a new timely appeal with this Court if dissatisfied by a decision of the Zoning Hearing Board of Cambridge Township after such hearing.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Jan. 8, 1993 copies of order to Atty's White and Moore

May 9, 1994 Transcript of Proceedings at Time of Hearing Before the Court, Wednesday, December 16, 1992, filed.

1991

WILLIAM WALKER

655

EDWIN D. BENJAMIN

VS.

CHARLES A. MCDOWELL

Filed July 9, 1991
@ 3:21 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	21.00
Pro Co. Pd 8/19/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

1 copy (sheriff)

July 23, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Charles McDowell personally on July 15, 1991 at 11:56 AM at his residence, 566 State Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sher;iff

August 19, 1991, 3:05 p.M., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for failure to file an answer and damages are assessed as follows: in the amount of Eight thousand six hundred forty-seven and 45/100 Dollars together with interest from and after April 1, 1991 and costs of suit and judicial sale of the subject property.

M-31
Judgment entered 8/19/91

Debt $8,647.45
Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro.

1991

656

EVELYN A. GEROW

VS.

ELLIS L. GEROW

Filed July 10, 1991
@ 11:07 a.m.

Sheriff's costs	$25.25
Tax & entry pd 7/30/91	$50.50
state pd 7/30/91	5.00

7/30/91 Recd $25.25 From Defendant

7/30/91 Paid $25.25 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff Costs Ck# 6331

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 10th day of July, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Sole possession of the marital residence is awarded to Plaintiff. defendant shall not go to Plaintiff's residence.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for July 17 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 12, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Ellis L. Gerow personally on July 10, 1991 at 3:43 PM by handing copy of Protection From Abuse to him at his place of business, Gerow's Stove Shop, RD # 2, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers,
Robert A. Fischer, Deputy Sheriff
Edgar D. Householder, Chief Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

JUly 19, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 17, 1991 after a formal hearing, the court is not satisfied that the plaitiff has proven the allegations set forth in her petition by the fair weitht and preponderance of the evidence, and accordingly, dismisses the petition. The Temporary abuse Order of July 10, 1991 is hereby dissolved. The defendant shall pay the record court costs in this proceeding in full no later thatn July 31, 1991 by paying the same to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

A copy of this order shall be delivered to the police department in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to County Control.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 19, 1991, copies to plff, defendant, county control, Cambridge Police

1991

SHAFER, SWICK, BAILEY,
IRWIN & STACK
James R. Irwin

657

DONNA L. DOUGLAS

VS.

STANLEY H. DOUGLAS

Filed July 10, 1991
@ 11.35 a.m.

Tax & Entry paid $50.50 ✓
State Paid 10.00
User fee paid 5.00
Pro Co Pd 10/22/91 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 18, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, James R. Irwin, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the atty. of record, and as such say that I served a true and correct copy of Complaint in Divorce cert. by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, pa. on the above named defendant by cert. mail, addressee only, ret. rec. req. dated July 10, 1991. The defendant acknowledged service on July 12, 1991 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt. James R. Irwin Esq.

Oct. 18, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Oct. 18, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Oct. 18, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Oct. 30, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And Now, October 29, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Donna L. Douglas, plaintiff, and Stanley H. Douglas, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1991

SHAFER, SWICK, BAILEY, IRWIN AND STACK
James R. Irwin

658

Kathleen r. Nelson

James R. Steadman

KEVIN B. ESCHWEILER

VS.

DEBRA K. ESCHWEILER

Filed July 10, 1991
@ 11:40 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
W.Pineo,Mediator	152.00
filing cost	9.00

7/24/91 Recd. $76.00 from Plaintiff

Sept 3, 1991 paid Co. Comm. $76.00

9/3/91 Recd $76.00 From Defendant

Oct 1, 1991 paid Co. Comm. $76.00

PETITION FOR CUSTODY (UCCJA)

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

July 10, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, this 10th day of July, 1991, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the mediator, **WILLIAM PINEO,** at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse with the Child Custody Mediatoras required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

It is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the court, the aforesaid minor child shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the Court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

July 25, 1991, Response to Petition for Custody filed.

Aug. 19, 1991 TEMPORARY ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 16th day of Aug, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject m inor children.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to eithker party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. The father shall h ave primary physical custody of the children from 5:00 p.m. Aug. 20 of each year through 5:00 p.m. of June 15 of the following year. The mother shall have primary physical custody from 5:00 p.m. of June 15 of each year through 5:00 p.m. of the following Aug. 20.

During the father's custodial period, the mother shall have the right of visitation every weekend, except for the last full weekend of each month, from Sat. at 10:00 a.m. through Sun. at 7:00 p.m. If the mother's work hours permit her to work first shift, then her weekend visitation period shall begin Fri. at 5:00 p.m.

The mother shall also have the right of visitation one evening during the week preceding the last full weekend of each month from 5:00 p.m. through 8:00 p.m. If she wishes to excerise this right, she shall notify the father during the preceding weekend of the evening she wishes to select.

During the mother's summer time custodial period, the father shall have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody on alternate weekends, beginning with the second weekend after the mother shall have started her custodial period, from Fri. at 5:00 p.m. through Sun. at 7:00 p.m. For the remainder of the summer, the mother shall pick up her children at the home of the father on Sat., Aug. 10 at 10:00 a.m. and shall return them to the father at 5:00 p.m. of Aug. 20. In view of the fact that the father h as had the children for the last month, without visitation to the mother, and in view of the shortness of the summer period remaining to the mother, the father shall not have visitation during this period.

b. The subject m inor children shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Christmas holiday visitation periods, the first beginning Christmas Eve at noon and ending Dec. 26 at noon. Each year the father shall have the first period and the mother, the second. The mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody from Dec. 26 at noon through New Year's Day at noon each year.

b. Thanksgiving holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

The mother shall have visitation for the Thanksgiving holiday each year from 2:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day through 7:00 p.m. of the Sunday after Thanksgiving.

c. The Easter vacation visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Easter visitation periods, the first beginning on Good Friday at 10:00 a.m. and ending Holy Saturday at noon and the second beginning Holy Saturday at noon and ending Easter Sunday at 7:00 p.m. For 1992, the mother shall have the first period and the father, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

In addition, the children shall spend any extended spring vacation from school with their mother who shall have temporary physical custody and visitation from 10:00 a.m. of the day after the vacation begins until 5:00 p.m. of the evening preceding the reopening of school.

d. The other holidays to be observed are Memorial Day, Independence Day, and Labor DAy. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch the fireworks. The mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody for Labor Day, 1991, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

e. The children shall spend Father's Day with their father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with their mother, during the same hours.

f. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 108A

Kevin B. Eschweiler

vs

Debra K. Eschweiler

Continued Record from Page 108

of record

A.D. 1991 - 658

---

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the exsercise of h is or her rights picking up the children at the home of the other.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful mannner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct h is or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to di sparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

12. The parties are directed to arrange for counseling for themselves and their children in order to help the children accept and understand their new situation. The parties had indicated to the mediator that they would like to use the KIDS Program from Hamot Medical Center in Erie but any reputable counseling arrangement will be satisfactory tothe Court. If the parties cannot agree on a counseling program within 30 days, they are to report this fact to the mediator who will then select a counseling program.

13 Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in th is case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

14. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Aug. 26, 1991 copy in box for Attys, W.Pineo & J.Irwin. Mailed copy to Atty. J.Steadman, Plaintiff & Defendant

Aug. 29, 1991 Appearance of Kathleen R. Nelson for defendant filed.

Aug. 30, 1991 MotionFor Trial De NOvo and Order filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of August, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion for Trial De Novo, a hearing is set forthe 8th dayof October, 1991, at 9:30 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crwford County Courthouse, Meadvillle, PEnnsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
GordonR. MIller, J

Sept. 4, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty's Irwin, Nelson and Pineo's box.

Dec. 17, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, October 8, 1991, at the time fixed for hearing de novo, the parties appeared in court with counsel and agreed to a settlement of this matter. Based upon that agreement and stipulation, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. Paragraphs 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 of the Temporary Order of August 16, 1991 shall continue to remain in full force and effec tuntil further Order of Court.

2. The parties shall alternate the primary physical custody of the children every three months as follows: From January 1 through March 31, the children shall reside with their mother. From April 1 through June 30, the children shall reside with their father. From July 1 through September 30, the chidlren shall reside with their mother. From October 1 through December 31, the chidlren shall reside with their father. These periods of physical cusotdy are subject to weekend and holiday visitations as hereinafter set forth, which said visitations shall take priority.

3. The parent who doe snot have the chidlren living in his or her residence for a particular quarter shallhave the right to take the chidlren into his or her custody every other weekend starting with the frist full weekend after custody changes from 5:00 o'clock p.m. on Friday at 7:00 o'clock p.m. the Sunday immediately following, unless that parent has a work schedule or work hours taht do not permit or allow him or her to begin the visitations at 5:00 o'clock p.m. on Friday evening, in which case, that parent shall pick up the children at 10:00 o'clock a.m. Saturday morning to commence the weekend visitation period. the weekend visitation schedule shall commence on Friday, October 11, 1991, with the mother exercising a weekend visitation then.

4. The parties shall alternate holidays. For these purposes, the Christmas holiday shall be divided into two parts. The frist part shall commence at 12:00 o'clock Noon the day before Christmas Day. The second part shall commence at 12:00 o'clock Noon Christmas Day and terminate at 12:00 o'clock Noon on December 26th.

The father shall have the right to have his children in his ccustody for holiday visitations as follows:

A) In odd numbered years, the first part of Christmas, Easter and July 4th.

B) In even numbered years, the second part of christmas, Thanksgiving, Memorial Day and Labor Day.

The mother shall have the right to have her children in her custody for holiday visitations as follows:

A0 In odd numbered years, the second part of christmas, Thanksgiving, Memorial Day and Labor Day.

B) In even numbered years, the first part of Christmas, Easter and July 4th.

For these purposes, visitations for Thanksgiving, Memorial Day and Labor Day shall be between the hours of 9:00 o'clock a.m. and 9:00 o'clock p.m.; for Easter, shall be between 7:00 o'clock p.m., the day before Easter to 7:00 o'clock p.m., Easter Sunday; and for July 4th, between 9:00 o'clock a.m. and 11:00 o'clock p.m.

5. The father shall have his children every Father's Day between 9:00 o'clock a.m., and 9:00 o'clock p.m., and the mtoerh shallhave her children with her every Mother's Day from 9:00 o'clock a.m. to 9:00 o'clock p.m.

KEVIN B. ESCHWEILER

vs.

DEBRA K. ESCHWEILER

Record continued from page 108 - a -

of record

A.D. 1991 - 658

****

6. The parties shall each have the right to take their children with them for two weeks summer vacation. It that period of summer vacation occurs during the period of time that the parent has the chidlren living with him or her, then there shall be no offsets. On the other hand, if either parent selects any period of vacation time that come sout of th etime when the other has the children living with him or her, then the parent exercising vacation during that period of time shall alow the parent that has the children in his or her custody during that period of time an offset or equal amount of time when the former has the children with him or her. It appears that the defendant has a two-week plant shutdown at her place of employment that occurs at the same time every year. The plaintiff has the right to sue one of these weeks for his vacation, if he desires, but he cannot use obth of those weeks.

7. The vacation schedule shall take priority over weekend visitations. The vacation periods shall take priority over holidays.

8. The agreement of the parties was reached on the condition that the children remain in the same attendance area in the Conneaut School deistrict in which they currently reside.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon r. Miller, J

Dec. 19, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Irwin and Nelson

Jan. 20, 1993 Consent Custody Order filed. AND NOW, this 18th day of January, 1993, upon s stipulation of the parties to this action, the following order is hereby entered:

1. The parties shall share legal custody of the subject minor children, Steven Eschweiler and Timothy Eschweiler. The parties shall share with each other any and all information regarding the health, education, and the major events inthe life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, andother care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party, and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

2. The mother shall have primary physical custody of the children subject to visitation by the Father as follows:

a) Father shallhave the right of visitation for two weekends each month during the hours of Friday at 5:00 p.m. until Sunday at 7:00 p.m. Said weekend visitation will begin on January 15 through 17, 1993, and January 22 through 24, 1993; and will alternate thereafter in order that the chilren spend two consecutive weekends with their father and the next two consecutive weekends with their mother.

b) The father shall visit with the children during the last two full weeks in July each year.

c) The minor children shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

3. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a) Christmas Visitation - there shall be two Christmas holiay visitation periods, the first beginning Christmas Eve at noon and ending Christmas day at noon and the second beginning Christmas Day at noon and ending December 26 at noon. Each year the Father shall have the first period and the mother the second.

b) Thanksgiving Visitation - The Father shall have visitation for the Thanksgiving holiday from 9:00 a.m. Thanksgiving Day through 2:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day.

c) Easter Visitation - There shall be two Easter visitation periods, the first beginning on Good Friday at 10:00 a.m. and ending on Saturday at noon and the second beginning Saturday at noon and ending Easter Sunday at 7:00 p.m. For 1993, the father shallhave the first and the Mother, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

d) Other holidays - Other holidays to be observed are Memorial Day, Independence Day, and Labor Day. Holiay visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch fireworks. The mother shall have the chidlren for Memorial Day, 1993, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

e) The children shall spend Father's Day with their Father from 9:00 a.m. until 7:00 p.m. and Mother's Day with their mother, during the same hours.

f) The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this Order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

4. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order, or as previously arranged, the parties seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as hr or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

5. The parties shall share responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her rights, picking up the chidlren at the home of the other.

6. Each party shall teach the minor children to give to the other par ty that love and respect which is due a parent from h is or her children. Furthermore, either party shall not do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and not to discourage the other party in the children's estimation.

7. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children.

8. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Jan. 20, 1993 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Nelson and Irwin

1991

SHAFER, SWICK, BAILEY, IRWIN AND STACK
James R. Irwin

659

Gerald Sullivan
Northwestern Legal Services

JOHN B. ALLEN

VS.

JULIE M. WOLFE

Filed July 10, 1991
@ 11:42 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50
User fee paid 5.00

PETITION FOR CUSTODY (UCCJA)

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

July 10, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, this 10th day of July, 1991, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the Mediator, JOHN FULLER,at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse with the Child Custody Mediator as Required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

It is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the Court, the aforesaid minor child shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the Court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Aug. 14, 1991 Appearance of Gerald Sullivan, for Northwestern Legal Services, for Defendant.

Jan. 14, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, January 13, 1992, after mediation conference before John Fuller, esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of BLAINE RUSSELL WOLFE shall be shared between the Mother and father, the Plaintiff and the defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affecting the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject child.

II. Physical custody of Blaine Russel wolfe shall be wi th his Mother, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father herein, shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every other weekend from 6:00 o'clock p.m. on Friday to 7:00 o'clock p.m. on Sunday beginning January 3, 1992.

B. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter, Thanksgiving, the child's birthday, Memorial Day, Independence Day and Labor Day.

On even numbered years the child shall be in the custody of the Father at Easter from 6:00 p.m. Saturday until 12:00 Noon on Easte rSunday, on Thanksgiving day from 8:00 a.m. until 2:00 p.m., and at Christmas from 6:00 p.m. December 24, until 11:00 a.m. December 25.

On odd numbered years the child shall be in the custody of the Father on Easter Sunday from 12:00 Noon until 8:00 p.m., on Thanksgiving Day from 2:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m., and on Christmas Day from 11:00 a.m. until 8:00 p.m.

On even numbered years the child shall be in the custody of his Father on the child's birthday and on odd numbered years the child shall be in the custody of his Father either the day before, or the day after the child's birthday, as the parents agree.

On even numbered years the child shall be in his Father's custody on Memorial Day and Labor Day from 8:00 a.m. until 8:00 p.m.

On odd numbered years the child shall be in the custody of his FAther of Independence Day from 8:00 a.m. until 11:00 p.m.

C. The holiday visitation schedule shall take precedence over the weekend schedule set forth herein.

If the Father is more than one (1) hour late on any visit that visit shall be considered cancelled unless the Father has notified the Mother in advance.

D. Transportation of the child on weekends and holidays shall be provided as follows: The party receiving custody of the child shall pick up the child at the home of the other parent.

E. Neither Mother nor Father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent; but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

F. Neither Mother nor Father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or well being.

G. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the child and both parents aree to furnish the other with the current telephone number.

H. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or alcohol at any time the par ty has the child in his or her custody.

I. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are file dby either party within thirty (30) days fo the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

Gordon R. Miller, J

Jan. 17, 1992 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Sullivan, Irwinand Fuller

Feb. 3, 1992 AMENDED ORDER filed. AND NOW, Feb. 3, 1992 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT the Custody Order of Jan. 13, 1992 in the above-captioned matter is amended as follows:

Paragraph II, A. shall be amended to reat "The Father herein, shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every Wednesday from 4:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m., beginning Jan. 1, 1992. The Father shall also be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every other weekend from 6:00 p.m. on Friday until 7:00 p.m. on Sunday, beginning Jan. 3, 1992.

All other provisions in the order will remain in fullforce and effect. Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Feb. 7, 1992 copy in box for G. Sullivan, J. Irwin

110

1991

FEDERMAN & PHELAN
Frank Federman
8th Floor 1314 Chestnut St.
Philadelphia, Pa 19107

660

FIRST FEDERAL SAVINGS
AND LOAN OF ROCHESTER

VS.

ROBERT PETERS AND NANCY
PETERS OF OCCUPANTS

Filed July 10, 1991
@ 2:36 p.m.

Tax & Entry Paid $50.50
User fee paid 5.00
Sheriffs costs 18.00

CIVIL ACTION - EJECTMENT

1 copy (sheriff)

July 30, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. Not found. House vacant.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

661

LEHUANNE OBERT

VS.

RICHARD E. BROWN

Filed July 10, 1991
@ 2:52 p.m.

Sheriff's costs		$17.00
~~Sheriff's costs~~		~~24.00~~
Tax and entry	Pd 7/24/91	50.50
state	Pd 7/24/91	5.00
Discontinuance	Pd 7/24/91	5.00

7/24/91 RECD $ 17.00 FROM DEFENDANT

7/24/91 PAID $17.00 TO HOWARD STEWART, SHERIFF LN # 6325

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 10th day of July, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not go to Plaintiffs house. Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff in any way. defendant shall not be hear the plaintiff in public.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for July 17, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 16, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Mark S. Fisher, Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 11th day of July, 1991, at 2:05 PM, he served Petition for Emergency Relief Under Protection From Abuse Act, Temporary Abuse Order at No. AD 1991-661, Crawford County, PA., upon defendnat Richard E. Brown at RD # 1 Box 157, Utica, PA 16362. By making known the contents thereof to Richard E. Brown, personally and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the petition and order received from Crawford County.

Mark S. Fisher, Deputy Sheriff
So answers,
William H. Rimine, Jr., Sheriff
Mercer County, PA.

SERVED Richard E. Brown by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer County, PA. as per his Affidavit of Servcie attached. Served on July 11, 1991.
So answers,

Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 22, 1991 ORDER FILED. AND NOW, July 17, 1991, after an informal hearing in this matter at which time the Defendant was advised as to the nature of the proceeding, his right to counsel, all of which he understood and during which he agreed to proceed without counsel, the Court is suspicious that the Plaintiff is not telling the truth but there is no evidence presented at this hearing by which the Court can conclude that the Defendant abused the Plaintiff under the Protection From Abuse Act.

Accordingly, this Petition is dismissed and the temporary abuse Order of July 10, 1991 is dissolved.

A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police department in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.

The Defendant shall pay the record court costs no later than Wednesday, July 31, 1991.

By Order Of The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 23, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Paul E. Allen, County Control, Police Dept. having jurisdiction and copy mailed to Richard E. Brown.

1991

Mark D. Prather
Prather, Prather & Pineo
George R. Gray

662

Legal Services
Gerald Sullivan

NANCY SNYDER

VS.

ALBERT H. HUNT

Filed July 10, 1991
@ 4:00 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid $50.25
User fee paid 5.00
Arb paid 2/12/92 15.00

NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM DISTRICT JUSTICE JUDGMENT
(Mag. Dist 30-2-01)
and RULE TO FILE COMPLAINT

July 11, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

July 23, 1991, Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

Oct. 21, 1991 Appearance of Joseph W. Tinko, By George R. Gray, Attorney for plaintiff filed.

Oct. 21, 1991 Default notice filed.

Oct. 21, 1991 Certificate of Service filed.

Oct 31, 1991 Oct 30, 1991 Answer and New Matter filed.

Nov. 7, 1991 Reply To New Matter filed.

Nov. 7, 1991 Certificate of Service filed.

Dec 4, 1991 Motion to Amend the Complaint and Order filed: AND NOW, this 4th day of December, 1991, after motion and argument thereon it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED that plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, attached as Exhibit 2, be allowed and recorded by the Prothonotary as the pleading upon which relief may be granted after further prodeedings in this action.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.
Dec 6, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Gray and Prather's boxes.

Dec. 5, 1991 First Amended Complaint filed.

Dec. 5, 1991 Certificate of Service of First Amended Complaint filed.

Jan. 10, 1992, Answer and New Matter filed.

Jan. 23, 1992 REPLY TO NEW MATTER filed.

Jan. 23, 1992 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE filed. I, the undersigned, hereby certify that on the 23rd day of January, 1992, I served a true and correct copy of the Reply to New Matter on all of the following parties of the following counsel of record for same by United States First Class Mail, postage prepaid,pursuant to the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure: George R. Gray

Jan. 29, 1992 Motion To Amend A Pleading and ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, this 29 day of January, 1992 after consideration of the foregoing Motion to Amend a Pleading it is hereby ordered, adjudged, and decreed that paragraph 20 of Plaintiff's Reply to New Matter served on opposing counsel on Jan. 23, 1992 be amended such that the date appearing there: March 16, 1983 be replaced by the true and correct date March 16, 1988.
By The Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
Feb. 3, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. George R. Gray, Gerald Sullivan.

Jan. 31, 1992 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE filed. I, the undersigned, hereby certify that on the 29th day of January, 1992 I served a true and correct copy of the Motion to Amend a Pleading on all of the following parties or the following counsel of record for same by United States First Class Mail, postage prepaid, pursuant to the Pa. Rules of Civil Procedure.
George R. Gray
George R. Gray

Feb. 10, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for reference to the arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed: John D. Petruso, Ch.
Glenn Thompson
William Gregg

Apr. 10, 1992 Arbitrators take qualifying oath.

April 15, 1992 NOTICE OF ARBITRATORS' HEARING FILED.

June 1, 1992 Decision of Arbitators filed. And Now 1st day of June, 1992, we the undersigned Arbitrators chosen in this case, after having been duly sworn and having heard the evidence and allegations of the parties find in favor of the defendant and against the plaintiff.
John D. Petruso, Chairman
Glenn Thompson
William Gregg
June 1, 1992 Copy of Decision of Arbitrators given to Attys. Gray and Sullivan.

1991

WILLIAM B. MOORE

663

DONALD RICHARD ANDREWS

VS.

TAMARA MAGDALENA ANDREWS

Filed Jul 11, 1991
@ 10:51 a.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User Fee paid	5.00
State Paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 10/24/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, UNDER SECTION 201(c)
OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 23, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. William B. Moore, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that;

1. He is the atty. authorized to practice law within the Com. of Pa.

2. He is the atty. for plff. in above matter.

3. On the 13 day of Aug. 1991 he deposited in the U.S. mails by cert. mail, restricted delivery, ret. rec. req. postage prepaid, cert. mail NO. as on receipt attached hereto and made a part of, a true and correct ocpy of divorce complaint, naming Tamara Magdalena Andrews as dft. in above matter, and addressed to said dft. at 10400 Arrow Route, Apt. Q2, Rancho Cucamonga, Cal. 91730.

4. THe said Article was delivered to Dft's last known address at 10400 Arrow Rt., Apt Q2, Rancho Cucamonga, Cla. 91730 on Aug 19, 1991 and received by Tamara Magdalena Andrews, as evidenced by ret. rec. for cert. mail NO. P 738 509 516 attached hereto and made a part hereof.

William B. Moore, eSq.

Oct. 23, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Oct. 23, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Oct. 23, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Oct. 30, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, October 29, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Donald Richard Andrews, plaintiff, and Tamera Magdalena Andrews, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

114

1991

KATHLEEN R. NELSON

664

SCOTT MILLER

VS.

STARLET M. MILLER

Filed July 11, 1991
@ 1:37 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
State Paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 6-24-94	5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) or 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

July 25, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed: Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in divorce and Notice were sent by registered mail to the Defendant, Starlet M. Miller, on July 16, 1991.

3. The registered mail was sent to Starlet M. Miller at 318 Randolph Street, Meadville, Pa 16335. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on July 19, 1991. Said Return Receipt card P 848 678 916 is attached hereto, signed by Starlet M. Miller, and made a part hereof. Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Aug. 13, 1991 Complaint reinstated.

June 24, 1994 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney the above referenced matter is discontinued of record.

1991

KATHLEEN R. NELSON

665

DORIS P. FOX

VS.

JAMES E. FOX

Filed July 11, 1991
@ 1:37 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	10.00
1 count paid	15.00
State paid	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) or 201(a) or 201(d)
OF THE DIVORCE CODE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

July 25, 1991 Affidavit of Servicefiled: Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her affidavit of service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce, and Notice were sent by registered mail to the Defendant, James E. Fox, July 16, 1991.

3. The registered mail was sent to James E. Fox, at 731 Cussewago Road, Meadville, Pa 1335. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the defendant received said registered mail on July 18, 1991. Said Return Receipt card P 848678917 is attached hereto, signed by James E. Fox, and made a part hereof.

Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 7/25/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: March 12, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
Mar. 12, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

116

1991

CASSIDY, KOTJARAPOGLUS, &
AND POHLAND, P.C.
Sean Cassidy

666

JAMES O. BODAMER and SARA H. BODAMER, husband and wife, UNION CEMETARY CORPORATION, PENNZOIL PRODUCTS COMPANY, AND MARK RESOURCES CORPORATION

vs.

B. D. BENSON, ROBERT D. BENSON, WILLIAM BENSON, BESSIE BENSON, E. WALDON EMERSON, HELEN NOYES, LUCY SWIGART, WILLIAM EMERSON, DAVID McKELVEY, LOUISE McKELVEY, CHARLOTTI McKELVEY, ROBERT McKELVEY, R. E. HOPKINS, and D. McKELVEY AND COMPANY, their unknown heirs, devisees or assigns, or all other persons claiming any right, title or interest

Filed July 11, 1991
@ 1:37 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid $50.50 ✓
User fee paid 5.00
Pro Co Paid 11-1-91 9.00

COMPLAINT IN ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

July 11, 1991 Motion for Publication and Order filed: AND NOW, this 12th day of July, 1991, appearing to the Court that the Plaintiffs in the above captioned Action to Quiet Title have, in accordance with the provisions of Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure No. 430, filed an affidavit that the defendants are likely to be deceased, and that their whereabouts, or the whereabouts of their heirs, devisees and assigns are unknown, and that all other persons claiming any right, title or interest are unknow, plaintiffs are hereby authorized to serve the within Complaint on the above named defendants by publication, one time in the Meadville Tribune.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller
July 16, 1991 Copy of order mailed to Atty. Cassidy.

Sept. 27, 1991 Application of Proof of Publication filed.

Sept. 27, 1991 Motion For Judgment filed.

Sept. 27, 1991 FINAL DECREE filed. AND NOW, Sept 27, 1991 upon review of the affidavit of service and upon presentation of the foregoing motion, it is hereby ORDERED and DECREED that the Defendants, their unknown heirs, devisees or assigns, are forever barred from asserting any right, title, lien or interest inconsistent with the claim of the Plaintiffs as set forth in the Complaint of, in or to the real estate described in the Complaint and from impeaching, denying or any way attacking the title of the Plaintiff in said real estate, from issuing or continuing any action attacking the title of the Plaintiff in said real estate and from encumbering, mortgaging or conveying the same or any and from encumbering, mortgaging or conveying the same or any part thereof unless the Defendants, their heirs, devisees, personal representatives or assigns takes such action as is herein directed within thirty (30) days after the entry of this judgment. It is further ORDERED and DECREED that no Petition to open this judgment or set aside this Decree is presented by the Defendants, their heirs, devisees, personal representatives, or assigns within thirty (30) days after the entry of this judgment. It is further ORDERED and DECREED that no Petition to open this judgment or set aside this Decree is presented by the Defendants, their heirs, devisees, personal representatives, or assigns within thirty (30) days from and after the entry of this Decree, the same shall be final. If such action is not taken within a thirty (30) day period, the Prothonotary, on Praecipe of the Plaintiff, shall enter final judgment for the Plaintiff.

By The Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept. 27,1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Sean Cassidy.

AND NOW, November 1, 1991 @ 3:05 p.m. upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, final judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendants pursuant to the Order of Court dated September 27, 1991.
B-23, 143,173,123; E-52, 172; N-86; S-104; M-41, 101, 181; H-143

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Nov 1, 1991 Judgment entered.

1991

pro se

667.

William Kuhar

DAVID ALLEN LUZIER
Appellant

vs.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Filed July 12, 1991
@ 9:05 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

NOTICE OF APPEAL - REQUEST FOR A HEARING
AND A REQUEST FOR SUPERSEDEAS

4 copies (atty)

ORDER OF COURT:
AND NOW, this 12 day of July 1991, upon consideration of the REquest for Hearing and Writ of Supersedeas, this court hereby schedules a Hearing to determine the merits of Appellant's appeal on August 28, 1991 at 9:00 a.m. in either Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, in Meadville, Pa. Further, this Orderrof Count shall operate as a Writ of Supersedeas and suspension of Appellant's motor vehicle operating privileges is hereby stayed until a Hearing and determination of the merits of Appellant's Appeal. Appellant shall serve the Department of Transportation with a copy ofthe notice of appeal and this order in the manner required by law.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Aug. 29, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, August 28, 1991, the appeal of Mr. Luzier is denied and the Order of the Department of Transportation is AFFIRMED.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
J. Quint Salmon, S.J.

Sept. 3, 1991 Copies mailed to Mr. LUzier andAtty. Kuhar

Aug. 29, 1991 Exhibit filed.

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

668.

MARGARET A. HUFFMAN

vs.

GEORGE PATRICK HUFFMAN

Filed July 12, 1991
@ 10:54 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
3 counts paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	15.00
Pro Co pd 4-22-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY, CHILD SUPPORT, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, REASONABLE COUNSEL FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

July 31, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, July 25, 1991, comes Constance L. Deitman being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:
1. That she is the legal secretary of attorney for Margaret A. Huffman, Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished here by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P 398 123 157; postmarked July 12, 1991, to the last known address of the Defendant, george Patrick Huffman, P.O. Box 241, Linesville, PA 16424.
3. That the Defendant, George Patrick Huffman, on July 23, 1991, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the complaint in the above-matter and which is attached hereto.
4. Service of the Complaint against Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.
Constance L. Deitman

Apr. 6, 1992 MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT AS TO DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, CHILD SUPPORT, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, REASONABLE COUNSEL FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES, filed.

Apr. 6, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

Apr. 21, 1992 Affidavit of Consetn of Plaintiff, filed.

Apr. 22, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED.
May 1, 1992 And, Now, April 30th, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that Margaret A. Huffman, plaintiff, and George Patrick Huffman, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
It is further ordered that the parties' Memorandum of Agreement is incorporated herein and made a part hereof and shall be fully enforceable under the provisions of the Divorce Code.
By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

1991

669.

BOROUGH OF SPRINGBORO
P.O. Box 33
Springboro, Pa. 16435

vs.

MARY A. WAGNER

Filed July 12, 1991
@ 12:09 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00
plff on orig 14.50
release of lien pd. $5.00
10/9/91

AGREEMENT TOO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 519

The undersigned agrees that the judgment be revived and the lien continued; judgment indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Seven Thousand Dollars plus costs.

Dated.....June 11, 1991
Debt.....$7,000.00
W - 113.....JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 9, 1991 Writing filed wherein plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following property: All that certain piece or parcel of land situate in the Borough of Springboro, County of Crawford and State of Pa. (see paper filed for complete description)

Revived to: AD 1996-722

1991

670.

PATRICIA A. ROXBERRY

vs.

EDWARD C. ROXBERRY JR.

Filed July 12, 1991
@ 4:20 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
sheriffs costs	21.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT
3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 12th day of July, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Temporary possession of the parties home is awarded to plaintiff. Defendant shall not go to that house. Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff in any way.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for July 22, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 15, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. SERVEX Edward C. Roxberry, Jr. personally on July 12, 1991 at 4:47 p.m. at Rm. 278, Meadville Medical Center, Liberty St. Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. where he is a patient.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 23, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, July 22, 1991 after a hearing at which both parties apeared without counel but agreed to proceed without counsel, the Plaintiff has not proven her case by the fair weight of the evidence and therefore this petition is dismissed.
The temporary abue order of July 12, 1991 is hereby dissolved.
A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police Department in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides, and to County Control.
Costs in this case shall be paid by Crawford County.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 23, 1991 Copies of order mailed to plaintiff and defendant, copy to County Control

1991

Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC
John V. Pepicelli

671.

ALFRED HAUN AND ALICE HAUN, HUSBAND AND WIFE

vs.

ELMER W. MILLS a/k/a ELMER W. MILLS, SR., HIS HEIRS AND ASSIGNS, AGNES MILLS, HER HEIRS AND ASSIGNS, ELMER W. MILLS a/k/a ELMER W. MILLS, JR., HIS HEIRS AND ASSIGNS, FRANK DUFFETT, HIS HEIRS AND ASSIGNS, AND GERALD MILLS, HIS HEIRS AND ASSIGNS

Filed July 12, 1991
@ 4:25 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	203.62
Pro Co Pd 10/1/91.	$9 02

COMPLAINT

2 copies (atty)

July 19, 1991 Motion For Service By Publication and Order filed. AND NOW, this 19th day of July, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion and Affidavit, it appearing that the identity and whereabouts of the defendants, Elmer w. Mills aka Elmer W. Mills, Sr., Agnes Mills, Elmer W. Mills aka Elmer W. Mills, Jr. and Frank Duffett, their heirs and assigns are unknown, following diligent search and inquiry, it is her eby ordered that the Sheriff of Crawford County, Pennsylvania make service upon the defendants, Elmer W. Mills aka Elmer W. Mills, Sr., Agnes Mills, Elmer W. Mills aka elmer W. Mills, Jr. and frank Duffett, their heirs and assigns, by publication in both the Meadville tribune and the Crawford County Legal Journal, one time. Said publications shall include notices inquiring the defendants, their heirs and assigns, to file an answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint within twenty (20) days after date of publication.

BY THE COURT,
2 copies atty. Gordon R. Miller

July 19, 1991 Affidavit filed. John V. Pepicelli, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and syas that he is the attorney for the Plaintiffs her ein and that the identity or whereabouts of the defendants, Elmer w. Mills aka Elmer W. Mills, Sr., Agnes Mills, Elmer W. Mills aka Elmer W. Mills, Jr. and frank Duffett, their heirs and assigns are unknown after diligent search and inquiry according to the best of his knowledge, information and belief. The whereabouts of Gerard Mills are known. He further say sthat his investigation included, as to all Defendants, a search of the Meadville, Pennsylvania are telephone directory, Crawford County Assessment Office real estate tax records, Historical Society records and the Crawford Conty Voter Registration records for Wayne Township and the probate r ecord of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania. John V. Pepicelli

Aug. 20, 1991 Personally appeared before me. Steve Huetter a Deputy for Sheriff of Allegheny Co., Pa. who being duly sworn according to law deposed and says that on the 26th day of July, 1991 he served Gerald Mills at 56 LaClede St, Pgh., Allegheny Co., Pa., with a true and attested copy of the within writ Action to Quiet Title Comp by handing the same to and leaving with Gerald and making known to him at 11:38 AM the contents thereof.
Steve Huetter, Deputy Sheriff, Allegheny Co., Pa.

SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED once only by p ublication on Wed., July 31, 1991, in The Meadville Tribune, Meadville, PA as proof of publication hereto attached.

SERVED ONCE ONLY BY PUBLICATION ON Wednesday, July 21, 1991 in the Crawford County Legal Journal, Meadville, PA as proof of publication hereto attached.

SERVED Girard Mills by deputizing Sheriff of Allegheny Co., as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served: July 26, 1991. So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Sept 27, 1991 at 4:00 p.m., Upon Praecipe of Plaintiffs' attorney , judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiffs and against all of the defendants, their heirs successors and assigns, pursuant to the Court of August 23, 1991.
M-51,51,11, 71
51, 51; D-65;
FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

aug. 23, 1991 Motion for Judgment and Order filed. AND NOW, this 23 day of August, 1991, it appearing that theComplaint inthe above actionhas been proprerly served upon all defendants, their heirs, successors and assigns, in accordance withthe Rules of civil Procedure, and that no Answer or other responsive pleading has been filed or Appearance entered by or on behalf of any of the defendant, it is hereby ordered , adjduged and decreed that the above-named defendants, their heirs, suceessors and assigns, are hereby and forever barred form asserting any right, lien, title or interest inconsistent with the respective interests ofthe Plaintiffs as set forth in their Complaint in all those certain pieces or parcels of alnd situate in Wayne Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, counded and descrived as follows:

PARCEL 1. **BEGINNING** AT A POINT ON THEWest side of French Creek, at the Southeast corner of the parcel herein descrived, theNortheast corner of land now or formerly of Floyd Hogue, and running West on the line of land now or formerly of said Floyd Hogue to the road known as theNickle Plate Road, Thense Northon said orad 200 feet; thence Eastwardly to the West side of French Creek, thence South along the West side of French Creek, 200 feet, to thepoint or place of beginning.

EXCEPTING AND RESERVING THEREFROM conveyance formElmer W. Mills, and Agnes Mills, his wife, to George Friedrich and Margaret Friedrich, his wife, date March 28, 1927 and recorded in Crawford County Deed Book 263, Page 167. ALSO EXCEPTING AND RESERVING conveyance formElmer W. Mills and Agnes Mills, his wife, to Lois Doescher dated March28, 1927 and recorded in Crawford County Deed Book 263, Page 319.

PARCEL 2. **COMMENCING** AT THE Soutwest corner of the parcel descrived aboave; thence Westwardly to alnd now or formerly of P. F. Schall; thence Northwardly 200 feet; thence Eastwardly to the Nickle Place Road; thence 200 feet Southwardly to thepoint or place of beginning.

Chain of title to said parcel may be found by referring to and as more specifically set forthin Complaint file din the office of the Prothonotary of Crawford County at the abovenumber and term. Defendants, their heirs, successors and assigns, are hereby forever barred from impeaching, levying or in any way attacking thetitles of the Plaintiffs to the aforsaid parcel of land, from commencing or mainting acy actionattacking the same or from encumbering, mortgaging or conveying the same or any part thereof unless the Defendants, their heirs, successors or assigns, shall wiht thirty (30) days of the date ofthe entry of this Order file a Petitionto ope or to strke judgment inthis proceeding or being an actionin ejectment against the Plaintiffs.

If no petitionto Oep or Strike Judgment is filed within thrity (30) days ofthis ORder, then upon Praecipe of the Plaintiff, the Prothontoary is directed to enter final judgemnt against the Defendants, their heirs, successors and assigns: BY THE COURT,
M-51-65 Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug. 23, 1991 Copy of order putin John V. Pepicelli's box.

Aug. 23, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, John V. Pepicelli, hereby certify that I am attroney for the above-named Plaintiffs; that theComplaint to Quiet Title, with notice to plead, was served upon the defendants, their heirs executors and assigns by publication pursuant to this HOnorable court's Order datedJuly 17, 1991, in the Crawford County Legal Journal andinthe Meadville Tribune, one time, on July 31, 1991 andon Gerald Mills by the Allegheny County , PA Sheriff's Department on July 26, 1991; that since the Complaint was published, dEfendants, their heris successors or assigns have neither entered an appearance nor filed an Answer or responsive pleading of any king; andthat the last day of file an Answer or other responsive pleadings was August 20, 1991. I understand that the statements made herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa C.S. 4904 relating to unswron falsification to authorities John V. Pepicelli

Continued on Margen.

1991

William Kuhar 672.	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, BUREAU OF DRIVER LICENSING vs. JOHN L. PAULICK, JR. Filed July 12, 1991 @ 4:36 p.m. Indigent filing	APPEAL (LICENSE SUSPENSION) (Affidavit to Proceed In Forma Pauperis attached to complaint) July 15, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 12, 1991, after the defendant appeared before the court shortly before the close of court business this date, representing himself and attempting to file an appeal of a driver's license suspension, the court orders as follows: 1. A heairng onthe defendant's appeal is hereby set for Thursday, Oct. 24, 1991 at 9:00 a.m. 2. This order shall not prevent teh commonwealth from Filing or raising appropriate objectons regarding the form of the appeal. 3. The Defenant is permitted to proceed in forma pauperis at this point without the filing of initial costs, but the court reserves the right to require the defendant to pay costs at a later time after hearing. 4. The defendant shall arrange to have a true and correct copy of all of the documents he is filing today, together with this order, served on the Department of Transportation in the manner required by law. BY ORDER OFTHE COURT, Gordon R. Miller, p.J. 3 copies (dft) Nov. 1, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 24, 1991 the appellant having filed a request for discontinuance of this proceeding, the appeal is discontinued and the Department of Transportaiton, may re-instate the suspension of school bus driver's license. BY ORDER OF THE COURT, Robert L. Walker, S. J. Nov. 7,1991 copies to Atty Kuhar and defendant.

1991

673.

RENEE L. BLY

vs.

RANDY GEISER

8/30/91 Recd $21.00 From Defendant

8/30/91 Paid $21.00 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff LH 6373

Filed July 15, 1991
@ 10:44 a.m.

Tax & entry	LH 8/30/91	$50.50
state	LH 8/30/91	5.00
Sheriff's costs		21.00
Pro Co pd	8/30/91	9.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 15th day of July, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff in any way. He shall not go to her residence or place of work

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for July 30, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 16, 1991 SERVED Randy Geiser personally on July 15, 1991 at 2:45 PM at the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 2, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, July 30, 1991 after hearing, before which the Court advised the Defendant as to the nature of the proceedings, advised him of his right to counsel, and the Plaintiff's burden of proof, the Defendant said he understood these proceedings and did not want counse; in fact, he indicated he had already talked to a lawyer who said to come on his own.

The Court finds that the Plaintiff has proven the allegations in her petition by the fair weight of the evidence and accordingly enters the following order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass, or threaten the Plaintiff or any of her minor children in any manner whatsoever, nor will he procure or encourage others to do so.
2. Possession of the parties' residence at 504 North Street is her eby granted to the Plaintiff.
3. The Defendant shall not go to the Pl aintiff's place of residence, nor shall he go to the Plaintiff's place of work.
4. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe that it has been violated.
5. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police department in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides, and to County Control.
6. This Order shall remain in full force and effect until July 29, 1992 unless modified or terminated by further order of court.
7. The Defendant shall pay court costs in the maount of $85.50 no later than August 30, 1991.
8. The Defendant is reminded that this is an Order of Court and violation of this order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to 6 months.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug. 5, 1991 Copies of order to Gerald Sullivan, Defendant and County Control

1991

674.

SANDRA M. HOLLABAUGH

vs.

JOHN R. BLANKENSHIP

8/1/91 Recd $24.00 From Defendant

8/1/91 Paid $24.00 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff
Costs Ck# 6333

Filed July 15, 1991
@ 10:49 a.m.

Tax & entry	RHS 8/1/91	$50.50
state	RH 8/1/91	5.00
sheriff's costs		21.00
Pros Co RH 8/1/91		9.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 15th day of July, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact plaintiff in any way. Defendant shal lnot go to Plaintiff's residence.

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for July 31, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 16, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED John R. Blankenship personally on July 15, 1991 at 11:05 AM at the Crawford County Jail, 360 Center Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

August 2, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 31, 1991 at the time fixed for hearing in this matter, the plaintiff appeared with cunsel. The defendant appeared without counsel, was advised by the court as to the nature of these proceedings, as well as his ritht to counsel, all of which the defendant said he understood. The defendant agreed to proceed without counsel and entreed into an agreement of settlement with plaintiff and her counsel.

THEREFORE, pursuant to said agreement, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The plaintiff shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the plaintiff, nor shall he cause, either directly or indirectly, to assault, abuse harass or threatenthe plaintiff. The defendant does not admit that he committed the acts set forth in the petition.
2. The defendant shall not go to the plaintiff's residence.
3. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe that it has been violated.
4. A copy of this order shall be delivered to the Police Dept. in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. This order shall remain in full formce and effect for one year, or until July 30, 1992, unless modified or terminated by court order.
6. The defendant shall pay court costs in the amount of $85.50 forthwith.
7. The defendant is reminded that this is an Order of court and violation of this order may be punishable by a fine of up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence up to six (6) months.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 5, 1991 copies to G. Sullivan, defendant, County Control and Titusville Police.& plff.

1991

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso,
Gamble and Fabian
Ann Hansen Gamble

675.

ROBERT J. PRICE, JR.

vs.

CHRISTINE K. PRICE

Filed July 15, 1991
@ 1:29 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state 7/17/91	5.00
Pro Co Pd 4-16-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

July 19, 1991 Affidavit of Mailing filed. Ann Hansen Gamble, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practive law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and that on July 16, 1991, she sent a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to the Defendant by certified mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid, receipt requested, to Christine K. Price, box 172, RD 2, Centerville, PA 16404, the Defendant's last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto. Ann Hansen Gamble

Mar. 25, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

April 15, 1992, Affidavit of Consent of Defedant filed.

Apr. 16, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D.

April 27, 1992 AND NOW, April 27, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Robert J. Price, Jr., Plaintiff, and Christine K. Price, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

126

1991

Prather, Prather,
& Pineo
Mark D. Prather

676.

LEROY TROYER AND ALVIN TROYER, t/d/b/a TROYER CONSTRUCTION

vs.

STEPHEN STURREY AND HELEN STURREY, HIS WIFE

Filed July 15, 1991
@ 2:09 p.m.

Tax & entry PaID	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	25.00
Pro Co Pd 9-25-91	9.00
Pro. Co. Pd 6/30/92 Satisfaction	5.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Aug. 8, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Stephen Sturrey and Helen Sturrey on July 29 1991 at 11:15 AM by handing copy to Helen Sturrey, the wife, at the residence, R.D. #4, Cochranton, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Sept 25, 1991 at 11:48 a.m. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney with notice of judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiffs and against the defendants by reason of defendants' default in filing responsive pleading within the time allocated therefor in the amount of: Principal, Seven Thousand Four Hundred Sixty-four and 04/100 Dollars; Interest from 10/25/90 @ 6%, Four Hundred Ten and 30/100 Dollars; TOTAL Seven Thousand Eight Hundred Seventy-four and 34/100 Dollars.
S-155, 85 Debt.....$7,874.34
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary
Sept 25, 1991 Judgment entered.

Sept 26, 1991 Notice of judgment mailed defendants.

June 30, 1992 This judgment satisfied.
(See paper filed)

1991

Shafer, Swick Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

677.
~~withdrawn~~
~~Mary Ann Kirkpatrick~~

Thomas Dana Watson

JEANNE Y. SMITH

vs.

MICHAEL L. SMITH

Filed July 15, 1991
@ 3;10 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
3 counts paid	45.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	20.00
Pro Co Pd 6-1-92	9.00

Gary Fabian, Master

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATION AND DISTRIBUTION, COUNSEL FEES AND EXPERT FEES, AND ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE.

1 copy (atty)

July 16, 1991 Affidavit of Personal Service filed: I, James R. Irwin, do hereby verify that I personally served the abovenamed Defendant with a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed at the above number, on the 15th day of June, 1991 in Courtroom No. 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

James R. Irwin

Sept. 20, 1991 Appearance of Mary Ann Kirkpatrick on behalf of the Defendant filed.

Oct. 2, 1991 Motion To Consolidate filed.

Oct. 2, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, October 1, 1991, the motion of Michael L. Smith to consolidate these actions is denied however the Court will permit a consolidated hearing if issues of alimony pendente lite are raised in on or both of the cases or if there are any hearings or arguments on issues that may be raised at 1988-354 that are also raised at 1991-677

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 3, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Kirkpatrick and Irwin

Jan. 10, 1992 Defendant/Counter=Claim Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Jan. 10, 1992 Defendant's Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Jan. 10, 1992 Counter=Claim For Divorce filed.

Jan. 17, 1992 Inventory of Defendant filed.

Jan. 17, 1992 Income and Expense Statement Of Michael L. Smith filed.

Feb. 12, 1992, Defendant's Supplemental Income and Expense Statement filed.

Feb. 18, 1992 Motion For Appointment of Master In Divorce filed.

Feb. 18, 1992 ORder Appointing Master filed. And , Now, Feb. 14, 1992, Gary R. Fabian, Esq. is appointed master with respect to the following claims: Divorce, Alimony, Equitable Distribution and Counsel fees and costs. Plaintiff shall deposit $300.00 and Defendant shall deposit $700.00 with the Prothonotary toward master's fees. Payment shall be made on or before March 15, 1992.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Feb. 24, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. James Irwin, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Gary Fabian.

Mar. 31, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Apr. 6, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW,March 31, 1992 at the time fixed for hearing on support matters involving these parties, they both appeared with counsel and agree to an amicable settlement of all the issues now pending between them, including the issues raised in this case.

Based upon said agreement and understanding, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. Each party will sign an Affidavit of Consent under 3301(c) of the Divorce Code forthwith. Thereafter, the Plaintiff shall file a praecipe to refer the case to the Court for final divorce decree.

2. The following terms and provisions regarding equitable distribution of the marital property are hereby ORDERED, WHICH SAID PROVISIONS SHALL BE INVORPORATED AND ENFORCEABLE AS THOUGH A PART of the divorce decree that will be entered in this case.

A. The Defendant shall pay the sum of $1200 tothe Plaintiff no later than April 14, 1992.

B. The Defendant shall pay the sum of $800 to the Plaintiff within six (6) months of this date.

C. The following items of personal property shall become the sole property of the Plaintiff at the time of the divorce decree:

*1989 Mercury Automobile
*All of the contents and tangible plersonal property located in the former marital residence, except for duck blinds, Defendant's hunting equipment and gear, and carpentry tools in the barn.

D. The following items of tangible personal property shall be the sole property of the Defendant as of the date the divorce decree is entered:

*Duck Blinds
*Defendant's hunting equipment and gear
*Carpentry Tools in the barn
*1986 Plymouth
*1990 Chevrolet van
*Tractor with mower attachment and snow blade (if it is still on the property)

The parties shall arrange through their attorneys for a mutually acceptable time for the Defendant to go to the former marital residence to pick up the items of tangible personal property that are awarded to him.

E. The following items of tangible and/or intangible personal property shall be the sole property of the Defendant as of the date of the divorce decree:

*Interest in businesses known as Vernon Towing and Systems Design

F. The Defendant shall indemnify and hold harmless Plaintiff from any loss she may suffer, including reasonable attorney's fees in representing and defending herself with respect to any debt heretofore incurred, that is connected or relating to Vernon Towing and Systems Design.

G. The marital residence has already been deeded and Transferred to the Plaintiff. There appears to be a mortgage and bond that are secured by the marital residence. The Plaintiff shall well and truly pay and satisfy all sums due to the mortgagee under said mortgage, and shall indemnify and hold harmless the Defendant from any loss he may suffer or claims against him, including reasonable attorney's fees, by reason of the Plaintiff's failure to satisfy said mortgage and bond according to their terms. The Plaintiff shall either pay the indebtedness in full or refinance said indebtedness on the marital residence no later than five years from this date so as to remove the Defendant from that indebtedness.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 466

1991

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis E. Blystone

678.

PENNBANK now INTEGRA NATIONAL BANK/NORTH
vs.

LEWIS C. PORTER AND JOHN R. PORTER, JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY

Filed July 15, 1991
@ 3:11 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	22.50
Pro Co pd 11/19/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)
1 copy (atty)

Aug. 5, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. SERVED John R. Porter on July 24, 1991 at 10:24 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Ray Ellis, adult in charge at residence, R.D. #3, Box 220, Linesville, Crawford County, PA. So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 19, 1991 PRAECIPE FOR REINSTATEMENT OF COMPLAINT, filed.

Sept. 17, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. The undersigned, T. M. Marshall, being duly swornaccording to law, deposes and says that:

1.He/She isan adult individual employed by theSheriff's Office of Berkeley County, South Carolina, and, in conjunction therewith, is assigned the resonsiblity for effecting service of porcess of civil proceedings within Berkeley County, South Carolina:

2. He/She served a true and attested copy of the Complaint in the above caption Action onthe Defendant, Lewis C. Porter, 9-9-91 07:16 o'clock a.m., prevailing local time, at his place of residence at 114 AldeneStreet,Goose Creek, SouthCarolina, 29445 by handing said copy of the Complaint at the residence ofthe Defendant, Lewis C. Porter, to his wife Mary Porter, and adult member ofthe family with whomhe resides.

And Now, Nov. 19, 1991 at 11:43 am upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answeer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Thirty Thousand Five Hundred Ninety-nine and 81/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

P-237-94 Debt $39,599.81

judgment entered 11/19/91

Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Dec. 11, 1991 Motion To Amend Judgment So An Name The Real Party In Interest filed.

Dec. 11, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, December 11, 1991, upon presentation ofthe foregoing Motion to Amend Judgment so as to Name the Real Party in Interest, it is hereby ordered that the Jdugment entered in favor of Pennbank be amended so as to be entered in favor of Integra National Bank/North. The Prothonotary is directed to amend the judgment accordingly.

Gordon R. Miller, J

dec. 13, 1991 Copy of order put in box for Atty. Blystone

EX 1992-46

1991

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis E. Blystone

679.

David W. Pickens

PENNBANK now INTEGRA NATIONAL BANK/NORTH
vs.
LEWIS C. PORTER AND JOHN R. PORTER, JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY

Filed July 15, 1991
@ 3:13 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	22.50
Pro Co Pd 1/31/92	9.00
Pro Co Pd 6-17-92	5.00

COMPLAINT IN A CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)
1 copy (atty)

Aug. 5, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. SERVED John R. Porter on July 24, 1991 at 10:25 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Ray Ellis, adult in charge at residence, R.D.#3, Box 220, Linesville, Crawford County, PA. So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 19, 1991 Complaint Reinstated.

Sept. 17, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. The undersigned T M Marshall being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that:
1. He/She is an adult individual employed by the Sheriff's Office of Berkeley County, South Carolina, and, in conjunction therewith, is assigned the resonsibility for effecting service of the process of civil proceedings with Berkeley County, South Carolina;
2. he/She served a true and attested copy of the Complaint in the above caption Actionon the Defendant, Lewis C./ Porter, on 9-9-91, 07:16 o'clock a.m., prevailing local time, at his place of residence at 114 Aldene Street,Goose Creek, SouthCarolina, 29445 by handing said copy ofthe Complaint at the residence ofthe Defendnat, Leiw C. Porter, tohis wife, Mary Porter, and adult memberofthe family with whomhe resides.

Oct. 16, 1991 Preliminary Objections Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1017(5) filed.

Nov. 21, 1991 Answer to Preliminary Objections filed.

Nov. 21, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, preliminary objections placed on next argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR DECEMBER 1991

Dec. 11, 1991 Motion To Correct The Name Of Party filed.

Dec. 11, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, December 11, 1991, upon presentation of the foregoing Motion of Pennbank, plaintiff in this action, it is ordered that the name of Pennbank shall be changed to Integra National Bank/North. The Prothonotary is directed to amend the caption of the case accordingly. Gordon R. Miller, J
Dec. 13, 1991 Copy of order put in box for Atty's Blystone & Pickens

Dec. 20, 1991 ASSIGNMENT OF ARGUMENT DATE, filed. And now, Dec. 20, 1991, argument on the above matter is set for Jan. 27, 1992, at 1:30 PM, in Courtroom 2, before Anthony J. Vardaro, J. FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator

Jan. 3, 1992, copy in box for C. Blystone & D. Pickens

Jan. 24, 1992 MOTION TO WITHDRAW PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS AS MOOT AND CONSENT TO ENTRY OF JUDGMENT, CERTIFICATE OF MOTIFICATION OF PRESENTATION and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 24th day of January,1992, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion of the Defendant and pursuant thereto, it is Ordered and Decreed that:
The Defendant's Preliminary Objections are dismissed, the matter should be removed from the argument list and the Plaintiff is free to enter judgement. Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Jan. 28, 1992 copy in box for C. Blystone & D. Pickens

And Now, Jan. 24, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, (12:09 p.m.) in accordance with order of court dated Jan. 24, 1992, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants; damages assessed in the amount of Eleven THousand Seven Hundred Fourteen and 39/100 Dollars, together with interest on judgment amount at rate of 12.5% per annum in accordance with the contract terms. (see paper filed for costs and fees included indebt)
Judgment entered (1/24/92)
P - 104 , 94

Debt ----- $11,714.39

Int. at 12.5%
Barbara H. King,
Prothonotary

EX 1992-45
June 17, 1992 This Lien Satisfied (see Certification filed at EX 1992-45 - Sheriffs Return)

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
Paul D. Shafer

680.

Lee A. Montgomery
for defendant
Manufactured Housing Enterprises
Kirkpatrick, Montgomery & Crissman

Blystone & Blystone
Peter E. Blystone
Pennbank

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
David L. Hotchkiss
for dfts. W. and E. Shifflet t/a Hayfield Est. Mob. Homes

GERDA S. FLUMMER

vs.

WILLIAM SHIFFLETT AND ERICA SHIFFLETT, HIS WIFE, INDIVIDUALLY AND t/d/b/a HAYFIELD ESTATES MOBILE HOMES; ~~PENNBANK AND MANUFACTURED HOUSING ENTERPRISES~~ INTEGRA NATIONAL BANK/NORTH and MANUFACTURED HOUSING ENTERPRISES

Filed July 15, 1991
@ 4:01 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	66.07
Pro. Co. pd9/23/93	30.00
State pd. 9/23/93	5.00
Pro Co Pd 12-6-94	5.00
Pro. Co. pd 2/6/95	$5.00
Pro.Co. Pd 2/14/95	$1.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Aug. 13, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED William Shifflett personally on July 16, 1991 at 3:58 PM at h is residence, R.D.#1, Reservoir Rd., Saegertown, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Erica Shifflett on July 16, 1991 at 3:59 PM by handing copy of Summons to William Shifflett, her husband, at the residence, R.D.#1, Reservoir Rd., Saegertown, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Hayfield Estates Mobile Homes on July 16, 1991 at 4:00 PM by handing copy of Summons to William Shifflett, adult in charge at business, R.D.#1, Reservoir Rd., Saegertown, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Pennbank on July 17, 1991 at 2:54 PM by handing copy of Summons to Beverly Mulllen, Head teller and person in charge, at 349 North Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Manufactured Housing Enterprises by certified mail at R.R.#4, Bryan, OH 43506, as per return receipt attached. Served on July 19, 1991.

So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 14, 1991 Appearance of Lee A. Montgomery for the firm Kirpatrick, Montgomery & Crissman, for the defendant Manufactured Housing Enterprises.

Aug 16, 1991 COMPLAINT, filed.

Aug. 30, 1991 Appearance of Blystone & Blystone by Peter E. Blystone for Pennbank filed.

Sept. 4, 1991 Demand for Jury Trial filed.

Sept 30, 1991 Answer and New Matter and New Matter Under Rule 2252(d) filed.

Nov 1, 1991 Answer and New Matter and New Matter Under Rule 2252(d) of Pennbank filed.

Nov 7, 1991 Reply to New Matter of Defendant Pennbank filed.

Nov. 25, 1991 Motion To Correct The Name of Party, Certificate of Mailing and ORDER filed. AND NOW, Nov. 25, 1991, upon presentation of the foregoing Motion of Pennbank, party to this action, it is ordered that the name of Pennbank shall be changed to Integra National Bank/North. The Prothonotary is directed to amend the caption of the case accordingly.

Gordon R. Miller,
Judge

Dec. 3, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. Paul Shafer, Lee Montgomery and Peter Blystone.

Dec. 6, 1991 Preliminary Objections to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Dec 12, 1991, Preliminary Objections to Defendants' Preliminary Objections filed.

Dec. 13, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, this case placed on next argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR JANUARY 1992

Dec. 18, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Plaintiff's Preliminary Objections to Defendants Preliminary Objections placed on next argument list.

Dec. 27, 1991 Reply to New Matter and Reply to New Matter Pursuant to Rule 2252 (d) filed.

Dec. 31, 1991, Answer and New Matter of william shifflett and Erica Shifflett, his wife, individually and t/d/b/a Hayfield Estates Mobile Homes to New Matter of Defendant Pennbank, Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 2252(d) filed.

Jan. 15, 1992 ASSIGNMENT OF ARGUMENT DATE filed. Jan. 14, 1992, argument in the above matter is set for Feb. 20, 1992, at 9:00 A.M. in Courtroom No. 2.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm.

Jan. 17, 1992 copy in box for P. Shafer, Jr., P. Blystone, D. Hotchkiss & copy mailed to L Montgomery.

Jan. 15, 1992 Answer of William Shifflett and Erica Shifflett, Individually and t/d/b/a Hayfield Estates Mobile Homes, to Plaintiff's Preliminary Objections, filed.

Jan. 21, 1992, Answer of Defendants william Shifflett and Erica shifflett, t/d/b/a Hayfield Estates Mobile HOmes to New Matter of Defendant Manufactured Housing Enterprises filed Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 2252(d) filed.

Jan. 28, 1992, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Feb. 27, 1992, after argument the preliminary objections of the plaintiff to the preliminaryobjections of the Defendants, William Shifflett and Erica Shifflett, his wife, individually and t/d/b/a Hayfield EstatesMobile Homes, are sustained and the preliminary objectins of William Shifflett and Erica shifflett, his wife, individually and t/d/b/a Hayfield Mobile Homes, are stricken.

The Defendants, william Shifflett and Erica shifflett, his wife, individually and t/d/b/a Hayfield Estates Mobile Homes, shall file an answer within twenty days of the date of this order.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Feb. 28, 1992, copies given or mailed to all counsel of record.

**********SEE ENTRIES OF Aug. 25, 1993)

Mar. 4, 1992 Reply of Defendant Integra NATIONAL Bank/North to New Matter of Defendants William Shifflett and Erica shifflett filed.

Continued on Pg. 130A

Mar. 18, 1992, Answer, New Matter and Counter Claim to Plaintiff's Complaint Filed on Behalf of William Shifflett and Erica Shifflett, d/b/a Hayfield Estates Mobile Homes, filed.

Apr. 10, 1992 Plaintiff's Reply To New Matter and Counterclaim of defendant, William Shifflett and Erica Shifflett, his wife, INdividually and t/d/b/a Hayfield Estates Mobile Homes filed.

April 23, 1992 Notice of Service of Interrogatories to Plaintiff and Requests for Production to Plaintiff, filed.

April 27, 1992 Reply of Manufactured Housing Enterprises To New Matter and New Matter Under 2252 (d) filed on Behalf of Defendants Shifflett.

April 27, 1992 Reply of Intergra National Bank/North to New Matter and New Matter under Pa. R.C.P. No. 2252 (d) filed on Behalf of Defendants Shifflett.

Aug. 27, 1992, Notice of Service of Interrogatories to Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 11, 1993 MOTION OF INTEGRA NATIONAL BANK/NORTH FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL NEW MATTER AND COUNTERCLAIM and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 11th day of January, 1993, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion of defendant, Integra National Bank/North is granted leave to file its Supplemental New Matter and Counterclaim as contained in Exhibit "A" attached to the Motion.
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.
Jan. 14, 1993 copy to P. Blystone, P. Shafer, L. Montgomery, D. Hotchkiss

Jan. 11, 1993 SUPPLEMENTAL NEW MATTER AND COUNTERCLAIM OF DEFENDANT INTEGRA NATIONAL BANK/NORTH, filed.

Jan. 14, 1993 Notice of Intent To Complete Discovery filed.

Jan. 25, 1993 Notice of Oral Deposition of Gerda S. Flummer, filed.

Jan. 25, 1993 Motion of Defendant, Integra National Bank/North, for Summary Judgmetn Against Defendants, William Shifflett and Erica Shifflett, filed.

Jan. 25, 1993 Motion for Partial Summary Judgment with Respect to Plaintiff's Action Against Integra National Bank/North, filed.

Feb. 5, 1993 Plaintiff's Motion For Protective Ordr and Stay As To Plaintiff's Second Oral Deposition and Order filed. AND NOW, this 5th day of February, 1993, upon consideration of the Motion of the Plaintiff, this motion is denied Integra shall not take plaintiff's deposition after the February 9, 1993 deposition with out Planitiff's approval or further order of court.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Feb. 8, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Blystone, Shafer, Montgomery & Hothckiss

Feb 11, 1993 Notice of Oral Deposition of Gerda S. Flummer, filed.

Feb. 18, 1993 Defendant Manufactured Enterprises' Reply To Supplementa New Matter and Counterclaim of Defendant Integra National Bank/North filed.

Feb. 26, 1993 Motion of William Shifflett, and Erica Shifflett, t/d/b/a Hayfield Estates Mobile Homes, for Leave to File Supplemental New Matter and Counterclaim and Order, filed. ORDER: And Now, this 26th day of February, 1993, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion of Defendants, William Shifflett and Erica Shifflett, t/d/b/a Hayfield Estates Mobile Homes, it is hereby ordered that William Shifflett and Erica Shifflett, t/d/b/a Hayfield Estates Mobile Homes, are granted leave to file their Supplemental New matter and Counterclaim as contained in Exhibit "A" attached to the Motion.
No one appeared to oppose after notice given.
By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.
Mar 5, 1993 copy to P. Shafer, P. Blystone, D. Hotchkiss & L. Montgomery
********** (see Aug. 25 entries)

Mar. 8, 1993 Supplemental New Matter and counterclaim filed.

April 16, 1993, transcript of Proceedings of Deposition of Gerda Flummer Stewart, filed.

Apr. 15, 1993 Reply To Supplemental New Matter and Counterclaim of Defendants, William Shifflett and Erica Shifflett his wife, individually, and t/d/b/a Hayfield Estates Mobile HOmes filed.

Apr. 15, 1993 Reply To Supplemental New Matter and Counterclaim Of Defenant Integra National Bank/North filed.

Apr. 16, 1993 Upon praecipe of Atty. Blystone the above case is placed on the next available argument list on Integra National Bank/North's Motion for Summary Judgment against defendants William Shifflett and Erica Shifflett.

Apr. 16, 1993 Upon praecipe of Atty. Blystone the above case is placed on the next available argument on Integra National Bank/North's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment with respect to plaintiff's action against Integra National Bank/North.

MAY 1993 ARGUMENT LIST

Apr. 21, 1993 Verification To Reply To Supplemental New Matter and Counterclaim of Defendants William Shifflett and Erica Shifflett, his wife individually and t/d/b/a Hayfield Estates Mobile Homes filed.

Apr. 21, 1993 Verification To Reply To Supplemental New Matter and counterclaim of Defendant Integra National Bank/ North filed.

Apr. 29, 1993 Motion on behalf of William Shifflett, and Erica Shifflett t/d/b/a Hayfield Estates Mobile Homes, in limine and Preliminary Order Filed. AND NOW, upon consideration of the motion presented on behalf of William Shifflett, and Erica Shifflett t/d/b/a Hayfield Estates Mobile HOmes, in limine, it is directed that this matter shall be placed on the argument list upon praecipe presented to the prothonotary by the movant accompanied by movant's brief, or otherwise as provided by rule of Court.
By the Court:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.
May 3, 1993Copy of Order toAttys. Shafer, Montgomery, Blystone, Hotchkiss.

May 5, 1993 Reply to Motion in Limine Filed on Behalf of William Shifflett and Erica Shifflett, T/D/B/A Hayfield Estates Mobile Homes, filed.

Continued to Page 130 B

130B

May 24, 1993 Motion to Amend Complaint and ORDER Filed. AND NOW, this 24 day of May, 1993 upon consideration of the foregoing Motion to Amend Complaint, it is hereby Ordered that said Complaint may be amended as requested as to the Defendants William Shifflett and Erica Shifflett only as individually and TDBA Hayfield Estates Mobile Homes.

May 26, 1993 Statement of Facts and Affidavit of Plaintiff in Accordance with Pa. R.C.P. 1035 and Local Rule L1035A filed.

May 26, 1993 Amended Complaint filed.

May 26,1993 Response to Motion for Partial Summary Judgment filed.

May 28, 1993 Copy of May 24, 1993 to Attys. P. Shafer, P. Blystone, D. Hotchkiss, & L. Montgomery

Aug..25, 1993, Transcript of Proceedings of deposition of Erica Shifflett filed.

Aug. 25, 1993, Transcript of Proceedings of deposition of Gerda Flummer Stewart, filed.

**********(see notation at Feb. 28, 1992)

Feb. 28, 1992, Transcript of Proceedings of Erica Shifflett filed.

Feb. 28, 1992, Transcript of Proceedings of William Shifflett filed.

Aug. 25, 1993 Memordandum and Order, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, August 24, 1993, the motion for summary judgment of Defendnat Integra Bank/North is granted with respect to William Shifflett and Erica Shifflett, his wife, in the amount of $18,621.14 plus per diem interest from September 18, 1991 at the rate of $6.59 per day. Integra's request for summary judgment with respect to indemnification from William Shifflett and Erica Shifflett is denied.

Integra's request for partial summary judgment limiting the amount that the Plaintiff can recover against Integra as to the sum paid by the Plaintiff, specifically $2,311.02, is granted so that Plaintiff may pursue all claims agaisnt Integra to that extent based on its alleged liability for Defendant Shifflett's breach of express/implied warranties; Defendant Shifflett's fraudulent conduct/misrepresentations under the Unfair Trade and Consumer Protection Law and Integra's liability for Shifflett's violations of the Magnuson-Moss Act. Additionally, Plaintiff may pursue her declaratory judgment count against Integra insofar as that seeks n o affirmative monetary recovery.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug. 25, 1993 copies dist. to Millen,Montgomery, Blystone & Hotchkiss

Sept. 16, 1993 Certificate of Readiness For Placement on Civil Trial Term filed.

ON 1994 FEBRUARY CIVIL TRIAL LIST

Sept. 23, 1993 Notice of Appeal of Defendant to Superior Court of PA. filed.

Sept. 27, 1993 Objection to Certificate of Readiness Filed on Behalf of William Shifflett, and Erica Shifflett, d/b/a Hayfield Estates Mobile Homes and ORDER filed. And Now, this 27th day of September, 1993, upon consideration of the foregoing Objections and Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Certificate of Readiness for trial, it is ordered: the Certificate of Readiness is stricken because this case is on appeal to the Superior Court.

By The Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept 30, 1993 Copies to all Counsel of record.

Oct. 8, 1993 Notice of Superior Court Docketing, Docket #01563PGH93, filed.

Mar. 4, 1994, Transcript of Proceedings of deposition of William Shifflett filed. (3/7/94 mailed to Sup. Ct.)

May 4, 1994 Answer, New Matter and Counter Cliam to Plaintiff s Amended Complaint filed on behalf of William Shifflett and Erica Shifflett, d/b/a Hayfield Estates Mobiles Homes, filed.

May 13, 1994 Reply To Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim on behalf of William Shifflett and Erica Shifflett,d/b/a Hayfield Estates Mobile Homes, filed.

May 16, 1994 Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON OCTOBER 1994 CIVIL TRIAL LIST

May 20, 1994 Motion for Partial Summary Judgment filed.

May 20, 1994 Statement of Facts in Support of Motion on behalf of William Shifflett, and Erica Shifflett, t/d/b/a Hayfield Estates Mobile HOmes for Partial Summary Judgment, filed.

May 20, 1994 Preliminary Order filed. And Now, this 18th day of May,1994, upon consideration of the foregoing motion presented on behalf of William Shifflett andErica Shifflett, d/b/a Hayfield Estates Mobile Homes, for partial summary judgment, it is ordered and directed that Movants' Motion and Statement of Facts shall be filed in the Office of the Prothonotary, and this matter shallbe set for argument upon the filing of a Praecipe for Argument per Rule L306.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

5-20-94 Faxed to Crissman, Hotchkiss, Blystone & Millin

May 24, 1994 Vertification To Reply To Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim filed on Behalf ofWilliam Shifflett and Erica Shifflett, d/b/a Hayfield Estates Mobile Homes filed.

May 23, 1994 Upon Praecipe of David L. Hotchkiss, Esquire, Attorney, the above captioned matter for Partial Summary Judgment is placed on the next available Argument list.

ON JUNE, 1994 ARGUMENT LIST

June 8, 1994Certificate of Contents of Remanded Record and Notice of Remand under PA. Rules of Appellate Procedure 2571 and 2572, filed.

June 8, 1994 JUDGMENT filed. ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the Court of Common Pelas of Crawford County be, and the same is hereby AFFIRMED.

BY THE COURT:
Eleanor R. Valecko, Deputy Prothonotary

Dated: April 28, 1994

EX1994-39

Sept. 23, 1994 Application For Continuance Of October 1994 Trial Date and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 22nd day of September, 1994, the Application for Continuance filed by Plaintiff is hereby granted. This case is placed on the February, 1995, Civil Jury Trial List.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, j.

Sept. 23, 1994 Order FAXED to Attys. Millin, P. Blystone, Hotchkiss and Crissmon.

Continued on 130C

1991 TERM

Flummer vs Shifflett AD | 1991 - 680 Continued from Page 130 B

Dec 1, 1994 . The judgment rendered August 24, 1993 in favor of Integra Bank/North against defendants, William L. Shifflett and Erica R. Shifflett in the amount of $18,621.14, having been paid in full, debt, interest and costs, please mark the same satisfied of record upon payment of the filing fee for this instrument. Peter E. Blystone

Feb. 6, 1995 Upon Praecipe of Jeffrey K. Millin, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff , the above captioned matter settled and discontinued of record.

File on top of old Docket Cabinet

130
-d-

1991 TERM

1991

Stephen H. Hutzelman
Hutzelman, Walsh and Walsh

681.

WAYNE C. MAYNARD AND BETTY J. MAYNARD, HIS WIFE

vs.

PHILIP S. CHASE AND LOUISA A. CHASE, HIS WIFE

Filed July 16, 1991
@ 10:58 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Paid 8-16-91	9.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (atty)

July 29, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed:
I, Tamara Ochs Rothchild, Esquire, attorney for the Defendants, Philip S. Chase and Louisa A. Chase, his wife, hereby accept service of the within Complaint this 7th day of July, 1991.
Tamera Ochs Rothchild, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant

AND NOW, August 16, 1991 @ 11:37 a.m. Upon Consent Stipulation by the parties hereto, by and through their counsel, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiffs and against the Defendants in the amount of Thirty-three Thousand Eight Hundred Eighty-three and 96/100 Dollars. The lien of this judgment shall be limited to property located in the Borough of Spartansburg, County of Crawford and State of Pennsylvania as described in Articles of Agreement between the parties dated June 14, 1989 and the Defendants shall not be responsible for any deficiency Judgment.
C-136, 106

Debt.....$33,883.96
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

8-16-91 Judgment entered.

EX1991-86

1991

Legal Services
R. Christopher Brode

682.

Harry Faber White, II

KATHY S. ADAMS

vs.

JAMES P. DAMRATOSKI, JR.

Filed July 16, 1991
@ 1:52 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.25 ✓
state paid	5.00
Pro co pd 8/22/91	9.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF WILLIAM D. CHISHOLM, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-2-01

July 16, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

July 23, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 2, 1991, Complaint filed. 4 copies (atty)

And now, Aug. 22, 1991 @ 9:34 a.m. upon praecipe of defendants attorney judgment of Non Pros is hereby entered against the named defendant for failure to file answer wihtin twenty (20) days after required by Pa. Rule of Civil Procedure 1037(b).
D-92

JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons, Pro
Meadville, PA

Aug. 22, 1991 Preliminary Objections of the Defendants - Motion to Strike - filed.

Sept. 9, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney Judgment of Non Pros filed on August 22, 1991 is hereby withdrawn as it was not properly filed pursuant to the Pennsylvania Rules of Court.

Nov. 20, 1991 Amended Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

1991

Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs, PC
Christopher J. Youngs

683.

~~Jeffrey K. Millen~~
~~Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack~~
withdrew

GEORGE CUJAS
GEORGE'S JEWELRY ON THE SQUARE, INC.

vs.

REMEMBRANCE, INC.

Filed July 16, 1991
@ 2:28 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.25 ✓
state paid 5.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF WILLIAM D. CHISHOLM, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-2-01

July 16, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

July 17, 1991, Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 14, 1991 **COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION**, filed. 2 copies Atty

Aug. 23, 1991 Prelimlinary Objections filed.

Sept. 19, 1991 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attorney the above matter is placed on the next available argument list.

1991 OCTOBER ARGUMENT LIST

Oct. 18, 1991 Plaintiff's Answer and New Matter to Plaintiffs Preliminary Objections filed.

Oct. 24, 1991 Notice of Service of Interrogatories and Request for Production filed.

Oct. 23, 1991, STIPULATION AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Oct. 23, 1991 upon the above stipulation, argument on defendants' Preliminary Objections to plaintiff's complaint is continued generally and shall be rescheduled at the request of either party.
By the Court
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct. 29, 1991 copies to J. Millin and C. Youngs.

Nov. 12, 1991 Notice Of Service Of Second Set Of Interrogatories filed.

Nov. 18, 1991 Reply To Plaintiff's New Matter filed.

Dec. 30, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, argument rescheduled in the above case; placed on next list.

ON JANUARY 1992 ARGUMENT LIST

Jan. 15, 1992 ASSIGNMENT OF ARGUMENT DATE filed. Jan. 14, 1992, argument in the above matter is set for Feb. 7, 1992, at 3:00 P.M., in Courtroom No. 1.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm..

Jan. 17, 1992 copy in box for C. Youngs & J. Millin

Feb. 3, 1992, Defendant's answers to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories filed.

Feb. 3, 1992, Defendant's answers to Plaintiff's Second set of Interrogatories filed.

Feb. 18, 1992, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER FILED. ORDER: AND NOW, FEb. 18, 1992, the defendants preliminary objections are denied. The defendant is given twenty (20) days from this date to file an answer to the plaintiff's complaint.
BYTHE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Feb. 18,1992, copies to attys. of record.

Mar. 11, 1992 Defendant's Answer New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

Aug. 10, 1992, Plaintiff's Petition to Enforce Settlement and Rule to show Cause filed. RULE TO SHOW CAUSE: AND NOW, upon presentation of the foregoing Petition to Enforce Settlement, a rule is hereby issued upon the defendant to show cause, if any, why the relief requested by the palitniff should not be granted. said Rule shall be returnable and anhearing held thereon on Oct. 1, 1992 at 9:00 a.m. c. r. No. 1 or 2, crawford County courthouse, Meadville, Pa.
Aug. 10, 1992
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, ct. Adm.

Aug. 11, 1992, copies of Rule to Attys. c. Youngs and Jeffrey Millen.

Sept. 30, 1992 Motion To Withdraw as Counsel and Order filed. AND NOW, this 30 day of September, 1992, upon consideration of the Motion to Withdraw as Counsel presented by Jeffrey K. Millin, Esquire, said Motion is granted and counsel shall be removed as attorney of record for the defendant in this matter.
BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Oct. 7, 1992 Copies of order put in box for atty's. Youngs and Millin

Oct 21, 1992 MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Oct. 19, 1992, pursuant to Plaintiff's petition to enforce settlement an evidentiary hearing is hereby scheduled for Dec. 16, 1992 at 11:00 a.m. in Courtroom NO. 1 or 2 Crawford County Courthouse at which time the Court will hear evidence on the existence, terms and validity of the alleged settlement agreement as well as evidence in support of Plaintiff's claim for counsel fees pursuant to 42 Pa. C.S.A. §2503.
BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Oct. 21, 1992 copies given to Attys Youns and Millin

Nov. 16, 1992 Motion to Continued and Order filed. Upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing, Planitiff's Motion to Continue is granted. The Evidentiary Hearing is rescheduled for December 30, 1992 at 11 am in Courtroom no. 1 or 2.
By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Nov. 18, 1992 Copies of order to Atty's Young and Millin

Continued on Margin

Dec 31, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Dec. 30, 1992 after an evidentiary hearing in this matter, the Court is convinced that the parties had an agreement to settle this law suit for $1150 and accordingly, the Plaintiff's petition to enforce that settlement is GRANTED.

Additionally, the Court finds that the actions of the Defendant since such settlement have been dilatory and vexatious and pursuant to 42 Pa.C.S.A. 2503, the Court awards attorney's fees to the Plaintiff in the amount of $400.

BY ORDR OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Dec. 31, 1992 copies given to Bacallao, C. Youngs & Millin

Jan. 20, 1993
Exhibits Filed.

1991

John F. Spataro
Ferguson and Spataro

684.

SIGNAL CONSUMER DISCOUNT COMPANY

vs.

DONALD E. ENGLISH AND CHERYL S. ENGLISH

Filed July 16, 1991
@ 3:30 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	39.50
Pro co pd 8-21-91	9.00

Satisfaction Pd. 2/23/99 $5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 ccpies (sheriff)

July 23, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Donald E. English personally on July 18, 1991 at 3:16 p.m. at the residence, 21456 Spring Road, Titusville, Crawford Co., Pa.

SERVED Cheryl S. English personally on July 18, 1991 at 3:24 p.m. at the Burgess Park Swimming Pool, Titusville, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, August 21, 1991 @ 9:32 a.m. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney with Notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendants for failure to plead to the complaint in this action within the required time and damages are hereby assessed in the amount of Sixteen Thousand Six Hundred Five and 12/100 Dollars being the amount demanded in the complaint.

E-43,33 Debt.....$16,605.12
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Aug. 21, 1991 Judgment entered.

Aug 21, 1991 Notice of Judgment mailed defendants.

Feb. 18, 1999 This Judgment Satisfied.
(See paper filed)

1991

John F. Spataro
Ferguson & Spataro

685.

SIGNAL CONSUMER DISCOUNT COMPANY

vs.

KENNETH PRATT AND VICKI L. PRATT

Filed July 16, 1991
@ 3:30 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	43.50
Sheriff's Costs Pro Co. Pd 10-9-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN ACTION OF MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Aug. 1, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Kenneth Pratt personally on July 19, 1991 at 1:00 p.m. in the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
SERVED Vicki L. Pratt on July 19, 1991 at 1:02 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Kenneth Pratt, her authorized agent, in the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
Signed Acceptance of Service attached.
So answers, Carole Stefanucci, deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 9, 1991, 2:02 p.m., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for failure to file an answer and damages are assessed as follows:

1) Principal Balance Due pursuant to terms of mortgage - $4,543.13
2) Interest from 1/9/91 to 6/30/91 - $247.34
3) Attorney's Commission - $718.00

Total - $5,509.04

P-104,164
Judgment entered 10/9/91

Debt $5,509.04
Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro.

EXECUTION NO. 1991 - 87

Oct 29, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED by certificate of mailing, Oct. 10, 1991, a true and attested copy of the within Writ of Execution NO. 1991-87 and notice of sale to IRS, 1314 Griswold Plaza, Erie, Pa 16501, the receipt attached.

SERVED by certificate of mailing, Oct. 10, 1991, a true and attested copy of the within Writ of Execution NO. 1991-87 and notice of sale to Signal consumer Discount Co., 2063 West Eighth St., Erie, Pa 16505, the receipt attached.

SERVED by certificate of mailing, Oct. 10, 1991, a true and attested copy of the within Writ of Execution No. 1991-87 and notice of sale to Pa. Housing Finance Agency, 2101 North Front St., Harrisburg, Pa 17105, the receipt attached.

SERVEDby certificate of mailing, Oct. 10, 1991, a true and attested copy of the within Writ of Execution No. 1991-87 and notice of sale to Randolph Township Tax Collector, Shirley Smith, RD 2, Box 369, Guys Mills, Pa 16327, the receipt attached.

SERVED by certified mail, Oct. 15, 1991, a true and attested copy of the within Writ of Execution NO. 1991-87 and notice of sale to Vicki Pratt, RD 2, Box 288, Guys Mills, Pa. 16327, the signed receipt attached.

On Oct. 10, 1991, a certified letter, postage prepaid, was mailed to Kenneth Pratt, RD 2, Box 288, which was returned unclaimed as attached.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

136

1991

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro and Walker

686.

VIOLET RAE BYERS AND ROBERT BYERS, HER HUSBAND, AND VIOLET RAE BYERS AND ROBERT BYERS AS PARENTS AND NATURAL GUARDIANS OF KELLI BYERS, AND VIOLET REE BYERS AND ROBERT BYERS, IN THEIR OWN RIGHT

vs.

BRUCE C. GILLES

Filed July 17, 1991
@ 9:04 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	22.00
Pro co Pd 12/9/91	6.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

August 7, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Bruce C. Gilles on July 26, 1991 at 2:55 PM by handing copy of Summons to Carolyn Gilles, his wife, at the residence, 373 Allegheny St., Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 9, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

William A. Kuhar, Jr.

687.

Bruce A. Barrett

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

MARIE D. BULLOCK

Filed July 17, 1991
@ 11:08 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

APPEAL FROM MOTOR VEHICLE DRIVERS LICENSE SUSPENSION

1 copy (atty)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 17 day of july, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing petition, a hearing is set for the 24 day of Oct. 1991 at 9:00 a.m.
Further, the defendant shall be entitled to continue to operate a motor vehicle pending further hearing.
P. R. Thomas, S.J.

July 24, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Bruce A. Barrett, Esq., being duly sworn to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.
1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of an Appeal from Motor Vehicle License Suspension was sent by registered mail to the Plaintiff.
3. The registered mail was sent to the Plaintiff at, Commonwealth of PA., Dept. of Transportation, Office of Chief Counsel, 1209 State Office Bldg., 300 Liberty Ave., Pgh., PA 15222 on July 17, 1991. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. The Plaintiff received said registered mail on July 18, 1991. Said receipt card number 320 791 309 is attached hereto and signed by a representative of the Commonwealth of PA., Dept. of Transportation.
Bruce A. Barrett, Attorney

Apr. 6, 1994 ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, to-wit this 6 day of April, 1994, in light of the February 16, 1994 report completed by Dr. Barry Bittman of Meadville, Pennsylvania - a copy of which report is attached hereto as Exhibit "A", this matter is, upon agreement of the parties, remanded to the Department of Transportation for the purposes of updating its records to reflect the fact that the appellant meets the standards for physical and mental competency to safely operate a motor cehicle which are set forth in the Department regulations set forth in 67 Pa. Code §§83.3-83.5 and rescinding the appealed June 26, 1991 Department order for the recall of the appellant's privilege to operator a motor vehicle in Pennsylvania pursuant to 75 Pa. C.S. §1519.(c).
BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

4-11-94 Copy of Order to Attys. Kuhar, Jr. & Barrett

Glenn A. W. Thompson
Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma and Schug

688.

Quinn, Gent, Buseck
and Leemhuis Inc.
I. John Dunn

ROBERT KIBBEE, t/a
R. KIBBEE DISTRIBUTING

vs.

FORLEX, INC., d/b/a
ENTRE COMPUTER CENTER, AND
ENTRE COMPUTER CENTERS, INC.

Filed July 17, 1991
@ 3;17 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
State paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	66.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Aug. 8, 1991 Appearance of Quinn, Gent, buseck and Leemhuis, Inc. by I. John Dunn, attorneys for defendants, filed.

Sept 9, 1991 Writ Returned: Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Jeff Guild, Deputy who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 26th day of July, 1991, at 1036 hrs he served a Writ of Summons at AD 1991-688, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon Defendant Forlex, Inc., d/b/a Entre Computer Center at 2107 W. 12th St., Erie, Pa. by making known the contents thereof to David Steele, adult in charge and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Writ of Summons received from Crawford County.
Jeffrey S. Guild, Deputy
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff

AND NOW, July 23, 1991, at 9:32 a.m., served the within Summons upon Entre Computer Centers, Inc, by personally handing to Nancy Breski, Corp. Asst. and person in charge at time of service, a true attested copy of the original summons and making known to her the contents thereof at Prentice Hall, 100 Pine St., Harrisburg, Dauphin Co., Pa.
William H. Livingston, Sheriff
Michael Renehart, Deputy

SERVED Forlex, Inc., d/b/a Entre' Computer Center by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie Co., Pa as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on July 26, 1991.

SERVED Entre Computer Center, Inc. by deputizing the Sheriff of Dauphin County, Pa., as per his affidavit of Service attached. Served on July 23, 1991
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

William L. Walker
Thomas, Truax, Spada-
fore, Vardaro, Walker

689.

ANDOVER BANK

vs.

CHARLES C. DeROSS

Filed July 17, 1991
@ 3:22 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriffs costs	21.50
Pro Co Pd 9/4/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

1 copy (sheriff)

July 30, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Charles C. DeRoss personally on July 24, 1991 at 10:17 a.m. at his place of residence, Third St. Harmonsburg, Crawford County, pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. sheriff
Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 4, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, a discontinuance of record is hereby entered of Court II of the above Mortgage Foreclosure Complaint.

Sept. 4, 1991, And Now, at 3:39 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment by default on Count I in the above captioned Complaint is entered in the amount of Twenty Six Thousand, Seven Hundred Ninety Four and 96/100 Dollars together with interest at rate set forth in note on and after June 27, 1991, costs of suit, and foreclosure of premises and judicial sale of property.
Judgment entered
9/4/91
D - 34

Debt - $26,794.96
int. from 6/27/91
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Ex. 1991-77

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

690.

JAMES E. STRITZINGER, JR.

vs.

CHRISTINA E. STRITZINGER

Filed July 17, 1991
@ 3:22 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 1/07/92	9.00
Pro Co. Pd 4-21-92	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 14, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn accordidng to law, despose and say that I did on July 25, 1991 mail to the above Defendant, Christina E. Stritzinger, by certified mail return receiplt requested, deliver to addressee only, a Complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received on July 29, 1991, as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Dec. 16, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Dec. 20, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 27, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, this case moved to court.

Jan. 10, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, January 9, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that James E. Stritzinger, Jr., plaintiff, and Christina E. Stritzinger, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 21, 1992 Notice is hereby given that CHRISTINA E. STRITZINER is to be know as CHRISTINIA E. HOLLER.

1991

Timothy D. McNair
Vendetti, Talarico & McNair

691.

William C. Wagner
Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett, P.C.

JOHN F. SEMENTILLI

vs.

OPGAKCO, INC., d/b/a CONNEAUT LAKE PARK AND LOUIS E. MEYER

Filed July 17, 1991
@ 4:00 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff"s Costs	37.00
Pro Pd 7/16/93	6.00

CIVIL COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

(JURY OF TWELVE DEMANDED)

August 7, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Opgakco, Inc. d/b/a Conneaut Lake Park on July 24, 1991 at 10:40 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Winfield McFreely, Switchboard operator and person in charge, Conneaut Lake, Cr. Co., Pa.
SERVED Louis E. Meyer personally on July 26, 1991 at 3:25 PM at his residence R.D.#2, Box 36, Guys Mills, Cr. Co., Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug 13, 1991 Appearance of William C. Wagner for the firm Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett, P.C., for defendants.

May 27, 1992 Defendants' Preliminary Objections filed.

May 27, 1992 Certificate of Service filed.

May 27, 1992 UPon praecipe of Defendant Attorney the above captioned matter is placed on the next available argument list

1992 JUNE ARGUMENT LIST

June 11, 1992 ASSIGNMENT OF ARGUMENT DATE. June 10, 1992, argument in the above matter is hereby set for July 17, 1992, at 1:30 P.M., in Courtroom 1.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator
June 11, 1992 Copies of the order mailed to: Timothy D.McNair, Esq.and William C. Wagner, Esq.

July 22, 1992 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, July 22, 1992, the defendant's preliminary objections are denied. The defendant shall file an answer within twenty (20) days form the date of the order.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 22, 1992 Copies mailed to atty's McNair & Wagner

Aug. 5, 1992 DEFENDANTS, ANSWER AND NEW MATTER, filed.

Aug. 19, 1992 PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO NEW MATTER OF DEFENDANTS, OPGAKCO, INC. AND LOUIS MEYER, filed.

July 16, 1993, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned action is hereby marked discontinued of record.

1991

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker
R. Charles Thomas

692.

Mason& Mason
M.Dan Mason

JOHN MINNIS AND SHIRLEY W. MINNIS

vs.

V. DICK LUGG

Filed July 17, 1991
@ 4:02 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

Sheriff's Costs 42.22
Pro.Co. pd 11/17/95 5.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

August 5, 1991-Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED V. Dick Lugg by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer County, Pa. as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served July 24, 1991.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Ronald L. Clark, Chief Deputy Sheriff, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 24th day of July, 1991, at 5:25 p.m., he served Summons in Civil Action upon V. Dick Lugg at his residence, 217 Main St., Fredonia, Pennsylvania by handing to Wilma Lugg, adult family member with whom V. Dick Lugg resides a true and attested copy of the original summons for defendant and making known to her the contents thereof.

Rnald L. Clark Chief Deputy Sheriff
William H. Romine, Jr., Sheriff

Jan. 11, 1995 Complaint filed.

Feb. 7, 1995 Notice of Default Judgment filed.

February 15, 1995 Affidavit of Service Filed. R. Charles Thomas, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on January 11, 1995, he mailed a certified copy of the Complaint filed in the above captioned action to the Defendant, V. Dick Lugg, by Certified Mail, Restricted Delivery, Return Receipt Requested at 217 Main St., Fredonia, Pennsylvania 16124; that attached hereto is the duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as January 12, 1995.

R. Charles Thomas, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Feb.13, 1995 Petition for Judgment of Non Pros and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 10th day of FEbruary, 1995,upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, a Rule is hereby entered upon the Plaintiff to show cause, if any,why Judgment of Non Pros should not be entered. Hearing date on this matter is scheduled for March 6, 1995, at 1:30 P.M. in courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

Per Curium,
Gordon R.Miller,J.

2-13-95 FAxed to Attys. D.Mason & Thomas

Feb. 17, 1995Answer and New Matter filed.

Mar. 1, 1995, Motion for Continuance and order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, Feb. 27 1995, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion, this hearing is continued to the 10 day of March 1995 at 8:30 a.m. in C. R. No. 2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Mar. 1, 1995, faxed to Mason and Thomas.

Nov.17, 1995 Upon Praecipe of R.Charles Thomas,Esquire, the above captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Jorden & White
Harry Faber White II

693.

JERRY E. McKEE

vs.

KIMBERLY L. McKEE

Filed July 17, 1991
@ 4:17 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
State Pd. 7-17-91	10.00
Pro Co. Pd. 1-21-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

July 19, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. AND NOW, this 18 day of July, 1991, comes the Defendant, Kimberly L. McKee and swears that she accepted service of a Divorce Complaint under Section 201(c), and a Notice to Defend and Claim Rights on the 18 day of July, 1991 in the above captioned matter, with the full force and effect of service under the Divorce Code, of Pennsylvania. Kimberly L. McKee

Dec. 27, 1991 Affidavit of Consent Under Section 201(C) of the Divorce Code of Defendant, filed.

Dec. 27, 1991 Affidavit of Consent under Section 201(C) of the Divorce Code of Plaintiff, filed.

Jan. 17, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, this case moved to Court./

Jan. 22, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D**

AND NOW, January 21, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Jerry E. McKee, Plaintiff, and Kimberly L. McKee, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

1991

694.

LINDA IRLAM

vs.

CHARLES ROBEY

Filed July 18, 1991
@ 1:43 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	29.25

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 18th day of July, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions:

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for August 1, 1991, at 3:30 p. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 25, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: I Charles A. Robey received a copy of the P.F.A. Linda Irlam filed against me.
Thank you,
Charles A. Robey

SERVED Charles Robey personally by Plaintiff, Linda Irlam, at her residence, 1086 East Alley, Meadville, Crawford County, PA on July 22, 1991 before noon. Attached is signed paper from defendant acknowledging receipt of same.

So answers,
Linda Irlam, Plaintiff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 2, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, August 1, 1991, at the time schedule for hearing in this matter the Plaintiff appeared but the Defendant did not. The Court is satisfied that the Defendant had proper notice of this hearing as he was served on July 22, 1991. The Plaintiff has proven to the satisfaction of the Court that the Defendant engaged in abuse as defined by the Act and accordingly, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or the minor child named in the petition.
2. This Order may be enforced without a warrent of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
3. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police department in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
4. This Order shall remain in force and effect until July 31, 1992 unless modified or terminated by further Order of Court.
5. Costs in this proceeding shall be paid by the County.
6. The defendant is reminded that this is an Order of Court and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence up to six (6) months.
7. A true and correct copy of this Order shall be served on the Defendant by the Sheriff of Crawford County.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 5, 1991 Copies of order to Plaintiff, Defendant and County Control

1991

Specter LAW Offices,PC
Robert A. Sambroak, Jr.

695.

Joanna K. Budde
Knox McLaughlin Gornall
& Sennett, P.C.

FERN KISTLER AND HOWARD KISTLER, HER HUSBAND

vs.

KARLA DILLINGER CAMPBELL

Filed July 18, 1991
@ 1:55 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	66.63
Pro Co pd 9/20/91	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Aug. 14, 1991 Appearance of Joanna K. Budde for the firm Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett, P.C., for defendant.

Sept. 17, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Terry L. Schultz, Deputy Sheriff who bieng duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 29th day of July, 1991, at 9:20 a.m., he served Notice Complaint-Interrogatories, filed at No. AD. 695, term, 1991, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant KARLA DILLINGER CAMPBELL at her residence RD #5, Box 62A, TItusville, PA By making known the contents thereof to Frank Donovan, adult in charger (father) and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the writ received from Crawford County.
So answers, Terry L. Scheultz, Deputy SHeriff
Gene Price, sheriff
SERVED Karla Dillinger Campbell by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango County, PA, as per his Affidavit of Serviice attached.
Served on July 29, 1991.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 19, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney this action is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record.

696.

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

TERRY G. BRADSHAW

Filed July 18, 1991

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976
(Sections 304 & 305)

July 19, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, July 19, 1991, Bruce A. Barrett, Esquire, is appointed hearing officer in the absence of of John D. Petruso, esquire, for the purpose of hearing this case.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 22, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Bruce Barrett and Mental Health

July 24, 1991 Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and Order filed. AND NOW, this 23rd day of July, 1991 upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED, and DIRECTED that the said Terry G. Bradshaw be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days.

This order shall take effect on July 29, 1991.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 24, 1991 Copies of order to Atty. Hall, MH/MR and Warren State Hospital

Oct. 3, 1991 Petition For Involuntary Treatment filed.

Oct. 18, 1991 Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 18 day of Oct., 1991, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the Respondent be committed to the Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days from Oct. 11, 1991.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Oct. 22, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Thomas E. Africa, MH/MR, WSH.

May 4, 1992 Petition for Involuntary Treatment, filed.

May 7, 1992 REPORT OF MENTAL HEALTH REVIEW OFFICER and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 6th day of May, 1992, upon consideration of the Petition filed at he above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the Respondent be committed to the Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days from April 8, 1992.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 14, 1992 copy of Order to Atty. Africa, MH/MR, WSH

Nov. 18, 1992 PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT, filed.

Nov. 18, 1992 REPORT OF MENTAL HEALTH REVIEW OFFICER and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 20th day of November, 1992, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the Respondent be committed to the Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not toexceed 180 days from Oct. 5, 1992.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Nov. 25, 1992 copy to MH/MR, WSH, Atty Africa

Apr. 5, 1993 Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

May 17, 1993 REPORT OF MENTAL HEALTH REVIEW OFFICER and ORDER, filed. ORDER: Ane now, May 14, 1993, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, andother relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Terry Bradshaw be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days.

This order shall take effect on May 14, 1993.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 20, 1993 copy to Atty Africa, MH/MR, WSH

1991

Ferguson & Spataro
John F. Spataro

697.

Plate, Shapira,
Hutzelman, Berlin,
May Walsh & Brabender
John Mir

MATTOCKS FIVE, INC.

vs.

WILLIAM S. MATTOCKS, JR. AND DEBORAH M. MATTOCKS, HIS WIFE, d/b/a WATERFORD MILLING

Filed July 19, 1991
@ 10:15 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 7/24/97	5.00

COMPLAINT IN ACTION OF MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (atty)

Aug. 30, 1991 Answer filed.

Sept 16, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. And now, this 15th day of Aug. 1991 comes JOhn Mir, Esq. having been duly authorized by the defendants William S. Mattocks Jr. and Deborah M. Mattocks, his wife, d/b/a Waterford MIlling, and hereby accept service ofthe Complaint in Action of Mortgage Foreclosure, filed by the plaintiff on JUly 18, 1991. The said John Mir does further enter his formal appearance as attorney of record for the above named defendants. John Mir. Esq.

Sept 16, 1991, Reply to New Matter filed.

Sept. 16, 1991 Certific ate of Readiness for placement on Civil Trial List filed.

ON FEBRUARY 1992 TRIAL LIST

Jan. 27, 1992 MOTION TO CONTINUE and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 27th day of January, 1992, upon consideration of Defendants' Motion to Continue, it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED that this matter shall be continued until the next term of civil court. Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Jan. 29, 1992 cooy in box for J. Spataro and mailed copy to J. Mir

Apr. 7, 1992 ASSIGNMENT OF DATE FOR NONJURY TRIAL: April 3, 1992, nonjury trial in the above case is hereby set for June 2, 1992, at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom No. 2. FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm.
Apr. 13, 1992 copy in box for J. Spataro,mailed copy to J. Mir

Apr. 10, 1992 Notice Of Depositions of William Mattocks and Richard Mattocks filed.

July 18, 1997 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

James R. Steadman

698.

MARSH, SPAEDER, BAUR, SPAEDER & SCHAAF
John P. Eppinger

RICHARD JOSEPH PETRONE

vs.

CAROL LUCILLE PETRONE

Filed July 19, 1991
@ 11:29 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co. pd 11/12/91	9.00
Pro Co. pd 12/2/91	60.00
Pro Co. pd 12/2/91	20.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

2 copies (atty)

July 30, 1991 Proof of Service filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 26th da yof July, 1991, comes JAMES R. STEADMAN, ESQ., who being duly sworn according to law does depose and say that he did send the Defendant, Carol Lucille Petrone, a copy of the Divorce Complaint heretofore filed in the above captioned matter, by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, Restricted Delivery, under Certification Number P422689109, and that the said Defendant did receive the copy of the Complaint sent by the undersigne don July 22, 1991 as evidence of which a copy of the returned card for article P422689109, signed Carol L. Petrone and dated July 24, 1991, is annexed hereto and made a part hereof.

And further your deponent saith naught.

James R. Steadman

Aug 6, 1991 Appearance of Marsh, Spaeder, Baur, Spaeder and Schaaf by John P. Eppinger, Esq. for Defendant filed.

Nov. 4, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 4, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Nov. 4, 1991 Upon Praecipe of plaintiff attorney for divorce Under Section 201(c) this case moved to Court.

Nov. 18, 1991 MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. This matter is before the court for a final divorce decree pursuant to the plaintiff's praecipe to transmit the record (Pa. R.C.P. 1920.42). The court is returning the papers to the Prothonotary for further proceedings consistent with this memorandum.

The plaintiff filed a complaint in divorce. he simply asks for a divorce and has asked for no other relief, either inthe initial pleading or by a subsequent petition. Accompanying the praecipe to transmit the record was a lengthy marital agreement which resolves matters of alimony, equitable distribution of marital property, custody, etc. Also submitted was a form decree for the court to sign that grants the divorce and adopts the settlement and property agreement as part of the decree. This is improper.

This court only has jurisdiction to determine and grant decrees as to matters raised by pleadings or by a subsequent petition (23 Pa. C.S.A. 3104(a); 23 Pa. C.S.A. 3323(b); Pa. R.C.P. 1920.11, 1920. 13:).

Therefore this court has jurisdiction only to grant a decree in divorce and nothing else.

The plaintiff has two choices. He could indicate in writing that he wants the court to enter a divorce decree only so that the court has clear instructions that other aspects of the parties settlement will not become a part of the decree. The second choice is for the plaintoff to file an amended complaint raising additional matters for th court which said filing. and the subsequent service thereof, just all comply with the rules of civil procedure; or in lieu thereof, file an appropriate petition raising additional matters as envisioned by the rules.

This is more than a technicality inwhat appears to be an amicable resolution ofthe marriage and divorce. The jurisdiction of the court is a fundamental matter. Without jurisdiction the court is without power to act. We cannot entertain matters that are beyond our jurisdiction.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Nov. 18, 1991 copies of order mailed to J. Steadman and JOhn Eppinger.

Dec. 2, 1991 Petition For Related Claims Under The Divorce Code filed.

Dec. 2, 1991 Upon Praecipe of plaintiff attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code this case moved to Court.

dec. 10, 1991 **D E C R E E O F D I V O R C E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, to-wit: this 9th day of December, 1991, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED that RICHARD JOSEPH PETRONE, plaintiff, and CAROL LUCILLE PETRONE, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED that the attached duly executed Seperation and Property Settlement Agreement is accepted by the Court and incorporated for the purpose of enforcement, but not merged into this decree, and shall survive this Decree and be enforceable through all powers of a Court of competent jurisdiction.

Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Shelley M. Jones
Supervising Student Atty.

699.

RUSSELL GILSON

vs.

LAURA L. GILSON

Filed July 19, 1991
@ 2:02 p.m.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

2 copies (atty)

July 19, 1991, Petition to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and Order of Court filed. ORDER OF COURT:AND NOW, this 19th day of July 1991, on consideration of the attached application, leave is granted to the petitioner to proceed in forma pauperis to the extent that he is relieved of all costs in this action.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 7/19/91. . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: March 12, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Mar. 12, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

700.

CHARLES D. FLOCH AND
KATHLEEN FLOCH, HIS WIFE

vs.

JOSEPH H. KERR AND MOLLIE
J. KERR, HIS WIFE, AND
JOSEPH H. KERR, JR.,
SOUTH SHENANGO TOWNSHIP,
CRAWFORD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA,
THEIR HEIRS, EXECUTORS,
ADMINISTRATORS AND ASSIGNS
Thomas B. Kerr, George L. Kerr,
Kenneth Z. Kerr, Keith Kerr,
Sally Dellahunty, Mary E. Kerr

Filed July 19, 1991
@ 2:02 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Paid 10-25-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN ACTION OF QUIET TITLE, AFFIDAVIT
AND ORDER OF COURT

ORDER: AND NOW, this 19th day of July 1991, an affidavit having been executed and filed by or on behalf of the plaintiffs that the identity and whereabouts of the defendants, their heirs, and assigns are unknown, the plaintiffs shall serve the complaint on teh defendants and their heirs and assigns generally by publication once in the Meadville Tribune and once in the Crawford County Legal Journal. Gordon R. Miller, J.
July 19, 1991 copy of order to Atty. BArrett.

July 24, 1991 Motion to amend the pleadings in above captioned quiet title action and Order of Court filed. The above motion allowed.
Gordon R. Miller, J.
July 24, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Bruce Barrett.

Aug. 2, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his affidavit of service are true and correct to the best o fhis knowledge, information and belief.
1. That the affiant is the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. that a true and correct copy of an Actiont to Quiet Title was sent by registered mail to the defendant.
3. The registered mail was sent to the defnednat at 330 High Street, Box 405, Conneaut Lake, PA 16316, on July 26, 1991. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. The Defendant received said registered mail on July 27, 1991, Said receipt card number 320 791 314 is attached hereto and signed by the defendnat, Thomas B. Kerr.
Bruce A. Barrett

Aug. 2, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, Esq., being duly sworn to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support os his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.
1. That the affiant is the attronrey for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of an Action to Quiet Title was sent by registered mail to the Defendant.
3. The registered mail was sent to the Defendant at 315 Jefferson Street,, Meadville, PA 16335 on July 26, 1991. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. The Defendant received said registered mail on July 27, 1991. Said receipt card number 320 791 314 is attached hereto and signe dby the Defendant, Mary E. Kerr.
Bruce A. Barrett

August 5, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, Esq., being duly sworn to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.
1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of an Action to Quiet Title was sent by registered mail to the Defendant.
3. The registered mail was sent to the Defendant at 322 Mahamoy, Tamaqua, Pennsylvania 18252 on July 26, 1991. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. The Defendant received said registered mail on J uly 29, 1991. Said receipt card number 320 791 316 is attached hereto and signed by the Defendant, George L. Kerr.
Bruce A. Barrett

Sept. 23, 1991 Motion For Judgment, Affidavit of Service and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 20th day of September, 1991, upon Motion of the Plaintiff for Default Judgment pursuant to PA. Rule of Civil Procedure 1066, and appearing that service has been made in accordance with this Court's Order and that Defendants have failed to file an Answer within the time permitted by the Rules; it is hereby ordered and decreed that the Defendants, their heirs, successors and assigns be and are hereby forever barred from asserting any right, lien, title or interest to the premises located in S.Shenango Township, Crawford County, PA., hereinafter described to-wit:
On the North by land of Joseph H. Kerr and land of Lawrence Sheets; on the East by land of Lawrence Sheets; on the South by land now or late of S. L. Laughery; and on the West by a public road containing twenty-seven (27) acres, be the same more or less.

inconsistent with the interest or claims of the Plaintiff set forth in their Complaint; from impeaching, denying, or in any way attacking Plaintiff's title to said property; from issuing or maintaining any action attacking same; and from encumbering, mortgaging, or conveying the same or any part thereof unless Defendants or any one of them shall within thirty (30) days of this Order, file with the Court written objections to this Order. If such action is not taken with the thirty (30) day period, the Prothonotary, on praecipe of the Plaintiff shall enter Final Judgment.
By The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.
Sept. 25, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Bruce Barrett.

AND NOW, October 25, 1991 @ 2:49 p.m. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, Final Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants pursuant to the Court's Order of September 23, 1991 by reason that none of the Defendants have taken any action within the 30 days after the Court's Order of September 23, 1991.
K-94, 114, 94, 224, 74, 104, 104, 114, D-151

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Oct 25, 1991 Judgment entered.

1991

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug David L. Hotchkiss 701.	LEECH, INC. vs. CRAWFORD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT Filed July 19, 1991 @ 4:10 p.m. Tax & entry paid $50.50 state paid 5.00 Pro Co Pd 11/25/91 5.00	NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM LOCAL AGENCY ADJUDICATION PER 2 PA. C.S.A. 752 1 copy (atty) Nov. 21, 1991 UPon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, the above mentioned case is hereby settled and discontinued of record.

1991

702. Allen and Hall Stephen E. Hall	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. ROBERT E. KIGHTLINGER Filed July 19, 1991 @ 4:33 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.50 ✓ state paid 5.00	PETITION FOR APPEAL FROM ORDER OF DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SUSPENDING DRIVERS LICENSE July 22, 1991, PRELIMINARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 22, 1991, upon presentation after due consideration fthe foregoing petition, a hearing de novo is granted to determine whether the action of the Dept. of Transportation in suspending the operators license of Robert E. Kightlinger should be set aside. Hearing is fixed for Oct. 24, 1991 at 9:00 a.m. The prothonotary and plaintiff counsel shall notify the Dept of Transportation forthwith. Pending hearing and final order, petitioner's operating privileges shall remain unsuspended. PER CURIAM, Gordon R. Miller, J. July 23, 1991, copy of order to Atty. Hall.

1991

Markowitz & Markowitz
Andrew L. Markowitz

703.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
Louis J. Stack

CHRYSLER FIRST FINANCIAL SERVICES CORPORATION
366 Broad Street
Waverly, NY 14892

vs.

EDGAR E. SHARTLE a/k/a EDGAR E. SHORTLE AND DONNA SHARTLE a/k/a DONNA SHORTLE
R. D. #1, Box 667
Shortle Road
Meadville, Pa. 16335

Filed July 22, 1991
@ 11:39 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	27.00
Pro.Co. Pd 5/26/92	5.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (Sheriff)

Aug. 8, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Donna Shartle personally on July 26, 1991 at 3:05 PM at her residence, RD #1, Box 667, Shartle Road, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Edgar E. Shartle on July 26, 1991 at 3:06 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Donna Shartle, his wife, at R.D. #1, Box 667, Shartle Road, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 13, 1991 ANSWER, NEW MATTER AND COUNTERCLAIM, filed.

Aug. 28, 1991 Plaintiff's Answer to New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

May 26, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff and Defendant's Attorney, the above case marked settled and discontinued and end, and settle, discontinue and end as to counterclaim filed.

1991

704.

LAURNA M. HARRY

vs.

TIMOTHY S. HARRY

8/1/91 Rec'd Sheriff's Costs 7 $24.00

Pd. Howard Stewart Sheriff # 6340. Chk. $24.00

Filed July 22, 1991
@ 1:20 p.m.

Tax & entry Pd 8/1/91 $50.50
state Pd 8/1/91 5.00
sheriffs costs 24.00
Pro. Co Pd 8/1/91 $9.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy Plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 22 day of July, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Not contact the Plaintiff in any manner. Plaintiff granted temporary custody of minor child Esther until further order of court.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for August 1, 1991, at 1 P M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

July 29, 1991, Sheriff's Return filed,. SERVED Timothy S. Harry personally on July 22, 1991 at 3:15 p.m. at the residence, R. D. #4, Box 691, Cochranton, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. sheriff
Robert M. Stevens, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

Aug. 2, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, August 1, 1991, at the time fixed for hearing in this matter, the Defendant appeared without counsel and entered into an agreement to settle this case without counsel and entered into an agremeent to settle this case without a hearing. Without admission of the ites contained in the petition, the Defendant does agree to have the following order entered against him.

Therefore, in accordance with agreement of the parties, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or the minor child.
2. The defendant shall not go to the residence of the Plaintiff without her express consent.
3. The Plaintiff shall be permitted to return to the marital residence on Saturday, August 3, 1991 at 12:00 o'clock Noon for the purpose of picking up her personal effects and the person effects of the child including but not limited to crib, mattress and toys. In the event that there is a dispute over the items that the Plaintiff is entitled to take, the Plaintiff shall leave those items there andthe parties will resolve those issues in a different proceeding. The point here is that the Plaintiff is entitled to take her personal effects and the personal effects of the child. If there is a dispute as to whether or not a particular item is in fact her ps onal effect or the child's personal effect or the Defendant's personal effect, disputed items shall be resolved at a later time by the parties or in future litigation.
4. Temporary custody of the minor child is granted to the Plaintiff subject to further court proceedings and further court Orders in an apprpriate custody or visitation case.
5. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been vioalted.
6. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the plice department in the jurisdiction where the Pl aintiff resides and to County Control.
7. This Order shall remain in force and effect for one (1) year and shall expire on July 31, 1992 unless modified or terminated by further Order of this Court.
8. The Defendant shall pay the record court costs in the amount of $88.50 forthwith.
9. The defnedant is remined that this is an Order of Court and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence up to six (6) months.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. richard Thomas, P.J.

Aug. 5, 1991 Copies of order to Gerald Sullivan, Defendant and County Control.

Apr. 10, 1992 Petition To Modify Protection From Abuse Order and Order filed. AND NOW, this 10th day of April, 1992, in consideration of the attached petition of the parties hereto, the Court hereby modifies the Protection from Abuse Order dated August, 1, 1991 and hereby Orders the following:

1. Paragraph 1 of the August 1, 1991 Order shall remain in full force and effect. The Defendnat shall not assault, aubse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or minor child of the parties.
2. Paragraph 5 of the August 1, 1991 Order shall remain in full force and effect. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable grount to believe it has been violated.
3. Paragraph 7 of the August 1, 1991 Order shall remain in full force and effect. this Order shall expire on July 31, 1992
4. Paragraph 9 of the August 1, 1991 Orde shall remain in full force and effect. The Defendan tis reminded that this is an Order of Court and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000 and a jail sentence up to six (6) months.
5. All other provisions of the August 1, 1991 Order are her eby terminated.

A copy of this order shall be given to the Pennsylvania state Police and to County Control.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 10, 1992 Copies to County Control, PSP and Atty. Sullivan

1991

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
JOhn B. Brautigam

705.

HARRY A. SMITH SR.

vs.

GLADYS P. SMITH

Filed July 22, 1991
@ 1:48 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro.Co. Pd.5/22/92	9.00
Pro Co pd 7-20-92	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

July 29, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed: AND NOW, July 29, 1991, comes John B. Brautigam, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That he is the Attorney for Harry A. Smith, Sr., Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished to him by the Plaintiff, he cause a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P835 989518, postmarked July 24, 1991, to the last known address of the Defendand, Gladys P. Smith, at R.D.#2, Box 2AA, Titusville, Pennsylvania 16354

3. That the Defendant, Gladys P. Smith, on July 26, 1991 personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

4. Service of the Complaint agains the Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

John B. Brautigam, Esquire

Jan. 10, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT of PLAINTIFF filed.

May12, 1992 AMENDED COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE FILED.

May 20, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 22, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 3301 (c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

June 1, 1992 AND NOW, May 29, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that Harry A. Smith, Sr., Plaintiff, and Gladys P. Smith, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

July 20, 1992 Notice of Election to Retake Prior Name: GLADYS P. SMITH to be known as GLADYS P. KUHNS, field.

R. Charles Thomas
Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker

706.

Stephen Hall

PAULA L. WALKER

vs.

THOMAS N. SMITH, CHARLES WALKER AND NANCY WALKER

Filed July 22, 1991
@ 2:25 p.m.

Indigent filing

John Fuller, Mediator 152.00
William Pineo, 9.00

CUSTODY COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Thomas N. Smith, Charles Walker and Nancy Walker, defendants have been sued in court to obtain cusotdy of the following child: Joshua Adam Walker.

You are here by ordered to appear in person at such time and at such place as is hereby designated by teh custody mediator hereinafter appointed for a conference.

You are further ordered tobring with you the following named child: Joshua Adam Walker.

JOHN FULLER, ESQ. is hereby appointed as Custody Mediator in this case.

If you fail to appear at the time specified by the custody mediator hereby appointed by this order or to bring the child, an order for custody amy be entered agaisnt you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set for th below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. tothe Pub. Comm. c/o Prothonotary, Crawford Countycourth House, Meadville, Pa. 16335. Tele. 814-724-4636. BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

July 22, 1991, Petition to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, upon presentation and consideration ofthe within Petition the plaintiff, Paula L. Walker, is permitted to proceed in forma pauperis in this case. BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

July 23, 1991 copy of order to Atty. Thomas.

Aug. 9, 1991 Motion To Vacate Mediator and Order filed. AND NOW, August 8, 1991, after consideration of the within motion, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED that John Fuller, Esquire, is vacated as Custody Mediator in the above matter.
William Pineo, Esquire is appointed Custody Mediator in his place.
1 copy atty. 1 copy mediator Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct 8, 1991 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 7th day of October, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Custody of the subject minor child, Joshua Walker, is awarded to his mother, the plaintiff.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.

3. Defendants, Charles and Nancy Walker, the maternal grandparents, shall have visitation and temporary physical custody each week from Thursday at noon until Saturday at noon.

4. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangments.

5. The parties shall split responsibility for transporatation with the party receiving the child in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the child at the home of the other.

6. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent or grandparent, as the case may be, from his or her children or grandchildren.

7. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol or permit any member of said parties household to do so, when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor child. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the child is being transported or in the bedroom of he child.

8. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

9. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.

10. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangments shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this order shall govern.

11. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

12. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Oct 11, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Pineo, Thomas and Hall's boxes and copies mailed to Plaintiff and defendants, Walker and Thomas Smith.

Oct. 21, 1991 Appeal From Temporary Order Entered By Custody Mediator and Request For De Novo Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, this 21st day of October, 1991, the Court hereby sets December 10, 1991 in Courtroom No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania as the time and place for hearing of defendants Charles Walker and Nancy Walker appeal de novo from the order of court entered October 7, 1991 at 9:30 am. Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 22, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Thomas and Hall

Nov. 27, 1991 Motion for Continuance of Hearing and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Nov. 27, 1991, Motion denied. Gordon R. Miller, J.
Dec. 3, 1991 copies to Attys. R. C. Thomas and S. Hall.

and to Defendant.

Continued on Page 458

1991

James R. Irwin
Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack

707.

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas Ferguson

Allen and Hall
Stephen E. Hall for
Lynn Sherred

WILLIAM D. HARKNESS

vs.

LYNN A. SHERRED

vs.

SHIRLEY GILL, Intervenor

Filed July 22, 1991
@ 2:30 p.m.

Tax & entry	Pd. 7/26/91	$50.50
state	Pd 7/26/91	5.00
W.Pineo, Cus. Med.		227.00
filing costs		9.00

PETITION FOR CUSTODY (UCCJA)

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

July 22, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 22 day of July 1991, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the mediator, WILLIAM PINEO, ESQ. at a time to be set by the mediator in the Crawford CountyCourthouse with theChild Custody Mediator as Required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pa.

It is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the court, the aforesaid minor child shall not be removed from the jurisdiction ofthe court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

Aug. 27, 1991 TEMPORARY ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 27th day of Aug., 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Legal and physical custody of the subject minor child, Jason Gill, is awarded to h is paternal grandmother, Shirley Gill, until further order of th is Court.

2. The mother shall be entitled to visitation and temporary physical custody on alternate weekends from Sat. at 10:00 a.m. until Sun. at 6:00 p.m., beginning with the weekend of Aug. 31, 1991. Neither of the parties nor their families shall do or say anything to undermine Shirley Gill's custodial authority.

3. Neither of the parties nor Shirley Gill shall do or say anything to blacken the character of any of the other parties in the estimation of Jason Gill. All parties shall treat the other parties in a polite and respectful manner and each party shall instruct h is or her relatives and friends to do likewise and not to disparage any of the other parties in Jason Gill's estimation.

4. The defendant mother and her husband shall continue to arrange for counseling for themselves and for Jason with Ronald L. Miller, MA, of Psychological Services, Edinboro, Pennsylvania. Jason Gill is directed to participate in the counseling as requested by the counselor and to cooperate in the sessions to the best of his ability. Transportation to and from the counseling sessions may be provided by Shirley Gill or by Lynn Sherred.

The counselor is authorized and directed to share with the mediator any information which the mediator requests.

5. The Court further orders that Jason Gill shall treat his grandmother, Shirley Gill, with the respect and obedience and to do h is best to be cooperative with her to the best of his ability.

6. Transportation for the mother's visitation rights shall be provided by the mother, Lynn Sherred.

7. No parties shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of Jason Gill.

8. Any person transporting Jason Gill shall make sure that the seatbelts and other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

9. Further mediation shall be arranged within 60 days of this date. Costs and fees of this Mediation which are fixed at $227.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

10. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
J. Quint Salmon, S.J., Specially Presiding

Sept. 5, 1991 copy in boxes for Attys. J.Irwin, D.Ferguson & W.Pineo. Mailed copy to Plf. & Dft.

Sept. 6, 1991 Petition For Special Relief Or In The Alternative, Appeal De Novo From Temporary Order of Custody Mediator filed.

Sept. 6, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, September 6, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, a hearing is hereby set for September 25, 1991 at 2:30 p.m., in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA 16335.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Sept. 10, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Irwin and Ferguson

Sept. 13, 1991 Motion For Amendment To Temporary Order filed.

Sept. 13, 1991 Order On MOtion For Amendment To Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 13 day of Sept., 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, the same is here by granted and the previous temporary order is hereby amended to the paragraphs one and two are amende dto read as follows:

"1. Legal and physical custody of the subject minor child, Jason Gill, is awarded to his paternal grandmother, Shirley Gill, until furthe rorder of this Court. Shirley Gill shallhave the authority to make all decisions normally attendant to a lega custodian of a child, including those relating to his education, school activities, and so forth, specifically including deciding the question of whether or not he should participate in the athletic program of the Cambridge Springs High School. The defnedant mother is advised that she is still responsible for the support of the subject minor child, including medical costs, and so forth. She will advise the meidator or the Court at once whether or not any meidcal plan available to her wil lcover Jason Gill under the circumstance sof this Order.

2. The mother shall be entitled tovisitation and temporary physical custody on alternate weekends from Saturday at 10:00 a.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m., beginning with the weekend of August 31, 1991. Neither of the parties nor their families shall do or say anything to undermine Shirley Gill's custodial authortiy. Should a scheduled visitation interfere with Jason's school activities, including sports , the mother may take Jason to the school activities on sports activity, if she chooses, or she may arrange with Shirley gill for compensatory visitation.

It is further ordered that in all other respects the last temporary orde ris affirmed.

By the Court,
P R Thomas, S.J.

sept. 13, 1991 2 cpies given to Shirley Gill for Plaintiff and defendant and copies to Atty's. Irwin and Ferguson

Sept. 25, 1991, Motion for Continuance and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW this 25 day of Sept. 1991, upon presentation and consideraTION OF THE WITHIN Motion this hearing is rescheduled for the 27 day of Sept. 1991 at 1:00 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

BY THE COURT, P. R. Thomas, J.

Sept. 26, 1991 copies to J. Irwin and D. Ferguson and W. Pineo.

Continued on page 452

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

708.

SHARON MILLER

vs.

JOHN T. MILLER

Filed July 23, 1991
@ 10:44 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
3 counts paid	45.00
state paid	10.00
state Pd 7/24/91	20.00
Pro.Co. Pd 11/15/95	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, AND CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 6, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Thomas N. Tidd, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:
1. That he is a competent adult.
2. That on information furnished him, he served a certified copy of the Divorce Complaint in the above matter upon John T. Miller at 1405 O'clock p.m., on 9-4-91, at Bridge - Rt 62, franklin, PA
3. That costs incured for this service were as follows:

a.) Service	$30.00
b.) Mileage	$15.00
Total	$45.00

4. Further Deponent Saith Not. Thomas N. Tidd

Oct 20, 1995 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Nov. 6, 1995, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Nov. 6, 1995, Memorandum of Agreement as to Divorce, Equitable Distribution and Child Custody filed. 1 copy (ATTY)

Nov 8, 1995 Affidavit of Plaintiff, filed.

Nov.15,1995 Upon Praecipe and Prosposed Decree by Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire,Attorney for Plaintiff to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Nov. 28, 1995 ORDER filed. This divorce matter has been referred to the Court for reveiw and decree. The Court has reveiwed the record andis returning the record to the Prothonotary. A final decree will not be entered at this time because:

__x__ The Defendant was not served with process within 30 days after the date of the filing of the complaint or reinstated complaint. Pa. R.C.P.401(a); Lauterbach v. Lautervach, 202 Pa. Super. 260, 195 A.2d 1959 (1963); Fox v. Thompson, 327 Pa. Super. 39, 546 A2d 1146 (1988).

Either party may file a praecipe to refer the matter back to the Court when the record is in such a state that the partiese are entitled to a final decree.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

11-28-95 Given Kirkpatrick. 12-4-95 Mailed to Deft.

Dec. 22, 1995 Acceptance of Service filed. I accept service of the Complaint in Divorce
Date: 7-26-91 John T. Miller,

Dec. 22, 1995 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code filed.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Jan. 5, 1996 And, Now, January 3, 1996, it is ordered and decreed that SHARON MILLER, plaintiff, and JAMES T. MILLER, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony. The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

It is further ordered that the parties' Memorandum of Agreement is incorporated herein and made a part hereof and shall be fully enforceable under the provisions of the Divorce Code.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Jan. 26, 1996 Motion from Plaintiff's Attorney to reissue the Divorce Decree to correct a typographical error. The Defendant's name is changed to John T. Miller.

1991

709.

MITRA A. AMINI

vs.

DAREL L. SELLEN

Filed July 23, 1991
@ 11:45 a.m.

Tax & entry Pd 8/6/91 $50.50
state Pd 8/6/91 5.00
sheriffs costs 43.50
Pro Co Pd 8/6/91 9.00

8/6/91 RECD. #3.50
FROM DEFENDANT.

8/6/91 PAID #43.50 TO
HOWARD STEWART, SHERIFF
CK# 6342

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies Sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 23 day of July, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff ~~or any of the minor children named in the Petition.~~
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff in any way. He shall not go to her home or her place of work.

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for August 1, 1991, at 3:30 P M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 29, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Darel L. Sellen personally on July 24, 1991 at 4:15 p.m. at his residence, Bank St., Hydetown, Crawford County, pa.
So answers, Diane Sellen-Wife
Howard N. stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 8, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, August 1, 1991, at the time fixed for hearing in this matter, the plaintiff testified to a number of events where the defendant may have acted imappropriately or maybe even violated the law, but the plaintiff has not proven by the fair weight of the evidence that the defendant engaged in abuse as that term is defined by the Act, which generally means physical abuse or placing another in fear by physical menace. ACCORDINGLY, this action is DISMISSED and the Temporary Abuse Order of July 23, 1991 is vacated.
A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police Department of the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to County Control.
The defendant shall pay the record court costs of $108.00 forthwith.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 12, 1991 Copies of order mailed to parties and to County Control

160

1991

John P. Gagliotti

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis E. Blystone

710.

Barbara J. Walton

JAMES E. MUNSHOWER

vs.

KELLY SUE MUNSHOWER

Filed July 23, 1991
@ 3:39 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co. pd 1/20/92	9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 2-3-92	15.00 ✓
State Pd 2-3-92	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 2, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. I accept service of the Complaint in Divorce.
8-1-91 Kelly Sue Munshower

Nov. 18, 1991 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 9, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Jan. 16, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for Review under Section 3301(c) of Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Jan. 22, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, January 21, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that James e. Munshower, Plaintiff, and Kelly Sue Munshower, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Feb. 3, 1992 ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE filed. AND NOW, this 18th day of November, 1991, service of a sopy of the Petition for Custody in the above captioned matter, is hereby accepted on beehalf of the Plaintiff, by Curtis E. Blystone, Attorney for Plaintiff.

Feb. 3, 1992 PETITION FOR CUSTODY filed.

Feb. 3, 1992 AGREEMENT AND CONSETN CUSTODY COURT ORDER, JOINT MOTION FOR ADOPTION OF AGREEMENT FOR A CONSENT CUSTODY ORDER and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the Agreement for Entry of a Consent Custody Order which is attached hereto is hereby adopted as a Consent Order to the effect that the Agreement shall be effective and enforceable as such.
BY THE COURT
Dated: Feb. 3, 1992 Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
Feb. 5, 1992 copy in box for B. Barrett & C. Blystone

Sept 26, 1997 Petitiion For Temporary Custody and Order: AND NOW, this 26th day of September 1997, upon the Father's petition for temporary physical custody of the subject minor child, ANDREW JAMES MUNSHOWER, the Court grants the Petitioners request and grants him temporary physical custody of the minor child until further order of this court.

This order is temporary and the court will terminate said order upon the Respondent's showing that she is well enouth to resume physical custody of the child. Such showing will require an opinion from her psychiatrist or other qualified mental health professional that she poses no risk of danger to the child and she is able to resume the obligations of child rearing.
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Sept 26, 1997 Faxed Gagliotti
Oct 7, 1997 given C. Blystone

Sept 26, 1997 Certificate of Service of Order granting temporary custody of Andrew James Munshower to Defendant.

Oct 6, 1997 Petition To Vacate Order For Temporary Custody and Custody Order: AND NOW, this 6 day of October, 1997, upon presentation of the Stipulation and Consent of the parties, as signed by their counsel, attached hereto and after consideration of same, it is hereby ORDERED, DIRECTED, AND DECREED that:

1. The temorary order of Eptember 26, 1997, shall be vacated, as the mother has received the certification of a doctor that she is mentally capable of caring for her son. Physical custody of the minor child Andrew should be returned to the mother forthwith, and the parties shall comply with the custody and visitation as set forth in the prior order of February 3, 1992.
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
Oct 6, 1997 Faxed Gagliotti & Dist Walton

1991

Watts and Pepicelli PC
Alan L. Pepicelli

711.

JENNIFER LYNNE CAMPBELL AND JAMES W. CAMPBELL, HER HUSBAND

vs.

MARYE B. HAMILTON

Filed July 23, 1991
@ 4:24 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	26.05
Pro Co pd 12-11-92	6.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

August 6, 1991 Writ Returned: SERVED Marye B. Hamilton personally on July 26, 1991 at 10:29 a.m. at her residence, R.D.#4, Conneaut Lake, Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec 11, 1992 The above-captioned matter is settled and discontinued of record.

712.

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
Nancy M. Sennett

HARRY CALDWELL AND CHERYL CALDWELL, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS PARENTS AND NATURAL GUARDIANS OF BARBARA ANN CALDWELL, A MINOR

vs.

RONALD A. HAMILTON AND SHERI HAMILTON

Filed July 24, 1991
@ 8:48 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

Pro Co Pd 7/24/91 6.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (atty)

July 24, 1991 Appearanc eof Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma. and Schug, by Nancy M. Sennett, Esq. attorneys for defendants filed.

July 24, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. I accept service of the Writ of Summons issued in the above captioned case on behalf of the defendants Ronald A. Hamilton and Sheri Hamilton, and certify that I am authorized to do so. Nancy M. Sennett Esq. Date: July 24, 1991

July 24, 1991 Petition for Approval of Settlement of a Minor's Claim filed.

July 24, 1991, Order of Court filed.
ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 24th day of July 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition for Court Approval of Settlement of a Minor's Claim and it appearing to the court that the plaintiffs have joined in and consented threto, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Settlement Agreement and Release attached to the Petition is hereby approved and leave is granted to compromise and settle the within claim as follows:

1. To Harry Caldwell and Cheryl Caldwell, as parents and natural guardians of Barbara Caldwell, $5,000.00 cash to be used solely for the benefit of Barbara Ann Caldwell.

2. To Barbara Ann Caldwell, an annuity, consisting of guaranteed lump sum payments to Barbara Ann Caldwell in the following mannter;

a. $10,000.00 guaranteed lump sum payment at age 18 on Feb. 24, 1997;
b. $15,000.00 guaranteed lump sum payment at age 21 on Feb. 24, 2000;
c. $20,000.00 guaranteed lump sum payment at age 25 on Feb. 24, 2004;
d. $25,000.00 guaranteed lump sum payment at age 30 on Feb. 24, 2009;
e. $40,000.00 guaranteed lump sum payment at age 35 on Feb. 24, 2014;
f. $75,000.00 guaranteed lump sum payment at age 40 on Feb. 24, 2019.

3. Record costs in the amount of $61.50 shall be paid by Prudential Property and Casualty Insurance Company.

4. Counsel fees and costs incurred in the presentation of this Petition will be paid by Purdential proerty and Casualty Insurance Company.

5. It is further ordered that Harry Caldwell and Cheryl Caldwell, individually and as parents and natural guardians of Barbara Ann Caldwell are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver the Settlement Agreement and Release on behalf of the above named minor, a copy of which is attached hereto, and all of the terms and provisions thereof are hereby incorporated by reference and made a part of this order.

By terms of the Settlement Agreement and Release, the Prothonotary shall issue to defense counsel, upon praecipe a certificate of settlement and discontinuance.

1 copy (atty)

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

July 24, 1991, UPon praecipe of defendant attorney, for issuance of a certificate of settlement and discontinuance, this above captioned action is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

713.

Brian j. Lindsay
for Frank & Elizabeth Post

10/2/91 Recd. $26.00
from plaintiff
Nov. 7, 1991 paid
Co. Comm.
$26.00

MARILYN K. HILBURN AND
THOMAS F. HILBURN

vs.

TAMIE POST

RE: CUSTODY OF CHELSEA
ELIZABETH POST

Filed July 24, 1991
@ 9:33 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
JOhn Fuller, mediator
William Pineo, Mediator
Pro Co. 10/2/91 9.00
9.00

COMPLAINT FOR PARTIAL CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER: AND NOW, July 24, 1991, the matter is referred to JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.
1 copy (atty) By the Court,
1 copy (mediator) Gordon R. Miller, J.

July 30, 1991 Motion To Vacate Mediator and Order filed. AND NOW, July 26, 1991, after consideration of the within motion, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED that John Fuller, Esquire is vacated as Custody Mediator in the above matter.
William Pineo, esquire is appointed Custody Mediator in his place
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 31, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's. Fuller, Pineo & Kirkpatrick

Sept. 4, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. James M. Tidd, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:
1. That he/she is a competent adult.
2. That on information furnished him/her, he/she served a certified copy of the Custody Complaint in the above-matter upon Tamie Post at 0130 c'clock p.m., on July 24, 1991, at Laser Tool Company, RD #2, Saegertown, PA.
3. That costs incured for this service were as tollows:

a.) Service	$30.00
b.) Mileage	6.00
Total	$36.00

4. Further Deponent Saith Not.

James M. Tidd

Sept. 16, 1991 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 13th day of September, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:
1. Custody of the subject minor child is awarded to her mother, Tamie Post.
2. The plaintiff grandparents shall have visitation and temporary physical custody of the subject minor child on the first full week of each month, beginning with October, 1991, said period beginning at 12:00 noon on the first Saturday of each month and ending at 12:00 noon on the first Saturday thereafter. In addition, the grandparents shall have visitation and temporary physical custody of Chelsea for four weeks each summer. They shall notify the mother one month in advance of the four week period they wish to select.
3. The grandparents shall pay all costs of transportation arising out of their exercise of their rights under this order.
4. The Court notes that Chelsea has lived in Crawford Co., PA., all of her life and therefore jurisdiction of this matter shall remain in Crawford Co., PA.
5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or s previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.
6. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent or grandparent from his or her children or grandchildren.
7. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.
8. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.
9. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. EAch party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.
10. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and re strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.
11. Either party may request a review of this matter to see if the visitation rights of the grandparents need to be adjusted.
12. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $52.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.
13. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, S.J.

Sept. 18, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, William Pineo, copy mailed to Tamie Post.

Oct. 7, 1991 Petition to Intervene and Order filed. ORDER: Upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petitioner, this court having received notice from the petitioners of their claim for partial custody and/or visitation and being satisfied of that right, does hereby direct that the petitioners shall have the right to intervene as parties to this action. Petitioners are hereby notif ied that a hearing will be held in Courtroom of Crawford CountyCourthouse on the 27 day of nov. 1991 commencing at 1:30 p.m. subject to the right of the court to dismiss the case as to the petitioner BY THE COURT, Gordon R. Miller, J. 1 copy (atty)
Oct. 9, 1991 copies to Wm Pineo, M. Kirkpatrick B. lindsay and Tamie Post.

Oct. 7, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 7 day of Oct. 1991 upon presentation and consideration fo the foregoing motion (MOTION TO SC HEDULE HEARING DE NOVO AND REQUEST FOR A STAY) the court hereby directs:
1. A hearing de novo shall be set for the 27 day of Nov. 1991 in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse Meadville, pa. commencing at 1:30 p.m.
2. Notice of said hearing shall be given to allparties of interest by First Class US Mail or by service of notice upon their respectiv e ocunsel of record. BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.
Oct. 9, 1991, copies to W. Pineo, m. Kirkpatrick & B. Lindsay and Tamie Post

Continued on Pg. 456

1991

Paul E. Allen
Allen and Hall

714.

BRIAN M. LEWIS

vs.

TERESA M. LEWIS

Filed July 24, 1991
@ 9:35 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 11/6/91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 1, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. I, the undersigned, Defendant in the above captioned action in Divorce, hereby accept service of a Certified Copy of the Complaint.
Date: 7/30/91 Teresa M. Lewis

Nov. 6, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 6, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Nov. 6, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case is moved to court.

Nov. 14, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, November 13, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Brian M. Lewis, plaintiff, and Teresa M. Lewis, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

1991

Emil M. Spadafore Jr.
SOLICITOR

715.

James L. Crawford
Peter Lassi

Barber, Whitmire & Barber, PC
John J. Barber
Crawford Central Education Assocation

CRAWFORD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

vs.

PENNSYLVANIA LABOR RELATIONS BOARD AND CRAWFORD CENTRAL EDUCATION ASSOCIATION

Filed July 24, 1991
@ 11:07 a.m,

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
Appeal paid	30.00 ✓
state paid	5.00

PETITION FOR REVIEW OF FINAL ORDER OF THE PENNSYLVANIA LABOR RELATIONS BOARD PURSUANT TO PA. R.A.P. 1501,ET SEQ.

July 24, 1991 Certificate of Service filed. I certify that a copy of the Petition for Review of Final Order was served on the Pa. Labor Relations Board by cert. mail, ret. rec. req. at its address of 1601 Labor and Industry Building, Harrisburg, Pa. 17120.
Emil M. Spadafore, Esq.

July 24, 1991 Certificate of Service filed. I certify that a copy of the Petition for Review of Final Order was served on JOhnJ. Barber, Esq. by U. S. First Class mail, at his address of 155 West 8th St. Suite 220, Erie, Pa. 16501-1042.
Emil M. Spadafore Jr. Esq.

Aug. 28, 1991 Appearance of James L. Crawford and Peter Lassi, attorneys for the Pa. Labor Relations Board, filed.

Aug. 28, 1991 Transcript of the Proceedings and Notes of Testimony, filed.

Sept. 6, 1991 Appearance of Barber, Whitmire, & Barber PC by John J. Barber for crawford Central Education Association filed.

Oct. 24, 1991 Upon praecipe of dft. attorneys, and with service attached, the above matter is listed on next argument list.

On Argument List for Nov. 1991

Jan. 21, 1992, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER FILED. IN RE: APPEAL FROM DECISION OF PENNSYLVANIA LABOR RELATIONS BOARD
DECISION AND ORDER: AND NOW, Jan. 20, 1992, the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board decision of July 2, 1991 dismissing the District Exceptions to the prepared Decision and Order of PLRB Hearing Examiner and essentially finding an unfair labor practice was committed because of failure to comply with the Arbitration Award is hereby set aside. We find this Decision is applicable to District conduct relating to teacher's claims for compensation for extra preparations for the 1988-89 and 1989-90 sschool years.
We enter this decision and Order on the assumption that the dispute over extra compensation for the 1990-91 school teaching year wa resolved with notice by the District to teachers believed to be affected or by teacher notice to District of claims for added compensation.,
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.
Jan. 21, 1992, copies given and/or mailed to attys. of record.

Jan. 22, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, January 22, 1992, the Court's attention is directed to error in the designation of attorneys on page one of the Court's Memorandum and Order previously filed. We correct the error and designate counsel and their client in the following amendment:
Emil M. Spadafore, Jr., Esquire and Carol P. Beard, Esquire, for Crawford Central School District.
John J. Barber, Esquire, for the Crawford Central Education Association.
James L. Crawford, Esquire, and Peter Lassi, Esquire for Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board.
BY THE COURT,
P. richard Thomas, S.J.
Jan. 24, 1992 Copies mailed to all counsel listed above.

Feb. 12, 1992, Notice of Appeal to the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania, of defendant Pa. Labor Relations Board, from order entered in above matter on Jan..20, 1992, filed.

Feb. 26, 1992, Notice of Appeal Docketing in the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania at No. 0367 - C.D. 1992, filed.

Dec 21, 1992 Opinion of Senior Judge Narick by The Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania and Order, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 18th day of December, 1992, the order of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County is hereby reversed and the order of the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board is reinstated. Emil E. Narick, S. J.

Aug. 5, 1993, Certificate of Contents of Remanded REcord and Notice of Remand Under Pa. Rules of Appellate Procedure 2571 and 2572(e) filed.

1991

Mason & Mason
M. Dan Mason

716.

Peter E. Blystone

VINCENT M. MEHALKO

vs.

ELDON KING

Filed July 24, 1991
@ 11:27 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

Pro Co pd 12/13/91 15.00

Pro.Co.pd 4/27/94 5.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF HUBERT E. VOGAN
MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-3-02

July 24, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

July 25, 1991 Verification of Service filed. 1. The undersigned Peter E. Blystone, is attorney for the Eldon L. King, defendant in the above captioned action.
2. On July 24, 1991, the undersigned mailed a copy of the Notice of Appeal from District Justice Judgment to the Plaintiff Vincent M. Mehalko (606 Washington Street, Conneautville, Pennsylvania 16406) and to the District Justice, Hubert E. Vogan, by Certified Mail posted at Meadville, Pennsylvania on July 24, 1991.
3. Copies of the mailing receipts for the aforesaid certified Mail items are attached hereto.
I hereby verify that the statements set forth in the foregoing are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements made herein are subject to the p enalties of 18 Pa. C.S. No. 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities. Peter E. Blystone

Aug. 9, 1991 complaint filed.

Sept. 25, 1991 Answer to Complaint and New Matter filed.

Oct. 2, 1991 Answer to New Matter filed. 1 copy (atty)

Dec. 10, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Reference To Arbitrators the following are hereby appointed:

John B. Brautgam, Chairman
Barbara Walters ~~Joseph F. Kulwicki, III~~
John Spataro

Feb. 24, 1992, Arbitrators Take the Qualifying Oath.

Feb. 26, 1992, Notice of Hearing Before Board of ARbitrators filed.

Mar. 13, 1992 Upon praecipe of Joseph Kulwicki he is hereby vacated from the Board of Arbitrators and the following person, Barbara Walters has replace him.

Apr. 6, 1992 Decision of Arbitrators filed in which they find in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant with an award in the amount of 2,112.80 plus costs.
K-202 DECISION OF ARBITRATORS
Apr. 7, 1992 Copies of decision given Attys. Blystone and Mason.

Apr.27, 1994 Upon Praecipe of M. Dan Mason,Esquire, attorney for Plaintiff, the above captioned judgment is satisfied of record.

1991

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

717.

BRENDA L. COVELL

vs.

GREGG E. COVELL

Filed July 24, 1991
@ 11:29 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Copl 1-23-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY, DIVISION OF PROPERTY

Aug. 21, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. Before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeard JOSEPH C. BARNHART, ESQ., attorney for the above-captioned Plaintiff, who being duly sworn according to law, did depose and say that he did serve a certified copy of a Divorce Complaint upon the above-named Defendant at his mailing address of P.O. Box 758, Titusville, Pa. 16354 by United States certified mail, restricted delivery, evidenced by postal return receipt P 620 647 563 purportedly signed by said Defendant and dated Aug. 6, 1991 attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

Nov. 4, 1991 Defendant's Affidavit of Consent Under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code filed.

Nov. 4, 1991 Plaintiff's Affidavit Of Consent Under Section 201(c0 of the Divorce Code filed.

Jan. 22, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, this case moved. to Court.

Jan. 28, 1992 Stipulation and Motion of the Parties and Order filed. AND NOW, this 27th day of January, 1992, upon consideration of the foregoing Stipulation and Motion of the Parties, the same is hereby incorporated herein fully by reference and made an Order of Court.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Jan. 30, 1992 Copy mailed to Atty. Barnhart

Jan. 28, 1992 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 27th day of January, 1992, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Upon consideration of the pleadings and Affidavits filed in the above-captioned matter, the Court hereby grants an absolute divorce form the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint, and an Affidavit has been file dby each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §3301(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.
2. No other matters or causes of actions provide dunder the Pennsylvania Divorce Code of 1980, as amended, are reserved by either of the parties.

BYT HE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

718.

DANIEL S. GREENAWALT, SR.

vs.

SANDRA L. GREENAWALT

Filed July 24, 1991
@ 2:26 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	15.00
Pro Co Pd 2-5-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Aug 14, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, despose and say that I did on Aug. 2, 1991 mail to the above Defendant, Sandra L. Greenawalt, by certified mail return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a Complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received on Aug. 3, 1991, as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Oct 30, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 21, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT of Defendant, filed.

Feb. 5, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, moved to Court.

Feb. 13, 1992 **STIPULATION AND CONSENT DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 12 day of February, 1992, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. **DIVORCE:** Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint, and an Affidavit has been file dby each of the aprties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to §201(c) of the Pennsylvania divorce Code.

2. **CUSTODY:**

A. The parties hereto shall share legal custody of their three minor children: Daniel Greenawalt, Jr., age sixteen (16) born December 25, 1975; brian Beau greenawalt, age thirteen (13) born November 16, 1977; and Mary Elizabeth Greenawalt, age eleven (11), born July 11, 1980.

B. They shall share information in regard to any matters of importance invovling the chidlren, including but not limited to educational, religious and medical matters, and each parent shall have full access to any record regarding the children.

C. The parties shall hsare physical custody of the children as follows:

a. Mother shall have custody of the children from 4:00 PM Sunday until 6:00 pm Friday every week, and shall also have alternating weekends.

b. Father shallhav ecustody of the children every other weekend from 6:00 p.m. Friday until 4:00 pm Sunday, beginning withthe weekend of October 25-27, 1991.

.c. In odd number years father shallhave the children Thanksgiving Day from 9:00 am to 9:00 pm and mother shallhave the chidlren Thanksgiving Day on even numbered years.

d. Mother shallhave the children Christmas Day on odd numbered years, (9:00 am to 9:00 pm if it should happen to fall on father's weekend), and father shallhave the children Christmas Day in each even numbered year from 9:00 am to 9:00 pm. The person not having the children Christmas Day on any given year, shall instead hav ethe children on December 24th from noon until 9:00 pm

e. Parties shall alternate the following holidays, with father to begin by having New Year's Day, 1992: New Year's Day, Easter Sunday, Memorail Day, July 4th and Labor Day. Hours of said visit shall be from 9:00 am to 9:00 pm, however if father has the weekend preceding said holiday, he shall be entitled to keep the children overnight of the Sunday preceding the holiday.

f. Holidays shall take priority over the usual weekday/weekend schedule.

D. During each summer, father shallhave the right to have the children for three additional weeks to be taken with twenty day's advance notice of each said week. If he wishes to take the three weeks (21 days) in shorter periods of time of up to three days at a time, only one week's advance notice shall be required. Said three weeks (21 days) shall be in addition to his regularly scheduled weekends with the children.

3. **REAL ESTATE:** Plaintiff shall transfer to the defendant all his right, title and interest in the jointly owned residential real estate located at 145 Dale Avenue, Cambridge Springs, Pennsylvania in consideration for which the Defendant shall assume full responsibility for the mortgage on said proper ty of approximately $25,000.00 more or less, held by FHA. Defendant shall also be solely responsible for the debt owed to Meadville Housing Authority, for replacement of the roof, being a total of approximately $3,000.00. Defendant shall make arrangements, and take care of all necessary paperwork, to remove Plaintiff's name form said mortgage approximately six months after the date that the divorce between the parties is granted. Plaintiff shall also be repsonsible for any existing debts or liens, taxes or municipal liens, against said property, and shall hold Plaintiff harmless and indemnify him in regard to said debts.

Plaintiff will execute a quit claim deed, transferring any interest he may have in said real estate to the Defendant, said deed to be held until six months after the divorce is granted and until such itme as the mortgage holder shall release himm from the mortgage. Once arrangements in that regard have been completed and confirmed bythe mortgage holder the deed shall be released tothe defendant.

4. **Debts:** a. Defnedant shall assume the following debts: Kaufmen's, Melon Bank bar loan on the 1985 Chevrolet station wagon, and the Mellon Bank credit line account. Defendant shall, if she has not already done so, remove Plaintiff's name fromthe Mellon bank credit line account, and will hold him harmless and indmnify him in regard to same. In the event that Defendant is unable to remove the Plaintiff's name from said credit line account, she shall not utilize said account either directly or as overdraft protection, but instaed shall freeze the account form further indebtedness, and provide Plaintiff with proof os ame, and iwth notice when the account is closed and paid off.

B. Plaintiff shall pay the remaining care payments to Marine Bank, owed on account of the purchase of the 1987 Subary.

5. **Vehicles:** Defendant shall retain the 1985 Chevrolet station wagon, and Plaintiff shall retain the 1987 Subaru.

6. **WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS:** Neither party hereto shall require the other party hereto to file an inventory and appraisement as would otherwise have been required by the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Each party is aware of the debts and assets acquired during the marriage.

7. **WAIVER OF CLAIMS:** Neither party hereto shall make any claim against the other par ty hereto, expect for enforcement of the rights and responsibilities set forth in this Consent Divorce Decree.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

1991

R. Charles Thomas
Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker

719.

JOHN F. ROYAL AND TERRY R. ROYAL, HIS SON

vs.

MARGARET J. McKINLEY, GEORGE A. McKINLEY, GEORGE A. McKINLEY, JR., AND THEIR RESPECTIVE HEIRS, PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVES AND ASSIGNS

Filed July 24, 1991
@ 3:24 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

COMPLAINT - ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

July 29, 1991 Affidavit of Plaintiff filed.

July 29, 1991 Motion For Leave To Serve By Publication and ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 29, 1991, upon Motion of R. Charles Thomas, Attorney for Plaintiffs in the above-captioned matter, leave is hereby granted to serve MARGARET J. MCKINLEY, GEORGE A. MCKINLEY, GEORGE A. MCKINLEY, JR., and their respective heirs, personal representatives and assigns, Defendants in said action, by publication of a notice of the facts set forth in the Complaint in the Meadville Tribune, a newspaper of general circulation, and the Crawford Co. Legal Journal one (1) time and requiring the said Defendants to plead within twenty (20) days from the last date of publication thereof.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S. Judge

July 29, 1991 Copy of Order to ATty. R. Charles Thomas.

Oct. 11, 1991 Affidavit filed. R. CHARLES THOMAS, ESQ., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and syas that he is a member of the law firm of THOMAS, TRUAX, SPADAFORE, VARDARO & WALKER, which firm is counsel for the Plaintiffs in the above-captioned case, and as such makes this Affidavit on behalf of said Plaintiffs that on July 29, 1991, your Honorable Court entered an Order in said case directing that service of the Complaint be made on the Defendants, Margaret J. McKinley, George A. McKinley, George A. McKinley, Jr., and their respective heirs, personal representatives and assigns, by publication in the Meadville Tribune and Crawford County Legal Journal one time; that service was mad eby publication in accordance with said Order as appears by proof of publication attached hereto; more than twenty (20) days have elapsed from the date of the last publication of said ntoice and that the said Defendants, Margaret J. McKinley, George A. McKinley, George A. McKinely, Jr., and their respective heris, per sonal representatives and assigns, have not appeared nor filed an Answer to said Complaint

R. Charles Thomas

Oct. 11, 1991 Motion For Judgment and Order filed. AND NOW, this 11th day of October, 1991, upon presentation of the foregoing Motion and due consideration thereof it is hereby ordered and decreed that the Defendants, MARGARET J. McKINLEY, GEORGE A. McKINLEY, GEORGE A. McKINLEY, JR., and their respective heirs, personal representatives and assigns, are forever barred from asserting any right, title, lien or interest inconsistent with the claim of the Plaintiff as set forth in the Complaint in this case, or in any way attacking the title of the Plaintiffs in said proper ty; from issuing or continuing any action and taxing the same and from encumbering, mortgaging, or conveying the same or any part thereof; unless the Defendants, their heirs and assigns take such ac tionas is herein directed within thirty (30) days after the entry of this judgment. It is further ordered and decreed that if no Petition to open this judgment or satisfy this decree is presented by the defendants, their heirs and assigns within thirty (30) days from and after the entry of this decree same shall be final. If such action is not taken within said thirty (30) day speriod, the Prothonotary shall enter judgment.

PER CURIAM,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 15, 1991 Copy of order put in Atty. Thomas' box.

1991

R. Charles Thomas
Thomas, Truax, Spada-
fore, Vardaro, Walker

720.

MARQUETTE SAVINGS
ASSOCIATION

vs.

PAUL D. KIGHTLINGER AND
SHERRY A. KIGHTLINGER

Filed July 24, 1991
@ 3:28 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	28.00
Pro Co Pd 10/9/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

July 24, 1991 Affidavit of Non-Military Service of Defendants filed.

Aug. 15, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Paul D. Kightlinger at h is last known address of 2051 Northeast 59th St., Fort Lauderdale, FL 33308 by certified mail, as per signed Retrun Receipt attached. Served on Aug. 5, 1991.
SERVED Sfherry D. Kightlinger at her last know address of 2051 Northest 59th St., Fort Lauderdale, FL 33308 by certified mail, as per signed Return Receipt attached. Served Aug. 5, 1991.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Octo. 9, 1991, at 9:07 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants; damages assessed in the sum of Fifty Three Thousand Seven Hundred Eighty Nine and 27/100 Dollars. (includes Int. - $1,882.61; Ins. $186.00; Taxes-$8,874.80 and atty fees $2,561.39)
Judgment entered
10/9/91
K - 135, 155

Debt ----$53,789.27
Int. form 10/8/91
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EXECUTION 1991 - 84

1991

Watts and Pepicelli
Alan L. Pepicelli

721.

DONNA L. GREEN

vs.

KENNETH W. GREEN

Filed July 24, 1991
@ 4:27 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co. pd 9-27-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CHILD CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

July 24, 1991 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed. 1 copy (atty)

Aug. 21, 1991 Certificate of Service filed. Alan L. Pepicelli, Esquire, hereby certifies that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the within actionand that a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Plaintiff's Affidavit were sent to theDefendant, Kenneth W. Green, at P.O. Box 81, Harmonsburg, Pennsylvania 16422 by certified mail, return receipt requested, addressee only, on July 25, 1991. The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
Alan L. Pepicelli

Aug. 21, 1991 Acceptance of service filed. I, Kenneth W. Green, hereby accept service ofthe Complaint in Divorce and Plaintiff's Affidavit, by receipt of a certified copy of each.
Date: July 26, 1991 Kenneth w. Green

Sept. 11, 1991 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE, filed. Alan L. Pepicelli, Esq., hereby certifies that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the within action and that a Notice of Intention to REquest Entry of Divorce Decree was sent to th Defendant, Kenneth W. Green, at P.O. Box 81, Harmonsburg, Pa. 16422, by certified mail, return receipt requested, addressee only, on Aug. 27, 1991 and was received by h im on Aug. 28, 1991. The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

Sept. 25, 1991 Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of Divorce Code filed.

Sept. 25, 1991 Notice of Intention to REquest Entry of Divorce Decree filed.

Sept. 25, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for Review under Section 201(d) of Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Sept. 25, 1991 Motion For Entry Of Child Custody Order and Order filed. AND NOW, upon agreement between the parties, a Decree is hereby entered as follows:

1. That the legal custody and primary physical custody of the minor child, Jami Lynn Green, presently nine (9) years of age, born february 24, 1982, shall be with Plaintiff, Donna L. Green, the Mother of said child, subject to the visitation schedule hereinafter set forth.
2. The the fAther, Kenneth W. Green, shall be entitled to visitation with and have temporary physical custody of his said minor child, Jami Lynn Green, as may be mutually agreed upon between the parties.
3. That the visitation privileges set forth herein shall be liberal visitation privileges and that the above visitation schedule shall only serve as a minimum and that subject joint decision of the parties and the desires of the child to visit with her Father, visitation shall at all times be as liberal ans shall be in the child's best interests.
4. Each party shall notify the other of any illness or emgergency involving th eminor child at the earliest possible time.
5. Neither party shall say or do anything in an attempt to alienate or turn the said minor child against th eother party, but, on the contrary, shall do everything in the power of each to create a favorable and loving attitude on the part of said child toward each of the parties.
6. Neither pa rty shall expose said child to any situation or person which would adversely affect the health, welfare or well-being of said child and neither party shall take said child to any place of business which is not appropriate for a child of her age.
7. Each party shall confer with the other in all matters of importance relating to the child's health, maintenance, education, religious training and general welfare with a view toward obtaining and following a harmonious policy in the child's best interests and shall keep the other par ty informed of the progress of the child's education and social adjustment.
8. In the event of any serious illness of the child at any time, either party then having custody of said child, shall immediately communicate with the other party by telephone or any other means, informing the other party of the nature of the illness. During such illness, each pa rty shallhave the right to visit the child as often as he or she desires, consistent with the proper medical care of sa id child. The word "illness" as used herein shall mean any disability which confines the child to bed under the direction of a licensed physician for a peirod in excess of twenty-four (24) hours.
9. In connection with questions dealing with the education of the minor child, as well as any extraordinary medical or dental expense, the Father shall be consulted wherever practicable concerning the course of any proposed treatment or the decisions relevant to any educational consideration.
10. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania shall retain jurisdiction of the custody and visitation matters set forth herein, for so long as either party or the child shall reside within Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Sept. 30, 1991 Copy of order put in Atty. Pepicelli's box.

Sept. 30, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, September 30, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Donna L. Green, plaintiff, and Kenneth W. Green, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

Mazzotta, McCague & Associates
Donald S. Mazzotta
William T. Molczan

722.

FIRST DEPOSIT NATIONAL BANK

vs.

MARY E. HUBER

Filed July 25, 1991
@ 11:33 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	25.00
Pro Co Pd. 9/5/91	9.00

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT - ASSUMPSIT

1 copy (sheriff)

Aug. 9, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Mary E. Huber on July 31, 1991 at 2:22 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Bill Huber, Son and adult in charge, at residence, R.D.#8, Box 670, Meadville, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Sept. 5, 1991, at 1:44 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with affidavit of non-military Service and Certification of Mailing of notice of Intent to Take Default Judgment attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer, inthe sum of Two thousand Six Hundred Ninety Eight and 71/100 Dollars with interest accruing on amount at rate of 6% from date of judgment.
Judgment entered
9/5/91

Debt ---$2,698.71
INt. from date of judg. at 6%
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Sept. 9, 1991 Notice of entry of Judgment mailed to defendant.

1991

Shapiro and Kreisman
Carolee Berasi

723.

BANCPLUS MORTGAGE CORPORATION
9601 McAllister Freeway
P.O. Box 659505
San Antonio, Tex. 78265

vs.

SAMUEL L. SHARRAR
KATHLEEN M. SHARRAR
R.D. # 3, Box 161
Cochranton Pa. 16314-8527

Filed July 25, 1991
@ 11:47 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 8/30/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

Aug. 30, 1991 Certification Of Service of Complaint in Mortgage Foreclousre filed. I, Carolee Berasi, an agent for Bancplus Mortgage Corporation, do hereby swear and affirm that to the best of my knowledge the Defendant, Samuel L. Sharrar and Kathleen M. Sharrar, resides outside of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, an dtherefore service of iriginal process may be made, pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 404, by mail, as set forth in rule 403. Accordingly, onthe 26th day of July, 1991, I caused to have been mailed to said dEfendant, the Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure by Certified Mail - rEturn Receipt Requested and:

Attached is the return receipt signed by said Defendant or his authorized agent indicating receipt of the Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure on the 29th day of July, 1991.

I hereby affirm that statements set forth above are to the best of myyknowledge true and correct this 29th day of August, 1991. Carolee Berasi

And Now, Aug. 30, 1991 at 1:43 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Fifty-four Thousand Four Hundred Ninety-nine and 19/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

S-154-104 Debt $54,499.19
judgment entered 8/30/91 Judgment
notice of entry of judgment mailed Sept. 6, 1991 James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX 1991 - 75

1991

Schuchert Sheerer & Horne PC
Martin W. Sheerer

724.

Quinn, Buseck,Leemhuis, Toohey & Kroto
John M.Quinn, Jr.
Jennifer L. Johnston
for Meadville Medical Center

William J. Gregg
Candido T. Cortes, Jr. M.D.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
E. Max Weiss
for dft. Cortes

MARY LUANA CAMPBELL AND JAMES E. CAMPBELL, SR. HER HUSBAND

vs.

SPENCER HOSPITAL, NOW BY MERGER MEADVILLE MEDICAL CENTER, AND CANDIDO T. CORTES JR., M.D.

Filed July 25, 1991
@ 1:10 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	33.00
Pro Co pd 1-31-94	9.00
Pro.Co.pd 5/25/94	6.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Aug. 8, 1991 Writ Return filed. SERVED Dr. Candido T. Cortes, Jr. on July 26, 1991 at 10:03 PM by handing copy of Summons to Vicki Chandler, Nurse and person in charge, at 1559 Conneaut Lake Road, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Spencer Hospital, now by merger, Meadville Medical Center, on July 26, 1991, at 3:55 PM by handing copy of Summons to Nancy Blystone, Administrative Assistant and person in charge at place of business, Liberty Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 17, 1993, Complaint in Civil Action filed.

Jan. 4, 1994 Appearance of Quinn, Buseck, Leemhuis, Toohey & Kroto by John M.Quin,,Jr. and Jennifer L.Johnston, Esquire, for Meadville Medical Center, Defendant, filed.

Jan. 4, 1994 Motion to Dismiss for Inactivity on Behalf of Meadville Medical Center, Defendant, filed.

Jan. 4, 1994 Upon Praecipe of JohnM.Quinn,Jr.,Esquire and Jennifer L. Johnston,Esquire, the above captioned matter is placed on the next available Argument List.

ON FEBRUARY, 1994 ARGUMENT LIST

jan. 21, 1994 Appearance of William J. Gregg for Candido T. Cortes, Jr., MD filed.

Jan. 31, 1994 And Now, Default Judgment is entered against the Defendant, CANDIDO T. CORTES, JR., M.D., for failure to file an Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint.
Entered 1-31-94 JUDGMENT
C - 34 Barbara H. King, Pro.

Feb. 11, 1994, appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, schetroma and Schug PC by E. Max Weiss, attorneys for defendatn Cortes, filed.

Feb. 11, 1994, Notice of presentation of motion in court filed.

Feb. 11, 1994 Petition and rule to Show Cause Why the Default Judgment Entered Against Candido T. Cortes, Jr., M.D. Should Not Be Stricken Off and/or Opened and ORDER, filed. ORDER: And Now, this 18 day of February, 1994, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that a Rule is issued to show cause why the default judgment entered agaisnt Candido T. Cortes, Jr., M.D., should not be stricken or opened. Rule returnable the 28th day of February, 1994, at 1:15 p.m., preceding the argumetn heretofore scheduled on defendant Meadville Medical Center's Motion to Dismiss for inactivity.
By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
Feb. 22, 1994 copy to M. Sheerer, J. Quinn, J. Johnston, W. Gregg, M. Weiss

Feb. 18, 1994 Amendment To Petition and Rule to Show Cause Why the Default Judgment Entered Against Candido T. Cortes, Jr. M.D. Should Not be Stricken off and/or Opened filed.

Feb. 24, 1994 Verification of Candido T. Cortes, Jr. to Amendment to Petition and Rule to Show Cause Why Default Judgment Should Not Be Stricken and/or Opened, filed.

Feb. 24, 1994 Verification of Candido T.Cortes, Jr. to Petition and Rule to Show Cause Why Default Judgment Should Not be Stricken and/or Opened, filed.

Feb. 28, 1994 Plaintiffs' Response To Amendment To Petition and Rule To Show Cause Why The Default Judgment entered against Candido T. Cortes, Jr., M.D. Should Not Be Stricken Off and/or Opened filed.

Feb. 28, 1994 Plaintiffs' Response To Second Amendment To Petition andrule To Show Cause W Why The Default Judgment Entered Against Candido T. Cortes, Jr., M.D. Should NotBe Stricken Off and/or Opened filed.

Feb. 28, 1994 Plaintiffs' Response to Petition and Rule To Show Cause Why The Default Judgment entered against Candido T. Cortes, Jr., M.D. Should Not Be stricken Off and/or Opened filed.

Feb. 28, 1994, Motion to Continued Argument filed.

May 25, 1994 Upon Praecipe of Martin W. Sheerer, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff,the above entitled action settled and discontinued of record, filed.

1-4-94 Deft mme Brief filed

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

725.

JOSEPH E. SCIALLO

vs.

CAROLYN M. NEELY

Filed July 25, 1991
@ 1:15 p.m.

Tax & entry 7/31/91 $50.50
state 7/31/91 5.00
William Pineo, mediator

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY/VISITATION AND SPECIAL RELIEF

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR:
You, Carolyn M. Neely, respondent, have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody or visitation of the children; Joshua MaTTHEW Neely, born June 24, 1991, and Justin Allen Neely, born June 24, 1991.

You are ordered to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the mediator: WILLIAM E. PINEO, ESQ. for a custody mediation conference.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set for th below to find out where you can get legal help. Crawford County Bar.,Assoc. Ser. to the Public Comm. c/o Prothonotary OFFICE, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335 814 724-4636'/

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Aug. 1, 1991 Verification Of Service filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for the abov enamed Petitioner, and that as such I am authorized to make this verification; that I did on the 26th day of July, 1991 send to the above named Respondent, by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of the custody petition filed at the above term and number; and that I did on the 29th day of July, 1991, receive a duly executed return receipt dated July 27, 1991.

Brian J. Lindsay

Aug. 22, 1991 Defendant's Answer To Plaintiff's Complaint For Custody/Visitation And Special Relief filed.

Sept 22, 1998 ORDER: Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 8/22/1991. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear from you in writing on or before Oct 23, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objectioins must be filed in the Prothonotary's office on or before Oct 23, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

Date: Sept 22, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 5, 1998 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of Oct., 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given by publication of a "Notice of Intent To Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on Oct. 14, 1998, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, all and each of the 84 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice. A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 19011.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

Grigsby, Gaca &
Davies, PC
Jeffrey A. Ramaley

726.

PROGRESSIVE CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY

vs.

WALTER A. MILES AGENCY,
WALTER A. MILES, AGENCY, INC.
DONALD J. MILES, INDIVIDUALLY
AND DONALD J. MILES t/d/b/a
WALTER A. MILES AGENCY

Filed July 26, 1991
@ 11:08 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	106.50
Pro.Co. pd.7/31/92	5.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Sept. 3, 1991 Writ Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Robert D. Blair, Deputy Sheriff who bien gduly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 14th day of August, 1991, at 12;)7 p.m., he served Summons in Civil Action, filed at No. A.D. 726 Term, 1991, Crawford County Pennsylvania upon defendant Donald J. Miles t/d/b/a Walter A. Miles Agency at his employment, RD #3, Titusville, PA (Business now known as Miles Ins. Agency) By making known the contents thereof to Dorothy E. Harry, AGent in Charge (Officer Manager) and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of th eSummons received f rom Sheriff of Crawford County
So answers, Robert D. Blai r, Deputy Sheriff
Gene Price, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Robert D. Blair, Deputy SHeriff who bieng duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 14th day of August, 1991, at 12:07 p.m., he served Summons in Civil Action, filed at No. AD 726 Term, 1991, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Donald J. Miles at his employement, R.D. #3, Titusville, PA By making known te contents thereof to Dorothy E. Harry, Agent in charge (Office Manager) and handing to an dleaving with her the certified copy of the Summons received from Sheriff of Crawford County.
So answers, Robert D. Blair, Deputy Sheriff
Gene Price, Sheriff

And now, 14Aug91, the within Summons in Civil Action to have been serve dupon the within named defendant Walter A. Miles, Agency, INc. are returned NOT SERVED. the said Defendant(s) no longer in business - Walter Miles is deceased per Sheriff's Deputy Robert D. Blair.
So answers, Gene Price, Sheriff

And now, 14Aug91, the within Summon in Civil Action to have been served upon the within named defendant(s) Walter A. Miles Agency are returned NOT SERVED. the said Defendant(s) no longer in business - Walter Miles is deceased per Sheriff's Deputy Robert D. Blair.
So answers, Gene Price, Sheriff

Walter A. Miles Agency, Not served, no longer in business. Owner Walter A. Miles is deceased. As per Affidavit of Service attached.
Walter A. Miles, Agency, INc., Not served, no longer in business. Owner Walter A. Miles is deceased. As per Affidavit of Service attached.
SERVED Donald J. Miles by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango County, PA, as per his Affidavit of Service attached. served on August 14, 1991.
SERVED Donald J. Miles, t/d/b/a Walter A. Miles Agency by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango County, PA, as per his Affidavit of Service. SErve don August 14, 1991.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 31, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, Jeffrey A. Ramaley, the above number and term is marked discontinued of record.

1991

Susan W. Laatsch
Bernstein & Bernstein
Nicholas D. Krawec
727.

HENRI STUDIO, INC.

vs.

JOHN EMSWORTH, TRADING AND DOING BUSINESS AS MOSTLY JUST POTS

Filed July 26, 1991
@ 11:39 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
State paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	22.00
Pro.Co. pd 1/29/93	9.00
Pro.Co.pd 3/10/94	5.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Aug. 8, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED John Emsworth personally on July 29, 1991 at 11:04 PM at his place of business, Mostly Just Pots, r.D. #3, cochranton, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Jan. 29, 1993 upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with notice of Intention to file Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants in the default of an Answer and the same is liquidated at the sum of Seven hundred Eleven and 35/100 Dollars, interest included, plus costs.

	Debt	$711.35
"E-92; M-185"	Int.from 12/12/92 -2/12/93	7.04
Entered on Jan.29, 1993	Judgment	
ABstract to Atty.Krawec	Barbara H. King	
	Prothonotary	

Mar. 10, 1994 Upon praecipe of Attorneys for Plaintiff, the above captioned matter is marked settled, discontinued or satisfied of record.

1991

Paul J. Carney, Jr.

728.

CAROL S. VAUGHN

vs.

WILLIS S. VAUGHN

Filed July 26, 1991
@ 11:45 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
John Fuller, mediator	200.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:
You, Willis S. Vaughn, dft have been sued in court to obtain partial custody of the children: Willis Allen Vaughn, Natalie Renee Vaughn and Cecil William Vaughn.
You are ordered to appear in person before custody mediator John Fuller, at date and time set by mediator.
If you fail to appear as provided by ths order or to bring the children, an order for custody partial custody, visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.
Crawford County Bar Association, Service to Public Committee. c/o Prothonotary, courthouse Meadville, Pa. 16335 814 724-4636.
Date: Aug. 1, 1991 BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept 24, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, September 23rd, 1991, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Willis Allen Vaughn, Natalie Renee Vaughn and Cecil William Vaughn shall be shared between the mother, Carol S. Vaughn, and the father, Willis S. Vaughn, that is each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such as the children's education, medical treatment and religious instruction.

II. Physical custody of the children shall be with the mother subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody every weekend beginning Friday, September 6, 1991, from 6:00 p.m. Friday evening until 6:00 p.m. Sunday evening.

B. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. The mother and father shall share custody of the children on the three aforementioned holidays in such way they deem best and in the absence of an agreement between the mother and father, the children shall be in the custody of the mother on those three holidays until 1:00 p.m. and in the custody of the father on those holidays from 1:00 p.m. until 7:00 p.m.

C. Beginning with the Summer of 1992, each parent shall be entitled to have the children in their exclusive custody for a period of two (2) weeks each Summer. Each parent shall give the other parent at least thirty (30) days notice of the two (20) week period in which they intend to exercise exclusive custody of the children.

D. The parties shall divide transportation on weekend, holiday and vacation visits with the parent receiving custody providing transportation, that is, if the father is to receive custody Friday evening, he shall pick up the children at the home of the mother and the mother is to receive custody back on Sunday evening, she shall pick up the children at the home of the father.

E. The parties agree and therefore ordered not to use alcohol or drugs at any time while the children are in their custody.

F. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

G. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

H. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding any of the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) day of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

Gordon R. Miller, Judge

Sept 24, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty. Fuller's box and copies mailed to Atty Carney.

1991

William J. Gregg

729.

HENRY MORELAND

vs.

REBECCA MORELAND

Filed July 26, 1991
@ 1:31 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
pro co pd 12/11/91	-- 9.00
Pro Co Pd 1/9/92	5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 2, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. William J. Gregg, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the Affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Notice were sent by registered mail to the Defendant, Rebecca Moreland, on July 30, 1991.

3. The registered mail was sent to Rebecca Moreland at R.D. #4, Conneaut Lake, PA 16316. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on July 31, 1991. Said Return Receipt card P 848 678 920 is attached hereto, signed by Rebecca Moreland, and made a part hereof.

William J. Gregg

Nov. 26, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Dec. 10, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Dec. 11, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review, this case moved tocourt.

Dec. 19, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, December 17, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Henry Moreland, plaintiff, and Rebecca Moreland, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Dec., 31, 1991, Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name filed.
TO BE KNOWN AS: REBECCA MYERS.

1991

William L. Walker

730.

JERRY T. WHITMORE

vs.

ROBIN L. WHITMORE

Filed July 26, 1991
@ 4:26 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co. pd 11/27/91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 9, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Debra Mattocks, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the secretaryto William L. Wlaker, Esquire, Attorney for Petitioner in this action and certified that on August 1, 1991, she delivered to the Post Office in Meadville, PA for delivery to Robin L. Whitmore at 161 Oak Street, Meadville, PA 16335, by certified mail, return receipt requested, delivery restricted to addressee only, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in this matter fo rwhich deponent received at the time receipt for certified article no. P 063 588 854 which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Thereafter on August 5, 1991, it was returned to William L. Walker, Esquire, return receipt card bearing the same number and signed by Robin L. Whitmore said return receipt card being attached hereto as Exhibit "B".

Debra Mattocks

Nov. 27, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 27, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Nov. 27, 1991 Upon Praecipe of plaintiff attorney for Review under Section 3301(c) of Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Dec. 9, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, December 6, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Jerry t. Whitmore, plaintiff, and Robin L. Whitmore, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore Vardaro, Walker
William L. Walker

731.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug
E. Max Weiss

DOLORES E. AUSTIN AND FREDERICK F. AUSTIN, HER HUSBAND

vs.

CUTTER CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION AND JAMES W. CUTTER

Filed July 26, 1991
@ 4:27 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	27.00
Pro Co pd 12/24/91	6.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Aug. 9, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Cutter Conduminium Association (CUTTER Trial Demanded) on July 31, 1991 at 2:36 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Jan Metzler, Secretary and person in charge, at Route 322 Conneaut Lake Road, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED James W. Cutter on July 31, 1991 at 2:37 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Jan Metzler, Secretary and person in charge, at Cutters, Route 322, Conneaut Lake Road, Meadville, Crawford County PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 20, 1991 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by E. Max Weiss for Defendants.

Dec. 24, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Kathleen R. Nelson

732.

STEVEN M. CARNES

vs.

CANDI L. CARNES

Filed July 29, 1991
@ 2:28 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

August 7, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sys that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Notice were sent by registered mail to the Defendant, Candi L. Carnes, on Aug. 2, 1991.

3. The registered mail was sent to Candi L. Carnes, at c/o Mike Summers, R.D.#4, Box 4, Penn Lake Village, Conneaut Lake, Pa. 16316. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on Aug. 3, 1991. Said Return Receipt card P848 679 232 is attached hereto, signed by Candi L. Carnes, and made a part hereof.

Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq.

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 8/7/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: March 12, 1998

Mar. 12, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

Robert A. Sambroak Jr.
Specter Law Offices

733.

Lola M James

PAULA J. BLOOD AND ROGER BLOOD, her husband

vs.

RUSSELL STANDARD CORPORATION

Filed July 29, 1991
@ 2:33 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	59.00
Pro Co Pd 11/27/91	5.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

(JURY Trial Demanded)

Sept. 3, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared William Parker, Deputy who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 12th day of August, 1991, at 1200 hrs. M., he served Complaint together with three (3) copies of INterrogatories, filed at NO. AD 733 Term, 1991, Crawford County Pennsyvlania, upon defendant Russell STandard Corporation at Francis Road, ERie County, Fairview, Penrnsyvlania By making known the contents thereof to Gail Wahn, adult in charge and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy ofthe Complaint and Interrcgatories received from Crawford County.
So answers, William Parker, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff
SERVED Russell Standard Corporation by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, PA as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on August 12, 1991.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 20, 1991 Appearance of Lola M. James, Esq. attorney for defendant filed.

Oct. 17, 1991 Important Default Notice filed.

Nov. 6, 1991 Answer and New Matter filed.

Nov. 14, 1991 Reply To New Matter filed.

Nov. 14, 1991 Notice Of Service of Reply To New Matter filed.

Nov. 26, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above matter is settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Robert W. Feeman
Offices fo Robert W. Feeman

734.

TITUSVILLE AGWAY CO-OP, INC.

vs.

EVAN W. HUMMER
FRANCES D. HUMMER

Filed July 29, 1991
@ 2:51 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00
plff on orig	9.50
Sheriff's Costs	50.00
Pro Co Pd 10/18/91--	9.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

DSB 1986 -762

Writ of Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants in the sum of Fifteen Thousand Four Hundred Twenty Five and 38/100 Dollars with interest from 11/5/86 at 6% and costs.

Debt----------$15,425.38
Dated----------July 23, 1991
H - 52, 62-------REVIVAL OF JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

Aug. 15, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Evan W. Hummer personally on Aug. 2, 1991 at 1:40 PM at h is residence, R.D..#4, Centerville, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Frances D. Hummer personally on Aug. 6, 1991 at 11:30 PM at her residence, Central Towers, Rm. 707, Titusville, Cr. Co.,PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Oct. 18, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, and with default ten day notices attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to answer writ of revival in the amount of Fifteen thousand Four Hundred Twenty Five and 38/100 Dollars with interest from 11/5/86 at 6% and costs.

Judgment entered
10/18/91
H - 52, 62

Debt -----$15,425.38
Int. from 11/5/86

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 22, 1991 notice of entry of judgment mailed to each defendant.

1991

Blystone & Blystone
Curt E. Blystone

735.

KENNETH R. ATNIP

vs.

DENISE J. ATNIP

Filed July 29, 1991
@ 4:05 p.m.

Tax & entry 7/31/91 $50.50
state 7/31/91 5.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

ORDER AND NOTICE:
You, Denise J. Atnip, defendant, have been sued in court to obtain custody fothe children: Altah Atnip and Samantha Atnip.
You are hereby ordered to appear in person for a conference at the time and place to be scheduled by the custody mediator appointed in this case.
If you fail to appear as provided in this order, an order for custody may be entered against you orthe court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.
Crawford CountyBar Association, Ser. to the Public Committee. c/o Prothontoary Meadville, Pa. 16335
Date: 7/29/91 BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Aug. 6, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. I hereby accept service of the Complaint for Custody endorsed with the order and notice. Denise J. Atnip, Dft.
Dated:

Aug. 7, 1991 Agreement for Entry of a Consent Custody/Visitation Court Order and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 7 day of August 1991 upon consideration of foregoing Agreement for Entry of a Consent Custody/Visitation Court Order, the same is hereby approved and deemed to be an Order of this court and shall be enforceable as such. BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Aug. 7, 1991 copies to C. Blystone.

March 24, 1999 Petition to Modify Existing Child Custody Court Order Filed.

March 29, 1999 Petition for Waiver of Attendance at Seminar for Separating Parents and Order filed. And now, this 29 day of March, 1999 upon presentation and consideration of the parent's joint Petition for Waiver of Attendance at Seminar for Separating Parents, said granted.
By the Court
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

3/29/99 Faxed Hatheway

March 29, 1999 Stipulation Agreement and Custody Consent Order and Custody Consent Order filed. And now, this 29 day of March, 1999 upon stipulation of the parties to this action, the following Order is hereby entered.
1. The father and mother shall have shared legal custody of the subject minor children. Both parents are entitled to full access to any records relating to the children held by a third party including but not limited to school records and medical records and each parent shall have equal input regarding important decisions affecting the children such as education, religious intruction and medical treatment.
2. Primary physical custody of Samantha shall be with her father. Primary physical custody of Altah shall be with her mother.
3. visitations shall be worked out between the parents.
4. The parents shall share in teh transportation responsibilities.
5. Holiday visitation shall be worked out between the parents.
6. Neither party shall use illegal drugs and are not to be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said aprty has the care and custody of the subject minor children.
7. Each party shall teach the minor children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due to a parent from his or her children. Furthermore, neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall teat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall insturct his or her relatives and friends to likewise and not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.
8. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the periods of physical custody as set out in this Order, or a s previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which compels the cancellation or modification. Said modification or cancellation shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.
9. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this order shall govern.
10. The subject minor child, Altah Atnip, shall not be left alone with the mother's husband Mark Thompson

By the Court
Anthony J. Vardaro

3/29/99 Faxed Hatheway

1991

Knox McLaughlin
Gornall & Sennett PC
Donald E. Wright, Jr.

736.

MacDonald, Illig,
Jones & Britton
John F. Mizner

Eric J. Purchase

CHERYL FRATUS AND LEROY FRATUS

vs.

SHERRY E. SMITH, ELMER A.
SMITH AND REBECCA A. SMITH

Filed July 30, 1991
@ 11:16 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	39.00
Pro.Co. pd 5/14/93	6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Aug. 13, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURNED filed. SERVED Rebecca A. Smith personally on Aug. 5, 1991 at 12:01 PM, at the residence R.D. #8, Box 166, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Elmer A. Smith on Aug. 5, 1991 at 12:02 PM by handing copyof Summons to Rebecca A. Smith, adult in charge at residence R.D. #8, Box 166, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Sherry E. Smith on Aug. 5, 1991 at 12:03 PM by copy of Summons to Rebecca A. Smith, adult in charge at residence, R.D. #8, Box 166, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept 10, 1991, Appearance of MacDonald, Illig, Jones and Britton, by John F. Mizner, attorneys for defendants filed.

Nov. 18,1992 Appearance of MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton by Eric J. Purchase, attorneys for Defendants, filed.

Nov.18, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Defendant's Attorney for Rule upon Plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

Jan. 28, 1993 Complaint filed.

May 14, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, Donald E. Wright, Jr., Esquire, received satisfaction in full of this judgment, debt, interest and costs, and I hereby discharge the same of record.

1991

Blystone & Blystone
Peter E. Blystone

737.

Amy Reynolds Hay
for U.S. of America

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MERCER COUNTY

vs.

VICTOR L. NONCLERG AND
PAMELA M. NONCLERG AND
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Filed July 30, 1991
@ 11:21 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriff's costs	79.00
Pro Co Pd 9-18-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)
4 copies (sheriff)

August 7, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. 1. The undersigned, Peter E. Blystone, is attorney for Pennbank, Plaintiff, in the above captioned civil action.

2. On July 30, 1991, affiant mailed a true and correct copy of the Complaint in this case with Notice to Defend to the Honorable Dick Thornburgh, Attorney General of the United States, in accordance with the requirements of Title 28 U.S. Code, Section 2410, by certified mail posted at Meadville, Pa. U.S. Post Office, Article No. P398 128 712 as indicated by the attached receipt for certified mail.

3. The aforesaid certified mail article was delivered to the Dept. of Justice in Washington, D.C. on Aug. 2, 1991 as indicated by the attached return receipt.

I hereby verify that the statements made in this affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements made herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. No. 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Peter E. Blystone

Aug. 21, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Daniel Isenberg who being duly sworn according to alw, deposes and says that on the 2nd day of August, 1991 at 12:15 P.M., he served Complaint at No. 737 AD Term, 1991, Crawford County, PA., upon defendant USA at 633 US PO and Court House, Pgh. By making known the contents thereof to Janett Newell-Sec. and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Writ received from Crawford Co. Sheriff office.

Dan Isenberg, Deputy Sheriff
So answers
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff
Allegheny County, PA.

SERVED Michelle Morgan, Adult person who in possession of property, personally on August 5, 1991 at 12:40 PM, at the residence, 490 Water ST., Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Victor L. Nonclerg personally on Aug. 5, 1991 at 1:28 PM at his residence, 112 South River Rd., Jamestown, Crawford Co., PA.

SERVED Pamela M. Nonclerg on Aug. 5, 1991 at 1:29 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Victor L. Nonclerg, adult in charge at residence, 112 South River Rd., Jamestown, Crawford Co., Pa

SERVED The United States of America by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny Co. PA. as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served Aug. 2, 1991.

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept 9, 1991 Answer of Defendant United States of America filed.

Sept 16, 1991 Consent Judgment filed: AND NOW, to wit, this 16th day of September, 1991, it appearing that counsel for plaintiff and counsel for defendnat, United States of America, have consented to the entry of the within Order on behalf of their respective clients, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that a judgment be entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the United State of America for foreclosure of the mortgage of the plaintiff in the within cause and for sale of the mortgaged property of defendants Victor L. Nonclerg, Pamela M. Nonclerg, and Nuimage Associates.

It is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that defendant, United States of America, shall be notified by plaintiff of the date, time and place scheduled for any sheriff's sale of the real property of the aforesaid defendants; that the United States of America shall be entitled to payment from the proceeds of the sheriff's sale tot he extent its proper priority would entitle it to the same; and that the United States of America shall be entitled to redeem the aforesaid property within 120 days from the date of sale, as provided by 28 U.S.C. §2410.

Nothing contained in the within Order shall, in any way, be construed as entry of a monetary judgment against the United States of America, but rather said judgment is limited to the foreclosure and sale of the real estate of the aforesaid defendannts in the within proceeding.

U-183

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept 17, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty Blystone's box and copy mailed to Atty Reynolds-Hay.

AND NOW, Sept 18, 1991 @ 11:44 a.m. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendants, Victor L. and Pamela M. Nonclerg for failure to file an answer and damages are assessed as follows: Principal debt due pursuant to the terms of the not and mortgage, Thirty-three Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty-one and 74/100 Dollars; Reasonable attorney's fees of 20% of the debt in accordance with the contract documents, Six Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty-two and 74/100 Dollars; TOTAL principal debt and attorney's fees, Forty Thousand Five Hundred Fourteen and 48/100 Dollars; together with interest on the principal debt at the rate of 10% per annum from January 1, 1990.

N-163, 133

Debt.....$40,514.48 + Int
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX 1991-80

Sept. 23, 1991, Affidavit of Non Military Service filed.

1991

James R. Irwin

738.

JAMES A. SCHLOSSER

vs.

REGINA M. SCHLOSSER

Filed July 30, 1991
@ 4:18 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 4-16-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 3, 1992 Stipulation And Consent to Entry of Custody Order and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 3 day of Feb., 1991, upon presentation of the Stipulation and Consent of the parties, attached hereto, and after consideration of same, it is hereby ORDERED, DIRECTED and DECREED that:

1. Legal and physical custody of the parties' minor child shall be shared between the mother, Regina M. Schlosser and the father, James A. Schlosser.

2. The natural father, James E. Schlosser, shall have custody of the aforementioned minor child from 9:00 a.m. Saturday until 7:00 p.m. Sunday every other weekend during the school year. During summer vacation, Father shall have custody from 4:30 p.m. Friday until 7:00 p.m. Sunday, every other weekend.

3. In addition to the weekend visitations set forth in Paragraph 2 of this Order, Father shall have custody of the parties' minor child for two (2) one week periods during the child's summer vacation. The first one week period shall commence at 8:00 a.m. on the second Monday in June and shall continue until 7:00 p.m. the following Sunday. The second week long visitation shall commence at 8:00 a.m. on the second Monday in August and shall end the following Sunday at 7:00 p.m.

4. The natural mother, Regina M. Schlosser, shall have physical custody of aforementioned minor child at all other times unless designated in this agreement.

5. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

A. Christmas holiday visitation. The natural mother shall have visitation for Christmas 1991 from 4:00 a.m. December 24th until 12;00 Noon Christmas Day. The natural father shall have visitation for Christmas 1991 from 12:00 Noon December 25th until 8:00 p.m. Dec. 26th. The parties shall alternate the Christmas holiday visitation schedule each year thereafter.

B. Easter holiday visitation. The natural father shall have visitation for Easter 1992 from 3:30 p.m. the Thursday preceeding Easter Sunday until 7:00 p.m. the Monday following Easter Sunday. The parties shall alternate the Easter holiday visitation each year thereafter.

C. Thanksgiving holiday visitation. The natural mother shall have visitation from 3:30 p.m. the Wednesday before Thanksgiving until Sunday at 8:00 p.m. for 1992. The parties shall alternate Thanksgiving holiday visitation each year thereafter.

D. The other holidays to be observed are: Memorial Day, Fourth of July, and Labor Day. Visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Fourth of July, when the holiday visitation shall be extended until 11:00 p.m. so that the child may watch fireworks. The natural Mother shall have visitation on Memorial Day 1992 and Labor Day. Father shall have visitation on Fourth of July 1992. The parties shall alternate this visitation schedule every year thereafter.

5. The holiday visitation schedule shall take precedence over the other provisions of this Order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the child in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the child at the home of the other.

7. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor child.

9. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if it were to be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which, this order shall govern.

12. In the event either of the parties do not appear within one (1) hour of the scheduled visitation time, said visitation shall be deemed cancelled.

13. Except in emergency situations, each party shall give the other at least Twenty-four (24) hours notification when he or she will not be exercising a scheduled visitation.

14. The parties agree that Father shall claim the parties' child on his Federal Income Tax as a tax dependent. The Mother shall execute, in a timely fashion, all documents necessary to effectuate the purposes of this section.

By The Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Feb. 7, 1992 Copy of Order to Atty. James Irwin.

Feb. 24, 1992, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Apr. 3, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

Apr. 13, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record, and as such say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pa. on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated July 30, 1991. The Defendant acknowledged service on July 31, 1991 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Apr. 13, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

April 21, 1992 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, April 20, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that James A. Schlosser, Plaintiff, and Regina M. Schlosser, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

739.

DOUGLAS S. LLOYD

vs.

SUSAN L. LLOYD

Filed July 30, 1991
@ 4:23 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 11/15/91	9.00
Pro Co Pd 12/16/91.	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 7, 1991 Acceptance of service filed. I, the above named defendant hereby accept service ofthe Complaint in the above action.
Dated: 8/2/91 susan L. Lloyd.

Nov. 8, 1991 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Nov. 8, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 8, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Nov. 19, 1991 **STIPULATION AND CONSENT DECREE FILED.**

1. Divorce: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above named parties on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to §201(c) of the Divorce Code of Pennsylvania.

2. The plaintiff shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and personalty currently in his posssession and defendant shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishing, appliances and personalty currently in her possession.

3. Defendant shall be the sole and exclusive owner of a certain mobile home located at RD #6, box 110, Fairfield Township, Meadville, Pennsylvania. The mobile home sits on a rented lot and the title is currently held as collateral with FNB located on Park Avenue in Meadville, Pennsyl vania. The parties acknowledge that the debt due and owing to FNB on said mobile will be paid in full and it shall be the responsibility of the plaintiff to pay the balance in full. Within thirty (30) days after the account with FNB is paid, the parties shall execute any and all documents necessary to effect defendant's responsibility to pay any and all obligations due and owing on said mobile home for: insurance, maintenance, utilities, sewage and taxes.

The parties are also the owners of certain a certain business known as "Bob's T.V. - Appliance Repair", located on proper ty at 1379 S. Main St., Meadville, Pennsylvania, said realty being owned by a third par ty. Defendant shall be responsible for any and all outstanding obligations due and owing on said business, including but not limited to: mortgage, insurance, maintenance, water, sewage, taxes and any and all business accounts thereof. Defendant shall execute any and all documents necessary to effect pl aintiff's ownership in said business.

DATED: November 18, 1991.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J

Dec. 13, 1991 **STIPULATION AND AMENDED CONSENT DECREE**

1. Divorce: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above named parties on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to §201(c) of the Divorce Code of Pennsylvania.

The plaintiff shall have sole and exclusive possessio of any and all furnishing, appliances and personalty currently in his possession and defendant shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and personalty currently in her possession.

3. Defendant shall be the sole and exclusive owner of a certain mobile home located at RD #6, Box 110, Fairfield Township, Meadville, Pennsylvania. The mobile home sits on a rented lot and the title is currently held as collateral with FNB located on Park Avenue in Meadville, Pennsylvania. The parties acknowledge that the debt due and owing to FNB on said mobile will be paid in full and it hsall be the responsibility of the plaintiff to pay the balance in full. Within thirty (30) days after the account with FNB is paid, the parties shall execute any and all documents necessary to effect defendant's ownership of the mobile home. It shall be the defendant's responsibility to pay any and all obligations due and owing on said mobile home for: insurance, maintenance, utilities, sewage and taxes.

The parties are also the owners of certain a certain business known as "Bob's T.V. - Appliance Repair", located on property at 1379 S. Main St., Meadville, Pennsylvania, said realty being owned by a third party. Plaintiff shall be responsible for any and all outstanding obligations due and owing on said business, including but not limited to: mortgage, insurance, maintenance, water, sewage, taxes, and any and all business accounts thereof. Defendant shall execute any and all document snecessary to effect plaintiff's ownership in said business.

The Court does hereby enter this Amended Decree to correct a typographical error contained in the original filed November 18, 1991, which error has been identified to the court as being one which previously directed defendant to pay all outstanding obligations due and owing on the business known as "Bob's T.V.-Appliance Repair", and which now correctly named Plaintiff as owner of said business, being responsible for the obligations incurred by said business.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

740.

ROBERT D. WORLEY

vs.

MARY K. WORLEY

Filed July 31, 1991
@ 9:17 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

July 31, 1991, Petition for Special Relief and Exclusive Possession and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, July 31st, 1991 Hearing on Plaintiff's Petition for Special Relief and exclusive Possession is scheduled for August 20, 1991 at 11:00 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford CountyCourthouse in Meadville, Pa.
By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.
July 31, 1991 copy of order to M. Kirkpatrick.

Aug. 21, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. And Now, Aug. 20, 1991, comes Constance L. Deitman being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legal secretary of Atty. for, Plaintiff in the above-captioed matter.
2. That on information furnished her by teh Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Petition for Special Relief and Exclusive Possession to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P 398 123 153; postmarked Aug. 5, 1991, to the last known address of the Defendant, Mary K. Worley, R.D.#1, Townville, PA 16360.
3. That the Defendant, Mary K. Worley, on Aug. 12, 1991, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above-matter and which is attached hereto.
4. Service of the Complaint against Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pa. Rules of Civil Procedure.

Aug. 21, 1991 MOTION TO CONTINUE and ORDER, filed. ORDER: And Now, Aug. 20, 1991, hearing on Plaintiff's Petition for Special Relief is continued generally.
By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Aug. 27, 1991 copy in box for Atty.Kirkpatrick. Mailed copy to Defendant.

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 8/21/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.
If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.
I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.
Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: March 12, 1998
Mar 12, 1998 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.
By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

John C. Evans
Specter Law Offices

741.

HOWARD E. MATIE, AND DIANE MATIE, HIS WIFE

vs.

STATE FARM INSURANCE COMPANIES

Filed July 31, 1991
@ 11:45 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1991

Joseph F. Kulwicki

742.

MICHAEL J. GLEASON

vs.

TAMMY GLEASON

Filed July 31, 1991
@ 3:00 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 12/24/91-	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF PROPERTY

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 15, 1991 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE, filed. Stephanie Bellas, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is employed by Jos. F. Kulwicki, Esq.,attorney for the Plaintiff, and as such on Aug. 2, 1991, she placed a certifed copy of the above Divorce Complaint in the U.S. mail, addressed to the defendant, as follows: Mrs. Tammy Gleason, 515 Beach Ave., Cambridge Springs, Pa. 16403

Said Divorce Complaint was mailed certified mail, restricted delivery, being certified mail item number P 724 954 551. The signature card for said certified mail item was returned to the office of Jos. F. Kulwicki, Esq., bearing the signature of the defendant as having received same on Aug. 3, 1991. Said signature card is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT.

Dec. 16, 1991, Motion to Withdraw and Order filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, Dec. 16, 1991 motion granted. (Count II of Complaint withdrawn)
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Dec. 16, 1991 copy to Atty. Kulwicki

Dec. 18, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Dec. 18, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Dec. 18, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review, this case moved to court.

Jan. 3, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, December 31, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Michael Gleason, plaintiff, and Tammy Gleason, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BYTHE COURT,
Gorodn R. Miller, J

1991

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
John B. Brautigam

743.

Thomas, Truax,
Spadafore, Vardaro
& Walker
R. Charles Thomas

JOHN F. GUMIELA, JR.

vs.

ANITA L. GUMIELA

Filed July 31, 1991
@ 3:19 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 8-27-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

August 7, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. And Now, Aug. 7, 1991, JOhn B. Brautigam, Esw. being duly swosrn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That he is the Attorney for John F. Gumiela, Jr., Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished to him by the Plaintiff, he caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P835 989 519, postmarked Aug. 1, 1991, to the last known address of the Defendant, Anita L. Gumiela, at R.D.#6, Box 467, Mdvl., Crawford Co., Pa. 16335.

3. That the Defendant, Anita L. Gumiela, on Aug. 3, 1991, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

4. Service of the Complaint against the Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pa. Rules of Civil Procedure.

John B. Brautigam, Esq.

Nov. 18, 1991 Answer and Counterclaim filed. (Equitable Distribution)

April 24, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT BY John F.Gumiela, Jr. Plaintiff filed.

April 24, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT BY Anita L. Gumiela, Defendant filed.

Apr. 27, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D.

May 8, 1992 Consent Decree filed.

Divorce

1. Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marraige is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of filing of the Complaint and that an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §3301(c) of the Divorce Code of PA.

Equitable Distribution of Property

2. The real property located at RD # 6, Williamson Rd. shall be sold by the parties as soon as a reasonable price can be obtained. Pending closing of such sale, wife shall have possession of the said premises and husband shall pay to wife the sum of $450.00 per month on account of the monthly mortgage and other monthly payments required by the mortgagee. While wife is in possession of the subject premises, she shll be solely responsible for payment of any other charges or expenses incurred in connection with said premises.

At the closing of the sale of the subject real property, husband shall pay to wife the sum of $20,000.00 regardless of whether the net proceeds of such sale are less than or greater than $20,000.00.

It being the intention of the parties to sell the subject real property at the earliest possible date, neither party shall unreasonably withhold his or her agreement to the sale of the property and the parties shall cooperate with each other to obtain the highest possible sale price for said property.

3. Ownership of the automobiles of the parties shall be as follows: ownership of the 1987 Oldsmobile Firenza and the 1984 Ford Escort shall be solely with the wife, and ownership of the 1988 Ford Bronco shall be with the husband and any amounts remaining due on loans for the purchase of the aforesaid vehicles shall be assumed by the owner of the vehicle. Likewise, the owners of the vehicles shall be responsible for insurance only on the vehicles which they own.

4. The tangible personal property located in the marital residence at RD # 6, Williamson Rd., shall become the sole and exclusive property of wife, except for the following items, which shall become the sole and exclusive property of husband: a) sofa bed and green chair b) one bedroom dresser c) one set of living room coffee and end tables d) one VCR and VCR tapes e) all hunting equipmetn and guns f) all husband's personal items g) the tools and equipment located in the garage at the marital residence; and h) one John Deere lawn mower (which shall remain at the residence until the residence is sold).

5. The parties will retain all of their respective retirement benefits.

6. Wife has assumed responsibility for the payment of the loan at Mellon Bank, Account #076301273, and wife shall be solely responsible for the payment of the balance due on said loan.

7. With respect to the three burial plots in Greendale Cemetery, namely that portion described on the general map or plan of said cemetery as Section 9-D, Lot #411-West ½, containing 108 square feet, the parties shall obtain three separate deeds for said lots for husband, wife, and Eric Gumiela.

8. On August 1, 1992 and on Dec. 1, 1992, husband will deposit the sum of $2,300.00 in Account #434111506 at Northwest Savings Bank, Chestnut Street Office, Meadville, PA., on account of the educational expenses of Brian D. Gumiela. Husband shall continue to provide health care insurance for Brian D. Gumiela until said Brian D. Gumiela completes his college education or until Brian D. Gumiela attains the age of 25 years, whichever occurs first.

9. Husband shall maintain a life insurance policy in the amoutn of $10,000.00, designating wife as beneficiary and will keep said life insurance policy in effect until Brian D. Gumiela completes his college education or attains the age of 25 years, whichever occurs first.

10. The parties shall file a joint income tax return for the tax year ending Dec. 31, 1991, and any refund received from that return will be divided equally between husband and wife. The parties' son, Brian D. Gumiela, will be claimed by wife as a dependant on future tax returns.

11. The parties shall pay their own legal fees.

Entered and Dated: May 7, 1992

By The Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

1991

Watts and Pepicelli
Thoedore H. Watts

744.

Thomas Truax,
Spadafore, Vardaro
& Walker
R. Charles Thomas

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas W. Ferguson
Vernon Township Water
Authority

SANRAY CORPORATION

vs.

VERNON TOWNSHIP WATER
AUTHORITY, AND VERNON TOWNSHIP

Filed July 31, 1991
@ 3:46 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	27.00
Pro co pd 1/30/92	6.00
Pro Co Pd 2/13/92	1.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Aug. 13, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Vernon Township on Aug. 2, 1991 at 12:00 Noon by handing copy of Complaint to Brenda Oster, Clerk and person in charge, at R.D.#9, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Vernon Township Water Authority on Aug. 2, 1991 at 12:01 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Brenda Oster, Clerk and person in charge, at R.D.#9, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 22, 1991 Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker by R. Charles Thomas for defendants filed.

Aug. 26, 1991 Vernon Township WaterAuthority's Answer To Plaintiff's Complaint in Civil Action filed.

Sept. 13, 1991 Answer Of The Township OF Vernon To Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Jan. 9, 1991 Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON FEBRUARY 1992 Trial List

Jan. 24, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, the above captioned case is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore
Vardaro and Walker
Anthony J. Vardaro

745.

Going & Plank
Bradford J. Harris

MEAD CENTRAL FEDERAL CREDIT UNION

vs.

GMAC MORTGAGE CORPORATION OF PA., JACK E. FRAZIER, AND KAREN R. FRAZIER, HIS WIFE

Filed Aug. 1, 1991
@ 11:46 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	69.25
Pro Co Pd 8/23/91	9.00
Pro Co Pd 12/5/91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

3 copies (sheriff)

Aug. 21, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Jack E. Frazier personally on Aug. 5, 1991 at 11:47 AM at his place of employment, U.S. Bronze, Rt. 19, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Karen R. Frazier on Aug. 6, 1991 at 12:45 PM by h anding copy of Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure to Nancy Burdick, her mother, at R.D.D.#3, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, PA. Signed Acceptance of Service attached.

SERVED GMAC Mortgage Corporation of PA by deputizing the Sheriff of Montgomery County, PA as per his Sheriff's Return attached. Served on Aug. 8, 1991.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

The above document was served on the Defendant as per information listed above in the County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

So answers, Frank P. Lalley, Sheriff, Montgomery Co.
by Patricia M. Smith, Deputy Sheriff

Aug. 21, 1991 Appearance of Going & Pland of Bradford J. Harris for defendant GMAS Mortgage Corp. of Pa.

CERIFICATE OF SERVICE, filed. I hereby certify that I mailed a true and correct copy of this document by regular First Class to the following persons on the above date: Jack E. Frazier, R.D.#4, Box 98A, Centerville, PA 16404; Karen R. Frazier, R.D. #3, Box 121B, Cambridge Springs, PA 16403.
Anthony J. Vardaro

And Now, August 23, 1991 at 2:18 p.m., this action is indexed against he defendants in the Judgment index, as a Lis Pendens.

Lis Pendens entered
on 8/23/91
G - 186
F - 94, 104

LIS PENDENS
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Sept. 26, 1991 Answer, New Matter, and Counterclaim Of Defendant, GMAC Mortgage Corporation Of PA filed.

Oct. 23, 1991, Reply to New Matter and Counterclaim of Defendant GMAC Mortgage Corporation of Pa. filed.

Dec. 2, 1991 UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record with prejudice.

1991

Hayt, Hayt & Landau
Arthur Lashin

746.

FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY
One American Road
Dearborn, Michigan 48122

vs.

JAMES A. MACORMAC, JR.
424 chestnut st.
Titusville, Pa. 16354

Filed Aug. 1, 1991
@ 2:01 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	20.00
Sheriff's costs	46.50
Pro Co. pd 1/21/92	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Aug. 15, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURNED, filed. Not found, no forward.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 9, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, Complaint reinstatement filed.

Sept 19, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED the within Complaint on September 11, 1991, at 1525 hrs, upon James A. Macormac, Jr. at 15 Market Street, Erie County, Union City, Pennsylvania by handing to James A. Macormac, jr. a true and correct copy of thereof and made known to him the contents therein.

Robert N. Michel, Sheriff
Stephen Brandon, Deputy

SERVED James A. Macormac, jr. by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, Pa as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on September 11, 1991.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 16, 1992, 3:30 P.M., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with copy of Notice of Intention to file default judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for failure to to file an answer and damages are assessed as follows:

Unpaid Balance	$8,460.74
Plus Interest	1,057.59
Attorney's Fees	1,903.67
Total Due	$11,422.00

Debt $11,422.00
M-94 Judgment
Judgment entered 1/16/92 Barbara H. King,Pro.

EX1992-9

1991

Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman, Berlin May, Walsh and Brabender
Stephen H. Hutzelman

747.

MARINE MIDLAND BANK

vs.

JAMES M. DETWEILER

Filed Aug. 1, 1991
@ 2:07 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	29.25
Pro Co Pd 9/9/91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Aug. 23, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED James M. Detweiler on August 9, 1991 at 1:15 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Laurie detweiler, his authorized agent, in the Sheriff's OFfice, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA. Signed Acceptance of Service atacched.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy SHeriff
Howard N. STewart, Sheriff

Sept. 3, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney the above captioned matter is discontinued with prejudice.

1991

William L. Walker
Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker

748.

ANDOVER BANK

vs.

KENNETH E. McHENRY AND MICHELLE M. McHENRY, HIS WIFE

Filed Aug. 1, 1991
@ 2:38 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	31.25
Pro Co Paid 9-16-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Aug. 13, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. SERVED Kenneth E. McHenry on Aug. 5, 1991 at 1:15 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Michelle M. McHenry adult in charge at residence, R.D. #1, Box 119-A, Hartstown, Crawford County PA.

SERVED Michelle M. McHenry personally on Aug. 5, 1991 at 1:17 PM at the residence, R.D. #1, Box 119-A, Hartstown, Crawford County, PA

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Sept 16, 1991 @ 9:13 a.m. upon praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to file and answer and the same is liquidated in tha amount of Sixty-Thousand Six Hundred Ninety-three and 72/100 Dollars together with interest at a rate of 11½% per annum on and after June 24, 1991 and for a judicial sale of the mortgaged property.

M-103, 113

Debt.....$60,693.72 + Int.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Sept 16, 1991 Judgment entered.

EX1992-5

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin and Stack

Charles J. Swick

749.

Christopher Ferry
Alan Pepicelli
Watts and Pepicelli
for D. Taxacher

withdrawn
~~Joseph W. Tinko~~
for Robert Kincaid

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore
& Walker
William L. Walker
for Def. R. T. Kincaid

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF COCHRANTON, NOW BY MERGER, MARINE BANK

vs.

ROBERT T. KINCAID AND DEBRA A. TAXACHER

Filed Aug. 1, 1991
@ 2:58 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	39.10
Pro Co pd 3-26-93	10.00
Pro.Co.pd 6-3-93	5.00
Pro.Co. pd 4/16/96	5.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Aug. 14, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Debra A. Taxacher on Aug. 5, 1991 at 12:59 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Dennis Taxacher, adult in charge at residence, R.D.#2, Box 262, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Robert T. Kincaid on Aug. 5, 1991 at 2:00 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Robert R. Kincaid, his authorized agent, at his place of employment, Firedex, McMath Rd., Meadville, Crawford County, PA. Signed Acceptance of Serv. attached.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 10,1991, Appearance of Watts and Pepicelli, by Alan L. Pepicelli, attorneys for one of the defendants, Debra A. Taxacher, filed.

Sept. 24, 1991, Robert T. Kincaid's Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint, New Matter and Counterclaim filed. 4 copies (atty)

Sept. 24, 1991, Certificate of Service filed. 4 copies (atty)

Oct. 7, 1991 Preliminary Objection of plaintiffs filed.

Oct. 14, 1991 Motion To Amend Complaint filed.

Oct. 14, 1991 AND NOW this 14 day of October, 1991 Plaintiff's Motion to Amend Complaint is granted and Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is to be filed forthwith.

By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Oct. 16, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. Charles Swick, Alan Pepicelli and Joseph Tinko.

Oct. 14, 1991 Amended Complaint filed.

Nov. 7, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Preliminary Objection in the Nature of a Demurrer placed on next Argument List.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR DECEMBER 1991.

Dec. 20, 1991 ASSIGNMENT OF ARGUMENT DATE, filed. And now, Dec. 20, 1991, argument on the above matter is set for Jan. 27, 1992, at 11:00 a.m., in Courtroom 1, before Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator

Jan. 3, 1992 copy in box for C. Swick, A. Pepicelli & J. Tinko.

Jan. 21, 1992, Answer to Plaintiff's Preliminary Objection to defendant Robert T. Kincaids Counterclaim filed.

Jan. 21, 1992, Certificate of Service of Answer above filed.

Jan. 21, 1992, Certificate of Service of Brief filed.

Feb. 3, 1992, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER SUR PLAINTIFF'S PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS filed. ORDER: AND NOW, January 30, 1992, the plaintiffs' demurrer to that portion of the counterclaim of Robert T. Kincaid claiming attorneys fees is granted. At this point Robert T. Kincaid has not stated a cause of action whereby he may receive and recover "legal fees" in this action.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Feb. 3, 1992, copies given to attys. of record (box)

Mar. 4, 1992 Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant Kincaid's New Matter and Counterclaim, filed.

April 20, 1992 Answer and New Matter filed.

April 23, 1992 Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant Taxacher's New Matter Filed.

June 2, 1992 Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore & Walker by William L. Walker,for Dft. Robert T. Kincaid, filed.

June 2, 1992 Praecipe to Withdraw Appearance of Joseph W. Tinko, Esquire, for Robert T. Kincaid, Defendant, filed.

Oct 8, 1992 PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, filed.

Oct 8, 1992 PRAECIPE FOR PLACEMENT ON ARGUMENT LIST, filed.

ON NOVEMBER 1992 ARGUMENT LIST

Dec. 15, 1992 Transcript of Proceedings of deposition of Debra A. Taxacher filed.
Dec. 15, 1992 Transcript of Proceedings of deposition of Robert T. Kincaid, filed.

Dec. 15, 1992 Affidavit In Opposition To Plaintiff's Motion For Summary Judgment.

Dec. 15, 1992 Defendant's Debra A. Taxacher, Response To Plaintiff's Motion For Summary Judgment filed.

Dec. 16, 1992 Motion For Leave To Amend Pleading and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 16th day of Dec., 1992, upon consideration of the within Motion, a Rule is hereby issued upon all other parties to show cause, if any there be, why the Defendant Debra A. Taxacher should not be permitted leave to amend her Complaint, as proposed.

Argument on the within Motion is hereby set for Dec. 17, 1992, at 9 o'clock A.M., immediately prior to the Argument already scheduled in this case relating to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Dec. 16, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. of Record.

Continued to Page 477

1991

William L. Walker

750.

EDWARD A. SERNIK

vs.

GINGER M. SERNIK

Filed Aug. 2, 1991
@ 9:28 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co. Pd 1-23-92	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 3301(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 6, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Debra Mattocks, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the secretary to William L. Walker, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff in this aciton and certifies that on August 2, 1991 she delivered to the Post Office in Meadville, PA for delivery to Ginger M. Sernik at Box 57, Westford, PA 16134, by certified mail, return receipt requested, delivery restricted to addressee only, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in this matter for which deponent received at the time receipt for certified article no. P 063 588 855 which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A'. Thereafter on August 15, 1991 it was returned to William L. Walker, Esquire return receipt card bearing the same number and signe dby Ginger M. Sernik said return receipt card being attached hereto as Exhibit "B". Debra Mattocks

Jan. 23, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 23, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 23, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Jan. 29, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, January 28, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Edward A. Sernik, Plaintiff, and Ginger M. Sernik, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

1991

pro se

751.

Culbertson, Weiss
S chetroma & Schug
Alan R. Shaddinger

JAMES H. ANDERS

vs.

ZONING HEARING BOARD OF WEST MEAD TOWNSHIP,
TERRILL AIUPPY,
MICHAEL L. JORDAN,
MARK B. ZIMMERMAN, MEMBERS
AND
WEST MEAD TOWNSHIP BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,
DANIEL MINICK,
LORANCE WASSON,
JOSEPH JORDAN, MEMBERS

Filed Aug. 5, 1991
@ 10:33 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Copd 9-28-92	30.00
Users fee pd 9-28-92	5.00

✓SUIT TO RECOVER DAMAGES FOR THE INCOMPETENT DETERMINATIONS OF THE WEST MEAD TOWNSHIP'S BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, AND ZONING HEARING BOARD DENYING A LAWFUL EXPANSION

8 copies (atty)

✓Aug. 26, 1991, Preliminary Objections of all defendants to PLaintiff's Complaint, filed. (1 copy-atty)

✓Sept. 9, 1991 Answer To defendants' Preliminary Objections filed.

✓Sept 27, 1991 Motion to Inspect Stenographic Tape or Disc of The Hearing before the Zoning Hearing Board Taken on October 31, 1990 & December 12, 1990 by Wendy Gallagher, James I McCleery Court Reporters filed.

✓Sept 27, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, September 27, 1991, upon motion for inspection the defendants are ordered and directed to produce the stenographic tape or disc of the hearing before the Zoning Board taken October 31, 1990 and December 12, 1990 for review and inspection by the plaintiff. Said tape or tapes shall be delivered to the office of Alan Shaddinger and made available for inspection, review and copying by the plaintiff not later than Friday, October 11, 1991.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Sept 27, 1991 Copy mailed to plaintiff, copy given to Atty Shaddinger.

✓Oct. 22, 1991, Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON CIVIL TRIAL LIST FOR FEBRUARY 1992

✓Jan. 8, 1992 MOTION TO HAVE THE ABOVE CASE SET FOR TRIAL and ORDER filed. ORDER: Motion denied this 8th day of January 1992. Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Jan. 13, 1992 copy in box for A. Shaddinger and copy mailed to James H. Anders

✓Jan. 15, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff the above is placed on the next available argument list.

✓Jan. 22, 1992 Motion to Strike From Trial List and Order filed. Now January 22, 1992 this Motion is granted. this case is removed from this trial list. Gordon R. Miller
Jan. 23, 1992 Copies of order to James Anders and Alan Shaddinger

✓ Jan. 31, 1992, Amendment of Complaint to Include Fraud as Well as Incompetence, filed.

✓Feb. 24, 1992, Preliminary Objections of all Defendants to Plaintiffs Amendment of Complaint Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1028(a)(2) filed.

✓Mar. 13, 1992, Petition to Amend The Complaint filed.

✓Mar. 13, 1992, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Mar. 12, 1992, the defendants preliminary objection in the nature of a demurrer is granted.
The plaintiff is given leave of court to file an amended complaint within twenty (20) days from the date of this order if he is able to do so. The plaintiff shall make certain that he serves his amended complaint in a proper manner in accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar. 13, 1992, copy mailed to plff and copy to A. Shaddinger Esq.

✓Mar. 13, 1992, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Mar. 11, 1992, the plaintiff's "Amendment of Complaint to Include fraud as well as Incompetence" filed Jan. 31, 1992 is hereby stricken for failure to comply with Pa. R.C.P. 1033. Therefore the defendants' preliminary objections are granted without argument or further proceedings.
The court notes that under order of this date we are granting other preliminary objections filed by the defendants and permitting the plaintiff to file an amended complaint if he so desires.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar. 13, 1992, copies to plff and A. Shaddinger, Esq.

✓Mar. 19, 1992, Amendment to Complaint to Include Fraud as Well as Incompetence filed.

✓Mar. 19, 1992, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Mar. 18, 1992, the plaintiff's petition to amend the ocmplaint filed Mar. 13, 1992 is denied as being unnecessary. By order of Mar. 12, 1992, he was already given leave of court to file an amended ocmplaint within twenty (20) days from that date.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar. 19, 1992, copies to J. Anders, plff and Atty. A. Shaddinger.

✓Mar, 27, 1992 Amended Complaint to Overcome Dismissal filed.

✓Apr. 7, 1992 Motion For Reconsideration of This Court's Dismissal Of The amended Complaint on a Technicality (Failure To Ask Permission To Amend) filed.

✓Apr. 7, 1992 Order filed. AN DNOW, April 6, 1992, the defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of this Court's dismissal of the Amended Complaint on a technicality is denied.
The plaintiff has already been given leave of Court to file an amended complaint.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 13, 1992 Copies distributed to Atty. Shaddinger and James Anders

Record continued to Page 469.

1991

Watts & Pepicelli
Alan L. Pepicelli

752.

FULLER, KINNUNEN, PETRUSON, GAMBLE & FABIAN
John D. Petruso
for Eels, Inc, t/a Virgil's II

JOHN F. BARNA, ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF SCOTT F. BARNA, DECEASED

vs.

HUNTING & FISHING CLUB OF CRAWFORD COUNTY, INC. t/a HUNTING AND FISHING CLUB, a/k/a HUNTING & FISHING CLUB OF CONNEAUT LAKE, FIRST VENTURE, INC. t/a THE OASIS, a/k/a OASIS NITE CLUB, EELLS, INC. t/a VIRGIL'S II, AND KATHLEEN A. MORIAN, t/a P & D's SALOON

Filed August 5, 1991
@ 1:58 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Csots	63.10
Pro Co Pd 11/1/93	#60.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Aug. 23, 1991 Writ Return filed. SERVED Eells, INc. t/a Virgil's II, onAugust 6, 1991 at 3:05 PM by handing copy of Summons to Jackie Kiser, barmaid and person incharge at business R.D.#4 Box 911, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED First Venture, INc. a/k/a Oasis Nite Club on August 6, 1991 at 3:16 PM by handing copy of Summons to Kevin Kean, manager and person in charge at business, R.D. #4,Box 5137, Conneaut Lake Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Kathleen A. MOrian, t/a P & D's Saloon on August 6, 1991 at 3:25 PM by handing copy of Summons to Paul MOrian, her husband at the place of business, Hartstwo Road, R.D. #3, Box 226, Conneau Lake, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Hunting and Fishing club on August 9, 1991 at 9:30 AM by handing copy of Summons to Beth Anthon, secretary/treasurer, in the Sheriff's Office, crawford County Courthouse, Meadvill,e PA
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. STewart, Sheriff

Nov 12, 1991 Appearance of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, Gamble & Fabian by John D. Petruso, Esq. for Eels, Inc., t/a Virgil's II, only, one of the above captioned defendants filed.

Nov 12, 1991 Rule upon Plaintiff to Filed Complaint Issued.

Nov. 22, 1991 Service of the foregoing Rule is hereby accepted by Alan Pepicelli, attorney for plaintiff.

Oct. 25, 1993, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record

1991

Jorden and White
Harry Faber White II

753.

William Kuhar
for Com. of Pa.

IN RE:
LICENSE SUSPENSION
LANCE BARTON CORNELL

Filed August 5, 1991
@ 2:12 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

APPEAL FROM NOTICE OF LICENSE SUSPENSION

1 copy (atty)

ORDER: AND NOW, this 5 day of Aug. 1991 after consideration of the foregoing license suspension appeal, a hearing onthe same is scheduled for the 24th day of Oct. 1991 at 1:30 p.m. in C. R. NO. 1 or 2. Pending said hearing ,this appeal shall act as a supersedeas of the Petitioner's suspension.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Oct. 23, 1991 Motion to continue Hearing and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this Oct. 23, 1991 upon consideration of the foregoing motion to continue, the same is continued until the appeal in the Pa. Superior Court relating to the underlying conviction is resolved.

Counsel for the petitioner to give notice of the decision of the Superior Court within five (5) days of his receipt of the same. To be rescheduled on motion of either party.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct. 29, 1991 copies to Atty. Kuhar and H. white

Aug 5, 1992 STIPULATION and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit: this 4th day of August, 1992, upon agreement of the parties, the above-captioned matter is remanded to the Department of Transportation for the purpose of rescinding the appealed operatin privilege revocation and removing the charge of violating Section 3734(a) of the Vehicle Code, 75 Pa.C.S. §3743(a), on Nov. 4, 1990 from the defendant's driving record. BYTHE COURT:

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug. 11, 1992 copy to H.White & W. Kuhar

1991

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso, Gamble and
Fabian
Gary A. Fabian

754.

~~Withdrawn~~
~~Brian J. Lindsay~~
Paul J. Carney, Jr.

JOHN S. HOBSON

vs.

MARY K. HOBSON

Filed Aug. 5, 1991
@ 4:07 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

William Pineo, mediator
Pro Co Pd 10-12-92 ck#7107 9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 10-27-92 - 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Mary K. Hobson, dft., have been sued in court to confirm custody of the children, Joel T. Hobson and Joshua C. Hobson.

You are ordered to appear in person at a mediation conference at a time, place and date to be established by the custody mediator. WILLIAM PINEO, ESQ. is hereby appointed custody mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set for th below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Association, Ser. tothe Public Comm.
c/o Prothonotary, Meadville, Pa. 16335
814 - 724-4636
Date: 8/5/91 BY THE COURT,
P. R. Thomas, S. J.

Sept. 16, 1991 Appearance of Brian J. Lindsay for Defendant filed.

Nov. 14, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. GARY A. FABIAN, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that:

1. He is an attorney authorized to practive law within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
2. He is the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
3. On August 6, 1991, he had deposited in the United States Mail by certified mail, return receipt requested, Certified Mail No. P 404 874 488 as evidenced by Certified Mail Receipt No. P 404 874 488 attached hereto and made a part hereof, a true and correct copy of a Complaint for Custody together with Court Order of August 5, 1991, addressed to Mary K. Hobson said Complaint for Custody naming Mary K. Hobson as the defendant in the above-captioned matter.
4. Service was obtained upon the defendant, Mary K. Hobson on August 9, 1991, as evidenced by Return Receipt for Certified Mail No. P 404 874 488 attached hereto and made a part hereof, said receipt being signe dby Mary K. Hobson.

FURTHER, DEPONENT SAYETH NOT. Gary A. FAbian

Nov. 21, 1991 TEMPORARY ORDER, filed. AND NOW, this 29th day of Nov., 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.
2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. So long as the parties are living under the same roof, no schedule will be imposed and the parties are directed to arrange as best they can for the care of their children.

b. Should the mother or father leave the marital residence, the parties shall alternate physical custody of their children on a weekly basis. The father shall have custody of the subject minor children from 7:00 p.m. Fri. following the date when the parties shall have ceased living under the same roof until 7:00 p.m. of the following Fri., the mother shall have the following like period and the parties shall alternate the weekly periods.

c. Subject minor children above the age of five shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Christmas visitation periods. The first period shall begin at 7:00 p.m. Dec. 21 and end noon of Dec. 26, and the second shall begin at noon of Dec. 26 and end at 7:00 p.m. Jan. 2. For Christmas 1991, the mother shall have temporary physical custody and visitation durying the first period, and the father, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

b. Thanksgiving holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Thanksgiving holiday visitation periods. The first will begin at 7:00 pm. of the Wed. preceding Thanksgiving and end 7:00 p.m. on the Fri. following Thanksgiving, and the second will begin at 7:00 p.m. of the Fri. following Thanksgiving and end 7:00 p.m. on the Sun. following Thanksgiving. For Thanksgiving, 1991, the father shall have the first period, and the mother, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods.

c. The Easter vacation visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two visitation periods during holy week. The first will begin at 7:00 p.m. of the following Wed., and the second will begin at 7:00 p.m. of said Wed. and end at 7:00 p.m. Easter Sunday. For Easter, 1992, the mother shall have the first period, and the father, the second, and the partiesshall thereafter alternate the periods.

d. The children shall spend Father's Day with their father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with their mother, during the same hours.

e. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the oather party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shallnot be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the children at the home of the other.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of

Aug 3, 1992 deposit $50.00 by plaintiff of mediator

Received from Plf 9-11-92 Bal of mediator (prep) fees 11.00

10-12-92 Pd Comm med fee ck# 7106 152.00

10-27-92 Received from defendant mediator fee 152.00

11-20-92 Pd Comm. Med Fee Ck# 7186 152.00

CONTINUED ON Page 451

1991

~~Joseph F. Kulwicki~~ Withdrawn

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Walker
R. C. Thomas

755

Barbara J. Walton

JANET S. BULIK

VS.

CARL F. BULIK

Filed Aug 6, 1991
@ 1:04 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
State paid	10.00
2 counts Pd 1-10-95	30.00
state Pd 1-10-95	10.00
David Pickens, Master	
Pro.Co. Pd 12/29/94	$9.00

1-14-94 Rec from defendant Masters 400.00

5-12-94 Rec from Plf Masters $200.00

1-12-95 Pd master #8795 97.50

1-23-95 Pd Plf master Refund 151.25 #8819

1-23-95 Pd deft master refund 251.25 #8820

COMPLAINT UNDER §201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 15, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. Stephanie Bellas, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says she is an adult individual, employed by the law office of Jos. F. Kulwicki, III, and as such, on Fri., Aug. 9, 1991, she personally served a certified copy of the Divorce Complaint in the matter above captioned, upon the defendant, Carl F. Bulik, at 891 Grove St., Meadville, Crawford County, PA, at approximately 9:35 A.M., by handing him same.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAITH NOT.

Nov. 19, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Jan. 28, 1992 Appearance of Barbara J. Walton for Defendant filed.

Jan. 31, 1992, Petition for Related Claims Under the Divorce Code (Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees and Costs, Equitable Distribution, Permanent Alimony and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 31 day of Jan. 1992, upon presentation Petition leave is hereby granted to the plaintiff to add counts of 2,3,4 to her complaint, which counts include alimony pendente; counsel fees, costs, equitable distribution and permanent alimony and ocnsideration ofthe within

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. vardaro, J.

Feb. 11, 1992, copies of order to J. Kulwicki, R. C. Thomas and B. Walton.

July 9, 1992 Inventory and Appraisement of Defendant filed.

Sept, 11, 1992 Motion for Appointment of Master filed.

Sept. 29, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, September 28, 1992, the defendnt's motion for the appointment of a Master is denied because it is not in the form required by the Crawford County Civil Rules, specifically Exhibit L1920.74 that is attached to those rules; and because the consent of the plaintiff has not been filed..

The Court will not appoint a Master to simply decide property matters when the Master is, in fact, not deciding the divorce.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Sept. 29, 1992 Copies given to Attys. Walton & Thomas.

Nov. 13, 1992 Motion to Compel filed.

Nov. 13, 1992 Order Filed. AND NOW, November 9, 1992 upon review and consideration of the defendant's motion to compel it is ordered as follows:

1. Plaintiff is ordered and directed to file an inventory pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1920.33 (a) within twenty (20) days from the date of this order. If she fails to comply withthis order the defendant may file a motion for appropriate sanctions.

2. A rule to show cause is hereby entered and directed to the plaintiff to show cause, if any she has, why she should not be compelled to file an affidavit of consent to the entry of a divorce so as to permit all other collateral matters to proceed to completion. Said rule is returnable for hearing and/or argument on the 6th day of January, 1993 at 11:00 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

Nov. 13, 1992 Copies given to Attys. Thomas & Walton.

Nov. 17, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec 14, 1992 Inventory and Appraisement of Janet S. Bulik, filed. 1 copy (atty)

Jan. 8, 1993 MOTION TO CONTINUE and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 7th day of January, 1993, motion allowed. The Conference scheduled for January 6 at 11:00 a.m. is hereby rescheduled for the 12th day of February, 1993, at 1:30 p.m.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm.

Jan. 13, 1993 copy to J. Kulwicki, R.C. Thomas, B. Walton

Jan. 20, 1993 Motion to Appoint Master filed.

Jan. 20, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Jan. 18, 1993, the defendant's motion to appoint a Master is, once again, denied. The Master cannot decide the divorce because the only grounds for divorce was irretrievable break down. The plaintiff has not signed an affiavit of consent and therefore a 3301(c) divorce is not possible and the requiremetns set forth in 3301(d), and accompanying rules, have not been complied with.

We will not appoint a Master unless the Master is able to decide the divorce as part of his/her responsibilities or unless the Court can enter a permitted no fault divorce.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan. 20, 1993 Copies of Order given to Attys. Barbara Walton and R. Charles Thomas.

Feb. 18, 1993 Reassignment of Hearing Date filed. February 17, 1993: Hearing in the above captioned matter heretofore set for Febraury 12, 1993, is hereby reset for March 25, 1993, at 3:00 p.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2.

FOR THE COURT,
Ann A. Walker, C.A.

Feb. 18, 1993 Copeis givento Thomas & Walton

Feb. 25, 1993 Motion to Cancel Hearing and Order filed. And Now, tis 24th day of February, 1993, motion allowed. Hearing to Compel Plaintiff to file her Affidavit of Consent is cancelled and removed from the Court's calendar.

For the Court:
Ann A.Walker, Court Administrator

Mar. 5, 1993 Copy of Order to Atty. Walton and Thomas

Feb. 25, 1993 Reassignment of Hearing Date filed. Feb. 17, 1993: Hearing in the above captioned matter heretofore set for February 12, 1993, is hereby reset for March 25, 1993 at 3:00 P.M. in courtroom 1 or 2.

FOR THE COURT, Ann A. Walker, Court Administrator

3-5-93 Copy of Order to Atty. Walton & Thomas

CONTINUED TO PAGE 479

1991

Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs

756

IN RE: NAME CHANGE OF
DANIELLE KAY GILL

Filed August 6, 1991
@ 4:02 p.m.
Tax & Entry Paid $50.50
User fee paid 5.00

PETITION FOR CHANGE OF NAME

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 31st day of July, 1991, upon presentation of the attached Petition for Change of Name, hearing on said Petition is set for the 23rd day of August, 1991 at 11:30 o'clock in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 in the Crawford County, Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

Notice of filing of the within Petition, and of the aforesaid date for hearing, shall be published in the Meadville Tribune and the Crawford County Legal Journal 1 time.

PER CURIAM,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Aug 7, 1991 Copy of order put in Pepicelli's box.

Aug. 23, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 23, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, this 23 day of August, 1991, upon presentation of the attached Petition for Change of Name, the name of the minor child Danielle Kay Gill, shall be and hereafter will be DAnielle Kay Mitchell

PER CURIAM,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1 copy (atty)

1991

ELDERKIN, MARTIN, KELLY & MESSINA
Alan J. Natalie

757

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro and Walker
David P. Truax

JOHN G. BEEBE and KATHLEEN D. BEEBE, his wife

vs.

~~THOMAS, TRUAX, SPADAFORE, VARDARO & WALKER and DAVID P. TRUAX~~
DAVID P. TRUAX, Esq., and R. CHARLES THOMAS, Esq., formerly doing business as Bozic, Thomas and Truax

Filed Aug 7, 1991 @ 9:45 p.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$50.50
User ffee paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	27.00
Pro.Co. Settle & Certificate Pd 12/20/93	6.00

Jury Trial demanded

COMPLAINT

3 copies (sheriff)

Aug. 15,1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker on Aug. 8, 1991 at 9:13 AM by handing a copy of Complaint to David P. Truax, partner, in the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
SERVED David P. Truax personally on Aug. 8, 1991 at 9:14 AM in the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Mdvl., PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 13, 1991, Preliminary Objections filed.
1 copy (atty.)

Nov. 27, 1991 Plaintiffs' Response to Preliminary Objection filed. JURY OF TWELVE TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED

Dec. 31, 1991, Upon plaintiffs praecipe, preliminary objections placed on next argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR JANUARY 1992

Jan. 15, 1992 ASSIGNMENT OF ARGUMENT DATE filed. Jan. 14, 1992, argument in the above matter is set for Feb. 20, 1992, at 9:00 A.M., in Courtroom No. 1,
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm.
Jan. 17, 1992 copy in box for D. Truax and mailed copy to A. Natalie

Feb. 26, 1992 Reassignment of Hearing Date filed. Feb. 19, 1992, Argument in the above case set for Feb. 20, 1992, is hereby reset for March 30, 1992, at 10:00 A.M., in Courtroom No. 1.
For The Court,
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator
Feb. 26, 1992 Copy of Order to Atty. David Truax; Feb. 28, 1992 Copy mailed to Alan Natalie.

Mar. 5, 1992, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Mar. 4, 1992, thecourt recuses itself in this case since the defendants are local lawyers. While we believe we can be fair and impartial and decide this case based upo the merits the custom in Crawford County has been for local judges to recuse themselves in cases involving local lawyers so as to avoid an appearance of impropriety.
Therefore the court administrator is directed to make application tothe Administrative Office of the Pa. Courts for the assignment of another judge to hear and dispose of the defendants' preliminary obnections. Whenthe judge is assigned the court administrator shall arrange with that Judge for a time when that Judge may hear this case. In the meantime the argument scheduled in tis case is continued generally.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller P.J.
Mar. 9, 1992, copies to A. Natalie, D. Truax and Ct. Adm.

Mar. 24, 1992 RE: Defendant's preliminary Objections Assignment of Argument Date, filed. March 24, 1992, argument in the above matter is hereby set for May 1, 1992, at 10:00 A.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2, before a specially assigned Judge to be named.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.
Mar. 26, 1992 copy in box for D. Truax, mailed copy to A. Natalie

May 1, 1992 REASSIGNMENT OF ARGUMENT DATE, filed. May 1, 1992, argument in theabove matter heretofore set for May 1, 1992, is reset for May 5, 1992, at 9:00 a.m., before Senior Judge William E. Pfadt.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm.
May 1, 1992 copies mailed to counsel and Judge Pfadt by Crt. Adm.

May 5, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 5th day of May, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decr decreed that counsel for Defendants shall file their brief in support of preliminary objections by June 5, 1992. Plaintiffs' counsel shall file a responsive brief twenty days thereafter.
It is so ordered.
BY THE COURT:
William E. Pfadt, S. J. specially presiding
May 12, 1992 copy in box for D. Truax, mailed copy to A. Natalie

July 21, 1992 Memorandum and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit, this Sixteenth day of JUly, 1992, after hearing and testimony and upon review of counsels' briefs and applicable law, it is hereby ORDERED that the Defendants' Preliminary Objections are SUSTAINED.
BY THE COURT:
William E. Pfadt, S.J.
July 20, 1992 copies distributed

Aug. 24, 1992 MOTION TO AMEND CAPTION and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Aug. 24, 1992, the above Motion is allowed.
By the Corut,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Aug. 27, 1992 copy to A. Natalie & D. Truax.

Sept. 3, 1992 Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint and New Matter under Pa. R.C.P. No. 2252 (d), 42 Pa. C.S.A., and New Matter filed.

Sept. 17, 1992 Notice Of Service of INterrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents (First Set) filed.

Sept. 22, 1992 Reply To New Matter filed.

Dec. 20, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Alan J. Natalie, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiffs, the lawsuitefiled at the above-captioned matter is discontinued without prejudice to Plaintiffs.

1991

ELY & SMITH
Edwin W. Smith

758

ST. JUDE EMPLOYEES
CREDIT UNION

VS.

DONALD R. BOATMAN

Filed August 7, 1991
@ 11:22 a.m.

Tax & Entry paid 50.50
STATE Pd 8/9/91 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 27.00
Pro Co. pd 9/19/91 9.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Aug. 20, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Donald R. Boatman personally on Aug. 8, 1991 at 9:45 AM at h is residence, 1205 Elm St., Meadville, Cr. Co.,PA. So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept 9, 1991, Default Notice filed.

Sept. 19, 1991, 11:30 a.m., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with copy of Notice of Intention to file default judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for failure to file an answer and damages are assessed as follows: Principal balance due, Seven hundred twenty and 54/100 Dollars and costs of suit.

B-45
Judgment entered 9/19/91

Debt $ 720.54
Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro.

1991

C. Barton Jones

759

Marianne C. Mnich

DONNA J. GUREWICZ, by her Executor TIMOTHY P. GUREWICZ

VS.

REEGE WINDISCH

Filed August 7, 1991
@ 1:01 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid $50.50
User fee paid 5.00
Pro Co pd 7/20/92 5.00

COMPLAINT

Sept 11, 1991 Appearance of Marianne C. Mnich, attorney for defendant filed.

Sept. 11, 1991 Answer and New Matter filed.

Oct. 1, 1991, Reply to New Matter filed.

Oct. 1, 1991 Certific ate of Service of Reply to New Matter filed.

Mar. 20, 1992 Notice Of Service Of Interrogatories filed.

July 20, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above-captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

W. GUSTAVE McGEORGE

760

withdrawn
~~Devine & Kuftic~~
Elizabeth A. Malc

EVELYN A. GEROW

VS.

ELLIS LEE GEROW

Filed Aug. 7, 1991
@ 1:09 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
4 counts paid	60.00
User fees paid	25.00
State Paid	10.00
Sheriff Costs	25.25

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY,
ALIMONY, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION,
TEMPORARY ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE,
COUNSE FEES, AND EXPENSES, SPECIAL RELIEF

1 copy (sheriff)

Aug. 20, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Ellis Lee Gerow personally on Aug. 8, 1991 at 12:55 PM at his place of business, Gerow's Stove Shoppe, R.D. #2, Drakes Mills, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 26, 1991, Appearanc eof Devine & Kuftic, by Elizabeth A. Malc, filed.

Oct. 16, 1991 Petition of Defendant's Counsel For Leave To Withdraw and Order filed. AND NOW, this 16th day of October, 1991, upon consideration of the verified Petition of Defendant's Counsel For Leave To Withdraw, it is hereby ORDERED and DECREED that said petition is GRANTED and that Petitioner, Elizabeth A. Malc, Esquire, be permitted to withdraw her appearance of record for the Defendant in the above matter.

Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 18, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Atty's. McGeorge and Malc

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 10/16/91. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.
If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.
I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.
Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: March 12, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
Mar 12, 1998 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

WILLIAM F. PETERS

761

JOYCE A. HARRIS

VS.

ALFRED L. HARRIS

Filed Aug 7, 1991
@ 1:44 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
State Paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 7-6-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE
Divorce Under Section 201(c)(d)

1 copy(atty)

Oct. 23, 1991 Acceptance of service filed. I, the above named defendant hereby accept service of the complaint in the above action
Dated: 8/7/91 Alfred L. Harris.

July 7, 1992, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

July 7, 1992, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

July 6, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

July 17, 1992 AND NOW, July 13, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that JOYCE A. HARRIS, plaintiff, and ALFRED L. HARRIS, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Halliday & Halliday
Michael Halliday

762.

PATRICIA J. WAGNER

vs.

BERNARD R. WAGNER

Filed Agu. 8, 1991
@ 9:30 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 17.00
Pro Co Pd 10/11/91 9.00

PETITION FOR RELIEF UNDER "PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT"

2 copies (atty)

TEMPORARY PROTECTION ORDER:

AND NOW, this 8 day of Aug. 1991 upon motion of Michael Halliday, Esq. atty. for plaintiff, and upon consideration of the within Petition for Relief, and further, upon plaintiff's showing during an exparte proceeding to the court's satisfaction that there is an immediate and present danger of abuse tothe plaintiff, the following Temporary Protection Order is hereby granted:

1. The defendant, bernard R. Wagner is directed and prohibited from (i) attempting to cause or intentionally, knowingly or recklessly causing bodily injury or serious bodily injury with or without a deadly weapon to the plaintiff and (ii) placing by plysical menace another in fear of imminent serious bodily harm.

2. The defendant, Bernard R. Wagner, is hereby evicted from the marital residence located to R. D. #6, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. 16335, and the plaintiff is given exclusive possession of the said residence.

This temporary proteciton order shall remain effective only until the date of final hearing on plaintiff's petition for relief which sall be fixed within ten (10) days of the filing of plaintiff's petition. The final hearing on Plaintiff's petition is to be held on the 19th dayof Aug. 1991, at 3:00 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

A true and correct copy of this order shall be served upon the defendant by the sheriff or by a competent adult in the same manner as a complaint in an action in equity. A true and correct copy of this order shall likewise be sent by cert. mail, return receipt requested, to the Meadville Police Dept., which has appropriate jurisdiction to enforce this order.

A violation of this order may be subject the violating party to punishment forcontempt.

BY THE COURT,
Gordron R. Miller, J.

Aug. 20, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. No found, reported to be in Florida.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 21, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, August 19, 1991, at the time fixed forhearing in this matter theCourt was advised that the defendant had not yet beenserved with process.

Accordingly, thehering is continued generally pending service upon the defendant. As Soon as the defendant is served the Sheriff and Prothonotary shall notify the Court Administrator and the hearing shall be scheduled.

IN the meantime, the temporary protectionorder entered August 8, 1991 remains in full force and effect until further order of Court.

A copy of this order together with a copy of the order of August 8, 1991 shall be funished to the Pennsylvania State Police and to County Control. A copy of this order shall be served uponthe defendant at the same time that he is served with a copy ofthe petition for relief and the temporary order order of August 8, 1991.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug.28, 1991 Copies of order to Michael Halliday, PA State Police, Sheriff'sDept and County Control

Sept. 10, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, sept. 10, 1991 the court having been advised that the defendant has been served with process, the hearing in this matter is now sc heduled for Fri., Sept. 27, 1991 at 3:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford CountyCourthouse.

A copy of this order shall be served upon the defendant personally or by cert. mail so as to insure direct personal service upon the dft.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Sept. 10, 1991 2 copies mailed to Atty. Halliday.

Sept. 27, 1991 Affidavit filed. On this, the 27th day of September, 1991, before me, the undersigned officer, per sonally appeared PATRICIA J. WAGNER, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and states that the following facts are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Temporary Protection Order of August 8, 1991, was served upon Bernard R. Wagner on or about Sept. 1, 1991.
2. That the order of August 19, 1991, was served upon Bernard R. Wagner on or about Sept. 1, 1991.
3. That the Order of September 10, 1991 was served upon Bernard R. wanger on or about Sept. 20, 1991.
4. That shortly after the event of August 7, 1991, Defendant, Bernard R. Wagner, left the residence in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania ans was gone for approximately three weeks

Patricia J. Wagner

Sept. 27, 1991 Exhibit filed.

Oct 1, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, September 27, 1991, at the time set for hearing on this abuse petition, the Court is advised that although the records do not show official service of the Orders for hearing upon the defendant, that he has been served with notice of the hearing both by personal service delivered by the wife and by the plaintiff's counsel filed this date as oan Affidavit of Service. ACCORDINGLY, we are satisfied that the defnedant had full knowledge of this hearing.

It is therefore, ordered as follows:

1. The defendant is directed and prohibited from intentionally causing bodily injury of any nature to the plaintiff of threatening her or harassing her or annoying her in any fashion.
2. The defendant may not go into the residence of the plaintiff for any purpose.
3. The defendant may not write threatening notes or letters to the plaintiff of any kind.
4. This order shall remain in force and effect for a period of one (1) year.
5. Any police officer having reasonable grounds to believe that the provisions of this order have been violated may apprehend the defendant without warrant, confine him in the Crawford County Jail and immediately notify the Court to schedule a contempt hearing.
6. The plaintiff agrees to pay costs in this case within ten (10) days.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT.
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Oct 2, 1991 copies of order mailed to Atty. Halliday and defendant and Co. Control.

Continued of Record to Page 467.

1991

Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs, PC
Christopher J. Youngs

763.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey Irwin & STack
Louis J. STack

MARK A. McCAIN

vs.

UNIVERSAL WELL SERVICE, INC.

Filed Aug. 8, 1991
@ 9:30 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	21.00
Pro Co pd 5/20/92	15.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Aug. 21, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Universal Well Service, Inc., on Aug. 8, 1991 at 3:20 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Dianna West, Office Administrator, at 159 Northwood Lane, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 22, 1991 Preliminary Objections filed.

Nov. 13, 1991 Notice Of Service Of Plaintiff's First Set Of Interrogatories filed.

Nov. 19, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, preliminary objections placed on next argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST OF DECEMBER 1991

Dec 20, 1991 ASSIGNMENT OF ARGUMENT DATE, filed. And now, Dec. 20, 1991, argument on the above matter is set for Jan. 30, 1992, at 9:00 AM, in Courtroom 1, before Gordon R. Miller, P.J. FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator.
Jan. 3, 1992 copy in box for C. Youngs & L. Stack

Feb. 12, 1992, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Feb. 12, 1992, the defendant's preliminary objections are dismissed. The defendant is given twenty (20) days from this date to file its answer.
Feb. 12, 1992, copies to attys. of record.

Feb. 25, 1992 Defendant's Answer and New Matter filed.

Mar. 11, 1992 Answer to New Matter filed.

May 14, 1992 Upon praecipe of Pl aintiff attorney for Reference to Arbitrators the following are here by appointed.
John Fuller, Chairman
Joan Mason
William Peters

June 8, 1992 Arbitrators take qualifying oaths.

And Now, the 2nd day of Nov., 1992, we the undersigned Arbitrators chosen in this case, after having been duly sworn and having heard the evidence and allegations of the parties find in favor of the Defendant on both counts and causes of action.
John Fuller, Chairman
Joan Mason
William Peters

Nov. 9, 1992 Copy of Decision to Attys. Youngs & Stack.

1991

James W. Granat

764.

ANTHONY J. SOKALL, SR.

vs.

ALICE F. WALKER, AND
ROBERT WALKER

Filed Aug. 8, 1991
@ 11:01 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Pro co Pd 10/8/91 9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORELCLOSURE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 16, 1991 MOTION FOR PUBLICATION, AFFIDAVIT PURSUANT TO PENNSYLVANIA RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE NO. 430 and ORDER, filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 16th day of Aug., 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, the Plaintiff is hereby granted leave to make service of the Complaint on the Defendants,Alice F. Walker and Robert Walker, by publication once in the Crawford County Legal Journal and once in the Meadville Tribune, a newspaper of ggeneral circulation in Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 23, 1991 copy to Atty. James W. Granat

And Now, Oct. 8, 1991, at 4:06 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with proof of publication and service receipts attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the palintiff and against the defendants for want of an answer, in the sum of Fifty Nine Thousand Eight Hundred Fifity and plus interest from Aug. 1, 1991 at rate of 11%.
Judgment entered 10/8/91
W - 210, 141

Debt ------$59,850.00
Int. from 8/1/91
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EXECUTION 1991 - 83

1991

Paul Breen

765.

FORD MOTOR CREDIT CO.

vs.

GLADEN GESIN AND ANNA G. GESIN

Filed Aug. 8, 1991
@ 1:01 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	39.50
Pro Co pd 10/3/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Aug. 23, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Anna G. Gesin personally on August 13, 1991 at 11:02 AM at her residence, 212 Bank Street, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Gladen Gesin on August 13, 1991 at 11:03 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Anna G. Gesin, his wife, at the residence, 212 Bank Street, Titusville, Crwaford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy SHeriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Oct. 3, 1991 at 1:02 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Eleven Thousand Nine Hundred Eighty-two and 87/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

G-73-13
judgment entered 10/3/91
Notice of entry of judgment mailed 10/3/91

Debt $11,982.87
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1991

Robert J. Felton

766.

DALTON A. KEENER

vs.

MAUREEN P. KEENER

Filed Aug. 8, 1991
@ 1:20 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
STATE PAID	10.00
Pro Co pd 11/26/91	9.00

COMPLAINT UDNER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 11, 1991 Affidavit of SErvice filed. I, Robert J. Felton, Esq. 144 W. Spring St. Titusville Pa. do hereby certify that, in my capacity as atty. for plaintiff in the above captioned matter, served a cert. copy of the Div. Complaint in this matter on Aug. 16, 1991, by sending dft. the same by cert. mail NO. P 327 536 238, ret. rec. req. A complaint was mailed to the dft. on Fri. Aug. 16, 1991 as reflected in the rec. for mailing and the ret. rec. attached hereto. Robert J. Felton, Esq.

Nov. 19, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nove. 19, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Nov. 19, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court

Dec. 6, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, December 4, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that DALTON A. KEENER, plaintiff, and MAUREEN P. KEENER, defendant are divorced form the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

767.

Christopher J. Youngs
Pepicelli, Youngs and
Youngs

GREGORY S. ANTOUN

vs.

MARILYN L. ANTOUN

Filed Aug. 8, 1991
@ 3:06 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 11/26/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 28, 1991 Appearance of Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs, PC by Christopher j. Youngs, filed.

Aug. 28, 1991, Acceptance of Service filed. And now, this 28 day of Aug. 1991 I hereby accept service of the complaint in Divorce on behalf of the defendant Marilyn L. Antoun, by being duly authorized to accept service on behalf of said defendant.
Christopher J. Youngs, ESq.

Sept. 4, 1991 Memorandum of Agreement as to Marital Separation and Divorce filed.

Nov 7, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 15, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Nov. 20, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Dec. 6, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, December 4, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that GREGORY S. ANTOUN, plaintiff, and MARILYN L. ANTOUN, defendant are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Northwestern Legal Sers.
Christopher Brode
768.

TAMMY RENEE GREENLEE

vs.

DENNIS JAMES MAYER

Filed Aug. 9, 1991
@ 1:46 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	17.00
Pro Co	9.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 9th day of August, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff. Defendant shall not go to Plaintiff's residence. Temporary Custody of the parties children is with Plaintiff.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for August 19, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug. 20, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Dennis James Mayer by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer County, PA as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on Aug. 15, 1991.
So answers, Howard B. Stewart, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Mark S. Fisher, Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 15th day of Aug., 1991 at 10:20 A.M. he served Notice to Defend, Petition For Emergency Relief Under Production From Abuse Act., Temporary Abuse Order at No. 768 AD Term, 1991, Crawford County Pa., upon defendant Dennis James Mayer at R.D. #2, Sandy Lake, Pa. 16145. By making known the contents thereof to Dennis James Mayer personally and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Notice, Petition, Temporary Order received from Sheriff of Crawford County.
So answers, Mark S. Fisher, Deputy Sheriff
William H. Romine, Jr. Sheriff

Aug. 21, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Aug. 19, 1991, at the time fixed for hearing in this matter the Plaintiff appeared with counsel and the Defendant appeared on his own without counsel. The Court generally advised the Defendant as to the nature of these proceedings, it appeared that the Defendant understood them and the Defendant asked for a continuance to obtain counsel to represent him in this case. Accordingly, the matter is continued to give the Defendant an opportunity to hire counsel or otherwise seek counsel to represent him. The hearing shall be held on Thursday, Sept. 5, 1991 at 3:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford Co. Courthouse. AT that time the hearing will take place and there will be no further continuance to allow either of the parties to obtain counsel. If the Defendant does not have counsel by then, we will assume he has waived his right to counsel and we will have the hearing with the Defendant being unrepresented.

In the meantime, the temporary Order of Aug. 9, 1991 is continued in full force and effect. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Meadville City Police Dept. and to Co. Control.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 27, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Christopher [illegible], Countrol, Meadville City Police, Defendant.

Sept. 9, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, September 5, 1991, at the time fixed for hearing in this matter, the defendant appeared without counsel, but had already obtained advice from counsel. The plaintiff appeared with counsel and the aprties agreed to the entry of the following Order, but the defendant did not admit that he committed the allegations of abuse that are contained in the plaintiff's petition. ACCORDINGLY, it is ordered as follows:

1. The Defendant shall not asault, abuse, harass or threaten the plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the petition.
2. The defendant shall not go to the plaintiff residence or place of work.
3. Temporary custody of the minor children are awarded to the plaintiff. The defendant shall have the right to visit his chidlren at the home of Sherry Greenlee between the hours of 10:00 o'clock a.m. and 2:00 o'clock p.m. once a week. Said vistiation shall occur on Saturday or Sunday at the election ofthe defendant. He shall notify Sherry Greenlee by 5:00 o'clock p.m. each Friday to advise her as to whether his visitationfor that impending weekend will be on Saturday or Sunday. If the defendant does not advise Sherry Greenlee of the day he has slected for visitation rights by 5:00 o'clock p.m. on Friday, Sherry Greenlee adn the plaintiff may assume that the defendant has waived his right to visit his children that weekend and the defendant will not be given any time in substitution for that time. This shall not prevent the defendant from selecting a standing day and so notifying Sherry greenlee. If the defendant does that, then he has the

Continued on the next page

TAMMY RENEE GREENLEE

vs.

DENNIS JAMES MAYER

Record continued from page 218

of record

A.D. 1991 - 768

****

right to visit his chidlren on the day he has selected without calling Mrs. Greenlee in advance provided that he must call her in advance if he changes the day. The point is that if the defendant chooses to see his chidlren the same day every week and so notifies Sherry Greenlee, than he may exercise his visitations without giving her any further notice.

4. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe that it has been violated.

5. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police department in the jurisdiction where t the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.

6. THis Order shall remainin full force and effect for one year, or until September 4, 1982, unlesssmodified or terminated by a further Order of this Court.

7. The defendant is remineded tha tthis is an Order of Court and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine of up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six (6) months.

8. The defendant, who is gainfully employed, earning $4.75 per hour, shall pay the record court costs of $81.50 no later than November 5, 1991 by paying the same to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

9. The provisions with respect to custody and visitation are temporary until further Order of County all as provided for in 23 Pa.C.S.A 6108(a)(4).

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Sept. 12, 1991 Copies of order to atty. Brode, defendant, County Control and Meadville CIty Police

June 16, 1992 Complaint for Indirect Criminal Contempt for Violation of Protection from Abuse Order and Assignment of Hearing Date filed. June 16, 1992, hearing inthe above matter is hereby set for June 19, 1992, at 3:30 P.M., in Courtroom 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

FOR THE COURT
Imogene Yetman, Court Adminstrator

June 16, 1992 Copy to: Tammy Renee Greenlee, Dennis James Mayer, Christopher Brode, Esq.

June 24, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, JUne 19, 1992 at the time fixed for hearing on the Plaintiff's petition for contempt, the Plaintiff appeared in court with counsel and the Defendant appeared in court without counsel. He was advised as to the nature of the proceedings, advised as to his right to counsel including free counsel if he could not afford it. He understood that and indicated he would proceed alone, without counsel, and waive his right to counsel.

After a formal hearing on the record, the Court is satisfied that the Plaintiff has carried her burden of proof and therefore finds as a fact that the Defendant has violated the order of Sept. 5, 1991 by going on at least two occasions to the Plaintiff's residence, a violation of paragaraph 2 of the order of Sept. 5, 1991.

Accordingly, it is ordered as follows:

1. The order of Sept. 5, 1991 is continued for another six months; that is, until March 4, 1993.

2. As punishment for contempt, the Defendant is sentenced to undergo imprisonment in the Crawford County Jail for a minimum term of 30 days and a maximum term of 60 days, with credit for time served from June 15, 1992. The Defendant shall be entitled to parole automatically after he serves his minimum period of incarceration, provided his jail conduct is perfect.

3. The order of Sept. 5, 1991 is amended as hereinafter provided. The Defendant shall not go to the Plaintiff's place of residence or place of work. To further define that prohibition, the Defendant shall not be within 1,000 feet of the Plaintiff's residence. He shall not go to her paring lot or driveway under any circumstances.

The Defendant and Plaintiff are both advised that the Plaintiff has no right to invite the Defendant to her property and if she does invite the Defendant to her property, the Defendant shall not go to her property because in doing so, he will be violating this order. The only way this order can be changed is by a further order of Court, either by agreement of the parties and the submission of a stipulation and proper petition or motion or petition.

4. The Defendant shall pay the costs of these proceedings in the amountof $81.50.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

June 26, 1992 copy put in box for C. Brode, mailed copy to Dft. at Cr. Co. Jail

218
B

1991

1991

769.

ROBIN MacPHERSON

vs.

FRED CULLEN

Filed Aug. 9, 1991
@ 3:23 p.m.

Tax & entry Pd 8/20/91 $50.50
state Pd 8/20/91 5.00

Sheriff's Costs 17.00
Pro Co Pd 8/20/91 - 5 co

Sheriffs Cost Pd
8/20/91
#170

8/20/91
Pd to Howard N.
Stewart, Sheriff
#17 00
Checkoff

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 9 day of August, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not go to Plaintiffs residence. Defendant shall not contact plaintiff in person but may phone or write her.

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for August 20, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug. 16, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Eric A. Garrett, Deputy Sheriff, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 13th day of August, 1991, at 10:55 a.m., he served Notice to Defend, Petition for Emergency Relief Under Protection from Abuse Act, Temporary Abuse Order filed at AD 1991-769 Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Fred Cullen at Nittany Company, 860 Methodist Road, Greenville, Pennsylvania by making known the contents thereof to Fred Cullen personally and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the notice, petition, order received from Sheriff of Crawford County.

Eric A. Garrett, Deputy Sheriff
William H. Romine, Jr., Sheriff

SERVED Frd Cullen by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer County, Pa, as per his affidavit of service attached. Served on August 13, 1991.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 20, 1991 Discontinuance of plaintiff, filed.

1991

David F. Megnin

770.

Douglas W. Ferguson
Ferguson & Spataro

LARRY L. SCHRECONGOST AND NANCY W. SCHRECONGOST, HUSBAND AND WIFE

vs.

VERNON TOWNSHIP WATER AUTHORITY, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

Filed Aug. 9, 1991
@ 4:06 p.m.

Tax and entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	21.00

PETITION FOR APPOINTMENT OF BOARD OF VIEW

2 copies (atty)

(Taking of right-of-way or easement for water line or lines for water distribution system)

Aug. 19, 1991 Order Filed. AND NOW, 13, August, 1991, upon presentation and consideration ofthe foregoing PETITION FOR APPOINTMENT OF BOARD OF VIEW, it is ORDERED and DIRECTED that Stephen E. Hall, Chairman, Howard Newson and Francis Richmond be, and hereby are, appointed as a Board of View to perform its duties in accordance with law and the Acts of Assembly insuch case made and provided.

By the court,
P. richard Thomas,

Aug. 26, 1991 Copies of order to Board of View and David Megnin

Aug. 23, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Vernon Township Water Authorityon August 12, 1991 at 9:29 AM by handing copy of Petition to Brenda Oster, clerk and personincharge at business, McMath Avenue, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 7, 1991, Appearance of Ferguson & Spataro, by Douglas W. Ferguson, attorneys for defendant filed.

Oct. 7, 1991 Defendant's answer to Plaintiff's Petition for Appointment of Board of View filed.

1991

Watts and Pepicelli Theodore H. Watts 771.	SANRAY CORPORATION vs. VERNONTOWNSHIP WATER AUTHORITY Filed Aug. 9, 1991 @ 4:18 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.50 state paid 5.00 Pro Co Pd 1/30/92 6.00 Pro Co Pd 2/13/92 - $1.00	APPEAL FROM DECISION OF LOCAL AGENCY 1 copy (Certiorari Issued to Bernard Petrak, Chairman, Vernon Twp. Bldg., R. d. #9, Box 763, Meadville, Pa.) Jan. 24, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

MARY Ann Kirkpatrick

772.

Peterson & Gula
Richard A. Peterson

Theodore H. Watts

REBEKAH R. CAMPBELL

vs.

RONALD F. SHAFER

Filed Aug. 12, 1991
@ 9:24 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriff's costs	30.75

COMPLAINT - ACTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

1 copy (atty)

August 27, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Ronald F. Shafer personally on Aug. 15, 1991 at 9:52 AM at RD # 4, Box 284, Conneaut Lake Park, Conneaut Lake, Crawford Co., PA.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 28, 1991 Appearance of Peterson & Gula, by Richard A. Peterson, attorney for defendant filed.

Aug. 28, 1991, Answer and New Matter filed.

Sept. 10, 1991 Plaintiff's Answer to 'Defendants' New Matter filed.

Oct. 14, 1991, Motion to Sc hedule Hearing and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Oct 14, 1991 hearing on plaintiff's Declaratory Judgment action is sc heduled for Nov. 8, 1991 at 1:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the crawford CountyCourthouse in Meadville, Pa.
By the Court:
P. R. Thomas, J.
Oct. 14, 1991 copies to M. Kirkpatrick and R. Peterson.

Feb. 11, 1992, STIPULATION AND ORDER filed. And now, Feb. 9, 1992, is is hereby ordered: The separation Agreement entered into by the parties on Dec. 15, 1979 is null and voil and of no legal effect and has been null and void and of no legal effect since the parties repudiated it on or before Dec. 31, 1981.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, Pres. Judge
Feb. 14, 1992, copies to Attys. M. Kirkpatrick and Richard Peterson, & T. Watts (box)

Feb. 11, 1992, EXHIBITS filed. (in folder)

1991

Legal Services
R. Christopher Brode

773.

Yochim, Skiba, Moore & Nash
J. Gregory Moore

ERIC W. GAGE

vs.

JACQUELINE FERRY

Filed Aug. 12, 1991
@ 10:30 a.m.

Indigent filing

William Pineo, mediator.

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT: YOu, Jacqueline Ferry, dft., have been sued in court to obtain custody partial custody of the minor child, Christin Lee foster.

You are ordered to appear in person at the time and place selected by WILLIAM PINEO, CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody, or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

The Crawford County Sheriff's Dept. is directed to serve a cert. copy of this Complaint and order upon the defendant. In the alternative, this Petition and Order may be served by any competent adult or by cert. mail.

Plaintiff is allowed to proceed without payment of Court costs reserving to the court the right to impose costs.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help:

Crawford County Bar Assn. Ser. to the Pub. Comm.
c/o Prothonotary, Courthouse, Meadivlle, Pa. 814 724-4636

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Received from Dept 26 00
1-9-92
Pd 3-11-92 Crawford Co #26 00 Ck#6700 mediation

Aug. 12, 1991 Praecipe to Proceed in Forma Pauperis and Affidavit to Proceed In forma Pauperis filed.

Sept 9, 1991 Proof of SErvice filed. I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing order and Complaint for Custody was served on defendant at R. D. #3, Box 309, Conneautville, Pa. on Sept. 6,1991, by Cert. U.S. Mail, ret. rec. req. R. Christopher Brode, Esq.
Date: 9/9/91

Dec. 23, 1991 TEMPORARY ORDER, filed. AND NOW, this 20th day of Dec., 1991, the Mediator having reported to the Court that the defendatn mother alleges that the plaintiff is not the natural father of the subject m inor child and that the parties are not married, the Court considers that the issue of paternity has been properly raised in a civil proceeding and that blood tests to determine paternity may be ordered pursuant to 23Pa.C.S. Section 5104,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Each party is hereby directed to report to the Domestic Relations Office of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County for the purpose of arranging blood tests to determine paternity of the subject minor child. The parties are cautioned that the Domestic Relations Office will require a deposit of the necessary fees in advance and will need certain information relating to the parties before the vlood tests may be scheduled.

2. Should the plaintiff not have completed his share of the arrangements with the Domestic RElations Office within thirty days of this date, the defendant mother may move the Court for a rule to show cause ahy the action should not be dismissed with prejudice.

3. Should the defendant mother not have made her share of the necessary arrangements with the Domestic Relations Office within thirty days of date hereof, the plaintiff may petition the Court to find her contempt.

4. When the issue of paternity shall have been resolved, the mediator will schedule further mediation and make further recommendation to the Court.

5. Costs and fees of the Mediation Conference, which are fixed at $52.00, are divided equally between the parties with the share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in th is case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford Cofunty.

6. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Jan. 3, 1992 copy in box for W. Pineo, R.C. Brode,& Dom. Rel. Sent copy to J.G. Moore.Plf. & Dft.

1991

774.

CHRISTIANA M. SLATER

vs.

CARL L. LEHMAN, JR.

Filed Aug. 12, 1991
@ 11:26 a.m.

Tax & entry	Pd 11-26-96	$50.50
state	Pd 11-26-96	5.00
Pro Co. Pd. 8-16-91		5.00
Sheriff's Costs	Pd	27.60
Pd-11-26-96		9.00

Received 5.00 8-16-91
Received 5.00 9-9-91
Bal due $87.10

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 12th day of August, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff in an way defendant shall not go to Plaintiff's home. temporary Custody of Christopher & Justin are awarded to Plaintiff. Plaintiff shall be permitted to pick up all of her childrens belongings at defendants home.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for August 22, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

August 16, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff this action discontinued of record.

Aug. 13, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Carl L. Lehman, Jr. personally on Aug. 12, 1991 at 4:22 PM at the residence of Linda and Mike Swartzfager, Hope St., Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Cynthia M. Danel

Edgar M. Snyder & Associates P.A.

775.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
E. Max Weiss

LORAIN M. THEURET AND ARTHUR THEURET

vs.

DALE W. WHITEHEAD, t/d/b/a FRIAR TUCKS STEAK HOUSE & PUB RESTAURANT

Filed Aug. 13, 1991
@ 12:16 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	23.05
Pro. Co. pd 5/18/92	6.00

COMPLAINT IN A CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Aug. 26, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Dale Whitehear personally on August 14, 1991 at 2:50 Pm at theSherwood Restaurant and Motel, Route 18, conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 4, 1991 Answer and New Matter of Defendant to Plaintiffs Complaint filed.

Sept. 13, 1991 Plaintiffs Reply to Defendants New Matter filed.

~~Sept 19, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared James Hirsch who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on~~

May 13, 1992 Upon Praecipe of plaintiff attorney the above case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

James M. Greenfield

Dale, Woodard, Montgomery, Greenfield & Pemrick

776.

MELLON BANK (NORTH) NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

vs.

CHERYL ANN REEHER, a/k/a CHERYL A. BEERS, MILTON J. BEERS, JR. AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Filed Aug. 13, 1991
@ 12:18 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

Sheriff's costs 45.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

Sept. 18, 1991 CONSENT JUDGMENT filed. AND NOW, to wit, this 18th day of September, 1991, it appearing that counsel for plaintiff and counsel for defendant, United States of America, have consented to the entry of the within Order on behalf of their respective clients, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that a judgmetn be entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the United States of America for foreclosure of the mortgage of plaintiff in the within cause and for sale of the mortgaged property of defendant(s) Milton J. Beers and Cheryl A. Beers.

It is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that defendant, United States of America, shall be notified by plaintiff of the date, time and place scheduled for any sheriff's sale of the real property of the aforesaid defendant(s); that the United States of American shall be entitled to payment from the proceeds of the sheriff's sale to the extent its proper priority would entitle it to the same; and that the United States of American shall be entitled to redeem the aforesaid property within 120 days from the date of sale, as provided by 28 U.S.C. §2410.

Nothing contained in the within Order shall, in any way, be construed as entry of a monetary judgment against the United States of America, but rather said judgment is limited to the foreclosure and sale of the real estate of the aforesaid defendant(s) in the within proceeding.

By The Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept. 23, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. James Greenfield and Amy Reynolds Hay.

Sept 19, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared James Hirsch who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 26 day of Aug, 1991, at 11:15 a.m., he served No. AD 1991-776, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant US Attorney at 633 US PO & Courthouse, Pgh., by making known the contents thereof to Kelly landish-secretary and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy received from Crawford.

James Hirsch, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

SERVED The United States Attorney by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, Pa., as per his affidavit of service attached. Served on August 26, 1991.

NOT FOUND. Cheryl A. Reeher, a/k/a Cheryl A. Beers, not found, moved to Spokane, Washington, address unknow.

NOT FOUND. Milton J. Beers, Jr., not found, address unknown, possibly deceased.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Dale, Woodard, Montgomery, Greenfield & Pemrick
James M. Greenfield

777.

MELLON BANK (NORTH) NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, FORMERLY NORTHWEST PENNSYLVANIA BANK & TRUST CO.

vs.

CHERYL A. REEHER a/k/a CHERYL A. BEERS, AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Filed Aug. 13, 1991
@ 12:28 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	53.00

COMPALINT - MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

Sept 19, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED The United States of America by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, Pa, as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on August 26, 1991.

NOT FOUND. Cheryl A. Reeher, a/k/a Cheryl A. Beers, not found, moved to Spokane, Washington, address unknown.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 18, 1991 CONSENT JUDGMENT filed. AND NOW, to wit, this 18th day of September, 1991, it appearing that counsel for plaintiff and counsel for defendant, United States of America, have consented to the entry of the within Order on behalf of their respective clients, it is hereby ORDERED,ADJUDGED and DECREED that a judgment be entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the United States of America for foreclosure of the mortgage of plaintiff in the within cause and for sale of the mortgaged property of defendant(s) Cheryl A. Reeher a/k/a Cheryl A. Beers.

It is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that defendant, United States of America, shall be notified by plaintiff of the date, time and place scheduled for any sheriff's sale of the real property of the aforesaid defendant(s); that the United States of America shall be entitled to payment from the proceeds of the sheriff's sale to the extent its proper priority would entitle it to the same; and that the United States of American shall be entitled to redeem the aforesaid property within 120 days from the date of sale, as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 2410.

Nothing contained in the within Order shall, in any way, be construed as entry of a monetary judgment against the United States of America, but rather said judgment is limited to the foreclosure and sale of the real estate of the aforesaid defendant(s) in the within proceeding.

By The Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept. 23, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. James Greenfield and Amy Reynolds Hay.

1991

Jorden and White
Harry Faber White II

778.

GAIL M. ALLEN

vs.

DAVID J. ALLEN

Filed Aug. 13, 1991
@ 3:26 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 27, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. HARRY FABER WHITE, II, ESQUIRE, attorney for the plaintiff in the above captioned matter, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served the NOtice to Plead and Complaint under Section 201(c) ofthe Divorce Code upon the defendant, David J. Allen, by certified mail, return receipt requested, on August 21 1991, at thedefendant's address, C/O John & Lorie Patterson, 6975 Route 322, Williamsfield, Ohio 44093. The defendant's acceptance ofthe smae is attached hereto. Harry Faber White, II

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 8/27/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: March 12, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
Mar. 12, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

Halliday & Halliday
Michael Halliday

779.

DAVID J. WEAVER AND PATRICIA L. WEAVER, HUSBAND AND WIFE

vs.

DONNA C. LANG, INDIVIDUALLY AND GELVIN, JACKSON & STARR, INC.

Filed Aug. 13, 1991
@ 3:30 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

April 24, 1992. SHERIFF's RETURN FILED. Summons returned to Prothonotary April 24, 1992. Sheriff's Office received no instructions or advance costs. No Served.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Sheriff

780.

MARGARET J. BISHOFF

vs.

JOHN W. BISHOFF

Filed Aug. 13, 1991
@ 4:40 p.m.

Tax & entry Pd 8/22/91 $50.50
state Pd 8/22/91 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 26.50
Pro Se Pd 8/22/91 - $5.00

Paid 26.50 Sheriff Costs

8/22/91 Pd to Howard N. Stewart Sheriff #26.50 Check # 6360

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 13th day of August, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not go to Plaintiff residence or place of work. Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff in any way. Temporary possession of the parties' home is granted to Plaintiff. defendnat shall move until further order of court.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for August 23, 1991, at 3:30 p. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller J

Aug. 15, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED John W. Bishoff personally on Aug. 14, 1991 at 10:15 AM at the residence, R.D.#2, Box 407, Airport Rd., Linesville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
James Withers, Constable
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 22, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff, this a ction is marked discontinued as the plaintiff does not want to proceed further with it.

1991

Douglas W. Ferguson

781.

Mason and Mason

11/1/91 Recd. $30.00 From Defendant

11/1/91 Paid $30.00 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff Lkt 6510

LAURA E. KUHN

vs.

DAVID W. KUHN

Filed Aug. 13, 1991
@ 4:38 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	11/1/91	$50.50
state paid	11/1/91	5.00
Sheriff's Costs		30.00
Pro Co Ad	11/1/91	9.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 13th day of August, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Plaintiff shall have temporary custody of Megan. defendant shall not contact Plaintiff or go to her residence. Defendant shall deliver the child to Linda Norris no later than 4 hours after he is served with this order.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for August 27, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug. 15, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED David W. Kuhn personally on Aug. 14, 1991 at 11:00 AM at the residence, Country Side Estates, Conneautville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
James Withers, Constable
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 21, 1991 ANSWER TO PETITION, filed. 2 copies Atty.

Aug. 29, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Aug. 27, 1991 the parties appeared at this hearing, but only the Defendant appeared with counsel. The Plaintiff maintains that she wants an attorney to represent her, that she has called several attorneys, and has been unsuccessful. She gives the Court the impression that she has made a diligent effort to get an attorney and because of scheduling or other reasons, has not been able to get an attorney at this point. Therefore, the Court will give her a final opportunity to obtain counsel to represent her. Accordingly, it is ordered as follows:

1. The hearing in this matter is continued to Tuesday, Sept. 10, 1991 at 3:30 p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The Plaintiff shall be prepared to proceed at that hearing with or without counsel. She is advised that she does have the burden of proof and if she appears without counsel, she should be prepared and will be held generally to the same standards with respect to the Rules of Law and procedural rules as parties who have counsel.
2. The temporary abuse order of Aug. 13, 1991 is continued in full force and effect without change until further order of Court, except the provision of that order dealign with child custody.
3. The Plaintiff shall no longer have temporary sole custody of Meghan Kuhn, the parties' minor daughter. Custody at the moment is subject to an order of this court entered Nov. 14, 1990. The parteis shall follow that order until further order of Court.
4. A copy of this order shall be delivered to the police department in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.

By Order Of The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Sept. 3, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Joan Mason, County Control, plaintiff.

Sept 16, 1991 order filed: AND NOW, September 10, 1991, after a formal hearing in this matter, in which both parties appeared with counsel, the Court admits that the evidence is mixed, but finds the plaintiff to be more credible, especially with respect to shoving incident where she ended upon on her buttocks, and therefore, is satisfied that the plaintiff has proven that the defendant engaged in abuse as that is defined by the Act.

ACCCRDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED as follows:

1. The defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the petition.
2. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe that it has been violated; provided, however, that upon such arrest, the defendant shall immediately be taken into a magistrate, where a preliminary arraignment shall take place to determine whether or not he should be able to post bond to ensure his appearance and that he shall not be taken to jail unless bond is not posted or he fails to post the bond that is set.
3. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police Department in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to County Control.
4. This Order shall remain in full force and effect for a period of eight (8) months, unless modified or terminated by further Order of Court.
5. The defendant is reminded that this is an Order of Court and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine of up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six (6).
6. The defendant shall pay court costs in this matter in the amount of $94.50 no later than November 1, 1991.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

Sept 16, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Ferguson and Mason's offices and Co. Control.

1991

Ann Hansen Gamble

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble

782.

WAHNITA R. SCHWEITZER

vs.

ROY A. SCHWEITZER

Filed Aug. 14, 1991
@ 11:09 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co 3-6-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE - EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 28, 1991 Affidavit of Mailing filed. Ann Hansen Gamble being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practive law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania andthat on August 16, 1991, she sent a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to theDefendant by certified mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid, receipt requested, to Roy A. Schweitzer, RD 1, Box 265, Titusville, PA 16354, the Defendant's last known address. the said receipt being attached hereto. Ann Hansen Gamble

Feb. 27, 1992 Motion To Withdraw Claims and Order filed. AND NOW, this 26 day of February, 1992, on plaintiff's mtoion to withdraw claim is hereby granted and the said claim is to be marked settled and discontinued. BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Feb. 28, 1992 Copy of order mailed to atty. Gamble

Mar. 6, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 6, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Mar. 6, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Mar. 11, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, March 10, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Wahnita R. Schweitzer, Plaintiff, and Roy A. Schweitzer,, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.
BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

1991

Quinn, Buseck, Leemhuis, Toohey & Kroto
David S. Rzepecki,
MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton
JOhn F. Mizner

783.

MARK SCHAU AND WENDY SCHAU, HIS WIFE

vs.

ROBERT L. RABELL, t/d/b/a ROBERT L. RABELL SURVEYING AND ENGINEERING, RALPH MILLER, JR. AND NAOMI MILLER HIS WIFE

Filed Aug. 14, 1991
@ 11:41 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	68.50

Pro.Co.pd 10/17/94 5.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Sept. 12, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Ralph Miller, Jr. by certified mail addressed at 111 Marcy Blvd., Langwood, Fl. 32750, on Aug. 19, 1991. Signed return receipt is attached.

SERVED Naomi Miller by certified mail addressed to 111 Marcy Blvd., Langwood Fl. 32750, on Aug. 19, 1991. Signed return receipt is attached.

SERVED Robert L. Rabell by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie Co., PA, as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on Aug. 22, 1991.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Appeared William Parker, Deputy who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 22nd day of Aug., 1991, at 0905 hrs. he served a Writ of Summons, filed at No. AD-783 Term, 1991, Crawford Co. Pa. upon dft. Robert L. Rabell, t/d/b/a Robert L. Rabell Surveying and Engineering at 10506 Walnut St., Erie Co., Albion, Pa. By making known the contents thereof to Robert L. Rabell and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Writ of Summons received from Crawford Co. William Parker, Deputy Sheriff

Aug. 18, 1994, Complaint, filed.

Oct.17, 1994 Upon Praecipe of David S.Rzepecki, Esquire the above action settled, discontinued and ended of record.

Brian J. Lindsay

784.

KATHERINE SLIDE

vs.

ANGELA M. SHOMANSKY

Filed Aug. 14, 1991
@ 1:46 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

2 copies (atty)

Aug. 14, 1991 ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE, filed. I, the above named defendant, hereby accept service of the Complaint in the above action.
2 copies Atty.

Aug. 14, 1991 CONSENT CUSTODY ORDER and ORDER, filed. AND NOW, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing, and the parties hereto having executed same, the foregoing Agreement is entered as an Order of this Court.

AND NOW, this 12th day of Aug, 1991, the Court, in consideration of the following Agreement of the parties to th is action, does hereby enter the following as a Consent Order based on the terms of said Agreement:

THIS AGREEMENT, made and entered into this 12th day of Aug. 1991, by and between the parties KATHERINE SLIDE, (hereinafter called "Grandmother"), and ANGELA M. SHOMANSKY, (hereinafter called "Mother"), as follows:

WHEREAS, the parties hereto are Mother and Daughter; and

WHEREAS, the Plaintiff herein is the natural Maternal Grandmother of the minor child: Heather Shomansky and the Defendant is the natural mother of the minor child: Heather Shomansky, born June 30, 1982; and

WHEREAS, the Plaintiff has filed a custody petition, filed at the above term and number requesting custody of the aforenamed minor child, and Mother desires to share in said custody and to establish her rights of visitation consistent with the best interest and general welfare of the minor child who is the subject of said custody action.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and of the mutual promises and covenants and undertakings hereinafter set forth, the parties hereto, each intending to be legally bound hereby, covenant and agree as follows:

1. The parties agree that custody of the said minor children shall be shared legally between the parties, with Grandmother having primary physical custody of the minor child.

2. Mother shall have liberal visitation with the child according to a schedule mutually agareed upon between the parties.

3. This Agreement shall be construed in accordance with the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

4. This Agreement constitutes the entire understanding of the parties and supersedes any and all prior agreements and negotiations between them regarding custody and visitation of the minor child.

5. The fact that th is Agreement has been drafted by the legal representative of one of the parties shall have no effect, whatsover, on the validity of the terms and provisions of this Agreement.

WHEREFORE, the parties hereto request the Court to enter the above as a Consent Order.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 27, 1991 copy in Atty. B.Lindsay's box. Mailed copy to Defendant.

ORDER: AND NOW, Upon presentation and ocnsideration of the foregoing, and the parties hereto having executed same, the foregoing Afreement is entered as an Order of this court.

BY THE COURT
Dated: 8/19/91 Gordon R. Miller, J.

(this order attached to above order)

1991

Jonah D. Levin

785.

BROADVIEW MORTGAGE COMPANY
965 High Street
Columbus, Oh. 43085

vs.

DENISE F. JONES
Box 327
R. D #4, Mystic Road
Centerville, Pa. 16404

Filed Aug. 14, 1991
@ 2:25 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriff's costs	34.25
Pro Co Pd 9/16/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION - MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

1 copy (atty)

August 27, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Denise F. Jones personally on Aug. 19, 1991 at 2:47 PM in the Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Sept. 16, 1991 at 3:02 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with assessment of damages attached, Judgment is hereby entred in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, for want of an answer, in the sum of Fifty Four Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty Seven and 97/100 Dollars as set forth in the Assessment of Damages attached.

Judgment entered
on 9/16/91
J - 220

Debt -----$54,757.97

Int. from 9/16/91

JAMES F. SIMMONS,
Prothonotary

Sept 18, 1991, NOtice of entry of judgment mailed to dft.

Ex 1991-79

1991

Barrett & Dratler
Sylvia Dratler

786.

DELORES M. WYANT

vs.

ROBERT JAMES WYANT

Filed Aug. 14, 1991
@ 4:25 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state	5.00
Pro Co Pd 8/28/91	5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 23, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. SYLVIA L. DRATLER Esq., being duly sworn to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct tothe best of her knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiantis the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of a Complaint in Divorce was sent by registered mail to the dEfendant.
3. The registered mail was sent to the Defendant, at P.O. Box 1195 Deland, FL 32721-1195 on August 16, 1991. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. The Defendant received said registered mail on August 20, 1991. Said receipt cardnumber 320 791 318 is attached hereto and signed by the Defendant, Robert James Wyant.

Sylvia L. Dratler

Aug. 28, 1991, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned action is discontinued of record.

1991

Phillips & Galanter
Donald L. Phillips

787.

ALL-AMERICAN AUTOMOTIVE, INC.

vs.

DAILEY & KOCAN CHEVROLET OLDS, INC.

Filed Aug. 15, 1991
@ 11:27 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriff's costs	35.00
Pro Co Pd 9/24/91	9.00
Plaintiffs costs	40.00
Pro Co Paid 11-13-91	5.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

August 27, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Dailey & KOcan Chevrolet, Olds, Inc., on Aug. 15, 1991 at 3:12 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Kathy Kocan, Office manager and person in charge at business, 1198 Hydetown Road, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Sept. 24, 1991, at 11:37 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with default notices attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer, in the sum of Six Hundred Forty Six and 06/100 Dollars, plus costs of $14.24 int. from 5/7/91 - total of Six Hundred Forty Six and 06/100 Dollars.
D - 181
Judgment entered
9/24/91

Debt - $646.06

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

(plaintiffs Bill of Costs Attached)

Sept. 25, 1991 notice of entry of judgment mailed to dft.

Nov 6, 1991 This judgment satisfied (See paper filed)

1991

David R. Devine
Devine & Kuftic

788.

FREDERICK T. DZARA, SR.
AND SHIRLEY H. DZARA, HIS
WIFE

vs.

RONALD E. KOESTEL AND
SUSAN L. KOESTEL, HIS
WIFE

Filed Aug. 15, 1991
@ 12:36 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	66.00
Pro Co Pd 9/26/91	9.00

ACTION OF MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

4 copies (atty)

Sept. 4, 1991 Sheriff's REturn filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared William Parker, Deputy, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 21st day of August, 1991, at 1755 hrs. he served Complaint in Mortgage Foreclousre, filed at NO. AD 788 Term, 1991, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Ronald E. Koestel at 7721 Crane Road, Erie County, Edinborc, Pennsylvania By making known the contents thereof to Ronald E. Koestel and handing to and leaving withim the certifie dcopy of the Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure received from Crawford County,
So answers, William Parker, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared William Parker, Deputy who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 22nd day of August, 1991, at 1000 hrs he served Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure, filed at No. AD 788 Term, 1991, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Susan L. Koestel at 130 Capp Road, Lot 46, Erie County, Edinboro, Pennsylvania By making known the contents thereof to Susan L. Koestel and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure received from Crawford County,
So answers, William Parker, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff

SERVED Ronald E. Koestel by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on August 21, 1991.
SERVED Susan L. Koestel by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on August 22, 1991.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 13, 1991 Affidavit filed. (Notice of Default Judgment)

And Now, sept. 26, 1991, at 1:29 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and agaisnt the defendaNTS FOR WANT OF AN ANSWER, in the sum of One Hundred Five Thousand Three Hundred Forty Five and 67/100 Dollars plus costs of $150.50.
Judgment entered- 9/26/91 Debt -------$105,345.67
K - 145, 155 plus costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Sept. 27, 1991 notice of entry of judgment mailed to defendants.

1991

David R. Devine
Devine & Kuftic

789.

FREDERICK T. DZARA, SR. AND SHIRLEY H. DZARA, HIS WIFE

vs.

RONALD E. KOESTEL AND SUSAN L. KOESTEL, HIS WIFE

Filed Aug. 15, 1991
@ 12:36 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	66.00
Pro co Pd 9/26/91	9.00

ACTION OF MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

4 copies (atty)

Sept. 4, 1991 Sheriff's REturn filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared William Parker, Deputy who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 21st day of August, 1991, at 1755 hrs. he served Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure, filed at NO. AD 789 Term, 1991, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Ronald E. Koestel at 7721 Crane Road, Erie County, Edinboro, Pennsylvania by making known the contents thereof to Ronald E. Koestel and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Complaint in MOrtgage Foreclosure received from Crawford County.
So answers, William Parker, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff
Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared William Parker, Deputy who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 22nd day of August, 1991, at 1000 hrs he served Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure, filed at NO. AD 789 TErm, 1991, Crawforer County, Pennsyvlania, upon defendant Susan L. Koestel at 13041 Capp Road, Lot 46, Erie County, Edinboro, Pennsylvania By making known the contents thereof to Susan L. Koestel and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure received from Crawford County.
So answers, William Parker, Deputy Sheriff
Robert M. Michel, Sheriff
SERVED Ronald E. Koestel by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on August 21, 1991.
SERVED Susan L. Koestel by deputizing the SHeriff of Erie County, as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on August 22, 1991.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, SHeriff

Sept. 13, 1991 Affidavit filed. (Notice of Default Judgment)

And Now, Sept. 26, 1991 at 1:29 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the palintiff and agaisnt the defendant in the sum of Forty Two Thousand Five Hundred Twenty Seven and 01/100 Dollars plus costs, for want of an answer. (plus atty. fees of 2,126.35) and costs.
judgment entered - 9/26/91
K - 145, 155

Debt $42,527.01
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Sept. 26, 1991, notice of entry of judgment mailed to dfts.

1991

790.

John D. Petruso

PETITION FOR ORDER OF COURT

for

JENNIFER M. HARMON

Filed August 15, 1991

PETITION FOR AN ORDER OF COURT
Mental Health Procedures Act of
1976 -- Sections 304 & 305

Aug. 20, 1991 Recommendation of Mental Health Review Officer and Order, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 19th day of Aug., 1991 upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Jennifer M. Harmon be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days.

This order shall take effect on Aug. 21, 1991.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 20, 1991 copy given to hospital.
Aug. 26, 1991 copy to Atty. J.Petruso & MH/MR.

Oct. 31, 1991 Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

Dec. 10, 1991, Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 9th dayof Dec. 1991 upon consideration ofthe petition filed at the above number and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report o fthe Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED AND DIRECTED that the repsondent be committed to the Warren State Hosp. for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days from Nov. 27, 1991.

FOR THE COURT, Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Dec. 12, 1991 copies to WSH, Atty. Africa and MH/MR.

Dec. 12, 1991 Petition to Transfer for Persons in Involuntary Treatment filed.

May 19, 1992 Petition for Involuntary Treatment, filed.

May 19, 1992 REPORT OF MENTAL HEALTH REVIEW OFFICER and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 21st day of May, 1992, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the Respondent to committed to the Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days from the date hereof.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 22, 1992 copy in box for J. Petruso, mailed copy to Atty. Africa, MH/MR & WSH

Nov. 18, 1992 PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT, filed.

Nov. 18, 1992 REPORT OF MENTAL HEALTH REVIEW OFFICER and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 20th day of November, 1992, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the Respondent be committed to the Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days from Nov. 10, 1992.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Nov. 25, 1992 copy to MH/MR, WSH, Atty Africa & Petruso

May 10, 1993 PetitionFor Involuntary Treatment filed.

May 17, 1993 REPORT OF MENTAL HEALTH REVIEW OFFICER and ORDER, filed. ORDER: And now, May 14, 1993, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Jennifer M. Harmon be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days.

This order shall take effect on May 14, 1993.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 20, 1993 copy to Atty. Africa, MH/MR, WSH

Nov. 17, 1993 Petition For Involuntary Treatment, filed.

Dec. 14, 1993 Report of Mental Health Review Officer and Order, filed. And now, December 14, 1993, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED AND DIRECTED that the said Jennifer Harman be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days.

This order shall take effect on N/A.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Dec. 21, 1993 copy to B. Barrett, MH/MR, WSH

1/11/02. For all Future references - please see computer.

1991

791.

CAROL S. VAUGHN

vs.

WILLIS S. VAUGHN

Filed Aug. 15, 1991
@ 4:41 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	26.50

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

ORDER:

And now, this _____ day of _____, 19___, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions:

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for , at M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,

Aug. 16, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Willis S. Vaughn personally on Aug. 16, 1991 at 12:20PM at his residence, 131 Pymatuning St., Linesville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 3, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, August 29, 1991, at th etime fixed for hearing in this matter, the defendant appeared without counsel, was advised as to the nature of the proceedings, was advised as to his right to counsel and he elected to proceed without counsel, even though he attempted to get counsel.

The plaintiff now desires counsel, and accordingly, this matter is ccntinued to Friday, September 6, 1991, at 3:30 o'clock P.M. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

In the meantime, the Temporary Order of August 15, 1991 is continued in full force and effect. The custody provisions of that Order may be modified, however, by the action of a Custody Mediator, who may be hearing this case on Tuesday, Septembre 3, 1991. Since custody arrangements determine din Protection FromAbuse cases are temporary only and always subject to change in a custody aciton, the Court recognizes that the custody portion of the Temporary Abuse Crder may ultimately be changed in another proceeding. Until it is so changed, the custody provision of the Temporary Aubse Order shall remain in full force and effect.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
GorodnR. Miller, J

Sept. 3, 1991 Copu mailed to Plaintiff and Defendant, copy to County Control

Sept. 10, 1991 ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Sept. 6, 1991, at the time scheduled for hearing in this matter, the parties agreed to a settlement and agreed to the entry of an Order, but the defendant did not admit that he committed the acts set forth in the petition. ACCORDINGLY, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the petition.
2. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable groung to believe that it has been violated.
3. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police Dept. in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to County Control.
4. This Order shall remain in full force and effect until Sept. 5, 1992, unless modified or terminated by further Order of the Court.
5. The defendant is reminded that this is an Order of Court and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence up to six (6) months.
6. Costs shall be waived and paid by the County as the Court is satisfied that neither party has sufficient assests or income out of which to pay costs.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Sept. 11, 1991 copy given to County Control. Sept. 13, 1991 copy in box for C.Brode, copy given to Police Dept. and copy mailed to Def.

1991

Prather, Prather
& Pineo
Mark D. Prather

792.

ROBERT J. WEAVER, JR. AND
SUSAN C. WEAVER

vs.

RAY E. CRATE, HIS HEIRS AND
ASSIGNS

Filed Aug. 16, 1991
@ 8:56 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Pro Co Pd. 10/18/91 9.00

COMPLAINT _ ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

Aug. 16, 1991 MOTION FOR SERVICE BY PUBLICATION and ORDER filed ORDER: NOW, this 16th day of Aug., 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing motion and affidavit, it appearing that the identity and whereabouts of defendant is unknown to plaintiffs following diligent search and inquiry, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that service be madeupon defendant by publication in the Meadville Tribune and Crawford County Legal Journal, one time only, to include a notice requiring the defendants to file answer to said complaint within twenty (20) days following publication thereof.

Per Curiam,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug 23, 1991 copy put in Atty. M.Prather's box.

Sept 18, 1991 Motion for Judgment and Order filed: NOW, this 18th day of September, 1991, an affidavit of service having been filed, defendant having filed no answer thereto, the court, upon motion of attorneys for plaintiffs, hereby orders and decrees that the defendant above named, his heirs, successors and assigns, be and he is forever barred from asserting any right, lien, title, interest or claim, in and to the premises situate in Summerhill township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, bounded and described as follow, to-wit:

BOUNDED on the north by land nor or formerly of Ada Lodge; on the east by land now or formerly of Ed Pratt; and on the southwest by Pennsylvania Route 198. Containing two (2) acres of vacant land, more or less.

It is ordered, adjudged and decreed that defendant, his heirs, successors and assigns be and they are hereby forever barred from asserting any right, title, lien, interest or claim, in the above described premises inconsistant with the interest or claim of plaintiffs as set forth herein; or from impeaching, issuing or maintaining any actions attaching the same, or any part thereof, unless defendants shall enter their appearance and contest this action within thirty (30) days following entry of this order, upon the expiration of which this order shall become final and absolute.

Per Curiam.
C-144 Gordon R. Miller, Judge

Sept 19, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty. Prather's box.

And Now, Oct. 18, 1991, at 8:39 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Final Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the named defendants, as pursuant to the foregoing order of court dated Sept. 18, 1991

C - 144
Judgment entered 10/18/91

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1991

pro se

793.

KAREN LEE ROGGENKAMP

vs.

RICHARD EDWARD ROGGENKAMP

Filed Aug. 16, 1991
@ 10:56 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 11-14-91	9.00
Pro co pd 12/22/94	5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE
CHILD CUSTODY
1 copy (Staff)

Aug. 16, 1991 Affidavit of Non Military Service filed.

Aug. 16, 1991, Waiver, Acceptance of Service and Entry of General Appearance filed Comes now the dft. who is the dft. in the above captioned cause of action, who acknowledges receipt of a copy of the Notice to Defend and Claim Rights and the Complaint in Divorce and cause of action, and admits the allegations in said complaint in Divorce and consents to a final decree in this matter without further notice to the dft. and waives all notice of disposition of this case. The defendant bhereby acknowledges that he has been advised of his right to counsel in this matter and knowingly and intelligently waives the same. Furthermore, dft. hereby accepts and agrees with all the provisions and arrangements requested in the complaint in the above captioned cause.
Richard Edward Roggenkamp.

Aug. 16, 1991, Affidavit Regarding the Uniform Child Jurisdiction Act, of plaintiff, filed.

Nov 14, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed

Nov 14, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Nov 14, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Nov. 26, 1991 AND NOW, Nov. 22, 1991, this matter has been reviewed by the Court pursuant to a praecipe for review filed by the Plaintiff on Nov. 14, 991 pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code. With that she submits two decees in divorce without indicating which decree she is seeking. The Court needs further direction on this.

If the plaintiff is seeking the three page decree in divorce that resolves child custody and child support then the Court will not consider said decree without a hearing or an agreement signed by both of the parties for the Court will not enter a decree simply on the representation of one party.

This record is returned to the Prothonotary for further proceedings consistent with this memorandum.

By The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Dec. 3, 1991 Copy of Order to Plaintiff, Defendant.

Dec. 12, 1991, AGREEMENT filed.

Dec. 12, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff, for review Under 201(c) of the divorce code, this case moved to court.

Dec. 19, 1991 DECREE IN DIVORCE FILED.

AND NOW, this 18th day of December, 1991, the Court having reviewed this case finds that athe marriage between the Plaintiff and Defendant is irretrievably broken and it is DECREED that the Plaintiff, Karen Lee Roggenkamp, is divorced from the bonds of matrimony contracted between herself and the Defendanr, Richard Edward Roggenkamp.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED tha the custody, visitation, control, care and support of the chidlren of this marriage be as follows: To Karen Lee Roggenkamp the custody of Laura Lee Roggenkamp and Lisa Lee Roggenkamp, also care and control with visitation scheduled as follows: From 6:00 p.m. every Friday thru 6:00 p.m. on Sunday with holidays being split half day with each parent. That starting on Dec. 1, 1991 and continuing on thel day of each month thereafter, Richard Edward Roggenkamp should pay to Karen Lee Roggenkamp for each of said minor children which are not in his cusotdy, the sum of $100.00 per each such child per month for support and maintenance until each such child attains legal age or is emancipated or enters the military or until further order of the Court.

it is further ordered that medical, dental, health and accident and life insurance coverage be provided as follows:

1. The Defendant agree sto provide and pay primiums due for the health and accident insurance: Blue Cross/Blue Shield - policy #56200-31, and life insurance: Prudential - policy # D80 469 237, for the children of the marriage until they reach maturity.
2. The Plaintiff and defendant agree to share Medical and Dental expenses which are not provided for by the insurance policy.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Date December 18, 1991

dec. 22, 1994 Notice of Election To Retake Maiden Name filed. Karen Lee Roggenkamp
TO BE KNOWN AS: Karen Lee Nelson

244

1991

R. Charles Thomas
Thomas, Truax, Spadafore,
Walker, VArdaro

794.

Marsh, Spaeder, Baur
Spaeder & Schaaf
Eugene c. Sundberg, Jr.

GRACE AND HARRY ROHRER

vs.

FRED GRACEY

Filed Aug. 16, 1991
@ 3:53 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.25
state paid 5.00
Tho Co pd 1-13-93 5.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF WILLIAM D. CHISHOLM, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT OF 30-2-01

Aug. 16, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

Aug. 19, 1991 PROOF OF SERVICE, filed.

Sept 13, 1991, Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

Oct. 15, 1991 Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim of Defendant Frederick L. Gracey, filed.

Nov. 12, 1991 Reply To New Matter and Answer To Counterclaim f iled.

Jan. 11, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Reference to Arbitrators, the following are hereby appointed:

William F. Peters, Chm.
Paul Shafer, Jr.
Terry Toomey

Aug. 9, 1993 Supplemental Praecipe for Reference to Arbitrators filed.

Aug. 9, 1993 Upon praecipe of Plaintiffs attorney to appoint Arbitrators, the following Arbitrators have been appointed.

John Brautigam, Chr.
Kathleen Nelson
Theodore Watts

Sept. 2, 1993 Arbitrators Take Qualifying Oath.

Oct. 4, 1993 Notice of Hearing Before Board of Arbitrators filed.

Nov. 22, 1993, DECISION of Arbitrators filed in which they find: in favor of defendant and against Plaintiff on Plaintiffs claim and in favor of plaintiff on Defendants counterclaim.

Nov. 23, 1993 copies dist. to Atty. Sundberg with exhibits, and Atty. Thomas with exhibits.

1991

Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman
Berlin, May Walsh & Brabender

Stephen H. Hutzelman

795.

BENEFICIAL CONSUMER DISCOUNT COMPANY

vs.

DANIEL R. JONES AND LORI A. JONES

Filed Aug. 19, 1991
@ 11:37 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	33.00
Sheriff's Costs	19.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

August 27, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Daniel R. Jones, not found, moved, left no forwarding address.
Lori A. Jones, not found, address is unknown but believed to be somewhere in Saegertown, Crawford County, PA.
So Answers,
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept 19, 1991 Complaint reinstated.

Oct. 4, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Not found as to defendant Daniel R. Jones, Moves, left no forward address.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

796.

CATHY TRYPUS

vs.

JACK TRYPUS

Filed Aug. 19, 1991
@ 1:15 p.m.

Tax & entry	Pd-8-26-91	$50.50
state	Pd 8-26-91	5.00
~~Sheriff Costs~~		~~21.00~~
ProCo Pd 8-26-91		5.00
Sheriff's costs		21.00

Recd 21.00
8/26/91 from plff.
Sheriffs costs

8/26/91 Paid to
Howard Stewart
Sheriff
$21.00
check 6362

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE

1 copy (plff)
3 copies (sheriff)

TEMPORARY ABUSE ORDER:

And now, this 19 day of Aug. 1991, upon review of the petition of plaintiff, the court enters the following temporary order.

1. The defendant shall not assault abuse harass or threaten the plaintiff or any of the minor children named inthe petition.

2. Special conditions: Defenant shall not contact plaintiff in any way. Defendant shall not go to plaintiffs residence. Possession of the home is awarded to plaintiff until further court order.

3. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.

4. A copy of this order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to county control.

5. Service of a copy of the petition and this order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.

6. This temporary order shall remian in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.

7. The defendant is reminded that this is an order of court and violation of this order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000 and a jail sentence of up to six months.

8. The court reserves ruling on allocation of fees and costs.

9. Hearing is hereby set for Aug. 29, 1991 at 3:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville. Pa. Teh dft. is advised he may retain a lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller J.

Aug. 21, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Jack Trypus personally on Aug. 19, 1991 at 3:15 PM at his temporary place of custody, Crawford County Jail, 360 Center St., Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 26, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff, this action discontinued of record.

1991

Specter Law Offices
Robert A. Sambroak, Jr

797.

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
Nancy M. Sennett

BRADLEY J. RUMBAUGH

vs.

ELEANOR E. BELL

Filed Aug. 19, 1991
@ 1:25 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	31.50
Sheriff's costs	22.00
Pro.Co. pd 4/19/93	6.00

JURY TRIAL

COMPLAINT

(1 copy - sheriff, with interrogatories)

Aug. 28, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. NOT FOUND as to defendnat, Eleanor E. Bell. Moved to 3581 S. College Avenue, Inverness, Florida 32652.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 6, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Received this writ on the 29th day of Aug., 1991 and served the same at 9:20 a.m. on the 30th day of Aug. 199, in Citrus Co. Florida as follows: By delivering a true copy of this writ together with a copy of the initial pleadings, if any, with the date and hour of service endorsed thereon by me to-wit: Eleanor Bell.

Paul L. R_____, Deputy Sheriff
Charles S. Dean, Sheriff
Citrus County, Florida

Sept. 18, 1991 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by Nancy M. Sennett for Defendant filed.

Oct. 15, 1991 Notice Of Service of Defendant's Answers and Responses to Plaintiff's Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents filed.

Oct. 15, 1991 Answer, New Matte rand Counter Claim of Eleanor E. Bell to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Oct. 24, 1991 Reply to New Matter and Reply to counterclaim filed.

Oct. 24, 1991, Notice of Service of Reply filed.

Oct. 28, 1991, Notice of SErvice of Interrogatories (First Set) and REquest for Production of Documents and Things (First Set) filed.

June 3, 1992 Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

June 24, 1992 Notice of Taking Depositions on Oral Examination filed.

June 26, 1992 Notice Of Deposition of Eleanor E. Bell filed.

Sept. 30, 1992 NOTICE OF INTENT TO COMPLETE DISCOVERY filed.

Oct. 14, 1992 Notice of Taking Depositions on Oral Examination of Elizabeth Haas filed.

Oct. 14, 1992 Notice of Taking depositions on Oral Examination of Jeffrey Brown filed.

Oct. 14, 1992 Notice Of Taking depositions on Oral Examination of Robin Kellogg filed.

Jan. 15, 1993 Notice Of Video Deposition of William D. Fritz, M.D. filed.

Feb. 2, 1993 Notice of Service of Plaintiff's Supplemental Responses to Defendant's Interrogatories Directed to Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 3, 1993 Certificate of Readiness filed.

Continuation of record.....

April 16, 1993, Plaintiff's Interrogatories to Defendant and Request for Production of Documents filed.

Apr. 19, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, Robert A. Sambroak, Jr., Esquire, the above -captioned action marked discontinued of record.

1993 April Civil Trial List.

Mar. 29, 1993 Order filed. And now, this 29th day of March, 1993, following the call of the April Civil TrialList, pretrial conferences are scheduled in the following case on the following dates and times before the judge indicated below.

Pretrial Conference Date and Time: Judge. Tuesday, April 6, 1993, at 10:00 A.M.; Miller, P.J. Counsel are directed to report any change in the status of any case, or any special scheduling needs to the Court Administrator at the earliest possible time.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

Mar.29, 1993 Copies distributed by Court.

Apr. 7, 1993 PRE-TRIAL ORDER filed. (Gordon R. Miller, J.)

Apr. 7, 1993 Copies to Attys.Brautigam and Sambroak, Jr.

April 13, 1993 EXHIBITS filed.

April 13, 1993 AND NOW April 12, 1993, 12:45 p.m., Jury selected and sworn, April 12, 1993 at 9:25 a.m. as follows:

1. Robert Leroy Pethtel
2. Carl A. Behr
3. Elizabeth Gabriel
4. Susan E. Shrock
5. Sandra Soltesz
6. Deborah Litwiler
7. Michael J. Moffit
8. Raymond Inman
9. Evelyn Crouch
10. Ruth Hearn
11. Harry Wayne Talkington
12. Orealia Rhodes

(13.)Alternate - Elizabeth Henrich

twelve good and lawful persons who upon their solemn oaths which they have taken and at least 10 of them say: 1. Do you find that the defendant, Eleanor Bell, was negligent and that her negligence was a substantial factor in causing the injuries to the plaintiff, Bradley J. Rumbaugh? Yes

2. Do you find that the plaintiff, Bradley J. Rumbaugh, was negligent and that his negligence was a substantial factor in causing his injuries? Yes

3. Please state in a percentage the amount of negligence that you find against each party that you have found to be negligent.

Defendant, Eleanor Bell 60%
Plaintiff, Bradley J. Rumbaugh 40%
(The total must equal 100%)

Date this 13 day of April, 1993

Ray Inman, Foreman

(Continued On Margen)

James R. Irwin
Shafer, Swick Bailey
Irwin and Stack

798.

LOUANN STOKES

vs.

DANIEL E. STOKES

Filed Aug. 19, 1991
@ 2:11 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
4 counts paid	60.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	25.00
Pro Co pd 9-17-96	9.00

William Pineo, Mediator

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATION AND DISTRIBUTION, COUNSEL FEES, EXPERT FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES, CHILD CUSTODY, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 17, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record, and as such say that I served a true and correct copy oftheComplaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford, County, Pennsylvana in the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated August 19, 1991. The Defendant acknowledged service on August 20, 1001 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

James R. Irwin

Sept. 23, 1991 Motion To Appoint Mediator and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 23 day of Sept., 1991 it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the Mediator, William Pineo, at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford Co. Courthouse, with the child Custody Mediator as Required by Ruel 31 (e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford Co., PA. it is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the Court, the children shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the Court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.

By The Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept. 23, 1991 Copy of Order given to Attys. James Irwin and Wm. Pineo.

Jan. 6, 1992 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 3rd day of Jan., 1992, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. The mother shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor children. The father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody each Tuesday and Thursday from the close of the children's school or from when the children's mother has to go to work, until 8:00 p.m. The father shall also have visitation and temporary physical custody each Friday from the close of the children's school or from when the mother departs for work, until 10:00 p.m., and each Sunday from 3:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.

b. The subject minor children shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. There shall be two Christmas holiday visitation periods, the first beginning at 6:00 p.m. Christmas Eve and and ending at 11:00 a.m. Christmas Day and the second beginning 11:00 a.m. Christmas Day and ending at 8:00 p.m. Christmas Day. For Christmas, 1992, the mother shall have the first period and the father shall have the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the two periods.

b. There shall be two Thanksgiving holiday visitation periods, the first beginning at 8:00 a.m. Thanksgiving Day and ending 2:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day and the second shall begin at 2:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day and ending at 8:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day. For Thanksgiving 1992, the father shall have the first period, and the mother, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

c. There shall be two Easter vacation visitation periods, the first beginning at 6:00 p.m. Holy Saturday and ending at noon on Easter Sunday and the second beginning at noon Easter Sunday and ending at 8:00 p.m. Easter Sunday. For Easter 1992, the mother shall ahve the first period, and the father shall have the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods.

d. The other holidays to be observed are Memorial Day, Independence Day, and Labor Day. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch the fireworks. The father shall have visitation on Memorial Day, 1992, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

e. The children shall spend Father's Day with their father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with their mother, during the same hours.

f. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods. The party having visitation under this paragraph shall also hve temporary physical custody.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the children at the home of the other.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care adn custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the childrens' estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangemetns respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangemetns shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

Record Continued on
Page 248(a).....

Received $25.00 2-18-92 from Pltf.

Pd 3-11-92 Craw Co Comm Ch# 6700 25.00 mediation

Received from Pltf 4-7-92 mediation 20.00

Pd 4-16-92 Craw Co Com Ch# 6776 20.00 Mediation fees

Received $250.00 from Peff. on 10/18/95.

10-9-96 Rec'd from dept 76 ¢

12-19-96 #40433 $6.00 Co.

LouAnn Stokes

VS.

Daniel E. Stokes

Record Continued from Page 248

OF

AD 1991 - ~~248~~ 798

12. When the father shall have obtained living quarters sufficient to allow the children to reside with him, he may request further mediation with a view toward setting out a more nearly equally shared physical custody arrangement.

13. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

14. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Jan. 10, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. James Irwin, Bruce Getsinger, William Pineo, plaintiff, defendant.

Aug. 25, 1993, Motion for Appointment of Divorce Master filed.

Aug. 25, 1993, ORDER: AND NOW, Aug. 24, 1993, the court declines to grant plaintiff's motion for appointment for appointment of Master insofar as said motionis not inthe form prescribed by Exhibit L1920.74 of the Crawford County Local Rules of Court as required by Local Rule L1920.51A(2).

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. VArdaro, J.

Aug. 25, 1993, copies distributed to Irwin and Hundertmark, attys.

Aug. 22, 1995, Inventory of Plaintiff filed.

Oct. 17, 1995 PLAINTIFF'S AFFIDAVIT UNDER SECTION 3301 (d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE filed.

Oct.18, 1995, Motion to Appoint Master in Divorce and order filed. ORDER APPOINTING MASTER: AND NOW, Oct. 23, 1995 David W. Pickens, Esq. is appointed master in respect to the following claims:

Divorce, equitable distribution, counsel fees and costs.

Deposit required: Plaintiff $250.00 Defendant --

If not filed already, the parties are ordered to file their pretrial statements within thirty (30) days from this date.

The defendant shall file aninventory within 30 days from this date.

per curian:
Anthony J. VArdaro, J.

Oct. 26, 1995, copies of order to Attys. Irwin, Hundermark and Pickens.

Nov. 3, 1995, Notice of Scheduled Pre-Hearing Conference filed.

Nov. 8, 1995, Counter-Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) of theDivorce Code filed.

Jan. 18, 1996 Notice of Scheduled Pre-Hearing Conference filed.

FEb. 16, 1996 Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Statement filed.

Feb 20, 1996 Summary of Pre-Hearing Conference, filed.

Mar 1, 1996 Notice of Scheduled Master's Hearing, filed.

May 22, 1996 Waiver of Notice of Intention to Request Entry of a Divorce Decree Under Section 3301(C) of the Divorce Code filed.

May 22, 1996 Affidavit of Consent filed.

Aug. 5, 1996 Affidavit of Consent by Defendant filed.

Aug.5, 1996 Waiver of Notice of Intention to Request Entry of a Divorce Decree Under Section 3301(C) of the Divorce Code filed.

Sept 12, 1996 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Sept. 25, 1996, ORDER filed. This divorce matter has been referred to court for review and decree. The court has reviewed the record and is returning the record to Peothonotary. A final decree will not be entered at this time because:

Mediation fees are due in the above case - Plff - owes the sum of $20. and dft. owes $76.

Either party may file a praecipe to refer the matter back to the court when the record is in such a state that the pARTIES ARE ENTITLED TO A FINAL DECREE.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

9/25/96 mailed to Irwin, faxed to Hundertmark.

Oct. 28, 1996, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Nov. 8, 1996 AND NOW, this 7 day of November, 1996, it is ordered and decreed that LOUANN STOKES, Plaintiff, and DANIEL E. STOKES, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

It is further ordered that the parties' Separation and Property Settlement Agreement dated May 13, 1996, is incorporated herein and made a part hereof and shall be fully enforceable under the provisions of the Divorce Code.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

248 (b)

1991

1991

Bernstein & Bernstein, PC
David B. Lingenfelter
Susan Laatsch

799.

Thomas, Truax Spadafore
Vardaro and Walker
R. C. Thomas

1-6-93
Received from atty Thomas
Arbitration appeal
#220.00

1-7-93
Pd Commissioner
Arb. appeal Refund
Chk #7265 220.00

McCULLOUGH ELECTRIC CO.

vs.

DENNIS BUBNA
D & B CUSTOM WIRING

Filed Aug. 20, 1991
@ 2:16 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.25
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 8-6-92	15.00
Pro.Co. pd 1/29/93	9.00
Pro Co Pd 3/31/93	6.00

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

APPEAL OF PLAINTIFF FROM JUDGMENT OF
HUBERT VOGAN, DISTRICT JUSTICE 30-3-02

Aug. 23, 1991, Complaint on Appeal filed.

Aug. 27, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

Oct. 11, 1991 Answer filed.

Aug 6, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for appointment of Arbitrators are appointed.

Gary Fabian, Chr.
Richard Roeder
Lisa P. Youngs

Aug 31, 1992 Arbitrator's take qualifying oath.

Dec 9, 1992 And now, the 9th day of December, 1992, we the undersigned Arbitrators chosen in this case, after having been duly sworn and having heard the evidence and allegations of the parties in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of $1,653.08, which includes prejudgment interest, together with costs of suit.

Gary Fabian, Chr.
Richard Roeder
Lisa P. Youngs

Dec 9, 1992 copies mailed to Atty Laatsch and copy to Atty Thomas.

Jan. 6, 1993 Notice of Appeal from Award of Board of Arbitrators filed.

And Now, Jan. 29, 1993, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, judgment is hereby entered in the above captioned matter in accordance with decision of the Board of Arbitrators entered thereon.

Debt $1,653.08
JUDGMENT
Barbara H. King
Prothonotary

B-43; D-186:
Abstract to Atty. Lingenfelter

Feb. 17, 1993 Petition To Strike Judgment and Order filed. AND NOW, this 17th day of February, 1993, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a Rule is granted upon the Plaintiff to show cause, if any it has, why the judgment heretofore entered should not be stricken.

Returnable for hearing on the 26th day of March, 1993 at 10:00 o'clock a.m. in Court Room 1, 2 or 3, of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

Copies of this Petition and Order to be served upon Plaintiff's counsel, David B. Lingenfelter, Esquire, and on the Plaintiff, by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Feb. 25, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Lingenfelter and Thomas,

Mar. 31, 1993, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney this above captioned case is marked settled, discontinued and ended of record.

1991

R. Charles Thomas

800.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

WAYNE L. ROSCHI

vs.

NANCY A. ROSCHI

Filed Aug. 20, 1991
@ 3:34 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 10.00
state paid 5.00
2 counts pd. 10/10/91-30.00
state paid 10/10/91- 10.00
John Petruso, Master
Pro Co pd 5/13/92 9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 3301(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 20, 1991 Plaintiff's affidavit Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code filed. 1 copy (atty)

Oct. 10, 1991 Petition for Subsequent Claims for Equitable Distribution, Alimony, Alimony pendente Lite, Reasonable Counsel Fees, Costs and Expenses filed.

Oct 14, 1991 Motion to Set Hearing and Order filed: AND NOW, October 14, 1991, hearing on Defendant's request for alimony pendente lite, reasonble counsel fees, and expenses shall be heard on Oct 31, 1991 at 9:00 o'clock in courtroom number 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville,

By the Court,
P. R. Thomas, J.

Oct 17, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Kirkpatrick and Thomas' boxes.

Nov. 1, 1991 Income and Expense Statement of plaintiff filed.

Nov 1, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, October 31, 1991, after partial hearing, the plaintiff is ordered to pay to the defendant as alimony pendente lite the sum of $250.00 per month for a period of six (6) months from this date, unless otherwise modified by the Court.

The plaintiff is ordered to pay the defendant the sum of $250.00 towards counsel fees, reserving the right thto the Court to make a further order if appropriate. The question of expenses is reserved because the Court has no indication that appraisals will necessarily be required; but in the event such appraisals are required and the Court is given some estimate of the cost thereof, a further Order may be entered concerning expenses.

The plaintiff has not yet filed an income and expense statement and, in fact, the same is not due until November 10, 1991. THEREFORE, all of the facts concerning her income and expense are not before the court. Upon the filing of such income and expense statement, either party may request a further hearing.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Nov 8, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys Thomas and Kirkpatrick's boxes.

Dec. 20, 1991 Income and Expense Statementof Nancy a. Roschi filed.

Jan. 8, 1992, Inventory and Appraisement of wayne Roschi filed.

Jan. 8, 1992, Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce and Order appointing Master filed. AND NOW, Jan. 9, 1992, JOHN D. PETRUSO ESQ. is appointed master inrespect tothe following claims: DIVORCE, DISTRIBUTION OF PROPERTY AND ALIMONY

Deposit required: By plaintiff - $400.00
By defendant $200.00

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Jan. 14, 1991, copies to Attys. R. C. thomas, M. Kirkpatrick and J. Petruso.

May 13, 1992 Affidavit of Service filed. ROBERT OSBORNE, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on September 17, 1991 at approximately 4:20 o'clock p.m. he served a true and correct copy of the Complaint In Divorce field in the above captioned action upon the Defendant, Nancy a. roschi, by handing a copy of the same to her along with an appropriate Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code. Robert Osborne

May 13, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to Court.

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D

May 22, 1992 STIPULATION AND FINAL DECREE filed. AND NOW, this 21st day of May, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that WAYNE L. ROSCHI, Plaintiff, and NANCY A. ROSCHI, Defendant, are divorced from th bonds of matrimony.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION - REAL ESTATE

1. The defendant is awarded all that certain piece or parcel of land located in Vernon Township, Crawford Co.,PA. as more specifically described in a certain Deed from Barbara J. Schmidt Huntley, Executrix of the Estate of Malcom E. Schmidt a/k/a Malcolm E. Schmidt, to Wayne L. Roschi and Nancy A. Roschi, his wife, said Deed being dated Sept. 15, 1987 and recorded in the Office of the Recorder of Deeds of Crawford County in Record Book 23, Page 534. The aforesaid parcel of land that is known as Lots 17, 18 and 19 of the Elvira Roschi Allotment in Vernon Township.

2. The Defendant is awarded all that certain piece or parcel of land located in Vernon Township, Crawford Co.,PA. as more specifically described in a certain Deed from Barbara J. Schmidt Huntley, Executrix of the Estate of Malcom E. Schmidt a/k/a Malcolm E. Schmidt, to Wayne L. Roschi and Nancy A. Roschi, his wife, said Deed being dated Nov. 23, 1987 and recorded in the Office of the Recorder of Deeds of Crawford Co. in Record Book 26, Page 862.

3. The Plaintiff is awarded all that certain piece or parcel of land located in Vernon Township, Crawford Co.,PA. and being more specifically bounded and described as follows, to-wit: BEGINNING at a point in the East line of the public road commonly known and referred to as Dunham Road, said point being located at the intersection of the East lineof Dunham Rd. and the North line of Roschi Ave., said point also being located at the Southwest corner of Lot No. 5 of the Elvira Roschi Plan of Lots; thence in a Northerly direction along the East line of Dunham Rd. and along the West line of Lots 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 in the Elvira Roschi Plan of Lots a distance of 255 feet to a point in the East line of Dunham Rd., said point being located at the Southwest corner of Lot No. 10 in the aforesaid Elvira Roschi Plan of Lots; thence in an Easterly direction along the South line of Lot NO. 10 in the aforesaid Elvira Roschi Plan of Lots a distance of 125 feet to a point, which point is located at the Southeast corner of Lot No. 10 in the aforesaid Plan of Lots; thence continuing in a straight line from the Southeast corner of the aforesaid Lot No. 10 in an Easterly direction and along the South line of land of the said Wayne L. Roschi and Nancy A. Roschi as described in Record Book 26, Page 862 a distance of

RECORD CONTINUED ON
PAGE 250 (a).....

Wayne L. Roschi

vs.

Nancy A. Roschi

Record Continued from Page 250

OF

AD 1991 - 800

375 feet more or less to a point in the West line of land formerly of Meadville Realty Co., now R.W. Spaulding, a distance of 255 feet to a point in the North line of Roschi Ave.; thence in an Easterly direction along the North line of Roschi Avenue a distance of 496 feet more or less to the point and place of beginning. The property hereinbefore described and awarded to the Defendant has located on it the marital residence and is the same property devised to the said Wayne Roschi pursuant to ARticle III of the Last Will and Testament of Louis Henry Roschi.

EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION - TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY

4. The Defendant is awarded all tangible personal property presently located in the marital residence of the Plaintiff and Defendant at RD # 2, Dunham Road, Meadville, Crawford Co., PA. except a 1989 Cub Cadet Tractor, which tractor is awarded to the Plaintiff and which tractor shall be removed from the marital residence at RD # 2, Dunham Road, Meadville, PA. within thirty (30) days after the entry and filing of the Decree in Divorce in this case.

5. The Defendant is awarded a certain 1986 Chevrolet Sedan identified as Title No. 38045662 on the Certificate of Title issued by the Commonwealth of PA.

6. The Plaintiff is awarded a certain 1984 Volkswagon Sedan identified as Title No. 35864816603R0 on the Certificate of Title for said vehicle issued by the Commonwealth of PA.

EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION - INTANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY

7. The Plaintiff is awarded all retirement benefits and life insurance benefits to which he may be entitled by reason of his employment by the Consolidated Rail Corporation and by reason of the Plaintiff's employment by the predecessors of Consolidated Rail Corporation.

8. The Defendant is awarded all retirement benefits and life insurance benefits to which she may be entitled by reason of her employment at Allegheny College, Meadville, PA.

ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES AND COSTS

9. The Plaintiff shall pay alimony pendente lite and counsel fees pursuant to the Order of Court heretofore entered by the Honorable Judge Robert L. Walker on Oct. 31, 1991. Alimony pendente lite shall terminate as provided in the aforesaid Order of Court.

10. The Defendant hereby relinquishes the award of spousal support and any arrearages thereon that was awarded to the Defendant pursuant to Order of Court entered on Jan. 27, 1992 in the amount of $30.00 per month plus arrearages of $105.00 through Jan. 31, 1992. The Domestic Relations Office is ordered and directed to terminate this case immediately and all arrearages are remitted.

11. The Defendant's claim for permanent alimony is denied.

12. Master's fees incurred in this case shall be divided equally between the Plaintiff and the Defendant.

MARITAL DEBTS

13. The Plaintiff shall be solely responsible for the payment of a certain loan in favor of Modern Acceptance Corporation incurred by the Plaintiff and the Defendant in connection with the purchase of the Cub Cadet Tractor. The Plaintiff shall pay each and every monthly installment on a regular basis until such loan is paid in full. The Plaintiff shall further indemnify and hold the Defendant harmless from any liability on the aforesaid loan.

MISCELLANEOUS

14. The Plaintiff shall at his sole and exclusive expense secure a Deed from the Estate of Louis Henry Roschi for the property as described in Paragraph 3 of this Decree and upon securing said Deed shall record the same in the Office of the Recorder of Deeds of Crawford Co., PA. and thereafter the Plaintiff shall sign, execute and acknowledge a Deed for said real estate to the Defendant and shall deliver said Deed to the Defendant and the Defendant shall be responsible for recording said Deed.

15. That neither the Plaintiff nor the Defendant shall make any further claims against the other party hereto except for the enforcement of the rights and responsibilities set forth in this Divorce Decree.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

June 22, 1992 STIPULATION AND ORDER AMENDING DIVORCE DECREE, filed. ORDER: And, Now, June 19, 1992,upon Stipulation it is hereby Ordered that paragraph 3 of the parties' Divorce Decree is corrected to indicate that the former marital residence located at R.D. 2, Box 886, Dunham Rd., Vernon Twp., Crawford County, Pennsylvania is awarded to the Defendant/Wife.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

June 24, 1992 copy in box for R.C. Thomas & M.A. Kirkpatrick

1991

Blystone & Blystone
Peter E. Blystone

801.

INTEGRA NATIONAL BANK/NORTH
~~PENNBANK~~

vs.

ALAN R. WISE AND REBECCA L. WISE

Filed Aug. 20, 1991
@ 4:11 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	31.25
Pro Co Pd 10/10/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Sept 10, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed., SERVED Alan R. Wise on Aug. 26, 1991 at 3:;40 p.m. by handing copy of complaint to Rebecca Wise, his wife at their residence R. D. #2, Centerville, Crawford County Pa. 16404.
SERVED Rebecca L. Wise personally on Aug. 26, 1991 at 3:41 p.m. at her residence R. D. #2, Centerville, Crawford County, Pa. 16404.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 9, 1991, Affidavit of Non Military Service of defendants filed.

And Now, Oct. 10, 1991 at 3:56 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to answer; damages assessed in the amount of Twenty Five Thousand Six Hundred Fifty Eight and 61/100 Dollars together with int. on debt at rate of 13.5% per annum from aug. 20, 1991. (atty. fees of $3,346.77 incl. indebt)
Judgment entered
on 10/10/91
W - 16, 146

Debt - $25,658.61
Int. from 8/20/91
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EXECUTION 1991 - 88

Nov. 25, 1991 Motion to Correct The Name of Party, Certificate of Mailing and ORDER filed. AND NOW, Nov. 25, 1991, upon presentation of the foregoing Motion of Pennbank, party to this action, it is ordered that the name of Pennbank shall be changed to Integra National Bank/North. The Prothonotary is directed to amend the caption of the case accordingly.
Gordon R. Miller,
Judge

Dec. 3, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Peter Blystone.

802

VICKI L. KANE

vs.

ELVIS L. BROWN

Filed Aug. 21, 1991
@ 11:0;5 a.m.

Tax & entry	50.50
User's Fee	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	39.60

PETITION FOR Emergency Relief Under Protection From Abuse Act

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 21 day of August, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court enters the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any oftheminor childrennamed inthe Petition.

2. Speical Conditions: Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff in any way. Defendant shall not go to Plaintiff's residence at anytime.

3.This Order may be enforced wihtout a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer haivng reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.

4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police depart= ments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.

5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon thedefendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or cometent adult.

6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order ofthis Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determinedby final court order.

7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jauil setence of up to six months.

8. The court reserves ruling on allocationof fees and costs.

Hearing is hereby set for September 3, 1991, at 3:30 p.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2 oftheCrawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Sept. 5, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, September 3, 1991, at the time fixed for hearing in this matter, the plaintiff appeared, but the defendant did nct, as it appears that the defnedant has not yet been served with process in this case.

ACCORDINGLY, this case is CONTINUED to September 20, 1991, at 3:30 o'clock P.M. in Courtroom No. 1 or Courtroom No 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

The Sheriff of Crawford County Courthouse.

The Sheriff of Crawford County shall attempt to serve the defendant, and if necessary, deputize the Sheriff of Venango Court or any other county in Pennsyvlanis where the Sheriff has rason to believe the defendant resides and/or can be served. The plaintiff shall cooperate with the Sheriff and give the SHeriff any leads or ideas as to where the defendnat may be found or served.

IN the meantime, the Temporary Order of August 21, 1991 is continued in full force and effect.

A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police Department in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.

At the time of service, the Sheriff shall serve onthe defendant a true and correct copy of the Petition for Emergency Relief, the temporary Abuse Orde rof August 21, 1991 and this Order.

BY ORDER OF THE JCOURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Sept. 10, 1991 Copies of order to Plaintiff, Sheriff, County Control and Meadville CIty Pclice

Sept. 17, 1991 Affidavit (Sheriff's rEturn) Service filed. SERVED the within Protection fromAbuse onSeptember 11, 1991, at 8:45 AM, upon Elvis Brown at his residence 1049 Elm St., Franklin, PA 16323 by handing to Elvis Brown, in person a true and correct copy thereof and made known to him the contents therein. Gene Price, Sheriff

See Affidavit of Service endorsed hereon by Sheriff of Venango County, Pennsylvania which is part of this return.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept 24, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, September 20, 1991, at the time fixed for hearing in this matter, both parties appeared, were advised of their right to counsel, and the Defendant agreed to proceed without counsel. The Defendant was advised as to the nature of this proceeding and he said he understood it. He denied any attemted abuse in 1991, but does admit to some abuse in 1988 and is willing to agree that the Court enter an order against him prohibiting him from any further abuse. Accordinly, is is ordered as follows:

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass, or threaten the Plaintiff or their minor child.

2. The Defendant shall not go to the Plaintff's residence at any time.

3. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe that it has been violated.

4. A copy of this orde shall be delivered to the police department in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides, Meadville, and to County Control.

5. This order shall remain in full force and effect for one year; that is, until September 19, 1992 unless modified or terminated by further order of this court.

6. Costs in this case are waived.

7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an Order of Court and violation of this order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to 6 months.

8. A copy of this order shall be delivered to the parties. The Defendants shall be sent to 1049 Elm Street, Franklin, Pa 16323.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Sept 25, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Plaintiff, Defendant and Mead. City Police and copy given to Co. Control.

1991

Prather, Prather & Pineo
Mark D. Prather

803

BYLERS ENGINE & PLUMBING

VS.

A. VANRIPER d/b/a A & T SALES CO.

Filed Aug. 21, 1991
@ 11:13 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.25
User's Fee	5.00
Pro Co pd 9/13/91	9.00
Pro Co Pd 2/13/92	5.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF GEORGE W. HERZBERGER, III, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-3-04

Aug. 21, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Aug. 23, 1991, Proof of Service filed.

And now, sept. 13, 1991 at 2:03 p.m. upon praecipe of defendants attorney judgment of NOn Pros is hereby entered against the named plaintiff for failure to file a Complaint within twenty days after being ruled so to do.

B-181
judgment entered 9/13/91

JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Nov. 20, 1991 Petition To Strike Off Judgment Of Non Pros and Order filed. NOW, this 20th day of November, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing petition, a Rule is hereby granted upon the defendant, A. VanRiper, d/b/a A & T Sales, to show cause if any he has why the judgment of non pros should not be stricken off. Returnable for hearing and argument on December 13, 1991 at 2:00 p.m. in Court room 1 or 2. The Plaintiff shall serve a copy of the petition & this orde ron Defendant forthwith.

Per Curiam,
Gordon R.Miller, J

Nov. 22, 1991 copy of order put in box for Atty. Prather

Dec. 17, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, December 13, 1991 at the time set for hearing on the petition to strike off judgment of non pros filed by the Plaintiff, said petition is granted, and the Plaintiff is ordered to file his complaint within 20 days of this date.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
James B. Dwyer, S.J.

Dec. 19, 1991 Copies of order to Atty. Prather and defendant

Dec. 30, 1991 Complaint filed.

Dec. 30, 1991 PROOF OF SERVICE, filed. Donna J. Needler, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that a true and correct copy of the complaint in the within action was regularly mailed to the defendant, "A VanRiper, A & T Sales Company, Box 156, Sandy Lake, Pa. 16145" on Dec. 30, 1991.

Feb. 7, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above case is hereby satisfied of record.

1991

804

8/24/91 Recd $25.00 From Plaintiff

8/24/91 Paid $25.00 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff
Ck # 6366

KAREN VOGEL

vs.

ERNEST VOGEL, JR.

Filed Aug. 21, 1991
@ 11:26 a.m.

Tax & entry	8/24/91	50.50
User's Fee	Pd 8/24/91	5.00
Sheriff's Costs		25.00
Pro Co pd 8/29/91		5.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM AUBSE ACT
3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 21st day of August, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court enters the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any oftheminor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Temporary possession ofthe residence is awarded to Plaintiff. Defendant shall not go to that residence. Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff in any way. Custody of the children is awarded to Plaintiff.
3. This Order may be enforced wihtout a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upontheDefendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This Temporary order shall remainin fullforce and effect until modified or terminated by further order ofthis Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT, and violation of this Order may punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail setence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocationof fees andcosts.

Hearing is hereby set for September 3, 1991, at 3:30 p.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2 oftheCrawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug. 23, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Ernest Vogel, Jr. personally on August 21, 1991 at 3:30 PM at his residence, R.D. #1, Saegertwon, crawford County, PA.
So naswers
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, SHeriff

Aug. 29, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff this action id marked discontinued of record.

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin, Stack
James R. Irwin
~~Joan D. Mason~~

805

DAVID W. KUHN

vs.

LAURA E. KUHN

Filed Aug. 21, 1991
@ 11:23 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
State paid	10.00
Pro.Co. pd. 9/21/92	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE
3 COPIES (atty)

Sept. 19, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason Esquire, attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and abeingduly sworn according to law, deposes and say that I didon August 23, 1991 mail to the above defendant, Laura E. Kuhn by certified mail return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a Complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received on August 27, 1991, as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto. Joan D. Mason

June 18, 1992 Affidavit of Consent under Section 3301 (c) of the Divorce Code of Plaintiff filed.

Sept, 21, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, James R. Irwin, the above case marked discontinued of record.

806

IN RE: Registration
Suspension of DENNY L. BRADSHAW
and DUANE W. BRADSHAW

Filed Aug. 21, 1991
@ 11:32 a.m.

Tax & entry paid 50.50
User's Fee paid 5.00

APPEAL FROM SUSPENSION OF REGISTRATION
1 copy (atty)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 21st day of August, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Appeal from a Registration Suspension, a hearing on the same shall be scheduled for the 26th day of November, 1991 at 9:00 o'clock in courtroom 1 or 2. Pending said hearing, this appeal shall act as a supercedes of the proposed suspension of registrations. Gordon R. Miller, J

Nov. 19, 1991 MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE AND ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit; this 19day of Nov., 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion for Continuance, there being no objection to the continuance of this matter by counsel for the petitioners, it is hereby ORDERED that the hearing on this matter is continued from Tuesday, Nov. 26, 1991 at 9:00 a.m. to Feb. 25, 1992 at 11:00 a.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

FOR THE COURT:
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

Nov. 22, 1991 copy in box for Atty. Harry White. Sent copy to Harrisburg.

Feb. 25, 1992 Stipulation and ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, to-wit: this 25 day of February, 1992, upon agreement of the parties, the above-captioned appeal is dismissed. The 90 day suspension of the registration of the 1978 Oldsobile sedan owned by appellant, Denny L. Bradshaw, and having PA. Registration No. NLR470 and that of the registration of the 1966 Chevrolet owned by appellant, Duane W. Bradshaw, and having PA. Registration No. MFK432, shall be served concurrently, with those suspensions taking effect upon the receipt by the Department of the registration plates and cards for those vehicles. The 90 day suspension of the registration of the 1977 Ford truck owned by appellant, Duane W. Bradshaw, and having PA. Registration No. YJ09595, shall be served after the two aforementioned 90 day vehicle registration suspensions have been served, with that suspension taking effect upon the receipt by the Department of the registration plate and card for that vehicle.

By The Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Feb. 27, 1992 Copy of Order mailed to Atty. William Kuhar, Jr.

1991

~~Brian Lindsay, Esq.~~ Withdrawn

807

LISA ATROZSKIN

vs.

ROBERT ATROZSKIN

Filed Aug. 21, 1991
@ 11:44 a.m.

Tax & entry Pd 9/24/91 50.50
User's Fee Pd 9/24/91 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 33.90
Pro Co Pd 9/24/91. 9.00

9/24/91 Rec'd Sheriff's Costs #33.90

9/24/91 Paid #33.90 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff Ck # 6419

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT
3 copies plaintiff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 21st day of August, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, theCourt enters thefollowing Temporary Order

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass, or threaten the Plaintiff or any oftheminor children namedin the Petition.
2. Special Condtions: Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff in anyway. Defendant shall not go to Plaintiff's home in any way. Temporary custody of the children is awarded to Plaintiff.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departmen in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the Defendant by a SHeriff, constable, police officer or cometent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remainin full force and effect until modified or terminated by further orderofthis Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violationof this ORder may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocationof fees and costs.

Hearing is hereby set for September 4, 1991, at 3:30 p.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2 ofthe Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires buthe is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug. 23, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Robert Atrozskin personally on August 22, 1991 at 3:20 PM at his residence, R.D. #1, Reeds Corner Road, Conneautville, Crawford County, PA
So answers,
Robert M. STevens, Deputy SHeriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 6, 1991 ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Sept. 4, 1991 at the time fixed for hearing in this matter, both parties appeared with counsel and entered into an agreement, which the Court now adopts as an order. Accordingly, it is ordered as follows:

1. The Defendant is willing to have this order entered although he does not admit to the truth or validity of the allegations set forth in the petition.
2. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass, or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the petition.
3. The Defendant shall not go to the Plaintiff's residence.
4. The Plaintiff shall be permitted to return to the marital residence on Reeds Corners Rd., Conneautville, Pa. on Sun., Sept. 8, 1991 at 4:00 p.m. for the pdurpose of picking up and taking with her her personal belongings and the childrens' personal belongings, including but not limited to their birth certificates. In the event that there is a disagreement as to which items constitute the Plaintiff's personal belongings and/or the personal belongins of the children, the Plaintiff shall leave those belongings behind until there is a proper determination by a court of competentjurisdiction as to the rightful ownership of those items. In that case, the Defendant shall do nothing to destroy, damage, lose, misplace, or affect those items until there is a determination as to the rightful ownership thereof.
5. Primary temporary custody of the parties' minor children is awarded to the Plaintiff, with the Defendant having the right to take his children into his custody each weekend commencing with Fri., Sept. 6, 1991. The weekend visitation shall commence at 5.00 p.m. on Fri. and terminate at 4:00 p.m. the following Sun. The shall spend the nights at the home of the paternal garandparents; that is, the children shall be in the home of the paternal grandparents no later than 8:00 p.m. and remain there until 8:00 a.m. the next day unless they are taken out of the home by the paternal grandparents and always in the custody of the paternal grandparents from 8:00 p.m. until 8:00 a.m. the next morning. The children shall be transported to and from these visitations in the following manner. The paternal grandparents shall pick u p and receive the children at 5:00 p.m. on each Fri. evening at the home of the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff shall pick up and receive the children at 4:00 p.m. each Sun. evening at the home of the paternal grandparents.

This order respecting custody land visitation is temporary and shall remain in full force and effect until a court of competent jurisdiction enters an order regarding custody or visitation.

This order is entered pursuant to 23 Pa.C.S.A. 6108(a)(4).

6. This order shall remain in full force and effect for one year from this date or until Sept. 3, 1992 unless modified or terminated by further order of court.
7. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer h aving reasonable grounds to believe that it has been violated.
8. A copy of this order shall be delivered to the police department in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides, the Pa. State Police, and to Crawford County Control. The prothonotary shall have the responsiblity of delivering a copy of this order to the Pa. State Police and to Crawford County Control. The Plaintiff shall have the responsibility to lodge a copy of this order with the police department where she lives.
9. Record court costs believed to be in the amount of $97.40 shall be paid by the Defendat no later than Mon., Sept. 30, 1991.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Sept. 9, 1991 Copy mailed to State Police, copy given to County Control, 2 copies to Atty. Sullivan and 1 copy to Atty. Toomey.

Continued on Page 455....

Knox, McLaughlin, Gornall, & Sennett, PC
Jack M. Gornall

808

NORTHWEST SAVINGS BANK, Pa.S.A., formerly NORTHWEST SAVINGS ASSOCIATION

vs.

HERMAN HORSTMAN and DELORES A. HORSTMAN, his wife

Filed Aug. 21, 1991
@ 2:23 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
sheriff's costs	50.00
Sheriff's Costs	68.00
ProCo pd 2/19/92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE
3 COPIES Sheriff

Oct. 31, 1991 Complaint reinstated.

Nov. 8, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED the within Reissued Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure on Nov. 4, 1991, at 2110 hrs, upon Dolores Horstman at 1862 Deerfield Dr., Erie Co., Erie, PA. by handing to Herman Horstman a true and correct copy thereof and made known to Herman Horstman the contents therein.

Robert N. Michel,Sheriff
Steve Brandon, Deputy
Erie County

SERVED the within Reissued Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure on Nov. 4th, 1991 at 2110 hr., upon Herman Horstman at 1862 Deerfield Dr., Erie Co., Erie, PA. by handing to him a true and correct copy thereof and made known to Herman Horstman the contents therein.

Robert N. Michel, Sheriff
Steve Brandon, Deputy
Erie County

SERVED Herman Horstman by deputizing the sheriff of Erie Co., PA., as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on Nov. 4, 1991 at 9:10 PM.

SERVED Dolores Horstman by deputizing the sheriff of Erie County, PA., as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on Nov. 4, 1991 at 9:10 pm.

So answers,

Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 8, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. AND NOW, September 30, 1991, the attached complaint against Herman Horstman defendant is being returned unserved. Pla intiff is not home during daytime hours. Several attempts were made.

So answers,

Robert N. Michel, Sheriff
Stephen Brandon, Deputy Sheriff

AND NOW, September 30, 1991 the attached complaint against Dolores A. Horstman defendant is being returned unserved. Plaintiff is not home during daytime hours. Several attempts were made.

So answers,

Stephen Brandon, Deputy Sheriff

Not found as to defendant Herman Horstmas as per Affidavit of Service returned from Erie County, PA. Erie County had made several attempts to locate defendant but were unable to do so. Affidavit of Service attached.

Not found as to defendant Dolores A. Horstman as per Affidavit of Service returned by Erie County, PA. Erie County had made several attempts to locate defendant but were unable to do so. Affidavit of Service attached.

POSTED the mortgaged premises located at R.D. #7, Arthur Avenue, Meadville, Crawford County, PA on August 23, 1991 at 10:58 a.m.

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

EX1992-13

And Now, Feb. 19, 1992 at 1:35 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in this matter for want of an answer, in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants in the amount of Twenty One thousand Three Hundred Twenty One and 14/100 Dollars with int. on $18,223.45 at 7.00% from May 11, 1992.

Judgment entered
2/19/92
H - 84, 44

Debt -----$21,321.14
Int. form 5/11/92

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EX 1992 - 13

Feb. 26, 1992, notice of entry of jdugment mailed to defendants

1991

Specter Law Offices, PC
John C. Evans

809

CHARLES J. WALSH

vs.

MARY B. PALFY

Filed Aug. 21, 1991
@ 4:06 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 8/28/91	6.00

WRIT OF SUMMONS
Issued (atty)

Aug. 28, 1991 UPon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

260

1991

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
John B. Brautigam

810

GERALD E. LANG

vs.

MARY LOU LANG

Filed Aug. 21, 1991
@ 4:25 p.m.

Tax & entry paid		50.50
User's Fee	paid	5.00
State paid		10.00
Pro co Pd	10/28/91	6.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE
1 COPY (Atty)

Sept. 4, 1991, Acceptance of Service filed. I accept service of the Divorce Complaint in the above action.
Date: 8/29/91 Mary Lou Lang.

Oct. 23, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, the above captioned matter is hereby discontinued pursuant to Pa. Rule of Civil Procedure 229(a).

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

811

LESA A. CORNELL

vs.

ROBERT F. CORNELL

Filed August 21, 1991
@ 4:30 p.m.

Tax & entry paid 50.50
User's Fee paid 5.00

John Fuller, Mediator

PETITION FOR CUSTODY (UCCJA)

1 copy (Mediator)
1 copy (atty)

Aug. 21, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, this 19 day of August, 1991, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the Mediator, JohnFUller, at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse with a Child CUstody Mediator as required by rule 31(e) ofthe Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

It is further ordered and decreed that pending final determinatio by the Court, the aforesaidminor child shall not be removed formthe jurisdictionofthe Court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1 copy (meidator) 1 copy (atty)

Sept 22, 1998 ORDER: Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 8/21/1991. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear from you in writing on or before Oct 23, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objectioins must be filed in the Prothonotary's office on or before Oct 23, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

Date: Sept 22, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 5, 1998 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of Oct., 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given by publication of a "Notice of Intent To Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on Oct. 14, 1998, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, all and each of the 84 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice. A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 19011.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

NORTHWESTERN LEGAL SERVICES
Edward G. Davis

812

IN THE MATTER OF:
KELLY ANN RUSSELL,
a minor

DAVID P. RUSSELL
VS.
CRAWFORD COUNTY CHILDREN & YOUTH SERVICES

Filed Aug. 21, 1991
@ 4:33 p.m.

Tax & entry 50.50
User's Fee 5.00
William Pineo, Mediator

COMPLAINT FOR VISITATION
1 copy atty..
1 copy mediator

Aug. 21, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, July 15, 1991, upon receipt and review of the attached complaint requestingvistiation rights in a child that isin the custody of the Children & Youth Services, the Court believes that all actions for visitation must proceed inthe civil division oftheCourt pursuant to Pa.R.C.P. 1915.1 et seq.

Therefore,this matter is referred to William f. E. Pineo, Custody Mediator, who shall schedule a hearingin accordance with the Rules of Court and applicable principles of law.

This case shall be filed with the Prothonotary of Crawford County and shall be styled: David P. Russell, Plaintiff
vs.
Crawford County Children & Youth Services
Defendant

Sept 22, 1998 ORDER: Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 8/21/1991. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear from you in writing on or before Oct 23, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objectioins must be filed in the Prothonotary's office on or before Oct 23, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

Date: Sept 22, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

1991

Thomas, Truax,
Shadafore & Vardaro
David P. Truax

813

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,
ex rel.,, TED ALLEN COFFEY,

vs.

JACK BRICKNER, WARDEN,
CRAWFORD COUNTY PRISON/JAIL

Filed August 21, 1991
@ 4:35 p.m.

Tax & entry paid 50.50
User's Fee 5.00

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
1 copy Atty

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 21 day of August, 1991, upon consideration of the within Petition For Writ of Habeas Corpus, andupon Motion of David P. Truax, Esquire, Attorney for the Relator, it is directed that a Writ of HabeasCorpus be issued forthwith and a hearing on theabove matter be heldon the 3rd day of Sept., 1991, at 9:30 a.m. in Court Room #1 or 2 ofthe Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania, and that theCrawfordCounty Prothonotary be directed to serve the REspondent, Jack Brickner, Warden of the Crawford County Prison/Jail, with the saidWrit or a true and correct copy thereof. Notice of saidhearing shall be givenby counse for the Relator forthwith to the Office of theDistrict Attorney of Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

By The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Sept. 11, 1991, RE: PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS - ORDER filed. AND NOW, Sept 3, 1991, after heaRING AND AFTER REVIEW OF CASES cited by the defendant, we find no basis for granting a Writ of Habeas Corpus which would dismiss currently refiled DUI charges against this defendant.

ACCORDINGLY, the prayer of the petition isdenied, proceedings will continue under the most recently filed DUI complaint against the defendant, the bond is continued, the request to dismiss the charges is denied.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Sept. 11, 1991 copies to D. Truax and Narcy Hughes.

1991

814

TERRY HERENDEEN

vs.

CHRIS HERENDEEN

Filed Aug. 22, 1991
@ 10:34 a.m.

Tax & entry	50.50
User's Fee	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	26.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROMABUSE ACT.
1 copy plaintiff
3 copies Sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 22nd day ofAugust, 1991, upon review ofthe petition of Plaintiff,theCourt enters sthe following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any ofthe minor children named in the Petition.

2. Special Conditions: Possession of the residence is granted to Plaintiff. Defendant must move out. Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff in any way. He shall not go to her residence. Tempoary Custody ofthe children is awarded to plaintiff.

3. THis Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.

4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.

5. Service of a copy of the Petition andthis Order shall be made upon the Defendan tby a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.

6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final Court order.

7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and vi violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000 and a jail sentence of up to six months.

8. The court reserves ruling on allocationof fees and costs.

Hearing is hereby set for September 4, 1991, at 3:30 p.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to representhim at said hearing if he so desires buthe is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug. 30, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Chris Herendeen personally on August 29, 1991 at 11:30 AM at his residence, R.D. #3, Box 256, Conneautville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
James Withers, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 6, 1991 ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Sept. 4, 1991 at the time fixed for hearing in this matter, the Plaintiff appeared with counsel, the defendant appeared without counsel and was advised as to his right to counsel, whereupon he waived the right to counsel; and the Defendant was advised as to the nature of these proceedings. The Defendant ultimately admitted that he did strike the Plaintiff and blacken her eyes several years ago, although he did not exactly admit to the other allegations in the petition, and he agreed that a protection from aubse order could be entered.

Accordingly, it is ordered as follows:

1. The Defendatn shall not assault, abuse, harass, or threaten the Plaidntiff or any of the minor children named in the petition.

2. Sole possession of the parties; residence is granted to the Plaintiff. The Defendant's personal effects and personal belongings shall remain at the residence and the Plaintiff shall not destroy them, dispose of them, damage them, or misplace them in any way. When the Defendant obtains a place to live, he shall contact the Plaintiff in a non-abusive way by telephone and arrange a time when he can go to the residence to pick up his personal belongings. If there is an argument or dispute as to which belongings. If there is an argument or dispute as to which belongings he is entitled to take, those belongings shall remain at the Plaintiff's residence until such time as there is a resolution of the dispute as to the right to own or possess those items.

3. Temporary custody of the parties' minor children is granted to the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff and Defendant shall make a reasonable effort to arrange reasonable visitations by the Defendant with said children under controlled or supervised circumstances for at least 8 hours per week on the week end. These provisions with respect to custody and visitation are subject to change by a court of competent jurisdiction, all pursuant to 23 Pa. C.S A. 6908(a)(4).

4. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe that it has been violated.

5. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police Dept. in the jurisdiction where the Plaidntiff resides, and to County Control.

6. This order shall remain in full force and effect for one year, or until Sept. 3, 1992 unless modified or terminated by further order of this Court.

7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an Order of Court and violation of this order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to 6 months.

8. The Plaintiff is unemployed and thd Defendant earns only $60 per week out of which he must support himself, his children, and possibly his wife. Accordingly, court costs are waived and shall be paid by Crawford County.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Sept. 6, 1991 copy to County Control. Sept. 11, 1991 copy in box for Atty. G.Sullivan, mailed copy to Dft.

Continued on page 264

TERRY HERENDEEN

vs.

CHRIS HERENDEEN

Record continued from page 264

of record

A.D. 1991 - 814

****

Sept. 18, 1991 Petition To MOdify ProtectionFrom Aubse Order and Order filed. AND NOW, this 18 dayof September, 1991, in considerationof the attached petitionofthe parties hereto, the Court hereby modifies the ProtectionfromAubse Order datedSeptember 4, 1991 and hereby Orders the following:

1. The Defendant shall not abuse, threaten to abuse orharass the Plaintiff and/or minor children of the parties.

2. The Defendant shall be permitted togo to and/or reside at the marital residence located at RD #3, Box 256, Conneautville, Pennsylvania.

3. THis Order shall remain in force and effect for a period of one (1) year.

4. If the Defendant violates this Order, any police officer having reasonable grounds to believe the Order has been violated may arrest the Defendant without warrant, have him arraigned before a District Justice ofthe Peace and commit him to the Crawford CountyJail and request an immediate hearing.

4 copies (atty)

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R Miller, J.

264
B

1991

1991

Bruce L. Smith

815

DOROTHY RUTH SNYDER

vs.

RONALD K. SNYDER, SR.

Filed August 22, 1991
@12:15 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	10.00
State paid	10.00
1 Add'l Count	15.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATION AND DISTRIBUTION

Sept. 3, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE filed. BRUCE L. SMITH, an Attorney at Law, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says tha ton the 27th day of August, 1991, he served the Complaint and Notice to defend and Claim Rights in the above-captioned matter on the above-named Defendant by mailing a true and correct copy of said Complaint and Notice to defend and Claim Rights to the Defendant at his residence at 506 W. Walnut Street, TItusville, Pennsylvania, 16354, and that the Complaint and accompanying Notice to Defend and Claim Rights were received by the defendan ton August 28, 1991, as evidence by return Receipt No. P 853 732 334 attached hereto, allpursuant to Pennsylvania Rul of Civil Procedure No. 412. Bruce L. Smith

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 9/3/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: March 12, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
Mar. 12, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

816

TERRI C. KUHTA

vs.

JOSEPH E. KUHTA

Filed Aug. 22, 1991
@ 2:17 p.m.

Tax & entry	Pd 9/17/91	~~33~~ 38.50
User's Fee	Pd 9/17/91	5.00
Sheriff's Costs		16.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT
3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 22 day of August, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court enters the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any ofthe minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Temporary possessionof the residence is awarded to Plaintiff. Custody of the child is awarded to Plaintiff Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff in any way.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this ORder shall be delivered to the police departments inthe jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition andthis Order shall be made upon the Defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order ofthis Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1000 and a jail setence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocation of fees and costs.

Hearing is hereby set for September 5, 1991, at 3:30 p.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to representhim at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug. 23, 1991 SHeriff's REturn filed. SERVED Joseph E. Kuhta personally onAugust 22, 1991 at 2:30 PM at his place of employment, Foltz Construction Company, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County PA.
So answers,

Terri C. Kuhta, Plaintiff
Howard N. Stewart, SHeriff

Sept 9, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, September 5, 1991, the plaintiff appeared and indicated that she intends to file a discontinuance of this action, as the parties are attempting to work out their differences and the defendant is going to an in-patient drug and alcohol treatment program. She maintains that they are unable to pay the record costs. The court finds that the plaintiff and defendant now earn approximately $2,150.00 net take-home pay per month, which will be cut by fifty percent when the defendant is admitted to the treatment program, and that their regular monthly expenses approximate $1,850.00 (based upon the expenses the plaintiff indicated she had).

ACCORDINGLY, the parties are ordered and directed to pay court costs in the amount of $38.25, one-half of the record costs, no later than October 15, 1991.

The Temporary Order of August 22, 1991 is hereby terminated.

A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police Department in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to County Control.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Sept 10, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Plaintiff and Defendant and copy given to Co. Control.

Sept 9, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff, this case is discontinued.

1991

817

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

Frank Malec

Filed Aug. 22, 1991

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976
(Section 304 & 305)

Aug. 27, 1991 Report Of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1991 upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter,and after a review ofthe report of theMental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Frank Malek be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days. This order shall take effect on August 28, 1991.

BY THE COURT,
GordonR. Miller, J

Aug. 28, 1991 Copies to Warren sT. Hosp., MH/MR and Atty. Barrett

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck
& Leemhuis, INc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

818

NATIONAL FUEL GAS
DISTRIBUTION CORPORATION

vs.

KATHRYN STEPCHUCK and
JOHN STEPCHUCK

Filed Aug. 23, 1991
@ 11:21 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	27.00
Pro co Pid 10/16/91	9.00

CIVIL ACTION - COMPLAINT

Sept. 3, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Kathryn Stepchuch personally on August 27, 1991 at 10:58 AM at her residence, 1018 South Main Street, Apartment A, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
John Stepchuck, not served, deceased 18 years ago.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sher;iff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Oct. 16, 1991 at 2:03 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, with default notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of One thousand Two Hundred Twenty three and 93/100 Dollars, plus int. form 8/21/91 and all costs of suits, against KATHRYN STEPCHUCK, only.

Judgment entered - 10/16/91
S - 105 Debt ------$1,223.93
Int. from 8/21/91
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 21, 1991 notice of entry of judgment mailed to dft.

Revived to AD1995-992

1991

Christopher Brode

819

R. Charles Thomas

10/7/91 Recd $21.00 From Plaintiff

10/7/91 Paid $21.00 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff CK #6447

KAREN L. CONSLA

vs.

WILLIAM A. CONSLA, JR.

Filed Aug. 23, 1991
@ 11:32 a.m.

Tax & entry	Pd 10/7/91	50.50
User's Fee	Pd 10/7/91	5.00
Sheriff's Costs		21.00
Pro Co	Pd 10/7/91	9.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT
3 copies Sheriff
1 copy Plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 23rd day of August, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, theCourt enters the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor chidlren named in the Petition

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has beenviolated.

4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments inthe jurisdiction wherethe Plaintiff resides and to County Control.

5. Service of a copy ofthe Petition and this Order shall be mad upon the Defendant by a Sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.

6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.

7. The Defendant is remineded that this is an ORDER OF COURT, and violationof this Order may bepunishable by a fine up to $1000 and a jail setence of up to six months.

8. The court reserves ruling on allocation of fees and costs.

Hearing is hereby set for September 6, 1991, at 3:30 p.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2 ofthe Crawford County Courthouse. The Defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires buthe is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug. 23, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED William A. Consla, Jr., personally on August 23, 1991 at 11:55 AM at his residence, 1121 AldenStreet, Meadville, CrawfordCounty, PA.
So answers, Robert M. STevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 10, 1991 ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Sept. 6, 1991, after full formal hearing in this matter where both parties appeared with counsel, the Court is not satisfied that the Plaintff has proven by the fair weight and preponderance of the evidence that the Defendant engaged in abuse as that term is defined by the Act, and accordingly, the Petition is dismissed and the temporary Order entered Aug. 23, 1991 is dissolved.

A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Meadville City Police Dept. and to County Control

Costs shall be paid by the Plaintiff no later than Oct. 15, 1991.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Sept. 11, 1991 copies to C. Brode and R.C. Thomas, Attys. & copy to County Control & Mead. City Police

1991

Sylvia L. Dratler

820.

HARRY HUDSON

VS.

JOE MAYR and MARCIA B. MAYR, his wife

FiledAug. 23, 1991
@ 2:43 p.m.

Tax & entry paid 15.00
Plff on orig. 44.50

WRIT OF REVIVAL

OF

A.D. 1985 - 415

Writ of revival indixed by Prothonotary in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendntinthe sum of Seven Thousand Six Hundred Ninety-six and 46/100 with interest from November 15, 1983.

Debt -- $7,696.46
Int. from November 15, 1983
M-94-114 -- JUDGMENT revival
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Issued (Sheriff)

April 24, 1992 SHERIFF's RETURN FILED. Writ returned to Prothonotary unserved April 24, 1992. Sheriff's Office received no instructions or advance costs.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Sheriff

1991

Barrett & Dratler
Sylvia L. Dratler

821

DEBORAH CAROL WALTERS

vs.

HOWARD WALTERS

Filed Aug. 23, 1991
@ 3:36 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	15.00
state paid	15.00
John Fuller, mediator	

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 3301(C) OF THE DIVORCE CODE
CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

ORDER OF COURT:
You, Howard Walters, dft., have been sued in court to obtain custody and or visitation of the minor children Melanie Rose Walter, Howard walters, Jr. and Matthew Howard walters

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order of custody and or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. tothe Public Committee c/o Prothonotary , Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335 814 724-4636.

August 26, 1991 BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. MIller, J.

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since8/23/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: March 12, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
Mar 12, 1998 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

William J. Gregg

822

ROBERT C. HUTTON

vs.

SUSAN HUTTON

Filed Aug. 26, 1991
@ 9:57 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
State paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 6-29-92	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(C) OF THE DIVORCE CODE
1 copy Atty.

Sept. 9, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Kathleen R. Nelson Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is the Attroney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Notice were sent by registered mail to the defendant, Susan Hutton, on August 28, 1991.
3. The registered mail was sent to Susan Hutton, at R.D. #2, Box 663A, Conneaut Lake, 16316. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on September 5, 1991. Said Return Receipt card P 269 402 163 is attached hereto, signed by Susan Hutton, and made a part hereof.

Kathleen R. Nelson

June 29,1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

June 29, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

June 29, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D

July 8, 1992 AND NOW, July 7, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that **R O B E R T C . H U T T O N ,** Plaintiff, and **S U S A N H U T T O N ,** Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

1991

Stokes, Lurie & Cole
James B. Cole

823

Quinn, Gent, Buseck & Leemhuis
John M. Quinn, Jr

Inc

KATHERINE A. PALMER, and JOHN P. PALMER, administrators of the estate of KRISTOFFER P. PALMER, deceased

vs.

MEADVILLE MEDICAL CENTER

Filed Aug. 26, 1991

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	24.00

WRIT OF SUMMONS
Issued (Sheriff)

Sept. 3, 1991 Writ Return filed. SERVED Meadville Medical Center on August 27, 1991 at 3:00 PM by handing copy of Summons to Nancy Blystone, Administrative Assitance at business, 751 Liberty Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept 19, 1991 Appearance of Quinn, Gent, Buseck and Leemhuis Inc. by John M. Quinn, Jr., Esq for Defendant filed.

Sept 19, 1991 Rule Upon Plaintiff to File Complaint Issued.

May 26, 1993, Complaint filed. (JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)

June 22, 1993 Answer filed.

Nov. 23, 1993, Notice of Deposition of Nadine Schaffer, R.N. filed.

Apr. 10, 1995 Notice of Service of Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents filed.

Apr. 10, 1995 Notice of Service of Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents filed.

June 6, 1995 Notice of Deposition of Nadine Schaffer, R.N. filed.

Jun. 19, 1995 Notice of Deposition of Nadine Schaffer, R.N. filed.

Jun. 19, 1995 Notice of Deposition of Ronald M.Vrablick, M.D., filed.

Oct. 30, 1995, NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION filed.

Nov. 1, 1995, Response to Plaintiff's Second Request for Production of Documents filed.

IN ERROR
PLEASE SEE
AD 1991-421

Feb. 12, 1999 Notice of Completion of Discovery on behalf of defendant, Arthur G. Deininger, MD., filed.

AS OF MARCH 10, 2000, ANY FILINGS INVOLVING THIS CASE MAY BE FOUND ON THE COMPUTER.

1991

Paul Breen 824	FORD MOTOR CREDIT CO. vs. CARLA KOLCUN a/k/a CARLA KOLCUN PERRINE Filed Aug. 26, 1991 @ 1:16 p.m. Tax & entry paid 50.50 User's Fee paid 5.00 Sheriff's Costs 21.00	COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION 2 copies (sheriff) Sept. 5, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Carla Kolcun Perrine personally on August 28, 1991 at 2:43 PM at her residence R.D. #5, Box 560, Meadville, Crawford County, PA. So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Apple & Apple
Marylouise Wagner

825

SCOTT INDUSTRIAL SYSTEMS, INC

vs.

BROWN EQUIPMENT & SERVICES INC.

Filed Aug. 26, 1991
@ 3:25 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	33.50
Pro Co Pd 12/19/91	9.00

COMPLAINT
1 copy (Sheriff)

Sept. 11, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. Not served. Attorney Tamera M. Rothschild cannot accept service for the Sister Company in Ohio. She only represents Bankruptcy for Brown Boiler and Tank Co. in Titusville,
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Ict, 28, 1991 Complaint reinstated.

Nov. 20, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Brown Equipment and Services, Inc., on November 6, 1991 at 11:58 AM by handing a copy of the Complaint to Attorney richard Roeder, their authorized agent, at 101 West Main Street, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Chief Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Dec. 19, 1991, at 11:42 upon praecipe of plaitniff attorney, with default notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer, in the sum of Two Thousand One Hundred Ninety Seven and 64/100 Dollars.
B - 184 Debt ---- $2,197.64
Judgment entered Int. from 12/14/91
12/18/91 James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Dec. 30, 1991 notice of entry of judgment mailed to dft.

1991

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas W. Ferguson

826

Watts and Pepicelli
Alan L. Pepicelli

DEBORAH J. DARCANGELO

vs.

THOMAS E. DARCANGELO

Filed Aug. 26, 1991
@ 4:02 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	10.00
State paid	10.00
1 Add'l Count	15.00
Pro Co. pd 3/1/95	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

Sept. 3, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, on the above named dEfendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated August 27, 1991. The Defendant acknowledged service on August 28, 1991, by accepting deliver on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Douglas W. Ferguson

Sept. 20, 1991 Appearance of Watts and Pepicelli By Alan L. Pepicelli, attorneys for defendant.

Feb. 21, 1995 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Feb. 21, 1995 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Feb. 21, 1995 Motion To Withdraw Count One Equitable Distribution From Plaintiff's Complaint In Divorce on behalf of plaintiff, filed.

Feb. 21, 1995 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney for Review under Section 3301(c) of Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

March 9, 1995 AND NOW, March 2, 1995, it is ordered and decreed that DEBORAH J. DARCANGELO, plaintiff, and THOMAS E. DARCANGELO, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

1991

C. Barton Jones

827.

MICHAEL SMITH

vs.

ROBERT D. WILSON d/b/a
WILSON ELECTRIC

Filed Aug. 28, 1991
@ 9:32 a.m.

	Paid	
Tax & entry	8/30/91	$50.25
state	pd. 8/30/91	5.00

NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM DISTRICT JUSTICE JUDGMENT AND PETITION FOR HEARING OR ARGUMENT, AND FOR STAY AND SUPERCEDEAS OF ORDER OF DISTRICT JUSTICE ON OBJECTION TO LEVY

Aug. 28, 1991, ORDER filed. 3 copies (atty)
AND NOW, this 28 day of Aug. 1991, upon petition made by appellant in the matter, it is hereby Ordered that a Stay and Supercedeas shall obtain upon the public Order of Dist. Justice Hubert E. Vogan at TA 1991 - 145, Magisterial District 30-3-02, and thata hearing de nova, argument is hereby ordered in Court Room No -- Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. on Sept. 24, 1991 at 1:30 p.m. at which time the parties shall appear for those purposes. Appellant shall serve a copy fo the petition and this order on Respondent and the District Justices McCandless and Vogan forthwith. BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

Sept. 3, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

Sept. 17, 1991, Appellant's Complaint on Appeal From District Justice's Order From Hearing on Appellants Objections to Levy filed.

Sept. 17, 1991 Certificate of Service filed. I, C. Barton Jones, Esq. certify that I have served a true and correct copy ofthe Complaint herein by placing said Complaint coy in First Class Cert. Mail, Ret. REc. REq. to the plaintiff-respondent in said case: Robert Wilson, d/b/a Wilson Electric, Box 418, Clintonville, Pa. 16372
Date: Sept. 16, 1991 C. Barton Jones, Esq.

Oct. 8, 1991 Memorandum and Decision filed. AND NOW, September 24, 1991, the matter is before the Court to day on the vailidity of a levy made on the proper ty of Michael Smith, namely, a Ford Bronco, to satisfy a Mercer County judgment in an approximate amount of $3,676.00 entered in a District Justice Court.

Counsel for the parties cite the Court voluminous rules with plaintiff verdict winning Wilson contending this Court has no jurisdiction to entertain the attack on the levy as the same should be raised before the court of Common Pleas of Mercer County, (location in the initial District Justice of the Peace), within a timely fashion.

The Court takes brief testimony and as the Court sees the matter, it hinges around the Court's interpretation of the original Complaint and the judgment entered by the District Justice of Mercer County. The Court interprets the Complaint designating the defendant as System Design and Installation, Inc., attention Michael Smith, Owner, and the rendering of the judgment upon Smith's failure to appear by the District Justice against System design and INstallation, Inc.; Michael Smith, Owner, as being a Complaint against the corporate entity and a judgment entered against the corporate entity.

It therefore appears that System design and Installation, Inc. is the appropriate entity against which the judgment was entered and the levy on personal property of a "designated owner" does not give the plaintiff the right to proceed against the individual assets of the owner or an officer of the corporate defendant.

ACCORDINGLY, the petition is sistained.

Apparently, at the suggestion of Judge Miller, President Judge of the Court of Common Pleas, when the matter was appealed, Judgment Miller opined that Michael Smith should probably file some form of Complaint and the same was filed September 17, 1991, captioned "Appellant's Complaint on Appeal From District Justice's Order From Hearing on the Appellant's Objection to Levy". In view of the aforesaid ruling, counsel for Michael Smith now advises the Court that he wishes to withdraw said Complaint.

SO ORDERED. The above ruling covers any appeal from the District Justice Office of Hubert E. Vogan in Crawford County and renders such appeal moot.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Oct. 11, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Atty's Jones and Hundertmark and D.J. Vogan

1991

Pietragallo, Bosick & Gordon Firm
Scott T. Redman

828.

Watts and Pepicelli
Alan L. Pepicelli

WAINOCO OIL & GAS COMPANY, A CORPORATION

vs.

ALAN BARDEEN, AN INDIVIDUAL, t/d/b/a ABC MOTOR COMPANY AND ABC MOTOR COMPANY, A CORPORATION

Filed Aug. 28, 1991
@ 11:56 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	31.00
Pro Co. pd 5/8/92	6.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Sept. 12, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED ABC Motor Co. on Sept. 6, 1991 at 3:34 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Alan Bardeen, Owner, in the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.

SERVED Alan Bardeen personally on Sept. 6, 1991 at 3:35 PM in the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.

So answers, Carole Stefanucci, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 24, 1991 Appearance of Watts and Pepicelli By Alan L. Pepicelli, Attorney for defendants filed.

April 30, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney the above-referenced matter is marked settled and discontinued.

1991

Joseph F. Kulwicki

829.

Terry Toomey
Toomey and Bukac

ELIZABETH PIECHOTA

vs.

JOHN PODUSZLO AND ROSE PODUSZLO, HIS WIFE

Filed Aug. 28, 1991
@ 1:44 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION
EJECTMENT

2 copies (sheriff)

Sept. 12, 1991, Entry of Appearance and Acceptance of service filed. And now, come the defendants by and through their attorney Terry Toomey, Esq. and hereby accept service of the plaintiffs' complaint in civil action by and through their atty. Terry Toomey Esq. who does hereby enter his appearance on behalf of dfts.
Date: 9/12/91 Terry Toomey Esq.

Oct. 7, 1991, Defendant's Answer, New MaTTER AND Counterclaim filed.

Oct. 21, 1991, Acceptances of Service of Defendants' Answer New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

Dec. 27, 1991 Plaintiff's Reply to New Matter and Counterclaim, filed.

Nov. 4, 1993 Notice of Intention To Complete Discovery, filed.

Jan. 3, 1994 Certificate of Readiness filed.

1994 FEBRUARY CIVIL TRIAL LIST

Feb. 4, 1994 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 4th day of February, 1994, non-jury trials are hereby scheduled in the following cases on the dates and at the times set forth below.

Caption (Abbrvd.) & Docket Number	Non Jury Trial Date and Time; Courtroom
Elizabeth Piechota Rose Poduszlo AD 1991-829	March 9 & 10 (2) days 9:15 a.m. #1,#2, or #3.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
2-8-94 Copy of Order to Attys. Kulwicki & Toomey

Feb. 18, 1994 Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Filing of Pre-Trial Narrative filed.

Feb. 18, 1994 ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, upon Motionof the Defendants to Compel the Plaintiff to file a Pre-Trial Narrative and this matter now scheduled for Trial on March 9 and March 10, 1994, said MOtion is GRANTED. It is hereby Ordered and Directed that Plaintiff shall file a Pre-Trial Narrative not later than FEbruary 21, 1994 and shall serve Counsel for the Defendants with said Pre-Trial Narrative concurrently. Should Plaintiff's Cousnel fail to do so, this Court shall GRANT the Defendants appropriate sanctions.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
2-18-94 Copy of Order to Attys. Kulwicki & Toomey

Mar. 7, 1994 Motion to Continue and ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 7, 1994, this case is continued to May 3, 1994 at 9:30 A.M.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.
3-9-94 Copy of Order to Attys. Kulwicki & Toomey.

May 9, 1994 Exhibits filed.
May 17, 1994 Memorandum and Decision filed. AND NOW, this 17th day of May, 1994, the Court finds as follows:

1. We find in favor of the defendants and against the plaintiff on her complaint and claim in ejectment and trespass.
2. We find in favor of the defendant,JohnPoduszlo and against the plaintiff on the counterclaim that John Poduszlo filed against theplaintiff and hereby declare that John Poduszlo has title in fee simple to the parcel in queston described as follows:

All that certain piece or parcel of land situate in Fairfield Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, beginning at a point in the southerly line of Dunn Road, a/k/a Township Route 315; said beginning point being marked by an iron pin, and said beginning point being the point where the southerly line of a 3 1/8 acre parcel of land owned by John Poduszlo and Rose A. Poduszlo by deed of John Poduszlo dated June 9, 1988 and recorded in Crawford County Record Book 40, page 938 meets the southerly line of Dunn Road, said point also being point B on a property line survey for Elizabeth Piechota prepared by Henry B.Knapp dated September 15, 1989; thence south 47 degrees 10 minutes 40 seconds west along land now or formerly of Poduszlo, a distance of 527.86 feet to a point in t he land of Poduszlo; thence south 3 degrees 00 minutes east along land now or formerly of Poduszlo a distance of 281.70 feet to a point; thence north 75 degrees 39 minutes east along an existing fence line and land of Piechota a distance of 288 feet to a point; thence north 29 degrees 59 minutes east along an existing fence line and land of Piechota, a distance of 557.04 feet to a point in the center line of Dunn Road; thence north 58 degrees 13 minutes west along the center line of Dunn Road, a distance of 196 feet to a point; thence south 47 degrees 10 minutes 40 seconds west a distance of 25 feet, more or less, to a point in the south line of Dunn Road, the place of beginning, Being and intended to be 4.4098 acres of land and being a part of a larger parcel of land conveyed to Elizabeth Piechota by deed of Jolan Mihali dated April 18, 1973 and recorded in Crawford County Deed Book 474, page 340.

3. We award no attorneys fees to either party and therefore their respective claims against the other for attorneys fees is denied.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

5-17-94 Copies dist.to Kulwicki & Toomey

280

1991

Knox McLaughlin Gornall and Sennett PC
Joanna K. Budde

830.

William F. Peters

FARLEY'S INDUSTRIAL LAUNDRY

vs.

RUTH WEAVER

Filed Aug. 28, 1991
@ 1:52 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Bal Pd 9/5/91	10.00
state paid Pd 9/5/91	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	21.25
Sheriff's Costs	23.05
Pro Co Pd 5-13-92	15.00
Pro.Co.pd 10/15/92	9.00
Pro pd 12/17/92	6.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Sept. 5, 1991 Sheriff's REturn filed. Not Found, No Ruth Weaver at address given, per Townville Post Office.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, SHeriff

Oct. 1, 1991 Complaint reinstated.

Oct. 25, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Ruth Weaver personally on October 17, 1991 at 10:50 PM by handing to her a copy of the Complaint at R.D. #3, Cochranton, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 13, 1991 Answer filed.

May 6, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for appointment of Arbitrators, the following Arbitrators are appointed.
Paul E. Allen, Chr.
Peter Blystone
Robert Felton

June 5, 1992 Arbitrators take qualifying oath.

Aug. 7, 1992, Notice of Intent of plaintiff to Offer Documents in Evidence filed.

And now, the 11th day of September, 1992, we the undersigned Arbitrators chosen in this case, after having been duly sworn and having heard the evidence and allegations of the parties find in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant in the sum of $1,468.76, being 75% of recovery under Compensation Negligence law.
W - 144
Paul E. Allen, Chairman
Peter E. Blystone
Robert J. Felton

Sept. 11, 1992 copies of decision mailed to Budde & given to Peters

And now, Oct. 15, 1992 at 2:08 P.M. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney judgment is hereby entered in the above captioned matter in accordance with decision of the Board of Arbitrators entered thereon.
W--291

Debt	$1,468.76
Costs of Suit	95.75

Barbara H. King
Prothonotary

Dec. 15, 1992, Upon praecipe of p laintiff attorney, received satisfaction in full of this judgment, debt, interest and costs, and hereby discharge same of record.

1991

~~Balph, Nicolls, Mitsos, Flannery & Motto~~
Dominick Motto
Cusick, Madden, Joyce and McKay
Peter C. Acker

831.

~~Cusick, Madden, Joyce and McKay~~ in error

CSX TRANSPORTATION

vs.

WILLIS VAUGHN

Filed Aug. 28, 1991
2:07 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	24.00
Pro Co pd	9.00

SUMMON IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Sept. 12, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN; filed. SERVED Willis Vaughn personally on Sept. 6, 1991 at 3:16 PM in the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Crawford County, PA. So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 23, 1991 Complaint in Civil Action filed.

Jan. 6, 1992 Default Notice filed

Apr. 28, 1994 And Now, judgment is entered in plaintiff's favor and against defendant in the amount of One thousand six hundred eighty-four dollars and 84/100 plus interest and costs of suit.

Entered 4-28-94 Debt: $1,684.84 plus int. and costs
V - 173 JUDGMENT
Barbara H. King, Pro.

1991

Donald E. Lewis

832.

Wm. A. Kuhar

THOMAS W. LONGSTRETH

vs.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Filed Aug. 28, 1991
@ 3:40 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2 copies (atty)

Aug. 29, 1991, Petition for Writ of Supersedeas, Motion and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 28 day of August 1991, upon motion by the appeallant, a hearing is set on said appeal for the 4th day of Dec. 1991 at 9:30 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, pa.

Further, notice of said Appeal and of this order of court are to be given forthwith by Appellant's atty. to the appropriate representatives of the Appellatee by first class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid.

Finally, said appeal shall act as a supersedeas to Appellee's operating privileges.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

2 copies (atty)

Sept. 11, 1991 VERIFICATION OF SERVICE, filed. I, Donald E. Lewis, Esq., do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for the above named Appellant, and that as such I am authorized to make this verification; that I did on the 30th day of Aug., 1991, send to the above named Appellee, by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested, atrue and correct copy of the Notice of Appeal, including Petition for Writ of Supersedeas and Order filed at the above term and number; and that I did on the 9th dayof Sept. 1991, receive a duly executed return receipt dated Sept. 5, 1991.

Oct. 3, 1991 Motion To Continue and Order filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of September, 191, the above Motion is granted and hearing is rescheduled for the 30 day of December, 1991 at 9:30 o'clock a.m. in Court Room No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

Gordon R. Miller,

Oct. 3, 1991 Copies of order to Atty's Kuhar and Lewis

Jan. 3, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Dec. 30, 1991, on motion of counsel for the appellant, this matter is continued one final time because counsel represents that he did not advise his client as to the date and time of the hearing and the appellant is not present.

ACCORDINGLY, this case is continued until Tuesday, Feb. 25, 1992, at 11:00 a.m. The appellant shall be granted no furhter continuances.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan. 3, 1992, copies to D. Lewis and Atty. Kuhar

Feb. 27, 1992, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, feb. 25, 1992, after hearing on the Petitioner's petition for writ ofsupersedeas, his appeal is dismissed and the suspension imposed by the department of Transportation is affirmed.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Feb. 27, 1992, copies distributed to Attys. D. Lewis and Wm. a. Kuhar Jr.

Feb. 27, 1992, Exhibit filed.

1991

Christopher J. Youngs
Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs

833.

~~Gerald Sullivan~~

GEORGE D. BOYER

vs.

SUSAN MARIE GLANCY

Filed Aug. 28, 1991
@ 3:44 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
State paid	5.00
John Fuller, Mediator	$150.00
	9.00

PETITION FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Susan Marie Glancy, dft. have been sued in court to obtain custody of the children: Goerge D. Boyer, Jr. and Tammy Boyer.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, a order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar. Assn. Ser. to the Pub. Comm. c/o Prothonotary, Crawford countycourthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335. Tele: 814 724-4636.

Date: 8/29/91

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Sept. 20, 1991 Proof of Service filed. I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the Petition for Custody was mailed to Susan Marie Glancy by Certified Mail No.: P 966 070 227, restricted delivery, return receipt requested on September 4, 1991 and received on September 5, 1991. Said receipt attached as Exhibit "A".

Christopher J. Youngs

Oct 23, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, October 21, 1991, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of George D. Boyer, Jr. and Tammy Boyer, shall be shared between the father, George D. Boyer, and the mother, Susan Marie Glancy, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such as the children's medical treatment, education and religious instruction.

II. Physical custody of George D. Boyer, Jr. and Tammy Boyer shall be with their father, effective September 24, 1991, subject to the following conditions:

A. The mother shll be entitled to have Tammy Boyer in her custody every other weekend, beginning Saturday, September 28, 1991 from 10:00 a.m. Saturday morning until 6:00 p.m. Sunday evening.

B. The mother shall be entitled to have George D. Boyer, Jr. in her custody every other weekend, beginning Saturday, October 5, 1991, from 10:00 a.m. Saturday morning until 6:00 p.m. Sunday evening.

C. Holidays shall be defined as Thanksgiving, Christmas and Easter. The parties shall share custody of the children on the aforesaid three holidays and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the children shall be in the home of the father on the above three holidays until 1:00 p.m. and in the home of the mother from 1:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m. on the aforesaid three holidays.

D. The mother shall be entitled to have the children in her custody for two one (1) week periods each Summer, beginning with the Summer of 1992. The mother shall give the father at least thirty (30) days notice of the two one (1) week periods in which she wishes to have the children in her custody.

E. Transportation of the children on weekends, holidays and Summer visits shall be divided between the parents so that the parent receiving custody of the children shall provide the transportation.

F. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

G. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the chidlren's health or well being.

H. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding one or both of the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days fo the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided with the father paying one-half of the cost thereof and the remaining one-half being paid by Crawford County.

P. Richard Thomas,
S. Judge

Oct 25, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys Youngs, Sullivan, and Fuller's boxes and copy mailed to Plaintiff.

Oct. 29, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 25, 1991 upon receipt and review of a letter from the dft. which the court treats as exceptions a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 3 day of Dec. 1991 at 2:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse,

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller P.J.

NOv. 4, 1991 copies to C. Youngs, G. Sullivan and Susan Glancy at Lot #31, Star Route Estates Meadville, Pa. 16335.

Nov. 8, 1991 Motion For Continuance and Order filed. AND NOW, upon consideration of the of the foregoing Motion for Continuance, the hearing scheduled for December 3, 1991 at 2:30 p.m. is rescheduled for Dec. 9, 1991 10:00 a.m.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Nov. 13, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's. Youngs and Sullivan

Nov. 27, 1991 Motion To Withdraw and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 27th day of Nov. 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, Gerald Sullivan, Esq., is hereby granted permission to withdraw as attorney for defendant.

By The Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Nov. 27, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty.Gerald Sullivan; Dec. 3, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Christopher J. Youngs.

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 461.....

1991

834.

MARIJO LYDA

vs.

MARC BOARDMAN

Filed Aug. 28, 1991
@ 4:32 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's ccsts	26.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies (sheriff)
1 copy (plff)

TEMPORARY ABUSE ORDER:

And now, this 28 day of Aug. 1991, upon review of the petition of plaintiff, the court enters the following temporary order.

1. The defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the plaintiff.

2. Special conditions: Defendant shall not contact plaintiff. Defendant shall not go to plaintiffs residence.

3. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.

4. A copy of this order shall be delivered tothe police departments in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to county control.

5. Service of a copy of the petition and this order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.

6. This temporary order shall remian in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.

7. The defendant is reminded that his is a order of court and violation of this order may be punsihable by afine up to $1,000 and a jail sentenc eof up to six months.

8. The court reserves ruling on allocation of fees and costs. Hearing is hereby set for Sept. 10, 1991 at 3:30 p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain a lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Aug. 30, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Marc Boardman personally on August 29, 1991 at 11:55 AM at the residence, R.D. #2, Box 413, Lot 52, Countryside Estates, Conneautville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sher;iff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 16, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW,September 10, 1991, after informal hearing and the indicationfromthe parties that they do not intend to continue any relationship with one another at the present time, the defendant is ORDERED not to assault, abuse, harass or threaten the plaintiff at any time or at any place.

This Order shall continue infull force and effect for a period of six (6) months formthis date.

The defendant shall pay the record costsinthis matter within sixty (60) days ofthis date.

BY ORDEROF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Sept. 17, 1991 Copies of order to plaintiff, defendant and County Control

1991

835.

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED
INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

GEORGE POPOVICH

Filed Aug. 29, 1991

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Health P¼rocedures Act of 1976-Sect. 303

1991

836

MARGARET E. KRAUS - BACCUS

vs.

BLAKE S. BACCUS

Filed Aug. 29, 1991
@ 4:15 p.m.

Tax & entry Pd 9/10/91 $50.50
state Pd 9/10/91 5.00
Pro Co Pd 9/10/91 $5.00
Sheriffs costs 54.50

Recd. 9/10/91 Sheriffs costs of $54.50

9/11/91 Paid by Howard N. Stewart, Sheriffs costs Check # 6392 $54.50

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies (sheriff)
1 copy (plff)

TEMPORARY ABUSE ORDER: filed Aug. 29, 1991. AND NOW, this 29th day of Aug., 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Corut enters the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Sp.ecial Conditions: (none)
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer h aving reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the Defendant bya sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and **violation of this Order may be punishable bya fine up to $1,000 and a jail sentence of up to six months.**
8. The court reserves ruling on allocation of fees and costs.

Hearing is hereby set for Sept. 11, 1991, at 3:30 p.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. **The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.**

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 29, 1991 3 copies Sheriff, 1 copy Plaintiff

Sept. 10, 1991 Affidavit of Service from Erie County filed. Served the within Blake S. Baccus on Sept. 31, 1991 at 3:53 p.m. at 303 Chord Rd. Spring St. Erie County, Corry by handing to him a true and correct copy of Petition and order and made known to him the contents thereof.

Robert n. Michel, Erie County Sheriff
Jeff Guild, Dep.

Sept 10, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney this action is marked discontinued as the undersigned does not want to proceed further with it.

1991

Warren D. Ferry
Joseph Kulwicki ±

837.

Quinn, Gent, Buseck, & Leemhuis, Inc
John M. Quinn, Jr.
Meadville Medical Center, Brian McIntosh
Kenneth Laird

MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton
J. Douglas Murphy
for dfts. McGuffin and Diagnostic Med. Imaging Inc.

Grogan, Graffam, McGinley & Lucchino, PC
Joseph A. Macerelli
John J. Talarico, DC

GEORGIA LONG AND ORRIE LONG, HER HUSBAND

vs.

THOMAS V. McGUFFIN, M.D.: DIAGNOSTIC MEDICAL IMAGING, INC.,: BRIAN McINTOSH, M.D.: KENNETH LAIRD, M.D.: MEADVILLE MEDICAL CENTER, A CORPORATION, AND JOHN J. TALARICO, D.C.

Filed Aug. 29, 1991
@ 4:23 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	83.00
Pro Co. pd 9-2-92	6.00

Jury Trial Requested

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued 1 copy (sheriff)
1 copy (atty)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED.

Sept. 19, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, STEPHANIE BELLAS, being duly sworn according to law, depose andsay that I am anadult individual, employed by the law office of Joseph F. Kulwicki, III,and as such, on Wednesday, September 4, 1991, I personally amiled the Writ of Summons in the above captioned action by United States First Class Mail, Restricted Delivery, to DR. THOMAS V. McGUFFIN, at his last known address of 517 20th Street SW, Rochester, Minnesota, 55902. Dr. Thomas V. McGuffin accepted service of said Writ onSeptember 10, 1991, as evidenced by Certified Mail receipt no. P 724 954 550, attached hereto.
FURTHER AFFIANT SIATH NOT. Stephanie Bellas

Sept. 1991 Appearance of Quinn, Gent, Buseck & Leemhuis, Inc by JOhn M. Quinn, Jr. for Defendants Meadville Medical Center, Brian McIntosh, M.D. and Kenneth Laird, M.D. filed.

Sept. 19, 1991 Upon praecipe of Atty. Quinn a Rule is hereby issued upon Plaintiff to File a Complaint.

Sept. 24, 1991, Appearance of MacDonald, Illig, Jones and Britton, by J. Douglas Murphy, Esq., attorneys for defendants Thomas V. McGuffin, M.D., and Diagnostic Medical Imaging, Inc. filed.

Sept. 30, 1991 Appearance of Grogan, Graffam, McGinley and Lucchino, P.C. by Joseph A. Macerelli for JOhn J. Talarico, D.C. filed.

Oct. 23, 1991 Upon praecipe of Attorney for defendants Thomas V. McGuffin M D., and Diagnostic Medical Imaging, Inc., rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Oct 31, 1991 Complaint in Civil Action filed. (4 copies) Sheriff.

Nov. 19, 1991, Amended Certificate of Mailing filed. I. Jos. F. kulwicki Esq. hereby certify that I caused a true and correct copy of the attached complaint in civil action to be forwarded to all counsel of record, as listed below, onthe 7th day of Nov. 1991 by regular mail, postage pre-paid. Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq.

Nov. 22, 1991 Preliminary Objections in the Nature of Petition Raising a Question of Lack of Personal Jurisdiction filed.

Nov. 22, 1991 Upon praecipe of attorneys for defendatn B. McIntosh, preliminary objection listed on next argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR DECEMBER 1991

Nov. 26, 1991 Answer, New Matter and Crossclaim filed.

Dec. 2, 1991, Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Dec. 2, 1991, Notice of Service of Interrogatories to Dft. McIntosh filed.

Dec. 2, 1991, Notice of Service of Request for production to Meadville Medical Center filed.

Dec. 2, 1991 notice of Servcie of Request for Production to Brian McIntosh, M.D. filed.

Dec. 2, 1991 notice of Service of Request for productionto Kenneth Laired, M.D. filed.

Dec. 9, 1991 Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Dec.9, 1991 Answer of Plaintiffs to Preliminary Objections of Defendant Brian McIntosh, M. D. Filed.

Dec. 20, 1991 ASSIGNMENT OF ARGUMENT DATE, filed. And now, Dec. 20, 1991, argument on the above matter is set for Jan. 27, 1992, at 10:00 AM, in Cortroom 2, before Anthony J. Vardaro, J. FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator
Jan. 3, 1992 copy in box for J.Kulwicki. Sent copy to W. Ferry, J. Quinn, J. D. Murphy & J. Macerelli

Dec. 27, 1991 WRIT RETURNED. I hereby deputize the Sheriff of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania to serve the within Summons according to law. The defendants allege address is 1201 E. Carson St., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15203.
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff, Crawford County

SERVED the within Summons on 9-16-1991, at 10:30 AM upon John J. Talarico, D.C. at 1201 E. Carson St., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15203 by handing to John J. Talarico, D.C. a true and correct copy thereof and made known to him the contents therein. Eugene L. Coon Sheriff, Allegheny County

Continued on Page 460

1991

~~Mary Ann Kirkpatrick~~ (withdrawn)

838.

Barbara J.Walton

KIMBERLY A. COUNSELMAN

vs.

BRIAN COUNSELMAN

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY, CHILD SUPPORT, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, EXPENSES EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION AND CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Filed Aug. 30, 1991
@ 8:48 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
3 counts paid	45.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	20.00
Pro. Co. pd 12/11/92	9.00

John Fuller, Mediator

Aug 11, 1993 $150.00 received from Defendant.
9-14-93 Pd deft Ch# 7781 75.00
9-22-93 Pd Lb Ch# 7794 75.00

10-4-93 Received from Plff 20.00 Medfee
10-26-93 med fee Pd Lb ch#7874 20.00

Sept. 3, 1991, Motion to Refer Matter to Child Custody Mediator and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, August 30, 1991, the claim for child custody raised inthe divorce complaint is referred to JOHN FULLER, ESQ. CHILD CUSTODY MEDITOR for mediation.

By the Court, 1 copy (atty)
Gordon R. Miller, J. 1 copy (mediator)

Sept. 9, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, September 6th, 1991, comes Constance L. Deitman being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legalsecreatry of Attorney for, Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished here by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P 643 856 067; postmarked September 4, 1991, to the last known address of the defendant, brian Ccunselman, R.D. #2, Box 198, Cochranton, PA 16314.
3. That the Defendant, Brian Counselman, on September 5, 1991, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above-matter and which is attached hereto.
4. Service of the Complaint agianst Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Constance L. Deitman

Oct. 23, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 21, 1991, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esq., IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Bradley Allen Counselman, Gregory Roger Counselman, Eric Gibson Counselman and Kristine Leigh Counselman, shall be shared between the plaintiff, Kimberly A. Counselman, and the defendant, Brian Counselman, that is, each party shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each party shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such as the children's medical treatment, education and religious instruction.

II. Physical custody of Kristine Leigh Counselman shall be with Kimberly A. Counselman, her step-mother, subject to the following conditions:

A. Beginning Sunday, Oct. 13, 1991, Kristine shall visit her father, Brian Counselman, at his home, from 1:00 p.m. until 5:00 p.m. It is expected that these visits will be increased in the future when Kristine feels more comfortable in the presence of her father. The parties are directed to work towards that goal.

III. Physical custod of Bradley Allen Counselman, Gregory Roger Counselman, and Eric Gibson Counselman, shall be with their mother, Kimberly A. Counselman, effective immediately, and subject to the following conditions:

A. The father, Brian Counselman, shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody the first three (3) weekends of each month effectively immediately, from Friday at 5:00 p.m. until Sunday at 5:00 p.m. The first three weekends shall be deemed to be the weekends in which the first three Fridays of each month fall.

IV. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. Custody of the children on those three holidays shall be shared between the plaintiff and the defendant and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, they shall be in the custody of the plaintiff, Kimberly A. Counselman on those three holidays until 1:00 p.m. and in the custody of the defendant, Brian Counselman, from 1:00 p.m. until 7:00 p.m.

V. Beginning with the Summer of 1992, both the plaintiff and the defendant may have the three children, Bradley, Gregory and Eric, in their custody exclusively for a period of two (2) weeks. The parties shall give the other party at least thirty (30) days notice of the two weeks in which they intend to exercise exclusive custody. During these two week periods there shall be no weekend visits.

VI. Neither party shall consume alcohol while the children are in their custody. The father has agreed and therefore, is ordered to secure a drug and alcohol evaluation from Crawford County Drug and Alcohol Agency.

VII. The parties are encouraged to seek individual and family counseling through Kathy Berkey, M.A., or another appropriate person.

VIII. Transportation of the children on weekend, holiday and Summer visits shall be equally divided, with the parent receiving custody of the children, to provide transportation, that is, when the defendant is to have custody of the children, he will pick up the children at the home of the plaintiff and when the children are to be returned to the home of the plaintiff, the plaintiff will pick up the children at the home of the defendant.

IX. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

X. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

XI. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

XII. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

XIII. Cost of the mediation conference shall be equally between the parties.

P. Richard Thomas, S. Judge

Oct. 28, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Douglas Ferguson and John Fuller.

Dec 10, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

Dec 10, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Dec. 11, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 3301 (c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 288(a).....

KIMBERLY A. COUNSELMAN

VS.

BRIAN COUNSELMAN

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 288

OF

AD 1991 - 838

****

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D

Dec. 18, 1992 Stipulation and final decree filed. AND NOW, this 18th day of December, 1992, it is Ordered and Decreed that **K I M B E R L Y A . C O U N S E L M A N ,** Plaintiff and **B R I A N C O U N S E L M A N ,** Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1. The defendant, Brian Counselman, is awarded all right, title and interest in certain real estate known as the paraties' marital residence located in Fairfield Township, Crawford County, PA., more fully set forth in Crawford County Record Book 11, Page 1080. The plaintiff and defendant as husband and wife shall execute a certain quitclaim deed of the aforesaid property to the defendant, Brian Counselman, upon the entry of this decree in divorce.

2. All personal property currently in the defendant's possession shall be his sole and exclusive property.

3. All personal property currently in the plaintiff's possession shall be her sole and exclusive property.

4. The plaintiff, Kimberly A. Counselman, is awarded the total sum of $8500.00 for her interest in the marital home which shall be paid in the following manner: the sum of $3,782.94 which is currently held in a certain escrow account by Attorney Emil F. Spadafore of Meadville, PA., and the balance of the sum of $4,717.06 directly from the defendant, Brian Counselman, to equal a total sum of $8500.00 to the plaintiff, Kimberly A. Counselman. Said sum shall be paid immediately upon the entry of this decree.

5. The plaintiff and defendant shall become the sole and exclusive owners of all motor vehicles currently titled in their respective names.

ALIMONY

6. Neither party shall be entitled to alimony from the other.

COUNSEL FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES

7. The defendant, Brian Counselman shall pay on behalf of the plaintiff, Kimberly A. Counselman, counsel fees in the total sum of $350.00 with said payment to be made directly to the attorney for the plaintiff, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire. Said payment shall be made immediately upon the entry of this decree.

CUSTODY

8. Custody of the parties' minor children shall be governed in accordance with a certain custody order dated Oct. 21, 1991, at A.D. 1991 - 838.

9. Any party violating any provision of this decree with respect to equitable distribution and/or counsel fees shall be liable to the other party for any and all legal costs and reasonable counsel fees incurred in the enforcement of this decree with regard to alimony and equitable distribution. This paragraph shall not apply to any portion of any custody order concerning the parties' minor children.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Aug. 11, 1993 Petition to Modify Custody and Appoint Mediator and NOTICE filed. You, Kimberly A. Counselman, have been sued in court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take prompt action. These proceedings may affect your child custody and/or visitation rights.

You are ORDERED to appear for a hearing, as soon as hearing can be scheduled, before John Fuller, Esq., Child Custody Mediator, at such time and place as he shall designate.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, and Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
c/o Prothonotary, Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug. 19, 1993 Copy to Attys. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Barbara Walton and John Fuller.

Sep. 10, 1993 Stipulation and Consent Custody Order filed. AND NOW, this 9 day of September, 1993, upon presentation of the Stipulation and Consent of the parties, attached hereto and after consideration of same, it is hereby ORDERED, DIRECTED AND DECREED that:

1. The parties shall have shared legal custody of the children BRADLEY ALLEN COUNSELMAN, GREGORY ROGER CONSELMAN, ERIC GIBSON COUNSELMAN and KRISTINE LEIGH COUNSELMAN, The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regrding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are herebv authorized to rlease to either party any and all confidential information which t hey may have regarding the subject minor children.

2. Primary physical custody of BRADLEY, GREGORY and ERIC shall be with the Father,BRIAN COUNSELEMAN. Provisions of the October 21, 1991 Order relating to KRISTINE shall remain unchanged.

3. The Mother shall have visitation every other weekend,from Friday evening at 6 p.m. until Sunday evening at 6 p.m., and at any and all other times that the parties agree it would be in the best interests of the children to visit.

4. The subject minor children shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

5. Holiday visitation shall be as follows: Mother's Day with the Mother from 9 a.m. to 7 p.m., and Father's Day with the Father, from the same hours.

Other holiday visitation shall continue as set forth in the Order of October 21, 1991.

6. If at any time, the minor children of the parties express a serious and continuing desire to return to live with their mother, the parties agree they shall be allowed to do so.

7. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitation as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reason. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternate visits or make alternative arrangements.

Continued to Page 288 (b)

288 (b)

1991 TERM

Counselman vs Counselman AD 1991 - 838 Continued from Page 288 (a)

8. Each party shall teach the children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the laws of Pennsylvania. The party receiving the children shall provide transportation for visitations.

10. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time which said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. Neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

11. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

12. The parties to this action agree that this Honorable Court retain jurisdiction of this matter.

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

9-10-93 Copy to Atty. Walton. 9-15-93 Copy of Order to Attys. Kirkpatrick & Fuller.

Sep. 7, 1993 Motion for Refund of Mediation Deposit and ORDER filed. AND NOW, September 7, 1993, this Court orders that the Prothonotary's Office refund to Defendant's attorney for Defendant the amount of $150.00 less an amount due and unpaid for Oct. 1991 Mediation.

By the Court:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

9-21-93 Copy of Order to Attys. Walton, Kirkpatrick & Fuller.

Oct. 5, 1993, Motion to allow withdrawal of Appearance and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Oct. 4, 1993, motion to allow Withdrawal of Appearance is hereby granted. By the court,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

Oct. 8, 1993, copies of order to M. Kirkpatrick, JOhn Fuller, Barbara walton.

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

839

REBECCA ANN HULINGS

vs.

TODD LESTER HULINGS

Filed Aug. 30, 1991
@ 9:22 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
State paid	10.00
Pro Co. Pd. 1-21-92	9.00
Pro Co pd 2/27/92	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE
1 copy (Atty)

Sept. 16, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did onSeptember 5, 1991, mail tothe above Defendant, Todd Lester Hulings, by certified mail return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a Complaint inthe abaove divorce action Said notice was received on September 9, 1991, as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's Attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason

Dec. 6, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 27, 1991 Writ Return filed. SERVED the within summons on 9-16-1991, at 10:30 AM, upon John J. Talarico, P.C. at above by handing to John a true and correct copy thereof and made known to him the contents therein.
So answers,

Jerry E. Hanlon, sR. Dep. Sher.
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

I her eby deputize the Sheriff of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania to serve the within Summons according to law.

Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 8, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 21, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Jan. 24, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, January 22, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that Rebecca Ann Hulings, plaintiff, and todd Lester Hulings, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Feb. 27, 1992 Notice Of Election To Retake Former Name Rebecca Ann Hulings TO BE KNOWN AS Rebecca Ann Davis

1991

Terry Toomey

840

ALMALENA KAY BYRNE

vs.

VIRGINIA KAY BYRNE

Filed Sept. 3, 1991
@ 2:12 p.m.

Indigent Filing

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY
1 copy Atty, 1 copy mediator

ORDER:

You, VIRGINIA KAY BYRNE, Defendant, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of the child: Virginia Marie Byrne.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be et by William Pineo, Child CUstody Mediator,

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody may be entered against you or the cour tmay issue a warrant for you arrest.

Your should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
c/o Prothontoary
Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335
Telephone 724-4636

Date: August 30, 1991.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug. 30, 1991 Application for Special Relife filed.

Aug. 30, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, August 30, 1991, upon receipt and review of Plaintiff's application for special relief the Court having jurisdiction over this matter and initially b believing that the minor child has resided with her biological mother, the Plaintiff, since birth, and believing that it is in the best interest of the child that the child be eturned to this county pending a final custody determination on a custody complaint that was filed this date in this county, ORDERES and DIRECTS the Defendant, Virginia Kay Byrne to deliver the child to the Plaintiff Almalena Kay Bryne forthwith, upon service and presentation of a certified copy of this order.

Temporary custody of Virginia Marie Byrne is her eby awarded to Almalena Kay Byrne, the Plaintiff, until further order of Court. The Plaintiff shall not take the child outside of Crawford County. The Plaintiff shall do nothing to adversely affect the health, safety, morals and welfare of the child.

Children & Youth Services of Crawford County and/or Beaver County, Pennsylvania may assist the Plaintiff in a trnsfer of possession nd custody of Virginia Marie Byrne from Virginia Kay Byrne to Almalene Kay Byrne.

3 copies (atty)

BY ORDE ROF THE COURT,
Gorodn R. MIller, J

1991

841

ORDER TO SELECT JURORS
FOR THE 2ND AND 3RD
MONDAY OF OCTOBER, 1991

Filed August 30, 1991
at 10:29

ORDER FOR EIGHTY (80) QUALIFIED PERSONS TO COME
AND SERVE FOR TRIALS COMMENCING OCTOBER 14, 1991

Issued (sheriff)

To Sheriff and Jury Commissioners of Crawford County: We command you, in your official capacity to draw from the jury wheel in accordance with the law the names of eighty persons to serve as Jurors in the Court of Common Pleas, to be held at the Court House in Meadville, PA. commencing October 14, 1991 at 9:00 o'clock a.m.

You, the Sheriff, shall make an appropriate return as to how you have executed this order on the day and date aforesaid.

BY ORDE ROF, the Hon. Gorodn R. Miller, President Judge at Meadville this thirtieth day of August, 1991.

James F. Simmons, Pro

Oct. 31, 1991 Writ returned. I do hereby make return that I have summoned the eighty persons whose names appear on scheduled hereto attached to serve as Jurors at a term of court to be held at Meadville, Pa. commencing on Mon. Oct. 14, 1991.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

The sc hedule hereto atta ched is a correct list ofthe above jurors drawn by us:
Nancy L. Hanes
and Dorothy H. Kennedy
Jury Commissioners

1991

Richard W. Kooman, II

842

Marsh, Spaeder, Baur,
Spaeder & Schaaf
William J. Schaaf

HAROLD A. SLOAN and
BERNICE P. SLOAN, married

vs.

VICTOR J. KINNUNEN

Filed Aug. 30, 1991
@ 11;01 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
Pro.Co. pd 7/3/95	6.00

WRIT OF SUMMONS
Issued
1 copy sheriff; 1 copy atty

Sept. 4, 1991, Writ returned. Summons returned to Prothonotary Office per telephone request from Atyt. Richard W. Kooman.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 9, 1991 Acceptance of SErvice filed. And Now, Sept. 5, 1991, the undersigned hereby accepts service of the writ of summons filed in this a ction. Victor J. Kinnunen, Esq.

Oct. 1, 1991 Appearance of Marsh, spaeder, Baur, Spaeder & Schaaf by William J. Schaaf for defendant filed.

May 15, 1995 Petition to Dismiss For Lack of Prosecution,filed.

May 15, 1995 Upon Praecipe of defendants attorney, this case placed on Argument List, filed.

ON JUNE 1995 ARGUMENT LIST

June 26, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 26 day of June, 1995, upon consideration of defendant's Petition to Dismiss for Lack of Prosecution, it is hereby ORDERED that said Petition is GRANTED and plaintiffs' case is dismissed with prejudice, with consent of Plaintiff's.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

June 26, 1995 Faxed Kooman & Schaaf

Jun. 27, 1995 Upon Praecipe of Richard W.Kooman,II,Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiffs the above-captioned matter is discontinued of record.

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

843

PAMELA LOUISE SIMPSON

vs.

TIMOTHY PAUL SIMPSON

Filed Aug. 30, 1991
@ 12:14 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	10.00
State paid	10.00
Add'l Count paid	15.00
Pro Co pd 9/23/91	9.00
Pro Co pd 10/3/91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY/VISITATION
1 copy atty

SEpt 3, 1991, Defendant's counter-affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Sept. 3, 1991 Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree filed.

Sept 3, 1991 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under section 201(d) of the Divorce code filed.

Sept. 3, 1991 Acceptance of Service by defendant filed. I, the above named defendant, hereby accept service ofthe Complaint in the above action, including 201(d) notification and defendatn's affidavit under 201(d).
Date: 8/30/91 Timothy Paul Simpson.

Sept. 3, 1991 Acceptance of Service of defendant of the Notice of Intent to Praecipe in the above action filed.
Date: 8/30/91 Timothy Paul Simpson/.

Sept 16, 1991, STIPULATION AND CONSENT CUSTODY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 11 day of Sept. 1991 the court upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Stipulation, does hereby Decree as follows:

1. The parties agree that custody of the said minor child, Nicole Ella Davis born Aug. 14, 1986 shall be shared legally between the parties, with Mother having primary custody (physical) of the minor child.

2. Father shall have liberal visitation with the child according to a schedule mutually agreed upon between the parties. As a minimum, Father shall have visitation with the child every other weekend at a time mutually agreeable between Mother and Father.

3. Transportation for visitation with the minor child shall be provided by father. Provided, however, that said transportation cannot be on a motorcycle.

3 copies (atty)

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Sept. 19, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit REcord, this case moved to Court.

Sept. 25, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, September 25, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Pamela Louise Simpson, plaintiff, and Timothy Paul Simpson, defendant, are divorced fromthe bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 3, 1991 Notice Of Election To Retake Maiden Name filed. Pamela L. Simpson TO BE KNOWN AS: Pamela L. Davis

294

1991

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
David L. Hotchkiss

844

Alexander, Garbarino, Kifer & Speer
Charles R. Alexander
Douglas E. Cameron

Reed, Smith, Shaw & McClay
Arthur J. Schwab

FRANCIS L. WILCOX and KAREN S. WILCOX, his Wife

vs.

MEREX, INC., a Corporation and MERIDIAN EXPLORATION CORPORATION

TRANSFERRED ON ~~Filed~~ Sept. 3, 1991 @ 2:06 p.m.

Originally filed Nov. 17, 1987 @ 4:18 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$40.50
Sheriffs costs	56.75
sheriffs costs	57.00

COMPLAINT IN EQUITY

2 copies (sheriff)

Dec. 11, 1987, Sheriffs Return filed. Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared Sgt. John Best who being sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 25 of Nov. 1987 at 12:20 p.m. did not serve Meridian Exploration at 3514 5th Ave Pgh, Pa. ---No such business at address. Sgt. J. Best Dep. Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Robert Noone, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 30 of Nov. 1987, at 2:26 p.m. did not serve Complaint filed at 16 1987 Crawford County Pa. upon Merex Inc. at 400 Penn Center Blvd. Suite 777 Pgh Pa ___Place closed up.

Robert Noone, Dep.Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

Not Found, as to the within named defendatn Merex, Inc as per affidavit received from Allegheny County Sheriff and hereto attached.

Not Found as to within named defendant Meridian Exploration Corp as per affidavit received from Allegheny County Sheriff and hereto attached.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 15, 1987 Complaint Reinstated.

Feb 1, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared James Nelson, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 6 day of Jan, 1988, at 10:50 A.M., he served Comp. equity filed at EQ 1987-16, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Meridian Exploration Corp. at 2800 Gulf Towers, Pgh, Pa. by making known the contents thereof to Michael Linn, President and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy received from Crawford.

James Nelson, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Sgt. J. Best, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 11 day of Jan., 1988, at 11:25 A.M., he served Comp. equity, filed at EQ 1987-16, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Merex, Inc., at 3514 5th aVe., Pgh, Pa. by making known the contents thereof to Jefferey Jacob - P.I.C. and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy received from Crawford

Sgt J. Best, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

SERVED Merex, Inc. by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, Pa, as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Jan 11, 1988.

SERVED Meridian Exploration Corporation by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, Pa, as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Jan 6, 1988.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb 19, 1988 Appearance of Alexander, Garbarino, Kifer & Speer by Charles R. Alexander, Esq. and Reed, Smith, Shaw & McClay by Arthur J. Schwab, Esq. and Douglas E. Cameron, Esq. for Defendants filed.

Mar. 2, 1988 Certification of Service of appearance filed.

Sept 14, 1988 Defendants Merex, Inc's and Meridian Exploration Corporation's Answer and New Matter to Plaintiffs' Complaint in Equity filed.

Oct. 14, 1988, Plaintiff's Reply to Defendants' New Matter filed.

Nov. 28, 1988, Notice of Taking Deposition by Oral Examination Under Pa. R.C.P. No. 4007.1 filed.

Jan. 17, 1989, Notice of Taking Deposition by Oral Examination of William D. Henning, filed.

May 22, 1989 Deposition of William D. Henning filed.

May 23, 1989 Request for Production filed.

July 19, 1991 Plaintiff's Motion for Relief Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 4019 and Preliminary Order filed: AND NOW, this 19th day of July, 1991, upon presentation of Plaintiffs' foregoing Motion for Relief Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 4019, this matter is set down for hearing or argument, as appropriate, as follows:

A rule to show cause is granted and directed to defendants to show cause if any they have. Returnable for hearing and argument August 16, 1991 at 1:30 p.m.

By The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

July 19, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty. Hotchkiss's box and copies mailed to Attys. Alexander, Schwab and Cameron.

Continued on next page.....

July 19, 1991 Motion for Leave to Amend the Pleadiangs Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1033 and to Convert the Action from Equity to Law and Preliminary Order filed: Upon consideration of the foregoing motion, this matter is set down for hearing or argument as follows:

A rule to show cause is hereby entered and directed to the defendants to show cause if any they have as to why the request should not be granted. Returnable for hearing and argument August 16, 1991 at 1:30 p.m.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

July 19, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty. Hotchkiss's box and copies mailed to attys. Alexander, Schwab and Camerson.

Aug. 19, 1991 MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE and ORDER, filed. ORDER: And now, this 16th day of Aug., 1991, Plaintiffs' Motion for a Continuance is granted and the rules to show cause previously entered upon Plaintiffs' Motion for Relief under Pa. R.C.P. 4019 and for Leave to Amend Plaintiffs' Complaint are rescheduled and made returnable Aug. 30, 1991, at 11:00AM at Courtroom 1 or 2.

By the Court
Gordon R. Miller

Aug. 23, 1991 copies in box of Atty. D. Hotchkiss. Mailed copy to Alexander, Schwab and Cameron.

Aug. 30, 1991, Motion for Continuance and Order fILED. ORDER: And Now, Aug. 30, 1991 upon motion of plaintiffs' counsel, the foregoing matter is continued generally.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept. 6, 1991 copies to all counsel of record.

Aug. 30, 1991, Amendment to Plaintiff's Complaint and Order filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, THIS 30th DAY OF AUGUST 1991, upon consideration of plaintiffs' Motion FOR LEAVE TO AMEND THE PLEADINGS AND FOR TRANSFER OF THE MATTER FROM EQUITY TO LAW, AND AFTER ARGUMENT HEARD THIS DAY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. PLAINTIFFS ARE GRANTED LEAVE TO AMEND THE PLEADINGS BY FILING THEIR AMENDMENT TO PLANTIFFS' COMPLAINT IN THE FORM WHICH IS ATTACHED HERETO, WHICH AMENDMENT SHALL BE DEEMED TO BE FILED; AND

2. THIS MATTER IS HEREBY TRANSFERRED FROM EQUITY TO LAW AND THE PROTHONOTARY IS DIRECTED TO TAKE SUCH ACTIONS AS MAY BE REASONABLE INCIDENT TO THE TRANSFER.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. MIller, J.

Sept. 6, 1991 copies to all counsel of record.

Sept. 3, 1991 Plaintiffs' Demand for Jury Trial (Pa. RCP 1007.1) filed.

Oct. 1, 1991 Defendants Merex, Inc.'s and Meridian Exploration Corporation's Answer and New Matter To Amendment To Plaintiffs' Complaint filed.

294
-b-

1991

1991

Apple & Apple
Marylouise Wagner

845

RUSS BERRIE & CO., INC.

vs.

COW SHED, INC.

Filed Aug 30, 1991
@ 2:13 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	23.05
Pro Co. pd. 10-15-91	9.00
Pro Co Pd 2/6/92	5.00

COMPLAINT

Sept 18, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Cow Shed, Inc. on September 9, 1991 at 1:50 p.m. by handing copy of Complaint to William Perfett, Owner, at Route 18, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 15, 1991, 11:39 a.m., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with copy of Notice of Intention to file default judgment attached, judgement is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant in Default of an answer and damages are assessed as follows:

Amount named in Complaint	$1,434.18
Interest from Feb. 28, 1991 to Sept. 28,1991 on $1,434.18	100.39
TOTAL	$1,534.57

C-186
Judgment entered 10/15/91

Debt Judgment $1,534.57
James F. Simmons, Pro.

Jan. 31, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, the above captioned judgment is hereby satisfied of record.

1991

Apple & Apple
Marylouise Wagner

846

NORTH AMERICAN STEEL COMPANY

vs.

TERRY GUTOWSKI i/t/a/d/b/a
MEADVILLE TOOL & MFG., a/k/a
MEADVILLE TOOL

Filed Aug. 30, 1991
@ 2:16 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	21.00
Pro Co pd 10/18/91	9.00
Pro Co. pd 3/30/92	5.00

COMPLAINT

Sept. 11, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. SERVED Terry Gutowski personally on Sept. 5, 1991 at 2:54 PM at h is place of business, Meadville Tool & Mfg., Baldwin ST. Ext., Meadville, Crawford County, PA 16335 So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And now, Oct. 18, 1991 at 11:37 am. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of One Thousand Three Hundred Twenty-six and 99/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

G-165; M-181-181	Debt $1,326.99
judgment entered 10/18/91	Judgment
Notice of entry of judgment mailed 10/22/91	James F. Simmons Prothonotary

EX1992-11

March 30, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney the above captioned judgment marked satisfied.

1991

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

847

WILLIAM A. BRANDT

vs.

HELEN M. BRANDT

Filed Sept. 3, 1991
@ 11:04 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
State paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 1-23-92	9.00
Pro Co Pd 3/9/92	5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 3301(c) of the divorce Code
2 copies atty

Sept. 12, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. Marilou Brown, being duly sworn, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge information and belief.
That the Affiant hand delivered a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce under Section 3301(c) to the Defendant on Sept. 10, 1991 at 10:15 a.m. at Wesbury United Methodist Community, 31 N. Park Ave., Meadville, Pa. 16335.

Oct. 25, 1991 Petition For Appointment of Guardian Ad Litem, Consent of Guardian Ad Litem and ORDER filed. Upon consideration of the foregoing Petition for the Appointment of a Guardian Ad Litem in a divorce action filed at the above number and term, and in consideration of the attached signed consent of Jessie Lee Baker, a son of Helen M. Brandt, the defendant, the said Jessie L. Baker is hereby appointed as guardian ad litem in the aforementioned divorce action.

By The Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct.29, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Bruce Barrett.

Nov. 6, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Bruce A. Barrett, Esq.,, being duly sworn, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.
1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of a Complaint for Divorce under Section 3301(c) was sent by registered mail to the Guardian Ad Litem for the Defendant.
3. The registered mail was sent to the Guardian Ad Litem for the Defendant at 21443 Neilltown Road, Pleasantville, PA 16341 on October 31, 1991. The Sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. The Guardian Ad Litem for the defendant received said registered mail on November 1, 1991. Said receipt card number 320 791 335 is attached hereto and signed by the Guardian ad Litem for the defendant, J. Lee Baker.

Bruce A. Barrett

Jan. 15, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 23, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Jan. 23, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Jan. 29, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, January 28, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that William A. Brandt, Plaintiff, and Helen M. Brandt, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Mar. 9, 1992, Notice of Election to Resume Former Name filed.
TO BE KNOWN AS: HELEN M. BAKER, BY JESSE LEE BAKER, GUARDIAN AD LITEM AND POWER OF ATTORNEY.

1991

848

TAMMY LYNN BURT

vs.

WILLIAM VERNON BURT

Filed Sept. 3, 1991
@ 11:52 a.m.

Tax & entry PH 9/30/91	50.50	
User's fee PH 9/30/91	5.00	
sheriffs costs	21.00	
Prc Co PH 9/30/91	9.00	

9/30/91 Reed. $ 21.00 from Defendant.

9/30/91 Paid $ 21.00 to Howard Stewart, Sheriff by check # 6432

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT
3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

And now, this 3rd day of September, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court enters the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Temporary possession of the parties residence is awarded to plaintiff. Temporary custody of the chidlren is awarded to Plaintiff Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff in any way.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement office rhaving reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is remined that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may b epunishable by a fine up to $1,000 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocation of fees and costs.

Hearing is her eby set for September 13, 1991, at 3:30 p.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The Defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to repreent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
GORDON R. MILLER,J

Sept 4, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED William Vernon Burt personally on Sept. 3, 1991 at 3:20 p.m. at his place of employment, Starlite Tool, McMath Road, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
Robert M. Stevens, Dep. Sheriff
Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

Sept. 13, 1991 Stipulation To Entry Of Protection From Abuse Order and Order filed. AND NOW, this 13 day of September, 1991 it is hereby Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed as follows:

1. Neither party shall assault, abuse, harass or threaten th eother or any other member of the household.
2. The Plaintiff shallhave sole and exclusive right to occopy and maintain the former marital residence of the parties located at R.D. #9, Box 1024, Mullen Road, Meadville, Pennsylvania until January 13, 1992, with excpetions as set forth herein.
3. Temporary custody of the parties' minor children is hereby awarded to the Plaintiff subject to temporary partial custody rights hereinafter set forth.
4. The Defendant shall have partial custodial rights with regard to the minor children of the parties and shall have the right to take said children with him at the following times:
   A. On Friday, September 20, 1991 at 6:00 p.m. until Sunday, September 22, 1991 at 7:00 p.m. and every other weekend thereafter throughout the time frame of this Court Order.
   B. Each Tuesday and Thursday evening, commencing with September 17, 1991 from 6:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m. the same say.
   C. On Christmas day, December 25, 1991 from 11:00 a.m. until 8:00 p.m.
   D. In addition, the children shallhave the right to call their father and talk toh im on the telephone at reasonable times, it being contemplated that it shall be reasonable to expect telephone contact between parent and child at a maximum of one (1) hour per week.
5. The custody and partial custody provisions of this order are intended to be a temporary order and shall expire and terminate on January 13, 1992.
6. The defendant shall have th eright to present himself at the former marital residence at the times designated for exercise of his partial custodial visitation with the parties' minor children and for the return therefrom, however, this right shall extend only to the front door of the former marital residence and is not intended to give to the defendant the right to entry into said structure.
7. Defendant shall attend counselling on a weekly basis for the duration of this Order, provided said counselling is paid for by the defendant's group health insurance plan. Otherwise, defendant shall attend counselling on a monthly basis with each session consisting of 1 (1) hour session.
8. Defendant shall pay to Joseph Tinko, Attorney for Plaintiff, the sum of Three Hundred Fifty ($350.00) Dollars no later than September 30, 1991, to be applied toward Plaintiff's attorney's fees.
9. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by an law enforcement Officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
10. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police Department in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
11. This Order, except as to the provisions regarding custody and visitation, shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further Order of Court and in no event shall extend beyond four months from this date (January 13, 1992).

Costs to be paid by Def on or before 10/1/91

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Sept. 17, 1991 Copies of order to Atty's. Tinko and Irwin and to Police Dept. and County Control

1991

Halliday & Halliday
Michael Halliday

849

Devine and Kuftic
David R. Devine

DAVID J. WEAVER and
PATRICIA L. WEAVER, husband
and wife

vs.

RUTH COGAN and SHIRLEY
McCLAIN, d/b/a CENTURY 21,
McCLAIN REALTORS,

Filed Sept. 3, 1991
@ 2:06 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	61.00

WRIT OF SUMMONS
Issued -(Sheriff)

Sept. 27, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

Sept. 30, 1991, Service of the foregoing Rule accepted by Michael Halliday, attorney for plaintiff

Oct. 25, 1991 Complaint in Civil ACtion filed. 4 copies (atty)

Nov. 19, 1991 WRIT RETURNED. SERVED the within Writ of Summons on Sept. 23, 1991, at 11:30 am, upon Shirley McClain, d/b/a Century 21 Real Estate at Century 21, McClain Realtors, 119 Erie St., Erie County, Edinboro, Pa. by handing to Shirley McClain a true and correct copy thereof and made known to her the contents therein.

SERVED THE WITHIN Writ of Summons on Sept. 24, 1991 atl:30 pm upon Ruth Cogan d/b/a Century 21 Real Estate at McClain Realtors, 311 Waterford St., Erie County, Edinboro, Pa. by handing to Robert Cogan, herhusband a true and correct copy therof and made known to him the contents therein.

Robert N. Michel, Sheriff Erie County
William Parker, Deputy

See Affidavit of Service endorsed hereon by Sheriff of Erie County, Pa., which is part of this return.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff Crawford Co., Pa.

May 10, 1993 Answer to Complanit in Civil Action filed.

1991

pro se

850.

MARGIE B. CLOYD

vs.

JOHN A. CLOYD

Filed Sept. 4, 1991
@ 10:38 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
State paid	10.00
Pro Co Paid 12-9-91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c)
OR 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE
1 copy plaintiff

Sept. 4, 1991 Voluntary Acceptance of Service of a True and Correct Copy of the Complaint In Divorce filed. I, John A. Cloyd, defendant in the above-ca ptioned divorce proceedings, do her eby knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily acknowledge and accept receipt of a true and correct copy of the Complaint In Divorce filed at No. I hereby accept service of the Complaint In Divorce this 4 day of September, 1991.
John A. Cloyd

Dec 9, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec 9, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec 9, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Dec. 19, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D**

AND NOW, December 17, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Margie B. Cloyd, plaintiff, and John A. Cloyd, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

851

ANDREA RITTER

vs.

PETE CORRETTI

Filed Sept. 4, 1991
@ 1:08 p.m.

Tax & entry	50.50
User's Fee	5.00
Sheriff's costs	25.00
(costs waived by Ct. order)	

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT
3 copies sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

And now, this 4 dayof Sept., 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court enters the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass , or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Def may not contact Pl. Pl may remove clothing for self & child & TV 19" colored personal effects from house. def. may not go to residence at 392 Findley Ave.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe i it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may b epunishable by a fine up to $1000 and a jail setence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocation of fees and costs.

Hearing is hereby set for Sept. 12, 1991, at 3:30 p.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

. 1991 at 3:36 p.m. at 5, pl

Sept 9, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Pete Corretti personally on September 1991 at 3:36 p.m. at his place of employment 7 Pete's Body Shop, Route 27, Star Route, Meadville, Crawford Co., Pa.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 16, 1991 ORDER filed. And Now, Sept. 12, 1991 the plaintiff appeared and indicated she wishes to discontinue this action but that shedoes not have sufficient funds to pay court costs.

The court is satisfied that the plaitniff does not have sufficient funds to pay court costs but is not satisfied about the financial capacity of the defendant who was not present in court at the hearing.

Accordingly, court costs are waived in this case.

When the plaintiff files a settlement and discontinuance of this action, which we assume she will do immediately, a copy fothe settlement and discotninuance or a copy of this order shall be delivered to the police dept. that received the temporary Abuse Order of Sept. 4, 1991 and a copy shall be delivered to County Control so that both those organizations know that there is no longer an abuse order entered in this case as of this date.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Sept 16, 1991, copy to County Control; copies to plff, dft. and police dept.

Sept 16, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff, this action discontinued as the undersigned does not want to proceed further with it.

1991

Bettie J. Peterson-Muth

852.

JAMES E. KIPP

vs.

DAWNELL R. KIPP

Filed Sept. 4, 1991
@ 4:02 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	15.00
Pro.Co. pd 12/17/92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 2, 1992 Affidavit of Consent by James E.Kipp, Plaintiff, filed.

Dec. 2, 1992 Affidavit of Service by Mail filed. Bettie J. Peterson-Muth,Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the attorney for the plaintiff,James E.Kipp, and that she did mail a true and correct copy of theComplaint in Divorce filed in the above matter, by certified mail, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, to the defendant, Dawnell R. Kipp, on September 10, 1991, at her last known address, R.D.#7, Box 332, Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania 16335. The signed receipt is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

Bettie J. Peterson-Muth

Dec. 14, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec. 17, 1992 Settlement Agreement filed.

Dec. 17, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Dec. 30, 1992 AND NOW, this 29th day of December, 1992, the Court, by virtue of the authority vested in it by law, decrees that **JAMES E. KIPP** and **DAWNELL R. KIPP** are hereby divorced from the bonds of matrimony, and all the duties, rights and claims accorded to either of the said parties at any time heretofore, in pursuance of said marriage, shall henceforth cease and determine, and the said parties shall severally be at liberty to marry again as if they had never been married.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED, pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1920.1, et seq. & 23 P.S. §1, et seq., "The Divorce Code", that the terms, provisions, and conditions of a certain Settlement Agreement between the parties dated December 7, 1992 and attached to this Decree and Order by reference as fully as though the same were set forth herein at length. Said agreement shall no merge with but shall survive this Decree and Order.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

853.

Dailey, Restifo & Dailey
Edward J. Niebauer

WILLIAM VANCE WHITE

vs.

LINDA KAY WHITE (Cloud)

Filed Sept. 5, 1991
@ 2:43 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	15.00
Pro Co 2-4-92	9.00
William Pineo Mediator	177.00
Pro Co Pd 7-15-92	9.00

Received from Defendant 7-1-92 mediators Costs 88.50

Received from Plaintiff 7-15-92 mediators Costs 88.50

Pd Cr Comm Med. fee 9-14-92 177.00 ch#7046

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 6, 1991 Affidavit Of Acceptance Of Service filed. I, Linda Kay White, Defendant in the above captoined case, being duly sworn according to law, do hereby certify that I did receive a certified copy of the complaint in divorce, on September 5, 1991, at the office of MasonandMason, Attorneys, 973 Liberty Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335.

Linda Kay White

Dec. 27, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Dec. 27, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

Feb. 4, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Feb. 13, 1992 **S T I P U L A T I O N A N D D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 12 day of February, 1992, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. **DIVORCE:** Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint, and an affidavit has been file dby each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. **REAL ESTATE:** Defendant, Linda Kay White shall transfer to Plaintiff, William Vance White, all of her right, title and interest in the jointly owned residential real estate located at Greenwood Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, in exchange for which the Plaintiff, William Vance White shall assume full responsibility for all debts regarding said property, consisting of the mortgage balance owed to first National Bank of Pennsylvania, and any real estate taxes related thereto.

3. **VEHICLES:** A. Plaintiff shall transfer to the Defendant, all of his right, title and interest to the 1991 Astro conversion van, which is presently jointly titled, in consideration for which Defendant shall assume full responsibility for the balance on said vehicle (approximately $14,600.00), owed to McDowell National Bank.

B. Defendant shall transfer to the Plaintiff, all of her right, title and interest to the 1985 Buick Skylark, which is presently jointly titled, in considerationfor which Plaintiff shall assume full responsibility for the balance on said vehicle (approximately $4,085.00), owed to McDowell National BAnk.

4. **HOUSEHOLD FURNISHINGS AND TANGIBLE PERSONL PROPERTY:** All furniture, furnishings, appliances and lawn items, utitlized within and in connection with the jointly owned residential real estate noted above, shall become the sole and separate property of the Plaintiff, William Vance White, with the exception of the following items, which shall belong solely to Linda Kay White: walnut desk, nightstand made by her father, Zane Grey books, and General Electric under the counter radio/tape deck.

5. **PPG SAVINGS PLAN, PENSION AND RETIREMENT BENEFITS:** Both parties hereto are employed at PPG Industries. Plaintiff is in the process of withdrawing PPG savings titled to him, in the amount of approximately $8,000.00, which shall be utilized primarely for the benefit of the parties' 18 year old daughter, Tara Leigh White, who plans to attend ICM College in Pittsburgh, for a 15 month secretarial course of study. Plaintiff shall pay approximately one-half of the expenses of said schooling for his daughter, from his aofrementioned savings withdrawal, and shall be the sole and separate responsibility of the Defendant.

Defendant shall make no claim to Plaintiff's pension and retirement and other benefits through his employment at PPG.

Plaintiff makes no claim to any pension or retirement or other benefits Defendant may have through PPG.

6. **DEBTS:** The parties hereto agree that:

A. Defendant, wife, Linday Kay White, shall assume the following debts:

1. Sears, approximate balance $4,600.00
2. Penney's approximate balance $280.00
3. Beneficial Finance approximate balance $470.00
4. Mastercard approximate balance $2,200.00
5. Fashion Bug, approximate balance $325.00
6. Carlisle's, approximate balance $320.00

B. Plaintiff husband, Williance Vance White, shall assume full responsibility for the Agway debt and account.

7. **CUSTODY:** Primary legal custody of the parties' two minor children; Wade William White, age sixteen and a half (16½), Kip Aaron White, age eleven and a half (11½), shall be with their father, William Vance White, with liberal temporary custody to be granted to their mother, LInda Kay White. Linda Kay White shall contact William Vance White to request and make arrangements for said temporary custody, whichis anticipated to be exercised either in the home of the father or in the home of maternal grandmother, Virginia Williams. The parties shall make arrangements for an equal division of holidays, including Christmas, Thanksgiving, Easter, Memorial Day, July 4th and Labor Day.

8. **WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS:** Neither party hereto shall require th eother party hereto to file an inventory and appraisement as would otherwise have been required by the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Each party is aware of the debts and assets acquired during the marriage.

9. **WAIVER OF CLAIMS:** Neither party hereto shall make any claim against the other party hereto, except for enforcement of the rights and resonsibilities set forth in this decree.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Continued on page 468

1991

Ferguson & Spataro
JOhn F. Spataro

854.

KEITH A. BOECKENHAUER

vs.

DIXIE L. BOECKENHAUER

Filed Sept. 5, 1991
@ 3:22 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 1/3/92	9.00
Pro Co pd 2/2/93	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 10, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of crawford County, Pennsylvania, on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated September 6, 1991. The Defendant acknowledged service on September 19, 1991, by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

John F. Spataro

dec. 10, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 10, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 3, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record - Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is hereby moved to court.

Jan. 13, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, January 10, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that Keith A. Boeckenhauer, plaintiff, and Dixie L. Boeckenhauer, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THEJ COURT,
GORDON R. MILLER, J

Feb. 2, 1993 Notice Of Election To Retake Maiden Name filed. Dixie L. Boeckenhauer TO BE KNOWN AS: Dixie L. bailey

1991

Donald E. Lewis

855.

RONALD E. ALLEN, APPELLANT

vs.

COMMONWEALTH FO PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Filed Sept. 5, 1991
@ 4:11 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Sept. 6, 1991, Petition for Writ of Supersedeas, Motion and Order filed. 2 copies (atty)
ORDER: AND NOW, this 6th day of Sept. 1991 upon Motion by the Appellant, a hearing is set on said Appeal for the 30th day of Dec. 1991 at 9:30 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawfor County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

Further, notice of said Appeal and of this order of court are to be given forthwith by Appellant's attorney to the appropriate representatives of the Appellee by forwarding a true and correct copy of same to the Appellee by First Class U. S. Mail, postage prepaid.

Finally, said Appeal shall act as a supersedeas to Appellees suspension of Appellant's operating privileges. This court reserves the right to determine any credit to be given to Petitioner on his suspension at a later date.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct. 22, 1991 Verificaton of Service filed. I, donald E. Lewis, Esq. do hereby vertify that I am the atty. of record for the above named appellant and that as such I am authorized to make this verification; that I did on the 11th day of Oct. 1991. send to the above named appellee, by cert. mail, addressee only, ret. rec. req. a true and attested copy of the Order, Motion Petition for Writ of Supersedeas filed at the above term and no.; and that I did on the 21st day of Oct. 1991 receive a duly executed ret. rec. dated Oct. 16, 1991 Donald E. Lewis, Esq.

Apr. 8, 1992 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, April 7, 1992, defendant's appeal from the suspension of his driver's license is dismissed. BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 8, 1992 Copies distributed to atty's Lewis & Kuhar

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

856.

NEIL J. KLINGLER

vs.

SANDRA J. KLINGLER

IN RE:
CUSTODY OF SHANE A. KLINGLER

Filed Sept. 6, 1991
@ 9:28 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Bruce L. Smith, mediator	159.28
	9.00

Pmt to Atty 11/6/91

11/4/91 Recd $79.64 From Defendant

11/6/91 Recd $ 79.64 From Plaintiff

Recd 12-2-91
$79.64
Co. Comm.

Recd 12-2-91
$79.64
Co. Comm

COMPLAINT FOR CHILD CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:
AND NOW, Sept. 6, 1991 this matter is referred to Bruce L. SMith, Esq. child custody mediator for mediation.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

Sept. 17, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, September 16, 1991, comes Constance L. Deitman being duly sworn according to alw, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legal secretary of Attorney for, Plaintiff inthe above-captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy ofthe Complaint inDivorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P 643 856 066; postmarked September 6, 1991, to the last known address of the defendant, Sandra J. Klinger, Colorado Road, R.D. #1, Grand Valley, PA 16420.

3. That the dEfendant, Sandra J. Klinger, on September 9, 1991, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above-matter and which is attached hereto.

4. Serviceof the Complaint against dEfendant is therefore effected in accordance withPennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Constance L. Deitman

Oct 23, 1991 Temporary Order of Court filed: AND NOW, this 21 day of October, 1991, after Mediation and upon recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

The natural parents of Shane A. Klinger, age four, the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of the said minor child. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitled both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the child held by a third party, including, but not limited to, school and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to the educational and religious upbringing of the child, as well as to elective surgery.

2. Primary physical custody of the child shall continue to be shared. Only the father's time of physical custody shall be described; the remainder of the child's time shall be spent with the mother. Physical custody of the child for the Plaintiff father shall be as follows:

a. Beginning with the week of October 13, 1991, being called the first week, the father shall have the child from Wednesday at 5:00 p.m., prevailing time, through Friday at 5:00 p.m., prevailing time. Beginning with the week of October 20, 1991, and each second and alternate, week thereafter, the father shall have physical custody of the child from Wednesdayat 5:00 p.m., prevailing time, through Monday at 5:00., prevailing time. The parent receiving custody, whether from school, the other parent, or baby-sitter, shall pick up the child wherever he is at the time.

b. Beginning with the summer of 1992, both parents shall have the opportunity to have the child in their physical custody for two uninterrupted weeks during the months of June, July and August. Each parent shall given to the other parent a notice of thirty days of the two week period. The parent first giving notice of the time request will be granted that time. The said notice shall be directed to the last-known mailing address of the party.

d. Holiday visitation shall take priority over other scheduled visitation. The parties are encouraged to agree as to holiday visitation; however, in the event that they cannot, the major holidays, meaning Easter, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving, Christmas, New Years Day, Mother's Day and Father's Day, shall be shared with the mother having custody of the child from 9:00 a.m. until 3:00 p.m., prevailing time, on said holidays and the father having custody of the child from 3:00 p.m. through 9:00 p.m., prevailing time, on said holidays. Exceptions to this shall be Christmas, 1991, and Easter, 1992. They shall be observed as described above; however, Christmas of 1992 and Easter of 1993 shall be reversed so both parents may have an opportunity in alternate years to have the child during the morning hours. Father's Day shall be spent with the father from 9:00 a.m. throught 9:00 p.m., prevailing time, and Mother's Day shall be spent with the mother during the same hours.

3. The parent having custody of the child at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon possible in the event of injury or illness of the child requiring medical treatment.

4. Neither parent shall take the child into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to his development or to the development of his character or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to his physical person. For the purpose of this paragraph, an environment where smoking is taking place shall be considered a dangerous enviroment for the child. The father does not smoke, nor does his finacee. The mother does smoke; however, she shall not smoke in a car when the child is present and she shall not smoke in the child's bedroom. She shall make an effort to smoke only out of the presence of the child when the child is with her.

5. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the child; and, if the parties mutually agree to alternative arrangements to accommodate themselves and/or the child's schedule, they may adjust the physical custody arrangments accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

6. Each of the parents (and all other persons coming into regular contact with the child) shall teach the child to develop and demonstrate that natuaral love and respect due a parent from his or her child. Neither of the parents (nor people coming into regular contact with the child) will do or say anything to blacken the character of the other parent in the eyes of the child.

7. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request further Mediation if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the child on in the event that the best interest of the child would be served by further mediation.

8. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either of the parents or the child resides full or part time in the Court's jurisdiction and for at leas six months from the date of this Temporary order.

9. The fee for Child Custody Mediation shall be paid 50% by plaintiff father and 50% by defendant mother.

10. This order shall be a temporary order, but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty days from the date of this Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas,
S. Judge

Oct 28, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty. Kirkpatrick's box and copies mailed to Attys Gamble and Smith and Plaintiff and defendant.

1991

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker
David P. Truax

857.

Theodore H. Watts
for Yocinas, Scott e. and Roxanne

Christopher Youngs
for Tax Claim Bur.

IN RE:
PROPOSED PRIVATE SALE OF TAX CLAIM LAND ASSESSED TO KEVIN E. KAVANAGH ET AL, SITUATE IN WEST MEAD TOWNSHIP, CRAWFORD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA;
ASSESSMENT NO. 3201-015-70003

Filed Sept. 6, 1991
@ 9:49 a.m.

Tax & entry PA 9/6/91 $50.50
state PA 9/6/91 5.00

PETITION FOR DISAPPROVAL OF PRIVATE TAX CLAIM SALE, AND FOR HEARING

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 6 day of Sept. 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition for Disapproval of Private Tax Claim Sale and for Hearing, the proposed private tax claim sale of the aforedescribed real estate to S cott E. Yocina and Roxanne Hall-Yocina is hereby stayed and a hearing on the same is set for the 1st dayof Oct. 1991 in C. R. No. 1 or 2 at 11:00 a.m. in the Courthouse at meadville, Pa.; all proceedings to be stayed pending said hearing.

Counsel for the petitioners is directed toforthwith serve a copy of the within Petition and Order upon Frederic Wagner, Director of the Crawford County Tax Claim Bureau and upon Scott E. Yocina and Roxanne Hall-Yocina, or inthe alternati ve, counsel representing the said Scott E. Yocina and Roxanne Hall-Yocina, and file an Affidavit of Service thereon. BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept. 6, 1991 copy of order in box of Atty. Truax

Sept. 6, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, David P. Truax, Esquire, attorney for the Petitioners, John H. Lybarger and Mary J. Lybarger, his wife, depose and say that a true and correct copy of ahPetition For Disapproval of Private Tax Claim Sale and For Hearing and Order was served upon each of the follwoing persons in the manner herein stated: To frederic Wagner, Director of the Tax Claim Bureau of Crawford Coiunty, personal service of a copy on September 6, 1991, at 9:46 a.m; to Ted Watts, attorney f for Scott Yocina and Taxanne Hall-Yocina, personal service of a copy on September 6, 1991, at 10:15 a.m. and a copy by regular mail to Kevin E. Kavanagh, 811 State Street, Sharon, Pennsylvania, 16146, on September 6, 1991. David P. Truax

Oct. 10, 1991, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Oct. 9, 1991, the proposed sale of land in West Mead township, Crawford County, pa. bearing assessment No. 3201-015-70003 to Scott E. Yocina and Roxanne Hall-Yocina for Three Hundred Dollars ($300.00) is disapproved since they as well as John H. Lybarger and Mary J. Lybarger, his wife, have agreed to pay Three Hundred Dollars ($300.00) for the property.

The Tax Claim Bureau shall conduct an auction style bid of the property among the parties to these proceedings and amony any other parties who being permitted by law to file a petition with the corut of Common pleas on or before the close of court business on Oct. 14, 1991, which said auction shall take place at 10:00 am. on Monday, Oct. 21, 1991 in the assembly room of the Crawford County Courthouse. The minimum price shall be $300.00. The successful bidder shall sign s uch forms and agreements as the Tax Claim Bureau deems appropriate. Thereafter the Bureau need not need further Court approval and may sell the property to the successful bidder.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

Oct. 10, 1991 copy put in box for each atty.

1991

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker
R. Charles Thomas

858.

KAREN A. VOGEL

vs.

ERNEST D. VOGEL, JR.

Filed Sept. 6, 1991
@ 10:20 a.m.

Tax & entry		$50.50
state		5.00
INdigent Filing		
Pro Co pd	3/11/92	9.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 5/19/92		5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COSTS AND ATTORNEY FEES

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 6, 1991 Petition to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 6 day of Sept. 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, the Plaintiff in this case is permitted to proceed in forma pauperis provided, however, that the court reserves the right to impose payment of costs on the plaintiff upon determination fo her request for equitable distribution of property. BY THE COURT, Gordon R. MIller, J.

Sept. 27, 1991 Application for Special Relief and order filed. 1 copy (atty) ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 27 day of Sept. 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition a Rule is granted upon the dft. to show cause if any he has why the relief requested in this petition should not be granted. Returnable for hearing and/or argument on the 24 day of Oct. 1991 at 3:00 p.m. In the meantime, the defendant is ordered and directed not to dispose of any of any items of the tangible, marital personal property presently in his possession as set forth in this petition. BY THE COURT,
1 copy (atty) Gordon R. Miller Judge

Oct. 24, 1991 Stipulation and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 24th day of Oct., 1991, after consideration of the aforesaid Stipulation, an Order is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of said Stipulation. It being understood that this is a permanent division of ownership of the tangible personal property of the parties.

Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Oct. 30, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. R. Charles Thomas.

Feb. 28, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE filed. R. CHARLES THOMAS, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on Sept. 6, 1991, he sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce, properly endorsed with the Notice to Defend, filed in the above action to the Defendant, Ernest D. Vogel, Jr., at Defendant's last known address of Box 397, Saegertown, Pa. 16433, by certified mail No. P 398 121 570, postage prepaid, restricted delivery, return receipt requested and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing dat of delivery as Sept. 9, 1991.

Feb. 28, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT OF PLAINTIFF, filed.

Feb. 28, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT OF DEFENDANT, filed.

Mar. 11, 1992, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney for review Under Section 201(c) of the divorce decree, this case moved to court.

Mar. 13, 1992 Motion For Withdrawal of Counts From Divorce Complaint and Order filed. And now, March 12, 1992, The within Motion is granted and the Plaintiff is authorized and directed to withdraw Counts IV and V of the Complaint in Divorce heretofore filed against the Defendant and this case shall proceed on the issue of the divorce along.

Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 13, 1992 Consent Custody Order and Order filed. AND NOW, this 12th day of March, 1992, after consideration of the proposed Consent Custody Order and the Consents thereto by the Plaintiff and Defendant, an Order is her eby entered in accordance with the terms and ocnditions thereof.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 13, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, March 12, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that Karen Vogel, plaintiff, and Ernest D. Vogel, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE JJCOURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 19, 1992 NOTICE OF ELECTION TO RETAKE MAIDEN NAME OF Karen A. Vogel, to be known as Karen A. Hudzinski, filed.

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

859.

PHILIP DELLAMEDAGLIA

vs.

CAPRICE L. VITELLI

Filed Sept. 6, 1991
@ 10:40 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $50. 50
state paid 5.00

William Pineo, mediator

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY / VISITATION

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR:
You, Caprice L. Vitelli, respondent, have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody or visitation of the child; Jordan M. Dellamedaglia, born 4-1-90.
You are ordered to appeaR IN PERSON AT SUCH TIME AND PLACE as will be determined by the mediator: WILLIAM PINEO, for a mediator conference.
If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the ocurt may issue a warrant for your arrest.
You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find ot where you can get legal help.
Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Public Committee c/o Prothonotyry's Office, Crawford CountyCourthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335 814 724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. MIller J.

Sept. 16, 1991 Verificationof Service filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record forthe above named Petitioner, andthat as such I am authorized to make this verification; that I didonthe 9th day of September, 1991, sendtothe above named REspondent, by Certified Mail,Addressee ONly, Return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of the Complaint for Custody/Visitation and Notice and Order to Appear filed at the above term andnumber; and that I did on the 13th day of September, 1991, receive a duly executed return receipt dated september 10th, 1991.

Brian J. Lindsay

Dec. 18, 1991, CONSENT CUSTODY ORDER filed.

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

860.

DEBORAH KELSO

vs.

TROY ANTHONY WOFFORD

Filed Sept. 6, 1991
@ 10:54 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

PETITION FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR:
You, Troy Anthony Wofford, respondent, have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody or visitation of the children: Joshua Allen Wofford and Justin Anthony Wofford.
You are ordered to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the mediator: JOHN FULLER, for a mediation conference.
If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.
Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Public Committee c/o Prothonotary Office, Crawford County courthouse, Meadville Pa 16335 814 724-4636. BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept 22, 1998 ORDER: Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 9/6/1991. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear from you in writing on or before Oct 23, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.
If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.
If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objectioins must be filed in the Prothonotary's office on or before Oct 23, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
Date: Sept 22, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 5, 1998 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of Oct., 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given by publication of a "Notice of Intent To Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on Oct. 14, 1998, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, all and each of the 84 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice. A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 19011.
By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

861.

CLINTON D. EELLS

vs.

CYNTHIA S. EELLS

Filed Sept. 6, 1991
@ 10:57 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

William Pineo, Mediator

PETITION FOR CUSTODY (UCCJA)

2 copies (atty)

Sept 9. 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, this 6th day of September, 1991, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the Mediator, WILLIAM PINEO, at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse with the Child Custody Mediator as Required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

It is further ordered and decreed that pending final dstermination by the Court, the aforesaid minor children shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the Court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

1 copy atty
1 copy mediator

Jan. 13, 1992 STIPULATION AND CONSENT TO ENTRY OF CUSTODY ORDER and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 13th day of Jan., 1992, upon presentation of the Stipulation and Consent of the parties, attached hereto, and after consideration of same, it is hereby ORDERED, DIRECTED and DECREED that:

1. Pri mary legal and physical custody of the parties' two (2) minor children shall be with the father, Clinton D. Eells.

2. The natural mother, Cynthia S. Eells shall be entitled to liberal visitations with the children at times agreed upon between the parties.

3. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

4. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children.

5. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

6. Neither party shall do or sayf anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan. 16, 1992 copy in box for J. Irwin & W. Pineo. Copy sent to Dft.

1991

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

862.

CHERLYN S. HILL

vs.

JOHN C. HILL

Filed Sept. 6, 1991
@ 3:36 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 7-22-92	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 3301(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 12, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. Bruce A. Barrett, Esq., being duly sworn, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Consent are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of a Complaint for Divorce under Section 3301(c) was sent by registered mail to the Defendant.

3. The registered mail was sent to the Defendant at R.D. #8, Stephens Drive, Meadville, Pa. 16335. The Sender's receipt is attachrd hereto.

4. The Defendant received said registered mail on Sept. 9, 1991. Said receipt card number 320 791 321 is attached hereto and signed by the Defendant, John C. Hill.

Apr. 13, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT OF PLAINTIFF, filed.

May 12, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT OF DEFENDANT, filed.

July 20, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

AND NOW, July 24, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that CHERLYN S. HILL, Plaintiff, and JOHN C. HILL, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

1991

Paul J. Carney, Jr.

863.

SARAH M. PROPER

vs.

LARRY E. PROPER

Filed Sept. 9, 1991
@ 11:19 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	15.00
Pr. Co. Pd. 1-3-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 3301(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF MARITAL PROPERTY, AND SPECIAL RELIEF

2 copies (atty)

Jan. 3, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 3301(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE filed. I. Paul J. Carney, Jr., attorney for the Plaintiff, Sarah M. Propr, hereby certify that a true and attested copy of the Complaint in Divorce in the above-captioned action, was served upon the Defendant, Larry E. Properl, by Restricted Delivery, Return Receipt Requested, on Sept. 16, 1991. The return receipt is attached hereto and marked as Ed. "A".

Jan. 3, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT of Defendant filed

Jan. 3, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 3, 1992 Motion For Decree filed.

Jan. 3, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, this case moved to Court.

Jan. 13, 1992 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 13th day of January, 1992, the Court Orders and decrees as follows:

Divorce: upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce formthe bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date ofthe filing ofthe complaint, and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 3301(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

Other aspects of the Marital AGreement between the parties dated December 12, 1991 shall not beocme a part of this Decree.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

864.

PATTI BURK

vs.

DANIEL BURK, JR.

Filed Sept. 9, 1991
@ 11:21 a.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	44.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies (sheriff)
1 copy (plff)

TEMPORARY ABUSE ORDER:

AND NOW, this 9th day ofSept. 1991, upon review of the petition of palintiff, the court enters the following order:

1. The defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass, or threaten the plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the petition.

2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not go to plaintiffs residence. Any visitations with the children shall be exchanged at some other place until further order of court.

3. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.

4. A copy of this order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to County Control.

5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.

6. This tmeporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.

7. The defendant is reminded that his is anorder of court and violation of this order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000 and a jail sentence of up to six months.

8. The court reserves ruling on allocation of fees and costs.

Hearing is hereby set for Sept. 20, 1991 at 3:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain a lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept. 17, 1991 Affidavit of Service (Sheriff's Return) filed. SERVED the within Proection fromAbuse onSeptember 11, 1991, at 9:40 a.m., upon Dnaiel Burk, Jr. at his place of visitation Jim's SuperMarketCooperstown, PA by handing to Daniel L. Burk, Jr. in person a true and correct copy thereof and made known to him the contents therein.

Gene Price, Sheriff

See Affidavit of Service endorsed hereon by Sheriff of Venango County, Pennsylvania, which is part of this return.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 25, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Sept. 20, 1991, this abuse matter is before the Court and is reviewed informally. The Defendant admits he lost his composure and struck his former wife causing injuries that required some medical attention.

With the consent of the Defendant, we enter the following Order:

1. The Defendant shall not threaten, annoy, harass or abuse the plaintiff in any fashion or procure others to do so on his behalf.

2. The Defendant agrees that except for the purpose of picking up and returning the two (2) minor children for visits, he will not go to the Plaintiff's residence for any purpose unless she specifically invites him to do so.

3. The Defendant is DIRECTED to pay costs in this case within ten (10) days of the time he is billed for the same at his addrss at Box 223, Cooperstown, PA. 16317.

4. This Order shall remain in force and effect for a period of six (6) months.

5. Any police officer having reasonable grounds to believe that a sworn affidavit presented to them by the Plaintiff constitutes a violation of the abuse terms of this Order may apprehend the Defendant without the necessity of an arrest warrant, lodge him in the Crawford County Jail and request and immediate contempt hearing.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Sept. 26, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Christopher Brode, County Control, Police Dept. having jurisdiction(Venango Co.).

1991

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso, Gamble,
& Fabian
John D. Petruso

865.

WILLIAM C. LILLEY

vs.

SUSAN L. LILLEY

Filed Sept. 9, 1991
@ 2:13 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
sheriffs costs	21.00
Pro Co Pd 2-7-92	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 3301(c) OR 3301(d)
OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 18, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Susan L. Lilley personally on Oct. 3, 1991 at 2:42 p.m. at the residence of Peggy Mumau, 1004 Burns Ave, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

Dec. 31, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 27, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 7, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

FEb. 20, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, February 18, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that william C. Lilley, Plaintiff, and Susan L. Lilley, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

1991

Hayt, Hayt & Landau
Arthur Lashin

866.

FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY
One American Road
Dearborn, Michigan

vs.

MARTIN G. PHILLIPS
R. D. #3, Box 92
Union City, Pa. 16438

Filed Sept. 9, 1991
@ 2:14 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	28.00
Pro Co Paid 10-23-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Sept. 24, 1991, Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Martin G. Phillips on Sept. 18, 1991 at 9:35 a.m. by handing a copy of Complaint to Wilma Phillips, his wife and authorized agent, inthe Sheriffs Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. Signed Acceptance of Service attached.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, October 23, 1991 @ 1:26 p.m. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney with Notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer to Plaintiff's Complaint and damages are hereby assessed in the amount of Twelve Thousand Nine Hundred Eighty-five and 01/100 Dollars.
P-111 Debt.....$12,985.01
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Oct 23, 1991 Judgment entered.
Oct 30, 1991 Notice of Judgment mailed defendant.

EX1991-100

EX1999-105 See Computer

1991

James R. Irwin
Shafer, Swick Bailey
Irwin & Stack

867.

CLINTON D. EELS

vs.

CYNTHIA S. EELS

Filed Sept. 9, 1991
@ 2:38 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd. 1-13-92	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 3301(c) or 3301(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATION AND DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (aTTY)

Jan. 13, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. I. JAMES R. IRWIN, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record, and as such say that I served a true and correct cooy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pa. on the above named Defendant by Certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated Sept. 9, 1991. The Defendant acknowledged service on Stp. 16, 1991 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Jan. 13, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 13, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT of Defendant filed.

Jan. 13, 1992 SEPARATION AND PROPERTY SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT filed.

Jan. 13, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney to transmit records, this case moved to Court.

Jan. 21, 1992 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

1. Divorce: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits file din the abovecaptioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce form the bonds of matrimony to the abovenamed paraties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and NInety (90) days have elapsed form the date of filing of the Complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the entry of a divorce decree, pursuant to Section 3301(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. Equitable Property Determination and Distribution: It is ordered and decreed that the marital property of the abovenamed parties shall be divided in accordance with a Separation and Property Settlement Agreement dated SEptember 17, 1991, executed by the parties and filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania at the abovecaptioned number and term.

This Agreement, in part, provides that the Plaintiff shall be the sole owner of jointly owned real estate located at R.D. #3, Saegertown, Pennsylvania, being the same land conveyed unto the Plaintiff by Defendant filed in the REcorder's Office of Crawford County, Pennsylvania at Deed Book 135, Page 505.

Further, it is ordered and decreed that the division of maritally owned personal property shall be made in accordance with Paragraphs 11, and 12, of the Separation and Property Agreement dated September 17, 1991, which in part, provides;

a) Each party shall be the sole and exclusive owner of all maritally owned personal property in their possession.

b. The Defendant shall be the sole and exclusive of the 1989 Pontiac Grand Am, automobile.

c) The Plaintiff shall be the sole and exclusive of the 1990 Chevy ½ Ton Pick Up Truck automobile.

3. A copy of the Separation and Property Settlement Agreement of the parties, dated September 17, 1991, which sets in further detail the agreement of the parties concerning the matters herein, shall be file diwth this Decree in the Prothontary's Office at the above number and term.

4. No other matter or causes of action provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code are reserved by either of the parties.

This Order shall be effective as of this 20 day of January, 1992.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. vardaro, J

1991

John Spataro 868. R. Christopher Brode, NW Legal Services	LILLIAN J. AND GROVER HEFFERNAN vs. TINA BARTHOLOMEW AND ROBERT GREGGS Filed Sept. 9, 1991 @ 3:25 p.m.	WRIT OF CERTIORARI (issued) Sept. 13, 1991, Praecipe to Proceed in Forma Pauperis, Affidavit to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, filed. Sept. 13, 1991 Proof of Service filed. Sept. 26, 1991 Record from District Justice Herzberger filed. July 17, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 13, 1992, it has come to the Clerk's attention that a writ of certiorari was issued to George W. Herzberger, III, District Justice, and the District Justice made a proper return but that, thereafter neither party took any action to advance the matter or to schedule the matter before the Court. Therefore, the Court, on its own, hereby schedules the matter in order to dispose of it. An argument and/or hearing on the writ of certiorari is hereby scheduled for the 26th day of August, 1992 at 1:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford Co. Courthouse. A copy of this order shall be delivered to counsel for represented parties and shall be mailed by regular mail to the last known address to parties who are not represented by counsel. BY ORDER OF COURT Gordon R. Miller, P.J. July 21, 1992 Copy of Order to Atty. R. Christopher Brode. Aug. 27, 1992 ORDER filed. ANDNOW, Aug. 26, 1992, this matter is before the Court on Defendant Bartholomew's praecipe for a writ of certiorari. At the time set for hearing the Court is advised by counsel for the Defendant that the counsel for the Plaintiff has indicated a willingness to agree to the requested relief. Accordingly, pursuant to District Justice Rule 1014(A) the judgment entered at the District Justice level is set aside without prejudice to the cause of action. BY ORDER OF THE COURT, Anthony J. Vardaro, J. Aug. 27, 1992 copies given to Atty Brode & Spatafore and mailed to D.J.

1991

869.

Christopher Brode
NW Legal SErvices

JOHN RUGALA CHEVROLET

vs.

ROBERT BRYER AND
LEILANI BRYER

Filed Sept. 9, 1991
@ 3:25 p.m.

Indigent Filing

WRIT OF CERTIORARI
(Issued)

Sept. 10, 1991 Affidavit to Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed.

Sept. 10, 1991, Praecipe to Proceed IN Forma Pauperis filed.

Sept. 10, 1991, Proof of Service filed.

Sept. 11, 1991, Record from District Justice George W. Herzberger III, filed.

July 16, 1992 Order Filed. AND NOW, July 13, 1992, it has come to the Clerk's attention that a writ of certiorari was issued to George W. Herzberger, III, District Justice, and the District Justice made a proper return but that, thereafter neither party took any action to advance the matter or to schedule the matter before the Court, Therefore, the Court, on its own, hereby schedules the matter in order to dispose of it.

An argument and/or hearing on the writ of certiorari is hereby scheduled for the 21st day of August, 1992 at 9:15 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No. of the Crawford County Courthouse. A copy of this order shall be delivered to counsel for represented parties and shall be mailed by regular mail to the last know address to parties who are not represented by counsel.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

July 16, 1992 Copy of the order given to Christopher Brode, Esq. and mailed to Plaintiff.

Aug. 17, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, August 17, 1992, on oral motion of R. Christopher Brode, attorney for the defendants, the argument and/or hearing onthe writ of cercariae is hereby rescheduled for the26th day of August, 1992 at 1:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. A copy of this order shall be delivered to John rugala Chevrolet or its counsel of record by Mr. Brode and an appropriate affidavit of return of service made.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug. 18, 1992 Copies of order to Christopher Brode

Aug. 24, 1992, proof of Service of above Order filed.

August. 27, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, August 26, 1992, this matter is before the Court on the Defendant Robert Bryer's praecipe for writ of certiorari pursuant to Pennsylvania District Justice Rule 1009.

At the time set for hearing the Defendant Robert Bryer appears with counsel and a representative of John Rugala Chevrolet appears.

Additionally, District Justice George Herzberger provides input with regard to this matter.

The Court reviews the record from the District Justice level and it appears that at the time set for hearing in this matter the Defendants had not given notice that they intended to defend to the District Justice Office, and accordingly, the Plaintiff upon calling the day of the hearing was advised that no such notice had been received.

At the time set for hering the Defendant Robert Bryer appeared prepared for hearing and was advised that there was no hearing because he had not provided such notice. Thereafter, a default judgmetn was entered in favor of the Plaintiff.

The Court believes that pursuatn to District Justice Rule 319(A) and the note set forth after that rule in a situation such as this when the Defendant does not give notice of his or her intention to defend, and the Plaintiff does nto appear at heraing, but the Defendant does, the proper procedure pursuant to that rule is to continue the case to another date with such continuance constituting a notice to defend on behalf of the Defendant to the Plaintiff.

Insofar as that was not the procedure followed in this case, the Court will grant the Defendant the relief requested and the judgment is set aside without prejudice to the cause of action pursuatn to District Justice Rule1040(A).

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug. 27, 1992 Copy of Order to Atty. Christopher Brode , copy mailed to plaintiff and D.J. Herzberger.

1991

870.	SPRINGS BODY SHOP SUPPLIES vs. DAILEY & KOCAN Filed Sept. 10 , 1991 @ 11:13 a.m. Tax & entry paid $50.25 state paid 5.00	APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF ROBERT J. LEONHART, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30 - 3- 03 Sept. 10, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued. Sept 12, 1991 Proof of Service filed. Oct. 15, 1991 Verification of Service filed.

1991

Peterson & Gula
Richard A. Peterson

871.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

DONALD FRANCIS CLOUD

vs.

MARY LOU CLOUD

Filed Sept. 10, 1991
@ 11:59 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
STATE Paid 9/17/91	10.00
Pro Co Pd 10/11/91	30.00
STATE Pd 10/11/91	10.00
Pro Co. Pd. 1-29-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

Oct. 2, 1991 Proof of Service filed. I, Richard A. Peterson, Esq., being first duly sworn according to law do say and depose that a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce, together with Notice To Defend and Claim Rights, was served upon the Defendant Mary Lou Cloud by United States Certified Mail, restricted delivery, return receipt requested, by mailing such documents to the Defendant on Sept. 16, 1991, addressed to Mary Lou Cloud, PO Box 134 A, Hartstown, PA 16131, said documents being received by the Defendant on Sept. 17, 1991. Attached hereto and incorporated by reference are the Receipt for Certified Mail, P 309 475 142 dated Sept. 16, 1991, and the return receipt card dated Sept. 17, 1991, containing the signature of Defendant.

Richard A. Peterson, Esquire

Oct. 10, 1991, Answer to Complaint in Divorce filed.

Oct 14, 1991 Motion to Set Hearing and Order filed: AND NOW, October 14, 1991 hearing on Defendant's Request for Alimony Pendente Lite, reasonable counsel fees, expenses, and the use of an automobile pending the entry of the decree in divorce shall be heard on Nov 8, 1991 at 3:30 p.m. O'clock in courtroom number 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pa. By the Court, P. R. Thomas, J.

Oct 17, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Atty. Peterson and put in Atty. Kirkpatrick's box.

Nov. 7, 1991 DEFENDANT'S INCOME AND EXPENSE STATEMENT, filed. 2 copies to Atty.

Nov. 18, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, November 8, 1991 at the time fixed for hearing in this matter, both parties appeared with counsel and entered into a stipulation for the purpose of resolving the issues of alimony pendente lite, counsel fees, costs and expenses as of this date. Based upon said stipulation, it is ordered as follows:

1. The Plaintiff shall pay the sum of $1,000 per month in equal weekly payments of $230 each for the support of his wife, commencing with the month of November, 1991 which said payment shall be in the nature of alimony pendente lite, and which said payment shall be tmeporary in that it may be changed by further order of Court, including an order entered in a support action prsently pending and presently brought on behalf of the Defendant. This amount is not binding upon any support hearin gofficer or support court, for he, she or it may arrive at a different amount of spousal support. The Plaintiff shall be entitled to a credit against any spousal support obligation for any alimony pendente lite paid under this order.
2. The Plaintiff shall pay the sum of $500 as interim counsel fees to Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Attorney for the defendant, no later than November 15, 1991.
3. The Plaintiff shall pay the costs of ne eyeglasses that the Defendant intends to get, to the extent that the cost is not covered by insurance, provided that the payment to be made by the Plaintiff shall not exceed $250. Said payment shall be made at the time and in the manner that the defendant's eye doctor requires.
4. The Plaintiff shall pay 50% of the reasonable and necessary uninsured medical expenses incurred by or on behalf of the Defendant until further order of court.
5. The parties shall share equally the expense of an appraisal of the marital residence by Vickie Gillette.
6. The Defendant shall be permitted to take $5,000 in cash form the joint assets of the parties to purchase an automobile, and that automobile shall be considered marital property. The Defendant shall obtain, keek, and maintain for all periods of time, automobile insurance coverage, with the coverage to be the same type of coverage andin the same amount of coverage or liability that is now provide dfor the Plaintiff's truck.
7. Either party may petition for modification, change, or termination of this order, and this order shall not bind the Court or any master to the issues contained herein if the facts and the lay justify the same.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Nov. 21, 1991 Copies of order to Atty's Kirkpatrick and Peterson.

Dec. 30, 1991 PETITION FOR MODIFICATION OF ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE ORDER, MOTION TO SET HEARING and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 30th day of Dec., 1991, hearing on Petitioner's request for modification of the alimony pendente lite order shall be heard on the 28th day of Jan., 1992, at 2:15 PM in Courtroom 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

FOR THE COURT:
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator

Jan. 7, 1992 copy in box for M.A. Kirkpatrick and copy mailed to R. A. Peterson

Jan. 10, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Jan. 15, 1992 Defendant's Updated Income and Expense Statement filed.

Jan. 15, 1992 Inventory of Defendant filed.

Jan. 29, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT of PLAINTIFF filed.

Jan. 29, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved. to Court.

Jan. 30, 1992 Motion for Alimony Pendente Lite and Order filed. ORDER: Jan. 28, 1992. It is ordered that the hearing to be held Jan. 28,1992 is cancelled and the matter is settled by agreement. Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Feb. 3, 1992 copy in box for M.A.Kirkpatrick, copy mailed to R. Peterson

Feb. 10, 1992, MEMORANDUM filed. Anthony J Vardaro, Judge
Feb. 10, 1992, copies mailed to Atty. Peterson and in box for Atty. Kirkpatrick.

Fb. 24, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney to Transmit REcord, this case moved to court.

feb. 24, 1992
DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

AND NOW, February 24, 1992, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED that DONALD FRANCIS CLOUD, Plaintiff and MARY LOU CLOUD, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro

Continued on Pg. 471

322

1991

James R. Irwin
Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack

872.

WENDY LEE SWAVEY

vs.

KENNETH R. SWAVEY

Filed Sept. 10, 1991
@ 2:30 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 6-30-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY
DETERMINATION & DISTRIBUTION

2 copies (atty)

Dec. 19, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Wendy Lee Swavey filed.

Jan. 15, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 13, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE FILED. I, James R. Irwin,ESquire, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record, and as such say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated September 10, 1991. The Defendant acknowledged servce on September 16, 1991 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

James R. Irwin, Esquire

May 18, 1992 Plaintiff's Inventory filed.

June 30, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

July 10, 1992 AND NOW, this 9 day of July, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that **WENDY LEE SWAVEY**, Plaintiff, and **KENNETH R. SWAVEY**, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

It is further ordered that the parties' Separation and Property Settlement Agreement dated June 24, 1992, is incorporated hrein and made a part hereof and shall be fully enforceable under the provisions of the Divorce Code.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

873.

ALVERTA J. ENNIS

vs.

CHARLES ENNIS

Filed Sept. 10, 1991
@ 4:12 p.m.

Tax & entry	pd 9-18-91	$50.50
state	pd 9-17-91	5.00
Sheriff's Costs		21.00
Pro Co pd 9/18/91		5.00

Recd 9-18-91
Sheriff's costs
of $21.00

9-18-91 Paid to
Sheriff Stewart
Costs Check #6403
$21.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies (sheriff)
1 copy (atty)

TEMPORARY ABUSE ORDER:

And now, this 10 day of Sept. 1991 upon review of the petition of plaintiff, the court enters the following temporary order.

1. The defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the plaintiff.

2. Special Conditions -------

3. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.

4. A copy of this order shall be delivered to the polcie dept. in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to county control.

5. Service of a copy fo the petition and this order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer, or competent adult.

6. This temporary order shall remian in full force and effect until modified or temminated by further order of this court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.

7. The defendant is reminded that his is an order of court and violation of this order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000 and a jail sentence of up to six months.

8. The court reserves ruling on allocation of fees anc costs.

Hearing is hereby set for Sept. 16, 1991 at 3:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The def. is advised he may retain a lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Sept. 12, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Charles Ennis personally on Sept. 11, 1991 at 9:20 AM at h is residence, Imperial Gardens, Apt. 2A, 571 Chestnut St., Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 16, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff this action is marked settled and discontinued of record.

Sept 25, 1991 Re: Protection from Abuse, Order filed: AND NOW, September 16, 1991, at the time set for hearing on this Abuse Petition, the Plaintiff and the Defendant appear and advise the Court their differences have been amicably resolved and the Plaintiff desires to withdraw this action.

The parties advise the Court they will write a check to the Prothonotary's Office and ACCORDINGLY, the court directs this action to be discontinued upon payment of all costs to the Prothonotary's Office.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, Senior Judge

Sept 26, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Plaintiff and defendant.

1991

~~J. Douglas~~ Dale E Huntley
MacDonald, Illig, JOnes and Britton

874.

DENISE HAYES

vs.

MICHAEL F. PORTFILIO

Filed Sept. 10, 1991
@ 4:15 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	47.00
Pro Co Pd 12/3/91	5.00
Pro Co Pd 12-11-91	1.00

SUMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Sept. 23, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. SERVED the within Summons on September 12, 1991, at 6:45 PM, upon Michael F. Portfilio, at Penn Pavilion, 700 Penna. Ave., New Brighton, PA 15066 by handing to Michael F. Portfilio a true and correct copy thereof and made known to him the contents therein.
Frank Policaro, ,Jr. Sheriff
= = = = = = = =, Deputy Sheriff
See Affidavit of Service endorsed hereon by Sheriff of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, which is part of this return.
So answers, Howard N. sTewart, Sheriff

Nov. 26, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Dale E. Huntley
J. Douglas Murphy
MacDonald, Illig,
Jones & Britton

875.

GLENN DORR, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF APRIL LOUISE DORR,

vs.

MICHAEL F. PORTFILIO

FILED Sept. 10, 1991
@ 4:15 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	47.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Sept. 20, 1991 Affidavit of Service (Sheriff's Return) filed. SERVED the within Summons on September 12, 1991, at 6:45 PM, upon Michael F. Portfilio at Penn Pavilion, 700 Penna. Ave., New Brighton, PA 15066 by handing to Michael F. Portfilio a true and correct copy thereof and made known to him the contents therein.

Frank Policaro, Jr. Sheriff
-- -- -- -- --, Deputy Sheriff

See Affidavit of Service endorsed hereon by Sheriff of Beaver County, Pennsylvania, which is part of this return.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

pro se

876.

JAMES R. HENTON

vs.

BEVERLY A. HENTON

Filed Sept. 10, 1991
@ 4:21 p.m.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (plff)

Sept. 10, 1991, Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Sept. 9, 1991 upon receipt and review of the plaintiff's petition for leave to proceed in forma pauperis said petition is granted and the plaintiff shall be permitted to proceed without payment of costs. This order relieving the plaintiff from paying costs shall not relieve the defendant from any liability for payment of ocsts in this action or shall it prevent the court from assessing costs to the plaintiff if the plaitniff is able to pay costs at any time or if the plaintiff's ability to pay costs is shown. The Master, if any, and the court, shall have the right and prerogative to assess costs in this case at a later date. BY THE COURT,
1 copy (plff) Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Sept. 10, 1991, Plaintiff's affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code; Defendant's Counteraffidavit Under Section 201(d) fo the Divorce Code filed. 1 copy (plff)

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed.
Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 9/19/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.
If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.
If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:
Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: March 12, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
Mar. 12, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

Robert J. Felton

877.

Ann W. Gamble

TROY A. McWILLIAMS

vs.

BRENDA L. McWILLIAMS

Filed Sept. 11, 1991
@ 11:06 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Bruce L. Smith, mediator
Pro Cl Pd 4-28-92 90.00

COMPLAINT FOR SHARED CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 11the day of Sept. 1991, upon presentation of the foregoing complaint for custody, the parties hereto and their respective counsel are ordered to appear before BRUCE L. SMITH CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR, who is hereby appointed in this case. The said parties and their respective counsel shall appear at suc h time or times that the child custody mediator shall hereinafter determine.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

Dec. 23, 1991 Temporary Order of Court filed. AND NOW, this 20 day of December, 1991, after Mediation and upon recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Dustin E. McWilliams, age six, born May 11, 1985, the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of the said minor child. Said joint custody being shared shall entitle both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the child held by a third party, including, but not limtied to, school and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to the educational and relilgious upbringing of the child, as well as to elective surgery.

2. Primary physical custody of the child shall remain with the natural mother, brenda L. McWilliams. Regular visitation and temporary custody of the child shall be granted to the plaintiff father, Troy A. McWilliams. Physical custody for the plaintiff father shall be as follows:

a. The plaintiff father shall be entitled to take the child into his custody on the first, third and fourth weekends of each month from Friday at 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, through Sunday at 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, beginning with December, 1991. For the purpose of this paragraph, a weekend shall be deemed to be the month on which falls Friday of the weekend.

b. The plaintiff father shall be entitled to tak ethe child into his custody for two consecutive weeks of his choice during the month of July each year and for two consecutive weeks of his choice during the month of August of each year. However, that there shall be at least one week separating these periods of visitation and the father shall give the mother notice of the selected weeks at least thirty days in advance of these periods, which notice shall be in writing.

c. Holiday visitation shall take priority over other scheduled visitation. The parties shall alternate physical custody of the child on the nationally recognized holidays of Thanksgiving, Easter, Memorial Day, the 4th of July, and Labor Day of each year, with the mother having custody of the child on Easter of 1992. The period of custody for the fathe ron such holidays shall extend from 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, on the ever of the holiday until 8:00 p.m., prevailing time, on the holiday. The father shall be entitled to take the child into his cusotdy on Christmas Day each year at 12:00 noon, prevailing time, until 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, on December 29.

d. The parent receiving custody of the child at anytime shall be responsible for transporting the child. This means that the father shall pick up the child at the mother's residence and the mother shall pick up the child at the father's residence. At times when transportation is unavailable to the mother, she shall notify the father on Monday or Tuesday preceding his weekend of custoyd of the unavailability of transportation in her home. The father shall have the option of picking up and dropping off the child or foregoing the scheduled visitation for that period. Each pa rty shall be responsible for nofitying the other pa rty of all telephone numbers and addresses where he or she may be reached during the day and during the night. Each par ty shall be responsible for making all reasonable efforts to contact the other par ty as the privisions of this Order so require.

3. The parent having cusotyd of the child at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon possible in the event of injury or illness of the child requiring medical treatment.

4. Neither pa rty shall take the chil dinto any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to his d evelopment or to the development of his character or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to his physical person.

5. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the hcild; and, if the parties mutually agree to alternative arrangements to accommodte themselves and/or the child's schedule, they may adjust the physical custody arrangements accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

6. Each of the parents and any adult coming into regular contact with the child shall teach the child invovled in this case to develop and demonstrate that natural love and respect due a parent form his or her child. Neither of the parents (nor any adult coming into regular contact with the child) will do or say anything to blacken the character of the either parent in the eyes of the child.

7. This Order shall not prejudice th eirght of either party to request further Mediation if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the child opr in the event tha tthe bes tinterest of the child would be served by further Mediation.

8. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either of the parents or the child resides in the Court's jurisdiction and ofr at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

9. The fee for Child Custody Mediation shall be paid 50% by the plaintiff father and 50% by the defendant mother.

10. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall beocme a permanent Order unless exceptions are file dby either par ty wihtin thirty days from the date of this Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT,
P. richard Thomas, S.JS.

dec. 31, 1991 copies mailed to Atty's Felton, Gamble and Smith and pl aintiff and defendant

Recieved from Plaintiff 4-28-92 mediator fees #6748

Pd CC Comm 6-25-92 Med fees ck #6902 6748

328

1991

Stanley E. Hilton

878.

Culbertson, WEiss,
Schetroma and Schug
Alan R. Shaddinger

JAY EGER

vs.

C & J INDUSTRIES, INC.

Filed Sept. 11, 1991
@ 12:13 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	21.00
Pro Pd 2/10/93	5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Sept. 20, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED C & J Industries, Inc. on September 11, 1991 at 3:40 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Becky Miller, Receptionist and person in charge at business, 760 Water Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 15, 1991 Answer and New Matter of Defendant C & J Industries, Inc. to Complaint filed.

Nov. 18, 1991 Plaintiff's Reply To defendant's New Matter filed.

Jan. 20, 1992 Notice Of Service of INterrogatories and Request for Production of Documents filed.

June 15, 1992 Notice of Taking Oral Depositions of Jay Eger, filed.

June 19, 1992 Notice of taking Oral Deposition of Park Lenhart, filed.

Dec. 29, 1992 Certificate of Readiness filed.

Feb. 10, 1993, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney the above entitled action is marked settled, discontinued and ended of record.

1991

Charles J. Swick
Shafer, Swick, Irwin
Bailey & Stack

879.

MARQUETTE SAVINGS ASSOCIATION

vs.

HECTOR R. JIMENEZ AND
CINDY M. JIMENEZ, HIS WIFE

Filed Sept. 11, 1991
@ 2:25 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50/
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	31.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 ocpies (sheriff)

Sept. 23, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Hector R. Jimenez personally on Sept. 13, 1991, at 2:55 pM in the Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA.

SERVED Cindy M. Jimenez on Sept. 13, 1991, at 2:56 PM by handing copy of Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure to Hector Jimenez, her authorized agent, in the Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA. Signed Acceptance of Service attached.

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Watts and Pepicelli
Theodroe H. Watts

880.

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso, Gamble &
Fabian
~~John D. Petruso~~
Withdrawn

PAMELA A. DILLEY

vs.

KEVIN R. DILLEY

Filed Sept. 11, 1991
@ 4:12 p.m.

Tax & entry	pd 9/13/91	$50.50
1 count	pd 9/13/91	15.00
state	pd 9/13/91	10.00
state	pd 9/13/91	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 19, 1991 Appearance of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, Gamble & Fabian by John D. Petruso for Defendant filed.

Sept. 25, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Theodore H. Watts hereby certifies that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff, Pamela A. dilley, in the wihtin Action and that a copy of the Complaint in Divorce was sent by certified mail, return re ceipt requested, deliver to addressee only to the Defendant, Kevin R. Dilley, at 1214 Pennsylvania Avenue, Meadville, PA 16335 on Seeptember 11, 1991 and was received by the Defendant on September 17, 1991. The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A". Theodore H. Watts

Jan. 3, 1997 Motion for Leave to Withdraw Appearance and Order filed. AND NOW January 3rd, 1997, upon motion of John D. Petruso, he is granted leave to withdraw his appearance previously entered on behalf of defendant.
BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.
1-3-97 FAXED Petruso & Watts

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.
If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.
If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:
Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: March 12, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
Mar. 12, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

April 28, 1998 Objections to Court Order of March 12, 1998 and ORDER filed. AND NOW, April 28, 1998 this motion is granted and this case shall not be dismissed. (SEE PAPERS FILED).
By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

April 29, 1998 FAXED Attys. Ferry.

April 9, 2002 - See computer for future entries

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck,
& Leemhuis Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

881.

MARINE BANK

vs.

NORMAN H. ROBERTS

Filed Sept. 12, 1991
@ 11:28 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	33.50
Pro co Paid 10-23-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Sept. 23, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Norman H. Roberts on Sept. 16, 1991, at 10:57 AM by handing copy of complaint to Bobbie Jo Roberts, adult in charge at residence, 21416 Springcreek Rd., Titusville, Crawford Co., PA.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Oct 23, 1991 @ 2:03 p.m. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney with notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to answer or otherwise plead, and the same is liquidated in the sum of Six Thousand Four Hundred Twenty and 48/100 Dollars plus interest from September 11, 1991 and all costs of suit plus fees of $1,284.10.

R-124 Debt.....:$6,420.48 +costs & fees

10-23-91 JUDGMENT
Judgment entered. James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Oct 30, 1991 Notice of Judgment mailed defendant.

Revived & AD1995-1017

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck
& Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

882.

John S. Kookogey

MARINE BANK

vs.

NORMAN H. ROBERTS AND
BOBBIE JO ROBERTS

Filed Sept. 12, 1991
@ 11:33 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	39.50

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Sept. 23, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Bobbie Jo Roberts personally on Sept .16, 1991 at 10:55 AM at her residence, 21416 Springcreek Rd., Titusville, Crawford Co., PA.

SERVED Norman H. Roberts on Sept. 16, 1991 at 10:56 AM by handing a copy of the complaint to Bobbie Jo Roberts, adult in charge at residence, 21416 Springcreek Road, Titusville, Crawford Co., PA.

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 4, 1991 Answer filed.

1991

Bruce L. Smith

883.

KENNETH A. HINKLE

vs.

LISA K. HINKLE

Filed Sept 12, 1991
@ 12:45 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
State Pd.	10.00
Pro Co. Pd. 12/23/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Sept 18, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed: BRUCE L. SMITH, an attorney at Law, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 13th day of September, 1991, he served the Complaint and Notice to Defend and Claim rights in the above-captioned matter on the above-named Defendant by mailing a true and correct copy of said Complaint and Notice to Defend and Claim Rights to the Defendant at her residence at c/o Sandy Hauptmann, R.D.#5, Box 67-A, Titusville, Pennsylvania, 16354, and that the Complaint and Notice to Defend and Claim Rights were received by the Defendant on September 17, 1991, as evidenced by return Receipt No. P-620 647 573 attached hereto, all pursuant to Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure No. 412.

Bruce L. Smith
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dec. 23, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 23, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec. 23, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney to transmit record, this case moved to Court.

Jan. 10, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, January 9, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that Kennet A. Hinkle, plaintiff and Lisa K. Hinkle, defendant, are divorced form the bonds of matirmony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon r. Miller, J

1991

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph E. Altomare

884.

WILLIAM F. MORTON, AND
SCOTT W. MORTON, PARTNERS
TRADING AS PLATT'S MILL

vs.

RUTH SEE

Filed Sept. 13, 1991
@ 1:04 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	36.25
Pro Co Pd 11-7-91	9.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Sept. 24, 1991, Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Ruth See on Sept. 16, 1991 at 11:15 a.m. by haNDING A COPY OF Complaint to Joann See, her sister and adult in charge at the residence, R. D.#1, Gilson Ridge Road, Titusville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Nov 7, 1991 at 11:37 a.m. Upon Praecipe of Attorney for Plaintiff with Notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant for failure to respond to the above-captioned Complaint and the same is liquidated in the amount of Thirty-one Thousand Six Hundred Eighty-three and 94/100 Dollars plus interest and costs.
S-146

Debt.....$31,683.94 + costs & Int.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Nov. 7, 1991 Judgment entered.

EX1991 -103

Apr 7, 1992 This Judgment Satisfied (see paper filed)

1991

John D. Petruso
Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, Gamble and Fabian

885.

Anthony Vardaro
Thomas, Truax , Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker

HOWARD N. STEWART

vs.

DAVID M. GLENN, IVAN ROSE, AND KERRY D. CORBETT

Filed Sept. 13, 1991
@ 3:32 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

COMPLAINT

3 copies (atty)

Sept. 16, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. AND NOW, September 16, 1991, I hereby accept service of a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Declaratory Judgment with full force and effect as if the same had been properly served upon the David M. Glenn, Ivan Rose and Kerry D. Corbett, the Defendants in this action.

Anthony J. Vardaro
County Solicitor

Oct. 7, 1991, Answer filed.

Dec. 17, 1992, STIPULATION BETWEEN PARTIES filed.

Mar. 3, 1992, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed.
JUDGMENT: AND NOW, March 3, 1992, the court declares that the defendants are the "employer" of the Sheriff's Clerk for pay or compensation purposes by whom she should have been excused from work the workday following Thanksgiving Day, a day onwhich she did not work.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar. 5, 1992, copies to John Petruso and A. VArdaro Esqs

1991

Donald E. Lewis

886.

William Kuhar

WILLIAM JAMES REDDEN

vs.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Filed Sept. 13, 1991
@ 4:12 p.m.
9/16/91
Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

NOTICE OF APPEAL
2 copies (atty)

Sept. 13, 1991, Petition for Writ of Supersedeas, Motion and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 13 day of Sept. 1991, upon Motion by the Appellant, a hearing is set on said Appeal for the 30 day of dec. 1991, at 9:30 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

Further notice of said appeal and of this order of court are to be given forthwith by appellants atty. tothe appropriate representatives of the appellee by forwarding a true and correct copy of same to the Appellee by First Class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid.

Finally, said appeal shall act as a supersedeas to appellees usspensin of appellants operating privileges.

2 copies (atty) BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Nov. 13, 1991 Vertification Of Service filed. I, Donald E. Lewis, Esquire, do hereby vertify that I am the attorney of record for the above named Appellant, and that as such I am authorized to make this verification; that I did on the 31st day of October, 1991, send to the above named Appellee, by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of the Notice of Appeal, Petition for Supersedeas, Motion and Order for Hearing filed at the above term and number; and that I did on the 1st day of November, 1991, receive a duly executed return receipt dated November 4, 1991.

Donald E. Lewis

Mar. 27, 1992 MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, March 26, 1992, defendant's appeal from the suspension of his driver's license is dismissed. BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller,
P.J.

Mar. 27, 1992, copies distributed to attys. of record.

1991

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso
Gamble, Fabian & HALL

~~Allen and Hall~~
Stephen E. Hall

887.

CURT R. FERGUSON AND JILL S. FERGUSON, HIS WIFE

vs.

GARY E. MELTON AND LILY L. MELTON, HIS WIFE

Filed Sept. 16, 1991
@ 9:26 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 1/21/92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION - QUIET TITLE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 18, 1991 Motion For Special Order Directing Method of Service filed.

Sept. 18, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, Semptember 18, 1991, uponconsideration of the foregoing motion and affidavit of Stephen E. Hall Esq., it is hereby ordered and decrred that Plaintiffs shall serve their complaint on Defendants by publislhing the same one itme inthe Meadville Tribune and the Crawford County Legal Journal.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
GordonR. Miller, J

Sept. 19, 1991 Copy of order put in box for Atty. Hall

Nov 25, 1991 Motion for Judgment, and Affidavit of Service of Complaint filed: STEPHEN E. Hall, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is Attorney for Plaintiffs in the above-captioned case as such makes this Affidavit on behalf of the Plaintiff; that on September 18, 1991 Your Honorable Court entered an Order in this case directing that service of the Complaint be made on Defendants by publication once in the Meadville Tribune newspaper and in the Crawford County Legal Journal; that service has been made by publication in accordance with said Order as appears by the Proofs of Publication attached hereto and filed of record in this case; that more than twenty (20) days have elapsed from the publication of said notices and no appearance has been entered or answer filed on behalf of any Defendants.

Stephen E. Hall

Nov 25, 1991 Final Decree filed: AND NOW, November 25, 1991, upon presentation of the foregoing Motion, and after due consideration thereof, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the defendants, Gary R. Melton and Lily L. Melton, his wife, are forever barred from asserting any right, title, lien, or interest in the land described in Paragraph No. 3 of the Complaint in the above-entitled case inconsistent with the interest of the Plaintiffs as set forth in the Complaint; provided, however, that this decree shall become final only if the Defendants, or any of them fail to take any action as is hereby directed within thirty (30) days from the date of this decree;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DIRECTED that is no petition to open this judgment or to set aside this order and decree is presented by the Defendants, their heirs, successors and assigns, or no action of ejectment is commenced by them or any of them within thirty (30) days from and after the entry of this Order, the same shall become final, and if no such action is taken within said thirty (30) day period, the Prothonotary on Praecipe of the Plaintiffs shall enter final judgment.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

Nov 26, 1991 Copy of order put in Atty. Hall's box.

And now, Jan. 21, 1991 at 8:54 AM upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, final judgment is hereby entered in this case, in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendants, pursuant to the foregoing Order of Court.

M-71-101

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis E. Blystone

888.

DOUGLAS J. HAZELTON

vs.

KIMBERLY K. HAZELTON

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER AND NOTICE:
You, Kimberly K. Hazelton, dft. have been sued in court to obtain custody of a minor child, namely Christy Hazelton.
You are hereby ordered to appear in person for a conference at the time and place to be scheduled by theCustody Mediator appointed in this case.
If you faIL TO APPEAR AS PROVIDED IN THIS ORDER, AN ORDER for custody, may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not ahve a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get help.
Court Administrator, Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335
814 724-1151 Ext. 298.
Date: Sept. 16, 1991

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Filed Sept 16, 1991
@ 9:46 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
William Pineo, mediator 152.00
9.00

Sept 16, 1991, Motion for Appointment of a Custody Mediator and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, Sept. 16, 1991 WILLIAM PINEO, ESQ. is appointed custody mediator.
Gordon R. MIller,
1 copy (atty 1 copy (mediator)

Sept. 23, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed.
1. The undersigned, Curtis E. Blystone, is attorney for the plaintiff, Douglas J. Hazelton, in the above action in for custody.
2. On September 16, 1990, affiant mailed a true and attested copy of the Complaint for Custody in the above cpationed action, endorsed with Order and nOtice, to the Defendant Kimberly K. Hazelton addressed to her mailing address of 414 East Central Avenue, Titusville, Pennsyvlania, 16354, by certified mail item no. P 398 128 725 as indicated by the "Receipt for Certified Mail" attached hereto. Said item of certified mail was restricted for delivery to the addressee only.
3. The aforesaid item of certified mail was delivered to the defendant, Kimberly K. Hazelton, personally on September 18, 1990, as indicated by the return receipt attached hereto and signed by the defendant per snoally.
I hereby verify that the statements made in this affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements made herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. No. 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Dated: September 20, 1991

Curtis E. Blystone

Nov 12, 1991 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 12th day of November, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator:
1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child.
2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may ahve regarding the subject minor child.
3a. The mother shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor child.
b. The father shall have temporary physical custody and visitation with the subject minor child on alternate weekends, beginning with the weekend of October 26, from Saturday at noon until Sunday at 5:00 p.m.
c. The father shall also have the right of visitation and Temporary physical custody during two one week periods each summer, provided he gives the mother ten days notice of his intent to exercise this provision.
4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:
a. The father shall have visitation on Christmas, New Year's Day, Independence Day and the child's birthday from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. If any such holiday falls on a Saturday of the father's regular weekend visitation, mother shall have the child to 3:00 p.m. and father shall have the child from 3:00 p.m. to Sunday at 5:00 p.m. If any such holiday falls on Sunday of the father's regular weekend visitation, then such regular weekend visitation shall conclude at 3:00 P.M.
b. The father shall have visitation on the father's birthday from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. If the father's birthday falls on a Saturday of the father's regular weekend visitation, such regular weekend visitation shall commence at 9:00 a.m. and conclude on Sunday at the regular time. If the father's birthday falls on a Sunday on the father's regular weekend visitation, then such regular weekend shall conclude at the regular time.
F. The mother and father shall alternate Memorial Day and Labor Day with the father having the child Memorial Day, 1992, and the mother having the child Labor Day, 1992, and the mother having the child Memorial Day, 1993, and the father having the child Labor Day, 1993, and so forth. When the father is entitled to visitation on Memorial Day Day or Labor Day, such visitation shall be from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. However, in the event the father is entitled to visitation on a given Memorial Day or Labor Day and such visitation follows a regular weekend visitation, then such regular weekend visitation shall be deemed extended through such holiday and shall conclude at 3:00 p.m. on such holiday.
g. If Mother's Day falls on a Sunday of father's regular weekend visitation, then such regular weekend visitation shall conclude on such Sunday at 9:00 a.m.
h. Except as otherwise provided herein, this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.
5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.
6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the child in the exercise of his or her rights being responsible for picking up the child at the home of the other.

Continued on page 338a.....

_____TERM

DOUGLAS J. HAZELTON

VS.

KIMBERLY K. HAZELTON

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 338

of

AD 1991-888

****

7. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child.

Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor child. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the child is being transported or in the bedroom of the child.

9. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

12. Neither party shall expose the child to the company of persons of the opposite sex to whom he or she is not related by blood or marriage between the hours of 9:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. Neither party shall expose the child to the intimate aspects of any relationship he or she may have with persons of the opposite sex to whom he or she is not related by blood or marriage at any time.

13. Either party may request a review of this Order two years from date hereof to make any adjustments.

14. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00 are assessed to Crawford County. The mediator notes for the Court that neither party is employed and both are receiving public assistance.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Nov 15, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Pineo, Blystone and Brode's boxes.

338
-b-

_____TERM

1991

889.

JILL E. CARLSON

vs.

GLADEN E. GESIN JR.

Filed Sept. 16, 1991
@ 10:25 a.m.

Tax & entry	PA 11/18/91	$50.50
state	PA 11/18/91	5.00
Sheriff's Costs		21.00
Procott	11/18/91	9.00

11/18/91 Recd $21.00 From Defendant

11/18/91 Paid $21.00 To Sheriffs Dept.

Un. 652/

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies (sheriff)
1 copy (atty)

TEMPORARY ABUSE ORDER:

And Now, this 16 day of Sept. 1991 upon review of the petition of plaintiff, the court enters the following temporary order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse harass or threaten the plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the petition.

2. Special Conditions: Def. may not go to residence of wife at 117 Overlook Drive. Pl. may remove personal effects, her own property and clothing from 216 Bank St.

3. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.

4. A copy of this order shall be delivered to the police depts. in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to county control.

5. Service of a copy of the petition and this order shall be made upon the defenant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.

6. This temporary order shall remin in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.

7. The defendant is reminded that his is an order of court and violation of this order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000 and a jail sentenc eof up to six months.

8. The court reserves ruling on allocation of fees and costs.

Hearing is hereby set for Sept. 23, 1991 at 3:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain a lawyer torepresent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Sept. 17, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Gladen E. Gesin, Jr., personally on September 16, 1991, at 1:00 pm at his temporary place of custody in the Crawford County Jail, 360 center Street, Meadville, Crwaford County, PA.
So answers,

Robert A. Fischer, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept 25, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, September 23, 1991, at a formal hearing in this matter, held without counsel for either party and the Court informed the defendant of the Abuse Act, the burden of proof, the right to counsel, the effectiveness of an Order generally and explained to the defendant the procedure.

After testimony was taken, the Court believes that the Plaintiff has held her burden of proof for the bulk of the evidence and orders as follow:

1. The defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the plaintiff in any way.

2. This Order shall be in effect for six (6) months from this date, or until March 22, 1992, unless modified or terminated by further Order of Court.

3. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Titusville Police and to County Control.

4. This Order may be enforced by any law enforcement officer having probable cause to believe that it has been violated.

5. The defendant is warned that this is a Court Order and that violation of this Order is punisable by a fine of up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six (6) months.

6. The defendant shall pay the court costs in the amount of $89.50 not later than November 20, 1991.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Sept 27, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Plaintiff and defendant and to Titusville Police and copygiven to Co. Control.

Dennis G. Kuftic

890.

DANIEL L. SCHELL III

vs.

PAMELA A. MITCHELL SCHELL

Filed Sept. 16, 1991
@ 10:35 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
John Fuller, mediator 210.00
Pro Co 9a

2-4-97 Refd from pltf 150.00 med dep.
Rdplf #10962 40.50

100.50

PETITON FOR TEMPORARY AND PERMANENT CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:
AND NOW, to-wit, this 16th day of Sept. 1991 it is ordered that all parties in the above case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before MASTER, JOHN FULLER, at a time to be set by the Master in the Crawfor dCounty courthouse with the child custody master as required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pa.

Pending said hearing, the father will be permitted to remove David from daycare and to have the temporary custody of his children until his return form leave on Sept. 23, 1991 at which time the children will be returned to the natural mother. The mother will provide the father with adequate clothing and personal effects, including toys, for the children during this period, which will also be returned to the mother at the end of his leave. During this time, the mother will not be entitled to visitation.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

Dec 9, 1991 Agreement for Entry of Custody Order and order filed: AND NOW, to-wit, this 5 day of Dec., 1991, upon review of the attached Agreement between the parties regarding custody of their children, it is hereby ordered that the said agreement is approved and is hereby incorporated by reference into this Order of Court as if fully set forth herein.

2 copy atty

P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 19, 1992 Petition to have Respondent held in Contempt for Failure to Comply with Custody Order filed. Notice and Order to Appear filed. Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging that you have willfully disobeyed an Order of Court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with the Court your defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in writing with the Court your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in Court on the 16th day of July, 1992, at 1:30 o'clock p.m. in courtroom no. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

IF YOU DO NOT APPEAR IN PERSON,THE COURT MAY ISSUE A WARRANT FOR YOUR ARREST.

If theCourt finds that you have willfully failed to comply with its Order, you may be found to be in contempt of court and committed to jail, fined or both.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator, Court House, Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 336-1151, ext. 298
BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller,

June 19, 1992 Copy of the order to Atty. Kuftic andAtty. John Fuller.

July 21, 1992 STIPULATION TO SETTLE AND DISCONTINUE CONTEMPT PETITION and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit, this 20th day of July, 1992, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the provisions of the attached Stipulation are hereby incorporated as an Order of the Court and the Defendant, Pamela A. Mitchell Schell, is directed to turn the children over ot the Plaintiff as stated and the contempt hearing scheduled for July 16, 1992, at 1:30 p.m. is hereby cancelled Nunc Pro Tunc.

Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 24, 1992 copy mailed to D. Kuftic

June 2, 1993, Petition to Have Respondent Held in Contempt and Order of Court filed. NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR: Legal proceedings ahve been brought against you alleging that you have willfully disobeyed an order of court.

If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with the court your defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in writing with teh court your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in court on the 18 day of June 1993, at 3:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford CountyCourthouse.

If you do not appear in person, the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

If the court finds that you have willfully failed to comply with its order you may be found to be in contempt of court and committed to jail, fined or both.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help

Court Administrator, courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335, 814 336-1151 ext. 298.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony Vardaro, Judge

June 2, 1993, copies of order to D. Kuftic atty.

June 22, 1993 Order filed. AND NOW, June 18, 1993, at the time fixed for hearing on the Plaintiff's Petition to Hold the Defendant in Contempt, the Court finds that the Order of November 25, 1993, based upon an agreement of the parties, entered into November 25, 1993 is clear tha tathe father need only given two (2) weeks advanced notice of his intention to excercise visitation rights and is entitled to a 30-day period in the summer.

It appears that the father did give a false indication about August, about wanting August to be his time, andit appears that the mother may have relied on that, even though she shouldn't have.

ACCORDINGLY, the Court does find the defendant in contempt of court for failing to willfully honor the plaintiff's time for summer visitations. The summer visitation that the father shall have shall commence at 11:00 o'clock a.m. on Saturday, June 19, 1993, and terminate no later than Monday, July 19, 1993, at 12:00 o'clock Noon. FURTHERMORE, the defendant shall pay $150 toward the plaintiff's attorneys fees incurred in connection with this contempt proceeding. Said payment shall be made to Mr. Dennis Kuftic no later than July 30, 1993.

Pursuant to the agreement of the parties, the Custody Agreement of November 25, 1993, and the Order entered thereon of December 5, 1991 is amended in two places. The advance notice the father must give in Paragraphs 5(a) and 5(c) shall be 30 days instead of two (2) weeks.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

June 22, 1993 Copies of order given to Co. Control, Kuftic & Walton

Continued to Page 481

1991

Louis P. Vitti & Assoc.
Louis P. Vitti

891.

METMOR FINANCIAL, INC., ASSIGNEE OF MARGARETTEN AND COMPANY, INC.

vs.

ARTHUR F. HOLLABAUGH AND ADA J. HOLLABAUGH HIS WIFE

Filed Sept. 16, 1991
@ 1:27 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	31.00
Pro Co Pd 10/11/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Sept. 30, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Ada Jane Hollabaugh Pizer personally on September 18, 1991 at 2:45 PM at her residence, 1122 Park Avenue, Meadville, CRawford County, PA. SERVED Arthur F. Hollabuagh on September 18, 1991 at 2:46 PM by hanidng copy of Complaint to Ada Jane Hollabaugh Pizer, his ex-wife at 1122 Park Avenue, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Oct. 11, 1991 at 1:55 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with affidavit of military service attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer, in the amount of Forty Seven Thousand Eight Hundred Twenty Nine and 33/100 Dollars together with interest and costs.
Judgment entered
10/11/91 Debt - $47,829.33
H - 11, 11
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 11, 1991 notices of entry of judgment mailed to ea ch dft.

EXECUTION 1991 - 90

1991

William J. Gregg
Vendetti, Talarico & McNair
Thomas S. Talarico
Anthony Angelone

892.

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore and Walker
Emil M. Spadafore, Jr.

Swensen, Perer, Johnson & McCandless
John W. McCandless

Thomas, truax, Spadafore & Walker
R. Charles Thomas
The Penncrest School District

HOWARD PULLING, A MINOR, BY DAVID PULLING AND EUGENIA PULLING, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS PARENTS AND NATURAL GUARDIANS OF HOWARD PULLING

vs.

KENNETH GREEN, THE BROWN & SMITH BUS LINES, AND THE PENNCREST SCHOOL DISTRICT

Wayne Halstead, Add'l Dft.

Filed Sept. 16, 1991
@ 1:42 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	50.00
Sheriff's Costs	30.00
Pro.Co. pd 2/2/94	5.00
Pro.Co.pd 2/23/94	6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Oct. 3, 1991 Writ Return filed. SERVED Brown & Smith Bus Line on Sept. 19, 1991 at 1:35 PM by handing copy of Summons to Anita Brown, Adult in Charge, at R D # 3, Cambridge Springs, Crawford Co., PA.

SERVED Penncrest School District on Sept. 19, 1991 at 2:02 p.m. by handing copy of Summons to Patty Ellis, Receptionist, at RD #1, Saegertown, Crawford Co., PA.

SERVED Kenneth B. Greene personally on Oct. 2, 1991 at 10:42AM in the Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
So answers,

Carole Stefanucci, Deputy Sheriff
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 19, 1992, Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

Apr. 10, 1992 Preliminary Objections filed.

Apr. 10, 1992 Upon praecipe of Attorney McMillan the above-matter is placed on the next available argument list.

1992 MAR ARGUMENT LIST

Apr. 13, 1992 Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore and Walker by Emil M. Spadafore, Jr. for Defendant Kenneth Green, filed.

April 15, 1992 APPEARANCE OF SWENSEN, PERER, JOHNSON & McCandless by John W. McCandless, Esquire for Defendant, BROWN & SMITH BUS LINES filed.

Apr. 16, 1992 Answer Containing New Matter & New Matter Under Rule 2252(d),filed.

Apr. 23, 1992 Complaint of Original Defendant, Kenneth Green, Against Additiona Defendant, Wayne Halstead filed.

May 1, 1992 Certificate of Service filed.

May 1, 1992 Upon praecipe of Attorney John W. McCandless the above matter is placed on the next available argument list.

1992 MAY ARGUMENT LIST

May 1, 1992 Preliminary Objections Of Defendant, Brown and Smith Bus Lines filed.

May 6, 1992 Sheriff's Return filed. NOT FOUND as to Additional Defendant Wayne Halstead. He is in the Service with his address being PVT. Lowell W. Halstead, Jr. 192-68-0253, Delta 360, Signal Battalion, 15th Signal, Fort Gordon, Georgia 30905.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Sheriff

May 7, 1992 REPLY TO NEW MATTER AND NEW MATTER UNDER RULE 2252(d), filed.

May 13, 1992 Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore and Walker by r. Charles Thomas for The Penncrest School District filed.

May 13, 1992 Preliminary Objections filed.

June 4, 1992 MEMORANDUM AND ORDER, filed ORDER: AND NOW, June 3, 1992, it is ordered as follows;

1. Paragraph 44 of the plaintiff's complaint is stricken.
2. The preliminary objections in the nature of a demurrer filed by Penncrest School District one of the defendants, is sustained and judgment is entered in favor of Penncrest School District and against the plaintiffs.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

June 6, 1992 copy in box for Spadafore, mailed copy to Talarico, Angelone, McCandless, McMillen

2-1-94 Braun Smith Pre Trial Memo

June 18, 1992 Answer And New Matter and New Matter Under 2252(d) To Plaintiffs' Complaint filed.

July 2, 1992 REPLY TO N EW MATTER AND NEW MATTER UNDER RULE 2252(d), filed.

Sept. 4, 1992 Reply To New Matter And New Matter Under Rule 2252(d) on behalf of defendant, Kenneth Green filed.

Nov. 4, 1992 Notice of Serving Interrogatories and Request for Production to Defendant The Brown and Smith Bus Lines - First Set filed.

Feb. 18, 1993 Certificate of Service filed.

Feb. 18, 1993 Certificate Of Service of Answers To Interrogatoaries and Request For Production and Inspection of Documents filed.

May 12, 1993 Certificate Of Service of Answers to Interrogatories and Request for Production filed.

Dec. 10, 1993 Certificate of Readiness filed.

Dec. 29, 1993 Motion To Strike Certificate of Readiness and Order filed. Motion denied.

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro ,J

Jan. 7, 1994 Copies of order to Atty's. Angelone, Spadafore, Thomas McCandless and Wayne Halstead

Continued to Page 342 A

Howard Pulling, a minor; by David Pulling and Eugenia Pulling, individually and as parents and natural guardians of Howard Pulling
vs.
Kenneth Green, The Brown & Smith Bus Lines, and the Penncrest School District

Continued from Page 342 of record
AD 1991 - 892

****

Dec. 28, 1993 Motion for Summary Judgment on Behalf of Smith and Brown Bus Lines, filed.

Jan. 7, 1994 Upon Praecipe of Defendant attorney, this case placed on next available Argument List, filed.

ON FEBRUARY 1994 ARGUMENT LIST

Feb. 1, 1994 Motion in Limine filed.

Feb. 2, 1994 UponPraecipe of Emil M. Spadafore, Jr., Esquire,Attorney for Original Defendant, the action against ADDITIONAL DEFENDANT, wayne HALSTEAD, is discontinued of record.

Feb. 7, 1994 Plaintiff's Response to Defendant Brown and Smith's Motion for Summary Judgment filed.

Feb. 2, 1994 ORDER filed. And now, this 1st day of February, 1994, following the call of the February Civil Trial List,pretrial conferences are hereby scheduled in the following cases on the following dates at the times set forth below:

Caption & Number (Abbreviated)	Pretrial Conference Date and Time
Pulling (etc.) v. Green, et al. AD 1991-892	Mon. Feb. 7, 1994, 9:00 A.M.

To the extent possible, pretrial conferences will be held before the judge who it is anticipated will try the case (subject to change). Counsel are directed to report any change in the status of any case to the Court Administrator at the earliest possible time.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

2-2-94 Copies to all counsel of record and unrepresented parties.

Feb. 10, 1994, Motion in Limine Regarding Expert Testimony filed.

Feb. 10, 1994, Petition for Interpleader and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 7 day of Feb. 1994 this motion is granted. Gordon R. Miller, J.
Feb. 11, 1994, copies to Attys. Talarico, Spadafore, McCandless.

Feb. 10, 1994, Telephonic Deposition fo David T. Goldsberry, M.D. filed.

Feb. 14, 1994 ORDER, filed. AND NOW, February 9, 1994, the first motion in limine filed by Brown & Smith Bus Lines, one of the defendants, is hereby granted as the testimony of Dr. Goldsberry with respect to AIDS (HIV) virus, Hepatitis B. and C are whooly speculative. The plaintiff shall:

a. Submit, within 48 hours, to a doctaor of his choosing to perform blood tests to determine if he is infected with the AIDs (HIV) virus,Hepatitus B and C, and to provide the defense with the results of said tests within 24 hours thereafter, showing that he is positive for one or more of said infections: or

b. Shall be precluded from introducing any evidence or argument at the time of trial of the risks of infection as a result of the blood taransfusions.

Feb. 17, 1994 copies dist by Court.

Feb. 17, 1994 Deposition of Lawrence M. Kuklinski, M.D. filed.

Feb. 17, 1994 Deposition of David T. Goldsberry, M.D. filed.

Feb. 23, 1994 Upon Praecipe of Thomas S. Talarico, Esquire,Attorney for the Plaintiffs, the above action against the Defendant is marked discontinued of record.

Feb. 23, 1994 PETITION FOR LEAVE TO SETTLE AND COMPROMISE MINOR'S ACTION AND ORDER filed. NOW, this 17th day of Feb., 1994, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition to Compromise a Minor's Action, and after hearing in Court, it is ORDERED and DECREED that the settlement of the minor's action for injuries sustained by the above referenced minro on Sept. 25, 1989, plus record costs, is hereby approved. The above action is dismissed ith prejudice, counsel fees and reimbursement of the costs of prosection are approved and distribution of the settlement proceeds shall be made by Thomas S. Talarico, Esquire as follows:

(a) To Thoma S. Talarico, Esq., contingent fee of twenty-five (25%) percent on the first Fifty thousand ($50,000.00) Dollars and thirty-three and one-third (33-1/3%) percent on the last Twenty-five thousand ($25,000.00) dollars, that is, the sum of Twenty thousand eight hundred and thirty-three ($20,833.00) Dollars;

(b) To Thomas S. Talarico, Esq., reimbursement of costs of prosection Two thousand nine hundred one and 98/100 ($2,901.98) Dollars;

(c) To Commonwealth of PA. Dept. of Public Welfare, the sum of Three hundred fifty ($350.00) Dollars;

(d) To Eugenia Pulling, parent and natural guardian of Howard Pulling, a minor, the sum of Five thousand nine hundred fifteen and 02/100 ($5,915.02) Dollars, in trust for the minor to be deposited in one or more federally insured savings account in the name of and for the minor; provided that no withdrawal shall be made from any such account until the minor attains the age of majority, except as authorized by prior Order of Court and, provided further, that non of the sums of money deposited herein shall be subject to attachment by any third party creditors of either the guardians or the minor above maned;

(e) Further, State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance company, shall make payments to Howard Pulling on the following dates and in the following manner:

(1) On Jan. 16, 2002, guaranteed payment of Ten thousand ($10,000.00 Dollars;
(2) On Jan. 16, 2005, guaranteed payment of Ten thousand ($10,000.00) Dollars;
(3) On Jan. 16, 2008, guaranteed payment of Sixty-eight thousand one hundred fifteen and 88/100 ($68,115.88) Dollars.

All sums set forth herein constitute damages on account of personal injuries within the meaning of Section 104(a) (2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. None of the sum set forth herein shall be subject to attachment by any third party creditor of either the guardians or the minor above named.

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 342 (B).....

TERM

Howard Pulling, a minor, by David Pulling and Eugenia Pulling, al

VS.

Kenneth Green and The Brown & Smith Bus Lines

Record Continued from Page 342 (A)

of

AD 1991 - 892

****

The guardians, or their attorney, are authorized to mark the Court docket settled and discontinued after payment of the agreed upon settlement and record costs, and further, the guardians may give a complete and general release on behalf of the minor children to the Defendants, Kenneth Green, Brown and Smith Bus Lines, Nationwide Insurance Company.

Proof of deposit in the federally insured restricted account and proof of the purchase of the annuity through State Farm Life Insurance Company shall be filed with the Court within fourteen (14) days of the establishment of the account and procurement of the annuity contract.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Feb. 28, 1994 Copy of Order to Attys. Thomas Talarico, Anthony Angelone, Emil M. Spadafore, Jr., John McCandless and R. Charles Thomas.

June 6, 1995 Appearance of William J. Gregg for Plaintiffs filed.

Jun. 12, 1995 Petition for Withdrawal of Funds from Minor's Account and Order, filed.

Jun. 12, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 9, 1995 upon receipt and review of the attached petition for withdrawal of funds from a minor's account it is ordered as follows:

1. The petition is granted as to paragraph 7 and the guardians are authorized to withdraw $300 from the account from the dedicated purpose of purchasing a new bed for the minor.
2. The balance of the petition is denied. The funds are to be held for the benefit of the minor. It is the responsibility of parents to provide for a child and the child's separate estate should not be used for expenditures, expecially expenditures that would constitute necessity as housing. Furthermore there are no factual allegations concerning the parents' earnings, expenditures and ability to provide for appropriate new housing. Finally, expenditures for closing costs actually apply to an asset that would not be titled in the name of the minor.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

6-12-95 Faxed Gregg

February 23, 1998 PETITION FOR WITHDRAWAL OF FUNDS FROM MINOR'S ACCOUNT AND ORDER FILED.

March 3, 1998 ORDER FILED. AND NOW, this 20 day of Feb. 1998 upon consideration of the foregoing Petition for Withdrawal of Funds from Minor's Account, it is Ordered and Decreed:

A. That the petitioner is hereby granted permission to withdraw the sum of not more than $2081.50 from the within-mentioned Minor's Account to be used for the sole and exclusive benefit of the minor child, Howard Pulling, more specifically to purchase a computer from Kost Computer for $1881.50, and to purchase a computer desk for not more than $200, the purchase of said items to be in the best interest and benefit of the minor child;

BY THE COURT
Anthony J. Vardaro

3/3/98 Faxed Spadafore 3/11/98 Mailed Talarico & Angelone

FOR FUTURE ENTRIES AS OF SEPTEMBER 29, 2000 SEE COMPUTER.

893

IN THE MATTER OF THE SELECTION OF PERSONS TO SERVE AS JURORS FOR THE YEAR 1992

Filed Sept 16, 1991
@ 2:55 p.m.

ORDER FOR JURY WHEEL NAMES FOR YEAR 1992

Order:

AND NOW, September 11, 1991, the Jury Commissioners are hereby directed to fill the jury wheel for the year 1992 with 800 names, said names to be selected in accordance with the provisions of the Jury Act (1980-78).

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Set. 18, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Jury Commissioners and Clerk (Mrs. Dorothy Kennedy, Mrs Nancy L. Hanes and Mrs. George Shaffer)

Apr. 7, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, April 7, 1992, it is ordered as follows:
1. There will be n o jury trials held during the April term of civil court since all but one case had been continued, settled or disposedof. The one remaining case shall be tried at a specially set time in May, 1992 by selecting a jury from the May criminal panel.
2. Jurors who were summoned for civil jury trials in April will be summoned for, and required to appear at, the October 1992 term of civil jury trials.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Apr. 13, 1992 copies sent to Jury Commissioners

May 22, 1992 Jury Wheel for 1992 filed.

344

1991

pro se

894.

CHRISTINE NEWCOMER
Plaintiff

vs.

ROBERT E. NEWCOMER
Defendant

Filed Sept 16, 1991
@ 3:36 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $10.50
state paid 5.00
state paid 10.00

Pro co Pd 9/16/91- $5.00

CERTIFIED COPY OF DIVORCE DECREE FROM THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, DIVISION OF DOMESTIC RELATIONS OF CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO

POSTED

SMS/ehm - 1/11/78

FILED

'91 SEP 16 P3:36

CRAWFORD COUNTY

$10.50
$5.00
$10.00

AD 1991-894

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
DIVISION OF DOMESTIC RELATIONS
CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO

(F)

CHRISTINE NEWCOMER	)	CASE NO. D-85245
Plaintiff	)	
-vs-	)	JUDGMENT ENTRY.
ROBERT E. NEWCOMER	)	
Defendant	)	

This cause came on for hearing this 9th day of January, 1978, and was duly heard before the Honorable John L. Maxwell, Judge, upon the complaint of the plaintiff and the evidence, the defendant being in default of Answer or other pleading although duly served with process personally according to law.

Upon due consideration thereof, the Court finds that the plaintiff was a resident of the State of Ohio for more than six months and a bona fide resident of Cuyahoga County for more than ninety (90) days, both immediately preceding the filing of the complaint, that the parties were married as alleged, and that one (1) child, to wit: JACKIE, age 4 years, was born as issue of the marriage.

The Court further finds that the defendant has been guilty of GROSS NEGLECT OF DUTY and EXTREME CRUELTY prior to the filing of the complaint and that by reason thereof the plaintiff is entitled to a divorce as prayed for.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the plaintiff be and she is hereby granted a divorce from the defendant, and that the marriage contract heretofore existing between the parties be and is hereby dissolved and set aside.

VOL 558 PG 857

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the care, custody, and control of the minor child be and is hereby awarded to the plaintiff until further order of Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that no order of visitation is made at this time, and visitation is ordered suspended until such time as the defendant shall appear before this Court to show cause why his visitation rights should be reinstated.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that until further order of Court, the defendant shall pay to the plaintiff for the support of the minor child the sum of TWENTY-FIVE DOLLARS ($25.00) per week for said child plus all reasonable medical and dental expenses for said child, plus 1% poundage, through the office of the County Clerk.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the plaintiff be and is hereby awarded the household furnishings, fixtures and appliances as and for permanent alimony.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the defendant be and he is hereby restrained from molesting, harassing or abusing the plaintiff.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that there is no just cause for delay in the journalization of the within entry.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the defendant pay the costs of this proceeding for which judgment is rendered and execution may issue.

John L. Maxwell

DRAWN BY:

JOHN L. MAXWELL
Judge, Court of Common Pleas

SUSAN P. STAUFFER - Attorney for Plaintiff

JOURNALIZED this 9th day of February, 1978.

Gerald E. Fuerst BY: Deputy Clerk
CLERK OF COURTS

VOL 558 PG 858

- 2 -

THE STATE OF OHIO, Cuyahoga County, ss. I, GERALD E. FUERST, CLERK OF THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, WITHIN AND FOR SAID COUNTY.

HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE AND FOREGOING IS TRULY TAKEN AND COPIED FROM THE ORIGINAL Vol 558-8 Pg 85-7 Date File 2-9, 1978 NOW ON FILE IN MY OFFICE.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL OF SAID COURT THIS 18th DAY OF October A.D. 1984.

GERALD E. FUERST, Clerk

By W. Jarzembek Deputy

Sept 16, 1991, Notice of Election To Retake Maiden Name filed.
to Be Known as: CHRISTINE P. CSERVENKO

1991

Kathleen R. Nelson

895.

Prather & Pineo
William Pineo-
for Carole
Tobin

George R. Gray
for dft. B. Tobin

CAMERON D. WRIGHT
t/d/b/a CONNEAUTVILLE
FARMER'S EXCHANGE

vs.

BERNARD C. TOBIN AND
CAROL A. TOBIN, HIS WIFE

Filed Sept. 17, 1991
@ 10:57 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 35.50
Pro Co Pd 4-23-92 9 02

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Sept. 30, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Bernard C. Tobin on September 18, 1991 at 11:40 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Patsy McClelland, adult in charge at residence, R.D. #1, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Carole A. Tobin on September 19, 1991 at 11:24 AM by handing cpy of Complaint to Bronwen Dunn, adult in charge of residence, R.D.#1, Guys Mills, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 7, 1991 Answer of Carole A. Tobin, defendant, filed.

Oct. 7, 1991, Appearance of George R. Gray, attorney for defendant Bernard C. Tobin, filed. 2 copies (atty)

Oct. 7, 1991, Preliminary Objections by Bernard C. Tobin, Defendant Under Rule 1028, filed. 2 copies (atty)

Oct. 7, 1991 certificate of Service of Preliminary Objections filed.

Nov 6, 1991 Notice of Default Judgment filed.

Nov 6, 1991 Certificate of Service of Notice of Default filed.

Nov. 15, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff, preliminary objections listed for argument.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR DECEMBER 1991

Dec. 3, 1991 Certificate Of Service of Defendant Bernard C. Tobin's Brief In Support of His Preliminary OBjections filed.

Dec. 4, 1991 Certificate of Service of Brief in Support of Preliminary Objections and Preliminary Objections entitled Defendant Bernard C. Tobin filed.

Dec. 20, 1991 ASSIGNMENT OF ARGUMENT DATE, filed. And now, Dec. 20, 1991, argument on the above matter is set for Jan. 27, 1992, at 11:00 AM, in Courtroom 2, before Anthony J. Vardaro, J. FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator

Jan 3, 1992 copy in box for K. Nelson, W. Pineo & G. Gray.

April 15, 1992 Motion for Entry of Judgment and Order filed: AND NOW, April 15, 1992, Upon consideration of the Agreement ofor Entry of Judgment aginst defendants and motion of counsel for the plaintiff, judgment in the amount of $7,500.00 shall be entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants.
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
April 23, 1992 Copies of order put in Attys. Nelson, Pineo and Gray's boxes.

AND NOW, April 15, 1992 Upon Agreement of Parties, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiffs and against the defendants in the amount of Seven Thousand Five Hundred and 00/100 Dollars. Costs to be paid by the plaintiff.
T-23, 53 Debt.....$7,500.00
JUDGMENT
Barbara H. King
Prothonotary

April 23, 1992 Notice of Judgment mailed defendant

1991

William F. Peters 896.	PARK AVE. TIRE CO. vs. JOHN RUGALA CHEVEOLET-BUICK-OLDSMOBILE-PONTIAC, INC. Filed Sept. 17, 1991 @ 10:58 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.25 state paid 5.00	NOTICE OF APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF WILLIAM D. CHISHOLM, DISTRICT JUSTICE 30-2-01 Sept. 17, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued. Sept 17, 1991, Proof of Service filed. Oct. 4, 1991 Complaint filed. (2 copies atty.)

1991

Davis Reilly PC
Richard J. Parks

897.

PENNBANK

vs.

RICHARD E. JONES AND
ALICE F. JONES, HIS WIFE

Filed Sept. 17, 1991
@ 11:18 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co. pd 11/18/91	9.00
Sheriff's costs	64.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

3 copies (sheriff)

Nov. 18, 1991, 11:32 A.M., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with copy of Notice of Intention to file default judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for failure to file an answer to the complaint. Damages are assessed as follows: In the amount of Twenty Thousand eight hundred fifty-four and 27/100 Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of $5.42 per diem from Sept. 4, 1991 and for foreclosure and sale of the mortgaged premises.

Debt $20,854.27
Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro.

J-143,203
Judgment entered 11/18/91

Dec. 6, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. POSTED the mortgage property of Richard E. and Alice F. Jones with a copy of the Complaint at 22297 Spring Creek Road, Titusville, Crawford Co., PA. Posted on Sept. 25, 1991 at 11:53 A.M.

SERVED Alice F. Jones personally on Oct. 8, 1991 at 2:57 PM at her residence, Lot #7, Box 37, Titusville, Crawford Co., PA.

SERVED Richard E. Jones on Oct. 8, 1991 at 2:58 PM by handing a copy of the Complaint to Alice F. Jones, his wife, at the residence, Lot #7, Box 37, Titusville, Crawford County, PA. So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

898.

SANDY JOHNSON

vs.

DAVE JOHNSON

Filed Sept. 17, 1991
@ 1:21. p.m.

Tax & entry	Pd 10/7/91	$50.50
state	Pd 10/7/91	5.00
Sheriff's costs		21.00
Prothy Pd 10/7/91		9.00

10/7/91 Rec'd $21.00 from Defendant

10/7/91 Refd $21.00 to Howard Stewart, Sheriff Cert 64446

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy (plff)
3 copies (sheriff)

TEMPORARY ABUSE ORDER:

And now, this 17 day of Sept. 1991 upon review of the petition of plaintiff, the court enters the following abuse order.

1. The defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the plaintiff or any ofthe minor children named in the petition.

2. Special Conditions: Defenant must vacate the premises immediately, taking only clothing and personal possessions and not return to premises for any purpose until further court order Pl. granted custody of children until further order of court.

3. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.

4. A coy of this order shall be delivered to the polcie departments in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to county control.

5. Service of a copy of the petition and this order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.

6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of court. Liablility for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.

7. The defendant is reminded that this is an order of court and violation of this order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000 and a jail sentence of up to 6 months.

8. The court reserves ruling on allocation of fees and costs.

Hearing is hereby set for Sept. 25, 1991 at 3:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford county Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain a lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required todo so.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Sept 18, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Dave Johnson personally on September 17, 1991, at 3:50 P.M. at his residence, R.D.#1, Russet Drive, Autumn Hills, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Edgar D. Householder, Chief Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept 30, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, September 25, 1991 at the time set for hearing, the parties and their counsel advise the Court the matter has been amicably resolved by the following order.

1. Exclusive possession of the marital residence at R.D.1, Russet Drive, Autumn Hills, is granted to the wife.

2. The parties agree that on September 27, 1991 the Defendant may go to the home between the hours of 12:00 noon and 3:00 p.m. for the purpose of retrieving clothing, coin sets, selected tools, and other personal items from the premises. It shall be the responsibility of the wife to request a representative from the Green House to be present during the time the husband removes the aforesaid items.

3. Primary physical custody of the children shall be accorded to the wife, but the husband shall have the right to visit with the three children at his mother's home at 354 Ravine Street from Saturday at 9:00 a.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m. The father will pick up and return the children at the home of the mother, but he may not enter the home.

4. The husband will continue to have his wife and children covered under the medical portion of his employee benefits with Crawford Central School Distict.

5. The father shall be entitled to call the wife's residence between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m. for the purpose of talking with his children.

6. The parties have mutually agreed that the Defendant's obligation for support for the wife and children shall be $626 per month, with $489 of said figure allocated to child support for the three minor children and $137 allocated to spousal support. The monthly payment $626 will be paid in two equal installments each month, amounting to $313 each. The defendant will pay the first October payment of $313 on September 27, 1991 and will make the second payment on or before the 15th of October. The Defendant agrees that commencing with November the Defendant will pay the first monthly payment on or before the first day of the month and second on or before the 15th of the month.

8. The Defendant will pay costs in this case within ten (10) day of being billed for the same at his current residence (354 Ravine Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania, c/o Frances Williams).

9. The Defendant agrees that he will not annoy, threaten, assault or harass the Plaintiff in any fashion nor procure others to do so on his behalf.

10. Any police officer having reasonable grounds to believe that the abuse portions of this order have been violated may immediately apprehend the defendant, lodge him in the

11. This order shall remain in full force and effect for a period of 12 months. The parties are advised if they effect a reconciliation, the Court will terminate this Order sooner on the joint request of both parties.

12. The Defendant generally admits to the allegations of the petition.

ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1 copy co. Control
1 copy ea atty.

June 10, 1992 Complaint for Indirect Criminal Contempt for Violation of Protection from Abuse Order Filed.

June 15, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, June 11, 1992, this matter is before the Court on a complaint for indirect criminal contempt for violation of a Protection From Abuse Order entered September 25, 1991.

The Court hears testimony from both sides and after doing so declines to find the Defendant in contempt of court.

However, in an effort to try to stabilize this situation further as we did in our custody Order of April 29, 1992, theCourt enters the following revisions which shall be modifications to the September 25, 1991 Protection From Abuse Order and shall be in effect so long as that Order is in effect:

Continued of Record to Page 473.

1991

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis E. Blystone

899.

Amy Reynolds Hay
for Dft. USA

CYCLOPS TITUSVILLE FEDERAL CREDIT UNION

vs.

ROBERT J. FIELY AND PATTI FIELY AND UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Filed Sept. 17, 1991
@ 4:22 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	87.00
Pro Co pd 10/28/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

4 copies (átty)

Sept. 17, 1991, Affidavit of NOn Mililtary SERvcie filed.

Sept 27, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed: 1. The undersigned Curtis E. Blystone, is attorney for Cyclops Titusville Federal Credit Union, plaintiff in the above civil action.

2. On September 18, 1991, affiant mailed a true and correct copy of the Complaint in this case with Notice to Defend to the United States of America, c/o Attorney General, Department of Justice, 10th & Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20530 in accordance with the requirements of Title 28 U.S. Code, Section2410 by certified mail posted at the Meadville, Pennsylvania United States Post Office, Article No. P 398 128 726 as indicated by the attached receipt for certified mail

3. The aforesaid certified mail article was delivered to the Department of Justice in Washington, D.C. on September 23, 1991 as indicated by the attached return receipt.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Curtis E. Blystone, Attorney for Plaintiff

Oct. 11, 1991 Answer of Defendant United States of America filed.

Oct. 17, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED the within Comp. on 9-24-1991, at 10:10 am, upon U.S. Attorney at above by handing to Scott Kanary, Crim Docket a true and correct copy thereof and made known to him the contents therein.
So answers, Euguen L. Coon, Sheriff

The above document was served on the Defendant as per information listed above in the County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
So answers, Carl E. Simon, Deputy Sheriff
Frank P. Lalley, Sheriff

The above document was served on the defendant as per information listed above in the County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
So answers, Carl E. Simon, Deputy Sheriff
Frank P. Lalley, Sheriff

SERVED the United STates of America by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, PA, as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on September 24, 1991.
SERVED Robert J. Fiely by deputizing the Sheriff of Montgomery County, PA, as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on September 24, 1991.
SERVED Patti Fiely by deputizing the Sheriff of Montgomery County, PA, as per his affidavit of Service attached. Served on September 24, 1991.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 28, 1991 CONSENT JUDGMENT filed. And now, to wit, this 28 dayof Oct. 1991 it appearing that counsel for plaintiff and counsel for dft. USA, have consented to the entry of the within order on behalf of their respective clients it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a judgment be entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the USA for foreclosure of the mort. of plff. in the within cause and for sale of the mortgaged property of dfts.

It is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that dft. USA shall be notified by plaintiff of the date, time and place sc heduled for any sheriff's sale of the real property of the aforesiad dft; that he USA shall be entitled to payment from the proceeds fo the sheriff's sale to the extent its proper priority would entitle ti to the same; and that the USA shall be entitled to redeem the aforesaid property within 120 days from the date of sale, as provided by 28 U.S.C. §2410.

Nothing contained in the within order shall, in any way, be construed as entry of a monetary judgment against the United States of America, but rather said judgment is limted to the foreclosure and sale of the real estate of the aforesaid dft. in the within proceeding.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

(signed by Curtis E. Blystone and AMy Reynolds Hay)

And Now, Oct. 28, 1991, at 11:03 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendantsfor want of an answer, damages are assessed in the sum of Tw enty Six Thousand Five Hundred Fifty eitht and 30/00 dollars together with rate of 12% int. on prin. debt of $25,168.62 from MaR. 26, PLUS COSTS.

judgment entered on
Oct. 28, 1991
F - 141, 131

Debt ----$26,558.30
Int. from Mar. 26, 1991
JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EXECUTION 1991 - 92

1991

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

900.

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso, Gamble &
Fabian
Ann Hansen Gamble

10/1/91 Recd $43.98
From Defendant.

Recd Dec 2, 1991
$43.98
County Comm.

3-14-95 Rec'd from
Plff med fee 131.96
5-8-95 Pd Ck #9166 131.96
med fee

ROBERT D. BIMBER

vs.

FAITH E. BIMBER

Filed Sept. 18, 1991
@ 1:26 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Bruce Smith, Mediator	175.94
	9.00

Pro Co Pd 3-14-95

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

ORDER OF COURT:

You, FAITH E. BIMBER, DEFENDANT, have been sued in court to obtain custody or partial custody of the children: TYSON BIMBER, JAYSON BIMBER and ERIC BIMBER.

BRUCE SMITH is appointed custody mediator and is hereby directed to hold such custody mediation conferences as the mediator deems appropriate and to make recommendations thereafter to this Court. The parties are ordered to appear before the custody mediator at such times as the mediator shall direct and to bring with them the children, the subject of this action.

If you fail to appear as provided for by this order, and order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1 copy atty
1 copy mediator

Sept 27, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed: Before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared JOSEPH C. BARNHART, ESQ., attorney for the above-captioned Plaintiff, who being duly sworn according to law, did depose and say that he did serve a certified copy of a Custody Complaint upon the above-named defendant at her mailing address of 602 S. Franklin Street, Titusville, Pennsylvania 16354 by United States certified mail, restricted delivery, evidenced by postal return receipt #P 620 649 935 purportedly signed by said Defendant and dated September 21, 1991 attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set by hand and seal this 26th day of September, 1991. Joseph C. Barnhart, Esq.

Oct. 7, 1991 Appearance of Fuller, Kinnunen,, Petruso, Gamble and Fabian, by Ann Hansen Gamble, attorneys for defendant filed.

Oct 18, 1991 Temporary Order of Court filed: AND NOW, this 17 day of October, 1991, after mediation and upon recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Tyson Bimber, age ten, Jayson Bimber, age seven and Eric Bimber, age three, the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of the said minor children. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitle both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the children held by a third party, including, but not limited to, shcool and medical records, and shall also entitled both parents to equal input with regard to the educational and religious upbringing of the children, as well as to elective surgery.

2. Physical custody of the children shall remain with the natural mother, Faith E. Bimber. Regular visitation and temporary custody of the children shall be granted to the plaintiff father, Robert D. Bimber. Physical custody of the children for the plaintiff father shall be as follows:

a. The father shall have the opportunity to take the children into his temporary custody on the first, second and fourth weekends of each month, beginning at 5:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Friday, and ending at 7:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Sunday. The natural mother shall have the children present at her home at those times, dressed, and ready to be picked up by the father.

b. Beginning with the month of June, 1992, the father shall have primary physical custody of the children, beginning seven days after the children have completed school until one week prior to the beginning school at the end of summer. During the time that the father has primary physical custody, the mother shall have the right to take the children into her temporary custody on the first , second and fourth weekends during the hours described in paragraph 2, a.

c. The parent not having primary physical custody of the children at any given time shall have the opportunity every Wednesday to take the children into his or her temporary custody and control between the hours of 5:00 p.m. and 8:00 p.m., prevailing time.

d. Holiday visitation shall take priority over other scheduled visitation. The parties are encouraged to agree as to holiday visitation; however, in the event that they cannot, during even-numbered years, beginning in 1992, the mother shall have the children on the 4th of July and their birthdays, and the father shall have the children on Memorial Day and Labor Day. Every year, the father shall have the children on Easter. These days shall begin at 9:00 a.m., prevailing time, and end at 7:00 p.m., prevailing time. These days shall be reversed in odd-numbered year. The children shall spend Thanksgiving Day each year in the Mother's home and the day following Thanksgiving in the father's home beginning in 1991. With regard to Christmas each year, beginning in 1991, the children shall be available to spend Christmas Day each year in the father's home from 10:00 a.m., prevailing time, until 7:30 p.m., prevailing time. Additionally, the children shall spend four days between Christmas Day and New Year's Day in the father's home. If those days cannot be agreed upon, they should be the last four days of the Christmas vacation each year.

d. The father shall pick up the children at the mother's residence when he is obtaining temporary custody. The mother shall pick up the children at the father's residence when the children are being returned to her custody. Anytime either parent is one hour or more late for a scheduled transfer of custody, that transfer shall be put off for one day unless satisfactory arrangements have been made.

3. The parent having custody of the children at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of injury or illness of the children requiring medical treatment.

4. Neither parent shall take the children into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to their development or to the development of their characters or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to their physical person.

5. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the children; and, if the parties mutually agree to alternative arrangements to accommodate themselves and/or the children's schedules, they may adjust the physical custody arrangements accordinly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

6. Each of the parents (and all grandparents, boyfriends and girlfriends involved with either party) shall teach the children to develop and demonstrate that natural love and respect due a parent from his or her children, and neither of the parents (nor people coming into regular contact with the children) will do or say anything to blacken the character of the other parent in the eyes of the children.

Continued on Page 350 A.....

Robert D. Bimber

vs.

Faith E. Bimber

Record Continued from Page

of

AD 1991-900

****

7. T his order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request further Mediation if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the children or in the event that the best interest of the children would be served by further Mediation.

8. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either of the parents or one of the children resides in the Court's jurisdiction and for at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

9. The fee for Child Custody Mediation shall be paid 75% by the plaintiff father and 25% by the defendant mother.

10. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent Order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty days from the date of this Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Oct 23, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Attys Gamble, Barnhart and Smith and Plaintiff and Defendant.

Oct. 25, 1993 Stipulation and Order to Modify, filed. AND NOW, this 25 day of October, 1993, the parties hereto having stipulated and agareed, it is hereby ordered and decreed asfollows:

1. The second paragraph which is lettereed (d) in section 2 of the Order of Court originally entered October 17, 1991, is hereby deleted and the following language substituted therefore:

The father having decided to relocate his primary residence to Corry, Pennsylvania, shall hereby be responsible for all transportation of the children to and from the home of the mother.

2. In all other respects the Order originally enterede October 17, 1991, is hereby ratified.

3. The parties may modify the periods of physical custody allocated to each of them by mutual agreement.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 3,1993 copy to J. Barnhart, A. Hansen-Gamble, B. Smith

As of April 19, 2002 anything filed involving this case is now found on the computer.

350
-b-

1991

1991

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

901

Robert J. Felton

DELORIS A. ELSLAGER

vs.

RICH L. ELSLAGER

Filed Sept. 18, 1991
@ 1:26 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Bruce Smith, Mediator 125.02

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

ORDER OF COURT:

YOU, RICH L. ELSLAGER, Defendant, have been sued in court to obtain custody or partial custody of: RICHARD RAMON ELSLAGER and JOSHUA DEREK ELSLAGER.

BRUCE SMITH, is appointed custody mediator and is hereby directed to hold such cusody mediation conferences as the mediator deems appropriate and to make recommendations thereater to this court. The parties are ordered to appear before the custody mediator at such times as the mediator shall direct and to bring with them the children, the subject of this action.

If you fail to appear as provided for by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Aministrator
Court House
Meadville, Pa 16335
(814) 336-1151, ext 298

Date: Sept 18, 1991

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller,

1 copy atty
1copy mediator

Sept 27, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared Jos. Barnhart Esq. atty. for plff., who being duly sworn according to law, did depose and say that he did serve a cert. copy of a Custody Complaint upon the above defendant at his mailing address of c/o Berkstrum, R. D. #1, Thompson Run Rd. Titusville, Pa. 16354 by U. S. Cert. mail, restricted delivery, evidenced by postal ret. rec. # P 620 649 934 purportedly signed by dft. and dated Spet. 23, 1991 attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

Joseph C. Barnhart, Esq.

Oct 18, 1991 Temporary Order of Court filed: AND NOW, this 17 day of October, 1991, after Mediation and upon recommendation of the
Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered anddecreed that:

1. The natural parents of Richard Ramon Elslager, age six, and Joshua Derek Elslager, age four, the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of the said minor children. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitled both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the children held by a third party, including, but not limited to, school and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equall input with regard to the educational and religious upbringing of the children, as well as to elective surgery.

2. Primary physical custody of the children shall remain with the natural father, Rich l Elslager. Temporary custody of the children shall be granted to the plaintiff mother, Deloris A. Elslager. Physical custody of the children for the plaintiff mother shall be as follows:

a. Beginning immediately, the mother shall have the right to take the children into her physical custody on the first, second and fourth weekends of each month, beginning at 4:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Friday, and ending at 7:30 p.m., prevailing time, on Sunday.

b. Beginning with the summer of 1992, the mother shall have the right to take the children into her physical custody for two periods of custody of two uninterrupted weeks in duration. The two-week period shall run consecutively, but the two periods shall not be tied together and shall be separated by at least two weeks, during which time the children shall be with the father. In the event that the parties cannot agree as to which two two-week periods the mother will have, they shall be the last two full weeks in June and the first two weeks in August of each summer.

c. Each week that the mother does not have the children in her temporary custody, she shall have the right to take the children into her temporary physical custody on Wednesday evening of each week between the hours of 4:30 and 6:30, prevailing time, on the condition that she pick up and deliver the children back to the father's home. In the event that she does not intend to exercise this mid-week visitation, she shall notify the father or a member of his household on the day before (Tuesday).

d. Holiday visitation shall take priority over other scheduled visitation. The parties are encouraged to agree as to holiday visitation; however, in the event they cannot, during even-numbered years, beginning in 1992, the mother shall have the children on Easter, the 4th of July, and Thanksgiving. The father shall have the children on Memorial Day and Labor Day. These days shall begin at 9:00 a.m., prevailing time, and end at 7:00 p.m., prevailing time. These days shall be reversed between the parents in odd-numbered years. The children shall spend Mother's Daya each year with the mother and Father's Day with the father. Those days shall begin at 9:00 a.m., prevailing time, and end at 7:00 p.m. prevailing time. The mother shall have the right to take the children into her temporary physical custody on their birthday each year betwen the hours of 4:00 p.m. and 6:30 p.m., prevailing time. Beginning in 1991, the mother shall have the right to tkae the children into her temporary physical custody on Christmas Eve Day at 7:00 p.m., prevailing time, through Christmas Day at 1:00 p.m., prevailing time. in even-numbered years, the father shall have the right to have the children during that same period, and the mother shall have the right to have the children on Christmas Day from 1:00 p.m. through 7:30 p.m., prevailing time. Each party shall pick up the children from the other parent's residence at the scheduled time of custody, except for the mid-week visitation during which the mother shall provide both pick up and drop off transportation of the children.

3. The parent havaing custody of the children at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon possible in the event of injury or illness of the children requiring medical treatment.

4. Neither parent shall take the children into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to their development or to the development of their characters or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to their physical persons.

5. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the children; and, if the parties mutually agree to alternative arrangements to accommodate themselves and/or the children's schedules, they may adjust the physical custody arrangements accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

6. Each of the parents (and all people who come into regular contact with the children, including grandparents) shall teach the children to develop and demonstrate that natural love and respect due a parent from his or her children. Neither of the parents (nor people coming into regular contact with the children) will do or say anything to blacken the character of the other parent in the eyes of the children.

7. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request further Mediation if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the children or in the event that the best interest of the children would be served by further Mediation.

8. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either of the parents or one of the children resides in the Court's jurisdiction and for at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

9. The fee for Child Custody Mediation shall be paid by the County of Crawford because both parties are on cash grant assistance.

10. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty days from the date of this Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Oct 22, 1991 copies of order mailed to Attys. Barnhart, Felton and Smith.

352

1991

pro se 902. pro se	ARDENELLE SUE JOHNSON vs. WILLIAM SHIFFLETT Filed Sept. 18, 1991 @ 3:31 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.25 state paid 5.00	APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF GEORGE W. HERZBERGER III, DISTRICT JUSTICE 30 - 3- 04 Sept 18, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued. Sept. 19, 1991 Proof of SErvice filed. Oct. 8, 1991 Complaint filed. 1 copy (sheriff)

1991

Barbara J.Walton
R. Charles Thomas
Thomas, Truax, Spada-
fore, Walker, Vardaro

903.

BARBARA J. WALTON

8-3-92 ~~Defendant~~ deposited $150.00 mediation deposit

10-5-92 Paid to dft. Med. Dep. Refund C# #7094 66.00

10-12-92 Pd Comm Med Fee Ch#7106 75.00

RICHARD A. OFFI

vs.

SHIRLEY MARIE OFFI

Filed Sept 18, 1991
@ 4:10 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
John Fuller, mediator	150.00
Pro Co Pd Ck#7107 10-12-92	9.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:
YOU, Shirley Marie Offi, dft. have been sued in court to obtain custody fo the following child: Dominic Robert Offi.
You are hereby ordered to appear in person at such time and place as is hereby designated by the Custody Mediator hereinafter appointed for a conference.
JOHN FULLER, ESQ. is hereby appointed as Custody Mediator in this case.
The Defendant is warned that in the event she fails to comply with this order she may be adjudicated in contempt of court and appropriate sanctions imposed upon her including having a warrant issued for her arrest and the imposition of fines and costs.
You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.
Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser.to the Pub. comm. c/o Prothonotary, crawford Countycourthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335 724-4636.
BY THE COURT,
Date: 9/9/91 Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 27, 1992 Counter Petition for Custody, filed. 2 copies atty.

Aug. 3, 1992 Motion to Apoint Child Custody Mediator and Order filed. And Now, July 31, 1992, upon presentation of the within Motion,this matter is hereby referred to John Fuller, Child Custody mediator for mediation.
By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.
Aug. 3, 1992 Copy of Order to R. Charles Thomas,Esq. and John Fuller,Esq.,Barbara J.Walton,ESq.
Aug.3, 1992 Notice and Order to Appear filed. You, Richard Offi, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of Dominic Robert Offi.
You are ORDERED to appear for a hearing before John Fuller, Esq.,Child Custody Mediator, at such time and place as he shall designate.
If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Or der for custody,partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arres.
You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a Lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.
Court Administrator, Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
Telephone 814-336-1151,Ext. 298
BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

August 14, 1992 Answer To Counter Petition For Custody filed. (1 copy atty.)

Oct 5, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 2, 1992,after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esq., IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:
I. Legal custody of Dominic Robert offi shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the child such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject child.
II. Physical custody of Dominic Robert Offi shall be with his father, subject to the followign conditions:
A. The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the child into her custody every third month from 12:00 noon on the first day of such month to 12:00 Noon on the last day of such month, beginning oct. 1, 1992 (That is in 1993 and subsequent years the child shall be in the mother's custody during the months of January, April, July and October.
B. Transportation of the child for visits shall be equally divided as follows: Unless the parties agree otherwise, the father shall deliver the child at the beginning of the visit and the mother shall return the child at the end of the visit.
C. Any time the mother is visiting in Crawford County, she may have the child in her custody for up to 24 hours on 7 days advance notice to the father.
D. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anythingin an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorabel and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.
E. Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or well being.
F. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the child and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephoen number.
III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within 30 days of the date hereof.
IV. Cost of the mediation conference will be equally divided between the parties. The Prothonotary of Crawford County is hereby directed to refund one-half of the deposit paid in advance by the Defendant less the cost of the custody order. Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
Oct. 12, 1992 copy to B. Walton, R.C. Thomas, J. Fuller, Plf. & Dft.

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

~~Anthony J. Vardaro~~ withdrawn
~~Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker~~

904.

Dennis Kuftic
Devin & Kuftic

DONALD E. WILLIAMS

vs.

CYNTHIA M. WILLIAMS

Filed Sept. 19, 1991
@ 10:20 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 10/18/91 -	30.00
state paid	10.00
Pro.Co. Pd 11/8/93	45.00
State paid	15.00
Pro Co pd 12-19-94	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 3301(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Sept 25, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed: I hereby accept service on behalf of Cynthia M. Williams, defendant, of a Complaint in Divorce Under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code issued in the above captioned matter and I certify that I am authorized to do so on behalf of that Defenadnt.
DateL 9.23/91 Dennis G. Kuftic, Esq.

Oct. 18, 1991, Claim of Right filed. (adding counts of Alimony pendente Lite, Counsel Fees and Expenses and marital Property) 2 copies (atty)

Oct. 18, 1991, Petition for Alimony Pendente LIte, Counsel Fees, Costs of litigation and Court Costs and Order filed. 1 copy (atty) RULE TO SHOW CAUSE: AND NOW, to-wit, this 18th day of October, 1991, upon consideration fothe within Petition, a Rule is hereby entered upon the Respondent to show cause why the within Petition should not be granted.
Rule returnable the 2nd day of Dec. 1991 at 1:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2. Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct. 18, 1991, Motion for Special RElief and Order filed. 1 copy (atty) RULE TO SHOW CAUSE: AND NOW, to-wit, the 18th day of October, 1991, upon consideration of the within Motion, aRule is hereby entered upon teh plaintiff to show cause why the within Motion should not be granted.
Rule returnable the 2nd day of Dec. 1991 at 1:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2.
Pending said hearing, the defendant Cynthia M. Williams, is granted exclusive possession of the parties' jointly-owned home at R. D. #2, Box 301, Conneaut ville, Pa. 16406, as wella s the parties' 1987 Ford Escort automobile. Gordon R. Miller, J.
Oct. 21, 1991 copies of the 2 above orders to Attys. Vardaro and Kuftic

Nov. 27, 1991 Certification Re: Attorney's Fees, Court Costs and Cost of Litigation filed.

Nov. 27, 1991 Memorandum filed.

Nov. 27, 1991 Plaintiff's INcome and Expense Statement of Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 6, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, December 2, 1991, the matter is before the Court on the request for alimony pendente lite, counsel fees, and related divorce costs. The Court notes there is already a spousal support order entered as part of a domestic relations order at No. D.R. 1991-474 awarding spousal support and support for two children in the amount of $625.00 per month with $180.00 more or less being allocated to spousal support. The Court is advised that there is a request for hearing de novo on this issue. The husband admits he has an obligation to pay the same
until a de novo hearing can be held.
The husband is th eowner of a franchise grocery store, andthe Court and counsel have reviewed tax returns for 1989 and 1990 and an estimated income and expense statement for 1991. Profits have caried dramatically over the three years, but the husband, under his franchise arrangement, seems to be guaranteed a draw of $850.00 every two weeks resulting in an approximate income of $1,700.00 plus some ancillary lottery money totaling apploximately $300.00 per month or a monthly net income of roughly $2,000.00 from which usual federal, state and local taxes would have to be deducted. the wife has a hip problem and can only work part itme an dhas an income of approximately $365.00 per month take-home pay.
the husband had an IRA account of approximately $43,000.00, and shortly after separation, he took out $26,000.00 thereform to pay off the mortgage on the jointly owned homestead and the jointly onwed cars. He also forwarded the IRA some $8,500.00 to apply towards withdrawal of th money from the IRA account and taxes thereon. Apparently medical expenses for the family are covered and paid for by the franchisor.
Under all of the circumstances, this Court cannot find that an alimony pendente lite order in any substantial amount is appropciate in view of the present financial circumstances of the parties. In the event a spousal support obligation is drastically reduced at a de novo domestic relations hearing, the Court reserves the right to reconsider the denial of alimony pendente lite.
The wife has incurred some $1,800.00 in attorney fees, and the Court directs that portion of said fees be paid as follows by the husband: $500.00 by January 1, 1982, and an additional $500.00 by January 15, 1992, and an additional $200.00 by February 1, 1992, the balance remaining of upaid attorney fees, and any further attorney fees accumulated, by February 1, 1992. The balance of the attorney fees due as of December 2, 1992, will be due and owing in the final divorce decree and will be payable by the husband to the wife. Attorney fees of both parties will be considered by the divorce master in the divorce or by final court Order.
In the divorce aciton, but pa rties recommend that an appraiser must be hired to give an evaluation of the real estate andhousehold property located therein. The Court's suggestion to the parties is that thehusband submit a list three potential realtors knowledgeable of real estate in the Conneautville area and submit the same to the wife.The Court suggests the wife strike one an dreturn thelist to the husband, then the husband may strike one, and the remaining appraiser could be employed by the parties to appraise the real estate and household contents to give each par ty a bargaining point to begin negotiations in the divorce decree. If the Court's recommendation is followed, the husband will be responsible for paying two-thirds of the appraiser's fees, and the wife is responsible for one-third.
There seems to be something of a problem regarding exclusive possession of the marital residence by the wife, and the husband agrees that he has removed all of his personal property and that he has no intentions of going to the property in secret or unvited or entering the proper ty for any purpose unless he is specifically asked to do so by his wife, and he agrees that he will not remove any further property from the marital homestead nor have others to do on his behalf.
Each party agrees that each has one of the marital automobiles and each shall continue to retain possession and full usage of said automobile.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Dec. 10, 1991 Copies of order to Atty's Vardaro and Kuftic

Jan. 24, 1992 MOTION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 24th day of January, 1992, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, Anthony J. Vardaro, Esq., is permitted to withdraw his appearance on behalf of the Plaintiff, Donald E. Williams,
Jan. 28, 1992 copy sent to D. Kuftic

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Continued on Page 354 A

Donald E. Williams
vs.
Cynthia M. Williams

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 354
of Record
A.D. 1991 - 904

****

Mar. 30, 1992 Motion for Special Relief and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit, this 30th day of March, 1992, upon consideration of the within Motion, said motion is denied. Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Mar. 30, 1992 1 copy (atty)

Apr. 7, 1992 Appearance of Mary Ann Kirkpatrick for Plaintiff, filed.

Aug. 12, 1993 Inventory filed.

Nov. 8, 1993 Amended Claim of Right, filed.

Nov. 15, 1994, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Nov. 15, 1994, Acceptance of service filed. I, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick esq. hereby accept servcie on behalf of plaintiff of the amended claim of Right under Sect. 3301(c) issued in above matter.
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick Esq

Dec. 15, 1994 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec. 16, 1994 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Dec. 22, 1994 AND NOW, December 21, 1994, it is ordered and decreed that DONALD E. WILLIAMS, Plaintiff, and CYNTHIA M. WILLIAMS, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

All duties, rights and claims of the parties in regard to each other as a result of their marriage are hereby terminated, except for those duties, rights and claims specifically enumerated in a Postnuptial Agreement executed by the paraties and dated Nov. 15, 1994, the terms of which are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

354
-b-

1991

1991

Rosen, Rosen & Bloom
Bruce T. Rosen

905.

Gerald Sullivan

PAULA A. ARMSTRONG

vs.

CYNTHIA ARMSTRONG

Filed Sept. 19, 1991

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Bruce Smith mediator	147.88
sheriffs costs	33.50
	9.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Cynthia Armstrong, have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody or visitation rights of Kristen M. Armstrong.

BRUCE SMITH, ESQ. is appointed mediator for the purpose of conducting a conference with respect to the issues raised in the complaint. The mediator shall conduct such a conference and shall report to the court as to whether or not the issues raised in the complaint are capable of resolution by agreement between the parties. The mediator shall confer with the parties and make every effort to achieve negotiated resolutions of the issues raised in the complaint. No. testimony will be taken at the mediation conference. The parties shsould bring with them any relevant expert reports. If no such resolution can be achieved, the mediator shall so report tothe court. He shall also submit an intermim proposed order which shall include a hearing date before the court.

You are ordered to appear for the mediaton conference which will be scheduled by the mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody, or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot affore one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Court Administrator, courthouse Meadville, Pa. 16335, 814 336-1151 ext. 298

Dated: 9/18/91

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller P.J.

Sept. 25, 1991 Appearance of Northwestern Legal Services by Gerald Sullivan for defendant filed.

Oct. 9, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Cynthia Armstrong personally on Sept. 25, 1991 at 11:13 a.m. at her residence 124 E. Main st. Titusville, Crawford County Pa.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov 8, 1991 Temporary Order of Court filed: AND NOW, this 8th day of November, 1991, after Mediation and upon recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. Paul A. Armstrong, the natural mother of Kristen Mae Armstrong, age three, born March 27, 1988, shall have custody of the said minor child. The grandmother, Cynthia Armstrong, the defendant in this case, shall be entitled to access to any records relating to the child held by a third party, including, but not limited to, school and medical records.

2. Primary physical custody of the child shall be transferred to the natural mother, Paula A. Armstrong. Pursuant to provisions of the Grandparent visitation Act, visitation and temporary custody of the child shall be granted to the defendant grandmother, Cynthia Armstrong, as follows:

a. Every day, Monday through Friday each week, except during the plaintiff mother's annual vacation period, the child shall be in the temporary custody of the defendant grandmother from 2:45 p.m. through 6:30 p.m., prevailing time, at which time the mother shall make arrangements for a competent baby-sitter to pick up the child from the grandmother's home and return the child to the mother's home. The mother shall be responsible for transporting the child to the grandmother's home and from the grandmother's home back to her home.

b. Beginning with the summer of 1992, the grandmother shall be entitled to have the child in her temporary custody for one full uninterrupted week, which week shall begin at 9:00 p.m., prevailing time, Sunday, and shall end at 9:00 p.m., prevailing time the following Sunday. The Grandmother shall give a written notice of thirty (30) days to the mother of when that week shall occur during the months of June, July or August. That week shall not be taken so as to interfere with the mother's vacation time.

d. Holiday visitation shall take priority over other scheduled visitation. The parties are encouraged to agree as to holiday visitation; however, in the event that they cannot, the mother shall see that the child spends at least three hours in the grandmother's home on Thanksgiving Day, Christmas Day and the child's birthday.

3. The party having custody of the child at anytime shall notify the other party as soon as possible in the event of injury or illness of the child requiring medical treatment.

4. Neither party shall take the child into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to her development or to the development of her character or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to her physical person. This prohibition shall include taverns or restaurants where the primary business is selling alcoholic beverages.

5. The parties are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the child; and, if the parties mutually agree to alternative arrangements to accommodate themselves and/or the child's schedule, they may adjust the physical arrangements accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

6. Each of the parties (and any and all persons coming into regular contact with the child) shall teach the child to develop and demonstrate that natural love and respect due a parent or a grandparent. None of those people will do or say anything to blacken the character of either party in the eyes of the child.

7. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request further Mediation if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the child. For the purpose of this paragraph, cohabitation by the mother with a member of the opposite sex in the presence of the child shall be considered a changed circumstance. Additional Mediation can also be requested anytime it is in the best interest of the child.

8. Both of the parties to this case shall abstain from the consumption of alcoholic beverages or any other mood-altering chemicals during the time they have custody of the child. This paragraph shall be interpreted so that either party can socially consume alcohol while the child is in her custody so long as the child is in the charge of a competent baby-sitter or other adult relative.

9. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either of the parties or the child resides full or part-time in the Court's jurisdiction and for at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

10. The fee for Child Custody Mediation shall be paid 50% by plaintiff mother and 50% by the County of Crawford on behalf of the defendant grandmother.

11. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent Order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty days from the date of this Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, President Judge

Nov 14, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty. Sullivan's box and copies mailed to Attys. Rosen and Smith and copy mailed to Plaintiff.

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

906.

Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs

ROBIN HANNOLD

vs.

TERRY M. HANNOLD

Filed Sept. 19, 1991
@ 2:04 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd. 2-18-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 30, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. I, the above named defendant, hereby accept service of the complaint in the above action. Terry M. Hannold.
Sate : 10/22/91

Feb. 7, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT of DEFENDANT filed.

Feb. 18, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 18, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Mar. 13, 1992 Memorandum of Judge Miller, J
Mar. 13, 1992 copy given to Brian Lindsay and copy maile dto dft.

May 7, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Reteansmit Record, this case moved to court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

May 13, 1992 Stipulation and Consent Decree filed. AND NOW, this 12th day of May, 1992, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Stipulation, this Court hereby directs that:

1. Divorce

Upon Consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §3301(c) of the Divorce Code of Pennsylvania.

2. Equitable Property Determination and Distribution

Wife shall be the sole and exclusive owner of a certain 1981 Chrysler LeBaron automobile, VIN#1C3BM56E8BG15832, and Husband shall execute any and all documents necessary to effect said transfer to Wife. Wife shall be solely responsible for any and all outstanding balance due and owing on said vehicle, including, but not limited to: title, registration, tax, license, and maintenance and shall hold Husband harmless and indemnify him from any and all liability therefor.

Husband shall deliver to Wife the sum of $5,356.00 in cash or certified check within five (5) days from the date of the entry of this decree. Said sum representing Wife's equitable share of her interest in the marital real estate located at RD # 9 Limber Rd., Meadville, PA.

Husband shall be the sole and exclusive owner of the marital real estate located at RD #9 Limber Rd., Meadville, PA. and shall be solely responsible for any and all outstanding balances due and owing on said real estate, including, but not limited to: any outstanding mortgage balance-if any, taxes, insurance, utilities, and maintenance and shall hold Wife harmless and indemnify her from any and all liability therefor. Wife shall execute any and all documents necesary to effect Husband's ownership in said property as re necessary and appropriate.

The Wife shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and other personalty currently in her possession and Husband shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and personalty currently in his possession.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

1991

Edward G. Davis

907.

TAMMY SLAVEN, FOR HERSELF AND ON BEHALF OF HER CHILDREN AUSTIN HESS (7), BRANDIN HESS (5) AND JASMINE SLAVEN (2)

vs.

JODY SLAVEN

Filed Sept. 19, 1991
@ 2:08 p.m.

Indigent filing

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE AND REQUEST FOR EXPARTE RELIEF

1 copy (county control)
1 copy (city police)
2 copies (plff)

TEMPORARY ORDER:

NOW, this 17th day of Sept. 1991, after Ex Parte hearing it is ordered and directed that:

1. Petitioner, Tammy Slaven, is granted exclusive possession ofthe subject premises at 29 Locust St. Rouseviller, Pa.

2. Respondent Jody Slaven is immediately and forthwith ordered to refrain from going to the premises at 29 Locust St. Rouseville, Pa. 16344 and is specifically directed to remain away from the said premises.

3. Respondent Jody Slaven is immediately and forthwith ordered to refrain from engaging inany abusive harassing or threatening behavior against the petitioner and her minor children

4. A hearing shall be convened on the 26 day of Sept. 1991 at 8:45 a.m. in Court Room No. 2, of the Venango County Courthouse, Franklin, Pa. at which time testimony shall be taken for the purpose fo entering an order with regard to the allegations of the petition for Protection form abuse.

5. The respondent Jody slaven is advised that he may appear at such date and time and present any evidence or testimony on his behalf as he shall wish.

6. Petitioner, Tammy Slaven, by counsel may deliver a cert. copy of this order for service to the Sheriff of Venango County and the pa. State police either of whom shall be authorized to servcie a copy of this order upon the respondent Jody Slaven, to secure his compliance with this order.

7. Petitioner, Tammy Slaven through counsel shall deliver a copy of this order to the Venango countycentral Registry.

8. Petitioner is granted leave to proceed in all respects in forma pauperis.

9. Respondent Jody Slaven is immediately and forthwith ordered to surrender any and all keys which he has to the subject residence to the Officer serving this order, and further to surrender any rifles, pistols and other firearms he owns or possesses to said officer.

10. Petitioner, Tammy Slaven is granted legal and physican custody of the minor children, Brandin Hess (5) Jasmine Slaven (2) and Austin Hess (7) unti further order of court.

By the Court,
William E. Breen, Judge

1991

William F. Peters

908.

HARRY A KRAELING

vs.

DEBRA M. KRAELING

Filed Sept. 19, 1991
@ 3:01 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
state paid 10.00
Pro Co Pd 3-19-92 9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 19, 1992 ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE filed. I, the above named Defendant, hereby accept service of the Complaint in the above action.

Mar. 19, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

Mar. 19, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Mar. 19, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D

AND NOW, March 24, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Harry A. Kraeling, Plaintiff, and Debra M.Kraeling, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Mar. 26, 1992 copy in box for W. Peters.

1991

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey
Joan D. Mason

909.

Brian J. Lindsay

Vendetti, Talarico & McNair
Anthony Angelone,Esq.

ROBERT ATROZSKIL, ONALEE ATROZSKIN AND LAWRENCE ATROZSKIN

vs.

LISA ATROZSKIN

Filed Sept. 20, 1991
@ 9:33 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
John Fuller, mediator	250.00
John Fuller, mediator	200.00
Pro Co	9.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY AND VISITATION RIGHTS

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

NOTICE AND ORDER OF COURT:
You, Lisa Atrozskin, dft. have been sued in court to obtain custody fothe children:
You are ordered to appear as provided by this order, in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.
If you fail TO APPEAR as provided by this order, an order for custody amy be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot affore one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.
Crawford County Bar Association, Ser. to the Pub. Comm. c/o Prothonotary, Meadville Pa. 16335 724-4636.
Date : 9/20/91
BY THE COURT,
P. R. Thomas, S. J.

Oct. 7, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Terry toomey, ESq. after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the atty. of record for plffs. and that as such I am authorized to execute this affidavit; that I did on 9/20/91 send by cert. Mial Ret. REc. req. to dft. a true and correct copy of the Complaint for Custody and Visitation rights at her last known address of 14216 Brunswick Ave. Maple Heights, Oh. 44137 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 425 657 338 and that on 9/30/91 I did receive the duly executed ret. rec. dated 9/25/91.
Date: 10/7/91
Terry Toomey, Esq.

Received 2-22-93 med. dept. 150.00 from Dept.

Oct 22, 1991 Answer to Complaint filed.
1 copy (Atty)

Nov 25, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, November 22nd, 1991, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Robert Atrozskin, Daniel Atrozskin and Alexandra Atrozskin shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affecting the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject children.

II. Physical custody of Robert Atrozskin, Daniel Atrozskin and Alexandra Atrozskin shall be with their father, effective December 1, 1991, subject to the following conditions:

A. The mother shall be entitled to have the children in her custody every weekend, with the exception of the second weekend of each month (that being the weekend in which the second Saturday falls, from 10:00 a.m. on Saturday to 6:00 p.m. on Sunday, beginning December 7, 1991.

B. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. Custody of the children shall be alternated on the aforesaid holidays.

On even numbered years the children shall be in the custody of the mother on Christmas, and in the custody of the father on thanksgiving and Easter.

On odd numbered years the children shall be in the custody of the mother on Thanksgiving and Easter, and in the cusotdy of the father on Christmas.

C. Beginning with the Summer of 1992, the children shall be in the custody of the mother for two periods of two (2) weeks, each. The mother shallgive the father at least thirty (30) days notice of the date of each such Summer visit.

D. Transportation of the children on weekends, holidays and Summer visits shall be provided by the father.

E. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against th eother parent, but on the moterh hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

F. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harful to the children's health or well being.

G. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding one or both of the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

H. Both mother and father shall secure within sixty (60) days of the date of this order, a mental health evaluation from a licensed psychologist, and shall furnish each other (or their attorneys) copies of such evaluations. Furthermore, each parent shall aacept such counseling or treatment as is recommended by their psychologist.

I. Neither party shall consum alcohol while the children are in their custody

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either par ty within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

Gordon R. Miller, J

Dec. 2, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Toomey, Lindsay and Fuller

Nov. 27, 1991 Request For Hearing De Novo and Petition For Special Relief Under Pa R.C.P. 1915.13 and Orders filed. Motion denied this 27th day of November, 1991.
By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller,

AND NOW, this 27 day of November, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petition, a hearing de novo is set for the 8th day of January, 1992, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania, commencing at 1:30 o'clock p.m. The evaluations referred to in the temporary order shall be completed by January 1, 1992.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Dec. 2, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Toomey, Lindsay and Fuller

CONTINUED ON PAGE 462

1991

Barbara J. Walton

John Spataro

910.

William Walker

DEBORAH J. WOOD

vs.

RICHARD J. WOOD

Filed Sept. 20, 1991
@ 9:46 a.m.

Tax & entry	Pd 10/7/91	$50.50 ✓
state	Pd 10/7/91	5.00
Sheriff's Costs		28.00
Pro Co	Pd 10/7/91	9.00 ✓

10/4/91 Recd $28.00 from dft.

10/7/91 Pd D $28.00 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff Cr # 6443

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy (plff)
1 copy (sheriff)

TEMPORARY ABUSE ORDER:

And now, this 20 day of Sept. 1991 upon review of the petition of plaintiff, the court enters the following temporary order:

1. The defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the plaintiff.

2. Special Conditions: defendant may not contact plaintiff or go to her present residence for any purpose. Planitiff awarded possession of 1985 Monte Carlo auto. Defendant may not go to plaintiffs workplace for any purpose.

3. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.

4. A copy of this order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to county control.

5. Service of a copy of the petiton and this order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer, or competent adult.

6. This temporary order shall remian in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be detemined by final court order.

7. The defendant is reminded that his is an order of court and violation of this order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000 and a jail sentenc eof up to six months.

8. The court reserves ruling on allocation of fees and costs.

Hearing is hereby set for Sept. 30, 1991 at 3:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of theCrawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain a lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Sept. 20, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Richard J. Wood personally on September 20, 1991, at 11:15 AM at the residence, R.D. #1, Box 55A, Conneautville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
James Withers, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct 2, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, September 30, 1991, at the time fixed for hearing in this matter, both parties appeared with counsel and agreed to a temporary resolution of this matter, and therefore, pursuant to said agreement, it is ordered as follows:

1. The Temporary Abuse Ordered entered September 20, 1991 shall remail in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further Order of Court. The Order shall not be in effect beyond September 29, 1992, unless extended by further Order of Court. Since the Court has not disposed of this matter on its merits and since this order is entered simply based upon the agreement of the parties to continue the status quo, either party may request a hearing by filing a motion with the Court and a hearing to dispose of the petition on its merits shall then be scheduled.

2. The following items of non-marital property represent items belonging to the plaintiff and the plaintiff shall pick them up at the defendant's residence at 12:00 o'clock noon on Friday, October 4, 1991, and prior thereto, the defendant shall assemble said items and leave them on his porch.

All Home Interior wall decor, kitchen untensils, dishes and silverware of the wife, twenty cubic foot freezer, (the defendant does not admit that this in non-marital property and reserves the right to claim that it is marital property in another appropriate proceeding), Christmas decorations, desk, Avon in boxes and two twenty-six inch girls' bikes.

3. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police Department in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to County Control, along with a copy of the Temporary Abuse Order of September 20, 1991 if that has not already been done.

4. The Court costs amount to $92.50. The plaintiff shall pay $30.90 by 4:30 o'clock Friday, October 4, 1991. The defendant shall pay his share of costs in the amount of $61.60 no later that 4:30 oclock p.m. on Monday, October 7, 1991.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Oct 3, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty Spataro and Walker's boxes.

Sept. 22, 1992 Motion to Extend Protection From Abuse Order, Motion to Set Hearing on Motion for Extension and Order Filed. And Now, September 22, 1992, hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Protection from Abuse Order, is set onSeptember 29, 1992, at 1:30 P.M. o'clock in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse located on Diamond Square in Meadville,Pennsylvania.

For the Court:
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator

Sept. 23, 1992 Copy of the Order to Attys. Walton, Spataro & Walker.

Oct. 1, 1992 Motion To Cancel Hearing and Order filed. And Now, September 30, 1992, upon presentation of the within motion, hearing on the Extension of Protection from Abuse is cancelled.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 7, 1992 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Walton and Walker

1991

Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett PC
Jack M. Gornall
Thomas A. Tupitza

911.

William Walker for dfts Com. First Broadcasting - Edward Mattar III

NORTHWEST SAVINGS BANK, PA. S.A.

vs.

COMMUNITY FIRST BROADCASTING, INC.

WERNER POEGEL, PAULETTE ANN POEGEL, AND EDWARD P. MATTAR III

Filed Sept. 20, 1991
@ 10:30 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	35.00
Pro Co Pd 11/8/91	9.00
Pro.Co.pd 5/13/93	30.00
State pd 5/13/93	5.00
Pro Co. pd 2/22/94	6.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

Oct. 7, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed.SERVED community First Broadcasting Inc. on sept. 26, 1991 at 3:13 p.m. by handing copy of complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure to Werner Pergel at R. d. #4 Cutter Road, Meadville, Crawford county,pa.
Posted the property of community First Broadcasting Inc. located at 827 Park Ave Meadivlle, Crawford County, Pa. on Sept 26, 1991 at 1:17 p.m.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

And Now, Nov. 8, 1991 upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendatn for want of an answer, damages assessed inthe sum of Two Hundred Twenty Five Thousand Eight Hundred Ninety Eight and 54/100 Dollars with int. on this amount at 12.50% from Jan. 20, 1992.
Judgment entered
11/8/91
C - 182 Debt -------$225,898.54
Int. from 1/20/92
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Nov. 19, 1991 notice of entry of judgment mailed dft.

EXECUTION 1991 - 93

July 10, 1992 Notice to Plead Petition to Fix Fair Market Value of Real Property filed.

July 10, 1992 Petition to Fix Fair Market Value of Real Property and Order filed. AND NOW, this 9 day of July, 1992, a Hearing on the attached Petition is scheduled for Aug. 27, 1992 at 1:30 P.M. in Room 1 or 2, Crawford County Court House, Meadville, PA.

For The Court,
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator

July 14, 1992 Copy of Order to Atty. Thomas Tupitza.

August 27, 1992 Affidavit of Service filed.

August 27, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, August 27, 1992, this matter is before the Court on the request of the petitioner to fix fair market value of real estate pursuant to 42 Pa. C.S. 8103.
It appears that all respondents have been served and that none appear in court with respect to this matter.
ACCORDINGLY, the fair market value of the property in question is fixed at $88,300.00 as appraised by John E. Pritchard, Licensed Real Estate Broker.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, Judge

Aug. 27, 1992Copy of Order mailed to Atty. Thomas Tupitza, Community First Broadcasting, Inc., Werner and Paulette Poegel and Edward Matter, III.

Feb. 9, 1993, Petition to Appoint Receiver, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 3rd day of Feb. 1993, a Hearing on the attached Petition is s cheduled for April 2, 1993 at 1:30 p.m. in Room 2 Crawford CountyCourt House, Meadville, Pa.

ANTHONY J. Vardaro, Judge

Feb. 12, 1993, copy of order to T. Tupitza, Community First Broadcasting, Poegels, and Mattar.

(on behalf of: Community First Broadcasting, Inc.)
March 8, 1993 Motion to Strike-Off Judgment and Rule To Show Cause filed. AND NOW, this ____ day of March, 1993, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion to Strike-off Judgment, a Rule is hereby entered upon Northwest Savings Bank, Pa S.A. to show cause why the judgments, to the extent previously entered, should not be stricken.
Rule returnable for argument on the 2nd day of April, 1993 at 1:30 o'clock p.m., in Crawford County Court Room No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.

BY: Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

March 11, 1993 Copy of Order mailed to Attys. Jack Gornall and Thomas Tupitza and Wm. Walker.

(on behalf of: Edward P. Mattar, III)
March 8, 1993 Motion to Strike-Off Judgment and RULE TO SHOW CAUSE filed. AND NOW, this 5 day of March, 1993, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion to Strike-off Judgment, a Rule is hereby entered upon Northwest Savings Bank, Pa S.A. to show cause why the judgmetns, to the extent previously entered, should not be stricken.
Rule returnable for argument on the 2nd day of April, 1993 at 1:30 o'clock P.M., in Crawford Co. Court Room No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA.

BY: Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

March 11, 1993 Copy of Order mailed to Attys. Jack Gornall, Thomas Tupitza;copy given to Wm.Walker.

March 8, 1993 Motion To Discontinue or Dismiss Receivership Hearing and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 5 day of March, 1993, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the Court hereby sets an argument on teh said Motion for the 2nd day of April, 1993 at 1:30 o'clock P.M. in Court Room No. 1 or 2 in Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

March 11, 1993 Copy of Order mailed to Attys's. Jack Gornall, Thomas Tupitza;copy given to Wm.Walker.

Continued on page 468 B

1991

Watts and Pepicelli
Alan L. Pepicelli

912.

Knox, McLaughlin
Gornall & Sennett PC
Joanna K. Budde

CAROL A. WARP

vs.

EDWARD C. RENDULIC, JOHN A RENDULIC AND KATHERINE A. RENDULIC, HIS WIFE, MICHAEL A. RENDULIC, AND RICHARD P. RENDULIC

Filed Sept. 20, 1991
@ 2:04 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50 ✓
state paid 5.00
Sheriff's costs 64.25
Pro.Co. pd 9/3/93 6.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (atty) (sheriff)

Oct.2, 1991 Writ return filed. SERVED Katherine A. Rendulic personally on Sept. 26, 1991 at 2:10 PM at the residence, RD # 2, Conneaut Lake, Crawford Co., PA.

SERVED Michael A. Rendulic on Sept. 26, 1991 at 2:11 PM by handing copy of Summons to Katherine A. Rendulic, Adult in Charge, at RD # 2, Conneaut Lake, Crawford Co., PA.

SERVED John A. Rendulic on Sept. 26, 1991 at 2:12 PM by handing copy of Summons to Katherine A. REndulic, Adult in Charge, at RD # 2, Conneaut Lake, Crawford Co., PA.

SERVED Richard P. Rendulic on Sept. 26, 1991 at 2:13 PM by handing copy of Summons to Katherine A. Rendulic, Adult in Charge, at R D # 2, Conneaut Lake, Crawford Co., PA.

SERVED Edward C. Rendulic on Sept. 26, 1991 at 2:14 PM by handing copy of Summons to Katherine A. Rendulic, Adult in Charge, at RD # 2, Conneaut Lake, Crawford Co., PA.

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 15, 1991 Appearance of Knox McLaughlin Gornall and Sennett PC by Joanna K. Budde, attorneys for defendants filed.

June 11, 1993, Notice of Service of Interrogatories and Request of production to Plaintiff (First Set) filed.

Sep. 3, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Alan L.Pepicelli, Esquire, the above-captioned case settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Cusick, Madden Joyce and McKay
Donald R. McKay

913.

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker
R. Charles Thomas

SOUTH PARK PLAZA, INC.

vs.

VERNON TOWNSHIP WATER AUTHORITY and VERNON TOWNSHIP

Filed Sept. 20, 1991
@ 2:46 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	27.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Oct. 7, 1991 Vernon Township Water Authority Answer To Plaintiff's Complaint filed..

Oct. 9, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Vernon Township Water Authority on September 26, 1991 at 1:40 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Brenda Oster, Clerk and person in charge, at the Municipal Building, McMath Road, Meadville, Crawford County, PA. SERVED Vernon Township on September 26, 1991 at 1:42 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Brenda Oster, Clerk and person in charge, at the Municipal Building, McMath Road, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 18, 1991 Answer To Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Jan. 9, 1991 Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON FEBRUARY 1992 TRIAL LIST

Jan. 28, 1992 MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE filed. See original filed at A.D. 1991 - 540.

Jan. 28, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Jan. 27, 1992 on motion of counsel for the Plaintiff, this case is continued to the April 1992 civil trial list, there being no objection to the motion for continuance.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

"See original at A.D. 1991 = 540."

July 20, 1992 Motion for Sanctions filed.
July 20, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, July 16,1992 upon presentation of the attached motion for sanctions a rule, to show cause is hereby entred and directed to the defendant to show cause,if any they have, why sanctions should not be granted.
Said rule is returnable for hering and/or argument on July 29, 1992 at 3:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 of theCrawford County Courthouse.
BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
July 22, 1992 Copy of the Order to Atty. McKay, and Atty. Thomas.
"See original at A.D.1991 - 540."

Sept. 29, 1992 Assignment of Nonjury Trial Date filed. September 29, 1992, nonjury trial in the above matter is set for December 10, 1992, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom 1, before Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, CA

Sept. 29, 1992 Copies given to Atty's McKay, Bailey, Ferguson and Thomas

Nov. 30, 1992 AGREEMENT and CONSENT DECREE filed. DECREE: AND NOW, this 30th day of November, 1992, the above Agreement is hereby entered as a CONSENT DECREE to be entered at each of the above three docket numbers with the understanding of all of the parties and their counsel that this CONSENT DECREE shall be final and non-appealable. Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Dec. 1, 1992 copy to D. McKay & R.C. Thomas
"See original at A.D. 1991 - 540"

1991

Culbertson, Weiss,
S chetroma & Schug
E. Max Weiss

914.

Gary A. Fabian
Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso, Gamble and
Fabian.

JANET L. OAKES AND ERNEST OAKES, HUSBAND AND WIFE

vs.

FOSTER GRADING COMPANY AND PHILLIP CARLSON

Filed Sept. 20, 1991
@ 3:51 p.m.

Tax & entry paid		$50.50
state paid		5.00
Sheriff's Costs		52.12
Pro Co Pd	8/17/93	5.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Oct. 1, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. SERVED the within Complaint on September 24, 1991, at 2:30 PM, upon PHILLIP CARLSON at R.D. #2, Stoneboro, Pennsylvania by handing to Margaret Ann Carlson, adult family member with whom PHILLIP resides a true and correct copy thereof and made known to her the contents therein. SERVED the within Complaint on Sept. 24, 1991, at 1:16 PM upon FOSTER GRADING COMPANY at Foster Grading, R.D. #1, Jackson Center, PA by handing to Beth A. Shook, Receptionist P.I.C. a true and correct copy thereof and made known to her the contents therein.

David E. Hogue, Deputy Sheriff
Mark S. Fisher, Deputy Sheriff
William H. Romine, Jr. Sheriff

See Affidavit of Service endorsed hreon by Sheriff of Mercer County, Pennsylvania, which is part of this return.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 15, 1991 Appearance of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, Gamble, and Fabian, by Gary A. Fabian, attorneys for defendants filed.

Aug. 17, 1993, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

915.

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED
INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

DAVID G. THOMAS

Filed Sept. 20, 1991

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Procedures Act of 1976 (Sect. 303)

1991

Watts and Pepicelli
PC
Theodore H. Watts

916.

Joni M. Mangino
Zimmer, Kunz, Loughren, Hart, Lazaroff, Trenor, Banyas & Conaway, PC

ISRAEL & WOOD
James A. Wood
David J. Singley

DIANNE M. MERCHBAKER

vs.

COUNTY OF CRAWFORD, DAVID M. GLENN, INDIVIDUALLY, AND AS COUNTY COMMISSIONER AND KERRY D. CORBETT, INDIVIDUALLY, AND AS COUNTY COMMISSIONER, AND DEBORAH J. DONAHUE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS CHIEF CLERK

Filed Sept 20, 1991
@ 4:26 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	43.00
Pro.Co. Pd 4/26/95	$6.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

4 copies (sheriff)

Sept. 30, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED County of Crawford on September 23, 1991 at 1:51 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Frederic Wagner, Treasurer, in the Treasurer's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
SERVED David M. Glenn personally on September 23, 1991 at 1:58 PM in the Commissioner's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
SERVED Kerry D. Corbett personally on September 24, 1991 at 9:01 AM inthe Commissioner's Office,r Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
SERVED Deborah J. Donahue personally on September 24, 1991 at 9:02 AM in the Commissioner's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 11, 1991 Appearance of Joni M. Mangino, of Zimmer, Kunz, Loughren, Hart, Lazaroff, Trenor, Banyas & Conaway, P.C., attorneys for defendants filed.

Oct. 11, 1991 Preliminary Objections filed.

Oct. 25, 1991, Amended Complaint in Civil Action filed.

Oct. 25, 1991, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Theodroe H. Watts, hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of the Amended Complaint in Civil ACtion in the above case to counsel of record at: Joni M. Mangino, Esq. Zimmer, Kunz, Loughren, Hart, Lazaroff, Trenor, Banyas & Conway PC 43rd Floor, One Oxford Centre, Pgh, Pa. 15219.
Date: Oct. 25, 1991 Theodore H. Watts, Esq.

Nov 15, 1991 Appearance of Israel & Wood, P.C. by James A. Wood, Esq. and David J. Singley, Esq. for Defendant, County of Crawford filed.

Nov 15, 1991 Preliminary Objections filed.

Nov 15, 1991 Answer and New Matter to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint filed.

Jan. 10, 1995 Motion for Judgment of Non Pros and Praecipe placing this case on next available argument list, filed.

ON FEBRUARY 1995 ARGUMENT LIST

Apr.17, 1995 Upon Praecipe of Theodore H. Watts,Esquire,Attorney for Plaintiff, the above-captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.
4-26-95 Certificate & Bill of Costs to Atty. Watts

1991

917.

Emil m. Spadafore, Jr.

PYMATUNING VILLAGE CLUB ALLOTMENT, INC.

vs.

ROBERT B. KAINTZ
RONALD A. KAINTZ

Filed Sept. 23, 1991
@ 11:57 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.25
state paid 5.00

Pro Co Paid 10-30-91 9.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT NO. 30-3-01

Sept 23, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

Sept. 24, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

AND NOW, Oct 30, 1991 @ 3:45 p.m. Upon Praecipe of Attorney for Defendants, judgment of Non Pros is hereby entered in favor of the Defendants and against the Plaintiff for failure to file a complaint within twenty (20) days after the date of service of Rule to File.

P-182

JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Oct 30, 1991 Judgment entered.

William L. Walker

918.

DIANE JOHNSON

vs.

JAMES JOHNSON

Filed Sept. 24, 1991
@ 1:36 p.m.

Tax & entry pd 10/16/91 $50.50
state pd 10/16/91 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 21.00
Pro Co Pd 10/16/91 8.50

10/16/91 Reed $ 21.00 From Plaintiff

10/16/91 Paid $21.00 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff Exh # 6460

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 23rd day of September, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Temporary possession of the residence is awarded to plaintiff. Temporary custody of the children is awarded to plaintiff. Defendant shall not contact plaintiff nor go to her residence.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for October 4, 1991, at 3:30 M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Sept. 25, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED James Johnson personally on September 23, 1991, at 3:50 PM at his temporary place of Custody, in the Crawford County Jail, 360 Center Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sher;iff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 9, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 4, 1991 at the time fixed for hearing in this matter, the Defendant appeared without counsel and indicated at one point that he wanted an attorney to represent him, indicated at another point that he did not engage in any abuse, and made other statements that had no bearing on this case.

Accordingly, the matter is continued to give the Defendant an opportunity to obtain counsel to represent him in this matter and to make a decision as to how he will proceed in this case. The case is continued to Monday, oct. 14, 1991 at 3:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The Defendant is advised that he has until Oct. 14, 1991 to obtain counsel in this case, and that if he does not obtain counsel, the hearing will still take place on that date.

In the meantime, the temporary order that was entered on Sept. 23, 1991 shall continue in full force and effect. A copy of this order shall be delivered to the Police Dept. in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.

By Order Of The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Oct. 9, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. William Walker, defendant and county control. Also, copy mailed to Linesville Police Dept.

Oct 16, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, October 14, 1991 after hearing in this matter, the Court is satisfied that the Plaintiff has proven by the fair preponderance of the evidence that the Defendant has abused her. Therefore, it is ordered as follows:

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass, or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the Children named in the petition.
2. Possession of the residence is awarded to the Plaintiff, as is custody of the children. The custody portion of this order is subject to change in the manner set forth in 23 Pa. C.S.A. 61098.
3. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe that it has been violated.
4. A copy of this order shall be delivered to the Police Department in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. A copy of this order shall be sent by the Prothonotary to the Defendant at Box 320, Hill Street, Harmonsburg, Pa.
6. This order shall remain in full force and effect for one year, or until October 13, 1992 unless modified or terminated by further order of court.
7. The Plaintiff shall pay the record court cousts within 30 days from this date.
8. The Defendant is reminded that this is an Order of Court and violation of this order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to 6 months.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Oct 18, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty. Walker's box and mailed to Linesville Police and Plaintiff and Defendant and given to Co. Control.

1991

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
David L. Hotchkiss

919.

SCOTT W. HARRY

vs.

CHERYL A. HARRY

Filed Sept. 24, 1991
@ 2:57 p.m.
State Pd. 9-24-91 10.00
Tax & entry paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Pro Co. Pd. 1-15-92 9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 27, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. I accept service of the Divorce Complaint filed in the above action.
9/27/91 Cheryl A. Harry

Jan. 15, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 15, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 15, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, this case moved to Court.

Jan. 22, 1992 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And Now, January 21, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that Scott W. Harry, Plaintiff, and Cheryl A. Harry, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

370

1991

William T. Jorden Jorden and White 920. Bette Peterson-Muth	ROGER NYDES vs. KAREN L. NYDES Filed Sept .24, 1991 @ 3:51 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.25 state paid 5.00	APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF HUBERT E. VOGAN, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30 - 3- 02 Sept. 24, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued. Sept. 25, 1991 Proof of Service filed. Oct. 7, 1991 Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty) Nov. 1, 1991, Defendant's Preliminary Objection to Plaintiff's Complaint - Petition Asserting Pendency of Prior Action - filed. 1 copy (atty)

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

921.

BOB EUGENE SHIRK, II

vs.

SUE ELLEN SHIRK

Filed Sept. 23, 1991
@ 4:11 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro pd. 7/26/95	15.00
User Fee paid	5.00
Pro.Co. pd 10/13/95	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

L COPY (atty)

Oct. 3, 1991 Verification of Service filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for the above named Plaintiff, and that as such I am authorized to make this verification; that I did on the 26th day of Sept., 1991, send to the above named Defendant, by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt requested, a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint filed at the above term and number; and that I did on teh 2 day of October, 1991, receive a duly executed return receipt dated Sept. 28, 1991.

Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire

Dated: Oct. 3, 1991

Dec.5, 1994 Petition for Exclusive Possession of Marital Residence and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 5 day of December, 1994 upon consideration of Plaintiff's Motion for Exclusive Possession of the maritalresidence a Rule is issued upon the Defendant to show cause, why the requested relief should not be granted.

Pending the scheduling of a hearing and the determination of Plaintiff's request, Plaintiff is hereby awarded temporary exclusive possession of the marital residence,and it is further ordered that Plaintiff shall not remove any of the propertyitems contained inthe residence or in any outbuildings nor shall he cause any harm or damage to come to those items of property.

RULE RETURNABLE for hearing & argument, on the 22nd day of December, 1994, at 9:15 A.M.in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

12-5-94 Faxed Walton, given Lindsay

Dec. 22, 1994 Order filed. AND NOW, December 22, 1994, this matter is before the Court with respect to the Plaintiff's Petition for Exclusive Possession ofthe marital residence. It appears that substantially he has beeninpossession ofthe marital residence since September of 1994 when the Defendant moved into an apartment at Forest GreenApartments with her daughters.

The Defendant does go back to the marital residence form time to time to do her laundry and to obtain meat from a freezer as well as to bheck on items at the residence.

It is clear that these parties have had a hostile relationship and it would not seem that there should be any reason why the Defendant should go to the marital residence pending dispositonof this matter.

Accordingly, exclusive possession of the marital residence is awarded immediately to the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff shall not in any way dispose of, sell, or destroy any marital property but hemay sotre such property in a proper manner if he has no reason to have it out, and the Defendant is not able to take the property into her residence. Any property the Defendant has she shall, likewise, not dispose of, destroy, or sell pending disposition of the marital assets.

Apparently, there is meat in the freezer that the Plaintiff agrees the Defendant can use from time to time, and rather than the Defendant going to the marital residence to obtain that meat, when she needs it form time to time she shall provide a list to the Plaintiff and he will bring that to his place of work where she can make arrangements to pick it up in his car.

With respect to any need to do her laundry, the Defendant willhave to use the facilities at Forest Green or such other place as she chooses.

The defendant shallimmediately make arrangements to have all ofher mail delivery as well as any packages including her Avon deliveries to her current residence. In the meantime, anything that arrives that is the Defendant's shall be made available to the Defendant by the Plaintiff, again at his place of work through arrangements made between the parties.

Additionally, if from time to time the Plaintiff is welcomed at the Defendant's residence, he may bring those items to her residence. It is the Court's intention, however, that there should not be a very long period of time that mail goes to the former marital residence if it is the Defendant's mail since she should be making immediate arrangements to change that.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Dec. 22, 1994 Copies dist. to Lindsay & Walton

July 26, 1995 Petition For Joinder For Claim of Alimony filed.

Oct. 12, 1995 Acceptance of Service filed. I hereby accept service of the Petition for Joinder Of A. Claim for Alimony at the above captioned docket number in Crawford County,Pennsylvania and filed on July 26, 1995.

John P. Gagliotti, Esquire

Oct. 12, 1995 Affidavit of Consent by Bob Eugene Shirk, Plaintiff, filed.

Oct. 12, 1995 Affidavit of Consent of Sue Ellen Shirk, Defendant, filed.

Oct. 13, 1995 Upon Praecipe of Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire,Attorney for Plaintiff, to transmit record, Case moved to Court.

Oct. 25,1995, ORDER filed. This divorce matter has been referred to the Court for review and decree. The Court has reviewed the record and is returning the record to the Prothonotary. A final decree will not be entered at this time because:

X Economic and other issues or counts have been raised in the pleadings or by petition which are not being disposed of by stipulation, withdrawal or hearing. To grant a divorce decree witohout a resolution of those other issues would be tantamount to an improper bifurcation.

Either party may file a praecipe to refer the matter back to the Court when the record is in such a state that the parties are entitled to a final decree.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.
FAXED 10-26-95 Lindsay

Continued toPage 482

1991

Anthony J. Vardaro
Thomas, Truax, Spada-
fore, Vardaro, Walker

922.

TARRANT MANUFACTURING COMPANY INC.

vs.

DAVIS EQUIPMENT, INC.

Filed Sept. 23, 1991
@ 4:26 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	44.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Oct. 15, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. Not Found. Attempted service by deputizing the Sheriff of Westmoreland County, Business closed as per business next door, no forwarding address.
so answers, Howard n. Stewart, Sheriff
Now Oct. 1, 1991 after diligent search and inquiry failed to find the within Davis Equipment Inc. within my bailiwick, Per Deputy Sinclair: Attempted to serve 10/3/91 at 11:10 a.m. Closed 3 weeks ago, I.R.S. Problems, per business next door. No. forwarding address.
So answers, Gary Uhrin, Sheriff of Westmoreland Co.

1991

Tamera Rothschild

923.

RITA TUCKER

vs.

HERMAN TUCKER

Filed Sept. 24, 1991
@ 9:01 a.m.

Tax & entry pd 10/8/91 $50.50
state pd 10/8/91 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 33.50
Pro to pd 10/8/91 5.00

10/8/91 Recd. $33.50 from Plaintiff

10/8/91 Paid $33.50 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff #6446

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy Plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 24th day of September, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions:

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for October 8, 1991, at 3:30 P M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Sept. 27, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Herman Tucker personally on September 25, 1991 at 11:34 AM at his place of employment, Weyhauser Lumber on Campbell Road in Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 8, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff, this action marked discontinued as the undersigned does not want to proceed with it.

1991

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso,Gamble, Fabian
Gary A. Fabian

924.

SHIRLEY M. CARLESS

vs.

GERALD F. CARLESS

Filed Sept. 24, 1991
@ 2:56 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 8-17-95	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE

1 copy (atty)

Oct 2, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed: GARY A. FABIAN, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that:

1. He is an attorney authorized to practice law within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

2. He is the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.

3. On September 24, 1991, he had deposited in the United States Mail by certified mail, return receipt requested, Certified Mail No. P 404 874 499 as evidenced by Certified Mail Receipt No. P 404 874 499 attached hereto and made a part hereof, a true and correct copy of a Complaint in Divorce addressed to Gerald F. Carless said Complaint naming Gerald F. Carless as the defendant in the above-captioned matter.

4. Service was obtained upon the defendant, Gerald F. Carless, on September 25, 1991, as evidenced by Return Receipt for Certified Mail No. P 404 874 499 attached hereto and made a part hereof, said receipt being signed by Gerald F. Carless.

FURTHER, DEPONENT SAYETH NOT. Gary A. Fabian

June 22, 1995 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Jul 25,1995 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

Aug 15, 1995 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Aug. 24, 1995 1. Divorce: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce form the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days has elapsed since the date of the filing of the complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to Section 3301(c) of the PA. Divorce Code.

2. Equitable Property Determination and Distribution: This decree provides that the marital property of the above-named parties shall be divided in accordance with a Marital Settlement Agreement executed by the parties, the effective date of said agreement being July 25, 1995, and said agreement being filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford Co., PA., at the above-captioned number.

The agreement, in part, provides that:

A. Real Property. Wife will transfer to husband all of her right, title and interest to the real estate of the parties located in the Borough of Conneautville, PA., by quit-claim deed, and Husband shall:

a. Pay any and all real property taxes upon the said real property

b. Pay off any and all debts of any nature as to said property or associated with said property whether the said debts constitute liens upon the said property or not, and

c. Pay in one lump sum the amount of Thirty-two thousand and 00/100 ($32,000.00) dollars, either in cash or by cashier's check, to Wife not later than twenty (20) days after the effective date of the Marital Settlement Agreement of the parties.

B. Bank Accounts. Husband and Wife have divided between them all sums contained in a joint checking account and savings account with the PA. State Employees Credit Union and a savings account at PennBank, now Integra Bank, and Husband and Wife are each awarded those sums which have previously been retained by each party.

Further, Husband shall retain all sums in the checking account in the name of Jerry's Sharp-All Shop at Marine Bank, now First National Bank of Conneautville, together with any additions or accruals occurring since the separation of the parties.

C. U.S. Savings Bonds. U.S. Savings Bonds have been divided between the parties and each party shall retain those U.S. Bonds which he or she has in his or her possession.

D. Motor vehicles. Husband shall retain the 1987 Ford Ranger and the 1986 Plymouth Caravelle, and Husband shall be responsible for the payment of any debt associated with said vehicles. Wife shall retain the 1987 Pontiac 6000, and Wife shall be responsible for any debt associated with the said vehicle.

E. Individual Retirement Accounts. Husband shall retain his two Individual Retirement Accounts with Franklin Life Insurance Co. and all interest and accumulations upon the same.

F. Business Interest. Husband shall retain the sharpening business located in the Borough of Conneautville, which said business is known as Jerry's Sharp-all and all assets thereof, including but not limited to, any and all equipment, inventory and accounts receivable, and Husband shall be responsible for the payment of any and all debt associated therewith.

G. Retirement Plans.

a. Husband shall retain all right and interest in and to his retirement plan as a state employee working as a general manager for the PA. Liquor Control Board and any and all benefits associated therewith.

b. Husband shall retain all right and interest in and to his military retirement by virtue of his service in the U.S military and in the U.S Military and in the U.S. Army Reserves.

c. Wife shall retain all right and interest in and to her retirement plan provided to her by virtue of her employment with Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, Gamble, Fabian and Hall and any and all benefits associate therewith.

H. Further, the division of all other marital property, and the payment of all debts of the parties shall be made in accordance with the terms of the Marital Settlement Agreement, which said Agreement was effective July 25, 1995.

3. A copy of the Marital Settlement Agreement of the parties, which said Agreement was effective July 25, 1995, which sets out in further detail the agreement of the parties concerning the matters herein, shall be filed with this decree in the Prothonotary's Office at the above-number.

This order shall be effective as of the 22nd day of August, 1995.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.

1991

Allen and Hall
Stephen E. Hall

925.

EDITH O. WALCOTT

vs.

ANTONIO C. WALCOTT

Filed Sept. 25, 1991
@ 9:22 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00

William Pineo, mediator
Pro Co pd 3/12/92 9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 25, 1991 ORDER filed. You, Antonio C. Walcott, dft. have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody, or visitation fo the following children: Cecilia Marie Walcott and William Matthew Walcott.

WILLIAM PINEO is hereby appointed mediator to hear this matter.

You are ordered to appear in person at such times and places as shall be set for hearing in this matter, whether by the mediator or the judge.

You are further ordered to bring with you, if in your physical custody the above named children.

You are further directed not to move the above named children from the Com. of Pa. pending final order in this matter.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Court Administrator, Crawford County Court House, Meadville Pa. 16335, NO. 814 336-1151 ext. 298.

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Nov. 27, 1991 Agreement For Custody and Court Order filed. AND NOW, upon consideration of the above Agreement the same is hereby given effect as the Order of this Court. Counsel for Plaintiff shall contact the mediator to determine if he has any fees for services to date and arrange for the payment of those fees and if that can't be done then the mediator shall approach the court for relief. Gordon R. Miller, J

Dec. 3, 1991 Copy of order put in box for Atty. Hall

Mar. 12, 1992 Affidavit of Service filed. AND NOW, comes Stephen e. Hall, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff, Edith O. Walcott, and says as follows:

1. That on or about October 21, 1991 he caused to be mailed by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in divorce and Claim for Custody to defendant, Antonio C. Walcott, at 152 west 14th Street, Station One Hotel, Erie, PA 16506, and that said mailing was received by the aforesaid Antonio C. Walcott on October 22, 1991; that attached hereto are the receipt for certified mail and return receipt card bearing the signature of Antonio C. walcott.

The undersigned verfies that the statements mad ein this Affidavit are true and correct and understands that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 19 Pa. C.S. Section 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities. Stephen E. Hall

Mar. 12, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 12, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Mar. 12, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Mar. 18, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, March 17, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that edith O. Walcott, Plaintiff, and Antonio C. Walcott, Defendnat, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony. The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order ha snot yet bene entered.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

1991

Edgar M. Snyder &
Associates, PA
James D. Belliveau

926.

ANN DAVIS, INDIVIDUALLY, AND AS THE PARENT AND NATURAL GUARDIAN OF CARRIE LYNN DAVIS A MINOR

vs.

LEROY CHUPP

Filed Sept. 25, 1991
@ 11:27 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	31.00
Pro Co pd 7-26-93	6.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Oct. 17, 1991 Writ Return filed. Leroy Chupp personally on October 3, 1991, at 11:15 AM at his residence, R.D. #1, Springboro, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 29, 1992 Notice of Deposition of Leroy Chupp and the minor son of Leroy Chupp who was present when Carrie LynnDavis was injured filed.

May 24, 1993 Petition for Approval of Settlement of a Minor's Claim and ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW to-wit, this 24th day of May, 1993, upon the foregoing Petition,it is hereby Ordered that the prayer of said Petition is granted.

The claims of Carrie Lynn Davis arising out of the injuries suffered on August 22,1991, May be settled for the total sum of Two Hundred Twenty Thousand (220,000.00) Dollars. Plaintiff, Ann Davis, as the parent and natural guardian of Carrie Lynn Davis, is hereby authorized to execute whatever documents are necessary to settle this matter, including but not limited to Exhibit D attached to the foregoing Petition, and to have the docket in this matter marked as discontinued with prejudice upon receipt of the settlement funds.

Distribution of the settlement funds are to be as follows:

a. The sum of $144,768.00 to purchase an annuity which will provide payments of $20,000.00 on each of Carrie's 18th, 19th, 20th and 21st birthdays, and which commencing on Carrie's 22nd birthday, will make payments of $1,000.00 per month, with a 3% annual increase, for a guaranteed period of 50 years of Carrie's life. The total guaranteed payment on the annuity is $1,433,571.00, and the total anticipated payment is $1,968,014.00;

b. The sum of $6,949.42 to be placed into a bank account for Carrie Lynn Davis,with the restricted endorsement that no withdrawals are to be made until the minor achieves the age of eighteen (18) years or unless otherwise ordered by the Court;

c. The sum of $11,769.17 to the Department of Public Welfare as payment in full for its medical lien:

d. The sume of $1,513.41 to the law firm of Edgar Snyder and Associates as reimbursement for its costs in this matter, which are:

i.	Shriners Hospital - medical records -	$63.00
ii.	J.L.Phillips - field inspection, taping, photographs and investigation	341.12
iii.	Hamot Hospital - medical records	371.85
iv.	John D. Lubahn, M.D.-medical reports	40.00
v.	Ritz Camera - photos - reprints	25.44
vi.	David Edwards - investigator - photographs of Carrie Lynn Davis	78.00
vii.	Steven J.Heaney, M.D. - plastic surgical evaluation and report	400.00
viii.	Sara Sargent Court Reporting -Deposition	194.00

e. The sum of $55,000.00 to the law firm of Edgar Snyder & Associates for its fee in conjunction with this matter, representing a contingency fee of 25% instead of the agreed upon 33 1/3% contingency fee.

By the Court:
Gordon R.Miller, J.

May 28, 1993 Copy of Order to Atty. Belliveau

July 26, 1993 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney the within captioned matter is settled and discontinued of record.

1991

927

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

OF

KURT FALKENBURG

Filed Sept. 25, 1991

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976-Sect. 303

Oct. 11, 1991, Petition for INvoluntary Treatment Via the Criminal Justice System filed.

Oct. 18, 1991 Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and ORDER filed.AND NOW, this 18 day of Oct.,1991, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the Respondent be committed to the Warren STate Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days from Oct. 11, 1991.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Oct. 22, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Thomas Africa, MH/MR, WSH.

Dec. 31, 1991 Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck
& Leemhuis Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

928.

NATIONAL FUEL GAS
DISTRIBUTION CORPORATION

vs.

MICHAEL P. O'MALLEY AND
JANE B. O'MALLEY

Filed Sept. 25, 1991
@ 2:25 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	31.25
Pro Co Pd 10-30-91	9.00
Exemplification of record pd 11/1/94	10.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Oct. 10, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Jane B. O'Malley personally personally on September 27, 1991 at 11:31 Am at her residence, 516 S. Main Street, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, PA. 16403 SERVED Michael P. O'Malley on September 27, 1991 at 11:32 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Jane B. O'Malley, his wife, at their residence, 516 S. Main Street, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, PA 16403.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Dep.
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Oct 30, 1991 @ 2:08 p.m. Upon praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney with Notice of Judgment Attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to file an answer and the same is liquidated in the amount of One Thousand Fifty-six and 22/100 Dollars plus interest from September 21, 1991 and all costs of suit.
0-112, 92 Debt.....$1,056.22 + int. & costs.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary
Oct 30, 1991 Judgment entered

Nov 7, 1991 Notice of Judgment mailed defendants.

Aug. 31, 1995 Revival
To:

AD 1995-911

1991

Gregory A. Keenan
William L. Walker
929.

BARBARA J. JODON
vs.
WALTER E. JODON

Filed Sept. 25, 1991
@ 2:25 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Sheriff's costs	33.00
J. Fuller, Cust. Med.	150.00
Pro Co. Pd. 1-23-92	9.00
Kathleen Nelson, mediator ProCo. Pd 7-21-91	169.74 9.00

Nov. 5, 1997 $200.00 deposited by Plff.
12-18-97 pd Plff #1483 Refund 106.13
84.87

5-28-98 Ref from Dft med fee 200.00
7-21-98 Pd to 255.48 med fee
7-21-98 Refunded dft 28.39

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 25, 1991 Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code filed. 1 copy (atty)

Sept. 25, 1991, Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree and Counter-Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code filed. 1 copy (atty)

Sept 30, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Walter E. Jodon personally on September 26, 1991, at 8:47 a.m. in the Sheriff's office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 4, 1991 Motion To Appoint Custody Mediator and Order filed. AND NOW, this 4th day of October, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, it is ordered that all parties in the above-c aptioned case and their counsel shall appear for a media-tion conference before the mediator, John Fuller, at a time to be bet by the meidator in the crawford County Courthouse with the child custody mediator as required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsyvlania.
It is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the Court, the aforesaid minor children shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the Court by either pa rty hereunder, under possible punishment for contmept of court.
Date: Oct. 4, 1991 BY THE COURT,
1 copy mediator, 1 copy atty. Robert L. Walker, J

Nov, 25, 1991 ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Nov. 20, 1991, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO WIT:

1. Legal custody of Lisa Marie Jodon, Thomas Michael Jodon and Stacy Lynn Jodon shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full acce4ss to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affecting the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject children.

II. Physical custody of Lisa Marie Jodon, Thomas Michael Jodon and Stacy Lynn Jodon shall be with their mother, subject to the following conditions:

A . The father's sister, Lu Ann Knickerbock, shall be entitled to visit and take the children into her custody the second week end of each month, from 6:00 p.m. on Fri. to 6:00 p.m. on Sun., beginning Nov. 8, 1991. The second weekend shall be deemed to be the weekend on which the second Friday falls.

B. When the father is in Crawford County, he may have the children in his custody for a period not exceedidfng twenty-four (24) hours provided that he furnishes the mother at least twenty-four (24) hours notice of the intended visits. Unless the mother and father agree otherwise, these visits shall take place at the home of the father's sister, Lu Ann Knickerbocker.

C. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter, Thanksgiving and the children's birthdays. Custody of the schildren shall be shared on the aforesaid holidays insofar as possible by the mother and father's sister, and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the children shall be in the custody of the m other on the aforesaid holidays until 1:00 p.m. and in the custody fo the aunt on the aforesaid holidays from 1:00 p.m. until 7:00 p.m.

D. Beginning with the Summer of 1992, the children shall be in the custody of the father for a period not to exceed three (3) weeks (which need not be consecutive). The father shall give the mother at least thirty (30) days notice of the dates of the Summer visit, or visits.

E. Transportation of the children on weekends, holidays and Summer visits shall be divided as follows: Ther person surrendering custody shall provide transportation (i.e. , The mother shall take the children to the aunt's home on Fri. evening and the aunt shall take the children to the mother's home on Sun. evening).

F. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children agatinst the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everylthing in the power of each to foster a vfavorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

G. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being and no one other than the mother and father shall physically discipline the children.

H. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regrdifng the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number. Both parents and aunt shall familiarize themselves with the proper procedures to take care of Thomas in the event of an asthma attack.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within th irty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the m ediation conference shall be paid by Crawford Coufnty.

P. Richard Thomas S.J.

Dec. 3, 1991 copies in box for Attys. W. Walker, W. Gregg & J. Fuller. Sent copy to Plf. & Dft.

Jan 23, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Jan. 29, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, January 28, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Barbara J. Jodon, Plaintiff, and Walter E. Jodon, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. VArdaro, J

Dec. 1, 1995 Petition for Entry of Agreement and CONSENT CUSTODY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of November, 1995, upon stipulation of the parties to this action, the following Order is hereby entered:

1. Legal custody of Lisa Marie Jodon, Thomas Michael Jodon and Stacy Lynn Jodon shall be shared between the mother and the father, the Plaintiff and Defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to medical records, educational records, and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affecting education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject children.

2. Primary physical custody of Lisa Marie Jodon and Stacy Lynn Jodon shall be with their mother. Primary physical custody of Thomas Michael Jodon shall be with his father.

Continued on Page 472

380

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck
and Leemhuis Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

930.

NATIONAL FUEL GAS
DISTRIBUTION CORPORATION

vs.

MICHAEL P. O'MALLEY AND
JANE B. O'MALLEY

Filed Sept. 25, 1991
@ 2:29 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	31.25
Pro Co Pd 10-30-91	9.00
Exemplification of record pd 11/1/94	10.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Oct. 10, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Jane B. O'Malley personally on September 27, 1991 at 11:29 AM at her residence, 516 S. Main Street, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, PA 16403. SERVED Michael P. O'Malley on September 27, 1991 at 11:30 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Jane B. O'Malley, his wife, at their residence, 516 S. Main Street, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, PA 16403.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Dep.
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Oct 30, 1991 @ 2:08 p.m. Upon praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney, with notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against all the defendants and the same is liquidated in the sum of Two Thousand Seven Hundred Ninety-seven and 88/100 Dollars plus interest from September 21, 1991 and all costs of suit.
O-112, 92 Debt.....$2,797.88 + Int. and costs
JUDGMENT
10-30-91 Judgment entered.
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary
Nov 7, 1991 Notice of Judgment mailed Defendants.

Aug. 31, 1995 Revival
No.
AD 1995-910

1991

931.

CONNIE LEA SIMONS

vs.

MELVIN EUGENE SIMONS, SR.

Filed Sept. 25, 1991
@ 3:35 p.m.

Tax & entry Pd 1-2-92 $50.50 ✓
state Pd 1-2-92 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 28.00
Pro Co Pd 1-2-92 9.00 ✓

Received 1-2-92
Plff 1/2 costs
Sheriff 38.00

Pd sheriff
1-2-92
38.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 25th day of September, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not visit with or see the children until further order of Court.

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for October 8, 1991, at 3:30 M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Sept 27, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Melvin Eugene Simons, Sr., personally on September 26, 1991, at 2:45 PM at his residence, Green Acres Trailer Court, Jamestown, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct 14, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, October 8, 1991, after an informal hearing, the Court is convinced that a protection from abuse order should be entered without prejudice to the right of the Defendant to make application through an appropriate proceeding for visitation rights with his children, and therefore the following order is entered:

1. Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass, or threaten the Plaintiff or either of the minor children, to wit: Patricia Lynn Simons and Melvin Eugene Simmons, Jr. in any manner whatsoever, nor will he procure or encourage other to do so.
2. The Defendant shall not go to the residence of the Plaintiff for any purpose.
3. The Defendant shall not take the children into his custody for a visit without the specific consent of the Plaintiff. The Defendant may at any point institute a proceeding to obtain visitation rights with the children and the Court will act thereon in the normal course of events.
4. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe that it has been violated in accordance with the Protection from Abuse Act.
5. This order shall remain in full force and effect for a period of one year from this date.
6. The Defendant shall pay record costs in this matter within 90 days of this date.
7. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police Department in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides; i.e., Meadville, and to County Control, and any other agency needing the same.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Oct 16, 1991 Copies of order mailed Plaintiff and Defenant and City Police and copy given to Co. Control.

1991

932.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

ALFRED W. STONE

Filed Sept. 26, 1991
@ 10:49 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$9.00
plff on orig	9.50
Satisfaction paid	7.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE
of
DSB 1986 - 717

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment be revived and lien is indexed in favor of plaintiff and againstthe defendant in the sum of Four Thousand One Hundred Sixtyeight and 00/100 dollars.

Debt --------$4,168.00
dated----- 9/23/91
S - 15 --- JUDGMENT REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

JUNE 21, 2000, THIS JUDGMENT SATISFIED. SEE PAPER FILED AND COMPUTER FOR ENTRY.
(PAPER FILED AT DSB 1986-717)

1991

933.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

DONALD MONNIN
NANCY E. MONNIN

Filed Sept. 26, 1991
@ 10:49 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00
plff on orig. 14.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 764

The udnersigned hereby agrees that the judgment above be revived; judgment indexed in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Seven Hundred Thirty and 00/100 Dollars.

Debt -----$730.00
dated ---9/23/91
M - 43, 123 ---JUDGMENT REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct 23, 1996 Revived to:
AD 1996-1057

1991

934.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

VIC KRESS
KAREN M. KRESS

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE
of
DSB 1986 - 719

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment be revived and is indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Twenty Thousand and 00/100 Dollars.

Debt --20,000.00
Date ---9/25/91
K - 164, 104 JUDGMENT REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Filed Sept. 26, 1991
@ 10:49 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00
plff on orig 9.50

Pd 12/9/99 7.50

FOR FURTHER DOCKET ENTRIES SEE COMPUTER 1991 30934
AD 1991 - 934

1991

935.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

DOROTHY LOMBARD

Filed Sept. 26, 1991
@ 10:49 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid: $9.00
plff on orig 9.50
Satisfaction Pd. 8/12/96 5.00

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 874

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment be revived and is indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sium of Seven Thousand and 00/100 Dollars plus costs.

Debt -----$7,000.00
Dated --- 9/19/91
L - 42 ----JUDGMENT REVIVAL

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

8-12-96 This Lien Satisfied!
(See Papers filed)

1991

936.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

MARGARET A. MILLER

Filed Sept. 26, 1991
@ 10:49 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00
plff on orig 9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 834

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment be revived and is hereby indexed in favor of plaintiff and agaisnt the defendant in the sum of Seven Thousand and 00/100 Dollars plus costs.

Debt ------$7,000.00
dated------9/19/91
M - 207 ---JUDGMENT REVIVAL

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1991

937.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

CARL. L NAGEOTTE
VIRGINIA M. NAGEOTTE

Filed Sept. 26, 1991
@ 10:49 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00 ✓
plff on orig 9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 718

The udnersigned hereby agrees that the judgment be revived and the lien is indexed in favor of the plaintiff and agaisnt the defendant in the sum of Seven THousand Dollars plus costs

Debt --------$7,000.00
dated ---- 9/20/91
N - 35, 165 ---JUDGMENT REVIVAL
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Oct. 23, 1996 Revived to:
AD 1996 · 1059

1991

938.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

CRAIG MONROE

Filed Sept. 26, 1991
@ 10:49 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00
plff on orig. 9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 679

The udnersigned hereby agrees that the judgment be revived and lien is indexed in favor of plaintiff and agaisnt the defendant in the sum of Thirteen Thousand Nine Hundred Sixty One and 00/100 dollars plus costs.

Debt -------$13,961.00
Dated ---------9/19/91
M - 33 JUDGMENT REVIVAL

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct 23, 1996 Remind To:

AD 1996-1066

1991

939.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

LOUIS R. MARHOEFER
VIRGINIA E. MARHOEFER

Filed Sept. 26, 1991
@ 10:49 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00
plff on orig 9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE
of
DSB 1986 - 619

The udnersigned hereby agrees that the judgment be revived and the line is hereby indexed in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Six Thousand Nine Hundred Ninety Six and 60/100 Dollars plus costs.

Debt --------$6,996.60
Dated------ 9/20/91
M - 104, 164 --JUDGMENT REVIVAL

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct 23, 1996 Revived to:
AD 1996-1060

1991

940.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

JOANNA GUSHARD

Filed Sept. 26, 1991
@ 10:49 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00
plff on orig 9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 545

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment be revived and said lien is indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of $Seven Thousand and 00/100 Dollars plus costs.

Debt --$7,000.00
Dated---- 9/19/91

G - 94 -- JUDGMENT REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 23, 1996 Revived to:

AD 1996-1061

1991

941.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

ESTHER ERNEWEIN

Filed Sept. 26, 1991
@ 10:50 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00
plff on orig. 9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 544

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment be revived and the lien is indexed in the judgment index in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Thirteen Thousand Seven Hundred Forty Seven and 25/100 Dollars, plus costs.

Debt --------$13,747.25
Dated--------9/19/91
E - 54 ------JUDGMENT REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct 23, 1996 Revived to:

AD 1996-1058

1991

942.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

BESSIE WISCHMANN
ALONZO WISCHMANN (deceased)

Filed Sept. 26, 1991
@ 10:50 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $ 9.00
plff on orig 9.50
SAT. May 15, 1996 PD. 5.00

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 625

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment be revived and this judgment is indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants in the sum of Five Hundred Eighty Five and 00/100 dollars, plus costs.

Debt -------$585.00
dated ---------9/24/91
W - 22 ------JUDGMENT REVIVAL

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Satisfied May 15, 1996 in full.

1991

943.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

SAMUEL DAVIS

Filed Sept. 26, 1991
@ 10:50 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00
plff on orig 9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 518

The udnersigned hereby agrees that the judgment be revived and judgment is hereby indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Seven Thousand Dollars plus costs.

Debt -------$7,000.00
dated-----9/19/91
D - 156 -----JUDGMENT REVIVAL

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 23, 1996 Revival to:
AD 1996-1064

1991

Watts & Pepicelli
Christopher D. Ferry

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

944.

DONALD W. GOODWILL

vs.

ADA K. GOODWILL

Filed Sept. 26, 1991
@ 11:17 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co. pd 9/27/97	9.00
Pro Co. pd 7-2-97	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

Oct. 9, 1991, Affidavit of Service filed. Before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared Joseph C. Barnhart Esq. atty for the above captioned plaintiff, who being duly sworn according to law, did depose and say that he did serve a Cert. copy of Complaint in Div. upon the above dft. at her mailing address of R. D. #2 Box 21, Centerville, Pa. 16404, by U S mail, cert. rest. del., evedenced by postal return rec. P 620 649 937 purportedly signed by said defendant and dated Sept. 27, 1991 attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

In Witness Whereof, I hereunto set may hand and seal this 4th day of Oct. 1991 Joseph C. Barnhart, Esq.

Mar. 9, 1992 Plaintiff's Affidavit Of Consent To divorce filed.

Jan. 11, 1993 Defendant's Affidavit of Consent, filed.

May 14, 1997 Appearance of Watts & Pepicelli by Christopher D. Ferry for Plaintiff filed.

June 4, 1997 Waiver of Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree Under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code, filed.

June 19, 1997 Praecipe to Transmit filed.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

June 30, 1997 AND NOW, this 27th day of June, 1997, it is ordered and decreed that DONALD W. GOODWILL, plaintiff and ADA K. GOODWILL, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has been not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

June 30, 1997 Notice of Election to Retake Maiden (or prior) Name filed. Ada K. Goodwill, to be known as Ada K. Baxter.

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck
& Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

945.

William H. Burwell

SAINT VINCENT HEALTH CENTER

vs.

ROMAN M. MILLER AND
BARBARA MILLER

Filed Sept. 26, 1991
@ 11:23 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Pro.Co. pd 6/12/92 9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (atty)

Oct 24, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed: BEFORE ME, a Notary Public, in and for the said Commonwealth and County, personally appeared Lawrence C. Bolla, Esquire, the attorney for the Plaintiff, who first being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served a true and correct copy of the Civil Action Complaint upon the Defendants, Roman M. Miller and Barbara Miller, at Route 3A, Box 33, Springfield, Kentucky, (upon Roman M. Miller on October 12, 1991; and upon Barbara Miller on October 9, 1991) by United States first-class mail, postage prepaid, which is evidenced by the attached return receipt, and that all of the above is true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief. Lawrence C. Bolla

Nov. 22, 1991 Defendants Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim filed. JURY TRIAL DEMANDED.

Jan.30, 1992, Plaintiff's Reply to Defendants' New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

Feb. 27, 1992, Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON APRIL 1992 TRIAL LIST

Apr. 16, 1992 ASSIGNMENT OF NONJURY TRIAL DATE; filed. April 15, 1992, nonjury trial in the above matter is set for July1, 1992, at 1:30 p.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2. FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm.

Apr. 22, 1992 copy sent to D. DiCarlo & W. Burwell

June 12, 1992 And Now, June 12, 1992 at 2:33 P.M. upon Stipulation for Entry of Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff, Saint Vincent Health Center, and upon the Defendants, Roman M.Miller and Barbara Miller, in the amount of Thirty thousand fourteen and 92/100, together with interest beginning April 1, 1993 until paid in full, plus the costs incurred as a result of this action.

Debt $30,014.92
Int.from 4/1/93
Judgment

"M-206"
"M-21"
Entered June 17, 1992 Barbara H. King, Prothonotary
June 17,1992 Notice of Judgment mailed to Defendants.

Revived to AD1996-76

396

1991

Douglas W. Ferguson

Janet L. Kronenberg
(Cuyahoga County)

946.

LUCIA KELTNER

vs.

KENNETH F. KELTNER

Filed Sept. 26, 1991
@ 1:05 p.m.
sheriffs costs $71.77
Tax & entry paid $10.50
state paid 15.00
state paid 10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE CERTIFIED FROM THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FROM CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO-D211706
(JUDGE JAMES P. CELEBREZZE)

This cause came on for hearing this 23rd day of September, 1991, and was duly heard before the Honorable James P. Celebrezze, Judge of the Domestic Relations Division of the Court of Common Pleas, upon the Complaint of the Plaintiff and the evidence, the Defendant being in default of Answer or other pleading although duly served with process, according to law.

Upon due consideration thereof, the Court finds the Plaintiff was resident of the State of Ohio for more than six (6) months and a bona fide resident of Cuyahoga County for more than ninety (90) days, both immediately preceding the filing of this Complaint; the parties were married as alleged and that four children were born as issue of the marriage, namely: Kenneth Ryan Keltner, born February 6, 1980; David Joseph Keltner, born May 6, 1982; Kimberly Anne Keltner, born January 1, 1984; and Christine Rose Keltner, born November 7, 1985.

The Court further finds that the Plaintiff has established the grounds of gross neglect of duty and that by reason thereof the plaintiff is entitled to a divorce.

IT THEREOF ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff be and she is hereby granted a divorce from the Defendant, that the marriage contract heretofore existing between the parties and is hereby dissolved and set aside.

IT FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the plaintiff be and she is hereby granted primary allocation of parental rights and responsibility and legal custody of the minor children of the parties until further order of Court, subject to the defendant's right of reasonable visitation as set forth at Exhibit A hereto.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the defendant shall pay to the plaintiff the sum of Four Hundred Seventy-seven Dollars, Twenty-three Cents ($477.23), which includes 2% fee, every month for the support of the minor children. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is the worksheet used in computing the child support under O.R.C. 3113.215. All sums are to paid through the Cuyahoga Support Enforcement Agency (C.S.E.A.). Any payments which are not made through the C.S.E.A. shall not be considered as payment of support. Said support shall by means of an order on the payor's income source.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that provisions for the health care needs/health insurance coverage of said minor children be made in a separate order issued with this Judgment Entry.

The following information is provided for the use of the Cuyahoga County Support Enforcement Agency in accordance with Section 2301.34 to 2301.41 and 3113.21 of the Ohio Revised Code:

PAYEE: LUCIA KELTNER

1785 Radnor Road
Cleveland Heights, Ohio 44118

S.S.#: 299-54-6715
DOB: 5/21/53

PAYOR: KENNETH F. KELTNER

R.D. #1, Box 275
Espyville, Pennsylvania 16424

S.S.#: 285-46-1696
DOB: 1/7/55

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that all parties immediately notify the Cuyahoga County Support Enforcement Agency, in writing, of any changes in their mailing address and residence address until further order of Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the custodian of the children immediately notify the Cuyahoga County Support Enforcement Agency of any reason for which the support order should terminate.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Defendant be, and he is hereby, permanently enjoined from abusing, harassing, molesting or annoying plaintiff or the minor children of the parties in any way whatsoever.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Defendant is hereby awarded the following property as division of property, free and clear from any claim by the Plaintiff: his truck, his 1979 station wagon, the 20' cabin cruiser, and the small boat.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff is hereby awarded all property currently in her possession as division of property, from and clear from any claim by the Defendant.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the defendant be and he is hereby awarded as division of property plaintiff's interest in the real estate located at R.D. #1, Box 275, Espyville, Pa. 16424 and she will, within five (5) days of defendant's providing her the legal description of that property execute a deed in favor of the defendant to said property; upon her failure to do so within five (5) days of provision of a property description thereof, this decree shall operate as a conveyance therefore and the Clerk is directed to certify so much as is necessary of this decree to effectuate such conveyance to the County Auditor or County Recorder.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the defendant pay the costs of this proceeding, for which judgment is rendered and execution may issue.

JUDGE

DDR JOURNAL ENT.
EG

PREPARED BY:
JANET L. KRONENBERG 0015579
Attorney for Plaintiff

RECEIVED FOR FILING
SEP 23 1991
GERALD E. FUERST, CLERK
BY [illegible] DEP

STATE OF OHIO, Cuyahoga County, ss: I, GERALD E. FUERST, CLERK OF THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS IN AND FOR SAID COUNTY, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ENTERED IN THIS OFFICE ON September 23, 1991 AS APPEARS FROM THE RECORDS IN MY OFFICE. IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF I HAVE HEREUNTO SET MY HAND AND THE SEAL OF SAID COURT THIS 23rd DAY OF September A.D. 19 91
GERALD E. FUERST, Clerk
By [illegible] Deputy

Defendant shall visit with minor children on alternate Saturdays from 10 AM until 2 PM supervised by Plaintiff as she may direct.

Continued on Page 946A

Sept. 27, 1991, Petition for Contempt of Court and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 27th day of September, 1991 upon consideration of the foregoing Petition and Oral Motion of Douglas W. Ferguson, Esq. on behalf of the palintiff, Lucia Keltner, a hearing in regard to said Petition is scheduled for Wednesday, Oc. 30, 1991 at 1:30 p.m.

Service of this petition for Contempt of Court and nOtice of the date and time of said hearing shall be made upon the Defendants atty. Brian Lindsay, Esq. by personally forwarding a copy of the said petition to him at his office at 311 Walnut St. Meadville, Pa.

Pending final hearing in regard to in this matter the Sheriff of Crawford County isdirected to take into his custody the minor children, Kenneth Ryan Keltner, born Feb. 6, 1980, and David Joseph Keltner, born May 6, 1982, and to turn said children over to the plaintiff, Lucia Keltner, through her attorney, Douglas W. Ferguson, Esq. Meadville, Pa. if the children are not delivered to Mr. fergusons office by 4:30 p.m. this date.

1 copy (atty) Lindsay
1 copy (sheriff)

BY THE COURT,
Gorden R. Miller, J.

Oct. 7, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. NOT FOUND as to defendant Kenneth f. Keltner.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov 1, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, October 30, 1991 the matter is before the Court on a petition for contempt relating to an Ohio divorce and custody order filed in Ohio Septemter 23, 1991. There is no doubt the husband had full knowledge that a divorce and custody proceeding was on-going in the state of Ohio and that he got notice that a hearing had been continued from prior scheduled hearings to September 23, 1991. On the date of the divorce hearing which granted the divorce and awarded primary custody of the children with Saturday visits for the father, the defendant did not appear. The Court accepts the version of the Plaintiff/mother that on the evening after the divorce hearing she called her former husband and advised that the divorce had been granted that day and that she was awarded primary custody of the four minor children.

At the time the Defendant/father received this notice from the mother, he had possession of the children but instead of returning them pursuant to their previous agreement, he proceeded to retain custody and attempted to enroll them in one or more schools in Pennsylvania. The father's position is that he should not be held in contempt of Court because he did not believe his former wife when she called him and told him that the hearing scheduled for the 23rd of September had been held and that the divorce was granted. The Court finds this is a ludicrous position and that the father willfully and deliberately ingoned the terms and conditions related to him by the wife and attempted to thwart the custody award by the Ohio Court.

The wife was put to some expense in attorney's fees and sheriff's costs in attempting to secure custody of the children in accordance with the Ohio Order, but the children were apparently voluntarily returned by the father on or about September 28, 1991.

The father's explanation was that the hearing had been continued several times but that he did not believe his wife that a final date was scheduled for the hearing and that the hearing had taken place, and did not believe he was divorced and did not believe there was in existence a custody order. As noted aforesaid, the Court rejects this contention and had the father really been interested in whether the wife was telling the truth, a simple telephone call to the Ohio Courts the next day would have confirmed what the wife had said.

Accordingly, we adjudge the Defendant/father in contempt of court, but now that the children are back in the custody of the mother and the father agrees that he will comply with the visitation order contained in the divorce decree giving him Saturday visits. We will not punish for contempt by jail confinement.

The Court directs that the Defendant pay his wife the sum of $200.00 to cover her ancilliary expenses in processing this contempt charge against him, with said sum to be paid as follows: $100.00 on or before November 10 and the remaining $100.00 before December 1, 1991.

The husband advises that as soon as he procures the financial wherewithal he intends to re-petition the Ohio Court asking for custody or expanded visits with the children. This Court is powerless to act on this request as it must be addressed to the Ohio Court.

In the meantime, the parties mutually agree that Saturday visits as prescribed by the Ohio Decree and directing that the father is accorded visits on alternate Saturdays between 10:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. will be complied with. The parties mutually agree that the next Saturday visit will occur November 9, 1991.

The Court is advised that a stressful situation arises if the father picks the children up at and returns them to the home of the mother where she lives with her parents, and accordingly the parties mutually agree that the children will be picked up and returned for the Saturday visits at Coventry School in Cleveland Heights, Ohio.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Nov 8, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Ferguson and Lindsay's boxes.

396
-b-

1991

1991

Stanley J. Reisman

947.

LINDA A. SMITH

vs.

RONALD E. SMITH

Filed Sept. 26, 1991
@ 1:38 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$10.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd	5.00

CERTIFIED COPY OF DIVORCE DECREE FROM THE 59TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA- COUNTY BRANCH CAMERON - No. 91-440

DECREE:

AND NOW, July 24, 1991 it is ordered and decreed that Linda A. Smith plaintiff and Ronald E. Smith defendant are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:

Gordon J. Daghir, Pres. Judge

Sept. 26, 1991 NOTICE OF ELECTION TO RETAKE MAIDEN NAME filed. TO BE KNOWN AS: LINDA A. HOFFMAN

1991

Bettie J. Peterson-Muth

948.

R. Charles Thomas

BRENDA J. WILLIAMS

vs.

MARK A. WILLIAMS

Filed Sept 26, 1991
@ 2:38 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50/
state paid 5.00
John Fuller, mediator 150.00
~~9.00~~
Rec'd Pd 12-10-91 9.00✓

Recd medcosts 75.00 from Plaintiff Dec 10, 1991

Dec. 31, 1991 paid Co. Comm. $75.00

COMPLAINT FOR PARTIAL CUSTODY AND/OR VISITATION

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Mark A. Williams, dft, have been sued in court to obtain partial custody and/or visitation of your children.

JOHN FULLER, ESQ. is hereby appointed custody mediator. You are ordered to appear in person at a mediation conference scheduled before said mediator. You will be notified of the date and time.

You are further ordered to bring the children with you to the mediation.

If you fail to appear, an order for partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Court Administrator, Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335, Tele: 814-336-1151. Ext. 298.

Date: September 24, 1991

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller J.

Nov 15, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, November 20, 1991, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Nathan M. Williams, Megan R. Williams and Amy J. Williams shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affecting the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject children.

II. Physical custody of Nathan M. Williams, Megan R. Williams and Amy J. Williams shall be with their father, Mark A. Williams, subject to the following conditions:

A. The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the children into her custody every other weekend from 5:00 p.m. on Friday to 5:00 p.m. on Sunday, beginning November 1, 1991.

B. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. Custody of the children shall be shared on the aforesaid holidays and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the children shall be in the custody of the father on the aforesaid holidays until 1:00 p.m. and in the custody of the mother on the aforesaid holidays from 1:00 p.m. until 7:00 p.m.

C. Beginning with the Summer of 1992, the children shall be in the custody of the mother for two (2) periods of one week, each. The mother shall give the father at least thirty (30) days notice of the dates of the Summer visits.

D. Transportation of the children on weekends, holidays and Summer visits shall be provided as follows: The father shall deliver the children to the mother 's home at the commencement of a visit and the mother shall return the children to the father's home at the conclusion of a visit.

E. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

F. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

G. Bothe parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided with the father paying one-half (½) of the cost thereof and the remaining one-half (½) being paid by the mother.

P. Richard Thomas, S. Judge

Nov 27, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Fuller, Muth & Thomas' boxes and Copies mailed to Plaintiff and defendant.

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
Jeffrey K. Millin

949.

Murphy, Taylor & Trout PC
By: Gary D. Bax

Jeanette Franz, Executrix of Estate of Stanley Leo Hargenrater a/k/a Stanley Leo Hargenrater Sr., deceased

~~STANLEY HARGENRATER~~

vs.

SCOTT'S DRIVE-IN, INC. t/d/b/a ARBY'S ROAST BEEF RESTAURANT

Filed Sept. 26, 1991
@ 3:14 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

Sheriff's Costs 69.00
Pro Co Pd 2/27/92 5.00
Pro Co Pd 3-6-92 1.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Sept. 26, 1991 Request for Production of Documents filed. 1 copy (sheriff)

Oct. 16, 1991, Appearance of Murphy, Taylor & Trout, PC by Gary D. Bax, attorneys for defendants filed.
(JURY TRIAL OF TWELVE DEMANDED)

Oct. 16, 1991 Upon praecipe of defendant attorneys, Rule Entered on the Plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

Oct. 16, 1991, Certificate of Service of praecipe for Rule and Appearance filed.

Oct. 17, 1991 Writ Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Paul Greiner, Deputy who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 4th day of October, 1991, at 10:52 Hrs., he served Summons, Request for Documents and Interrogatories, filed at No. 949-A-91, Term, 19, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Scott's Drive-In, Inc T/d/b/a Arby's Roast Beef Restraurant at 4045 West 12th Street, Erie County, ERie, Pennsylvania By making known the contents thereof to Margret Adams, Manager, and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Summons, rEquest for Documents and and Interrogatories received from Crawford County.
So answers, Paul Greiner, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff
SERVED ARby's Roast Beef Restaurant on October 2, 1991, at 10:38 PM by handing a copy of the Summons to Wendy Moore, Assitant Manager at place of business, 1151 Park Avenue, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Scott's Drive-In, Inc., t/d/b/a Arby's Roast Beef Restaurant by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, PA, at per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on October 4, 1991.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan 9, 1992 Suggestion of Succession Pursuant to Pa. R.C. P. 2352 filed.

Jan. 10, 1992 Petition For Leave To Compromise filed.

Jan. 10, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, this 10 day of Jan., 1992, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, it is Ordered that settlement and compromise of this action for the gross sum of Fifteen Thousand and 00/100 ($15,000.00) Dollars is approved. Furthermore, counsel fees and expenses are also approved as set froth below. The distribution is directed as follows::

To the Estate of the decendent	$ 10,381.57
To Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack, for counsel fees (25%)	3,750.00
To Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack for costs as set forth in Petition	868.43
TOTAL	$15,000.00

BY THE COURT,
P. richard Thomas, S.J.

Jan. 15, 1992 Copies of order to Atty's Millin and Bax

Feb. 27, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, the above captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued with prejudice.

1991

950.

EMMA LOUISE KING

vs.

DAVID M. KING SR.

Filed Sept. 27, 1991
@ 3:41 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$10.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 9/27/91	5.00

CERTIFIED COPY OF DECREE IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE - FAMILY DIVISION - NO. FD81 - 6602 FROM COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNA.

DECREE:

AND NOW, this 14 day of Jan. 1982, the Court, having reviewed this case, finds that the marriage between the plaintiff and defendant is irretrievably broken. It is DECREED that the plaintiff, Emma Louise King is divorced from the bonds of matrimony contracted between her and the defendant.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that he court hereby retains jurisdiction of any other claims raised in this action by the parties for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT,
Musmanno, J.

Sept. 27, 1991 Notice of Election to Retake Previous Married Name filed.

TO BE KNOWN AS:
EMMA LOUISE SIPOS

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

951.

THOMAS JOSEPH NOGEE

vs.

CHERLYN ANN NOGEE

Filed Sept. 27, 1991
@ 3:46 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co Pd 3-18-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 13, 1991, Verification of Service filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, Esq. do hereby verify that I am the atty. of record for plaintiff and that as suc h I am authorized to make this verification; that I did on the 3 day of Oct. 1991 send to the above named dft by Cert. Mial, addressee only, Ret. REc. req. a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint filed at the above no; and that I did on the 10 day of Oct. 1991 receive a duly executed ret. rec. dated Oct. 7, 1991.
Dated: Nov. 11, 1991 Brian J. Lindsay Esq.

Nov. 19, 1991 Acceptance of Service by William L. Walker for the firm Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker, for defendant.

Mar. 18, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

Mar. 18, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Mar. 18, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D

AND NOW, this 24th day of March, 1992, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Stipulation, this Court hereby directs that:

1. Divorce

Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to 201(c) of the Divorce Code fo Pennsylvania.

2. Equitable Property Determination and Distribution

A. Personalty Property

The plaintiff shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and personalty currently in his possession and defendant shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and personalty currently in her possession. A listing of each party's separate personalty is attached hereto as Ex. "A". Defendant shall vacate the premises and shall remove those items of personalty listed on page 2 of Ex. "A" attached hereto, within 15 days from the date hereof.

B. Marital Residence

This issue has been resolved separately between the parties, namely: that plaintiff husband has become sole and exclusive owner of the m arital residence by virtue of a quitclaim deed executed by defendant wife in consideration for $27,000.00. Future mortgage obligations shall become the sole responsibility of plaintiff.

C. The defendant wife shall share equally in the plaintiff's GE Pension Plan which, as of Oct. 31, 1991, the separation date, had accrued benefits payable at plaintiff's reaching age 65, of which 50% shall be assigned to defendant wife. Defendant shall also participate in the survivor's annuity available under plaintiff's pension plan. The Court hereby adopts in its entirety, to the extent it is not inconsistent with this Order, the Qualified Domestic Relations Order attached hereto as Ex. "B", and signed by counsel for the parties and signed by this Court.

D. Motor Vehicles

Plaintiff shall be the sole and exclusive owner of a certain 1989 Corsica. Plaintiff shall be solely responsible for any and all outstanding obligations due and owing on said vehicle including, but not limited to: title, registration, inspection, maintenance, and vehicle loans and shall hold plaintiff harmless and indemnify her from any and all liability therefor. Defendant shall execute and deliver any and all documents necessary to effect this transfer.

Plaintiff shall be the sole and exclusive owner of a certain 1986 Oldsmobile. Plaintiff shall be solely responsible for any and all outstanding obligations due and owing on said vehicle including, but not limited to: title, registration, inspection, maintenance, and vehicle loans and shall hold plaintiff harmless and indemnify her from any and all liability therefor. The parties acknowledge that this vehicle, although titled to plaintiff, is for the use and benefit of the parties' daughter. Defendant shall execute and deliver any and all documents necessary to effect this transfer.

Defendant shall be the sole and exclusive owner of a certain 1987 Chevrolet 1/2 ton pickup truck. Defendant shall be solely responsible for any and all outstanding obligations due and owing on said vehicle including, but not limited to: title, registration, inspection, maintenance, and vehicle loans and shall hold defendant harmless and indemnify him from any and all liability therefor. Plaintiff shall execute and deliver any and all documents necessary to effect this transfer.

E. Alimony and Spousal Support

The parties waive any rights that he or she has or may have to alimony or spousal support.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Mar. 26, 1992 copy in box for B. Lindsay

1991

Jorden and White
William T. Jorden

952.

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker
R. Charles Thomas

KATHERINE JORDAN

vs.

JOHN J. PARISE t/a
JOHNNY'S

Filed Sept. 27, 1991
@ 4:23 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriff's costs	21.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 2, 1991 Amended Complaint filed.

(JURY OF TWELVE DEMANDED)

Oct. 15, 1991, Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker, by R. Charles Thomas, attorneys for defendants filed.

Oct. 21, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED John T. Parise, t/a Johnny's on Oct. 3, 1991 at 2:59 PM by handing copy of Complaint and Amended Complaint to Harry Kline, Bartender and Person in Charge, at Johnny's, Route 19, RD # 2, Saegertown, Crawford Co., PA.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 6, 1991 Notice of Default Judgment filed.

Nov. 12, 1991 Notice Of Service Of Interrogatories and Requests For Production To Defendant filed.

Dec. 11, 1991 Answer to Amended Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

Jan. 7, 1992 Answer to New Matter filed.

Feb. 18, 1992 Notice of a Videotape Deposition of Dr. Curtis H. Laub, filed.

Feb. 28, 1992 CERTIFICATE OF READINESS, filed

ON APRIL 1992 TRIAL LIST

Apr. 3, 1992 Notice of a Videotape Deposition of Dr. Curtis H. Laub, filed.

Apr. 7, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, April 7, 1992, the jury trial in this case will take place at a time that is specially set by the Court Administrator, probably in May 1992, from a jury selected during the May 1992 term of criminal jury trials. BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Apr. 13, 1992 copy in box for W. Jorden and R.C. Thomas

May 1, 1992 Notice of Deposition or The Purpose of Copying Records Only, filed.

June 3, 1992 ASSIGNMENT OF PRETRIAL CONFERENCE DATE AND JURY TRIAL DATE, filed. Pretrial Conference in above case is set for Thursday, June 18, 1992, at 4:00 p.m., before Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Jury selection and trial is set for Tuesday, June 23, 1992, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom 1.
Pretrial Narratives shall be file don or before June 18, 1992. FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm.

Juen 3, 1992 copy in box for W. Jorden & R. C. Thomas

June 15, 1992 MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXPERT TESTIMONY, filed.

June 15, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 15, 1992, the attorney for the Defendant appears at Motion Court and indicates that he has just this day received by facsimile the expert's report with regard to the Plainitff witness, Lynn Powers, which report he argues is untimely.
He asks that the testimony of that witness be excluded at the time of trial and in light of the fact that there is a pretrial conference set in this matter for June 18, 1992 at 4:00 p.m. in front of the Honorable Gordon R. Miller.
The Court defers decision on the motion at this time and will leave the determination with resplect to the same to Judge Miller at the time of the pre-trial conference.
BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

June 18, 1992 copy in box for W. Jorden & R.C. Thomas

June 17, 1992 Motion For Continuance and Order filed. And now, June 17, 1992, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion, this case is continued to the October Term of Court and the pre-trial conference will be rescheduled by further Order of this Court.
Gordon R. Miller, J

June 22, 1992 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Jorden & Thomas

Oct. 7, 1992 Plaintiff's Motion In Limine filed.

Oct. 7, 1992 Motion In Limine filed.

Oct. 8, 1992 Gordon R. Miller, Judge, Pre-Trial Order filed.

Oct. 8, 1992 Copy of the Order to Attys. Thomas and Jorden.

Oct 8, 1992 Motion in Limine, filed.

Oct. 15, 1992 Exhibits filed. (Filing Cabinet #6 Bottom Drawer)

Oct. 15, 1992 Plaintiff's Proposed Points For Charge filed.

Oct. 15, 1992 Defendant's Proposed Points For Charge filed.

Oct. 15, 1992 AND NOW Oct. 12, 1992, 9:00 a.m., jury selected and sworn, Oct. 12, 1992 at 10:15 a.m. as follows:

1. John L. Miller
2. Deborah S. Gevin
3. Annette Luce
4. John C. Lee III
5. Earl B. Willey
6. William Page
7. John M. Loveridge
8. Larry Beggs
9. Deborah Kerr
10. Mary Kralj
11. Sandra A. Wilson
12. Jeffrey Hanaway

Alternate 13. Frank Labonowski
Alternate 14. Shirley Pratt

twelve good and lawful persons who upon their solemn oaths which they have taken and at least 10 of them say: We, the jury empaneled in the above entitled action do hereby answer the following questions and find accordingly: Question 1: Do you find the defendant, John J. Parise, t/a Johnny's was negligent? In otherwords, do you find that he directly or through his employee or servants, sold, furnished or gave liquor or brewed beverages to the plaintiff while she was visibly intoxicated? No Skip to Question 7: Do you find that the defendant, John J. Parise, t/a Johnny's engaged in reckless/wanton misconduct? No

Jeffrey P. Hanaway,
Jury Foreman

Katherine Jordan
vs
John J. Parise,t/a/ Johnny's

Continued from Page 402
of Record
AD 1991 - 952

****

Aug. 9, 1995 Petition for Return of Exhibits and ORDER filed. AND NOW,this 9 day of August, 1995,upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, the Prothonotary is directed to return toAttorneyWuilliam T.Jorden, counsel for the plaintiff, all exhibits introduced into evidence by the plaintiff at the time of trial.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

8-9-95 Faxed Jorden & Thomas

402
B

1991

1991

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker

R. Charles Thomas

953.

Joseph F. Kulwicki

DAWN MICHELLE KLINGENSMITH

vs.

DENVER LEE KLINGENSMITH

Filed Sept. 27, 1991
@ 4:28 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
William Pineo, mediator

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Denver Lee Klingensmith, defendant have been sued in court to obtain custody fothe following children: Joshua Lee Klingensmith and Hollie marie Klingensmith.

You are hereby ordered to appear in person at such time and place as is hereby designated by the Custody Mediator hereinafter appointed for a conference.

WILLIAM PINEO, ESQ. is hereby appointed as custody mediator in this case.

If you fail to appear at the time specified by the custody mediator hereby appointed by this order, an order for custody may be entered agaisnt you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

Youshould take this paper to your atty. at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser.tothe Pub. Comm. c/o Prothonotary Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pa. 16335 Tele: 724-4636.

Sept. 27, 1991 BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller J.

Oct. 8, 1991 Appearance of Joseph F. Kulwicki, attorney for defendant filed.

Sept 22, 1998 ORDER: Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 10/8/1991. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear from you in writing on or before Oct 23, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objectioins must be filed in the Prothonotary's office on or before Oct 23, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

Date: Sept 22, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 5, 1998 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of Oct., 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given by publication of a "Notice of Intent To Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on Oct. 14, 1998, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, all and each of the 84 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice. A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 19011.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

Thomas, Truax, Vardaro
Spadafore, & Walker
Emil M. Spadafore Jr.

954.

KIMBERLY COUNSELMAN, AS PARENT AND NATURAL GUARDIAN OF GREGORY COUNSELMAN AND BRADLEY COUNSELMAN AND GUARDIAN AD LITEM OF KRISTINE COUNSELMAN

vs.

BRIAN COUNSELMAN

Filed Sept. 30, 1991
@ 9:17 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Sept. 30, 1991 Petition for the Appointment of a Guardian Ad Litem for minor plaintiff and consent of natural mother, guardians' consent and Order of Appointment of Guardian Ad Litem filed. Kimberly Counsel man of R. D. #2, Box 198, Cochranton, Crawford County, Pa. 16314 is appointed guardian ad litem of Kristine Counselman in this action.
Date: Sept. 30, 1991 BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge
Sept. 30, 1991 copy of order to Atty. Spadafore.

Sept. 30, 1991, Petition for Authority to Partially Settle and Compromise the Claim of A Minor and Order filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, this 30 th day of Sept. 1991 upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, it is ordered as follows:
a. That the proposed payments described in the foregoing petition are approved;
b. That acceptance of suc h payment shall in no way prejudice the right of said minors to pursue further action against the Pa. Dept. of Transportation, if warranted.
Date: 0/30/91 BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge
Sept. 30, 1991 copy of order to Atty. Spadafore.

1991

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph E. Altomare

955.

T. Warren Jones
MacDonald, Illig, Jones
& Britton

BYRON L. DONOVAN, M.D.

vs.

PENNSYLVANIA BLUE SHIELD

Filed Sept. 30, 1991
@ 9:50 a.m.

Tax & entry PAID $50.50
state paid 5.00

PETITION FOR REVIEW AND/OR EQUITABLE OR DECLARATORY RELIEF

2 copies (atty)

Oct. 25, 1991 Preliminary Objections in the Nature of a Motion to strike filed.

Oct. 25, 1991 Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, Preliminary Objections placed on next argt. list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR NOVEMBER 1991

Jan. 13, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, January 10, 1992, the respondent shall have the right to submit additional authorities and written argument on before January 31, 1992. The petitioner shall hve a similar right within ten (10) days after his counsel receives the additional citations and arguments filed by the respondent.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Jan. 13, 1992 Copies mailed to Atty. Jones & Altomare

Apr. 10, 1992 MEMORANDUM and ORDER filed. AND NOW, April 10, 1992, it is ordered as follows:

1. The defendant's preliminary objections in the nature of a motion to strike for lack of jurisdiction is granted and paragraphs 22 through 25 of the plaintiff's petition for review and/or equitable or declaratory relief are stricken.

2. The defendant's preliminary objections as to paragraphs 5, 10, 11, 12, 18, 19, 20 and 21 of the plaintiff's petition for review and/or equitable or declaratory relief are hereby stricken.

3. The defendant's preliminary objections as to paragraphs 3, 4, 6, 7 and 8 are denied.

4. The plaintiff is granted twenty (20) days from this date to file anamended petition for review alleging such facts and other allegations that would entitle him or review under the standards for review applicable in this case.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Apr. 15, 1992 mailed copy to JJ. Altomare & T. W. Jones

April 20, 1992 MOTION for an extension of time until May 6, 1992 filed.
Joseph E. Altomare, Esquire

April 20, 1992 ORDER AND NOW,this 20th day of April,1992 Petitioner Byron L. Donovan,M.D., is granted an extension of time until May 6, 1992 to file an Amended Petition for Review.
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

April 23, 1992 copy to Joseph E. Altomare, Esquire and T. Warren Jones, Esquire

Dec. 11, 1992 Motion for Default Judgment/Dismissal filed.

Dec. 11, 1992 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attorney the above matter is placed on the next available argument list.

1993 January Argument List

Feb. 10, 1993, JUDGMENT filed. AND NOW, Feb. 1, 1993, the defendant's motion for default judgment under Pa. R.C.P. 1037(c) is hereby granted because the plaintiff has not filed an amended petition for review.

Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the respondent, Pa. Blue Shield, and against the petitioner, Bryon L. Donovan, M.D.
BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Feb. 10, 1993, copies mailed to Attys. altomare and Jones.

Judgment entered - 2/10/93
D - 23
JUDGMENT
Barbara H. King,
Prothonotary

1991

J. Wesley Rowden Esq.
Assistant D. A.

956.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

$ 8,500.00

(Michael Craig Yocina)

Filed Sept. 30, 1991
@ 11:33 a.m.

Tax & entry Pd 10/4/91 $50.00
state Pd 10/4/91 5.00

PETITION FOR FORFEITURE AND CONDEMNATION DECREE, MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE AND CONTINUE
C.D. No. 219

Oct. 14, 1991, Petition for Forfeiture and condemnation Decree, Motion to Consolidate and Continue, Notice to Property Owners and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 14 day of Oct. 1991 upon consideration fo the within Motion, Hearing/Argument thereon is hereby re-scheduled for Dec. 3, 1991 at 10:30 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2. FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

Oct. 14, 1991, copy of order to Wesley Rowden Esq.

Oct. 18, 1991 Claim, Answer and New Matter To Petition For Forfeiture and Condemnation Decree filed.

Nov. 12, 1991 Answer To New Matter Raised By Claiments filed.

Dec. 20, 1991 ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Dec. 18, 1991, at the time scheduled for hearing in this matter, the parties have appeared before the Court, each represented by counsel, and entered into a stipulation permitting rthe Court to enter the following order:

1. The sum of #320.00 that was int he Defendant's wallet at the time of his arrest shall be returned to the Defendant by the Commonwealth within five days.

2. Of the sum of $8,500.00 found in the vehicle, one half thereof, or $4,250.00 shall be returned by the Commonwealth tothe Defendant within five days.

3. Any other evidence remaining in the hands of the Commonwealth is hereby forfeited in accordance with the statute relating thereto, and said funds will be disposed of in accordance with 42 Pa. C.S.A. 6801(e). A copy of this order shall be furnished by the District Attorney to the Attorney General.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert W. Walker, S.J.

Jan 6, 1992 copy given to J.W. Rowden. Copy mailed to W. Weichler.

1991

Bernard Stuczynski
& Bonanti
Bruce W. Bernard

957.

MELLON BANK (NORTH)
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

vs.

ERNEST E. THOMPSON AND
CECELIA L. THOMPSON

Filed Sept. 30, 1991
@ 11:40 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state pd 10/3/91	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	56.00
Pro Co. pd 4/3/92	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Nov. 18, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED the within Complaint on October 9th, 1991, at 13:15 Hrs. upon Cecelia L. T Thompson at 14083 Underidge Road, Erie County, West Springfield, Pennsylvania by handing to Shawna Mundkowski, Adult in Charge a true and correct copy thereof and mad eknown to her the contents therein.
So answers, Robert N. Michel, Sher.
John Loomis, Deputy

AND NOW, October 11, 1991 the attached complaint against Ernest E. Thompson defendant is being returned unserved. Shawna Mudkowsky Adult in charge, claims Mr. Thompson resides in Virginia.
So answers, Robert N. Michel, sher.
John Loomis, Deputy

Ernest E. Thompson was not found as per Affidavit of Service returned form Erie County Sheriff's Office, as per information obtained form Shawna Mundkowsky, adult in charge at residence, Ernest Thompson resides in Virginia
SEVED Cecelia L. Thompson by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, PA, as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on October 9, 1991.
So answers, Howard N. stewart, sherif

Feb. 3, 1992 Motion For Service Pursuant to Rule 430 and ORDER filed. In accordance with Rule 430, and upon motion of the plaintiff, it is hereby ordered that defendant ERNEST E. THOMPSON may be served by publication in the following manner:

1. By advertising one (1) time in a newspaper of general circulation in Crawford Co,PA. and also by publication one (1) time in a newspaper of general circulation in Richmond, Virginia. Service shall be complete upon the latest publication. Proof of publication to be filed with the court.

2. As to the notice required by Rule 3129.2, it shall be sufficient for the sheriff to comply with rule 3129.2 (d) and to also publish said notice one (1) time in a newspaper of general circulation in Richmond, Virginia.

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Feb. 7, 1992 Copy of Order mailed to Atty. Bruce Bernard.

Feb. 28, 1992 Proof of Service filed.

April 3, 1992, 1:31 p.m., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for failure to file an answer in the amount of Twelve Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty-five and 69/100 Dolalrs, with intrest at the rate as specified in the promissory note of May 30, 1979, being a sum of $2.86/per diem from Sept.26,1991, and costs of suit.

T-32
Judgment entered 4/3/92

Debt $12,725.69
Judgment
Barbara H. King, Pro.

EXECUTION NO. 1992-26

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck,
& Leemhuis Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

958.

MARINE BANK

vs.

NORMAN H. ROBERTS AND
BOBBIE JO ROBERTS

Filed Sept. 30, 1991
@ 11:49 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	39.50
Pro Co Pd 11-14-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Oct. 25, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Norman H. Roberts personally on October 8, 1991, at 2:40 PM by handing him a copy of the Complaint at his residence, R.D. #2, Box 263, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Bobbie Jo Roberts on October 8, 1991 at 2:41 PM by handing copy of the Complaint to Norman H. Roberts, her husband, at the residence, R.D.#2, Box 263, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Nov 14, 1991 @ 11:11 a.m. upon praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney with Notice of Judgment attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the same is liquidated in the amount of Two Thousand Nine Hundred Eighty-six and 44/100 Dollars plus interest from September 27, 1991 and all costs of suit and fees of Five Hundred Ninety-seven and 29/100 Dollars.
R-124, 24 Debt.....$3,583.73 + int. & costs.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary
Nov 14, 1991 Judgment entered

Nov 20, 1991 Notice of Judgment mailed defendants.

Reversed to AD1995-1046 EX/1991-95

1991

Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman
Berlin May Walsh and
Brabender
Stephen Hutzelman

959.

William PIneo

ASSOCIATES CONSUMER DISCOUNT COMPANY

vs.

JUDITH L. DAVIS

Filed Sept. 30, 1991
@ 1:57 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Pro Co Pd 10/9/91 9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

Oct. 7, 1991 Accepta nce of Service filed.I, William Pineo, Esq. accept service of the within Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure in the above captioned case on the 3rd day of Oct. 1991.
Dated: 10/3/91 William Pineo, Esq

And Now, Oct. 9, 1991 at 10:28, come the parties hereto, by and through their counsel, and hereby stipulate that judgment be entered in favor of the palintiff and against the defendant in amount of Thirty Six Thousand Three Hundred Seventy Nine and 96/100 Dollars plus interest from date of judg., atty. fees in amount of $7,275.99 and costs of action. The parties further recognize tha the defendant file a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 7 of Bankruptcy Code in the US Bankruptcy Court for Western Dist. of Pa. at Case No. 91-00377E. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Law and the Law of Pa. it is specifically agreed that the defendant shall have no personal liability for any deficiency with respect to this judgment and that the enforceability of the judg. is limited specifically to the real estate in the complaint.
Date: Oct. 7, 1991 (signed by atty. for plff and dft)

Judgment entered - 10/9/91
D - 205

Debt ---$36,379.96
Atty fees- $7,275.99
Int. from 10/9/91

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 11, 1991 notice of judgment mailed to dft.

EXECUTION NO. EX 1991 - 85

410

1991

Robert J. Felton

960.

KIMBERLY ANN SHREFFLER

vs.

DAVID W. SHREFFLER

Filed Sept. 30, 1991
@ 2:38 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 2-14-92	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(d) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 30, 1991, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, and Defendant's Counter Affidavit Under Sect. 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Nov. 15, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Robert J. Felton, Esquire, Attorney at Law, 144 West Spring Street, Titusville, Pennsylvania, do hereby certify that, in my capacity as attorney for the plaintiff in the above-captioned matter, served a certified copy of the Divorce Complaint in this matter on October 9, 1991, by sending defendant the same by certified mail No. P 327 536 252, return receipt requested. A complaint was mailed to the defendant on Tuesday, October 8, 1991, and was accepted by defendant, as reflected in the receipt for mailing and the return receipt attached hereto.

Robert J. Felton

Feb. 14, 1992 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Robert J. Felton, Esq., Attorney at Law, 144 West Spring St., Titusville, Pa., do hereby certify that, in my capacity as attorney for the plaintiff in the above-captioned matter, served a certified copy of the Plaintiff's Affidavit in this matter on Oct. 9, 1991, by sending defendant the same by certified mail No. P 327 536 252, return receipt requested. A Plaintiff's Affidavit and Complaint were mailed to the defendant on Tuesday, Oct. 8, 1991, and were accepted by defendant, as reflected in the receipt for mailing and the return receipt already on record.

Feb. 14, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court. With; Notice of Intention to Request the Entry of a Divorce Decree and Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code.

Feb. 24, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, February 24, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Kimberly Ann Shreffler, Plaintiff, and David W. Shreffler, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony. The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

1991

Robert J. Felton

961.

SANDRA S. MURPHY

vs.

TIMOTHY W. MURPHY

Filed Sept. 30, 1991
@ 2:38 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 1-24-92	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(d) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 30, 1991 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Nov. 15, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Robert J. Felton, Esquire, Attorney at Law, 144 West Spring Street, Titusville, Pennsyvlania, do hereby certify that, in my capacity as attorney for the plaintiff in the above-captioned matter, served a certified copy of the Divorce Complaint in this matter on October 9, 1991, by sending defendant the same by certified mail no. P 327 536 254, return receipt requested. A complaint was mailed to the defendant on Tuesday, October 8, 1991, and was accepted by defendant's mother, Verna Murphy, as reflected in the receipt for mailing and the return receipt attached hereto.

Robert J. Felton

Jan 24, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Jan. 30, 1992 MEMORANDUM filed. Feb. 4, 1992 mailed copy to R. Felton

Feb. 6, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE filed. I, Robert J. Felton, Esq., Attorney at Law, 144 West Spring St., Titusville, Pa., do hereby certify that, in my capacity as attorney for the plaintiff in the above-captioned matter, served a certified copy of the Plaintiff's Affidavit in this matter on Oct. 9, 1991, by sending defendant the same by certified mail no. P 327 536 254, return receipt requested. A Plaintiff's Affidavit and Complaint were mailed to the defendant on Tues., Oct. 8, 1991, and were accepted by defendant's mother, Verna murphy, as reflected in the receipt for mailing and the return receipt already on record.

Feb. 6, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved. to Court.

Feb. 13, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, January 28, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Sandra S. Murphy, Plaintiff, and Timothy W. Murphy, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

412

1991

Robert J. Felton

962.

JAMES T. GUNTRUM

vs.

GEORGIANNA C. GUNTRUM

Filed Sept. 30, 1991
@ 2:38 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Bruce L. Smith, mediator

COMPLAINT FOR SHARED CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 2nd day of Oct. 1991 upon presentation ofthe foregoing Complaint for Custody, the parties hereto and their counsel are ordered to appear before BRUCE SMITH, ESQ. the Child Custody mediator, who is hereby appointed in this case. The said parties and their respective counsel shall appear at such time or times that the Child Custody mediator shall hereinafter determine.
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept 22, 1998 ORDER: Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 9/30/1991. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear from you in writing on or before Oct 23, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objectioins must be filed in the Prothonotary's office on or before Oct 23, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
Date: Sept 22, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 5, 1998 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of Oct., 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given by publication of a "Notice of Intent To Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on Oct. 14, 1998, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, all and each of the 84 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice. A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 19011.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

M. Dan Mason
Mason and Mason

963.

Gary Eiben
The McDonald Group

CORA LEE POPE

vs.

~~BP/AMERICA~~

B P OIL COMPANY

COMPLAINT
1 copy (atty)

(JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)

Oct. 23, 1991 SERVED BP america by cert. mail on Oct. 5, 1991 c/o Ed Kuhn, Regional Manager, BP Oil Co. 200 Public Square, Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2375.
Signed return receipt attached.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

Nov. 25, 1991 Answer and New Matter filed.

Dec. 19, 1991 Answer to New Matter filed. 1 copy (atty)

Jan. 2, 1992 Notice of Service of Answers to Interrogatories filed.

Jan. 27, 1992 NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS filed.

Jan. 27, 1992 NOTICE OF SERVICE OFINTERROGATORIES filed.

Sept. 2, 1992, Notice of Taking Depositions Upon Oral Examination filed. (of Cora Lee Pope and Cleveland Daniels)

Filed Oct. 1, 1991
@ 8:45 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	20.50
Pro Co pd 7-6-94	9.00

Oct. 20, 1993 Notice To Complete Discovery filed.

Oct. 29, 1993 Notice of Taking Depositions upon Oral Examination of George M. Bohatiuk, M.D. filed.

Oct. 29, 1993 Certificate of Service filed. The undersigned hereby certifies that on the 28th day of October, 1993, a copy of the within Notice was duly servedon the following persons at their respective addresses as indicated, by First Class United States mail, postage prepaid, or by hand delivery.

George M. Bohatiuk, M.D.
Village West #26
3330 West 26th Street
Erie, PA 16506

Gary Eiben, Esquire
The McDonald Group
456 West 6th Street
Erie, PA 16507

James McCleery, Court Reporter
Star Route
Franklin, PA 16323

Cora Lee Pope
700 Arch Street
Meadville, PA 16335

Feb. 22, 1994 Notice of Taking Depositions Upon Oral Examination of Ronald M. Unice, D. O. filed.

Feb. 28,1994 Certificate of Readiness, filed.

April 18, 1994 Plaintiff's Motion to Correct Name of Defendant and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 18 day of April, 1994, upon consideration of the above stated Motion to Correct Name of Defendant, it is hereby Ordered that said Motion is granted and Defendant's name and designation in this case be and is changed from BP America to BP Oil Company, wherever appropriate.
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

April 20, 1994 Copy of Order to Attys. M. Dan Mason and Gary Eiben.

April 18, 1994 Motion to Continue and ORDER filed. AND NOW April 18, 1994, this matter is continued until June 1994 Civil Trial List.
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

April 20, 1994 Copy of Order to Attys. M. Dan Mason and Gary Eiben.

June 14, 1994 Oral Deposition of Ronald M. Unice, D.O., filed.

June 14, 1994 Exhibit filed.

June 14, 1994 AND NOW, June 13, 1994 at 10:23 a.m., Jury selected. On June 14, 1994, at 9:03 a.m. Jury sworn as follows:

Juror No. 1- Frederick Bloom	Juror No. 7- Dawn Johnson
Juror No. 2 -Gary Hollabaugh	Juror No. 8- Timothy Vogt
Juror No. 3- William Sullivan	Juror No. 9- Barbara Wagner
Juror No. 4- Joyce Booth	Juror No.10- Twyla Coblentz
Juror No. 5- Norma McCormick	Juror NO.11- Karl Blood
Juror No. 6- Timothy Ketcham	Juror No. 12- Jean Shearer

twelve good and lawful persons who upon their solemn oath which they have taken and at least 10 of them say June 14, 1994 at 4:20 p.m., they render the following verdict:

Question 1: Do you find that the defendant was negligent? YES x
Question 2: Was the defendant's negligence a substantial factor in bringing about the plaintiff's harm? YES x
Question 3: Was the plaintiff contributorily negligent? YES x
Question 4: If you answered Question 3 "Yes", was the plaintiff's contributory negligence a substantial factor in bringing about her harm? YES x
Question 5: Taking the combined negligence that was a substantial factor in bringing about the plaintiff's harm as 100 percent, what percentage of that causal negligence was attributable to the defendant and what percentage was attributable to the plaintiff?

Percentage of causal negligence attributable to Defendant (Answer only if you have answered "Yes" to Questions 1 and 2) 50%

Percentage of causal negligence attributable to the plaintiff (Answer only if you have answered "Yes" to Questions 3 and 4) 50%

Total 100%

Timothy Ketcham, Jury Foreman

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 474.....

1991

964.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

WILLIAM J. NEUGEBAUER

Filed Oct. 1, 1991
@ 11:18 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00 ✓
plff on orig 9.50
Pro.Co.Pd. 11-17-94 ($5.00)

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE
of

DSB 1986 - 720

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment above be revived and lien continued; judgment is indexed in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Five Hundred and 00/100 Dollars plus costs.

Debt ----$515.00
Dated ---9/20/91
N - 174 -- JUDGMENT REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Nov. 17, 1994 This Lien satisfied.
(see paper filed)

1991

965.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

CELESTINE BOYD
DENOIL AND SANDRA CLARK

Filed Oct. 1, 1991
@ 11:18 a.m.

Pro simmons paid	$9.00
plff on orig	9.50
Pro Co. pd 9/19/95	5.00
Pro Co. pd. 12/10/96	5.00

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 686

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment entered o 9/29/91 be revived and lien continued - judgment is indexed by Prothonotary in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Two Thousand Two Hundred Sixty and 00/100 dollars.

Debt ---$2,260.00
Dated ---9/23/91
B - 36
C - 213, 151 JUDGMENT REVIVAL

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Sept. 19, 1995 Notice of Subordination filed.

Dec. 10, 1996 This Lien satisfied
(see paper filed)

1991

Marsh, Spaeder, Baur,
Spaeder & Schaaf
John p. Eppinger

966.

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
David L. Hotchkiss

ADVANCED BUSINESS SYSTEMS, INC.

vs.

~~HELEN CATHCART, d/b/a KEN'S PHARMACY~~
~~227 South Main St.~~
~~Cambridge Springs, Pa. 16403~~
HELEN CATHCART, individually and as Executrix of the Estate of H.K. CATHCART, d/b/a KEN'S PHARMACY

Filed Oct. 1, 1991
at 1:02 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	25.25
Pro.Co. pd 10/7/93	7.00

JURY TRIAL

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 23, 1991 Sheriffs REturn filed. SERVED Helen Cathcart on Oct. 3, 1991 at 3:15 p.m. by handing copy of the Complaint to Janet Wise, Manager and Adult in Charge at 227 South Main St., Cambridge Springs, crawford County, pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

Nov. 4, 1991 Answer and New Matter filed.

Nov. 21, 1991 Answer to New Matter filed.

Apr. 8, 1992 MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, plaintiff is hereby permitted to amend its Complaint consistent with tht exhibit attached. The Prothonotary is hereby directed to modify the designation of the defendants consistent with the Amended Complaint. BY THE COURT:
Date: 4-8-92 Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
Apr. 14, 1992 copy in box for D. Hotchkiss, mailed copy to J. Eppinger

Apr. 8, 1992 CONSENT TO AMENDMENT OF COMPLAINT filed.

Apr. 8, 1992 AMENDED COMPLAINT -CIVIL ACTION filed.

June 11, 1992 NOTICE OF INTENT TO COMPLETE DISCOVERY, filed.

July 16, 1992 Answer and New Matter filed.

Mar. 11, 1993 Answer To New Matter Filed in Response To Amended Complaint filed.

Aug.2, 1993 Certificate of Readiness file.

ON TRIAL LIST FOR OCTOBER, 1993

Oct. 7, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Attorney John P. Eppinger, Esquire for Plaintiff, the above captioned matter is settled and discontinued of record.

1991

967.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

DONALD B. SMITH
CAROL M. SMITH

Filed Oct. 1, 1991
@ 2:18 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00
plff on orig. 9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 799

The udnersigned hereby agrees that the judgment entered to DSB 1986-799 be revived and lien continued and authorizes Prothonotary to index; revival of judgment indexed in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants in the sum of Three Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty Three and 19/100 Dollars plus costs.

Debt ------$3,363.19
Dated----9/27/91
S - 217, 205 ---JUDGMENT REVIVAL

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

418

1991

Barrett & Dratler
Sylvia L. Dratler

968.

CONSTANCE C. CUMPSTON

vs.

GLENN R. CUMPSTON

Filed Oct. 1, 1991
@2:47 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd. 1-23-1992	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 3301(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 10, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Sylvia L. Dratler, Esq., being duly sworn, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of a Complaint for Divorce under Section 3301(c) was sent by re gistered mail to the Defendant.
3. The registered mail was sent to the defendant at 675 Cullum Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 15132, on October 4, 1991. The Sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. The defendant received said registered mail on October 7, 1991 Said receipt card number 320 791 331 is attached hereto and signed by the defendant, Glenn R. Cumpston.

Sylvia L. Dratler

Dec. 31, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT of Defendant filed.

Jan. 17, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 23, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Jan. 29, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, January 28, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Constance C. Cumpston, Plaintiff, and Glenn R. Cumpston, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

969.

NANCY GLASS

vs.

DONALD E. GLASS

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

2 copies (atty)

Dec. 4, 1991 ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE, filed. I, the above named Defendant, hereby accept service of the Complaint in the above action.
Donald E. Glass

Oct 19, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Nov. 30, 1992, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Dec 2, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Filed Oct. 1, 1991
@ 3:38 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 12-2-92	9.00

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D

Dec. 9, 1992 AND NOW, December 8, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that **N A N C Y G L A S S**, plaintiff, and **D O N A L D E . G L A S S**, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

420

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

970.

~~Alan D. Hertzberg & Assoc.~~
~~Donald R. Walko~~ withdrawn

DUANE DIXON

vs.

STELLIE ANN DIXON

Filed Oct. 1, 1991
@ 3:40 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

William Pineo, mediator

4-30-93 Received from Plaintiff. Med. Deposit 150.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR:

You, Stellie Ann Dixon respondent have been sued in court toobtain custody, partial custody or visitation of the child: Robbie Calvin Dixon.

You are ordered to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the mediator: William Pineo for a mediation conference.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the courtmay issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Court Administrator, Crawford CountyCourthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335, 814/336-1151 ext. 298.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Oct. 29, 1991 VERIFICATION OF SERVICE, filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, Esq., do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for the above named Petitioner, and that as such I am authorized to make thisverification; that I did on the 17th day of Oct. 1991, send to the above named Respondent, by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of the Custody Complaint filed at the above term and number; and that I did on the 23rd day of Oct. 1991, receive a duly executed receipt dated Oct. 21st, 1991.

Apr. 30, 1993 Motion to Re-Appoint Mediator and Order filed. AND NOW, this 30 day of April 1993, this matter is referred to child custody mediator William Pineo who shall set a mediation date to address the matters raised herein and the subject child shall not be removed form the state of Pennsylvania until further order of this Court. Further, that Defendant/Mother is ordered to produce subject child at time of mediation. Anthony J. Vardaro, J

6 copies atty.; 1 copy mediator

May 13, 1993 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire, after being duly sworn according to law, do hereby certify that I am the attorney of record for the above named Plaintiff, and that as such I am authorized to make this verification; that I did onthe 3rd day of May, 1993, send to the above named Defendant, by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of the Motion to Re-Appoint mediator filed at the above term and number; and that I did on the 13th day of May, 1993, receive a duly executed return receipt dated May 11, 1993.

Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff

June 9, 1993 Appearance of Alan D. Hertzberg & Associates by Donald R. Walko, Jr.Esq. for Defendant filed.

Aug. 8, 1994, Motion for Leave to Withdraw as Counsel and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, upon consideration ofthe above motion for Leave to Withdraw as counsel it is ordered adjudged and decreed that said motion is granted.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

8/11/94 copies faxed to Lindsay and Walko.

Sept 22, 1998 ORDER: Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 8/8/1994. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear from you in writing on or before Oct 23, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objectioins must be filed in the Prothonotary's office on or before Oct 23, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

Date: Sept 22, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 5, 1998, ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of Oct., 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given by publication of a "Notice of Intent To Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on Oct. 14, 1998, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, all and each of the 84 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice. A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901l.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

971.

Terry Toomey

PETITION FOR COURT ORDER

for

KATHRYN L. McCAULIFF

Filed Oct. 1, 1991

PETITION FOR COURT ORDER
Mental Health Procedures
Act of 1976-Sect. 304 & 305)

Oct. 9, 1991 Report of Mental Health Review Officer, REcommendation, and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 8 day of Oct. 1991 upon consideration of the petition filed at the above no. and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer (Atty. Petruso) it is hereby ORDERED AND DIRECTED that the said Kathryn L. McCauliff be committed to Warren state Hosp. for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days.

This order shall take effect on 10/10/91.

BY THE COURT, Gordon R. Miller p.J.

Oct. 9, 1991 copies to Attys. T. Toomey MHMR and WSH.

422

1991

Knox, McLaughlin,
Gornall & Sennett PC
Sue Ann Beck

972.

MICHAEL E. BURI AND MARY E. BURI, HIS WIFE

vs.

ROBERT WALKER AND ALICE F. WALKER, HIS WIFE

Filed Oct. 2, 1991
@ 8:46 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 12/9/91	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

2 copies (atty)

OCT. 2, 1991, Petition for Alternate Service, Verifications, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 2nd day of Oct. 1991, having determined that defendants Robert Walker and Alice F. Walker have obstructed and prevented service of the original process by concealing their whereabouts, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED that plaintiffs be permitted to serve defendants by publication pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 430.
1 copy (atty) Gordon R. Miller, J.

Nov. 4, 1991 Affidavit filed. On this, the 31, day of October 1991, Sue Ann Beck, the undersigned, disposes and states that she is counsel forthe plaintiffs, and that she caused a notice of civil action to be served upon the defendants, Robert Walker and Alice F. Walker, his wife, by publication in the MEADVILLE TRIBUNE on October 10, 1991, and by publication in the CRAWFORD COUNTY LEGAL JOPURNAL, on October 16, 1991. Proof of publication from the MEADVILLE TRIBUNE an dthe CRAWFORD COUNTY LEGAL JOURNAL are attache hereto. The statement is made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C S.A. %4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Sue Ann Beck

And Now, Dec. 9, 1991, at 11:36 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with verification and certificate and proofs of publication attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiffs and against the defendants for want of an answer, damages assessed in the amount of Eleven Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty and 00/100 Dollars plus int. and costs.
judgment entered - 12/9/91
W - 141, 210

Debt ----$11,750.00
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Dec. 9, 1991 notice of entry of judg. mailed to defendants.

9-9-96
Revived to AD1996-908

1991

William L. Walker

973.

DIANE JOHNSON

vs.

JAMES JOHNSON

Filed Oct. 2, 1991
@ 10:47 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	15.00
sheriffs costs	20.00
Pro Co Pd. 3-20-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 3301(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY, AND COMPLAINT FOR EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF PROPERTY

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 4, 1991 Motion To Appoint Custody Mediator and Order filed. AND NOW, this 4th day of October, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, it is ordered that all parties in the above-captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the mediator, William Pineo, at a time to be set by the mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse with the child custody mediator as required by rule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

It is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the court, the aforesaid minor children shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the Court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for contempt of Court.

Date: Oct. 4, 1991
1 copy mediator, 1 copy atty.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Oct. 11, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED James Johnson personally on Oct. 2, 1991 at 11:50 a.m. at his temporary place of cutody at the Crawford County Jail, 360 Center St. Meadville Crawford County, Pa.

So answers,
Edgar D. Householder, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

Mar. 13, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Mar. 13, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 20, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

March 26, 1992 DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

And Now, March 25, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Diane Johnson, plaintiff, and James Johnson, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

March 26, 1992 Motion To Withdraw Count For Equitable Distribution and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 25 day of March, 1992, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the Court hereby orders that Count III of the Complaint filed in the above-captioned action shall be deemed withdrawn.

By The Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

March 27, 1992 Copy of Order to Atty. William Walker.

1991

974.

SUSAN WILLISON

vs.

HARRY HELDRETH

Filed Oct. 2, 1991
@ 1:07 p.m.

Tax & entry Pd 10/16/91	$50.50
state Pd 10/2/91	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	28.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 2nd day of October, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not go to Plaintiffs home until further order of court.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for October 15, 1991, at 4:00 M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 4, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Harry Heldreth personally on October 3, 1991 a 11:50 AM at his place of employment, Northwest Packaging, Maples Road, R.D. #1, Conneautville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, deputy Sheriff
Edgard D. Householder, Chief Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 16, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, October 15, 1991, at the time fixed for hearing in this matter the parties appeared and the Plaintiff shall immediately go to the Prothonotary's O;ffice and sign a discontinued on the form to be provided. At that time, this action will be discontinued.
The Defendant is ORDERED and DIRECTED to pay$50.00 toward the Court costs in this case. He shall pay that in full to the Prothonotary no later than November 15, 1991.
The temporary Order of October 2, 1991 is terminated. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Conneautville Police department and to County Control.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Oct. 16, 1991 Copies of order mailed to plaintiff, defendant, County Control and Conneautville Police dept.

Oct. 16, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff this action discontinued as the undersigned does not want to proceed further with it. Susan Willison

1991

John P. Gagliotti

William J. Gregg

975.

Gelman & Reisman
~~Bruce S. Gelman~~

THOMAS E. TIMLIN

vs.

RUTH ANN TIMLIN

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Filed Oct. 2, , 1991
@ 4:26 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state Paid	10.00
3 cts pd 2-28-95 stat pd	45.00
Pro Co pd 6-9-95	15.00
	9.00

Oct. 11, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. William J. Gregg, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Notice were sent by registered mail to the defendant, Ruth Ann Timlin,, on October 3, 1991.
3. The registered mail was sent to Ruth Ann Timlin, at 1446 Dormont avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15216. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. That the defendant received said registered amil on October 7 1991. Said Return Receipt card P 848 679 239 is attached hereto, signed by Ruth Ann Timlin, andmade a part hereof.

William J. Gregg

Aug. 17, 1995, Motion to Amend Complaint in divorce and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 17 dayof Aug. 1994, in consideration of the foregoing Motion, it is herebyordered that the original divorce complaint is amended to include prayer for a divorce under Seciton 3301(d). BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug. 17, 1994, copy to Gagliotti and Gregg.

Feb. 24, 1995 Counterclaim-Subsequent Petition on behalf of defendant filed.

Feb. 24, 1995 Petition for Change of Venue and ORDER filed. And Now,February 24, 1995 the Defendant's Motion is denied.

Anthony J.Vardaro,J.

2-27-95 Faxed Gelman & Gagliotti

June 8, 1995 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

June 8, 1995 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

June 8, 1995 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Jun. 13, 1995 ORDER filed. This divorce matter has been referred to the Court for review and decree. TheCourt has reviewed the record and is returning the record to the Prothonotary. A final decree will not be entered at this time because:

__x__ Economic and other issues or counts have been raised in the pleadings or by petition which are not being disposed of by stipulation, withdrawal or hearing. To grant a divorce decree without a resolution of those other issues would be tantamount to an improper bifurcation.

Either party may file a praecipe to refer the matter back to the Court when the record is in such a state that the parties are entitled to a final decree.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

6-13-95 Faxed Gagliotti, mailed Gelman

Jun. 14, 1995 Upon Praecipe of John P.Gagliostti, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff, to refer Matter back to Court for the entry of the divorce decree, case moved to Court.

June 21, 1995 ORDER filed. June 20, 1995 This divorce matter has been referred to the Court for review and decree. The Court has reviewed the record and is returning the recor dto the Prothonotary. A final decree will not be entered at this time because:

Economic and other issues or counts have been raised in the pleadings or by petition which are not being disposed of by stipulation, withdrawal or hearing. To grant a divorce decree without a resolution of those other issues would be tantamount to an improper bifurcation. Defendnat's counterclaim raises alimony or alimony pendente lite and the Property Agreement stipulated to by theparties does not dispose of that count.

Either party may file a praecipe to refer the matter back to the Court when the record is in such a state that the parties are entitled to a final decree.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro,J.

June 21, 1995 Faxed Gagliotti, mailed Gelman
June 27, 1995 Faxed Gregg

Aug. 22, 1995, Motion to compel Enforcement of Agreement and/or Bifurcation of Divorce from the Economic Issues and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 21 day of August 1995, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion to compel enforcement of agreement and/or Bifurcation of Divorce from the Economic Issues, a hearing is set for sept. 29, 1995 at 9:15 a.m. in Court-room 1, 2 or 3. by the court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

8/23/95 faxed to Gagliotti, gregg and B. Gelman.

Aug. 31, 1995 Motion to Withdraw Appearance and ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW,this 31 day of August, 1995, upon consideration of the Motion to Withdraw Appearance of Record, it is hereby Ordered that the motion is hereby denied as the Attorney the Defendant has not complied with the Crawford County Local Rule L1012B.

By the Court:
Anthony J.Vardaro,J.

8-31-95 Faxed Attys.Gagliotti & Gelman

Nov. 8, 1995 Praecipe of John P. Gagliotti, Esquire, to refer matter to Court for entry of a divorce decree, filed.

Continued on Page 478

1991

976.

GRACE A. BRANT

vs.

SAMAUL STOUDT, JR.

Filed Oct. 3, 1991
@ 10:28 a.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
sheriff costs	55.76

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 3rd day of October, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff ~~or any of the minor children named in the Petition.~~
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff in any way. Pursuant to 23 Pa (SA 6108 (a)(7) The Defendant shall temporarily surrendered a 357 magnum handgun to the Sheriff of Crawford County.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for October 16, 1991, at 4:00 P M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Oct. 7, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Samuel Stoudt Jr. personally on Oct. 4, 1991 at 5;00 p.m. by handing copy of Protection From Abuse to him and making known the contents thereof to him at his residence Shadeland Rd. Box 531, Saegertown crawford County Pa. The 357 Magnum handgun has been sold.
So answers,
Delores Stayton
Howard N. stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 18, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 17, 1991 after a full hearing on this matter where both parties appeared with counsel, the court is not satisfied that the plaintiff has proven the allegations set forth in her petition by a preponderance of the evidence and therefore the petition is dismissed and the temporary abuse order of Oct. 3, 1991 is terminated and dissolved.
A copy of this order shall be delivered to the Pa. State police and to County Control.
Costs in this case arewaived since the plaintiff is receiving public assistance.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Oct. 18, 1991, copies of order to G. S ullivan, and B. LIndsay, Esqs. county control and Pa. State pOlice.

Oct. 18, 1991 Exhibits filed (with papers)

1991

977.

JONI H. FITZSIMMONS

vs.

DUANE E. FITZSIMMONS

Filed Oct. 3, 1991
@ 10:29 a.m.

Tax & entry pd 10/15/91 $50.50
state pd 10/15/91 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 16.00
Rec'd pd 10/15/91 5.00

10/15/91 Rec'd $16.00 from Plaintiff

10/15/91, Pd $16.00 to Howard Stewart, Sheriff
by check #6457

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 3rd day of October, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.

2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff. Temporary custody of the child and temporary possession of the residence is awarded to plaintiff. Defendant shall move out.

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.

4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.

5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.

6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.

7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.

8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for October 16th, at 4:00 P M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Oct. 4, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Duane E. Fitzimmons personally on October 3, 1991 at 12:50 PM at the residence, 313 Cussewago Road, Meadville, crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Howard N. STewart, Sheriff

Joni H. Fitzsimmons, Plaintiff

Oct. 15, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff this action discontinued as the undersigned does not want to proceed further with it.

Joni H. Fitzsimmons

1991

Bruce A. Barrett

978.

DAVID E. BETTS

vs.

ROBIN E. BETTS

Filed Oct. 3, 1991
@ 11:40 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
sta te paid 5.00

John Fuller, mediator
pd ProCo 6-12-92 900

COMPLAINT FOR VISITATION

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:
You, Robin R. Jeffrey, dft, have been sued in court to obtain custody and or visitation fo the minor child Aubrey Betts,
You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be sert by JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.
If you fai to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody and or visitaiton may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
You should take this paper to your lawyer at onec. If you do not have a alwyer or cannot affore one , go to or teleophone the office set forth below to find where you can get legal help. CRAWFORD COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION Service to public committee, c/o Prothonotary - Crawford CountyCourthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335 814 724-4636.
Date: 10/2/91 BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct. 10, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, Esq., being duly sworn according, deposes and says that the followin facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.
1. that the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of a Complaint for visitation was sent by registered mail to the Defendant.
3. The reigstered mail was sent to the Defendant at R.D. 31, P.O. Box 508, Conneaut Lake PA 16316 on October 4, 1991. The Sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. The Defendant received said registered mail on October 5, 1991. Said receipt card number 320 791 322 is attached hereto and signed by the Defendant, Robin B. Jeffery.
Bruce A. Barrett

May 4, 1992 Motion for Stipulated Consent Custody Order filed. AND NOW, this April 30, 1992, it is hereby ordered:
1. The Father and Mother shall legal custody of th eminor daughter, Aubrey Betts. Each parent shall have access to medical and school records.
2. The child shall reside with her mother and shall visit with her father as follows:
a. Alternating weekends from Friday at 6:00 p.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m.
b. Two non-consecutive two week periods each sumemr, one in July and one in August. In the event that the Father and minor child are not out of Crawford Co. on a vacation trip, the child shall spend the "middle" Sunday of each week period with her mother from 10:00 a.m. until 6:00p.m. However, in the event that the Father and child are out of Crawford Co. on a vacation trip, the Father shall arrange to have the child call the Mother at 6:00 p.m. on the "midle" Sunday of each 2 week period. In addition, in the event that the Father and minor child are out of Crawford Co. on a vacation, then the child shall spend the Monday immediately following the "middle" Sunday of teh 2 week period with her mother from 10:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m. The Father shall notify mother 30 days in advance of the dates he has selected.
c. On Christmas of each year, the Father shall have the right to have his daughter in his custody from 6:00 p.. Christmas Eve until 9:00 a.m. Christms morning.
d. The holidays of Thanksgiving, Easter and Aubrey's birthday shall be alternated from year to year between the parties. The visitations on those days shall be from 9:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m. The alternating shall continue along the same schedule as the parties have been using under their agreement dated Feb. 7, 1990.
During each year, Aubrey shall be with her mother on Mother's Day and with her father on Father's Day.
e. The Mother and Father may agree to such otehr periods of visitation as they may mutuall agree.
3. Each parent shall provide the other with his or her current address and phone number. Each parent shall have the right to telephone the child at the home of the other parent for at least 10 minutes every other day.
4. Each parent shall teach th child to respect the other. The terms Mommy/Mother/Mom and Daddy/Father/Dad shall refer to Defendant and Plaintiff respectively and the child shall not be told or encouraged to use them toward any other person.
5. Neither the Mother nor the Father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.
6. Neither the mother nor the Father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or well being. The parties agree to promptly notify the other in the event that the child becomes seriously ill or is invovled in any accident resulting in injury.
BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
May 11, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. Bruce Barrett and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and John Fuller.

1991

Gerald Sullivan

979.

Brian Lindsay

ELAINE S. WOODS

vs.

MARK C. WOODS

Filed Oct. 3, 1991
@ 11:37 a.m.

Tax & entry Pd 6-12-92 $50.50
state Pd 6-12-92 5.00
Sheriff's Costs Pd 6-12-92 17.00
Pa Co Pd 6-12-92 9.00

Received from Sheriff Dept 6-12-92 17.00 cash.
Pd 6-15-92 to Sheriff (clerk) Ck# 6878 17.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT
3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 3rd day of October, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff in any way. Temporary custody of children is awarded to Plaintiff.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for October 17, 1991, at 3:30 M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct 25, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, October 17, 1991, by agreement of the parties and without admission of any of the facts alleged in the petition, the following Order is entered provided, however, that the portion of this Order relating to visitation rights with the children is not being entered by agreement of the parties, but it is being entered by the Court on its own volition and either party may challange the same by filing an appropriate custody proceeding:

1. The defendant shall not harass, abuse, assault or threaten the plaintiff or minor children of the parties named in the petition at any time and shall not go to the residence of the plaintiff for any purpose except as otherwise provided in this Order relating to visitation.
2. The defendant shall pay the costs in this proceeding within sixty (60) days of this date.
3. This Order shall remain in full force and effect for a period of six (6) months from the date hereof and may be enforced by any Police Officer, Sheriff or other person having reasonable ground to believe there has been a violation of this Order and a copy of this Order shall be lodged with Crawford County Control and any othe agency which should appropriately have a copy thereof on file.
4. The parties have two children, Angela C. Woods, born February 11, 1989, and now approximately two years and nine months of age, and Alexa K. Woods, born December 24, 1990, now approximately ten months of age. Both of the children are in the custody of the Plaintiff and the younger child is being breast fed and it is anticipated that breast feeding will stop some time around January 1 of 1992. The defendant is employed and works a 2:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. shift Mondays through Fridays of each week. Basic custody of the two children is awarded to the mother (plaintiff, with the father to have visitation periods in the following manner: He may obtain custody of Angela on November 2, 1991 at 12:00 o'clock noon and retain cusotdy until Sunday, November 3, 1991 at 5:00 o'clock p.m. He shall immediately contact his mother or other responsible relative in the event he finds himself having any problems with the care of that child.

In addition, he may visit with Alexa at the home of the motehr for a period not to exceed two (2) hours at the time he obtains custody of Angela and a further period not to exceed two (2) hours when he returns Angela to her mother's home. But during such visit, there shall be absolutely no physical confrontation between the parties; and if such confrontation occurs, the plaintiff may notify the appropriate Police officer and thereupon, this Order for custodial visits shall completely terminate until further Order of Court. A similar visit will be permitted each month thereafter beginning on the first Saturday of the month and ending the first Sunday of the month.

Either party may institute appropriate proceedings for custody or visitation and any Order entered in such proceeding shall supersede thsi order which is intended essentially a temporary order.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Nov 1, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Sullivan and Lindsay's boxes and copies mailed to City Police and given to Co. Control.

Oct 29, 1991, Sheriff's Return filed: Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Norbert Micklos who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 9 day of Oct., 1991, at 12 P.M., he served PFA, filed at AD 1991-979, Crawford Co., Pa, upon defendant Mark C. Woods at 6494 Virginia Ave., Avalon, by making known the contents thereof to Mark and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy received from Crawford.

Norbert Micklos, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

SERVED Mark C. Woods by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, Pa., as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served On October 9, 1991 at 12:00 P.M.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

430

1991

Office of
William J. Gregg, Esq.
Kathleen R. Nelson

980.

VIRGINIA R. HARVEY

vs.

DENNIS J. HARVEY

Filed Oct. 3, 1991
@ 12:56 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Oct 30, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. Henry Miller, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is an adult individual, over the age of eighteen (18) years, a citizen of the United States of America, and a Constable for the Co. of Crawford.

2. That on Oct. 30, 1991, at 11:25 AM, he served a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Notice in the above-referenced matter upon the defendant, DENNIS J. HARVEY, by hand-delivering the same to him at his place of employment at Area Shopper, Main Street, Conneautville, Pa.

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed.
Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 10/30/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: March 12, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
Mar. 12, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

April 1, 1998 OBJECTION TO TERMINATION OF CASE FILED (K. NELSON ATTY FOR PLTF.)

may 15, 1998 MEMORANDUM AND ORDER REINSTATING DIVORCE ACTION and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 14th of May, 1998, the divorce action at the above term and number is hereby reinstated without prejudice to the parties, as if the order of May 4, 1998 had not been entered. The Prothonotary shall file the original of this order at the term and number of this divorce action, and shall also file a copy of this order at the term and number where the original of our May 4, 1998 order was recorded and filed.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 15, 1998 Copies distributed by Court Administrator.

1991

William J. Gregg

981.

MICHELLE S. ROBBINS

vs.

RONALD I. ROBBINS

Filed Oct. 3, 1991
@ 12:58 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 6-11-92	9.00
Pro Co pd 3-9-93	5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 3301(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 9, 1991, Complaint reinstated.

Apr. 23, 1992 Complaint Reinstated.

June 11, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. WILLIAM J.GREGG, Esq.,being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and beliedL

1. That the Affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Notice to Defend were sent by registered mail to the Defendant, Ronald F. Robbins, on April 27, 1992.

3. The registered mail was sent to Ronald I. Robbins at c/o Lori Black, 3 Green St., Thomaston, ME 04861. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on May 1, 1992. Said Return Receipt card P 067 507 567 is attached hereto, signed by Ronald I. Robbins, and made a part hereof.

June 11, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

June 11, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

June 11, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to trnasmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

July 2, 1992 AND NOW, July 1, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that MICHELLE S. ROBBINS, plaintiff, and RONALD I. ROBBINS, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar 9, 1993 Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name filed: Michelle S. Bobbins to be known as Michelle S. Monnie.

1991

982.

JOHN DEAN RYEN

vs.

BRENDA L. RYEN

Filed Oct. 4, 1991
@ 10:55 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$10.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd.	5.00

CERTIFIED COPY OF DIVORCE DECREE FROM THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

DECREE:
AND NOW, July 18, 1991 it is ORDERED AND DECREED that John Dean Ryen, plaintiff, and Brenda L. Ryen, defendant are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT,
Joseph S. Ammerman Judge

Certified from the
record 24th day of Sept. 1991.
Allen D. Bietz, Prothonotary

Oct. 4, 1991, NOTICE OF ELECTION TO RETAKE MAIDEN NAME filed.

TO BE KNOWN AS: BRENDA L. CHANDLER

1991

Knox, McLaughlin,
Gornall & Sennett PC
William C. Wagner
Sue A. Beck

983.

William J. Gregg

WAGNER INSURANCE ASSOCIATES INC.

vs.

FERGUSON CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, AND WILLIAM S. FERGUSON, JR. DEVELOPER, INDIVIDUALLY

Filed Oct. 4, 1991
@ 11:59 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Pro.Co. pd 1/21/93 15.00
Pro.Co. pd 8/3/94 5.00

1-7-93 Received from Ref Appeal 220 oc

1-7-93 Pd Crawford Comm. Appeal from Arb. decision Ch# 7659 226 oc

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

(Issued -atty)

Dec. 12, 1991, Acceptance of Service filed. The undersigned counsel for the dfts., hereby accepts service of the writ of summons filed by plff.
Dated: 12/5/91 William J. gregg, Esq.

Nov. 12, 1992 COMPLAINT and CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE,filed. The undersigned hereby certifies that on the 10th day of November, 1992, a copy of the within Complaint was served on all counsel of record and unrepresented parties in accordance with the applicable rules of court. Sue A. Beck

Nov. 19, 1992 Notice of Verification of Plaintiff filed.

Dec 14, 1992 Appearance of William J. Gregg for Defendants Ferguson Construction Co and William S. Ferguson, filed.

Jan. 7, 1993 Answer To Complaint filed.

Jan. 21, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for Reference to Arbitrators, the following are hereby appointed:
Joseph Barnhart, Chairman
John Fuller
Christopher Youngs

Feb. 2, 1993 Arbitrators take qualifying oath.

Mar. 4, 1993 Notice of Hearing Before Board of Arbitrators, filed.

AND NOW, June 8, 1993 Decision of Arbitrators filed wherein they find for the defendant.
W-183
DECISION OF ARBITRATORS
Barbara H. King
Prothonotary

June 10, 1993 Copies of decision distributed to William Wagner, Sue Beck and William Gregg.

July 6, 1993, Notice of Appeal of Plaintiff from the Award of Board of Arbitrators filed.

Sept. 24, 1993 Motion to Compel Responses to Request for Production of Documents and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 24 day of September, 1993, upon consideration of the foregoing motion to compel responses to request for production of documents, the motion is hereby GRANTED.
The defendants, Ferguson Construction Company and William S. Ferguson, Jr., Developer, Individually, are ordered to respond to the defendant's request for production of documents within thirty (30) days from the date of this order or suffer sanctions:
Gordon R. Miller, Judge
Oct. 1, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Wm. Wagner, Sue Beck, Wm. Gregg.

Nov. 12, 1993 Certificate of Readiness filed.

Feb. 4, 1994 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 4th day of February, 1994, non-jury trials are hereby scheduled in the following cases on the dates and at the times set for th below:

Caption (Abbrvd.) & Docket Number	Non-Jury TrialDDat e and Time; Courtroom
Wagner Ins. Assocs. v. Ferguson Const.Co. and Wm. S. Ferguson, Jr., Indiv. A.D. 1991-983	April 22, 1994 (½ day), 1:30 P.M.; #1,2, or 3.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.
Feb.8, 1994 Copy of Order to Attys. Wanger, Beck & Gregg

Apr. 19, 1994 ORDER filed. AND NOW, April 18, 1994, non-jury trial in the above case which was heretofore set for April 22, 1994 is hereby reset for June 1, 1994 at 1:00 P.M., in Courtroom No.1 or 2., Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. In additiona, on the plaintiff's oral motion for a pretrial conference, a pretrial conference is hereby scheduled for May 20, 1994 at 3:00 p.m.
FOR THE COURT,
Ann A. Walker, Court Administrator
4/18/94 Copies dist.by Ct. Adm. toAttys. Beck & Gregg

Aug. 3, 1994 Upon Praecipe of Sue A. Beck, Esquire, Attorneys for Plaintiff, the above-captioned action is marked settled, adjusted and compromised, and discontinued of record.

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

984.

LISA M. ATROZSKIN

vs.

ROBERT A. ATROZSKIN

Filed Oct. 4, 1991
@ 3:16 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 10/25/95	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

2 copies (atty)

Oct 22, 1991 Verification of Service filed: I, Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for the above named Plaintiff, and that as such I am authorized to make this verification; that I did on the 7th day of October, 1991, send to the above named Defendant, by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint filed at the above term and number; and that I did on the 18th day of October, 1991, receive a duly executed return receipt dated October 10, 1991.

Brian J. Lindsay
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: October 22, 1991

Oct. 17, 1995, Affidavit of Consent filed.

Oct. 24, 1995 Affidavit of Consent by Plaintiff filed.

Oct. 25, 1995, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Nov. 1, 1995 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D.**

AND NOW, October 30, 1995, it is ordered and decreed that Lisa Atrozskin, plaintiff, and Robert Atozskin, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller,
P.J.

1991

Stuart A. Liner, Esq.
Assistant Counsel
Com. of Pa.

985.

IN RE:
CONDEMNATION BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION OF RIGHT OF WAY FOR STATE ROUTE 4008, SECTION DBI, IN THE TOWNSHIP OF BEAVER.

Property Owners:
DAVID C. & HELENA M. LAMB
R. D. #1
Conneaut ville, Pa. 16406

Filed Oct. 4, 1991
@ 3:55 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

APPEARANCE AND DECLARATION OF TAKING

(6 copies -atty)

Oct. 11, 1991 MEMORANDUM to Prothonotary - recorded in Crawford County Recorder's Office, Record Bk 134, page 353.

Oct. 23, 1991 Proof Of Service filed.

Jan. 10, 1992 Sworn Statement As To Notice Of Presentation Of petition To Deposit Estimated Just Compensation filed.

Jan. 10, 1992 Petition To Deposit Estimated Just Compensation Order of Court filed. AND NOW, January 9, 1992 upon presentation of the within Petition, it is hereby ordered and directed that the sum of $101.50 representing the amount of just compensation estimated by the Commonwealth to be due the condemnee(s) and the Commonwealth's pre-rata share of taxes on the subject proper ty shown on the attached Proposed Schedule of Distribution, be paid into Court, to be held in an interest bearing account until further Order of Court directing payment f said amount to the said condemnee(s) and/or person(s) entitled thereto pursuant to Sections 407, 521 and/or 522, of the Eminent Domain Code, 26 P.S. §§-401, 1-521 and/or 1-522, as they may apply.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J

Jan. 16, 1992 Copy mailed to Atty. Liner

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin, Stack & Millin
James R. Irwin
~~Mary Ann Kirkpatrick~~ Withdrawn
986.

PATRICIA A. WACLAWIK

vs.

EUGENE D. WACLAWIK

Filed Oct. 7, 1991
@ 9:24 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	15.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS, EXPENSES, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 7, 1991, Motion to Set Hearing and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Oct. 7, 1991 hearing on plaintiff's request for Alimony pendente lite, court Costs and counsel fees will be held on Nov. 6, 1991 at 4:00 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pa.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct. 8, 1991, copy of order to Atty. Kirkpatrick.

Oct. 17, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, October 14, 1991, comes Constance L. Deitman being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legal secretary of Attorney, for Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
2. Tha ton information furnished her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certi fied copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P 643 856 061; postmarked October 8, 1991, to the last known address of the Defendant, Eugene D. Waclawik, 120 Brink Drive, Cambridge Springs, PA 16403.
3. That the Defendant, Eugene D. Waclawik, on October 10, 1991, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above-matter and which is attached hereto.
4. Service of the Complaint against Defendant is ther efore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Constance L. Deitman

Nov. 6, 1991 Motion to Continue and ORDER filed. And Now, Nov. 6, 1991, the hearing scheduled for Nov. 6, 1991 is continued generally.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Nov. 12, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick.

June 26, 1997 Appearance of Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin, Stack & Millin by James R. Irwin, Esquire, on behalf of Plaintiff, filed.

Aug 8, 1997 Withdrawal of Appearance of Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Equire on behalf of plaintiff, filed.

1991

Elderkin, Martin,
Kelly & Messina
James H. Richardson Jr.

987.

Howard A. Hain

UNIVERSAL PRESS REPAIR, INC.

vs.

H. JESSE HAAS, t/d/b/a
AREA SHOPPER

Filed Oct. 7, 1991
@ 12:02 p.m.

Tax & entry 11/21/91 $50.50
state 11/21/91 5.00

CERTIFIED COPIES OF CIVIL ACTION TRANSFERRED FROM
THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ERIE COUNTY, PENNA.
No. 5168-A-1990

ERIE COUNTY ENTRIES:

Nov. 30, 1990, Writ of Summons issued.

Dec. 7, 1991, Service of Writ filed. Writ accepted by Howard A. Hain, Esq.

June 18, 1991, Complaint filed.

July 12, 1991 Preliminary objections and Petition to Transfer filed.

July 29, 1991, Praecipe to place Preliminary Objections on next Argument list filed.

Sept. 25, 1991 Plaintiff's Consent to Petition to Transfer filed.

Sept. 30, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30 day of Sept. 1991, upon hearing of the Preliminary Objections and Petition to Transfer of the defendant H. Jesse Haas, t/d/b/a Area Shopper, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED with consent of counsel of both parties that the foregoing action shall be transferred to the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania with costs of transfer to be paid by Plaintiff in accordance with Pa. Rules of Civil procedure 1017(b) and 1066(e).

BY THE COURT: (judge illegible)

1991

Lawrence L. Kinter

988.

Timothy M. Sennett
Knox McLaughlin
Gornall & Sennett PC

CONWAY & O'MALLEY GOODYEAR

vs.

TOM DAILEY AND
DAILEY & KOCAN
CHEVROLET-OLDSMOBILE, INC.

Filed Oct. 7, 1991
@ 11:39 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.25 ✓
state paid	5.00
Pro co Pd 1/2/92	9.00 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF RONALD A. COLE, SR., MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-3-06

Oct. 7, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Oct. 10, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

Oct. 15, 1991 Notice of Appeal correcting caption filed.

Oct. 15, 1991 Verification of Service of above notice of appeal filed.

Oct. 17, 1991, Complaint filed.

Oct. 18, 1991, Proof of Service filed.

Oct. 31, 1991, Verification of Service of Notice of Appeal filed.

Nov. 19, 1991 Notice Of Praecipe For Entry Of Default Under Rule 237.1 filed.

Nov. 19, 1991 Certification of mailing Notice filed.

And Now, Jan. 2, 1992 at 11:41 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of Three Hundred Fifty Six and 22/100 dollars plus interes and costs of suit.
Judgment entered - 1/2/92
D - 181 Debt ---$356.22
Judgment
June Allen acting Prothonotary

Jan. 9, 1992, notice of entry of judgment mailed to dft. and Atty. Sennett

1991

989. Joseph C. Barnhart	CANDACE HINDERLITER vs. JEANNE HATHAWAY Filed Oct., 7, 1991 @ 11:52 a.m. Tax & entry paid $50.25 state paid 5.00	APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF DISTRICT JUSTICE Oct. 7, 1991 rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued. Oct. 10, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

440

1991

Christopher Brode

990.

KIMBERLY A. HOWLES

vs.

JASON M. BARTOSEK

Filed Oct. 7, 1991
@ 1:36 p.m.

Tax & entry	Pd 12-13-91	$50.50 ✓
state	Pd 12-13-91	5.00
sheriffs costs		60.25 -
Pro Co	Pd 12-13-91	9.00 ✓

Received $60.25 12-13-91 Deft

Pd Sheriff 60.25 12-13-91 Ck No. 6553

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy Plaintiff

On 8th, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, October 17, 1991, the following Order is entered ORDER: on an agreement of the parties and without any admission as to the truth or falsity of the facts alleged in the petition:

AND NOW, this 17th day of October, 1991, upon review of the peition of Plaintiff, the court enters the following Temporary Order.

And now, this 7th day of October, 1991, upon consideration of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.

2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff nor go to her residence. Temporary possessionof the marital re sidence & child are awarded to Plaintiff

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.

4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.

5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.

6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.

7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.

8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for October 17, 1991 , at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Oct. 23, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. Before me, the under signed authority personally appeared Wm. Parker, Dep. who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 9th day of Oct. 1991 at 17;00 hrs. he served notice to defendan and Petition for Emer. Relief under Proteciton from ABUSE ACT AT AD 1991 - 990 upon dft. Jason Bartosek at his place of employment Emsco Plastics, 306 Shanago St. Erie, County, Girard, Pa. by making known the contents thereof and handing to and leaving with him the cert. copy of NOtice to defend and Petition received from Crawford County.

So answers, William Parker, Dep. Sheriff
Robert N. MIchel Sheriff

SERVED Jason M. Bartosek by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County Pa. as per his Affidavit of Servcie attached. Served on Oct. 9, 1991.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct 25, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, October 17, 1991, the following Order is entered based upon an agreement of the parties and without any admission as to the truth of falsity of the facts allged in the petition:

1. The defendant shall not abuse, assault, harass or threaten the plaintiff or their minor child in any way and shall not go to the plaintiff's residence at R.D.#2, Mitchell Lake, Cambridge Springs, Pennsylvania except as otherwise provided in this order.

2. The basic full custody of Raymond Joseph Bartosek, a minor child of the parties, shall remain with the plaintiff, subject to visiting rights in the defendant as follows:

A) The defendant may take the child temporarily into his custody from Saturday at 9:00 o'clock a.m. until Sunday at 5:00 o'clock p.m. every other weekend beginning with the weekend of October 19 and the weekend of October 26 (the consecutive weekends being an exception to the general Order), and after October 26, 1991 on every other weekend.

B) The defendant shall have the right to take the child into his custody on October 22 and October 24 from 9:00 o'clock a.m. to 1:00 o'clock p.m., and thereafter, on each Wednesday between the same hours.

C) The defendant shall provide transportation to the child for the visits, but shall not enter the plaintiff's residence.

3. On Saturday, October 19, 1991, in addition to obtaining custody of the child, the defendant may obtain possession of his personal belongings, which the parties have essentially agreed upon. Under no circumstances shall there be any physical abuse or confrontation at the time the belongings are obtained; and if any disagreement arises between the parties at that time, further application shall be made to the Court.

4. The overnight visitations between the defendant and his child shall be based at the residence of Louise Bartosek, the defendant's grandmother, at 10480, Route 98, Edinboro, Pennsylvania.

5. The defendant shall pay the record costs in this matter within sixty (60) days of this date.

6. Any Police Officer having jurisdiction may enforce this Order in accordance with the Protection from Abuse Act and a copy of this Order shall be furnished to County Control and any appropriate Police Department.

7. This Order shall remainin full force and effect for a period of one (1) year from the date hereof.

8. The parties have made certain agreements relating to person property, which agreements are approved by the Court and should be followed by the parties, althought the Court questions the right to enforce through any time of criminal proceeding these items under a Protection From Abuse proceeding, as follows:

A) The defendant shall have possession of a 1981 Eagle auto mobile titled in joint names to the parties.

B) The defendant shall make payments due on a certain TV set to Rainbow Rental as the same becomes due until such payments are concluded.

9. It is the understanding of the Court that the plaintiff will be filing a proceeding to obtain support for the parties' minor child; and upon such Order being entered it shall be retroactive to October 7, 1991, the date upon which proceeding was commenced.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Nov 1, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty. Brode's box and mailed to Defendant and CSPD and given to Co Control.

1991

Joseph F. Kulwicki

991.

RAYMOND F. COLE

vs.

STELLA E. COLE

Filed Oct. 7, 1991
@ 3:59 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co. Pd. 1-9-92	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

OCT. 17, 1991, Affidavit of SErvice filed. Stephanie Bellas, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says she is an adult individual, emplyed by the law office of Joseph F. Kulwicki, III, as as such on Tues. Oct. 8, 1991 she personally served a cert. copy fo the Div. Complaint in the matter above, upon the defendant at 891 Grove St. Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. at approx. 10:30 a.m. by handing her same.
Stephanie Bellas.

Jan. 9, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 9, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 9, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaitniff attorney to transmit record, this case moved to Court.

Jan. 16, 1992 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, January 15, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Raymond F. Cole, Plaintiff, and Stella E. Cole, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro

442

1991

Shafer, swick Bailey,
Irwin and Stack
Charles J. Swick

992.

AMERICAN FEDERAL SAVINGS

vs.

MARK B. ZIMMERMAN AND DONNA M. ZIMMERMAN, HIS WIFE

Filed Oct. 7, 1991
@ 4:14 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	31.00
Pro Co Pd 11-13-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Oct. 25, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Mark B. Zimmerman personally October 15, 1991 at 3:25 PM in the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
SERVED Donna M. Zimmerman on October 15, 1991 at 3:24 PM by handing copy of the Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure to Mark B. Zimmerman, her husband, in the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County courthouse, Meadville, PA. Signed Acceptance of Service attached.
So answers, Diane Severo, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov 13, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to file an answer and the same is liquidated in the sum of Twenty-seven Thousand Three Hundred Seventy-five and 38/100 Dollars, foreclosure of the mortgage, with costs plus interest from august 31, 1991 at the rate of 10%.
Z-112, 42 Debt.....$27,375.38 + costs & int.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary
Nov 13, 1991 Judgment entered.

EXECUTION 1991 - 94

1991

993.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

DANIEL J. MORFENSKI
THERESA M. MORFENSKI

Filed Oct. 8, 1991
@ 10:31 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00
plff on orig 9.50

Pro Co. pd 12/12/94 $5.00

Pro.Co. Pd 12/19/94 $5.00

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1991 - 993

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment entered on 11/18/86 be revived and lien continued; prothonotary has indexed same in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants in the sum of Three Thousand Seven Seventy Five and 00/100 Dollars plus costs.

Debt -----$3,775.00
Dated---10/1/91
M - 44, 164----JUDGMENT REVIVAL

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Dec. 12, 1994 Writing filed wherein plaintiff shall make subordinate the lien of the above stated judgment in favor of certain mortgage given by the said David J. and Theresa M. Morfenski to Blazer Consumer Discount Co., Inc., in the sum of Twenty-four thousand three hundred fourteen and 68/100 Dollars, dated 12/8/94. This subordination to affect the lien and collection of said judgment out of the following described property: Crawford County Record Book 255/260.

Dec. 19, 1994 at 3:14 P.M. Writing fifled wherein plaintiff suboardinates the lien of the above stated judgment in favor of a certain mortgage given by David J. & Theresa M.Morfenski to Blazer Consumer Discount Company, Inc., dated December 8, 1994 in the sum of Twenty-four Thousand Three hundred Fourteen and 68/100 Dollars ($24,314.68) . This subordination of judgment to affect the lien and collection of said judgment out of the following described property: Having an address of 409 Pine Street, Meadville, PA 16335. (See Subordination of Judgment Lien filed.) Recorded in Mortgage Book 255, page 260.

Revived to AD1996-1165

1991

Theodore B. Ely
Ely and Smith

994.

PETRI BAKING PRODUCTS, INC.

vs.

THOMAS ANDRAKO

Filed Oct. 8, 1991
@ 11:44 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	49.50
Pro Co pd 5/14/92	9.00
Exemplification of Record pd 10/16/92	10.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Nov. 14, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED the within Notice-Complaint on October 17, 1991, at 11:45 a.m., upon Thomas Andrako at Sheriff's Office 1176 Elk St., Franklin, PA 16323 by handing to Susan Andrako, Authorized Agent (wife)(per attached acceptance of service) a true and correct copy thereof and made known to HER the contents therein.
So answers,
Gene Price
Venango County Sheriff

I hereby deputize the Sheriff of Cenango county, Pa to serve the within Cmplaint according to law.
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 12, 1991 Notice of Default filed.

And Now, May 14, 1992 @ 1:43 PM upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defnednat for failure to file an answer and the d amages are assesed at the sum of Five Thousand Eight Hundred Forty-one and 08/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
A-163
judgment entered May 14, 1992
Notice of entry of judgment
mailed 5/18/92

Debt $5,841.08
Int. from Mar. 12, 1990
Judgment
Barbara H. King
Prothonotary

EX 1992-93

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

995.

Kathleen R. Nelson

CHARLES J. GARRITY

vs.

LINDA S. GARRITY

Filed Oct 8, 1991
@ 2:23 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 17, 1991 Affidavit of SErvice filed. And now, Oct. 14, 1991, comes Constance L. Deitman being duly sworn according to law deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legal sec. of Atty. for plaintiff.

2. That on information furnished her by plaintff, she causes a cert. copy of Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Cert. Mail, P 643 856 062; postmarked Oct. 9, 1991 to the last known address of dft. Linda S. Garrity, R. d. 3, Saegertown, Pa. 16344.

3. That the dft. on Oct. 10, 1991 personally signed the ret. rec. card which was ffixed to the complaint and is attached hereto.

4. Service of the complaint against dft. is therefore effected in accordance with Pa. rules of Civil Procedure.

Constance L. Deitman.

Oct. 21, 1991 Appearance of Kathleen R. Nelson, Attorney for defendant filed.

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 10/21/91. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: March 12, 1998

Mar 12, 1998 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

Voorhies, Rowley,
Wallace, Keck & Karson
Christopher J. St. John

996.

DEBRA ANN MABRY

vs.

WILLIAM DOUGLAS MABRY

Filed Oct. 9, 1991
@ 11:29 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	15.00
Pro Co. pd 3/30/92	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE,
CUSTODY AND/OR VISITATION
EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF PROPERTY
1 copy (atty)

Oct. 18, 1991, General Appearance filed. Appearance in the above divorce case entered. I hereby accept service of and acknowledge receipt of a cert. copy of the original complaint filed in the above action. William Douglas Mabry, dft.

March 30, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

March 30, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

March 30, 1992 Agreement filed.

March 30, 1992 Upon Praecipe of plaintiff attorney for review under Section 3301(c) of divorce code this case moved to Court.

Apr. 6, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 3rd day of April, 1992, it is hereby orderd as follows:

1. A Decree shall be entered divorcing the plaintiff, Debra Ann Mabry, and the defendant, William douglas Mabry, from the bonds of matrimony which now exist between them.

2. Distribution of marital property, the establishing or relinquishment of alimony, and the payment of counsel fees and Court costs shall be done pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement dated March 23, 1992, entered into between the parties, which is filed of record in this matter. Said Agreement shall be incorporated into a Decree in Divorce

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D

AND NOW, April 3, 1992, the Court having heard this case and having fully considered and proceeded to determine the same as to law and justice appertain. Do Sentence and Decree that Debra Ann Mabry be divorced and separated from the nuptial ties and bonds of matrimony heretofore contracted between her,the said Debra Ann Mabry, the Plaintiff, and the siad William Douglas Mabry, Defendant: And that thereupon all and every, the duties, rights and claims accruing to either the said Plaintiff or the said Defendant at any time heretofore in pursuance of said marriage, shall cease and determine, and the said Plaintiff and Defendant shall severally be at liberty to marry again in like manner as if they have never been married.

It is further ordered and decreed that the Settlement Agreement dated March 23, 1992, and entered into between the parties, as included in the Record is incorporated herein by reference and made a part of this Order and each and every provision therefof is binding upon the parties as though fully set forth herein.

BY THE Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Apr. 6, 1992 mailed cooy to C. St. John

1991

Blystone & Blystone

997.

JOHN N. KOVATS

vs.

DENISE K. LAW

Filed Oct. 9, 1991
@ 2:10 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 1-27-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 3, 1991 Complaint reinstated.

Dec. 30, 1991 ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE, filed. I hereby accept service of the Complaint in Divorce endorsed with Notice to Defend. Denise K. Law, Dft.

Jan. 27, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 27, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 27, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Jan. 29, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, January 29, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that John N. Kovats, Plaintiff, and Denise K. Law, Defendant, are divorced fromthe bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

1991

Kathleen R. Nelson

998.

WILLIAM J. GREGG, ADMINISTRATOR C.T.A OF THE ESTATE OF EMMY PAPAIOANOU

vs.

RONALD L. WOLF AND EDELTRAUD WOLF

Filed Oct. 9, 1991
@ 3:35 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 53.25
Pro Co pd 3/20/92 5.00

COMPLAINT, AFFIDAVIT AND CONFESSION OF JUDGMENT IN EJECTMENT

2 copies (sheriff)

And Now, Oct. 9, 1991 at 3:35 p.m., upon complaint of attorney, by virtue of warrant of attorney contained in the agreement, Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq., appears for defendants and confesses judgment in ejectment against them in favor of plaintiffs for the aforesaid premises:

ALL that certain piece or parcel of land situate in the Borough of Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, Pa. bounded and described as follows:

BEGINNING at a post on Spring St. at the northeast corner of land formerly of Ann Bertram, now or formerly of J. A. Logan; thence by said land one hundred thirty (130) feet to land formerly of Charles Bertram; thence by said land easterly fifty (50 feet to land formerly of A.B. Ross heirs; thence Northwardly by same one hundred thirty (130) feet to Spring st.; thence Westwardly along the south line of said st., fifty (50) feet to the palce of beginning. It being the same land conveyed to Emmy Papaionou, Seller herein, by deed of Marian E. Dundon, widow, dated Feb. 4, 1980, and recorded in Crawford County Deed Book 445, page 785.

Judgment entered
10/9/91
W - 141, 283

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 10, 1991, notice of entry of judgment mailed to each defendant.

Oct. 31, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Ronald L. Wolf by deputizing the Sheriff of Elk Co., Pa, as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on Oct. 16, 1991 at 10:50 a.m.

SERVED Edeltraud Wolf personally on Oct. 16, 1991 at 11:49 a.m. by handing her a copy of the Complaint, Afidavit and Confession of Judgment in Ejectment at the residence, 329 Spring St., Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, Pa. So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 20, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above-referenced case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

999.

RENAE COOLIDGE

vs.

MARK COOLIDGE

Filed Oct. 10, 1991
@ 10:33 a.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriffs costs	21.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 10th day of October, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Temporary possession of the residence is awarded to Plaintiff. temporary custody of Lee Coolidge is awarded to Plaintiff. Defendant shall move out. Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for October 21, 1991, at 3:30 P M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 11, 1991 SERVED Mark Coolidge personally on Oct. 10, 1991 at 12:16 p.m. by handing copy of the Protection from Abuse to Mark Cooledge at his residence 1331 Pa. Ave, Meadville Pa. Crawford County.
So answers, James Withers, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 21, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff, this action discontinued as the undersigned does not want to proceed further with it.

Oct. 23, 1991 ORDER filed. and now, Oct. 21, 1991 at the hearing on the Plaintiffs petition, the plaintiff appeared and indicated she wanted to drop this aciton. the defendant did not appear, because he was working.

Accordingly the plaintiff shall go to the Prothonotarys Office and sign a voluntary discontinuance.

The temporary abuse order of Oct. 10, 1991 is terminated. A copy of this order shall be delivered to the M eadville police Dept. and to County Control.

In view of the minimal income the defendatn receives, the fact that they receive food stamps and some welfare supplement, the fact that the plaintiff does not work and taking into account the number of dependents the parties have, costs are waived.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Oct. 25, 1991 copies to plff, dft., county control and Mead. city police.

1991

Blystone & Blystone
Peter E. Blystone

1000.

INTEGRA NATIONAL BANK/NORTH
~~PENNBANK~~/

vs.

EMMET H. McGUIRE AND THELMA J. McGUIRE

Filed Oct. 10, 1991
@ 10:38 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	68.00
Pro Co Pd 12/4/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Nov. 6, 1991 Affidavit of Non-Military Service of Defendants filed.

Nov 7, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED the within Complaint on October 25, 1991 at 10:45 a.m., upon Thelma McGuire at her residence, 75 North Main Street, Erie, Erie Co., Pa. by handing to her a true and correct copy thereof and made know to Thelma J. McGuire the contents therein.
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff
See Affidavit of Service endorsed hereon by Sheriff of Crawford County, Pa, which is part of this return.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 7, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED the wtihin Complaint on October 29, 1991, at 12:35 Hrs. upon Emmet H. McGuire at his place of Employment, Jewelry Repair, Millcreek Mall, Erie County, Erie, Pennsylvania by handing to him a true and correct copy thereof and mad eknown to Emmet H. McGuire the contents therein.
So answers, Rogert Gunesch, Deputy
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff

Nov. 25, 1991 Motion to Correct The Name of Party, Certificate of Mailing and ORDER filed.AND NOW, November 25, 1991, upon presentation of the foregoing Motion of Pennbank, party to this action, it is ordered that the name of Pennbank shall be changed to Integra National Bank/North. The Prothonotary is directed to amend the caption of the case accordingly.

Gordon R. Miller,
Judge

Dec. 3, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Peter Blystone.

And Now, Dec. 4, 1991 at 2:53 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to answer, damages assessed in the amount of Fifty Two Thousand Three Hundred Sixty and 09/100 Dollars, plus int. at 9.75 per annum from Apr. 26, 1991 thru Dec. 4, 1991 of $3,105.00, and attys. fees of $2,618.00 - total fo Fifty Eight Thousand Eighty Three and09/100 Dollars with int. at rate of 9.75 per annum from dec. 4, 1991.
Judgment entered- 12/4/91
M - 54, 164

Debt ---$58,083.09
INt. from 12/4/91
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons, Pro

EX 1991 - 99

FRONT

John S. Hobson

vs.

Mary K. Hobson

Record continued from page 204

Record Of

A. D. 1991 - 754

****

growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restaraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other ina polite and respectful manner when in the children's persence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11. Neither party shall expose the children to the company of persons to whom they are not related by blood or marriage between the hours of 8:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m.

12. Thje parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

13. Because the parties have only recently separated and the situation is still evolving, either party may request a review of this Order at any time if adjustments are needed.

14. Costs and fees of th is Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

15. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Nov. 26, 1991 Copies in box for Attys. W. Pineo, G. Fabian, B. Lindsay. Sent ccpy to Plf. & Dft.

July 29, 1992, Petition to Modify Custody and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, July 29, 1992, this matter is referred to William Pineo, esq. CHild Custody Mediator, for mediation. Deposit of $150. to be made before Aug. 5, 1992?

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. vardaro, J.

Aug. 4, 1992, copies to G.Fabian, W. Pineo, and B. lindsay.

Aug. 24, 1992, Petition (of Plaintiff) to Modify filed. 1 copy (atty)

August 28, 1992 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 27 day of August, 1992, after Medition in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children, Joel T. Hobson and Joshua C. Hobson.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. The father shall have primary physical custody of the children each year from 5:00 p.m. August 15 through 5:00 p.m. of the following June 15. The mother shall have primary physical custody each yera from 5:00 p.m. June 15 through the following Aug. 15 at 5:00 p.m. The parent not having primary physical custody shall have the right of visitation each weekend, except for the last full weekend of each month, from Friday at 5:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m. A full weekend is defined as one in which Friday and Saturday occur within the same month.

b. The subject minor children shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Christmas visitation periods. The first period shall begin on Christmas Eve at noon and end at noon of Christmas Day, and the second shall begin at noon of Christmas Day and end December 26 at noon. For Christmas, 1992, the mother shall have temporary physical custody and visitation during the first period, and the father, the second, and the parties shall thereafater alternate. The mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody during the children's Christmsa vacation from school from noon of Dec. 26 through noon of New Year's Day.

b. Thanksgiving holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Thanksgiving holiday visitation periods. The first will begin at 7:00 p.m. of the WEdnesday preceding Thanksgiving and end at 2:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day and the second shall begin at 2:00 p.m. of Thanksgiving Day and end at noon of the day following Thanksgiving. For Thanksgiving, 1992, the father shall have the first period, and the mother, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternte the periods. The mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody each Thanksgiving weekend from noon of the Friday after Thanksgiving until 6:00 p.m. of the Monday following Thanksgiving.

c. The Easter vacation visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Easter visitation periods. The first beginning at 7:00 pm. of Holy Saturday and ending at 1:00 p.m. of Easter Sunday and ending at 7:00 p.m. of Easter Sunday. For Easter, 1993, the mother shall have the first period, and the father, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods. The mother shall, each year, have temporary physical custody and visitation with the children during the spring or Easter recess beginning at 7:00 p.m. of the day that school closes and ending at 7:00 p.m. of the day preceding its reopening. The alternating Easter visitation schedule will take precedence over this provision.

d. Other holidays to be observed are Memorial DAy, Independence Day, and Labor Day. Holiday visitation shall be beteen the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch the fireworks. The motehr shall have visitation and temporary physical custody for Labor Day, 1992, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

e. The children shall spend Father's Day with their father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with their mother during the same hours.

f. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall nto be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the children at the home of the other.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent form his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

RECORD CONTINEUD ON PAGE 475.....

WILLIAM D. HARKNESS

vs.

LYNN A. SHERRED

Record continued from page 157

of record

A.D. 1991 - 707

****

Sept. 26, 1991 Motion For Continuance and Order filed. AND NOW, in consideration of the foregoing Motion, it is hereby ordered that the hearing inthis matter shall be continued to the 10th day of October, 1991, at 1:30 p.m., prevailing local time.
Sept. 26, 1991
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Sept. 27, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Irwin and Hall

Oct. 2, 1991 Motion For Continuance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 2nd day of October, 1991 upon presentation and consideration ofthe within Motion this hearing is rescheduled for the 29 day of October, 1991 at 1:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 3, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Irwin and Hall

Oct. 8, 1991 Counterclaim For Custody filed.

Oct. 8, 1991 Application For Leave To Intervene filed.

Oct. 8, 1991 ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of October, 1991 upon Application for Leave to Intervene, it is hereby ordered that Shirley Gill is granted leave to intervene in the instant custody action and is hereby granted permission to file a Counterclaim for Custody of the child who is the subject of this action with said hearing to be held before the Court on Oct. 29, 1991 at 1:30 P.M.
By The Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct. 8, 1991 Two copies of Order to Atty. James Irwin; copy of Order placed in Attys D. Ferguson and Wm. Pineo box.

Oct. 21, 1991 Appearance of Allen and Hall By Stephen E. Hall, attorney for defendant, Lynn A. Sherred, filed.

Oct. 21, 1991 Reply To Counterclaim For Custody filed. (1 copy atty.)

Dec. 18, 1991 MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: ADN NOW, Dec. 17, 1991, this case is dismissed on the basis that the plaintiff herein had no legal standing to commence the same without prejudice to the right of any party who has such legal standing to commence an appropriate proceeding in connection with the same subject matter.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S. Judge

Dec.20, 1991 copies of Memo and Order to Attys. J. Irwin and Stephen Hall.

Dec. 18, 1991 Exhibit filed.

Dec. 18, 1991, Motion for Amendment of Temporary Order and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Dec. 17, 1991, the foregoing motion is denied for the reason that by Order entered simultaneously herewith. This case has been dismissed of record. This order is made without prejudice tothe right of the moving party to make further applications in the same subject matter.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Senior Judge Robert L. Walker,

Dec. 18, 1991 copies of order to Attys. J. Irwin and S. Hall

Feb. 12, 1992 Complaint For Custody and Orders filed. You, Shirley Gill, defendant, have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody, or visitation of the following child: Jason Gill.
William Pineo is hereby appointed mediator to her this matter.
You are ordered to appear in person at such times and places as shall be set for hearing in this matter, whether by the mediator of the Judge.
You are further ordered to bring with you, if in your physical custody, the above-named children. The Court further orders the following:
YOU ARE DIRECTED NOT TO MOVE THE ABOVE-NAMED CHILDREN FROM THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA PENDING FINAL ORDER IN THIS MATTER.
YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO IND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.
Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
c/o Prothonotary Office
Crawford CountyCourthouse
Meadville, PEnnsylvania, 16335
Telephone Number: 814-724-4636

c/o Prothonotary Office

12/23/91
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

12/23/91 Request by Pl for Christmas Custody denied.
P R Thomas, J

Feb. 18, 1992 Copies of orders put in box for Atty's Hall, Irwin & Pineo

BETTY LORD

VS.

DAVID LORD

Record Continued from Page ~~601~~ 39

of

AD 1991-589

****

July 26, 1991 Order Setting Hearing filed: AND NOW, July 25, 1991, the court having this date having been advised that the defendant was place in the Crawford County Jail by the Titusville Police on July 18, 1991, for violation of the Order dated June 26, 1991, hearing on said matter is set for Monday, July 29, 1991 at 10:30 a.m., in Courtroom 1.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman
Ct. Adm.

July 26, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty Brode's box and copy given ot Defendant (in jail)

July 30, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, July 29, 1991 after the contempt hearing, the Court finds the Defendant in willful and deliberate contempt of the Court Order of June 26, 1991. After hearing the Court is satisfied that the Defendat violated the terms and conditions of paragraph 2 of the order and paragraph 4 of th eorder regarding surrendering of all car keys to the wife.

The Defendant has been in jail for 11 days. The sentence for contempt is that the Defendant is sentenced to undergo imprisonment in the Crawford County Jail for a minimum period of 11 days and a maximum period of 60 days, with further imprisonment suspended in view of service ofthe minimum sentence. The Defendant is cautioned if there are further violations of the original order or amended orde,r he will be liable to serve the balance of the sentence if found guilty of contempt.

The Defendant is directed upon release to continue the terms and conditions of the order previously entered June 26, 1991 and under no circumstances, whether invited by his wife or otherwise, may he go to the wife's place of employment. Likewise, he may not threaten or harass her in any fashion.

The parties mutually agree that the visitation orde rprovided in paragraph 5 of the original order shall be modified to the extent that the visits shall take place Sunday of each week and the mtoerh will deliver the child at the father's residence in Pleasantville, at an exact address to be given to th emother by mail notifying her of the location of the father's residence. The parties mutually agree tha tin view of the recklessly endangering charges pending against the Defendant at the september term of Court involving alleged mishandling of the child, that the grandfather of the child, theodore Lord, will be present at all visits withthe child at his residence.

At the time of the ocntempt hearing, the wife against advises the Court that she does not seekr reconciliation with her husband and in this regard, the Court recommends that she not go out with him or go to his place of residence with his father for any purpose except to deliver the child and pick up the child.

The Defendant will mail all of the car keys he has for the wife's car and any copies he has made of those keys to her, and he may not have in his possession or use any keys for the wife's car.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

July 31, 1991 Copies of order to Gerald Sullivan and Mark Waitlevertch & County Control

Oct 23, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, this 23rd day of October, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, a Rule be and is hereby entered against respondent to show cause why he should not be held in contempt of Court as prayed for in the attached Petition.

Rule returnable for hearing on the 6th day of November, 1991, at 3:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

Pending the hearing scheduled above, the Respondent shall not remove nor attempt to remove the children from the custody of the petitioner.

The Crawford County Sheriff's Department is directed to serve a certified copy of this Petition and Order upon the respondent. In the alternative, the Petition and order may be served upon the respondent or his attorney by any competent adult or by certified mail.

This Petition and order shall be filed and served without payment of costs; the court shall determine at the hearing if the respondent shall be required to pay costs.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct 24, 1991 Copies of order to Attys. Brode and Waitlevertch & Co Control.

Nov. 6, 1991 Proof of Service filed. I certify that a copy of the foregoing order and Petition for Contempt of Court was served upon respondent David W. Lord, at 393 Dunham Rd. Lot #3, Pleasantville, Pa. 16341. on Oct 29, 1991 by cert. US Mail, Ret. REc. REq.
Date: NOv. 6, 1991 R. Christopher Brode, Esq

Nov. 8, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Nov. 6, 1991, at 3:45 p.m. after the time scheduled for hearing, it appearing that the respondent is expecting to be represented by Mr. Mark D. Waitlevertch who is unavoidably detained in a hearing before District Justice Vogan, this case is continued until Nov. 7, 1991, at 9:15 a.m. The tipstaff shall give notice either in person or by telephone to the office of the Public Defender to assure the attendance of Mr. Mark D. Waitlevertch. All existing orders shall remain in full force and effect until the hearing.

By Order Of The Court,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Nov. 14, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. R. Christopher Brode, Mark D. Waitlevertch, County Control.

Nov. 8, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Nov. 7, 1991, a petition to adjudicate in contempt is dismissed. Nothing herein contained shall be deemed to modify the existing protection from abuse order or the order entered by Judge P. Richard Thomas on the last contempt proceeding.

BY Order of The Court,
Robert L. Walker, S. J.

Nov. 14, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. R. Christopher Brode, Mark Waitlevertch and County Control.

Nov 14, 1991 Exhibits filed with papers.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 459

Cheri L. Summers

vs

Robert R. Summers

Record Continued from Page 81

Record of

A. D. 1991 - 631

****

Nov. 26, 1991 TEMPORARY ORDER, filed. AND NOW, this 2nd day of Nov., 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and u pon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS H EREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. The parties shall share physical custody of the subject minor children. The children will reside with their mother from 7:00 p.m. of Aug. 15 of each year through 7:00 p.m. of June 15 of the following year and with their father from 7:00 p.m. of June 15 through the following August 15 at 7:00 p.m. each year. The party with whom the children are not residing shall have the right of visitation every weekend, except for the last full weekend of each month from Friday after the close of school or from 4:00 p.m., if school is not in session, until Sunday at 7:00 pm.

b. The subject m inor children shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4a. Christmas holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows. There shall be two Christmas visitation periods, the first beginning Christmas Eve at 6:00 p.m. and ending Christmas Day at 11:00 a.m.and the second beginning Christmas Day at 11:00 a.m. and ending Christmas Day at 7:00 p.m. For Christmas 1991, the father shall have the first period and the mother, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods.

b. There shall be two Thanksgiving holiday periods, the first beginning on the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving at 6:00 p.m. and ending the Friday after Thanksgiving at 7:00 p.m. and the second beginning on the Friday after Thanksgiving at 7:00 p.m. and ending on the Sunday after Thanksgiving at 7:00 p.m. For Thanksgiving , 1991, the mother shall have the first period, and the father, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

c. There shall be two Easter visitation periods, the first beginning on Good Friday at 7:00 p.m. land ending Holy Saturday at 7:00 p.m. and the second beginning on Holy Saturday at 7:00 p.m. and ending Easter Sunday at 7:00 p.m. For Easter, 1992, the father shall have the first period, and the m other, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

d. Other holidys to be observed are Memorial Day, Independence Day and Labor Day. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch the fireworks. The father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody for Memorial Day, 1992, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

e. The children shall spend Father's Day with their father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with their mother, during the same hours.

f. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this Order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the excerise of his or her rights picking up the children at the home of the other.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the ch children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11. Neither party shall expose the children to the company of persons of the opposite sex to whom they are not related by blood or marriage between thehours of 9:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m., nor shall either party permit such persons to reside with them or remain in the residence overnight while he or she has the care and custody of the children.

12. The mediator has noted for the Court that the living arrangements and residences of each of the parties is subject to change. Accordingly, either party may request a review of this order at any time in order to make any needed adjustments or changes.

13. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are stongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

14. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

15. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon R. M iller, P.J.

Dec. 5, 1991 copy in box for Attys. W. Pineo, J. Spataro & R.C. Thomas. Sent copy to Plf. & Dft.

Continued on page 454 A

Cheri L. Summers

vs.

Robert R. Summers

Record continued from page 454

of record

A.D. 1991 - 631

****

Jan. 3, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, December 31, 1991, after informal hearing between counsel, parties, and the court, the court finds an obligation on behalf of the Defendan tto pay alimony pendente lite for a limited period of time and attorney fees in this case. The parties are in agreement that the Defendan thas a net take-home pay of $2,300.00 per month, and that the wife is currently unemployed and has had no work experience for at least a decade.

A child support orde rin a total amount of $961.00 per month for the support of three children has recently been entered in the domestic relations section. It is the contention ofthe defendant that said child support awarded carries with it the obligation on behalf of the Plaintiff to pay the two mortgages on the house, which amount to $714.00 per month.

The Defendant is residing on his father's residence in a trailer. He has some monthly expenses for maintenance thereof, and is also obligated and will continue to pay a Sear's debt in the amount of $25.00 per month and a Signal Credit Company line of credit in the amount of $87.00 per month. Commencing with the month of January 1992, the defendant shall pay alimony pendente lite in the amount of $450.00 per month in two equal monthly payments payable on the 1st and 15th of every month. The alimony awarded will expire July 1, 1992, unless extended by further Court order or the parties amicably resolve the divorce issue prior to said time.

In addition to the alimony pendente lite awarded, the defendant shall be obligated to pay counsel fees to the wife's attorney in a total amount of $1,500.00 with $500.00 to be paid by January 15, 1992, $500.00 by February 1, 1992, and $500.00 by March 1. 1992.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Jan. 3, 1992 copies to Atty's. Spataro and R.C. Thomas

Jan. 8, 1992 PETITION TO HOLD DEFENDANT IN CONTEMPT INCLUDING MOTION FOR SPECIAL RELIEF and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW this 8th day of Jan., 1992, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition to hold Defendant in Contempt, including Motion for Special Relief it is hereby ordered that a hearing is scheduled in the above captioned matter for Tuesday, Feb., 4, 1992 at 2:30 o'clock in Courtroom 1.

BY THE COURT.
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan. 13, 1992 copy in box for J. Spataro & R. C. Thomas

Jan. 31, 1992, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Jan. 31, 1992, Inventory and Appraisement of robert R. Summers filed.

Jan. 31, 1992, Income and Expense Statement of Robert R. Summers filed.

Feb. 3, 1992, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Feb. 3, 1992, Inventory and Appraisement of cheri L. Summers filed.

Feb. 3, 1992, Income and Expense Statement of Cheri L. Summers filed.

Feb. 4, 1992 Amended Petition To Hold Defendant In Contempt filed.

Feb. 4, 1992 Exhibits filed.

Feb. 13, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, February 12, 1992, after a formal hearing on the plaintiff's petition for contempt and motion for special relief it is ordered as follows:

1. The petition for contempt - child support shall be considered, and a hearing held theroen, at the time of the hearing of the defendnat's de novo hearing request on the same child support order.

2. The Court finds as a fact that the defendant willfully neglected or refused to pay alimony pendente lite and counsel fees, that he had the ability to comply with the Order of December 31, 1991 and is therefore held in contempt of Court for failure to do so. The defendant may purge himself from contempt as follows:

a. By paying alimony pendente , as ordered, in a prompt and timely manner and in the correct amount without fail.

b. By paying an additional $25.00 per month to apply on alimony pendente lite until the shortfall of $150.00 for the January payment is paid in full.

c. By paying the balance of the counsel fees, as ordered, at th eitme he receives his 1991 federal tax refund or by April 15, 1992 whichever occurs first.

3. That the plaintiff is granted temporary exclusive possession of the marital residence and the real estate upon which it is situated.

4. That the plaintiff's request for health insurance premiums is denied as the Court finds, at this point, that the defendant doe snot have the financial ability to maintain health care coverage for the plaintiff (although he is responsib for the health care coverages of the children) in view of the fact that he is obligated to pay alimony pendente lite, counsel fees, support while at the same time maintaining himself and financing this litigation on his own behalf.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Feb. 13, 1992 Copies given to counsel

Oct. 5, 1992 Petition For contempt and Order filed. And now, October 2, 1992, hearing on Defendant's Petition for Contempt is set on November 30, 1992, at 10:00 a.m. o'clock in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the crawford County Courthouse located on Diamond Square in Meadville, Pennsylvania/

For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, CA

Oct. 9, 1992 Copies of order to Atty's Walton and Spataro

Oct. 22, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pa. on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated June 28, 1992. The Defendant acknowledged service on July 1, 1992, by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt. John F. Spataro

Oct. 23, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Record continued on page 454 (b).....

454
B

1991 TERM

Cheri L. Summers,

VS.

Robert R. Summers

Record Continued from Page 454(a)

OF

AD 1991 - 631

****

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Oct. 29, 1992 AND NOW, this 30th day of Oct., 1992, the grounds for the above case having been approved and the Affidavits of Consent having been filed by both parties, the Court, by virtue of the authority vested in it by law, decrees that Cheri L. Summers and Robert R. Summers are hereby divorced from the bonds of matrimony, and all the duty, rights, and claims accorded to either of the said parties at any time heretofore and pursuance of said marriage shall henceforth cease and determine, and the said parties shall severally be at liberty to marry again as if they had never been married.

It is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1920.1, et seq. and Act 26-1980, 23 P.S. Section 1 et seq., "the Divorce Code" that the terms, provisions and conditions of a certain property settlement agreement between the parties dated Oct. 23, 1992 and attached to this Decree and Order as Exhibit "A" is hereby incorporated into this Decree and Order by reference as if the same were set forth herein at lengh. Said Agreement shall not merge with but shall survive this Decree and Order.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct. 29, 1992 Qualified Domestic Relations Order filed. AND NOW, this 14 day of Sept., 1992 it appearing to the Court that:

A. The parties hereto are husband and wife and a divorce action is presently pending in this Court at the above number;

B. Raymond M. Novak, Social Security Number 200-34-5353, hereinafter referred to as "Defendant" or "Participant" is employed by Alltel Service Corporation, and is a participant in the Alltel Corporation Pension Plan;

C. Donna J. Novak, Social Security Number, 181-36-4769, hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff" or "Alternate Payee" has raised claims for, inter alia equitable distribution of marital property pursuant to the PA. Divorce Code, 23 PS Section 101 et seq;

D. Plaintiff's current and last known mailing address is PO Box 922, Saegertown, PA 16433;

E. Defendant's current and last known mailing address is RD # 3, Conneautville, PA 16406;

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. A portion of the aforementioned Retirement Plan is marital property subject to distribution by this Court.

2. The portion of the Pension Plan of Alltel Corporation currently in the name of Raymond M. Novak is awarded to the Alternate Payee, Donna J. Novak, and will be paid in payments of One Hundred Fifty-Six Dollars and 94 cents ($156.94) per month payable at pension commencement date, said date being nov. 1, 2003. This sum shall be subject to actuarial adjustments as might be necessary based upon the Alternate Payee's age, sex, benefit form selected, or the date upon which she elects to put the plan into pay status.

3. The Participant, Raymond M. Novak, is awarded the remaining monies in the Alltel Corporation Pension Plan.

4. The term of said paymetns to the Alternate Payee is for the life of the Alternate Payee, with payments to commence at the retirement date chosen by the Alternate Payee, but in no event earlier than the earliest retirement date provided under the Plan, with respect to the Participant.

5. The Alternate Payee, Donna J. Novak, shall have the same rights with regard to her portion of the Plan as are available to the Participant, Raymond M. Novak, with regard to his remaining portion of the Plan. His rights include, but are not limited to the right to designate a beneficiary of retirement benefits if the Plan so provides, the right to elect from then existing retirement dates and payment options. In no event shall the Alternate Payee have greater rights than those which are available to the Participant. The Alternate Payee is not entitled to any benefit specifically prohibited by the Retirement Equity Act of 1984, as amended "REA"; Section 206 (d) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended "ERISA": or Section 414 (g) of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) of 1986, as amended the "Code".

6. The Plan to which this Order applies is the alltel Corporation Pension Plan or any successor Plan.

7. The parties shall promptly notify the Corporation Pension Plan Administrator of any change in their addresses form those set forth in this Order.

8. The parties shall promptly submit this Order to the Corporation Pension Plan Administrator for determination of it's status as a Qualified Domestic Relations Order.

9. Neither party shall encumber, transfer, pledge or in any other way dispose of any portion of this pension plan until such time as the Plan Administrator has qualified this Order after which each party shall be entitled to take such actions as he or she deems appropriate with regard to his or her share, free of any claim by the other.

IT IS INTENDED that this Order shall qualify as a Qualified Domestic Relations Order under the REA, ERISA and the Code. The Court retains jurisdiction to amend this Order as might be necessary to establish or maintain its's status as a Qualified Domestic Relations Order under the REA, ERISA and the Code.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 6, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. John Spataro, R. Charles Thomas, Dom. Rel., William Pineo.

Dec 4, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Nov. 30, 1992 at the time fixed for hearing on the Defendant's petition for contempt, the Defendant claims that the Plaintiff is saying bad things about him and turning the children against him; that the oldest daughter goes through his papers when she is at his house to find evidence that is favorable to the Plaintiff; that the Plaintiff monitors and controls what the children say to their father, especially over the telephone; that there is a problem with them taking clothes and toys back and forth during visitation; and that the Plaintiff's current boyfriend has become intoxicated and gotten sick in the kitchen, all of which the Plaintiff strongly denies.

The Plaintiff has made similar allegations toward the Defendant, such as the Defendant manipulates the children to get things his way and that the Defendant sends the children back with dirty clothes. She also claims that she cannot afford to share transportation as she was ordered to do.

During a brief informal hearing, the Court noticed that everything the Defendant said the Plaintiff denied, and everything the Plaintiff said the Defendant denied. There wasn't much agreement, and it appears superficially to the Court that the parties are angry, somewhat bitter, and very argumentative. The Court can certainly enter court orders directing one or both of the parties to do certain things,but has difficulty knowing exactly what the true facts are and wants to make sure that these parties conduct themselves in such a way that promotes the best interest and welfare of the children. Accordingly, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The parties are ORDERED and DIRECTED to undergo counseling by one of the following persons or entities

David Glenn
Kathy Berkey
Michael Mercatoris
Center fo Families in Change

for so long as the counselor believes it is necessary or appropriate. The purpose of counseling sessions is to aid and assist these parties in dealing with each other at an appropriate level, in being flexible and making allowances that are in the best interest of their children, to help them encourage the children to love and respect the other and want to be with the other, and to make certain that the visits that the children have with their father are pleasant and ones which the children want to go to, as well as any other matters that the counselor believes is appropriate to settle or reduce the conflict that the Court sees in court this date.

The parties shall agree on which counselor to use and counseling shall begin within 30 days from this date. If the parties cannot agree, then this Court, on motion, shall select a counselor. We give the parties a choice because we want them to begin with the counselor on a positive basis.

2. The full and complte cost of the counseling session shall be borne by the Defendant. If either party wishes to terminate the counseling sessions and the counselor does not agree, then that party shall file an appropriate petition with the Court, which shall be accompanied by a report from the counselor, in detail setting forth exactly what has taken place up until that point so that the Court knows exactly what has occurred.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 454 C

CHERI L. SUMMERS
vs.
ROBERT R. SUMMERS

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 454B
of Record
A.D. 1991 - 631

****

#. The Plaintiff's request to have the transportation requirements changed is denied as that was contained in the prior court order. Furthermore, the Court hopes that through appropriate counseling sessions, these parties will learn to deal with each other in a better fashion and maybe can work out some of the transportation problems on their own to accommodate both of their interests.

4. The Defendant's petition for contempt is continued generally as the Court will not now decide whether or not the Plaintiff is in contempt, because both sides have such different view of what has taken place.

5. If the Defendant does not move for a further hearing on hi spetition for contempt within 4 months from this date, said petitions is dismissed with prejudice.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon r. Miller, P.J.

Dec 9, 1992 copy to B. Walton, J. Spataro, R.C. Thomas, W. Pineo

Dec. 31, 1992 Motion To Withdraw as Counsel and Order filed. AND NOW, this 31 day of December, 1992, upon consideration ofthe within Motion, the Motion is hereby granted. The Prothonotary is directed to send copies of all future Orders directly to the Plaintiff, whose address is 135 Stevenson Road, Greenville, PA 16125.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Jan. 4, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Spataro and Thomas and to Plaintiff

May 12, 1993 Petition to Modify Custody and Notice filed. You, Cheri L.Summers, have been sued in Court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, youmust take prompt action. These proceedings may affect your child custody and/or visitation rights.

You are ORDERED to appear for a hearing before William Pineo, Child Custody Mediator, at such time and place as he shall designate.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order,an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

5/7/93

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 17, 1993 Copy of Notice to Attys. Spataro, Thomas, Walton & Pineo.

May 24, 1993 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. AND NOW, May 21, 1993, comes Lisa M. Sherretts being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legal assistant of Attorney for Robert R. Summers, Defendant in the above-captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished her by the Defendant, she caused a certified copy of the Petition to Modify Custody to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P 899 097 853; to the last known address of the Plaintiff, Cheri L. Summers, 135 Stevenson Rd., Greenville, Pa. 16125.

3. That the Plaintiff, Cheri L. Summers, on May 19, 1993, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above -matter and which is attached hereto.

4. Service of the Petition to Modify Custody against Plaintiff is therefore effected in accordance with Pa. R.C.P.

June 28, 1993 Motion for Refund of Mediator's Deposit and Order filed. And Now, June 25, 1993, Motion allowed. The Prothonotary is ordered to return to Defendant his unused Mediator's Deposit.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

June 30, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Spataro, Thomas Walton and Pineo.

June 28, 1993 Stipulation, Consent & Custody Order, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 6th day of July, 1993 upon presentation of the Stipulation and Consent of the parties, attached hereto, and after consideration of same, it is hereby ORDERED, DIRECTED, and DECREED that:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. The party with whom the children are not residing shall have the right of visitation every weekend, except for the last full weekend of each month from Friday at 5:00 p.m. until Sunday at 7:00 p.m.

b. The parties agree that during the July and August visitation period, the party wtih whom the children are not residing shall have the children thr full second week in each month from Sunday at 7:00 p.m. until Friday at 5:00p.m. The other party will have the children the second and last weekend in July and August plus the other 3 weeks.

c. The subject minor children shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time ans such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4a. Christmas holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Christmas visitation periods, the first beginning Christmas Eve at 6:00 p.m. and ending Christmas Day at 11:00 a.m. and the second beginning Christmas Day at 11:00 a.m. and ending Christmas Day at 7:00 p.m. For Christmas 1991, the father shall have the first period and the mother, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods.

b. There shall be two Thanksgiving holiday periods the first beginning on the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving at 6:00 p.m. and ending the Friday after Thanksgiving at 7:00 p.m. and the second beginnign on Friday after Thanksgiving at 7:00 p.m. and ending on the Sunday after Thanksgiving at 7:00 p.m. For Thanksgiving 1991, the Mother shall have the first period, and the father, the second, thereafter the parties shall alternate the periods.

c. There shall be two Easter visitation periods, the first beginning on Good FRiday at 7:00 p.m. and ending Holy Saturday at 7:00 p.m. and the second beginning on Holy Saturday at 7:00 p.m. and ending Easter Sunday at 7:00 p.m. For Easter, 1992, the father shall have the first period, and the Mother, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

d. Other holidays to be observed are Memorial Day, Independence Day and Labor Day. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch the fireworks. The father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody for Memorial Day, 1992, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

e. The children shall spend Father Day with their father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with their mother during the same hours.

f. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custoduial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitation as set out in this order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

Continued on Page 454D

CHERI L. SUMMERS
VS.
ROBERT R. SUMMERS

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 454C
of Record
A. D. 1991 - 631

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the exercise of his or he rights picking up the children at the home of the other.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs when said party has the care and custody of the minor children. The parties may consume, in moderation, alcoholic beverages in the presence of the children, provided that they are not consumed in a bar or similar establishment. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrngements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and vonvenient. Said arrangemetns shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

12. The parties to this action agree that this Honorable Court retain jurisdiction of this matter.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

July 9, 1993 copy to J. Spataro, R.C. Thomas, B. Walton, W. Pineo, Plf. & Dft.

Jan. 18, 1995 Petition for Contempt and NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR filed.

Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging you have wilfully disobeyed an order of court for visitation.

If you wish to defend against the claim set forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in wiring with the court your defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in writing with the court your defenses of objections, you must appear in person in court on March 1, 1995, at 1:30 p.m., in Courtroom Number 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

IF YOU DO NOT APPEAR IN PERSON, THE COURT MAY ISSUE A WARRANT FOR YOUR ATTEST.

If the court finds that you have wilfully failed to comply with its order for visitation, you may be found to be in contempt of court and committed to jail, fined or both.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville,PA 16335
Telephone (814) 336-1151

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

1-18-95 Faxed to Attys. Thomas & Pineo, given to Atty. Walton

Jan. 26, 1995 Affidavit of Service, filed. And Now, January 26,1995, comes Barbara J. Walton, and avers as follows:

1. A true and correct copy of the Petition for Comtempt was mailed to the Plaintiff via Certified Mail, return receipt on January 24, 1995.

2. That the Defendant signed the return card on January 25, 1995, and in accordance with Pa. R.C.P. 412, service of the Complaitn was made as is evidenced by the United States Postal Service Return Receipt (Certified No. Z070383607) attached hereto as Exhibit "A:.

I VERIFY that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Feb. 6, 1995 Petition to Modify Custody, Reappoint Mediator and You, Cheri L. Summers, have been sued in Court to obtain shared custody of Timothy Michael Summers and Jesse Tyler Summers and Barbara Dawn Summers.

You are ORDERED to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined bythe Mediator William Pineo for a mediation conference.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or theCourt may issue a warrant for your arrest.

Until the time ofthe above hearing neither party shall remove the children fromtheCommonwealth of Pennsylvania The minor children shall also remain in the preimary physical custody ofthe mother with visitationby the father as mutually agreed upon by the parties.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE, IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 336-1151, ext. 298

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Date: 2/3/95

Feb 6, 1995 copies to dft. atty & to Pineo

Feb. 13, 1995 Affidavit of Service filed. AND NOW, February 13, 1995, comes Barbara J. Walton, Esquire and avers as follows:

1. A true and correct copy of the Petition to Modify Custody and the Appointment of a Mediator was mailed to the Plaintiff via certified mail, return receipt on February 8, 1995.

2. The Planitiff signed the return card onFebruary 9, 1995, andin accordance with Pa. R.C.P. 412, service of the Complaint an dNotice was made as is evidenced by the United States Postal Service Return Receipt (Certified No. Z070383611) attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsficiation to authorities.

Barbara J. Walton

Feb. 15, 1995 Appearance of Shafer,Swick, Bailey, Irwin, Stack, Millin by James R.Irwin,Esquire, for Cheri L. Horner, Plaintiff, filed.

Mar. 2, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 1, 1995, at the time set for a contempt hearing requested by the defendant, the parties and their counsel advise the Court that the contempt issue has now been withdrawn and amicably resolved.

The parties and counsel advise the Court that a further custody mediation was held this date by ongoing Mediator William Pineo and the matter was taken under advisement by the Custody Mediator.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Mar. 2, 1995 copoies dist to Irwin, Walton & Pineo

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 454(e)

CHERI L. SUMMERS

VS.

ROBERT R. SUMMERS

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 454 (d)

AD 1991 - 631

OF

OF

AD 1991 - 631

****

March 13, 1995 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 10 day of March, 1995, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The father is hereby awarded legal and physical custody of Timothy Michael Summers, and the mother is awarded legal and physical custody of Dawn Summers and Jesse Tyler Summers.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.

3.a. The mediator notes for the court that Dawn and her father have become estranged, and that Dawn is in any case, nearing the age of majority. The Court therefore does not believe it in the best interests of Dawn to compel her to visit her father, but does encourage the father and Dawn to informally arrange for visitation at their mutual convenience.

b. Starting with the weekend of March 10, 1995, Timothy and Jesse will alternate weekends between their parents' homes between the hours of Friday at 6:00 p.m. and Sunday at 6:00 p.m.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas: There shall be two Christmas holiday visitation periods, the first beginning on Christmas Eve at 5:00 p.m. and ending Christmas day at 2:00 p.m., and the second beginning Christmas day at 2:00 p.m. and ending Christmas day at 7:00 p.m. For Christmas, 1995, Jesse and Timothy shall spend the first period with their father and the second with their mother and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

b. Thanksgiving: There shall be two Thanksgiving holiday visitation periods, the first beginning on the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving at 5:00 p.m. and ending Thanksgiving day at 2:00 p.m. and the second beginning Thanksgiving day at 2:00 p.m. and ending on Thanksgiving day at 7:00 p.m. For Thanksgiving, 1995, Jesse and Timothy shall spend the first period with their mother and the second period with their father, and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

c. Jesse and Timothy shall spend Father's Day with their father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. and Mother's Day with their mother during the same hours.

d. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this Order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he orshe knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternate visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the child in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the child at the home of the other. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the laws of PA.

7. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor child. The parties are cautioned that medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the child is being transported or in the bedroom of the child.

9. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relataives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.

10. The parties are directed to arrange for counseling for Jesse and Timothy. Said counseling shall take place in complete confidence, and neither the children nor the counsellors are to divulge to either party anything said in the counselling sessions. Neither party is to seek from the children any information whatever regarding what was said during the counseling sessions. Each party shall contribute one-half of the cost of the counselling. If acceptable to the counsellor, the counsellor may issue a bill for one-half of the cost to each of the parties and each party shall pay his or her one-half share within 30 days of the date of the bill.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this order shall govern.

12. The Mediator notes for the Court that there is strong indication that each of the parties has run the other down to the children and that as a result of this and certain other actions taken by the parties, that this family is in danger of complete estrangement. The Court warns the parties that if they do not take seriously the provisions of paragraph 7 and 9 of this order, that fact will be taken into account in any further proceedings.

13. cost and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $257.00, are divided equally between the parties with a share of each is assessed to him or her as costs in this case.

14. The deposit required for the appointment of the Custody mediator was made by the father. The deposit shall first be applied against the father's share of costs and the remainder, if any, shall be remitted to him. The mother shall pay her share of costs and fees to the Prothonotary of Crawford Co. with thirty days of the date of this Order.

15. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo within ten (10) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

March 21, 1995 Order FAXED Attys. Pineo, Walton, Irwin.

Feb. 24, 1999 Certificate of Completion of Separating Parents Seminar Filed. (Cheri L. Horner Pltf)

Jan 25, 1999 Petition For Modification of Custody and Order: AND NOW, this 1 day of March, 1999, it is ordered and decreed that the parents in this matter shall satisfactorily complete the Seminar for Separating Parents in accordance with Crawford County Civil Rule L1930. Applications for the seminar may be obtained at the Center for Family Services, Inc, at 996 South Main Street, Meadville, PA 16335, the Prothonotary at the Crawford County Courthouse; or the Court Administrator at the Crawford County Courthouse.

Application for attendance at the seminar must be made by teh Plaintiff or petitioner within 15 days after the pleading or petition is filed, and by the Defendant or respondent within 15 days after he or she is served with the pleading or petition.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Mar 3, 1999 Dist Walton & Irwin

Mar 23, 1999 Certificate of completion of the Seminar for Separating Parents for Robert R. Summer, filed.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 454-F

572

TERM, 1980

Cheri L Summers n/k/a
Cheri L. Horner
vs
Robert R. Summers

continued from page 454 -e
of record
AD 1991 - 631

****

March 23, 1999 Stipulation and Consent custody Order and Order filed. And Now, this 24th day of March 1999 upon presentation of the Stipulation and consent of the parties attached hereto, and after consideration of same, it is hereby Ordered Directed and Decreed that:

1. Legal and physical custody of the parties' minor child Jesse Summers, shall we with the fahter, Robert R. Summers.
2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education and the major events in the life of the subject minor child.
3. The natural mother shall have liberal visitations with the child, at times that are agreeable between the parties and within the best interest and general welfare of said child.
4. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child.
5. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor child.
6. Neither party sahll do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the hcild's presence and each party sahll instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.
7. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if it were to be proepr and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consentonly in the absence of which, this order shall govern.

By the Court
Gordon R. Miller, J.

March 29, 1999 Motion to Withdraw Pettiion for Contempt and Order filed. And Now, this 25 day of March 1999 upon consideration of the within motion, plaintiff's Motion to withdraw Petiton for Contempt is hereby withdrawn.

By the Court
Gordon R. Miller, J.

3/29/99 Faxed Irwin and Dist. Walton

LISA ATROZSKIN

VS.

ROBERT ATROZSKIN

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 257

of

AD 1991-807

****

Oct 25, 1991 Petition for Relief Under the Protection from Abuse Act filed.

Oct 25, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, October 23, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the Plaintiff's petition for relief under the Protection from Abuse Act, relating to a consent custody portion of a P.F.A. Order, said Petition is DENIED. The Court will not now modify the custody provisions of the P. F. A. Order were entered into by consent.

However, the Court ORDERS AND DIRECTS the parties to conduct themselves during the upcoming visitions as follows:

1. The Plaintiff shall not consume alcoholic beverages for any period of time from twelve (12) hours before the visitation until the children are returned safely to her home after the visitation.

2. The Defendant and his parents shall do or say nothing during the visitations to blacken or chriticize the character or person of the Plaintiff and do or say nothing to turn the children against the Plaintiff or to think poorly of her. The Defendant and the grandparents shall have the children available at the end of the visitation for pickup by the Plaintiff in a timely fashion.

3. None of the parties shall engage in any verbal argument, chriticism, attacks or negative comments during the time they are together during the exchanges of custody or visitation this upcoming weekend.

The purpose of all of this is to order and direct the parties to civil toward each other at all times relating to this visitation that is coming up.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Oct 25, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys Lindsay and Toomey's boxes and given to Co. Control.

Dec. 6, 1991 Motion To Reform Protective Order filed.

Dec. 6, 1991 Order of Court filed. AND NOW, this 6 day of December, 1991, upon Motion of the REspondent to reform the Protective Order of September 4, 1991 it is hereby ORDERED and decreed as follows:

1. The Respondent, Robert Atrozskin, shall not go to the residence of the Petitioner except for the purpose of dropping off the minor children of the parties at the commencement of the mother's visitation periods with the children, and, the Respondent shall be permitted to pick up the children at the Petitioner's residence for the purpose of taking back into his custody following the mother's visitation periods as set forth in this Court's November 22, 1991 Order of Court determining the paraties' respective custody and visitation rights. Under no circumstances, however, shall the Respondent enter the residence ofthe Petitioner.

2. In every other respect the provisions of this Court's Protective Order of September 4, 1991 shall remain in full force and effect until September 3, 1992 unless otherwise modified or terminated by further Order of Court.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Dec. 6, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Lindsay and Toomey and County Control

Jan. 22, 1992 MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 22nd day of Jan. 1992, the within Motion is granted and the Court directs the Prothonotary to remove Brian J. Lindsay, Esq. as counsel of record for the within Plaintiff, Lisa Atrozskin.
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Jan. 23, 1992 copy in box for B. Lindsay

Marilyn K. HIlburn and
Thomas F. Hilburn
vs.
Tamie Post
Re: Custody of Chelsea
Elizabeth Post

Record Continued from page 163
of
AD 1991 - 713

Nov. 27, 1991, Exhibits filed. (in envelope with papers)

Jan. 13, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Nov. 27, 1991 after a formal hearing, the Court dismisses the petition for intervention on behalf of the maternal grandparents and ORDERS as follows:

1. The temporary order of Sept. 13, 1991, is affirmed in all respects as to paragraphs 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12.
2. Paragraph 2 of the temporary order of Sept. 13, 1991 is hereby vacated and the following is substituted therefore:

"The Plaintiff grandparents shall have visitation and temporary physical custody of the subject m inor child on the first full week of Oct. Jan., Apr., and July, said period beginning at 12:00 o'clock noon on the first Saturday of each month, and returning the child to the home of the mother no later than 5:00 o'clock p.m. on the Saturday immediately following. During the periods of time that the child is with the Plaintiffs, the Plaintiffs shall grant to the maternal grandparents one full evening with the child, with the evening to be mutually determined by the paternal and maternal grandparents, and with the evening to begin at 4:00 o'clock p.m. and to end at 9:00 o'clock p.m. In addition, the Defendant shall permit the Plaintiffs to visit the child in her home and to take the child with them for a total period of time not to exceed six (6) hours during each annual quarter, if the Plaintiffs give the Defendant at least five (5) days advance notice and provided that the Plaintiffs must exercise those periods of visitation in the town or city where the Defendant resides. These periods of visitation shall not occur unless the Plaintiffs give such notice to the mother and are for the purpose of permitting the Plaintiffs to have more frequesnt contact with the child so that the child is more comfortable in spending a week four times a year with the Plaintiffs. The Plaintiffs shall permit the child and the mother to contact each other daily by telephone on one occasion, at a time to be mutually agreed upon between them while the child is visiting in Pennsylvania with the Plaintiffs. In addition, the Defendant shall permit the Plaintiffs to communicate with the child in writing without limitation and shall permit the Plaintiffs tohave telephone communication with the child at least once per week for no m ore than 10 minutes at a time to be prearranged, while the child is living in New Jersey and the Plaidntiffs are living in Pennsylvania."

2. Both parties shall keep the othr advised as to their current address and telephone number, and shall notify the other within 48 hours of any permanent change.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan. 13, 1992 copy put in box for Lindsay & Kirkpatrick

Aug. 21, 1992, Petition for Contempt and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Aug. 20, 1992, hearing on Plaintiff's Petition for Contempt is s cheduled for Oct. 1, 1992 at 1:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville Pa.

**For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.**

Aug. 25, 1992, copies to Attys. Lindsay and Kirkpatrick.

Sept. 22, 1992 Motion for contempt and Order filed. And Now, September 21, 1992, defendant shall bring the minor child, Chelsea Post, to the hearing scheduled for October 1, 1992, at 1:30 PM

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Sept. 23, 1992 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Kirkpatrick and Lindsay

Sept. 28, 1992 Affidavit of Service filed. R. Michael Martin, being duly sowrn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That he/she is a competent adult.
2. That on information furnished him/her, he/she served a certified copy of the Petition for Contempt in the above-matter upon Leonard Valeo at 6:30 o'clock, p.m. on September 17, 1992, at 350 Baldwin Rd., #F-24, Parsippany, NJ.
3. That costs incured for this service were as follows: R. Michael Martin
   a. Service --
   b. Mileage --
   Total $40.00
4. Further Deponent Saith Not. R. Michael Martin

Oct 1, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, Oct. 1, 1992 after a formal hearing on the Plaintiffs' petition for contempt, which said hearing the Court finds was properly convened after proper notice to counsel and the Defendant, but for which hearing the Defendant failed to appear, the Court is satisfied that the Defendant has willfully violated the most recent custody order and therefore holds her in contempt of court.

As punishment and snaction for this contempt finding, the Defendant is ordered and directed to:

1. Pay $250 to Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Attorney for the Plaintiffs, no later than Nov. 2, 1992, which said sum represents attorney's fees incurred in these contempt proceedings through this hearing.
2. Pay the sum of $85 to the Plaintiffs no later than Oct. 15, 1992 which said sum represents expenses incurred by Marilyn K. Hilburn to exercise a May visit, long-distance telephone charges to the child during which the child did not talk to the Plaintiff, and for the cost of converting airline tickets to another date and time.
3. The sum of $40 to Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Attorney for the Plaintiffs, no later than Nov. 2, 1992 representing the cost of service of the contempt petition.

The Defendant may purge herself from further contempt by fully and completely complying with the prior custody order in all respects until that order is changed or modified by a court of competent jurisdiction. Furthermore, she shall permit additional periods of visitation to take the place of the July 1992 visitation that she did not permit. For this purpose, the Plaintiffs shall have four additional days during the October 1992 visit and three additional days during the July 1993 visit.

If the Defendant fully and completely complies with this order and the custody order of Nov. 27, 1991 in all respects for the next 12 months, she shall have purged herself from contempt and these contempt proceedings are dissolved.

If she fails to comply with these orders, the Plaintiffs may bring further enforcement proceedings before this Court or any other court of competent jurisdiction.

The Defendant shall deliver the child to the Plaintiffs for the October 1992 visit at 12:00 Noon on Saturday, Oct. 3, 1992. In the event that the child is in Crawford County, Pennsylvania, the jurisdiction of the Court on that date, the Plaintiff shall have the right to contact an appropriate peace officer to effectuate a delivery of the child.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Oct 1, 1992 copy given to Kirkpatrick & Lindsay. Oct 8, 1992 copy to W. Pineo & Dft.

Pd Co Com Ch#1482 med fees 152.00

JAMES M. ONDERKO

VS.

JANINE T. ONDERKO

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 53

OF

AD 1991 - 603

****

Aug. 30, 1991 Petition For Special Relief and ORDER filed. AND NOW, Aug. 30th, 1991, hearing on Plaintiff's Petition for Special Relief is scheduled for Sept. 25, 1991, at 1:30 p.m. o'clock in Courtroom number 1 or 2 of the Crawford Co. Courthouse in Meadville, PA..

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept. 4, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, James Irwin and John Fuller.

Sept. 6, 1991 Petition For Interim Exclusive Possession Of Marital REsidence filed.

Sept. 6, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, upon presentationand consideration of the foregoing Petition, a Rule is hereby entered upon Respondent, to show cause, if any he has, why Petitioner's prayer should not be granted.
RULE IS RETURNABLE on the 25th day of September, 1991, at 1:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
Gordon R. Miller, J
Sept. 10, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Irwin and Kirkpatrick

Sept. 26, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 30, 1991 Discovery Order filed. AND NOW, September 25, 1991 at the time this divorce matter is before the Court on various matters, the parties advise the Court that they wish to expedite discovery and accordingly, the Court directs that either pa rty may proceed with discovery and procure all of the necessary records, information, and statistics necessary from any source without following formal discovery proceedings or procuring other court orders for discovery.
Any person possessing any information or records involving either par ty may consider the presentation of this order as a specific order of this Court to produce the information requested.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Sept. 30, 1991 2 copies both atty's

Sept. 30, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, September 25, 1991 the matter is before the Court on the Defendant/Wife's petition for exclusive possession of the marital residence and on the Plaintiff/Husband's petition for special relief. The parties and their counsel at the time scheduled for the hearing advised the Court that both parties agree the divorce in this case should be expedited and both parties agreed to cooperate in expediting the divorce and have agreed to the following:

1. The Defendant/Husband shall have exclusive use of the marital residence located at Atlantic Road, Conneaut Lake, PA. It appears that confrontations between the parties arise when the mother picks up or delivers the children to the marital residence. Accordingly, the husband agrees that he will provide all transportation established by prior orders and will deliver the children to the mother at the appropriate time and pick the children up at the mother's residence at the appropriate time.
2. Insofar as the wife's petition for exclusive possession of the marital residence, that issue will be continued generally and a hearing thereon will not be enteretained until after January 1, 1992.
3. The parties agree to expedite the divorce and the Plaintiff will file an affidavit of consent and an inventory and appraisement by October 8, 1991. Likewise, the Defendant will file an affidavit of consent and her inventory and appraisement by October 8, 1991.
4. Apparently, the marital residence proper ty has been previously appraised resulting in a valuation of $77,000, which appraisal was required by a bank for credit reasons. The wife reserves the right to have a counter appraisal of the property made on or before October 8, and if said appraisal is made, it shall be reduced to writing and a copy thereof submitted to counsel for the husband.
5. The parties mutually agree that the personal property within the marital residence should be independently appraised, and the Plaintiff agrees to assume the obligation to procure an appraisal of personal property within the marital residence, plus an appraisal of both automobiles, a recreational vehicle, and a boat. This appraisal will be promptly submitted to counsel for the wife andif she wishes a counter appraisal, the same must be made and presented to counsel for the husband and her counsel on or before November 1, 1991.
6. The parties agree to expedite discovery proceedings first on an informal basis and only as a last resort by resorting to court order, and all discovery will be completed by November 8, 1991.
7. After October 8, 1991 either party may move for the appointment of a master, and both parties and the Court recognize that the appointment of a master may be made before discovery is completed under the aforesaid schedule.
8. The parties mutually agree that the present personal proper ty locate din the marital residence should be divided, roughly 50/50, between the parties, so each may use a portion of th epersonal property to establish their own separate residence. The parties agree to cooperate in dividing the property fairly and equitably, adn the initial burden will b eupon the wife to prepare a list of items she desires and submit the same to the husband before October 8. After the list is submitted, the wife may remove the articles about which there is no disagreement. In the event of a disagreement regardin this division of this property, both parties and their counsel agree that the master appointed in this case shall have the authority to make said division, which division will be binding upon the parties, but may be taken into consideration by the master in making an ultimate equitable distrubtion recommendation.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Sept. 30, 1991 2 copies both atty's.

Oct. 8, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Oct. 9, 1991 Inventory and Appraisemtn of Janine T. Onderko filed.

Oct. 16, 1991, Income and Expense Statement of James M. Onderko, filed.

Nov. 15, 1991 Inventory of the Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 20, 1991 Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce and Order appointing Master filed. ORDER APPOINTING MASTER: And now, Nov. 25, 1991 Joan D. Mason, Esq. is appointed master respect to the following claims:
Alimony, Equitable Distribution, Counsel Fees & Expenses
Deposit required: By Plaintiff $500.00 By Defendant $250.00

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Dec. 2, 1991 copies to Attys. Irwin, Kirkpatrick and J. Mason.

Mar. 9, 1992, MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT as to divorce, Equitable Distribution, alimony, Counsel Fees, Costs and Expenses, filed.

Record Continued on Page 465

Paula A. Walker

vs.

Thomas N. Smith, Charles Walker and Nancy Walker

Record Continued From Page 156

Record of

A.D. 1991 - 706

****

Dec. 12, 1991 ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Dec., 10, 1991, it was revealed that the Defendant Thomas N. Smith, father of the child, had not received notice of the hearing. Subsequently, this hearing today is continued until Dec. 30, 1991, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 1 or Courtroom 2.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
James B. Dwyer, S. J., Specially Presiding

Dec. 17, 1991 copy in box for R.C. Thomas & S. Hall

Jan. 7, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Dec. 31, 1991, after hearing, the minor child, Joshua, is awarded to the grandparents, Charles and Nancy Walker, as primary custodians under the following terms and conditions:

1. The mother shall have the right to have the child in her custody each week ast her residence for a period of 48 hours, with said periods of time to be agreed to by mother and grandparents. The visitations should take into consideration the activities of the parties and the employment of the mother or the grandfather. In the event the parties cannot agree on an appropriate time for the 48-hour visits each week, the matter may be referred to the Court with a narrative statement from either party, and the Court will make the decision for them.

2. An expanded visit is awarded the mother for a continuous seven-day period around the Easter holiday season. In view of the fact the Cour tdoes not, at this time, know the precise date of Easter, the visit shall include Easter Sunday with the mother and some days before and after Easter, as the mother and grandparents can agree upon.

3. During the time the child is in custody of the mother, neither mother nor any other person in the household shall possess or use alcoholic beverages or illegal drugs of any kind.

4. It shall be the responsibility of the grandparents to deliver and return the child to and from the mother's home.

5. The parent and grandparents shall keep each other informed of any health problems or any specisl medical needs of the child.

The Court visualizes that its ultimate goal is to return the child to the primary custody of the mother, but in view of the mother's situation at this time, in view of the birth of a recent child by one John Martone, in view of her association with John Martone, who admittedly has had serious alcohol and perhaps drug problems, the Court believes, at this time, it is inappropriate for the mother to h ave primary custody. However, the Court requests a review of this matter in late June, 1992, and urges the parties, in view of the Courts expressed intentions this day, to attempt to amicably resolve the situation without the need of further hearing. In the event it cannot be done, either party may petition the Court for further hearing to ascertain if the time is appropriate toreturn primary custody to the mother.

While the Court has awarded primary custody to the grandparents, it is the intention of the Court that each party have shared legal custody, and any important decision regarding the child should be made through the joint corporation of both the parent and grandparents.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Jan. 13, 1992 copy in box of R.C. Thomas & S. Hall

Jan. 7, 1992, Exhibits filed.

1991 TERM

Betty Lord

vs.

David Lord

Record Continued From Page 601

Record of

A.D. 1991 - 589

Dec. 5, 1991 WRIT RETURNED. I hereby deputize the Sheriff of Venango County, Pa. to serve the within Court order according to law. The defendants alleged address is 393 Dunham Rd., Lot #3, Daley Trailer Court, Pleasantville, Pa. 16314.

Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED the within Order on Oct. 31, 1991, at 2:40 PM upon David Lord at his residence, 393 Dunham Rd., Lot #2, Daley Trailer Court, by handing to David Lord, in person a true and correct copy thereof and made known to him the contents therein.

Gene Price, Sheriff, Venango County

Georgia Long and Orrie Long, her husband

vs.

Thomas V. McGuffin, M.D.: Diagnostic Medical Imaging, Inc., : Brian McIntosh,M.D.: Kenneth Laird, M.D.: Meadville Medical Center, A Corporation and John J. Talarico, D.C.

Record Continued From Page 287

Record of

A.D. 1991 - 837

****

SHERIFF'S RETURN: SERVED Diagnostic Medical Imaging, Inc., on Sept. 5, 1991 at 2:28 PM by handing a copy of the Summons to Sherryb Dick, Billing Clerk, at 733 Liberty St., Meadville, Crawford County, PA.

NOT FOUND as to Brian McIntosh. He is no longer employed at Meadville Medical Center and his whereabouts are unknown.

SERVED Kenneth Laird, M.D., personally on Sept. 5, 1991 at 2:40 PM by handing him a copy of the Summons at the Meadville Medical Center, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Meadville Medical Center on Sept. 5, 1991 at 2:29 PM by handing a copy of the Summons to Judy Smock, Administrative Assistant at Meadville Medical Center, 751 Liberty St., Meadville, Cr. Co., PA.

SERVED John J. Talarico, D.C. by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, PA, as per his Affadavit of Service. Served on Sept. 16, 1991.

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 23, 1992, Answer and New Matter of dfts. McGuffin and Diagnostice Med. Imaging, Inc. filed.

Jan. 27, 1992, ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, this 27th dayof Jan. 1992, after arguments presented by counsel, it is hereby ordered, and decreed that the Preliminary Objections of the defendant brian McIntosh, inthe nature of a petition raising a question of lack of personal jurisdiction are dismissed.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. vardaro, Judge

Jan. 28, 1992, copies given or mailed to all counsel of record.

Jan. 28, 1992, Summons reissued.

Feb. 7, 1992 SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. SERVED Dr. BRian McIntosh on Jan. 31, 1992 at 2:46 PM by handing a copy of the summons to Marnie Bedow, Housekeeper and Adult in Charge, at R.D. 1, Box 36, Titusville, Pa. Crawford Co. So answers,

Dale A. Collins, Deputy Sheriff
Robert M. Stevens, Sheriff

Feb. 20, 1992, reply to New MaTTER OF Defendant John A. Talarico filed.

Feb. 20, 1992, Reply to New Matter of Defendant Thomas V. McGuffin, M.D. and Diagnostic Medical Imaging, Inc. filed.

Mar. 9, 1992, Defendant Meadville Medical Center's Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Mar. 9, 1992, Defendant Brian McIntosh's Answer to Plaintiffs Complaint filed.

Mar. 9, 1992, Defendant Kenneth Laird's Answer to Plaintiffs Complaint filed.

Mar. 11, 1992, Notice of Deposition of Brian McIntosh, M.D. filed.

Mar. 11, 1992, Notice of deposition of Kenneth Laird, M.D. filed.

Mar. 12, 1992, Verification filed. (to Answer of dft. Kenneth Laird MD.)

Mar. 20, 1992 Plaintiff's Reply To New Matter Of Meadville Medical Center filed.

Mar. 31, 1992, Notice of Deposition of John A. Talarico DC filed.

Mar. 31, 1992, Notice of deposition fo Kenneth Laird, M.D. filed.

Mar. 31, 1992, Notice of Deposition of Brian McIntosh, MD filed.

Mar. 31, 1992, Notice of Service of Interrogatories to Defendant McGuffin filed.

Apr. 3, 1992 Notice of Deposition of Brian McIntosh, M.D., filed.

Apr. 3, 1992 Notice of Deposition of Kenneth Laird, M.D., filed.

May 4, 1992 Notice of Service of Plaintiffs' Second Request for Production of Documents and Materials Directed to Defendant Meadville Medical Center filed.

May 4, 1992 Notice of Service of Plaintiffs' Request for Production of Documents and Materials Directed to Defendant John A. Talarico, D.C. filed.

May 4, 1992 Notice of Service of Plaintiffs' Request for Production of Documents and Materials Directed to Defendant Diagnostic Medical Imaging, Inc. filed.

May 28, 1992 Petition for Leave to Join Additional Defendants and Order filed.AND NOW, to-wit, this 27 day of May, 1992, upon good cause shown, Meadville Medical Center is hereby granted leave of court to join James R. Macielak,M.D. and Orthopedic Associates of Meadville, P.C. as Additional Defendants in this case.

Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

May 28, 1992 Copy of the Order to: Joseph Kulwicki, Esq., John M.Quinn, Jr.,Esq., J. Douglas McGuffin, Esq.;Joseph A. Macerelli, Esq.

June 8, 1992 NOTICE OF SERVICE OF RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION, filed

June 19, 1992 Notice of Deposition of Thomas V.McGuffin, M.D. filed.

July 1, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Meadville Medical Center,Petition for Leave to Join Additional Defendant, James R. Macielak is hereby withdrawn.

Sept. 2, 1992 Upon Praecipe of plaintiff attorney the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued.

1991 TERM

GEORGE BOYER

VS.

SUSAN MARIE GLANCY

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 283

OF

AD 1991 - 833

****

Dec. 9, 1991 Motion For Leave To Withdraw and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 9th day of December, 1991 upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, Christopher J. Youngs, Esquire is granted leave to withdraw his appearance.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

Dec. 11, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Christopher J. Youngs.

Dec. 10, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Dec. 9, 1991 at the time fixed for the de novo hearing in this amtter, both parties appeared without counsel, and the children were not present. It appears that the defednant wishes to change the weekend visitation so that both children visit her onthe same weekend rather than alternating weekends, and ti further appears that the defendatn desires to have custody of her son George D. Boyer, Jr.

Since the children were not present, the court will not hold a hearing now. The hearing shall be held at 10:00 a.m. on Fri. Jan 10, 1992 in C. R. No. 2 ofthe Crawford Countycourthouse. At that time, both parties shall be prepared to proceed, with the plaintiff having the burden of proof and the burden of gong forward, since this is a de novo hearing. The children shall be present and available to testify. The defendant shall be prepared to present evidence on her own behalf.

The issue in this hearing shall be what is in the best interest of the children.

A copy of this order shall be sent to each of the parties by the Prothonotary. The plaintiff resides at R. D. #3, Cambridge Springs, Pa. 16403, defendant resides at Lot 31, Star Route Estates. Meadville, Pa. 16335.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Dec. 12, 1991, copies of order mailed to plff and dft.

Jan. 14, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, January 10, 1992, after an informal hearing before the Court onthe defendant's request for de novo hearing, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The Order of October 21, 1992 remains in full force and effect in all respects except as changed and modified herein.
2. The provisions contained in paragraph II(a), (b), (d) and (e) are deleted and provisions covering those items are set forth in this Order in detail.
3. Physical custody of George D. Boyer, Jr. and Tammy Boyer shall remain with their father, subject to the following conditions:

(A) The mother shall be entitled to have George D. Boyer, Jr. and Tammy Boyer in her custody every other weekend beginning at 6:00 o'clock p.m. on Friday evening and terminating at 6:00 o'clock p.m. the following Sunday evening. This shall start with the weekend of Friday, January 17, 1992.

(B) Transportation for weekend visitions shall be split by the parties so that the parent receiving the children shall provide the transportation. HOWEVER, since the mother does not have adequate transportation, she may elect to have the children ride a separate bus from the school, that is, the bus that would take the children to her home instead of the father's home so that visitations would commence as soon as school is out on Friday by the children being delivered to the mother's home by an appropriate school bus. If the school authorities do not accept that, then the mother shall provide transportation to pick up the children on the weekends she has visitation for those times that she is receiving the children.

(C) The mother shall be entitled to have the children in her custody for two weekds each summer month; that is, June, July and August, begnning with the summer of 1992. Those two weekends shall be the last two weeks of each month.

(D) Transportation of the children during these visitations shall be divided between the parents so that the parent receiving custody of the children shall provide transportation.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan. 17, 1992 Copies of order mailed to plaintiff and defendant

Robert Atrozskil, Onalee Atrozskin and Lawrence Atrozskin

vs.

Lisa Atrozskin

RECORD CONTINUED FORM PAGE 359

of Record

A.D. 1991 - 909

****

Jan. 13, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Jan. 8, 1992 after a formal hearing where both parties were represented by counsel, the Court finds as a fact that the Plaintiff and Defendant have been strong and loving parents at various times in their marriage; that the mother, for instance, being the primary caregiver up until several months ago, and the father being the primary caregiver since the mediation hearing, but that both parents have done things that are not in the best interest of the children; for instance, the mother's relationship with other men, the unusual sleeping conditions when she first left the Plaintiff, and the Plaintiff's conduct has been poor with respect to the conditions of the home, the amount of time he spend with the children up until his accident, and some of the things he did to the mother. The Cofurt finds that it is in the best interest of the children to remain with their father, largely because that is the preference of the boys, which preference seemed to have been given to the Court in an in camera hearing, with counsel and the court reporter present, in a meaningful and hear-felt way, and not in a rehearsed or forced way, and because the father, at the moment, is disabled, will not be working, and has the opportunity to spend more time with his children than does the Defendant who now must start life over and get a job.

ACCORDINGLY, legal custody of the three minor children shall be shared between the mother and the father; that is, each parent shall be entit.ed to full access to school records, medical records, and other important records, and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in the decisions affecting the education, medical treatment, religious instruction and upbringing of the children, and the parties are hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED to consult with each other in a positive, non-combative way in that regard.

Primary physical custody of the children shall be with their father until further order of Court, subjectto the following conditions:

A. The mother shall be entitled to have the children in her custody every weekend except the second weekend of each month (that being the weekend in which the second Saturday falls) from 10:00 a.m. on Saturday to 6:00 p.m. on Sunday.

B. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. Custody of the children shall be alternated on those holidays; in even numbered years, the children shall be in the custody of their mother on Christmas and in the custody of their father on Thanksgiving and Easter. On odd numbered years, the children shall be in the custody of the mother on Thanksgiving and Easter and in the custody of the father on Christmas.

On each of these holidays when the mother has the right to visit her children and take them into her custody, her period of visitation shall commence at 6:00 p.m. on the day before the holiday and terminate at 8:00 p.m. on the day of the holiday.

Holiday visitations shall take priority over weekend visitations, so that it may well be that the mother will have the children on the second weekend of the month, as well as other weekends when the holidays fall.

C. Beginning with the summer of 1992, the children shall be in the custody of their mother for a period of eight (8) weeks, beginning and ending at a time to be agareed upon by the parties, but if they cannot so agree, then the period shall begin within one week after the children begin their summer recess from school. During that period of time, the father shall have the right to visit and take the children into his custody every other weekend, commencing at 6:00 p.m. on Friday night and ending at 6:00 p.m. on the following Sunday evening.

D. Transportation of the children on weekends and summer visits shall be provided by the father, except that the mother shall be responsible for picking up and returning the children on holiday visitations, and at the beginning and end of the eight-week summer visitation.

During the periods of time that the mother has the children in her custody, she shall obsolutely abstain from the use and sconsumption fo alcoholic beverages, illegal drugs or controlled substances, and at no time while the children are with her, shall any adult males to which she is not related be in the home where she and the children are sleeping from 10:00 p.m. until 10:00 a.m.

The father shall not become intoxicated in the presence of the children or while the children are in his custody, nor shall he consume illegal drugs or controlled substances during that period of time.

Paragraphs II (f), (g), and IV of the temporary order are incorporated herein by reference thereto, without change or modification.

When the children are in the custody of either parent, the children shall be permitted to make reasonable phone calls to the other if they request. While the children are in the custody of the father during the winter months, and when the children are in the custody of the mother for eight weeks during the summertime, excluding weekend visitations, the non-custodial parent shall have the right to make at least one phone call per week to the home of the other to talk to the children, which said phone call should be made at a reasonable time, and shall last no more than 15 minutes, unless the custodial Parent agarees to a longer telephone call.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan. 13, 1992 copy mailed to A. Angelone and copy given to Toomey, Lindsay & Fuller.

Jan. 13, 1992, Exhibits filed.

May 5, 1992 Petition to Modify, filed.

May 5, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, in consideration of the Plaintiff's Petition to Modify, a hearing before the Court is scheduled for the 10the day of June 1992 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom No. 1. BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Dated: May 4, 1992
May 11, 1992 copy in box for T. Toomey & B. Lindsay

May 5, 1992 APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL RELIEF and ORDER FILED: ORDER OF COURT. AND NOW, in consideration of the Plaintiff's Application for Special REIief, the Court schedules a Hearing on the matter for June 10, 1992 at 9:00 o'clock, A.M. in Courtroom 1. The parties are hereby directed to attend.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Dated: May 4, 1992
May 5, 1992 copy of order to: T. Toomey, Esq. and B. Lindsay, Esq.

May 29, 1992 Petition for Contempt for Failure to Comply with Custody Order filed. NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR. Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging you have filfully disobeyed an order of court for partial custody.

If you wish to defend against the claim set forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with the court your defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in writing with the court your defenses or objections, you must appeal in person in court on JUne 10, 1992, at 9:00 A.M. in Courtroom 1.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 29, 1992 Copy of the Order to: T. Toomey & B. Lindsay,Esq. ;Anthony Angelone, Esq.

Continued on Pg. 462A

Robert Atrozskin, et al
vs.
Lisa Atrozskin

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 462
of Record
A.D. 1991 - 909

June 11, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, June 10, 1992 at the time fixed for hearing on the Plaintiff's petition for relief, Plaintiff's petition to modigy, and Defendant's Petition for contempt, both parties appeared in court with counsel and after a period of negotiations, have agreed to a settlement of these pending matters and based upon that agreement, the Court enters the following order:

1. Neither party will verbally or physically abuse the children and neither party shall permit any third party to verbally abuse or physically abuse the children.

2. Any corporal punishment imposed or meted out by any party shall be performed by one of the parties and no third party; shall be applies only to a child's buttocks; shall not be with excessive force or excessive repetition; and shall not be carried out in an angry or out-of-control spirit.

3. While the children are visiting with the Defendant, their mother, or in the Defendant;s custody, Thomas Linsenbigler shall not be present at any time. The children shall not be exposed to Mr. Linsenbigler.

4. The Plaintiff shall not use a police escort when picked up or returning thechilren.

5. The parties shall respect theprivacy of their children and will not engage in lengthy unreasonable or excessive grilling or questioning the children about events of a particular visitation with the other. This shall not prohibit and prevent the parent from having reasonable discussions about things that have interested the children during their visitation which would occur in normal communication between an interested parent and a child. This shall also not prevent questioning where the questioning parent has reason to believe that a child may have been mistreated or abused while visiting with the other in order to gain information concerning that abuse or mistreatment.

6. Both parties are committed to counseling to assist them in their dealings with each other, in an effort to be good parents, in an effort to resolve conflicts between them especially with respect to the children and on a proper basis, and other related m atters. Counseling shall take place with Father Gretchko of St. Francis Cabrini Parish in Conneaut, Ohio at least every three weeks until Fr. Gretchko believes or concludes that further counseling sessions are no longer necessary, appropriate, or productive.

7. The Defendant's summer visitations for 1992 as ordered and provided in our order of Jan. 8, 1992 shall commence on Monday, June 22, 1992 at 10:00 a.m., when the children shall be delivered to her and shall terminate at 8:00 p.m. on Sunday, Aug. 16, 1992.

8. The Plaintiff's weekend visitations during summer periods when the Defendant has custody shall remain every other week end but shall commence at 10:00 a.m. on Friday and end at 8:00 p.m. on the immediate following Sunday.

9. Neither party shall smoke or permit others to smoke in the presence of the children in an automobile. The parties shall make certain that neither they nor anyone else smokes in a room where the middle child, Daniel, is present since apparently that adversely affects him.

9. This fully and completely disposes of the three petitions referred to above, except the Defendant's petition for contempt. In the event that the Plaintiff fully and completely complies with this order and the order of Jan. 8, 1992 through Monday, June 22, 1992 then the Defendant's petition for comtempt is dismissed without further proceedings automatically by this order. If it is alleged that the Plaintiff does not from this moment on comply with the January 8, 1992 order through Monday, June 22, 1992 then the petition for contempt shall remain an open unresolved matter to be resolved at a hearing set on motion of either party requesting a hearing date.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Juen 11, 1992 copies given or mailed to Atty Toomey, Angelone & Fuller

July 20, 1992 Transcript of Testimony of Thomas Litsenbigler Taken at Time of DeNovo Custody Hearing filed.

Feb. 12, 1993, Motion of Mental Status Examinations and Evaluations filed.

Feb. 12, 1993, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Feb. 10, 1993, upon review of the attached motion for mental status examinations and evaluations a rule to show cause is hereby entered and directed to the defendant to show cause if any she has why the motion should not be granted.

Said rule is returnable for hearing and/or argument on Mar. 1, 1993 at 3:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

A true and correct copy of this order together with the motion shall be served upon counsel for the defendantforthwith.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, p.J.

Feb. 12, 1993, copies miale to Angelone and given to Atty. Toomey. 2/18/92, copy given to Atty. Lindsay.

Feb. 22, 1993 Petition to Modify Custody Order and Order, filed. ORDER: You Lisa Atrozskin, defendant have been sued in Court to obtain custody of the children: Robet, Daniel and Alexandra

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by John Fuller, Esq., Child Custody Mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Feb. 24, 1993 copy to Toomey, Lindsay, Fuller & Angelone

Mar. 4, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 1, 1993, at the time fixed for hearing on the Plaintiff's Motion for Mental Status Examinations and Evaluations, at which hearing, the plaintiff appeared with counsel, but the defendant did not appear with counsel. The defendant agrees that there should be a general assessment of the parties and their needs, as well as appropriate counseling.

ACCORDINGLY, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The parties and their children are ordered and directed to go to the Community Mental Health Center at the Meadville Medical Center as a general referral for an assessment and evaluation of mental, marital and parenting issues.

2. The plaintiff and defendant, but not the children, shall appear at the Community Health Center at 9:00 o'clock on Thursday, March 4, 1993 for the inital meeting. The Community Mental Health Center is located at 1034 Grove Street, Meadville, Pa. The entrance is most likely on the Pine Street side of the building across the street from a large patient parking lot.

3. The Community Mental Health Center shall make an appropriate assessmetn and evaluation, together with recommendations regarding mental, marital and parenting issues. The parties shall freely and openly engage in the assessment and evaluation process, as well as any treatment and counseling recommended by the Community Mental health Center. It isnot necessary for the Community Mental Health Center to make a report to the Cfourt, unless either party asks for it. It is not necessary that the Court be involved any more, unless one of the parties asks for it by filing an appropriate petition or motion.

4. The ultimate goal of this Court is that (A) the plaintiff and defendant appropriately deal with each other as parents at an appropriate level and (B) that the best interest of the children are promoted and preserved. The Court leaves all of the professional evaluations and services to the Community Mental Health Center because that Center has the ability to deal with these matters and the Court does not.

5. Ideally, the parties would split the cost of all of these services. We understand that the plaintiff is on Worker's Compensation and the defendant is unemployed. If the Commuinity Mental Health Center believes that one of the parties is capable of paying part or all or the expenses and the other party is not, then the Community Mental Health Center may charge the party that it has determined has the financial ability to pay.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 462B

ROBERT ATROZSKIN
VS.
LISA ATROZSKIN

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 462A
of Record
A. D. 1991 - 909

****

The Court does not change custody or visitation. If the defendant desires to either cancel or exercise a particular visitation period, she shall notify the plaintiff of her intentions at least two days in advance of the scheduled visitation.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar. 5, 1993 copy given to Atty Fuller, Angelone, Plf. Dft & Mental Health

Mar. 22, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 16, 1993 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esq., IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Robert, Daniel and Alexandra Atrozskin shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject children.

II. Physical custody of Robert, Daniel and Alexandra Atrozskin shall be with their Father, subject to the following conditions:

A. The Mother shall be entitled to visit and take the children into her custody every weekend except the second weekend of each month from 10:00 A.M. on Saturday to 6:00 P.M. on Sunday, beginning March 20, 1993. The second weekend shall be the weekend in which the second Saturday falls.

B. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter, and Thanksgiving. Custody of the children shall be alternated on the aforesaid holidays.

On even numbered years the children shall be in the custody of the mother on Thanksgiving, and in the custody of the father on Easter and Christmas Day.

On odd numbered years the children shall be in the custody of the mother on Easter and Christmas Day, and in the custody of the father on Thanksgiving.

C. Beginning with the Summer of 1993, the children shall be in the custody of the Mother for a period of eight (8) weeks from approximately two (2) weeks after school is out in the Spring until two (2) weeks before school commences in the fall. During this eight (8) week period the Father shall have the children in his custody every other weekend from 10:00 A.M. Friday to six P.M. on Sunday.

D. Transportation of the children on week ends, holidays and Summer visits shall be provided by the Father until August of 1993. Thereafter the Father and Mother shall equally divide transportation responsibilities.

E. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

F. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well beign and shall specifically not permit smoking in the children's presence.

G. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

H. The parents shall comply with the March 1, 1993 Court order requiring them and their children to go to the Community Mental Health Center for an assessment and evaluation of mental, marital and parenting issues.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanetn order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference will be paid by Crawford County.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Mar 26, 1993 copy to T. Toomey, B. Lindsay, A. Angelone, Plf. & Dft. & J.Fuller

May 4, 1993 Application for Special Relief filed.

May 4, 1993 Order of Court filed. AND NOW, this 3rd day of May, 1993, upon Application for Special Relief filed by the Plaintiff father, said Application is GRANTED. It is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the March 16, 1993 Order of Court by stayed pending the outcome of the Hearing de novo on the Plaintiff's February 19, 1993 Petition to Modify.

FURTHER, the parties respective custody and visitation rights shall be governed by this Court's prior Orders of January 8, 1993 and June 10, 1992 until further Order of Court. Based on the representation that the current order is identical to our prior order on substantive matters.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 6, 1993 Copy of Order toAttys. Toomey, Lindsay,Angelone, Plft. & Deft. & Atty. Fuller.

May 4, 1993 Order of Court filed. AND NOW, this 3rd day of May, 1993, upon Application for Special Relief filed by the Plaintiff father, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the Cuyahoga County Department of Human Services release the results of their investigations of allegations of abuse of the minor children, Robert Atrozskin, DanielAtrozskin, and Alexandra Atrozskin, regardless of the identity of the perpetrator and that this information be released to the parties' attorneys: Terry Toomey, Esquire, for the Plaintiff father and Anthony Angelone, Esquire, for theDefendant mother. It is, therefore, requested as a matter of comity that that agency, Department of Human Services for Cuyahoga, Ohio,provide the parties' attorneys with that information which can be released consistent with that agency's governing statute and regulations and otherwise to the fullest extent permitted by law. If the Ohio authorities will not follow a Pennsylvania order than the parties shall sign an authorization authorizing the Ohio authorities to release this information.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 6, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Toomey, Lindsay, Angelone, Fuller, Plft.& Deft.

May 21, 1993 Request for Hearing de novo and ORDER OF COURT FILED. AND NOW, this 18th day of May, 1993 upon Request for hearing de novo filed by the Plaintiff and requesting hearing on his February 19, 1993 Petition to Modify said Hearing is hereby scheduled for May 27, 1993 at 9:15 o'clock A.M. in Court room 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 26, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Toomey,Lindsay & Angelone, & Atty. Fuller.

May 26, 1993 Motion for Leave to Withdraw and ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, this 26 day of May, 1993, upon Motion of Plaintiff's counsel for leave to withdraw, said Motion is GRANTED. To be effective upon James Irwin, ESquire, entering an appearance for the Plaintiff.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

May 28, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Toomey, Lindsay, Angelone,Fuller,

May 26, 1993 Motion to Continue Hearing De Novo and ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW,upon Motion of the Plaintiff for a general continuance of the Hearing de novo previously scheduled for May 27, 1993 at 9:15 a.m. said Motion is granted. The Hearing de novo shall not be re-scheduled without a further Motion from either of the parties for a scheduling of the Hearing de novo.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

May 28, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys.Toomey, Lindsay, Angelone, Fuller.

Continued on Page 462C

Robert Atrozskin
vs.
Lisa Atrozskin

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 462B
of Record
A. D. 1991 - 909

****

Oct. 11, 1993 Petition For Contempt For Failure To Comply With Custody Order and Order, filed. ORDER: Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging you have wilfully disobeyed an order of court for partial custody.

If you wish to defend against the claim set forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with the court your defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in writing with the court your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in court on Nov. 4, 1993, at 1:30 p.m., in Courtroom 1, Judge Miller.

IF YOU DO NOT APPEAR IN PERSON, THE COURT MAY ISSUE A WARRANT FOR YOUR ARREST.

If the court finds that you have wilfully failed to comply with its order for partial custody, you may be found to be in contempt of court and committed to jail, fined or both.

Date: 10-11-93

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Oct. 11, 1993 (3 copies atty)

Nov. 4, 1993 Motion For Continuance and Order, filed. ORDER: And Now, November 3, 1993, the hearing heretofore set for Nov. 4,1993 at 1:30 p.m. is hereby continued to December 17, 1993 at 1:30 in Courtaroom 1.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 12, 1993 copy to J. Mason NOv. 15, 1993 copy to T. Toomey, B. Lindsay, A. Angelone, J. Fuller

Dec. 17, 1993 Motion to Withdraw and Order, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 17th of December, 1993, the Defendant requests this Honorable Court to grant the above Motion.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Dec. 29, 1993 copy to T. Toomey, J. Mason, B. Lindsay, A. Angelone, J. Fuller, ~~Plf. & Dft.~~

Dec. 17, 1993 Petition for Modification and Order, filed. AND NOW, this 17th day of December, 1993, upon consideration of the attached Petition for Modification, said matter will be heard December 117, 1993 at 1:30 p.m.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Millelr, P.J.

Dec. 29, 1993 copy to T. Toomey, J. Mason, B. Lindsay, A. Angelone, J. Fuller

Dec. 17, 1993 Petition for Contempt and Order, filed. AND NOW, this 17th day of December, 1993, upon consideration of the attached Petition for Contempt, said matter will be heard January 26, 1994 at 1:30 p.m.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Dec. 29,1993 copy to T. Toomey, J. Mason, B. Lindsay, A. Angelone, J. Fuller

Dec. 17, 1993 Answer to Petition for Contempt, filed.

Dec. 22, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, December 17, 1993 the Court has disposed of two matters after an informal hearing held this date, to wit: The mother's petition for contempt filed October 11, 1993 and the father's petition for modification filed December 17, 1993.

IT IS ORDRED AS FOLLOWS:

1. There is sufficient evidence to find both parties in contempt, but because of their joint conduct we hold neither party in contempt. The father did not provide the mother with visitation as required during portions of August and September, yet the mother did not give the father her telephone address as she was ordered to do some time ago. Both are at fault. The conduct of each does not excuse the conduct of the other.

2. Legal custody of the three minor children shall be shared between the mother and the father; that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records, and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in the decisions affecting the education, medical treatment, religious instruction and upbringing of the children, and the parties are hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED to consult with each other in a positive noncombative way in that aregard.

3. Primary physical custody of the children shall be with their father until further order of Court, subject to the following conditions:

A. The mother shall be entitled to have the children in her custody every other weekend, commencing with the weekend of January 1, 1994 between 10:00 clock a.m. on Saturday and 6:00 o'clock p.m. on Sunday.

B. The mother shall be entitled to have the children in her custody this weekend, December 18, 1993 between 10:00 o'clock a.m. on Saturday and 6:00 o'clock p.m. on Sunday.

C. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. Custody of the children shall be alternated on these holidays; in even numbered years, the children shall be in the custody of their mother on Christmas, and in the custody of their father on Thanksgiving and Easter. In odd numbered years, the children shall be in the custody of the mother on Thanksgiving and Easter and in the custody of the father on Christmas.

On each of these holidays when the mother has a right to visit her children and take them into her custody, her period of visitation shall commence at 6:00 p.m. on the day before the holiday, and terminate at 8:00 p.m. on the day of the holiday.

D. Holiday visitation shall take priority over week end visitations, so that it may well be that the mother will have the children on three consecutive weekends.

E. Daniel shall not be required to visit with his mother until March 1 of 1994, unless sthe father permits him to do so. If Daniel does not visit his mother during that period of time, then his mother may be permitted to go to the father's residence at 9:00 o'clock a.m. on Saturday at the time of a pick up of the other two children to spend time with Daniel and the other children and to take Daniel and the other children from the home for a temporary period of an hour so that she may spend time with Daniel and the other children without the father being there.

F. During the summer of 1994, the children shall be in the custody of their mother for a period of 8 weeks, from approximately two weeks after school is out in the spring until two weeks before shcool commences in the fall. During this 8 week period, the father shall have the children in his custody every other weekend from 10:00 o'clock p.m. on Friday to 6:00 p.m. on Sunday.

G. Transportation of the children shall be shared, so that the parent picking up the children and receiving the children shall have the obligation of providing transportation for that particular trip. Until the mother obtains a driver's license and can lawfully drive, she shall arrange to have her share of transportation provided by others, provided, however, that she shall be n the car during those periods of transportation.

4. During the periods of tiem that the mother has the children in her custody, she shall absolutely abstain from the use and consumption of alcoholic beverages, illegal drugs, or controlled substances, and at no time while the children are with her shall any adult males to which she is not related be in the home where she and the children are sleeping from 10:00 o'clock p.jm. until 8:00 o'clock a.m. the next dya.

5. The father shall not become intoxicated in the presence of the children while the children are in his custody, nor shall he consume illegal drugs or controlled substances during those periods of time.

6. Neither party will verbally or physically abuse the children and neither party shall permit any third party to verbally abude or physically ab use the children.

7. Any corporal punishment imposed by any party shall be performed by the party himself or herself and not by any third party. Such punishment shall be applied to the child's buttocksl and shall not be with excessive force or excessive repetition and shall not be carried out in an angry or out of control way.

8. While the children are visiting with the mother or in her custody, Thomas Linsenbigler shall not be present at any time. The children shall not be exposed to Thomas Linsenbigler.

Cont. on Pg. 462D

Robert Atrozskil, Onalee Atrozskin and
Lawrence Atrozskin
vs.
Lisa Atrozskin

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 462C
of Record
A.D. 1991 - 909

****

9. The father shall not use a police escort whenpicking up or returning the children.

10. The parties shall respect the privacy of their children and will not engage in lengthy, unreasonable or excessive grilling or questioning the children about events of a prticular visit with the other parent.

This shall not prohibit and sprevent the parents from having reasonable discussions about things that have interest to the children during their visitations which would occur in normal communication between an interested parent and child. This shall also not prevent questions where the questioning parent has reason to believe that a childmay have been mistreated or abused while visiting with the other in order to gain information concerning the abuse or mistreatment.

11. Neither party shall smoke or permit others to smoke in the presence of the children in an automobile. The parties shall make certain that neither they nor anyone else smokes in a room where the middle child, Daniel, is present since that apparently adversely effects him.

12. Neither the father nor the mother shall do anything in an attempt to turn the children agaisnt the other, but on the other hand, shall do everything in their power to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children toward both parents.

13. Neither father nor mother shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

14. The mother's current address is 3658 East 54th Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44105. Her current telephone number is 216/429-0956.

15. Both parties shall at all times sprovide the other with the mailing address, street address and telephone numbr where they may be reached. The father shall not be permitted to call the mothe between the hours of 10:00 o'clock p.m. and 9:00 o'clock a.m. unless for dire emergencies.

16. Each party shall inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding a child while the child is in his or her custody.

17. While the children are in the custody of either parent, the children shall be permitted to make reasonable calls to the other if they request. While the children are in the custody of the father during the winter months and when the children are in the custody of the mother during the summer time, excluding weekend visitations, the non-custodial parent shall have the right to make at least one phone call pr week to the home of the other, to talk to the children, which said phone call should be made at a reasonable time, and shall last no more than 15 minutes unless the custodial parent agrees to a longer telephone call.

These telephone calls shall not be monitored at the place they are received. This is not limited to Thursday. The calls shall not be collect calls.

18. Both parties shall answer the telephone when it rings, unless they are unable to do so for reasons such as, but not limited to, they are in the shower, in the bothroom or ina place where it would be difficult to answer the phone immediately. In the event that the mother calls the father and he is not at home and she leaves a message on his answering machine, he shall return that call within 12 hours, unless he is forbidden from calling her because of the telephone hourslimited aabove, in which case he shall call at the first opportunity he is permitted to by this order to call.

19. The parties shall not bicker or argue in the presence of the children. The exchanges of custody shall be peraceful and without any disagreement, loud voices, fighting or bickering. If outside of the hearing of the children, either in person, by telephone, or in writing.

20. The Court firmly believes that Daniel should also be seing his mother and finds that many of the reasons why Daniel does not want to se his mother are not significant, except maybe Daniel perceives them as being significant. Therefore, the mother is ORDERED and DIRECTED to contact James A. Sawatsky at the Meadville Medical Center Community Mental Health Center with 7 days from this date and arrange through Mr. Sawatsky appropriate counseling sessions where she may be present, so that the relationship between the mother and Daniel Improves, and Daniel's father, can be relieved or addressed.

The mother shall continue with Mr. Sawatsky and Daniel shall continue with Mr. Sawatsky until such time as Mr. Sawatsky believes that the relationship is so deteriorated that further counseling is of no benefit.

21. The Court incorporates by reference thereto the report of Audrey Smith of June 21, 1993 and ORDERS and DIRECTS both parties to continue with the counseling and therapy that Mrs. Smith, in her recommendations on page 2, makes.

22. The parties shall contact Mrs. Smith within 90 days from this date to determine if Mrs. Smith believes it to be appropriate to engage in joint therapy aimed at teaching them effective conflict management skills, effective conflict managemetn skills,effective parenting skills, and effective skills and habits that allow them to deal with each other with respect to the children.

23. In all decency, if the mother cannot make a particular weekend visitation, she should give the fther directly, in writing or orally, and not through the chidlren, at leat 48 hours' advance notice that she is going to cancel a weekend visitation so that they can go about their lives,knowing the visitation will not occur. The children need to have some stability.

Likewise, unless the father has permitted a late pick up, if the mother is not at the father's home by 11:00 o'clock a.m. on a scheduled pick up, then the father may assume that that visitation has been canceled and he may go about his affairs and the mother will not have that weekend. There shall be no weekend substituted therefor.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller,P.J.

Dec. 29, 1993 copy to J. Mason, A. Angelone, T. Toomey B. Linsay, J. Fuller

Jan. 27, 1994 ORDER filed. AND NOW, January 26, 1994 after an informal hearing where both parties were represented by counsel, the Plaintiff's petition for contempt filed Dec. 17, 1993 is hereby DISMISSED. The Court believes that all of the matters raised in the petition have been heard before, some involve matters that occurred many months ago and are no longer current or recurring, and the order of Dec. 17, 1993 does and should address the matters raised in this contempt petition.

The order of Dec. 17, 1993 is hereby amended in one respect; that is, the reference to 10:00 o'clock in the third line of page 3 shall mean 10:00 o'clock a.m. on Friday.

The matters raised in the contempt petition shall not be raised again unless similar matters occur after this date, for we have made a complete adjudication of those matters, both in the custody order of Dec. 17th, 1993 and in this order.

One of the attorneys shall forward a copy of this order to James A. Sawatsky and to Audrey Smith.

This Court firmly believes that it is in the best interest of these children if one, more or all of them is undergoing appropriate counseling as needed, and that the parents are undergoing appropriate counseling, especially counseling aimed at helping them deal with each other in a positive way and in a way that promotes the best interest of these children. That may be difficult, because the mother lives so far away, but we do expect the mother to continue appropriate counseling that the counselor believes is necessary to address any personal problems she may have, as well as counseling with respect to dealing with the father of these children. We believe that if these parents can learn to deal with each other and address the problems of the children at an appropriate level, most of the issues that have been raised lately will be solved.

BY RODER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan. 31, 1994 Copy of Order distributed to Attys. J. Mason and Anthony Angelone.

Continued Page 462 -e-

1991

909	ROBERT ATROZSKIN, Plaintiff VS. LISA ATROZSKIN, Defendant	CONTINUED FROM PAGE 462-D OF RECORD A. D. 1991 - 909

****

Dec. 3, 1996 Petition to Modify custody Order and ORDER filed. AND NOW, to-wit this 2 day of December, 1996 upon the Defendant's Petition to Modify the Custody Order, it is hereby ORDERED that the Defendant, Lisa Atrozskin, now by remarriage, Lisa Linesenbigler, is awarded full legal custody of the minor children, Robert Atrozskin, Jr. and Alexandra Atrozskin.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

12-4-96 MAILED Anthony Angelone

Record from Page 50 of A.D. 1991 - 600

LONNIE BALLUT
VS.
MARY SEBAK

****

D. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. Custody of the child shall be shared on the aforesaid holidays and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the child shall be in the custody of the mother on the aforesaid holidays until 1:00 P.M. and inthe custody of the father on the aforesaid holidays from 1:00 p.m. until 5:00 p.m.

E. Beginning with the Summer of 1993, the child shall be in the custody of the father for a period of one week in June, one week in July and one week in August, each Summer. The father shall give the mother at least thirty (30) days notice of the dates of each Summer visit.

F. Transportation of the child on weekends, holidays and Summer visits shall be provided by the father.

G. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

H. Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or well being.

I. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the child and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanet order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference will equally divided with the father paying one-half of the cost thereof and the remaining one-half to be paid by Crawford County.

Anthony J.Vardaro, Judge

April 27, 1992 copy of Order to: R. Charles Thomas, Esq., William J. Gregg, ESq. and John Fuller, Esq.

****

play shoes, and one formal change of clothes, including dress, appropriate underwear, and dress shoes. The father shall take care to return to the mother all of the clothing tht she sends, at the time the children return to their mother's care.

12. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

13. Either party may request a review of this Order in May of 1993 to see if any adjustments need to be made.

14. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00 are divided equally between the parties witha share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

15. Either party may move the Court for a heraring de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

JUen 8, 1992 copy in box for W. Pineo, M.A. Kirkpatrick, J. Irwin, mailed copy to Plf. & Dft.

June 25, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, June 22, 1992 the Court has reviewed this case at the request of the Prothonotary for the purpose of determining the proper disposition of the deposit made by the plaintiff when he filed his peittion to modify, which said deposit was made in accordance with local rules of court. It is ordered as follows:

Since the mediation costs of $152.00 have been divided between the parties on a 50/50 basis, since the plaintiff made a deposit of $150.00, since the defendant paid her share of the mediationcosts in the amount of $76.00, the Protho;notary shall make a refund to the plaintiff through his counsel in the maount of $150.00 less $85.00 representing the plaintiff's share of the mediation costs and the Prothonotary's fee of $9.00 for the Court Order.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gorodn R. miller, U

June 25, 1992 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Kirkpatrick, Irwin & Pineo

Nov. 25, 1992 Motion for Correction of Temporary Order and Order filed. AND now, November 24, 1992, upon consideration of the forewgoing mediator's motion for correction of temporary order, the same is hereby granted and Paragraph 4a of the order entered by the court on May 28, 1992, is hereby amended to read as follows:

"4a. Christmas: There shall be two Christmas holiday visitation periods, the first beginning Christmas Eve at 6:00 p.m. and ending Christmas Day at 1:00 p.m. and the second beginning Christmas Day at 1:00 p.m. and ending Christmas Day at 8:00 p.m. The mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody during the first period, and the father, the second, every year. The mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody on the last full weekend of December from Friday at 4:00 p.m. through Monday at 8:00 a.m. The father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody from 8:00 a.m. on the Monday following week."

In all other respects, the order of May 28, 1992, is affirmed.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Dec.2, 1992 Copy of the Order to Attys. Kirkpatrick, Irwin & Pineo.

Mar. 1, 1993 Petition To Modify Custody and Order filed. And Now, February 26, 1993, this matter is referred to William Pineo, child custody mediator, for further action.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. vardaro, J

Mar. 5, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's. Kirkpatrick, Irwin and Pineo

Mar. 31, 1993 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 31st day of March, 1993, after Mediation in the above referenced matter, and upon the recommendations of theChild Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT,

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children, Daija and Brianna Onderko.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a,The mother shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor children. The mediator advises the court that the father spends the greater part of the year in Darby, Montana, and the provisions of this order are set out with that in mind. The fat her shall have physical custody of the subject minor children from July 1 of each year through August 15.

b.The mediator advises the court that the father will be reside in the Crawford County area each year from the first of January until the last day of February. During that period, the father shall have primary physical custody and the mother shall have temporary physical custody and visitation on alternate weekends from Friday at 4:00 p.m. through Sunday at 8:00 p.m., beginning with the second full weekend after January 1. A full weekend is defined as a weekend in which Saturday and Sunday occur within the same month. In addition, the mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody each Tuesday and Thursday from 5:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. The father will provide transportation for Diaja to and from Conneaut Lake Elementary School.

c. The parent not having physical custody shall have the right to telephone the subject minor children at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody. Each party will keep the other advised of his or her address and telephone number so that communication may be easily made.

4. Holiday visitation shall not be separately provided.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The father shall be responsible for all transportation necessitated with this order. If the children are to fly, they shall be accompanied by one of their parents, or other adult agreeable to the parents, until the oldest child shall have reached the age of 12 years.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anthing to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

Continued of record on Page 464 A

JAMES M. ONDERKO
vs.
JANINE T. ONDERKO

Continued from Page 464
of record
A D 1991 - 603

****

11. When the children are visiting the father during the summer period, the mother shall send with each child seven changes of play clothes including underwear, and one pair of play shoes, and one change of formal clothing, including dress, slip underwear, and so forth, and one pair of dress shoes.

When the children are visiting their father on the weekend visitations, the mother shall send two changes of play clothes and one change of underwear for each day that the children are to spend with their father, one pair of play shoes, and one formal change of clothes, including dress, appropriate underwear, and dress shoes. The father shall take care to return to the mother all of the clothing that she sends, at the time the children return to their mother's care.

12. The parties are free to make additional or laternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangemtns shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

13. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case.

14. The deposit required for the appointment of the custody mediator was made by the father. The deposit shall first be applied against the father's share of costs and the remainder shall be remitted to him. The mother shall pay her share to the Prothonotary of Crawford County within thirty (30) days of the date of this order.

15. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Apr. 6, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys.Pineo, Kirkpatrick, Irwin, Fuller, Joan D. Mason

Jan 26, 1996 Petition For Entry of Agreement and Consent Custody Order of January 25, 1996, filed.
Jan 26, 1996 Faxed Keenan
Jan 31, 1996 Faxed Kirkpatrick, Irwin & Pineo

464B

1991

AD 1991 (2nd book XXXXXXXXXXXXXX TERM

James M. Onderko

vs.

Janine T. Onderko

Record Continued from page 457

of
AD 1991 - 603

Mar. 11, 1992, Upon praecipe for review under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Mar. 13, 1992 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And, Now, March, 12, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that James M. Onderko, plaintiff, and Janine T. Onderko, defendant, are divorced fromthe bonds of matrimony.

The parties' Memorandum of Agreement file dof record in this case is hereby incorporated herein and shall be enforceable as though fully set forth.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 4, 1992 Petition to Modify Child Custody. Notice and Order to Appear filed. You, Janine T. Onderko, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of Daija Onderko and Brianna Onderko.

You are ORDERED to appear in person at such timie and place as will be determined by the Mediator, William Pineo for a mediation conference.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once, if you do not have a Lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal halp.

Court Administrator, Courthouse, Meadville, PA 16335 (814) 336-1151, ext. 298.

Date: 5-1-92

Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

May 4, 1992 Copy of Order to: Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, ESq. and William Pineo, Esq.

May 29, 1992 TEMPORTARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 28th day of May, 1992, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of this Child Custody Mediator.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. The mother shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor children. The mediator advises the court that the father will shortly be moving to Darby, Montana, and the provisions of this order are set out with that in mind. During the summer of 1992, the father shall have physical custody of the children during the month of July. After 1992, the father shall have physical custody of the subject minor children from July 1 of each year through August 15.

b. The mediator advises the court that the father will be residing in the Meadville area each year from November 1 through March 31 of the following year. During that period, the father shall have visitation with the subject minor children every weekend, except for the last full week end of each month and the weekend preceding that weekend, from Friday at 4:00 p.m. through Monday at 8:00 a.m. On the weekend preceding the last full weekend of each month, the father shall have visitation from Friday at 4:00 p.m. through Wednesday at 8:00 a.m. He shall not have visitation on the last full week end of each month.

c. The parent not having physical custody shall have the right to telephone the subject minor children at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody. Each party will keep the other advised of his or her address and telephone number so that communication may be easily made.

4a. Christmas: There shall be two Christmas holiday visitation paeriods, the first beginning Christmas Eve at 6:00 p.m. and ending Christmas Day at 1:00 p.m. and the second beginning Christmas Day at 1:00 p.m. and ending Christmas Day at 8:00 p.m. The mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody during the first period, and the father, the second, every year.

b. Thanksgiving: The father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody each Thanksgiving from 3:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.

c. Easter: If Easter falls in March and if Easter Sunday would not be on the father's visitation weekend, then the father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody from 6:00 p.m. Holy Saturday until 1:00 p.m. Easter Sunday. If Easter falls in March and on the father's visitation week end, then the father's visitation will end at 1:00 p.m. Easter Sunday.

d. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or tao make alternative arrangements.

6. The father shall be responsible for all transportation necessitated with this order. If the children are to fly, they shall be accompanied by one of their parents, or other adult agreeable to the parents, until the oldest child shall have reached the age of 12 years.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure tht the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the charac ter of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in apolite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11.When the children are visiting the father during the summer period, the mother shall send with each child seven changes of play clothes including underwear, and one pair of play shoes, and one change of formal clothing, including dress, slip, underware, and so forth, and one pair of dress shoes.

When the children are visiting their father on the weekend visitations, the mother shall send two changes of play clothes and one change of underwear for each day that the children are to spend with their father, one pair of

CONTINUED ON PAGE 464

Jeanne Y. Smith
vs.
Michael L. Smith

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 127
of Record
A.D. 1991 - 677

3. The Defendant shall pay alimony to the Plaintiff in the amount of $200 per month for twelve months, commencing with themonth of April 1992 and ending with the month of March, 1993 which said payments shall be made onor before the last day of each month, the first payment being due on or before April 30, 1992, and which said payment shall be made through the Domestic Relations Office and by it forwarded to the Plaintiff. That alimony shall not be modifiable by either party.

4. In the event that there are outstanding master's fees for a brief period of time that the master has been appointed, the parties shall share those expenses evenly. The master shall first submit a bill to the parties to see if they will amicably agree on the payment of the same. If there is no such agreement, then the master may file a motion with the Court to establish the fees. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Apr. 9, 1992 copy in box for J. Irwin, M. Kirkpatrick, G.Fabian

Apr. 29, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

June 1, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

June 11, 1992 AND NOW, June 10, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that JEANNE Y. SMITH, plaintiff, and MICHAEL L. SMITH, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Nov. 6, 1992 AMENDED PETITION FOR CONTEMPT, filed.

Nov. 6, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 4th day of November, 1992, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a Rule to Show Cause is hereby entered upon Respondent, Michael L. Smith, to show cause why he should not be held in contempt of Court.

Said Rule is returnable on the 17th day of December, 1992, in Courtroom NO. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania at 10:00 a.m.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Nov. 12, 1992 copy to Irwin, Kirkpatrick & Fabian

Nov. 6, 1992 MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE AMENDED PETITION FOR CONTEMPT,filed.

Nov. 6, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 4th day of November, 1992, the Plaintiff is granted permission to file the attached amendedPetition for Contempt.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

NOv. 12, 1992 copy to Irwin, Kirkpatrick & Fabian

Dec. 18, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, December 17, 1992, after an informal hearing onthe Plaintiff's petition for contempt the Court finds that the Defendant made the payment required by Paragraph 2(b) of the Order of March 31, 1992 approximately two and one-half (2 1/2) months late for it was made on December 11, 1992 instead of September 30, 1992.

The Court finds that the Plaintiff has incurred attorney fees in these proceedings in the amount of $250.00

The Court finds that the Defendant did not bring any records and exact information about his earnings and expenses, but alleges that he grossed about $78,000.00 from his business in 1992; that he grosses approximatley $4,000 to $5,000 per month and that his monthly expenses approximate $4,148.00 representing bank payment of $1,248, wages to two (2) employees in the amount of $1,290, rent of $200, fuel of $200, child support payments including arrearages of $250, and an estimate of personal lving expenses of $1,000.

The Court finds the Defendant in contempt of court for the Court believes that the Defendant did have the financial ability to make paymentunder the Court Order of March 31, 1992. The Fact that he made no payment on alimony at all is further evidence to support the Court's findings.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The Defendant is given one opportunity to purge himself from this contempt by fully and ocmpletely and timely complying with the balance of this Order.

2. The Defendant shall pay the sum of $760.00 to the Plaintiff no later than 4:30 p.m. on Saturday, December 26, 1992. Said amount is broken down as follows: $500.00 to apply on alimony; $250.00 to apply on counsel fees incurred by the Plaintiff; and $10.00 representing interest on the $800.00 that was paid two and one-half mnths late, which said interest was calculated at the rate of six percent (6%) per annum.

3. The Defendant shall pay the sum of $633.33 to apply on alimony on or before January 31, 1993, a simsilar amount on or before February 28, 1993 and a similar amount on or before March 31, 1993 to fully and completely catch up the alimony that he was ordered to pay.

4. In the event that the Defendant fails to make any of these payments he shall undergo incarceration in the Crawford County Jail for a minimum term of thirty (30) days and a maximum term of ninety (90) days. He shall ither surrender himself to the Warden of the Crawford County Jail upon default in making payment. If he fails to do so, the Plaintiff may file a petition witht he court for a bench warrant setting forth facts under oath that would trigger the imposition of the period of imprisonment.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller J

Dec. 18, 1992 Copies given to Atty's. Irwin and Kirkpatrick

May 4, 1993 Petition for Contempt filed.

May 4, 1993 Order filed. AND NOW, this 3rd day of May, 1993, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a Rule to Show Cause is hereby entered upon Defendant, Michael Smith, to show cause why he should not be held in contempt of Court.

Said Rule is returnable on the 25th day of June, 1993, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania at 1:30 o'clock p.m.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 6, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Irwin & Kirkpatrick.

May 14, 1993 Motion for Leave to Withdraw Appearance and ORDER filed. And Now, May 13th, 1993, motion granted.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 19, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Irwin & Kirkpatrick.

Continued to Page 466 A

1991

Jeanne Y. Smith
vs
Michael L.Smith
****

Continued From Page 466
of Record
AD 1991 - 677

****

Mar.20, 1996 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 6th day of March, 1996, upon consideration of the foregoing petition, it is hereby ordered:

1. A Rule is issued upon the plaintiff to show cause why the defendant is not entitled to the relief requested;
2. The plaintiff shall file an answer to the petition within twenty (20) days of this date;
3. The petition will be decided under Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure 206.7;
4. An Evidentiary Hearing on disputed issues of material fact shall be held on the 4th day of June, 1996, at 9:15 o'clock A.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville,Pennsylvania, and at that time arguments will also be heard.
5. Notice of the entry of this Order shall be provided to all parties by the defendant.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro,J.

3-20-96 Irwin & Watson

Mar. 21, 1996 Petition for Contempt filed.

Apr. 11, 1996 Answer To Petition For Contempt filed.

June 3, 1996 Petition of Counsel For Leave To Withdraw Appearance and ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 3 day of June, 1996, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition of Counsel for Leave to Withdraw Appearance it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that said Petition is granted and that the Petitioner, Thomas Dana Watson, Esq., hereby be permitted to Withdraw his Appearance of record for the Defendant in the above matter.

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, Judge

June 3, 1996 Order FAXED Attys. Irwin and Watson.

June 7, 1996 ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 4, 1996 the Defendant's motion to continue this matter is granted, because his most recent attorney withdrew his appearance without giving the Defendant 5 days' written notice as is required by Crawford County Local Rule L1112 (b).

This hearing is continued to 11:00 a.m. on Tuesday, July 2, 1996 in Courtroom 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT, Irwin, Watson.
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
6-7-96 DISTRIBUTED Irwin, Watson. MAILED Deft.

July 8, 1996, ORDER filed. And Now, July 2, 1996 tis mater comes before the court on the dft's petition for contempt. This matter was previously scheduled for June 4, 1996 and it was continued by Pres. Judge Gordon R. Miller to this date and time, but in C. R. No. 1 of the courthouse. We note that at the time the order was entered on June 4, 1996 the dft. was present, and that the Prothonotary also mailed a copy of this order to dft. apparently at his last known address.

This morning, because of a scheduling problem in C. R. No. 1, this mater has been transferred to C. R. No. 2 but we have had the tipstaff check C. R. No. 1 and the hallways of the courthouse, and as of 11:14 a.m. the dft. has not appeared.

On motion of the plaff, through her counsel, to dismiss the dft's petition for contempt with prejudice, said motion is hereby Granted and the dft's petition is dismissed and he may not again bring this matter before the court.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
7/8/96 faxed to Irwin, mailed to dft.

466
B

1991

Patricia J. Wagner
vs.
Barnard R. Wagner

Continued from Page 212
of Record
A.D. 1991 - 762

****

April 24, 1992 MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND ORDER FILED. AND NOW this 23rd day of April, 1992 upon consideration of the within Motion for Sactions, a Rule is hereby issued upon the Defendant, Bernard R. Wagner, to show cause, if any he has, why he should not be held in contempt of Court.

RULE RETURNABLE, the 1st day of May, 1992 at 9:00 o'clock A.M. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania, at which time hearing will be held.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

4/24/92 Copy to Brian Lindsay,Esq.
Michael Halliday, Esq.

April 24, 1992 PETITION TO SCHEDULE MODIFICATION OF PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ORDER FILED. RULE TO SHOW CAUSE. AND NOW, April 24, 1992, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petition a Rule is entered upon Respondent to show cause, if any she has, why the prayer of the Petitioner should not be granted.

THIS RULE IS RETURNABLE ON May 1, 1992 in Court room No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania at 9 o'clock A.M.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

April 24, 1992 copy distributed to Brian J. Lindsay, ESq. and Michael Halliday, ESqq.

May 5, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 1, 1992, after a full formal hering on the Plaintiff's petition for contempt and the Defendant's petition for modification, the Court finds that the Defendant did wilfully violate the Protection From Abuse Order that was entered by Judge Thomas on Sept. 27, 1991 in the following respects, all of which amoutns to indirect criminal contempt:

1. The barn shoving incident that Mrs. Wagner testified to;
2. Going into Mrs. Wagner's house about a week ago when Sabrina left with the car;
3. Pounding on doors and yelling;
4. Making numerous phone calls and yelling;
5. Looking into windows.

As to all other allegations of contempt, the Court finds in favor of the Defendant, Mr. WAgner, as Plaintiff has not carried her burden of proof with respect to all of the other allegations.

Accordingly, the Defendant is sentenced to undergo imprisonment in the Crawford County Jail for a minimum term of thirty (30) days and a maximum term of six (6) months, which said sentence is suspended so long as the Defendant faithfully complies with this Order. The Defendant is ORDERED as follows:

1. The Defendnat shall nto assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintif and their minor children in any manner nor shall he cause others to do those things for him.

2. Sole possession of the Plaintiff's residence and all of the grounds around the residence for at least fifty (50) feet is granted to the Plaintiff as she is the record title holder to that and the Defendant is hereby forbidden and prohibited from going upon any of that land for any reason whatsoever until there is a further Court Order, except that the Defendant shall have the right to go to the hospital barn for legitimate business and farm purposes by going directly to that barn to carry out whatever business and work he needs to carry out then leaving that barn and directly going someplace else other than any further intrusions onto the Plaintiff's property.

The Defendant shall not go to the residence basement for semen tank purposes or nay other farm purposes as this Court finds that there are other partners and employees who can carry out those functions if necessary to the farming operation, and therefore, it is not necessary for the Defendant to be there.

3. The Plaintiff shall not invite the Defendant onto the property for any reason. If she invites him onto the property, the Defendant is advised to reject said invitation because to go onto the property even by her invitation could amount to a violation of this Court Order and further contempt procedings, so he is advised not to return until there is furtehr Court Order.

4. At the Plaintiff's election, the Defendant shall pay the reasonable and necessary costs of changing all of the door locks or keys on the residence where she lives. The Plaintiff shall have the right to select her own contractor for that purpose and then submit the bill to the Defendant.

The Court would hope that if the Plaintiff exercises this election, she would do so at the least possible price and should first consider simply changing the combination or the keys to the locks that exist by having them reworked rather than having brand new locks put on the house if that is possible.

5. The Defendant will not contact the Plaintiff by telephone except for legitimate business, litigation or child visitation custody purposes and during those conversations shall at all times be civil and the Plaintiff shall be civil toward him.

6. The Defendant shall pay $300.00 toward the Plaintiff's fees which said obligation is suspended and excused fully if the Defendant fully and completely complies with the conditions of this Order. The obligation to pay attorney's fees is suspended in the same fashion that the period of incarceration is suspended t this point and under teh same terms and conditions.

7. The Defendant is advised that this is an Order of Court and shoudl remain in full force and effect until September 26, 1992 unless modified or terminated by further Order of Court.

8. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe it has been violated and may apprehend the Defendant and place him in the Crawford County Jail to begin serving the Sentence imposed above. In that event, the Court will not consider Work Release privileges unless the Defendant files an appropriate motion with the Court for Work Release privileges and the Plaintiff either consents or the Court hodls a hearing to determine appropriate Work Release privileges.

9. In the event that the Defendant fully and completely complies with this Order between now and Sept. 26, 1992, he will have purged himself from the contempt Order, will not be obligated to serve any sentence in jail and will not be obligated to pay atorney's fees as ordered above. And, in that event, that is should he well and truly comply with this Order between now and Sept. 26, 1992, the contempt found this date will be dissolved and all further penalties waived and vacated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 5, 1992 Copy to County Control; May 12, 1992 copy to Attys. Brian Lindsay and Michael Halliday.

June 18, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. I, Biran J. Lindsay, Esq., after being duly sworn according to law, do hereby certify that I am the attorney of record for the above named Defendant/petitioner, and that as such I am authorized to make this verification; that I did non the 27th day of April, 1992, send to the above named Plaintiff/Respondent, by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of the Petition to Schedule Modification of PFA filed at the above term and number; and that I did on the 8th day of May, 1992, receive a duly executed return receipt dated April 30th, 1992.

William Vance White
vs.
Linda Kay White

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 303
of Record
A.D. 1991 - 853

****

Apr. 24, 1992 Petition to Modify Custody, filed.

Apr. 24, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 24the day of April, 1992, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before Mediator William Pineo, Esq., at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse with the Child Custody Mediator as required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common PLeas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

It is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the Court, the aforesaid minor child shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the Court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Apr. 27, 1992 copy given to Mediator. May 6, 1992 copy in box for J. Mason, mailed copy to E. Niebauer

Apr. 27, 1992 Appearance of Dailey, Restifo & Dailey by Edward J. Niebauer, Esq. for Defendant.

Apr. 27, 1992 MOTION TO VACATE AND/OR STRIKE DIVORCE DECREE UNDER 23 Pa. C.S.A. SECTIONS 3331 and 3332 and RULE TO SHOW CAUSE ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit, this 24 day of April, 1992 upon consideration of the foregoing Motion a Rule is issued upon the Respondent, William Vance White, to show cause why the relief requested in said Motion should not be granted.

Said Rule returnable the 26 day of May, 1992, at 1:30 p.m. in Court Room Number 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

May 6, 1992 copy in box for W. Pineo, J. Mason, mailed copy to E. Niebauer

May 18, 1992 Affidavit of Service filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say tha tI am the attorney of record, and as such say that I served a true and correct copy of the Petition for Custody certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania on the above named Respondent by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated April 28, 1992. The Respondent acknowledge service on April ;30, 1992 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt. James R. Irwin

June 16,1992 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, June 15, 1992, after the completion of the testimony of the Defendant with respect to her motion to vacate and/or strike divorce decree, the Court sustains the demurrer of the Plaintiff and the rule entered on April 24, 1992 is dissolved.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

June 16, 1992 Copy of the order given to Atty. Mason and Mailed to Atty. Niebauer.

June 24, 1992 TEMPORARY ORDER, filed. AND NOW, this 24th day of June, 1992, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. The father shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor children. The mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody for seven days out of her 28-day swing shift work schedule. As soon as is convenient after the entry of this order, the mother shall notify the father which of the seven day she wishes. She will be permitted to change the designation of which seven days she desires, at the opening of the children's school session provided that she gives the father seven days notice of the change. Thereafter, she will have the right to change her designation each year of the beginning of the summer vacation and at the beginning of school, provided that, in each case, she gives the father seven days notice of the change.

b. The subject minor children shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. The mother shall have the right of visitation with her children each Christmas, either on Christmas Eve or Christmas Day, and on Thanksgiving, either on Thanksgiving Day or the day after. However, given the complicated work schedule of the parties, the court leaves it to the parents to work out the details.

b. The other holidays to be observed are New Years Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, and Easter. The mother shall have the right of visitation on alternate holidays beginning with Independence Day, 1992. She shall notify the father one week in advance of the hours during which she may have the children.

c. The children shall also spend that portion of Mother's Day with their mother that she has off from work. She shall notify the father one week in advance of the exact visitation period.

d. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over theother provisions of this order relating to the custocial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the children at the home of the other.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to theother party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody fo the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit other sto do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to dispargge the other party in the children's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 468A

William Vance White
vs.
Linda Kay White (Cloud)

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 468
of Record
A.D. 1991 - 853

****

12. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $177.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her ascosts in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

13. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for 30 days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanetn.

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

June 30, 1992 copy in box for W. Pineo, J. Mason, J. Irwin, mailed copy to Plf. & Dft.

July 2, 1992 REQUEST FOR HEARING and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 1st day of July, 1992 upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Request for Hearing a hearing is scheduled with the Court of Common PLeas of Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

FOR THE COURT:
Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm.

July 9, 1992 copy in box for J. Irwin, J. Mason, W. Pineo

July 27, 1992 MOTION TO CONTINUE HEARING and ORDER filed. ORDER: And now, July 27, 1992, motion granted. Case rescheduled for September 3, 1992 at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2.

Gordon R. Miller, p.J.

July 30, 1992 copy in box for J. Mason, mailed copy to E. Niebauer

Aug. 21, 1992, Motion to Withdraw REquest for Hearing and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 21 day of aug. 1992 upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion this hearing is continued generally. Hearing to he scheduled upon motion of either plaintiff or dft.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug. 25, 1992, copies to Attys. J. Irwin, J. Mason and W. Pineo.

Northwest Savings Bank, PA. S.A.

vs.

Community First Broadcasting Inc. et al

Reocrd continued from page 911

of record

A.D. 1991 - 911

****

✓Mar. 31, 1993 Answer To Rule To Show Cause Why Judgments, To Extent Previously Entered, Should Not Be Stricken (Edward P. Mattar, III) filed.

✓Mar. 31, 1993 Answer To Rule To Show Cause Why Judgments, To Extent Previously Entered, Should Not Be Stricken (Community First broadcasting, Inc.) filed.

✓Mar. 31, 1993 Answer To MotionTo Discontinue or Dismiss Receivership Hearing filed.

✓April 16, 1993, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW April 15, 1993, upon petition of Community First Broadcasting, Inc. and petition of Edward P. Mattar, III, and it appearing that our order of Aug. 27, 1992 fixing the fair market value was void insofar as we did not have subject matter jurisdiction, we hereby rescind our order of August 27, 1992, fixing the fair market value of real property and grant the motion tostrike off judgment filed by Edward P. Mattar, III and the motion tostrike off judgment filed by Community First Broadcasting, Inc. so that any judgment that may have been obtained pursuant to our order of August 27, 1992 is stricken.

Additionally, we grant the motion of community First Broadcasting, Inc. to discontinue or dismiss receivership hearing and therefore decline to appoint a receiver as requested by Plaintiff/Petitioner, NOrthwest Savings Bank, Pa. S.A.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Apr. 19, 1993, copies sent to attys. Tupitza and Walker.

✓May 13, 1993 Notice of Appeal and Proof of Service of Notice of Appeal filed.

✓May 25, 1993 Superior Court of Pennsylvania Official Docket Docket #00791PGH93 filed.

Feb. 22, 1994 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above record marked satisfied.

Mar. 1, 1994, ORDER filed. And Now, Mar. 1, 1994, the superior court having remanded this matter for further proceedings consistent with their Memorandum Order for Feb. 3, 1994 and the parties ahving appeared before us on Feb. 22, 1994 on a related matter, by agreement of the parties, the Court Administrator is directed to schedule this matter for further hearing and argument consistent with the Superior Court's Memorandum of Feb. 3, 1994 in approxima tely 60 days from this date.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Mar. 1, 1994, copies dist to. ct. Adm. (by court), Walker and Tupitza.

Mar. 16, 1994 Certificate of Contents of Remanded Record and Notice of Remand Under Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure 2571 and 2572 filed.

Mar. 16, 1994 JUDGMENT filed. ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County be, and the same is hereby AFFIRMED IN PART; REVERSED IN PART AND REMANDED. JURISDICTION RELINQUISHED.

BY THE COURT:
Eleanor R. Valecho, Deputy Prothonotary

Dated: FEbruary 3, 1994
3-16-94 Copy of Judgment to Attys. Tupitza & Walker

3-1

March 15, 1994 ORDER filed. March 14, 1994, the Court Administrator having been directed by the Court to set a hearing and argument in the above matter, hereby sets a hearing for April 29, 1994 at 1:30 P.M. in Courtroom No.2, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA., before the Honorable Anthony J. Vardaro, Judge.

FOR THE COURT,
Ann A. Walker,
Ct. Administrator

March 15, 1994 Copies distributed to Attys. Thomas Tupitza and Wm. Walker.

Mar. 17, 1994 Order filed. AND NOW, March 17, 1994, due to a Court scheduling conflict, the hearing set for April 25, 1994 at 2:30 P.M. in Courtroom No. 2, Crawford County Courthouse before the undersigned for further hearing and argument consistent withthe Superior Court's Memorandum of February 3, 1994.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Mar. 17, 1994 Copies dist. by Court.

Mar. 25, 1994 Answer to Petition to Appoint Receiver, filed.

Apr. 22, 1994 MEMORANDUM AND ORDER FILED. ORDER. AND NOW, April 2, 1994, the Plaintiff's motion for a continuance of hearing is denied without prejudice to Plaintiff to proceed as set forth in the attached Memorandum.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

4-22-94 Copies dist. by Court.

April 22, 1994 Motion for Continuance of Hearing and Order filed: AND NOW, the 22 day of April, 194, upon consideration of plaintiff's Motion for Continuance of Hearing it is hereby ORDERED that the Motion is denied except that at the conclusion of hearing on April 25, 1994 either party may request further hearing if needed and the parties shall have ten additional days to file any further legal brief they wish to filed.

Anthony J. Vardaro, Judge

(See original DSB/1992-440

April 22, 1994 Copies of order dist. to Lanzillo, Tupitza and Walker.

Apr. 25, 1994 Answer to Petition to Open Confessed Judgment filed.

Apr. 25, 1994 Reply to New Matter, filed.

Apr. 25, 1994 Motion for Continuance of Hearing filed.

May 13, 1994 Reply to New Matter, filed.

Continued to Page 468 C

May 17, 1994 ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 13, 1994, this matter is before the Court upon petition of Northwest Savings Bank requesting that a receiver be appointed. This matter has been remanded for consideration of this issue by the Superior Court, and we have heard this issue at the same time we have heard other issues with respect to this ongoing litigation.

The parties have agreed to an Order to be entered by their consent at this time with regard to a resolution of the request for a receiver and accorddingly, it is agreed by the parties and, therefore, ORDERED by the Court that Community First Broadcasting, Inc. shall immediately pay into court a sum of money which is believed to be owed to Northwest Savings Bank by that entity and which is the subject of ongoing litigation in an amount not to exceed $40,000.00 with the specific amount to be agreed upon by the parties. If the parties cannot agree to an amount when the closing of a sale between Community First Broadcasting, Inc. and Great Circle Broadcasting is about to take place, any party may petition the Court for the purpose of setting that amount. The amount not to exceed $440,000.00 shall be paid into court first from the available funds at the time of closing so that the funds are available prior to payments to other parties who may be owed debts with respect to Community First Broadcasting,Inc. In the event that the $40,000.00 amount is in dispute and the parties cannot reach an agreement and the Court cannot schedule a hearing prior to closing, the closing shall not be held up but Co mmunity First Broadcasting, Inc. shall depost the sum of $40,000.00 with the Court pending the hearing at which time we will determine whether any amount shall be immediat ely refunded to that entity.

Northwest Savings Bank shall promptly take the action necessary to withdraw its objection to the transfer of the Community First Broadcasting license to Great CircleBroadcasting which objection has been filed with the Federal Communications Commission. Additionally, based on this agreement, NOrthwest Savings Bank withdraws its request for a receiver without prejducie to reinstate that request or to file another request if for some reason the funds anticipated to be available as a result of the sale of Community First Broadcasting, INc. license and/or other assets to Great Circle Broadcasting does not take place.

Community First Boradcasting, Inc. through their counsel this date indicate that as part of that anticipated sale there may be a purchase of certain equipment from a third party which could become equipment of Community First Broadcasting, Inc. until it is transferred to Great Circle Broadcasting, and if that occurs, Northwest Savings Bank agrees that it will not claim that it has a security interest in such property as the same being after-acquired property.

The parties further agree that recognizing that Northwest Savings Bank currently holds certain equipment, which was taken by it in October of 1991 as a result of a security interest it held, the parties will discuss the possibility of the sale of some or all of that equipment as part of the transaction between Community First Broadcasting, Inc. and Great Circle Broadcasting. The Court, however, recognizes that that may not be possible, andit is not part of our Order that the actual sale of that equipment occur, but only that the parties attempt to resolve the sale of all or part of that equipment through such discussions.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

5-17-94 Copy faxed to Atty. Lanzillo; May 18, 1994 copy given to Atty. W. Walker

May 29, 1997 Notice to Complete Discovery Pursuant to Local Rule L302A(2), filed.

Aug. 29, 1997 Upon Praecipe of plaintiff attorney, this case placed on next Argument List, filed.

ON SEPTEMBER 1997 ARGUMENT LIST

June 16, 1997 Petition for Release of Escrowed Funds filed.

June 16, 1997 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, this petition placed on next argt. list.

Oct. 2, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, September 29, 1997, this matter comes before the Court on a Petition for Release of Escrowed Funds filed by the Plaintiff.

First of all, we note that the docket does not disclose the original petition being filed and the parties agree that a copy of the Petition for Release of Escrowed Funds filed by the Plaintiff.

First of all, we note that the docket does not disclose the original petition being filed and the parties agree that a copy of the Petition was served upon the Defendant but it appears that because this matter was suppose to be filed in Motions Court and there was no Motions Court on the day the Plaintiff anticipated doing so a copy of the Petition never made it to the official record.

We are providing the Prothonotary with a copy of the Petition for Release of Escrowed Funds which apparently was served upon the Defendant on or about June 13, 1997 and this copy shall be made a part of the record as if it were the original.

At this time, we will deny the Plaintiff's request for Release of Escrowed Funds without prejudice to file a similarly scheduled for October 1997 if it becomes relevant.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
10-2-97 DISTRIBUTED Walker & Fustine

Oct. 2, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, September 29, 1997, at the time set for the call of the trial list, a pretrial conference is set in this matter for October 7, 1997, at 9:0 a.m. in Courtroom No. 2 before the undersigned.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
10-2-97 DISTRIBUTED Walker & Tupitza

Oct. 7, 1997 Plaintiff's Motion in Limine filed. (See original filed at DSB 1992 - 441)

Oct. 15, 1997 Memorandum filed. (See original filed at DSB 1992 - 441)

Oct. 24, 1997 Transcript of Testimony of John McWilliams taken at time of Hearing filed (see paper filed at DSB 1992 - 440)

James H., Anders
vs.
Zoning Hearing Board of
West Mead Township,
Terrill Aiuppy, et al

Record Continued from Page 201
of A.D. 1991 - 751

****

April 23, 1992 MOTION to Demand a Response from the Defendants to my Settlement offer within 20 days or suffer recission of that offer and order filed. AND NOW, April 22, 1992, upon presentation of this motion, the same is DENIED.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.
April 29, 1992 copy of order distributed to: Plf. and Alan Shaddinger, Esq.

April 24, 1992 Preliminary Objections of all Defendants to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.
April 24, 1992 copy to Alan R. Shaddinger, Esq.

Apr. 27, 1992 Praecipe for reargument, filed.

June 9, 1992 ASSIGNMENT OF ARGUMENT DATE, filed. June 5, 1992, argument in the above matter is hereby set for July 13, 1992, at 1:30 p.m., in Courtroom 1. FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm.
June 9, 1992 copies mailed to Anders and copy put in Atty Shaddinger's box.

July 8, 1992 Verifications of defendants to Preliminary Objections Filed on Behalf of Defendants on April 24, 1992 filed.

August 28, 1992 MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. AND NOW, August 28, 1992, the defendants' demurrer is granted, the plaintiff's complaint dismissed and this action dismissed.
BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Aug. 28, 1992 Copy of Order mailed to plaintiff, copy given to Atty. Alan Shaddinger.

Sept. 9, 1992 MOTION TO INCLUDE THE SETTLEMENT OFFER IN THE RECORD, filed.

Sept. 9, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Sept. 4, 1992, the attached settlement offer of Aug. 20, 1992 is made a part of the record pursuant to the request of the Plaintiff.
Although the Court apparently has dismissed this matter on preliminary objections of the Defendants and it would not seem to be appropriate to grant the Plaintiff's relief at this time, there is no strong opposition on the part of the Defendants and therefore the Plaintiff's request is granted. BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
Sept. 9, 1992 copies mailed to Anders & put copy in Shaddinger's box.

Sept. 28, 1992 Notice of Appeal of Plaintiff to the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania filed.

Oct.9, 1992 Notice of Docketing Appeal in the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania Docket No. 2074 C.D. 1992 filed.

June 1, 1993, MEMORANDUM OPINION BY SENIOR JUDGE SILVESTRI AND ORDER certified from the Commonwealth Court of Pa. filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 27 dayof May 1993, the order of the court of common pleas of Crawford County dated Aug. 28, 1992 is affirmed.
Silvestri Silvestri, Sen. Judge

June 15, 1994 Notice of Status Of Petition For Allowance of Appeal and/or Cross-Petition for Extension of Time/or To File Petition For Allowance of Appeal Nunc Pro Tunc filed.

June 15, 1994 Certificate of Contents of Remanded Record and Notice of Remand Under Pa. Rules of Appellate Procedure 2571 and 2572(e) and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 27th day of May, 1993, the order of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County dated August 28, 1992 is affirmed.
Silvestri Silvestri, Senior Judge

Thomas E. Timlin
vs.
Ruth Ann Timlin

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 425
of Record
A. D. 1991 - 975

****

September 29,1995 this complex divorce action is before the Court at this time on a motion to compel enforcement of an agreement and/or bifurcation of the divorce from the economic issues.

The Court's file in this case includes a copy of a motion to withdraw appearance filed by counsel Bruce S. Gelman for Defendant, but apparently the original of said motion was never lodged with the Prothonotary of Crawford County. Accordingly, counsel for the Defendant asks the Court to withdraw said unfiled motion and advises he will continue in representation of the Defendant.

The parties acknowledge that there is a spousal support order in existence in the Allegheny County Courts providing for spousal support in the amount of $250 per month. There is also a petition for alimony pendente lite, counsel fees and costs lodged with the divorce in this case by the Defendant.

The parties, through their counsel, with both parties being present before the Court, agree that the divorce shall be bifurcated and that a divorce may be granted by the Courts of Crawford County; reserving, however, the right for the Courts of Crawford County to act on the Defendant's request for permanent alimony, counsel fees, and costs. The parties and counsel agree that if the Defendant wishes to pursue the request for the permanent alimony, counsel fees and costs that she will petition for the resolution of this bifurcated issue within 30 days of the date the bifurcated divorce decree is granted.

The parties acknowledge that they have reached a written agreement regarding equitable distribution of marital property, which still requires some minor modifications to reflect the exact agreement of the parties. In the event that the Defendant fails to petition for alimony, counsel fees, and costs within the time lifit aforesaid, the parties mutually agree that equitable distribution will be made in accordance with the agreement as modified.

The partioes mutually agree that if the Defendant fails to request a hearing before the Divorce Master within the 30 days period aforesaid, she waives all future right to permanent alimony, counsel fees, and costs.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

10-2-95 Gagleatti mailed Gelman

Donald Francis Cloud
vs.
Mary Lou Cloud

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 321
of Record
A. D. 1991 - 871

June 15, 1992 MOTION TO ENTER ORDER and ORDER, filed. ORDER: And Now, this 12thday of June, 1992, it appearing to the court that:

1. The parties hereto were formerly husband and wife having been divorced on Feb. 27, 1992, by this court at the above number;

2. Donald Francis Cloud, social security number [redacted] hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff/Participant", is employed by PPG Industries, Inc. and is a participant in the PPG Industries Employees Savings Plan ("Plan");

3. Mary Lou Cloud, social security number [redacted], hereinafter referred to as "Defendant/Alternate Payee" has raised claims for, inter alia, equitable distribution of marital property pursuant to the Pennsylvania Divorce Code 23 PS§ 3101, et seq.;

4. Plaintiff/Participant's current and last known mailing address is R.D. 1, Box 200 Lakeview Ave., Conneaut Lake, Pa. 16316;

5. Defendant/Alternate Payee's date of birth is Nov. 14, 1944 and her last known mailing address is R.D. 1, Box 134A, Hartstown, Pa. 16131;

IT IS ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The aforementioned PPG Industries Employee Savings Plan is martial property subject to distribution by the court.

2. Effective June 12, 1992, the entire balance of Plaintiff/Participant's savings plan as of Aug. 31, 1991, with all earnings accumulated thereon is to be segregated to a separate account in the name of the alternate payee. These funds shall be withdrawn and segregated from all three sections of the employee savings plan;

3. The plan to which this order applies is the PPG Employees Savings Plan or any successor plan;

4. The defendant Mary Lou Cloud, shall have the same rights to the account as were available to the plaintiff, Donald Francis Cloud. The amounts ser forth in this order, thus payable from the plan shall be distributed to the Defendant/Alternate Payee as soon as practical after the effective date of this order.

5. Mary Lou Cloud shall promptly notify the plan administrator of any change in her address from that which was set forth above in this order.

6. The parties shall promptly submit this order to PPG Industries, Inc. for determination of its status as a Qualified Domestic Relations Order.

7. The plaintiff shall not encumber, transfer, pledge or in any other way dispose of any portion of the PPG Industries Employee Savings Plan until such time as the account administrators have qualified this order.

8. It is intended that this order shall qualify as a Qualified Domestic Order as defined in section 414 (p) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as amended.

9. The court retains jurisdiction to amend this order as might be necessary to establish or maintain its status as a Qualified Domestic Relations Order.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

June 18, 1992 copy in box for M.A. Kirkpatrick, mailed copy to R. Peterson

Apr. 11, 1994 Consent Decree-Modification of Former Qualified Domestic Relations Order filed.

Now this 11 day of April, 1994, it appearing to the court that:

1)The parties hereto were formerly husband wife, having been divorced February 27, 1992, by this court at the above number.

2)A party defendant, MARY LOU CLOUD, has since deceased and her estate and executrix, TAMMY RALSTON, becomes part of THIS Consent Decree by substitution in that the previous decree was in it's effect a valuable asset of the MARY LOU CLOUD ESTATE, which is filed at 20-93-0381.

3)DONALD FRANCIS CLOUD, social security number, 183-34-0459, hereinafter referred to as Plaintiff/Participant, is employed by PPG Industries, and is a participant in PPG's Pension and Savings Plans.

4)According to our previous qualified domestic relations order, MARY LOU CLOUD (Social Security Number, [redacted] by said Qualified Domestic Relations Order, was a recipient of equitable distribution under Pennsylvania Divorce Code 23, pertinent statutes Section 3101 et. seq., but said Order was never carried out before her death.

5)The parties have ascertained from Plaintiff/Participant's employer PPG Industries, that upon the death of MARY LOU CLOUD under the policies and Federal rules governing such pension plans, that the qualified domestic relations order is impossible to implement b y PPG because the estate and heirs are not defined as an "alternate payee."

6)By the facts in Paragraph 5 above the former QDRO is ineffective, which has necessitated that the parties have reached an agreement to modify the previous order in a fashion which is acceptable under the Federal rules for PPG's Pension and Profit Sharing Plans.

7)As representative of the MARY LOU CLOUD ESTATE, TAMMY RALSTON, Executrix has retained C. BARTON JONES, Attorney at Law, and all correspondence and pleading should be served on Attorney C. Barton Jones at 306 Liberty Street, P.O.Box 218, Jamestown, Pensnylvania; and Defendant's former counsel, MARY ANN KIRKPATRICK, is cooperating with all parties.

8)The Plaintiff/Participant has reached an agreement with the representative of MARY LOU CLOUD's ESTATE to enter into this Consent Decree whereby Mr. Cloud will process a loan against his pension plan in the amount of$7,323.49, to be delivered to the MARY LOU CLOUD ESTATE in full settlement and discharge of his duties and obligations to his former wife and her heirs.

9)It being stipulated that since it is a loan, the former figure of $9,000.00 is no longer necessary, in that there is no penalty or excise tax by this method of making a loan.

10)Mr. Cloud is well aprrised of his options with PPG, and it will be his obligation then to settle his affairs or pay back said loan, and the MARY LOU CLOUD ESTATE will have no further obligationor liability thereon.

IT IS ORDERED JUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

A) That the award of equitable distribution by this court on June 12, 1992, is hereby modified to the effect that the aforementioned PPG Industries Employee Savings Plan as marital property shall be replaced by the agreement between the MARY LOU CLOUD ESTATE and the Plaintiff/Participant to substitute the loan proceeds as stated above.

B) Effective , 1994, the entire balance of the loan which t he Plaintiff participant shall borrow against his savings plan shall be paid upon first receipt to the MARY LOU CLOUD ESTATE, in the amount of $7,323.49.

C) That to the extent that the previous order of June 12, 1992, is inconsistent with this order, it is hereby revoked and made void as impossible.

D) That Mr. Cloud, Plaintiff/Participant, shall do each and everything necessary to achieve the purposes and duties under this Consent Decree.

E) Plaintiff/Participant shall not have done anything to encumber, thansfer, pledge, and any other way dispose of said rights to make such loan from his employer, in that he has executed this Consent Decree below prior to it's submittal before this Honorable Court.

F)It is not intended that this order shall qualify as a Qualified Domestic Relations Order, in that the parties have herein reached full accord and satisfaction as stated above.

G) The court retains jurisdiction to amend this order as it might be necessary to establish or maintain it's status as giving the effect of the equitable distribution in the above matter.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, Judge

4-13-94 Copy of Order to Attys. Jones & Kirkpatrick

	BARBARA J. JODON, now by marriage, BARBARA J. DeROSS Plaintiff	CONTINUED FROM PAGE 379 of RECORD
929.	VS.	A. D. 1991 - 929
	WALTER E. JODON, Defendant	

****

The primary physical custody is subject to the following conditions:

a. When the father is in Crawford County, he may have Lisa Marie Jodon and Stacy Lynn Jodon in his custody for a period not exceeding twenty-four (24) hours, provided that he furnishes the mother at least twenty-four (24) hours notice of the intended visit. Unless the mother and father agree otherwise, these visits shall take place at the home of the father's sister, Lu Ann Knickerbock.

b. Mother shall be entitled to partial custody of Thomas Michael Jodon on the first and third weekends of each month from Friday at 5:00 p.m. through Sunday at 7:00 p.m.

3. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas: Mother shall be entitled to have custody of the subject minor children from December 24th at 12:00 noon through December 26th at 12:00 noon. Mother shall be entitled to this Christmas visitation period in 1995 and all odd numbered years thereafter. In 1996 and all even numbered years thereafter, Father shall be entitled to custody of the subject minor children from December 24th at 12:00 noon through December 26th at 12:00 noon. During the odd numbered years, mother shall be entitled to partial custody of the subject minor children from December 26th at 12:00 noon until noon of the day prior to the resumption of school.

b. Thanksgiving: Father shall be entitled to custody of the subject minor children for Thanksgiving in 1995 and all odd numbered years thereafter. Mother shall be entitled to custody of the subject minor children for Thanksgiving in 1996 and all even numbered years thereafter.

c. Easter: Mother shall be entitled of the subject minor children on Easter Sunday in 1996 and all even numbered years thereafter. Father shall be entitled to custody of the subject minor children on Easter Sunday in 1997 and all odd numbered years thereafter.

d. Custody of the subject minor children, on all holidays not specifically enumerated above, shall be worked out by mutual agreement of the parties.

4. Summer visitation: Beginning with the summer of 1996, Lisa Marie Jodon and Stacy Lynn Jodon shall be in the custody of father for a period not exceed three weeks (which need not be consecutive). Likewise, Thomas Michael Jodon shall be in the custody of mother for a period not to exceed three weeks (which need not be consecutive). Both parents shall give the other party at least 30 days notice of the dates of the summer visits, or visits. The parties agree that to schedule these summertime visits so that they each have all three of the children with them for the three week visits.

5. Transportation of the children that is necessary to carry out the provisions of this Consent Custody Order will be shared equally between the parties. Specifically, the party relinquishing custody of the children shall provide transportation.

6. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

7. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being and no one other than the mother and father shall physically discipline the children.

8. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number. both parents and aunt shall familiarize themselves with the proper procedures to take care of Thomas in the event of an asthma attack.

9. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.

12-1-95 FAXED Keenan & Gregg.

Nov. 6 1997 Petition For Modification of a Custody Order and Order filed. You, Walter E. Jodon, have been sued in Court to obtain custody, partial custody or vistiation of the child: Thomas Michael Jodon.

You are ORDERED to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator Kathleen Nelson, Esquire, for a Mediation Conference. The Mediator shall send you a Notice of the Hearing by mail to the address listed on the complaint or petitioner that has been filed recenntly.

If you fail fail to appear as provided by this Orde,r and Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE ET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP. Court administrator, Crawford county Court House, Meadville, PA 16335 (814) 333-7498.

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990

The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County is required by law to comply withthe Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. For information about accessible facilities and reasonable accommmodations available to disabled individuals having business before the Court, pleae contact the Court Administrator's Office. All arrangments must be made at least 72 hours prior to any hearing or buisness before the Court. You must attend the scheduled conference or hearing.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J

Date: 11-3-97

AND NOW, this 3rd day of Nov., 1997, it is ordered and decreed that the parents in this matter shall satisfactorily complete the Seminar for Separating Parents in accordance with Crawford County Civil Rule L1930. Applications for the sminar may be obtained at the Center for FAmily Services, Inc. at 996 S. Main Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335; the Prothonotary at the Crawford county Court House, or the Court Administrator at the Crawford County Court House.

Application for attendance at the seminar must be made by the Plaintiff or Petitioner within fifteen (15) days after the pleading or petition is filed; and by the defendant or Respondent within fifteen (15) days after he or she is served with the pleading or petition.

THE SEMINAR MUST BE COMPLETED WITHIN FORTY FIVE (45) days after application. failure to attend the seminar MAY RESULT IN SANCTIONS, INCLUDING CONTEMPT OF COURT. A DISMISSAL OF THE ACTION OR A DELAY IN THE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS ATTENDANCE IS WAIVED BY ORDER OF COURT.

If either par ty has any questions about this seminar he/she should consult an attorney or refer to the Crawford County Civil Rules of Court that are available in the Prothonotary's Office and in the Crawford County Law library or contact the Center for Family Services, Inc.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J

11-6-97 Faxed Neslon, Keenan, & Gregg

CONTINUED ON PAGE 472A

1991 TERM

BARBARA J. JODON, now by marriage
BARBARA J. DeROSS
vs
Walter E. JODON

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 472
of RECORD
A. D. 1191-929

****

Oct. 31, 1997 PETITION FOR SPECIAL RELIEF AND ORDER FILED. AND NOW, this 31st day of October, 1997, upon consideration of Petitioner's Request for Special Relief, it is hereby ordered and decreed that the minor child, Thomas Michael Jodon, shall be placed in the primary custody of the Petitioner, Barbara J. DeRoss, pending further Order of Court. Pending further hearing, the Respondent, Walter E. Jodon shall have reasonable visitation and/or partial custody of the minor child Thomas Michael Jodon as agreed to between the parties. The Plaintiff shall upon request pay for Mediation within 7 days.
October 31, 1997, FAXED Atty. Kennan & Gregg

Dec 16, 1997 ORDER: AND NOW, December 15, 1997, after Mediation and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is Ordered and Decreed as follows:

1. Legal custody of the subject minor children, Lisa Marie Jodon, Stacy Lynn Jodon and Thomas Michael Jodon, shall be shared by the Mother and Father, the parties to this action, with each parent entitled to full access to any records relating to the children held by a third party including, but not limited to school records and medical records and each parent shall have equal input regarding important decision affecting the children such as education, religious instruction and medical treatment.
2. Primary physical custody of Lisa Marie Jodon, Stacy Lynn Jodon and Thomas Michael Jodon shall be with their Mother.
3. through 8. (see paper filed)
9. The cost of the mediation conference shall be divided equally between the parties. The deposit paid in advance by the Mother shall first be applied to her share of the costs and the remainder refunded to her, less the cost of the Court's Order.
10. and 11. (see paper filed)

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Jan 15, 1998 Faxed Keenan & Nelson and sent Plf. & Dft
12-16-97 Faxed

Jan. 28, 1998 Certificate of Completion of Seminar for Separating Parents by Barbara J. DeRoss filed.

May 28, 1998 ORIGINAL VERIFICATION TO PETITION TO MODIFY CUSTODY FILED.

May 22, 1998 Petition To Modify Custody, NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR: You, Barbara J. Jodon, now known as Barbara J. DeRoss, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of the child(ren).

You are Ordered to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator Kathleen Nelson, Esq. for a mediation conference. The Mediator shall send you a Notice of the Hearing by mail to the address listed on the complaint or petition that has been filed recently.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

(see paper filed).

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Date : 5-19-98

May 29, 1998 FAXED Attys. Keenan, Nelson, Lindsay.

ORDER AND NOTICE: ANDNOW, this 19th day of May, 1998, it is ordered and decreed that the parents in this matter shall satisfactorily complete the Seminar for Separating Parents in acccordance with Crawford Co. Civil Rule L1930. Applications for the seminar may be obtained at the Center for Family Services, Inc at 996 S. Main St., Meadville, PA 16335; the Prothonotary at the Crawford Co. Courthouse; or the Court Administrator at the Crawford Co. Courthouse.

Application for attendance at the seminar must be made by the plaintiff or petitioner within fifteen (15) days after the pleading or petition is filed; and by the Defendant or respondent within fifteen (15) days after he or she is served with the pleading or petition.

THE SEMINAR MUST BE COMPLETED WITHIN FORTY-FIVE (45) DAYS AFTER APPLICATION ETC. (SEE PAPER FILED).

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 29, 1998 FAXED Attys. Lindsay, Nelson, Keenan.

June 26, 1998 STIPULATION AND CONSENT CUSTODY ORDER AND ORDER FILED. AND NOW, this 26th day of June 1998, upon prsentation and Stipulation and Consent of the parties, attached hereto and after consideration of the same, it is hereby Ordered Directed and Decreed that:

1. Legal custody of Stacy Jodon shall be shared between the mother and the fahter, that is, each shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records, and each sahll be entitled to take part in decisions affecting the child's education, religious instructions, medical treatment and other important decisions.
2. Physical custody of Stacy Jodon shall be with her fahter, Walter E. Jodon, subject to the following condititions:
   a. Visitation between child Stacy and mother shall be at the mutual consent of both parties.
3. The parties agree that Thomas Jodon, age 17, is in the custody of neither party, has quit high school and will move out of state in the month of June to live with his girlfriend.

BY THE COURT
GORDON R. MILLER, J.

July 2, 1998 ORDER FILED. AND NOW, this 1st day of July 1998 it is hereby Ordered and Decreed that the costs incurred for the scheduling of the mediation coference in teh above-referenced matter, in the amount of $85.74, be paid from the deposit held by the Prothonotary. The balance of teh deposit, less any costs for the Court Order, shall be refunded to the Defendant/Father less the sums due under a previous court order in the amount of $84.87.

BY THE COURT
GORDON R. MILLER, J.

7/14/98 FAXED KEENAN & LINDSAY

1991 TERM

SANDY JOHNSON
VS.
DAVE JOHNSON

Continued of Record from Page 348

AD 1991 - 898

****

1. The Defendant shall not go to Little Caesar's Pizza, to Wesbury Home, nor to any place where the Plaintiff is receiving any training for future employment for the purpose of assaulting, abusing, harrassing or threatening her.

He shall avoid having conversatons with her at those places regarding matters concerning the children and if he is frequenting Little Caeser's for the purpose of business with that establishment, and his wife is present, he shall have no conversation with her. It being the Court's intention that any discussions regarding the children take place outside of her work or educational setting.

2. The Defendant shall not call the home of the Plaintiff for the purpose of assaulting, abusing, harassing or threatening her in any manner, but shall only call the home to talk to the children or to discuss matters pertinent to the children's well-being with the Plaintiff. The Defendant is strongly cautioned to limit such phone calls to only necessities in hopes of avoiding future confrontations that will result in further litigation concerning these parties.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

June 15, 1992 Copy of the Order to: R. Christopher Brode, Esq., James R.Irwin,Esq. and County Control.

474

TERM

474

Cora Lee Pope

VS.

BP Oil Company

Record Continued from Page 413

OF

AD 1991 - 963

****

Question 6: State the amount of damages, if any, sustained by the plaintiff as a result of the accident (occurrence), without regard to and without reduction by the percentage of causal negligence, if any, that you have attributed to the plaintiff.

$ 0

Timothy Ketcham
Foreman

Date and Time Verdict was arrived at by the Jury: 14 June 1994 at 4:05 PM.

June 15, 1994 Copy of Verdict Faxed to Attys. Gary Eiben and M. Dan Mason.

June 30, 1994 And now, upon praecipe of Gary Eiben, Esquire, judgemetn is hereby entered upon the verdict, in favor of the Defendant, BP Oil Company, and against Plaintiff, Cora Lee Pope.

Entered 6-30-94
P - 36

JUDGMENT
Barbara H. King, Prothonotary

JOHN S. HOBSON

VS.

MARY K. HOBSON

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 451

OF

AD 1991 - 754

****

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the otehr in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

12. Either party may request a review of this order upon a substantial change of circumstances.

13. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00, are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Paymetn shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

14. The deposit required for the appointment of the custody mediator was made by the father. The deposit shall first be applied against the costs in this case and the mother is ordered to reimburse the father for her shre.

15. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. IF neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

SEpt. 2, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. Wm. Pineo, Gary Fabian, Brian Lindsay; copy mailed to plaintiff and defendant.

Sept. 11, 1992 Request for Hearing De Novo and Order filed. AND NOW, this 10th day ofSeptember, 1992, the above Request for Hearing De Novo is hereby granted and shall be scheudled on 27 day of October, 1992 at 10:45 A.M. at the Crawford County Courthouse in Court room 1 or 2.

For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Sept. 14, 1992 Copies of order put in box for atty's Lindsay, Fabian and Pineo

Oct. 13, 1992 Appearance of Paul J. Carney, Jr. for Defendant, filed.

Oct.23, 1992 Motion to Withdraw Appearance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 23 day of October, 1992, the Motion to Withdraw as Counsel is granted.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Oct. 23, 1992 Copy of the Order to Attys.Pineo, Fabian, Carney, Jr.

Oct 30, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 27, 1992, this matter is before the Court for a de novo hearing requested by the mother. The files in the case give a brief history of the case with the Court noting that at this time the father remains in the marital home as the primary custodian of the two (2) children. The mother has moved to Union City, Pa., where she resides with her mother. The father is a school teacher, and at the present time the mother is unemployed but the records indicate at one time she verbalized a desire to return to shcool, possibly to Edinboro State University, to enhance her employment skills. A divorce is pending and the Court cannot conjecture at this time whether the marital residence now occupied by the father of the children will of necessity have to be sold as part of the property division in the divorce case.

The Court received imput from both councel regardifng the relative position of the parties and the general consensus is that both agree each is a qualified parent and that the children have a genuine affection for both parents. By agreement of the parties the most recent custody Order dated August 27, 1992, will continue in force and effect with the follwoing modifications:

1. Essentially, minor child Josh aged 3 1/2 attends pre-school/day care for the entire day and is undergoing special therapy for a speech problem with intensive care in this speech therapy administered by Cindy Snyder, a specialist on Thursday of each week.

Minor child Joel who is 5 1/2 attends regular kindergarten in a different building for one-half a day and for the remaining half day attends pre-school day care in the same building as Josh.

The mother's postulation is that since the father is employed full tiem in his teaching profession that she should be accorded more extensive visits with her two children during week days rather than have them looked after by pre-school care.

After extensive conferences and input and witha minor issue resolved by the Court where the parties could not agree, the existing Order is amended so that aon Tuesdays of each week when the children are attending school the mother may pick up both children at the day care center at Noon and have them in her exclusive care and custody until 6:00 p.m. at which time she will return them to the father's residence.

2. On Wednesdays, the mother may pick up minor child Josh at 9:00 a.m. at the day care center and for the months of October, 1992 through February, 1993, will return Josh to the day care center at 3:00 p.m. For the months of March, 1993 through June, 1993, on Wednesdays, the mother may pick up child Josh at 9:00 a.m. at the day care center and return the child to the residence of the father at 6:00 p.m.

3. Generally in all other respects the aforesaid custody and visitation Order will remain the same.

4. The Court notes the aforesaid modifications were reached by the parties and their counsel after the Court had expressed its opinion that with the trauma of the divorce and separation the mother's situation regarding future residence and employment is somewhat uncertain and that by June of 1993 the respective positions of both parents should be more certain regarding occupation, residence and future plans than at this time. Accordingly, unless the parties reach a further amicable resolution of the custody issue, the Court DIRECTS that a future review hearing be held after the completion of the 92/93 school year and this Court places on the Crawford County Court Calendar a review hearing to be held June 10, 1993 at 9:00 a.m. before one of the Judges of Crawford County.

There is apparently some confusion about payment of costs in this case and Prothonotary notes attached to the file apparently indicate some error on behalf of the Prothonotary and a recalculation showing that there are costs due by the Defendant/Mother in this case. The Court DIRECTS her to consult with the Prothonotary's Office, ascertain the amounts due and the Court DIRECTS the same to be paid in full with two (2) weeks of this date.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Oct. 30, 1992 copies given to Carney & Fabian

Jun. 9, 1993 Motion to Continue and Order Filed. AND NOW, this 9 day of June, 1993, the review hearing in the above-captioned matter is continued by the consent of both parties to the month of August, 1993. The Court Administrator shall schedule the continued review hearing to occur at a date and time in the month of August, 1993, during the second week of August, 1993, or as close thereto as is reasonably practicable. The prior Order of this Court dated August 27, 1992, shall continue to remain in full force and effect until further order of court.

BY THE COURT
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

June 17, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Carney & Fabian.

Continued on Page 476

JOHN S. HOBSON
VS.
MARY K. HOBSON

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 475
of Record
A. D. 1991 - 754

****

June 15, 1993 Assignment of Review Hearing Date, filed. And Now, June 10, 1993: The Court Administrator having been directed by the Court to schedule a review hearing date in the above matter, hereby sets a review hearing for August 10, 1993, at 9:30 a.m., in Courtroom 2.

FOR THE COURT,
Anna A. Walker, Crt. Adm.

June 15, 1993 copies of order distributed to Carney & Fabian

Aug. 17, 1993, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Aug. 17, 1993, after de novo hearing, the court being satisfied that the best interests of the children are served, we rescind the order of Oct. 27, 1992 entered by Sen. Judge P. Richard Thomas and affirm the order of Aug. 27, 1992, except tot he extent that it is hereinafter modified:

1. We modify Paragraph 3(a) of the orde rof Aug. 27, 1992 so that it provides as follows:

The father shall have pri mary physical custody fo the children each year from 5:00 p.m. Aug. 20 thru 5:00 p.m. the following June 10th. The mother shall have primary physical custody each year from 5:00 p.m. June 10 thru the following Aug. 20 at 5:00 p.m. During the school year when the father has primary physical custody, the mother shall have temporary custody ea ch weekend except for the last full weekend of each month from Fr i. at 5:00 p.m. thru Sun. at 6:00 p.m. A full weekend is defined as one in which Fri and Sat. occur within the same month.

During the summer when mother has primary physical custody ofthe children, the father shall have temporary physical custody every other weekend beginning on the second full weekend following the exchange of custody on June 10th.

Insofar as the exchange for the summer of 1993 has already occurred between the parties based on the previous order in existence, the new exchange dates of June 10th and Aug. 20th shall not come into effect until the summof of 1994.

In addition, to the provisions of the order of Aug. 27, 1992, in recognizing the fact that Joel will not be enrolled full-time in first grade, each Wed. during the school year when the children are in father's primary physical custody, mother may pick up Joel at his school at the end of the school day and Joshua immediately thereafter at the day care center and return both children to the father's residence at 7;00 p.m. that same evening with the exception that due to the likelihood of inclement weather conditions this provision shall not apply during the months of Dec. Jan. or Feb.

As indicated in all other respects, the temporary order of Aug. 27, 1992 is affirmed.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug. 20, 1993, copies to G. Fabian and Paul J. Carney

First National Bank of Cochranton, now by merger Marine Bank
vs.
RobertT.Kincaid and Debra A. Taxacher

Continued from Page 199 of Record

AD 1991 - 749

****

Jan. 5, 1993 Amended Answer and New Matter filed,

Jan. 12, 1993 Memorandum and Order, filed. ORDER; AND NOW, Jan 11, 1993 summary judgment is entered in favor of the plaintiff and against Robert T. Kincaid and Debra A. Taxacher on Count I in the principal amount of $59,162.00 plus interest of $18,135.51 through Dec. 8, 1992.
The plaintiff's motion for summary judgment under Count II of its complaint is denied.
BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

K - 143
T - 44

Debt: $59,162.00 plus interest of $18,135.51
JUDGMENT
Barbara H. King, Pro.

Jan. 18, 1993 copy to C. Swick, J. Millin, W. Walker, A. Pepicelli

Feb. 18, 1993 Praecipe to Discontinue Count II, filed.

Mar. 25, 1993, This above Judgment assigned to Robert R. Kincaid, P.O. Box 415, Greiser Road, Conneaut Lake, Pa. 16316. (see paper filed)

Mar. 25, 1993, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the Judgment on Count I in above case to be marked to the use of Robert R. Kincaid. (see paper filed)

EX 1993 - 24

Apr. 8, 1993, Upon praecipe of William L. Walker, attorney for dft. Robert T. Kincaid, the counterclaim of Robert Kincaid against the plaintiff in the above action, is discontinued of record, without prejudice.

June 3, 1993 at 10:37 A.M. Writing filed wherein Plaintiff rleases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following described property: For more complete description, See Release of Lien filed.

June 3, 1993 Petition of Defendant, Debra A. Taxacher, for a Rule to Show Cause Why the Plaintiff's Assignee Should not Include in the Execution the Property of Defendant, Robert T. Kincaid; for a Fair Contribution Between the Joint Defendants' and for a Stay of Execution; Rule and Order, filed. RULE: AND NOW, this 28th day of May, 1993, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, a rule is entered upon the plaintiff's assignee, Robert T. Kincaid to show cause why he should not include in the execution the real property of Defendant Robert T. Kincaid. By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
ORDER: AND NOW, this 28th day of May, 1993, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, it is hereby Ordered that sale of the real estate levied upon in the execution in this action be and is hereby stayed until further order of this Court.
By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

June 16, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, JUne 16, 1993,at the close of the time allocated to hear this case additional time is required and threfore the case is continued until Friday, June 25, 1993 at 9:00 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No. 1. The parties will be notified as to whether or not the Court can actually hold the hearing on that day because that day is scheduled for criminal jury trials. The parties may receive very short notice as to whether or not the Court came accommodate this case on that date.
In the event that the hearing cannot be held on June 25, 1993 then the case is continued until Wednesday, August 4, 1993 at 9:30 o'clock a.m. inCourtroom No. 1.
BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

June 16, 1993 Copies Dist. to A.Pepicelli, W.Walker & D. Mason

June 30, 1993 Order filed. AND NOW, June 28, 1993, the hearing in this case is continued until August 4, 1993 at 9:30 o'clock a.m. or after the proceedings inthe estate of Dorothy Kincaid at Orphans Court 1993-39 are completed. The court has reserved the entire day of August 4, 1993 to hear this case. If the case is not completed by 4:30 o'clcok p.m. that day then the hearing shall be continued until August 6, 1993 at 9:00 o'clock a.m..
If the hearing is not completed by noonon Friday, August 6, 1993 the proceedings will be terminated and the Court will make the decisionbased upon the information it has at that point.
BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

June 30, 1993 Copies dist. to Swick, Millin, Wlaker, Pepicelli, Ferry, & D. Mason

August 13, 1993 Exhibits filed.

Apr. 11, 1996 Upon Praecipe of M. Dan Mason, Esquire Attorney for Assignee, Robert R. Kincaid, Assignee is discontinued with prejudice and saitsfied of record.

975.

THOMAS E. TIMLIN
Plaintiff
VS.
RUTH ANN TIMLIN
Defendant

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 425
of RECORD
A. D. 1991 - 975

****

Nov. 15, 1995 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E** filed. AND NOW, November 15, 1995, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Thomas E. Timlin, Plaintiff, and Ruth Ann Timlin, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Jan. 23, 1996 Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce filed.

Jan. 23, 1996 Motion for Postponement of Appointment of Master and Payment of Deposit and ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, this 23 day of January, 1996,upon consideration of the foregoing Motion for Postponement of Appointment of Master and Payment of Depoisit, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Plaintiff's motion is granted and the appointment of a master is post-poned for thirty (30) days from the date of this Order.

By the Court:
P.Richard Thomas, S.J.

1-24-96 Faxed Gagliotti,Gregg & Gelman

FOR FURTHER DOCKET ENTRIES SEE COMPUTER 1991 30975 AD 1991 975

1991 TERM

~~Joseph F. Kulwicki~~ withdrawn
Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Walker
R. Charles Thomas

755

Barbara J. Walton

JANET S. BULIK
vs.
CARL F. BULIK

Continued from Page 205
of Record
A.D. 1991 - 755

****

Mar. 22, 1993 Motion to Withdraw Appearance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 22nd day of March, 1993, comes Joseph F. Kulwicki, III, Esq. and files this Motion to Withdraw his Appearance as attorney for the Plaintiff, Janet S. Bulik. Notice has been provided the Plaintiff by the Rules of the Court.

Order filed. AND NOW, this 22 day of March, 1993, Motion granted.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Mar. 24, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Kulwicki, Thomas & Walton.

July 20, 1993 Complaint Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

July 20, 1993 Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) ofthe Divorce Code of Carl F. Bulik filed.

Aug. 23, 1993 Preliminary Objections filed.

Aug. 23, 1993 Motion for Hearing and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 23 day of August,1993, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion, a hearing on this matter is set for the 8 day of September, 1993, at 1:30 o'clock, p.m., in Court Room 1 or 2, at the Crawford County Court House.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro,J.

Aug. 26, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Thomas & Walton

Aug. 17, 1993 Motion to Appoint Master filed.

Aug. 27, 1993 Memorandum filed. AND NOW, August 26, 1993, this matter is before the Court for the third time on the Defendant's motion for appointment of Master in divorce. Once again, this Court must decline to appoint a Master for a variety of reasons.

First of all, while the motion is onthe proper form prescrived by Local Rule 1920.74, there are some inconsistencies in the form and numerous items which have not been properly filled out by the attorney for the Defendant.

More specifically, the Defendant asks the Court to appoint a Master with respect to divorce, alimony, alimony pendente lite, distribution of property, counsel fees, costs and expenses. However, in Paragraph 3(c) it is indicated that the only thing contested is property distribution. The parties were in Motion Court recently with the Plaintiff asking for a hearing with respect to alimony pendente lite, counsel fees and costs and the attorney for the defendant indicated that she didn't think a hearing was necessary on those items because they could be handled at the time of the Master's hearing. Yet, we are unclear as to whether she is requesting that those items be presented to the Master.

Next, we not that Paragraph 3 of the motion for appointment of Master in divorce requires tha tthe inapplicable paragraphs be deleted and currently there remains the paragraph which indicates the action is not contested and the paragraph that indicates an agreement has been reached with respect to the following claims has been left blank. Obviously, there is some information missing that the Court needs to know with respect to these items.

Also, Paragraph 4 does not indicate whether this case involves or does not involve complex issues of law or fact so that the Court can select an appropriate Master.

Paragraph 5 indicates that the hearing is going to take "2" but it doesn't say hours or days.

Paragraph 6 is not filled in with respect to when the complaint was filed and served.

Paragraph 7 says that there are no issues under the pleadings which are not to be referred to the Master which is inconsistent withthe request of Paragraph 3(c).

Paragraph 8 indicates that the form required by Pa. R.C.P. 1920.46 is attached but it is not attached.

Paragraph 10 is not at all filled in and the information that belongs in Paragraph 11 is actually filled in at Paragraph 10.

Finally, with respect to the form, Paragraph 13 isn't filled in at all.

In addition to these problems, we note that because the Plaintiff would not sign an affidavit of consent with respect to a 3301(c) divorce, the Defendant has now filed a complaint under Section 3301(d) and he has filed an Affidavit under Section 3301(d).

Unfortunately, the rules of court don't allow for the Defendant to file a complaint but instead pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1920.15 he may file an answer with a counterclaim for divorce or annulment or he may file a petition raising any claims under the Divorce Code which may be joined with an action of divorce or annulment.

In this case, we don't believe it was necessary for the Defendnat to file even a counterclaim under 3301(d) since the Plaintiff's original complaint raises the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and if the Defendant files an answer admitting those allegations the first portion of the requirements for a 3301(d) divorce under Pa. R.C.P. 1920.42 has been met.

The second portion at that point would be the filing of the 3301(d) affidavit required under Rule 1920.42(a)(2) which the Defendant in this case did file dispite the fact that he did not file an answer admitting the allegations of Plaintiff's complaint with respect to the marriage being irretrievably broken.

However, the 3301(d) affidavit filed was never served as required by Rule 1920.4 and therefore we don't know whether the Plaintiff would admit to allegations in the affidavit or deny one or more of the allegations in the affidavit so that this matter could then proceed pursuant to 23 Pa. C.S.A. 3301(d)(1).

Therefore, at this itme we will return this matter once again to the Prothonotary and either party may praecipe to have a Master appointed once again when all is properly in order.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro

Aug. 30, 1993 Copies dist. to Walton and Thomas

Continued to Page 480

Sep. 8, 1993 Income and Expense Statement of Janet Bulik, Plaintiff,filed.

Sept. 10, 1993 ORDER FILED. AND NOW, September 8, 1993, this matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Petition for Counsel Fees. She had previously requested alimony pendente lite, but concedes at the time of this hearing that she is receiving support in the amount of $640 through the Domestic Relations Section and is, therefore, not entitled to alimony pendente lite.

The hearing proceeds informally and as best we can ascertain, the plaintiff is receiving the $640 per month from the defendant and earns about $225 per month from her employment at Country Fair.

The defendant works for GE in Erie and appears to make around $2400 per month net and may have some investments neeting him up to another $200 per month.

For the purposes of this hearing, we will assume that the plaintiff would be able to earn $600 per month so that her total income would be around $1200 to $1250 per month and the defendant's income after the contribution to the plaintiff for support is somewhere around $1800 to $1900 per month.

An income and expense statement is provided by the plaintiff, indicating expenses well in excess of her monthly income and the defendant does not supply an income and expense statement, but it appears that his expenses are somewhat limited, except that from time to time, he is required to pay 75% of the plaintiff's medical expenses.

It also appears that this divorce is headed toward a Master's Hearing and should be in a posture to proceed in the near future. Both parties indicate that they are willing to be divorced, although the plaintiff apparently doesn't now or never did want that end result.

ACCORDINGLY, we award counsel fees to the plaintiff in the amount of $800, payable as follows:

$200 directly to the plaintiff's counsel on or before September 15, 1993; another $200, payable to plaintiff's counsel on or before September 30, 1993; an additional $200, payable to plaintiff's counsel on or before October 15, 1993; and an additional $200, payable to plaintiff's counselon or before October 20, 1993.

This Order shallnot be deemed to limit a further request for counsel fees nor shall it be deemed to be an final determinat[illegible] it being the Court's intention that the Master can look at the counsel fee situation in anappropriate manner when considering the issues before him or her at the time that becomes appropriate.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Sept. 10, 1993 copies dist. to RCT & Walton.

Oct. 27, 1993, Affidavit of Service of Affidavit Under Sectin 3301(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Dec. 6, 1993 Affidavit of Personal Service, filed. Paul Walton, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and say the he is an adult over the age of eighteen (18) years, that he served the Defendant's 3301 (d) Affidavit of consent, filed in the above matter on Janet Bulik personally by handing to her a true and correct copy hereof and informing her of its contents at Route 18, East Side, Conneaut Lake, Pennsylvania, on December 3, 1993 at 2:00 p.m.

Deponent further avers that at the time of said service the said Janet Bulik identified herself to deponent.

Dec. 10, 1993 Affidavit of Personal Service filed. Paul Walton, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and say that he is an adult over the age of eighteen (18) years, that he served the Defendant's 3301(d) Affidavit of Consent filed inthe above matter on Janet Bulik personally by handing to her a true and correct copy hereof and informing her of its consents at Route 18, East Side, Conneaut Lake, Pennsylvania, on December 3, 1993 at 2:00 p.m.

Deponent further avers that at the time of said service the said Janet Bulik identified herself to deponent. P

Paul Walton

Dec. 14, 1993 Answer To Complaint in Divorce filed.

Dec. 28, 1993 Notice of Intention To Request Appointment of Master filed.

Jan. 6, 1994 Motion for appointment of Master and ORDER APPOINTING MASTER, filed. AND NOW, 1-5,1994, David Pickens, Esquire is appointed Master in respect to the following claims:

Alimony, Equitable Distribution, Counsel Fees, Expenses.

Depost required: By Plaintiff $200.00 By Defendnat $400.00

If not filed already the parties are ordered to file their pretrial statements within thirty (30) days from this date.

Per Curiam,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

1-11-94 Copy of Order to Attys. Walton & Thomas & Pickens

May 4, 1994 Instant Motion for Sanctions for Failure to Pay Deposit for Master Hearing and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 4 day of May, 1994, upon presentation of the Instant MOtion for Sanctions for Failure to Pay Deposit for Master Hearing, it is Ordered that the Plaintiff shall make the required deposit no late than May 16, 1994. And it is further that _____.

By the Court:
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

5-4-94 Faxed to Pickens, Thomas & Walton.

May 13, 1994 Notice of Scheduled Pre-Hearing Conference, filed.

Sept. 9, 1994 Summary Of Pre-Hearing Conference Held On June 1, 1994 at 2:00 p.m., filed.

Sept. 9, 1994 Notice of Scheduled Master Hearing, filed.

Dec. 28, 1994 Affidavit of Consent by Janet Bulik, Plaintiff, filed.

Dec. 28, 1994 Property Settlement Agreement filed.

Dec. 29, 1994 Upon Praecipe of Barbara J. Walton, Esquire, Attorney for Defendant, to transmit record, Case moved to Court.

Jan. 12, 1995 Application For Master's Fee and ORDER filed. AND NOW, upon receipt and review of the Master's Petition for payment of fees, it is ordered as follows:

1. A Master's fee of $97.50 is approved and awarded to the Master based upon 1.5 hours of time legitimately devoted to this case, as represented by the Master in his petition, at $65.00 per hour.
2. The Prothonotary shall pay the same from the deposit of $600 made by the parties.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Jan. 13, 1995 Order FAXED Attys. Thomas, Walton and Pickens.

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 480 (a).....

1991

JANET BULIK

VS.

CARL BULIK

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 480

OF

AD 1991 - 755

****

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Jan. 17, 1995 AND NOW, this 16th day of January, 1995, upon presentation of the Stipulation and Consent of the parties, attached hereto, and after consideration of same, it is hereby ORDERED, DIRECTED AND DECREED that the bonds of marriage between Plaintiff, JANET BULIK and Defendant, CARL BULIK are dissolved because the marriage is irretrievably broken. Plaintiff and Defendant are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, DIRECTED and DECREED, that the terms, provisions and conditions of the Property Settlement Agreement between the parties herein dated August 12, 1994, shall be incorporated in this decree and order by reference as fully as though the same were set forth herein at length, including the following:

1. The marital home shall become the sole and exclusive property of the Husband. The Wife is directed to sign a Quit Claim Deed relinquishing any right to same, at which time Husband shall pay to the Wife the sum of $15,000.00. These monies are in full settlement of any share she may have had in both real estate and personal belongings located in the marital home.

2. The Wife shall be entitled to one-half the marital portion fo the Husband's General Electric Pension Plan as well as one-half the marital portion of Husband's funds invested in the General Electric Savings and Security program by way of Qualified Domestic Relations Order.

3. All other portions of the Property Settlement Agreement dated Aug. 16, 1994 are incorporated herein and the parties are directed to comply with said agreement.

4. This Honorable Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Jan. 23, 1995 Motion For Disposition of Security Fund and ORDER OF COURT filed. And Now, Jan. 23, 1995 upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion it is ordered and decreed that the Prothonotary is authorized and directed to disburse the balance of the security deposit heretofore posted by the parties in the following manner: To the Plaintiff, Janet Bulik, the sum of $151.25, and to the Defendant, Carl Bulik, the sum of $351.25.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Jan. 23, 1995 Order FAXED Attys. Thomas, Pickens, Walton.

Aug. 20, 1996 Domestic Relations Order Re: GE Savings and ORDER filed. IT IS SO ORDERED This 14 day of August, 1996.

Anthony J. Vardaro
Judge of the Crawford County Court of Common Pleas

Aug. 20, 1996 Domestic Relations Order Re: GE Pension Benefits and ORDER filed. IT IS SO ORDERED This 20 day of August, 1996.

Anthony J. Vardaro
Judge of the Court of Common Pleas
Crawford County

480
(b)

1991

Daniel L. Schell III
vs
Pamela A. Mitchell Schell

Continued from Page 340
of Record

AD 1991 - 890

****

Sep. 10, 1993 Petition to Have Respondent Held in Contempt and Notice and Order to Appear filed. Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging that you have willfully disobeyed an Order of Court.

If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with the Court your defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in writing with the Court your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in Court on the 8th day of October, 1993, at 4:00 o'clock p.m. in courtroom no. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

IF YOU DO NOT APPEAR IN PERSON, THE COURT MAY ISSUE A WARRANT FOR YOUR ARREST.

If the Court finds that you have willfully failed to comply with its Order, you may be found to be in contempt of court and committted to jail, fined or both.

9-15-93 Copy of Order to Atty. Kuftic and Deft.

Sept. 20, 1993 Motion for Continuance and Order, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit, this 15th day of September, 1993, the Motion for Continuance is hereby granted, and the hearing on the apetition to Have Respondent Held in Contempt is reschedule sto the 5th day of November, 1993, at 3:30 o'clock p.m. in courtroom No. 1.

FOR THE COURT,
Ann A. walker, Crt. Adm.

Sept. 27, 1993 copy to D. Kuftic

Oct. 25, 1993 Motion To Discontinue Contempt Hearing and Order, filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 20th day of October, 1993, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the contempt hearing scheduled for November 5, 1993, at 3:30 p.m. is hereby discontinued and cancelled. Ann A. Walker, Crt. Adm.

1 copy Atty.

Nov. 4, 1993 Petition To Have Respondent Held In Contempt For Failure To Comply With Court Order, and Order, filed. ORDER: Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging that you have willfully disobeyed an Order of Court.

If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the followisng pages, you may put are not required to file in writing with the Court your defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in writing with the Court your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in Cour ton the 24th day of November, 1993, at 1:00 o'clock p.m. in courtroom No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nxxxxkxxxk993xxcxpyxtxxDxxKxftxc
1 copy atty

Dec. 6, 1993 Stipulation to Settle and Discontinue Contempt Petition and Modify Cu stody Order and ORDER filed. AND now, to-wit, this 3 day of December, 1993, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the provision of the attached Stipulation are hereby incorporated as an Order of Court as if fully set forth herein.

It is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the Plaintiff's Petition for Contempt is dismissed, and the hearing scheduled for November 24, 1993, at 1;00 p.m. is hereby cancelled.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

12-13-93 Copy of Order to Atty. Kuftic & Deft.

Mar. 4, 1997 Petition to Modify Custody Order by Plaintiff and Notice and Order to Appear, and Order and Notice filed. You, Pamela A. Mitchell Sschell, have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody or visitation of the children: Jessica Erin Schell and Daniel L. Schell, IV.

You are ORDERED to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator, John Fuller, Esq., for a mediation conference. The Mediator shall send you a Notice of the Hearing by mail to the address listed on the complaint or petition that has been filed recently.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest. **(SEE FILE FOLDER)**

BY THE COURT
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

3-4-97 DISTRIBUTED Kuftic & FAXED Fuller

ORDER AND NOTICE: AND NOW, this 26 day of February, 1997, it is ordered and decreed that the parents in this matter shall satisfactorily complete the Seminar for Separating Parents in accordance with Crawford County Civil Rule L1930. Applications for the Seminar may be obtained at the Center for Family Services, Inc. at 996 S. Main Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335: the Prothonotary at the Crawford County Courthouse; or the Court Administrator at the Crawford County Courthouse. (SEE FILE FOLDER)

BY THE COURT
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

3-4-97 DISTRIBUTED Kuftec & FAXED Fuller

March 12, 1997 Affidavit of Service filed. Before me, the undersigned Notary Public, personally appeared Dennis G. Kuftic, Esq., attorney for Plaintiff, Daniel L. Schell, III, in the above-captioned case. The affiant deposes and states that he mailed a true and certifeid copy of a Petition to Modify Custody Order in the above-captioned case to Defendant at 282 Meadow St., Meadville, PA 16335, by certified mail, pursuant to Rule 412 of the PA. Rules of Civil Procedure. The original of the return receipt is attached to this Affidavit and made a part hereof.

Dennis G. Kuftic, Esq.

Mar 7, 1997 Certificate of completion of the Seminar for Separating Parents for Daniel L. Schell, filed.

Mar. 26, 1997 Petition to Have Respondent Held in Contempt filed.

Apr. 24, 1997, Certification of completion of Seminar (Pamela Mitchell) filed.

May 2, 1997 Petition to Have REspondent held in contempt and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit this 1 day of May 1997 it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows:

That the respondent is directed to deliver .....

It is further ordered adjudged and decreed that a rule is granted upon the respondent to show cause why she should not be held in contempt for violation of this court's order. Rule returnable the 6 day of June 1997 at 10:00 am CR 2.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

5/2/97 faxed to Kuftic, dist to walton.

Cont on Pg. 485

482

1991 TERM

Oct.30, 1995 Upon Praecipe of Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire Attorney to transmit record, Case moved to Court.

Nov. 1, 1995 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D.**

AND NOW, this 31st day of October, 1995, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Stipulation, this Court hereby directs that:

WHEREAS, the parties hereto have had the opportunity to discuss and negotiate the settlement of all issues raised in Plaintiff's divorce Complaint filed at A.D. 1991-921, and have worked out a settlement which they intend to incorporate into the following Divorce Decree. Further, that Plaintiff, Bob E. Shirk, II, is represented by his counsel, Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire, and Defendant, Sue Ellen Shirk, is represented by her counsel, John P. Gagliotti, Esquire.

1. **DIVORCE**

Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code of Pennsylvania.

2. **EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATION AND DISTRIBUTION**

A. ASSETS

The parties are the owners of several items of personalty which are identified in a list attached hereto as Exhibit "A", and which list comprises all of those items of property that shall become the sole and exclusive property of Defendant, Sue Ellen Shirk. Those items found in Exhibit "B" attached hereto shall become the sole and exclusive property of Plaintiff, Bob Eugene Shirk, II.

In exchange for the transfer to Sue Ellen Shirk of all of those items of personalty identified in Exhibit "A", your Plaintiff Bob Shirk, has agreed to become the co-Administrator with Defendant Sue Ellen Shirk, of two I.D.S. Mutual Fund Accounts at Number 01324474078-6 and Number 001194474078-3, and the proceeds of one Marquette Savings Account at Number 426902-7, for the benefit of Crystal and Adrienne Natale, Defendant's children from a prior union. Your Plaintiff and Defendant shall administer these accounts for the benefit of Crystal and Adrienne, without the need to post bond, until such time as all accounts are reduced to the balance of zero, or, upon the attainment by both of these children of eighteen years of age.

In further exchange for the transfer to Defendant of all of the assets identified on Exhibit "A", Defendant shall transfer to Plaintiff all right, title and interest she has in the former marital residence, by executing a Quit-Claim Deed therto in favor of your Plaintiff within ten(10) days of the entry of this decree. Plaintiff shall then pay to Defendant, within thirty (30) days of the date of this decree, one half (1/2) the equity value of the marital residence, in the amount of $4,423.30. Plaintiff shall also pay to Defendant one half (1/2) of the sale proceeds from the sale of the 1983 Honda 750 motorcycle with the Honda to be put up for sale within ten (10) days of the date of this decree. Plaintiff shall make payment to Defendant upon his receipt of proceeds of sales.

Plaintiff shall be responsible for any and all liabilities incurred by the parties prior to separation on or about July 31, 1994, and shall save and hold Defendant harmless from the same.

Plaintiff shall also provide storage for all of those items identified in Exhibits "A" on behalf of Defendant, but shall not be required to maintain a separate policy of insurance for the stored items. Plaintiff currently has a storage garage in Meadville and pays a monthly fee for that facility. Plaintiff shall pay a fee equal to what he pays for his Meadville storage facility toward renting a separate storage facility for Defendant's items identified in Exhibit "A". Defendant shall pay the difference, if any, between what Plaintiff pays and the actual monthly rental fee for the separate facility. Plaintiff's obligation to store these items shall terminate at expiration of three years from today's date. Defendant's failure to remove the stored items within ten (10) days of the expiration of three years from the date of this decree shall constitute a relinquishment of all right Defendant has in those stored items and Plaintiff shall be entitled to treat those items as his own. Plaintiff shall not unreasonably deny access to the stored items and shall make the same available to your Defendant for removal at any time.

Next, Plaintiff has an ownership interest in a 401 (K) profit sharing available through his employer and part of that interest accrued during marriage to you Defendant. The Defendant's share of that 401 (K) plan shall be the sum of $2,000.00 payable to Defendant within 90 days of the date of this decree, thereby extinguishing any and all interest Defendant has in Plaintiff's 401 (K) plan. Defendant indicates she will roll the payment to her of the 401 (K) deferred income into another form of deferred income account. However, in the event there are tax penalties incurred as a result of Defendant's failure to successfully roll this money over, Defendant shall be liable for and shall pay all tax penalties incurred. Both parties shall cooperate with regard to what is necessary to enable the 401 (K) Plan Administrator to make the $2,000.00 payment to Defendant. Finally, Plaintiff shall also pay to Defendant the sum of $500.00 within Ninety (90) days of the date of this decree.

3. **ALIMONY**

Plaintiff shall pay alimony to defendant in the amount of $675.00 per month starting on the 5th day of the first month following the entry of this decree and shall continue thereafter for a total period of 24 months. Plaintiff is entitled without penalty to prepay up to one fourth (1/4) the amount of installments, representing 6 months or $4050.00 of installments, representing 6 months in calendar year 1995, and is entitled to prepay up to 12 months of installments or $8100.00 in calendar year 1996 and is entitled to prepay the remaining balance for calendar year 1997, or $4,050.00. The parties agree that the entire amount being paid to Wife pursuant to this paragraph is a separate maintenance periodic payment, included and intended to be included with the income of the Wife within the meaning and intent of Section 71 of the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1954 and duductible from the Husband's gross income pursuant to the provisions of Section 215 of the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1954. Wife agrees that all said payments shall be included as income of the Wife in her applicable tax returns and that she shall pay such taxes as may be required by reason at such inclusion.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Laura Newhard
vs
Paul W.Newhard

Continued from Page 5
of Record
AD 1991 - 555

****

Nov. 29, 1994 Motion to Refer Custody Back to Mediator and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 22nd day of November,1994 it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counselshall appear for a mediation conference before the Mediator, John Fuller, Esq., at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse, with the child Custody Mediator as Required by Rule L1915.26A et. seq. of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County,Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

12-1-94 Faxed to Attys. Irwin & Toomey, Fuller & Prather Mailed to Pltf & Deft

Dec. 12, 1994 Appearance of Peters, Lindsay, Lewis & Hatheway by Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire, for Paul W.Newhard, defendant, filed.

Jan. 24, 1995 Motion To Consolidate and Order, filed. AND NOW, this 23rd day of January, 1995, it is hereby ordered and decreed that the custody action filed at 1993-1304 shall be consolidated with the custody count found at 1991-555, and, therefore the Prothonotary's Office is directed to consolidate these two custody actions.

As a result there need only be 1 file. That will be at 1991-555. All future filings shall be at 1991-555.

BY TEH COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan. 24, 1995 Faxed to Irwin, Toomey, Lindsay & Fuller

Jan. 31, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, January 30, 1995 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Justin Michael Newhard shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records,medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the child such as the education,medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject child.

II. Physical custody of Justin Michael Newhard shall be with his mother, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody the last Sataurday and the last Sunday of each month from 11:00 o'clock a.m. on Saturday and Sunday to 7:00 o'clock p.m. on Saturday and Sunday, beginning January 28, 1995. Father's visits shall take place at the home ofhis parents, Carol and Paul Newhard.

B. The father shall be entitled to visit the child every Wednesday at athe mother's home from 6:00 o'clock p.m. to 8:00 o'clock p.m.

C. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter, Thanksgiving and the child's birthday. Custody of the child shall be shared on the aforesaid holidays and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the child shall be in the custody of the mother on the aforesaid holidays until 1:00 o'clock p.m. and in the custody of the father at his parent's home on the aforesaid holidays from 1:00 o'clock p.m. until 7:00 o'clock p.m.

D. Transportation of the child on weekends and holidays shall be equally divided as follows: The parent receiving custody shall provide transportation, either personally or by designating a responsible adult to provide transportation.

E. Neither mother nor father nor grandparents shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent or grandparents, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents and grandparents.

F. Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or well being. Specifically, father shall not consume alcohol or use ullegal drugs at anytime.

G. Both parents and grandparents shall inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the child and both parents agree to furnish the osther with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within ten (10) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference will be divided as follows: One-half (½) - father; One-half (½) - mother. The Prothonotary of Crawford County is hereby directed to refund a portion of the deposits paid in advance by the Plaintiff at the above number and term and at 1993-1304, less the cost of the custody order.

Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Feb. 8, 1995 Faxed Irwin, Lindsay, Fuller, sent to Plf. & Dft.

February 13, 1995, Order Filed. And Now, February 9, 1995 the prior recent order is corrected to provide as follows:

I. Legal custody of Justin Michael Newhard shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the child such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject child.

II. Physical custody of Justin Michael Newhard shall be with his mother, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every other Saturday and every other Sunday of each month from 11:00 o'clock a.m. on Saturday and Sunday to 7:00 p.m. on Saturday and Sunday, beginning February 11, 1995. Father's weekend visits shall take place at the home of his parents, Carol and Paul Newhard.

B. The father shall be entitled to visit the child every Wednesday at the mother's home from 6:00 o'clock p.m. to 8:00 o'clock p.m.

C. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter, Thanksgiving and the child's birthday. Custody of the child shall be shared on the aforesaid holidays and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the child shall be in the custody of the mother on the aforesaid holidays until 1:00 o'clock p.m. and in the custody of the father at his parent's home on the aforesaid holidays from 1:00 o'clock p.m. until 7:00 o'clock p.m.

D. Transportation of the child on weekends and holidays shall be equally divided as follows: The parent receiving custody shall provide transportation, either personally or by designating a responsible adult to provide transportation.

E. Neither mother nor father nor grandparents shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent or grandparents, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents and grandparents.

F. Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or well being. Specifically, father shall not consume alcohol or use illegal drugs at anytime.

G. Both parents and grandparents shall inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the child and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unlesss exceptions thereto are filed by either party within ten (10) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference will be divided as follows: One-half (½) - father; One-half - mother. The Prothonotary of Crawford County is hereby directed to refund a portion of the deposits paid in advancae by the Plaintiff at the above number and term and at 1993-1304, less the cost of the custody order.

Gordon R. Miller, Judge

February 24, 1995, faxed copies to Irwin, Lindsay, & Fuller.

Continued to Page 484

Feb. 24, 1995 Motion to Request Hearing De Novo and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 23 day of February, 1995, the above Request for Hearing De Novo is hereby granted and shall be scheduled for the 6th day of April , 1995, at 1:30 o'clock P.M. in Courtroom 2, at the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville,Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

2-27-95 Faxed to Attys.Lindsay & Irwin

Apr. 13, 1995 Motion to withdraw Request for Hearing De Novo and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 12 day of April, 1995 upon consideration of Defendant's request to dismiss the de novo matter, said motion is hereby granted.

BY THE COURT
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

4-17-95 Faxed to Attys. Lindsay, Toomey & Irwin.

DANIEL L. SCHELL, III
VS.
PAMELA A. MITCHELL SCHELL

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 481
of Record
A.D. 1991- 890

****

Apr 17, 1997 CUSTODY ORDER: AND NOW, April 17, 1997 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custodyof Jessica Erin Schell and Daniel L. Schell, IV shall be shared between the father and the mother, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions decisions affecting the children such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject children.

II. Physical custody of Jessica Erin Schell andDaniel L. Schell, IV shall be with their mother, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody every other weekend from 5:00 p.m. on Friday to 6:00 p.m. on Sunday, beginning April 18, 1997.

IV. The fees and costs in this case which are set in the amount of $219.00 (3½ hrs. x $60.00) and $9.00 court order filing fee are to be paid as follows:

A. The father has deposited with the Prothonotary the requested $150.00 which shall be partly applied toward the fees and costs. The balance of $40.50 shall be returned to the father.

B. The mother shall within thirty (30) days of the date of this order pay the Prothonotary $109.50 representing her share of the fees and costs.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Apr 22, 1997 Faxed Kuftic
May 14, 1997 Faxed Walton & Fuller Sent Plf & Dft

Apr 30, 1997 Request for Hearing, filed.

May 7, 1997 Order Setting Hearing: AND NOW, this 7th day of May, 1997, upon rreceipt of Plaintiff's request for DeNovo Hearing and havign requested thirty minutes for hearing, this matter will be heard at the time set for hearing on Plaintiff's Petition For Contempt, Friday, June 6, 1997, at 10:00 a.m., CR #2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA 16335.

Ann A. Walker, C.A.

May 8, 1997 Faxed Kuftic & Dist. Walton
May 14, 1997 Faxed Fuller

June 6, 1997 Motion for Continuance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 5 day of June, 1997, upon presentation of the Motion for Continuance by the Defendant, Pamela Mitchell, the Hearing on the Petition for Contempt currently scheduled for June 6, 1997 at 10 a.m. is hereby continued generally.

By The Court
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

6-6-97 FAXED Kuftic & DISTRIBUTED Walton

July 29, 1997 Stipulation To Modify Custody Order and Order filed. AND NOW,this 29th day of July, 1997, in consideration of the stipulation of the parties hereto, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the parties' custody order of April 17, 1997, is hereby modified as follows:

1. Legal custody of Jessica Erin Schell and Daniel L. Schell, IV, shall be shared between the father and mother, the Plaintiff and Defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruciton of the subject children.

II. Physical custody of Jessica Erin Schell and Daniel L. Schell, IV shall be with their mother, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody every other weekend from 5:00 o'clock p.m. on Friday to 6:00 o'clock p.m. on Sunday, beginning April 18, 1997.

B. Holidays which shall take precedence over weekend visits shall be defined as Christmas, Easter, Thanksgiving, Father's Day and Mother's Day. Custody of the children shall be shared as follows:

Easter Sunday shall be shared and alternated, and the children will be in the father's custody in 1998 and even years thereafter on Easter Sunday morning until 2:00 p.m. and the balance of Easter Sunday with the mother from 2:00 p.m. and the balance of Easter Sunday with the mother from 2:00 p.m. onward. During odd years, the children shall be within ther mother on Easter Sunday morning until 2:00 p.m. and the balance of Easter Sunday with the father from 2:00 p.m. onward. The parents will share equally the days the children have off school for Easter and/or spring vacation.

The children shall be in the father's custody on Father's Day and with the mother on Mother's Day.

In 1997 and odd years thereafter, the chidlren shall be in mother's custody from Christmas Eve until 12:00 noon Christmas Day and in father's custody from 12;00 noon Christmas Day and for 4 days thereafter and then returned to mother's care.

In 1998 and even years thereafter, the children shall be in father's custody Christmas Eve and until 12:00 noon Christmas Day and in mother's custody from 12:00 noon Christmas Day and 4 days thereafter and thenin the father's custody for the remaining days off school for the Christmas vacation, which days shall include December 30th, 31st and January 1st.

In 1997 and odd years thereafter, the children shall be in mother's custody Thanksgiving Day and in father's custody the Friday and Saturday following.

In 1998 and even years thereafter, the children shall be in father's custody Thanksgiving Day and in mother's custody the Friday and Saturday following.

The father shall be entitled to extended visits withthe children if the father's weekend visitation coincides with a day off school in addition to the above holiday schedule, and the children will be with the mother on any days off school that they have that coincide with the mother's weekends.

C. Beginning in 1998, summer vacation will be shared with the father having the children the first hlaf of the summer and the mother shallhave the hcildren thelast half of the summer. During the summer, the noncustodial parent shallhave the children every other weekend. For the summer of 1997, the parents shall share the summer vacation by alternating two weeks with each parent during the course of the sumer. The father shallhave the first two weeks of vacation beginning on the first full week of summer vacation following the end of the school year and then with the mother for the next two weeks, except in August at which time the father willhave the children the first and last weeks of themonth of August, provided he returns them to the mother on the 29th of August. The mother willhave the chidlren from August 10th through August 24th.

D. Transportation of the children on weekends, holiday and summer visits shall be equally divided between the parents as follows: The parent receiving custody shall provide transportation.

E. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything on the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children toward both parents.

F. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or wellbeing.

G. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the children, and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall be come a permanet order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within twenty (20) days of the date hereof

IV. The fees and costs inthis case which are set in the amount of $219.00 (3½hrs. x $60.00) and $9.00 court order filing fee are to be paid as follows:

A. The father has deposited with the Prothonotary the requested $150.00 which shall be partly applied toward the fees and costs. The balance of $40.50 shall be returned to the father.

B. The mother within thirty (30) days of the date of this order pay the Prothonotary $109.50 representingher share of the fees and costs.

Gordon R. Miller, J

7-29-97 mailed Kuftic & distributed Walton

Con't Page 486

Con't on Page 486

Daniel L. Schell, III
vs
Pamela A. Mitchell Schell

Continued from page 485
of record
AD 1991-890

****

Feb. 9, 1999 Petition to Modify Custody Order Filed. Notice and Order to Appear. You, PaMELA A. Mitchell Schell have been sued in Court to obtain custody, partial custody or visitation of the children: Jessica Erin Schell and Daniel L. Schell IV.
You are ordered to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator, John Fuller, Esq. for a mediation conference. The Mediator shall send you a Notice of the Hearing by mail to the address listed on the complaint or petition that has been filed recently.
If you fail to appear as provided by the Order an Order fro custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

C/o Court Administrator, Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335 (814)333-7498

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990

The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford county is required by law to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. For informaiton about accessible facilities and reasonable accommodations available to disabled individuals having business before the Court, please contact the Court Administrator's Office. All arrangements must be made at least 72 hours prior to any hearing or business before the court. You must attend the scehduled conference or hearing
Date 2/8/99

By the Court
Anthony J. Vardaro

Order and Notice. And Now, this 8 day of Feb, 1999 it is ordered and decreed taht the parents in this matter shall satisfactorily complete the Seminar for Separating Parents in accordance with Crwford County Civil Rule L1930. Applications for the seminar may be obtained at the Center for Family Services, Inc. at 996 S Main St Meadville, PA 16335; the Prothonotary at the Crawford County Courthouse; or the Court Administrator at the Crawford County Courthouse. Application for attendance at the seminar must be made by the plaintiff or petitioner within fifteen days after pleading or petition is filed and by the defendant or respondent within 15 days after he or she is served with the pleading or petition.

The seminar must be completed within 45 days after application. Failure to attend the Seminar may result in sanctions, including contempt of Court, a Dismissal of the action or a delay in the proceedings unless attendance is waived by Order of Court.

If either party has any questions about this seminar he/she should consult an attorney or refer to the Crawaford County Civil Rules of Court that are available in the Prothonotary's Office and in the Crawford County Law Library or contact the Center for Family services, inc.

By the Court
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.