CRAWFORD COUNTY

APPEARANCE DOCKET

BOOK 284
Vol. 2

JUNE 1988

PIRRELLO ENTEPRISES INC.
Scanned June 2017

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Miller & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

551.

John R. Gavin

GEORGIANA HAHN

vs.

EDWIN W. HAHN

Filed June 16, 1988
@ 10:59 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓
2 counts paid 30.00 ✓
Robert Felton Master
Pro Co Pd 10/16/90 - $5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS,AND EXPENSES, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

June 21, 1988 Affidavit Of Mailing Complaint Under Pa. R.C.P. No. 1124(b) filed. Ann Hansen Gamble being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and that on June 17, 1988, she sent a copy of the Complaint in Divorce the defendant by certified mail restricted delivery,postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to Edwin Hahn, R.D. 3, Buxton Road, Titusville, Pennsylvania 16354, the defendants last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto.
Ann Hansen Gamble

June 30, 1988, Appearance of John R. Gavin, attorney for defendant filed.

Oct. 6, 1988 Petition For Special Relief and ORDER Of COURT filed. And now this 6th day of Oct., 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition For Special Relief hearing is scheduled in this matter for the 25 day of Oct., 1988 at 1:30 P.M., Courtroom No. 1, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA. at which time both parties are directed to appear.
For the Court,
Imogene Yetman
Court Administrator

Oct. 10, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Ann Hansen Gamble and John R. Gavin.

Oct. 31, 1988 Motion and ORDER filed. And Now, this 31 day of October, 1988, hearing on Plaintiff's claim for alimony pendente lite, and attorneys fees and court costs is set for the 9th day of December, 1988, at 9:30 o'clock, A.M., in Courtroom #1 or #2 of the Crawford County Court House, in Meadville, Pa.
For the Court:
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator

Oct. 31, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Ann Hansen Gamble and John R. Gavin.

Oct. 31, 1988 Motion to Dismiss Petition For Special Relief and ORDER OF COURT filed. And now this 28 day of October, 1988, It is Hereby Ordered that the Petition for Special Relief filed in this action by the is dismissed.
For The Court,
P. Richard Thomas

Nov. 2, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Ann Hansen Gamble and John R. GAvin.

Dec. 9, 1988 Plaintiff's Monthly Income and Expenses filed.

Dec. 9, 1988 Inventory and Appraisement of Plaintiff filed

Dec. 12, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, December 9, 1988, at the time fixed for hearing on a request for alimony pendente lite and counsel fees, both parties being present in court and represented by counsel, have agreed that the Court enter the following Order:

1. The defendant shall pay to the plaintiff the sum of $100.00 per month as alimony pendente lite, payable on the 10th of each month beginning with the 10th day of December,1988, until further Order of Court.
2. The defendant shall sign the vehicle registration card for a 1983 Chrysler New Yorker presently in the possession of and being used by the plaintiff and shall permit her to continue to utilize that vehicle.
3. An insurance premium covering the vehicle and the homeowners policy on the parties' residence will be due in May, 1989, and the defendant shall pay the premium on such policy at the time the same is due.
4. The plaintiff is carried on certain medical insurance policies available to the defendant both through his employment and through his retirement benefits from a former employer and the defendant agrees that he will continue such insurance in force on behalf of the plaintiff and will cooperate by executing any necessary documentation regarding any insurance benefits due the plaintiff so that she may obtain full benefits available from such medical insurance. As to any portion of medical insurance. As to any portion of medical costs that are not insured, the same will be borne by the plaintiff and the defendant will not be responsible for the payment of uninsured expenses.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 14, 1988 copies of order to Ann Hansen Gamble and John R. Gavin, esqs.

June 6, 1989, Defendants Inventory and Appraisement filed.

July 26, 1989, Motion for Appointment of a Master and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, 7/26/89, ROBERT FELTON is apponted Master in the above matter to hold a hearing and make report upon claims involving: Divorce
Permanent Alimony
Equitable Distribution
Atty. Fees and costs

Deposit required:
By Plaintiff $400.00 By Defendant $200.00

PER CURIAM,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

July 27, 1989, copies to Robert Felton, Ann H. Gamble and John R. Gavin attys.

8/3/89 Recd. #400.00 From Plaintiff
8/8/89 Recd. #200.00 From Defendant
5/21/90 Paid #587.65 To James I. McClerry Ck # 5636
8/30/90 Paid #12.35 To Robert J. Felton, Partial Master Fee Ck # 5819
9/12/90 Recd #844.32 From Plaintiff
9/12/90 Paid #844.32 To Robert J. Felton - Master Fee Ck # 5830

Continued on Page 619

1988

Mahany, Roeder & Smith
Barbara L. Smith

552.

BOROUGH OF CENTERVILLE

vs.

RAYMOND E. LEWIS AND
LINDA L. LEWIS

Filed June 16, 1988
@ 11:09 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's costs 36.20

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

June 29, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Linda L. Lewis within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Summons to her on June 27, 1988 at 11:06 a.m. at her place of residence, Rt. 8, South Erie St., Centerville, Crawford Co. PA.

SERVED Raymond E. Lewis on June 27, 1988 at 11:07 a.m. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Linda L. Lewis, adult member of his family, namely his wife, at their residence, Rt. 8 South Erie St., Centerville, Crawford Co. PA.

Ao snwers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

(See above sheriff's return at EQ 1988 - 9)

June 29, 1988, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is transferred from the Court of Law to the Court of Equity at Equity 1988 - 9.

1988

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs PC
Alan L. Pepicelli

553.

Bozic & Thomas
R. Charles Thomas
for dft Shartle

Gordon R. Miller
Ralph E. Loper

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
E. Max Weiss
Union Township

CONNIE S. CAUVEL

vs.

RALPH E. LOPER, JOHN A. SHARTLE, AND ~~UNION TOWNSHIP~~ add'l dft

vs.

~~Calvin~~ L. Ernest and add'l dft
~~Marcia~~ L. Ernst, husband and wife, add'l dft.

Filed June 16, 1988
@ 4:21 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50	✓
Pro Co Pd (Cert.) 8-14-89	1.00	✓
Sheriff's Costs	40.00	
Sheriff's Costs	24.00	
Pro Co pd 4-20 89	6.00	✓
Pro Co pd 7-12-89	6.00	✓
Pro Co Pd 7-19-89	1.00	✓

✓ COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

3 copies (sheriff)

(JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)

✓ July 5, 1988 Appearance of Bozic & Thomas by R. Charles Thomas for John A. Shartle filed.

✓ July 25, 1988 Answer and New Matter of Defendant Ralph E. Loper filed.

✓ July 22, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by E. Max Weiss for Union Township filed.

✓ July 22, 1988 Upon praecipe of E. Max Weiss, Esq. a Writ of Summons issued to Join Additional Defendants (Writ Issued)

✓ Aug 22, 1988 Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

✓ Aug. 23, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Ralph E. Loper personally by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on June 20, 1988 at 3:59 PM at 499½ Walnut St., Meadville, Crawford Co. Pa.
SERVED John A. Shartle personally by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on June 22, 1988 at 12:10 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.
SERVED Union Township on June 23, 1988 at 2:40 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Sam H.Barco, Secretary, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. C ourthouse, Meadville, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

✓ Aug. 23, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Calvin L. Ernst within named Additional Defendant on August 18, 1988 at 11:12 AM by handing a true copy of the within Writ to Join Additional Defendants to Marcia L. Ernst, adult member of his family,namely his wife, at their residence R.D.# 5, Meadville, Crawford Co. Pa.
SERVED Marcia L. Ernst personally within named Additional Defendant by handing a true copy of the within Writ to Join additional Defendants to her on August 18, 1988 at 11:13 AM at her residenc e, R.D.# 5, Meadville, Crawford Co. Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

✓ Aug. 31, 1988 Reply To New Matter Of Defendant Loper filed.

✓ Sept. 6, 1988 Answer and New Matter of Defendant, Union Township filed.

✓ Oct. 5, 1988, Plaintiff's Reply to New Matter of Defendant Union Township filed.

✓ Oct. 12, 1988 Oral Depositions of: John A. Shartle and Ralph E. Loper filed.

✓ Dec 22, 1988 Requests for Production of Documents Directed to Defendant (First Set) filed.

✓ Dec 22, 1988 Requests for Production of Documents Directed to Defendant Shartle, (First Set) filed.

✓ Dec 22, 1988 Requests for Production of Documents Directed to Defendant Union Twp., (First Set) filed.

✓ Dec 22, 1988 Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

✓ Dec 22, 1988 Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

✓ Dec 22, 1988 Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

✓ Dec 22, 1988 Answers to Interrogatories to Plaintiff filed.

✓ Feb 7, 1989 Certificate of Readiness filed.

On April 1989 Trial List

✓ Mar 17, 1989 Notice of Deposition of Guy A. Catone, D.M.D. filed.

✓ Mar 28, 1989 Motion to Continue and Order filed: AND NOW, this 27 day of March, 1989, upon consideration of the within Motion, the within case is continued until the June term of Court. BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.
Mar 31, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Pepicelli, Thomas, Miller and Weiss.

✓ Mar 29, 1989 Motion for Entry of a Discontinuance of Action as to the Defendant Union Township and Additional Defendants, Calvin L. Ernst and Marcia L. Ernst and Order filed: AND NOW, this 29 day of March, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, it is hereby ordered and decreed that the Prothonotary shall enter a discontinuance of the above-captioned action as to the Defendant, Union Township and as to the Additional Defendants, Calvin L. Ernst and Marsha L. Ernst, Husband and Wife.
By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.
Apr 3, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Pepicelli, Thomas, Miller, Weiss.

✓ June 2, 1989, Motion for Continuance and Order filed. ORDER: June 2, 1989, Motion denied. Robert L. Walker, J.
June 6, 1989, copies of order to A. Pepicelli, R. C. Thomas, G. Miller, & E. Weiss.

Continued on Page 6/5

4

1988

Jorden & White
William T. Jorden

554.

EDNA KNUTH

vs.

HELEN MAZIARZ AND
THADDEUS MAZIARZ

Filed June 16, 1988
@ 4:27 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co Paid 7/18/88 6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

July 15, 1988 Upon Praecipe of William T. Jordan, Atty for Plaintiff, this case is marked Settled and discontinued of record.

Oct. 17, 1988, Writ Returned. Summons returned to Prothonotary Oct. 17, 1988. No instructions, no check.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

James R. Irwin
Shafer, Swick,
Bailey, Irwin &
Stack

555.

GERALD ZAKOSTELECKY

vs.

CHRISTINE A. ZAKOSTELECKY

Filed June 17, 1988
@ 9:28 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

PETITION FOR CUSTODY (UCCJA)

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

June 17, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 17 day of June, 1988, it is ordered that all parties inthe above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the mediator, JOHN FULLER, at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse with theChild Custody Mediator as required by Rule 31(e) ofthe Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

It is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the court, the aforesaid minor child shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 27, 1988 Verification Of Service filed. I, RAINER EATON, DO hereby verify that I am an adult individual over the age of eighteen (18) years; that on the 23 day of June, 1988, I did personally serve a true and correct certified copy of the Custody Petition filed at the above term and number, upon the Respondent, Christine A. Zakostelecky, at 4:45 P.M. at her place of work, located at Shear Perfection by Dallas, Park Avenue Plaza, Meadville, Pennsylvania; and that I make this verification with notice that willful false statements are punishable as perjury under §4904 of the PA Crimes Code, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Rainer Eaton

DATED: June 23, 1988

1988

Louis P. Vitti .
Louis P. Vitti & Assoc

556.

J. Alan Johnson
for United State
of America

FIRST INVENTMENT COMPANY

vs.

FRANCIS E. ERVIN AND
NANCY L. ERVIN, HIS WIFE
United State of America, Party Dft.

Filed June 17, 1988
@ 10:42 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's costs 61.00
Sheriff's Costs 38.00

Pro Co Pd 10-28-88 - 9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 10-27-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

July 21, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Robert Barner who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 11 day of July, 1988, at 8:30 P.M., he served Complaint filed at AD 1988-556, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Nancy L Ervin at 1140 Preston Rd., North Versailles, by making known the contents thereof to Frances and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the received from Crawford.

Robert Barner, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Robert Barner, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 11 day of July, 1988, at 8:30 P.M., he served Complaint filed at AD 1988-556, Crawford Co., Pennsylvania upon defendant Francis E. Ervin at 1140 Preston Rd., North Versailles by making known the contents thereof to Francis and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the received from Crawford.

Robert Barner, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

SERVED Francis E. Ervin, within named defendant, by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County as per his affidavit of service attached. Served July 11, 1988.

SERVED Nancy L. Ervin, within named defendant, by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, as per his affidavit of Service hereto attached. Served July 11, 1988.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 17, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

Aug. 17, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, United State of America is added as a party Defendant.

Aug 17, 1988 Amended Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure filed.

Sept. 2, 1988 Certification Of Service filed. I, Louis P. Vitti, Esquire, hereby certify that a true and correct copy ofthe AMENDED COMPLAINT was served upon Defendants, FRANCIS E. ERVIN and NANCY L. ERVIN, his wife, on the 30th day of August, 1988, by United States Mail, postage prepaid. Louis P. Vitti, Esq.

Sept. 27, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority personally appeared James Nelson, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 9 day of Sept., 1988, at 10:15 AM., he served Complaint & amended comp. Mtg. Fore. at No. 556 term, 1988, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant, United State Of America at 633 U.S. Post Office & Courthosue Pgh. Pa. By making known the contents threof to Scott Kanary - criminal dockets and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the complaint received from crawford.

So answers James Nelson, deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. coon, Sheriff

SERVED The United States of America by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, PA, as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Sept. 9, 1988.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart,Sheriff

Oct. 17, 1988 Answer Of Defendant United States Of America filed.

And Now, Oct. 20, 1988 at 11:29 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Consent Judgment hereby entered as follows: AND NOW, to-wit, this 21st day of Oct. it appearing that counsel for the plaintiff and counsel for defendant, U. S. of America, have consentted to the entry of the within order on behlaf of their respective clients, it is HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a judgment be entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the U. S. of America for foreclosure of mortgage of plaintiff in the within cause and for sale of the mortgaged property of defendants Francis E. Ervin and Nancy L. Ervin.

It is further, ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the dft. United States of America, shall be notified by plaintiff of the time, date and place scheduled for nay sheriffs sale of the real property of the aforesaid defentants; that the U. S. of America shall be entitled to payment from the proceeds of the sheriffs sale to the extent its proper priority would entitle it to the same; and that the U. S. of America shall be entitled to redeem the aforesaid property with 120 days from the date of sale, as provided by 28 U.S.C. §2410.

Nothing contained in the within order shall, in any way, be construed as entry of a monotary judgment against the United States of America, but rather said judgment is limited to the foreclosudre and sale of the real estate of the aforesaid defendants in the within proceeding.

Judgment entered 10/21/88
Consented to by:
Louis P. Vitti, Esq.
attorney for plaintiff
Mary BethKotcella, Esq.
Attorney for U.S. of A.
U - 183

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Continued on Next page.....

AND NOW, October 27, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney with Affidavit of Non-Military Service attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants, **FRANCIS E. ERVIN AND NANCY L. ERVIN, HIS WIFE**, in default of an Answer in the amount of Seventeen Thousand Twenty-Nine and 62/100 Dollars together with interest and costs.

E-64, 124

Debt.....#17,029.62 + interest & costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Oct 31, 1988 Notice of Judgment mailed to all defendants.

EX1988-100

1988

Quinn, Gent, Buseck, and Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

557.

Jack, Kookogey & Felton
Robert J. Felton

SAINT VINCENT HEALTH CENTER

vs.

LAWRENCE L. HARVEY AND PEGGY JEAN HARVEY

Filed June 17, 1988
@ 10:48 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	34.30
Pro Co pd 9/9/88	5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

June 30, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVEd Lawrence L. Harvey personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on June 27, 1988 at 11:24 a.m. at his residence Banks Street, Hydetown, Crawford Co. PA.

SERVED Peggy Jean Harvey personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on June 27, 1988 at 11:23 a.m. at her residence, Banks Street, Hydetown, Crawford Co. PA.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 12, 1988 Answer and New Matter filed.

Aug. 1, 1988 Acceptance of Service filed. AND NOW, this 27 day of July, 1988, I, LAWRENCE C. BOLLA Attorney for the P laintiff, SAINT VINCENT HEALTH CENTER, in the within action, do hereby certify that I have received a certified copy of the answer of the Defendants, LAWRENCE L. HARVEY and PEGGY JEAN HARVEY, on July 27, 1988. I certify further that I am authorized to accept service on behalf of Plaintiff on this case. Lawrence C. Bolla

Sept. 8, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Quinn, Gent, Buseck and Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

558.

SAINT VINCENT HEALTH CENTER

vs.

PATRICIA R. BRANDON AND
RODNEY L. BRANDON

Filed June 17, 1988
@ 10:50 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	$44. 15
Pro Co pd 8/11/88	9.00
Pro Co Pd 12-30-88	5.00

COMPLAINT - CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

July 14, 1988 Sheriff's Return Filed. SERVED Patricia R. Brandon personally the within anmed defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on july 1, 1988 at 11:39 AM at her residene, RD #2 Spartansburg, Crawford Co. Pa 16434. SERVED Rodney L. Brandon, Within named defendant on July 1, 1988 at 11:4 AM by handing a true copy of the within copmplaint to Patricia R. Brandon, Adult member of his family, wife, at their residence , RD #2 Spartansburg, Crawford Co., PA 16434.

So Answers,
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Aug. 11, 1988 at 11:37 a.m. upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Six Hundred Fourteen and 53/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
B-134-144 Debt $614.53
judgment entered 8/11/88 Int. from June 13, 1988
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Dec 30, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, this judgment, debt, interest and costs are hereby discharged of record.

1988

Dennis G. Kuftic
Devine & Kuftic

559.

TY K. VROMAN

vs.

LEANNE M. VROMAN

Filed June 17, 1988
@ 2:55 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$40.50
Pro Co. Pd 2/1/89	9.00
Pro Co pd 6/27/97	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

2 copies (atty)

Aug 9, 1988 Acceptance of Service filed: I, Leanne M. Vroman, the Defendant in the above-captioned matter, hereby certify that on the 1st day of July, 1988, I was duly served a true and attested copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed at the above term and number.
Leanne M. Vroman

Feb. 1, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 1, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 1, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for review under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Feb. 6, 1989 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, Feb. 6, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that TY K. VROMAN, Plaintiff, and LEANNE M. VROMAN, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of the following claims which have been raised of record in this aciton for which a final order has not yet been entered: None

BY THE COURT,:
Robert L. Walker, J

June 27, 1997 Notice of Intention To Retake Prior Name filed. LeAnne M. Vroman TO BE KNOWN AS: LeAnne M. Clark

1988

Bozic and Thomas
David P. Truax

560.

MARGARET J. BISHOFF

vs.

JOHN W. BISHOFF

Filed June 20, 1988
@ 11:14 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 9/8/88 5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

June 24, 1988 Affidavit Of Service OF Complaint In Divorce filed. JOHN FURNO, an adult and sui juris, having been duly sworn, according to law, deposes and says that he personally served JOhn W. Bishoff the above named defendant, with a true and attested copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed in the above action, said personal service having been made upon the defendant at 11:40 a.m. on Tuesday, June 21, 1 1988, at Meadville Medical Center (Grove Street) HELP Unit. John Furno

Sept. 7, 1988, Motion to Discontinue Divorce and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, 9/7/88, Granted.
P. Richard Thomas, J.
Sept. 8, 1988, copy of order to D. Truax, Esq.

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs PC
Christopher Youngs

561.

CHRISTINA LEE MOORE

vs.

MICHAEL DAVID MOORE

Filed June 20, 1988
@ 3:52 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 39.70

PETITION FOR RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

2 copies (atty)

TEMPORARY ORDER:

AND NOW, June 20, 1988, upon presentation of the attached Petition for Protection from Abuse, it is hereby ordered that a hearing on said Petition shall be held on June 29, 1988, at 10:00 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 Crawford county Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. The defendant Michael David Moore, is hereby ORDERED AND DIRECTED to appear at said hearing. In the event he fails to appear, he is instructed that a bench warrant shall be issued for his immediate arrest and imprisonment.

Until further order ofthis court, the defendant is prohibited from abusing or threatening to abuse the plaintiff. Defendant is further prohibited from having any contact whatsoever with the plaintiff, is prohibited from entering upon the premises of plaintiff's residence, is prohibited from entering upon the premises of the residence of plaintiff's mother, Betty J. Minnick, and is prohibited from attempting to locate plaintiff by going upon the property of any of plaintiff's relatives.

Further, the defendant is expressly prohibited from having any contact whatsoever with the plaintiff until further order of court.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

June 30, 1988 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, June 29, 1988, the case is continued generally until resolution of the anticipated criminal charge and if the same does not materialize without prejudice to the right of each party to request a further he aring on the present petition.

this general condition is granted on the condition and with the agreement of the Defendant that he will not contact his wife, threaten her,harass or annoy her, or procure others to do so on his behalf. Likewise, continuance is granted without any admission on th epart of the defendant that he committed the acts alleged.

A divorce has been filed by the husband but languishes because of inadequate funds due to the husband's unemployment. The parties are advised and recognize that the resolution of the custody issue cannot finally be determined inthe abuse action and the parties are advised that if custody or visitation is an issue for resolution, either party can file an independent custody action or a custody action under the pending divorce action to have the matter referred to a Custody Mediator for resolution.

Costs will abide the final decision in this case.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

July 1, 1988 Copies of order to Christopher Youngs and Gerald Sullivan

Aug. 17, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority personally appeared Robert J. Wenner, Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 23rd day of June, 1988, at 10:45 A.M., he served Protection from Abuse, Petition and Order, filed at No. AD 561 Term, 1988, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant MICHAEL DAVID MOORE, at his place of visitation, Sheriff's Department, Franklin By making known the contents thereof to Michael D. Moore, in person and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Protection from Abuse, Petition and Order received from cRawford County Sheriff, Howard N. Stewart,

So answers,
Robert J. Wenner, Deputy Sheriff
Gene Price, Sheriff

SERVED Michael David Moore within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango County, PA as per his affidavit of service attached. SErved: June 23, 1988.

So answers,
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

562.	GINA GUERRINI vs. JAMES A. ADAIR Filed June 21, 1988 @ 10:04 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓	APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-2-01 June 21, 1988, Rule Entered Upon plaintiff to file complaint issued. June 22, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

1988

Emil M. Spadafore Jr.

563.

MARINE BANK

vs.

JOSEPH L. HASSINGER AND
SUZANNE M. HASSINGER d/b/a
SUE'S CAFE

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

DSB 1983 -540

Writ of Revival indexed in judgment index in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants in the amount of Eight thousand Nine Hundred Thirty Eight and 82/100 Dollars with interest from Sept. 27, 1982.

Debt --- $8,938.82
Int. from 9/27/82
H - 93, 153
S - 186 - - JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL.
James F. Simmons
Issued (sheriff)

Filed June 21, 1988
@ 1:47 p.m.

Pro Simmons Paid $15.00

Sheriff's Costs 24.00
Pro Co. pd 8/11/88 9.00
Pro Co. pd 3-9-93 5.00

June 27, 1988 Writ Returned: SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Joseph L. Hassinger personally the within named defendant by handing a ture copy of the within Writ of Revival to him on June 22, 1988 at 10:11 A.M. at his place of business, Tanning Salon, Chestnut Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED by mailing by certified mail, June 22, 1988, a true and attested copy of the within Writ of Revival to Suzanne M. Hassinger, P.O. Box 333, Ellicotville, New York 14731.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

and Now, Aug. 11, 1988 at 3:02 p.m. upon praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney with Notice of Intention to File default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to respond and the damages are assessed at the sum of Eight Thousand Nine Hundred Thirty-eight and 82/100 plus intered and cost of suit.

H-93-153; S-186
judgmented entered 8/11/88

Debt $8,938.82
Int. from Sept. 27, 1982
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

March 9, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff, Marine Bank, this judgement marked absolutely and irrevocably satisfied.

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

564.

CULBERTSON, WEISS, SCHETROMA
& SCHUG
E. Max Weiss

DOUGLAS E. RUBY AND
MARLENE F. RUBY, HIS WIFE

vs.

STACY L. LITWILER AND
DAVID K. LITWILER

Filed June 21, 1988
@ 4:26 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	28.00
Pro Co pd 4/5/90	6.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

July 7, 1988 Writ Returned: SERVED by mailing by certified mail, June 25, 1988, a true and attested copy of the within Summons to Stacy L. Litwiler, R.D.#1, Rt. 6, Pierpont, Ohio 44082, return receipt attached to return.

Served by mailing by certified mail, June 25, 1988 a true and attested copy of the within Summons to David k. Litwiler, R.D.#1, Rt. 6, Pierpont, Ohio 44082, return receipt attached to return.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff.

Aug. 4, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by E. Max Weiss, Esq. for Defendant filed.

July 21, 1989, Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

Oct. 31, 1989 Answer Of Stacy L. Litwiler and David K. Litwiler Plaintiffs Compaint filed.

Apr. 3, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Joel E. Hausman
Apple and Apple

565.

Bozic & Thomas
David P. Truax

S. A. WAGNER AGENCY, INC.

vs.

MR. DAVID BODOSKY
i/a/t/a/d/b/a
FASSETT'S RESTAURANT

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

July 5, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED David Bodosky, i/a/t/a/d/b/a Fassett's Restaurant on June 24, 1988 at 1:58 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Barbara dAvis, person in charge at his place of business, 140 S. 4th Street, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA 16316.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Filed June 22, 1988
@ 11:13 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	20.50
Pro Co Pd 9/12/88	9.00
Sat paid 10/17/88	5.00

And Now, Sept. 12, 1988 at 1:48 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with default notice attached, Judgmentis hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for want of an answer in the amount of Three Thousand Six Hundred Thirty One and 65/100 Dollars. (includes Int. from May 21, 1988 to Sept. 8, 1988 on $3,234.00 of $58.08)
Judgment entered - 9/12/88
B - 46
F - 185

Debt ---$3,631.65
Int. from 9/8/88
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Sept. 15, 1988 Notice of entry of Judgment mailed to defendants.

Sept. 20, 1988 Appearance of Bozic & Thomas by David P. Truax for Defendant filed.

Sept. 21, 1988 Petition To Open Judgment Entered By Deault Pursuant To Rule 237.1 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure and Order filed. AND NOW, September 21, 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing Petition, a Rule is granted onthe Plaintiff to show cause, if any it has, why the aforesaid judgment should not be opened, the Defendant permitted to enter a defense and the attached Answer and New Matter authorized to be filed with the Verification to be executed by Defendant's counse; said hearing to be held onthe 18 day of Oct., 1988 at 3:30 o'clock p.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2 at the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Sept. 22, 1988 Copies of order to Joel Hausman and David Truax

Oct. 5, 1988, Plaintiff's Answer to Defendant's Petition to Open Judgment filed.

Oct. 17, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned judgment is marked satisfied of record.

1988

Bruce A. Barrett

566.

Alan R. Shaddinger

FREDERICK L. COREY
Appellant

vs.

THE ZONING HEARING BOARD OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE
Appellee

Filed June 22, 1988
@ 3:13 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

NOTICE OF APPEAL

June 22, 1988 Certiorari Issued; accepted on June 23, 1988 by R. Charles Thomas, acting chairman of Zoning Hearing Board of City of Meadville.

Nov. 28, 1988, Certiorari Returned. The plea above mentioned, with all things touching the same, so full and entire as before me they remain, I hereby respectfully certify and send, together with this writ, as above I am commanded.

Alan R. Shaddinger, Esq.
for Zoning Hearing Bd. of City of Meadville

(Record of Proceedings before the Zoning Heardin Board of the City of Meadville Relating to the Application of Frederick L. Corey for special Exception under the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Meadville, attached)

Dec. 2, 1988 Petition of City of Meadville to Intervene in Support of Decision Below and Order filed: AND NOW, this 2nd day of Dec., 1988, upon consideration of the Petition of the City of Meadville to Intervene in Support of the Decision Below, The Petition is granted and the City of Meadville is hereby permitted to intervene as a party respondent or appellee in this appeal.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec 6, 1988 Copies of order to Bruce Barrett, Esq. and Alan Shaddinger, Esq.

Apr. 17, 1989 Upon praecipe of Appellee Attorney the above appeal is placed on the next argument list.

1989 May ARgument list

May 31, 1989, ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 30, 1989, the court being of the opinion that proper consideration ofthe zoning appeal requires the presentation of additional evidence, upon motion of the appellant and in accordance with 53 P.S. 11010, and Section 1005-A of Act. 1988 - 170, an evidentiary hearing is scheduled for June 22, 1989, at 1:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 2, Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. at which any party may present additional evidence.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

May 31, 1989, copies to Attys. B. Barrett and A. Shaddinger (box)

June 14, 1989 Motion to Continue Hearing and Order filed: AND NOW, this 14 day of June, 1989, upon consideration of the Motion of the Zoning Hearing Board of the City of Meadville, the hearing scheduled for June 22, 1989 is continued to June 23, 1989 1:30.

P. R. Thomas, J.

June 16, 1989 Copie put in attys. Shaddinger and Barrett's boxes.

June 26, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, June 23, 1989, appellant will file a brief on or before July 7, 1989 and the appellee on or before July 21, 1989.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

June 27, 1989 Copies put in Bruce Barrett, and Alan Shaddinger's box.

Oct. 23, 1989, OPINION AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, October 23, 1989, the appeal of Frederick L. Corey from refusal of request for special exception is denied.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Oct. 23, 1989, copies put in attorneys Barrett and Shaddinger boxes.

Oct 23, 1989 Exhibits filed with papers.

1988

Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman, Berlin, May, Walsh and Brabender
Thomas S. Talarico

567.

IN THE MATTER OF:

CHANGE OF NAME
OF
ROBERT A. PERKINS

Filed June 23, 1988 @ 10:42 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $5.00
Bal. filing fee Pd 6-28-88 35.50

PETITION FOR CHANGE OF NAME

1 copy (atty)

ORDER:

NOW, this 24th day of June, 1988, upon Motion of Thomas S. Talarico, attorney for the above named petitioner, it is ordered and decreed that the within Petition be heard on the 25th day of August 1988, at 9:00 a.m. in Court Room 2, and that notice of the filing of the within Petition and of the aforesaid date of hearing be published inthe Crawford County Legal Journal and the Meadville Tribune. P. Richard Thomas, J.

Aug. 25, 1988, Proofs of Publication from Tribune and Crawford County Legal Journal and Non Lien Certificate of Prothonotary filed.

Aug. 25, 1988, ORDER OF COURT filed. NOW, this 25th day of Aug. 1988, upon hearing of the within petition to change name and upon motion of Thomas S. Talarico, atty. for petitioner, and upon presentation of Proof of Publication of Notice as required by law, together with proof that there are no judgments or decrees of record or any other matter of like effect against the petitioner, and it appearing that there is no legal objection to the granting of the prayer of the Petition,

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED, that the name of the petitioner be, and it is hereby changed to ROBERT A. KNOWLTON.

Robert L. Walker,
Judge.

Aug. 30, 1988, certified copy of order to Atty. Talarico.

1988

Barbara J.Walton

Brian J. Lindsay

Peterson & Gula
Richard A. Peterson

568.

Anthony J. Vardaro
R. Charles Thomas

JOHN S. EAKIN

vs.

PATRICIA L. EAKIN

Filed June 24, 1988
@ 11:39 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Proto pd 3-14-95 30.00
Users fee pd 3-14-95 5.00

✓COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

✓July 27, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

✓July 27, 1988 Revised Notice to Defend and Claim Rights filed.

✓August 16, 1988 Appearance of Anthony J. Vardaro for Patricia L. Eakin filed.

✓Aug. 26, 1988 General Appearance filed. Please enter my General Appearance in the above-described divorce action. I hereby accept service of and acknowledge receipt of a true and correct copy of the original Complaint in Divorce filed in this action.
Patricia L. Eakin

✓Aug. 26, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

✓**Aug. 24, 1992, Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint and Counterclaim filed. 1 copy (atty)**

✓Sept. 21, 1992 Answer To Plaintiff's Counterclaim filed.

✓Nov 4, 1992 MOTION FOR HEARING and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 4th day of November, 1992, a hearing on this matter is set for the 16th day of December, 1992, at 2:30 p.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2. Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
Nov. 10, 1992 copy to B. Lindsay, R.C. Thomas & R Peterson

12-21-93 Rec from Plff master dep. 400.00
6-15-94 Rec from deft master dep 350.00
2-21-95 pd master #8915 750.00

✓Dec. 11, 1992 Motion to Reschedule Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, this 10 day of December, 1992, this Honorable Court hereby grants the Motion to Reschedule the Hearing for Alimony Pendente Lite and Counsel Fees and reschedules the hearing to the 5th day of February, 1993, at 1:30 P.M. in Courtroom 1 at the Crawford County Courthouse, at same time as Domestic Relations hearing.
3 coies (atty)
For The Court,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

✓May 25, 1993 Inventory and Appraisement, filed.

✓May 25, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

✓July 16, 1993 Petition For Special Relief and Order filed. AND NOW, this 16 day of July 1993, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a Rule is granted upon the Plaintiff to show cause, if any he has, why the relief requested in this Petition should not be granted. Returnablve and for hearing on the 9 day of August, 1993, at 2:30 o'clock, p.m. in Court Room 1 Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
A copy of this Petition and Order to be served upon the Plaintiff by Certified Mail to his last known address and upon Plaintiff's Counsel, Brian J. Lindsay, Esq., by rregular mail.
BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

July 19, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Lindsay and Thomas

✓July 16, 1993 Petition for Special Relief and Order filed. AND NOW, this 16 day of July, 1993, upon presentation and consideration of the wtihin Petition a Rule is granted upon the Plaitiff to show cause, if any he has why the relief requested in this Petition should not be granted.
Returnable and for hearing on the 9 day of August, 1993, at 2:30 o'clcok p.m. in court Room 1 Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
A copy of this Petition to be served upon the Plaintiff by regular mail and upon his counsel, Brian L. Lindsay, Esq., by regular mail.
BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

July 19, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Lindsay and Thomas

✓Jul6 22, 1993 Income andExpense Statement of John S. Eakin, Plaintiff, filed.

✓July 22, 1993 Inventory and Appraisement of John S. Eakin, filed.

✓Aug. 2, 1993 Motion to Continue and Order, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 3rd day of August, 1993, the Petition to Show Cause set for August 9, 1993 at 2:30 p.m. is hereby continued to the 17th day of August, 1993, at 3:30 p.m. in Crawford County Courthouse, Courtroom No. 1, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
FOR THE COURT:
Ann A. Walker, Crt. Adm.
Aug. 9, 1993 copy to B. Lindsay R. Peterson, R.C. Thomas

✓Oct. 4, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

✓Sept. 23, 1993 MotionFor Appointment of Master in Divorce and Order filed. AND NOW, October 5, 1993, James R. Irwin, Esquire is appointed Master in respect to the folowing claims Divorce, Alimony, Alimony Pendente Lite, Distribution of Prperty, Counsel Fees, Costs & Expenses Deposit required: By Plaintiff $400; By Defendant $350.
PER CURIAM,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 8, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Irwin, Lindsay and Thomas

✓Oct. 26, 1993 ORDER: Re: DeNovo Support Hearing The above matter was originally in the hands of the late Judge Robert L. Walker and has been assigned to the undersigned for disposition. The pleadings are complex and a transcript of the Feb. 5, 1993 hearing consists of 145 pages.
This Court is in need of a conference to narrow and clarify the issue in this case. Said conference is set for a Chambers Conference with counsel for Nov. 22, 1993 at 9:00 a.m. BY THE COURT:
Oct. 27, 1993 copy to Lindsay & Thomas P. Richrd Thomas, S.J.

✓Oct. 26, 1993 Motion to Continue and Order, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 16th day of August, 1993, the Petition for Speial Relief for August 17, 1993 at 3:30 p.m. is hereby continued to the 3rd day of September, 1993, at 3:00 p.m. in Crawford County Courthouse Courtroom No. 1, 2 or 3, Meadville, Pennsylvania FOR THE COURT:
Oct. 27, 1993 copy to Lindsay & Thomas Ann A. Walker, Crt. Adm.

Continued on Page 18A

✓Oct. 26, 1993, Transcript of Proceeding at Time of Hearing filed.

✓Dec. 9, 1993 REVEIW AND ORDER filed. AND NOW, Nov. 22, 1993, this protracted divorce litigation was scheduled for an in-chambers conference before the undersigned. Counsel for the defendant wife, R. Charles Thomas, appeared, but plaintiff appeared without counsel, advising the Court he could no longer afford to pay his former counsel, Brian J. Lindsay.

The entire matter is generally reviewed and the husband contends that he has changed his employmetn and he is now working as a nurse's assistant while studying to obtain a nurse's degree, and nets from employment approximately $240 per week.

Previous hearings before the late Robert L. Walker denied spousal support in the Domestic Relations case, but no decision was made on alimony pendente lite in the divorce case. Likewise, the reqeust for counsel fees remains unresolved.

The Domestic Relations Section advises that arrears on the child support account in the amount of approximately $720 exist, with that figure being based on the defendant's earnings when unemployed as spelled out in prior Domestic Relations Orders. The defendant contends that the amoutn of child support is still excessive in view of his drastic reduction in earnings, and accordingly, a hearing on the child support issue must be scheduled.

We direct the Domestic Relations Section to place this chidl support case on the next appropriate Non-Support List.

In view of the fact the plaintiff husband outlined an offer for a resolution of the entire divorce matter to counsel for the wife, we will postpone any hearing on entitlement to alimony pendente lite and counsel fees until the parties ascertain whether this matter can be amicably resolved.

Even though the husband is no longer involved in the welding and boilermaker trade, he still maintains a pension with the International Brotherhood of Boilermakers, whereunder, he estimates a current financial interest of approximately $40,000. Husband agrees that within 30 days, he will mail to counsel for the wife an updated statement of pension benefit accumulations furnished by the Administrator of said pension fund.

Fron the sale of real estate, there is lodged with husband's former attorney, Brian Lindsay, the net sales proceeds estimated to be between $30,000 and $40,000. By copy of this Order, Attorney Brian Lindsay is precluded from dispensing any monies from this account without the consent of both parties, or by further Order of Court.

The Court reviews the situation regarding the respective interests of the parties in various pieces of real estate now under contract for sale and lease. The plaintiff husband contends that the present financial situation regarding marital assets is difficult to compute and analyze because it is his contention that some of the financial transactions, financing and re-financing was necessitated because of the wife entering into financial and debt transaction by signing the husband's name to certain financial documents, applications and contracts without hsi consent or by having the same signed by another unauthorized person using the husband's name.

At the conclusion of the conference, plaintiff husband presented a proposed settlement of the divorce action and the assets connected therewith by offering a cash amount to the wife, augmented by prospective funds to be received on real estate now under contract, plus an interest vesting in the wife from the existing pension plan. Plaintiff and defendant's attorney agree that the precise details of this offer should not be made part of the record in this case, but as soon as the aforesaid report on the boilermaker pension is received, the Court directs Attorney R. Charles Thomas, as counsel for Patricia Eakin, to respond to the offer or present a proposed counter-offer within 10 days of the time he receives verification of the husband's pension status.

Confusion exists regarding the appointment of a Master in this case. Apparently, a motive for appointment was presented to the late Judge Robert L. Walker, but was acted upon by President Judge Gordon R. Miller on Sept. 23, 1993. This appointment appears on the docket of this case, but the appointment itself cannot be found among the extensive files in this case. Attorney Irwin advises this Court he never received notice or a copy of his appointment

ACCORDINGLY, we hereby reappoint Attorney James R. Irwin as Master in this case to resolve the following claims: Divorce; alimony; distribution of property; counsel fees; and, costs. We require each party to advance partial master fees as follows: John S. Eakin, $400; Patricia L. Eakin, $350. These sums shall be deposited with the Prothonotar on or before Jan. 5, 1994.

In the Domestic Relations case, the late Judge Robert L. Walker denied spousal support in the Domestic Relations case after hearing on July 13, 1990.

The voluminous records, pleadings and Petition for Special Relief cause confusion in this case. An additional hering was held before Judge Walker Feb. 5, 1993, apparently involving both the Domestic Relations case and the divorce case. We are uncertain as to the issues addressed at this hearing, but the matter was apparently taken under advisement by Judge Walker after the hearing. He belatedly ordered a transcript of the hearing before rendering a decision. This transcript was ultimately presented to Judge Walker Sept. 1, 1993, but was never officially signed by him before his death on Oct. 10, 1993, but was filed Oct. 26, 1993 as part of the record in this case.

We direct the parties and counsel to diligently follow through in attempting to amicbly resolve this complex divorce matter.

We direct Master Irwin to schedule such conferences or hearings as he deems appropriate before Jan. 15, 1994. If the matter cannot be amicably resolved, we will periodically entertain motions for further counsel fee deposits by the Master.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Dec. 9, 1993 Copies distributed to Attys. B. Lindsay, R. Charles Thomas, J. Irwin, Dft., John Eakin.

✓Dec. 22, 1993 Appearance of Barbara J. Walton, Esquire for John S.Eakin, Defendant, filed.

✓Feb. 15, 1994 NOtice of Master"s Hearing, filed.

✓Mar. 25, 1994 Amended Non-Marital Property Page of Inventory and Appraisement filed.

✓Mar. 30, 1994 Pre-Trial Narrative of John S. Eakin, filed.

✓Apr. 7, 1994 Notice of Continued Master's Hearing filed.

✓Apr. 12, 1994 Notice of Continued Master's Hearing, filed.

✓Apr. 14, 1994 Notice Of Continued Master's Hearing filed.

✓Aug. 30, 1994 Petition For Payment of Stenographic Fees and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 29th day of August, 1994 the Attorney Brian J. Lindsay is directed to issue a check in the amount of $1,417.50 to James R. Irwin, Esq., Master, for payment to Lois Conklin Reporting Service for stenographic fees in the above matter.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Aug. 31, 1994 Order FAXED to Attys. Irwin, Lindsay, Walton and Thomas.

✓Sept. 7, 1994 Motion to Compel Filing of Master Report and Order, filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of September, 1994, upon presentation of the Motion to Compel Filing of Masters Report, it is hereby Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed that James R. Irwin, Esquire, Master in the above captioned matter, shall file the Master Report no later than the 7th day of October, 1994.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Sept. 9, 1994 Faxed Walton, Thomas & Lindsay

Continued to Page 18B

Oct. 7, 1994 Master's Report, filed.

Oct. 7, 1994 Transcript of Proceedings on April 5, 1994, filed.

Oct. 7, 1994 Transcript of Proceedings on April 6, 1994, filed

Oct. 7, 1994 Transcript of Proceedings on May 2, 1994, filed.

Oct. 14, 1994 Motion for an Extension of Time filed.

Oct. 14, 1994 Order filed. AND NOW, October 14, 1994, the plaintiff's motion for an extension of time to file exceptions to a Master's Report is granted because he claims he did not receive the Master's Report until October 12, 1994. While the date of the filing of the Master's Report and the giving of notice is the appropriate time we feel, under the circumstances of this case where the plantiff is now representing himself and only has a few days to react to the report, a brief extension should be granted.

Therefore, th eitme for either party to file exceptions to the Master's Report is hereby extended until 4:30 o'clock on Friday, October 28, 1994. Subsection (c) Rule 1920.55 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure shall still apply.

No further extensions will be granted for any reason.

The Plaintiff is advised that if he proceeds pro se, representing himself and without counsel, he must fully and completely comply with all of the rules of civil procedure as established by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court in the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure and the Crawford County Civil Rules. A copy of the Crawford County Civil Rules can be obtained for a fee from the Prothonotary. A copy is on file for use in the law library in the Crawford County Courthouse.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 14, 1994 Copies dist to Thomas & Walton

Oct. 18, 1994 Motion For Master's Fees and Order filed. AND NOW, this 17th day of October, 1994 upon consideration of the wihtin Motion, Master's fees are set at $2327.00. Said fees are to be paid in accordance with the recommendations of the Master's Report and paid within thirty (30) days from this date. If either party objects to these fees aid party shall file a motion for reconsideration within 30 days fromthis date. Said motion shall contain the reasons and ask for a hearing.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

10-1894 Faxed Walton, Thomas & Irwin

Oct. 20, 1994 Motion For Leave To Withdraw Appearance and ORDER filed. And Now, Oct. 19, 1994, the motion for withdrawal of appearance is granted.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Oct. 21, 1994 Order FAXED Attys. Irwin, Thomas; copy given Walton.

Oct. 28, 1994 Exceptions to Master's Report filed.

Oct. 31, 1994 Motion to Deny Payment, filed.

Oct. 31, 1994 ORDER filed. AND NOW, October 25, 1994, upon receipt and review of the plaintiff's motion objecting to a portion of the Master's fees a hearing is hereby scheduled for the 18th day of November, 1994 at 1:30 o'clock p.m. in courtroom 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse where the Court will reconsider its order of October 17, 1994 awarding Master's fees in the amount of $2,327.00. At this hearing the Court will receive evidence and hear arguments on the matter.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R.Miller, P.J.

10-31-94 Copies mailed Eakin, given to Irwin & Thomas

Nov. 4, 1994 Upon Praecipe of R.Charles Thomas, Esquire, Attorney for Defendant, the Plaintiff's Exceptions to the Master's Report is placed on the next available Argument List.

ON DECEMBER, 1994 ARGUMENT LIST

Nov. 21, 1994 Order filed. AND NOW, November 18, 1994, the Plaintiff's motion to deny payment of a portion of the Master's Fee is denied.

The Court notes that the hearing went over two (2) days and the transcript is voluminous. We note that the Master did file his report a few weeks short of five (5) months after he received the transcript which would have been slightly less than four (4) months after the Rules demanded. This was not in keeping with the Rules of Civil Procedure. We would sanction the Master and reduce payment if we were satisfied that the Plaintiff has suffered a monetary loss or has been prejudiced as a result of this delay. We do not condone the delay. We find, however, the Plaintiff would have taken exceptions to that report and the matter still may not be resolved. Therefore, we are not able to determine that the Plaintiff suffered a loss at all and if so how much of that loss was attirbutable to the Master's delay as proposed to other periods of time that have passed for other reasons.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Nov. 21, 1994 dist to. Pltf., Walton, Thomas & Irwin

Dec. 15, 1994 Petition For Special Relief and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 14th day of December, 1994, upon presentation and consideration of the within petition, a Rule is granted upon the Plaintiff to show cause, if any he has, why the relief set forth in the within petition should not be granted.

Returnable for hearing on the 21st day of December, 1994 at 10:00 o'clock A.M. in Court Room No. 1 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, PA.

A copy of this Petition and order to be served upon the Plaintiff by Certified Mail and by regular mail. A copy of this Petition shall also be served upon Mr. Anthony Luzi and Mr. Ted R. Smiley by regular mail.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Dec. 15, 1994 Order distributed to Atty. Thomas; FAXED Attys. Walton & Lindsay.

Dec.22, 1994 ORDER filed. AND NOW, December 21, 1994 the Plaintiff appeared in court pro se and the Defendant appeared in court with counsel and the Court held an argument and received unsworn evidence on the Defendant's petition for special relief, and accordingly,it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The Defendant's petition for special relief is hereby granted as hereinafter provided.
2. The Plaintiff is hereby enjoined from assigning, selling, transferring, deeding,conveying, encumbering, or otherwise disposing of any right, title and interest in and to the improved real estate that is situated in South Shenango Township, Crawford County,Pennsylvania as more particularly described in an article of agreement dated October 21, 1988 between Anthony Luzi and John S.Eakin and Michael Pfaff filed of record in Record Book 51, Page 1018, which is the same land described in an article of agreement entered into in December of 1991 between John S.Eakin and Ted R. Smiley,except under the following conditions:

Continued to Page 18 C

(a) The Plaintiff must furnish counsel for the Defendant with true and correct addresses of Anthony Luzi, Ted R. Smiley, and Theresa Ferraro within two days from this date. We note that the addresses of Theresa Ferraro and Ted R.Smiley have beendisclosed at this hearing, so the only address the Plaintiff owes counsel for the Defendant is the correct address of Anthony Luzi.

(b) Counsel for the Defendant shall forward a true and correct copy of this order to Anthony Luzi and Ted R. Smiley and to Theresa Ferraro so that they are aware of the contents.

(c) The Plaintiff may consummate the article of agreement between Anthony Luzi and himself as well as the article of agreement between himself and Ted R.Smiley, provided he first notifies counsel for the Defendant as to the exact date, time and place of any closing, and further provided that the net proceeds of sale to Smiley shall be made payable to John S.Eakin and Patricia L. Eakin and shallbe deposited in aninterest-bearing account in a bank or savings institution having an office in Meadville,Pennsylvania, with the savings passbook to be delivered to the Prothonotary and not to be removed from the Prothonotary';s Office without further order of Court and with the fund restricted that there be no withdrawal of any amounts without further order of Court.

(d) The Plaintiff shall enter into no further agreements nor sell the property without Court approval.

3. Anthony Luzi, Ted R.Smiley and Theresa Ferraro are ORDERED and DIRECTED to comply with this order with respect to the lump sum payment tobe made at the time of any closing. This does not affect monthly payments which shall be dealt with bythe Court at the time the exceptions are heard.

4. A copy of this order shall be indexed or filed by the Prothonotary as a lis pendens against this property in the name of John S.Eakin. It maybe indexed in the Judgment Index or the Miscellaneous and Ejectment Index as the Prothonotary in her discretion believes is appropriate,so that anyone else dealing with the property will be aware of the fact that itis subject to this Court Order and that the Plaintiff is subject to this Court order.

5. The purpose of this order is not to interfere with the articles of agreement between Luzi and Eakin and Eakin and smiley whichare now inexistence and which should be honored by the parties. The purpose of this order is, however, to make certain that if the property is transferred or sold that any funds realized be placed in safe keeping until this divorce is completed, as well as to restrict the Plaintiff's ability to enter into new agreements with different people without the knowledge or consent of the Defendant.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

12-22-94 Copies Dist. to Thomas & Pltf. 12-30-94 Copies to Attys. Walton& Lindsay

✓Jan. 19, 1995 Motion to Have Master Disqualified Because of Prior Involvement with Defendant, filed.

✓Jan. 19, 1995 ORDER Filed. AND NOW, January 18, 1995, after review of the plaintiff's "Motion to have Master Disqualified Because of Prior Involvement with Defendant" said motion is denied.

Procedurally, the plaintiff waived his right to object to the appointment of the Master by not objecting sooner, eslpecially when the matter was raised at the outset of the first Master's hearing. Furthermore, based upon the record in this case, there was no showing that the Master has a conflict of interest, was biased, prejudiced or could not render a fair and impartial decision. If anyone would claim prejudice it would have been the defendant.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller,P.J.

1-19-95 Faxed To Attys.Walton, Lindsay, Peterson; Mailed Pltf, given Attys. Irwin & Thomas

✓Jan. 19, 1995 Motion to Have Plaintiff's Handwritten Letter to Wife Excluded from Judge's Review filed.

✓Jan. 19, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, January 18, 1995, after review of the plaintiff's "Motion to have Plaintiff's Handwritten Letter to Wife Excluded from Judges' Review" is denied. There was no objection made the time the letters (Exhibits O and P) were admitted into evidence at the Master's Hearing (Transcript of April 6,1994 hearing - pp.155,156) Furthermore, these exhibits appear to have been properly authenticated and were relevant and material. We know of no principle of evidence that could be used to support the Plaintiff's motion to have these exhibits excluded.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R.Miller, P. J.

1-19-95 Faxed to Attys. Walton, Lindsay, & Peterson, mailed Pltf, given to Atty. Thomas.

✓Jan. 19, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, January 18, 1995,after review of the plaintiff's "Motion to have Testimony of Steven D. Pfaff Excluded from Judge's Review" is denied. There was no objection to Mr. Pfaff's testimony made at the time his testimony was offered (Transcript of April 6, 1994 hearing, page 210). Furthermore Mr. Pfaff's testimony was the type of testimony that could have been rebutted by the plaintiff and if not the plaintiff could have asked for a continuance to consider and obtain rebuttal testimony. None of that was done.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R.Miller, P.J.

1-19-95 Faxed to Attys.Walton, LIndsay, Peterson; Mailed to Pltf, given to Atty.Thomas

✓Feb. 13, 1995 Motion for Distribution of Master's Fees and ORDER filed. And now, February 10, 1995 this Motion has been disposed of by the Divorce Decree to be entered shortly.

Gordon R. Miller, Judge

2-13-95 Faxed to Attys. Thomas & Irwin,mailed Pltf.

✓Feb. 16, 1995 DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Feb. 16, 1995 DECREE filed. AND NOW, February 15, 1995, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED as follows:

1. The parties hereto are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

2. The following personal property is non-marital property which is awarded to, and shall be retained by, the plaintiff:

Plaintiff's Boilermaker/Blacksmith National Pension Trust Defined Benefit Plan (non-marital component)	$ 43.58/month
Plaintiff's grandmother's handmade quilt	unknown
Plaintiff's Boilermaker/Blacksmith National Pension Trust Defined Contribution Plan (non-marital component)	$11,209.54
Plaintiff's Contractors and Employees Retirement Plan and Trust	$ 5,215.29
Personal property in Plaintiff's possession (acquired prior to marriage)	$ 685.00
Personal property in Plaintiff's possession (acquired prior to marriage)	$12,720.00

3. The following marital property is hereby awarded to and distributed to the plaintiff, John S. Eakin:

Personal property in plaintiff's possession	$ 5,635.00
C.B.I. NA-CON Inc. money purchase pension plan	$25,249.68

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 18 (d).....

Net installment payments of principal and interest received by the plaintiff under the Smiley Article of Agreement less the amounts paid to Luzi under the Luzi Article of Agreement	$15,177.12
40% of the value of the C.B.I. Pension Plan	
40% of the value of the marital component of the Boilermaker/Blacksmith National Pension Trust. Said marital component having a value at the time of separation of $29,244.00	$11,697.60
Total	$57,759.40

4. The following marital property is hereby awarded to and distributed to the defendant Patricia L. Eakin:

Northwest Savings Bank savings account #43-01-40329	$ 5,805.02
Northwest Savings Bank savings account #43-01-40253	$ 4,032.10
Northwest Savings Bank savings account #43-01-40330	$26,059.45
60% of the value of the C.B.I. Pension Plan	
60% of the marital component of the Boilermaker/Blacksmith National Pension Trust Defined Contribution Plan, having a value at time of separation of $29,244.00	$17,546.40
Personal proeprty in her possession	$ 2,560.00
All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in South Shango Township Crawford Co., PA. as more particularly described in Articles of Agreement from Luzi to Eakin dated October 21, 1988 and recorded in Record Book 51, page 1018 and Article of Agreement from Eakin to Smiley dated December ___, 1991 subject to the terms and conditions of said Articles of Agreement including but not limited to all of the plaintiff's right, title and interest in and to said land and said articles of agreement. Having an approximate value of $28,897.59.	$28,897.59
Total	$84,900.56

5. The plaintiff shall pay the defendant the sum of $9,222.26 as reimbursement for improvements and payments made by the plaintiff to the property located on Pitts Road in South Shenango Township, Crawford Co., PA. Said payment shall be made no later than forty-five (45) days from the date of this decree.

6. The defendant shall pay Visa-MBNA America all sums due with an approximate balance at the time of the Master's hearing of $7,401.61.

7. The plaintiff shall pay all sums due Larry Loreno for commissions due on the Eakin to Smiley transaction.

8. The defendant shall be entitled to all payments made by Smiley under the Eakin-Smiley Article of Agreement that are due and/or paid after the date of this decree. The defendant shall be obligated to well and truly make all payments due to Luzi which are due under the Luzi to Eakin Article of Agreement from the date of this decree.

9. Each party shall be responsible for paying his/her own attorneys fees, costs and expenses.

10. The Master's fee shall be paid in accordance with all prior orders entered setting the Master's fee and obligating the parties to pay a particular share of the Master's fee. The Master shall be paid in full within forty-five (45) days from the date of this decree.

All sums on deposit with the Prothonotary on account of Master's fees shall be paid over to the Master forthwith.

11. Counsel for the defendant shall prepare and submit an applicable Qualified Domestic Relations Order covering the pension plans awarded by this decree. Said Qualified Domestic Relations Order shall be filed in Motions Court and counsel for the defendant shall follow the local rules with regard to notice and the filing of motions. That will give the plaintiff an opportunity to appear in Motions Court and object if he believes the Qualified Domestic Relations Order prepared by counsel for the defendant is not appropriate.

12. The parties are advised that if an appeal from this decree is taken they must refer to the PA. Rules of Appellate Procedure with respect to matters such as stays and supersedeas. If there is no stay or supersedeas either by rule or Order of Court then the parties are obligated to carry out the terms of the decree even if any appeal has been taken.

13. The defendant's claim for alimony is denied.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

March 14, 1995 Proof Of Service Of Notice Of Appeal filed.

Mar. 14, 1995 **MEMORANDUM AND AMENDED FINAL DECREE FILED.**

Counsel for the defendant has advised the Court that paragraph 5 of the Divorce Decree is incorrect and we agree We are embarrassed to say that we incorrectly interchanged the identity of the parties. It is the Defendant who should reimburse the plantiff for improvements and payments the plaintiff made to the property located on Pitts Road. It is the first line of paragraph 5 of the final decree that is incurrect and we will now correct it by filing the following Amended Decree.

AMENDED DECREE

AND NOW, March 14, 1995, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED as follows:

1. The parties hereto are divorced form the bonds of matrimony.

2. The following personal property is non-marital property which is awarded to, and shall be retained by, the Plaintiff:

Plaintiff's Boilermaker/Blacksmith National Pension Trust Defined Benefit Plan (non-marital component)	$ 43.58/month
Planitiff's grandmother's handmade quilt	unknown
Plaintiff's Boilermaker/Blacksmith National Pension Trust Defined Contribution Plan (non-marital component)	$11,209,54
Plaintiff's Contractors and Employees Retirement Plan and Trust	$ 5,215.29
Personal property in Plaintiff's possession (acquired prior to marriage)	$12,720.00

3. The following marital proerty is hereby awarded to and distributed to the plaintiff, John S. Eakin:

Personal property in plaintiff's possession	$ 5,635.00
C.B.O. NA-CON Inc. money purchase pension plan	$25,249.68
Net installment payments of principal and interest received by the plaintiff under the Smiley Article of Agreement less the amounts paid to Luzi under the Luzi Article of Agreement	$15,177.12
40% of the value of the C.B.O. Pension Plan	
40% of the value of the marital component of the Boilermaker/Blacksmith National Pension Trust. Said marital component having a value at the time of separation of $29,244.00	$57,759.40

4. The following marital property is hereby awarded to and distributed to the Defendant, Patricia L. Eakin:

Northwest Savings Bank savings account #43-01-40329	$ 5,805.02
Northwest Savings Bank savings account #43-01-40253	$ 4,032.10
Nrothwest Savings Bank savings account #43-01-40330	$26,059.45
60% of the value of the C.B.I. Pension Plan	
60% of the marital component of the Boilermaker/Blacksmith National Pension Trust Defined Contribution Plan, having a value at time of separation of $29,244.00	$17,546.40
Personal property in her possession	$ 2,560.00
All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in South Shenango Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania as more particularly described in Articles of Agreement form Luzi to Eakin dated October 21, 1988 and recorded in Record Book 51, page 1018 and Article of Agreement form subject to the terms and conditions of said Articles of Agreement including but not limited to all of the plaintiff's rights,	

John S. Eakin
vs.
Patricia L. Eakin

Record continued from page 18-d-
of record
A.D. 1988 - 568

****

title and interest in and to said land and said articles of agreement. Having an approximate value of $28,897.59 $28,897.59

TOTAL $84,900.56

5. The defendant shall pay the plaintiff the sum of $9,222.26 as reimbursement for improvements and payments made by the planitiff to the property located on Pitts Road in South Shenango Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania. Said payment shall be made no later then forty-five (45) days from the date of this decree.

6. The defendant shall pay Visa-MBNA America all summ due with an approximate balance at the time of the Master's hearing of $7,401.61.

7. The planitiff shall pay all sums due Larry Loreno for commissions due on the Eakin to Smiley transaction.

8. The defendant shall be entitled to all payments made by Smiley under the Eakin-Smiley Article of Agreement that are due and/or paid after the date of this decree. The defendant shall be obligated to well and truly make all payments due to Luzi which are due under the Luzi to Eakin Article of Agreement form the date of this decree.

9. Each party shall be responsible for pay his/her own attorneys fees, costs and expenses.

10. The Master's Fee shall be paid in accordance with all prior orders entered setting the Master's fee and obligating the parties to pay a particular share ofthe Master's fee. The Master shall be paid in full within forty-five (45) days from the date of this decree.

All summs on deposit with the Prothonotary on account of Master's fees shall be paid over to the Master forthwith.

11. Counsel for the defendant shall prepare and submit an applicable Qualified Domestic Relations Order covering the pension plans awarded by this decree. Said Qualified Domestic Relations Order shall be filed in Motions Court and consel for the defendant shall follow the local rules with regard to notice and the filing of motions. That will give the plaintiff an opportunity to appear in Motions Court and object if he believes the Qualified Domestic Relations Order prepared by counsel for the defendant is not appropriate.

12. The parties are advised that if an appeal from this decree is taken they must refer to the Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure with respect to matters such as stays or supersedeas either by rule or Order of Court then the parties are obligated to carry out the terms of the decree even if any appeal has been taken.

13. The defendant's claim for alimony is denied.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 14, 1995 Copies dist. to Pltf & Thomas

March 17, 1995 Return of Notice of Appeal for Correction filed.

Mar. 31, 1995 Motion for Petition for Grant of Supersedeas and Stay filed.

Mar.31, 1995 Motion to Stop the Distribution of Marital Property as Per Judge's Feb.15, 1995 Ruling,filed.

Mar. 28, 1995 Petition to Proceed in Forma Pauperis Pursuant to Pa.R.C.P. 240, filed.

Mar. 28, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, March27, 1995, upon receipt and review of the defendant's petition to proceed informa pauperis said petition is denied. The plaintiff earns approximately $1,575 per month, has received a distribution of non-marital assets in this case and is entitled to receive a portion of the marital assets and a payment from the defendant for improvements the plaintiff made to the Pitts Road property. He does not qualify to proceed informa pauperis.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R.Miller,P.J.

3-28-95 Faxed Atty.Thomas, mailed Pltf (Cert.copy)

Apr. 4, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 31, 1995, the plaintiff appeared before the Court this date and filed a "Motion for Petition for Grant of Supersedeas and Stay" and "Motion to Stop the Distribution of Marital Property as Per Judge's February 15, 1995 Ruling."

He indicated that he hand delivered copies of these motions to opposing counsel's secretary at about 9 o'clock a.m. on March 30, 1995. The Local Rules require two business days notice, and therefore,we will not entertain these motions now, but we hereby set hearing and/or argument on Wednesday, April 5, 1995 at 10 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No.1 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

This Judge has not read these motions and will not prejudge our decision, but we advise the plaintiff that we have never permitted a supersedeas in a divorce action without bond or some sort of security being posted as the Rules of Appellate Procedure envision.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R.Miller, President Judge

4-4-95 Mailed Copy to Pltf. Dist. copy to Atty.Thomas

Apr. 11, 1995 MEMORANDUM And ORDER filed. AND NOW,April 11, 1995 upon consideration of the defendant's "Motion for Petition for Grant of Supersedeas and Stay" and "Motion toStop the Distribution of Marital Property as per Judge's February 1995 Ruling" the motion for supersedeas is granted in part and denied inpart and the motion to stop the distribution is denied.

It is hereby ORDERED and DECREED that the appeal taken by the plaintiff in the above captioned case shall operate as a supersedeas provided that a bond be entered by the plaintiff in the sum of $65,000.00 with security to be approved by the Court.

The security shall be any type of appropriate security referred to in Pa. R.A.P.1734(a). The terms of the bond shall be as set forth in Pa.R.A.P. 1734(b). The form of the bond shall be in substantially the same form as set forth in Pa. R.A,.P. 1751.

Said bond and security shall be filed within ten (10) days from this date. If so, the supersedeas shall be in effect. If it is not filed within fifteen (15) days from this date there shall be no supersedeas.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R.Miller, P.J.

4-11-95 to Thomas & Plft (by Cert.Mail)

Apr. 12, 1995 Notice of Appeal to Superior Court filed.

Apr. 27, 1995, Unprocessed Notice ofAppeal and Amended Notice of Appeal Returned from Superior Court filed.

Jun. 7, 1995 Motion forSupplemental Relief and MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER. AND NOW, June 7,1995 for the reasons set forth in the attached meorandum themotion for supplemental relief filed by Patricia L.Eakin,the defendant in this matter, is granted and it is ordered as follows:

1. The supersedeas order entered April 11,1995 is vacated since the plaintiff has not filed appropriate securty or complied with said order.

2. The decree of equitable distribution entered February 15, 1995 and amended on March 14,1995 is effective and must be complied with and carried out by the parties according to the terms of said decree and amended decree. The time periods set out in said decree, especially in paragraphs 5 and 10 shall remain in effect and shall be calculated from the date of this order.

Continued to Page 18 F

Eakin vs Eakin AD 1988 - 568 Continued from Page 18 E

3. Since the defendant, Patricia L. Eakin, was awarded three Northwest Savings Bank Savings accounts, to-wit: account numbers 43-01-40329; 43-01-40253; and 43-01-40330 she is entitled to those accounts immediately., If they are being held byBrian J.Lindsay, Esquire, in escrow pursuant to any prior orders of this Court,Brian J. Lindsay,Esquire, is authorized, emnpowered and directed to release said accounts and any passbooks or certificates or other evidences of ownership in said accounts to Patricia L.Eakin, the defendant, and to otherwise take all reasonable and necessary actions to transfer ownership of said accounts to Patricia L.Eakin, defendant. The delivery of the same may be to defendant's counsel on her behalf.

A true and correct copy of this memorandum and order shall be mailed to John S. Eakin, the plaintiff,by the Prothonotary,to his address at 3040 West 14th Street, Apt. 104, Cleveland, Ohio 44113 as soon as practicable.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R.Miller,P.J.

6-8-95 Mailed Pltf to address in Order, given Thomas. 6-8-95 Faxed Lindsay

Jul. 17, 1995 Motion to Quash Appeal and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 17th day of July, 1995, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion, a Rule is granted upon the Plaintiff to show cause, if any he has, why the Appeal filed in the Superior Court in the above entitled case should not be quashed.

Returnable for hearing and/or argument on the 25th day of July, 1995 at 3:30 o'clock P.M. in Court Room No. 1 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

A copy of this Motion and Order shall be served upon the Plaintiff, John S. Eakin, by Certified Mail and also by Regular Mail at his last known address at Cleveland,Ohio.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R.Miller, J.

7-17-95 Faxed R.C.Thomas, Mailed Plaintiff

Jul. 31, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW,July 25, 1995 the Defendant's Motion to quash the appeal is denied because this Court does not have jurisdiction to quash an appeal or alleged appeal or possible appeal that was taken to the Pennsylvania Superior Court.

We do believe that the divorce decree that hasbeen entered inthis case must be carried out because there is not supersedeas granted, and therefore, both parties are cautioned that they should adhere to the order of June 7, 1995 and carry out the decree of equitable distribution entered February 15, 1995 and amended March 14, 1995.

WE have suggested to theparties that they get together, and have offered a room in the Crawford County Courthouse with security and a court reporter so that they can have a closing and a consummation of all of the matters that are set forth in the divorce decree, because it appears that each party owes the other some documents and some instruments. A face toface closing would make sense. The Plaintiff maintains that he will not come to such a meeting because he wants to pursue a number of lawsuits and an appeal. We have cautioned him that if the Defendant files a petition for contempt, we will hold a hearing and if we find him in contempt, we may well punish him by incrcerating him to force him to comply with the decrees of this Court.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

7-31-95 Dist. to Thomas & cert. copy mailed to Eakin (Pltf.)

Aug. 22, 1995, Petition for Contempt and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, 8/18/95 after consideration of the above motion, a hearing is scheduled for Oct. 2, 1995 at 9:15 a.m. in c. R. No. 1, Crawford County couorthouse, Meadville, Pa.

by the court,
gordon R. Miller, J.

8/23/95 faxed to Thomas, mailed Eaken plff

Oct. 5, 1995, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 3, 1995, the plaintiffs petition for contempt filed Aug. 22, 1995 is hereby dismissed. A hearing and/or argt. on said petition was scheduled for Oct. 2, 1995 in C. R. No. 1 of Courthouse. The plff. did not appear and therefore said petition is dismissed.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

10/5/95 dist. to J. Eakin and R. C. Thomas.

Nov. 9, 1995 Petition for Contempt, NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR and ORDER filed. Legal Proceedings have been brought against you alleging you have willfully disobeyed an order of court.

If you wish to defend against the claim set forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with the Court you defenses or objection.

Whether or not you file in writing with the court your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in court on the 22nd day of December, 1995 at 9:15 o'clock A.M. in **Courtroom No. 1** of the Crawford County Courthouse.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

11-9-95 FAXED - ATTYS. IRWIN, WALTON.

Nov. 9, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 8th day of November, 1995, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a Ru.e to Show Cause is hereby entered upon Plaintiff, John S. Eakin, and Defendant, Patricia L. Eakin, to show cause why he should not be held in contempt of Court.

Said Rule is returnable on the 22nd day of December, 1995 in Courtroom No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania at 9:15 o'clock A.M.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

11-9-95 FAXED Attys. Irwin, Walton.

Dec. 22, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, December 22, 1995, after an informal hearing on the Master's Petition for Contempt, the Court finds that both parties were in contempt of court for not having complied with the prior orders requiring them to pay the Master's fees.

The Master has reached an arrangement with the Plaintiff whereby the Plaintiff will pay $50 per month and have his share paid in full within 9 months. Since that is satisfactory to the Master, that is satisfactory to this Court.

The Defendant has really not realized as much from this equitable distribution as one might think due to her ongoing legal expenses and due to the condition of the Eakin-Luzi-Smiley property. She proposed to pay $300 forthwith on her share of the Master's fee and pay at least $50 per month and to pay it in its entirety within 9 months from this date.

Accordingly, it is ordered as follows:

1. Punishment for contempt is deferred.
2. The parties shall comply with this order without fail in order to purge themselves from contempt.
3. The Plaintiff shall pay $50 per month to apply on the Master's fees. He shall pay that directly to the Master. All sums owed by the Plaintiff shall be paid in full no later than Setp. 22, 1996, 9 months from this date.
4. The Defendant shall pay $300 forthwith to apply on the Master's fees, and she shall pay the balance at the rate of at least $50 per month which shall be paid directly to the master and applied to the Master's fees. Furthermore, the Defendant is ordered and directed to pay all sums she owes the Master in full no later than Sept. 22, 1996.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Dec. 22, 1995 Order given Attys. Thomas, Irwin; mailed Pltf.

Continued to Page 18 G

1988 TERM

Eakin vs Eakin AD 1988 - 568 Continued from Page 18 F

FEb. 27, 1996 Certified from the Record. January5, 1996 - MOTION TO QUASH FILED. "'ORDER. The trial court is directed to forward the notice of appeal filed on April 12,1995 for docketing in the Superior Court. The notice of appeal filed on March 14, 1995 is dismissed as duplicative. The motion to quash the appeal is denied as moot.
DATE: February 26, 1996
PER CURIAM"
Eleanor R. Valecho,Deputy Prothonotary

2-29-96 Copy to Atty. Thomas & Atty. Irwin, mailed pltf.

March 11, 1996 Notice of Appeal Docketing Superior Court Docket NO. Assigned 00423PGH96, filed.

Aug. 22, 1996 Order of Court from the Superior Court of Pennsylvania, Pittsburgh. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, July 12, 1996, the within appeal is DISMISSED for failure to file a brief.
"PER CURIAM"

Aug. 22, 1996 Certificate of Contents of Remanded Record and Notice of Remand under Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure 2571 and 2572 filed.

FOR FUTURE ENTRIES AS OF SEPTEMBER 12, 2001....SEE COMPUTER.

1988

569. Stephen Hall	APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT of BRENTON L. PEARS Filed June 24, 1988	APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976 (Section 303) June 29, 1988, Certification by the Court for Extended Involuntary Emergency Treatment filed. Court finds patient is severely mentally disabled - to receive inpatient treatment at MMC (Grove St.) for period of 20 days. Patient represented by Stephen Hall, Atty. for the court, John D. Petruso, MHRO

1988

570

Stephen Hall
Bruce A. Barrett

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

EDWARD J. VARDARO

Filed June 24, 1988

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976
(Section 303)

June 29, 1988, Certification by the court for extended involuntary treatment (emergency) The court finds that the patient is severely mentally disabled and in need of treatment, and to receive inpatient treatment at MMC (Grove St) for period of 20 days. Patient represented by Stephen E. Hall, Esq. for the court, John D. Petruso, MHRO

July 14, 1988 Petition for Order of the Court filed.

July 20, 1988 Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and Order filed. AND NOW, this 20th day of July, 1988, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Edward J. Vardaro, be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days. This Order shall take effect when arrangements can be made for transfer of the patient.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas,J

July 20, 1988 Copies of order to Stephen Hall, WSH and MH/MR

Nov. 4, 1988 Petition for Incoluntary Treatment filed.

Dec. 2, 1988 Reprot of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 1st of December, 1988, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Edward J. Vardaro be committed to Warren State Hospital for teatment for a period not to exceed 180 days.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 8, 1988 Copy to WSH, MH/MR and Bruce Barrett, Atty.

June 13, 1989, Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

June 13, 1989, Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation, and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 13th day of June 1989, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and other relevant matter, and after a review of the repor tof the Mental Health Review Officer (Atty. J. Petruso) it is hereby ordered and directed that the said Edward Vardaro be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 19, 1989, copies to Mr. Lilly, WSH, Atty. B. Barrett.

Dec. 6, 1989 Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

Dec. 27, 1989, Report of Mental Health review Officer, Recommendation, and Order filed. AND NOW, this 22nd day of Dec. 1989, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above no. and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer it is hereby ORDERED AND DIRECTED that the said Edward Vardaro be committed to Warren State Hosp. for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days. BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 28, 1989, copies to Atty. Barrett, WSH and MH/MR

June 4, 1990 Report of Mental Health Review Officer , Recommendation and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 1st of June 1990, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Edward Vardaro be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days.

BY THE COURT
P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 11, 1990 copies to Atty. B. Barrett, WHS and MH/MR

Nov. 7, 1990 Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

Dec. 5, 1990 Report of Mental Health Review Officer and Order filed. AND NOW, this 5th of December, 1990 , upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Edward Vardaro be committed to Warren State HOspital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days.

BY THE COURT, P.Richard Thomas, S.J.

Dec. 7, 1990 copies to Atty. Bruce Barrett, W.S.H. and MH/MR

June 3, 1991 Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

1988

Jones-McConnell, PC
Mary Ann McConnell

571.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF STONEBORO

vs.

HARRY HUDSON a/k/a
HARRY W. HUDSON,
HARRY R. HUDSON, d/b/a
MEADVILLE ALUMINUM
BUILDERS OF COCHRANTON

Filed June 24, 1988
@ 1:44 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00
Plff on orig	69.52
Sheriff's costs	46.65

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

AD 1985 - 714
(& 908 May 1979)

Judgment of revival entered in judgment index against the defendants in the amount opf Forty Seven Thousand Seven Hundred Ninety Eight and 78/100 Dollars, with int. from Feb. 4, 1976.

Debt ----- $47,798.78
Int. from---Feb. 4, 1976
H - 218, 218, 218
M - 181 -----JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL
James F. Simmons, Pro.

Issued (sheriff)

July 20, 1988 Writ Returned: SERVED Harry Hudson, a/k/a Harry W. Hudson within named defendant on July 12, 1988 at 11:46 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival to Louise Burchill, adult in charge of his residence, R.D.#3, Harvey Road, Cochranton, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED Harry R. Hudson, within named defendant, on July 12, 1988 at 12:02 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival to Robert Hudson, adult member of his family, namely wife, at their residence, R.D.#3, Franklin Pike Rd., Cochranton, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED Meadville Aluminum Builders within named defendant on July 12, 1988 at 12:03 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival to Roberta Hudson, person in charge, at place of business, R.D.#3, Franklin Pike Rd., Cochranton, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 25, 1992 Revival To:

AD 1992-1139

1988

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
Mary A. Kirkpatrick

Jorden and White
Harry F. White, II
R Charles Thomas
572.

~~Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso & Gamble~~
~~Ann Hansen Gamble~~
Douglas Ferguson

State pd 5/11/94 10.00
Pro.Co.pd 5/11/94 9.00

1/4/89 Recd $61.00 From Defendant
2/17/89 Recd. $61.00 From Plaintiff
Recd 3-1-89 $61.00 Vivian Stoltz
Recd 3-1-89 $61.00 Vivian Stoltz

Received from Defendant 4-13-92 mediation 76.00
Received from Plaintiff 4-14-92 mediation 76.00

Paid Crawford County mediation fees 4-16-92 Ck# 6776 $152.00

2-12-93 Received from Plaintiff 150.00 med deposit.
4-24-93 Pd plt Bal of med dep. Ck# 7513 65.00
5-24-93 Received from Defendant $76.00 Mediation fee
5-27-93 Pd CC Com med. fee Ck# 7559 76.00
6-28-93 Pd CC Com med fee ck# 7634 76.00

Pro Co Pd 5-27-93 ck#7560 9.00

BRIAN K. HAMILTON

vs.

JILL M. HAMILTON

Filed June 24, 1988
@ 3:01 p.m.
William Pineo, Mediator 152.00
Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co pd 9/23/88 45.00
William Pineo, Mediator 122.00
Pro Co Pd 2/17/89 9.00
Pro Co pd 4-14-92 9.00 Balance

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

July 14, 1988 Appearance of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso & Gamble by Ann Hansen Gamble, for Jill M. Hamilton filed. Acceptance of Service filed. AND NOW, this 13th day of July, 1988, I hereby accept service fo a copy of Complaint in Divorce file in the Above captioned matter on Behalf of the Defendant, as proper service hereof.
By, Ann Hansen Gamble

Sept. 22, 1988 Defendant's Monthly INcome & Expenses filed.

Sept. 22, 1988 Inventory and Appraisement of Defendant filed.

Sept. 22, 1988 Petition For Related claims Under Divorce code filed. (Equitable Distribution, Alimony and Custody)

Sept. 23, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by Mary Ann Kirkaptrick for Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 26, 1988 Motion for Custody Mediator and Order filed. AND NOW, September 26, 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing Motion, the parties herein and their representative counsel are ordered to appear before John Fuller, Custody Mediator, at such time and place as the Child Custody Mediator shall determine.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker,J

Sept. 26, 1988 Copies of order to Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Ann Hansen Gamble and John Fuller

Oct. 12, 1988 Motion For Withdrawal as Mediator and Order filed. AND NOW, October 11, 1988 John Fuller, Esquire is hereby withdrawn as custody Mediator in the above-captioned matter and WilliamPineo, Esquire is appointed Custody Mediator in his place.
P. Richard Thomas, J

Oct. 13, 1988 copies of order to Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, ann Hansen Gamble, John Fuller and William Pineo

Dec 15, 1988 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 15th day of Dec., 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children. The mother shall have primary physical custody from 6:00 p.m. of August 15 of each year through 6:00 p.m. of June 15 of the following year, and the father shall have primary physical custody from 6:00 p.m. June 15 of each year through 6:00 p.m. of August 15 of each year.

2. The party not having physical custody of the subject minor children will have visitation every weekend, except for the first full weekend of each month, from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m.

3. Christmas visitation shall be arranged so that for 1988, the children shall be with their father from 12:00 noon of Christmas Eve to 11:00 a.m. of Christmas Day and 7:00 p.m. of December 28 through 7:00 p.m. of January 1. The children will be with their mother from 11:00 a.m. of Christmas Day throught 7:00 p.m. of December. In suceeding years, the parties shall alternate their positions. The Thanksgiving visitation period shall be arranged so that the mother shall have her children from Friday following Thanksgiving at 9:00 a.m. through the following Saturday at 6:00 p.m. and the father shall have the children from Saturday at 6:00 p.m. through the Monday following Thanksgiving at 6:00 p.m.. For Thanksgiving itself, for 1989, the father shall have the children from 6:00 p.m. of the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving through 6:00 p.m. of Thanksgiving itself and the mother from 6:00 p.m. of Thanksgiving through 9:00 p.m. of the Saturday after Thanksgiving. The parties shall thereafter alternate the two Thanksgiving Day visitation periods. For the holiday of Easter, Memorial Day, Independence Day, and Labor Day, the visitation periods shall be arranged such that the visitation periods will be from Good Friday at 9:00 a.m. through Holy Saturday at noon and from Holy Saturday at noon through Easter Sunday at 7:00 p.m.; Memorial Day from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., Independence Day and Labor Day during the same hours as for Memorial Day. The father shall have his children for Good Friday visitation period and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods. Holiday visitations shall take precedence over the other provisions of this Order relating to the custodial and visitation periods.

4. Each party shall teach the children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the estimation of the children.

5. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements with respect to the custody and visitation periods and are encouraged to do so, if that would be convenient and proper. Such arrangements shall be made by mutual consent only. In the absence of mutual consent, this Order shall govern.

6. This is a shared custody arrangement and the parties are directed to share with each other any and all information which they may have regarding the children's health, education, and the major events in their lives. Health care and school personnel are authorized to share with either party any confidential information which they may have regarding said children, except that which the children have legally requested be kept confidential from anyone.

7. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at 122.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

8. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec 16, 1988 Copies of order to Attys Kirkpatrick, Pineo and Gamble and Defendant and Plaintiff. and Atty. White.

Continued on Page 632

1988

David R. Devine

573.

Kuhn and Kuhn
Daniel J. Kuhn

ANITA M. BROWN

vs.

DARYL A. BROWN

Filed June 27, 1988
@ 10:45 A.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
John Fuller, Mediator	
Mediation Costs	120.00
Pro Co Pd 9/8/88	9.00
John Fuller Mediator	150.00
Pro Co	9.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY
1 copy (mediator) 2 copies (atty)

June 27, 1988, ORDER:

You, Daryl L. Brown, defendant, have been sued in court to obtain custody ofthe children: Carrie Ann Brown and Tiffany Ann Brown.

You are ordered to appear in person before John Fuller, mediator as required for a mediation Conference.

You are further ordered to bring with you the children: All.

Ifyou fail to appear as provided by this order or to bring the children, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Association, Ser. to the Pub. Committee, 360 chestnut St. Meadville, Pa. 16335 814=724-7719.

June 28, 1988 Robert L. Walker, J.

9/8/88 Recd. #60 Co From Plaintiff
9/21/88 Recd #60 Co From Defendant

July 28, 1988, Answers to Interrogatories to Defendant filed.

July 28, 1988, Answer to Complaint for Custody filed.

Sept. 1, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, September 1, 1988 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AD DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of carrie Ann Brown and Tiffany Ann Brown shall be shared between the mother and the father, that is each parent shall be entitled full access to school records, medical records and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affecting the children's education, religious instruction, medical treatment and other such important decisions.

II. Physical custody of Carrie Ann Brown and Tiffany Ann Brown shall be with their father subject to the following conditions:

(a) The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the children in her custody from time to time at times agreeable to the father. In the absence of an agreement to the contrary, visits with the mother shall be every Saturday from 12:00 p.m. until 6:00 p.m. The mother shall be responsible for providing transportation for the children on such Saturday visits or on other visits as may be agreed between the parties.

(b) During the visits between the mother and the children the mother shall not permit the children to be in the company of George Brown.

(c) Neither mother not father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the chidlren against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude onthe part of the children towards both parents.

(d) Neither mother nor father shall expose the chidlren to any person or situation which may be harmful to the health and welfare of the children.

(e) Both mother and father agree to notify the other parent as soon as possible with regard to any illness or injury concerning the children and both parents further agree to furnish th eother with a current telephone number.

(f) This is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the dte hereof.

The cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided among the parties.

Robert L. Walker, J

Sept. 1, 1988 copies of order to Daniel Kuhn, David Devine, Plaintiff, Defendant and John Fuller

Recd Oct 5, 1988 $60.00 Vivian Stoltz

Recd Oct 5, 1988 $60.00 Vivian Stoltz

SEpt. 30, 1988, Exceptions of plaintiff to Custody Order filed. 4 copies (atty)

Dec. 15, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to place exceptions on next argument list, this case on January 1989 Argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR JANUARY 1989

Jan 24, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, January 19, 1989, at the time the matter is before the Court on an alimony pendente lite and related issues in the divorce action, the Court informally discusses the custody problem with counsel and the parents. As noted in the divorce action, the mother is now living in a relationship with one George Brown, and the father postulates that the children do not want to visit the mother in George Brown's home. The mother, on the other hand, postulates that since they have known Mr. Brown for a number of years as their school bus driver, and have told her that they have no objections to visiting her at Mr. Brown's residence, that the Court should expand the present visitations and in addition, should award her partial custody or at least some weekend visitations with her children. The Court believes a further informal hearing should be held before the Court, so the Court may receive further input from parents and children; accordingly, the Order heretofore entered as a temporary Order, September 1, 1988 shall be continued with the following modifications: Insofar as Paragraph 2(b) is concerned, the mother may have said visits in the home of George Brown, but George Brown and the mother shall make the necessary arrangements that George Brown is not in the premises at the time the visits occur.

The Court sets hearing for the custody matter on February 2, 1989 at 3:00 P.M., Courtroom 1.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, PJ

Jan 25, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Devine and Kuhn.
and to Atty. Fuller.

Record Continued on
Page 609

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas
574.

Dennis G. Kuftic

ERICH SASS

vs.

GENSCO CORP.,
JAMES WEILAND

Filed June 27, 1988
@ 10:50 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓
Pro Co Pd 8/15/88. #909 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF
MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT NO. 30-2-01

June 27, 1988 Rule Entered upon the plaintiff to file complaint, issued

June 29, 1988 Proof of Service filed.

July 25, 1988, Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

And NOW, August 15, 1988, at 11:28 a.m., upon praecipe of defendant attorney, Judgment of Non Pros is hereby entered against the named plaintiff for failure to file a Complaint within twenty days after being ruled so to do.
S - 56 JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
Judgment entered-8/15/88 James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

Aug. 15, 1988, Preliminary Objections to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Aug. 15, 1988, Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, preliminary Objections placed on next argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR SEPTEMBER 1988

Sept. 26, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, September 26, 1988, at the time scheduled for argument on the Defendant Gensco's preliminary objections to the Plaintiff's complaint, an dthe Court being advised that the Defendant Weiland was the sole shareholder of Gensco Corporation, and further being advised that Gensco corporation has filed a petition under the bankruptcy law, it would appear that this matter is subject to the automatic stay afforded such litigation once bankruptcy proceedings have begun and therefore this matter is returned to the Prothonotary's Office without further disposition of the preliminary objections.
If the information received by the Court regardingthe bankruptcy is inaccurate this may be rescheduled for argument on motion of any party in interest.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Sept. 27, 1988 Copies of order to r. Charles Thomas, Dennis Kuftic and Gary Skiba

1988

John R. Gavin

575.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
E. Max Weiss
Nancy M. SEnnett

VENANGO LEASING COMPANY, A CORPORATION

vs.

SANFORD EQUIPMENT COMPANY, A CORPORATION

Filed June 28, 1988
@ 11:14 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	28.30
Pro Co Pd 1/24/90	6.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Aug 23, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

Sept. 2, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Sanford Equipment Company within named defendant on September 1, 1988 at 11:45 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to John RAndall, Manager, and person in charge at the time, at their place of business 921 East Central Ave., Titusville, Crawford County, PA. So answers, Edgar D. HOuseholder, Deptuy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 29, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss Schetroma & Schug by E. Max Weiss and Nancy M. Sennett for Defendant filed.

Oct. 19, 1988, Answer and New Matter of the Defendant, Sanford Equipment Company, to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Nov. 7, 1988 Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's New Matter filed.

Jan. 5, 1989 Certificate Of Readiness filed.

On February 1989 Trial List

Jan. 11, 1989 Notice of Service of Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents filed.

Jan. 18, 1989, Motion to Strike Certificate of readines and Order filed. And Now, January 18, 1989, the Motion to Strike Certificate of readiness is denied. The Request for Alternative relief will be discussed with counsel at the time of the call of the civil trial list on January 23rd, 1989.
BY ORDER OF THE OCURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Jan. 18, 1989 Copies of order sent by Judge's Chambers

Jan. 23, 1989, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Jan. 23, 1989, by agreement of the parties, the trial in this case is continued to the April, 1989 Trial List. No. further continuances will be permitted on the basis of incomplete discovery.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Jan. 24, 1989, copies to J. Gavin, and Nancy Sennett, attys.

Feb. 14, 1989, Notice of Requests for ADMISSIONS AND Interrogatories Addressed to Plaintiff filed.

On April 1989 Trial List

Mar. 27, 1989, Answer of Defendant, sanford Equipment Company to Motion to Amend Complaint filed.

Mar. 27, 1989, Motion to Amend Complaint and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: And now, this 27th day of March 1989, after consideration ofthe foregoing Motion it is ordered that plaintiffs are granted leave to file an Amended Complaint and it is further ordered that this case is continued to a trial lsit after all appropriate secondary pleadings have been filed.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar. 28, 1989, copies to John Gavin aND E. Max Weiss (Nancy Sennett)

Mar, 29, 1989, Amended Complaint filed.

Apr. 10,1989, Oral Depositions fo Albert Lee delp, Jr. and Johnathan Randall filed.

Apr. 17, 1989 Answer and New Matter of the Defendant, Sanford Equipment Company, to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint filed.

May 4, 1989 Notice of Taking Deposition on Oral Examination of Daniel Heath filed.

May 25, 1989 Plaintiffs' Reply To New Matter To Amended Complaint filed.

June 30, 1989, Oral Deposition of Daniel Heath filed.

Dec. 8, 1989 Motion of Defendant, Sanford Equipment Company, to Compel Answers to Interrogatories and Responses to Requests for Production of Documents Included in Notice of Taking Deposition on Oral Examinations and Order filed. Dec. 8, 1989 Motion Denied.
P. Richard Thomas, J

Dec. 11, 1989 Copy of order mailed to John GAvin and copy of order put in Nancy Sennett's box.

Dec. 21, 1989, Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON FEBRUARY 1990 TRIAL LIST

Jan. 22, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin and Stack
James R. Irwin

576.

R. C. Thomas

BARBARA HAGEN SHOUP

vs.

REX ALAN SHOUP

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, PROPERTY DETERMINATION AND DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES AND EXPENSES, AND CHILD CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Filed June 29, 1988
@ 8:40 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
3 counts paid	45.00
William PIneo, Mediator	
Mediation Cost	112.00
Pro. Co. Pd 9/16/88-	9.00
Pro Co Pd 12-6-89.	9.00
Harry White, Master	
Pro Co Pd 11/6/91	9.00
state paid	10.00

June 29, 1988, MOTION TO APPOINT MEDIATOR and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 29 day of June 1988, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counselshall appear for a mediation conference before the Mediator, WILLIAM PINEO, at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse with the Child Custody Mediator as required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pa.; it is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by teh Court, the aforesaid minor children shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

July 13, 1988 Verification of Service filed. I, RAINER EATON, do hereby verify that I am over the age of eighteen (18) years; that I did on the 6th day of July, 1988, personally serve a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint and Order appointing Mediator, filed at the above term and number, upon the Defendant, Rex Alan Shoup, at his residence located at 518 Woodrow Drive, Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, at 8:50 o'clock p.m. Rainer Eaton

Sept. 9, 1988 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of Sept., 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody ofthe subject minor children.
2. The parties shall share any and all information which they may have regarding the children's health, education, and the major events in their lives. Health care and school personnel are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have concerning the children.
3. The parties shall share physical custody of their chidlren with the mother having physical custody starting Friday, September 2, 1988 at 6:30 p.m. through the following Friday at the same time and the parties thereafter alternating the weeks.
4. During the children's summer vacation from school, when the father has his children in residence under the terms of the preceding paragraph, and when he is not on vacation, he shall deliver the children to the home of the mother onhis way to work and pick them up at thehome of the mother on his way home. Each of the parties shall have the right to designate a two-week period during the children's summer vacation from school in which his or her physical custody is exclusive and no visitation need be allowed to the other party. Eacch party shall notify the other party of the two-week period he or she wishes to select by May 15 of each year.
5. The holidays of Christmas, Easter, and Thanksgiving, shall be laternated. Holiday visitation shall be from 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. The father shall have his children for Christmas, 1988 and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holidays. On the children's birthdays, the mother shall have the care of the children from 7:00 a.m. through 5:30 p.m. and the father from 5:30 p.m. through 9:00 p.m. HOlday visitations shall take precedence over the other provisions of this Order relating to the custody and visitation periods.
6. The parties are free to make alternative or additional arrangements regarding the care and custody of their children, but only by mutual consent. In the absence of mutual consent, this Order shall govern.
7. Neither party shall be under the influence of alcohol nor drive an automobile under the influence of alcohol while he has the care of his or her children.
8. While in th epresence of the children, neither party will start or continue any argument or altercation nor shall either party make physical contact with the other but instead, each party shall treat th eotherwith that degree of respect any courtesy proper to an interactionbetweencasual acquaintances.
9. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $112.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as cost sin this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.
10. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. richard Thomas, J

Sept. 13, 1988 Copies of order to James Irwin, R. Charles Thomas, Plaintiff Defendant and William PIneo

Oct. 7, 1988, Motion for Trial De Novo and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 7th day of October, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion for Trial De Novo a hearing is set for the 1 day of Nov. 1988, at 2:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct.11, 1988, copies to James Irwin, R. C. Thomas and W. Pineo.

Oct. 24, 1988 Motion For Continuance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 24 day of October, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion this matter is rescheduled for hearing on the 7th day of Dec., 1988, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville Pennsylvania, commencing at 9:00 o'clock a.m., iwth Domestic Realtions Hearing.

For The Court,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Oct. 25, 1988 Copies of order to James Irwin, R. Charles Thomas, William Pineo and Dom Rel

9/16/88 Recd $56.00 from Plaintiff

Recd Oct 5, 1988
$56.00
Vivian Stoltz

11/16/88 Recd. $56.00 From Defendant

Recd 12-30-88
$56.00
Vivian Stoltz

3/20/89 Recd. $200.00 Fine From Defendant
4/3/89 Paid $200.00 To Crawford Co. Commissioners Ck# 4986

12/6/89 Recd $136.00 from plaintiff
Recd 12-29-89
$136.00
Vivian Stoltz

6/5/91 Recd $250.00 from Jeff.
10/21/91 Recd. $500.00 From Defendant
12/4/91 Paid $750.00 To Harry White II, Partial Master Fee Ck# 6542

12/6/91 Received $676.01 from Plaintiff
12/6/91 Paid $676.01 Harry White II Partial Master Fees Ck# 6545

Recvd from Dept 12-17-91 631.79

Paid 12-17-91 Ck# 6556 to Harry White 631.79

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 26 A

Nov. 16, 1988, Motion for Continuance and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 16 day of Nov. 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion this matter is rescheduled for hearing on the 9 day of Dec. 1988 in Courtroom 1 or 2 of Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. commencing at 1:30 p.m.

BY THE COURT,
P. R. Thomas, J.

Nov. 17, 1988 copies of order to James Irwin, R. C. Thomas, Wm. Pineo and Dom Rel.

Dec. 9, 1988, Motion for Continuance and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Dec. 9, 1988, this case is continued until the 22nd day of Dec. 1988, at 1:30 p.m.

Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 12, 1988 copies of order to Attys. James Irwin, R. C. Thomas, Wm. Pineo and Dom Rel.

Dec 22, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 29, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, December 22, 1988, at the time set for a custody hearing on this matter, Court and counsel discuss the matter informally and the Court hears input from Doctor Kathryn Anderson and Cathy Berkey, who have counseled and consutled withthe children, and apparently the parents. The Court and counsel have privately interviewed the children and the Court is satisfied that the conduct of the father is upsetting to the children in that he attempts to effectuate a reconsiciliation with the wife in the children's presence, and he attends functions where the wife is attending, and his conduct onthose instances are upsetting to the children. The children appear to be happy and satisfied with the shared custody arrangement now in estistence by Court Order, and the Court believes it is in the best interest to continue the custody of the children on a roughly equally divided basis between the parents.

Accordingly, the hearing onthe custody matter with the consent of counsel is continued generally and the Order previously entered shall remain in force and effect with the following additional conditions:

1. The Defendant/Father shall not threaten, harass or annoy his wife in any fashion or procure others to do so on his behalf.
2. The father will not apptempt to talk reconciliation or persuade his wife to return to him in the presence of the children, and unless she wishes to discuss it with her privately or by telephone conversations.
3. The father will not attempt to convey messages or inferences to the wife through the children, and encourage the children directly or indirectly to urge their mother to reconcile with the father.
4. In the event the mother attends public functions individually or in custody of the children, the father will not attend said functions unless he is specifically invited in writing by the mother, to appear at the meeting or in the event and even if he appears, he shall not in any fashion attempt a reconciliation or annoy or harass the wife or discuss any reconciliation with her at that event.
5. It shall be the father's responsibility to see the children attend their regularly scheduled events or activities that occur during his custody period, unless some other significant or dramatic event prevents the father fromdisrupting the usual routine of the children.
6. Court notes the children are in counseling and urges both parents to see that the counseling continues for the children.
7. The Court notes that informally the two counselors who were present expressed their opinions openly to counsel and the Court, and it was, therefor, not ncessary to reduce their opinions to sworn testimony and direct and cross examination.

The Court recognices the experts attended at some expense to one or both of the parties, and in the future, if it is necessary for the court to take expert reports, the same may be submitted in writing with a copy of each counsel, and any future hearing will proceed without the necessity of the presence of either of the experts, but th eCourt reserves the right in the event of serious dispute to require their presence at a future hearing with direct and cross examination exploring the facts.

The court urges both of the parents to conduct themselves towards each other in a kindly and compassionate manner and not to attempt through conversation or inuendo to influence the children against either party.

Hearing continued generally and can be reset upon motion of either attorney.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Dec. 29, 1988 Copies given to James Irwin, R. Charles Thomas and William Pineo

Jan. 4, 1989 Petition For Contempt and Order filed. AND NOW, this 3rd day of January, 1989, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing, a Rule is hereby entered upon the Respondent, Rex Alan Shoup to show cause, if any he has, why the prayer of the Petitioner to find Respondent in Contempt, should not be granted.

THIS RULE IS RETURNABLE ON THE 25th day of January, 1989, at 2:15 p.m. o'clock, in Courtroom No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, J

Jan. 9, 1989 Copies of order to James Irwin, R. Charles Thomas and William Pineo

Jan 27, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, January 25, 1989, after hearing, the Court is satisfied that the Defendant on numerous occasions since December 22, 1988, when an Order was entered in this case, deliberately and willfully and intentionally violated the letter and spirit of that Order by constantly telephoning his wife, annoying her, and carrying out conduct detrimental to the best interests of the children. The wife requests that any punishment imposed by the Court not include incarceration.

Accordingly, the Defendant is found in contempt of Court, directed to pay a fine of $200.00, directed to pay the constable fees incureed by the wife, directed to pay any charges made to the wife for the telephone tap placed on the telephone lines and any costs or witness fees to procure the telephone employee at this hearing plus attorney fees for filing this petition and conducting this hearing in an amount approved after submission to the Court.

The Defendant is advised that further conduct of this nature or continued annoyment or harassment

of the wife in any manner will result in a further contempt proceeding and the likelihood of incarceration if the court finds it to be willful and deliberate.

It appears that the difficulty is the need for parents to communicate involving children of this age but that it is impossible for the parties to communicate by telephone or in person. Accordingly, except in the direst of emergencies, there will be no telephone contact between wife and husband. All communication necessary to inform the other about the children or to make plans for the children will be in writing.

The former visitation and custody order is modified to the extent following:

1. When the father has the children and leaves them at the baby sitter's on Fridays, the mother will be permitted to pick up the children for the commencement of her visits at the baby sitter's between the hours of 3:30 and 4:00 p.m.

2. When the father has visits and the mother is required to deliver the children to the father's house and she will leave a responsible baby sitter with the children until the father's return. The father shall be responsible for paying the baby sitter.

3. The parties mutually agree that when the children are in the custody of the mother, on Sunday nights and Wednesday nights the mother will arrange for the children to call the father between the hours of 7:00 and 8:00 p.m. and the children may carry out reasonable lengthy conversations with the father but there will be no communication between the father and mother.

4. In the event of illness of any of the children while they are in the custody of the father, he shall notify the attorney for the wife of said fact and give as many details as are possible and give the information as to who would be looking after the sick children.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Jan 27, 1989 Copies of order to James Irwin and R. C. Thomas, Esqs.

Feb 15, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, February 14, 1989, counsel for the plaintiff in accord with this Court's Order of January 25, 1989, has submitted a bill for approval by the Court in the amount $370.50 for attorneys fees incurred in processing the Contempt Hearing into and through Court. The fees are approved and the Court hereby establishes the fee of $370.50 as an obligation of the defendant pursuant to Paragraph 2 of this Court's Order of January 25, 1989.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Feb 16, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Thomas and Irwin.

June 2, 1989, Petition for Contempt, and Rule filed. RULE: AND NOW, this 2nd day of June 1989, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a Rule toshow Cause is hereby entered upon respondent, Rex Alan Shoup, to show cause why he should not be held in contemp of court.

Said Rule is returnable on the 22nd day of june 1989, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford CountyCourthouse, Meadville Pa. at 1:30 p.m.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

June 2, 1989, copies of order to Attys. Thomas and Irwin.

June 21, 1989, Motion for Continuance and Order of Court filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion this hearing is continued generally. Hearing to be s cheduled upon motion of either plaintiff or defendant.

Robert 1. Walker, J.

June 21, 1989, copies in boxes of Attys. Thomas and Irwin.

Aug. 11, 1989, Motion to Set Hearing Date and Order filed. RULE: AND NOW, this 11 day of August 1989, upon presentaion and ocnsideration ofthe foregoing Motion, a Rule is entered against the Defendant/Respodent to show cause, if any he has, why he should not be found in contempt.

RULE IS RETURNABLE on the 6 day of Sept. 1989 at 9:15 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pa. 16335.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 11, 1989 copies in boxes of Atty. R. Thomas and J. Irwin.

Sept. 1, 1989 Motion For Continuance and Order filed. And now, Sept. 1, 1989 This hearing is continued to the 20th day of Sept. 1989 at 10:30 o'clock a.m. in CR 1

Robert L. Walker, J

Sept. 5, 1989 Copies of order put in R. Charles Thomas and James Irwin's box.

Sept. 25, 1989 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Sept. 20, 1989, after hearing, the Court finds the Defendant in contempt of court for his willful disobedience of the letter and the spirit expressed in our Orders of Dec. 22, 1988, and January 25, 1989. The Court defers any punishment in the form of imprisonment at this time and takes under advisement additional appropriate contempt punishments. The Defendant shall be required to pay the costs in this case and directs that counsel for the Plaintiff forward to the Court a bill for his services in preparing the contempt hearing and attendance at the contempt hearing and when the Court approves the same, it will set a schedule for payment by the Defendant/Husband in this case.

It is obvious to the Court that the carefully structured shared custody arrangement is not working and dramatic changes in the plan must be made.

The matter is referred back to Custody Mediator Pineo for further review of the shared custody Order which, in the opinion of this Court, is not working at the present time, largely due to the inevitable fact that when the parents are in the presence of each other, there is an automatic disturbing confrontation.

The Court requests Attorney Pineo to set an appointment with the Court to review with the Court its contempt Orders against the Defendant and receive from the Court input as to the facts developed at these hearings that generated contempt hearings.

The custody and visitation Order will be in effect but by agreement of the parties, minor child Megan will attend preschool Tuesdays and Thrusdays and it shall be the father's responsibility to see that she is delivered to the baby sitter for delivery to preschool or directly to the preschool. The father will let the baby sitter know whether he is to pick the child up at the end of the day or that he requests the mother to pick up the child at the end of the day and it shall be the responsibility of the father to delivery this message to the baby sitter and the baby sitter will contact the mother as to what the plans are.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Sept. 27, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. R. Charles Thomas and James Irwon.

Continued on page 26(c)

TERM

BARBARA HAGAN SHOUP

VS.

REX ALAN SHOUP

Record Continued from Page 26(c)

of

AD 1988-576

****

Nov. 8, 1989 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 8 day of November, 1989, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Legal and physical custody of the subject minor children is hereby awarded to their mother, the plaintiff.

2. The father shall have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody of his children each weekend from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Saturday at 8:00 p.m..

3. Each of the parties will advise the Mediator within ten (10) days of this date about whether or not he or she believes that the children should be evaluated psychologically, the name of the proposed evaluator, and proposed financial arrangements for paying the evaluator.

4. Within twenty (20) days of this date, each of the parties will submit to the Mediator, written answers to the following questions:

a. Given the talents of each of the children, what sort of future do you foresee for each of them as adults?

b. Given the talents of each of the children, what sort of education, religious instruction, and other preparation do you think will be necessary for the future that you foresee?

c. What ought to be done to ensure that each child gets the preparation which is needed by way of your own personal care and companionship, the care and instruction by others, and the probable financial cost of your envisioned arrangements?

d. Ideally, what ought to be your role in properly bringing up your children?

e. Ideally, what will be the role of the other parent in properly bringing up your children?

f. How important do you think it is that you and the other parent cooperate in the bringing up of your children?

g. Under what circumstances do you think it possible for the both of you to cooperate in the bringing up of your children?

h. What changes might you make in your behavior in order to enhance the chances for cooperation?

i. What changes do you think must be made in the behavior of the other parent to make cooperation possible?

j. What counseling do you think necessary for yourself and the other parent to bring about changes in your relationship with the other parent necessary to gaining the ability to cooperate in the rearing of the children?

k. What counseling do you think will be necessary for the children to be able to deal with their situation and with each parent?

5. When the Mediator has received the proposals requested in Paragraph 3 for the evaluations, he shall review same with the attorneys for the parties and will suggest to the Court a further Temporary Order regarding the necessity of evaluation and what steps might be taken to implement any needed evaluations.

6. When the Mediator has received from the parties their written answers to the questions proposed in Paragraph 4, he whall schedule further mediation to review the situation with the parties and determine what might be done to formulate a workable plan of arrangement regarding the upbringing of the children.

7. The holiday visitation schedule presently in effect under prior orders shall continue.

8. The parties have advised the Mediator that Kathy Berkey of Centers for Families in Change has been counseling the children. Kathy Berkey is hereby authorized to submit to the Mediator in writing her report and recommendations, if any, based upon her counseling of the counseling of the children. The Mediator will provide written copies of the report to counsel for each of the parties.

9. The father shall have the responsibility for transportation necessitated by the exercise of his visitation rights.

10. Each parent shall teach said child to give to the other party that love, respect and obedience which is due a parent from his or her child.

11. Neither parent shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor child.

12. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

13. Neither parent shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each parent shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each parent shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other parent in the child's estimation.

14. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $272.00 are divided equally between the parents with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

15. Either parent may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov 13, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. Irwin, Thomas and Pineo's boxes and copies mailed to Berkey, plaintiff and defendant.

Dec. 20, 1989 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 20 day of Dec., after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT: 1. The Order of November 8, 1989, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following:

The father shall also have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody of his children for Christmas, 1989, from 1:00 p.m. Christmas Day through 8:00 p.m. of Christmas Day. The parties are free to make different arrangemetns for the Christmas visitation by mutual consent only.

In all other respects, the revisions of the Order of November 8, 1989, are to be continued.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 20, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. James Irwin, R. Charles Thomas, William Pineo.

Dec. 29, 1989, Petition for Contempt filed.

Dec. 29, 1989, ORDER filed.And now, this 29 day of Dec. 1989, it is hereby ordered adjudged and decreed that a Rule to show Cause is hereby entered upon respondent, Rex Alan Shoup, to show cause why he should not be held in contempt of court.

Said Rule is returnable on the 11 day of Jan. 1990, in C. R. No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. at 1:30 p.m.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Jan. 4, 1989, copies to J. Irwin, R. C. Thomas and W. pineo.

Continued on Next Page

Barbara Hagan Shoup

vs.

Rex Alan Shoup

Record Continued from Page 26(c)

of

AD 1988-576

****

Jan 11, 1990 Letter From Atty. Irwin setting down Attorney's fees pursuant to Order of September 20, 1989 filed.

Jan 15, 1990 Order filed: AND NOW, January 11, 1990, at the time set for hearing on a contempt issue, neither the Defendant nor his attorney appears. After Court inquiry, it appears that Attorney R. Charles Thomas is still attorney of record for the defendant but was not asked to represent the Defendant at this hearing. There is some confusion as to whether the Defendant or the attorney knew the exact date of the hearing. Attorney Thomas advises that since he did not represent the Defendant at the alst contempt hearing and since the Defendant was in his office on an unrelated matter and knew about the contempt petition, he was led to believe that the defendant did not request his representation at the hearing scheduled for this date.

The Plaintiff appears with three witnesses, but the Court deems it inadvisable to proceed with a contempt hearing without the presence of the Defendant, whether he is represented or not.

Accordingly, the contempt hearing is continued until January 23, 1990, at 3:00 p.m. before the undersigned. Service of a copy of this order may be made by regular mail and such other personal service as the Plaintiff believes is appropriate.

Counsel for the Plaintiff and the Defendant or his counse, if he is represented by counsel, are advised to contact the Court Administrator at approximately 2:00 p.m., January 22, 1990, to ascertain whether scheduled jury trials will require further postponement of the January 23rd hearing.

The Defendant is advised that the costs of personal service of a copy of this Order is being requested to be charged against the Defendant and the Court will consider this matter at the scheduled hearing.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Jan 16, 1990 Copies of order put in Attys. Pineo, Irwin and Thomas' boxes.

Jsn 29, 1990 Order filed: AND NOW, January 23, 1990, after hearing, the Court is satisfied that the Defendant is willfully and deliberately in violation of this Court's order previously entered November 8, 1989. The Court reserves the right to enter appropriate punishment for contempt and directs counsel for the wife to submit a bill for services for this contempt hearing. The Court will enter a subsequent Order of punishment for contempt.

The contempt issues and the relationship between the two parents in this case has deteriorated to the point where this Court is satisfied that it is not in the best interest of the children to have the shared custody arrangement previously designed by the court and the Custody Mediator. Accordingly, the mother is awarded exclusive custody of the three children without any visitations to the father pending further order or further hearing by this court.

Under no circumstances will the father of the children go to the residence of the mother and under not circumstances will he have direct or indirect contact with the children until further order of this court.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P, Richard Thomas, P.J.

Jan 29, 1990 Copies of order put in Attys. Irwin and Pineo's boxes and copy mailed to Defendant.

Jan 31, 1990 Supplemental Custody and Visitation Order filed: This ongoing bitter custody and visitation case came back before the Court January 23, 1990, on a petition for contempt. We need not here recite the troubled history of this case, the several contempt hearings arising because of the father's conduct, efforts to resolve the same by referral to a Custody Mediator and various court hearings. Plaintiff's trial brief contains a numbered history of court proceedings and the same is self-explanatory. We direct that a copy the same be filed with the record in this case.

In this case we are dealing with two mature intelligent parents who separated against the wishes of the husband and the ongoing efforts by the husband to persuade his wife to reconcile compounded by the wife's determination that reconciliation is an impossibility. Extensive share custody and visitation schedules and orders have been entered, but problems continue to arise when the two parents meet to exchange the children. The husband's constant pleading with his wife to reconcile in the presence of the children and his continued amorous advances toward his wife create a difficult situation for the wofe and result in an exchange of verbiage and pushing and shoving matches in front of the children that are detrimental to their best interest. At time the conduct of the husband has boardered on the ludicrous, and we are satisfied that the prime responsibility for these unsavory confrontations between the parents, in the presence of the children, are primarily triggered by the husband in a counscious aor subconscious effort to punish the wife because she refuses his repeated reconsiliation demands. The past and present conduct of the husband, as heard by this Court, convinces us that the instability and confrontations between the parents are primarily attributable to his irrational conduct and is adversely impacting on the three minor children.

In the hearing of January 31, 1990, the mother focused on about four instances occuring in November and December of 1989, whereby the father allegedly violated terms and conditions of prior court orders in that the husband's comments and conduct violated terms and conditions of prior orders that he not threaten, harass or annoy his wife in any fashion. The husband's response to these contempt allegations defied logic and demonstrated to this Court that his fixation about reconciliation, compounded by his confrontational attitude and his amorous advances toward his wife, violate the letter and spirit of our prior orders regarding such conduct. Accordingly, we find for at least the second time that the Defendant is in contempt of prior orders of this court and will impose appropriate punishment therefore in the Order hereafter entered.

At the conclusion of the hearing on January 23, 1990, we revoked all visitations of the father pending further order of court and cancelled a scheduled custody review hearing before Mediator Pineo.

Clinical Psychologist Kathy Berkey sat throught the contempt hearing and was interviewed privately by the Court with the consent of both parties. The psychologist advised us that she attended as an advocate for the three children whom she had been counseling, and not as an advocate for either parent. The Court received the benefit of her thought regarding the welfare of the children. One of her recommendations to the Court was that if visitations are accorded the father, any exchange of the children between mother and father must be highly structured and supervised lest the father's conduct and his castigations and advances toward his wife, as evidenced by past conduct, would continue to upset the children. The psychologist confirmed that the children are extremely upst with the conduct of the father, and she believes that the

Continued on page 26(e)

Barbara Hagan Shoup

vs.

Rex Alan Shoup

Record Continued from Page 26(d)

of

AD 1988-576

the continued strained relationship between parents, largely attributed to the father, cannot continue.

We are satisfied that visits with the father must be more limited, that any semblance of an equal sharing of the children's time is not in their best interest. Equally shared custody may be a desirable goal in selected custody cases, but the prerequisite for an equally shared arrangement is a cooperative and objective non bitter relationship between the parents, which is totally lacking in this case. We enter the following:

CONTEMPT SENTENCE

The Defendant Rex Alan Shoup is found in contempt of court and as punishment therefore is directed to pay his wife's counsel fees. We note that in a previous contempt proceeding our Order of September 20, 1989, directed the Defendant to pay costs and attorney's fees when billed. Unfortunately Attorney Irwin did not submit said billing to the Court until January, in the amount of $697.00.

We directed Attorney Irwin to submit the Court a bill for services and cost incurred by the plaintiff in the present contempt proceeding heard January 23, 1990, and forward a copy of said bill to the defendant at his last address. This Coaurt approves said bill in the amount of $952.00. We direct the Defendant to pay the total attorney's fees in the amount of $1,649.00 and costs due resulting from these two contempt findings, with the same to be paid at the rate of $150.00 per month direct to Attorney James Irwin. Each payment is to be made on or bebore the 15th of each and every month, commencing in February, 1990, until paid in full.

Haviang found the Defendant's conduct to again be contemptuous, we further sentence the Defendant to undergo imprisonment in the Crawford County Jail for a minimum term of two months and a maximum term of six months as punishment for his contempt. In view of the Defendant's employment and in a hope there will be no further contempt of this Court's orders, we temporarily suspend the requirement to serve imprisonment as punishment for contempt. But, we caution the Defendant that if this corut agains finds a willful and deliverate breach of the letter and spirit of its orders, the Court will have no hesitancy in requiring service if this suspended sentence.

CUSTODY AND VISITATION

The mother is awarded permanent custody of the children. As long as the husband's conduct remains exemplary and in compliance with this Order, the husband is accorded the following visitation privileges: the father may have the children in his care and custody on the first and third weekends of every month commencing with the month of February, 1990, with said weekend visits to commence Friday at 7:00 P.M. and terminate Sunday at 7:00 P.M., with the exchange of said children to take place as described hereafter. In view of the itnerest of the children in Sunday School, it shall be the obligation of the father to see that the children attend Sunday School on the first Sunday of every month when they are in his custody.

This Court being fearful of further unsupervised confrontations between the parents on the exchange of the children, the Court directs that the weekend visit exchanges of the children take place at the Pennsylvania State Police Headquarters, Vernon Township, Crawford County, and this Court will forward a copy of this Oder to the State Police for their enlightenment.

If business or illness prevents the father from keeping his allocated weekend visits, he shall promplty notify the mother. The Court encourages the parties to abide strictly by the times set in this Order. Anytime the father is unexplainably late in arriving to pick up the chidlren and does not appear by 7:15 P.M., the visit will be considered cancelled and the mother may return the children to her home.

During the summer school vacation period, the father will be entitled to have the children in his exclusive possession for a period of one week in the month of July and one week in the month of August. These two-one week periods will be at the choice of the father and he must notify the mother at least six weeks in advance of the week of his choice. Unless parties agree otherwise, the Court considers a one week period to commence Sunday at 9:00 A.M. and terminate the following Sunday at 9:00 p.m. The exchanges for summer visits will likewise take place at the Pennsylvania State Police Headquarters.

The father may have telephone conversations with his children at the home of the mother two times each week and, unless the parents agree otherwise, these calls will be between the hours of 6:00 P.M. and 7:00 P.M. on Monday and Thursday nights.

The father shall not go to the home of the mother for any purpose.

The mother shall keep the father advise of any illness or school problems impacting on the children and shall send the father copies of the children's report cards.

Neither parent shall attempt to influence the children against the other parent directly or indirectly, and neither parent shall make derogatory references or remarks about the opposite parent to or in the presence of the children.

This Order shall continue indefinitly and supersede all prior Orders. In the event of exemplary conduct on behalf of the father, he may request the Court for further expanded visitaitons after September 1, 1990, and may request a hearing in furtherance of said request. The Court, in according the father this opportunity, does not imply that said expanded visitation requests would be granted, but will determine whether the best interest of the children would be served by according the faher additonal visitation privileges.

Dated: January 31, 1990

By Order of the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Jan 31, 1990 Copies of order put in Atty. Irwin, Thomas, and Pineo's boxes and copy mailed to defendant and State Police.

July 30, 1990 Petition For Contempt filed.

July 30, 1990 Petition For Special Relief filed.

Aug. 3, 1990 ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 30, 1990, these two petitions were presented to the Court on July 25, 1990, and the Court deemed they should be discussed nformally with counsel at a conference on July 30th. Counsel for the parties appear July 30th and in regard to the contempt wherein the Court by Order of January 31, 1990 directed the Defendant to pay $1,629.00 in installments, defense counsel advises that the Defendant did not pay on the assumption he was to receive a bill for the same. In view of the fact the Court's previous Order shoudl have been self explanatory, we reject the Defendant's argument that he did not make the required in installment payments because he thought he would be billed for the same. Accordingly, we again find the Defendant in wilful and deliberate contempt of Court Orders and as punishment therefor, he is sentenced to undergo imprisonment in the Crawford County Jail for a minimum period of two (2) months and a maximum period of six (6) months. The Court suspends the requirement to serve the contempt penalty on the following conditions:

1. On or before August 10, 1990 at 5:00 p.m., the Defendant will pay to counsel for the Plaintiff the sum of $900.00. Commencing with the period September 15, 1990, the Defendant will pay on that date and every month thereafter the sum of $150.00 until the aforesaid bill is paid in full. At any time counsel for the Plaintiff files a notarized affiavit in the Prothonotary's Office that any payments required by this Order have not been received, the Prothonotary may direct the Sheriff to immediately apprehend the Defendant for service of the two (2) to six (6) month sentence.

RECORD CONTINUED ON
PAGE 26 (f).....

1988

Barbara Hagen Shoup

VS.

Rex Alan Shoup

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 26 (e)

OF

AD 1988 - 576

****

It appears that the Defendant no longer resides in the marital domicile having removed himself from the same in the month of March without notice to the Plainiff. It appears further that the mortage payments have not been made and the mortgagee is threatening foreclosure proceedings.

Counsel for the Defendant agrees this date that his client consents to having the Plaintiff/Wife move back into the marital domicile. The wife agrees that she will bring the mortgage payments current and will pay all future mortgage payments due on the condition that this fact be taken into consideration in the ultimate equitable distribution between the parties.

The Defendant agrees and the Court orders that the Plaintiff shall have exclusive possession of the marital domicile and the Defendant/Husband may not go there for any purpose.

The Plaintiff agrees that she will not dispose of any marital property contained in the marital domicile without written consent from the Defendant.

The parties mutually agree and both agree to sign a listing contract with Gelvin, Jackson & Starr for sale of the property with a listing at $63,000.00. The wife has already signed the listing contract and the Defendant is ORDERED to sign the same by 5:00 p.m., Aug. 6, 1990, when the agreement will be forwarded to counsel for the Plaintiff.

Both parties agree that they will cooperate fully in attempting to procure a sale of the real estate as soon as possible at a fair and equitable price.

In view of the prior Orders and prior problems between the parties, the Prothonotary is DIRECTED to send a copy of this Order to Crawford County Control, the Vernon Township Police and the Pennsylvania State Police.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Aug. 3, 1990 Copy of Order put in Attys. James Irwin and R. Charles Thomas box; copy of Order delivered to Sheriff, Warden, County Control; copy of Order mailed to State Police and Vernon Twp. Police.

Sept. 27, 1990 Plaintiff's Income and Expense Statement filed.

Oct. 5, 1990 Inventory and Appraisement of Barbara Hagen Shoup filed.

Oct. 26, 1990 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) ofthe Divorce Code filed.

Oct. 31, 1990 Motion For Sanctions For Defendant's Failure To File Inventory and Appraisal and Order filed. AND NOW, this 31 day of October, 1990, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion for Sanctions, it is hereby ordered that Defendant show cause why, if any, the Request for Motion for Sanctions should not be granted.

RULE RETURNABLE on the 4th day of December, 1990 at 2:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Nov. 1, 1990 Copies of order put in James Irwin and R. Charles Thomas' box.

Dec. 7, 1990, Inventory and Appraisement of Rex Alan Shoup, filed.

Dec. 12, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, December 4, 1990, the matter is before the Court on a motion for sanctions because a written request to counsel dated October 8th for the filing of an inventory and appraisement by the Defendant has not been complied with. At the time of the sanctions hearing for failing to file an inventory and appraisement, Defendant and his counsel appear at the hearing december 4, 1990 and present an inventory and appraisement as well as a thick folder of produced documents.

Counsel for the Plaintiff notes initially that the valuations in the inventory and appraisement are given as of date of acquisition or the date the divorce action was commenced. counsel for the parties and Court realize that the valuations to the best of the parties' ability must reflect the situation at a time closest to the Master's hearing. Accordingly, the Court DIRECTS the defendant to review the inventory and appraisement and where it is necessary to list current value, those values should be reflected as of approximately December 1, 1990.

There is some objection to the production of the documents produced which obviously took a considerable amount of defendant's time and his company's time to produce.

Plaintiff advises the Court they intend to employ a finacial or actuarial expert and still are inneed of an authorization form the Defendant for access to employment, pension and ancillary benefit records in order to check the accuracy of the documents produced and to explore any other necessary financial interests in order for the expert to establish an opinion and testify with accuracy.

Accordingly, the Court DIRECTS the Pl aintiff present to counsel for the defendant within three (3) days an authorization form allowing access to employment, pension and other ancillary benefit records of the Defendant's accounts with Quaker State Company.

Likewise, in the event the Defendant wishes an authorization form signed by the Plaintiff authorizing access to Plaintiff's employment, pension and ancillary benefit interests with her employer, the same may be presented for signature to Plaintiff's counsel within three (3) days. Once the authorizations are presented, they must be returned within ten (10) days.

Also requested by the Plaintiff are sanctions against the Defendant in the form of attorney fees to bring this action to the attention of the court to compel action by the Defendant in filing the inventory and appraisement very belatedly and only on the date of the sanction hearing are they presented. The Court reserves ruling onthe request for sanctions in the form of attorney fees for future decision byt DIRECTS counsel for the Plaintiff to submit a bill to the Court for his services relating to the time and expense of petitioning for this hearing and attending the hearing.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. richard thomas, S.J.

Dec. 13, 1990 copies of order put in James Irwin and R. Charles Thomas' box.

Jan. 8, 1991 Motion For Appointment Of Master In Divorce and Order filed. AND NOW, 1-11-91, Harry White, Esquire is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Divorce, Alimony, Equitable Distribution and Counsel Fees & Costs.

Deposit required: By plaintiff $250.00; By defendant $500.

PER CURIAM,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Jan. 11, 1991 Copies of order put in James Irwin, R. Charles Thomas and Harry white's box.

Continued on Page 26g

Barbara Hagen Shoup

vs.

Rex Alan Shoup

Record continued from page 26 f

of record

A.D. 1988 - 576

****

fEb. 19, 1991 Order filed. By order of December 4, 1990, we directed counsel for the plaintiff to submit a bill for attorney fees incurred by the pla intiff in the nature of a contempt hearing against the defendant because of his failure to file a timely inventory and appraisement. Counsel for the pl aintiff submitted a bill from Attorney James R. Irwin, in the total amount of $202.50.

We find the defendant had more than ample time to file his inventory and appraisement or request the Court for an extendsion of time to do so.

ACCORDINGLY, we hereby direct that the defendant pay the sum of $150.00 in attorney fees for the plaintiff as a sanction for his delatory filing ofhis inventory and appraisement.

Said sum shall be paid in full on or before March 15, 1991, and shall be paid direct to counsel for the plaintiff.

Dated: February 13, 1991.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Feb. 21, 1991 Copies of order put in James Irwin and R. Charles Thomas' box.

Apr. 1, 1991 Summary of Master's Pre-Trial Conference filed.

June 6, 1991 Notice of Master's Hearing filed.

Oct. 10, 1991, Master's Report filed.

Oct. 10, 1991, Transcript of Master's Hearing filed.

Oct. 10, 1991, NOtice of Master's Hearing filed.

Oct. 29, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Nov. 8, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 8th day of November, 1991, the Court Orders and Decrees that:

I. **DIVORCE:** Barbara Hagen Shoup, Plaintiff, and rex Alan Shoup, defendant, are divorced fromthe bonds of matrimony.

II. **MARITAL PROPERTY.** The marital property of the parties is awarded to the Plaintiff and defendant as follows:

A. Marital property to be distributed to the Plaintiff, Barbara Hagen Shoup is as follows:

1. Residence and lot situate at Woodrow Drive, Vernon Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania.
2. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Public School Employee's Retirement System benefits.
3. All jewelry currently in the Plaintiff's possession, including wedding ring.
4. The tangible personal property including a) Panasonic VCR, b) sofa and loveseat, c) pine stencile rocker, d) stereo and speakers, e) pots and pans, small appliances, dishes, stainless steel, etc., f) five-piece maple bedroon suite, including dovetail drawers, g) washer, h) dryer, i) refrigerator, j) 3/5 horsepower push mover, k) tent and cmaping equipment, 1) two picnic tables and swingset, m) Kirby sweeper, n) phonograph records which were brought into the marriage by the Plaintiff, o) Sylvania 25-inch color console T.V., p) china table lamp, q) maple tressel talbe and four chairs, r) pine bunk beds, pine chest of drawers, small chest of drawers painted green, book case, bedspreads, linens, towels throughout, s) Christmas decorations.

B. Marital property to be distributed to the Defendant, Rex Alan Shoup:

1. 1987 Ford Taurus.
2. All Quaker State stocks.
3. A combined Quaker State Thrift and 401K plan.
4. Quaker State ESOP.
5. Quaker State Corporation Pension Plan for salaried classified employees of the Valley Camp Coal Company.
6. New York Life Insurance policy.
7. Tangible items of personal property, including a) three cherry Ethan Allen endtables b) Stiffel brass table lamp, c) oak office desk, d) pine oval table with six chairs, e) Early American style pine finish bedroon suite, f) sofa and chair in basement, g) Sharp portable color T.V., h) beige recliner, i) certain tools situated in the garage including garden tools, clippers and the like, j) Rototiller, k) Sony stereo, 1) all phonograph records brought into the marriage by the defendant, m) Playboy magazine collection.
8. The balance, if any, in Marine Bank checking account Number 4108-3332.

III. **MARITAL DEBTS.**

A. Marital debts to be assumed by Plaintiff, barbara Hagen Shoup are as follows:

1. First mortgage on residence property situated at Woodrwo Drive, Vernon Township Crawford County, PA to Colony Federal Savings Bank.

Amount of Current Liability:	$21,423.53
Amount of Liability on Date Action Commenced:	$26,548.46

B. Marital debt to be assumed by Defendant, Rex Alan Shoup. None.

IV **NON-MARITAL PROPERTY.**

A. Non-Marital property of the Plaintiff, Barbara Hagen Shoup which shall be retained by her is as follows:

1. 1988 Plymouth Voyager.

B. Non-Marital property of the defendant, Rex Alan Shoup which shall be retained by him is as follows:

1. The post 1987 contributions to his 401K plan offered through the Quaker State Corporation for salaried classified employees at VAlley Camp Coal Company.
2. The post September 1987 contributions to the New York Life Insurance policy titled inthe defendant, Rex Alan Shoup's name.
3. A current and existing Mellon Bank acocunt acquired in April 1990.

V. **NON-MARITAL DEBT.**

A. The non-marital debt of the parties to be assumed by either is as follows:

1. The non-marital debt to be assumed by Plaintiff, Barbara Hagen Shoup is as follows

26
H

1988

BARBARA HAGEN SHOUP

vs.

REX ALAN SHOUP

Record continued from page 26G

of record

A.D. 1988 - 576

****

a.) Mastercard charge card debt accumulated since September 1987. b.) Visa charge card debt accumulated since September 1987. c.) Discover charge card debt accumulated since September 1987. d.) J.C. Penney charge card debt accumulated since September 1987. e.) Dr. Pettit bill incurred in 1990. f.) Personal and/or loan acquired from Marine Bank in 1989. g.) Personal loan incurred in 1990 fromthe Plaintiff's aunt, Marian Kevan in the amount of $2,000.00. h.) Personal loan acquired after the separation in 1987 from the Plaintiff's sister, Elizabeth Hagen in the amount of $1,500.00. i.) Personal loan formthe Plaintiff's son, David Shoup, in the amount of $500.00. j.) Personal oan from the Plaintiff's son, Steven Shoup, in the amount of $150.00. k.) Legal fees to James R. Irwin, Esquire. 1.) Carlisle's charge card.

2. Non-Marital debts to be assumed by the Defendant, Rex Alan Shoup are as follows:

a.) All loan or other indebtedness secured solely in the name of the defendant, Rex Alan Shoup, after September 1987.

VI. **COUNSEL FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES.**

Plaintiff and Defendant shall be responsible for the payment of all costs and expenses incurred in the Master's Hearing, including but not limited to, Master's fees and stenographer's fees, in the follwoing percentages:

Defendant: 55% Plaintiff: 45%

Inasmuch as the Plaintiff was ordered to deposit $250.00 towards expenses in the Master's Hearing ans inasmuch as the Defendant was requested to deposit $500.00 for expenses before the Master's Hearing, and further, in light of the fact the defendant has failed to this date to make any deposit, whatsoever, for the payment of the Master's fees, the Defendant shall immediately deposit his portiion of the ultimate Master's costs, fees and expenses withthe court.

Plaintiff and defendant shall each be solely responsible for his or her attorney fees without contribution from th eother, excpet that the Defendant remains liable for the Plaintiff's attorneys fees which the defendant was ordered to pay as a result of various contempt proceedings.

VII. **COUNSEL FEES AND COSTS IN THE EVENT OF VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER:**

In the event a judical determination finds a party to this order to have violated the order or failed to comply with the order then that par ty shall be liable to pay the counsel fees and costs experienced by the other par ty in obtaining compliance with this order.

This order shall be effective immediately.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Nov. 19, 1991 PETITION FOR PAYMENT OF MASTER'S FEES AND COSTS and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 18th day of Nov., 1991 the Court approves Master's fees in the amount of $1,371.50 (21.10 hrs. x $65.00/ hr.) and advances and expenses of $686.30 for a total of $2,057.80.

Plaintiff, Barbara Hagen Shoup, shall pay 45% of said expenses or $926.01 less the deposit of $250.00 she has already paid leaving a remaining balance due from Barbara Hagen Shoup in the amount of $676.01.

The defendant, Rex Alan Shoup, shall pay 55% or $1,131.79 less a credit for a deposit of $500.00 already paid leaving a balance due from REx Alan Shoup of $631.79.

The plaintiff and defendant shall pay the balance of all sums duewithin fifteen (15) days from this date. Thereafter the Prothonotary shall pay the Master all sums due under this order.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Nov. 22, 1991 copies in boxes for Attys. J. Irwin, R.J. Thomas & H. White.

1988

MacDonald, Illig,Jones
& Britton
Robert W. Parker, Jr.

577.

Spadafore, Vardaro,
& Walker
William Walker

WHITE DIRECTORY OF
PENNSYLVANIA, INC.

vs.

CATHERINE J. MILLER,
INDIVIDUALLY AND DOING
BUSINESS AS
UNITED NATIONAL REAL ESTATE

Filed June 29, 1988
@ 11:07 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Cost $30.20

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

July 11, 1988 Sheriff's Return Filed. SERVED Catherine J. Miller personally by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on July 1, 1988 at 11:05 AM at Lake Road, Riceville, Crawford Co., PA. SERVED Catherine J. Miller, doing business as United National Real EState personally on July 1, 1988 by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her at Lake Road, Riceville, Crawford Co., PA.

So Answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 26, 1988, Answer and New Matter filed.

July 26, 1988, Default notice filed.

Aug. 26, 1988 Plaintiff's Reply To New Matter filed.

1988

Anthony J. Vardaro

578.

Jorden & White
Harry White

ROBERT D. BUCKEYE, SR., AND CECILIA A. BUCKEYE, HUSBAND AND WIFE

vs.

CLIFFORD D. BORTZ AND MADELEINE BORTZ, HUSBAND AND WIFE, AND DONALD A. COOPER

Filed June 29, 1988
@ 3:51 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Costs $32.00
Proc Bk 12/13/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

3 copies (sheriff)

July 11, 1988, Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Donald A. Cooper personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on July 1, 1988 at 10:18 AM at his place of employment ,Wooden Keg, 856 Water Street, Meadville, Crawford Co, PA 16335. SERVED Madeline Bortz within named Defendant on July 1, 1988 at 10:19 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Clifford Bortz, Her husband, at their place of business, Wooden Keg, 856 Water Street, Meadville Crawford Co., PA 16335. SERVED Clifford D. Bortz personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on July 1,1988 at 10:20 AM at his place of business, Wooden Keg, 856 Water Street, Meadville, Crawford Co., PA 16335.

So Answers,
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 15, 1988 Defendant's Answer To Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Jan. 20, 1989, Motion for Summary Judgment filed.

Jan. 20, 1989, Affidavit of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 20, 1989, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above motion is placed on next argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR FEBRUARY 1989

Feb. 13, 1989, Notice of Taking Deposition of Clifford D. Bortz and Madeleine Bortz, his wife, and Donald A. Cooper, filed.

Feb. 15, 1989 Motion to Continue Argument and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 15th day of February, 1989, upon the foregoing Motion by the Plaintiffs, argument scheduled for February 27, 1989 at 10:00 A.M. in Court Room No. 2 at the Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA., is continued and the Prothonotary is directed to place the same on the next available argument list.

By The Court:
Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb.15, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. Anthony Vardaro and Harry White.

Mar. 27, 1989, Deposition of Madeleine Bortz filed.

Mar. 27, 1989, Deposition of Clifford D. Bortz filed.

Mar. 27, 1989, Deposition of Donald A. Cooper filed.

Apr. 12, 1989, ORDER filed. AND NOW, April 12, 1989, the court being of the opinion that defendants' counter-affidavit raises sufficient issues of fact to preclude grant of a summary judgment, the plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment is denied.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

April 2, 1989, Copies put in atty's box.

May 11, 1989 Certificate of Readiness filed.
On June 1989 Trial List

May 30, 1989 Order Setting Nonjury Trial filed. AND NOW, May 30, 1989, nonjury trial is hereby set for July 24, 1989, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom 2.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

June 6, 1989 Copies of order put in Anthony Vardaro and Harry White's box.

July 25, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, July 24, 1989, at the time set for nonjury trial in this action of mortgage foreclosure, the parties being present in open court with their counsel have reached an agreement upon the amount due under the mortgage, which is the subject matter of this proceeding and, accordingly, a judgment is entered in favor of the plaintiffs and against the Defnedant in the sum of $60,738.35, which includes intrest to this date, together with interest at the rate of 11 1/2 percent per annum computed from this date and costs, and the Plaintiffs may proceed with their foreclosure proceedings based upon the judgment unless the same is paid by the defendants prior to actual sale and foreclosure proceedings.

B - 34
B - 114 C - 44

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

July 25, 1989 Copies of order put in Atty's box.

Judgment entered - 7/25/89

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EX1989-84

1988

Brian Lindsay

579.

ROBERT DONALD KELLEY AND JOYCE QUIG

vs.

DAVID HOOGSTAD AND JOYCE HOOGSTAD

Filed June 30, 1988
@ 11:50 a.m.

Tax & Entry PD	$40.50
Pro Co pd 9/7/88	9.00
Sheriff's Costs	31.00
Pro Co Pd 1-17-88	9.00

COMPLAINT

3 copies (atty)

July 5, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, BRIAN LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sowrn acocrding to law depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Plaintiffs, Robert Donald Kelley and Joyce Quigg, and that I did, on the 30th day of June, 1988 send by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested Original Complaint at its last known address of 110 Water Street Box 888, Linesville, PA 16424, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 446 365 366, and that on the 5th day of July, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 2nd day of JUly, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Date: July 5, 1988 Brian Lindsay, Esq.

July 5, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, BRIAN LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Plaintiffs, Robert Donald Kelley and Joyce Quigg, and that I did, onthe 30th day of June, 1988, sned by Certified Mail, Return REceipt Requested Original Compalint at its last known address of 110 Water Street, Box 618, Linesville, PA 16424, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 446 365 367, and that on the 1st day of July, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 1st day of July, 1988.

I, verify that the satements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Dated July 5, 1988 Brian Lindsay

And Now, Sept. 7, 1988 at 10:30 a.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, this action is indexed against the defendant in the judgment index as a lis pendens.

H-45-95

LIS PENDENS
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Oct. 10, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Joyce L. Quigg To Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed.

Oct. 10, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Robert D. Kelley To Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed.

Oct. 13, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

Nov. 22, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Joyce Hoogstead personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on October 28, 1988 at 3:38 PM at her residence, 333 Wallace St. Box 618, Linesville, Crawford Co. Pa.
SERVED David Hoogstead personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on Nov. 1, 1988 at 12:35 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co.Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.
So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Catherine I. Allen Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Jan 17, 1989 @ 4:27 p.m., Upon Praecipe of Plaintiffs' Attorney with Notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiffs and against the defendants for failure to file an answer and sames is computed as follows: Amount claimed in Complaint, Fifteen Thousan Two Hundred Forty and 57/100 dollars; Interest from October 9, 1987 to January 9, 1989 on pricipat amount of Fifteen Thousand Two Hundred Forty and 57/100 dollars, One thousand Sixty-six and 83/100 dollars; Costs of filing suit, Forty and50/100 dollars; Costs of Praecipe for Default, Nine and 00/100 dollars; Total Sixteen Thousand Three Hundred Fifty-six and 90/100 dollars.

H-45, 95

Debt.....$16,356.90
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug
Mary A. Kirkpatrick

580.

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs
Christopher Youngs

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
M. A. Kirkpatrick

2/8/89 Rec'd $200.00 From Plaintiff
6/21/89 Paid $95.00 To Wm. L. Walker, Master Fee Ck 5135

6/28/89 Paid $125.00 to Culbertson Weiss Schetroma + Schug Check #5151

LAURA RAMBO

vs.

MICHAEL RAMBO

vs.

Brian Corliss

Filed June 30, 1988
@ 3:30 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
William Pineo, Mediator
William Walker, Master
Pro Co pd 6/21/89 ✓ 9.00
State pd 6/21/89 10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

July 28, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, July 27, 1988, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq. being duly sworn according to law. deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the Attorney for Laura Rambo, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished to her by the plaintiff, she caused a cert. copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Cert. Mail No. P 401 440 879, postmarked July 1, 1988, to the last known address of the defendant, Michael Rambo, R. D. #6, Box 296, Meadville, pa. 16335

3. That the Defendant Michael Rambo on July 1, 1988, personally signed the ret. rec. card which was affixed to the complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Ex. "A".

4. Service of the complaint against the defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pa. Rules of Civil Procedure.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick Esq.

Aug. 24, 1988 Petition for Visitation and Order of Court filed. You, Laura Rambo, Plaintiff, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of the child: Danielle Lynn Rambo.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by William Pineo, Child Custody Mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
360 Chestnut Street
Meadville, PA 16335
Telephone: (814) 724-7719

By The Court,
Robert L. Walker,J.

Aug. 24, 1988 Copy of Order to Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and William Pineo. Attys.

Aug. 24, 1988 Petition to Proceed in Forma Pauperis and ORDER filed. AND now, Aug. 24, 1988, upon presentment and consideration of the foregoing Petition to Proceed in Forma Pauperis, said Petition is granted. Reserving the right to impose costs if appropriate.

By The Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 26, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and William Pineo.

Sept. 7, 1988, Answer to Petition to Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed.

Sept. 9, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Christopher J. Youngs, hereby certifies that he is the Attorney for the defendant, Michael Rambo, in the within Action and that a copy ofthe Petition for Visitation was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only to the Plaintiff, Laura Rambo, at PO Box 109, Townville, PA 16360 on August 25, 1988 and was received bythe Plaintiff on August 26, 1988. The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A". Christopher J. Youngs

Sept. 13, 1988 Brian Corliss' counterclaim to Petition for Visitation filed.

Oct. 27, 1988, Natural Parents' Preliminary Objections and Motion to Strike Off filed.

Jan. 11, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 20, 1989, Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce and Order Appointing Master filed. ORDER APPOINTING MASTER: AND NOW 1/26/89 WILLIAM L. WALKER ESQUIRE is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Divorce only.
Deposit required: By Plaintiff $200.00 By Defendant $200.00

PER CURIAM,
P. Richard Thomas, Judge

Jan. 31, 1989, copies to M. Kirkpatrick, C. Youngs and Wm. Walker.

Jan. 30, 1989, ORDER filed. And now, Jan. 26, 1989, argument on plaintiff's Preliminary Objections and Motion to Strike Defendant's Petition for Visitation is set for argument Feb. 22, 1989, at 9:30 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2.

The Court will also at said time attempt to resolve the most expeditious way of resolving any paternity, custody or visitation issues involving minor child Danielle Lynn Rambo.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Jan. 31, 1989, copies to Attys. M. Kirkpatrick, C. Youngs and Wm. Walker.

Apr. 24, 1989 Contempt Petition and Order filed. And Now,April 24, 1989 upon presentation of the foregoing petition, a Rule is issued to the Defendant, Michael Rambo to show cause, if any he has, why he should not be held in contempt of Court returnable for hearing on the 19 day of May, 1989 at 4:00 o'clock p.m., in Court room one or two of the Crawford County Courthouse.

By the Court,:
P. Richard Thomas, J

Apr. 26, 1989 Copies of order put in boxes of Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Christopher Youngs and William Pineo

May 1, 1989 Notice Of Master's Hearing filed.

May 17, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Continued on Page 30A

June 5, 1989, Custody, Visitation and Paternity Agreement, signed by parties and counsel filed.

June 9, 1989, Motion for Order filed.

June 9, 1989, ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 9, 1989, upon agreement of the parties filed of record in this matter, it is hereby ordered:

1. Defendant's Petition for visitation is hereby stricken.
2. Brian Corliss is the father of Danielle Lynn Rambo.
3. Michael rambo shall have no legal rights or responsibilities in regard to Danielle Lynn Rambo.
4. Michael Rambo shall execute any documents which may berequired:
   a. to terminate or eliminate his claim to paternity of Danielle Lynn Rambo;
   b. to correct danielle lynn Rambo's birth certificate to identify brian Corliss as Danielle Lynn Rambo's father; and
   c. to change her name to Danielle Lynn Corliss.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 13, 1989, copies of order to Attys. M. Kirkpatrick, C. Youngs, Wm. Walker.

June 19, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

June 21, 1989 Petition For Master's Fees and Order filed. AND NOW, this 21st day of June, 1989, the Court orders that the Prothonotary of Crawford County pay to William L. Walker, Master, the sum of $75.00 as Master's fees in connection with the above-captioned matter. The fees are to be paid fromthe monies depositied with the Prothonotary.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:
Robert L. Walker, J

June 21, 1989 Copies of order put in Atty.s Kirkpatric, Youngs and Walker's box.

June 23, 1989 **DECREE FILED.**

And Now, June 23, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Laura Rambo, Plaintiff, and Michael Rambo, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

By the Court:
P. Richard Thomas, J

June 28, 1989 Petition for Release of Masters' Deposit and Order filed: AND NOW, June 28, 1989, the Court directs the Prothonotary to release the remaining balance of $125.00 to Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug, attorneys for the Plaintiff.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 30, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. Kirkpatrick, Youngs and Walker's boxes.

30 B

1988

1988

Legal Services
Gerald Sullivan

581.

SUSAN HUMES

vs.

PATRICK HUMES

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE

6 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 1st day of July 1988, upon presentation of and consideration of the within petition, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 14th day of July, 1988 at 11:00 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

The defendant is directed torefrain from abusing and/or threatening to abuse, or harassing the plaintiff.

The defendant is excluded immediately and forthwith from the marital residence which is located at R. D. #1, Brookhauser Road, Saegertown, Pa., pending the hearing scheduled above. All keys to the marital residence are to be given forthwith to the plaintiff.

The plaintiff is hereby awarded temporary custody of the minor child of the parties pending the hearing scheduled above.

The Crawford County Sheriff Dept. is directed to serve a certified copy of order upon the defendant. In the alternative, this Petition and Order may be served by any competent adult.

The City of Meadville Police Dept. and /or the State Polcie of the Com. of Pa. and/or the Saegertown Police Dept. are directed toenforce this order and to prevent the return of the defendant to the aforesaid premises by any appropriate means.

This petition and order shall be filed and served without payment of costs; the court shall determine at the hearing if the defendant shall be required topay the costs.

The Defendant is hereby advised of his right to be represented by counsel, and he is notified that his failure to attend the hearing scheduled above may have serious consequences.

The defendant should take this paper to his lawyer at once. If he does not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, he should go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where he can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assn. Ser. to the Public Comm. Meadville, Pa. 16335. 814 724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Filed July 1, 1988
@ 10:27 a.m.

Tax & entry $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 18.00
9.00

July 12, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Patrick Humes personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Protection From Abuse, Petition and Order to him on July 1, 1988 at 2:29 PM at his place of employment, Tim's auto sAles, Baldwin street Extension, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
E. Ralph Copeland, Chief deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 20, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, July 14, 1988, at the time scheduled for hearing, the Defendant agrees to the entry of an Order as requested by the Plaintiff, provided that this consent shall not be considered an admission of any of the allegations as set forth in the petition and, accordingly, it is Ordered:

1. The Defendant shall have sole and exclusive possession of the marital home located at R.D.1, Saegertown, Pennsylvania.

2. The Defendant shall no abuse or threaten to abuse or harass or threaten to harass the Plaintiff at any time or any place. The Defendant shall not contact the Plaintiff directly or indirectly except to the extent that it is necessary to discuss matters relevant to the minor child of the parties, to wit, Brandon Humes.

3. The Plaintiff shall have temporary physical custody of Brandon Humes subject to partial custody in the Defendant, which shall include the following:

The Defendant shall have partial custody of Brandon Humes on alternate weekends commencing on Friday evening at 5:00 p.m. until Sunday evening at 5:00 p.m., commencing this forthcoming Friday, being the 15th day of July. This provision is subject to either party diling appropriate proceedings relative to the custody of the minor child in any court having jurisdiction over the matter.

The child shall be picked up and delivered at the residence of the maternal grandmother at 668 Graff Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

The defendant shall pay to the Plaintiff the sum of $50.00 per week for the support of the minor child Brandon Humes directly to the Plaintiff until an appropriate Order is entered. The first payment shall be made this date and the second payment shall be made one week thereafter. The support obligations of the Defendant will be determined by a Court having jurisdiction over the matter of support.

4. The Defendant agrees to pay one-half of the costs incurred in connection with the filing of this petition and the costs of the service of the petition upon the defendant.

The obligation of the wife as to the remaining one-half of the costs, including service for the Sheriff, is waived.

5. This Order shall remain in effect for a period of one (1) year from this date. In the event that there is a violation of this Order, the police may make an arrest without further authorization of Court.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Albert C. Acker, Judge
Specially presiding

July 20, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Sullivan and Thomas.

1988

Blystone & Blystone Peter E. Blystone	THE TAYLOR HOSE COMPANY	COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION
582.	vs.	1 copy (sheriff)
	DONNA J. HAUGH	Oct. 4, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. Complaint copy returned to Prothonotary's Office Oct. 3, 1988, per instructions from Atty. Peter Blystone. So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff
	Filed July 1, 1988 @ 3:40 p.m.	
	Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓	

1988

Douglas W. Ferguson

583.

TIMOTHY P. WOOD

vs.

CHERYL L. WOOD

COMPLAINT FOR PARTIAL CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

Filed July 5, 1988
@ 1:43 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 8-22-88. 90 ✓

8/16/88 Recd. 56.00
From Defendant

8/22/88 Recd 56.00
from plaintiff

Recd Sept 1, 1988
$56.00
Vivian Stoltz

Recd Sept 1, 1988
$56.00
Vivian Stoltz

ORDER:

YOU, CHERYL L. WOOD, defendant have been sued in court to obtain custody of the following child: John Wood.

You are hereby ordered to appear in person at such time and at such place as is herebydesignated by the Custody Mediator hereinafter appointed for a conference.

You are further ordered to bring with you the following named child: John Wood.

WILLIAM PINEO, ESQUIRE, is hereby appointed as custody mediator in this case.

If you fail to appear at the time specified by theCustody Mediator hereby appointed by this order or to bring the child, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

crawford County Bar Assoc. 310/312 Chestnut St, Meadville, Pa. 16335, Tele: 814 724-7719.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 4, 1988 Temporary Order ifled. AND NOW, this 4th day of August, 1988 after Mediation in the above referenced matter, and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Custody of the subject minor child, John Wood, shall be with his mother, Cheryl L. Wood.
2. the father shallhave thr right of visitation with his son on alternate weekends from Friday at 6:30 p.m. through Sunday at 8:30 p.m., beginning with the weekend of August 4, 1988.
3. The father shall also have visitation with his son each summer for a two-week period in June, a two-week period in July, and a one-week period in August, He shall notify the mother by May 31 of the periods that he wishes to select. For 1988, the father shall give the mother one week's notice of the August period.
4. Hoidays are defined as Labor Day, Thanksgiving, the Friday following Thanksgiving, Christmas Eve, Christmas, New Years Day, Easter , Memorial Day, and INdependance Day. The visitation period shall be from 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. The father shall have visitation for Labor Day, 1988, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holidays so defined. Holiday visitation shall take precedence over th eother provisions of this order relating to custody and visitation periods.
5. The father shall also have the right to telephone his son at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time.
6. During the time when John is in the care of his father, his father shall see that he is able to continue his normal scouting, sports and extra-curricular activities, unless in a particular event, this is not possible or unless the father's family is going out of town on vacation
7. If the father cannot exercise a particular visitation because of job commitment and the like, he shall notify th emother as soon as he is aware that the conflict has arisen an dthe parties shall arrange alternative visitation.
8. The parties are free to make alternative or additional arrangements with respect to custody and visitation. Unless there is mutual agreement, however, the terms of this order shallgovern.
9. the parties shall share all information related to John's health, education and the major events in his life. Health care and school personnel are authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have except that which John as legally requested be kept confidential from anyone.
10. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $112.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed tohim or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.
11. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this order shall be considered. permanent.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 5, 1988 Copies of order to r. Charles Thomas, Douglas Ferguson, Plaintiff, Defendant and William Pineo

1988

Bruce A. Barrett

584.

JAMES EDWARD GABLE

vs.

SHARON DARLENE GABLE

Filed July 5, 1988
@ 2:32 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co paid 8/30/89	30.00
Pro Co Pd 9-29-89	9.00
State Pd 9-29-89	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c)_ DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

July 11, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, ESQ., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Atto;rney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned Divorce Action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code was sent by egistered mail to the Defendant on July 5, 1988.
3. The registered mail was sent to Sharon D. Gable at 1335 Erie Street Extension, Saegertown, PA 16433. The Sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on July 6, 1988. Said receipt card number P 404 868 817 is attached hereto and signed by Sharon D. Gable.

Bruce A. Barrett

Aug. 11, 1989, Petition for Equitable Distribution, Custody and Visitation filed.

Aug. 21, 1989 Affidavit of Service filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Petition for Equitable Distribution along with a Count for Custody and Visitation was personally served on Sharon Darlene Gable by Bruce A. Barrett, Esq. at his office at 965 S. Main St. Meadville, Pa. 16335
3. The Petition and Complaint were served on Aug. 15, 1989.

Bruce A. Barrett

Aug. 21, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 21, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept 29, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Dec. 1, 1989 Agreement between the Parties filed.

Dec. 1, 1989 **DECREE FILED.**

1. DIVORCE: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.
2. FURNITURE AND PERSONAL PROPERTY: The Defendant shall receive and retain all of the jointly owned items of personal proper ty with the exception of those items listed on Schedule A, attached hereto and made a part hereof, said items on Schedule A shall go to the Plaintiff.
3. MOTOR VEHICLES: The Defendant shall receive a 1981 GMC Eagle automobile and she shall be solely responsible for the automobile insurance premiums that may be due on and after March, 1990.
4. REAL ESTATE: The jointly owned real estate located at 1335 Erie Street, Saegertown, Crawford County, Pennsylvania is hereby awarded to the defendant and the Plaintiff shall sign any and all documents necessary in order to transfer the deed to the Defendnat and the same shall be completed within thirty (30) days after the divorce is granted. The Defendant shall be responsible for the mortgage due on said property to the FHA. In addition, the Defendant shall be solely responsbile for real estate taxes, insruance on the real estate and any utility payments.
5. DEBTS: The Plaintiff shall be soley responsible for the VISA Account, the Sears Charge Account and the balance due on a loan from the Household Finance Corporation. In addition, the Plaintiff shall be responsible for the installment payments on the automobile insurance covering the 1981 AMC automobile through February 28, 1990.

The Defendant shall be solely responsible for the balance due on the Penney's Charge Account and the Carlisle's Charge Account.

6. CUSTODY: The parties shall share legal custody of their minor children, Charles Gable, Bryon Gamble, Justin Gable and Cassandra Gable. Physical custody of the children shall be primarily with their mother. The father shall have the right to have supervised visits with his minor children. The supervised visitations shall be scheduled bymutual agreement of the parties and shall take place at the residence of the minor childrens' paternal grandparents. The mother shall deliver the children to the residence of the paternal grandparents and the mother shall pick the children up and return them to her residence at the conclusion of each visitation. During the period of supervised visitation, the father shall not remove any of the children from his parent's residence.

The father's girlfriend shall not accompany the father on any of the supervised visitations, without the specific consent of the mother.

The foregoing provisions regarding custody and visitation m ay be modified by an Agreement reduced to writing and submitted to the Court for the entry of a further Order. Further, the parties may seek to modify the custody or visitation provisions by the filing for a hearing to be held before a custody mediator.

Both parents shall teach the children to give to the other parent the love and respect which is due to a parent and neither parent shall say or do anything that will in nay way tend to turn the children against the other parent. The parties agree to promptly notify the other in the event that any of the children become seriously ill or are involved in any accident resulting in injury.

The father shall have visitation rights at such other time and places as the parties may mututally agree.

BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J

December 1, 1989

1988

Mason and Mason M Dan Mason 585.	RICHARD KOWALEWSKI vs. LINDA L. LAKEY Filed July 5, 1988 @ 2:36 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Sheriffs costs 12.00	COMPLAINT 1 copy (sheriff) July 20, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Not Found, as to within named defendant Linda L. Lakey. Moved in 1987, no forwarding address. So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff Oct. 5, 1988, Complaint Reinstated. Jan. 12, 1990 Sheriff's Return filed. Complaint returned to Prothonotary January 12, 1990 unserved. Sheriff's Office received no instructions, no service costs. So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Peter E. Blystone
Blystone & Blystone

586.

Cusick,Madden, Joyce
& McKay
P. Raymond Bartholomew

MICHAEL J. BERCZEL, III

vs.

LARRY A. LORENO

Filed July 5, 1988
@ 2:41 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriffs costs	36.60

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

July 21, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Douglas R. Long, Deputy Sheriff, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 12th of July 1988, 3:09 p.m. he served Complaint in Civil Action upon defendant Larry A.Loreno at Lorene RE, 320 Main St., Greenville, Pa. 16125, by making known the contents thereof to DArlene Loreno, Sec. person in charge and handing to and leaving with her the cert. copy of the complaint for defendatn received from Sheriff of Crawford County.

Douglas R. Long, Dep. Sheriff
William H. Romine, Jr., Sheriff

SERVED on the within named defendant Larry A. Loreno, by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer County, pa. as per his affidavit of service hereto attached. Served July 12, 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Esq.

Aug 5, 1988 Preliminary Objections of Defendant filed.

Sept 26, 1988 Motion for Transfer of Case to the Court of Common Pleas of Mercer County, Pennsylvania and Order filed: AND NOW, this 26th day of September, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, the defendant's Preliminary Objections challenging venue in Crawford County are hereby sustained and the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania is directed to transfer the case the the Court of Common Pleas of Mercer County, Pennsylvania in accordance with Pa. R.C.P. No. 1006(e).

Defendant shall file an Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint within 20 days from this date.

Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept 27, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Blystone and Bartholomew.

1988

Jorden and White
Harry F. White

587.

M. Dan Mason

JEAN A. KACH

vs.

MICHAEL KATORA AND
BARBARA KATORA, HIS WIFE

LES MOLKE add'l dft.

Filed July 6, 1988
@ 11:16 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	25.00
Pro Co Pd 9/5/89	6.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

July 12, 1988 Acceptance of Service filed: AND NOW, this 11th day of July, 1988, come the defendants, Michael and Barbara Katora, through counsel, M. Dan Mason, Esquire, and swears that he accepted service of a complaint and notice to defend and claim rights in the above-captioned matter on the 11th day of July, 1988, with the full force and effect of service under the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

M. Dan Mason, Esq.
On Behalf of Defendants

Aug. 25, 1988, Answer of defendants filed. 2copies (atty)

Aug. 25, 1988, Complaint against additional defendants Les Molke filed. 1 copy (atty) 1 copy (sheriff)

Sept. 26, 1988 Plaintiff's Reply To Defendants, Katoras' New Matter filed.

Oct. 3, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Les Molke Additional Defendant personally by handing a true copy of the within Complaint Against Additional Defendant Les Molke at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA., Sept. 23, 1988 at 4:34 P.M.
So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 14, 1988 Answer of Additional Defendant, Les Molke to Complaint filed.

Feb. 13, 1989, Notice of Deposition of Michael E. Katora, filed.

Sept. 1, 1989, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Specter Law Offices PC
Anthony J. D'Amico

588.

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
E. Max Weiss
John B. Brautigam

JANET M. SWITZER AND
TERRY L. SWITZER, HER
HUSBAND

vs.

OPGAKCO, INC. t/d/b/a
CONNEAUT LAKE PARK

Filed July 6, 1988
@ 11:44 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	23.15
Pro Co Pd 6/16/89	6.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

(Jury Trial Demanded)

July 26, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED OPGAKCO, INC. t/d/b/a Conneaut Lake Park, within named defendant, on July 18, 1988 at 2:31 PM, by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Kay Opatkiewicz, person in charge, at their place of business, Conneaut Lake Park, Crawford County, Pa. 16316.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 29, 1988, Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma, and Schug, by E. Max Weiss, and John B. Brautigam, Esqs, attorneys for defendants filed.

Aug 3, 1988 Motion to Consolidate Actions and Order filed: AND NOW, this 3rd day of August, 1988, it is ordered that the above-captioned actions are consolidated into a single action to be captioned at No. AD 1986-882 as follows:

JANET M. SWITZER and TERRY L. SWITZER,
her Husband, Plaintiff
VS.
LAKESIDE REAL ESTATE COMPANY, INC., and OPGAKCO, INC.,
t/d/b/a CONNEAUT LAKE PARK, Defendants

The respective pleadings in the actions hereby consolidated shall remain as the pleadings in the consolidated action.

This Order of Consolidation shall in no way alter the preemptory jury challanges to which any party in the previously existing separate actions would otherwise have been entitled.
8/3/88 By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Aug 4, 1988 Copies of order to Anthony J. D'Amico, Max Weiss and John Brautigam, Esqs. (Original order filed at AD 1986-882)

Aug. 22, 1988 Plaintiffs' Reply to New Matter filed.

June 13, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned action is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

589.

VANESSA G. WHITE

vs.

DAVID G. WHITE

Filed July 7, 1988
@ 10:22 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
2 counts paid	30.00
Pro Co. Pd 9/8/89	9.00
State Surcharge Pd.	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY
AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 31, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, esquire, Attonrey for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on July 11, 1988 mail to the above defendant, David G. White by certified mail #P-547 808 360, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. sAid notice was received by dAvid G. White on July 12, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office ofthe Plaintiff's attonrey and is attached hereto.
Joan D. Mason

Aug. 24, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of David G. White. Defendant filed.

Sept. 8, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 8, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant.

Sept. 8, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Sept. 18, 1989 **STIPULATION AND DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 18th day of September, 1989, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201 (c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. REAL ESTATE: The Defendant, David G. White, will transfer by way of quitclaim deed, to the plaintiff, Vanessa G. White, all of his right, title and interest in the marital real estate located at 146 Smith Street, Cochranton, Pennsylvania. Vanessa G. White shall assume full responsibility for the balance on the mortgage owed to Marine bank (presently being monitored by pNC Mortgage Servicing Company of Louisville, Kentucky), and shall assume responsibility for any real estate taxes owed in regard to said property. She agrees to hold the Defendant harmless in regard to said mortgage. The costs of transferring the property to the Plaintiff's name alone shall be the responsibility of the Plaintiff.

3. PERSONAL PROPERTY: Each party shall retain those items of personal property that he or she now has in his or her separate possession, except for the Plaintiff's wedding band and engagement ring, which she will give to the Defendant, together with the certificate of value for the engagement ring.

4. VEHICLE: Defendant shall retain the Honda Civic automobile, purchased shortly before the parties separated.

5. INCOME TAX REFUND: Defendant shall retain the 1987 income tax refund of approximately $1,200.00.

6. WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS: Neither party hereto shall be required to file an inventory and appraisement nor income and expnse statement as may otherwise be required by the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure, as each is aware of the assets and debts of th eothr party hereto, as of the time the aprties separated, and as of the present time, to his or her own satisfaction.

7. CUSTODY: Plaintiff, Vanessa G. White shall have primary legal and physical custody of the parties' minor child, Michael Allen White, now age eight and a half (8½).

Defendant, David G. White shall have right to temporary custody of the child each Sunday from 8:00 a.m. until 8:00 p.m., and for such additional times as the parties may agree by mutual consent.

8. WAIVER OF CLAIMS: Neither party shall make any claims against the other party hereto, of any nature, except to enforce the provisions of this Decree. The Decree shall not be deemed in any way to affect either pa rty's obligation to support the minor child of the marriage, as those matters are being handled separately through the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, at Vanessa White vs. David G. White DR 1988 - 572.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs & Youngs
Christopher Youngs

590.

DAVID T. ZERBY

vs.

LAURA JO MAYERS

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

2 copies (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Laura Jo Mayers, defendant have been sued in court to obtain custody of the child, Cody Alan Mayers.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by WM. PINEO, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, aN ORDER for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set for th below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford CountyBar Assoc. Ser. to the Publ Comm. 310/312 Chestnut St, Meadville, Pa. 16335. Tele: 814 724-7719

Date: July 8, 1988

BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J.

Filed July 8, 1988
@ 9:33 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
William Pineo, Mediator
Mediation Cost 102.00
9.00 ✓
Rec'd 10/20/88

9/1/88 Recd $10.00 from Plaintiff
9/16/88 Recd $10.00 from plff.
9/23/88 Recd. $10.00 from Plaintiff
10/88 Recd 10.00 From Plaintiff
10/20/88 Recd 11.00 From Plaintiff
Recd 11-2-88 $5.00 Vivian Stalby

July 25, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Christopher J. Youngs, Esquire, hereby certifies that he is the Attorney for the P laintiff, David T. Zerby, in the within action and that a certified copy of the Complaint for Custody was mailed by certified mail, return receipt requested, addressee only to Defendant, Laura Jo Mayers on July 8, 1988. Certified mail was refused by Defendant and is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Another certified copy of the Complaint was sent to Defendant by First Class U.S. Mail on July 22, 1988. Per telephone call with Christopher J. Youngs' office and Laura Jo Mayers Complaint has been received by defendant. I understand that false statements heretin are made subjec to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities. Christopher J. Youngs

Sept. 1, 1988 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 1st day of Sept., 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The mother shall have legal and physical custody ofthe subject minor child, Cody Alan Mayers.
2. The father shall have the right of visitation on the first three full weekends of each month from Friday at 4:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m.
3. HOliday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Christmas visitation periods, the first beginning Christmas Eve at 4:00 p.m. and ending Christmas at 10:00 a.m. and the second beginning Christmas at 10:00 a.m. and ending at 7:00 p.m. For Christmas, 1988, the father shall have the first visitation period and the parties shall alternate the two periods. the other holidays shall be defined as Labor Day, Thanksgiving, New Years Eve, New Years DAy, Easter, Memorial Day, and Independence Day. Holiday visitation shall be from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. The father shall have Cody for Labor Day, 1988, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday. Where a holiday falls on a Monday and the father had the preceding weekend, the visitations shall merge into one which will run form Friday at 4:00 p.m. to Monday holiday at 7:00 p.m. Holiday visitation shall take precedence over the other provisions of this Order relating to the custodial and visitation periods.

4. The father shall have the right to visitation and temporary physical custody with his son during his summer vacation from work. He shall give the mother one month's n;otice of when that period is.
5. Transportation necessary to carry out the provisions of this Order shall be provided by the father.
6. Each party shall teach Cody to give to the other party that love and respect which is ude a parent form his or her child and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of th eother in the eyes of the child .
7. Each party shallinstruct his or her friends or relatives not to disparage or to speak badly of the other party in the presence of the child.
8. The Mediator has noted for the Court that the parties are young and are presently upset by the necessity of these proceedings and that in the course of time it would be expected for themto be able to better cooperate in the rearing of their child. Accordingly, this matter may be reviewed by the Court during the summer of 1989 at the Motion of either party to see if a joint legal custody arrangement might be feasible.
9. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding Cody's health, education, and the major events in his life. Health care and school personnel are hereby authorized to divulge to either party any confiential information which they may have about Cody.
10. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $102.00 are divided equally between the parties. The Mediator has noted for the Court that the mother is indigent and is receiving assistance and her share is therefore assessed to Crawford County. The father's share is assessed to him as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.
11. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be ocnsidered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Sept. 6, 1988 Copies of order to Christopher Youngs, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and William Pineo and Plaintiff and Defendant

Record Continued on page 40A

David T. Zerby

Record continued from page 40

vs.

of record

Laura Jo Mayers A.D. 1988 -- 590

**********©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©

Oct. 17, 1990 Petition For Contempt and Order filed. AND NOW, October 17, 1990, upon presentation of the foregoing Petition for Contempt, a Rule is issued upon the Defendant to appear and show cause, if nay, why she should not be held in contempt of this Court's September 1, 1988 order.

The said Rule shall be returnable and a hearing held thereon on Nov. 5, 1990, at 9:00 o'clock a.m., Courtroom 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335. Defendant Mother, Laura Jo Mayers, also known as Laura Jo Camp, is ORDERED to attend said hearing.

BY THE COURT,
P. richard Thomas, S.J.

1 copy atty.

Oct. 31, 1990 Affidavit of Non-Service filed. And Now, October 31, 1990, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq., and affirms as follows:

1. During 1988 she was attorney for Defendantin the above costody proceeding.
2. She has not seen or heard from Defendant in two years.
3. She does not known the whereabout of Defendant.
4. She is not authorized to and cannot accept service of legal papers or notice of legal proceedings on behalf of Defendant.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

Nov 7, 1990 Order filed: AND NOW, November 5, 1990, at the time the matter is set for contempt hearing on a petition by the plaintiff, the defendant fails to appear. Counsel for the plaintiff advises that they were unable to effectuate service of the notice of the hearing on this contempt petition, but that a present address for the defendant in Albion, Pennsylvania has bee discovered.

ACCORDINGLY, hearing on the contempt petition is continued until December 4, 1990, at 3:00 p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2.

In the meantime, after review of the record in this case, the Court directs that the mother of the child, Laura Jo Mayers Camp, continue compliance with the Court Order entered September 1, 1988 regarding visitations between father and child.

The Court is advised that Laura Jo Mayers has apparently now married and her last name is Camp.

The plaintiff/father advises the Court that in an effort to avoid any confrontation between the parents of the child, the plaintiff's sister will accompany him in picking up and returning the child so thate will be no need for confrontation between the parents.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Nov 13, 1990 Copy of order mailed to defendant and copy given to Atty. Youngs.

Dec. 3, 1990 Certificate of Service filed. I hereby certify that the order for Sc heduled Hearing on Petition for Contempt in Custody Action was served upon Laura Jo Mayers Camp by cert. mail NO. P. 966 069 799 on Nov. 29, 1990 Ret. REc. attached as Ex. A.

Christopher YOungs, Esq.

Dec 12, 1990 Order filed: AND NOW, December 4, 1990, the matter is before the Court on a court hearing as a result of a contempt petition. It appears there was a physical conflict between the parties resulting in assault charges in Erie County and the District Justice provided as a condition of the bond that the father of the child could have no contact with the mother. The mother erroneously interpreted the bond condition as a cancellation of the father's custody and visitation schedule prescribed by this Court's Order of September 1, 1988. Accordingly, the father has lost approximately ten (10) weekend visits with the child.

The parties amicably resolve this issue by the father propounding that he have extra visits in the future to make up for the ten (10) weekend visits missed by the conduct of the mother and in return for the same, would ask the Court not to impose sanctions for the mother's contempt. The mother and her counsel agree to the expanded visits and accordingly by mutual agreement of the parties, the Order heretofore entered September 1, 1988 is modified to the end that in each month commencing with the month of December, 1990 and running through September, 1991, the father will have four weekend visits per month instead of three (3) with said visits to be between the same hours, namely Friday at 4:00 p.m. and running until Sunday at 6:00 p.m.In any month in which there are five (5) Saturdays, the four (4) weekend visits will be first four (4) weekends in the month.

In all other respects, the aforesaid Order will remain in effect.

In accord with the foregoing, this Court now rules that the petition for contempt is now moot and accordingly, the Court dismisses the same.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Dec 13, 1990 Copies of order put in Attys. Youngs and Sullivan's box.

40
B

1988

1988

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

591.

MARJORIE E. RUMMEL

vs.

LARRY W. RUMMEL

Filed July 8, 1988
@ 12:12 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 12/30/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

July 11, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, ESQ., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit Of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned Divorce Action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on July 8, 1988.
3. The rregistered mail was sent to Larry W. Rummel at Box 156, Plumville, PA 16246. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on July 9, 1988. Said receipt card number P 404 868 818 is attached hereto and signed by Larry W. Rummel.

Bruce A. Barrett

Dec. 28, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Dec. 28, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Dec. 28, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for Review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Dec. 30, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, December 30, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

MARJORIE E. RUMMEL and LARRY W. RUMMEL

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Mutzabaugh, Mutzabaugh, Saunders & Mattie
R. W. Mutzabaugh
592

MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton
Norman H. Stark

WILLIAM D. JOHNSON

VS.

NATIONAL FUEL GAS DISTRIBUTION CORPORATION

Filed July 8, 1988 @ 12:34 P.M.

Tax & Entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 18.00

WRIT OF SUMMON IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

July 25, 1988 Appearance of MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton by Norman H. Stark for Defendant filed.

Aug. 17, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED National Fuel Gas Distribution Corporation within named deendant on July 12, 1988 at 9:15 AM by handing a true copy of the wihtin Summons to Mary sTevens, clerk and person in charge, at their place of business, water Street, meadville, Crawford County ,PA.
So answers. Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Kathleen R. Nelson

593

JOSEPH McKNIGHT

vs.

ARNITA McKNIGHT

Filed July 11, 1988
@ 9:17 A.M.

Tax & Entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 1/5/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

July 22, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: KATHLEEN NELSON, ESQ., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says taht the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned Action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on July 14, 1988.

3. The registered mail was sent to ARNITA McKNIGHT, 6137 BLUE HILL ST., DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48230.

That the Defendant received said registered mial on July 18, 1988. Said receipt card number P 725-346-299 is attached hereto and signed by Anntte Neal.

Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Nov. 14, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Dec. 14, 1988 Affidavit of consent of Arnita McKnight, Defendant filed.

Dec. 29, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201 (c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Jan. 20, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, January 20, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that JOSEPH McKNIGHT, plaintiff and ARNITA McKNIGHT, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Kathleen R. Nelson

594

ROBIN ELLWOOD

VS.

BRIAN ELLWOOD

Filed July 11, 1988
@ 9:18 A.M.

Tax & Entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 10/25/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

July 15, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. KATHLEEN R. NELSON, ESQ., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her AFfidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief. 1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in divorce was sent by registered mail to the defendant on 7/11/88

3. The registered mail was sent to Mr. brian Ellwood, 121 Heck Road, Sarver, PA 16055.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on 7/13/88. Siad receipt card number P 725 345 684 is attached hereto and signed by Rita Ellwood.

Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq.

Oct. 25, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Oct. 25, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Oct. 25, 1988, Upon praeicpe of plaintiff attorney for review Under 201(c) of the Divorce code, this case moved to court.

Nov. 1, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, November 1, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

ROBIN ELLWOOD and BRIAN ELLWOOD

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Northwestern Legal Services, Inc.
Margaret Eglinton

595

Glenn Thompson

LINDA L. DAVIS

VS.

LARRY A. DAVIS

Filed July 11, 1988
@ 9:18 A.M.

Indigent filing
sheriff costs $18.00

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE
WITH TEMPORARY ORDER

5 copies (atty)

Order:

AND NOW, this 11th day of July, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 18th day of July, 1988 at 10:00 A.M. o'clock in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pennsylvania.

The defendant is directed to refrain from abusing and/or threatening to abuse, or harassing the plaintiff and to leave the minor children of the parties at the marital residence.

The defendant is excluded immediately and forthwith from the marital residence which is located at RD#8, Box 642, Meadville, Pa., pending the hearing scheduled above. All keys to the marital residence and plaintiff's car are to be given forthwith to the plaintiff.

The Plaintiff is hereby awarded temporary custody of the minor children of the parties pending the hearing scheduled above.

The Crawford County Sheriff Department is directed to serve a certified copy of this Petition and Order upon the Defendant. In the alternative, this Petition and Order may be served by any competent adult or by certified mail.

The City of Meadville Police Department and/or the State Police of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania are directed to enforce this Order and to prevent the return of the defendant to the aforesaid premises by any appropriate means.

This Petition and Order shall be filed and served without payment of costs; the Court shall determine at the hearing if the defendant shall be required to pay the costs.

THE DEFENDANT IS HEREBY ADVISED OF HIS RIGHT TO BE REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL, AND HE IS NOTIFIED THAT HIS FAILURE TO ATTEND THE HEARIANG SCHEDULED ABOVE MAY HAVE SERIOUS LEGAL CONSEQUENCES.

THE DEFENDANT SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO HIS LAWYER AT ONCE. IF HE DOES NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, HE SHOULD GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE HE CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
c/o Prothonotary
Courthouse
Meadville, Pa 16335
(814) 724-4636

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

July 19, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, July 18, 1988, at the time set for hearing, the parties advise the Court through their counsel that the matter has been amicably resolved by the following consent Order, without, however, an admission by the Defendant that he committed the alleged acts in the petition:

1. The Defendant will not annoy, assault, threaten or harass his wife in any fashion.

2. The wife may occuply the present domestic residence, with the Court noting that both parties agree the premises are to be vacated July 31, 1988.

3. After July 31, plaintiff will reside with her mother, and the defendant will maintain a separate residence.

4. Parties are in the process of finalizing a custody and visitation agreement, and accordingly, no Order is entered regarding this issue at this time.

5. Both parties are in agreement that they will enroll themselves and the children in counseling therapy with Families in Change and earnestly attempt to participate in said counseling.

6. This Order shall remain in force and effect for a period of six (6) months, without prejudice to the right of either party to petition for termination or extension of the Order.

7. Any plice officer upon reviewing a copy of this Order and having reasonable grounds to believe that the order has been violated may apprehend the Defendant, take him forthwith before a District Justice for arraighnment and report the incident to the Court at the opening of court the next day.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

July 19, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Thompson and Eglinton.

July 26, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Larry A. Davis personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Petition and order for Protectio from Abuse to him on July 11, 1988 at 10:19 a.m. at his place of residence,R. D. #8, Box 642, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers,

E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Dep. Sheriff
Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso
& Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

596

CATHERINE A. SMITH

VS.

FLOYD J. SMITH

Filed July 11, 1988
@ 11:08 A.M.

Tax & Entry paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 8/29/88	9.00
Pro Co Pd 10/6/88	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

July 11, 1988 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201 (d) of the Divorce Code filed.

July 20, 1988,
Ann Hansen Gamble, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says the she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and that on July 13, 1988, she sent a copy of the Amended Complaint in Divorce and the Plaintiff's Affidavit Under 201(d) of the Divorce Code, to the Defendant by certified mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to Floyd J. Smith, R.D.1, Bankson Road, Oil City, Pennsylvania 1630_the Defendant's last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto.
Ann Hansen Gamble

Aug. 29, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Sept. 14, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, September 14, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

C A T H E R I N E A . S M I T H and F L O Y D J . S M I T H

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Oct. 5, 1988, Notice of Election to Retake Maiden, or prior, Name filed.
TO BE KNOWN AS: CATHERINE A. MANGAN.

1988

FERGUSON & SPATARO
Douglas W. Ferguson

597.

ROBERT McELHINNY, SR.

VS.

ROBIN MAE McELHINNY

Filed July 11, 1988
@ 11:15 A.M.

Tax & Entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Pro for Co pd 11-7-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 2, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated July 26, 1988. The Defendant acknowledged service on July 28, 1988 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Douglas W. Ferguson

Nov. 7, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 7, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Nov. 7, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney to transmit record -Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Nov. 23, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED**

AND NOW, November 233, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

ROBERT McELHINNY AND ROBIN MAE McELHINNY

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,

Robert L. Walker, J.

1988

Gordon R. Miller

598

R. Charles Thomas

SUSAN & DAVID LEVETO

VS.

DAVID & MARLENE CONSIDER,
14K DESIGNER BUSINESS

Filed July 11, 1988 @ 3:48 P.M.

Tax & Entry Paid $40.25 ✓
Pro Co Pd 1/16/88 9.00 ✓ 1 copy (atty)
Pro Co pd 6/1/90 5.00

NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM DISTRICT JUSTICE JUDGMENT
OF DISTRICT JUSTICE, WILLIAM CHISHOLM

July 11, 1988 Rule entered upon Plaintiff to file Complaint.

July 20, 1988 Proof of Service of Notice of Appeal and Rule to File Complaint filed.

Sept. 19, 1988, Complaint filed.

Jan 13, 1989, Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint filed

And Now, Jan. 13, 1989, at 9:05 a.m., upon praecipe of defendant's attorney, Judgment of Non Pros is hereby entered against the named plaintiff for failure to file a Complaint within twenty days after being rule so to do.

Judgment 1/13/89 JUDGMENT ON NON PROS
(not in judgment index, per request of atty)
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Feb. 7, 1989 Reply filed.

Mar 30, 1989 Certificate of Readiness filed.

May 30, 1989 Order Setting Nonjury Trial filed. AND NOW, May 30, 1989, nonjury trial is hereby set for July 13, 1989, at 1:30 p.m., in Courtroom 1.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

June 6, 1989 Copies of order put in Gordon Miller and R. Charles Thomas' box.

July 13, 1989 Consent Verdict filed: AND NOW, THIS 13th day of July, 1989, prior to a nonjury trial and with the consent of the parties through their counsel the Court hereby enters a verdict for the plaintiffs and against the defendants in the sum of $6,200.00 which said sum shall bear interest from August 13, 1989, plus record court costs.
C-43, 113; F-184
BY THE COURT, P. Richard Thomas, President Judge

May 31, 1990 This Judgment Satisfied. (see paper filed)

1988

MASON AND MASON
Joan D. Mason

599

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

4/19/90 Recd. $500.00 from Defendant. Master Fee
9/26/90 Recd. $500.00 from Plaintiff Master Fee
2/8/91 Paid $337.00 To Barbara L. Rains, Steno Fee LR 6029
2/15/91 Paid $100.00 To Barbara L. Rains Steno Fee LR 6045
8/30/91 Paid $60.00 To Barbara L. Raines LR 6371
10-5-92 Pd $503.00 to Master Ck # 7095
1/18/93 Recd. 335.00 from Plf. on Masters Fees
2-18-93 Recieved from Dlf Master fees 488.50
2-24-93 Pd Master Masters Fees Ck # 7363 823.50

DANIEL R. JABLONSKI

vs.

MARGARET L. JABLONSKI

Filed July 12, 1988 @ 9:54 AM

Tax & entry paid	40.50	✓
1 count paid	15.00	✓
3 counts paid 8/24/88-	45.00	✓
Doug.Ferguson, Master		
2 counts paid 1/24/91-	30.00	✓
state paid 1/24/91	10.00	
State	10.00	
Pro Co	9.00	

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

3 copies (atty)

Aug. 24, 1988 Notice of Interrogatories filed.

Aug. 24, 1988 Answer and Counterclaim filed. (adding counts of Alimony, Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees, Expert Fees and Costs, Child Custody)

Aug. 29, 1988 Motion To Appoint Mediator and Order filed. AND NOW, this 29th day of August, 1988, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a Mediation conference before the Mediator, John Fuller, at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse, with the Child Custody Mediator as required by rule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania; it is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the Court, the children shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the Court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment of Contempt of Court.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

aug. 31, 1988 Copies of order to Joan Mason and James Irwin and John Fuller

Aug. 31, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, Attonrey for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on August 5, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Margaret L. Jablonski, by certified mail #P-547 808 365, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Margaret L. Jablonski, on August 8, 1988 as indicted by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attonrey and is attached hereto. Joan D. Mason

Dec. 22, 1988, Answers to Interrogatories to plaintiff filed. 2 copies (atty)

Dec. 23, 1988, Income and Expense Statement of plaintiff filed. 1 copy (atty)

Mar 12, 1990 Petition for Special Relief filed.

Mar 12, 1990 Order filed: AND NOW, this 12 day of March, 1990, upon consideration of the within Petition it is hereby Ordered, Adjudged, and Decreed, that the above named Respondent shall refrain from abusing the Petitioner and other family menbers who formerly resided in the marital residence.

A Rule is hereby granted on the Respondent to show cause why the foregoing Order should not be made final.

Rule Returnable and hearing on the 23 day of March, 1990, at 10:00 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Mar 13, 1990 Copies of order put in Attys. Irwin and Mason's boxes.

In error See AD 1990-292

Mar. 21, 1990, Margaret Jablonski's Income and Expense Statement filed.

Mar. 21, 1990, Inventory and Appraisement of Margaret L. Jablonski filed.

June 12, 1990 Affidavit Of Consent of defendant filed.

Aug. 13, 1990 Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, August 15, 1990, Douglas W. Ferguson, Esquire is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Alimony, Equitable Distribution of Marital Property, Counsel Fees, Costs and Expenses.

Deposit required: By Plaintiff $500.00; By Defendant $500.00

PER CURIAM.
Gordon R. Miller J.

Aug. 21, 1990 copies of Order to Joan Mason, James R. Irwin, John Fuller and D. Ferguson.

Nov. 13, 1990 Notice Of Pre-Trial Conference filed.

Jan. 4, 1991 Notice of Master's Hearing filed.

Jan. 24, 1991, Income and Expense Statement of plaintiff filed. 1 copy (atty)

Jan. 24, 1991, Inventory and Appraisement of plaintiff filed. 1 copy (atty)

Jan. 24, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed. 1 copy (atty)

Jan. 24, 1991,Petition for Additional Remedies (Custody and Counsel Fees, Court Costs and Expenses) filed. 1 copy (atty)

Jan. 31, 1991, notice of Continued Master's Hearing filed. (2/8/91 at 9:00 a.m.)

Feb. 8, 1991, Petition for Payment of Court Reporters Expenses and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 8 day of Feb. 1991 upon petition fo Douglas W. Ferguson, esq. the Master in the above entitled case, the Prothonotary is ordered to pay the sum of $337.00 to Barbara L. Rains per Exhibit "A" attached to the Master's petition.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb. 12, 1991, copies of order to J. Mason, d. Ferguson and J. Irwin. J. fuller.

Continued on Page 626

50

1988

Prather, Prather & Pineo
Mark D. Prather

600

David R. Devine

ROBERT TERRELL

vs.

SHOWMAN AND SONS, INC.

Filed July 12, 1988 @ 12:25 P.M.

Tax & Entry Paid	40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	41.00
Arb paid 11/4/88	15.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 4/10/89	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT (ASSUMPSIT)

1 copy (atty)

July 25, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before men, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Harry D. Holmwood, Deputy who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 18th day of July, 1988, at 11:10 a.m., he served Complaint, filed at No. AD 600 Term, 1988, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant, Raymond D. Showman and Sons, Incorporated at 12851 Sharp Road, Erie County, Edinboro, Pennsylvania. By making known the contents thereof to Martha, Daughter-in-law an dhanding to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford County.
So answers, Harry D. Holmwood, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff

Served Raymond D. Showman and Sons, Incorporated by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, PA as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: July 18, 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 11, 1988 Answer, New Matter and Counter Claim In Assumpsit filed.

Aug 16, 1988 Reply of Plaintiff to New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

Nov. 4, 1988, Stipulation For Arbitration filed.

Nov. 4, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for reference to the arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed:

John D. Petruso, Ch.
Glenn Thompson
~~Donald E. Lewis~~ David Pickens

Dec 19, 1988 Arbitrators Take Qualifying Oaths.

Dec. 20, 1988 Notice of Hearing before Board of Arbitrators, filed.

Jan. 31, 1989, Decision of the Arbitrators filed in which they find:
Upon stipulation of counsel to proceed with two of the three arbitrators in favor of the plaintiff in the amount of $1,500.00 and against the defendant on plaintiff's claim; and in favor of the defendant in the amount of $8,968.70 and against the plaintiff on defendant's counterclaim.
Decision entered - 1/31/89
T - 144
DECISION OF ARBITRATORS
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Jan. 31, 1989, copies of decision to Attys. M. Prather and D. Devine.

And Now, April 10, 1989 at 1:48 p.m., upon praecipe of defendant attorney, with copy of Decision of Arbitrators attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor ofthe defendant Showman and Sons, Inc. and aganst the plaintiff Robert Terrell in the amount of Eight Thousand Nine Hundred Sixty Eight and 70/100 Dollars; and for the plaintiff and against the defendant for $1,500.00 Judgment to be entered in favor of defendant and against the plaintiff in the amount of Seven Thousand Four hundred Sixty Eight and 70/100 Dollars. (difference)
Judgment entered = 4/10/89
T - 144
Debt --- $7,468.70
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Apr. 11, 1989, notice of entry of Judgment mailed to plaintiff.

EXECUTION 1989 - 47

May 18, 1989 This Judgment Satisfied
(See papers filed)

1988

ALLEN & HALL
Stephen E. Hall

601

Robert Felton

KATHALEEN S. KLINGER

vs.

WAYNE E. KINGER, JR.

Filed July 13, 1988 @ 9:48 A.M.

Tax & Entry $40.50
William Pineo, Mediator
Mediation Costs 62.00
Mediation Costs 114.50

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

Order: 1 copy (atty) & 1 copy (mediator)

YOU, Wayne G. Klinger, have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody, or visitation with the children Amanda Lee Klinger and Timothy John Klinger.

WILLIAM PINEO is hereby appointed mediator to hear this matter.

You are ordered to appear in person at such times and places as as shall be set for hearing in this matter, whether by the mediator or the judge.

You are further ordered to bring with you, if in your physical custody, the two above named children.

YOU ARE DIRECTED NOT TO MOVE THE ABOVE-NAMED CHILDREN FROM THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA PENDING FINAL ORDER IN THIS MATTER.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public
c/oProthonotary
Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, Pa 16335
Telephone No: (814) 734-4636

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

July 13, 1988

July 13, 1988 Petition for Permission to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and Order filed: AND NOW, upon review of the foregoing Petition the plaintiff is hereby granted permission to proceed in forma pauperis, and the Prothonotary is directed to accept the attached complaint for divorce and custody without payment of filing fees. The Court reserves the right to impose costs if appropriate.

July 13, 1988 BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

July 13, 1988 Copies of order to Stephen Hall, Esq.

Sept. 9, 1988 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of Sept., 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.
2. The mother shall shave primary physical custody of the children.
3. The father shall have temporary physical custody and the right of visitation every weekend, except for the last weekend of every month, from Friday at 6:30 p.m. to Sunday at 7:00 p.m.
4. Holidays are defined as Labor Day, Thanksgiving, Christmas, New Years Day, Easter, Memorial Day, and Independance Day. Holiday visitation shall be from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. The father shall have visitation with his chidlren on Labor Day, 1988 and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holidays. The children shall spend Father's Day with their father and MOther's Day with their mother during the aforementioned hours. On each of the Children's birthdays, the parties will arrange for joint visits for the entire family, said arrangements being made with reference to the father's work schedule. HOlidays visitations shall take precedence over the other provisions of this Order relating to the custody and visitaiton periods.
5. The father shall have the right of temporary physical custody and visitation for two months each summer. He shall notify the mtoher by May 15, which periods he wishes to select. During the father's summer custodial period, the mother shall have visitation each weekend, except for the last full weekend of every month, from Friday at 6:30 p.m. through Sunday at 7:00 p.m.
6. Each party shall teach the children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child, neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the eyes of said children.
7. The party having the care ofthe children shall take care that their medical needs are seen to by reputable medical care workers.
8. The contacts necessitated between the parties by this Order shall not be used by either party to harrass or annoy the other nor to insist upon carrying on conversation on topics not acceptable to the other.
9. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements but only by mutual consent, otherwise this Order shall govern.
10. The parties shall share all information regarding the children's health, education and major evetns in their lives. Health care and school personnel are authorized to release to either party all confidential information.
11. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $62.00 are divided equally betweenthe parties. The Mediator has noted for the Court that the mother is on assistance and her share is therefore assessed to Crawford County. The father's share is assessed tohim as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.
12. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Sept. 13, 1988 Copies of order to Stephen Hall, Robert Felton, Defendant and William Pineo

Continued on page 605

1988

Gary V. Skiba

602

Knox Graham McLaughlin Gornall and Sennett, Inc.
James T. Marnen
for Matteson

Sharlock, Repcheck & Mahler
Gary F. Sharlock
for John Deere Company & Deere Company

JOHNNY LEE CROUSE and TAMMY CROUSE, his wife

VS.

JOHN DEERE COMPANY, DEERE & COMPANY, and MATTESON EQUIPMENT COMPANY, (a close corporation)

Filed July 13, 1988 @ 10:28 A.M.
Tax & Entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 46.30

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

July 26, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Matteson Equipment Company within named defendant on July 20, 1988 at 10:05 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint and three copies of Interrogatories to Howard Matteson, owner, at 717 West Central Ave, Titusville, Crawford County, Pa. 16354.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug 2, 1988 Appearance of Knox Graham McLaughlin Gornall and Sennett, Inc. by James T. Marnen, Esq. for Matteson Equipment Company filed.

August 8, 1988 Appearance of Sharlock, Repcheck & Mahler by Gary F. Sharlock for Defendant John Deere Company & Deere Company filed.

Aug. 23, 1988 Answer and new Matter of Defendant Matteson Equipment Company to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Aug. 24, 1988 Notice of Service of Request for Production of Defendant Matteson Equipment Company to Plaintiff Johnny Lee Crouse filed.

Aug. 24, 1988 Notice of Service of Interrogatories from Defendant Matteson to Plaintiff Johnny Lee Crouse filed.

Sept 12, 1988 Notice of Deposition of Johnny Lee Crouse filed.

Sept 12, 1988 Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Sept 12, 1988 Notice of Deposition of John Suppa filed.

Sept 12, 1988 Request for Production of Documents filed.
Sept 12, 1988 Notice of Deposition of Designated Representative of Argonaut filed.
Sept 12, 1988 Supplemental Answers of Matteson to Plaintiffs' Interrogatories filed.

Sept 12, 1988 Plaintiff's Interrogatories to Defendant Matteson Equipment Company with Requests for Production and Matteson Equipment Company's Answers thereto filed.

Sept. 23, 1988 JOhn Deere Company's Answers To Plaintiff's Interrogatories With Requests For Production filed.

Sept. 23, 1988 Answer and New Matter filed.

Sept. 23, 1988 Answer and New Matter filed.

Sept. 23, 1988 Second Supplemental Answers Of Matteson To Plaintiffs; Interrogatories filed.

Oct. 13, 1988, Reply to New Matter of Defendant John Deere Company filed.

Oct. 13, 1988, Reply to New Matter of Defendant Deere and Company filed.

Oct. 13, 1988, Reply to New Matter of Defendant Matteson Equipment Company filed.

Oct. 13, 1988, Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Oct. 27, 1988 Plaintiffs' Answer To Request For Production of Documents By defnedant John Deere Company filed.

Nov. 4, 1988, Notice of Taking Deposition Upon Oral Examination, filed. (of John T. Suppa)

Nov 14, 1988 Petition for Leave to Amend Complaint and Order filed: AND NOW, to-wit, this 14 day of Nov,. 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing petition, the plaintiffs are granted leave to amend their complaint as set forth in the attached proposed amended complaint. In addition the plaintiffs may later amend their complaint to set forth the appropriated allegations of partnership in regard to Matteson Equipment Company if further discovery would indicate that that party is a partnership.
Executed on Nov. 11, 1988 P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov 16, 1988 Copies of order to Atys Skiba, Marnen and Sharlock.

Nov 14, 1988 Certification of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Nov 14, 1988 Certification of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Nov 14, 1988 Certification of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Nov 14, 1988 Notice of Deposition of Bernard L. Matteson, Alan Kerr, Elmer Snow, Richard Scott Miller and Robert Snyder filed.

Nov. 14, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, this case is placed on the Argument List .

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR DECEMBER 1988

Nov. 29, 1988, ORDER filed. And now, November 23, 988 the Court's attention having been directed to the fact that it authorized an amendment to the complaint without hearing argument thereon, the court's former approval is rescinded and argument on the motion to amend the complaint is sc heduled for Dec. 19, 1988 at 9:45 a.m.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT.
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.
Nov. 30, 1988 copies to Gary B. Skiba, Gary F. Sharlock, and James T. Marnen, Esqs.

Continued on Page 52-a

Dec 5, 1988 Oral Deposition of Johnny Lee Crouse Friday, October 21, 1988 filed.

Dec. 8, 1988, Notice of Deposition of designated representative of Inservco INs. Services, Inc. filed.

Dec. 15, 1988, ORDER filed. And now, Dec. 15, 1988, argument in the above matter heretofore set for Dec. 19, 1988, is hereby reset by the court for Feb. 9, 1989 at 1:15 p.m. in C. R. No. 1.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, C. Adm.

Dec. 15, 1988, copies of order to Gary V. Skiba, Gary F. Sharlock and James Marnen

Jan 18, 1989 Motion for Leave to Submit Supplemental Brief and Order filed: AND NOW, to-wit, this 18th day of Jan, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, the plaintiffs are granted leave to file a supplemental brief as requested therein.

Jan 18, 1989 Copies of order to attys. Skiba, Sharlock and Marnen.

Mar. 6, 1989, Motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories and Requests for Production filed.

Mar. 6, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above motion is placed on next argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR APRIL 1989

Mar. 16, 1989, RE: PETITION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND COMPLAINT - MEMORANDUM AND ORDER - filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Mar. 15, 1989, the plaintiffs' Petition for Leave to Amend Complaint is denied.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Mar. 16, 1989, copies to Attys. to Attys. Gary Skiba, Gary F. Sharlock, Lawrence T. Lewis, and James T. Marnen.

On April 1989 - Argument List

Mar 23, 1989 Supplemental Motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories and Reauests for Production and Order filed: AND NOW, to-wit, this 27 day of March, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, it having been determined that the objections of the defendant Deere & Company are without merit, it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED that within twenty (20) days of the date of this order the defendant Deere & Company served upon the plaintiffs' counsel a full, complete, and accurate answer to interrogatory 27(a) of plaintiffs' interrogatories served upon said defendant on September 29, 1988, as well as all other interrogatories for which the plaintiffs have sought an order to compel, and answers to interrogatory 27(a) and the other interrogatories and request for production shall be filed within twenty (2) days of the date of this order.

P. Richard Thomas

Mar 31, 1989 Copies of order to Attys of record.

Mar 23, 1989 Praecipe of Plaintiffs' attorney to place case on argument list filed. (Moot, Motion granted 3/27/89)

*****

Mar 27, 1989 Defendant Matteson's Statement of No Objection to Amendment of Complaint Regarding Identity of Product and Employment of Plaintiff filed.

**** Mar. 23, 1989, Motion for Reconsideration of Order denying Leave to Amend Complaint and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit, this 10 day of April 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, the plaintiffs are granted leave to amend their complaint to add the allegations set forth in paragraphs 2 and 3 of their proposed amended complaint attac hed to the petition for leave to amend complaint filed Nov . 14, 1988. P. Richard Thomas, J.

Apr. 25, 1989, copies to Gary Skiba, James T. Marnen, Gary Sharlock Esqs (mail)

Mar. 23. 1989, Praecipe for placement on Argument List filed. 4/10/89 Moot. Request Granted 4/10/89.

PRT, Judge

Apr. 25, 1989, copies to attys. of record, mailed.

Apr. 21, 1989, Defendant John Deere Company and Defendant Deere & Company's Statement of No Objection to Amendment of Complaint Regarding Identity of Product and Employment of Plaintiff, filed.

Apr. 21, 1989, Motion to Continue Time for Argument filed.

Apr. 21, 1989, RE: MOTION TO COMPEL ANSWERS TO Interrogatories and REquest for Production and Supplemental Motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories and Request for Production and Order filed. And now, April 21, 1989, it appears that through an oversight this Court signed an Order attached to palintiff's Supplemental Motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories and Request for production on MAr. 27, 1989. Said Order is hereby rescinded.

The original Motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories and Request for Production is presently scheduled for argument on April 24, 1989. The plaintiff has requested continuance of the argument scheduled for Apr. 24, 1989. Argument on the above motions shall be heard on May 30, 1989, on a regularly scheduled argument list, at 10:00 a.m.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Apr. 25, 1989 copies mailed to all counsel of record.

On May 1989 Argument List

June 14, 1989, Amended Answer and New Matter of Defendant Matteston EQuipment Company to Plaintiffs' Complaint, filed.

Continued on Page 52 B

52
-b-

1988 TERM

Continuation of AD 1988 - 602 (Johnny Lee Crouse al vs. John Deere Co. al)

June 30, 1989, Defendant Deere & Company's Reply to the Crossclaim of Defendant Matteson Equipment Company Contained in the Amended Answer and New Matter of Defendant Matteson Equipment Company to Plaintiff's Complaint, filed.

July 19, 1989, Motion for Summary Judgment, of defendant Matteson Equipment Co. filed.

July 21, 1989, Upon praecipe of defendant Matteson Equip. Co., Motion for summary Judgment placed on next Argument List.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR AUGUST 1989

July 25, 1989, Motion for Sumamry Judgment filed.

July 25, 1989, Upon praecipe of attorney for John Deere Co., this motion placed on next Argument List.

Aug 25, 1989 Motion for Continuance of Argument and Order filed: AND NOW, to-wit this 25th day of August, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion for Continuance of Argument, the hearing on the Defendant's Motions for Summary Judgment in this case are continued from August 28, 1989, to the date first available on the next argument list.

Robert L. Walker, J

Aug 30, 1989 Copies of order mailed to Attys. Skiba, Marnen and Sharlock.

ON SEPT. 1989 ARGUMENT LIST

Sept. 21, 1989, Motion for continuance of Argument, and Order filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, this 20 day of Sept. 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion for Continuanc eof Argument, the hearing onthe Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment in this case are continued from sept. 25, 1989 to the date first available on the next argument list. P. Richard Thomas, J.

Sept. 25, 1989, copies of order to Attys. Skiba, Marnen and Sharlock.

Sept. 21, 1989 Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, Matteson's Motion for summary Judgment placed on next Argument List.

ON OCTOBER 1989 ARGUMENT LIST.

Dec. 21, 1989, RE: DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - **MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, December 21, 1989, summary judgment is entered in favor of defendants' John Deere Company, Deere & Company and Matteson Equipment Company.**

BY ORDER OF THE COURT
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Dec. 22, 1989, copies mailed to attys. of record.

1988

R. Charles Thomas

603

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs
Christopher Youngs

JAMES L. LUBA

vs.

ELEANOR T. LUBA

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) or 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Filed July 13, 1988 @ 10:54 A.M

Tax & Entry Paid	40.50
Pro Co Pd 10/17/88	30.00
State Pd 2/17/94	10.00
Pro.Co. pd 2/17/94	9.00

Oct. 14, 1988 Motion to Set Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, this 14 day of October, 1988, upon presentation and considerationof the foregoing Motion said hearing is scheduled for Oct. 26, 1988, at 2:30 o'clock p.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas,J

Oct. 14, 1988 copies of order to R. Charles Thomas and Christopher Youngs

Oct. 14, 1988, Petition for Additional Remedies of Equitable Distribution, Counsel Fees, expenses and Costs filed.

Oct. 26, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendnt filed.

Oct. 26, 1988 Budget Information of Defendant filed.

Oct. 31, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 26, 1988, the matter is before the court on a request for counsel fees, expenses and costs. The plaintiff is directed to pay the sum of $100.00 to counsel for the defendant the week commencing Oct. 30, 1988 and $50.00 per week thereafter until a total sum of $500.00 for counsel fees and expenses has been paid.

This order is made without prejudice tothe right of a master or the court to allocate the parties counsel fees and costs among each other in an equitable manner.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Nov. 2, 1988 copies to R. C. Thoms, and C. Youngs, Esqs.

Jan. 16, 1989, Petition for Special Relief and Order filed. RULE TO SHOW CAUSE: AND NOW, Jan. 16, 1989, upon presentation ofthe foregoing Petition for Special Relief, a Rule is hereby issued upon plaintiff James T. Luba to appear and show cause, if any, why he should not be ordered and compelled to bring current and keep current the payments on the mortgages on the marital residence.

This rule shall be returnable and a hearing held thereon on Jan. 24, 1989 at 3:00 p.m. Courtroom No. 1 or 2, Crawford Countycourthouse, Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Jan. 16, 1989, copies to R. Charles Thomas and C. Youngs, attys.

Jan. 26, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, January 24, 1989, after hearing and informal input from the parties and counsel, the parties mutually agree that their jointly owned real estate in Bloomfield Township is the major asset in dispute regarding equitable division of marital property.

Neither pa rty has a firm idea ofthe value of said property. Both parties mutually agree they will immediately engage United Farm Realtors to make a fair market value appraisal of this real estate as promptly as possible.

Each party will pay one-half (1/2) of the appraisal bill.

The Plaintiff/Husband will immediately contact the loan and mortgage holders and attempt to negotiate a moratorium on payment sdue on principle or interest until March 1, 1989, based on the premise that once a reliable appraisal of the value of the real estate is ascertained, the parties can reasonably negotiate a settlement of the marital property and divorce issue.

The husband will notify through counsel his wife as to whether his is successful in securing a moratorium on payments.

If the matter is not resolve dby March 1, 1989, the Court will entertain a motion for rehearing on the present petition.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Jan. 26, 1989 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas and Christopher Youngs

June 14, 1989 Inventory and Appraisement of Eleanor T. Luba filed.

Oct 30, 1989 Defendant's Motion for Sanctions and Order filed: AND NOW, Oct 30, 1989, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, the Plaintiff shall file his inventory and appraisement within 10 days or suffer such further sanctions as the Court shall find appropriate.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct 30, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. Thomas and Youngs boxes.

Jan. 18, 1990, ACCEPTANCE OF Service filed. And Now, this 18 day of Jan. 1990 I hereby accept service ofthe Complaint in divorce on behalf of the defendant Eleanor T. Luba, by being duly authorized to accept service on behalf ofsaid defendant.

Christopher J. Youngs, ESq.

Jan. 19, 1990, Defendant's Motion for Further Sanctions and Rule to Show Cause filed. RULE TO SHOW CAUSE: AND NOW, January 19, 1990 Rule to Show cause is entered upon plaintiff, Plaintiff is ordered to appear at a hearing of Defendant's Motion for Further Sanctions on Feb. 8, 1990, at 1:00 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville Pa. 16335.

Gordon R. Miller, Judge

Jan. 23, 1990 copies of order to Attys. R. C. Thomas and C. Youngs.

Jan. 23, 1990, Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce, and Order Appointing Master: ORDER APPOINTING MASTER: AND NOW, Jan. 22, 1990, Peter E. Blystone, Esq. is appointed Master in respect tothe following claims: divorce (indignities only and not 201(c) equitable distribution, counsel fees, costs and expenses.

Deposit required: By Plaintiff $400.00 By defendant $200.00

PER CURIAM,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

Jan. 26, 1990 copies of order to Attys. R. C. Thomas, C. Youngs and P.Blystone

Continued on Page 625

1988

R. Charles Thomas

604

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

9/12/89 Recd. #250.00 From Pl.+ Def.
2/2/90 Paid #50.00 To Lois Conkle Reporting Service Ck# 5433
2/2/90 Paid #100.00 To Terry Toomey Master Fee Ck# 5434
2/2/90 Paid #600.00 To Myrna A. Morrell Refund Ck# 5435

MYRNA A. MORRELL

vs.

CHARLES R. MORRELL

Filed July 13, 1988
@ 10:55 P.M.

Tax & Entry Paid	40.50	✓
3 counts paid	45.00	✓
Terry Toomey, Master		
Pro Co Pd 2/16/90	9.00	✓
State pd 2/16/90	10.00	✓
Pro Co Rd 5/1/90	5.00	

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES AND COSTS, PERMANENT ALIMONY

1 copy (atty)

July 27, 1988 Appearance of Shafer, Swick, Bailey Irwin & Stack by James R. Irwin for Defendant filed.

Nov. 4, 1988 Income and Expense Statement of Defendant Charles R. Morrell filed.

Nov 4, 1988 Inventory and Appraisement of Charles R. Morrell filed.

Feb 14, 1989 Plaintiff's Income and Expense Statement filed.

Feb. 15, 1989 Motion For Setting of Hearing and ORDER of Court filed. And now, Feb. 15, 1989 a hearing is set for the 16th day of March, 1989 at 9:15 o'clock A.M. in Court Room No. 2.

Robert L. Walker,J.

Feb. 15, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. R. Charles Thomas and James Irwin.

Feb. 17, 1989 Motion For Continuance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 17th day of Feb., 1989 upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion this matter is rescheduled for hearing on the 6th day of April, 1989 in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania, commencing at 9:30 o'clock a.m.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Feb. 17, 1989 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas and James Irwin

Apr. 6, 1989, ORDER filed. AND NOW, April 6, 1989, Defendant is ORDERED to pay alimony pendente lite in the sum of $350.00 per month on or before the 7th day of Each calendar month beginning with the month of May 1989 which order shall continue in full force and effect until final Decree in Divorce is entered or until Dec. 1989, whichever shall first occur.

Defendant shall pay towards the Plaintiff's counsel fees the sum of $300.00 in $100.00 monthly installments beginning on the 15th day of ea ch month for a total of three (3) months. Said amounts to be paid through his counsel to plaintiff's counsel.

Defendant shall contribute $500.00 towards costs and expenses of appraisals, reserving the court's right to further allocate counsel fees, appraisals and expenses and the like in any final Decree.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Apr. 6, 1989, copies put in box of attys. R. C. Thomas and James Irwin.

June 30, 1989, Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Aug. 11, 1989, Motion for Sanctions for Failure to Answer Interrogatories, Failure to File Inventory and Appraisement, and Request to Suspend Alimony Pendente Lite, and Rule filed.
RULE: AND NOW this 11 day of August 1989, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, a Rule is entered upon the plaintiff to show cause, if any she has, why the Defendants prayer should not be granted.

RULE RETURNABLE on the 6 dayof Sept. 1989, at 9:00 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, pa.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 11, 1989, copies of order to R. C. Thomas and James Irwin.

Aug. 17, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Aug 17, Mction for Appointment of Master in Divorce filed.

Aug 23, 1989 Memorandum and Order filed: AND NOW, August 22, 1989, the parties are directed to withdraw from any joint savings or similar accourt the sum of $750.00 and deposit the same with the Prothonotary as security for Master's fees and expenses on or before September 6, 1989. The Master shall make a recommendation in his report of the ultimate allocation of those costs as between the parties.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug 24, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. Thomas Irwin and Spadafore's boxes.

Aug. 28, 1989, ORDER filed. AND NOW, August 25, 1989, the appointment of Emil M. Spadafore, Esq., as Master in the above case is vacated and Terry Toomey, Esquire, is appointed Master in his place.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 28, 1989, copies of order to R. Charles Thomas, James Irwin, T. Toomey & E. Spadafore.

Sept. 5, 1989 Motion For Continuance and Order filed. And now, September 5th, 1989 This hearing is continued until the 5th day of October, 1989 at 1:30 o'clock p.m., Courtroom 2.

Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Sept. 5, 1989 Copies of order put in R. Charles Thomas, James Irwin and Terry Toomey's box

Sept. 6, 1989 Inventory and Appraisement of Myrna A. Morrell filed.

Oct. 4, 1989 Motion For Continuance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 4 day of October, 1989 upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Moiton this matter is reschedule for By agreement of counsel - continued generally.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, J

Oct. 5, 1989 Copies of order put in R. Charles Thomas and James Irwin's box.

Nov. 9, 1989, Notice of Scheduled Master's Hearing, filed.

Continued on page 54A

1988

Myrna A. Morrell

vs.

Charles R. Morrell

Record continued from page 54

of record

A.D. 1988 - 604

****

Feb. 2, 1990 Motion For Vacating of Master's Appointment and Order filed. And now, FEbruary 2, 1990 The within Motion is allowed and the appointment of Terry Toomey, esq., as Master is vacated and the Prothonotary is authorized and directed to pay Lois Conklin Reporting Service the sum of $50.00 and to Terry Toomey, Esq., the sum of $100.00 persuant to the statements attached and thereafter to refund to the Plaintiff the excess deposit heretofore posted with the Prothonotary, all by reason of the fact that this case has been resolved by Agreement of the parties.

Gordon R. Miller, J

Feb. 6, 1990 Copies of order put in R. Charles Thomas, James Irwin and Terry Toomey's box.

Feb. 13, 1990, Affidavit of Service of Complaint in Divorce filed. R. Charles Thomas, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on July 13, 1988 he sent a true and correct copy of the complaint in divorce properly endorsed with the notice to defend, filed in above action, to defendant at defendant's last known address of R. D. ##, Cochranton, Pa. 16314, by Cert. Mail P 414 739 225 postage prepaid restricted delivery, ret. rec. req. AND THAT attached hereto is a duly executed ret. rec.card showing date of delivery as 7/14/88. R. Charles Thomas, Esq.

Feb. 13, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 13, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved tocourt.

Mar. 27, 1990 Stipulation Between Both Parties filed.

Mar. 27, 1990 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 26th day of March, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that MYRNA A. MORRELL, and CHARLES R. MORRELL, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

I. It is further ordered and decreed that the following property is awarded to the Plaintiff, Myrna A. Morrell:

a) All funds in an account known as United Municipal Bond Fund, Inc., Open PUrchase Account, Account No. 1136385-0 through Waddell-Reed, Inc., 286 Chestnut Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335.

b) All funds in an account known as United Cash Management Inc., Open PUrchase Account, Account No. 1136385-0, through Waddell-Reed, Inc., 286 Chestmut Street. Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335.

c) All funds in an account known as American Capital High Yield Investment, being Account No. 28-6700324155-3 through Advantage Capital Corporation, R.D.#1, Cambridge Springs, Pennsylvania 16403.

d) Seventy-five percent (75%) of the cash value of the Universal Life Insurance Company policy being Policy No. 00070279720 through Philadelphia Life Insurance Company, 500 North Akard, Dallas, Texas 75221-2699.

e) Cash in the amount of $7,500.00 representing the insurance proceeds heretofore paid and received by the Plaintiff in connection with the total loss to a 1985 Oldsmobile automobile.

f) Cashiin the amount of $1,046.95 hereto fore received by the Plaintiff and representing one-half (1/2) of the net proceeds of the sale of a certain 28 foot recreational vehicle.

g) Cash in the amount of $150.00 heretofore received by the Plaintiff and representing the interest of the Plaintiff in a certain cemetary lot in the Cochranton Cemetery.

h) Cashiin the amount of $3,720.00 representing the following:

((i) The sum of $2,520.00 on account of additional costs incurred by the Plaintiff in the presecution of this divorce action.

(ii) $1,200.00 for additional legal fees incurred by the Plaintiff in the presecution of this divorce action.

II. It is further ordered and decreed:

a) That the Plaintiff shall be responsible for the payment of Federal and State Income Taxes for the year ending December 31, 1989 that may be due and owing as a result of interest earned on the funds as described in sub-paragraphs a), b) and c) of this Decree and further agrees to indemnify and hold the Defendant harmless form any liability on income tax attributable to the aforeaid accounts for the taxable year ending December 31, 1989.

III. It is ordered and decreed that the following property is awarded to the Defendant, Charles R. Morrell:

a) Alltangible personal property located at the former marital residence at R.D. #3, Cochranton, Pennsylvania.

b) The interest of the Plaintiff and the Defendant in the dairy herd located at the Morrell Farms, Franklin Pike, R.D. #3, Cochranton, Pennsylvania.

c) The interest of the Plaintiff and the Defendant in the tractors, miscellaneous froam equipment, and any and all other miscellaneous farm items located onthe Morrell Farms, Franklin Pike, R.D. #3, Cochranton, Pennsylvania.

d) All right, title and interest of the Plaintiff to certain real estate located in East FAirfield Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania consisting of 281.7 acres having located thereon a dwelling house; miscellaneous outbuilidngs and a gas well under Lease with CAbot Oil Company, all of which is more fully described in certain Deeds duly recorded in the Office of the Recorder of Deeds of Crawford County in Deed Book 515, Page 746 and Deed Book 553, Page 113 respectively.

ê) All right, title and interest of the Plaintiff to certain real estate located in Wayne Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania consisting of 97.75 acres and having located thereon a barn and a gas well under Lease to Babot Oil and Gas Company, all of which is more fully described in a certain Deed recorded in the Office of the Recorder of Deeds of Crawford County in Deed Book 515, Page 744.

ff) All right, title and interest of the Plaintiff in the partnership of Charles R. Morrell, Robert C. Morrell and William L. MOrrell, doing business as Morrell Farms, at R.D.#3, Cochranton, Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

gO Cash in the amount of $1,046.95 heretofore received by the DEfendant and representing one-half (1/2) of the net proceeds of the sale of a certain 28 foot recreational vehicle.

h) Cemetery lot at the Cochranton Cemetery.

IV. It is further ordered and decreed as follows:

1. That the Defendant shall be responsible for the payment of all marital debts and shall indemnify and hold the Plaintiff harmless from any liability on any marital debts except for payment of INcome Tax on the funds distributed to the Plaintiff pursuant to the provisions of I. a), b) and c) of this Decree.

2. That the Plaintiff's claim for permanent alimony is refused.

3. That the Plaintiff and Defendnat shall sign, execute and acknowledge any and all docuemtns necessary to effectuate the provisions of this Divorce Decree relative to the distribution of marital property.

BY THECOURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 1, 1990 Notice of Election to Retake prioer name filed, to be known as Myrna A. Stover.

54
B

1988

1988

FULLER, KINNUNEN, PETRUSO
& GAMBLE
Gary A. Fabian

605

RONALD G. SHAW

VS.

REGINA M. SHAW

Filed July 13, 1988
@ 11:00 A.M.

Tax & Entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro. Co. Pd 10-24-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 COPY (atty)

July 29, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Gary A. Fabian, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that:

1. He is an attorney authorized to practice law within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
2. He is the attonrey for the plaintiff in the above captioned matter.
3. On July 18, 1988, he had deposited in the United States Mail by certified mail, return receipt requested, Certified Mail No. P 414 752 696 as evidence by Certified Mail Receipt No. P 414 752 696 attached hereto and made a part hereof, a true and correct copy of a complaint in divorce addressed to Regina M. Shaw, said complaint in divorce naming Regina M. Shaw as the defendant in the above-captioned matter.
4. Service was obtained upon the defendant, Regina M. Shaw on July 25, 1988, as evidence by Return Receipt for Certified Mail No. P 414 752 696 attached hereto and made a part hereof, said receipt being signed by Regina M. Shaw.

FURTHER, DEPONENT SAYETH NOT. Gary A. Fabian

Oct. 24, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Oct. 24, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Oct. 24, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Nov. 1, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, November 1, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

RONALD G. SHAW and REGINA M. SHAW

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

GILARDI & COOPER
Kevin R. Lomupo

606

ROBERT C. PELLO and LORETTA R. PELLO, his wife

VS.

CLYDE OWENS

Filed July 13, 1988 @ 2:29 P.M.

Tax & Entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriffs costs	17.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

July 26, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed NOT FOUND as to the within named defendant Clyde Owens. Gone, no forwarding address. Possibly deceased.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

NORTHWESTERN LEGAL SERVICES, INC.
Margaret Eglinton

607

MARK R. THOMAS

VS.

JONI D. THOMAS

Filed July 14, 1988

Sheriff's Costs 20.10
John Fuller, Mediator
Mediation Costs 120.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

July 13, 1988 Affidavit to Proceed in Forma Pauperis Filed.

July 13 1988 Order filed. You, Joni D. Thomas, Defendant, Have been sued in Court to Obtain Custody of the minor child, Brandon Lee Thomas. You are ordered to appear in person with the child at the time and place selected by John Fuller, Custody Mediator. If you fial to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, Partial custody, or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest. Pending the outcome of the above-ordered hearing, the minor child shall remian in Crawford Co. The Crawford Co. Sheriff's Dept. is directed to serve a certified copy of this Complaint and order upon the defendant. In the alternative, this petition and Order maybe served by any competent adult or by certified mail. Plaintiff is allowed to proceed without payment of Court costs reserving the right to impose costs, reserving to the Court the right to impose costs. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

BY THE COURT
Robert Walker, J.

Copies of Order Sent to Margaret Eglinton & John Fuller

July 27, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Joni D. Thomas personally the within named defendan tby handing a true copy of the within Order of Court - Complaint for Custody to her on July 19, 1988 at 3:20 pm at her place of residence, Carr Hill Road, Box 540, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 9, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, September 7, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Brandon Lee Thomas shall be shared between the mother and father, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in any decisions affecting the child's education, medical treatment, religious instruction and other important decisions.

II. Physical custody of Brandon Lee Thomas shall be with the mother, Joni D. Thomas, subject to the following conditions:

(a) The father, Mark r. Thomas, shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody Saturday mornings from 9:00 a.m. until 12:00 noon, September 10, September 17 and september 24th. Such visits shall be supervised a tthe home of Mary Lou Walch at Conneaut Lake,Pennsylvania. Beginning Friday, October 7, 1988 the father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every other weekend from friday at 6:00 p.m. until sunday at 6:00 p.m. Transportation for the child on such weekend visits shall be the responsibility of the father. Beginning with the Summer of 1989 the father shall be entitled to have the child in his custody for two one (1) week periods. The father shall give the mother at least thirty (30) days notice of the two one (1) week periods in which he intends to exercise Summer custody of the child.

(b) Neither mother nor father shall say anything or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against th eother parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

(c) Neithermother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health and welfare. Both mother and father agree to notify the other parent immediately regarding any injury or illness involving the child and both parents agree to furnish theother with a current telephone number.

(d) This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party wihtin thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

Costs ofthe mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

P. Richard Thomas

Sept. 13, 1988 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas, Margaret Eglinton and John Fuller

Sept. 28, 1988 Petition To Modify custody and Order filed. AND NOW,, this 28 day of Sept., 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing Petition, the parties the two minor children are ordered to remain in the jurisdictionuntil a new final order in this matter, and the parties hereto and their respective counsel are ordered to appear before John Fuller the Child Custody Mediator, who is hereby appointed inthis case and bring both chidlren. The said parties and their respective counsel, shall appear at such time or times that the Child Custody Mediator shall hereinafter determine.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Sept. 30, 1988 copies of order to Margaret Eglinton, R. Charles Thomas and John Fuller

Sept. 30, 1988 Proof Of Service filed. I hereby certify that a copy of the Petition to Modify Custody was personally served on Defendant's Attorney R. Charles Thomas at 908 Diamond Square, Meadville,PA. on September 28, 1988.

Margaret M. Eglinton

Nov. 18, 1988 Motion for Discontinuance and Order filed. Order:AND NOW, this 18 day of NOv. 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing Motion, this matter is discontinued=

BY THE COURT
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov. 22, 1988 copies of order to Margaret Eglinton, R. Charles Thomas and John Fuller

1988

Bruce Smith

608

Jos Barnhart

8/9/88 Recd $71.00 From Plaintiff

Recd Sept 1, 1988 $71.00

Vivian Stoltz

3/20/90 Recd $101.00 from Plaintiff

Recd 3-1-90 $101.00

Vivian Stoltz

SUSANNE ML SAMPSON

VS.

MARK A. SAMPSON

Filed July 14, 1988 @

Tax & Entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Mediation costs	$142.00
Rec'd 8/9/88	9.00 ✓
Mediation Cost	202.00
	9.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

July 14, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, this 14th day of July 1988, William Pineo is hereby appointed Custody Mediator and is hereby directed to hold such custody mediation conferences as he deems appropirate and to make recommendations thereafter to this court. Plaintiff herin, Susanne M. Sampson, is hereby awarded temporary physical custody of Tyler Sampson and Matthew Sampson, Ages ten and eight, respectively, and defendant, Mark A. Sampson is hereby awarded temporary physical custody of the child, Cara Sampson, age twelve, until further Order of Court. Current visitation arrangements arrived at by the parents prior to the entry of this order shall continue until after Mediation.

Robert Walker, J.

1 Copy Attorney 1 copy Mediator.

August 1, 1988 Temoprary Order filed. AND NOW, this 1st day of August, 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT: 1. The mother shall have legal and physical custody of the minor child, Matthew Sampson. The father shall have legal and physical custody of the minor children, Cara Sampson and Tyler Sampson.

2. Cara and Tyler shall visit their mother every Sunday from 1:00 PM to 5:00 PM and every Tuesday from 7:00 PM to 9:00 PM. Matthew will visit his father every Saturday from 1:00PM to 5:00 PM and every Wednesday from 7:00 to 9:00 PM.

3. The parent receiving the children in the couse of the exercise of his or her visitation rights shall pick them up at the home of the other.

4. The parties shall arrange for psychological evaluations of themselves and their children. The psychologist or agency performing the evaluations is authorized to release them to the Custody Mediator. Upon receipt and review of the evaluations, the Mediator will schedule such Further Mediation as is necessary to enable him to make a final recommendation to the court.

5. The father shall pay for the evaluations jof himself and of Cara and Tyler. The mother shall pay for the evaluation of herself and Matthew.

6. Each party shall teach the children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the estimation of the children.

7. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $142.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case.

8. Either party may move the Court for a hearing DE NOVO for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither partyso moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

BY THE COURT
Robert L. Walker, J.

August 1, 1988 Copies of Order to Bruce Smith , Defendant, Plaintiff and Wm Pineo

Oct. 14, 1988 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 14th day of Oct., 1988, after Mediation in the above refereenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The Mother shall have legal and physical custody of the minor child, Matthew Sampson. The father shall have legal and physical custody of the minor children, Cara Sampson and Tyler Sampson.

2. Matthew shall visit with his father each Tuesday from 7:00 to 9:00 p.m. and every weekend from Friday at 6:00 p.m. to Saturday at 6:00 p.m. Tyler shall visit his mother every Wednesday from 7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. and every weekend from Saturday at 6:00 p.m. to Sunday at 6:00 p.m. Cara shall visit her mother every Wednesday from 7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. and every sunday from 1:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. Starting with the weekend of January 14, 1989, Cara will visit her mother every wednesday from 7:00 to 9:00 p.m. and every weekend from Saturday at 6:00 p.m. to Sunday at 6:00 p.m.

3. For the summer of 1989, the father shall have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody of all of his children from6:00 p.m. of June 30 through 6:00 p.m. July 15 and the mother shall have temporary physical custody and visitation with her children from 6:00 p.m. of July 15 through 6:00 p.m. of July 30. The summer visitation shall take precedence over the normal visitation and the normal visitation shall resume with Matthew's visit to his father on Tuesday, August 1, 1989.

4. For Thanksgiving, 1988, the children shall be with their mother from 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. and with their father from 2:00 p.m. to 9:00 a.m. Regular visitation shall resume Tuesday, November 28, 1988. For Christmad, 1988, the childre shall be with their mother from noon of Christmas Eve through noon of Christmas Day and with their father from noon of Christmas Day through noon of December 26. Christmas visitation shall take precedence over normal visitation, which shall resume with Matthew's visit to his father scheduled for Tuesday,December 27.

5. The parties are to arrange counseling for themselves and their children. Arrangements will be made by the parties to select a counselor acceptable to them and shall participate in the counseling to the extent recommended by the counselor. The parties have advised the Custody Mediator that Dr. R. W. Loring, of Oil City, Pennsylvania, would be acceptable to each of them. The counselor will make such evaluation as he deems necessary to enable him to plan a counseling program for the parents and their children, theobject of which will be, if possible, to enable the parents and the children to accept and to cope with the situation caused by the parental separationin a manner which is graceful and proper so that the chidlren can experience the love, affection, and influence of both of their parents in growing up and so that the parents and their children can maintain a countinuing relationship into the chidlren's adulthood and throughout their mutual lifetimes. the counselor will report to the Mediator uopn the Mediator's request with respect to progress being made in counseling and in any special problems encountered.

Continued on the next page

58
A

1988

Sampson vs. Sampson A.D. 1988-608 CUSTODY

6. Starting September 1, 1989, the parties will share legal and physical custody of all of their minor children. In even=numbered months, the chidlren shall reside with their mother from Sunday at 6:00 p.m. to Thursday at 6:00 p.m. and with their father from Thursday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m. In odd-numbered months, the parties shall reverse the schedule so that the children will reside with their father from Sunday at 6:00 p.m. through Thursday at 6:00 p.m. and with their mother from Thursday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m. Each summer, each party shall have the right to designate two ten-day periods during whichno visitation need be accrded the other party.

7. For Thanksgiving, 1989, the children shall be with their mother from 6:00 p.m. of the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving to 2:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day and with their father from 2:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day to noon of the Friday after Thanksgiving. For Christmas, 1989, the children shall be with their father from noon of Christmas Eve through noon of Christmas Day and with their mother from noon of Christmas Day to noon of December 26, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the two Christmas visitation periods so defined. For Easter, 1990, the children shall be with their mother from 6:00 p.m. of Good Friday through9:00 p.m. HOly Saturday and with their father from 9:00 p.m. Holy Saturday to 9:00 p.m. Easter Sunday. the parties shall thereafter alternate the two Easter visitation periods so defined. The other major holidays are defined as Memorial Day, andLabor Day. For the Labor Day weekend of 1989, the children shall reside with their mother from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Monday at 6:00 p.m. and for Memorial Day, 1990, they shall reside with their father from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Monday at 6:00 p.m. The parties shall thereafter alternate the Labor and Memorial Day vacation visitation periods.

8. Neither party shall while he has nay of the children under his or her care be under the influence of alcohol or use any illegal drug nor any prescription drug, except as prescribed by his or her physician.

9. Each party shall direct each child to give to the other partythat love and respect whicha child owes his or her parent. Neither parent shall do or say anything that would reflect badly onthe other parent in the estimation of any ofthe subject minor children.

10. While inthe presence of any of the children, the parties shall treat each other with that same degree of politeness and respect that each would accord prespective employers and business associates and customers.

11. If the parties have any disagreements, they shall work them out outside the presence of the children and shall report to counsel if necessary but on no account shall the chidlren be made a party to any dispute or disagreement nor shall any dispute or disagreement between the parties be discussed with the children.

12. The parties will strictly respect each other's provacy and neither shall interrogate the chidren with respect to happenings in the other's household nor shall the chidlren be used to carry or transmit messages to the other party.

13. Neither partyshall expose the children to situations which are physically usafe nor morally in proper for the children of like age and understanding.

14. Either party may move the Court for further Mediationduring the month of June of 1989 in order to review the provisions of this Order and see what changes might be made in contemplation of the implementationof the shared custody arrangement.

15. Costs and fees in this Mediation, which are fixed at $202.00 are divided equally betweenthe parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as cost sin this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford county.

16. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J

Oct. 18, 1988 Copies of order to Bruce Smith, Joseph Barnhart, Plaintiff, Defendant and William Pineo

58
B

1988

1988

ORTON, DUNLAVEY & JEFFERY, PC
Edward Orton

609

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

NATURAL RESOURCES LEASE
ACQUISTION PROGRAM - 1982

VS.

WILLIAM TISI

Filed July 14, 1988 @ 10:25 A.M.

Tax & Entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	28.30
Pro Co Pd 11/4/88	6.00
Pro Co. pd 5/5/95	6.00

COMPLAINT - CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

July 27, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED William Tisi within named defendant on July 20, 1988 at 10:28 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Ethel Snyder, his Mother-In-Law, at their place of residence, Drake Hotel, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 19, 1988, Defendant's Answer and New Matter filed.
1 copy (atty)

Oct. 24, 1988 Reply To New Matter filed.

Nov. 2, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, the above captioned case is marked discontinued of record.

Dec 2, 1988 Petition to Strike Plaintiff's Discontinuance of Action and Order filed: AND NOW, this 2 day of December, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, a Rule is hereby entered upon the Plaintiff to show cause, if any, why the Court should not grant the relief requested and strike off the Plaintiff's Discontinuance as filed November2, 1988.
This Rule shall be returnable on the 28th day of Dec., 1988 in Court Room 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania. 9:30 A.M.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.
Dec 7, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Orton and Barnhart.

Dec. 16, 1988, Answer to Petition to Strike Plaintiff's Discontinuance of action, filed.

Mar. 16, 1989, RE: PETITION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S DISCONTINUANCE - MEMORANDUM AND ORDER-filed. ORDER: AND NOW, March 15, 1989, the defendant's Petition to Strike Plaintiff's Discontinuance of Action is conditionally denied. The defendant is directed to submit a bill for costs and attorney's fees incurred to date, and the court will by separate order require the plaintiff to pay the same before the discontinuance will become effective.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.
Mar. 17, 1989, copies to Attys. Edward Orton and Jos. Barnhart.

Apr. 11, 1989, SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER filed. And Now, April 11, 1989, pursuant to this Court's previous Order of March 15, 1989, counsel for the defendant has submitted a bill for attorney's fees in the amount of $1,050.00
Accordingly, the plaintiff is directed to pay the same on or before May 12, 1989, at 4:30 p.m. in order for their discontinuance of this action to be effective.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, p.J.
Apr. 12, 1989, copies mailed to Edward Orton and Jos. Barnhart, Esqs.

Apr. 27, 1989, Notice of Service of Requests for Admissions, directed to Defendant, filed.

Aug. 28, 1989, Motion for Summary Judgment filed.

Aug. 28, 1989, Plaintiff's Request for Admissions filed.

Aug. 28, 1989, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, Motion for Summary Judgment placed on next Argument List.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR OCTOBER 1989

Feb. 20, 1990 Memorandum and Order filed. And now, February 20, 1990, we deny Plaintiff's Moton for Summary Judgment without prejudice.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Feb. 20, 1990 Copies mailed to Atty's.

Mar 2, 1990 Request for Reconsideration filed.

Mar. 15, 1990 RE: Request For Reconsideration Order filed. And now, March 13, 1990, Plaintiff's request for reconsideration is denied.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Mar. 16, 1990 Copies of order mailed to William Orton and Joseph Barnhart

May 3, 1995 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney the above case is marked fully settled and discontinued of record, filed.

1988

610. MacDonald Illig Jones & Britton John W. Draskovic	DOUGLAS FUGATE, d/b/a FUGATES CARPETS vs. MR. AND MRS. EDWARD PROPER Filed July 14, 1988 @ 11:30 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓	APPEAL OF PLAINTIFF FROM JUDGMENT OF RONALD A. COLE, JR. D. J. 30-3-06 July 19, 1988 Proof Of Service filed.

1988

William J. Gregg

611.

Fuller, Kinnunen,Petruso and Gamble,
Ann H. Gamble

EARL R. RUSSELL

vs.

TERRY B. RUSSELL

Filed July 14, 1988
@ 11:38 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
2 counts pd 9-14-88	30.00 ✓
Pro Co. Pd. 8/16/89	9.00 ✓
State Surcharge Pd.	10.00
Pro Co paid 11-27-89	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF PROPERTY, DEBTS

1 copy (atty)

July 22, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: William J. Gregg, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned Action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on July 15, 1988.

3. The registered mail was sent to Mrs. Terry B. Russell, R.D.#4, Box 200, Centerville, Pa 16404.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on July 20, 1988. Said receipt card number P 725 345 682 is attached hereto and signed by Terry B. Russell.

William J. Gregg, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Sept 12, 1988 Petition for Related Claims Under Divorce Code filed. (Equitable Distribution, Alimony)

Sept 12, 1988 Defendant's Monthly Living Expenses filed.

Sept 12, 1988 Inventory and Appraisement of Defendant filed.
Sept 12, 1988 Defendant's Monthly Living Expenses filed.

Sept. 16, 1988 Motion and Order filed: And Now, September 16, 1988, hearing set for October 6, 1988, at 1:30 P.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2.

For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator

Sept. 20, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Gregg and Gamble.

Oct. 10, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, October 6, 1988, the Plaintiff is ordered to pay th esum of $50.00 per week in biweekly installments for alimony pendente lite, said Order to continue in full force and effect until February 1, 1989, and not thereafter unless otherwise provided by the Court.

The Plaintiff shall pay toward defendant's counsel fees the sum of $300.00, payable $100.00 on or before October 31, 1988; $100:00 on or before November 30 1988; and $100.00 on or beofre december 31, 1988, said payments to be transmitted by his counsel to counsel for the Defendant.

Both parties will make an effort to agree upon an appraiser for the real estate to avoid duplication of appraisal fees since it would appear that there is not sufficient assets to afford a great deal of legal expenses.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Oct. 11, 1988 Copies of order to William Gregg and Ann Hansen Gamble

August 16, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

August 16, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

August 16, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Aug. 25, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, August 24, 1989, this divorce action having been submitted to the court on praecipe for review, it is noted that the Complaint includes a count for equitable distribution and the defendant has filed a petition for related claims requesting equitable distribution, permanent alimony and/or alimony pendente lite, attorneys, costs and expenses, and none of these matters have been disposed of, and therefore, the case is not ripe for disposition, and is returned to the Prothonotary's Office. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 28, 1989 Copy put in William Gregg's box and copy mailed to Ann Hansen Gamble

Sept. 6, 1989 Motion For Withdrawal Of Count and Order filed. AND NOW, this 6th day of Sept., 1989, Count II of the above-captioned Divorce Complaint is hereby withdrawn.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J

Sept. 6, 1989 Copy of order put in William Gregg's box and copy mailed to Ann Hansen Gamble

Sept. 18, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for Review Under 201(c) of the divorce code, this case resubmitted to the court.

Sept 18, 1989 Motion to Withdraw Counts of Alimony, Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees Costs and Expenses and Order filed: September 14, 1989 Granted.

BY THE COURT,

P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Sept 19, 1989 Copies of order put in Atty. Gregg's Box and copy mailed to Atty. Gamble.

Oct. 3, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, October 3, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that EARL B. RUSSELL, plaintiff, and TERRY B. RUSSELL, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Nov. 27, 1989 Notice of Election to Retake Maiden name filed. To be known as "Terry Nutoves"
(5 copies Defendant)

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

612.

CURAS HALL

vs.

ROBINSON HALL, JR.

Filed July 14, 1988
@ 2:43 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 3/31/89	9.00
State pd 3/31/89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

2 copies (atty)

Oct. 4, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Verna M. Bode, employee for the law firm of Mason and Mason, being duly sworn according to law, epose and say that I did on July 20, 1988, at 3:03 P.M. serve on the above Defendant, Robinson Hall, Jr., by personally handing him a certified copy of a complaint in the above divorce action, at the Crawford County Jail, Meadville, Pa.
Verna M. Bode

Mar. 23, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Mar. 31, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 31, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Apr. 10, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, April 10, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Curas Hall, plaintiff, and Robinson Hall, Jr., defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Stephen J. Mirizio

613.

PATRICIA A. HOLDEN AND
MARSHALL HOLDEN, HER HUSBAND

vs.

LAKESIDE REAL ESTATE COMPANY,
INC. FORMERLY CONNEAUT LAKE
PARK, INC.

Filed July 15, 1988
@ 9:36 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

Sheriff's Costs 24.15

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Aug 8, 1988 Writ Returned: SERVED Lakeside Real Estate Company, Inc. within named defendant on July 28, 1988 at 2:25 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to C. B. Opatkiewicz, adult in charge at business, R.D.#4, Box 283, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, Pa.
So Answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Douglas W. Ferguson

Barrett & Dratler
Sylvia L. Dratler

614.

Joseph Kulwicki

CAROL L. LEWIS

vs.

RONALD D. LEWIS

Filed July 15, 1988
@ 11:07 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50
John Fuller, Mediator
Mediation Costs 140.00
9.00

4/19/88 Recd $70.00 from dft.

Recd $70.00
Oct. 5, 1988
Vivian Stoltz

3/13/89 Recd $20.70 from Plaintiff

Recd 3-1-89
20.70
Vivian Stoltz

4/19/89 Recd $ 49.30
From Plaintiff

Recd 6-6-89
$49.30
Vivian Stoltz

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Ronald D. Lewis, Defendant, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of the child: Alan Lewis.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Association, Ser. to the Public Comm.
c/o Prothonotary, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.
814 724-4636. BY THE COURT,
Date: July 18, 1988 P. Richard Thomas, J.

Aug 2, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: SYLVIA L. DRATLER, ESQ., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint for Custody was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on July 21, 1988.
3. The registered mail was sent to Ronald D. Lewis at R. D. #3, Box 72, Center Road, Saegertown, Pa 16433. The Sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on or about July 27, 1988. Said receipt card number P 404 868 822 is attached hereto and signed by Ronald D. Lewis.

Sylvia L. Dratler, Attorney for Plaintiff

Aug. 30, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, Aug. 30, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Alan Lewis shall be shared between the mother, Carol L. Lewis and the father Ronald D. Lewis, that is each parent shall have full access to school records, medical record and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part in decisions affecting the hcild's education, religious instruction, medical treatment and other such important decisions.

II. Physical custody of Alan Lewis shall be his father, Ronald D. Lewis, subject to the following conditions:

(a) The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the child into her custody every weekend from 6:00 p.m. Friday until 6:00 p.m. Sunday, with the exception of the first weekend of each month (that being the weekend in which the first Saturday of the month falls). The mother shall pick up the child at the home of the father on Friday afternoon and the father shall pick up the child at the home of the mother on Sunday afternoon.

(b) The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the child into her custody every Summer, beginning with the Summer of 1989 for a six week period, unless otherwise agreed by the parties which period shall be from June 15th until August 1st.

(c) Holidays shall be defined as Thanksgiving and Christmas. The child shall be in the home of the father on Thanksgiving Day of 1988 and inthe home of the mother on Christmas Day of 1988. In 1989 the child shall be in the home of the mother on Thanksgiving Day and in the home of the father on Christmas Day. In subsequent years the parties shall continue to alternate having the child in their custody on the above two mentioned holidays in the same manner as provided above until this Order has been changed or amended. On holidays when the child is in the home of the father, the child shall b ein thehome of the mother on the evening preceding such holiday and on holidays when the child is in the home of the mother, the child shall be in the home of the father the evening preceding such holiday.

(d) Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

(e) Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation which might be harmful to the health and welfare of the child. Both parents will notify the other as soon as possible of any emergency or illness affecting the child and further, each parent will provide the other with a current telephone number.

(f) This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanet order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

Costs of the mediation conference shall be equally divided between the parties..

Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 31, 1988 Copies of order to Sylvia Dratler, Joseph Kulwicki, Plaintiff, Defendant and John Fuller

Sept. 8, 1988 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Sept. 8, 1988, the order of August 1988, is amended to provide:

That at any time after Jan. 1, 1989, either party may request a further mediation conference or hearing to review de novo the matter of custody and visitation, without proving a major change in circumstances.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept. 9 1988, copies of order to S. Dratler, John Fuller, Jos. Kulwicki.

Jan 18, 1989 Petition to Modify Child Custody and Order filed: AND NOW, this 18th day of January, 1989, in consideration of the within Petition, John Fuller, Esquire, is hereby appointed Child Custody Mediator for this case. The said Child Custody Mediator shall conduct a conference attended by the parties and their counsel at such time and place as he directs. Attendance of the child and witnesses shall be at the discretion of the Mediator.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J/

Jan 18, 1989 Copies of order to Attys Dratler and Kulwicki. & Ferguson. & Fuller.

Continued on the next page.

Jan. 26, 1989 Affidavit of Service filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy ofthe Petition to Modify Child Custody filed by the Plaintiff and certified by the Prothonotary of Crwaford County, Pennsylvania, on the Defendant, Ronald D. Lewis, by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated January 19, 1989. The Defendant acknowledged service on January 23, 1989 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt. Douglas W. Ferguson

Feb. 17, 1989 Custody Modification Order filed. AND NOW, this 17th day of February of 1989, after consultation between the Plaintiff and Defendant with their respective of Attorneys, and it is hereby ordered and decreed as follows:

1. Legal custody of Alan Lewis shall be shared between the mother, Carol L. Lewis, and the fther, Ronald D. Lewis, in that each parent shall have full access to school records, medical records, and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part in decisions affecting the child's education, religious instruciton, medical treatment and other such important decisions.

2. Physical custody of Alan Lewis shall be with his mother, Carol L. Lewis, subject to the following conditions:

(a) The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every weekend from 6:00 p.m. Friday until 6:00p.m. Sunday, with the exception of the first weekend of each month (that being the weekend in which the first Saturday of the month falls). The father shall pick up the child at the home of the mother on Friday afternoon, and the mother shall pick up the child at the home of the father on Sunday afternoon.

(b) The father shall be entitled to visit and to take the child into his custody every summer, beginning with the summer of 1989 for a six week period, unless otherwise agreed by the aprties, said period shall be from June 15, until August 1.

(c) Holidays shall be defined as Thanksgiving and Christmas. The child shall be in the home of the father on Thanksgiving day of 1988, and the home of the mother on Christmas day 1988. In 1989 the child shall be home of the mother on Thanksgiving day, and in the home of the father on Christmas day. In subsequent years the party shall continue to alternate having the child in their custody on the above two mentioned holidays in the same manner as provided above until this order has been changed or mended. On holidays when the child is in the home of the father, the child shall be in the home of the mother on the evening preceding such holiday, and on holidays when the child is in the home of the mother, the child shall bein the home of the father on the evening preceding such holiday.

(d) Neither mother nor father shall do or say anything in the attempt to turn the child against theother parent, but on the other hand shall do everything inthe power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude onthe part of the child towards both parents.

(e) Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation that might be harmful to the h ealth and as possible of any emergency or illness affecting the child and further, each prent will provide the other with a current telephone number.

(f) This order is a permanent order and both Plaintiff, Mother, and Defendant Father, consent to its entry as set forth herein. Robert L. Walker, J

Feb. 17, 1989 copies of order to Douglas Ferguson and Joseph Kulwicki

64
B

1988

1988

615.

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

SALLY CHILDS

Filed July 15, 1988

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976
(Section 303)

July , 1988, Certification for Extended Treatment filed: This day of July, 1988, after hearing and consideration of the the attached report:

: The Court finds that the patient is severely mentally disabled and in need of treatment. Accordingly, the court orders that Sally Childs receive inpatient treatment, which is the least restrictive treatment setting appropriate for the patient at MMC (Grove Street) as a severely mentally disabled person pursuant to the provisions of Sections 303 of the Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976 for a period of 20 days.

The patient was represented by Bruce Barrett, 965 S. Main St., Meadville, Pa. 16335.

For the Court,
John D. Petruso,
Mental Health Review Officer

1988

Brian Lindsay

616.

Watts, Pepicelli
Youngs & Youngs
Alan L. Pepicelli

CHRISTINE BOSCO

vs.

BRYAN BOSCO

Filed July 15, 1988
@ 11:59 a.m.

Indigent filing
sheriffs costs 20.00

PETITION FOR RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

5 copies (atty)

TEMPORARY PROTECTION ORDER:

AND NOW, this 15 day of July 1988, upon motion of Brian Lindsay, Esq. atty. for Petitioner, and upon consideration of the within petition for relief and further, upon Petitioner's showing during an ex parte hearing to the court's satisfaction that there is an immediate and present danger of abuse to the Petitioner, the following Temporary Protection Order is hereby entered:

1. The Respondent, Bryan Bosco, is directed and prohibited from:

a. attempting to cause or intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly causing bodily injury or serious bodily injury with or without a deadly weapon to the Petitioner or the children,

b. contacting the Petitioner in any way until further Order of court, including any telephone calls.

2. Petitioner is permitted to proceed without payment of costs, costs to be determined by the corut after hearing.

3. Custody of the parties' minor children, Tawyna A. Bosco and Kyle A. Bosco, shall be with the petitioner until further order of court.

4. A true and correct copy of tyhis order shall be made on the respondent by the sheriff or by any competent adult in the same manner as a complaint in an action in equity. A true and correct copy of the order shall likewise be given to the Meadville City Police which has appropriate jurisdiction to enforce this order.

The respondent is hereby advised of the right to be represented by counsel.

A violation of this order may subject the violating party to punishment for contempt.

A final hearing is scheduled for the 26th day of July, 1988, at 11:00 a.m. at C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford county Court House, Meadville, Pa. 16335.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

July 15, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed In Forma Pauperis Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, and order filed.

ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 15th day of July, 1988, Christine Bosco, Petitioner in the above protection from abuse action, is granted leave to proceed In Forma Pauperis, reserving the right to impose costs if appropriate.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

1 copy (atty)

July 22, 1988 Motion To continue and Order filed. AND NOW,this 22 day of July, 1988, upon consideration of the within Motion, the Hearing originally scheduled for July 26, 1988 is continued untilthe 3 day of Aug., 1988, at 9:30 o'clock.

BY THE COURT,
P. R Thomas, J

July 22, 1988 Copies of order to Brian LIndsay and Alan Pepicelli

July 26, 1988, Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWNTO Bryan Bosco personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Petition and Order for Protection From Abuse to him on July 18, 1988, at Sheriff's Office, at 3:28 p.m., Crawford CountyCourthouse Meadville, Pa.

So answers,

Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 29, 1988 Motion To Continue and Order filed. AND NOW, this 29 day of July, 1988, upon consideration of the within Motion, the Hearing originally scheduled for August 3, 1988 is continued untilthe 12 day of Aug., 1988 at 9:00 o'clock a.m.

For The Court,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Admin.

July 29, 1988 Copies of order to Brian Linday and Alan Pepicelli

aug. 12, 1988 Motion To continue and Order filed. AND NOW, August 12, 1988, at the time set for hearing counsel for Petitioner and counsel for Respondent move the court to continue the hearing onthe original petition. According, except for subsection 1b, the Temporary Order heretofore entered July 15, 1988 is continued for a period of sixty (60) days frm today's date and, expiration of the sixty (60) day period the Court will entertain a motion to dismiss the petition.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 12, 1988 Copies of order to Brian Lindsay and Alan Pepicelli

1988

Kathleen R. Nelson

617.

SHAFER, SWICK, BAILEY, IRWIN & STACK
James R. Irwin

LONA L. DRUM

vs:

GARY W. DRUM

Filed July 15, 1988
@ 2:08 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE

4 copies (atty)

TEMPORARY ORDER:

AND NOW, this 15th day of July 1988, upon consideration of the within petition for relief and after exparte hearing which shows to the court's satisfaction that good cause exists to enter a temporary protection order:

It is hereby ordered that defendant is restrained from physically striling, abusing, harassing and threatening plaintiff Lona L. Drum, and her daughter, Brandi Millard, and from living at, entering upon or visiting the residence located at R. D. #2, Box 234, Meadville, Pa.

This order shall remain in effect until hearing in the above captioned matter.

The Vernon Township Police are requested to escort the plaintiff to the residence, and to arrest the defendant if he failes to comply with this order.

VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER MAY RESULT IN IMPRISIONMENT OF UP TO SIX (6) MONTHS OR FINE OF $1,000.00 or BOTH.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

RULE TO SHOW CAUSE: AND NOW, this 15th day of July 1988, a rule is granted upon defendant to show cause why an order should not be entered pursuant to the protection from abuse act enjoining him from physically striking, abusing, harassing, and or threatening the plaintiff prohibiting him from living at, entering upon or visiting the property located at R. D. #2, Box 234, Meadville, Pa.

A hearing will be held on the 20th day of July 1988, in Courtroom 1 or 2, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. at 11:00 a.m. to determine whether the requested relief will be granted.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

July 18, 1988 Motion for Continuance and Order filed: AND NOW, this 18 day of July, 1988, upon consideration and presentation of the foregoing, hearing is rescheduled for the 27 day of July, 1988, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania commencing at 11:00 o'clock A.M.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Court Adm.

July 18, 1988 Copies of order to Kathleen Nelson, Esq.and James Irwin. Esq.

July 27, 1988, Motion for Withdrawal of Complaint and order filed.

ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, July 27, 1988, Upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the Complaint is hereby dismissed and the hearing scheduled on the matter ocncelled.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

July 27, 1988, copy of order to Attys. K. Nelson and James Irwin.

1988

Sidney M. Carlburg

618.

BETTY KOLTUN

vs.

LUKA KOLTUN

Filed July 15, 1988
@ 2:39 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 11-21-88 9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 12/12/89 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 26, 1988, Acceptance of service of Complaint filed. I, luka Koltun, defendant in the above captioned action in divorce do hereby certify that I accepted service of the divorce Code Complaint, Notice to Defend and Notice of Availability of counseling on the date listed below
Dated: Aug. 14, 1988 Luka Koltun, Dft.

Oct. 26, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Nov 21, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov 21, 1988 Praecipe to Transmit Record filed.

Nov. 21, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, for Review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Dec. 1, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED**

AND NOW, to-wit: this 28 day of Nov., 1988, this cause came on to be heard on the claim of BETTY KOLTUN, and it appearing to the Court that the facts set forth and the Affidavits set forth by the parties consenting to the granting of a divorce under the No-Fault Divorce Act 1980, Public Law 640, in that the marriage is irretrievably broken under Section 201 (c) and therefore in consideration by the Court, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUGED AND DECREED as follows:

BETTY KOLTUN is hereby divorced and forever separated from the nuptial ties or bonds of matrimony heretofore existing between she and the said LUKA KOLTUN and the said marriage is hereby ORDERED and DECREED to be wholly null and void, and all and every duties, rights and claims accruing to either of said parties in pursuance of said marriage shall and do cease and determine and the said parties shall severally be at liberty to maRRY again in like manner as though they had never been married.

P. Richard Thomas,J.

Dec. 11, 1989, Notice of Election to REsume Prior Name filed. TO BE KNOWN AS: Betty Papotnik.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin and Stack
James R. Irwin

619.

JANICE L. WINSOR

vs.

GARY A. WINSOR

Filed July 15, 1988
@ 3:26 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
3 counts paid 45.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS, EXPENSES, CHILD CUSTODY, VISITATION

1 copy (atty)

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a part in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 7-19-88 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 8, 1997 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

Elderkin, Martin, Kelly
Messina & Zamboldi
Kenneth G. Vasil

620.

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY
800 Millcreek Mall
Erie, Pennsylvania

vs.

SHIRLEY A. ARNOLD

Filed July 18, 1988
@ 1:10 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	23.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

July 19, 1988 Service Verification filed: I Rainer Eaton do hereby verify that I am over the age of eighteen years; that I did on the 18th day of July, 1988, at 10:00 o'clock A.M., personally serve a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint filed at the above term and number, upon defendant, Gary A. Winsor, at his place of employment, located in Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

Rainer Eaton

Dated: July 18, 1988

Aug. 9, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Shirley A. Arnold personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on August 2, 1988 at 11:10 AM at her place of employment, Lion's Den, Erie Street, Linesville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Richard A. Peterson
Peterson & Gula

621.

Bruce A.Barrett

WARREN W. SNODGRASS

vs.

PAULINE SNODGRASS

Filed July 18, 1988
@ 1:23 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 4/3/89 9.00 ✓
State pd 4/3/89 10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Sept 16, 1988 Proof of Service filed: I, RICHARD A. PETERSON, being first duly sworn according to law, do say and depose that a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce was served upon the Defendant, Pauline Snodgrass, by mailing such copy to the Defendant at her last known address, certified mail, restricted delivery, return receipt requested, on July 20, 1988, with said Complaint being received by the Defendant on July 22, 1988. Attached hereto are the Receipt for Certified Mail and Return Receipt Card containing the signature of the Defendant. Richard A. Peterson, Esquire

Sept. 19, 1988 Petition For Exclusive Possession of Marital Residence and Order filed. AND NOW, this 20, day of September, 1988, upon consideration of the attached Petition, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED that a Rule be issued upon the Defendant, Pauline Snodgrass, to show cause why the requested relief should not be granted. Said Rule shall be returnable and hearing shall be held on the 14th day of October, 1988 at 10:00 o'clock a.m., Court Room No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Sept. 21, 1988 Copies to Atty. Peterson and Defendant

Oct. 14, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 14, 1988, both parties are present and represented by counsel and have agreed that the following Order be entered:

1. Possession of the former marital residence in North Shenango Township, Crawford County Pennsylvania shall be held by the cplaintiff until such time as a further order is made.

2. The defendant shall have the right to go to the former marital residence on Oct. 25, 1988, at 9:00 a.m. in the company of James Knight and JOseph Garrick, and in addition, if she so desires, a Peace Officer, and remove therefrom the following items: One swivel rocker, and ottoman (rust color), one large wall entertainment center, one small entertainment center, two kitchen chairs in old trailer, one lawn chair and pad, one microwave cabinet, one end table, one blender under counter, one owl lamp, one Singer sewing machine, one washer and dryer in old trailer, clothing, shoes and boots and rest of personal items.

3. The defendant shall deliver to the plaintiff at that time the following items of personal property: One tackle box, one ship-to-shore radio, one clock and jigs.

4. The plaintiff shall deliver to defendant's counsel a .22 magnum handgun currently in his possession, which shall be retained by counsel until the conclusion of this litigation.

5. The court notes that as of now the pleadings make no claim for equitable distribution or other economic relief. If, however, subsequent pleadings result in claims ofequitable distribution or other economic relief in this case, the foregoing Order shall not be deemed to prejudice the right of any party to make such claims as they deem appropriate concerning the property.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Oct. 17, 1988, copies of order to Attys. Richard A. Peterson, and Bruce Barrett/.

Mar. 27, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Mar. 27, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent Of Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 27, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit REcord, this case moved to court.

Apr. 10, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, April 10, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Warren W. Snodgrass, plaintiff, and Pauline Snodgrass, defendant are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

622.

Bozic & Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

KATHLEEN ANN HAYDEN

vs.

RICHARD LEE HAYDEN

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Filed July 18, 1988
@ 1:33 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co pd 5/4/89	9.00
State pd 5/4/89	10.00

Aug. 31, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq., Atty. for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on July 28, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Richard Lee Hayden by certified mail #P-547 808 362, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Richard Lee Hayden on July 30, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney adn is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Atty.

Sept. 23, 1988 Appearance of Bozic & Thomas by R. Charles Thomas for Defendant filed.

Oct. 21, 1988 Petition For Special Relief and ORDER filed. AND now, this 21 day of Oct., 1988, upon consideration of the attached Petition for Special Relief, hearing is set for the 22 day of Nov., 1988, at 11:00 o'clock AM, in courtroom # 1 or 2, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

Per Curiam,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct. 21, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Joan Mason and R. Charles Thomas.

Nov. 9, 1988 Petition for Additional Remedies, of Alimony, Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees, and Court Costs, and Related Expenses, and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 9th day of Nov. 1988 upon consideration of the attached Petition for Additional Remedies, hearing is set for Nov. 22, 1988, at 11:00 a.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2, crawford CountyCourthouse, Meadville, Pa.

Per Curiam,
P. R.Thomas, J.

Nov. 14, 1988, copies to Attys. J. MaSON AND R. C. Thomas.

Nov. 23, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, November 22, 1988 the plaintiff's petition for special relief is denied. The pLaintiff's petiton for alimony pendente lite based upon the current income of the parties is denied. The plaintiff's request for counsel fees is granted to the amount of $200.00 to be paid on or before December 15, 1988, reserving to the court the right to make further adjustments as due counsel fees. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Nov. 28, 1988 copies to Attys. J. Mason and R. C. Thomas,

Nov 23, 1988 Income and Expense Statement of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 26, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

May 4, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 4, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

May 18, 1989 Stipulation and DIVORCE DECREE FILED:
AND NOW, this 19 day of May, 1989, the Court orders and decrees as follows:

1.DIVORCE: Upon consideration of the pleadings aND AFFIDAVITS FILED IN THE ABOVE -captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriageis irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201 (c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce C ode.

2. REAL ESTATE:

A. Plaintiff hereby relinquishes any interest she may otherwise have had in the real estate owned by the Defendant previous to this marriage, titled in his name alone, but which has been improved during the marriage. This property is located at R.D.# 1. Guys Mills, Next to the John Brown Tannery.

B. Plaintiff shall transfer all of her right,title and interest, by way of quitclaim deed, to Defendant, in a separate parcel of residential real estate located at R.D. # 1, Guys Mills, Pennsylvania, which is the Marital residence.

, DefendaNT SHALL BE FULLY RESPONSIBLE For any real estate taxes, liens of any nature, full coverage of homeowner's insurance, and the mortgage owed to Pennbank, pertaining to the residential real estate located at R.D.# 1. Guys Mills, and he shall make all such payments on a prompt and timely basis. Plaintiff is aware that she remains obligated on the mortgage. Defendant shall indemnify Plaintiff and save her harmless, regarding said mortgage, taxes, and anydebts relating to said property.

3.VEHICLES: Plaintiff shall retain the 1982 ChevroletCelebrity, which is already titled to her alone.
Defendant shall retain the 1984 pickup truck, which is already titled to him alone.

4. PERSONAL PROPERTY: Each party shall retain thoseitems of tangible personal property consisting primarily of household items, furniture, appliances and also cash assets, that he or she now has.

5. PENSION AND RETIREMENT BENEFITS: Each party shall retain any pension or retirement benefits that he or she now has, and Defendant shall retain the IRA he presently has, which is valued at approximately $15,000.00

6. The General Electric stock shall belong to the Defendant.

7. CASH SETTLEMENT: Defendant shall pay to the Plaintiff, in consideration for her interest in all assets, that are to become his alone pursuant to this Decree, the sum of $15,000.00, to be paid to his attorney simultaneously with his signature on the Stipulation attached hereto, to be held in trust and paid to "Joan D. Mason, Attorney for Kathleen A. Hayden". immediately upon the granting of a Decree in divorce.

8. Neither party hereto shall be required to file an inventory and appraisement as required by the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Both parties hereby represent to the Court that they are fully aware of the debts and assets of the other party hereto, and of the income of the other party hereto.

9. ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES AND COURT COSTS, AND RELATED EXPENSES: Plaintiff waives payment of alimony and alimony pendente lite, and further waives payment or contribution toward her attorney fees, other than as already ordered by the Court and paid by the Defendant.

Continued on page 72 A

Each party shall pay his or her own remaining attorney fees and court costs.

10. The Plaintiff shall be solely and exclusively responsible for repayment of any funds advanced to the Plaintiff by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Public Welfare, and Plaintiff agrees to indemnify and hold the Defendant harmless for any liability on any obligation incurred by the Plaintiff with the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Public Welfare. In addition thereto the Plaintiff agrees that any monies owing to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Public WelfaRE, at the time of the entry of this Decree in Divorce shall be deducted from the cash settlement to be paid to the Plaintiff and the amount so owing shall be paid directly to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Public Welfare, by Defendant's counsel

BY THE COURT,

P. Richard Thomas, J.

1988

Brian J. Lindsay

623.

R. Charles Thomas

KATRINA M. ROGERS

vs.

BLAIR E. ROGERS

Filed July 18, 1988
@ 11:50 a.m.

Indigent filing

CUSTODY COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Blair E. Rogers, Defendant, have been sued in court to obtain custody of Melissa Sue, and Blair Edward Rogers II.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by WM PINEO, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once.. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Association , Service to the Public Comm c/o Prothonotary Office, Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335. 814 724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
Date: July 15, 1988 Robert L. Walker, J.

July 18, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed in Forma Pauperis, Petition for Leave to Proceed IN Forma Pauperis, and Order of Court filed. ORDER: AND NOW,this 15th day of July 1988, Katrina M Rogers, Petitioner in the above protection from abuse action, is granted leave to proceed In Forma Pauperis, reserving the right to impose costs if appropriate.

2 copies (atty)

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

July 18, 1988, Petition for Special Relief and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 15th day of July 1988, the Court hereby orderes and directs that custody of the minor children, Melissa Sue and Blair Edward Rogers II, be Granted to Plaintiff, Katrina Rogers, until further order of court.

2 copies (atty)

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

See Below

July 28, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, BRIAN J. LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that I an the attorney of record for Katrina M. Rogers, and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did on the 21st day of July, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested a true and correct copy of the Complaint and of the Order and Petition to the Defendant Blair E. Rogers at his lost known address in c/o Telepost, 105 North Pymatuming, Linesville, Pa 16424, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P446 365 371, and that on the 25th day of July, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 22nd day of July, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Date 7-27-88

Brian Lindsay, Attorney for Plaintiff

Mar 22, 1989 Petition for Entry of Consent Custody Order and Order filed: AND NOW, this 22nd day of March, 1989, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, this Court hereby enters the following permanent Custody Order.

1. Plaintiff, Katrina Maris Rogers, is granted custody of the minor children, Melissa Sue Rogers, age 9, and Blair Edward Rogers II, subject to the following visitation rights of the Defendant, Blair Rogers:

a. Defendant may visit with his children on weekends, two (2) times per month.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar 23, 1989 Copies of order to Atty. Lindsay and Thomas.

1988

William B. Moore

624.

Joseph F. Kulwicki

NORMAN L. KLINGINSMITH

vs.

NORENE K. MILLIRON

Filed July 18, 1988
@ 4:10 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs	22.50

COMPLAINT (IN ASSUMPSIT)

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 5, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Norene K. Milliron personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on July 26, 1988 at 3:55 P.M. at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA 16335.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 4, 1988, Preliminary Objections filed by the defendant filed (JuryTrial Demanded)

Oct. 14, 1988, Amended Complaint in Assumpsit filed. 1 copy (atty)

Dec 2, 1988 Certificate of Service filed: I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the Amended Complaint in Assumpsit filed on behalf of the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action was sent to Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esquire, 891 Grove Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335, counsel of record for Defendant, by first class mail on November 11, 1988.
William B. Moore,
Attorney for Plaintiff

Jan 19, 1989 Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim filed by Defendant.

1988

Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman, Berlin, May Walsh, and Brabender Stephen Hutzelman 625.	ASSOCIATES CONSUMER DISCOUNT COMPANY, vs. ALICE BALDESBERGER Filed July 19, 1988 @ 10:59 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 Sheriff's Costs 18.50	COMPLAINT 1 copy (sheriff) July 29, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Alice Baldesberger within named defendant on July 20, 1988 at 1:55 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Tom Baldesbergeradult member of family, namely son, at their residence Box 503, Route 19, Saegertown, Crawford County, Pa. 16433. So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble

John Fuller

626.

ELLEN W. PETERSON AND
EVELYN M. JORDAN

vs.

CHARLES HECKER, HIS HEIRS
AND ASSIGNS

Filed July 19, 1988
@ 11:42 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 181.45
Pro co pd 10/3/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT - ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

July 22, 1988 Motion For Service By Publication and Order filed. AND NOW, to-wit; July 22, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion,the sheriff of Crawford county is ORDERED AND DIRECTED to make service of the Complaint on the Defendant, Charles Hecker, his heirs and assigns, by publication once in the Meadville Tribune newspaper, a newspaper of general circulation in Crawford County, Pennsylvania, and in the Crawford County Legal Journal.
By the Court:
P. Richard Thomas, J
July 22, 1988 copies of order to John Fuller

Aug. 17, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. I, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff, of Crawford County, do hereby certify and made return that I have made publication inthe Crawford County Legal Journal, Meadville,PA, a periodical printed in my baliwick, once on Wednesday, August 3, 1988 as per copy and proof of publication attached. I, Howard N. STewart, Sheriff of Crawford County, do hereby certify and made return, that I have made publication inthe Meadville Tribune, Meadville, PA. a newspaper printed within my baliwick once on Wednesday, August 3, 1988, as per copy and proof of said publication attached.
So answers, Howard N. STewart, Sheriff

Aug. 24, 1988 Motion for Judgment, Affidavit of Service and FINAL DECREE filed. AND NOW, Aug. 24, 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing Motion, and after due consideration thereof, IT is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the defendant, Charles Hecker, his heirs and assigns are forever barred from asserting any right, title, lien or interest in the land described in paragraph 2 of the complaint in the above-entitled case inconsistent with the interest of the plaintiffs as set forth in the complaint, provided, however, that this decree shall become final only, if the defendants, or any of them, fail to take any action as is herein directed within thirt (30) days from the date of this decree: It is further ordered and directed that if no petition to open this judgment or to set aside this Order and Decree is presented by the defendants, or no action of ejectment is commenced by them, or any of them within thirty (30) days from and after the entry of this Order, the same shall become final, and if no such action is taken within said thirty day period, the Prothonotary on praecipe of the plaintiffs shall enter final judgment.
Robert L. Walker, Judge
Aug. 26, 1988 Copy of Order to Atty. John Fuller.

And Now, Oct. 3, 1988 at 4:17 PM upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, final judgment is hereby entered in this case, in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendant, pursuant to the foregoing Order of Court.
H-34
FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

Bette-J. Peterson-Muth

627.

GLORIA D. BUCHANAN

vs.

JOSEPH D. BUCHANAN

Filed July 19, 1988
@ 1:45 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 6/20/91 9.00
state paid 6/20/91 10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 22, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 18, 1991 Affidavit of Service by Mail filed. Bettie J. Peterson-Muth, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the atty. for plff., and that she did mail a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed in the above matter, by cert. mail, ret. rec. req., deliver to addressee only, to the dft. on July 26, 1988 at his last known address, 4621 West 41 St., Cleveland, Ohio, 44109. The signed receipt is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
Bettie J. Peterson-Muth

June 18 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

July 18, 1991 affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

July 18, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

June 24, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, June 24, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Gloria D. Buchanan, plaintiff, and Joseph D. Buchanan, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1988

R. Charles Thomas
Bozic and Thomas

628.

Gerald Sullivan

DEBRA JANE HUNT

vs.

CHARLES FRANCIS HUNT

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES AND COSTS, AND CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

August 22, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

Oct 4, 1988 Income and Expense Statement filed.

Filed July 20, 1988
@ 11:16 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
3 counts paid	45.00
Sheriff's costs	22.10
John Fuller, Mediator	140.00
John Fuller, Mediator	140.00
John Spataro, Master	900
Pro Co pd 3/11/91	
State pd 3/11/91	10.00

Dec. 9, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED CharlesFrancis Hunt within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Divorce to him on August 29, 1988 at 9:35 at 9:35am at Sheriff's Office, Room 303 Crawford County Courthouse, MeaDVILLE, Pa.
So answers,
Catherine I. Allen, Dep. Sheriff
E. Ralph Copeland, Ch. Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 31, 1989 Motion For Appointment of Custody Mediator and Order filed. And now, July 27, 1989 John Fuller, Esquire, is appointed Custody Mediator in this case and is directed to schedule a hearing in connection with the same.
1 copy atty. 1 copy Med. Robert L. Walker, J

3/21/91 Recd $49.00 from Plaintiff

3/21/91 Paid $49.00 To Kathleen Nelson Master Fee 44.6093

July 31, 1989 Petition For Special Relief and Order filed. AND NOW, this 31st day of July, 1989, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a Rule is hereby granted upon the Defendant, CHARLES FRANCIS HUNT, and the Millcreek Township Police Department, to show cause, if any they have, why the aforesaid weapons set forth on Schedule "A" attached to this petition should not be released to DEBORAH JANE HUNT as constituting marital property and subject to equitable distribution in this action. Returnable for hearing and/or argument on the 9th day of August, 1989 at 9:15 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No. 2, Crawford County Courthouse Meadville, Pennsylvania. A copy of this Petition to be served upon the Defendant, CHARLES FRANCIS HUNT, the Millcreek Township Police Department and the District Attorney of Erie County by Certified Mail. Pending hearing on this matter, the Millcreek Township Police Department are ordered and directed to retain possession of the aforesaid weapons as set forth in Schedule "A" attached to this Petition.
BY THE COURT,
2 copies atty. Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 9, 1989 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, August 9, 1989, the Millcreek Township Police Department, Erie County, Pennsylvania, is directed to deliver to the District Attorney of Crawford County or his duly appointed agent a 30-40 Craig U.S. Springfield Armory, Model 1898, Serial No. 138238; a .243 Winchester Remington, Model 788, Serial No. A6076140; and a .12 gauge double barrel shotgun, Serial No. X52422M, to be retained by the District Attorney's Office in Crawford County until further Order of Court. Transfer of the guns from the Millcreek Township Police Department shall be at the convenience of the Office of the District Attorney in Crawford County.
A copy of this Order shall be served by the Plaintiff by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the District Attorney of Erie County and the Mill creek Township Police Department and by personal service upon the district Attorney of Crawford County and upon the defendant.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J
Aug. 9, 1989 3 copies to Atty. Thomas, 1 copy to Defendant, 1 copy D. A. Crawford County

Aug. 22, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, August 21, 1989, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:
I. Legal custody of Charity Lynne Hung and Shauna Marie Hunt shall be shared between the mother and the father, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to medical records, school records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affecting the children's education, medical treatment, religious instruction and other such important decisions.
II. Physical custody of Charity Lynne Hunt and Shauna Marie Hunt shall be with their mother, Debra Jane Hunt, subject to the following conditions:
A. The father shall be entitled to have visits with the children each Sunday afternoon, beginning August 20, 1989, in accordance with jail procedures, so long as the father is incarcerated in the Crawford County Jail.
B. The duration of the Sunday afternoon visits shall be as long as the father and the children mutually agree. The children shall be accompanied on the visits by the mother, or another adult, in accordance with jail procedures.
C. Neither the mother nor the father shall say anything to the children in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand, shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.
III. This order is entered without prejudice to the right of either party to request a further mediation conference or hearing once the father has been released from jail.
IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.
Robert L. Walker, Judge
Aug. 23, 1989 Copies of order put in attys. Thomas, Fuller and Sullivan's boxes.

Dec 22, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, December 22, 1989, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:
I. Legal custody of Charity Lynn Hunt and Shauna Marie Hunt shall be shared between their mother, Debra Jane Hunt, and their father, Charles Frances Hunt, that is, each parent shall be entitled full access to school records, medical records and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affecting the children's education, religious instruction, medical treatment and other such important decisions.
II. Physical cusotdy of Charity Lynn Hunt and Shauna Marie Hunt shall be with their mother , Debra Jane Hunt, subject to the following conditions:
A. The father shall be entitled to have visits with the children each Sunday, beginning December 17, 1989 or the first Sunday after He is released from jail, whichever is later, from 8:00 a.m. until 5:00 p.m. Transportation of the children on these Sunday visits shall be divided with the other and father exchanging custody of the children in the morning and in the afternoon at the Log Cabin Restaurant which is located approzimately one-half mil

Continued on Page 78A

Debra Jane Hunt
vs.
Charles Francis Hunt

Record Continued from Page 78
of
AD 1988-628

****

of the distance between the mother's residence and the father's residence.

B. On Christmas of 1989, the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody on Sunday, December 24, 1989 until 10:00 that day.

C. This order is entered without prejudice to the right of either party ro request a further mediation conference or hearing once the father's living arrangements have stabilized.

D. The parties are to keep each other informed of any illness or emergency affecting the children.

E. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

F. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

III, This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

Robert L. Walker, Judge

Jan 3, 1990 Copies of order put in Attys. Thomas and Sullivan's boxes, and in Atty. Fuller's box.

Feb 1, 1990 Inventory and Appraisement of Debra Jane Hunt filed.

Mar. 27, 1990, Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce and Order Appointing Master filed. ORDER APPOINTING MASTER: AND NOW, Mar. 26, 1990, JOhn Spataro, Esquire if appointed Master in respect to the following claims:

Divorce, Alimony Pendente lite, Equitable Distribution,
Counsel Fees, costs and expenses
Deposit Required: By Plaintiff $600.00 By Defendant 0

Per Curiam,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

Mar. 27, 1990 copies of order to Attys. R. Charles Thomas and Gerald Sullivan, and John Spataro.

Apr. 9, 1990 Moiton to Withdraw and Order filed. AND NOW, this 9 day of April, 1990, upon consideration, the within Motion is hereby granted. Kathleen R. Nelson appointed as replacement master.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Apr. 11, 1990 Copies put in R. Charles Thomas, Gerald Sullivan, John Spataro and Kathleen Nelson's box.

Oct. 5. 1990, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Oct. 5, 1990 Petition For Sanctions and Special Relief and Order filed. AND NOW, this 5 day of Oct., 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a rule is granted upon this Defendant to show cause, if any he has why the relief requesed in this Petition should not be granted. This matter is set for hearing on the 26 day of Oct., 1990, at 9:30 o'clock a.m., in Court Room Number 1 or 2, Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

This Defendant is warned that if he does not appear at the time and place aforesaid, a hearing may be conducted in his absence and the relief requested by the Plaintiff be granted by the Court. The Defendant is further warned that if he fails to appear at the time and place aforesaid, a warrant may be issued for his arrest.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. Judge

1 copy atty.

Oct. 30, 1990 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 26, 1990, after an informal hearing held on the Plaintiff's petition for sanctions and special relief, at which time the Defendant appeared without counsel, it appears that the Defendant is currently unwilling to sign a consent to a 201(c) divorce; it further appears that neither party lives in the marital residence; that the Plaintiff is making all the mortgage payments; that the Defendant lives with his sister near Union City; that the Plaintiff earns approximately $1400 net take-home pay per month, and the Defendant earns approximately $511.00 net take-home pay per month.

It is ordered as follows:

1. The Defendant is ordered and directed to file an inventory and appraisal as required by PARCP 1920.33, and an income and expense statement as required by PACRP 1920.31 no later than 4:30 p.m. on Nov. 15, 1990, which said statements shall be on the forms requried by the Rules and which said forms shall fully, completely, and accurately set forth all of the information required by the rules. The Defendant shall serve by mail or hand delivery a copy of those forms, once filed, to the attorney for the Plaintiff. In the event that the Defendant fails to file his inventory and appraisement andincome and expense statements as required, the Plaintiff shall be given the right to approach the Court for additional relief with respect to income and expense matters, and as to the matters set forth on inventory and appraisements, the Defendant shall be precluded at the time of the master's hearing from producing any evidence on any matters that normally would be set forth in his inventory and appraisement, including, but not limtied to, enumeration of asssets and statement of values of assets.

2. The Defendant has agreed to place the marital residence for sale. Accordingly, the Plaintiff shall obtain an appraisal of the fair market value of the residence property from a reputable, licensed real estate appraiser who has an office in Meadville, PA. Once that appraisal has been obtained, she shall furnish a copy of that appraisal to the Defendant. Thereafter, the Defendant is ordered and directed to sign a real estate listing agreement, agreeing to sell that property for any price the parties agree on,

CONTINUED ON PAGE 78 -b-.....

78
(b)

1988

Debra Jane Hunt

VS.

Charles Francis Hunt

Record Continued from Page 78 (a)

of

AD 1988 - 628

****

11. The Defendant is awarded cash in the amount of $3,000.00 to be paid by the Plaintiff to the Defendant within five (5) days after the entry of this Decree in Divorce.

12. The Plaintiff shall be solely responsible for the payment of the entire unpaid principal balance together with all accrued interest due on the Mortgage encumbering the marital real estate situate at R.D. #1, Guys Mills, Randolph Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, which Mortgage by carious and sundry assignments is now owned by City Federal Mortgage Company. Plaintiff shall pay the monthly Mortgage payments due on the aforesaid Mortgage in a timely manner and Plaintiff shall indemnify and hold the Defendant harmless form any liability on the aforesaid Mortgage and accompanying Bond and any and all future payments that may be due thereon.

13. The Plaintiff shall be solely responsible for the payment of the unpaid principal balance together with all accured interest thereon due and owing on a certain installment loan in favor of the Mellon Bank encumbering the 1987 Ford Pickup Truck awarded to the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff shall make the regular monthly payments on the aforesaid installment loan in a timely manner and shall indemnify and hold the Defendant harmless form any liability on the aforesaid installment loan and all future payments thereon.

ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, ATTORNEYS FEES AND COSTS

14, Plaintiff hereby waives her request for aliomny pendente lite, attorneys fees and costs as set forth in Count III of the divorce Complaint and thus the Plaintiff's claim for alimony pendente lite, attorneys fees and costs is denied.

MISCELLANEOUS

15. The Plaintiff and defendant shall sign, execute and acknowledge any and all documents necessary to effectuate the transfer of the motor vehicles awarded to them pursuant to this Divorce Decree.

16. Neither the Plaintiff nor the Defendant shall make any further claims againsts the other party hereto except for the rights and responsibilities releative to the support and maintenance of the minor children born of the marriage ofthe Plaintiff and the Defendant.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

but if they cannot agree, then at a price no less than the amount set forth on the appraisal. In the event that a buyer comes forward to purchase the property at the price the parteis in this case agree upon or at the appraised value if no other figure is agreed upon, then the Defendant shall sign any and all papers, documents, and deeds reasonably necessary and required to transfer the property from the parties in this action to the prospective buyers. The parties shall make no disposition of the sale proceeds unless they agree, which disposition of the sale proceeds to be determined by the Master or the Court in further proceedings. Initially, the Plaintiff shall pay for the cost of the appraisal, bu the Master and the Court may reallocate part or all of the costs of the appraisal at the time of the Master's hearing or any hearing before the Court.

By Order Of The Court,

Nov. 2, 1990 Copy of Order to Atty. R. Charles Thomas, Defendant.

Feb. 25, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Feb. 25, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Mar. 7, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

****

Mar. 21, 1991 Upon parecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above entitled case is moved to court.

****

Mar. 15, 1991 Memorandum and Order filed. This matter is now before the Court on assorted mtoion to-wit: a praecipe for review under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, a motion to vacate the appointment of a Master and a motion for withdrawl of allegations from the divorce complaint. It appears that the Master is entitled to $49.00 for her services. The Plaintiff's mtoion to vacate the appointment of the Master ask that the Prothonotary pay the Master out of the deposit and return the deposit to the plaintiff. However, the plaitniff never made a deposit.

We will not proceed on any ot these motions nor will we reivew the matter under the Divorce Code until all court costs are paid in full and until there is a deposit of $49.00 to cover the Master's fees.

On March 26, 1990 the Master was appointed and the plaintiff was directed to deposit $600.00 with the Prothonotary. Apparently the plaintiff never did so and we will not proceed further until she does.

Once the plaintiff has paid the Court costs and made the depopsit of $49.00 the Prothonotary shall return all motions and a praecipe for review to the Court for disposition.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 15, 1991 copy put in atty's box

Mar. 7, 1991 Motion For Withdrawal Of Allegations From Divorce Complaint and Order filed. AND Now, April 1, 1991 upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion the Plaintiff is authorized and directed to withdraw fromthe Divorce Complaint heretofore filed in this case the allegations as set forth in Paragraph 5.(b) relating to Indignities to the Person, and 5.(c) relating to Cruel and barbarous Treatment, all by reason of the fact that both the Plaintiff and the Defendant have signed an Affidavit of Consent and this divorce will thus be submitted to the Court as a no-fault divorce pursuant to the provisions of 201(c) of the Act of Assembly of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania known as the Divorce Code.

Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 5, 1991 Copy of order put in R. Charles Thomas' box.

Mar. 7, 1991 Motion For Vacating Appointment of Master and Order filed. And now, April 1, 1991 upon presentation and consideration ofthe within Motion, the appointment of Kathleen R. Nelson, Esquire, as Master in this case the sum of $49.00 pursuant to the statement attached to this Motion and further is authorized and directed to refund to the Plaintiff the balance of the funds on deposit in this case.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon r. Miller, J

Apr. 5, 1991 Copy of order put in R. Charles Thomas' box.

Mar. 7, 1991 Stipulation between the parties filed.

Apr. 4, 1991 **F I N A L D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 1st day of April, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that debra Jane Hunt, Plaintiff, and Charles Francis Hunt, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matirmony. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

MARITAL PROPERTY

1. The Plaintiff is awarded the marital residnec elocated on 1.382 acres of land in Randolph Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania as more specifically described in a certain Deed to the Plaintiff and defendant dated January 2nd, 1985 and recorded in Crawford County Deed Book 559, page 427.

2. The Plaintiff is awarded all tangible personal property presently located in the marital residnece located at R.D. #1, Box 26, Randolph Township, Guys Mills, Pennsylvania.

3. The Plaintiff is awarded all tangible personal property which was formerly located in the marital residence at R.D. #1, Guys mills, Randolph Township, crawford County, Pennsyvlania and presently is located at the Plaintiff's residence at 61 Pinewood Lane, Erie, Pennsylvania.

4. The Plaintiff is awarded at 1987 Ford Pickup Truck.

5. The Pl aintiff is awarded all benefits to which the Plaintiff is entitled as an employee of Greenleaf Corporation in that corporation's savings and retirement plans.

6. The Defendant is awarded all tangible personal property formerly located at the marital residence at R.D.#1, Guys Mills, Randolph Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania and presently in the Defendant's possession at the residence in which he lives at 756 West Central Avenue, Titusville, Pennsylvania.

7. The Defendant is awarded a 1982 Chevrolet Sedan.

8. The Defendant is awarded a 1973 Honda Motorcycle.

9. The Defendant is awarded at 1986 Ford Station Wagon (Bronco).

10. The Defendant is awarded all benefits to which he may be entitled as a former employee of Meadville Forging Company as a participant in a profit sharing retirement plan of Meadville Forging Company.

1988

Anthony J. Vardaro

629.

MICHAEL KOTLAR

vs.

DANIEL T. MYERS AND MARGARET E. MYERS, HIS WIFE

Filed July 21, 1988
@ 9:02 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs	41.00
Pro Co Pd 11/15/88	9.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (atty)

Aug. 15, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Margaret E. Myers personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on July 28, 1988 at 11:37 a.m. at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA.

SERVED Daniel T. Myers within named defendant on Aug. 8, 1988 at 10:04 a.m. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Mable Smith, his sister, adult member of family, at their place of residence, 755 Terrace St., Meadville, Crawford Co. PA
So answers,

Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW,
Nov. 15, 1988, at 4:15 p.m. Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with default notices attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants, for want of an answer, damages determined in the sum of Fifteen Hundred Dollars.
Judgment entered - 11/15/88
M - 220, 258

Debt - - $1500.00
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EXECUTION 1988 - 110

80

1988

Specter Law Offices
P.C.
Diane Clark Hoffman

630.

DAVID MATTHEW ORR

vs.

DAVID M. WHITE, JR.

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

(JURY TRIAL BEFORE TWELVE JURORS DEMANDED)

Aug. 8, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED David M. White, personally, the within named defendant, on July 28, 1988 at 2:10 P.M. at his place of residence, Maple Street, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 9, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

Filed July 21, 1988
@ 10:53 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50	✓
Sheriff's Costs	38.50	
Pro for Co.pd 12-9-88	6.00	✓

1988

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs PC
Alan L. Pepicelli

631.

CONNIE S. CAUVEL

vs.

CALVIN L. ERNST AND MARCIA L. ERNST, HIS WIFE

Filed July 21, 1988
@ 4:27 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	30.00
Pro Co pd 5/26/89	6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Aug. 3, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: I accept Service of the Summons No. AD 1988-631 of behalf of Marcia L. Ernst and certify that I am authorized to do so.
7-25-88Calvin L Ernst
SERVED Calvin L. Ernst personally the within named defendant defendant by handing a true copy of the within Summons to him on July 25, 1988 at 12:50 P.M. at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa 16335.
SERVED Marcia L. Ernst, within named defendant on July 25, 1988 at 12:51 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to her authorized agent, Calvin L. Ernst, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335. His signed acceptance of service attached.
So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 25, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney the above-captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Quinn, Gent, Buseck and Leemhujs, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

632.

SAINT VINCENT HEALTH CENTER

vs.

JOSEPH STEFANISZYN, II, AND CELESTE F. STEFANISZYN

Filed July 22, 1988
@ 10:30 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	88.01
Pro Co Pd 9/14/88	9.00
Exemp. pd 9/14/88	10.00
Sat pd. 10/23/91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Sept. 6, 1988 Writ return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, persinally appeared James Toseki who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 10th day of August, 1988, at 7:15 A.M. he served Notice and Complaint upon defendant Celeste F. Stefaniszyn at 811 Ross Ave., Patton, Cambria County, Pa. By making known the contents thereof to Joseph Stefaniszyn II, her husband and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the notice and complaint received from Crawford Co.

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared James Toseki who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 10th day of August, 1988, at 7:15 A.M., he served Notice and Complaint upon defendant Celeste F. Stefaniszyn at 811 Ross Ave., Patton, Cambria Co. Pa. By making known the contents thereof to Joseph Stefaniszyn II, her husband and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the notice and complaint received from Crawford Co.

James E. Toseki, Deputy Sheriff
Jay Roberts

SERVED Joeph Stefaniszyn within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Cambria Co. Pa. as per affidavit of service attached. Served: 8-10-88.

SERVED Celeste F. Stefaniszyn within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Cambria Co. Pa. as per affidavit of service attached. Served: 8-10-88.

So answers,

Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, September 14, 1988, @ 11:39 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with default notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants, for want of an answer, damages assessed in the amount of One Thousand One hundred Twenty Seven and 20/100 Dollars, plus interest from August 30, 1988, and costs of suit, int. from 8/30/88.

Judgment entered - 9/14/88
S - 95, 35

Debt - - $1,127.20
Int. from Aug. 30, 1988

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 23, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney received satisfaction in full of this judgment, debt, interest and costs and hereby discharge the same of record.

1988

Dallas W. Hartman, P.C.
Dallas W. Hartman

~~Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack~~ (withdrawn)
~~Robert S. Bailey~~

633.

Bozic & Thomas of
R. Charles Thomas

BONNIE R. EASLER AND REGIS EASLER, HER HUSBAND

vs.

SYLVIA RICHARDS, Guardian ad litem
AMANDA S. RICHARDS
minor

Filed July 22, 1988
@ 11:41 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 37.50
Rec'd 12/12/91 6.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (atty)

July 27, 1988 Petition For the Appointment Of A Guardian Ad Litem For Minor defendant and Order filed. AND NOW, this 27 day of July, 1988, a Rule is granted to show cause why a guardian ad litem should not be appointed for minor defendant, Amanda S. Richards.

Rule returnable the aug. 15, day of Aug, 1988 at Courtroom No. 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania, at 9:30 o'clock a.m. All proceedings to stay meanwhile. By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas,

July 27, 1988 copy of order to Robert Bailey

Aug. 15, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, August 15, 1988, at the time fixed for hearing on the petition of plaintiff for the appointment of a guardian ad litem, for the minor defendant, by agreement of the parties, Sylvia Richards, the mother of the minor defendant, is hereby appointed guardian ad litem for the defendant, and this case shall be recaptioned as Bonnie R. Easler and Regis Easler, husband versus Sylvia Richards, guardian ad litem of Amanda S. Richards.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Aug. 15, 1988, copies to R. Bailey, and W. Walkers, attys.

Aug. 15, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Amanda S. Richards personally by handing a true copy of the within Summons and Petition to her on August 8, 1988 at 2:15 PM at Sheriff's Office, Room 303, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville,PA
SERVED Robert L. Richards, minor defendant's father, personally, by handing a true copy of the within Petition for Appointment of Guardian Ad Litem for Minor Defendant to him on August 9, 1988 at 10:07 AM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville,PA
So answers,

Carole Stefanucci, Deputy Sheriff
Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug 18, 1988 Notice of Depostions of Amanda S. Richards, Patricia McMaster, Sandy McMaster, Becky McMaster, and Bobby Jo Bonanno filed.

Dec. 21, 1988, Deposition of Patricia M. McMaster filed.

Dec. 21, 1988, Deposition of Sandra L. McMaster filed.

Dec. 21, 1988, Deposition of Rebecca L. McMaster filed.

Dec. 21, 1988, Deposition fo Bobby Jo Bonanno filed.

Dec. 21, 1988, Deposition of Amanda S. Richards filed.

Jan. 4, 1989 Appearance of Bozic & Thomas by R. Charles Thomas for Defendants filed.

Nov. 8, 1989 Appearance of Dallas W. Hartman, P.C. by Dallas W. Hartman, Esq. for plaintiff's filed.

Nov. 13, 1989, Upon praecipe of Robert S. Bailey, of Shafer, swick Bailey, Irwin and Stack, appearance ofr plaintiffs, withdrawn.

Jan. 10, 1990, Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty) (JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)

Jan. 17, 1990 Motion to Intervene and Order on Motion to Intervene filed.
AND NOW, this 17th day of Jan. 1990, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion a hearing is hereby set on same for the 31st day of Jan. 1990 at 3:00 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

Jan. 18, 1990, copies to Dallas W. Hartman, R. Charles Thomas & Wm. Pineo

Jan.. 17, 1990, Motion to rEschedule Hearing on Motion to Intervene and Order filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, this 17 day of Jan. 1990, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, the same is hereby granted and the hearing on the Motion to Intervene filed by William Pineo, esq. is hereby rescheduled to Feb. 1, 1990, at 2:00 p.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2 Crawford CountyCourt House, the Diamond, Meadville, Pa. By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

Jan. 19, 1990, copies to Dallas W. Hartman, Esq. and R. C. ThomaS. & W/ Pineo.

Jan. 19, 1990, Verification of Service of Motion to Intervene, Order, Motion to Reschedule, and Order Rescheduling Hearing filed.

Feb. 6, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, February 1, 1990, at the time set for hearing on the motion to intervene filed on behalf of the bankruptcy trustee, the Court notes the trustee appears, but neither the Plaintiffs, Defendants or counsel on their behalf appear. Accordingly, the prayer of the motion to intervene is granted, and William Pineo, Esquire, Trustee under Chapter 7 of Title 11 of the U.S. Code, is permitted to intervene as a party Plaintiff in this matter.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Feb. 9, 1990 Copies of order put in Robert Bailey, William Pineo,and R. Charles Thomas' box and copies mailed to Dallas Hartman and Terry Wheeler

Continued on page 610 B

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs & Youngs PC
Lisa P. Youngs

634.

CHARLOTTE A. TEASDALE

vs.

BRIAN WRIGHT TEASDALE

Filed July 22, 1988
@ 4:18 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
3 counts paid	45.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 12-28-88	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION,
CHILD SUPPORT, ALIMONY

1 copy (atty)

July 28, 1988 Certificate of Service filed. Lisa Pepicelli Youngs hereby certifies that she is the Attorney for the Plaintiff, Charlotte A. Teasdale, in the within Action and that a copy of the Complaint in Divorce was sent by Certified Mail No. P404 857 309, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, to Brian Wright Teasdale on July 22, 1988, and was received by Defendant on July 25, 1988. The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A".
Lisa Pepicelli Youngs

Dec. 1, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec. 1, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec 28, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Jan. 20, 1989 **DECREE IN DIVORCE FILED.**

1. **DIVORCE** - Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above named parties on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to section 201(c) ofthe Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. The parties' Postnuptial Agreement is made a part hereof in the following particulars:

A. **Personal Property** - It is decreed that Plaintiff, Charlotte A. Teasdale shall become sole owner of the parties' 1986 Camaro and 1984 Chevy Cavalier; Plaintiff shall assume responsibility for the outstanding loans secured by the aforesaid vehicles; defendant shall execute all documentation necessary to transfer title to Plaintiff. Defendant shall become sole owner of the parties' 1976 Olds Cutlass and 1977 Olds Starfire; Plaintiff shall execute all documentation necessary to transfer title to said behicles to defendant.

B. **Real Property** - Plaintiff shall continue to occupy the marital home of 677 Davenport St., Meadville,PA, subject to the terms and conditions of the said Postnuptial Agreement. Upon later sale of said marital home, the net proceeds of the sale shall be divided equally between the parties. Until the mortgage upon said real estate is fully paid and satisfied, defendant shall pay to Plaintiff the sum of $50.00 per month not later than the 15th day of each month in advance, representing Defendant's portion of mortgage payments due to Mellon Bank (North) N.A. onthe marital home.

C. **Support.**

1. Defendant shall pay to Plaintiff the sum of $400.00 per month for support of Plaintiff, for a period of five(5) years fromthe date hereof, or until her death, remarriage or cohabitation as defined in said Postnuptial Agreement, which ever shall first occur. Said payments shall be tax free to Plaintiff and Defendant shall not be entitled to deduct same from his income tax return.

2. Defendant shall pay to Plaintiff the sum of $70.00 per week through June 30, 1989, for support of the parties' daughter, Kelly Robin Teasdale.

3. Defendant shall purchase and maintain a policy of term life insurance in the initial sum of $24,000 with a decreasing balance sufficient at all times to cover his total remaining support obligation to Plaintiff and the parties' said daughter.

4. Defendant shall pay 70% of the tuition room and board expenses of each child who shall attend college, Pl aintiff shall pay 30% thereof, to the extent of each party's financial ability so to pay after allother aveneus of educational aid are exhausted.

D. **Medical Insurance** - Defendant shall continue to provide medical insurance for the children at a minimum of standard Blue Cross/Blue Shield coverage so long as each child is eligible therefor and at a minimum until each child completes his or her college education. Defendant shall pay 70% of uninsured or extraordinary medical, dental and eyecare expense and Plaintiff shall pay 30% thereof, for each child until completion of each child's college education.

E. **Custody and Visitation** - Plaintiff shall have custody of the parties' minor daughter, Kelly Robin Teasdale, subject to liberal visitation by Defendant, as set forth in said Postnuptial Agreement.

F. **Liabilities** - Defendant shall bear primary responsibility for the payment of the parties' personal line of credit at Mellon Bank (North) N.A. and the payment of the parties' Master Card balance in full. Plaintiff shall bear primary responsibility for payment of the parties' obligations to Vernick Financial, to Sears, to Penney's and to Montgomery Ward. This Paragraph F of this Decree is issued without prejudice to all third party creditors' rights under the aforesaid obligations and shall allocate responsibility only as between the parties.

G. **Legal Fees** - Defendant is hereby ordered to pay one-half of Plaintiff's counsel fees and costs per the Post Nuptial Agreement, said fees and costs being in a total sum of $500.00 fee plus $99.00 costs, and Defendant's one-half share being $299.50, Defendant to pay said sum to Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC within thirty (30) days of the issuance of this Decree.

H. For greater clarity, a copy ofthe parties' Postnuptial Agreement is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

The parties shall hereafter live separate and apart as if they had never been married and divorce is hereby finally decreed.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Dated: January 20, 1989

1988

Legal Services
Gerald Sullivan

635.

SHEILA MAILLIARD

vs.

JOANNE RICE
HERBERT ORR

Filed July 25, 1988
@ 10:05 a.m.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

7 copies (atty)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Joanne Rice, defendant, and Herbert Orr, defendant, have been sued in court to obtain custody of the minor child, Nathan Mailliard.

You are ordered to appear in person at the time and place selected by WILLIAM PINEO, CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody, or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

The Crawford County Sheriff's Department is directed to serve a cert. copy of this complaint and order upon the defendants. In the alternative, this petition and order may be served by any competent adult or by cert. mail.

Plaintiff is allowed to proceed without payment of court costs reserving the right to impose costs, reserving to the court the right to impose costs if appropriate.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford CountyBar Assoc. Ser. to the Public Committee
c/o Prothonotary, Court House, Meadville, Pa. 16335
814 724-4636

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

July 25, 1988, Affidavit to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, Praecipe to Proceed IN Forma Pauperis, filed.

1988

BRIAN J. LINDSAY
~~Joseph F. Kulwicki~~

636.

Bozic & Thomas
By:-R. Charles Thomas

SANDRA L. FULLER

vs.

FRANK R. FULLER

Filed July 25, 1988
@ 10:07 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 2-27-89 30.00 ✓
~~Lisa Pepicelli Youngs,~~
~~Donald E. Lewis, Master~~
~~Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Master~~
BETTIE PETERSON-MUTH - MASTER

COMPLAINT UNDER 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 10, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Lee A. Evans, being duly sworn according to alw, deposes and says that she is an employee of Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq., atty. for the plaintiff and as such, on Aug. 1, 1988, she served a certified copy of the Divorce Complaint in the matter above captioned upon the defendant, Frank R. Fuller, by certified mail, restricted delivery, being certified mail item number P 401 445 588, at his last known address of Box 187, Conneaut Lake, PA 16316.

On Aug. 9, 1988, the signature card for said certified mail item was returned to the office of Joseph F. Kulwicki, bearing the signature of the defendant as having received same on Aug. 8, 1988.

The receipt and signature card for certified mail item number P 401 445 588 are attached hereto and made a part hereof.

FURTHER DEPONENT SAYETH NOT. Lee A. Evans

Sept. 2, 1988, Petition for Related Claims Under Divorce Code, of Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees and Costs, Equitable Distribution, and Order filed. RULE TO SHOW CAUSE: AND NOW, Sept. 2, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within petition, a rule is hereby granted upon the respondent, Frank R. Fuller, to show cause, if any he has, why the request of the petitioner should not be granted in regard to alimony pendente lite, costs and counsel fees.

Rule returnable on the 30th day of Sept. 1988 at 2:00 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Sept. 6, 1988, copies of order to Attys. J. Kulwicki.

Sept. 8, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. REBECCA F. SEMMER, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an employee of Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq., and that as such on Thursday, September 1, 1988, she served an oginal and two copies of Interrogatories Addresseed to the Defendant upon R. Charles Thomas, Esq., attonrey for the defendant, by hand delivering same to his officer at 908 Diamond Square, Meadville, PA., at approximately 11:50 a.m.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT. Rebecca F. Semmer

Sept. 21, 1988 Order Resetting Hearing filed. Hearing in the above matter was heretofore set by the court for September 30, 1988. It now appears that neither Judge will be available at the time set for the hearing, therefore said hearing is rescheduled for October 20, 1988, at 10:00 a.m., in Courtroom 2.

Dated: September 21, 1988

For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Sept. 22, 1988 Copies of order to Joseph Kulwicki and Frank Fuller

Sept. 29, 1988 Income and Expense Statement of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 24, 1989 Answer and Counterclaim filed. (1 copy atty)

Sept. 28, 1989 Answer and Counterclaim reinstated.

Nov. 30, 1989 Inventory and Appraisement of Frank R. Fuller filed.

Nov. 30, 1989 Income and Expense Statement of Defendant, Frank R. Fuller filed.

Nov. 30, 1989 Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce and Order filed.
Order: AND NOW, December 6, 1989, Donald E. Lewis, Esquire is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Dissolution of marriage, alimony pendente lite, equitable distribution and counsel fees.
Deposit required: By Plaintiff $300.00, By Defendant $300.00.

PER CURIAM,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 7, 1989 copies of order given to attys. Kulwicki, R. Charles Thomas, and Donald Lewis.

Jan. 8, 1990 Motion to withdraw appearance of Jos. F. Kulwicki, Esq. as attorney for the Plaintiff and Order filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, this 8th day of January , 1990, Motion allowed.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Jan. 9, 1990 Copies of order to Jos. Kulwicki and R.Chas. Thomas, attys.

Apr. 26, 1990 MOiton For Vacating Appointment Of Master and Order filed. And Now, April 25, 1190 Upon prsentation and consideration of the within Motion, the appointment of Donald Lewis as Master in this case is vacated and Lisa Pepicelli Youngs, esquire, is appoiinted Master.

Gordon r. Miller, J

Apr. 27, 1990 Copies of order put in R. Charles Thomas, Donald Lewis, Brian LIndsay and Lisa Pepicelli Youngs

May 2, 1990 Motion for Vacating Appointment of Master and ORDER of COURT filed. AND NOW, this 2nd day of May, 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion, the appointment of Lisa Pepicelli Youngs as Master in this case is vacated and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick Esq., is appointed as Master.

By The Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

MAy 2, 1990 Copy of Order to Atty. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick.
May 4, 1990 Copy of Order To Attys. Joseph F. Kulwicki and R. Charles Thomas.

12/22/89 Recd. #300.00 From Defendant

1/9/91 Paid #185.00 To McDonald Reporting Services Ck # 5991

4/25/91 Recd #300.00 From Defendant For Plaintiff

7/10/91 Paid to McDonald Reporting Services #195.00 Check # 6310

10/16/91 Paid #415.00 To Bettie Peterson Muth Partial Master Fee Ck #6458

1-9-92 Received from Deft Masters fees #560.00

1-10-92 Paid Bettie Peterson, Muth Bal master fees Chk No 6586 $560.00

Continued on page 86a

SANDRA L. FULLER
VS.
FRANK R. FULLER

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 86
of
AD 1988-636

****

May 9, 1990 Motion for Order Vacating Appointment as Master and Order filed: AND NOW, this 9 day of May, 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion, the appointment of Mary Ann Kirkpatrick as Master in this case is vacated as Bettie Muth, Esquire is appointed as Master.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J

May 14, 1990 Copies of order put in Attys. Kulwicki, Thomas, Kirkpatrick and Muth's boxes.

Oct. 18, 1990 Proof of Notice Of Master's Hearing filed.

Oct. 26, 1990, INcome and Expense Statement of plaintiff filed.

Oct. 26, 1990, Inventory and Appraisement of plaintiff filed.

Nov. 5, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 7, 1990 Proof Of Notice Of Master's Hearing filed.

Jan. 9, 1991 Motion For Payment Of Court Reporters Fees and Order filed. AND NOW, this 8th day of January, 1991, upon review of the within motion, it is hereby ordered that the reporting fees to McDonald Reporting Services in the amount of $185.00. Said amount being the total of two invoices--the first dated October 29, 1990 in the amount of $75.00; the second dated November 21, 1990 in the amount of $110.00.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Jan. 9, 1991 Copies of order put in Joseph Kulwicki, R. Charles Thomas and Bettie Muth's box.

Jan. 25, 1991 Motion To Withdraw Appearance and Order filed AND NOW, this 25 day of January 1991, granted.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Jan. 25, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Kulwicki and Thomas' box.

July 5, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

July 8, 1991 Master's Report filed.

July 8, 1991 Certificate of Service of Notice of Filing Master's Report filed.

July 8, 1991 Motion For Payment Of court Reporter's Fees and Order filed. AND NOW, this 10th day of July, 1991, upon review of the within motion, it is hereby ordered that the reporting fees to McDonald Reporting Services in the amount of $195.00 be paid.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 12, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty.'s Kulwicki, Thomas & Muth

July 15, 1991 Exceptions to Master's Report filed.

Oct. 2, 1991 Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, the above captioned case is placed on the next Argument List.

1991 NOVEMBER ARGUMENT LIST

Oct. 16, 1991 Motion For Payment Of Master's Fees and Order filed. AND NOW, this 16th day of October, 1991, upon review of the foregoing motion, it is her eby ordered that the Master's Fees in the amount of $975.00 be paid.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 18, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Kulwicki, Thomas & Muth

Nov. 6, 1991 Motion To Direct Parties to Deposit Additional Money for Payment of Master's Fee and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 4 day of Nov., 1991, upon review of the foregoing motion, it is hereby ordered that the following additional sums be deposited by the party designated:

By Plaintiff: $280. By Defendant: $280

To be paid in full by Nov. 15, 1991 at 4:00 PM to Prothonotary. Said sums to be used to pay the remaining Master's Fees to Bettie J. Peterson-Muth, Master.

By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Nov. 7, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. R. Charles Thomas, Joseph Kulwicki and Betty Peterson-Muth.

Dec 18, 1991 Petition to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and Defendant Should Not be Held in Contempt and Order filed:

AND NOW, December 18, 1991, upon consideration of the Petition to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and Defendant Should not be Held in Contempt, a rule is entered for the plaintiff and defendant to show cause why they should not be held in contempt.

Rule returnable January 9, 1991 at 4:00 p.m. o'clock p.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2 Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania, service to be made as soon as possible.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Dec. 20, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Kulwicki, Thomas and Muth's boxes.

Jan. 13, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Jan. 9, 1992, at the hearing scheduled to determine whether or not the parties were in contempt of Court for failing to make the payments required for the Master's fees, it appears that the Defendant has made the recent payments required of both parties, and therefore, the Court does not hold either party in contempt of Court and dismisses the contempt proceedings.

However, there may be further proceedings with respect to reimbursement or offset for the amounts the Defendant has paid, which may have covered matters that the Plaintiff should have paid. We do not dispose of that issue.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan. 13, 1992 copies given to B. Lindsay & R. C. Thomas

Jan. 21, 1992 Memorandum and Order Sur Exceptions To the Master's Report filed. ACCORDINGLY, we will direct that the net proceeds fromthe sale of Chrysler that is not being held by one or both of the attorneys, in escrow, is the property ofthe plaintiff and shall be sued to pay her share of the Master's Fees.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan. 21, 1992 Copies put in Atty's box.

Continued on page 86-b

86b-

1988

Sandra L. Fuller,

vs.

Frank F. Fuller,

Record continued from page 86-a-

of record

A.D. 1988 - 636

****

Jan. 21, 1992 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

1. Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits file din the above captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce fromthe bonds of matrimony to the abaove named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an affidavit has been file dby each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. Upon consideration of the pleadings, Agreement of the Parties and Master's Report filed in the above captioned case, Equitable Distribution shall be as determined in the Master's Report to Court as file don July 8, 1991. Said Master's Report to Court shall hereby be incorporated in to this Decree by reference as fully as though the same were set forth herein at length.

3. The net proceeds from the sale of a 1987 Chrysler LeBaron, presently held by one or both of the attorneys, in escrow, is property of the plaintiff and shall be distributed by the attorneys, directly as follows (in which case he or they shall file an accounting with the re cord in this case) or shall be deposited with the Prothonotary who shall distribute said amount as follows:

a. First to the defendant in such an amount as equals the payments he made for the plaintiff for the plaintiff's share of court costs, Master's fee and court reporter's charges.

b. Next to Brian J. Lindsay, attorney for the plaintiff to apply on his fees for services rendered as plaintiff's attorney.

c. The balance, if any, directly to the plaintiff.

This decree shall be effective this 20th day of January, 1992.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller,J

Feb. 10, 1992 DISTRIBUTIONPURSUANT TO DIVORCE DECREE filed.

1988

Bernstein & Bernstein
Nicholas D. Krawec

637.

EDSBYN SPORTS, INC.

vs.

STRAWBERRY ENTERPRISES, INC.
TRADING AND DOING BUSINESS
AS WILDERNESS

Filed July 25, 1988
@ 10:44 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Pro Co Paid 9-9-88	9.00

COMPLAINT

Aug 4, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Strawberry Enterprises Inc., t/a/d/b/a Wilderness on July 26, 1988 at 3:40 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Robert Kulack, Manager and person in charge at the time, at their place of business, 219 Chestnut Street, Meadville, Pa 16335.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Sept 9, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney with Notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the the defendant in default of an answer and the amount of Four Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty-six and 21/100 is computed as follows: Amount claimed in Complaint, Four Thousand Eight Hundred Twenty-one and 49/100 Dollars; Interest from 6-25-88 to 9/15/88 on Three Thousand Two Hundred Sixty-five and 43/100 Dollars, One Hundred Thirty-four and 72/100 Dollars; **Total Four Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty-six and 21/100 Dollars.**
S-185; W-181

Debt.....$4,956.21
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Sept 13, 1988 Judgment Entered.

Sept 13, 1988 Notice of Judgment mailed defendants.

1988

Ely & Smith
Edwin W. Smith

638.

Charles Agresti

HAMOT MEDICAL CENTER OF THE CITY OF ERIE, PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

MAURICE DICKEY, d/b/a DICKEY'S GARAGE, AND BESSIE D. DICKEY, HIS WIFE

Filed July 25, 1988
@ 11:02 a.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$15.00
Plff on orig.	127.75
Pro Co Pd 10/18/88	9.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

DSB 1983 - 872

Writ of Revival of Judgment indexed against the defendants in the amount of Ninety Thousand Seven Hundred Forty Two and 58/100 Dollars, with int. from July 26, 1983.

Debt ------$90,742.58
Int. from -----July 26, 1983
D - 116, 186, 26 ----JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL
James F. Simmons, Prothonotary
Issued (atty)

Aug. 17, 1988 Acceptance Of service filed. I accept service of the Writ of REvival on behalf of the deendants and certify that I am authorized to do so.
Charles Agresti

And Now, Oct. 18, 1988, at 11:05 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney with notices of intention to file default judgment attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for the sun of Ninety Thousand Seven Hundred Forty Two and 58/100 Dollars, with interest from July 26, 1983 and costs of suit.

D - 116, 186, 26 Debt --- $90,742.58
Judgment entered 10/18/88 Int. from 7/26/83
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 18, 1988, notices of entry of judgment mailed to dft. attorney and defendant.

1988

Kathleen R. Nelson

639.

BLAIR ROGERS

vs.

KATTRINA ROGERS
(KATRINA)

Filed July 25, 1988
@ 11:24 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 5/16/89	9.00
State pd 5/16/89	10.00

Kattrina Rogers.

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 2, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information, and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Atty. for the Plaintiff in the above captioned Action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on July 26, 1988.

3. The registered mail was sent to Mrs. Kattrina Rogers, Box 664, 138 N. Chestnut St., Linesville, Pa 16424. The senders receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on July 27, 1988. Said receipt card number P 725 345 679 is attached hereto and signed by

Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq.

Dec. 28, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 12, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr. 7, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Apr. 26, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 16, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

May 30, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, May 30, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that BLAIR ROGERS, plaintiff, and KATRINA ROGERS, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

C. Barton Jones

640.

R. Charles Thomas

BRIDGET S. BOHRER

vs.

ERIE INSURANCE GROUP

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF
WAYNE E. HANSON, DISTRICT MAGISTRATE

July 25, 1988, Rule Entered Upon Plaintiff to File Complaint Issued.

July 29, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 9, 1988 Complaint filed.

Aug. 29, 1988 Answer To Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Sept. 14, 1988 Reply filed.

Filed July 25, 1988
@ 3:39 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid $40.25 ✓
Arb. paid 12/5/88 15.00 ✓
5.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 7-19-89 $1.00 ✓

Dec. 2, 1988, Motion for Addition to Argument Court Docket and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, Dec. 2, 1988, to be heard by Arbitrators as a motion in lumine prior to hearing at a date fixed in advance of Arbitration hearing.

Robert L. Walker, J.
Judge

Dec. 6, 1988 copies of order to R. Charles Thomas and C. Barton Jones.

Dec. 5, 1988 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for appointment of arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed: William F. Gorman, Ch.
Louis Stack
Sylvia Dratler

Dec. 21, 1988, Arbitrators Take the Qualifying Oath.

May 2, 1989 Decision of Arbitrators filed in which they find in favor of the Plaintiff in the ammount of Six Hundred Ninety $690.00 Dollars with interest at 6% from August 9, 1988.

E-184 DECISION OF ARBITRATORS

May 2, 1989 copy mailed to C. Barton Jones and copy put in R. C. Thomas's box.

July 17, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas W. Ferguson

641.

JANICE F. SWANSON

vs.

TERRANCE C. SWANSON

Filed July 26, 1988
@ 9:44 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
	15.00
Pro Co Pd 7-2-90	9.00
State Pd 7-2-90	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 25, 1989 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania on the above named defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated July 29, 1988. The Defendant acknowledged service on August 1, 1988, by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Douglas Ferguson

Mar. 16, 1990 Motion For Leave To Amend Divorce Complaint and Order filed. AND NOW, this 16th day of March, 1990, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion said Motion is allowed.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 20, 1990 Copies of order put in Douglas Ferguson and Bruce Barrett's box.

Mar. 16, 1990 Amended Complaint In Divorce filed. (Equitable Distribution)

Mar. 16, 1990 Acceptance of Service filed. I. Bruce Barrett, Esq., Attorney for the Defendant in the above captioned matter, hereby accept service of the Amended Complaint in Divorce filed on March 16, 1990 and certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County on behalf of my client, Terrance C. Swanson.
March 16, 1990

Bruce A. Barrett

June 29, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 29, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 29, 1990 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

July 27, 1990 Stipulation between the parties filed.

Aug. 2, 1990 Order Of Court filed. AND NOW, this 2nd day of August, 1990, in accordance with the final decree in this divorce action and by agreement of the Plaintiff and Defendant in the instant divorce action, it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED that certain Pennsylvania Lottery winnings of the dEfendant/Husband, terrance C. Swanson, acquired by him during the course of the parties marriage and which is currently paying him the sum of $1,000.00 per month for life are decreed to the marital property and subject to equitable division as defined by the Divorce Code of 1980. It is further ORDERED AND DECREED that the Pennsylvania Secretary of Revenue, in accordance with Section 3761-8 of the State Lottery Law, 1971, Aug. 26, P.L. 351 No. 91, shall hereby direct the capital Insurance Company to pay all lottery winnings of Terrance C. Swanson, may be entitled to in the following manner:

Fifty percent (50%) net per month to the Plaintiff, Janice F. Swanson, her heirs and assigns and fifty percent (50%) net per month to the Defendant, Terrance C. Swanson, his heirs and assigns; and to credit fifty percent (50%) of amount withheld as a tax withholding deduction credited to Janice F. Swanson her heirs and assigns and fifty percent (50%) per annum as a credit for tax withholding deduction to Terrance C. Swanson his heirs and assigns.

FURTHER, in the event of the death of Terrance C. Swanson any lump sum payment or death payment due shall be divided equally between the estate of Terrance C. Swanson or his named beneficiary and Janice F. Swanson her heirs and assigns.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug. 3, 1990 Copies of order put in Douglas Ferguson and Bruce Barrett's box.

Aug. 2, 1990 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, August 2, 1990 it is ordered and decreed that Janice F. Swanson, plaintiff, and Terrance C. Swanson, defendnat, are divorced from the bonds of matirmony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1988

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas W. Ferguson

642.

SELINA M. BROWN

vs.

CHARLES L. BROWN

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Aug 2, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania on the above named defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated July 21, 1988. The Defendant acknowledged service on July 22, 1988 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

FERGUSON & SPATARO
Douglas Ferguson, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Filed July 26, 1988
@ 9:44 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 3-7-89 9.00 ✓

Feb. 7, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Mar 7, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Mar 7, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Mar. 15, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, March 15, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that SELINA M. BROWN, plaintiff, and CHARLES L. BROWN, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

643.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug
David L. Hotchkiss

Jack, Kookogey & Felton
Robert J. Felton
City of Titusville

IN RE:
CONDEMNATION OF:

REAL ESTATE AT 402 and 406 WEST SPRING STREET, AND 401 WEST CENTRAL AVENUE, TITUSVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA

BY:
THE CITY OF TITUSVILLE, FOR HIGHWAY RELATED PURPOSES

Petitioners:
CHAUNCEY W. TARR AND MARY G. TARR, HIS WIFE

Filed July 26, 1988
@ 10:21 a.m.

Tax & entry 7/26/88 $40.50 ✓
6.00

PETITION FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF BOARD OF VIEW BY OWNER

July 26, 1988, PETITION FOR APPOINTMENT OF BOARD OF VIEW - ORDER filed. And now, July 22, 1988, the court hereby appoints a board of viewers in relation to the above matter, consisting of Attorney JOhn Petruso, Chairman; Attorney Stephen Hall and Robert D. Buchan, 309 E. Walnut Street, Titusville, Pennsylvania 16354, to make the necessary view and hold the requisite hearings and file a viewers report.

The court notes that it has recently increased viewers fees to the sum of $150.00 per day for the chairman of the board and $100.00 per day for other viewers.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

July 26, 1988, copy of order to Atty. Hotchkiss; copies of Petition and order to each viewer.

Aug 4, 1988 Proof of Service filed: I, David L. Hotchkiss, Esquire, of 911 Diamond Park, Meadville, Pennsylvania, 16335, do swear that on July 29, 1988, service of the Petition for the Appointment of Board of View by Owner was duly served on the City of Titusville as is evidenced by the Certified Mail return receipt attached.

CULBERTSON, WEISS, SCHETROMA AND SCHUG
David L. Hotchkiss, Esquire

Aug. 25, 1988 Preliminary Objection filed.

Sept. 1, 1988, Request for Admissions Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 4014 filed.

Sept. 7, 1988, Motion to Set Hearing and Argument Upon Preliminary Objections and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 7th day of Sept. 1988, upon consideration of the Motion brought in behalf of the plaitniffs Chauncey W. Tarr and Mary G. Tarr, by their counsel, the Preliminary Objections filed in this matter on behalf of the City of titusville are hereby set down for evidentiary hearing and argument as follows: Oct. 4, 1988 at 2P.M.

Per Curiam,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Sept. 8, 1988, copies to Attys. R. Felton and D. Hotchkiss.

Sept. 15, 1988 Notice Of View and Hearing filed.

Sept. 15, 1988 Notice Of Continuance filed.

Sept. 28, 1988 Motion to continue and Order filed. And Now, Sept. 28, 1988 Continued to Oct. 19, 1988 2:00 PM P. richard Thomas, J

Sept. 30, 1988 Copies of order to David Hotchkiss and Robert Fleton

Sept 20, 1989, OPINION AND ORDER filed. ORDER; AND NOW, september 19, 1989, respondents' Preliminary Objections are overruled.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept. 20, 1989, Copy handed to Atty. Felton, and copy put in box of Atty. Hotchkiss.

Sept. 20, 1989, Exhibits filed.

Feb. 22, 1999 Transcript of Proceedings Testimony of Arthur E. Brown At Time of Hearing filed.

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso
& Gamble
John D. Petruso

644.

Bozic & Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

ROGER L. PAYNE AND JOETTA PAYNE, HIS WIFE

vs.

LEE H. DHANENES
R. D. #3, Box 211
Linesville, Pa. 16424

Filed July 26, 1988
@ 3:48 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 27.97
Pro Co Pd 2-9-89 6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

August 5, 1988 Appearance of Bozic and Thomas by R. Charles Thomas for Defendant filed.

Aug. 12, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED Lee H. Dhanenes personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Summons to him on August 3, 1988 at 3:35 PM at Sheriff's Office, Room 303 Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville,PA
So answer, Catherine I. allen, deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

Feb 9, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

William J. Gregg

645.

Gina D'Alfonso
for Com.

IN RE:

LICENSE SUSPENSION OF
TYRONE DAVID JOHNSON

Filed July 26, 1988
@ 4:14 p.m.

Tax & entry 11/29/88 $40.50
Pro Co Pd 11/3/88 30.00
Pro Co Pd 11/29/88 9.00

PETITION FOR APPEAL (FROM SUSPENSION OF OPERATING PRIVILEGES) NUNC PRO TUNC.

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 22 day of July 1988, upon consideration of the attached Petition, the court grants a hearing for the purposes of determining whether the Petitioner shall have the opportunity to appeal the suspension of his operating privileges nunc pro tunc and whether any refusal made by Petitioner done so in a knowing and conscious manner.

Hearing is set for the 6th day of October, 1988, at 9:30 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2.

Petitioner shall give notice of the hearing to the Chief Counsel, Pennsylvania Department of Transportation, Bureau of Driver licensing, Pittsburgh, Pa.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

July 26, 1988, copy of order to W. Gregg, Esq.

Aug. 10, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. William J. Gregg, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Petitioner in the above-captioned Action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Petition and Order was sent by registered mail to the Pennsylvania Dept. of Transportation on July 29, 1988.
3. The registered mail was sent to PA. Dept. of Transportation, 1209 State Office Bldg., 300 Liberty Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA. 15222, ATTN: Office of Chief Counsel-Michael Creighton. The senders receipt is attached hereto.
4. That the Dept. received said registered mail on Aug. 1, 1988. Said receipt card number P 725 347 020 is attached hereto and signed by A.Williams.

William J. Gregg, Esq.

Oct. 7, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 6, 1988, the court is satisfied that the peculiar circumstance so fthis case, the extensive injury and rehabilitation of the defendant and the attempts of his family to handle his affairs warrant granting of an appeal from the license suspension nunc pro tunc under all of the facts heard by the court in this case.

After listening to all of the evidence, the court finds that the commonwealth has not established the burden of proof of showing that the defendant made a knowing and consious refusal to submit to a blood test. The court notes at the time the defendant was in shock, was nearly totally paralyzed, was being treated by doctors, and these facts and all of the surrounding circumstances indicate to the court that the utterance by the defendant was not a knowing and conscious refusal to submit to the requested blood test.

ACCORDINGLY, the Commonwealth is ORDERED to restore the operating privileges of this defendant arising under their suspension for violating 75 P.C.S.A. 1547(A).

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Oct. 11, 1988, copies to W. Gregg and Gina D'Alfonso.attys.

Nov. 3, 1988, Notice of Appeal to the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania, from the Order entered on October 6, 1988, filed; appeal of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; Department of Transportation.

Nov. 4, 1988 Order Under Rule of Appellate Procedure No. 1925(b) and Order filed. Order: And Now, November 3, 1988, the Commonwealth having filed an appeal from this Court's Order of October 6, 1988, and the Court being uncertain as to the basis of the appeal, the Commonwealth is directed, forthwith, to file of record in the lower court and on the trial judge a concise statement of the matters complained of on the appeal in accordance with Rule of Appellate Procedure No. 1925(b).

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Nov. 4, 1988 copies of order to attorneys of record.

Nov. 10, 1988, Commonwealth's Statement of Matters Complained of on Appeal filed.

Nov. 14, 1988, Notice of Appeal Docketing at Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania, at No. 2591 CD 1988, filed.

Dec. 28, 1988, Transcript of Proceedings at Time of Summary Appeal Hearing, filed.

Feb 21, 1989 Re: Petition for Appeal for Suspension of Operating Privileges Nunc Pro Tunc-Memorandum filed.

Feb 22, 1989 Copies of Memo. to Attys. Dively and Gregg.

Jan. 31, 1990, Certification from the Commonwealth Court of Pa. of Opinion by Judge McGinley and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 29th dayof Jan. 1990, the order of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County is reversed and DOT'S suspension of JOhnson's operating privileges is reinstated. Bernard L. McGinley, Judge
(Heard before Hon. Joseph T. Doyle, Judge
Hon. Bernard L. McGinley, Judge
Hon. Alexander F. Barbieri, Senior Judge (submitted on briefs: Oct. 27, 1989)

Apr. 9, 1990, Record Remanded from the Commonwealth court of Pennsylvania, filed.
Apr. 10, 1990, copy of Cert. of contents of Remanded Record mailed to Commonwealth Court.

Mason and Mason
M. Dan Mason

646.

BRENDA M. BECK

vs.

THE HEIRS OF NELLIE CATHERINE WELSH, THEIR RESPECTIVE PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVES, HEIRS AND ASSIGNS

Filed July 27, 1988
@ 8:58 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co pd 10/10/88 9.00

COMPLAINT IN ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

July 27, 1988, Affidavit of Address of Defendants, Motion for Leave to Serve by Publication, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 27 day of July 1988, on motion of M. Dan Mason, attorney for plaintiff, in the above captioned matter, leave is hereby granted to serve the heirs of Nellie Catherine Welsh, her personal representatives, heirs and assigns, generally, defendants in said action, by publication of a notice of the facts set forth in the complaint in the Meadville Tribune, a newspaper of general circulation, and the Crawford County Legal Journal, one time and requiring the said defendants to plead within twenty days from the date of the last publication thereof.

Per Curiam,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

July 27, 1988, copy of order to M. Dan Mason, Esq.

Sept. 9, 1988 Affidavit, Motion For Judgment and Order filed. AND NOW, this 9 day of Sept., 1988, an affidavit of the service of the complaint with Notice to Plead having been filed and no answer having been made by the defendants, this Court now, upon motion of Mason and Mason, Attorneys for the plaintiff, hereby Orders and Decrees that the defendants above named, their heirs, executors, administrators and assigns, be, and they are forever hereby, barred from asserting any right, title, lien, interest or claim to the premises situate in East Fairfield Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

BEGINNING at the intersection of the center line of a township raod with the center line of the Franklin Pike; thence by the center line of the said township road South 36° 25' West 72.7 rods to a point; thence still by same South 39° 20' West 29.1 rods to a pin; thence South 35° 55' West 20 rods to a point; thence still by same South 54° 35' west, 41 rods to a point in line of land of Thomas Blanchard; thence North 38° 55' West by land of said Blanchard 62.2 rods to a point in line of land of Henry Wagner; thence North 55° 5' East by line of land of Wagner 89.4 rods to a point; thence North 19° EAst by land of same 30.1 rods to a point; thence North 53° 45' EAst by land of same 77.9 rods to a point in the center of the Meadville and Franklin Pike aforesaid; thenc eby the center line of said Pike South 35° 53' East 45.2 rods to the point or place of beginning. Containing 70.2 acres of land.

EXCEPTING AND RESERVING, however, fromthe above described land approximately 8.8 acres of land formerly sold to Vance Collins, and EXCEPTING AND RESERVING 65 acres of land sold to Leonard Peterson et ux, deeded May 11, 1955 and recroded in Deed Book 376, page 26, leaving approximately 4.8 acres being conveyed.

It is further Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed that the defendants, their respective personal representatives, heirs executors, administrators and assigns be, and they are hereby forever barred from asserting any right, lien, title or interest in the aforementioned premises, inconsistent witht he interest or claim of the plaintiff as set forth in the plaintiff's complaint; or from impeaching, denying, or in any wasy attacking the plaintiff's title to said property; from issuing or maintaining any action attaching the same or any part thereof, unless the defendant shall enter an appearance and file an answer to the complaint, or petition to open judgment within thirty (30) days after the entry of this Order. Uon the expiration of the said thirty (30) days, if no action or contest of these proceedings is entered on behalf ofthe defendants, then the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon praecipe of the plaintiff, shall enter a Final Judgment against the defendants, their heirs, executors, administrators and assigns.

Per Curiam,
Robert L. Walker, J

W-121

Sept. 12, 1988 Copy of order to Dan Mason

And now, Oct. 10, 1988 at 3:57 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, final judgment is hereby entered in this case, in favor of the plaintiffs and against the Defendants, pursuant to the foregoing Order of Court.

W-121

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

647.

Bozic and Thomas
David P. Truax

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

DANIEL J. DRELICK

Filed July 27, 1988
@ 9:15 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓

PETITION FOR APPEAL FROM ORDER OF DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - SUSPENDING DRIVER'S LICENSE

2 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, 27 July 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition and upon Motion of David P. Truax, Esq. Attorney for the Petitioner, a hearing is granted to determine whether the action of the Department of Transportation in suspending the Driver's License of the Petitioner should be set aside.

The above matter is set for hearing on the 6 day of Oct. 1988, 9:30 a.m. at the Court House, Meadville, Pa. in C. R. 1 or 2.

Petitioner is directed to give thiety (30) days written notice to (1) Department of Transportation, Bureau of Driver Licensing, Citation Processing Division, Rm. 302, Trans. and Safety Building, Harrisburg, Pa. 17120, and (2) Dept. of Trans. Office of Chief Counsel, 1209 State Office Buildng, Pittsburgh, Penna., 15222, said notice to be by Cert. Mail in each case ret. rec. requested.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, Judge

July 27, 1988 copy to Atty. Truax.

Oct. 10, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, October 6, 1988, the Defendant's appeal from suspension of his driving privileges in Pennsylvania is denied and the suspension imposed by the Department of transportation is reinstated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Oct. 11, 1988 copies of order to Gina D'Alfonso and David Truax

Oct 10, 1988 Exhibits filed with papers.

1988

Edward J. Hatheway

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

648.

James Irwin

JAMES F. CROWLEY

vs.

CAROL G. CROWLEY

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY
AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Filed July 27, 1988
@ 10:00 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
2 counts paid	30.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 11-14-88	9.00 ✓
William Pineo, mediator	202.00
Pro Co Pd 10-12-95	9.00
William Pineo, Mediator	227.00
Pro Co Ad 8-1793 ch# 7730	9.00

Received 5-4-92 #101.00 mediation fees from Plff.

Received 5-7-92 mediation fee from Plff #101.00

PdCCom 6-25-92 med. fees 202.00 ch# 6902

6-1-93 Received from Plff 150.00 med. deposit

8-10-93 Pd Plff med dep bal ch# 7721 27.50

8-17-93 Pd Co Com, med fees ch# 7729 113.50

9-8-93 Rec. from dept med fees #113.50

9-20-93 Pd Co. Ch# 7794 113.50

8-11-95 Rec from dept med fee 150.00

10-12-95 Pd Co 9.00 #9512

8-16-96 Pd dept Refund #10854 50.00

Aug. 31, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed, I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, Attorney for the Plaintiff inthe above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did onJuly 28, 1988 mail to the abvoe defendant, Carol G. Crowley, by certified mail #P-547-808 363, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. SAid notice was received by CarolG. Crowley on August 1, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office ofthe Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason

Nov 9, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov 9, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Nov 9, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Dec. 2, 1988 Stipulation filed.

Dec. 2, 1988 Consent Custody Order filed. AND NOW, this 1 day of Dec., 1988, the court orders as follows:

JAMES F. CROWLEY AND CAROL G. CROWLEY, parties in the above captioned action, have agreed upon the matters set forth below, and agree that the Court of Common Pleas of Crawfor d County, Pennsylvania, shall enter an Order in accordance with the terms of this Consent Custody Order without the necessity of their being present in court. They have waived any further notice of the proceedings in connection with the entry of said Order and each has received in advance a copy of this Consent Custody Order. They represent that each is aware of the right to confer with independent legal counsel for review of this matter, prior to entry into agreement, a stipulation and court order, and each has done so.

1. Primary physical custody of the minor child, Christy Lynn Crowley, age five and a half (5 ½), born January 4, 1983, shall be with her father for nine months and with her mother for the three summer months when school is out. Legal custody of the child shall be shared between the parties, such that all important decisions relating to the child in regard to medical, education, and religious matters, shall be discussed between the parties.

2. During the school year, mother shall have the child every Monday evening from 6:30 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. and an additional weekday evening as the parties shall agree on, from 4:00 p.m. until 9:00 p.m. Mother shall also have the child every weekend from Friday evening at 4:00 p.m. until Sunday morning at 9:30 a.m.

3. The summers shall be divided so that beginning the first Sunday after school lets out the child shall be with her mother for two weeks, then the following two weeks she would be with her father. The child shall alternate every two weeks throughout the summer, until seven days prior to the beginning of school, at which time she should already be with, or shall be returned to her father.

4. During the summer months when the summer schedule is in effect, the parent not having custody of the child for any two week period, would have her as follows:

a. Mondayevening from 6:00 p.m. until 9:30 p.m.
b. Wednesday evening from 4:00 p.m. until 10:00 p.m.
c. Friday evening from 4:00 p.m. until Sunday at 12:30 p.m.(if it is Mr. Crowley's weekend)and from 4:00 p.m. Friday until 9:30 a.m. Sunday (if it is Mrs. Crowley's weekend).

5. Mr. Crowley would always have the child with him for church, from 9:30a.m. to 12:30p.m each Sunday, throughout the year.

6. Each party shall be entitled to have an uninterrupted two weeks consecutively, during the time that he or she would normally have the child for primary physical purposes. There will be no visits from either parent during this time. There shallbe fifteen days advance notice of said uninterrupted two weeks.

7. The parties shall also share major holidays consisting of Christmas, Thanksgiving, Easter, Memorial Day, July 4th, and Labor Day, as they shall mutually agree.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 2, 1988 copy of order to Joan Mason, esq.

Dec. 2, 1988 Stipulation filed.

Dec. 2, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED**

AND NOW, this 1 day of December, 1988, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned divorce case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. REAL ESTATE: The Plaintiff hereto owns residential real estate, which he purchased prior tothe marriage of the parties, but which has increased in value to some extent during the marriage. Defendant hereby releases any right, title and interest she may otherwise have to the increase in value in said property, and any interest she may otherwise have in said property by virtue of the marriage.

3. TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY: Each party shall retain those items of tangible personal property, household furnishings, furniture and appliances, that he or she now has in his or her possession. This division i s essentially such that Plaintiff receives all but the personal property that belongs to wife, such as her clothing and minor personal items.

4. VEHICLES: Defendant shall retain the 1988 Chevrolet automobile that she recently acquired, and any debt thereon. Plaintiff shall retain the 1987 Chevrolet Cavalier automobile, and shall assume full responsibility for any debt thereon owed to Mellon Bank. He shall also retain the 1975Buick automobile.

Continued on Page 98-a.

1988

Continued from page 98 AD 1988 -648 Crowley vs. Crowley (divorce)

5. ~~-PENSION-AND-RETIREMENT-BENEFITS;~~ Neither party hereto shall make any claim to pension or retirement, profit-sharing or other employment related benefits, that the other may have through his or her respective places of employment.

6. DEBTS: Wife shall assume responsibility for the Visa card (through Seven Seventeen Credit Union) which has a balance of $1,000.00 or more; and for the Sears acount (titled in husband's name) which has an approximate balance of $100.00. She shall not make further charges on the Sears account.

Husband shall assume responsibility for the Mellon Bank personal credit line, which has an approximate balance of $880.00. Husband shall also assume responsibility for a personal loan through Mellon Bank, which has an approximate balance of $1,440.00 .

7. SAVINGS: Each party shall retain those savings accounts now titled to him or her individually.

8. Neither party shall be required to file an inventory and appraisement as required by the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure, as each is aware of the assets and debts of the other, to his or her satisfaction.

BY THE COURT,

P.Richard Thomas, J.

Jan. 20, 1992 Petition To Modify Custody filed.

Jan. 20, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 20 day of January, 1992, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before Mediator William Pineo, at a time to be set by the Mediator in th eCrawford County Courthouse with the Child Custody Mediator as required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, PA.

It is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the Court, the aforesaid minor child shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the Court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Jan. 20, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. William Pineo and Joan Mason.

Feb. 6, 1992 Affidavit Of Acceptance Of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Attorney for the Plaintiff/REspondent in the above captioned action, being duly sworn according to law, do hereby state that I accepted service on behalf of my client of a true and correct copy of the Petition to Modify Custody, on January 23, 1992 by First Class Mail addressed to me at my office at 973 Liberty street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335. Joan D. Mason

Apr. 3, 1992 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 3rd day of April, 1992, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child. Primary physical custody of the subject minor child shall be with her father during Christy's school session and with her mother during the summer vacation from school.

2. During the school year, the mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody each Monday evening from 5:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m., if Christy has a piano lesson, or from after school to 7:30 p.m., if she does not. In addition, the mother shall have a weekday evening for visitation and temporary physical custody, as the parties may agree, from the close of school until 7:30 p.m. The mother shall also have temporary physical custody and visitation with the subject minor child each weekend from Friday evening at the close of school until Sunday morning at 8:45 a.m.

3. Each summer shall be divided so that beginning the first Sunday after the close of the school session, the subject minor child shall reside with her mother for one week and then the following week with her father, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods.

4. The father shall always have the subject minor child with him for church, from 9:30 a.m. until 12:30 p.m. each Sunday, throughout the year.

5. Each party shall be entitled to have an uninterrupted two week period, to be taken consecutively, during his or her period of primary physical custody, during which time no visits need be accorded the other party. There shall be 15 days advance notice of said period.

6a. Christmas holiday visitation: The mother shall, each year, have visitation and temporary physical custody from 9:00 a.m. Christmas Eve through 11:00 a.m. Christmas Day. The father shall have temporary physical custody from 11:00 a.m. Christmas Day until 9:00 a.m. on December 26. From 9:00 a.m. December 26 until 6:00 p.m. New Year's Day, the mother shall have temporary physical custody.

b. The other holidays to be observed are Easter, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, and Thanksgiving. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the child may watch the fireworks. The mother shall have temporary physical custody and visitation for Easter, 1992, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

c. The child shall spend Father's Day with her father from 9:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with her mother, during the same hours.

d. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

7. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

8. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the child in the excercise of his or her rights picking up the child at the home of the other.

9. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child.

10. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor child. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the child is being transported or in the bedroom of the child.

11. Any person trnasporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

12. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 98 B

98
-b-

1988

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 98 A - A. D. 1988 - 648 - Crowley V. Crowley (Shellito) (DIVORCE)

13. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

14. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $202.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

15. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Apr. 8, 1992 copy in box for W. Pineo, J. Mason, J. Irwin, mailed copy to Plf. & Dft.

May 1, 1992 Motion for Hearin De Novo and Order, filed. ORDER: And now, May 1, 1992 Hearing set for June 11, 1992 at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2, Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
May 8, 1992 copy in box for J. Mason & J. Irwin.

June 10, 1992 MOTION TO WITHDRAW REQUEST FOR HEARING DE NOVO and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, June 10, 1992, motion denied. Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
June 16, 1992 copy in box for J. Irwin & J. Mason

June 17, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, June 11, 1992, the hearing in this case is continued to Wednesday, July 1, 1992 at 3:00 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse as the Court scheduled does not permit the case to be completed this date.

The Court directs counsel to make every reasonable attempt to be able to conclude this case on July 1st.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

June 17, 1992 Copy of the Order to Joan D. Mason, ESq. and James Irwin, Esq.

July 2, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 2,1992 after formal hearings on the defendant's petition to modify the custody order of Dec. 1, 1988 the Court finds that both parents are fit parents; that the plaintiff has done an excellent job as a primary caretaker and custodian of the child since the prior order was entered, that the child is doing exceeding well in her current school, that the child is involved in several positive and enjoyable nonschool activities, that while living with her father she has frequent contact with several close friends and members of the plaintiff's family, but that the defendant represents a willingness and ability to quit her job to spend more time child rearing, and the defendant lives in a more traditional family arrangement and therefore the Court orders as follows:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child. Primary physical custody of the child shall be with her mother during school year and with her father during summer vacation from school.

2. For the summer of 1992 the child shall reside with her father until 9:00 a.m. on Saturday, July 4, 1992. At that point she shall be picked up by her mother and shall remain with her mothe until 7:00 p.m. on Sunday, July 12, 1992 when she shall be picked up by her father. She shall reside with her father until 7:00 p.m. Aug. 15, 1992 when she shall be picked up by her mother. The defendant shall have the right to have her daughter in her custody from 7:00 p.m. on Friday, Aug. 7, 1992 until 7:00 p.m. on Sunday, Aug. 9, 1992. The defendant shall pick up her daughter on August 7th. The plaintiff shall pick up his daughter on Aug. 9th. For the balance of August, 1992, that is from Aug. 15, 1992 on the child shall reside with the defendant.

3. During the school year the father shall have the right to visit and take his child into his custody each weekend, except the second week end of the month (the first weekend being defined as the first weekend of each month where both Saturday and Sunday fall in the same month) from Friday afternoon or evening at the close of school until the next Sunday evening at 7:00 p.m. The mother shall be responsible for delivering the child to the father's home as soon as reasonably possible after school ends on Friday. The father shall be responsible for returning the child to the mother's home no later than 7:00 p.m. the next Sunday.

4. For each summer after the summer of 1992 the father shall have custody of his daughter for 8 full weeks commencing with 7:00 p.m. on the first Friday after school is out. During those weeks the mother shall have the right to take her child with her on the second weekend of each month from 7:00 p.m. on Friday to 7:00 p.m. the next Sunday unless the second weekend of June is the beginning of the father's summer visitations in which case the third weekend in June will be the weekend when the mother shall have a weekend visitation. The mother shall have the right to one week during the summer at a time to be selected by her by March 1 of each year. She shall notify the father inweiting of the week she selects.

5. Paragraph 6, and all subparagraphs thereunder and paragraphs 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 of the temporary order of April 3, 1992 are incorporated herein by reference thereto.

6. Holiday visitations, Father's Day visitation and Mother's Day visitation shall take priority over all other visitations.

7. In entering this order the Court recognizes that the child has done exceptionally well while living with her father for nearly two years and recognizes some risk in making this change. The Court is assuming and hoping that the child will continue to engage in the same extracurricular and outside activities and that her performance in school shall be similar to her performance during the 1991-1992 school year. The Court also presupposes that the defendant will be quitting her job to become a full time mother in August of 1992. If the child's school performance declines or if she is not aable to participate in outside activities similar to those she participated in while living with her father or if the defendant does not become a full time mother then this Court may, on petition of either party, reconsider this Order in an effort to determine the best interests of the child.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

JUly 8, 1992 copy in box for J. Mason & J. Irwin

July 27, 1992 Petition for Reopening and Reconsideration and Order filed. AND NOW, this 27th day of July, 19952, this motion is denied.

Per Curiam,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

July 30, 1992 Copy of the order to: Attys. Mason,Irwin and Pineo.

July 9,1993 Petition for Modification of Custody and ORDER FILED. ORDER: AND NOW, this 9 day of July, 1993, upon consideration of the attached Petition for Modification of Custody, the Court hereby refers this matter back to Custody Mediator William Pineo for mediation.

No hearing shall be held until the Plaintiff deposits $150 with the Prothonotary.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

7-13-93 Copy of Order to Attys. Mason, Irwin & Pineo.

Aug. 9, 1993 TEMPORARY ORDER, filed. AND NOW, this 6 day of August, 1993, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, nd other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.

3a. The mother shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor child from 5:00 p.m. of August 15 of each year until 5:00 p.m. of june 15 of the following year. The father shall have primary physical custody from 5:00 p.m. of June 15 of each year until 5:00 p.m. of the following August 15.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 98C

James F. Crowley
vs.
Carol G. Crowley (Shellito)

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 98B
of Record
A. D. 1988 - 648

b. The parent not having primary physical custody shall have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody every weekend, except for the second weekend of each month, from Friday at 5:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m.

c. The subject minor child shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4a. Christmas: There shall be two Christmas visitation periods, the first beginning at 5:00 p.m. of December 23 and ending 8:00 p.m. Christmas Eve, and the second beginning 8:00 p.m. Christmas Eve and ending at 1:00 p.m. of December 26. For Christmas 1993, the father shall have the first period, and the mother, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the two periods. The father shall have temporary physical custody and visitation each year from December 26 at 1:00 p.m. through January 1 at 1:00 p.m.

b. Thanksgiving: There shall be two Thanksgiving holiday visitation periods, the first beginning on the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving at 5:00 p.m. and ending Thanksgiving Day at 2:00 p.m., and the second beginning Thanksgiving Day at 2:00 p.m. and ending on the Friday following Thanksgiving at 5:00 p.m. For Thanksgiving, 1993, the mother shall have the first period, and the father, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods.

c. Easter: There shall be two Easter visitation periods. The first shall begin at 5:00 p.m. Good Friday and end at 8:00 p.m. on Holy Saturday. The second shall begin at 8:00 p.m. Holy Saturday and end at 6:00 p.m. on Easter Sunday. For Easter, 1994, the mother shall have the first period, and the father, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

d. The other holidays to be observed are Memorial Day, Independence Day, and Labor Day. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that Christy may watch the fireworks. The mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody for Labor Day, 1993, and the parties shall thereafter alternate said holidays.

e. The child shall spend Father's Day with her father from 9:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with her mother, during the same hours.

f. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as ser out in th is Order or as previously arranged, the party seekign cancellation or m odification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the child in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the child at the home of the other.

7. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor child. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the child is being transported or in the bedroom of the child.

9. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do l ikewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

12. The parties are directed to attempt counseling to improve their skills at communication and to help them to reconcile themselves to their situation as separated parents. The parties shall make every reasonable effort to find a counselor upon whom they can agree and shall split the costs of the counseling. It shall be a condition of the counselor's employment that all things said to the counselor by either party or by the subject minor child shall be held in strictest confidence snd shall not be use d by any party in any legal proceeding.

13. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $227.00, are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case.

14. The deposit required for the appointment of the custody mediator was made by the father. The deposit shall first be applied against the father's share of costs and the remainder shall be remitted to him. The mother shall pay her share to the Prothonotary of Crawford County within thirty (30) days of the date of this order.

15. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug. 12, 1993 copy to W. Pineo, J. Mason, J. Irwin, Pfl. & Dft.

Sep. 9, 1993 Motion for Hearing DeNovo and Order of court filed. And Noew, September 3, 1993, hearing DeNovo in the above-captioned case is set for September 27, 1993 at 2:00 p.m. in Courtroom Number 1.

FOR THE COURT,
Ann A. Walker, Court Administrator

9-14-93 Copy of Order to Attys. Pineo, Mason, & Irwin.

Oct. 5, 1993 REASSIGNMENT OF HEARING DATE, filed. And Now, Sept. 27, 1993: Hearing in the above captioned matter heretofore set for Sept. 27, 1993 at 2:00 p.m., is hereby reset for October 15, 1993, at 2:30 p.m., in Courtroom 1.

FOR THE COURT,
Ann A. Walker, Crt. Adm.

Oct. 11, 1993 copy to J. Mason, J. Irwin

Oct. 21, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, October 15, 1993 after an informal de novo hearing, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. Paragraphs 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8,9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of the temporary order of August 6, 1993 shall remain in full force and effect without change.

2. Paragraph 3 and the subparagraphs under paragraph 3 of the temporary order of August 6, 1993 are hereby deleted, and the following substituted in place thereof:

"3. The mother shall have primary physical custody of the minor child from 5:00 o'clock p.m. on August 15 of each year until 5:00 o'clock p.m. June 15 the following year. The fat her shall have primary physical custody of the minor child from 5:00 o'clock p.m. on June 15 of each year until 5:00 p.m. the following August 15, all subject to the following subparagraphs hereunder.

"(a) Except as hereinprovided with respect to summer visitations, the parent not having primary physical custody shall have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody every weekend except for the second weekend of each month, fromFriday at 5:00 o'clock p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 o'clock p.m.

"(b) There shall be no weekend visitations in June and Au gust. The mother shall have weekend visitations in July on the second and fourth weekends from Friday at 5:00 o'clock p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 o'clock p.m. For this purpose, the first weekend of July shall be the first weekend in July where SAturday and Sunday both appear in the same month.

Continued to Page 98 D

"(c) Since the mother will have the child during fair week,the father shall have the right to have the child in his custody for 48 continuous hours during fair week at his election. He shall notify the mother at least 30 days in advance of fair week of the days when he wants to exercise these visitations. These visitations shall take place between Monday and Friday and shall commence at a time to be agreed upon between the parties but if they cannot agree, they shall commence at 5:00 o'clock p.m. on day and terminate at 6:00 o'clock p.m. on the second day following.

"(d) The child shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations shall not be monitored in any way by the parent having physical custody."

3. Paragraphs 4(b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) of the temporary order of August 6, 1993 shall remain in full force and effect without change or modification.

4. Paragraph 4(a) of the temporary order of August 6, 1993 is hereby deleted in its entirely and the following substituted therefor:

4(a) CHRISTMAS. The mother shall have the minor child in her custody from 5:00 o'clock p.m. on December 23 through 1:00 o'clock p.m on December 25. The father shall have the child in his custody from 1:00 o'clock p.m. on December 25 to 1:00 o'clock p.m. on January 1.

5. The Court believes the parties should have some counseling or some assistance in improving the way they deal with each other in an effort to harmonize differences and reconcile differences involving the child. This paragraph will amplify paragraph 12 of the temporary order of August 6, 1993. The parties shall choose a counselor and if they cannot choose a counselor, then the Court will on motion or petition of either party. That counselor shall be chosen within 30 days from this date and the cost of counseling shall be split on a 50/50 basis. They shall continue counseling until the counselor believes that counseling is no longer necessary or appropriate.

To the extent that this paragraph is in conflict with paragraph 12 of the August 6, 1993, this paragraph shall prevail.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

10-21-93 Copies Dist. to Mason & Irwin & Pineo

July 12, 1994, Appearance of Edward J. Hatheway, of Peters, Lindsay, Lewis and Hatheway, attorneys for plaintiff filed.

Jul. 18, 1994 Petiton for Appointment of Custody Counselor and for Custody Counseling Sessions, filed.

Jul. 18, 1994 ORDER filed. AND NOW, jUly 15, 1994, the plaintiff's petition for appointment of custody counselor and custody counseling sessions is denied without prejudice to the right of the plaintiff to file a similar petition after compliance with the Crawford County Civil Rules.

This petition was filed without complete compliance with Rule L206 covering motions, rules and petitions. It is true that counsel certified that he served a copy of the petition on opposing counsel on July 12, 1994. However there is no indication as to whether or not the petition is contested or uncontested and the notice in the form required by Rule L206 is missing.

The plaintiff shall comply with Rule L206 in all respects and may resubmit the petition after complying with the rule.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

7-18-94 Copies dist. to Hatheway & Irwin

Aug. 10, 1994 Petition for Appointment of Custody, Counselor and for Custody Counseling Sessions filed.

Aug. 10, 1994 ORDER filed. AND NOW, August 8, 1994, after receipt and review of the plaintiff's Petition for appointment of Custody Counselor, after a meeting with counsel and after receiving written suggestions from counsel, it is ordered as follows:

1. The letters of July 29, 1994 from counsel to the Court shall be filed of record in this case.
2. Kathryn Anderson is hereby appointed as counselor to aid and assist the parties in improving a way they deal with each other in an effort to harmonize differences and reconcile differences involving the child. A true and correct copy of the temporary order of Aug. 6, 1993 as well as the Order of Oct. 15, 1993 shall be forwrded to Kathryn Anderson by counsel for the plaintiff within ten (10) days from the date of this Order.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 10, 1994 Copies of Order distributed to Attys. Hatheway and Irwin.

Aug. 11, 1995 Petition to Modify Custody and NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR filed. You, James F.Crowley, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of Christy Crowley.

You ar ORDERED to appear in personat such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator WilliamPineo,Esq., for a mediation conference.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE, IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator, Courthouse
Meadville,PA. 16335, Phone (814) 336-1151 est.298

Date: 8/11/95
Anthony J. Vardaro,J.

8-11-95 Faxed Irwin, Mason& Pineo

Aug. 30, 1995 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 29 day ofAugust, 1995, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Paragraph 12 of the order of April 6, 1993, shall be considered to have been deleted.
2. In all other respects, the order of April 6, 1993, is affirmed and continued in full force and effect.
3. Costs and fees of this mediation which are fixed at $182 are divided equally between the t wo parties witha share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. The deposit required for the appointment of the custody mediator was made by the mother. The deposit shall first be applied against the mother's share of costs and the remainder shall be remitted to her. The father shall pay his share to the Prothonotary of Crawford County within 30 days of the date of this order.
4. Either party may move the court for a hearing de novo for a period of 10 days from date hereof. If neither party so moves,this order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Anthony J.Vardaro,J.

9-5-95 Faxed Attys. Irwin, Mason & Pineo

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey Irwin and Stack; James R. Irwin

649.

LINDA D. VANSISE

vs.

JAMES VANSISE

Filed July 27, 1988
@ 10:29 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

PETITION FOR CUSTODY (UCCJA)

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

July 27, 1988, ORDER: AND NOW, this 27 day of July 1988, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the Mediator, JOHN FULLER, at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse with the Child Custody Mediator as required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pa.

It is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the court, the aforesaid minor child shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

July 29, 1988 Service Verification filed: I, RAINER EATON, do hereby verify that I am over the age of eighteen (18) years; that I did on the 28th day of July, 1988 at 9:35 o'clock A.M. personally serve a true and correct copy of the Custody Petitiona nd Order filed at the above term and number, upon the Respondent, James Vansise, at his place of employment at: 1272 South Main Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

I make this verification with notice that willful false statements are punishable as perjury under §4904 of the PA Crimes Code, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Dated: 7-28-88

Rainer Eaton

1988

Elderkin, Martin, Kelly Messina & Zamboldi
Craig A. Markham

650.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
E.Max Weiss
United Research Lab

MacDonald, Illig,Jones & Britton
J. Douglas Murphy
for dft. Jones Med.

Knox, McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett
John W. Beatty
Harold K. Cathcart t/d/b/a Ken's Pharmacy and Ken's Pharmancy, ind.

JOHN H. SHAFER, A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS PARENTS AND NATURAL GUARDIANS, JOHN SHAFER AND CONSTANCE SHAFER AND JOHN SHAFER AND CONSTANCE SHAFER, INDIVIDUALLY

vs.

HAROLD K. CATHCART, t/d/b/a KEN'S PHARMACY, KEN'S PHARMACY, INDIVIDUALLY, UNITED RESEARCH LABORATORIES, INC. AND JONES MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.

Filed July 27, 1988
@ 11:04 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Pro Co Pd 10/17/88	9.00 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 8/23/89	6.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)
1 copy (atty)

(TRIAL BY JURY OF 12 DEMANDED)

Aug. 2, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson,Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by E. Max Weiss for Defendant United Research Laboratories, Inc. filed.

Aug. 10, 1988 Acceptance Of Service filed. I hereby accept service oftheComplaint in the above-captioned matter on behalf of the parties indicated, having the express authority to do so.
H. K. Cathcart

Aug. 26, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

Aug. 31, 1988 Sheriff's REturn filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO United Research Lab., Inc., Defendant Company by handing a true and attested copy of the within Summons/Complaint, issued in the above captioned matter on Aug. 16, 1988 at 10:55 o'clock A.M. at 4629 Adams Ave., in the County of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, to Julia-secretary, agent or person for the time being in charge of defendant's office or usual place of business.
So answers, Thomas E. Leister, Deputy Sheriff
SERVED United Research Laboratories, INc. within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Philadelphia County, PA, as per his affidavit of service attached.
SERVED: August 16, 1988
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 7, 1988 Answer and New Matter of defendant, United Research Laboratories, Inc., to Plaintiffs' Complaint filed.

sept. 9, 1988 Certificate Of Service Of Complaint filed. AND NOW, the undersigned being duly sworn according to law, does hereby depose and state that on September 1, 1988 the Complaint, endorsed with a NOtice to Plead, was served upon Defendant, Jones Medical INdustries, Inc., by United States certified mail, restricted delivery, return receipt requested, at its office at P.O. box 28627, St. Louis, Missouri 63167.
Attached hereto is the executed delivery receipt evidencing service as stated above.
Craig A. Markham

Sept. 9, 1988 Affidavit Of Return of Service filed. Mark B. Smith, of Markell and Associates, Inc., being first duly sworn upon his oath, states that he is over the age of 18 years; that he is a disinterested party to this action and that he served a copy of the Notice & Complaint by personal service upon defendant Jones Medical Industries Inc., by Service upon Jerry Lowe, vice pres. sales & marketing at 11710 Lackland Inc. Dr., St. Louis, MO 63146 on the 2nd day of September, 1988 at 10:05 a.m.
Mark B. Smith

Sept. 15, 1988 Plaintiffs' Reply To New Matter Of Defendant, United Research Laboratories, Inc. filed.

Oct. 6, 1988, Appearance of MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton, by J. Douglas Murphy, attorney for defendant Jones Medical Industries, Inc. filed.

Oct. 17, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, at 2:05 p.m., with copy of notice of default attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants Harold K. Cathcart, t/d/b/a Ken's Pharmacy, and Ken's Pharmacy, individually, Judgment entered as to liability only, with amount of damages assessed at time of trial.
Judgment entered 10/17/88
C - 85, K-183, 183
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 18, 1988, Notice of Entry of Judgment to defendants mailed.

Nov. 4, 1988, Certificate of Service of Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents Addressed to Defendant, United Research Laboratories, Inc. (First Set) filed.

Nov. 4, 1988, Certificate of Service of Interrogatories and REquests for Production of Documents Addressed to Defendant Jones Medical Industries, Inc. (First Set) filed.

Nov. 17, 1988 Notice of Serving Interrogatories and Requests for Production filed.

Nov. 29, 1988, Answer and New Matter of Defendant Jones Medical Industries, INc. filed.

Nov. 29, 1988 Reply to New Matter of Defendant Jones Medical INdustries, Inc. filed.

Dec. 1, 1988 Reply of United Research Laboratories, Inc. to New Matter Crossclaim of Jones Medical Industries, INc. filed.

Dec. 2, 1988 Answers and Responses to Interrogatoreis and Request for Production of Documents addressed to Defendants (First Set) filed.

Dec. 5, 1988 Plaintiffs' Reply to New Matter of Defendant, Jones Medical Industries, Inc. filed.

Continued on Page 100A

1988

Dec. 21, 1988, Answers of United Research Laboratories Inc. to Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents Addressed to Defendants (First Set) filed.

Dec. 28, 1988, Answers to Interrogatories from Jones Medical Industries, Inc. to Plaintiffs filed.

Dec. 28, 1988, Answers to Defendant Jones Medical Industries, Inc/'s Requests for Production to Plaintiffs filed.

Mar 10, 1989 Notice ot Taking Deposition Upon Oral Examination filed.

Mar. 20, 1989 Appearance of Knox, McLaughlin, Gornall & Sennett, p.C. by JohnW. Beatty for Harold K. Cathcart, t/d/b/a Ken's Pharmacy and Ken's Pharmacy ind. filed.

Mar. 27, 1989, Motion of defendants Harold K. Cathcart t/d/b/a Ken's Pharmacy and Ken's Pharmacy, ind., to Open Default Judgment, filed.

Mar. 27, 1989, UPon praecipe of above defendants, the above captioned case, placed on the next argument list.

ON APRIL 1989 ARGUMENT LIST

Apr. 13, 1989 Plaintiffs' Answer To Motion To Open Default Judgment filed.

May 1, 1989 Re: Defendants' Motion To Open Default Judgment Memorandum and Order filed. And now, April 27, 1989, the Court denies Defendant Cathcart's Mtoion to Open Default Judgment. BY ORDER OF THE COURT, P. Richard Thomas, P.J

May 4, 1989 Copies mailed to all counsel of record.

May 8, 1989 Certificate Of Service Of Interrogatories and Request For Production of Documents Addressed To Defendant, Harold K. Cathcart, t/d/b/a Ken's Pharmacy, Ken's Pharmacy, Individually (First Set) filed.

Aug. 18, 1989 Petition for Court Approval of Settlement of a Minor's Claim Verification and order filed.Order : AND NOW, this 18th day of August, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing petition for court approval of settlement of a minor's claim, it is hereby ORDERED that the settlement described herein is approved. The net proceeds described herein shall be placed into an account in the name of John H. Shafer, a minor, by his parents and guardians and said account shall contain a restriction that the proceeds shall not be withdrawn until the minor reaches the age of 18 or by prior court approval to pay for expenses of the minor's care, health, education or welfare. It is further ORDERED that John Shafer and Constance Shafer are hereby authorized and directed to exe cute and deli ver the release which is a part of the within petition. Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 22, 1989 copies of order to Craig A. Markham, E. Max Weiss, J. Douglas Murphy and John W. Beatty, Attys.

August 23, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, this case marked settled and discontinued of record.

100B

1988

1988

Culbertson,Weiss,Schetroma
and Schug
Neil E. Rothschild

651.

pro se
Bryan Law Office
James S. Bryan

WILLIAM KINDER

vs.

GEORGE & WALTER DONOVAN

Filed July 27, 1988
@ 2:15 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25
Sheriff's costs 36.30
Pro Co pd 9/13/88 9.00
Pro Co pd 10/28/88 6.00

service attached.
So answers,

NOTICE OF APPEAL OF JUDGMENT FROM
DISTRICT MAGISTRATE RONALD A. COLE

July 27, 1988, Rule Entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

July 27, 1988 Proof Of Service filed.

Aug. 16, 1988, Complaint filed.
(One copy Sheriff)

Sept. 1, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED George Donovan personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on Aug. 29, 1988 at 3:07 P.M. at Sheriff's Office, Room 303 Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA. SERVED Walter Donovan within named defendant on Aug. 29, 1988 at 3:08 p.m. by handing a true copy of the within Compiaint to George Donovan, his authorized agent, at Sheriff's Office, Room 303 Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. Signed acceptance of

Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 2, 1988, Answer filed.

Sept. 8, 1988, Verification of William T. Kinder filed.

Sept. 13, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Reference To Abritrators, the following are appointed: John F. Spataro, Chairman
William Walker
David P. Truax

Sept. 30, 1988 Arbitrators take qualifying oath.

Oct. 26, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned matter is marked settled and dicontinued of record.

1988

William B. Moore

652.

FRANK C. DASHNER

vs.

CINDY L. DASHNER

Filed July 27, 1988
@ 2:19 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40 50 ✓

Pro Co Pd 12-7-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Dec 2, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec 2, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Devendant filed.

Dec 2, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: William B. Moore, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served a true and correct copy of the Divorce Complaint in the above-captioned matter upon the defendant, Cindy L. Dashner, by mailing a certified copy of the same to her, by certified mail, Item No. P 748 157 134, Restricted Delivery, Return Receipt Requested, on August 2, 1988, at her last known address of 1040½ Chestnut Street, Franklin, Pennsylvania.

The return receipt card was returned to your Affiant, duly signed by Cindy L. Dashner, as having received same on August 3, 1988. A copy of the certificate of mailing, together with the return receipt card, signed by the Defendant, are attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Date: 12-2-88 William B. Moore

Dec 2, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Dec. 13, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED**

AND NOW, December 13, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

FRANK C. DASHNER AND CINDY L. DASHNER

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

1988

Joseph F. Kulwicki

~~William J. Gregg~~ withdrawn

653.

Joseph F. Kulwicki

DONALD G. NIEMANN

vs.

KAY E. NIEMANN

Filed July 27, 1988
@ 2:22 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co pd 3/22/90	9.00
State pd 3/22/90	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF PROPERTY, DEBTS

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 10, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. William J. Gregg, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Atty. for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned Action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on July 29, 1988.

3. The registered mail was sent to Mrs. Kay E. Niemann, RD #3, Box 83, Linesville, PA 16424. The senders receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on July 30, 1988. Said receipt card number p 725 347 018 is attached hereto and signed by Kay Niemann.

William J. Gregg, Esq.

Sept 16, 1988 Appearance of Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq. for Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 30, 1988 Motion To withdraw Appearance of William Gregg and Order filed. AND NOW SEPTEMBER 30, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion, Plaintiff's counsel, William J. Gregg, Esquire, is hereby authorized to withdraw his appearance on behalf of the Plaintiff in the above-entitled case.

Robert L. Walker, J

Oct. 4, 1988 Copies of order to Joseph Kulwicki and William Gregg

Oct 4, 1988 Affidavit of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Dec 30, 1988 Inventory and Appraisement of Plaintiff filed.

Dec 30, 1988 Plaintiff's Income and Expense Statement filed.

Mar. 21, 1990 Appearance of Joseph F. Kilwicki for Defendant filed.

Mar. 21, 1990 Motion to withdraw Count II from Divorce Complaint and Order filed. AND NOW March 21st, 1990, motion allowed.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 22, 1990 Copy of orderr put in Joseph Kulwicki's box.

Mar. 21, 1990 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 21, 1990 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Mar. 21, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review, this case moved to court.

Mar. 26, 1990 DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

AND NOW, March 26, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Donald G. Niemann, plaintiff, and Kay E. Niemann, defendant, are divorced fromthe bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Kathleen R. Nelson

654.

Curtis Blystone

9/23/88 Recd. $60.00 From Defendant

Recd Oct 5, 1988 $60.00 Vivian Stoltz

SUSAN J. CONFER

vs.

BENNY T. CONFER

Filed July 27, 1988
@ 2:28 p.m.

Indigent Filing
Sheriff's Costs 21.15
John Fuller, Mediator
Mediation Costs 120.00

PETITION FOR CUSTODY
1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

July 27, 1988, Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 27 day of July, 1988, upon consideration of the within Petition, it is hereby ordered that leave is granted for the Petitioner, to proceed In Forma Pauperis.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Benny T. Confer, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of the children, Nicholas, Ronald, and Jessica Confer.

You are ordered to appear in person at the time and place selected by JOHN FULLER, CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody, or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer, or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Association c/o Prothonotary's Office, Meadville, Pa. 16335
Tele: 814 336-1151.
Dated: 8/1/88

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Aug. 26, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Benny T. Confer personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Petition for Custody to himon August 19, 1988 at 2:20 pm at Wayside Inn, Rt. 99, R.D. Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,

E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 13, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, September 12, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Nicholas T. Confer, Ronald Confer and Jessica Confer shall be shared between the mother and the father, that is each parent having full accress to medical records, school records and other important records and that each prent shall be entitled to participate fully in dicsions affecting the children's health, education, religious instruction and other important decisions.

II. Physical custody of Nicholas T. Confer, Ronald Confer and Jessica Confer shall be with their father, Benny T. Confer, subject to the following conditions:

(A) the mother shall be entitled to visit and take the children into her custody every weekend beginning September 16, 1988, from 6:00 p.m. Friday evening until 6:00 p.m. Sunday evening. The mother shall be responsible for picking up the children and returning the children to the home of the father on Sunday evening.

(b) Holidays shall be defined at Thanksgiving and Christmas. The children shall be in the home of the mother on Thanksgiving Day of 1988 and in thehome of the fther on Christmas Day of 1988. In 1989 the children shall be in the home of the father on Thanksgiving Day and in the home of the mother on Christmas Day. The parties shall continue to alternate having the children in their homes on the above two mentioned holidays in the same manner as provided above until this order has been changed or amended. On holidays when the chidlren are in the home of the father, they shall be in the home of th emother the evening preceding such holidays from 4:00 p.m. until 9:00 p.m. and on holidays when the children are in the home of themother they shall be in the home of the father on the evening preceding such holdiays from 4;00 p.m. until 9:00 p.m.

(C) In 1989 and each Summer thereafter the mother shall be entitled to have the chidlren in her exclusive custody for two(2) three week periods each summer. The mother shall provide the father at least thirty (30) day snotice ofthe time in which she intends to exercise such extended summer visittion. During the two (2) three week periods in the summer when the chidren are in the ome of the mother, the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody one weekend of each three week period from 6:00 p.m. Friday until 6:00 p.m. Sunday

(D) Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an apptempt to turn the chidlren against th eother parent, but on the other hand, shall do everything inthe power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of children towards bothparents.

(E) Neither mothernor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which would be harmful to the children's health or welfare.

(F) Both mtoher and father agree to notify the other parent as soon as reasonably possible in the event of any illness or injury involving any or all of the children. Both parents further agree to furnishe the other with a current telephone number.

(G) This is a temporary order but shall be come a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

Cost of the meditionconference shall be equally divided with one-half thereof being paid by the fther and the remaining one-half to be paid by Crawford County.

P. Richard THomas, J

Sept. 16, 1988 Copies order to Kathllen Nelson, Curtis Blystone, Defendant and John Fuller

Oct. 7, 1988, Motion for Hearing De Novo and order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, Oct 7, 1988, Hearing Nov. 18, 1988 at 9:00, a.m., C. R. No. 1 or 2.

P. R. Thomas, J.

Oct. 11, 1988, copies of order to Attys. K. Nelson, C. Blystone and John Fuller.

Continued on page 603

1988

Mac Donald Illig Jones
& Britton
James M. Antoun

655.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK
OF PENNSYLVANIA
940 Park Ave,
Meadville, Pa. 16335

vs.

SIPCO, INC.
Morgan Village Road
Meadville, Pa. 16335

Filed July 27, 1988
@ 2:39 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00 ✓
Plff on orig 9.50
Pro Cd 9-19-90 10.00 ✓
Sat. Rd 8-6-91 $5.00 ✓

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 - 591

The undersigned hereby agrees that judgment be revived against the defendant; indexed by Prothonotary in the amount of One Million One Hundred Thirty Eight Thousand Five Hundred and 00/100 Dollars plus costs.

Debt - - $1,138,500.00
Dated- - July 18, 1988
S - 186 - - JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Sept. 19, 1990 Writing filed wherein plaintiff postpones the lien of the above stated judgment in favor of a certain mortgage given by the said The First National Bank of Pa to The First National Bank of Pa. , said mortgage being dated Sept. 18, 1990 and recorded in Crawford County Record Book_____

Sept. 19, 1990 Writing filed wherein Plaintiff postpones the lien of the above stated judgment in favor of a certain mortgage given by said TheFirst National Bank of Pa. to Pennsylvania Industrial Development Authority,dated Sept. 18, 1990 and recorded in Crawford County Record Book -----

The First National Bank of Pa.

Aug. 6, 1991 This Judgment Satisfied.
(See Paper filed)

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
Paul D. Shafer, Jr.

656.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
E. Max Weiss

JOLENE LEVINSON AND WELDON LEVINSON

vs.

CRAWFORD AREA TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

Filed July 27, 1988
@ 3:52 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50
Sheriff costs 18.00
Pro for Co. pd 3/20/91 6.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Aug 9, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Crawford Area Transportation Authority on August 1, 1988 at 2:45 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Kathy Beuchat, Secretary and person in charge of the office at 231 Chestnut Street, Professional Building, Meadville, Crawford County, PA 16335.
So answers,
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 11, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by E. Max Weiss for Defendant filed.

Jan. 25, 1990 Answer and New Matter to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Sept 6, 1990, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON OCTOBER 1990 TRIAL LIST

Sept. 26, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, September 24, 1990, upon consent of both counsel this casse is continued until the February term.
by order of the court,
P R Thomas, S.J.

Sept. 27, 1990 Copies of order put in Paul Shafer and Max Weiss' box.

Nov. 1, 1990 Notice Of Taking Depositions on Oral Examination Under Pa. R.C.P. No. 4007.1 of Jolene Levinson filed.

On February 1991 Trial List

Mar. 14, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, Louis J. Stack, the above-captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.
Louis J. Stack, Atty. for Plaintiff

1988

William E. Barney 657.	CORRY SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION vs. TERRY L. LONG, SR. AND KATHLEEN A. LONG Filed July 28, 1988 @ 10:29 a.m. Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓ Sheriff's Costs 32.25 Pro Co Pd 9/2/88 5.00 ✓	CIVIL ACTION -COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE 2 copies (sheriff) Aug. 12, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Kathleen A. Long personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on August 5, 1988 at 2:53 PM at her residence, 220 Mechanic Street, Spartansburg, Crawford county,PA SERVED Terry L. Long, Sr. within named defendant on August5, 1988 at 2:54 PM by handing a true copy of the within complaint to Kathleen A. Long, adult member of the family with whom he residens, namely his wife, at their residence, 220 Mechanic Street, Spartansburg Crawford County,PA. So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff Howard N. STewart, Sheriff Sept. 1, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Ambrose and Friedman
Philip B. Friedman

658.

Bozic & Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

GLENDA MORROW

vs.

STEVE ALLEN

Filed July 28, 1988
@ 11:05 a.m.

TAx & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co Pd 2/7/89	6.00
Sheriffs costs	23.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Aug 8, 1988 Writ Returned: I accept service of the Summons No. AD 1988-658 on behalf of Steve Allen and certify that I am authorized to do so.
8-1-88 Sara L. Kelihar
Authorized agent
SERVED Steve Allen within named defendant on August 1, 1988 at 3:56 P.M. by handing a true copy of within Summons to his Mother, and Authorized Agent, Sara L. Kelihar, at Sheriff's Office, Room 303, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.
Signed Acceptance of Service attached.
So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 13, 1988 Appearance of bozic & Thomas by R. Charles Thomas for defendant filed.

Oct. 28, 1988 Complaint Filed.

Dec. 9, 1988, Notice of Default filed.

Dec. 12, 1988 Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint and New Matter filed. (1 copy atty.)

Dec. 16, 1988, Answer to Defendant's New Matter filed.

Feb. 7, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record, with certificate and statement of costs to plaintiff atty.

1988

Daniel J. Kuhn
Kuhn and Kuhn

659.

J.
BLAIN J. GREEN

vs.

TAMMY R. GREEN

Filed July 28, 1988
@ 2:48 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 11/16/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 5, 1988 Affidavit Of Mailing filed. DANIEL J. KIHN, Attorey for the Plaintiff, after being duly sworn according to law, says that he mailed by Certified Mail, No. P 738 701 522, Return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy ofthe Complaint in this Action to the Defendant, at 17320 82nd AVenue East, Puyallup, WA 98373, and tha tthe Defendant did receive same on August 1, 1988, as evidenced by the signed receipt attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

Daniel J. Kuhn

Nov. 16, 1988, Motion to Correct Spelling of Plaintiff's Name and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 16th day of Nov. 1988, after dure consideration of the foregoing motion, it is ordered and decreed that allrecords pertaining to the above captioned divorce action shall be changed to reflect the proper middle initial in the name as being "J" INSTEAD OF "I" as was originally filed and all future pleadings shall properly contain the name Blain J. Green.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov. 17, 1988, copy of order to Daniel J. Kuhn, Esq.

Nov. 16, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Nov. 16, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Nov. 16, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, To Transmit the CREcord, this case moved to court.

Nov. 23, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED**

AND NOW , this 23 day of November, 1988, it is ORDERED AND DECREED that BLAIN J. GREEN, Plaintiff, and TAMMY R. GREEN,Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

1988

Harry N. Sydor

660.

Gordon R. Miller

THE TRAVELERS INSURANCE COMPANY

vs.

GEORGE WILLARD DAY, JR.

Filed July 29, 1988
@ 1:48 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	16.00
Sheriffs costs	24.15
Pro Co Pd 2/15/89	5.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (atty)

Aug. 25, 1988 Writ Return filed. Not Found, as to within named defendant George Willard Day, Jr. No record at Post Office at R.D.#6, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 17, 1988 Writ Reissued.

Oct. 19, 1988, Writ Returned. SERVED George Willard Day, Jr. within named defendant on Oct. 18, 1988, at 10:36 a.m. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Debra Day, his wife, at their residence R. D. #1, Box 2, Guys Mills, Crawford County, Pa. 16327.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov 9, 1988 Complaint in Civil Action filed.

Nov 23, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: Before me, the undersigned authority, in and for said County and State, personally appeared Harry N. Sydor, Esquire, who, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that, he caused to be served by regular mail, not returned and certified mail, return receipt requested, receipt number P768 106 209, hereto attached and marked as Exhibit A, a copy of the above captioned Complaint in Civil Action upon George Willard Day, Jr., defendant, at R.D.#1, Box 2, Guys Mills, PA 16327 on November 8, 1988.
Harry N. Sydor, Esquire
Attorney for Plaintiff

Nov. 28, 1988, Answer Containing New Matter and a Counterclaim filed.

Dec. 13, 1988 Reply to New Matter and Answer to Counter-Claim filed.

Feb. 15, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to settle discontinue or satisfy verdicts, judgments, executions, awards, decrees, equity, liens, counterclaims or cross-claims and plaintiffs case or as to garnishee only, DSB, M.L. & claims; signed also by defendant counsel, discontinued of record.

1988

Charles J. Swick
Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack

661.

ALLEGHENY COLLEGE

vs.

TONYA D. THOMAS

Filed July 29, 1988
@ 2:59 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co. Pd 12/9/88	9.00

COMPLAINT - ACTION ON ACCOUNT

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 9, 1988 at 11:53 A.M., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with copy of Notice of Intention to file default judgment attached, judgement of default is entered in favor of Plaintiff and against the defendant, Tonya D. Thomas, for her failure to answer the Complaint which contains a notice to defend within 20 days from the date of servcie of the Complaint. Defendant was served with the Complaint on Aug. 2, 1988, and her answer was due to be filed on Aug. 22, 1988. Damages are assessed as follows:

NDSL Loan	$666.96
Tuition charges	$4,838.85
Total	5,505.81

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
By Charles J. Swick, Atty.

T-209
Judgment entered 12/9/88

Debt $5,505.81
Interest from ---
Judgment
James F. Simmons,Pro.

112

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey Stack and Irwin Charles J. Swick 662.	ALLEGHENY COLLEGE vs. MELISSA RYDER Filed July 29, 1988 @ 2:59 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓	COMPLAINT - ACTION ON ACCOUNT 1 copy (atty)

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack

Charles J. Swick

663.

ALLEGHENY COLLEGE

vs.

LAMONT M. DAVIS

Filed July 29, 1988
@ 2:59 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

114

1988

Charles J. Swick Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack 664.	ALLEGHENY COLLEGE vs. RICARDO L. WHITAKER a/k/a RICARDO L. RANDALL Filed July 29, 1988 @ 2:59 p.m. Ta x & entry Paid $40.50 ✓	COMPLAINT 1 copy (atty)

1988

Charles J. Swick
Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack

665.

ALLEGHENY COLLEGE

vs.

MARK A. BLACKWELL

Filed July 29, 1988
@ 2:59 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	41.00
Pro Co. Pd 12/9/88	9.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Oct. 27, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared Nobert Micklos who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 17th day of August, 1988, at 11:00 a.m., he served Complaint, filed at No. 1988-665 term, 19, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Mark A. Blackwell at 1704 Brighton Road, Pgh., PA 15212 By making known the contents thereof to John Doe-PIC and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford County Sheriff.
So answers, Nobert Michlos, deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff
SERVED Mark A. Blackwell within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, PA as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 8-17-88
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 9, 1988 at 11:53 A.M., AND now upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with copy of Notice of Intention to file default judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for failure to file an answer and damages assessed as follows:

NDSL Loan $5,384.85, being the amount demanded in the Complaint.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
By Charles J. Swick, Atty.

B-111
Judgment entered 12/9/88

Debt $5,384.85
Interest from---
Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro.

1988

Charles J.Swick
Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Stack and Irwin

666.

ALLEGHENY COLLEGE

vs.

THEODORE A. SOCHOR

Filed July 29, 1988
@ 2:59 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 42.72

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Aug. 17, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Albert Rock, Deputy Sheriff who being duly duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 4th day of August, 1988, at 5:30 P.M., he served Complaint filed at No. 666 A.D. Term, 1988, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant THEODORE A. SOCHOR at 335 Spruce Avenue, Sharon, Pennsylvania By making known the contents thereof to Theodore a. Socher, personally and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the complaint received from Crawford County Sheriff
So answers, Albert Rock Deputy Sheriff
William H. Romine, Jr. Sheriff
SERVED Theodore A. Socher by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer County, PA, as per affidavit of service attached. Served: August 4, 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin and Stack
Charles J. Swick

667.

ALLEGHENY COLLEGE

vs.

ANTOINETTE PINKNEY

Filed July 29, 1988

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	76.00
Pro Co Pd 12-9-88	9.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Oct. 27, 1988 Sheriff's REturn filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Antionette Pinkeny Defendant by handing a true and attested copy of the within Complaint, issued in the abvoe captioned matter on August 16, 1988, at 12:20 o'clock p.m., D.S.T. at 1128 W. Tioga St., in the County of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, to Laura Pinkney an adult member of the family of said defendant, with whom said defendant resides, who stated that her relationship to said defendant is that of neice;
So answers, G. Clements, Deputy Sheriff
John D. Green, Sheriff
SERVED Antoinette Pinkney within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Philadelphia County, PA as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 8-16-88.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Dec 9, 1988 @ 11:53 P.M., upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney, with notice of judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to answer to the Complaint and damages are assessed as follows: NDSL Loan, Five Thousand Three Hundred Fifty-seven Dollars; Tuition charges, Seven Hundred Eighty-three and 50/100 Dollars; Total Six Thousand One Hundred Forty and 50/100 Dollars being the amount demanded in the Complaint.
P-13

Debt.....$6,140.50
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Dec 19, 1988 Judgment entered.

1988

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

668.

ROBERT A. HUBER AND
KATHLEEN HUBER

vs.

ARVID KABOSKY AND KATHLEEN
KABOSKY

Filed July 29, 1988
@ 4:09 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's costs 25.00
Pro Co pd 9/22/88 9.00

COMPLAINT IN EJECTMENT

2 copies (sheriff)

Aug. 15, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Arvid Kabosky within named defendant on Aug. 8, 1988 at 9:40 a.m. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Ejectment to Kathleen Kabosky, adult member of the family, namely his wife, at their residence, Star Route, Meadville, Crawford Co., Pa.

SERVED Kathleen Kabosky personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Ejectment to her on Aug. 8, 1988 at 9:41 a.m. at her place of residence, Star Route, Meadville, Crawford Co. Pa.
So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewrt, Sheriff

And now, Sept. 22 1988 at 2:10 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the pl aintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Seven Thousand Six Hundred Sixty-five and 00/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

K-16-106
judgment entered Sept. 22, 1988
Sept. 22, 1988, Judgment of Possession entered.
K - 16, 106

Debt $7,665.00
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX. 1988 - 90

ex. 1988 - 91

1988

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas W. Ferguson

669.

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore,
Vardaro & Walker
Emil Spadafore

SPADAFORE

10/14/88 Recd $41.00 from Defendant

Recd 11-2-88
$41.00
Vivian Stoltz

11/7/88 Recd $41.00 from Pltf.

Recd 12-30-88
$41.00
Vivian Stoltz

1/31/91 RECD $76.00 from Defendant Dec. 31, 1991 paid Co. Comm. $76.00

9-10-93 Rec from deft med. deposit $150.00

11-16-93 Pd deft Bal Med dep Ck# 9089 $90.00 to Ferguson

12-29-93 Pd Co Ck#7983 51.00

2-9-94 recd from Plff $51.00

3-22-94 Pd Co Ck#8179 $51.00

DAVID E. PERRY

vs.

DORIS A. PERRY

Filed Aug. 1, 1988
@ 1:29 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
William Pineo, Mediator
Mediation Cost 82.00
Pro Co Pd 11-7-88 - 9.00
William Pineo Mediator 102.00
Pro Co Pd 12-29-93 7984 9.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 1 day of Aug. 1988, upon petition of David E. Perry, through his attorneys, Ferguson & Spataro, WILLIAM PINEO Esq. is hereby appointed Child Custody Mediator in this matter. The parties and their counsel shall attend the mediation conference scheduled by the Child Custody Mediator and shall comply with all requests of the Child Custody Mediator.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Sept. 27, 1988 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 27th day of September, 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter andupon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal an dphysical custody of their subject minor children, Arlan Perry and philip Perry.
2. The mother shall have primary physical custody.
3. the father shall have temporary physical custody and visitation on alternate weekends, beginning with the weekend of October 1, from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m.
4. Major holidays are defined as Thanksgiving, Christmas, New Year's Day, Easter, Memorial day, Independence Day and Labor Day. The Thanksgiving visitation hours shall be from 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. The children shall be with their mother for Thanksgiving, 1988, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods. Holiday visitation shall take precedence over th eother provisions of this Order relating to custody and visitation periods.
5. During the Children's summer vacation from schoo, the children shall reside with their father from June 15 through August 1. During that period, themother shall have the right of visitation with her children on alternate weekends, beginning with the first weekend after the chidlren have started living with their father, from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m.
6. The parties are free to make alternative or additional provisions regarding the custody of their children and are encouraged to do so if that would be convenient and proper. Such arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.
7. Each party shall teach the children to give to th eother party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of th eother in the eyes of the children.
8. the party having physical custody or visitation shall see that the chidlren's normal school, extra-curricular, sports, and employment activities are not disrupted.
9. The party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her custodial or visitation rights shall pick them up at the home of the other.
10. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $82.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as cost sin this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.
11. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J

Sept 30, 1988 Copies of order to Douglas Ferguson, Emil Spadafore, Plaintiff, Defendant and William Pineo

Oct. 9, 1991 Petition For Modification Of Custody Order and Order filed. AND NOW, this 9th day of October, 1991, upon consideration of the within Petition, it is hereby ordered that this matter is referred to William Pineo, Custody Mediator.

A copy of this Petition and Order shall be served upon the Respondent, Doris A. Perry, by regular mail and upon her attorney, Emil Spadafore, by regular mail.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1 copy Atty., 1 copy mediator

Dec. 6, 1991 TEMPORARY ORDER, filed. AND NOW, this 5th day of Dec., 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child.
2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.

3a. The mother shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor child until 6:00 p.m. of June 15, 1992. The father shall have primary physical custody from 6:00 p.m. of June 15, 1992 until 6:00 p.m. of Aug. 15, 1992. Starting 6:00 p.m. of Aug. 15, 1992, the mother shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor child for four 1months, ending at 6:00 p.m. of Dec. 15, 1992, and the father shall have primary physical custody for four months starting at 6:00 p.m. of Dec. 15, 1992, and ending at 6:00 p.m. of April 15, 1993. The parties shall thereafter alternate the four month periods of temporary physical custody.

continued on page 624

120

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

670.

Gerald Sullivan
Legal Services

BARRY J. RICE AND JOANNE M. RICE

vs.

HERBERT ORR AND SHEILA MAILLIARD

Filed Aug 1, 1988
@ 2:11 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
William Pineo, Mediator	82.00
	9.00

3/23/90 Recd. $41.00 From Plaintiff
Recd 3-1-90
$41.00
Vivian Stalby

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Herbert Orr and SHeila Mailliard, defendants, have been sued in court to obtain custody of the following child: NATHANIEL LEE MAILLIARD.

You are herebyordered to appear in person at such time and place as is hereby designated by the Custody Mediator hereinafter appointed for a conference.

You are further ordered to bring with you the following named child: NATHANIEL LEE MAILLIARD.

WILLIAM PINEO, ESQ. is hereby appointed as custody mediator in this case.

If you fail to appear at the time specified by the custody mediator hereby appointed by this order or to bring the child, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar ASSOC. Ser. to the Public Comm. 310/312 Chestnut St. Meadville, Pa. 16335, 814 724-7719.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Sept 14, 1988 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 12 day of Sept., 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Legal and physical custody of Nathanial Lee Mailliard is hereby awarded to his grandparents, the Plaintiffs.

2. The Defendant mother, Sheila Mailliard, shall have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody with her son every Monday and Wednesday from the time Joanne Rice, Plaintiff, can pick her up after work until 7:30 p.m.. Sheila Mailliard shall also have visitation and temporary physical custody from Friday when Joanne Rice can pick her up after work until Saturday at 7:00 p.m..

3. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements with respect to the care and custody of the subject minor child. Presently, the Defendant Sheila Mailliard does not have an automobile and since the Court deems it vital that Nathaniel maintain a close and loving contact with his mother, the Plaintiff grandparents shall provide all transportation necessary in carrying out the terms of this Order. Should transportation become available to Sheila, then she will be expected to provide a reasonable share of it.

4. The Mediator has noted for the Court that Defendant Herbert Orr is contesting paternity in a Support case and therefore his rights in this matter will not now be decided. If it is decided that he is the father of the subject minor child, then he may bring such action as he wishes to determine his rights to custody and visitation.

5. Each of the parties shall teach Nathaniel to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent or grandparent, as the case may be, and no party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the eyes of Nathaniel.

6. Either party may request further mediation in this matter at any time after September 1, 1989 to determine if the mother's situation has changed in such a way as to allow her to exercise her natural rights as the mother of the subject minor child.

7. Costs and fees of this Mediation which are fixed at $82.00 are divided equally between the parties. The mother's share is assessed to Crawford County, the Mediator having noted for the Court that she is indigent and is represented by Northwestern Legal Services, Inc. The Plaintiff's share shall be paid by them to the Prothonotary as costs in this case.

8. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from the date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept 19, 1988 Copies of order to Atty. Thomas, Sullivan and Pineo. and to Plaintiffs.

Oct. 7, 1988 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 7 day of Oct. 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within motion, a hearing is scheduled in this matter for the2 day of Nov. 1988, at 10:00 a.m. in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pa.

This motion and order shall be served upon respondents attorney of record by amiling a copy to him at his business address. Service shall be made upon petitioner Herbert Orr by cert. mail

BY THE COURT
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct. 11, 1988, copies of order to Attys. R. C. Thomas, G. Sullivan and W. Pineo.

Oct. 28, 1988 Proof Of Service filed. I hereby certified that a copy of the foregoing Motion and Order was served on respondents' attorney of record, R. Charles Thomas by regular U.S. Mail o n October 11, 1988. A copy of the foregoing Motion and Order was served on Herbert Orr by regular U.S. Mail and Certified Mail on October 11, 1988.

Gerald Sullivan

Nov. 10, 1988 Memorandum and ORDER filed.AND NOW, Nov. 10, 1988, legal and physical custody of Nathaniel Lee Mailliard is awarded to his mother, Sheila Mailliard, effective Nov. 14, 1988 at 5:00 o'clock P.M.

Joanne M. Rice, the grandmother, is awarded temporary custody and visitation for a period every other weekend from 5:00 o'clock P.M. on Friday until 5:00 o'clock P.M. on Sunday, the first such weekend to occur beginning Nov. 25, 1988 and continuing on al alternate weekend basis thereafter.

By The Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Nov. 15, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. R. Charles Thomas, Gerald Sullivan and William Pineo.

1988

Jorden and White Harry Faber WhiteII 671.	BARBARA L. HOSKIN, TRACEY LEE HOSKIN, ARLENE YOUNG AND JANE WEBB vs. GLENN FIELD AND WILLIAM C. ORR Filed Aug. 1, 1988 @ 2:18 p.m. Ta x & entry paid $40.50 ✓ Pro Co Pd 7/16/91 5.00 ✓	SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION Issued (sheriff) July 16, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

George R. Gray
~~Mason and Mason~~
~~Joan D. Mason~~
(withdrawn)
672.

Bozic & Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

JOYCE TURK

vs.

HARRY E. TURK, SR.

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, ATTORNEY FEES, AND COURT COSTS

1 copy (atty)

Filed Aug. 1, 1988
@ 2:57 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
3 counts paid	45.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 12-4-91	9.00
State pd 12-4-91	10.00

Sept 16, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on August 16, 1988 mail to the above defendant, Harry E. Turk, Sr., by certified mail #547-808 367, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action . Said notice was received by Harry E. Turk, Sr. on August 19, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Attorney for Plaintiff

May 23, 1989 Appearance of Bozic & Thomas by R. Charles Thomas for Defendant filed.

May 23, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 20, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

June 20, 1989, Inventory and Appraisement of defendant filed.

June 20, 1989, INcome and Expense Statement filed.

July 10, 1989 Petition to Dismiss custody Count and Order filed: AND NOW, this 10 day of July, 1989, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a rule is granted upon the Plaintiff to show cause, if any she has, why the relief set forth in the within Petition should not be granted.

Returnable for hearing on the 26th day of July, 1989 at 10:00 o'clock A.M. in Court Room No. 1 of the Crawford County, Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

A copy of this Petition to be served upon the Plaintiff by Certified Mail and upon Plaintiff's counsel and upon Children & Youth Services by regular mail.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

July 10, 1989 Copies of order put in Atty. Mason and Thomas's Boxes and copy mailed to Children & Youth Services.

July 27, 1989 ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 26, 1989, the matter is before the Court in this divorce action on a petition to dismiss the custody count filed by the Plaintiff for the reason that the custody situation is adequately covered by former and present Orders in the Juvenile Court at numbers 1986-114 A, B, C, and D.

The Plaintiff and her counsel have no serious objection but want a cautionary Order entered by the Court that in the event the Juvenile Court jurisdiction is terminated for one or more of the children, that a new custody action, it it is desired to be instituted, need not require a new custody complaint.

Accordingly, the Court dismisses the custody count from this divorce action and authorizes the divorce to proceed on all issues raised by the motion on all the remaining issues raised by the pleadings.

In the event Juvenile Court jurisdiction of one or more of the children is terminated by Court Order before the divorce is granted or after the divorce is granted, the above dismissal of the custody county will be set aside and the custody issue may be relitigated as part of the divorce and custody complaint at AD 1988-672. In the event either party wishes to pursue said course, it will require a separate petition calling this to the Court's attention and requesting an appropriate Order.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

July 28, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. Joan Mason, R. Charles Thomas.

April 16, 1990 Answer and Counterclaim filed.

April 16, 1990 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Aug, 15, 1990 Petition for Bifurcation and Order filed. (1 copy (atty).

ORDER: AND NOW, this 15th day of August 1990, hearing on the Defendant's Petition for Bifurcation is set for the 30 day of August, 1990 at 1:30 o'clock PM. in Court Room No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge.

Aug. 17, 1990 Copy of Order to Attys. Joan Mason, and R. Charles Thomas.

Aug. 30, 1990 Answer to Petition for Bifurcation filed.

Aug. 30, 1990 Affidavit of Service filed. R.Charles Thomas, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on May 4th , 1990, he sent a true and correct copy of the Amended Complaint in Divorce and 201(d) Affidavit, to the Plaintiff, Joyce Turk, by Certified Mail No. P063585908, Postage Prepaid, Restricted Delivery, Return Receipt Requested, at his/her last known address, c/o Carolyn McCamick, 2633 Champlin St., Wheeling, W.Va. 26003; further that there is attached hereto a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as May 17, 1990

R.Chas. Thomas, Attorney for Defendant

122
A

Joyce Turk

vs.

Harry E. turk, Sr.

Record continued from page 122

of record

A.D. 1988 - 672

****

Sept. 6, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, August 30, 1990, the matter is before the Court on a request by the Defendant to grant a bifurcated divorce and preserve the equitable distribution issues. Both parties are present in court and both advise the court they have no interest in preserving the marriage and would consent to a bifurcated divorce.

The problelm arises because on this date counsel for the Plaintiff/Wife presents a proposed preservation Order and postulates that said Order must be signed t protect the interest of the wife in an Employee Stock Option Plan and a Pension Plan and a Life Insurance Plan the Defendna thas through his employer. Counsel for Defendant/Husband has inadequate time to study the ramifications of having his client sign a proposed consent Order preserving the wife's interest in the aforesaid equitable benefits. Accordingly, this case on granting a bifurcation is continued generally and counsel are accord twenty (20) days to file a mutually siatisfactory consent Order preserving the Plaintiff's itnerest in the aforesaid equities.

If further hearings are required on the issue of the necessity for and the Court's requirement to sign a preservation Order, the Court considers this to be purely a legal issue and neither of the parties will be required to be personally present.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Sept. 11, 1990 Copies of order put in Joan Mason and R. Charles Thomas' box.

Sept. 16, 1991 Appearance of George R. Gray for Plaintiff filed.

Oct. 18, 1991, Upon praecipe of Joan D. Mason, attorney for plaintiff, appearance withdrawn.

Nov. 27, 1991 Motion To Amend The Complaint To Withdraw Claims and Order filed. AND NOW, this 27th day of NOvember, 1991 after hearing and argument, Plaintiff's Motion to Amend the Complaint is granted and it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Counts III and IV of Plaintiffs Complaint in this action be withdrawn, marked settled, and stricken off the docket.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Dec. 4, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Gray and Thomas

Nov. 27, 1991 Motion For Authority To Withdraw Claim and Order filed. And now, November 27, 1991, the within Motion is granted and the Defendant is authorized and the Defendant is authorized and directed to withdraw the claim for equitable distribution as set forth in his Answre and Counterclaim.

Gordon R. Miller, J

Dec. 4, 1991 Copies of order put in box for atty's Gray and Thomas

Nov. 27, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 27, 1991 Certificate of Service of Praecipe to Transmit Record filed.

Nov. 27, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit REcord, this case moved to court.

Dec. 3, 1991 Certificate Of Service of Motion to Amend the Complaint filed.

Dec. 3, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, December 6, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Joyce Turk, plaintiff, and Harry E. Turk, Sr., defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

122
B

1988

1988

Elderkin, Martin, Kelly
Messina & Zamboldi
Kenneth G. Vasil

673.

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY

vs.

LARRY BALL AND IRENE BALL,
HIS WIFE

Filed Aug. 2, 1988
@ 10:50 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	28.15
Pro Co Pd 2/24/89	9.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Aug. 17, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Not Found as to within named defendant Larry Ball. Moved to North Carolina, exact address unknown.
Not Found, as to within named defendant Irene Ball. Moved to North carolina, exact address unknown.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 13, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. The undersigned hereby certifies that he served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in the above matter on the Defendants, Larry Ball and Irene Ball, his wife, who are non-residents, by mailing the same to them on Aug. 5, 1988 by certified mail, return receipt requested, with sufficient U.S. postage affixed thereto, at their last known address of R D #3, Box 732, Mocksville, North Carolina, 27028, all as provided by Rule 403 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Said complaint was received by the Defendants on Aug.8,1988, all as will more fully appear from the return receipt card, a copy of which is attached hereto as an exhibit and incorporated herein by reference as if more fully set forth.
Kenneth G. Vasil, Esq.

And Now, Feb. 24, 1989, at 2:15 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with copies of default notices attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor ofthe plaintiff and against the defendants, for want of an answer, in the sum of Two Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty Six, and 18/100 Dollars, plus interest at rate of 1.25% per month from August, 1988, and costs of action.
Judgment entered - February 24, 1989
B - 319, 81

Debt ---$2,756.18
Int. from Aug. 1988 at rate of 1.25%
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

Elderkin, Martin, Kelly
Messina & Zamboldi
Kenneth G. Vasil

674.

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY

vs.

MONROE A. SHROCK

Filed Aug. 2, 1988
@ 10:50 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$40.50 ✓
sheriffs costs	28.05
Sheriffs costs	27.56
Pro Co Pd 4/11/90	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Sept. 13, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

Oct. 14, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. Not Found as to within named Defendant Monroe A. Shrock. Several Attempts made, never found.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 29, 1990, Complaint Reinstated.

Feb. 23, 1990, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Monroe a. Shrock personally on Feb. 15, 1990 at 11:14 a.m. by handing a copy of complaint to him at his residence R. D. #3, Hanmore Road, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, Pa. 16316.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, april 11, 1990 upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, and with default notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and agaisnt the defendant in the amount of ONe thousand Two Hundred Sixty four and 30/100 Dollars, with interest at reate of 1.25% per month from July 1988 and costs.
Judgment entered - 4/11/90
S - 114

Debt --$1,264.30
INt. from July 1988 at 1.25% per mo.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

Prather, Prather & Pineo
Mark D. Prather

675.

RICHARD T. SMAY AND SUSAN SMAY

vs.

GARY KING

Filed Aug. 2, 1988
@ 1:08 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co pd 5/4/89 6.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

May 4, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso,Gamble, Fabian
Hall Ann Hansen Gamble
Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma and Schug
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

676.

Joan Mason

DEBORAH OSTROFSKY

vs.

MILTON OSTROFSKY

Filed Aug. 2, 1988
@ 2:51 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
3 counts paid	45.00
State Pd 4-20-92	10.00
Pro Co Pd 4-20-92	9.00

John Spataro,Mediator

11-28-94 Rec'd from pltf med dep 150 25845 Pd Pw 900

3-30-95 Rec'd from pltf med fees 148.11
4-12-95 Rec'd from deft med fee 289.11
5-8-95 Pd Ck #9166 med fee 289.11

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, REASONABLE COUNSEL FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Aug 9, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: AND NOW, August 9, 1988, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the Attorney for Deborah Ostrofsky, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished to her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P401 440 884, postmarked August 2, 1988, to the last known address of the Defendant, Milton Ostrofsky, R.D.#6, Wayland Road, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

3. That the Defendant, Milton Ostrofsky, on August 5, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

4. Service of the Complaint against the Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire

Jan. 16, 1989 Inventory and Appraisement of DeborahK. Ostrofsky filed.

Feb 21, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr. 14, 1989, Inventory and Appraisement of Defendant MIlton Ostrofsky filed.

Apr. 14, 1989 Income and Expense Statement of Defendant filed.

Feb 1, 1990 Preliminary Objections filed.

Nov. 15, 1990, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Apr. 20, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Apr. 20, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE

April 27, 1992 DECREE AND ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 27th day of April, 1992, the Court by virtue of the authority vested in it by law, decrees that Deborah K. Ostrofsky and Milton Ostrofsky are hereby divorced from the bonds of matrimony and the said parties shall be at liberty to marry again.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the terms, provisions and conditions of a certain property settlement agreement dated April 9, 1992 attached to this Decree and Order are hereby incorporated into this Decree and Order by reference as fully as though the same were set forth herein at length. Said agreement shall not merge with but shall survive this Decree and Order.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Nov. 23, 1994 Petition to Modify Custody filed.

Nov. 23, 1994 Notice and Order to Appear filed. YOU, Milton Ostrtofsky, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of Johanna Ostrofsky and Erik Ostrofsky.

You are ORDERED to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator John Spataro for a mediation conference.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE, IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE,GO TO OR TELEPHONETHE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.
Court Administrator, Courthouse
Meadville,PA 16335 (814) 336-1151, Ext.298
Date: 11/22/94 Gordon R.Miller, J.
11-28-94 Copies dist. to Irwin,Gamble & Spataro

Dec. 7, 1994 AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING, filed. Ann Hansen Gamble, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and that on November 30, 1994, she sent a certified copy of the Petition to Modify Custody by certified mail, restricted delivery, return receipt requested to Milton Ostrofsky, RD 6, Box 582, Meadville, PA 16335, the defendant's last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto.

March 17, 1995 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 16, 1995, after Mediation and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is Ordered and Decreed as follows:

1. Legal custody of the subject minor children, Johanna Ostrofsky and Erik Ostrofsky, shall be shared by the Father and Mother, the parties to this action, with each parent entitled to full access to any records relating to the children held by a third party including, but not limited to school records and medical records and each parent shall have equal input regarding important decisions affecting the children such as education, religious instruction and medical treatment.

2. Primary physical custody of Johanna and Erik Ostrofsky shall be with their Mother, subject to the following conditions:

a. The Father shall be permitted to visit and take the children into his temporary physical custody every other weekend from Friday at 5:00 p.m. until Sunday at 7:00 p.m. During the school year, Erik shall spend every other Thursday with his Father from 5:00 p.m.

RECORD CONTINUED
ON PAGE 646.....

1988

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC
Alan L. Pepicelli

677.

JAMES R. SMITH AND MARIE E. SMITH, HIS WIFE

vs.

W. L. DUNN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, A CORPORATION, JAMES WARREN AND ARMSTRONG CEMENT & SUPPLY CORPORATION A CORPORATION

Filed Aug. 2, 1988
@ 4:18 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 76.25

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Aug. 26, 1988 Writ Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Beverly A. Balint Deputy SHeriff, Butler County who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 12 day of Aug., 1988, at 11:35 AM., he served Summons filed 8/2/88 at No. AD 1988-677 Term, 19, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant ARmstrong Cement and Supply Corporation at business, R.D.#2, Cabot, Windfield Twp., Butler Co., PA By making known the contents thereof to Gary Himnes, secy treasurer and hadning to and leaving with him the certified copy of the summons received from Crawford Co., PA
So answers, Beverly A. Balint, Deputy Sheriff
Dennis C. Rickard. Sheriff
SERVED James Warren within named defendant on August 9, 1988 at 10:40 AM by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Lynett Warren, adult member of his family, namely his wife, at residence, Leach road, Conneaut lake, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED WL DUnn Construction Company on August 10, 1988 at 11:35 AM by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Mary Eldred, Bookkeeper, and person in charge at their place of business, ADams Street, Cochranton, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED ARmstrong Cement & Supply Corporation by deputizing the Sheriff of Butler County,PA, as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: August 12, 1988.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Jorden and White
William T. Jorden

678.

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas Ferguson
for Debra Ann Knuth

IN RE:

THE CUSTODY OF THE MINOR CHILD,
STACEY MARIE KNUTH

Filed Aug. 2, 1988
@ 4:28 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 /

CUSTODY PETITION

1 copy (atty)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 2 day of August 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing petition, the respondent is ruled to show cause, if any there may be, as to why custody should not be awarded to the petitioner, Randy Knuth. It is further ordered that the custody of the minor child, Stacey Marie Knuth, shall remain with the father, pending a further order of court. This matter referred to William Pineo, Custody Mediator, for temporary order.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Aug. 4, 1988, copies to Attys. W. Jorden, D. Ferguson and W. Pineo.

Aug. 3, 1988, ORDER filed. And now, august 3, 1988, the Court having entered an order on August 2 at the above 1988 - 678 without fully realizing there had already been a consent custody order entered in the divorce action at 1986 - 1089 by Judge Robert Walker, counsel for the mother of said child having objected to the referral of this matter to a custody mediator by its order of August 2, we hereby cancel said order entered Aug. 2, 1988.

Any proceeding to modify the existing custody order in the divorce action should have been brought at that number, and it is obvious the court in entering the ex parte order at 1988 - 678 did not realize the impact of entertaining a new custody action

The child shall remain in the custody of the mother, and the order of this court of Aug. 2, 1988, granting the father custody pending further order of court, is rescinded.

The child shall remain in the custody oft he mother, but the father shall be accorded a weekend visit on the weekend of Aug. 12-14 under the terms and conditions of weekend visits prescribed in Judge Walker's order of March 13, 1987.

Neither parent may remove the child from the jurisdiction of Crawford County until further order of court. This matter is referred back to Judge Robert L. Walker for review and hearing Aug. 17, 1988, at 3:30 p.m.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Aug. 4, 1988, copies to Attys. W. JOrden, D. Ferguson and W. Pineo.

Aug 24, 1988 Motion for Court Ordered Mental Examination of the Plaintiff, Debra Ann Knuth and Order filed: AND NOW, this 24th day of August 1988, an order is hereby entered ordering the Plaintiff, Debra Ann Knuth, to submit to a full and complete mental and psychological testing and evaluation to be performed by Dr. Kathryn Anderson at the earliest convenient time, but not later than September 2, 1988; and, thereafter, to submit to such additional examination with a psychiatrist or other individual, or at a mental health unit as may be recommended by Dr. Anderson. The purpose of said evaluations being to determine the present diagnosis and future prognosis of the Plaintiff's mental and psychological condition as it may impact on the best interests and welfare of the minor child, Stacey Marie Knuth.

Costs to be paid by the defendant. Reports to be submitted to the Court and both counsel.

Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug 26, 1988 Copies of order to Attys Ferguson and Jorden.
Original order filed at AD 1986-1089

Aug. 25, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, August 24, 1988, having concluded an evidentiary hearing but there remaining to be obtained the medical records at Meadville Medical Center and the Court having this day Ordered an independent evaluation of the Plaintiff, a further hearing will be scheduled upon receipt of the reports of the independent examination and the records of the Meadville Medical Center, and pending such further hearing, Stacey Marie Knuth shall remain in the custody of her mother but shall not be removed fromthe Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and in the event that her mother shall decide to return to Virginia prior to the next scheduled hearing, custody shall be transferred to the father.

Pending further hearing, the father shall have the right to visitation each weekend except the first weekend of each month, the first weekend being the weekend on which the first Saturday falls, with said visitation to be from 6:00 p.m. Friday until 8:00 p.m. Sunday beginning August 26, 1988.

The Prothonotary shall file the documents filed at No. 1988 - 678 at No. 1986 1089 and the petition for custody or the complaint for custody filed by the Defendant shall be treated as a request for modification of the Order already in existence, although it is apparent that the parties reconciled and lived together during much of the period of time that that Order was in force and thus it was, in essence, rendered moot by the parties.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 30, 1988 Copies of order to William Jorden and Douglas Ferguson
Original order filed at AD 1986-1089

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
S chetroma & Schug
David L. Hotchkiss

679.

Bozic & Thomas
David P. Truax

VALENTINE A. DOMINICK AND CAROLE L. DOMINICK, HIS WIFE, AND END OF THE ROAD, INC. A CORPORATION

vs.

LEONARD C. FOX AND ALICE M. FOX

Filed Aug. 3, 1988
@ 8:58 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Costs 29.40

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Aug 16, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Leonard C. Fox personally, by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on August 9, 1988 at 11:32 A.M. at his residence, R.D.#2, Linesville, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED Alice M. Fox, personally by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on August 9, 1988 at 11:33 A.M. at her place of residence, R.D.#2, Linesville, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 29, 1988 Appearance of Bozic & Thomas by David P. Truax for Defendant filed.

Oct. 6, 1988, Answer and New Matter filed. 1 copy (atty)

Nov. 4, 1988, Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's New Matter filed.

Nov. 14, 1988, Notice of Interrogatories filed.

Nov. 14, 1988, Notice of Requests for Production filed.

1988

Frank L. Majczan, Jr.

680.

Brian J. Lindssay

LEHIGH VALLEY BANK, PREVIOUSLY KNOWN AS UNION BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF EASTERN PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

DONALD L. SNELSIRE, AND SANDRA L. SNELSIRE

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION - REPLEVIN

2 copies (sheriff)

Aug 17, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED by mailing by certified mail, August 8, 1988, a true and attested copy of the within Complaint to Donald L. Snelsire, P.O. Box 94, La Fargeville, New York 13656, the return receipt being hereto attached and made a part of this return.

SERVED by mailing by certified mail, August 8, 1988 a true and attested copy of the within Complaint to Sandra L. Snelsire , P.O. Box 94, LaFargeville, New York, 13656, the return receipt being hereto attached and made a part of this return.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Filed Aug. 3, 1988
@ 1:47 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	27.00
Pro Co Pd 11/28/88	9.00 ✓
Pro Co pd. 5/22/90	5.00 ✓

Aug. 31, 1988 Defendants' Preliminary Objections filed.

Sept. 22, 1988 Amended Complaint filed.

Nov. 28, 1988, at 11:18 a.m., And Now, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for want of a responsive pleading and for possession of Defendants 1979 fully furnished Vista Estate Mobile Home, Ser. No. 2888-79, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, damages assessed in the amount of Nine Thousand Three Hundred Thirty four and 88/100 dollars and 88/100; includes int. from 9/20/88 of $157.20 and atty. comm. of $1,197.09.
Judgment entered - 11/28/88
S - 43, 153

Debt --- $9,334.88
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons Prothonotary

Nov. 30, 1988 Notice of Entry of Judgment mailed to defendants.

May 21, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the Judgment entered above is hereby withdrawn of record.

1988

Mason and Mason M. Dan Mason 681.	JOHN J. KRIDLER AND MARY E. NELSON, THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF DIANA J. NELSON, a/k/a DIANA J. KRIDLER vs. DEBRA JEAN FALES Filed Aug. 3, 1988 @ 3:00 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 Sheriff's costs 24.15	SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION Issued (sheriff) Aug. 15, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED Debra Jean Fales within named defendant on Aug. 9, 1988 at 10:14 a.m. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to R. Fales, adult member of her family, namely her father, at their residence, Oakridge Drive, Conneaut Lake, Crawford Co., PA. So answers, Edgar D. Househodler, Deputy Sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Christopher Ferry

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

682.

Barbara J. Walton

EUGENE M. STEWART

vs.

ANNA MARIA G. STEWART
n/k/a Annamarie Swiger

Filed Aug. 4, 1988
@ 11:15 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 1/31/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 31, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

Oct. 4, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq., Atty. for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on SEpt. 6, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Anna Maria G. Stewart by certified mail #P-547 808 385, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Anna Maria G. Stewart on Sept. 9, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.
Joan D. Mason, Atty.

Dec. 9, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant Anna Maria Stewart, filed.

Jan. 30, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 30, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Feb. 7, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, February 7, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Eugene M. Stewart, plaintiff, and Anna Maria G. Stewart, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Jun. 24, 1994 Petition for Special Relief and RULE TO SHOW CAUSE ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 23 day of June, 1994, upon consideration of the enclosed Petition for Special Relief it is hereby entered ordering and directing the Respondent, Eugene M. Stewart, to show cause, if any he has, why said Petition for Special Relief should not be granted. the said Rule to Show Cause is returnable for hearing and/or argument on the 12th day of July, 1994, at 1:30 o'clock p.m. prevailing time, in Courtroom Number 1, in the Crawford County Courthouse located at 903 Diamond Park, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

6-24-94 Faxed to Atty. Ferry, given to Atty. Walton.

July 12, 1994 Motion to Continue and ORDER OF COURT filed. ANDNOW, this 12 day of July, 1994, upon presentation of the Motion for Continuance by the Defendant, the Rule to Show Cause Hearing scheduled for July 12, 1994 at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom No. 1, is hereby continued until Aug. 4, 1994 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom #2, before Senior Judge P. Richard Thomas.

By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

July 11, 1994 Copy Faxed to Attys. Walton and Ferry.

July 18, 1994 Motion To Continue and Order, filed. AND NOW, this 18th day of July, 1994, upon presentation of the Motion for Continuance by the Defendant, the Rule to Show Cause Hearing scheduled for August 4, 1994 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom Number 2, is hereby continued until August 30, 1994 at 10:30 a.m. in Courtroom #1. By the Court:
Gordon r. Miller, P.J.

July 18,1994 Faxed Ferry. Given to Walton.

Aug. 29, 1994 Motion to Cancel Hearing and ORDER filed. AND NOW, August 29, 1994, the hearing scheduled for August 30, 1994 is hereby cancelled. By the court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

8-30-94 Faxed to Atty. Ferry, given to Atty. Walton.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
Louis J. Stack

683.

Ferguson & Spataro
John F. Spataro

NORTHWEST LEASING

vs.

MELVIN TOMLINSON AND
CONNIE TOMLINSON

Filed Aug. 4, 1988
@ 12:02 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	56.00
Pro Co Pd 10/18/88	5.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Aug. 18, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared William Parker, Deputy who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 9th day of August, 1988, at 11:15 A.M., he served Complaint, filed at AD 1988-683,Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon Defendant Connie Tomlinson at her place of residence, 1181 West Road, Erie County, Albion, Pennsylvania by making known the contents thereof to Melvin Tomlinson and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford County.
So answers, William Parker, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared William Parker, Deputy, sho being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 9th day of August, 1988, at 11:15 A.M., he served Complaint filed at AD 1988-683, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Melvin Tomlinson at his place of residence, 11781 West Road, Erie County, Albion, Pennsylvania by making known the contents thereof to Melvin Tomlinson and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford County.
William Parker, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff

SERVED Melvin Tomlinson, within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, Pa., as per his affidavit of service attached. Served August 9, 1988.

SERVED Connie Tomlinson, within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: August 9, 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

August 18, 1988 Answer to Complaint - New Matter - Counterclaim filed.

Sept. 8, 1988, Reply to New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

Sept. 8, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above case is listed for trial on Oct. Jury Trial List.

ON OCTOBER 1988 TRIAL LIST

Oct. 18, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued with prejudice.

1988

Robert J. Felton

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

684.

ROBIN S. HUYA

vs.

MICHAEL JOHN HUYA III

Filed Aug. 5, 1988
@ 10:07 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
2 counts paid	30.00
State pd 5/6/93	10.00
Pro.Co.pd 5/6/93	9.00
Pro Co Pd 11/8/93	5.00
John Spataro, Mediator	240.00
Pro Co Pd 5-19-98	9.00

7-30-97 Rec'd from deft med fee 200.00

10-15-97 Rec'd from plf med fee 49.00

191.00
49.00
5-19-98 pd Co 240.00

5-14-98 Rec'd from plf 300.00 med fee

7-31-98 Refunded plf 175.00

7-31-98 pd Co 25.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 31, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on August 16, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Michael John Huya III by certified mail #P-547 808 382, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said ntoice was received by Michael John Huya, III on August 19, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office ofthe Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto. Joan D. Mason

Mar. 26, 1993 Defendant's Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) filed.

April 6, 1993, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

May 6, 1993 Affidavit of Consent by Michael John Huya, III, Defendant, filed.

MAY 6, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, for Review Under §201 (c) of the Divorce Code this case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

May 14, 1993 STIPULATION and DIVORCE DECREE, AND NOW, this 12 day of May, 1993, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE:

Upon consideration of the pleadings and Affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably bvroken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the paraties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 3301(c) of the PA. Divorce Code.

2. CUSTODY AND VISITATION:

A. Primary legal and physical custody of the parties' two minor children, Keri Elaine Huya, age seven (7), born June 4, 1985, and Brandon Michael Huya, age six (6), born March 20, 1987, is hereby established with their mother, Robin S. Huya.

B. Temporary custody of the minor children shall be with their father, Michael John Huya, III, every other weekend from 6:00 p.m. Friday until 6:00 p.m. the following Sunday.

C. The father shall have the children each Dec. 24th from 10:00 a.m. until 9:00 p.m., and each Thanksgiving Day from 9:00 a.m. until 3:00 p.m.

D. Father shall provide secure transportation to and from all visits, utilizing seat belts.

E. Neither party shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but shall do everything in the power of each to encourage a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

F. Both parties shall inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the children.

G. Neither party shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

3. MOBILE HOME:

Defendant has refinanced the jointly owned mobile home, which is to be transferred to the Defendant, once he has fully transferred the installment purchase loan taken out in the name of both parties for said mobile home, so that same or subsequent debt shall be his obligation alone.

4. PERSONAL PROPERTY:

The parties hereto have already divided to their mutual satisfaction, any tangible personal property that they owned or acquired during the time of the marriage.

5. DEBTS:

Neither party shall incur any debts in the anme of the other party hereto, and each party shall hold the other party harmless in regard to any debt or obligation incurred individually.

6. WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS:

Neither party hereto shall require the other party hereto to file an Inventory and Appraisement nor an Income and Expense Statement, as would otherwise have been required by the PA. Rules of Civil Procedure. Each party is aware of the debts and assets acquired during the marriage.

7. WAIVER OF CLAIMS:

Neither party hereto shall make any claims against the other party hereto, except for enforcement of the rights and responsibilities set forth in this Decree.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 8, 1993, Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name filed.
TO BE KNOWN AS ROVIN S. HOOD.

July 30, 1997 Petition To Modify and Order filed.
You, Robin S. Huya, have been sued in Court to obtain Custody of the child(ren): Keri Elaine Huya and Brandon Michael Huya
You are Ordered to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator John Spataro, Esquire for a mediation conference. The Mediator shall send you a Notice of the Hearing my mail to the address listed onthe complaint or petition that has been filed recently.
I fyou fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or
visitaiton may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE, OF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE TH EOFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Continued on page 134 -A-

Robin S. Huya, (nka Hood)

vs.

Michael John Huya, III

Record continued from page 134

of record

A.D. 1988 - 684

****

Court Administrator
Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990

The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County is required by law to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. For information about accessible facilities and reasonable accommodations available to disabled individuals having business before the Court, please contact the Court Administrator's Office. All arrangements must be made at least 72 hours prior to any hearnig or business before the Court. You must attend the scheduled conference or hearing.

Date: July 30, 1997

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

AND NOW, this 30th day of July ,1997 it is ordered and decreed that the parents in this matter shall satisfactorily complete the Seminar for Separating Parents in accordance with Crawford County Civil Rule L1930. Applications for the seminar may be obtained at the Center for Family Services, Inc. at 996 S. Main Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335; the Prothonotary at the Crawford County Courthouse; or the Court Administrator at the Crawford County Courthouse.

Application for attendance at the seminar must be made by the plaintiff or petitioner within fifteen (15) days after the pleading or petition is filed; and by the defendant or respondent within fifteen (15) days after he or she is served with the pleading or petition.

THE SEMINAR MUST BE COMPLETED WITHIN FORTY-FIVE (45) DAYS AFTER APPLICATION. FAILURE TO ATTEND THE SEMINAR MAY RESULT IN SANCTIONS, INCLUDING CONTEMPT OF COURT, A DISMISSAL OF THE ACTION OR A DELAY IN THE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS ATTENDANCE IS WAIVED BY ORDER OF COURT.

If either party has any questions about this seminar he/she should consult an attorney or refer to the Crawford County Civil Rules of Court that are available in the Prothonotary's office and in the Crawford County Law Library or contact the Center for Family Services, Inc.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. MIller, J

7-30-97 Faxed Spataro & Mason Distributed Lindsay

Aug 12, 1997 Certificate of completion of the Seminar for Separating Parents for Robin Huya Hood, filed.

Aug 13, 1997 Certificate of completion of the Seminar for Separating Parents for Michael John Huya III, filed.

Aug. 11, 1997 Appearance of Robert J. Felton, atty. for plaintiff, filed.

Oct 6, 1997 ORDER: AND NOW, this 3 day of OCTOBER, 1997, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1) The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children, Keri Elaine Huya and Brandon Michael Huya.

2) The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby autorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.

3) Father shall have primay physical custody of the children, subject to the partial custody rights of the mother as follows: (see paper filed)

12) Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $240.00 ($60.00/hr. x 4 hrs.) and the $9.00 Court Order filing fee are to be divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case.

13) The father has paid the $200.00 necessary for the appointment of the child custody mediator. The entirety of said amount shall apply to the costs and fees of this case. The mother shall, within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order pay to the father the sum of $75.50, reimbursing the father for a portion of her share of the costs and fees of this case. The mother shall, within thirty (30) days fo the date of this order, pay to the Prothonotary of Crawford County, the sum of $49.00 representign the balance due on her sahre of the costs and fees of this case.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Oct 7, 1997 Faxed Spataro, Felton, Lindsay

Feb. 11, 1998 PETITION FOR CONTEMPT AND ORDER FILED. AND NOW, this 10th day of Feb. 1998 a Rule is issued upon the Respondent, Robin S. Huya, now known as Hood, to show cause why Plaintiff's Petition for Contempt should not be granted and sanctions imposed on Respondent. Said Rule is Returnable on the 26th day of March, 1998 at 8:45 AM in courtroom Number 2 at the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville,PA.

BY THE COURT
Anthony J. Vardaro

2/12/98 FAXED Felton & Lindsay

Feb. 18, 1998 MOTION TO WITHDRAW CONTEMPT PETITION AND ORDER FILED. AND NOW, this 18th day of February 1998, upon consideration of the Motion to withdraw Contempt Petition the same is hereby granted.

BY THE COURT
ANTHONY J. VARDARO, J.

2/19/98 FAXED LINDSAY & FELTON

May 14, 1998 PETITION FOR MODIFICATION OF CUSTODY AND NOTICE AND DORDER TO APPEAR FILED. YOU, Michael J. Huya III have been sued in Court to obtain custody of Keri Elaine Hysa and Brandon Michael Huya.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 134-B

134
-B-

1988

Robin S. Huya n/k/a Hood
vs
Michael J. Huya III

Continued from page 134-A
of record
AD 1988 - 684

****

You are Ordered to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by mediator, John Spataro, Esq. for a mediation conference. The Mediator shall send you a Notice of the Hearing by Mail to the address listed on the complaint or petition that has been filed. recently (SEE PAPER FILED)

By the Court
Gordnon R. Miller

5/13/98 FAXED LINDSAY, SPATARO AND COPY TO WALTON

June 2, 1998 Affidavit of Service filed. AND NOW, June 1, 1998, comes Barbara J. Walton, Esquire, and avers as follows:

1. A true and correct copy of the Peittion for Modification of Custody was amiled to the DEfendant via certified mail, return receipt requested, on May 19, 1998.

2. That the Defendant signed the return card on May 21, 1998, and in accordance withthe Pa.R.C.P. 412, service of the Complaint was made as is evidenced by the United STates Postal Service REturn Receipt (Certified No. P506 104 387) attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

I VERIFY that the statements made in this affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Barbara J. Walton

June 26, 1998 Motion for Withdrawal of Petition and Order of Court filed. And Now, this 26 day of June 1998 the petition to modify custody is withdrawn. The custody mediator, John Spataro, Esq. shall send a statement of any fees owed tothe Prothonotary within 10 days, and said fees, if any, shall be paid promptly and the remainder refuned to plff, less any costs due.

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro J.

6/26/98 faxed to Lindsay, Spataro copy to Walton.

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

685.

RUTH A. AVERSA

vs.

RAFFAELE J. AVERSA

Filed Aug. 5, 1988
@ 1:26 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 3/27/89	9.00
State pd 3/27/89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

Aug. 5, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the divorce code filed.

Aug 8, 1988 Return of Service filed: Josephine M. Fink, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says:
That she is a competent adult and that she did serve a Certified copy of the Compalint in Divorce and the Plaintiff's Affidavit Under §201 (d) of the Divorce Code in the above entitled matter upon the Defendant, Raffaele J. Aversa, personally, on August 5, 1988, at 10:35 a.m. at the Office of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso & Gamble, 115 South Washington Street, Titusville, Pennsylvania 16354.
Said Service was made by reading the same to him and making the contents thereof known to him, and handing him the Certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce and the Plaintiff's Affidavit Under §201(d) of the Divorce Code.
Josephine M. Fink

Mar. 22, 1989, Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Mar. 23, 1989 Affidavit of Service filed. Ann Hansen Gamble being first duly sworn deposes and says: That she is an attorney at law licensed to practice inthe Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and that she did serve a Proposed Praecipe for Review under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, a NOtice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree and a Form for the defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, to the Defendant Raffaele J. Aversa, by mailing the said to him by U.S. Postal Service, certified, return receipt requested, a copy of the said receipt and return being attached hereto on March 3, 1989, the same being received by the Defendant on or before March 6, 1989, at R.D.1, Centerville, Pennsylvania 16404. Ann Hansen Gamble

Mar. 23, 1989 Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Mar. 23, 1989 Notice Of Intention To Request Entry of Divorce Decree filed

Mar. 23, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attonrey For Review Under Section 201(d) of Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Apr. 10, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, April 10, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that RUTH A. AVERSA, plaintiff, and RAFFAELE J. AVERSA, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma and Schug
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

686.

LAURIE S. WEAVER

vs.

RICHARD E. WEAVER

Filed Aug. 5, 1988
@ 1:52 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 10/13/88 15.00 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 11/22/88 9.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 5/19/89 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 10, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, Aug. 9, 1988, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the Atty. for Laurie S. Weaver, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished to her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P 401-440-886, postmarked Aug. 5, 1988, to the last known address of the Defendant, Richard E. Weaver, PO Box 322, Meadville, PA.

3. That the Defendant, Richard E. Weaver, on Aug. 8, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

4. Service of the Complaint against the Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pa. Rules of Civil Procedure.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq.

Sept. 28, 1988 Memorandum of Agreement as to Equitable Distribution filed.

Oct. 5, 1988, Petition of Plaintiff for Equitable Distribution filed.

Nov. 16, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 21, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Nov. 22, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Dovorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Dec. 5, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And Now, Dec. 5, 1988, it is ordered and decreed that Laurie S. Weaver, Plaintiff, and Richard E. Weaver, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of Matrimony.

By the Court:
Robert L. Walker, J.

May 19, 1989 Notice of Election to Retake Prior Name filed. Laurie S. Weaver
TO BE KNOWN AS: Laurie S. Schrecengost.

1988

Bettie J. Peterson-Muth

687.

LINDA L. TWIFORD

vs.

DANIEL E. TWIFORD

Filed Aug. 5, 1988
@ 2:30 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 11/14/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 14, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the said Commonwealth and County, at Meadville, Pa. Bettie J. Peterson-Muth, an attorney admitted to practice in the Commonwealth of PA., who being duly sworn according to law deposes and says that she served a true and attested copy of the Complaint in Divorce (the same having been certified by James F. Simmons, Prothonotary, as such) on Daniel E. Twiford, the Defendant, personally at 10:30 A.M., on August 6, 1988 at said Attorney's place of business, Star Route 27, Meadville, PA. by reading the same to him and making known the contents of same and leaving said true and attested copy with him.

Bettie J. Peterson-Muth

Nov. 14, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 14, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Nov. 14, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Dec. 1, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, November 28, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

LINDA L. TWIFORD and DANIEL E. TWIFORD

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

1988

Jack H. Kudzma

688.

CHARLES MEYER, A MINOR, BY HIS MOTHER, AND NATURAL GUARDIAN, JANICE MUNSCH

vs.

STEVEN SHILLING

Filed Aug. 5, 1988
@ 2:48 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 8/18/88 6.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Aug. 5, 1988, Petition for Approval of Settlement and Distribution of Minor's Personal INjury Action, and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT:

AND NOW, to wit this 5 day of Aug. 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, it is hereby ORDERED as follows:

The settlement agreed recited in the foregoing Petition is hereby approved and it is hereby further ORDERED and directed that the proceeds of said settlement shall be distributed as follows:

1. To: Jack H. Kudzma, Esquire: Attorney's fees $1,666.50

2. To: Janice Munsch, parent and natural guardian of Charles Meyer, a minor and to be deposited in a savings account or other savings instrument in the name of the minor in a bank building and loan association or savings and loan association deposits which are insured by a Federal government Agency with the following provision: "no-withdrawal can be made of any monies in this account until the minor Charles Meyer attains his majority, except as authorized by prior order of court". $48,333.50

TOTAL $50,000.00

It is further ORDERED that the Petitioner may execute an appropriate release to effect settlement.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Aug. 8, 1988, copy to Atty. Kudzma.

Aug. 18, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record, as to alldefendants.

Apr. 27, 1990 Petitions For Distribution Of Minor's CLaim To Pay Income Tax and Order filed. AND NOW, to wit, this 27th day of April, 1990, upon petition of Janice Munsch, it is hereby ORDERED that the proceeds of Pennbank Certificate of deposit No. 88147 be paid to Janice Munsch, mother and natural guardian of Charles Meyer, an dthat the sum of Three Thousand ($3,000.00) Dollars be deposited in the checking account designed "Janice Munsch and Charles Meyer", said funds to be used by Janice Munsch only for the purposes of paying the income tax liability of charles Meyer, a minor.

It is further ORDERED that the balance of the proceeds of Pennbank Certificate of Deposit No. 88147 be deposited in a savings account or other savings instrument in the name ofthe Minor, Charles Meyer in a bank, building & loan association or savings & loan association deposits of which are insured by a Federal Government Agency with the following provision: "no-withdrawal can be made of any monies in this account until the minor, Charles Meyer attains his majority, except as authorized by prior order of court.

BY THE COURT,
G. R. Miller, J

1 copy atty.

1988

Jack H. Kudzma

689.

Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote,PC
Thomas M. Fallert

ARTHUR R. GRIFFITH

vs.

JOHN R. HAZI, AND SURMICK LAND COMPANY, INC. A CORPORATION

Filed Aug. 5, 1988
@ 3:00 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	61.00
Pro Co pd 5/18/89	6.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Aug. 5, 1988, Request for Production of Documents and Things filed.

Sept. 12, 1988, Appearance of Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote, P. C. by Thomas M. Fallert, attorneys for defendants filed.

Sept. 15, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared V. J. Dal Bon who bieng duly sworn according to law, deposes and says tha ton the 23rd day of August, 1988, at 11:00 a.m., he served Complaint and Interrogatories, filed at No. 689 Term, 1988, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant John R. Hazi at 1401 Sixth street Pittsburgh, PA 15234 By making known the contents thereof to Kay Bridgeman - Sec to Landlord and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the writ received from crawford Sheriff
So answers, V. J. Dal Bon, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared V. J. Dal Bon who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says tha ton the 23rd day of August, 1988, at 11:00 a.m., he served Complaint, Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents, filed at NO. 689 Term, 1988, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Surmick Land Company, Inc. at 929 Park Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15234 By making known the contents thereof to Kay Bridgeman-Sec. to Landlord and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the writ received from Crawford County Sheriff
So answers. V. J. DalBon, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

SERVED Jo;hn R. Hazi within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, PA, as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 8-23-88.
SERVED Surmick Land Company, Inc. within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County,PA, as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 8-23-88.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 16, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above case is marked settled and discontinued as to all Defendant of record.

140

1988

William B. Moore

690.

R. Charles Thomas
Bozic and Thomas

MELVIN L. CHAPIN

vs.

ROBERT K. SCHALL, EXECUTOR OF THE ESTATE OF GERALDINE CHAPIN, DECEASED

Filed Aug. 5, 1988
@ 3:03 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	21.50

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 11, 1989 Complaint Reinstated.

Apr. 28, 1989 Complaint Reinstated.

May 1, 1989 Complaint filed.

June 1, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Robert K. Schall personally by handing copy of Complaint to him on May 16, 1989 at 1:44 PM at his residence, S. Franklin Street, Cochranton, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 15, 1989, Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

June 15, 1989 Appearance of Bozic & Thomas by R. Charles Thomas for Defendant filed.

July 19, 1989, Answer to Defendant's New Matter filed.

1988

Brian Lindsay

Donald E. Lewis

691.

Bozic & Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

THOMAS, TRUAX, SPADAFORE, VARDARO AND WALKER
R. Charles Thomas

PETER J. SIMONETTA

vs.

BECKY A. SIMONETTA

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 23, 1988 Proof of Service filed. COMES NOW, DONALD E. LEWIS, Attorney for Plaintiff, in the above captioned matter, and hereby certifies that a certified c opy of the Complaint in Divorce filed herein on August 5, 1988, has been served on the Defendant, BECKY A. SIMONETTA, by Certified Mail, restricted delivery, (see attached return receipt) , on Aug. 11, 1988.
Donald E. Lewis, esq.

Sept. 26, 1988 Answer and Counterclaim filed. (Alimony Pendente LIte,Counsel fees & Costs, Permanent Alimony)

Sept. 26, 1988 Appearance of Bozic & Thomas by R. Charles Thomas for defendant filed.

Filed Aug. 5, 1988
@ 3:31 p.m.

Tax & entryPaid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
	15.00
state paid 4/26/91	10.00
pro pd. 4/26/91	9.00

Nov 1, 1990 Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro and Walker by R. Charles Thomas, Esq. for Defendant filed.

Apr. 4, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Apr. 11, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Apr. 26, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to Transmit the record, this case moved to court.

May 2, 1991 **S T I P U L A T I O N A N D D E C R E E F I L E D .**

1. Divorce: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed form the date of the filing of the Complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §201(c) of the Divorce Code, of Pennsylvania.

2. Equitable Property Determination and Distribution: This Decree provides that the marital property of the above named parties shall be divided as follows:

a. The parties have acknowledged that all personalty has been previsouly divided an dthat all personal property currently in the possession of Wife shall be the sole and exclusive property of Wife, and Husband hereby relinquishes any and all right, title and interest he may have to said property. All property currently in the possession of Husban dshall be the sole and exclusive property of Husband, and Wife here by relinquishes any and all right, title an dinterest she may have to said property. Each party is responsible for any and all outstanding debts due and owing on their respective property and shall indemnify the other form any and all liability, except as specifically provided to the contrary herein.

3. Spousal Support: The issue of Spousal Support is addressed pursuant to the Order of the Crawford County Domestic Relations Office. Meadville, Pennsylvania.

Plaintiff shall pay the sum of $1,575.00 in monthly installments, $200.00 monthly, and the last installment shall be in the sum of $175.00, the first installment of $200.00 due within thirty (30) days from the date hereo fto the Domestic Relations Office of Craford County, in Meadville, Pennsylvania, and said sum shall constitute full satisfaction of the arrearages of record and it is directed that the Domestic Relations Section modify the current order at DR 1990-103 to comply with this paragraph.

4. Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsell Fees & Costs: Plaintiff shall pay the sum of $1,500.00 in temporary alimony to the defendant as follows: The sum of $500.00 per month, with the first payment due within thirty (30) days form the date hereof.

Plaintiff shall pay the sum of $500.00 in counsel fees to Defendant's attorney, R. Charles Thomas, Esquire, within ten (10) days from the date hereof.

5. Permanent Alimony: There shall be no payment of permanent alimony.

6. Specific Payments: Plaintiff shall be solely liable for the following marital debts, and shall indemnify and hold Defendant harmless, from any and all liability therefor:

a. Penelec $1,190.71
b. MasterCard 350.00
c. Visa Card 1,400.00
d. Join Marital IRS liability.

7. No other matters or causes of action provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code are reserved by either of the parties.

This Order shall be effective as of this 2nd day of May, 1991.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Aug 4, 1992 PETITION TO ADJUDICATE PLAINTIFF IN CONTEMPT and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 31st day of July, 1992, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a Rule is granted upon the Defendant to show cause, if any he has, why the relief set forth in the within Petition should not be granted.

Returnable for hearing and/or argument on the 26th day of August, 1992, at 11:00 a.m., in Court Room No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Cofurt House, Meadville, Pa. A copy of this Petition to be served upon the Defendant by Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested and a copy fo the same to be served upon Plaintiff's Attorney of record, Brian Lindsay, Esq.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug 10, 1992 copy in box for B. Lindsay, mailed Certified Copy to Dft.

Continued on Page 636

142

1988

Gina D'Affonso

692.

Bozic and Thomas
David P. Truax

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

ROBERT JAMES ARMIJO

PETITION FOR APPEAL FROM ORDER OF DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SUSPENDING DRIVER'S LICENSE

2 copies (atty)

Filed Aug. 8, 1988
@ 9:01 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid 8-10-88. $40.50 ✓
Pd 20 Pd 11-18-88. $ 9.00 ✓

ORDER:

AND NOW, August 8, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing petition and upon motion of David P. Truax, Esq. atty. for the petitioner, a hearing is granted to determine whether the action of the Dep.t of Trans. in suspending the Driver's License of the Petitioner should be set aside.

The above matter is set for hearing on the 6th day of Oct. 1988, at 9:30 a.m. at the court house, Meadville, Pa. in C. R. 1 or 2.

The Petitioner is directed to give thirty (30) days written notice to (1) Dept. of Trans., Bur of Driver Lic., Citation Processing Div., Rm. 302, Trans. and Safety Bldg., Harrisburg Pa. 17120, and (2) Dept. of Trans. Office of Chief Counsel 1209 State Office Bldg. Pittsburgh, Pa. 15222, said notice to be by cert. amil in each case ret. rec. req.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Aug. 8, 1988, copy of order to Atty. Truax .

Nov. 16, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Nove.ber 14, 1988, defendant's appeal from the action of the Department of Transportation suspendign his driver's license is denied and the suspension imposed by the Dept. of Transportation is re-instated.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Nov. 17, 1988 copies of order toDavid Truax, Esq. and Dept. of Trans. counsel.

Nov. 16, 1988, Exhibits filed.

1988

Hayt, Hayt &Landau
Arthur Lashin

693.

FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY
One American Road
Dearborn, Michigan 48122

vs.

DAVID P. CRANDALL
868 Liberty Street
Meadville, Pa. 16335

Filed Aug. 8, 1988
@ 11:35 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriffs costs	20.00
Pro Co Pd 12/19/88	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 8, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED David P. Crandall personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on Sept. 7, 1988 at 9:42 a.m. at Sheriffs Office, Rm. 303, Crawford CountyCourthouse, Meadville Pa.
So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

And Now, Dec. 19, 1988 at 1:38 p.m., Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to answer, damages assessed in the amount of Five Thousand Two Hundred Sixteen and 83/100 Dollars, (atty. fees of $869.47 included)
Debt - $5,216.83
Judgment entered 12/19/88 JUDGMENT
C - 44 James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Dec. 20, 1988 Notice of entry of judgment mailed to defendant.

EX/1989-51

144

1988

Northwest Legal Services
Margaret Eglinton

694.

FLOYD LEWIS HOPKINS

vs.

MARY GUSTAFSON

Filed Aug. 8, 1988
@ 1:24 p.m.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (mediator)
4 copies (atty)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Mary Gustafson, Defendant, have been sued in court to obtain custody of the minor children, Aileen Hopkins, Dennis Hopkins and Anthony Hopkins.

You are ordered to aPPEAR in person at the time and place selected by WILLIAM PINEO, CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

You are further ordered to bring with you the children Aileen, Dennis and Anthony.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an order for custody, partial custody, or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

Pending the outcome of the above-ordered hearing, legal and physical cusotyd of the minor children Aileen and Anthony shall be with the defendant and the custody of dennis will change from Sarah Reed to the dft. at the end of July 1988, as ordered June 17, 1988. (attached Ex. "A")

This Petition and order may be served by any competent adult or by certified mail.

Plaintiff is allowed to proceed without payment of court costs reserving the right to impose costs.

You should take this apper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help:

Crawford CountyBar Assoc. Ser. to the Pub Comm. Meadville, Pa. 16335, Tele: 814 724-4636.

August 9, 1988

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 8, 1988, Affidavit to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, Praecipe to Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed.

Aug 10, 1988 Proof of Service filed: I hereby certify that I have, this day, served a copy of the Notice, Order and Petition for Custody in the above matter, upon Attorney Christopher Youngs at 363 Chestnut Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

NORTHWESTERN LEGAL SERVICES
Margaret M. Eglinton

Date Aug 9, 1988

Aug. 10, 1988 Proof Of Service filed. I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Order and Complaint was served on deendant, Mary Gustafson, by both regular and Certified, Restricted Delivery, U.S. Mail at her last known address at 207 Locust Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335 on August 10, 1988.

Margaret Eglinton

Sept. 26, 1988 Motion For Indefinite Continuance and Order ifled. AND NOW, thi 26th day of September, 1988, the Motion for an Indefinite Continuance is granted.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker,J

sept. 26, 1988 copy of order to Margaret Eglinton

Sept. 26, 1988 Proof Of Service filed. I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Motion for an Indefinite Continuance was served personally on Christopher J. Youngs, defendant's attonrey, at 363 Chestnut Street, Meadville, PA 16335 on September 26, 1988.

Margaret Eglinton

1988

695.

Bruce A. Barrett

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED
INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

vs.

KENNETH DEININGER

Filed Aug. 8, 1988

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976
(Section 303)

Aug. 8, 1988, Certification by the court for extended involuntary treatment (emergency): the court finds patient is severely mentally disabled and in need of treatment; orders that he receive inpatient treatment at Mead. Med. Center - Grove St., for a period for 20 days. Represented by Bruce A. BArrett, Esq.
for the court, M. Dan Mason, MHRO

1988

Salaman, Salaman
& Cherwony
Drew Salaman

696.

CENTRAL COLLECTIONS
CORPORATION OF AMERICA

vs.

NATIONAL TEL-TRONICS, INC.
A DIVISION OF ELECTRO-AUDIO
DYNAMICS, INC.

Filed Aug. 8, 1988
@ 1:31 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 21.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Aug. 17, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED National Tel-tronics, Inc. a dAvision of Electro-Audio Dynamics Inc. the within named defendant on August 12, 1988 at 3:12 PM by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Jim Mullen, Controller, and person in charge at their place of business, State Road Hill, Meadville, Crawford County,PA
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
HOward N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Lebovitz & Lebobitz, PA
Robert A. Lebovitz

697.

JAMES N. BAILEY AND IDA BAILEY, HIS WIFE

vs.

DALE LEE SHIELDS AND SHARON SIMMONS SHIELDS, HIS WIFE

Filed Aug. 8, 1988
@ 1:35 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	30.00
Pro Co Pd 12/5/89	9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 10/18/91	9.00 ✓
hs & Pd 12/27/91	4.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Aug. 25, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED Sharon Simmons Shields personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Summons to her on August 18, 1988 at 2:11 PM at her residence, 244 Walker Drive, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Dale Lee Shields within named defendant on August 18, 1988 at 2:12 PM by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Sharon Shields, adult member of the family, namely his wife, at their residence, 244 Walker Drive, Meadville, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 12, 1989 Complaint filed. (JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)

And Now, Nov. 29, 1989 at 11:04 a.m., Upon plaintiff attorney praecipe, with copies of default notices and certificates of service attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer, damages to be determined sec. leg.
S - 41, 151
Judgment entered 11/29/89
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 9, 1990, Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON FEBRUARY 1991 TRIAL LIST

Feb. 5, 1991, ORDER filed. And Now, Jan. 29, 1991 nonjury trial in this matter is hereby set for Apr. 4, 1991 at 9:00 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville Pa.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.
Feb. 4, 1991, copies to plff. atty. Lebovitz and dfts. (mailed by Ct. Adm.)

Apr. 8, 1991 Itemized Statement and Medical Information in Support of Liquidation of damages filed.

April 8, 1991 VERDICT filed. AND NOW Apr. 4, 1991, based on the testimony presented by counsel and the Plaintiff, the court awards the verdict to James N. Bailey, now deceased, and to the executor of his estate inthe amount of $15,202.15, and the sum of $2,500.00 to Ida Bailey, the plaintiff, all against the defendant Dale Lee Shields and Sharon Simmons Shields.
BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
James B. Dwyer, S. J.
Apr. 11, 1991 copies to plaintiff counsel and dfts.
Verdict entered - 4/4/91
S - 41 151
VERDICT
James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

And Now, Oct. 18, 1991, at 11:28 a.m. Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the above plaintiffs and against the defendants for the amount of Seventeen Thousand Seven Hundred Two and 15/100 Dollars, in accordance with the Verdict above, attached hereto, and made a part of.
Judgment entered 10/18/91
S - 41, 151
Debt ----$17,702.15
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

698.

LINDA CHRISTINE BARKER

vs.

JAMES HOWARD BARKER

Filed Aug. 8, 1988
@ 2:25 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50✓
Pro Co pd 1/6/89 9.00✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 22, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information andbelief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned Divorce Action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code was sent by registered mail to the Defendant.

3. The registered mail was sent to James H. Barker at 875 Lacon Drive, Newport News, Virginia 23602 on Aug. 8, 1988. The sender's receipt is attached hereto and signed by James Barker.

Bruce A. Barrett, atty, Plaintiff

Jan. 4, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 4, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 4, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Jan. 24, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, January, 24, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Linda Christine Barker, plaintiff and James Howard Barker, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

699.

CAROLE JAYNE GREEN

vs.

RONNIE MITCHELL GREEN

Filed Aug. 8, 1988
@ 2:25 p.m.

Tax & entry PAID	$40.50
Pro Co Pd 7/13/89	19.00
Pro Co Pd 8/9/89	5.00
Pro Co Pd 7/14/89	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 9, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his AFfidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.
1. That the affiant is the Attonrey for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code was personally served upon the defendant, ronnie Mitchell Green by Stephanie Lynn Nichol, at 965 S. Main Street, Meadville PA 16335 on September 7, 1988. Bruce A. Barrett

May 5, 1989 Motion to permit Plaintiff to file an amended Complaint in Divorce and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 5th day of May, 1989, the above motion allowed.
P. Richard Thomas, J.
May 5, 1989 Copy of Order to Atty. Bruce Barrett.

May 5, 1989 Amended Complaint in Divorce filed. (1 copy Atty.)

June 9, 1989 Motion to proceed with a 201(c) Divorce Action and Order filed. And now, June 9, 1989 The Plaintiff is permitted to proceed with the 201(c) Divorce Action as originally filed. Def. has now signed 201(c) affidavit. P. Richard Thomas,
June 14, 1989 Copy of order put in Bruce Barrett's box.

June 9, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 9, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July13, 1989, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for Review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Aug. 1, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, August 1, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that CAROLE JAYNE GREEN, plaintiff, and RONNIE MITCHELL GREEN, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

August 9, 1989 Notice of Intention to Resume Prior name filed, Carole Jayne Green, to be known as Carole Jayne Duckworth.

1988

Emil M. Spadafore Jr.

700.

JEFFERY SCOTT WHEELER

vs.

LAURIE WHEELER

Filed Aug. 9, 1988
@ 11:32 a.m.

Tax & Entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 2/10/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 30, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Vicki Bradshaw, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the secretary to Emil M. Spadafore, Jr., esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff in this action and certifies that on August 23, 1988 she delivered to the Post Office in Meadville, PA for delivery to Laurie Wheeler at R.D. #2, Box 266A, Cambridge Springs, PA 16403 by certified mail, return receipt requested, delivery restricted to addressee only, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in this matter for which deponent received at the time receipt for certified article no. P-547 803 594 which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Thereafter on August 25, 1988 it was returned to Emil M. Spadafore, Jr., return receipt card bearing the same number and signed by Laurie Wheeler said return receipt card being attached hereto as Exhibit "B"

Vicki Bradshaw

Nov. 17, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 22, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 9, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Feb. 14, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, February 14, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Jeffrey Scott Wheeler, plaintiff, and Laurie Wheeler, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas W. Ferguson

~~Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug~~
~~Mary Ann Kirkpatrick~~

701.

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker
R. Charles Thomas

2-25-93 Rec from Pltf & Masters fees 500.00

3-11-93 Received from Deft & Masters Fees 500.00

11-18-93 Pd Master ~~Spataro~~ Ck#7909 $1000.00 Brautigam

JOHN MURPHY

vs.

NOREEN MURPHY

Filed Aug. 9, 1988
@ 11:34 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $55.50 ✓
STATE Pd 8/11/91 5.00
Prothy Pd 1/11/91 15.00
John Brautigam, Master

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Aug 18, 1988 Affidavit of Service Filed: AND NOW, August 18th, 1988, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and set forth as follows:

1. That she is the Attorney for John Murphy, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished to her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P 401 440 887, postmarked August 9, 1988, to the last known address of the Defendant, Noreen Murphy, R.D.#2, Saegertown, Pennsylvania 16433.

3. That the Defendant, Noreen Murphy, on August 12, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

4. Service of the Complaint against the Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq.

Dec. 14, 1988 Motion for Leave to Withdraw, Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug, by Mary Ann Kirkpatrick as counsel for Plaintiff and Order filed.
ORDER: And Now, December 14, 1988 Motion allowed by the court.
By The Court:
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 15, 1988 copy of order to Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, esq.

Jan. 11, 1991 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of The Divorce Code filed.

Jan. 11, 1991 Answer and Counter Claim filed. (Equitable Distribution)

May 6, 1991, Appearance of Ferguson & Spataro, attorneys for plaintiff filed. (by Douglas W. Ferguson ESq.)

Feb. 12, 1993, Inventory and Appraisement of John Murphy filed.

Feb. 16, 1993 Income and Expense Statement filed. (Plaintiff)

Feb. 16, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 16, 1993 Motion For Appointment of Master in Divorce and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Feb. 19, 1993, John B. Brautigam, Esq., is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Divorce, Equitable Distribution.
Depost required: By Plaintiff $500.00 By Defendant $500.00
If not filed already the parties are ordered to file their pretrial statements within 30 days from this date.
PER CURIAM,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
Mar. 2, 1993 copy to D. Ferguson R.C. Thomas, J. Brautigam

Feb. 22, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Feb. 19, 1993, this matter is before the Court on a motion for appointment of master in divorce filed by the Plaintiff.
This is a 1988 divorce and the Defendant has not yet filed her income and expense statement and inventory and appraisement.
Accordingly, she is ordered to do so within 30 days of the date of this Order.
BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
Mar. 2, 1993 copy to D. Ferguson, R.C. Thomas, J. Brautigam

Mar. 26, 1993 Notice of Pre-trial Conference to be Conducted by Master fild.

Mar. 26, 1993 Notice of Master's Hearing filed.

Apr. 1, 1993, Defendant's Income and Expense Statement filed.

Apr. 1, 1993, Inventory and Appraisement of Noreen Murphy filed.

Apr. 2, 1993, REVISED NOtice of Pre-Trial Conference to be Conducted by Master filed.

Apr. 26, 1993, Amended Inventory and Appraisement of Noreen Murphy filed.

Aug. 5, 1993 Notice of Filing of Master's Report, filed.

Aug 5, 1993 Master's Report, filed.

Sept. 17, 1993 Master's Exhibits filed.

Sep. 17, 1993 Plaintiff's Answer to Defendant's Petition for Payment of Master's Fees filed.

Nov. 17, 1993 Petition for Payment of Master's fees filed.

Nov. 17, 1993 ORDER filed. And Now, November 12, 1993, the Prothonotary is directed to release to the Master in the above-mentioned case the funds, in the amount of $1,000.00, that are on deposit for the purpsoe of paying Master's fees and Court Reporting services.
By The Court:
Gordon R. Milelr, J.
Nov. 22, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. D. Ferguson, R. C. Thomas and J. Brautigam.

Continued on page 612

1988

Louis D. Musica

702.

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas Ferguson
for dft. J. Mohl

WILLIAM A. MOHL AND ETHEL K. MOHL, HIS WIFE

vs.

WILLIAM M. MOHL AND JUDITH K. MOHL, HIS WIFE

Filed Aug. 9, 1988
@ 2:12 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	29.12
Pro Co pd 10/10/88	9.00
Pro Co pd 8-18-89	5.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Aug. 19, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Judith K. Mohl personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on Aug. 16, 1988 at 11:45 am at her residence, R.D. #1,.Brown Rd., Guys Mills, Crawford Co. Pa.
SERVED William M. Mohl personally the within named defendant on Aug. 18, 1988 at 11:55 AM at the Sheriff's Office, Room 303 Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder,Dep. Sheriff
Catherine I. Allen, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 2, 1988, Appearance of Ferguson and Spataro, by Douglas W. Ferguson, attorneys for defendant Judith K. Mohl filed.

Sept. 2, 1988, Preliminary Objections to Plaintiffs Complaint filed by Defendant Judith K. Mohl, filed.

Sept. 22, 1988 Plaintiffs Answer To Preliminary Objections Of Defendant Judith K. Mohl filed.

And NOw, Oct. 10, 1988 upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney judgment and assess damages are entered in favor of plaintiffs William A. Mohl and Ethel K. MOhl, his wife, a gainst Defendant William M. Mohl for failure to file an Answer to the Complaint, which contained a NOtice to defend, within the required twenty days after he was served on August 18, 1988.
M-171 Judgment
judgment entered 10/10/88 James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Aug. 18, 1989 Satisfied judgment and discontinued action of record.

1988

Elderkin, Martin, Kelly
Messina & Zamboldi
Kenneth G. Vasil

703.

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY
800 Millcreek Mall
Erie, Pennsylvania

vs.

MARK S. VANDERVORT AND
PAULINE F. VANDERVORT,
HIS WIFE

Filed Aug. 9, 1988
@ 2:45 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	27.15
Pro Co Pd 9/22/88	6.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Sept. 13, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

Sept. 16, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Mark S. Vandervort on Sept. 13, 1988 at 4:54 p.m. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Pauline F. Vandervort, adult member of the family with whom he resides, namely his wife, at their residence, 520 Grant St., Saegertown, Crawford Co., PA. 16433.
SERVED Pauline F. Vandervort personally by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on Sept. 13, 1988 at 4:55 p.m. at her residence, 520 Grant St., Saegertown, Crawford Co., PA. 16433.
So answers,
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 20, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked discontinued of record, the defendants having filed a bankruptcy action at U. S. Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Pa.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin and Stack
James R. Irwin

704.

~~David Hotchkiss~~
~~John Brautigam~~
Barbara J. Walton

SHAWN ASHBAUGH

vs.

JACLYNN A. ASHBAUGH.

Filed Aug. 9, 1988
@ 3:42 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
2 counts paid	30.00 ✓
William Pineo, Mediator	
Mediation Costs	82.00
Pro Co Pd 3/1/89	9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 2-22-89	9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 2/1/91	9.00 ✓

3/8/89 Recd #41.00 from Plaintiff
Recd 4-3-89
#41.00
Vivian Stoltz

1/23/91 Recd #68.50 from Defendant

2/1/91 Recd #68.50 from Plaintiff
Recd 2-1-91
$68.50
Vivian Stoltz

Recd 3-1-91
$68.50
Vivian Stoltz

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DISTRIBUTION, DETERMINATION, CHILD CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 15, 1988 Verification filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, ESQUIRE, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for Shawn Ashbaugh, and that as such, I am authorizied to execute this Verification; that I did, on the 10th day of August, 1988, send by certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, Addressee ONly, to Jaclynn A. Ashbaugh, Defendant a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint and notice filed at the above term and number at her last known address of 180 Murray Rd., Lot 4-C, Meadville, PA-16335, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P-547-801-423 and that on the 15th day of August, 1988 I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 12th day of August, 1988. James R. Irwin

Dec 30, 1988 Motion to Appoint Mediator and Order filed: AND NOW, this 30th day of December, 1988, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the Mediator, William Pineo, at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse, with the Child Custody Mediator as required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania; it is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the Court, the children shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the Court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb. 13, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Feb. 13, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Feb. 15, 1989 Motion To Withdraw Count 2 of Divorce Complaint and Order filed. AND NOW, this 15th day of February, 1989, after presentation and consideration of the above mtoion, same is hereby granted, and the Prothonotary is hereby directed to mark Count 2 of this divorce action "withdrawn".

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Feb. 16, 1989 Copies of order to James Irwin

Feb. 17, 1989 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 17th day of February, 1989, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child, Andrea N. Ashbaugh.
2. Because this is a joint legal custody arrangement, the parties shall share with each other any and all information which they may have regarding Andrea's health, education, and the major events in her life and health care and school personallel are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding Andrea, except for that which she has legally requested be kept confidential from anyone.
3. The mother shall be the primary physical custodian.
4. The father shall have visitaiton with his daughter each Tuesday from 4:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m. and each Sunday from 1:00 p.m. to 7:30 p.m. For the weekend of February 18, 1989, this shall be modified so that the father shall have visitation from Saturday at 3:00 p.m. through Sunday at 7:30 p.m. Starting with the month of March, 1989, the weekend visitaitons shall be expanded so that on the first and thrid weekends of each month, the father shall have visitation from Saturday at 3:00 p.m. through Sunday at 7:30 p.m., in addition to the Wednesday and Sunday afternoon visits. Starting with the month of October, the father shall have visitation every weekend except for the last full weekend of every month from Friday at 5:00 p.m. through Sunday at 7:30 p.m. The Wednesday afternoon visitaitons shall continue but the Sunday afternoon visitations shall cease except where the father has weekend visitations.
5. The Christmas visitation periods shall be defined as beginning on Christmas Eve at 6:00 p.m. through Christmas Day at 10:00 a.m. and form Christmas Day at 10:00 a.m. through Christman Day at 7:30 p.m. For Christmas, 1989, the father shall have the first period and the parents shall thereafter alternate the two periods. The other major holidays are defined as Easter, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving, New Year's Day, and Andrea's birthday. The visitation periods shall begin at 9:00 a.m. and end at 7:30 p.m. The father shall have visitation with his daughter on Easter, 1989, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holidays. Holiday visitaiton shall take precedence over the other provisions of this Order relating to the custodial and visitation periods.
6. The father shall have two weeks each summer in which he has visitation and temporary physical custody. He shall give the mother one month's notice of the two weeks that he wishes to select.
7. It is anticipated that as Andrea grows older, adjustments shall have to be made and in any case, adjustments should be made if the parties find them convenient and proper. The parties are therefore free to make additional or alternative arrangements regarding visitation and custody so long as these are by mutual agreement.
8. Each party shall teach Andrea to give to the other party that love and respect w hich is due a parent from his or her children and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in Andrea's estimation.
9. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $82.00 are divided equally between the parties with the share of each being assessed to him or her as cosots in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.
10. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a peirod of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas J

Continued on Page 6-11

Feb. 21, 1989 Copies of order to James Irwin, David HOtchkiss, Defendant, Plaintiff and William Pineo

1988

Shafer, Swick Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
Louis J. Stack

705.

FARM CREDIT BANK OF BALTIMORE
FORMERLY KNOWN AS THE
FEDERAL LAND BANK OF BALTIMORE

vs.

MARLEN C. BRUMAGIN AND
LINDA K. BRUMAGIN,
HUSBAND AND WIFE

Filed Aug. 9, 1988
@ 4:13 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	29.20
Pro Co Pd. 11/1/88	9.00
Sat pd. 2/15/89	6.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

3 copies (atty)

Aug. 25, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Linda K. Brumagin personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on Aug. 18, 1988 at 3:48 p.m. at her residence, RD #1, Cochranton, Crawford Co. PA.

SERVED Marlen C. Brumagin within named defendant on Aug. 18, 1988 at 3:49 p.m. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Linda K. Brumagin, adult member of family, namely wife, at residence, RD #1, Cochranton, Crawford Co. PA.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Nov. 1, 1988, at 1:57 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants, for want of an answer, Judgment is hereby entered in sum of Sixteen Thousand Four Hundred Sixty Two, and 60/100 Dollars, iwth costs plus interest from July 27, 1988 at rate of 9.875%.

Judgment entered 11/1/88

B - 114, 104

Debt -----$16,462.60
Int. from July 27, 1188

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EXECUTION 1988 - 105

Feb. 14, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, this judgment is marked satisfied by reason of defendants compliance with Act 6 of 1974.

1988

Law Offices of
Harry L. Lentchner
Harry L. Lentchner

706.

MELLON BANK, N. A.

vs.

SAM NELSON AND DOROTHY M. NELSON

Filed Aug. 10, 1988
@ 10:39 a.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$ 15.00
Plff. on Orig.	61.25
Sheriff's Costs	21.00
Pro Co pd 9/21/88	9.00

PRAECIPE FOR WRIT OF REVIVAL

Writ of Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of Three Thousand Five Hundred Seventy Five and 33/100 Dollars, plus int. in the amount of Three Hundred Eighty Five and 85/100, total of $3,961.18.

Debt - - $3,575.33
Int. of 385.85
N - 151, 41 JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL
James F. Simmons, Pro

Issued (sheriff)

Aug. 25, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED Sam Nelson personally the within named defendant by handing a true cpy of the within Writ of Revival to him on August 18, 1988 at 1:55 PM at his place of residence, 1048 willow Place, Meadville, Crawford County, PA. Dorothy M. Nelson is deceased.
So answers, Edgar D. HOuseholder, deputy SHeriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Sept. 21, 1988 at 11:21 a.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the Defendant SAm Nelson only, for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at Three Thousand Nine Hundred Sixty-one and 18/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

N-151
judgment entered sept. 21, 1988
notice mailed to defendant 9/22/88

Debt. 3,961.18
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

707.

MARY ANN FERRIS

vs.

DONALD FERRIS

Filed Aug. 10, 1988
@ 11:29 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 3/1/89	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE,EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Aug 18, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: AND NOW, August 18th, 1988, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the Attorney for Mary Ann Ferris, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.

2. Than on information furnished to her by the Plaintiff, she cause a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P 401 440 888, postmarked August 10, 1988 to the last known address of the Defendant, Donald Ferris, R.D.#3, Box 105, Cambridge Springs, Pennsylvania 16403.

3. That the Defendant, Donald Ferris, on August 11, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

4. Service of the Complaint against the Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq.

Feb. 21, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 21, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 28, 1989, Motion to Withdraw Counts, and Order of Court filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 27 dayof February AD 1989 the within Motion is allowed.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb. 28, 1989, copy of order to Atty. Kirkpatrick.

Feb. 28, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Mar. 8, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, March 8, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that MARY ANN FERRIS, plaintiff, and DONALD FERRIS, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

708.

CAROL WILLIAMS

vs.

WAYNE E. BEISH

IN RE: KEVIN BEISH

Filed Aug. 10, 1988
@ 11:56 a.m.

Tax & entry $40.50
William Pineo, Mediator
Mediation Cost 62.00

1-13-89 Rec'd
$31.00 from defendant

Rec'd 3-1-89
$31.00
Vivian Stoltz

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:

AND NOW, August 10, 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing Complaint, the parties herein and their representative counsel are ordered to appear before WILLIAM PINEO, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR, at such time and place as the child custody mediator shall determine.

And further ordering, pending mediation, the child shall remain in the custody of plaintiff, and plaintiff shall be permitted to proceed without payment of costs and fees. Subject to future order.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 18, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: AND NOW, August 18th, 1988, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the Attorney for Carol Williams, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished to her by the Plaintiff, she cause a certified copy of the Complaint for Custody to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P 401 440 889, postmarked August 10, 1988, to the last known address of the Defendant, Wayne E. Beish, 125 Columbia Ave., Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335.

3. That the Defendant, Wayne E. Beish, on August 13, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which is affixed to the Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

4. Service of the Complaint against the Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire

Sept. 15, 1988 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 14 day of Sept. 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter an dupon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Physical and legal custody of the subject minor child, Kevin Beish is hereby awarded tohis mother, Carol Williams,

2. The father shall have the right of visitation with his son on alternate weekends, beginning with the weekend of Saturday, September 17, 1988 from Saturday at 9:30 a.m. to Sunday at 6:00 p.m. Starting with the weekend of October 14, he shallhave visitation with Kevin from Friday at 6:30 p.m. to Sunday at 6:30 p.m. This schedule is adopted because it coincises with the father's visitation schedule with his three other chidlren by his former wife, Melody Beish.

3. Major holidays are defined as Christmas, Thanksgiving, Easter, and Independence Day. There will be two alternative visitation perios for each day, the first being from 5;00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. on the evening previous to said holiday and the second from 9:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. on the holiday itself. For Thanksgiving, 1988, the father shall have visitation on the evening previous to Thanksgiving. He shall have visitation on Christmas day it self. For 1989, he shall have visitation on Easter Day, the evening previous to Independence Day, Thanksgiving Day, and on Christmas Eve. Thereafter, the two periods shall be alternated so that the father shallhave visitation on the evening of the holiday if his last visitationonthe day was suring the day. Visitation under this paragraph shall take precedence over th eother provisions of this Order respecting the visitation periods.

4. For the summer of 1989 and all years following, the father shall have visitation for at least one week during his vacation from work. The parties shall arrange for additional time if that is appropriate.

5. The parties may make additional or alternative arrangements regarding visitation and temporary physical custody for the fahter. such arrangements will be mutual consent, in the absence of which this order shall govern.

6. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have about Kevin's health, education and the major eventsin his life. Health care and school personnel are hereby authorized to disclose to either party any and all information which they may have about Kevin except that which hs has legally requested be kept confidential.

7. Each party shall teach Kevin to give to th eother party that love and respect which is due a parent formhis or her children and neither shall do or say anything to blakcen the character of the other in Kevin's estimation.

8. Neither party shallingest excessive amounts of alcohol nor be under the influence of alcohol nor shall either party use illegal drugs when he or she has the care and custody of the subject minor child. When transporting Kevin, each party shall take care that the laws of the Commonwealth of PA regarding seat belts are complied with.

9. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $62.00, are divided equally between the parties. the mother's share is assessed to Crawford County. The father's share is assessed to him as costs inthis case and he shall make payments to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

10. the mother had applied to the Court fo rpermission to proceed as an indigent person adnthe Court had previously granted an Order for herto proceed subject to further Order of the Court. The mother is hereby permitted to proceed without payment of costs and fees, including those related to the Mediation

11. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of Thirty (30) days fromdate hereof. If neither party so moves, this order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Sept. 20, 1988 Copies of order to Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Defendant, and William Pineo

1988

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas W. Ferguson

709.

Dale, Woodard, Montgomery, Greenfield & Pemrick
Raymond S. Woodard

LEWIS C. PORTER

vs.

NELLIS J. PORTER

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 13, 1988, Complaint Reinstated.

Sept. 30, 1988 Sheriff's Retur filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Rhonda L. Davis, Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says tha ton the 20th day of September, 1988, at 9:20 A.M., he served Notice to defend and Claim rights and Complaint in Divorce filed at No. AD 709, term, 1988, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Nellis J. Porter, in person at 1213 Chestnut Street, Franklin By making known the contents thereof to him and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy ofthe Complaint received from Howard N. Stewart, crawford County.

So answers, Rhonda L. Davis, Deputy Sheriff
Gene Price, Sheriff

Filed Aug. 10, 1988
@ 1:47 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	34.20
Pro Co pd 4/11/89	9.00
State pd 4/11/89	10.00

SERVED Nellis J. Porter within named defendant by deputizing the sheriff of Venango county,PA, as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 9-20-88

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 6, 1988, Answer to Complaint in Divorce filed.

Oct 6, 1988, Notice of Service of Interrogatories Under Pa. R.C.P. 4005 and Rule 1920.22 filed.

Oct. 17, 1988 Defendant's Request For Counseling, Certificate of Service and ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, this 17th day of October, 1988, the plaintiff and defendant are hereby ordered to participate in the maximum of three (3) counseling sessions with a qualified professional of their choice. The counseling sessions are to be completed within 90/120 days, as applicable, from the date of filing of the reinstated complaint, unless extended by the Court for cause shown. The counselor shall file a report with the court stating whether the parties did or did not attend.

The defendant, Nellie J. Porter, having informed the Court that she requests counseling and selects Michael D. Mercatoris, Ph.D., it is hereby ordered that the counseling be performed by Michael D. Mercatoris, Ph.D., at such times and at such locations that shall be determined.

By The Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Oct. 18, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Douglas Ferguson and Raymond Woodard.

Dec. 19, 1988 Defendant's Interrogatories to Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 31, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr. 3, 1989 Motion To Withdraw Defendant's Counterclaim and Order filed. AND NOW, this 31st day ofMarch 1989 said motion is: allowed.

Robert L. Walker, J

Apr. 4, 1989 Copies of order to Douglas Ferguson and Raymond Woodard

Apr. 10, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 10, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record - Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Apr. 24, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, April 24, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that LEWIS C. PORTER, plaintiff, and NELLIE J. PORTER, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

710.

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs
Christopher Youngs

MARGARET A. SMITH

vs.

DONALD L. SMITH

Filed Aug. 11, 1988
@ 1:14 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
State pd 3-9-93	10.00
Pro Co pd 3-9-93	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

2 copies (atty)

Aug. 11, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under seciton 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed. 2 copies (atty)

Aug. 26, 1988 Appearance of Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs, PC by Christopher J. Youngs for Defendant filed.

Aug. 31, 1988 Defendant's Section 201(d) Counter-affidavit filed.

Sept 16, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on August 17, 1988 mail to the above defendant, Donald L. Smith by certified mail #P-547 808 383, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint and Plaintiff's 201(d) affidavit in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Donald L. Smith on August 18, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Attorney for Plaintiff

Dec. 31, 1992 Motion to Dismiss Divorce Action for Lack of Prosecution and Rule to Show Cause filed. AND NOW, upon presentation of the foregoing Motion to Dismss Divorce Action for Lack of Prosecution, a Rule is hereby issued upon the Plaintiff,Margaret A. Smith, to appear and show cause, if any, why the above-captioned divorce and custody action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution.

This Rule shallbe returnable and a hearing held thereon on February 24, 1993, at 10:30 o'clock a.m., Courtroom No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335.

The Plaintiff, Margaret A. Smith is ORDERED AND DIRECTED to appear at said hearing.

By the Court,
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

Jan. 4, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Joan D. Mason & Christopher Youngs

Feb. 24, 1993, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Mar 2, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Mar. 4, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Feb. 24, 1993, at the time fixed for hearing on the Defendant's motion to dismiss for lack of prosecution the Defendant appeared with counsel. The Plaintiff did not appear, but her counsel was present and counsel agreed to a resolution of this matter. Based upon the agreement of counsel and the parties, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The parties will both sign and file affidavits of consent consenting to the entry of a no-fault divorce. Those consents shall be filed with the Prothonotary no later than 4:30 P.M. on Wednesday, March 3, 1993.

2. The Plaintiff shall file a praecipe to refer the record to the Court for the entry of a final Divorce Decree no later than 4:30 P.M. on Wednesday, March 3, 1993.

3. The Plaintiff through her counsel had made an oral motion for leave to withdraw the custody count which the Defendant does not oppose, and therefore, leave is granted and the custody count is withdrawn leaving only the count in divorce remaining to be resolved by this Court.

4. The Court notes but does not order, since there is not a count for equitable distribution of marital property, that the Defendant agrees to sign the title to a mobile home over to the Plaintiff and deliver it to her or her attorney at the time the divorce Decree is entered.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar. 5, 1993 copies given to J. Mason & C. Youngs

Mar. 9, 1993 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

March 15, 1993 AND NOW, March 12, 1993, it is ordered and decreed that **MARGARET A. SMITH**, plaintiff, and **DONALD L. SMITH**, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

711.

DELORIS M. BUSSARD
ALSO KNOWN AS
DELORIS M. BURKE

vs.

EMERSON BUSSARD

Filed Aug. 11, 1988
@ 2:30 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 9/23/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 11, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed. 1 copy (atty)

August 18, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed.
And NOw, August 18th, 1988 comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, esq. Being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sers forth as follows:
1. That she is the Atty for Deloris M. Bussard, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished to her by the plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by certified mail NO. P 401 440 890, postmarked August 11, 1988 to the last known address of the defendant, Emerson Bussard, 218 Kearney Ave, Meadville, PA. 16335.
3. That the Deffendant, Emmerson Bussard, on August 13, 1988 personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the Above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
4. Service of the Complaint against the Defendant is therefore Effected in Accordance with PA Rules of Civil Procedure.
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq.

Sept. 22, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. And Now, september 21, 1988, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:
1. That she is the Attorney for deloris M. Bussard, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished to her by the P laintiff, she caused a certified copy ofthe 201(d) Affidavit to be forwarded by certified Mail No. P 401 440 890, postmarked August 11, 1988, to the last known address of the Defendant, Emerson Bussard, 218 Kearney Ave., Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335.
3. That the Defendant, Emerson Bussard on August 13, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Affidavit in the above matter and a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhitib "A".
4. Service of the Affidavit against the defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

Sept. 22, 1988 UPon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Oct. 6, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, October 6, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

DELORIS M. BUSSARD, a/k/a DELORIS M. BURKE and EMERSON BUSSARD

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

William J. Gregg

712.

DEBORAH CONTI

vs.

MARIO E. CONTI

Filed Aug. 12, 1988
@ 9:09 a.m.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT UNDER 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 12, 1988, Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 12th day of August 1988, upon consideration of the within Petition, it is hereby ordered that leave is granted for the Petitioner, to proceed in Forma Pauperis, reserving to the court the right to assess costs if appropriate. Robert L. Walker, J.

August 17, 1988 Consent to Venue filed.

August 17, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Wm. J Gregg, ESq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned Action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce was sent by registered mail to the defendant on 8/12/88.
3. The registered mail was sent to Mr. Mario E. Conti, RD #2 Painter tWon Road, Irwin, Westmorland Co., PA 15642.
4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on 8/15/88. Said receipt card number P 725-346-252 is sttached hereto and signed by Mario Conti.

William Gregg, Esq.

Nov. 22, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 22, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Nov. 22, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Dec. 6, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED**

AND NOW, December 5, 1988, it is hereby ordered , adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

DEBORAH CONTI and MARIO E. CONTI

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

1988

Gerald Sullivan
Legal Services

713.

ROBIN ALLEN

vs.

CHARLES LEE CALVERT, JR.

Filed Aug. 12, 1988
@ 10:23 a.m.

Tax & entry	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	30.00
Sheriff's Costs	22.15

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE

6 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 12 day of August 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 23rd day of August, 1988, at 1:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford CountyCourthouse in Meadville, Pa.

The defendant is directed to refrain from abusing and/or threatening to abuse, or harassing the plaintiff.

The defendant shall not go to the plaintiff's residence nor shall the defendant contact the plaintiff at any time or place pending the hearing scheduled above.

The Crawford County Sheriff Dept. is directed to serve a cert. copy of this petition and order upon the defendant. In the alternative, this petition and order may be served by any competent adult.

The Conneaut Lake Police Dept. or the State Police of the Com. of Pennsylvania are directed to enforce this order.

This petition and order shall be filed and served without payment of costs; the court shall determine at the hearing if the defendant shall be required to pay the costs.

The defendant is hereby advised of his right to be represented by counsel, and he is notified that his failure to attend the hearing s cheduled above may have serious consequences.

The defendant shaould take this paper to his lawyer at once. If he does not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, he should go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where he can get legal help.

Crawford County BAr Association, Service to the Public Committee, c/o Prothonotary Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335. (814) 724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 23, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Charles Lee Calvert, Jr. personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Order and Petition for Protection From Abuse to him on August 17, 1988 at 4:05 PM at his residence, R.D. #3, Linesville, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers,

E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 24, 1988Order filed: AND NOW , August 23, 1988 , at the time fixed for hearing, both parties are in open court and have agreed to the entry of the following Order without any admission or denial of any of the facts contained in the petitiion:

1. The Defendant shall not abuse, harass or threaten to abuse or harass the Plaintiff at any place.
2. The Defendant shall not go to the residence of the Plaintiff for any purpose.
3. The Defendant shall not initiate any contact of any kind with the Plaintiff.
4. This Order shall continue in full force and effect for a period of one year from this date.
5. The record costs in this matter shall be paid by Crawford County, since both parties are indigent.
6. Any police officer having jurisdiction in this matter shall have the right to enforce this Order in accordance with the Protection From Abuse Act.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 26, 1988 Copies of order given to Gerald Sullivan, Esq. and Charles Calvert, Jr.,dft.

Apr. 12, 1989 Petition for Contempt Under the Petition From Abuse Act and Order filed.

ORDER FOR CONTEMPT HEARING: AND NOW, this 12 dayof April 1989, upon consideration of the within Petition for Contempt, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 17 day of April, 1989, at 1:30 p.m in Courtroom No. 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, pa.

The Respondent is directed to refrain from abusing and/or threatening to abuse, or harassing the Petitioner.

The Respondent is excluded immediately and forthwith from the Petitioner's residence which is located at 994 Market St. Meadville, Pa. pending the hearing s cheduled above.

The Crawford County Sheriff Dept. is directed to serve a cert. copy of this Petition and Order upon the respondent. In the alternative, this Petition and order may be served by any competent adult or by cert. mail.

The City of Meadville Police Department and/or any police dept. in this matter are directed to enforce this order and to prevent the return of the defendant to the aforesaid premises by by any appropriate means.

This petition and order shall be filed and served without payment of costs; the court shall determine at the hearing if the defendant shall be required to pay the costs.

The respondent is hereby advised of his right to be represented by counsel, and he is notified that his failure to attend the hearing sc heduled above may have serious legal consequences

The respondent should take this paper to his lawyer at once. If he does not have a lawyer, or cannot afford one, he should go to or telephone the office set forth below tofind out where he can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar association, Ser. to the Publ Committee c/o Prothonotary, Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335 814 724-4636.

(6 copies -atty)

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Continued on page 613

1988

Shafer, Swick Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Charles J. Swick

716.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

LESLIE D. WARREN AND KELLY J. WARREN

Filed Aug. 12, 1988
@ 12:05 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Pro Co Pd 12-2-88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

1 copy (sheriff
2 copies (atty)

Aug. 25, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. No one found in possession of property at R.D.#8, Parklane Drive, Meadville, Crawford County, PA House is vacant.
So answers Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 12, 1988 Petition For Service Pursuant To Rule 430 Of The Pennsylvania Rules Of Civil Procedure and Order filed. AND NOW, this 12th day of October, 198,pursuant to Rule 430 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure an order is hereby entered permitting service of process upon the Defendants in the above captioned mortgage foreclosure by publication. Said service of process by publication shall be by advertising once in the Crawford County Legal Journal and once in the Meadville tribune. P. Richard Thomas,J
Oct. 12, 1988 copy of order to Charles Swick

AND NOW, Dec 2, 1988 @ 2:36 P.M., Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants, in the above captioned case in the amount of Fifty Thousand Four Hundred Sixty and 15/100 Dollars with interest from May 5, 1988.
W-104, 104

Debt.....$50,460.15 + interest
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX 1988-113

1988

Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman, Berlin, May Walsh and Brabender Stephen Hutzelman 715. Ferguson & Spataro John F. Spataro	BENEFICIAL CONSUMER DISCOUNT COMPANY vs. ROBERT JENKINS AND ERNESTINE JENKINS Filed Aug. 12, 1988 @ 10:44 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 Sheriff's Costs 24.00	COMPLAINT 2 copies (sheriff) Aug. 17, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Robert Jenkins personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on August 12, 1988 at 3:25 PM at his residence 992 Burns AVenue, Meadville, Crawford County, PA. SERVED Ernestine Jenkins within named defendant on August 12, 1988 at 3:26 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Robert Jenkins, adult member of the family with whom she resides, namely her husband at their residence, 992 Burns aVenue, Meadville, Crawford County, PA. So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff Howard N. STewart, Sheriff Aug. 26, 1988 Answer To Complaint and New Matter filed. Sept. 13, 1988 Reply To New Matter filed. Oct. 6, 1988, Notice of Filing Interrogatories filed.

1988

Shafer, Swick Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Charles J. Swick

716.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

LESLIE D. WARREN AND KELLY J. WARREN

Filed Aug. 12, 1988
@ 12:05 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Pro Co Pd 12-2-88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

1 copy (sheriff
2 copies (atty)

Aug. 25, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. No one found in possession of property at R.D.#8, Parklane Drive, Meadville, Crawford County, PA House is vacant.
So answers Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 12, 1988 Petition For Service Pursuant To Rule 430 Of The Pennsylvania Rules Of Civil Procedure and Order filed. AND NOW, this 12th day of October, 198,pursuant to Rule 430 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure an order is hereby entered permitting service of process upon the Defendants in the above captioned mortgage foreclosure by publication. Said service of process by publication shall be by advertising once in the Crawford County Legal Journal and once in the Meadville tribune. P. Richard Thomas,J
Oct. 12, 1988 copy of order to Charles Swick

AND NOW, Dec 2, 1988 @ 2:36 P.M., Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants, in the above captioned case in the amount of Fifty Thousand Four Hundred Sixty and 15/100 Dollars with interest from May 5, 1988.
W-104, 104

Debt.....$50,460.15 + interest
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX 1988-113

1988

Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman,
Berlin, May Walsh Brabender
Stephen H. Hutzelman

717.

ASSOCIATES CONSUMER
DISCOUNT COMPANY

vs.

PERCY DEROUCHIE

Filed Aug. 12, 1988
@ 12:13 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Pro Co pd 10/3/88	9.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Aug. 25, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Percy Derouchie within named defendant on August 18 1988 at 2:02 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Raymond Derouchie, adult member of the family, namely his son, at their residence, 774 Stewart Street, Meadville, Crawford County,PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Oct. 3, 1988 at 1:00 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor ofthe plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Five Thousand Four Hundred Thirty One and 17/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

D-134 Debt $5,431.17
judgmented entered
10/3/88

Int. from August, 1988
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

MacDonald, Illig, Jones
& Britton
Dale E. Huntley

718.

JEFFREY CALDWELL

vs.

SHERRY UREY, NOW BY MARRIAGE
CHERRY CALDWELL

Filed Aug. 12, 1988
@ 12:47 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
1 count Pd 8-17-88- 15.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 9/7/89 9.00 ✓
State pd 9/7/89 10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

August 17, 1988 Verification to Complaint in Divorce filed.

Oct. 11, 1988, Acceptance of Service filed. I, Sherry Urey, now by marriage Sherry Caldwell, do hereby acknowledge receipt of and accept service of the complaint in divorce this 28 day of Dept. 1988. Sherry Caldwell

Sept. 7, 1989 Amended Acceptance of Service filed. I, Sherry Urey, now by marriage Sherry Caldwell, do hereby acknowledge receipt of and accept service of the Complaint in Divorce this 20th day of August, 1988. Sherry Caldwell

Sept. 7, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Jeffery Caldwell filed.

Sept. 7, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Sherry Caldwell filed.

Sept. 7, 1989 UPon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Sept. 18, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, September 18, 1989, this matter having been referred to the Court for entry of a decree of divorce, it is noted that the Plaintiff's Affidavit of Consent is dated February 14, 1989, but not filed with the Prothonotary until September 7, 1989 and thus was not executed within thirty (30) days of the date the affidavit was filed in accordance with Pa.R.C.P. 1920.42, this case is returned to the Prothonotary until further action is taken.

The Court also notes that the preposed decree of divorce incorporates an agreement between the parties as to other matters, and the Plaintiff is advised that this Court does not incorporate such agreements by reference but requires that the decree set forth with specificity those items out of the agreement which are to be included in the divorce decree and couched in the language of a decree.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Sept. 19, 1989 Copy of order mailed to Dale Huntley

Oct. 27, 1989 Amended Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 9, 1989 **DECREE OF DIVORCE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 9th day of November, 1989, this divorce action was heard on the Complaint filed August 12, 1988 under the Divorce Code, Act 26 of 1980, Section 201(c). In consideration of the said Complaint, and the Affidavits of Consent of plaintiff and defendant, and it being found by the Court that the facts set forth that the marriage is irretrievably broken are true, the Court hereby enters the following Order:

1. That plaintiff JEFFREY CALDWELL shall be and is hereby divorced and forever separated from the nuptial ties or bonds of marriage heretofore existing between JEFFERY CALDWELL and SHERRY UREY, a/k/a SHERRY CALDWELL and that all and every duty, right and claim accruing to either of said parties in pursuance of said marriage shall and does cease and the parties shall be severally at liberty to marry again in like manner as though they had never been married.
2. It is further ordered that SHERRY UREY, a/k/a SHERRY CALDWELL shall no claim to the contingent personal injury claim of JEFFERY CALDWELL arising out of an accident occurring on or about July 3, 1985;
3. That JEFFERY CALDWELL and SHERRY UREY, a/k/a SHERRY CALDWELL, having previously divided any and all personal property between them, shall relinquish any and all further claims to equitable distribution of the property so divided.

BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Wayne H. Hundertmark

719.

Joseph C. Barnhart

11/16/88 Recd. # 36.03 from Defendant

Recd 12-30-88
#36.02
Vivian Stoltz

2/23/90 Recd. # 36.03 from Plaintiff

Recd 3-1-90
#36.03
Vivian Stoltz

DAVID J. SMITHERS

vs.

SALLY J. SMITHERS

Filed Aug. 12, 1988
@ 1:54 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓
Bruce Smith, Mediator 72.05

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

1 copy (mediator)

Aug. 12, 1988, ORDER OF COURT: You, Sally J. Smithers, defendant have been sued in court to obtain custody of the children: Duane Smithers, Jeremy Smithers and David Smithers.

You are ordered to appear in person at a time and place fixed by the mediator. BRUCE SMITH, ESQ. is appointed mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you for the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legalhelp.

Judge's Sec. Crawford CountyCourthouse, Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J.

Oct. 6, 1988 TEMPORARY ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, this 6th day of Oct., 1988, after Mediation and upon recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Duane Smithers, age ten, born 08-10-78, Jeremy Smithers, age seven, born 4-9-81, and David Smithers, age five, born 4-14-83, the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of the minor children. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitle both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the children held by a third party, including, but not limited to, school and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to the educational and religious upbringing of the children, as well as to elective surgery.

2. The primary physical custody of the children shall remain with the natural mother, Sally J. Smithers. Regular visitation and temporary custody of the children shall be granted to the plaintiff father, David J. Smithers. Physical custody of the children by the plaintiff father shall be as follows:

a) The father shall have the right to take the children into his temporary custody between the hours of 5:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Friday, through 7:00 p.m., prevailing time, in Sunday of the second and fourth weekends of each month, beginning with October, 1988.

b) The father shall pick up the children on Friday at the mother's residence, and the mother shall pick up the children on Sunday at the father's residence. Failure of the father to pick up the children within one hour of his scheduled pick up time on the Fridays of his weekends shall entitle the mother to treat the visitation as waived by the father.

c) During the summers of each year, beginning in 1989, the father shall have the right to take the children into his temporary custody one time beginning 5:00 p.m. on Friday, extending through 7:00 p.m. on Sunday, a week following, sometime duirng the months of June, July, and August. He shall give the mother written notice of what that time period will be on or before May 1 of each year, beginning in 1989. During that time period, the mother shall have no right to temporary visitation with the children.

d) Holiday visitation shall tke priority over other scheduled visitation. The parties are encouraged to agree to a holiday visitation schedule; however, in the event they cannot, during odd numbered years, beginning in 1989, the mother shall have the children on New Year's Day, Memorial Day, and Labor Day, and the father shall have the children on Easter Day, the Fourth of July, and Thanksgiving Day. These days shall begin at 9:00 a.m. and end at 7:00 p.m., prevailing time. These days shall be reversed between the parents in even numbered years. With regard to Christmas each year, the children shall spend Christmas Day with the mother. The children shall spend the day before Christmas, December 24, from noon until 10:00 p.m., prevailing time, with the father.

3. The parent having custody of the chidren at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of injury or illness requiring medical treatment of the children.

4. Neither parent shall take the children into any environment which might be commonly though of as detrimental to their development or to the development of their character or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to their physical persons.

5. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the children; and, if the parties mutually agree to an alternate arrangement to accommodate themselves or the children's schedules, they may adjust the physical custody arrangement accordingly, and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

6. Each parent will teach the children to give to the other parent that love and respect due a parent, and neither parent, nor any of the grandparents, will do anything to blacken the character of the other parent in the eyes of the children.

7. While the children are in the custody and control of either parent, that parent shall not ingest or consume any mind-altering substances, including alcohol. Moderate amounts of alcohol in a social setting may be ingested, but intoxication from any substance is prohibited.

8. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request an additional Mediation Conference if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the children. For the purposes of this paragraph, an excessive use of force in discipline or otherwise by either parent toward the children shall be considered a changed circumstance, entitling either party to a review of the visitation provisions.

9. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford Co. PA. shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either parent or any of the children reside in the Court's jurisdiction and for at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

10. The fee for the Child Custody Mediator shall be paid 50% by the mother and 50% by the father.

11. This Order shall be a Temporary Order but shall become a permanent Order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty days from the date of this Temporary Order.

By The Court:
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Oct. 11, 1988 Copy of Order to Atty. Wayne Hundertmark and Bruce Smith, Joseph C. Barnhart.

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Services

720.

DEBRA DOUGLAS

vs.

DONALD DOUGLAS

Filed Aug. 15, 1988
@ 9:44 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
John Fuller, Mediator	
Pro Co pd 5/17/89	9.00
State pd 5/17/89	10.00
Pro Co Pd 1/23/90	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

2 copies (atty)

Aug. 15, 1988, Application for Special Relief and Order filed.
ORDER OF COURT:
AND NOW, this 15th day of August 1988, upon carefulconsideration of the within Application for Special Relief presented by the plaitniff, it is hereby ordered that Debra Douglas is granted temporary physical cusotdy of the minor children, DonaLD AND Everett Douglas, subject to defendant's reasonable visitation rights which shall be established by mutual agreement of the parties. The minor children shall not be removed from the Com. of Pa. This order shall remain in full force and effect until a permanent Custody order is entered in this case.
3 copies (atty) BY THE COURT,
(JOHN FULLER appointed mediator) Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 29, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, BRIAN J. LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that i am the attorney of record for DEbra Douglas, at that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did on the 22nd day of August, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee ONly, Return Receipt Requested a true and correct copy of the NOtice to Defend and Claim Rights, the complaint, Order of Court, and Application for Special Relief to Defendant, Donald Douglas, at his last known address of R.D. #1, Box 311A, Utica, Pennsylvania 16363, as evidenced by the attached sende's receipt No. P446 365 375 and that onthe 25th day of August, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 24th day of August. 1988.
I verify that the statements made inthis AFfidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
DAted 8/29/88 Brian J. Lindsay

RECORD CONTINUED.....

Jan. 23, 1990 Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name filed.
TO BE KNOWN AS:
DEBRA SUE GREEN

Dec. 8, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, December 8, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire and based upon an agreement of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Donald William Douglas and Everett Gene Douglas shall be shared between the mother, Debra Douglas and the father, Donald Douglas, that is,each parent shall be entitled full access to medical records, school records and other important records, school records and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take par t in decisions affecting the children's education, religious instruction, medical treatment and other such important decisions.

II. Physical custody of Donald William Douglas and Everett Gene Douglas shall be with their mother, Debra Douglas, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody every other weekend, beginning De cember 2, 1988 from 3:30 p.m. on Friday until 5:00 p.m. on Sunday. There may be slight differences in the pick-up time on Friday afternoon and the father shall endeavor to keep t;he mother notified if he is unable to pick up the children at 3:30p.m.

B. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody every other Sunday afternoon beginning December 11, 1988 from 12:30 p.m. until 5:30 p.m.

C. The father shall provide transportation for the children to and from the home of the mother on weekend and Sunday visits.

D. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas and Thanksgiving. The children shall be in the home of the mother every Christmas Day in the morning and with the father every Christmas Day from 12:00 Noon until 5:00 p.m. The children shall be in the home of the mother every Thandsgiving Day until 2:00 p.m. and in the home of the father every Thanksgiving Day from 2:00 p.m. until 7:00 p.m.

E. Provided the father's work schedule permits, the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody from 5:00 p.m. on December 26th each year until 5:00 p.m. on December 30th each year. The balance of the children's Christmas vacation from school shall be spent with the mother even through such balance of the vacation may fall on a weekend.

F. Beginning with the Summer of 1989 the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody for two one (1) week periods. The father shall give the mother at least thirty (30) days notice of the two one (1) week periods in which he intends to exercise a Summer custody visitation. The two one (1) week periods need not be consecutive.

G. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

H. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

I. Bothparents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding one or both of the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 12, 1988 copies of order to plaintiff and defendant; and Brian Lindsay and John Fuller, esqs.

Mar. 22, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

May 8, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 17, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court..

May 26, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, May 26, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that DEBRA DOUGLAS, Plaintiff, and DONALD DOUGLAS, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

RECORD CONTINUED ON MARGIN

Continued on page 628

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso and Gamble
Victor J. Kinnunen

721.

DENNIS R. NEESE

vs.

NANCY B. NEESE

Filed Aug. 15, 1988
@ 10:15 a.m.

Tax & entry PAID	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co. PD 8/23/89	9.00
State Surcharge Pd.	10.00
Pro Pd. 9/22/89	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

May 11, 1989 Affidavit of Mailing Complaint Under Pa. R.C.P. No. 1124(b) filed: VICTOR J. KINNUNEN, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and that on August 16, 1988, he sent a copy of the Complaint in Divorce to the Defendant by certified mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to P.O. Box 71, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335, the Defendant's last known address. Said receipt, signed by Defendant, is attached hereto, indicating receipt on August 17, 1988.
Victor J. Kinnunen

August 23, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

August 23, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

August 23, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, For Review under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Sept. 1, 1989 Domestic Relations Order With Immediate Distribution filed.

1. This Order shall apply to the Cyclops Profit Sharing Invenstment Plan sponsored by Cyclops Corporation (the "Plan") and shall directed to the Profit Sharing Committee, Cyclops Corporation, 650 Washington Road, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 16228, as the Plan Administrator of the Plan.
2. The Participant covered by this Order is Dennis R. Neese, R.D.2, Box 218B, Guys Mills, Pennsylvania 16327, Socail Security No. 204-46-0971.
3. The Alternative Payee covered by this Order is Nancy B. Neese, P.O. Box 71, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335, Social Security No. 167-38-6647.
4. This Order shall apply to Six thousant tive hundred ($6,500.00) dollars of the Participant's Account Balance in the Plan without interest or accrual of any income thereon, determined as of the last business day of June, 1989, and as valued on such date (the "Alternate Payee Benefit"). The balance, if any, of the Participant's Account balance as of such date shall remain the sole property of the Participant and shall not be subject to this Order
5. This Order shall not apply to any Participant Contribution or Company Contribution made to the Plan based upon any compensation paid to the Participant by Cyclops Corporation after the last day of June, 1989.
6. The Plan shall pay the Alternative Payee Benefit to the Alternative Payee in a single lump-sum cash payment as soon as practical after this Order is received by the Plan Administrator in accordance with the provisions of Article XII of the Plan as thought the Participant had terminated employment with Cyclops Corporation on the date this Order is received by the Plan Administrator; provided, however, that the lupm=sum cash payment will never be pa id to the Alternative Payee until the Alternative Payee Benefit is fully vested.
7. The value of the Alternative Payee Benefit shall be determined as of the last Plan Valuation Date prior to the date of distirbution under (b) above.
8. The Alternative Payee irrevocably waives the right to be designated as the benefiticary to receive the Participant's death benefits, if any, provided under the Plan after reduction for the Alternative Payee Benefit.
9. The Alternative Payee Benefit shall be paid pro rata from each Investment fund in which the Participant's total individual Account under the Plan is invested as of the last Plan Valuation Date prior to the date of distribution under (6) above.
10. The Participant and Alternative Payee shall properly notify the Plan Administrator of any change in the respective addresses.
11. The Participant and Alternative Payee shall submit this Order to the Plan Administrator for its determination that this Order constitutes a Qualified Domestic RElations Order as defined in Section 414(0) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.
12. It is intended that this Order shall constitute a Qualified Domestic Relations Order and the Court retains jurisdiction to amend this Order as may be necessary to establish or maintain it as a Qualified Domestic Relations Order.

SO ORDERED this 1st day of Sept., 1989. BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J

Sept. 5, 1989 Copy of order mailed to Victor Kinnunen and a copy put in William Walker's box.

Record Continued.....

Sept., 22, 1989, Notice of Intention To Resume Prior Name filed.
To BE KNOWN AS: Nancy Lynn Barrows

Sept. 1, 1989 STipulation and Order filed. and now, this 1st day of Sept., 1989, upon stipulation of the parties, it is hereby ordered as follows:

1. The residence real estate jointly owned by the parties and located in Troy Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, where Husband presently resides, shall be transfered by general warranty deed from Wife to Husband. Husband shall indemnify and hold Wife harmless both for himself, his heirs, executors, administrators, assigns, from any and all outstanding obligations on said premises, including but not limited to the liability on the existing mortgage or mortgages presently encumberling said premises.
2. Husband shallimmediately transfer to Wife all IRAs which are presently existing in his name and in the full amount with said accounts contained at the separationof the parties, together with any increased value, and without any deductions since the date of separation, having an approximate value of $8,440.00.
3. Husband shall transfer to Wife Six thousand five hundred ($6,500.00) dollars in additional IRAs as a rollover from Husband's profit sharing plan, as more particularly set forth in the Domestic Relations Order with Immediate Distribution being submitted simultaneously herewith.
4. Husband shall immediately execute a Six thousand five hundred ($6,500.00) dollar judgment note in favor of Wife, payable in full on or before April 1, 1990, together with interest beginning June 1, 1989, at the rate of eight and one-half (8-1/2%) percent per annum conpounded monthly, and further providing that in the event the not is not paid in full or or before April 1, 1990, interest shall be calculated at the rate of ten (10%) percent per annum, compounded monthly, from and after April 1, 1990.
5. Other than as provided in the qualified Domestic Relations Order which accompanies this Order Wife shall have no claim upon Husband's pension and/or profit sharing investment plan, whether as a result of his employment by his current employer, Cyclops Corporation, or by any previous or future employer.
6. Niether party is entitled to alimony, alimony pendente lite, counsel fees, costs, or expenses.
7. If either party breaches any provisions of this Order, the other party shall have the right to sue for damages for such breach and/or for specific enforcement of the provisions of the Divorce Code of 1980, as amended, and the breaching party shall be responsible for all legal fees and costs incurred by the other in enforcing rights under this agreement and.or inseeking such other remedies or releif as may be available. Robert L. Walker, J

Sept. 5, 1989 Copy of order mailed to Victor Kinnunen and a copy put in William Walker's box.

Sept. 1, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**
And now, September 1, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that DENNIS R. NEESE, Plaintiff, and NANCY B. NEESE, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
For further provisions, see Order of September 1, 1989 filed contemporaneously herewith.
BY THE COURT, Robert L. Walker, J

(Continued on Margin)

1988

James R. Irwin
Shafer, swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack

722.

JULIA W. MARIN

vs.

TERRY ELIAS MARIN

Filed Aug. 15, 1988
@ 1:59 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
3 counts paid	45.00
Pro Co Pd 2/14/89	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LIST, CHILD CUSTODY & SUPPORT

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 29, 1988 Verification filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, ESQUIRE, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for Plaintiff and that as such, I am authorized to execute this Verification; that I did on the 19th day of August send by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, Addressee Only, to Defendant a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint filed at the above term and number at his last known address of ACO 307th SIG BN, APO, SF 96460, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 547 801 426 and tha ton the 19th day of September, 1988 I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 12th day of September, 1988. James R. Irwin

Oct. 18, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, October 18, 1988, the letter and affidavit addressed to the Prothonotary of this court from 1st Lieutenant Joseph H. Schafer, the defendant's commanding is directed to be filed with the records in this case, and the plaintiff is advised that strict compliance with the Soldiers and Sailors Civil Relief Act will be required before an ultimate decree is granted. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Oct. 18, 1988, copies to James R. Irwin; copy to Commanding Officer of defendant, by Judges Secretary.

Dec. 21, 1988, Defendant's Affidavit of Military Service filed.

Dec. 21, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Dec. 29, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Feb. 13, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Mar. 6, 1989 **DECREE FILED.**

1. Divorce: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bond sof matrimony to the above named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and Ninety (90) days have elpased form the date of the filing of the Complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. Equitable Property Determination and Distribution: This Decree provies that the marital property of the above named parties shall be divided in accordance with a Separation and Property Settlement Agreement dated July 11, 1988, executed by the parties and filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania at the above captioned number and term.

This Agreement, in part, provides:

a. Plaintiff shall receive fifty (50%) percent of Defendant's military retirement pay upon Defendant retiring from the military service. This shall continue until the death of the Plaintiff.

b. Defendant accepts total responsibility for, and agrees to pay, all outstanding debts for GMAC, JC Penney, Sears, Lane Bryant, Fingerhus, MasterCard, Beneficial and ITT Finance.

c. Plaintiff shall be the sole and exclusive owner of the car, furniture and all household items presently in her possession.

d. Defendant shall be the sole and exclusive owner of a certain Pioneer stereo system.

Further, the division of marital owned personal property shall be made in accordance with Sections 2 through 4 of the Separation and Property Settlement Agreement dated July 11, 1988 as aforesaid.

3. Child Support: This Decree provides that the Husband shall pay to the wife the for support, maintenance and education of their minor children, the sum of Two Hundred Fifty ($250.00) Dolalrs per child, per month, payable in advance, onthe first day of eachminth, commencing March 31, 1988 until said children reach the age of eighteen (18) years, marries, dies, or is otherwise emancipated, as is set forth in Paragraph 6 of the aforesaid agreement. Husband agrees to abide by the other provisions relating to said children as contained in the aforesaid Separation and Property Settlement Agreement dated July 11, 1988.

4. Child Visitation: This Decree provides that Plaintiff shall have sole custody and control of the minor children, subject to reasonable visitaiton rights vested in the Defendant. The Defendant shall exercise visitation rights by notifying the Plaintiff in writing of his intention to do so at least fourteen (14) days in advance, as is set forth more fully under Paragraph 6 of the aforesaid Agreement.

5. Alimony: PUrsuant to paragraph 5 of the aforesaid Agreement, Husband agrees to pay to Wife the sum of Two Hundred Twenty-Five ($225.00) Dollars per month, commencing March 31, 1988 until January 31, 1990 or until her death, whichever occurs first.

6. A Copy of the Separation and Property Settlement Agreement ofthe parties, dated, which sets out in further detail the agreement of the parties concerning the matters herein, shall be filed with this Decree inthe Prothonotary's Office at the above number and term.

7. No other matters or causes of action provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code are reserved by either ofthe parties

This Order shall be effective as of this 6th day of March, 1989. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

James R. Irwin
Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack

723.

ROBERT KING

vs.

KATHRYN G. KING

Filed Aug. 15, 1988
@ 1:59 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
3 counts pd 7-27-90	45.00
State pd 7-27-90	15.00
pro co Pd 11 /27/91	9.00
state paid 11/27/91	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 23, 1988 I, JAMES R. IRWIN, ESQUIRE, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of rec ord for Plaintiff and that as such I am authorized to execute this Verification; that I did, on the 17th day of August, 1988 send by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested , Addressee Only, to the Defendant, Kathryn G. King a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint filed at the above term and number at her last known address of 510 Hollis Rd., Meadville, Pa. 16335,as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt no. P-547-801-425 and that on the 19th day of August, 1988 I did receive the duly exe cuted return receipt dated the 18th day of August, 1988.

James R. Irwin, Esquire

June 15, 1990, Plaintiff's Income and Expense Statement filed.

July 10, 1990 Inventory and Appraisement Of Robert King filed.

July 10, 1990 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, filed.

July 27, 1990 Answer and Counterclaim filed. (Equitable Distribution, , Alimony Pendente Lite/Alimony and Counsel Fees, Costs and Expenses)

Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Sept. 6, 1990 Motion For Appointment Of Master In Divorce and Order filed. AND NOW, September 6, 1990, William Walker, Esquire is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Divorce, Alimony, Alimony Pendente Lite, Equitable Distribution, Counsel Fees, Costs & Expenses
Deposit required: By Plaintiff $600; By Defendant $200

per curiam,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Sept. 13, 1990 Copies of order put in James Irwin and William Walker's box.

Nov. 7, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

NOv. 25, 1991 SEPARATION AND PROPERTY SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT filed.

Nov. 25, 1991 UPon praecipe of plaintif f attorney, to transmit the record this case moved to court.

Dec. 4, 1991 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

1. Divorce: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the abovecaptioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce form the bonds of matrimony to the abovenamed partie on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and Ninety (90) Days have elapsed from the date of filing of the Complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents tothe entry of a divorce decree, pursuant to Section 3301(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. Equitable Property Determination and distribution: It is ordered and decreed that the marital property of the abovenamed parties shall be divided in accordance with a Separationand Property Settlement Agreement dated October 10, 1991, executed by the parties and filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania at the abovecaptioned number and term. Defendant shall be the sole and exclusive owner of all maritally owned personal property in the Defendant's possession.

Additionally the Defendant shall be the sole and exclusive owner of the 1988 Chevrolet Celebrity vehicle.

The Defendant shall become the sole and exclusive owner of one-half of Plaintiff's interest in J. S. McCormick company Retirement Plan for the period of June 30, 1973 through July 9, 1988.

3. Alimony: In accordance with Paragraph 16 of the October 10, 1991 Agreement, Plaintiff shall pay to Defendant the sum of $230.00 dollars per month as alimony. Said alimony shall be non modifiable as to term and amount with the final alimony payment to be made September 1993.

4. Counsel Fees, Costs, and Expenses: It is ordered and decreed that in accordance with Paragraph 15 of the Separation and Property Settlement dated October 10, 1991, which sets in further detail the agreement of the parties concerning the matters her ein, shall be filed with this decree in the Prothonotary's Office at the above number and term.

6. No other matters or causes of action provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code are reserved by either of the parties.

This Order shall be effective as of this 4th day of December, 1991.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1988

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis E. Blystone

724.

JED ERWIN DENNIS

vs.

THERESA M. DENNIS

Filed Aug. 15, 1988
@ 3:22 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co. Pd. 11/14/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 15, 1988, Acceptance of Service filed. I accept service of the Complaint in Divorce. Theresa M. Dennis.

Nov. 14, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov.14, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Nov. 14, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Dec. 1, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, Novemmber 28, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

JED ERWIN DENNIS and THERESA M. DENNIS

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

1988

Fuller, KInnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

725.

Barbara Smith

LINDA G. MARSH

vs.

THOMAS H. MARSH

Filed Aug. 17, 1988
@ 8:53 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
2 counts paid	30.00 ✓
Bruce Smith, Mediator	127.40 ✓
Pro Co Pd 10/14/88	9.00 ✓

10/14/88 Recd. #31.85 From Plaintiff

Recd 11-2-88
#31.85
Vivian Stoltz

1/5/89 Recd. # 95.55
From Defendant

Recd 3-1-89
95.55
Vivian Stoltz

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

Aug. 17, 1988, Motion to Appoint Mediator, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 17 day of August 1988, Bruce Smith, is hereby appointed custodyMediator and is hereby directed to hold such custody mediation conferences as he deems appropriate and to make recommendation thereafter to this court.

Plaintiff herein is hereby awarded the temporary physical custody of the minor children, tara Lynne Marsh, DOB, April 15, 1980; bryan Thomas Marsh, DOB; August 25, 1981; and Krista Rae Marsh, DOB, Sept. 2, 1983; until further order of court.

1 copy (atty) Robert L. Walker, J.
1 copy (mediator)

Aug. 25, 1988 Return Of Service filed. Claude Lemley, being duly qualified Constable in and for Crawford County, Pennsylvania, and that he did serve a Certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce, a Motion and an Order in the above entitled matter, upon the Defendant, Thomas H. Marsh, personally, on August 19, 1988 at 6:30, PM., at R.D.4, Centerville, Pennsylvania 16404. Said service was made by reading the same to him and making the contents thereof known tohim and by handing him the Certified copies of the said Complaint in Divorce, the Motion and the Order.

Claude Lemley

Oct. 6, 1988, TEMPORARY ORDER OF COURT filed. ADN NOW, this 6th day of Oct. 1988, after mediation and upon the recommendation of the child custody mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Tara Lynne Marsh, age eight, Bryan Thomas, age seven, and Krista Rae Marsh, age five, the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of the minor children. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitle both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the chldren held by third parties, including, but not limited to, school and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to educational and religious upbringing of the children as well as elective surgery.

2. The primary physical custody fo the children shall remain with the naturalmother, Linda G. Marsh. Regular visitation and temporary custody fo the children shall be granted to the defendant father, Thomas H. Marsh. A regular visitation and temporary custody schedule for the defendant father shall be as follows:

a. The children shall visit in the home of the natural father on the first, second, and fourth weekends of each month, beginning at 6:00 p.m.on Friday, through 7:00 p.m. on Sunday, prevailing time. In addition, the father shall have the right to take the children into his temporary custody every Wed. evening between the hours of 6:00 p.m. and 8:00p.m.

b. Ea ch summer, beginning with the summer of 1989, the father shall have the right to take the children into his temporary custody during the second and third full weeks of eac h of the months of June, July and Aug. These weeks shall begin on the Sun. of the second full week of the month and shall end two weeks hence on Sunday. The pick up and delivery times on Dunday shall be at noon, prevailing time. During that two-week period, there shall be no temporary visitation provided to the mother.

c. Holiday visitation shall take priority over other scheduled visitation. The parties are encourages to agree as to a holiday visitation schedule; however, in the event that they cannot, during odd numbered years, beginning in 1989, the mother shall have the children on Easter and thefourth of July of eac h year, and the father shall have the children on MemorialDay, Labor Day, and New Year's Day. these days shall begin at 9:00 a.m. and shall end at 7:00 p.m. prevailing time. These days shall be reversed in even numbered years. The children shall spend Thanksgiving Day each year with the mother until 3:00 p.m. prevailing time, and shall spend from 3:00 p.m. Thandsgiving Day until 6:00 p.m. prevailing time, the following day with the father. With regard toChristmas Each year, the chldren shall remain in the mother's home until noon of Christmas day and then shall be with thefather from noon until 6:00 p.m. prevailing time, on Dec. 28, at which time they shall be returned to the mother's home.

d. The father shall have the right to telephone the children, or one of them, each day (one telephone call per day), but said calls may not be made to the mother's residence after 7:30 p.m., prevailing time.

e. Physical transfers of custody fothe children shall take place at the door of the mother's home, and both pick-up and drop-off shall be the responsibility fo the father.

3. The parent having custody of the children at anytime shall notify the other as soon as possible in the event of injury or illness requiring medical treatment.

4. Neither of the parents shall take the children into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to their development or the development of their character, or which would be commonly thought of as dangerour to their physical persons.

5. While the children are with either parent, that parent shall not ingest mind-altering substances. Small amounts of alcohol in social settings shall be an exception to this provision, but intoxication from any substance while the children are in the parent's custody is prohibited.

6. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the children; and, if the parties mutually agree to an alternative arrangement to accommodate themselves or the children's schedules, they may adjust the physical custody arrangement accordingly, and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

7. Each of the parents will teach the children and any grandparent involved will also do the same, to show that love and respect due a parent from his or her child or grandchild, and neither of the parents will do anything to blacken the character of the other parent or any grandparent in the eyes of the children. If the parties disagree with regard to religious orientation, neither parent shall comment to the children with regard to the religious preference of the other parent; however, each parent shall have the right, within the bounds of reason, to promote his or her own religious thinking and display it to the children.

8. This order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request a mediation conference if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the children. for the purposes of this paragraph, an increase in the employment of the mother to full-time or near full-time shall be considered a changed circumstance, entitling the other party to additional mediation without any guarantee of a change of the custody schedule.

9. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pa., shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either parent or the children reside in the Court's jurisdiction and for at least six months form the date of the temporary order.

10. The fee for the Child Custody Mediator shall be paid 75% by the father and 25% by the mother.

11. This order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty days from the date of this temporary order.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Oct. 11, 1988, copies of order to plaintiff, defendant, Attys. Bruce Smith, Ann Gamble and Barbara Smith.

Continued on page 608

1988

Legal Services
Gerald Sullivan

726.

LUCILLE PARK

vs.

RICH VLASSICH

Filed Aug. 17, 1988

Tax & entry $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 48.00
CONSTABLE 19.02

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE

6 copies (atty)

ORDER: AND NOW, this 17th dayof August 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within petition, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 23rd day of Aug. 1988, at 1:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 ofthe Crawford County Courthosue, Meadville Pa.

The defendant is directed to refrain from abusing and/or threatening to abuse, or harassing the plaintiff.

The plaintiff is hereby awarded temporary custody of the minor child of the parties pending the hearing scheduled above.

The Crawford County Sheriff Dept. is directed to serve a cert. copy of this petition and order upon the defendant. In the alternative, this petition and order may be served by any competent adult.

The State Police of the com. of Pa. and/or any other police dept. having appropriate jurisdiction are directed to enforce this order.

This petition and order shall be filed and served without payment of costs; the court shall determine at the hearing if the defendant shall be required to pay the costs.

The defendant is hereby advised of his right to be represented by counsel, and he is notified that his failure to attend the hearing scheduled above may have serious legal consequences.

The defendant should take this paper to his lawyer at once. If he does not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, he should go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where he can get legal help.

Crawford County bar association, Service tothe Public Committee, c/o Prothonotary, Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335, 814 724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 24, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, August 23, 1988, at the time fixed for hearing on the petition in this matter, both parties having appeared in open court, have agreed to the following Order being entered without such agreement being deemed an admission of any of the facts contained in the petition:

1. The Defendant shall not abuse, threaten to abuse or harass the Plaintiff in any way or at any time.

2. The Defendant shall not go to the present residence of the Plaintiff unless by her specific invitation in relation to the parties' child.

3. Basic custody of the parties' child, Coty Vlassich, now age fifteen (15) months, shall be with the Plaintiff, and the Defendant shall have theright to take the child into his custody for visits each Wednesday from Noon until 8:00 o'clock P.M.,with transportation to be furnished by an adult member of the DefendaNT"S FAMILY.

4. Both parties being indigent, thee record costs in this matter shall be paid by Crawford County.

5. This Order shall continue in full force and effect for a period of one(1) year.

6. The Plaintiff shall have the right to go to the former residence occupied by the Plaintiff and Defendant on Saturday, August 27, 1988 at or about 12:00 o'clock Noon, for the purpose of obtaining her clothing and other personal effects, and any clothing or personal effects needed for the child.

7. Any police officer having jurisdiction shall upon request enforce this Order in accordance with the Protection From Abuse Act.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 26, 1988 copies of this Order given to Gerald Sullivan, Esq., and Rich Vlassich, dft.

Aug. 26, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Rick Vlassich personally within named defendant by handing a true copy ofthe within Petition and Order for Protection From Abuse to him on August 19, 1988 at 4:52 PM at his residence Apt. #2, Lake Park Apartments, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct 25, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, October 25, 1988, the following facts are established by agreement of the parties:

1. A protection from abuse Order was entered on August 23, 1988.

2. At or about that time, the Plaintiff in this action moved to Greenville, Pennsylvania, where she currently resides.

3. The Defendant continued to live in the Conneaut Lake area until approximately one month ago when he was permitted by the Plaintiff to move into her home.

4. On October 23, 1988, the parties got into an altercation and the Defendant threw a lamp at the Plaintiff, striking her but causing no serious physical harm.

5. The Greenville Police intervened and arrested the Defendant on a charge of simple assault and held him at the Greenville lockup on Sunday evening, notifying this Court on Monday morning.

6. The Defendant was transported to the Crawford County Jail on an alleged violation of the Protection from Abuse Order and this hearing was promptly scheduled within one day of his delivery to the Crawford County Jail.

7. The Defendant believes that he can reside with his sister at or near Conneaut Lake and return to his employment in Jamestown, Pennsylvania, and will be able to maintain his own household once he obtains a paycheck.

8. The Defendant's clothing and some personal effects, including automobile parts and the lake, are presently at the Plaintiff's residence.

We find that the Defendant is in contempt of the Protection from Abuse Order but given the minimal nature of the infraction and the fact that the Plaintiff voluntarily allowed him to return to her home and the fact that he has spent approximately two days in jail as a result thereof, no further sanctons are imposed for the contempt and the Defendant is hereby released from the Crawford County Jail at this time.

The Defendant may go to the Plaintiff's residence on October 26, 1988; at a reasonable time fixed by him in

Contintued on next page.....

the company of his sister to obtain his personal property.

The Order of August 23, 1988, is reinstated with the following modifications:

1. The visitation privilege for the Defendant is changed to each Wednesday from 4:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m., with transportation from the Plaintiff's home to be furnished by his sister or another responsible adult approved by the Plaintiff and not by the Defendant going to the home himself. If he is unable to obtain transportation for Wednesday, the visit may be changed to Thursday provided he notifies the plaintiff at or about 12:00 noon on Wednesday.

2. The Defendant shall not go to the Plaintiff's home other than for the purpose of picking up his personal property on October 26, 1988, for any purpose.

3. In the event the Plaintiff disregards this Order and invites the Defendant to reside at her home, this Order shall become null and void.

Except as herein modified, the previous order shall remain in full force and effect according to its original terms.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Oct 27, 1988 Copies of order to Gerald Sullivan and Defendant.

Dec. 13, 1988 Order filed: Annd now, December 5, 1988, the Court having received a constable bill in the amount of $19.02 in connection with the above captioned matter, the County is directed to pay John R. Pressler the sum of $19.02. The Prothonotary is directed to tax this as costs in the above matter and is directed to bill the defendant for said amount at his last known address.

The County is to charge the above amount to the Courts budget at 01-0460-021100.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P.Richard Thomas, P.J.

Dec. 13, 1988 copies of order to Gerald Sullivan, Defendant and Commissioners.

176B

1988

Jorden and White
Harry F. White

727.

Bozic & Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

GORDON AND ELIZABETH SHERMAN
Appellants

vs.

THE SUPERVISORS OF CAMBRIDGE TOWNSHIP, CRAWFORD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

Filed Aug. 17, 1988
@ 3:49 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Oct. 7, 1988 Amended NOtice of Appeal filed.
(Certiorari Issued to Lawrence Tapper, Ch. of Cambridge Twp. Bd. of Supervisors)

Oct. 27, 1988 Transcript of testimony of Hearing filed.

Oct. 31, 1988 Certiorari Returned. The plea above mentioned, with all things touching the same, so full and entire as before me they remain, I hereby respectfully certify and send, together with this writ, as above I am commanded.
F. Philip Right, Ch.
Twp. Zoning Hearing Board
(Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law attached)

Jan. 16, 1989 Appellant's Motion To Schedule Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, this 16 day of January, 1989, upon presentation of the foregoing motion to set hearing, a hearing on the same is scheduled for the 1 day of Feb., 1989, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2, at 3:00 o'clock.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Jan. 16, 1989 Copy of order to Harry White

Jan. 27, 1989 Motion To Continue Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, this 27th day of January, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing motion to reschedule the zoning appeal in the above captioned case, the hearing thereon is hereby continue dfrom February 1, 1989, until the 28th day of Feb., 1989, at 1:30 o'clock in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 inthe Crawford County Courthouse.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Jan. 27, 1989 Copies of order to Harry White

Feb. 27, 1989 Motion To Allow Testimony At Zoning Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, this 28 day of February, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, the Appellant,Gordon Sherman, is hereby allowed to testify at the argument and hearing set for Tuesday, February 28, 1989.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Feb. 27, 1989 Copy of order to Harry White

March 2, 1989 Motion to Continue Appeal Hearing and ORDER filed. AND now, this 28 day of February, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing motion to continue hearing, the hearing in the above captioned matter is continued to the 30th day of March, 1989, at 1:30 P.M. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2.
For The Court,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

March 3, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. Harry White and R. Charles Thomas.

Mar. 31, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, March 30, 1989, at the time set for hearing and argument, counsel for the plaintiffs advises the court they are willing to proceed on the record made at the hearing before the Zoning Board on September 22, 1988 and they do not intend to introduce any additional testimony or exhibits as hereafter noted. Counsel for the Supervisors agrees to proceed without taking further testimony.

Additional Exhibits lettered A, B, C and D are submitted without objection to form a part of the record in this case.

The Court this date receives a brief from the p laintiffs and counsel for the defendant requests a continuance to prepare an opposing brief and to schedule legal argument.

ACCORDINGLY, since this matter will be decided on the record before the Court and no additional testimony will be received, legal argument is set for April 24, 1989 at 2:00 p.m. before the undersigned. Counsel for the Supervisors will file an opposition brief on or before April 19, 1989.

The parties and counsel agree that no technicalities will be raised regarding the defendant in the case currently listed as the Supervisors of Cambridge Township in that probably the correct defensive aprty should have been the Zoning Hearing Board of Cambridge Township, which made o;r reaffirmed a final decision in September of 1988.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Apr. 4, 1989 Copies of order to Harry White and R. Charles Thomas

Apr. 18, 1989 Order filed. And now, April 18, 1989, the Court hereby resets argument in the above captioned matter heretofore set for April 24, 1989, for May 2, 1989, at 11:00 a.m. in Courtroom No. 1.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, CA

Apr. 20, 1989 Copies put in box for Harry White and R. Charles Thomas

May 1, 1989 Motion for Continuance and Order filed. And now, April 28, 1989 argument in this case is set for the May 30, 1989 argument list, at a time to be assigned.
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

May 4, 1989 copies of order put in box of R. Charles Thomas and Harry White

May 24, 1989 Motion To Continue Argument and Order filed. AND NOW, this 24th day of May, 1989, upon consideration ofthe foregoing motion to continue argument, the argument heretofore scheduled for May 30, 1989 at 1:30 p.m. is hereby continued until the regular June Argument list, with a time to be set later.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

May 25, 1989 Copies put in Harry White and R. Charles Thomas' box.

On June 1989 Avgument list

Aug. 9, 1989 Opinion and Order filed. And now, August 3, 1989, the Court hereby affirms the decision of the Cambridge Towhship Zoning Hearing Board.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Aug. 9, 1989 Copies put in Atty. Thomas & White's box.

1988

Curtis E. Blystone
Blystone & Blystone

728.

Kathleen Nelson

BENNY T. CONFER

vs.

SUSAN J. CONFER

Filed Aug. 17 1988
@ 4:12 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff' Costs 26.25

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

ORDER AND NOTICE:

You, Susan J. Confer, dft., have been sued in court to obtain custody of the children: Nicholas T. Confer, Ronald M. Confer and Jessica S. Confer.

You are hereby ordered to appear in person for a conference at the time and place to be s cheduled by the Custody Mediator appointed in this case.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford county Bar Association, Ser. to the Pub. Comm.
c/o/ Prothonotary, Meadville, Pa. 16335, 814 724-4636.

Date: Aug. 22, 1988 BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

(see AD 1988 - 654--8/1/88 JOHN FULLER, ESQ. appointed custody mediator)

Aug. 19, 1988, Petition for Special Relief filed.

Aug. 19, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, August 18, 1988, the defendant is ordered anddirected to return the children, Nicholas T. Confer, Ronald M. Confer, and Jessica S. Confer, to the plaintiff's residence where they shall remain until a custody mediation conference can be held.

The Sheriff of Crawford County is authorized and directed to assest the plaintiff in teh execution of this order, if requested.

This Order is made without prejudice to the right of the defendant to apply to the court for a reasonable visitation arrangement pending custody mediation.

1 copy (atty) 1 copy (sheriff)

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept. 2, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Susan J. Confer personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Order No. A.D. 1988 - 728 to her, on August 19, 1988, at 2:20 p.m., at her place of residence, Capp Road, R.D., Edinboro, in the County of Crawford, State of Pennsylvania.

Children were turned over to plaintiff Benny T. Confer at that time.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy SHeriff
E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, SHeriff

1988

Elderkin, Martin,
Kelly, Messina & Zamboldi
Kenneth G. Vasil

729.

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY
800 Millcreek Mall
Erie, Pennsylvania

vs.

MARGARET E. STEADMAN

Filed Aug. 18, 1988
@ 10:55 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co. pd 8/25/88 9.00
Sheriff's Costs 17.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Aug. 25, 1988 Acceptance Of Service filed. AND NOW, to-wit: This 23 day of August, 1988, the undersigned hereby accepts service of a true and correct copy of the Complaint filed at the above captioned term and number. Margaret E. Steadman

And Now Aug. 25, 1988 at 11:11 am upon praecipe and consent , judgment is hereby entered in favor of the p laintiff and against the defendant for failure to answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Seven Hundred Twenty-four and 16/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
S-115 Debt. $724.16
judgment entered Judgment
8/25/88 James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Sept. 27, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Complaint returned to Prothonotary unserved, per instructions from atty. Kenneth Vasil.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso and Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

730.

James Bukac

Tamera Ochs-Rothchild
for Grandparents Crandall

CHRISTINE Y. SNYDER

vs.

GARY E. SNYDER

Filed Aug. 18, 1988
@ 11:00 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
1 count Pd 2/7/89	15.00
Bruce L. Smith, Mediator	
Mediation Costs	206.75
Pro Co. Pd. 6-1-90	9.00
State Surcharge Pd.	10.00

Sptb Pty Recd. $ 103.38
From Defendant
Recd 6-6-89
$103.38
Vivian Staltz

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 7, 1988 Affidavit of Mailing Complaint Under PA. R.C.P. No. 1124(b) filed. Ann Hansen Gamble, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of PA. and that on Aug. 30, 1988, she sent a copy of the Complaint in Divorce the Defendant by certified mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to Gary E. Snyder, 101 W. Walnut St., Titusville, Pa 16354 the Defendant's last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto.
Ann Hansen Gamble

Jan 6, 1989 Petition for Additional Remedies and Request for Special Relief and Order filed: You, Christine Y. Snyder, Plaintiff, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of the children: Avin C. Snyder.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by Bruce Smith, Child Custody Mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody may be entered against you or the chour may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEMPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
c/o Prothonotary
Courthouse
Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335
Telephone 724-4636

Date: January 6, 1989

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Jan 10, 1989 Copies of order to Ann Gamble and James Bukac, Esqs.

Jan 6, 1989 Order of Court filed: AND NOW, upon consideration of the Petition for Special Relief filed this day by the Defendant, and upon due consideration thereof, NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED AND DIRECTED that the subject minor child, AVIN L. SNYDER, shall remain in the primary physical custody of the Defendant, GARY E. SNYDER, until the time and date set for a Mediation Conference by the Court-appointed Child Custody Mediator. FURTHER, the plaintiff, CHRISTINE SNYDER, shall enjoy reasonable and liberal visitation periods with the subject minor child pending the outcome of the Mediation Conference at such times and places as the parties shall mutually agree, provided that upon request, a short hearing will be held confined to the issue of temporary custody.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Jan 10, 1989 Copies of order to Ann Gamble and James Bukac, Esqs.

Feb 1, 1989 Petition for Partial Custody or Visitation filed.

Feb 1, 1989 Certification of Service filed: I hereby certify that on the Thirty First day of Januanry, 1989, a copy of the Petition for Partial Custody or Visitation was served on Ann H. Gamble, Attorney for Christine Y. Snyder; James Bukac, Attorney for Gary E. Snyder; and Bruce L. Smith, Child Custody Mediator, by handing a true and correct copy of the said petition to them personally.

Mahaney, Roeder & Smith
Tamera Ochs-Rothchild
Attorney for Grandparents

Feb. 6, 1989 Temporary Order of Court filed. AND NOW, this 6th day of February, 1989, after Mediation and upon recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Avin C. Snyder, age five, born December 5, 1983, the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of the minor child. The said joint legal custody being shared, shall entitle both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the child held by a third party, including, but not limited to, school and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to educational and religious upbringing of the child, as well as elective surgery.
2. Primary physical custody of the child shall remain with the natural father, Gary E. Snyder. REgular visitation and temporary custody of the child shall be granted to the mother, Christine Y. Snyder. Physical custody of the mother shall be as follows:
   a) The child shall visit in the home of the natural mother every Monday beginning at 9:00 a.m. through 7:00 p.m., prevailing time, and shall also be present inthe mother's home each Saturday from 1:00 p.m. through 5:00 p.m., prevailing time. Pick-up and drop-off of the child shall be made by the father. Adequate arrangements shall be made to keep the house warm by auxiliary heat while the child is in the mother's home, and the mother shall also make every effort to be present with the child during these visitations and not allow the child to be in the custody and control of anyone other than her, except for emergencies or other unusual circumstances.
   b) Beginning in the month of June, 1989, the mother shall have the right to take the child into her temporary custody and control for one full week for each of the months of June, July and August; and, unless prior arrangements are made with the father, that week shall be the first full week of each month, beginning on Saturday at 12:00 noon,prevailing time, and ending on Saturday 12:00 noon prevailing time.

Continued on the next page

When the child begins kindergarten, and leter grade school, the mother's Monday visitaiton will be for that portion of those days when the child is not in school and available to her after school hours.

c) Holiday visitation shall take priority over other scheduled visitation; however, in the event that they cannot, during odd numbered years, beginning in 1989, the mother shall have the child on Easter and the 4th of July each year, and the father shallhave the child onMemorial Day and Labor Day each year These days shall begin at 9:00 a.m., prevailing time, and shall end at 7:00 p.m., prevailing time. These days shall be reserved in even numbered years. The child shall spend Thanksgiving each year in the mother's home, and the day following Thanksgiving each year in the father's home beginning in 1989. With regard to Christmas each year, the child shall be abailable to spend Christmas Day each year in the mother's home from 2:00 p.m. until 7:30 p.m., prevailing time.

d) During the time that the child is in the father's custody and control each week, he shall make arrangements with the grandparents, Theodore E. Crandell and Beverly J. Crandell, for the child to spend six uninterrupted hours inthe grandparents' home at sometime each week. Arrangements shall be made by telephone unless a regular schedule can be established, and notice of at least twenty-four hours shall be given to the grandparents whenever possible.

2. The parent having custody of the child at any time shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of injury or illness requiring medical treatment.

3. Neither of the parents shall take the child into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to his development or the development of his character, or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to his physical person.

4. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the child; and, if the parties mutually agree to an alternative arrangement to accommodate themselves and the child's schedule, they may adjust the physical custody arrangement accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

5. Each of the parents and grandparents will teach the child that love and respect due a parent and a grandparent from his or her child, and neither of the parents or grandparents will do anything to blacken the character of either of the parents or the grandparents in the eyes of the child. This prohibition shall extend to Ronald Zimoski and Rosemary Karns.

6. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request a Mediation Conference if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the child.

7. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either parent or the child resides in the Court's jurisdiction and for at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

8. The fee for the Child Custody Mediator shall be paid 50% by the County of Crawford on behalf of the mother and 50% by the father.

9. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent order, unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty (30) days from the date of this temporary Order.

BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J

Feb. 7, 1989 Copies of order to Ann Gamble, James Bukac, Tamera Ochs-Rothschild, Plaintiff, Defendant and Bruce Smith

June 1, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 1. 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 1, 1990 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

June 4, 1990 STIPULATION and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 1 day of June, 1990, the parties hereto having stipulated and agreed, It is Hereby Ordered And Decreed As Follows:

1. Gary E. Snyder, defendant herein is hereby ordered and directed to transfer to Christine Y. Snyder the sum of Nineteen thousand six hundred seventeen and 63/100 ($19,617.63) dollars from the Keystone Honing Corporation Profit, Sharing Plan and Trust, account of Gary E. Snyder 173-44-8457, Pennbank Trustee, and is further directed to execute any documents necessary to effectuate the transfer. The transfer will be made within ninety (90) ater the entry of this Order. The transfer is intended to qualify under Section 408 (d) (6) of the Internal Revenue Code and it is further intended that the portion of the account so transferred will be and become the sole and separate property of Christine Y. Snyder and that the remainder of the account not being transferred shall be and shall remain the sole and separate property of Gary E. Snyder.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 7, 1990 Copy of ORDER to Attys. Ann Hansen Gamble, James Bukac, Tamera Ochs-Rothchild.

June 11, 1990 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 11 day of June, 1990 it is ORDERED and DECREED that Christine Y. Snyder plaintiff and Gary E. Snyder, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

180
B

1988

1988

Stephen H. Hutzelman 731.	MARINE MIDLAND BANK vs. CAROL A. BAILEY Filed Aug. 18, 1988 @ 11:20 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 Sheriff's Costs 19.00 Sheriff's costs 21.50	COMPLAINT 1 copy (sheriff) Sept. 27, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed Not Found as to within named defendant Carol A. Bailey, She is not working at Spencer Hospital (Meadville Medical Center), and not at address given in Cochranton, PA Unknown at Post Office. So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff Apr. 26, 1989, Complaint Reinstated. May 25, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. NOT FOUND as to defendant Carol A. Bailey. Address 121 East Adams Street,Cochranton, Crawford Co. PA is that of her Grandmother. Grandmother claims defendant left area long time ago, address unknown. So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Curtis E. Blystone
Blystone & Blystone

732.

SHIRLEY E. FOUST

vs.

HARRY L. FOUST

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF PROPERTY, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 15, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED HarryL. Foust personally the within named deendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Divorce to him on September 8, 1988 at 3:29 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville,PA. So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Filed Aug. 18, 1988
@ 1:31 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
3 counts paid	45.00
Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Pro Co pd 5/20/90	9.00
State pd 5/30/90	10.00

May 3, 1990 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 24, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

June 6, 1990 Motion To Withdraw Claims and Order filed. AND NOW, this 5th day of June, 1990, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion for Withdrawal of Claims, the same is her eby allowed and the plaintiff's claims heretofore raised for equitable distribution of marital property, alimony, and alimony pendente lite, counsel fees, costs and ex penses are hereby withdrawn.
Gordon R. Miller, J

June 5, 1990 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, June 5, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Shirley E. Foust, plaintiff, and Harry L. Foust, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1988

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

733.

LYNNE DeVORE

vs.

DOUGLAS DeVORE

Filed Aug. 18, 1988
@ 2:50 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count	15.00
Pro Co pd 8/14/90	9.00
State pd 8/14/90	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

June 2, 1989, Amended Complaint in Divorce filed. 1 copy (atty)

Sept. 14, 1989 Affidavit of Service filed. I. TERRY TOOMEY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Lynne DeVore and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 2nd day of June, 1989, send by Certified Mail Return receipt Requested to Douglas DeVore, a true and correct copy of the Amended Complaint in Divorce at his last known address of 136 Smith Street, Cochranton, PA 16314 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 724 955 417 and that on the 6th day of June, 1989, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 5th day of June, 1989.

I verify that the statements mad ein this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

8/11/89 Terry Toomey

Sept. 14, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 14, 1989 Plaintiff's Withdrawal of Equitable Distribution Count filed.

Aug. 10, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Aug. 14, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff AttorneyFor Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Aug. 23, 1990 DIVORCE DECREE FILED

AND NOW, August 22, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that LYNNE DEVORE, plaintiff, and DOUGLAS DEVORE, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R.,Miller, P.J.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Charles J. Swick

734.

AMERICAN FEDERAL SAVINGS

vs.

WILLIAM H. McWILLIAMS, AND
SUSAN J. McWILLIAMS

Filed Aug. 18, 1988
@ 4:29 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 24.00
Pro Co. Pd. 11/9/88 9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Sept. 2, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED William H. McWilliams within named defendant on August 22, 1988 at 12:38 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in MOrtgage Foreclosure to Steven McWilliams, adult member of family, namely his son, at their residence, 800 Alden Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Susan J. McWilliams within named defendant on August 22, 1988 at 12:39 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure to Steven McWilliams, adult member of the family, namely her son, at the residence, 800 Alden Street, Meadville Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 9, 1988 And Now, upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants for failure to file an answer within twenty (20) days of the service of the Complaint and assess the damage as follows.

Principal Debt	$16,541.67
Interest to 7-31-88	383.78
Late Charges	137.24
Taxes and Insurance Escrow	257.96
Attorney's Commission (10%)	1,654.16
TOTAL	$18,974.81

Together with intrest on debt at a rate of 9.25% per annum from July 31, 1988 and costs of suit.

M-171,151
Judgment entered 11/9/88

Debt $18,974.81
Interest from 7/31/88
Judgment
James F.Simmons,Pro.

EX. NO 1988-107

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
Robert S. Bailey

735.

GELVIN, JACKSON & STARR, INC.

vs.

JAMES ADAIR AND JOSEPHINE ADAIR, INDIVIDUALLY AND t/d/b/a ADAIR'S CAR CLEANING a/k/a ADAIR'S CAR CARE CENTER, AND ADAIR'S T & R BAR AND GRILL

Filed Aug. 18, 1988
@ 4:30 P.M.

Tax & Entry paid	$ 40.50
Sheriff's Costs	36.00
Pro Co Pd 10/21/88	9.00
Pro Co Pd 11-2-88	5.00
Pro.Co. pd 9/17/93	5.00

COMPLAINT

5 copies (sheriff)

Aug. 30, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Adair's T & R Bar and Grill on August 22, 1988 at 12:17 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to James Adair, owner, at his residence, 293 Poplar St., Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Adair's Car Cleaning a/k/a Adair's Car Care Center on August 22, 1988 at 12:18 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to James Adair, owner, at his residence 293 Poplar Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Josophine adair on August 22, 1988 at 12:19 PM by handing a true copy of the wihtin Complaint to James Adair, adult member of the family, namely her husband, at residence, 293 Poplar Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED James Adair personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on August 22, 1988 at 12:20 PM at his residence 293 Poplar Street, Meadville, Crawford County,PA.
So answres, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Oct. 21, 1988 at 2:23 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered (with Consent to Entry of Judgment and power of attorney attached, in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants in the amount of Three thousand Two hundred Twenty, and 21/100 Dollars,

Judgment entered - 10/21/88 Debt --- $ 873.54
A - 94, 94, 184, 184
A - 94, 94, 184 Debt --- $3,220.21

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Nov. 1, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for partial satisfaction, judgment against Adair's Car Cleaning a/k/a Adair's car Care Center has been marked satisfied of record.

Sep. 17, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Robert S. Bailey, ESquire, Attorney for Plaintiff, the above captioned mat ter satisfied on record.

1988

Thomas, Truax,
Spadafore & Walker
Gregory A. Keenan
Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

736.

Wayne H. Hundertmark

STEPHEN R. BALATINE

vs.

CHRISTINE R. BALATINE

Filed Aug. 22, 1988
@ 9:22 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Bruce Smith, Mediator 181.70
Amt Rcd 10/28/88 9.00 ✓

10/28/88 Recd. # 90.85
From Plaintiff

Recd 11-2-88
$190.85
Vivian Stoltz

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 22nd day of Aug. 1988, BRUCE SMITH,is hereby appointed custody mediator to hear the claim for custody of Ashley J. Balatine.

You, Christine R. Balatine, dft., have been sued in court to obtain custody of her.

The parties are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as the custody mediator shall direct and further, bring with them the child.

The court is advised by the plff. that the defendant presently has de facto custody of the child and the court hereby confirms that the dft. shall have physical custody of the child pending further order of court. Pending custody mediation and further order of court, plff. and dft. are encouraged to mutually agree upon dates and times for plaintiff's partial custody privileges with the child. If in the event the parties are unable to agree upon a s chedule, the plaintiff shall, commencing on the first Friday after the entry and servcie of the within order, have custody of the child commencing Fri. at 5:00 p.m. and continuing every other weekend thereafter until further order of court.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order or to bring the child, an order for custody, partial custody, or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Association Ser. to the Pub Committee, c/o Prothonotary, Courthouse Meadville, Pa. 16335. 814 724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 29, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared JOSEPH C. BARNHART, ESQ., who did depose and say that he is the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter and that he did serve a certified copy of a complaint for Custody as filed August 22, 1988 upon the Defendant, at her address by United States certified mail, restricted delivery. Delivery of same being evidenced by postal return receipt #P 620 643 155 dted August 24, 1988 attached hereto and incorporated her ein by reference.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and seal this 25th day of August. 1988.

Joseph C. Barnhart

Sept. 2, 1988, Preliminary Objections in the Nature of a Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction, and order filed. ORDER: 9/2/88 Motion Denied.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Sept. 2, 1988, copies to J. Barnhart and Wayne Hundertmark attys.

Oct 14, 1988 Temporary Order of Court filed: AND NOW, this 14th day of October, 198, after Mediation and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Ashley J. Balatine, age two, the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of the minor child. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitle both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the child held by a third party, including, but not limited to, school and medical records, and shall also entitled both parents to equal input with regard to educational and religious unbringing of the child, as well as elective surgery.

2. The physical custody of the child shall also be shared on an alternating-month basis. Beginning with the month of November, 1988, the child shall spend that month primarily in the home of the father. In December, 1988, the child shall spend that month primarily in the home of the mother. Beginning with January, 1989, the child shall spend the months of January, March, May, July, September, and November primarily in the home of the father and all of the other months primarily in the home of the mother. During these months, where the child is in one parent's home, the other parent shall have the right to have temporary physical custody of the child on the second and fourth weekends of each month beginning at 5:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Friday through 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Sunday.

3. The holiday visitation shall take priority over other scheduled visitation. The parties are encouraged to agree as to holiday visitation; however, in the event that they cannot, during odd numbered years, beginning in 1989, the mother shall have the child on Easter and the 4th of July each year, and the father shall have the child on Memorial Day and Labor Day. These days shall begin at 9:00 a.m. and shall end at 7:00 p.m., prevailing time. These days shall be reversed between the parents in even numbered years. The child shall spend Thanksgiving Day each year in the mother's home and the day following Thanksgiving each yearin the father's home, beginning in 1988. With regard to Christmas each year, the child shall be available to spend Christmas Day each year in the father's home from 2:00 p.m. until 7:30 p.m. prevailing time.

4. The father shall pick up and return the child to the mother's residence. Transfers of custody shall take place on the front porch or front stoop of the mother's home. Anytime the parent is one hour or more late for a scheduled transfer of custody, the transfer shall be delayed for one day. This shall be so unless satisfactory arrangements to the contrary have been previously made between the parents. Transfer day is the first day of each month between noon and 4:00 p.m. prevailing time.

5. The parent having custody at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of injury or illness requiring medical treatment.

6. Neither of the parents shall take the child into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to her development or the development of her character or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to her physical person.

7. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the child; and, if the parties mutually agree to an alternative arrangement to accommodate themselves and the child's schedule, they may adjust the physical custody arrangements accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

8.Each of the parents will teach the child to display that love and respect due a parent from his or her child, and neither of the parents will do anything to blacken the character of either parent or any grandparents in the eyes of the child.

Continued on next page.....

9. The religious training of the child shall be the responsibility of that parent who has physical custody of the child at the time, and the other parent will not, during periods of temporary custody, interfere with the religious training of the child. This does not mean each parent will not be free to express his/her opinions to the child in any reasonable way.

10. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request a Mediaton Conference if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the child. For the purposes of this paragraph, the child reaching school age shall be considered a changed circumstance entitling either party to a review of the visitation provisions in order to prevent a switch on a monthly basis between the school districts for the child after reaching school age. School age shall include the beginning of kindergarten.

11. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania shall return jurisdiction of this matter so long as either parent or the child resides in the Court's jurisdiction and for at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

12. The fee for the Child Custody Mediator shall be paid 50% by the County of Crawford on behalf of the mother and 50% by the father.

13. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent Order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty days from the date of this Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Oct 17, 1988 Copies of order to Joseph Barnhart, Brian J. Lindsay, Wayne Hundertmark and Bruce Smith, Esqs.

Nov. 14, 1988, Motion to Schedule Hearing De Novo in above matter and order filed.
ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, Nov. 14, 1988, Hearing Dec. 13, 1988 at 10:00 a.m. C. R. No. 1 or 2.
Robert L Walker, J.

Nov. 15, 1988, copies to Jos. Barnhart, Brian Lindsay, Wayne Hundertmark and Bruce Smith.

Dec 20, 1988 Motion to Continue Hearing and Order filed: AND NOW, this 19 day of December 1988, the foregoing Motion is granted and the matter is set for de novo hearing on Jan. 27, 1989, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania, at 9:30 A.M.

For the Court,
Imogene Yetman
Court Administrator

Dec 21, 1988 Copies of order to Attys Altomare, Lindsay, Hundertmark and Bruce Smith.

Jan. 25, 1989 Motion For Consent Modification and Order filed. AND NOW, this 25 day of Jan., 9189, it is her eby Ordered and Decreed that the within paragraph shall become an additional provision of this Court's order of October 14, 1988 and that Defendant's request for a hearing de novo is dismissed.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Jan. 26, 1989 Copies of order to Joseph Barnhart and Brian Lindsay

May 24, 1993 Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore & Walker by Gregory A. Keenan for Plaintiff filed.

186 B

1988

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R/ Charles Thomas

737.

DEBRA JANE HUNT

vs.

CHARLES FRANCIS HUNT

Filed Aug. 22, 1988
@ 10:09 a.m.

Tax & entry	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	22.10

PETITION FOR RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (sheriff)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 22 day of Aug. 1988, upon motion of R. Charles Thoms, atty. for palintiff, and upon consideration of the within Petition for relief and further, upon plaintiff's showing during an ex parte proceeding to the court's satisfaction that there is an immediate and present danger of abuse to the plaintiff, the following temporary order is hereby entered:

1. That the dft, is directed and prohibited from:

(a) Threatening, harassing and abusing the plaintiff or in any way contacting the plaintiff until further order of this court.

2. That temporary custody of the minor chldren born of marriage to-wit Charity Lynne Hunt and Shauna Marie Hunt, is awarded to the plaintiff.

3. That plaintiff is permitted to proceed without payment of costs pending hearing by the court.

4. That the plaintiff is awarded sole and exclusive possession of the marital home at R. D. #1, Box 26, Guys Mills, Pa. pendig hearing on this matter by the court.

5. That the pa. State Police and any other municipal police dept. having authority to make arrests are hereby authorized and directed to arrest the defendant for violation of this order.

That a true and correct copy be served upon dft. by the sheriff of Crawford County or a constable or by other competent adult in the same manner as a complaint in action at law. A true and correct copy of this order shall likewise be delivered to the Pa. State Police and also to any municipal police dept. that has authority to make arrests in Randolph Township, Crawford County, Pa.

A hearing on this matter is sc heduled for the 2nd day of Sept., 1988 at 10:00 a.m. in court room 1 or 2 of the Crawford CountyCourth House, Meadville, Pa.

That the respondent is hereby advised of his ritht to be represented by counsel at the time and place of the aforesaid hearing on this matter.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 31, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Charles Francis Hunt personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Petition and Order for Protection from Abuse to him on August 29, 1988 at 9:36 AM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.

So answers,

Catherin I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 6, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, September 2, 1988, after hearing, the following Order is entered:

1. The defendant shall not abuse, physically mistreat, harass, or threaten to physically mistreat the plaintiff or the parties' children at any time.
2. The Defendant shall not go to the residence occupied by the plaintiff, whether that be the marital home or a new residence established by her for any purpose except as otherwise provided inthis Order.
3. the Court understands that a divorce action was been filed in which a count for custody is included and that a custody mediator either has been or will in the immediate future be appointed. Pending mediation hearing, the defendant shall have the right to take his children from 1:00 o'clock p.m. on Saturday until 7:00 o'clock p.m. on Sundays every other weekend beginning September 10, 1988. He shall furnish transportation to and from the plaintiff's residence and shall have in his company a responsible adult when the children are picked up and returned.
4. This Order shall continue in full force and effect for a period of one (1) year except that the provisions regarding the visitation of the children shall expire upon the conclusion of a mediation hearing and the entry of a temporary Order.
5. The defendant has been temporarily suspended from his employment and will not known until next week what happened in that regard; but in the event the defnedant is reinstatedin his employment, he shall pay the record costs inthis matter within thirty (30) days of such reinstatement. If he is not reinstated and continues to be unemployed, the Court will upon motionof any party in interest make some further disposition concerning costs.
6. Any Police Officer having jurisdiction may enforce the terms ofthis Order in accordance with the Protection From Abuse Act.
7. The defendant shall have the right to go to the marital domicle on either September 3 or 4 for a period not to exceed two hours and shall give the plaintiff notice as of this date following this hearing of the time whenhe contemplates being at the mrital residence for the purpose of obtaining his personal effects, clothing and the like and shall take a responsible adult with him at that time.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Sept. 7. 1988 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas and Douglas Ferguson

1988

Apple and Apple
James S. Alter

738.

WO-VEN-CO., ASSIGNEE OF
VENDORS EXCHANGE, INC.

vs.

A. RICHARD MILLER AND
FLORENCE B. MILLER

Filed Aug. 22, 1988
@ 10:58 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 24.00
Pro Co Pd 12/19/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

1 copy (sheriff)

Aug. 30, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED A. Richard Miller personally the within named defendant on August 26, 1988 at 3:34 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him at his residence, R.D. #2, Box 294, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Florence B. Miller within named defendant on August 26, 1988 at 3:35 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to A. Richard Miller, adult member of the family with whom she resides, namely her husband, at their residence, R.D. #2 Box 294, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney,

And Now, Dec. 19, 1988, at 2:39 p.m., Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against both defendants, in default of an answer, in the amount of Twenty Four Thousand Six Hundred Eighty Four and 66/100 Dollars. (includes Int. from 8/21/88 to 12/21/88 of $523.23, less payment on 9/20/88, 10/12/88 and 11/8/88 and 12/2/88 of $4,000.00)
Judgment entered 12/19/88
M - 204, 209

Debt - $24,684.66
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Dec. 20, 1988, Notices of entry of judgment mailed to each defendant.

Ex 1989-81

1988

Elderkin, Martin, Kelly Messina and Zamboldi Kenneth G. Vasil 739.	SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY 800 Millcreek Mall Erie, Pennsylvania vs. KAREN M. CHRISTIE Filed Aug. 22, 1988 @ 12:13 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Sheriff's Costs 12.00	COMPLAINT 1 copy (sheriff) Aug. 31, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Not Found, as to within named defendant, Karen M. Christie, Moved, left no forwarding address. So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff Feb. 16, 1989 Complaint Reinstated. January 12, 1990 Sheriff's Return filed. Complaint returned to Prothonotary January 12, 1990 unserved. Sheriff's Office received no instructions, no service costs. So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Stephen H. Hutzelman 740.	MARINE MIDLAND BANK vs. BENJAMIN OGELLO Filed Aug. 22, 1988 @ 12:29 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 Sheriff's Costs 20.00 Pro Co Pd 9/19/88 5.00	COMPLAINT 1 copy (sheriff) Aug. 31, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Benjamin Ogello personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on August 29, 1988 at 9:19 AM at Sheriff's Office, Room 303 Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA. So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff Sept. 19, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, the above captioned case is marked discontinued without prejudice.

1988

Jorden and White
Harry Faber White II

741.

JUANITA BANKS SEAWOOD AND
JERRY SEAWOOD, HER HUSBAND

vs.

RONALD E. SUTTON

Filed Aug. 22, 1988
@ 1:51 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 23.00
Pro Co pd 9/20/88 6.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Aug. 26, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED Ronald E. Sutton within named defendant on August 26, 1988 at 10:26 AM by handing a true copy ofthe within Summons to Julie Sutton, adult member of the family with whom he resides, namely his sister, at residence 970 South Main Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA
So answres, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 15, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above cpationed case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Pro se

742.

DAVID L. DURFEE, SR. AND
DAVID L. DURFEE, JR.

vs.

LAWRENCE N. RAVICK AND
McCRADY, KREIMER, RAVICK
AND BONESTALLI, P.C.

Filed Aug. 22, 1988
@ 3:13 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Sept. 21, 1988, Summons Reissued.

Nov. 15, 1988 Writ Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeard James Nelson, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 14 day of Oct., 1988, at 11:35 a.m., he did not serve Summons, filed at No. 742 Term, 1988, Crawford Co. PA. upon defendant McCrady, Kreimer, Ravick and Bonestalli,P.C. at Room, 745, 2 Gateway Center, Pittsburgh, PA. By making known the contents thereof to - no longer in Business.

James Nelson, Dept. Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff
Allegheny Co. Pa.

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared James Nelson who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 14 day of Oct., 1988, at 11:35 a.m., he served Summons filed at No. 742 Term, 1988, Crawford Co. Pennsylvania, upon defendant Laurence N. Ravick at 2 Gateway Center, Room 745, Pittsburgh, PA. By making known the contents thereof to Diane Kulbacki - rec/sec. and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Summons received from Crawford.

James Nelson, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff
Allegheny Co. Pa.

SERVED Lawrence N. Ravick within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny Co. PA., as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 10-14-88.

Not Found, as to within named defendant, McCrady, Kreimer, Ravick and Bonestalli, P.C. No longer in business. Affidavit of Not Found received from Sheriff of Allegheny Co., PA.

So answers,

Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

743.

GLORIA ARMOUR

vs.

DONALD WAYNE ARMOUR

Filed Aug. 23, 1988
@ 11:21 a.m.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE, AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 23, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed in Forma Pauperis filed.

Aug. 23, 1988, Petition for Leave to Proceed IN Forma Pauperis, and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 22 day of August 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing petition, Gloria J. Armour is permitted to proceed with her divorce aciton in forma pauperis. Reserving the right to assess costs.
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept. 1, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.
1. That the affiant is the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy ofthe Complaint Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code along with a Count for Equitable distribtuion was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on August 25, 1988. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
3. The registered mail was sent to Donald W. Armour, c/o Brenda Saylor, Short Drive, Windham, OH 44288.
4. That the defendant received said registered mail on August 27, 1988. Said receipt card number P 404 868 825 is attached hereto and signed by Donald W. Armour.
Bruce A. Barrett

Oct. 4, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Oct. 4, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Feb. 3, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Feb. 11, 1992, MEMORANDUM filed. Anthony J. Vardaro, Judge
Feb. 14, 1992, copy given to B. Barrett, esq.

Feb. 19, 1992 MOTION FOR WITHDRAWAL OF EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION - COUNT II OF FIVORCE COMPLAINT and ORDER filed. ORDER: And now, Feb. 19, 1992, the above motion allowed. Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
Feb. 24, 1992 copy in box for B. Barrett

Feb. 21, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Feb. 28, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, February 28, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Gloria Armour, Plaintiff and Donald Wayne Armour, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final orde rhas not bet been entered.
BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

744.

DALE C. FINDLAY

vs.

TERESA A. FINDLAY

Filed Aug. 23, 1988
@ 2:00 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 2/27/89 - 9 00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

2 copies (atty)

Sept 16, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on August 29, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Teresa A. Findlay, by certified mail #P-547 808 394, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Teresa A. Findlay on September 1, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.
Joan D. Mason, Attorney for Plaintiff

Jan. 23, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb 24, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb 24, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Mar. 8, 1989 D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .

And now, March 8, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that DALE C. FINDLAY, Plaintiff, and TERESA A. FINDLAY, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs PC
Theodore H. Watts

745.

SHAREN L. CHILSON

vs.

KEITH M. CHILSON

Filed Aug. 23, 1988
@ 4:02 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1count paid	15.00
1 count pd. 11/16/89	15.00
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Master	
Pro.Co.pd 10-13-92	9.00
State Paid 10-13-92	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE, AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 12, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. Theodore H. Watts, being duly sworn a ccording to law, deposes and says that he served a cert. copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed in the above matter on the dft. on Aug. 25, 1988 at defendant's last known address, 789 ½ Washington St, Meadville, Pa. 16335, by cert. mail No. P 404 857 354, as evidenced by the ret. rec. card attached hereto, marked Exhibit A. Theodore H. Watts, Esq.

Dec. 12, 1988 Motion to Amend Complaint and Order filed. Order: AND NOW, this 12th day of December, 1988, after consideration of the plaintiff's motion, leave is hereby granted to plaintiff to amend her Complaint in Divorce to include the grounds of Indignities.

By The Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 12, 1988 copy of order to Theodore H. Watts, esq.

Oct. 24, 1989 Inventory and Appraisement of Plaintiff filed.

Oct. 24, 1989 Budget Information of Sharen L. Chilson filed.

Nov. 15, 1989 Plaintiff's Motion For Sanctions and Order filed. AND NOW, this 15 day of November, 1989, upon consideration of the within Motion, defendant is hereby ordered to file an Inventory and Appraisement within ten (10) days of the date of this Order.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Nov. 16, 1989 Copy of order put in Theodore Watts' box.

Nov. 15, 1989 Motion To Amend Complaint and Order filed. AND NOW, this 15 day of November after consideration of the Plaintiff's motion, leave is hereby granted to Plaintiff to amend her Complaint in Divorce to include a count for counsel fees.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Nov. 16, 1989 Copy of order put in Theodore Watts' box.

Nov. 15, 1989, Amendment to Plaintiff's Complaint (Counsel Fees added) filed.

Nov. 15, 1989 Affidavit of Service of Motion to Amend Complaint and Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions, filed.

Jan. 23, 1990, Inventory and Appraisement of Defendant filed.

Feb. 6, 1991, Motion for Appointment of Master and Order Appointing Master filed. AND NOW, 2/8/91 Mary AnnKirkpatrick Esquire is appointed Master inrespect to the following claims:

Deposit required: By Plaintiff $400.00 By Defendant: $400.00

PER CURIAM,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Feb. 6, 1991, copies to T. Watts and M. Kirkpatrick

Aug. 21, 1991 Motion to Vacate Appointment as Master and ORder filed. And Now, August 21, 1991, the within Motionis allowed and William B. Moore, Esq., is apointed as Master.

By the Court,
GorodnR. Miller, J

Aug. 28, 1991 Copies oforder put in box for Atty.s. Watts, Mason, Kirkpatrick and Moore

Oct. 13, 1992 Affidavit of Consent by Sharen L. Chilson, Plaintiff, filed.

Oct. 13, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review under §3301 (c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Oct. 14, 1992 Affidavit of Consent by Keith M. Chilson, Defendant, filed.

Oct. 28, 1992 Memorandum filed. This matter comes before the Court on a praecipe for review filed by the attorney for the Plaintiff seeking a 3301(c) divorce and an equitable distribution ofthe parties' property. A review of the fling indicates that this matter has been ongoing since 1988. It would appear to the Court that the parties now intend to settle this matter in its entirety insofar as a proposed decree is presented which handles an equitable distribution ofthe property as well as the divorce. Unfortunately, the record is not in a position to move forward. First of all, on November 15, 1989 Plaintiff amended her complaint to include a count for counsel fees and that appears to still be outstanding. It is not dealt with in any manner in the proposed divorce decree. The second problem is that the divorce decree presented includes an equitable distribution allocationbut there is no indication on the record that the parties have agreed to the contents of that decree. It is clear that both parties have agreed to a divorce but since equitable distribution and counsel fee counts have not been bifurcated the divorce cannot proceed without some disposition with regard to those counts. Finally, it appears that a master has been appointed and that the required deposits may never have been made. Although it would appear that there probably are no master's fees due to the master, there is nothing onthe record to indicate that or if there are master's fees how they will be divided between the parties. This file is returned to the Prothonotary so that the parties may take actions consistent with this Memorandum at which time it may be praeciped to the Court again for review.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Oct. 28, 1992 Copy given to Atty. Watts.

Oct. 30, 1992 Motion to Withdraw Counsel Fees and Order filed. AND NOW,this 30th day of October, 1992, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, permission is hereby granted to withdraw Count III - Counsel Fees.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Nov. 5, 1992 Copy of Order to Atty. Watts.

Continued on Page 641

1988

Kathleen R. Nelson

746.

JAMIE S. GROOVER

vs.

DAVID E. RICHARDS

PETITION FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:
You, David E. Richards, have been sued in court to obtain custody of the child Kayla Irene Groover.
You are ordered to appear in person at the time and place selected by WILLIAM PINEO, ESQ., CUSTODY MEDIATOR.
If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody, or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.
Crawford CountyBar Association, Service to the public comm. c/o Prothonotary office, Meadville, Pa. 814 724=4636.
Dated: Aug. 24, 1988 BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Filed Aug. 24, 1988
At 9:08 a.m.

Indigent filing

William Pineo, Mediator
Mediation Costs 82.00

11/3/88 Recd. #41.00 From Defendant

Aug. 24, 1988, Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 24 day of Aut. 1988, upon consideration of the within petition, it is hereby ordered that leave is granted for the petitioner to proceed in forma pauperis. The court shall reserve the right to assess costs in this matter against the defendant at a later date. ROBERT L. WALKER, J.

Rec'd 12-30-88
$41.00
Vivian Stoltz

Sept. 30, 1988 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 28th day of Sept., 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter andupon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HER EBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Legal custody of Kayla Irene Groover is hereby awarded to her mother, Jamie S. groover.
2. the father shall hve the right of visitation with his daughter every Sunday from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., starting with October 3, 1988, and every Wednesday from 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. starting October 6, 1988. AFter January 1, 1989, the father's visitation rights shall be increased to every Sunday at 9:00 a.m. through Monda at 2:00 p.m. and Wednesday from 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.
3. Holidays are defined as Thanksgiving, Christmas eve, Christmas Day, New Year's Day, Kayla's birthday (January 10), Easter Memorial Day, Independence Day and Labor Day. The holiday visitation period shall be from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. The father shallhave his daughter for visitation on Thanksgiving, 1988, and th eparents shall thereafter alternate the holidays. Holiday visitation shall take precedence over th eother provisions of this Order relating to custody and visitation periods.
4. For the summer of 1989, the father shall have the right of visitation and temporary custody of Kayla for a period of one week during his vacation from work. He shall notify the mother one month in advance ofthe one-week period he wishes to select.
5. In view of Kayla's extreme youth and the expected repidity of her development, either party may request the court for further Mediation during the summer of 1990 to see if changes need to be made in these arrangements.
6. The parties are free to make alternative or additional arrangements respecting visitation and temporary physical custody. Such arrangements shall be by mutual consent only in the absence of which the terms of this Order shall govern.
7. Neither party shall be unde rthe influence of alcohol or illegal drugs when he or she has the care or custody of Kayla.
8. Each party shall take cre to see that nay automobile in which Kayla is being transported shall be equipped with proper car seats and seat restraints and that Kayla is properly strapped into the automobile, in accordance with the law.
9. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $82.00 are divided equally. The Mediator has noted for the Court that th emother is indigent and her share is assessed to Crawford County. The father's share is assessed to him as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.
10. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas,J

Oct. 4, 1988 Copies to Defendant, Kathleen Nelson, Michael Halliday and William Pineo

1988

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

747.

KENNETH G. LACOMBE
Appellant

vs.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Appellant

and
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
Party in interest

Filed Aug. 24, 1988
@ 10:35 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Aug. 29, 1988 Motion For Consolidation of Appeals and Order filed. AND NOW, this 29 day of Aug., 1988, upon Motion by the Appellant, it is ORDERED that the instant Appeal is consolidated with the Appellant's prior Appeals before this Court and docketed at A.D. No. 1987-279 and A.D. No. 1988-281.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, j

Aug. 31, 1988 Copy of order to Terry Toomey

Sept. 8, 1988 Certificate Of Service filed. I, Terry Toomey, Esquire, hereby certify that I am on this day serving notice of Notice of Appeal, Motion for Consolidation of Appeals, and Order granting consolidation of Appeals on the following representatives of the Appellee and the interested party in the following manner:

By first class certified mail, return receipt requested:

TO: Douglas Tobin
Bureau of Driver Licensing
Room 305
Transportation & Safety Building
Harrisburg, PA 17105

David L. Berkley, Esquire
Office of Chief Counsel
Dept. of Transportation
1209 State Office Building
300 Liberty Avenue
Pittsburgh,PA 15222

Richard J. Enterline
Assistant Counsel
Pennsylvania Insurance Dept.
1341 Strawberry Square
Harristown State Office
Building No. 1
Harrisburg, PA 17120

9/8/88

Terry Toomey

Aug. 14, 1989 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, August 11, 1989, the Memorandum and Order filed recently at Nos. A.D. 1987-279 and A.D. 1988-281 in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania are incorporated in this proceeding by reference and an identical order is entered herein.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 15, 1989 Copy of order put in Terry Toomey's box and copies mailed to David Berkley and richard Enterline

1988

748.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PA.

vs.

LEECH, INC.

Filed Aug. 24, 1988
@ 11:30 a.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$9.00
plff on orig	9.50
Sat. Pd 6/18/91	5.00

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 636

The undersigned hereby agrees to the revival of above judgment. Prothonotary indexed said judgment against the defendant in the amount of Sixty Nine Thousand and 00/100 Dollars, plus costs.

Debt.....$69,000.00
Dated.....August 17, 1988
L - 186.....JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Judgment entered - 8/24/88

June 18, 1991 This Judgment Satisfied. (see paper filed)

1988

Specter Law Offices
John C. Evans

749.
Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso and Gamble
Gary A. Fabian

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
By: E.Max Weiss for dft. Christopher S. Sterling

HOLLY L. STERLING AND CHRISTOPHER S. STERLING, HER HUSBAND

vs.

IRENE HUNT - deft.

Christopher S. Sterling, add'l. deft.

Filed Aug. 24, 1988
@ 11:44 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	31.30
Pro Co pd 3/3/89=	6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Sept. 2, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED Irene Hunt within named defendant on September 1, 1988 at 11:50 AM by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Mike Shook, adult member of the family with whom she resides, namely her nephew, at their residence, 756 West Central Avenue, Titusville, Crawford County PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept 12, 1988 Complaint filed. Jury Trial Demanded.

Sept 12, 1988 Appearance of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso and Gamble by Gary A. Fabian, Esq. for Defendant filed.

Oct. 7, 1988 Answer of defendant Irene Hunt with New Matter and New Matter pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 2252(d) filed.
(JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)

Nov. 23, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug, By: E. Max Weiss, Attorney for defendant, Christopher S. Sterling filed.

Nov 23, 1988 Reply to New Matter filed.

Dec. 19, 1988, Notice of Service of Interrogatories Upon Plaintiffs (First Set) filed.

Dec. 19, 1988, Notice of Service of Request for Production Upon Plaintiffs, filed.

Feb. 21, 1989, Responses to Request for Production to Plaintiffs (First Set) filed.

Mar. 2, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

James Irwin
~~Mary Ann Kirkpatrick~~
(withdrawn)

Blystone and Blystone
~~Curtis E. Blystone~~
withdrawn
750.

~~Brian Lindsay~~
(withdrawn)

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Walker & Keenan
R. Charles Thomas for Intervenor

10/3/88 Recd. $60.00 From Plaintiff

Recd Oct 5, 1988
$60.00
Vivian Stoltz

4/3/89 Recd. $60.00 From Plaintiff
Recd 4-3-89
$60.00
Vivian Stoltz

RANDY D. KISER

vs.

CYNTHIA M. KISER
(now Jeanerette)

Pro Co pd 3/5/90 9.00
State pd 3/5/90 10.00
Filed Aug. 24, 1988
@ 2:48 p.m.
Pro Co pd 5/31/90 5.00
Tax & entry Pd 8.29.88 $40.50
John Fuller, Mediator 120.00
3 counts Pd 8-29-88 45.00
Mediation Costs 120.00
Pro Co Pd 10/3/88 9.00
appointed child custody mediator in the above captioned action.

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, CUSTODY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, FEES, EXPENSES
1 copy (mediator)

Aug. 24, 1988, ORDER AND NOTICE filed. You, Cynthia M. Kiser, dft. have been sued in court to obtain custody of the children: Tonya K. Kiser and Shawn M. Kiser.

You are hereby ordered to appear in person for a conference at the time and place to be sc heduled by the Custody Mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Comm. c/o Prothonotary, Meadville, Pa. 16335 814 724-4636.

Date: Aug. 24, 1988 BY The COURT,
1 copy (atty) Robert L. Walker, J.
1 copy (mediator)

Aug. 24, 1988, Motion for Appointment of Child Custody Mediator, and order filed. ORDER: And Now, Aug. 24, 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing Motion, JOHN FULLER, is

Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 24, 1988, copies to Attys. C. Blystone and John Fuller.

Aug. 31, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed.

1. The undersigned, Curtis E. Blystone, is attorney for the plaintiff, Randy D. Kiser, in the above action in divorce.
2. On August 24, 1988, affiant mailed a true and attested copy of the complaint in the above captioned action, with Notice to defend and Claim Rights together with the Order and NOtice required by PA.R.C.P. 1915.3(b), to the defendant Cynthia M. Kiser addressed to her mailing address of 917 Erie Street, Saegertown Pennsylvania, 16335, by certified mail item no. P724 950 701 as indicated by the "Receipt for Certified Mail" attached hereto. Said item of certified mail was restricted for delivery to the addressee only.
3. The aforesaid item of certified mail was delivered to the defendant, Cynthia M. Kiser, personally on August 25, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt attached hereto and signed by the defendant personally.

I verify that the statements made in this affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities. Curtis E. Blystone

Sept. 27, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, September 26, 1988, after mediation conference before JohnFuller, Esquire, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Tonya R. Kiser and Shawn M. Kiser shall be shared between the parties, that is each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affecting the children's education, medical treatment, religious instruction and other such important matters and each parent shall be entitled full access to medical records, school records and other important records.

II. Physical custody of the children shall be with the father, Radny D. Kiser, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall deliver the children to the home of the mother each morning, Monday through Friday, at approximately six fifteen (6:15) a.m. The mother shall keep the chidlren in her custody each day (Monday through Friday) until th emother is required to go to work (either at one o'clock (1:00) p.m., or three o'clock (3:00) p.m.), at which time she will deliver the children to the home of the father's brother in Blooming Valley, Pennsylvania where the father will pick up the chidlren at six o'clock (6:00) p.m. and keep them in his custody until the following morning.

B. The children shall be in thehome of the father also on the weekend, except on those weekends where the mother's work schedule allows her to hav eSundays off. I fth emother desires to have the children in her custody on Sunday she shall notify the father no later than Friday evening preceding such Sunday. On those Sundays when the mtoehr requests custody as provided above she shall pick up the chidlren at the home of the father by 9:00 a.m. Sunday and keep them in her custody until the following Monday when she shall deliver them to th ehome ofthe father's brother, as provided above. If the father does not receive notice from the mother on friday evening that she requests custody of the children on Sunday, then no such Sunday visits shalltake place.

C. The mother shall properly clothe and feed the children and keep the children clean when they are in her custody and in the event she fails to do so, the father shall be entitled to a further mediation conference without proving a substantial change of circumstances

D. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas and Thanksgiving. The chidlren shall spend every Christmas Eve and every Christmas morning in thehome of the father but th emothr shall pick the children up every Christmas Day at 11:00 a.m. and keep them in her cusotdy until 5:00 p.m. the same day. The chidlren shall be in the home of the mother every Thanksgiving from 9:00 a.m. until 3:00 p.m. and in th ehome of the father form 3:00 p.m. on Thanksgiving and thereafter. Transportation of the chidlren on the above two holidays shall be divided so that th eparent picking up the chidlren shall provided transportation when picking up the child and the other parent shall provide transportation when picking up the child fromthehome of the other parent. Holiday visitation shall take precedence over weekend or weekly schedules as set forth above.

3. Neither mother nor father shall say anything or do anything in an a ttempt to turn the children against th eother parent, but onth eother hand, shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the prt of the chidren towards both parents.

F. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation that may be ahrmful tothe chidlren's health and./r welfare.

G. Both parents agree to keep th eother informed of any illness or injry concerning the chidlren and each further agree to furnishe th eother parent with a current telephone number at all times.

H. This Order is a temporary order but shall become apermanent order unless excpetions thereto are filed by either party within thirty(30) days of the date hereof.

I. Costs of the mediation conference shall be equally divided between the parties. P. Richard Thomas

Sept. 27, 1988 Copies of order to Curtis Blystone, Defendant, Plaintiff and John Fuller

Continued on Page 200 (a)

1988

Randy D. Kiser

VS.

Cynthia M. Kiser

Record Continued from Page 200

of

AD 1988 - 750

****

Nov. 30, 1988 Petition for Modification of Child Custody Order and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30 day of November, 1988, upon consideration of petitioner Randy D. Kiser's Petition for Modification of Child Custody Order, John Fuller, Esq., is hereby reappointed child custody mediator in the above captioned case and is hereby directed to hold further mediation conference.

P Richard Thomas, J.

Nov. 30, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Curtis Blystone and John Fuller.

Jan 10, 1989, Respondent's Answer to Petitioner's Request for Modification filed.

Jan 17, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, January 13, 1989, after further mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Tonya R. Kiser and Shawn M. Kiser, shall be shared between the mother, Cynthia M. Kiser, and the father, Randy D. Kiser, that is, each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisons affecting the children's education, medical treatment, religious instruction and other such important matters and each parent shall be entitled full access to medical records, school records and other important records.

II. Physical custody of the children shall be with the father, Randy D. Kiser, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall deliver the children to the home of the babysitter each morning, Monday through Friday, at approximately 6:15 a.m. The mother may pick up the minor child, Shawn, at the babysitter's house at any time mutually agreeable between her and the babysitter. Tonya shall go to school directly from the babysitter's house, but shall return on the bus to the home of the mother at approximately 11:15 a.m.

B. The children shall remain in the home of the mother each day, Monday through Friday, until approximately 2:00 p.m. when the mother shall take the children to the home of the babysitter, where the father will pick up the children at approximately 6:00 p.m.

C. During the Summer months when there is no school, the schedule shall be the same as provided above, except that the mother shall pick up both children at the home of the babysitter each morning at a time agreed upon between the mother and the babysitter.

D. The mother shall be entitled to have the children in her custody every other weekend, beginning January 14, 1989 from 9:00 a.m. Saturday until 7:30 p.m. Sunday. The mother will pick up the children at the home of the father, or when the father has to work on Saturday, the mother will pick up the children at the home of the babysitter and the mother will return the children on Sunday evenings to the home of the father.

E. At any time when the mother is more than one-half hour late in picking up the children either at the home of the father or the home of the babysitter, the father or the babysitter may consider the visit cancelled.

F. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas and Thanksgiving. The children shall spend every Christmas Eve and every Christmas morning in the home of the father, but the mother shall pick the children up every Christmas Day at 11:00 a.m. and keep the children in her custody until 5:00 p.m. the same day. The children shall be in the home of the mother every Thanksgiving from 9:00 a.m. until 3:00 p.m. and in the home of the father from 3:00 p.m. on Thanksgiving and thereafter. Transportation of the children on the above two holidays shall be divided so that the parent picking up the children shall provide transportation when picking up the child and the other parent shall provide transportation when picking up the children from the home of the other parent. Holiday visitation shall take precedence over weekend or weekly schedules as set forth above.

G. Neither mother nor father shall say or so anything an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

H. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

I. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding one or both of the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

J. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

III. Costs of the mediation conference shall be equally divided between the parties.

P. Richard Thomas, Judge

Jan 17, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Fuller and C. Blystone and Defendant and Plaintiff. and Atty. Brian Lindsay.

Mar. 1, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 1, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Mar. 1, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Mar. 12, 1990 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, March 12, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Randy D. Kiser, plaintiff, and Cynthia M. Kiser, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 31, 1990 Notice Of Election To Retake Maiden Name filed. Cynthia M. Kiser TO BE KNOWN AS: Cynthia M. Jeannerett

Apr. 6, 1993, Petition request of defendant for Modification filed. (Mr. Kiser rec'd petition, read, and refused to sign)

Continued on Page 200B

200
-b-

1988

Continuation of case of Randy D. Kiser vs. Cynthia M. Kiser at No. 1988 - 750 (continued from page 200a.)

Apr. 6, 1993, ORDER filed. AND NOW, April 5, 1993, the defendant, now known as Cynthia m. Jeannerett, appears in Motion Court with a document at this no. and term captioned Cynthia M. Jeannerett vs. Randy D. Kiser which is essentially a request for modification of the existing custody order.

She indicates that she served a copy of this petition on the Plaintiff on Apr. 2, 1993 and that he read it and refused to sign an acknowledgment that he had received the same. He does not appear in Motion Court at the time the petition is presented.

The Prothonotary is first directed to file this at the appropriate number and term eventhough the caption is not correct and, in order that the defendant mother's request may be heard, this matter is scheduled for hearing on May 5, 1993, at 11:00 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 Crawford County courthouse.

The Prothonotary is directed to send a copy of this order to the defendant Cynthia M. Jeannerett at 501 Union Street, Ellwood City, Pa. 16117 and to the plaintiff, Randy D. Kiser, by cert. mail, ret. rec. req., c/o Diamond Tool & Die, Townville, Pa. 16360, as well as by reg. mail to any atty. of record the plaintiff may have with respect to this case.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Apr. 7, 1993, Copies to plff (cert), defendant and to Atty. Blystone.

May 4, 1993 Plaintiff's Answer to Defendant's Petition filed.

May 17, 1993 Order filed. AND NOW, May 5, 1993, after an informal hearing on the on the Defendant's Modification Request, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. Legal custody ofTonya R.Kiser and Shawn M.Kiser (hereinafter referred to as "children"), shall be shared between the parties. That is, each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affecting the children's education. medical care, religious instruction and other important matters. Each parent shall be entitled to have full access to medical records, school records and other important records.

2. Primary physical custody of the children shall be with their father, Randy D. Kiser, subject to the following:

A) The mother shall have the right to visit her children and take them into her custody every third weekend, commencing with Friday, May 21, 1993, from 6:00 o'clock p.m. until the following Sunday at 5:00 o'clock p.m.

B) The mother shall have the right to take the children into her custody for two separate two-week periods in the summer. She shall notify the father by telephone or in writing at least thirty (30) days prior to the time she intends to exercise these summer visitations. The summer visitations shall commence at 6:00 o'clock p.m. on a Friday and end at 6:00 o'clock p.m. on the send Friday following, for a total of fourteen (14) days during each two-week period. She shall not use any week when the father is on vacation from his work.

C) Holidays shall be defined as Easter and Thanksgiving. The mother shall have the right to visit and take her children into her custody for a 24-hour period commencing at 12:00 o'clock Noon on Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving and the children shall be returned at Noon the next day, unless the next day is a school day, in which case, the visitation shall end at 5:00 o'clock p.m. on the day of the holiday.

D) As to Christmas, the mother shall have the right to visit and take her children into her custody for a 5-day period, commencing at Noon on Christmas Day and ending at 5:00 o'clock p.m. on the fifth day thereafter. In counting the days, Christmas Day shall not be counted as a day, but December 26 shall become the first day.

E) Transportation shall be shared by the parties, with the receiving parent having the responsibility of picking up the children at the time appointed.

F) In the event that the mother is unable to exercise any visitation period or does not intend to exercise a visitation period, she shall notify the father at least 24 hours in advance in writing or by telephone. At the time the mother is to exercise her visitations, if she does not appear at the father's home within one (1) hours of the appointed time, that visitation shall be deemed canceled, the father and the children may engage in other activities and there shall be no period of visitation substituted for that canceled visitation.

3. The non-custodial parent shall have the right to make one phone call to the children during any 7-day period while the children are in the custody of the other. The parties shall attempt to determine when that phone call can be made. If they cannot determine that, then it shall be a Wednesday evening at 8:00 o'clock p.m. The phone call can last as long as the parents agree, but shall not be shorter than 15 minutes. The calls shall not be monitored or censored by the parent with whom the children are then living at the time the call is received.

4. Neither parent shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other. In fact, both shall do everything in their power to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children toward both parents.

5. Neither party shall expose the children to any person or situation that may be harmful to the chidren's health, safety, morals or welfare.

6. Neither parent shall become intoxicated or under the influence ofintoxicating liquors, beer or wine, nor shall they at any time when the children are in that parent's custody. Neith parent shall consume alcoholic beverages of any type within 12 hours of a pickup. Neither parent shall consume any illegal substances, narcotics or controlled substances or any other drugs or medication not prescribed by a licensed treating medical care provider at any time while the children are in his or her custody, nor for 24 hours prior to a scheduled pickup. Neither parent shall permit any other persons with whom they are living or who are visiting in their home to bring in, consume or use any illegal drug, narcotic or controlled substance while the children are there whether the children are physically in the home or not.

7. These parents shall not argue or bicker in the presence of the children or during any custody exchanges. If they wish to discuss matters involving the children that may tend to get heated or argumentative, they shall do so outside of the hearing of the children at all times. Furthermore, neither parent shall argue or fight or bicker with their other spouse or adult with whom they are living in the presence of the children.

8. Both parents shall keep each other informed of any illness or injury involving the children. Each party shall furnish to the other a current telephone number and address for their residence and their place of employment. Neither parent shall call the other while the calling parent is under the influence of any alcoholic beverages or controlled substances. Neither parent shall call the other in an harassing or threatening manner. While the Court hopes that these parties would not bicker at all, we recognize they may bicker and raise their voices and that may occur over the telephone, especially when the children are not present. That shall not be considered harassment unless it is done for no lawful purpose or becomes excessive.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

May 24, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Blystone, Lindsay & Fuller.

May 8, 1995 Petition for contempt, Notice and Rule to Show Cause filed. NOTICE. Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging that you have wilfully disobeyed an order of court for weekend partial custody.

If you wish to defend against the claim set forth in the following pages,you may but are not required tofile in writing with the court your defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in writing with the court your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in court on June 7, 1995 at 9:15 A.M. in Courtroom #1.

IF YOU DO NOT APPEAR IN PERSON, THE COURT MAY ISSUE A WARRANT FOR YOUR ARREST.

If the court finds that you have wilfully failed to comply with its order for weekend partial custody visitation, you may be found to be in contempt of court and committed to jail, fined or both.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Anne A. Walker, Court Administrator
Crawford County Courthouse
903 Diamond Park
Meadville,Pennsylvania 16335
Tel: (814) 333-7498 FAx: (814) 336-4225

Date: 5-5-95

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R.Miller, J.

5-9-95 Copy to Kirkpatrick, Blystone, & Lindsay

Continue to Page 200 C

Kiser vs Kiser, now known as Jeannerette, AD 1988 750. Continued from Page 200 B

May 8, 1995 RULE TO SHOW CAUSE. AND NOW, this 5thday of May 1995 this court hereby issues a RULE upon Respondent, to show cause, if any he has, why he should not be held in contempt and why the special relief requested should not be granted.

SAID RULE is returnable for hearing & argument on the 7th day of June, 1995 in court room no. 1 at the Crawford County Courthouse at 9:15 A.M.

Gordon R.Miller, J.

5-8-95 Faxed Lindsay & Kirkpatrick, copy Blystone

May 11, 1995, Appearance of James R. Irwin, attorney for plaintiff filed.

May 26, 1995 Praecipe for Withdrawal of Appearance of Curtis E.Blystone, Esquire, Attorneys for Plaintiff, Randy D. Kiser, filed.

Jun. 8, 1995 Motion for Permission to Intervene and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of June, 1995,upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion, it is ordered and decreed that permission is given for the said Patricia Kiser to intervene in this case and become a party thereto just as if she had been originally joined as a party in the aforesaid proceedings as to the special relief petition now before the court only.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

6-8-95 Faxed Thomas & Lindsay

Jun. 9, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 7, 1995,part way through the formalhearing,the parties, including Intervenor Patricia Kiser,agreed to the entry of an Order,and based upon the agreement, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The hearing on the Petition for Contempt is continued and shall be rescheduled on motion of Cynthia Jennerette,provided said motion is filed with the Court no later than Monday, June 26, 1995. FURTHERMORE, said motion toschedule ahearing shall only be filed if Cynthia Jennerette also files a petition alleging that Randy D.Kiser or Patricia Kiser are in further contempt of court by reason of conduct that has occurred from this date to June 26, 1995. The point is that if there are no allegations of further contempt by Randy D. Kiser and/or Patricia Kiser by June 26, 1995, the present contempt petition may not proceed further. If said motion and petition are not filed,the current Petition for Contempt filed May 1, 1995 is automatically dismissed without any further proceedings.

2. The children are currently in the physical custody of Patricia Kiser by virtue of a PFA action between Patricia Kiser and Randy D.Kiser entered inthis court a few months ago. Patricia Kiser has never been awarded custody or visitation rights ina custody case. It appears that her present custody situationarises because her husband Randy D.Kiser is the primary physical custodian of thse children and she became custodian by virture of the PFA Order. Patricia Kiser is ORDERED and DIRECTED,since she has intervened int his case, to accord Cynthia Jennerette the visitation rights she is entitled to under the Order of May 5, 1993. In the event that either parent, Randy D.Kiser or Cynthia Jennerette, appears at the home of Patricia Kiser to exercise a visitation period as ordered on May 5, 1993, and if either is under the influence of intoxicating liquors, alcoholic beverages, beer, wine or controlled or illegal substances,Patricia Kiser is not required to turn the children over for that particular visitation. HOWEVER, if Randy D.Kiser or Cynthia Jennerette appear for a scheduled visitation and are not under the influence of intoxicating liquors, alcoholic beverages, beer, wine or controlled substances, and otherwise appear fit to accept custody for the visitation period, she must release the children for that visitation period to the parent who has the right of visitation.

3. As indicated above, if Cynthia Jennerette appears for the next visitation which appears to be scheduled for June 16, 1995,and is absolutely sober and not impaired and isnot under the influence of intoxicating liquors,alcoholic beverages, beer, wine or controlled substances or illegal drugs, and Patricia Kiser accords Cynthia Jennerette that entire visitation, then the contempt petition presently pending before the Court will be automatically dismissed without any further proceedings.

4. The Court reminds Randy Kiser and Cynthia Jennerette that they must fully and completely comply wsiththe Order of May 5, 1993 in all respects. The children have been advised ina separate session with the Judge and the attorneys that the children must comply withthe Court Order until it ischanged. But that if either of their parents are under the influence of alcohol or controlled substances or do anything that adversely affects their safety,health, morals and welfare,that they are to report that and that if the matter comes back to court, the Court will protect the children inall respects. These children are now of an age when they can understand these sorts of things andshould be able to report what they see if the conduct is improper.

5. Until further Order of Court or agreement signed by all of the parties, the Order of May 5, 1993 is modified slightly. Patricia Kiser shall perform the transportation responsibilities that are placed on Randy D.Kiser in the May 5, 1993 Order. Randy D. Kiser shall not perform those transportation duties since because of the PFA Order, he is not the primary custodian of these children.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R.Miller, President Judge

6-9-95 Dist. to Lindsay, Thomas & Irwin

June 30, 1995, Motion for Leave to Withdraw Appearance and Order filed. And now, June 28, 1995, the motion to withrawal of appearance is granted. (withdrawal of atty. Kirkpatrick)

By the Court,
Anthony j. Vardaro, J.

6/30/95 faxed to Kirkpatrick and Lindsay

Jul. 12, 1995 Exhibits filed.

Aug. 18, 1997 Motion to Withdraw and order filed. Order: And Now, the 18 day of Aug. 1997 upon presentation and consideration of the within motion, dft's counsel Brain J. Lindsay, is hereby authorized and is given leave to withdraw his appearance on behalf of the dft. in above case.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

8/18/97 faxed to Lindsay

200
-D-

1988

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

751.

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Ann H. Gamble

BRIAN L. BURROWS

vs.

BRENDA G. BURROWS

Filed Aug. 25, 1988
@ 11:06 a.m.

Tax & Entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co Pd 5-12-89	9.00
State Paid 5-12-89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, AND CUSTODY

Aug. 29, 1988 Motion for Custody Mediator and Order filed. AND NOW, this 29th day of August, 1988 William Pineo, is hereby appointed Custody Mediator to hear the claim for custody of KAYLA BURROWS and thereafter made a recommendation to the Court.

You, BRENDA G. BURROWS, Defendant, have been sued in Court to determine legal and physical custody of KAYLA BURROWS. You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as the Custody Mediator shall direct and bring with you at that time the child, KAYLA BURROWS.

Pending further Order of Court, BRENDA G. BURROWS shall have legal and physical custody of KAYLA BURROWS with BRIAN L. BURROWS to have reasonable visitation privileges as the parties shall agree.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order or to bring the child, an Order for Custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
c/o Prothonotary
Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 724-4636

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas,

Aug. 30, 1988 Copies of order to Joseph Barnhart and William Pineo

Aug. 31, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared JOSEPH C. BARNHART, ESQ., who did depose and say that he is the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter and that he did serve a certified copy of a Divorce Complaint as filed August 25, 1988 upon the Defendant at her address by United States certified mail, restricted delivery. Delivery of same being evidenced by postal return receipt #P 620 643 150 dated August 27, 1988 attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and seal this 30th day of August, 1988.

Joseph C. Barnhart, Esq.

Sept. 1, 1988, Appearance of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso & Gamble, by Ann Hansen Gamble, attorneys for defendant, filed.

Jan. 20, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 21, 1989 Stipulation of Parties For Cihld Cutody Order and Order filed. AND NOW, this 17 day of Feb., 1989 upon consideration of the Stipulation of the parties as set forth in the above-captioned matter, said Stipulation concerning the legal and physical custody of Kayla Burrows is hereby approved by the Court and entered herein fully by reference as an Order of Court.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, J

Feb. 22, 1989 Copies of order to Joseph Barnhart and Ann Hansen Gamble

May 4, 1989 Plaintiff's Affidavit Of Consent To Divorce filed.

May 11, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

May 23, 1989 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 23rd day of May, 1989, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned matter, the Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, onthe grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the aprties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.
2. No other matters or causes of actions provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code of 1980, as amended, are reserved by either of the parties.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

C. Barton Jones

752.

THERESA A. WOLFORD

vs.

ROBERT T. WOLFORD

Filed Aug. 25, 1988
@ 11:25 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

Apr. 10, 1989 Motion To Withdraw By Attorney and Order filed. AND NOW, April 11, 1989, Motion allowed.
Robert L. Walker, J
Apr. 11, 1989 Copy of order mailed to C. Barton Jones

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a part in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 4-10-89 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 8, 1997 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey
~~Barrett & Bratler~~
~~Bruce A. Barrett~~

753.

pro se

KAREN COREY

vs.

EVERETT COREY

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Filed Aug. 25, 1988
@ 3:02 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 5/1/89	9.00
State pd 5/1/89	10.00

Nov. 9, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I,.Jack P. Spinks, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am a competent adult; that I served the Complaint in Divorce, filed in the above matter, on Everett Corey,personally, by handing his a true and correct COPY THEREOF AND Informing him of its contents at 17th St., National Rd., Columbus, Indiana, on the 3 day of Nov. 1988 at 4:20 o'clock p.m.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S.§4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Date: 11-3-88

Jack P. Spinks

Apr. 28, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 28, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr. 28, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

May 19, 1989 Motion to withhold the granting of a divorce decree and Order filed. And now, May 18, 1989 the above motion allowed;. P. Richard Thomas, J

May 25, 1989 Copy of order put in Bruce Barrett's box.

June 7, 1991 Appearance of Terry Toomey, Attorney for plaintiff filed.

June 7, 1991 Notice of Intention To Request Entry of Divorce Decree filed.

Mar. 11, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, for review Under 201(c) of the divorce decree, this case moved to court.

March 16, 1992 ORDER filed. This case has been reviewed pursuant to a praecipe for review filed by the plaintiff on March 11, 1992. The file is returned to the Prothonotary for further proceedings consistent with this memorandum.

The Court will review this matter further upon the filing of another praecipe for review after further proceedings have been completed.

The Complaint was filed on Aug. 25, 1988 but nto served until Nov. 3, 1988. That is not proper. Service of the complaint more than thirty (30) days after filing is invalid and does not give the Court jurisdiction over the defendant in the divorce case. Pa. R.C.P. 401(a) mandates that original process shall be served within thirty (30) days after the filing of the complaint if service is to be made within the Commonwealth. Beyond that the complaint becomes stale, not dead, and must be reinstated before it may be properly served. See Pa. R.C.P. 401(a), 401(b) (1); Lauterbach v. Lauterbach, 202 Pa. Super 260, 195 A.2d 159 91963); Blose v. Blose, 21 D & C 2nd 1986 (1960); O'Shall v. O'Shall, 22 D & C 2nd 158 (1960).

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

March 17, 1992 Copy of Order to Atty. Terry Toomey.

July 30, 1992 Complaint Reinstated filed.

Aug. 4, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed. (1 copy atty.)

Aug. 19, 1992 DEFENDANT'S COUNTER-AFFIDAVIT UNDER SECTION 3301(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, filed.

Aug. 19, 1992 ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE AND ENTRY OF APPEARANCE PRO SE, filed. I, Everett Corey, the Defendant named in the above-captioned divorce action, do hereby enter my appearance pro ce. FURTHER I hereby acknowledge my receipt of the reinstated Complaint of the Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Affidavit on the date evidenced below. Aug. 13, 1992.

Sept. 21, 1992 Acceptance of Service Notice Of Intention To Request Entry of Divorce Decree and Praecipe To Transmit The record filed. I, Everett Corey, Defendant in the above-captioned divorce action, do hereby accept service of the Plaintiff's Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree and Praecipe to transmit the Record on the date evidenced below.
Date: 9-4-92

Everett Corey

Sept. 24, 1992 Upon Praecipe of plaintiff attorney for Review under §3301(d) of Divorce Code this case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Sept. 30, 1992 AND NOW, this 29th day of September, 1992, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the marriage heretofore existing between **KAREN COREY** and **EVERETT COREY** is hereby dissolved and all legal duties, rights, and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuant of said marraige are hereby terminated.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

1988

Shafer, Swick Bailey,
Irwin and Stack
James R. Irwin

754.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

3/16/91 Recd. $300.00
From Plaintiff

6/4/91 Recd $500.00
From defendant

4-8-92 Pd-Maria Long Fees
Refund-master fees
Per order 4-8-92
Ch# 6749 $300.00
4-8-92 Pd atty Kirkpatrick
500.00 for deft Per order
4-8-92 Ch# 6765

MARIA M. LONG

vs.

PAUL W. LONG

Filed Aug. 26, 1988
@ 10:36 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
2 counts paid	30.00
Emil M. Spadafore, Master	
State Paid	10.00
Pro. Co. Paid	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, FEES & EXPENSES

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 2, 1988, Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, Rule entered on plaintiff to file Bill of Particulars, issued.

Sept. 6, 1988 Verification filed. I, James R. Irwin, Esq. do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for Plaintiff and that as such, I am authorized to execute this Verification; that I did, on the 26th day of August, 1988 send by Certified Mail, Return receipt Requsted, Addressee Only, to Paul W. Long, Defendant, a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint filed at the aboe term and number at his last known address of Woodland Heights, Apt. 305C, RD#7, Meadville, Crawford Co. Pa., as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P-547-801-427, and that on the 1st day of September, 1988 I did receive the duly executed eturn receipt dated the 30th day of August, 1988.
James R. Irwin, ESq.

Sept. 9, 1988, Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, Rule entered upon plaintiff to File Bill of Particulars, issued.

Sept. 9, 1988, Service of the foregoing Rule accepted by James R. Irwin, attorney for plaintiff.

Nov. 7, 1988 Bill of Partriculars filed.

April 5, 1989 Income and Expense Statement of Plaintiff, Maria M. Long filed.

April 5, 1989 Inventory and Appraisement of Maria M. Long, Plaintiff filed.

July 19, 1989 Plaintiff's Motion To Compel Defendant To File Inventory and Appraisement & Income and Expenses Statement or Suffer Sanctions and T oSet Hearing Date To determine Issues Of INterim Counsel Fees and Alimony Pendente Lite and Order filed. AND NOW, this 17 day of July, 1989, after hearing hereon, it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:
a). Defendant is directed to file his inventory and appraisal and income and expense statement within fifteen (15) days from the date hereof, or suffer sanctions for his failure to do so.
b). & c). Reserved for future decision. (See paper filed)

BY THE COURT,
P R. Thomas, J

July 19, 1989 Copies of order put in James Irwin and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick's box

See Aug 15. 1989 & MAR 15. 1990 FILINGS below-

Mar 28, 1990 Inventory and Appraisement of Maria M. Long filed.

Aug 15, 1990 Income and Expense Statement of Defendant filed.

Aug 15, 1990 Inventory and Appraisement of Defendant filed.

Mar 15, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug. 7, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 10, 1990 Motion For Continuance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 10 day of August, 1990 upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion this hearing is continued generally. Hearing to be scheduled upon Motion of either Plaintiff or Defendant.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, J

Aug. 13, 1990 Copies of order put in James Irwin and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick's box.

FEb. 27, 1991, Motion for Appointment of a Master and Order Appointing Master filed. ORDER APPOINTING MASTER: AND NOW, 2/28/91 Emil M. Spadafore is appointed master in respect to the following claims:
Divorce, Alimony, Equitable Distribution, Counsel Fees and costs
Deposit required: By Plaintiff: $300.00 By Defendant: $500.00

By the court,
P. R. Thomas, S. J.

Mar. 3, 1991, copies of order to J. Irwin, M. Kirkpatrick and E. Spadafore.

May 31, 1991 Petition For Contempt filed.

May 31, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, this 31 day of May, 1991, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that a Rule to Show Cause is hereby entered upon Respondent, Paul W. Long, to show why he should not be held in contempt of Court.
Said Rules is returnable on the 20 day of June, 1991, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania at 9:00 o'clock a.m.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

May 31, 1991 Copies of order put in James Irwin, Emil Spadafore and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

Jan 2, 1992 Upon praecipe by Plaintiff's Attorney to transmit record, this case moved to Court.

Jan. 2, 1992 Memorandum of Agreement as to Divorce Equitable Distribution Alimony, Court Costs, and Counsel Fees. filed.

Jan. 13, 1991 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

1. **DIVORCE:** Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce form the bonds of matrimony to the abovenamed parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and Mirtety (90) days have elapsed form the date of filing of the complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the entry of a divorce decree, pursuant to Section 3301(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce code.
2. **Equitable Proper ty Determination and Distribution:** It is ordered and decreed that the marital property of the abovenamed parties shall be divided in accordance with a Equitable Distribution Agreement dated December 23, 1990, executed by the parties and file din the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania at the abovecaptioned number and term. this Agreement, in part, provides that the Defendant shall pay to Plaintiff the sumof $345.90, which includes her share of Defendant's savings and profit sharing fund, the Universal Life Policy, and the American Federal Checking Account.

Further, it is ordered and decreed that Defendant agrees to transfer to Plaintiff all his right, title and interest in and to two Series EE United States Savings Bonds in the fact amount of $500.00. and $100.00.
3. **Alimony:** In accordance with Paragraph 5 of the December 23, 1990, Agreement neither of the parties hereto shall be awarded alimony.
4. **COUNSEL FEES, COSTS, AND EXPENSES:** It is ordered and decreed that in accordance with Paragraph 8 of the Agreement dated december 23, 1990, that each of the par ties shall be responsible for the payment of their own counsel fees, costs and expenses.
5. A copy of the Equitable Distribution AGreement of the parties, dated December 23, 1990, which sets in further detail the agreement ofthe parties concerning the matters herein, shall be filed iwth this Decree in the Prothonotary's Office at the above number and term.
6. No other matters or causes of action provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code are reserved by either of the parties.
This Order shall be effective as of this 10th day of January, 1992.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 1, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 1st day of April, 1992, the Prothonotary of Cr. Co. is ordered to refund Maria M. Long, Plaintiff in the above -captioned divorce action, the amount of $300.00 for unused Master's fees.
BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
4-8-92 copy Irwin & Kirkpatrick

Apr. 1, 1992 PETITION TO RETURN MASTER'S FEES, filed.

Apr. 8, 1992 PETITION FOR RETURN OF MASTER'S FEE DEPOSIT and ORDER filed. And now April 8, 1992, the Prothonotary is instaructed to return the $500 Master's Fee deposit of the Defendant to Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, attorney for Defendant.
By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Apr. 14, 1992 copy in box for J. Irwin & M. Kirkpatrick

1988

Bernard & Stuczynski
John M. Bonanti

755.

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

FIRST INTERSTATE CREDIT ALLIANCE, INC.

vs.

THOMAS WHITMAN, INDIVIDUALLY, AND DOING BUSINESS AS FRENCHTOWN GENERAL STORE

Filed Aug. 26, 1988
@ 11:15 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	27.30
Arb. pd 4/27/89	15.00
Pro Co Pd 1/23/90	5.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Sept. 30, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Thomas Whitman, d/b/a Frenchtown General Store, personally on September 22, 1988 at 3:15 PM at his residence, R.D.#3, Box 132, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 6, 1988, Appeara nce of Bozic and Thomas, by R. Charles Thomas, attorneys for defendant filed.

Apr. 24, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to appoint an arbitration panel, the following arbitrators are appointed: Peter E. Blystone, Ch.
Stephen E. Hall
David L. Hotchkiss

May 10, 1989 Arbitrators take Qualifying Oaths.

Jan. 19, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned matter is settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Dale, Woodard,
Montgomery, Greenfield
& Pemrick
George R. Zaiser

756.

NORMA LEE KERR

vs.

JOHN HUMMER KERR

Filed Aug. 26, 1988
@ 11:21 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co Pd 8-26-88	9.00
Pro Co Pd 12-14-88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 9, 1988, Proof of Service of Complaint filed. The undersigned being first duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that:

1. Your deponent is counsel for the plaintiff in above case.
2. The Complaint filed was served upon the defendant pursuant to Pa. Rules of Civil Procedure by cert. mail with restricted delivery and ret. rec. req. addressed to said dft. at his last known address of said defendant: R. D. #1, box 228, Titusville, Pa. 16354.
3. Attached hereto is the following exhibit: The receipt of cert. mail delivery singed by defendant. George R.Zaiser.

Dec 14, 1988 Agreement filed.

Dec 14, 1988 Plaintiff's Affidavit of Consent filed.

Dec 14, 1988 Defendant's Affidavit of Consent filed.

Dec 14, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Dec. 14, 1988 Agreement filed.

Dec. 21, 1988 ORDER AND DECREE FILED

NOW,this 21 day of Dec. 1988, after review of the above-captioned case and upon consideration of the pleadings and other documents filed therein, it is
ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The plaintiff, Norma Lee Kerr, and the defendant, John Hummer Kerr, are hereby divorced from the bonds of matrimony because their marriage is irretrievably broken.
2. The parties have submitted to the Court their settlement agreement voluntarily entered into under date of December 10, 1988; and a copy of said agreement is attached hereto.
3. T he said agreement be, and the same is hereby, approved, adopted, confirmed and made a part of this Decree with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
4. In accordance with the terms of said agreement and notwithstanding the approval, adoption, confirmation and incorporation of the same by and in this Decree, the said agreement shall not be merged herein, but shall survive and be binding upon the parties.
5. The said agreement shall not be modified or amended except as provided therein.
6. This Decree constitutes a final Order as to all matters and claims pending in this action; however, the Court retains jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter of the aforesaid settlement agreement dated December 10, 1988, for purposes of enforcement only.
7. Each party shall pay his or her own costs.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

1988

Emil M. Spadafore Jr.

757.

Legal Services
Margaret Eglinton
for dft. W. Peterson

BARBARA JOHNS

vs.

WALTER PETERSON AND JAMES L. McPHERSON

Filed Aug., 26, 1988
@ 2:37 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Costs 18.00

Pro Co Pd 1/20/89 6.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

(Jury Trial Demanded)

Sept 19, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Walter Peterson within named defendant on September 14, 1988 at 10:34 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Scott Peterson, adult member of the family with whom he resides, namely his brother, at their residence, 703 Park Avenue, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 4, 1988, Answer and Counterclaim filed, of defendant Walter Peterson.

Jan. 20, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked discontinued of record. Upon praecipe of defendant Walter Peterson, counterclaim in the above case discontinued of record.

1988

Legal Services
Gerald Sullivan

758.

EUGENIA PULLING

vs.

DAVID PULLING

Filed Aug. 29, 1988
@ 10:45 a.m.

Tax & entry $40.50

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE & ORDER

6 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 29 day of Aug. 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 15 day of Sept. 1988 at 3:30 p.m. in C. R. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Bourthouse, in Meadville, Pa.

The defendant is directed to refrain form abusing and/or threatening to abuse, or harassing the plaintiff and /or the minor children of the parties.

The defendant is excluded immediately and forthwith from the marital residence which is located at R. D. #2, Zilhaver Road, Cambridge Springs, Pa. pending the hearing s cheduled above. All keys to the marital residence are to be given forthwith to the plaintiff.

The plaintiff is hereby awarded temporary custodyofthe minor minor children of the parties pending the hearing s cheduled above.

The Crawford County Sheriff Dept. is directed to serve a cert. copy of the petition and order upon the dft. or to arrange that such service be made upon defendant. In the alternative, this petition and order may be served by any competent adult or by cert. mail.

The Cambridge Springs Police dept. and/or the State Polcie of the Com. of Pa. are directed to enforce this order and to prevent the return of the defendant to the aforesaid premises by any appropriate means.

The petition and order shall be filed and served without payment of costs; the court shall determine at the hearing if the defendant shall be required to pay the costs.

The defendant is hereby advised of his right to be represented by counsel, and he is notified that his failure to attend the hearing scheduled above may have serious legal consequences.

The defendant should take this paper to his lawyer at once. If he does not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, he should go to or teleophone the office set forth below to find out where he can get legal help.

Crawford CountyBar Assoc., Ser. to the Pub. Comm. c/o Prothonotary, Courthouse, Meadville Pa. 16335 814 724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Sept. 14, 1988 Motion For Dismissal and Order filed. AND NOW, this 14 day of Sept., 1988, upon considerationof the foregoing Motion for Dismissal, said Motion is granted.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Sept. 19, 1988 Copies of order to Gerald Sullivan.

1988

Legal Services
Gerald Sullivan

759.

EUGENIA PULLING

vs.

DAVID PULLING

Filed Aug. 29, 1988
@ 11:03 a.m.

Indigent filing
John Fuller, Mediator

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

5 copies (atty)

1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, David Pulling, defendant have been sued in court to obtain custody of the minor children, Howard Pulling, Elizabeth Pulling and Tonya PUlling.

You are ordered to appear in person at the time and place selected by JOHN FULLER, CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody, or visitationmay be entered against you for the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

Pending the outcome of the above hearing, legal and physical custody of the minor children shall be with the plaintiff.

The Crawford County Sheriffs Dept. is directed to serve a cert. copy of this Complaint and order upon the sefendant or to arrange such service to be made upon the dft. In the alternative this petition and order may be served by any competent adult or by cert. mail.

Plaintiff is allowed to proceed without payment of costs resreving to the court the right to impose costs.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford CountyBar Assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Comm. c/o Prothonotary Courthouse, Meadville Pa. 16335, Tele: 814 724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Aug. 29, 1988, Affidavit to Proceed IN Forma Pauperis, Praecipe to Proceed IN forma pauperis and order filed. 8/29/88 Granted.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Sept. 14, 1988 Motion for Dismissal and Order filed. AND NOW, this 14 day of Sept. 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion for dismissal, said Motion is granted.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Sept. 19, 1988 Copies of order to Gerald Sullivan and John Fuller

210

1988

Edgar M. Snyder &
Associates
Cynthia M. Danel

760.

Zimmer, Kunz, Loughren,
Hart, Lazaroff, Trenor,
Banyas & Conaway PC
Louis B. Loughren

ALICE R. CLARK AND
KEITH D. CLARK

vs.

FLORENCE M. LOWE

Filed Aug. 29, 1988
@ 11:11 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 19.10
Pro Co Pd 3-22-90 9.00

COMPLAINT IN A CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Sept. 1, 1988 Sheriff's REturn filed. SERVED Florence M. Lowe personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on August 30, 1988 at 3:40 PM at her residence, R.D.#2 Box 523, Saegertown, PA 16433.
So answers, Edgar D. HOuseholder, Deputy SHeriff
Howard N. Stewart, SHeriff

SEpt. 7, 1988, Appearance of Zimmer, Kunz, loughren, Hart, Lazaroff, Trenor, Banyas & Conaway, P.C., by Louis B. Loughren, attorneys for defendant filed.

Oct. 3, 1988 Answer and New Matter filed.

Oct. 5, 1988, Plaintiffs' Reply to New Matter filed.

Oct. 18, 1988 Plaintiff's Request For Production Of Documents filed.

Nov. 14, 1988, Response to Plaintiffs' Request for Production of Documents filed.

June 20, 1989, Plaintiffs' Notice of Deposition of Florence M. Lowe filed.
(Jury Trial Demanded)

Oct. 2, 1989 Plaintiffs' Certificate of Readiness filed.

Oct. 18, 1989 Plaintiffs' Notice Of Medical Deposition of Azhar Aslam, M.D. field.

On February 1990 Trial List

Feb 13, 1990 Plaintiffs' Points for Charge filed.

Feb 13, 1990 Exhibits filed with papers and one photo blowup back of file cabinet.

V E R D I C T

AND NOW, Feb 12, 1990 jury selected and sworn Feb 12, 1990 as follows: 1. Terri Arnink, 2. Milton Ferry, 3. Susan Cronin, 4. Malcolm Beckwith, 5. David Freyermuth, 6. Pamela Knox, 7. Rebecca Ann Dubrowski, 8. Lisa Lynn Hauptmann, 9. Kathleen Nelson, 10. Martin D. Heckman, 11. H. Richard Ewing, 12. Glen Peters, twelve good and lawful persons who upon their solemn oaths which they have taken and at least 10 of them say Feb 13, 1990 @ 6:00 p.m. they find: for the Plaintiff and furthermore award damages to Mrs. Clark of $6,000.00 and damages to Mr. Clark of $2,000.00.
L-66

Martin D. Heckman, Foreman

Feb 14, 1990 Plaintiffs' Additional Proposed Points for Charge filed.

Feb 14, 1990 Defendant, Florence M. Lowe's Points for Charge filed.

Feb 14, 1990 Depostion of Azhar Aslam, MD filed.

Feb 22, 1990 Plaintiffs' Petition for Return of Physical Evidence and Order filed: AND NOW, to-wit, this 21st day of February, 1990, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the petition of the Plaintiffs for Return of Physical Evidence shall be granted, and the Pennsylvania Driver's License of ALICE R. CLARK previously admitted into evidence in the trial of her case at No. AD 1988-760, will be returned to the Plaintiff in exchange for a Xerox copy of said license to be kept with the file in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County.
BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J

Feb 23, 1990 Copies of order mailed to Attys. Danel and Mangino

AND NOW, March 22, 1990 @ 1:29 p.m. Upon Praecipe of Defendant's attorney, judgment on the verdict taken in the above-captioned matter on February 13, 1990, is entered in favor of the Plaintiffs and against the defendant in the amount of Eight Thousand Dollar.
L-66

Debt.....$8,000
JUDGMENT ON VERDICT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

3-22-90 Judgment entered

1988

761.

ORDER TO SELECT JURORS FOR OCTOBER 1988 TERM OF COURT

Filed Aug. 29, 1988
at 1:59 p.m.

ORDER FOR NINETY QUALIFIED PERSONS TO COME AND SERVE FOR TRIAL COMMENCING OCTOBER 10, 1988-9:00 a.m.

We command you, in your official capacityto draw from the jury wheel in accordance with the law, the names of ninety persons to serve a jurors in the court of Common Pleas, to be held at the Court House in Meadville, Pa. commencing Oct. 10, 1988 at 9:00 a.m.

You, the sheriff shall make an appropriate return as to how you have executed this order on the date and day aforesaid.

BY ORDER OF, the Hon. P. Richard Thomas, Pres. Judge at Meadville, this 29th dayof Aug. 1988.

James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

Oct. 27, 1988, Writ Returned. I do hereby make return that I have summoned the 90 persons whose names appear on schedule hereto attached to serve as Jurors at a term of court to be held at Meadville, Pa. commencing Oct. 10, 1988.
So answers,

Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

The schedule hereto attached is a correct list fothe above jurors drawn by us:

Dorothy H. Kennedy
Nancy L. Hanes
Jury Commissioners

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

762.

KENNETH KIRK KELLOGG

vs.

SUSAN KAREN KELLOGG

Filed Aug. 29, 1988
@ 2:57 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 16, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a part in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 11-16-88 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 8, 1997 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

Jack, Kookogey & Felton
Robert J. Felton

763.

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph Barnhart
Defendants

JOHN M. CLARK, INDIVIDUALLY AND T/D/B/A CLARK CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

vs.

PATTI A. SAMPSON, CHARLES L. McCANDLESS AND VIRGINIA G. McCANDLESS, HIS WIFE

Filed Aug. 30, 1988

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	40.30
Pro-Co Pd. 3/20/90	6.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

3 copies (atty)

Sept. 1, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Patti A. Sampson personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within complaint to her on September 1, 1988 at 11:17 AM at her residence, 313½ N. Third Street, Titusville, crawford county, PA.
SERVED Virginia G. McCandless personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on September 1, 1988 at 11:18 AM at her residence, 313 N. Third Street, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Charles L. McCandless within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Virginia McCandless, his wife, on September 1, 1988 at 11:19 AM at their residence, 313, N. Third Street, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. HOuseholder, Deputy SHeriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept 12, 1988 Appearance of Altomare & Barnhart by Joseph C. Barnhart, Esq. for Defendants filed.

Mar 7, 1989 Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

Mar. 19, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, and signed by defendant attorney, the above captioned matter is marked fully settled and discontined with prejudice. (as to Plaintiff's Compalint and Defendant's Counterclaim)

1988

Richard Green

764.

MARINE MIDLAND AUTOMOTIVE
FINANCIAL CORPORATION
One Marine Center
Post Office Box 2103
Buffalo, New York 14240

vs.

JOSEPH J. WISNIEWSKI AND
MARY M. WISNIEWSKI
Box 115
Springboro, Pa. 16435

Filed Aug. 30, 1988
@ 10:26 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriffs costs	50.00
Pro Co Pd. 1/20/89	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Oct. 11, 1988, Complaint Reinstated.

Oct. 31, 1988, Sheriffs return filed. SERVED Joseph J. Wisniewski persaonlly the within named defendant by handing a true copy ofthe within complaint to him on Oct. 28, 1988 at 5:04 p.m. at his residence Box 115 Springboro, Crawford County Pa.

SERVED Mary M. Wisniewski within named defendant on Oct. 28, 1988 by handing a true copy of the within Compalint to Joseph J. Wisniewski, her husband, at their residence Box 115, springboro, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, dep. sheriff
Howard N. stewart, sheriff

Jan. 20, 1989, at 1:42 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with Rule 237.1 (c) certification, Affidavit of address, Affidavit of Non Military Service and default notices attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants, for want of an answer; damages assessed in the sum of Four Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty Five and 25/100 Dollars, plus costs and interest.

W - 93,113 Debt -- $4,765.25
Judgment entered 1/20/89 JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Jan. 23, 1989, Copies of judgment mailed to both defendants.

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

765.

WENDY L. WESCOAT

vs.

TIMOTHY R. WESCOAT

Filed Aug. 30, 1988
@ 10:55 a.m.

Ta x & entry Paid $40.50

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 7, 1988 Affidavit of Mailing Complaint Under Pa. R.C.P. No. 1124(b) filed. Ann Hansen Gamble, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of PA. and that on Sept. 1, 1988, she sent a copy of the Complaint in Divorce the Defendant by certified mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to Timothy WEscoat, RD 2,Campbell Rd., Titusville, PA 16354, the Defendant's last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto.

Ann Hansen Gamble

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a part in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 9-7-88 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 8, 1997 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso and Gamble
JOhn Fuller

766.

IVA MILLET LEARY

vs.

RAYMOND C. LEARY

Filed Aug. 30, 1988
@ 11:11 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 12/6/88 9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 1/26/89 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 30, 1988 Acceptance of Service Of Complaint filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of August, 1988 and in accordance with Rule of Civil Procedure 1920.4(e), I hereby accept service of a true and correct copy of the complaint in divorce. Raymond C. Leary

Oct. 28, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. JOHN FULLER, BEING DULY SWORN according to law, deposes and says that he served a copy of the Complaint in Divorce in the above entitled action on the defendant Raymond C. Leary, by handing a true and correct, certified copy of the complaint to him at 373 Center Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania on August 30, 1988 at 11:00 a.m. John Fuller

Dec. 1, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec. 1, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 6, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(d) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Dec. 20, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, December 19, 11988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

IVA MILLETT LEARY AND RAYMOND C. LEARY

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuan ce of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Jan. 25, 1989, Notice of Intent to Retake Prior Name filed.
TO BE KNOWN AS: IVA D. MILLETT

1988

Joseph F. Kulwicki

767.

ROBERT R. SIMPSON

vs.

DIXIE L. SIMPSON

Filed Aug. 30, 1988
@ 11:55a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 12/5/88 9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 8, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. REBECCA F. SEMMER,being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an employee of Joseph f. Kulwkcik, esq., and that as such on August 31, 1988 she placed a certified copy ofthe divorce Complaint in the matter above captioned, in the U.S.. Mail certified mail, restricted delivery, being certifed mail item number P 262 246 319, addressed to the defendant, Dixie L. Simpson, at R.D.2, LInesville, PA 16424.

On September 2, 1988, the signature card for the said certified mail item number was returned to the office of Joseph F. Kulwicki bearing the signature of Dixie Simpson as having received same on September 1., 1988. The receipt and signature card for certified mail item number P 262 246 319 are attached hereto and made a part hereof.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT. Rebecca F. Semmer

Dec. 2, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Dec. 2, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Dec. 5, 1988 Upon praecipe for Review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Dec. 13, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED**

AND NOW, December 13, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

ROBERT R. SIMPSON AND DIXIE L. SIMPSON

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

1988

Joseph F. Kulwicki

768.

GLENN A. EDGELL

vs.

LYNN D. EDGELL

Filed Aug. 30, 1988
@ 11:56 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co Pd 12/30/88	9.00
State pd 3/16/89	10.00
Pro Co pd 5/16/89	15.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 22, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. REBECCA F. SEMMER, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an employee of Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq., and that as such on September 13, 1988 she placed a certified copy of the Divorce Complaint in the matter abvoe captioned. in the U.S. Mail, certified mail, restricted delivery, being certified mail item number P 262 246 318, addressed to the deendant, Lynn D. Edgell, c/o Mr. Norm. Smith, 3455 Hibicuse Ave., Naples, FL 33942.

On September 17, 1988, the signature card for the said certified mail item number was returned to the office of Joseph F. Kulwicki bearingthe signature of Lynn D. Edgell as having received same on September 15, 1988. The receipt and signature card for certified mail item number P 262 246 318 are attached hereto and made a part hereof.

FURTER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT. Rebecca Semmer

Dec 2, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 15, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec. 28, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review under 201(c) of the Divorce code, this case moved to court.

Jan 26, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, January 26, 1989, this matter haviang been submitted to the Court for a final decree in divorce with proposed decree covering many collateral matters other than a simple dissolution of the marriage, and the pleadings reflecting no prayers for relief other than the dissolution of the marriage, we find the proposed decreed to be inappropriate since any matters covered by a divorce decree must be supported by a claim in the pleadings, this matter is returned to the Prothonotary's office for further proceedings.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Jan 26, 1989 Copies of order to Joseph Kulwicki, Esq.

Mar. 16, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Mar. 21, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, March 21, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that GLENN A. EDGELL plaintiff, and LYNN D. EDGELL, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

May 3, 1989 Consent Child Custody and Visitation Decree filed. AND NOW, this 3rd day of May, 1989, upon consideration of the within Petition and Agreement between the parties, it is hereby Ordered and Decreed as follows:

1. That the legal custody of each of the said minor children, John E. Edgell and Jennifer L. Edgell, shall be shared between the Mother and Father. That is, each shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records, and each shall be entitled to participate fully in decisions affecting the health, education, religious instructions and general welfare of said children.

2. That the present primary physical custody of the said minor children, John E. Edgell and Jennifer L. Edgell, shall be with the Father, subject to the visitation schedule hereinafter set forth.

3. That the Mother shall be entitled to visitation with and have temporary physical custody of her minor children, John E. Edgell and Jennifer L. Edgell, with a minimum visitation schedule as follows:

(a) Mother shall have temporary physical custody of the said children every alternate weekend from 6:00 p.m. Friday through 6:00 p.m. Sunday, at which time each of the said chidlren shall be returned to the home of the Father.

(b) Holidays and speical days shall be set forth as Easter, Memorial Day, July 4th, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day, Christmas Eve, Christmas Day, New Year's Eve and New Year's Day. The Mother shall be entitled to visit with and have temporary physical custody of each of said children on each of the na July 4, Labor Day, Christmas eve and New Year's Eve for the year 1989 and for all following odd numbered years, and on New Year's Day, Easter, Memorial Day, Thanksgiving Day, and Christmas Day for the year 1990 and on all even numbered days.

c) Father shall be entitled to visit with and have physical custody of said children on New Year's Day, Easter, Memorial Day, Thanksgiving Day, and Christmas Day for the year 1989 and all following odd numbered years.

d) On Memorial Day, July 4th, Labor Day and Thanksgiving Day, the parent wishing to exercise visitation/physical custody in accordance with the aforementioned schedule, shall be entitled to commence such visitation at 9:00 a.m. on such dayd, which visitation shall continue until 6:00 p.m. on that day, at which time said chidlren shall be returned to thehome of the parent then having regular custody/visitation in accordance with the terms of this Order.

e) On Christmas Eve and New Year's Eve, the parent then wishing to exercise visitation as provided for herein shall be entitled to commence visitation at 4:00 p.m., which visitation shall continue until the following morning at 11:00 a.m. Visitation privileges for the parent having visitation/custody on Christmas Day and New Year's Day shall commence at 11:00 a.m. on that day, and shall continue until 7:00 p.m. on said day, at which time the children shall be returned to the home of the parent then having regular custody/visitation of the children provided for herein.

(f) The Mother shall be entitle dto have temporary physical custody of said children, together, for summer vacation, beginning with the fifth day after school recesses for summer vacation and continuing through August 15, of each year, at which time the children will be returned to the Father custody.

(g) Anything to the contrary, notwithstanding, Mother and Father shall each be entitled to have physical custody/visitation of the children on their birthdays, as may be mutually agreeable between the parties.

(h) Anything to the contrary, notwithstanding, the Father shall be entitled to visit with and have physical custody of the children on Father's Day and the Mother shall be entitled to visit with and have physical custody of the children on Mother's Day.

(i) HOlidays, speical days, Mother's Day, Father's Day, and children's birthdays shall take precedence over other custody and/or visitation specified herein.

(j) Custody and visitation privileges shall be exercised, whenever possible, with the two children collectively in order to encourage sibling relationships between themselves, as well as with their parents.

(k) In the event Mother wishes to exercise visitation for any period other than the summer visitation, she shall be responsible for providing round-trip transportation, at her expense, for both children, to and from the father's home in Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

(l) The parent wishing to exercise visitation privileges hereunder shall be responsible for picking up and returning said child or children to the home of the parent then h aving primary physical custody in accordance with the terms hereof, provided however, that during the summer vacation period, the mother shall be responsible for transportation expenses for both children to her home of record in Florida and the Father shall be responsible for transportation expenses to return the children to their home of record at the completition of the summer vacation period.

4. The visitation privileges set forth herein shall be liberal visitation privileges, and the above visitation schedule shall only serve as a minimum and subject to joint decision of the parties, visitation shall at all time sbe as liberal as shall be in the children's best interest.

5. If either parent, for any reason, is not able or does not wish to exercise any of the visitation or physical custody privileges herein accorded, and does not notify the other parent by 5:00 p.m. on the date preceeding the day visitation or temporary physical custody is to commence, in that event, visitation or ph ysical custody privileges shall not occur for that particular period.

6. Each parent shall notify the other of any illness or emergency involving the minor children at the earliest possilbe time.

7. Neither parent shall say or do anything in an attempt to alienate or turn the said minor chidlren against the other parent; but, on the ocntrary, shall do everything in the power of each to create a favorable and loving attitude on the part of said chidlren toward the other parent.

8. Neither parent shall expose the said children to any situation or person which would adversely affect the health, welfare, or well-being of said children, and neither parent shall take said children to any place of business which is not appropriate for children of their ages.

9. Eahc parent shall confer with th eother on all matters of importance relating to the children's health, maintenance, education, religious training and general welfare, with a view towards obtaining and following a h armonious policy in the children's best interests and shall keep the other parent informed of the progress of the children's education and social adjustments.

10. In the vent of any serious illness of any of the children at any time, any party then having custody of said child shall immediately communicate with th eother party by telephone or any other means, informing th eother party of the nature of the illness. During such illness, each party shall have the right to visit the child as often as he or she desires, consistent with the proper medical care of said child. The word "illness" as used herein shall mean any disability which confines the child to bed under the direction of a licensed physician for a period in excess of twenty-four (24) hours.

11. In connection with questions dealing with the education of the minor children, as well as any extraordinary medical or dental expenses, each party shall be consulted wherever practicable concerning the course of any proposed treatment or the decisions relevant to any education consideration, including the choice of college, univeristy or technical school, should the occasion arise for such consideration.

12. In the event Father is hopsitalized for any reason, for a period in excess of fourteen (14) days, Mother shall have the right to immeidately request the children to placed in her custody until Father is once again able to care for them.

13. The parties agree that Father shall have the right to claim both of his minor children as exemptions for purposes of both federal and state income tax returns.

14. The parties should understand thta this Agreement must be obeyed by both parties and the Court may impose the severest sanctions of the party violating said Agreement.

15. So that there can be no dispute concerning the facts, this Agreement shall not be altered or changed by the parties except in writing submitted to the Court for additionto or amendment of this Agreement.

16. This Order shall supersede and take precedence over any prior Court Orders.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

May 15, 1989 copy put in Atty. Kulwicki's box.

May 15, 1989 Petition for Custody filed.

June 27, 1990 Petition To Modify Consent Child Custody/Visitation Decree filed.

June 27, 1990 Rule filed. AND NOW, June 22, 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, William Pineo is her eby appointed Child CUstody Mediator in this matter.

1 copy atty; 1 copy mediator

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Sept. 21, 1990 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 20th day of September 1990, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Legal custody of the subject minor children is hereby awarded to their father, Glenn A. Edgell.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.

3a. The mother shall have the right of temporary physical custody and visitation from Dec. 26 at noon until Jan. 1 at physical custody and visitation either by coming to Crawford Co. or taking the children with her to her home in Iowa. In the latter case, the parties shall arrange to divide equally the effort and expense of the transportation costs.

The mother shall also have the right of physical custody and visitation for a substantial portion of each summer. Since it is not presently known what the mother's loving circumstances are going to be, she shall submit by May 1, 1991, a report giving the location and description of her living quarters, including photographs. The report shall also disclose whether the home is rented or being purchased. The mediator shall schedule further mediation to be held during the third week of May to work out the details of summertime visitations. Said mediation may be held by telephone conference calls so that the mother will not have to attend in person, if that will be more convenient.

RECORD CONTINUED ON
PAGE 218 (B)

218
B

1988

Glenn A. Edgell

VS.

Lynn D. Edgell (Palmer)

Record Continued from Page 218 (A)

OF

AD 1988 - 768

****

b. The mother shall have the right to telephone her children on alternate Saturdays, beginning with Sept. 22, 1990. Said telephone calls shall be made at her expense. The father shall have the children ready to receive said phone calls. The parties shall have the right to schedule alternative or additional telephone visits.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

The Christmas holiday visitation shall begin at noon of the day following the close of the children's school session and end at noon of Dec. 26. For 1990, the children shall spend the Christmas holiday visitation period with their mother and the parties shall thereafter alternate the Christmas holiday visitation period.

b. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as oon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

7. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medication research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

8. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of PA.

9. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

10. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

11. Either party may request a review of this Order two years from date hereof to make any adjustments.

12. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $137.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

13. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept. 24, 1990 Copy of Order to Attys. Joseph Kulwicki,, William Pineo, Stephen Hall.

1988

R. Charles Thomas
Bozic and Thomas

769.

JONI D. THOMAS

vs.

MARK R. THOMAS

Filed Aug. 31, 1988
@ 9:31 a.m.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 31, 1988, Petition to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and Order filed. COURT ORDER: AND NOW, this 31st dayof August 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition a hearing on the same is set for the 4th day of October 1988 at 1:45 p.m. in Court Room No. 1 of the Crawford CountyCourt House, Meadville, Pa. A copy of this Petition and Order shall be served upon the said Mark R. Thomas who is directed to appear at the time and place of the aforesaid hearing.

1 copy (atty)

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Oct. 6, 1988 AND NOW, Oct. 4, 1988, the plaintiff is authorized to proceed in forma pauperis without paying usual filing fees, costs and expenses. The Court reserves the right to allocate costs in this case between the parties as the circumstances warrant at the time of granting the divorce.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Oct. 6, 1988 Copy of Order to R. Charles Thomas.

AS OF JANUARY 31, 2000 - ANY FILINGS INVOLVING THIS CASE MAY NOW BE FOUND ON THE COMPUTER

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

770.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

12/15/88 Recd. #70.00 From Defendant

Recd 12-30-88
$70.00
Vivian Stoltz

RONALD D. SINGLETARY

vs.

NANCY M. SINGLETARY,
Defendant, and
RUDOLPH AND DOROTHY PATUSH,
HUSBAND AND WIFE

Filed Aug. 31, 1988
@ 9:51 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
John Fuller, Mediator 140.00
9.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

3 copies (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:

You, Nancy M. Singletary and Rudolph and Dorothy Patush, have been sued in court to obtain custody ofthe children, Nichole Marie Singletary and Christine Marie Singletary.

And now, this 31st day of August 1988 JOHN FULLER is hereby appointed mediator to hear this matter.

You are ordered to appear in person at such times and places as shall be set for hearing in this matter, whether by the mediator or the Judge.

If you do not appear, an order may be entered regardless of your absence.

Whichever parent has the children in his or her custody at the time of anymediation conference or hearing, shall produce the children at the time scheduled for said conference or hearing.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Publ Committee
c/o Prothonotary Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335
Tele: 814 724-4636

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 31, 1988, Petition for Temporary Custody and order filed.ORDER: AND NOW, this 31st day of august 1988, temporary custody of Nichole Marie Singletary, and Christine Marie Singletary, is placed with their father, Ronald D. Singletary, pending final disposition of this amtter. A certified copy of this order may be registered in Illinois or whereever the children may be found, and if necessary to obtain return of the children to their father, whatever assistance is required, is hereby requested, with costs incident thereto to be allocated by the court as may be deemed appropriate.
3 copies (atty) 1 copy (mediator)

Per curiam,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Oct. 18, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq. atty. for plaintiff, and being sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on Sept. 22, 1988, maile to the above defendants by cert. mail #P547 - 808 393, ret. rec. req. del. to addressee only, a custody Complaint and order; Petition for Temp. Custody and Order and Notice of mediation hearing in above action. Said notice was received by Rudolph Patush and Dorothy Patush on Sept. 28, 1988 as indicated by the ret. rec. card which was returned to the office of plaintiff's atty. and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, ESq.

Oct. 18, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq., Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on Sept. 22, 1988 mail to the above defendant, Nancy M. Singletary by certified mail #P-547 808 395, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a Custody Complaint and Order; Petition for Temporary Custody and Order; and Notice of Mediation Hearing in the above custody action. Said notice was received by Nancy M. Singletary on Sept. 27, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returend to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Atty.

Oct. 24, 1988 Petition for Visitation and Order filed. And Now, October 24, 1988, Christine and Nichole Singletary shall be in the Defendant's custody from 7:00 p.m. until 9:00 p.m. on October 25, 1988. Plaintiff shall deliver and pick up the children to and from the Defendants in the lobby of the Days Inn. The Children shall be in the custody of the Defnedant on October 26, 1988, and thereafter as instructed by the Custody Mediator. Parties not to discuss mediation hearing with the children.

By The Court:
Robert L. Walker, J

Oct. 25, 1988 Copies of order to Joan Mason and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

Nov 10, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, November 9, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal Custody of Nichole Singletary and Christine Singletary shall be with their father, Ronald D. Singletary: however, the mother, Nancy M. Singletary shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records. II Physical custody of Nichold Singletary and Christine Singletary shall be with their father, Ronald D. Singletary subject to the following conditions:

A. Beginning with the Summer of 1989 the children shall visit their grandparents and mother in Illinois for an eight (8) week period, which unless other wise agreed upon between the parties shall be from June 15th to August 15th each year.

B. During Summer visitations of the children as set forth above, the children shall generally reside with their Grandparents, Rudolph and Dorothy Patush, but the mother, Nancy M. Singletary, shall be able to visit the children and the children shall be entitled to visit the mother whenever the grandparents, Rudolph and Dorothy Patush, agree that it is appropriate.

C. Beginning with Christmas vacation in 1988, the children shall visit their grandparents and mother for an approximate one (1) week period. On even numbered years this period shall be brom December 24th until January 1st, and on odd numbered years this period shall be from December 26th until January 1st. On Christmas visits the children shall stay generally with their grandparents, but shall be entitled to visit the mother, according to the discretion of the grandparents.

D. On Christmas visits the father shall provide transportation for the children from Pennsylvania to Illinois and back to Pennsylvania and on Summer visits, transportation shall be provided by the grandparents and the mother from Pennsylvania to Illinois and back to Pennsylvania.

E. Both mother, grandparents and father shall furnish all other parties at all times current addresses and current telephone numbers; however telephone calls from the non-custodial parent or grandparent shall be limited

Continued on next page.....

to one telephone call per week, unless there is an emergency and in no event shall any party make harassing telephone calls to any other party.

F. Neither mother, father nor grandparents shall say or do anythiong in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent or grandparents, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents and grandparents.

G. Neither mother, father nor grandparents shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

H. All parties agree to inform the other parent or grandparents immediately of any illness or injury regarding one or both of the children.

I. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

Cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided between the parties.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov 15, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Mason, Kirkpatrick, Fuller and Plaintiff and Defendant.

220
-b-

1988

1988

David W. Pickens

771.

Daniel J. Kuhn
Kuhn and Kuhn
for dfts. I. Chaffee &
D. McClellen & C&M Service

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Louis J. Stack
for dft. Ed Bartosek

Eckert Seamans Cherin
& Mellott
~~Thomas C. Grick, III~~
for dft. Ford MOtor Co
James W. Koch

PLATEAU FARMS

vs.

FORD MOTOR COMPANY,
IVAN CHAGEE, AND DAVID
McCLELLEN, INDIVIDUALLY, AND
t/a, d/b/a C & M SERVICE:
AND ED BARTOSEK, INDIVIDUALLY
AND t/a, d/b/a ED BARTOSEK
AGRI SALES & SERVICE

Filed Aug. 31, 1988
@ 10:33 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's costs 75.00
Pro Co Pd 10/8/90 - 6.00

COMPLAINT

3 copies (sheriff)

Sept. 29, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Harry D. Holmwood, Deputy who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 22nd of Sept., 1988 at 12:50 P.M., he served Complaint, filed AD 1988-771 Crawford Co., PA., upon defendant Ed Bartosek, i/a/t/a/d/b/a Ed Bartosek Agi Sale and Service at 13773 Chestnut St., Erie Co., West Springfield, PA. By making known the contents thereof to George DiPolita, Person-in-charge and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford Co.

Harry D. Holmwood, Dep. Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff
Erie Co. Pa.

SERVED by certified mail Sept. 2, 1988 to Ford Motor Co., PO Box 6011, Dearborn, MI 48121, as per signed receipt attached.

Served Ed Bartosek by deputizing Sheriff of Erie Co., PA. as per affidavit of service attached. Served: Sept. 22, 1988.

Served Ivan Chaffee personally the within named defendant on Sept. 1, 1988, 9:35 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him at C& M Service, RD #3, Brown Hill Road, Union City, PA.

Served David McClellan on Sept. 1, 1988 at 9:36 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Ivan Chaffne, his partner, at C&M Service, RD #3, Brown Hill Rd., Union City, PA.

So answers,

Edgar D. Houeholder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 11, 1988, Answer of Defendants Ivan Chaffee and David McClellen, ind. and t/a d/b/a C & M Service, by their attorney Daniel J. Kuhn, Esq. filed.

Oct. 18, 1988, Answer and New Matter of Defendant Ed Bartosek filed.

Nov. 1, 1988, Reply filed.

Nov. 4, 1988, Answer and New Matter of Defendant Ford Motor Company to Complaint of Plaintiff PlateauFarms, filed.

Nov. 9, 1988Defendant Ford Motor Company's Reply to Rule 2252(d) New Matter of Defendant ED Bartosekand Certificate of Service filed.

Nov. 14, 1988 Motion for LeaVE TO Amend Complaint and Order filed. Order: AND NOW, this 14th day of Nov., 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, Plaintiff is granted leave to amend its complaint, file the same and serve the same on Defendants by mailing a copy to Defendants' Attorneys of record.

By the Court,

Robert L. Walker, J.

Nov. 15,, 1988 copies of order to David Pickens, Daniel Pickens, Daniel J. Kuhn, Louis J. Stack, Thomas C. Grick, III attys.

Nov.. 18, 1988 Amended Complaint filed.

Dec. 6, 1988 Verification of James B. Glass to Defendant Ford Motor Company's Reply to Rule 2252 (d) New Matter of Defendant ED Bartosek filed.

Dec, 6, 1988 Verification of James B. Glass Answer and New Matter of Defendant Ford Motor Company to Complaint of Plaintiff Plateau Farms.

Dec. 16, 1988, Answer and New Matter of Defendant Ford Motor Company to Amended Complaint of Plaintiff Plateau Farms, filed.

Dec. 21, 1988, Reply to Defendant Ford Motor Company's Answer and New Matter to Plaintiffs Amended Complaint filed.

Jan. 16, 1989 Defendant, Ed Bartosek's Reply To New Matter Of Ford Motor Company filed.

Jan. 16, 1989 Amended Answer and New Matter of Ed Bartosek filed.

Jan. 23, 1989 Reply To defendant Ed Bartosek's Answer and New Matter To Plaintiff's Amended Complaint filed.

Feb. 24, 1989 Reply To New Matter Of Bartosek filed.

Feb. 24, 1989 C & M Reply To Ford New Matter filed.

Feb. 24, 1989 Answer To amended Complaint and New Matter filed.

Feb. 27, 1989 Reply To Defendant Ed C & M Service's Answer and New Matter To Plaintiff's Amended Complaint filed.

Continued on Page 618.....

Apr. 20, 1989, Notice of Service of Defendatn Ford Motor Company's Interrogatories Directed to Plaintiff filed.

1988

Jorden and White
Harry F. White II

772.

DAVID W. BOUGHNER

vs.

SHARON E. BOUGHNER

Filed Sept. 1, 1988
@ 3:18 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 8-18-89 9.00
State Paid 8-18-89 10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 9, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. HARRY FABER WHITE,II, ESQ., attorney for plaintiff, David W. Boughner in the above-captioned matter, being duly sworn according to law deposes and says that he served the Notice to Plead, and Complaint under Section 201 (c) ofthe Divorce Code upon the defendant, Sharon E. Boughner, by certified mail return receipt requested. on September 3, 1988, at the defendant's address, P.O. box 438, Cochranton, Pennsylvania, 16314. The defendant's acceptance ofthe same is attached hereto.
Harry Faber White, II

Nov. 30, 1988 Petition for Marriage Counseling and Order filed(2 copies atty)

Order:AND NOWmarriage counseling is ordered in accordance with Section 202 of the Divorce Code, with the counselor to be chosen by the Defendant, and the costs of said counseling sessions to be borne by the Defendant, for up to three counseling sessions.
Per Curiam.
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Aug 16, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug 18, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug 18, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Aug. 31, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, August 31, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that DAVID W. BOUGHNER, plaintiff and SHARON E. BOUGHNER, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Prather, Prather & Pineo
William Pineo

773.

ROGER BLY AND MARY BLY, HIS WIFE

vs.

MARK RESOURCES CORP. AND DALE ADAMS

Filed Sept. 2, 1988
@ 10:37 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

Sheriff's Costs 21.00
Pro Co pd 11/15/89 6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Sept 19, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Mark Resources, Corp. within named defendant on September 14, 1988 at 10:54 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Diane Crawford, Secretary and person in charge, at their place of business, S. Main Street Extension, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 15, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above referenced matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs PC
Alan Pepicelli

774.

Bruce L. Smith

DORIS I. HIRT

vs.

WILLIAM A. HIRT

Filed Sept. 2, 1988
@ 11:19 a.m.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OR 201(d)
OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 2, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, and order filed. ORDER: 9/2/88 Granted.
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Sept. 14, 1988 Certificate Of Service filed. Alan L. Pepicelli, esquire, hereby certifies that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff, Doris I. Hirt, in the within Action and that a copy of the Complaint in Divorce was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, tothe Defendant William A. Hirt, at Rockview SCI, P9659, Box A, Bellefont, Pennsylvania 16823 on September 6, 1988 and was received by the defendant, on September 8, 1988. The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A".
Alan L. Pepicelli

Sept. 23, 1988 Appearance of Bruce L. Smith for Defendant filed.

Oct. 13, 1988 Amended Complaint Under Section 201(a)(5) of the Divorce Code filed.

Oct. 14, 1988, Certificate of Service filed. Alan L. Pepicelli, Esq. hereby certifies that he is the atty. for the plaintiff in the within action and that a cert. copy of the Amended Complaint was sent by regualr first class U.S. mail to Bruce l. Smith, Esq. atty. of record for the defendant at p.O. Box 373, Titusville, Pa. 16354 on Oct. 13, 1988. Alan Pepicelli, Esq.

Nov. 4, 1988 Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce filed.

Nov. 10, 1988 Order Setting Hearing filed. This matter comes before the Court for appointment of a Master for a divorce under Section 201(a) (5)--sentence of imprisonment for two years or more--as the sole issue.
Neither party has filed the requisite affidavit under Section 201(c) and the requisite passage of time has not expired for proceeding under Section 201(d).
There are no collateral issues raised by the pleadings and no answer has been filed to the amended complaint pleading imprisonment as the grounds for divorce. Thus, the only issue to establish grounds for divorce under Section 201(a) (5) is the introduction into evidence of a certified copy of this Court's sentence of Sept. 30, 1986, wehreby the defendant was sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 5-15 years. WE note in this regard, that the defendant has filed no answer to this allegation.
A hearing on this divorce action is scheduled for Dec. 8, 1988, at 9:00 A.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2.
The defendant and his counsel are advised that the Court will not require the presence or underwrite the cost of transporting the prisoner to Crawford County for this hearing unless the defendant, prior to said date, files a responsive pleading denying that the William A. Hirt named as a defendant in this divorce action is one and the same person as the William Alan Hirt sentenced by the Common Pleas Court of Crawford County on Sept. 30, 1986, to a term of 5-15 years.
By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.
Nov. 10, 1988, Copies of Order given to Alan Pepicelli, Bruce Smith.

Dec 8, 1988 Exhibits filed with papers.

Dec. 8, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED**

AND NOW, December 8, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

DORIS I. HIRT and WILLIAM A. HIRT

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 19, 1988, Transcript of Proceedings - Testimony of Plaintiff in Divorce Action, filed.

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs

Alan L. Pepicelli

775.

LINDA YOST

vs.

THOMAS AUSTIN

Filed Sept. 2, 1988
@ 11:22 a.m.

Indigent filing
John Fuller, Mediator

COMPLAINT IN CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Thomas Austin, defendant have been sued in court to obtain custody of the child: Thomas W. Austin, II.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody may be entered agbainst you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Public Committee
c/o Prothonotary, Court house Meadville, Pa. 16335
Tele; 814 724-4636.
Date: 9/2/88

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Sept. 2, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, Petition for Leave to Proceed IN Forma Pauperis, and Order filed. ORDER: 9/2/88 Granted.

P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Sept 27, 1988 Certificate of Service filed. ALAN L. PEPICELLI, Esquire, hereby certifies that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the within Action and that a certified copy of the Complaint For Custody was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, addressee only, to Thomas Austin as 332 West 20th Street, Erie, Pennsylvania 16502 on September 20, 1988 adn was received by said Defendant on September 23, 1988. The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A". Alan L. Pepicelli

1988

776.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK
OF PENNSYLVANIA
940 Park Ave.
Meadville, Pa. 16335

vs.

WILLIAM K. BOWEN
DENISE M. BOWEN
Clinton Court (424)
Meadville, Pa. 16335

Filed Sept. 2, 1988
@ 11:30 a.m.

ProCo Paid 9.00 ✓
plff on orig 14.50
(Sat. Pd 2/24/84)

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

AD 1984 - 150

The undersigned hereby agrees that judgment entered on 2/22/84 be revived; prothonotary has indexed in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants in the amount of Seventy Five Thousand Dollars plus costs.

Dated.....Aug. 26, 1988
Debt.....$75,000.00
B - 173, 43 _ JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Mar. 7, 1991 This
Judgment Satisfied.
(see paper filed)

1988

Brian J. Lindsay

777.

POLLY WHITESELL

vs.

EUGENE WHITESELL

Filed Sept. 2, 1988
@ 1:13 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co Pd 12-22-88	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(d) OF DIVORCE CODE

Custody
3 copies (atty)

Sept. 2, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Sept. 13, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, Esq., after being duly sworn according to law, deposes and say that I am the attorney of record for Polly Whitesell, at that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did on the 6th day of Sept., 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, REturn REceipt Requested to Eugene Whitesell a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce at his last known address of 841 Water St., Meadville, PA. 16335 as evidenced by the attached sender's recept No. P446 365 378 and that on the 9th day of Sept., 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 8th day of Sept., 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Brian J. Lindsay, Esq.

Sept. 26, 1988 Consent Custody Agreement and Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of Sept., 1988, an Order is hereby entered in accord with the terms of the within Consent Custody Agreement.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Sept. 27, 1988 copies to Brian Lindsay

Dec 22, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(d) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Dec. 30, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, December 30, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

POLLY WHITESELL and EUGENE WHITESELL

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

778.

pro se

William L. Walker

RICHARD CHANEY

vs.

PETER JAFKO AND HELEN JAFKO,
d/b/a SPORTSMEN'S TAVERN

Filed Sept. 2, 1988
@ 2:43 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓

Pro Co Padi 10/5/88 9.00 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF
MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-3-02

Sept. 2, 1988, Rule Entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Sept. 6, 1988 Proof of Service filed.

AND NOW, Oct. 5, 1988, at 2:58 p.m. JUDGMENT OF NON PROS is hereby entered in favor of the defendant and against the plaintiff for failure to file Complaint after being ruled to to do.

C - 143
Judgment entered 10/5/88

JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James A. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs PC
Theodore H. Watts

779.

Joseph F. Kulwicki

DONALD R. VANDERVEEN

vs.

SUSAN A VANDERVEEN

Filed Sept. 2, 1988
@ 4:00 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid		$40.50
1 count paid		15.00
state paid 10/17/91		5.00
2 counts	Pd 10-12-93	30.00
state	Pd 10-12-93	10.00
Pro Co	pd 12-22-97	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Sept 16, 1988 Appearance of Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq. for Defendant filed.

Sept. 22, 1988 Amended Complaint In Divorce Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code filed.

Sept. 22, 1988 Consent of Attorney Joseph F. Kulwicki to the filing of an Amended Complaint filed.

Feb 6, 1989 Certificate of Service of Interrogatories addressed the Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 23, 1989 Budget information filed.

Aug. 23, 1989 Inventory and Appraisement of Donald R. Vanderveen filed.

Oct. 17, 1991 Amended Complaint Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code filed. 1 copy (atty)

Oct. 17, 1991, Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) ofthe Divorce Code filed. 1 copy (atty)

Mar. 27, 1992, Petition for Related Claims (Alimony, Pendente Lite, Attorney Fees, Costs and Expenses, and Permanent Alimony) and Rule to Show Cause filed.
RULE TO SHOW CAUSE: AND NOW, Mar. 27, 1992, upon presentation and ocnsideration of the within Petition, a rule is hereby granted upon the respondent Donald R. Vanderveen to show cause, if any he has, why the request of the Petitioner should not be granted.
Rule Returnable on the 23 day of Apr. 1992 at 4:00 p.m. in C. R. No 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. MIller, J.

Mar. 30, 1992, copy to T. Watts, and J. Kulwicki.

April 15, 1992 NOTICE OF SERVICE OF ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES FILED.

April 24, 1992 STATEMENT OF WAGES AND FEE AGREEMENT FILED.

April 24, 1992 INCOME AND EXPENSE STATEMENT FILED.

May 1, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, April 23, 1992, after an informal hearing on the defendant's claims for alimony pendente lite, attorneys fees, costs and expenses, the Court does not have sufficient information and facts to make a determination and therefore orders as follows:
1. The defendant shall file a proper income and expense statement under oath as required by the Rules of Civil Procedure no later than Monday, April 27, 1992 and serve a true and correct copy upon sounsel for the plaintiff.
2. Both parties shall file a true and correct copy of their 1991 Federal Income Tax returns and any and all wage stubs that they have for 1992 from January 1, 1992 through this date to the extent that they have said wage stubs but, at-the very least, shall file the most recent pay stub showing year to date figures. The tax returns and wage information shall be filed no later than April 30, 1992. At the time of filing this information each party shall serve a true and correct copy of all filed documents upon the other.
3. If the plaintiff objects or takes exception to the defendant's income and expense statement and/or if either party objects to or takes exception to the wage information to be supplied, said party shall file written objections with the Court no later than May 7, 1992. In the event exceptions or objections are filed the Court shall schedule a hearing to dispose of those matters. In the event that exceptions or objections are not filed the Court will, immediately thereafter, make a decision on the issues now before it based on the information supplied by the parties.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 1, 1992 Copies of Order given to Attys. Theodore Watts and Joseph Kulwicki.

May 13, 1992 Wage Statement of Donald R. Vanderveen filed.

May 7, 1992 Objections to Defendant's Income and Expense Statement, filed.

May 11, 1992 Wage Statement, filed.

May 27, 1992 ORDER FILED. AND NOW, May 21, 1992, a hearing to resolve the plaintiff's objections to the defendant's income and expense statement is scheduled pursuant to our Order of April 23, 1992 for the 8th day of June, 1992 at 3:00 P.M. o'clock in Courtroom No. 1.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 27, 1992 Copy of the order to: Attys. Watts and Kulwicki.

June 9, 1992 Motion for Sanctions for Failure to Answer Interrogatories and Rule to Show Cause why Motion for Sanctions Should Not Be Granted filed. AND NOW, this 8th day of June, 1992, upon motion of Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq., Attorney for defendat-petitoner, and on consideration of the within Motion for Sactions, it is HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff-respondent show cause, if any, why the prayer of the within motion should not be granted.
Rule returnable the 15th day of July, 1992 at 11:00 a.m. in Court Room one or two at of the Crawford County Court House, Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

JUne 9, 1992 Copy of the Order to Atty.Watts and Atty. Kulwicki.

Record Continued on
Page 637.....

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
Alan R. Shaddinger

780.

NORWESCO DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION

vs.

A.T.R., INC. AND
VALLI INDUSTRIES, INC.

Filed Sept. 2, 1988
@ 4:14 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 54.40

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Sept. 14, 1988 Writ Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Robert Snyder who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 8th day of September, 1988, at 2:40 P.M., he served summons filed at NO. AD 1988-780 Term, 19, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant A.T.R. Inc. at Rt 322 & 53, Philpsburg, Clearfield County, Penna. By making known the contents thereof to Susan Millward,P.I.C. and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of th eSummons received from Crawford County
So answers, Robert E. Snyder, Deputy Sheriff
Chester A. Hawkins, Sheriff

Before me, the under signed authority, personally appeared Robert Snyder who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 8th day of September, 1988, at 2:40 P.M., he served Summons, filed at NO. AD 1988-780 Term, 19, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant VAlli Industries, INc. at Rt 322 & 53, Philipsburg, Clearfield County,Penna. By making kno;wn the contents thereof to Susan Millward, P.I. C. and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Summons received from Crawford County
So answrs, Robert E. Snyder, Deputy Sheriff
Chester A. Hawkins, Sheriff

SERVED A.T.R., Inc. within named defendant by deputizing theSheriff of Clearfield County, PA, as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 9-8-88.
SERVED VAlli Industries, Inc. within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Clearfield County,PA as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 9-8-88.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

781.

MICHAEL C. BROWN

vs.

CINDY LOU BROWN

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY, AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Filed Sept. 6, 1988
@ 9:32 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
2 counts paid 30.00
William Pineo, Mediator

Pro co Pd 1-13-89 9.00

Sept. 6, 1988, Petition for Temporary Custody and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 6th day of Sept. 1988, upon consideration of the attached petition for temporary custody, legal and physical custody ofthe children, Ryan Michael Brown, and Erin Elizabeth Brown, are granted to their father, MIchael C. Brown, until further order of court.

A certified copy of this order shall be delivered to the Pa State police, and other law enforcement officials as the plaintiff may deem necessary, in order to secure cusotdy of the two children with the plaintiff.

IN the event that the children are removed from the Commonwealth of Pa., it is requested that assistance of any other jurisdiction, either within Pa. or outside the Com. of Pa. be granted in order to secure compliance with ths order.

2 copies (atty)

Per Curiam,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept. 9, 1988, Motion to Appoint a Custody Mediator, and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, Sept 9, 1988, WILLIAM PINEO, appointed Mediator. (1 copy (atty) 1 copy (mediator) Robert L Walker, J.

Oct. 18, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq. Atty. for plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on Sept. 16, 1988, to above dft., by express mail #B69227621, ret. ret. req. a complaint in the above action. Said notice was received by Cindy Lou Brown on Sept 19, 1988 as indicated by the ret. receipt card which was returned to the office of the plaintiff atty. and is attached hereto.

Joan D.Mason, Esq.

Dec 14, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 11, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan 13, 1989 Agreement and Consent Custody Order filed.

Jan 13, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Jan 13, 1989 Stipulation filed.

Jan. 31, 1989 **DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 31st day of January, 1989, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed inthe above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties,on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed fromthe date of the filing of the complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. CUSTODY: Primary legal and physical custody of the parties' two (2) minor children, Ryan Michael brown, age five and a half (5 1/2) and Erin Elizabeth Bornw, age three and a half (3 1/2), shall be with their father, Michael C. Brown

Each party agrees that the home county and state of the children is Crawford County, Pennsylvania. Each party agrees that his order shall be effective in all states of the United States, and in all places whatsoever, and that said order may be registered in any state where either parent may be found, and entitled to full force and effect by said other jurisdiction. Any litigation relating to custody of these two minor children, shall be conducted in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania

The mother of the children, Cindy Lou Brown, shall exercise visitation on the presence of the father, Michael C. Brown during such times as she returns to Pennsylvania. As a condition of said visit, the mother, Cindy Lou Brown shall give advance notice to Michael C. Brown, of her intent to come to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and of her intended request as to the beginning of siad visit and the end of said visit, and the parent shall mutually arrange times and places of said visit or visits, during her stay in Pennsylvania.

ONce mother's life has stabilized and father and she can agree to expanded visits, or to different visitation arrangements, they shall negotiate between themselves as to same, and if they are able to arrive at a mutual agreement for the purpose of modifying this orde,r it shall be put into writing in the form of a Modification Consent Custody Order, and once signed by a Judge of the court of Common Pleas of crawford County, Pennsylvania, it shall be complied with by both parties hereto.

Each paryt shall keep th eother party hereto informed of his or her full residential address,his or her work address and telephone number, , his and her residential telephone number, immediately upon change thereof.

MOther shall also have the right to telephone the children, at her own expense, on a reasonable basis, but said telephone calss shall not encourage the children in any way to thing that the children are going to live permently with mother, or move away from father.

3. REAL ESTATE: Defendant/Wife shall transfer all of her right, title and interest to Plaintiff/husband, in the jointly owned residential real estate located at East Wayne Road (R.D. #2, Guys Mills), Wayne Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, in exchange for $1.00 by way of a general warranty deed, immediately. In considerationfor said exchange, Plaintiff Husband shall assume full responsibility of any balance on the mortgage owed to Marine Bank, Cochranton, Pennsylvania, previously First National Bank of Cochranton, upon which approximately $24,000.00 - $25,000.00 is now owed. The property consists of two and a half acres, and originally an older farm house deeded from husband's parents to thses parties, for $1.00, which has been substnatially imporved, although no appraisal presently exists.

4. Debts EAch party shall be responsible for those marital debts that he or she incurred, whether titled in one name, or in the name of both parties. It is acknowledged by the aprties hereto that various debts incurred by wife either in her name or in both parties' names, are hers alone. The only joint debts that exist are Montgomery Wards ($2,591.00 at separation), Sears ($1,028.88 at separation), Penneys ($204.33 at separation), Citibank Mastercard ($183.20 at seperation) and Citibank Visa ($992.49 at separation), plus the Blazer loan through Pennbank and a boat loan through First National Bank of Pennsylvania. Plaintiff/husband shall assume responsibility for the debts owed on these accounts and loans, as of date of separation, and wife shall be responsible for any other debts.

Wife agrees to apy promptly, each monthly payment as it come sdue, if not sooner, on any debts that she incurred, whether in her name, or whether incurred by her in the names of both parties hereto, which include but are not limited to Carlisles ($258.00 at separation) and Household Finance Company ($1,384.00 at seperation)

5. VEHICLES: Defendant/wife shall transfer all of her right, title and interest in the 1986 Chevrolet Blazer, and in the 1986 Imperial Pleasure boat, and in the 1986 boat Trailmaster boat trailer, to Plaintiff/husband, and shall sign a power of attorney in his favor, to allow said transfer.

Plaintiff/husband relinquishes any right he may otherwise have in any vehicles acquired by wife during the term of the marriage, subject to any debts incurred thereon, and will sign any title to said vehicle or vehicles to wife once said debts are paid in full, if his signature is necessary to so transfer his interest. These may be vehicles whose identity at this time are unknown to Plaintiff/husband, as Defendant wife may have acquired assets unknown to him.

6. All tangible personal property acquired by the parties during the marriage has been divided between the parties to their satisfaction, and wife may retain those items that she took with her (one hydraulic beauty shop chair, one oak kitchen talbe with six ladderback chairs, kitchen clock, sewing machine, bicycle and various smaller knickknacks and her personal clothing, and crystal).

7. Each party waives the filing of an inventory and appraisement document, as wife is aware of assets and debts of the parites, and husband is aware of assets of the parties and partially aware of the debts although not fully aware of debts that may have been incurred by wife alone, whether inher name or in her name and his.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Continued in the margin.

Stephen E. Hall

782.

RHONDA L. MELTON

vs.

HAROLD J. MELTON

Filed Sept. 6, 1988
@ 9:40 a.m.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

Sept. 6, 1988, Application To Proceed in Forma Pauperis and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Sept. 6, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing application, plaintiff Rhonda L. Melton is granted leave to proceed in this matter, In Forma Pauperis, and the Prothonotary is directed to accept the Complaint for filing.

This order is without prejudice to a future showing that either party is financially able to pay all or part of the costs incurred in this matter.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept 7, 1988 copy of order to S. Hall, esq.

Dec. 28, 1988, Acceptance of Service filed. I accept service of the Compalint in divorce and acknowledge that I received the same on or about Sept.20, 1988..
11/17/88 Harold J. Melton, dft.

Dec. 28, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Dec. 28, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Dec. 28, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review under 201(c) of the divorce code, this case moved to court.

Dec. 30, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, December 30, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

RHONDA L. MELTON and HAROLD J. MELTON

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

William J. Gregg

783.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

8/18/89 Recd
#34.75 from dft
(mediation)

Rec'd 9-6-89
$34.75
Vivian Stoltz

2/13/90 Recd $ 34.75
From Plaintiff

Rec'd 3-1-90
$34.75
Vivian Stoltz

TERRY L. SEFERT

vs.

ELYCE J. SEFERT

Filed Sept. 6, 1988
@ 11:37 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
ProCo Pd. 10/14/88--45.00 ✓
William Pineo, Mediator
Mediation Costs 69.50
Pro Co Pd 2/13/90 9.00 ✓
ProCo Pd 12/10/91 9.00 ✓
State Pd 12/10/91 10.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 13, 1988, Answer and Counterclaim filed. (adding counts of Equitable Property Determination, and Distribution, Alimony, Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees, Costs, and Expert Fees, and Child Custody)

Apr. 27, 1989 Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

May 10, 1989 Income and Expense Statement of Defendant, Elyce J. Sefert filed.

May 10, 1989 Inventory and Appraisment of Elyce J. Sefert filed.

Motion to Appoint a Mediator, and

June 12, 1989, ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 12 day of June, 1989, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for mediation conference before the mediator WILLIAM PINEO, at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford countycourthouse, with the child custody mediator as required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County Pa; it is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the court, the children shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the curt by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.
(1 copy - mediator)

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

June 12, 1989, copies to W. Gregg and J. Irwin, (box)

July 7, 1989 Motion To Compel Plaintiff To Answer Interrogatories, File Income and Expense Statement & Inventory and Appraisement and Order filed. AND NOW,this 7 day of July, 1989, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing, a Rule is entered against Plaintiff to show cause if any he has, why sanctions should not be imposed against him for failure to answer interrogatories and file an income and expense statement and inventory and appraisal in accordance with the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

RULE RETURNABLE On the 26 day of July, 1989, at 9:00 o'clock a.m., in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

July 10, 1989 Copies of order put in William Gregg and James Irwin's box.

July 7, 1989 Motion To Set Hearing Date and Order filed. AND NOW, this 7 day of July, 1989, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing MOtion, a hearing is set to determine the issues of alimony pendente lite and interim counsel fees for the 26 day of July, 1989 at 9:00 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA-16335.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

July 10, 1989 Copies of order put in William Gregg and James Irwin's box.

July 20, 1989 Income and Expense Statement of Plaintiff, Terry L. Sefert filed.

July 27, 1989 ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 26, 1989, the matter is before the Court on a request for alimony pendente lite and counsel fees and at this time, ancillary matters are discussed and some are resolved. It appears a dispute between the parties about the Plaintiff/Husband furnishing the necessar documentation to register a 1984 Chevrolet in the wife's possession has not been resolved by the husband's signature on the necessary documents, in return for which the wife will sign all documentation necessary to allow the registration of a truck in possession of the husband now in the State of Florida with the added proviso that the registration will still be in joint names.

An ancillary support Order in the amount of $150.00 per month for child support has been entered under a reciprocal nonsupport action.

On july 20, 1989, Plaintiff completed an income and expense statement but overlooked filing the same. It appears the husband had a gross income in 1988 of $25,500.00, but the Defendant/Wife contends he quit said employment and left for the State of Florida with another female companion. In the State of Florida, the husband contends he has substantially reduced gross and net income and the figures presented on the income and expense statement indicate a projected gross income in Florida of approximately $14,300.00 for 1989. Thus, the Defendant has voluntarily assumed and taken on a substantial reduction in earning capacity by leaving the Pennsylvania job which he held for four years.

It is obvious that the husband's reported net weekly take-home pay of $168.37 is inadequate to pay the child support obligation noted aforesaid in the amount of $150.00 per month and the husband's projected monthly living expenses listed on his income and expense statement totaling some $920.00 per month.

We consider that the husband voluntarily assumed employment at a substantial reduction from that earned prioer to his leaving for Florida in late 1988 and thus we find an earning capacity of approximately $26,000.00 gross per year in spite of husband's present employment.

Accordingly, we direct that alimony pendente lite in the amount of $100.00 per month commencing with the month of August 1989 shall be paid to the wife on or before the 10th of each and every month. This alimony pendente lite shall continue for a period of six months unless extended further by Court Order on a finding that the delay in resolving this relatively simple equitable division of property is attributable to the husband, in which case it may be extended by further Order of Court. The aforesaid alimony is also entered on the agreement of the husband to contineu making payments on the 1984 Chevrolet in the amoutn of $168.00 per month. It is also conditioned on the husband's obligation to make monthly payment of $177.54 on a consolidated loan at Pennbank, which the wife contends was largely incurred to pay off a loan against the truck, which the husband now possesses in the State of Florida.

The aforesaid alimony pendente lite will be paid through the Domestic Relations Section of Crawford County.

The wife agrees to be responsible for making the monthly mortgage paymetns on the marital residence in the smount of $154.00.

Continued on
Page 616.....

234

1988

William J. Gregg

784.

pro se
Donald E. Lewis

BEN HADIX

vs.

WILLIAM SHIFFLETT

Filed Sept. 6, 1988
@ 4:22 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓
Pro Co pd 10/27/88 15.00 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF
MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30 - 3 -03

Sept. 6, 1988, Rule entered Upon Plaintiff to File Complaint issued.

Sept. 8, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

Sept. 23, 1988 Complaint filed.

Oct. 14, 1988, Answer to Complaint filed.

Oct. 27, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Reference to Arbitrators the following are appointed: R. Charles Thomas, Chairman
Richard W. Roeder
William Peters

Nov. 17, 1988, Arbitrators Take the Qualifying Oath.

1988

Paul R. Yagelski

785.

Douglas G. Linn, II
& Asseeiates
for DefendaNT

Edward G. Shoemaker
David P. McSorley
for additional dfts

ROBERT O. LAMPL

vs.

NORTH AND SOUTH SHENANGO JOINT MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY Defendant

John V. Adams, Jr., Edward G. Shoemaker, and David P. McSorley, t/d/b/a Adams, Shoemaker & McSorley, a partnership
Additional Defendants

Filed Sept. 7, 1988
@ 10:31 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	26.25
Sheriff's Costs	76.00
Sheriff's Costs	42.00
Pro. Co. pd 12/5/94	$5.00

Jury

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Oct. 10, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED North and South Shenango Joint Municipal Authority on October 6, 1988 at 2:50 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Sandy Leter, General Manager and person in charge, at their place of business, R.D.#1, Box 12A, Jamestown, Crawford County, PA 16134
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 22, 1988 Answer and New Matter-Trial By Jury Demanded filed. (1 copy atty.)

Nov. 22, 1988 Complaint Against Additional Defendants--Trial by Jury Demanded filed .(2 copies sheriff)

Dec. 12, 1988 Reply to New Matter and Certificate of Service filed.

Jan. 5, 1988 Preliminary Objections of Edward G. Shoemaker and David P. McSorley To Complainti Against Addition Defendants Filed By Defendant North and South Shenango Joint Municipal Authority filed.

Jan. 9, 1989 Answer To Complaint Against Additional Defendant, John V. Adams, Jr., individually filed.

Jan. 12, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Bonna Best who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 14 day of Dec., 1988, at 6:45 p.m., he did not serve Comp. add. deft., filed at No. 785 Term, 1988, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Attorney DAvid McSorleys at 4609 Beyard St. Pgh. PA By making known the contents thereof to no service made - does not live there.
So answers, Donna Best, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority,personally appeared James Nelson who being duly sworn accor ding to law, deposes and says that on the 14 day of Dec., 1988 at 10:14 am. he served comp. add. deft., filed at No. 785 Term, 1988, Crawford County ,pennsylvania, upon defendant aTtorney John V. adams at 1027 Grant Bldg. Pgh, PA by making known the contents thereof to Robin Herron sec. and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the received from Crawford.
So answers, James Nelson, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared James Nelson who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 14 day of dec., 1988 at 10:19 a.m. he served comp add. deft., filed at No. 785 Term, 1988, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Attorney Edward Shoemaker at 408 Grant Bldg. By making known the contents thereof to Linda Curtis sec. and handing to and leaivng with her the certified copy of the recieved from Crawford.
So answers, James Nelson, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

SERVED John V. Adams, Jr. within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny county, Pa, as per his affidavit of service attached. SErved: 12-14-88.
SERVED Edward G. Shoemaker within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, PA, as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 12-14-88.
Not Found, as to David P. McSorley, as per affidavit of Not Found received from Sheriff of Allegheny County,PA and hereto attached.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 27, 1989 Complaint Reinstated

2-1-94 Plf Brief filed

Mar 13, 1989, Sheriff's Return filed: Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Gail Carter who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 21st day of February, 1989, at 2:22 P.M., he served Complaint Against Additional Defendant, filed at AD 1988-785, Crawford Co., Pa, upon defendant David P. McSorley at 4609 Bayard St., Pgh, Pa 15213 by making known the contents thereof to Emily Tuttle-Bookkeeper and handing to and leaviang with her the certified copy of the complaint received from Crawford Co. Sheriff.
Gail Carter, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

SERVED David P. McSorley by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, Pa as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: February 21, 1989.
So Answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 9, 1990 Notice Of Service Of INterrogatories and Request For Production Of Documents filed

July 31, 1990 Defendant's Motion for a Protective Order Pursuant to Pa. RCP 4012(a)(2) filed.

Sept. 24, 1991 Motion for judgment on the pleadings and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, THIS 26TH DAY OF Sept. 1991 upon motion for Judgment on the pleadings filed by North and South Shenango Joint Municipal Authority against Robert O. Lampl, it is hereby ordered that argument be held on the 28 day of Oct. 1991 in C. R. No. 1, before Judge Thomas, at 9:00 a.m.
Brief of the moving party is due by the 15 day of Oct. 1991. Brief of the non moving party is due by the 28 day of Oct. 1991, at time of argument.
BY THE COURT, P. R. Thomas, S. Judge
Sept. 27, 1991 copies to Attys. Yagelski and D. Linn. and Shoemaker.

Oct. 24, 1991 Re: Motion for judgment onthe Pleadings - Reassignment of Argument Date - filed. Oct. 24, 1991, argument in the above matter heretofore set for Oct. 28, 1991 is hereby reset by the court for Dec. 3, 1991 at 10:30 a.n. in C. R. No. 1 or 2.
FOR THE COURT, Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm,.
Oct. 28, 1991, copies to Attys. Paul R. Yagelski, Douglas linn II and Edward Shoemaker & David McSorley.

Record Continued on Pg. 635

1988

Thomas, Spadafore, Walker & Keenan
Gregory A. Keenan
~~Emil M. Spadafore Jr.~~

786.

Blystone & Blystone
~~Curtiss E. Blystone~~ withdrawn

DIANE K. BURR

vs.

A. RICHARD BURR

Filed Sept. 7, 1988
@ 2:56 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Pro Co Pd 7-23-93 30.00 /
State Pd 7-23-93 10 cc

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

May 21, 1993 Complaint reinstated.

June 1, 1993, Amended Complaint in Divorce filed.
2 copies (atty)

June 18, 1993 I, A. Richard Burr, received theComplaint in Divorce at No. 1988-786, and the Amended Complaint at No. 1988-786.
Date: 7 June 93 A. Richard Burr

July 23, 1993 Petition Raising Economic Claims filed. (Equitable Distributionof Marital Property, Alimony/Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees, costs and Expenses)

Jan. 27, 1995 Motion for Leave to Withdraw Apperance of Curtis E. Blystone, Esquire,Attorney for Defendant and ORDER filed. AND NOW, January 27, 1995,upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, the Law firm of Blystone & Blystone is hereby granted leave to withdraw its apperance on behalf of the defendant in the above-captioned matter.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro

1-27-95 Faxed to Attys.Blystone & Spadafore

Feb. 2, 1995 Praecipe for Withdrawal of Appearance of Curtis E.Blystone, Esquire, Attorney, for Plaintiff, filed.

Feb. 21, 1996 Motion to Withdraw Appearance and ORDER filed. AND NOW,upon consideration of the Motion of Gregory A.Keenan,Esquire, to withdraw as counsel for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter, said Motion is hereby granted.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

FEbruary 21, 1996
2-21-96 Faxed Keenan, 2-28-96 Mailed to Deft.

1988

Anthony J. Vardaro

787.

Routman, Moore, Goldstone & Valentino
Robert H. Isbell

RONALD M. UNICE

vs.

CAROL A. UNICE

Filed Sept. 7, 1988
@ 2:57 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co 10/6/88	45.00
Pro Co Pd. 1/20/89	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 29, 1988, Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 29, 1988, Certificate of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Sept.29, 1988, Answer and Counterclaim to Complaint in Divorce filed. (adding Equitable Disposition of Property, Costs, Counsel Fees, and Alimony Pendente Lite and Permanent Alimony)

Sept. 30, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Vicki Bradshaw, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the secretary to Anthony J. Vardaro, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff in this action and acertifies that on September 9, 1988 she delivered to th ePOst Office in Meadville,PA for delivery to Carol A. Unice at 113 Gilmore Street, Grove City, PA 16127 by certified mail, return receipt requested, delivery restricted to addressee only, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in this matter for which deponent received at th etime receipt for certified article no.P 724 951 570 which is attache dhereto as Exhibit "A'. Thereafter on September 13, 1988 it was returned to Anthony J. Vardaro, return receipt card bearing the same number and signed by Carol A. Unice said return receipt card being attached hereto as Exhibit "B". Vicki Bradshaw

Oct. 19, 1988, Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Counterclaim filed.

Oct. 28, 1988 Defendant's First Set Of Interrogatories To Plaintiff and Answers Thereto Filed.

Jan. 20, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Jan. 20, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Jan. 20, 1989, SEPARATION AND PROPERTY SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FILED.

Jan. 20, 1989, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Feb. 3, 1989 **DECREE FILED.**

1. Divorce : Upon consideration of the pleadings and Affidavits filed in the above case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above named parties, on the grounds that their marriage is irretrievably broekn and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the diovrce, pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. Equitable Property Determination and Distribution: This decree provides that the marital property of the above named parties shall be divided in accordance with a Separation and Property Settlement Agreement dated January 20, 1989 executed by the parties and filed at the above captioned number and termin the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County which distribution shall be as follows:

(A) Each party shall have the tangible personal property including, but without limiation, Jewelry, clothes, furniture furnishings, rugs carpets, household equipment, and appliances, pictures, books, works of art. and other personal property in that party's possession atthis time.

(B) Plaintiff shall have sole ownship of all funds inthe following accounts with the exception of $16,000 which he shall pay to Defendant:

1. First National BAnk of Pennsylvania - account #670-865419
2. Mellon Bank N.A., Meadville, Pennsylvania-account #030-97263
3. Mellon Bank, N.A., Meadville Pennsylvania - acocunt #030-19020
4. Mellon Bank N.A., Meadville, Pennsylvania - account #002910

(C) Plaintiff shall have sole ownership of his individual IRA account at Mellon Bank N.A. originally in the amount of $2,000 and wife shall have sole ownership ofher individual IRA account at Mellon Bank N.A. originally in the amount of $2,000.

3. Permanent Alimony: Plaintiff shall pay the sum of $17,500 to Defendant as a lump sum alimony payment which amount shall fully and completely discharge any further obligation Plaintiff has for the payment of alimony to Defendant.

4. Costs, Counsel Fees and Alimony Pendente Lite: Plaintiff shallpay the sum of $1,000 to Defendant's attorney toward defendant's counsel fees and costs which amount shall discharge any further obligation on the part of Plaintiff for counsel fees, costs and alimony pendente lite.

5. No other matters or causes of action provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code, Act No. 1980-26, are reserved by either of the parties.

This Order shall be effective as of this 3rd day of February, 1989.

BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Bozic & Thomas
David Truax

788.

EMILY M. HILLS

vs.

RICHARD WAYNE HILLS

Filed Sept. 8, 1988

Tax & Entry Pd 9/9/88 $40.50 ✓

Pro Co Pd 12-19-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

Nov. 22, 1988 Affidavit of Service of Complaint in Divorce filed. DAVID P. Truax, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on Sept. 9, 1988, he sent a true and correct copy of Complaint in Divorce, properly endorsed with the Notice to Defend, filed in the above action to the Defendant, RICHARD WAYNE HILLS, at Defendant's last known address of R.D. # 2, Box 44, Meadville, Pa. 16335, by Certified Main No. P. 724 952 048, postage prepaid, restricted delivery, return receipt requested, and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as Sept. 12, 1988.

BOZIC& THOMAS
By: David P. Truax, Esq.

Dec. 19, 1988 Affidavit of consent of Richard Wayne Hill, Defendant filed.

Dec. 19, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Emily M. Hills, Plaintiff filed.

DEc 19, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Dec. 29, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED**

AND NOW,December 28, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

EMILY M. HILLS AND RICHARD WAYNE HILLS

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,

P.Richard Thomas, P.J.

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
David L. Hotchkiss

789.

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

JAMES W. CUTTER

vs.

PAUL M. SHECKLER

Filed Sept. 8, 1988
@ 4:22 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	22.00
Pro Co Pd 11/30/89	6.00

COMPLAINT IN ASSUMPSIT

1 copy (sheriff)

Oct 5, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Paul M. Sheckler within named defendant on September 29, 1988 at 3:53 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Becky Sheckler, adult member of the family, namely wife, with whom he resides, at their residence, Pine Road, Conneaut Lake, Crawford Co., Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 21, 1988, Appearance of Bozic and Thomas, by R. Charles Thomas, attorneys for defendant filed.

Dec. 19, 1988 Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.
(1 copy atty.)

Feb. 3, 1989, Reply to Defendants' Counterclaim filed.

Mar 21, 1989 Plaintiff's Request for Admissions filed. - ict order of Sept 29

June 2, 1989, Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON TRIAL LIST FOR OCTOBER 1989

July 12, 1989 Re: Plaintiff's Preliminary Objections, Memorandum and Order filed:
AND NOW, July 12, 1989, in accordance with our discussion herein Plaintiff's Preliminary Objections are granted in part and denied in part as follows:

I. Demurrer for failure to state right to possession of subject property is denied.
II. Demurrer and Motion to Strike for failure to state cause of action in Counterclaim is denied on the condition the Defendant amends his Counterclaim within 20 days of this Order to allege the appropriate facts in support of his claim for damages.
III. Demurrer and Motion to Strike because the Counterclaim is beyond the scope of Rule 1056(a) is denied.
IV. Motion to Strike for failure to plead lost profits specifically is denied on the condition the defendant amends his Counterclaim within 20 days of this Order to plead special damages.
V. Demurrer to Damages for embarrassment and humiliation is sustained.
VI. Motion to Strike punitive damages is granted.
VII. Demurrer and Motion to Strike pursuant to Statute of Frauds is denied.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

July 12, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. Hotchkiss and Thomas' boxes.

Sept 29, 1989 Notice of Taking Depositions on Oral Examination Under Pa. R.C.P. No. 4007.1 (Paul M. Sheckler)

Sept 29, 1989 Plaintiff's Motion to Determine Sufficiency of Admissions and Order filed: AND NOW, September 29, 1989, the Request for Admissions that was improperly filed in the ejectment action between the parties at No. 19880790 is hereby tranferred and shall be redocketed in this case at No. 1988-789, and the defendant may respond to the request for admissions on or before October 6, 1989.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept 29, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. Hotchkiss and Thomas' boxes.

Oct 4, 1989 Plaintiff's Motion in Limine and Motion for Sanctions filed: AND NOW, this 4 day of Oct., AD, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion of Counsel for the Plaintiff, the Defendant is directed to deliver to Counsel of the Plaintiff by Friday, October 6, 1989, at 5:00 p.m., at the office of Counsel for the Plaintiff, copies of the following:

All documents, papers and drawings which Defendant and Defendant's counsel intend to offer in evidence at trial; and

All invoices as to steel for the subject construction project, which was supplied by Rogers Structural Steel;

Defendant shall provide for examination and copying all project drawings prepared by Architect Lauren Reagle.

Conference 3:00 P.M. Ct Rm 2, Oct 5, 1989.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct 4, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. Thomas and Hotchkiss's boxes.

Oct 5, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, October 5, 1989, after hearing argument, the Plaintiff's motion in limine is DENIED without prejudice to the right of the plaintiff to object to any exhibits or testimony that he deems objectionable at the time of trial.

The request of the Plaintiff to be furnished with copies of all documents and papers, which the Defendant intends to offer in evidence at trial, including invoices as to steel supplied is granted, and the Defendant shall furnish copies of those documents by 5:00 o'clock p.m., October 6, 1989, and any documents, copies of which have not been furnished in accordance herewith will not be permitted to be offered in evidence at the time of trial.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Oct 5, 1989 Copies put in atty's boxes.

Nov. 29, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
David L. Hotchkiss

790.

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

JAMES W. CUTTER

vs.

PAUL M. SHECKLER

Filed Sept. 8, 1988
@ 4:22 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Costs 22.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Oct 5, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Paul M. Sheckler, within named defendant on September 29, 1988 at 3:54 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Becky Sheckler, adult member of the family, namely wife, with whom he resides, at their residence, Pine Road, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 21, 1988, Appearance of Bozic and Thomas, By R. Charles Thomas, attorneys for defendant filed.

Dec. 19, 1988 (1 copy Atty.) Answer to the Complaint in Ejectment filed.

Feb. 8, 1989, Plaintiff's Preliminary Objections to the Defendant's Answer and Counterclaim in Ejectment filed.

Feb. 8, 1989, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case placed on next Argument List.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR MARCH 1989

Mar. 21, 1989 Plaintiff's Request for Admissions filed.

July 12, 1989 Re: Plaintiff's Preliminary Objections Memorandum and Order filed. AND now, July 12, 1989, in accordance with out discussion herein Plaintiff's Preliminary Objections are granted in part and denied in part as follows:
I. Demurrer for failure to state right to possession of subject property is denied.
II. Demurrer and Motion to Strike for failure to State cause of actionin Counterclaim is denied on the condition the Defendant amends his Counterclaim within 30 days of this Order to allege the appropriate facts in supprt of his lcaim for damages.
III. Demurrer and Motion to Strike becuase the Counterclaim is beyond the scope of Rule 1056(a) is denied.
IV. Motion to Strike for failure to plead lost profits specifically is denied on the condition the Defendant amends his Counterclaim within 20 days of this Order to plead special damages.
V. Demurrer to Damages for embarrassment and humiliation is sustained.
VI. Motion To Strike punitive damges is granted.
VII. Demurrer and Motion to Strike pursuant to Statute of Frauds is denied.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

July 12, 1989 copies in boxes of Attys. HOtchkiss and R. C. Thomas.

Nov. 27, 1989 Motion for Consent Decree and Order filed. Order: AND NOW, this 27th day of Nov., A,D,, 1989 , upon Motion of counsel for the Plaintiff, and counsel for both parties having indicated the parties' consent hereto by their signatures approving the entry of this Order.
NOW, THEREFORE, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant for possession of Unit 11 of Cutter's Condominium at 1559 Conneaut Lake Road, Vernon Township, Pennsylvania.
Plaintiff's claim for damages is hereby denied; and Defendant's counterclaim against the Plaintiff for damages is hereby denied.
BY THE COURT:
P. Richar d Thomas, J.

Nov. 27, 1989 copies of order given to David L. Hotchkiss and R. Charles Thomas, attys.

1988

Anthony J. Vardaro

791.

CAROLYN J. EVANS

vs.

ROGER L. EVANS

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

Filed Sept. 9, 1988
@ 9:33 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
John Fuller, Mediator 120.00
Paid 5/5/89 9.00 ✓

12/6/88 Rec'd $60.00 (cash) from defendant

Rec'd 12-30-88 $60.00 Vivian Stoltz

5/8/89 Rec'd $60.00 from Plaintiff

Rec'd 6-6-89 $60.00 Vivian Stoltz

ORDER OF COURT:

YOU, Roger L. Evans, Defendant have been sued in Court to obtain custody of the children: Dustin S.Evans and Danae L. Evans.

You are ordered to appear in front of JOHN FULLER CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR, at a such time and place as he shall designate for a Mediation Conference.

You are further ordered to bring with you the children, Dustin S.Evans and Danae L. Evans, should they be in your possession at the time of the hearing.

Pending the Mediation Conference in this matter, temporary Custody of both children is awarded to the plaintiff, Carolyn J. Evans with reasonable visitation to the defendant, Roger L. Evans. Further pending the Mediation Conference in this matter, no party shall remove the children from the Com. of Pa.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order or to bring the children, an order for custody, partial cusotdy or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Public Comm. c/o/ Prothonotary Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335, Tele: 814-724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept. 30, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Vicki Bradshaw, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the secretary to Anthony J. Vardaro, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff in this action and certifies that on September 12, 1988 she delivered to the Post Office inMeadville, PA for delivery to Roger L. Evans at R.D.#3, Saegertown, PA 16433 by certified mail, return receipt requested, delivery restricted to addressee only, a true and correct copy ofthe Complaint for Custody in this matter for which deponent received at the time receipt for certified article no. P 724 951 571 which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Thereafter on September 19, 1988 is was returned Anthony J. Vardaro, return receipt card bearing the same number and signe dby Roger Evans said return receipt card being attached hereto as Exhibit "B".

Vicki Bradshaw

Nov 10, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, November 9, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire and based upon an agreement of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Dustin S. Evans and Danae L. Evans shall be shared between the mother, Carolyn J. Evans and the father, Roger L. Evans, that is, both mother and father shall be entitled to take part in decisions affecting the children's medical treatment, education, religious instruction and other such important decisions and both parents shall be entitled full access to medical records, school records and other important records.

II. Physical custody of Dustin s. Evans and Danae L. Evans shall be with their mother, Carolyn J. Evans, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody every other weekend, beginning November 4, 1988 from 7:00 p.m. on Friday evening until 8:00 p.m. sunday evening. On Fridays the father shall pick up the children at the home of the mother and on Sundays the mother shall pick up the children at the home of the father.

B. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Thanksgiving and Easter. The children shall be in the home of the mother on Thanksgiving Day every year and in the home of the father on Easter Sunday every year. The children shall be in the home of the mother on Christmas Eve every year and following a Christmas Eve celebration with the mother's parents, the mother shall drop the children off at the home of the father, somewhere about 9:00 p.m. or 10:00 p.m. Christmas Eve and the father shall retain custody of the children the remainder of Christmas Eve and Christmas Day and shall return them to the home of the mother at 7:00 p.m. on Christmas Day.

C. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

D. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

E. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness injury regarding one or both of the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

F. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

Cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided between the parties.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov 15, 1988 Copies of order to Atty. Vardaro and Fuller and copies to plaintiff and defendant.

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen
Petruso and Gamble
JOhn D. Petruso

792.

MADDALENA A. ROAE

vs.

CHARLES D. ROAE

Filed Sept. 9, 1988
@ 9:37 a.m.

Tax & entry	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	$18.00

PETITION FOR RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy (sheriff)

ORDER FOR HEARING:

AND NOW, this 9th day of Sept. 1988, upon consideration of the within petition, a hearing is scheduled for the 16 day of Sept. 1988 at 9:15 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pa.

Pending the time set for hearing, the respondent shall be prohibited from attempting to cause or intentionally, knowingly or recklessly causing bodily injury to the petitioner, or placing by physical menace the petitioner in fear of bodily injury, or contacting the petitioner. An law enforcement officer with the power of arrest is ordered to assist in the implementation of this order. An affidavit for filing without cost having been attached to this petition, the Prothonotary is directed to proceed with the filing of the petition and order forthwith. In addition, the Sheriff of Crawford County is hereby ordered and directed to serve the within petition without the filing of costs at the present time but costs to be directed and ordered at the time of the hearing.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept. 9, 1988, copy to John Petruso, Esq.

Sept. 9, 1988 - Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Charles D. Roae personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Protection From Abuse and Notice of Hearing to him on Sept. 9, 1988 at 1:00 P.M. at Sheriff's Office, Room 303 Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA. 16335
So answers,

Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept 19, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, September 16, 1988, it appearing that the parties are in the throes of marital difficulties, that a divorce action has been instituted, that there may be matters relaging to custody and visitation with the minor children, and the disposition of personal property and personal effects that should be resolved more properly in a meeting between counsel and the parties, the Defendant having advised the Court that he is represented by Mr. David Truax in the divorce action, this matter is continued to be rescheduled upon motion of any party in interest and the Defendant is directed to contact his attorney,meet with him, and attempt to resolve the various matters regarding property and visitation in some amicable matter. Pending said meeting and further hearing, neither of the parties shall in any way cause any physical confrontation with the other nor shall the defendant enter the marital residence by any type of force until such time as these matters are resolved.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept 21, 1988 Copies of order to John Petruso and David Truax, Esqs.

1988

William B. Moore

793.

THERESA K. GILCHRIST

vs.

JAMES M. GILCHRIST

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION201(d) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 9, 1988, Petition for Leave to Proceed IN forma Pauperis, Praecipe to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, and order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 9th day of Sept. 1988, Theresa K Gilchrist, Petitioner in the above action is granted leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, reserving the right to impose costs if appropriate. BY THE COURT, Robert L. Walker, J.

Filed Sept. 9, 1988
@ 4:00 p.m.

Indigent filing
Sheriff's Costs 16.55
Sheriff's Costs 21.46

Nov. 1, 1988 Complaint reinstated.

Dec. 22, 1988 Complaint reinstated.

Feb. 8, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. Not Found, as to within named defnedant James F. Gilchrist. So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr. 28, 1989 Complaint Reinstated.

June 1, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED James M. Gilchrist personally by handing copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Notice to defend and Claim Rights to him on May 11, 1989 at 9:45 AM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA 16335.
So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 11, 1989 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Aug 10, 1989 Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree filed.

Aug 10, 1989 Affidavit of Service of Plaintiff's Affidavit filed.

Aug 10, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Unde §201(d) of the Divorce Cod, this case is moved to court.

Aug. 23, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED**

And now, August 23, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that THERESA K. GILCHRIST, plaintiff, and JAMES M. GILCHRIST, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,

Robert L. Walker, J.

1988

Jorden and White Harry F. White II 794.	JAMES E. TROOP vs. RENEE N. BUCKNER, a/k/a RENEE N. TROOP Filed Sept. 9, 1988 @ 10:41 a.m. Indigent filing	ACTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT 1 copy (atty) ORDER: AND NOW, this 8 day of Sept. 1988, the plaintiff shall be entitled to proceed in forma pauperis without the payment of filing fees in this matter, reserving the right to require payment of costs if appropriate. BY THE COURT, Robert L. Walker, J. Sept. 9, 1988, copy to Atty. H. White, Esq.

1988

Caroselli, Spagnolli & Beachler
Edwin H. Beachler

795.

Willman & ARnold
Thomas C. Herbertson
for Combustion Engineer.

Rosenberg, Kirshner PA by William Haushalter for Eagle-Picher, Ind.

Riley, DeFalice & McCarrin
Patrick R. Riley
Christopher A. Beck
for dft. Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corp.

~~Sharlock, Repcheck & Mahler by: John P. Repcheck for Anchor Packing Co.~~ Withdrawn

Reed Smith Shaw & McClay
Gerald C. Paris, and
Diane W. Perer FOR
GAF Corp., Keene Corp. & Owens-Illinois, Inc. & Pgh. Corning Corp.

Thomson, Rhodes & Cowie
L. Jane Charlton
For: The Celotex Corp. Philip Carey Corp., Philip Carey Mfg. Co. Briggs Mfg. Co and Panacon Forp. and, Raymark Ind. Inc. f/k/a Raybestos-Manhattan, Inc.

Willman & Arnold
Ruth A. Antinone
for dft. Geo. Hamilton, Inc

Rosenberg, Kirshner
William R. Haushalter
for Anchor Packing Co.

CLAIR R. FERNBERG AND BONNIE L. FERNBERG

vs.

KEENE BUILDING PRODUCTS CORPORATION, SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO BALDWIN-EHRET-HILL, INC., A CORPORATION: THE CELOTEX CORPORATION, SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO PHILIP CAREY CORPORATION, PHILIP CAREY MANUFACTURING COMPANY, BRIGGS MANUFACTURING COMPANY AND PANACON CORPORATION, A CORPORATION, GAF CORPORATION, SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO RUBEROID CORPORATION, A CORPORATION: OWENS-CORNING FIBERGLAS CORPORATION, A CORPORATION: OWENS-ILLINOIS INC. A CORPORATION: COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, INC. A CORPORATION: PITTSBURGH CORNING CORPORATION, A CORPORATION, EAGLE PICHER INDUSTRIES, INC., A CORPORAITON: GEORGE V. HAMILTON, INC. A CORPORATION, ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, A CORPORATION dfts.

THE MANVILLE CORPORATION ASBESTOS DISEASE COMPENSATION FUND, Add'l dfts.

Filed Sept. 9, 1988
@ 11:00 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	28.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 29, 1988, Appearance of Willman and Arnold, by Thomas C. Herbertson, attorney for defendant Combustion Engineering, Inc. filed.

Oct 3, 1988 Appearance of Rosenberg, Kirshner, P.A. by William R. Haushalter for Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc. filed.

Oct. 17, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. AND now, come the plaintiffs, Clair R. Fernberg and Bonnie L. Fernberg, by their attorneys, Caroselli, Spagnolli & Beachler, and Edwin H. Beachler, Esq., and file this Affidavit of Service, pursuant to Section 5323 of the Pennsylvania Judicial Code, and state that a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Civil Action and Notice to Defend were served upon the following defendants in the manner indicated below:
Certified Mial, Return Receipt Requested: Anchor Packing Co., Eagle Picher Industries, Inc., Combustion Engineering, Inc., Owens-Illinois, Inc., GAF Corp.,Keene Building Products Corp., The Celotex Corp.
The return receipt, signed by an authorized agent for the above defendants, are attached hereto and made a part hereof.
Caroselli, Spagnolli & Beachler
By Edwin H. Beachler, Esq.
(see Affidavit of Service for addresses).

Oct. 28, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared William Campbell who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 6 day of Oct., 1988, at 9:45 a.m., he served complaint, filed at No. 795 Term, 1988, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant George V. Hamilton, Inc. at River aVe. McKees Rocks. By mkaing known the contents thereof to Roberta Giles sec. and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the complaint received from Crawford.
So answers, William W. Campbell, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff
Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared William Campbell who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 6 day of Oct., 1988, at 12:51 P.M., he served complaint, filed at No. 795 Term, 1988, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Pgh. Corning at 1670 Golden Mile Hwy. Monroeville. By making known the contents thereof to Julie Aul sec. and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the complaint received from crawford.
So answrs. William W. Campbell, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. coon, Sheriff
Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared William Campbell who bieng duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 6 day of Oct., 1988, at 10:02 a.m., he served Complaint, filed at No. 795 term, 1988, crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Owens Corning Fiberglass at Jucole Eng. Bldg., 200 Fleet St. Suite 2035 By making kno;wn the contents thereof to Marilyn Pleasants Sec. an dhanding to and leaving with her the certified copy ofthe complaint received from Crawford.
So answers, William Campbell, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff
SERVED Pittsburgh Corning Corporation by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, PA, as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Oct. 6, 1988.
SERVED Owens Corning Fiberglass Corporation by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, PA as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Oct. 6, 1988.
SERVED George V. Hamilton, Inc. by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, PA as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Oct. 6, 1988.
So answers Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 2, 1988, Appearance of Riley, DeFalice & McCarrin, by Patrick R. Riley, attorneys for defendant Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation, filed. (also Atty. Christopher A. Beck)

Nov. 4, 1988 Notice of Service of Short Form Interrogatories Served on Plaintiffs filed.

Nov. 7, 1988 Appearance of Sharlock, Repcheck & Mahler, by John J. Repcheck, attorneys for defendant, Anchor Packing Co.(also Atty. David P. Helwig) filed.

Nov. 7, 1988 Answer and New Matterfiled.

Nov. 7, 1988 Complaint to Join Additional Defendant filed.

Nov. 10, 1988, Appearance of Reed Smith Shaw & McClay, by Gerald C. Paris, Esq., attorney for defendants GAF Corp. Keene Corp., Owens-Illinois, Inc, and Pittsburgh Corning Corp., filed.

Nov. 21, 1988 Praecipe for Appearance of Thomson, Rhodes & Cowie, By L. Jane Charlton, on behalf of The Celotex Corp., Successor in Interest to Philip Carey Corp., Philip Carey Mfg. Co., Briggs Mfg.,Co. and Panacon Corp, a corporation , one of the defendants filed.

Nov. 21, 1988 Plaintiff's Reply to New Matter of Anchor Packing Company filed.

Dec. 5, 1988, Appearance of L. Jane Charlton, of thomson, Rhodes & Cowie, attorneys for defendant Raymark Industries, Inc. formerly known as Raybestos-Manhattan, Inc. filed.

Continued on Pg. 599

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs PC
Alan L. Pepicelli

796.

Thomas, Truax,
Spadafore, Vardaro
& Walker
R. Charles Thomas

ROBERT E. BAYUK AND DARLENE R. BAYUK, HIS WIFE

vs.

TERRIE E. ARBUCKLE, a/k/a TERRIE E. ARBUCKLE LANDFRIED

Filed Sept. 9, 1988
@ 1:28 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	39.60
Pro Co pd 1-4-95	6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Oct. 10, 1988 Writ Return filed. before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Douglas R. Long, Deputy Sheriff, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 20th day of september, 1988, at 10:03 A.M. he served Summons, filed at No. AD - 796 Term, 1988, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Terrie E. ARbuckle, a/k/a Terrie E. ARbuckle Lanfried at 526 Fredonia Road, Greenville PA 16125 in Mercer County By making known th econtents thereof to Terrie (Arbuckle) Landfried, personally, and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy ofthe summons received from Sheriff of Crawford County.
So answers, Douglas R. Long, Deputy Sheriff
William H. Romine, Jr. sheriff
SERVED Terri E. Arbuckle Landfried by deputizing the sheirff of Mercer County, PA. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: September 20, 1988.
So answers. Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 22, 1990 Writ Reissued.

Aug. 22, 1990 Complaint in Civil Action filed.

Oct. 2, 1990 Acceptance of Service and Entry of Appearance filed. AND NOW, this 28th day of Sept., 1990, I hereby accept service of the Complaint in Civil Action on behalf of the defendant, Terrie E Arbuckle, a/k/a Terrie E. Arbuckle Landfried, by receipt of a certified copy thereof, being duly authorized to accept service on behalf of said Defendant.
I her eby enter my appearance as attorney for Terrie E. Arbuckle a/k/a Terrie E. Arbuckle Landfried, the above-captioned Defendants.
R. Charles Thomas

Apr. 1, 1991 Answer and New Matter filed.

June 3, 1992 NOTICE OF SERVICE of Requests for Production of Documents and Interrogatories, filed.

Oct. 19, 1992 Notice Of Service of Answers to Interrogatories and Requests for Production filed.

Oct 30, 1992 NOTICE OF INTENT TO COMPLETE DISCOVERY, filed.

Nov.4, 1992 Notice of Deposition of Terrie E. Arbuckle, a/k/a Terrie E. Arbuckle Landfried, will be taken on Friday,November 13, 1992 filed.
Nov. 10, 1992 Notice of Depositions ofRobert E. Bayuk and Darlene R.Bayuk will be taken on Friday, November 13, 1992, filed.

Oct. 11, 1993 Notice of Deposition of Cindy Klawges filed.

May 25, 1994 Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON OCTOBER, 1994 TRIAL LIST

Dec. 28, 1994 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney the above-captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Melissa k. Dively

797.

R. Charles Thomas
Bozic and Thomas

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

GRETTA MARIE HEETER

Filed Sept. 9, 1988
@ 4:23 p.m.

TAx & entry	$40.50
Pro Co	9.00
Appeal Pd 12/21/88	30.00

APPEAL FROM SUSPENSION OF OPERATING PRIVILEGES

2 copies (atty)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 9th day of sept. 1988 upon presentation of and consideration of the within petition, it is adjudged and decreed that a hearing on this Appeal shall be held on the 22nd day of Nov. 1988 at 9:30 a.m. at the Crawford County Court House in Meadville, Pa. or as soon threafter as the attention of the Court may be had and that thirty(30) days written notice shall be given by the Petitioner to the Sec. of trans. of the Co,. of Pa. by Certified Mail, Ret. Rec. Req., and to the Attorney General of the Com. of Pa.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept. 12, 1988, copy of order to R. Charles Thomas, Atty.

Nov 22, 1988 Exhibits filed with papers.

Nov. 30, 1988, ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, November 22, 1988, after hearing and a review ofthe factual situation surrounding the nenety-day suspension in this case, the appeal is SUSTAINED and the Department is directed to cancel the suspension imposed by notice of August 11, 1988.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Dec. 2, 1988, copies of order to Melissa Dively and R. C. Thomas, attys.

Dec. 21, 1988, Notice of Appeal of Commonwealth of Penna., Dept. of Trans., from order entered Nov. 22, 1988, to the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania, filed. (Request for transcript and proof of service attached)

Dec. 29, 1988 Notice of Appeal Docketing at 3005 CD 1988 filed.

Feb. 8, 1989, Transcript of Proceedings At Time of License Suspension Hearing filed.

Feb 15, 1989 Re: Appeal of License Suspension, Memorandum filed.

Feb 15, 1989 Copies of Memorandum given to R. C. Thomas and Melissa K. Dively, Esqs.

Sept. 15, 1989, OPINION AND ORDER certified from the record of Commonwealth Court of Pa. filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Sept. 13, 1989, the order of the court of Common pleas of Crawford County is reversed and the Department of Transportation's Order of a 90 day suspension of Gretta Marie Heeter's vehicle operating license is reinstated. Madaline Palladino, Judge.

Before: Hon James Crumlish, jr. Pres. Judge
Hon Madaline Palladino, Judge
Hon Doris A. Smith, Judge

Nov. 17, 1989 Certificate of Contents of Remanded Record and Notice of Remand Under Pa. Rules of Appellate Procedure 2571 and 2572(e), OPINION AND ORDER filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, September 13, 1989 the order ofthe court of Common Plaes of Crawford County is reversed and the Department of Transportation's Order of a 90 day suspension of Gretta Marie Heeter's vehicle operating license is reinstated. Madaline Paladino, Judge.
Before: Hon. James Crumlish, Jr. Pres. Judge
Hon. Madaline Palladino, Jude.
Hon. Doris A. Smith, Judge

Nov. 21, 1989, copy of cert. mailed to Commonwealth Court.

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

798.

CHRISTINE M. NEBIOLO

vs.

MARK P. NEBIOLO

Filed Sept. 12, 1988
@ 8:30 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 12/22/88 9.00

case is moved to Court.

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 4, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq., Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on Sept. 13, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Mark P. Nebiolo by certified mail #P-547 808 386, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Mark P. Nebiolo on Sept. 24, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Dec. 22, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 22, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendnat filed.

Dec. 22, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this

Dec. 30, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, December 30, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

CHRISTINE M. NEBIOLO and MARK P. NEBIOLO

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the par ties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Melissa K. Dively

799.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin and Stack
Robert S. Bailey

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

CHRISTOPHER R. KELLER

Filed Sept. 12, 1988
@ 9:34 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Paid 12-6-88-9.00 ✓

PETITION FOR APPEAL FROM ORDER OF DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTAITON SUSPENDING DRIVER'S LICENSE

PRELIMINARY ORDER:

AND NOW, this 12 day of sept. 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, a hearing de novo is hereby granted to determine whether the acion of the Dept. of Trans. in suspending the license of Petitioner should be set aside.

The above matter is set for hearing on the 22nd day of Nov. 1988 at 9:30 a.m. in Crawford CountyCourtroom No.1 or 2. The Prothonotary is hereby directed to notify the Dept. of Trans. of said hearing, forthwith. Pursuant to Title 75 Pa. C.S.A. 1550(b) this appeal shall operate as a supersedeas and no suspension shall be imposed against Petitioner until final determination of this matter.

Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept. 13, 1988 copies of order to R. Bailey, Esq.

Nov 7, 1988 Petition to Remove Points Assessed and to Nullify Suspension and Order filed: AND NOW, this 7 day of Nov., 1988 after consideration of the foregoing Petition to Remove the Assessment of Points and nullify driver's license suspension, a Rule is hereby entered upon the Department of Transportation, Bureau of Driver Licensing, to show cause, if any it has, why the within Petition should not be granted.

This Order is made returnable and for hearing at the time originally set for the appeal, to-wit; November 22, 1988 at 9:30 A.M. in Crawford Courtroom No. 1 or 2. The Prothonotary is hereby directed to notify the Department of Transportation of said hearing, forthwith.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov 9, 1988 Copies of order to Comm. & Atty Bailey.

Nov. 30, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Nov. 22, 1988, at the time set for hearing, the Commonwealth agrees with the allegations in the petition, reviews the official record showing this case was nolle prossed by the District Attorney, thus overturning the summary conviction of Section 3361.

ACCORDINGLY, the prayer of the petition is GRANTED, athe appeal is SUSTAINED and the Department is directed to remove the two-point assessment arising from this conviction and cancel any suspension arising by virtue of the assessment of the tow points.

Nolle prosque may be found in Crawford County at 1988 - 394 and District Justice Citation No. B20373.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Dec. 2, 1988, copies of order to Melissa Dively and Robert S. Bailey

250

1988

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

800.

SHIRLEY J. HELTZEL

vs.

JOHN H. HELTZEL

Filed Sept. 12, 1988
@ 11:19 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 2/24/89 15.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 2/21/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 12, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the divorce code filed. 1 copy (atty)

Jan. 26, 1989 Acceptance Of Service And Entry of Appearance filed. I accept service ofthe Complaint in Divorce in two counts, Count I in divorce and Count II in equitable distribution.
Date: 9-14-88 John H. Heltzel

Jan. 26, 1989 Plaintiff's Withdrawal of Count II of her Complaint in Divorce filed.

Jan. 26, 1989 Acceptance Of Service filed. I accept service ofthe Plaintiff's Affidavit under Section 201(d) ofthe Divorce Code.
9-17-88 John H. Heltzel

Feb 1, 1989 Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree filed.

Feb 1, 1989 Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Feb. 17, 1989 Stipulation between the parties filed.

Feb. 17, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(d) of Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Mar. 7, 1989 F I N A L E D E C R E E I N D I V O R C E F I L E D .

AND NOW, this 7 day of March, 1989, upon consideration of the pleadings and Affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, the Court here by grants an Absolute Divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, upon grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and that the parties have lived separate and apart for in excess of three (3) years.

WHEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED that the marriage heretofore existing between SHIRLEY J. HELTZEL and JOHN H. HELTZEL Is hereby dissolved and all of the legal duties, rights,, and claims of either ofthe parties to the other in pursuant of said marriage is hereby terminated.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas,J

1988

Ferguson & Spataro
J. WesleyRowden

801

pro se

DENTON LEPLEY

vs.

EDWARD C. SHAW

Filed Sept. 12, 1988
@ 1:13 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25
Pro Co Pd 10/27/88 9.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF
MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-3-06

Sept. 12, 1988 Rule Entered Upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

And Now, Oct. 27, 1988 at 2:37 p.m., Appeal in this matter stricken pursuant to D. J. Rule of Civil Procedure No. 1006 for failure of appellant to file proof of service as required by D. J. Rules of Civil Procedure No. 1005B.
Stricken - 10/27/88

APPEAL STRICKEN
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

May 11, 1989 Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph Altomare

802.

MacDonald Illig JOnes
& Britton
Irving Murphy

Grogan, Graffam,
McGinley & LUcchino
Joseph A. Macerelli

JEAN K. HIGLEY

vs.

DIOGENES SAAVEDRA AND
DIOGENES SAAVEDRA, P.C.

Filed Sept. 12, 1988
@ 1:59 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's costs 21.00
Pro Co pd 3/13/91 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Sept 19, 1988 Writ Returned: SERVED Diogenes Saavedra within named defendant on September 14, 1988 at 10:18 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Jeanette Wilmoth, Office Manager and person in charge at his place of business, 664 Highland Avenue, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 5, 1988, Appearance of MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton, by Irving Murphy, attorneys for defendants Diogenes Saavedra and Diogenes Saavedra, P.C., filed.

Oct. 5, 1988, Rule Entered Upon Plaintiff to File Complaint Issued. (atty Murphy)

Dec. 28, 1988, Complaint filed.

Jan. 9, 1989 Appearance of Grogan, Graffam, McGinley & Lucchino by Joseph A. Macerelli for Defendants filed.

Feb. 24, 1989, Answer and New Matter of defendant filed.

Mar 17, 1989 Notice of Service of Interrogatories to Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 21, 1989 Answer to New Matter filed.

May 16, 1989 Answers To Interrogatories filed.

Mar. 13, 1991 Motion For Discontinuance and Order filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 13 day of March, 1991, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the above captioned action is discontinued with prejudice and for all future purposes as to the defendants, Diogenes Saavedrea and Diogenes Saavedrea, P.C., and , further, that the Prothonotary is ordered to mark the docket discontinued as to the Defendants, Diogenes Saavedra and Diogenes Saavedra, P.C.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas

Mar. 19, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Joseph Altomare, Irving Murphy and Joseph Macerelli

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
E. Max Weiss
John B. Brautigam

803.

Jorden & White
William T.Jorden
and
Houston Harbaugh, PC
By:Margaret F. Houston
& Ralph T. DeStefano
for dft. Penn United Tech.

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs & Youngs
Alan L. Pepcelli
Leonard Curl

LEECH, INC.

vs.

PENN UNITED TECHNOLOGY, INC.
AND LEONARD CURL

Filed Sept. 12, 1988
@ 2:21 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 57.00
Pro.Co. pd 1/6/94 6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Oct. 10, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED Leonard Curl personally the within named defendant on September 16, 1988 at 9:35 AM at his residence, 424 Park Ave., Meadville, Crawford County ,PA.
SERVED Penn United Technology, INc. by deputizing the Sheriff of Butler County, PA, as per affidavit attached SErved: September 28, 1988.
Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Eugene Stephenson Butler County Deputy Sheriff who bieng duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 28th day of September, 1988, at 11:00 a.m., he served Summons in Civil Action, filed at No. AD 803 Term, 1988, Crawford County Pennsylvania upon defendant Penn United Technology, Inc. at Rt. 356 Cabot, PA 16023 Jfferson twp. By making known the contents thereof to Mr. Carl Jones President and handing to and leaving with him the certifie d copy of the Summons received .
So answers Eugene F. Stephenson, DeputySheriff
Dennis C. Rickard, Sheriff
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 13, 1989, Appearance of Jorden and WHite by William T. Jorden, and Houston Harbaugh, P.C. by Margaret F. Houston and Ralph T. DeStefano, attorneys for defendant Penn United Technology, Inc. filed.

Jan. 13, 1989, Rule of defendant Penn United Technology, Inc: entered upon plaintiff to file a complaint, issued.

Jan. 16, 1989 Notice of Service of Interrogatories and request for Production of Documents filed.

Jan. 17, 1989, Service of the foregoing Rule accepted Jan. 16, 1989, by JOhn B. Brautigam, attorney for plaintiff.

Feb 1, 1989 Complaint filed. (3 copies atty)

Feb 1, 1989 Certificate of Service filed: Certified copies of the attached Complaint were sent this date by first class mail to counsel of record for Penn United Technology, Inc. and to Leonard Curl.

Mar. 8, 1989, Answer and New Matter filed. (answer of defendant Penn United Technology, Inc Penn United)

Mar. 23, 1989 Leech Inc. Reply to Penn United New Matter filed.

May 4, 1989 Appearance of Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs PC by Alan L Pepicelli for Leonard Curl filed.

May 4, 1989 Notice Of Deposition of Daniel J. Leech filed.

May 5, 1989 Notice of Taking Deposition on Orial Examination Under Pa. R.C.P. No. 4007.1 of Carl Jones filed.

May 5, 1989 Notice of Taking Deposition on Oral Examination Under Pa. R.C.P. No. 4007.1 of Leonard Curl filed.

May 22, 1989 Notice of Service of Interrogatories and Request for Production of Docuemtns and Things filed.

June 7, 1989, Amended Notice of Depositions of Daniel J. Leech, Leech, Inc. filed.

June 9, 1989, Notice of taking Depositions on Oral Examination of Leonard Curl, filed.

June 9, 1989, Notice of Taking Depositions on Oral Examination of Penn United Tech. INc. filed.

June 9, 1989, Certificate of Service of Leech's Inc. Asnwers to Penn United Interrogatories and REsponses, filed.

July 13, 1989, Notice of Taking Depositions on Oral Examination Under Pa. R.C.P. No. 4007.1 of Penn United Tech, INc. filed.

July 13, 1989, Notice of taking deposition on oral examination Under Pa. R.C.P. 4007.1 of Leonard Curl, filed.

Aug. 1, 1989 Notice of Taking Depositions on Oral Examination Under Pa. R.C.P. No. 4007.1 of President Carl Jones filed.

Oct. 5, 1989 Amended Notice Of Deposition of Richard H. Hilsinger filed.

Oct. 13, 1989, Answer and New Matter of defendant Curl, filed. 2 copies (atty)

Nov. 20, 1989, Leech, Inc. Reply to New Matter of Leonard Curl, filed.

Jan. 22, 1990, Leech, Inc. Petition for Leave to Amend Complaint and Order filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, this 19 dayof Jan. 1990, upon consideration ofthe foregoing Petition for Leave Amend Complaint file by Plaintiff Leech, Inc. a Rule is entered upon defendants to show cause if any they have, why plaintiff should not be granted leave to file an amended complaint.
Rule returnable the 26 day of Feb. 1990 - Argument Court, Rm. No. 1 or 2, oftheCrawford CountyCourt of Common Pleas, Meadville, Pa. BY THE COURT, Gordon R. Miller, J. 1/25/90 - copies to attys. of record.

Continued on Page 623

1988

David W. Pickens

804.

Mason and Mason
M. Dan Mason for dft.

William Gregg
for add'l dft.

STEVEN M. CARNES,
ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE
OF JOHN D. CARNES, JR.

vs.

RENA HATHAWAY WHITE
dft.
MARCIA L. CARNES, add'l dft.

Filed Sept. 12, 1988
@ 4:14 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Costs 24.20
Pro Co pd 6/12/90 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

1 copy (sheriff)

SEpt 29, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Rena Hathaway personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on September 21, 1988 at 11:45 A.M. at here residence, R.D.#2, Linesville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 14, 1988, Answer filed. 2 copies (atty) 2 copies(plff.atty)

Dec 14, 1988 Reply filed.

Dec. 21, 1988, Complaint Against Additional Defendant, Marcia L. Carnes, filed. 2 copies (atty)

Dec. 22, 1988, Certificate of Service filed. I, M. Dan Mason, Esq. certify that I have this 21st dayof Dec. 1988 served a copy of the Complaint Against Additional Defendant on Defendant by serving Kathleen L. Nelson, Esq. by handing her a true and correct copy of same. M. Dan Mason Esq.

Dec. 22, 1988, Affidavit of Acceptance of Service filed. I, Kathleen L. Nelson, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, do hereby state that I accepted Service of Defendant's Complaint Against Additional Defendant on behalf of Additional Defendant, Marcia L. Carnes, on Dec. 21, 1988, at 10:46 a.m. at the office of M. Dan Mason, 973 Liberty St, Meadville, Pa.
Kathleen R. Nelson, for Wm. Gregg, Esq.

June 12, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Shafer, swick, Bailey, Irwin and Stack
Louis J. Stack

805.

FARM CREDIT BANK OF BALTIMORE, FORMERLY KNOWN AS FEDERAL LAND BANK OF BALTIMORE

vs.

CLELL E. SOMMERS AND PEARL J. SOMMERS, HUSBAND AND WIFE

Filed Sept. 13, 1988
@ 10:27 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	44.20
Pro Co Pd 11/1/88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

3 copies (sheriff)

Sept. 28, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED by mailing by certified mail, September 23, 1988, a true and attested copy of the within Complaint to Clell E. Sommers, 14242 State Route 161, Plain City, OH 43064 return receipt attached.
SERVED by mailing by certified mail, September 23, 1988 a true and attested copy of the within Complaint to Pearl J. Sommers, 14242 State Route 161, Plain City, OH 43063, return receipt attached.
POSTED mortgaged premises on September 21, 1988 at 1:30 PM at R.D.#2, Box 276, Conneautville, PA. House vacant.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Nov. 1, 1988, at 1:48 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with copy of default notice and certificate of service attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for want of an answer; damages assessed in the amount of SeventyThousand Six Hundred Sixty One and 31/100 Dollars plus int. from 9/1/88 and costs.
S - 32, 132
Judgment entered - 11/1/88

Debt --- $70,661.31
Int. from 9/1/88
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EXECUTION 1988 - 104

1988

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

806.

BOBBY EARL DORMAN

vs.

KAREN ROSE DORMAN

Filed Sept. 13, 1988
@ 1:07 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
2 count paid 7/17/91 - 30.00 ✓
state Pd 7/18/91 10.00
Pro Co pd 9/19/91 9.00
State pd 9/19/91 10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

May 31, 1991 Complaint Reinstated.

June 13, 1991 **AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE** filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, Esq., being duly sworn to law, deposes and says that the following fact in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of a Complaint for Divorce under Section 201(c) was sent by registered mail to the Defendant
3. The registered mail was sent to the Defendant at, 6551 Ramsey Street, Fayetteville, North Carolina 28300 on May 31, 1991. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. The Defendant received said registered mail on June 7, 1991. Said receipt card number 320 791 299 is attached hereto and signed by the Defendant, Karen Rose Dorman.

Bruce A. Barrett, Esq.

June 13, 1991 **AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT** by Plaintiff filed.
Bobby Earl Dorman

July 17, 1991, Petition for Additional Remedies - Equitable Distribution - Custody - filed.
1 copy (atty)

Aug. 2, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, Esq., being duly swosrn to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action..
2. That a true and correct copy of a Petition for Additional Remedies was sent by registered mail to the Defendant.
3. The registered mail was sent to the Defendant at R.D. #4, Centerville, PA 16404, on July 17, 1991. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. The Defendant received said registered mail on July 24, 1991. Said receipt card number 320 791 311 is attached hereto and signed by the Defendant, Karen Rose Dorman.

Bruce A. Barrett, Esq.

Aug. 9, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug. 9, 1991 Marital separation Agreement filed.

Sept. 17, 1991 Consent of both of the parties filed.

Sept. 17, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney Fore Review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Sept. 24, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 20 day of September, 1991, it is Ordered and Decreed that Bobby Earl Dorman, Plaintiff and Karen Rose Dorman, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

AND FURTHER, the Agreement entered into between the parties and dated March 29, 1991, is hereby adopted, except for Paragraph 3 of said Agreement, and made a court order as if fully set forth herein.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

807.

Joseph C. Barnhart

LAURA S. HART

vs.

CLYDE H. HART

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 14 dayof sept. 1988, BRUCE SMITH is hereby appointed Custody Mediator and is herebydirected to hold such Custody Mediation Conferences as he deems appropriate and to make recommendations thereafter to this court.
THE Temporary physical custody of the minor children Clyne H. Hart, IV, date of birth, July 23, 1979, and Melissa J. Hart, date of birth, May 14, 1985, is and shall continue to be with the plaintiff mother, Laura S. Hart until further Order of Court. Neither the Plaintiff nor the Defendant shall remove the children or either of them from the Commonwealth of Pa. until further order of court. P. Richard Thomas, J.

Filed Sept. 14, 1988
@ 10:38 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Bruce Smith, Mediator 108.80
Proth 10/24/88 9.00 ✓

Sept. 23, 1988 Affidavit Of Mailing Complaint Under PA. R.C.P. No. 1124(b) filed. Ann Hansen Gamble being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and that on September 15, 1988, she sent a copyof the Complaint in Divorce the defendant by certified mail, restricted delivery,postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to Clyde H. Art, c/o Shirley Fink, r.D.#2 Sparantsburg, PA 16434, the defendant's last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto.
Ann Hansen Gamble

10/24/88 Recd. $54.40
From Plaintiff

Recd 11-2-88
$54.40
Vivian Stalty

Oct. 18, 1988 Temporary Order of Court filed. AND NOW, this 18th day of Oct., 1988, after Mediation and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:
1. The natural parents of Clyde h. Hart, IV, age nine, and Melissa J. Hart, age three, the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of the minor children. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitle both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the chidren held by a third party, including, but not limited to, school and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to the educational and religious upbringing of the children, as well as elective surgery.
2. The primary physical custody of the children shall remain with the natural mother, Laura S. Hart, and the father, Clyde H. Hart,shall have regular visitation and temporary custody of the children as follows:
a. The children shall visit in the home of the father on the first, second, and fourth weekends of each month, beginning on Friday of each weekend at 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, and ending on Sunday of each weekend at 6:00 p.m., prevailing time. The first weekend of each month shall be the weekend beginning with a Friday which is in the month under consideration.
b. The children shall spend the first two weeks of July each summer, beginning in 1989, with the mother to coincide with the plant shut-down at her place of employment at Horn Textile. In the event that plant shut-down is changed in any year, (on two weeks' notice to the father) this time with the mother shall be changed to the two weeks coinciding with her plant shut-down. Additionally, the father shall have temporary custody of the children for three nonconsecutive two-week periods each summer which shall be designated by him by the first of each of the months of June, July, and August. Notice shall be tendered to the mother by telephone or mail. Additionally, the father shall have the right to take the children into his temporary custody each week on Wednesday afternoon from 4:00 p.m. to 8:30 p.m., prevailing time.
c. Holiday visitation shall take priority over other scheduled visitation. The parties are encouraged to agree as to holiday visitation; however, in the event that they cannot, the father shall have emporary custody of the children on Easter, Memorial Day, and Labor Day of each year, and the children shall spend New Year's Day and the Fourth of July with the mother each year. These days shall begin at 9:00 a.m. and shall end at 9:00 p.m., prevailing time. The children shall spend Thanksgiving of each year in the mother's home until 2:00 p.m., prevailing time, and shall thereafter be in the father's home from 2:00 p.m. on Thanksgiving until 8:00 p.m., prevailing time, the following day. With regard to Christmas each year, the children shall be available to spend Christmas Day each year with the mother until 2:00 p.m., prevailing time. The children shall then be in the father's home from 2:00 p.m., until 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, December 28.
d. The father shall pick up and return the children to the mother's residence. Anytime the father is one hour or more late for a scheduled transfer of custody, the said visit shall be considered waived and shall not occur unless satisfactory arrangements have been made with the mother.
3. Neither parent shall take the children out of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for visits or on vacation for periods of more than three days without telephone or written notice to the other parent. Anytime either parent intends to relocate out of the Crawford County area to a distance of more than one hundred miles from the City of Titusville he or shall give a written notice of thirty days to the other parent, during which time that parent may request additional Mediation to cover the matter of temporary custody and visitation after the move.
4. The parent having custody of the children at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of injory or illness requiring medical treatment.
5. Neither parent shall take the children into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to their development or to the development of their character or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to their physical persons.
6. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the children; and, if the parties mutually agree to an alternative arrangement to accommodate them-

Record Continued on
PAGE 609

1988

Paul J. Carney, Jr.

808.

RHONDA MORRIS

vs.

EDWARD JAMES MORRIS

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, MAINTENANCE OF INSURANCE POLICIES, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, EXPENSES

2 copies (atty)

Filed Sept. 14, 1988
at 11:05 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 9-19-88- 45.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 12-29-88 9.00 ✓

Dec. 22 1988, Affidavit of Defendant Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code filed.

Dec 23, 1988 Affidavit of Service of Complaint Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code filed: I, Paul J. Carney, Jr., attorney for the Plaintiff, Rhonda Morris, hereby certify that a true and attested copy of the Complaint in Divorce in the above-captioned action, was served upon the Defendant, Edward James Morris, by Restricted Delivery, Return Receipt Requested, on September 19, 1988. The return receipt is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "A".

Paul J. Carney, Jr., Esquire

Dec 23, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec 23, 1988 Motion for Decree filed.

Dec 23, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Jan. 17, 1989 **DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 17th day of January, 1989, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. **DIVORCE:** Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute decree from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the part ies, evidencing that each of the aprties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(C) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. Each of the parties has waived and given up any rights they may respectively have against the other for alimony, support or maintenance, and it shall be, on the date of this decree, the sole responsibility of each of the parties to sustain themselves without seeking any support from the other party.

3. **CHILD SUPPORT:** Plaintiff,Rhonda Morris, shall pay to Defendant, Edward James Morris, Two Hundred and No/100 ($200.00) Dollars per month for the support of the parties' two minor children. When the oldest child reaches the age of eighteen (18) the Support obligation will be reduced to One Hudnred and No/100 ($100.00) Dollars per month. When the youngest child reaches the age of eighteen (18) the Support obligation shall automatically terminate.

4. **HEALTH INSURANCE - CHILDREN:** Plaintiff shall provide Blue Cross, Blue Shield, Major Medical or equivalent coverage for the benefit of the parties' minor children so long as she is able to do so through her employment. Any and all bills not covered by insurance shall be the obligation of the defendant. Except in an emergency situation the decision to incur extraordinary, or non-covered medical and/or dental expenses shall be a joint one between the parties. His or her consent shall not be withheld for any such expense which would be reasonably necessary.

5 **CUSTODY:** Custody of the parties' minor children, Ronald Morris, age 12, and Brian Morris, age 8, shall be shared by the parties hereto. Primary residence of siad children shall be that of the Defendant and Plaintiff shall have partial custody as follows: Every other weekend from Saturday at Noon to Sunday at 6:00 p.m.; from December 25th at Noon until Noon December 26th; Thanksgiving Day from 3:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.; and onEaster Sunday from Noon until 8:00 p.m., if on Defendant's weekend and Plaintiff shall return the children at Noon if it is her weekend. Additionally, Plaintiff shall have partial custody on Mother's Day and Defendant shall have partial custody on Father's Day. Plaintiff shall also have partial custody for a four (4) hour period on the children's birthday and for one (1) full week during the summer months upon giving the Defenant two (2) weeks prior notice as to what week she chooses. Allother partial custody shall be at times to be determinated by mutual agreement of the parties. This provision is modifiable by a court of competent jurisdiction as provided by law or by an Agreement of the parties hereto.

6. Each of the parties hereto will retain all pension and/or profit sharing plans, bank accounts, stocks, bonds, securities, credit union accounts and individual retirement accounts listed in their individual names and waive any interest they may have in the other party's stated property.

7. (a) **PERSONAL PROPERTY:** The personal property of the parties has already been amicably divided by the parties and it is hereby decreed that each party will retain and become the sole and separate owner of any and all prpertyin his or her possession as ofthe date of this decree.

(b) **REAL ESTATE:** Plaintiff shall convey to Defendant all her right, title and interest to all that piece or parcel of real estate located at and commonly known as R.D.#2, Box 286, Spartansburg, Pennsylvania consisting of approximately one (1) acre of land, a mobile home, garage, and swimming pool. In return for Plaintiff's interest in the same, defendant shall refinance the debt owing for the purchase of said real estate andmobile home and place the debt in his name alone. additionally, Defendant shall pay to Pl aintiff the sum of Three Thousand and no/100 ($3,000.00) Dollars and P laintiff is hereby granted the right of first refusal to purchase the land only for Three Thousand and no/100 ($3,000.00) dollars in the event the Defendant decides to sell the same. Plaintiff shall convey her interest to defendant upon receipt of the three Thousand and no/100 ($3,000.00) Dollars mentioned.

(c) **MOTOR VEHICLES:** The motor vehicles belonging to the parties hereto have already been amicably divided and each party shall become the sole and separate owner ofthe vehicle or vehicles now in his or her possession and shall be solely and exclusively responsible for payment of any and all debts incurred for the purchase of the vehicle or vehicles inhis or her possession.

(d) **LIFE INSURANCE:** The life insurance policies belonging to the parties hereto have already been amicably divided by the parties and each party shall become the sole and separate owner of the policy or policies now in his or her possession and henceforth shall be responsible for any premiums due on the same.

8. **TAX CLAUSES:** Plaintiff shall be entitled to claim Brian Morris as an exemption for Federal, State and Local Income Tax purposes and Defendant sh all be entitled to claim Ronald Morris as an exemption for the smae purposes.

9. **DEBTS:** With the exception of the debt owing for the purchase of Plaintiff's vehicle, which debt shall be the sole presponsibility of Plaintiff, defendant shall be soley responsible for all joint marital debts and to hold Plaintiff harmless and indemnified.

10. Each of the parties hereto shall execute any and all documents which may require his or her signature for purposes of effectuating all the terms and conditions of this decree so as to give full force and effect to this decree.

11. Neither party hereto shall be required to file an income and expense statement in accordance with the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

William J. Gregg 809.	MAX G. HYDE AND BARBARA HYDE vs. HAROLD E. STEINBERG Filed Sept. 14, 1988 @ 2:53 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓	COMPLAINT 2 copies (atty)

1988

Ferguson & Spataro
J. Wesley Rowden

810.

Joan D. Mason
Mason and Mason

GLORIA J. McKALIP

vs.

DANIEL F. McKALIP

Filed Sept. 14, 1988
@ 2:57 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 1/16/89	30.00 ✓
James Irwin, Master	
Pro Co pd 4/17/89	9.00 ✓
State pd 4/17/89	10.00

2/23/89 Recd $400.00 From Plaintiff
2/16/89 Recd $300.00 From Plaintiff (Fee Def.)
5/11/89 Paid $136.00 To James Irwin Master Ck# 5061
5/11/89 Paid $25.00 To James McCleary Steno Fee Ck# 5063
5/11/89 Paid $534.00 To Gloria J. McKalip Refund Ck# 5064

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

2 copies (atty)

Nov. 9, 1988 Amendment to Divorce Complaint filed.

Nov. 10, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Amended Divorce Complaint filed by the Plaintiff and certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pa. on the above named Defendant, through his Attorney, Joan D. Mason, by regular mail, postage prepaid on November 10, 1988.

FERGUSON & SPATARO
By: J. Wesley Rowden, esq.

Dec. 1, 1988, Rule Entered Upon plaintiff to File Bill of Particulars issued.

Dec. 15, 1988, Service of the foregoing Rule is hereby accepted, by Wesley Rowden, attorney for plaintiff.

Jan. 3, 1989 Bill of Particulars of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 13, 1989 Amended Consolidated Complaint In Divorce filed. (Equitable Distribution, Counsel Fees, Costs and expenses)

Jan 20, 1989 Inventory and Appraisement Of Gloria J. McKalip filed.

Jan. 27, 1989, Motion for Appointment of a Master and Order filed. ORDER APPOINTING MASTER: AND NOW, Feb. 7, 1989, JAMES IRWIN, ESQUIRE, is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Divorce 201(a)(6)
Equitable Distribution
Counsel Fees and costs
Deposit Required: By Plaintiff: $400.00 By Defendant: $300.00
PER CURIAM,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Feb. 9, 1989, copies to James Irwin, J. Wesley Rowden and Joan Mason, attys.

Mar. 23, 1989, Notice of Master's Hearing filed.

Apr. 17, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 17, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr. 17, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record - Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Apr. 19, 1989 Motion For Payment of Master's Fees and Order filed. And Now, April 19, 1989 the above motion allowed.
Robert L. Walker, J

Apr. 20, 1989 Copies of order put in boxes for Wesly Rowden, Joan Mason and James Irwin

April 17, 1989 Motion for Plaintiff to withdraw her request for divorce under Section 201(a) (6) and Order filed. Order: And now, 4-26-89, Order granted.
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

April 26, 1989 DIVORCE DECREE FILED (Stipulation attached)

AND NOW, this 26 day of April, 1989 the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. REAL ESTATE: Defendant shall transfer all of his right, title and interest in the jointly owned real estate, located at R.D.# 4, Saegertown, Pennsylvania (Woodcock Township) to the Plaintiff by way of wuit claim deed,in exchange for $12,000.00, to be paid in full at the time of delivery of the deed. In the event that the Plaintiff is unable to obtain financing through Marine Bank , to which she has applied for a loan/mortgage, she shall immmediately notify her attorney, who shall notify Defendant's attorney, that her application for the loan has been rejected. Plaintiff shall then have thirty (30) days from the date of the letter of rejection or the verbal notice of rejection of her loan application, from Marine Bank, to obtain anyother financing, and if she has not done so within said thirty (30) day period, the parties shall immediately list the property for sale for no less than $52,000.00 .,with a realtor to be mutually selected. The parties shall cooperate with the realtor to keep the property actively marketed, making such adjustments in asking price as may be essential to effect a sale of the property. If wife has not already made official application to Marine Bank for the loan/mortgage sufficient to pay Defendant $12,000.00, she shall do so no later than April 21, 1989.

Pending the sale of the property, Plaintiff shall have exclusice use of the same, conditioned on her payment on a prompt basis, of (1) the monthly mortgage now owed to Marquette Savings Association, which mortgage payment shall include real estate taxes: (2) homeowners insurance on said property (naming Plaintiff and Defendant as equal insureds following the holder of the first mortgage); the (3) monthly payment owed to Pennbank on the joint debt(approximate balance at this time, $2,300.00 monthly payment approximately $107.31); and (4) the monthly water softener payment owed to Marine bank (balance approximately $1,000.00 , with monthly payments of $25.60). Said payments shall be deemed fair consideration for Plaintiff's continued exclusive occupancy of the residential real estate.

3. DEBTS:

A. In the event that Plaintiff is able to pay and does pay to Defendant, the sum of $12,000.00 for his interest in the jointly owned real estate referred to above, Plaintiff shall assume full responsibility for the jointly owed mortgage to Marquette Savings Association, whjch has a present balance of approximately $21,000.00, and she shall hold Defendant harmless and indemnify him regarding any payments, charges, or related expenses, pertaining to said mortgage. In the event that Marquette Savings Association, or any other creditor standing in its place in regard to

Continued on page 260-a

said mortgage, shall seek payment from the Defendant under said mortgage, after such time as Defendant has signed and delivered a quit claim deed to the Plaintiff conveying his interest in the property to her, Plaintiff shall then bear any responsibility for reasonable attorney fees and court costs Defendant may incur to defend himself from efforts to collect from him a payment or payments on said mortgage, or the balance of said mortgage owed to Marquette Savings Association.

In the event that Plaintiff is able to pay and does pay to Defendant, the sum of $12,000.00 for his interest in the jointly owned realestate referred to above, then Plaintiff shall assume full responsibility for the debt owed to Pennbank, and the debt owed to Marine Bank for the purchase of the water conditioner, as both debts are noted in more detail above. She shall pay each payment promptley as it comes due and shall notify the Defendant when said debts are paid in full.

B. In the event that Plaintiff is unable to obtain financing sufficient to pay to the Defendant, the sum of $12,000.00 for his interest in the above-described jointly owned real estate, then said property shall be listed for sale as set forth above, and out of the sales proceeds, the following shall be paid:

(1) Balance owed on first mortgage, to Marquette Savings Association (to be calculated as though same had been kept current, to exact date of closing):
(2) Any realtors commission, duly earned;
(3) Such portion of the realty transfer tax, if any, as may be the seller's obligation;
(4) Usual and necessary attorney fees and doc ument preparation costs and filing fees;
(5) Principal balance owed on Pennbank loan (to be calc ulated as though same had been kept current, to date of closing);
(6) Marine Bank loan, for water conditioner (to be calculated as though same had been kept current, to date of closing).

The parties then shall divide the net sales proceeds equally.

C. Plaintiff shall be fully responsible for any student loans or related educational expenses she may have incurred during the time of the marriage, for education or training she may have received.

4, VEHICLES:

A. 1987 CHEVROLET C AMARO: Defendant shall transfer all or his right, title and interest in the 1987 Chevrolet Camaro, to the Plaintiff, on the condition that she is to be fully responsible for the debt remaining (approximately $5,630.00) to GMAC(monthly payments approximately $208.90). She shall fully insure said vehicle for collision purposes, and be solely responsible to GMAC for any damage to the vehicle, such that there shall be no liability or responsibility on the part of the Defendant for said vehicle. If a deficit shall occur from such a sale, same shall be Plaintiff's sole responsibility. In all events Plainti ff's sole responsibility. In all events Plaintiff shall indemnify and save harmless the Defendant regarding said vehicle, and pay any reasonable attorney fees and court costs that he may incur, to defend himself against collection efforts by GMAC or its assigns, regarding said vehicle and loan. In the event of the sale of said vehicle, the net proceeds of the sale shall be used for the benefit of the parties' son Douglas McKalip, preferably for his educational needs.

B. Defendant shall sign any interest he may have in the 1987 Cadillac Cimarron vehicle, to the Plaintiff, at such time as she has fully paid for said vehicle, or at such time as she finds a buyer or is willing to sell said vehicle. In the event that Plaintiff wishes to sell said vehicle for less than is owed on it, she shallbe fully and solely responsible for the deficit that may be owed to GMAC, or its assign Plaintiff shall have full use of the vehicle, and shall fully insure it for collision and liability purposes. She shall idemnify and save harmless the Defendant regarding anyloss or liability for said vehicle, and pay any reasonable attorney fees and court costs that he may incur, to defend himself against collection efforts by GMAC ir uts assugbsm regardubg saud vegucke,

5. SAVINGS ACCOUNT: The Plaintiff shall retain the balance remaining in the parties jointly owned savings account with Pennbank, at the time the parties separated, being approximately $900.00.

6. PERSONAL PROPERTY: Plaintiff shall retain all tangible personal property except for the following items, whic h shall belong to the Defendant, and be delivered to him forthwith:

Small G.E. Refrigerator, All tools, both hand and power tools; 19" Wards color television; Technics small stereo receiver;Sound Dynamics speakers (smaller pair); One dresser, traditionally used by the Defendant; One nightstand; The queensize bed, bedding, and sheets and related items; Gun cabinet and four guns; Wall clock; One-half of the pots and pans; One-half of the small kitchen applices; Regency Scanner; Air conditioner; Upright freezer; Lawn swing; Craftsman tool bench and vise; Electric saw; One kerosene heater; One electric heater; Two electric drills; Brown desk phone; Battery charger; Two speakers (Fishers);Chain saw; Sears tool chest; Red wall phone; Floor jack; Bumper hitch; Two base station CBs and antenna; Two mobile CBs and antenna; Cobra CB; Magnetic mount CB antenna; John Deere riding lawn mower ; Air Compressor; J.C. Penney radio.

7. ATTORNEY FEES : Each party hereto shall pay his or her own attorney fees.

8. COURT COSTS: Plaintiff shall be responsible for any court costs, including master's fees and stenographic costs in this matter.

9. WAIVER OF CLAIMS: Neither party hereto shall make any claim against the other party hereto, except for enforcement of the rights and responsibilities set forth in this decree.

10. WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS: Neither party hereto shall be required to file an inventory and appraisement, nor an income and expensee statement, as would otherwise have been required by the

Rules of Civil Procedure, except as to those documents alreadv filed. Each party is aware of the assets and debts of the other party hereto, sufficient to his or her own satisfaction.

BY THE COURT,

P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

July 10, 1989 Petition For Contempt For Violation of Decree and Order filed.
AND NOW, this 10 day of July, 1989, upon motion of Ferguson, Spataro & Rowden, attorneys for Plaintiff and upon consideration of the within Petition for Contempt, a rule is entered onthe Defendnat, Daniel F. McKalip, to show cause why the prayer of the within petition should not be granted.
Hearing is set for the 20 day of July, 1989, in Court Room 1, of the Crawford County Courthouse, at 1:00 P.M., Meadville, Pennsylvania. BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

July 11, 1989 Copies of order put in Joan Mason and J. Wesley Rowden's box.

Continued on Page 260 B

260B

1988

Continuation of AD 1988 - 810 (McKalip vs. McKalip

July 25, 1989, ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 20, 1989, at the time set for hearing on a petition for contempt for violation of the terms and conditions of a divorce decree, the court notes for the record that the defendant called the Court Administrator on July 19, 1989, advising that he was aware of the hearing but had no intention of appearing and that hewould not impose upon his parents to transport him to the hearing. At the time the hearing is scheduled, the plaintiff and her counsel appear advising that a closing for the real estate transfer was previously scheduled and the defendant failed to appear and advised he had changed his mind about the consent divorce decree entered April 26, 1989, and was not going to comply with the same.

The court directs that the sheriff immediately apprehend the defendant with a certified copy of this order being sufficient authority to take the defendant into custody and lodge him in the Crawford CountyJail and notify the court of the same. Further hearing is scheudled for July 26, 1989 at 11:00 a.m. before the undersigned.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

July 25, 1989, copy givento Sheriff of Crawford County and copy put in atty's boxes.

July 27, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, July 26, 1989, this matter is before the Court on a petition of contempt for the Defendant's wilful and deliberate refusal to comply with the terms and conditions placing certain obligations upon him under a divorce decree dated April 26, 1989.

This Court notes that on July 20, 1989, a hearing on the petition for contempt was to be held but that the Defendant on July 19, 1989, called the Court Administrator advising he had not intention of appearing at the hearing and in views of his disabilities, would not impose upon parents or friends to transport him a mile to the Court House for said hearing. At said hearing, the Court was reluctant to grant the relief requested in the contempt petition without giving the Defendant a further chance for input and any objection. Accordingly, this Court directed the Sheriff to immediately apprehend the Defendant for his wilful and deliberate failure to appear before the Court but privately directed the Sheriff to notify the Defendant of the rescheduled hearing for July 26, 1989, and directed the Sheriff to go to the residence of the Defendant on said date and transport him the the Court House in time for the hearing in view of his disabled condition and his use of a wheelchair. The court handled the matter in this fashion to avoid any confinement in the Crawford County Jail inview of the Defendant's disabled condition and diabetic condition.

Accordingly, this date, the Defendant appears and the matter is discussed informally and the Court is satisfied the Defendant fully understands the purpose of the hearing and understood the Court's admonishments about his contemptible conduct and the Court's power to enforce its previous divorce decree imposing certain obligations upon him to transfer title to real estate and automobiles and to pick up a long list of personal property being held at the former marital residence.

The Defendant initially advised the Court that he had changed his mind and he would sign any of the requisite documents to transfer title to the aforesaid real estate and automobiles. When counsel for the Plaintiff advised the Court that he had said documents with him this date at the hearing for the Defendant's signature, the Defendant then withdrew his consent and said he would absolutely and positively refuse to sign said documents. The only reason for said conduct advanced by the Defendant was that he still loved his former wife and would not sign the documents even if the Court Ordered him to do so.

Accordingly, this Court finds the Defendant in willful and deliberate contempt of this Court's Order. Punishment for contempt is postponed in view of the conditions hereafter imposed requiring the Defendant to apy additional attorney fees and ancillary costs arising because of his contemptible behavior.

Since it is obvious to the Court that the Defendant refuses to comply with previous Court Orders which he voluntarily agreed to when represented by counsel, this Court directs that the Prothonotary of Crawford County sign any deeds and automobile title transfers or registration necessary to effectuate transfer of the marital real estate to the Plaintiff upon the condition that $12,000.00 be paid to the Defendant and to effectuate appropriate transfer or re-registration of automobile titles to the name of the Plaintiff.

The Court notes that paragraph six of the aforesaid divorce decree awarded a lengthy list of personal property to the Defendant in the divorce decree which he refuses to accept. The plaintiff advises the Court that she has these items stored in her garage awaiting pickup and delivery to the Defendant at anytime he or anyone acting on his behalf comes to pick them up. The Defendant this date advises the Court he does not want said items and will not pick them up but requests that they be turned over to their eighteen year old son Douglas. The wife consents and, accordingly, the Court directs that ownership of these items be from this date forward considered as being owned by Douglas McKalip and wife is free to surrender total ownership of all of these items listed to her son.

It appears that to effectuate compliance with the Court decree, the Plaintiff has incurred additional attorney fees and ancillary costs and, accordingly, upon submission of a bill for the same, the Court will enter a subsequent Order directing the Defendant to pay these ancillary costs incurred because of his willful and deliberate contempt of a Court Order.

The Court reserves the right to appropriately punish the Defendant by fine or confinement by future Order.

A certified copy of this Order authorizing the Prothonotary to sign the aforesaid documents shall be sufficient authority to effectuate transfers and perfect title.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

July 27, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. Rowden, Mason's boxes and copy to Sheriff.

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph Altomare

811.

T. Gregory Williams

DR. DANIEL H. ROSEN, and
Daniel H. Rosen, M.D. P. C.
vs.

SUE ANN McKENZIE
and JOSEPH H. MCKENZIE

Filed Sept. 14, 1988
@ 4:25 p.m.

Tax & Entry Paid $40.25 ✓

Pro Co Pd 1-16-89 9.00 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF DISTRICT JUDGMENT RONALD A. COLE, SR., 30 - 3 - 06

Sept. 14, 1988 Rule Entered Upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

Sept. 20, 1988 Proof Of Service filed.

Sept. 28, 1988 Complaint filed.

AND NOW, Jan 16, 1990 @ 2:12 P.M. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiffs' Attorney with Notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendants in the amount of Seven Hundred Eighty-three and 60/100 Dollars plus costs.

M-153, 93

Debt.....$783.60 plus costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

812.

Bruce A. Barrett

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

EVERETT SUTTON

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976
(Sections 304 and 305)

Sept. 12, 1988, Report of Mental Health Review Officer Recommendation and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 9th day of Sept. 1988, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above no. and term, and other rele vant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED AND DIRECTED that the said Everett Sutton be committed to outpatient status for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days, subject to the following specific conditions:

1. That he be seen by a psychiatrist at regular intervals.
2. That he receive psychotherpy in the community mental health center; and
3. That he be followed by a medical doctor for treatment of his physical condition of AIDS.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Sept. 15, 1988 copies to B. Barrett, esq. WSH and Mr. Dickey.

1988

Egli, Reilly, Wolfson,
Sheffey & Schrum
Timothy D. Sheffey

813.

TELMARK, INC.

vs.

RAFFAELE J. AVERSA AND RUTH A. AVERSA, HIS WIFE

Filed Sept. 15, 1988
@ 10:57 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Costs 43.60
Pro Co Pd 11/14/88 9.00 ✓

Sheriff's costs 28.30
Pro Co Pd 1/11/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Oct. 10, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Raffaele J. Aversa personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on September 20, 1988 at 1:50 PM at his residence, Rt. 1 Box 65, Centerville, Crawford County, PA. 16404.
Not Found, as to within named defendnat Ruth A. Aversa. They are separated. She possibly in Titusville PA. No record at Titusville Post Office.
So answers, Edgar D. HOuseholder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 24, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

Nov. 14, 1988, Certificate of Residence filed.

Nov. 14, 1988, Assessment of Damages filed.

And Now, November 14, 1988 at 10:59 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with copy of default notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the one defendant Raffaele J. Aversa, for failure to answer, damages assessed in the sum of Sixteen Thousand One Hundred Thirty Five and 62/100 Dollars, plus interest from date of judgment and additional costs.
Judgment entered - 11/14/88
A - 144 Debt - - $16,135.62
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Dec. 2, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Ruth A. Aversa within named defendant on Nov. 18, 1988 at 12:31 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Jim Hasbrook, adult in charge of residence, R.D.# 1, Titusville, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Jan. 11, 1989, at 1:40 p.m., Assessment of Damages filed against defendant Ruth A. Aversa inthe amount of Sixteen Thousand One Hundred Twenty Six Dollars and Sixty Two Cents.

Jan. 11, 1989, Certificate of Residence of defendant Ruth A. Aversa filed.

And Now, Jan. 11, 1989 at 1:40 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with copy of default notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the one defendant Ruth A. Aversa, for failure to file answer; damages assessed as follows: in the sum of Sixteen Thousand One Hundred Twenty Six and 62/100 Dollars. (includes costs to date of $90.50, plus int. from date of judg. and additional costs accruing)
A - 144 Debt ----- $16,126.62
Judgment entered - 1/11/89 Int. from date of judg.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons, Pro.

2-16-94
Reviewed to AD1994-139

1988

William J. Gregg

814.

JOAN E. WARING

vs.

MARK D. WARING

Filed Sept. 15, 1988
@ 12:32 p.m.

Ta x & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co 12/21/88 9.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 2/2/89 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 30, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. WILLIAM J. GREGG, ESQUIRE, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is an adult over the age of eighteen (18) years; that he served the Complaint in Divorce filed in the above matter on MARK D. WARING personally by handing to him a true and correct copy thereof and informing him of its contents at Lakeside Square, Conneaut Lake, Pennsylvania on September 30, 1988, at 11:15 a.m.
Deponent further avers that at the time of said service, the said MARK D. WARING identified himself to deponent. William J. Gregg

Dec. 19, 1988 Affidavit of consent of Joan E. Waring, Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 21, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec. 21, 1988, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney for review Under 201(c) OF THE Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Dec. 30, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED:**

AND NOW, December 30, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

JOAN E. WARING AND MARK D. WARING

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,

Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb. 2, 1989 Notice Of Election To Retake Maiden Name filed. Joan E. Waring TO BE KNOWN AS Joan E. Taft

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
Mary A. Kirkpatrick

815.

SCOTT R. REITHMILLER

vs.

CAROL ANN REITHMILLER

Filed Sept. 15, 1988
@ 4:06 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

Pro & Pl 1/18/89 5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Sept 29, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: AND NOW, September 29th, 1988, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the Attorney for Scott R. Reithmiller, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.

That on information furnished to her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P 401 440 909, postmarked September 15, 1988, to the last known address of the Defendant, Carol Ann Reithmiller, 667 Davenport Street, Meadville, 16335.

3. That the Defendant, Carol Ann Reithmiller, on September 19, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

4. Service of the Complaint against the Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esqurie

Jan 6, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, this case is marked discontinued of record.

1988

Ferguson & Spataro
John F. Spataro

816.

PHILIP C. SCHARPF

vs.

SUSAN CAROLA

Filed Sept. 16, 1988
@ 9:23 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Costs 18.00

PETITION FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (sheriff)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER: AND NOW, this 16 day of Sept. 1988 in consideration of the within Petition, WILLIAM PINEO, ESQ. is hereby appointed the Child Custody Mediator for this case. The said Child Custody Mediator shall conduct a conference attended by the parties and their counsel at such time and place as he directs. Attendance of the child and witnesses shall be at the discretion of the Mediator.

Pending the conference with the Child Custody Mediator, the parties are directed to cooperate with each other in allowing reasonable visitation of the child. BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept 29, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Susan Carola personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Petition for Custody to her on September 21, 1988 at 9:51 A.M. at her place of employment, Shades and Shapes Beauty Salon, 214 Center Street, Meadville, Pa.
So answers,
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 14, 1988 Motion for Entry of Consent Court Order; Child Custody Agreement and Consent Court Order and Order filed.Order: AND NOW, this 14th day of November, 1988, upon consideration of the within Motion. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1) Legal custody of the child, Marc Anthony Carola, shall be shared between the father, Philip C. Scharpf (hereinafter "Father") and the mother, Susan Carola (hereinafter "Mother"), that is, each shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and each shall be entitled to take part in decisions affecting the child's education medical treatment and religious instruction.

2) Physical custody of the child, Marc Anthony Carola, shall be with the mother, subject to the following:

a. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every other weekend beginning at 8:00 o'clock, a.m. on Saturday and concluding at 8:00 o'clock, p.m. on Sunday evening. The father shall bear the cost and expense of the transportation of the child in order to obtain his visitation rights. Father shall have the right to telephone the child at reasonable times.

b. The parents shall share visitation of the child for the major holidays throughout the year in a manner which best serves the interests of all concerned.

c. During the summer school vacation, father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody for a total of two weeks, in one week increments. Prior to the exercise of this visitation right for any one week increment, father will bw required to give at least two weeks advance notice to mother.

3) Neither the mother nor the father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but, on the other hand, shall be everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitudeon the part of the child toward both parents.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Nov. 15, 1988 copy of order given to John F. Spataro, esq.

1988

Legal Services
Gerald Sullivan

817.

CAROL GLOVER

vs.

CHARLES McFARLAND

Filed Sept. 16, 1988
@ 9:58 a.m.

Tax & entry Pd 2/3/89 $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 18.00
Pro Co Pd 2/3/89 9.00 ✓

Recd 18.00
2/3/89
from dft.
2/3 Pay Howard Stewart Sheriff $18.00 to
Howard Stewart Sheriff
Ck #4875

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE WITH TEMPORARY ORDER

5 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, THIS L¢ DAY OF Sept. 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 21st day of Sept. 1988, at 9:15 a.m in C. R. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pa.

The defendant is directed to refrain from abusing and/or threatening to abuse, or harassing the plaintiff.

The defendant is excluded immediately and forthwith from the plaintiffs residence which is located at 493-C Willow st., Meadville, Pa., pending the hearing scheduled above. All keys to the plaintiffs residence are to be given forthwith to the plff.

The Crawford CountySheriff Dept. is directed to serve a certified copy of this petition and order upon the defendant. In the alternative, this petition and order may be served by any competent adult or by cert. mail.

The City of Meadville Police Dept. and/or the State Police of the Com. of Pa. are directed to enforce this order and to prevent the return of the defendant by any appropriate means.

This petition and order shall be filed and served without payment of costs; the court shall deterine at the hearing if the defendant shall be required to pay the costs.

The defendant is hereby advised of his right to be represented by counsel, and he is notified that his failure to attend the hearing s cheduled above may result in serious legal consequences.

The defendant should take this paper to his lawyer at once. If he does not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, he should go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where he can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Public Comm. c/o Prothonotary Courthouse, Meadville Pa. 16335 814 724-4636.

BY THE COURT
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept. 22, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, September 21, 1988, at the time hearing is set on this abuse petition, both parties appear before the Court and advise the Court there is no need for a hearing as the Defendant consents to an Order. Accordingly, the following Order is entered:

1. The Defendant is directed not to assault, harass, or annoy or threaten the Plaintiff in any fashion or procure others to do so on his behalf.
2. The defendant will not go to the premises of the Plaintiff or purposely go to any place where he knows she is located unless expressly invited to do so by the Plaintiff.
3. The Defendant and Plaintiff agree that the Defendant will immediately after the hearing go to the premises of the Plaintiff to pick up his personal possessions and return to her a telephone credit card in her name and a child's gold ring.
4. This Order shall remain in effect for a period of one year unless sooner terminated.
5. The Court directs that any duly authorized law enforcement officer having probable cause to believe that the defendant has violated the terms and conditions of this Order may forthwith arrest the defendant without a warrant, lodge himin the Crawford Courty Jail, and report said fact immediately to the Court whereupon a prompt hearing will be set.
6. The defendant is directed to pay costs at the Prothonotary's office in this case on or before October 21, 1988, at 4:30 p.m.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Sept. 23, 1988 Copies of order to gerald Sullivan and Charles McFarland

Sept. 28, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Charles McFarland personally by handing a true copy of the wihtin Petition and Order for Protection From Abuse to him on September 19, 1988 at 10:40 AM at his place of residence, 1235 Chula Court, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.

So answers,

E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Legal Services
Gerald Sullivan

818.

KELLY McCLINTON

vs.

PHILLIP McCLINTON

Filed Sept. 16, 1988
@ 10:03 a.m.

Tax & entry $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 18.00

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE WITH TEMPORARY ORDER

5 copies (atty)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 16 day of Sept. 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 21 day ofSept. 1988 at 9:00 a.m. in C. R. NO. 1 or 2 of the Crawford CountyCourt House Meadville, Pa.

The defendant is directed to refrain from abusing and/or threatening to abuse, or harassing the plaintiff.

The plaintiff is hereby awarded temporary custody of the minor children of the parties pending the hearing scheduled above.

The plaintiff shall be allowed to return to the marital residence for the purpose of collecting her personal possessions and the childrens personal possessions.

The Craw. CountySheriff Dept. is directed to serve a cert. copy of this petition and order upon the defendant. In the alternative, this petition and order may be served by any competent adult or by cert. mail.

The City of Meadville police Dept. and/or the State Police of the Com. of Pa. are directed to enforce this order.

This petition and order shall be filed and served without payment of costs; the court shall determine at the hearing if the defendant shall be required to pay the costs.

The defendant is hereby advised of his right to be represented by counsel, and he is notified that his failure to attend the hearing scheduled above may have serious consequences.

The defendant should take this paper to his lawyer at once. If he does not have a lawyer or cannot affore done, he should go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where he can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar. Assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Committee, c/o Prothonotary, Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335. 814 724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept. 22, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, September 21, 1988, at the time set for hearing on this abuse petition, the plaintiff appeared with her counsel and Attorney Bruce Barrett appears for the Defendant advising the defendant is aware of the hearing and in view of the fact the parties have reached a mutually satisfactory consent Order, he did not appear. Accordingly, the Court, with the consent of both parties and their counsel, adopts the following Order:

1. The Defendant shall not abuse or threaten to abuse or harass the Plaintiff at any time or place.
2. The defendant shall not contact the Plaintiff directly or indirectly except to the extent that it is necessary to discuss matters relevant to the minor children of the parties.
3. The defendant shall not go to the Plaintiff's residence or place of employment.
4. The Plaintiff shall have temporary physical custody of the minor children of the parties subject to the right of the Defendant to have partial custody of the minor children, James McClinton and Jeffrey McClinton, each Sunday from 9:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. These minor children shall be delivered to and picked up at the residence of the defendant's mother, Edna McClinton. The Plaintiff shall furnish transportation of these children to and from Edna McClinton's residence. Neither party shall remove the chidlren from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for a period of excess of twenty-four hours without the consent of the other party.
This provision is subject to the right of either party to petition for a full custody hearing at any time.
5. This Order shall continue in full force and effect for a period of one year from this date. In the event that there is a violation of this Order, the police may amke an arrest without further authorization of Court.
6. In view of the employment status of both parties, the county is directed to pay the costs in this case.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Sept. 23, 1988 copies of order to Gerald Sullivan and Bruce Barrett

Sept. 28, 1988 Sheriff'sReturn filed. SERVED Phillip McClinton, personally by handing a true copy of the within Protection From Abuse, Petition and Order to him on September 19, 1988 at 10:20 AM at his place of residence, 827 Water Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,

E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

819.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

MARIE E. MATHERS

Filed Sept. 16, 1988
@ 10:07 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00 ✓
plff on orig. 9.50
Pro Co pd 5-24-96 5.00 ✓

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 - 680

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment entered on Sept. 7, 1983 at DSB 1983 - 680 be revived; prothonotary indexed in favor of plaintiff and against defendant in the amount of Six Thousand Dollars plus costs.

Debt - - $6,000.00
Dated - -Sept. 16, 1988
M-115 ----JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

May 24, 1996 This Judgment is satisfied (see paper filed).

1988

820.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

PATRICIA J. LORD

Filed Sept. 16, 1988
@ 10:07 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$9.00 ✓
plff on orig	9.50
Pro. Co. Pd. 8/19/94	$5.00

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 - 764

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment entered on 9/30/88 be revived and the lien continued; prothonotary has indexed judgment in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of Two Thousand Six Hundred Eighty Three and 70/00 Dollars, plus costs.

Debt - - -$2,683.70
Dated -----9/16/88
L -134------JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Aug. 19, 1994 This Lien Satisfied. (see paper filed)

1988

821.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

THOMAS L. & MATTIE L. GRAY

Filed Sept. 16, 1988
@ 10:07 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00
plff on orig 9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 - 564

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment entered on 7/27/83 to DSB 1983 - 564, revival indexed by Prothonotary in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars, plus costs.

Debt -----$5,000.00
Dated---- 9/16/88
G = 233, 246-----JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

822.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

DAVID M. SIMONETTE

Filed Sept. 16, 1988
@ 10:07 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$9.00 ✓
plff on orig	9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

OF

DSB 1983- 633

The undersigned hereby agrees to the revival of judgment; prothonotary has indexed judgment in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Two Housand Six Hundred Eighty Seven and 49/100 Dollars, plus costs.

Debt --- $2,687.49
Dated----9/16/88
S - 42---JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Aug. 19, 1994
Renewal To:
AD 1994-529

1988

823.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

VARGHESE NALPATHANCHIL
ROSY NALPATHANCHIL

Filed Sept. 16, 1988
@ 10:07 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$9.00
plff on orig	9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 - 632

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment entered on 8/22/83 to DSB 1983 - 632 be revived; Prothonotary has indexed judgment of revival in the sum of Six Thousand Dollars plus costs.

Debt ---- $6,000.00
dated------9/16/88
N - 161, 141----JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Aug. 19, 1994 Revival
To:
AD 1994-825

1988

824.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

GEORGE L. MITCHELL

Filed Sept. 16, 1988
@ 10:07 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00 ✓
plff on orig 9.50
Sat. Rd 8/14/90 $5.00 ✓

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 - 694

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment entered on 9/15/88 be revived; prothonotary has indexed the judgment of revival in the amount of Six Thousand Dollars, plus costs.

Debt--------$6,000.00
Dated---------9/16/88
M - 75--------JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Aug. 14, 1990 Def's Judgment Satisfied. (see paper filed)

1988

Altomare & Barnahrt Joseph C. Barnhart 825. MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton Roger H. Taft Matthew W. McCullough	CHRISTINE M. CICATELLA vs. TODD LUKE McIVER Filed Sept. 16, 1988 at 11:33 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓	COMPLAINT 1 copy (atty) Sept. 27, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared CLAUDE LEMLEY, a Constable residing at Titusville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, who being sworn according to law, deposes and says that he did personally hand deliver to the Defendant in the above-captioned matter a Complaint at his residence of R.D.#4, Titusville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, onthe 21 day of September, 1988, at or about the hour of 6:40 o'clock p.m. Claude Lemley, Cons. Oct. 24, 1988 Appearance of MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton by Roger H. Taft for defendant filed. Nov. 3, 1988, Answer and New Matterfiled. Nov. 30, 1988 Notice of Serving Interrogatories to Plaintiff (first Set) filed. Nov. 30, 1988 Notice of serving requests for Production of Documents Directed to Plaintiff (first set) filed.

1988

Edward J. Krug PC
Robert D. Pietrala

826.

FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORPORATION

vs.

EDWARD C. BAYER AND JANET E. BAYER, HIS WIFE

Filed Sept. 16, 1988
@ 11:46 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriffs costs	24.00
Pro Co Pd 10/17/88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Oct. 4, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Edward C. Bayer personally within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him of Sept. 23, 1988 at 12:02 p.m. at his residence R. D. #9, Box 282, Meadville, Pa. Crawford County.

SERVED Janet E. Bayer within named defendant on Sept. 23, 1988, at 12:03 p.m. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure to Edward BAyer, adult memeber of the family with whom she resides, namely her husband, at their residence, R. D. #9, Box 282, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers, Edgar D.Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

And Now, Oct. 17, 1988, at 1:11 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor ofthe plaintiff and against the defendants for want of an answer, in the sum of fifteen Thousand Forty Three and 38/100 Dollars, (includes Int. to 10/14/88 of $729.85; late chgs of $357.48; Esc row Def. of $549.63 and atty. fees of $716.35)

Judgment entered - 10/17/88

B - 54, 94

Debt - - $15,043.38
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 17, 1988, Notice of entry of Judgment mailed to both defendants.

EX1988-98

1988

R. Charles Thomas
Bozic and Thomas

827.

Stephen E. Hall

1/30/89 Recd. $60.00
From Plaintiff

Recd 3-1-89
$60.00
Susan Stoltz

2/28/90 Recd $60.00
From Defendant

Recd 3-1-90
$60.00
Susan Stoltz

THOMAS A. HUSON, II

vs.

ANN HUSON

Filed Sept. 16, 1988
@ 2:08 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
John Fuller, Mediator	
Mediation Costs	120.00
	9.00
Pro Co Pd 1/30/89	
Pro Co pd 5/26/89	9.00
State pd 5/26/89	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OR 201(d) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 19, 1988 Application To Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed.

Oct. 19, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, Oct. 19, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing application, Defendant, ANN MARIE HUSON, is granted leave to proceed in this matter, IN FORMA PAUPERIS and the Prothonotary is directed to accept the Complaint for filing.

This order is without prejudice to a future showing that either party is financially able to pay all or part of the costs incurred in this matter.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Oct. 20, 1988 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas and Stephen Hall

Oct. 19, 1988 Petition For Custody filed.

Oct. 19, 1988 Order filed. You, THOMAS A. HUSON, II, respondent, have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody, or visitation of the following children:

Chrystal M. Huson and Joshua T. Huson

John Fuller is hereby appointed mediator to hear this matter.

You are ordered to appear in person at such times and places as shall be set for hearing in this matter, whether by the mediator or the Judge.

You are further ordered to bring with you, if in your physical custody, the above-named children.

YOU ARE DIRECTED NOT TO MOVE THE ABOVE NAMED CHILDREN FROMTHE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA PENDING FINAL ORDERIN THIS MATTER.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association
Serivce to the Public Committee
c/o Prothonotary Office
Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335
Telephone Number: 814-724-4636

Oct. 19, 1988

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Oct. 20, 1988 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas, Stephen Hall and John Fuller

Dec 30, 1988 Affidavit of Service of Complaint in Divorce filed: R. Charles Thomas, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on Sept 23rd, 1988, he sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce, properly endorsed with the Notice to Defend, filed in the above action to the Defendant, Ann Huson, at Defendant's last known address of 206 Walker Drive, William Gill Village, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335, by certified mail No. P724 952 036, postage prepaid, restricted delivery, return receipt requested, and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as September 24, 1988.

Bozic & Thomas
R. Charles Thomas
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dec 30, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 10, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, January 10, 1989, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Crystal M. Huson and Joshua T. Huson shall be shared between the father, Thomas A. Huson, II and the mother Ann Marie Huson, that is each parent shall be entitled to full access to medical records, school records and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part in decisions affecting the children's education, medical treatment, religious instruction and other such important decisions.

II. Physical custody of Crystal M. Huson and Joshua T. Huson shall be with their mother, Ann marie Huson, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody every week,b eginning January 4, 1989 from 8:00 a.m. Wednesday mornings until 6:00 p.m. Thursday evenings.

B. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody every other Sunday, beginning January 8, 1989 from 4:00 p.m. until 7:00 p.m.

C. The father shall be responsible for providing transportation for the children on weekly and Sunday visits either by having his parents pick up and deliver the chidlren or by doing it himself, provided that when the father picks up or delivers the children he shall remain in the car and not go to the door of the home where the mother is living.

D. The father is to make sure that his daughter, Crystal M. Huson, attends Head Start on a regular basis.

E. The father shall endeavor to see that the children observe normal bedtimes and the mother is encouraged to grant the father additional visits with the chidlren for special family get-togethers of the father's family, such as weddings.

F. The mother and father shall both be entitled to have the chidlren in their custody on Christmas Day, Thanksgiving Day and onthe children's birthdays, with the chidlren spending part of such holidays with the father and part of such holidays with the mother. Unless otherwise agreed upon the children shall be in the home of the father every Christmas Day, Thanksgiving Day and borthday from 8:00 a.m. until 2:30 p.m. andin thehome of the mother thereafter.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 600

1988

828.

R. Charles Thomas

VICTOR J. WHEELER

vs.

GEORGE M. CAMPBELL,
CLAIM AGENT:
ERIE INSURANCE GROUP

Filed Sept. 16, 1988
@ 2:15 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓

Pro Co Pd 10-18-88 9.00 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF
DISTRICT MAGISTRATE WAYNE E. HANSON

Sept. 16, 1988, Rule Entered Upon plaintiff to file Complaint Issued.

Sept. 22, 1988 Proof of Service filed.

AND NOW, Oct. 18, 1988 at 11:43 a.m., upon praecipe of defendant attorney, Judgment of Non Pros is hereby entered against the named plaintiff for failure to file a Complaint within twenty (20) days after being ruled so to do.
Judgment entered - 10/18/88
W - 161

JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

829.
William Walker

LESLIE J. BLAIR

vs.

WALLACE D. BLAIR

Filed Sept. 16, 1988
@ 2:33 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co. pd 3-17-97	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

3 copies (atty)

Oct. 4, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq. attorney for the plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on Sept. 22, 1988, mail to the above defendant Wallace D. Blair by certified mail #P-547 808 392, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Wallace D. Blair on Sept. 24, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office ofthe plaintiff attorney and is attached hereto.
Joan D. Mason, Atty.

Dec 27, 1996 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

Feb. 3, 1997 Notice filed. I, Leslie J. Blair, do hereby declare that I am acting as my own attorney in finalizing this divorce matter. I no longer wish to have Mrs. Joan Mason represent me in this matter. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
Leslie J. Blair

Feb. 3, 1997 Affidavit of Consent by Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 5, 1997 Motion to Withdraw the Count for Equitable Distribution and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 4 day of March, 1997, upon consideration the foregoing Motion is hereby ordered that the Count for Equitable Distribution of Property contained in the original Complaint in the above-captioned matter is hereby deemed withdrawn. BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
3-6-97 FAXED J. Mason & W. Walker

March 10, 1997 Waiver of Notice of Intention to Request entry of a Divorce Under Section 3301(c) of Divorce Code of plaintiff, filed.

March 10, 1997 Upon Praecipe of Defendant attorney for Review Under Section 3301(c) of Divorce code, this case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

March 21, 1997 AND NOW, March 20, 1997, it is hereby ordered and decreed that LESLIE J. BLAIR, Plaintiff, and WALLACE D. BLAIR, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J Vardaro, J.

1988

830.	CHANGE OF NAME Filed Sept. 19, 1988 Tax & entry Paid $40.50	CHANGE OF NAME (Records sealed by Order of the Court)

1988

831.

JANIS ELAINE TIDD

vs.

JERRY DUANE TIDD

Filed Sept. 19, 1988

TAX + Entry Pd 10/11/88, 40.50 ✓
Pro County Pd 10/11/88. 09.00 ✓

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF FROM ABUSE FROM DISTRICT MAGISTRATE WILLIAM D. CHISHOLM-30-2-01

Sept. 19, 1988, DISTRICT JUSTICE ABUSE ORDER: AND NOW, Sept. 19, 1988, the Order of District justice Chisholm is continued and the matter in hereby set for hearing Sept. 30, 1988, at 1:30 p.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2.

The Prothonotary's Office is directed to give certified mail notice of this hearing to both parties at the address shown on the District Justice's Petition for emergency relief attached hereto.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Sept. 19, 1988, copies (cert.) to plaintiff and defendant and copy to D. J. Chisholm.

Sept. 21, 1988 Order Resetting Hearing filed. AND NOW, September 21, 1988, hearing in the above matter heretofore set by the court for September 30, 1988, at 1:30 p.m., is hereby reset by the court for September 30, 1988, at 11:-- a.m. in Courtroom 2.

For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Sept. 21, 1988 Copies mailed by Court

Oct 5, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, September 30, 1988, at the time scheduled for hearing in this matter, the defendant having failed to appear but plaintiff's counsel has indicated to the Court that the Defendant has contacted her and indicated that he has no objection to an Order being entered in this matter prohibiting him from abusing or contacting the plaintiff, the following Order is entered, without prejudice to the right of the defendant to apply for a further hearing if he so desires:

1. The defendant shall not abuse, threaten to abuse or harass the plaintiff or her children at any time.

2. The defendant will not go to the plaintiff's residence or to any place where he knows that she will be at any time or for any purpose. Nothing contained herein shall be deemed to prevent the parties from contacting one another in the course of any mental health counseling upon recommendation of the counselor.

3. This Order shall remain in full force and effect for a period of one (1) year from this date.

4. The defendant will pay the costs in this proceeding.

5. Any Police Officer having jurisdiction shall enforce this Order in accordance with the Protection from Abuse Act.

Plaintiff's counsel shall see that a copy of this Order is served on the defendant by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested and marked "Delivery to Addressee Only," and if such service cannot be effected then by personal service at the cost of the defendant.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Oct 6, 1988 Copies of order to Margaret Eglinton, Esq.

1988

Specter Law Offices
John C. Evans

832.

Gordon R. Miller

RANDAL S. VANDERHOOF, A MINOR, BY HIS PARENT AND NATURAL GUARDIAN, CONNIE PARDEE AND CONNIE PARDEE IN HER OWN RIGHT

vs.

CARLA SIMPKINS

Filed Sept. 20, 1988
@ 10:53 a.m.

Ta x & entryPaid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	22.30
Sheriff's costs	84.30
Pro Co pd 10/11/89	5.00
Cert pd 12-15-89	1.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 27, 1988 Notice Of service of Interrogatories filed.

Oct. 11, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Not Found, as to within named defendant, Carla Simpkins. Moved no forward address at Post Office.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 16, 1988 Complaint reinstated.

Dec. 13, 1988 Appearance of Gordon R. Miller, Attorney for defendant, Carla Simpkins filed.

Dec. 28, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared William E. Hazlett, Dep. Sheriff, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 30th day of Nov. 1988 at 2:38 p.m. he served Complaint in Action of Complaint & Interrogatories, filed 11-16-88 at No. AD 832 , 1988 Crawford Co. Pa., upon defendant Carla Simpkins at her place of Employment located at Shambert St. Pleasantville, Pa. By making known the contents thereof to Carla Simpkins,, in person and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the said complaint received from Howard N. Stewart, Crawford County Sheriff

William E. Hazlett Dep. Sheriff
So answers Gene Price, Sheriff, Venango Co. Pa.

SERVED Carla Simpkins within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango Co. Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Nov. 30,. 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 30, 1989 Answer and New Matter filed.

Feb. 24, 1989, Reply to New Matter filed.

Oct 4, 1989 Petition to Settle and Compromise a Minor's Claim and Order filed: AND NOW, this 4 day of Oct., 1989, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the settlement in the amount of Ten Thousand ($10,000.00) Dollars regarding the claim of Minor-Plaintiff, RANDALL S. VANDERHOOF, in approved and that any and all liability of Defendant, CARLA SIMPKINS, for the car/petestrian accident of January 13, 1987, be and it hereby is fully and finally extinguished for any and all injuries and damages sustained by the Minor-Plaintiff, RANDALL S. VANDERHOOF, in the past, present and future in accordance with the following:

1. That STATE FARM INSURANCE COMPANY issue one draft payable to CONNIE PARDEE as parent and natural guardian of RANDALL S. VANDERHOOF, a minor, in the amount of Six Thousand Three Hundred Forty-nine Dollars and Forty-eight Cents ($6,349.48). This draft shall be issued with the notation that is be deposited in trust for RANDALL S. VANDERHOOF in a federally insured accourt or certificate of deposit with the restriction that the trust fund shall not be withdrawn from before RANDALL S. VANDERHOOF achieves the age of eighteen (18) on May 17, 1995, except upon presentation of an Order of Court.

2. That STATE FARM INSURANCE COMPANY issue one draft for attorneys' fees and out-of-pocket expenses pertaining to thecase of RANDALL S. VANDERHOOF made payable to SPECTER LAW OFFICES, P.C., in the amount of Three Thousand Six Hundred Dollars and Fifty-two cents ($3600.52).

3. That the attorneys herein involved be allowed to settle and discontinue the docket at the term and number above upon filing of the appropriate Praecipe and payment of record costs to the Prothonotary and upon reimbursement of record costs in the amount of One Hundred Forty-seven Dollars and Ten Cents ($147.10) which were paid by SPECTER LAW OFFICES, P.C.

4. No portion of this settlement shall be used for the support and maintenance of the minor child. Further, the settlement of trust agreement shall not be subject to attachment by the Pennsylvania Department of Public Welfare.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Oct 5, 1989 Copies of order put in Atty Miller's box and copy mailed to Atty. Evans.

Oct. 11, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above-captioned action is marked settle and discontinued of record.

Mar. 7, 1990 Proof of Deposit filed.

1988

Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman, Berlin, May, Walsh and Brabender

Stephen H. Hutzelman

833.

MARINE MIDLAND BANK

vs.

PETER SIMONETTA

Filed Sept. 20, 1988
@ 11:19 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 18.00
Pro Co. PD 2/3/89 9.00
Pro Co pd 3-20-95 5.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Sept. 30, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Peter Simonetta personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of th ewithin Complaint to him on September 23, 1988 at 2:42 PM at 38 Meadville Road, #8, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA 16316. So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. sTewart, Sheriff

Feb. 3, 1989 1:02 P.M., AND NOW upon Precipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with copy of Notice of Intention to file default judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for failure to file an answer and damages are assessed as follows:

Amount claimed in Plaintiff's Complaint	$1,526.16
Interest from June 15, 1988	68.67
Attorneys fees	305.23
TOTAL	$1.900.06

Plus the costs of this action.

Debt $ 1,900.06
Interest from
Judgment
James F.Simmons,Pro.

S-132
Judgment entered 2/3/89

2-6-89 Notice of entry of judgment mailed defendant.

Mar 13, 1995 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney the above matter is satisfied of record.

1988

Bukac & Toomey
Terry Toomey

834.

JOYCE G. LANG

vs.

DALE S. LANG

Filed Sept. 20, 1988
@ 11:25 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 1/9/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 9, 1989 Affidavit of Service filed. AND NOW, comes Terry Toomey, Esq., attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action and states as follows:
1. On Sept. 20, 1988, I caused to be mailed to Dale S. Lang, the Defendant in the above-captioned case, a true and correct copy of the plaintiff's Complaint in Divorce.
2. Said Complaint in Divorce was mailed to the Defendant by Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested, a receipt for which is attached hereto.
3. On Sept. 23, 1988,the Defendant, Dale S. Lang apparently received the said Complaint in Divorce as is evidenced by his signature on the return receipt attached hereto.

Terry Toomey, Esq.

Jan. 9, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 9, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 9, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Jan. 24, 1989 **D E C R E E I N D I V O R C E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 24 day of Jan 1989, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the marriage heretofore existing between JOYCE G. LANG and DALE S. LANG is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby dissolved and all legal duties, rights, and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

835.

IN THE MATTER OF THE SELECTION OF PERSONS TO SERVE AS JURORS FOR THE YEAR 1989.

Filed Sept. 20, 1988
@ 2:25 p.m.

IN THE MATTER OF THE SELECTION OF PERSONS TO COME AND SERVE AS JURORS FOR THE YEAR 1989.

ORDER:

AND NOW, Sept. 16, 1988, the Jury Commissioners of Crawford County are directed ti filll the jury wheel for the year 1989 with 850 names, said names to be selected in accordance with the provisions of the Jury Act (1980-78)

BY ORDER OF THE COURT
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Sept. 21, 1988, copies of order to Ms. Dorothy Kennedy, Mrs. Nancy Hanes, Mrs. George Shaffer.

Mar. 6, 1989, List of Jurors Whose Names were Placed in the Jury Wheel for the year 1989 filed.

We the undersigned Judges of the Court of Common Pleas, and Jury Commissioners for Crawford County, Pa. hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and correct list of names of 855 personsl as placed in the Jury Wheel on Jan. 31, 1989.

P. Richard Thomas, Pres. Judge
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Dorothy H. Kennedy, Jury Comm.
Nancy L. Hanes, Jury Comm.
Arlene Shaffer, Clerk

1988

836.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

ALTON HILLS
MARY HILLS

Filed Sept. 20, 1988
@ 2:48 p.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$9.00 ✓
plff on orig	9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 - 766

The udnersigned hereby agrees that the judgment entered on 9/30/83 to DSB 1983 - 766 be revived; Prothonotary has indexed judgment in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Five Thousand dollars plus costs.

Debt--------$5,000.00
Dated.....Sept. 20, 1988
H - 11, 209--JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Aug. 19, 1994
Revival To:
AD 1994. 827

1988

837.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

DONALD LEFFINGWELL
LORETTA LEFFINGWELL

Filed Sept. 20, 1988
@ 2:48 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid	9.00
plff on orig	9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 - 765

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment entered on 9/30/83 be revived; Prothonotary has indexed judgment in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant for sum of Two Thousand Nine Hundred Ninety and 60/100 Dollars plus costs.

Debt.....$2,990.60
Dated.....Sept. 20, 1988
L - 43, 103...JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Aug. 19, 1994
Renewal To:

AD 1994-525

1988

Jorden and White
William T. Jorden

838.

JERRY J. HERMAN AND SHERRI HERMAN, HIS WIFE

vs.

WILLIAM F. SANDY

Filed Sept. 20, 1988
@ 3:06 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	25.50
Pro Co Pd 1/16/89	6.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Oct. 28, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

Nov. 30, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed.
SERVED William F. Sandy personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Summons to him on Nov. 17, 1988 at 10:30 AM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, MeaDVILLE, Pa.

So answers, Jennings M. Graham, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan 13, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for value received and upon payment of costs, the above caption action is hereby settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Jorden and White
William T. Jorden

839.

FLORENCE BECK, AS PARENT AND NATURAL GUARDIAN, ON BEHALF OF JENNIFER L. BECK

vs.

ELIZABETH LEE COCHRAN

Filed Sept. 20, 1988
@ 3:06 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs 21.00
Pro Co pd 12/8/89 6.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Oct. 4, 1988, Writ return filed. SERVED Elizabeth Lee Cochran within named defendant on Sept. 26, 1988 at 3:24 p.m. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to April Beck, adult in charge of residence, at 407 Forest Green Ave., Meadville, Crawford Co. PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 8, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned action is hereby settled and discontinued of record.

1988

William F. Peters
Brian J. Lindsay

840.

MacDonald, Illig,
Jones & Britton
T. Warren Jones

ROBERT L. MALLIN

vs.

THE PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA

Filed Sept. 20, 1988
@ 3:30 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Pro Co	5.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (atty)

Oct. 3, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Prudential Insurance Company within named defendan ton September 23, 1988 at 2:31 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Carol Werner, Sec., and person in charge at th etime, at their place of business, 1351 Conneaut Lake Road, Meadville, Crawford County ,PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 17, 1988 Appearance of MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton by T. Warren Jones for defendant filed.

Jan. 9, 1988, UPon praecipe of plaitniff attorney; the above captioned case is marked satisfied of record.

1988

Brian J. Lindsay

841.

BETTY J. ROSENBERG

vs.

HAROLD R. ROSENBERG

Filed Sept. 20, 1988

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

Order of Court:

You, Harold R. Rosenberg, Defendant, hae been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody or visitation of the child:

JAMES HAROLD ROSENBERG

You are ordered to appear in person at the Custody Mediators Office at the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania at such time as Mediator, John Fuller, sets for a conference.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Courthouse
Service to the Pubic Committee
c/o Prothonotary's Office
Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335
Telephone No. 724-4636

Sept 20, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, Plaintiff permitted to proceed InForma Pauperis.

Jorden and White
Harry F. White II

842.

Robert Felton

LINDA L. DAVIS

vs.

LARRY A. DAVIS

Filed Sept. 21, 1988
@ 9:30 a.m.

INdigent filing

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, AND CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 21, 1988, Motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 21 day of Sept. 1988, upon consideration ofthe foregoing motion, the plaintiff is allowed to proceed in forma pauperis with the court reserving the right to assess costs in the future, if the court shall deem the same advisable.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Sept. 21, 1988, copy to H. White Esq.

Oct. 19, 1988 Motion For Appointment Of Custody Mediator and Order filed. AND NOW, this 19th day of October, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing motion William Pineo is hereby appointed as child Custody Mediator and because of the circumstances of the casse, is directed to promptly schedule a mediation conference and hearing.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Oct. 20, 1988 Copies of order to Harry White and William Pineo

Dec. 15, 1988 Temporary Order filed. Order: AND NOW, this 15th day of December, 1988, after Mediation iin the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.

2. The faTHER SHALL HAVE PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY DURING THE CHILDREN"S school year and the mother shall have primary physical custody for eight weeks during the summer.

3. The party not having physical custody shall have the right of visitation each weekend from Saturday at 10:00 a.m. to Sunday at 5:00 p.m., and inaddition, one evening per week from 6:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. provided that the custodial party has been notified by Monday morning of the eveinng which the party wishes to select.

4. For Christmas, 1988, the father shall have his children in residence on Christmas Eve and on Christmas until 10:00 a.m. and the mother shall have her children from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. of December 28.

5. The mother shall give the father notice by May 31 of the summer eight week period during which she wishes custody of the children, as set out in paragraph one hereof.

6. The party not having physical custody of the children shall have the right to telephone them at reasonable times , for reasonable lengths of time and the parties will exchange telephone numbers and addresses to facilitate communications.

7. Each party shall instruct the children to give to the other party that love, respect, honor, and obedience which is due a parent from his or her child and neigher party shall do or say anything to blaCKEN THE CHARACTER OF THE OTHER IN THE ESTIMATION OF THE SUBJECT minor children.

8. The Mediator has noted for the Court that the economic and living situations of the parties is fluent and that changes can be expected. Accordingly, either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo during July or August of 1989 to see if the situation shaould be adjusted, if the parties themselves caNNOT MAKE THE NECESSARY ADJUSTMENTS.

9. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting custody and visitation and are encouraged to do so if that would be convenient and proper. Any changes shall be by mutual consent, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

10. The Mediator has also noted for th e Court that both parties are receiving public assistance and were assigned counsel through the good offices of the Crawford County Bar Association. Accordingly, costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $122.00 are assessed to Crawford County, Pennsylvania. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford Co.

11. Either party may move the Court for a hearing denovo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By The Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 19, 1988 copies of order to Harry White, Robert Felton, William Pineo, also Plaintiff and Defendant.

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 12-15-88. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney. If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever. I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary. YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J

July 8, 1997 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Final Termination Order filed. (See Original filed at AD 1997 - 702)

1988

William F. Peters 843.	WILLIAM R.MORIAN vs. KAREN J. MORIAN Filed Sept. 21, 1988 @ 3:34 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Pro Co Pd 3/16/89 9.00 ✓ State Pd. 3/16/89 10.00	COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE 1 copy (atty) Sept. 27, 1988 Acceptance Of Service filed. I hereby accept service ofthe Complaint in Divorce filed at the abov enumber and term. Dated: 9/26/88 Karen J. Morian Mar. 16, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed. Mar. 16, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed. Mar. 16, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney,to transmit the record, this case moved to court. Mar. 21, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D.** And now, March 21, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that WILLIAM R. MORIAN, Plaintiff, and KAREN J. MORIAN, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony. BY THE COURT, Robert L. Walker, J

1988

pro se

844.

KENNETH OSBORN

vs.

DEBORAH MONSOUR

Filed Sept. 21, 1988
@ 3:44 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

CIVIL ACTION FOR LIBEL, SLANDER AND DEFAMATION OF CHARACTER

1 copy (plaintiff)

Oct. 20, 1988 Notice Of Intent To Take Default Judgment filed.

Feb. 17, 1989, Proof of service of Complaint filed.
And now, comes the plaintiff, Kenneth Osborn, deposed by law, and says that;
1. Attached hereto, is the attempted original service of the complaint, via cert. mail upon the defendant, which was refused by such defendant.
2. Under the prnalty of perjury, the palintiff states that 10 days after the rejection and notification of the return of the complaint by teh defendant, the defendatn served the coimplaint upon the defendant Monsour, via regular first class mail, to the same address as was on the original envelope, and that such letter has not been returned by the plaintiff.
The plaintiff disposed by law, says that the facts set forth in paragraphs 1 and 2 are ture and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief under the laws of perjury and pursuant to 42 Pa. C.S.A §4904 are relates to unsworn falsification to authorities. Kenneth Osborne
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE:
Comes now, the plaintiff Kenneth Osborn, deposed by law and says that he has served a true and correct copy of this proof of service upon the defendant deborah Monsour, 444 Layfatte St., Sharon, Pa. via first class mail on this 7th day of Feb. 1989.
Kenneth Osborn.

Feb. 17, 1989, at 1:20 p.m., And Now, upon praecipe of plaintiff, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, for want of an answer, for all monies requested in original complaint.
M - 43
Judgment entered 2/17/89 JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Apr. 23, 1990 Memorandum and Order filed. And now, this 18th day of April, 1990,
1. We direct the Prothonotary to file the Petitions for Default Judgment at Eq. 1989 - 10.
2. We direct the Prothonotary to file the Writ of Mandamus in A.D. 1990 - 204 and we dismiss the writ as it has no legal merit.
3. We direct the Prothonotary to file the Notice of Appeal with the papers in this case (1989-477) but not to forward the file in this case to the Superior Court until evidence of a filing of the appeal with the Superior Court is received.
4. No action is required by this Court on 1988-844 and 1989-378.
The Prothonotary is directed to send a copy of this Memorandum and Order to all parties named in these various lawsuits.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Apr. 24, 1990 copies mailed to all parties.

1988

Pro Se 845. Kathleen Nelson William Gregg	TRACY PALMIERO vs. JAY STEVENSON, AND JOHN ELSTNER Filed Sept. 22, 1988 at 1:42 p.m. Ta x & entry Paid $40.25	APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT NO. 30 - 2 - 01 Sept. 22, 1988, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued. Sept. 27, 1988 Proof Of Service filed. Oct 4, 1988 Complaint filed. Oct. 5, 1988 Notice of Service filed. Affidavit of Service of complaint to the following: William J. Gregg, Esq., Lakeside Square, Conneaut Lake, Pa. 16316; Attorney for Jay Stevenson and John Elstner, by cert. MiaL P 724-953-647 on Oct. 4, 1988. Joseph Tracy Palmiero

1988

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug
Mary A. Kirkpatrick

846.

KATHERINE MADDEN

vs.

ROBERT F. MADDEN

Filed Sept. 22, 1988
@ 3:19 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 1/10/89	9.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 1/25/89	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 10, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. And Now, October 10th, 1988, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:
1. That she is the Attorney for Katherine Madden, Plaintiff, in the above captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished to her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Comp= laint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P 401 440 907, postmarked September 22, 1988, to the last known address of the defendant, Robert F. Madden, P.O. Box 393, Titusville, Pennsylvania 16354.
3. that the Defendant, Robert F. Madden, on October 1, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matter which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
4. Service ofthe Complaint against the Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

Dec 30, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 4, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 5, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Jan. 24, 1989 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And NOw, Janury 24, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Katherine Madden, Plaintiff, and Robert F. Madden, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Jan. 25, 1989 Notice Of Election to Retake Prior Name filed. Katherine Madden To Be Known As: Katherine Young

1988

Denis W. Krill
Dunlavey Nichols Ward
& Krill

847.

VIRGINIA S. HARRINGTON

vs.

TRAVELERS INSURANCE COMPANY

Filed Sept. 23, 1988
@ 8:32 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 10/12/88 5.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Sept. 26, 1988 Petition To SEttle No-Fault Benefits Claims of Plaintiff Virginia S. Harrington and Order filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 23rd day of September, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition seeking approval of the settlement of no-fault benefits of Plaintiff, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that Plaintiff, Virginia S. Harrington, is authorized to settle and discontinue said no-fault benefits claims upon receipt of payment from defendant Travelers Insurance Company in the amount of $5,000.00 and is hereby authorized to execute any documents necessary to effect such settlement. Robert L. Walker, J
Sept. 27, 1988 copy to Denis Krill

Oct. 11, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Bukac & Toomey
Terry Toomey

848.

ROBERT A. HUBER AND
KATHLEEN HUBER

vs.

ARVID KABOSKY AND
KATHLEEN KABOSKY

Filed Sept. 23, 1988
@ 9:12 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff Costs 24.00

COMPLAINT (ASSUMPSIT)

1 copy (sheriff)

Sept. 27, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Arvid Kabosky within named defendant on September 24, 1988 at 1:50 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Kathleen Kabosky, adult member of family, namely wife at residence Star Route, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Kathleen Kabosky personally within named defendant by handing true copy of within Complaint to her on September 24, 1988 at 1:50 pm at her residence Star Route, Meadville, Crawford County, PA
So answers, John F. Yanc, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

849.

KENNETH C. SOCHOR, JR.

vs.

MAXINE K. SOCHOR

Filed Sept. 23, 1988
@ 10:57 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 11/8/90	9.00
State pd 11/8/90	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 23, 1990, Complaint reinstated.

Nov. 7, 1990 Affidavit of Receipt filed. I, Maxine K. Sochor, the Defendant in the above entitled case, being duly sworn according to law, state and affirm that I did on October 29, 1990, at 11:20 o'clock p.m., received from the office of Attorney Joan D. Mason, at 973 Liberty Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania, 16335, a certified copy of the divorce complaint in the above captioned divorce action. I have been aware of this divorce action since approximately October, 1988.

Maxine K. Sochor

Nov. 7, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 7, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Nov. 7, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Nov. 27, 1990 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, November 27, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Kenneth C. Sochor, Jr., plaintiff, and Maxine K. Socher, defendant, are divorced fromthe bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1988

Paul D. Shafer

850.

ORDER OF FRIARS MINOR OF THE
PROVINCE OF THE HOLY NAME
135 West 31st Street
New York, New York 10001
vs.

LESTER E. KETCHAM

Filed Sept. 23, 1988
@ 3:38 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00 ✓
plff on orig. 15.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

AD 1983 - 804

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment entered on 9/29/83 be revived; Judgment revived by Prothonotary in the sum of Thirty Thousand Two Hundred Forty Five and 00/100 plus costs

Debt - - - $ 30,245.00
Dated ----9/23/88
K - 105----JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

Jack, Kookogey & Felton
Robert J. Felton

851

KITTY L. McMILLIN

vs.

JEFFREY A. MCMILLIN

Filed Sept. 26, 1988 @ 10:53 A.M.

Pro Co. Paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 11/4/88 9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 26, 1988, Plaintiffs Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, filed.

Nov. 2, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Robert J. Felton, Esq. 144 West Spring St, Titusville, Pa. atty. for plff. in above action, do hereby certify that I served a copy of the Complaint in Divorce including plaintiff Affidavit, upon the defendant at 301 11th st, franklin, Pa. 16323, by mailing same to him by cert. mail No. P 620 657 117, the same being posted at P.O. on Oct. 18, 1988 the same having been received by dft. on Oct. 20, 1988 as verified by the ret. rec. attached hereto. Robert Felton.

Nov. 2, 1988, Upon praeicpe of plaintiff attorney, for review under Section 201(d) of theDivorce Code, this case moved to court.

Nov. 23, 1988 DIVORCE DECREE FILED

AND NOW, November 23, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

KITTY L. McMILLEN AND JEFFREY A. McMILLEN

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights, and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,

Robert L. Walker, J.

1988

Cauley and Conflenti
Franklyn E. Conflenti

852

Gordon R. Miller

RODNEY HORNER and ANNA HORNER, husband and wife

vs.

BRIAN WRONA, an individual

Filed Sept. 26, 1988
@ 11:19 A.M.

Pro Co. Pd	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	41.50

Jury Trial

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Oct. 12, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Dan McClenahan, Deputy Sheriff of Lawrence County,Penna who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 8th day of October, 1988, at 1:25 P.M. he served Complaint in Civil Action, filed at No. AD 1988-852 term, 19, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Brian Wrona at 809 sampson St., New Castle, Penna. By making known the contents thereof to him, personally and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Complaint received from crawford county.

So answers Dan McClenahan, Deputy Sheriff
George Sigler, Sheriff

SERVED Brian Wrona within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Lawrence County, PA as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: October 8, 1988.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart,Sheriff

Oct. 21, 1988, Preliminary Objections filed.

Nov. 30, 1988, STIPULATION AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Nov. 30, 1988, in accordance with the foregoing stipulation, this action is transferred to the Court of Common Pleas of Lawrence County and the Prothonotary is directed to transmit the record with a certified copy of docket entries; cost to be paid by the plaintiffs.

BY THE COURT
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 2, 1988, copies of order to G. Miller and Franklyn E. Conflenti.

1988

Melissa K. Dively

853

Bozic and Thomas.
R. Charles Thomas

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

RANDY A. SHAFFER

Filed Sept 26, 1988
@ 3:40 P.M.

Pro Co 40.50
Pro Co 9.00

APPEAL FROM SUSPENSION OF OPERATING PRIVILEGES

2 copies (atty)

Order:
AND NOW, this 26th day of September, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, it is adjudged and decreed that a hearing on this Appeal shall be held on the 22nd day of November, 1988 at 9:30 o'clock A.M., at the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania, or as soon thereafter as the attention of the Court may be had and that thirty (30) days written notice shall be given by the Petitioner to the Secretary of Transportation of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested and to the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Nov 22, 1988 Exhibits filed with papers.

Nov. 30, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Nov. 22, 1988, the appeal is DISMISSED as not having been timely filed and for the further reason that even if the timeliness issue was resolved in in favor of the defendant, the Court does not find that the defendant's rights or privileges were jeopardized by the fact that his conviction for driving during suspension was forwarded to the Dept. in Nov. of 1987 and he did not receive notice of the suspension arising therefrom until Aug. 23, 1988. The court views the defendant's prior record, and in addition, in responses to quiestions the defendant indicates he knew he was going to receive some type of a suspension when he was found guilty of violation of Section 1543(a). APPEAL DENIED. SUSPENSION IS SUSTAINED.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Dec. 2, 1988, copies of order to Attys. Melissa K. Dively, and R. C. Thomas.

1988

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs
Alan L. Pepicelli

854

SARAH A. WATTS

vs.

ROBERT L. WATTS, SR.

Filed Sept 26, 1988
@ 4:07 P.M.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) or 201(d)
OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Sept 26, 1988 Praecipe to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and Order filed: AND NOW, September 26, 1988, upon consideration of presentation of the within Praecipe, the Prothonotary is directed to permit Plaintiff to proceed in forma pauperis, reserving the right to assess costs if appropriate.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept 26, 1988 Copies of order to Alan Pepicelli.

Setp 26, 1988 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Oct. 6, 1988, Certificate of Service filed. Alan L. Pepicelli Esq. hereby certifies that the is the atty. for plaintiff in the within action, and that a cert. copy of the Divorce Complaint and Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code was sent by cert. mail, ret. rec. req., addressee only, to Robert L. Watts, Sr at Harbor Light Group HOme 865 West North Ave., Pgh, Pa. 15233, on Sept. 23, 1988, and was received by said dft. on Sept. 30, 1988. The receipt for cert. mail and the ret. rec. are attached hereto marked Ex. "A". Alan L. Pepicelli, Esq.

Oct. 26, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to Transmit the REcord, this case moved to court.

Nov. 1, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, November 1, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

SARAH A. WATTS and ROBERT L. WATTS, SR.

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Gordon R. Miller

855

William J. Gregg

EDWARD L. STERNBY, TRADING AND DOING BUSINESS AS STERNBY ASSICIATES REAL ESTATE
VS.

PAUL LITWILER

Filed Sept 26, 1988
@ 4:22 P.M.

Tax & Entry paid $40.25 ✓
Pro Co Pd 2/21/89 9.00 ✓

APPEAL FROM JUDGMENT OF
DISTRICT JUSTICE 30-2-01

Sept 26, 1988 Proof of Service of Notice of Appeal and Rule to File Complaint filed.

Sept. 30, 1988 Proof of Service filed.

Oct. 20, 1988 Complaint filed.

And Now, Feb.21, 1989 at 9:32 a.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with copy of intention notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, in the amount of Seven Hundred Seventy and 00/100 Dollars, plus interest from Aug. 29, 1988,
L - 135
Judgment entered -2/21/89 Debt - $770.00
Int. from 8/29/88
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Feb. 21, 1989, Notice of entry of Judgment to Atty. Gregg.

1988

Mason & Mason
Joan D. Mason

856

IRIS K. BOUGHNER

vs.

WARREN P. BOUGHNER

Filed Sept 27, 1988
@ 9:39 A.M.
Pro Co Pd $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 7/11/89 9.00 ✓
State pd 7/11/89 10.00
Pro Co pd 9-22-98 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 18, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq., Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on Sept. 30, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Warren P. Boughner by certified mail #P-547 808 417, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Warren P. Boughner on Oct. 3, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Atty.

June 23, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 7, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

July 7, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Fore Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Aug. 1, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, August 1, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that IRIS K. BOUGHNER, plaintiff, and WARREN P. BOUGHNER, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Sept 22, 1998 NOTICE OF ELECTION TO RETAKE MAIDEN NAME: **Iris Kay Boughner** TO BE KNOWN AS: **Iris Kay Andrews.**

1988

MASON & MASON
Joan D. Mason

857

Bozic & Thomas
~~David P. Truax~~

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

7-9-93 Received $150.00 from defendant
9-16-93 Refunded Dft Ch No 2787 150.00

DONNA M. MALONEY

vs.

WILLIAM D. MALONEY

Filed Sept 27, 1988
@ 9:39 A.M.

Pro Co Pd	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co pd 4/19/90	9.00
State pd 4/19/90	10.00

William Pineo, Mediator

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 12, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose an d say that I did on September 27, 1988 mail to the above defendant, William D. Maloney certified mail #P-547 808 416, return receipt requested deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by william D. Maloney on September 30, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.
Joan D Mason

Oct. 12, 1988 Appearance of Bozic & Thomas by David P. Truax for Defendant filed.

Nov. 18, 1988 Motion for counsel, David P. Truax, esq., for the Defendant ,the withdrawal of appearance and Order filed.Order: And Now, Nov. 18, 1988 , ALLOWED.
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov. 22, 1988 copies of Order to Joan D. Mason and David P. Truax, esqs.

Nov. 23, 1988 Appearance of Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin and Stack by James R. Irwin Esq. for Defendant filed.

Nov. 30, 1988 Motion To Appoint Mediator and ORDER filed. AND now, this 30 day of November, 1988, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the Mediator, William Pineo, at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford Co. Courthouse, with the Child Custody Mediator as Required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford Co. PA; it is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the Court, the children shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the Court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.
By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 2, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys.'Joan Mason, James Irwin and William Pineo.

Jan 19, 1989 Consent Custody and Order filed: AND NOW, this 19 day of Jan, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing attached Consent Custody Order, entered into between the parties, an Order is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the attached Consent Custody Order.
Per Curiam,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Jan 20, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Mason and Irwin and Atty. Pineo.

Apr. 19, 1990 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr. 19, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 19, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Recocrd, this case moved to court.

May 2, 1990 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, May 2, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Donna M. Maloney, plaintiff, and William D. Maloney, defendnat, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Nov. 14, 1990 Amended Consent Custody Order and Order filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, this 14th day of November 1990, the Court hereby enters the following Amended Consent Custody Order as an Order of Court. (3 copies attys)

BY THE COURT:=
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

July 9, 1993 Motion to Refer Custody Back to Mediator and Order filed.ORDER: AND NOW, this 9 day of July, 1993 it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the Mediator, William Pineo, Esq. at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse, with the child Custody Mediator as Required by Rule L1915.26A et.seq. of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, it is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the Court, the children shall not be removed from Pennsylvania jurisdcition of the Court by either party herunder,under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.
7-13-93 Copy of Order to Attys. Mason,, Irwin & Pineo.

Aug. 12, 1993 Consent Cutody Order filed. ORDER. AND NOW, this 11 day ofAugust, 1993, upon presentation of the Stipulation and Consent of the parties, attached hereto, and after consideration of same, it is hereby ORDERED, DIRECTED and DECREED that:
1.Legal and physical custody of the parties' two minor children shall be shared between the mother, Donna M.Ganley and the father, William D. Maloney.
2.The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education and the major events in the life of the subect minor child. Health card, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.
3. The natural mother shall have primary physical custody of Stacey R. Maloney, and the natural father shall have primary physical custody of William D. Maloney, II.

Continued to Page 642

1988

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

858

ROBERT L. BROWN

vs.

DEBRA MARIA BROWN

Filed Sept 27, 1988
@ 3:18 P.M.

Pro Co Pd $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 3/6/89 9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 3/27/89 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 3, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. And Now,October 3, 1988, comes Mary AnnKirkpatrick, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, dpeoses and sets forth as follows:
1. That she is the Attorney for Robert L. Brown, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished to her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy ofthe Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P 401 440 912, postmarked september 27, 1988, to th elast known address of the defendnat, Debra Marie Brown, 249 Elk Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335.
3. That the Defendant, Debra Marie Brown, on September 30 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
4. Servic eof the Complaint against the Defnedant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

Feb. 28, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Mar. 1, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Mar. 3, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Mar. 10, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, March 10, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that ROBERT L. BROWN, plaintiff, and DEBRA MARIE BROWN, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 22, 1989, Notice of Election to Retake Prior Name filed.
To Be Known As: DEBRA MARIE GOSS

1988

FERGUSON & SPATARO
~~J. Wesley Rowden~~
withdrew

859

Brian Lindsay

2/3 Ky Reed $26.00
From Plaintiff
2/3 Ky Paid $26.00 to
Howard Stewart, Sheriff
by # 4876

BETTY JEAN DeROSS

vs.

WILLIAM E. DeROSS

Filed Sept 28, 1988

Tax + Entry Pd 2/3/89 40.50
Sheriff's Costs 26.00
Pd 2/3/89 9.00
Pd 2/3/89 9.00

PETITION FOR RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies (atty)

Order:

AND NOW, this 28 day of September, 1988, upon consideration of the within Petition for Relief, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 3 day of Oct., 1988 at 2:30 o'clock in Courtroom No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

Pending the time set for hearing, the Respondent shall vacate the residence at RD#8, Box 442, Meadville, Pennsylvania and stay away from the residence of the Petitioner and members of the Petitioner's family, and shall be prohibited from attempting to cause or intentionally, knowingly or recklessly causing bodily injury to the Petitioner, or placing by physical menance the Petitioner in fear of bodily injury, or contacting the Petitioner. Any law enforcement officer with the power to arrest is ordered to assist in the implementation of this order.

Custody of the parties' minor child shall remain with the Petitioner pending the time for said hearing.

The Court shall determine which party shall pay the court costs and service costs at the time set for hearing and the Prothonotary is directed to proceed with the filing of the Petition and Order forthwith.

In addition, the Sheriff of Crawford County is hereby ordered and directed to serve the within Petition without the filing of costs at the present time but costs to be directed and ordered at the time of hearing. The Sheriff is further ordered to impound all guns of the respondent until further order of the Court,

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct. 3, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED William E. DeRoss personally the wihtin named defendant by handing a true copy of th ewithin Petition and Order for Protection From Abuse to himon October 3, 1988 at 9:19 AM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville,PA.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 6, 1988 ORDER filed. And now, Oct. 3, 1988, at the time the matter is before the Court for hearing the parties amicably agree that this hearing will be continued until Nov. 18, 1988, at 1:30 p.m. on the following terms and conditions:

1. The wife shall move back into the marital domicile with the two children and the husband will surrender all keys thereto.

2. The husband shall return a riding lawn mower, a TV most recently purchased from Mace Electronics, and an iron. The wife will see that the same are secured and not disposed of until further Order of Court.

3. The wife nets approximately $430.00 per month and the husband nets approximately $640.00 per month from disability insurance. From each disability check, the Defendant will pay $75.00 to the wife to help maintain the household and the children. It is the Court's intention that there be two payments per month totaling $150.00 commencing with the month of Oct. 1988. This Order is entered without prejuice to the right of the Court to enter any subsequent spousal or child support Order.

4. Both parties agree the husband should have weekend visitations with the youngest child, Angela, and commencing the weekend of Oct. 7, 1988, the husband may go to the front door of the house but not enter the house every other weekend commencing Friday at 6:00 p.m. and have the child in his custody until Sunday at 6:00 p.m. The husband agrees that until he finds a separate living arrangement, the child will be taken to the home of his mother for the weekend visit.

5. Both parties agree to enter into marital counseling at the Mental Health Center and the wife shall be responsible for setting up the initial interview and appointment at the Mental Health Center and notifying the husband when the counselors wish to speak to him. All messages to the husband may be through the husband's mother by certified mail.

6. In the meantime, the husband shll not harass or annoy or threaten the wife in any fashion nor procure others to do so on his behalf. Until the husband secures a separate residence and notifies the wife of teh address, anyc hange in condition of the children shall be by certified mail to the husband at his mother's address.

7. This Order shall remain in full force and effect until the aforesaid hearing or in the event the same is contineud, until further Order of Court.

8. Costs in this case will be determined upon final Order.

9. Any police officer having reason to believe that the Defendant has annoyed or harassed the wife or gone to the home without her permission may immediately apprehend the Defendant, confine him in the Crawford County Jail, and notify the Court forthwith.

10. The parties mutually agree that the husband will return the aforesaid property to the marital residence on Oct. 4, 1988, between 9:00 and 9:30 a.m., and the husband is cautioned that he is not to threaten, annoy, or argue with his wife upon the return of said property. He is to deliver it and leave promptly.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas,J.

Oct. 7, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. J. Wesley Rowden and Brian Lindsay.

Nov 23, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, November 18, 1988, the matter is back before the Court. The Court hears input and testimony from both parties. This Court is of the opinion that both parties are extremely nervious, probably have emotional problems and the Court is unable to ascertain what methods would be best to explore the possibility of reconciling or ultimate divorce. ACCORDINGLY, the Court cancels all previous conditions of this Order and enters this as a final Order in the abuse case.

The defendant agrees that he does not want possession of the house, and accordingly, possession of the residence at R.D.#8, Coleman Road may be occupied by the wife and children.

The husband verbalizes he has no intent to assault, annoy or harass the wife, and accordingly, during the three-month period the defendant/husband shall not threaten, annoy or harass or assault his wife or children in any fashion.

The husband has a list of personal effects he would like to remove from the property, and accordingly, the parties are directed to meet with their counsel, agree on said list and establish a time when the husband may go and recover his personal effects from the household. During the time he is there, he may not in any fashion assault, threaten or annoy his wife or children in any fashion.

Continued on page 601

1988

Joseph F. Kulwicki

860

ROBERT L. HOVENAC

vs.

JANET B. HOVENAC

Filed Sept 27, 1988
@ 4:06 P.M.

Pro Co Pd	40.50
Pro Co pd 4/6/89	9.00
State pd 4/6/89	10.00
Pro Co pd 4/13/89	5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER §201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 5, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. REBECCA F. SEMMER, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an employee of Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq., attorney for the plaintiff, and that as such on September 28, 1988, she placed a certified copy of the Divorce Complaint in the matter above captioned, in the U.S. Mail, certified mail, restricted delivery, being certified mail item number P 262 246 342, addressed to the defendant, Mrs. Janet B. Hovenac, R.D.#3, Box 68, Meadville, PA 16335.

On October 3, 1988, the signature card for the said certified mail item number was returned to the office of Joseph F. Kulwkci, bearing the signature of Janent Yarosh-Hovenac as having received same on September 29, 1988. The receipt and signature card for certified mail item number P 262 246 342 are attached hereto and made a part hereof.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT. Rebecca F. Semmer

Jan. 4, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

ar 30, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 4, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Apr. 11, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, April 11, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that ROBERT L. HOVENAC, plaintiff, and JANET B. HOVENAC, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Apr. 13, 1989 Notice Of Election To Retake Maiden Name By Defendant filed.
Janet B. Hovenac TO BE KNOWN AS: Janet B. Yarosh

1988

Northwestern Legal Services
Margaret M. Eglinton

861

LORRIE BUTTRAY and as next friend for Jasmine L'Rae Buttray and Joshua Michael Buttray

vs.

KENNETH D. HILL

Filed Sept 28, 1988
@ 9:44 A.M.

Tax & Entry	40.50
Pro Co	9.00
Sheriff' sCosts	18.00

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE

5 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 28 day of Sept., 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 3 day of Oct, 1988, at 2:30 P.M. in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pennsylania.

The defendant is directed to refrain from abusing and/or threatening to abuse, or harassing the plaintiff Lorrie L. Buttray and/or the minor children of the household.

The defendant is excluded immediately and forthwith from the residence which is located at 995 Liberty Street, Meadville, Pa., pending the hearing scheduled above. All keys to the residence are to be given forthwith to the plaintiff.

The Plaintiff Lorrie L. Buttray is hereby awarded temporary custody of the minor child Jasmine pending the hearing scheduled above.

The Crawford Counmty Sheriff Department is directed to serve a certified copy of this Petiton and Order upon the Defendant. In the alternative, this Petition and Order may be served by any competent adult or by certified mail.

The City of Meadville Police Department and/or the State Police of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania are directed to enforce this Order and to prevent the return of the defendant to the aforesaid premises by any appropriate means.

This Petition and Order shall be filed and served without payment of costs; the Court shall determine at the hearing if the defendant shall be required to pay the costs.

THE DEFENDANT IS HEREBY ADVISED OF HIS RIGHT TO BE REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL, AND HE IS NOTIFIED THAT HIS FAILURE TO ATTEND THE HEARING SCHEDULED ABOVE MAY HAVE SERIOUS LEGAL CONSEQUENCES.

THE DEFENDANT SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO HIS LAWYER AT ONCE. IF HE DOES NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, HE SHOULD GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE HE CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Commitee
c/o Prothonotary
Courthouse
Meadville, Pa 16335
(814) 724-4636

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct 6, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, October 3, 1988, at the time the matter comes before the Court on a petition for abuse, the parties advise the Court that the matter has been amicably resolved along the following lines:

1. The Defendant will not annoy, harass, or abuse the Plaintiff or the child, Jasmine L'Rea Buttray.

2. The Defendant will not contact the Plaintiff for any reason except for the following visits:

The second Sunday after he is released from jail, he may start visits with Jasmine at the home of the Plaintiff. These visits will be from 1:00 to 3:00 p.m. and they will be every other Sunday. Arrangements will stay in effect until blood tests conclusively establish that Mr. Hill is not the father, and then the visits would stop.

3. The Defendant is to drink no alcohol before he comes to the visit and the Plaintiff is permitted to refuse him his visit if he shows signs of drinking alcohol.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Oct. 7, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Eglinton and Pepicelli.

Oct. 10, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Kenneth d. Hill personally the within named defendant on September 28, 1988 at 11:15 AM by handing a true copy of the within Petition and Order for Protection From Abuse to him at Crawford County Jail, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,

E. ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sher.
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

862.

IN REPORT AND RETURN OF FREDERIC A. WAGNER, DIRECTOR OF THE CRAWFORD COUNTY TAX CLAIM BUREAU PENNSYLVANIA, OF THE PUBLIC SALE OF LANDS AND PROPERTIES ADVERTISED AND HELD SEPTEMBER 12, 1988.

Filed Sept 28, 1988

In Report and Return of Frederic A. Wagner,
Director of the Crawford County Tax Claim Bureau,
Pennsylvania, of the Public Sale of Lands and Properties,
Advertised to be Held the Second Monday of September, 1988

Order:

AND NOW, 9/28/88 upon presentation and due consideration of the within report and return it appearing to said Court that such sale has been regularly conducted under the provisions of the Act of the Assembly, in such cases made and provided. This said Report and Sale so made is confirmed nisi: and after notice of filing the same report and return being duly published by the Director of the Tax Claim Bureau in accordance with the law; of no exceptions are filed thereto within thirty (30) days after the date of nisi confirmation of the same report and return be confirmed absolutely.

Per Curiam, P. Richard Thomas, J.

And Now, Nov. 3, 1988 at 8:56 a.m. , a Decree of Absolute Confirmation of the Public sale advertised and held by Frederic A. Wagner Director of Crawford County Tax Claim Bureau of September 12, 1988 and of the Sale Return filed Sept. 28, 1988 is hereby entered of record.
Decree entered - Nov. 3, 1988

DECREE OF ABSOLUTE CONFIRMATION
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

MAHANEY, ROEDER & SMITH
Barbara L. Smith
Tamara Ochs-Rothschild

863.

LAURA L. WELLER

vs.

MARK H. WELLER

Filed Sept 28, 1988
@ 10:51 A.M.

Pro Co Paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 2/24/89 9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

Sept 28, 1988 Certificate of Service filed: I hereby certify that on the 27th day of September, 1988, I served a true and correct copy of a Complaint on Mark H. Weller, Defendant, by certified mail postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

Mark H. Weller
4823 Chester Drive, No. 114
Youngstown, Ohio 44501

MAHANEY, ROEDER & SMITH
Barbara L. Smith
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Feb. 7, 1989 Affidavit Of Return of Service by Mail filed. On September 27, 1988, a true copy of the Divorce complaint in the above captioned matter was mailed by certified mail, return receipt requested, to Defendant, Mark H. Weller, at 4823 West Chester Drive, No. 114, Youngstown, Ohio 44501. The Defendant signed the return receipt upon delivery and it was returned by the Post Office on October 4, 1988. A true and correct copy ofthe receipt is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "A".

I make these statements pursuant to 18 Pa. C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities, and understand that false statements may subject me to criminal penalties under that Statute.

Dated: February 6, 1989 Tamera Ochs-Rothschild

Feb. 23, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Feb. 23, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Feb. 23, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Mar. 8, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, March 8, 1989, it is Ordered and decreed that LAURA L. WELLER, Plaintiff, and MARK H. WELLER, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT:
P. RICHARD THOMAS, J

1988

pro se

864

ROOSEVELT WOFFORD

vs.

BONNIE CUEBAL

Filed Sept 28, 1988
@ 3:15 p.m.

Indigent filing

William Pineo, Mediator	82.00
	9.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Bonnie Cuebal, Defendant, have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody or visitation of the child: Brian Michael Wofford.

You are ordered to appear in person before WILLIAM PINEO at time and place designated for a conference.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Courthouse, Ser. to the Publ Committee. c/o Prothonotary, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335
Tele: 724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.
Dated: 9/29/88

Sept. 28, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, and Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, filed.

Nov 14, 1988 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 14th day of Nov., 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical with the subject minor child. The mother shall have primary physical custody.

2. The father shall have the right of visitation and physical custody every weekend from Friday at 6:30 P.M. to Sunday at 8:30 p.m., starting with the first weekend after this Order shall have been entered by the Court.

3. Holidays are defiend as Thanksgiving, Christmas Eve, Christmas, Easter, Memorial Day, Independence Day and Labor Day. Visitation hours shall be from 9:00 a.m. to 8:30 p.m.. The father shall have visitation with Brian for Thanksgiving, 1988, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holidays. If the father has a Monday holiday following his weekend visitation, then the weekend visitation shall extend straight through from Friday at 6:30 P.M. through Monday at 8:30 p.m.. The father shall also have the right of physical custody and temporary visitation each year from 9:00 a.m. December 26, throught 8:30 p.m. December 30. Holiday visitations shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to custody and visitation.

4. The father shall additional visitation during his vacations from work for periods the total of which shall not exceed three (3) weeks. He shall give the mother two (2) week's notice of his vacation from work.

5. Each party shall teach Brian to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the estimation of the child.

6. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $82.00 are divided equally. The Mediator has noted for the Court that the mother is unemployed and receiving public assistance, so her share is assessed to Crawford County. The father's share is assessed to him as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

7. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, j.

Nov. 17, 1988 Copies of order to Atty. Pineo and Plaintiff and Defendant.

1988

Cassidy, Kotjarapoglus & Pohland, PC
David C. Pohland

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug Kemp C. Scales

865

CABOT OIL AND GAS CORPORATION

vs.

JANE WATSON MAGEE, her unknown heirs, devisees or assigns, or all persons claiming any right, title or interest

Filed Sept 28, 1988
@ 4:28 P.M.

Pro Co Pd $40.50

Pro Co Pd 12-9-88 9.00

COMPLAINT IN ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

Sept 28, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug by Kemp C. Scales, Esq. as Co-Counsel for Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 30, 1988 Motion To Leave To Servce By Publication and Order filed. AND NOW, September 30, 1988, on motion of Kemp C. Scales, attorney for plaintiff in the above-captioned matter, leave is hereby granted to serve Jane Watson Magee, her heirs, personal representatives, and assigns, generally, defendant in this Action, by publication of a notice of the facts set forth in the complaint in the Meadville Tribune, a newspaper of general circulation,one time and requiring the defendnat to plead within twenty (20) days from the date of publication thereof.

PER CURIAM,
Robert L. Walker, J

Oct. 4, 1988 Copy of order to Kemp Scales

Nov. 7, 1988 Affidavit, Proof of Publication, Motion For Judgment and Final Decree filed. Final Decree: And Now, Nov. 7, 1988 upon presentation of the foregoing motion and due consideration thereof, it is hereby ordered and decreed that the defendant,Jane Watson Magee, her heirs, devisees, personal representatives and assigns, areforever barred from asserting any right, title, lien or interest inconsistent with the claim of the plaintiff, as set forth in the Complaint in this case, of, in or to the parcel of land described in the Complaint and from impeaching, denying or in any way attacking the title of the plaintiff in this property; from issuing or continuing any action attacking the same and from encumbering, mortgaging or conveying the same or any part thereof; unless the defendant, her heris, devisees, personal representatives or assigns take such action as is herein directed within thirty (30) days after the entry of this judgment.

It is further ordered and decreed that if no petition to open this judgment or set aside this Decree is presented by the defendant, her heirs, devisees or personal representatives or assigns within (30) days from and after the entry of this Decree, the same shall be final. If such action is not taken within this thirty-day period, the Prothonotary , on praecipe of the plaintiff, shall enter final judgment in favor of the plaintiff.

PER CURIAM.
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Nov. 7, 1988 copy of order to Kemp C. Scales.

AND NOW, Dec 8, 1988 @ 3:30 P.M. upon praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney, final judgment is hereby entered in this case, in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendants, pursuant to the foregoing Order of Court.

M-288

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

William J. Gregg 866	BUD & SHIRLEY DILEY vs. NORTHWEST MOBILE HOME PRTS & SER Filed Sept 29, 1988 @ 11:29 A.MM Pro Co Pd $40.25 ✓ Pro Co pd 1/6/89 6.00 ✓	APPEAL FROM DISIRICT JUSTICE JUDGMENT 30-3-02 Sept. 29, 1988, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued. Oct. 24, 1988 Complaint filed. Jan. 3, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above-captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Melissa Dively

867

Anthony J. Vardaro

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

EDWARD A. SMITH

Filed Sept 29, 1988
@ 1:29 P.M.

Pro Co. Pd $40.50
Pro Co 9.00

PETITION FOR APPEAL FROM ORDER OF
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SUSPENDING DRIVERS LICENSE

1 copy (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 29th day of Sept., 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition and on Motion of Anthony J. Vardaro, Esquire, attorney for the Petitioner, a hearing de novo is granted to determine whether the action of the Department of Transportation in suspending the driver's license of the Petition should be set aside.

Hearing is fixed for the 22nd day of Nov., 1988, at 10:00 o'clock A.M. and the Prothonotary shall notify the Department of Transportation forthwith.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Sept 29, 1988 Copies of order to Anthony Vardaro and Dept of Transportation.

Oct. 24, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Vicki Bradshaw, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the secretary to Anthony J. Vardaro, Esquire, Att;orney for defendant in this action and certifies that on October 3, 1988 she delivered to the POst Office in Meadville, PA for delivery to Department of Transportation, Bureau of Driver Licensing at the Transportation and Safety Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120 by certified mail, return receipt requested, delivery restricted to addressee only, a true and correct copy of the Appeal in this matter for which deponent received at the time receipt for certified article no. P 724 951 573 which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" Thereafter on October 11, 1988 it was returned to Anthony J. Vardaro, return receipt card bearing the same number and signed by Scott Klouser, AGent, said return receipt card being attached hereto as Exhibit "B".

Vicki Bradshaw

Nov 22, 1988 Exhibits filed with papers.

Nov. 30, 1988, ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Nov. 22, 1988, at the time set for hearing counsel for the Commonwealth and the defendant advise the court that the appeal is being withdrawn and counsel will recommend to the court that the de partment make the effective date of said suspension Jan. 5, 1989, and further that the defendant will be required to submit proof of financial responsibility for all motor vehicles registered in his name.

The defendant presents proof of financial responsibility to the court this date and the Prothonotary is DIRECTED to Xerox a copy of the same and attache it to the copy of this order forwarded to the Department.

The Appeal isDISMISSED subject tot he above.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Dec. 2, 1988 copies of order to A. Vardaro and M. Dively (with copy of exhibit attached)

Nov. 30

868.

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

OF

JOYCE A. TURK

Filed Sept 29, 1988
@ 2:24 P.M.

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT UNDER MENTAL HEALTH PROCEDURES ACT OF 1976
(Sections 304 and 305)

Oct. 3, 1988 Report Of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and Order filed. AND NOW, this 3rd of October, 1988, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review ofthe report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Joyce A. Turk, by committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas,J

Oct. 3, 1988 Copies of order to WSH, Bruce Barrett and MH/MR

Oct. 7, 1988 Amended Order filed. And now, October 6, 1988, the Court having been advised that Warren State Hospital refused to take the above patient for reasons not precisely known to the Court, and the Court being advised that placement in another institution has been arranged, the Order of October 3, 1988 is rescinded.

The patient is directed to be maintained a tthe Meadville Community Mental Health Center as an involuntary in-patient until a bed is available at Wester Psychoatric Institution and Clinic, which apparently has agreed to accept the patient.

UPon availability of a bed the patient may be transfered without further hearing or futher order of the court.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Oct. 7, 1988 Copies given to Doris Miller (Hosp.) Bruce Barrett and MH/MR

1988

BUKAC AND TOOMEY
Terry Toomey

869

RICHARD J. BARICKMAN

vs.

MARGARET JEAN BARICKMAN

Filed Sept 29, 1988
@ 2:47 P.M.

Pro Co. Paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 7/11/89	9.00
State pd 7/11/89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

June 28, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

July 7, 1989 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Terry Toomey, Esquire, attorney for the Plaintiff, Richard J. Barickman, do hereby certify as follows:
1. That on October 5, 1989, I caused to be mailed to the Defendant, Margaret Jean Barickman, at R.D. #1 Guys Mills, Pennsylvania 16327, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed by your Plaintiff on September 29, 1988.
2. A Mailing of the said Complaint in Divorce was by certified mail, return receipt requested and a receipt for the said certified mailing is attached hereto.
3. On October 7, 1988, the defendant, Margaret Jean Barickman, apparently received the Complaint in Divorce as is evidenced by the return receipt dated OCtober 7, 1988 bearing her signature and being attached hereto.

Terry Toomey

July 7, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 7, 1989 Upon praecipe To Transmit The Record, this case moved to court.

Aug. 1, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 1st day of August, 1989, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the marriage heretofore existing between RICHARD J. BARICKMAN and MARGARET JEAN BARICKMAN is hereby dissolved and all legal duties, rights, and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuant of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs PC
Christopher J. Youngs

870.

David Truax

LORI L. WORTH

vs.

DAVID E. WORTH

Filed Sept. 30, 1988
@ 9:29 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid on $40.50 ✓
10/3/88

Mediator costs $122.00
William Pineo, Mediator

PETITION FOR APPOINTMENT OF CUSTODY MEDIATOR
AND FOR TEMPORARY CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:

AND NOW, September 30, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petition for Appointment of Custody Mediator and for Temporary Custody, it is hereby ordered that:

1. WILLIAM PINEO, ESQUIRE is appointed child custody mediator in the within action; and

2. Until further Order of the court, the Plaintiff, Lori L. Worth, shall have temporary physical custody of the parties' minor son, Matthew L. Worth; Defendant Father, David E. Worth, shall have the right of visitation with Matthew a minimum of one (1) overnight visit per week; Neither party shall remove Matthew from the Com. of Pa., except that Defendant Father may take Matthew to the Youngstown, Ohio area during the aforesaid visitation periods.

This order is purely temporary in nature and is without prejudice to either party to request or obtain different relief from the custody mediator.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept. 30, 1988, Complaint for Custody and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: You, David E. Worth, defendant,have been sued in Court to obtain custody of the child: Matthew L. Worth.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by WILLIAM PINEO, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or teleohone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Committee, c/o Prothonotary, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335, Tele: 814 724-4636.

Date: Sept. 30, 1988
1 copy (atty) 1 copy (mediator)

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Oct. 13, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. Christopher J. Youngs, hereby certifies that he is the atty. for the plaintiff in the within aciton and that a copy of the Complaint for Custody was sent by cert. mail, ret. rec. requested, del. to addressee only to the defendant at 5741 Norquest Blvd. Austintown, Oh. 44515 on Sept. 30, 1988, and was received by the plaintiff on Oct. 12, 1988. The receipt for cert. mail and the return receipt are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A".

Christopher Youngs, Esq.

Nov. 4, 1988 Temporary order filed. AND NOW, this 3rd day of November, 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter, and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Legal custody of Matthew L. Worth, minor child, is hereby awarded to his mother, the plaintiff. The matter may be reviewed upon request of either party as provided below.

2. The father shall have the right of visitation with Matthew each week from Wednesday at 9:00 a.m. through Thursday at 6:00 p.m.. He shall pick up and deliver Matthew at the home of the plaintiff mother or at such other place as she may designate.

3. The holiday visitation periods are defined as follows:

The Thanksgiving period shall begin on the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving at 6:00 p.m. and end at 6:00 p.m. of Thanksgiving Day. The Christmas Eve visitation period shall begin at 6:00 p.m. December 23 and end at 6:00 p.m. of Christmas Eve. TheChristmas visitation period shall begin Christmas Eve at 6:00 p.m. and end at 6:00 p.m. of Christmas Day. The visitation for Holy Saturday will begin on Good Friday at 6:00 p.m. and end at 6:00 p.m. Holy Saturday.The Easter visitation period shall begin at 6:00 p.m.Holy Saturday and end at 6:00 p.m. Easter Sunday. For 1988, the father shall have visitation with Matthew for the Thanksgiving visitation period and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holidays. Holiday visitation shall take precedenc e over the otherprovisions of this order relating to custodial and visitation periods.

4. Either par ty may request further Mediation to be held during the month of May pf 1989 by request in writing to the Custody Mediator before April 15 of 1989. The Mediator, and the Court, shall reserve the authority to consider the whole situation in full, including the advisability of shared custody arrangements and changes in the visitation and custodial periods, as well as any other matters which may bear on this situation.

5. Each party shall teach Matthew to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in Matthew's estimation.

6. The Mediator has noted for the Court that the parties are indigent, the father being unemployed and having no income, and the mother subsisting on a combination of child support and aid from the Board of Assistance which scarcely suffices to pay her living expenses and therefore, costs of Mediation, which are fixed a t $122.00 are assessed to Crawford County.

7. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neigher party so moves, this order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P.Richard Thomas, J.

Nov. 9, 1988 copies of order to Christopher Youngs, David Truax and William Pineo, esqs.

1988

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
David Hotchkiss

871.

MEADVILLE FORGING COMPANY, A CORPORATION

vs.

JOHN D. GAGE, HATTIE I. GAGE, ABRAHAM LINCOLN GAGE, HARRIET GAGE, BEATRICE CUNNINGHAM, JAMES M. CUNNINGHAM, VIRGINIA CUNNINGHAM, AND THEIR SPOUSES, HEIRS, SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS,

Filed Sept. 30, 1988
@ 2:20 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co pd 1/4/89 9.00

COMPLAINT IN QUIET TITLE

Sept. 30, 1988, Affidavit of Service in Support of Process filed.

Sept. 30, 1988 Motion for Service pursuant to Special Order of Court and Order of Court for Service of Complaitn to Quiet Title by Publication filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of Sept., AD 1988, upon consideration of the Motion filed by counsel for Plaintiff, and in reliance upon the Affidavit concerning the status of the defendant, his heirs, successors, and assigns filed by counsel for the plaintiff, it is hereby ordered of the Complaint to Quiet Title filed in the above captioned action against the defendant his heirs, successors, and assigns, generally by single publication of the Legal Notice attached to said Motion in the MeaDVILLE Tribune and the Crawford County Legal Journal, notifying the Defendant, his heirs, successors and assigns generally to respond to the Complaint to Quiet Title within twenty (20) days from the said publication, or be forever barred from asserting any right, title, interest, claim or demand whatsoever in, to or agaisnt that certain tract of land described in the Complaint.

By the Court
Robert L. Walker, J.

Oct. 30, 1988 copy of order to Atty. Hotchkiss.

Nov. 16, 1988 Motion for Judgment, Affidavit of Service, Proof of Publication and Order filed. Order: And Now, this 16 day of November, 1988, upon motion of the attorney for the Plaintiff and after having determined that thirty days have elapsed since service by publication on the above captioned Defendants, and that no appearance hasbeen entered on the record on behalf of the Defendants, their heirs or assigns generally, and no communication having been made to the Crawford County Bar Association Service to the Public Committee, by the Defendants, their heirs or assigns, or anyone on their behalf, it is hereby ordered and decreed, as follows:

1. The Plaintiff is the owner of the subject premises described in the Complaint in fee simple absolute as its respective interest may appear, free and clear of all claims of the Defendants, their heirs and assigns generally, by virtue of the open, notorious, continuous and and adverse possession of the subject premises for a period of time in excess of 21 years.

2. The Defendants, their heirs and assigns generally, are forever barred from asserting any right, lien, title or interest in the subject premises inconsistent with the interest or claim of the Plaintiff as set forth in its Complaint, and from impeaching, denying, litigating or inany way attacking the Plaintiff's title thereto, unless the Defendants or any of them shall file an Answer within thirty (30) days after the date of the Order; and if such action is not taken within the thirty (30) day period, the Prothonotary on Praecipe of the Plaintiff shall enter final judgment.

3. The fee simple title of the Plaintiff herein to the subject premises is confirmed, ordered and decreed.

Now, Therefore, the Prothonotary is directed to enter final judgment against the Defendants above named, and in favor of the Plaintiff above named.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

G-96-86-244-86-
C-93-23-163

And now, Jan. 4, 1989 at 2:19 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, finala judgment is hereby entered in this case, in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendants, pursuant to the foregoing Order of Court.

G-91-86-244-86; C-23-163

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

322

1988

Bruce A. Barrett

872.

Culbertson, WEiss,
Schetroma & Schug
Alan Shaddinger

C. J. STERNBY

vs.

SARA DELONG AND
BILLIE M. FROST

Filed Sept. 30, 1988
@ 2:46 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 11/15/88 5.00 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT
OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-3- 01

Sept. 30, 1988, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Sept. 30, 1988 Proof of Service filed.

Nov. 16, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with consent of defendant attorney, this case marked settled, discontinued, and ended.

1988

Allen and Hall
Paul E. Allen

873.

Partenheimer & DiDomenicis
Michael A. Jankowski

TRACY LITWILER

vs.

AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY

Filed Sept. 30, 1988
@ 4:33 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	42.00
Sheriff's Costs	40.00
Pro.Co. pd 10/13/92	5.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Nov. 4, 1988 Summons Reissued.

Mar. 22, 1989 Writ Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Gail Carter who being duly sworn accor ding to law, deposes and says that onthe 22nd day of November, 1989, at 10:28 a.m., he served summons, filed at No. 873 Term, 1988, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant American Ins. Co. at 2 Chatham Center, Pgh, PA 15219 by making known the contents thereof to Lois Praskovich- Recpt. and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the writ received from Crawford County Sheriff
So answers, Gail Carter, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff
SERVED American Insurance Company by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, PA, as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Nov. 22, 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

March 28, 1990 Complaint filed. (1 copy to Attorney)

May 14, 1990 Answer and New Matter filed.

June 5, 1990 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Gail Carter who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 12 day of April, 1990, at 10:20 a.m., he served Complaint, filed at No. 873 Term, 1988 Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant american Insurance Co. at 2 Chathams Center Pgh. By making known the contents thereof to S. Betsell recp. and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Comp. received from Crawford.
So answers, Gail L. Carter, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff
SERVED American Insurance Company by deputizing Sheriff of Allegheny County, PA as per Affidavit of Service attached. Served: April 12, 1990.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 13, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, the above case marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Allen and Hall
Paul E. Allen

874.

Dunaway, Weyandt, McCormick, Jones & Gerace
~~Anthony J. Gerace, Jr.~~
Michael A. Jankowski

ANDREW L. ERNST

vs.

AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY

Filed Sept. 30, 1988

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriffs costs	39.00
Pro.Co. pd 10/13/92	5.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 2, 1988, SERVED American Insurance Company within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached.
Served: Oct. 24, 1988
So answers, Howard N. stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 16, 1988, Appearance of Dunaway, Weyandt, McCormick, Jones & Gerace, by Anthony J. Gerace, Jr., attorneys for defendant filed.

Nov. 16, 1988, Preliminary Objections to the Complaint - Objections to Venue - filed.

July 26, 1990, Withdrawal of Appearance of Anthony J. Gerace, Jr. and Entry of Appearance of Michael A. Jankowski, on behalf of defendant filed.

Oct. 13, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above case marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Brian J. Lindsay

875.

10/26/88 Recd $22.15 from Defendant

10/26/88 Paid $22.15 to Sheff Dept. 42# 4752

ROLANDA L. WALTERS

vs.

JIMMIE P. WALTERS

Filed Oct. 3, 1988
@ 9:29 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriffs Costs	22.15 ✓
Proth Rd 10/26/88	9.00 ✓

PETITION FOR RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies (atty)

TEMPORARY ORDER:

AND NOW, this 3 day of Oct. 1988, upon motion of Brian J. Lindsay, Esq. atty. for petitioner, and upon consideration of the within petition for relief and further, upon petitioner's showing during an ex parte proceeding to the Court's satisfaction that there is an immediate and present danger of abuse to the petitioner, the following Order is hereby entered:

1. The respondent, Jimmie P. Walters, is directed and prohibited from:

a. attempting to cause or intentionally, knowingly or recklessly causing bodily injury or serious bodily injury with or without a deadly weapon to the petitioner.

b. Contacting the petitioner in any way until further order of court.

2. The petitioner, is granted sole and exclusive possession of the marital home until further order of court.

3. A true anc correct copy of this order shall be made on the respondent by the sheriff or by a competent adult in the same manner as a complaint in an action in equity. A true and correct copy of the order shall likewise be given to the State polcie which has appropriate jurisdiction to enforce this order.

The respondent is hereby advised of the right to be represented by counsel.

A violation of this order may subjcect the violating party to punishment for contempt.

A final hearing is scheduled for the 7 day of Oct. 1988 at 3:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the crawford CountyCourt House, Meadville, Pa. 16335.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct. 4, 1988, SHERIFF'S Return filed. SERVED Jimmie p. Walters within named defendant personally on Oct. 3, 1988, at 1:50 a.m. by handing a true copy of the within petition and order for Protection from Abuse to him at his residence R. D. #1, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County Pa.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, dep. sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

Oct. 12, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, October 7, 1988, at the time this abuse matter is before the Court, the parties andtheir counsel have reached an amicable agreement. Without the necessity of any hearing to prove the facts, the defendnat agrees that he will not assault, annoy, threaten or harass his wife in any fashion and that he will stay away fromher present present residence and nay future residences unless he is specifically invited by her to come to the residence.

The defendant further agrees and is ordered to pay one-half of any medical or prescription expenses incurred by the wife as a result of an alleged assault on September 3, 1988, with this requirement covering one-half of any expenses that are not covered by either party's medical plan.

There appears to be an assault charge of some nature pending in District Justice Leonhart's Office and the wife agrees she will withdraw these charges and the defendnat agrees to pay the costs in that case within ten (10) days of notice of the amount of costs forwarded tohim at R.D.#1, Cambridge Springs.

The defendant complaints that one of the precipitating factors was telephone calls he received from persons he believed were motivated by his wife. He now concedes that apparently is not the case. But the wife is directed not to by herself or by procuring others on her behalf make any annoying, harassing or threatening telephone calss to the defendant at his employment or at his residence.

This Order shall remain in force and effect for a period of six(6) months any any law enforcement officer having probable cause to believe the terms and conditions of this Order have been violated may immediately apprehend the defendant, lodge him in the County Jail and report said fact to the Court requesting prompt hearing.

The plaintiff has advanced the filing costs inthis case in the amount of $40.50 and the defnedant is directed to reimburse the plaintiff for said sum within fifteen (15) days of this date. The def endant is directed to pay further hearing fees in the amount of $9.00 within fifteen (15) days. Likewise, the defendant is directed to pay Sheriff's Costs within thirty (30) days of this date and the Prothonotary is directed to send a copy of said costs to the defnedant upon receipt of same.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Oct. 13, 1988 Copies of order to Brian Lindsay, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and Crawford County Control

1988

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug
Glenn A. W. Thompson

876.

Mary Beth Kotcella
for United States of America.

KLASEN OIL COMPANY, INC.

vs.

JOHN R. ALSDORF AND MARGARET P. ALSDORF, HUSBAND AND WIFE, AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

COMPLAINT IN STATUTORY ACTION TO CONFORM CONFESSED JUDGMENT IN ORDER TO EXECUTE AGAINST RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY ACCORDING TO PA. R. CIV. P. 2981

3 copies (sheriff)

Nov. 21, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared James Nelson, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 20 day of Oct. 1988 at 10:54 a.m. he served Complaint filed at No. 876-1988. County Penna.upon defendant U.S. of A. at 633 U.S. Post Office & Court House. By making known the contents thereof to Scott Kanary -criminal dockets and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford .

J. Nelson , Dep. Sheriff
So answers, Eugene L. Coon , Sheriff Allegheny Co.

SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Margaret P. Asldorf personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint , No. AD 1988 - 875 to her, on Oct. 11, 1988 at 10:14 a.m. at place of visitation, Sheriff's Office, room 303, Courthouse, Meadville, in the Co. of Crawford, State of Pa.
SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO John R. Alsdorf, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint No. AD 1988 - 876 to his wife Margaret P. Alsdorf, on Oct. 11, 1988 at 10:15 a.m., at place of visitation, Sheriff's Office, Room 303, Crawford Co.

Filed Oct. 3, 1988
@ 1:38 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs	56.51
Pro Co Pd 11/29/88	9 00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 11/4/88	9 00 ✓
Pro Co pd 5/17/89	5 00 ✓

Courthouse Meadville, State of Pa.
SERVED on the within named defendant, United States of America, by deputizingthe Sheriff of Allegheny County, Pa., as per his affidavit of service herreto attached. Served on Oct. 20, 1988 at 10:54 a.m.
So answers,

Catherine Allen, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 22, 1988 Answer of Defendant United States of America filed.

Nov. 23, 1988, at 10:06 a.m., CONSENT JUDGMENT filed. AND NOW, to wit, this 23 dayof Nov. 1988, lit appearing that ocunsel for plaintiff and counsel for defendant, U. S. of America, have consented to the entry of the within Order on behalf of their respective clients, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a judgment be entered in favor of the plantiff and against the U. S. of America for foreclosure of the mortgage of plaintiff in the within cause and for sale of the mortgaged property of defendants John R.Alsdorf and Margaret P. Alsdorf.

It is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that defendant, United States of America, shall be notified by plaitniff of the date, time an d place scheduled for any sheriff's sale of the real property of the aforesaid defendants; that the U. S. of America shall be entitled to payment from the proceeds of the sheriffs sale to the extent its proper priority would entitle it to the same; and thaT THE U.' S. of America shall be entitled to redeem the aforesaid property with 120 days from the date of sale, as provided by 28 U.S.C. 2410.

Nothing contained in the within Order shall, in any way, be construed as entry of a monetary judgment against the U. S. of A. but rather said judgment is limited to the foreclosure and sale of the real estate of the aforesaid defendants in the within proceeding.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Consented to by:
Glenn A. W. Thompson and
Mary Beth Kotcella, Esqs.
U. - 183

JUDGMENT,
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Nov. 29, 1988, at 3:46 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with default notices attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants John R. Alsdorf and Margaret P. Alsdorf, for failure to file answer; damages assessed in the amount of Twenty Two Thousand Five Hundred Thirty Eight and 42/100 Dollars, plus costs, with int. at 15% from Feb. 15, 1987.

Judgment entered - 11/29/88
A - 91, 111

Debt ---$22,538.42
Int. from 2/15/88
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EX 1989-5

May 15, 1989 The above cpationed case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs PC Theodore H. Watts 877.	ROBERT A. GRAYSON vs. HILDE M. GRAYSON/SAWTELLE Filed Oct. 3, 1988 @ 4:01 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Proc Pd 11.2.88 - 6.00 ✓	COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE 1 copy (atty) Oct. 3, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under section 201(d) of the Divorce code filed. 1 copy (atty) Oct 27, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, this case is marked discontinued of record.

1988

Paul J. Carney, Jr.

878.

MORRIS FOLLETT

vs.

SUE KORNIKOSKI FOLLETT

Filed Oct. 4, 1988
@ 11:01 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co. PD 1/19/89	9.00
Pro co pd 2/27/89	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, DISTRIBUTION OF PROPERTY

2 copies (atty)

Oct. 21, 1988, Acceptance of Service filed. I, Sue Kornikoski Follett, the defendant in the above captioned divorce case, hereby accept service of the complaint in divorce, on Oct. 18, 1988. Sue Kornikoski Follett.

Jan. 19, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 19, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 19, 1989 Motion For Decree filed.

Jan. 19, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Feb. 8, 1989 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 8th day of Feb., 1989, the Court orderes and decrees as follows:

1. **DIVORCE:** Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the diovrce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. **ALIMONY:** The parties hereby have waived and given up any rights they may respectively have against the other for alimony, support or maintenance and it shall be from the execution date of this agreement, the sole responsibility of each of the respective parties to sustain themselves without seeking any support from the other party.

3. each of the parties shall retain allpension and/or profit sharing plans, bank accounts, stocks, bonds, securityes, credit union accounts and individual retirement accounts listed in their individual names.

4. a. **Personal Property;** The personal property of the parties has been amicably divided between the parties and it is hereby agreed that each party shall become the sole and separate owner of the property in his or her possession.

b. **Real Estate:** Defendant shall convey to Plaintiff by property executed quit claim deed all rights, title or interest she may have in all that certain piece or parcel of real estate located at and commonly known as 263A Zilhaver Road, Cambridge Springs, Pennsylvania. In return for defendant's interest Plaintiff shall be soley responsible for the debt owing Dollar Savings Association incurred for the purchase of said real estate and tohold Defendant harmless and indemnified. Also, Defendant shall convey to Plaintiff by property executed quit claim deed all the right, title or interest she may have in all that certain piece or parcel of land situate inthe Township of Wayne, County of Erie and State of Pennsylvania which was conveyed to Plaintiff by his parents, by deed dated January 24, 1986.

c. **Motor Vehicles;** The motor vehicles belonging to the parties have been amicably divided by them and each party shall become the sole and exclusive owner of the vehicle now in his or her possession.

d. **Life Insurance;** The life insurance policies belonging to the parties hereto have been amicably divided between them and each party shall become the sole and exclusive owner of the policy or policies in his or her possesion and shall be soley responsible for any premium payments due on said policies.

5. **DEBTS:** Plaintiff shall be soley responsible for the debts owing for the purchase of the real estate aforementioned and his motor vehicle as well as his college tuition loan and all debts owing on credit cards listed in his individual name and agrees tohold Defendant harmless and indemnified. Defendant shall be soley responsible for the debt owing for the purchase of her vehicle and for all debts incurred on credit cards listed in her individual name and to hold Plaintiff harmless and indemnified.

6. Each party shall execute any and all docuements which may require his or her signature for the purpose of effectuating all of the terms and conditions of this Decree so as to give full force and effect to this Decree.

7. Neither party hereto shall be required to file an Income and Expense Statement in accordance with the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Feb. 27, 1989 Notice Of Election To Retake Maiden Name filed.
Sue Kornikoski Follett TO BE KNOWN AS: Sue Ann Kornikoski

1988

James M. Flaherty

879.

Kenneth J. Nolan for George D. Zamias, ind. and t/d/b/a Stad Assoc. and t/d/b/a Meadville, Mall, Marianna Zamias, Zamias Const. Co. & Stad Assoc. a partnership

for: Robert F. Klemens & Frank A. Chianese

CATHERINE CARTER AND
RONALD CARTER

vs.

GEORGE D. ZAMIA, INDIVIDUALLY AND t/d/b/a STAD ASSOCIATES AND t/d/b/a MEADVILLE MALL
and
MARIANNA ZAMIAS
and
~~ROBERT F. KLEMENS, INDIVIDUALLY AND t/d/b/a STAD ASSOCIATES AND t/d/b/a MEADVILLE MALL~~
and
~~FRANK A. CHIANESE, INDIVIDUALLY AND t/d/b/a STAD ASSOCIATES AND t/d/b/a MEADVILLE MALL~~
and
ZAMIAS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY A CORPORATION
and
STAD ASSOCIATES, A PARTNERSHIP

Filed Oct. 4, 1988
@ 11:10 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	105.66
Pro Co pd 12/27/90	5.00

COMPLAINT

6 copies (sheriff)

Oct. 20, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared David Tarbay who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 6th day of Oct., 1988, at 3:45 PM., he served SAm Shahade, corporation attorney, filed at NO. 879 tErm, 1988, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant George D. Zamias at 300 Market St., Johnstown, Cambria County, PA. By making known the contents thereof to him an d handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford County.
So answers, David W. Tarbay, Deputy Sheriff
Jay Roberts, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared David Tarbay who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 6th day of Oct., 1988, at 3:45 PM, he served Sam Shahade, Corporation Attorney, filed at NO. 879 Term, 1988, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Marianna Zamias at 300 Market St., JOhnstown, Cambria County,PA By making known the contents thereof to him and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford County.
So answers, David W. Tarbay, Deputy Sheriff
Jay Roberts, Sheriff

Oct. 6, 1988 - Not found as to the within named defendant Robert F. Klemens by Jay Roberts, Sheriff of Cambria County, PA
So answers, David W. Tarbay, Deputy Sheriff
Jay Roberts, Sheriff

Oct. 6, 1988 - Not found as to the within named defendant Frank A. Chianese by Jay Roberts, Sheriff of Cambria County, PA.
So answers, David W. Tarbay, Deputy Sheriff
Jay Roberts, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared David Tarbay who bieng duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 6th day of Oct. 1988, at 3:45 PM he served Sam Shahade, Corporation Attorney, filed at No. 879 Term, 1988, crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Zamias Construction at 300 Market St., JOhnstown, cAmbria County, PA. By making known the contents thereof to him and handing to and leaving withhim the certified copy ofthe received from Crawford County.
So answers, David W. Tarbay, Deputy Sheriff
Jay Robert, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared David Tarbay, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 6th day of Oct., 1988, at 3:45 PM., he served Sam Shahade, corporation Attorney, filed at No. 879 TErm, 1988, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Stad Association at 300 Market st., Johnstown, Cambria County, PA. By making known the contents thereof to him and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the received from Crawford County.
So answers, David W. Tarbay, Deputy Sheriff
Jay Roberts, Sheriff

SERVED George D. Zamias within named defnedant by deputizing the Sheriff of Cambria County, PA as per affidavit of service attached. Served: 10-6-88
SERVED Marianna Zamias within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Cambria County, PA per affidavit of service attached. Served: 10-6-88
Not found, as to defendant Robert F. Klemens as per affidavit received from Sheriff of Cambria Count PA
Not Found,as to defendant frank A. Chianese as per affidavit received from sheriff of Cambria County PA.
SERVED Zamias Construction within named defendant by deputizing Sheriff of Cambria County, PA as per affidavit of service attached. Served: 10-6-88
SERVED Stad Associates within named defnedant by deputizing the Sheriff of Cambria County, PA as per affidavit of service attached. Served: 10-6-88
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 10, 1988, Appearance of Kenneth J. Nolan, Esq. attorneys for George D. Zamias, ind. and t/d/b/a Stad Associates and t/d/b/a Meadville Mall, Marianna Zamias, Zamias Construction Company, a Corp. and Stad Associates, a partnership, defendants, filed.

Nov. 22, 1988 Defendants' First Request for Production of Ducments filed.

Nov. 22, 1988 Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Dec. 6, 1988 Appearance of Kenneth J. Nolan, Esq.Attorney for Defendants Robert F. Klemens and Frank A. Chianese, filed.

Dec. 6, 1988 Answer and New Matter filed.

Dec. 12, 1988, Response to Request for Production of Documents, filed.

Mar. 8, 1989, Notice of Service of Plaintiffs' Request for Production of Documents Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 4009 directed to Defendants, filed.

Mar. 8, 1989, Notice of Service of Plaintiffs' Interrogatories Directed to Defendants Stad Associates, Zamias Construction, and George D. Zamias and Request for Production of Documents filed.

Continued on page 621

1988

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. BArrett

880.

SHEILA MARIE MILLER

vs.

TED WESLEY MILLER

Filed Oct. 4, 1988
@ 11:50 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 1/31/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UINDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 18, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Bruce A. Barrett, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on Oct. 4, 1988.

3. The registered mail was sent to Ted W. Miller at P.O. Box 58, Flinton, PA 16640. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on OCt. 15, 1988. Said receipt card number P 404 868 809 is attached hereto and signed by Ted W. Miller.

Bruce A. Barrett, Atty.

Jan. 31, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Jan. 31, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Jan. 31, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for Review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Feb. 6, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, February 6, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Sheila Marie Miller, Plaintiff, and Ted Wesley Miller, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

881.

SALLIE MILO

vs.

CURT MARTIN

Filed Oct. 4, 1988
@ 3:58 p.m.

Tax & entry $40.50

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF FROM ABUSE

2 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, October 4, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within petition, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 11 day of Oct. 1988, at 4:00 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

The respondent, Curt Martin is directed and prohibited from attempting to cause or intentionally, knowingly or recklessly causing bodily injury to Sallie Milo or in any way threatening her, frightening her or harassing her.

The respondent, Curt Martin, is directed and prohibited from contacting Sallie Milo in any way until further order of this court.

The respondent is excluded immediately and forthwith from the residence located at 210 Spring St., Meadville, Pa. 16335.

Respondent is directed to return forthwith all keys of the residence to the officer serving this order.

Petitioner is permitted to proceed without the payment of costs, costs to be determined by the court after hearing.

Respondent is hereby advised of his right to be represented by counsel, and he is notified that his failure to attend the hearing sc heduled above may have serious legal consequences.

Service of this order and petition may be made by any law enforcement officer.

By the Court:
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct. 11, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Ptl. Frank E. Martin, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That he is a competent adult and Police Officer employer by the city of Meadville, Pennsylvania.
2. That on information furnished to him, he caused a certified copy of the Protection from Abuse Order in the above matter to be served upon Curt Martin, Defendant, at 5:30 o'clock, P.M., on October 4, 1988, at the Meadville Police Station.
3. that costs incurred for this service were as follows:

a.)	Service	$ N/A
b.)	Mileage	$ N/A
	Total	$ N/A

4. Further Deponent Saith Not.

Frank E. Martin

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs & Youngs PC
Christopher Youngs

882.

LORI L. WORTH

vs.

DAVID E. WORTH

Filed Oct. 5, 1988
@ 9:13 a.m.

Tax & entry pd 1/17/89 $40.50

PETITION FOR RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy (atty)

TEMPORARY ORDER:

AND NOW, this 4 dayof Oct. 1988 upon consideration of the within petition , it is hereby ordered that the defendant shall refrain from abusing or having any contact with the plaintiff. It is ruther ordered that defendant shall not set foot on premises of plaintiffs residence located at 106 Stratton Ave., Linesville, Crawford County, Pa.

A rule is hereby issued upon the defendant to aPPEAR AND show cause why this order should not be made final. Rule returnable and hearing on the within petition shall be held on Oct. 13, 1988 at 4:00 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

Any law enforcement agency or personnel shall have authority to enforce the provisions of this order, and to take defendant into custody and place him in jail, should defendant violate this order.

A certified copy of this order may be served upon defendant by any law enforcement officer or other competent adult.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct. 17, 1988 Order filed. And now, October 13, 1988, at the time set for hearing the defendant fails to appear and there is some question as to whether service has been made upon the defendant. Accordingly, the original Temporary Order is continued in the abuse action and this hearing is reschedule for November 2, 1988, at 3:00 p.m.

The Court notes that there is a companion custody case at 1988-870 and that a mediation hearing has been scheduled for November 1, 1988, on that matter.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Oct. 18, 1988 Copies of order to Christopher Youngs and William Pineo

Oct. 27, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Christopher J. Youngs, hereby certifies that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff Lori L. Worth, in the within Action and that a copy of the Petition for Relief Under Protection from abuse Act was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only to the Defendant, DAvid E. Worth, at 5741 Norquest Blvd., Austintown, OH 44515 on October 11, 1988 and was received by the Defendant on October 13, 1988. The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A".

Christopher Youngs

Nov 4, 1988 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, November 2, 1988, a Temporary Order under the Protection from Abuse Act having been entered on October 4, 1988, and a Rule issued upon the Defendant to appear and show cause why the Temporary Order should not be made final, the same being scheduled for this date; further, the Court being advised by counsel for the parties that an agreement has been reached between the parties and that counsel for the parties is authorized to present the agreement to the Court for entry of a Consent Order.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is ordered and decreed as follows:

1. The parties are ordered not to abuse or harass one another in any fashion, directly or indirectly, or through third persons, at any time.

2. Defendant shall not entered upon the residential premises occupied by the Plaintiff for a period of six (6) months; provided however, this Order is not intended to prevent Defendant from exercising his rights of visitation with his minor son, Matthew Worth, which rights have been or will be set forth in an order to be entered by the Court pursuant to recommendations made by William Pineo, Custody Mediator, subsequest to hearing on November 1, 1988, in a collateral action at A.D. 1988-870.

3. Any law enforcement agency or personnel shall have authority to enforce the provisions of this Order, and to take an offending party to custody and place him/her in jail should this Order be violated.

4. Service of this Order shall be made upon the parties by their respective counsel, forthwith.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov 9, 1988 Copies of order to Christopher Youngs and William Pineo, Esqs.

1988

William L. Walker

883.

CHARLES J. HIGGINS

vs.

LORI A. HIGGINS

Filed Oct. 5, 1988
@ 2:52 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Pro Co Pd 1-23-89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 25, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Vicki Bradshaw, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the secretary to William L. Walker, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff in this action and certifies that on October 7, 1988, she delivered to the Post Office in Meadville, PA for delivery to Lori A. HIggins at 380 East Erie Street, Linesville, PA 16424 by certified amil, return receipt requested, delivery restricted to addressee only, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in this matter for which deponent received at the time receipt for certified article No. P 724 951 639 which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Thereafter on October 12, 1988 it was returned to William L. Walker, return receipt card bearing the same number and signed by Lori HIggins said return receipt card being attached hereto as Exhibit "B". Vicki Bradshaw

Jan 23, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan 23, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan 23, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Feb. 2, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, February 2, 1989, it is ordered, and decreed that Charles J. Higgins, plaintiff, and Lori A. Higgins, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Bettie Peterson-Muth

884.

Joan Mason

BETTY L. TRACE

vs.

DANIEL A. TRACE

Filed Oct. 5, 1988
@ 3:15 p.m.

Tax & entry $ 40.50

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF FROM ABUSE

ORDER: AND NOW, Oct. 4, 1988, the temporary order entered by District Justice Robert Leonhart is continued in effect, and a hearing to enter a further order is dcheduled for Oct. 14, 1988 at 4:00 in C. R. No. 1 or 2.

The plaintiff shall file the usual abuse petiton listing the conduct complained of and other ancillary requests for relief prior to the hearing. The Prothonotary shall forward a copy of this order to the defendant at his last known address, R. D. #2, Saegertown, Pa., 16433. In the event the prothonotary learns of another address the Prothonotary shall place a copy of this order in the hands of an appropriate law enforcement officer for service upon the defendant.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Oct. 5, 1988, copies to Atty. B. Muth and J. Mason, and copy mailed to defendant.

Oct. 12, 1988 Petition For Relief Under Protection From Abuse Act filed.

Oct. 19, 1988 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 14, 1988, this abuse matter is before the Court and the matter is unable to be resilved by amicable agreement. The thrust of the Plaintiff's posture is that she has undergone a lengthy period of continual abuse and wishes to have her day in Court to delineate the Defendant's wrong doings. The posture of the Defendant is that this is merely a subterfuge to force him into an untenable position and he is not guilty of any of the allegations of mistreatment or abuse in the petition. Both parties request a full-blown hearing in this case and the same is set for Nov. 17, 1988 at 3:00 p.m.

In the meantime, the Defendant wishes to remove further clothes from the premises, certain papers relating to the Elks Lodge, his hunting guns and shells, and a cutting torch. Accordingly, the Defendant may go to the premises Monday, Oct. 17, 1988, at 10:00 a.m. for the purpose of removing the same.

Other than this visit, the Defendant is excluded from occupation of the premises or the barn on the premises until further Order of Court. At the time the husband comes on Oct. 17,, 1988, there shall be no arguments between the parties or threats of any kind.

It appears the household is maintained by disability payments to husband and children and monthly they receive a check of $1,112.00 made out tohusband and wife and $454.00 made out to the October check for the children but the wife has possession of the check for $1,112.00. Accordingly, the husband is directed forthwith to endorse said check and distribute the sum of $680.00 to the wife and retain the balance of $432.00 for his own use. The Court is advised by the wife that the Social Security Administration advises that of the $1,112.00 check, $226.00 of the same is for the wife and in arriving at the division of this check, the Court adds this $226.00 to the $454.00 belonging to the children, which children are in the custody of the mother, to arrive at the $680.00

Neither party shall sell any assets except for sheep on the premises. The wife advises she is going to sell some of the lesser valued sheep and apply any of the proceeds of the sale to the debt obligation covering the sheep. If the proceeds exceed the debt obligation, the wife may not spend said money but must put it in an account specially marked as a trustee account until further Order of Court. The wife advises the Court she does not intend to sell any of the premier sheep but in the event she intends to do so, she must give her husband notice in writing of said intent, the price they are to be sold for, and the husband may purchase the same or procure another buyer that would pay more than the wife proposes to sell the premier sheep, the net proceeds will first be applied to any debt obligation covering the sheep and the balance would be put in a trust fund until further Order of Court.

This Order is made without prejudice to the right of the wife to file any support action for herself and the children, but the Court notes that before a hearing can be held threon, it would take approximately two months.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Oct. 20, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Bettie Muth and Joan Mason.

Nov 9, 1988 Motion to Continue Hearing and Order filed: AND NOW, Nov 9, 1988, Granted. Continued to Dec. 9, 1988 10 A.M.

P. Richard Thomas, J

Nov 14, 1988 Copies of order to Joan Mason and Bettie Peterson-Muth, Esqs.

Dec. 7, 1988 Order filed. And Now, December 6, 1988, hearing in the above matter heretofore set for December 9, 1988, is hereby reset for Dec. 20, 1988, at 1:30 p.m., in Courtroom No. 1.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator

Dec. 12, 1988 copies of order to Bettie Peterson-Muth and Joan D. Mason, esqs.

Dec 22, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, December 20, 1988, at the time set for further hearing on this Abuse matter, the parties and their counseladvise the Court the matter has been amicably resolved.

The Plaintiff/Wife has moved from the former marital residence and the same remains unoccupied. The Defendant-Husband will have the right to to the premises to make the necessary repairs or to take up residence in the same.

The parties request the Court defer any decision on the validity of the allegations of abuse, and both parties specifically agree that neither will annoy, harass, threaten or assault the other.

The matters of property rights, support, possession of personal property and custody of marital property will apparently be resolved by an independent agreement between the parties.

This Order shall be in effect for a period of six (6) months from this date.

In reference to the conduct of the parties prohibited above, neither party shall procure others to perform the prohibited acts on their behalf.

County is DIRECTED to pay costs in this case in view of the peculiar circumstances of the parties at this time.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Dec 29, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Peterson-Muth and J. Mason. and county control.

1988

Brian J. Lindsay

885.

DIANE M. JOHNSON

vs.

PHILLIP K. JOHNSON

Filed Oct. 5, 1988
@ 4:06 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co. Pd. 8/8/90	9.00
State Surcharge Pd.	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) and (d)
and 201(a) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (Atty)

Oct. 13, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, BRIAN J. LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Diane M. Johnson, at that as suchI am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did on the 5th day of October, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee ONly, rEturn Receipt Requested a true and correct copy of the Notice to Defend and Claim Rights and the Complaint to defendant, Phillip K. Johnson, at his last known address of 1412 Chestnut Street, Franklin, PA 16323, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P446 365 381 and that on the 7th day of October, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 6th day of October, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Dated: 10/12/88 Brian J. Lindsay

Mar. 21, 1990 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the divorce code filed.

March 28, 1990 Affidavit filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, Esq., after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Diane M. Johnson, at that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did on the 22nd day of March, 1990, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return receipt requested, a Notice of Intention to Request Entry of divorce decree, a true and correct copy of Notice to Defendant and regular copy of Praecipe to Transmit record to Phillip K. Johnson, at his last known address of 1412 Chestnut St., Franklin, PA. 16323, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 276 200 337, and that on the 27th day of March, 1990, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated March 26th, 1990.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statemetns herein made are subject to the penalties of 19 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Brian J. Lindsay, Atty.

August 8, 1990 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for Review Under Section 201(d) of Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Aug. 13, 1990 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, August 13, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Diane M. Johnson, plaintiff and Phillip K. Johnson, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matirmony

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1988

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

886.

PHILLIP McCLINTON

vs.

KELLY McCLINTON

Filed Oct. 6, 1988
@ 1:03 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro. Co. PD 6-30-89	9.00
State Surcharge Pd.	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 11, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, ESQ., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit Of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of theComplaint Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce code was personally served upon the Defendant, Kelly McClinton, by Stephanie Lynn Nichol, at 965 S. Main Street, Meadville, PA on October 10, 1988. Bruce A. Barrett

June 14, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 30, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 30, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(d) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

July 14, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, July 14, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Phillip McClinton, plaintiff, and Kelly McClinton, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Legal Services
Gerald Sullivan

887.

LORI MYERS

vs.

RAY EBBERT

Filed Oct. 7, 1988
@ 9:30 a.m.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

4 copies (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Ray Ebbert, Defendant, have been sued in court to obtain custody of the minor children, Jeremy Ebbert and Brandon Ebbert.

You are ordered to appear in person at the time and place selected by WILLIAM PENEO, CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody, or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

The Crawford County Sheriff's Department is directed to serve a cert. copy of this Complaint and order upon the defendant. In the alternative, this petition and order may be served by any competent adult or by cert. mail.

Plaintiff is allowed to proceed without payment of costs, reserving to the court the right to impose costs.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help:

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Comm. c/O Prothonotary Courthouse, Meadville Pa. 16335, Tele: 814 724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct. 7, 1988, Affidavit to Proceed in Forma Pauperis and Praecipe for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, filed.

Mar. 5, 1990, Motion for Dismissal and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 5th day of March 1990 upon consideration of the foregoing Motion for Dismissal, said Motion is granted.

The plaintiff is permitted to proceed in forma pauperis.

2 copies (atty)

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

1988

Stephen Hutzelman
Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman, Berlin, May Walsh and Brabender

888.

Assigned:

Beneficial Consumer Discount Company

BENEFICIAL MORTGAGE CO. OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

FRANK R. FULLER, JR. AND SANDRA FULLER

Filed Oct. 7, 1988
@ 11:08 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Costs 17.51
She;riff's Costs 27.00
Pro Co Pd 3-16-89 9.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 6/5/89 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Nov 23, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: NOT FOUND, as to within named defendant Frank R. Fuller. Moved, no forward.
NOT FOUND, as to within named defendant Sanda Fuller. Moved, for forward.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 23, 1989 Complaint Reinstated.

Feb. 14, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Frank R. Fuller, Jr., on February 2, 1989 at 9:17 AM by handing a copy ofthe Complaint to him at his place of employment, City Garage, Meadville, Crawford County PA.
SERVED Sandra Fuller by handing copy of Complaint to her on January 31, 1989 at 4:05 PM at her residence, 355½ Poplar Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart,Sheriff

AND NOW, Mar 16, 1989 @ 1:27 P.M. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney with notice of judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendants for want of an answer, and damages are assessed as follows: Amount claimed in Plaintiff's complaint, Twenty-nine Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty-six and 39/100 Dollars; Interest from July, 1988, One Thousand One Hundred Forty-one and 34/100 Dollars; Attorney's fees, Six Thousand Two Hundred Nineteen and 55/100 dollars; TOTAL, Thirty-seven Thousand Three Hundred Seventeen and 28/100 Dollars plus court costs of record.
F-61, 151 Debt.....$37,317.28 + costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary
Mar 16, 1989 Judgment entered.

Mar 17, 1989 Notices of Judgment mailed defendants.

EX 1989-4

June 2, 1989 This judgment is hereby assigned to Beneficial Consumer Discount Company, P.O. Box 1269, Meadville, PA 16335.

1988

Doerr and Doerr
Donald D. Doerr, Jr.

889.

David W. Pickens

BRIAN C. CLARK, SR.

vs.

DEBORAH DIANE McBRIDE

Filed Oct. 7, 1988
@

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

2 copies (atty)

1 copy (mediator)

ORDER: YOU, Deborah Diane McBride, defendant, have been sued in court to obtain custody of Brian C. Clark, Jr.

You are ordered to appear before WILLIAM PINEO, ESQUIRE, Mediator, who has been appointed to hear this matter at such time as he may scheduled.

You are further ordered to bring with you the child, Brian C. Clark, Jr.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order or to bring the child, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth to find out where to get legal help.

Crawford CountyBar Assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Comm.
c/o Prothonotary Office, Crawford County Courthouse,
Meadville, Pa. 16335 814 724-4634

Robert L. Walker, J.

Oct. 14,1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, October 14, 1988, the order appointing William Pineo mediator in the above captioned matter is vacated at his request, and John Fuller is appointed Mediator in this matter.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Oct. 14, 1988 copies given to all attorneys and mediator.

June 30, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, June 30, 1989, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, and based upon an agreement of the parties, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Brian C. Clark, Jr. shall be with his father, Brian C. Clark, Sr. Notwithstanding this, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records of the child, and each par ent shall be entitled to participate fully in decisions affecting the health, education and religious instruction of the child.

II. Physical custody of Brian C. Clark, Jr shall be with his father, Brian C. Clark, Sr., subject to the following conditions:

A. The mother shall be entitled to have the child in her custody each summer from July 1, 1989 until July 31, 1989, beginning with the Summer of 1989.

B. The mo;ther shall be entitled to have the child in her custody for a period coinciding with the child's Christmas vacation from school.

C. Each parent shall be entitled to liberal phone visitation with the child when the child is in the custody of the other parent.

C. Each parent shall notify the other of any illness or emergency involving the other parent.

E. Each parent shall notify the other of any illness or emergency involving the child at the earliest possible time.

F. Transportation of the child shall be the responsibility of the parent receiving custody of the child, that is, when the child travels from Colorado to Pennsylvania, the mother shall pay the child's transportation costs and when the child travels back to Colorado, the father shall pay those transportation costs.

G. In the event the father should require hospitalization for a period in excess of forty-eight (48) hours, he shall:

(1) immediately notify the mother of the hospitalization and what custody arrangements he had made;

(2) make custody arrangements that are satisfactory to the mother for the care of the child.

(3) In the event the mother is not satisfied with the custody arrangements, she can request a further hearing at that time.

H. The social security disability paid on behalf of the child as a dependent of the father shall be excepted as full and complete satisfaction of any claims for child support for Brian C. Clark, Jr.

I. The mother shall, within ninety days of this order, provide an accounting of all interest paid on the trust fund and its current status.

J. Based upon the agreement of the parties, jurisdiction and venue for this case shall remain in Crawford County, Pennsylvania until the child is eighteen years of age.

K. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

L. Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or well being.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County. Robert L. Walker, J

July 6, 1989 Copies of order put in David Pickens and JOhn Fuller's box and copy mailed to Donald Doerr

1988

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC
Alan L. Pepicelli

890.

Bozic & Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

JOHN F. GRACIK AND OLIVIA H. GRACIK, HIS WIFE

vs.

JAMES N. EWING AND DELORES J. EWING, HIS WIFE, INDIVIDUALLY AND t/a/d/b/a JAMES N. EWING CONTRACTOR

Filed Oct. 7, 1988
@ 3:02 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs	30.00
Pro. Co. Pd 8-2-90	6.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Oct. 18, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED Delores J. Ewing personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Summons to her on Oct. 18, 1988 at 9:45 A.M. at her residence, 755 Ravine St., Meadville, Crawford Co. PA.

SERVED James N. Ewing within named defendant on Oct. 18, 1988 at 9:46 a.m. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Delores J. Ewing, his wife, at their residence, 755 Ravine St., Meadville, Crawford Co., PA.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 10, 1989, Complaint in Civil action filed. 2 copies (atty)

Feb. 14, 1989 Certificate of Service filed. ALAN L. PEPICELLI, Esquire, hereby certifies that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiffs in the within Action and that a certified copy of the Complaint in Civil Action, the original and two sets of Interrogatories and original Requests for Productuion of Documents were sent by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, to the defendants, James N. Ewing and Delores J. Ewing, his wife, t/a/d/b/a James N. Ewing, Contractor, 755 Ravine Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335 on February 10, 1989 and was received by said Defendants on February 11, 1989. The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A".

Alan L. Pepicelli

Mar. 8, 1989 Appearance of Bozic and Thomas by R. Charles Thomas for Defendants filed.

May 26, 1989 Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint filed. 1 copy atty.

July 31, 1989 Plaintiffs' Reply To New Matter Of Defendants filed.

Nov 9, 1989 Notice of Depositions of James N. Ewing and Delores J. Ewing filed.

Dec. 6, 1989 Certificate of Readiness filed.

On February 1990 Trial List

Jan 31, 1990 Order filed: AND NOW, January 29, 1990, at the call of the list, counsel for both parties agree to a continuance of this case until the April term of Court. Motion granted at the request of the Defendant.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Feb. 6, 1990 Copies of order put in Attys. Pepicelli and Thomas's boxes

On April 1990 Trial List

Apr. 9, 1990, ORDER SETTING NONJURY TRIAL filed. And now, Apr. 9, 1990, nonjury trial in the above case is hereby set for June 1, 1990, at 9:30 a.m. in C. R. No. 1.

FOR THE COURT, Imogene Yetman Ct. Adm.

Apr. 10, 1990, copies to A. Pepicelli and R. C. Thomas.

Apr. 20, 1990 Requests For Admissions filed.

August 2, 1990 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiffs Attorney, this case settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs & Youngs PC
Alan L. Pepicelli

891.

John D. Petruso

EDWARD J. ROGAN, JOHN E. ROGAN, AND SUE ELLEN PINKERTON

vs.

THE BOROUGH OF SAEGERTOWN, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

Filed Oct. 7, 1988
@ 3:39 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro co Pd 11/30/88 5.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (atty)

Oct 21, 1988 Acceptance of Service filed: I accept service of the Writ of Summons in Civil Action on behalf of the Borough of Saegertown, the above-captioned defendant, and I hereby certify that I am authorized to do so as authorized agent for said Defendant.

John D. Petruso, Esq.
Solicitor for the Borough of Saegertown

Nov. 1, 1988, Rule Entered Upon Plaintiff to File Complaint Issued.

Nov. 14, 1988, Service of the foregoing Rule accepted by Alan Pepicelli, attorney for plaintiffs.

Nov. 29, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked discontinued of record.

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph Altomare

Paul E. Allen
Allen & Hall

892.

MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton
By: Irving Murphy

~~Quinn, Gent. Buseck, and Leemhuis, Inc.~~
~~John M. Quinn, Jr.~~
~~for Meadville Medical Center~~

PAUL E. ALLEN AND
ELIZABETH R. ALLEN

vs.

ORTHOPEDIC ASSOCIATES OF MEADVILLE, P.C.,
~~MEADVILLE MEDICAL CENTER~~,
DR. JAMES R. McLAMB,
DR. LAWSON SMART,
~~DR. MARK FOSTER~~,
~~DR. CURTIS LAUB~~

Filed Oct. 7, 1988
@ 4:11 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 65.00
Pro Co. Pd 9-18-91 6.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued

Nov. 15, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed.
SERVED Orthopedic Associates of Meadville, P.C. within named defendant on Oct. 27, 1988 at 2:49 P.M. by serving Kathy Eves, Secretary, and person in charge at their Office, 640 Alden St. Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
SERVED Dr. Curtis Laub within named defendant on Oct. 27, 1988 at 2:50 P.M. by serving Kathy Eves, Sec. , and person in charge at his office, 640 Alden St., Meadville, Crawford Co. Pa.
SERVED D. James R. McLamb within named defendant on Oct. 27, 1988 at 2:51 P.M. by serving Kathy Eves, Sec., and person in charge at his office, 640 Alden St., Meadville, Crawford Co., Pa.
SERVED Dr. Lawson Smart within named defendant on Oct. 27, 1988 at 2:52 PM. by serving Kathy Eves, Sec. and person in charge at his office, 640 Alden St., Meadville, Crawford C o. Pa.
NOT FOUND, as to Dr. Mark Foster within named defendant. Moved to 490 E. North Ave., Suite 400, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15212. Believed to be business address.
SERVED Meadville Medical Center within named defendant on Oct. 27. 1988 at 3:12 P.M. by servicg Louise Randolh, Administrative Secretary person in charge, at place of business, Liberty St., Meadville, Crawford Co., Pa.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 17, 1988 Appearance of MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton, By Irving Murphy, attorneys for defendants Orthopedic Associates of MeaDVILLE, P.C. , Drs.James R. McLamb, Lawson Smart Mark Foster and Curtis Laub.

Nov 22, 1988 Appearance of Quinn, Gent, Buseck and Leemhuis, Inc. by John M. Quinn, Jr., Esq. for defendant Meadville Medical Center filed.

Feb 2, 1989 Rule Upon Plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

June 2, 1989, Complaint filed.

June 21, 1989 Preliminary Objections on Behalf of Defendants Orthopedic Associates of Meadville, P.C., Dr. James R. McLamb and Dr. Lawson Smart filed.

June 21, 1989 Upon praecipe of Atty. Murphy the above captioned case is placed on the next arvailable argument list.

On Argument List for July 1989

July 25, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, this 25 day of July, 1989 argument on the preliminary objections pending in the above-captioned matter is continued to the August argument list.
For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

July 25, 1989 Copies of order mailed to Joseph Altomare, Irving Murphy and John Quinn

On Argument List for August 1989

Aug. 21, 1989 Order filed. Order: AND NOW, this 18th day of Aug., 1989, it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED that paragraphs 7.D. and 7.E. are stricken from the Complaint.
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 23, 1989 copies of order mailed to Jos. Altomare, Irving Murphy and John Quinn

Sept. 1, 1989, Motion of defendant Meadville Medical Center for Judgment on the Pleadings filed.

Sept. 1, 1989, Upon praecipe of dft. Meadville Medical Center, the above motion is placed on the next argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR SEPTEMBER 1989

Sept. 12, 1989 Motion For Judgment on the Pleadings filed.

Sept. 12, 1989 Upon praecipe of Atty. Murphy the above is placed on the next available argument list.

On October 1989 Argument List

Oct. 30, 1989 Stipulation Of Discontinuance filed.

Oct. 30, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, to wit this 27 day of Oct., 1989, in consideration of the foregoing Stipulation of Discontinuance, the above-captioned action is hereby discontinued as to Meadville Medical Center, Dr. Mark K. Foster, and Dr. Curtis Laub, and all claims against Meadville Medical Center, Dr. Mark K. Foster, and Dr. Curtis Laub are dismissed with prejudice.
P. Richard Thomas, J

Oct. 31, 1989 Copies of order mailed to Joseph Altomare, Irving Murphy and JohnQuinn and a copy put in Paul Allen's box.

Nov. 21, 1989 Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint filed.

Jan. 2, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, above Motion placed on next argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR FEBRUARY 1990.

Continued on Page 342 a

1988

Feb. 22, 1990, RE: MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND COMPLAINT - ORDER RESETTING ARGUMENT DATE filed. And now, Feb. 22, 1990, Judge p. Richard Thomas, being presently involved in a lengthly civil jury trial, the argument inthe above captioned matter heretofore set for Feb. 26, 1990 is hereby reset for Apr. 3, 1990 at 9:00 a.n. in C. R No. 1.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

Feb. 23, 1990 copies fo order mailed to attys. by Ct. Adm.

Mar. 1, 1990 Motion For Continuance of Argument filed.

Mar. 1, 1990 Order filed. And now, this 28th day of February, 1990, argument in the above captioned matter heretofore scheduled for April 3, 1990, is rescheduled to the April 30, 1990, Argument List, at a time to be set on the published list.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Mar. 5, 1990 Copies of order mailed to Joseph Altomare and Irving Murphy

On Argument List for April 30, 1990

July 24, 1990, RE: MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND COMPLAINT - MEMORANDUM AND ORDER - Filed. ORDER: AND NOW, July 23, 1990, we grant the plaintiffs permission to add proposed Court IV (Paragraphs 19 through 24) to their Complaint. We deny the plaintiff's request to add proposed Count II (paragraphs 11 through 16) as an amendment to their Complaint.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

July 24, 1990, copies to Attys. of record.

Aug. 1, 1990 Amended Complaint filed.

Aug. 17, 1990 Answer and New Matter of Defendants Orthopedic Associates of Meadville, P.C., James R. McLamb, M.D. and Lawson C. Smart, M.D. to Plaintiffs' amended Complaint filed. (Trial by Jury of twelve (12) is hereby demanded.)

Aug. 31, 1990, Notice of Service of Interrogatories and request for Production filed.

Mar. 19, 1991 Certificate of Readiness for Trial filed.

July 15, 1991 Notice of Depositions of Paul E. Allen and Elizabeth R. Allen filed.

Sept. 16, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above-captioned matter discontinued in its entirety against all defendants.

342 B

1988

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Robert S. Bailey

893.

TROJAN, INC. d/b/a
HYTRON ELECTRIC PRODUCTS

vs.

GUARANTEED LIGHTING
SUPPLIERS

Filed Oct. 7, 1988
@ 3:56 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro. Co. Pd 11/30/88 9.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 1, 1988 Affidavit of Service I, Robert S. Bailey being duly sworn according to law,deposes and says that he is the attorney for the above named Plaintiff and as such, did send by first-class mail on October 7, 1988 to Defendant Guarenteed Lighting Suppliers, at their last known business address of P.O. Box 68156, 112 North First Ave., Virginia Beach, VA 23455, a true and correct, certified copy of the Complaint in this matter.

Shafer, Swick,Bailey,Irwin & Stack
Robert S. Bailey

Nov. 30, 1988, 2:16 p.m., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with copy of Notice of Intention to file default judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered against defendant in the sum of One thousand two hundred forty-four and 52/100 Dollars, for failure to take the appropriate action required of him by PA. R.C.P. 1026 and 1037. Include intrest and cost of suit. The Certificate of Service regarding the Default Notice to defendant is attached hereto.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
By: Robert S. Bailey, Atty.

G-184
Judgment entered 11-30-88

Debt $ 1,244.52
Interest from
Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro.

Dec. 5, 1988 Notice of Entry of Judgment mailed to Defendant.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Robert S. Bailey

894.

TROJAN, INC. d/b/a
HYTRON ELECTRIC PRODUCTS

vs.

H & B DISTRIBUTING COMPANY

Filed Oct. 7, 1988
@ 3:57 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co. Pd 11/30/88 9.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 26, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, Robert S. Bailey being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is attorney for the above named Plaintiff and as such, did send by first-class mail on October 7, 1988 to Defendant, H & B Distributing Company, at their last business address of P.O. Box 6834, San Bernidino, California, 92412, a true and correct certified copy of the Complaint in this matter.
Robert S. Bailey

Nov. 30,1988, 2:20 PM, AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with copy of Notice of Intention to file default judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered against the above named defendant in the sum of Four Thousand seventy-nine and 12/100 Dollars, for failure to take the appropriate action required of defendant by PA. R.C.P 1026 and 1037. Include interest and cost of suit. The Certificate of Service regarding the Default Notice to defendant is attached hereto.
Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
By : Robert S. Bailey, Atty.

H-186
Judgment entered 11/30/88

Debt $ 4,079.12
Interest from---
Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro.

Dec. 6, 1988 Notice of Entry mailed Defendant.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack Robert S. Bailey 895.	TROJAN, INC. d/b/a HYTRON ELECTRIC PRODUCTS vs. SAMUEL P. GILLARD AND SHERRY D. GILLARD, INDIVIDUALLY AND FORMERLY DOING BUSINESS AS S & M ENTERPRISES Filed Oct. 7, 1988 @ 4:02 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 Pro for Co. Pd.12-5-88 6.00 ✓	COMPLAINT 3 copies (atty) Oct. 26, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I Robert S. Bailey being duly sworn according to law, deposes ad says that he is the attroney for the above named Plaintiff and as such, did send by first-class mail on October 7, 1988 to Defendants Samuel P Gillard and Sherry Gillard, individually and formerly doing business as S&M Enterprises, at their last business address of 5166-B Middlecoff, Corpus Christi Texas 78413, a true and correct certified copy of the Complaint in this matter. Robert S. Bailey Nov. 30, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Jorden & White
Harry F. White II

896.

SONYA TEUDHOPE

vs.

RONALD L. TEUDHOPE

Filed Oct. 10, 1988
at 9:26 a.m.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

Oct. 10, 1988, Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 10th day of October, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, the plaintiff is allowed to proceed in forma pauperis with the corut reserving the right to assess costs in the future, if the court shall deem the same advisable.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.
Oct. 10, 1988 copy of order to Atty. H. white.

Dec 14, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: HARRY FABER WHITE, II, ESQUIRE, attorney for plaintiff, Sonya Teudhope in the above captioned matter, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served the Notice to Plead, and Complaint under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, upon the defendant, Ronald L. Teudhope, by certified mail, return receipt requested, on October 20, 1988, at the defendant's address, R.D.#2, Box 20, Springboro, Pennsylvania 16435. The defendant's acceptance of the sme is attached hereto.

Harry Faber White, II
Attorney for Plaintiff

Jan. 17, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 17, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

March 14, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Mar. 21, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, March 21, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that SONYA TEUDHOPE plaintiff, and RONALD L. TEUDHOPE, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas W. Ferguson

897.

ALICE M. McCOOL

vs.

RONALD L. McCOOL

Filed Oct. 10, 1988
@ 9:34 a.m.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 10, 1988, Petition to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 10 day of Oct. 1988, the within Petition is granted and Alice M.McCool is granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis with a divorce action, reserving the right to assess costs if appropriate.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Oct. 10, 1988 copy of order to D. Ferguson, esq.

Oct. 10, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, filed. 1 copy (atty)

Mar. 15, 1989 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, the undersigned being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, and the Plaintiff's Affidavit under Section 201(d) of the Divorce code certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania onthe above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated October 12, 1988. The Defendant acknowledged service on October 15, 1988, by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Douglas Ferguson

Mar. 15, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit REcord - Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Mar. 21, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, March 21, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that ALICE M. McCOOL, plaintiff, and RONALD L. McCOOL, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick
898.

JIMMIE WALTERS

vs.

ROLANDA WALTERS

Filed Oct. 11, 1988
@ 9:32 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 4/20/89	9.00
State pd 4/20/89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 21, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. And Now, October 21st, 1988, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the Attorney for Jimmie Walters, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished to her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P 401 440 921, postmarked October 11, 1988, to the last known address of the Defendant, Rolanda Walters, R.D.#2, Box 297, Saegertown ,Pennsylvania 16433.
3. That the Defendant Rolanda Walters, on October 14, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the abvoe matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
4. Service ofthe Complaint against the defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

Mar. 20, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Mar. 20, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 20, 1989 Memorandum of Agreement as to Marital Separation filed.

Apr. 19, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Apr. 25, 1989 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And Now, April 25, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Jimmie Walters, Plaintiff, and Rolanda Walters, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

By the Court:
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Melissa K. Dively	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION
		2 copies (atty)
899.	vs.	Feb. 24, 1989 Verification filed. Service of Process was sent to Ont. Ltd., by registered mail, on October 21, 1988. The return receipt from this mailing is attached hereto and was signed by the defendant or an employee of the office of the defendant, Ont. Ltd., where service was made. M. K. Dively
	RUSSELL CHISHOLM AND ONT, LTD.	
	Filed Oct. 11, 1988 at 1:15 p.m.	
	Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓	

1988

pro se

900.

WILSON BUILDING SUPPLIES, INC.

vs.

JAN GRAYSON
TRACY GRAYSON

Filed Oct. 11, 1988
@ 1:34 p.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$15.00
plff on orig	9.50
Sheriff's costs	30.50
Pro Co Pd. 2/13/89 (Sat.)	5.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

DSB 1983 - 831

Writ of revival indexed in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants in the amount of Three Thousand One Hundred Forty Four and 73/100 Dollars, with interest from Oct. 4, 1983.

Debt--------$3,144.73
Dated-------Oct. 11, 1988
G - 94, 164---JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

Nov. 22, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED Tracy Grayson personally the within named defendant on Nov. 2, 1988 at 4:51 PM by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival to her at her residence, Box 295, Saegertwon, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Jan Grayson within named defendant on Nov. 2, 1988 at 4:52 PM by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival to Tracy Grayson, adult member of family, namely wife, at their residence, Box 295, Saegertown, Crawford Co. Pa.
So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 13, 1989, This Judgment Satisfied. (see paper filed.)

1988

C. Barton Jones

901.

DAVID L. McLAUGHLIN

vs.

NORMA S. McLAUGHLIN

Filed Oct. 11, 1988
@ 1:34 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
3 counts paid 45.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE, CUSTODY, SUPPORT, PROPERTY DISTRIBUTION

Dec 14, 1988 Consent to Amendment of Complaint filed.

Dec 14, 1988 Amended Complaint Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code filed.

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a part in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 12-14-88 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 8, 1997 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

902.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

BESSIE BANTZ

Filed Oct. 11, 1988
@ 1:56 p.m.

Pro Simmons Paid $9.00
Plff on orig 9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 - 679

Judgment of REvival indexed in favor of palintiff and against the defendant, in the amount of Twelve Thousand Dollars plus costs
Dated.....Oct. 11, 1988
Debt.....$12,000.00
B - 23.....JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

903.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

MARGARET SIMPSON

Filed Oct. 11, 1988
@ 1:56 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$9.00
plff on orig	9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 - 767

Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Fourteen Thousand Five Hundred Dollars plus costs.

Debt ---------$14,500.00
Dated---------Oct. 11, 1988
S - 112-----JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Aug. 19, 1994 Revival
To:
AD 1994-830

1988

904.	REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE vs. ERMA RAGO Filed Oct. 11, 1988 @ 1:56 p.m. Pro Simmons paid $9.00 ✓ plff on orig 9.50	AGREEMENT TO REVIVE of DSB 1983 - 693 Judgment of REvival indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of Six Thousand Dollars, plus costs. Debt---------$6,000.00 Dated--------Oct. 11, 1988 R - 56-------JUDGMENT James F. Simmons, Prothonotary Aug. 19, 1994 Revival To: AD 1994-826

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

905.

M. DAVID MERCATORIS, JR.

vs.

KATHLEEN D. MERCATORIS

Filed Oct. 11, 1988
@ 3:14 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co Pd 2/8/89	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c) OR 201(d)
AND EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATION AND DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 18, 1988, Verification filed. I, James R. Irwin, do hereby verify that I am the atty. of record for plaintiff and that as such, I am authorized to execute this verification; that I did, on the 11th day of Oct. 1988 send by cert. mail, ret. rec. requested, addressee only, to Kathleen D. Mercatoris, the above named defendant a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint filed at above number at her last known address of 1124 LaMont Drive, Meadville, Pa. 16335, as evidenced by the attached senders receipt No. P 547 801 429 and that on the 13th day of Oct. 1988 I did receive the duly executed ret. rec. dated the 12 day of Oct. 1988. James R. Irwin, Esq.

Jan. 16, 1989 Motion To Withdraw Ancillary Claims and Order filed. AND NOW, this 16 day of January, 1989 upon presentation and consideration of the foreoing Motion, Count 2 of the Plaintiff's complaint in divorce is withdrawn.

BY THE COURT,
P. richard Thomas, J

Jan. 16, 1989 Copy of order to James Irwin

Jan. 20, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 7, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 8, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaitniff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Feb. 13, 1989 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 13th day of February, 1989, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between M. DAVID MERCATORIS, JR., and KATHLEEN D. MERCATORIS is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

MacDonald Illig
Jones & Britton
Susan Fuhrer Reiter

906.

NAVISTAR FINANCIAL
CORPORATION

vs.

RONALD J. O'CONNOR

COMPLAINT IN REPLEVIN

1 copy (atty)

Filed Oc.t 11, 1988
@ 3:41 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs	18.20
Sheriff's costs	16.00
Sheriff's costs	21.46 ✓
Pro Co Pd 12-14-88	9.00

Oct. 11, 1988 Petition to Require Defendant to Appear and Be Examined Under Oath As To the Whereabouts of Personal Property and to Deliver Personal Property, and Order of Court filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 11 day of Oct. 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition and upon motion of MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton, attorneys for plaintiff, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the defendant, Ronald J. O'Connor appear before a Judge, Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, C. R. 1 or 2, 903 Diamond Park, Meadvilel, Pa. 16335, on the 20th dayof Oct. 1988 at 9:00 a.m. to testify under oath as to the whereabouts of one (1) 1983 Peterbilt tractor/truck, veh. ident. no. 1XP6D29X4DD155321 and to deliver the vehicle or the value ofthe vehicle unto the plaintiff or the Sheriff of Crawford County.

By the Court,
P. Richard thomas, J.

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 11, 1988, Motion for Issuance of Writ of Seizure,, Notice, and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 11 day of Oct. 1988, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a hearing on the plaintiff's Motion for Issurance of Writ of Seizure be and hereby is scheduled for the 20th day of Oct. 1988 at 9:00 a.m. before a Judge, Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Crawford County courthouse, 903 Diamond Park, Meadville, Pa. 16335

By the court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

1 copy (sheriff)

Oct. 18, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Not Found, as to within named defendant Ronald J. O'Connor. Address given is mailing address only. Picks up mail once a month. Truck driver on the road.
So answers,

Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct 20, 1988 Verification filed.

Oct 20, 1988 Affidavit filed.

Oct 20, 1988 Verification filed.

Oct. 20, 1988, Motion for Substituted Service Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. No. 430, and order filed. ORDER AND NOW, to-wit, this 20th day of Oct. 1988, upon consideration of the within Motion for Substituted Service Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. No. 430,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that service of the Complaint in Replevin and Order of Court requiring Defendant to Appear and Be Examined shall be made upon the defendant, Ronald J. O'Connor, by regular U. S. Mail, postage prepaid, addressed to the said defendant and sent to R. D. #8, Box 352, Meadville, Pa. 16335. and by personal service on Rainbow Express Pittsfield, Pa.

Robert L. walker, J.

Oct. 24, 1988, copy to Atty. Reiter.

Oct. 20, 1988, ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, this 20th dayof Oct. 1988, upon motion of the plaintiff, by and through its attorneys, MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton, and the court being satisfied that there is probable cause to believe that before hearing, the value of the property will be adversely affected by teh defendant's continued possession and use and that the defendant will conceal the vehicle or remove it from Crawford County, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Prothonotary of Crawford County be and hereby is directed to issue a Writ of Seizure directed to the Sheriff of Crawford County for one (1) 1983 Peterbilt tractor/truck, bearing vehicle indent. no. 1XP6D29X4DD155321, as set forth in the Complaint in Replevin at the above number, upon the posting of a proper bond with the Prothonotary of Crawford County in the amount of $36,000.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Oct. 24, 1988, copy to Atty Reiter. Writ of Seizure Issued. (sheriff)

Oct. 20, 1988, Replevin Bond in the sum of Thirty Six Thousand and 00/100 Dollars ($36,000) filed, with The Ohio Casualty Insurance Company, as surety, filed. (in bond drawer)

Oct. 2o, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 20, 1988, plaintiff having filed a petition to require defendant to appear and be examined under oath as to the whereabouts of certain personal property and to deliver that personal property to the plaintiff, and the plaintiff having been granted leave this date to serve the papers by substituted service, including a copy of the petition to appear and be examined, a hearing is ehreby set on said petition to appear and be examined, for Ded. 20, 1988, at 9:00 a.n. prevailing time in Courtroom No. 1 or 2, Court house, Meadville, Pa., and a copy of this order shall be served upon the defendant by the same means of substituted service already approved.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Oct. 24, 1988 copy of orders to Atty. Reiter.

Oct. 31, 1988, Petition to Require Defendant to Appear and be examined Under Oath As To The Whereabouts of Personal Property and To Deliver Person Property filed.

Nov. 14, 1988, Complaint Reinstated.

Nov. 18, 1988 Affidavit stating Susan Reiter informed Miss Heisey of the hearing on Nov. 18, 1988 filed.

Continued on Page 356A

1988

AD 1988 - 906

Continued from page 356 Navustar Financial Corp. vs. Ronald J. O'Connor

Nov. 21, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, November 18, 1988, an ex parte writ of seizure having been issued in accordance with Pa. R.C.P. 1075.2 and it having been reported to the Court that the 1983 Peterbilt tractor truck identification number 1XP6D29X4DD155321 is now in the possession of the Plaintiff as a result of its seizure on November 17, 1988, and the hearing required under Rule 1075.2(c) having been held this date, and it further appearing to the Court that a reasonable effort has been made to notify the Defendant of this hearing in accordance with the affidavit of counsel this day filed, and that the Plaintiff has established a probable right to possession, the ex parte writ of seizure issued on October 20, 1988, is hereby confirmed and possession of the above-mentioned vehicle in the Plaintiff's possession is confirmed subject to such further proceedings that may be appropriate.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Nov. 22, 1988 copies of order given to Susan Fuhrer Reiter

Dec. 5, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Ronald J. O'Connor by deputizing the Sheriff of Warren County, PA, as per his affidavit of service attached. Served:
November 8, 1988.
So answers,

Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 5, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Ronald J. O'Connor the within named defendant on Nov. 17, 1988, at 1:50 P.M. by handing a true and attested copy of the within Writ of Seizure No. 906 AD - 1988 at Wilson Trucking, 340 Main St., Saegertown, in the County of Crawford, State of Pa., the place where defendant's truck was parked, to Jeffrey S. Wilson, the person in charge thereof.

So answers,

James Withers, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Dec 14, 1988 @ 10:35 A.M., Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendant for possession of one 1983 Peterbilt tractor/truck, Vehicle Identification No. 1XP6D-29X4DD155321, or the value thereof as alleged in the complaint.
O-143 Debt...One Peterbilt Tractor/truck, Vehicle Identification 1XP6D29X4DD155321, or the value thereof
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary
Dec 15, 1988 Notice of Judgment Mailed Defendant.

Dec. 23, 1988, Motion for Release of Bond in Replevin, and order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 23rd dayof Dec. 1988, upon motion of plaintiff, and it appearing to the court that no claim has been made agaINST THE Bond FILED by the plaintiff, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Prothonotary of Crawford County shall release to the possession of the plaintiff the bond in replevin posted on Oct. 20, 1988 in the amount of $36,000.00

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 29, 1988 copies of order to Atty Susan Fuhrer Reiter,
Dec. 29, 1988 Bond mailed to atty.; copy in bond drawer, with copy of order.

1988

Brian J. Lindsay

907.

BETTY CALVIN BELL

vs.

WILLIAM F. BELL

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) AND 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, ALIMONY, ATTORNEY FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES, REQUEST FOR SPECIAL RELIEF, DIVORCE CODE

4 copies (atty)

Filed Oct. 12, 1988
@ 9:27 a.m.

Indigent filing 9 00
Pro Co pd - 4-12-89
State pd 4-12-89 10 00

Oct. 12, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, Petition for leave to Proceed Informa Pauperis and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 12 day of Oct. 1988, petitioner herein, Betty Calvin Bell, is granted leave to proceed In Forma Pauperis as a Plaintiff in a divorce action but the court reserves the right to impose costs on theRespondent, William F. Bell, if appropriate.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct. 12, 1988 copy to Atty. Lindsay.

Oct. 12, 1988, ORDER AND RULE: AND NOW, this 12 day of Oct. 1988, upon due consideration of Count V of Plaintiff's Divorce Complaint requesting Special Relief, in the form of an injunction, this court hereby orderes and decrees that defendant William F. Bell, is temporarily enjoined from disposing, transferring, concealing, or alienating any of the parties' property, including the $22,000.00 profit sharing plan, until further Order of court.

A rule is issued, directed against the defendant, William F. Bell, to show cause, if any there be, why the plaintiff's request for injunctive relief should not be granted.

RULE RETURNABLE, the 31 dayof Oct. 1988 at 3:30 p.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2, at the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas,J

(3 copies -atty)

Oct. 14, 1988, Petition for Temporary Alimony, Counsel Fees and Costs, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 14 day of Oct. 1988, a Rule is issued upon the defendant to show cause why he should not pay alimony pendente lite, counsel fees, expenses and costs.

Said Rule is returnable, the 31 day of Oct. 1988 in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, at 3:30 p.m. at which time a hearing will be held.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct. 17, 1988, copy of order to Atty. Lindsay. (2)

Oct. 18, 1988, Affidavit filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, Esq. after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the atty. of record for plaintiff and that as such I am authorized to execute this affidavit; that I did, on the 12th day of Oct. 1988, send by cert. mail, addressee only, Ret. Rec. Req. to William F. Bell a true and correct copy of the Order and Rule and the Complaint at his last known address of R. D. #1, Kammerer Drive, p.O. Box 592, Conneaut Lake, Pa. 16316 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 446 365 382 and that on the 14 day of Oct. 1988 I did receive the duly executed ret. rec. dated the 13th day of Oct. 1988.

Brian J. Lindsay, Esq.

Date: 10/18/88

Nov. 2, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, October 31, 1988, after receipt of input from both parties , it appears the parties' present financial condition is rather precarious in view of the unemployment of the wife and the precarious health condition of the defendant. The Court notes a pension-sharing plan with assets of approximately $19,000.00 as the principal asset and an additional article of agr eement in which both parties receive $100.00 per month from the obligors on the agreement.

This divorce should not be protracted in view of the limited assets and apparently an agreement that equitable division would require an approximate equal division of the husband's profit-sharing plan. ACCORDINGLY,commencing with the week of October 30, 1988, the defendant will pay alimony pendente lite in the amount of $45.00 per week to his wife, with said obligation to terminate upon ultimate resolution of these issues by agreement of the parties, but no later than February 1, 1989.

In addition, the defendant will pay the sum of $300.00 to counsel for his wife on or before November 15, 1988. The Order for counsel fees is made without the right of theMaster or ultimately the Court to equitably divide the parties' total counsel fees between the parties as equity and justice require.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Nov. 7, 1988 2 copies of order to Atty. Lindsay

Dec. 9, 1988, Petition for Special RElief, and order filed. 2 copies (atty)

ORDER: AND NOW, this 9th dayof Dec. 1988, upon consideration ofthe attached Petition for Special Relief, hearing is set for the 21st day of Dec. 1988 at 2:00 p.m. C. R. No. 1, Crawford County Court House, Meadville, pa.

Per curiam,
Robert L. Walker, J.

DEC. 9, 1988, Income and Expense Statement of William F. Bill filed.

Dec. 19, 1988, Motion to Continue Hearing and Order Filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, Dec. 19, 1988, Continued to Jan. 5, 1989 at 1:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1.

Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 19, 1988, copies of order to Atty. B. Lindsay.

Jan. 10, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, January5, 1989, an agreement having been reached between the parties without the necessityof a hearing, the following Order is entered.

1. The Plaintiff shall retain use ofthe jointly owned vehicle until the day upon which defendant deliveres one-half of the pensionfunds to plaintiff's attorney, and on that day, possession of the vehicle and all keys to same shall be returned to the defendant The vehicle shall be returned in the same condition in which it existed at the time of its removal by the plaintiff and she shall be responsible for its upkeep and condition pending returnof the vehicle to the defendant.
2. Each party shall retainthose items of tangible personal property inhis or her possession, without disposition of any of said items, unti final settlement is reache din this case.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT, P. Richard Thomas, P.K.

Jan. 12, 1989 copies of order to Joan Mason and Brian Lindsay

Continued on page 606

1988

Ferguson & Spataro
~~Douglas W. Ferguson~~
Frank L. Kroto
908.

Blackmore & Grieshober
Donald W. Grieshober

NORMA M. SMITH

vs.

EARL L. SMITH

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES

1 copy (atty)

Filed Oct. 12, 1988
@ 9:34 a.m.

Tax & Entry paid $40.50 ✓
3 counts paid 45.00 ✓

Oct. 12, 1988, Motion to Schedule Hearing and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 12 day of Oct. 1988, Rule Returnable for hearing (on fees, costs, expenses, APL) in the above captioned matter on the 2 day of Nov. 1988 at 1:30 p.m.

P. R. Thomas, J.

Oct. 12, 1988, copy of order to Atty. Ferguson.

Oct. 27, 1988, Answer to Complaint in Divorce filed.

Oct. 27, 1988 Appearance of Blackmore and Grieshober, by Donald W. Grieshober, attorneys for defendant, filed.

Nov. 2, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 2nd dayof Nov. 1988, upon stipulation fo the parties and their respective counsel, it is hereby ordered and decreed as follows:

1. the defendant herein, Earl L. Smith, shall pay the sum of $50.00 per week as alimony pendente lite to the plaintiff Norma M. Smith commencing with the week of Oct. 31, 1988. All payments shall be made directly tothe paintiff by thedefendant and shall continue until further order of court.

2. The defendant herein, Earl L. Smith, is herebyordered and directed to pay the sum of $500.00 within seven (7) days from the date of this order to the Plaintiffs attorneys Douglas Fertuson, esq. as counsel fees. This award of counsel fees is without prejudice of the plaintiff torequest furtheradditinal fees in the future or for the defendant to receive credit and/or an adjustment towards any final award of attorneys fees by the Master or this court.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Nov. 4, 1988 copies of order to Attys. D. Ferguson and D. Grieshober.

Mar. 29, 1993 Affidavit of Consent under Section 3301 (d) of the Divorce Code by Norma M. Smith, Plaintiff, filed.

Apr. 15, 1993 Income and Expense Statement filed.

Nov. 5, 1993, Affidavit of Service filed. I, the undersigned being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct ocpy of the Complaint in divorce cert. by prothonotary on above dft. by cert. mail, addressee only, ret. rec. req. dated Oct. 13, 1988. The defendant a cknowledged service on Oct. 15, 1988 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached singed return receipt. Douglas Ferguson, ESq.

Dec. 14, 1993 Upon praecipe of Douglas W. Ferguson, Attorney, this appearance withdrawn and upon praecipe of Frank L. Kroto, Attorney , appearance for plaintiff, filed.

Jan. 17, 1994 Motion to Transfer Jurisdiction and ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, to-wit, upon consideration of the foregoing MOtion, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the action commenced in the above-captioned term and number is hereby transferred to the Court of Common Pleas of Erie County at cost to the Plaintiff, and further the Prothonotary is directed to transfer the record with a certified copy of the docket entries to the Prothonotary of Erie County.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

1-19-94 Copy of Order to Attys.Kroto & Grieshober & Our File.

1988

Elderkin, Martin Kelly Messina & Zamboldi Kenneth G. Vasil	SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY 800 Millcreek Mall Erie, Pennsylvania	COMPLAINT
909.	vs.	2 copies (sheriff)
	ARTHUR OSANI AND CAROL OSANI, HIS WIFE	Nov. 2, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. Not Found, as to within named dft. Arthur Osani, Moved, No record at Post Office. Not Found, as to within named defendant Carol Osani. Moved, no record at post Office. So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff
	Filed Oct. 12, 1988 @ 1:24 p.m.	
	Tax & entry Paid $40.50 Sheriffs costs 19.15	

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

910.

RICHARD L. ALLEN

vs.

RENA M. ALLEN

Filed Oct. 12, 1988
@ 1:46 p.m.

Ta x & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co Pd 11-23-88	9.00
Pro Co Pd 2/3/89	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

Oct. 12, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Nov. 2, 1988, Affidavit of service filed. before me, the undersigned officer, appeared Joseph c. Barnhart, Esq. who did depose and say that he is the attorney for plff. in the above matter and that he did serve a cert. copy of the complaint in divorce and the Affidavit under Section 201(d) upon the defendant at her address by U. S. mail, restricted del. delivery of same being evidenced by postal return rec. P 620 643 149 dated Oct. 19, 1988 attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

Joseph C. Barnhart, Esq.

Nov 23, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(d) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Dec. 5, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED**

And Now, this 5th day of Dec., 1988 IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED THAT, upon consideration of the pleadings and the Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code averring to separation of the parties for a period of at least three (3) years with no counter-affidavit having been filed by the Defendant in the above-captioned matter, the Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the grounds of matrimony to the above-named parties on grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken.

No other matters or causes of action provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code of 1980 as amended, are reserved by either of the parties.

BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb. 3, 1989, Electin to Resume prior Name filed. To Be Known AS: RENA M. JANSEN.

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso and Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

911.

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs PC
By Christopher J. Youngs

ZOE A. FYE

vs.

ROBIN M. FYE

Filed Oct. 12, 1988
@ 1:50 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co. PD 5/15/89	9.00
State surcharge pd.	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 17, 1988 Praecipe for Appearance of Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs, Youngs,PC, By Christopher J. Youngs , attys. for defendant filed.

Nov. 17, 1988 Acceptance of Service of Robin M.Fye of Notice to Defend and Claim Rights and Complaint in Divorce filed.

Feb. 8, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Apr. 24, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 15, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

May 19, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, May 19, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Zoe A. Fye, plaintiff, and Robin M. Fye, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Keller, Pomerico,
Leymarie & Clark PC
Edward Leymarie, Jr.

912.

JONATHAN E. WARGO

vs.

DAVID A. ROBERTS

Filed Oct. 13, 1988
@ 11 :07 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's costs 47.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Nov. 17, 1988 Complaint filed.

Dec. 12, 1988 Before me,the undersigned authority, personally appeared Rosemary Breda who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 7 day of Nov. 1988 at 12:00 Noon he served Summons Notice of deposition at No. 912 - 1988, Crawford Co. Pa. upon defendant David A. Roberts at 1500 Ice Plant Road North Versailles, Pa. By making known the contents thereof to David and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the received from Crawford
So answers, Rosemary Breda,Dep. Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon,SheriffAllegheny

SERVED David A. Roberts within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County , Pa., as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Nov. 7, 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

913.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK
OF PENNSYLVANIA
940 Park Ave.
Meadville, Pa. 16335

vs.

A C MACHINE AND MANUFACTURING
INC.
R. D. #5, New Mercer Pike
Meadville, Pa. 16335

Filed Oct. 13, 1988
@ 11:22 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$9.00
plff on orig	14.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1984 - 274

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment entered on Apr. 6, 1984, be revived; prothonotary indexed judgment in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of One Hundred Sixty Thousand and 00/100 Dollars, plus costs.

Dated.....Sept. 30, 1988
Debt.....$160,000.00
A - 186 - JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

Joseph F. Kulwicki

914.

JAMES E. MUNSHOWER

vs.

PAMELA MUNSHOWER

Filed Oct. 13, 1988
@ 1:22 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 1/24/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 2, 1988, Affidavit of service filed. Kelly S. Sweitzer, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an employee of Jos. Kulwicki, esq. and that as such on Oct. 20, 1988, she placed a cert. copy of the Divorce complaint in above matter, in the U. S. Mail, cert. , restricted del. No. P 724 954 520 addressed to the dft. 534 West seventh st Erie, Pa. 16503.

On Oct. 31, 1988 the signature card for the said item was returned to the office of Jos. F. Kulwicki, bearing the signature of Pamela I. Munshower as having received same on Oct. 22, 1988 The rec. and signature card for cert. mail item no. P 724 954 520 are attached hereto and made a part hereof. Kelly S. Sweitzer.

Jan. 17, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 18, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 24, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney for review under 201(c) of divorce code, this case moved to court.

Feb. 2, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, February 2, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that James E. Munshower, plaintiff, and Pamela Munshower, defendant, are diovrced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Pollard Walker &
Vollmer
Thomas E. Reiber

935.

AMERICAN CASUALTY COMPANY
OF READING, PA.

vs.

DREW E. BESONSON

Filed Oct. 21, 1988
@ 10:52 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	29.87
Pro Co pd 5/15/89	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

Nov 23, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Drew E. Besonson within named defendant on November 10, 1988 at 12:55 p.m. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Gregg Besonson, adult member of the family, namely his father, at their residence, R.D.#2, Union City, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And now, May 15, 1989 at 1:30 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the dfendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Three Thousand One Hundred Four and 33/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
B-43 Debt $3,104.33
judgment entered 5/15/89 Int. from Nov. 3, 1987
notice mailed to dft. 5/16/89 Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX/1989-66

1988

R. Charles Thomas
Bozic and Thomas

936.

~~C. Barton Jones~~
(withdrawn)

FREIDA KAINTZ

vs.

JOHN BETTEYS AND
SHERYLE SIPPOLA

Filed Oct. 21, 1988
@ 10:56 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF
WAYNE HANSON, DISTRICT JUSTICE 30-3-01

Oct. 21, 1988 Rule Entered Upon Plaintiff to File Complaint Issued.

Oct. 26, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

Nov. 14, 1988, Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

Nov. 28, 1988, Preliminary Objections filed.

Dec. 2, 1988, Motion for Attorney Withdrawal and order filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, Dec. 5, 1988, Motion allowed.
Robert L. Walker, J.
Dec. 8, 1988 copies of order to R. C. Thomas, and C. Barton Jones.

1988

Barbara J. Walton

~~William J. Gregg~~ withdrawn

917.

LINDA WEISS

vs.

HOWARD J. WEISS

Filed Oct. 14, 1988
@ 10:04 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

William Pineo, Mediator
Mediation Cost 122.00
Pro Se fd 10-9-92 900 ✓

10-9-92 Received from Plaintiff Mediation Fee 76.00

10-15-92 Received from Defendant Mediation Fee 76.00

11-20-92 Pd Comm. Ck# 7186 Mediator $152.00

PETITION FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Howard J. Weiss, have been sued in court to obtain custody of the children, Melilssa Jo Weiss, Howard Joseph Weiss, James Christian Weiss, and Laura Ann Weiss.

You are ordered to appear in person at the time and place selected by WILLIAM PINEO, ESQUIRE, CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody, or visitation may be entered against you,, or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Association, c/o/ Prothonotary's Office
Meadville, Pa. 16335, Tele: 814 724-4636.
Dated: 10/17/88 BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec 16, 1988 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 16th day of Dec., 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Pending the completion of the Mediation process, custody of the subject minor children is awarded to their mother.

2. The father shall have the right of visitation on alternate Saturday, beginning with December 17, 1988, from 10:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

3. Mediator has noted for the Court that he was unable to interview the children and therefore, a further Mediation will be scheduled promptly for this purpose.

4. Each party shall teach the children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the eyes of said children.

5. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Dec 16, 1988 Copies of order to William Gregg and William Pineo.

Jan. 26, 1989 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 25 day of January, 1989 after Mediation in the above referenced matter an dupon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Custody of the subject minor children is hereby awarded to their mother.
2. The father shall continue to have the right of visitation on alternate Saturdays as set out inthe previous Order.
3. Either party mayn request further Mediation following the close of the children's school session in June of 1989.
4. each party shall teach the children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the eyes of said children.
5. Costs and fees of this Mediation and of the last Mediation, which are fixed at $122.00 are assessed to crawford County, the Mediator having noted for the Court that both parents are indigent.
6. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Jan. 26, 1989 Copies of order to William Gregg and William Pineo

July 10, 1992 Motion For Modification Of Custody And Visitation filed. (1 copy (atty).

July 15, 1992 Motion for Hearing and Order of Court filed. AND NOW, July 15, 1992, This matter is referred to William Pineo, Esq. Custody Mediator.

Gordon R. Miller, J .

July 15, 1992 Copy of the Order to Barbara J. Walton,Esq., William J. Gregg, Esq. William Pineo, Esq.

Aug 3, 1992, Motion to Withdraw Appearance and Order filed. And Now, this 31st day of JUly 1992, the herein motion is granted. BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. MIller, J.

Aug 7, 1992, copies to Barbara Walton and Wm. Gregg,attys.

Sept. 11, 1992 Temporary Order filed. AN DNOW, this 11th day of September, 1992, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Custody of Howard Weiss is hereby awarded to his fther, the defendant. Custody of James C. Weiss and Laura A. Weiss is hereby awarded to their mother, the plaintiff.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. The mother shall have primary physical custody of James and Laura and the father shall have primary physical custody of Howard. The father shall have the right of visitation and and temporary physical custody with James and Laura for the first full weekend of each month, from Friday at 7:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m. He shall also have the right of temporary physical custody and visitation with James and Laura for one week during the month of July or August each year. He shall notify the mother by Memorial Day of each year of which week he wishes to select.

Continued of Record to Page 639

1988

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

Brian J. Lindsay

918.

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso & Gamble
~~Gary A. Fabian~~ withdrawn
Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas W. Ferguson

1/4/90 Wesley Reed. $155.90 From Defendant.
1/9/90 Rec. $128.20 From Defendant
Recd 2-1-90 $155.90 Vivian Stoltz
Recd 2-1-90 $128.20 Vivian Stoltz

11/16/90 Rec'd $111.23 mediation costs from dft.
Rec'd 12-31-90 $111.23 Vivian Stoltz

3-11-94 Rec from Plty Mediation fees 250.00
4-14-94 Rec from dft Master fees 150.00
Bal. 1000.00

COSTS
Add'L claim 6-7-94 Pd $15.00 ✓
User's fee pd 6/7/94 5.00
6-14-94 Pd Master Jackson Ck#8370 177.00
6-14-94 Pd Plty Ck#8371 250.00
6-14-94 Pd dft. Ck#8372 573.00

DARLA VALESKY

vs.

DANIEL GARTH VALESKY

Filed Oct. 17, 1988
at 11:02 a.m.
Pro Co pd 6-13-94
Indigent filing 9.00 ✓
Bruce L. Smith Mediator
Mediation Cost 192.30
Pro Co Pd 11/16/90 - 9.00 ✓
Mediation cost $166.85

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) AND 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, CHILD CUSTODY, AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, REQUEST FOR ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, SPOUSAL SUPPORT, COUNSEL FEES, EXPENSES, AND SPECIAL RELIEF

2 copies (atty)

Oct. 17, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 17 day of Oct. 1988, petitioner herein, Darla Valesky, is granted leave to proceed In Forma Pauperis as a plaintiff in a divorce action but the court reserves the right to impose costs on the respondent, Daniel Garth Valesky, if appropriate.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct. 17, 1988, Petition for alimony Pendente Lite, Spousal Support, Counsel Fees and Costs, and Rule filed. RULE: AND NOW, this 17 dayof Oct. 1988, a Rule is issued upon the defendant to show cause why he should not pay alimony pendente lite spousal support, counsel fees, expenses and costs.

Said Rule is returnable, the 2 day of Nov. 1988, in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, at 2:00 p.m. at which time a hearing will be held.

2 copies (atty)

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct. 17, 1988, RULE TO SHOW CAUSE filed. AND NOW, this 17 dayof Oct. 1988, upon due consideration of Count VI of PlaINTIFF"S Complaint requesting Special Relief in the form of awarding plaintiff exclusive possession of themarital home and a 1984 Grand Marquis, and a request for temporary custody of minor children:

A RULE is issued, directed against the defendant, Daniel Garth Valesky, to show cause, if any there be, why the plaintiff's request for special releif should not be granted.

RULE RETURNABLE the 2 day of Nov. 1988, at 2:00 in C. R. No. 1 or 2 at the Crawford County Courthouse.

2 copies (atty)

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct 27, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, BRIAN J. LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record of Darla Valesky and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 20th day of October, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested to Daniel Garth Valesky a true and correct copy of the Rule to Show Cause, Rule, and the Complaint in Divorce at his last known address in c/o Valesky's Golden Dawn, 1044 Water Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 446 365 384 and that on the 24th day of October, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 21st day of October, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Date: 10-26-88 Brian J. Lindsay, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff

Oct. 31, 1988 Motion to Continue and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 31 day of October 1988 upon cnsideration of the within motion,the hearing originally scheduled for Nov. 2, 1988 is continued indefinitely.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov. 2, 1988 copy to Brian Lindsay.

Feb. 6, 1989, Motion for to Set Hearing Date on Request for Special Relief, and Order filed. ORDER: And Now, Feb. 6, 1989, a hearing in this matter is set for the 21st day of Feb. 1989, at 1:30 p.m. in C. R. 1.

3 copies (atty)

BY THE COURT,
Robert L.Walker, J.

Feb. 6, 1989, copy of order to Brian Lindsay.

Feb. 22, 1989, Appearance of Gary A. Fabian, of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso & Gamble, attorneys for defendant filed.

Feb. 23, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, February 21, 1989, the matter is before the Court in a horribly confused situation. The parties seemingly are having grave marital difficulties compounded by a disagreement over religion and that the wife has determined to move out and to declare her independence and desire to take the children with her.

The husband earns $883.00 take-home every two weeks, but postulates that the largest percentage of this money is used to pay bills.

The parties both acknowledge each is a responsible parent and that visitations with the children should be on a liberal basis.

The big problem is the wife needs some ready cash to make a deposit on another place to live and the defendant postulates that with his debt structure and limited income he cannot afford the same. The Court notes a church contribution on behalf of the husband in the amount of $140.00 per week. ACCORDINGLY, the Court attempts to solve this unsolveable problem as follows:

On February 23, 1989, the defendant will deliver cahs to his wife in the amount of $400.00 for her use towards a down-payment or a deposit for future lving arrangements. Likewise, on the 9th day of March, 1989, he will deliver to her a similar payment of $400.00.

If the parties cannot mutually agree upon an Order for spusal and child support, the matter will be referred through the Domestic Relations Section by filing a support petition.

As far as attorney fees are concerned, the defendant will pay attorney for the wife the sumof $150.00 on March the 15th and a similar sumon April 14th, 1989. This Order for attorney fees is made without prejudice to the Court to allocate more funds or divide attorneys fees equitably between the parties upon resolution of this divorce action.

When the wife leaves, she is accorded temporary custody of the children to move with her, but she must accord the father liberal visitaitons. If the parties are unable to agree on an appropriate visitation and partial custody schedule for the father, the matter will be referred to a Custody Mediator for a resolution.

Until final Order, the amount paid inthe aforesaid sum of $800.00 shall be considered child support.

To save the parties the inconvenience of filing a specific request for custody and visitation mediation, the Court hereby apoints Attorney William Pineo as a Custody Mediator in this case, and after fifteen (15) days from this date, either counsel may request the appointed Mediator to schedule a hearing.

The parties mutually agree that the wife, upon leaving, shall have exclusive possession of a 1984 Mercury automobile.

BY ORDE ROF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Feb. 24, 1989 copies of order to Atty's.

Continued on Page 12

1988

Cauley & Conflenti
Franklyn E. Conflenti
Cary W. Valyo

919.

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
By: E. Max Weiss

ELEANOR THURBER AND
ROGER THURBER, HER HUSBAND

vs.

FRANK THURBER AND
VERA THURBER, HIS WIFE

Filed Oct. 17,1988
@ 1:37 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Costs 30.20
Pro Co Pd 12-13-89 - 6.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

(Jury Trial Demanded)

Nov. 21, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug, By: E. Max Weiss fpr defendants filed.

Nov. 30, 11988 Sheriff's Return filed.
SERVED Vera Thurber within named defendant personally on Nov. 14, 1988 at 3:10 PM at her residence, R.D. 1. Pitts RD. Adamsville, Crawford Co. Pa.
SERVED Frank Thurber withi n named defendant on Nov. 14, 1988 at 3:11 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Vera Thurber, adult member of his family, namely wife, at their residence, R.D.#1,.Pitts Rd., Adamsville, Crawford Co. Pa.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep.Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec 2, 1988 Answer and New Matter of Frank Thurber and Vera Thurber to Plaintiffs' Complaint filed.(1 copy atty.)

Jan 10, 1989, Reply to New Matter filed.

Nov. 22, 1989, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned matter is hereby settled and discontinued of record.

1988

William J.Begley

Cauley & Conflenti
Franklin E. Conflenti

Robert A. Wilson

920.

~~MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton, By: Irving Murphy~~ withdrawn

Matthew W.McCullough

J. Douglas Murphy

STEPHEN A. BIZZARRO

vs.

DAVID W. DUNN, M.D.

Filed Oct. 18, 1988
@ 9:18 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Pro.Co. pd 5/14/93	6.00

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

(Jury Trial Demanded)

Oct. 31, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED David w. dunn, M.D., within named defendant on October 27, 1988 at 2:38 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Carol Morris, Secretary, and person in charge at his office, 381 Chestnut Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 7, 1988 Appearance of MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton By, Irving Murphy, Attorneys for defendant, David W. Dunn, M.D. filed.

Nov.. 7, 1988 Defendant's Supplemental Answers tp Interr-ogatatories filed.

Nov. 22, 1988 Answer of defendant filed.

Dec. 19, 1988, Reply to New Matter filed.

July 24, 1992 CERTIFICATE OF READINESS, filed.

Aug. 31, 1992 Motion for Continuance and Order filed.AND NOW, to-wit, this 27 day of August, 1992,it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that at the request of defendant David W. Dunn, M. D. and his counsel the trial of the above-captioned civil action is continued from the October Trial Term and will be placed on the next available trial list.

Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

Aug. 31, 1992 Copy of the order to Attys. Conflenti & Murphy, and McCullough, Wilson.

ON FEBRUARY, 1993 TRIAL TERM

Oct. 27, 1992 Appearance of J.Douglas Murphy, Esquire for David W. Dunn, M.D., Defendant, filed.

Oct. 30, 1992 Appearance of Cauley and Conflenti by William J.Begley, Esquire for Stephen A. Bizzarro, and Notification of Counsel's Change of Address filed.

Jan. 8, 1993 Withdrwal of MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton on behalf of defendant David W. Dunn, M.D., filed.

Feb. 23, 1993 Praecipe of Defendant Attorney the above case is listed on the April Civil Trial List.

1993 APRIL CIVIL TRIAL LIST.

Mar. 25, 1993 Pre-Trial Narrative Statement of Defendant, David W. Dunn, M.D. filed.

May 14, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, William J. Begley, Esquire, the above-captioned action settled and discontinued of record.

1988

921.

Bruce A. Barrett

PETITION FOR ORDER OF THE COURT

for

RACHEL I. KOSS

Filed Oct. 18, 1988

MENTAL HEALTH PROCEDURES ACT OF 1976

(Sections 304 and 305)

Oct. 21, 1988, Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 21st day of October, 1988, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED AND DIRECTED that the said Rachel I. Koss be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days. This order shall take effect when arrangements can be made for the transfer of the patient.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard thomas, J.

Oct. 21, 1988, copies to Doris Miller (WSH), Mr. Dickey and Bruce Barrett, atty.

1988

922.

Bruce A. BArrett

PETITION FOR ORDER OF THE COURT

for

JAMES E. ROXBERRY

Filed Oct. 18, 1988

MENTAL HEALTH PROCEDURES ACT OF 1976

(Sections 304 and 305)

Oct. 21, 1988, Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation of Mental Health Review Officer and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 21st day of October 1988, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED AND DIRECTED that the said James E. Roxberry be committed to Adolescent Unit, Warren, for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days.

this order shall take effect when arrangements can be made for the transfer of the patient.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct. 20, 1988, copies to Doris Miller (WSH), Paul Dickey and Bruce Barrett.

April 6, 1989 Petition For Involuntary Treatment filed.

April 6, 1989 Reprot of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 5th of April, 1989, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED taht the said James E. Roxberry be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

April 10, 1989 Copy of Order to Atty. Bruce Barrett, Mr. Lilly, WSH.

1988

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

923.

Anthony J. Vardaro
Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker

PATRICIA A. HOFIUS

vs.

CRAIG L. HOFIUS

Filed Oct. 18, 1988
@ 3:53 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$40.50 ✓
2 counts paid	30.00 ✓
William Pineo, Mediator	127.00 ✓
Pro Co. pd 9-23-92	9.00 ✓
State pd. 9-23-92	10.00

8/15/91. Rec'd $63.50 from pltf's mediation costs

Sept. 3, 1991 paid Co. Comm. $63.50

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, AND CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 20, 1989 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose an dsay that I did on October 31, 1988 mail to the above defendant, Craig L. Hofius, by certified mail #P-547 808 399, return receipt requested deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received on November 1, 1988, as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office ofthe Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto. Joan D. Mason

Feb. 2, 1990 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept 21, 1990, Appearance of Anthony J. Vardaro, of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, VArdaro and Walker, attorney for defendant filed.

June 24, 1991 Motion To Assign Case For Custody Mediator and Order filed. AND NOW, this 24th day of June, 1991, upon Motion by the Defendant seeking referral of this matter to mediation regarding custody and visitation. William Pineo, Esquire, is hereby appointed Child Custody Mediator and directed to schedule mediation in the above captioned custody case after proper notice to all parties.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1 copy atty; 1 copy mediator

July 3, 1991 Petition For Mental Examination and Order filed. AND NOW, this 3rd day of July, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, the Defendant is directed to report to Dr. Kathryn Anderson on July 17, 1991, at 2:00 o'clock p.m. at 402 Main Street, Saegertown, PA 16433, for such evaluaion and testing as may be deemed appropriate by the psychologist. The Defendant is directed to cooperate with th epsychologist in said examination and evaluation. The psychologidy shall forward a copy of his evaluation to the Court and to Attorney bruce A. Barrett nd Attorney Anthony Vardaro.

The Court reserves the right to assess the cost of the examination on one or both of the parties A certified copy of this Order shall be delivered to Dr. Kathryn Aderson forthwith.

Gordon R. Miller, J

July 8, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Bruce Barrett and Anthony Vardaro

July 12, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. AND NOW, this 9th day of July, 1991, service of a certified copy of the Petition for Mental Examination in the above captioned matter is hereby accepted on behalf of the defendant. Anthony J. Vardaro

July 26, 1991 Appearance of Bruce A. Barrett for Plaintiff filed.

July 30, 1991 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 29 day of July, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The mother shall have legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. The father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody on alternate Sundays, beginning August 11, from 1:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. Starting with the month of October, 1991, the alternate weekend schedule shall continue but starting with the first weekend in October in which the father would have had his Sunday visit, he shall have weekend visitation from Saturday at 10:00 a.m. through Sunday at 5:30 p.m.

b. The subject minor children shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. Holiday visiations shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

The father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody each Christmas Day from 2:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

b. The children shall spend Father's Day with their father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with their mother, during the same hours.

c. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the children at the home of the other

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being tranported or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in acccordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children presence and each party shall

Continued on page 633

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

924.

Joan D. Mason

DIANE J. HANES

vs.

ROGER L. HANES

Filed Oct. 18, 1988
@ 3:56 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
3 counts paid	45.00
Pro Co pd 11/28/89	9.00
State pd 11/28/89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c) OR 201(d), 201(a), EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATON AND DISTRIBUTION, CHILD CUSTODY, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, AND COUNSEL FEES

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 25, 1988 Vertification filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, ESQUIRE, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for Plaintiff and that as such, I am authorized to execute this Verification; that I did, on the 20th day of October, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, Addressee ONly, to Defendant, Roger L. Hanes a true and correct copy o the divorce complaint filed at the above term and number at his last known address of 382 Cusseqago Rd., Meadville, PA 16335, as evidence dby the attached sender's receipt No. P-547-801-430 and that on the 24th day of October, 1988 I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 22nd day of October 1988. James R. Irwin

FEb. 24, 1989, Inventory and Appraisement of Diane J. Hanes filed.

Feb. 24, 1989, Plaintiff's Income and Expense Statement filed.'

July 7, 1989 Motion To Set Hearing Date For Interim Counsel Fees and Alimony Pendente Lite and Order filed. AND NOW, this 7 day of July, 1989, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing motion of the plaintiff for a hearing date on the issues of counsel fees, costs, and expenses and alimony pendente lite, a hearing is set for the 1 day of Aug., 1989 at 3:00 o'clock in courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania.
BY THE COURT,
P R Thomas, J

July 10, 1989 Copy of order put in James Irwin's box.

July 7, 1989 Plaintiff's Motion To Compel Defendant To File Inventory and INcome & Expense Statement or Suffer Sanctions and Order filed. AND NOW, this 7 day of July, 1989, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, a Rule is entered upon the defendant to shoaw cause, if any he has, why the Plaintiff's prayer should not be granted.
RULE RETURNABLE on the 1 day of Aug. 1989 at 3:00 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
BY THE COURT,
P. R Thomas, J

July 10, 1989 Copy ;of order put in James Irwin's box.

July 19, 1989 Motion to Appoint Mediator and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 19 day of July, 1989, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the Mediator, John Fuller, at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse, with the child custody mediator as required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, PA; it is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the Court, the children shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the Court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.
By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, J.

July 19, 1989 Copy of Order to Atty. James Irwin, John Fuller.

Aug. 1, 1989, Motion to Continue and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 1st day of August 1989, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, the hearing is continued until the 1st day of Sept. 1989 at 1:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House Meadville, Pa. 16335.
For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

Augu. 2, 1989, copies to J. Irwin and JOhn Fuller. and JOan Mason.

Aug. 25, 1989 Motion to continue hearing for Interim Counsel Fees and Alimony Pendente Lite scheduled for Sept. 1, 1989 at 1:30 p.m. and Order filed. ORDER: And Now, Aug. 25, 1989 Continued to Oct. 18, 1989 at 9:00 a.m.

Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 29, 1989 copies of order to J. Irwin,, John Fuller and Joan Mason, attys.

Sept 19, 1989 Affidavit of Service of Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories filed.

Nov. 22, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to Court.

Dec. 1, 1989 **STIPULATION AND DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 1st day of December, 1989, the court orders and decrees as follows:
1. DIVORCE: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have been elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the aprties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.
2. REAL ESTATE: Defendant shall transfer to Plaintiff, by quit claim deed, all of his right, title and interest in the jointly owned real estate located at 382 Cussewago Road, Meadville, Pennsylvania, which real estate consists of a house, garage rented as an apartment, and a cottage also rented as a residence. In consideration therefore, Diane L. Hanes shall assume full responsibility for the mortgage owed to Marquette Savings Association, which has an approximate present balance of $13,500.00, and she shall hold Defendant harmless and indemnify him regarding said mortgage, and shall make all payments on a timely basis. Plaintiff shall also be fully responsible for any real estate taxes or liens of any sort, or debts in connection with said property, past, present or future, and shall also be responsible to fully insure said property.

Continued on the next page

Diane J. Hanes

vs.

Roger L. Hanes

Record continued from page 374A

of record.

A.D. 1988 - 924

****

Plaintiff shall also assume full responsibility for any monies owed to her mother, Pat Maertin, which she claims to be $4,000.00 which monies were utilized in 1985 toward the purchase of the marital real estate which is to beocme Plaintiff's as aprt of this divorce settlement. Plaintiff shall hold Defendant harmless and idemnify him regarding said alleged debt, and shall obtain from her mother a written release of the Defendant from said alleged debt, and shall deliver same to Defendant's attonrney within one month of the date of this decree.

3. VEHICLES: Defendant shall relinquish any right, title and interest he may otherwise have in the jointly titled 1986 Chevrolet Celebrity, so that same shall belong to Plaintiff alone. Defendant shall assume full responsibility for the balanc eon said vehicle owed to Pennbank, which is approximately $4,700.00 as of October, 1989.

4. LIFE INSURANCE POLICIES: Each party shall retain and have this right to continue or liquidate, any life insurance policy now owned in his or her individual name. The existing policies are term policies and do not have cash value, and were issued by the Prudential LIfe Insurance Company.

5. SAVINGS AND INVESTMENTS: Each party hereby relinqueshes any right, title and interest he or she mayhave in savings and investments by the other party, including the fact that Plaintiff shall relinquish any interest shy may otherwise have in Defendant's credit union savings, which had an approximate balance at separationof $25.00. She also relinquishes any right, title and interest she may otherwise have in his high yield investment fund through Prudential, which had approximately $1,150.00 at date of separation.

6. BEAUTY SHOP: Defendant hereby relinquishes any right, title and interest he may otherwise have had in the business known as Headlines by Snooks, which is a business in which Plaintiff has half interest, the other half interest belonging to her mother. Plaintiff hereby assumes full responsibility for any tax liability, past or present, in regard to said business, and agrees to indemnify and save Defendant harmless in regard to said business, and any liabilities related thereto. Plaintiff hereby acknowledges that she alone, as between Plaintiff and Defendnat, had nay operational knowledge of the business, and she hereby acknowledges that the Defendant had no role in operating the business, nor did he have knowledge of the operations of the same.

7. PERSONAL INJURY AWARD: Defendant hereby relinquishes any right, title and interest he may otherwise have in personal injury award to be received by the Plaintiff, in connection with a motorcycle accident in which she was involved in May of 1987. He further relinquishes any right title or interest he may otherwise have had in distributions already made in connection with said settlement, and in distributions yet to be made. He acknowledges that said settlement is in the neighborhood of $50,000.00.

8. TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY: Each party shall retain those items of tangible personal property in his or her individual possession, with Plaintiff to retain the great majority of tangible personal property that furnished the maritalhome. Defendant shall retain certian smaller items with the major items in his possession being the camper, the smaller television, the push mower and his guns.

9. DEBTS: Defendant shall assume full responsibility for the following debts owed at time of seperation, and shall hold Plaintiff harmless and indemnify her in regard to the same:

Sears, approximately $100.00
Discover card, between $500.00 to $600.00
Wilson Building Supplies, $120.00
Goodyear Tire, $94.00
Pennbank Personal Loan, approximately $2,636.00

10. ALIMONY/ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE: Defendnat shall pay $50.00 a week alimony to Plaintiff until January 1, 1992, which sum shall be non-modifiable except inthe event of the death of either pary, or remarriage of the Plaintiff, or cohabitation of the Plaintiff with an unrelated person of the opposite sex, in which case said alimony shall cease. Said sums shall be taxable to Plaintiff and deductable to Defendant. Plaintiff her eby waives, relinquishes and withdraws any further claim she has previously made for alimony, alimony pendente lite, and counsel fees, contained in Counts 4A and 4B of her divorce complaint filed October 18, 1988.

11. CUSTODY: The parties hereto shall share legal custody of Daren, now age three and a half (3½_, in the sense that both parties shallhave the right to receive copies of important documents regarding the child, and they shall share in making important decisions regarding the child.

Primary physical custody of the child shall be with the Plaintiff, with the Defendant to have the child every other weekend from approximately 5:00 p.m. Friday until Sunday evening, at a time mutually established by the parties, which time has been set in the past year by mutual agreement and varies form weekend to weekend depending on the schedules of the parents .

Defendant shall also have the child from 4;30 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. each Tueasay and Thursday, picking the child up from the babysitter's home.

The parties hereto shall share holidays with the child as equally as possible, on schedules such as they shallmutually agee.

12. WAIVER OF CLAIMS: Neither party hereto shall made any claim against the other party hereto, except for enforcement of the rights and responsibilities set forth in this decree.

13. WAIVE OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS: Neither party hereto shall be required to file an inventory and appraisement, nor an income and expense statement, if not already done, as would otherwise have been required by the Rules of Civil Procedure. Nor shall Plaintiff be required to answer interrogatories from Defendnat, nor produce documents, which were due October 12, 1989. Each party is aware of the assets and debts of the other party hereto, sufficient to his or her own satisfaction.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Apr. 30, 1990 Petition for Modification of Custody filed.

Apr. 30, 1990 Order of Court filed: AND NOW this 30 day of April, 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, the matter is referred back to Mediator, John Fuller in accordance with the Order of July 19, 1989.

BY THE COURT:
P.Richard Thomas, J.

April 30, 1990 copies of order to J. Irwin, John Fuller and Joan Mason, attorneys.

374
B

1988

1988

925.

JEFFERY A. CALDWELL

vs.

DAVID M. QUICKEL

APPEAL OF PLAINTIFF FROM JUDGMENT OF DISTRICT JUSTICE, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-2-1

Oct. 24, 1988 Proof Of Service filed.

Filed Oct. 19, 1988
@ 9:53 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25

376

1988

Thompson, Somach &
VanGilder
Anthony R. Thompson

926.

Anthony Vardaro,
Solicitor

IN RE:
APPEAL OF CYCLOPS CORPORATION
FROM THE DECISION OF

THE BOARD OF ASSESSMENT
APPEALS OF THE
COUNTY OF CRAWFORD

Filed Oct. 19, 1988
@ 10:48 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 18.00

ASSESSMENT APPEAL PETITION

1 copy (sheriff)

Oct. 28, 1988 Answer To Assessment Appeal filed.

Oct. 28, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Board of Assessment Appeals on October 24, 1988 at 2:25 PM by handing a true copy of the within Petition of Appeal to David Glenn, Chairman, at Courthouse, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, PA.
So answeers, Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sher.
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 4, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. ANTHONY R. Thompson, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and states that he is attorney for Cyclops Corporation, Appellant in the above=captioned matter, and that he cuased true and correct copies of the Petition of Appeal filed in the above matter to be served upon the municpalities within which whose jurisdictions the subject premises is located, by certified mail, deposited on Oct. 24, 1988. Attached hereto is a true and correct copy of the cover letter, together with copies of the return receipts.
Anthony R. Thompson, Esq.

Feb. 16, 1989 Certificate of Readiness and Praecipe For Non-Jury Trial filed.
On April 1989 Trial List

Mar. 28, 1989 Order Setting Nonjury Trial filed. AND NOW, March 27, 1989. nonjury trial is hereby set for July 17, 1989, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2.
For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Mar. 31, 1989 Copies of order to Anthony R. Thompson and Anthony Vardaro
On June 1989 Trial List
On October 1989 Trial List

Oct. 4, 1989 Memorandum and Order filed. And now, October 4, 1989, the appeal of Cytemp Corporation is sustained to the end that the records of the Crawford County Assessment Office, as of August 1988, will cary a fair market valuation for the property here at issue in the amount of $4,255,000.00
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Oct. 4, 1989 Copy put in Atty. Vardaro's box and copy mailed to Atty. Thompson

Oct. 6, 1989 Exhibits filed. (Filed in last File Cabinet in the 3rd drawer)

Oct. 20, 1989 Memorandum and Order filed. Typographical Correction And now, October 18, 1989, the Court has discovered a slight typographical error on page 11 of its Memorandum and Order signed October 14, 1989. The following sentence appears in the second paragraph of the Court's CONCLUSIONS on page 11, "We believe his income approach has limited applicability." This sentence is accordingly changed to read as follows: We believe Wilson's income approach has limited applicability.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Oct. 23, 1989 Copy mailed to Anthony Thompson and copy of order put in Anthony Vardaro's box.

1988

Allen and Hall
Stephen E. Hall

927.

Brian J. Lindsay

WENDELL & DORIS SHERRED

vs.

HOWARD & WINI WORLEY

PETITION TO WAIVE BOND IN LANDLORD TENANT APPEAL

2 copies (atty)

ORDER:
No bond Required on Appeal.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Filed Oct. 19, 1988
at 11:50 a.m.

Indigent filing

Oct. 19, 1988, Petition to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, and Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed.

Oct. 19, 1988, Notice of Appeal of Defendants from District Justice Robert J. Leonhart's Court, filed.

Oct 27, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Wini Worley, depose and say that I did on the 20th day of October, 1988, deliver to District Justice Leonhart, a Notice of Appeal and Rule to File Complaint and a True copy of Judge Walker's Order allowing the Appeal to act as a supersedeas without the need to file a bond.
I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.§4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Date: 10-26-88 Wini Worley

Oct 27, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Wini Worley, depose and say that I did on the 20th day of October, 1988, deliver to the Office of Steve Hall, attorney for the Sherreds, a Notice of Appeal and Rule to File Complaint and a True Copy of Judge Walker's Order allowing the Appeal to act as a supersedeas without the need to file a bond.
I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.§4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Date: 10-26-88 Wini Worley

Nov 21, 1988 Complaint in Ejectment and Other Relief filed.

Jan. 11, 1989 Answer and Counterclaim filed.

Feb. 2, 1989 Reply To Counterclaim and New Matter filed.

March 21, 1989 Defendants' Reply to Plaintiffs' New Matter filed. (2 copies atty).

Mar. 22, 1989, Defendant's Additional New Matter filed.

Apr 5, 1989 Motion to Strike Off Defendants' Additional New Matter filed.

Apr 5, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiffs' Attorney this case is placed on the Argument List.

On Argument List for May 1989

May 26, 1989 Defendants' Motion for leave to Amend Defendants' Reply to Plaintiffs' Reply and New Matter Under Pa. R.C.P. 1033 and Order filed. 3 copies attorney.
Order: AND NOW, this 26th day of May, 1989, having considered the within motion, this Court hereby takes Defendants' request under advisement with the understanding that a decision will be made at the conclusion of Argument on Plaintiffs' Preliminary Objections, said Argument scheduled for May 30, 1989 at 11:30 a.m.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

June 1, 1989, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER FILED.
ORDER: AND NOW, June 1, 1989, the plaintiffs' Motion to Strike the "Additional New Matter" FILED BY THE DEFENDANTS IS GRANTED, AND THE PLEADING is stricken.
BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.
June 6, 1989, copies in boxes of Attys. S. Hall and B. Lindsay.

July 12, 1989, CERTIFICATE OF READINESS filed.

ON OCTOBER 1989 TRIAL LIST

Oct. 2, 1989 Order Setting Nonjury Trial filed. And now, October 2, 1989, nonjury trial in the above captioned case is hereby set for October 16, 1989, at 1:30 p.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.
Oct. 3, 1989 Copies of order put in Stephen Hall and Brian Lindsay's box.

Oct. 9, 1989 Motion To Continue and Order filed. AND NOW, this 9 day of October, 1989, the foregoing Motion is denied.
BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J
Oct. 10, 1989 Copies of order put in Stephen Hall and Brian Lindsay's box.

Continued on page 617

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

928.

KIM R. MICHALSKE

vs.

GREGORY L. MICHALSKE

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 23, 1988, Complaint reinstated.

Dec. 29, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Gregory L. Michalske personally the within named defendant by haning a true copy of the within Complaint in Divorce to him on December 14, 1988 at 2:14 PM at his place of employment, Wolff's, 909 Market Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. HOuseholder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Filed Oct. 19, 1988
@ 12:58 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	17.00
Pro co pd 3/2/89	9.00 ✓

Feb. 13, 1989, Affidavit of consent of plaintiff filed.

Mar. 1, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Mar. 1, 1989 Upon praecipe of P laintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Mar. 8, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, March 8, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that KIM R. MICHALSKE, plaintiff, and GREGORY L. MICHALSKE, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar 29, 1989 Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name filed. To be Known as Kim R. Snow.

1988

Ferguson & Spataro J. Wesley Rowden 929.	JAMES H. ANDERS vs. WEST MEAD TOWNSHIP ZONING HEARING BOARD Filed Oct. 19, 1988 @ 1:02 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Pro for Co. pd 11-22-88 $5.00	NOTICE OF APPEAL 2 copies (atty) 1 copy (chairman) Certiorari Issued Nov. 16, 1988 Stipulation to Discontinue Appeal filed. Nov. 16, 1988 Praecipe by Plaintiff's atty. J. Wesley Rowden and signed by Alan R. Shaddinger, atty. for Defendant, the above captioned case is marked discontinued of record. J. Wesley Rowden, Esq. Alan R. Shaddinger, Esq.

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
Mary A. Kirkpatrick

930.

GARY HECKMAN

vs.

DARLA BENKO

Filed Oct. 19, 1988
@ 4:10 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 2, 1988, Motion for custody Mediator and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, November 2, 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing motion, the parties herein and their representative counsel are ordered to appear before JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR, at such time and place as theChild Custody Mediator shall determine.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Nov. 2, 1988, copies given to attorney and mediator.

Nov. 14, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, November 11, 1988, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the Attorney for Gary Heckman, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished to her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Custody to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P401 440 929, postmarked Nov. 2, 1988, to the last known address of the Defendant, Darla Benko, 305 Ridgeway Street, Philadelphia.

3. That the Defendant, Darla Benko, on Nov. 5, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matterr and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

4. Service of the Complaint against the Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire

Jan. 25, 1989, AGREEMENT AND CONSENT ORDER, and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Jan. 25, 1989, the provisions of this agreement, are hereby entered as an order of court.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Jan. 26, 1989, copies to Atty. Kirkpatrick and Mediator JOhn Fuller.

1988

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

931.

DIANA LEE WRIGHT

vs.

RICHARD ELWOOD WRIGHT, JR.

Filed Oct. 19, 1988
@ 4:20 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Paid 1-24-89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 25, 1988 Affidavit Of SErvice filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, ESQ., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on October 19, 1988.
3. The registered mail was sent to Richard E. Wright, Jr. at R.D.#1, Centerville, PA 16404. The sender's reciept is attached hereto.
4. That the defendant received said registered mail on October 22, 1988. Said receipt card number P 404 868 832 is attached hereto and signed by Richard E. Wright, Jr.

Bruce A. Barrett, Esq.

Jan 23, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan 23, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan 23, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Jan. 31, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, January 31, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that **DIANA LEE WRIGHT**, PLAINTIFF, AND **RICHARD ELWOOD WRIGHT, JR.**, DEFENDANT, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

932.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

WILLIAM S. HALL
ANNE L. HALL

Filed Oct. 20, 1988
@ 10:36 a.m..

Pro Simmons paid	$9.00
plff on orig.	9:50
Pro Co pd 5/12/89	5.00
Pro Co Pd 2/9/90-	**5.00**
Pro co Pd 5/24/90	5.00
Pro.Co. pd 4/28/93	5.00

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 - 696

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment be revived; Prothonotary indexed judgment of revival in the sum of Five Thousand dollars plus costs.

Debt.....$5,000.00
Dated.....Oct. 20, 1988
H - 171, 256..JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

May 10, 1989 Writing filed wherein Plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following property, to-wit: ALL that certain piece or parcal of land situate inthe First Ward of the City of Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, bounded and described as follows:
(For more complete description, see Release of Lien filed.)

Feb. 9, 1990, Writing filed wherein plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following property: ALL that certain piece or parcel of land situate inthe Second Ward of the City of Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. (for more complete description, see paper filed.)

May 24, 1990 Writing filed wherein plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled Judgment the following property: ALL that certain piece or parcel of land situate in West Mead Township, Crawford County, Pa. (for more complete description - see paper filed)

Apr. 28, 1993 at 11:25 A.M.Writing filed wherein Plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following described property to-wit: ALL that certain piece or parcel of land situate in theFirst Ward of the City of Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. (For more complete description, See Release of Judgment Lien Filed.)

Aug. 19, 1994 Revival To:
AD 1994-841

1988

933.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

WILLIAM S. HALL

ANNE L. HALL

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 - 888

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment entered on 11/7/83 be revived; prothonotary indexed judgment in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants in sum of Ten Thousand Dollars plus costs.

Debt.....$10,000.00
Dated.....Oct. 20, 1988.
H - 171, 256.....JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Filed Oct. 20, 1988
@ 10:36 a.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$9.00
plff on orig	9.50
Pro Co pd 5-12-89	5.00
Pro Co Pd. 2/9/90	**5.00**
Pro Co Pd 5/24/90	5.00
Pro Co pd 4/28/93	5.00
Pro Co pd. 3/8/94	5.00

May 10, 1989 Writing filed wherein Plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following property, to-wit: All that certain piece of parcel of land situate in the First Ward of the City of Meadville, Crawford county, Pennsylvania bounded and described as follows:
(For more complete description, see Release of Lien filed.)

Feb. 9, 1990, Writing filed wherein plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following property: ALL that certain piece or parcel of land situate in the Second Ward of the City, of Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. (for more complete description, see paper filed)

May 24, 1990, Writing filed wherein plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following property: ALL that certain piece or parcel of land situate in the Township of West Mead, Crawford County, Pa.. (for more complete description, see paper filed)

Apr.28, 1993 at 11:25 P.M. Writing filed wherein Plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following described property: ALL that certain piece or parcel of land situate in the First Ward of theCity of Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania. (for more complete description, See Release of Judgment Lien filed.)

Mar. 3, 1994, Writing filed wherein plaintiff releases from the lien of trhe above entitled judgment the following property: ALL that certain piece or parcel of land situate in theFirst Ward of the City of Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. Recorded Crawford County deed Bk. 509, page 759. (see paper filed for more description of property)

Aug. 19, 1994 Renewal To: AD 1994-831

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, & Stack
Charles J. Swick

934.

GEORGE L. FLOWERS AND
CAREN S. FLOWERS, HIS WIFE

vs.

FRANK L. RIMER AND
SUSAN R. RIMER, HIS WIFE

Filed Oct. 20, 1988
@ 10:52 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	29.00
Sheriff's Costs	19.00
Pro Co Pd 4/28/89	5.00

COMPLAINT - ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

2 copies (sheriff)

Nov 29, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: NOT FOUND, as to Frank L. Rimer, within named defendant. Moved, no forwarding address.

SERVED Susan R. Rimer personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Action to Quiet Title to her on October 28, 1988 at 1:34 P.M. at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.
So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 15, 1988, Complaint reissued.

Jan. 16, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. Not Found as to within named defendant Frank L. Rimer. Not employed at H. Realco Tool & Die, Davis St., Meadville, PA. Gone long ago, per employer.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 16, 1989 Complaint Reinstated

Jan 18, 1989, Motion for Service Pursuant to Special Order of Court Pursuant to PA. R.C.P. 430 filed.

Jan 18, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, to-wit, Jan. 18, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, the Plaintiffs are granted leave to make service of the Complaint upon Defendant Frank L. Rimer, by registered mial to said Defendant's known address of P.O. Box 1436 Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania 16335.

PER CURIAM
Robert L. Walker, J.

Jan 18, 1989 Copy of order to Charles Swick, Esq.

Feb. 28, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. On January 20, 1989 a certified letter was mailed to Frank L. Rimer, P.O. Box 1436, Meadville, crawford County, PA 16335, which was returned "Unclaimed" as per letter attached to return.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr. 26, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above matter is settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Pollard Walker &
Vollmer
Thomas E. Reiber

935.

AMERICAN CASUALTY COMPANY
OF READING, PA.

vs.

DREW E. BESONSON

Filed Oct. 21, 1988
@ 10:52 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	29.87
Pro Co pd. 5/15/89	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

Nov 23, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Drew E. Besonson within named defendant on November 10, 1988 at 12:55 p.m. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Gregg Besonson, adult member of the family, namely his father, at their residence, R.D.#2, Union City, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And now, May 15, 1989 at 1:30 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the dfendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Three Thousand One Hundred Four and 33/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
B-43 Debt $3,104.33
judgment entered 5/15/89 Int. from Nov. 3, 1987
notice mailed to dft. 5/16/89 Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX 1989-66

1988

R. Charles Thomas
Bozic and Thomas

936.

~~C. Barton Jones~~
(withdrawn)

FREIDA KAINTZ

vs.

JOHN BETTEYS AND
SHERYLE SIPPOLA

Filed Oct. 21, 1988
@ 10:56 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF
WAYNE HANSON, DISTRICT JUSTICE 30-3-01

Oct. 21, 1988 Rule Entered Upon Plaintiff to File Complaint Issued.

Oct. 26, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

Nov. 14, 1988, Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

Nov. 28, 1988, Preliminary Objections filed.

Dec. 2, 1988, Motion for Attorney Withdrawal and order filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, Dec. 5, 1988, Motion allowed.
Robert L. Walker, J.
Dec. 8, 1988 copies of order to R. C. Thomas, and C. Barton Jones.

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

937.

BONITA KEYES AND BRUCE KEYES, SR.

vs.

ROBIN KEYES, AND BRUCE KEYES, JR.

Filed Oct. 24, 1988
at 9:38 a.m.

Tax & Entry paid $40.50 ✓

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

3 copies (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:

You Robin Keyes and Bruce Keyes, Jr. have been sued in court to obtain custody of the children, shannon Keyes, Brandi Keyes and Amber Keyes.

And now, this 24th day of October 1988, JOhn Fuller, is hareby appointed mediator to hear this matter.

You are ordered to appear in person at such times and places as shall be set for hearing in this matter, whether by the mediator or the Judge. If you do not appear, an order may be entered in your absence.

Whoever has the children in his or her custody at the time of any mediation conference or hearing shall produce the children at the time scheduled for said conference or hearing.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Association Ser. to the Public Committee c/o Prothonotary Crawford Countycourthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335. Tele: 814 724-4636.

By the Court
Robert L. Walker, J.

Nov. 21, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed.

I, Joan D. Mason, Esq., Atty. for the Plaintiffs in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on Oct. 28, 1988 mail to the above defendant, Bruce Keyes , Jr. by certified mail #P-547 808 397, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above custody action. Said notice was received by Bruce Keyes, Jr. on Oct. 31, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiffs' attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, atty. for Plaintiff

Nov. 21, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq., Atty. for the Plaintiffs in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on Oct. 28, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Robin Keyes by certified mail #P-547 808 398, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above custody action. Said notice was received by RobinKeyes on Oct. 31, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiffs' attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Atty. for Plaintiff

Dec. 14, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, December 14, 1988 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Legal custody of Shannon Keyes, Brandi Keyes and Amber Keyes shall be with their grandparents, Bonita Keyes and Bruce Keyes, Sr., subject the provision that the parents, Robin Keyes and Bruce Keyes, Jr. shall be entitled to full access to medical records, school records and other important records.

II. Physical custody of Shannon Keyes, Brandi Keyes and Amber Keyes shall be with their grandparents, Bonita Keyes and Bruce Keyes, Sr., subject to the following conditions:

A. The father, Bruce Keyes, Jr.,shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody every Sunday from 12:30 p.m. until 5:00 p.m. Such visits of the natural father shall be unsupervised but he shall take the children to some public place such as a shopping mall, a theatre , or the like , on such visits.

B. The mother, Robin Keyes, shall be entitled to visit and take the children into her custody every Saturday from 10:00 a.m. until 5:00 p.m. If the mother is unable to keep any such Saturday visit, she shall call and cancel the visit no later than 9:00 a.m. on Saturday morning. If the mother is more than thirty (30) minutes late to any visit, the grandparents may consider the visit cancelled.

C. The mother, Robin Keyes, shall be entitled to visit and take the children into her custody every Christmas on Christmas Day from 12:00 Noon until 5:00 p.m.

D. This order is entered without prejudice to the right of any party hereto to request a further mediation conference at any time six months or more after the date hereof, without proving a substantial change of circumstances. Such hearing shall be the purpose of redetermining custody and /or enlarging visitation rights. But, provided, that if the mother is to seek overnight visits with the children at suchfurther mediation conference or hearing, she shall be required to:

(1) demonstrate that she can give the children any needed emergency medical treatment:
(2) demonstrate at least three continuous months of consistent visits, without needless cancellations;
(3) if the mother seeks overnight visits, Children and Youth Services of Erie County shall have prior to such mediation conference or hearing, completed a successful home study of the mother's home in Corry, Pa.

E. Both the mother and the grandmother are directed to keep logs of the mother's visits with the children from the date of this hearing.

F. Neither mother, faTHER NOR grandparents shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against any of the other parties, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents and grandparents.

G. Neither mother, father nor grandparents shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

H. Both parents and grandparents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding one or both of the children and both parents and grandparents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 15, copies of order to Plaintiff, Defendant and Joan Mason and John Fuller, esqs.

1988

Clifford J. Kirvan

938.

OIL CREEK PLASTICS, INC.

vs.

HALL INDUSTRIAL

COMPLAINT AT LAW

1 copy (atty)

Nov 22, 1988 Notice of Default Judgment filed.

Dec. 22, 1988, 1:51 p.m., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with copy of Notice of Intention to file default judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for failure to file an answer and damages are assessed as follows:

Amount due	$5,310.83
Interest & late charges	148.92
Costs	42.90
TOTAL	$5,502.65

Filed Oct. 24, 1988
@ 1:08 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Pro. Co. Pd 12/22/88	9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 4/19/89	5.00 ✓

H-181
Judgment entered Dec. 22, 1988

Debt $5,502.65
Interest from ----
Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro.

Jan. 6, 1989 Certificate Of Service filed. I hereby certify that a certified copy ofthe complaint in the abvoe captioned action was served by certified mail upon thedefendant, as evidenced by certified mail return receipt No. P620 641 599, said service having been effected on 10/26/88.

Clifford J. Kirvan

EX 1989-13

Apr. 14, 1989, This judgment satisfied. (see paper filed)

1988

Clifford J. Kirvan 939.	OIL CREEK PLASTICS, INC. vs. McLAUGHLIN WHOLESALE Filed Oct. 24, 1988 @ 11:08 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Pro Co: Pd. 12/22/88 9.00 ✓ Exempl. of record pd 3/3/89 10.00 ✓	COMPLAINT AT LAW 1 copy (atty) Nov. 22, 1988 Notice of Default filed. And Now, Dec. 22, 1988 at 1:51 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with notice of default attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file answer, damages assessed in the amount of Two Thousand Four Hundred Twelve and 53/100 Dollars. P - 181 Debt --- $1,829.29 Judgment entered Int. of $212.46 & $42.90 costs, incl. on 12/22/88 JUDGMENT James F. Simmons, Prothonotary Jan. 6, 1989 Certificate Of Service filed. I herey certify that a certified copy ofthe complaint, in the above captioned action was served by certified mail upon the defendant, as evidenced by certified mail return receipt No. P620 641 602, said service having been effected on 10/27/88. Clifford J. Kirvan

1988

Clifford J. Kirvan 940.	OIL CREEK PLASTICS, INC. vs. P.S. &. E. INTERNATIONAL Filed Oct. 24, 1988 @ 11:08 a.m. Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓ Pro Co Pd 12/22/88 9.00 ✓	COMPLAINT AT LAW 1 copy (atty) Nov. 22, 1988, Notice of Default filed. And Now, Dec. 22, 1988, at 1:51 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with default notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer, damages assessed in the amount of Two Thousand Four Hundred Twelve and 53/100 Dollars. Judgment entered 12/22/88 P - 186 Debt --- $2,412.53 Int. of $57.75 & costs $42.90 incl. JUDGMENT James F. Simmons, Prothonotary Jan. 6, 1989 Certificate of Service filed. I hereby certify that a certified copy of theComplaint in the above captioned action was served by certified mail upon the defendant, as evidenced by certified mail return receipt No. P620 641 600, said service having been effected on 10/28/88. Clifford J. Kirvan

1988

Clifford J. Kirvan 941.	OIL CREEK PLASTICS, INC. vs. RAY LEWIS & CO. INC. Filed Oct. 24, 1988 @ 11:08 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Pro Co Pd 1/17/89 5.00 ✓	COMPLAINT AT LAW 1 copy (atty) Jan. 6, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned action is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

942.

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
E. Max Weiss

JENNIFER L. KOREN, A MINOR, BY HER PARENTS AND NATURAL GUARDIANS, ETHEL E. KOREN, AND JOHN R. KOREN, AND JOHN R. KOREN AND ETHEL E. KOREN, IN THEIR OWN RIGHT

vs.

CONSTANCE MARIE SORG AND GILBERT SORG AND GRACE SORG

Filed Oct. 24, 1988
@ 11:18 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 11/21/88	6.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued

Oct. 26, 1988, Service of Summons accepted this date by E. Max Weiss, attorney for defendants.

Nov. 16, 1988 Petition for Authority to Settle and Compromise a Minor's Claim -Third Party Claim Only and Order filed.(1copy atty.) ORDER: AND NOW, this 16 day of November, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, the prayer of the Petitioners is granted and the proposed settlement is approved and it is ordered and decreed that John R. Koren and Ethel E. Koren, as parents and natural guardians of the said Jennifer L. Koren, are hereby authorized to compromise and settlement for the sum of $25,000.00 the claim of the said minor, Jennifer L. Koren, against the Defendants, Constance Marie Sorg, Gilbert Sorg and Grace Sorg, for damages resulting from personal injuries sustained by the said Jennifer L. Koren at the time and place and in the manner set forth in the foregoing Petition and for the recovery of which an action has been brought at the above number and Term and upon payment of said amount by and from the above named Defendants, Constance Marie Sorg, Gilbert Sorg and Grace Sorg, their heirs, executors or administrators and the insurance carrier for the Defendants to-wit Allstate Insurance Company, are released from any and all liability to said minor to-wit Jennifer L. Koren and John R. Koren and Ethel E. Koren, her parents and natural guardians, , and in their own right, fordamages and injuries sustained by the said minor, Jennifer L.Koren, arising out of the accident referred to in the foregoing Petition.

It is further ordered and decreed that the sum of $25,100.00 is to be paid as follows:

a) To Bozic and Thomas, Attorneys at Law, by way of reimbursement for expenses incurred.....$ 391.20

b) To Bozic and Thomas, Attorneys at Law, perContingent Fee Agreement..... 6,275.00

c) To John R. Koren and Ethel E. Koren, as parents and Natural guardians of said minor, Jennifer L. Koren, without the entry of additional security on their part, the sum of$18,433.80, said money to be deposited in an interest bearing savings account or a Certificate of Deposit in a lending institution in Crawford County, PennsylvaNIA WITH THE PASSBOOk or other documentation representing said investment to be held by the Clerk of Courts of Crawford County, Pennsylvania until said minor attains her majority and in the meantime no withdrawals shall be made from said account without approval of this Court.

And upon receipt thereof, by them, your Petitioners as parents and natural guardians of the said minor, Jennifer L. Koren, are hereby authorized and directed to settle and discontinue the above entitled action and execute such Release or Releases as maybe required by the Defendants and their insurance carrier.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov, 18, 1988 copies of order given to R.Charles Thomas and E. Max Weiss.

Nov. 21, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

Dec. 12, 1990 Petition For Permission To Withdraw Money From Court ORdered Savings Account and Order filed. AND NOW, this 12 day of December, 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, the prayer of the Petitioners is granted, and it is ordered and decreed that John R. Koren and Ethel E. Koren, as parents and natural guardians of the said Jennifer L. Koren, are hereby authorized to withdrw the sum of $3,147.83 from the money market investment account at First Seneca Bank in Greenville.

It is further ordered and decreed that the sum of $3,147.83 is to be used as follows:

(a) TO:

Nicholas J. Fedorka, D.M.D.	$50.37
Heinle & Knarr Associates	133.00
Steven bailey Cohen, M.D.	135.00
Steven Bailey Cohem, M.D.	235.00
Orthopedic Associates of Meadville, P.C.	170.00
Emergency Professional Services	65.00
Greenville Regional Hospital	75.00
Meadvile Medical Center	597.66
Pain Management Center	400.00
TOTAL	$1,861.03

(b) To John R. Koren and Ethel E. Koren as reimbursement for automobile insurance in the amount of $587.80

(c) To John R. Koren and Ethel E. Koren as reimbursement for payment of 1989 income tax in the amount of $199.00.

(d) To John R. Koren and Ethel E. Koren in order that they may pay miscellaneous future medical expenses and 1990 income tax liability in the amount of $500.00.

BY THE COURT,
P. richard Thomas,

1 copy atty

Aug. 7, 1991 Petition for permission to withdraw Money From Court Ordered Savings Account and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 5 day of Aug. 1991, upon presentation and consideration fo the within Petition, the prayer of the Petitioners is granted, and it is ordered and decreed that JOhn R. Koren and Ethel E. Koren, as parents and natural guardians of Jennifer L. Koren, are hereby authorized to withdraw the sum of $680.70 plus accumulated interest from June 28, 1991 to the date of the closing of the account and to close the money marked investment accourt #0080345526 at First Seneca Bank in Greenville.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 12, 1991 copies to Attys. R. C. Thomas and E. M. Weiss.

1988

Zimmer, Kunz, Loughren, Hart, Lazaroff, Trenor, Banyas & Conaway
Louis B. Loughren

943.

ESTHER M. HIGLEY

vs.

DONALD H. FOOTE

Filed Oct. 24, 1988
@ 11:24 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriffs costs	12.00
Sheriff's costs	15.00
Sheriff's costs	23.00
Pro Co Pd 8-18-89	9.00
Pro Co Pd 11/13/89	2.00

Meadville, PA.
So answers,

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

(Jury Trial Demanded)

Oct. 31, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. Not found as to Donald H. Foote within named defendant, Moved, no forwarding address at Post Office.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

Mar. 2, 1989, Complaint Reinstated.

March 10, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. Defendant Donald H. Foote is deceased.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 8, 1989, Complaint Reinstated.

June 30, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Donald H. Foote personally on June 19, 1989 at 3:35 PM by handing him a copy of the Complaint at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse,

Carole Stefanucci, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 21, 1989, Notice of Intention to Enter Default Judgment filed.

AND NOW, Aug. 18, 1989 @ 1:15 P.M. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Two Thousand One Hundred Thirty-three and 37/100 Dollars, exclusive of interest and costs.
F-45

Debt.....$2,133.37
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Aug. 22, 1989 Notice of Judgment mailed defendant.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin and Stack
James R. Irwin

944.

LINDA J. PARKER

vs.

RONNIE O. PARKER

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c) OR 201(d), 201(a), EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATION AND DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY/ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE,

1 copy (atty)

Filed Oct. 24, 1988
@ 1:37 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
2 counts paid	30.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 11/21/89	9.00 ✓
State Pd 11/21/89	10.00

Oct. 31, 1988 Vertification filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, ESQUIRE, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for Plaintiff and that as such, I am authorized to execute this Verification; that I did, onthe 25th day of October, 1988 send by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, Addressee ONly, to the defendant, Ronnie O. Parker a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint, filed at the abvoe term and number at his last knownaddress of RD #1 Box 17, Townville PA-16360, as evidenced by the attached sender's reciept No. P-547-801-431 and that on the 28th day of October, 1988 I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 27th day of October, 1988.

James R. Irwin

Aug. 24, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Ronnie O Parker, defendant filed.

Aug. 24, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Linda J. Parker, Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 13, 1989 Motion to Withdraw Counts and ORDER filed. Upon consideration of the Plaintiff's Motion, the Plaintiff is granted leave to withdraw Counts 2 and 3 of her Complaint.
Nov. 13, 1989.

By The Court:
Robert L. Walker, J.

Nov. 14, 1989 Copy of Order to Atty. James Irwin and Defendant.

Nov. 20, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to Transmit the Record, this case moved to court.

Nov. 28, 1989 DECREE filed.

1. Divorce : Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the abovecaptioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the abovenamed parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and Ninety (90) Days have elapsed from the date of filing of the Complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the entry of a divorce decree, pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divor ce Code.

2. No other matters or causes of action provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code are reserved by either of the parties.

This Order shall be effective as of this 28th day of November, 1989.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT:

Robert L. Walker, J.

1988

William E. Barney

945.

Mahany, Roeder & Smith
By: Tamera Ochs-Rothschild

LORRIE D. BAKER

vs.

DONALD J. BAKER, JR.

Filed Oct. 24, 1988
@ 1:43 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 2/17/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 28, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Before me, a Notary Public in and for said Commonwealth and County, personally appeared the undersigned Constable, who first being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served a copy of the Complaint in Divorce with Notice upon the defendant personally, by handing a copy to him at his residence of R. D. #1, Grand Valley, Pa. 16420 on Nov. 16, 1988 at 5:49 p.m.
Dennis R. Crosby, Constable

Dec. 12, 1988 Appearance of Mahany, Roeder & Smith By: Tamera Ochs-Rothschild, Attorney for Defendant filed.

Feb. 16, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 17, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Feb. 17, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Mar. 7, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, March 7, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Lorrie D. Baker, plaintiff, and Donald J. Baker, Jr., defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin and Stack
Paul D. Shafer, Jr.

946.

ELEANOR SWOGGER AND
FRANK SWOGGER, HER HUSBAND

vs.

FRANK W. ITTEL, JR.

Filed Oct. 25, 1988
@ 10:50 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	19.00
Pro Co Pd 2/16/89	9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 2/16/89	15.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 5/30/89	9.00 ✓
Exempt Pd 11/15/89	13.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Dec 14, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Frank W. Ittel, Jr. within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, Pa as per his affidavit of service attached. Served 11-7-88.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Nobert Micklos, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 7th day of November, 1988, at 11:30 AM he served Summons, filed at AD 1988-946, Crawford Co., Pa, upon defendant Frank W. Ittel, Jr. (Atty) at604 McKnight Park Dr., Pgh., Pa 15237 by making known the contents thereof to him personally and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the writ received from Crawford Co. sheriff.
Nobert Micklos, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

Jan. 10, 1989, Complaint filed. 2 copies (atty)

Feb. 15, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, for reference to the arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed: E. Max Weiss, Ch.
~~Christopher Youngs~~ - Lisa P. Youngs
Terry Toomey

And NOw, Feb. 15, 1989 at 4:31 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with copy of default notice and service of notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Three Thousand Five Hundred Dollars, plus interest and cost of suit. Also judgment entered favor of FraNK Swogger on liability only on Count Two and agaisnt defendant for failure to file answer.
Judgment entered - 2/15/89
I - 65, 65

Debt -- $3,500.00
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Feb. 16, 1989, Notice of entry of Judgment mailed to defendant.

Mar. 10, 1989, ARbitrators Take the Qualifying Oath.

Mar. 14, 1989 Notice of Hearing Before Board of Arbitrators filed.

Mar 15, 1989 Amended Notice of Hearing Before the Board of Arbitrators filed.

April 19, 1989, Upon praecipe of Christopher Youngs, Lisa Pepicelli Youngs is hereby substituted in his stead.

APRIL 25, 1989, Decision of Arbitrators filed in which they find in favor of Eleanor Swogger in the amount of $3500.00 and in favor of Frank Swogger in the amount of $1,500.00, and in favor of the plaintiff for record costs.
Decision entered - 4/25/89
I - 65

DECISION OF ARBITRATORS
James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

Apr. 25, 1989, copy put in Atty. Shafer's Box. and copy mailed to defendant.

And Now, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Final Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendant, pursuant to the Decision of the ARbitrators, in the amount of Three Thousand Five Hundred Dollars in favor of Eleanor Swogger, and One Thousand Five Hundred Dollars in favor of Frank Swogger, plus record costs.
Judgment entered - 5/30/89
I - 65

Debt - - $3,500.00 - favor of E. Swogger
$1,500.00 - favor of F. Swogger
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

June 6, 1989, Notice of entry of judgment mailed to defendant.

1988

Elderkin, Martin, Kelly
Messina & Zamboldi
Kenneth G. Vasil

947.

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY

vs.

KEITH SHIDEMANTLE AND
PEGGY SHIDEMANTLE, HIS WIFE

Filed Oct. 25, 1988
@ 11:04 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
sheriffs costs	29.00
Pro Co Pd 1/13/89	9.00
Sat paid 6/30/89	5.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Nov. 1, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Keith Shidemantle within named dft. on Oct. 28, 1988 at 4;19 p.m. by handing a true copy of the within Compalint to PeggyShidemantle, his wife at their residence, R. D. #2, Box 190, Conneautville, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED Peggy Shidemantle personally within named dft. by handing a true copy ofthe within complaitn to her on Oct. 28, 1988 at 4:20 p.m. at her residence R. D. #2, Box 190 Conneautville, Crawford County, pa.

So answers Edgar D. Householder, dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

And Now, Jan. 13, 1989, at 2:22 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with notices of default attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favof of plaintiff and against the defendant fjor want of an answer, in the sum of Seven Hundred Seven and 68/100 Dollars, plus int. at rate of 1.25% per mo. from Oct. 1988, and costs of action.

Judgment entered - 1/13/89
S - 102, 132

Debt ---$707.68.
Int. from Oct 1988 @ 1.25%

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

June 30, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above judgment is marked satisfied, including debt, judgment, interest and costs.

1988

Knox McLaughlin
Gornall & Sennett PC
Richard A. Levick

948.

Anthony J. Vardaro

IN RE:
APPEAL OF SAEGERTOWN MANUFACTURING COMPANY FROM THE ASSESSMENT DETERMINATION

BY

THE CRAWFORD COUNTY BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS

Filed Oct. 25, 1988
@ 11:35 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Pro Co Pd 9-15-89 5.00 ✓

ASSESSMENT APPEAL PETITION

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 7, 1988 Answer to Assessment Appeal Petition filed

Feb. 21, 1989 Certification Of Readiness filed.

On April 1989 Trial List

Mar 28, 1989 Order Setting Nonjury Trial filed: AND NOW, March 27, 1989, nonjury trial is hereby set for June 7, 1989, at 1:30 p.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2.

For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

Mar 31, 1989 Copies of order to Attys Levick and Vardaro.

On June 1989 Trial List

On October 1989 Trial List

Sept 15, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney the above-captioned action is hereby settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin and Stack
Louis J. Stack

949.

William J. Gregg

SPAULDING'S, INC.

vs.

FRED GARVEY AND CHARLENE GARVEY d/b/a GARVEY'S MARKET

Filed Oct. 25, 1988
@ 1:19 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriffs costs 17.50
Sheriff's Costs 24.00
Pro Co pd 1/11/89 9.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Nov. 28, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

Nov. 23, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. Not Found, as to within named defendant Fred Garvey. Not Found, as to within named defendant Charlene Garney.
Not at R. D. #3, Conneaut Lake, Post Office does not know where they live.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec 22, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: I accept service of the Complaint on behalf of Charlene Garvey and certify that I am authorized to do so.
1-Dec-88 Fred Garvey, Authorized agent

SERVED Fred Garvey personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on December 1, 1988 at 11:51 A.M. at his place of employment, Valesky's Golden Dawn, 1044 Water St., Meadville, Crawford Co., Pa.
SERVED Charlene Garvey within named defendant on
December 1, 1988 at 11:52 A.M. by serving her authorized agent, Fred Garvey, as per his signed Acceptance of Service attached at his place of employment Valesky's Golden Dawn, 1044 Water St., Meadville, Crawford Co., Pa.
so **answers,** **Edgar** D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Jan. 11, 1989 at 11:17 AM, upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defnedants for failure to file and answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Six Thousand Three Hundred Ninty-nine and 89/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
G-64-34-184 Debt $6,399.89
judgment entered 1/11/89 Judgment
notices mailed to defendants 1/13/89 James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX 1989-27

June 6, 1989 Order filed: And Now, June 6, 1989, upon presentation of a motion to authorize garnishees to stop payment on a check, the Court believes the proposed order to be grossly inequitable to Donald L. Ray and Rosemary F. Ray, his wife.
Accordingly, the Order hereto fore entered June 6, 1989, at 8:55 a.m. is recinded, and Fred Garvey and Charlene Garvey, d/b/a Garvey's Market, are hereby directed to deposit the proceeds received in the sale of their business to Donald L. Ray and Rosemary F. Ray with the Prothonotary's Office until further order of court.
Further hearing on this matter is set for June 13, 1989, at 3:30 p.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.
June 6, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. Stack, Gregg and Pepicelli's boxes.

June 9, 1989, Petition for Rule to Show Cause Why Judgment Should not be Opened; and for Stay of Proceedings, and order filed.
ORDER AND RULE TO SHOW CAUSE: AND NOW, ... 6/9/89 Denied without prejudice to represent after hearing on this case set for 6/13/89 at 3:30 p.m.
By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.
June 12, 1989, copies to
L. Stack and W. Gregg, Esqs.and A. Pepicelli. (boxes)

June 14, 1989 Re: Petition for Rule to Show Cause Why Judgment should not be opened; and for Stay of Proceedings Order filed: AND NOW, June 13, 1989, the above Petition is DENIED.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.
June 16, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. Stack, Gregg and Youngs boxes.

June 14, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, June 13, 1989, the Order heretofore entered June 6, 1989, is rescinded as it appears that Garveys did not receive Notice of the Court's Order of June 6, 1989 until after they had disposed of substantially all of the funds.
This Order is made without prejudice to the right of Spauldings, Inc. to pursue such further collection and attachment actions against the Garveys as they deem appropriate.
It appears that the Garveys left with their attorney in payment for attorney fees the sum of $900.00 as partial payment and Attorney Gregg received this amount after he personally had Notice of the Court's Order of June 6, 1989. Counsel for Spauldings agree that procedurally their attempts to further attach this sum would meet with no success. Accordingly, Attorney Gregg may dispose of the funds in his possession at his discretion. BY ORDER OF THE COURT, P. Richard Thomas. P.J.
June 16, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. Gregg, Stack and Youngs boxes.

June 14, 1989 Answers to Garnishees' Interrogatories.

400

1988

Evans, Rosen, Portnoy
Quinn & Donohue
Neil R. Rosen

950.

Jack, Kookogey & Felton
JOHN S. KOOKOGEY

Marsh, Spaeder, Baur,
Spaeder & Schaaf
William J. Schaaf
Eugene C. Sundberg Jr

PHYLLIS K. JARDIN AND
RICHARD M. JARDIN, HER
HUSBAND

vs.

DENNIS J. WRIGHT

Filed Oct. 25, 1988
@ 1:28 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$35.50 ✓
Pro Pd 10/31/88	5.00 ✓
Sheriffs costs	40.60
Sheriff's Costs	52.30 ✓
Pro Co pd 6/22/89	6.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Nov. 21, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. NOT FOUND as to the within named defendant Dennis J. Wright as of Nov. 18, 1988. He is believed to be working at Centerville Casting Co. in Venango County.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 13, 1988, Complaint Reinstated.

Jan. 16, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. And now, December 22, 1988 the within Notice to Defend and Complaint in Civil Action to have been served upon the within named defenant Dennis J. Wright are returned NOT SERVED the said defendant Works at 419 N. Brown, Titusville unable to be Served in Venango County per Rhona L. Davis, Deputy Sheriff.
So answers. Gene Price, Sheriff
SERVED Dennis J. Wright on January 10, 1989 at 4:03 PM by handing a true copy of the Complaint to Marilyn Wright, his mother, at their residence, 515 Washington Street, Titusville, Crawford County, PA. 16354.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder,Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan 23, 1989 Answer in Civil Action filed.

Feb. 8, 1989, Certificate of Readiness for Trial filed.

ON TRIAL LIST FOR APRIL 1989

Mar 28, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, March 27, 1989, at the call of the trial list, upon agreement of counsel for Plaintiff and Defendant, the case is continued to the June civil term.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, PJ
Mar 31, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Rosen, Kookogey, Weiss, and Spaeder firm.

Mar. 31, 1989 Appearance of Marsh, Spaeder, Baur, Spaeder & Schaaf, by William J. Schaaf, and Eugene C. Sundberg, Jr. Esqs, attorneys for defendant, filed.

Apr. 6, 1989, Notice of Taking Video Tape Depositions for Use at Trial filed.

June 2, 1989, Deposition of Francis K. Mainzer, M. D. filed.

June 21, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney in the above-captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

951.

Melissa Dively

LARRY W. STEELE

vs.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Filed Oct. 25, 1988
@ 3:43 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

NOTICE OF APPEAL OF VEHICLE OPERATING PRIVILEGES

2 copies (atty)

Oct. 28, 1988 Motion For Hearing and Order filed AND NOW, this 28th day of October, 1988, upon consideration of the Motion for Hearing date,this Court hereby schedules a hearing to determine the merits of the Appellant's Appeal for December 29, 1988 at 9:30 o'clock in either courtroom No. 1 or Courtroom No. 2 in the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pennsylvania. BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Oct. 31, 1988 Copy of order to Terry Toomey

Dec. 29, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney,, this appeal is hereby withdrawn, and m. v. driver's license forfeited.

Dec. 29, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Dec. 29, 1988, at the time sc heduled for hearing on this appeal, the defendant has filed with the court a withdrawal of the appeal and the appeal is therefore DISMISSED and the Dept. of Trans. may reimpose the sanctions which have been subject to supersedeas.
BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Jan. 4, 1989, copies of order to Melissa Dively and Terry Toomey, Esqs.

952

Filed Oct. 25, 1988 @ 3:47 P.M.

Jan. 6, 1989 Transcript of Proceedings Memorial Service filed.

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMORIAL SERVICE FOR JAMES ERNEST JACK, ESQUIRE

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CRAWFORD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

CIVIL ACTION - LAW

In the Matter of a Memorial Service for JAMES ERNEST JACK, ESQUIRE : No. AD - 952 - 1988

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF SAID COURT:

Your Committee, appointed for the purposes of preparing and presenting a memorial on the death of the late James Ernest Jack, Esquire respectfully submits the following:

James Ernest Jack was born July 15, 1901 in Mercer County a son of William L. Jack and Maude Gildersleve Jack. He graduated from Slippery Rock State Normal School in 1921. Then he taught school in Washington County until the autumn of 1923 when he contracted poliomyelitis. He overcame this to the point that he entered Grove City College in 1924 and graduated therefrom cum laude, in 1926. He then became a science teacher in Etna High School and subsequently became principal of that school.

While teaching in Etna, Mr. Jack attended Duquesne Law School and graduated therefrom in 1931. He passed the Bar examination and was admitted to practice before the Pennsylvania Supreme and Superior Courts, the United States District Court and the Courts of Crawford County on August 1, 1932. He practiced law in the City of Titusville from that date down to the time of his death on June 12, 1988. At that time he was the oldest actively practicing attorney in the Crawford County Bar Association, and was a past president of the Association. In 1982, he was honored by members of this Association in recognition of his 50 years of active service in the legal profession.

On September 3, 1932 he married Anne Irvine who survives him. He was also survived by two children, a son, William Jack a practicing attorney in Pittsburgh and a daughter, Elizabeth Ann Bell of Vancouver, Washington and by five grandchildren. He was preceded in death by his parents and a sister and brother.

James Ernest Jack was very active in civic affairs. He served on the Titusville School Board for 30 years and for 14 of those years he was president of the Board. He was a member and past president of the Titusville Rotary Club. He was named by Rotary International as a Paul Harris Fellow for his efforts on behalf of the Polio-Plus program of Rotary International. He was a member of various Masonic bodies and was a past commander of Rose Croix Commandery 38, Knights Templar. He was a life member and president of the local Salvation Army Advisory Board; also a member of the Board of Directors of the Titusville YMCA, the Chamber of Commerce and the Board of Trustees of Benson Memorial Library.

Mr. Jack was deeply committed to the First Presbyterian Church. He was ordained as an Elder on April 28, 1940 and served nine terms as Elder. He was clerk of the session of the church for 18 years, and he also served as assistant superintendent of the church school and taught a men's class of over 100 members for many years. He was elected as vice moderator of the Presbytery of Lake Erie in 1966; and elected moderator of the Presbytery in 1967 and twice served as Commissioner to the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church and served on various committees of the church.

He was honored by his alma mater Grove City College, in 1970, when he was awarded an honorary doctor of laws degree by the college and in 1975 Mr. Jack was named citizen of the year by the Titusville Area Chamber of Commerce.

He was the senior partner in the law firm of Jack, Kookogey and Felton. Mr. Jack was a thorough, careful and painstaking lawyer, with a sincere and conscientious desire to see that justice was done in all instances. He had a great respect for the law and jurisprudence in general and despite the infirmities of age Mr. Jack continued to practice his profession right up to his last illness and death. In any transactions Mr. Jack treated all parties, professional or lay persons, with courtesy, fairness and honesty. He will long be remembered by this Bar Association, and the community as a fine lawyer, a public-spirited citizen and one of the outstanding citizens of his community.

We, as an association, wish to express our sorrow at the passing of James Ernest Jack, and to extend our sympathy to his surviving wife, children and family. We ask that this report be spread at length upon the records of this Court, and that a copy thereof be submitted to his family and to the press.

Respectfully submitted,

F. C. Kiebort Jr.
F. C. Kiebort, Jr., Chairman

John Kookogey

John Fuller

Robert J. Felton
Robert Felton

ORDER

AND NOW, October 26th, 1988, the foregoing Memorial, having been read in open Court, it is hereby ordered that it be filed and spread upon the records of the Court and that a copy thereof be furnished to the family of the late James Ernest Jack, Esquire, and a copy furnished to the press.

P. J.

J.

Re: MEMORIAL SERVICE FOR
THE LATE JAMES ERNEST JACK

Statement of George J. Barco

To: The Honorable Judges of the Crawford County Courts;
Members of the Crawford County Bar Association;
Mrs. Anne Jack; and
Family and friends of the Late James Ernest Jack

I deeply regret that I am unable to be with you for the memorial service for the Late James Ernest Jack, a faithful member of our Bar Association and a worthy officer of this Court.

I have been ill for the past several months, and in an effort to deal with my condition, my principal treating physician has arranged for a special examination and conference with one of the expert medical practioners at the Presbyterian-University Hospital in Pittsburgh. The appointment for this session was made almost a month ago. When I learned of the services for Jim, I tried to rearrange the appointment, but I was informed that if I did not keep this appointment, it would be a month or more before this special conference could be arranged again.

In view of my state of health, I have no choice but to keep the appointment. My daughter, Yolanda (G. Barco), will be accompanying me to this conference, in keeping with her thinking that an unwell person needs an advocate in the forum of the health care service providers more than a party does in a court of law. We, therefore, ask that these remarks be made a part of the record of the services.

I knew Jim from the very first day that I was admitted to the Bar. He was then—as I have known him to be over the many years since—resolute, patient, conscientious, caring and congenial in attending to the affairs of his clients and his relationship with the other practioners at the Bar.

Jim changed very little over the years—he was mature beyond his years when I first knew him; and in his later years, he continued to have the stamina and enthusiasm of earlier years. It is to the credit of Jim as a person and as a lawyer that he worked happily and effectively through the great span of his professional life, a period of the greatest change of any in the history of civilization. During this period of tremendous change in business, industry, technology, institutions and, of course, in the law, Jim was stalwart in maintaining his own values and way of life.

Jim and I shared in common over the years a deep and personal interest in the affairs of our Bar. He faithfully attended our meetings; participated in the whole range of activities; and savored the camaraderie of the association with our Bar members individually and as a group.

Jim was a favorite of Yolanda. As some of you know, she was the only female member of the Bar when she was admitted to practice and for the some 25 years to follow. In the early years she always said that being young was more difficult than being female, but she told me many times that she felt most comfortable with the senior members of the Bar; and she was surprised and pleased with the mutual respect that these men established in her professional relationships with them from the first. Jim Jack was one of these men—gentlemanly, strong, wise, serene and enduring.

We are pleased to join with the other members of the Bar in honoring Jim and his memory as he honored our profession and this Bar.

1988

Mahany, Roeder & Smith
Barbara L. Smith

953.

Bruce A. Barrett

LARRY L. DILLINGER

vs.

KARLA I. DILLINGER

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Filed Oct. 25, 1988
@ 3:55 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	28.30
Pro Co Pd 1/31/89	9.00
Pro Co Pd 4/26/89	5.00

Dec. 21, 1988 Petition for Alimony Pendente Lite and Counsel Fees and Order filed. Order: AND NOW, this 21st day of December, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, a hearing is hereby set for the 25 day of Jan. 1989 at 9:30 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom # .

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 21, 1988 copies of order to Barbara L. Smith and Bruce A. Barrett, esqs.

Dec 22, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Karla I. Dillinger, personally, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Divorce to her on November 23, 1988 at 12:05 P.M. at her residence, 823 Church Run Street, Titusville, Crawford Co., Pa..
So answers, E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 26, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, January 25, 1989, without hearing and upon agreement of the parties, it is hereby ORDERED and DECREED that the husband, Larry L. dillinger, hereby agrees to pay to the wife, Karla I. Dillilnger, the sum of ONe Thousand Dollars ($1,000.00), said sum to be paid directly to Bruce A. Barrett, Esquire on or before March 25, 1989. Said sum shall be in future settlement onthe wife's claim for alimony pendente lite, counsel fees, alimony, equitable distribution, and all other claims the wife may have against the husband now and in the future as a result of the divorce action filed at the above number and term.

The wife agrees that in accordance with the foregoing, her claim for alimony pendente lite and counsel fees is hereby discontinued.

Further, both the husband and wife agree to promptly execute Affidavits of Consent to the divorce under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code.

Each party shall retain free from any and all claims of the other party all items of personal property presently in his or her possession except for the 1979 Sprts VAn, which shall be returned to the husband. (See A.D. 1988-954 - Replevin)

The wife shall be solely responsible for all bills incurred in her name, including but not limited to the balance due on an AVCO loan, her outstanding doctor and medical bills, and allutility billsincurred at 823 Church Run, Titusville, Pennsylvania, The husband agrees to promptly submit bills from Doctor Raymond Tipton and the Titusville Imaging Associates to his insurance carrier.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Jan. 26, 1989 Copies of order to Barbara Smith and Bruce Barrett

Jan. 31, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Jan. 31, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendatn filed.

Jan. 31, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Feb. 6, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 6th day of Feb., 1989, it ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Larry L. Dillinger, Plaintiff and Karla I. Dillinger, Defendant, are divorced from the bounds of matrimony. The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Apr. 26, 1989, Notice of Intention to Resume Prior Name filed. To Be Known AS: KARLA I. CAMPBELL

1988

Mahany, Roeder & Smith
Barbara L. Smith

954.

Bruce A. Barrett

LARRY L. DILLINGER

vs.

KARLA I. DILLINGER

Oct. 25, 1988 filed
at 3:55 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Costs 28.30

REPLEVIN ACTION

1 copy (atty)

(To possess 1979 Chevrolet Sports Van)

Dec. 13, 1988 Answer and Counterclaim and Verification filed. (1 copy atty.)

Dec 22, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Karla I. Dillinger personally, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Replevin to her on November 23, 1988 at 12:06 P.M. at her residence, 923 Church Run Street, Titusville, Crawford Co., Pa.
So answers, E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 3, 1989 Certificate Of Service filed. I hereby certify that onthe 30th day of December, 1988, a true and correct copy ofthe Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Counterclaim was served on Bruce A. Barrett, Esq., counsel for Defendant, by first class mail postage prepaid, addressed as follows:
Bruce A. barrett, Esq.
Barrett and Dratler
965 S. Mail Street
Meadville, PA 16335
Barbara L. Smith

Jan. 3, 1989 Plaintiff's Reply To Defendant's Counterclaim filed.

Jan. 26, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, January 25, 1989, upon stipulation of the parties, it is her eby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS follows:
1. Karla I. Dillinger shall return the 1979 Chevrolet Sports VAn owned by Larry . Dillinger, to Larry L. Dillinger in the same condition as the van appeared on the date of removal.
2. Larry L. dillinger, in conjunction with the divorce proceedings docketed at A.D. 1988-953, shall pay Karla I. Dillinger the sum of One Thousand Dollars ($1,000.00) in full settlement of all claims at A.D. 1988-954 and A.D. 1988-953.
3. Larry L. Dillinger agrees to file a praecipe to discontinue the action docketed at A.D. 1988-954, and Karla I. Dillinger agrees to file a praecipe to discontinue the counterclaim at the same number and term, each upon return of the van.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Jan. 26, 1989 Copies of order to Barbara Smith and Bruce Barrett

1988

955.

KAREN IDEL BUSH

vs.

HARRY KENNETH BUSH

Filed Oct. 25, 1988
@ 4:09 p.m.

Tax & entry 11/1/88 $40.50

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF FROM ABUSE

RE: PETITION FROM ABUSE - ORDER:

AND NOW, October 25, 1988, emergency abuse order having been issued by District Justice Ronald Cole and received by this court this date. The order of Ronald Cole is continued on a temporary basis, and the court has scheduled a hearing Nov. 7, 1988 at 3:30 p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2.

The Prothonotary is directed to forward a copy of this order to the defendant by regular mail at his last known address, and forward a copy thereof to the Titusville Police Department. The Titusville Police Department havng evicted the defendant from the premises is directed to serve or forward their copy to the defendant at a location or address that may be known to the Titusville Police Department.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Oct. 25, 1988, Two copies to Titusville Police Dept., copy to Karen Bush, and Ronald J. Cole.

Nov. 2, 1988 ORDER filed. AND now, Oct.31,1988, this matter having been initiated by emergency order through District Justice Cole, and a protection order having been entered and said emergency order having been continued by the Court, and a hearing was set for Nov. 7, 1988, this Court is contacted by the petitioning wife this date advising she wishes the hearing cancelled as she believes the procedures followed in this case have "wakened up the defendant". She reports the defendant has quit drinking and that both parties acknowledge they have "problems" and have mutually agreed to go into counseling. The parties have reconciled and she wishes the action dismissed and not continued generally. Accordingly, we hereby dismiss the petition and in view of the fact that both parties appear to have employment, we direct that they pay costs in the Prothonotary's Office incurred in filing this action in the amount of $40.50, with said payment to be made on or before Nov.15,1988.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Nov. 2, 1988 Copy to Justice Ronald Cole, County Control, Karen Idel Bush and Harry Kenneth Bush.

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma and Schug
Kemp C. Scales

956.

NORTHWEST SAVINGS BANK

vs.

HOWARD A. WILLIS AND
ELIZABETH WILLIS, HIS WIFE

Filed Oct. 25, 1988
at 4:29 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	27.15
Pro Co Pd 12-14-88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN ACTION OF MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copy (sheriff)

Dec. 13, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Elizabeth Willis personally within named defendant on November 23, 1988 at 11:43 AM at her residence, RD #2, Guys Mills, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Howard A. Willis within named defendant on Nov. 23, 1988 at 11:44 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Elizabeth Wilis, his wife, at their residence, RD #2, Guys Mills,Crawford Co. Pa.

So answers, E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Dec 14, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants for want of an answer and damages are assessed as follows: Amount claimed in plaintiff's complaint, Two Thousand Eight Hundred Six and 95/100 Dollars; Interest from October 4, 1988, Fifty-four and 67/100 Dollars; Total, Two Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty-one and 62/100 Dollars, plus attorneys' fees and costs of suit.

W-81, 51 Debt.....$2,861.62 + Atty fee & costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX/989-7

1988

David R. Devine
Devine and Kuftic

957.

RANDALL C. STEVENSON, SR.

vs.

DANIEL J. HOUSEHOLDER, AND
EDGAR D. HOUSEHOLDER, JR.

Filed Oct. 26, 1988
@ 9:12 a.m.

Tax & entry 11/10/88 $40.50 ✓
Constables costs 45.00

PETITION TO DISTRIBUTE PARTNERSHIP FUNDS

3 copies (atty)

ORDER:

October 26, 1988 - Argument scheduled for Nov. 10, 1988, at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2. Plaintiff to serve notice on defendants on or before Nov. 7, 1988.

Robert L. Walker, J.

Oct. 26, 1988, copy to David R. Devine.

Nov. 7, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. David R. Devine, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is the attorney for the Plaintiff and is authorized to make this Affidavit on behalf of the Plaintiff, and that two true and attested copies of the Petition To Distribute Partnership Funds were delivered in person by David Geer, Constable, to Daniel J. Householder and Edgar D. Householder at their residence, R.D.# 2 Saegertown, Pennsylvania 16433, on Octoberr28 and 29 of 1988, as shown by the attached statement of Constable David Geerr. Constable David Geer has been paid $45.00 for his services.

David R. DEvine, Esq.

Nov. 14, 1988, Motion for Continuance and order filed.

ORDER: AND NOW, this 15th day of Nov. 1988, upon consideration of the attached Motion it is hereby ordered that the Nov. 10, 1988 hearing be continued indefinitely pending the settlement of all the matters in dispute by counsel. Robert L. Walker, J

Nov. 17, 1988 copy of order to David Devine, Esq.

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

958.

JOY L. DELP

vs.

DOUGLAS R. DELP

Filed Oct. 26, 1988
@ 9:30 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
1 count paid 15.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, REQUEST FOR SPECIAL RELIEF

2 copies (atty)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 26th day of Oct. 1988, upon consideration of Count III- Request for Special RElief under Section 401(c) and Section 403(a) of the Divorce Code, hearing is set for the 21st day of Nov. 1988, at 2:00 p.m. courtroom 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

Pending said hearing, neither party shall dispose of, cash in, destroy, spend, give away or otherwise alienate any assets acquired between date of the parties marriage and date of separation, including but not limited to the proceeds of a cause of action that arose during the marriage upon which payment is expected within the immediate future.

Per Curiam,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Nov. 23, 1988, Complaint reinstated.

Nov. 30, 1988 Motion to reschedule hearing on Count III- Request for Special Relief and Order filed. (2 copies attorney). Order: And Now, 11-30-88, Hearing set Dec 20, 1988 at 10:00 a.m.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 11-30-88. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: July 3, 1997
July 8, 1997 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

Lewis and Ristvey
Veronica R. Anzalone

959.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MERCER COUNTY

vs.

RALPH L. BATCHELOR AND PRISCILLA A. BATCHELOR, HUSBAND AND WIFE

AND
PATTERSON BLOCK AND SUPPLY, INC.

Filed Oct. 26, 1988
@ 10:44 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	62.84

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

3 copies (sheriff)

Dec. 19, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: Before me, the undersigned authority personally appeared Douglas R. Long, Dep. Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 18th day of Nov. 1988, at 10:18 P.M. he served Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure upon Ralph L. Batchelor and Priscilla A. Batchelor, husband and wife at Patterson Block and Supply, Inc., Box 80, Clarks Mills, Pa. by handing to Nathan Batchelor, Mgr., person in charge a true and attested copy of the original complaint for each defendant and making known to him the contents thereof.

By: Douglas R. Long, Dep. Sheriff
So answers, William H. Romine, Jr. Sheriff of MercerCo.

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Douglas R. Long, Dep. Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 17th day of Nov. 1988 at 3:26P.M. he served Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure upon Patterson Block and Supply, Inc. at Patterson Block & Supply, Inc., Box 80 Route 358, Clarks Mills, Pa. by handing to Nathan Batchelor, Mgr. person in charge a true and attested copy of the original complaint and making known to him the contents thereof

By: Douglas R. Long, Dep. Sheriff
So answers, William H. Romine, Jr. Sheriff of Mercer Co.

SERVED Patterson Block and Supply within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer Co. Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Nov. 17, 1988.

SERVED Ralph L. Batchelor within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer Co. Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Nov. 18th 1988.

SERVED Priscilla A. Batchelor within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer Co. Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Nov. 18th, 1988.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Shafer, Swick Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
Charles J. Swick

960.

MARQUETTE SAVINGS ASSOCIATION

vs.

WILLIAM R. YODER AND
LILLIAN C. YODER

Filed Oct. 26, 1988
@ 11:03 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's costs 30.00

Pro Co pd 12/29/88 9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Nov. 22, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED William R. Yoder personally within named defendnat by handing a true copy of within Complaint to him on Nov. 7, 1988 at 9:30 AM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

SERVED Lillian C. Yoder personally within named defendant by handing a true copy of within Complaint to her November 7, 1988 at 3:24 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA.

So answers,

Carole Stefanucci, Deputy Sheriff
Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Dec. 29, 1988 at 10:22 am, upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the p laintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Fourteen Thousand Eight Hundred Fifteen and 81/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

Y-174-104
judgment entered 12/29/88

Debt. $14,815.81
Int. from 10/30/88 @ 7.5% PA
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX 1988 - 120

1988

Bettie J. Peterson-Muth

961.

ROSE M. CORY

vs.

PHILIP T. CORY

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Filed Oct. 26, 1988

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co. Pd 3/7/89 9.00

Feb. 21, 1989 Affidavit Of Service of Complaint by Mail filed. Bettie J. Peterson-Muth, being duly sworn according to law deposes thatsshe is an attorney at law duly authorized to practice law in the County of Crawford, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and that on November 9, 1988, she sent a copy of the complaint in Divorce, properly endorsed, to defendant, by certified mail, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to R. D. #3, box 378, Conneaut Lake, Pennsylvania, the defendant's post office box.

The original green card receipt beraing defendant's signature is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit A The original receipt for certified mail is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "B".

Bettie J. Peterson-Muth

Feb. 21, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

March 7, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

March 7, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Mar. 15, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, March 15, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that ROSE M. CORY plaintiff, and PHILIP T. CORY, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

412

1988

Kenneth G Vasil

Elderkin, Martin, Kelly, Messina & Zamboldi

962.

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY
800 Millcreek Mall
Erie, Pennsylvania

vs.

GENE T. ANTHONY AND BRENDA S. ANTHONY, HIS WIFE

Filed Oct. 27, 1988
@ 11:59 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
sheriffs costs 21.20

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Nov. 1, 1988 Sheriffs Return filed. Not Found, as to within named defendant Gene T. Anthony. Moved to Florida, no forwarding address.

Not Found, as to the within named defendant Brenda S. Anthony, Moved to Florida, no forwarding address.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Gary A. Fabian

963.

WILLIAM A. BECK, ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF EDWARD ALLEN BECK a/k/a EDWARD A. BECK a/k/a EDWARD A. BECK; JR.

vs.

EDWARD ALLEN BECK III AND KAREN KAY BECK, HIS WIFE

Filed Oct. 27, 1988
@ 4:25 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$ 40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	30.00
Pro Co pd 9/18/90	5.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Dec 16, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: I, Edward Allen Beck, III, hereby accept service of the complaint in the above captioned matter as to myself and on behalf of my spouse, Karen Kay Beck, and I hereby certify that I am authorized to do so.

Edward Allen Beck, III
Agent for Defendant

My mailing address is as follows: RD8, PO Box 624
Meadville, Pa 16335

Served Edward Allen Beck III, personally, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Summons to him on November 9, 1988 at 9:15 A.M. at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

SERVED Karen Kay Beck, within named defendant on November 9, 1988 at 9:16 A.M. by serving Edward Allen Beck III, her authorized agent, as per his signed Acceptance of Service hereto attached, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 14, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above-captioned suit is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

964.

4/13/89 Recd #40.32
From Defendant

Recd 6-6-89
#40.32
Vivian Stoltz

4/18/90 Recd
40.33 from pltf.
Recd #40.33
May 3, 1990
Vivian Stoltz

KAREN A. VAN TASSEL

vs.

BERNARD G. VAN TASSEL

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

3 copies (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

Filed Oct. 28, 1988
at 9:38 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Bruce Smith, Mediator
Mediation Cost 80.65
9.00

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 28th day of Oct. 1988, BRUCE SMITH is hereby appointed custody mediator to hear the claim for custody of Zackory J. Van Tassel and thereafter make a recommendation to the court.

You, Bernard G. VanTassel dft., have been sued in court to determine legal and physical custody. You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as the Custody Mediator shall direct. The parties are further directed to bring with them at that time the child or children the subject of this action.

Pending further order of court, plaintiff shall have sole legal and physical custody of ZackoryJ. Van Tassel, with the defendant to have reasonable rights of visitation as the parties agree.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order or to bring the child, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do hot have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford CountyBar Association, Service tothe Public Committee, c/o/ Prothonotary, Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335. 814 724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
Robert W. Walker, J.

Nov. 10, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared JOSEPH C. BARNHART, ESQ., who did depose and say that he is the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above -captioned matter and that he did serve a certified copy of a Complaint for Custody and Court Order as filed October28, 1988 upon the Defendant, at his address by United States certified mail, restricted delivery. Delivery of same being evidenced by postal return receipt #P 620 656 369 dated Oct. 31, 1988 attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and seal this 9th day of November, 1988.

Joseph C. Barnhart, Esq.

Dec. 28, 1988 Temporary Order of Court filed. AND NOW, this 28th day of Dec., 1988, after Mediation and upon recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Zackory J. VanTassel, age three, the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of the minor child. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitle both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the child held by a third party, including, but not limited to, school and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to educational and religious upbringing of the child, as well as elective surgery.

2. The primary physical custody of the child shall remain with the natural mother, Karen A. VanTassel. Temporary physical custody of the child shall be granted to the defendant father. Temporary physical custody of the child granted to the father shall be as follows:

a) On ten days' written or telephone notice to the plaintiff, the father shall be entitled to have the child in his temporary physical custody in Crawford County every other day from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m., prevailing time, during any ten-day or less visit which the father has in Crawford County from this time forward. The child shall continue to sleep at the mother's home and shall have day visits only with the father when he is in Crawford County, unless the p arties otherwise agree.

b) For calender year 1989, the father shall be entitled to take the child into his temporary physical custody at the residence of the mother for up to five consecutive weeks upon forty-five days' written notice to the mother of the time of the visit. Beginning in 1990 and years thereafter, the father shall be granted a like privilege, but for two consecutive calendar months. The same forty-five day notification provision will apply. After the child begins school, the two-month yearly visits with the father will have to take place during non-school periods during the months of June, July, and August.

c) Each parent willkeep the other informed of his or her address and telephone numbers, and each parent will be entitled to telephone access withthe child not less often than once per week while the child is in the other parent's home.

d) The father shall be responsible for picking up the child at themother's home and delivering the child to th emther's home; and, while the child is under twelve years of age, the father will transport and accompny the child during trips to and from his residence, unless the mother agrees to another arrangement prior to the pick-up o;r return of the child.

3. The parent having custody ofthe child at any time shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of injury or illness requiring medical treatment.

4. Neither ofthe parents shall tkae the child into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to his development or the development of his character or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to his physical person.

5. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody ofthe child; and, if the parties muutally agree to an alternative arrangement to accommodate themselves andthe child's schedule, they may adjust the physical custody arrangement accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

6. Each of the parents will teach the child to give to the other parent that love and respect due a prent form his or her child, and neither of the parents will do anything to blacken the character of the other parent in the eyes of the child.

7. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request a Mediation Conference if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the child. For the purposes of this paragraph, relocation of the mother's principal residence outside of Crawford County would be considered a changed circumstance.

8. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either parent orthe child resides in the Court's jurisdiction and for at least six months from the date ofthis Temporary Order.

Continued to Page 414A

4/4 A

1988

VanTassel vs. VanTassel A.D. 1988 - 964 CUSTODY

9. The fee for the Child Custody Meditaor shall be paid 50% by the mother and 50% by the father.

10. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent Order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty days from the date of this Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J

Jan. 3, 1989 Copies of order to Joseph Barnhart, Plaintiff, defendant and Bruce Smith

4/14 B

1988

1988

Jorden and White
William T. Jorden

965.

BETSY A. PRYSTALOSKI

vs.

CHRISTOPHER REIBEL

Filed Oct. 28, 1988
@ 10:43

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	26.15
Pro Co Pd 2/17/89	6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Jan. 19, 1989 Writ Return filed. Janaury 18, 1989 Summons returned to Prothonotary's Office not served, per instructions from Atty. William T. Jorden, Meadville, Crawford Co. PA.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart,
Sheriff

Feb. 17, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

John R. Gavin

966.

Bruce L. Smith

CONSTANCE MALIK

vs.

HERBERT HASBROUCK d/b/a
HASBROUCK SAND & GRAVEL

Filed Oct. 28, 1988
@ 11:15 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.25 ✓
Arb. Pd. 1-19-89 - 15.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 4-3-89 6.00 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF RONALD A. COLE, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 3 - 6

Oct. 28, 1988, Rule entered upon Plaintiff to File Complaint Issued.

Nov. 1, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

Dec. 2, 1988, Complaint filed.

Dec. 22, 1988 Answer to Complaint filed.

Jan. 10, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for reference to the arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed: James R. Irwin, Ch.
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick
William Pineo

Jan. 25, 1989, ARbitrators take the Qualifying Oath.

Jan. 30, 1989 Notice Of Arbitration Hearing filed.

Feb. 17, 1989 Notice Of Arbitration Hearing filed.

Mar. 10, 1989 Decision of Arbitrators filed in which they find in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant in the $825.50 + cost of suit + interest from date of this Judgment at the legal rate of interest.
H-84-184 DECISION OF ARBITRATORS

Mar. 14, 1989 Mailed copies to both attorneys.

Mar 30, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

967.

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
Nancy M. Sennett

MICHELLE A. HEFFERNAN

vs.

CHRISTIE L. McGILL

Filed Oct. 28, 1988
@ 2:02 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 64.10
Pro Co Pd 1-7-91 6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Dec. 9, 1988, ~~Complaint~~ Summons reinstated.

Jan. 16, 1989 Writ Reissued.

Feb. 17, 1989 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by Nancy M. Sennett for Defendant filed.

Feb. 21, 1989, Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, Rule Entered Upon plaintiff to file Complaint, issued. (atty. Sennett)

Feb. 22, 1989, Certificate of Service of Rule to File Complaint, filed.

Mar. 1, 1989 Writ Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Ronald L. Clark, Chief Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn accor ding to law, deposes and says that on the 26th day of January, 1989, at 4:57 P.M., he served Summons, filed at No. 967 AD Term 1988, Crwaford County Pennsylvania, upon defendnat CHRISTIE L. McGILL at 334 Main St., Greenville, Pennsylvania 16125 By making known the contents thereof to Christie McGill personally and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the summons received from Sheriff of Crawford County.
So answers, Ronald L. Clark, Chief Deputy Sh.
William H. Romine, Jr., Sheriff
SERVED Christie L. McGill by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer County, PA as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Jan. 26, 1989.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr. 14, 1989, Notice of Service of Interrogatories and REquest for production of Documents filed.

May 8, 1989 Complaint filed.

July 5, 1989 Stipulation filed.

July 5, 1989 Answer and New Matter of Defendant, Christie L. McGill to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Oct. 9, 1989 Certificate of Service of Motion and Order filed.

Oct. 13, 1989, Motion of Defendant, Christie L. McGill to Compel Answers to Interrogatories and Responses to Requests for Production of Documents, and Order filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, this 13 dayof Oct. 1989, upon consideration of the Motion fo Defendant, Christie L. McGill, the plaintiff, Michelle A. Heffernan, is hereby ordered to serve answers to Defendants Interrogatories propiunded to the plaintiff and serve Responses to Defendant's requests for Production of documents propounded to the plaintiff within 14 days of the date of this order ofr plaintiff iwll suffer imposition of appropriate sanctions pursuant to Pa. R.D.P. 4019, including, but not limited to, attorneys fees incurred by teh defendant in presenting the Motion to comple and any subsequent motion to impose or enforce sanctions.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker J.
Oct. 13, 1989, copies of order to Attys. J. Mason and N. Sennett.

Oct. 27, 1989 Answer To New Matter filed.

June 29, 1990 Notice of Taking Deposition on Oral Examination Under Pa. R.C.P. No. 4007.1 of Michelle A. Heffernan filed.

Jan 7, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Swanson, Bevevino and Millin, P.C.
Paul H. Millin

968.

William Walker

MARVIN GIBSON AND BARBRA GIBSON, HIS WIFE
DAVID W. SWANSON, WILLIAM A. BEVEVINO, PAUL H. MILLIN AND STEVEN J. GILFORD

vs.

RONALD MILES AND ELLEN MILES, HUSBAND AND WIFE

Filed Oct. 31, 1988
@ 10:52 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs 34.30
Pro Co Paid 4-3-89 15.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 12/13/89 9.00 ✓ 10.00
Exempted 9/13/90

1989 March Argument List

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Nov 23, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Ronald Miles within named defendant on November 10, 1988 at 11:40 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Stella Wiant adult person in charge of the residence, at 321 N. Washington St., Titusville, Crawford Co., Pa.
SERVED Ellen Miles within named defendant on November 10, 1988 at 11:41 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Stella Wiant, adult person in charge of the residence, at 321 N. Washington Street, Titusville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb 21, 1989 Preliminary Objections filed.

Feb. 24, 1989 Motion For Allowance of Counsel filed.

Feb. 24, 1989 Preliminary Objections filed.

Feb. 24, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned case is listed for argument.

Mar 10, 1989 Answer Containing Counterclaim filed.

Mar 28, 1989 Stipulations Regarding Preliminary Objections filed.

Mar 29, 1989 Answer to Defendant's New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

Mar 29, 1989 Plaintiffs' Attorney files Praecipe for Arbitrators, whereupon the following arbitrators are appointed:

Robert S. Bailey, Chairman

John B. Brautigam

Sylvia L. Dratler

May 4, 1989 Arbitrators take qualifying oath.

June 9, 1989, Decision of the Arbitrators filed in which they find in favor of the plaintiffs on defendant's counterclaim and in favor of plaintiff on complaint in the amount of $1,605.99 plus interest from 9/30/88 and costs of suit.
Decision entered - 6/9/89
M - 141, 51

DECISION OF ARBITRATORS
James F. Simmons, Pro.

June 9, 1989, copy put in atty. Walker's box; copy mailed to Atty. Millen, with exhibits.

Dec. 13, 1989, at 11:51 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered on the decision of Arbitrators entered June 9, 1989 in the above action in the amount of One Thousand Six Hundred Five and 99/100 Dollars, in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants plus int. from 9/30/88 and costs of suit.
M - 141, 51
Judgment entered
12/13/89

Debt --- $1,605.99
Int. from 9/30/88
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin and Stack
James R. Irwin

969.

Quinn, Gent, Buseck &
Leemhuis, Inc.
Paul F. Burroughs

VICTOR T. CAMASI

vs.

GENERAL MOTORS, INC.

Filed Oct. 31, 1988
@ 1:56 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd. 2/1/89 6.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Nov. 18, 1988 Verification filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, ESQUIRE, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for Plaintiff and that as such, I am authorized to execute this Verification; that I did, on the 4th day of Nov. 1988 send by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, to GENERAL MOTORS, INC. a true and correct copy of the Complaint filed at the above term and number at the corporation's last known address of 3044 West Grand Boulevard, Detroit, MI - 48202, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P-547-801-432 and that on the 10th day of Nov. 1988 I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 8th day of Nov. 1988.

James R. Irwin, Esq.

Nov. 28, 1988 Appearance of Quinn Gent Buseck & Leemhuis, Inc. by Paul F. Burroughs, attorneys for defendant, filed.

Nov. 29, 1988, STIPULATION between parties' counsel filed.

Jan. 4, 1989 Default Notice filed.

Jan. 31, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

420

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma and Schug
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

970.

RICHARD COLEMAN, JR.

vs.

PAMELA ANN COLEMAN

Filed Oct. 31, 1988
@ 3:58 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co. PD. 2/21/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 4, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, November 4th, 1988, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the Attorney for Richard Coleman, Jr. Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished to her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P 401 440 928, postmarked Oct. 31, 1988, to the last known address of the DefendANT, Pamela Ann Coleman, 293 W. John St. Meadville, Pa. 16335

3. ThaT THE Defendant, Pamela Ann Coleman, on Nov. 2, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matterand which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

4. Service of the Complaint against the Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq.

Feb 15, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 21, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 21, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Mar. 7, 1989 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And Now, March 7, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Richard Coleman, Plaintiff and Pamela Ann Coleman, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

By the Court:
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Watts,Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs PC
Christopher J. Youngs

971.

KAREN S. MATHERS

vs.

CHARLES MATHERS

Filed Oct. 31, 1988
@ 4:03 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$40.50
Pro Co Pd 6/16/89	9.00
State Pd 6/16/89	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OR 201(d) OF
THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 17, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Karen S. Mathers, certify that I served the above-captioned Defendant, Charles Mathers, by hand-delivering a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce to the Defendant, Charles Mathers, to his residence at 8560 Pineway Drive, Laurel, Maryland 20707 on Nov. 4, 1988.

Karne S. Mathers

Mar. 2, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Mar. 2, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 12, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, this case moved to court. (praecipe for review)

June 22, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, June 22, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that KAREN S. MATHERS, Plaintiff, and CHARLES MATHERS, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Ferguson & Spataro

972.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
By: Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

Bozic and Thomas
By: R.Charles Thomas
for Y, Acker

VIC KRESS REAL ESTATE

vs.

RALPH A. ACKER AND
YVONNE ACKER, HIS WIFE

Filed Nov. 1, 1988
@ 10:33 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs	26.00
Appeal pd. 10/17/89	30.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 10/26/89	9.00 ✓
Pro Co. PD 2/8/90	5.00 ✓
Certif. Pd 2/9/90	1.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Nov. 21, 1988 Answer, New Matter and Crossclaim of Ralph A. Acker filed.

Nov. 22, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Ralph A. Acker within named defendant on Nov. 4, 1988 at 2:07 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Mrs. Germaine Warren, adult member of family, namely his mother, at their residence, RD #4, Bailey Road, Meadville, Crawford Co., PA.

SERVED Yvonne Acker personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on Nov. 4, 1988 at 2:12 PM at her residence, RD #4, Hager Rd., Meadville, Crawford Co. PA.

So answers,

Edgar D. Household, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov., 23, 1988 Appearance of Bozic and Thomas, By: R. Dharles Thomas Attorney for Defendant , Yvonne Acker filed.

Nov. 30, 1988 Preliminary Objections to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Dec. 16, 1988, Certificate of Mailing of Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant Ralph A. Acker's Ner Matter, filed.

On January 1989 Argument List

Dec. 16, 1989 Plaintiff's Reply To Defendant, Ralph A. Acker's, New Matter filed.

Dec. 19, 1988. Upon praecipe of plaintiff, Preliminary Objections placed on next argument list.

Feb 15, 1989 Re: Preliminary Objections, Memorandum and Order filed: And Now, February 14, 1989, the Preliminary Objections filed by Defendant Yvonne Acker are denied. The defendant is granted 20 days in which to file a responsive pleading.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Feb 15, 1989 Copies of order to attys.

Mar. 20, 1989 Answer to Complaint filed.

Mar. 31, 1989 Plaintiff's Reply To Defendant Yvonne Acker's New Matter and and Answer To Yvonne Acker's Counterclaim filed.

April 10, 1989 Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON TRIAL LIST FOR JUNE 1989.

June 8, 1989 Motion to Place on Non Jury List and Order filed: AND NOW, Jun 7, 1989, the within motion is granted and this case is placed on the Non-Jury Trial List and is set for trial on June 15, 1989 at 9:30 A.M. before Judge Thomas.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 14, 1989 Copies of order put in attys. Ferguson, Kirkpatrick and Thomas' boxes.

June 15, 1989 Exhibits filed.

June 20, 1989, VERDICT filed. AND NOW, june 15, 1989, the court having already ruled during the course of the trial on the counterclaim of Yvonne Acker against Vic Kress and decided same in favor of Kress, and on the counterclaim of Ralph Acker against Yvonne Acker requesting attorney fees, the court now rules on the principal case in favor of the plaintiff Vic Kress Real Estate and against Yvonne Acker only and awards the Plaintiff the sum of $5,694.11 plus interest at 6 percent from August 1, 1988.

The court rules in favor of defendant Ralph A. Acker, the remaining defendant.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

June 20, 1989, copies of verdict in all attorneys boxes.

June 26, 1989 Motion For Post-Trial Relief and ORDER filed. AND NOW this 26 day of June, 1989, upon consideration of the within Motion, a Rule is granted upon the Plaintiff to show cause, if any he has, why a new trial should not be awarded to the Defendnat Yvonne ACker in the above-entitled case. This Defendant does not desire to have any portion of the record transcribed and therefore argument on this Motion shall be held at the next regularly scheduled Argument List of this Court. Pro schedule for July 1989 Argument list.

By The Court
P. Richard Thomas, J.

6-26-89 Copy of Order to Attys. M. Ann Kirkpatrick, Douglas Ferguson, R. Charles Thomas.

On July 1989 Argument List

Sept. 19, 1989, RE: MOTION FOR POST-TRIAL RELIEF: NEW TRIAL - MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, September 18, 1989 we dismiss the defendant Yvonne Acker's Motion for Post-trial Relief.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Sept. 19, 1989, Telephone calls and copies in boxes of attorneys of record.

Continued on Page 422A

1988

Vic Kress Real Estate
vs.
Ralph A. Acker and Yvonne Acker, his wife

Record Continued from page 422
of
AD 1988 - 972

Oct. 17, 1989, Notice of Appeal of Yvonne Acker, one of the Defendants, to the Superior Court of Pennsylvania, from Order entered in this matter on September 18, 1989, filed. (Proof of Service attached)

And Now, Oct. 26, 1989 upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered on the verdict in favor of plaintiff and againsnt the defendant Yvonne Acker, in the amount of Five Thousand Six Hundred Ninety Four and 00/100 dollars, plus costs of suit and interest at 6% per annum from 8/1/88.
Judgment entered - Oct. 26, 1989
A - 174

Debt ----$5,694.00
Int. from 8/1/88
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 26, 1989 notice of entry of judgment to defendants counsel.

Nov. 3, 1989 Amended Notice Of Appeal of Defendant Yvonne Acker to the Superior Court of Pennsylvania and Proof of Service filed.

Nov. 20, 1989, Notice of Appeal Docketing - Superior Court Docket No. Assigned 01726PGH89 filed.

February 8, 1990 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney, Ferguson & Spataro, Douglas W. Ferguson, Esq. this judgment satisfied of record at the above captioned number and term.

Mar.12, 1990, Record Remanded From the Superior Court of Pa., and Certified Copy of order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Jan. 30, 1990, the within appeal is DISMISSED for failure to file a brief.

PER CURIAM,
Mar. 14, 1990, copy of Certificate mailed to Superior Court of Pa.

422B

1988

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

973.

CAROL A. CAMP

vs.

JOHN E. CAMP

Filed Nov. 1, 1988
@ 11:26 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
2 counts paid	30.00
Pro. Co. Pd. 3/27/90	9.00
State Surcharge Pd.	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 17, 1989 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq., Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, despose and say that I did on Nov. 3, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, John E. Camp, by certified mail #P-547 808 406, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received on Nov. 7, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Atty.

Mar. 15, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

March 27, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

March 27, 1990 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Apr. 10, 1990 **S T I P U L A T I O N A N D D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 10th day of April, 1990, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. Divorce: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. **CUSTODY: The parties hereto shall share legal custody of the two minor children, Don W. Camp, born December 3, 1984 and Brittany E. Camp, born May 27, 1987, so that each party shall have equal access to important documents relating to the children, and they shall communicate with each other regarding major decisions for the c hildren relating to religious, medical and educational matters.**

Plaintiff, Carol A. Camp, shall have primary physical custody of the two minor children.

Defendant, John E. CAmp, shall have temporary custody of the children every other weekend beginning with the weekend of August 26th through 27th, with the hours to be decided upon between the parents at least twenty-four hours in advance, with said flexibility as to hours of commencement and return being necessary to some extent because of father's work schedule which invoves some overtime and different shift assignments.

Father shall be entitled to additional periodic weekday temporary custody as the aprties shall agree, but not to interfere with the children's schedule or the mother's plans for the children, and provided that the father gives mother at least twenty-four hours advance request.

Father and mother shall divide major holidays consisting or Thanksgiving, Christmas Eve and Christmas Day, New Year's Day, easter Sunday, Memorial Day, indempendence Day, and Labor Day, as well a sthe children's birthday's, and they shall determine the schedule in regard to the exact hours for each said holiday visitation. They shall attempt to arrange the hours of said holiday visitation at least one week in advance of said holiday visit.

Father shall be entitled to an additional two weeks of visitation with the children during the months of June, July and August, not to interfere with their school schedule at such time as they begin attending school, and he shall atttempt to give mother at least fifteen days advance notice of his requested additional time with the children. Said additional fourteen days may be taken throughout the summer in periods as short as an extra day here and there, or in periods as long as upo to one week continuousaly until both children as six years old, and thereafter said two week period may be taken consecutively if father wishes.

3. **EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION:**

A. **RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE:** The parties hereto own residential real estate located in Cussewago Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, which is presently held by them as tenants by the entitety. Said property is presently listed for sale. At the time of sale, the principal balance on the mortgage owed to Colony Savings in Pittsburgh shall be paid, which mortgage is in Defendant's name alone. The principal balance owed to Pennbank for the bathroom remodeling loan shall also be paid out of the proceeds of the home, said loan abeing owed by both parties.

Pending sale of the home, and since the time of separation, Plaintiff and the children occupied the home through May 12, 1989, and Plaintiff paid the mortgage and real estate tax escrow through June 1989. Beginning with June, 1989, Defendant has assumed mortgage payments and has assumed occupancy of the jointly owned residence. Until time of sale of the residence, Defendant shall remain responsible for keeping current the mortgage principal and interest payment each month, adn the tax escrow payment, and the homeowners' insurance payment, in consideration for his continued occupancy of the home. The property will stay listed for sale until such time as it is sold. After payment ofthe above noted mortgage in favor of Colony Savings, and installment loan payment inn favor of Pennbank, and after orinary expenses of sale including a realtor's commission, 1% sellers' realty transfer tax, and customary closing fees, the net balance of proceeds from the sale of the real estate shall be divided equally between the parties.

B **TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY:** Each party shall retain those items of tangible personal property that he or she now has, with the following exceptions:

1) Plaintiff shall retain the Curtis Mathis camcorder, which is presently being shared between the partie,s and Plaintiff shall retain the refrigerator and microwave oven presently located in the jointly owned home, which appliances she shall be entitled to have in her possession upo her request.

2) Defendant shall be entitled to have upon request, the living room furniture now in Plaintiff's possession, which consists of the couch and matching chair and matching love seat.

3) The dining room suite, consisting of a pine table and four matching chairs, and a china cabinet, now in Plaintiff's possession, shall be sold and the proceeds equally divided between the parties.

C. **VEHICLES:** Defendant shall retain the 1983 Ford pickup truck, which is titled jointly, and Plaiantiff will transfer all of her right, title and interest in the same to the defendant, within ten days after the time that the divorce decree is granted.

Wife shall rtain the proceeds from the 1979 MOnte Carlo automobile, which has been sold, but which vehicle was hers and fully paid for, before the time these parties were married. Defendant shall make no claim to the 1985 Monte Carlo automobile, bought by Plaintiff subsequent to the parties' separation.

Defendant shall retain the Honda three wheel all terrain vehicle, and the trailer utilized for the transporting of said all terrain vehicle, and shall also retain the small flatbed trailer.

D. **INVESTMENTS, PENSION, CREDIT UNION ACCOUNT AND SAVINGS ACCOUNT:**

1) Plaintiff hereby relinquishes any right, title and interest shye may otherwise have in Defendant's Meadville Forging pension and retirement plan, and in his Meadville Forging Credit Union account, and in the savings account with Pennbank which was titled to dEfendant and which contained approximately $1,000.00 at time of separation.

2) In consideration therefore, Defendant relinquishes an right, title and interest he may otherwise have in the Putnam Trust III investment fund, presently titled in both names, which had an approximate value of $983.00 at date of separation. He will formally relinquish his interest in said PUtnam Trust Fund within ten days of the granting of the divorce decree in this case.

4. **WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS:** Neither party hereto shall be required to file an INcome and Expense Statement nor an INventory and Appraisement in accordance with the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure, as each party is aware to his or her own satisfaction, of the assets and debts of th eother party hereto.

5. **WAIVER OF CLAIMS:** Neither aprty hereto shall make any claim againts tht eother party hereto, except for enforcement of the rights and responsibilities set forth in this decree.

BY THE COURT,

Gordon R. Miller, J

424

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

974.

~~Dale, Woodard, Montgomery, Greenfield & Pemrick~~
~~Lorraine J. Heeter~~
withdrew
~~Bruce A. Barret~~,
withdrew

NICHOLAS SPATHAROS

vs.

BEVERLY W. SPATHAROS

Filed Nov. 1, 1988
@ 11:26 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
1 count paid 15.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 6/2/89 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 4, 1988 Petition for Special Relief and Order filed: Order: AND NOW, this 4th day of November, 1988, upon consideration of the attached Petition for Special Relief, hearing is set for the 10th day of Nov.,1988 at 10:00 o'clock a.m., courtroom #1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

Pending said hearing, neither party shall remove any further items of personal property from the marital residenc e, nor shall either party jeopardize any other assets acquired during the marriage, including investments of any sort.

PER CURIAM,,
Robert L. Walker, J.

3 copies - attorney

Nov. 10, 1988 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Nov. 10, 1988, at the time fixed for hearing, both parties having appeared and being represented by counsel have agreed to the following Order being entered by the Court:

1. Neither party shall dispose of any marital assets presently in their own possession and shall maintain those assets in their present form until further Order of Court. Each party shall file an informal accounting to the other on or before Nov. 30, 1988, of the marital assets currently in their possession.

2. Possession of the marital domicle shall remain with the Plaintiff.

3. The Defendant may, at the close of this hearing, go to the marital domicle and obtain possession of her clothing and personal effects and approximately one-half of the food stuffs which were either home canned or home frozen during the time that the marriage was continuing. The term "personal effects" shall not be deemed to include furniture or other household items obviously used by both parties.

4. In the accounting required in the foregoing Order, each party shall include in that accounting any marital asset that has been disposed of prior to this Order and advise the other party of the whereabouts of such asset.

By Order of The Court,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Nov. 15, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Joan Mason, Bruce Barrett.

Dec. 1, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Atty. for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on Nov. 1, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Beverly W. Spatharos by certified mail #P-547 808 414, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Beverly W. Spatharos on Nov. 3, 1988 asindicated by the return receipt caRD WHICH WAS RETURNED TO THE OFFICE OF THE Plaintiff's atty. and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Atty. for Plaintiff

May 24, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, May 23, 1989, the parties tothis divorce proceeding having written to the Court indicating that they wished to terminate the divorce action but has been prevented from doing so by counsel, a hearing is hereby scheduled on the request of the parties for June 2, 1989 at 1:30 o'clock in Courtroom 2, Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania, at which time both parties should appear together with any counsel currently representing the parties.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,

May 24, 1989 Copies mailed to Joan Mason, Bruce Barret and Keith Pemrick

May 30, 1989 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attorney Lorraine J. Heeter her appearance is hereby withdrawn with consent of defendant.

May 31, 1989 Motion For Withdrawal of Appearance of Bruce Barrett and Order filed. And now May 31, 1989 The abaove motion allowed.

P. Richard Thomas, J

June 6, 1989 Copies of order put in Joan Mason and Bruce Barrett's box.

June 5, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, June 2, 1989, pursuant to scheduling by the Court, both of the parties to this proceeding have appeared in open court and although notice was given to all counsel who had been involved in this matter, no counsel have appeared at this time and both of the aprties having indicated that they wish to terminate this divorce proceeding, this proceeding is discontinued of record upon payment of the Prothonotary's cost of $5.00

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

June 6, 1989 Copies of order put in Joan Mason's box.

1988

Jack, Kookogey & Felton
Robert J. Felton

975.

PAUL A. KUCNICK

vs.

HATTIE B. KUCNICK

Filed Nov. 2, 1988
@ 10:37 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 4/13/89	9.00
State pd 4/13/89	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 12, 1989 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, Robert J. Felton, Esquire, 144 West Spring Street, Titusville, Pennsylvania, Attorney for Paul A. Kucnick, Plaintiff in the above action, do hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed at the above term and number upon the Defendant, Hattie B. Kucnick, 604 Elm Court, Erie, Pennsylvania, 16503, by mailing the same to her by certified Mail NO. P 620 653 698, the same having been received by the Defendant on November 5th, 1988, as verified by the return receipt attached hereto. Robert J. Felton

Apr. 12, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 12, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr. 12, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) ofthe Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Apr. 24, 1989 D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D.

And Now, April 24, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that PAUL A. KUCNICK, plaintiff, and HATTIE B. KUCNICK, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
Charles J. Swick

976.

MARQUETTE SAVINGS ASSOCIATION

vs.

HARRY GALLANT AND ROBIN GALLANT, HIS WIFE

Filed Nov. 2, 1988
@ 11:38 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 11/23/88 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Nov. 23, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above case is settled and discontinued of record without prejudice to commence further foreclosure actions in the event of additional defaults.

Dec 2, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: Copies of the within Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure being returned to Prothonotary's Office unserved December 2, 1988, as per instructions from Atty. Charles J. Swick.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Shafer, Swick Bailey
Irwin & Stack;
Charles J. Swick

977.

MARQUETTE SAVINGS
ASSOCIATION

vs.

BOOKER T. EDWARDS, JR. AND
FAYE EDWARDS

Filed Nov. 2, 1988
@ 11:38 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	20.00
Pro Co Pd 12/1/88	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Nov. 22, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Booker T. Edwards, Jr. personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure to him on Nov. 10, 1988 at 4:02 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.
Faye Edwards not served, copy of Complaint returned to Prothonotary as per instructions from Atty. Charles Swick.
So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 29, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the debor having cured the default by payment of arrearages and principal and interest, fees and costs in accordance with Act 6 of 1974, this case is settled and discontinued of record, without prejudice to commence further foreclosure actions in the event of additional defaults.

1988

978.

Bruce A. Barrett

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

HARRY W. BOYER, JR.

Filed Nov. 2, 1988
@ 11:42 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

PETITION FOR APPEAL FROM SUSPENSION OF DRIVER'S LICENSE BY THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION

2 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 2nd dayof November, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition a hearing is set to determine whether the license suspension should be set aside or modified.

A hearing is set for the 29th day of Dec. 1988 at 9:30 a.m. at the Crawford CountyCourthouse, Meadville, Pa. in C. R. 1 or 2, of the courthouse. The Petitioner is directed to give thirty days written notice to the Dept. of Trans. Bur. of Driver Licensing, Citation Processing Div., Rm. 302, Trans. and Safety Bldg. Harrisburg, Pa. 17120 and also to give written notice to the Dept. of Trans. Office of Chief Counsel 1209 State Office Bldg. Pgh, Pa. 15222, with both notices to be by cert. mail ret. rec. req.

Pending said hearing, the Petitioner's drivers license is to be reinstated and the petitioner is authorized to operate a motor vehicle from Nov. 25 1988 til date of the hearing.

Robert L Walker, J.

Nov. 9, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Bruce A. Barrett, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, inforamtion and belief.

1. That the affiant is the attorney for the Defendant in the above captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Petition for Appeal from Suspension of Driver's License by the PA. Dept. of Transportation was sent by registered mail to Dept. of Transportation, Office of Chief Counsel, 1209 State Office Bldg., Pgh, PA 15222 on Nov. 2, 1988. Further, a true and correct copy of the same Petition was also sent by registred mail to Dept. of Transportation,Bureau of Driver Licensing, Citation Processing Divion, Room 302, Transportation and Safety Bldg., Harrisburg, PA 17120 on Nov. 2, 1988. The sender's receipts are attached hereto.

3. That the Dept. of Transporation, Office of Chief Counsel received said registered mail on Nov. 3, 1988. Said receipt card number P 724 950 981 is attached hereto and signed by A. Williams.

That the Dept. of Transportation, Bureau of Driver Licensing, Citation Processing Division received said registered mail on Nov. 4, 1988. Said receipt card number P 724 950 980 is attached hereto and signed by Scott A. Klouser.

Bruce A. Barrett, Esq.

Dec. 29, 1988 Exhibits filed.

Dec. 29, 1988 Order filed. AND NOwW December 29, 1988, the defendant's appeal from suspension of his operating privileges is DENIED and the suspension imposed by the Department of Transportation reinstated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Dec. 29, 1988 Copies to Melissa Dively and Bruce Barrett

1988

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

979.

pro se

BILL REGES

vs.

JAMES RING t/d/b/a
RING PAINTING

Filed Nov. 2, 1988
@ 1:49 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	20.50
	9.00

CIVIL COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 22, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED James Ring, t/d/b/a Ring Painting on Nov. 16, 1988 at 11:22 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Susan Ring, Adult member of family, namely wife, at their residence , 121 S. Franklin St. Cochranton, Crawford Co. Pa.
So answeers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 5, 1988 Answer filed.

Dec 13, 1989 Motion for Sanctions Per Pa.R.C.P. Rule 4019 and Order filed: AND NOW, this 13 day of December, 1989, upon Motion by the Plaintiff, the Defendant is hereby ORDERED to produce for the purposes of inspection and photocopying, those documents and writings requested by the Plaintiff in its Request for Production of Documents dated August 21, 1989 and mailed that day to the Defendant. Further, the Defendant is advised that the Defendant's failure to produce the requested documents or writings within fifteen (15) days of the date of this Order, shall entitle the Plaintiff to an Order of of Court imposing sanctions against the Defendant and in favor of the Plaintiff per Rule 4019 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.
Dec 14, 1989 Copies of order put in Atty. Toomey's box.

Sept. 5, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Plaintiff's Motion for Judgment on the pleadings placed on next argument list. 1 copy (atty)

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR OCTOBER 1990

Sept. 7, 1990 Motion For Judgment on the Pleadings filed.

Oct. 30, 1990, Affidavit of Service of Plaintiff's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, Brief in Support of Plaintiffs motion for judgment on the Pleadings, and Argument praecipe, upon defendant, on Oct. 9, 1990, filed.

Oct. 30, 1990, ORDER; AND NOW, Oct. 29, 1990, after argument on the plaintiffs motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, said Motion is granted and judgment is granted in favor othe plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of $820.37.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Oct. 31, 1990, copies to T. Toomey and defendant.
Judgment entered - 10/30/90 - Debt - $820.37
R - 93, 183 JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

EX/1991-33

1988

William B. Moore

980.

COCHRANTON CO-OPERATIVE ASSOCIATION

vs.

ERNEST R. OAKES, AND JANET L. OAKES

Filed Nov. 2, 1988
At 3:54 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	27.12
Pro Co Pd 12-23-88	9.00
Pro.Co. pd 11/3/93	5.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Dec 2, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Ernest R. Oakes, within named defendant on November 16, 1988 at 11:02 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Linda Oakes, adult member of the family, his daughter, at their residence, R.D.#1, Cochranton, Crawford Co., Pa

SERVED Janet Oakes within named defendant on November 16, 1988 at 11:03 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Linda Oakes, adult member of the family namely daughter, at their residence, RD#1, Cochranton, Crawford Co., Pa.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Dec. 23, 1988 @ 9:23 A.M., Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, with notice of Judgment attache, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to file an answer and the same is liquidated in the amount of Thirteen Thousand Nine Hundred Seventy-two and 07/100 Dollars plus interest at the rate of 1.5% per month from November 2, 1988, plus costs of suit.

0-56, 96

Debt ....$13,972.07 + Int & Costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Dec 23, 1988 Judgment entered.

Nov. 3, 1993 Upon Praecipe of William B. Moore, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff, the above judgment is now absolutely and irrevocably satisfied of record.

1988

Bruce L. Smith

981.

BONNIE L. WILLIAMSON

vs.

RUSSELL E. WILLIAMSON

Filed Nov. 3, 1988
@ 9:06 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 2/13/89 9.00 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 3/9/89 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c), DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Nov 9, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: BRUCE L. SMITH, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 4th day of November, 1988, he served the Complaint and Notice to Defend and Claim Rights in the above matter on the above named Defendant by mailing a true and correct copy of said Complaint and Notice to Defend and Claim Rights to the Defendant at his residence at 805 E. South Street, Corry, Pa 16407, and that the Complaint and accompanying Notice to Defend and Claim Rights were received by the Defendant on November 5, 1988, as evidenced by return receipt No. P-620 643 220 attached hereto, all pursuant to Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure No. 412.

Bruce, L. Smith
Attorney for Plaintiff

Feb. 13, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 13, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 13, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Mar. 6, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, March 6, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Bonnie L. Williamson, plaintiff, and Russell E. Williamson, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

March 9, 1989 Notice of Intention to Resume Prior name filed. Bonnie L. Williamson to be known as Bonnie L. Eastman.

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

982.

Jos Barnhart

JAMES C. IRWIN

vs.

BARBARA ANN BROWN PRENATT

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

APPOINTMENT OF A CUSTODY MEDIATOR:
AND NOW, this 3rd day of November, 1988, BRUCE L. SMITH, ESQUIRE, is hereby appointed Custody Mediator and is hereby directed to hold such cusotdy mediation conference as he deems appropriate and to make recommendations thereafter to this Honorable Court. Temporary physical cusotdy of the child, Jennifer Lynn Brown is granted to plaintiff, James C. Irwin, until further order of court. Robert L. Walker, J.

Filed Nov. 3, 1988
@ 11:43 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Bruce Smith, Mediator 117.25

Bro Co fld 12/1/88 9.00 ✓

12/1/88 Recd. # 58.63 From Plaintiff

Recd 12-30-88
58.63
Vivian Stoltz

Nov 9, 1988 Return of Service filed, Claude Lemley, being duly served according to law, deposes and says:
That he is a duly qualified constable in and for Crawford County, Pennsylvania, and that he did serve a Certified Copy of the Notice and Complaint for Custody in the above entitled matter, upon the Defendant, Barbara Ann Brown Prenatt, on November 4, 1988, at 6:35 p.m. at her place of employment, Mark Anthony's, Titusville, Pennsylvania 16354.
Said service was made by reading the same to her and making the contents thereof known to her, and by handing her the Certified copy of the said Complaint for Custody.
Claude Lemley, Constable

Dec. 2, 1988 Temporary Order of Court filed: AND NOW, this 30 day of Nov., 1988, after Mediation and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Jennifer Lynn Brown, age seven, born September 16, 1981, to the parties out of wedlock, shall share legal custody of the minor child. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitled both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the child held by third partis, including, but not limited to, school and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to educational and religious upbring of the child, as well as elective surgerry.

2. Primary physical custody of the child shall be transferred back to the plaintiff mother. Visitation and temporary custody of the child shall be granted to the plaintiff father. The visitation and temporary physical custody schedule for the father is as follows:

a. The father shall have the right to take the child into his temporary physical custody on the first, second, and fourth weekends of each month beginning in December, 1988, which weekends shall begin at 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Friday, and shall end at 6:00 p.m., prevailing time on Sunday. The father shall also have the right to visit with the child for two and one-half hours each Wednesday during the period of time from 5:00 p.m. to 7:30 p.m., prevailing time.

b. During the summer of each year, beginning with the summer of 1989, the father shall be entitled to have the child in his exclusive custody and control during the months of June and August, and shall have regular weekend visitation with the child during July of each summer. These summer visits shall be exercised so as not to impair the child's progress in school; if school is out after May 31 or begins before September 1, the child shall be returned to the mother's home two days before the beginning of school, and shall not be picked up until two days after the ending of school if that hapens in June or August of any year.

c. During even-numbered years, the mother shall have the child on Easter, the 4th of July, and Thanksgiving Day; the father shall have the child on Memorial Day and Labor Day. During odd-numbered years, the schedule shall be reversed with the father having three holidays and the mother having two. Holiday shall begin at 10:00 a.m., prevailing time, and shall end at 7:00 p.m., prevailing time, and holiday visitation shall prevail over normal visitation. The father shall also have the child during all of each Christmas vacation period from school and all Easter vacation period from school, except that the child shall be in the mother's home on Christmas Day from 10:30 a.m. until 2:00 p.m., prevailing time, at her request.

d. The picking up and dropping off of the child shall be the responsibility of the plaintiff father, and shall take place at the residence of the defendant mother.

3. The parent having custody of the child at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of injury or illness requiring medical treatment.

4. Neither parent shall take the child into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to her development, the development, of her character, or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to her physical person.

5. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the child; and, if the parties mutually agree to an alternative arrangement to accommodate themselves and the child's schedule, they may adjust their physical custody arrangement accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

6. Each of the parents will teach the child to display that love and respect due a parent from his or her child, and neither parent will do anything to blacken the character of the other parent in the eyes of the child. This admonition shall extend to stepparents and grandparents.

7. Neither parent shall ingest mind-altering substances, including alcohol, while in the primary physical custody of the child to the extendt of becoming intoxicated.

8. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request a Mediation Conference if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the child. For the purposes of this paragraph, either parent moving from the County of Crawford shall be considered a changed circumstance, as well as any other occurence which shall be considered a changed circumstance under Pennsylvania Law when appertaining.

9. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, shall retain jurisdiction of the matter so long as either parent or the child resides in the Court's jurisdiction, and for at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

10. The fees for the Child Custody Mediator shall be paid fifty percent by the County of Crawford on behalf of the mother and fifty percenty by the father.

11. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent Order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date of this Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, Judge

Dec 7, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Gamble, Barnhart and Smith and Plaintiff.

1988

Duplaga, Tocci,
Palmieri, McMillen &
Urick
John A. Palmieri

983.

Gordon R. Miller

RALPH F. COSTA AND MARY S. COSTA, HUSBAND AND WIFE

vs.

DONALD M. HENRY AND DOLORES HENRY, HUSBAND AND WIFE

Filed Nov. 3, 1988
@ 1:22 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 34.15
Pro Co pd 5/8/89 6.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 5-12-89 - $1.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Dec. 13, 1988 AND NOW Dec. 13, 1988, Gordon R. Miller, atty. appears for Donald M. Henry and Delores Henry, defendants in the above entitled case.

Gordon R. Miller, atty for dft.

Dec. 19, 1988 Writ Returned, Acceptance of Service and Sheriff's Return filed.

SERVED Donald M. Henry personally the within named defendant by handing him a true copy of the within Summons on Nov. 23, 1988 at 4:05 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

SERVED Dolores Henry within named defendant on Nov. 233. 1988 at 4:06 PM by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Donald M. Henry, her authorized agent, as per his Acceptance of Service attached, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. CourthouseMeadville, Pa.

So answers, E. Ralph Copeland, Ch. Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 3, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Blystone & Blystone
Peter E. Blystone

984.

PENNBANK

vs.

RAFFAELE J. AVERSA AND
RUTH A. AVERSA

Filed Nov. 3, 1988
@ 1:54 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's costs	49.65
Pro Co pd 1/4/89	9.00 ✓
Sat paid 8/11/89	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Dec. 5, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Ruth A. Aversa within named defendant on Nov. 18, 1988, at 12:30 P M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Jim Hasbrook, adult in charge of the residence, at R.D. # 1.,Titusville, Crawford Co., Pa.
SERVED Raffaele J. Aversa personally on Dec. 2, 1988 at 9:37 am at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Dep.Sheriff
Catherine I. Allen, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Jan. 4, 1989 at 3:36 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with NOtice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the p laintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Fifteen Thousand One Hundred Twelve and 75/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

A-144-144
Judgment entered 1/4/89

Debt $15,112.75
Int. from 2/20/88
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX 1989 - 2

Aug. 11, 1989, THIS JUDGMENT SATISFIED. (see paper filed)

1988

William J. Gregg

985.

Bruce A. Barrett

PRESTON H. LAUFFER

vs.

CONNIE I. LAUFFER

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Filed Nov. 3, 1988
@ 3:21 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
1 count paid 15.00 ✓
Mary Ann Kirkpatric, Master
Pro Co pd 3/20/90 9.00
State pd 3/20/90 10.00

10/25/89 Recd. #300.00 from DEFENDANT.

12/14/89 Rec'd #300.00 from plaintiff

3/20/90 Paid #19.00 To Prothonotary CK#5513

3/23/90 Paid #125.00 To Mary Ann Kirkpatrick Master Fee CK#5516

3/23/90 Paid #28.06 To Wm. Gregg refund of costs CK#5517

3/23/90 Paid #28.00 To Bruce Barrett refund of costs CK#5518

Nov 23, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: WILLIAM J. GREGG, ESQUIRE, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce was sent by registered mail to the Defendant, on November 7, 1988.

3. The registered mail was sent to Connie I. Lauffer, Golfview Manor, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on November 12, 1988. Said Return Receipt card P 725-346-292 is attached herto, signed by Connie Lauffer, and made a part hereof.

William J. Gregg,
Attorney for Petitioner

June 28, 1989 Inventory and Appraisement of Connie I. Lauffer filed.

Aug. 16, 1989 Inventory and Appraisement of Preston H. Lauffer, Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 22, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 25, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Oct. 18, 1989 Motion For Appointment Of Master In Divorce and Order filed. AND NOW, Octoberr 18, 1989, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Equitable distribution. Deposit required: By Plaintiff $300.00; By Defendant $300.00.

PER CURIAM,
Robert L. Walker, J

Oct. 19, 1989 Copies of order put in William Gregg, Bruce Barrett and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick;s box

Jan. 12, 1990, Notice of Masters Hearing and Masters Order filed.

Feb. 2, 1990 Master's Recommendation to the Court filed.

Feb. 2, 1990 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Mar. 20, 1990 Master's Recommendation to the Court filed.

Mar. 20, 1990 **DECREE FILED.**

And Now, March 19, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Preston H. Lauffer, Plaintiff and Connie I. Lauffer, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

It is further ordered:

1. Husband shall pay to Wife in equitable distribution the sum of $40,000.00 as follows:
   a. Husband shall pay to Wife the sum of $35,000.00 on or before February 28, 1990;
   b. Husband shall pay to Wife the sum of $5,000.00 at six (6%) Percent interest on or before December 31, 1990;
   c. Husband shall sign a Judgment Note for the amount of $5,000.00 as described above.
2. Upon receipt of the $35,000.00 payment from husband, Wife shall sign a Quit-Claim Deed conveying her right, title and interest in the jointly owned real estate to Husband.
3. Wife shall be the sole owner of all personal property and money now in her possession.
4. Husband shall be the sole owner of his business known as Pep-C Sporting Goods and Wife shall relinquish any right, title, interest or claim she may have to said property.
5. Husband shall retain all items of personal property and money now in his possession except as provided below:

Wife shall have the right to remove the following property from the jointly owned residence on February 18, 1990, at approximately 6:00 p.m.: Wife's clothing, including hats, glass train, glass rabbit, white and gold china tea set, white and oriental design china dinner set, wheel barrow, two swords, the clothing, computer and printer, books, papers and personal items belonging to wife's daughter.

6. Wife shall receive as her sole and separate property the jointly titled 1978 Cadillac Eldorado automobile and Husband shall sign all necessary documents conveying his ownership interest in said automobile to Wife.
7. The Master's fee of $125.00 shall be paid by the Prothonotary to Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Master; all remaining monies on deposit with the Prothonotary shall be divided evenly by the Prothonotary and paid to the parties' counsel.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller

1988

Jorden & Whtie
William T. Jorden

986.

TERESA PHILLIPS JACK

vs.

PAUL ROBERT JACK

Filed Nov. 3, 1988
@ 4:23 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co pd 5/3/89 9.00
State pd 5/3/89 10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 6, 1989 Complaint Reinstated.

Apr. 6, 1989, Affidavit of Service filed. William T. Jorden, esq. atty. for plaintiff, Teresa Phillips Jack, in the above captioned matter, being duly sworn according to law deposes and says that he served the Notice to Plead and Complaint Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code upon defendant by Cert. Mail, Restricted Delivery, ret. rec. req. on Jan.20, 1989, at the defendant's place of employment Miller Sandblasting, Rochester, New Yourk 14609. The defendant Paul Robert Jack's acceptance of the same is atta ched hereto. William T. Jorden, Esq.

Apr. 27, 1989 Affidavit Of Plaintiff Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code filed.

Apr. 27, 1989 Affidavit Of Defendant Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, filed.

May 3, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

May 19, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, May 19, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Teresa Phillips Jack, plaintiff, and Paul Robert Jack, defendant, are divorced fromthe bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Thomas R. Haist

987.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Jeffrey K. Millin

IN RE: CONDEMNATION BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, OF RIGHT OF WAY FOR STATE ROUTE 2040, SECTION 000, IN THE TOWNSHIP OF VERNON

Property Owners:
MERVIN S. AND JULIA B. YAEGER
Saeger Hill Road
Meadville, Pa.

Filed Nov. 4, 1988
@ 10:01 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pr Co pd 11/22/91 5.00

DECLARATION OF TAKING

Nov. 15, 1988 Memorandum to Prothonotary filed. (Recorded in Record of Deeds Rec. Bk 52, page 543)

Dec. 9, 1988, Proof of Service filed. Raymond L. Cramer, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is Dist. Right of Way Adm. of Dist. 1-0 Dept. of Trans., Com. of Pa., and that on or before Nov. 28, 1988, notice of the filing of the Declaration of Taking in the above matter was served on the condemnees affected thereby in compliance with ARt. IV, Sect. 405, of the Eminent Domain Code, Act of June 22, 1964, P.L. 84, as amended. A SC HEDULE OF THE CONDEMNEES SO NOTIFIED IS ATTACHED hereto and made a part hereof. Raymond L. Cramer.

Oct. 30, 1990, appearance of Jeffrey K. Millin, of Shafer, Swick, Bailey Irwin and Stack, attorneys for Mervin S. Yeager and Julia B. Yaeger, filed.

Dec. 5, 1990 Petition For The Appointment Of Viewers and Order filed. AND NOW, this 5 day of Dec., 1990, upon the petition of Mervin S. Yaeger and Julia B. Yaeger, the Court appoints John Petruso, Chairman, and Stephen Hall and Paul DeArment as viewers upon the foregoing petition and further orders that the viewers perform their duties in accordance with the law and Act of Assembly in such case made and provided. By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, SJ

Dec. 6, 1990 Copies of order put in Jeffrey Millin, John Petruso and Stephen Hall's box and copies of order mailed to Thomas Haist and Paul DeArment.

Dec. 27, 1990, Notice of View and Hearing (1/29/91 @ 10:00 a.m.) filed.

Jan. 22, 1991, Stipulation for Continuance filed. (until sometime after Mar. 1, 1991)

Feb 25, 1991 Amended Petiton for the Appointment of Viewers filed.

Feb 25, 1991 Motion for Leave of Court to File Amended Petition for Appointment of Viewers filed.

Feb 25, 1991 Order on Motion to File Amended Petition for Appointment of Viewers filed: AND NOW, this 25 day of February, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the Petitioners are granted leave of court to file their Amended Petition for Appointment of Viewers.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Feb 25, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Atty Haist and put in Atty. Millin's box.

Apr. 26, 1991, Notice of View and Hearing filed.

June 20, 1991 **STIPULATION FOR CONTINUANCE** filed. AND NOW, come the Petitioners, Mervin S. Yaeger and Julia B. Yaeger, by their attorneys, Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack, and file and submit the within Stipulation for Continuance, whereof it is stated that, counsel for the Commonwealth has indicated that more time is needed in order to prepare for the View and Hearing to be held in this matter. Accordingly, counsel for the parties have agreed to stipulate to a continuance of this matter until sometime after August 1, 1991.
Jeffrey K. M illin, Esquire
Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack

Sept. 23, 1991 Notice of Rescheduled View and Hearing filed.

Sept. 30, 1991, REPORT OF THE BOARD OF VIEWERS and Notice of filing report of viewers, filed.

Nov. 19, 1991 Upon praecipe of Attorney's Shafer and Millin the above captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
JOseph C. Barnhart

988.

BARBARA A. PRENATT

vs.

LAWRENCE A. PRENATT

Filed Nov. 4, 1988
@ 11:37 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Mediation costs 73.55 ✓
Pro for Co. 11/23/90 9.00 ✓

2/23/90 Recd $36.77
From Plaintiff
Recd 2-1-90
$36.77
William Stolby

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 7 day of Nov. 1988 BRUCE SMITH is hereby appointed Custody mediator to hear the claim for custody of Shanna R. Brown and Mark A. Prenatt and thereafter make a recommendation to the court.

You, Lawrence A. Prenatt, dft., have been sued in court to determine legal and physical cusotdy of Shanna R. Brown and Mark A. Prenatt. You are ordered to aPPEAR IN PERSON for a conference at such time and place as the Custody Mediator shall direct. The parties are further directed tobring with them at that time the children the subject of this action.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order or to bring the children, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford CountyBar Association, Ser. to the Public Committee, c/o Prothonotary, Courthouse Meadville, Pa. 16335, 814 724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov 22, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: Before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared CLAUDE LEMLEY, a constable residing at Titusville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, who being sworn according to law, deposes and says that he did personally hand deliver to the Defendant in the above-captioned matter a complaint for Custody and a Notice of Mediation Conference at his residence of 415 May Avenue, Titusville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, on the 11th day of November, 1988, at or about the hour of 6:15 o'clock P.M.
Claude Lemley, Constable

Nov. 28, 1988 Temporary Order of Court filed. AND NOW, this 23 day of November, 1988, after Mediation and upon recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Shanna R. Brown, age four, and Mark A. Prenatt, age two, the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of the minor children. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitle both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the children held by third parties, including,, but not limited to, school and medical records and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to educational and religious upbringing of the children, as well as elective surgery.

2. Primary physical custody of the children shall be transferred to the plaintiff mother. Visitation and temporary custody of the children shall be granted to the defendant father. The visitation and temporary physical custody schedule for the father is as follows:

a. The father shall have the right to take the children into his temporary physical custody on the first, second, and fourth weekends of each month beginning in December, 1988, which weekends shall begin at 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Friday, and shall end at 6:00 p.m. prevailing time, on Sunday.

b. During the summer of each year, beginning with the summer of 1989, the father shall be entitled to have the children in his exclusive custody and control for two two-week periods during the months of June, July, and August of each year. The two two-week periods shall be separated by at least one week of custody in the mother, and shall be established on thirty days' written notice to the plaintiff mother from the father.

c. During even-numbered years, the father shall have the children on Easter, the 4th of July, and Thanksgiving Day; the mother shall have the children on Memorial Day and Labor Day.During odd-numbered years, the schedule shall be reversed, with the mother having three holidays and the father having two. Holidays shall begin at 10:00 a.m. prevailing time, and shall end at 7:00 p.m. prevailing time.

d. T he defendant father is currently employed at the University of Pittsburgh, Titusville campus; and, for that reason, he has extended Christmas holiday time and vacation periods. For that reason, during even-numbered years, beginning in 1988, the children shall be with the father from the first day of his vacation from 6:00 p.m.,prevailing time, until December 24, at 12:00 noon, prevailing time. The mother shall be entitled to have the children with her from 12:00 noon, prevailing time, on December 24, until 2:00 p.m., prevailing time, on December 25. Thereafter, the children shall be with the father from 2:00 p.m., prevailing time, until 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, on December 31. In odd-numbered years, the children shall be with the father beginning at 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, on the first day of his vacation until 2:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Christmas Day. At that time,, thechildren shall be transferred to the mother's custody and shall remain with her until 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, on December 27. Thereafter, they shall be with the father until December 31 at 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, at which time the children shall be returned to the mother.

e. The picking up and dropping off of the children shall be the responsibility of the defendant father, and shall take place at the residence of the plaintiff mother.

f. Holiday visitation shall take priority over other scheduled visitation. The parties are encouraged to agree to trading off of holiday visitation. Anytime the father is more than one and one-half hours late for a scheduled transfer of custody, said scheduled transfer of custody shall be put off until 10:00 a.m., prevailing time, on the following day.

3. T he parent having custody of the children at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of injury or illness to either of the children requiring medical treatment.

4. Neither parent shall take the children into any environment which mich be commonly thought of as detrimental to their developm,ent, the development of their character, or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to their physical persons.

5. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the children and, if the parties mutually agree to an alternative arrangement to accommodate themselves and the children's schedules, they may adjust the physical custody arrangement accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

Continued on Page 438-A.

6. Each of the parents will teach the children to display that love and respect due a parent from his or her children, and neither of the children will do anything to blacken the character of the other parent in the eyes of the children.

7. Neither parent shall ingest mind-altering substances, including alchol, while they are in primary physical custody of the children to the extent of becoming intoxicated.

8. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request a Mediation Conference if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the children. For the purposes of this paragraph, the children reaching school age and /or becoming involved in school or extracurricular activities or one of the parents moving from the County of Crawford shall be considered a changed circumstance.

9. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either parent or either child resides in the Court's jurisdiction, and for at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

10. The fee for the Child Custody Mediator shall be paid 50% by the mother and 50% by the father.

11. T his Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty days of the date of this Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Dec 2., 1988 copy of order to Jos. Barnhart, esq.

1988

Prather, Prather & Pineo
William Pineo

989.

PATRICK D. MAHONEY AND
CHRISTINE J. MAHONEY, HIS WIFE

vs.

FRANK ROHRICH AND MARIE ROHRICH,
HIS WIFE, THE HEIRS AND
ASSIGNS

Filed Nov. 4, 1988
@ 1:45 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

COMPLAINT - ACTION IN QUIET TITLE

4 copies (sheriff)

June 20, 1989, Complaint reinstated.

Aug. 18, 1989 Motion for Leave to Serve by Publication, Affidavit of Attorney,Affidavit of last address of Defendants and Order filed: Order: AND NOW, this 18th day of Aug. , 1989 on MOTION OF PRATHER, PRATHER & PINEO, Attorneys for the plaintiffs in the above referenced matter, leave as hereby granted to serve Frank Rohrich and Marie Rohrich, his wife, and the respective heirs and assigns, generally, defendants in said action, by publicationof a notice of the facts set forth in complaint in the Meadville Tribune and the Crawford County Legal Journal time and requiring that the said defendants plead to the within complaint twenty (20) days from the date of the last insertion.
By The Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 22, 1989 copy of order to William Pineo

Aug. 21, 1989 Complaint reinstated.

Jan 12, 1990 Sheriff's Return filed: Complaint returned to Prothonotary January 12, 1990 unserved. Sheriff's office received not instructions, no service costs.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Anthony J. Vardaro

990.

JANICE M. BLOOM

vs.

ROBERT L. BLOOM

Filed Nov. 4, 1988
@ 1:56 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
1 count paid 15.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 2/22/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE,AND
EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATION AND DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Nov.. 21, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Vicki Bradshaw, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the secretary to Anthony J. Vardaro, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff in this action and certifies that on Nov. 8, 1988 she delivered to the Post Office in Meadville, Pa. for delivery to Robert L. Bloom at 1156 Carmont Drive, Meadville, Pa. 16335 by certified mail, return receipt requested, delivery restricted to addressee only, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in this matter for which deponent received at the time receipt for certified article no. P 724 951 579 which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Thereafter on Nov. 14, 1988 it was returned to Anthony J. Vardaro, return receipt card bearing the same number and signed by Robert L. Bloom said return receipt card being attached hereto as Exhibit "B".

Vicki Bradshaw

Nov. 21, 1988 Separation and Property Settlement Agreement filed.

Feb. 21, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendnat filed.

Feb. 21, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 21, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Mar. 7, 1989 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

1. Divorce: Upon consideration of the pleadings and Affidavits filed in the above case, this Court her eby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above named parties, on the grounds that their marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorice, pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. Equitable Property Determination and Distribution: This Decree provides that the marital property of the above named parties shall be divided in accordance with a Separation and Property Settlement Agreement dated November 17, 1988 executed by the parties and filed at the above captioned number and term in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County which distribution shall be as follows:

(A) Each party shall have the tangible personal property currently in that party's possession as of February 21, 1989 including, but without limitation, jewelry, clothes, furniture, furnishings, rugs, carpets, household equipment, and appliances, pictures, books, works of art, linens, towels, dishes and other personal property in that party's possession on the above date;

(B) Defendant shall have sole ownership of the marital automobile and a motorcycle owned by the parties;

(C) Plaintiff shall have sole ownership of her individual retirement account and Defendant shall have sole ownership of his individual retirement account;

(D) The proceeds of the sale of the parties' marital real estate of 1156 Carmont Drive, Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, shall be divided equally after all appropriate expenses have been deducted except that, in addition to those expenses, Plaintiff shall receive the sum of $2,500.00 from Defendant's share of the real estate proceeds.

(E) As part of the property distribution, Plaintiff shall pay the sum of $75.26 to provide hospitalization insurance for Defendant through Plaintiff's place of employment for a period of one (1) year from the date ofthe signing of the Separation and Property Settlement Agreement except such obligation shall immediately terminate upon remarriage ofthe defendant during that one (1) year period.

3. No other matters or causes of action provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code, Act No. 1980-26, are reserved by either of the aprties.

This Order shall be effective as of this 7 day of March, 1989.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Purcell, Nissley,
Krug & Haller
Leon P. Haller

991.

LOMAS MORTGAGE USA, INC.
FORMERLY KNOWN AS THE
LOMAS & NETTLETON COMPANY

vs.

KEITH T. FRANKIEWICZ AND
VERONICA S. FRANKIEWICZ

Filed Nov. 4, 1988
@ 2:12 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriffs costs	18.15
Sheriff's Costs	45.50
Pro Co Pd 2-1-89	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN ACTION OF MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Dec. 2, 1988, Sheriffs return filed. Not Found, as to the within named defendant Keith T. Frankiewicz.
Not Found, as to within named defendant Veronica S. Frankiewicz.
They have moved to 7130 Perch Point Road, Shreveport, La. 71107.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

Dec. 12, 1988, Complaint REinstated.

Dec. 29, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Keith T. Frankiewicz within named defendnat by Certified Mail and Certificate of Mailing on December 19, 1988 at his last known address, 7130 Perch Point Road, Shreveport, LA 71107, return receipts attached. SERVED Veronica S. Frankiewicz within named defendant by Certified Mail and certificate of Mailing on December 16, 1988 at her last known address, 7130 Perch Point Roade, Shreveport, LA 71101, return receipts attached to return.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart,Sheriff

AND NOW, Feb 1, 1989 @ 2:16.P.M., upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney Judgement is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to answer and the damages are assessed as follows: Unpaid principal balance, Thirty-five Thousand One Hundred Ninety-two and 04/100 Dollars; Interest, Four Thousand Two Hundred Forty-seven and 47/100 Dollars; Late charges One Hundred Forty-six and 71/100 Dollars; Escrow Deficit One Thousand Four Hundred Fifteen Dollars; 5% attorney's commission, Two Thousand Fifty and 06/100 Dollars; TOTAL, Forty-three Thousand Fifty-one and 28/100 Dollars
F-104,164

Debt.....$43,051.28
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Feb 2, 1989 Notice of Judgment mailed defendants.

Ex 1989-12

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

992.

GERTRUDE LYNNE WESCOAT

vs.

THOMAS PAUL WESCOAT

Filed Nov. 4, 1988
@ 2:24 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co Pd 11-6-89	9.00
State Pd. 11-6-89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

Nov. 10, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Before me.the undersigned officer, personally appeared JOSEPH C. BARNHART, ESQ., who did depose and say that he is the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter and that he did serve a certified copy of a Complaint in Divorce as filed Nov. 4, 1988 upon the Defendant, at his address by United States certified mail, restricted delivery. Delivery of same being ecidenced by postal return receipt #P 620 656 370 dated November 4, 1988 attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF,I hereunto set my hand and seal this 9th day of Nov. 1988.

Joseph C. Barnhart, Esq.

Nov. 2, 1989 Defendant's Affidavit Of Consent To Divorce filed.

Nov. 2, 1989 Plaintiff's Affidavit Of Consent To Divorce filed.

Nov. 6, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

ORDER filed.

Nov. 30, 1989, This 201(c) divorce is praecipe to the court for entry of a final decree. A procedural oversight must be corrected before the curt can act in this matter. We note that when the original divorce complaint was filed Nov. 4, 1988, that it contained a count involving custody of the parties two minor children. While we assume that this matter has been amicably resolved, there is no such indication in the file of this case. Accordingly, the custody court must either be withdrawn or there must be a request for the court to reserve ruling on this issue for future disposition.

When this oversignt is corrected the court will reentertain the original praecipe and sign the proposed divorce decree.

Date: Nov. 29, 1989

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 1, 1989 copy of order to Jos. BArnhart Esq.

Dec. 21, 1989 Stipulation Concerning Custody of Minor Children and Order filed. AND NOW, this 20 day of December, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Stipulation, same is hereby approved and incorporated herein as an order of Court.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Dec. 27, 1989 Copy of order mailed to Joseph Barnhart

Dec. 21, 1989 **DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW; this 21 day of Dec., 1989, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Upon consideration ofthe pleadings and Affidavits filed in the above-captioned matter, the Court hereby grants an absolute divorce form the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to §201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.
2. No other matters or cuases of actions provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code of 1980, as amended, are reserved by either of the parties.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

993.

Stephen Hall

Bruce A. Barrett

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

DOROTHY FRANTZ

Filed Nov. 4, 1988

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
MENTAL HEALTH PROCEDURES ACT OF 1976

(Sections 304 and 305)

Nov. 14, 1988, REport of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 14 day of Nov. 1988 , upon consideration of the petition filed at the above no. and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED AND DIRECTED that the said Dorothy Frantz be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days. This order shall be effective upon arrangements being made for the transfer of the patient.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov. 14, 1988, copy to Doris Miller.
Nov. 15, 1988, copies to S. Hall, Esq. Mr. Lilly, MHMR.

April 6, 1989 Petition For Involuntary Treatment filed.

April 6, 1989 Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation, and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 5th of April, 1989, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Dorothy Frantz be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days.

BY THE COOURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

April 10, 1989 Copy of Order to Atty. Bruce Barrett, Mr. Lilly, WSH.

Sept. 29, 1989 Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

Oct. 24, 1989, Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, THIS 23rd day of Oct. 1989, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above no. and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ordered and directed that the said Dorothy Frantz be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days.

BY THE COURT, P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct. 24, 1989 copies to WSH, Mr. lilly and B. Barrett Atty.

Mar. 30, 1990 Petition For Involuntary Treatment filed.

Mar. 30, 1990 Report Of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and Order filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of March, 1990, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED AND DIRECTED that the said Doroty Frantz be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R.Miller, J

Apr. 2, 1990 Copies to WSH, MH/MR and Bruce Barrett

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

~~Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC. Christopher J. Youngs~~ withdrew 994.

~~James R. Irwin~~ withdrew

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas W. Ferguson

GERALD A. LAZZARO

vs.

SUSAN M. LAZZARO

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Filed Nov. 7, 1988
@ 9:34 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co pd 3/6/90	45.00

Curtis Blystone, Master
Pro Co. Pd. 9-30-94 $9.00

Nov. 15, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. Gerald A. Lazzaro hereby certifies that he served a cert. copy of the Complaint in Divorce to the dft. at 1112 Ailington House, Golfview Manor, Meadville, Pa 16335, on Nov. 7, 1988 by personally handing same to her and making known to her the contents thereof. Gerald Lazzaro.

Aug. 25, 1989 Petition for Special Relief and Order filed. Order: AND NOW, this 25th day of August, 1989, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petition for Special Relief, a Rule is issued upon the Defendant, Susan M. Lazzaro, to appear and show cause, if any, why she should not be prohibited from entering upon the premises now occupied by Plaintiff, Gerald A. Lazzaro, and, further, why the parties should not be mutually prohibited from selling or otherwise disposing of any of the parties' marital property.

T his Rule shall be returnable and a hearing held thereon on Sept. 1, 1989 at 1:30 o'clock PM. Crawford County Courthouse.

Until further Order of Court, the Defendant, Susan M. Lazzaro, is prohibited from entering Plaintiff's apartment located 1112 Arlington House, Golfview Manor, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335, without the express consent of Plaintiff.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 29, 1989 copy of order given to Christopher J. Youngs, esq.

Sept. 1, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, September 1, 1989, at the time fixed for hearing on the Plaintiff's request for special relief, both parties and their counsel have appeared and have agreed on some matters encompossed by the request and fail to agree on other. This Court has no objection to entering an Order as to matters agreed to by the parties or as to matters properly presented by petition or other wise, but we feel it would be inappropriate at this time to enter into contested issues such as the possession of marital property, which has not been agreed upon, custody and visitaiton, which may well become an issue between the parties, or other things not presently before us. The following Order is, therefor, entered:

1. Plaintiff shall have exclusive possession of an apartment at 1112 Arlington House, Golfview Manor, Meadville, Pennsyvlania, and the Defendant shall not go to that apartment for any purpose except as otherwise herein after provided.
2. Defendant shall have possession of the following marital property:

Wicker Rocker
Small white Chinese-style lamp
Shakespeare set (leather bound books)
Mahogany secretary
Oak washstand
Mahogany chest-on-chest
Mahogany dresser with mirror
Old oak bookcase with my books
Polish wooden box (gift from Polish students)
My easel, paints and remaining drawings
Mixmaster and bowls
1/2 Christmas decorations
1/2 photographs and albums
Tetley Tea tin box (gift from Mother)
Allegheny College plate (gift from Governor Shafer)
Pineapple single bed frame
Record belonging to me prior to marriage
Boxes of my books (approximately three remaining)
Outdoor tent, stakes and poles
One blanket cedar chest
Cookie tins
1/2 garden tools
Spice rack

3. The parties agree that the bedroom furniture of their daughter, clothing and other personal belongings shall remain with the daughter regardless of what may ultimately be the disposition of ay custody dispute at the home of that parent which has custody a majority of the time.
4. Nothing contained herein shall be deemed to be an equitable distribution award of those items being delivered to the Defendant, nor shall anything contained herein preclude the Defendant from properly litigating any further request for possession of property, but the Court notes that neither of the parties have complied with the Rules regarding the filing of inventory and appraisements, and before this Court would entertain any disputed questions regarding possion of personal property, we would expect such documents to be filed.
5. The Defendant may obtain the possessionof the items of personal property herein above set forth at the locationin Golfview Manor at any time within the next two (2) weeks, when she can arrange for transportation, and shall give the Plaintiff at least seventy-two (72) hours notice of the time when she intends to obtain the items of personal property.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Sept. 5, 1989 Copies of order put in Christopher Youngs and James Irwin's box.

Mar. 2, 1990 Answer and Counterclaim filed. (Alimony, Counsel Fees, Costs and expenses)

Mar. 5, 1990 Request For Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Request for Hearing a hearing is scheduled with the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, on the 22nd day of March, 1990 at 2:00 o'clock p.m., Courtroom 1 or 2 of the crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 6, 1990 Copies of order put in Christopher Youngs and James Irwin's box.

Mar. 21, 1990 Appearance of Altomare & Barnhart by Jopesh C. Barnhart for Plaintiff filed.

March 21, 1990 Motion For Continuance and ORDER filed. AND NOW, upon consideration of the foregoing matter, said Motion is April 5, 1990, at 10:00 A.M., Courtroom 1 or 2

For The Court:
Imogene Yetman

March 22, 1990 Copy of Order to Attys. Joseph Barnhart, Christopher Youngs & James Irwin.

12-6-93 Received from defendant Mullen 200 co dipsett
1-28-94 Received from Plf Mullen fees 550 co
6-28-94 Pd Master Ct Rep Fees Ch# 8408 750 co Bal $0
7-28-94 Rec'd from dept. Masters fees 772.45
7-28-94 Pd Master Ch# 8465 772.45
9-23-94 Rec'd from Plf Masters fees $1255.98
9-23-94 Pd Master @ Blystone Ch# 8621 $1255.98

Continued on page 444A

Gerald A. Lazzaro

vs.

Susan M. Lazzaro

Record continued from page 444

of record

A.D. 1988 - 994

****

Mar. 22, 1990 Upon praecipe of Christopher Youngs his appearance is hereby withdrawn from the above captioned case.

Apr. 5, 1990 Order filed. And now, April 4, 1990, hearing in the above matter heretofore set for April 5, 1990, is hereby reset by the Court for May 3, 1990, at 10:00 a.m., in Courtroom No. 1 or 2.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Apr. 9, 1990 Copy mailed to Jospeh Barnhart and copy put in James Irwin's box.

May 3, 1990 Order filed. And now, May 3, 1990, hearing in the above captioned matter heretofore set for May 3, 1990, is hereby rescheduled for June 4, 1990, at 2:30 p.m., in Courtroom No. 1 or 2, of the Crawford County Courthouse.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

May 7, 1990 copy of order mailed to Jopeph Barnhart and copy put in James Irwin's box.

June 7, 1990 Exhibits filed with papers.

June 7, 1990Memorandum and Order filed: AND NOW, June 7, 1990, the defendant's petiton for alimony pendente lite, counsel fees and expenses is denied.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

June 13, 1990 Copies of order mailed to Atty. Barnhart and put in Atty. Irwin's box.

Nov. 1, 1990 Inventory and Appraisement Of Susan M. Lazzaro filed.

June 25, 1992 Inventory and Appraisement Of Gerald A. Lazzaro filed.

Nov. 10, 1993 Appearance of FErguson & Spataro by Douglas W. Ferguson, Esquire for Susan M. Lazzaro, Defendant, filed.

Nov. 12, 1993 Motion to Withdraw Appearance and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 10th day of November, 1993, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion, Defendant's counsel, James R. Irwin, Esquire, is hereby authorized to withdraw his appearance on behalf of the Defendant in the above captioned case.

Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

11-16-93 Copy of Order to Attys.Barnhart, FErguson, & Irwin.

Nov. 16, 1993 Income and Expense Statement of Defendant filed.

Nov. 16, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Nov. 16, 1993, Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce and Order Appointing Master filed. ORDER APPOINTING MASTER: AND NOW, Nov. 18, 1993, Curtis E. Blystone Esq. is hereby appointed Master in respect to the following claims:
Divorce, Alimony, Equitable Distribution, Counsel Fees, Costs and Expenses
Deposit required: By Plaintiff $550. By Defendatn $200.
If not filed already the parties are ordered to file their pre-trial statements within thirty (30) days from this date.

Per Curiam,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

Nov. 30, 1993, copies of order to Attys. Barnhart, Ferguson and C. Blystone

Dec. 22, 1993 Certificate of Mailing filed. Douglas W. Ferguson, Esquire, Attorney for the Defendnt herein certifies that he served a true and correct copy of the Defendant's Pre-Trial Narrative upon Curtis E. Blystone, Esquire, Master, by first clas mail, postage prepaid on December 22, 1993, by mailing the same to his law office at 369 Center Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335.
DAte: December 22, 1993

Douglas W. FErguson, Esquire

Dec. 22, 1993 Certificate of Mailing filed. Douglas W. Ferguson, Esquire, Attorney for the Defendant herein certifies that he served a true and correct copy of the Defendant's Pre-Trial Narrative upon Joseph Barnhart, Esquire, attorney for Plaintiff, by first class mail, postage prepaid on December 22, 1993, by mailing the same to his law office at P.O.Box 373, 373 E.Central Avenue, Titusville, Pennsylvania 16354.
Date: December 22, 1993

Douglas W. Ferguson, Esquire

Jan. 14, 1994 Defendant's Petition for Sanctions and Request for Attorney's Fees and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 14th day of January, 1994, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition it is hereby ordered and decreed that a rule to show cause entered against the Plaitniff, Gerald A Lazzarro, show cause if any he should have why sanctions whould not be imposed in the above-captioned matter and attorney's fees awarded to the Defendant. Returnable for hearing January 28, 1994 at 1:00 in Court Room #1

A copy of this Petition and Order shall be served upon the Plaintiff, Gerald A. Lazzarro, through his attorney, Joseph M. Barnhart, Esquire, by regular mail.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

1-14-94 Copy of Order to Attys. Barnhart, FErguson & C. Blystone

Jan. 28, 1994 Affidavit of Consent by Gerald A. Lazzaro, Plaintiff, filed.

Jan. 31, 1994 Order filed. AND NOW, January 28, 1994, at the time set for hearing and argument with respect to the Defendant's petition for sanctions and requests for attorney's fees, the Plaintiff has now filed his pretrial narrative andhas paid his deposit for Master's fees.

Further more, Plaintiff agrees tc pay the counsel fees of the Defendant's attorney with respect to the filing of this petitiCn in the amount of $100 within ten days of the date of this order.

Accordingly, this matter is in a position to now move forward and the Master is directed to schedule a pretrial conference to be held within 30 days of the date of this order.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Feb. 2, 1994 Copies to Atty's Barnhart, Ferguson and Blystone

Continued on Pg. 444B

444
B

1988

Record continued from page 444A
of
AD 1988 - 994

Gerald A. Lazzaro

vs.

Susan M. Lazzaro

Feb. 4, 1994, Notice of Prehearing conference filed.

Feb. 18, 1994 Notice of Masters Hearing, filed.

Apr. 15, 1994 Defendant's Amended Witness List filed.

Apr. 28, 1994 Defendant's Proposed Findings of Fact, filed.

June 27, 1994 Motion for Determination and Payment ofthe Master's Fee and Payment of Stenographic Expense filed.

June 27, 1994 Order filed. AND NOW, June 27, 1994, upon consideration of the Master's motion for determination and paymen tof Master's Fee and payment of stenographic expenses, it is ordered and directed as follows:
1. The Prothonotary shall release th edeposit in the amount of $750 to the Master for the payment of stenographic services rendered by Lois Conklin Reporting Service.
2. The Master's Fee in this matter including out-of-pocket expenses is set at $2,028.43.
3. The Master shall file his report forthwith as required by Pa. R.C.P. 1920.53(a)(1).
4. Based on the Master's recommendation, the Plaintiff shall pay 65% of the total Master's fee and stenographic expenses or $1,805.98 of which he has already paid $550 so that the balance the Plaintiff owes is $1,255.98.
5. The Defendant shall pay, based on the Master's recommendation, 35% of the total due for Master's fee and stenographic expenses or $972.45 of which she has already paid $200 leaving a balance of $772.45.
6. Accordingly, Plaintiff shall pay the remaining $1,255.98 to the Prothonotary and the Defendant shall pay the remaining $772.45 to the Prothonotary both within 30 days form the date the Master files his report in this matter.
7. The Prothonotary shall pay such sums once theya re deposited directly to the Master.

BYTHE COURT
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

June 30, 1994 Faxed Copies to Barnhart, Ferguson & Blystone

Jun. 30, 1994 Transcript of Proceedings of the Master's Hearing, filed.

Jun. 30, 1994 Report of the Master, filed.

Jun. 30, 1994 Notice of Filing of Master's Report, filed.

July 11, 1994, Plaintiff's Exceptions to Master's report filed.

Jul. 18, 1994 Upon Praecipe of Douglas W. Ferguson, Esquire,Attorney for Defendant, the above captioned matter is placed on the next available Argument List.

ON AUGUST, 1994 ARGUMENT LIST

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Sept. 14, 1994 MEMORANDUM and FINAL DECREE filed. AND NOW, Sept. 14, 1994, the Court orders and decrees that:
1. Gerald A. Lazzaro, Plaintiff, and Susan M. Lazzaro, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
2. Marital Property: The marital property of the parties is awarded 35% to the Plaintiff/husband and 65% to the Defendant/wife as follows:
a. Awarded to the Plaintiff/husband are those items of tangible personal property currently in his possession as listed in his inventory which have a total value of $2,860.
b. Awarded to the Defendant/wife are those items of tangible personal property currently in her possession having a total value of $1,045. Also, to the extent that the Plaintiff/husband continues to be in possession of the "½ photographs and albums", "records", "books", "cookie tins", "½ of garden tools", and "paints and remaining drawings" as referenced in this Court's order of Sept. 1, 1989, the same are awarded to the Defendant/wife; the Plaintiff/husband shall make such items available to the Defendant/wife so that she may take possession of the same within 30 days of the date of this divorce decree.
c. Awarded to the Defendant/wife is all right, title and interest in and to Defendant's TIAA/CREF retirement annuity account held in the name of the Defendant, Susan M. Lazzaro, bearing TIAA Account No. B492970-5 and the CREF Certificate No. Q492970-2 which has a value of $13,782.42.
d. Awarded to Plaintiff/husband is 45% of the marital component of Plaintiff's Vanguard-Windsor Fund account held in the anme of Plaintiff, Gerald A. Lazzaro, which 45% of the marital component has a value fo $2,512.98. Awarded to the Defendant/wife is 55% of the marital component of Plaintiff's aforesaid Vanguard-Windsor Fund account which 55% has a value of $3,071.42. The Court directs the Defendant within 20 days of the date of this decree to provide a proposed qualified domestic relations order effecting the aforesaid split of the Vanguard-Windsor Fund account and the Plaintiff shall have 20 days to agree to such order and, if the parties cannot reach an acceptable qualified domestic relations order within 40 days from the date of this decree, they may seek relief from the Court to arrive at an appropriate qualified domestic relations order.
e. Awarded to the Plaintiff/husband is 45% of the marital component of Plaintiff's TIAA/CREF retirement annuity account held in the name of the Plaintiff, Gerald A. Lazzaro, bearing TIAA Account NO. B401915-0, and the CREF Certificate No. Q401915-7, which 45% of the marital component has a value of $14,625.92. Awarded to the Defendant/wife is 55% of the marital component of the Plaintiff's aforesaid TIAA/CREF retirement annuity account which 55% has a value of $17,876.12. The Court directs the Defendant within 20 days of the date of this decree to provide a proposed qualified domestic relations order effecting the aforesaid split of the Plaintiff's TIAA/CREF retirement annuity account and the Plaintiff shall have 20 days to agree to such order and, if the parties cannot reach an acceptable qualified domestic relations order within 40 days from the date of this decree, they may seek relief from the Court to arrive at an appropriate qualified domestic relations order.
f. Awarded to the Defendant/wife is the sum of $478.05 which shall be in the form of a cash payment from Plaintiff/husband to Defendant/wife for the purpose of affecting a 35%-65% split of all marital property between the Plaintiff and Defendant. Such payment shall be made within 30 days of the date of this divorce decree.
3. The Defendant's request for an award of alimony is denied and neither party shall be responsible for the payment of alimony to the other party.
4. Counsel fees in the amount of $2,000 are hereby awarded to the Defendant/wife and shall be paid directly to Defendant/wife's counsel by the Plaintiff/husband in four monthly installments of $500 each with the first beginning within 30 days of the date of this divorce decree and each other installment being due at the end of each 30 day period thereafter until the same has been paid in full. No award is made to either party as to costs and expenses and they shall therefore pay the outstanding amounts due pursuant to our order of June 27, 1994.

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 444 (C)

Gerald A. Lazzaro

vs.

Susan M. Lazzaro

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 444 (B)

OF

AD 1988 - 994

****

5. The Plaintiff and the Defendant shall execute any and all documents necessary to effectuate this divorce and the aforesaid qualified domestic relations orders.

6. In entering this decree, the Court has considered possible tax consequences to the parties which the Court deems to be of minimum concern under this distribution.

7. This divorce decree is effective immediately.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Sept. 22, 1994, Petition for contempt and Sanctions filed.

Sept. 22, 1994, ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 20 day of sept. 1994, upon consideration ofthe Master's Petition for Contempt and Sanctions, a rule is hereby granted upon plaintiff Gerald A. Lazzaro to show cause why the relief requested therein should not be granted.

Returnable for hearing on the 7 day of Oct. 1994 at 1:00 p.m. prevailing local time in C. R. No. 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

9/22/94 faxed to Barnhart, ferguson and Blystone.

Oct. 13, 1994 ORDER filed. AND NOW, October 7, 1994,hearing with respect to the Master's petition for contempt and sanction is cancelled insofar asthe Master appears and advises the Court that the required Master's fees have been paid by the Plaintiff.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro,J.

10-13-94 Given Ferguson & Blystone. 10-13-94 Faxed Barnhart

Oct. 27, 1994 Qualified Domestic Relations Order, filed. AND NOW, this 21 day of October, 1994, it appears to the Court as follows:

1. The parties hereto, husband and wife, seek this Order in conjunction with the Final Decree of Dissolution of Marriage granted September 14, 1994, in this Court at the above number.

2. Gerald A. Lazzaro, social security number 175-38-4255, hereinafter referred to as "Participant" is presently employed by teh University of Pittsburgh - Titusville, Pennsylvania and is a participant in Vanguard Windsor Fund and the Teacher's Insurance and Annuity Association - College Retirement Equity's Fund, hereinafter "TIAA/CREF" having the following account identification numbers:

a. TIAA contract number B401915-0

b. CREF Certificate number Q401915-7

c. Vanguard Windsor Fund Plan number 090489

d. There are no provisions for loans, assignments or cash surrenders of these the TIAA_CREF annuities or Vanguard Windsor Fund.

3. The current and last known address of Gerald A. Lazzaro, social security number 175-38-4255 is 1112 Arlington House, Golfview Manor, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335-1001.

4. The current and last known address of the Defendant, Susan M. Lazzaro, (Alternate Payee) is 958 H Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335 and her social security number is 209-38-2826.

5. To accommodate the marital property distribution between the parties, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

a. That the Vanguard Windsor Fund and the TIAA/CREF Annuities previously referenced in paragraph 2 are marital property;

b. That pursuant to the Final Decree in Divorce between Gerald A. Lazzaro and Susan M. Lazzaro dated September 14, 1994 and the execution of documents required by Vanguard Windsor Fund, and TIAA/CREF and the terms of said annuities, the following enumerated values of said accounts shall become the sole and exclusive property of the Alternate Payee, Susan M. Lazzaro, social security #209-38-2826, as follows:

1. Vanguard Windsor Account Plan090489 in the sum of $3071.42 plus any accrued increase in value from December 31, 1989, including interest and dividend earnings in said Vanguard Windsor Account to the date of the withdrawal of the aforesaid sum into a separate account for the Alternate Payee, Susan M. Lazzaro. All ownership and interes tin the balance of the Vanguard Windsor Account will belong to the Plaintiff, Gerald A. Lazzaro.

2. That subject to the Divorce Decree dated September 14, 1994, adn the execution of the documents required by TIAA/CREF and the terms of said annuities, the sum of $17,876.12 is awarded as the Defendant Alternate Payee, Susan M. Lazzaro's sole and exclusive property to be applied to the TIAA/CREF annuities issued on her life subject to the terms and conditions of said annuities. The current values as of the date of withdrawal to establish the account for the Alternate Payee Susan M. Lazzaro in the TIAA/CREF annuities will reflect interest and dividend earnings int he TIAA and investment experience in the CREF since December 31, 1993.

The new annuities to be issued will provide a lifetime income to the Alternate Payee and if elected, death benefits to the survivors. All ownership rights and annuities will belong to the Defendant Susan M. Lazzaro. All ownership and interest in the balance of the accumulation of each of the contracts will belong to the Plaintiff Gerald A. Lazzaro.

6. This Order:

a. Does not require any Plan to provide any type or form of benefit, or option not otherwise provided under the Plan, and

b. Does not require TIAA/CREF to provide increased benefits, and

c. Does not require the payment of benefits to the Alternate Payee which are required to be paid to the Alternate Payee under another Order previously determined to be a Qualified Domestic Relations Order.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 1, 1994 Faxed to Ferguson, C Blystone & Barnhart

444
-d-

1988 TERM

1988

Richard W. Roeder
Tamera Ochs-Rothschild

995.

Scott W. Schreffler

JOAN McMAHON

vs.

GERALD M. CARTER AND
MADELYN P. CARTER

Filed Nov. 7, 1988
@ 11:23 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

Nov. 7, 1988, Certificate of Service to Gerald M. Carter filed.

Nov. 7, 1988, Certificate of Service to Madelyn P. Carter filed.

Nov. 29, 1988, Attorney's Agreement forExtension filed.

Dec. 1, 1988 Affidavit of Return of Service by Mail filed. On Nov. 4, 1988, I mailed a true copy of the Complaint in the above captioned matter by certified mail, return receipt requested to defendant Gerald M. Carter at his address. The defendant's co-defendant and wwife, Madelyn P. Carter, signed the return receipt upon delivery and the receipt, a copy of which is attached hereto and marked Exhibit "A", was returned by the POst Office on Nov. 7, 1988.

On Nov. 4, 1988, I mailed a true copy of the Complaint by certified mail, return receipt requested, to defendant Madelyn P. Carter at her address. The defendant signed the return receipt upon delivery and the receipt, a copy of which is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "B" was returned by the Post Office on Nov. 7, 1988.

I make these statements pursuant to 18 Pa. Consolidated Statutes §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities and understand that false statements may subject me to criminal penalties under that statute.

Mahany, Roeder & Smith
By: Tamera Ochs-Rothschild

Dec. 6, 1988 Certification of Service ofAnswer, New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

Dec. 28, 1988, Plaintiff's Reply to New Matter and Answer to Defendants' Counterclaim filed.

Dec. 28, 1988, Certificate of Service of Plaintiff's Reply filed.

Mar. 27, 1989 Certificate Of Service of Interrogatoreis on Counsel filed.

Mar. 27, 1989 Notice Of Service Of Plaintiff's Interrogatories On Defendant Madelyn P. Carter filed.

Mar. 27, 1989 Notice Of Service Of Plaintiff's Interrogatories on Defendant Gerald M. Carter filed.

Mar 28, 1989 Affidavit of Return of Service of Plaintiff's Interrogatories to Defendant filed.

Apr. 26, 1989 Notice of Service of Defendant's Answers to Plaintiff's Interrogatories filed. (Dft. Gerald M. Carter)

Apr. 26, 1989 Notice of Service of Defendant's Answers to Plaintiff's Interrogatories filed. (dft. Madelyn P. Carter)

May 30, 1989, Certificate of Service of Answers to Defendants Interrogatories to plaintiff filed.

June 5, 1989, Notice of Service of plaintiff's Supplemental Interrogatories on dft. filed. (Madelyn P. Carter)

June 5, 1989, Notice of Service of Plaintiff's Supplemental Interrogatories on Defendant, Gerald M. Carter, filed.

June 5, 1989, Notice of Service of Plaintiff's REquest for Production of Documents to Defendant, Madelyn P. Carter, filed.

June 5, 1989, Notice of Service of Plaintiff's REquest for Production of Documents to Defendant, Gerald M. Carter, filed.

Feb. 27, 1990 Notice of Service of plaintiffs Second REquest for Production of Documents to Defendant Madelyn P. Carter, filed.

Feb. 27, 1990 Notice of Service of Plaintiff's Second REquest for Production of Documents to defendant Gerald M. carter filed.

1988

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs.

996.

Gordon R. Miller

ALBRIGHT TOOL & MFG. INC.

vs.

ASSOCIATED TOOL AND MANUFACTURING, IN C.,

Filed Nov. 7, 1988
@ 4:23 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓
Pro for Co. pd 8/21/89 5.00 ✓
Pro for Co. pd 8/21/89 6.00 ✓

APPEAL OF PLAINTIFF FROM JUDGMENT OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - 30-2-01

Nov. 10, 1988 Proof of Servi ce of Notice of Appeal filed.

Nov. 15, 1988, Appearance of Gordon R. Miller, attorney for defendant filed.

Nov. 28, 1988, Complaint in Civil Action filed. 1 copy (atty)

Feb. 10, 1989, Answer and Counterclaim filed.

Feb. 27, 1989 Plaintiff's Reply To defendant's Counterclaim filed.

A ug. 21, 1989 upon Praecipe of Gordon R. Miller, Attorney for Defendant,the Counterclaim in the above captioned case is settled and discontinued of record.

Aug. 21 , 1989 Upon Praecipe of Alan L. Pepicelli, Atty. for Plaintiff, the above- captioned case is settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Kathleen R. Nelson

997.

MARK J. HAYS

vs.

LISA L. HAYS

Filed Nov. 7, 1988
@ 4:24 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 16, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Kathleen R. Nelson, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce was sent by registered mail to the Defendant, on November 11, 1988.
3. The registered mail was sent to Lisa L. Hays, R.D.# 1, Saegertown, Pa. 16433.
4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on Nov. 14, 1988. Said Return Receipt card P.-725-346-276 is attached hereto, signed by Lisa L. Hays, and made a part hereof.

Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq.

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 11-16-88. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: July 3, 1997

July 8, 1997 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
MaryAnn Kirkpatrick

998.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

HAROLD L. CORNER

vs.

ALLENE ADELE CORNER

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 9, 1988 ORDER filed. AND NOW,Nov. 9, 1988, hearing is scheduled upon plaintiff's Petition for Special Relief to be held Nov. 22, 1988 at 2:00 p.m. in Courtroom of the Crawford County Courthouse, in Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov.14, 1988 copy to M. Kirkpatrick, Esq.

Nov. 9, 1988, Petition for Special Relief filed.

Filed Nov. 9, 1988
@ 9:12 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
1 count paid 11/22/88	15.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 2-14-89	9.00 ✓

Nov. 9, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. JOyce Hovis, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is a competent adult and employed by Culbertson, Weiss, Sc hetroma and Schug, a law firm in Meadville, Pa.
2. That on information furnished to her, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce in the above matter to be served upon Allene A. Corner, Dft., at 2:55 p.m. on Nov. 9, 1988, at the law firm of Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin and Stack, Chestnut St., Meadville, Pa. 16335.
3. That costs incurred for this service were as follows:
   Service - N/A Mileage N/A Total N/A
4. Further Deponent sayeth not.

Joyce Hovis.

Nov. 17, 1988, Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, Rule Entered Upon Plaintiff to File Bill of Particulars, issued.

Nov. 17, 1988, Answer and Counterclaim in Divorce Under the Divorce code filed. (Alimony Pendente Lite, Permanent Alimony, Counsel fees, Expert Fees, Costs and Expenses)

Nov. 22, 1988 Memorandum of Agreement as to Separation and Divorce filed. (Two Copies to Attorneys).

Nov. 22, 1988, Motion to Cancel Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, November 22, 1988, Motion granted.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov. 28, 1988 copies to M. Kirkpatrick and James Irwin.

Nov. 22, 1988, Service of the foregoing Rule accepted by Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, attorney for plaintiff.

Feb. 8, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 9, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Feb 10, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney fro Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Mar. 6, 1989 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And Now, March, 6, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Harold Corner Plaintiff, and Allene Corner, Defendant, are divorced fromthe bonds of matrimony.

By the Court:
Robert L. Walker, J

May 30, 1989 Motion for Order and Order Awarding INdividual Retirement Account Pursuant to Divorce filed. AND NOW, May 30, 1989, pursuant to the terms ofthe parties' divorce Settlement Agreement filed of record in this matter, it is hereby Ordered:

The First Truest Individual Retirement Accounts of Harold L. Corner (No. 3422 27-0001 and No. 3422 24-0001) shall be transferred to and are the sole and separate property of Allene A. Corner.

By The Court,
Robert L. Walker, J

June 6, 1989 Copies of order put in Atty. Irwin and Kirkpatrick's box.

AS OF NOVEMBER 22, 2000 ANY FILINGS INVOLVING THIS CASE MAY NOW BE FOUND ON THE COMPUTER.

1988

Edward J. Krug,P.C.
Susan S. White

999.

FIRST FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION OF ERIE, NOW COLONY SAVINGS BANK, F.S.B.

vs.

THOMAS J. KELLY, JR. AND BETTY J. KELLY, HIS WIFE

Filed Nov. 9, 1988
@ 10:59. p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	46.60
Pro Co Pd 12-12-88	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Dec 5, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Thomas J. Kelly, Jr., personally the within named defendant on November 18, 1988 at 12:20 P.M. at his residence, 212 West Mt. Vernon Street, Titusville, Crawford Co., Pa.

SERVED Betty J. Kelly on November 18, 1988 at 12:21 P.M. at their residence, 212 West Mt. Vernon St., Titusville, Pa. Crawford Co.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Dec 12, 1988 @ 10:54 A.M.Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney judgment in Mortgage Foreclosure is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendants for failure to file an answer and the same is liquidated in the amount as follows: (a) Principal Balance, Thirteen Thousand Five Hundred Twenty-one and 30/100 Dollars; (b) Interest to 12/09/88, Seven Hundred Seventy-seven and 66/100 Dollars; (c) Late Charges, Three Hundred Twenty-five and 26/100 Dollars; (d) Escrow Deficit, One Hundred Twelve and 63/100; (e) Attorney fees, Seven Hundred Thirty-six and 84/100; TOTAL Fifteen Thousand Four Hundred Seventy-three and 69/100 Dollars.

K-241, 21.

Debt.....$15,473.69
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Dec 13, 1988 Judgment entered.

Dec 13, 1988 Notice of Judgment mailed defendants.

EX 1989-8

1988

Mahany, Roeder
& Smith
Barbara L. Smith

1000.

PAUL F. VOISIN AND SUZANNE S. VOISIN

vs.

OUR HOME, INC.

Filed Nov. 9, 1988
@ 11:24 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co pd 2/15/89 9.00
Pro Co Pd 4/11/89 5.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 15, 1989 Affidavit Of Service filed. On November 10, 1989, I mailed a true and correct copy of a Complaint by certified mail, restricted delivery return receipt requested, to defendant, Our Home, Inc., at the following address: Our Home, Inc. c/o Louise Marti, 753 44th Street, Brooklyn, New York 11220.

The Complaint was returned undelivered on December 20, 1988 for failure of the defnednat to accept delivery of the parcel after three (3) notices by the postal authorities, as evidenced by the return envelope, a copy of which is attached hereto and marked as "Exhibit a."

Pursuant to Pa.R.C.P. 405(c), the Complaint was reissued by ordinary mail to the defendant at the same address on December 20, 1988. The Complaint was not returned by the postal authorities within fifteen (15) days of mailing. Barbara L. Smith

And now, Feb. 15, 1989 at 11:32 a.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney with Notice of Intention to file Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Five Thousand plus interest and cost of suit.

0-184
judgment entered Feb. 15, 1989

Debt. $5,000.00
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX 1989-23

Apr. 11, 1989, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above judgment is hereby satisfied of record.

1988

Joseph F. Kulwicki

1001.

CAROLYN J. HUMMEL

vs.

CLARENCE I. HUMMEL

Filed Nov. 9, 1988
@ 1:03 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Nov 23, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: KELLY S. SWEITZER, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an employee of Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq., attorney for the Plaintiff, and that as such on November 15, 1988, she placed a certified copy of the Divorce Complaint in the matter above captioned, being certified mail item number P 724 954 524, addressed to the Defendant, Mr. Clarence I. Hummel, 529 South Main Street, Cambridge Springs, Pennsylvania 16403.

On November 17, 1988, the signature card for the said certified mail item number was returned to the office of Joseph F. Kulwicki bearing the signature of Clarence I. Hummel as having received same on November 16, 1988. The receipt and signature card for certified mail item number P 724 954 524 are attached hereto and made a part hereof.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Kelly S. Sweitzer

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 11-23-88. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: July 3, 1997

July 8, 1997 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas W. Ferguson

1002.

CHIH J. KUO

vs.

SHELLY M. KUO

Filed Nov. 9, 1988
@ 2:29 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

John Fuller, Mediator 120.00
Pro Co Pd 1/18/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT FOR PARTIAL CUSTODY/VISITATION

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:
YOU,Shelly, M. Kuo, Dft., have been sued in Court to obtain partial custody visitation of the child Stephanie Kuo.
You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.
If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.
Crawford CountyBar Assoc., Ser. to the Pub. Comm. c/o Prothonotary, Crawford Countycourthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335, Telephone 814 724-4636.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec 30, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, December 30, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, and based upon an agreement of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Stephanie J. Kuo shall be shared between the father, Chin J. Kuo, and the mother, Shelly M. Kuo, that is each parent shall be entitled full access to school records, medical records and other important records, and each parent shall be entitled to take part in decisions affecting the child's education, religious instruction, medical treatment and other such important decisions.

II. Physical custody of Stephanie Kuo shall be with her mother, Shelly M. Kuo, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every Saturday, beginning December 24, 1988 from 10:00 a.m. until 2:30 p.m.

B. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every Monday beginning December 26, 1988 from 12:00 Noon until 3:00 p.m.

C. The father shall be obligated to provide transportation for the child to and from the home of the mother on Saturday and Monday visits.

D. The father shall provide the mother as much notice as possible if he intends to cancel any visit. In any event, if the father is thirty (30) minutes or more late for any visit, the mother may consider the visit cancelled.

E. The father shall not drink or consume alcohol during any visits with the child nor shall he come for the child when he is under the influence of drugs or alcohol and shall not consume alcohol in any quantity immediately prior to any visit.

F. In the event the parties at any time agree to a longer visit, the father shall not remove the child from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for a period of more than seventy-two (72) hours without the consent of the mother.

G. It is expressly provided that whenever the father is transporting the child, the child shall wear an appropriate seatbelt or other required restraint.

H. It is expressly provided that the father's girlfriend shall not accompany the father when the father picks up or delivers the child to the home of the mother.

I. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

J. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

K. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding one or both of the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

This order is a temporary Order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

Robert L. Walker, Judge

Jan 4, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Ferguson, Barrett and Fuller.

May 3, 1989 Petition for Contempt and Order filed. AND NOW, this 3rd day of May, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing petition is hereby ordered and decreed a rule to show cause place dupon the Plaintiff, Shelly M. Kuo, to show any reason she should not be held in Contempt of the court's Order of December 30, 1988 for the reason set forth in the foregoing Petition. Returnable for hearing: May 26, 1989 at 1:30 P.M.

Service of this Petition in this Order should be made by regular mail upon the Defendant, Shelly M. Kuo, at her residence 1193 Chula Court, Meadville, Pennsylvania, and upon her attorney of record Bruce Barrett, Esq., Pending further proceedings in regard to this matter and the hearing scheduled herein the Defendant, Shelly M. Kuo, is specifically order to abide by the Court's Order of December 30, 1988 concerning custody and visitation.

By the Court;
Robert L. Walker, J

May 5, 1989 Copies of order put in Douglas Ferguson and Bruce Barretts' box

May 9, 1989 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Petition for Contempt certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated May 5, 1989. The Defendant acknowledged service on May 6, 1989, by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Douglas W. Ferguson

Continued on the next page

May 26, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, May 26, 1989, at the time fixed for hearing on the Plaintiff's Petition to adjudicate the Defendant in contempt, it appears that the Defendant did, in fact, unilaterally refuse certain visits as ordered, but that action was brought on by the failure of the Plaintiff to return the child after an earlier visit at the time required, all of which may have been a result of some misunderstanding between the aprties. In any event, we decline to adjudicate the Defendant in contempt at this time, but we advise both the Plaintiff and the Defendant that this Court will continue to enforce the Order as written until such time as it is properly modified, and we will except both parties to abide by the Order without fail.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

June 6, 1989 Copy of order put in Douglas Ferguson's box and copy mailed to defendant.

Nov 8, 1989 Petition to Modify Custody and Order filed: AND NOW, this 8 day of November, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition to Modify Custody is hereby ordered and decreed that William Pineo is appointed Child Custody Mediator. The Child Custody Mediator shall schedule a hearing with regard to said Petition to Modify under the usual rules of Court of Crawford County Custody Matters.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov 8, 1989 Copies of order put in Atty Ferguson's box.

Nov. 21, 1989 Affidavit of Service filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Petition to Modify Custody on the above named Defendant by certified mail, return receipt requested, dated November 14, 1989. The Defendant acknowledged service on November 15, 1989, by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Douglas W. Ferguson

Jan 12, 1990, Consent Order filed: AND NOW, January 12, 1990, by agreement of the Plaintiff and Defendant it is hereby ordered and decreed as follows:

I. Legal custody of Stephanie Kuo shall be shared between the father, Chin J. Kuo, and the mother, Shelly M. Kuo, that is each parent shall be entitled full access to school records, medical records and other important records, and each parent shall be entitled to take part in decision affecting the child's education, religious instruction, medical treatment and other important decisions.

II. Physical custody of Stephanie Kuo shall be with her mother, Shelly M. Kuo, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every Sunday, beginning December 31, 1989 fro. 4:00 p.m. until the following Tuesday at 10:00 a.m. when the child shall be returned to the custody of the mother.

B. The father shall be obligated to provide transportation for the child to and from all visits set forth herein.

C. The father shall provide the mother as much notice as possible if he intends to cancel any visit. In any event, if the father is thirty (30) minutes or mor late for any visit, the mother may consider the visit canceled.

D. The father shall not drink or consume alcohol during any visits with the child nor shall he come for the child or have anyone pick up the child on his behalf when they are under the influence of drugs or alcohol and shall not consume alcohol in any quantity immediately prior to any visit.

E In the event the parties at any time agree to a longer visit, the father shall not remove the child from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for any period of more than seventy-two (72) hours without consent of the mother.

F. It is expressly provided that whenever the father is transporting the child, the child shall wear appropriate seatbelt or the required restraint.

G. It is expressly provided that the father's current wife, Mary Kuo, may pick up or deliver the child to the home of the mother.

H. The parties hereby agree that a Christmas holiday shall be spent with both parents as follows:

1) For Christmas of 1989 the child shall be in the custody of mother from 5:00 p..m. Christmas Eve until 11:00 a.m. Christmas Day. The child shall be in the custody of father from 11:00 a.m. Christmas Day 1989 until Christmas day at 8:00 p.m. Thereafter the parties shall alternate the above Christmas vacation on a yearly basis.

2) The Christmas visit set forth herein shall take preference over regular visits between the parties.

I. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

J. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

K. K. Both parent agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding one or both of the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, Judge

Jan 12, 1990 Copies of order put in Atty Ferguson's box.

452
B

1988

1988

William L. Walker 1003.	PENNBANK vs. MARIO SCOTTO Filed Nov. 9, 1988 @ 2:56 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Pro Co Pd 1-27-89 9.00 ✓	COMPLAINT 1 copy (atty) Dec. 5, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Vicki Bradshaw, being duly sworn according to law, deposees and says that she is the secretary to William L. Walker, Esq., attorney for Pennbank in this action and certifies that on Nov. 10, 1988 she delivered to the Post Office in Meadville, Pa. for delivery to Mario at P.O. Box 981, Clifton, New Jersey 07014 by certified mail, return receipt requested, delivery restricted to addressee only, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in this matter for which deponent received at the time receipt for certified article No. P724 951 644 which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Thereafter on Nov. 24, 1988 it was returned to William L. Walker, return receipt card bearing the same number and signed by Mario Scotto said return receipt card being attached hereto as Exhibit " B". Vicki Bradshaw AND NOW, Jan 27, 1989 @ 9:04 A.M. upon praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney with notice of judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and damages are assessed as follows: Principal: Eight Thousand One Hundred Ten and 15/100 Dollars. S-115 Debt.....$8,110.15 JUDGMENT James F. Simmons Prothonotary

1988

Jack, Kookogey & Felton
Robert J. Felton

1004.

Mahany, Roeder & Smith
By: Tamera Ochs-Rothschild

1/15/88 Recd. # 62.77
From Plaintiff
12/26/88 Recd. # 62.76
From Defendant

Recd 12-30-88
#62.77
Vivian Stoltz
Recd 12-30-88
#62.78
Vivian Stoltz

LILLIAN M. WHITMAN

vs.

CHARLES L. WHITMAN

Filed Nov. 9, 1988
@ 4:01 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Proc. fd 11/15/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY/SHARED CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 10 day of Nov. 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing complaint for custody, the parties hereto and their representative counsel are ordered to appear before BRUCE SMITH, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR, who is hereby appointed in this case. The said parties and their respective counsel shall appear at such time or times that the Child Custody Mediator shall hereinafter determine. P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 1, 1988 Appearance of Mahany, Roeder & Smith, By Tamera Ochs-Rothschild, attorney for defendant filed.

Dec. 5, 1988 Temporary Order of Court filed. AND NOW, this 5th day of Dec. 1988, after Mediation and upon recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Heather I. Whitman, age 16, born Dec. 6, 1971, and Ryan C. Whitman, age 13, born Nov. 25, 1975 the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of the minor children. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitle both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the children held by a third party, including, but not limited to, school and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to the educational and religious upbringing of the children, as well as elective surgery.

2. Primary physical custody of the children shall remain with the defendant natural father, Charles L. Whitman, and his wife, the stepmother, Claudia J. Whitman. Regular visitation and temporary custody of the children shall be granted to the plaintiff mother, Lillian M. Whitman. With regard to the minor, Heather L. Whitman, a gradual beginning of temporary custody shall be recommended with the hope that a consentual EXPANDING OF SAID TEMPORARY CUStody in the mother will be approved by the child and both of the parents. Physical custody of the plaintiff mother with regard to Heather shall be as follows:

a. The child, Heather I. Whitman, shall visit in the home of her mother every Sunday evening between the hours of 5:00 p.m. and 8:00 p.m., prevailing time. The father of Heather shall also allow Heather to spend other time with the mother at the child's request, including overnight visitation, if and when requested by Heather. The visitations with the mother shall be arranged and modified so as to not interfere with Heather's employment at Burger King in the City of Titusville or any other meaningful employment which she may have from time to time prior to reaching age eighteen.

b. Other that work as detailed above, no other excuse shall be considered suitab le for Heather not to be in her mother's home or her mother's temporary custody and control between the hours of 5:00 and 8:00 p.m., prevailing time, and the minor is advised to adjust hersocial schedule to allow for visitations with her mother.

Physical custody of the plaintiff mother with the child, Ryan, shall be as follows:

a. The child, Ryan, shall visit in the home of the natural mother on the first, second, and fourth weekends of each month during the hours from 6:00 p.m. on Friday, prevailing time, through6:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Sunday, and the mother shall have the child ready for pick-up by the father on Sundays at 6:45 a.m.,prevailing time, so he may see to his Sunday paper route with his father, as is currently being done. Additionally, the child shall come to the mother's home on Wednesdays after school is over at approximately 3:30 p.m., prevailing time, and shall remain with the natural mother overnight and go to school Thursday mornings from the mother's home.

b. In even-numbered years, the child, Ryan, shall spend Thanksgiving Day in the father's home and Christman Day in the mother's home; and in odd-numbered years, this shall be reversed. Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day shall be defined as beginning at 9:00 a.m. prevailing time, and ending 7:00 p.m. prevailing time.

c. During the summers of each year, beginning in 1989 , the child, Ryan, shall spend the last three weeks of June and the first three weeks of Aug. in the home of the plaintiff mother. These weeks shall be considered to be full weeks, and shall be the last full three weeks of June and first three full weeks of Aug. During the summer visitations with the mother, the child shall be ready to do his paper route with the father every Sunday at 6:45 a.m. prevailing time.

d. Holiday visitation shall take priority over other scheduled visitation. The parties are encouraged to agree as to holiday visitation on other holidays, and have made assurances that they can accommodate the child, Ryan, with regard to other holidays.

3. Except when the children are able to walk to the mother's home, the father shall pick-up and return the child or children to the mother's residence.

4. The parent having custody of the children at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of illness or injury requiring medical treatment.

5. Neither parent shall take the children into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to their development or the development of their character, or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to their physical persons.

6. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the children; and, if the parties mutually agree to an alternative arrangement to accommodate themselves and the children's schedules, they may adjust the physical custody arrangements accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

7. Each of the parents, the stepparent, and the paramour involved with the children will teach the children to develop that love and respect due a parent from his or her child and neither of the parents or the stepparent or paramour will do anything to blacken the character of either parent, stepparent, or paramour in the eyes of the children.

8. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request a Mediation Conference if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the children.

9. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pa. shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either parent or either child resides in the Court's jurisdiction and for at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

10. The fee for the Child Custody Mediator shall be paid 50% by the mother and 50% by the father.

11. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent Order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty days from the date of this Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 8, 1988 copies of order to Robert J. Felton, Tamera Ochs-Rothschild, andBruce L. Smith, esqs.

1988

Watts, Pepicelli
Youngs and Youngs PC
Christopher Youngs

1005.

LISA SCHLOSSER ROBERTS

vs.

GENE A. ROBERTS

Filed Nov. 9, 1988
@ 4:03 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 4/26/89	9.00
State pd 4/26/89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 18, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Christopher J. Youngs, hereby certifies that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff Lisa Schlosser Roberts, in the within Action and that a copy of the Complaint i n Divorce was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only to the Defendant, Gene A. Roberts, at R.D.# 1, Jordan Drive, Saegertown, Pa. 16433 on Nov. 10, 1988 and was received by the Defendant on Nov. 14, 1988 The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A".

Christopher J. Youngs, atty.

Mar 23, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Mar 23, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr. 21, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

May 3, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, May 3, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Lisa Schlosser Roberts, plaintiff, and Gene A. Roberts, defendants, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Voorhies, Rowley,
Wallace, Keck & Karson
Christopher St. John

1006.

Fruit, Dill, Goodwin
& Scholl
Thomas A. Dill

JOHN P. YOHO, JR.

vs.

PAMELA A. YOHO

Filed Nov. 10, 1988
@ 1:29 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co Pd	20.00
Pro Co Pd 12/1/88	10.00
Pro Co pd 3/9/90	30.00
Pro Co pd 9/25/90	9.00
State pd 9/25/90	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, CUSTODY AND/OR VISITATION

Jan. 17, 1989, Complaint Reinstated.

Feb. 6, 1989, Affidavit of Service of Written Interrogatories to Christopher J. St. John Esq. counsel for plaintiff, filed.

Feb., 16, 1989, Appearance and acceptance of service of certified copy of the original complaint by Pamela Yoho, defendant, on February 10, 1989, filed.

June 14, 1989, Affidavit of Service of Written Interrogatories on John P. Yoho, Jr. filed.

Oct. 30, 1989 Petition For Injuntive Relief Pursuant To Section 403 of the Divorce Code and Order filed. AND NOW, this 30 day of October, 1989,upon consideration of the within Petition a Rule is granted upon John P. Yoho, Jr., to show cause, if any, why the within Petition should not be granted by the Court.
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED that pending said hearing, the parties shall not remove, transfer, or encumber or otherwise dispose of any marital property acquired during their marriage including Pension Plan and/or 401K Plans.
RULE RETURNABLE On the 7 day of December, 1989, at 1:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 at which time a hearing shall be held.
BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, J

Oct. 31, 1989 Copies of order to Christopher St. John and Thomas Dill (mailed)

Dec. 8, 1989, AGREEMENT and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 8 day of Dec. 1989, upon consideration of the within Agreement, the court hereby decrees the following:
1. That neither party shall remove, transfer or encumber, or otherwise dispose of, any pension plans and/or 401K plans until such time as the Court makes a Decree of Distribution and/or the parties enter into a Property Settlement Agreement signed by the parties.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 12, 1989,copies of order to Attys. C. St. JOhn and Thomas Dill.

Jan. 26, 1990 Petition For Bifurcation Under The Divorce Code and Order filed. AND NOW, this 30 day of Jan, 1990, upon consideration of the within Petition for Bifurcation Under the Divorce Code, it is hereby ordered and decreed that a Rule be issued upon the defendant, Pamela A. Yoho, to show cause why the requested relief should not be granted. Said Rule shall be returnable and a hearing shall be held on the 9th day of March, 1990, at 9:30 o'clock a.m., in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Feb. 2, 1990 Copies mailed to Atty's.

Mar. 9, 1990 Addition Count in Divorce filed. (Alimony Pendente Lite, counsel Fees & Expenses, Alimony)

Mar. 14, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, March 9, 1990, at the time set for argument and hearing on the bifurcation petition, counsel for the Plaintiff acknowledges that the record is not in a proper procedural posture to request bifurcation, particuararly in view of the fact that the requisite 201(c) affidavits have not been filed.
Counsel for the Plaintiff proposes to resolve this procedural dilemma by petitioning for amendment on the original complaint to allege indignities as an additional ground for divorce (see separate Order entered this date).
Even though Defendant/Wife would not object to the amendment on the indignities count, it appears that there would be a contest of the indignities issue if bifurcation were granted and the matter was referred to a Master for resolution. The defense argues that the precarious financial condition of the Plaintiff would conceivably impact on the granting of a divorce as it would convert entireties property to tenancy in common property, and thus have a potential adverse impact on the wife's interest in jointly-held assets subject to credit or attachment.
The Court listens to argument on the bifurcation issue onthe assumption that an indignities amendment would be approved by the Court and this Court is satisfied that this is not the type of case that would warrant granting a bifurcated divorce and reserving the economic issues for separate contest. Accordingly, the Court denies bifurcation at this time with the notation that even under the consent Order allowing amendment of the divorce entered this date, the Court's decision would be the same. Petition for bifurcation is denied and unless counsel can cite additional decisional law, the Court will not entertain another bifurcation petition inthis case.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Mar. 15, 1990 Copies mailed to Christopher St. John and Thomas Dill

Mar. 14, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, March 9, 1990, at th etime set for argument on a bifurcation issue, both counsel and their parties agree that the original complaint filed by the Husband/Plaintiff will be amended to add the count of indignities with the same force and effect as if a written petition requesting the same had been presented to the Court and authorized by separate Order.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Mar. 15, 1990 Copies of order mailed to Christopher St. John and Thomas Dill

Sept. 25, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 25, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 25, 1990 Upon praecipe of Pl aintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to Court.

Continued on page 456A.

JOHN P. YOHO, JR.

VS.

PAMELA YOHO

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 456

OF RECORD

A.D. 1988 - 1006

****

Oct. 4, 1990 Qualified Domestic Relations Order filed. This matter having come before the Court, the Honorable Judge John Q. Stranahan presiding on this 3 day of October, 1990 and
After having filed its findings of fact, conclusions of law, and after granting a judgement of divorce which included certain provisions dealing with John Paul Yoho, Jr.'s interest inthe United States Steel and Carnegie Pension Fund, and the United States Steel Corporation Savings Fund for Salaried Employees.
The court now orders the following as required to carry forth such provisions; the following retirement plan dispositions are made in concordance with the following facts: 1. Plan Participant and current address: John Paul Yoho, Jr., 8 Durst Street, Greenville, Pennsylvania 16125. 2. Participant's Date of Birth: November 6, 1949. 3. Participant's Social Security Number: 198-38-4224. 4. Alternate Payee's Name and Address: Pamela Yoho, Apartment 12A, Second Street, Baden, Pennsylvania 15005. 5. Alternate Payee's Date of Birth: March 2, 1952. 6. Alternate Payee's Social Security Number: 159-42-1379. 7. Defined Benefit and Defined Contribution Plans to which this order applies and address: United States Steel and Carnegie Pension Fund and The United States steel Corporation Savings fund for Salaried Employees, c/o R.A. Johnson, 600 Grant Street, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219-4776.

DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN

The United States Steel and Carnegie Pension Fund is directed top ay, to alternate payee 50% of a fraction of the pension allowance the plan participant is entitled to receive prior to any withholding for taxes or any items, on his normal retirement date, or if earlier, the date participant elects to retire and commence receipt of his pension benefits. The numerator of this fraction shall be 207 months an dthe denominator shall be the total number of months of service credit participant has on his normal retirement date, or if earlier the date he elects to retire and commence receipt of his pension benefits. The gross benefit payable to the plan participant will be paid in a reduced amount to reflect the fact that participant elects to receive his pension as a joint an dsurvivor annuity. Nothing contained in this order shallin any way require the plan to provide any form, type, or amoun tof benefit not otherwise available by law.
In the event of participant's death prior to his retirement, alternative payee shall be entitled to be treated as a surviving spouse of the participant, and eligible to receive qualified pre-retirement survivor annuity benefits in an amount that would have been made under a qualified joint survivor annuity, (or the actuarial equivalent thereof).
This order provides that the participant shall receive his pension benefit on the basis of joint and survivor annuity. The alternate payee is named as the survivor in the event of the retired plan participant's death prior to that of the alternate payee. The amount of the survivor benefit payable to the alternate payee shall be limited so as not to exceed the amount of the monthly pension she had been receiving prior to the death of the plan participant plys any Cost of Living Allowance increases that accrue to the annuity amount being awarded to the alternate payee from the time of the plan participant's death forward.

DEFINED CONTRIBUTION PLAN

The United States Steel Corporation Savings Fund for Salaried Employees is directed to pay to the alternate payee $8,192.00 from any funds (cash and/or stock interest) the participant had in th eplan on November 18, 1988 plus any interest and/or dividends that might have accrued on the portion of the accounts transferred to the alternate payee commencing on NOvmeber 18, 1988 and upon until the itme of distribution. Payment of these funds to the alternate payee shall be made at the earliest possible date as provided for under the erms of the plan and under existing INternal Revenue Service regulations. In the event of alternate payee's death prior to receipt of these funds, payment shall be made to the legal representative of her estate for appropriate distribution.
Except as set forth here in, all rights in and to benefits from the United STates Steel and Carnegie Pension Fund an dThe United States Steel Corporation Savings Fund for Salaried Employees are awarded to plan participant.
It is further ordered that a true copy of this order be served upon the administrotrs of the United States Steel and Carnegie Pension Fund and The United States Steel Corporation Savings Fund for Salaried Employees and sahll take effect immediately and shall remain in effect until further order of the court. Until this order is accepted by the plan administrators, this Court shall retain jurisdiction to modify the same. Date at this 17 day of September, 1990.
So Stipulated and Agreed to
John P. Yoho, Jr., Participant, dated Sept. 17, 1990
Pamela Yoho, Alternate Payee, dated: 9-19, 1990
APPROVED AS A FORM;
Christopher J. St. John Attorney for Participant Dated: Sept. 17, 1990
Thomas Allen Dill, Attorney for Alternate Payee Dated: Sept. 20, 1990
Dated this 3 day of October, 1990.

BY THE COURT:
John Q. Stranahan

Oct. 5, 1990 Copy of order mailed to Christopher St. John and Thomas Dill

Oct. 4, 1990 Qualified Domestic Relations Order filed. This matter having come before the court, the Honorable Judge John Q. Stranahan presiding on this 3 day of October, 1990 and
After having filed its findings of fact, conclusions of law, and after granting a judgment of divorce which included certain provisions dealing with John Paul Yoho, Jr.'s interest in a U.S. Railraod Retirment Act annuity.
The court now orders the following as required to carry forth such provisions; the following retirement plan dispositions are made in concordance with the following facts. 1. Plan Participant and current address: John Paul Yoho, Jr., 8 Durst Street, Greenville, Pennsylvania 16125. 2. Participant's Date of Birth: November 6, 1949. 3. Participant's Social Secirty Number: 198-38-4224. 4. Alternate Payee's Name and Address: Pamela Yoho, Apartment 12A, Second Street, Baden, Pennsylvania 15005. 5. Alternate Payee's Date of Birth: March 2, 1952. 6. Alternate Payee's Social Security Number: 159-42-1379. 7. Defined benefit Plan to which this order applies and address: Railroad Retirement Board, c/o Deputy General Counsel, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinouis 60611.

PENSION PLAN

The alternate payee is awarded and the Railroad Retirement Board is directed to pay, an interest in the plan participant's benefits under the railroad Retirement Act (45 U.S.C. 231 et seq.) which may be divided as provided by section 14 of that Act (45 US.S. 231m). The alternative payee's share shall be computed by multiplying the divisible portion of the plan participant's monthly benefit by a faction, the numberator of which is the number of months the plan participant worked for the railroad employer during the period of the marriage (December 1, 1971 through Nuber 18, 1988 [203 months]), and the denominator of which shall be the plan participant's total number of months employed by a railraod employer at retirement, and them dividing he product by two.
In the event of participant's death prior to his retirement, alternate payee shall be entitled to be treated as a surviving spouse of the participant, and eligible to receive qualified pre-retirement survivor annuity benefits in an amount that would have been made under a qualified joint survivor annuity, or the actuarial equivalent thereof), to the extend that the said survivor benefits do not exceed the amount that would have been paid to alternate payee under the terms of this order beginning on plan participant's earliest retirement date had he survived.
This is a property settlement order under the provisions of the Equitable Distribution Statutes of the commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the retirement benefits being awarded to the alternate payee have been determined to be her property. In the event of the alterantive payee's death prior toher receipt o the benefits as provided herein, said benefits, payable during the plan participant's life, shall be paid to the following beneficiaries, **John N. Yoho, born June 12, 1972 and Steven Z. Yoho, born NOvember 24, 1978, being the children of the alternate payee.**
Except as set foth herein all rights in and to benefits form the Railroad Retirment Board are awarded to paln participant.
I t is further order that a true copy of this order be served upon the administrators of the plan and shall take effect immediately and shall remain in effect until furthe rorder of the court. Until this order is accepted by th eplan administrators, this court shall retain jurisdiction to modify the same. Dated at this 17 day of September, 1990.
SO STIPULATED AND AGREED TO DATED
John P. Yoho, Jr., Participant Dated: Sept. 17, 1990
Pamela Yoho, Alternate Payee Dated: 9-19, 1990
APPROVED AS A FORM:
Christopher J. St. John, Attorney for Participant Dated: Sept. 17, 1990
Timothy Allen Dill, Attorney for Alternate Payee, Dated: September 20, 1990
Dated this 3 day of October, 1990.

BY THE COURT,
John Q. Stranahan, J

Oct. 8, 1990 Copies mailed to Christopher St. John and Thomas Dill

Oct. 4, 1990 **O R D E R F I L E D .** As per the Property Settlement Agreement, it is hereby Ordered and decreed that the deposit, which is with First National Bank of Mercer County, account no. 11-70-0255-0 which is in thejoint names of John P. Yoho, Jr. and Pamela Yoho shall become the sole property of Pamela Yoho. The First National Bank of Mercer County is hereby ordered to transfer the proceeds in the sole name of Pamela Yoho

BY THE COURT: John Q. Stranahan, J Oct. 3, 1990

456
B

John P. Yoho, Jr.

vs.

Pamela Yoho

Record continued from page 456A
of record
A.D. 1990 - 1006

****

Oct. 4, 1990 Order filed. That this matter having come before the Court and Honorable Judge John Q. Stranahan presiding on this 3 day of October, 1990 and pursuant to the Property Settlement Agreement, and after granting a judgment of divorce which includes certain provisions dealing with John P Yoho, Jr.'s interest in a live insurance policy through his employer, Bessemer and Lake Erie Railroad.
The Court now orders the following as required, to carry forth such provisions.
1. Plaint Participant and current address: John Paul Yoho, Jr., 8 Durst Street, Greenville, Pennsylvania 16125.
2. Participant's Date of Birth: November 6, 1949.
3. Participant's Social Security Number: 198-38-4224.
4. Beneficiary of the Life Insurance Policy shall be Steven Z. Yoho, born November 24, 1978 and shall continue to be listed until he reaches eighteen (18) years of age, being November 24, 1996. That as of November 24, 1996, the beneficiaries shall be Steven Z. Yoho, born November 24, 1978 and John N. Yoho, born June 12, 1972. That the two children shallhave equal shares ni the life insurance policy. That John P. Yoho, Jr. shall not have the right to amend the beneficiary with regard his Bessemer andLake Erie LIfe INsurance.

BY THE COURT,
John Q. Stranahan, J.

Oct. 4, 1990 **O R D E R F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 3 day of October, 1990, upon receipt of the Praecipe to Transmit the Record in the above-captioned matter, it ishereby ordered as follows:
1. A decree shall be entered divorcing the Plaintiff, John P Yoho, Jr., and the Defendant, Pamela A. Yoo, from the bonds of matrimony which now exist between them.
2. Distribution of marital property, the eliminationof alimony and the payment of counsel fees shall be done pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement dated September 17, 1990 entered into between the parties, which is filed of record in this matter. said AGreement shall be incorporated into the diovrce decree.
3. Pursuant to the agreement of the parties, the Plaintiff's duty to pay alimony pendente-lite and/or alimony to the defendant shall terminate effective on September 13, 1990.

BY THE COURT,
John Q. Stranahan, J

Oct. 4, 1990 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, October 3, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that JOHN P. YOHO, JR., Plaintiff, and PAMELA A. YOHO, Defendant are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
John Q. STranahan, S.J.

June 18, 1991 Joint Petition and Stipulation for Consent Order and Order and Order filed: AND NOW, on this 18 day of June, 1991, pursuant to the Joint Petition and Stipulation for Consent Order entered into by and between the parties in the above-captioned matter, it is hereby ordered and decreed that the Order of Court dated October 3, 1991, which was designated as Exhibit D. to the property settlement agreement between the parties is hereby amended to provide that the plaintiff, John P. Yoho, Jr, shall maintain his sons as the beneficiaries on the life insurance policy withis his employer, Bessemer and Lake Erie Railroad Company, to the extent of $100,000 in life insurance death benefit proceeds. It is further ordered and decreed that the plaintiff, John P. Yoho, Jr., is permitted to purchase additional life insurance benefits through his employer in excess of $100,000, and shall be and is hereby permitted to designate beneficiaries other than his children to the aforesaid marriage as beneficiaries o the death benefit proceeds in excess of $100,000. All other provisions of the prior order of court shall remain in full force and effect the extent those provisions are consistent with this amended Order.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 20, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Attys. St. John and Dill.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin and Stack
Louis J. Sta ck

1007.

SPAULDING'S, INC.

vs.

FADEL SAMAD t/d/b/a
RAINBOW FOODS

Filed Nov. 10, 1988
@ 1:34 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	35.58
Pro Co pd 1/3/89	9.00
Pro Co pd 5/22/90	5.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Nov. 29, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Befor me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Albert Rock, Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 18th day of November, 1988, at 11:15 a.m., he served Complaint at No. 1007 A.D. Term, 1988, Crawford Co. PA., upon defendant Fadel Samad t/d/b/a Rainbow Foods at Rainbow Foods, 644 Wallis Ave., Farrell, PA. 16121. By making known the contents thereof to Nabil Samad, Manager, person in charge and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the complaint for defendant received from Sheriff of Crawford County.

Albert Rock, Deputy Sheriff
William H. Romine, Jr. Sheriff
Mercer County, Pa.

SERVED Fadel Samad, t/d/b/a Rainbow Foods, by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer Co. PA as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 11-18-88.
So answers,

Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Jan. 3, 1989 at 2:49 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney with Notice of Intention tofile Default Judgment attached, jaudgment is hereby entered infavor ofthe plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to file and answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Two Thousand Seventy-seven and 32/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
S-62;R-183
judgment entered 1/3/89
notice mailed to defendants 1/6/89

Debt. $2077.32
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX/1990-22

May 21, 1990 UPon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record with prejudice.

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Victor J. Kinnunen

1008.

Altomare & Barnhart
By: Jos. C. Barnhart

TERRY N. ORWIG

vs.

VANESSA M. ORWIG

Filed Nov. 10, 1988
@ 2:56 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
2 counts paid	30.00 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 2/16/89	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY
EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 1, 1988 Appearance of Altomare & Barnhart, By: Jos. C. Barnhart, attorney for defendant filed.

Dec. 1, 1988 Acceptance of Service by Jos. C. Barnhart filed. I hereby accept service of a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce, the original of which was filed in the Office of the Prothonotary of Crawford County on Nov. 10, 1988.
Jos. C. Barnhart,atty. for def.

Feb. 16, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 16, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 16, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Feb. 17, 1989 Stipulation and Order filed. AND NOW, this 17 day of February, 1989, upon Stipulation of the parties, it is hereby ordered as follows:

1. Husband shall immediately transfer to Wife all of his right, title and interest in and to a certain 1981 Eagle, a swingset, a freezer, and in addition, the sum of Two Thousand ($2,000.00) dollars(One Thousand ($1,000.00) dollars of which has been heretofore paid).
2. Neither party is entitled to laimony, alimony pendente lite, counsel fees, costs, or expenses.
3. Husband and Wife shall have shared and joint physical and legal custody of Shalyn Marie Orwig, born October 31, 1989.
4. Each parent shall promply and in a timely manner notify the other concerning travel plans, travel arrangements, and any delays which may occur. Any such delays causing a loss of custodial time with the child for the other parent shall result in a reverse of the holiday split of time.
5. Each parent shall be entitled to complete and full information from any doctor, dentist, teach, or authority, and to have copies of any reports given to either party as a parent. These documents shallinclude, but are not limited to, medical reports, report cards, birth certificates, and baptismal certificates.
6. Shalyn Marie Orwig will reside with Wife on Weekdays, Monday through Friday, and on alternate weekends. The child shall reside with Husband every other weekend from 6:00 p.m. Friday to 8:00 p.m. Sunday. In addition, Husband shall have temporary custody of the child not less often than one evening per week, on 48 hours notice to mother. Finally, it is understood that this schedule shall be adjusted to reflect changes in Husband's work schedule, to the end that the child shall reside with Husband for not less that two 2-day off-work periods per month when Husband is working a rotating shift at Cytempt and does not have "normal" weekends off.
7. Husband shall hav ethe right to have the child visit with hom during two separate two-week periods each summer, said two-week visitation periods to be separated by at least one week, and said two-week visitaiton periods to be preceded by not less than thirty (30) days notice to mother.
8. Physical custody of the child shall be laternated during the following holidays:
   a. Thanksgiving Day
   b. christmas Eve, beginning at 3:00 p.m. on December 24, and ending at 11:00 p.m. on December 25.
   c. Christmas Day, beginning at 11:00 a.m. on December 25 and ending at 11:00 p.m. on December 26.
   D. New Year's Day.
   e. Easter.
   f. Memorial Day.
   g. July 4.
   h. Labor Day.
   i. Birthday of the child.
9. Husband and wife have previously entered into a Consent Support Order, filed at Crawford County Domestic Relations Section No. 1988-1008.
10. Husband shall continue in force, at no expense to Wife, health insurance coverage for child a sprovided by Husband's employer, Cytemp, and Husband shall pay for seventy-five (75%) percent of any and all medical dental, orthodontia, surgical, or other related health care expense not covered by his insurance.
11. Provided Husban dpays all child support due, Husband shall be entitled to take nay income tax exemptions and/or deductions allowable for the child for Federal income tax purposes and under any applicable state or local tax provisions, and Wife shall, annually, sign the appropriate IRS Form No. 8332.
12. If either party breaches any provision of this Order, the other party shall have the right to sue for damages for such breach and/or for specific enforcement under the provisions of the Divorce Code of 1980, as amended, and the breaching party shall be responsible for all legal fees and costs incurred by the other in enforcing rights under this agreement and/or in seeking such other remedies or relief as may be available.

P. Richard Thomas, J

Feb. 21, 1989 Copies of order to Victor Kinnunen and Joseph Barnhart

Mar. 6, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, March 6, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that TERRY N. ORWIG, plaintiff, and VANESSA M. ORWIG, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

X

1988

Brian J. Lindsay 1009.	EAZOR SPECIAL SERVICES, INC. vs. TEL-O-POST COMPANY DIVISION OF CARDINAL AMERICAN CORPORATION Filed Nov. 10, 1988 @ 3:32 p.m. Ta x & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Sheriff's Costs 22:15	COMPLAINT 2 copies (atty) Dec. 12, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Tel-O-Post Company within named defendant on Nov. 23, 1988, at 12:05 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Paul Braham, Office Manager and person in charge, at the place of business, 205 N. Pymatuning St., Linesville, Crawford Co., Pa. So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

460

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

1010.

~~Kenneth J. Nolan~~ withdrew 6-5-89
~~George D. Zamias, an individual, Stad Associates, a general partnership composed of Damian G. Zamias, Samuel C. Zamias & Stephen G. Zamias~~

MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton
Marcia H. Haller
K-Mart Corporation
& Geo. D. Zamias, Stad Assoc.
Damian Zamias, Samuel Zamias

GERALDINE I. GARDNER

vs.

GEORGE D. ZAMIAS, AN INDIVIDUAL AND STAD ASSOCIATES, A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP COMPOSED OF DAMIAN G. ZAMIAS, SAMUEL C. ZAMIAS, AND STEPHEN G. ZAMIAS; AND K-MART CORPORATION

Filed Nov. 10, 1988
@ 4:11 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	74.25
Pro Co Pd 10/23/91	6.00

X SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

X Dec. 9, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Raymond Bargas, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 17th day of Nov. 1988, at 11:28 a.m. he served Ellie Harpster, Sec. of Zamias, filed at No. 1010- 1988 , Crawford Co. Pa., upon defendant George D. Zamias at 300 Market St., Johnstown, Cambria Co. Pa. By making known the contents thereof to her and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Summons in Civil Action received from Crawfor d Co.
So answers, R.Bargas, Dep. Sheriff
Jay Roberts, Sheriff Cambria Co.

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Raymond Bargas who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 17th day of No. 1988 at 11:28 a.m.he served Stad Associates by serving Ellie Harpster, Sec. filed at No. 1010 -1988, Crawford Co, Pa. upon defendant Stad Associates at 300 Market St. Johnstown, Cambria Co. Pa. By making known the contents thereof to her and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Summons in Civil Action received from Crawford Co.
So answers, R.Bargas, Dep. Sheriff
Jay Roberts Sheriff, Cambria Co.

Served by mailing by certified mail, Nov. 17, 1988 a true and attested copy of the within Summons to K-Mart Corp., 3100 West Big Beaver Rd. Troy Michigan 48084, the return receipt attached.

Served George D. Zamias by deputizing Sheriff of Cambria County, Pa. as per affidavit of service attached. Served: Nov. 17, 1988.

Served Stad Associates by deputizing Sheriff of Cambria County, Pa. as per affidavit of service attached. Served: Nov. 17, 1988.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

X Feb. 22, 1989 Complaint filed.

X Mar. 21, 1989 Appearance of Kenneth J. Nolan for George D. Zamias, an Individual, Stad Associates, a general partnership composed of Damian G. Zamias, Samuel C. Zamias and Stephen G. Zamias, defendants only filed.

X Mar. 27, 1989 Appearance of MacDonald, Illig Jones & Britton by Marcia H. Haller for K-Mart Corporation filed.

X May 31, 1989, Appearance of MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton, by Marcia Haller, attorneys for George D. Zamias, an ind., and Stad Associates, a gen. partnership, composed of Damian G. Zamias and Stephen G. Zamias, filed.

X June 6, 1989 Upon praecipe of Kenneth J. Nolan, his appearance is hereby withdrawn from the above captioned case.

X June 23, 1989 Answer and New Matter filed.

X Oct 31, 1989 Oral Deposition of Geraldine I. Gardner filed.

X July 17, 1990, Notice of Service of Interrogatories to Defendant (First Set) filed.

X Jan. 11, 1991 Certificate Of Readiness filed.

On February 1991 Trial List

(pre-trial conference set for 2/4/91 at 9:00 a.m.)

✓ Feb. 6, 1991, PRETRIAL ORDER, signed by Judge Gordon R. MIller, filed.
Feb. 6, 1991, copies to Attys. R. C. Thomas and M. Haller by Kim, Judge's Sec.

✓ Feb. 6, 1991 Reply of Plaintiff to New Matter filed. 1 copy (atty)

✓ Feb. 8, 1991 Motion for Continuance and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 7th day of Feb. 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion this case is continued to the April term of civil court. It is further ordered that plaintiff would not be able to introduce additional testimony or opinions of Dr. curtis H. Laub between now and the time of trial.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.
Feb. 12, 1991, copies of order to R. C. Thomas, and Marcia H. Haller attys.

✓ Apr. 1, 1991 Motion For Continuance and Order filed. AND now, April 1, 1991 This case is continued until the June term of Court. Gordon R. MIller, J
Apr. 3, 1991 Copies of order put in R. Charles Thomas's box and mailed to Marcia Haller

On April 1991 Trial List
On October 1991 Trial List

Oct. 9, 1991, Motion for Rescheduling of Trial Date and order filed. ORDER OF COURT: And now, Oct., 9, 1991 upon presentation of the within Motion this case said motion is granted. The jury shall be sleected Oct. 14, 1991 with the trial to begin Oct. 17, 1991.
Gordon R. MIller, J.
Oct. 11, 1991 copies to R. C. Thomas, and Marcia H. Haller, Esqs.

Oct. 23, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, the above captioned case is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin and Stack
James R. Irwin

1011.

GARY M. SMITH

vs.

LISA MARIE SMITH

Filed Nov. 14, 1988
@ 9:03 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co pd 3/23/89	9.00
State pd 3/23/89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 17, 1988 Verification filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, ESQUIRE do hereby verily that I am the attorney of record for Plaintiff and that as such, I am authorized to execute this Verification; that I did, on the 14th day of Nov. 1988 send by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, Addressee Only, to Lisa Marie Smith, a true and correct copy of the Divorce Complaint filed at the above term and number at her last known address of 568 Green St. Meadville, Pa. as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P724-954-418 and that on the 16th day of Nov. 1988 I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 15th day of Nov. 1988.

James R. Irwin, Esq.

Feb. 24, 1989, Affidavit of Consent fo plaintiff filed.

Mar. 22, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of defendant filed.

Mar. 22, 1989 Separation and Property Settlement Agreement filed.

Mar. 22, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit REcord, this case moved to court.

Mar. 29, 1989 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

1. **DIVORCE:** Upon cnosideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the above named parties, onthe grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and Ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint and an affidvit has been file dby each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. **EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATION AND DISTRIBUTION:** This Decree provides that the marital property of the above named aprties shall be divided in accordance with a Separation and Property Settlement Agreement dated November 25, 1989, executed by the parties and filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County Pennsylvania at the above captioned number and term.

this Agreement, in part, provides that Plaintiff shall be the sole owner of jointly owned real estate at R.D.#1 Pettis Road, Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, being the same land conveyed unto the Plaintiff and defendant by a General Warranty Deed, duly filed in the Recorder's Office of Crawford County Pennsylvania.

Further, the division of marital owned personal property shall be made in accordance with Sections 11, 12, 13 and 14 of the separation and Property Settlement Agreement dated November 25, 1989, as aforesaid.

3. A copy ofthe Separation and Property Settlement Agreement of the parties, dated November 25, 1989, which sets out in further detail the agreement of the parties concerning the matters herein, shall be filed with this Decree inthe Prothonotary's Office at the above number and term.

4. No other matters or causes of action provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code are reserved by either of the parties.

This Order shall be effective as of this 29 day of March, 1989.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker Emil M. Spadafore, Jr. 1012.	ANTHONY E. SHARISKY vs. FARMER'S MUTUAL FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF MARBLE, PENNSYLVANIA Filed Nov. 14, 1988 @ 9:24 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 Pro Co Pd 3-16-89 6.00 ✓	SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION Issued (atty) Mar 15, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Joseph E.Barnhart
Altomare & Barnhart

1013.

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma and Schug
John B. Brautigam

MARK NICOL

vs.

DORENE & ROBERT MANZINI

Filed Nov. 14, 1988
@ 10:34 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25
Pro Co Pd 3/9/89 15.00
Pro Co pd 7-14-89 6.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF
RONALD A. COLE, DISTRICT 30 -3 -06

Nov. 14, 1988, Rule Entered Upon Plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

Nov. 15, 1988 Proof of Service filed.

Dec. 16, 1988 Complaint filed.

Jan. 5, 1989 Defendants' Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

Jan 18, 1989 Plaintiff's Answer to New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

Mar. 7, 1989, Upon praecipe of defendant for appointment of arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed:
Anthony J. Vardaro, Ch.
Kathleen R. Nelson
Brian J. Lindsay

Mar 17, 1989 Arbitrators take Qualifying Oaths.

Mar. 27, 1989, Notice of Scheduled Arbitration filed.

May 5, 1989 Notice Of Rescheduled Arbitration filed.

May 17, 1989 Notice Pursuant to Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure 1305 filed.

June 6, 1989, Decision of Arbitrators filed in which they find in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of $581.93 together with costs and statuatory interest from Dec. 16, 1989, Judgment is entered against dorene Manzini only and by agreement of the parties this action is dismissed against Robert Manzini. On the counterclaim we find in favor the original plaintiff, mark nicol and against the original defendants in so far as the plaintiff's vehicle is owned by Delores J. Nicol and by agreement of all parties and Delores J. Nicol no subsequent action regarding this matter will be brought by her.
M - 43 DECISION OF ARBITRATORS
Decision entered - 6/6/89 James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

June 6, 1989, copies of decision to Atty. Barnhart (mail) and J. Brautigam (box)

July 14, 1989, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned action is marked satisfied and discontinued of record.

1988

Specter Law Offices
JOhn C. Evans

1014.

Bozic & Thomas
By: R.Chas. Thomas

COLLEEN SUE LONEY AND
KENNETH LONEY, HER HUSBAND

vs.

TINA THOMPSON

Filed Nov. 14, 1988
@ 10:41 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	52.00
Pro Co Pd 11/13/90	6.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 7, 1988 Appearance of Bozic and Thomas, By: R.Charles Thomas, Attorney for Defendant Tina Thompson, filed.

Dec. 29, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared John Kellett who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 28th day of Nov. 1988 at 9:45 a.m. he served The within Complaint and Interrogatories filed Crawford Co. at No. 1014, 1988, County, Pa. upon defendant Tina Thompson at Tunkhannock Twp. By making known the contents thereof to her and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Complaint and Interrogatories received from Crawford Co. John Kellett, Dep. Sheriff
So answers
SERVED Tina Thompson within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Wyoming County, Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Nov. 28, 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan 26, 1989 Answer filed.

May 10, 1990, Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON TRIAL LIST FOR JUNE 1990

May 29, 1990 MotionTo Strike Certificate Of Readiness and Remove the case formthe trial List and Order filed. AND NOW, this 29 day of May, 1990, upon presentation and consideration ofthe within Motion the Certificate of Readiness is stricken and this case is removed from the June Trial List. List for Oct. 1990.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

May 30, 1990 Copy of order put in R. Charles Thomas' box and copy mailed to John Evans

July 27, 1990 Notice Of Deposition of William S.Markowski, M.D. filed.

ON OCTOBER 1990 TRIAL LIST

Nov. 8, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Cauley and Conflenti
Robert Alton Wilson

1015.

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

RUTH I. CARLSON, AN INDIVIDUAL

vs.

MICHAEL P. BURCHFIELD,
AN INDIVIDUAL

Filed Nov. 14, 1988
at 10:52 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	20.50
Pro Co pd 3/5/91	6.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Dec. 12, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Michael P. Burchfield within named defendant on Nov. 23, 1988 at 12:58PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Robert Huff, adult person in charge of residence, Box 561, R.D.# 3, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, Pa. 16316

Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 28, 1988, Appearance of Bozic and Thomas, by R. Charles Thomas, attorneys for defendant filed.

Feb. 14, 1989, Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Feb. 15, 1989, Reply to New Matter filed.

Oct. 31, 1989 Plaintiff's Amended Reply To New Matter filed.

Mar. 7, 1990 Motion For Sanctions and Order filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of March, 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion, a Rule is granted upon the Plaintiff to show cause, if any she has, why she should not be required to produce the information as requested in Interrogatory No. 50 to-wit copies ofthe Plaintiff's Federal Income TAx Returns for the years 1984 through 1989.

Returnable for hearing and/or argument onthe 26th day of March, 1990, at 2:30 o'clock p.m. in Court Room No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 7, 1990 Copy mailed to Robert Wilson and copy put in R. Charles Thomas' box.

March 27, 1990 ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 26, 1990, at the time fixed for argument on the Defendant's motion for sanctions, counsel for the Defendant appeared but counsel for the Plaintiff did not. Therefore, the Court does not understand the Plaintiff's basis for believing the income tax returns for the past five years are not discoverable.

Accordingly, the Plaintiff is Ordered and Directed to furnish to counsel for the Defendant true and correct copies of the Plaintiff's federal and state income tax returns for the years 1984 through 1989 no later than April 10, 1990.

By Order Of The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

March 27, 1990 Copy of Order to Attys. Robert Wilson, R. Charles Thomas.

Mar. 1, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Elderkin, Martin, Kelly,
Messina & Zamboldi
Kenneth G. Vasil

1016.

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY
800 Millcreek Mall
Erie, Pennsylvania

vs.

LORRIE J. GUTHRIE

Filed Nov. 14, 1988
@ 11:18 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's costs 20.00
Pro Co pd 12/29/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

SERVED Lorrie J. Guthrie within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on Nov.17, 1988 at 2:47 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

So answers, James Withers, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Dec. 29, 1988 at 11:23 a.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered infavor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of One Thousand Forty-one and 01/100 plus interes and cost of suit.

G-105
judgment entered 12/29/88

Debt. $1,041.01
Int. from November 1988
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

Ruthanne Beighley

1017.

6/20/89 Recd. $45.00 From Plaintiff

Recd $45.00 Aug 1, 1989 Vivian Stoltz

LORI N. BREST

vs.

GERALD W. BREST

Filed Nov. 14, 1988
@ 11:39 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 3/2/89	9.00 ✓
John Fuller, Mediation	
Mediation Costs	90.00
Pro Co Pd 6/20/89	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 4, 1989 Complaint Reinstated.

Jan. 27, 1989 Complaint To Confirm Custody and Orders filed. You, Gerald W. Brest, Defendant, have been sued in court to confirm custody of the child: Jason Charles Brest, born January 11, 1986.

You are ordered to appear in person at the crawford County Courthouse before JohnFuller, Mediator, when scheduled.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
c/o Prothonotary
Courthouse
Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335
Telephone: (814) 724-4636

Date Jan 27, 1989 Robert L. Walker, J

ORDER FOR SPECIAL RELIEF: AND NOW, this 27th day of January, 1989, upon consideration of the within Complaint to Confirm Custody, it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED that pursuant to PA.R.C.P. 1915.13 temporary custody of the minor child, Jason Charles Brest, is confirmed withthe Pl aintiff/Mother until a conference and/or hearing is held in this matter. The visitation/partial custody rights of the Defendant/Father are suspended until the matter has been heard by the Meditor as scheduled.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Jan. 27, 1989 Copies of orders to Ruthanne Beighley and John Fuller

Jan. 27, 1989 General Appearance filed. Enter my General Appearance in the above-entitled divorce action. I hereby accept service of an acknowledge receipt of a copy of the original Complaint in Divorced filed in the above action.

Gerald W. Brest

Feb. 22, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Feb. 22, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Feb. 22, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Mar. 2, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, March 2, 1989, after mediation conference before John Fuller, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal and physical custody ofthe minor child Jason Charles Brest shall be with his mother, Lori N. Brest, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall not be entitled to visit or take the child into his custody until criminal charges pending against the father in Butler County, Pennsylvania, are resolved.

B. This order is entered without prejudice to the right of the father to request a further mediation conference or hearing after resolution of the charges pending against him in Butler County, Pennsylvania.

C. The mother may, in her discretion, may arrange occasional visits between the child and Dale and Evelyn McCracken (paternal grandparents).

D. This order is a temporary order but shall beocme a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

II. Cost of the mediation conference shall be divided with Crawford County paying one-half thereof and the mother paying the remaining one-half. Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar. 3, 1989 Copies of order to Ruthanne Beighley, Plaintiff, Defendant and John Fuller

Mar. 8, 1989 **D E C R E E I N D I V O R C E F I L E D .**

Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this Court her eby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the ground that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of filing of the Complaint, and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each ofthe parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

No other claims have been raised of record in this action.

AND NOW, this 8 day of March, 1989, it is ORDERED AND DECREED that Lori N. Brest, Plaintiff and Gerald W. brest, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Spadafore Vardaro & Walker
Emil M. Spadafore Jr.

1018.

Jack E. Grayer

MARINE BANK

vs.

WILLIAM D. McLAUGHLIN

Filed Nov. 14, 1988
@ 1:10 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	41.00
Pro Co pd 10/12/89	9.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Dec. 19, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Stephen Brandon, Dep. Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 7th day of Dec. 1988 at 1:15 P.M. he served Complaint filed at ----------Crawford Co. Pa. upon defendant William D. McLaughlin at 14514 D. South Main St. P.O. Box 164, Erie Co. Mill Village, Pa. By making known the contents thereof to Brenda McLaughlin, daughter and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford Co.

Stephen Brandon, Dep. Sheriff
So answers, Robert N. Michel, Sheriff, Erie Co.

SERVED William D. McLaughlin within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie Co. Pa. as per his affidavit of service hereto attached. Served: 12-7-88
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 29, 1988 Appearance of Jack E. Grayer for defendant filed.

Dec. 29, 1988 Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

Jan. 26, 1989 Reply To New Matter and Counterclaim Containing New Matter filed.

Mar. 9, 1989, Counter-Reply to Plaintiff's New Matter filed.

And Now, Oct. 12, 1989 at 3:02 a.m. it is hereby stipulated by and between the parties to this action that judgment shall be entered in favor of Marine Bank and agains tthe defendant, William D. McLaughlin, in the amount of Sixty-four Thousand, Six Hundred Twenty - Four and 05/100 plus interest and cost.

M-171
judgment entered 10/12/89

Debt $64,624.05
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX 1989-113

1988

Phillips and Galanter
Donald L. Phillips

1019.

COMMERCIAL CREDIT EQUIPMENT CORPORATION

vs.

RAFFAELE AVERSA

Filed Nov. 14, 1988
@ 1:29 p.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$15.00
plff. on orig	99.45
Sheriff's costs	33.30
Pro Co Pd 2/6/89	9.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

AD 1982 -- 886

Writ of revival issued on behalf of plaintiff and against defendant in sum of Eight Thousand One Hundred Fifty and 82/100 Dollars, plus int. from Jan. 25, 1983 and costs of suit.

Debt --$8,150.82
Int. from 1/25/83
A - 144

Issued (sheriff)

Dec. 29, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Raffaele Aversa personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival to him on Dec. 2, 1988 at 9:37 a.m. at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, MeaDVILLE, Pa.
So answers, Catherine I. Allen Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Feb. 6, 1989 at 1:54 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with verification of non-military service, default notice (copy), affidavit of last known address, attached; Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of Eleven Thousand Eighty Five and 12/100 Dollars plus costs. (Int. from 1/25/83 on $8,150.82 of $2,934.30 included)
Judgment entered 2/6/89
A - 144

Debt-----$11,085.12
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

Brian J. Lindsay

1020.

LINDA M. MARLEY

vs.

JAMES STEPHEN MARLEY

Filed Nov. 15, 1988
@ 11:17 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

2 copies (atty)

Mar. 2, 1989, Affidavit filed. I, Brian j. Lindsay, esq. after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the atty. of record for Linda M. Marley, at that as such I am authorized to execute this affidavit; that I did on the 16 day of Nov. 1988, send by Cert. Mail, addressee only, Ret. Rec. req. a true and attested copy of Complaint and Notice to Dft. at Gen. Delivery Paisley, Oregon 97636, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 446 365 383, and that on the 16 day of Feb. 1989, I did receive a Rt. Rec. stating that date of Delivery was made on Nov. 19, 1988, at HC 33 Box 22, Dairy, Oregon, Pa. 97625.

I verify that statements made in affidavit are true and correct.

Date: 2/27/89 Brian J. Lindsay, Esq.

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a part in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 3-2-89 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 8, 1997 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker
William L. Walker

1021.

MERCER COUNTY STATE BANK

vs.

JOHN SKOLNEKOVICH, JR. AND LONNA SKOLNEKOVICH

Filed Nov. 15, 1988
@ 11:39 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriffs costs	17.50
Pro Co Pd 1/12/89	5.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Nov. 29, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. Not found, as to within named defendant John Skolnekovich, Jr. Moved, left no forwarding address.

Not found, as to the within named defendant Lonna Skolnekovich. Moved, left no forwarding address.

So answers, Howard N. stewart, sheriff

Jan. 10, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked discontinued of record

1988

Gordon R. Miller

1022.

CYNTHIA E. LONGSTRETH

vs.

SCOTT LONGSTRETH

Filed Nov. 15, 1988
@ 1:07 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co. pd 2/14/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTIONS 201(c) & 201(d)

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 30, 1988 Affidavit of Service of Complaint filed. GORDON R. MILLER, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is the attorney for the plaintiff in this matter and duly authorized to make this Affidavit of service of complaint; that on the 16th day of Nov . 1988 he served the Complaint and Notice to Defend in the above captioned matter on the above named defendant by mailing a true and correct copy of said Complaint and Notice to Defend on the 11th day of Nov., 1988, to the Defendant at his residence, Box 678,.R.,D. # 1, Meadville, Pa. 16335, postage prepaid, certified mail with restricted delivery to addressee only; that said Complaint and Notice to Defend was actually received by the defendant on the 16th day of Nov. 1988 as is evidenced by a return receipt signed by him for certified mail article No. P404 874 364 which said receipt is attached hereto, made a part hereof and marked Exhibit A.

Gordon R. Miller

Jan. 10, 1989 Affidavit Of Service filed. of 201(d) Notice filed. Gordon R. Miller, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is the attorney for the Plaintiff in this matter; that he is duly authorized to make this affidavit of service; that on December 7, 1988 he mailed the attached plaintiff's affidavit under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, with the required notice, to the defendant to Box 678, R.D.#1, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335, postage prepaid, certified mail with resptected delivery to addressee only; that said notice was actually received by the defenant on December 20, 1988 as is evidenced by return receipt signed by him for certified mail no. P404 874 368 which said receipt is attached hereto, made a part hereof and marked Exhibit A.

Gordon R. Miller

Jan 23, 1989 Affidavit of Service of Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree and Counter Affidavit filed.

Féb. 8, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(d) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Mar. 14, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, March 14, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Cynthia E. Longstreth, plaintiff, and Scott Longstreth, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

1023.

EXEL, INC.

vs.

ROGER M. JANES

Filed Nov. 15, 1988
@ 2:06 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	20.00
Pro Co pd 2/27/89	5.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

SERVED Roger M. Janes personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on Nov. 18, 1988 at 3:55 pm at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

So answers, Carole Stefanucci, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff.

Feb. 27, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned action is marked settled and discontinued.

1988

Anthony J. Vardaro

1024.

Paul D. Shafer
for dft. McArdles d/b/a Highlander Tavern

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
E. Max Weiss
Kelly Mallin

ROSE S. HELLER

vs.

KELLY M. MALLIN AND JOHN J. McARDLE AND SANDRA J. McARDLE, HIS WIFE, d/b/a THE HIGHLANDER TAVERN

Filed Nov. 15, 1988
@ 4:13 p.m.

Tax & Entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	46.50
Sheriff's Costs	45.50
Pro Co Pd 6/12/89	6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Dec. 13, 1988 Acceptance of Service filed. I hereby accept service on behalf of John J. McArdle and Sandra J. McArdle, his wife, D/B/A The Highlander Tavern, of a Writ of Summons issued in the above captioned matter and I certify that I am authorized to do so on behalf of those Defendants.

Paul D. Shafer, Jr. Esq.
Atty. for defendants.

Dec. 16, 1988, Appearance of Paul D. Shafer, attorney for JOhn J. McArdle and Sandra J. McArdle, his wife, d/b/a The Highlander Tavern, only, filed.

Rule Upon Plaintiff to File Complaint, issued.

Dec. 19, 1988, Summons Reissued.

Dec. 19, 1988, Service of the Foregoing Rule accepted by Anthony Vardaro, attorney for plaintiff.

Dec. 29, 1988 Writ Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Louis Leon who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that onthe 17 day of Dec., 1988, at 1:00 p.m. he served summons, filed at No. 1024 Term, 1988, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Kelly M. Mallin at 136 Westbuly Dro. Coraopolis, PA. By making known the contents thereof to Kelly and handing to and leaving iwth her the certified copy of the summons received from Crawford.
So answers,
Louis Leon,Deputy SHeriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

SERVED Kelly m. Mallin within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County,PA as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Dec. 17, 1988.
So answers,
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 9, 1989 Complaint filed.

Jan. 11, 1989,Demand for Jury Trial filed.

Jan. 25, 1989, Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim of defendants, JOhn J. McArdle and Sandra J. McArdle, his wife d/b/a The highlander Tavern, filed.

Jan. 26, 1989 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by E. Max Weiss for Defendant Kelly M. Mallin filed.

Feb 6, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed: Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Louis Leon, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 9 day of Jan, 1989, at 11:45 A.M. he served summons, filed at AD 1988-1024, Crawford Co., Pa upon defendant Kelly M. Mallin at 136 Westbury Drive, Coraopolis, Pa by making known the contents thereof to Kelly and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy received from Crawford.
Louis Leon, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

SERVED Kelly M. Mallin by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny Co., Pa., as per affidavit of service attached. Served: Jan 9, 1989.
So answers,
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 15, 1989, Preliminary Objections to the New Matter and Counterclaim of defendants John J. McArdle and Sandra J. McArdle, his wife, d/b/a The Highlander Tavern, filed.

Feb. 28, 1989 Answer and New Matter filed.
Feb. 28, 1989 Preliminary Objections of Kelly M. Mallin to Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim of McArdles filed.

June 7, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned matter is hereby discontinued and settled of record

1988

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis E. Blystone

1025.

PENNBANK

vs.

OLIVER L. EXLEY AND ESTHER W. EXLEY

Filed Nov. 16, 1988
@ 1:26 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Pro Co Pd. 12/16/88	9.00
Sat pd. 9/15/89	5.00

COMPLAINT IN ACTION OF MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

3 copies (sheriff)

Nov. 16, 1988, Affidavit of Non Military Service of Defendants filed.

Dec. 9, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Nancy Moore, the tenant in possession of the premises at, 825 North Cottage St., Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure to her on 22nd day of Nov. 1988 at 3"3* p.m.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart , Sheriff.

Dec.12, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. The Undersigned, Debbie Peavy, deposes and says that she is a Deputy Sheriff for the Ellis County, Sheriff's Dept. P.O. Box 7, Waxahachie, Texas, 75165, and that on Nov. 23, 1988, at 11:00 o'clock am., prevailing local time she served a true and attested copy of the Complaint in Action of mortgage Foreclosure, endorsed withNotice to Defend, in the abovecaptioned case on Oliver L. Exley, by handing same to him personally at his place of residence at 832 Rachelle Dr. , Red Oak, Texas 75154

Debbie Peavy

Dec. 12, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. The undersigned, Debbie Peavy, deposes and says that she is a Deputy Sheriff for the Ellis County Sheriff's Dept. P.O. Box 7, Waxahachie, Texas, 75165, and that on Nov. 23, 1988, at 11:00 o'clock a.m., prevailing local time, she served a true and attested copy of the Complaint in Action of Mortgage Foreclosure, endorsed with Notice to Defend, in t;he above captioned case on Esther W. Exley, by handing same to her personally at her place of residence at 832 Rachelle Dr., Red Oak, Texas. 75154

Debbie Peavy

And Now, Dec. 16, 1988 at 4:00 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants, for want of an answer, damages assessed in the amount of Ten Thousand Eight Hundred Fifty Seven and 33/100 Dollars, with interest on debt at rate of $3.51 per diem from Oct. 31, 1988. (includes atty. fees of $917.90, and itn. at rate of $3.51 per diem from 3/30/88 to 10/31/88 of $760.43)

Judgment entered 10/16/88 Debt --- $10,857.33
E - 121, 51 Int. from 10/31/88

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EXECUTION 1988 - 117

Sept. 15, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above judgment, debt, interest and costs, are marked satisfied of record.

1988

Bernstein and
Bernstein PC
Nicholas D. Krawec

1026.

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and YOungs
Alan L. Pepicelli

BRANDT, INC.

vs.

DENNIS TAXACHER, INDIVIDUALLY
AND TRADING AND DOING
BUSINESS AS THE ORIGINAL
STRUDEL FACTORY

Filed Nov. 16, 1988
@ 1:40 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	25.00
Pro Co Pd 1/4/90	5.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Dec. 8, 1988, Preliminary Objections filed.

Dec. 12, 1988 Notice and Amended Complaint filed.

Dec. 16, 1988 Sheriff's REturn filed. SERVED Dennis TAxacher personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on November 28, 1988 at 12:55 pm at his place of residence, RD #3, Rt 285, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,

E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec 30, 1988 Answer Containing New Matter filed.

July 14, 1989, Plaintiff's Reply to New Matter filed.

Jan. 2, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, the above captioned case is marked settled, discontinued and ended of record.

1988

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

1027.

SUSAN J. McWILLIAMS

vs.

WILLIAM H. McWILLIAMS

Filed Nov. 16, 1988
@ 4:08 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co. Pd 4/25/90	9.00
State Surcharge Pd.	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 21, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, Esq.,being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service and true and c orrect to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant i s the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on Nov. 16, 1988. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

3. The registered mail was sent to William H. McWilliams at 800 Alden St., Meadville, Pa. 16335.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on or about Nov. 17, 1988. Said receipt card No. P 724 950 983 is attached hereto and signed by W.McWilliams.

Bruce A. Barrett,Atty. for Plaintiff

April 23, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

April 25, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

April 25, 1990, Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Apr. 30, 1990 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, April 30, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Susan J. McWilliams, plaintiff, and William H. McWilliams, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matirmony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1988

Kathleen R. Nelson

1028.

ELIZABETH M. GOLDSMITH

vs.

JOHN P. GOLDSMITH

Filed Nov. 17, 1988
@ 11:45 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd. 6/19/91	10.00
state paid 6/19/91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 23, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. KATHLEEN R. NELSON, ESQUIRE, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce was sent by registered mail to the Defendant, on Nov. 18, 1988.
3. The registered mail was sent to John P.Goldsmith, R.D.#2, Shermansville Rd., Conneautville, Pa. 16406.
4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on Nov. 21, 1988. Said Return Receipt card P 725-346-280 is attached hereto, signed by John Goldsmith, and made a part hereof.

Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq.

Feb. 26, 1991 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce code filed.

May 15, 1991 Affidavit of Service of Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree and Defendant's Counter-Affidavit filed.

May 17, 1991, Affidavit of Service of Plaintiff's Affidavit and Notice served on defendant filed.

June 14, 1991 Upon praecipe for Review Under 201(d) of the Divorce Code, of plaintiff attorney, this case moved to court.

June 19, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, June 19, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Elizabeth M. Goldsmith, plaintiff, and JOhn P. Goldsmith, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
James B. Dwyer, S.J.

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

1029.

KAREN A. VAN TASSEL

vs.

BERNARD G. VAN TASSEL

Filed Nov. 17, 1988
@ 2:12 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co pd 3/30/89 9.00
State Pd 3/3/089 10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 6, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared JOSEPH C. BARNHART, ESQ., who did depose and say that he is the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter and that he did serve a certified copy of a Complaint in Divorce as filed Nov. 17, 1988 upon the Defendant, at his address by United States certified mail, restricted delivery. Delivery of same being evidenced by postal return receipt #P 620 656 372 dated Nov. 28, 1988 attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and seal this 2nd day of Dec. 1988.

Joseph C. Barnhart, Esq.

Mar 10. 1989 Plaintiff's Affidavit of Consent to Divorce filed.

Mar. 27, 1989 Defendant's Affidavit of Consent to Divorce filed.

Mar. 27, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Apr. 10, 1989 **DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 10th day of April, 1989, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Upon consideration of the pleadings and Affidavits filed in the above-captioned matter, the Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above- named parties on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each ofthe parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. No other matters or causes of actions provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code of 1980 as amended, are reserved by either of the parties.

BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J

480

1988

Legal Services
Margaret Eglinton

1030.

MARY J. KNIGHT

vs.

ROBERT L. KNIGHT

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE

5 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 18 day of Nov. 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 30 day of Nov. 1988 at 3:00 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pa.

The defendant isdirected to refrain from abusing and/or threatening to abuse, or harassing the plaintiff and/or the minor children of the parties.

The defendant is excluded immediately and forthwith from plaintiff's residence pending the hearing scheduled above; the location is undisclosed due to plaintiff's fear of the defendant.

The plaintiff is hereby awarded temporary custody of the minor children of the parties pending the hearing scheduled above

The Crawford CountySheriff is directed to serve a cert. copy of this petition and order upon the dft. In the alternative, this petition and order may be served by any competent adult or by certified mail.

Filed Nov. 18, 1988
@ 9:30 a.m.

Tax & entry Pd 12/28/88 $40.50
Pro Co Pd 12/28/88 9.00
Sheriff's Costs 18.00

The City of Meadville Police Dept. and/or the State Police fo the Com. of Pa. are directed to enforce this order and to prevent the return of the dft. to the aforesaid premises by any appropriate menas.

This Petition and order shall be filed and served without payment of costs; the court shall determine at the hearing if the defendant shall be required to pay the costs.

The defendant is hereby advised of his right to be represented by counsel, and he is notified that his failure to attend the hearing scheduled above may have serious legal consequences.

The defendant should tke this paper to his lawyer at once. If he does not have a lawyer, or cannot afford one, he should to to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where he can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Comm. c/o Prothonotary, Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335. 814 724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec 2, 1988, Order filed: AND NOW, November 30, 1988, the matter is before the Court on an abuse petition, but it appears to the Court that the matter is largely an unhappy marriage. The defendant has been recently released from the County Jail, claims to be generally remorseful for his past conduct toward his wife and the parties are now living in separate residences.

ACCORDINGLY, the defendant is directed not to threaten, annoy or harass the wife in any fashion and he may not move back into her residence without he express consent.

The parties have two children and it is mutually agreed that on the first and third Sundays of every monty, the defendant may come to the residence of the mother between the hours of 1:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m. for the purpose of visiting with his children. During the visit, the above provisions about not threatening, annoying, assaulting or harassing the wife will apply.

q The mother shall keep the father advised of any change in her residence.

In the event the terms and conditions of this Order are breached as it relates to conduct toward the wife, any Police Officer having reasonab le grounds to believe that the defendant has violated the terms and conditions of this Order may apprehend the defendant and lodge him in the County Jail for violation of this Order and promptly notify the Court to secure a prompt hearing.

The defendant will be responsible for paying costs within sixty (60) days of this date.

This Order shall be in force and effect for a period of six (6) months.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,

Dec 7, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Eglinton, Defendant and County Control (12-2-88)

Jan. 4, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Robert L. Knight personally on November 18, 1988 at 3:45 PM at Crawford County Jail, Meadville,PA.
So answers,
James Withers, deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart,Sheriff

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

1031.

JAIME MICHAEL POPE

vs.

LISA MARIE POPE

Filed Nov. 18, 1988
@ 9:50 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
1 Count Paid 11-18-88-15.00
Pro Co Pd 6/20/89 9.00
State Paid 6/20/89 10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

3 copies (atty)

June 21, 1989 Acceptance of Service filed: I, the undersigned Defendant in the above captioned action, hereby accepts service of the Complaint in Divorce.

Lisa M. Pope

June 21, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

June 21, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

June 21, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review under 201(c) ofthe Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

***

July 18, 1989, ORDER filed. And now, July 13, 1989, this matter having been praeciped to the court under a 201(c) divorce, the court notes a procedural irregularity preventing the granting of a divorce.

Divorce Rule 1920.42 clearly states that the required 201(c) affidavits must have been executed within 30 days of their filing. The records in this case show that both affidavits were filed June 21, 1989, with the plaintiff's affidavit being dated May 1, 1989, and the defendant's dated May 2, 1989. Thus, neither affidavit is in compliance with theaforesaid rule, and before granting this divorce new affidavits timely executed and filed must be made part ofthe record in this case.

This matter is returned to the Prothonotary's Office, and will be returned to the court on further praecipe upon correction of these procedural oversights.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

July 18, 1989, copies of order to Attys. Mason.

*** June 21, 1989, Motion for Removal of Count II, Equitable Distribution and order filed. ORDER OF COURT: And now, 7/13/89 Granted.

P.Richard Thomas, J.

July 18, 1989, copy to Atty. Mason.

July 28, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

July 31, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

July 31, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

August 22, 1989 DIVORCE DECREE FILED

And now, August 21, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that JAIME MICHAEL POPE, plaintiff, and LISA MARIE POPE, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

1988

Jack, Kookogey &
Felton
John S. Kookogey

1032.

Mahany, Roeder & Smith
By: Tamera Ochs-Rothschild

SHERRY L. RILEY

vs.

DALE J. RILEY

Filed Nov. 18, 1988
@ 2:07 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 3/6/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c)

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 12, 1988 Appearance of Mahany, Roeder & Smith By: Tamera Ochs-Rothschild, Attorneys for Defendant Dale J. Riley, filed.

Feb. 24, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Mar. 3, 1989 Affidavit Of Service filed. Personally appeared before me, a Notary Public, in and for said State and County, at Titusville, Pennsylvania, Richard E. Lydick, a duly qualified Constable in and for the State of Pennsylvania, who, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served a true and attested copy of the Complaint in Divorce (the same having been by James F. Simmons, Prothonotary, as such) on Dale J. Riley, the Defendant, personally at 10:18 A.M. on 11- 22, 1988, at his place of work G. V. M. Co by reading the smae to him and making known the contents of same and leaving a true and attested copy with him. Richard E. Lydick

Mar. 3, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 3, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Mar. 10, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, March 10, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that SHERRY L. RILEY, plaintiff, and DALE J. RILEY, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, j

1988

James Irwin

Jack Kookogey &
Felton
Robert J. Felton

1033.

Halliday & Halliday, PC
Michael Halliday

TROY D. BURGE

vs.

TAMMY J. BURGE

Filed Nov. 18, 1988
@

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Bruce Smith, Mediator	80.80
Pro Co Pd 1/11/89	9.00 ✓

Kathleen Nelson, mediator
Pro Co Pd 6-19-97 9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 2-25-98 9.00

1/11/89 Recd. $ 40.40 from Plaintiff
1/23/89 Recd $ 40.40 from Defendant

Recd 3-1-89
$40.00
Vivian Stoltz

Recd 3-1-89
$40.40
Vivian Stoltz

11-15-96 Rec'd from Dept
med dep 150.00
1-27-97 Pd dept
#10522 29.38
Pd 6-19-97 Pd Co #11102 111.62

1-20-98 Rec'd from Dept. 200.00
2-27-98 Pd dept 123.26
Pd Co 2-25-98 67.74

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY/SHARED CUSTODY
1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 21 day of Nov. 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing complaint for custody, the parties hereto and their respective counsel are ordered to appear before BRUCE SMITH, THE CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR, who is hereby applied in this case. The said parties and their respective counsel shall appear at such tiem or times that the Child Custody Mediator shall hereinafter determine. P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec 14, 1988 Answer to Complaint for Custody/Shared Custody filed.

Dec 30, 1988 Temporary Order of Court filed: AND NOW, this 30th day of December, 1988, after Mediation and upon recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Christen M. Burge, age four, the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of the minor child. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitle both parents to equal access to any records relating to the child held by a third party, including, but not limited to, shcool and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to the educational and religious upbringing of the child, as well elective surgery.

2. Physical custody of the child shall also be shared with the father having the child each week on Thursday at 2:30 P.M., prevailing time, through Monday at 9:00 a.m., prevailing time. The mother shall have primary physical custody of the child during the other portions of each week. The pick up and drop off of the child shall be by the parent gaining custody at each transfer, meaning that the fatehr shall pick up on Thursdays and the mother shall pick up on Mondays. The grandmother of the child, Judith Burge, is specifically authorized to pick up or drop off the child at the appropriate residence at anytime, and is also authorized, beginning with the child's kindergarten year in September, 1989, and thereafter as she progresses in school, to have temporary custody of the child on behalf of the motehr or the father during periods when the mother or father is employed and cannot have physical custody of the child. During the month of December, 1988, the father shall have exclusive custody of the child through December 27, at 5:00 p.m., prevailing time, at which time the mother shall obtain custody of the child. The mother shall be authorized to remove the child from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania anytime after that date for the purpose of an extended visit with her family in the state of Alabama, but shall return to Crawford County with the child not later than February 28, 1989, at which time the parties apparently have a court date set with regard to their divorce action. This provision shall obtain only for a single visit to Alabama; after the child begins school including kindergarten, the weekly shifts in custody shall prevail and shall be exclusive times with the parents. Beginning in calendar year 1989, the parents scheduled for custody on Thanksgiving and Christmas of each year shall make provision for the child to spend at least three hours on Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day in the custody of the parent who would not otherwise be seeing the child at that time.

3. The parent having custody of the child at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of injury or illness requiring medical treatment.

4. Neither of the parents shall take the child into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to her development or the development of her character or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to her physical person. To aid the parites' interpretation of this paragraph, the child shall be permitted to accompany either parent for breakfast and/or lunch at normal times for breakfast and/or lunch at Nick's Tavern in the Borough of Cambridge Springs so long as the parent going to Nick's Tavern is there for the purpose of eating breakfast or lunch. Visits to Nick's Tavern shall not exceed one hour for either meal. The child shall not be permitted in Nick's Tavern after the hour of 3:00 p.m., prevailing time.

5. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the child; and, if the parties mutually agree to al alternative arrangment to accommodate themselves and the child's schedule, they may adjust the physical custody arrangment accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

6. Each of the parents and any grandparents involved in the temporary custody of the child will teach the child to show that love and respect due a parent, and neither of the parents nor grandparents will do anything to blacken the character of either parent or any grandparent in the eyes of the child.

7. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request a Mediation Conference if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the child. Either party relocating over fifty miles from the borders of Crawford County shall be considered a changed circumstance, and shall not take place until additional Mediation or written agreement has been provided. Each party will give the other party notice anytime that the parent intends to remove the child from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for over four days, and such notice shall be given fourteen days prior to removing the child from teh Commonwealth for short visits.

8. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either parent or the child resides in the Court's jurisdiction and for at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order or any removal of the child from the County of Crawford.

9. The fee for the Child Custody Mediator shall be paid 50% by the father and 50% by the mother.

10. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty days from the date of this Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Jan 4, 1989 Copies of order to Robert Felton, Michael Halliday, Bruce Smith. Esqs. and plaintiff and defendant.

Nov. 14, 1996, Petition for Modification of a Custody Order and Orders filed.
NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR: You, Troy D/. Burge have been sued in court for modification of custody order. You are ordered to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by Custody Mediator KATHLEEN NELSON, ESQ.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
Date: 11/13/96 You should take this paper to your lawyer at once, ...
Gordon R. Miller, J.
11/15/96 faxed to Felton and Nelson, mailed to Halliday

(see page 644B for additional order)

Continued on page 644

1988

James R. Irwin

Shafer, Swick Bailey, Irwin and Stack

1034.

LORETTA STELLINE NOWELL

vs.

MICHAEL TRACY NOWELL

Filed Nov. 18, 1988
@ 2:35 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 4/10/89	9.00 ✓
State pd 4/10/89	10.00
Pro Co Pd 4-12-89	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c) OR 201(d)

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 19, 1988 Verification filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, ESQ. do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for Plaintiff and that as such, I am authorized to execute this Verification; that I did, on the 21st day of Nov., 1988 sendby Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, Addressee Only, to Defendant Michael Tracy Nowell a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint filed at the above term and number at his last known address of RT 1 Box 213-B,,Gatesville, NC-27938, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P724-954-420 and that on the 19th day of Dec. 1988 I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 16th day of Dec. 1988.

James R. Irwin, Esq.

Apr. 7, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 7, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr. 7, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Apr. 11, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 11 day of April, 1989, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between and is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either ofthe parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, J

April 12, 1989 Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name filed. (To be Known as Loretta Stelline Smilth)

1988

Apple and Apple
Joel E. Hausman

1035.

Marsh, Spaeder, Baur,
Spaeder & Schaaf
John P. Eppinger

AIROMAX CORPORATION

vs.

ROBERT R. STOLTZ AND
VIVIAN I. STOLTZ
i/a/a/p/t/a/d/b/a
INDUSTRIAL HEATING & VENT

Filed Nov. 18, 1988
@ 11:08 a.m.

Tax & entry fd 11/28/88 $40.50 ✓
Sheriffs costs 35.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Dec. 20, 1988, Answer and New Matter filed.

Jan. 10, 1989, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Vivian I. Stoltz personally on Nov. 28, 1988 at 3:15 p.m. at Sheriffs Office Crawford CountyCourthouse, Meadville, Pa.

SERVED Robert R. Stoltz on Nov 28, 1988 at 3:16 p.m by handing a copy of the complaint to vivian I. stoltz, his authorized agent, as per her acceptance of service attached at Sheriffs office Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

SERVED Industrial Heating & Vent on Nov. 28, 1988 at 3:16 p.m. by handing true copy of complaint to Vivian I. Stoltz Authorized agent, as per her acceptance of service attached, at sheriffs offic e, Crawford Countycourthouse, Meadville, Pa.

So answers, Catherine Allen, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

April 5, 1989, Reply to New Matter filed.

1988

Shafer, Swick Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Charles J. Swick

1036.

AMERICAN FEDERAL SAVINGS

vs.

PATRICK E. DONAHUE AND
SHARON L. DONAHUE

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Nov. 18, 1988, Affidavit of Non Military Service filed.

Jan. 9, 1989, Complaint Reinstated.

Jan 20, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed: I accept service of the Complaint No. AD 1988-1036 on behalf of Patrick E. Donahue and certify that I am authorized to do so.
1-18-89 Francis Donahue
SERVED Sharon L. Donahue personally on November 22, 1988 at 3:55 P.M. at her residence, 633½ Church St., Meadville, Crawford Co., Pa.
SERVED Patrick E. Donahue on January 18, 1989 at 12:10 P.M. by serving his Authorized Agent, Frances Donahue, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. Her signed acceptance of Service attached.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Filed Nov. 18, 1988
@ 4:16 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs	30.00
Pro Co Pd 2-22-89	9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 5/31/89	5.00 ✓

AND NOW, Feb 22, 1989 @ 10:20 A.M., Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to file an answer and damages are assessed as follows: Principal Debt, Seventeen Thousand Seven Hundred Thirty-three and 52/100 Dollars; Interest to 10-31-89, Eight Hundred Thirty-three and 96/100 Dollars; Late charges, Three Hundred Sixty-one and 58/100 Dollars; Taxes and Insurance Escrow, Four Hundred Fifty-five and 84/100 Dollars; Attorney's Commission, Eight Hundred Eighty-six and 68/100 Dollars; TOTAL Twenty Thousand Two Hundred Seventy-one and 58/100 Dollars, Together with interest on debt at a rate of 14% per annum from November 1, 1988 and costs of suit.
D-133, 153

Debt.....$20,271.58 + Int. & Costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Feb 22, 1989 Judgment entered.

EX 1989-22

May 31, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the debtor having cured the default, this case is settled and discontinued of record without prejudice to commence further foreclosure actions in the event of additional defaults.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Paul D. Shafer, Jr.

1037.

Jorden and White
William T. Jorden

THE PENN CENTRAL CORPORATION

vs.

JAMES DRILLING CORPORATION

Filed Nov. 18, 1988
@ 4:02 p.m.

Pro.Co. pd 3/18/94 6.00

COURT ORDER CERTIFYING EQUITY ACTION TO THE LAW SIDE OF THE COURT

EQUITY 1982 - 33 ENTRIES:

Nov. 10, 1982, Summons in Equity. Issued.
Nov. 10, 1982, Petition for Preliminary Injunction, filed.
Nov. 15, 1982, ORDER filed.
Nov. 15, 1982, Exhibits filed.
Nov. 16, 1982, ORDER filed.
Nov. 17, 1982, Injunction Bond in Amount of $10,000.00 filed.

Jan. 5, 1983, Complaint in Equity filed.
Jan. 7, 1983, Transcript of Proceedings taken at time of Preliminary Injunction Hearing filed.
Jan. 28, 1983, Preliminary Objections of Defendant filed.
Feb. 1, 1983, Praecipe for Argument List filed.
Feb. 11, 1983, Notice of Filing Interrogatories and Request for Production upon plaintiff filed.
ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR MARCH 28, 1983

Mar. 29, 1983, ORDER filed.
May 26, 1983, Defendant's Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Jan. 22, 1985, Answers to Interrogatories and Request for Production filed.

Apr. 18, 1986, Deposition of F. Dwight Kinter filed.
Apr. 18, 1986, Deposition of James I. Shearer filed.
Sept. 12, 1988, Motion for Civil Non-Jury Trial List and Order filed.

ON OCTOBER 1988 TRIAL LIST

Sept. 26, 1988, Non Jury Date of Dec. 13, 1988 at 9:00 a.m., filed.

****

Nov. 18, 1988, Motion to Continue Trial, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 18 dayof Nov. 1988, upon agreement of the parties, the Prothonotary is directed to assign an appropriate term and number to this case to reflect certification to the law side of the court and to pla ce this case on the February Jury Trial Term. The trial scheduled for Dec. 13, 1988 is accordingly continued. Robert L. Walker, J.
Nov. 18, 1988, copies to Attys. Paul Shafer and William Jorden, attys.

On February 1989 Trial List

Jan. 23, 1989, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Jan. 23, 1989, on motion of the plaintiff, this case is continued to the April Term of Court.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.
Jan. 24, 1989, copies to Attys. P. Shafer and W. Jorden.

Feb 22, 1989 Motion for Sanctions and Order filed: AND NOW, this 22nd day of February, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, plaintiff, The Penn Central Corporation, is ruled to show cause, if any there may be, as to why this court should not impose appropriate sanctions in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 4019(c). A hearing in this matter shall be held on the 16th day of March, 1989, at 9:30 o'clock in courtroom 2.
Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb 23, 1989 Copies of order to Attys Shafer and Jorden.

Mar. 6, 1989 Motion To Continue and Order filed. AND NOW, THIS 6 day of March, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, the above captioned action is continued untilthe June, 1989, trial term. P.Richard Thomas, J
Mar. 6, 1989 Copies of order to Paul Shafer and William Jorden

On April 1989 Trial List
On June 1989 Trial List
On October 1989 Trial List
On February 1990 Trial List.
On April 1990 Trial List

Apr. 3, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, April 2, 1990, at the call of the trial list, the parties agree a preliminary ruling has not yet been made by Judgment Robert L. Walker, and accordingly, the case is continued by agreement. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.
Apr. 5, 1990 Copies of order put in Paul Shafer and William Jorden's box.

On October 1990 Trial List
On February 1991 Trial List

March 15, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 14, 1991, oral argument on the undisposed matters now pending before the Court in this case shall be held before the undersigned on teh 30th day of May, 1991 at 9:00 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No.2 of the Crawford Co. Courthouse, unless, in the meantime, an order disposing of said matter is rendered and entered by Judge Robert L. Walker.
If said argument takes place the moving party shall file its brief at least fifteen (15) days prior to the argument and the responding party shall file its brief at least five (5) days prior to the argument.
By The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
March 15, 1991 Copy of Order placed in Attys' box - Paul Shafer, Jr., Wm. Jorden.

On April 1991 Trial List
On October 1991 Trial List

Continued on page 610c

1988

R. Charles Thomas
Bozic and Thomas

1038.

RICHARD T. WILLIAMS

vs.

RHONDA K. WILLIAMS

Filed Nov. 21, 1988
@ 1:45 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$40.50
Pro Co Pd 9/26/89	9.00
State Pd 9/26/89	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OR 201(d)- DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 20, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Richard T. Williams filed.

Sept. 20, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 25, 1989, Affidavit of Service of complaint in divorce filed. R. Charles Thomas, esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on Nov. 22, 1988, he sent a true and correct copy fo the compalint in divorce, properly endorsed with the Notice to Defend, filed in the above action to the defendant at defendant's last known address of 46 Scarlet Drive, Meadville, Pa. 16335. by Cert. mail No. P 724 952 066, postage prepaid, restricted del., ret. rec. req. and that attached hereto is a duly executed ret. rec. card showing date of delivery as Nov. 28, 1988. R. Charles Thomas, Esq.

Sept. 25, 1989, Marital Agreement filed.

Sept. 25, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Oct. 9, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, October 9, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Richard T. Williams, plaintiff, and Rhonda K. Williams, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas,

1988

R. Charles Thomas
Bozic and Thomas

1039.

DONALD EUGENE DINTAMAN

vs.

CAROL ANN DINTAMAN

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OR 201(d)- DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

July 19, 1989, Affidavit of Service of Complaint in Divorce filed. R. Charles Thomas, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on Nov. 21, 1988, he sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce, property endorsed with Notice to Defend, file in above action, to defendant Carol Ann Dintaman, at address of P.O. Box 599 cochranton, Pa. 16314,by Cer. Mail NO. P724 952 077, postage prepaid, rest. del. ret. rec. req. and that attached hereto is a duly executed ret. rec. card showing date of del. as Nov. 22, 1988. R. Charles Thomas, Esq.

Filed Nov. 21, 1988
@ 1:46 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co Pd 7/20/89	9.00
State - paid 7/20/89	10.00

July 19, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

July 19, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

July 19, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff Attorney, for Review under 201(c) of theDivorce Code, this case moved to court.

Aug. 1, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, August 1, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Donald Eugene Dintaman, plaintiff, and Carol Ann Dintaman, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

~~Watts, Pepicelli~~, Youngs-and-Youngs PC ~~Alan L. Pepicelli~~

1040.

James R. Irwin

LINDA L. DEETER

vs.

DALTON R. DEETER

Filed Nov. 21, 1988
@ 4:00 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 4/24/91	15.00 ✓
State pd 4/24/91	5.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 4-2-92	9.00
State paid 4-2-92	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OR 201(d) OF DIVORCE CODE
EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 29, 1988, Certificate of Service filed. Alan L. Pepicelli, hereby certifies that he is the atty. for plff. in the within action and that a cert. copy of the divorce complaint was sent by cert. mail, ret. rec. req., addressee only, to dalton R. Deeter, at R. D. #2, Box 15, Cochranton, Pa. 16314, on Nov. 21, 1988, and was received by said dft. on Nov. 22, 1988. The receipt for cert. mail and the ret. rec. are attached hereto marked Ex."A".
Alan L. Pepicelli, Esq.

Dec 8, 1988 Petition for Special Relief and Order filed: AND NOW, this 8th day of December, 1988, upon consideration of the within Petition for Special Relief, a Rule is hereby entered upon the defendant to show cause, if any there be, why the various marital property set forth in said Petition should not be enjoined from being removed, disposed of, alienated, sold or otherwise encumbered pending final hearing and settlement of all claims. Hearing is hereby set for 20th day of January, 1989 at 10:00 o'clock A.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2, Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pa.

Pending time of said hearing, the Defendant is hereby enjoined from removing, disposing of, alienating, selling or otherwise encumbering the marital property set forth in Plaintiff's Petition for Special Relief.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec 12, 1988 Copies of order to Alan Pepicelli, Esq.

Jan. 23, 1989; CONSENT ORDER REGARDING PETITION FOR SPECIAL RELIEF filed. AND NOW, THIS 23 day of Jan. 1989, upon consent of each of the parties and their respective ocunsel, it is hereby ordered and decreed that the defendant and the plaintiff are ea ch hereby enjoined from removing, disposing of, alienating, selling, or in any way encumbering any of the various marital property set forth in plaintiff's petition for special relief filed Dec. 8, 1988. Nothing in this order, however, shall preclude either party from expending the joint personal savings account which is presently or had been held at Marine Bank, Cochranton, Pa., Account No. 5750-1413, provided that the entire proceeds in said a ccount at time of separation shall be considered by the Master in equitably distributing the marital assets.

Consented To: Alan L. Pepicelli, Esq.
Consented To: James R. Irwin, Esq.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Jan. 24, 1988, copies of order to Attys. A. Pepicelli, and J. Irwin..

Jan. 25, 1989, Appearance of James R. Irwin, attorney for defendant filed.

June 12, 1990 Appearance of Mary Ann Kirkpatrick on behalf of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 22, 1990 Petition for Special Relief and Order filed.
ORDER: And Now, August 22nd,1990 hearing on Plaintiff's Petition for Special Relief is scheduled for August 30, 1990, at 11:30 a.m. in courtroom #1 or # 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pennsylvania.

By The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Aug. 23, 1990 Copies of order to Alan Pepicelli, James R. Irwin and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, esqs.

Aug. 31, 1990, Motion to generally continue hearing and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Aug. 30, 1990, Motion allowed.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept. 6, 1990, copies to M. Kirkpatrick, A. Pepicelli and J. Irwin, attys.

Sept. 14, 1990 Motion To Withdraw Appearance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 14 day of September, 1990, upon consideration of the within Motion, leave is granted for Alan L. Pepicelli, Esquire, and Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs, PC, to withdraw as counsel for Linda L. Deeter, the above-captioned Plaintiff.

BY THE COURT,
P. richard Thomas, S.J.

Sept. 18, 1990 Copies of order put in Atty's Kirkpatrick, Pepicelli and Irwin's box.

Oct. 16, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 17, 1991 Petition for Alimony, Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees Costs and Insurance filed.

Apr. 24, 1991 Motion Scheduling Hearing on Petition for Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees, Costs and Insurance and Order filed. And Now, April 24, 1991, hearing on Plaintiff's Petition is scheduled for June 3, 1991, at 2:30 o'clock, p.m. in Courtroom number 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse located in Meadville, Pennsylvania.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 24, 1991 Copies of order put in Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and James Irwin's box.

Apr. 24, 1991 Petition for Special Relief filed.

Apr. 24, 1991 Order filed. And now, April 24th, 1991, hearing is scheduled on Plaintiff's Petition for Speical Relief to be held on June 3, 1991, at 2:30 o'clock p.m. in courtroom number 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 30, 1991 Copies of order put in Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and James Irwin's box.

May 6, 1991 Petition for Special Relief and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, May 6, 1991 hearing on Plaintiff's Petition for Special REleif is scheduled for june 3, 1991 at 2:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford CountyCourthouse, Meadville, Pa. Unit hearing defendant shall take no action to collect on the invoice submitted to palitniff for furnace replacement.

BY THE COURT, Gordon R. Miller, j.

May 8, 1991 copies to Attys. Kirkpatrick and Irwin.

Continued on page 634

1988

Theodore B. Ely
Ely and Smith

1041.

HAMOT MEDICAL CENTER
OF THE CITY OF ERIE,
PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

MARY A. BURGESS AND
STEPHANIE J. BURGESS

Filed Nov. 22, 1988
@ 11:53 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00 ✓
plff on orig	99.50
sheriffs costs	37.15
Pro Co Pd 2/15/89	9.00 ✓

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

DSB 1983 -944

Writ of Revival indexed against the defendants in the amount of One tHousand Four Hundred Thirty Nine and 81/100 Dollars, with interest from May 7, 1983. and costs of suit.
Dated .....Nov. 22, 1988
Debt.....$1,439.81
Int. from...May 7, 1983
B - 238, 154 - JUDGMENT

Issued (sheriff)

Jan. 3, 1988 Writ Reissued.

Feb. 8, 1989, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Mary A. Burgess on Jan. 20, 1989, at 10:22 a.m. by handing a true copy of Writ of Revival to George Burgess adult member of the family, namely her husband, at their residence, R. D. #2, Cochranton, Pa., Crawford County 16314.
Not Found, as to stephanie J. Burgess. Moved, left no forwarding address.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

And Now, Feb. 15, 1989 at 2:10 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for want of an answer, in the sum of One Thousand Four Hundres Thirty Nine and 81/100 Dollars; int. from May 7, 1983.
Judgment entered - 2/15/89
B - 238, 154
Debt ------$1,439.81
Int. from May 7, 1183
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Feb. 16, 1989, Notices of entry of judgment mailed to defendants.

MacDonald, Illig,
Jones & Britton
Edward W. Goebel, Jr.

1042.

IN RE:

APPEAL OF ABC RAIL
CORPORATION

Filed Nov. 22, 1988
@ 3:44 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

APPEAL OF ASSESSMENT

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 1, 1988 Affidavit filed. Before me, a Notary Public, in and for the State and County, personally appeared Susan A. Thomas of Erie, Pa., who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 22nd day of Nov. 1988, at 4:00 o'clock p.m. she served a true and correct copy of the Appeal of Assessment on Marlene Robertson, by handing her a copy of the Appeal at the Office of the Crawford County Board of Assessment, County Commissioner's Office, Meadville, Pa. and making the contents known to her.

Susan A. Thomas

Aug. 24, 1995 Stipulation and Consent Order filed. ORDER.

1. The three tax assessment appeals of ABC Rail Corporation and U. S.Bronze, as set forth in the caption, for tax years 1989 through 1995 are hereby settled and ended in accordance with the foregoing Stipulation of the parties.

2. The taxing bodies of Crawford County, Penncrest School District and Woodcock Township are directed to make credits and refunds to U. S. Bronze as set forth below within ten from the date of this Order:

	1995 Tax Credit	Refund
Crawford County	$ 5,609.18	$ 28,175.42
Penncrest School District	$16,851.72	$114,093.50
Woodcock Township	1,416.80	$ 9,578.60

3. The Chief Assessor,Joseph J.Galbo, III, is directed to make the appropriate adjustments to the assessment records and take whatever further action is required in order to effectuate the Stipulation and Order.

4. Thg subject tax appeals as pertain to tax years 1989 through 1995 shall be marked settled and discontinued with prejudice.

By The Court:
Gordon R.Miller, J.

August 23, 1995
8-25-95 Johnson. Faxed Watts, McNamara, Shaddinger, J.Galbo, III

1988

Mac Donald Illig
Jones & Britton
Edward W. Goebel, Jr.

1043.

IN RE:
APPEAL OF
ABC RAIL CORPORATION

Filed Nov. 22, 1988
@ 3:44 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

APPEAL OF ASSESSMENT

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 1, 1988 Affidavit filed. Before me, a Notary Public, in and for the State and County, personally appeared Susan A. Thomas of Erie, Pa., who, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 22nd day of Nov. 1988, at 4:00 o'clock p.m., she served a true and correct copy of the Appeal of Assessment on Marlene Robertson, by handing her a copy of the Appeal at the Office of the Crawford County Board of Assessment, County Commissioner's Office, Meadville, Pa. and making the contents known to her.

Susan A. Thomas

Aug. 24, 1995 Stipulation and Consent Order filed. ORDER.

1. The three tax assessment appeals of ABC Rail Corporation and U.S. Bronze, as set forth in the caption, for tax years through 1995 are hereby settled and ended in accordance with the foregoing Stipulation of the parties.

2. The taxing bodies of Crawford County, Penncrest School District and Woodcock Township are directed to make credits and refunds to U. S.Bronze as set forth below within ten days from the date of this Order:

	1995 Tax Credit	Refund
Crawford County	$ 5,609.18	$ 28,175.42
Penncrest School District	$16,851.72	$114,093.50
Woodcock Township	$ 1,416.80	$ 9,578.60

3. The Chief Assessor,Joseph J.Galbo, III, is directed to make the appropriate adjustments to the assessment records and take whatever further action is required in order to effectuate the Stipulatation and Order.

4. SThe subject tax appeals as pertain to tax years 1989 through 1995 shall be marked settled and discontinued with prejudice.

By The Court:
Gordon R.Miller, J.

August 23, 1995
8-25-95 Faxed Watts, McNamara,Shaddinger. 8-25-95 Johnson, J. Galbo, III
Original Filed at AD 1988 - 1042

1988

MacDonald Illig
Jones & Britton
Edward W. Goebel, Jr.

1044.

Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker
Anthony Vardaro

IN RE:
APPEAL OF
ABC RAIL CORPORATION

Filed Nov. 22, 1988
@ 3:44 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

APPEAL OF ASSESSMENT

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 1, 1988 Affidavit filed. Before me, a Notary Public, in and for the State and County, personally appeared Susan A. Thomas of Erie, Pa., who, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 22nd day of Nov. 1988 at 4:00 o'clock p.m., she served a true and correct copy of the Appeal of Assessment on Marlent Robertson, by handing her a copy of the Appeal at the Office of theCrawford County Board of Assessment,County Commissioner's Office, Meadville, Pa., and making the contents known to her.

Susan A. Thomas

Dec 12, 1988 Answer to Assessment Appeal filed.

Aug. 24, 1995 Stipulation andConsent Order filed. ORDER.

1. The three tax assessment appeals of ABC Rail Corporation and U.S.Bronze, as set forth in the caption, for tax years 1989 through 1995 are hereby settled and ended in accordance with the foregoing Stipulation of the parties.

2. The taxing bodies of Crawford County,Penncrest School District and Woodcock Township are directed to make credits and refunds to U.S.Bronze as set forth below within ten days from the date of this Order:

	1995 Tax Credit	Refund
Crawford County	$ 5,609.18	$ 28,175.42
Penncrest School District	$16,851.72	$ 114,093.50
Woodcock Township	$ 1,416.80	$ 9,578.60

3. The Chief Assessor, Joseph J.Galbo,III, is directed to make the appropriate adjustments to the assessment records and take whatever further action is required in order toeffectuate the Stipulation and Order.

4. The subject tax appeals as pertain totax years 1989 through 1995 shall be marked settled and discontinued with prejudice.

By The Court:
Gordon R.Miller, J.

August 23, 1995

8-25-95 Johnson. Faxed Watts, McNamara, Shaddinger. Copy to J. Galbo, III.
Original filed at AD 1988-1042

1988

Mahany, Roeder & Smith
Barbara L. Smith

1045.

Fuller, kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Ann H. Gamble

WILLIAM DALE KANYUCK

vs.

LINDA M. KANYUCK

Filed Nov. 23, 1988
@ 11:53 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

COMPLAINT
(CUSTODY)
1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

Nov. 23, 1988, Motion to Appoint a Custody Mediator, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 23 dayof Nov. 1988, WILLIAM PINEO, ESQ. is hereby appointed Custody Mediator and is hereby directed to hold such Custody Mediation Conferences as he deems appropriate and to make recommendation thereafter to this Court.

Plaintiff herein is hereby awarded the temporary custody (physical) of the minor children, North M. Kanyuck date of birth Feb. 25, 1975, and Morgan K. Kanyuck, date of birth Sept. 26, 1979 until further Order of Court.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov. 23, 1988, copies of order to Attys. Barbara Smith, and William Pineo.

Dec. 28, 1988, Appearance of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso & Gamble, by Ann H. Gamble, attorney for defendant filed.

Feb. 28, 1989 Certificate Of Service filed. I hereby certify that on February 27, 1989, a certified copy of the above captioned Petition for Entry of Agreement, which will be presented by Barbara L. Smith, Esq., at Motion Court on March 1, 1989, was served on counsel for Plaintiff by United States First Class Mail, postage prepaid, pursuant to Pa.R.C.P. 4006(a)(2) as amended, as follows:

Ann Hansen Gamble,Esq.
Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso & Gamble
115 South Washington Street
P.O. Box 305
Titusville, PA 16354

Dated: February 27, 1989

Tamera Ochs-Rothschild

Mar. 1, 1989 Petition For Entry Of Agreement and Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, Mar. 1, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition and Agreement for Custody between the parties, the Court hereby approves of the Custody AGreement and consents to its entry as a Court Order. For these reasons, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

1. The Petitioner, William Dale Kanyuck is hereby awarded physical custody of the minor children, North M. Kanyuck and Morgan K. Kanyuck, at the marital residence located at P.O. Box 212, LIttle Cooley Road, Centerville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

2. Linda M. Kanyuck, shall share physical custody of the minor children on a regular basis according to the following schedule:

A. Linda M. Kanyuck shall retain custody of both minor children on alternating weekends to commence February 4, 1989. A "weekend" shall commence after the chool day on Fridays, at which time the children shall go directly to Linda M. Kanyuck's home and remain inher custody until Sunday at 9:00 o'clock P.M.

B. Linda M. Kanyuck shall also take custody of both minor children ine evening per week as the parties may agree 24 hours in advance, or , if they cannot agree, on a regularly scheduled day of mutal convenience. This weeknight visitation shall commence after school, at which time both chidlren shall report to the mother's home and shall end at 9:00 o'clock P.M. on the day of such visitation.

3.The custody Agreement dated January 31, 1989 shall be given full force and effect as a Court Order and is incorporated by reference her ein and merged with this Court Order.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 2, 1989 Copies of order to Tamera Ochs-Rothschild and Ann Hansen Gamble

1988

Quinn, Gent, Buseck,
and Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

1046.

GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES
FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND
SUBSIDIARIES

vs.

DANNY R. BORTNER

Filed Nov. 23, 1988
@ 11:14 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 18.50
Pro Co pd 1/27/89 9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Dec 19, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Danny R. Bortner within named defendant on December 6, 1988 at 11:30 A.M. at his place of residence, 221 Erie St., Apt 1, Saegertown Crawford Co., Pa., by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Joan Bortner, adult member of family, namely wife, with whom he resides.
So answers, E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And NOw, Jan. 27, 1989 Upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice Of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the p laintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sumof Seven Thousand Five Hundred Eighty-nine and 85/100 plys interest and cost of suit.
B- 44 Debt $7,589.85
judgment entered 1/27/89 Int. from Nov. 18, 1988
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Revived to AD 1993-25

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso and Gamble
John D. Petruso

1047.

~~Bruce A. Barrett~~
withdrawn

Received from
Dept 12-28-88
40.50

1-10-89-
Received $26.20
C. Allen
Sheriff Costs

CATHERINE M. FRANTZ

vs.

EDWARD J. FRANZ

Filed Nov. 23, 1988
@ 1:43 p.m.

Tax & entry 12-28-88 $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Costs Pd 1/6/89 26.20
Pro Co Pd 1/6/89 9.00 ✓

PETITION FOR RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy (sheriff)
1 copy (county control)

ORDER FOR HEARING:

AND NOW, this 23 day of Nov. 1988 upon consideration of the within petition, a hearing is scheduled for the 1 day of Dec. 1988, at 3:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 Crawford County Courthouse Meadville,Pa.

Pending the time set for hearing, the respondent shall be prohibited from attempting to cause or intentionally, knowingly or recklessly causing bodily injury tot he petitioner, or placing by physical menace the petitioner in fear of bodily injury, or contacting ghe petitioner. ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER with the power of arrest is ordered to assist in the implementation of this order. An affidavit for filing without cost having been attached to this petition, the Prothonotary is directed to proceed with the filing of the petiton and order forthwith. In addition, the Sheriff of Crawford County is herebyordered and directed to serve the within petition without the filing of costs at the present time, but costs to be directed and ordered at the time of the hearing.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 1, 1988 Motion for Continuance and ORDER of Court filed. And now, December 1, 1988, the motion is granted and the hearing is continued to: Dec. 28, 1988 at 2:00 P.M.

Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 5, 1988 Copy of Order to Atty. John Petruso.

Dec 5, 1988 Appearance of Bruce Barrett, Esq. for Defendant filed.

Dec 23, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Edward J. Franz, personally, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Petition for Protection from Abuse & Order for Hearing to him on December 15, 1988 at 3:45 P.M. at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa 16335
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec 28, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, December 28, 1988, at the time scheduled for hearing, the parties each appear with counsel and they advise the Court that an amicable agreement has been reached under the following conditions:

1. Without intending that it be an admission that he committed the offenses alleged in the abuse petition, the Respondent agrees and the Court orders that he not contact, assault, harass, or annoy the Petitioner in any fashion. He will not go to her residence nor will he telephone her for any pupose, except for the purpose of exercising his visitation rights with the parties' minor children.

2. The Respondent will immediately pay all costs and serive fees in connection with this case.

3. The Respondent is accorded reasonable visitation rights between the hours of 5:00 P.M. and 8:00 P.M. Monday through Friday, provided he requests such visitation in advance and the mother's approval is obtained, the Respondent shall pick up the children and return them to the children's baby sitter. In addition, the Respondent is accorded weekend visitations every other weekend starting January 6, 1989. On those occasions, Respondent shall have the children from 5:00 P.M. on Friday by picking them up at the children's sitter and shall return them to his parent's residence not later than 7:00 P.M. on Sunday.

4. This order shall be in force and effect for a period of one year from this date and in the event any of the conditions of this order are alleged to have been violated and a duly authorized police officer has reasonable grounds to believe this order has been violated, he may apprehend the Respondent confine him in the Crawford County Jail, and request an immediate hearing before the Court.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Jan 5, 1989 Copies of order to John Petruso, Bruce Barrett, Esqs.

Apr. 27, 1989, Motion for Withdrawal of Appearance and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, April 26, 1989, the Above motion allowed.

P. R. Thomas, J.

Apr. 28, 1989, copies to John Petruso and B. Barrett (box)

1988

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

1048.

VINCENT R. KELLERMAN

vs.

LINDA DEETER

Filed Nov. 28, 1988
@ 9:04 a.m.

Taxx & entry Paid $40.50

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

NOTICE AND ORDER:
You, Linda Deeter, Defendant have been sued in court to obtain custody of the child: Michele Lynn Kellerman.
You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.
If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, goto or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.
Crawford CountyBar Assoc. Service to the Public Comm. c/o Prothonotary, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335.
Telep. 724-4636 BY THE COURT,
Dated: 11/28/88 P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 29, 1988 Stipulation and Consent Custody Order filed. AND NOW, this 29th day of Dec., 1988, upon consideration of the Pleadings and the Stipulation of the parties, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED, that:
1. Legal custody of the minor child, Michelle Lynn Kellerman, shall be shared equally by the parties and the parties shall share with each other any and all information which they may have regarding the health, education, and major events in the child's life. Health care and school personnel are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidentialinformation which they may have regarding Michelle Lynn Kellerman, except for that which she has legally requested to be kept confidential from anyone.
2. The Plaintiff father shall be entitled to primary legal custody of Michelle Lynn Kellerman who shall reside with the Plaintiff father, Vincent R. Kellerman, at his home in Providence, Rhode Island.
3. The Defendant mother shall enjoy reasonable visitaiton rights and shall have visitations at such times and places as are mutually agreed upon by the parties and the subject minor child, Michelle Lynn Kellerman.
4. EAch party shall teach Michelle Lynn Kellerman to give to the other party that love and respect which due a parent form his or her child and neither shall do ro say anything to blacken the character of the other inthe estimation of Michelle Lynn Kellerman.
5. The parties are free to amke additional or alternative arrangements only. In the absence of agreement between the parties and the subject minor child, this order of Court shall govern.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Jan. 4, 1989 Copies of order to Terry Toomey

1988

Cauley and Conflenti
Franklyn E. Conflenti
Cary W. Valyo

1049.

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

MARGARET BAKER AND WILLIAM BAKER, HER HUSBAND

vs.

EDWIN EUGENE McBRIDE

Filed Nov. 28, 1988
@ 10:52 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Plaintiff costs	75.00
Pro Co Pd 3/26/90	6 00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Jan. 4, 1988 Sheriff's Return SERVED Edwin Eugene McBride personally by handing a true copy of the wtihin Complaint to himon December 21, 1988 at 11:10 AM at his residence, Star Route, Meadville, Crawford County, PA
So answers, Edgar D. HOuseholder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 2, 1989 Appearance of Bozic and Thomas, by R. Charles Thomas, Attorney for defendant filed.

March 3, 1989, Answer filed.

Mar. 14, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

Mar. 16, 1990, Plaintiff's Bill of Costs filed.

500

1988

C. Barton Jones

1050.

HERMAN E. ROLLINS

vs.

JEANETTE E. ROLLINS

Filed Nov. 28, 1988
@ 11:36 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 1-4-89- 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

Nov. 28, 1988 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the divorce code filed.

Dec 30, 1988 Affidavit of Service by Mail Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1920.4(a)(1)(ii) filed: C. Barton Jones, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is the attorney for plaintiff, HERMAN E. ROLLINS, and that he did mail a true and correct copy of the complaint in divorce filed in the above matter, by registered mail, return receipt requested, to the defendant, JEANETTE E. ROLLINS, on November 26, 1988, at her last known address, 1522 Cedar Road, Lancaster, Fairfield County, Ohio 42130. The signed receipt is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

C. Barton Jones, Esquire

Dec 30, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(d) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Jan. 20, 1989 **DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 20th day of Jan., 1989, on the grouns of Irretrievably Breakdown under 201(d), in the above case having been duly approved, the Court, by virtue of the authority vested in it by law, decrees that HERMAN E. ROLLINS and JEANETTE E. ROLLINS, are hereby divorced from the bonds of matrimony, and all the duties, rights, and claims accorded to either of the said parties at any time heretofore, in pursuance of said marriage, shall henceforth cease and determine, and the said parties shall severally be at liberty to marry again as if they had never been married.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Prather, Prather & Pineo William Pineo 1051. Gerald Sullivan Legal services	CHESTER LEVETO vs. LESTER STAFFORD AND DORIS STAFFORD, HIS WIFE Filed Nov. 28, 1988 @ 1:50 p.m. Indigent filing	APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF HEBERT E. VOGAN-DISTRICT MAGISTRATE Nov. 28, 1988 Rule Etnered Upon Plaintiff to file Complaint issued. Nov. 28, 1988, Praecipe of defendant to proceed in forma pauperis, Affidavit to proceed in Forma Pauperis filed. Nov. 30, 1988 Proof of Service filed. Dec. 19, 1988, Complaint filed. June 22, 1989 Answer filed.

1988

Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett PC
Richard A. Levick

1052.

Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker
Anthony J. Vardaro

IN RE:
ASSESSMENT APPEAL OF CRAWFORD COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, AND FISHER BIG WHEEL, INC.

from the

DETERMINATION OF THE CRAWFORD COUNTY BOARD OF ASSESSMENT REVIEW

Filed
Nov. 29, 1988 @ 10:13 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓

Pro Co Pd 9-15-89 5.00 ✓

ASSESSMENT APPEAL PETITION

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 16, 1988, Answer to Assessment Appeal filed.

Feb. 21, 1989 Certification Of Readiness filed.

On April 1989 Trial List

Mar. 28, 1989 Order Setting Nonjury Trial filed. AND NOW, March 27, 1989. nonjury trial is hereby set for June 2, 1989 at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2.
For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Mar. 31, 1989 Copies of order to Richard Levick and Anthony Vardaro

May 31, 1989 Motion To Reschedule Assessment Appeals and Order filed. AND NOW, this 31st day of May, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing MOtion to Reschedule Assessment Appeal, the Court herein ORDERS that the above tax assessment appeals be rescheduled for 1:30 p.m. on June 7, 1989. Notice of the hearing shall be served upon: Anthony J. Vardaro, esquire, 898 Park Avenue, Meadville, PA 16335.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

June 6, 1989 Copies of order to Richard Levick and Anthony Vardaro

On June 1989 Trial List

On October 1989 Trial List

Sept 15, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney the above-captioned action is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett PC
Richard A. Levick

1053.

Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker
Anthony J. Vardaro

IN RE:
ASSESSMENT APPEAL OF TITUSVILLE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, AND FISHER BIG WHEEL, INC.

from the

DETERMINATION OF THE CRAWFORD COUNTY BOARD OF ASSESSMENT REVIEW

Filed Nov. 29, 1988
@ 10:13 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 9/18/89 5.00 ✓

ASSESSMENT APPEAL PETITION

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 16, 1988 Answer to ASSESSMENT Appeal filed.

Feb. 21, 1989 Certification Of Readiness filed.

On April 1989 Trial List

Mar. 28, 1989 Order Setting Nonjury Trial filed. AND NOW, March 27, 1989. Nonjury trial is hereby set for June 2, 1989, at 9:00 A.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2.
For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Mar. 31, 1989 Copies of order to Richard Levick and Anthony Vardaro

May 31, 1989 Motion To Reschedule Assessment Appeals and Order filed. AND NOW, this 31st day of May, 1989, upon consideration of the foreoing Motion to Reschedule Assessment Appeal, the Court herein ORDERS, that the above tax assessment appeals be rescheduled for 1:30 p.m. on June 7, 1989. Notice of the hearing shall be served upon: Anthony J. Vardaro, Esquire, 898 Park Avenue Meadville, PA 16335.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

June 6, 1989 Copies to Richard Levick and Anthony Vardaro

On June 1989 Trial List
On October 1989 Trial List

Sept 15, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, the above captioned action is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record.

504

1988

Knox McLaughlin Gornall
& Sennett PC
Richard A. Levick

1054.

Spadafore Vardaro
& Walker
Anthony J. Vardaro

IN RE:
ASSESSMENT APPEAL OF
JOAN D. McMAHON

from the

DETERMINATION OF THE CRAWFORD
COUNTY BOARD OF ASSESSMENT
REVIEW

Filed Nov. 29, 1988
@ 10:14 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Pro Co Pd 9-15-89 5.00 ✓

ASSESSMENT APPEAL PETITION

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 16, 1988, Answer to Assessment Appeal filed.

Feb. 21, 1989 Certification of Readiness filed.

On April 1989 Trial List

Mar. 28, 1989 Order Setting Nonjury Trial filed.
AND NOW, March 27, 1989. nonjury trial is hereby set for June 8, 1989, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2.
For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Mar. 31, 1989 Copies of order to Richard Levick and Anthony Vardaro

On June 1989 Trial List

On October 1989 Trial List

Sept 15, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney the above-captioned acton is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record

1988

Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett PC
Richard A. Levick

1055.
Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker
Anthony J. Vardaro

IN RE:
ASSESSMENT APPEAL OF PENNZOIL UNITED, INC., AND CARL SHERRELL

fromsthe

DETERMINATION OF THE CRAWFORD COUNTY BOARD OF ASSESSMENT REVIEW

Filed Nov. 29, 1988
@ 10:14 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Pro Co Pd 9-15-89 5.00 ✓

ASSESSMENT APPEAL PETITION

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 16, 1988, Answer to Assessment Appeal filed.

Feb. 21, 1989 Certification Of Readiness filed.

On April 1989 Trial List

Mar 28, 1989 Order Setting Non-Jury Trial filed: AND NOW, March 27, 1989. nonjury trial is hereby set for June 7, 1989, at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2.
For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.
Mar 31, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Levick and Vardaro.

On June 1989 Trial List

On October 1989 Trial List

Sept 15, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney this case is hereby marked settled and discontinue of record.

1988

Knox McLaughlin
Gornall & Sennett PC
Richard A. Levick

1056.

Spadafore, Vardaro
& Walker
Anthony J. Vardaro

IN RE:
ASSESSMENT APPEAL OF
ALLAN F. DUNNEWOLD, ET UX.

from the

DETERMINATION OF THE CRAWFORD
COUNTY BOARD OF ASSESSMENT
REVIEW

Filed Nov. 29, 1988
@ 10:14 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 9-15-89 5.00 ✓

ASSESSMENT APPEAL PETITION

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 16, 1988, Answer to Assessment Appeal filed.

feb. 21, 1989 Certification Of Readiness filed.

On April 1989 Trial List.

Mar 28, 1989 Order Setting Nonjury Trial filed: AND NOW, March 27, 1989, nonjury trial is hereby set for June 8, 1989, at 1:30 p.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2.

For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

Mar 31, 1989 Copies to Attys. Levick and Vardaro.

On June 1989 Trial List

On October 1989 Trial List

Sept. 15, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney the above captioned action is hereby settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Spadafore, Vardaro
& Walker
Anthony J. Vardaro

1057.

HAROLD E. KLINE, SR.

vs.

NANCY LEE KLINE

Filed Nov. 29, 1988
@ 10:41 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 12/30/88 9.00
Pro State pd 1/5/90 10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 29, 1988, Plaintiff's affidavit Under section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Dec. 28, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. VIcki Bradshaw, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the sec. to Anthony J. Vardaro, Esq. atty. for plff. in this action and certifies that on De.c 2, 1988, she delivered to the P.O. in Meadville, pa for del to Nancy Lee Kline at R. D. #2, Cochranton, Pa. 16314, by cert. mail, ret. rec. req. del. rest. to addressee only, a true and correct copy of the complaint in this matter for which deponent received at the time receipt for cert. art. No. P 724 951 589 which is attached hereto as Ex. "A". Thereafter on Dec. 5, 1988 it was ret;urned to a. Vardaro, ret. rec. card bearing the same no. and signed by dft. said ret. rec. card attached as Ex. "B".

Dec. 28, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review under Section 201(d) of the Divorce code, this case moved to court.

Jan. 3, 1990, Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Jan. 3, 1989, Affidavit of Service, of Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree and Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 201(d) filed.

Jan. 3, 1990, Amended Affidavit of Service filed. Vicki Bradshaw, Being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the sec. to Anthony j. vardaro, esq. in this matter and certifies that when service of the complaint was made on dec. 2, 1988 as set for th in a previously filed affidavit a true and correct copy of plaintiff's affidavit under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code was also served upon the defendant in the same mailing. Vicki Bradshaw.

Jan. 3, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review under 201(d) of the Divorce Code, this case moved tocourt.

Jan. 10, 1990 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And Now, January 5, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Harold E. Kline, Sr., plaintiff, and Nancy Lee Kline, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

By The Court,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

1988

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

1058.

THOMAS E. SMITH

vs.

MARY D. SMITH

Filed Nov. 29, 1988
@ 3:57 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 12/30/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 29, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under section 201(d) of the divorce Code filed.

Dec. 28, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Terry Toomey, Esq. do hereby verify that:

1. I am an atty. for the plaintiff in the above action.
2. That on Dec. 1, 1988 I caused to be sent a copy of the Complaint in Divorce and the Affidavit of the Plaintiff by certified mail, ret. rec. req. to the defendant Mary D. Smith, at her mailing address of 422 Willow St, Apt. "C", Meadville, Pa. 16335.
3. Attached hereto is a copy fothe ret. rec. bearing the signature of the defendant which receipt c ertifies the service of the Complaint in Divorce and the Affidavit, upon the defendant on Dec. 2, 1988. Terry Toomey, Esq.
12/27/88

Dec. 28, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit the record, this case moved to the court.

Dec. 30, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 30th day of Dec., 1988, it is hereby ORDERED ADJUDGED and DECREED that the marriage her etofore existing between THOMAS E. SMITH and MARY D. SMITH is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

1059.

Bozic & Thomas
R.Chas. Thomas

JEAN L. CHESS

vs.

RANDALL M. CHESS

Filed Nov. 30, 1988
@ 9:19 a.m.

Tax & entry	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	20.00

PETITION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER (ABUSE)

5 copies (atty)

TEMPORARY ORDER:

AND NOW, this 30 day of Nov. 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition for Protective Order, it is hereby ordered that:

1. A hearing be held on the Petition on the 9 day of Dec. 1988, at 1:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. Pending said hearing, the Respondent is hereby prohibited from abusing or cntacting the petitioner.

2. Pending said hearing the respondent is ordered to leave the parties' residential premises at R. D. #4, Box 430, Saegertown, Pa. and is enjoined from returning thereto pending hearing.

3. A copy of this order is to be given to the Pa. State Police, who are hereby ordered to enforce this order.

4. Temporary custody of the parties' two minor children is awarded to the Petitioner pending hearing.

Per Curiam,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 12, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Randall M. Chess personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Protection From Abuse to him on Dec. 2 1988 at 2:58 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335

So answers,

Catherine I. Allen, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 12, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, December 9, 1988, after hearing, this case is continued generally without formal adjudication as to the allegations of the petition and by agreement of the parties, the following Order shall be in effect until further Order of Court or until December 9, 1989, whichever shall first occur:

1. The Defendant is permitted to return to the marital domicile.
2. The Defendant shall not use alcoholic beverages in any quanity.
3. The Defendant shall not participate in gambling activities.
4. The Defendant shall not physically abuse the Plaintiff in any way or threaten by work or gesture to physically abuse the Plaintiff.
5. The parties shall participate in marriage counseling with a counseling agency chosen by the Defendant and in the event there is any costs for such counseling, the costs are to be paid by the Defendant.
6. Both parties shall make every effort to discuss any differences in a logical manner and without permitting their respective tempers to be aroused and shall make every effort to follow the advice of a marriage counselor in the hope of salvaging this family.
7. In the event the Defendant should use alcoholic beverages, engage in gambling activities, or use physical violence or threats of physical violence toward the Plaintiff, he shall voluntarily remove himself from the marital residence, in which event the Court will hold a further hearing, if necessary, for the purpose of determining visitation rights between the Defendant and his children.
8. Either party may request a further hearing if necessary.
9. Neither party is required at this point to pay the record costs in this proceeding, but the Court reserves the right to impose payment of the record costs in its discretion. Neither the Prothonotary nor the Sheriff shall bill the costs to any party absent further Court Order.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 14, 1988 copies of order to J. Mason and R. Chas. Thomas, esqs

1988

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis E. Blystone

1060.

ANGELA DELP

vs.

KEITH DELP

Filed Nov. 30, 1988
@ 9:29 a.m.

Indigent filing
William Pineo, Mediator 82.00

Taft & Gentry Pd 6-18-90 50.50
ProCo Pd 6-18-90 9.00
ProCo Pd 6-18-90 9.00
Statet pd 6-18-90 10.00

4/23/90 Recd. $41.00 from Defendant
Recd 5-3-90
$41.00
Vivian Stoltz

6-18-90 Recd from Deft $18.50

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY OF A MINOR CHILD

Nov. 30, 1988, Petition by Indigent Party Under Pa. R.C.P. 1920.62, and order filed. ORDER: Granted 11/30/88
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov. 30, 1988, Motion for Appointment of child Custody Mediator and order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, Nov. 30, 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing motion,WILLIAM PINEO, is appointed child custody mediator in the above captioned case.
1 copy (atty) P. Richard Thomas,,J
1 copy (mediator)

Dec. 8, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed.

1. The undersigned, Curtis E. Blystone, is attorney for the plaintiff, Angela Delp, in the above action in divorce.

2. On December 5, 1988, affiant mailed a true and attested copy of the complaint in the above captioned action, with Notice to Defend and Claim Rights together with the Order and Notice required by Pa.R.C.P. 1915.3(b), to the defendant Keigh Delp addressed to his mailing address of R.D.# 1, Guys Mills, Pa.,16327 by certified mail item no. P404 856 686 as indicated by the "Receipt for Certified Mail" attached hereto. Said item of certified mail was restricted for delivery to the addressee only.

3. The aforesaid item of certified mail was delivered to the defendant, Keith Delp, personally on Dec. 7, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt attached hereto and signed by the defendant personally.

I verify that the statements made in this affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Dated: Dec. 8, 1988 Curtis E. Blystone, Esq

Jan 26, 1989 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 25 day of January, 1989, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Legal and physical custody of the subject minor children is awarded to their mother, the plaintiff, but the right to review this provision and all other provisions of this order is reserved.

2. The father shall have visitation with his children every Monday and Wednesday evening between the hours of 6:00 and 9:00 p.m. and on Sundays from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m., provided that he calls the mother one day in advance and tells her that he is going to exercise his visitation rights.

The father shall also have the right of visitation on Thanksgiving, Christmas, Easter, Father's Day, and the children's birthdays. He shall call the mother one day in advance and the parties shall arrange for visitation on those days.

3. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements regarding visitation priviledges, and are encouraged to do so if that is convenient for them.

4. Either party may request of the Court further Mediation in this matter after the passage of one year from the date hereof.

5. Each party shall teach the children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the estimation of said children.

6. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $82.00 are divided in half with the father's share being assessed to him as costs in this case and the mother's share being assessed to Crawford County, the Mediator having notified the Court that the mother is indigent and was represented throught the auspicies of the Crawford County Bar Associations Service to the Public Committee.

7. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novofor a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Jan 26, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Blystone and Pineo and Defendant.

April 26, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

April 26, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

April 26, 1990 Plaintiff's Income and Expense statement filed.

May 2, 1990 Petition For Counsel Fees, Costs and Expenses filed.

May 2, 1990 AND NOW, upon presentation and consideration of plaintiff's Petition for Counsel Fees, Costs and Expenses, a rule is hereby granted upon defendant Keith Delp to show cause why he should not be ordered to pay plaintiff's attorney's fees and court costs in connection with this case. Prior to hearing on the Petition, the defnedant is ordered and directed to file with the Court a true copy of his most recent federal income tax return, a copy of any and all pay stubs or other comparable documentation evidencing the defendant's income for the preceding six (6) months, and a completed Income and expsense STatement in accordance with Pa. R.C.P. No. 1920.31. Counsel for the plaintiff shall serve this Order upon the defendant forthwith by mailing a copy of the same to the defnedant by United States First Class Mail, postage prepaid, and shall file a return of service with the Court. Returnable for hearing on the 6th day of June, 1990 at 11:00 a.m., prevailing local time, in Court Room No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

May 4, 1990 Copy of orde rput in Curtis Blystone's box.

May 3, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

May 8, 1990 Certificate of Service filed. The undersigned hereby certifies that on May 4, 1990 a copy ofthe Court's Order dated May 2, 1990 was served on the defnednat, Keith Delp, by United States First Class Mail, postage prepaid, addressed to the defendant at his place of residence at RD #1, P.O. Box 61, Guys Mills, PA, 16327, in accordance with the Court's aforesaid Order.

I hereby verify that the statements set forth in the Certificate of Service are true and correct to the vest of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements made herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities. Curtis E. Blystone

Continued on Pg. 510A

1988

Continuation fo AD 1988 - 1060 (Angela Delp vs. Keith Delp) from page 510

May 10, 1990, ORDER filed. This divorce action is praeciped to the court for final decision and the required affidavits of consent are in proper order. However, we note that a petition for counsel fees, costs and expenses was filed on behalf of the plaintiff and hearing thereon was set for June 6, 1990. We defer action on the granting of this divorce until after the hearing scheduled for June 6 has been held, after which time counsel for the plaintiff may again request the court to grant the divorce by filing a simple motion.
Date: May 9, 1990
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

May 14, 1990, copies to Curtis Blystone atty.

June 8, 1990 Memorandum and ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 6, 1990, the Defendant is ordered to pay the record costs in this proceeding, on or before June 30, 1990; pay the total sum of $200.00 in counsel fees to be paid at the rate of $50.00 per month beginning July 15, 1990 and each month thereafter.

At the point in time at which the Defendant's arrearage on the Domestic Relations Order at 1988 - 392 does not exceed $250.00, the Plaintiff shall execute the necessary document to permit the Defendant to claim the two children covered by that Order on his income tax return since the Plaintiff is receiving public assistance and the income tax exemptions are of no value to her.

By Order Of The Court,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

June 15, 1990 Copy of Order to Attys. Curtis Blystone, Brian Lindsay

Oct. 30, 1990 Motion For Entry of Divorce Decree filed.

Oct. 30, 1990 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, October 29, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Angela Delp, plaintiff, and Keith Delp, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, SJ

510
B

1988

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

1061.

2/6/89 Recd $60.00 from Plaintiff

Recd 3-1-89
$60.00
Vivian Stoltz

3/2/89 Recd. $60.00 From Defendant

Recd 6-6-89
$60.00
Vivian Stoltz

JOY KLEMM AND JACK E. KLEMM,

vs.

JAYNE SUTTER

Filed Nov. 30, 1988
@ 10:08 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
John Fuller, Mediator	120.00 ✓
	9.00 ✓

fwd & pd 2/6/89

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:
AND NOW, 11/30/88 upon presentation of the foregoing Complaint, the parties herein and their representative counsel are ordered to appear before JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR, at such time and place as the Child custody Mediator shall determine.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 9, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, Dec, 1988, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the Attorney for Joy Klemm and Jack E. Klemm, Plaintiffs in the above captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished to her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint for Custody to be forwarded by Certified Mail P 401 440 943, postmarked Nov. 30, 1988, to the last known address of the Defendant, Jayne Sutter, R.D.#1 Cambridge Springs, Pa.
3. That Helen Smith, agent of Jayne Sutter, on Dec. 3, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit"A".
4. Service of the Complaint against the Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure, 403.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick Esq/

Jan 10, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, January 10, 1989 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Jack Edward Klemm, III, is with his mother, Jayne Sutter.

II. Physical custody of Jack Edward Klemm, III, is with his mother, Jayne Sutter, subject to the following conditions:

A. The paternal grandparents, Joy Klemm and Jack E. Klemm, III, into their custody, the first two weekends of each month, beginning January 6, 1989 from 6:00 p.m. Friday evening until 6:00 p.m. Sunday evening. The first two weekends of each month shall be defined as the first two weekends in which the first two Fridays of each month fall.

B.Weekend visits as set forth in paragraph one above, shall continue until the mother's contemplated move to Alaska, Jack Edward Klemm, III, shall remain with the paternal grandparents for a period of six (6) weeks following the move at which time arrangements shall be made between the mother and paternal grandparents to transport his to Alaska. Cost of the transportation which in all probability will be airfare will be divided equally between the mother and the paternal grandparents.

C. Beginning with the Summer of 1990 and each Summer thereafter until this Order has been amended or modified, Jack Edward Klemm, III shall spend a period of six (6) weeks each Summer in the home of the paternal grandparents, Joy and Jack E. Klemm. Arrangements for transportation shall be made between the mother and the paternal grandparents and transportation costs ahll be equally divided between them. The parties are encouraged to purchase a round-trip tickent in order to reduce transportation costs. The parties shall mutually arrange between themselves the time of the Summer visits and unless otherwise agreed upon the Summer visits shall be from June 15th to August 1st each Summer.

D. Neither mother nor grandparents shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other party, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both mother and grandparents.

E. Neither mother nor grandparents shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or well being.

F. The parties agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the child and both parties agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided between the parties.

P. Richard Thomas, Judge

Jan 12, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Kirkpatrick and Fuller and to Defendant and Plaintiffs.

512

1988

William Peters 1062. Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack Robert S. Bailey	WILLIAM A. WILLIAMS AND BARBARA WILLIAMS vs. CLAIR WASSON AND MARY WASSON Filed Nov. 30, 1988 @ 1:26 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.25 Pro Co Pd 11/20/89 5.00	APPEAL OF PLAINTIFF FROM JUDGMENT OF DISTRICT JUSTICE-30-2-01 Dec. 20, 1988, Complaint in Assumpsit filed. 1 copy (atty) Jan. 9, 1989, Preliminary Objections filed. Jan. 17, 1989, Proof of Service upon defendants & district justice filed. Jan. 17, 1989, Affidavit of Service of Notice of Appeal from District Justice Judgmetn by personal service of complaint filed. Nov. 16, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is hereby settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

1063.

MARY ANN HANNA

vs.

WALTER R. HANNA

Filed Nov. 30, 1988
@ 4:13 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 3/15/91	9.00
State pd 3/15/91	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OR 201(d)-DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 14, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Feb. 14, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Mar. 7, 1991 Affidavit of Service of Complaint in Divorce filed. R, CHARLES THOMAS, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on December 1st, 1988, he sent a true and correct copy ofthe Complaint In Divorce, properly endorsed with the Notice To defend, filed in the above action to the Defendant, Walter R. Hanna, at Defendant's last known address of 221 south Main Street, Apartment 3, Cambridge Springs, Pa 16403 by Certified Mail No. P 724 952 076, postage prepaid, restricted delivery, return receipt requested and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as 12/7/88. R. Charles Thomas

Mar. 7, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Mar. 20, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, March 19, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Mary Ann Hanna, plaintiff, and Walter R. Hanna, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

12/29/1999-FOR FURTHER DOCKET ENTRIES SEE COMPUTOR. 1988 31063 AD 1988 1063

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma and Schug
David L. Hotchkiss

1064.

PHYLLIS MUMFORD

vs.

HENRY HART,
ADALINE HART,
CLINTON HART, a/k/a
HOMER CLINTON HART,
CORA HART,
PRESTON METCALF HART,
GRACE E. HART,
D. LEON HART,
T. C. HART,
H. P.HART,
C. P. HART,
SAMUEL P. HART,
WILLIAM PAXTON HART,
HENRY H. HART, a/k/a
H. H. HART,
EMILY J. HILL,
PEARL H. HOVIS,
CLEMMIE H. JAMES,
ALVERTA H. JACKSON,
IDA A. LOPER and
LIZZIE BRISON, Their Heirs,
Successors and Assigns

Filed Dec. 1, 1988
@ 2:09 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs	35.50
Pro Co Pd 2/1/90	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN QUIET TITLE

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 28, 1989 Complaint Reinstated.

June 1, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Fred Kebort personally by handing copy of Complaint to him on May 10, 1989 at 9:28 AM at R.D.#6, Meadville, Crawford County, PA. 16335.
SERVED Roy Hovis personally by handing copy of Complaint to him on May 16, 1989 at 1:35 PM at 112 Smith Street, Cochranton, Crawford County, PA. 16314.
SERVED R. Clair Hart personally by handing copy of Complaint to him on May 16, 1989 at 1:40 PM at R.D.#4, Cochranton, Crawford County, PA 16314.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 12, 1989 Motion for Service by PUblication and Order filed. And Now, this 12 day of July, 1989, upon consideration of the MOtion filed by counsel for the Plaintiff, and in reliance upon the Affidavit concerning the status of the defendants, their heirs and assigns, filed by counsel for the Plaintiff, it is hereby ordered that the Plaintiff shall obtain Service of the Complaint to Quiet Title filed in the above-captioned action against the defendants, their heirs and assigns generally, by single publication of the Legal Notice attached to said Motion in the Meadville Tribune and the Crawford County Legal Journal, notifying the Defendants, their heirs and assigns generally, to respond to the quiet Title within Twenty (20) days from the date of said publication, or be forever barred from asserting any right, title, interest, claim or demand whatsoever in, to or against that certain tract of land described in said Complaint. By theCourt;
P. Richard Thomas, J
July 12, 1989 Copy of order put in David Hotchkiss's box.

Jan. 17, 1990, Motion for Judgment and Modification of Time, Affidavit of Service, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 17th dayof Jan 1990 upon motion of the plaintiff and after having determined that no appearance has been entered on the record on behalf of the defendants, their heirs or assigns generally, and no communication having been made to the Crawford County Bar Association Service tothe public Committee, by the defendants, their heirs and assigns or anyone on their behalf, it is hereby ordered and decreed, as follows:

1. The plaitniff is the owner of the subject property is further described in the complaint in fee simple absolute as her respective interest may appear, free and clear of all claims of the defendant, their heirs and assigns, generally, by virtue of the open, notorious, continuous and adverse possession ofthe subject premises for a period of time in excess of 21 years. The subject property is described as follows:

ALL thatcertain piece or parcel of land situate inthe Township of Fairfield, county of Crawford, State of Pennsylvania, bounded and described as follows, to wit:

On the north by Conneaut Creek; on the East by land now or formerly of S. C. Peterson; on the South by land now or formerly of Charles Robertson; and on the west by land now or formerly of H. C. Hart. Containing 116 acres of land, more or less, and being the same land which was conveyed to James D. Mumford and Phyllis Mumford, his wife, by Deed dated Sept. 19, 1966, and recorded Sept. 22, 1966, at Crawford county Deed Book 423, page 162, all pursuant to Order entered by the court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Sept. 16, 1966, at A.D. 178 Sept. Term. 1966.

And also all that piece or parcel of land inthe Township and County aforesaid which is bounded on the West by land of the Com. of Pa., on the North by Conneaut Creek, on the East by the aforesaid lands formerly by James D. Mumford and Phyllis Mumford now Phyllis Mumford; and on the South by lands now or formerly of Charles Robertson, containing 45 acres of land, more or less, and being the same land which was devised to Clinton Hart by Will of Henry H. Hart which was registered Jan. 28, 1987 at Crawford County Will Book L, page 585.

2. The defendants, their heirs and assigns generally, are forever barred from asserting any right, lien, title or interest inthe subject premises inconsistent with the interest or claim of the palintiff as set forth inher complaint, and from impeaching, denying, litigating or in any way attacking the plaintiff's title thereto.

3. The fee simple ittle of the plaintiff herein to the subject premises is confirmed, ordered and decreed unless the defendants, or any one or some of them, file exceptions to this order within Ten (10) days form the filing thereof o the Prothonotary of this court.

4. No notice of the filing of this order is required to be given tothe defendants or to any other person.

5. If no action is taken by the defendants, their heirs and assigns generally, or any one or some of them, within the said ten day period of time, this order shall become absolute and final upon praecipe of the plaintiff.

By the court, (indexed under all dfts. in judgment index -1/17/90)
Gordon R. Miller, Judge
Jan. 19, 1990 copy of order to D. Hotchkiss.

And Now, Jan. 30, 1990, at 1:12 p.m., ten days having passed sicne the Judge's Order Nisi dated Jan. 17, 1990, Final Judgment is hereby entered in favor fo the plaintiff and against the anmed defendants.
Judgment entered - 1/30/90
(see paper filed for
indexing information)

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

David R. Devine 1065.	WILLARD O. MANBECK vs. DAVID L. HOTCHKISS, EXECUTOR OF THE ESTATE OF DONALD M. HOTCHKISS, DECEASED Filed Dec. 1, 1988 @ 2:42 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 Pro Co Pd 1/4/90 6.00	COMPLAINT 4 copies (atty) Jan. 13, 1989, Complaint reinstated. Dec. 29, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked satisfied and discontinued of record.

1988

1066.

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs PC
Alan Pepicelli

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

THOMAS R. HIXENBAUGH

Filed Dec. 1, 1988
@ 4:26 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
mc Pd 5/16/89 5.00 /

APPEAL FROM SUSPENSION OF OPERATING PRIVILEGES

3 copies (atty)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 2nd dayof December 1988 upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that a hearing on the withinAppeal shall be held on the 16th day of Feb. 1989 at 9:30 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2, ofthe Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. I is further ordered that thirty (30) days written notice shall be given by the Prothonotary to the Dept. of Trans., Bur. of Drivers Licensing, Citation Processing Div. Rm. 302, Trans. and Safety Bldg, Harrisburg, Pa. 17120, by certified, mail, return receipt requested.
It is further ordered tand decreed that the appellant Thomas R. Hixenbaugh, shall retain his operating privilege and license pending the outcome of this appeal, which shall act as a supersedeas.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L.Walker, J.

Dec. 14, 1988 Certificate of Service filed. ALAN L. PEPICELLI, Esquire, hereby certifies that he is the Attorney for the Defendant in the within Action and that a certified copy of the Appeal From Suspension of Operating Privileges was sent by Certified mail, return receipt requested, to the Department of Transportation, Bureau of Driver Licensing, Citation and Processing Division, Room 302, Transportation and SafetyBuilding, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120 on Dec. 6, 1988 and was received by same on Dec. 8, 1988. The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A".
Alan L. Pepicelli, Atty. for Deft.

Feb. 17, 1989 Motion to Withdraw Appeal and ORDER filed. AND now, this 16 day of February, 1989, the within Motion to Withdraw Appeal is granted.
By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Feb. 22, 1989 Copy of Order to Atty. Alan Pepicelli.

1988

John M. Dawson, District Attorney 1067. John M. Dawson District Attorney	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. TWO (2) KRAMER MANUFACTURING VIDEO DISPLAY GAMBLING DEVICES Filed Dec. 20, 1988 @ 10:57 a.m. Ta x & entry Paid $40.50	PETITION FOR FORFEITURE OF SEIZED PROPERTY 2 copies (atty) Feb. 24, 1989 Affidavit Of Service filed. Tpr. Joseph H. Holtman of the Pennsylvania State Police, being duly sworn according to law, has this 7th day of December, 1989, at 9:30 p.m., served a true and correct copy of a Petition for Forteiture upon Al Geniviva of 301 Allenby Street, Ellwood City, PA 16117, the owner of Two Kramer Video Display Gambling Devices at the time of the seizure at The First Choice Bar, Rt. 19 & 322 Crawford County,PA. Tpr. Joseph H. Holtman Apr. 5, 1989 Petition For Forfeiture and Condemnation Decree and Order filed. AND NOW, this 5th day of April, 1989, the Commonwealth having previously filed a Petition for Forfeiture of two Kramer Manufacturing Video Display gambling devices, and the owner of said items having been duly notified of said forfeiture, this proeprty is hereby declared condemned and forfeited to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania pursuant to the Act of 1987, June 29, P.L. 32, No. 14 §107 (P.S. 6-602). BY ORDER OF COURT, Robert L. Walker, J Apr. 7, 1989 Copy of order delivered to D. A.'s Office

1988

John M. Dawson
District Attorney

1068.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

ONE (1) KRAMER MANUFACTURING VIDEO DISPLAY GAMBLING DEVICE ONE HUNDRED TWELVE DOLLARS ($112.00) IN U.S. CURRENCY AND SEVEN ($7.00) IN QUARTERS

Filed Dec. 2, 1988
@ 10:57 a:m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

PETITION FOR FORFEITURE OF SEIZED PROPERTY

2 copies (atty)

Feb. 24, 1989 Affidavit Of Service filed. Tpr. Joseph H. Holtman of the Pennsylvania State Police, being duly sworn according to law, has this 7th day of December, 1988, at 9:30 p.m., served a true and correct copy of a Petition for Forfeiture upon Al Geniviva of 301 Allenby Street, Ellwood City, PA 16117, the owner of (i) one Kramer Video Gambling Device; $120.00 in Currency; $7.00 in currency at th e time of the seizure at Night Hawk's Tavern Rt. 18 Harmonsburg, PA 16422 Crawford Co. PA.

Tpr. Joseph H. Holtman

Apr. 5, 1989 Petition For Forfeiture and Condemnation Decree and Order filed. AND NOW, this 5th day of April, 1989, the Commonwealth having previously filed a Petition for Forfeiture of one Kramer Manufacturing video display device, One Hundred and Twelve Dollars ($112.00) in U.S. currency and Seven Dollars ($7.00) in quarters of which were gambling proceeds, and the owner of said items having been duly notified of said forfeiture this property is hereby declared condemned and forfeited to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania pursuant to the Act of 1987, June 29, P.L. 32, No. 14 §107 (P.S. 6-602).

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Apr. 7, 1989 Copy given to Distict Attorney's Office

July 7, 1989 Petition For Forfeiture and Condemnation Decree filed.

July 7, 1989 Order For Disposition filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of July, 1989 the court having previously declared One Kramer Manufacturing Video Display Gambling Device and One Hundred Twelve Dollars ($112.00) in US currency and Seven Dollars ($7.00) in quarters to be unlawful contraband and having declared the same forfeited, the Pennsylvania State Police are hereby authorized to release said machine to the Crawford County Vo-Tech School for the mechanical devices of said machine to be utilized for educational purposes. The Pennsylvania State Police are directed to release the forfeited One Hundred TWelve Dollars ($112.00) in US currency and Seven Dollars ($7.00) in quarters to the District Attorney for use in prosecution expense and/or capital improvements to improve law enforcement.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

July 10, 1989 Copy given to Nancy Hughes

1988

John M. Dawson
District Attorney

1069.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

ONE (1) SMS MANUFACTURING VIDEO DISPLAY GAMBLING DEVICE AND TWENTY-FOUR DOLLARS ($24.00) IN QUARTERS

Filed Dec. 2, 1988
@ 10:57 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

PETITION FOR FORFEITURE OF SEIZED PROPERTY

2 copies (atty)

Mar. 3, 1989 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Cpl. Robert R. Piper, of the Pennsylvania State Police, being duly sworn according to law, has this 3rd day of March, 1989, att a.m. served a true and correct copy of a Petition for Forfeiture upon Victoria Marie Crowe, Drawer C. Venango, PA 16440, the possessors of one (1) SMS Manufacturing Video Display Gambling Device and twenty four dollars ($24.00) in quarters at the time of the seizure at the Corner Tavern, Church and Cussewago Streets, Venango, PA 16440. (Refer to A.D. No. 1988-1069) Cpl. Robert R. Piper

Apr. 5, 1989, Petition for Forfeiture and Condemnation Decree and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 5th dayof April 1989, the Commonwealth having previously filed a Petition for Forfeiture on one SMS manufacturing video display gambling machine and twnety-four dollars ($24.00) in quarters of gambling proceeds, and the possessor of said items having been duly notified of said forfeiture, this property is hereby declared condemned and forfeited to the Com. of Pa. pursuant to the Act of June 29, 1989, P.L. 32, No. 14§. Robert L. Walker, J.

Apr. 10, 1989, copy of order to Atty. JOhn Dawson (personally delivered to D.A.'s office)

July 7, 1989 Petition For Forfeiture and Condemnation Decree and Order filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of July, 1989, the Court having previously declared One SMS Manufacturing Video Display Gambling Device and Twenty-Fourt dollars ($24.00) to be unlawful contraband and having declared the same forfeited, the Pennsylvania State Police are hereby authorized to release said machine to the Crawford County Vo-Tech School for the mechanical devices of said machine to be utilized for educational purposes. The Pennsylvania State Police are directed to release the forfeited twenty-four dollars ($24.00) to the District Attorney for use in prosecution expense and/or capital improvements to improve law enforcement. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

July 10, 1989 Copy of order given to Nancy Hughes

1988

District Attorney
John M. Dawson
~~Narcy L. Hughes~~

1070.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

ONE (1) ELECTRO-SPORT DRAW POKER VIDEO DISPLAY GAMBLING DEVICE AND TWO HUNDRED FIFTY-FOUR DOLLARS AND SEVENTY-FIVE CENTS ($254.75) IN QUARTERS

Filed Dec. 2, 1988
@ 10:57 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

PETITION FOR FORFEITURE OF SEIZED PROPERTY

2 copies (atty)

Dec. 6, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Tpr. Tracey J. McCracken of the Pennsylvania State Polic e, being duly sworn according to law, has this 5th day of December, 1988, at 3:55 p.m., served a true and correct copy of a Petition for Forfeiture upon Virgil Lee Eells of R.D.# 2, Cochranton, Pa. 16314 the owner of Virgil's Bar & Lounge, R.D.2, Cochranton Pa. 16314/Electro-Sport Electronic Video Display Gambling Device at the time of the seizure at Virgil's Bar & Lounge, R.D # 2, Cochranton, Pa. 16314.
(refer to A.D. NO. 1988 -1070

Tpr. Tracey J. McCracken.

Apr. 5, 1989, Petition for Forfeiture and Condemnation Decree, and Order filed.

ORDER: AND NOW, this 5th dayof April 1989, the Commonwealth having previously field a Petition for Forfeiture of one Electro-Sport Draw Poler Video Display Gambling Device and two hundred and fifty-four dollars and seventy-five cents ($254.75) in quarters, and the owner of said items having been duly notified of said forfeiture, this property is hereby declared condemned and forfeited to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania pursuant to the Act of 1987 June 29, P.L. 32 No. 14§ 107 (47P.S. 6-602).

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Apr. 7, 1989, copy of order to Narcy Hughes.Esq

July 7, 1989 Petition For Forfeiture and Condemnation Decree filed.

July 7, 1989 Order For Disposition filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of July, 1989, the Court having previously declared One Electro-sport draw poler video display gambling device and Two Hundred Fifty-four Dollars and Seventy-five ($254.75) in quarters to be unlawful contraband and having declared the same forfeited, the Pennsylvania State Police are hereby authorized to release said machine to the Crawford County Vo-Tech School for the mechanical devices of said machine to be utilized for educational purposes. The Pennsylvania State Police are directed to release the forfeited Two Hundred Fifty-four dollars and sEventy-five cents to the District Attorney for use in prosecution expense and/or capital improvements to improve law enforcement.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

July 10, 1989 Copy of order given to Nancy Hughes.

1988

Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman, Berlin, MaY, Walsh, and Brabender
Stanley G. Berlin

1071.

Joseph C. Barnhart

JAMES F. TYLER

vs.

ELNA M. TYLER

Filed Dec. 2, 1988
@ 2:14 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co Pd 12-29-89	9.00
State Pd 12-29-89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

FEb. 22, 1989, Acceptance of Service filed. And now, this 28th day of Dec. 1988, I, hereby accept service of the complaint filed in the above matter. Elna M. Tyler.

Appearance of Joseph C. Barnhart, Esq. attorney for the defendant, filed.

Sept 15, 1989 Motion for Entry of Qualified Domestic Relations Order filed: DOMESTIC RELATIONS ORDER WITH IMMEDIATE DISTRIBUTION

The following Order is hereby approved:

(1) This Order shall apply to the Cyclops Profit Sharing Investment Plan sponsored by Cyclops Corporation (the "plan") and shall be directed to the Profit Sharing Committee, Cyclops Corporation, 650 Washington Road, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15228, as the Plan Administrator of the Plan.

(2) The Plan Participant covered by this Order is

James F. Tyler 179-32-7215
P.O. Box 333
Titusville, Pa 16354

(3) The Alternative Payee covered by this Order is

Elna M. Tyler 040-22-9784
102 Overlook Dr.
Titusville, Pa 16354

(4) This Order shall apply to $83,000.00 of the Participant's Account balance in the Plan determined as of the last business day of June, 1989 and as valued on such date (the "Alternative Payee Benefit"). The balance, if any, of the Participant's Account Balance as of such date shall remain the sole property of the Participant and shall not be subject to this Order.

(5) This Order shall not apply to any Participant Contribution or Company Contribution made to the Plan based upon any compensation paid to the Participant by Cyclops Corporation after the last day of June, 1989.

(6) The Plan shall pay the Alternative Payee Benefit to the Alternative Payee in a single lump-sum cash payment as soon as practical after this Order is received by the Plan Administrator in accordance with the provisions of Article XII of the Plan as though the Participant had terminated employment with Cyclops Corporation on the date this Order is received by the Plan Administrator; provided, however, that the lump-sum cash payment will never be paid to the Alternative Payee until the Alternative Payee Benefit is fully vested.

(7) The value of the Alternative Payee Benefit shall be determined as of the last Plan Valuation Date prior to the date of distribution under (6) above.

(8) The Alternative Payee irrevocably waives the right to be designated as the beneficiary to receive the Participant's death benefits, if any, provided under the Plan after reduction for the Alternative Payee Benefit.

(9) The Alternative Payee Benefit shall be paid prorata from each Investment fund in which the Participant's total individual Account under the Plan is invested as of the last Plan Valuation Date prior to the date of distribution under (6) above.

(10.) The Participant and Alternative Payee shall properly notify the Plan Administrator of any change in their respective addresses.

(11) The Participant and Alternative Payee shall submit this Order to the Plan Administrator for its determination that this Order contitutes a Qualified Domestic Relations Order as defined in Section 414(p) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

12. It is intended that this Order shall constitute a Qualified Domestic Relations Order and the Court retains jurisdiction to amend this Order as may be necessary to establish or maintain it as a Qualified Domestic Relations Order.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept 15, 1989 Copies mailed to Attys. Berlin and Barnhart.

Dec 27, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec 27, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec 27, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Jan. 19, 1990 DECREE OF DIVORCE FILED.

AND NOW, to-wit, this 17 day of Jan. 1990 , it is ORDERED AND DECREED that James F. Tyler, Plaintiff, and Elna M. Tyler, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of the following claims which have been raised of record in this action for which a final order has not yet been entered: NONE.

It is further ORDERED AND DECREED as follows: Attached to this Divorce Decree so that the enforcement provisions of the Divorce Code, Act 26 of 1980, can be utilized in the event the same proves necessary is a Marriage Separation and Settlement Agreement dated March 7, 1989. The said Agreement is not incorporated with nor merged with this Divorce Decree for any purpose. The Agreement shall be separately enforceable by an appropriate action in law or in equity.

BY THE COURT:

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs PC
Theodore H. Watts

1072.

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

ALLEGHENY INDUSTRIAL
SYSTEMS, INC.

vs.

DENNIS BUBNA, t/a/d/b/a
D & B CUSTOM WIRING

Filed Dec. 2, 1988
@ 4:09 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	19.50
Pro Co pd 9/6/89	15.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 889/90	7.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Jan. 5, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Dennis Bubna personally on December 30, 1988 at 3:25 PM by handing a true copy ofthe Complaint to him at his place ofbusiness, D & B Custom Wiring, R.D. #8, Box 135, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 1, 1989, Appearance of Bozic and Thomas; by R. Charles Thomas, attorneys for defendant filed.

Feb. 16, 1989 Preliminary Objections filed.

May 3, 1989 Motion To Amend Complaint and Order filed. AND NOW, this 3rd day of May, 1989, upon consideration of the above motion, leave is granted to Amend the Complaint. By the court,
Robert L. Walker, J

May 8, 1989 copies of order put in Atty. Watts and Thomas' box.

May 3, 1989 Amended Complaint filed.

June 12, 1989, Affidavit of Service filed. Theodore H. watts, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is atty. for plaintiff in the above matter, and that he served a cert. copy of the Amended Complaint on R. Charles Thomas, atty. for dft. in the above matter, by reg. mail posted on May 4, 1989. Theodore H. watts, Esq.

June 28, 1989 Answer To Amended Complaint filed.

Sept. 5, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Reference To Arbitrators the following are hereby appointed.
Douglas W. Ferguson, Chairman
Alan R. Shaddinger
Louis J. Stack

Sept. 25, 1989, Arbitrators take the Qualifying Oath.

Oct. 31, 1989 Notice Of Arbitrator's Hearing filed.

Jan 8, 1990 Motion to Withdraw Appointment of Arbitrators and Order filed: AND NOW, this 5th day of January, 1990, upon presentation of the within Motion, Granted.
Pro send copy to opposing counsel and arbitrators.
By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Jan 10, 1990 Copies of order put in Attys. Watts, Thomas, Ferguson, Stack and Shaddinger's boxes.

Jan 12, 1990 Certificate of Readiness filed; (Non-Jury Trial)

On February 1990 Trial List

Jan. 26, 1990 Motion To Continue and Order filed. AND NOW, January 26, 1990, upon consideration of the within Motion, this case is continued to the April 1990 Civil Trial Term.
By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Jan. 30, 1990 Copies of order put in Theodore Watts and R. Charles Thomas' box.

On April 1990 Trial List

Apr. 9, 1990 ORDER SETTING NONJURY TRIAL filed. And now, Apr. 9, 1990, nonjury trial in the above case is set for May 31, 1990 at 9:30 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2.
FOR THE COURT, Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.
Apr. 10, 1990, copies to Attys. T. Watts and R. Thomas.

VERDICT

May 31, 1990 Verdict Filed: AND NOW, May 31, 1990, the Court, sitting without a jury, and upon the stipulation of the parties through their attorneys, hereby enters a verdict in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants in the sum of $2,500.00 plus record court costs.
B-43; D-186
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J
May 31, 1990 Copies of Verdict distributed by Court.

Aug. 8, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

William F. Gorman

1073.

Joan D. Mason

4/25/89 Recd. $ 68.50 from Plaintiff

Recd 6-6-89 $68.50 Vivian Stalty

2/15/90 Recd $68.50 from Defendant

Recd 2-1-90 $68.50 Vivian Stalty

JOE E. DAVIS AND KATHLEEN M. DAVIS, HIS WIFE

vs.

STEVEN M. ALLEN

Filed Dec. 5, 1988
@ 9:10 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓
William Pineo, Mediator 137.00

Pro ao Pd 4/25/89 $ 00

COMPLAINT FOR VISITATION RIGHTS

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT: You, Steven M. Allen, Defendant, have been sued in Court to obtain visitation rights of the minor child, Elizabeth Ashley Allen.

You are ordered to appear in person at the time and place selected by William Pineo, Custody Mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

The Crawford County Sheriff's Department is directed to serve a certified copy of this Complaint and Order upon the defendant. In the alternative, this Petition and Order may be served by any competent adult or by certified mail.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335
Telephone: (814) 724-4636

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas

12-5-88

Apr. 7, 1989, TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW this 6 dayof April 1989, after mediation in the above referenced matter, and upon the recommendations of the Chld Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBYORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The plaintiffs, being the maternal grandparents of the subject minor child, are granted visitation with her in accordance with 23Pa.C.S.A. Section 5312 on alternate Saturdays, starting with June 10, for one hour beginning at 10:00 a.m. Visitations shall occur inthe home of the defendant father and his wife. The grandparents shall not be accompanied by any other person.

2. Beginning with Saturday, Sept. 2, 1989, the visitations shall be expanded to begin at 9:00 a.m. until 1:00 p.m. The grandparents shall be able to take Elizabeth form the home of the defendant and his wife but shall exercise the care and caution normally incident to the supervision of a young child.

3. Either party shall have the right to request the court to review the visitation arrangements after one year from the date hereof, if by that time the parties are unable to work out on an informal basis the visitations which would normally be accorded a grandparent.

4. The Mediator has noted for the court that the defendant father and his wife have filed a petition for the terminatin of parental rights of the child's mother and that the child's mother is the daughter of the plaintiff grandparents. The court therefore reserves the right to review this order and make reasonable changes therein, based upon the status and outcome of the termination proceeding.

5. Eachparty shall teach the subject minor child to give to the other parties that love and respect which is due a grandparent or parent, or stepparent, as the case may be, and no party shall blacken the character of any other party or any stepparent in the estimation of the minor child.

6. Costs and fees of this mediation, which are fixed at $137.00, are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford county.

7. Any party may move the court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Apr. 7, 1989, copies of order to Attys. Wm. Gorman, Joan Mason, and William Pineo, defendant & Plaintiff. (mail to plff and dft. and box for attys.)

Apr. 26, 1989 Motion for de novo hearing and Order filed. And now, April 26, 1989 Hearing set for June 8, 1989 at 9:30 AM Robert L. Walker, J

Apr. 27, 1989 Copies put in boxes for William Gorman, Joan Mason and William PIneo

June 8, 1989 Memorandum filed. AND NOW, June 8, 1989, Plaintiffs filed a complaint for visitation rights with Elizabeth Ashley Allen, Plaintiffs being the maternal grandparents of the child. The defendant has also filed a petition for involuntary termination of the rights of the natural mother, sheila Brawley, who is currently incarcerated inthe Crawford County Jail. Although a hearing on the involuntary termination was originally scheduled for this time, that hearing was continued at the request of the Respondent therein and is to be rescheduled upon motion. The Plaintiffs in this action were represented by William F. Gorman, Esquire, at the time the complaint was filed, apparently through the Service to the PUblic Committee of the Crawford County Bar Association.

After mediation, a temporary Order was entered on April 6, 1989, granting the Plaintiffs limited visitation rights, Defendantd filed a timely request for hearing de novo which was scheduled for this date. Although William F. Gorman is still counsel of record, he has advised defendant's counsel that the Plaintiffs have indicated to him that they do not wish to be further represented by him and that they intend to proceed in this matter pro se.

It is now approximately twenty minutes past the time scheduled for hearing and neither the Plaintiffs nor any counsel on their behalf have appeared. Under these circumstances, we enter the following Order. ORDER: AND NOW, June 8, 1;989, th elimited visitation rights awarded the P laintiffs under the temporary Order of April 6, 1989, are suspended and no visitation rights shall be awarded except by further Order of Court.

This Order is entered without prejudice to the right of the Plaintiffs to request a further hearing.

A copy of this Order shall be forwarded to the Plaintiffs by the Prothonotary by regular mail and by certified mail, return receipt requested, at their last known address, R.D. 5, Box 708, Meadville, Pennsylvania, 16335.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

6/8/89 copy given to Steven M. Allen, copy put in Atty. Mason's box; copies mailed to Plaintiff per Court Order (certified number P-966-069-833)

524

1988

William F. Gorman 1074.	SHARON L. McCARTNEY AND CORY WAYNE GEHR Filed Dec. 5, 1988 Tax & entry Paid $40.50	PETITION FOR CHANGE OF NAME ON BIRTH CERTIFICATE 2 copies (atty) ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit: Dec. 5, 1988, after considering the contents of this Petition an order is hereby entered showing the said Cory Wayne Gehr to be the father of the said Kevin James McCartney and that the said Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Health, Vital Records is directed to issue a new Birth Certificate for the said Kevin James McCartney showing on said Certificate the father's name to be Cory Wayne Gehr and the mother's maiden name to be Sharon Lyne McCartney. P. Richard Thomas, J.

1988

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis Blystone

1075.

PENNBANK

vs.

EDWARD G. PREBOR AND SYLVIA A. PREBOR

Filed Dec. 6, 1988
@ 1:36 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
sheriffs costs	62.00
Pro Co Pd 2-8-89	5.00

COMPLAINT IN ACTION OF MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (atty)

Dec. 6, 1988, Affidavit of Non-Military Service of Defendants filed.

Feb. 8, 1989, Sheriffs Return filed. Personally appeared brfore me, Sgt. John Tilford, a Deputy for Eugene L. Coon, sheriff of Allegheny County in the Com. of Pa. who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he made diligent search and inquiry for Edward Prebor at 504 Delaware Ave. Apt. 24, Oakmont, Pa. and elsewhere on the 12 dayof Dec. 1988; up to and including the 1st day of Jan. 1989, and was unable to find the said --- anywhere within the said County of Allegheny, Pa.

Deputy Sheriff of Allegheny County
Sgt. Tilford,
So answers, Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Sg.t. John Tilford, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 21st day of Dec. 1988 at 3:45 p.m. he served Complaint in Mort. Foreclosure filed at AD 1988 - 1075 upon defendant Sylvia Prebor at 1106 Virginia Ave, Oakmont, By making known the contents thereof to her personally and handing to and leaving with her the cert. copy of the complaint received from Crawford.

Sgt. Tilford, Dep. sheriff
So answers, Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff of Allegheny county

SERVED Sylvia A. Prebor by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Dec. 21, 1988.

Not Found, as to Edward G. Prebor, as per Not Found affidavit received from Sheriff of Allegheny County, Pa. and hereto attached.

So asnwers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb 8, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, all causes of action which were or could have been asserted in this action having been fully settled and determined, this case is marked discontinued of record.

1988

Richard P. Gilmore 1076.	BEAU-DAIRY, INC. vs. THOMAS SANDERSON Filed Dec. 6, 1988 @ 4:00 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ She;riff's Costs 21.15 ✓ Pro Co pd 9/8/89 9.00 ✓	COMPLAINT 1 copy (atty) Dec. 29, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Thomas Sanderson within named defendant on December 14, 1988 at 11:14 AM by handing a copy of the within Complaint to Ann Sanderson, adult member of family, namely daughter, at their residence, R.D.#2, Lines-ville Rd., Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA. So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy SHe;riff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff And Now, Sept. 8, 1989 at 10:55 a.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Eleven Thousand Nine Hundred Eighty Five and 07/100 plus interest and cost of suit. S-163 Debt $11,985.07 judgment entered 9/8/89 Judgment James F. Simmons Prothonotary EX/990-26

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
SSchetroma & Schug
David L. Hotchkiss

1077.

F. WILLIAM SELLERS

vs.

ELLEN MARIE SELLERS

Filed Dec. 7, 1988
@ 10:01 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 4/18/89	9.00
State pd 4/18/89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 7, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Apr. 14, 1989 Affidavit of Service filed. R. Charles Thomas being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That he is a competent adult and Attorney for the Defendant having his office in Meadville, Pennsylvania.
2. That on information furnished to him, he caused a certified copy ofthe Complaint in Divorce in the above matter to be served upon Ellen Marie Sellers at o'clock M., on december 9th, 1988, at the offices of Bozic and Thomas

FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT. R. Charles Thomas,

Apr. 14, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Apr. 14, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

APril 28, 1989 DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

And Now, April 26, 1989, it is Ordered and decreed that F. William SELLERS, plaintiff and ELLEN MARIE SELLERS, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony,.

BY THE COURT,

P. Richard Thomas. P.J.

1988

Strassburger McKenna
Gutnick & Potter
Robert L. Potter
Bernadette L. Puzzuole

1078.

Marsh, Spaeder,
Baur, Spaeder &
Schaaf
Will J. Schaaf
Edward Owens

~~MacDonald, Illig, Jones~~
~~& Britton~~
~~T. Warren Jones~~
~~for Robert Symons~~

Quinn, Gent, Buseck
and Leemhuis, Inc.
JOhn M. Quinn, Jr.
for dfts. Mead. Med.
Center ~~& E. Owens~~

Quinn Gent, Buseck &
Leemhuis, Inc.
John M. Quinn, Jr.

Marsh, Spaeder, Baur,
Spaeder & Schaaf
Thomas M. Lent
for Dft. E. Owens

DANIEL A. DAVIS, AN INDIVIDUAL AND REBECCA DAVIS, AN INDIVIDUAL, HIS WIFE

vs.

MEADVILLE MEDICAL CENTER, A PENNSYLVANIA CORPORATION, ROBERT SYMONS, AN INDIVIDUAL, AND EDWARD OWENS, AN INDIVIDUAL

Filed Dec. 7, 1988
@ 10:44 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff'sCosts	47.15
Pro Co Pd 12/10/91	5.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Jan. 3, 1988 Appearance of Marsh, Spaeder, Baur, Spaeder & Schaaf by Will J. Schaaf for Defendant Edward Owens, Only filed.

Jan. 4, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Edward Owens on December 20, 1988 at 10:55 AM by serving copy of summons to Ida Right, Officer Manager at his office, 118 Railroad STreet, Cambridge Springs Crawford County PA.
SERVED Robert Symons on December 14, 1988 at 2:54 PM by serving copy of Summons to dAvid Shaffer,adult in charge of Emergency Room at Meadville Medical cEnter, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Meadville Medical center on December 14, 1988 at 2:55 PM by serving copy of Summons to Louise Randolph,Secretary, at business, 751 Liberty Street, Meadville, Crawford County ,PA
So naswers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sher.
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

JAN 18, 1989 Rule upon Plaintiff to file Complaint Issued.

Jan. 25, 1989, Appearance of Quin, Gent, Buseck and Leemhuis, Inc. by John M. Quinn, Jr., attorneys for defendants Meadville Medical Center and Edward Owens, filed.

Jan. 27, 1989, Upon praecipe of defendant Edward Owens, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint, Issued.

Feb. 6, 1989, ---February 1, 1989, Service of the foregoing Rule accepted by Bernadette L. Puzzuole, attorney for plaintiff.

Mar. 21, 1989 Complaint In Civil Action filed.

Mar 22, 1989 Notice of Serving Interrogatories filed.

Apr. 4, 1989 Preliminary Objections filed.

Apr. 4, 1989 Upon praecipe of Attorney Will J. Schaaf the above captioned case is listed for the next available argument list.

Apr. 25, 1989, Notice of Deposition of Edward Owens filed.

Apr. 25, 1989, Notice of Deposition of Robert Symons filed.

On May 1989 Argument List

May 2, 1989 Upon praecipe of John M. Quinn, Jr. his appearance is hereby withdrawn on behalf of the defendant, Edward Owens only.

May 8, 1989 Answer Of Defendant Meadville Medical Center filed.

May 31, 1989, Answer of Defendant Robert Symons filed.

June 16, 1989 Re: Defendant Owens' Preliminary Objection Memorandum and Order filed.
And now, June 16, 1989, Defendant Owen's Preliminary Objections to the plaintiff's complaint are denied.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

June 16, 1989 Copies mailed to All Attys.

June 27, 1989 Answer and New Matter filed.

July 20, 1989 Answer To Request For Production Of Documents filed.

July 21, 1989, Reply to New Matter of Defendant Owens, filed.

July 26, 1989, Affidavit to be Attached to Plaintiffs' Reply to New Matter of Defendant Owens, filed.

Sept. 25, 1989 Appearance of Quinn, Gent, Buseck, & Leemhuis, INc. by John M. Quinn, Jr. for defendant, Robert Symons filed.

Sept. 29, 1989 Upon praecipe of T. Warren Jones his appearance forRobert Symons is hereby withdrawn.

Dec. 18, 1989 Deposition of Elaine Shreve filed.

Dec. 18, 1989 Deposition of Lyle Peterson filed.
Dec. 18, 1989 Deposition of Debra Lee filed.
Dec. 18, 1989 Partial Deposition of Dr. Robert Moyers, M.D. filed.
Dec. 18, 1989 Deposition of Barbara Horner filed.

Feb. 8, 1991, Motion to Compel Production of Expert's Report filed.

Feb. 8, 1991, Upon praecipe of defendant attorneys, above Motion placed on next argument list.
ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR MARCH 1991. (April 16, 1991 @ 9:00 am. C.R. #1)

Feb. 28, 1991, Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON APRIL 1991 TRIAL LIST

Record continued on page 528 A

Daniel A. Davis and Rebecca Davis, his wife

vs.

Meadville Medical Center, a PA Corp., Robert Symons nad Edward Owens

Record continued from page 528

of record

A.D. 1988 - 1078

****

Mar. 27, 1991 Defendants' Motion For Continuance and Order filed. AND NOW, to-wit this 26th day of March, 1991, this case is hereby continued until the June, 1991 term of court.

Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 28, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Atty's of record.

Aug. 12, 1991 Motion To Compel Answers To Interrogatories and Order filed. AND NOW, this 12th day of August, 1991, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories is granted and that Defendants shall answer INterrogatories within 20 days of the date of this Order.

Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug. 15, 1991 Copies of record mailed to Atty. Quinn, Lent and Potter

Aug. 12, 1991 NOTICE OF SERVING ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES, filed.

Aug. 16, 1991 **NOTICE OF SERVING SUPPLEMENTAL ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES**, filed.

On October 1991 list - Trial

Oct. 1, 1991 Motion For Continuance filed.

Oct. 1, 1991 Defendants' Opposition To Pl aintiff's Motion For Continuance filed.

Oct. 1, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, September 27, 1991, the matter is before the Court on a request by the Plaintiff to continue this malpractice trial scheduled for the October term of civil court. It appears that the chief expert witness retained by Plaintiff has failed to pass his Emergency Medical Services Board test and the Plaintiff postulates this puts them in a disadvantageous situation as they anticipated by the time of trial he would be Board certified. Defendants object to the continunace and postulate that it may be necessary for them to have their experts review and analyze a newly re ta ined expert's opinion.

This Court believes that the aforesaid facts might act in a prejudical manner to the Plaintiff if the trial was held in October and they were forced to use the non-certified practitioner as a witness. Accordingly, we continue this case until the February, 1992 civil term on the following conditions:

Plaintiff's counsel will notify counsel for Defendants and present them with a copy of the newly retained expert's opionion on or before December 2, 1991.

REgardless of whether the case is settled or ultimately ends up in verdict, this Court reserves the right to consider a petition from Defendants requesting that they be reimbursed for additional expenses incurred in requiring their experts to review one or more additional reports received as giving the opinion of the newly retained expert.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Oct. 2, 1991 Copies mailed to atty's Potter, Binder, Lent and Quinn and copy put in Atty. Jorden's box.

Dec. 10, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captionned action is hfereby discontinued of record.

528
B

1988

1988

Jack, Kookogey &
Felton
Robert J. Felton

1079.

GEORGE F. DRUSKO

vs.

RHONDA DRUSKO

Filed Dec. 7, 1988
@ 11:04 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co. Pd 3/27/89	9.00
State Surcharge paid	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 19, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Robert J. Felton, Esq., Attorney at Law, 144 West Spring St., Titusville, Pa. do hereby certify that, in my capacity as attorney for the plaintiff in the above-captioned matter, served a certified copy of the Divorce Complaint in this matter on Rhonda Drusko, R.D.1, Cooperstown, Pa. 16317 by sending her the same by certified mail, return receipt requested. A complaint was mailed to the defendant on Dec. 8, 1988, and receipted for a member of her residence on Dec. 9, 1988, as reflected in the receipt for mailing and the return receipt attached hereto.

Robert J. Felton

March 27, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

March 27, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

March 27, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for review under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Apr. 10, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, April 10, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that George F. Drusko plaintiff, and Rhonda Drusko, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Louis J. Stack

1080.

SPAULDING'S, INC.

vs.

JAMES HARLEY t/d/b/a
HARLEY'S MINI MARKET

Filed Dec. 7, 1988
@ 2:23 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	22.00
Pro Co Pd 3-1-89	9.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Dec. 29, 1988 Sheriff's return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Mark D. London, Dep. Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 15th day of Dec. 1988 at 11:05 a.m. he served Notice to Defend and Complaint in Civil Action at No. 1080 - 1988 ,Crawford Co. Pa., upo n defendant Harley's Mini Market at R.D.#1, Box 416 , Franklin Pa. By making known the contents thereof to James F. Harley, in person and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Said Complaint received from Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff of Crawford Co.

Mark D. London, Dep. Sheriff

So answers, Gene Price, Sheriff, Venango Co, Pa.

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Mark D. London, Dept. Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 15th day of Dec. 1988, at 11:05 a.m. he served Notice to Defend and Complaint in Civil Action filed at No. 1080, 1988, Crawford Co. Pa. upon defendant James F. Harley at R.D.# 1. Mercer Rd., Franklin, Pa. By making known the contents thereof to James F. Harley, in person and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Said Complaint received from Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff of Crawford Co.

Mark D. London, Sep. Sheriff

So answers, Gene Price, Sheriff, Venango Co., Pa.

SERVED James Harley by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango Co., Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Dec. 15, 1988

SERVED Harley's Mini Market by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango Co. Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Dec. 15, 1988

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Mar 1, 1989, Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney with Notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an Answer and the same is liquidated in the amount of Three Thousand Three Hundred Twenty-seven and 21/100 Dollars plus interest and costs of suit.

H-94, 184

Debt.....$3,327.21 + int. & costs.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Mar 2, 1989 Notice of Judgment mailed defendant.

1988

Shafer, swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
Louis J. Stack

1081.

SPAULDINGS, INC.

vs.

TERRY SANDEN AND LINDA SANDEN, INDIVIDUALLY AND t/d/b/a GULF STATION-TERRY'S

Filed Dec. 7, 1988
@ 2:29 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	34.60
Pro Co. PD 1/19/89	9.00

answer.

S-163,103,G-181
Judgment entered 1/19/89

EX 1989-26

COMPLAINT

3 copies (sheriff)

Jan. 4, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Terry Sanden on December 16, 1988 at 9:47 AM by handing a true copy ofthe within Complaint to Linda Sanden, his wife, at their residence, R.D.#2, Centerville, Crawford County, PA
SERVED Linda Sanden personally on December 16, 1988 at 9:48 AM at her residence, R.D.#2, Centerville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Gulf Station - Terry's on December 16, 1988 at 9:46 AM by serving part-owner, L inda SAnden, at her residence, R.D.#2, centerville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. HOuseholder, Deputy SHeriff
Howard N. STewart, SHeriff

Jan. 19, 1989 , 3:26 p.m., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with copy of Notice of Intention to file default judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for failure to file an answer and damages are assessed as follows:
Principal balance due, Five thousand six hundred fifty and 00/100 Dollars; plus interest and cost of suit for failure to file an

Debt $5,650.00
Interest ---
Judgment
James F.Simmons,Pro.

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

1082.

JAMES S. McCLIMANS

vs.

SHIRLEY M. McCLIMANS

Filed Dec. 7, 1988
@ 3:28 p.m.

TaX & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 4/14/89	9.00
State pd 4/14/89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

2 copies (atty)

Feb. 3, 1989 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq., Atty. for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to alw, deposes and say that I did on Dec. 12, 1988, mail to the above Defendant, Shirley M. McClimans, by certified mail #P-547 808 379, return requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received on Dec. 13, 1988, as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Atty.

Apr. 12, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 12, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr. 12, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Apr. 24, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now,April 24, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that JAMES S. McCLIMANS, Plaintiff, and SHIRLEY M. McCLIMANS, defendant are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Watts, Pepicelli, YOungs and Youngs PC
Christopher Youngs

1083.

Jorden & White
Harry F. White, II

JUDY D. TWOMBLEY

vs.

DONALD O. TWOMBLEY

Filed Dec. 7, 1988
@ 4:00 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 12-29-89	9.00 ✓
State Paid 12-29-89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Dec 20, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: Christopher J. Youngs, hereby certifies that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff Judy D. Twombley, in the within Action and that a copy of the Complaint in Divorce was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only to the Defendant, Donald O. Twombley, at Box 71X2, Town Creek, Alabama 35672 on December 7, 1988 and was received by the Defendant on December 12, 1988. The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A".

WATTS, PEPICELLI, YOUNGS & YOUNGS, PC
Christopher J. Youngs,
Attorney for Plaintiff

Nov 20, 1989 Motion to Withdraw Marital Property Claim and Order filed: AND NOW, this 20 day of November, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion to Withdraw Marital Property Claim, and in that the same has been settled by private agreement, said claim for the distribution of marital property is hereby withdrawn.

Nov 21, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. White and Youngs boxes BY THE COURT, P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov. 17, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov 17, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec 27, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Defendant's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

January 2, 1990 Marital Property Settlement and Custody Agreement filed.

Jan. 8, 1990 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 2 day of Jan. 1990, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Judy D. Twombley, Plaintiff and Donald O. Twombley, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

MARITAL PROPERTY DIVISION

1. Husband shall transfer all of his right, title and interest in the marital home situated in Richmond Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, with a mailing address of R.D. #1, Guys Mills, Pennsylvania, to Wife. Wife shall hereafter be responsible for all payments for utilities, homeowners insurance and all taxes accruing on said property. Wife shall pay to Husband the sum of Eleven Thousand Eight Hundred Dollars ($11,800.00) for Husband's conveyance of all of his right, title and interest in the aforesaid property to her.

a.) Although Husband shall transfer by appropriate quit-claim deed all of his right, title and interest in the aforesaid marital property to Wife contemporaneously with the signing of this Agreement, Wife shall not be required to pay the Eleven Thousand Eight Hundred Dollar ($11,800.00) payment to Husband until on or before June 15, 1991. This payment shall bear no interest and shall be secured by Wife granting a purchase money mortgage back to Husband contemporaneously with the Husband's execution of the deed to Wife.

b.) The aforesaid transfer of property is contingent upon Wife agreeing to cease and desist dumping refuse in a dump on the aforesaid property on or before December 31, 1989 because of possible liability problems.

c.) Wife shall deed approximately eight (8) acres of the aforedescribed property to the parties' son, Scott and approximately eight (8) acres of the same to the parties' daughter, Renee, at a mutually acceptable time in the future. Wife shall bear the cost of the same in exchange for no interest being charged on the mortgage described above.

2. Wife shall release and quit-claim any and all interest she may have in the following property now titled in Husband's name:

a.) The IBEW Local 712 Profit Sharing Plan.

b.) Certain CDs in Mellon Bank, Cambridge Springs, Pennsylvania.

c.) A certain IRA in the United Income Fund held in Mellon Bank, Cambridge Springs, Pennsylvania.

3. Husband shall release and quit-claim any and all interest he may have in a certain Ford Bronco automobile and a Chevrolet Nova automobile titled in Wife's name. Wife shall hereafter be the sole owner of the same, shall be responsible for all payments, expenses, and insurance relating to the same.

4.Wife shall release and quit-claim any and all interest she may have in a 1979 Ford pickup and a 1981 Buick automobile both currently titled in Husband's name. Husband shall continue to make all payments, pay all costs associated with the operation of the same and to pay all insurances for the same.

5. Husband shall transfer and assign all of his right, title and interest in the T.V., VCR, and all other major appliances, including the garder tractor and all attachments, to Wife. Wife shall hereafter be the sole owner of the same and she will be responsible for any expenses associated with the operation of the same.

6. Wife shall transfer and assign all of her right, title and interest to Husband in a gun cabinet, arrowhead collection and table, all hunting, fishing, trapping and camping equipment, including all guns and camping gear, all power and hand tools, including the welder and cutting torches, and the Shopsmith woodworking center with all attachments. Husband shall be the sole owner of the same and shall be responsible for all expenses related to the operation of the same.Additionally, Wife expressly agrees to allow Husband to keep all of the aforesaid items at the marital residence until such time as Husband has a place to store them. Wife additionally grants access to Husband to come upon the property to remove the same at a time to be mutually agreed upon.

Continued on page 622

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma and Schug
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

1084.

Joan D. Mason

Kathleen R. Nelson

11/27/91 Rec'd #350.00 From Plaintiff

12/30/91 Rec'd #350.00 From Defendant

BRUCE ROBERT FOUST

vs.

MARY JO SCHAFER FOUST

Filed Dec. 7, 1988
@ 4:00 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 12-29-88 James Irwin, Master	15.00 ✓
Pro.Co.pd 7/29/92	9.00
State pd 7/29/92	10.00

Pd 7-15-92 Ch#6940 to James Irwin Master Fees 225.00

Pd 7-15-92 Ch6941 to James Irwin for Reporter's Fee. 39.50

Pd Plaintiff 7-15-92 Ch 6942 217.80

Pd Deft 7-15-92 Ch 6943 $217.80

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 9, 1988 Petition for Exclusive Possession and Order filed: Order: And Now, December 9, 1988, upon presentation of Plaintiff's Petition for Exclusive Possession a hearing is scheduled on the matter for Jan. 5, 1989 at 9:30 a.m. o'clock in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House in Meadville, Pennsylvania.

By The Court:
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 12, 1988 copy of order to Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq.

Dec 23, 1988 Motion for Dismissal and Order filed: AND NOW, this 23rd day of December, 1988, upon consideration of the attached Motion for Dismissal, heariang is set for January 5, 1989, at 9:30 A.M. Court room #1 or #2, Crawford County Court-house, Meadville, Pennsylvania, at which time hearing is scheduled on the Petition for Exclusive Possession.

Per Curiam,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

12-29-88 Copies to Attys Mason & Kirkpatrick

Dec 23, 1988 Answer to Petition for Exclusive Possession filed.

Dec 23, 1988 Petition for Special Relief and Order filed: AND NOW, this 23rd day of December, 1988, upon consideration of the attached Petition for Special Relief, hearing is set for the 5 day of Jan, 1989, at 9:30 o'clock A.M., courtroom # 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

Pending hearing on said matter, Plaintiff is not to dispose of, jeopardize, encumber, give away, or borrow against any assets acquired during the marriage, including specifically, but not limited to, the Pennsylvania State Employees Credit Union account titled to him, and the real estate located at R.D.#3, Summit Township, Linesville, Pennsylvania, titled to him.

Per Curiam,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec 29, 1988 Copies of order to Joan Mason and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esqs.

Dec 28, 1988 Petition for Additional Remedies (Equitable Distribution) filed.

Dec 30, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: AND NOW, December 29, 1988, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, beign duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the Attorney for Bruce Robert Foust, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished to her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P 401 440 945, postmarked December 12, 1988, to the last known address of the Defendant, Mary Jo Schafer Foust, R.D.#3, Linesville, Pennsylvania 16424.

3. That the Defendant, Mary Jo Schafer Foust, on December 22, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

4. Service of the Complaint against the Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire.

Dec 30, 1988 Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce and Order filed:

Jan. 6, 1989 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attonrey for Plaintiff to file Bill of Particulars. Issued.

Jan. 11, 1989 Service of the foregoing Rule is hereby accepted by Plaintiff Attorney filed.

Jan 17, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, January 16, 1989, the request for exclusive possession of the marital home by the plaintiff is granted effective March 15, 1989, and the defendant shall remove herself and any non-marital property owned by her from the residence on or before that date.

The plaintiff shall be required to maintain his present account with the Pennsylvania State Employees' Credit Union in a principal balance of not less that $35,000.00 unless permitted by further Court Order to reduce it below that point, In the event, as of the date of this Order, the plaintiff has reduced the principal balance in his credit union account below that amount, he shall immediately redeposit sufficient funds to bring the balance to the minimum provided herein.

The plaintiff's motion for appointment of a Master is denied as premature without prejudice to the right of either party to renew that application at a future time.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Jan 17, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Kirkpatrick and Mason.

Jan 17, 1989 Exhibits filed with papers.

Jan 23, 1989 Bill of Particulars filed.

Mar. 27, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Sept. 26, 1989, Inventory and Appraisement of Bruce Robert Foust filed.

Nov. 1, 1991, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Nov. 6, 1991 Motion for Appoitment of Master in Divorce and Order Appointing Master filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, 11/8/91 JAMES R. IRWIN is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Equitable Distribution and Divorce.
Deposit required: By Plaintiff - $350.00 By Defendant $350.00
BY THE COURT, Gordon R. Miller J.

Nov. 18, 1991 copies to J. Irwin, M. Kirkpatrick and J. Mason

Continued on Page 534A

1988

Bruce Robert Foust

vs.

Mary Jo Schafer Foust

Record Continued from page 534

of

No. AD 1988 - 1084

Nov. 18, 1991 Appearance of Kathleen R. Nelson, attorney for defendant filed.

Feb. 6, 1992 Proof Of Service Of Notice To Produce filed.

Feb. 19, 1992 Defendant's Pre-trial Statement filed.

Feb. 19, 1992 Inventory and Appraisement of Defendant, Mary Jo Foust filed.

Feb. 20, 1992, Memorandum of Master's Pre-Trial Conference filed.

Feb. 20, 1992, Proof of Notice of Master's Hearing filed.

Mar. 10, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed

July 15, 1992 Motion To Set Master and Stenographic Fees and Order filed. AND NOW, this 7 day of July, 1992, Master's Fees and Stenographic expenses in the total sum of $264.40 are awarded to James R. Irwin, Esquire.
The Prothonotary of Crawford County is directed and ordered to pay directly to James R. Irwin, the sum of $225.00 pursuant to Exhibit"A" for his services, and $39.40 pursuant to Exhibit "B" for STenographic services. The balance of any proceeds deposited with the Prothonotary by each party which remains in the hands of the Prothonotary shall be paid as follows:
Bruce Robert Foust, 50% of balance
Mary Jo Schafer Foust, 50% of balance
All sums payable to the Master and the parties shall be paid forthwith.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

July 20, 1992 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Irwin, Nelson & Kirkpatrick

July 29, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Bruce Robert Foust, Plaintiff and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, for Review under Section 3301 (c) of the Divorce Code this case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Aug. 7, 1992 STIPULATION and FINAL DECREE filed. AND NOW, this 6 day of August, 1992 it is Ordered and Decreed that Bruce Robert Foust, Plaintiff and Mary Jo Schafer Foust, Defendant are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

It is further Ordered and Decreed as follows:

EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION - REAL ESTATE

The parties are awarded all real estate currently in their respective names. Neither of the parties shall hold any interest, right and/or title in or to the real estate titled to the other.

Further, each shall execute within ten (10) days of demand a Quit Claim Deed memorializing their relinquishment of any right title and/or interest in the other's real estate holdings.

TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY

Each of the parties shall be the sole and exclusive owner of the tangible personal property in their possession with the exception that the Defendant shall, within ten (10) days of the entry of this Decree deliver to the Plaintiff a list of personal property that the Defendant wishes to remove from the formal marital residence. Said list shall include wicker furniture, spinning wheel set, maple rolltop desk, set of Foxfire books, miscellaneous books and other items that are easily identifiable as having exclusive utility to the Defendant.

The Defendant shall have seven (7) additional days to remove the items so listed.

The parties waive any and all interest, rights or claims that they may have in and to the other's vehicles, or other tangible personal property of whatsoever kind, wheresoever situate.

INTANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY

Each of the parties shall be the sole and exclusive owner of any pension, employee benefit, profit sharing plan, individual retirement account, annuity, bank account, credit union account, certificate of deposit, money market, or other intangible personal property of whatsoever kind and wheresoever situate.

MASTER'S FEES, STENOGRAPHIC FEES AND COURT COSTS

Master's Fees, Stenographic Fees and Court Costs shall be divided by and between the parties in an equal manor surplus funds, if any, escrowed with the Prothonotary's Office of the Corut of Common Pleas and any residue remaining after the payment of stenographic fees, master's fees and court costs shall be refunded to the parties in equal amounts.

MISCELLANEOUS

Plaintiff shall pay to the Defendant the sum of Nineteen Thousand ($19,000.00) Dollars. Said payment to be made five (5) calendar days from the date of the entry of this Final Decree in Divorce.

The Plaintiff shall execute and deliver to the Defendant five (5) days after the date of the entry of this final divorce decree a Promissory Note prepared by attorney for Defendant. Said Promissory Note shall be in the amount of Five Thousand ($5,000.00) Dollars and shall be a demand note.

The Plaintiff and Defendant will sign, execute and acknowledge any and all documents necessary to implement the provisions of this Decree in Divorce.

Neither the Plaintiff nor the Defendant shall make any further claims against the other party hereto, except for the enforcement of the rights and responsibilities set forth in the Divorce Decree.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

534
B

1988

1988

May & Long
Russell R. Sanders

1085.

GENERAL MOTORS ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION, A CORPORATION

vs.

ANDREW L. WENTINK AND HELEN J. WENTINK

Filed Dec. 8, 1988
@ 11:44 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	45.25
Sheriff's Costs	35.15
Pro Co Pd 2/1/89	5.00

Pro Co Pd 1-5-89- $15.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION - REPLEVIN

Dec. 13, 1988 Motion for Writ of Seizure and ORDER Setting date for hearing of Motion for Writ of Seizure filed. AND Now, this 13 day of Dec. 1988, upon motion of May & Long and Russell R. Sanders,Esq. for Order for Writ of Seizure, a hearing is set on the said Motion for the 5th day of January, 1989, at 10:30 A.M. o'clock, Courtroom No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA. a copy of this Order to be served upon Defendants not less than twenty-four (24) hours before said hearing.

By The Court:
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 15, 1988 Copy of Order to Atty. Russell Sanders.

Dec. 13, 1988 Petition For Examination of Defendants and ORDER filed. And Now, Dec. 13, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition upon motion of May & Long and Russell R. Sanders, Esq., attorneys for Plaintiff, It is Ordered that Defendants, Andrew L. Wentink and Helen J. Wentink, appear before this Court, Courtroom No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. at 10:30 A.M., o'clock, on January 5, 1989, to be examined orally and under oath, and there to answer as to the whereabouts of the property sought to be replevied in this case.

By The Court:
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 15, 1988 Copy of Order to Atty. Russell Sanders.

Jan. 5, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Andrew L. Wentink on December 29, 1988 at 3:30 PM by handing a true copies of the Complaint, Motion, Notice, and Petition to Helen Wentink, his mother, with whom he resides at 259 Lebhaft, Espyville, Crawford County,PA 16414.
So answers, E. RalphCopeland,Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 5, 1989 Affidavit Of Service filed. BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, a Notary Public, personally appeared, FRANCI SHARP, who, being duly sworn accor ding to law, deposes and says that on december 19, 1988, she did, via "Certified Mail, Return receipt Requested," and by regular U.S. Mail, personally cause to be served on ANDREW L. WENTINK, and HELEN J. WENTINK, Defendants herein, true and correct copies of the following: Complaint, Notice of Hearing, Petition for Examination and Motion for Writ of Seizure.

Franci Sharp

Jan. 5, 1989 Notice Of Hearing For Seizure Of Property filed.

Jan. 5, 1989 Order For Writ of Seizure filed. AND NOW, this 5th dayof Jan., 1988, upon motion of MAY & LING and RUSSELL R. SANDERS,ESQ., attorneys for Plaintiff, for a Writ of Seisure, and after hearing held on this motion, it appearing to the Court that a Writ of Seisure should be issued under the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure, IT IS ORDERED that a Writ of Seisure be issued for one 1986 Chevrolet Cavalier, Serial No. 1G1JD69P8G7119226. Bond to be filed in sum of $12,400.00 in Form provided byRules of civil procedure.

BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J

Jan. 10, 1989 Copy of order to Russell Sanders

Jan. 5, 1989 Replevin Bond filed.

Jan. 17, 1989 Writ Return filed. SERVED Andrew L. Wentink personally on January 16, 1989 at 10:53 AM at his residence, 259 Lebhaft St., Espyville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Helen J. Wentink personally on January 16, 1989 at 10:52 AM at her residence, 259 Lebhaft St., Espyville, Crawford County, PA.
The 1986 Chevrolet Cavalier, Serial No. IGIJD69P8G7119226 was towed to Exxon Station, Harmonsburg, Crawford County, PA by Smitty's Towing Service, formerly Vernon Motors.
So answers,

E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 1, 1989, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is discontinued of record.

1988

Louis P. Vitti
Louis P. Vitti & Assoc.

1086.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
Louis J. Stack

pro se for Lillian Johnson

FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION, TRUSTEE, ASSIGNEE OF FIRST INVESTMENT COMPANY

vs.

FREDRICK CHRIS JOHNSON AND LILLIAN S. JOHNSON, HIS WIFE
Theresa A. Johnson, party defendant

Filed Dec. 8, 1988
@ 11:49 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
sheriffs costs	25.00
sheriffs costs	24.40
Pro Co pd 10/13/89	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Dec 22, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Fredrick Chris Johnson, personally, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure to him on December 15, 1988 at 12:24 P.M. at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.
NOT FOUND, as to within named defendant, Lillian S. Johnson. Moved to 2012 Marlindale Dr., Cleveland Heights, Ohio 44118.
So answers, Edward Meitus, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan 19, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure reinstated by adding Party Defendant.

Jan 19, 1989 Amended Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure filed.

Feb. 8, 1989, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED by mailing by certified mail Jan. 23, 1989, a copy of the Complaint and Amended Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure to Lillian S. Johnson, 2012 Marlindale Drive, Cleveland Heights, Ohio 44118, the return receipt attached to return.
Theresa A. Johnson deceased as of Dec. 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

Feb. 8, 1989 Appearance of Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack, by Louis J. Stack, Attorney for Defendant Frederick Chris Johnson filed.

Feb. 23, 1989, Answer of defendant Lillian S. Johnson, filed. 2 copies (dft.)

July 18, 1989, Motion for Summary Judgment filed.

July 18, 1989, Affidavit in Support of Summary Judgment filed.

July 18, 1989, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, above case placed on next argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR AUGUST 1989

Oct 4, 1989 Order of Court filed: AND NOW, to-wit, this 3 day of October, 1989, upon consideration of Plaintiffs, Motion for Summary Judgment and after argument thereon, it is hereby Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed that said motion is granted and judgment in mortgage foreclosure hereby entered for the Plaintiff for the amount due on the mortgage as of July 10, 1989, itemized as follows:

Unpaid Principal Balance	$41,032.71
Interest 1/1/88 thru 7/10/89 at 9.5%	$ 5,948.59
Unpaid Late Charges	$ 401.24
Attorney's Fee at 5%	$ 2,051.64
Escrow Deficit	$2,987.71
TOTAL DUE	$52,987.71

The parties furth consent that the damages may be reassessed prior to the sale which will be scheduled for December 1989, by the office of the Sheriff. The parties may reduce or increase the judgment amount by consent, or, being unable to consent may request and schedule hearing upon affidavit, depostion or direct testimony for the sole purpose of determining the amount prior to the December Sale.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J/

Consent by:
LOUIS J. STACK
LOUIS P. VITTI
BY Louis J. Stack permission to sign given by telephone
Oct 5, 1989 Copies of order mailed to Louis Vitti, Esq. and Lillian Johnson and copy put in Atty. Stack's box.

And Now, Oct. 13, 1989 at 1:56 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant by reducing Summary Judgment to Judgment and the damages are assessed at the sum of Fifty-two Thousand Four Hundred twenty-one and 89/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

J-217-225-205
judgment entered 10/13/89
notice of entry of judgment mailed 10/18/89

Debt $52,421.89
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Ex 1989-106

Jan. 8, 1993 Affidavit of Fredrick Chris Johnson filed.

1988

R. Charles Thomas
Bozic and Thomas
1087.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug
John B. Brautigam

MARK B. THOMAS

vs.

MORGAN MILLARD; KEITH MILLARD AND PAT MILLARD, DRIVER PARENTS

Filed Dec. 8, 1988
@ 3:02 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓

Pro.Co. pd 3/31/93 9.00 ✓

APPEAL OF PLAINTIFF FROM JUDGMENT OF WAYNE E. HANSON, DISTRICT MAGISTRATE

Dec. 12, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

Dec 14, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by John B. Brautigam, Esq. for defendants filed.

Dec. 28, 1988, Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

Jan. 12, 1989 Answer and New Matter of Defendants Millards filed.

Feb. 14, 1989, Reply to Defendants' New Matter filed.

Feb. 4, 1993 Petition for Judgment of Non Pros and Order filed. And Now, this 2nd day of February, 1993, in consideration of Defendants' Petition for Judgment of Non Pros, a rule is hereby entered upon Plaintiff to show cause, if any he has, why judgment of non pros should not be entered. Said rule is returnable on the 26th day of March, 1993, at 9:00 o'clock a.m., in Court Room 1 or 2.

By The Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Feb. 5, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Thomas & Brautigam

Mar. 24, 1993 Order filed. AND NOW, March 26, 1993, at the time set for hearing and argument with respect to the rule entered upon the Plaintiff to show cause why Defendant's petition for judgment of non pros should not be granted, only the attorney for the defendants appears. Essentially, he indicates that this is a property damage case and that nothing has occurred since the Plaintiff replied to Defendants' new matter on February 8, 1989.

The Defendants cite the 1992 Pennsylvania Supreme Court ruling in Penn Piping, Inc. v. Insurance Co. of Neoth America, Pa. _____, 603 A.2d 1006 (1992) which authorizes the entry of a judgment of non pros where a party has shown a lack of due diligence by failing to proceed with reasonable promptitude; there is no compelling reason for the delay; and the delay has caused prejudice to the adverse party. That case also held that when the period of delay is two years or more the delay will be assumed prejudicial.

It appears that all of the required elements have been met and after the argument the attorney for the Plaintiff called our Chambers and indicated he did not intend to contest this action insofar as his client has disappeared and he has not had any contact with him for some time.

It therefore appears that it would be appropriate to give the Defendants the relief that they seek and accordingly, the Defendants' petition for a judgment of non pros is granted and the Prothonotary shall enter a judgment of non pros against the Plaintiff upon praecipe of the Defendants.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Mar. 26, 1993 Copies dist. to Thomas & Brautigam

And Now, Mar. 31, 1993 at 4:08 PM upon Praecipe of Defendant's Attorney, John B. Brautigam, Esquire, judgment of Non Pros is hereby entered against the plaintiff for failure to file complaint.

T-232

Judgment of Non Pros
Barbara H. King
Prothonotary

1988

1088.

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

CYNTHIA ZAMIER

Filed Dec. 8, 1988

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976
(Sections 304 and 305)

Dec. 14, 1988 Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 14 December, 1988, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Cynthia Zamier be committed to Adolescent Unit, Eastern State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days. This order shall take effect when arrangements can be made for the transfer of the patient.

By The Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 14, 1988 Copy to WSH, MH/MR and Stephen E. Hall, Atty.

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

1089.

IN RE:

HALLE MARIE GRIEP
DOB May 11, 1974

and

TRACEY AILEEN GRIEP
DOB Dec. 17, 1972

Filed Dec. 8, 1988
@ 3:51 p.m.

Ta x & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Pro Co Pd 1/20/89 9.00 ✓

PETITION (CHANGE OF NAME)

PRELIMINARY ORDER:
AND NOW, December 8, 1988, upon consideration of the within petition, it is ordered and decreed that a hearing be held on the 20 day of Jan. 1989 at 10:30 a.m. in C. R. 1 or 2, and notice of the filing of the within petition and of the date of hearing be published in the Titusville Herald, The Crawford CountyLegal Journal once and in The Erie Times once each week for three successive weeks.

Robert L. Walker, J.
Dec. 9, 1988 copy of order to A. Gamble, Esq.

Dec. 29, 1988 Affidavit of Mailing filed. Ann Hansen Gamble, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and that on December 21, 1988, she sent a copy of the Petition and Order and an Acceptance of Service and a Consent to the Defendant by regular mail, to John M. Griep, 938 E. 30th Street, Erie, Pennsylvania 16504, the Defendant's last known address. Ann Hanson Gamble

Jan. 20, 1989, Proof of Publication of Titusville Herald filed.

JaN.20, 1989, Proof of Publication of Crawford County Legal Journal filed.

Jan. 20, 1989, Proof of Publication of Notice of Erie Morning News, filed.

Jan. 20, 1989, Acceptance of Service filed. I, John M. Griep, hereby certify that I have received Petition for change of name in the above matter on Dec. 30, 1988.
John M. Griep.

Jan. 20, 1989, Consent to change of names, of John M. Griep, filed.

Jan. 20, 1989, Certificate of Liens of Prothonotary filed.

Jan. 20, 1989, Certificate of Liens of Recorder of Deeds filed.

Jan. 20, 1989, ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 20 day of Jan. 1989, upon hearing of the within petition, and upon motion of Ann Hansen Gamble, attorney for the petitioner and upon presentation of proof of publication of notice as required by law together with proof that there are no judgments or decrees of record or any other matter of like effect against the petitioner and upon presentation of consent of natural father and it appearing that there is no legal objection to the granting of the prayer of petition, it is ORDERED that the name of the Petitioner be and hereby is changed from Tracy Aileen Griep to Tracey Aileen Dymond. Robert L. Walker,
1 copy (atty) Judge

Jan. 20, 1989 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 20th dayof Jan. 1989, upon hearing of the within Petition, and upon motion of Ann Hansen Gamble, attorney for the petitioner and upon presentation of proof of publication of notice as required by law together with proof that there are no judgments or decrees of record or any other matter of like effect against the petitioner and upon presentation of consent of natural father and it appearing that there is no legal objection to the granting of the prayer of petition, it is ORDERED that the name of the Petitioner be and is hereby changed from Halle Marie Griep to Halle Marie Dymond.
1 copy (atty) Robert L. Walker,
Judge

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs PC
Theodore H. Watts

1090.

IN RE:

ROBERT ARTHUR GRIESHABER,
a/k/a ROBERT GRAYSON,
and
JANET MARVIN, a/k/a
JANET GRAYSON

Filed Dec. 8, 1988
@ 3:58 p.m.

Tax & entry Pd 12/14/88 $40.50 ✓
Proof Fd 2/13/89 9.00 ✓

PETITION FOR CHANGE OF NAME

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 8th day of December 1988, after consideration of the within petition, the matter of the change of name of Robert Arthur Grieshaber, a/k/a Robert Arthur Grayson, and Janet Marvin, a/k/a Janet Grayson, is hereby set for hearing on Jan. 20, 1989 at 9:30 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2. The petitioners are hereby directed to publish notice of the filing of this petition and of the date set for heairng once in a newspaper of general circulation in this county and once in the Crawford county Legal Journal.

By the Court,
Robert L. walker, J.

Dec. 9 1988 copy to T. Watts, Esq.

Jan 20, 1989 Decree filed: AND NOW, this 20th day of January, 1989, after Notice by Publication and hearing, it appearing that no objections have been filed to the petition of Robert Arthur Grieshaber and Janet Marvin for change of name to Robert Arthur Grayson and Janet Grayson, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that henceforth, Robert Arthur Grieshaber and Janet Marvin shall be known as Robert Arthur Grayson and Janet Grayson.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Jan 20, 1989 Copies of order to Attys Watts.

Jan. 20, 1989 Proof of Publication in the Crawford County Legal Journal filed.

Jan. 20, 1989 Proof of Publication in the Meadville Tribune filed.

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs
Theodore H. Watts

1091.

R. Charles Thomas

PAULA M. COULTER

vs.

WILLIAM G. COULTER

Filed Dec. 8, 1988
@ 4:00 p.m.

Taxx & entry 12/14/88 $40.50
Sheriff's costs 43.56

PETITION FOR RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

ORDER GRANTING RELIEF:

AND NOW, this 8th day of Dec. 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing Petition for Protection From Abuse, and after ex parte hearing thereon, the court finds that there exists immediate and present danger of abuse to the plaintiff. It is hereby ordered that :

1. Defendant is prohibited from abusing plaintiff;
2. Defendant is prohibited from contacting or attempting to contact or otherwise communicate with plaintiff; and
3. Defendatn is prohibited from entereng or trespassing from the premises of plaintiff's residence, whereever that may be.

FURTHER, it is ordered that a hearing on the foregoing Petition shall be held on Dec. 13, 1988, at 10:30 a.m. in C. R. No. 2, Crawford county courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

Service of the order upon defendant shall be made by the following means: Personal service.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 12, 1988 copy of order to T. watts, Esq.

Dec. 13, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED William G. Coulter personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Petition and Order for Protection From Sbuse to him on Dec. 12, 1988, at 6:20 PM at his residence, 163 S. Second St. Conneaut Lake Crawford County, Pa.

So answers,

John Wilkenson, Conneaut Lake Police
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec 15, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, December 13, 1988, the defendant having been served in this matter in the afternoon of December 12 and not having had a real opportunity to consult with counsel, he has requested a continuance and the plaintiff has no objection to that continuance. This case is therefore CONTINUED to December 28, 1988 at 11:30 o'clock a.m., Courtroom No. 2, Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

The temporary Order of December 8, 1988 is continued in full force and effect with the following exceptions:

The defendant may go to the marital residence on December 25, 1988, between the hours of 12:30 p.m. and 3:00 o'clock p.m. for the purpose of a Christmas visit with his children. Under no circumstances shall the defendant engage in any type of altercation, physical or verbal, with the plaintiff during that visit.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Dec 16, 1988 Copies of order to Attys Watts and Thomas.

Dec 29, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, December 28, 1988, after hearing, the following Order is entered:

1. The plaintiff and the parties' three children shall have the exclusive use of the marital residence, with the understanding that the defendant may arrange for third parties to obtain personal property, records or other things used by him in connection with his business which are stored at the marital premises.
2. The defendant shall not abuse, harass or threated to abuse the plaintiff at any time.
3. The defendant has indicated that he is attending alcohol counseling sessions and the Court urges him to continue in that regard.
4. The defendant has indicated a willingness to undertake marriage counseling and it is urgently recommended that the plaintiff participate therein although any meetings for marriage counseling shall not be used as a vehicle for any time of harrassment.
5. The defendant shall have such reasonable visitation with his three daughters as may ge agreed upon by the parties; but in the event no such agreement is reached, he shall have the right to take the children with him each Sunday afternoon from 1:30 P.M. to 6:00 o'clock p.m. for such visit. This visitation provision shall be superseded if a custody or visitation Order is entered after the appropriate proceedings.
6. The defendant shall pay the record costs in this proceeding.
7. This Order shall continue in full force and effect for a period of five (5) months unless other wise ordered by the Court.
8. The Pennsylvania State Police or any other Police organization having jurisdiction have the right to enforce this Order if necessary in accordance with the Protection from Abuse Act.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge.

Jan 4, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Watts and Thomas and County Control.

542

1988

Phillips & GaLANTER
Robert A. Galanter

1092.

BANK ONE OF CLEVELAND, NA

vs.

WILLIAM J. YOUNG

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Dec. 29, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED William J. Young personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy ofthe within Complaint to him on December 14, 1988 at 11:10 AM at his residence, R.D.#4, Box 289, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County,PA
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 25, 1989, Plaintiff's Bill of Costs filed.

Filed Dec. 9, 1988
@ 11:26 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	25.00
Pro Co Pd 1/25/89	9.00
Plaintiffs costs	30.00

And Now, Jan. 25, 1989, at 10:41 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with non military service affidavit and default notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer, in the sum of Four Thousand Five Hundred and 91/100 Dollars; includes int. from Sept. 26, 1985 on $3,750.76 of $750.15.
Judgment entered - 1/25/89
Y - 206

Debt ----$4,500.91
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Jan. 26, 1989, Notice of entry of Judgment mailed to defendant.

1988

Dennis Kuftic
Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

1093.

Joan D. Mason
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

TERRY J. CONFER

vs.

CORINNE S. CONFER

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OR 201(d) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

June 14, 1989, Petition for Additional Remedies (Custody) filed. 3 copies (atty)

June 14, 1989, Petition for Additional Remedies (Equitable Distribution) filed. 2 copies (atty)

Sept. 13, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 9, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Nov. 28, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Filed Dec. 12, 1988
@ 8:51 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 6/14/89	30.00 ✓
William Pineo, Mediator	137.00
Pro Co Pd 3/26/90	9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 6-8-90	$9.00 ✓
State Pd 6/6/90	10.00
Pro Co Pd 5-29-92	9.00

3/26/90 Recd $68.50 From Plaintiff
Recd $68.50
4-4-90
Vivian Stoltz
3/5/91 Recd. $68.50 From Defendant
Recd 3-1-91
$68.50
Vivian Stoltz

Received from Left 5-29-92 Mediation Cash $188.50

Pd 6-25-92
CC Com. 188.50
Incl fees
Ck #690

Dec 29, 1989 Petition for Modification of Custody Agreement and Order filed: AND NOW, to-wit, this 29th day of Dec., 1989, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the Master, WILLIAM PINEO at a time to be set by the Master in the Crawford County Courthouse with the child custody Master as required by Rule 31(E) of the Court of Commons Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania.
Robert L. Walker J.

Jan 3, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. Pineo, Thomas and Mason's boxes. copy mailed to Atty. Kuftic.

Jan. 3, 1990 Certificate of Service filed. I hereby certify that a copy of the Petition for Modification of Custody Agreement was mailed to the Respondent's counsel of record, Joan D. Mason, at her office address, 973 Liberty St. Meadville, Pa. 16335, by first class mail, postage paid on Jan. 2, 1990.
Dennis G. Kuftic, Esq.

Feb 28, 1990 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 26 day of February, 1990, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Legal and physical custody of the subject minor children is hereby awarded to their mother, Corinne S. Confer.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children. Each party shall keep the other informed of his or her telephone number and address.

3. The father shall have the temporary physical custody and the right of visitation with the children on alternate Sundays, beginning with February 25, 1990, from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.. In addition, he shall have one other period per week which may occur either on a weekday evening from 4:00 p.m. to 7:30 p.m., or on Saturdays from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., depending upon his work schedule and matters of his own convenience. The father shall call the mother by 7:00 p.m. Friday of each week to tell her when he wishes to exercise his visitation. If no call is made, the mother is free to assume that visitation is not desired but the Court encourages the father in the strongest possible terms to exercise all of his visitation rights for the benefit of his children.

4. If the father is able to secure proper quarters for himself, he may notify the mother that he wishes to exercise visitation on alternate weekends. If he does so, the alternate Sunday visitations shall be changed to to be an alternate weekend visitation which shall run from Friday at 6:00 p.m. or Saturday at 10:00 A.m., depending upon the father's work schedule, until Sunday at 6:00 P.M..

5. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

The major holidays are defined as Easter, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving, Christmas and New Years Day, the holiday periods shall be divided in two with the first running from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. and the second running from 2:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.. The father shall have temporary physical custody and visitation on the first period for Easter, the second period for Thanksgiving, and the first period for Christmas and the second period for New Years Day. The parties shall thereafter alternate these periods so that the party having the morning of the holiday in one year shall have the afternoon on the holiday in the next year. For the holidays of Memorial Day and Labor Day, the visitation p;eriods shall begin at 10:00 a.m. and end at 9:00 p.m.. The mother shall have the children for Memorial Day each year and the father for Labor Day each year. The Independence Day visitation period shall begin at 10:00 a.m. and end at 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch fireworks displays. The children shall visit with their father for Independence Day, 1990, with their mother for Independence Day, 1991, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday. Holiday visitation shall take precedence over the other provisions of this Order relating to custody and visitation periods.

6. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said Arrangements shall be by mutual consent only in the absence of which this order shall govern.

7. If either party has to cancel a scheduled visitation or one which has been arranged as an alternative or additional visitation, the the cencelling party shall notify the other party as soon as he or she is aware of the circumstances which compel a cancellation and the parties shall arrange an alternative visitation. If the father is to b e late for a scheduled visitation, then he is to call the mother as soon as he knows of circumstances which will make him late. If the father has not appeared in the exercise of his visitation rights within one-half hour of the scheduled time and shall not have called the mother to alert her, the mother may consider that the visitation has been cancelled and shall be under no obligation to offer alternative visitation.

8. The father shall bear the responsibility for transportation in the exercise of his visitation and custodial rights.

9. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love, respect and obedience which is due a paretn fro his or her children.

10. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children.

11. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

12. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

Continued on page 629

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

1094.

ELIZABETH U. POLON

vs.

HARRY A. POLON

Filed Dec. 12, 1988
@ 8:51 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 7-20-89 9.00 ✓
State Paid 7-20-89 10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

June 15, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

July 19, 1989 Affidavit of Service of Complaint in Divorce filed: R. CHARLES THOMAS, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, dposes and says that on December 12, 1988, he sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce, properly endorsed with the Notice to Defend, field in the above action to the Defendant, Harry A. Polon, at Defendant's last known address of 67 Riverwalk Dr., Winsted, Connecticut 06098, by Certified Mail No. P414 739 068, postage prepaid, restricted delivery, return receipt requested, and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as December 17, 1988.

BOZIC & THOMAS
R. Charles Thomas,
Attorneys for Plaintiff

July 19, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 19, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Aug. 1, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, August 1, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Elizabeth U. Polon, plaintiff, and Harry A. Polon, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis E. Blystone

1095.

PENNBANK

vs.

HARRY L. FOUST

Filed Dec. 12, 1988
@ 2:31 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

Sheriff's Costs 19.30
Pro Co pd 1/30/89 9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

Dec 30, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Harry L. Foust within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on December 14, 1988 at 11:50 A.M. at his place of employment, Harmony Forged, Harmonsburg, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And NOw, Jan. 30, 1989 at 2:43 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorey, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the Defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Six Thousand Seventy and 67/100 plys interest and cost of suit.
F-85 Debt $6,070.67
judgment entered 1/30/89 Int. from Dec. 13, 1989
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX 1989-35

June 5, 1989 This Judgment Satisfied ✓
(see papers filed)

1988

William E. Barney

1096.

Thomas D. Heberle

CORRY SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION

vs.

Thomas D. Heberle
~~PATRICIA A. TICE~~,
ADMINISTRATRIX OF THE ESTATE OF WALTER LAWRENCE FARRELL a/k/a WALTER L. FARRELL, DECEASED, AND PATRICIA A. TICE, HEIR AT LAW OF WALTER LAWRENCE FARRELL a/k/a WALTER L. FARRELL

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (atty)

Jan. 4, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Patricia A. Tice, Administratrix, personally by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on December 16, 1988 at 10:20 AM at her residence, 155 Blakeslee Street, Spartansburg, Crawford County, PA. SERVED Patricia A. Tice, heir at law, personally by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on December 16, 1988 at 10:31 AM at her residence, 155 Blakeslee ST., Spartansburg, Crawford County,PA
So answers, Edgar D. HOuseholder, Deputy Sheriff
HOward N. STewart, Sheriff

Feb. 8, 1989 Statement of Substitution filed.

Filed Dec. 12, 1988
@ 3:29 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 33.10
ProCo Pd. 3/8/89 9.00

Mar. 8, 1989, @ 3:12 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor ofthe plaintiff and against the defendants for want of an answer, damages are assessed in the amount of Twenty Thousand Eight Hundred Thirty Five and 42/100 Dollars, plus atty. fee of $1,041.77 and interest from Nov. 30, 1988 at rate of 12% per annum and costs.
Judgment entered 3/8/89
H - 164
F - 174
T - 136

Debt ---$20,835.42
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

March 9, 1989, Notice of Entry of Judgment mailed to defendants.

EXECUTION 1989- 31

1988

William J. Gregg

1097.

Gary Fabian

2/21/89 Recd $41.00 From Plaintiff
2/28/89 Recd $41.00 From Defendant

Recd 3-1-89
$41.00
Vivian Stolby

Recd 3-1-89
$41.00
Vivian Stolby

MICHAEL S. GEMBLE

vs.

NANCY MARIE GEMBLE

Filed Dec. 12, 1988
@ 3:38 p.m.

Ta x & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

William Pineo, Mediator 82.00
Pmt Rec'd 2/21/89 9.00 ✓

PETITION FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, NaNCY Marie Gemble, have been sued in court to obtain custody of the children, Sarah M. Gemble and Rebecca Marie Gemble.

You are ordered to appear in person at the time and place selected by WILLIAM PINEO, CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody, or visitation may be entered against you, or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer, or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Prothonotary's Office, Meadville, Pa. 16335, Telephone 814 724-4636.
Dated: Dec. 13, 1988 BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb 2, 1989 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 2nd day of February, 1989, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.

2. Pending the mother's planned move to Florida, she shall have primary physical custody. The father shall have primary physical custody of his children from June 1, 1989 throught the following August 15 and the mother shall have physical custody from August 15 through one week after the close of the children's school session at their new home. For summers following 1989, the father shall have primary physical custody from a period beginning one week after the close of the children's school session for the summer vacation until two weeks before school reopens in the fall. The mother shall have primary physical custody during the scchool year. The party receiving the children shall pay for the transportation costs.

For 1989, the father shall have visitation for Easter weekend from Friday through Sunday. The parties shall arrange the details of transportation.

4. Following the mother's move to Florida, the father shall have visitation during the children's summer vacation from school and the parties shall arrange transportation.

5. Each party shall provide the other with their telephone numbers and addresses. The party not having primary physical custody shall have the right to telephone the children at reasonable time and for reasonable lengths of time and the parties are directed to arrange for reasonalbe telephone visitations.

6. Whenever one party is in the vicinity of the other's residence, he or she will have the right to visit with the children, provided that he or she has given the other party reasonable advance notice.

7. Each party shall share with the other party any and all information which he or she may have regarding the children's lives. This shall include photocopies of report cards. Health care and school personnel are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which said party may have regarding said children except that which either may may have legally requested be kept confidential from anyone.

8. Each party shall teach the children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation.

9. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements regarding visitation and custody and are encouraged to do so if that would be convenient and proper. Said arrangements must be by mutual agreement only.

10. Costs and fees of this Mediation which are fixed at $82.00, are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

11. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from the date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb. 2, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Gregg, Fabian, Pineo, and Plaintiff and Defendant.

Feb. 9, 1989 Motion For Technical Correction, Certification of Service and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 9th day of Feb., 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the same is hereby granted.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb. 10, 1989 Copy of Order to ATtys. William Gregg, Gary Fabian and William Pineo.

Feb. 10, 1989 AMENDED TEMPORARY ORDER filed. And now, this 9th day of Feb., 1989, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minro children.

2. Pending the mother's planned move to Florida, she whll have primary physical custody. The fatehr shall have the right of visitation on alternate weekends from Friday afternoon through Sunday afternoon. Since the mother now lives in Johnstown and therefore must share the transportation, they shall agree on a place to meet approximately midway between Conneaut Lake and Johnstown at which to pick up and deliver the children and shall also arrange the time of meeting. The alternate weekend visitation shall begin with the weekend of February 10, 1989.

3. It is contemplated that the mother shall be moving to Florida before the beginning of summer. The father shall have primary physical custody of his children from June 1, 1989 through the following August 15 and the mother shall have physical custody from August 15 through one week after the close of the children's school session at their new home. For summers following 1989, the father shall have primary physical custody from a period beginning one week after the close of the children's school session for the summer vacation until two weeks before school reopens in the fall. The mother shall have primary physical custody during the school year. The party receiving the children shall pay for the transportation costs.

For 1989, the father shall have visitation for Easter weekend from Friday through Sunday. The parties shall arrange the details of transportation.

Continued on Page 604

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
Louis J. Stack

1098.

Bradley H. Foulk

Terry Parsons, pro se

SPAULDING'S, INC.

vs.

FRANK ROMEO, INDIVIDUALLY, AND d/b/a T & R VENDING
defendants

Terry Parsons, additional dft.

Filed Dec. 12, 1988
@ 4:21 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 48.00
Pro Se Pd 5.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Dec. 29, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Roger Gunesch, deputy who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 16th day of December, 1988 at 11:30 A.M., he served Frank Romeo, Individually, filed at No. Term, 19, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Frank Romeo at 428 West 18th Street, Erie County, Erie, Pennsylvania. By making kno;wn the contents thereof to Frank Romeo and hadning to and leaving with him the certified copy ofthe Complaint received from Crawford County.
So answers, Roger Runesch, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Roger Gunesch, Deputy who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that onthe 16th day of December, 1988, at 11:30 a.m., he served Complaint, filed at No. Term, 19, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant T & R Vending at 428 West 18th Street, Erie County, Erie, Pennslylvania. By making known the contents thereof to Frank Romeo, President and handing to and leaving with Frank Romeo, the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford County.

Roger Gunesch, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff

SERVED Frank Romeo within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, PA as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Dec. 16, 1988.
SERVED T & R Vending within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, PA as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Dec. 16, 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 29, 1988 Answer and New Matter filed.

Feb. 1, 1989, Complaint Against Additional Defendant Terry Parsons, filed. 2 copies (atty)

Feb. 1, 1989, Reply to New Matter filed.

Feb. 15, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, James R. Haskins, Deputy Sheriff of Erie Co., do hereby state under oath that on Feb. 1, 1989 at 12:30 p.m., I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint Against Additional Defendant in the above captioned matter. Said Complaint was served on additional defendant, Terry Parsons, identified by me and a social security card presented by Terry Parsons, Social Security number 179-50-1395 at 2817 Pine Ave., Erie, PA.

Apr. 12, 1989 Answers to Complaint Against Additioanl Defendant filed.

Sept 7, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney this case is placed on the October 1989 Trial list.

OCTOBER 1989 - TRIAL LIST

Oct. 2, 1989 Order Setting Nonjury Trial filed. And now, September 29, 1989, nonjury trial in the above captioned matter is hereby set for November 1, 1989, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Oct. 3, 1989 Copy of order put in Louis Stack's box and copies mailed to Bradley Foulk and terry Parsons.

Oct. 19, 1989 Motion For Judgment on the Pleadings filed.

Oct. 19, 1989 Order filed. And now, October 19, 1989, we find the Defendant's above referenced motion against the Additional Defendant was filed intimely under PA.R.C.P. 1034(a) and, accordingly, the motionis denied. Nonjury trial has been heretofore set for November 1, 1989, at 9:00 a.m.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Oct. 20, 1989 Copy of order put in Louis Stack's box and copies mailed to Bradley Foulk and Terry Parsons

Nov. 1, 1989 Motion for Continuance and Order filed: AND NOW, this 1 day of Nov., 1989, it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED that a continuance is granted until Feb. 1, 1990 at 9:30 A.M.
P. R. Thomas, J.

Nov 1, 1989 copies of order put in Atty. Stack's box and copies mailed to Atty Foulk and add'l deft. Parsons.

On February 1990 Trial List
On April 1990 Trial List

April 3, 1990 ORDER filed. AND NOW, April 2, 1990, at the call of the trial list, this nonjury case was reported as being settled between the Plaintiff and original Defendant. ~~There apparently is a reimbursement claim by original Defendant.~~ There apparently is a reimbursement claim by original Defendant against Additional Defendant that has not been resolved. Accordingly, this case between Defendant and Additional Defendant will be scheduled for nonjury trial by the Court Administrator.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

April 5, 1990 Copy of Order to Attys. Louis J. Stack, Bradley H. Foulk.

May 29, 1990 Upon Praecipe of Attorney for Romeo & T & R Vending, this case is marked settled and discontinued with regard to the matter of Frank Romeo vs. Terry Parson.

Cont on margin On October 1990 Trial List
On February 1991 Trial List

Jan. 25, 1991 Order of Discontinuance filed. This case has been continually on the trial list and counsel for the Plaintiff has repeatedly advised the Court Administrator that the matter is settled as far as they are conerned as their interest have been assigned to Defendant Romeo. We note the records in this case reflect that on May 29, 1990, counsel for Romeo filed a Praecipe to mark the case settled and discontinued inter se between the defendants.
ACCORDINGLY, this Court concludes there are no remaining issues to be tried in this nonjury case and we direct the same to be marked settled discontinued and removed from the february 1991 Trial List of nonjury cases.
DATED: January 24, 1991.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.
Jan. 28, 1991 Copies mailed to Bradley foulk and Terry Parsons and copy put in Louis Stack's box.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Louis J. Stack

1099.

SPAULDING'S, INC.

vs.

LEO HAYES, INDIVIDUALLY AND FORMERLY d/b/a LEO'S MINI MART

Filed Dec. 12, 1988
@ 4:21 p.m.

Ta x & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	37.62
Pro Co Pd 1-19-89	9.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Dec. 29, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Leo Hayes, Individually and formerly d/b/a Leo's Mini Mart by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer County, PA, as per his affidavit of service attached.
SERVED: Dec. 16, 1988.
Before me, the undersigned authority personally appeared Ronald L. Clark, Chief Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 16th day of December, 1988, at 8:45 a.m., he served complaint, filed at No. 1099 A.D. Term, 1988, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Leo Hayes, Individually and formerly d/b/a Leo's Mini Mart at 43 Coal Hill Road, Greenville, Pennsylvania 16125 By making known the contents thereof to Tom Hayes, adult family member with whom he resides and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the received from
So answers, Ronald L. Clark, C hief Deputy Sheriff
William H. Romine, Jr. Sheriff
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Jan. 19, 1989 @ 3:25 P.M., Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to file an answer and the same is liquidated in the amount of One Thousand One Hundred Thirty-one and 96/100 Dollars plus interest and cost of suit.
H-106, 186 Debt.....$1,131.96 + Costs & Int.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary
Jan 20, 1989 Notice of judgment mailed defendants.

EX/1989-49

550

1988

Bernstein & Bernstein
Nicholas D. Krawec

1100.

BELL ATLANTIC TRICON LEASING CORPORATION, ASSIGNEE OF INTEGRITY CREDIT CORPORATION

vs.

PAUL MEDRICK

Filed Dec. 13, 1988
@ 11:20 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Pro Co Pd 2/9/89	9.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Jan. 4, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Paul Medrick on December 28, 1988 at 4:04 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Agnes Medrick, his wife, at their residence, 1 Hollis Road, Meadville Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy SHeriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 9, 1989, at 10:56 a.m. And now, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with verification and copy of default notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, for want of an answer, in the amount of Three Thousand Nine hundred Twenty and 03/100 Dollars, plus interest from 11/22/87 to 2/6/89 on $3,920.03 of $284.20.
Judgment entered - 2/9/89
M - 134 Debt ------$3,920.03
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons, Pro

Feb;. 9, 1989, Notice of entry of judgment mailed to dft.

1988

Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman, Berline, May, Walsh and Brabender
Stephen H. Hutzelman

1101.

MARINE MIDLAND BANK

vs.

RANDY S. OBERRATH AND LAURIE A. OBERRATH, HIS WIFE

Filed Dec. 13, 1988
@ 11:29 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 12/21/88 9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 12/12/91 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT AT LAW

2 copies (sheriff)

Dec. 21, 1988, STIPULATION filed. I hereby accept service of the complaint and agree that judgment may be entered against me in the amount of $2,212.04 plus interest from Dec. 13, 1988, attorney's fees of $442.41 and court costs of record. The defendant agrees to have payments to begin on the 20th day of Jan. 1989, until this obligation is paid in full. So long as said payments are made, no execution may be entered on this account. In addition no execution may be entered on this account. In addition, no execution may be filed unless Plaintiff serves upon Defendant a notice of intent to file execution and proof of service thereof is filed of record at least (10) days prior to the filing on any Writ of Execution. Stephen H. Hutzelman,Esq.
Mr. Randy S. Oberrath Mrs. Laurie A. Oberrath.
0 - 144, 104

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Dec. 9, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment against the one defendant Randy S. Oberrath ONLY, is satisfied of record.

552

1988

Emil M. Spadafore 1102. Altomare & Barnhart Joseph C. barnhart	MARINE BANK vs. WILLIAM J. GRANDIN AND MARTHA P. GRANDIN, HIS WIFE Filed Dec. 13, 1988 @ 1:25 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Sher;iff's Costs 34.30	COMPLAINT 2 copies (sheriff) Jan. 4, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED William J. Grandin personally by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on December 16, 1988 at 11:48 AM at his place of residence, R.D. #5, Titusville, Crawford County,PA. SERVED Martha P. Grandin on December 16, 1988 at 11:49 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to William J. Grandin, her husband, at their residence R.D.#5, Titusville, Crawford County, PA So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff Jan. 24, 1989 Appearance of Altomare & Barnhart by Joseph C. Barnhart for Defendants filed.

1988

1103.

Stephen E. Hall
Thomas J. Boanvita

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED
INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

ANNETTE CSOP

Filed Dec. 13, 1988

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976
(Section 303)

Dec. 15, 1988, Certification by the court for Extended Involuntary Emergency Treatment - Section 303, filed. The court finds that patient receive inpatient treatment at MMC (Grove St.) for period of 20 days. The patient represented by Stephen E. Hall. for the court, JOhn D. Petruso, Esq.

Nov. 2, 1989, Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed. **

Nov. 3, 1989, Report of Mental Health Review officer, and REcommendation filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 3 day of Nov. 1989 upon consideration ofthe foregoing Report and Recommendation of the Mental Health Review Officer, the hearing under Section 304 of the Mental Health Procedures Act, as amended, is continued until Nov. 9, 1989. MHRO - Thomas Africa Esq

** Nov. 3, 1989, Order for Involuntary Treatment filed.This 26th of Oct. 1989 after hearing and consideration of ----; the court finds the patient is severly mentally disabled and in need of treatment. Accordingly, court orders that she receive inpatient treatment as a severely mentally disable person pursuant to the provisions of the MH Procedures act of 1976 for period not to exceed 20 days from 10/18/89 per prev. order dated Oct. 19, 1989. Represented by Thomas J. boanvita, Esq. Warren Pa..
Dated: 11/3/89 Atty. Thomas Africa conducted the hearing but neglected to sign order.
By the court, P. Richard Thomas, J.
Nov. 3, 1989 copies dist. by MH/MR.

May 14, 1991 Application for Extended Involuntary Treatment filed.

May 30, 1991, Petition for Order of Court filed.

1988

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis E. Blystone

1104.

SHERRY L. RANSOM

vs.

FRED D. RANSOM.

Filed Dec. 13, 1988
@ 3:10 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 3/15/89 9.00 ✓
State pd 3-22-89 10.00 ✓

COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 20, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed.

1. The Undersigned, Curtis E. Blystone, is attorney for the plaintiff, Sherry L. Ransom, in the aboveaction in divorce.

2. On Dec. 13, 1988, affiant mailed a true and attested copy of the complaint in the above captioned action, with Notice to Defend and Claim Rights required by Pa. R.C.P. 1915.3(b), to the defendant Fred D. Ransom addressed to his mailing address of c/o Harry Ransom, R.D.#1, Springboro, Pa. 16435, by certified mail item no P404 856 687 as indicated by the "Receipt for Certified Mail" attached hereto. Said item of certified mail was restricted for delivery to the addressee only.

3. The aforesaid item of certified mail was delivered to the defendant, Fred D.Ransom, personally on Dec. 16, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt attached hereto and signed by the defendant personally.

I verify that the statements made in this affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Curtis E. Blystone, Esq

Mar. 14, 1989 affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Mar. 14, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 14, 1989 Upon praecipe of plaintiff Attorney To transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Mar. 21, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, March 21, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that SHERRY L. RANSOM, plaintiff, and FRED D. RANSOM, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

MacDonald, Illig,Jones
& Britton
Dale E. Huntley
John F. Mizner

1105.

KATHLEEN E. BENNETT

vs.

SWANSON BENNETT, II

Filed Dec. 14, 1988
@ 10:44 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
3 counts paid	40.00
Pro Co pd 4/17/89	9.00
State pd 4/17/89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY, CUSTODY OF CHILDREN, SUPPORT FOR CHILDREN

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 14, 1989 Acceptance Of Service filed. I hereby accept and acknowledge service of the Complaint in Divorce in the above captioned matter on December 16, 1989.
March 20, 1989 Swanson Bennett, II

Apr. 14, 1989 Defendant Swanson Bennett, II's Affidavit of Consent To Entry of Final Divorce Decree filed.

Apr. 14, 1989 Plaintiff Kathleen E. Bennett's Affidavit of Consent to Entry of Final Divorce Decree filed.

Apr. 14, 1989 Marital Settlement Agreement filed.

Apr. 14, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attoreny To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

April 26, 1989 DECREE OF DIVORCE FILED

AND NOW, this 26day of April, 1989, this divorce action was heard on the Complaint filed under the Divorce Code, Act 26 of 1980, Section 201(c) on December 14, 1988. In consideration of the said Complaint, and the Affidavits of Consent of Plaintif and defendant, and it being found by the Court that the facts set forth that the marriage is irretrievably broken are true, and therefore, in consideration thereof, the Court orders that KATHLEEN E. BENNETT, plaintiff, shall be and is hereby divorce and forever separated from the nuptial ties or bonds of marriage heretofore existing between KATHLEEN E. BENNETT, plaintiff, and SWANSON BENNETT, II, defendant, and said marriage is hereby ordered and decreed to be wholly null and void and all and every duty, right and claim accruing to either of said parties in pursuance of said marriage shall and does cease and determine, and the parties shall be severally at liberty to marry again in like manner as though they had never been married.

BY THE COURT:

By: P. Richard Thomas, J.

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

1106.

BRENT A. KUBERRY

vs.

MARCIE L. KUBERRY

Filed D ec. 14, 1988
@ 11:17 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 7/12/90	9.00 ✓
State pd 7/12/90	10.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 8/22/90	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

Dec 20, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: Before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared JOSEPH C. BARNHART, ESQ., who did depose and say that he is the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter and that he did served a certified copy of a Complaint in Divorce as filed December 14, 1988 upon the Defendant, at her address by United States certified mail, restricted delivery. Delivery of same being evidenced by postal return receipt #P 620 656 375 dated December 15, 1988 attached and incorporated herein by reference.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and seal this 19th day of December, 1988.

Joseph C. Barnhart, Esq.

July 9, 1990 Defendant's Affidavit Of Consent To Divorce filed.

July 9, 1990 Plaintiff's affidavit Of Consent To Divorce Filed

July 9, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

July 18, 1990 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 18th day of July, 1990, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned matter, the court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing ofthe complaint, and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.
2. No other matters or causes of actions provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code of 1980, as amended, are reserved by either of the parties

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug. 22, 1990 Notice Of Election To REtake Maiden Name filed. Marcie L. Kuberry TO BE KNOWN AS: Marcie L. Thompson

1988

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. BArrett

1107.

ALLEN R. HUNTER

vs.

JANNA LEIGH HUNTER

Filed Dec. 14, 1988
@ 3:31 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 5/1/89	9.00
State pd 5/1/89	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 21, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Bruce A. Barrett, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint Under Section 201(c) of the Divore Code was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on Dec. 14, 1988.

3. The registered mail was sent to Janna L. Hunter, RD #1, Box 20, Fombell, PA 16123. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on Dec. 15, 1988. Said receipt card number P 724 950 985 is attached hereto and signed by Janna Hunter.

Bruce A. Barrett, Atty.

Apr. 28, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 28, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr. 28, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

May 18, 1989 DIVORCE DECREE FILED:

And now, May 18, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Allen R. Hunter, plaintiff, and Janna Leigh Hunter, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,

P. Richard Thomas, J.

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs PC
Alan L. Pepicelli

1108.

Ferguson, Spataro
& Rowden
John Spataro

TAMARA H. RITTER

vs.

DAVID A. RITTER

Filed Dec. 14, 1988
@ 4:06 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
3 counts paid 45.00
John Fuller, Mediator
Mediation Cost 140.00
Pro Co Pd 8-14-89 9.00
State Paid 8-14-89 10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OR 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, CHILD CUSTODY, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, AND ALIMONY

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 19, 1988 Certificate of Service filed. ALAN L. PEPICELLI, Esq. hereby certifies that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the within Action and that a certified copy of the Divorce Complaint was sent by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, to the Defendant, David A. Ritter, c/o David Mead Inn, 455 Chestnut St., Meadville, Pa. 16335 on Dec. 14. 1988 and was received by said Defendant on Dec. 17, 1988. The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A".

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs PC
By: Alan L. Pepicelli, atty.for plaintiff

Mar 23, 1989 Moiton for Child Custody Mediator and Order filed. AND NOW, this 23rd day of March, 1989, upon consideration ofthe within Motion, John Fuller, Esq., is hereby appointed the Child Custody Mediator in the above captioned aciton. BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker,

Mar. 27, 1989 Copies of order to Alan Pepicelli, John Spataro and John Fuller

May 4, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, May 4, 1989, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire and based upon an agreement of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1 Legal custody of Sarah E. Ritter shall be shared between the mother, Tamara H. Ritter, and the father , David A. Ritter, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access of school records, medical records, and other important records, and each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affectingthe child's education, religious instruction, medical treatment and other such important decisions.

II. Physical custody of Sarah E. Ritter shall be with her mother, Tamara H. Ritter, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody onJune 1, 1989 and shall return the child to the custody of the mother on June 30, 1989.

B. The father shall have custody of the child on the first two weeks of September, 1989; the first two weeks of November, 1989; the first two weeks of February, 1990; the first two week of April, 1990; from June 1 to June 30, 1990; the first two weeks of August, 1990.

C. The father shall have custody ofthe child from December 27, 1989 to January 3, 1990.

D. Transportation for the child on visits with the father shall be shared between the mother and the father, with the mother delivering the child and picking up the child at some halfway point between the mother's residence and the father's residence, which halfway point or meeting point is to be mutually arranged between the mother and the father.

E. This order is entered without prejudice to the right of either party to request a further mediation conference or hearing at any time after June 1, 1990 for the purpose of determining custody and visitation for the child after September 1, 1990, the approximate date at which the child will begin kindergarten.

F. As used inthe above order, the first two weeks of any month shall mean that the father shall have custody of the c hild froma period beginning the first Monday of such month until the third Mondays of any month in which the father is to have the first two weeks of a given month.

G. The parties, by mutual agreement or consent order, can alter or amend the above custody and visitation schedule.

H. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude onthe part of the children towards both parents.

I. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

J. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding one or both of the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

Robert L. Walker, J

May 8, 1989 Copies of order put in atty's. Pepicelli, Spataro and Fullers' box.

Aug. 11, 1989 Motion to Withdraw Third Count and Cause of Action filed.

Aug 11, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug 11, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug 11, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Aug. 23, 1989 DIVORCE DECREE FILED:

1.DIVORCE: AND NOW, this 23 rd day of Aug. 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Tamara H. Ritter, Plaintiff, and David A. Ritter, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

2. ALIMONY: Upon entry of the within Divorce Decree and the termination of the present spousal support awart granted to Wife in the related case filed in this Court at DR 1988-437, Defendant shall pay to Plaintiff the sum of $69.00 per week alimony until December 31, 1989, at which time such alimony shall terminate absolutely. Notwithstanding the above, alimony shall terminate upon Wife's death or remarriage or Husband's death.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

We Tamara H. Ritter and David A. Ritter, the parties to the within action, hereby consent to the entry of the within Decree.

Tamara H. Ritter
David A. Ritter

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and YOungs PC
Alan L. Pepicelli

1109.

1/23/90 Recd $200.00
From Plaintiff
3/5/90 Recd $100.00
from Plaintiff
4/12/90 Recd $200.00
From Plaintiff
5/11/90 Paid $200.00 To
Joseph F. Kulwicki, Master
Fee in full 5610

6/8/90
Paid $300.00 to
Plff (c/o Alan Pepicelli)
#5683

RANDY JOE STEADMAN

vs.

ARLENE JOY STEADMAN

Filed Dec. 14, 1988
@ 4:06 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Jos. Kulwicki, Master	
Pro Co Pd 5-11-90	9.00
State paid 5-11-90	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OR 201(d) OF
THE DIVORCE CODE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 28, 1988 Certificage of Service filed. ALAN L. PEPICELLI, Esquire, hereby certifies that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the within Action and that a certified copy of the Divorce Complaint was sent by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, to the Defendant, Arlene J. Steadman at Post Office Box, Townville, Pa. 16360 on Dec. 14, 1988 and was received by said Defendant on Dec. 15, 1988. The re ceipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A".

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC
By: Alan L. Pepicelli Atty, for Plaintiff

Dec. 29, 1989, Plaintiff's Budget INformation filed.

Dec. 29, 1989, Inventory and Appraisement of Randy Joe Steadman filed.

Dec. 29, 1989, Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce and Order filed. ORDER APPOINTING MASTER: AND NOW, 1/4/90, Joseph Kulwicki, Esq. is appointed Master in respect to the following claims:

Divorce, equitable distribution

Deposit Required: By Plaintiff $200.00 By Defendatn $100.00

PER CURIAM,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Jan. 8, 1990, copies of order to Attys. Al Pepicelli and Jos. Kulwicki.

May 7, 1990, Notice of Rescheduled Master's Hearing filed.

May 10, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 10, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 10, 1990 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(d) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

May 11, 1990 Petition for Payment of Master's Fees and Order filed: AND NOW, May 11, 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, it is hereby ORDERED that the Prothonotary of this County shall pay the sum of $200.00 to Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq., as Master's fees in connection with the above matter from sums deposited in that office for this purpose.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

May 11, 1990 Copies of order put in Attys. Pepicelli and Kulwicki's boxes.

May 10, 1990 Motion To Withdraw Second Count and Cause of Action and Order filed. AND NOW, this 17th day of May, 1990, upon consideration of the within Motion, leave is granted to Plaintiff to withdraw his Second Count and Cause of Action regarding equitable proper ty distribution, and the smae is deemed withdrawn and of no further effect.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 17, 1990 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, May 17, 1990 it is ordered and decreed that Randy Joe Staedman, plaintiff, Arlene Joy Steadman, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

June 8, 1990 Motion For Return Of Funds and Order filed. AND NOW, this 8th day of June, 1990, upon consideration ofthe within Motion, the Prothonotary is directed to return to Plaintiff Randy Joe Steadman, all sums remaining on deposit in the a bove-captioned case.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

June 13, 1990 Copies of order put in Alan Pepicelli and Joseph Kulwicki

1988

Hain and Hain
Howard A. Hain

1110.

H. JESSE HAAS
t/d/b/a AREA SHOPPER

vs.

DAVID VOISIN, INDIVIDUALLY, AND t/d/b/a WISE OWL PUBLICATIONS AND t/d/b/a GOOD LIFE MAGAZINE

Filed Dec. 15, 1988
@ 12:57 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 66.30

COMPLAINT

3 copies (sheriff)

Jan. 5, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Mark D. London, Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 21st day of December, 1988, at 8:57 A.M., he served Notice to Defend and Complaint in Civil Action, filed at No. AD 1988 - 1110 Term, 1988, Crawford County Pennsylvania upon defendant Wise Owl Publication at P.O. Box 116, Seneca, PA 16346-0116 By making known the contents thereof to Leon D. Voisin, in person and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy ofthe Complaint received from Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff of Crawford County.
So answers, Mark D. London, Deputy Sheriff
Gene Price, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Mark D. London, Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 21st day of December, 1988, at 8:55 A.M., he served Notice to defend and Complaint in Civil Action, filed at No. AD 1988 - 1110 Term, 1988, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant david Voisin at P.O. Box 116, Seneca, PA 16346-0116 By making kno;wn the contetns thereof to Leon D. Voisin, in person and handing to and leaving withh im the certified copy oftheComplaint received from Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff of Crawford County.
So answers, Mark D. London, Deputy Sheriff
Gene Price, Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Mark D. London, Deputy Sher;iff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 21st day of December, 1988, at 8:55 a.m., he served Notice to defend and Complaint in Civil Action, filed at No. AD 1988-1110 term, 1988, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Good LIfe Magazine at P.O. Box 116, Seneca, PA 16346-0116 By making known the contents thereof to Leon D. Voisin, in person and handing to and leaving withhim the certified copy of the Complaint received from Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff of Crawford County.
So answers, Mark D. London,Deputy Sheriff
Gene Price, Sheriff

Served David Voisin by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango County,PA, as per Affidavit of service attached. Served: Dec. 21, 1988.
Served Wise Owl Publications by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango County,PA, as per Affidavit of Service attached. Served: Dec. 21, 1988.
SErved Good LIfe Magazine by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango County, PA, as per Affidavit of service attached. Served: Dec. 21, 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart,Sheriff

1988

Ferguson, Spataro
& Rowden
J. Wesley Rowden

1111.

MARJORIE E. WARNE

vs.

DAVID C. WARNE

Filed Dec. 15, 1988
@ 3:46 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co. Pd 2/1/89 9.00
Pro Co pd 2/16/89 5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 15, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Dec. 21, 1988 Petition for Order for Service by Publication and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 21 day of Dec., 1988 appearing by affidavit of attorney to the satisfaction of the court, tha t the defendant,David C. Warne, cannot, upon diligent inquiry, be found so as to be personally served with process, on motion of J. Wesley Rowden, Esq., attorney for plaintiff, it is ordered that the bill of complaint be served on the said defendant by publication,in the manner prescribed by law for one day in one newspaper published in the County of Crawford and one day in the County of Chataqua, New York , and that in default of an appearance within twenty (20) days after the last publication a judgment by default shall be entered; and that any further process, rule, notice, order or decree in the case, of which service shall be required, be served on the said defendant in like manner, with the like period of twenty (20) days for appearance or compliance.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 21, 1988 copy of order to J. Wesley Rowden, esq.

Feb. 1, 1988 Affidavit of SErvcie filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that as per the Court's Order of December 21, 1988 I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code and the Plaintiff's AFfidavit under SEction 201(d) of the Divorce Code certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pa. on the above named Defendant by publication on Jan. 7, 1988 in The Meadville Tribune, Meadville, PA. and January 10, 1988 by publication in The Post-Journal, Jamestown, New York, as evidenced by the attached Proofs of Publication.

J. Wesley Rowden, Esq.

Feb. 1, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for review under Section 201(d) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Feb. 6, 1989 **D I O V R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, February 6. 1989, it is ordered and decreed that **MARJORIE E. WARNE**, plaintiff, and **DAVID C. WARNE**, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Feb. 16, 1989 Notice Of Election To Retake Maiden Name filed.
Marjorie E. Warne TO BE KNOWN AS: Marjorie E. Jones

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma and Schug
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

1112.

LYNN COLE

vs.

DOROTHY COLE

Filed Dec. 15, 1988
@ 4:30 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co Pd. 4/21/89	9.00
State pf. 4/21/89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Dec 22, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: AND NOW, December 22, 1988, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the Attorney for Lynn Colde, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished to her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No P 401 440 951, postmarked December 19, 1988, to the last known address of the Defendant Dorothy Cole, R.D.#9, LBox 136, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

3. That the Defendant, Dorothy Cole, on December 21, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

4. Servioce of the Complaint against the Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq.

Mar. 22, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Mar. 31, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Apr. 4, 1989 Memorandum of Agreement as to Marital Separation, Alimony, Alimony Pendente Lite, Court Costs, Counsel Fees and Equitable Distribution filed.

Apr. 20, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for Review Under Section 201(c) of the divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Apr. 24, 1989 **PROPOSED DECREE FILED.**

And Now, April 24, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Lynn Cole, Plaintiff and Dorothy Cole, Defendant, are divorced fromthe bonds of matrimony and it is further ordered:

1. Wife shall be the sole owner of the residence and land located at R.D. #9 Box 136, Meadville, and shall pay to the Husband the sum of $5000.00, pursuant to the provisions of the parties' agreement filed of record inthis matter.

2. Husband shall pay $100.00 per week alimony to wife pursuant to the provisions of the parties' agreement filed of record inthis matter.

3. Husband shall provide health care insurance for wife pursuant to the provisions of the parties' agreement filed of record inthis matter.

By the Court:
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Pro se

1113.

Alan Shaddinger

JAMES H. ANDERS

vs.

WEST MEAD TOWNSHIP ZONING HEARING BOARD

Filed Dec. 16, 1988
@ 9:42 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Appeal paid 4/18/89--30.00

✓ NOTICE OF APPEAL

(Certiorari issued to Debra Siegal, Chairman of the West Mead Tsp. Zoning Hearing Board)

✓ Jan. 27, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff the above captioned case is placed on the next argument list.

On Argument List for Feb. 1989

✓ Feb. 9, 1989, Letter from JOhn F. Battaglia, concerning structural integrety of Plaintiff's house, filed.

✓ Feb. 14, 1989, Certiorari Returned. The plea above mentioned with all things touching the same, so full and entire as before me they remain, I hereby respectfully certify and send, together with this writ, as above I am commanded.

Alan Shaddinger, Esq.

✓ (includes record of Proceeding before the Zoning Hearing Board of West mead Township on Application of James Anders for Variance, and transcript of Zoning Hearing) filed.

✓ Apr. 14, 1989 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, April 13, 1989, the appeal of James H. Anders from the decision of the Zoning Hearing Board of West Mead Township is denied, and the decision of the Zoning Hearing Board upheld.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Apr. 13, 1989 Copies given out by Judge's secretary

✓ Apr. 17, 1989, Notice of Appeal of plaintiff from the Order of Court entered on Apr. 13, 1989, to the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania, filed. (Order for Transcript and Proof of Service attached)

✓ Apr. 24, 1989, Transcript of Proceedings Taken at Time of ARgument filed.

✓ May 1, 1989 Notice of Docketing Appeal at No. 749 C.D. 1989 filed.

✓ May 10, 1989 Motion of West Mead Township Zoning Hearing Board and Order filed: AND NOW, this 10th day of May, 1989, upon consideration of the Motion of West Mead Township Zoning Hearing Board, it is hereby ordered as follows:

1. The record to be transmitted to the Commonswealth Court of Pennsylvania pursuant to the Rules of Appellate Procedure as a result of Mr. Ander's appeal shall not include any written decisions of the West Mead Township Zoning Hearing Board other than the one pertinent to this appeal. Said records may be returned to Mr. Anders upon request.
2. West Mead Township is permitted to intervene as a party respondent or appellee in this appeal as of the date said Petition was presented on February 27, 1989.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

May 11, 1989 Copies of put in Atty. Shaddinger's box and mailed to Mr. Anders.

Sept 22, 1989 Memorandum, Opinion and Order filed: AND NOW, this 20th day of September, 1989 the decision of the Court of Commons Pleas of Crawford County is affirmed.

Doris A. Smith, Judge

Sept 25, 1989 Copy of order mailed to Plaintiff and copy put in Atty. Shaddinger's box

July 25, 1991 July 25, 1991 Memorandum Opinion by Judge Smith and Order filed: AND NOW, this 20th day of September 1989 the decision of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County is affirmed.

Doris A. Smith, J.

July 25, 1991 Notice of Filing of Petition for Allowance of Appeal filed.

July 25, 1991 Certificate of Contents of Remanded Record and Notice of Remand Under Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure 2571 and 2572(e) filed. (Copy returned to Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania 7-25-91)

1988

Robert J. Trageser

1114.

CHRYSLER FIRST CONSUMER DISCOUNT COMPANY

vs.

DAVID P. VALONE, AN ADULT INDIVIDUAL

Filed Dec. 16, 1988
@ 10:43 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs	31.45
Pro Co Pd 2/8/89	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

4 copies (sheriff)

Feb. 7, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED by mailing by certified mail, Dec. 22, 1988 a true and attested copy of the within Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure to David Valone, c/o Indicator Lites, Inc., Rt. 53, 500 N. Gardner, IL 60424, return receipt attached to return.

Posted the mortgaged premises at RD #3, Box 542, Meadville, Crawford Co., PA. on Jan. 11, 1989 at 7:30 A.M.

No one was found in possession of property, vacant.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, February 8, 1989, at 4:05 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with unsworn verification of service, Unsworn Verification of Non Military Service, and copy of default notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, for want of an answer, in the sum of Twenty Three Thousand Seven Hundred Seventy and 19/100 Dollars, (includes int. from 12/1/88 to 2/1/89 of $455.08, and attorneys fees of $1,750.00)

Judgment entered 2/8/89 Debt ----$23,770.19
V - 41 JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Feb. 9, 1989, notice of entry of judgment mailed to defendant.

Execution 1989 - 14

1988

Elderkin, Martin,
Kelly, Messina & Zamboldi
Kenneth G. Vasil

1115.

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY
800 Millcreek Mall
Erie, Pennsylvania

vs.

JOHN R. SIMS AND PRISCILLA A. SIMS, HIS WIFE

Filed Dec. 16, 1988
@ 10:55 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's costs 59.00
Pro Co pd 2/28/89 9.00

COMPLAINT

2 ocpies (sheriff)

Feb. 1, 1989 Writ Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Robert Cherep, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 9 day of January, 1989, at 10:30 A.M., he served Complaint filed at No. 1115 Term, 1988, Crawford Co. PA., upon defendants John R. Sims and Priscilla Sims at 608 Hastings, Pittsburgh, PA. By making known the contents threof to John and Priscilla and handing to and leaving with them the certified copy of the _____ received from Crawford.

Robert Cherep, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff
Allegheny County

SERVED John R. Sims by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny Co. PA., as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: January 9, 1989.

SERVED Priscilla A. Sims by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny Co. PA., as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: January 9, 1989.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And now, Feb. 28, 1989 at 1:30 p.m. uponpraecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intension to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at One Thousand Three Hundred Eighty-seven and 40/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

S-92-132
judgment entered 2/28/89

Debt. $1,387.40
Int. fromDecember 1988
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

Bette J. Peterson-Muth

1116.

KATHLEEN J. SHARTLE

vs.

GRAY E. SHARTLE

Filed Dec. 16, 1988
@ 2:56 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co pd 4/20/89	9.00
State pd 4/20/89	19.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 10, 1989 Affidavit Of Service of Complaint by Mail filed. Bettie J. Peterson-Muth, being duly sworn according to law deposes that she is an attorney at law duly authorized to practice law inthe County of Crawford, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and that on December 21, 1988, she sent a copy of the complaint in Divorce, properly endorsed, to defendant, by certified mail, postage pre paid, return receipt requested, to 103 The Meadow, East Aurora, Ne wYork, the Defendant's mailing address.

The original green card receipt bearing defenant's signature is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "A". The original receipt for certified amil is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "B". Bettie J. Peterson-Muth

Apr. 18, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr. 18, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 18, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Atto;reny To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Apr. 18, 1989 Motion for Withdrawal of Contingent Matters and Order of Court filed. Order: AND NOW, April 25, 1989 The motion is hereby granted and all contingent pending matters in the above action are hereby withdrawn from consideration by this Court.

Robert L . Walker, J.

April 27, 1989 copy of Order to Bette J. Peterson-Muth

April 25, 1989 DIVORCE DECREE FILED

And Now, April 25, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that KATHLEEN J. SHARTLE, plaintiff, and GARY E. SHARTLE, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,

Robert L. Walker, J.

1988

Brian J. Lindsay

1117.

Wesley Rowden
Bettie Peterson Muth

WILLIAM DeROSS

vs.

BETTY DeROSS

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) AND (d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, CUSTODY, AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

2 copies (atty)

Jan. 5, 1989 Affidavit filed. I, Shelly Miller, depose and say that I did on the 19th day of December, 1988, delivered to Wesley Rowden, Esq., a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Date: 1-5-89 Shelly Miller

Filed Dec. 16, 1988
@ 4:00 p.m.

Tax & Entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
2 counts paid	30.00 ✓
John Fuller, Mediator	200.00
State Pd. 2-7-92	10.00
Pro Co Pd. 2-7-92	9.00 ✓
Pro.Co.	9.00

Jan. 5, 1989 ORDER of Court filed. AND NOW, this 9 day of Jan., 1989, upon consideration of the within Complaint, presented by William DeRoss through his attorney Brian J. Lindsay, it is Ordered that all parties and their counsel are to appear in person for a mediation conference before John Fuller, Child Custody Mediator at such time and place as the Mediator may specify.

By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Jan. 9, 1989 Copy to Attys. Brian Lindsay and Wesley Rowden.

9/20/90 Recd $33.75 from Defendants
Recd 10-2-90
$33.75
Vivian Stoltz

Received from Defendant 7-17-92
$33.75
mediation fee

Paid CC Comm
9-14-92 Ck 7046
mediation fee
$75.00

Feb 22, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, February 22, 1989, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Beth Ann DeRoss and Angela Sue DeRoss, shall be shared between the father, William DeRoss, and the motehr, Betty DeRoss, that is each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affecting the children's education, religious instruction, medical treatment and other such important decisions and each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records.

II. Physical custody of Beth Ann DeRoss and Angela Sue DeRoss shall be with their mother, Betty DeRoss, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take Beth Ann DeRoss into his custody at such times as it is agreeable to the minor child, Beth Ann DeRoss, and her mother, Betty DeRoss.

B. The father shall be entitled to visit and take Angela Sue DeRoss into his custody the first and third weekends of each month(those being the weekends on which the first Friday and the third Friday of each month fall), from Friday at 6:00 p.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m. The father shall provide the mother and child with reasonable notice if he is unable to keep any scheduled weekend visit and in any event, if the father is more that one hour late in picking up the child for such weekend visits, the child and mother may consider the visit cancelled.

C. IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED that when the minor child, Angela Sue DeRoss, is in the custody of the mother that the child will not be permitted to be in the company of Jake Lucas, unless there is a responsible adult present or one of the child's older sisters is present.

D. IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED that when the minor child, Angela Sue DeRoss, is visiting her father, she will not be left alone with either Eddie Miller or Nathan Bueler, that is when these persons are present or in the company of the minor child, Angela Sue DeRoss, there must be another responsible adult present.

E. On weekend visits it shall be the responsibility of the mother to deliver the minor child to the home of the father and it shall be the responsibility of the father to provide transportation for the minor child on the return trip to her mother's home.

F. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

G. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

H. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

H. Both parnts agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding one or both of the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) day of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

P. Richard Thomas, Judge

Feb 23, 1989 Copies of order to Atty. Lindsay and Rowden. and Fuller.

June 23, 1989 UPon praecipe To Withdraw and Order filed. AND NOW, June 23, 1989, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Praecipe, Brian J. Lindsay, Esq., is hereby granted leave to withdraw as counsel for Plaintiff, William DeRoss.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

June 23, 1989, copies to Attys. Lindsay, Rowden and Ruller. (boxes)

July 20, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney his appearance is hereby withdrawn of record.

Dec. 8, 1989 Petition to Modify Custody and Order filed.(3 copies, atty.)
ORDER: AND NOW, this 8 day of December, 1989, this matter is referred back to John Fuller, Mediator, for ;further mediation.

AND, this Court hereby directs that William DeRoss, Petitioner herein, is granted Christmas visitATION 1989, said visit to occur on December 25, 1989, from 11am until 8 PM on December 25, 1989.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

May 17, 1990, Motion to Temporarily Deny Visitation filed.

May 17, 1990, ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 16 day of May 1990, upon presentation of the within motion requesting that the visitation rights fo the natural father be temporarily suspended, the Court denies the motion and refers this amtter to John Fuller, Custody Mediator. The mediator shall hold a hearing as soon as possible, give proper notice to all parties, in order to review this matter in light of the Defendant's allegations.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 17, 1990, copies in boxes of Attys B. Lindsay, Muth & JOhn Fuller.

Continued on page 614

1988

Quinn, Gent, Buseck,
& Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence Bolla

1118.

MARINE BANK

vs.

EDWARD J. ALLEN AND
BEATRICE A. ALLEN

Filed Dec. 19, 1988
@ 11:28 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00 ✓
plff on orig.	101.45
Sheriff's Costs	26.30
Pro Co Pd 3-7-89	9.00 ✓

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

AD 1985 - 61

Writ of Revival of Judgment entered against the defendant in the amountof One THousand Seven Hundred Seventy Four and 81/100 Dollars, with int. from 8/4/80 and costs, plus atty. fees of $266.22

Debt -------$1,774.80
Int.--------from 8/4/80
A - 51, 21....JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons, Pro.

Issued (sheriff)

Jan. 17, 1989 Writ Return filed. Not Found, as to within named defendant Edward J. Allen. No record of him at Titusville, PA Post Office.
So answers Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Mar 7, 1989 @ 10:57 A.M. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney with Notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered against the Defendants per writ of revival in the amount of One Thousand Seven Hundred Seventy-four and 81/100 Dollars with interest from August 4, 1980 and costs of this action, plus attorney fees of Two Hundred Sixty-six and 22/100 Dollars.

A-51, 21

Debt.....$1,774.81 + Int,Costs & Atty fees
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

Bernstein & Bernstein
Nicholas D. Krawec

1119.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin and Stack
Louis J. Stack

TEXTRON FINANCIAL CORPORATION
SUBSIDIARY OF TEXTRON, INC.

vs.

HERMAN H. WITSCHER,
INDIVIDUALLY AND TRADING
AND DOING BUSINESS AS
ANDY'S TOOL & DIE CO.

Filed Dec. 19, 1988
@ 1:36 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	19.00
Pro Co pd 8/14/89	15.00
Pro co Pd. 10/12/89	5.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Jan. 9, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. Served Herman H.Witscher personally on Jan. 5, 1989 at 3:49 PM at palce of business, Andy's Tool and Die Co., 758 Williamson Road, Meadville, Crawford Co., PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan 19, 1989 Defendant's Answer and New Matter filed.

Mar 29, 1989 Plaintiff's Reply to New Matter filed.

Apr. 3, 1989 Certificate Of Readiness For Trial filed.

April 14, 1989 Motion to Strike Certificate of Readiness for Trial and Order filed: AND NOW, this 14th day of April, 1989, upon consideration of Defendant's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Certificate of Readiness for Trial, it is hereby ordered that the Certificate is hereby stricken and the Defendant is granted sixty (60) days to conduct discovery and and after that period of time the Plaintiff may re-certify the matter for trial.
By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.
April 14, 1989 Copy of order mailed to Atty Krawec and copy put in Atty's Stack's box.

Apr. 24, 1989, Notice of Interrogatories filed.

June 19, 1989 Certificate of Readiness filed.

Aug. 14, 1989 Upon praecipe of Attorney for Plaintiff For Reference To Arbitrators the following are hereby appointed. Bruce A. Barrett, Chairman
Gary A. Fabian
Donald E. Lewis

Aug. 22, 1989 Arbitrators take the Qualifying Oath filed.

On October 1989 Trial List

Oct. 11, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned matter is marked settled, discontinued and ended upon the records of the court and costs marked paid.

1988

Gary E. Stout

1120.

Harris Legal Clinic
Alan B. Harris

John Petruso
for add'l dft. W. Miles

7/10/89 Paid #160.00 to Crawford County Commissioners Check # 5158 Arbitration costs

SUSAN STOUT
SHARON WEISBERG

vs.

~~CHARLES J. PHELPS~~
and
William Miles, Add'l Dft.
Alan B. Harris, Esq., Executor of the Estate of Charles J. Phelps

Filed Dec. 19, 1988
@ 1:45 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Arb pd 2/17/89	15.00 ✓
Pro Pd Appeal 7/10/89	160.00
Sheriff's Costs	22.28 ✓
Pro Co. PD 4/23/90	5.00 ✓

CIVIL ACTION - COMPLAINT

2 copies (atty)

Jan 13, 1989, Answer of Defendant filed.

Feb. 16, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for reference to the arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed: ~~Paul D. Shafer, Jr.~~ Chairman, Robert S. Bailey
~~M. Dana Mason~~ Kathleen Nelson
Nancy M. Sennett

Mar. 10, 1989, Arbitrators take the Qualifying Oath.

May 24, Upon praecipe of Arbitrator M. Dan Mason, said attorney is hereby removed from the Board of ARbitrators, and Kathleen Nelson, is substituted in his place.
ORDER: 5/24/89 Motion Allowed.
Robert J. Walker, J.
June 6, 1989, copies to P. Shafer, K. Nelson, N. Sennett, Gary E. Stout, and A. Harris.

June 8, 1989 Upon praecipe for removal from Board of Arbitrators, Paul Shafer is hereby replaced by Robert S. Bailey

June 9, 1989, Decision of the Arbitrators filed in which they find in favor of plaintiff in the amount of $5,000 plus costs.
June 12, 1989, copies mailed to Attys. of record.
P - 31 DECISION OF ARBITRATORS
James F. Simmons, Pro

July 10, 1989 Notice Of Appeal From Award Of Board of Arbitrators filed.

July 10, 1989 Certificate of Mailing filed. The undersigned hereby certifies that he mailed a copy of the Notice of Appeal To Gary E. Stout, Attorney for Plaintiffs, 1107 Law and Finance Building, 429 Fourth Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219, this 7th day of July, 1989.
Alan B. Harris

Aug. 14, 1989 Suggestion of Death filed. Alan B. Harris, attorney for Charles J. Phelps, suggests on the record the death of Charles J. Phelps, on July 4, 1989, during the pending of this action. Alan B. Harris

Aug. 15, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above case is placed on the next available trial list.

ON TRIAL LIST FOR OCTOBER 1989

Sept. 26, 1989 ORDER filed. AND NOW, September 25, 1989, it appears the case is not ready for nonjury trial and will be continued on the trial list until the next Call of the Trial List.
By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.
Sept. 27, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. Gary Stout, Alan Harris.

Oct. 26, 1989 Defendant's Petition For Leave To Join An Additional Defendant and Order filed. On this 8 day of Nov. 1989, upon consideration of defendant's Petition for Leave to Join an Additional Defendant, it is hereby ordered that defendant Charles J. Phelps may in the next thirty (30) days join William Miles as an additional defendant.
BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, J
Nov. 13, 1989 Copies of order to Gary Stout and Alan Harris

Nov. 8, 1989 Defendant's Compalint To Join Additional Defendant filed.

Dec. 14, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED William Miles on November 22, 1989 at 10:00 a.m. by handing copy of Complaint toJudy Miles, his wife, at their residence, Oak Glen road, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

On February 1990 Trial list

Feb. 1, 1990 Motion To Continue Nonjury Trial and Order filed. AND NOW, this 31 day of Jan., 1990, in consideration of the foregoing Motion, which is joined in by both counsel of record, it is hereby ORDERED that this case be continued on the trial list until the next call of the trial list, April, 1990, by order of the Court. No further continuances will be granted.
P. Richard Thomas,

Feb. 6, 1990 copies mailed to Gary Stout and Alan Harris

Feb. 5, 1990 Substitution Of Alan B. Harris, Esquire, Executor of the Estate of Charles J. Phelps, as Defendant Pursuant To PA. R.C.P. 2352(A) filed.

Mar. 7, 1990 Preliminary Objections Of Additional defendant filed.

On April 1990 Trial List

Mar. 26, 1990, Motion to Permit Joinder to Stand filed.

Mar. 27, 1990, RE: PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS OF ADDITIONAL DEFENDANT AND MOTION TO PERMIT JOINDER TO STAND- ORDER filed. And now, Mar. 26, 1990, the Prothonotary is directed to list the above matters on the April 30, 1990 argument list. at a time to be designated on the printed list.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

Mar. 27, 1990, copies mailed to Attys. G. Stout and A. Harris, and copy in J. Petruso box.

Mar. 28, 1990, Plaintiff's Motion that Case Caption be Amended to Reflect Defendants Death filed.

Continued on Page 570A

1988

(Continuation of AD 1988 - 1120) Susan Stout al vs. Alan B. Harris et al)

April 23, 1990, Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, pursuant to PA. Rule of Civil Procedure 229 as to both the original Defndant, Charles T. Phelps and the additional Defendant, William Miles. Please mark the docket settled and discontinued.

On May 1990 - Argument list

May 3, 1990 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attorney, the docket is marked settled and discontined of record against the additional Defendant William Miles.

570B

1988

1988

1121. Bruce A. BArrett	PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT of JOSEPH L. KNORR Filed Dec. 19, 1988	PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976 (Sections 304 and 305) Dec. 22, 1988, Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 22 day of Dec. 1988, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above no. and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED AND DIRECTED that the said Joseph L. Knorr be committed to Warren State Hosp. for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days. BY THE COURT, P. Richard Thomas, J. Dec. 22, 1988, copies to B. Barrett, WSH, & Mr. Lilly.

Cusick, Madden, JOyce
& McKay
P. Raymond Bartholomew

1122.

LARRY A. LORENO

vs.

JEFFREY J. BRENNEMAN

Filed Dec. 19, 1988
@ 3:21 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

COMPLAINT FOR CONFESSION OF JUDGMENT
IN EJECTMENT

1 copy (defendant)

And Now, Dec. 19, 1988 at 3:21 p.m., pursuant to the authority contained in the warrant of attorney, P. Raymond Bartholomew, Esq., hereby appears for defendant and ocnfessed judgment in ejectment in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant for possession the property described as follows:

ALL that certain piece or parcel of land situate in Sadsbury township, Crawford County, Pa. bounded and described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point one hundred forty-five and ninety-seven hundredths (145.97) feet east on the southeast corner of Comstock Street and Brown Avenue at the northeast corner of land of William S. Markham et ux; thence South 21° 23' 30" West fifty-five (55) feet to a point; thence south 68° 36' 30" East approximately parallel with teh south line of Brown Avenue, forty (40) feet to a point; thence north 21° 23' 30" East along lands now or formerly of Etta Mae Colestock fifty-five (55) feet, more or less, to the south line of Brown Avenue; thence in a westerly direction along the south line of Brown Ave. forty (40) feet, more or less, to the point and place of beginning.

RESERVING unto Edna Hirsch and Mary F. Bertera and James Bertera, her husband, the right to use of a certain cement walk leading southerly from Brown Avenue along the westerly portion of the above-described property to other land of said Edna Hirsch and Mary F. Bertera and James Bertera, her husband, lying immediately south of the above described property., in common with Michael J. Berczel, III, his heirs and assigns.

EXCEPTIONS: Restrictions as set forth in original lease between Conneaut Lake Company and Mrs. Stella Walker dated Oct. 15, 1920, and recorded in theRecords of Crawford County, Pa., in Crawford CountyAgreement Book R , page 397.

Am. Eject entered - 12/19/88
B - 94

AMICABLE EJECTMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

1123.

Blystone & Blystone
Peter E. Blystone

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

FLOYD C. BOTTLES, JR.

Filed Dec. 19,1988
@ 4:17 p.m.

Indigent filing

APPEAL FROM SUSPENSION OF DRIVER'S LICENSE

2 copies (atty)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 19 dayof dec. 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petition, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that a hearing onthe within appeal shall be held on the 16 day of Feb. 1989, at 10:00 a.n. in C. R. 1 or 2, Crawford CountyCourthouse, Meadville, Pa.
Petitioner is directed to give thirty (30) days notice to the Department of Transportation, bur. of Driver Licensing, Citation Processing Div., Rm. 302, Trans. and Safety Bldg., Harrisburg, Pa. 17120 and also to theDept. of Trans. Office of Chief Counsel, 1209 State Office Bldg, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15222, both notices to be by cert. mail with ret. rec.req.
It is further ordered and decreed that the petitioner's driver's license No. 17 480 074 shall remain valid pending the outcome of this appeal which shall act as a supersedeas.
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 20, 1988 copy of order toAtty. Blystone.

Dec. 22, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Re Financial Ability to Pay Costs, filed.

Dec. 28, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed.
1. The undersigned, Peter E. Blystone, is attorney for the petitioner in the above captioned appeal from suspension of petitioner's driver's liceense by the Pennsylvania Dept. of Transportat ion.
2. On Dec. 20, 1988 , aFFIANT MAILED THE ATTACHED NOTICE OF THE appeal and copies of the Petition of Appeal and the Court's Order of Dec. 19, 1988 to: (1) Pennsylvania Dept. of Transportation, Bureau of Driver Licensing, Citation Processing Division, Room 302.,Transportation, Office of Chief C ounsel, 1209 State Office Bldg. Pittsburgh, Pa. 15222. Both items were mailed by United States certified mail as indicated by the receipts for certified mail attached and both items were delivered to the addressees on Dec. 22, 1988 as indicated by the return receipts for certified mail items P 724 950 683 and P 724 950 684 attached.
I hereby verify that the statements set forth in the foregoing Affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements made herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. Section 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Peter E. Blystone

Feb 16, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, February 16, 1989, the Defendant's appeal from suspension of his license is dismissed and the Order of the Bureau of Traffic Safety Operations is reinstated. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge.

Feb 17, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Dively and Blystone.

Feb 17, 1989 Exhibits filed with papers.

1988

James R. Irwin
Gordon R. Miller

1124.

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
David Hotchkiss

VERN P. COOKSON

vs.

HECTOR R. JIMENEZ AND
CINDY JIMENEZ, HIS WIFE

Filed Dec. 20, 1988
@ 10:25 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs 25.00
Pro Co pd 8/7/90 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

2 copies (atty)

Jan. 9, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Hector R. Jimenez personally by handing true copy of Complaint to him on Jan. 9, 1989 at 8:54 am at his residence, RD #6, Morgan St. Extension, Meadville, Crawford Co., PA.

SERVED Cindy Jimenez on Jan. 9, 1989 at 8:55 AM by handing true copy of Complaint to Hector R. Jimenez, her husband, at their residence, RD #6 Morgan St. Ext., Meadville, Crawford Co., PA.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar 28, 1989 Answer and New Matter to Plaintiff's Complaint in Assumpsit filed.

June 28, 1989 Reply filed.

May 11, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for placement on trial list, this c ase placed on June 1990 Trial List.

ON TRIAL LIST FOR JUNE 1990

May 29, 1990 Order Setting Nonjury Trial filed: AND NOW, May 29, 1990, nonjury trial in the above captioned matter is set for July 13, 1990, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2, of the Crawford County Courthouse.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

May 31, 1990 Copies of order put in Attys. Irwin and Hotchkiss's boxes.

July 13, 1990 Exhibits filed.

July 17, 1990 VERDICT filed. AND NOW, July 13, 1990, after non-jury trial on the Mechanic's Lien and claim, the court finds in favor of the plaintiff against the defendants in the amount of $6,000.00 plus interest on said amount at 6% from Nov. 1, 1988.

J - 82, 32 -VERDICT entered.....

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

July 17, 1990, copies in boxes of attys. Irwin and Hotchkiss.

And now, Aug. 6, 1990 at 11:24 a.m. upon praecipe of James R. Irwin, Esq., judgment is hereby entered upon the verdict, in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, in the sum of Six Thousand plus interest at 6% from November 1, 1988.

J-82-32
Judgment entered 8/6/90

Debt $6,000.00
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX1990-93

Revived to AD1997-921

1988

Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman, Berlin, May, Walsh, and Brabender Stephen H. Hutzelman 1125.	MARINE MIDLAND BANK vs. WILLIAM D. MIX Filed Dec. 20,1988 @ 11:25 a.m. Ta x & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Sheriff's costs 17.00	COMPLAINT 1 copy (sheriff) Feb. 7, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. Not Found, as to defendant William D. Mix. So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

1126.

Margaret M. Eglinton

ANITA M. CARPENTER

vs.

ROBERT E. SNOW

Filed Dec. 21, 1988
@ 10:16 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:

You, Robert E. Snow, have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody, or visitation with the child, Robert Scott Snow.

AND NOW, this 21 day of Dec. 1988, WILLIAM PINEO is hereby appointed mediator to hear this matter.

You are ordered to appear in person at such times and places as shall be set for hearing in this matter, whether by the mediator or the Judge.

You are further ordered to bring with you, if in your physical costody, the above named child.

Pending determination of this matter, custody shall remain with the mother Anita M. Carpendter, with visitation to be with the father as agreed upon between the parties.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Jan. 17, 1989 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, Joan Mason, esquire, Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that I did on December 22, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Robert E. Snow, by certified mail #P-547-808 435, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint inthe above custody action. Said notice was received on December 28, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason

Feb. 7, 1989 Appearance of Northwestern Legal Services by Margaret M. Eglinton, Attorney for defendant filed. (3 copies Attorney).

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

1127.

MARK YOOS

vs.

MELANIE JEAN YOOS

Filed Dec. 21,1988
@ 10:19 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
2 counts paid	30.00
Pro Co pd 5/19/89	9.00
State pd 5/19/89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY, AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 19, 1989 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq., Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, despose and say that I did on Jan. 6, 1989, mail to the above Defendant, Melanie Jean Yoos, by certified mail #P-547 808 438, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received on Jan. 9, 1989, as indicated by return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Atty.

May 17, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 17, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 17, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

May 26, 1989 **STIPULATION AND DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 26 day of May, 1989, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. REAL ESTATE: Melanie Jean Yoos shall transfer all of her right, title and interest to Mark Yoos, in the real estate located in Richmond Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, consisting of approximately six and a half acres, more or less which property is presently titled to both parties. Plaintiff shall pay $3,000.00 in consideration, at $100.00 a month, interest free.

3. Melanie Jean Yoos shall transfer to Mark Yoos, all of her right, title and interest in the 1977 Freedom mobile home, presently owned by both parties, in exchange for his assumption of the debt owed by both parties to Mellon Bank, which has an approximate principal balance at present of $11,000.00, and she shall make no claim to the large garage building which was purchased with the monies borrowed from Mellon Bank.

4. VEHICLES: Melanie Jean Yoos shall retain the 1977 Buick automobile, titled in her name alone. Mark Yoos shall retain the 1976 Ford Granada, presently titled to Melanie Jean Yoos, and shall will transfer the title into his name immediately.

Melanie Jean Yoos hereby relinquishes any right, title and interest she may otherwise have in the 1956 International pickup truck, which is titled toMark Yoos alone.

5. TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY: Mark Yoos shall retain all of the furniture, appliances, furnishings, and also the personal property used in connection with maintenance of the Richmond Township property, expert for the following items which shall belong to Melanie Jean Yoos; canister set, toaster oven, food processor, large portable radio, microwave oven, tupperware items, loveseat and matching chair, light colored wooden dresser, two peach colored lamps, half of kitchen items, wicker laundry hamper, wine glasses, and peach towel set..

6. CUSTODY: Primary legal and physical custody of Jessica Lynn Yoos, age four (4), shall be with her father, Mark Yoos, subject to the following rights of Melanie Jean Yoos, to temporary custody of the child:

a. Melanie Jean Yoos shall have the child every other weekend from Friday between the hours of 4:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m. until the following Sunday at 5:00 p.m. Transportation for weekend visitation shall be provided by the mother for the purpose of picking the child up, and by the father for the purpose of returning her to his custody.

b. Melanie Jean Yoos shall have the child each Christmas Day from 2:00 p.m. until 9:00 p.m., and shall provide transportation to and from said visit.

c. The parties hereto shall alternate Thanksgiving Day each year, with the mother to have the child in odd-numbered years, from between 9:00 a.m. and 10:00 a.m., until between 7:00 and 8:00 p.m., with mother to provide transportation to and from said visit.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Cauley and Conflenti
Franklyn E. Conflenti

1128.

DAVID J. HAMILTON AND EVELYN HAMILTON, CO-ADMINISTRATORS OF THE ESTATE OF JAMES HAMILTON, DECEASED

vs.

TIMOTHY WILLIAM MARTIN

Filed Dec. 21, 1988
@ 10:35 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriffs costs 26.00
Pro for co. pd.12/7/89 5.00✓
Cert Pd 12-8-89 1.00✓

COMPLAINT IN TRESPASS

1 copy (sheriff)

(Jury Trial Demanded)

Feb. 8, 1989, SERVED Timothy William Martin on Jan. 19, 1989 at 3:51 p.m. by handing a true copy,of the Complaint to Angeline Scière, Secretary of Atty. david Truax, his authorized agent, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. Signed acceptance of service attached.
So answers, Carole Stefanucci, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 9, 1989, Notice of Deposition of Todd B. Vincent filed.

Mar. 9, 1989, NOtice of Deposition of Richard Mark Vincent filed.

Nov 20, 1989 Petition for Approval of Settlement of Survival and Wrongful Dealth Actions and Order filed: AND NOW, to-wit, this 20 day of November, 1989, after reviewing the within Petition for Approval of Settlement of Wrongful Death and Survival Actions, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the within action at Civil Action No. 1988-1128, A.D., shall be dismissed with prejudice, and the proceeds received in settlement thereof shall be distributed as follows:

A.	To: Cauley and Conflenti Costs and Expenses:	$ 2,680.58
B.	To: Cauley and Conflenti Attorneys fees	57,382.37
C.	To: Estate of James Hamilton	25,000.00
D.	To: David and Evelyn Hamilton Wrongful Death Proceeds	89,937.05
	TOTAL:	$ 175.000.00

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov 21, 1989 Copies of order mailed to Atty. Conflenti.

Dec. 7, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attirbet tge above Captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

Jan. 17, 1990, Supplemental Petition for Approval fo settlement fo Survival and Wrongful Death Actions, and Order, Certificate of Counsel, Affidavit, and Consent and Joinder filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, to-wit this 17 day of January 1990, after reviewing the within Supplemental Petition for Approval of Settlement of Wrongful Death and Survival Actions, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the within aciton at Civil ACTION No. 1988 - 1128 shall be dismissed with prejudice and the proceeds received in settlement thereof shall be distributed as follows:

A. To: Cauley and Conflenti - attorney fees	$8,325.00
B. To: David and Evelyn Hamilton - Wrongful Death Proceeds	16,675.00
Total	$25,000.00

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Jan. 22, 1990, copies to W. Gregg and Franklyn E. Conflenti attys.

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs PC
Christopher J. YOungs

1129.

4/4/89 Recd $60 on from Plaintiff

Recd 6-6-89
$60.00
Vivian Stalty

BRIAN J. EELLS

vs.

SUSAN M. AUSTIN

Filed Dec. 21, 1988
@ 2:13 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
John Fuller, Mediator
Mediation Cost 120.00
Pro Co'd 4/4/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT FOR VISITATION

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:
You, H. Susan M. Austin, Dft., have been sued in court to obtain visitation for the child: Jeffrey Reed Eells.
You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.
If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.
Crawford County Bar assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Comm.
c/o Prothonotary crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335, Tele: 814 724-4636

BY THE COURT,
Date: 12/21/88 Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 22, 1988, Petition for Christmas Visitation, and Order filed. ORDER FOR CHRISTMAS VISITATION: AND NOW, upon presentation, consideration and informal hearing of the foregoing Petition, it appearing to the court that recently developed circumstances with teh defendant's living arrangements may prevent the plaintiff's obtaining meaningful Christmas visitation with the parties' son, Jeffrey, it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED as follows:

1. The plaintiff Father, Brian J. Eels, shall have physical custody ofthe parties' son, Jeffrey Reed Eells, from 12:00 noon on Christmas Day, Dec. 25, 1988 until 6:00 p.m. on Monday Dec. 26, 1988.

2. Plaintiff Father shall be respensible for transportation of the child.

3. The court notes that a complaint for visitation has been filed with the court and a custody mediator appointed. Therefore, the court makes no further order at this time, however, the parties are encouraged to mutually resolve matters concerning plaintiff Father's visitation.

4. Defendant Mother, Susan M. Austin, is directed to instruct her boyfriend, members of her family and/or other of her acquaintances not to interfere with discussions and arrangements between plaintiff and defendant concerning plaintiff's visitation with the minor child, Jeffrey Reed Eells.

BY THE COURT,
Dec. 22, 1988 Robert L. Walker, J.
(1 copy (atty)

Dec. 23, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Melinda Steele hereby certifies that she served a certified copy of the Complaint for Visitation to the Defendant, Susan M. Austin, at her residence in Conneaut Lake, Crawford Co., PA. on Dec. 21, 1988, at 4:30 o'clock p.m. by personally handing same to her.

Melinda Steele

Dec. 23, 1988, Upon praecipe for address correction of plaintiff attorney, correct mailing address of defendant is: PO Box 517, Conneaut Lake Pa. 16316.

Jan. 3, 1989 Affidavit Of Service filed. Brian J. Eells hereby certifies that he served a certified copy of the Order for Christmas Visitation to the Defendant, Susan M. Austin, as her parents' residence in conneautville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania on December 25, 1988, at 12:00 o'clock P.M. by personally handing same to her.

Brian J. Eells

Feb. 14, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, February 14, 1989, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, and based upon an agreement of the parties, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Jeffrey Reed Eells shall be shared between the father, Brian J. Eells, and themother, Susan M. Austin, that is each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other records and each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affecting the child's education, religious instruction, medical treatment and other such important decisions.

II. Physical custody of Jeffrey Reed Eells shall be with his mother, Susan M. Austin, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody the first three weekends of each month, from 10:00 a.m. on Saturday morning until 12:00 noon on Monday. The first three weekends shall be those three weekends in which the first three Saturdays fall in each month.

B. Beginning with the Summer of 1989, the father shall be entitled to have the child in his custody every Summer for the month of July. The mother shall be entitled to have the child in her custody one weekend during the month of July, from Saturday morning at 10:00 a.m. until Sunday evening at 7:00 p.m. The father and mother shall mutually agree on which weekend the mother shall have cutody of the child.

C. Inasmuch as the father is contemplating being married on or about April 22, 1989, the father shall be entitled to have the child in his custody on all functions in connection with such wedding, including but not limited to the wedding ceremony and the wedding receiption. The father shall give the mother reasonable notice of his plans regarding those functions in which the child is to attend.

D. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas and Thanksgiving. In 1989 and odd years thereafter, the father shall be entitled to have the child in his custody from 6:00 p.m. the day before Thanksgiving until 2:00 p.m. on Thanksgiving Day In 1990 and even years thereafter, the father shall be entitled to have the child in his custody from 2:00 p.m. on Thanksgiving Day until 12:00 Noon, the dater after Thanksgiving.

Continued on page 610

580

1988

Specter Law Offices
John C. Evans

1130.

Ferguson, Spataro
& Rowden
John Spataro

FRANCIS RICHARD SMITH

vs.

EDNA McCLINTON

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

(Jury Trial Demanded)

Filed Dec. 22, 1988
@ 10:57 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs 31.00
Pro Co Pd (Atty) 2-5-92 15.00 ✓

Jan. 6, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Edna McClinton personally be handing true copy of the Complaint, Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents to her on Jan. 4, 1989 a 3:31 PM at her residence, 827 Water St., Meadville, Crawford Co., PA. So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 25, 1989 Answer To Complaint and New Matter filed.

Feb. 24, 1989 Reply To New Matter filed.

Nov. 27, 1989 Motion to Compel Discovery and Order filed.

Order: AND NOW, to-wit, this 27 day of November 1989 it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED that the defendant, Edna McClinton, furnish plaintiff's counsel with complete answers to the plaintiff's written interrogatories and a response to the plaintiff's request for production within ten days or be subject to sanctions.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov. 28, 1989 copies of order to John C. Evans and John Spataro, attys.

Dec 15, 1989 Supplemental Responses to Interrogatories filed.

Dec. 27, 1989, Notice of Deposition of edna McClinton filed.

Jan. 9, 1990 Plaintiff's Second Request for the Production of Documents filed.

Mar. 30, 1990 Notice Of Service of Amended Answers to Interrogatories filed.

January 22, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for Reference To Arbitrators the following are hereby appointed:

~~R. Charles Thomas, Chairman~~
Bettie Peterson-Muth
Louis Stack, **Chairman**
Gregory Keenan

Feb. 10, 1992, Arbitrators Take the Qualifying Oath.

Aug. 27, 1992, DECISION OF THE ARBITRATORS filed in which they find in favor of the defendant and against the plaintiff.

A ug. 27, 1992, copies mailed to Atty. Sambroak, Jr. and given to J. Spataro. (exhibits given and mailed to plff. and dft. attys.)

S - 215

DECISION OF ARBITRATORS
Barbara H. King,
Prothonotary

1988

Marsh, Spaeder, Baur,
Spaeder & Schaaf
Francis J. Klemensic

1131.

LOUIS A. CECCARELLI AND
STEPHANIE J. CECCARELLI

vs.

CONNEAUT BAY RESORT, INC. AND
CONNEAUT DEVELOPMENT, INC.

Filed Dec. 22, 1988
@ 11:05 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriffs costs	22.15
Pro Co pd 5/25/89	5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)
1 copy (atty)

Feb. 8, 1989, SERVED Conneaut Bay Resort, Inc. on Jan. 20, 1989, at 3:25 p.m. by handing a true copy of the compalint to Ronald Waite, person in charge at their place of business R. D./ #2, Box 72, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 24, 1989 UPon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

Legal Services
Margaret M. Eglinton

1132.

ROGER K. TENNEY

vs.

KATHY MILLER

Filed Dec. 22, 1988
@ 1:21 p.m.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

3 copies (atty)

Dec. 22, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed IN Forma Pauperis, Affidavit to Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed. 3 copies (atty)

[illegible] Praecipe to Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed.

Dec 22, 1988 Complaint for Custody and Order for Mediator filed: You, Kathy Miller, Defendant, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of the minor children.

You are ordered to appear in person at the time and place selected by John Fuller, Custody Mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered agains you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

Pending the outcome of the above-ordered hearing, legal and physical custody of the minor children shall be with the following:

Jeremy with the defendant;
Sheila with the plaintiff;
Tina with the maternal grandmother.

The Crawford County Sheriff's Department is directed to serve a certified copy of this Complaint and Order upon the defendant. In the alternative, this Petition and Order may be served by any competent adult or by certified mail.

Plaintiff is allowed to proceed without payment of Court costs reserving the right to impose costs.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
c/o Prothonotary
Courthouse
Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335
Telephone: (814) 724-4636

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker,J.

Jan. 9, 1989 Affidavit Of Service filed. I hereby certify that I have served by U.S. Certified Mail, Restricted Delivery, Addressee ONly, a true and exact copy of the Complaint that has been filed inthe above-captioned matter to Kathy Miller, onJanuary 3, 1989, at Plum Street, Orchard Park, Franklin, Pennysylvania, 16323.

Proof of service is evidenced by the attached return receipt signed by Kathy Miller.

Margaret Eglinton

Jan. 13, 1989 Stipulation Of Parties Concerning Custody and Order filed. AND NOW, this 13th day of January, 1989, the Court, upon Motion of Plaintiffs, in consideration of the within Stipulation of the parties in the above-captioned matter hereby accepts the foregoing Stipulation and enters same as an Order of Court.

The parties are permitted to proceed in forma pauperis in this matter.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Jan. 16, 1989 Copies of order to Margaret Eglinton and John Fuller

1988

1133.

Bruce A. Barrett

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

JOANNE L. SCANDER

Filed Dec. 22, 1988

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976
(Section 302 and 303)

Dec. 29, 1988, Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

Jan 5, 1989 Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and Order filed: AND NOW, this 5 of January, 1989, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED AND DIRECTED that the said Joanne L. Scander be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days. this order shall take effect when arrangements can be made for transfer of the patient.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Jan 5, 1989, Copies of order to Mr. Lilley, Atty Barrett and Warren St. Hospital.

April 6, 1989 Petition For Involuntary Treatment filed.

April 6, 1989 Report of Mental Health Reveiw Officer, Recommendation and ORDER filed: AND NOW, this 5th of April, 1989, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Joanne Scander be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatmetn for a period not to exceed 180 days.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

April 7, 1989, Copies of order to Mr. Lilley, Atty. Bruce Barrett and WSH.

Sept. 29, 1989, Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

Oct. 24, 1989, Report of Mental Health review Officer, Recommendation and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 23rd of Oct. 1989, upon consideration of the above no. and other relevant matter, and after a review of the rreport of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ordered and directed that the said Joanne L. Scander be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days.

BY THE COURT, P. Richard Thomas, J

Oct. 24, 1989 copies to Atty. Barrett, WSH, and Mr. Lilly

Mar. 30, 1990 Petition For Involuntary Treatment filed.

Mar. 30, 1990 Report Of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and Order filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of March, 1990, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Joanne Scander be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 2, 1990 Copies to WSH, MH/MR and Bruce Barrett

Aug. 31, 1990 Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

Sept. 20, 1990 Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 19th of Sept., 1990, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Joanne Scander be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S. Judge

Sept. 24, 1990 Copy of Order to WSH, MH/MR and Bruce Barrett.

Mar. 5, 1991, Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

Apr. 1, 1991, Report of Mental Health Review Officer, REcommendation and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, THIS 28 day of Mar. 1991, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report fo the Mental Health review Officer, it is hereby ordered and directed that the said Joanne Scander be committed to Warren State Hosp. for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days.

BY THE COURT, P. R. Thomas, S. J.

Apr. 4, 1991, copies to WSH, MH/MR and B. Barrett

1988

Brian J. Lindsay

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

1134.

William F. Gorman

KIMBERLEE D. WALLACE

vs.

GARY WALLACE

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:
You Gary Wallace, dft, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of the child Tiffanie Marie Wallace, agel.
You, are ordered to appear in person at the time designated for hearing before JOHN FULLER, CUSTODY MEDIATOR.
(see papers filed) P. Richard ThomaS, Judge

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 23 day of Dec. 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, it is hereby ordered and decreed:
The Defendant, Gary Wallace, is directed not to remove the minor hcild, Tiffanie Marie Wallace, from Crawford County, Pa. for a period in excess of twenty four hours.(24) This provision shall be ineggect until further order of court.
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Filed Dec. 23, 1988
@ 9:04 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's
Costs 18.00
John Fuller, Mediator 120.00
William Pineo, Mediator
Mediation Costs 182.00
9.00

2/23/89 Recd. $60 on account from Defendant

Recd 3-1-89
$60.00
Julian Stoltz

Dec. 28, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Gary Wallace personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of Order of Court and Complaint for Custody to him on Dec. 233, 1988 at 10:20 a.m. at his place of employment, Sir Speedy, Park Ave., Meadville, Crawford Co. Pa.
So answers
E. Ralph Copeland, Ch. Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb 14, 1989, Order filed: AND NOW, February 14, 1989, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Tiffany Marie Wallance shall be shared between the mother, Kimberlee D. Wallace, and the father, Gary Wallace, that is each parent shall be entitled take part fully in decisions affecting the child's health, education, welfare, religious instruction, medical treatment and other such important decisions, and each parent shall be entitled to equal access to medical records, school records and other such important records.

II. Physical custody of Tiffany Marie Wallace shall be shared between the parties in the following manner:

A. During the months of September through May, each year and beginning February 13, 1989, the child shall reside in the home of the mother from 8:00 a.m. Monday morning until 5:30 p.m. Friday evening. The father shall deliver the child to the home of the mother at 8:00 a.m. on Monday mornings and shall pick up the child at the home of the mother at 5:30 p.m. Friday evening, each week.

B. The child shall reside in the home of the father from 5:30 p.m. Friday until 8:00 a.m. Monday, as set forth above.

C. During the months of June, July and August each year, the child shall reside in the home of the father during the week, but the mother shall be entitled to visit and take the child into her custody each weekend from 5:30 p.m. Friday until 5:30 p.m. Sunday. Transportation of the child during the Summer shall be the responsibility of the mother, with the mother picking up the child at the home of the father on Friday at 5:30 p.m. and returning the child to the home of the father at 5:30 p.m. on Sunday.

D. Holidays shall be defined as Thanksgiving and Christmas. In 1989 and on odd years thereafter, the child shall spend Thanksgiving Day in the home of the father and Christmas Day in the home of the mother. In 1990 and even years thereafter, the child shall spend Thanksgiving Day in the home of the mother and Christmas Day in the home of the father. On holidays when the child is in the home of the mother, the child shall be in the home of the father on the evening preceding such holiday from 3:00 p.m. until 9:00 p.m. and on holidays when the child is in the home of the father, the child shall be in the home of the mother on the evening preceding such holiday.

E. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

F. Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or well being.

G. Bothe parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the child and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided with one-half of the cost thereof to be paid by the father and the remaining one-half to be paid by Crawford County.

Robert L. Walker, Judge

Feb 15, 1989 Copies of order to Atty Barrett, Gorman, & Fuller and Defendant.

July 20, 1990 Petition to Modify Custody and Order filed. Order : And Now, July 20, 1990, the Petition to Modify Custody is reffered to William Pineo, child custody mediator. John Fuller Original Mediator now disqualifies self because of C.Y.S. involvement.(1 copy atty. & Mediator.)
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, SJ.

July 25, 1990 copies of order to Attys. Barrett, Gorman, & Fuller and defendant.

July 26, 1990, Appearance of Brian J. Lindsay, attorney for plaintiff filed.

Aug. 10, 1990 Petition For Contempt and Rule filed. AND NOW, this 10th day of August, 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petition, a Rule is entered upon the Defendant/Respondent to show cause, if any he has, why the prayer of the Plaintiff/Petitioner should not be granted.
RULE RETURNABLE on the 29 day of August, 1990, at 1:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of of the crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA 16335.
BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug. 10, 1990 copies of order put in Brian Lindsay, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and John Fuller's box.

Aug. 27, 1990, Reply to Defendant's Petition to Modify filed.

Continued on page 584-A-

1988

Kimberlee D. wallace n/k/a
Kimberlee D. Hawkins

vs.

Gary Wallace

Record continued from page 584

of record
A.D. 1988 - 1134

****

Aug. 29, 1990 Motion To Continue and Order filed. AND NOW, this 29 day of Aug., 1990, upon presentation and ocnsideration of the fore goin, the contempt hearing scheduled before this Court on August 29, 1990 at 1:30 P.M. is continued generally and will be rescheduled within 30 days of the date hereof upon Petitioner's request.

BY THE COURT
P. Richard Thomas, J

2 copies atty

Sept. 18, 1990 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 13 day of Sept. 1990, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The provisions of the order of February 14, 1989, are affirmed and are incorporated herein by reference.
2. In addition to the provisions of the said order of February 14, 1989, the parties are hereby ordered to arrange for counseling for themselves, the subject minor child, and present spouses with reputable faimly counselors to help to adjust to their status as separated parents, the rule of stepparents, as the case may be, and to help themselves andthe subject minor child repair the psychological damage which has been done by the custody proceedings.
3. Costs and fees ofthis Mediation, which are fixed at $182.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.
4. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Sept. 19, 1990 Copies of order put in William PIneo, Brian Lindsay and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick's box and copies mailed to plaintiff and defendant.

584
-B-

1988

1988

William B. Moore

1135.

JOHN M. SWEENEY

vs.

CHERYL ANN SWEENEY

Filed Dec. 23, 1988
@ 9:21 a.m.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 2011(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 23, 1988, Petition for Leave to Proceed IN Forma Pauperis and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW,this 23rd day of Dec. 1988, John M. Sweeney, Petitioner in the above cited action, is granted Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, reserving the right to impose costs if appropriate.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 23, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed.

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a part in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 12-23-88 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 8, 1997 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

Quinn, Gent, Buseck, and Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

1136.

MARINE BANK

vs.

ROBERT D. HESS

Filed Dec. 23, 1988
@ 10:40 a.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$15.00 ✓
plff on orig	83.35
Sheriff'sCosts	16.00
Pro Co pd 2/24/89	9.00 ✓

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

AD 1985 - 124

Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of Six Hundred Seven and 88/100 Dollars, with int. from Sept. 24, 1980 and costs of action.

Debt ---------$607.88
Int. from Sept. 24, 1980
H - 146-----JUDGMENT

(Issued (sheriff)

Jan. 6, 1989 Writ Return filed. NOT FOUND, as to robert D. Hess, left no forwarding at Post Office. So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Feb. 24, 1989 at 2:26 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at Six Hundred Seven and 88/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

H-146
judgment entered
Feb. 24, 1989

Debt. $607.88
Int. from Sept. 24, 1980
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

1137.

ORDER TO SELECT JURORS
FOR FEBRUARY 1989 TERM
OF COURT - CIVIL TRIALS

Filed Dec. 28, 1988

ORDER FOR EIGHTY FIVE (85) PERSONS TO
COME AND SERVE AS FURORS FOR COURT TO
BE HELD COMMENCING FEBRUARY 6, 1989,
AT 9:00 A.M.

To Sheriff and Jury Commissioners:
We command you in your official capacity to draw from the jury wheel in accordance with the law, the names of 85 persons to serve as Jurors in the Court of Common Pleas, to be held at the court house in Meadville, Pa. commencing Feb. 6, 1989 at 9:00 a.m.

You, the sheriff, shall make an appropriate return as to how you have executed this order on the day and date aforesaid.

BY ORDER OF, the Hon. P. Richard Thomas, Pres. Judge of Meadville, this 28th day of Dec. 1988.

James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

Feb. 22, 1989, Writ Returned. I do hereby make return that I have summonded the 85 persons whose names appear on schedule hereto attached to serve as Jurors at a term of court to be held at Meadville, Pa. commencing on Monday, Feb. 6, 1989.
So answers,
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

The Schedule hereto attached is a correct list of the above jurors drawn by us.
Dorothy H. Kennedy,
Nancy L. Hanes, Jury Commissioners

1988

Mason and Mason
M. Dan Mason

1138.

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs
Alan L. Pepicelli

RAND HOMES CONSTRUCTION, INC.

vs.

NANCY LEE SAKOTAS AND TERRY LIPPERT

Filed Dec. 28, 1988
@ 10:05 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	26.15
Pro Co Pd 9/18/89	15.92 ✓
Pro Co Pd. 5/23/90	6.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

2 copies (atty)

Feb. 8, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Nancy Lee Sakotas personally by handing a true copy of Complaint to her on January 20, 1989 at 2:50 PM at her place of residence, Greiser road, Conneaut Lake Crawford County,PA.
SERVED Terry Lippert on January 20, 1989 at 2:51 PM by handing a true copy of the Complaint to Nancy Lee Sakotas, adult in charge of the residence, greiser Road, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County,PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 9, 1989 Preliminary Objections To Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Apr. 4, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney this matter is placed onthe next Argument List.

On May 1989 Argument List

May 11, 1989 Motion for Argument and Order filed: AND NOW, May 10, 1989, argument in the above captioned matter is hereby scheduled for May 30, 1989 at 3:30 P.M. in Courtroom No. 1.
P. Richard Thomas, J.
May 12, 1989 Copies of order put in boxes of Attys Mason and Pepicelli.

June 15, 1989 RE: DEFENDANT LIPPERT'S PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS -MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: We dismiss Defendant lippert's Preliminary Objections and direct that an answer be filed within 20 days from the filing of this order.
Dated: June 15, 1989
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.
June 15, 1989, copies put in attys. boxes.

July 18, 1989 Answer and New Matter filed.

Aug. 3, 1989 Answer To New Matter filed.

Sept 18, 1989 Attorney for Plaintiff filed Praecipe for Reference to Arbitrators, whereupon the following Arbitrators are appointed:

John Fuller, Chairman

~~Terry Toomey~~
Brian Lindsay
~~David P. Truax~~
David Pickens

Sept. 21, 1989, ARbitrators take the qualifying oath.

Nov. 13, 1989, Upon praecipe of Terry Toomey, Esq., requesting withdrawal as arbitrator, withdrawn; and Brian Lindsay, substituted in his stead.

May 22, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued, of record.

1988

Brandt, Liotta,
& Stuttler
David P. Brandt

1139.

MICHAEL ANDREW WHEELING, JR.

vs.

KELLY ANN LAND WHEELING

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Filed Dec. 28, 1988
@ 10:35 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
1 count paid 15.00
Pro Co Pd 2/13/90 9.00
State Pd 2/13/90 10.00

Apr. 14, 1989, Complaint Reinstated.

Dec. 14, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan 10, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 8, 1990, Acceptance of Service filed. I, Kelly Ann Land Wheeling hereby accept service of a true and correct copy of the Divorce Complaint this 3rd dayof April 1989.
Kelly Ann Land Wheeling

Feb. 8, 1990 Notice of Intention to REquest Entry of Divorce Decree filed.

Feb. 8, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

,Mar. 9, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, this 6th day of March, 1990 upon review of the record pursuant to the praecipe by plaintiff's counsel to transmit the record to the Court for the entry of a divorce decree the Court declines to enter a divorce decree and returns the record to the Prothonotary and directs the plaintiff to serve the defendant in the proper manner.

The complaint was filed on December 28, 1988. Plaintiff's counsel filed a praecipe to reinstate the Complaint on April 14, 1989 and the Prothonotary reinstated the complaint at that moment. However, it appears that the defendant accepted service of the divorce complaint onApril 3, 1989. That was more than three (3) months after the original complaint was filed and was eleven (11) days before the complaint was reinstated. Therefore, neither the original complaint, nor the complaint as reinstated, were served within thirty (30) days. All as required by Pa. R.C.P. 401(a). While this may seem as a super technichal ruling, the Court views it otherwise. Since proper service is a condition precedent to the acquisition of jurisdictionover the person and since service at the expiration of thirty (30) days does not effectively give the Court jurisdiction over the defenant. 2 Standard Pennsylvania Practive, Process, section 10:4, 10:79, Lauterbach v. Lauterbach, 202 Pa. Super 260, 195 A.2d 159 *1963); Fox v. Thompson, 377 Pa. Super 39, 546 A.2d 1146 (1988).

The record must show service of a complaint or reinstated complaint within the time period required by the Rules. Accordingly the plaintiff shall either (2) reinstate the complaint and serve the defendant properly; or (b) place of record evidence that the complaint that was reinstated on April 14, 1989 was served within thirty (30) days thereafter.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 9, 1990 Copy of order mailed to David P. Brandt

Apr. 25, 1990 Acceptance of Service filed. I, Kelly Ann Land Wheeling hereby accept service of a true and correct copy ofthe Divorce Complaint this 16th day of April 1989.
Kelly Ann Land Wheeling

Apr. 25, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attonrey To Transmit Record,this case moved to court.

Apr. 27, 1990 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, April 27, 1990 it is ordered and decreed that Michael Andrew Wheeling, Jr., plaintiff and Kelly Ann Land Wheeling, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Shafer, Swick; Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Louis J. Stack

1140.

CHARLES H. CROSS AND NORMA E. CROSS HIS WIFE

vs.

MANUEL F. CANCHOLA AND NANCY CANCHOLA, HIS WIFE, AND THEIR HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, AND ASSIGNS

Filed Dec. 28, 1988
@ 10:40 a.m.

Tax & entry PaID $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 3/20/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT -- ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

2 copies (atty)

Jan. 4, 1989 Motion For Service Pursuant To Special Order Of Court Pursuant To Pa. R.C.P. 430 filed.

Jan. 4, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, to wit, 1-4-89 upon consideration of the forgoing Motion, the laintiffs are granted leave to make service of the Complaint upon Defendants Manuel F. Canchola and Nancy Canchola, his wife, their heirs, successors and assigns, by publication once in the Crawford County Legal Journal and Meadville Tribune, a newspaper of general circulation in the County of Crawford, Pennsylvania.

PER CURIAM
P. Richard Thomas,J

Jan. 9, 1989 Copy of order to Louis Stack

Feb. 17, 1989 Motion for entry of Judgment filed.

Feb. 17, 1989 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Feb. 17, 1989 upon an Affidavit of Service of the Complaint and Notice to Plead having been filed, and no Answer having been made by the Defendants, the Court, upon motion of Louis J. Stack, Esq., attorney for Plaintiffs, hereby decrees that the Defendants, their heirs, successors and assigns, be and re hereby forever barred from assuming right, lien, title or interest on the premises hereinafter described, to wit:

All that certain piece or parcel of land situate in South Shenango Township, Crawford Co., PA. being known as Lots 253 and 254 of the Douthett Ranch Plan Number 1 and 2 as recorded in the Office of the REcorder of Deeds of Crawford Co. PA. in Plan Book 3, page 78, being bounded on the North by Douthett Dr.; on the South be Lot 252; on the East by South Second Street; and on the West by Lots 237, 238 and 239

Consistent with the interest or claims the Plaintiff set forth in the Complaint; from impeaching, denying or in any way attacking Plaintiff's title to said property; from issuing or maintaining any action attacking same; and from encumbering, mortgaging or conveying the same or any part thereof unless the Defendants or any one of them shall, within thirty (30) days of this order, file with the Court written objections to this Order. If such action is not taken within the thirty (30) days period, the Prothonotary, on praecipe of the Plaintiffs, shall enter final judgment.

PER CURIAM,
Robert L. Walker, J.

FEb. 17, 1989 Copy of Order to Atty. Louis J. Stack

And Now, Mar. 20, 1989 at 11:26 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, Final Judgment is hereby entered in the above captioned matter in favor of plaintiffs, by reason that no action has been taken by defendants, as ordered by court on Feb. 17, 1989.
Judgment entered - 3/20/89
C - 113, 123

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

1141.

~~David W. Pickens~~

THOMAS EDWARD SMITH

vs.

WILLIAM JAY KENNEDY

CIVIL COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

Filed Dec. 28, 1988
@ 10:43 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	36.30
Sheriff's costs	26.00
Pro co pd1/14/91	15.00

Linesville, Crawford Co., PA.
So answers,

Feb. 8, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. NOT FOUND, as to defendant william Jay Kennedy, as per affidavit received from Sheriff of Mercer County, PA and attached to this return.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 19, 1989 Complaint Reinstated.

June 23, 1989 Appearance of David W. Pickens for Defendant filed.

June 23, 1989 Answer, New Matter and Counter-Claim filed.

June 30, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED William Jay Kennedy personally on June 19, 1989 at 4:05 PM by handing a copy of the Complaint to him at District Justice Hanson's Office,

James Withers, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 13, 1989 Preliminary Objection filed.

Nov. 5, 1990 Withdrawal of Preliminary Objections filed.

Nov. 5, 1990 Reply To New Matter and Answer To Counter-Claim filed.

Jan. 14, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Reference To ARbitrators the following are hereby appointed:

Robert S. Bailey, Chairman
Sylvia L. Dratler
Joseph w. Tinko

Feb. 21, 1991, ARbitrators Take the Qualifying Oath.

Mar. 13, 1991 Motion To Withdraw Appearance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 13 day of March 1991, upon consideration of the MOtion of David W. Pickens, Esquire for leave to withdraw his appearance as counsel for William Kennedy and after hearing on the motion, the same is hereby granted.

P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Mar. 19, 1991 Copies of order put in Terry toomey and David Pickens' box.

Mar. 14, 1991 Notice of Intention to Offer Documents in Evidenc e filed.

Apr. 4, 1991 DECISION OF ARBITRATORS filed inwhich they find favof of plaintiff in the amount of $5,000.00 plus costs and interest from date of judgment.
Decision entered - 4/4/91
K - 173

DECISION OF ARBITRATORS
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Apr. 5, 1991, copy put in box for Atty. Toomey; copy mailed to dft.

Knox McLaughlin, Gornall & Sennett PC
Timothy M. Sennett

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs
Alan L . Pepicelli

1142.

GENEVIEVE E. BOYLES

vs.

ERIE INSURANCE EXCHANGE, ERIE INSURANCE COMPANY, AND ERIE INSURANCE GROUP

Filed Dec. 28, 1988
@ 2:24 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 4/1/91 6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (atty)

Jan. 11, 1989 Acceptance Of Service Pursuant To Pa. R.C.P. Rule 402(b) filed. I, William E. YOunt, Claims Supervisor withtheErie Claims department, for Erie Insurance Exchange, Erie Insurance Company and Erie INsurance Group, hereby accept service of a Writ of Summons in Civil Action on behalf of said Erie Insurance Exchange, Erie Insurance Company and Erie INsurance Group, and I hereby certify that I am authorized to do so. William E. Yount

Feb. 8, 1991, Petition for Appointment of Appraiser/Arbitrator filed.

Feb. 8, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Feb. 7, 1991, in accord with the Petition for Appointment of an Umpire in accord with the policy of insurance covering this loss, and in view of the petition alleging that the two appraisers chosen by the parties cannot agree upon an umpire, the court on petition of the respondent hereby appoints Atty. Curtis Blystone 369 Center St. Meadville, Pa. 16335, to act as umpire in this case. Umpire will sc hedule a meeting with the parties and the other two appraisers and proceed in accordance with the provisions of the policy as attached to the petition in this case.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S, J.

Feb. 8, 1991, copy put in box for atty. Blystone; copy mialt to atty Sennett and Erie Inc.

Mar. 27, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, all calims and causes of action which were, or could have been asserted in this action having been settled, adjusted and compromised, this a ction is hereby discontinued of record.

1988

1143.	APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT of	APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976 (Sections 304 and 305)
Bruce Barrett	MARGARET N. BRANDT	of Jan 5, 1989, Certification by the Court for Extended Voluntary Emergency Treatment and Order filed: This 5th day January, 1989, after hearing and consideration of enclosed report, the court finds that the patient is severely mentally disabled and in need of treatment. Accordingly, the court orders that A. Patient receive inpatient treatment, which is the least restrictive treatment setting appropriate for the patient at MHC Meadville as a severely mentally disabled person pursuant to the provisions of Section 303 of the Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976 for a period of fifteen (15) For the Court, John D. Petruso Mental Health Review Officer
	Filed Dec. 29, 1988	Jan 10, 1988 Copies of order to Atty. Barrett and MHMR.

Jan 5, 1989 Application for Involuntary Emergency Examination and Treatment filed.

1988

Legal Services
Margaret Eglinton

1144.

KIMBERLY A. RITCHEY

vs.

RONALD A. RITCHEY

Filed Dec. 30, 1988
@ 9:42 a.m.

Tax & entry $40.50
Sheriffs costs 16.00

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE

6 copies (atty)

TEMPORARY ORDER:

AND NOW, this 30 day of Dec. 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 6th dayof Jan. 1989, at 1:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, in Meadville, Pa.

The defendant is directed to refrain from abusing and/or threatening to abuse, or harassing the plaintiff and/or the minor children of the parties.

The defendant is excluded immediately and forthwith from the marital residence which is located at 127 Myrtle Circle, Franklin Pa. 16323, and 1176 Elm St. Meadville, Pa. 16335, pending the hearing sc heduled above.

THE PLAINTIFF IS HEREBY AWARDED TEMPORARY CUSTODY of the minor children of the parties pending the hearing scheduled.

The Crawford County Sheriff Dept. is directed to contract the Venango County Sheriff Dept. to serve a cert. copy ofthis Petition and order upon the defendant. In the alternative, this petition and order may be served by any competent adult or by cert. mail.

The City of Meadville Police Dept. are directed toenforce this order and to prevent the return of the defendant to the aforesaid premises by any appropriate means.

This petition and order shall be filed and served without payment of costs; the court shall determine at the hearing if the defendant shall be required to pay the costs.

The defendant is hereby advised of his right to be represented by counsel, and he is notified that his failure to attend the hearing if the defendant shall be required to pay the costs.The defendant should take this paper to his lawyer at once. If he does not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, he should go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where he can get legal help.

Crawford CountyBar Assoc. Ser. tothe Public Committee, c/o Prothonotary, Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335 814 724-4636

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. walker, J.

Jan 10, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, January 6, 1989, this case comes before the Court in a very confusing posture. this abuse action is apparently keyed toward offenses listed in paragraph six of the complaint and an allegation that since those offenses were committed, that the Defendant has threatened or annoyed the wife/plaintiff by telephone. The difficulty of the situation arises because the alleged abuse occured in Venango County and alleged harassment will be resolved under a pending harassment charge scheduled for hearing before District Justice Chisholm on January 19, 1989, at 1:00 p.m.

It appears the Defendant is on probation or parole in Venango County on a DUI and harrassment charges with wife as victim. The wife contends that during the argumentative assault on December 2 or 3 in Venango County, the Defendant was under the influence of alcohol and continues to thwart the efforts of his probation officer to discover his ongoing drinking habits by using urine collected from one of the children to submit for the determination of alcohol in the system.. The Defendant's theorty seems to be that these are some kind of trumped-up charges to get him out the household and both parties agree that upon the wife leaving her husband in Venango County, she moved in with a male acquaintance whom she claims is the father of her youngest child by the name of Hoover at 1176 Elm Street, Meadville, Pa.

The Court declines at this time to enter a Protection from Abuse Order but continues the case generally on the Defendant's promise that he will not threaten, assault, or annoy his wife in any fashion, either directly or indirectly.

The parties are in dispute about temporary visitation rights and the husband postulates that his mother-in-law, Mrs. Ann Brown of Franklin, Pennsylvania, would be an appropriate place for him to have visits with all three children. In this regard, the husband admits he is not certain of the paternity of the youngest child and the Court already notes that the wife contends he is not the father of said child. However, the husband contends he has built a paternal relationship with the child and the Court sees no reason why he shouldn't have visits with the children in a proper atmosphere. The matter is further confused by the fact that the wife contends her mother advised her that she would, under no circumstances, permit the Defendant in her household. The Defendant alleges to the contrary.

Accordingly, commencing with Saturday, January 14, 1989, the father may be entitled to have a visit with all three children and shall pick them up at the home of the mother at Mr. Hoover's residence on Saturdays at 1:00 p.m. and returned at 6:00 p.m. commencing January 14, 1989. These visits shall continue for a period of four consecutive weeks and then shall terminate. The Court advises either party they may file a child custody action to get a more permanent visitation or custody arrangement for the children. In this regard, in the event a Saturday visit is not convenient for the grandmother, the father may select such other day during the week that is more convenient for the grandmother and notify the mother of the children accordingly.

When the children are picked up and returned by the father, there shall be no confrontations, arguments, name calling, or attempted assaults of any kind between the parents.

It shall be a specific condition of this Order that the father shall not consume alcoholic beverages for a period of twenty-four hours before the visits nor consume alcoholic beverages during the visits.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Jan 13, 1989 Copies of order to Atty. Eglinton, Defendant, County Control and Mary Kelly,(Venango Co. Probation)

Jan. 19, 1989 Amended Order filed. And now, January 17, 1989, the Order in the above captioned case entered January 6, 1989, is amended as follows:

In view of the financial condition of the parties, the County is directed to pay the costs in this case.

All other provisions of said Order shall remain in full force and effect.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Jan. 20, 1989 Copies to Margaret Eglinton, defendant and Venango County Prob. Dept.

Jan. 20, 1989, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Ronald A. Ritchey by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango County, Pa., as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Jan. 4, 1989.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

1988

Gifford, Lay, Johnson & Ridge
Ted G. Miller

1145.

Marsh, Spaeder, Baur, Spaeder & Schaaf
Will j. Schaaf

DARLENE K. BURNS AND MICHAEL G. BURNS

vs.

WILLIAM MORRIS

Filed Dec. 30, 1988
@ 10:51 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	22.00
Pro Co. pd 5-16-96	6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Jan. 10, 1989 Writ Return filed. SERVED William Morris on January 5, 1989 at 3:38 PM by handing a true copy of Summons to Barbara Childs, person in charge of his office, 764 Kennedy Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA. 16335
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 27, 1989 Appearance of Marsh, Spaeder, Baur, Spaeder & Schaaf, by Will J. Schaaf, attorneys for defendant filed.

Jan. 27, 1989, Upon praecipe of defendant, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint Issued.

Feb. 2, 1989, -----Service of the foregoing Rule accepted by Ted G. Miller, attorney for plaintiff.

Feb. 22, 1989 Complaint filed.

Mar. 2, 1989 Preliminary Objections filed.

Mar. 2, 1989 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attorney the above captioned case is placed on the next argument list.

On April 1989 - Argument list.

May 1, 1989 Re: Defendant's Preliminary Objections Memorandum and Order filed. And now, April 28, 1989, the Court denies the defendant's Preliminary Objections without prejudice to the right of the defendant to renew his objectons in the future in the procedural form he deems appropriate.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT
P. Richard Thomas, J

May 4, 1989 Copies mailed to counsel of record.

June 29, 1989, Answer filed.

July 10, 1989 Plaintiffs' Reply To Defendant's New Matter filed.

July 13, 1989, Notice of Serving Interrogatories filed.

July 13, 1989, Notice of Service of Requests for Production filed.

Sept. 23, 19992 Notice of Serving Plaintiffs' Interrogatories and Requests for Production Directed to Defendant (First Set) filed.

Aug. 24, 1993 Plaintiffs'Motion toCompel Disocvery and ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, this 1 day of September, 1993,upon consideration of the Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Discovery, it is hereby Ordered that the defendant William Morris shall serve full and complete answers to the Plaintiffs' Interrogatories and Request for Production and/or any objections thereto which were served September 22, 1992 and such answers and responses and/or objections thereto served within twenty (20) days of the date of this Order. If the defendant Morris fails to comply with this Order,then appropriate sanctions may be imposed, upon further motion.
By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
Sept.7, 1993 Copy of Order to ATtys. Miller & Schaaf

Mar. 11, 1994 Notice of Deposition of William Morris, M.D. filed.

May 13, 1996 Upon Praecipe of plaintiffs attorney the above referenced case marked "Settled and Discontinued" of record.

596

1988

Terry Toomey

Pro Se

1146.

JOhn F Spataro

ALLEN'S SERVICE

vs.

RON LEONARD & DIANNA LEONARD

Filed Dec. 30, 1988
@ 3:22 pm.

Tax & entry Paid 1/3/89 $40.25 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT
OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT NO. 30-3-04

Dec. 30, 1988, Rule Entered Upon Plaintiff to file Complaint Issued.

Jan. 3, 1988 Proof of Service filed.

Jan 19, 1989 Complaint filed.

Apr. 19, 1989, Answer to Complaint and Counterclaim filed.

June 5, 1989, Answer to Counterclaim filed. 1 copy (atty)

1988

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

1147.

PENNSTAR MILLING COMPANY

vs.

ERNEST OAKES AND JANET OAKES, INDIVIDUALLY AND d/b/a VEL RUSS FARMS

Filed Dec. 30, 1988
@ 4:18 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid 1/3/89 $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs 34.15

COMPLAINT

3 copies (sheriff)

Jan. 20, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Vel Russ Farms on Jan. 18, 1989 at 2:15 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Janet Oakes, part-owner at place of business, RD #1, Bx 139, Cochranton, Crawford Co., PA.

SERVED Janet Oakes personally on Jan. 18, 1989 at 2:16 PM at residence, RD #1, Box 139, Cochranton, Crawford Co., PA.

SERVED Ernest Oakes on Jan. 18, 1989 at 2:17 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Janet Oakes, his wife, at residence, RD #1, Box 139, Cochranton, Crawford Co., PA.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

598.

1988

Barrett & Dratler Bruce A. Barrett 1148.	PENNSTAR MILLING COMPANY vs. JOHN A ROSE AND EVELYN J. ROSE, INDIVIDUALLY AND a/b/a ROSE HAVEN FARM Filed Dec. 30,1988 @ 4:21 p.m. 1/3/89 Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Sheriff's Costs 39.25	COMPLAINT 3 copies (sheriff) Feb. 8, 1989 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED John A. Rose on January 24, 1989 at 11:20 AM by handing a copy of Complaint to Evelyn Rose, his wife, at their residence, R.D.#1, Centerville, Crawford County, PA SERVED Evelyn J. Rose personally on January 24, 1989, at 11:18 AM at her residence, R.D.#1, Centerville, Crawford County, PA. SERVED Rose Haven Farm on January 24, 1989 at 11:19 AM by serving person in charge, Evelyn Rose, at R.D.#1, Centerville, Crawford County,PA. So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff	

FRONT

1988

Clair R. Fernberg and Bonnie Fernberg
vs.
Keene Building Products Corp. et al

Record Continued from Page 245
of
AD 1988 - 795

Dec. 8, 1988, Appearance of Willman & Arnold, by Ruth A Antinone, attorneys for defendant George V. Hamilton, Inc. filed

Dec. 22, 1988, Answer and New Matter of defendant Combustion Engin eering, Inc. filed.

Feb. 3, 1989, Answer, New Matter and Crossclaim of Defendant, Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc. filed.

Feb. 21, 1989, Answer, New Matter, New Matter pursuant to Rule 2252(d) and Answer to Any and All New Matter Pursuant to Rule 2252(d) filed. (on behalf of Celotex Corp.)

Feb. 21, 1989 Request For Admissions Directed To Plaintiff filed.

May 2, 1989 Answer and New Matter filed.

June 8, 1989, Answer and New Matter filed on behalf of dft. Geor. V. Hamilton, Inc. filed

June 19, 1989 Reply To New Matter of George V. Hamilton, Inc. filed.

June 20, 1989, Reply to New Matter of Owens-Illinois, Inc., Keene Corporation, GAF Corp., and Pittsburgh Corning Corporation, filed.

July 14, 1989, Reply to New Matter of Combustion Engineering, Inc., The Celotex Corporation Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc., filed.

July 16, 1990, Answer and New Matter filed. (on behlaf of Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corp)

July 24, 1990, Complaint to Join Additional Defendant The Manville Corporation Asbestos Disease Compensation Fund, filed.

Apr. 28, 1994 Withdrawal and Substitution of Appearance filed. Withdrawal of Appearance of John J. Repecheck, Esquire on behalf of Anchor Packing Company filed and Appearance of William R. Haushalter. Esquire for Anchor Packing Company, Defendant, filed.

600

1988

Thomas A. Huson, II

vs.

Ann Marie Huson

****

Record continued from page 277

of record

A. D. 1988 - 827

****

G. This order is entered without prejudice to the right of either party at any time more than six months from the date hereof to request a further mediation conference or hearing without proving substantial change of circumstances.

H. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but onthe other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable andloving attitude onthe part of the children towards both parents.

I. Neither mother nor father shall expose the childrento any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

J. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding one or both of the children and both parents agree to furnishe the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediationconference shall be equally divided between the parties.

P. Richard Thomas, J

Jan. 12, 1989 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas, Stephen Hall, Plaintiff, Defendant and John Fuller

Jan. 31, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 25, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 25, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

June 12, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, June 12, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Thomas A. Huson, II, plaintiff and Ann Huson, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Augsut 24, 1999 Stipulation and Consent To Entry of Custody Order Filed.

Augsut 24, 1999 Order filed. And Now, August 24, 1999 we decline to enter the consent custody order presented by the parties insofar as the record discloses that neither of them has taken the Seminar for Separating Parents.

By the Court
Anthony J. Vardaro,J.

8/24/99 Dist Irwin

September 23, 1999 Certificate of Seminar for Separating Parents filed on behalf of the Plaintiff.

Oct 11, 1999 Certificate of complyetion of the Seminar for Separating Parents for Ann Marie Latsko (Huson), filed.

Oct. 29, 1999 ANY FILINGS INVOLVING THIS CASE MAY NOW BE FOUND ON THE COMPUTER.

BETTY JEAN DeROSS

VS.

WILLIAM E. DeROSS

©RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 309

of

AD 1988-859

****

The Support Order previously entered requiring the husband to pay the wife $75.00 out every disability check or the sum of $150.00 per month is continued on the condition, however, that in accord with the protection from abuse act, the wife must file a support proceeding in the Domestic Relations Section within two days of this date.

The husband agrees he has certain personal effects of the wife and the parties and their counsel will attempt to itemize their property and the defendant is directed to return the same.

Each party will pay their own costs in this case.

The parties mutually agree the weekend visitations with the youngest child established in the previous Order shall continue and the husband will have visitations with the minor child at the residence of Dorothy DeRoss.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Nov 28, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Rowden and Lindsay.

Jan. 11, 1989 Petition For Contempt Of Protection From Abuse Order and Order filed. AND NOW, this 11th day of January, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Petitition, it is ORDERED and DECREED that a rule to show cause shall be granted upon William E. DeRoss directing him to show cause, if any he has, why he should not be held in contempt of court for failure to comply with the Orders of Court dated October 3, 1988 and NOvember 18, 1988, in the above captioned proceedings.

Rule returnable on the 2nd day of February, 1989, at 10 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Jan. 13, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Rowden and Lindsay

Feb. 7, 1989 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Feb. 2, 1989, the matter is back before the Court again on a contempt citation for the Defendant's conduct allegedly in violation of the Court's Order of Oct. 3rd and Nov. 18th. After hearing, the Court is satisfied that the Defendant is in willful and deliberate contempt of the letter and spirit of former Orders in that on or about Jan. 5, 1989, he took his wife's car from her place of unemployment without her knowledge or consent and had it in his possession until the 11th of January before it was returned. The Court is convinced there was no need to do this and that it was in direct violation and done with a direct intent to annoy and harass the wife.

The parties are still unable to speak to each other in any civil manner.

It now appears the father has established a residence in Crawford Co. so he will have a place to take his minor child Angela.

This date, the parties mutually agree that visits with minor child Angela will be on the first and third weekends of each and every month commencing with the month of February and the hours will be from Friday at 6:00 p.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m. The father agrees to supply a person who will travel with him to pick up the child and receive custody of the child and who will be with him when he returns the child so that the parents will have no contact with each other whatsoever.

Regarding the support Order, the Court leaves this matter to the Domestic Relations Section noting that the parties seemingly on Jan. 17, 1989, entered into a consent support Order wherein they claim that the agreed-to arrearages on the Court's temporary Order on Jan. 17, 1989, was $204.00, although this figure is not reflected in the support agreement. The wife contends there are serious arrearages on this Order and the husband contends that the Order of support of $34.00 per week will be paid plus $5.00 on arrears and the next payment will be Friday, FEb. 3, 1989. The Court leaves the resolution of arrearages and the timely payments of this support Order for processing in the Domestic Relations Section. Already it appears that the Order calls for a weekly Order but the husband does not believe that it is a weekly Order and chooses to pay at times he receives his workmen's compensation unemployment checks.

The husband bitterly complains that he still has personal effects in the household and in spite of our Order of Nov. 18, 1988, directing the husband to submit a list of personal effects to the wife, he has not done so, but instead submits a list of other tangible property which could not be classified as personal effects demanding possession of the same. IF the husband wishes personal effects such as personal clothing, shaving equipment, his father's picture, and other items personal to the physical pleasure of the Defendant, he will submit this list within ten (10) days to his wife through her counsel. The wife will reivew the list and if said possessions are in the household, she shall put them in a box and deliver them to the person who picks up the child on the visit of Feb. 18, 1989.

The Court having found the Defendant in willful and deliberate contempt of this Court's Order will not impose a punishment in the form of fine or imprisonment at this time. But the husband is cautioned that if in the future the Court finds he has willfully and deliberately violated terms and conditions of former Orders or this Order, the Defendant will be dealt with harshly.

In this regard, the Court accepts as credible the testimony of the daughters that the father advised that upon termination of this Order on FEb. 18th, he fully intends to move back into the household. This would be a chaotic situation and not in the best interests of either party. Accordingly, the abuse Order is extended for an additional period terminating Nov. 1, 1989, unless by mutual agreement the parties agree to a sooner termination.

Understandably there is a dispute between the parties about the location of the children's birth certificates and social security cards, with each accusing the other of having the same. The father seems to admit that he has some of the birth certificates and some of the social security cards but apparently contends he needs them for processing a social security disability claim that would entitle the children to get beneficial payments in the event he becoems eligible for social security disability. Accordingly, father will make photocopies of birth certificates and social security cards so the children may have the same. In the event it is necessary to produce the originals, the father will do so within seventy-two (72) hours of the time requested to have the originals for a legitimate purpose.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Feb. 8, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. J. Wesley Rowden, Brian Lindsay, Domestic Relations, Radio Control.

Continued on the next page

602

1988

BETTY JEAN DEROSS VS. WILLIAM E. DEROSS AD 1988 - 859

April 7, 1989 Petition to Modify and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of April,1989, upon consideration of the within Petition, this Court hereby enters the following ORDER:

1. The parties shall shre the transportation responsibility for Defendant's visitation with his minor daughter, Angela. The parties shall follow the transportation and visitation provisions of this Court's Custody Order found at A.D. 1988-1117.

2. The parties are allowed reasonable contact at child exchange and at other times as long as the contact is to promote the best interests of the minor child, Angela. Defendant is allowed to come to the door of Plaintiff's residence when he returns his daughter after visitation, and shall not be required to have a third (3rd) party present.

By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, J.

April 7, 1989, Copies of Order to Attys. J. Wesley Rowden, Brian J. Lindsay.

July 20, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney his appearance is hereby withdrawn of record.

Continued from page 104 Confer vs. Confer AD 1988 -654

Nov. 17, 1988 Motion for Continuance of Hearing DeNovo and Order filed. Order: AND NOW, this 17 day of November, 1988, upon consideration of the defendant's motion for a continuance of the hearing de novo and for an Order directing Crawford County Children and Youth Services to conduct an evaluation, it is hereby ordered as follows:Crawford County Children and Youth Services is ordered and directed to conduct and evaluation of the residences of both the plaintiff, Susan J. Confer, and the defendant, Benny T. Confer, and to prepare and submit in regard thereto a report to the Court and counsel for the parties as expeditiously as possible. The hearing de novo is continued until further Order of the Court, to be reset upon motion of either party.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov. 17, 1988 copies of Order to all attys. Copy of Petition and Order mailed to Children & Youth Services by Court Administrator.

March 17, 1989 Motion For Hearing DeNovo and ORDER filed. AND now, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, hearing de novo set for April 21, 1989, at 9:00 o'clock A.M., in Courtroom No. 1 or 2, of the Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA.

Per Curiam,
Robert L. Walker, J.

March 20, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. Kathy Nelson, Curt Blystone and John Fuller.

May 9, 1989 ORDER filed AND NOW, May 5, 1989, after hearing de novo, the Court is satisfied that shared custody and visits are appropriate for both parents. Accordingly, without the Court making findings of fact or conclusions of law, but following the general pattern, with some modifications, set forth in the temporary Order of Sept. 12, 1988, the Court enters the following custody and visitation Order:

1. Legal custody of Nichlas Confer, Ronald Confer and Jessica Confer shall be shared between mother and father to the end that each parent shall have full access to medical school, and other records impacting on the children and both parents shall participate fully in decisions affecting the children's health, education, religious instruction and general wellbeing.

2. Physical custody of Nicholas, Ronald and Jessica shall be with their father but subject to the following conditions:

(a) On the first, third, fourth, and fifth weekends (where applicable), the mother shall have the children in her custody from Friday at 2:30 or 3:00 p.m. (depending on father's work schedule) until Sunday at 7:00 p.m.

On the second weekend of each month, commencing with the month of May, 1989, the father shall have exclusive custody of the children.

It shall be the father's responsibility to deliver the children to the mother's residence for her weekend visits and the mother's responsibility to return the children to the father upon conclusion of said weekend visits.

(b) In addition to the aforesaid weekend visits, the mother shall have the right to have the children in her custody every Tuesday at 2:30 or 3:00 p.m. (depending on father's work schedule) until 7:00 p.m. Additionally, the mother shall have the right to have the children in her custody on the Friday preceding the second weekend of each month commencing at 2:30 or 3:00 p.m. (depending on father's work schedule) until 5:00 p.m. With respect to the aforesaid Tuesday and Friday visits, it shall be the father's responsibility to deliver the children to the father upon conclusion of the visits.

The impact of the foresaid Tuesday and Friday visits is that the mother shall have the children every Tuesday from 2:30 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. and on the Friday preceding the second weekend of each month from 2:30 p.m to 5:00 p.m. On all other Fridays, the mother has the children from 2:30 p.m. until 7:00 p.m. Sunday.

(c) Holiday visits shall be shared and shall consist of Thanksgiving, Christmas and Easter. With respect to Thanksgiving and Christms, the holiday visits will be alternated between the parents with the father having Thanksgiving in 1989 and the mother having Christms in 1989, alternating thereafter. Thanksgiving visits shall be from 10:00 a.m. until 10:00 p.m. Easter shall be alternated between the parents with the father having Easter in 1990 and the mother having Easter in 1991, alternating thereafter. Easter visits shall be from 10:00 a.m. until 10:00 p.m.

On the holidays when the chilren are with the father, they shall be with the mother on the evening preceding such holidays from 4:00 p.m. until 9:00 p.m., and on holidays when the children are with the mother, they shall be with the father on the evening preceding such holidays from 4:00 p.m. until 9:00 p.m.

On holidays and evening preceding holidays, the father shall be responsible for delivering the children at the residence of the mother and the mother shall be responsible for returning the children to the father.

(d) In 1989 and each summer thereafter, the mother shall be entitled to have the children in her exclusive custody for two separate non-consecutive three week periods. It shall be the mother's responsibility to give the father at least thirty (30) days written notice of the time she intends to exercise the summer visitation periods and they shall not conflict with the father's regularly scheduled summer vacation period from his employment.

During the time the children are in the custody of the mother during said three-week periods, the father shall have the right to have the children in his custody every weekend from Friday at 6:00 p.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m. and every Tuesday from 2:30 p.m. until 7:00 p.m. For these weekend visits and Tuesday visits, it shall be the responsibility of the father to pick up the children at the mother's residence at commencement of said visits and it shall be the responsibility of the mother to pick up the children at the father's residence upon conclusion of said visits.

3. Neither parent shall say or do anything in an attempt to influence the children against the other parent and each parent will make every effort to foster in the children a loving and caring attitude toward the other parent.

4. Both parents shall notify each other in the vent of any illness or injury or any emergency affecting any of the children. In this regard, both parents shall keep the other parent notified of any change in residence or telephone number.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

May 9, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. Kathy Nelson, Curt Blystone and John Fuller.

1988

Michael S. Gemble

VS.

Nancy Marie Gemble

Record Continued from Page 547

OF

AD 1988 - 1097

4. Each party shall provide the other with their telephone numbers and addresses. The party not having primary physical custody shall have the right to telephone the children at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and the parties are directed to arrange for reasonable telephone visitations.

5. Whenever one party is in the vicinity of the other's residence, he or she will have the right to visit with the children, provided that he or she has given the other party reasonable advance notice.

6. Each party shall share with the other party any and all information which he or she may have regarding the children's health, education, and the major events in the children's lives. This shall include photocopies of report cards. Health care and school personnel are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which said party may have regarding said children except that which either may have legally requested be kept confidential from anyone.

7. Each party shall teach the children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child and neitehr shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the chidlren's estimation.

8. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements regarding visitation and custody and are encouraged to do so if that would be convenient and proper. Said arrangements must be by mutual agreement only.

9. Costs and fees of this Mediation which are fixed at $82.00, are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

10. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb. 10, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. William Gregg, GAry FAbian, William Pineo.

Kathaleen S. Klinger

vs.

Wayne G. Klinger

Record continued from page 51 of record

A.D. 1988 - 601

****

Sept. 26, 1988 Petition For Special Relief and Order filed. AND NOW, September 23, 1988 upon review of the foregoing Petition for Special relief, the right of visitation of Respondent Wayne G. Klinger as set froth in the temporary order dated September 7, 1988 is suspended pending further hearing before this court which hearing is scheduled for Sept. 29, 1988, at 9:00 o'clock a.m., Courtroom 1 or 2, Crawford County Court House, Meadville, PA 16335.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Sept. 27, 1988 Copies of order to Stephen Hall, Robert Felton and William Pineo

Sept. 30, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, September 29, 1988, at the time fixed for hearing on the request for special relief in which theCourt suspended the Defnedant's visitation privileges with the children on an ex parte basis and scheduled this hearing, the Defendan thas not appeared but it is conceded that he probably has not been served with the petition and Order setting this hearing and may have no knowledge of the hearing.

attorney David Truax ha appeared informally on behalf of the Defendant, although he has not entered an appearance nor does he purport to be representing the Defendant in this proceeding, but he is representing the Defnedant in a collateral criminal case that grows out of the violation of the visitation Order in this proceeding and has advised the court that Mr. Klinger has been placed on nominal bail in the criminal proceeding, which bail includes a special requirement that he have no visitation withthe children pending disposition of that case. A preliminary hearing in the criminal matter has been scheduled for the first week in October.

The suspension of the Defendant's visitation privileges is continued until further Orde rof Court without prejudice to the Defendant's right to request a further hearing soley on the issue of the suspension ofhis visiting privileges. The Defendant is cautioned that any violation of this Order or ofthe special conditions of his bail in the criminal case may lead to serious sanctions by the court.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Oct. 4, 1988 Copies of order to Stephen Hall, David Truax and Robert Felton

Sept. 1, 1989 Consent Order filed. AND NOW, Sept. 1, 1989, the above agreement for custody and visitation having been reviewed by the court, the same is hereby approved and shall take effect as the Order of this Court.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Sept. 1, 1989 Copies of order put in Stephen Hall and William PIneo's box and copy mailed to Robert Felton

Sept. 6, 1989 Affidavit Of Service filed. Stephen E. Hall, Esq., hereby verifies that he is attorney for Plaintiff, Kathleen S. Klinger, in the above-captioned divorce matter and that on July 14, 1988 he caused to be mailed a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce by certified mail, restricted delivery to his place of residence and that he received in due course the return receipt card dated July 15, 1988 indicating the signature of the Defendant or his authorized agent. The orginial receipt for certified mail and return receipt card are attached hereto.

I verify that the statements made in this affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. S.C. Section 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Date: September 1, 1989

Stephen E. Hall

Sept. 6, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 6, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Kathaleen S. Klinger filed.

Sept. 6, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Sept. 18, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, September 18, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that KATHLEEN S. KLINGER, plaintiff, and WAYNE G. KLINGER, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony. See separate previous orders relating to custody and visitation.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Jan. 24, 1990 Motion for Referral to Child C ustody Mediator and Order filed. 1 copy atty. , 1 copy Mediator.

Order: AND NOW, January 24, 1990, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, this matter is referred to William Pineo, Esq., for further consideration.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

Feb 26, 1990 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 21st day of February, 1990, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Custody of the subject minor children is hereby awarded to their mother, Kathaleen S. Klinger.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child. Each party shall keep the other informed of his or her telephone number and address.

Continued on page 627

606

1988

Betty Calvin Bell

vs.

William F. Bell

****

Record continued from page 357

of record

A.D. 1988 - 907

****

Apr. 12, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 12, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr. 12, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Apr. 24, 1989 **STIPULATION AND DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 24th day of April, 1989,the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. REAL ESTATE - ARTICLE OF AGREEMENT: The parties hereto are entitled to payment under an article of agreement, in regard to the sale of real estate located at 197 Clinton Street, Greenville, Pennsylvania (Mercer County). Said payments are in the amount of $200.00 per month, and shall be shared equally by the parties such that each par ty shall receive $100.00 per month fromthe purchasers, Melvin J. Shrock and Joyce A. Shrock. As of approximately $5,000.00, more or less. At the time said payment is due, the balance then due to the parties hereto shall be divided equally between them. If either of the parties hereto has died prior to the date of final payment under siad article of agreement, any remaining monthly payments, and any said share of the final payment, shall belong to his or her respective estate.

3. PROFIT - SHARING: The parties hereto shall equally divide the current balance, of approximately $18,000.00 - $19,000.00, which remains in the Atlantic Breeders Cooperative Profit Sharing Plan, which is marital property although titled to the Defendant. Each party shall be responsible for the payment of income tax on his or her share of the distributed proceeds.

4. VEHICLE: The parties hereto jointly own a 1988 Pontiac LeMans. Plaintiff shall transfer all of her right, title and interest in said vehicle to the Defendant, and shall deliver it to him in the condition in which it was when she received it.

In consideration therefore,the Defendnat will pay to the Plaintiff $1,200.00 and will agree to the division of tangible personal property as set forth below. The vehicle is to be returned by the Plaintiff with all contents that existed at the time she took it, including but not limited to rugs and miscellanous personal property.

5. HOUSEHOLD CONTENTS AND TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY: Each party shall retain those items of household contents and tangible personal property, with the exception of the following items which shall become the property of Plaintiff, but are presently in the possession of the Defendant. These items are to be made available to the Pl aintiff upon her signature of the Stipulation attached to this decree, and upon proper execution, dating, and filing of her affidavit of consent to this divorce, and submisison of the same to the court for final determination, and upon her return of the vehicle as set forth above: negatives to the photgraphs; two books (Admiral Perry, Trip to the North Pole; Coming Into the Country); washing machine, box machine, box springs; chest of drawers; umbrella stand; three speed bicycle; exercise bicycle; coffee maker; Ronnie Milsap cassette tapes; the queen size electric blanket; the patchwork quilt; and the microwave oven, including its manual, probe and cookbook. Defendant shall retain the dryer that is presently stored in a warehouse, the framed collectionof photographs of his family members, his box of long playing records, three suitcases that belong to him the award presented to him by the Atlantic Breeders Cooperative for thirty-five years of service (Amish-made), and his large army photograph. Defendant shall own the Boston Bull Terrier dog, named Brandy.

6. INVENTORY, INCOME AND EXPENSE STATEMENT: Neither party h shall be required to file and inventory and appraisement as would otherwise be required bythe Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Each party hereby affirms to the Court that he or she is fully aware of the assets and debts owed,bythe other party. Neither party hereto shall be required to file an income and expense statement, if one has not already been filed.

7. ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSE FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES: Neither party hereto shall make any claim against the other party, other than as set forth in this decree and attached stipulation, whether alimony, alimony pendente lite, attorneys fees, costs or expenses.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Laura S. Hart

vs.

Clyde H. Hart

Continued from Page 257

OF

AD 1988 - 807

****

selves and/or the children's schedules, they may adjust the physical custody arrangements accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

7. Each of the parents will teach the children to show that love and respect due a parent from his or her child, and neither parent will do anyhing to blacken the character of the other parent in the eyes of the children.

8. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request an additional Mediation Conference if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the children.

9. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pa., shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either parent or either child resides in the Court's jurisdiction and for a least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

10. The fee for the Child Custody Mediator shall be paid 50% by the father and 50% by the mother.

11. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent Order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty days from the date of this Temporary Order.

By The Court:
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Oct. 20, 1988 Copy of Order to Ann H. Gamble, Joseph C. Barnhart and Bruce L. Smith,defendant, plaintiff.

Nov. 14, 1988, Motion for Hearing DeNovo and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 14 day of Nov. 1988, hearing is set for the 13 day of December, 1988 at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

Nov. 16, 1988 copies to Ann Gamble, Jos. Barnhart, Bruce Smith, Attys.

Jan. 26, 1989 Motion To Continue and Order filed. Granted.
P. Richard Thomas, J

Jan. 26, 1989 Copies of order to Ann Hansen Gamble, and Joseph Barnhart

608.

1988

Continued from Page 175 --AD 1988 - 725

Linda G. Marsh

vs.

Thomas H. Marsh

****

Nov. 2, 1988 Motion for Hearing De Novo and Order filed: AND NOW,this 2nd day of November, 1988,,Hearing DeNovo on the Plaintiff's petition for custody is set for the 5th day of December, 1988, at 1:30 o'clock, p.m. in Courtroom 2 at the Crawford County Court House in MeaDVILLE, Pa.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator

Nov. 7, 1988 copies of order to Ann Hansen Gamble and Barbara Smith, esqs.

. , Dec. 5,, 1988 Motion to Continue hearing de novo and Order filed. Order: Dec.5, 1988 Motion allowed,

Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 8, 1988 copies of order to Ann Hansen Gamble and Barbara Smith, esqs.

Dec. 7, 1988 Motion to Continue hearing and Order filed. Order: AND NOW, this 7th of December, 1988, hearing De Novo previously set for December 13, 1988, at 1:30 o'clock p.m., is continued to the 24 day of January, 1989, at 10:00 o'clock a.m., in courtroom #1 or # 2 of the Crawford County Court House, MeaDVILLE, Pa.

Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator

Dec. 9, 1988 copies of order to Ann Hansen Gamble and Barbara Smith, esqs.

Jan. 26, 1989 Motion for De Novo hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, this 26 day of January, 1989, Hearing De Novo is set for the February 13, 1989, at 9:30 o'clock a.m. in courtroom #1 or #2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania. Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Jan. 27, 1989 Copies of order to ann Hansen Gamble and Barbara Smith

Feb. 10, 1989, Motion for Continuance and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 10th day of February 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion for Continuance, it is hereby ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the hearing set for Monday February13, 1989, at 9:30 a.m. be continued to Mar. 13, 1989 at 9:30 a.m.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Jan. 10, 1989, copies of order to A. Gamble and Barbara Smith, Esqs.

Mar. 22, 1989 Motion to Continue Generally and Order filed. AND NOW, this 22 day of March, 1989, the Hearing De Novo set for March 13, 1989, is continued generally. Imogene Yet man, Ct. Adm.

Mar. 27, 1989 Copies of order to Ann Hansen Gamble and Barbara Smith

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 3-22-89 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J

July 8, 1997 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

Anita M. Brown

vs.

Daryl A. Brown

Continuation of AD 1988 - 573 (from Page 23)

Feb. 7, 1989, ORDER filed. AND NOW, February 7, 1989, after informal hearing, and after interview with counsel and the children in chambers, the cuort is satisfied that it is not in the best interests of the children at this time to permit them to visit with the mother inthe home of George Brown. The children do not wish Mr. Brown present when they have visits with their mother.

The mother advises the court that taking the children either to her sister or her mother is an impossibility because of ill feelings arising by virtue of her association with George Brown. The mother advises the court that she has no idea about how she can have visits with the children and steadfastly refuses to explore the possibility of taking the children either to her mother's home or her sister's home for reasonable visitation periods.

ACCORDINGLY, the court cannot set any visitation times of specific Order. Considering the mother may c hange her mind, the court will allow her visits every Saturday with her two children between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. on the following conditions:

1. She must gi ve the father forty-eight hours(48) notice of her intention to exercise this visitation right. Any time the father does not hear from the mother forty-eight hours in advance, he may consider any proposed visit cancelled and make whatever plasn he wishes with the children.

2. On the visits with the children, the mother will not take them to the home of George Brown nor will George Brown be in her company during the time she has visits with the children either in a private or public place.

3. Apparently the mother has been in contact with the children by phone without objection from the father and she isfree to callat any reasonable time and have meaningful conversations with the children at the father's home.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Feb. 8, 1989, copies of order to Attys. D Devine and D. Kuhn, attys.

Oct. 23, 1990 Motion For Modification IN Custody Order and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 19th day of Oct., 1990, upon consideration of the allegations of the attached Petition, it is hereby ordered that a hearing for Custody be ordered in Court Room Number 1 on Nov. 27, 1990, at 9:30 a.m. At which time Daryl Brown can show why he should retain primary custody of the minor children, as set forth in the Order of Feb. 2, 1989.

P. R. Thomas, S.J.

Oct. 23, 1990 Copy of Order to Attys. Daniel J. Kuhn, David R. Devine and John Fuller.

Dec. 6, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, November 27, 1990, the matter is back before the Court for further review of this Court's custody Order of February 2, 1989. The children are now ten (10) and fourteen (14) and the Court after informal and formal hearing hears the posture of both parties about how both parents are deficient in parenting skills and dealing with the children.

The Court has a chambers hearing involving the children and the Court concludes at this time it is not in the best interest of the children to return them to the care and custody of the mother.

Further, the Court is satisfied that the children are not interested in visiting the mother at the home of George Brown and accordingly, the provisions requiring visits in the home of George Brown or George Brown's presence on visits is continued as it is set forth in the February 2, 1989 Order.

There is some concern on behalf of the mother that she does not have ready access to school records and is not consulted regarding problems affecting the children at school to this end, the Court grants joint custody of the children as it relates to any school problems and Mr. Brown is DIRECTED to see that within twenty-four (24) hours of the receipt of report cards or any school reports regarding the children that copies of said notices are given to the mother and the mother shall have equal access to all school records and be consulted regarding the children's problems at school.

The Court urges the parents not to make derrogatory remarks about each other or to each other in the presence of the children.

It appears that the children are occasionally in contact withthe mother by phone and Paragraph 3 of the February 2, 1990 Order is continued in effect so that the mother may have reasonable contact with the children by phone at the father's home.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Dec. 6, 1990 Copies mailed to Attys. Kuhn and Devine; copy to J. Fuller

Nov. 20, 1991 Motion For The Payment Of Medical Bills and Automobile Repair and Order filed. AND NOW, this 20th day of November, 1991, upon consideration of the allegations of the attached MOTION FOR THE PAYMENT OF MEDICAL BILLS AND AUTOMOBILE REPAIR, it is hereby ordered that a hearing on this petition shall be held on December 16, 1991 at 1:30 in courtroom 1 or 2.

Gorodn R. Miller, J

Nov. 26, 1991 Copies oforder mailed to Atty's Devine and Kuhn

Nov. 25, 1991 Motion for Modification of Custody Order filed. 1 copy (atty);1 copy (mediator

Nov. 25, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Nov. 20, 1991 upon receipt and consideration of the attaCHED petition for modification the matter is referred back to the custody mediator John Fuller, Esq. to proceed, hold a conference etc. all as provided by the local practice.

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller p.J.

Continued on Page 616 B

Brian J. Eells

vs.

Susan M. Austin

Record continued from page 579

of record

A.D. 1988 - 1129

****

E. 1989 and odd years thereafter, the father shall be entitled to have the child in his custody from 12:00 noon on Christmas Day until 12:00 Noon the day after Christmas. In 1990 and even years thereafter, the father shall be entitled to have the child in his custody from 8:00 p.m. on Christmas Eve until 12:00 Noon on Christmas Day. Holiday visitation schedules shall take precedence over weekend visitation schedules.

F. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand, shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

G. Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or well being.

H. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the child and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone numbert.

III. This Order is a temporary order but shall be come a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of mediation conference will be divided, with the father paying one-half thereof, and the remaining one-half being paid by Crawford County.

Robert L. Walker, J

Feb. 15, 1989 Copies of order to Christopher Youngs, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick Plaintiff, Defendant and John Fuller

Feb. 27, 1989 Appeal of Mediator's Recommendation filed.

Apr. 24, 1989 Motion for Hearing and Order filed. And Now,April 24, 1989, hearing on child custody is scheduled for May 25, 1989 at 1:30 o'clock, p.m., in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House in Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Apr. 26, 1989 Copies of order put in boxes for Christopher Youngs, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and John Fuller

May 30, 1989 Order and amended Order filed. AND NOW, May 25, 1989, at the time set for hearing, counsel and their clients appear in court and advise that the matter has been amicably resolved and consent to the Court signing the following Order:

All provisions of the Court's Orde rof February 14, 1989, shall remainin force and effect except as modified herein:

1. Number 2 Paragraph A of the Orde rof February 14th shall be modified so that father will pick up the child at noon on Saturdays.

2. During the month of July when the child is living at the home of the father:

a) Mother shall have the right to take the child into her custody for a non-overnight visit once per week during father's working hours upon forty-eight hours notice.

b) Mother shall have th eright to take the child into her custody on the second Sunday of July each year from 11:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. except when father and child are out of Crawford County on that date.

3. Regardless of which parent pas physical custody, child shall visit mother at the hospital fo up to three hours each day while mother is hospitalized for the birth of her children. Mother shall arrange transportation for these visits and father shall be entitled to make up on weekends any hours missed for these visits within ninety (90) days.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P.Richard Thomas, P.J.

June 6, 1989 Copies of order put in Atty. Youngs, Kirkpatrick and Fuller's box.

Dec. 6, 1989 Petition to Modify Custody and Order filed: You, Susan M. Austin, have been sued in Court to obtain Custody of the child, Jeffrey Reed Eels.

You are ordered to appear in person for a Custody Mediation Conference at such time and place as may be set by John Fuller, Esquire, Child Custody Mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, and Order for Custody may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

Physical custody of the child, Jeffrey Reed Eells, shall be with the Plaintiff, Brian J. Eells, until further Order of Court. Pending said further Order, the Defendant, Susan M. Austin, shall have the right to visit the child at the home of the Plaintiff every Monday and Wednesday, between the hours of 4:00 P.M. and 8:00 P.M. for a period of two (2) hours, each day. Defendant shall select each specific 2-hour period and provide Plaintiff with 24-hours' notice.

Until further Order of Court, Defendant is prohibited from bringing the child, Jeffrey Reed Eells, into contact with Michael Scully.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
c/o Prothonotary
Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, Pa
Telephone: (814) 724-4636

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec 7, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys Youngs, Lindsay, Kirkpatrick and Fuller's boxes.

Dec 6, 1989 Answer to Petition to Modify and Order filed: AND NOW, this 6 day of December, 1989, Plaintiff is directed to return minor child to Defendant and abide by the current Custody Order until this entire matter can be reviewed by the Custody Mediator.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec 7, 1989 copies of order put in Attys. Youngs, Lindsay, Kirkpatrick and Fuller's boxes.

Continued on Page 610a

1988

Brian J. Eells

vs.

Susan M. Austin

Record Continued from page 610

of

AD 1988 - 1129

Dec. 11, 1989, Motion for Withdrawal as Custody Mediator (of JOhn Fuller, Esq.) and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Dec. 8, 1989, after consideration of the within Motion, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED that JOhn Fuller, Esq. is withdrawn as Custody Mediator and William Pineo Esq. is appointed as Custody Mediator in his place.

P. Richard Thomas, Judge

Dec;. 11, 1989, copy given to Mediator W. Pineo; Dec. 12, 1989, copies to Attys. C. Youngs, M. Kirkpatrick and B. Lindsay.

Dec 22, 1998 Certificate of completion of the Seminar for Separating Parents for Brian J. Eells, filed.

Nov 4, 1998 Petition for Modification of A custody Order and Aprio 20, 1999 Order and NOtice Filed. and Now, this 16 day of April 1999 it is ordered and decreed that the parents in this matter shall satisfactorily complete the seminar for Separating Parents in accordance with Crawford County Civil Rule L 1930. Applications for the seminar may be obtained at the Center for Family Services, Inc. at 996 S. Mian St, Meadville, PA 16335; the Prothonotary at the Crawford County Courthouse or the Court Administrator at the Crawford County Courthouse.

Application for attendance at the seminar must be made by the Plaintiff or Petitioner within 15 days after the pleading or peition is filed; and by the Defendant or Respondent within 15 days after he or she is served with the pleading or petition.

The seminar must be completed within 45 days after application. Failure to attend the Seminar may result in sanctions, including contempt of Court, a Dismissal of the action or a delay in the proceedings unless attendance is waived by Order of Court.

any questions about this seminar, he/she should consult an attorney or refer to the Crawford County Civil Rule of Court that are available in the Prothonotary's Office and in the Crawford County Law Library or contact the Center for Family Services, Inc.

By the Court

Anthony j. Vardaro, J.

4/20/99 Faxed Keenan Youngs Kirkpatrick

610B

1988

Bonnie R. Easler and Regis Easler, her husband

vs.

Sylvia A. Richards, Guardian Ad Litem of Amanda A. Richards a minor

Record continued from page 83

of record

A.D. 1988 - 633

****

Mar. 21, 1990 Answer filed.

Dec. 9, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned action is marked settled, ended, and discontinued of record.

6.10 C

The Penn Central Corporation

vs.

James Drilling Corporation

Record continued from page 487

of record

A.D. 1988 - 1037

****

Sept. 30, 1991 Motion To Continue and Order filed. AND NOW, September 30, 1991, the Motion to Continue is granted. Trial in the above captioned case will be scheduled after the decision of th eSuperior Court in the companion case of Meridian Gas and Oil Enterprises, Inc. V. Penn Central Corporation is rendered.

Per Curiam,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 1, 1991 Copies of order to Paul Shafer and William Jorden

1992 February Trial List

1992 April Trial List

Sept. 28, 1992 Joint Motion For Continuance and Order filed. and now, this 25th day of September, 1992, upon consideration of the foregoing Joint Motion For Continuance the above captioned case is continued until final decision has been made by the Superior Court and/or the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania with respect to Meridian Oil and Gas Enterprise, INc's MotionFor Reargument.

Per Curiam,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 1, 1992 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Shafer and Jorden

1992 October Trial List

1993 February Trial List

March 18, 1994 UponPraecipe of Paul D. Shafer,Jr., Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff, and William T. JOrden, Esquire; Attorneys for Defendant the above captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.

610D

SHAWN ASBAUGH

VS.

JACLYNN ASHBAUGH

Record Continued from page 611

of

AD 1988-704

****

Dec 3, 1990 Petition to Modify Custody Order filed.

Dec 3, 1990 Order filed: AND NOW, this 3rd day of December, 1990, upon consideration of the natural father's Petition for Modification of the Custody Order, this matter is referred back to William Pineo custody Mediator.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller J.

Dec 4, 1990 Copies to James Irwin, D. Hotchkiss and W. Pineo.

Dec 20, 1990, Counterclaim to Modify Custody Order filed.

Jan 14, 1991 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 11th day of January, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life the minor child. Health care, school personnel and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.

3a. Until the father shall have finished his schooling at Edinboro University, the mother shall have primary physical custody with the father having visitation and temporary physical custody every weekend except for the last full weekend of each month from Friday at 5:00 p.m. through Sunday at 7:30 p.m. After the father shall have completed his schooling, Andrea shall reside with him each week from Saturday at 7:00 p.m. through Saturday at 7:00 p.m.

b. When Andrea shall have reached the age of six, she shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitered by the parent having custody.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas holiday visitation shall be defined as beginning on Christmas Eve at 6:00 p.m. through Christmas Day at 10:00 a.m. and from Christmas Day at 10:00 through Christmas Day at 7:30 p.m. For Christmas, 1991, the father shall have the first period and the mother, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the two periods.

b. Thanksgiving holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

The first Thanksgiving holiday period shall begin on the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving at 7:00 p.m. and end Thanksgiving Day at 4:00 p.m. and the second shall begin Thanksgiving Day at 4:00 p.m. and end the Friday after Thanksgiving at 7:00 p.m. For Thanksgiving, 1991, the mother shall have the first period and the father the second and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods.

c. The other holidays to be observed are New Years Day, Easter, Memorial Day, Independence Day, and Labor Day. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch the fireworks. The father shall have visitation for Easter 1991 and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

d. The children shall spend Father's Day with their father from 9;00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and and Mother's day with their mother, during the same hours.

e. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the children at the home of the other.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11. The mother shall not expose Andrea to the company of persons of the opposite sex to whom she is not related by blood or marriage between the hours of 8:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. nor will she expose Andrea to the company of Kelvin Jones at any time ever.

12. The parties are fre to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

13. Either party may request a review of this Order during the summer preceding Andrea's entry into kindergarten. The parties are cautioned that the request must be made during the month of May in order to ensure scheduling during the summer.

14. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $137.00 are divided equally between the parties with a shre of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

15. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Jan 15, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Pineo, Irwin, Brautigam's boxes and copies mailed to Plaintiff and Defendant.

Record Continued to Page 631

SHAWN ASHBAUGH

VS.

JACLYNN A. ASHBAUGH

Record Continued from Page 154

of

AD 1988-704

****

Feb 21, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Mar. 7, 1989 D E C R E E F I L E D.

AND NOW, this 7 day of March, 1989, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that:

1. The issue of child custody/visatation, Count 3 of the divorce complaint filed at the above term and number shall be in accordance with an Order entered by the Child Custody Mediator, at the above term andnumber, hearing having been hled on February 9, 1989.;

2. Count 2 of the divorce complaint filed at the above term and number entitled "equitable determination and distribution of property" has been withdrawn.

WHEREBY the marriage heretofore existing between SHAWN ASHBAUGH and JACLYNN A. ASHBAUGH, is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

March 13, 1989 Petition for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis and ORDER filed. And Now, March 13, 1989 upon presentation of the foregoing petition, the Defndant, Jaclynn A. Ashbaugh may proceed in Forma Pauperis and the current outstanding mediation cost of $41.00 will be charged to the County.

By the Court:
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Mar. 15, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. James Irwin, David Hotchkiss and William Pineo.

July 19, 1989 Petition for Contempt and Order filed: AND NOW, this 19 day of July, 1989, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing, a Rule is entered upon the Respondent to show cause, if any she has, why Petitioner's prayer should not be granted.
RULE RETURNABLE ON the 26 day of July, 1989, at 9:30 o'clock A.M. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
P. R. Thomas, J.

July 19, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. Hotchkiss, Pineo and Irwin's boxes.

July 25, 1989 Verification filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, ESQUIRE, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for Petitioner and that as such, I am authorized to execute this Verification; that I did, onthe 19th day of July, 1989, send by Certified Mail, REturn REceipt Requested, Addressee ONly, to Respondent a true and correct copy of the Contempt Petition and Rule filed at the above term and number at her last known address of 113 Walker Drive, Meadville Crawford County, Pennsylvania as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P724-954-438 and that on the 24th day of July, 1989 I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated July 20, 1989; I further verify that a copy of the Petition and Rule were served upon Respondent's counsel of record, David L. Hotchkiss, Esquire. James R. Irwin

July 27, 1990, Petition of Defendant to Modify Custody filed.

July 27, 1989 ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 26, 1989, the matter is before the Court on a petition for contempt alleging willful and deliberate refusal of the mother to accord the father the visitations entered by previous Orders. It is also before the Court on a petition to modify custody filed by the mother because she is projecting a move to North Carolina.

On the contempt issue, the Court reviews the situation for the months of June and July and finds that the mother at times did not accord the father the requisite visits. However, at times, the father was late and some change in vacation plans have created additional animosity between the parties. The Court this date finds that the parents in this case are so vitriolic toward each other that it is impacting on the child. The Court declines to officially adjudge the mother in contempt of Court but cautions her that the present visitation schedule must be carried out. The parties are urged to improve their communication between themselves and treat each other with dignity and respect lest it adversely impact on the child.

It appears the mother now has another romantic interest in a boyfriend at North Carolina State University in North Carolina and projects a move to North Carolina where she says she will take will take up separate residence until perhaps matrimony occurs between the parties or at least until boyfriend's education is complete. The mother is rather indefinite about her employment opportunities and projects a move with sufficient funds only to pay the first month's rent in an apartment. The mother professes to have a certificate as a medical assistant but the Court is unaware and the mother is unaware whether this certificate would be recognized in North Carolina if she were to apply for such a position there.

The father projects opposition to moving the child to North Carolina and postulates that he could take full possession of the child and his stepmother would act as baby sitter during his employment.

Both counsel and the Court agree that the plans of the mother are so indefinite at this time that modification of the Order attempting to project a move to North Carolina is an impossibility. Accordingly, with the agreement of the parties and counsel, this matter is referred back to Custody Mediator Pineo, who will schedule a hearing sometime between August 28th and September 7th when the entire matter will be reviewed and each party together with their counsel will be able to project some definitive plans for themselves in the event the child remains in the custody of the mother or is delivered to the custody of the father. In the event the Custody Mediator cannot schedule a hearing during these times, the Court asks Bruce Smith custody Mediator to handle the case.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

July 28, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. James Irwin, David Hotchkiss, William Pineo and Bruce Smith.

Sept. 6, 1989 Motion to Continue and Order filed. And Now Sept. 6, 1989 the within motion is allowed.

By the Court:
Robert L. Walker, J

Sept. 6, 1989 Copies of order put in James Irwin, David Hotchkiss and William Pineo's box.

Continued on Page 6100 (opposite this pg)

612

1988

DARLA VALESKY

VS.

DANIEL GARTH VALESKY

Record Continued from Page 368

of

AD 1988-918

****

Mar 8, 1989 Motion for Substitution of Custody Mediator and Order filed: AND NOW, this 8 day of March, 1989, BRUCE SMITH, is appointed custody mediator in this matter.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Mar 9, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Lindsay, Fabian and Smith.

Mar. 27, 1989 Temporary Order of Court filed.
AND NOW, this 27 day of March, 1989, after Mediation and upon recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Daniel, Timothy, and Andrew Valesky, ages six, four and two, respectively, the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of the minor children. Said joint legal custody bieng shared shall entitle both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the children held by third parties, including, but not limited to, shcool and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to the educational upbringing of the children, as well as elective surgery. With regard to the religious upbringing of the children, both parents shall be permitted to give their input to the children while the children are in their physical custody, and theyshall not in anyway demean the input the children are receiving from the other parent.

2. Physical custody of the children shall also be shared. Regular weeks within the meaning of this paragraph shall be the weeks beginning on Sunday, being the first, second, fourth, and fifth (on occasion) weeks so beginning. The other week treated differently under this paragrph will be the week beginning on the third Sunday of each month. On "Regular weeks", the children shall spend from 7:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Sunday through 12:30 p.m. prevailing time, on Thursday with the plaintiff mother. The children shall spend from 12:30 p.m. prevailing time, on Thursday Through Sunday at 7:00 p.m., prevailing time, with the father. On the third week of each month, beginning on Sunday, the children shall spend the same time with the mother as above mentioned, except they shall be with the father from 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, until 9:30 p.m., prevailing time, on Wednesday of that week. In that third week, they shall also spend form Friday at 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, until Sunday at 3:00 p.m., prevailing time, with the mother. From Sunday at 3:00 p.m., prevailing time, which will be the fourth Sunday of each month, until 7:00 p.m., they shall be with the father, at which time they shall be returned to the mother and remain until the change of custody takes place on Thursday at 12:30 p.m., prevailing time. When the children begin full-time schooling, they shall leave on Thursday from the mother's home for school and be picked up bythe father after school on Thursday to begin their visitation with him. The pick-up and drop-off of the children shall be made by the father at the mother's residence.

3. Holiday visitation shall take priority over other scheduled visitation. The parties are encouraged to agree to holiday visitation; however, in the event that they cannot, during odd-numbered years, beginning in 1989, the father shall have the children on Easter and the 4th of July each year, and the mother shall have the children on Memorial Day and Labor day each year. Holidays shall begin at 9:00 a.m., prevailing time, and shall end at 7:00 p.m., prevailing time. The above holidays shall be reversed in even-numbered years. The children shall spend Thanksgiving Day each year inthe mother's home and the day following Thanksgiving each year in the father's home. beginning in 1989. With regard to Christmas Day each year, the children shall be available to spend Christmas each year in the father's home from 2:00 p.m., prevailing time, until 7:00 p.m., prevailing time. The other parts of Christmas Day shall be spent with the mother. The parents are encouraged, if not directed, to share the children on their birthdays; but, otherwise, those days shall fall as part of the regularly scheduled visitation.

4. The parent having custody of the children at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of injury or illness requiring medical treatment of any of the children.

5. Neither of the parents shall take the children into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to their development or to the development of their character or which would be commonly throught of as dangerous to their physical persons.

6. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the children; and, if the parties mutually agree to an alternative arrangement to accommodate themselves or the chidlren's schedules, they may adjust the physcial custody arrangements accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutal consent and agreement.

7. Each of the parents will teachthe children to develop and express that natural love and respect due a parent from his or her child, and neither ofthe parents will do anything to blakcen the character or regikious beliefs of either parent in the eyes of the chidlren. this admonition shall extend to the parents and grandparents of both of the parties and all the sibling and friends of the parties; and each parent shall be responsible for seeing that this is carried out by his/her parents, siblings, and friends.

8. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request additional Mediation if there are changed circumstances in the lives ofthe parties or the children or inthe event that the best interests of the children indicate a need for additional Mediation.

9. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either parent or the chidrlen reside inthe Court's jurisdiction and for at least six months from the date ofthis Temporary Order.

10. The fee for Mediation shall be paid one-third by the County of Crawford on behalf of the mother and two-thirds bythe father.

11. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall beocme a permanent Order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty (30) days fromthe date ofthis Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT,:
P. Richard Thomas,J

Mar. 28, 1989 Copies of order to Brian LIndsay, Gary Fabian, Plaintiff, defendant and Bruce Smith

Apr. 3, 1989 Petition for Contempt and Order filed. AND NOW, this 3 day of April, 1989, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a Rule to Show Cause is hereby entered upon Petitioner, Darla Valesky, to show cause why she should not be hald in contempt of Court. Said Rule is returnable on the 7 day of April, 1989, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvaniat 3:00 o'clock p.m.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Apr. 4, 1989 Copies of order to Brian Lindsay and Gary Fabian

Continued on page 612A

612
A

1988

Valesky vs. Valesky · A.D. 1988 - 918 DIVORCE/CUSTODY

Apr. 7, 1989 Motion To Withdraw Contempt Petition and Order filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of April, 1989, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Plaintiff's Motion to withdraw her Petition for Contempt is granted.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Apr. 10, 1989 Copies of order to Brian Lindsay and Gary Fabian. (Put in boxes.)

July 19, 1989 Petition For Alimony Pendente Lite, Spousal Support, Counsel Fees and Costs and RULE filed. AND NOW, this 19 day of July, 1989, a Rule is issued upon the Defendant to show cause why he should not pay alimony pendente lite, spousal support, counsel fees,expenses and costs.

Said Rule is returnable, the 29 day of Aug., 1989, Courtroom No. 1 of the Crawford Co. Courthouse, at 2:00 P.M., at which time a hearing will be held.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

July 19, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. Brian Lindsay and Gary Fabian.

July 31, 1989 ORDER filed. And now, July 31, 1989, hearing on Plaintiff's Petition for Alimony Pendente Lite, Spousal support, counsel fees and costs heretofore set for August 29, 1989, is hereby reset by the Court for August 31, 1989, at 1:30 p.m., in Courtroom 1.

For The Court,
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator

Aug. 1, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. Brian Lindsay, Gary Fabian.

Sept 18, 1989 Stipulation and Order filed: The foregoing stipulation of the parties is hereby approved and entered as an order of court this 15 day of Sept., 1989.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Sept 19, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys Lindsay and Fabian's boxes.

Nov. 15, 1989 Petition To Modify and Order filed. You, Darla Valesky, Plaintiff have been sued in court to modify custody of the children, Daniel Garth Valesky, Jr., Timothy William Valesky and Andrew James valesky.

You are ordered to appear in person at a mediation conference at a time, place and date to be established by the custody mediator. This Petition to Modify is hereby referred to Bruce L. Smith, Esquire for the purpose of conducting additional child custody mediation proceedings in the above-captioned matter.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against your or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL H ELPT.

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the PUblic Committee
Crawford County Courthouse
c/o Prothonotary
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 724-4636

1 copy atty 1 copy mediator

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Dec. 18, 1989 Temporary Order of Court filed. AND NOW, this 15 day of December, 1989, after additional Mediation and upon recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that;

1. The natural parents of Daniel, Timothy, and Andrew Valesky, ages six, five and three, respectively, the parties to this aciton, shall share legal custody of the said minor children. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitle both of the parties to equal access to any records relating to the children held by a third party, including, but not limited to, school and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to the educational and religious upbringing of the children, as well as elective surgery. With regard to the religious upbringing of the children, both parents shall be permitted to give their input to the children while the children are in their physical custody, but, they are admonished to not in anyway demean the other parent's religious beliefs or lifestyles while the children are in their custody. If th emother's residence changes and that would require the children to attend an elementary school other than th eone they currently attend or theone which serves the area where the father lives, the parents shall meet and discuss where the chidlren will go to school with an eye toward the best interest ofthe children. In the event that they cannot agreee, the children shall attend school at the school which served the mother's residence.

2. Physicl custody of the children shall also be shared. Regular weeks within the meaning of this paragraph shall be the weeks beginning on Sunday, being the first, second, fourth, and fifth (on occasion) weeks so beginning. The other week treated differently under this paragraph will be the week beginning on the third Sunday of each month. On "regular weeks," the children shall spend from 7:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Sunday through 12:30 p.m., prevailing time, on Thursday with the plaintiff mother. The children shall spend from 12:30 p.m. prevailing time, on Thursday through Sunday at 7:00 p.m., prevailing time, with the father. On the third week of each month, beginning on Sunday, the children shall spend the same time with the mother as above mentioned, except they shall be with the father from 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, until 9:30 p.m., prevailing time, on Wednesday of that week. IN that third week,they shall also spend from Friday at 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, until Sunday at 3:00 p.m., prevailing time, with the mother. From Sunday at 3:00 p.m., prevailing time, which will be the fourth Sunday of each month, until 7:00 p.m, prevailing time, they shall be with the father, at which time they shall be returned to the mother and remain until the change of custody takes place on Thursday at 12:30 p.m., prevailing time. When the children begin full-time schooling, they shall leave on Thursday from the mother's home for school and be picked up by the father after school on Thursday to begin their visitation with him. The pick-up and drop-off of the children shall be made by the father at the mother's residence.

3. HOliday visitation shall take priority over the other scheduled visitation. The parties are encouraged to agree to holiday visitation; however, in the event tha tthey cannot, during odd-numbered years, beginning in 1989, the father shallhave the children on Easter and the 4th of July each year, and themother shallhave the children on Memorial Day and Labor Day each year. Holidays shall begin at 9:00 a.m., prevailing time, and shall end at 7:00 p.m., prevailing time. The above holidays shall be reversed in even numbered years. The children shall spend Thanksgiving Day each year in the mother's home and they day following Thanksgiving each year in the father's home, beginning in 1989. With regard to Christmas Day each year, the children shall be available to spend Christmas each year in the father's home from 2:00 p.m., prevailing time, until 7:00 p.m. prevailing time. The other parts of Christmas Day shall be spent with the mother. The parents are encouraged, if not directed, to share the

612
B

Darla Valesky

vs.

Daniel Garth Valesky

Record continued from page 612 a

of record

A.D. 1988 - 918

****

chidlren on their birthdays; but, otherwise, those days shall fall as part of the regularly scheduled visitation.

4. The parent having custody of the children at any time shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of injry to or illness of the children requiring medical treatment.

5. Neither of the parent shall take the children into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to their development or to the development of their character or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to their physical person. This admonition in this paragraph shall extend to prohibit either parent from sharing a bedroom with a member of the oposite sex not related by blood or marriage while the children are in their physical custody. It is further believes, but not made mandatory, that both parents should make every effort to not have a member of the opposite sex not related by blood or marriage stary overnight while the chidlren are in their physical custody, even when not sharing a bedroom with a child.

6. EAch parent shall be permitted to call the children at the other parent's home two times each week when the children are with the other parent. Those telephone calls will be made between 7:00 and 8:00 p.m., prevailing time, to accommodate the chidlren's going-to-bed schedule. The parents shall not participate in those telephone calls, except to call the children to the telephone, and shall call the oldest child physically present in the home at that time to the telephone first. Thereafter, the child shall pass the telephone to another child until all of the children present in the home have spoken with the parent making the call. These telephone calls shall not be over fifteen minutes in duration.

7. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the children; and, if the parties mutually agree to alternative arrangements to accommodate themselves and/or the children's schedules, they may adjust the physical custody arrangements accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

8. Each of the parent will teach the chidlren to develop and express that natural love and respect due a parent from his or her child, and neither of the parent s will do anything to blacken the character of the other parent in the eyes of the children. The parents will be free while the children are with them to discuss their religious beliefs and to take the children to religious services, but the parents will not directly or by contrast attempt to describe or demean religious beliefes or lifestyles of the other parent. This admonition shall extend to the parents and grandparents of both of the parties and all of the sibling and friends of the parties, whether romantic or otherwise. Each parent shall be responsible for seeing that this admonition is carried out by his or her parents, grandparents, sibling and friends.

9. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request further Mediation if there are circumstances in the lives of the parties or the children or in the event that the best interest of the children indicate a need for additional Mediation.

10. The Court of Comon Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either of the parents or the children reside in the Court's jurisdiction and for at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

11. The fee for Mediation shall be paid one-third by the County of Crawford on behalf of the plaintiff mother and two-thirds by the defendant father.

12. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent Order unless exceptions are file dby either party within thirty (30) days from the date of this Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Dec. 19, 1989 Copies mailed to Bruce Smith and defendant and copies put in Brian Lindsay and Gary Fabian's box.

Feb 28, 1990 Petition for Special Relief and Order filed: AND NOW, this 26th day of February, 1990 upon presentation of the within petition a rule to show cause is hereby entered directed to the defendant to show cause, if any he hasm why the prayer of the plaintiff's petition should not be granted.

Said Rule is returnablefor hearing and/or argument for Friday, March 9, 1990 at 3:00 p.m. in Courtroom No. 2 of the Crawford County Courthosue, or soon thereafter as the attention of the Court may be had.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar 2, 1990 Copies of order to Mailed to Bruce Smith, and copies put in Attys. Lindsay and Fabian's boxes.

Aug. 15, 1990 Petition to Modify Custody & Visitation and Order filed. (1 copy (Mediator) Order: You Darla Valesky, plaintiff have beensued in court to modify custody of the children, Daniel Garth Valesky, Jr., Timothy William Valesky and Andrew James Valesky.

You are ordered to appear in person at a mediation conferen ce at a time, place and date to be established by the custody mediator. This Petition to Modify is hereby referred to Bruce L. Smith, Esquire for the purpose of conducting additional child custody mediation proceedings in the above captioned matter.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. If you do not have a lawyer or cannotone, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association, Service to the Public Committee
Crawford County Courthouse , c/o Prothonotary
Meadville, Pa. 16335 (814) 724-4636

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Date: August 15, 1990

Aug. 20, 1990 Copies mailed to Bruce Smith and defendant, and copies put in Brian Lindsay and Gary Fabian's boxes

Sept. 20, 1990 TEMPORARY ORDER OF COURT filed: AND NOW, this 18 day of Sept., 1990, after additional Mediation and upon recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Daniel, Timothy, and Andrew Valesky, ages seven, six, and four, respectively, the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of the said minor children. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitle both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the children held by a third party, including, but not limtied to, school and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to the educational and religious upbringing of the children, as well as elective surgery. With regard to the religious upbringing of the children, both parents shall be permitted to give their input to the children while the children are in their physical custody, but they are admonished to not in anyway demean the other parent's religious beliefs or

CONTINUED ON PAGE 612 (C).....

612
C

1988

Darla Velesky

VS.

Daniel Garth Valesky

Record Continued from Page 612 (B)

OF

AD 1988 - 918

lifestyles while the children are in their custody. If the mother's residence changes and that would require the children to attend an elementary school other than the one they currently attend or the one which serves the area where the father lives, the parents shall meet and discuss where the children will go to school with an eye toward the best interest of the children. In the event that they cannot agree, the children shall attend school at the school which serves the mother's residence.

2. Physical custody of the children shall also be shared. Regular weeks within the meaning of this paragraph shall be the weeks beginning on Sunday, being the first, second, fourth, and fifth (on occasion) weeks to beginning. The other week treated differently under this paragraph will be the week beginning on the third Sunday of each month. On "regular weeks," the children shall spend from 7:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Sunday through 12:30 p.m., prevailing time, on Thursday with the plaintiff mother. The children shall spend from 12:30 p.m., prevailing time, on Thursday through Sunday at 7:00 p.m., prevailing time, with the father. On the third week of each month, beginning on Sunday, the children shall spend the same time with the mother as above mentioned, except that, in that third week, they shall also spend from Friday at 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, until Sunday at 8:30 a.m., prevailing time, with the mother. From Sunday at 8:30 a.m., prevailing time, which will be the fourth Sunday of each month, until 5:00 p.m., prevailing time, they shall be with the father, at which time they shall be returned to the mother and remain until the change of custody takes place on Thursday at 12:30 p.m., prevailing time. When the children begin full-time schooling, they shall leave on Thursday from the mother's home for school and be picked up by the father after school on Thursday to begin their visitation with him. The pick-up and drop-off of the children shall be made by the father at the mother's residence.

3. Holiday visitation shall take priority over the other scheduled visitation. The parties are encouraged to agree to holiday visitation; however, in the event that they cannot, during odd-numbered years, beginning in 1989, the father shall have the children on Easter and the 4th of July each year, and the mother shall have the children on Memorial Day and Labor Day each year. Holidays shall begin at 9:00 a.m., prevailing time, and shall end at 7:00 p.m., prevailing time. The above holidays shall be reversed in even-numbered years. The children shall spend Thanksgiving Day each year in the mother's home and the day following Thanksgiving each year in the father's home, beginning in 1989. With regard to Christmas Day each year, the children shall be available to spend Christmas each year in the father's home from 2:00 p.m., prevailing time, until 7:00 p.m., prevailing time. The other parts of Christmas Day shall be spent with the mother. The parents are encouraged, if not directed, to share the children on their birthdays; but, otherwise, those days shall fall as part of the regularly scheduled visitation.

4. The parent having custody of the children at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of injury to or illness of the children requiring medical treatment.

5. Neither of the parents shall take the children into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to their development or to the development of their character or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to their physical person.. The admonition in this paragraph shall prohibit either parent from sharing a bedroom with any member of the opposite sex when any of the children are expected to also sleep in the same bedroom. It shall not prohibit either parent from sharing a bedroom with a member of the opposite sex while the children are in their physical custody so long as the children have other adequate sleeping accommodations in that parent's home.

6. Each parent shall be permitted to call the children at the other parent's home two times each week when the children are with the other parent. Those telephone calls will be made between 7:00 and 8:00 p.m., prevailing time, to accommodate the children's going-to-bed schedule. The parents shall not participate in those telephone calls, except to call the children to the telephone, and shall call the oldest child physically present in the home at that time to the telephone first. Thereafter, the child shall pass the telephone to another child until all of the children present in the home have spoken with the parent making the call. These telephone calls shall not be over fifteen minutes in duration.

7. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the children; and, if the parties mutually agree to alternative arrangements to accommodate themselves and/or the children's schedules, they may adjust the physical custody arrangements accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

8. Each of the parents will teach the children to develop and express that natural love and respect due a parent from his or her children, and neither of the parents will do anything to blacken the character of the other parent in the eyes of the children. The parents will be free while the children are with them to discuss their religious beliefs and to take the children to religious services, but the parents will not directly or by contrast attempt to describe or demean religious beliefs or lifestyles of the other parent. This admonition shall extend to the parents, grandparents, siblings, and friends (whether romantic or otherwise) of both parties. Each parent shall be responsible for seeing that this admonition is carried out by his or her parents, grandparents, siblings, and friends.

9. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request further Mediation if there are circumstances in the lives of the parties or the children or in the event that the best interest of the children indicate a need for additional Mediation.

10. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford Co., PA., shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either of the parents or the children reside in the Court's jurisdiction and for at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

11. The fee for Mediation shall be paid one-third by the County of Crawford on behalf of the plaintiff mother and two-thirds by the defendant father.

12. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent Order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty days from the date of this Temporary Order.

By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, Sr. Judge

Sept. 21, 1990 Copy of Order to Attys. Brian Lindsay, Gary Fabian, Bruce Smith.

Nov. 8, 1990, Appearance of Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, attorney for plaintiff Darla R. Valesky, filed.

Nov. 14, 1990, Motion to set Hearing on Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees, Appraisal Fees, Costs and Expenses, and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Nov. 14, 1990, the Hearing on Alimony Pendente lite, etc, is set for Dec. 18, 1990 at 2:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 in the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S. J.

Nov. 20, 1990 copies to M. Kirkpatrick, B. Lindsay, G. Fabian and B. Smith.

Nov. 27, 1990, STIPULATION entered into by parties filed.

Dec 6, 1990 Affidavit of Consent Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code filed. (Defendant)

Continued on 612D

612
D

1988

Dec. 18, 1990, Affidavit of Consent Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code filed.

Dec. 18, 1990, Income and Expense Statement Under Pa. R.C.P. 1920.31(a) filed.

Dec. 21, 1990 Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, December 18, 1990, the matter is before the Court for a scheduled review hearing. The parties are still separated. The plaintiff/wife now has separate living arrangements for herself, friend and three children. The wife's contention is that with the split custody arrangement and her limited employment experience, it is virtually impossible to find meaningful employment that would produce sufficient income while still accommodating the expense of a baby sitter for the youngest child. The Court accepts the defendant's post-ulation that his net take-home pay is about $3,271.00 per month and the Court continues to attribute an earning capacity to the mother of $500.00 per month.

Commencing with the month of January, 1991, the father's responsibility to pay support for the three (3) minor children is $750.00 per month, payable in two equal semi-monthly payments through the Comestic Relations Section. Commencing with the month of January, 1991, the defendant shall be responsible for paying alimony pendente lite to the wife through the Comestic Relations Office in the amount of $750.00 per month, payable in semi-monthly payments.

It is reported by the Domestic Relations Section that there is an arrearage of some $1190.00 on the present existing Order, which is disputed by the defendant. ACCORDINGLY, the Court places the obligation on the defendant to meet with the Comestic Relations C aseworker or Supervisor and attempt to clarify the situation.

When arrangements are ultimately determined , in addition to the aforesaid payments, the defendant will pay an additional $200.00 per month in semi-monthly payments until arrears are paid in full.

There is some confusion about arrearages on medical expenses, which by prior Court Order, were divided 90/10 between the parties. The Court interprets prior Orders to the effect that in previously denying spousal support it was not the intent of the Court that uncovered medical expenses of the spouse would be paid ninety percent (90%) by the husband.

NOw that the Court has awarded alimony pendente lite, the defendant is directed to continue coverage for his spouse and children under his personal medical plan. In the event there are uncovered medical expenses, dental expenses or prescription expenses in excess of $10.00 per prescription for either spouse or children, the husband shall be responsible for ninety percent (90%) thereof and the wife ten percent (10%).

Apparently both parties are interested in a bifurcated divorce, but in order to make equitable distribution, wife's counsel argues that an accountant must be retained to ascertain the value of the business, the real estate in which the business is operated and a rental property must be appraised and attorney fees must be advanced. ACCORDINGLY, the Court directs the defendant to advance to counsel for the plaintiff the sum of $1800.00 which would be used AS partial or total accountant expenses, with $900.00 to be paid January 15, 1991, and $900.00 by February 15, 1991. No further accountant fees will be authorized without further Order of Court.

Regarding real estate appraisal, the Court directs the defendant to advance the sum of $500.00 by January 15, 1991, and an additional $500.00 by February 15, 1991 to counsel for the plaintiff for use in paying a real estate appraiser to appraise necessary properties.

As far as attorney fees are concerned, the Court authorizes an initial payment of $1,000.00, $500.00 of which shall be paid on January 15, 1991 and an additional $500.00 on February 15, 1991 direct to counsel for the plaintiff.

The defendant is directed to pay costs in the amount of $15.00 in the Domestic Relations Section case.

The Court authorizes accountant, appraisal and attorney fees at this time, but leaves to the discretion of the Master and ultimately the deciding Court to allocate said fees equitably between the parties in the final decree.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P.Richard Thomas, S.J.

Dec. 21, 1990 copies of order to M. Kirkpatrick , B. Lindsay, G. Fabian, B. Smith and Domestic Relations.

Mar. 20, 1991 Contempt Petition and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, March 20, 1991, hearing on Plaintiff's Petition for Contempt is scheduled for April 24, 1991 at 11:00 O'clock p.m. in Courtroom Number 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, located in Meadville Pennsylvania.

By the Court:
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Mar. 21, 1990 copies of order to M. KIrkpatrick, B. Lindsay, G. Fabian, B. Smith and Domestic Relations

Apr. 29, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, April 24, 1991 this matter is before the Court on a contempt petition alleging the Defendan thas willfully and deliberately failed to comply with the Court's order of December 18, 1990. The Plaintiff's posture is that the the defendant is willfully, deliverately, and intentionally violating the Court's order andhas the resources to pay the alimony pendente lite and child support ordered and, in addition, has the resources to pay counsel fees and any costs ordered in previous orders. Plaintiff requests a jail sentence for contempt.

This matter is confusing to the Court and the Court wishes time to analyze its original order, examine the income tax return of the defendant for 1990 (a copy of which will de delivered to the Court forthwith), and the payments made onthis support order.

The Domestic Relations Section is directed to give the Court an itemized statement of child support paid inthis case since July 1, 1990 and the dates on which said payments were made and, in addition, is directed to give the Court a statement of alimony pendente lite paid since December 18, 1990 and the date on which the same was paid.

The Court takes the matter under advisement, will review its previous order and enter a subsequent order scheduling further hearing (if necessary).

The Court notes a petition to modify has been filed in the Domestic Relations Section and at the present time, a hearing before a hearing office ris scheduled June 26, 1991. The Court anticipates that an order by this Court will be entered, and if the court wishes the modification hearing be held before this Court instead of a hearing officer at the domestic Relations Section, the cour twill so note in its order anticipated within the next week or two.

The Plaintiff has secured part-time employment at the end of February and the Court requests within 10 days a statement from the employer showing gross and net earnings of the Plaintiff through April 21, 1991.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Apr. 29, 1991 2 copies each to Kirkpatrick & Fabian; 1 copy Dom Rel.

May 10, 1991 Plaintiff's Memorandum in Support of Contempt Petition filed.

May 15, 1991 MEMORANDUM AND ORDER FILED. ORDER: AND NOW, May 15, 1991 having found the defendant in wilful and deliberate con-tempt of former court orders, he is hereby sentenced to undergo imprisionment in the Crawford County Jail for a minimum term of two (2) months and a maximum term of four(4) months. Sentence of imprisonment will be suspended on the following conditions:

1. The defendant will continue to pay at the required time, the child support and alimony pendente lite obligations on a monthly basis.
2. In addition, the defendant will pay an additional sum of $100 per month on the child support obligation to apply toward arrears commencding with the month of May, 1991 and each and every month thereafter until the same is paid in full.
3. Commencing with the month of May 1991 the defendant will pay an additional $100 per month on the alimony pendente lite arrears until the same is paid in full.
4. The balance due on accounting, appraisal and attorney fees set forth in prior orders will be paid in full on or before June 15, 1991.

This order is made without prejudice to the right of the Hearing Officer to recommend for Court approval a change in child support and alimony awards if the net incomes and circumstances of the parties warrant. The Hearing Officer may not change or modify this court's order regarding the award of attorney, appraisal and accountant fees.

The court lodges a copy fothe parties' 1990 income Tax Returns and a statement of wife's current earnings with the file at the divorce action and with the file in the domestic relations action.

BY ORDER FO THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

May 15, 1991, copies to M. Kirkpatrick and Gary Fabian.

Record Continued on Pg. 612E

612E

1988

Continuation of Valesky vs. Valesky A.D. 1988 - 918 DIVORCE

Dec. 20, 1991 Motion To Compel Production Of Expert Evaluations and Order filed. AND NOW, this 20 day of Dec., 1991 the foregoing motion is scheduled for argument on the 8 day of Jan., 1992, at the courthouse, in Meadville, Pennsyvlania in Courtroom No. 2PM

BY THE COURT,
P R Thomas, S.J.

Jan. 2, 1992 Copies of order put in box for atty's Fabian and Kirkpatrick

Jan. 8, 1992 MOTION TO CONTINUE and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 8th day of Jan., 1992, argument upon defendant's Motion to Compel Production of Expert Ecaluations is continued to the 6th day of Feb., 1992, to occur at the Courthouse, in Meadville, Pa., in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 at 3:00 p.m.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan 13, 1992 copy in box for M.A. Kirkpatrick, B. Lindsay & G. Fabian

Feb. 13, 1992 Motion To Continue and Order filed. AND NOW, this 11th day of February, 1992, argument upon defendant's motion to Compel Production of Expert Evaluations is continued to the 24 day of February, 1992, to occur at the Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania in Courtroom No. 1 at 10:00 o'clock a.m. BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Feb. 19, 1992 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Fabian and Kirkpatrick

Oct. 28, 1993 Motion to Withdraw and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 26 day of October, 1993, the request of the foregoing Motion is hereby granted, and Gary A. Fabian is given leave to withdraw from the representation of the defendant in the above-captioned matter.

BY THE COURT
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

11-5-93 Copy of Order to Attys. Kirkpatrick, Lindsay, FAbian & Smith.

Jan. 21, 1994 Inventory of DArla R. VAlesky,Plaintiff, filed.

Feb. 23, 1994 Income and Expense Statement of Darla R. Velesky, Plaintiff, filed.

Mar. 1, 1994, Motion to Appoint Master in Divorce and Order appointing master filed. ORDER APPOINTING MASTER: AND NOW, Mar 1, 1994, DAVID PICKENS, ESQ. is appointed master in respect tothe following claims:
Divorce, equitable distribution, Alimony, Counsel Fees, costs and expenses.
Deposit required:
Plaintiff: $250. Defendant $750.
If not filed already the parties are ordered to file their pretrial statements within 30 days from this date.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. MIller, J.

Mar. 7, 1994, copies of order to David Pickens, Kirkpatrick, Lindsay, Bruce smith.

Apr. 14, 1994 Appearance of Ferguson & Spataro by Douglas W. Ferguson, Esquire for Daniel G. Valesky, Defendant, filed.

April 21, 1994 Notice of Scheduled Pre-Hearing Conference by Master on Friday, May 6, 1994 at 2:00 p.m., filed.

May 11, 1994, Summary of Pre-Hearing conference Held on May 6, 1994 at 2:00 filed.

May 11, 1994, Notice of scheduled Master's Hearing filed.

June 6, 1994 Final Statement of Master's Fees filed.

Jun. 7, 1994 Petiton for Additional Claim, filed.

June 10, 1994 Stipulation filed.

June 10, 1994 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney for Review Under Section 3301(c) of Divorce Code this case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

June 13, 1994 And, Now, June 10, 1994, it is ordered and decreed that DARLA R. VALESKY, plaintiff, and DANIEL G. VALESKY, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony. The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

Equitable Distribution:

1. Husband shall be the sole owenr of all parcels of real property owned by husband and wife together or by husband solely in his own name or by husband jointly with any other person. Wiefe shall execute quit-claim deeds, at husband's request, for any such real estate.
2. Husband shall pay to wife the sum of $67,000.00 at the time of the entry of this Decree.
3. Each party shall be the sole owner of all personal property now in his or her own possession including but not limited to vehicles and household goods.

Alimony, court costs and counsel fees:

4. Each party shall be solely liable for his and her own counsel fees, costs and expenses except that neither shall be required to reimburse the other for any payments made prior to the date of this Decree by either of them.
5. Husband shall be solely liable for all Master's fees related to this divorce which shall be paid from the advance deposit made by husband. The Prothonotary is directed to pay the sum of $117.00 to David W. Pickens, Esq. as Master's fees and to return the balance of husband's advance deposit to husband. Wife's advance deposit shall be returned to her.
6. Wife shall waive her claim for alimony.
7. Husband shall be solely liable to provide insurance for the children. Until such time as her annual net incoem is $15,000.00 or more, wife's contribution for the children's uninsured medical expenses, including orthodontia, shall not exceed 10%. When wife's annual net income is $15,000.00 or more, her share of the children's uninsured medical expenses shall be as determined from the Child Support Guideliens then in effect. The provisions of this paragraph are not subject to modification and shall not be used to reduce husband's duty to support the children.

Child Support:

8. Wife shall be entitled to claim the parties' youngest living child as a dependent exemption for income tax purposes and husband shall be entitled to claim the remaining child or children for such purposes.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

612 F

1988

John Murphy

vs.

Noreen Murphy

Record continued from page 151

of record

A.D. 1988 - 701

****

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 11-17-93. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: July 3, 1997

July 8, 1997 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

ROBIN ALLEN

VS.

CHARLES LEE CALVERT, JR.

Record Continued from Page 163

of

AD 1988-713

8888888888

William DeRoss

vs.

Betty DeRoss

Record continued from page 567

of record

A.D. 1988 - 1117

****

Aug. 31, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, August 29, 1990, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Beth Ann DeRoss and Angela Sue DeRoss shall be shared bewteen their father, William DeRoss, and their mother, Betty DeRoss, tha tis, each parent shall be entitled full access to school records, medical records and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part in important decisions affecting the children suchas the chidlren's education, religious instruction and medical treatment.

II. Physical custody of Beth ann DeRoss and Angela Sue DeRoss shall be with their mother, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take Beth Ann DeRoss into his custody as such times as it is agreeable to the minor child, Beth Ann DeRoss, and her mother, Betty DeRoss.

B. The father shall be entitled to visit and take Angela Sue DeRoss into his custody the fisrt and third weekends of each month (except the first weekend of September, 1990), from Friday at 6:00 p.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m. The father shall provide the mtoehr and child with reasonable notic eif he is unable to keep any scheduled weekend visits an din any event, if the fathe ris more than one (1) hour late in picking up the child for such weekend visit, the child and mother may consider th evisit cancelled.

The first weekdn and the third weekend of each month shall be deemed to be the weekend in which the first saturday and the third Saturday of each month fall.

C. The fathe rshall be entitled to visit and take Angela Sue DeRoss into his custody every Wednesday from 4:00 p.m. until 9:00 p.m.

D. Beginning with the Summer of 1991 the fathe rshall be entitled to have Angela Sue DeRoss in his custoyd for three (3) consecutive weeks and shall provide the mtoher with at least thirty (30) days notice ofthe three (3) week period in which he intends to exercise such Summer visitation.

E. Holidays shall be defined as Thanksgiving, Christmas, and Easter. The parties shall share custody of Angela Sue DeRoss on the three above mentioned holidays and in the event the parties are unable to agree, Angela shall be with her mother until 2:00 p.m., on each of the three holidays and with her fahter from 2:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m. on those three holidays.

F. On weekend visits it shall be the responsibility of the mtoher to deliver th eminor child to the home of the father and it shall be the respons;ibility of the father to provide transportation of th eminor child on the return trip to the mother's home.

G. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to harass the other parent or to turn the children againt th eother parent, but onthe other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude onthe part of the children towards both parents.

H. Neither mother nor fathe rshall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

I. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding one or both of the cildren and both parents agree to furnish th eother with a current telephone numbers and current addresses at all times.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Costs of the mediation conference shall be divied with the father paying one-fourth of the costs thereof, the mother paying one-fourth thereof and the remaining one-half to be paid by Crawford County.

Gordon r. Miller, J

Sept. 6, 1990 Copies of order put in Bettie Muth, William Walker and John Fuller's box and copies mailed to plaintiff and defendant.

Feb. 25, 1991, Inventory and Appraisement of the Plaintiff Wm. DeRoss filed.

June 3, 1991 Motion For Appointment of Master In Divorce and ORDER APPOINTING MASTER filed. AND NOW, May 29, 1991, Douglas Ferguson, Esquire, is appointed master in respect to the following claims: 201(c) or (d) Divorce and Equitable distribution.

Deposit required: By Plaintiff $250;
By Defendant $250.

By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Date: 5-29-91

June 7, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. Bettie Peterson-Muth, William Walker and Douglas Ferguson.

June 18, 1991 Pre-hearing Conference filed.

July 23, 1991 Notice Of Master's Hearing filed.

July 23, 1991 Proof of Notice of Master's Hearing filed.

July 23, 1991 Pre-Hearing Conference Memo filed.

Aug. 2, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Aug. 2, 1991, Income and Expense Statement of plaintiff filed.

Oct. 25, 1991 Motion To Re-Appoint Custody Mediator and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 25th day of October, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, John Fuller is appointed custody mediator to review the existing custody arrangement and make any required changes thereto.

By The Court:
Gordon R. Miller, j.

Oct. 25, 1991 One copy of Order to Attys. Wm. Walker, John Fuller; Oct. 30, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Bettie Muth.

Dec. 31, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 7, 1992 Stipulation filed.

Feb. 7, 1992 Upon praecipe of defendant attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Feb. 20, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, February 18, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that William DeRoss, Plaintiff and Betty DeRoss, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Continued of Record to Page 614 A

1988 TERM

William DeRoss
vs.
Betty DeRoss

Continued of record from Page 614

AD 1988 - 1117

****

July 10, 1992 ORDER FILED. AND NOW, JUly 2, 1992, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED as follows, to wit:.

I. Legal custody of Angela Sue DeRoss shall be shared between the mother and fther, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affecting the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject child.

II. Physical custody of Angela Sue DeRoss shall be with her mother,subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody the first and third weekends of each month from six o'clock, p.m., on Friday to six o'clock, p.m., on Sunday beginning June 19, 1992. (The first and third weekend shall be those weekends on which the first and third Friday fall.)

B. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter, and Thanksgiving. Custody of the child shall be shared on the aforesaid holidays and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the child shall be in the custody of the mother on the aforesaid holidays until 1:00 p.m. and in the custody of the father on the afdoresaid holidays from 1:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m.

C. Beginning with the summer of 1992, the child shall be in the custody of the father for a period of two weeks. The father shall give the mother at least thirty (30) days notice of the dates of the summer visit.

D. Transporation of the child on weekends, holidays and summer visits shall be equally divided with the parent receiving custody providing transportation.

E. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

F. Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or well being.

G. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the child and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

H. The child shall not be left along with either Eddie MIller, Nathan Bueler or Jake Lucas, without a responsible adult present.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be divided with the fat her paying one-half of the cost thereof and the mother paying one-half of the cost thereof.

Gordor R. Miller, Judge

July 10, 1992 Copy of the Order to William Walker, Esquire, Bettie Peterson-Muth, Esq. Plft. & Deft.John Fuller, Esquire

1988 TERM

David E. Perry
vs.
Doris A. Perry

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 624
of Record
A.D. 1988 - 669

****

8. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

9. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor child. The parties are cautioned that medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit other to do so in a closed automobiel in which the child is being transported or in the bedroom of the child.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be made by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this order shall govern.

12. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $102.00, are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case.

13. The deposit required for the appontment of the Custody Mediator was made by the mother. The deposit shall first be applied against the mother's share of costs and the remainder, if any, shall be remitted to her. The father shall pay his share of costs and fees to the Prothonotary of Crawford County within thirty (30) days of this order.

14. Either party maymove the Court for a hearing de novo for ten (10) days from date hereof. Ifneither party so mvoes, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Nov. 19, 1993 copy to W. Pineo, D. Ferguson, E. Spadafore, Plf. & Dft.

Jan. 5, 1994 Mediator's Motion for Correction and ORDER ON MEDIATOR'S MOTION FOR CORRECTION filed. AND Now, this 5th day of January, 1994, upon consideration of the foregoing motion,the same is hereby granted.

The last sentence of Paragraph 3a of the temporary order in the above referenced matter which reads, "The parties shall thereafter alternate the four month periods of temporary physical custody." is hereby deleted. In all other respects, the temporary order is affirmed.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

1-11-94 Copy of Order to Attys. Pineo, Ferguson & Spadafore

1988

Connie S. Cauvel

vs.

Ralph E. Loper and
John A. Shartle

REcord Continued from page 3

of

AD 1988 - 553

June 2, 1989, Request to Supplement Responses to Previously Served Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents, filed. (Loper)

June 2, 1989, Request to Supplement REsponses to Previously Served Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents, filed. (Shartle)

June 9, 1989, Plaintiff's Motion in Limine filed.

July 11, 1989 UPon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above-captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

Terry L. Sefert

VS.

Elyce J. Sefert

Record Continued from Page 233

of

AD 1988 - 783

The request for counsel fees is delayed with the consent of Defendant/Wife and may be considered as part of an ultimate equitable distribution in the final divorce decree, which would dispose of costs in the case and attorney fees incurred by both parties.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

July 31, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. William Gregg, James Irwin, Domestic Relations, Plaintiff and Defendant.

Aug. 8, 1989 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 8th day of August, 1989, after mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations ofthe Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Legal and physical custody of the subject minor child, randy A. Sefert, is hereby awarded tohis mother, Elyce J. Sefert.

2. No provision for visitation shall be made at this time but the parties are directed to make such good faith efforts as they can to end the complete estrangement which now exists between the father and his son so that some contact, however minimal, might be made. If the parties are unable to arrange visitation or contact by mutual agreement within six months of the date of this Order, either party may request further Mediationon this issue.

3. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $69.50, and vidied equally between the parties with the share of each being assessed tohim or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford county.

4. Either party may move the Court for a he aring de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereto. If niether party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 9, 1989 Copies of order put in William Gregg, James Irwin and William Pineo's box and copies mailed to plaintiff and defendant.

Feb. 27, 1990, Petition for continued Alimony Pendente Lite and Order filed. AND NOW, Feb. 21, 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petitin a hearing is scheduled in the court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pa. on the 30 day of March 1990 at 2:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

2 copies (atty)FOR THE COURT, Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.
Feb. 28, 1990 copies to w. Gregg, J. Irwin and W. Pineo.

Apr. 2, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, March 30, 1990, at the time set for hearing, the plaintiff did not appear as he is a resident of Florida, but his attorney appeared as did the defendant andher attorney. Although the Court has some difficulty placing full blame for holding this action up on one of the parties, it is obvious that the plaintiff, who brought this action in the first place, has not d one everything that he is required to do to place this case at issue. For instance, he has not fully answered Interrogatories propounded of him in that he did not set forth his pension nand he has not filed a 201(c) consent nor an inventory and appraisement.

THEREFORE, THE Order of July 26, 1990 regarding alimony pendente lite is continued for three more months, to-wit: commencing with April, 1990, with a payment to be made on or before the 10th of each month commencing with April 10, 1990.

In allother respects, the Order of July 26, 1989 remains in full force and effect.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 2, 1990 Copies of order put in Kathleen Nelson and James Irwin's box.

Apr. 10, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 1, 1990 INventory and Appraisement Of Terry L. Sefert, Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 18, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Nov. 27, 1991 Agreement of Both Parties filed.

Nov. 27, 1991 Qualified Domestic Relations Order filed. WHEREAS, Elyce J. Sefert and Terry L. Sefert are presently husband and wife, but have been separated and are presently involved in a divorce action at the within case number; and

WHEREAS, Elyce J. Sefert, hereinafter ("Wife" or Alternate Payee") and Terry L. Sefert, hereinafter ("Husband or Participant") have raised claims and pleadings for, inter alia, equitable distribution of marital property pursuant to the Pennsylvania Divorce Code, 23, P.S. Section 101, et seq.; and

WHEREAS, as a part of said equitable distribution, Husband has agreed that Wife will receive all of his right, title and interest in and to the Amcast Industrial Corporation Merged Pension Plan, formerly known as the Amcast Industrial Corporation Pension Plan for Salaried Employees, as amended and restated effective January 1, 1987, her einafter referred to as ("Plan"), which benefits shall be paid in accordance with the terms of the Plan; and

WHEREAS, counsel for Husband and counsel for Wife have acknowledged that this Qualified Domestic Relations Order is being made pursuant to the Domestics Relations Laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as it relates to the provisions being made by Husband for Wife relating to his/her marital property rights; and

WHEREAS, it is intended that this Order will qualify as a Qualified Domestic Relations Order, as defined in Section 414(p)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 ("Code"), and the provisions hereof shall be administered andinterpreted in conformity withthe Code.

NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to Section 414(p)(2) of the Code, the following facts are hereby specified:

1. The plan to which this Order applies is the Amcast Industrial Corporation Merged Pension Plan, formerly known as the Amcast Industrial Corporation Pension Plan for Salaried Employees, as amended and restated effective January 1, 1987, or any successor Plan.

2. The name of the Plan participant is TERRY L. SEFERT, ("Participant"), whose current mailing address is 2405 Johnson Dirve, Lynn Haven, Florida 32444, and whose Social Security Number is 207-38-0254.

3. The name and address of the alternate payee is Elyce J. Sefert ("Alternate Payee"), whose current mailing address is P.O. Box 55, Hartstown, Pennsylvania 16131, and whose Social Security Number is 198-40-1823.

4. The amount of the Participant's accrued benefit under the plan to be paid to the Alternate Payee is $183.73 per month at age 65.

Continued on page 616-A

Terry L. Sefert

vs.

Elyce J. Sefert

Record continued from page 616

of record
A.D. 1988 - 783

****

5. The Plan provides the Participant with an option to obtain benefits at the Earliest Retirement Age, as defined in Section 414(p)(4)(B) ofthe Code, at which time, benefits may, at the Alternate Payee's election, be payable to the Alternate Payee on or after the date on which the Participant attains the Earliest Retirement Age, as if the Participant had retired on that date even if the participant has not actually retired on that date even if the Participant has not actually retired or separated form service, at the discounted rate of $118.14 per month.

6. In the event of the Participant's death prior to the commencement of retirement or preretirement benefits hereunder, the Alternate Payee shall receive no benefits under the Plan, which provides for lifetime benefits only.

7. The term of said payment, pursuant to the Plan is for the life of the Participant with payments to commence at the retirement date chosen by the Alterante Payee, but in no event earlier than the Earliest Retirement Date.

8. The Alternate Payee shall have the same rights with regard to her benefits, payable pursuant to this Qualified Domestic Relations Order as are available to the Participant. These rights include, but are not limited to, the right to elect form the them existing retirement dates and payments options. In no event shall the Alternate Payee have greater rights than those which are available to the Pa rticipant. The Alternate Payee is not entitled to any benefti not otherwise provided under the Plan. The Alterante Payee is not entitled to any benefit specifically prohibited by the Retirement Equity Act of 1984 P.L. 98-397, August 23, 1984, 98 Stat. 1426. Any reasonable costs incurred by the Plan Administrator to effectuate the terms and provisions of this Qualified Domestic Relations Order shall be assessed equally against the Participant and the Alternate Payee. The parties shall promptly notify the Plan Administrator of the Amcast Industrial Corporation Merged Pension Plan, formerly known as the Amcast Industrial Corporation Pension Plan for Salaried Employees, of any change in their addresses from those set forth above in this Order.

9. It is intended that this Order shall qualify as a Qualified Domestic Relations Order under the Retirement Equity Act of 1984. The Court retains jurisdiction to amend this Order as might be necessary to establish or maintain its status as a qualified Domestic Relations Order under the Retirement Equity Act of 1984; provided that no amendment of this Order shall require the Plan to provide any type or form of benefit of option not otherwise provided under said Plan.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Dec. 3, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Nelson and Irwin

Dec. 4, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. WILLIAM J. GREGG, ESQ., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of lthe Complaint in Divorce and Notice were sent by registered Mail to the Defendant, Elyce J. Sefert, on Sept. 17, 1988.
3. The registered mail was sent to Elyce J. Sefert, P.O. Box 55, Hartstown, PA 16131. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on Sept. 20, 1988. Said Return Receipt card P 725 347 016 is attached hereto, signed by Elyce J. Sefert and made a part hereof.

Dec. 6, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Atty. Gregg, for review, this case moved to court.

Dec. 13, 1991 D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .

And now, December 13, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that TERRY L. SEFERT, plaintiff, and ELYCE J. SEFERT, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

616
-B-

Anita M. Brown
vs.
Daryl A. Brown

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 609
of Record
A. D. 1988 - 573

July 20, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 17, 1992, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esq., IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED as follows, to wit:

I. Legal custody of Carrie Brown and Tiffany Brown shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full acdess to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject children.

II. Physical custody of Carrie Brown and Tiffany Brown shall be with their father, subject to the following conditions:

A. The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the child, Tiffany Brown, into her custody every Saturday from 10:00 a.m., to 6:00 p.m., the same day, beginning July 11, 1992, at the home of George Brown.

B. The mother shall be entitled to visit and have the child, Carrie Brown, at such times and places as are mutually agreeable to the mother and her daughter, Carrie Brown.

C. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. Custody of the children shall be shared on the aforesaid holidays and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the children shall be in the custody of the father on the aforesaid holidays until 1:00 p.m., and in the custody of the mother on the aforesaid holidays from 1:00 p.m. until 6:00 p.m.

D. Transportation of the children on Saturdays, holidays and other visits shall be equally divided between the mother and father, as they agree.

E. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

F. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the childrne's health or well-being.

G. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

H. The parents and children are strongly encouraged to see that the children see and visit grandparents and other relatives on both sides of the family.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference will be divided as follows: 1/2 to be paid by the father; and 1/2 to be paid by Crawford County.

Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 23, 1992 copy mailed to D. Devine, D Kuhn, Plf. & Dft. Copy in box for J. Fuller

1988

Wendell & Doris Sherred

vs.

Howard & Wini Worley

Record continued from page 377

of record

A.D. 1988 - 927

****

Oct. 16, 1989 Exhibits filed.

Oct. 20, 1989 Verdict and Award of Damages filed. After Nonjury trial, we find for the Plaintiffs in the amount of $2,510.00 constituting $1,400.00 in unpaid principal on the $4,400.00 purchase price, and $1,110.00 in interest at 10% per anum.

We further find for the Plaintiffs in the amount of $703.00, being the Plaintiffs unreinbursed expenses of $586.00 for leech field and well paid on behalf of the defendants by the Plaintiffs, plus $117.00 in interest at 6% per anum.

Accordingly, we enter a verdict against the Defendants and in favor of the Plaintiffs in a total amount of $3,213.00.

We defer action on the Plaintiffs prayer for ejectment and grant the dfendants 30 days to fulfill their obligations under the contract by paying all damages awarded by this Court within such time. The Plaintiffs will then be required to tender the deed of the subject premises to the Defendants. If the Defendants fail to pay the verdict within said 30 days, we will then entertain a Plaintiffs' Motion for Disposition of the Ejectment Action.

The Defendants shall pay costs in this case within 30 days.

W-84-174 Date: October 20, 1989

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Oct. 23, 1989 Copies order put in Stephen Hall and Brian Lindsay's box

618

1988

Plateau Farms

VS.

Ford Motor Co., Ivan Chaffee and David McClellen, indiv. and t/a, d/b/a C&M Service; and Ed Bartosek, indiv. and t/a, d/b/a Ed Bartosek Agri Sales & Service

Record Continued from Page 221

OF

AD 1988 - 771

****

Oct. 23, 1989 Certificate of Service of Defendant Ford Motor Company's Interrogatories filed.

Nov. 21, 1989, Notice of Deposition of Kevin Cole filed.

Nov. 21, 1989, Notice of Deposition of Alton Zilhaver filed.

Jan 12, 1990 Bartosek Reply to C & M Service New Matter filed.

Jan. 19, 1990, Upon praecipe of Louis J. Stack, Esq. Verification with Reply to New Matter filed.

June 14, 1990, Upon praecipe of James W. Koch, counsel for Ford Motor Co., defendant, appeara nce entered and substituted for appearance of Thomas C. Gricks, III, filed.

Aug. 15, 1990 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney Certificate of Readiness and to be placed on Trial List filed.

On October 1990 Trial List

Sept. 26, 1990 Certificate of Service of Notices to Attend filed.

October 1, 1990 Certificate of Service of Pre-Trial Narrative filed.

Oct. 5, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record.

Oct. 8, 1990 Moiton to Remove from Trial List and Order filed. AND NOW, this 5th day of October, 1990, upon consideration of the above motion, the above captioned action is settled and the same is removed from the trial list. By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Oct. 9, 1990 Copies of order given to David Picken, Daneil Kuhn, Louis Stack and James Koch

Georgiana Hahn

vs.

Edwin W. Hahn

Record Continued from page 1.
of
AD 1988 - 551

Nov. 17, 1989, Petition for Contempt for Violationof Order of court and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 17 dayof Nov. 1989, hearing onthe Plaintiff's Petition for Contempt is set for Nov. 22, 1989, in C. R. No. 2, at 10:00 a.m. in Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.
P. Richard Thomas, J.
Nov. 20, copies to atty. Gavin, R. Felton and Ann Gamble, (mail)

Nov. 22, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, November 22, 1989, after an informal hearing at which both counsel have presented the positions of their respective clients, and it appearing to the Court that there is no genuine issue of fact in this matter, the following Order is entered:

1. The Defendant is adjudicated in contempt for failure to execute the application for vehicle registration for the 1983 Chrysler Sedan, the possession of which was previously awarded to the Plaintiff. He may purge himself of contempt by this date executing the application without the necessity of change of address, and upon his failure to purge himself of contempt, he is remanded to the Crawford County Jail until he does purge himself of contempt.
2. Possession of said 1983 Chrysler shall be returned to the Plaintiff on or before November 24, 1989, and the Defendant shall not remove if from the Plaintiff's possesison, irrespective of who he may see driving the vehicle.
3. The Defendant shall not in the future make any deductions from the alimony pendente lite award for real or supposed expenses that he may incur, such expenses to be treated, if necessary, by the Master at the time of the divorce hearing.
4. The Court refuses to adjudicate the defendant in contempt in connection with the minimal amounts that have been deducted from alimony pendente lite payments in connection with alleged long distance phone calls.
5. The Defendant shall cooperate in any manner required to maintain payment of Plaintiff's hospital and medical bills through his insurance.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Nov. 27, 1989 Copies mailed to Ann Gamble and JohnGavin

May 10, 1990 Mtion to pay Court Reporter and Order filed. And now, 5/21/90 Motion granted.
P. Richard Thomas, J

May 22, 1990 Copies of order mailed to Ann Hansen Gamble and John Gavin, 5/21/90 copy given to Atty. Felton.

July 13, 1990, Master's Report in Divorce filed. (by Atty. R. Felton, Master)
(deposition and envelope of exhibits included)

July 13, 1990, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

July 13, 1990, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

July 23, 1990 Defendant's Exceptions to Master's Report filed.

July 23, 1990 Exceptions to Master's Report filed.

Aug. 30, 1990 Approval and Motion For Payment Of Master' Fees filed.

Aug. 30, 1990 Payment Of Master;s Fees and Court Reporter Fees: Order filed. The Master in this divorce petitions the Court for payment of Master's fees, and the Court hereby sets Master's fees in this case in the amount of $1,700.00. Additionally, the file contains an unpaid court reporter's bill from James I. McCleery in the amount of $587.65. Originally, we ordered the parties to deposit the sum of $600. 00 which remains in the Prothonotary's hands.
Accordingly, we direct the Prothonotary to pay the McCleery reporting bill in the amount of $587.65 be paid $844.32 to be paid by the Pl aintiff and the balance of $844.33 to be paid by the Defendant. These unpaid Master's fees shall be paid within 15 days of th efiling of this Order to the Prothonotary of Crawford County for distribution to the Master.
This Order for payment of reporter and Master's fees is made by the Court without prejudice to the righ tof the Court to reallocate said fees when fuling on the Exceptions filed in this case.
Date: August 28, 1990

FOR THE COURT,
P. richard Thomas, SJ.

Aug. 30, 1990 Copies of order mailed to Ann Gamble, John Gavin and Robert Felton

Sept. 14, 1990 Petition For Bifurcation and Order filed. AND NOW, this 14 day of September, 1990, hearing on the Plaintiff's Petition for bifurcation is set for Oct. 4, 1990, in Courtroom #1 or #2, at 1:30 o'clock, p.m., in Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pennsylvania.
P. Richard Thomas

Sept. 18, 1990 Copies of order mailed to Ann Gamble and John Gavin

Oct. 10, 1990 **M E M O R A N D U M A N D D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, October 9, 1990, Georgiana Hahn and Edwin W. Hahn are divorced from the bonds of matrimony on the grounds that both acknowledge the marriage is irretrievably broken and the record in this case discloses this fact.
In divorcing the parties, we reserve for future disposition all of the ancillary matters raised in the pleadings.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Oct. 15, 1990, Notice of Election to Retake Maiden (or Prior) Name filed.
To Be Known as: GEORGIANA M. HINKLE.

Oct. 22, 1990, Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, the above captioned action is placed on next argument list.

ON NOVEMBER 1990 ARGUMENT LIST.

Continued on Page 620.....

620

1988

Georgiana Hahn

VS.

Edwin W. Hahn

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 619

OF

AD 1988 - 551

****

Nov. 21, 1990 Motion to Continue and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 20 day of November, 1990, the argument in the bove matter set for Nov. 28, 1990, is continued until Dec. 17, 1990.
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Nov. 28, 1990 Copy of Order to Attys. Ann H. Gamble, John R. Gavin and Robert Felton.

Dec. 12, 1990, ORDER: AND NOW, Dec. 10, 1990, at the request of counsel, argument in the above captioned matter set for Dec. 17, 1990, is continued generally, to be reset upon motion of either party.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

Dec. 12, 1990, copies to A. Gamble and John Gavin Esqs.

Mar. 21, 1991 Praecipe to place the above captioned action on the next Argument list of the Court filed.

On April 1991 Argument List

Apr. 29, 1991 Order of Equitable Distribution filed. WHEREAS, the parties hereto have each filed exceptions to the Order of the Master previously filed; and
WHEREAS, the parties have compromised their differences and stipulated in open court to the entry of an order equitably distributing their marital assets; IT IS HERE BY ORDERED AND DECREED as follows:

1. The Assoicated British Industries Corporation Employees Savings and Profit Sharing shall be divided between the parties hereto by a separate Qualified Domestic Relations Order.
2. The Chrysler automobile now in the possession of the plaintiff is awarded to her. The defendant shall make the transfer by a limited power of attorney coupled with an interest within ten (10) days of the date of this order. If he fails and refuses so to do, the Prothonotary of Crawford County is authorized to executed any necessary documents of transfer on his behalf at the request of the plaintiff. No other or further Order of Court shall be required.
3. Upon payment to her of the sum of Fifteen Thousand ($15,000.00) Dollars, the plaintiff shall transfer to the defendant her interest in the marital residence at which is commonly know as 515 Jones Street, Titusville, Pennsylvania, a description of the said premises being attached hereto incorporated herein by reference at Exhibit 1.
4. In the event tha tthe defendant fails for any reason to pay to the plaintiff the sum of Fiteen Thousand ($15,000.00) Dollars on or before February 1, 1991, then the parties shall list the residence for sale with any broker which the defendant shall elect with a primary office in the citiy of Titusville, Pennsylvania. At such time as a sale is make, the net proceeds of sale after the payment of brokers commission, transfer taxes, and attorneys fees shall be allocated between the parties within the plaintiff receiving sixty percent (60%) ofth enet proceeds and the defendant receiving forty percent (40%) of the net proceeds. The Defendant having had the full use of the premises since August of 1988, shall be responsible and shall pay any and all real estate taxes, fire insurance, and other costs of inhabiting the dwelling fromthe date of separation to date of sale. In the event that the property is listed for sale, the defendant shall apy to the plaintiff the sum of one hundred ($100.00) per month which shall be the net share of the rental value ofth epremises due to the plaintiff for the defendant's exclusive occupancy thereof until sale.
5. All other assets of this marriage shall be assigned to that person who now has legal title or who has possession of the saod assets. No other exchanges or divisions of any assets of this marriage are contemplated by the prties or by this order.
6. This order is predicted on the disclosure made at the hearing being the full and complete disclosure made at athe hearing being the full and complete disclosure of any and all assets of either of the parties hereto. In the event that either of the parties hereto has possession of marital assets undisclosed at hearing, the appropriate Sections of the Divorce Code shall apply and neither party is foreclosed or prevented from raising that issue notwithstanding the entry of this order.
7. The temporary alimony awarded by this court shall terminate when the defendant pays to the plaintiff the full amount which is due to her arising out of the transfer by her of her interest in the marital residence to the defendnat, or February 1, 1991, which ever shall first occur.
8. The righ tof the plaintiff to alimony shall be as set forth in the recommendation of the Master previously filed, that no alimony shall presently be awarded to the plaintiff but that she have the right to petition the court for a review of her needs when her financial resources are insufficient to meet her reasonable needs.
9. Each party shall bear his and her own legal fees up to the date hereof. The court reserves the right to allocate any furthe rlegal expense which the parties may incur in enforcing this order or for pursuing additional alimony, as the court shall find failr and equitable.

BY THE COURT:
April 26, 1991 P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

May 6, 1991 Copies mailed to Ann Hansen Gamble and John Gavin

May 2, 1991 Motion To Discontinue Argument filed.

CATHERINE CARTER AND RONAL CARTER

VS.

GEORGE D. ZAMIAS, ind. & t/d/b/a STAD ASSOCIATES and t/d/b/a MEADVILLE MALL, MARIANNA ZAMIAS, ROBERT F. KLEMENS, individually and t/d/b/a STAD ASSOCIATES and t/d/b/a MEADVILLE MALL, FRANK A CHIANESE, individually and t/d/b/a STAD ASSOCIATES and t/d/b/a MEADVILLE MALL, ZAMIAS CONST CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, a corporation and STAD ASSOCIATES, a partnership

****

Record continued from page 329

of

AD 1988-879

****

Dec 18, 1989 Notice of Deposition of Catherine Carter and Ronald Carter filed.

Dec 18, 1989 Motion to Discontinue and Motion to Amend Caption and Order filed: AND NOW, this 18 day of Dec., 1989, it is hereby ordered and decreed that the Motion to Discontinue pursuant to Rule 229 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure is granted as to Robert F. Klemens, individually and t/d/b/a as Stad Associates and t/d/b/a Meadville Mall, and Frank A. Chianese, individually and t/d/b/a Stad Associates and t/d/b/a Meadville Mall. It is further ordered that the caption is amended to read as follows:

Catherine Carter and Ronald Carter, plaintiffs vs. George D. Zamias, individually and t/d/b/a Stad Associates and t/d/b/a Meadville Mall, Marianna Zamias, Zamias Construction Company, a corporation, and Stad Associates, a partnership, defendants.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec 18, 1989 Copies of order mailed to Attys. Flaherty and Nolan.

Dec. 24, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney the within action is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record.

627

1988

Continued from page 533 Twombly vs. Twombley AD 1988 - 1983

7. Wife shall not be liable for any loss or damage to any of Husband's personal property, regardless of cause, nor shall Wife be required to insure same. Sole responsibility for loss or damage to Husband's personal property and for maintenance of insurance coverage on said property shall lie with Husband.

8. Husband and Wife shall hereby mutually release, quit-claim and forever discharge the other and the estate of such other, of and from any and all rights, title and interest, or claims in or against other property of the other or against the estate of the other, whether arising out of former contracts, engagements or liabilities of each other, or by way of dower or courtesy, or widows or widower's rights, family exemptions or similar allowances, or the right to take against the spouse's will, or any other rights which either party may have for the past, present or future support or maintenance, alimony, equitable distribution, costs or expenses except as hereafter provided.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Leech, Inc.
vs.
Penn United Technology, Inc. and
Leonard Curl

Record Continued from page 253

of

AD 1988 803

ON FEBRUARY 1990 ARGUMENT LIST

Jan. 26, 1990 Defendants' Joint Motion For Summary Judgment Pursuant To PA.R.C.P. 1035 filed.

Jan. 26, 1990 Defendant's Motion To Amend Answer and New Matter Pursuant To PA. R.C.P. 1033 filed.

Feb 23, 1990 Certificate of Service of Plaintiff's Brief filed.
Feb. 26, 1990, Deposition of Daniel J. Leech filed.

Feb. 26, 1990, Continued Deposition fo Daniel J. Leech filed.

Apr 2, 1990 Memorandum and Order filed: AND NOW, April 2, 1990, it is ordered as follows:
1. The plaintiff is granted leave to amend its complaint to add Leetech, Inc. and Daniel Leech as party plaintiffs against Penn United Technology, Inc., only, and to claim damages for alleged wrongful use of a trade secret that may have been incurred by Leetech, Inc., and or Daniel Leech at any time after a date that is two years prior to the date of the filing of the amended complaint. The amended complaint shall be filed within 20 days from the date of this order.

2. The plaintiff's motion for leave to amend its complaint to add Selectronics Plating Company and or Selectronics Plating Inc. as a party defendant is denied.

3. The plaintiff's motion for leave to amend its complaint to permit Leetech, Inc. and Daniel Leech to bring an action against Leonard Curl is denied.

4. The motion of the defendant, Penn United Technology, Inc. for leave to amend its Answer and New Matter is denied in vie of the fact that it will have an opportunity to file and answer, including any New Matter it wishes to raise, within twenty (20) days after service upon it of the plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

5. The defendants' motion for summary judgment is denied.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J/

April 2, 1990 Copies of of order put in Attys. Weiss and Pepicelli's boxes and copy mailed to Atty. Houston.

Apr 2, 1990 Motion to Consolidate Actions and Order filed: AND NOW, this 2nd day of April, 1990, it is ordered that the above captioned actions are consolidated for purposes of further discovery and for trial, future docket entries to be made at AD 1988-803.

The respective pleading in the actions hereby consolidated shall remain as the pleadings in the consolidated action.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

April 3, 1990 Copies of order put in Attys. Weiss and Pepicelli's boxes and copy mailed to Atty. Houston.

Apr. 23, 1990 Complaint filed.

Apr. 27, 1990 Certificate filed. The undersigned her eby certifies that a true and correct copy of the Amended Complaint of Leech, INc., Leetech, Inc. and Daniel J Leech was served upon counsel of record by first class mail on April 23, 1990. John B. Brautigam

May 10, 1990 Motion To Strike filed.

June 4, 1990Second amended Complaint filed.

Aug. 6, 1990 Answer To Second Amended Complaint and New Matter filed.

Aug. 23, 1990 Reply of Plaintiffs to Second Amended New Matter of Penn United Technology, INc. filed.

Oct. 4, 1991, Certificate of Service of Answers to Penn Uniteds Interrogatories and Plaintiff Responses to Penn United's Request for Productions filed.

Nov. 30, 1992, Notice of Service of Interrogatories Directed to Defendant, Leonard Curl and Request for production of Documents' filed.

Nov. 30, 1992, Notice of service of Interrogatories Directed to Defendant, Penn United Technology, Inc. (THird Set) and Request for Production of Documents (Third Set) filed.

June 28, 1993 Notice Of Intent To Complete Discovery filed.

July 14, 1993, Defendant Curl's Answer and New Matter to Second Amended Complaint filed.

July 15, 1993, Motion to Strike Notice of Intent to Complete Discovery Filed by Defendant leonard Curl, filed.

July 15, 1993, ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 14, 1993 counsel for the plffs. and for dft. Leonard Curl appear in Motion Court with regard to Plff's Motion to Strike Notice of Intent to complete Discovery filed by dft. Curl.

Said notice was filed on June 28, 1993, pursuant to Local RuleL302(2)(a) but apparently there was an outstanding answer due by dft. Curl to Plaintiffs' second amended complaint.

Defendant Curl maintains it was a very technical matter in that the second amended complaint didn't include much different but did have different numbered paragraphs and at one point dft. Curl believed that it was not necessary to answer the same by agreement with plff. The atty. for the plff. maintains that was not the case.

The other problem is that dft. Curl's atty. indicates that Curl is quite ill and his testimony is crucial and this matter should be tr ied in Oct. 1993.

Both parties agree that it will be difficult to have discovery completed within 60 days of the June 28, 1993 filing of the notice of intent to complete discovery.

Continued on Page 624A.

624

1988

DAVID E. PERRY

VS.

DORIS A PERRY

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 119

RECORD OF

A.D. 1988 - 669

****

The party not having primary physical custody shall have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody every weekend, starting with the next full weekend after the change in the primary physical custody shall have been made, and except for the last full week end of each month, from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m.

b. The subject minor child shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lenghts of time and such conversations will not be unreasonabley monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. Major holidays are defined as new Years Day, Easter, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving, and Christmas. Holiday visitation hours shall be from 8:00 z.m. to 9:00 p.m. The subject minor child shall be with his mother for Christmas, 1991, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holidays. Holiday visitations shall take precedence over the other provisions in this order relating to custody and visitation periods.

5. The party having physical custody or visitation shall see that the child's normal school, extracurricular activities, sports, and employment, are not disrupted.

6. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

7. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the child in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the child at the home of the other.

8. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

9. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other int he child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if t hat would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

12. Either party may request a review of this Order two years from date hereof to make any adjustments.

13. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00 are divided equally between the parties witha share of each being assessed to h im or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

14. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Dec. 10, 1991 copy in box for Attys. W. Pineo, D. Ferguson & E. Spadafore. Mailed copy to plf. & dft.

Sep. 10, 1993 Petition for Modification of Custody Order and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 15th day of September, 1993, upon consideration of the within Petition, it is hereby ordered that this matter is referred to William Pineo, Custody Mediator. A copy of this Petition and Order shall be served upon the Respondent, David E. Perry, regular mail and upon his attorney, Douglas Ferguson, Esquire, by regular mail.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller,

9-22-93 Copy of Order to Attys. Ferguson, Spadafore & Pineo. Copy mailed to Plft. & Deft.

Nov. 12, 1993 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 12th day of November, 1993, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal andphysical custody of the subject minor child, Philip Perry.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.

3a. The father shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor child. The mother shall have temporary physical custody for the first three full weekends of each month, from Friday at 6:00 p.m. to Sunday at 6:00 p.m. The mother shall also have primary physical custody each summer from 6:00 p.m. of June 15 to 6:00 p.m. of August 15. During the mother's summertime custodial period, the father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody for the first three full weekends of each month from Friday at 6:00 p.m. to Sunday at 6:00 p.m. The parties shall thereafter alternate the four month periods of temporary physical custody.

b. During the school year, the parties will arrange for Philip to visit with his mother two evenings per week from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m., with the primary object as seeing that Philip gets his homework done and gets the extra tutoring he needs in any of his school subjects.

c. The subject minor child shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonabaly monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. Major holidays are defined as New Years Day, Easter, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving, and Christmas. Holiday visitation hours shall be from 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. The subject minor child shall be with his father for Thanksgiving, 1993, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holidays. Holiday visitations shall take precedence over the other provisions in this order relating to custody and visitation periods.

5. The party having physical custody or visitation shall see that the child's normal school, extracurricular activities, sports, and employment, are not disrupted.

6. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation ormodification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

7. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation. The mediator has suggested that the party receiving the child in the exercise of his or her visitation rights pick up the child at the home of other. However, any arrangement which reasonably splits the transportation obligations is acceptable. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the laws of Pennsylvania

Continued on Page 614B

Leech, INc.
vs.
Penn United Technology, Inc. al

Record Continued from Page 623

of No. AD 1988 - 803

Accordingly, we defer a decision with respect tothe Plaintiffs motion to str ike notice of intent to complete discovery filed by the defendant and order and direct the parties to make every effort to complete discovery so that this case may be tried in Oct. However, if those efforts are made and discovery has not been completed by Oct. 1, 1993, the plaintiffs may bring this matter before the court again and we will consider whether their motion should be granted at that time.

Additionally, this order is without prejudice tothe plaintiffs to, pursuant to Local Rule 302(5), file an objection to any certificate of readiness filed by the defendants if that becomes appropriate.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
July 15, 1993, copies dist. to Attys. brautigam, Pepicelli and Houston.

July 20, 1993 Reply of Plaintiffs to New Matter ofDefendant, Deonard Curl filed.

Aug 5, 1993 Notice of Service of Leonard Curl's Answers to Plaintiffs' Interrogatories, filed.

Aug. 30, 1993 Certificate of Readiness filed.

Sept. 3, 1993 Certificate of Service of Answers to Plfs. Interrogatoaries filed.

Sept. 8, 1993, Notice of Taking Depositions of Oral examination filed.

Sept. 27, 1993 Defendants' Pretrial Narrative, filed.

Oct. 18, 1993 First Supplemental Pre-Trial Narrative of Defendants,Penn United T echnology, Inc. and Leonard Curl, filed.

Oct. 21, 1993, ORDER filed. And now, Oct. 18, 1993, a pretrial conference in this case is hereby scheduled for the 5th day of Nov. 1993 at 9:15 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Oct. 21, 1993 copies dist. to Brautigam, Houston and Pepicelli.

Nov. 17, 1993 PRE-TRIAL ORDER, filed. AND NOW, November 12,1993, after a pre-trial conference with all counsel present the Court enters the following order;

1. All discovery is completed and all parties are ready for trial. The trial shall be a non-jury trial and shall commence on December 6 through 9 at 9:15 o'clock a.m. and continuing December 13 at 1:30 o'clock p.m., December 14, 15, 16 at 9:15 o'clock a.m. and concluding December 17 at 9:15 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse. It is anticipated that this case shall take about eight days to try.

2. This is an alleged trade secrets violation case. The plaintiffs claim that Daniel J. Leech designed and constructed a certain spot plating machine while employed for Leech Inc. and Leetech, Inc. Leonard Curl, one of the defendans, worked for Leetech and had some connection with the developlment and use of the spot plating machine.

Mr. Curl left his job at Leetech on October 15, 1982 and went to work fro Penn United Technology, Inc. (Penn United). Theretofore Penn United had been a customer of Leetech and in connection with that work Leetech used the spot plating machine. Sometime after Curl went to work for Penn United, Penn United stopped using Leetech's services. The wrok that was formerly done by Leetech was done either by Penn United or a separate company known as Selectronics. Selectronics had some corporate connection with Penn United.

3. Factual issues.

a. Whether or not the statute of limitations bars any recovery by theplaintiffs against any of the defendants.

b. Whether or not the machine or some technology or know how of the plaintiffs were in fact trade secrets.

c. Whether or not the defendants utilized any trade secrets.

4. Legal issues.

a. Whethe plaintiffs can offer any evidence regarding Selectronic Plating Company's commercial use of the Penn Untied spot plating machine. The Court will reserve ruling on this issue but we generally believe that, subject to any other principle of evidence, especially relevance, the use by Selectronics can be admitted but not as to damages and not as to any liability of Selectronics which is not a party to the case.

b. Whether or not Penn Union is liable to the plaintiffs on any theories including but not limited to theories of repondeat superior resulting from Curl being employed by Penn Untied.

c. Assuming there was an improper use of a trade secret what is a proper measure of damage?

d. Whether or not plaintiffs' destruction of the original machine or its component parts thus potentially depriving the defendants of a direct means of accessing relevant evidence of a trade secret bars the plaintiffs from recovery.

5. There are no pre-trial motions now pending for disposition.

6. Tahe plaintiffs claim the follwing damages:

i. Value of design plans	$220,857.00
ii. Value of machine in place	2,162.00
iii. Lost profits as determined by the period of time it would take Penn United to develop the spot plating machine	285,170.00
Total Compensatory Damages	$598,189.00

Theplaintiffs also claim punitive damages by reason of the allegedly outrageous conduct of the defendants.

7. The plaintiffs' case in chief:

a. Witnesses

The plaintiffs intend to call the witnesses listed on their pre-trial narrative and supplemental pre-trial narrative except that they may not call the witnesses Wilbert, Rodgers, Barton and Poe.

b. Exhibits.

The plaintiffs intend to use the exhibits listed on their pre-trial narrative and supplemental pre-trial narrative.

8. The defendants' case in chief:

a. Witnesses.

The defendants to call the witnesses listed on their pre-trial narrative except that they may not call the witnesses Poe, Purcell, Morrissey, Colton and Miller.

b. Exhibits.

The defendants intend to use the exhibits listed on their pre-trial narrative.

9. Stipulatins.

The parties shall make a serious effort to enter into stipulations covering some or all of the following:

i. Facts.

ii. Authenticity and admissibility of certain exhibits

iii. The testimony certain witnesses might give if called to testify at trial.

The parties shall endeaavor to streamline this case in an attempt to present the factual and legal issues to the Court in as an efficient manner as possible.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 643

624 B

1988

1986

JAMES L. LUBA

VS.

ELEANOR T. LUBA

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 53

of

AD 1988-603

****

Feb 1, 1990 Certificate of Service of Notice to Attend filed.

Feb. 6, 1990, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Feb. 6, 1990, Inventory and Appraisement of James L. Luba filed.

Feb. 9, 1990, Motion to Continue Hearing and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Feb. 8, 1990, the foreoging Motion is granted and the hearing set for this date at 1:00 p.m. is contineud generally, to be reset upon motion of either party.

For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

Feb. 13, 1990, copies to P. Blystone, R. C. Thomas and C. YOungs, Esqs.

Oct. 4, 1993 Petition For Bifurcation and Order filed. AND NOW, this 4th day of October, 1993, upon considerationof the within Petition, a Rule is granted upon the Defendant to show cause, if any she has, why the relief requested in this Petition should not be granted.

Returnable and for hearing on the 22nd day of November, 1993, at 4:00 o'clock p.m., in Court Room No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania. Copy of this Petition to be served upon the Defendant by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, and upon Defendant's counsel, Christopher Youngs, Esq., by regular mail.

BY THE COURT,
Gorodn R. Miller, J

Oct. 8, 1993 Copy of order to Atty's Blystone, Thomas and Young

Feb. 17, 1994 Affidavit of Service of Complaint in Divorce filed. R. Charles Thomas, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on July 15, 1988, he sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce,properly endorsed with the Notice to Defend, filed in the above action to the Defendant,Eleanor T. Luba, at Defendant's last known address of 405½ Walnut Street, Meadville, PA 16335,by Certified Mail No. P414 739 226, postage prepaid, restricted delivery, return receipt requested and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as 7/14/88.

Feb. 17, 1994

R. Charles Thomas,Esq.

Feb. 17, 1994 Upon Praecipe for Review Under 201 (c) (Now 3301 (c) of the Divorce Code of R. Charles Thomas, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

March 1, 1994 STIPULATION/DECREE IN DIVORCE, AND NOW, this 28th day of February, 1994, the parties hereto having stipulated and agreed, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

DIVORCE

1. The parties hereto are divorced from the bonds of matrimony the same as if they had never been married.

DIVISION OF PROPERTY

2. Husband's General Electric Employee Pension Benefits: The parties were married June 18, 1977. The parties separated Oct. 13, 1987. Plaintiff James L. Luba commenced his employment with General Electric Co. on Sept. 4, 1973. As of the separation date, there existed for division a monthly single life annuity, payable upon Plaintiff's retirement or disability, of approximately $392.69 per month. Defendant Eleanor T. Luba shall receive as a marital share of said monthly annuity, commencing on the date when payments begin pursuant to a qualified Domestic Relations Order to be entered in this matter. Defendant's share shall be thirty seven percent (37%) of said monthly annuity benefit, or $145.30 per month.

The foregoing 37% figure is arrived at as follows: 10.334 years (length of time parties were married, up to date of separation) divided by 14.074 years (Plaintiff's length of credited service as of date of separation) equals 0.734 divided by 2 equals 36.7, or 37%.

The exact figrues in this Decree re subject to modifications as may, of necessity, be required in obtaining General Electric Company's approval of a qualified Domestic Relations Order for execution by the Court.

3. Husband's Life Insurance: Through General Electric Company, Plaintiff James L. Luba receives certain life insurance paid for by said employer. Plaintiff James L. Luba shall name and maintain as beneficiaries on all of the life insurance provided by his employer, the parties' minor children, Shelley Ann Marie Luba and Eleanor Theresa Luba, and shall so maintain said beneficiary designations until the younger of said children reaches age twenty-one (21), at which time he may do as he chooses. Parties have agreed that the minor daughters, Shelley Ann Marie Luba and Eleanor Theresa Luba, shall each be individual beneficiaries to no less than one-quarter(¼) of the beneficial interest of proceeds.

4. Children's Health Insurance: At Husband's expense, Husband shall maintain health, accident, and/or major medical insurance coverage upon the parties' children so long as either child remains eligible therefor and so long as such insurance is available through any employer of Husband.

5. Wife's Health Insurance: For a period of four (4) months from the date of this Decree, Husband shall pay for the continuation of wife's health, accident, and major medical insurance coverage, now provided by Husband's employer, General Electric, in furtherance of wife's rights under the Federal law known as "COBRA".

6. Annulment: The parties shall share equally all fees incurred by Wife to obtain an annulment under the auspices of the Catholic Church.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 15, 1998 ORDER FILED. AND NOW, this 15th day of May 1998, the attached Stipulation and Agreement dated May 10, 1998 of the parties in this case is incorporated, but not merged, into this Order of Court as a Qualified Domestic Relations Order.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.

5/15/98 DIST. WALTON

626.

1988

DAniel R. Jablonski

vs.

Margaret L. Jablonski

Record continued from Page 49

of

AD 1988 - 599

Feb. 11, 1991 Notice of Continued Master Hearing filed.

Feb. 15, 1991 Second Petition For Payment Of court Reporter's Expenses and Order filed. AND NOW, this 15th day of February, 1991, upon Petition of Douglas W. Ferguson, Esquire, the Master in the above-entitled case, the Prothonotary is ordered to pay the sum of $100.00 to Barbara L. Rains, per Exhibit "A" attached tothe Master's petition.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller,J

Feb. 20, 1991 Copies of order put in James Irwin, Joan Mason and Douglas Ferguson

Feb. 25, 1991, Notice of Continued Rescheduled Master's Hearing filed.

Mar. 1, 1991 Notice Of Continued Rescheduled Master's Hearing filed.

May 30, 1991 Notice of Continued Rescheduled Master's Hearing filed.

May 30, 1991 Proof of Notice of Master's Hearing filed.

June 18, 1991 Proof of Notice Of Master's Hearing filed.

June 18, 1991 Notice Of Continued Rescheduled Master's Hearing filed.

Aug. 30, 1991 Third Petition For Payment of Court Reporter's Expenses and Order filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of August, 1991, upon Petition of Douglas W. Ferguson, Esquire, the Master in the above-entitled case, the Prothontary is ordered to pay the sum of %60.00 to Barbara L. Rains per Exhibit "A" attached to the Master's petition.

BY THE COURT,
GordonR. Miller, J

Sept. 4, 1991 Copies of order to Atty's Mason, Irwin and Ferguson

Oct. 25, 1991 Affidavit of Service to James Giel filed.

July 20, 1992 Motion to Compel the Filing of a Master's Report filed.

July 20, 1992 Rule to Show Cause filed. AND NOW, this 17 day of July, 1992, upon consideration of the within Motion to Compel, a Rule is granted upon Douglas W. Ferguson, Master, to show cause why the relief requested in said Petition should not be granted.

RULE RETURNABLE, with hearing thereon on the 12 day of August, 1992, at 3:00 p.m. inCourtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

July 22, 1992 Copy of Order to: Atty's Mason, Irwin and Ferguson.

July 24, 1992 MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 24th day of July, 1992 upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion this hearing is rescheduled for the 27th day of August, 1992 at 3:00 p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

FOR THE COURT:
Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm.

July 29, 1992 copy in box for J. Irwin & J. Mason

Aug. 27, 1992 Order Filed. AND NOW, this 27th day of August, 1992 upon consideration of the Defendant's Motion and with the consent of Douglas Ferguson, Master in the divorce action, it is ORDERED that the Master shall file a Master's report in the above captioned matter onor before 4;30 p.m. September 4, 1992.
Aug. 27, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. Mason, Irwin & Ferguson.

Sept. 21, 1992 Master's Report filed.

Oct. 1, 1992 Plaintiff's Exceptions to Master's Report filed.

Oct 6, 1992 Praecipe for Placement on Argumetn List, filed.

ON NOVEMBER 1992 ARGUMENT LIST

Oct. 5, 1992 Petition For Approval of Master's Fee and Order filed. AND NOW, October 5, 1992, upon presentation and considerationof the foregoing Petition for Approval of Master's Fees the Master, Douglas W. Ferguson, esquire, is awarded Master's Fees in the sum of $2150. Further, the Prothonotary is Ordered and Directed to pay from the balance of the deposited monies from the Plaintiff and defendant the total sum of $503.00. The balance ofthe Master's Fee in the sum of $1647 shall be equally paid by the Plaintiff and Defendant in the respective sum of $823.50 within 30 days of the date of this Order.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Oct. 12, 1992 Copies of order put in box for atty's Irwin, Mason and Ferguson

Jan. 22, 1993 PETITION FOR CONTEMPT OF PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANT FOR FAILURE TO PAY COURT ORDERED MASTER'S FEES and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 22nd day of January, 1993, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition it is hereby Ordered and Decreed that a Rule to Show Cause is placed upon the Plaintiff and Defendant to show cause, if any they should have, why they should not be held in contempt to the Court's Order of Oct. 5, 1992. Service of this Petition and Order shall be made upon the Plaintiff and Defendant through their respective counsel James R. Irwin, Esq. and Joan D. Mason Esq.

Returnable for hearing on Feb. 24, 1993, at 4:00 p.m. in Court Room 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan. 25, 1993 copy to Irwin, Mason & Ferguaon

Feb. 23, 1993 Amended Proposed Order of Divorce and Distribution, filed.

Continued on Page 626A

Daniel R. Jablonski
vs.
Margaret L. Jablonski

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 626
of Record
A. D. 1988 - 599

****

MEMORANDUM AND DIVORCE DECREE FILED

And Now, Feb. 22, 1993, it is ordered and decreed that Daniel R. Jablonski, Plaintiff, and Margaret L. Jablonski, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

1. The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

2. Each party shall be entitled to 50% of the marital property.

3. The marital property which each party shall have possession of and its value is as follows:

Property in Possession of Husband	
Equity in farm, cattle, machinery and crops	$ 68,916.00
Personal property	1,600.00
1979 Chevy truck	2,500.00
1974 Suzuki motorbike	100.00
John Deere snowmobile	600.00
Prudential Life Insurance policy	950.00
Prudential Life Insurance policy	857.50
Christian Benefit Association policy	650.00
Total	$ 76,173.50
Property in Possession of Wife	
Equity in 1986 Olds Delta 88	$ 2,700.00
Wife's profit sharing plan	11,395.23
Wife's pension present value	2,815.00
Household furnishings	2,955.00
Total	$ 19,865.23

Any party now in possession of any item which they are not to obtain pursuant to this distribution shall turn over the same to the other party within 30 days o fthe date of this decree.

4. The Defendant shall convy all her right, title and interest in all marital real property and personal property in connection with the parties' farm operation to the Plaintiff by quitclaim deed and/or bill of sale.

5. Upon receipt of said quitclaim deed and bill of sale, Plaintiff shall pay over to the Defendant the sum of $28,154.12 within 30 days of this decree or in the alternative, $10,154.12 within 30 days of the filed decree and monthly payments of $456.53 per month commencing one month after the payment of the lump sum of $10,154.12 for a period of 48 consecutive months. The $18,000 is amortized at 10% per annum for 48 months. Monthly payments of all farm real property in favor of the Defendant and a security interest in all farm machinery, equipment and cattle which shall be perfected by a UCC-1. Said mortgage and security interest shall be subordinate to all existing liens of record. All costs of preparation and filing of said documents shall be the sole expense of the Plaintiff.

6. Plaintiff shall be solely liable for all marital debts in connection with the marital farm operation and shall indemnify and hold Defendant harmless thereon.

7. The Plaintiff shall be entitled to participate in health insurance benefit programs available for a divorced spouse of an Integra Bank employee [COBRA].

8. All Master's fees, stenographis fees, costs and expenses shall be paid equally by the Plaintiff and the Defendant

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Feb. 23, 1993 copies circulated by Court.
Feb. 24, 1993 copy sent to J. Mason by Pro's Off.

Mar. 1, 1993 Motion For continuance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 26 day of Febrauary, 1993, upon presentation and consideration of the wtihin Motion this hearing is continued generally. Hearing to be scheduled upon Motion of either Plaintiff or Defendant or the Master.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Mar. 5, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Irwin, Ferguson and Mason

626
-b-

1988

627

1988

KATHALEEN S. KLINGER

VS.

WAYNE G. KLINGER

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 605

of

AD 1988-601

****

3. The father shall have the temporary physical custody and the right of visitation on alternate weekends from Saturday morning at 9:00 a.m. through Sunday evening at 6:00 p.m.

4. Holidays are defined as New Years Day, Easter, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas. Holiday visitation shall take place between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m.. The Children shall be with their mother for Easter, 1990, and their father shall visitation for Memorial Day, 1990. The parties shall thereafter alternate the holidays so defined. On each of the children's birthdays, the parties shall arrange for joint visits with the entire family, said arrangements being made with reference to the father's work schedule. Holiday visitation shall take precedence over the other provisions of this Order relating to custody and visitation periods.

5. The father shall have the right of temporary physical custody and visitation for one month each summer. He shall notify the mother by May 15 of the one month period he wishes to select. During the father's summer custodial period, the mother shall have visitation for the second full weekend after the father's custodial period shall have started from Saturday at 9:00 a.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m.

6. The Mediator notes for the Court that the child, Amanda was supposed to have received counseling from Hamot Medical Center following an incident which occured in late August of last year. The mother is hereby directed to see that the counseling is completed.

7. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the children at the home of the other.

8. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love, respect and obedience which is due a pr
arent from his or her children.

9. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children.

10. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

11. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the chidlren's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

12. The context necissitated between the parties by this Order shall not be used by either party to harass or annoy the other.

13. The Mediator notes for the court that both parties now reside in Franklin, Pennsylvania, and therefore, either party may transfer venue of this matter to the Court of Common Pleas of Venango County, Pennsylvania, upon appropriate Motion to said Court.

14. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $114.50, are assessed to Crawford County. The Mediator having noted for the Court that the mother is receiving public assistance and the father does not earn very much money.

15. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Feb 28, 1990 Copies of order put in Attys. Hall, Pineo boxes and copy mailed to Defendant, and Atty. Felton.

628

1988

Debra Douglas

vs.

Donald Douglas

Record continued from page 170

of record

A.D. 1988 - 720

****

Mar. 4, 1991 Petition To Modify Custody filed.

Mar. 4, 1991 Order To transfer filed. AND NOW, March 1, 1991, the pla intiff petitions the court of Common Pleas of Crawford County for a hearing to modify a previously entered custody and visitation decree to the end that the welfare and best interest of the two minor children is being jeopardized by visits with the defendant father.

This custody action arose as an ancillary part of a divorce action filed in Crawford County upon which a final divorce decree was entered May 26, 1989.

In view of the fact that both parties have resided in Lawrence County apparently for a peiod in excess of two (2) years, this Court believes that the present custody problem should be addressed by the Courts of Lawrence County.

ACCORDINGLY, in accordance with the Child Custody Jurisdiction Act 42 Pa. C.S.A. 5348, the Court directs the Prothonotary of Crawford County to forward the custody order, constituting part of the divorce records in this case, together with mediator's report to the court, sealed and attached as part of this Order and the present Petition to Modify Custody presented to the Court of Common Pleas March 1, 1991, to the Prothonotary of Lawrence County for a referral to the Court for appropriate action.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. richard Thomas, S.J.

Mar. 4, 1991 copies to b. Lindsay (boxO & Donald Douglas (mail)

TERRY J. CONFER

VS.

CORINNE S. CONFER

Record Continued from Page 543

of

AD 1988-1093

****

13. The parties are cautioned that in the application of the provisions of this Order, they should keep the welfare and tranquility of their children uppermost in their minds at all times and try to compromise all of their differences in a cheerful and cooperative manner.

14. Neither party shall question the children about the lifestyles or events in the other's household.

15. Either party may request a review of this Order two years from date hereof to make any adjustments.

16. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $137.00, are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

17. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar 5, 1990 Copies of order mailed to Atty. Kuftic, Defendant and Plaintiff and copies put in Attys. Thomas and Mason's boxes and Atty. Pineo's box.

June 5, 1990 Affidavit Of Service filed. Before me, the undersigned Notary Public, personally appeared Dennis G. Kuftic, Esquire, attorney for Plaintiff, Terry J. Confer, in the above-captioned case. The affiant deposes and states that a true and certified copy of a Complaint in Divorce in the above-captioned case was delivered to Defendant onDecember 22, 1988, at P.O. Box 136B, Edinboro, PA 16412, by certified mail, pursuant to Rule 412 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. The original of the return receipt is attached to this affidavit and made a part hereof.

Dennis G. Kuftic, Esq.

June 6, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney , for Review Under 101(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

June 27, 1990 **S T I P U L A T I O N A N D D E C R E E F I L E D.**

AND NOW, this 27th day of June, 1990, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce form the bonds of matirmony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the fling of the complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the aprties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsyvlania Divorce Code.

2. Real Estate: Plaintiff shall transfer all of his rights, title and interest in the jointly owned real estate located in Cussewago Township, Crawford County,Pennsylvania which property was conveyed to the parties hereto by way of deed recorded at Crawford County Deed Book 545, Page 385, in October, 1982. Said transfer shall take place immediately. Defendant, Corinne S. Confer shall assume full responsibility for any balance owed on the mortgage to FHA. defendant paid 1987 real estate taxes, and 1988 and 1989 taxes remain unpaid. Plaintiff shall pay $500.00 toward 1988 taxes, and Defnedant shall pay the balanc eof 1988, and all of 1989 taxes. Said $500.00 shall b epaid by Plaintiff to Defendnat no later than August, 1989.

3. VEHICLES:

a. Plaintiff shall retian the 1978 El Camino automobile, the 1979 Dodge Ramb;er sale proceeds, and the 1974 442 Oldsmobile automobile.

b. defendnat shall retain the 1985 Chevrolet Cavalier automobile.

4. HOUSEHOLD AND TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY: Each party shall retain those items of tangible andhousehold an doutdoor property used in connection with the home, that he or she now has in his or her possession, with the exception of the following items which are in the husband's possession, and which he will deliver to wife immeidately;

White riding mower, in good working order, and antique glasstop talbe, in good condition. In the event that wife sells the residence that is to be hers pursuant to this decree, the mower shall be sold and the proceeds slpit betweenthe parties.

5. CUSTODY: Corinne S. Confer shall have primary legal and physical custody of the three minor children.

Terry J. Confer shal lhave temporary custody of the three minor children every other weekend from Friday at 7:00 p.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m. beginning with the weekend of June 23rd through 25, 1989, and every other weekend thereafter.

If either Plaintiff or Defendant move a distance of over two hundred miles from Crawford County, Pennsylvania, he or she shall give notice to the other party hereto, at least six weeks in advance if at all possible or if not, as soon as he or she is aware that a move might take place, notifying the other party of the intended relocation and relocation date, and will provide address, telephone number, and work name, address and telephone number, as soon as same are known.

FAther shall provide transportation to and from visitation as long as the parties live within two hundred miles of each other. In the event that the parties live over two hundred miles from each other, that parent receiving the children shall be responsible for their transportation, either personally transporting the children or paying for the children's transportation.

In the event that the parties live over two hundred miles apart, weekend visitation shal lnot take place as set forth above (i. e. every other weekend), and instead father shallhave the three chidlren for a six week period during the summer. In this event, father shall give at least thirty days advance notice of the intended dates of his visitation, giving the date and time he wishes to have the children, and the mother shall then arrange a time together with father, when she is to transport the children back fromthe summer visit.

This order shall not be deemed to limit father's contact with the children, and the parties are free to arrange additional visitation for holidays or otherwise. Father shallhave the right to have the children for up to six weeks in the summer, even when the parties live under two hundred miles aprt, with advance notice of two weeks, and with mother to have every other weeekend from Friday at 7:00 pm to Sunday at 6:00 p.m. When father has the children, he will be responsible for transportation to and from children's local sports activities, if mother is unavailable.

IN the event that father is unable to keep a visitation that is provide dby way of this order, or that has been arranged by the aprties mutually, he shalligve mother as much advance notice as possible, but at least twenty-four hours in advance. It is anticipated that such cancellations should not be frequent, as both parties acknowledge that it is important for the children to stay in close touch with each parent. If father cancels a visit at a time when mother is working, father shall pay for the babysitting that would not otherwise have been necessary.

All communication regarding visitation, in regard to scheduling, cancellation, or other arrangements, shall take place between the parents, and not through the children.

6. WAIVER OF CLAIMS: Neither party hereto shall make any claims against th eother party hereto, except for enforcement of the rights and responsibilities set forth in this decree.

7. WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS: Neither party hereto shall be required to file an inventory and appraisement, nor an income and expense statement, as would otherwise have been required by the Rules of Civil Procedure. Each party is aware of the assets and debts of the other par ty hereto, sufficient to his or her own satisifaction.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller,J

Record Continued on Page 630.....

630

1988

Terry J. Confer

vs.

Corinne S. Confer

Record Continued from Page 629

OF

AD 1988 - 1093

****

April 3, 1991 Petition For Temporary Order of Visitation and ORDER filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 3rd day of April, 1991, upon consideration of the within Motion, it is hereby ORDERED, adjudged and Decreed that the Petitioner is granted an extra day of visitation with his son, Chad Confer, to commence Saturday, April 20, 1991, at 9:00 a.m. and concluding Sunday, April 21, 1991, at 6:00 p.m. The Petitioner is directed to pick up the child at 9:00 a.m. Saturday, April 20, 1991, and the Respondent is directed to have their son, Chad Confer, ready to be picked up by the Petitioner at that time. Petitioner is then directed to return the child no later than Sunday, April 21, 1991, at 6:00 p.m. to the Respondent's residence. The court notes that the respondent and her attorney did not appear before the court after notice was given.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

April 3, 1991 Copy of Order given to Atty. Dennis Kuftic, April 8, 1991 Copy to Atty. JOan Mason.

Aug. 21, 1991 NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR, filed. Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging that you have willfully disobeyed an Order of Court.

If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you may but are not requird to file in writing with the Court your defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in weiting with the Court your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in Court on the 4th day of Sept. 1991, at 1:30 P.M. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

IF YOU DO NOT APPEAR IN PERSON, THE COURT MAY ISSUE A WARRANT FOR YOUR ARREST.

If the Court finds that you have willfully failed to comply with its Order, you may be found to be in contempt of court and committed to jail, fined or both.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:
Crawford County Bas Assn., Service to the Public Comm., c/o Prothonotary, Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335, (814) 724-4636

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1 copy Atty.

Sept. 5, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, September 4, 1991 after a formal hearing not on the record onthe Plaintiff's petition for contempt, the Court finds that the defendant did violate the order with respect to the August 11, 1991 visitation without lawful cause, but in all other respects finds that the petitioner has not proven contempt by the standard of proof required. The Court does not impose any penalty upon the defendant that this time; however, this finding may cause the Court in the future, if there are future findings of contempt by the Defendant, to enter appropriate orders at that time upon said findings.

IN the meantime, the first sentence of paragraph 7 of the order of February 26, 1990 is deleted, and in its place the following shall appear and shall become a part of the February 26, 1990 order until modified by further order of Court:

If any par ty who has the right of visitation or temporary custody desires to cancel or forego a scheduled visitation for any reason, then that pa rty shall be the cancelling party and shall notify the other party as soon as he or she decides to cancel or forego that visitaiton, in which case there shall be no other visitation scheduled as a sub-stitute.

Both parties are advised they must comply with the order of February 26, 1991 in all respects without change until said order is modified or changed by further order of Court.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Sept. 9, 1991 Copies of order to atty.'s Kuftic and Mason

Sept. 5, 1991 Exhibit filed.

Mar. 30, 1992 PETITION TO HAVE RESPONDENT HELD IN CONTEMPT FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH CUSTODY ORDER and NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR filed. Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging that you have willfully disobeyed an Order of Court.

If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with the Court your defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in writing with the Court your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in Court on the 2nd day of June, 1992, at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Mar. 30, 1992 1 copy Atty.

April 15, 1992 PETITION FOR MODIFICATION OF CUSTODY and ORDER FILED. and now, this 15th day of April, 1992, upon consderation of the attached Petition for Modification of Custody, this matter is hereby referred to the custody mediator, William Pineo, for further hearing.

PER CURIAM,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

April 21, 1992 copy mailed to Atty. Dennis Kuftic, copies distributed to Atty.R. Charles Thomas, Atty. Joan D. Mason and Atty. William Pineo.

April 24, 1992 AMENDMENT TO PETITION TO HAVE RESPONDENT HELD IN CONTEMPT FOR FAILURE TO TO COMPLY WITH CUSTODY ORDER FILED.
April 24, 1992 2 copies Atty.

May 6, 1992 ORDER FILED. AND NOW, May 6, 1992 the Court has been advised by the Prothonotary that the divorce decree purportedly entered by this Court on June 27, 1989 was in fact entered on June 27, 1990, the same day the stipulation of the parties and divorce decree were lodged with the Prothonotary.

Accordingly, it is ordered and directed as follows:

1. The decree of June 27, 1989 filed with the Prothonotary on June 27, 1990 is hereby amended so that the date of the decree shall, from this day forward, be corrected to June 27, 1990, the day the decree was actually entered.

2. In all other respects the decree entered and filed with the Prothonotary on June 27, 1990 shall remain in full force and effect without change or modification.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 6, 1992 copy of the Order to: Atty. R. Charles Thomas, Atty. Joan D. Mason, Atty. William Pineo and copy mailed to Atty. Dennis Kuftic.

Continued of Record to Page 630 a

1988

Terry J.Confer

vs.

Corinne S. Confer

Record Continued from Page 630

of

AD 1988 - 1093

****

May 27, 1992 TEMPORARY ORDER FILED. AND NOW, this 22nd day of May, 1992, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Legal and physical custody of the subject minor children is hereby awarded to their mother, CorinneS. Confer.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education,and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. The mother adivses the mediator that she intends to move to Brush, Colorado, during the month of June 1992. If for any reason she does not so move by June 30, 1992, then the order of February 26, 1990, as modified by the order ofSeptember 4, 1991, shall continue to govern the times and periods of the father's temporary physical custody and visitation.

b. Should the mother carry out her intention to move to Brush, Colorado by June 30, 1992, the father shall have temporary physical custody and visitation with his children for the summer of 1992, from the time that the mother moves from her present residence until 9:00 p.m.of the first Saturday inAugust. For each summer after 1992, the father shall have temporary physical custody and visitation with his children from 9:00 p.m. of the secondSaturday in June until 9:00 p.m. of the second Saturday in August of each year.

c. The party not having physical custody of the children shall have the right to telephone them at reasonable times for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

d. In addition, the father shall have the right to visitation and temporary physical custody during the children's Christmas vacation from school as follows: In even numbered years, the fther shall have temporary physical custody and visitation from 9:00 p.m.of December 23 through 9:00 p.m. of December 31.

4. The paries shall share equally the responsibility for transportation. The court suggests that if the children are to fly, then the costs of transportation be split each time a t icket is to be obtained. If the children are to be driven by automobile, then the court suggests that a convenient midway point be agreed upon by the parties where they shall meet and deliver the children. In the absence of any agreement, the party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her rights shall pick the children up at the home of the other at the times set out in the order.

5. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

6. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

7. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

8. Neither party shall do or say antyhing to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when inthe children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

9. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangments shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

10. The parties shall arrange for counseling for themselves and the children in order to be instructed on the importance and the methods of encouraging the children to have a close parental relationship with each parent. SAid arrangements shall be made at once through Community Mental Health Services in Meadville, Pennsylvania, and shall continue until the counselor determines that no further counseling is advisable.

11. Each party shall instruct his or her family memgbers, spouse, paramour, and friends that the other party is the true father or mother, as the case may be, of said children and shall instruct said persons not to consider the children to be the offspring of anyone except said other party. The children are directed to remain polite and respectful of each of their parent's present spouses, paramours, family members, and so forth,but to give only to their parents that loyalty and respect which arises out of the parent/child relationship.

12. All arrangements regrding custody and visitation and provisions for the upbringing of the children shall be conducted only by the parties and not through any other person or intermediary. The children shall not be used as messengers or intermediaries.

13. Either party may request a review of this Order upon substantial change in the circumstances.

14. Costs and fees of the Mediation, which are fixed at $377.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the prothonotary of Crawford County.

15. Either party may mve theCourt for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 27, 1992 copy of order to: William Pineo, Esq., Dennis Kuftic, Esq. & Joan D. Mason,Esq.

Continued on Page 630B

Terry J. Confer
vs.
Corinne S. Confer

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 630A
of Record
A.D. 1988 - 1093

June 2, 1992 MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit, the 1st day of June, 1992, upon motion of the Petitioner, the hearing scheduled for June 2, 1992, at 9:00 a.m. is hereby continued generally. Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

June 23, 1992 PETITION FOR DE NOVO CUSTODY HEARING and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit, this 23rd day of June 1992, upon petition of the Plaintiff, Terry J. Confer, a de novo hearing on the within custody matter is hereby granted to be heard on the 3rd day of August, 1992, at 9:00 a.m. Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm.
June 23, 1992 copy given to Atty. Mason & Kuftic

July 16, 1992 Appearance of Mary Ann Kirkpatrick for Defendant filed.

Aug. 4, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Aug. 3, 1992, after an informal hearing on the Defendant's petition to modify, the Court finds that it is in the best interest of the children to continue to live with their mother, that the Gruber three-prong test has been met in part, that is the first two prongs appear to have been met, but the Court is not satisfied that the third prong has been met, and will reconsider whether or not the third prong has been met so as to cause the Defendant to relocate closer to the father or whether the mother may remain in Colorado. The Court will entertain a reconsideration of the third prong during the summer of 1993 on motion or petition of the Plaintiff.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The Order of May 22, 1992 shall remain in full force and effect without change or modification except as herein after provided.
2. The Defendant shall do everything reasonable and necessary within her power to encourage, to improve, foster and provide a good, positive, frequest and ongoing contact and relationship between the children and teh noncustodial parent, the Plaintiff.
3. Both parties are absolutely prohibited from doing anything or saying anything to force, suggest or encourage the children to speak and think poorly of the other. In the event that there are further proceedings in this matter, and in the event that the Court discusses living arrangements with the children, teh children shall be free to say as they please without any censorship, coercion or force by the other.
4. Since it is the tentative conclusion of the Court that the mother's move to Colorado does adversely affect the ongoing relationship between the children and their father, the mother shall permit the father to have one (1) telephone conversation per week with his children at a time to be agreed upon by the parties at the father's expense and without any recording or overhearing by anyone including the Defendant. That telephone call shall be at least fifteen (15) minutes in length and the father shall be permitted to talk to each of his children during that call or during some other call during the week. The children shall be permitted to call their father as they wish at the expense of the mother.
5. The father shall continue counseling s he sees fit to improve his parenting relationship, to improve the quality time he spends with his children, to loosen up and shop appropriate affection, and do other things as the counselor deems appropriate so that his relationship with the children can continue and be postive, so that he can better resolve conflict between himself and the mother regarding the children, and so that the children have a positive and enjoyable time when they are with him.
6. When the children are with their father, the father shall at all times, except when he is working or engaging in other appropriate pursuits, be in the same home with the children and sleep there every night that the children are there.
7. During periods of time when the children are with the father, the maternal grandmother shall have no right to see the children unless the father agrees.
8. The mother shall properly prepare the children for their visits to the father in Crawford County and shall encourage the children to visit in a cheerful and willing way and shall do all she can reasonably do to remind and convince the children that the Plaintiff is their father and that he has a right to visit with them.

The Courts of Pennsylvania shall retain jurisdiction in this matter so long as one of the parties or the children live in Pennsylvania. The parties have consented and stipulated at this hearing that Pennsylvania shall retain jurisdiction until further Order of this Court.

9. In odd numbered yearsthe father shall have the right to have the children in his custody from 5:00 o'clock p.m. on December 27th until 9:00 o'clock p.m. on Dec. 31st if he so desires provided, however, that he gives the mother two (2) weeks advance notice if he intends to exercise said period of visitation.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 4, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and Dennis Kuftic.

Aug. 3, 1992 Exhibits filed.

Dec. 10, 1992 Stipulation/Consent Custody Order and Order filed. AND NOW, December 8, 1992, it is hereby ordered: The Court's Order of August 3, 1992, shall remain in full force and effect without change or modification, except as hereinafter provided:

1. Father shall be entitled to have a summer visit with the children, which visit shall begin each year on the second Saturday in June and shall continue for a two-week period. At the end of the two-week period, the children may decide whether they wish to remain for any additional time, and if so, the childen may remain as long as they and the father wishe to have them remain up to a total of two months. If after two weeks the chidlren wish to return to Colorado, the father agrees to permit them to do so. In the event the children and the father elect to have a full two-month visit, the visit shall end on the second Saturday in August.
2. Father hereby waives his objection to the mother moving the chidlren to Colorado. Father further agrees not to request full custody of the chirlen in the future.
3. This Order is conditioned upon certain promises and arrangements made between the parties concerning the support of their three minor children which arrangements are set forth in a Consent Support Order made contemporaneously with this Agreement. In the event that either party or the Court invalidates or fails to honor the Consent Support Agreement, then the provisions of theis Agreement shall be subject to modification, and in the event either party fails to honor the within Agreement, that party shall be liable to the other party for reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

Gordon R. Mille, J

Dec. 16, 1992 Copies of order to Atty's Kirkpatrick and Kuftic

SHAWN ASHBAUGH

VS. JACLYNN ASHBAUGH

Record Continued from Page 610D
of
AD 1988-704

****

Feb 4, 1991 Motion for Hearing De Novo and Order filed: AND NOW, this 4 day of February 1991, upon presentation of the Defendant's Motion for Hearing De Novo in the above-captioned action, a hearing De Novo is hereby set for the 27 day of Mar., 1991, at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Apr 3, 1991 Order filed: On January 11, 1991 this Court issued a temporary order in this case. On February 4, 1991 the Defendant (child's mother) filed a motion requesting a hearing de novo. Said motion was granted, and a hearing was set for March 27, 1991. Testimony was taken on March 27 and on April 1, 1991.

Based on the information contained in the Court's file in this matter, and including all the testimony presented at the March and April 1991 hearings, and in consideration of the best interests of the child Andrea N. Ashbaugh, this Court make the following ORDER:

1. The entire contents of the January 11, 1991 order, a copy of which is attached, except for paragraphs 2a, 11, 14, and 15 are made final.

2. Paragraph 3a shall read as follows:

"3a. Beginning on April 14, 1991 at noon, the father shall have primary physical custody of the child for the balance of the month of April, 1991. During that period of time, the mother shall have custody from 7:00 p.m. on Friday, April 19 through 7:00 p.m. on Sunday, April 21, and on Wednesday, April 24 at 5:00 p.m. until Thursday April 25 at 7:30 p.m.

"Beginning in May 1991 and continuing thereafter, the mother shall have primary physical custody of the child for the entire month. The father shall have primary physical custody in June, and the parties will continue alternating custody each month thereafter. The parent who does not have primary physical cutody any month shall, beginning on the first weekend of the month, have custody from 5:00 p.m. on Friday throught 7:30 p.m. on Sunday on alternating weekends, and alson shall have custody on each Tuesday at 7:00 p.m. until 7:00 on Wednesday of the weeks said parent does not have weekend custody as set forth above."

3. Paragraph 11 shall read as follows:

"11. The mother shall not expose the child to the company of one Kelvin D. Jones."

4. Paragraph 14 shall be amended to set forth the actual costs and fees at the present time.

5. Paragraph 15 is deleted.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
James B. Dwyer, S.J.

April 4, copies put in Atty's boxes.

Apr 3, 1991 Exhibits filed with papers.

Dec. 10, 1991, Trans cript of Testimony of Shawn Ashbaugh Taken At Time of De Novo Custody Hearing filed.

March 12, 1992 Petition For Contempt Under PA Rule of Civil Procedure 1915.12 and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 12 day of March, 1992, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a Rule to Show Cause is hereby entered upon Respondent, Jaclynn Rich, to show cause why she should not be held in contempt of Court.

Said Rule is returnable on the 9th day of April, 1992, in Courtroom No 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA. at 1:30 o'clock P.M.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

March 16, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. James Irwin and John Brautigam.

Mar. 20, 1992 Motion For Modification Of Custody and Visitation filed.

Mar. 20, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, March18, 1992 the defnedhat having filed a mtoion for modification of custody and visitation a hearing thereon is scheduled for April 9, 1992 at 1:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 at the same time already scheduled in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 at the same time already scheduled for a hearin gon a contempt motion filed by the plaintiff.

Pending hearing visitaitons withe the plaintiff Shawn Ashabaugh are suspended.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 20, 1992 Copies given to Atty's. Irwin and Walton

April 3, 1992 Upon Praecipe of John B. Brautigam, Attorney for Defendant, appearance withdrawn and appearance of Barbara J. Walton, attorney for defendant filed.

Brian K. Hamilton

vs.

Jill M. Hamilton

CONTINUED FROM PAGE @@

OF Record

A.D. 1988 - 572

Feb 3, 1992 PETITION FOR SPECIAL RELIEF filed.

Feb 3, 1992 ORDER filed. And Now, Jan. 31, 1992, hearing on Plaintiff's Petition for Special Relief is scheduled for March 4, 1992 at 10:30 a.m. in courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville Pa.
By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Feb. 7, 1992 copy in box for M.A. Kirkpatrick, mailed copy to A. Hansen Gamble

Feb 5, 1992 PETITION TO MODIFY CUSTODY filed.

Feb. 5, 1992 ORDER filed. And, Now, this matter is referred to William Pineo, child custody mediator, for further order of the court Dated: 2-5-92
By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Feb. 11, 1992 copy in box for M. Kirkpatrick, H. White, W. Pineo, mailed copy to A. Hansen Gamble

March 5, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 4, 1992, this matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's petition for special relief which petition basically centers around the operations and management of a business the parties own known as BJ's Lakeland Inn at Canadohta Lake, PA.

After hearing testimony on behalf of both parties, the Court enters the following order in order to attempt to preserve the marital asset for the sake of both parties:

1. The Plaintiff shall within 24 hours of the date of this order provide the Defendant with a key to allow her access to the tavern and restaurant at all times and shall also provide her with the combination to the safe within the business.

2. Neither party shall at any time forthwith take any cash from the cash register, safe or in any other manner from the business without the knowledge and consent of the other party.

3. Within 48 hours of the date of this order, the parties shall bring all business records including payroll records and work schedules to the busienss and such records shall remain at the business and accessible to both parties at all times.

4. Within a period of 48 hours from the date of this order, the parties shall make arrangements to obtain a checking account for the business which shall be the exclusive account used for the business requiring the signature of both parties in order to issue checks.

5. The parties shall at all times attempt to cooperate with each other in the management of the business.

6. Neither party shall pay any bill of the business or cause anyone to pay any bill of the business with cash except as follows:

a. The parties shall keep a petty cash account with a balance of $100. This account shall be used to pay cash delivery items normally paid by cash and the party removing the cash for such reason shall provide an appropriate receipt to document the use of the cash. The parties shall cause an appropriate contribution to be made periodically to allow the petty cash to remain as near $100 as possible at all times.

b. Other items may be paid by cash only if the parties both sign and date in advance a form to be created by them indicating the amount and purpose for which the payment was made and that the same was done with both of their agreement.

c. The parties may meet as they see fit to discuss the business and when doing so shall restrict their discussions to the business not to other subjects.

d. If the Plaintiff shall obtain other employment that he does not wish to keep full time employment at the business, the parties shall mutually agree upon a third person to manage the busienss and an appropriate manner in paying that person for his or her services.

By The Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

March 5, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Douglas Ferguson.

Mar. 9, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 4, 1992, after hearing in this matter, with the consent of all parties, Exhibit 1 being $18.75 in coins in an envelope is returned to the Plaintiff.
BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Mar. 11, 1992 copy in box for M.A. Kirkpatrick & D. Ferguson

March 13, 1992 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 10th day of March, 1992, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may ahve regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school eprsonnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. The mother shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor children each year from 5:00 p.m. of August 15 through 5:00 p.m. of June 15 of the following year. The father shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor children each year from 5:00 p.m. of June 15 through 5:00 p.m of August 15.

The party not having physical custody of the sbuject minor children shall have the right to have visitation and temporary physical custody each weekend, except for the last full weekend of each month, from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m.

b. The subject minor children shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will nto be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4a. There shall be two Christmas holiday visitation periods, the first beginning on Christmas Eve at noon and ending Christmas Day at 1:00 p.m. and the second beginning Christmas Day at 1:00 p.m. and ending Dec. 26 at 1:00 p.m. For Christmas 1992, the mother shall have the first period, and the father, the second, and the parteis shall thereafter alternate the periods.

b. There shall be two Thanksgiving holiday visitation periods, the first beginning after school on the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving and ending at 2:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day and the second beginning at 2:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day and ending at 8:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day. For Thanksgiving 1992, the father shall have the first period, and the mother, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods.

c. There shall be two Easter vacation visitation periods, the first beginning on Holy Saturday at 9:00 a.m. and ending Easter Sunday at 1:00 p.m. and the second beginning Easter Sunday at 1:00 p.m. and ending Easter Sunday at 8:00 p.m. For Easter 1992, the mother shall have the first period, and the father, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods.

d. The other holidays to be observed are Memorial Day, Independence Day, and Labor Day. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m so that the children may watch the fireworks. The father shall have visitation for Memorial Day, 1992, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

e. The children shall spend Father's Day with their father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with their mother, during the same hours.

f. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as oon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall atempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The partiieshall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the children at the home of the other.

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 632(a).....

Brian K. Hamilton

vs.

Jill M. Hamilton

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 632

OF

AD 1988 - 572

****

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned taht recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the childrenare being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of PA.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polie and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11. The parties are directed to arrange for counseling for themselves and the children through the Community Mental Health Center or other reputable counseling service, to receive instruction on how to negotiate their differences and to behave in other ways proper to their status as separated parents and of children of separated parents.

12. The mediator notes for the court that the children attend Sunday School and church at the United Methodist Church in Little Cooley. The parties shall see that the children continue in attendance and in their religious education generally.

13. Neither party shall expose the children to the company of persons of the opposite sex to whom they re not related by blood or marriage between the hours of 8:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m.

14. Each of the parties will provide the children with beds of their own.

15. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent ony, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

16. The mediator notes for the court that the parties are presently undergoing a diorce and their future living arrangements are not foreseeable. Each party may therefore request a review of this Order upon any substantital change of circumstances.

17. Costs and fees of this Mediation, whcih are fixed at $152.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

18. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

March 17, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. William Pineo, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and Douglas Ferguson, copy mailed to plaintiff and defendant.

Oct. 30, 1992 Petition for Special Relief and Order filed. AND NOW this 30th day of October, 1992, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition for Special Relief it is hereby Ordered and Decreed that a hearing is scheduled thereon for December 8, 1992 at 3:30 P.M. A copy of this Petition and Notice of hearing scheduled thereon shall be served upon the plaintiff's counsel, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, by leaving a copy of the same for her in her box at the Prothonotary's Office.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Nov.5, 1992 Copy of the Order to Attys. Pineo, Kirkpatrick, Ferguson, mailed to Plaintiff and Defendant.

Oct. 30, 1992 Petition to Adjudicate Plaintiff in Contempt of Court and Order filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of October, 1992, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition for Contempt, it is hereby Ordered and Decreed that a hearing thereon is scheduled for December 8, 1992 at 3:30 P.M. o'clock in Court Room 2. Notice of this hearing shall be given to the plaintiff's counsel, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, by leaving a copy of said Order in her box at the Prothonotary's office.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Nov. 5, 1992 Copy of the Order to Attys. Pineo, Kirkpatrick,Ferguson, mailed to Plaintiff and Defendant.

Dec 1, 1992 MOTION TO RESCHEDULE HEARING and ORDER filed. ORDER: And Now, Nov. 30, 1992, the hearing previously scheduled for Dec. 8, 1992, at 3:30 p.m. is now scheduled for Dec. 14, 1992, at 9:00 a.m. in court room 1 or 2 of the Crawford CountyCourthouse in Meadville, Pennsylvania.

For The Court,
Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm.

Dec. 3, 1992 copy to Pineo, Kirkpatrick and Ferguson

Dec. 16, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, December 14, 1992 at an informal hearing where both parties appeared with counsel the Court now disposes ofthe Defendant's petition for special relief filed on October 30, 1992 and the Defendant's petition to hold the Plaintiff in contempt of Court filed October 30, 1992. As to the petition for special relief, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. Exclusive possession of the former marital residence, that is a mobile home, is hereby warded to the defendnat until further order of Court.

2. The Plaintiff maintains that he would like access to a shed that is about 50 yards away fromthe mobile home, averring that there are certain items in that shed that he has use for. He shallhave a right to go to that shed to remove items of his personal use provided that he gives the Defendant seven days advance notice in writing or by ph one, when he advises her of the exact items he wishes to take and use. That will give the Defendant the opportunity to photograph or better identify the items and to have the item(s) appraised.

3. Neither party shall dispose of or destroy any marital assets until further order of Court unless the parties mutually agree that an item can be destroyed or disposed of.

4. The Defendant's request that the Plaintiff return certain items that he already removed is denied.

As to the Defendant's petition for contempt, the Court finds as a fact that the Plaintiff has not complied with paragraph 13 of the order of March 10, 1992 in that he apparently lives with a woman he is not married to and she remains at his residence between the hours of 8:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m., even though the Plaintiff argues that that provision was not properly arrived at, and is impractical in view of the fact that the parties have been separated so long and in view of the fact that maybe the femail friend could stay in the home over night once these parties are divorced. The Court nonetheless finds that the Plaintiff is in contempt for not complying with said provision. The Court will not impose any further sanctions or penalties on the Plaintiff so long as he fully and completely complies from this moment on with paragraph 13 of the custody order. Until further order of Court paragraph 13 of the custody order shall remain in full force and effect and neither party shall expose the children to the company of person of the opposite sex to whom they are not related by blood or marriage between the hours of 8:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. If the Pl antiff continued to violate this section, the Court will, on further petition, impose additional penalties.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Dec. 16, 1992 Copies given to Atty's Thomas & Ferguson

Feb. 8, 1993, Motion for Referral to Custody Meadiator and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, Feb. 8, 1993 this case is returned to custody Mediator William Pineo, Esq. for hearing and review, once the $150. deposit is made by plaintiff.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Feb. 9, 1993, copies of order to W. Pineo, R. C. Thomas, D. Ferguson, Kirkpatrick.

Brian K. Hamilton

vs.

Jill M. Hamilton

Record continued from page 632 A

of record

A.D. 1988 - 572

****

Feb. 17, 1993 Defendant's Petition For Special Relief and Order filed. AND NOW, this 17th day of February, 1993 upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, it is hereby ordered and Decreed that a hearing thereon is scheduled for March 3, 1993 at 9:30 am in Court room 1 or 2. A copy of this Petition and Order shall be served upon the Plaintiff's Counsel, R. Charlels Thomas, Esquire by regular mail advising them of the date and time of the aforesaid hearing.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J

feb. 24, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Thomas and Ferguson

Mar. 4, 1993 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, march 4, 1993, the defendant's petition for special relief is denied.

1. The parties are ordered and directed to follow Judg Vardaro's Order of March 4, 1992 without fail and to abide by the terms and conditons of this Order which modifies and expands the Order of March 4, 1992.

2. The day to day operations and management of the business shall be performed by the plaintiff. He shall work full time at that business in a normal, customary and usual manner. He shall do nothing to negatively affect the business. Except as to the coin vending machines, receipts from all sales shall be rung up and marked on a cash register receipt and all cash placed in the cash register. As to the coin operated machines, the plaintiff shall empty said machnes on a daily basis and nte the revenues from each machine on a form or paper specifically devised for that purpose. He shall then make a deposit every day the bank is open. The amount of the deposit, and the deposit slip, shall equal the total of the cash receipts placed in the cash register and noted on the cash register tape plus the coin receipts from the coin machines as recorded and entered in the manner aforesaid.

3. All payments for bills, expenses, payroll, etc. shall be paid by check signed by both parties. There shall be no cash payments except where suppliers and vendors absolutely require it. In that event the supplier, vendor or delivery person shall sign a receipt and the receipts shall be kept in one place. If a bank deposit is less than the total of the daily coin receipts from the coin operated machines and cash register tapes the difference may only be explained by cash payments to vendors who require it in which case the receipts signed by the delivery person shall equal the difference.

4. All records shall be kep up to date. All entries shall be made at the time of the transaction. All records shall be kept at the business location unless both parties agree that a record may be removed for legitimate business or litigation purposes.

5. The parties will meet, at least, weekly, in a private quiet place to discuss the operation of the business including but not limited to, the decisions and activities of the business since the last meeting, receipts and expenses, upcoming business matters, employee matters, etc. One or both of the parties may bring another party to that meeting. The purposes of the meeting shall be (a) so that there may be a free open and fair exchange of information in order that both of the owners understand the day to day operations of the business; (b) so that they can monitor the conduct of the other; and (c) so they cooperate in the operation of the business as much as possible.

6. Neither party may run up a tab or charge any purchases.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

Mar. 4, 1993 Copies given to R. Charles Thomas, Esq. and Douglas Ferguson, Esq.

Apr. 29, 1993 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 28 day of April, 1993, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and uponthe recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECRRED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children, Andrew and Adam Hamilton.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. The mother shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor children each year from 5:00 p.m. of August 15 through 5:00 p.m. of June 15 of the following year. The father shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor children each year from 5:00 p.m. of June 15 through 5:00 p.m. ofAugust 15.

The party not having physical custody of the subject minor children shall have the right to have visitation and temporary physical custody each weekend, except for the last full weekend of each month, from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m.

b. The subject minor children shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4.a. There shall be two Christmas holiday visitation periods, the first beginning on Christmas Eve at noon and endingChristmas Day at 1:00 p.m. and the second beginning Christmas Day at 1:00 p.m. and ending December 26 at 1:00 p.m. For Christmas 1993, the father shall have the first period, and the mother, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods.

b.There shall be two Thanksgiving holiday visitation periods, the first beginning after school on the Wednesday precedingThanksgiving and ending at 2:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day and the second beginning at 2:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day and ending at 8:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day. For Thanksgiving 1993, the mother shall have the first period, the first period, and the father, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods.

c. There shall be two Easter vacation visitation periods, the first beginning on Holy Saturday at 9:00 a.m. and ending EasterSunday at 1:00 p.m. and the second beginning Easter Sunday at 8:00 p.m. For Easter 1993, the mother shall have the first period, and the father, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods.

Continued to Page 632 C

Brian K. Hamilton
vs.
Jill M. Hamilton

Record Continued from Page 632 B
of record
A.D. 1988 - 572

****

d. The other holidays to be observed are Memorial Day, Independence Day, when the Holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch the fireworks. The father shall have visitation for Memorial Day, 1993, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

e. The father shall also have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody with his children from 6:00 p.m. of the day preceding the opening day of antlered deer season until 7:00 p.m. of said opening day, and from 6:00 p.m. of the day preceding the opening of antlerless deer season until 7:00 p.m.of said opening day.

f. The children shall spend Father's Day with their father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. and Mother's Day with their mother, during the same hours.

g. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification by necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the children at the home of the other.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other ina polite and respectful manner in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11. The mediator ntes for the court that the children attend Sunday School and Church at the United Methodist Church in Little Cooley. Theparties shall see that the children continue in attendance and in their religious education generally.

12. Each of the parties will provide the children with beds of their own.

13. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and conveient. Said arrangements shall be by mutal consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

14. The mediator notes for the court that the parties are presently undergoing a divorce and their future living arrangements are not foreseeable. Each party may therefore request a review of this Order upon any substantial change of circumstances.

15. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case.

16. The deposit required for the appointment of the custody mediator was made by the father The deposit shall first be applied against the father's share of costs and the remainder shall be remitted to him. The mother shall pay her share of costs to the Prothonotary of Crawford County within thirty (30) days of the date of this order.

17. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

May 5, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys.Pineo, Thomas & Ferguson.

May 13, 1993 Motion for DeNovo Hearing in Child Custody Mediation and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 13th day of May, 1993, a hearing is scheduled for June 9, 1993 at 2:00 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom 1, 2 or 3 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

FOR THE COURT:
Ann A. Walker, Court Administrator

May 18, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Thomas, Ferguson & Pineo.

May 25, 1993 Inventory and Appraisment of Brian K. Hamilton, filed.

May 25, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Brian K. Hamilton, Plaintiff,filed.

May 25, 1993 Income and Expense Statement of Brian K. Hamilton, Plaintiff, filed.

June 7, 1993 Motion for Continuance and ORDER OF COURT FILED. AND NOW, June 7, 1993, the within Motion is allowed and this case is continued until the 29th of June, 1993 at *;30 o'clock A.M. in Court Room 1, 2 or 3, Crawford County Court House, Meadville, PA.

Gordon R. Miller, Judger

June 14, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Thomas, Ferguson & Pineo.

July 6, 1993, STIPULATION AND CONSENT MODIFICATION OF CUSTODY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 29 day of June 1993, upon stipulation of the plff and dft, it is HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED that he courts temporary order of Apr. 28, 1993 is affirmed witht he following modifications:

1. Paragraph 4(c) shall read: There shall be two (2) Christmas holiday visitation periods, the first beginning on Christmas Eve at noon and ending on Christmas Day at 1:00 p.m. and the second beginning Christmas Day at 1:00 p.m. and ending Dec. 26 at 1:00 p.m. For Christmas 1993, the mother shall have the first period and the father shall have the second. The parties shall thereafter alternate the periods.

2. Paragraph 4(c) shall read: There shall be two (2) Easter vacation visitation periods, the first beginning on Holy Sat. at 9:00 a.m. and ending on Easter Sun. at 1:00 p.m. The second beginning on Easter Sun. at 1:00 p.m. and ending on Easter Sunday at 8:00 p.m. For easter 1993, the father shall have the first period and the mother shall have the second. The parties shall thereafter alternate the said periods.

3. Paragraph 4(d) shall read: The other holidays to be observed are Memorail Day, Independence day, and Labor Day. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch the fireworks.

Continued on Pg. 632 d

632 d.

The mother shall have visitation for Memorial Day 1993 and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

4. All other terms and conditions of the court's Order of April 28, 1993 are adopted in their entirety.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony j. vardaro, J.

July 9, 1993, copies of order to Attys. Thomas, Ferguson and Pineo.

May 11, 1994 Affidavit of Consent by Jill M. Hamilton, Defendant, filed.

May 11, 1994 Affidavit of Consent by Brian K. Hamilton, Plaintiff, filed.

May 11, 1994 Upon Praecipe of R. Charles Thomas, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

May 23, 1994 AND NOW, May 17, 1994 it is ordered and decreed that BRIAN K. HAMILTON, plaintiff and JILL M. HAMILTON, defendant are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that terms, provisions and conditions of a certain marital agreement between the parties dated March 17, 1994 and attached hereto, are hereby incorporated in this decree and order by reference as fully as though the same were set forth herein at length. Said agreement shall not merge with, but shall survive this decree and order.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

PATRICIA A. HOFIUS

VS.

GRAIG L. HOFIUS

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 373

OF

AD 1988-923

****

instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

12. Either party may request a review of this Order two years from date hereof to make any adjustments.

13. Costs and fees of the Mediation, which are fixed at $127.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the prothonotary of Crawford County.

14. Either party may move the court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Aug. 1, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Pineo, Barrett and Vardaro's boxes and copies mailed to plaintiff and defendant, and copy put in Atty. Mason's box.

Aug. 12, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 23, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 23, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Oct. 1, 1992 Motion For Withdrawal Of Equitable Distribution Count and ORDER OF COURT filed. And now, September 29, 1992, The above motion allowed.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct. 7, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. Bruce Barrett, Joan Mason.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Oct. 2, 1992 AND NOW, September 28, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that **PATRICIA A. HOFIUS**, plaintiff, and **CRAIG L. HOFIUS**, defendnat, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

634

1988

Linda L. Deeter

vs.

Dalton R. Deeter

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 490

of Record

A.D. 1988 - 1040

Feb. 19, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT of DEFENDANT filed.

Mar. 27, 1992, ADDENDUM TO AGREEMENT filed.

Mar. 31, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney Under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Apr. 6, 1992 SEPARATION AND PROPERTY SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, filed.

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D

AND NOW, April 6, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that Linda L. Deeter, plaintiff, and dalton R. Deeter, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

It is further ordered that the parties' Memorandum and Addendum thereto are incorporated herein and made a part hereof and shall be fully enforceable under the provisions of the Divorce Code.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Apr. 7, 1992 copy in Kirkpatrick box.

July 29, 1992, Petition for Contempt, for Determination and entry of QDRO and for Injunction Against Transfer Without QDRO and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, July 29, 1992, upon presentation of Plaintiff's Petition for Contempt, for Determination and Entry of QDRO and for Injunction AGainst Transfer Without QDRO, hearing is sc heduled for Sept. 11, 1992 at 1:30 p.m. in C. r. No. 2 of the Crawford County courthouse in Meadville Pa.

Pending hearing or further order of court, Defendant shall not transfer funds to plaintiff from his Keough or IRA accounts.

By the Court,
Anthony J. vardaro, J.

Aug. 4, 1992, copies of order to Atty.Kirkpatrick and James Irwin, ESq.

Sept. 17, 1992 Motion to reschedule hearing and Order filed. And Now, September 16, 1992, hearing scheduled for September 11, 1992, shall be heard on December 3, 1992 at 9:30 a.m. o'clock in courtroom 1 or 2 of the crawford County Courthouse, in Meadville, PA.

for the Court:
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

sept. 18, 1992 Copies of order put in box for atty's Kirkpatrick and Irwin

Feb. 19, 1993 Qualified Domestic Relations Order filed. AND NOW, this 17 day of February, 1993, it is appearing to the Court that:

1. The parties hereto were formerly husband and wife, having been divorced on April 6, 1992, by this court at the above number;

2. Dalton R. Deeter, Social Security Number 183-34-6088, hereinafter referred to as defendnat, is an owner/participant in Keough/Profit Sharing and IRA accounts with Delaware Group.

3. Linda L. Deeter, Social Security Number 163-40-3016, hereinafter referred to as plaintiff, has raised claims for inter alia, equitable distribution of marital property pursuant to the Pa. Divorce Code, 23 P.S. Section 101, et seq.;

4. Plaintiff's current and last known mailing address is RD # 2, Box 10, Cochranton, PA 16314.

5. Defendant's current and last known mailing address is RD # 2, Box 15, Cochranton, PA 16314.

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

1. The aforementioned Keough/Profit Sharing and IRA accounts with Delaware Group in the name of Dalton R. Deeter are marital property subject to distribution by this court.

2. The sum of $292,000.00 with its earnings since Aug. 13, 1991 is awarded to wife/alternate payee. This award shall be paid first from the Roy C. Deeter Plumbing and Heating Company Profit Sharing Plan account, #K2-038-428-01, and if that account balance is less than required to pay the $292,000.00 plus earnings, then the remainder shall be paid to wife/alternate payee from the IRA account. The balance shall be payable in the following manner: segregation into an account or accounts in her name.

3. The plaintiff, Linda L. Deeter, shall have the same rights to the account as wereavailable to the defendant, Dalton R. Deeter. The amounts set forth in this Order, thus payable from the accounts shall be distributed to the alternate payee, plaintiff, as soon as practicable after the effective date of the Order which shall be the date the Plan Administrator has determined pursuant to paragraph 7 here of that this Order is a Qualified Domestic Relations Order within the meaning of Section 414 (p) of the Code and Section 206(d) (3) of ERISA, or, if such Administrator has predetermined that this Order constitutes a Qualified Domestic Relations Order, then immediately after entry of this Order.

4. Linda L. Deeter shall promptly notify the Plan Administrator of any change in her address from that which was set forth above in this Order.

5. The paraties shall promptly submit this Order to the Plan Administrator for determination of its status as a Qualified Domestic Relations's Order.

6. The defendant shall not encumber, transfer, pledge or in any other way dispose of any protion of the accounts until such time as the Account Administrators have qualified this Order.

It is intended that this Order shall qualify as a Qualified Domestic Relation's Order under the Retiremetn Equity Act of 1984. The court retains jurisdiction to amend this Order as might be necessary to establish or maintain it's status as a Qualified Domestic Relation's Order under the Retirement Equity Act of 1984.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

March 3, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. James Irwin and M. A. Kirkpatrick.

Robert O. Lampl

vs.

North and South Shenango Joint Municipal Authority et al

Record Continued from Page235 of AD 1988 - 785

Dec. 10, 1991 Re: MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS - REASSIGNMENT OF ARGUMENT DATE - Argument in the above matter heretofore set for Dec. 3, 1991 is hereby reset by the court for Jan. 10, 1991 at 9:30 a.m. before Sen. Judge P. Richard Thomas. FOR THE COURT, I. Yetman, Ct. Adm.
Dec. 12, 1991, copies to Attys. Paul Yagelski, Douglas G. Linn, II and Edward showmaker and David McSorely.

Jan. 15, 1992 Motion For Continuance of ARgument on Motion For Judgment on the Pleadings and ORDER filed. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the argument on Defendant's Motion for Judgment On the pleadings previously scheduled for Jan. 10, 1992 at 9:30 a.m. before Senior Judge P. Richard Thomas is hereby reset for Court for hearing on Feb. 19, 1992 at 9:00 A.M., (in Courtroom designated on daily schedule) before Senior Judge P. Richard Thomas.

By the Court
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Jan. 20, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. Dougals Linn, II, Edward Shoemaker, David McSorley, John D. Adams, Jr., Paul R. Yagelski.

Feb. 21, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, February 21, 1992, after argument, motion for summary judgment request by North and South Shenango Joint Municipal Authority is denied.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Feb. 24, 1992 Copies to (Mailed) counsel of record.

Oct 6, 1992 MOTION TO COMPEL AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 2nd day of October, 1992, the Court hereby sets the 28 day of October, 1992, at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom No. 3 as the time and place for hearing argument on the Motion to Compel and Motion for Sanctions file don behalf of North and South Shenango Joint Municipal Authority.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Oct 12, 1992 copy to P Yagelski, D Linn, E Shoemaker, D McSorley, J. Adams

June 23, 1993 Notice Of Deposition of Robert O. Lampl filed.

July 12, 1993 Notice of Deposition of Robert O. Lampl filed.

Aug. 10, 1993 Motion to Set Deposition Date and for Sanctions for Failure to Attend Deposition and ORDER Filed. AND now, this 10 day of August, 1993, upon Motion filed by North andSouth Shenango Joint Municipal Authority (the "Authority"), it appearing to the Court that the Authority has the right to schedule the Plaintiff;s depositin upon reasonable notice to the parties and it further appearing to the Court that the Authority has afforded the Plaintiff and the other parties reasonable notice and accomodations to their individual schedules, The Court hereby directs that the relief of the Authority be granted and it is therefore, hereby Ordered, that the depositin of the Plaintiff shall be taken by theAuthority on September 8, 1993, at the time and place set forth in the NOtice of Deposition attached to the within Motion, It is finally Ordered that if the Plaintiff fails to appear for the said deposition, uponpraecipe of the Authority, the Prothonotary shall mark the docket of this case as being dismissed with prejudice.

BY THE COURT,
P.Richard Thomas, S.Judge

Aug. 16, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys.Dougals Linn, II, Edward Shoemaker, David McSorley, John D. Adams, Jr. Paul R.Yagelski

Aug. 30, 1993 Motion to Reconsider andTo Vacate Order of August 10, 1993 and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30 day of August, 1993, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Motion to Reconsider And To Vacate Order of August 10, 1993 is DENIED.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Aug. 30, 1993 Ct.Admin. mailed copy to: Paul Yagelski, Esq., Doug. Linn, John Adams, Jr.& David McSorley

Aug. 30, 1993 Motion toCompel and ORDER filed. Re: Plaintiff's Motion to Compel. AND NOW, August 30, 1993, Plaintiff's Motion denied. Deposition of Plaintiff is scheduled for September 8, 1993. Counsel are directedtto attempt to resolve a date for filing responses to Request for Admissions, Interrogatories and Production of Documents at deposition taking. If a date cannot be agreed upon, this Court will entertain a motion by Plaintiff toset a date certain.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Aug. 30,1993 Copies mailed to: Paul R.Yagelski; Douglas G. Linn; John V. Adams, Jr.; andDavid McSorley by Ct. Adm.Office

Sep. 23, 1993 Affidavit of Service Filed.

Sept. 29, 1993 Motion For Partial Summary Judgment fiiled.

Oct. 8, 1993 Motions for Enlargement of Time and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 7 day of Oct. 1993,upon consideration of North and South Shenango Joint Municipal Authority's Motion for Enlargement of T ime, said Motions are granted.
It is hereby Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed that:
(1) North and South Shenango Joint Municipal Authority's response to Lampl's Request for Admission Shall be deemed timely filed and shall not be deemed admitted for failure to comply with Pa. R.C.P. 4014 (b).
(2) North and South Shenango Joint Municipal Authority shall have thirty (30) days from its receipt of the deposition transcript of Robert O. Lampl to:
(a) File a Reply to Robert O. Lampl's MOtion for Partial Summary Judgment; and
(b) To File a Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment, if any.

BY THE COURT
P. Richard Thomas, S.Judge

10-14-93 Copies mailed to: Attys. Yagelski, Linn, Adams, McSorley

Oct. 18, 1993 Plaintiff's Statement of Facts Pursuant to Rule 1035A, filed.

Oct. 18, 1993 Plaintiff's Response to Motions for Enlargement of Time, filed.

Oct. 18, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Paul R. Yagelski, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff, the above captioned matter is placed on the next available Argument list.

ON NOVEMBER, 1993 ARGUMENT LIST

Sep. 23, 1993 Plaintiff's Affidavit in Support of MOtion for Partial Summary Judgment filed.

Jan. 26, 1994 Upon Praecipe of Michael D. Gallagher, Esquire, the above captioned matter on the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of Robert O. Lampl and the Cross-Motions for Summary Judgement of the NOrth and South Shenango Joint Municipal Authority is placed on the next available Argument List.

ON FEBRUARY, 1994 ARGUMENT LIST

Continued to Page 644

636

1988

PETER J. SIMONETTA
VS.
BECKY A. SIMONETTA

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 141
of Reocrd
A. D. 1988 - 691

****

Aug. 27, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Aug. 26, 1992, this matter is before the Court on Defendant's petition to adjudicate Plaintiff in contempt.

The Plaintiff appears without counsel and the Defendant appears with counsel.

Basically the Defendant maintains that pursuant to the Divorce Decree that was agreed to by the parties and entered by Judge Robert L. Walker on May 2, 1992, the Plaintiff is responsible for any joint marital IRS liability. The defendant had an income tax return refund coming of $1,378.00, which the IRS kept toward payment of the joint marital IRS liability. Consequently, the Defendant is requesting payment from the plaintiff for that amount, and the Plaintiff agarees that he owes it, but has requested monthly payments of $50.00 per month.

This matter was first brought to the Plaintiff's attention in March of 1992 and he has failed to make any payment toward the amount owed.

Accordingly, the Court finds that he is in contempt of the Order of May 2, 19991 which is the Divorce Decree entered by Judge Rober tL. Walker.

He may purge himself of contempt by making the followign payments to the Defendant with respect to the amount owed:

1. He shall pay the sum of $500.00 on or before September 4, 1992.
2. He shall pay the sum of $500.00 on or before October 2, 1992.
3. He shall pay the balance due to the Defendant including interest at the rate of six percent per annum based on the original balance of $1, 378.00 beginning April 1, 1992 with said interest to be paid appropriately on the reduced balance, so that all amounts paid including the $1,378.00 and the appropriate interest are paid on or before Nov. 6. 1992.
4. He shall pay the sum of $200.00 for attorney fees related to this matter to the attorney for the Defendant, R. Charles Thomas, Esquire, on or befor Dec. 4, 1992.

If he fails to purge himself of contempt. the Defendant may request further hearing at which time appropriate sanctions will be considered.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug. 27, 1992 copies mailed to plf and given to R.C. Thomas. Aug. 31, 1992 copy in box for B. Lindsay.

Oct. 26, 1992 Motion To Schedule Additional Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, this 26 day of October, 1992, a hearing on this matter is set for the 1 day of December , 1992, at 3:30 o'clock p.m., in Court Room 1 or 2, crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania. A copy of this Motion and Order to be served upon the Plaintiff by Certified Mail and by regular mail at his last known address.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Oct. 28, 1992 Copies of order put in box for Atty's. Lindsay and Thomas

Dec 4, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Dec. 1, 1992 at the time fixed for hearing in this matter, the parties agree that payment has been made in full except for the attorney's fees under the order of Aug. 26, 1992 but the parties do not fully agree as to the amount and date of each payment.

It appears that the Plaintiff has overpaid to some extent regardless of which figures are used. Accordingly, the Court imposes no further sanctions or panalty with respect to the paymetn of the income taxes. We believe that since there has been some overpayment, it is not appropriate to assess the Plaintiff the Defendant's attorney fees.

It does appear, however, that the order of Aug. 26, 1992 has not been fully satisfied since apparently there remains some attorney's fees outstanding which are due on Dec. 4, 1992.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Dec. 9, 1992 copy to R.C. Thomas & B. Lindsay

Donald R. Vanderveen

vs.

Susan A. Vanderveen

Record Continued from Page 229

Of

AD 1988 - 779

****

June 15, 1992 MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. The Court now disposes of the defendant's request for alimony pendente lite, counsel fees and expenses.

These matters are not matters of right but basically center around the defendant's needs and the plaintiff's ability to contribute toward those needs, all in effort to put the parties on an equal economic footing so that neither has an advantage in advancing or defending this litigation.

The plaintiff earns considerably more than the defendant. The plaintiff is the local Postmaster. The defendant works as a waitress. She has a restaurant business at one time that she sold either for a loss or no gain. Her earning picture is clouded by the tips she earns. There is no record of that. Her employer apparently pays her less than minimum wage then adds in a certain factor for tips. All of this is a mathematical computation which may or may not have any bearing on reality. This Court has no other figure to use and therefore will use, for these purposes, her employer's tip calculation as reflected on her pay stubs.

The plaintiff submitted a number of documents including the standard budget information sheet, and a pay stub for a two week period. We find his earning capacity to be $3,108.95 per month[1]. We find the plaintiff's monthly expenses to be $2,071.00 made up of the following items:

Rent	$320.00
Car payment	$386.00
Food	$400.00
Clothing	$140.00
Barber	$ 5.00
Books, etc.	$ 10.00
Gifts	$ 75.00
Charity	$ 20.00
Vacation	$ 33.00
Legal	$100.00
Television	$ 30.00
Car expense	$131.00
Utilities(less than the amount shown because light seems high)	$157.00
Taxes	$149.00
Medical	$ 54.00
Insurance	$ 41.00
Dues	$ 20.00
Total	$2,071.00

We find the defendant's income to be $803.25 net take home pay per month based upon her 1991 Federal Income Tax return. Based upon her April 16, 1992 pay stub with tips added her income appears to be $851.09 per month.

We find her monthly expenses to be $869.00 calculated as follows:

Food	$330.00
Clothing	$100.00
Phone	$ 30.00
Television	$ 32.00
Entertainment	$ 10.00
Books, etc.	$ 10.00
Charity	$ 25.00
Maintenance on home	$ 50.00
Beauty shop	$ 50.00
Gifts	$ 30.00
Car payment	$152.00
Automobile fuel	$ 50.00
Total	$869.00

The plaintiff does pay for some of the defendant's expenses. He pays for monthly the electric, heat, and water bills. He also pays the homeowners insurance on the marital property where she lives. He usually pays the real estate taxes on that property. He did not do that for the 1992 City and County taxes which were recently paid. His expenses recited above do include some payments he makes on behalf of the defendant and have been taken into account in arriving at his cash flow. Some have not.

Likewise the defendant's expenses paid for by the plaintiff in kind have not been added to her list of monthly expenses.

This order is based upon the plaintiff's continued payment of the defendant's expenses for electric, heat, water, insurance and real estate taxes. While the figures were not fully developed it appears that the in kind payments made by the plaintiff to the defendant, on a monthly basis, are as follows:

Electric	$ 50.00
Heat	$100.00
Water	$ 25.00
Insurance	$ 21.00
Subtotal	$196.00
Real estate taxes	$ 95.00
Total	$291.00

The Pennsylvania Support Guidelines (Pa. R.C.P. 1910.16-1), while not always applicable in these situations, would yield a support figure, based upon the net earning capacity of both parties to be in the neighborhood of $900.00 per month.

Based upon all of this the defendant's actual expenses are slightly greater than her income. She clearly has a need.

The plaintiff's expenses are about two-thirds of his earnings and, therefore, he clearly has the ability to pay.

ORDER

AND NOW, June 12, 1992 it i ordered and directed that the plaintiff shall pay the following sums to the defendant:

1. The sum of $430.00 per month to apply on alimony pendente lite for four (4) months, to-wit: June, July, August and September 1992 which said monthly payment shall be made on or before the last day of each month commencing with June 30, 1992. The plaintiff shall continue to pay the reasonable and necessary monthly expenses which the defendant incurs for electric, gas, water, sewage and garbage, real estate taxes and homeowners insurance on the marital residence where she resides.

2. The sum of $500.00 to apply on the defendant's counsel fees in the following manner, to-wit: the sum of $175.00 on or before June 30, 1992; the sum of $175.00 on or before July 31, 1992; and the sum of $150.00 on or before August 31, 1992.

3. This Order shall not affect or limit the ability of a Master or the Court to enter additional awards for alimony pendente lite, counsel fees and expenses if the facts and law justify it.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

---

[1] $2,908.95 per month from employment plus $200.00 per month as interest or dividends from savings and investments.

June 15,1992 Copy given to Attys. Theodore Watts and Joseph Kulwicki.

Continued on Pg 638

Donald R. Vanderveen

vs.

Susan A. Vanderveen

Record continued from page 637

of

A.D. 1988 - 779

****

July 16, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW July 15, 1992, after argument on the Defendant's motion for sanctions for failure to answer interrogatories, the court ORDERS and DIRECTS the Plaintiff to pay the sum of $225.00 to Joseph F. Kulwicki, III, Esquire, to apply on the attorney fees incurred by Mr. Kulwicki in connection with these sanction proceedings. Said sum shall be paid no later than August 28, 1992.

Although it is not necessary, the Cout does for the benefit of the parties explain this Order. It is clear that the Plaintiff did not respond to the interrogatories that were issued some time ago and did not ask for a general continuance because the parties were attempting to resolve their differences on their own or because neither was pursuing this divorce vigourously. The Defendant did nothing formally to lull the Pl aintiff into a false sense of security in believing he did not need to respond, although probably in the course of business the Plaintiff when not pressed to respond didn't do so. The Court understands this but still believes it is a violation of the letter of the Rules and spirit of the Rules to have not answered the interrogatories or at least obtained an understanding that the answers need not be made during that interim period of time.

The Court did reduce counse's claim because of the hours and rate and also in exercising our descretion understand to some extent why the Pl aintiff felt that answers were not necessary. We do find overall that the Plaintiff shoul dhave answered the interrogatories or obtained an extension.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 16, 1992 Copies distributed to Atty's of record.

Aug. 5, 1994 Petition for Bifurcation and RULE TO SHOW CAUSE WHY BIFURCATION SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED, filed. AND NOW, this 5 Day of Aug. of 1994, upon consideration of the within petition and upon motion of WATTS AND PEPICELLI, PC, attorneys for petitioner, a rule is entered upon respondent to show cause why the request for bifurcation should not be granted.

A hearing is scheduled for the 2 day of Sept. 1994, at 2:00 o'clock p.m. in Court Room 1 or 2 at the Crawford County Court House.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas

8-5-94 Faxed to Attys.Kulwicki & Watts

Aug. 29, 1994 Motion to Continue and for Extension of Time to File Responsive Pleading and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 29 day of August, 1994, granted. Continued until October 4, 1994, 3:30 P.M. Ct. Room 1 or 2.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

8-29-94 Faxed to Attys.Kulwicki & Watts

Oct. 19, 1994 Motion to Continue and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 4th day of October, 1994, the case is continued to November 22, 1994 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom #1.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct. 19, 1994 Order FAXED to Attys. Kulwicki and Watts.

Oct. 24, 1994, Motion to continue and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 24 day ofOct. 1994, this case is continued to Nov. 29, 1994 at 11:11 a.m. in C. R. NO. 1.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

10/24/94 faxed to Kulwicki, given Watts.

Dec. 5, 1994 Motion to Continue and ORDER filed. AND NOW, December 2, 1994 granted.

BY THE COURT
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

12-5-94 Faxed Kulwicki & Watts

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 12-5-94 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: July 3, 1997

July 8, 1997 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 1, 1997 Plaintiff's Objections to Dismissal filed.

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Oct. 24, 1997 Affidavit of Consent of p laintiff filed.

Oct. 24, 1997 Affidavit of consent of dft. filed.

Oct. 24, 1997 POSTNUPTIAL AGREEMENT filed.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 638A

_____ TERM, 1988

DONALD R. VANDERVEEN
vs
SUSAN A. VANDERVEEN

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 638
of
AD No. 1988-779

****

Nov. 5, 1997, Affidavit of Service filed. AND NOW, Nov. 4, 1997 comes Theodore H. Watts, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and stes forth as follows:

1. Affiant is the attorney form Donald R. VanderVeen, the Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished affiant by the plaintiff, affiant caused a certified copy of the complaint in divorce to be forwarded by certified mail, article #P 404 857 373, postmarked Sept. 7, 1977, to the last known address of the defendant, Susan A. VanderVeen at 795 Martin St., Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335.

3. That the defendant, Susan A. VanderVeen, on September 7, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

4. Service of the complaint against defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Civil Rules of Procedure.

Theodore H. Watts, Esq.

Dec 11, 1997 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Dec. 23, 1997 AND NOW, the 23rd day of December, 1997, it is ordered and decreed that DONALD R. VANDERVEEN, Plaintiff and SUSAN A. VANDERVEEN, Defendant, are divorced of the bonds of matrimony.

An agreement entered into between the parties on Oct. 9, 1997, is incorporated into this divorce decree.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.

638-B

_____TERM, 1988

Linda Weiss
vs.
Howard J.Weiss

Continued from Page 367
of
AD 1988 - 917

****

b. The subject minor children shall hav the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

c. The parties shall make a reasonable effort to arrange for visitation and temporary physical custody between the mother and the subject minor child, Howard Weiss.

4a. The Thanksgiving holiday visitation period shall begin at 6:00 p.m. of the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving and end at 6:00 p.m. of the Sunday after Thanksgiving. The Christmas holiday visitation period shall begin at 2:00 p.m.Christmas Eve and end at 6:00 p.m. ofDecember 26. The father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody of James C. Weiss and Laura A. Weiss for the Thanksgiving holiday visitation period, 1992, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods.

b. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellaton or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation of modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The father shall be responsible for transportaton in the exercise of his visitation and temporary custodial rights.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

13. Costs and fees of the Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case.

14. The deposit required for the appointment of the custody mediator was made by the plaintiff mother. The deposit shall first be applied against unpaid costs in this case. Any surplus shall be refunded to the mother. Any shortage shall be assessed to the father. If there shall be a surplus deposit, the father shall pay to the mother his share of costs. If there be a deficiency, the fther shall pay the deficiency to the Pr othonotary and the difference between his share of costs and the deficiency to the mother.

15. Either party may move the Court for a hearing <u>de novo</u> for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves,this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct. 6, 1992 Copy of the Order to Attys Pineo, Walton.

Sept. 29, 1992 Order Filed. The Court has been advised by the Prothonotary that no deposit for the payment of mediator's fees was paid and therefore the temporary order of September 11, 1992 is amended as follows:

1. The plaintiff and defendant shall each pay one-half of the mediation fees in this case. The total is $152.00. Each party is obligated to pay one-half or $76.00 Said amount shall be paid no later than October 11, 1992 by making payment to the Prothonotary of CrawfordCounty. If either party fails to make the required payment, the Court, on motion to the Prothonotary,shall consider contempt of court proceedings.

2. In all other respects the temporary order of September 11, 1992 shall remain in full force and effect without change or modification.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

Oct. 6, 1992 Copy of the Order to Attys.Pineo & Walton, Plft. & Dft.

Sept. 29, 1992 Motin for Hearing DeNovo and Order filed. And Now, September 29, 1992, motion allowed. Hearing DeNovo is set for December 9, 1992, at 2:00 o'clock p.m. inthe Crawford County Courthouse, located in Meadville,Pennsylvania.

For the Court:
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator

Oct.6, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. Pineo, Walton, Plft & Dft.

640

1988

SHAREN L. CHILSON

VS.

KEITH M. CHILSON

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 195

OF

AD 1988 - 745

****

Nov. 12, 1992 Upon Praecipe of plaintiff attorney for Review under Section 3301(c) of Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D

Nov. 19, 1992 I. DIVORCE

Upon consideration of the pleadings and Affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants and absolute Divorce form the bonds of matrimony to the above named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the Divorce, pursuant to Section 3301(c) of the PA. Divorce Code.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED pursuatn to Pa. R.C.P. 1920.1, et seq and Act 26-1980, 23 P.S. §1 et seq "The Divorce Code" that the terms, provisions and conditions of a certain property settlement agreement between the parties dated Oct. 8, 1992 and attached to this Decree as Exhibit "A" is hereby incorporated into the Decree and Order by reference as if the same were set forth herein at length. Said Agreement shall not merge with but shall survive this Decree and Order.

II. EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

Personal Property: The following property will be the property of Sharen L. Chilson:

a) All of her personal belongings;

b) All of the furniture in the house at RD # 3, Cochranton, PA.

The following property will be the property of Keith M. Chilson:

a) All of the following guns:

7 millimeter Deer rifle with/scope
20 gauge shot gun
12 gauge pump Winchester, model 1897
22 Single shot Winchester, Model 68
25/30 Model 1899
410 Shotgun Double barrel with green case
8 mm rifle, model 98-1939
Single shot 12 gauge
Pistol

b) All coins in large metal box, in small metal box, and the green single wide box, all located at the RD # 3 Cochranton, PA. residence;

c) All tools belonging to him located at the RD #3 Cochranton, PA. residence;

d) 1986 24' Bayliner boat;

e) Watch and ring located in Safe deposit box at Integra Bank. Otherwise, the parties have satisfactorily divided their personally and all property presently in the hands of the respective parties shall become their sole and separate property.

III. INTANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY:

Wife shall become the sole and exclusive owner of the following:

a) All pension benefits to which Wife is entitled by reason of her employmetn with Integra Bank;

b) 214 Shares of Integra Bank Stock;

c) All joint checking and savings accounts, including the following:

Integra Checking Account #1001-9917;
Integra Savings Account #1001-9917;
Integra Checking Account #00020-503;
Integra Savings Account #00020-503;

d) Life Insurance policy through Integra Bank;

e) Templeton Funds IRA

Husband shall become the sole and exclusive owner of the following:

a) All pension benefits to which Husband is entitled by reason of his employment with Glenn Peterson Floors:

b) 82 Shares of Primaria Stock;

c) Certificate of Deposit in daughter Wendy's name;

d) $16,000 in cash to be paid by the Wife upon securing a mortgage through a financial institution for the property located at RD #3, Cochranton, PA.

IV. EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION - REAL PROPERTY

Wife shall convey all of her rights, title and interest in the real estate situate at 798 Washington St., Meadville, PA. to the Husband. Husband shall convey all of his rights, title, and interest in the real estate situate at 829 North Cottage St., Meadville, PA; 774 Garden St., Meadville, PA; 748 Park Ave., Meadville, PA; RD # 3, Cochranton, PA to the Wife.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Mason & Mason
Joan D.Mason

Shafer,Swick,
Bailey, Irwin
& Stack
James R. Irwin

Donna M.Maloney,
n/k/a Donna M.Ganley
vs.
William D. Maloney

Continued from Page 307
of Record
AD 1988 - 857

****

4. The natural mother shall be entitled to have visitation with William D. Maloney, II every other weekend, from Friday at 5:00 p.m.until Sunday at 7:00 p.m. In addition, mother shall have the right to visitation one (1) night per week if the child expreses a desire to visit with her.

5. Mother shall provide transportation for the child on those occasions when she has custody of him.

6. The parties agree to alternate visitation on the major holidays of: Easter, Memorial Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, and Thanksgiving from 9:00 a.m.until 9:00 p.m. The parties shall alternate Christmas Day with Mother having the children in the odd numbered years, and Father to have December 24th, alternating thereafter. Holiday visitation shall take precedence over regular weekend visitations.

6. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.

9. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if it were to be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only in the absence of which, this order shall govern.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

Aug. 20, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Mason & Irwin & Pineo

Sep. 16, 1993 Motion for Return of Custody Mediation Fees filed.

Sep. 16, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 15 day of September, 1993, the Prothonotary of Crawford County is ordered to refund William D. Maloney, Defendant, in the abovecaptioned custody action, the amount of $150.00 for unused custody mediation fees.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

9-22-93 Copy of Order to Attys. Mason, , Irwin & Pineo

Leech, Inc.
vs.
Penn United Technology, Inc. al

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 624A
of Record
A. D. 1988 - 803

****

10. If the parties have not already doneso they shall pre-number all exhibits they intend to use at trial and provide true and correct copies of all exhibits, as pre-numbered, to opposing counsel no later than November 22, 1993. Copies of any exhibits that have already been furnished need not be furnished again but the party intending to use said exhibits must indicate to the other parties the portions of the exhibit that will be used and the number by which that exhibit shall be identified. Counsel shall disclose to each other the exhibits which each intend to use to avoid a duplication by some other party of the same exhibit.

11. Counsel shall submit memorandums on any legal issues to the Court at least seven (7) days prior to trial so to assist the Court in understanding the law that is applicable in this case. Counsel are ordered and directed, at least, to submit a trial brief on the applicable principles of law regarding whether or not any acts of Curl may be imputed to Penn United in a trade secret case.

12. Counsel shall attempt to work out and correct any inaccuracies or inconsistencies in any depositins. To the extent that they are not able to do so they may approach the Court prior to trial. However the Court may not be able to rule without having attended the deposition. Therefore it may well be that to the extent that depsoition transcripts are not accurate they may not be used at trial and/or the witness may have to testify live.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon r. Miller, P.J.

Nov. 18, 1993 copies dist to Houston, Pepicelli, Brautigam & Weiss

Jan. 6, 1994 Upon Praecipe of John B. Brautigam, Esquire, Attorneys for Plaintiffs, the above entitled action is marked settled and discontinued of record.

Robert O. Lampl
vs.
North and South Shanango Joint
Municipal Authority, et al

Cont inued from Page 635
of Record
AD 1988 - 785

****

Feb. 1, 1994 Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment, filed.

Feb. 1, 1994 Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Counter-Statement of Facts and Plaintiff's Counter-Statement of Facts in Opposition to Defendant's Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment, filed.

Feb. 1, 1994 Answers to Request for Admission and Interrogatories of Robert O. Lampl To North and South Shenango Joint Municipal Authority, filed.

Feb. 7, 1994 Reassignmetn of Argument Date, filed. Februry 4, 1994: Argument in the above captioned matter heretofore set for March 3, 1994, is hereby reset for March 14, 1994, at 1:30 P.M., in Courtroom 3, at the oral request of counsel Douglas G. Linn, II, as he will be out of the country on the assigned date. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

2-4-94 copies mailed to all counsel by Ct. Admin.

Mar. 18, 1994 Reply to Plaintiff's Statement of Facts Pursuant to Rule L1035A, Counter Statements of Facts in oppoisition to Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Defendant's Statement of Facts Pursuant to Rule L035A filed.

March 18, 1994 Reply to Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Cross-motions for Summary Judgment, filed.

Mar. 18, 1994 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, March 18, 1994, we deny both parties' motions for summary judgment or alternative summary judgment. We find the present lawsuit is not barred by the statute of
BY THE COURT:
P.Richard Thomas, S. J.

3-18-94 Copies dist. by Court.

June 22, 1994 Certificate of Readiness, filed.

July 25, 1994 Motion To Compel Answers To Discovery, To Set Deposition Date and For Sanctions For Failure To Comply and ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, this 25 day of July, 1994, upon consideration of the North and South Shenango Joint Municipal Authority's Motion to Compel Answers to Discovery, to set Deposition Date and for Sanctions for Failure to Comply, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. That Additional Defendants John V. Adams, Jr., and Edward G. Shoemaker shall answer the Authority's Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents on or before Aug. 10, 1994.
2. That the Additional Defendants, John V. Adams, Jr., and Edward G. Shoemaker, shall attend the depositions at the time and place set forth in the Notice of Depositions attached to the Motion.
3. That if Additional Defendants John V. Adams, Jr., and Edward G. Shoemaker fail to comply with this Order, upon praecipe by counsel for the Authority, the Prothonotary shall enter default judgment against the disobedient party or parties.

BY THE COURT
P. Richard Thomas, S. Judge

Gordon R. Miller, President Judge
July 25, 1994 Order Faxed to Attys. Linn and Yagelski; copy mailed to Adams and Bagdon.

Oct. 25,1994 Motion to Compel and Motions for Sanctions, filed.

Oct.25,1994 Petition to Enforce Settlement and ORDER OF COURT filed. Oct.24, P.R.T. talked to both counsel -- no action to be taken until after Authority meeting scheduled Nov. 7,1994 If releases not signed then Plaintiff may request a date for Court hearing.

P.Richard Thomas,S.J.
10-26-94 Copies faxed or mailed to all counsel.

Dec. 5, 1994 Upon Praecipe of Robert O.Lampl, Plaintiff, the above case marked settled and discontinued - Verdicts, Judgments, Executions,Awards, Decrees, Equity, Liens, Counterclaims or Crossclaims and Plaintiff's Case, filed.

Troy D. Burge

vs.

Tammy J. Burge

Record continued from page 483

of record

A.D. 1988 - 1033

****

AND NOW, this 13th day of NOvember ,1996 it is ordered and decreed that the parents in this matter shall satisfactorily complete the Seminar for Separating Parents in accordance with Crawford County Civil Rule L1930. Applications for the seminar may be obtained at the Center for Family Services, Inc. at 996 S. Main Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335; the Prothonotary at the Crawford County Courthouse; or the Court Administrator at the Crawford County Courthouse.

Application for attendance at the seminar must be made by the plaintiff or petitioner within fifteen (15) days after the pleading or petition is filed; and by the defendant or respondent within fifteen (15) days after he or she is served with the pleading or petition.

THE SEMINAR MUST BE COMPLETED WITHIN FORTY-FIVE (45) DAYS AFTER APPLICATION. FAILURE TO ATTEND THE SEMINAR MAY RESULT IN SANCTIONS, INCLUDING CONTEMPT OF COURT, A DISMISSAL OF THE ACTION OR A DELAY IN THE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS ATTENDANCE IS WAIVED BY ORDER OF COURT.

If either party has any questions about this seminar he/she should consult an attorney or refer to the Crawford County Civil Rules of Court that are available in the Prothonotary's office and in the Crawford County Law Library or contact the Center for Family Services, Inc.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

11/15/96 faxed to Felton and Nelson, mailed to Halliday

Dec 3, 1996 Petition For Contemt and Order: Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging you have will fully disobeyed an order of court for custody.

If you wish to defend against the claim set forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with the court your defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in writig with the court your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in court on 23rd day of December, 1996 at 9:00 A.M. in Courtroom No 3, Crawford County Courthouse, Diamond Square, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

(see paper filed for complete order) Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Dec 3, 1996 Faxed Irwin, Halliday, Felton & Irwin

Dec 13, 1996 Faxed Nelson

Dec 18, 1996 Certificate of completion of the Seminar for Separating Parents for TAMMY J. BURGE, filed.

Dec 18, 1996 Certificate of completion of the Seminar for Separating Parents for TROY D. BURGE, filed.

Jan. 8, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, December 23, 1996 at the time set for this contempt hearing for alleged violation on behalf of the father, the matter is informally reviewed by the parents and counsel. It appears when the original order was entered in December of 1988, the child was in Kindergarten. The child is now in 7th grade at Cambridge Springs.

It is the contention of them other and her counsel that the child has largely lost interest in visitations with the father and it is the contention of the father that he has more or less been denied the visits previously accorded by the 1988 order. After receiving input from counsel for both parties, this Court notes that the matter is scheduled for a review hearing before Custody Mediator Kathleen Nelson on January 13, 1997.

Mother and her counsel intimate to the Court that the only reason for the problem in this area is that the mother recently obtained a chid support order in the amount of $238 per month and the mother's contention is that the father's interest in renewing visitation with the daughter is largely motivated in response to his requirement to now pay child support. The Court passes no judgment on this allegation.

In view of the nearness of the custody mediation which will in effect largely resemble a hearing de novo, this Court believes the present order should be enforced for the three weekends existing between now and the custody mediation. Accordingly, mother shall make arrangements for the child to have visits with the father from December 26, at 3:30 p.m. after school until 9:00 a.m. or at such time as school commencing the following Monday, December 30. Likewise, the father shall be according a visit from January 2, to January 6 during the same time period and for January 9 to January 13.

Apparently the father's occupation keeps him from picking up the child for these visits and in view of the allegation that the child has a very compatible relationship with the paternal grandmother, Mrs. Judith Burge, she shall be permitted to pick up the child.

The Court is advised that the child is in the office of counsel for the Plaintiff in this action, but the Court declines at this time to receive input from the child regarding the child's wishes and desires, believing that the Custody Mediator will fully explore the position of all parties, including the child, in the review hearing now scheduled for January 13, 1997. We leave to the exclusive discretion of the child mediator any visitation schedules, but note that the weekly visits accorded when the child was of tender years are probably in appropriate at this time because of the age of the child, and the standard visitation and shared custody patterns adopted by the Courts and Mediators in this County probably are more applicable to the current facts of this case.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1-9-97 Faxed J. Swick, Halliday & Nelson

Jan 24, 1997 Temporary Order: AND NOW Jan 22, 1997, after Mediation and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is Ordered and Decreed as follows:

1. Legal custody of the subject minor child, Christen M. Burge, shall be shared by the Father and Mother, the parties to this action, with each parent entitled to full access to any records relating to the child held by a third party including, but not limited to school records and medical records and each parent shall have equal input regarding important decisions affecting the child such as education, religious instruction and medical treatment.

2. Physical custody of Christen M. Burge shall be shared, with the child being in the custody of the Mother from January 1st through April 15th at 8:00 p.m. each year, and in the custody of her Father from 8:00 p.m. on April 15th through 8:00 p.m. on January 1st each year. Said physical custody is subject to the following provisions:

10. The cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided between the parties. The deposit paid in advance by the Mother shall be applied to her share of the costs and the remainder refunded to her, less the cost of the Court's Order.

Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan 27, 1997 Faxed Halliday, Irwin & Nelson

Jan 30, 1997 sent Plf. & Dft.

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 644 C.....

Feb. 14, 1997 Defendant's Exceptions to Mediator's Report and Request for Hearing De Novo and ORDER SETTING HEARING filed. AND NOW, this 13th day of February, 1997, upon Defendant's request for DeNovo Hearing and having requested one full day for this matter, hearing is hereby set for Friday, May 2, 1997, at 9:15 a.m., CR#2, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA 16335.

FOR THE COURT,
Ann A. Walker, Ct. Administrator

Feb. 14, 1997 Order FAXED Attys. Irwin and Halliday.

May 12, 1997 ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 2, 1997, the Court cannot complete this case this afternoon and therefore the hearing in this case is continued to Monday, July 21, 1997 at 1:00 p.m. in Courtroom No. 1. The attorneys have indicated that this case will take another 5 hours; therefore, we will set aside two hours the next day on Tuesday, July 22, 1997 from 9:00 a.m. until 11:00 a.m.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 12, 1997 Order Distributed Attys. Irwin, Halliday and to Ct. Adm.

May 15, 1997 ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 8, 1997, this matter is before the Court on a Petition for Relief filed by Plaintiff keyed toward nonpayment of a monitory sum of $14,233 award to Plaintiff, wife, in a Final Divorce Decree dated Dec. 10, 1996.

Counsel for Plaintiff appears and Defendant appears pro se. The Defendant advises the Court and counsel that he has made application to Northwest Savings Bank to secure a mortgage on the premises in an approximate amount of $9,600. He presents a letter dated May 7, 1997 from Northwest noting that his loan application has been approved subject to the Defendant producing a "clear deed" in his name for the premises in Vernon Township here at issue.

Counsel for the Plaintiff requests an additional award of $420 in attorney fees for services performed in attempting execution of the Final Divorce Decree. The Court approves attorney's fees in the amount of $400.

Counsel for the Plaintiff and Defendant agree that the Defendant will make a formal application for a mortgage at Northwest Savings Bank for the aforesaid mortgage. The parties mutually agree that the sum of $6,000 in cash will be paid this date by the Defendant, former husband, which sum will be held in an escrow account of Attorney Barton Jones pending final consummation of this distribution arrangement.

The Defendant, husband, will diligently pursue the loan application to the end that the mortgage will be procured and the parties mutually agree that when the mortgage proceeds are received an additional sum of $8,633 will be paid to counsel for the Plaintiff from the mortgage proceeds. Thus, the sum of $14,233 plus $400 in attorney fees will be surrendered at the mortgage closing to counsel for the Plaintiff for appropriate disposition to his client. The Plaintiff with the assistance of counsel for Plaintiff agrees that an appropriate deed will transfer full ownership of the property to the Defendant, former husband, in this case.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

May 15, 1997 Order Mailed Atty. Jones.

July 22, 1997 Exhibits filed.

July 24, 1997 ORDER: AND NOW, July 22, 1997, after a full formal hearing, the temporary order of Jan 22, 1997 is vacated and it is ordred and decreed as follows:

1. Legal custody of the child shall be shared by the father and mother with each parent having the right to full access to any records relating to the child held by a third party including but not limited to school records and medical records. Each parent shall have equal input regarding important decisions affectinga the child such as education, religious instruction, medical and health care treatment and they shall consult with each other on a good-faith basis in an effort to reach as many harmonious decisions as is possible on these important matters involving this child.

2. Primaryphysical custody of the chil shall be with the mother subject to the father's visitations and periods of custody as here and after set forth:

(SEE PAPER FILED FOR COMPLETE ORDER) Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
July 24, 1997 Distributed Halliday & Irwin
July 31, 1997 Faxed Nelson

July 24, 1997 ORDER: AND NOW, July 22, 1997, after a full formal hearing on the Plaintiff's (father's) Petition for Contempt, we do find as a fact that the mother did not comply with the relevant order on Aug 7, 1996 and Oct 3, 1996 but not as to Oct 31, 1996 over to Nov 1, 1996 because the child adamantly wanted to spend Halloween of 1996 at her mother's.

The child must follow court orders too and cannot dictate to her parents where she goes. However, it appears to us the decision as to Oct 31, 1996 over to Nov 1, 1996 was not only the mother's.

As punishment for contempt, the mother shall have no weekend visitations this summer.

Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 24, 1997 Distributed Halliday & Irwin
July 31, 1997 Faxed Nelson

Jan. 20, 1998 PETITION FOR MODIFICATION OF A CUSTODY ORDER ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT AND NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR FILED. You Troy D. Burge, have been sued in Court for Modification of a Custody order.

You are ordered to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator Kathleen Nelson, Esq. for a Mediation Conference.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order and Order for Custody, Partial Custody or Visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator, Courthouse
Meadville,PA 16335
Telephone No: (814)333-7498

Date: January 20, 1998 GORDON R. MILLER, J.
1/20/98 FAXED HALLIDAY, SHAFER & NELSON

Feb. 18, 1998 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 17 day of February, 1998, it is hereby Ordered and Decreed that the costs incurred for the scheduling of the mediation conference in the above-referenced matter, in the amount of $67.74, be paid from the deposit held by the Prothonotary. The balance of the deposit, less any costs for the Court Order, shall be refunded to the Defendant/Mother.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Feb. 20, 1998 Order FAXED Attys. Halliday and Irwin.

644
~d~

March 18, 1998 Stipulation and Consent Custody Order filed. AND NOW, this 17th day of March, 1998, upon presentation of the Stipulation and Consent of the parties, attached hereto, and after consideration of same, it is hereby ORDERED, DIRECTED and DECREED that:

1. The latest Custody Order dated July 22, 1998, the same being incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit "A" be modified to include the following change:

a. The father shall be permitted to visit and take his child into his custody every other weekend from Friday at 5:00 p.m. through Sunday at 7:00 p.m. The parties shall follow this schedule year round.

4. The remainder of the Order dated July 22, 1997 shall remain intact and the provisions contained therein shall govern.

BY THE COURT?
Gordon R. Miller, J.

March 18, 1998 FAXED Atty. Irwin.

DEBORAH OSTROFSKY

vs.

MILTON OSTROFSKY

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 126

OF

AD 1988 - 676

****

until the start of the school day Friday morning and Johanna shall spend alternating Thursdays with her Father during the same hours. Johanna's Thursday evening visits with her Father shall occur on the Thursday immediately preceding the children's weekend visitations, while Erik's Thursday evening visits shall occur during those weeks in which there is no weekend visitation with the Father.

b. Beginning with the summer of 1995, the children shall be in the custody of their Father for two weeks each month in June, July and August. Father shall give the Mother thirty days notice of the two week period he has selected for each month.

c. Holiday visitations shall be arranged as follows:

i. Easter: There shall be two Easter holiday visitation periods, the first beginning on Good Friday at 10:00 a.m. and ending Holy Saturday at 6:00 p.m., and the second beginning on Holy Saturday at 6:00 p.m. and ending on Easter Sunday at 6:00 p.m. In 1995, the Mother shall have the first visitation period and the Father the second and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

ii. Thanksgiving: There shall be two Thanksgiving holiday visitation periods, the first beginning on the Wednesday preceeding Thanksgiving at 6:00 p.m. and ending on the Saturday following Thanksgiving at 6:00 p.m., and the second beginning on the Saturday following Thanksgiving at 6:00 p.m. In 1995, the Mother shall have the first visitation period and the Father the second and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

iii. Christmas: The parties shall agree between themselves as to how the Christmas vacation period will be divided. In the absence of an agreement, the children shall be in the custody of their Father from the dismissal of school on the last day preceding the Christmas holiday until December 26th at 7:00 p.m., and shall be in the custody of their Mother for the balance of the Christmas holiday vacation.

iv. The children shall spend Mother's Day with their mother from 9:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m. and Father's Day with their father during the same hours.

3. Transportation of the children on weekends, holidays and summer visits shall be shared between the two parties with the party receiving custody of the children to provide transportation.

4. Neither parent shall use illegal drugs or alcohol at any time when that parent has the care and custody of the subject minor children.

5. Neither parent shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, nor shall either parent permit others to do so, but rather each parent shall do everything in their power to promote a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

6. Neither parent shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the health, safety or well being of the children.

7. Each parent shall inform the other parent immediately of any illness or injury regarding the children and both parents shall furnish the other with a current address and telephone number.

8. The parties shall obtain written instructions from any and all physicians prescribing medication for the children, with said instructions addressing dosages and frequenc of administration of dosages and said instructions shall at all times be followed by the parents while the children are their physical custody.

9. The parents are strongly encouraged to make additional or alternative arrangements regarding physical custody of the children and may modify the herein custody arrangements if said modifications are by mutual consent only; otherwise, the provisions contained herein shall govern.

10. The cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided between the parties. The deposit paid in advance by the Mother shall first be applied to her share of the costs and the remainder refunded to her, less the cost of the court order.

11. This Order is a Temporary Order but shall become a Permanent Order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either parent within 10 days of the date hereof.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

March 16, 1995 Order Faxed by Court to Attys. Gamble, Mason; Mar 22, 1995 Copy FAXED Atty. Spataro.

May 15, 1995 Motion to schedule a hearing de novo and ORDER OF COURT filed. And now, May 12, 1995 De novo hearing in the above-captioned matter is scheduled for July 14, 1995 at 9:15 a.m. in Courtroom #2.

Gordon R. Miller,J.

5-15-95 Faxed J. Mason, Kirkpatrick, Gamble & Spataro

Jul. 19, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW,July 14, 1995, after one day of testimony in this de novo custody matter, it appears that two more days will be necessary.

Accordingly, the Court Administrator is directed to schedule this matter for two more days though not necessary consecutive days at the earliest convenience of the Court.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

7-19-95 Copys dist. to Ct. Adm. by Ct., given Gamble & Mason

Jul. 20, 1995 Exhibits filed.

July 26, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 25, 1995, the Court Administrator having been directed by the Court to schedule two additional days in the above case for a de novo custody hearing, said hearing is set for Aug. 17, 1995 and Sept. 27, 1995 at 9:15 A.M., in Courtroom No. 2, before The Honorable Anthony J. Vardaro, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA.

FOR THE COURT,
Ann A. Walker, Ct. Administrator

July 26, 1995 Copies distributed by Court.

Oct. 4, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW,September 27, 1995, after three days of testimony in this de novo custody matter, it appears that one more day will be necessary.

Accordingly, hearing is set for December 5, 1995, at 9:15 A.M. in Courtroom No.2, Crawford CountyCourthouse, before the undersigned.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro,J.

10-4-95 dist.to Mason& Gamble

Dec 29, 1995 Memorandum and Order, filed. AND NOW, December 28, 1995, after a de novo custody hearing, it is ordered and decreed as follows:

1. Legal custody of the subject minor children, Johanna Ostrofsky and Erik Ostrofsky, shall be shared by the mother and the father the parties to this action, with each parent entitled to full access to any records relating to the children held by a third person including, but not limited to, school records and medical records and each parent shall have equal input regarding important decisions affecting the children such as their education, religious instruction and medical treatment.

2. Primary physical custody of both children shall be with their father from January 1st of each year at noon until July 2nd of the same yeat at 8:00 P.M. Primary physical custody of both children shall be with their mother from July 2nd at 8:00 P.M. each year until January 1st at noon the next year.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 647

Deborah K.Ostrofsky
vs.
Milton Ostropsky

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 646
of Record
A. D. 1988 - 676

3. During each such period, the parent not in primary physical custody of the children shall have both children in his or her custody every other weekend from Friday at 5:00 P.M. until Sunday at 7:00 P.M. Additionally, the parent who does not have primary custody of the children shall have each child in his or her custody one weeknight evening from 4:30 P.M. until 8:00 P.M. with the weeknight to be chosen after consideration of what is most convenient for each child based on their other activities. Said evening weekly visits may result in both children being with the parent then not in primary physical custody in the same evening or on a different evening depending on the children's schedule and wishes each week.

4. Temporary periods of physical custody during holidays shall be arranged as follows:

a. There shall be two Easter holiday visitation periods, the first beginning on Good Friday at 10:00 A.M. and ending Holy Saturday at 6:00 P.M. and the second beginning on Holy Saturday at 6:00 P.M. and ending on Easter Sunday at 6:00 P.M. In 1996, the father shall have the first visitation period and the mother shall have the second visitation period and athe parties shall thereafter alternate.

b. There shall be two Thanksgiving holiday visitation periods, the first beginning on the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving at 6:00 P.M. and ending on the Saturday following Thanksgiving at 6:00 P.M. and the second beginning on the Saturday following Thanksgiving at 6:00 P.M. and ending on the Monday following Thanksgiving at 6:00 P.M. IN 1996, the father shall have the first visitation period and the mother shall have the second visitation period and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

c. The parties shall agree between themselves s to how the Christmas vacation period shall be divided. In the absence of an agreement, the children shall be in the custody of their father from the dismissal of school on the last day preceding the Christmas holiday until December 26th at 7:00 P.M. and shall be in the custody of their mother for the balance of the Christmas holiday vacation until noon on January 1st when they will be transferred to the father for his period of primary physical custody.

d. The children shall spend Mother's Day with their mother from 9:00 A.M. until 6:00 P.M. and Father's Day with their father during the same hours.

e. The provisions for periods of temporary physical custody during holidlays shall take precedence over the other terms and conditions of this order.

5. Transportation of the children shall be shared between the two parties with the party receiving custody of a child or children to provide transportation.

6. Neither party shall use illegal controlled substances nor excessive alcohol at any time when that parent has the care and custody of the subject minor children.

7. Neither parent shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, nor shall either parent permit others to do so, but rather each parent shall do everything in his or her power to promote a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children toward their parents.

8. Neither parent shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the health, safety or well being of the children.

9. Each parent shall inform the other parent immediately of any illness or injury regarding the children. Both parents shall furnish the other with a current address and telephone number.

10. Parties shall obtain written instruction from any and all physicians presribing medication for the children, which said instructions addressing dosages and frequency of administration of dosages and said instructions shall in all times be followed by the parents while the children ae in their physical custody.

11. The parents are strongly encouraged to make additional or alternative arrangements regarding physical custody of the children and may modify these custody arrangements if said modificatiions are by mutual consent only; otherwise provisions contained herein shall govern. The parents are particularly encouraged to allow further visitation with the parent not in primary physical custody if it is convenient during the week days and during all or part of weekends when the parent in primary physical custody of the children is in custody of the children for that weekend.

12. This order does not make specific provisions as to where the children shall go to school and the parties shall decide that issue in a manner that is in the best interest of the children. These parties should always be cognizant of the fact that these children want to try to be a part of both of their parents lives as much as possible and both children love both parents very much.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

---

this case due to the fact that we believe that such a letter was improper and simply could give the defendant another opportunity to testify without being subject to cross-examination.

2. We note that the testimony at the time of hearing in this case indicated that the defendant did not follow a portion of the temporary order forbidding him from usisng alcoholic beverages in front of the children and he did not follow a portion of the Order requiring that the medication prescribed for the children be given to them. While we have removed the requirement regarding complete abstinence from alcoholic beverages in front of the children because we do not see any need for that in light that there is no evidence that either of these parties have had an alcohol abuse parties, we do want to make it clear to both parties that we expect all provisions of orders of court to be followed whether or not a party believes tht a particular provision is appropriate.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Dec. 29, 1995 Gamble, Mason

Aug. 7, 1996 Plaintiff's Consent of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, Gamble, Fabian & Hall by Plaintiff and Withdrawal as counsel for Plaintiff by Ann Hansen Gamble filed.