CRAWFORD COUNTY

APPEARANCE DOCKET

BOOK 283
Vol. 1

JAN 1988

PIRRELLO ENTEPRISES INC.
Scanned June 2017

1988

Kemp C. Scales
Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
David L. Hotchkiss

1.

HONESDALE NATIONAL BANK

~~-KATHARENE-L.-MASTERS---~~.

vs.

I. J. HAMILTON, HIS HEIRS, SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

Filed Jan. 4, 1988
@ 10:47 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 5-26-92 9.09

COMPLAINT IN QUIET TITLE

Jan. 8, 1988 Motion For Service PUrsuant To Special Order Of Court and Order filed. AND NOW, this 8 day of January, A.D., 1988, upon consideration of the Motion filed by counsel for the Plaintiff, and in reliance upon the Affidavit concerning the status of the defendant, his heirs, successors and assigns, filed by counsel for the Plaintiff, it is hereby ordered, that the Plaintiff shall obtain service of the Complaint to Quiet Title filed in the above captioned action against the Defendant, his heirs, successors and assigns generally by single publication of the Legal Notice attached to said Motion in the Meadville Tribune and the Crawford County Legal Journal, notifying the Defendant his heirs, successors and assigns generally, to respond to the Complaint to Quiet Title within twnety (20) days from the date of said publication or be forever bardded from asserting any right, title, interest, claim or demand whatsoever in, to or against that certain tract of land described in said Complaint.

By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, J

Jan. 8. 1988 copy of order to David Hotchkiss

Feb. 27, 1989 Voluntary Substitution of the Honesdale National Bank as a Party filed.

Aug. 1, 1989, Complaint REinstated.

Aug. 3, 1989 Motion For Service Pursuant To Special Order of Court and Order filed. And Now, this 2 day of August, A.D., 1989, upon consideration of the Motion filed by counsel for the Pl aintiff, and in reliance upon the Affidavit concerning the status of the Defendant Barbara Hamilton Moe, her heirs, successors and assigns, file dby counsel for the Plaintiff, it is hereby ordered that the Plaintiff shall obtain service ofthe Complaint to Quiet Title filed in the above captioned action against the Defendant Barbara Hamilton Moe, her heirs, successors and assigns generally, by single publication of the Legal Notice attached to said Motion in the Meadville Tribune and the Crawford County Legal Journal, notifying the Defendant Barbara Hamitlon Moe, her heirs, successors and assigns to respond to the Complaint to Quiet Title within twenty (20) days from the date of said publication, or be forecer barred from asserting any right title, interest, claim or demand whatsoever in,to or against that certain tract of land described in said Complaint.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Aug. 4, 1989 Copy of order put in Glenn Thompson's box.

Sept. 6, 1989 Motion For Judgment and Modification Of Time filed.

Sept. 6, 1989 Order filed. And Now, this 6 th day of September, A.D., 1989, upon motion of the Plaintiff and after having determined that no appearance has been entered on the record on behalf of the Defnedant Barbara Hamilton Moe, her heirs or assigns generally, and no communication having been made to the Crawford County Bar Association Service to the Public Committee, by the Defendant Barbara Hamilton Moe, her heirs or assigns, or anyone on their behalf, it is hereby ordered and decreed, as follows:

1. The Plaintiff is the owner of the subject premises described in the Complaint in fee simple absolute as her repective interest may appear, free and clear of all claims of the Defendant Barbara Hamilton Noe, her heirs and assigns generally, by virtue of the open, notorious, continuous and adverse possession of the subject premises for a period of time in excess of 21 years.
2. The Defnedant Barbara Hamilton Moe, her heirs and assigns generally, are forever barred from asserting any right, liens, title or interes tin the subject premises inconsistent with the interest or claim of the Plaintiff as set forth in her Complaint, and from impeaching, denying, litigating or in any way attacking the Plaintiff's title thereto.
3. The fee simple title of the Plaintiff herein to the subject premises in confirmed, ordered and decreed, unless the Defendants, or anyone or some of them, file Exceptions to this Order within thirty (30) days form the filing hereof to the Prothonotary of this Court.
4. No notice of the filing of this Order is required to be given to the Defendants or to any other person.
5. If no action is taken by the Defendnat Barbara Hamilton Moe, her heirs or assigns generally or any one or some of them, within the said ten day period of time, this Order shall become absolute and final, upon praecipe of the Plaintiff.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J

Sept. 6, 1989 Copy of order put in Glenn Thompson's box.

May 14, 1990 Complaint Reinstated.

Mar. 5, 1992, Complaint Reinstated.

Apr. 21, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE filed. And Now, this 21st day of April, 1992, appeared before me, a Notary Public, Kemp C. Scales, Esq., who, after being duly sworn according to law, deposes and syas:

1. that he is one of the attorneys for the Plaintiff in the above captioned Action to Quiet Title;
2. that on the 9th day of March, 1992, he served a certifed copy of the Complaint with Notice to Defend on Defendant Bernard Lobdell by certified mail, return receipt requested, in accordance with Pa. Rule of Civil Procedure 403, as shown by the attached return receipt;
3. that on the 8th of April, 1992, he served on Defendant Bernard Lobdell by first-class mail a written notice of intention to enter a default judgment, in accordance with Pal Rule of Civil Procedure 237.1, as shown by the attached certificate of mailing;
4. that more than ten (10) days have passed since service of the 237.1 Notice and that no one on behalf of Defendant or his heirs, devisees, personal representatives, or assigns has appeared or filed an Answer to the Complaint.
5. And further Affiant sayeth naught.

Continued on Page 55B.

2

1988

William J. Gregg

2.

Terry Toomey

RONALD & CHARLENE BLOOD

vs.

DALE & ETHEL BLOOD

Filed Jan. 4, 1988
@ 3:09 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF
MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-3-01

Jan. 4, 1988, Rule entered Upon Plaintiff to file Complaint Issued.

Jan. 4, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

Jan 22, 1988 Complaint filed.

Mar 14, 1988 Answer filed.

Mar 21, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: WILLIAM J. GREGG, ESQ., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiffs in the above captioned Action.

2. That true and correct copies of the Complaint were sent by registered mail to Defendants on January 26, 1988.

3. The registered mail was sent to Mr. Dale V. Blood and Mrs. Ethel Blood, R.D.#2, Springboro, Pennsylvania 16435. The senders receipt are attached hereto.

4. That the Defendants received said registered mail on January 27, 1988. Said receipt card numbers P622 922 443 and P 622 922 431 are attached hereto and signed by Darlene Swartz.

William J. Gregg,
Attorney for Plaintiff

1988

Allen & Hall
Paul E. Allen

3.

WILBERT DALFRED SMITH

vs.

FRANCES ELNORA SMITH

Filed Jan. 4, 1988
@ 4:11 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 4/22/88 - $9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 8, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. PAUL E. ALLEN, being duly sworn according to law deposes and says that he is Attorney for WILBERT DALFRED SMITH, Plaintiff in the above captioned case; that he mailed a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce with Notice to defend to the Defendant, FRANCES ELNORA SMITH, by Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested Number P 276 201 338, on January 4, 1988. Copy of transmittal letter is attached. And further affiant saith not. Paul E. Allen

Apr 19, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

April 19, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr 19, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

May 4, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, May 4, 1988, the above matter having been transmitted to the Court for review, and it appearing that although an affidavit of service referring to certified mail service has been filed, neither the return receipt nor the receipt showing mailing is attached to the affidavit of service, this matter is returned to the Prothonotary's Office for the filing of an amended affidavit of service to correct the deficiency.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

May 5, 1988 Copies of order to Paul Allen, Esq.

May 6, 1988 Amended Affidavit Of Service filed. PAUL E. ALLEN, being duly sworn according to law deposes and says that he is Attorney for WILBERT DALFRED SMITH, Plaintiff in the above captioned case; that he mailed a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce with Notice to Defend to the Defendant, FRANCES ELNORA SMTIH, by Certified Mail REturn Receipt Requested Number P 276 201 338 on January 4, 1988. Copy of return receipt card attached. And fruther affiant saith not. Paul E. Allen

May 16, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is resubmitted to the court.

May 18, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, May 17, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

WILBERT DALFRED SMITH and FRANCES ELNORA SMITH

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

4

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
Russell L. Schetroma
Glenn A.W.Thompson

4.

KLASEN OIL COMPANY, INC.

vs.

JACK W. SHREFFLER, d/b/a
BILL'S SUNOCO

Filed Jan. 5, 1988
@ 3:07 P.M.

Tax & entry paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 42.00
Pro Co. pd 3-2-88 6.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Feb 11, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Deputy Dennis N. Hagan, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 13th day of January, 1988, at 11:38 A.M., he served the within Complaint, filed at AD 1988-4, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Jack Shreffler d/b/a Bill's Sunoco at his place of visitation, BC Ceramics, Clarion County, Pennsylvania by making known the contents thereof to Jack Shreffler, personally and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford County Sheriff's Department.

Dennis N. Hagan, Deputy Sher.
Vernie Smith, Sheriff

SERVED Jack W. Shreffler personally the within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Clarion County, as per his affidavit of service hereto attached. Served January 13, 1988.

Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 2, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney this case is marked discontinued and settled of record.

1988

Emil M. Spadafore Jr. 5.	C.R.A. LEASING, INC. vs. ROCKWOOD INSURANCE Filed Jan. 6, 1988 @ 10:13 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Sheriff's Costs 18.00 Pro Co pd 1/29/88 6.00 ✓	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF 1 copy (sheriff) Jan. 14, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Rockwood Insurance within named defendant on January 12, 1988 at 12:10 PM by serving copy of within Complaint to B. J. Petruso, Agent for defendant, at his place of business 658 Washington Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA. So answers, R. Ralph Copeland, Chief Dep. Sher. Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff Jan. 29, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above-captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Emil M. Spadafore, Jr.

6.
Callas, Graff & Wray
James G. Callas for dft. ALLTEL

Swensen, Perer & McCandless
John W. McCandless
for AllTel

Temple L. Ratcliffe
for dft AT&T

Thorp, Reed & Armstrong
Michael R. Bucci, Jr.
for A T & T Co.

EARL CLARK AND RACHEL CLARK

vs.

AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND
TELEGRAPH AND ALLTEL CORPORATION

Filed Jan. 6, 1988
@ 10:14 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	48.00
Pro Co pd 1/26/90	6.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Jan. 20, 1988, Appearance of James G. Callas, attorney for one of the defendants, Alltel, filed.

Jan 25, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared James Nelson, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 12 day of Jan, 1988, at 10:03 A.M., he served Complaint filed at AD 1988-6, Crawford Co., Pennsylvania, upon defendant American Telephone and Telegraph at 600 Grant St., 9th Floor Pgh., Pa by making known the contents thereof to Judith Matthews, Reports Clerk and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy received from Crawford.

James Nelson, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

SERVED American Telephone and Telegraph by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: January 12, 1988.

SERVED Alltel Corporation within named defendant on January 12, 1988 at 11:15 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Nancy J. Artman, Business Office Supervisor, at their place of business, 970 Market Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers,

E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan 29, 1988 Appearance of Swensen, Perer & McCandless by John W. McCandless, Esq. for Defendant AllTel filed.

Feb. 22, 1988, Answer, New Matter and New Matter under 2252(d) on behalf of defendant Alltel Pennsylvania, Inc., filed.

Feb. 22, 1988, Answer with New Matter on defendant American Telephone and Telegraph Company, (A T & T) filed.

Mar. 4, 1988, Reply to New Matter of Defendant, American Telephone and Telegraph Company, (AT&T) filed.

Mar. 4, 1988, Reply to New Matter of Defendant, Alltel Pennsylvania, Inc. filed.

Aug. 1, 1988, Upon praecipe of Defendant attorneys, appearance of Thorp, Reed & Armstrong, by Michael R. Bucci, Jr., attorneys for defendant American Telephone and Telegrapt Company, filed.

Sept. 1, 1988, Reply to New Matter Under 2252(d) filed. (on behalf of dft. American Telephone and Telegraph Co..)

Sept. 2, 1988 Verification To Reply To New Matter Under 2252(d) filed.

Sept. 20, 1988, Preliminary Objections of Defendant Alltel Corporation filed.

Sept. 20, 1988, Certificate of Service of Preliminary Objections filed.

Sept. 20, 1988, Upon praecipe of attorney for defendant Alltel corp., this case placed on next argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR OCTOBER 1988

Oct. 12, 1988 Defendant American Telephone and Telegraph's Reply To Preliminary Objections of Defendant Alltel Corporation filed.

Oct 31, 1988 Verification to Defendant American Telephone and Telegraph's Reply to Preliminary Objections of Defendant Alltel Corp. filed.

Nov. 3, 1988, RE: PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS OF ALLTEL - ORDER - filed. And Now, Nov. 1, 1988, preliminary objections of Alltel corporation are denied. If Alltel desires to file further pleadings the same will be filed within 20 days of the receipt of a copy of this order.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas,

Nov. 3, 1988, copies given to all attorneys of record.

May 4, 1989 Deposition of Earl Clark filed.

May 4, 1989 Deposition of Rachel Clark filed.

July 20, 1989 Notice Of Service Of Request For Production Of Documents filed.

July 20, 1989 Notice Of Service of Request For Production of Documents filed.

Aug. 9, 1989 Notice Of Service of Responses to Request for Production filed.

Jan. 26, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above-captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Joseph F. Kulwicki

7.

LORRAINE J. WEAVER

vs.

JOHN WEAVER

Filed Jan. 6, 1988
@ 12:50 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

Pro Co Pd 4-15-88 9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c)
OR 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Jan 13, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: LEE A. EVANS, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an employee of Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq., and that as such on January 8, 1988, she placed a certified copy of the divorce complaint in the matter above captioned, in the U.S. mail, addressed to the defendant, John Weaver, Return Receipt Requested, restricted delivery, at his last known address of R.D. 8, Box 134, Meadville, Pa 16335, being certified mail item number P 401 446 041.

The signature card for said certified mail item was returned to the office of Joseph F. Kulwicki, bearing the signature of the defendant, as having received same on January 11, 1988. The receipt and signature card for certified mail item No. P401 446 041 are attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Lee A. Evans

Apr 14, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr 14, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr 14, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Apr. 25, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, April 21, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

LORRAINE J. WEAVER and JOHN WEAVER

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the otherin pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

8.

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma and Schug
Mary A. Kirpatrick

8.

William J. Gregg

MICHAEL PETRUSO

vs.

KIMBERLY PETRUSO

Filed Jan. 6, 1988
@ 4:10 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
3 counts	45.00
Pro Co. Pd 12/20/88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 19, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. And Now, January 18th, 1988, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the Attorney for Michael Petruso, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.
2. That on information to her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P 547 806 335 postmarked January 6, 1988, to the last known address of the Defendant, Kimberly Petruso, RD #2, Box 371 Ryan Road, Conneaut Lake, Pennsylvania 16316.
3. That the Defendant, Kimberly Petruso, on January 13, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the complaint in the above matter and which is attached h ereto ax Exhibit "A".
4. Service of the Complaint against the defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

Feb 1, 1988 Complaint reinstated.

Nov. 22, 1988 Memorandum of Agreement as to Equitable Division of Marital Property, Alimony, Alimony Pendente Lite, Court costs, and counsel fees filed.

Nov. 22, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 9, 1988, ADDENDUM TO MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT as to Equitable Division of Marital Property, Alimony, Alimony Pendente Lite, Court Costs, and Counsel Fees,filed.

Dec. 14, 1988 Affidavit of consent of Kimberly Petruso, defendant filed.

Dec. 20, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Dec. 29, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED**

AND NOW, December 28, 1988, it is ordered and decreed that MICHAEL PETRUSO,Plaintiff, and KIMBERLY PETRUSO Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT:

P. Richard Thomas, J.

1988

Jorden & White
William T. Jorden

9.

Wm. Patrick Delaney
Marsh, Spaeder, Baur,
Spaeder & Schaaf

KEVIN L. GREATHOUSE, BY HIS NATURAL PARENT AND GUARDIAN, GARRY L. GREATHOUSE

vs.

REID HALL

Filed Jan. 6, 1988
@ 4:17 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriffs costs 20.00
Pro Co Pd 6/20/91 6.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Jan. 28, 1988, Appearance of Marsh, Spaeder, Baur, Spaeder, &Schaaf, by Wm. Patrick Delaney, attorneys for defendant, filed.

Feb. 5, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Reid Hall personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within complaint to him on Jan. 21, 1988 at 10:44 a.m. at Sheriffs Office, Rm. 303, Crawford County Courthouse Meadville, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 16, 1988, Answer and New Matter filed.

Mar. 4, 1988, Answer to New Matter filed.

Sept. 26, 1988 Deposition of Charles R. Hall filed.

Sept. 26, 1988 Deposition of Kevin Greathouse filed.

Oct. 27, 1988 Notice of Deposition of Mr. Glenn Randolph filed.

Aug. 31, 1990, Notice of Service ofRequest for Production filed.

Aug. 31, 1990 Notice of Service of Interrogatories to Defendant filed.

May 29, 1991 Petition For Approval of Settlement of a Minor's Claim and ORDER filed. AND NOW this 29th day of May, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition for Approval of Settlement of a Minor's Claim, the court does hereby approve settlement and distribution as follows:
Distribution of the initial $15,000 lump sum payment will be as follows:

Jorden and White, attorneys fees paid in full----		$10,000.00
5-29-86	Check #4798, City of Meadville (police report)	5.00
6-11-86	Check #4842, Meadville City Hospital (medical records)	48.00
7-11-86	Check #4904, Harleysville Insurance (copy of medical bills)	21.00
8-26-86	Check #5020, Orthopedic Associates (report)	50.00
10-5-87	Check #5870, Pa. Dept. of Motor Vehicles (defendant's driving record)	5.00
7-19-88	Check #1611, City Engineer (city maps)	3.50
10-3-88	Check #1207, Debra L. Engelskirger (depositions)	160.50
12-9-88	Check #1265, Morse, Gantverg & Hodge (depositions)	109.00
9-27-90	Check #1765, Children's Hospital (medical records)	195.50
Total fees and disbursements----------		$10,597.50
Balance due to Kevin Greathouse at time of settlement----------		$ 4,402.50

Then as follows by Transamerica:

$6,000 due and payable on August 1, 1992;
$6,000 due and payable on August 1, 1993;
$6,000 due and payable on August 1, 1994;
$6,000 due and payable on August 1, 1995;
$6,000 due and payable on August 1, 1996;
$23,825 due and payable on August 1, 2009;

Total Settlement $68,825.00

It is further directed that the disbursement to Kevin Greathouse shall be paid to Garry L. Greathouse, Trustee. The firm of Jorden and White shall make all disbursements as indicated.

P. Richard Thomas, J.
May 30, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. William T. Jorden and Wm. Patrick Delaney.

June 18, 1991, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney the above captioned action is hereby settled and discontinued of record.

10

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

10.

~~Feczko and Seymour~~
~~By: Michael J. Seymour~~
withdrawn

PAUL F. VOISIN

vs.

SUZANNE S. VOISIN

Filed Jan. 7, 1988
@ 2:20 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 √
1 count paid	15.00 √
	45.00 √
Pro Co Pd 4/18/88	
Pro Co Pd 9-19-88	9.00 √

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 11, 1988 Verification filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, ESQUIRE, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for Plaintiff and that as such, I am authorized to execute this Verification; that I did, on the 7th day of January, 1988 send by Certified Mail, Return receipt Requested, Addressee ONly, to Suzanne S. Voisin a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint filed at the above term and number at her last known address of 509 E. Main St., Titusville, PA-16354, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P-547-805-406 and that on the 11th day of January, 1988 I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 8th day of January, 1988. James R. Irwin

Mar. 25, 1988 Answer and Counterclaim To Complaint In Divorce filed. (Support, Alimony/ Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees and Expenses Equitable Distribution and Maintenance Of Insurance Policies and Retirment Programs)

Aug. 22, 1988 Motion to Withdraw Appearance of Michael J. Seymour, Esq. and Feczko & Seymour for defendant Suzanne S. Voisin and Order filed: Order: AND NOWTO WIT, this 22nd day of August, 1988, upon consideration oof the within MOTION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE, it is hereby ORDERED that the appearance of MICHAEL J. SEYMOUR, ESQUIRE AND FECZKO & SEYMOUR, shall be withdrawn as counsel of record for the Defendant, SUZANNE S. VOISIN.

Notice of the entry of this Order shall be promptly given to Defendant, SUZANNE S. VOISIN, by MICHAEL J. SEYMOUR, ESQUIRE.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 24, 1988 copies of order to James R. Irwin and Michael J. Seymour, esqs.

Sept. 6, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept 14, 1988 Motion for Withdrawal of Counts I and V and Order filed: AND NOW, September 14, 1988 , Granted. P. Richard Thomas, J.

Sept 19, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Irwin and Seymour.

Sept 14, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept 19, 1988 Separation and Property Settlement Agreement filed.

Sept 19, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Sept. 28, 1988 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

1. **Divorce:** Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce fromthe bonds of matrimony to the above named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and Ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce,pursuant to §201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. **Equitable Property Determination and Distribution:** This Decree provides that the marital property of the above named parties shall be divided in accordance with a Separation and Property Settlement Agreement dated August 5, 1988, executed by the parties and filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County,Pennsylvania at the abvoe captioned number and term.

This Agreement, in part, provides that Plaintiff shall be the sole owner of the real estate in Centerville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, being known as RD#1 Vrooman Rd., as more specifically described in Crawford County Deed Book 530, page 930.

This AGreement,in part, provides that Plaintiff shall be the sole owner of jointly owned real estate in Titusville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, being the same land known as the "Murphy's Building", as more specifically described in Crawford County Deed book 572, page 990.

This Agreement, in part, provides that Plaintiff shall be the sole owner of jointly owned real estate in Titusville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, being the same land known as the Industrial Park Building", as more specifically described in Crawford County Deed Book 084, page 395.

For an din consideration of the above transfers,the parties agree that the marital residence, known as 509 E. Main St., Titusville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, (recorded in Crawford County Deed Book 483, page 420), shall be sold and the net proceeds therefrom shall be distributed in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph 14(d) (a,b) ofthe August 5th, 1988 agreement.

3. **ALIMONY/Support:** The parties hereto waive any rights that he or she has or may have in regard to the payment of alimony or alimony pendente lite, by the other.

4. **Counsel Fees:** The parties hereto waive any rights that he or she has or may have in regard to the payment of counsel fees by the other incurred in this divorce action.

5. A copy of the Separation and Property Settlement Agreement of the parties, dated August 5, 1988, which sets out infurther detail the agreement of the parties concerning the matters herein, shall be filed with this Decree in the Prothonotary's Office at the above number and term.

6. No other matters or cause sof action provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code are reserved by either of the parties.

This Order shall be effective as of this 28th day of September, 1988.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC
Lisa Pepicelli Youngs

11.

JACK S. KOHLMAN AND DELLA J. KOHLMAN, HIS WIFE; LINESVILLE MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY, LAST MINIT MART, INC. a PENNSYLVANIA CORPORATION; PENNBANK, FORMERLY PENNSYLVANIA BANK & TRUST CO.; PAUL GLOWA AND CLARA ANN GLOWA HIS WIFE, AND ARDETH D. SUMMERS

vs.

S. E. BUNDAY, a/k/a SYLVESTER E. BUNDAY, ELIZABETH BUNDAY a/k/a L. H. BUNDAY, HIS WIFE, MRS. C. C. THOMPSON, MARY CLARE CRAWFORD, F. E. BUNDAY, HELEN CRAWFORD, AND RUTH CRAWFORD, THEIR HEIRS, EXECUTORS, ADMINISTRATORS, ASSIGNS, AND SUCCESSORS IN INTEREST,

Filed Jan. 7, 1988
@ 4:13 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 169.85
Pro Co Pd 3-18-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT TO QUIET TITLE

Jan. 11, 1988 Motion For Service By Publication, Affidavit and Order filed. AND NOW, this 8 day of Jan., 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion and Affidavit, it appearing that the identity and whereabouts of the defendnats, their heirs, successors and assigns are unknown, following diligent search and inquiry, it is hereby ordered that the Sheriff of Crawford County, Pennsylvania make service upon the defendants, their heirs, successors and assigns, by publication in both the Meadville Tribune and the Crawford County Legal Journal, one time. Said publications shall include notices inquiring the defendants, their heirs, successors and assigns, to file an Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint within twenty (20) days after date of publication.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Jan. 12, 1988 Copy of order to Lisa Pepicelli Youngs

Feb. 8, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. I, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff of Crawford County, do hereby certify that I have made publicationin the Crawford County legal Journal, Meadville, PA, a periodical printed within my baliwick once only on January 20, 1988, as per copy and proof of said publication attached.

I, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff of Crawford County, do hereby certify and make return, that I have made publication in the Meadville Tribune, Meadville, PA a newspaper printed within my baliwick once only on January 20, 1988 as per copy and proof of said publication attached.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb 17, 1988 Motion for Judgment and Final Decree filed: AND NOW, Feb 17, 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing Motion, and after due consideration thereof, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the defendants, S. E. Bunday, a/k/a Sylvester E. Bunday, Elizabeth Bunday, a/k/a L. H. Bunday, his wife, Mrs. C.C. Thompson, their heirs, executors, administrators, assigns, and successors in interest, are forever barred from asserting any right, title, lien or interest in the land described in Paragraph 2 of the complaint in the above entitled case inconsistent with the interest of the plaintiffs as set forth in the complaint, provided, however, that this decree shall become final only if the defendants, or any of them, fail to take any action as is herein directed within thirty days from the date of this decree; IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DIRECTED that if no petition to open this judgment or to set aside this Order and Decree is presented by the defendants, or no action of ejectment is commenced by them or any of them within thirty days from and after the entry of this Order, the same shall become final, and if no such action is taken within said thirty day period, the Prothonotary on praecipe of the plaintiffs, shall enter final judgment.

P. Richard Thomas, Judge

Feb. 17, 1988 Copies of order to Lisa Pepicelli Youngs, Esq.

Mar 18, 1988 Praecipe to Correct Caption and Order filed: Approved 3/21/88 nunc pro tunc. P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Mar 22, 1988 Copies of order to Lisa Pepicelli-Youngs, Esq.

AND NOW, Mar 18, 1988 @ 9:43 A.M. Upon Praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, final judgment is hereby entered in this case, in favor of the Plaintiffs and against the defendants, pursuant to the foregoing Order of Court.

B-153, 53, 163, 63,
T-32; C-84, 114

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs PC
Lisa Pepicelli Youngs

12.

LUANN S. WAY

vs.

LYNN H. WAY

Filed Jan. 8, 1988

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
4 counts paid	60.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 6-10-88	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, EXPENSES AND COSTS,EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY,& CHILD CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 21, 1988, Certificate of Service filed. Lisa Pepicelli Youngs hereby certifies that she is the attorney for the plff. in the within a ction and that a copy of the complaint in divorce was sent by cert. Mail No. P404874802, ret. rec. req. del. to addressee only, to Lynn H. Way at 721 Williamson Rd. Meadville Pa 16336 on Jan. 11, 1988, and was received by dft. on Jan. 20, 1988. The rec. for cert. mail and the ret. rec. are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A". Lisa Pepicelli YOungs, Esq.

June 3, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

June 6, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 6, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

June 6, 1988 Motion to Withdraw Counts filed: Order filed. and now, June 28, 1988 motion allowed. Robert L. Walker, J

June 28, 1988 Copy of order to Joan Mason

June 28, 1988 **S T I P U L A T I O N A N D D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 28th day of June, 1988, the Court decrees as follows:

1. **DIVORCE:** UPon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named par ties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. **ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE:** The Defendant shall pay the sum of $710.00 to the Plaintiff, no later than December 31, 1988, in satisfaction of Plaintiff's clai. for alimony pendente lite. Said payment shall be made directly to the Plaintiff by the Defendant.

3. **ATTORNEY FEES AND COURT COSTS:** The Defendant shall pay to Plaintiff the sum of $350.00 as his share of the P laintiff's Attorney fees and court costs, to b epaid in installments in 1988. This payment shall be in full satisfaction of defendant's contribution towards P laintiff's attonrey fees and expenses incident to litigation, and court costs.

4. **PERSONAL PROPERTY:** The parties hereto have divided their savings account so that each has received $250.00 of the total approximately $500.00. Neither shall make claim against the other for said funds.

each party shall retain those items of tangible personal property he or she now has inhis or her possession, withthe exception of the dining room table and chairs, to be delivered to Plaintiff's parents' residence upon Plaintiff's request.

5. **DEBTS:**Defendant shall assume full responsibility for the following debts and shall provide Plaintiff with proof that said debts have been transferred to his name alone, or paid off. Furthermore, Defendant shall not increase said debts, and shall provide Plaintiff withthe most recent statement regarding each said debt:

a. Citibank
b. Manufacturer's Hanover
c. Mastercard
d. Chevrolet van (debt owed to dft's mother)
e. First National Bank
F. Dr. Kellogg
g. Sears
h. Pennbank extra cash account

Proof of the fact that said debts are currently in Defendant's name alone shall be supplied by Defendan tto Plaintiff immediately, by way of documentation on letterhead from each said creditor.

6. **NEW YORK MORTGAGE**: Defendant shall transfer to Plaintiff all of his right, title and interest to the proceeds of the mortgage owed to both parties by Guy Andriaccio, Sr., dated September 9, 1987, filed at mortgage book 1791, page 345, Chautauqua County Courthosue, Mayville, New York, so that all proceeds of same beginning with th emonth of April, 1988, shall belong to Plaintiff alone.

7. **CUSTODY:** Custody of the parties' two minor children, Aric Way, age six (6) born July 3, 1981, and Ambryann Way, age three (3), born October 19, 1984, shall be with the Plaintiff.

Defendant father shall have temporary custody of the children each tuesday evening from 6:00 p.m. until 8:45 p.m., at which time mother will provide transportation for so long as she has an outside church engagement at that time.

Defendant father shall also be entitled to have the chidlren in his temporary custody from 1:00 p.m. until 7:00 p.m. on Saturdays that he is not working. If he is to work on a Saturday, he must notify the children's mother by the preceeding Thursday evening at 10:00 p.m., and the visit will then be rescheduled to Sunday rather than Saturday, with the hours of same to be from 1:00 p.m. until 7:00 p.m.

The Children shall be with their mother on holidays, however, if father should request time with the children in conjunction with a holiday, the father shall have a right to see the children either the day before or the day after the actual holiday with times to be set up by agreement between the parties, However, if mother is out of town with the children for said holiday, said request by the father shall not interfere with her out -of-town plans with the children.

Defendant father shall provide transportation for the children to and frm visits, with the exception of Tuesday nights as set forth above when mother has church activities.

Plaintiff shall transfer to Defendant all of her right, title and interest in the marital residence located at 721 Williamson road, Meadville Pennsylvania, provided that Defendant is to assume payment of the mortgage thereon in his name along. Said transfer by Plaintiff to the defendant shall be by way of quit claim deed, to be delivered to Defendant once Plaintiff is assured by the mortgage holder that her name will come off the mortgage. Defendant husband shall be resoinsible for all expenses in connection with said remortgaging or release of the P lai ntiff from said obligation, and shall furthermore be responsible for any expenses incurred in the past in connectin with said real estate, as well as any future expenses, including but not limited to mortgage payments and any penalties or interest thereon, real estate taxes, homeowner's insurance to be carried at a rate to fully insure said property, and any liens of any nature.

8. **VEHICLES:** Plaintiff shall retain the 1981 Toyota, which shall be transferred to her from joint name, within thirty days.

9. **WAIVER:** Neither party hereto shall be required to file and income and expense statement nor an inventory and appraisement in accordance with the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Egli Reilly Wolfson
Sheffey & Schrum
Timothy D. Sheffey

13.

TELMARK, INC.

vs.

ROBERT L. SHELATZ AND
NORMA A. SHELATZ, HIS WIFE

Filed Jan 11, 1988
@ 10:34 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	28.51
Pro Co Pd 2/22/88	9.00
Sat pd. 9/17/90	5.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Feb. 9, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Norma A. Shelatz personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint toher on January 22, 1987 at 9:28 AM at Sheriff's Office, Room 303 Courthouse, Meadville Crwaford County,PA.
SERVED Robert L. Shelatz within named defendant on January 22, 1988 at 9:29 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Norma A. Shelatz, his authorized agent, as per her acceptance of service hereto attahed, at Sheriff's Office Room 303, Courthouse, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Feb. 22, 1988, at 1:07 P.M., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for want of an answer, in the amount of Two Thousand Eight Hundred Fifty Six and 74/100 Dollars, plus interest and costs of suit.
Judgment entered 2/22/88.
S - 141, 121 Debt ---- $2,856.74
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Feb. 23, 1988, Copies of default judgment mailed to the defendants.

Sept 17, 1990, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned judgment is satisfied of record.

1988

Bernstein & Bernstein
Nicholas D.Krawec

14.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin and Stack
James R. Irwin

AGWAY INSURANCE COMPANY

vs.

HAROLD SCHMIDT

Filed Jan 11, 1988
@ 10:50 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	26.25
Pro Co pd 2/26/88	9.00
Pro Co Pd 4-18-88	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Feb 4, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Harold Schmidt within named defendant on January 20, 1988 at 3:44 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Jerry Schmidt, adult member of the family with whom he resides, namely his son, at their place of residence, R.D.#3, Saegertown, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers,
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Feb. 26, 1988 at 12:39 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor ofthe plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an Answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of One Thousand Twenty One and 78/100 Dollars plus interest and cost of suit.

S-82
Notice Mailed to defendant 3/1/88
Judgment entered 2/26/88

Debt. $1,021.78
Int. from 1/1/88 to 2/18/88
Judgment
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Mar 4, 1988 Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Apr 18, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, this case is marked satisfied.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Louis J. Stack

15.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

CLIFTON T. HANES AND
SUSAN M. HANES

Filed Jan 11, 1988
@ 2:57 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 40.00

Pro Co Pd 3-9-88 9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

3 copies (sheriff)

Feb. 9, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Susan M. Hanes personally the within named defendant on January 19, 1988 at 12:30 PM at Sheriff's Office, Room 303, Courthouse, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Clifton t. Hanes within named defendant by serving his authorized agent, Mr. J. T. Hanes, on January 29, 1988 at 11:30 AM, as per his acceptance of service hereto attached, at Sheriff's Office, Room 303 Courthouse, Meadville, Crawford County, PA
No one found to bein possession of mortgaged premises at 686 State Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA. House vacant.
So answers, Carole Stefanucci, Deputy Sheriff
Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Mar 9, 1988 @ 4:15 P.M. upon praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to file an answer and the same is liquidated in the amount of Twenty-four Thousand Seven Hundred Eleven and 29/100 Dollars with interest from December 14, 1987.
H-33, 153

Debt.....$24,711.29 + Int.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Mar 9, 1988 Judgment entered.

EX 1988-22

1988

Quinn, Gent Buseck
and Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

16.

NATIONAL FUEL GAS
DISTRIBUTION CORPORATION

vs.

RAYMOND CHASON AND
MARY CHASON

Filed Jan 12, 1988
@ 10:30 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	28.56
Pro Co Pd 7-13-88	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT - CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Feb. 1, 1988 Sheriff's REturn filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Mary Chason personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on January 18, 1988 at 11:08 A.M. at her place of residence, 206 Pearl Street, Conneautville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Raymond Chason within named defendant on January 18, 1988 at 11:08 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Mary Chason, adult member of his family, namely his wife, at their residence, 206 Pearl Street, Conneautville, Crawford County, PA
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, July 13, 1988 @ 11:15 A.M. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney with notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to file an answer, and the same is liquidated in the sum of Three Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty-two and 30/100 Dollars, plus interest from January 11, 1988, and all costs of suit.
C-143, 113

Debt..... $3,722.30 + Int. & costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

7-13-88 Judgment entered.

May 22, 1990 This Judgment Satisfied
(see paper filed)

1988

Anthony J. Vardaro

17.

KEITH ABBOTT AND ROSEMARIE ABBOTT, HIS WIFE, AS PARENTS AND NATURAL GUARDIANS OF JENNIFER ABBOTT

vs.

JOHN POINTER

Filed Jan. 13, 1988
@ 8:50 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 1/13/88 6.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Jan 13, 1988, Petition to Settle and Compromise Action for Leave to Discontinue Action, copy of Release and Settlement Agreement, and Order filed.

ORDER: AND NOW, this 13 day of Jan. 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petition of Keith Abbott and Rosemarie Abbott, to settle, compromise and discontinue the above captioned action on behalf of Jennifer Abbott against John Pointer, it is hereby Ordered as follows:

1. Allstate Insurance on behalf of the defendant shall forthwith purchase an annuity structured to guarantee payments to Jennifer Abbott as designated in this Petition and guaranteed to make such payment in the event of the death of Jennifer Abbott to her Estate.

2. Allstate Insurance on behalf of the defendant shall pay forthwith to Keith Abbott and Rosemarie Abbott as natural guardians of Jennifer Abbott the sum of $1,000.00 and the said Keith Abbott and Rosemarie Abbott are authorized to receive payment of said minor's share at this time. The said Keith Abbott and Rosemarie Abbott are authorized and directed to invest the funds belonging to said minor, Jennifer Abbott, in a conservative manner, so long as all records of all investments shall be marked to show that no withdrawal of any kind shall be made from any investment without further order of court. Keith Abbott and Rosemarie Abbott, may, however, conservatively reinvest any invested funds without further Order of court until the minor child reaches the age of eighteen (18) years so long as any investments are made in such a manner that the records show that no withdrawal shall take place without further order of court, it being the intention of the court to allow the natural parents, Keith Abbott and Rosemarie Abbott, to make whatever conservative investments they see fit over the years on behalf of Jennifer Abbott, but not to allow the natural parents to remove any funds of the minor child from said investments for any purpose whatsoever without further order of court.

In the event that no court ordered withdrawals are made prior to Jennifer Abbott attaining the age of eighteen (18) years, the natural parents, Keith Abbott and Rosemarie Abbott, are authorized and directed to pay over allfunds held for the minor child, Jennifer Abbott, to the minor child, Jennifer Abbott, when she attains the age of eighteen (18) years without furorder of this court.

3. Allstate Insurance on behalf of the defendant shall pay forthwith to Petitioner's counsel the sum of $2,250.00 as his fees in this matter.

4. To theextent that record costs were incurred by the Petitioners or their counsel they shall be reimbursed forthwith by Allstate Insurance.

5. The Petitioners are directed to execute a release of allclaims against John Pointer and Allstate Insurance in consideration of the foregoing settlement and are further directed to furthwith cause the above captioned action to be marked settled and discontinued of record.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Jan. 13, 1988, copy of order to Anthony Vardaro, Esq.

Jan. 13, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Legal Services
Margaret M. Eglinton

18.

M. Dan Mason

MARILYN L. EVERS

vs.

EUGENE B. EVERS

Filed Jan. 13, 1988
@ 9:07 a.m.

Tax & entry $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 18.00

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE

5 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 13 day of Jan. 1988 upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 18 day of Jan. 1988, at 3:30 p.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pa.

The defendant is directed to refrain from abusing and/or threatening to abuse, or harassing the plaintiff.

The defendant is excluded immediately and forthwith from the marital residence which is located at R. D. #9, Box 256, Meadville, Pa. 16335, pending the hearing scheduled above. All keys to the marital residence are to be given forthwith to the plaintiff.

The Crawford County Sheriff Dept. is directed to serve a certified copy of this petition and Order upon the defendant.

In the alternative, this Petition and Order may be served by any competent adult or by certified mail.

The Cityof Meadville Police Dept. and/or the State Police of the Com. of Pa. are directed to enforce this order and to prevent the return ofthe defendant to the aforesaid premises by any appropriate means.

This petition and order shall be filed and served without payment of costs; the court shall determine at the hearing if the defendatn shall be required to pay the costs.

The defendant is hereby advised of his right to be represented by counsel, and he is notified that his failure to attend the hearing s cheduled above may have serious legal consequences.

The defendant should take this paper to his lawyer at once. If he does not have a lawyer or cannot afford one,he should to to or telephonethe office set forth below to find out where he can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar. Assoc. Ser tothe Pub. Committee, 310/312 Chestnut St, Meadville, Pa. 814 724-7719.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Jan 19, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, January 18, 1988, at the time fixed for hearing, it appearing that in addition to this Protection from Abuse proceeding, Defendant has been charged in the criminal system with spousal sexual assault and aggravated assault, and has been incarcerated in the Crawford County Jail from January 7, 1988 in lieu of Eight Thousand Dollars ($8,000.00) bond, and it further appearing that the Defendant is willing to have a Protection from Abuse Order entered: NOW, THEREFORE, without admission of any facts on either side of the case, Defendant is ORDERED and DIRECTEDnot to abuse, harass or threaten to abuse or harass the Plaintiff at any time or any place or to seek contact with her. The Defendant shall not go to the marital residence except as otherwise herein provided, and shall not go to the Plaintiff's place of employment for any reason whatsoever nor shall he go to any other residence where he knows or has reason to believe the Plaintiff is residing.

In the event the Defendant is released on bail from the Crawford County Jail, he shall be permitted a two-hour period in which to obtain his personal belongings from the former marital residence, which shall be done only after at least twenty-four (24) hours notice to the Plaintiff, and if the Plaintiff wishes to have third parties available at that time, to avoid any risk of abuse or harassment, she may do so.

This order shall continued in full force and effect for a period of one (1) year from this date and the Court reserves the right to assess costs if appropriate.

Any police officer or police department having jurisdiction are directed to enforce this Order in accordance with the Protection from Abuse Act and if there is prima facie evidence of a violation of the Order, to take the Defendant into custody and return him to the Crawford County Jail with immediate notice to the Court.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Jan 20, 1988 Copies of order to Narcy Hughes, Dan Mason and Margaret Eglinton, Esqs.

Jan 25, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Eugene B. evers personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Order and Petition to him, on January 13, 1988 at 9:40 A.M. at his place of temporary residence, Crawford County Jail, Center Street, Meadville, Pa.

So answers,

E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

David W. Pickens

19.

ARLENE R. WILLIAMS

vs.

JOSEPH M. WILLIAMS

Filed Jan 13, 1988
@ 10:16 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro.Co. Pd 2-23-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(d)

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 13, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed. 1 copy (atty)

Jan. 27, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, David W. Pickens, am an attorney duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and on January 13, 1988, I sent a copyof the Complaint in Divorce and Plaintiff's Affidavit under 201(d) filed at the above number, properly endorsed, to Joseph M. Williams, R.D.#4, Box 286, Meadville, Pennsylvania, 16335 his last known address, by certified mail No. P404-874-914; and that on January 15, 1988, I received a return receipt for said certified mail signed by Joseph Williams and dated January 14, 1988, a copy of which is attached hereto.
I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are trueand correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities. David W. Pickens

Feb. 23, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(d) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Mar. 19, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, March 8, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjduged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

ARLENE R. WILLIAMS and JOSEPH M. WILLIAMS

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

C. Barton Jones

20.

DELORES J. MATHEWS

vs.

WAYNE L. MATHEWS

Filed Jan. 13, 1988
@ 12:50 P.M.

Tax & entry fd 1/19/88 $40.50 ✓

Pro Co Pd 2/12/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(d)

Jan. 13, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the divorce code filed.

Feb. 11, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. I, C. Barton Jones, atty. for plaintiff in the above action hereby certify that a correct and true copy oft he complaint in divorce and a copy of the Plaintiff's Affidavit under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code were served upon the dft. on Jan. 13, 1988 by Cert. mail, for which the ret. rec. with dft. signature is attached.
More than Twenty days (20) have passed and there has been no countercliam. C. Barton Jones, Esq.

Feb. 11, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case is moved to court.

Feb. 24, 1988 D I V O V R C E F I L E D .

AND NOW, this 23 day of Feb., 1988, three years (3) having passed and there being irretrievably breakdown under Section 201 (d) of Divorce Code, in the above case having been duly approved, the Court, by virtue of the authrotiy vested in it by law, decrees that DELORES J. MATHEWS and WAYNE L. MATHEWS are hereby divorced from the bonds of matrimony, and all the duties, rights, and claims accorded to either of the said parties at any time heretofore, in pursaunce of said marriage, shall henceforth cease and determine, and the said parties shall severally be at liberty to marry again as if they had never been married.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

C. Barton Jones

21.

Karen S. Johnson

GARY F. ARTMAN

vs.

SANDRA A. ARTMAN

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(d) OF DIVORCE CODE

Filed Jan. 13, 1988
@ 1:12 P.M.

Tax & entry Pd 1/19/88	$40.50	✓
1 count paid 1-22-88	15.00	✓
Pro Co Pd 2/12/88	9.00	✓

Jan 13, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Jan 22, 1988 Appearance of Karen S. Johnson, Esq. for Defendant filed.

Jan 22, 1988 Answer and Counterclaim (Equitable Property Determination and Distribution) filed.

Feb. 5, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed, I, Vicki Bradshaw, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the secretary to Karen S. Johnson, Esquire, Attorney for defendant in this action and certifies that on January 26, 1988 she delivered to the Post Office in Meadville, PA for deilvery to Gary F. Artman at R.D. #1, Box 8 Hartstown, PA 16131 by certified mail, return receipt requested, delivery restricted to addressee only, a true and correct copy of the Answer in this matter for which deponent received at the time receipt for certified article no. P 042 058 250 which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Thereafter on January 28, 1988 it was returned to Karen S. Johnson, return receipt card bearing the same number and signed by Gary Artman said return receipt card being attached hereto as Exhibit "B.

Vicki Bradshaw

Feb. 11, 1988, Affidavit of service filed. I, C. Barton Jones, Atty. for plaintiff in the above captioned matter hereby certify that a correct and true copy of the complaint in divorce and a copy ofthe plaintiffs affidavit under Section 201(d) of the divorce code were serviced upon the defendant on Jan. 13, 1988 by cert. mail, for which the ret. rec. with defendant signature is attached.

More than 20 days have past and there has been no counteraffidavit. C. BArton Jones, Esq.

Feb. 11, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Feb.12, 1988, Motion for withdrawal of Counterclaim and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Feb. 12, 1988, upon presentation of the within Motion, Defendant's counsel is authorized and directed to withdraw the Counterclaim relative to Equitable Property Determination and Distribution, by reason ofthe fact that this matter has been resolved and this case shall be submitted to the court for disposition ofthe divorce alone.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Feb. 12, 1988, copies of order to Attys. C. Barton JOnes and K. Johnson.

Feb. 24, 1988 D E C R E E F I L E D.

AND NOW, this 23 day of Feb., 1988, three years (3) having passed and there being irretrievably breakdown under Section 201(d) of Divorce Code, in the above case having been duly approved, the Court by virtue of the authority vested in it by law, decrees that GARY F. ARTMAN and SANDRA A. ARTMAN are hereby divorced from the bounds of matrimony, and all the duties, rights and claims accorded to either of the said parties at any time heretofore, in pursuance of said marriage, shall henceforth cease and determine, and the said parties shall severally be at liberty to marry again as if they had never been married.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

22.

DAVID W. BEERS

vs.

TERESA C. BEERS

Filed Jan 13, 1988
@ 1:21 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co. pd 5/20/91	9.00
State paid	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 27, 1988, Affidavit of Service field. I, Kevin Beers, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am a competent adult; that I served the Complaint in Divorce, filed in the above matter on Teresa C. Beers, personally, by handing her a true and correct copy thereof and informing her of its contents at 338 pine St, Meadville, Pa. 16335 on Friday, Jan. 15, 1988, at approx. 6:00 P.M.

I verify that the statements in this affidavit are true and correct.
Date: 1/21/88 Kevin Beers.

Feb. 25, 1991 Motion To Withdraw Appearance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 25 day of February, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the wtihin Motion, Plaintiff's counsel, Brian Lindsay Esquire, is hereby authorized to withdraw his appearance on behalf of the Plaintiff in the abovecaptioned case.

P. Richard Thomas, J

Feb. 27, 1991 Copy of order put in Brian Lindsay's box.

Mar. 19, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 14, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 16, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for Review under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

May 28, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, May 28, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that DAVID W. BEERS, plaintiff, and THERESA C. BEERS, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1988

Amroose and Friedman
Philip B. Friedman

23.

Gordon R. Miller
Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker
By: Anthony J. Vardaro

AARON R. BAKER

vs.

TONYA LYNN BRAWLEY

Filed Jan 13, 1988
@ 1:21 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriffs costs	18.00
Pro Co pd 1/28/92	5.00

COMPLAINT - CIVIL ACTION

Jan. 29, 1988 Appearance of Gordon R. Miller for Defendant filed.

Feb. 4, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Tonya Lynn Brawley within named defendant on Jan. 20, 1988, at 11:18 a.m. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Harold E. Brawley, adult member oft he family with whom she resides, namely her father, at their place of residence R. D. #1, Hunter Hill Road, Meadville, Pa. Crawford County.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr. 14, 1988 Answer and New Matter filed.

April 20, 1988 Answer to Defendant's New Matter filed.

Apr. 22, 1988 Notice Of Serving Interrogatories To Defendant Tonya Lynn Brawley (First Set) filed.

May 11, 1988 Answers To Interrogatories To Plaintiff filed.

July 11, 1988 Answers To Interrogatories To Defendant Tonya Lynn Brawley (First Set) filed.

Jan. 5, 1990 Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro and Walker, By Anthony J. Vardaro for Defendant filed.

Jan. 24, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned action is hereby settled and discotninued of record.

1988

Arthur Lashin
Hayt, Hayt & Landau
~~Scott D. Landau~~

24.

FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY
One American Road
Dearborn, Michigan 48122

vs.

WILLIAM J. KRUG AND
LIDA J. KRUG
R. D. 5
Titusville, Pa. 16354

Filed Jan 13, 1988
@ 1:32 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs	62.90
Pro Co Pd 4-4-88	9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd. 12/20/90	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT - CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

March 11, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Edward T. Zakutney, Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 9th day of February, 1988, at 1320 hours. he served Notice to Defend and Complaint in Civil Action Law at No. AD 1988-24 Term, 1988, Crawford County, PA., upon defendant William J. Krug, in person and William J. Krug accepting for Lida J. Krug at RD #5, Titusville, PA. By making known the contents thereof to William J. Krug, in person and William J. Krug, Adult in Charge accepting for Lida J. Krug and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Notice to Defend and Complaint received in Civil Action Law from Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff of Crawford Co.

Edward T. Zakutney, Deputy Sheriff
Gene Price, Sheriff
Venango, Co. PA.

SERVED William J. Krug within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango Co. PA. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 2-9-88.

SERVED Lida J. Krug within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango Co. PA as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 2-9-88

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Apr 4, 1988 @ 10:45 A.M., upon Stipulation between the parties, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendants in the amount of Five Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty-four and 30/100 Dollars plus court costs, Execution to be stayed on the condition that Defendants comply with payment schedule as follows:Fifty Dollars a month payable of the 15th of each month commencing in April, 1988, until balance is paid. (For further conditions, see paper filed)

K-174, 104

Debt.....$5,724.30 + costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

4-4-88 Judgment entered

Apr 6, 1988 Notice of Judgment mailed defendants.

Oct. 22, 1990, Upon praecipe of Scott D. Landau, attorney for plaintiff; appearance withdrawn. Appearance entered by Arthur Lashin, attorney for plaintiff.

Oct. 22, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney damages reassessed. ($4,436.70)

EX1990-98

Dec. 20, 1990, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above judgment is hereby satisfied of record.

1988

25.

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

MARY K LaSALLE

Filed Jan. 13, 1988

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976

(Sections 304 and 305)

26.

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

RUBY M. ZIMMERMAN

Filed Jan 13, 1988

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976
(Sections 304 and 305)

Jan 20, 1988, Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and Order filed: AND NOW, this 20 of January, 1988, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED AND DIRECTED that the said Ruby M. Zimmerman be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days.

This order shall take effect when arrangements can be made for the transfer of the patient.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Jan 21, 1988 Copies of order to Doris Miller, Atty. Barrett and Mr. Dickey.

Apr. 29, 1988, Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

May 9, 1988 Report Of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and Order filed. AND NOW, this 6th day of May, 1988, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said RUBY ZIMMERMAN be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days or until such time as appropriate arrangements can be made by the staff of Warren State Hospital to place the patient in an appropriate nursing home facility.

BY THE COURT,
P. richard Thomas,J

May 10, 1988 Copies of order to B. Barrett, MH/MR and WSH

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

27.

David W. Pickens

KIMBERLY S. WALTERS

vs.

RONALD A. WALTERS

Filed Jan 13, 1988
at 3:20 P.M.

Tax & Entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 11/30/90	9.00 ✓
State pd 11/30/90	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Jan 25, 1988 Warrant and Appointment of Attorney in Divorce filed.

Jan 25, 1988 Waiver of Soldier's and Sailor's Relief Act of 1940 filed.

Jan 25, 1988 Appearance of David W. Pickens, Esq. for Defendant filed.

Feb. 17, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed.
I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on January 21, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Ronald A. Walters by certified mail #P-547 808 318, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce aciton. Said notice was received by ronald A. Walters on February 3, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office ofthe Plaintiff's attonrey and is attached hereto. Joan D. Mason

Sept. 8, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Oct. 16, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 30, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Dec. 14, 1990 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, Dec. 14, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that KIMERLY S. WALTERS, plaintiff, and RONALD A. WALTERS, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

28.

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs,PC
Christopher Youngs

RICHARD L. INFIELD

vs.

SANDRA M. INFIELD

Filed Jan. 13, 1988
at 3:23 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
2 counts paid 2-12-88	30.00
Pro Co Pd 11/17/89	9.00
State pd 11/17/89	10.00
Pro Co. Pd. 1/15/90	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 20, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, Attorney for the Pl aintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on January 15, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Sandra M Infield by certified mail #P-547 808 326, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Sandra M. Infield on January 16, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto. Joan D. Mason

Feb. 1, 1988,Petition For Special Relief and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 1st day of February, 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing Petition for Special Relief,. hearihg is set for the 22nd day of February, 1988, at 9:30 o'clock A.M., in Courtroom No. 2, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA.

Per Curiam,
Robert J. Walker, J.

Feb. 1, 1988 Copy of Order to Atty. Joan D. Mason.

Feb. 2, 1988 Appearance of Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs PC by Christopher Youngs for Defendant filed.

Feb 11, 1988 Petition for Additional Remedies filed. (Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees, Expenses and Costs and Alimony)

Feb. 17, 1988 Motion for Hearing on claim for counsel fees and Order filed. AND NOW, this 17 day of Feb., 1988, upon presentation of the foregoin Motion, a rule is hereby entered upon the Plaintiff, Richard L. Infield, to appear and show cause, if any, why the relief requested by defendant should not be granted.

This Rule shall be returnable and a hearing held thereon on March 11, 1988, at 9:00 o'clock AM., in cort room 2 Crawford County Courthouse.

The parties are directed to bring to said hearing the income and Expense Statements filed by them with the Domestic Relations Sections, amended if necessary.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Feb. 17, 1988 Copies of order to Joan Mason and Christopher Youngs

Feb. 19, 1988 Motion To continue and Order filed. AND NOW, Feb. 19, 1988 upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion the hearing on Plaintiff's Petition for Special relief is hereby continued to Mar. 11, 1988 at 9:00 o'clock A.M. in Courtroom 1 or 2

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Feb. 19, 1988 Copies of order to Joan Mason and christopher Youngs

Mar 14, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, March 11, 1988, at the time scheduled for hearing on various matters in relation to this divorce action, it appearing that the Defendant is currently a patient at the Meadville Medical Center Mental Health Unit and is not present at the hearing, this Order is entered based upon the representations made by both counsel with the understanding that if either party ultimately disagrees, they may request a further hearing which, however, will be limited to areas relating to tangible personal property, all other orders being entered being considered final.

1. The Plaintiff shall pay the sum of $200.00 towards Defendant's counsel fees, $100.00 on or before March 31, 1988, and the balance on or before April 20, 1988, to be paid through his counsel directly to Plaintiff's counsel.

2. The Defendant shall permit the Plaintiff to obtain all tangible personal property which she removed from the parties' marital domicile, which was a mobile home, that is presently in storage and not in use by her, with the exception of any clothing or personal effects of the Defendants, and if the parties cannot agree on the items to be returned, then an additional hearing will be held. Said items are to be made available to the Plaintiff within ten days of this date.

3. Neither of the parties shall dispose of any tangible property in their possession that is marital property without further order of Court.

4. The Plaintiff shall forthwith execute an authorization to permit the Defendant to obtain the necessary information concerning his pension fund, profit sharing fund, or any other deferred compensation type of benefit that he may have through his employer.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Mar 17, 1988 Copies of order to Joan Mason and Christopher Youngs, Esqs.

Apr 26, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, April 26, 1988, the plaintiff having contacted the Court pro se complaining that the Order of March 11, 1988 has not been complied with and that he has been unable to obtain reasonable satisfaction from his counsel, a further hearing is scheduled on this matter for May 6, 1988 at 11:30 A.M., in Courtroom 2, Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania, and all parties and their respective counsel are expected to be present to resolve matters relating to the return of the personal property of the plaintiff.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Apr 28, 1988 Copies of order to Joan Mason and Christopher Youngs, Esqs.

Continued on the next page....

Infield vs. Infield A.D. 1988 - 28 Divorce

May 9, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, May 6, 1988, at the time scheduled for further hearing in this matter, it appearing that substantially all of the items which the defendant was to permit the P laintiff to obtain have now been delivered toh im with the exception of a savings bond in a hundred dollar denomination titled in the name of the Plaintiff, which the Defendant has admitted destroying apparently out of spite, and two pairs of channellocks, which the Defendant denies knowledge of the whereabouts, and whichunder the circumstances, the Court considers de minimis, the defendant is directed, at her expense, to obtain a duplicate bond and the Plaintiff shall promptly furnish to Defendant's counsel all information needed for the purpose of obtaining such duplicate, or the Defendant may pay to the Plaintiff the sum of $100.00, and thereafter, we will consider the matter closed and we will not expect either party to apply to the Court for relief in matters which are essentially de minimis and this matter should proceed through the normal channels to an ultimate Order covering items such as equitable distribution, alimony and the like, as requested inthe pleadings.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

May 9, 1988 Copies of order to Joan Mason and Christopher Youngs

May 27, 1988, Petition for Special Relief and order filed. AND NOW, UPON PRESENTATION of the foregoing petition for special relief, a Rule to Show Cause is issued and a hearing on the foregoing Petition is set and rule returnable on June 23, 1988, at 3:30 P.M. at the Crawford CountyCourthouse, Meadville,Pa

Defendant's counsel shall notify Pittsburgh Nat. Bank by first class mail of said hearing date and shall forward said bank a copy of this order and petition. Defendant's counsel shall by telephone immediately notify said Bank of entry of this order.

Pittsburgh National Bank is hereby ordered to refrain from repossessing the parties' mobile hoem until further order of court.

Alternatively, plaintiff is ordered to bring the parties' Pittsburgh National Bank loan current with payment to be received by Pittsburgh National Bank on or before May 31, 1988.

Dated: 5/27/88

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

May 27, 1988, copies to J. Mason and C. YOungs, attys.

June 24, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, June 23, 1988, the request for special relief is denied.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

June 24, 1988 copies of order to Joan Mason and Christopher Youngs

Nov. 17, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Nov. 17, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Nov. 17, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Nov. 28, 1989 Domestic Relations Order Concerning Pension Benefits from the Retirement Plan for Hourly Rated Employees of Channellock, Inc. filed.

1. Richard L. Infield, hereinafter referred to a Participant, is vested in the Retirement Plan For Hourly Rated Employees of Channellock, Inc., hereinafter referred to as the Plan, and has been an active participant in the Plan since April 22, 1968, as is still active.

Sandra M. Infield is hereby designated as Alternate Payee to the extent set forth below.

2. The Plan administrator is advised that the parties to this Order have agreed on allocating benefits, which are to become Alternate Payee's property, as follows:

Number of years, months, and days from April 22, 1968 through May 26, 1987---- X 50%
Number of years, months, and days from April 22, 1968 to date of earliest availability, or any date subsequent to that date, up to Participant's date of retirement, as the Alternate Payee shall select and as the Plan shall allow.

Applying the above fraction shall yield a figure that shall be the separate benefit of the Alternate Payee. If possible said benefits shall be payable directly to the Alternate Payee. She shall be responsible for income taxes on the same, and shall be responsible for keeping the Plan Administrator advised of any change of her address, change of name, or other information necessary from her, for the carrying out of this Order.

In the event Sandra M. Infield predeceases Richard L. Infield, the entire marital portion (as set forth in the above fraction) shall be retained by Richard L. Infield, together with all other options and benefits available under the plan.

The Alternate Payee, Sandra M. Infield, was born on May 14, 1946, and her Social Security number is 163-40-3349. Her address is 1453 Garberdale Square , Boliver, Ohio, 44612.

The Participant, Richard L. Infield, was born February 11, 1944, and his Social Security number is 193-36-5289. His address is R.D. # 1, Townville, Pennsylvania, 16360.

3. It is the intention of the parties hereto that the division of said retirement benefit shall include any and all interest, dividents, or other accumulation of any description, and any increase in value.

4. Any benefit or option now in existance or which may come into existance in the future, as available to a participant spouse, or designated benefic iary underr the Plan, which has not been specifically allocated hereunder to the Alternate Payee, Sandra M. Infield, shall be retained by the Participant as his benefit or option. However, Alternate Payee shall have the right to make any elections she wishes with regard to her portion of the pension as set forth in the above fraction.

5. If allowed by the Plan at the time of the Participant's retirement (or time to elect a joint and survivor annuity option) Participant shall designate Alternate Payee Sandra M. Infield as recipient of the maximum joint and survivor annuity to provide for the possibility that he maypredecease her. If she predeceases him, said requirement and designation shall be removed and stricken. (It is understood by the parties hereto that the existing Plan does not allow for designation of a former spouse as recipient of a joint and survivor annuity , as such option is restricted to allow only persons then (at the time of election) legally married to the Participant, to be so designated.)

6. This Order is intended to fulfill the requirements of a Qualified Domestic Relations Order pursuant to Section 414(p) of the Internal Revenue Code and as such, this Order shall not require the Plan to provide any increased benefits over those benefits otherwise provided for under the Plan.

7. It is the intention of the parties that the Alternate Payee shall pay income tax herself for any distributions or payments she receives under this Order.

8. T his Court specifically retains jurisdiction to clarify this Orcer, and to deal with details of implementation, if need be.

Robert L. Walker, J.

Continued on next Page

28
B

1988

INFIELD VS. INFIELD A.D. 1988 -28 DIVORCE

Nov. 28, 1989 . STIPULATION AND DECREE FILED.

DECREE: AND NOW, this 28th day of November, 1989 , the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above named parties, on the grounds tha the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. VEHICLES: Plaintiff, Richard L. Infield shall retain the 1984 Dodge pickup truck, and Defendant, Sandra M. Infield shall retain the 1982 Chevette, with each to sign any necessary papers to conclude said transfers.

3. TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY: Each party shall retain those items of tangible personal property now in his or her possession, free and clear of any claim from the other party hereto, except that the following items shall be made available to the Defendant by the Plaintiff, within two weeks of the granting of the decree in divorce, with Plaintiff, to notify Defendant of the date and time said items shall be delivered to the edge of the property he rents, and with the Defendant to be responsible for receiving personally, receipting for, and transporting said items further: 1. living room suite(couch, love seat, coffee table, and tables); 2. dinette set (table and 6 chairs)

4. DEBTS: Plaintiff shall assume full responsibility for the Discover C ard, Mastercharge, Sears, and Visa accounts, in the amount of the balance that existed as of the date of separation Defendant shall retain responsibility and shallpay the J.C. Penny charge which has always been in her name.

5. EMPLOYMENT STOCK OPTIONPLAN CHANNELLOCK, INC.: At such time as the employment benefit designated as the Employee Stock Option Plan for Channellock, Inc., becomes payable or available for payment, same shall be divided between the parties in accordance with the following fraction, which fraction shall be utilized and applied to said stock and cash and other investments included in said ESOP (including any and all increase in value, whether dividends, interest or otherwise) and which fraction when applied to same, shall be the share of the Defendant, Sandra M. Infield, and shall be paid to her:

Number of years, months, and days from August 12, 1974
through May 26, 1987 _____ X 50%
Number of years, months, and days from August 12, 1974 to date of earliest availabilitiy,
or any date subsequent to that date, up to Participant's date of retirement, as the Defendant,
Sandra M. Infield shall select and as the ESOP shall allow.

The payment of the benefit to the Defendant shall depend upon terms of the ESOP and the rights of the Plaintiff, and her right or options in regard to said ESOP shall not exceed those of the Plaintiff. If options are to be chosen or elections to be made, in regard to said benefit, they shall be exercisable by the Plaintiff, except as to the fraction set forth above, which shall belong to the Defendant.

INcome and any other tax due on any payment or distribution to Sandra M. Infield, shall be her responsibility alone.

6.RETIREMENT PLAN FOR HOURLY RATED EMPLOYEES OF CHANNELLOCK, INC.: A separate order entered simultaneously herewith, shall deal with the marital portion of the retirement benefits under the above titled retirement plan, which benefits are in the name of the Plaintiff, Richard L. Infield, and were acquired through his employment at Channellock, Inc. Located at 1306 South Main Street, P.O. Box 3519, Meadville, Pennsylvania, 16335. Said separate order shall be intended to divide the benefits accorded to the Plaintiff under said Plan, which benefits are marital property to the extent of the benefit earned from April 22, 1968 (Plaintiff's date of hire) to May 26, 1987 (date of the parties' final separation)

7. COUNSEL FEES, COURT COSTS AND RELATED EXPENSES: The Plaintiff shall pay to Defendant's counsel, Attorney Christopher Youngs, the sum of $1,500.00, without reduction or credit for any past payments either to the Defendant or her attorney.

8. ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE: Defendant hereby withdraws and waives any right, title, interest, or claim she may ootherwise have made, for alimony, payment in kind or in cash for her support and maintenance, so that he shall owe her nothing further from the date this divorce is granted, except as specifically set forth in this Decree and the Order referred to above in paragraph #6, relating to the retirement plan.

9. WAIVER OF CLAIMS: Neither party hereto shall make any claim against the other party hereto, except for enforcement of the rights and responsibilities set forth in this decree.

10. WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS: Neither party hereto shall be required to file an Inventory and Appraisement, nor an Income and Expense Statement, as would otherwisee have been required by the Rules of Civil Procedure. Each party is aware of the assets and debts of the other party hereto, sufficient to his or her own satisfaction, and specifically waives any further disclosure.

BY THE C OURT:

Rober t L. Walker, J.

Jan. 15, 1990 Notice of Election to Resume Prior name filed, to be known as Sandra M. Hollabaugh.

September 20, 2004 - See Computer for further entries.

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

29.

MICHELLE A. RYBCZYK

vs.

ANDREW J. RYBCZYK

Filed Jan 14, 1988
@ 11:27 A.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro co pd 4/21/88 9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c)

Jan 20, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: Before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared JOSEPH C. BARNHART, ESQ., who did depose and say that he is the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter and that he did serve a certified copy of a Complaint in Divorce upon the above named Defendant, at his address, by United States certified mail. Delivery of same being evidenced by postal return receipt #P 620 643 167 purportedly signed by Defendant dated January 15, 1988, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set by hand and seal this 19th day of January, 1988.

Jospeh C. Barnhart Esq.

Apr. 18, 1988 Plaintiff's Affidavit Of Consent of Divorce filed.

Apr. 18, 1988 Defendant's Affidavit Of Consent To Divorce filed.

Apr. 18, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To transmit Record, this case moved to court.

May 4, 1988 D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .

AND NOW, May 4, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

M I C H E L L E A R Y B C Z Y K and A N D R E W J . R Y B C Z Y K

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

30

1988

Spadafore, Vardaro,
Walker & Johnson
Emil M. Spadafore, Jr.

30.

Gordon R. Miller

ELIZABETH MUDDIMAN

vs.

GEORGE FLEMING

Filed Jan 14, 1988
@ 3:44 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	25.00
Pro Co. Pd 1/18/89	6.00. ✓

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

(Jury Trial Demanded)

Feb. 9, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED BY mailing by certified mail, January 19, 1988, a true and attested copy ofthe within Complaint to George Fleming, Rosedale Twp., Fenelon Falls, RI, Ontario, Komino, Canada, the return receipt being hereto attached and made a part of this return.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 6, 1988 Notice of Service of Request for Production of Documents filed.

Sept. 8, 1988, Appearance of Gordon R. Miller, attorney for defendant filed.

Jan. 18, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney this case marked settled and discontinued.

1988

Emil M. Spadafore Jr.

31.

MARINE BANK

vs.

DENNY L. BRADSHAW AND
CARLA A. BRADSHAW

Filed Jan. 14, 1988
@ 3:55 P.M.

Pro Simmons Paid	$15.00
Plff on orig	9.50
Sheriff's Costs	34.56
Release pd. 10/18/95	5.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

DSB 1983 - 175

Dated.....Jan. 14, 1988
Debt.....$18,335.88
Interest from.....February 28, 1983
B - 44, 34.....JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

May 25, 1988 Writ Returned: SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Carla A. Bradshaw, personally, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival AD 1988-31 to her on January 20, 1988 at 2:20 P.M. at her residence, R.D.#1, Cochranton, Pa County of Crawford.

SERVED Denny L. Bradshaw, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival AD 1988-31 to Carla A. Bradshaw, his wife at their residence, RD#1, Cochranton, Pa. County of Crawford on January 20, 1988 at 2:20 P.M.

So answer, E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 18,1995, Writing filed wherein plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following property: ALL that certain piece or parcel of land situate in Wayne Township,Crawford County, Pa. (for complete description, see paper filed)

1988

Emil M. Spadafore Jr.

32.

MARINE BANK

vs.

DENNY L. BRADSHAW AND
CARLA A. BRADSHAW

Filed Jan 14, 1988
@ 3:55 P.M.

Pro Simmons Paid	$15.00
Plff on orig.	63.25
Sheriff's Costs	34.56
ProPd 10/18/95	5.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

No. AD 1983 - 579

Dated.....Jan. 14, 1988
Debt.....$33,388.72
Interest from July 14, 1983
B - 44, 34.....JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

May 25, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Carla A. Bradshaw, personally the within named defendant, by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival AD 1988-32 to her, on January 20, 1988 at 2:21 P.M. at her residence, RD#1, Cochranton, Pa , County of Crawford.

SERVED Denny L. Bradshaw, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival AD 1988-32 to Carla A. Bradshaw, his wife at their residence, RD#1, Cochranton, Pa. County of Crawford on January 20, 1988 at 2:21 P.M.

So answers, E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 18, 1995, Writing filed wherein plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled property the following: All that piece or parcel of land situate in Wayne Township, Crawford County, Pa. (for complete description, see paper filed.)

1988

Emil M. Spadafore, Jr.

33.

MARINE BANK

vs.

DENNY L. BRADSHAW AND
CARLA A. BRADSHAW

Filed Jan 14, 1988
@ 3:55 P.M.

Pro Simmons Paid	$15.00
plff on orig.	63.25
Sheriff's Costs	34.56
Pro Co Pd 10/18/95	5.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

AD 1983 - 580

DAted.....Jan 14, 1988
Debt.....$7,649.94
Interest from.....September 13, 1983
B - 44, 34 .....JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

May 25, 1988 Writ Returned: SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Carla A. Bradshaw, personally, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival AD 1988-33, to her on January 20, 988 at 2:22 P.M. at her residence, RD#1, Cochranton, Pa, County of Crawford.

SERVED Denny L. Brawshaw, the defendant by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival AD 1988-33 to Carla A. Bradshaw, his wife at their residence, R.D.#1, Cochranton, Pa, County of Crawford, on January 20, 1988 at 2:22 P.M.
So answers, E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 18, 1995, Writing filed wherein plaintiff releases from the lien of the above entitled judgment the following property: ALL that certain piece or parcel of land situate in Wayne Township, Crawford County, Pa. (for more complete description, see paper filed)

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs & Youngs PC
Christopher Youngs
34.

NANCY PIPPER

vs.

ROBERT T. PIPPER

Filed Jan 14, 1988
@ 4:24 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

COMPLAINT FOR VISITATION

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, H. Robert T. Pipper, Dft, have been sued in Court regarding visitation rights ofthe child: Aaron James Pipper.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer, or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below tofind out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County bar Associaton, Ser. to the Pub Committee, 310/312 Chestnut St, Meadville, Pa. 16335 814 724-7719.

Date: 1/15/88 BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb. 18, 1988 Certificate Of Service filed. Christopher J. Youngs, hereby certifies that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff, Nancy Pipper, in the within Action and that a copy of the Complaint in Visitation was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only to the Defendant, Robert T. Pipper, Ridgeway Hill, Cochranton, Pennsylvania 16314 on January 21, 1988, and was received by the defendan ton January 23, 1988. The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A".

Christopher Youngs

Mar. 18, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, March 18th, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, and based upon agreement of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Aaron James Pipper shall be shared between the mother, Nancy Pipper, and the father, Robert T. Pipper, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part in important decisions affecting the child, including medical treatment, education and religious education.

II. Physical custody of Aaron James Pipper shall be with the mtoher, Nancy Pipper, subject to the following conditions:

(a) Aaron James Pipper shall be entitled to visit his father, Robert T. Pipper as often as he chooses to do so, on an unscheduled basis.

(b) Neither party shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the contrary, shall do everything in the power of each ot create a favorable and loving attitude on the part ofthe child towards both parents.

III. This order shall be a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

Robert L. Walker,J

Mar. 22, 1988 Copies of order to Christopher Youngs and John Fuller

1988

Gary A. Fabian

35.

CHERYL A. SWEENEY

vs.

EDWARD L. SMOCK

Filed Jan 15, 1988
AT 9:07 a.m.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY AND VISITATION

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Edward L. Smock, dft, have been sued in court to obtain visitation of the child Edward /c. Smock and to confirm custody of the child, Billy E. Smock in the plaintiff.

You are ordered to appear in person with the child edward C. Smock, at a time and place to be established by the child Custody Mediator for a custody mediation conference. YOu will receive further notice from the child custody mediator of the time and place of the custody mediation conference.

WILLIAM PINEO is hereby appointed Child Custody Mediator.

You are further ordered not to remove either of the children from this county until such time as these proceedings are finally terminated and/or unless pursuant to further order of this court.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order or to bring the child, Edward C. Smock, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court my issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford Legal Aid Office, 310/312 Chestnut St, Meadville, Pa. 16335 814 724-7719.

Dated: Jan 15, 1988

BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J.

Jan 15, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed in forma Pauperis, Petition for Leaveto Proceed IN Forma Pauperis, filed.

Jan. 29, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. GARY A. FABIAN,, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that:

1. He is an attorney authorized to practice law within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
2. He is the attoreny for plaintiff in the above captioned matter.
3. On January 15, 1988, he had deposited in the United States Mail by certified mail, return receipt requested, Certified Mail No. P 414 752 590, as evidenced by Certified Mail Receipt No. P 414 752 590, attached hereto and made a part hereof, a true and correct copy of a complaint in custody and visitation addressed to Edward L. Smock, said complaint naming Edward L. Smock as the defendant in the above-captioned matter.
4. Service was obtained upon the defendant, Edward L. Smock on January 19, 1988 as evidenced by Return Receipt for Certified Mail No. P 414 752 590, attached hereto and made a part hereof, said receipt being signed by Edward L. Smock.

FURTHER, DEPONENT SAYETH NOT.

Gary A. Fabian

Mar. 24, 1988 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 24th day of Mar., 1988 after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal custody of their two minor children, Billy E. Smock and Edward C. Smock.
2. The mother shall have physical custody of Billy e. Smock and the father shall have physcial custody of Edward C. Smock.
3. Starting with April 3, 1988, both children will spend Sunday afternoon with their mother from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. The children shallthereafter alternate Sunday afternoon visits between the parties during the hours indicated.
4. Each party shall teach the children to give to the other that love and affection which is due a parent from his or her children and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the eyes of the children.
5. This is a joint custody arrangement and each party shall furnish the other with any and all information that he or she may have regarding the children's health, education, and major events in their lives. Health care and school personnel are authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have.
6. Costs and fees of this Mediatiion, which are fixed at $41.76 shall be paid by Crawford County. Neither party is employed and both are indigent.
7. Either party may move the court for a hearing de novo for a period of 30 (thirty) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 28, 1988 Copies of order to Gary Fabian, Defendant and William Pineo

1988

Stephen H. Hutzelman Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman, Berlin, May Walsh & Brabender 36.	CENTRAL HERRIN STORAGE & TRANSFER CO., INC. vs. JIM CHASTAIN Filed Jan 15, 1988 @ 10:45 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Sheriff's Costs 12.00	COMPLAINT 1 copy (sheriff) Jan. 15, 1988 Sheriff's rEturn filed. Not Found, as to within named defenant Jim Chastain as of January 15, 1988. Gone from Rusko approximately 2 years. No forwarding. So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
Thomas D. O'Shea
37:

Watts,Pepicelli,Youngs And
Christopher J. Youngs

BARBARA E. HINDLE

vs.

JOSEPH E. HINDLE

Filed Jan 15, 1988
@ 1:25 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 1/18/88 15.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 9/16/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 26, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the complaint in divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pa. on the above named defendant, by cert. mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated Jan. 20, 1988. The defendant acknowledged service on Jan. 22, 1988 by accepting del. on that date as evidenced by the attached ret. receipt.

Thomas O'Shea, Esq.

March 9, 1988 Appearance of Watts,Pepicelli, Youngs And Youngs By Christopher J. Youngs, Attorney for Defendant filed.

Mar. 16, 1988 Inventory and Appraisement of Gerald F. Foran, Defendant filed.

Mar. 16, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 28, 1988 Affdavit of Consent filed.

July 6, 1988 Motion to withdrawn Counts and Order filed. AND NOW, July 6, 198, the wihtin Motion is allowed. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

July 6, 1988 Copies of order to Thomas O'Shea and Christopher Youngs

Sept. 16, 1988 Affdavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 16, 1988 Upon praecipe to Transmit Record - Section 201(c) of the Divorce code, this case moved to court.

Sept. 28, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, September 28, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

B A R B A R A E . H I N D L E and J O S E P H E H I N D L E

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Pro se

38.

Gordon Miller

EDWINA M. GESING

vs.

NORMAN LITWILER, AGENT
STATE FARM INS. CO.
ED GILLILAND, AND
U.D.S. SIGNS

Filed Jan. 15, 1988
@ 2:13 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓
Pro Co Pd 2/2/89 15.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 9/18/89 5.00 ✓

APPEAL OF PLAINTIFF FROM JUDGMENT OF
DISTRICT JUSTICE HERZBERGER III-30-3-04

Jan. 19, 1988 Proof Of Service filed.

Feb 3, 1988 Complaint in Trespass filed.

Feb. 17, 1988 Preliminary Objections filed.

Feb. 23, 1988, AMENDED Complaint in Trespass filed. 3 copies (plaintiff)

Feb. 26, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the amended complaint certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pa. on the above named defendants by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested dted 2/23/88. The defendants and their attorney acknowledge service on 2/24/88, 2/24/88, and 2/24/88 by accepting delivery on that date as evidence by the attached signed return receipts.
Edwina M. Gesing

Mar. 15, 1988 Answer and New Matter filed.

April 11, 1988, Pleading to New Matter filed.

April 26, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the pleadings to the new matter, certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pa on the above named defendants Attorney Gordon Miller by certified mail, return receipt requested dated 4/11/88. The defendant's attorney acknowleged service on 4/12/88 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipts.
Signed:
Edwina M. Gesing

Dec. 13, 1988 Certificate of Readiness filed.

On February 1989 Trial List

Jan 24, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, January 23, 1989, this case appears on the nonjury trial list. The Plaintiff is representing hereself pro se and admits to some confusion about the proper procedures in the case. After input from plaintiff and defense counsel, the Court ascertains this is an arbitration case, and accordingly, counsel for the Defendant is DIRECTED to praecipe for arbitration within ten (10) days of this date.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, PJ
Jan 25, 1989 Copies of order to Plaintiff and Atty. Miller.

Feb. 1, 1989, Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, for reference to the arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed: Paul E. Allen, Chairman
F. C. Kiebort, Jr.
~~William Gregg~~ David Pickens.

Feb. 23, 1989, Arbitrators Take the Qualifying Oath. William Gregg, Esq. removed as arbitrator, and David Pickens, substituted in his stead.

Sept. 15, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record as all sums due hereunder have been compromised and adjusted and paid i n full.

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

39.

Gordon R. Miller

DIANNE L. PETERSON, AND
JOHN W. PETERSON, HER HUSBAND

vs.

DAVID L. KEPPLER

Filed Jan. 15, 1988
@ 3:57 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriffs costs	18.00
Pro Co. PD 8/16/89	6.00

settled.

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 11, 1988, Sheriffs return filed. SERVED David L. Keppler within named defendant on Feb. 5, 1988, at 11:11 a.m. by handing a true copy of the within complaint to Susan Keppler, adult member of the family with whom he resides, namely his wife, at their residence 694 Davenport St, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 29, 1988, Appearance of Gordon R. Miller for defendant David L. Keppler filed.

Mar 21, 1988 Answer and New Matter filed.

May 12, 1988 Answer To New Matter filed.

August 16, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned action as all claims in this case have been

40

1988

Ferguson, Spataro &
O'Shea
Thomas D. O'Shea

40.

PHILLIP B. UNGER

vs.

KIMBERLY UNGER

Filed Jan 18, 1988
@ 9:12 A.M.

Tax & entry paid 1/18/88 $40.50

COMPLAINT TO MODIFY CHILD CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 18th day of January 1988 in consideration of the within Petition, WILLIAM PINEO, ESQ. is hereby appointed the Child Custody Mediator for this case. The said Child Custody Mediator shall conduct a conference attended by the parties and their counsel at such time and place as he directs. Attendance of the children and witnesses shall be at the discretion of the Mediator.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. walker, J.

Jan 26, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint to Modify Child Custody certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated January 20, 1988. The Defendant acknowledged service on January 22, 1988 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Ferguson, Spataro & O'Shea
Thomas D. O'Shea,
Attorney for Plaintiff

March 25, 1988 Agreement As to Custody and Visitation With the Parties' Minor Children and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 25th day of March, 1988, the within Agreement as to Custody and Visitation with the Parties' Minor Children having been signed and acknowledged by the respective parties thereto, an Order is hereby entered in accord with the terms and conditions of the aforesaid Agreement.

By The Court,
Robert L. Walker,J.

March 30, 1988 Copy of Order to Atty. Thomas O'Shea.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin
~~Mason and MaSON~~
Joan D. Mason

41.

Fuller,Kinnunen, Petruso
Gamble,Fabian & Hall
Ann Hansen Gamble

6-7-94 Rec from Plff Master $250

6-29-94 Rec'd from dft master 500.00

6-14-94 paid $122.50 to James J McCleery Ck#8373

9-13-94 Pd Master Kirkpatrick Ck#8555 $627.50

Bal-0-

SANDRA LEE ZORIA

vs.

NICK JOSEPH ZORIA, SR.

Filed Jan. 19, 1988
@ 12:44 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
~~Doug Ferguson,Master~~	
Maryann Kirkpatrick, Master	
Pro.Co. pd 12/20/94	30.00
User's Fee pd 12/20/94	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE
DISTRIBUTION OF PROPERTY & DEBTS

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 14, 1988 Complaint Reinstated

June 1, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Nick Joseph Zoria, Sr. dft. in the above captioned divorce action, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did receive a cert. copy of the divorce complaint inthe above divorce action on April 19, 1988. Nick Joseph Zoria, Sr.

Sept. 16, 1992 Appearance of Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack by James R. Irwin for Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 23, 1992 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 3301 (d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Feb. 16, 1993 Inventory Of Defendant filed.

Feb. 16, 1993 Income and Expense of Defendant filed.

May 21, 1993 Motion for Sanctions for Failur to Answer Interrogatories filed.

May 21, 1993 Rule filed. AND NOW, this 17th day of May, 1993, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing, a Rule is entered against Defendant to show cause if any he has, why sanctions should not be imposed against him for failure to answer interrogatories.
RULE RETURNABLE On the 26th of May, 1993, at 10:00 o'clock A.M. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 24, 1993 Copy of Rule to Attys. Irwin & Mason.

May 27, 1993 Motion For Continuance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of May, 1993 upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion this Hearing is rescheduled for the 25th day of June, 1993 at 1:30 o'clock P.M. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

FOR THE COURT,
Ann A. Walker, J

June 2, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Irwin and Gamble

June 30, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 25, 1993, after hearing presentations from counsel on the Plaintiff's motion for sanctions, counsel for the Plaintiff, the moving party, has narrowed the request to only a few interrogatories and those will be the ones that will be dealt with in this Order. Accordingly, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The Defendant is not required to respond to Interrogatories 38(b), 38(e), and 42 on the representation that he will not make any reference to those items or the information contained therein or use any of the same in this action.
2. Interrogatories 39, 49 and 55 through 62 shall be fully and completely answered within twenty (20) days from this date.
3. Form A, subsections (h) and (g) shall be fully and completely answered within twenty (20) days from this date.
4. Form B, subparagraphs (f), (g), (h) and (i) shall be fully and completely answered within twenty (20) days from this date, and the tax returns and pay stubs referred to shall be produced and submitted within twenty (20) days from this date unless they have already been submitted heretofore.
5. Form E, subparagraph (g) shall be fully and completely answered within twenty (20) days from this date.
6. Form E, subparagraph (f), with regard to bank statements only, shall be fully and completely complied with for the past twelve (12) months only within twenty days from this date unless the Defendant is does not have copies in his possession and is not able to obtain copies from his bank or banks in which case he shall be given a reasonable period of time thereafter to allow the bank or banks to produce said copies.
7. Since even though some of the Interrogatories have not been properly answered, but others have been, or the Court has made restrictions, we do not impose sanctions on the Defendant, and we do not now order him to pay attorneys fees for the Plaintiff.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 7, 1993 copy to J. Irwin, A. Gamble, J. Mason

Aug. 10, 1993 Inventory of Sandra L.Zoria, Plaintiff, filed.

Aug. 10, 1993 Plaintiff's Income and Expense filed.

Aug. 13, 1993 Motion to Appoint Master in Divorece and Order, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Aug. 12, 1993, Douglas Ferguson, Esquire is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Divorce, Equitable Distribution.
Deposit required: Plaintiff $100. Defendant $200.

PERCURIUM:
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Aug. 19, 1993 copy to D. Ferguson, J.Irwin

Sep.15, 1993 Motion By Master to Withdraw and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 17th day of September, 1993, Douglas W. Ferguson, Esquire's appointment as Master in the above-captioned divorce is hereby vacated and Maryann Kirkpatrick, Esquire is appointed Mast er in regard to the above-capt ioned divorce with regard to the following claims: divorce and equitable distribution.
The security deposits previously ordered by the Court shall remain in full force and effect.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

9-22-93 Copy of Order to Attys. Irwin, Mason, Gamble, Ferguson & Kirkpatrick

Nov. 12, 1993 Master's Report from Pre-Hearing Conference, filed.

Continued on page 568

1988

pro se

42.

THERON J. VLASNIK AND
CHARLENE R. VLASNIK, HIS WIFE

vs.

WALTER LOSEE AND HOLLY
LOSEE, HIS WIFE
R. D. #9, Mullen Road
Meadville, Pa. 16335

Filed Jan 19, 1988
@ 1:47 P.M.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00
Plff on orig.	9.50
Sheriff's Costs	24.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL
of
DSB 1983 - 87

Dated.....Jan 19, 1988
Debt.....$2,250.00
Int. from.....12/22/82
L - 176, 86.....JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

(issued-sheriff)

Feb. 3, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Walter Losee personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Writ of REvival to him on January 20, 1988 at 11:46 AM at Sheriff's Office, crawford County Courthosue, Meadville, PA
SERVED Holly Losee, within named defendant on January 20, 1988 at 11:47 AM by serving her authorized agent Walter Losee, at Sheriff's Office, Crawfo;rd County Courthouse, Meadville, PA, as per his affidavit and acceptance of service attached.
So answers, Catherine I. Allen, deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Revived to:

A.D. 1993 - 35

March 4, 1993 This judgment
Satisfied. (Satisfaction filed).

1988

pro se

43.

THERON J. VLASNIK

vs.

WALTER LOSEE AND HOLLY LOSEE,
HIS WIFE
R. D. #9, Mullen Road
Meadville, Pa. 16335

Filed Jan. 19, 1988
@ 1:47 P.M.

Pro Simmons Paid	$15.00
plff on orig	9.50
Sheriff's Costs	24.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

DSB 1983 - 88

Dated.....Jan 19, 1988

Debt.....$17,854.39
Int. from ...12/22/82
L - 176, 86....JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL

James F. Simmons _Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

Feb 3, 1988 Writ Returned: SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Walter Losee personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival to him on January 20, 1988 at 11:44 A.M. at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

SERVED Holly Losee, within named defendant on January 20, 1988 at 11:45 A.M. by serving her authorized agent, Walter Losee, as per his affidavit and acceptance of service attached, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Chourhouse, Meadville, Pa.
So answers,

Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Revived to:

A.D. 1993 - 36

March 4, 1993 This judgment satisfied
(See paper filed)

1988

44.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF
COCHRANTON,
NOW BY MERGER, MARINE BANK

vs.

W. J. BAINBRIDGE AND
LILLIAN S. BAINBRIDGE

Filed Jan. 19, 1988
at 2:44 P.M.

Pro Simmons Paid $9.00 ✓
plff on orig 14.50 ✓
Satisfaction Rd. 2/7/89 5.00 ✓

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE
of
DSB 1983 - 41

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment entered on Jan. 21, 1983 be revived and the lien continued and authorizes the prothonotary to enter and index a judgment of revival in the amount of One Thousand Forty Three, four hundred Ninety Four and 47/100 Dollars plus costs.

Dated January 7, 1988
Debt.....$143,494.47
B - 173, 103.....JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Feb. 7, 1989 This Judgment satisfied.
(see paper filed)

1988

45.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF
COCHRANTON
NOW BY MERGER
MARINE BANK

vs.

MEADVILLE NEW PRODUCTS, INC.

Filed Jan. 19, 1988
@ 2:44 P.M.

Pro Simmons Paid $9.00 ✓
plff on orig 9.50
Satisfaction Pd. 2/7/89 5.00 ✓

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 - 42

The undersigned here by agrees that the judgment entered on Jan. 21, 1983 to DSB 1983 - 42 be revived and the lien continued and authorizes the prothonotary to entereand index a judgment of revival in the amount of Eighty Eight Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty and 00/100 plus costs.

Dated.....January 7, 1988
Debt.....$88,950.00
M - 181....JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Feb. 7, 1989 This Judgment satisfied.
(see paper filed)

1988

David H. Acker 46.	RICHARD A. PERROTT AND LAURA PERROTT, HIS WIFE vs. LAURA G. DAVIS, M. DAN MASON, AND NORMA R. MASON Filed Jan. 19, 1988 @ 3:35 P.M. Tax & entry Paid $40.50✓ Sheriffs costs 34.00	COMPLAINT IN ASSUMPSIT 4 copies (sheriff) Feb. 4, 1988 Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Laura G. Davis personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Compalitn to her on Jan. 20, 1988, at 10:06 AM at Sheriffs Office, Rm. 303, Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pa. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO M. Dan Mason personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on Jan. 20, 1988 at 11:30 a.m. at Sheriffs Office, rm. 303 Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.. SERVED Norma R. Mason within named defendatn on Jan. 20, 1988 at 11:31 a.m. by serving her authorized agent, M. Dan Mason, as per his acceptance of service hereto attached. So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Dep. Sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC
Theodore H. Watts

47.

SHAFER, SWICK, BAILEY, IRWIN AND STACK
James R. Irwin

DAVID B. KERR

vs.

BEVERLY KERR

Filed Jan. 19, 1988
@ 3:53 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
1 count paid 3-7-88	15.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 3, 1988 Answer and Counterclaim filed.

Mar. 15, 1988 Answer To Defendant's Counter-Claim filed.

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a part in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 3-15-88 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 8, 1997 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

48

1988

MacDonald, Illig,
Forssell & Kirvan
Clifford J. Kirvan

48.

OIL CREEK PLASTICS,
INCORPORATED

vs.

BENN WILLIAMSON SUPPLY
COMPANY, INC.

Filed Jan. 20, 1988
@ 11:17 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

1988

MacDonald, Illig, Forssell & Kirvan Clifford J. Kirvan	OIL CREEK PLASTICS, INCORPORATED	COMPLAINT
49.	vs.	1 copy (atty)
	OHIO PUMP & SUPPLY COMPANY	
	Filed Jan. 20,1988 @ 11:19 a.m.	
	Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓	

1988

Shafer, Swick,
Bailey, Irwin & Stack
Charles j. Swick

50.

AMERICAN FEDERAL SAVINGS

vs.

MARK B. ZIMMERMAN AND
DONNA M. ZIMMERMAN, HIS WIFE

Filed Jan. 20, 1988
@ 1:46 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 30.00
Pro Co.,pd 2-26-88 9.00

Z-112-42
Judgment entered 2/26/88

EX. 1988 - 16

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Feb 8, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Mark B. Zimmerman, within named defendant on January 21, 1988 at 9:55 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Wanda Coblintz, adult person in charge of his residence, at 1413 Leslie Road, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED Donna M. Zimmerman within named defendant on January 21, 1988 at 9:56 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Wanda Coblintz, adult person in charge of her residence, 1413 Leslie Roand, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Feb. 26, 1988, upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer amd damages are assessed at the sum of Thirty-two Thousand Four and 05/100 Dollars plus interest.

Debt. $32,004.05
Interest from Dec. 31, 1987
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

51.

LOIS ETHEL COOK

vs.

KEITH L. COOK

Filed Jan. 20, 1988
@ 1:53 P.M.

Tax & Entry Paid $40.50 ✓

PETITION FOR RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy (atty)

ORDER: AND NOW, this 20 day of Jan. 1988, upon consideration of the within Petition it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the above named respondent shall refrain from abusing the Petitioner and other members of the household and further, that possession of the premises located at R. D. #2, Box 2196, Union City, Pa. is granted to the Petitioner to the exclusion ofthe respondent evicting him from said premises pending final hearing on the merits of this petition.

A Rule is hereby granted on the respondent to show cause why the foregoing Order should not be made final.

Rule returnable and hearing on the 25 day of Jan. 1988, at 2:30 p.m./ in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

Any law enforcement officer is hereby directed toenforce the mandates of this order.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Jan. 27, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, January 25, 1988, at the time fixed for hearing in this matter, it appearing that the defendant is undoubtedly aware ofthis hearing by reason of Certified Mail notice, for which he signed the Return REceipt, it also appears that he has not been formally served by an adult individual in accordance with Pa. R.C.P. 400b(3), this hearing therefore is CONTINUED to February 11, 1988 at 3:30 o'clock p.m., with service of original process and a copy of this Order to be completed in accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure.

The Temporary Order of January 20, 1988 excluding the respondent from the marital household and ordering the respondent not to abuse the petitioner or other members of the household is continued in full force and effect until hearing.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Jan. 27, 1988 Copy of order toJames Irwin

Jan. 29, 1988 Verification Of Service filed. I, LEROY CHANEY, CONSTABLE, do hereby verify that I did on the 29th day of January, 1988 at 9:00 A.M. did personally serve a certified copy of the Petition for Custody & Order of January 25, 1988, filed at A.D. 1988 - 52 and Petition for Protection from Abuse and Order of January 25, 1988, filed at A.D. 1988-51, upon the above named Defendant/Respondent, Keith L. Cook, at his residence, located on Law Roade, in North East, Pennsylvania.

Dated: January 29, 1988

Leroy Chaney, Constable

Feb. 16, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, February 11, 1988, at the time scheduled for hearing, it appears that service in accord with the Court Order of January 25, 1988, has been made upon the Defendant and filed as a part of the record in this case. The defendant fials to appear. Accordingly, the temporary Order entered January 20, 1988, is continued for a period of one hear from this date and subject to the additional condition:

Any duly authorized police officer having reasonable grounds to believe that the terms and conditions ofthe January 20, 1988, Order have been violated by the Defendant may apprehend the defendant without warrent, lodge himin the Crawford County Jail, and give prompt notice to the Court of said fact after which the Court will schedule a prompt hearing.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Feb. 17, 1988 Copies of order to James Irwin

52

1988

Shafer, Swick,
Bailey Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

52.

Margaret Eglinton

LOIS ETHEL COOK

vs.

KEITH L. COOK

Filed Jan. 20, 1988
@ 1:53 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
John Fuller, Mediator 100.00
9.00 ✓

Proc. Pd 3/11/88

PETITION FOR CUSTODY (UCCJA)

1 copy (atty)

ORDER: Hearing on petition set for Jan. 25, 1988, at 2:30 P.M. at same time as abuse hearing.
1/20/88 P. Richard Thomas, J.

Jan. 27, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, January 25, 1988, this custody proceeding having been set specially, to be h eard simultaneously with a Protection From Abuse proceeding on this date, but the Protection From Abuse hearing having been continued to give petitioner the opportunity to obtain service, there is no need to have an initial hearing before the Court and this custody matter is therefore returned to John Fuller, Esquire, toh old a custody mediation conference and made report to the Court. Pending the entryof an Order following the mediation conference, the minor child Toby Lee Cook shall not be removed from the jurisdictionof this Court by either party, provided that this Order shall not be deemed to prevent thechild from attending school in Erie County, Pennsylvania where he regularly attends.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Jan. 27, 1988 Copies of order to James Irwin and John Fuller

Jan. 29, 1988 Verification Of Service filed. I,LEROY CHANEY, CONSTABLE, do hereby verify that I did on the 29th day of January, 1988 at 9:00 A.M. did personally serve a certified copy of the Petition for Custody & Order of January 25, 1988, filed at A.D. 1988-52 and Petition for Protection from Abuse and Order of January 25, 1988, filed at A.D. 1988-51, upon the above named Defendant/ Respondent, Keith L. Cook, at his residence, located on Law Road, in North East, Pennsylvania.

Dated: January 29, 1988 Leroy Chaney,Constable

Mar 14, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, March 11, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, and based upon an agreement of the parties
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Toby Lee cook shall be shared between the mother and the father, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to medical records, school records and other important records, and each parent shall be entitled to tkae part in decisions affecting the child's education, religious instruction, medical treatment and other important such decisions.

II Physical custody of Toby Lee Cook shal be with his mother, Lois Ethel Cook, subject to the following conditions:

(1) The father, Keith L. Cook shall be entitled to take Toby Lee Cook into his custody every other weekend from 12:00 Noon on Friday until 9:00 a.m. on Sunday, beginning March 4, 1988. When Toby Lee begins school, presumably in September of 1988, the father shall pick the child up at 5:00 P.M. on Friday, rather than 12:00 noon.

(2) The father shall supply transportation for th child from the home of the mother on weekends, holidays, and other visits.

(3)When the father picks up the child, the mother will have the child ready so as not to require the father to enter the house where the mother is residing and during any visits when the child is in the custody of the father, the father will not take the child into taverns or himself consume alcohol.

(4) Holidays are defined as Thanksgiving, Christmas, Memorial Day, 4th of July and Labor Day. The child shall be in the home of the father in 1988 on Memorial Day, Labor Day and Christmas from 8:00 a.m. until 8:00 p.m. on such holidays. The child shall be in the home of the mother on the 4th of July and Thanksgiving. In 1989, the child shall be in the home of the mother on Memorial Day, Labor Day and Christmas and in the home of the father on the 4th of July and Thanksgiving. In subsequent years the parties shall continue to alternate having the child in their homes on the above-mentioned holidays in the same manner as provided above unless and until this Order has been changed or amended. On holidays when the child is in the home of the mother, the child shall be in the home of the father on the evening preceding such holidays from 4:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m.

(5) Beginning with the Summer of 1988, the father shall be entitled to have the child in his uninterrupted custody for two (2) one week periods, which two (2) one week periods may be consecutive, but need not be consecutive weeks. The father shall advise the mother at least thirty (30) days in advance of when he wishes to exercise such extended Summer visitations. It is contemplated that as the child grows older, that the Summer visitation schedule may be extended by agreement of the parties or in the absence of an agreement, after further mediation conference or Court hearing.

(5) Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

(6) This Order shall be a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

(7) Costs of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Mar 15, 1988 Copies of order to Margaret Eglinton, Jame Irwin and John Fuller, Esqs.

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

53.

Bozic & Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

DENNIS J. ROSS

vs.

BETSY A. ROSS

Filed Jan. 20, '1988
@ 2:01 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Pro Co fd 11/4/90	30.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 12/14/89	9.00 ✓
State pd 12/14/89	10.00

COMPLAINT FOR DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 28, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on January 21, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Betsy A. Ross by certified mail #P-547 808 327, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Betsy A. Ross on January 23, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attonrey and is attached hereto. Joan D. Mason,

Mar. 18, 1988 Appearance of Bozic & Thomas by R. Charles Thomas for Defendant filed.

June 23, 1988 Answer and Counterclaim filed. (Equitable Distribution, Alimony, Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees and Costs)

June 23, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Apr 12, 1989 Defendant's Income and Expense Statement filed.

Apr. 17, 1989 Motion For Scheduling Hearing and Order filed. And now, April 17, 1989 a Hearing in this case is set for the 28 day of April, 1989 at 1:30 o'clock P.M., in Court Room No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

P R Thomas, J

Apr. 18, 1989 Copies of order put in boxes for R. Charles Thomas and Joan Mason

***

May 1, 1989 Motion for continuance and Order filed. And now, May 1, 1989 The within Motion is allowed and hearing is set for the 30th day of May, 1989 at 2 o'clock, p.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2.

Robert L. Walker, J

May 2, 1989 Copies of order put in Joan Mason and R. Charles Thomas box.

June 1, 1989, Transcript of Testimony of Defendant Taken at Time of Hearing on Petition for Alimony Pendente Lite, counsel Fees and Costs, filed.

***

May 31, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, May 30, 1989, the matter is before the Court on a request for alimony pendente lite and counsel fees. There is an existing support Order in the Domestic Relations Section requiring spousal support inthe amount of $110.00 per week and child support for $55.00 per week. The defendant contends that he should not be required aidditional alimony pendente lite payments in addition to spousal suport, based on the fact that his earnings in 1989 are substantially less than those in 1988. the Court agrees, however the facts seem to indicate the Defendant's employment is likely to increase for the balance of 1989, and in addition, he received an hourly wage increase May 1, 1989. accordingly, on the income facts as the Court finds them, there is no justification for awarding alimony pendente lite in relation to the current spousal support Order. As fas as attorney fees are concerned, the Court DIRECTS that commencing with the month of June, 1989, the Plaintiff will pay to the Defendant the sum of $150.00 per mon on or before the 20th of each month for the months of June, July, August and September, 1989. The attorney fee Order is entered without prejudice to the Defendant to petition the Court for additional counsel fees or expenses after the month of September, 1989, and is entered without prejudice to the right of the Master or the Court to allocate total attorney fees of both parties equitably between them.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Ricahrd Thomas, P.J.

June 6, 1989 Copies of order put in Joan Mason, R. Charles Thomas and Dom Rel's box.

May 30, 1989 Exhibits filed.

Dec: 13, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 13, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec. 13, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Dec. 29, 1989 Stipulation and DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

AND NOW, this 29 day of Dec., 1989, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE : Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the PennsylvANIA Divorce Code.

2. REAL ESTATE: Defendant shall transfer to Plaintiff all of her right, title and interest in the jointly owned property located at R.D.# 2, Route 86 (Wood cock Township), Saegertown, PennsylvaNIA. Plaintiff shall assume full responsibility for the balance of the purchase price owed on said real estate, to Bert Johnson, which balance is approximately $16,500.00, and Plaintiff shall indemnify Defendant and save her harmless regarding said debt owed to Bert Johnson. Plaintiff shall also be fully responsible for anyreal estate taxes, insurance coverage, and municipal liens if any, relating to said property.

3. HOUSEHOLD CONTENTS AND PERSONAL PROPERTY: The Defendant shall retain all household contents and personal property, including appliances, with the sole exception of the following items, which shall be left with the home for Plaintiff to keep: stereo, speakers and related equipment; entertainment center which Plaintiff built; television satellite equipment; Gold Lazy

Continued on page 567

54

1988

Spadafore, Vardaro,
Walker & Johnson
Anthony J. Vardaro
William L. Walker
54.

William J. Gregg

MARY A. SMITH

vs.

GLORIA J. SMITH

a/k/a Gloria J. coleridge

Filed Jan. 21, 1988
@2:30 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 10-18-95 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

Jan 22, 1988 Acceptance of Service filed: I, William Gregg, Esquire, do hereby acknowledge receipt of a true and correct copy of the Complaint field at the above captioned term and number and certify that I now accept service of this Complaint on behalf of the Defendant, Gloria J. Smith, and I am hereby authorized to do so.

William Gregg, Esquire

Date_____

Feb. 24, 1988, Answer to Complaint and Counterclaim filed.

Mar. 8, 1988, Plaintiff's Answer to Defendant's Counterclaim filed.

July 7, 1992, Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON OCTOBER 1992 TRIAL LIST

Oct. 7, 1992 Assignement of Nonjury Trial Date. October 2, 1992, nonjury trial in the above matter is set for December 18, 1992 at 1:30 P.M., in Courtroom 1, before The Honorable Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

FOR THE COURT:
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator

Oct. 7, 1992 Copy to Attys. Walker & Gregg.

Jan 6, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Dec 18, 1992 at the time fixed for a nonjury trial in this case the plaintiff appeared with counsel, but the defendant did not even though her attorney was present.

The parties through counsel stipulate and agree that a verdict should be entered in favor of the plaintiff on her original claim against the defendant and also in favor of the plaintiff on the defendant's counterclaim.

Accordingly the Court makes the following decision:

1. A verdict is hereby rendered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of eleven thousand five hundred sicty dollars and fifty-seven cents ($11,560.57) together with cost of suit plus interest at the rate of six percent per annum from Jan. 21, 1988.

2. A verdict is hereby rendered on behalf of the plaintiff on the defendant's counterclaim and the defendant's counterclaim is hereby dismissed.

S - 207

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, p.J.

Jan. 6, 1993 copies given to Atty Walker & Gregg

And Now, oct.11, 1995, at 1:27 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in the above matter pursuant to the verdict of Dec. 18, 1992 in the amt. of $11,560.57 With int. and costs.

Judgment entered
10/11/95
S - 209
C - 71

Debt - $11,560.57

JUDGMENT ON VERDICT

Barbara H. King,
Prothonotary

1988

Jorden & White
Harry F. White, II

55.

PATRICIA B. RANSOM

vs.

BRYAN E. RANSOM

Filed Jan.21, 1988
@ 3:31 P.M.

TAx & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro co pd 6/16/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 16, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. HARRY FABER WHITE, II, ESQUIRE, attorney for plaintiff, Patricia B. Ransom in the above-captioned matter, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served the Notice to Plead, and Complaint under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code upon the defendant, Bryan E. Ransom, by certified mail, return receipt requested, on January 26, 1988 at the defendant's address, C/O Robert Ransom, R.D.#2, Springboro, Pennsylvania 16435. The defendant's acceptance of same is attached hereto. Harry Faber White, II

May 24, 1988 Affidavit of Plaintiff Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code filed.

June 14, 1988 Affidavit Of Defendant Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce filed.

June 14, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

June 27, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, June 27, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

PATRICIA B. RANSOM and BRYAN E. RANSOM

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

56

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

56.

KIMBERLY A. SMITH

vs.

MICHAEL L. SMITH

Filed Jan. 22, 1988
@ 9:43 A.M.

Indigent filing

Tax & Entry Pd 5/3/88 55.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 5/3/88 9.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 1/27/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 22, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed IN Forma Pauperis, and Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 22nd day of Jan. 1988, Kimberly A. Smith, Plaintiff in the above city divorce action, is granted leave to proceed In Forma Pauperis reserving the right to impose costs if appropriate. Plaintiff to include count for costs in complaint.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Jan. 22, 1988, copy of order toBrian Lindsay, esq.

Mar 10, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Brian Lindsay, Esquire, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 18th day of February, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee only, Return Receipt Requested to Michael L. Smith a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce with a Court for Custody at his last known address of R.D.9, Pennsylvania Ave., Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 276 200 205 and that on the 29th day of February, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 22nd day of February, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Date: 3-10-88 Brian Lindsay, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff

Mar. 14, 1988, Motion to Amend Complaint and order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, ...this 14 day of March 1988, Plaintiff's Complaint in Divorce isamended by the addition of Count III which shall read as follows:

Count III

16. Paragraphs 1 through 15 of this Compalint are incorporated by reference as if set forth in full.

17. Plaintiff in indigent and lacks funds to pay record costs in this case.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests Your Honoarable Court to impose record costs on the defendant.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar. 17, 1988, copy of order to Atty. B. Lindsay.

Apr 25, 1988 Notice of Eligibility/Retainer Agreement filed.

Oct. 6, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Oct. 6, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Jan 19, 1989 Consent Custody Agreement and Order filed: AND NOW, this 19 day of Jan, 1989, an Order is hereby entered in accord with the terms of the within Consent Custody Agreement.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J. (3 copies atty)

Jan. 27, 1989 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Feb. 7, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, February 7, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Kimberly A. Smith, plaintiff, and Michael L. Smith, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas,J

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

57.

CAROL L. CANCILLA

vs.

WILLIAM CANCILLA

Filed Jan. 22, 1988
@ 9:48 A.M.

Indigent filing
John Fuller, Mediator

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 22nd day of Jan. 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Complaint in Divorce, with a count for custody, presented by Carol L. cancilla through her attorney, Brian Lindsay, it is ordered that all parties and their counsel are to appear in person for a mediation conference before JOHN FULLER CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR at such time and place as the mediator my specify.

It is further ordered that temporary custody of theminor child, Tina Cancilla, shall be awarded tothe plaintiff, Carol L. Cancilla, until further Order ofCourt and that neither party shall remove the minor child from this jurisdiction until further Order of court.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Jan. 22, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed IN Forma Pauperis, Petition for Leave to Proceed IN Forma Pauperis, and Order of court filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 25th day of January 1988, Carol L. Cancilla, Plaintiff in the above divorce action, is granted leave to proceed In Forma Pauperis reserving the right to impose costs if appropriate.

BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 2, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, BRIAN LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Carol L. Cancilla and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 27th day of January, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested to William Cancilla a true and correct copy of the Complaint In Divorce with a Count for Custody at his last known address of R. D. 8, Denny Road, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 276 200 197 and that on the 1st day of February, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 29th day of January, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Dated 2/26/88

Brian Lindsay

Mar 4, 1988 Consent Custody Agreement and Order of Court filed: AND NOW, this 4 day of March, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing provisions of the within Consent Custody Agreement are hereby entered as an Order of this Court is Court.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Mar 8, 1988 Copies of oorder to Brian Lindsay, Esq.

Apr. 28, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 28, 1988 Notice Of Eligibility/Retainer Agreement filed.

Apr 28, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr 28, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

May 23, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, May 23, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

C A R O L L . C A N C I L L A and W I L L I A M C A N C I L L A

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

58.

KAREN S. TRAVERSY

vs.

ALPHONSE TRAVERSY

Filed Jan. 22, 1988
@ 9:51 A.M.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 22, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, and Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, and order of court filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 22nd day of Jan. 1988, Karen S. Traversy, plaintiff in the above cited action, is granted leave to proceed In Forma Pauperis reserving the right to impose costs if appropriate. BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar.2, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, Brian Lindsay, Esquire, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attoreny of record for Karen S. Traversy and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 27th day of January, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, REturn Receipt Requested to Alphone Traversy a true and correct copy ofthe Complaint In Divorce at his last known address of c/o Neva Traversy E. 11th St., Friendship Towers, Erie, Pennsylvania 16501 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 276 200 196 and that on the 1st day of February, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 29th day of January, 1988.
I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
2/26/88 Brian LIndsay

Apr. 18, 1988 Notice Of Eligibility/Retainer Agreement filed.

April 27, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 3, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 11, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

May 27, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, May 27, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

K A R E N S . T R A V E R S Y and A L P H O N S E T R A V E R S Y

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Brian Lindsay

59.

GLEN D. BEUCHAT

vs.

DIANE F. BEUCHAT

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE.

1 copy (atty)

Filed Jan. 22, 1988
@ 10:25 A.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro co pd 4/28/88 9.00 ✓

Feb. 10, 1988, Acceptance of Service filed. I, Diane F. Beuchat, defendant in the above cited divorce action, do hereby accept service of the complaint in divorce filed at the above number. Diane F. Beuchat, dft.
Date: 1/28/88

Mar. 28, 1988, Notice of Eligibility/Retainer Agreement filed.

April 25, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 28, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr. 28, 1988 Upon praecipe of PlaINTIFF To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

May 23, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, May 23, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

G L E N D . B E U C H A T . a n d D I A N E F . B E U C H A T

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

60.

MARJORIE L. BETTS

vs.

ARDEN BETTS

Filed Jan. 22, 1988
@ 10:26 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
ProCo Pd 1-22-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 22, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed. 1 copy (atty)

Feb 24, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Brian Lindsay, Esquire, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Marjorie L. Betts and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 27th day of January, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested to Arden Betts a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Plaintiff's Affidavit under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code at his last known address of 523 Arch Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P276 200 198 and that on the 29th day of January, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 28th day of January, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.§4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Date: 2-23-88

Brian Lindsay, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Feb. 24, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to Transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Mar. 9, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, March 8, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

M R J O R I E L . B E T T S and A R D E N B E T T S

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

61.

ELIZABETH A. SNYDER

vs.

BOYD A. SNYDER

Filed Jan. 22, 1988
@ 10:26 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 22, 1988 Consent Custody Agreement and Order of Court filed. AND NOW, this 22nd day of february, 1988, upon consideration ofthe foregoing provisions and upon the Agreement of the parties hereto, the provisions of the within Consent Custody Agreement are hereby entered as an Order ofthis Court.

BY THE COURT,:
Robert L. Walker, J

Feb. 22, 1988 Copy of order to Brian LIndsay

Feb 24, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Brian Lindsay, Esquire, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Elizabeth A. Snyder, and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 27th day of January, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested to Boyd A. Snyder a true and correct of the Complaint for Custody at his last known address of 9122 Miles Avenue, #2, Cleveland, Ohil 44105 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 276 200 199 and that on the 4th day of February, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 2nd day of February, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. § 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Date: 2/23/88

Brian Lindsay, Esquire
Attorney for Plaintiff

1988

Ferguson, Spataro,
& O'Shea
John F. Spataro

62.

DEBORAH L. DELP

vs.

EARL J. DAVIS

Filed Jan. 22, 1988
@ 11:24 A.M.

Tax & entry $40.50
John Fuller, Mediator
Sheriff's Costs 20.00
Mediation Costs 100.00

PETITION FOR CUSTODY

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 22nd day of January 1988, in consideration of the within Petition,JOHN FULLER, ESQ. is hereby appointed child custody mediator for this case. The said child Custody Mediator shall conduct a conference attended by the parties and their counsel at such time and place as he directs. Attendance of the children and witnesses shall be at the discretion of the mediator.

Pending a mediation hearing custody of the minor child shall be with the mother (natural), the Petitioner herein, Deborah L. Delp.

The Court having been advised that this case is a referral from the Crawford Legal Aid Office ofthe Crawford County Bar Association, the Prothonotary is directed to file the complaint without payment in advance of the filing fees and the Sheriff is directed to serve the complaint without advance payment of service costs. The court will subsequently order payment of the costs either by ordering one or both of the parties to contribute to said costs, or in the alternative, ordering that Crawford County pay for said court costs.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb. 9, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Earl J. Davis personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Petition for Custody to himon January 27, 1988 at 3:27 PM at Sheriff's Office, Room 303, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA
So answers,
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr. 4, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, April 4, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, and based upon an agreement of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Physical custody and legal custody of Crystal May Delp shall be with her mother, Deborah L. Delp, subject to the following conditions:

(a) The father, Earl J. Davis, III shall be entitled to visit the child from time to time as agreed upon between him and the mother of the child.

(b) Visits of the father with the child shall not for the present, include overnight visits, but this Order is entered without prejudice to the right of the father to request a further mediation conference or hearing at any time after one (1) year from the date hereof, for the purpose of requesting overnight visits or extended Summer visitations.

(c) Holidays are defined as Thanksgiving, Christmas and Easter. On even numbered years the father shall be entitled to have Crystal in his custody on Christmas Day from 10:00 a.m. until 5:00 p.m. On odd numbered years the father shall be entitled to have Crystal in his custody on Easter Sunday and on Thanksgiving Day from 10:00 a.m. until 5:00 p.m.

(d) Whenever the father is visiting the child or has the child in his temporary custody the father shall not consume alcohol or be under the influence of alcohol or drugs.

(e) Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any situation or person that would be harmful to the child and specifically, neither mother nor father shall take the child or permit the child to be taken to a tavern or place where intoxicating beverages are served.

(f) Niether father nor mother shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn thechild against the other parent, but on the toher hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

This Order shall be a temporary order but shall beocme a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

Costs of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

Robert L. Walker, J

Apr. 5, 1988 Copies of order to John Spataro, Earl Davis, and John Fuller

Nov. 14, 1988 Motion and ORDER filed. And now, this 14th day of November, 1988, the within Motion is granted and Crawford County to pay the service costs.

Robert L. Walker,J.

Nov. 15, 1988 Copy of Order to Atty. John Spataro and Finance Office.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Louis J. Stack

63.

ATLANTIC FARMS, A PARTNERSHIP

vs.

ROBERT SHELHAMER

Filed Jan. 22, 1988
@ 2:21 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Costs pd. 12-15-88 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT, AFFIDAVIT AND CONFESSION OF JUDGMENT-EJECTMENT

And now, Jan. 22, 1988 at 2:21 P.M., by virtue of warrant of authority contained in the agreement, Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin and Stack, by Louis J. Stack, appears for defendants and confesses judgment in ejectment against them in favor of the plaintiffs for the aforesaid premises:

Farm land consisting of one hundred twenty (120) acres more or less and all buildings located on Westford Road South Shenango Township, Crawford County Pennsylvania, as is more fully described in Crawford County Deed Book 566, page 585.

Judgment entered
Jan. 22, 1988
S - 141

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Jan. 25, 1988, Notice of Entry of Judgment mailed to defendant.

Dec. 13, 1988 Praecipe to Satisfy Judgmentfiled. AND NOW, this 10th day of Dec. 1988 comes the Plaintiff, Atlantic Farms a partnership, by one of the partners, James A. Weerner, who represents that he is authorized to sign this Satisfaction and hereby requests the Prothonotary to mark the Judgment in the above captioned matter satisfied.

James A. Werner

1988

Anthony J. Vardaro

64.

PEGGY E. SCHLOSSER

vs.

MARK D. SCHLOSSER

Filed Jan. 22, 1988
@ 2:39 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co. Paid 7/1/88	9.00
Pro Co Pd 8/1/88	5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATION AND DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 2, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Vicki Bradshaw, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the secretary to Anthony J. Vardaro, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff in this action and certifies that on January 25, 198 at 4:05 p.m. at the offices of Spadafore, Vardaro, walker & Johnson, 898 Park avenue, Meadville Pennsylvania, she hand delivered to Mark D. Schlosser a true and correct copy of the Complaint in this matter. Vicki Bradshaw

July 1, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.
July 1, 1988 AFfidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

July 1, 1988 Motion to withdraw count II of Divorce Complaint filed.

July 1, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Attorney for Plaintiff, for Review under Section 201 (c) of the Divorce Code, This case is moved to court.

July 1, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, this 1st day of July, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion the plaintiff is permitted to withdraw Count II of the Divorce Complaint pertaining to equitable property determination and distribution.

BY THE COURT,
Robert Walker, J.

July 5, 1988 Copies of Order Sent to Anthony J. Vardaro

July 25, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, July 25, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

P E G G Y E . S C H L O S S E R and M A R K D . S C H L O S S E R

is hereby dissolved adn the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Aug. 1, 1988, Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name filed. To be Known As: PEGGY E. BURNS.

1988

Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker & Johnson
~~Karen S. Johnson~~
Withdrawn
65.

Joan Mason
Brian J. Lindsay

DIANE S. FARRELL

vs.

WILLIAM FARRELL

Filed Jan. 22, 1988
@ 2:51 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
3 counts paid	45.00
Mediation Cost	$122.00
Crawford Co Pd 11/1/90	9.00
State Pd. 11/1/90	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATION AND DISTRIBUTION, CUSTODY AND/OR VISITATION, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, EXPENSES, AND COSTS OF SUIT

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 9, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Vicki Bradshaw, being duly sworn according to law, depose and says that she is the secretary to Karen S. Johnson, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff in this action and certifies that on January 26, 1988 she delivered to the POst Office in Meadville, PA for delivery to william Farrell at R.D.#9 Box 196, Meadville, PA 16335 by certified mail, return receipt requested, delivery restricted to addressee only, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in this matter for which deponent received at the time receipt for certified article no. P-547 803 560 which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Thereafter onJan. 28, 1988 it was returned to Karen S. Johnson, return receipt card bearing the same number and signed by William Farrell said return receipt card being attached hereto as Exhibit "B". Vicki Bradshaw

April 27, 1988 Motion to appoint a custody mediator and Order filed. Order: And Now, April 27, 1988 Referred to William Pineo as Custody Mediator.
Robert L. Walker, J.

April 27, 1988 copies of Order given to Karen S. Johnson, Joan Mason and William Pineo, esqs.

May 5, 1988 Motion To Withdraw as Counsel and ORDER filed. AND now, this 5th day of May, 1988, Karen S. Johnson, Esquire, is withdrawn as Counsel of Record for Diane S. Farrell, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.
P. Richard Thomas, J.
May 5, 1988 Copy of Order to Atty. Joan Mason and Karen S. Johnson, Wm. Pineo.

June 24, 1988, Appearance of Brian J. Lindsay, attorney for defendant, filed.

July 1, 1988 Temporary Order Filed. AND NOW, ths 1st day of July ,1988, after mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children. Since this is a joint custody arrangement, the parties shall share with each other any information which each may have regardingthe children's health, education, and the major events in their lives. Health care and school personnell are hereby authorized to release to either party andy and all confidential information which they may have, except for that which the children have legally requested be kept confidential from anyone.
2. The mother shall have physical custody of the subject minor children until August 6, 1988, and the father shall have physical custody of his children from August 6, 1988 to August 21, 1988. The party not having physical custody of the children shall be entitled to telephone them at reasonable times for reasonable lengths of time. During the periods mentioned, the parties shall cooperate in working out reasonable visitation arrangements, taking into account the separation of the households of the parties.
3. The Mediator has noted for the court that the father is going to look for work in the area of York, PA. Counsel for the parties will therefore inform the mediator of the Father's success and in any firm plans which he may develop. The Mediator is directed to suggest to the court a temporary order disposing of this matter by August, 15,1988.
4. The parties are directed to arrange for counseling for the children through The Center For Families in Change or some other agency at once. The Children are directed to attend the Counseling sessions. The counselor is authorized to release to the Mediator any information and recommendations which he may request.
5. Each Party will teach the children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children. Neither shall dor or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the eyes of said children.
6. During the periods covered by this order, the father shall be responsible for transporting the children as needed to carry out the custody and visitation arrangements as outlined herein.
7. Costs and fees of this mediation which are fixed at $122.00, are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment will be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.
8. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date herof. If neither party so moves, this order shall be considered permenant, except for the provision for further order set out above.

BY THE COURT
P. Richard Thomas J.

July 5, 1988 Copies of Order Sent to Joan Mason and Brian J. Lindsey andWilliam Pineo

May 1, 1989 Petition for Injunctive Relief and Order filed: AND NOW, this 1st day of May, 1989, a Rule is issued upon the Defendant to show cause why an injunction should not issue against any action Defendant might take with regard to the Spectrum Control Profit Sharing Plan.

Said Rule is returnable, the 10th day of May, 1989, in Courtroom No. 1, of the Crawford County Courthouse at 10 A.M., at which time a hearing will be held.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

May 4, 1989 Copies of order put in boxes: Attys. Mason and Lindsay.

Continued on Page 563

1988

66.

Bruce A. Barrett

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

FRED T. MILLER

Filed Jan. 22, 1988

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976

(Sections 304 and 305)

Feb. 1, 1988, Certification by the court for extended involuntary emergency treatment (Section 303) filed. The court finds that patient is severely mentally disabled and in need of treatment.(inpatient) for the court,
JOhn D. Petruso, MHRO

Feb. 17, 1988, Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

Feb. 18, 1988, Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 18 day of Feb. 1988, upon consideration ofthe petition filed at the above no. and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED AND DIRECTED that the said Fred T. Miller be committed to MMC (Grove Street) for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Feb. 19, 1988, copies of order to attys. B. Barrett, Mead. Med. Center, and Mr. Dickey, MH.

1988

Terry Toomey 67. William J. Gregg	DALE BLOOD AND ETHEL BLOOD vs. RONALD BLOOD AND CHARLENE BLOOD Filed Jan. 22, 1988 @ 4:00 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓	APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF DISTRICT JUSTICE OFFICE 30-3-01 Jan. 22, 1988, Rule Entered Upon plaintiff to file Complaint Issued. Jan. 27, 1988, Proof of Service filed. Mar. 14, 1988 Complaint filed. Mar 21, 1988 Acceptance of Service and Entry of Appearance filed: AND NOW, this 21 day of March 1988, comes William J. Gregg, Esq., and accepts service of the Plaintiff's Complaint on behalf of the Defendants, Ronald G. Blood and Charleen M. Blood, and directs the entry of his appearance on behalf of said defendants. William J. Gregg, Esq.

Berger Kapetan
Malakoff & Meyers PC
Annie Kaplan

68.

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
E. Max Weiss
for dft. Jos. Faehl

Reale, Fossee & Ferry
for Deft James McElree
James E. Kennedy

Pfaff, McIntyre,
Dugas & Hartye
~~John L. McIntyre~~
Charles H. Smith
John Beatty

Knox Graham McLaughlin
Gornall and Sennett,
Inc.
By: John W. Beatty
for dft.Charles H. Smith

Robert McDermott
for Commonwealth

Wayman, Irvin &
McAuley
Warren L. Siegfield
David T. Sarra

William C. Kuhn
for Mervin Moorhead

TIGHE, EVAN & EHRMAN
Harry M. Paras
for Edward G. Scherer

MACDONALD, ILLIG, JONES
& BRITTON
~~John M. Wolford~~
for Allegheny College
Irving Murphy

Swensen, Perer & McCandless
JOhn W. McCandless for
dft. Robert Jos. Lee

~~Knox, Graham McLaughlin
Gornall & Sennett Inc.
Mark E. Mioduszewski~~
~~for dft. Jeffrey Jorden~~

Richard L. McMillan for
dft. Wm. F. Scalise

~~Tighe Evan & Ehrman
Harry M. Paras
for dft Edward G. Scherer~~

Sherman & Picado
Elizabeth T. Winson
for dft. Jeffrey Jorden

Lewis and Stockey
William E. Stockey
for dft. Michael E Carmen

The McDonald Group
James D. McDonald, Jr.
for addit dft J P Barco Co

Panner, Autenreith, Wolford
& Rackley, PC. Dennis F.
Wolford, for City of Meadville

RICHARD MAX BOCKOL,
ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE
OF MAX ETHAN BOCKOL,
DECEASED

vs.

THE BREW CREW;
JAMES McELREE;
MICHAEL E. CARMEN;
DAVID T. SARRA;
ROBERT JOSEPH LEE;
EDWARD G. SCHERER;
CHARLES H. SMITH;
MERVIN MOORHEAD;
JEFFREY JORDEN;
WILLIAM FRANCIS SCOLISE;
JOSEPH D. FAEHL;
ALLEGHENY COLLEGE; and
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

J. P. Barco Co ,add'l dft
The City of Meadville, Add'l Dft.

Filed Jan. 25, 1988
@ 10:21 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriffs Costs	168.10
Sheriffs costs	87.20
Sheriff's Costs	21.00
Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Pro Co Pd 1/5/90	6.00
Pro Co Pd 1/5/90	1.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION
Issued (sheriff)

(Jury Trial demanded)

Feb. 10, 1988, Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma, and Schug, by E. Max Weiss, attorneys for defendant, filed.

Feb. 10, 1988, Rule Entered Upon Plaintiff to File Complaint within 20 days for suffer judgment of non pros, issued. (Atty Kaplan) (rule of defendant Joseph D. Faehl)

Feb. 12, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. And Now, Feb. 1, 1988, at 10:40 a.m. served the within summons upon Dept. of Trans. by personally handing to Dottie Kostelec, Sec'y and person in charge at time of service a true attested copy ofthe orig. summons and making known to her the contents thereof at Trans. & Safety Building, Harrisburg, Dauphin County, Penna.
So answers, William H. Livingston,
Sheriff of Dauphin County, Pa.
By: Michael Rinehard, Dep. Sheriff.
SERVED The Brew Crew by handing a copy of Summons to Edward G. Sc herer, adult person in charge at 327 Prospect Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. on Jan. 27, 1988 at 2:07 PM.
SERVED James McElree by cert. mail at 418 Beach Avenue, Annapolis, Maryland 21403 on Jan. 28, 1988, as per ret. rec. hereto attached.
SERVED James McElree by cert. mail at 7539 Axton St, Springfield, Va. 22151 on Feb. 2, 1988, as per ret. rec. hereto attached.
NOT FOUND, as to dft. Michael E. Carmen. Moved to 362 Riverside Drive, Apt.3B-6 New York, NY 10025.
NOT FOUND as to defendant David T. Sarra. Moved to 1419 Graham Avenue, Monessen, Pa. 15062.
SERVED Robert Joseph Lee on Jan. 27, 1988, at 2:03 P.M. by handing a true copy ofSummons to Edward Scherer, adult in charge of residence at 327 Prospect St., Meadville, crawford County, Pa.
SERVED Edward G. Scherer personally on Jan. 27, 1988 at 2:01 PM at his residence 327 Prospect St, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. 16335.
SERVED Charles H. Smith on Jan. 27, 1988 at 2:02 PM by handing copy of Summons to Edward Scherer, adult in charge of residence at 327 Prospect St, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. 16335
SERVED Mervin Moorhead on Jan. 27, 1988 at 2:05 PM by handing a true copy ofthe Summons to Edward Scherer, adult in charge of residence at 327 Prospect St, Meadville, Crawfor dCounty, Pa. 16335.
SERVED Jeffrey Jordon on Jan. 27, 1988, at 2:06 P.M. by handing a TRUE copy of Summons to Edward Scherer, adult in charge of residence at 327 Prospect Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. 16335.
SERVED Joseph D. Faehl by certified mail at Box 362 Rt. 85, Andover, Ohio 44003 on JAN. 29, 1988, as per return receipt hereto attached.
NOT FOUND as to defendant William F. Scolise. Moved to 11760 Frieda Drive, N. Huntington, Pa. 15642.
SERVED Commonwealth of Pa. Dept. of Transportation by deputising the Sheriff of Dauphin County, Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 2-1-88.
SERVED Allegheny College by handing a copy of Summons to Peter Hatavec, student worker in charge of office, North Main Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. on Jan. 27, 1988 at 1:25 P.M.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 16, 1988 , Feb 12, 1988 Service of Rule Accepted by Annie Kaplan, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiffs.

Feb 25, 1988 Appearance of Rale, Fossee & Ferry by James E. Kennedy, Esquire for Defendant James McElree filed.

Feb. 29, 1988, Writ Reissued.

Mar. 10, 1988 Appearance of Pfaff, McIntyre, Dugas & Hartye by John L. McIntyre for Charles H. Smith filed.

Mar. 11, 1988 Appearance of Robert T. McDermott for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Transportation filed.

Mar. 11, 1988 Upon praecipe of Robert T. McDermott Rule To File Complaint and against Plaintiffs. Issued.

Mar. 25, 1988Appearance of Knox Graham McLaughlin gornall and Sennett, INc by John W. Beatty for Charles H. Smith. filed.

Mar. 28, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: Before me, the undersigned authority , personally appeared Gino Ferrari, Dep. Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 11th day of March, 1988, at 12:15 pm, he served Reissued Writ of Summons in Civil Action=Law, filed at No. AD 68- 1988, Crawford County, Pa., upon defendant William Francis Scolise at his residence, 11760 Frieda Drive, North Huntingdon, Westmoreland Co. Pa. By making known the contents thereof to William Francis Scolise personally and handing to and leaving with him the cerrified copy of the Reissued Writ received from Crawford Co.
Gino Ferrari, Dep. Sheriff
So answers, Regis J. Kelley, Sheriff, Westmoreland C o.
Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Andrew Rendos, Dep. Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 9th day of March, 1988, at 1:30 p.m.,
he served Reissued Writ of Summons in Civil Action-Law, filed at No. AD 68 - 1988, Crawford Co. Pa., upon defendant David T. Sarra, at his residence, 1419 Graham Ave., Monessen Westmoreland Co. Pa.

Continued on page 68A

B y making known the contents thereof to David T. Sarra personally and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Reissued Writ received from Crawford Co.

Andrew Rendos, Dep. Sheriff
So answers, Regis J. Kelley, Sheriff, Westmoreland Co.

SERVED by mailing by certified mail, March 8, 1988,a true and attested copy of the within Summons to Michael E. Carmen, 362 Riverside Drive, Apt 3B-6, New York, NY 10025, the return receipt attached and made a part of this return.
SERVED David T. Sarra within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Westmoreland Co.,. Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: March 9, 1988
SERVED William Francis Scolise within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Westmoreland Co., Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: March 11, 1988.
Howar d N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 9, 1988 Complaint In A Civil Action filed.

May 10, 1988 Certificate of Service filed: I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the Plaintiff's Complaint in Civil Action was forwarded to all Defendants on the 9th day of May, 1988, as follows:

James E. Kennecy, Esquire
REALE FOSSEE & FERRY, PC.
425 Two Mellon Bank Center
Pittsburgh, Pa 15219
Attorney for Defendant McElree
Hand-Delivered

E. Max Weiss, Esquire
911 Diamond Park
Meadville, Pa 16335
Attorney for Defendant, Faehl
Hand-Delivered

The Brew Crew, Defendant
Robert Joseph Lee, Defendant
Edward G. Scherer, Defendant
Mervin Moorhead, Defendant
Jeffrey Jorden, Defendant
327 Prospect Street
Meadville, Pa 16335
U. S. Mail, First Class, Postage Pre-Paid
AND Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested

David T. Sarra, Defendant
1419 Graham Avenue
Monessen, Pa 15062
U. S. Mail, First Class, Postage Prepaid,
AND Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requeste

Allegheny College, Defendant
320 West College Street
Meadville, Pa 16335
U.S. Mail, First Class, Postage Pre-Paid
AND Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested

John W. Beatty, Esquire
120 West Tenth Street
Erie, Pa 16501
Attorney for Defendant Smith
U.S. Mail, First Class, Postage Pre-paid

Robert T. McDermott, Esquire
Deputy Attorney General
Tort Litigation Unit
4th Floor, Manor Building
564 Forbes Avenue
Pittsburgh, Pa 15219
Attorney for Defendant Commonwealth of Pa
Department of Transportation
Hand Delivered

Michael E. Carmen, Defendant
362 Riverside Drive, Apt. 3B-6
New York, NY 10025
U. S. Mail, First Class, Postage Pre-Paid
AND Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested

William F. Scolise, Defendant
11760 Frieda Drive
North Huntingdon, Pa 15642
U.S. Mail, First Class, Postage Prepaid
AND Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested

BERGER KAPETAN MALAKOFF
& MEYERS, PC.
Annie Kaplan, Esquire
Attorney for Plaintiff

May 16, 1988 Notice Of Taking Deposition on Oral Examinationof John Vanstrom and Chaeles H. Smith filed.

May 18, 1988 Appearance of Wayman, Irwin & McAuley by Warren L. Siegfield for David T. Sarra filed.

May 18, 1988, Notice of Depositions of Robert Jos. Lee, Edward G. Scherer, Mervin Moorhead, Jeffrey Jorden, filed.

May 19, 1988 Appearance of William C. Kuhn, Esq. for Mervin Moorhead filed.

May 20, 1988 Appearance of MacDonald, Illigm Jones & Britton by John M. Wolford, Esq. for Defendant Allegheny College filed.

May 23, 1988 Motion for Protective Order and Order filed: Denied, but Scherer will not have to appear at such times he is required to be in class or taking a final examination. Schedule around student's college requirements.
5/23/88 P. R. Thomas, P.J.

May 23, 1988 Copies of order to Attorneys of record.

May 25, 1988, Appearance of Swensen, Perer & McCandless, by John W. McCandless, attorneys for defendant Robert Joseph Lee, filed.

May 25, 1988, Appearance of Tighe, Evan & Ehrman, by Harry M. Paras, attorneys for dft. Edward G. Scherer, filed.

May 25, 1988, Appearance of Richard L. McMillan, attorney for defendant William Francis Scalise (Scolise) filed.

May 25, 1988, Appearance of Knox Graham McLaughlin Gornall and Sennet, Inc. by Mark e. Mioduszewski, attorneys for defendant Jeffrey Jorden, filed.

Continued on next page

May 31, 1988, Preliminary Objections of dft. Com. of Pa. Dept. of Trans., filed.

May 31, 1988, UPon praecipe of dft. Com. of Pa. Dept. of Trans, preliminary objections placed on next argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR JUNE 1988

May 31, 1988 Preliminary Objections of Defendant, Joseph D. Faehlto Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

June 1, 1988, Upon praecipe of attorney for defendant Joseph D. Faehl, Preliminary Objections placed on June Argument List.

June 1, 1988, Certificate of Service filed.

June 6, 1988 Appearance of Knox Graham McLaughlin Gornall and Sennett, Inc, by Mark E. Mioduszewski, Esq. for Jeffrey Jorden is hereby withdrawn.

June 8, 1988 Notice of Deposition of John Miller, Lisa Yencer, and Kent MacFarlane filed.

June 9, 1988, Appearance of Lewis and Stockey, by William E. Stockey, attorney for defendant Michael E. Carmen, filed.

June 9, 1988, Appearance of Sherman & Picadio by Elizabeth T. Winson, attorneys for defendant Jeffrey Jorden, filed.

June 10, 1988, Upon praecipe of John L. McIntyre, and JOhn Beatty, appearance of John L. McIntyre, withdrawn.

June 10, 1988 2:59 P.M. Notice of Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents Filed

June 10, 1988 2:59 P.M. Request for Production of Documents and Things Filed.

June 13, 1988 11:01 A.M. Motion of Jeffrey Jorden to Eliminate the Necessity for responsive pleadings to new matters pursuant to 2252 (d) filed.

June 13, 1988 @ 11:01 A.M. Upon praecipe of Defendant Jeffrey Jorden above motion placed on next argument list.

ON JULY1988 ARGUMENT LIST

June 14, 1988 @ 10:34 A.M. Answer and new matter of Allegheny College Filed.

June 16, 1988 Preliminary Objections of Defendant Jeffrey Jordan Filed.

June 16, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Defendant Jeffrey Jordan Preliminary Objections placed on next argument list.

June 15, 1988 Preliminary Objections of Defendant to Complaint of Defendant Mervin Moorhead filed.

June 15, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Defendant Mervin MoorheadPreliminary Objections to Complaint placed on next argument list.

June 17, 1988 Certification of Service of Request for Production filed.

June 20, 1988, Upon praecipe of defendant Jeffrey Jorden, writ to join J. B. Barco Co. as additional defendant, issued.

June 20, 1988 Joinder In Preliminary Objections and Request For Similar Relief filed.

June 21, 1988 Joinder In Preliminary Objections and Request For Similar Relief filed.

June 22, 1988, Joinder in Preliminary Objections and Request for Similar Relief filed on Behalf of Defendant MIchael E. Carmen, filed.

June 22, 1988 Amended Complaint filed.

June 23, 1988 Preliminary Objection To Compalint of robert Joseph Lee filed.

June 24, 1988, Joinder in Preliminary Objections and Request for Similar Relief, on behalf of defendant Edward G. Scherer, filed.

June 27, 1988 Amended Complaint filed.

June 27, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED J. B. Barco co. within named defendant on June 22, 1988 at 10:02 a.m. by handing a true copy of the within Writ to Join Additional Defendant to Lee Jamison, Secretary, and person in charge at their place of business, 292 Pine Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA
So answers,
Edgar D. Householder, deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 28, 1988 Order Filed. AND NOW, June 27, 1988, at the time of argument fixed on preliminary objections, Sherman & Picadio, counsel for Jeffrey Jorden, having moved the Court for an Order as hereinafter set forth relating to the joinder of contribution claims and the possiblility of indemnity claims, and all counsel present having agreed to the Order, the following order is Entered: It appearing to the Court that Plaintiff, Richard Max Bockol, Administrator of the Estate of max Ethan Bockol, instituted the above-captioned wrongful death and survival action to seek recovery of damages arising from the death of Max Ethan Bockol, which resulted from a motor vehicle accident that occured on January 26, 1986, at the intersection of North Main St. (RT 86) and East Prospect St. in the City of Meadville,Crawford Co., PA, and it appearing to the Court that in such cases with multiple defendants, said defendants have typically and routinely filed claims by way of New Matter Pursuant to Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure 2252 (d) for contribution against each other in generally stated language to which responsive pleadings have been filed in generally stated language thereby producting pleadings which could be eliminated by an appropriate Order of Court, it is hereby Ordered as follows:

1. All defendants and additional defendants presently named or hereafter named in this action shall be deemed to have filed claims by way of New Matter Pursuant to Rule 2252 (d) for contribution against each other and to have filed replies to all such claims, deny such claims for contribution, and that said parties need not file individual new Matters pursuant to rule 2252 (d) or replies thereto.

2. In the above-pending case, all claims for indemnity are dismissed; all defendants who wish to seek indemnity are hereby granted until one hundred twenty (120) days following the filing of the last answer by a defendant or additional defendant to amend their New Matter to seek indemnity; however, such claim for jindemnity must speciffically name the defendant (s) against whom indemnity is sought. Defendants against whom indemnity may be shought shall plead thereto, if they shoose, within thirty (30) days of the service of the pleading claiming indemnity; however, each such indemnity shall be deemed to be denied without necessity of a responsive pleading being made.

Continued to Pg. 68-C.

3. In all of the above-type cases filed hereafter, claims if any, for indemnity must be plead consistently with the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

4. Any party undrepresented by counsel at the argument held this day may remove him, her, or itself from the effect of this Order by notice to the Court within twenty (20) days of this date with copy of such notice to all other counsel of record.

5. The court has this day also discussed with counsel any need for disqualification because of the fact, as indicated in the Court's original letter, that a relative of the Presiding Judge has in the past at least been employed by the firm representing Plaintiff, and all parties present have indicated they will not request for a recusal by the time of this argument, we will assume that there is no objection to the undersigned continuing in the trial of this matter.

6. A further order will be entered on the various issues raised by preliminary objections and forwarded to all Counsel.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT: ROBERT WALKER, JUDGE

June 29, 1988 Copies of Order Sent to all Counsel

July 7, 1988 New Matter Under Rule 2252(d) filed.

July 25, 1988, Appearance of The McDonald Group, by James D. McDonald, Jr., attorneys for additional defendant, J. P. Barco Co., filed.

July 25, 1988, Upon praecipe of attorneys for additional defendant, J. P. Barco Co., Rule entered upon defendatn Jeffrey Jorden to file Complaint, Issued. (atty)

Aug 4, 1988 Service of Rule Accepted by Elizabeth T. Winson, Esq.

Aug 5, 1988 Complaint to Join filed on behalf of Def. Jeffrey Jordan filed.

August 8, 1988 Preliminary Objections to amended Complaint in the Nature of a Motion To Strike of Harry M. Paras, Counsel for Def. Edward G. Sherer filed.

August 8, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Harry M. Paras Atty for Edward G. Sherer, This case is placed upon the next available argument list.

Aug. 11, 1988 Joinder In Preliminary Objections and Request For Similiar Relief filed.

Aug 12, 1988 Writ to Join The City of Meadville as Additional Defendant issued.

Aug 15, 1988 Answer, New Matter & New Matter Under 2252(d) filed.

August 16, 1988 Joinder in Prliminary Objections and Rquest for Similar Relief on behalf of Rboert Joseph Lee Filed.

Aug. 19, 1988 Oral Depositions of: John Miller, Lisa Yencer and KentMcFarland filed.

Aug. 19, 1988 Ora l Depositions of: Jeffery Jordan, Mervin Moorhead, and Kelly Vanstrom filed.

Aug. 19, 1988 Joinder in Preliminary Objections and Request for Similar Relief filed.

A ug. 22, 1988 Joinder in Preliminary Objections and Request for Similar Relief on behalf of Michael E. Carmen, Defendant filed.

Aug. 23, 1988 Joinder in Preliminary Objections and Request for Similar Relief on behalf of David T. Sarra, filed.

Aug. 24, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED City of Meadville, within named Additional Defendant, on August 18, 1988 at 1:48 PM by handing a true copy of the within Writ to Join Additional Defendant to Ronald Rushton, City Clerk, at their place of business, 984 Water Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA 16335.
So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 25, 1988 Joinder In Preliminary Objections and request For Similar Relief filed.

On Argument List for Sept. 1988

Sept. 12, 1988, Joinder in Preliminary Objections and Request for Similar Relief filed on behalf of James McElree, dft., filed.

Sept. 12, 1988 Appearance of Panner, Autenreith, Wolford & Rackley, P.C. by Dennis F. Wolford, attorneys for additional Defendant, The City of Meadville, filed.

Sept. 15, 1988 Joinder in Preliminary Objections and request for Similar Relief filed.

Sept. 19, 1988, Joinder in Preliminary objections and Request for Similar Relief, of add'l dft. J. P. Barco Co. filed.

Sept. 27, 1988 Notice Of Deposition of Defendant William Francis Scalise filed.

Sept. 29, 1988 Answer and New Matter To Complaint To Join of J. P. Barco filed.

Sept 30, 1988 Amended Notice of Deposition of Defendant William Francis Scalise filed.

Oct. 7, 1988, Notice of Deposition of Defendant Joseph D. Faehl, filed.

Oct. 14, 1988, Notice of Service of Interrogatories Directed to Defendant, J.P. Barco Company, filed.

Oct. 14, 1988, Interrogatoreis Directed to Additional Defendant, J.P. Barco Company, filed.

Nov. 16, 1988 Notice of Deposition ofLynne Mulhauser filed.

Nov. 18, 1988 Verification to be Attached to Complaint in a Civil Action filed.

Nov., 18, 1988 Verification to be attached To Amended Complaint in a Civil Action filed.

Continued on Next Page

Nov. 23, 1988, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER Filed. ORDER: AND NOW, November 22, 1988, the Preliminary Objections in the nature of a motion for more specific pleading and/or a motion to strike relating to subparagraphs 28(w), 29(n) and 30(u) of the plaintiffs Amended Complaint are sustained, and the plaintiff is granted 20 days in which to file amendments as to those paragraphs.

The Preliminary Objections relating to the liability of the Commonwealth in a wrongful death action is reserved for further decision.

The Preliminary Objections in the nature of a motion to strike the Brew Crew as a party defendant are overruled.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L Walker, J.

Nov. 23, 1988 copies mailed to all counsel by Gretchen, Judge's Sec.

68-D-

Nov 23, 1988 Answers to Interrogatories Directed to Additional Defendant, J.P. Barco Company filed.

Nov. 30, 1988, Return of Service of Subpoena served on Lynne Mulhauser, filed.

Dec. 1, 1988 Notice of Service of Interrogatories and Requests for Production directed to Plaintiff,Richard Max Bockol filed.

Dec. 2, 1988 Answer , New Matter and Counterclaim filed. (Charles H. Smith)

Dec 5, 1988 Deposition of Joseph D. Faehl filed.

Dec. 7, 1988 Deposition of William Francis Scalise filed.

Dec. 28, 1988, Reply to New Matter, filed on behalf of defendant Edward G. Scherer, filed.

Dec. 28, 1988, Answer, New Matter and New Matter Under Rule 2252(d) filed on behalf of defendant, Edward G. Scherer, filed.

Jan. 3, 1988, Upon praecipe of counsel for additional defendant The City of Meadville, Rule entered upon defendant Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Transportation, to file Complaint to Join Additional Defendant, The City of Meadville, Issued.

Jan. 11, 1989 Notice Of Deposition of Chuck Soldano filed.

Jan. 11, 1989 Notice Of Taking deposition of David Nelson filed.

Jan 12, 1989 Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim of Defendant Michael Carmen filed.

Jan 17, 1989, Answer of Defendant, Mervin Moorhead, to Amended Complaint & New Matter filed.

Jan. 18, 1989 Deposition of Lynne Mulhauser filed.

Jan. 20, 1989, Affidavit of Service filed. Rule to File Complaint to Join served on Robert T. McDermott, Com. of Pa. atty. on Jan. 10, 1989.

Jan. 23, 1989 Complaint To Join Additional Defendant, City of Meadville filed.

Jan. 23, 1989 Answer and New Matter of Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Transportation filed.

Jan. 25, 1989, Answer, New Matter and New Matter Under Rule 2252(d) filed. (of dft. David T. Sarra)

Jan. 27, 1989 Verifications of Brian S. Yedinak filed.

Jan. 27, 1989 Answer and New Matter and New Matter Under Rule 2252(d) filed.

Feb 1, 1989 Reply to New Matter of Defendant David T. Sarra filed.

Feb 1, 1989 Reply to New Matter of Defendant Allegheny College filed.

Feb 1, 1989 Reply to New Matter of Defendant Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Transportation filed.

Feb 1, 1989 Reply to New Matter of Defendant Edward G. Scherer filed.

Feb 1, 1989 Reply to New Matter of Defendant Mervin Moorhead filed.

Feb 2, 1989 Answer, New Matter and New Matter Under Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure 2252(d) (Jeffrey Jorden) filed.

Feb. 3, 1989, Answer and New Matter of Add' Dft. City of Meadville, to Complaint to Join filed.

Feb. 3, 1989, Reply of Additionald Defendan, city of Meadville, to New Matter Under Rule 2252(d) of Defendant Edward G. Scherer, filed.

Feb. 3, 1989, Answer, New Matter and New Matter Under 2252(d), of defendant James McElree, filed.

Feb. 3, 1989, Certificate of Service of Defendant Answer and New Matter and New Matter Under Rule 2252(d), served by John W. McCandless, attorney for defendant Robert Joseph Lee, filed.

Feb. 3, 1989, Certificate of Mailing of Answer and New Matter and New Matter under Rule 2252(d) - served by attorney for defendant Robert Jos. Lee, John W. McCandless, filed.

Feb. 3, 1989, Certificate of Mailing of Asnwer and New Matter and New MaTTER Under Rule 2252(d) - service by John W. McCandless, attorney for defendant Robert Jos. Lee, filed.

Feb. 6, 1989, Reply of Additional Defendant, City of Meadville to New Matter Under Rule 22529(d) of Defendant James McElree, filed.

Feb. 6, 1989, Reply of Additional Defendant, city of Meadville to New Matter Under Rule 2252(d) of defendant Jeffrey Jorden, filed.

Feb. 21, 1989 Answer and New Matter Of Allegheny college To Plaintiff's Amended Complaint filed.

Feb. 23, 1989 Notice Of Serving INterrogatories To Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 27, 1989, New MaTTER OF MIchael E. Carmen to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Continued on Page 68E

Mar 1, 1989, Additional Defendant City of Meadville's Reply to New Matter Under Pa. R.C.P. 2252(d) filed.

Mar. 3, 1989 Reply To New Matter Of Additional Defendant City of Meadville filed.

Mar. 3, 1989 Reply To New Matter of Defendant Jeffrey Jorden filed.

Mar. 3, 1989 Reply To New Matter of Defendant James McElree filed.

Mar. 3, 1989 Reply To New Matter filed.

Mar. 6, 1989 Reply To New Matter Under Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure 2252(d) of Additional Defendant City of Meadville filed.

Mar 16, 1989 Certificate of Service of Motion to Compel Discovery filed.

Mar. 17, 1989 Motion To Amend Answer To Complaint To Join Filed By Defendant Jeffrey Jorden and Order filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 17th day of March, 1989, upon consideration of the Motion of Defendant, J. P. Barco Company, it is hereby ORDERED ADJUDGED, and DECREED that Additional Defendant, J? P. Barco Company, shall have twenty (20) days from today's date in which to file a Motion to Amend Answer to Complaint to Join filed by Defendant, Jeffrey Jorden.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 17, 1989 copy attested for The McDonald Group.

Mar. 17, 1989 Amended Answer and New Matter To Complaint to Join filed.

Mar 20, 1989 Motion to Compel Discovery and Order filed: NOW, TO WIT, this 20th day of Mar., 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, it is hereby ordered that the Plaintiff shall file Answers to Interrogatories and responses to the Requests for Production Directed to the Plaintiff on November 30, 1989 within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order or suffer sanctions.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar 20, 1989 Copies of order to Attys of record.

Mar. 21, 1989 Notice Of Service Of Interrogatories filed.

Mar. 23, 1989 Reply of Defendant, Joseph Faehl, to New Matter of Defendant, Jeffrey Jorden filed.

Mar. 23, 1989 reply of Defendant, Joseph Faehl, to New Matter of defendant, Allegheny College filed.

Apr. 14, 1989 Motion To Compel Production of Documents filed.

Apr. 17, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, April 17, 1989, argument is set on the Motion of defendant Scherer for production of documents for May 2, 1989 at 2:00 o'clock P.M. in Courtroom 2, Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania. It shall be the responsibility of counsel for Edward G. Scherer to serve a copy of this Order on all other counsel of record and upon counsel for the witness, Lynne Mulhauser. All parties may attend the argument and by heard fi they so desire, Any party who does not oppose the motion shall not be required to attend the argument, and nay party not appearing will be presumed to consent to the motion. If all parties of record and the witness have filed with the Court their consent to the motion prior to the time of argument, the argument will be cancelled.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Apr. 17, 1989 Copy mailed to Atty Paras

Apr. 20, 1989 Joinder in Edward Scherer's Motion To Compel Production of Documents and Order filed. And now,April 20, 1989 see order entered April 17, 1989. Walker, J 2 copies atty.

Apr. 24, 1989 Notice Of Service Of Interrogatories To Defendant, City of Meadville, (first set) filed.

Apr. 24, 1989 Notice of Service Of Interrogatories To Defendant, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Transportation (first set) filed.

Apr. 24, 1989 Notice Of Service Of Interrogatories To Defendant, Allegheny College (first set) filed.

Apr. 24, 1989 Notice Of Service Of INterrogatories To Defendant, Joseph D. Faehl (first set) filed.

Apr. 24, 1989 Notice Of Service of Interrogatories To Defendant, Jeffrey Jorden (first set) filed.

Apr. 24, 1989 Notice of Service of Interrogatories To Defendant, Mervin Moorhear, (First Set) filed.

Apr. 24, 1989 Notice of Service Of Interrogatories To Defendant, Charles H. Smith, (First Set) filed.

Apr. 24, 1989 Notice Of Service Of Interrogatories To Defendant, Edward G. Scherer (first set) filed.

Apr. 24, 1989 Notice of Service of Interrogatories To Defendant, David T. Sarra, (first set) filed.

Apr. 24, 1989 Notice Of Service of Interrogatories To Defendant, Michael E. Carmen (first set) filed.

Apr. 24, 1989 Notice Of Service Of Interrogatories To Defendant, James McElree (first set) filed.

Apr. 24, 1989 Notice Of Service of Interrogatories To Plaintiff (first set) filed.

Apr. 24, 1989 Notice of Service of Interrogatories To Defendant, Robert Joseph Lee (first set) filed.

Apr. 26, 1989, Reply to New Matter of Defendant Allegheny College to Plaintiff's amended Complaint filed.

Apr. 26, 1989 Reply to New Matter of Additional Defendant J. P. Barco Company, filed.

Continued on page 68 F

68
-F-

1988

May 3, 1989 Mottion For Sanctions Filed On Behalf Of Defendant Allegheny College filed.

May 3, 1989 Motion For Sanctions filed.

May 3, 1989 Notice of Presentation of Motion For Sanctions filed.

May 3, 1989 Certificate of Service of Motion To Compel Production of Documents filed.

May 3, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, May 2, 1989 the following Order is entered on the various discovery matters presently pending before the Court:

1. Lynne Mulhauser, who was a witness subpoenaed for a deposition in this matter for discovery purposes and who was apparently the fiancee of the decedent is ORDERED and DIRECTED to produce the originals of the letters referred to in the motion to compel production of documents, being letters written by plaintiff to plaintiff's decedent during his lifetime at the offices of her counsel, Stuart Savage, Law & Finance Building, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, at a time to be agreed upon between counsel for the defendants, counsel for the plaintiff and counsel for the witness during normal working hours and within twenty (20) days of this date. The Petitioner shall serve a copy of this Order upon Stuart Savage. All counsel in this proceeding may photocopy the letters at their own expense and the originals shall then be returned to the said Lynne Mulhauser. The fact that discovery is being allowed does not necessarily mean that those documents will be admissible at the time of trial.
2. The plaintiff shall respond to the Interrogatories served upon him by the defendant Allegheny College within thirty (30) days of this date or appropriate sanctions will be entered.
3. The request for production filed by the defendant Lee have been responded to this date and that motion for sanctions is thus moot, unless after review, it appears that the production is not complete, in which case, defendant Lee may apply for further sanctions.
4. The Plaintiff shall file appropriate Answers to Interrogatories served by defendant Lee within twenty (20) days of this date of the Court will consider appropriate sanctions.

The prothonotary shall furnish a copy of this Order to all counsel of record.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

May 5, 1989 Copies of order mailed to all counsel of record

May 12, 1989 Notice of Depositions of Mr. & Mrs. Richard Max Bockol filed.

May 17, 1989 Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

May 25, 1989 Certification Of Service Of Interrogatories filed.

June 5, 1989 Motion to Compel Discovery filed.

Juane 5, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Defendant Lee's Attorney, this case is placed on the Argument List.

June 9, 1989 Motion for Summary Judgment of Defendant, James McElree filed.

June 9, 1989 Upon Praecipe of attorney for Defendant McElree, this case placed on the JULY, 1989, ARGUMENT LIST.

On Argument List for July 1989

July 3, 1989, J. P. Barco Company's New Matter Under Rule 2252(d) filed.

July 7, 1989 Defendant's (Michael Carmen) Reply To J. P. Barco Company's New Matter Under Rule 2252(d) filed.

Aug. 17, 1989 Defendant's (Jeffrey Jorden) Reply to J. P. Barco Company's New Matter Under Rule 2252(D) filed.

Sept. 1, 1989 Notice Of Depositions of Mr. & Mrs. Richard Max Bockol filed.

Oct. 10, 1989, Motion to Compel Deposition filed.

Oct. 18, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, October 18, 1989, at the request of various parties, a settlement conference is scheduled in this matter for October 31, 1989 at 2:30 o'clock P.M. prevailing time, in Courtroom No. 2, Courthouse, Meadville, PA. Counsel for all parties with authority concerning settlement shall be present for the settlement conference

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Oct. 18, 1989 Court mailed copies to all parties.

Oct. 19, 1989 Motion for Summary Judgment filed.

Oct. 26, 1989 Motion For Protective Order filed.

Nov. 1, 1989 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 31, 1989, the following Orders are entered in relation to various preliminary matters:

1. The motion for summary judgment filed on behalf of William Francis Scalise (named in Complaint as Scolise) is granted as not being opposed by any party, and he is deleted as a Defendant in this action.
2. With the consent of the Plaintiff, the motion of Defendant Jeffrey Jordan to compel responses to requests for production of documents is granted as follows: Plaintiff shall furnish the Lower Merion High School records from various part time or summer employments,which Plaintiff's decedent had from the decedent's employers within thirty (30) days of this date.
3. The motion of Edward G. Scherer to compel the deposition of the mother of the Plaintiff's decedent, Ane Bockol, is granted, and her deposition may be scheduled in Crawford County at a date that is mutually satisfactory and within thirty (30) days of this date. The Defendant Scherer shall pay reasonable plane fare and transportation costs from Philadelphia to Meadville and return.

Record Continued on
Page 68 (G).....

Richard Max Bockol,
Administrator of the Estate
of Max Ethan Bockol,Deceased

VS.

The Brew Crew, and others

Record Continued from Page 68 (F)

OF

AD 1988 - 68

****

The Court previously heard arguments on various preliminary matters on July 31, 1989. We were under the impression that at least some part of those had been disposed of and have not been advised that they have. The motion for sanctions on behalf of Allegheny College was disposed of on that dated with the Plaintiff voluntarily agreeing to complete the answers, and we are now advised that has been done. The Plaintiff also volunteered to answer the Moorehead interrogatories and request for production, and we are advised that that has been done.

Remaining for disposition for the Court from the July 31st arguments are the following two (2) matters:

1. Plaintiff's objections to interrogatories 5a and b filed on behalf of Robert J. Lee.
2. The motion for summary judgment filed on behalf of Defendant James McElree.

By Order Of The Court,
Robert L Walker, Judge

Nov. 1, 1989 Court mailed copies to all counsel.

Dec 19, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, December 18, 1989, the Court having been advised that a settlement has been reached in the above case and having entered an Order approving the settlement in the wrongful death action, no further action will be taken on any remainign matters pending before the Court.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Dec 19, 1989 Copies of order given or mailed to all counsel of record, by Judge Walker's Secretary

Dec 19, 1989 Order of Court filed: AND NOW, to-wit, this 18th day of December 1989, it is hereby ORDERED that leave is granted to Plaintiff to settle and discontinue all claims for wrongful death in this action for the amount of One Hundred Eighty-eight Thousand ($188,000) Dollars.

it is further ORDERED that all claims set forth in Count II of Plaintiff's Complaint entitled "Survival Action" are hereby discontinued..

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Jan. 2, 1990, Motion for Sanctions filed on Behalf of Defendant Allegheny College filed.

Jan. 2, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record.

68
-H-

1988

1988

William J. Gregg 69.	JACQUELIN R. BRAYMER vs. RICHARD B. BRAYMER Filed Jan. 25, 1988 @ 10:35 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Pro co pd 5/6/88 9.00 ✓	COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE 1 copy (atty) Jan. 27, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. William J. Gregg, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is an adult over the age of eighteen (18) years; that he served the Complaint in Divorce filed in the above matter on Richard B. Braymer personally by handing to him a true and correct copy of thereof and informing him of its contents at King's Family Restaurant, 1266 Conneaut Lake Road, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335 on Tuesday, January 26, 1988, at 12:00 PM. Deponent further avers that at the time of said service the said Richard B. Braymer identified himself to deponent. William J. Gregg May 6, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed. May 6, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed. May 6, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the divorce Code, this case moved to court. May 27, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .** AND NOW, May 27, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofor existing between J A C Q U E L I N R . B R A Y M E R and R I C H A R D B . B R A Y M E R is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated. BY ORDER OFTHE COURT, Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Feeman and Feather
Robert W. Feeman

70.

TITUSVILLE AGWAY COOP. INC.

vs.

PAUL E. KUNZ
JOHN E. KUNZ
CYNTHIA L. KUNZ d/b/a
DOLLY RUN FARM

Filed Jan. 25, 1988
@ 10:47 A.M.

Pro Simmons Paid	$15.00
plff on orig	19.50
Sheriff's Costs	48.27
Pro Co Pd 3-7-88	9.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

DSB 1983 - 206

Writ of revival of judgment indexed against defendants in amount of Twenty One Thousand Six Hundred Ninety One and 59/100 Dollars with int. from Mar. 16, 1983.
Debt.....$21,691.59
Int. from.....Mar. 16, 1983
K - 133, 93, 33, 181..JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL
James F. Simmons, Pro.

Issued (sheriff)

Jan. 25, 1988, Appearance of Feeman & Feather, by Robert W. Feeman, attorneys for plaintiffs, filed.

Feb. 10, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED Paul E Kunz within named defendant on February 2, 1988 at 11:50 AM by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival to John Kunz, adult member of the family with whom he resides, namely his son, at their residence, R.D.1, Centerville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Cynthinia Kunz within named defendant on February 2, 1988 at 11;51 AM by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revial to John Kunz, adult member of the family with whom she resides, namely her husband, at their residence, R.D. #1, Centerville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED John Kunz personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival to him on February 2, 1988 at 11:53 AM at his residence, R.D.#1, Centerville, Crawford County,PA
So answers,
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sher.
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Mar 7, 1988 @ 12:49 A.M., Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, with notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendants for want of an answer and the same is liquidated in the amount of Twenty-one Thousand Six Hundred Ninety-one and 59/100 Dollars with interest and costs.
K-133, 93, 33; D-181
Debt.....$21,691.59 with int. & costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Judgment entered Mar 7, 1988

Mar 10, 1988 Notice of Judgment mailed Defendants.

1988

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

71.

EDWARD BOWEN

vs.

RHONDA M. BOWEN

Filed Jan. 25, 1988
@ 2:40 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co pd 5/12/88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 1, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. And Now, February 1st, 1988, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:
1. That she is the Attorney for Edward Bowen, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.
2. Taht on information furnished to her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P 547 806 343, postmarked January 26, 1988, to the last known address of the Defendant, Rhonda M. Bowen, P.O. Box 664, Conneaut Lake, Pennsylvania 16316.
3. That the Defendant, Rhonda M. Bowen, on January 28, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A"
4. Service of the Complaint against the Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

Feb. 26, 1988 Agreement as to Child Custody filed.

May 5, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 5, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 12, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

May 12, 1988 Consent to Custody of natural father John F. Miller filed.

May 13, 1988 Motion for Order and Order filed. And Now, May 13, 1988, upon presenation of the Custody Agreement and consent to Custody, it is hereby ordered:
1. Plaintiff and Defendant shall share legal custody of John F. Miller.
2. Primary physical custody of the minor child, John F. Miller, shall be with his step-father, Edward Bowen
3. Natural parents, Rhonda M. Bowen and Frederick Miller, shall have frequent and liberal visitation as shall be agreed to by the parties.

By the Court:
P. Richard Thomas, J

May 13, 1988 copy of order to Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

May 27, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D.**

AND NOW, May 27, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

E D W A R D B O W E N and R H O N D A M . B O W E N

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs
Christopher Youngs

72.

SUSAN L. FURRY

vs.

THOMAS LEE FURRY

Filed Jan. 25, 1988
@ 4:07 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Pro Co Pd 5-5-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 27, 1988, Certificate of Service filed. Christopher J Youngs, hereby certifies that he is the attorney for the Plaintiff, in the within action and that a copy ofthe complaint in divorce was sent by cert. mail, ret. rec. req., deliver to addressee only to the defendant Thomas Lee Furry, R. D. #2 Guys Mills, Pa. 16327, on Jan. 25, 1988 and was received by the dft. on Jan. 26, 1988. The receipt for cert. mail and the ret. rec. are attached hereto marked Exhibit A.

Christopher J.Youngs, Esq.

April 28, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 4, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 5, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201 (c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

May 31, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, May 27, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

SUSAN L. FURRY and THOMAS LEE FURRY

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties rights and claims of either ofthe parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin and Stack
Louis J. Stack

73.

AMERICAN FEDERAL SAVINGS

vs.

PATRICK E. DONAHUE AND
SHARON L. DONAHUE, HIS WIFE

Filed Jan. 25, 1988
@ 4:10 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 30.00
Pro Co pd 2/26/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Feb 18, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Patrick E. Donahue personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on January 27, 1988 at 1:52 P.M. at his place of residence, 688 Hickory Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Sharon L. Donahue personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on February 5, 1988 at 11:18 A.M. at her place of residence, 633½ Church Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Feb. 26, 1988 at 3:27 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer and the damamges are assessed at the sum of Twenty Thousand Nine Hundred twenty and 81/100 plus interest.

D-133-153
Judgment entered 2/26/88

Debt. $20,920.81
Int. from December 31, 1987.
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX 1988 - 17

74

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Charles J. Swick

74.

AMERICAN FEDERAL SAVINGS

vs.

JAMES F. CERBY, JR., AND DIANE M. CERBY, HUSBAND AND WIFE

Filed Jan. 25, 1988
@ 4:14 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs 35.00
Pro Co pd 2/26/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Feb. 18, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Served by mailing by certified mail, Feb. 2, 1988, a true and attested copy of the within Complaint to James F. Cerby, Jr., 9125 Markville Dr., Dallas, TX 75243, the return receipt being attached and made a part of this return.

Served by mailing by certified mail, Feb. 2, 1988 a true and attested copy of the within Complaint to Diane M. Cerby, 9125 Markville Dr., Dallas, TX 75243, the return receipt being attached and made a part of this return.

No one was found to be in possession of mortgaged property. House vacant.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Feb. 26, 1988 at 3:02 p.m. upon praeacipe of plaintiff's attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the pl aintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Seventeen Thousand Three Hundred and 21/100 plus interest.

C-94-44
judgment entered 2/26/88

Debt. $17,303.21
Int. from December 31, 1987
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Ex 1988-15

1988

Jorden & White
Harry F. White, II

75.

Knox, Graham McLaughlin
Gornall and Sennett Inc.
William C. Wagner

Sesler and Sesler
Attorneys for additional
defendant.
William G. Sesler

RICHARD H. WATKINS AND
MARIAN M. WATKINS, HIS WIFE

vs.

FAIR PLAN INSURANCE
PLACEMENT FACILITY OF
PENNSYLVANIA dft.

B. J. PETRUSO AGENCY ASSOCIATES,
INC. Additional Defendant

Filed Jan. 25, 1988
@ 4:23 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	77.00
Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Pro Co pd 10/4/89	6.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Feb. 24, 1988, Appearance of Knox Graham McLaughlin, Gornall, and Sennett, Inc. by William C. Wagner, attorneys for defendant, filed.

Feb. 24, 1988, Upon praecipe of defendant attorneys, Rule entered upon plaintiffs to file complaint, Issued.

Mar. 9, 1988, Service of the foregoing Rule accepted by Harry White, Attorney for plaintiff.

Mar. 29, 1988, Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

Apr 28, 1988 Answer and New Matter filed.

May 13, 1988, Complaint Against Additional Defendant B. J. Petruso AGency Associates, Inc. filed. 1 copy (sheriff)

May 17, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Fair Plan Ins. defendant Company by handing a true and attested copy of the within Summon/ Complaint, issued in the above captioned matter on 2-8, 1988 at 10:40 o'clock A.M., E.S.T. at 325 Chestnut, in the County of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, to Elain agent or person for the time being in charge of defendant's office or usual place of business.
So answers, John Green, SHeriff
SERVED on the within named defendant, Fair Plan Insurance Placement Facility of Pennsylvania, by deputizing the Sheriff of Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania as per his affidavit of service hereto attached. Served February 8, 1988.
So anwers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 18, 1988, Reply to New Matter filed. 1 copy (atty)

May 31, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED B. J. Petruso Agency within named additional defendant on May 20, 1988 at 2:50 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to B. J. Petruso personally at his place of business, 568 Washington Street, Meadville, Crawford County,PA
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 14, 1988 Answer to Complaint against Additional Defendant filed. (2 copies Atty.)

July 12, 1988 Notice Of Service Of Interrogatories To Plaintiffs filed.

Feb. 3, 1989 Notice Of Service Of Plaintiffs' Answers To Defendant's Interrogatories and Requests For Production of Documents filed.

Aug. 18, 1989 Certificate of Readiness filed.

On October 1989 Trial List.

Oct. 3, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
Louis J. Stack

76.

Craig R. McKay
for U.S.

AMERICAN FEDERAL SAVINGS

vs.

RICHARD L. BAUER AND WILLO V. BAUER, AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Filed Jan. 26, 1988
@ 3:00 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 79.00
Pro Co pd 3/17/88 9.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 4/15/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

4 copies (sheriff)

Mar. 17, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared James Nelson who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 16 day of Feb., 1988, at 11:05 A.M. he served comp. Mort. Fore. filed at No. 76 Term, 1988, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant U.S. Atty at 633 U S Post Office & Courthouse Pgh. By making known the contents thereof to Scott Kanary Criminal Dockets and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the received from Crawford
So answers, James Nelson, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Richard L. Bauer personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to himon February 2, 1988 at 10:39AM at his place of residencr, R.D.#4, Box 6, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Willo V. Bauer personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on February 2, 1988 at 1:09 PM at her place of residence, 452 S. Franklin Street, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Twila Hopkins, person found to be in possession of proeprty at Apr. #2, 452 South Franklin Street, Titusville, Crawford County, PA, by handing a copy of the iwthin Complaint to her on February 2, 1988 at 1:10 PM.
SERVED United States of America, within named defendant, by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, PA, as per his affidavit of service hereto attached. SERVED: February 16, 1988.
SERVED by mailing by certified mail, February 16, 1988 a true copy of the within Complaint to Edwin Meese, Atty. General, Dept. of Justice, 10th and Constitution Avenues N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530, the return receipt hereto attached.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Mar. 17, 1988 at 3:51 PM. upon praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Ninety-two Thousand Seven Hundred Ninety-two and 03/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
B-144-204
judgment entered 3/17/88
Debt $92,792.03
Int. from Jan. 31, 1988
Judgment
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Mar. 31, 1988, Answer of Defendant the United States of America filed.

Apr. 13, 1988, Motion for Consent Judgment filed.

And Now, April 13, 1988, at 9:19 a.m., Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney,it appearing that counsel for plaintiff and counsel for defendant have consented to the entry of judgment, Judgment is hereby entered in favor ofthe plaintiff and against the defendant United States of America. ORDER: AND NOW, towit, this 13 day of April 1988, it appearing that counsel for defendant United States of America and counsel for plaintiff, have consented to the entry of the within Order on behalf of their respective clients, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a Judgment be entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the United States of America for foreclosure of the mortgage of palintiff in the within cause and for sale of the mortgaged property of defendant Richard L. Bauer and Willo V. Bauer.

It is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that defendant, United States of America, shall be notified by plaintiff of the date, time and place scheduled for any sheriff's sale of real property of the aforesaid defendants; that the U. S. of America shall be entitled to payment from the proceeds of the sheriff's sale to the extent its proper priority would entitle it to the same; and that the U. S. of America shall be entitled to redeem the aforesaid property with 120 days from the date of sale, as provided by 28 U.S.C. §2410.

Nothing contained in the within order shall, in any way, be construed as entryof a monotary judgment against the U. S. of America, but rather said judgment is limited to the foreclosure and sale of the real estate of the aforesaid defendants in the within proceeding.
Consented to by: Louis J. Stack Esq., counsel for plaintiff
Craig R. McKay, counsel for defendant
Judgment entered - 4/13/88
U -183
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.
Apr. 13, 1988, copies to Attys. C. McKay and L Stack.

EX 1988-37

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

77.

VICKI ANN GILIBERTO

vs.

ROBERT GILIBERTO

Filed Jan. 27, 1988
@ 11:00 A.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
1 count paid 15.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 4/28/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Feb 24, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Brian Lindsay, Esquire, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Vicki Ann Giliberto and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 11th day of February, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested to Robert Giliberto a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce with a count for Custody at his last known address of R.D.2, Box 686, Conneaut Lake, Pennsylvania 16316 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No P 276 200 204 and that on the 16th day of February, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 12th day of February, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Date: 2/23/88

Brian Lindsay, Esquire
Attorney for Plaintiff

Mar. 28, 1988, NOtice of Eligibility/Retainer Agreement filed.

April 15, 1988 Order of Court filed. AND Now, this 15 day of April, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing provisions and upon the Agreement of the parties hereto, the provisions of the within Consent Custody Agreement are hereby entered as an Order of this Court.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

April 15, 1988 Copies of Order to Attorney Brian Lindsay.

Apr. 28, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr. 28, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 28, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff To Transmit Record, this case is moved to court.

May 23, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, May 23, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

V I C K I A N N G I L I B E R T O and **R O B E R T G I L I B E R T O**

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

(illegible)

78.

MELINDA S. HENDERSON

vs.

DAVID C. HENDERSON

Filed Jan. 27, 1988
@ 11:50 A.M.

Tax & entry Paid $10.50 ✓

CERTIFICATION OF DIVORCE DECREE FROM THE
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ERIE COUNTY, PA.
(415 - A - 1984)

DIVORCE DECREE:

AND NOW, to-wit, this 7th day of May 1986, this divorce action comes before the court on the complaint filed on Jan. 31,1984, under the Divorce Code, Act 26, of 1980, Section 201(c); upon which service was perfected on Feb. 2, 1984, and in consideration of said Complaint, and Affidavits of Consent filed by the plaintiff and defendant, and the finding by the court htat the marriage is irretrievably broken the court hereby ORDERS, ADJUDGES AND DECREES thaT THE said MELINDA S. HENDERSON, pLaintiff, shall be and is hereby divorced and forever separated from the nuptial ties or bonds of matrimony heretofore existing between MELINDA S. HENDERSON, Plaintiff, and DAVID C. HENDERSON, Defendant; and, the said marriage is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED TO BE, wholly null and void, and all duties, rights and claims accruing to either of the said parties in pursuant of said marriage shall and do hereby cease and terminate and, the parties shall severally be at liberty to marry again in like manner as though they had never been married.

It is further ORDERED AND DECREED that all ancillary proceedings, including, but not limited to, resolution of jointly held property, have been resolved by a prior agreement, attached hereto, and said agreemnt is hereby incorporated by reference into this final decree of divorce and subject to enforcement in the same manner as any other decree of this court, and subject to modification or revision only as provided by law.

WITNESS the hand of this court the 7th dayof May, 1986.

By the Court,
Michael T. Joyce, J.

(Property Settlement as to following parts attached to Complaint in Divorce---General, Custody, Child Support, Alimony, Pension, Counsel Fees and Expenses, Property Division, Enforcement, & Consent to Agreement)

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Robert S. Bailey

79.

GELVIN, JACKSON & STARR, INC.

vs.

MARK CARROLL, INDIVIDUALLY, DAVID CARROLL, INDIVIDUALLY, AND MARK CARROLL AND DAVID CARROLL, A PARTNERSHIP t/d/b/a CARROLL'S NURSERY

Filed Jan. 27, 1988
@ 2:26 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	38.66
Pro Co Pd 3/30/88-	9.00

COMPLAINT

3 copies (sheriff).

Feb 24, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Mark Carroll within named defendant on February 9, 1988, at 2:08 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Dena Sabraw, adult member of the family with whom he resides, namely his step-daughter, at their place of residence, Mount Hope Road, Cochrantion, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED David Carroll within named defendant on February 8, 1988 at 4:02 P.M. by handing a copy of the within Complaint to Venise Frantz, person in charge at his place of business, R.D.#4, Cochranton, Crawford Co., Pa.

SERVED Carroll's Nursery within named defendant on February 8, 1988 at 4:03 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Venise Frantz, adult in charge, at the place of business, R.D.#4, Cochranton, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers; Edgar D. Householder, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, March 25, 1988, at 3:42 P.M., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with copy of notice of default, and proof of service of notices of default attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answers, in the amount of Three Thousand Four Hundred Forty Three and 21/100 Dollars, plus interest aND costs of suit.

Judgment entered - 3/25/88
C - 114, 44, 114, 44, 184

Debt - - $3,442!21
JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Mar. 30, 1988, notices of judgment mailed to defendants.

EX1989-61
Revised to:
A.D. 1993-94

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin and Stack;
James R. Irwin

80.

JUDITH A. BROWN

vs.

DAVID P. BROWN

Filed Jan. 28, 1988
@ 10:16 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co Pd 9/23/88	9.00
Pro Pd. 6/4/91	**5.00**

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE
PROPERTY DETERMINATION & DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Feb 2, 1988 Verification filed: I, James R. Irwin, Esquire, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for Plaintiff and that as such, I am authorized to execute this Verification; that I did, on the 28th day of January, 1988 send by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, Addressee only, to David P. Brown, the above named Defendant, a true and correct copy of the Divorce Complaint filed at the above term and number at his last known address of 711 State St., Meadville, PA 16335, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P-547-801-693, and that on the 1st day of February, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 30 day of January, 1988.

James R. Irwin, Esquire

Aug 8, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 22, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

22, 1988,
Sept. Separation and Property Settlement Agreement filed.

Sept. 22, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Oct. 5, 1988 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

1. **Divorce;** UPon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and Ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filng of the Complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. **Equitable Property Determination and Distribution:** This decree provides that the marital property of the above named parties shall be divided in accordance with a Separation and Property Settlement Agreement dated September 15, 1988, executed by the parties and filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania at the above captioned number and term.

This Agreement, in part, provides that Defendant shall be the sole owner of real estate located at 711 State Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania being the same land conveyed unto the Plaintiff and Defendant, by a General Warranty Deed, duly filed in the REcorder's Office of Crawford County, Pennsylvania and that Defendant shall be soley responsible fo rany and all obligation due and owing on said real estate and shall indemnify and hold Plaintiff harmless from and against any and all liability whatsoever arising from said obligation.

Further, the division of marital owned personal property shall be made in accordance with Section 11, 12 and 13, of the Separation and Property Settlement Agreement, dated september 15, 1988, as aforesaid. Specifically, Section 12(b) provides that Plaintiff shall be the sole and exclusive owner of a certain 1985 Allison Mobile Home, and that Plaintiff shall be soley responsible for any and all obligations due and owing on said mobile home and shall indemnify and hold Defendant harmless from and against any and all liability whatsoever arising from said obligation.

3. A copy of the Separation and Property Settlement Agreement of the parties dated September 15, 1988, which sets out in further detail the agreement of the parties concerning the matters herein, shall be filed with this decree inthe Prothonotary's Office at the above number and term.

4. No other matters or causes of action provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code are reserved by either of the parties.

This Order shall be effective as of this 5 day of Oct., 1988.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,

P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 4, 1991, Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name filed.
TO BE KNOWN AS:: JUDITH ANN SNOW

1988

Sidney M. Carlburg

81.

CHERYL L. SEELEY

vs.

DONALD J. SEELEY

Filed Jan. 28, 1988
@ 3:10 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

Sheriff's Costs 29.07

Pro Co Pd 6-10-88 9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (sheriff)

Feb 18, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED filed: AND MADE KNOWN TO Donald J. Seeley, personally, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on February 9, 1988 at 10:55 A.M. at Sheriff's Office, Room 303 Courthouse, Crawford County, Meadville, Pa.
So answers, Carole Stefanucci, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 6, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff and Defendant filed.

June 6, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 6, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

June 28, 1988 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, TO-WIT, this 28th day of June, 1988, this cause came on to be heard on the claim of CHERYL L. SEELEY, and it appearing to the Court that the facts set forth and the Affidavits set forth by the parties consenting to the granting of a divorc eunder the No-Fault divorce Act 1980, Public Law 640, in that the marriage is irretrievably broken under Section 201(c) and therefore in consideration by the Court, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

CHERYL L. SEELEY is hereby divorced and forever separated from the nuptial ties or bonds of matrimony h eretofore existing between she and the said DONALD J. SEELEY and the said marriage is hereby ORDERED and DECREED to be wholly null and void, and all and every duties, rights and claims accruing to either of said parties in pursuance of said marriage shall and do cease and determine, and the said parties shall severally be at liberty to marry again in like manner as though they had never been married. Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Bukac & Toomey
Terry Toomey

82.

BARBARA S. PAULUS

vs.

ROBERT DEAN PAULUS

Filed Jan. 28, 1988
@ 4:10 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro co Pd 3-10-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(d)

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 28, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the divorce code filed. 1 copy (atty)

Mar 9, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Terry Toomey, Esquire, being duly sworn accordiang to law, depose and say that:

1. I am attorney for the Plaintiff, Barbara S. Paulus, in the above-captioned divorce action.

2. On February 3, 1988, I sent a copy of the Complaint in Divorce along with a Notice to the Defendant and Plaintiff's Affidavit under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the Defendant at his residence, 740 Carnegie Avenue, Apartment A16, Akron, Ohio 44314. Attached hereto is a copy of the returned receipt bearing the signature of the Defendant, Robert Dean Paulus, which receipt certifies the service of the Complaint, the Notice, and the Affidavit upon the Defendant on February 8, 1988.

I certify that the information contained in this Affidavit is true and correct to the best of my knowledge information and belief.

Terry Toomey, Esq.

Mar 9, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(d) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Apr. 6, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, April 5, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

BARBARA S. PAULUS and ROBERT DEAN PAULUS

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Ferguson, Spataro & O'Shea
Douglas W. Ferguson

83.

Harry White

RICHARD W. ALBAUGH, JR.

vs.

STEPHANIE D. ALBAUGH

Filed Jan. 29, 1988
@ 9:50 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
John Fuller, Mediator	120.00
	9.00
ProCo Pd 5-12-88	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

February 24, 1988 Motion For Appointment of Child Custody Mediator and ORDER filed. AND NOW, Feb. 24, 1988 the above motion is granted and John Fuller, Esq., is appointed as Child Custody Mediator in the above-captioned action.

By The Court,
Robert L. Walker,J.

Feb. 24, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Douglas Ferguson and John Fuller.

Mar. 31, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, on the above named defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated February 5, 1988. The defendant acknowledged service on February 12, 1988 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Douglas W. Ferguson

Apr. 4, 1988 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, Mar 31, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller; Esquire, and based upon an agreement of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED as follows:

I. Legal custody of Tiffany Ruth Lawrason and Ryan Fred Albaugh shall be shared between the mother, Stephanie D. Albaugh, and the father, Richard W. Albaugh, Jr., that is each shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records, and each parent shall be entitled to take part in decisions affecting the children's religious instruction, medical treatment, education and other such important decisions.

II. Physical custody of Tiffany Ruth Lawrason and Ryan Fred Albaugh, shall be with their mother, Stephanie D. Albaugh, subject to the following conditions:

(a) During the months of April and May of 1988 and beginning April 2, 1988, the father shall have custody of the children on the first and third Saturdays in April and May of 1988 from 10:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m.

(b) On Saturday visits as provided above and on other visits of the children with their father, as provided below it is permissible for the father to take the children to the home where he lives with his grandmother, but it is not permissible for the father to leave the children alone with his grandmother. It is permissible for the father to leave the children with his mother, Linda Pitts, or with his sister-in-law, Marcie Albaugh.

(c)Begining with the month of June, 1988 and each month thereafter, the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody one weekend each month from Saturday at 10:00 a.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m. Because of the father's work schedule it will be necessary for the father to notify the mother each month of the weekend that he wishes to exercise his weekend visitation and such notice from the father to the mother shall be at least forty-eight (48) hours in advance of such weekend visits.

(d) The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody two Wednesdays each month from 5:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m. and the father shall provide the mother with at least forty-eight (48) hours notice of the Wednesdays in which he wishes to exercise such visitation.

(e) During the summer of 1988 and each Summer thereafter until this order shall be changed or amended, the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody for two separate continuous one week periods. The father shall provide the mother at least thirty (30) days notice of the time in which he wishes to exercise such Summer visitations.

(f) Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Thanksgiving, Easter, Memorial Day, Fourth of July and Labor Day. The father shall be entitled to custody of the children for an eight-hour period the day after each of the above-specified holidays. Unless the parties agree otherwise, the eight hour period shall be from 10:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m. on the day after each specified holiday.

(g) No parent shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the contrary shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

This order shall be a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

Costs of the mediation conference will be paid by Crawford County.

Robert L. Walker, J.

April 5, 1988 Copies of order to Douglas Ferguson, Harry White and John Fuller, Esqs.

May 11, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 12, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 12, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

May 27, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, May 27, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that that the marriage heretofore existing between

RICHARD W. ALBAUGH, JR. and STEPHANIE D. ALBAUGH

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Record Continued
On Page 559

1988

Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
Thomas D. O'Shea

84.

BOBBE J. CULLERS

vs.

DANNY R. CULLERS

Filed Jan. 29, 1988
@ 9:50 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
3 counts paid 45.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 5/4/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS, AND EXPENSES

1 copy (atty)

Feb 17, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by th Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, on the above nomed Defendant by personal delivery on the 16th day of February, 1988 at 6:28 A.M. at General Motors Inland Plant in the parking lot at the plant entrance.

Janice L. Fulton

Mar. 25, 1988 Motion for hearing onAlimony pendente lite, court costs and counsel feesand Order filed. (1 copy atty) ORDER: AND NOW, March 25, 1988, the above motion allowed. Hearing set for May 3, 1988 at 9:15 am.

Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar. 30, 1988 copy of order to Thomas D. O'Shea.

May 4, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 4, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of defendant filed.

May 4, 1988 Memorandum Of Agreement As To Divorce, Equitable Distribution, Alimony and Counsel Fees filed.

May 4, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To transmit Record - Section 201(c) Of the divorce code, this case moved to court.

May 31, 1988 Motion to cancel hearing and Order filed. AND NOW,this 26 day of May, 1988, the above motion allowed.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J

May 31, 1988, Copies of order to Thomas O'Shea

May 31, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, May 27, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed tha thte marriage heretofore existing between

B O B B E J . C U L L E R S and D A N N Y R . C U L L E R S

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Bozic and Thomas
David P. Truax

85.

CHARLES D. ROAE

vs.

MADDALENA A. ROAE

Filed Jan. 29, 1988
@ 11:14 A.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 2/3/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 17, 1988 Affidavit of Service of Complaint in Divorce filed: DAVID P. TRUAX, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on February 1, 1988, he sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce, properly endorsed with the Notice to Defend, filed in the above known address of R.D.9, 932 Cussewago Road, Meadville, Pa 16335, by Certified Mail No. P-151-159-837, postage prepaid, restricted delivery, return receipt requested, and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as February 11, 1988.

Bozic & Thomas
David P. Truax, Esq
Attorney for Plaintiff

Feb. 3, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 3, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 3, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Feb. 14, 1989 D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .

And now, February 14, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Charles D. Roae, plaintiff, and Maddalena A. Roae, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

86.

STEVEN J. DENMAN, JR.

vs.

DEBRA LYNN DENMAN

Filed Jan. 29, 1988
@ 4:06 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co Pd 2/1/88	9.00
Pro Co. PD 3/21/91	9.00
State Pd. 3/21/91	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 24, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Brian Lindsay, Esquire, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Steven J. Denman, Jr. and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit: that I did, on the 2nd day of February, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested to Debra L. Denman a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce at her last known address of 1179 Chula Court, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 276 200 202 and that on the 4th day of February, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 3rd day of February, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Date 2/23/88

Brian Lindsay, Esquire
Attorney for Plaintiff

Mar. 28, 1988, Notice of Eligibility/Retainer Agreement filed.

Feb. 28, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

March 15, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

March 15, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Mar. 25, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, March 22, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Steven J. Denman, Jr., plaintiff, and Debra Lynn Denman, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

87.

DOUGEAS E. DICKSON

vs.

MARY ANNE DICKSON

Filed Jan. 29, 1988
@ 4:06 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 2/1/88 9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 29, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Feb 24, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Brian Lindsay, Esquire, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Douglas E. Dickson and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 2nd day of February, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested to Mary Anne Dickson a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Plaintiff's Affidavit under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code at her last known address of 59 Pearl Avenue, Oil City, Pennsylvania 16301 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 276 200 201 and that on the 5th day of February, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 4th day of February, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.§4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Date: 2/23/88

Brian Lindsay, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Feb. 26, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Mar. 18, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D.**

AND NOW, March 18, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

D O U G L A S E. D I C K S O N and M A R Y A N N E D I C K S O N

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

88.

SHARON R. MILLER

vs.

ROBERT WILLIAM MILLER JR.

Filed Feb. 1, 1988
@ 10:50 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 8, 1988, Affidavit of Mailing Complaint Under Pa. R.C.P. No, 1124(b) filed. Ann Hansen Gamble, Esq. being sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an atty. at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Com. of Pa. and that on Feb. 2, 1988, she sent a copy ofthe Complaint in Divorce to the Dft. by cert. mail, restricted del., postage prepaid, ret. rec. req. to Robert William Miller, Jr., c/o Doris Sutton, Titusvill Road, R. D. #2, Union City, Pa. 16438, the defendants last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto. Ann Hansen Gamble, Esq.

Feb. 28, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a part in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 2-28-89 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 8, 1997 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

Greenlee, Derrico, Posa
Harrington & Rodgers
Jeffrey P. Derrico

89.

DONNA HANEY
340 ParkDrive
Canonsburg, Pa. 15317

vs.

SANRAY CORPORATION, A PENNSYLVANIA CORPORATION,
276 North Street,
Meadville, Pa. 16335
and
SANDALINI'S RESTAURANT & LOUNGE
Conneaut Lake Road,
Meadville, Pa. 16335

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Feb. 12, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED Sanray Corporation within named defendant on February 5, 198 at 10:38 AM by handing a copy of the Summons to Jan Bloom, Vice-President of Administration, at their office, 231 Chestnut Street, Meadville, Crwaford County, PA
SERVED Sandalini's Restaurant within named defendant on February 5, 1988 at 10:39 AM by handing a copy of the Summons to Jan Bloom, Vice-President of administration, at their corporation office, 231 Chestnut street, Meadville, crawford County, PA
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb 25, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

Filed Feb. 1, 1988
@ 10;55 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	30.00
Pro Co Pd 2-25-88	6.00 ✓

1988

Bettie Peterson-Muth

90.

Terry Toomey

Stanley G. Berlin
for Joseph P. Blakeslee

JOHN E. BLAKESLEE AND
JOYCE A. BLAKESLEE, HIS WIFE

vs.

JOSEPH P. BLAKESLEE AND
CHRISTINE BLAKESLEE

Filed Feb. 1, 1988
@ 4:00 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
William Pineo, Mediator
80.88
9.00
William Pineo mediator 182.00
9.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Joseph P. Blakeslee, and Christine Blakeslee, have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody or visitation of the children: Jacqueline Marie Blakeslee and Jesse Paul Blakeslee.

WILLIAM PINEO, ESQ. is appointed Custody Mediator to hold a mediation conference and report to thecourt.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this apper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford CountyBar Association, Service tothe Public Comm. 310/312 Chestnut St., Meadville, Pa. 16335, 814 724-7719.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. walker, J.

3/26/90 Rec'd. #26.96 From Plaintiff
Recd 4-4-90
#26.96
Vivian Stoltz

Feb 25, 1988 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 24th day of February, 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, William Pineo, Esquire,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

L. Legal and physical custody is awarded to the plaintiffs, the children's paternal grandparents.

2. Either of the parents shall have reasonable rights of visitation and temporary physical custody, including overnight visitation. The parties have indicated to the Mediator that they will be able to arrange this matter amoung themselves, but if they cannot, further Mediation may be scheduled on the visitation issue. The parties are requested by the Court, however, to do their best in working out visitation arrangements in an amicable manner.

3. Each of the parties shall teach the children to give all of the other parties that love and respect which is due a parent or grandparent, as the case may be, from his or her child or grandchild. No party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of any of the other parties and the estimation of the children.

4. It is anticipated that this arrangements, which awards legal and physical custody of the subject minor children, to the paternal grandparents may be temporary and therefore should be reviewed periodically to see if the parents are able to assume their responsibilities and duties as parents to the subject minor children. For that reason, any party may request the Court for further mediation provided such request is lodged during the month of July in order that mediation may be had before the opening of the following school session. Further mediation may also be scheduled by any party upon a substantial change in the circumstances surrounding the entry of this Order.

5. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $80.88 are divided in thirds. The one-third share allotted to the mother whall be paid by Crawford County since the mother is presently unemployed and was represented by Crawford Legal Aid. The one-third share of the father and the one-third share of the paternal grandparents shall be assessed to each as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

6. Any party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of 30 days following the date hereof. If no party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent, subject to provisions for further mediation set out herein.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb 25, 1988 Copies of order to Atty. Peterson-Muth and Pineo and all parties.

8/21/90 Rec'd
$91.00 from dft. (Christine)
Rec'd 9-5-90
$91.00
Vivian Stoltz
11/10/99 200.00 - Deposited by dft Blakeslee

PETITION FOR CHANGE IN CUSTODY and

June 27, 1990, ORDER OF COURT filed. Defendant Joseph P. Blakeslee petitions for change of custody to the end that primary custody of the two minor children should be returned to him. The Court believes the most expeditious and economical way to resolve this request is for an initial referral back to Custody Mediator William Pineo for review and recommendation to the Court.

Accordingly, a copy of this Order will be forwarded to Christine Blakeslee, John E. Blakeslee and Joyce A. Blakeslee by counsel for Joseph P. Blakeslee, with said notice to be by certified mail. No responsive pleadings will be required.

Custody Mediator Pineo shall attempt to schedule a hearing and give appropriate notices before July 25, 1990.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

June 28, 1990 Copy of Order to Attys. Bettie Peterson-Muth, Terry Toomey, William Pineo.

July 23, 1990, TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 20 day of july 1990, after mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the jamor events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.

3.a. The father shall have primary physical custody fothe subject minor children from June 15 to Aug. 15 of each year and the mother from Aug. 15 to June 15 of the following year. For 1990, the children shall be moved to their fathers household, one week after the entry of this order.

Continued on Page 90 A

JOHN E. BLAKESLEE AND JOYCE A. BLAKESLEE
VS.
JOSEPH P. BLAKESLEE AND CHRISTINE BLAKESLEE
RE: Jaqueline and Jesse Blakeslee

Continued from page 90
of
AD 1988 - 90

The parent not having primary physical custody shall have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody with his/her children for the first three full weekends of each month, form Fri. at 4:00 p.m. to Sunday at 7:00 p.m.

b. The subject minor children shall have the ritht to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonable monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christman holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

The Christman holiday visitation periods are defened as beginning on Christman Eve at noon to Chrsitmas Eve at 8:00 p.m. and form Chrsitmas Eve at 8:00 p.m. through Christmas Day at 8:00 p.m. Each year the children will visit with their mother during the first period and with their father during the second. During the remainder of the children's vacation from school, they shall reside with their father from Christmas Day at 8:00 p.m. through New Year's Day at 8:00 p.m.

b. Thanksgiving holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

The Thanksgiving visitation shall begin at 10:00 a.m. and end at 8:00 p.m. The children shall spend Thanksgiving 1990 with their father and the parties shall thereaFTER ALTERNATE THE Thanksgiving holiday.

c. The Easter vacation visitation shall be arranged as follows:

The Easter visitation period shall begin on Fri. at 4:00 p.m. and end on Sun. at 7:00 p.m. For Easter 1991, the children shall be with their mother and the parties shall thereafter alternate the Easter period.

d. The other holidays to be observed are New Years Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day and Halloween. Holiday visitation shall be between the fours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 pm, except for independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch the fireworks, and also except for Halloween when it falls upon a school day, in which the Halloween visitation shall begin at 4;00 p.m. and end at 9:00 p.m. so the children may go trick or treating . The father shall have visitation on the holiday next following the entry of this order, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

e. The children shall spend Father's Day with their father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and MOther's Day with their mother, during the same hours.

f. Holiday visitations shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relatign to custody and visitation.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to concel or modify the visitations as set out in this order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Sould a concellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children at the home of the other.

7. Each party shall teach said children to five tothe other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the infulence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party hsall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroon of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pa.

10.Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the toher in a polict and respectful manner when inthe children's presence and each party sahll instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements re specting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

12. Either party may request a review of this order two years from date hereof to make any adjustments.

13. Costs and fees of this mediation, which are fixed at $182.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

14. Eigher party may move the court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this order shall be considered permanent. By the court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

July 26, 1990, copies to Attys. w. pineo, B. Peterson-Muth, T. Toomey, plff and dft.

Apr 16, 1997 Custody Contempt/Modification and Attorney Fees and Order: Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging you have willfully disobeyed an order of court for (custody) (partial custody) (visitation).

If you wish to defend against the claim set forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with the court your defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in writing with the court your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in court on 9th day of June, 1997 at 9:30 A.M. in Courtroom #3, of the Crawford County Courthouse located in Meadville, PA. The subject minro children shall not be removed from Crawford or Erie Counties by either party until further order of Court.

April 16, 1997 Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Apr 16, 1997 Faxed Toomey & Brode
Apr 25, 1997 Faxed Pineo

Apr 25, 1997 Proof of Service of Notice and Order to Appear, filed.

Apr 28, 1997 Appearance of Stanley G. Berlin, Eswuire, on behalf of Joseph P. Blakeslee, filed.

May 23, 1997 Answer to Petition for Contempt/Modification/Attorney Fees AND Counter Petition For Contempt/ Modification/Attorney Fees, filed.

Dec. 23, 1997 CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION OF SEMINAR FOR SEPARATING PARENTS OF CHRISTINE M. MATTOCKS FILED.

90
-b-

1988

1988

Elderkin, Martin,
Kelly, Messina & Zamboldi
Kenneth G. Vasil

91.

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY
800 Millcreek Mall
Erie, Pennsylvania

vs.

MAUREEN A. BREITFELDER

Filed Feb. 2, 1988
@ 10:29 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 24.00
Pro Co pd 3/17/88 9.00

COMPLAINT .

1 copy (sheriff)

Feb 24, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Maureen A. Breitfelder personally the within named defendant on February 10, 1988 at 3:40 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her at her residence, 307 Spring Street, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, Pa 16403.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Mar. 17, 1988 at 11:17 AM upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice Of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor ofthe plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to answer or appear and the damages are assessed at the sum of One Thousand Three Hundred Sixty-one and 64/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
B-114
judgment entered 3/17/88

Debt $1,361.64
Int. from January 1988
Judgment
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

92.

REGINA B. GRIMM, BY HER PARENTS AND NATURAL GUARDIANS PAUL B. GRIMM AND EDITH A. GRIMM, AND PAUL B. GRIMM AND EDITH A. GRIMM, IN THEIR OWN RIGHT

vs.

KEITH D. GOOD AND JUDITH M. GOOD

Filed Feb. 2, 1988
@ 1:08 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 2/23/88 6.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (atty)

Feb 12, 1988 Petition for Authority to Settle and Compromise a Minor's Claim and Order filed: AND NOW, this 12 day of February, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, the prayer of the Petitioners is granted and the proposed settlement is approved and it is ordered and decreed that Paul B. Grimm and Edith A. Grimm, as parents and natural guardians of the said Regina B. Grimm, a minor, be and are hereby authorized to compromise and settle for the sum of $5,000.00 the claim of said minor, Regina B. Grimm, against the Defendants, Keith D. Good and Judith M. Good for damages resulting from personal injuries sustained by the said Regina B. Grimm at the time and place and the manner set forth in the foregoing Petition and for the recovery of which an action has been brought at the above Number and Term and upon payment of said amount by and from the above named Defendants, Keith D. Good and Judith M. Good, their heirs, executors or administrators and the insurance carrier for the Defendants to-wit Erie Insurance Exchange are released from any and all liability to said minor to-wit Regina B. Grimm and Paul B. Grimm and Edith A. Grimm, parents and natural guardians of said minor and in their own right for damages and injuries sustained by the said minor, Regina B. Grimm, arising out of the incident referred to in the foregoing Petition.

It is further ordered and decreed that the sum of $5,000.00 is to be paid as follows:

a) To Paul B. Grimm and Edith A. Grimm - reimbursement for expenses incurred - $2,008.42.

b) To Paul B. Grimm and Edith A. Grimm, as parents and natural guardians of th said Regina B. Grimm, without the entry of security on their part, the sum of $2,991.58, said amount to be deposited in a Certificate of Deposit or an interest bearing savings account in a lending institution in Crawford County, Pennsylvania with the documents representing said account to be held by the Clerk of Courts of Crawford County, Pennsylvania with no withdrawals being made from said account without further Order of this Court or until said minor attains her majority.

And upon receipt thereof, by them, your Petitioners, as parents and natural guardians of sain minor, Regina B. Grimm, are hereby authorized and directed to settle and discontinue the above entitled action and execute such Release or Releases as may be required by the Defendants and their insurance carrier, the Erie Insurance Exchange.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Feb 16, 1988 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas, Esq.

Feb. 23, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Jorden and White Harry F. White II 93.	MICHAEL CONSTANZO, d/b/a CONSTANZO BUILDERS vs. TONY PALERMO CONSTRUCTION Filed Feb. 2, 1988 @ 1:45 P.M. Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓	APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF ROBERT J. LEONHART, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30 - 3 - 03 Feb. 2, 1988, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued. Feb. 4, 1988, Proof of Service filed. Feb. 22, 1988, Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

94

1988

Ferguson, Spataro & O'Shea
Thomas D. O'Shea

94.

DENICE E. KWIECIEN

vs.

JOHN N. KWIECIEN

Filed Feb. 2, 1988
@ 2:06 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro.Co.Pd 5/16/88 9.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 1/31/89 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 8, 1988 Acceptance Of Service filed. I, John N. Kwiecien, Defendant in the above captioned matter, hereby accept service ofthe certified ocpy ofthe Divorce Complaint filed on this date, at 283 Walnut Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
2-2-88 John N. Kwiecien

May 3, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 16, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 16, 1988 Upon Precipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

June 7, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, June 6, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

DENICE E. KWIECIEN and JOHN N. KWIECIEN

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties rights and claims of either of the parties to the otherin pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Jan. 31, 1989 Notice Of Election To Retake Maiden Name filed. Denice E. Kwiecien TO BE KNOWN AS: Denice E. Morse

1988

William J. Gregg

95.

EUGENE P. RICHARDS

vs.

BOBBIE JO RICHARDS

Filed Feb. 3, 1988
@ 11:38 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
1 count Pd. 2-4-88 - 15.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE
CUSTODY AND VISITATION OF MINOR CHILD

1 copy (atty)

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a part in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 2-3-88 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 8, 1997 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
Thomas D. O'Shea

96.

SUE ELLEN CONNELLY

vs.

MARK EDWARD CONNELLY

Filed Feb. 3, 1988
@ 4:05 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 3/9/90	9.00
State pd 3/9/90	10.00
Pro Co pd 5/16/90	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 22, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy ofthe Complaint certified by Prothonotary of Crawford county, pa on the above defendant by certified mail, addressee only, ret. rec. req. dated Feb. 9, 1988. The defendant acknowledged service on Feb. 13, 1988, by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.
Thomas D. O'Shea, Esq.

May 17, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Oct. 19, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Mar. 8, 1990 AFfidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 8, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record - Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

April 11, 1990 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And Now, April 11, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Sue Ellen Connelly, plaintiff, and Mark Edward Connelly, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of martrimony.
by the court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 15, 1990 Notice Of Election To Retake Maiden Name filed. Sue Ellen Connelly
TO BE KNOWN AS: Sue Ellen Graham

1988

Prather, Prather
& Pineo
Mark D. Prather

97.

MICHAEL A. LaMALFA AND LORETTA J. LaMALFA, HIS WIFE

vs.

LAWRENCE E. HALL, HIS HEIRS, SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

Filed Feb. 5, 1988
@ 9:18 A.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

COMPLAINT - ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

Feb. 10, 1988 Motion for Service By Publication Affidavit and Order filed. NOW, this 8 day of February, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing motion and affidavit, it appearing that the identity and whereabouts of defendants is unknown to plaintiffs following diligent search and inquiry, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that service may be made upon defendant sby publication in the Meadville Tribune and the Crawford County Legal Journal, one time only, to include a notice requiring the defendants to file answer to said complaint within twenty (20) days following publication thereof.

Per Curiam,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Feb. 11, 1988 Copies of order to Mark Prather

May 25, 1988 Motion for Judgment, Affidavit of Service and Order filed. NOW, this 25th day of May, 198, an affidavit of service having been filed, defendants ahving filed no answer thereto, the court upon motion of Prather, Prather & Pineo, attonreys for plaintiffs, hereby orders and decrees that the defendants above named, their heirs, successors and assigns, be and they are forever barred from asserting any right, lien, title, interest or claim, in and to the premises situate in Conneautville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

BEGINNING at the point of intersectionof the north line of Depot Street, with the east line of NOrth street; thence eastwardly by the north line of Depot Street one hundred twenty (120) feet to a point on the west ine of land now or formerly of Dunlap; thence north 2°50' east by land of said Dunlap one hundred forty (140) feet to a point on the south line of a twenty (20) foot alley; thence westwardly by the south line of said alley one hundred twenty feet to a point on the east line of North Street; thence south 2° 50' west by the east line of North Street one hundred forty (140) feet to the point and place of beginning and containing a two-story dwelling and barn.

It is ordered, adjudged and decreed that defendants, their heirs, successors and assigns, be and they are hereby forever barred from asserting any right, title, lien, interest or claim. in the above described premises inconsistent with the interest or claim of plaintiffs as set forth herein; or from impeaching, denying, or in any way attaching the plaintiffs' title to said property; from issuing or maintaining any actions attaching the same, or any part thereof, unless defendants shall enter their appearance and contest this action within thirty (30) days following entry of this orde,r upon the expiration of which this order shall beocme final and absolute.

Per Curiam,
Robert L. Walker, J

May 26, 1988 copy of order to Mark D. Prather

1988

Prather, Prather & Pineo Mark D. Prather 98.	GEORGE OFFI vs. MARY ANN SCHULTZ Filed Feb. 5, 1988 @ 9:24 A.M. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Sheriff's Costs 18.00	COMPLAINT 1 copy (sheriff) Mar 3, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: Served and made known to Mary Ann Schultz personally, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on March 1, 1988 at 3:29 P.M. at her place of residence, 1001 A. Berkshire Drive, Apt. 101, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

99.

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs PC
Theodore H. Watts

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

WALTER GALLAGHER, JR.

Filed Feb. 5, 1988
@ 10:33 a.m.

Tax & entry Pd 2-8-88--$40.50

APPEAL FROM REVOCATION OF OPERATING PRIVILEGES

1 copy (atty)

ORDER: AND NOW, this 4th day of February 1988, upon presentation and consideration ofthe within Petition, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that a hearing on the within appeal shall be held on the 8th day of March 1988, at 9:30 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. It is further ordered that thirty (30) days written notice shall be given by the Prothonotary to the Dept. of Trans. Bureau of Drivers Licensing, Citation Processing Div. Rm. 302, Trans. and Saftey Building, Harrisburg, Pa. 17120, by cert. mail, ret. rec. req.

It is further ordered and decreed that the appellant, Walter Gallagher, Jr. shasll retain his operating privilege and license pending the outcome of this Appeal, which shall act as a supersedeas.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Feb. 5, 1988, copy of order to T. Watts & C. S. Lynn Stevenson.

March 10, 1988 ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 8,1988, at the time the matter is called for trial on the Defendant's appeal from a five-year license suspension, counsel for the Commonwealth advises the Court that there was an error on behalf of the Commonwealth in computing the number of enumerated convictions; accordingly, the Commonwealth presents no evidence and requests the Court to sustain the appeal and dismiss the suspension previously imposed by letter dated Jan. 4, 1988. Request granted.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas,P.J.

March 14, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Theodore Watts and C.S. Lynn Stevenson.

Devine & Kuftic
Elizabeth A.Malc

David R. Devine

100.

~~Daniel Kuhn~~ withdrawn

~~Elizabeth Prather~~
(withdrawn)

Michelle Hawk Kelley

ANITA M. BROWN

vs.

DARYL A. BROWN

Filed Feb. 5, 1988
@ 11:11 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co pd 9/30/88 45.00

~~John F. Spataro, Master~~

Sylvia L.Dratler, Master
Pro. Co. pd 8/8/95 30.00
State pd 8/8/95 5.00
Pro Co. Pd. 1/30/97 9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

4 copies (atty)

Feb. 19, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Before me, the undersigned Notary Public, personally appeared David r. Devine, Esquire of 201 erie Street, Edinboro, Pennsylvania, Attorney for Plaintiff, ANITA M. BROWN, in the above captioned case. Attorney Devine deposes and states that he mailed a true and certified copy of a Complaint in Divorce in the above captioned case to defendant, Daryl A. Brown, by certified mail, return receipt requested, pursuant to Rule 1920.4 (a)(ii). The original ofthe return receipt is attached to this Affidavit and made a part hereof.

Attorney Devine further states that he sent a second true and attested copy of the Complaint in Divorce in the above captioned case to the Defendant by ordinary mail. David r. Devine

Jul. 28, 1988 Interrogatories to Defendant, filed.

Sept. 30, 1988 Petition To Amend Divorce Complaint and Order filed. AND NOW, this 4 day of Oct., 1988,upon consideration of the attached Petition it is hereby ordered that the Complaint in Divorce filed at A.D. 1988-100 in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County be amended adding as a second count the issue of equal distribution of marital property and as a third count the issue of alimony pendente lite, counsel fees and expenses. P. Richard Thomas

Oct. 6, 1988 Copy of order to David Devine

✓ Dec. 21, 1988 Petition for Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees & Expenses and Order filed. Order: AND NOW, this 21 day of Dec., 1988, upon consideration of the attached Petition it is hereby ordered that Defendant, DARYL A. BROWN appear before this Honorable Court on the 19 day of Jan., 1989, in Court Room 1 or 2 at 9:00 A.M. to show why he should not be ordered to pay Plaintiff, Anita M. Brown alimony pendente lite, reasonable costs and expenses and partial attorney fees.

P.Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 21, 1988 copy of order to David Devine.

✓ Jan. 23, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

✓ Jan 24, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, January 19, 1989, the matter comes before the Court with Plaintiff/wife's request for alimony pendente lite, counsel fees and expenses. The expenses largely entail funds to procure an independent appraisal of the farm, equipment and livestock, formerly occupied by both the parties. The Defendant/Husband has had it appraised for a total sum of $132,000, but wife wishes to have a separate appraisal.

It is an admitted fact that the wife left the marital domicile and is now living with another person. The difficulty of the situation is that neither party has filed an Affidavit required under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, but the wife postulates she will immediately file the same and is DIRECTED to do so within ten (10) days. The husband's posture is that he will not consent to a divorce and has fervent hopes of reconciliation with his wife, and accordingly, will not file a consent to a 201(c) Divorce. Thus, unless the wife amends her pleadings to allege other grounds, this divorce is not grantable until the passage of three (3) years, and an amendment of the divorce alleging a 201(d) Divorce.

The parties formerly operated a typical thirty-five cow dairy farm, and it appears that those assets would constitute marital property, thus, if this is to be a protracted matter, the Court deems it inequitable to allow the husband full control and benefits of the farming assets without some payment of rent or division of profits with his spouse. However, attempting to evaluate her share or a fair rental is difficult, and accordingly, even though the wife's basic needs seem to be met, by her present companion, the Court postulates that her hospitalization, personal needs, clothing expenses and other miscellaneous items should be met. Accordingly, the Court ORDERS the Defendant to pay alimony pendente lite in the amount of $322.00 per month, commencing with the month of February, 1989, with said payments to be made in the sum of $161.25 twice per month.

For the month of January, 1989, the Defendant will be required to pay the sum of $161.25 to cover one-half of the month of January, with said payment to be made on or before January 25, 1989.

Defendant/Husband and his counsel agree the counsel fees for the wife are a legitimate expense in view of the prior negotiations, looking toward amicable settlement, and accordingly, agree to pay direct to counsel for the plaintiff, David R. Devine, a total sum of $985.00, with one-half of said sum to be paid on or before February 15, 1989 and the balance on or before March 15, 1989. This payment is made without prejudice to the right of the wife to petition for additional counsel fees, but not before May 1, 1989.

The Court DENIES the wife's request to secure an immediate appraisal of assets, unless the Husband files his Affidavit of Consent, a divorce cannot be granted within the foreseeable future, and a current evaluation would be stale at the time a divorce is ultimately considered. This denial is made without prejudice of the right for the wife to repetition if new grounds are alleged in the divorce or if the husband files an Affidavit of Consent.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, PJ

Jan 25, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Kuhn and Devine/

✓ Oct. 23, 1990 Petition to Amend Alimony Pendente Lite Order of Jan. 19, 1989 and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 23 day of Oct., 1990, upon consideration of the attached Petition it is hereby ordered that Defendant, Daryl A. Brown, appear before this Honorable Court on the 27 day of Nov., 1990, in Court Room 1 at 9:30 A.M. to show why he should not be ordered to pay Plaintiff, Anita M. Brown, increased alimony pendente lite and reasonable attorney's fees.

P. R. Thomas, S.J.

Oct. 23, 1990 Copies to Attys. David R. Devine and Daniel Kuhn.

✓ Nov. 27, 1990 Certification Re: Attorney fees and costs Of Litigation filed.

1-10-94 Rec from Plf Master fee $250.00
2-7-94 paid John F. Spataro Master $100.00 Ck # 8079
5-13-94 Rec from Dft Masters Fees $550.00
6-13-94 Rec from plf Master fees 800 Bal $1500.00
8-24-94 Pd Master Ck#8514 1185.00
8-24-94 Pd Stenographer Check # 8515 315.00 Bal 0
11-22-94 Rec from plf. master fees 340.00
11-22-94 Pd master #8690 340.00
1-9-95 Rec'd from dft Masters fees $1360.00
1-31-95 Pd Dratler masters fees #8849 1360.00
4-1-95 Rec'd from Plf Masters Fees $100.00
5-23-95 Rec'd from Dft. Masters fees $203.03
5-23-95 pd James McCleery Ck 9209 $303.03

Continued on the next page

ANITA M. BROWN

vs.

DARYL A. BROWN

Record continued from page 100

of record

A.D. 1988 - 100

****

✓ Dec. 6, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, November 27, 1990, at the same time the Court hears testimony regarding the custody issue at A.D. 1988-573, the Court is presented with a petition to amend the alimony pendente lite Order plus a request for additional attorney fees.

The Court Order heretofore entered requiring the Defendant to pay alimony pendente lite in the amount of $322.00 per month is increased to the sum of $400.00 per month effective the month of December, 1990 and continuing until further Order of Court.

The said sum shall be paid $200.00 on the first of each month and an additional $200.00 onthe 15th of each month.

With respect to counsel fees, the Court is presented this date a statement of counsel fees due for consulting with client since July 30, 1990 and in preparation for the hearing this date with the total amount request of $1,147.50.

The Court awards additional counsel fees in the amount of $900.00 without prejudice to the right of counsel for the Plaintiff to submit as part of the counsel fees involved in the total divorce action the additional $247.00 not awarded this date. The counsel fees awarded in the amount of $900.00 shall be paid $300.00 on December 15, 1990 and the balance of $600.00 to be paid by January 15, 1991.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Dec. 6, 1990 copies of order mailed to Atty. Devine and Kuhn.

✓ Jan. 16, 1991 Notice Of Service Of INterrogatories and Request For Production Of Documents Addressed To defendant (Second Set) filed.

✓ Jan. 30, 1991 Petition For Additional Counsel Fees and Order filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of January, 1991, upon consideration of the attached Petition it is hereby ordered that Defendant, Daryl A. Brown, appear before this Honorable Court on the 27th day of February, 1991, in Court Room 1 or 2 at 11:00 a.m. to show why he should not be ordered to pay Plaintiff, Anita M. Brown's, counsel fees.

3 copies (atty)

Gordon R. Miller, J

✓ Mar 1, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, February 27, 1991, the matter is before the Court on a petition for additional counsel fees and a petition to appoint Sherman Allen as an appraiser to re-appraise the farm property bringing former values up to date. It appears that by mutual agreement Raleigh J. Chesley & Son, Auctioneers, in May , 1988 prepared an appraisal of the property for the use of both parties.

There also is on file as part of the petition in this case a financial statement presented to Pennbank by Daryl Brown in 1987 that purports to establish values for the farm assets. The Court is advised by counsel for Daryl Brown, however, that some of the values on the financial statement presented to Pennbank for the purpose of securing a loan reflect original purchase prices for some of the items and do not necessarily reflect current market value.

The Court believes that a current re-appraisal should be made by Raleigh J. Chesley 7 Son, Auctioneers, using their prior appraisals plus the bank statements prepared by Daryl Brown plus the Interrogatories and Answers thereto filed in this case for further enlightenment regarding the assets.

Accordingly, the Court authorizes Raleigh J. Chesley & Son, Auctioneers to make a re-appraisal of the property under the above conditions. The cost of the re-appraisal shall be paid by the Defendant without prejudice to the right of the Divorce Master and ultimately the Court to divide the cost thereof equitably between the parties in a final decree.

The Petitioner further requests additional counsel fees over and above what the Court awarded by previous Order. Attached to the Petition is a current bill for counsel fees for $399.50. The Court believes the Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable counsel fees in pursuance of her divorce action and accordingly, we DIRECT that current counsel fees in the amount of #99.50 be paid by the Defendant on or before March 15, 1991. The Court awards attorney fees on the condition that the Master or ultimately the Court may allocate each parties' counsel fees in a equitable manner upon final disposition of this case.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Mar 4, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Attys. Devine & Kuhn.

✓ May 13, 1991 Motion To Compel Signing of Vehicle Ownership Card and For The Production of the 1987, 1988, 1989, and 1990 Income Tax Returns and Order filed. AND NOW, this 13 day of May, 1991, in consideration of the facts alleged in Plaintiffs motion, it is hereby ordered:

1. To sign immediately the ownership card enabling her to continue to dirve the 1987 Buick.
2. To order defendant to produce the 1987, 1988, 1989, and 1990 income tax returns within ten days in the office of the Plaintiff Counsel.
3. To award counsel fees' in the amount of $100.
4. To award Plaintiff $120.00 for three months of car insurance at $40.00 a month.

P. Richard Thomas, J

May 14, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Attys. devine & Kuhn

Continued on page 100 B

(4)

100
B

1989

Anita M. Brown

vs.

Daryl A. Brown

Record continued from page 100 a

of record

A.D. 1988 - 100

****

Jan. 3, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, December 16, 1991, after a motion for payment of medical bills and automobile repair having been presented to the court, the following order is entered:

1. The original motion filed by Plaintiff contained an erroneous designation of 1988-573 and was filed in that action by the Prothonotary, which action is a custody proceeding. The caption should have carried a designation of 1988-100, which is the divorce proceedings between the parties; and the Prothonotary is directed to correct the heading of the motion before the Court today, remove it from its current custody file, and refile it in connectin withthe divorce action.

2. We question the authority of the Court to grant a motion to pay medical bills or a motion for automobile bill repairs setarate and apart from an order to pay Alimony Pendente Lite. An order directing the payment of alimony Pendente Lite and counsel fees has already been entered in this case, an dif the Plaintiff does not feel that the order is adequate, she should file a petition for increase of the Alimony Pendente Lite order.

3. This case is almost four years old and has progressed very slowly in so far as the filing of income and expense statements, and inventory and appraisement forms. Most of the marital assets are in the possession ofthe Defendant and consist primarily of a farm and the personal proper ty used in connection therewith. Nonetheless, the Plaintiff has filed and the Defendant has answered two sets of interrogatories relating to econonic issues and in accordance with earlier Court orders of this case. There has been an appraisal and an update of that appraisal. The Plaintiff has filed an affidavit of consent, and the Defendant has not filed such an affidavit, and his is not required to do so except on a vonluntary basis. Nonetheless, it would appear at the present time that by a simple amendment, this case could be converted to a 201(d) as opposed to a 201(c) divorce action, and it is the Court's opinion that this matter should move forward with all deliberate speed.

given the foregoing considerations, the motion for payment of medical bills and automotive bill repoarts as such, is denied without prejudice to the right of either party to request modification of the pesent order for payment of Alimony Pendente Lite. However, it would be the recommendation of this Court that no further such temporary motions be entertained until the parties have filed the long overdue documents relating to economic issues.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Jan. 3, 1992 Copies to D. Devine and D. Kuhn attys.

Feb. 24, 1992 Motion To Allow For Entry Onto Property For Purpose of Appraisement and Order filed. AND NOW, this 24 day of February, 1992, upon consideration of the attached Motion, it is hereby Ordered that Defendant, Daryl A. Brown, allow Sherman Allen access to the real estate and bulidngs constituting the marital residence for purposes of conducting an appraisal no later than April 1, 1992. Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Feb. 27, 1992 Copoies of order mailed to Atty's Devine and Kuhn

July 2, 1992 Inventory and Appraisement of Plaintiff, Anita M. Brown, filed.

July 8, 1992 Certificate of Notice of Inventorary and Appraisement of Anita M. Brown filed.

Oct. 12, 1992 Petition To Compel Filing of Inventory and Appraisement and Order filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 12 day of October, 1992, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that Respondent shall file his Inventory and Appraisement under the above term and number no later than the 12 day of November, 1992.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Oct. 16, 1992 Copies of order to Atty's Malc and Kuhn

Nov. 12, 1992 Inventory and Appraisment of Daryl A.Brown, Defendant, filed.

May 19, 1993 Plaintiff's Income and Expense Statement filed.

May 19, 1993 Appearance of Devine & Kuftic by Elizabeth A. Malc, Esquire for Anita M.Brown, Plaintiff, filed.

June 3, 1993 Motion for Appointment of Master inDivorce filed.

June 3, 1993 Order filed. AND NOW, June 1, 1993, upon motion of the plaintiff to appoint a Master in divorce and after reviewing the file in its entirety said motion is denied.

The plaintiff's sole ground for divorce in her complaint or amended complaint, is irretrievably breakdown of the marriage. She has not pled any fault grounds. She signed a consent under 23 Pa.,C.S.A. 3301 (c) but the defendant has not filed a consent.

The plaintiff has not complied with 23 Pa.C.S.A. 3301 (d).

In essence, therefore, the Master cannot decide a divorce action on fault grounds, the case is not in a proper posture for a no-fault divorce and the Master's work on the economic issues would be of no value. Therefore until this matter is in a proper procedural posture to either present a fault divorce to the Master or to enter a divorce decree on no-fault grounds, the Court will not appoint a Master.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

June 14, 1993 Copies to Attys. Devine, Kuhn

Sep. 16, 1993 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 3301 (d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Oct. 8, 1993 Counteraffidavit of Daryl A. Brown, Defendant, filed.

Nov. 10, 1993 Petiton to Amend Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce and Amendment of Pre-Trial Narrative and ORDER filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 10th day of November, 1993, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that Plaintiff is permitted to Amend her NOtion for Appointment of Master and Pre-Trial Narrative to exclude the count of alimony.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

11-15-93 Copy of Order to Attys. Malc & Kuhn (4 copies Atty.)

11-10-93 Plaintiff's amended Pre-Trial Narrative, filed. (4 copies Atty.)

Continued to Page 100 C

Anita M. Brown
vs.
Daryl A.Brown

Continued from Page 100 B
of Record
AD 1988 - 100

****

✓ Nov. 17, 1993 Amended Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce and ORDER APPOINTING MASTER, filed. AND NOW, this 16th day of November, 1993, John F. Spataro, Esquire, is appointed Master in respect to the following claims:
Deposit required: By Plaintiff $250= By Defendant $500
If not filed already, the parties are ordered to file their pretrial statements within thirty (30) days from this date.
Alimony pendente lite, equitable distribution, counsel fees,costs & expenses.

Per Curiam,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

11-18-93 Copies dist. to Malc & Spataro

✓ Feb. 2, 1994 Master's Report. Memorandum of Pre-Hearing Conference, filed.

✓ Feb. 8, 1994 Motion,Master's Report Memorandum of Pre-Hearing Conference and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 7 day of February, 1994, upon consideration of thewithin motion and the report of the Master, it is hereby ordered as follows:
1. Sylvia L.Dratler,Esq., is hereby appointed Master of this divorce case and attorney John E. Spataro,Esquire is hereby relieved from this assignment.
2. The Master shall hold a Masters Hearing no sooner than March 15, 1994 and no later than April 30, 1994.

BY THE COURT
Anthony J.Vardaro,J.

Feb. 9, 1994 Copy of Order to Attys. Malc, Kuhn, Spataro & Dratler

✓ Feb.7, 1994 Motion for Master's Fees and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 7 day of February, 1994, upon consideration of the within Motion, Master's Fees are hereby set at $100 . The Prothonotary is directed to issue payment to Attorney Spataro.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

2-10-94 Copy of Order to Attys.Malc, Kuhn & Dratler &Spataro

✓ March 11, 1994 Motion To compel Filing of Pre-Trial Narrative and ORDER filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 11th day of March, 1994, it is hereby, ordered, adjudged and decreed that the parties to the above captioned action shall file their original Pre-Trial Narratives or any Amendment thereof no later than April 15, 1994.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

March 16, 1994 Copy of Order to Attys. Malc, Kuhn, Dratler, Spataro.

✓ Apr. 21, 1994 Respondent's Answer to Motion of Sanctions, filed.

✓ Apr.22, 1994 Motion for Sanctions, filed.

✓ May 12, 1994 Motion forContinuance and Extension of Time and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this _____ day of April, 1994, after due consideration of the foregoing Motion for Continuance and Extensionof time and the Court having been advised of the Defendant's retainer of new counsel, the Defendant and new counsel will provide a Pre-Trial Narrative and any other required items on or before the ____ day of _____, 1994, and that the Defendant shall pay the required amount of $950 to the Prothonotary on or before that date, whereafter the Master shall schedule a pre-trial conference, if needed, and Master's Hearing.
And Now, 5/12/94 See our separate order entered this date as a consent order.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J

5-12-94 Faxed copies Attys.Kuhn, Malc & Dratler

✓ May 12, 1994 Consent Order filed. The parties to the above-captioned action through their respective counsel do hereby agree as follows:
1. Anita M. Brown, Plaintiff, presented and filed a Motion for Sanctions on April 22, 1994, requesting relief under Pa. R.C.P. 1920.33 (c), (d) (1) and Pa. R.C.P.4019 (c) (2), for Defendant's failure to file his Pre-Trial Narrative by April 15, 1994. A copy of this MOtion is attached as Exhibit "A" and herein incorporated by reference
2. Daryl A. Brown,Defendant, sent an Answer to Motion of Sanctions to Plaintff's counsel, Elizabeth A. Malc, Esquire,setting forth reasons why he was unable tofile his Pre-Trial Narrative within the time provided by the Court Order. A copy of Defendant's Motion is attached as Exhibit "B" and herein incorporated by reference. Defendant also sent a motion for Continuance and Extension of Time. A copy is attached as Exhibit "C" and herein incorporated by reference.
3. As a compromise of the parties regarding the Motion for Sanctions, the following stipulations were agreed upon:
a. Both parties agree to a continuance for the hold of Master's Hearing. The Master's Hearing shall be held no later than June 15, 1994.
b. Both parties shall file their Pre-Trial narratives or any amendment thereof no late r than May 15, 1994. Both parties shall also send the other party copies of their tax returns for 1988-1993 if filed, no later than May 15, 1994, unless already sent.
c. In return, Defendant, DAryl Brown, agrees to pay Anita Brown's deposit of additional Master's funds in the amount of Five Hundred and Fifty ($550.00) Dollars by the date specified by the Master. A copy of the Master's Attorney Sylvia Dratler's,letter to counsel dated April 11, 1994,setting forth payment of fees is attached as Exhibit "D" and herein incorporated by reference.
d. Should Defendant disobey any provisions of this Order, Plaintiff expressly reserves the right to file sanctions available to her under the Divoce Code and the applicable Rules of Civil Procedure and whatever other rules may apply.
5/12/94

Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

5-12-94 Faxed copies to Attys.Kuhn, Malc & Dratler

✓ May 24, 1994 Motion to Withdraw from Counsel of DAniel J. Kuhn, Esquire, for Defendant, Daryl A. Brown, filed.

39 ✓ May 24, 1994 Appearance of Elizabeth A. Prather, Esquire, Attorney for Defendant, filed.

Jul. 21, 1994 Master's Report, Notice ofFiling Master's Report, Proof of Notice and Certification, filed.

Jul. 21, 1994 Master's Exhibits filed.

✓ Aug. 1, 1994 Defendant's Exceptions to Master's Report filed.

✓ Aug. 1, 1994 Exceptions to Master's Report Pursuant to Pa., R.C.P. §1920.55, filed.

✓ Aug. 23, 1994 Motion for Master's Fees and Order filed.

✓ Aug. 23, 1994 ORDER filed. AND NOW, August 23, 1994,upon consideration of the within motion, Master's fees are hereby set at $3,200. The Prothonotary currently has $1,500 on deposit and is directed to issue payment to Attorney Sylvia Dratler for $1,185 forthwith and is further directed to issue a check in the amount of $315 for

Continued to Page 100 D

100
D

1988

stenographic expenses to the appropriate Court Reporter as advised by the Master. That check may be given to the Master for transmittal to the appropriate stenographic service.

The balance of$1,700 due in Master's fees shall be paid within 30 days to the Prothonotary with the Plaintiff paying $340 and the Defendant paying $1,360. As those amounts are received,the Prothonotary is authorized to immediately pay the same to the Master.

The payment ordered with respect to the outstanding $1,700 is based on the Master's determination that 20% should be paid by the Plaintiff and 80% should be paid by the Defendant.

We note that exceptions have beenfiled and this order is without prejudice to the Court to make adjustments with respect to those payments if it is deemed appropriate bythe Court upon review of the exceptions.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

8-25-94 Faxed to Attys. Prather, Malc & Dratler

✓ Sep. 16, 1994 Master's Hearing in Divorce, Vol. I, filed.

✓ Sep. 16, 1994 Master's Hearing in Divorce, Vol. II, filed.

✓ Sep. 16, 1994 Master's Hearing in Divorce, Vol. III, filed.

Sept. 23, 1994 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, this case placed on next Argument List list.

ON OCTOBER 1994 ARGUMENT LIST

✓ Oct. 3, 1994, Motion for Termination of Representation Pursuant to Rule 1.16(b) of the Rules of Professional Conduct and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Oct. 3, 1994, on motion for termination of Representation Pursuant to rule 1.16(b) of the rules of Professional Conduct, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED LAND DECREED that representation shall be terminated pursuant to Rule 1.16(b) of the Rules of Professional conduct.

By the court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.
10/3/94 faxed to Malc and E. Prather.

✓ Nov.23, 1994 Petition for Contempt for Failure to Pay Master's Fees and ORDER filed. AND NOW,this 23 day of November, 1994,upon motion of Sylvia L.Dratler, Esquire, Master, and upon consideration of the within Petition for Contempt, it is hereby ordered that: hearing to be set December 8, 1994 at 3:30 P.M. in Ct.Rm. 2, Crawford County Courthouse. Master shall serve Defendant with Notice of Hearing.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

11-23-94 Faxed to Attys. Dratler & Malc

Dec. 9, 1994 Order filed. AND NOW, December 8, 1994 this matter isbefore theCourton theMaster's petition for contempt for failure to pay Master's Fees. Essentially the Defendant has not paid the $1360 Which was ordered by the Court on August 28, 1994 to be paid wtihin 30 days.

The Defendant did not pay any portion of that amount and has not petitioned the Court for any releif but appears this day pro se indicating that his divorce proceeding has drained his finances and he cannot afford to pay the Master's Fee.

This matter is still ongoing in the exceptions have been filed, but nonetheless, the Master is entitled to be paid. The Defendant indicates that he has income of $2800 per month and we believe thathe has sufficient assets and income that he can take care of this matter.

Accordingly, he is adjudicated in contempt of Court.

He is sentenced to undergo imprisonment in the Crawford County Jail for a minimum term of 3 months and a maximum term of 6 months, with work release privileges.

That incarceration is suspended for a period of 30 days form this date in which time the Defendant may purge himself of contempt by paying to the Master #1360. If he fails to do so, then he shall report to the Crawford County Jail on January 9, 1995 at 9:00 a.m. to commence service of the sentence imposed.

In the event that he appears to serve that sentence, at any time he paid the $1360 during the term of that sentence, in full, he may be released from incarceration as having purged himself of contempt.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Dec. 9, 1994 copies sent Dratler, Malc & dft.

✓ Mar. 3, 1995, Upon praecipe of both parties,plaintiff's exceptions to Master's Report placed on next argument list.

✓ Mar. 3, 1995, Upon praecipe of both parties, defendant's exceptions to Master's Report placed on next argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR APRIL 1995

✓ Mar. 24, 1995 Memorandum and ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 21, 1995, upon receipt and review of a letter from James I. McCleery, Court Reporter, dated March 14, 1995 claiming that heis entitled to an additional $300.03 for his court reporter services in this case it is ordered as follows:

1. Mr. McCleery's letter and bills shall be attached to this Memorandum and Order and placed of record.
2. The plaintiff shall pay the Prothonotary $100 and the defendant shall pay the Prothonotary $200.03 no later than April 21, 1995. Upon receipt of said sums the Prothonotary shall pay James I. McCleery $300.03 forthwith.
3. Any party wishing to object or take exceptions to this Order shall file a petition for reconsideration with the Prothonotary within ten (10) days from the date of this Order. Any such petition shall be forwarded to the Court Administrator for disposition by the Court. A party filing such a petition is reminded that or she must comply with the Crawford CountyCivil Rules regarding the filing of motions and petitions.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller,P. J.

3-24-95 Copies dist. to Malc & Dratler & McCleery. 3-30-95 copy mailed to Deft.

✓ Apr.24, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW,April 24, 1995, this matter is before the Court for argument of exceptions by both the Plaintiff and the Defendant to the Master's report.

At the conclusions of that argument,we discuss a Memorandum and Order entered by Judge Miller and filed on March 24, 1995 requiring the payment of certain monies to the Prothonotary so that the Court Reporter could be paid in full.

Specifically, Plaintiff has paid the $100 she was supposed to pay by April 21, 1995 but the Defendant has not paid the $203 that he was to pay.

He indicates that he did not know about that Memorandum and Order and a review of the record discloses that it was only sent on March 24, 1995 to Elizabeth Malc, Esquire; Sylvia Drastler, Esquire, the Master; and the to the Court Reporter James McCleery.

Accordingly, since that Memorandum and Order was not sent toMr. Brown although he is proceeding pro se, this day he is advised orally that he will have 30 days from April24, 1995 to pay the Prothonotary the $203 that he was required to pay by Judge Miller's order.

Continued to Page 100 E

56

AND NOW, July 31, 1995, the Court having considered the exceptions of the parties, we hereby order and decree as follows:

1. Insofar as the requisite requirements have been met and we find the marriage is irretrievably broken, pursuant to Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code, it is ordered and decreed that Anita M. Brown, Plaintiff, and Daryl A. Brown, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

2. The following marital property is awarded to the Plaintiff:
   a. Any personal, tangible marital property currently in her possession;
   b. The 1987 Buick automobile.

3. The following marital property is awarded to the Defendant:
   a. Any personal, tangible marital property currently in his possession;
   b. Farm equipment in possession of the Defendant having a total value of $44,936;
   c. All marital livestock in possession of the Defendant having a value of $27,037.50 [this excludes two cows which are considered non-marital property and being property of the parties' daughters];
   d. Real property, including the mobile home and other buildings, in possession of the Defendant valued at $58,000;
   e. All oil and gas royalties which were previously received by the Defendant in the amount of $4,142.80.

4. The Defendant shall, within a period of 45 days from the date of this decree, pay to the Plaintiff the sum of $60,360.06 insofar as we find that amount is due to the Plaintiff to allow an equitable distribution of the property and that the Defendant will, as a result of this equitable distribution, have substantial unencumbered assets which can be used for collateral to allow him to obtain those funds.

If the Defendant has not tendered that sum of money to the Plaintiff on or before September 14, 1995, the parties shall, on September 15, 1995 [the 46th day after this Decree], list the real estate, farm equipment and marital livestock for sale with a reputable real estate broker to be agreed upon by the parties for an amount to be agreed upon by the parties.

If it becomes necessary on the 46th day following this Decree to list the property and the parties cannot at that time agree upon a real estate broker and a listing price, the said property shall be listed immediately with a selling price of $140,000 with one of the following offices: Caldwell Banker-Bainbridge Kaufman Real Estate, Price Real Estate or Network 1 Realty. If the parties cannot immediately agree upon one of those three realtors or upon another realtor, the Court designates Price Real Estate to be the listing realtor.

If an offer is received which will allow the Plaintiff to net $60,360.06 and the Defendant to net $69,613.44 [$134,116.30 minus the Plaintiff's share of $60,360.06 and minus the oil and gas royalties already received by the Defendant in the amount of $4,142.80], they shall be required to accept that offer and to sell the property. Upon the sale of the property, the Plaintiff shall receive the sum of $60,360.06, together with interest at the rate of 10% per annum on that amount payable beginning on the 46th day after the Decree, together with the amount of counsel fees awarded in this Decree if they have not already been paid by the Defendant, plus interest on those counsel fees at the rate 6% per annum, commencing on the 46th day after this Decree was entered. Likewise, in addition to the $60,360.06 from the net proceeds due to the Plaintiff, she shall receive reimbursement for any Master's fees, costs and expenses that may be due her as a result of this Decree that have not been paid, together with interest on the same at the rate of 6% per annum from the 46th day after entry of the Decree.

In the event the net amount [the amount realized from the sale before the parties receive their shares and before the Defendant reimburses the Plaintiff for interest on the $60,360.06 from the 46th day together with reimbursing the Plaintiff for any outstanding Master's fees, costs, expenses and counsel fees and appropriate interest] exceeds $129,973.50 [$60,360.06 plus $69,613.44], the excess amount shall be split 50-50 by the parties.

If no such offer is received within three months and the parties have not otherwise received an offer agreeable to them and if the Defendant is not prepared to tender to the Plaintiff $60,360.06, together with interest at the rate 10% per annum for the period of time from the 46th day after the Decree until the time the money is paid, together with all outstanding counsel fees, Master's fees and expenses ordered reimbursed through this Decree, together with interest at the rate of 6% per annum from the 46th day, and three months have passed since the property was first listed, the farm, including the equipment and livestock, shall be scheduled for absolute public auction with C. Sherman Allen with said auction to be held as soon as reasonably possible allowing for proper advertisement.

The Plaintiff may not refuse to accept a private offer for the sale of the real estate thus forcing a public auction if, as a result of the sale, either through the amount of the sale or by agreement of the Defendant Plaintiff will receive $60,360.06, together with interest at the rate of 10% per annum for the period of time from the 46th day after the decree until the time the money is paid as well as reimbursement for counsel fees, Master's fees and costs together with interest as provided for in this Decree. In the event a public auction sale is held, the net proceeds shall first be distributed by paying the Plaintiff the sum of $60,360.06, together with interest at the rate of 10% per annum for the period of time from the 46th day after the Decree until the time the money is paid together with counsel fees, expenses and Master's fees reimbursements set forth in this Decree with interest at the rate of 6% per annum from the 46th day after this Decree is dated and the balance shall be paid to the Defendant except that, any amount that remains after the Defendant receives $69,613.44 less the amount of counsel fees, expenses and reimbursement for Master's fees and expenses together with interest thereon as set forth above, shall be divided equally between the parties.

In the event the Defendant does pay the Plaintiff the amount due to her and there is no sale of the farm, the Defendant shall remain solely responsible for the payment of the Integra loan with respect to the milker or any other loan that may have resulted from any refinancing concerning that milker and he shall indemnify and hold harmless the Plaintiff with respect to any such loan. In the event a sale of the farm is necessary because the Defendant has not paid the sum due to the Plaintiff, the payoff for the Integra loan or such other loan with respect to the milker shall be totally deducted from the Defendant's share of the proceeds after all other deductions set forth have taken place. In other words, it is the Court's intention that deduction not take place after there has been a net amount determined which begins the calculations we have indicated above in this Decree and that the Integra or other such loan balance be paid totally from the Defendant's final share after all other deductions.

We note in making this Decree it is our intention and hope that the Defendant will simply obtain whatever loan necessary to pay the Plaintiff everything she is due in a timely manner so that the Defendant will then be able to continue in business in the farming operation but we have set forth the other possibilities because we find that the Defendant has done everything possible during the course of this litigation to prolong the litigation and keep the Plaintiff from receiving any benefit of the marital property and we want to discourage that from happening in the future.

The Plaintiff shall be entitled to the 1987 Buick automobile and the Defendant shall be entitled to the 1986 Chevy 4x4 truck.

Whatever the ultimate circumstances based on this Decree, each party shall cooperate fully and promptly in signing any documents necessary to transfer ownership with the person receiving ownership preparing the document and paying any filing fees necessary with respect to any documents that may be appropriate and, in the event the farm is sold either through a private sale or public auction, the parties shall promptly sign all documents necessary to make that transfer.

Recognizing the fact that the Plaintiff will have a large sum of cash as a result of this Decree but also recognizing she has no prospects for future income and the fact that the record discloses the Defendant has caused this divorce action to drag on longer than necessary and further recognizing the fact that the Defendant has already paid counsel fees in the amount of $2,384.50 for the Plaintiff, it is ordered and directed that the Defendant pay an additional $6,000 in counsel fees.

Those are to be paid within 45 days of the date of the Decree and, if the Defendant has not done so, interest will accrue at the rate of 6% per annum commencing on the 46th day and continuing until the same has been paid either in cash or through a sale of the farm as indicated in this Decree.

With respect to the Master's fees and expenses, we note that they have all been paid for a total of $3,603.03. The Plaintiff has paid $1,490 of that amount and the Defendant has paid $2,113.03 of that amount. Based on the disparity of income of the parties, we accept the Master's determination that the Plaintiff should pay 20% and the Defendant should pay 80% and therefore the Defendant owes the Plaintiff $769.39 to reimburse her for the overage she has paid. That amount shall be paid to the Plaintiff by the Defendant within 45 days of the date of this decree and, if it has not been paid by that time, interest shall accrue at the rate of 6% per annum commencing on the 46th day after the Decree and the amount due, including such interest, shall be deducted from the Defendant's share of any proceeds from a private sale of the farm or from a public auction of the farm as indicated previously in this Decree.

Contrary to the Master's determination, we will require the Plaintiff to pay all of the C. Sherman Allen appraisal herself insofar as we are not sure that was a necessary appraisal and we have relied on the chesley appraisals.

Finally, we note that we have considered all possible tax consequences to the parties in entering this Decree.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

July 31, 1995 Distributed to Deft., Attys. Devine and Malc.

Aug. 8, 1995 Notice of Appeal to Superior Court of Pennsylvania, filed.

Continued to Page 100 E-2

100
E (2)

1988

Brown vs Brown AD 1988- 100 Continued from Page 100 E-1

✓ Aug. 10, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, August 8, 1995, this matter is befsore the Court for various matters, including a Pedtition for Bifurcation and a Petition for Contempt and to Amend Alimony Pendente Lite.

With respect to the Petition for Bifurcation and the Petition to Amend Alimony Pendente Lite, it is agreed by counsel that there are legal issues that need to be resolved prior to an evidentiary hearing. Specifically, the Court must determine whether the Court still has jurisdiction to entertain a Petition for Bifurcation insofar as a final decree has been entered and has now been appealed to the Superior Court by the Defendant.

With respect to the Petition to Amend Alimony Pendente Lifte, the defendant concedes that he must continue topay the ordered amount of alimony pendente lite, but contends that the Court may be without power to amend an order to increase the amount of alimony pendente lite.

The partiese agree that counsel for the defendant willdetermine whether there are transcripts available of the 1989 and 1990 hearings before Judge Thomas with respect to the setting of alimony pendente lite and the modification of the amount; and if so, those transcripts will be obtained,and once counsel for both sides has those transcripts, they will have 10 days to file a brief with this Court with respect to those two issues.

If there is no transcript available, counsel for the defendant will promptly notify counsel for the plaintiff and both will have 10 days to file a brief with respect to those issues from that time.

With respect to the Petition to Hold the Defendant in Contempt for failure to pay alimoney pendente lite in a timely manner, it is first noted and conceded by the defendant that he has not made the June and July payments in the amount of $400 and he has not made the August 1st payment in the amount of $200, so he is in arrears $1,000. In addition, he will jhave a payment of $200 due on August 15, 1995.

Based on those facts,we find that the defendant is in contempt, and for his contempt, he is sentenced to the Crawford County Jail until such time as he purges himself of contempt.

That Sentence is suspended at this time to give him an opportunity to purge himself of contempt before imposition of the jail sentence. He may do so by paying at least the sum of $800 on or before August 15, 1995, and the balance of $400 on or before August 31, 1995, so that his account is current at the end of August, 1995.

He will then be required to make his September payments, and in light of the fact that he will have just made the last August payment,we will give him until Setpember 10, 1995 to make his first September payment with the balances to be paid by Setpember 15, 1995,as required by the Order.

If the defendant does so,he will have purged himself of contempt; and so long as he continues to be current,he will remain purged of contempt and he will not be incarcerated.

If the defendant fails to make the August 15, 1995 payment, he shall report to the Crawford County Jail at 9 a.m. on Auigust 16, 1995,and remain there until jhe makes the payment necessary tp purge himself of contempt. If he misses the August 31, 1995 payment in the amount of $400, he shall report to the Crawford County Jail on September 1, 1995 at 9 a.m. and he shall remain there until he purges himself of contempt by making that payment.

If the defendant pays the entire $1200 by August 15, 1995, we will not impose counsel fees. If he does not do so by that date, he shall pay counsel fees to counsel for the plaintiff in the amount of $150 on or before September 30, 1995.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J.Vardaro, Judge

8-10-95 Malc & Kelley & Jail

✓ Aug. 14, 1995 Notice of Superior Court Docketing, Docket #01520PGH95, filed.

✓ Aug. 28, 1995, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Aug. 28, 1995, the defendant/Appellant is directed to file of record in this court and serve on the trial judge a concise statement of matters complained of on appeal no later than 14 days after the entryof this order pursuant to Pa. Rule of Appellate Procedure 1925(b).

BYTHE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

8/28/ to Kelley and Devine and Malc

Jan. 26, 1996 Certificate of Contents of Remanded Record and Notice of Remand Under Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure 2571 and 2752 filed.

Jan. 26, 1996 Certified from the Record. November 13, 1995 Motion to Dismiss and Request for Costs and Counsel fees filed. ORDER OF COURT. Appellant filed his notice of appeal on August 8, 1995, from the trial court order of July 31, 1995. The trial court record was received in this court on September 15,1995. Pursuant to Pa.R.A.P.2185, the appellant's brief was due no later than October 25,1995, but to date, a brief has not been filed. This court therefore GRANTS the motion to dismiss the appeal. See Pa. R.A.P. 2188 & note. See also Pa. R.A.P.1972 ("Unless otherwise ordered by the appellate court, a motion under this rule shall not relieve any partyof the duty of filing his paperbooks within the time otherwise prescribed therefor.") The request for counsel fees, costs and damages is DENIED.

PER CURIAM
Eleanor R.Valecho, Deputy Prothonotary

DATE: December 11, 1995
1-30-96 Copy toAttys. Malc & Kelly

Papers on File Cab A.

Apr. 22, 1996 ORDER filed. AND NOW, April 22, 1996,based on our Order of December 6, 1995, we have now considered and thoroughly reviewed all of the exhibits agreed upon by the parties for us to make a determination as to whether the alimony pendente lite order in the amount of $400.00 per month should be modified, and we conclude that effective July 5, 1995 through the final date of divorce, the alimony pendente lite amount should be $500.00 per month and it is ordered and directed that that amount is established.

This Order is based on the defendant's net monthly income being somewhere in the $1,300 to $1,500 range and the plaintiff not being able to earn income and relying solely on this alimony pendente lite award.

The defendant shall be be obligated to pay any arrears balance created as a result of underpayment due to the retroactive nature of this Order, since there has been a delay while this matter was being litigated.

Set arrearage shall be paid in full within 60 days of the date of this Order.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro,J.

4-22-96 Faxed Malc, 4-22-96 Mailed Kelly

May 8, 1996 Petition to Set Schedule for Equitable Distribution of Marital Property Under Decree and ORDER filed.

AND NOW, this 8th day of May, 1996 it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the Defendant, Daryl A. Brown, shall pay Petitioner according to the following schedule:

Return 30 days from this date.

Gordon R. Miller

FAXED 5-9-96 Mal C.

July 17, 1996 Affidavit of Service filed. Before me, the undersigned Notary Public, personally appeared David R. Devine, Esquire, of 201 Erie Street, Edinboro, Pennsylvania, Attorney for Plaintiff, Anita M. Brown, in the above captioned case. Attorney Devine deposes and states that he served a true and certified copy of the Petition for Contempt of Court Order and For Award of Attorney Fees in the above captioned case to Defendant's Attorney, Michele M. Hawk-Kelly, by Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested on July 1, 1996, and to Defendant, Daryl A. Brown, by Certified Mail Return Receipt requested on JUly 2, 1996, a copy of each return receipt is attached to this Affidavit and made a part thereof.

David R. Devine

A copy of this order shall be provided by the Prothonotary to Elizabeth A. Malc, Esquire; Sylvia L.Dratler, Esquire, as Master; James McCleery as Court Reporter and a copy of this order together with Judge Miller's Memorandum and Order filed on March 24, 1995 shall be provided to the Defendant Daryl A.Brown.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

4-24-95 Copies dist. Brown, Malc, Dratler & McCleery

✓ Apr. 26, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, April24, 1995 at the time set for the oral argument in this matter,the Defendant appears having not filed a brief as required by our local rules and indicates that he now wants a continuance of this argument due to the fact that he is attempting to secure counsel. Specifically,he has indicated he has talked to Michelle Hawke Kelly, Esquire, and that she has not entered an appearance on hisbehalf but wanted this matter continued so that she could further review the file to decide whether or not she can represent him.

At this late time, we will not grant such a continuance and with respect to the Plaintiff's motion to impose sanctions pursuant to Local Rule L306G, we decline to do that; but pursuant to L306G, we will not permit the Defendant to orally argue his exceptions.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

4-26-95 mailed Malc & Brown

✓ June 23, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 21, 1995 at motions court, counsel for the Plaintiff files a petition for contempt and to amend alimony pendente lite, and another petition for bifurcation.

The Court is advised that exceptions to the divorce master's reprot are in the hands of Judge Anthony J. Vardaro, pending decision. Accordingly, this Court believes that Judge Vardaro should handle the two petitions presented at motions court this day, and accordingly, those matters are referred to Judge Anthony J. Vardaro for consideration in view of the fact that he is now to rule on exceptions to the master's report.

The Court notes that the Defendant appears pro se and says he is opposed to bifurcation and additionally contends that two recent payments made to the Domestic Relations Section bring the alimony pendente lite support account current. Plaintiff appears with her counsel and advises such is not the case, and accordingly, Judge Vardaro shoudl ascertain the status of the alimony pendente lite account for his enlightenment.

In this regard, the parties advise that there is currently a scheduled hearing before the Domestic Relations Hearing Officer on Defendant's petition to modify alimony pendente lite.

To add further confusion to this case, the Defendant advises he will probably be retaining counsel and there is also pending in the Domestic Relations Section the Defendant's petition for support for a minor child that is in his custody.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

June 23, 1995 Order distributed to Atty. Malc and Deft.

✓ July 5, 1995, Petition for Contempt and to Amend A.P.L. and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 3 dayof July 1995, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a hearing on this matter will be held on the 8th day of Aug. 1995, in C. R. No. 2, at 9:30 a.m. in the Crawford CountyCourt of Common Pleas.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

7/6/95 faxed to Malc, and copy mailed to dft.

✓ July 5, 1995, Petition for Bifurcation and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 3 day of July 1995 it is herebY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED tha a hearing on the issue of bifurcation will be held on the 8th dayof Aug. 1995 in the C. R. #2, at 9:30 a.m. in the Crawford County Court of Common Pleas.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

7/6/95 faxed to Malc and copy mailed to dft.

✓ ***** MEMORANDUM AND ORDER AND DIVORCE DECREE ON PAGE 100 E (1).....

Oct. 10, 1995 ORDER FILED AND NOW, September 29, 1995, this matter is before the Court for a child support hearing at D.R. 1995-414. The Defendant moves to continue that hearing and, at the consent of the Plaintiff, it is continued with a hearing with regard to child support to be set at the same time as a hearing which was previously rescheduled by the Court with regard to alimony pendente lite.

As was previously ordered by the Court, both parties are to file briefs with regard to the alimony pendente lite issues and that will be done on or before November 1, 1995.

The Court Administrator will schedule one half day so that the Court may hearing the child support matter and the alimony pendente lite matter at the same time.

That will not be scheduled until sometime after November 1, 1995.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

10-10-95 dist to Kelly & Malc

Nov. 6, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, November 1, 1995, theCourt Administrator having been directed by the Court to schedule a hearing on both the child support matter and the alimony pendente lite matter in the above case, the same is scheduled for December 6, 1995, at 9:15 A.M., in Courtroom No.2, Crawford County Courthouse,Meadville,PA

FOR THE COURT,
Ann A. Walker, Ct. Administrator

11-13-95 Copy mailed to Attys. Hawk-Kelly £ Malc

Dec. 14, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, December 6, 1995 this matter is before the Court for a hearing o the Plaintiff's request for modification of the existing alimony pendente lite order. First of all, we note that the divorce action in this case is on appeal to the Superior Court of Pennsylvania and counsel for both parties now agree that the Court does have jurisdiction to modify alimony pendente lite or to at least consider that issue in determining whether a modification is appripriate.

The parties also agree that there will not apparently be the need for formal testimony and that the Court may decide whether a modification is appropriate based on the submission of the following items:

The Defendant's 1994 Federal Income Tax Return
The Plaintiff's Income and Expense Statement
Supporting Documentation to the Defendant's 1994 Tax Return.

The attorney for the Defendant will supply to counsel for the Plaintiff and to the Court a copy of the Defendant's 1995 tax return and the supporting documents within 10 days of the date of this order, together with any written argument she wishes to make on behalf of the Defendant.

Within 10 days of the receipt of those items by the attorney for the Plaintiff, Plaintiff's counsel shall file with the Court any written argument that she has with regard to these issues or if she believes testimony will then be necessary, she shall make that request within 10 days.

Counsel for the Plaintiff this day submits the Plaintiff's Income and Expense statement to the Court for Consideration, as well as documents indicating that the Plaintiff has been denied Social Security Benefits, which documents are submitted without objection by the Defendant's counsel.

Once this matter appears to be in order, without the necessity for further hearing, the Court will make a decision in this case.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

12-14-95 Malc & Kelley.

100
F

1988

100. ANITA M. BROWN, Plaintiff
VS.
DARYL A. BROWN, Defendant

CONTINUE FROM PAGE 100-E
OF RECORD
A. D. 1988 - 100

****

June 19, 1996 Petition for Contempt of Court Order and for Award of Attorney Fees and RULE TO SHOW CAUSE filed. Respondent/Defendant is hereby Ordered to appear in courtroom 1, on Junly 19, 1996, and to show cause why he should be held in contempt of Court at 4:00 p.m.
Gordon R. Miller, J.
6-19-96 MAILED Devore. FAXED Keller.

July 25, 1996 ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 19, 1996, at a contempt hearing held after due and appropriate notice was given, the Defendant did not appear, but the hearing was held nonetheless.

We find and order as follows:

1. The Defendant is in Contempt of Court for having failed to comply with the July 31, 1995 Divorce Decree which is now a Final Decree.

2. The Defendant is ordered to fully and completely comply with the Divorce Decree of July 31, 1995 no later than August 19, 1996. This is the Defendant's final opportunity to purge himself from Contempt. The Defendant is advised that if he is punished for Contempt for failure to comply with this order, he may be sentenced to undergo imprisonment for up to 6 months and fined up to $1,000 or both.

3. The Defendant shall also, in addition to all sums under the Divorce Decree, pay an additional sum of $1,128.50 representing counsel fees incurred by the Plaintiff as a result of the Defendant's failure to comply with the Divorce Decree.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

7-26-96 Mailed Devine & Deft.

NOv 14, 1996 Petition for Contempt and award of Attorneys Fees and Order: Respondent/Defendant is hereby Ordered to appear in Courtroom 1, on Thurs. Dec. 12, 1996, at 10:30 A.M., and to show cause why he should not be held in contempt of Court.
Ann A. Walker, Crt. Adm.
Nov 14, 1996 Faxed Hawk-Kelly, Malc

Nov 14, 1996 Petition for Contempt and Award for Attorney Fees and Order: Respondent/Defendant is hereby Ordered to appear in Cortroom 1, on thurs. Dec. 12, 1996, at 10:30 A.M., and to show cause why he should not be held in contempt of Court.
Ann A. Walker, Crt. Adm.
Nov 11, 1996 Faxed Hawk-Kelly, Malc

Dec. 11, 1996 Order filed. AND NOW, December 10, 1996, the Defendant's pro se motion for continuance is granted. It appears that he recently learned that the attorney who was representing him was no longer practicing law. That sudden event placed the Defendant in a position where he has no counsel.

Accordingly, the hearing scheduled for December 12 is continued to January 29, 1997 at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

This will give the Defendant an ample opportunity to obtain new counsel.

Since the Defendant is not represented at the present time, the Prothonotary shall send a copy of this Order to the Defendant at R.D. #3 Box 89a, Sterling Road, Cambridge Springs, Pa. 16403. When the Defendant obtains new counsel, that attorney should file a precipe for the entry of an appearance.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
12-11-96 MAILED Denne & Deft.

Dec 30, 1996 Affidavit of Service of Rule To Show Cause to Daryl A. Brown.

Dec 30, 1996 Affidavit of Service of Rule To Show Cause to Michelle Hawk Kelly.

Jan. 31, 1997 Motion for continuance by Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 31, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 29th day of January, 1997, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the Contempt Hearing scheduled for the above-captioned action is continued until the 10th day of April 1997, at 9:15 AM, Cr #1.
Gordon R. Miller,
2-3-97 MAILED DeVore & FAXED G. Schrock

Mar 19, 1997 Petition for Withdrawal of Contempt Actions and Order: AND NOW, to-wit, this 18th day of March 1997, It is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Petitiioner, Anita M. Brown, is permitted to withdraw her two Contempt Petitions that were filed on Nov 8, 1996. The Contempt Hearing currently scheduled for April 10, 1997 is hereby cancelled.
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Mar 19, 1997 Faxed Malc & Schroeck

1988

Jorden & White
Harry F. White, II

101.

RICHARD E. JOHNSON

vs.

CATHY I. JOHNSON

Filed Feb. 5, 1988
@ 1:56 P.M.

Tax & Entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 6/14/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 17, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. HARRY FABER WHITE, II, ESQUIRE, attorney for plaintiff, Richard E. Johnson in the above-captioned matter, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served the NOtice to Plead, and complaint under Section 201(c) of the divorce Code upon the defendant, cathy I. Johnson, by certified mail, return receipt requested, on February8, 1988, at the defendant's address, 226½ Thomas Street, Cambridge Springs, Crawford county, Pennsylvania, 16403. The defendant's acceptance ofthe same is attached hereto.
Harry Faber White, II

May 24, 1988, Affidavit of Plaintiff Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code filed.

May 24, 1988, Affidavit of Defendant Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code filed.

June 9, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

June 27, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D.**

AND NOW, June 27, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

R I C H A R D E . J O H N S O N and **C A T H Y I . J O H N S O N**

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Spadafore, Vardaro,
Walker & Johnson
Emil M. Spadafore, Jr.

102.

Bozic & Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

REBECCA SMITH AND GERALD SMITH
HER HUSBAND

vs.

ERIE INSURANCE EXCHANGE

Filed Feb. 5, 1988
@ 2:34 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriffs Costs 12.00

PETITION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF

1 copy (sheriff)

June 14, 1988 @ 10:17 A.M. Complaint Reinstated..

July 20, 1988 Appearance of Bozic & Thomas by R. Charles Thomas for Defendant filed.

Oct. 4, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. Defendant Erie Insurance exchange not served. Petition for Declaratory Relief being returned to Prothonotary Oct. 3, 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Ferguson, Spataro & O'Shea
Douglas W. Ferguson

103.

Marsh, Spaeder, Baur, Spaeder & Schaaf
W. Patrick Delaney
Gerry N. Terill
John B. Fessler

LAWRENCE ORDWAY

vs.

ROBERT N. WALKER, AND GERRY N. TERRILL

Filed Feb. 5, 1988
@ 4:01 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 26.00
Pro Co pd 3/30/88 9.00
Pro Co pd 10/1/90 5.00
Pro Cold 10/9/90 1.00

COMPLAINT IN TRESPASS

2 copies (sheriff)

Feb. 19, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Robert N. Walker personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on Februry 9, 1988 at 11:50 AM at his temporary place of residence, crawford County Jail, Meadville, PA.
SERVED Gerry N. Terrill within named defendant on February 9, 1988 at 11:31 AM by serving Helen Zirkle adult in charge of residence, at 798 Market Street, Meadvile, Crawford County, PA
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 15, 1988 Appearance of March, Spaeder, Baur Spaeder & Schaaf by W. Patrick Delaney for Gerry N. Terill filed.

And Now, Mar. 30, 1988 at 4:02 p.m. upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, Robert N. Walker, for want of an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Four Thousand Two Hundred Forty-eight and00/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
W-141
judgment entered 3/30/88

Debt $4,248.00
Int. from Aug. 7, 1986
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Apr. 8, 1988 Preliminary Objections filed.

April 8, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Attorney for Defendant Terrill, this case is placed on the Argument List.

On Argument List May 1988

May 27, 1988, Appearance of Wm. Patrick Delaney, of Marsh, Spaeder, Baur Spaeder & Schaaf, attorneys for defendant Gerry N. Terill, filed.

June 13, 1989MEMORANDUM AND ORDER FILED. ORDER: AND NOW, June 13, 1989, defendant's preliminary objections are overruled. Defendant is granted twenty (20) days in which to file a further responsive pleading.
BY THE COURT, Robert L. Walker, J.
June 13, 1989, Copy put in atty. Ferguson Box; copy mailed to Atty. Delancey and Robert N. Walker

July 27, 1989, Answer and New Matter filed.

Aug. 4, 1989, Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant Gerry N. Terrill's New Matter filed.

Aug. 17, 1989 Notice of Serving Interrogatories filed.

Oct. 10, 1989 NOtice Of Serving Answers To Interrogatories filed.

Oct. 10, 1989 Notice of Serving Response to Defendants request for Production of Documents file.

Oct. 10, 1989 Plaintiff's Response To Defendant Gerry N. Terrill's Request For Production of Documents filed.

June 15, 1990, Notice of Serving REquest for Admissions filed.

Aug. 16, 1990 Motion for Summary Judgment filed.

Aug. 16, 1990 Upon Praecipe of defendant's attorney the above matter is placed on the Argument List filed

1990 SEPTEMBER ARGUMENT LIST

Sept 7, 1990, Argument set for Nov. 1, 1990 at 1:30 p.m.

Oct. 1, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above-captioned case is marked Settled and Discontinued of record.

1988

Allen and Hall
Paul E. Allen

104.

Anthony Vardaro
for Crawford County

Blystone & Blystone
Peter E. Blystone
for Bor. of Cam. Spgs.

Theodore, H. Watts
for Penncrest

POLISH NATIONAL ALLIANCE
SCHOOL CORPORATION

vs.

CRAWFORD COUNTY,
PENNCREST SCHOOL DISTRICT,
CAMBRIDGE SPRINGS BOROUGH,
CAMBRIDGE TOWNSHIP

Filed Feb. 8, 1988
@ 9:21 a.m.

Tax & entry 2/4/16/88 $40.50 ✓

APPEAL FROM BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS

ORDER:

NOW, Feb. 8, 1988, on presentation of the foregoing Petition, on Appeal from Assessment, it is hereby ordered that a hearing be held upon said Appeal on the 30th dayof March, 1988 in Court Room No. 2. copies of the Petition and Order shall be served by personal service or certified mail by the Petitioner upon all Respondents.

PER CURIAM,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb. 9, 1988, copies of order to Atty. Allen.

Mar. 24, 1988, Motion to Continue Assessment Appeal Hearing and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 24 dayof March 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the hearing in regard to the appeal of the Petitioner is continued untilthe 7th day of June, 1988, at 9:15 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar. 28, 1988, copy of order to Attys. Paul Allen and A. Vardaro.

Mar. 24, 1988, Answer to Assessment Appeal Petition filed.

Mar. 31, 1988, Answer of Cambridge Springs Borough to Appeal Petition filed.

Apr. 6, 1988, Answer of Penncrest School District to Appeal Petition filed.

1988

Brian Lindsay
Plff

Cleckner and Fearen
Richard W. Cleckner

~~Watts, Pepicelli,~~
Dft Youngs-and-Youngs PC
~~Lisa-Pepicel-l-i-Youngs~~
Wit.

105.

RONALD D. GALLE

vs.

ANN S. GALLE

Filed Feb. 8, 1988
@ 10:59 a.m.

Tax & entry Pd 2/29/88 $40.50
Dauphin Co. costs 50.50
Pro Co pd 9-22-89 9.00
State pd 9-22-89 10.00
Pro Co Pd 10-25-89 #5.00

6/29/89 Rec'd #300.00 from plaintiff (master fee)

8/28/89 Rec'd #200.00 from Dft.

10/13/89 Paid #125.00 To Curtis Blystone Ck#5267

10/13/89 Paid #65.00 To McDonald Reporting Service Ck#5283

10/13/89 Paid #186.00 To Ronald D. Galle Ck#5284

10/13/89 Paid #134.00 To Ann S. Galle Ck#5285

CERTIFIED RECORD TRANSFERRED FROM THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF DAUPHIN COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA
(Dauphin County No. 2939-S-1984)

Dauphin County Record:

Sept. 21, 1984, Complaint in Divorce filed.

Oct. 5, 1984, Certificate of Service of Complaint filed.

Oct. 2, 1986, Amended Complaint under section 201(d) filed.

April 27, 1987, Plff's Affidavit under section 201(d) filed.

May 18, 1987, Dft's Counteraffidavit under section 201(d) filed.

Aug. 11, 1987, Reply to Counterclaim field.

Nov. 10, 1987, Inventory and appraisement of plff, filed.

Nov. 12, 1987, Robert B. Lieberman has been appointed Master.

Nov. 23, 1987, Petition for change of venue filed.

Nov. 27, 1987, Rule to Show Cause granted to Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 8, 1988, Motion for Order Absolute filed.

Jan. 11, 1988, Petition for Revocation of Special Master filed, and Order.

Jan. 11, 1988, Upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, it is hereby ordered and decreed that the divorce action filed at the above number is hereby transferred from Dauphin County, Pa. to the Court of Common pleas of crawford County, Pa. further, the Prothonotary of dauphin County is directed to transmit the record in this case to the Prothonotary of Crawford County forthwith. See FINAL ORDER filed.

John C. Dowling, Judge

Feb. 4, 1988, The above action is hereby transferred to the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County.

Crawford County entries:

June 24, 1988 Invenotary and Appraisement of Ann S. Galle filed

June 24, 1988 Budget Information filed.

Sept. 9, 1988 Motion To Set Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, this 9th day of September, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Mtion to SEt Hearing, said hearing is set for Sept. 28, 1988 at 2:00 o'clock P.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Sept, 12, 1988 Copies of order to Lisa Youngs and Brian Lindsay

Sept. 9, 1988 Petition For Bifurcation and Order filed. AND NOW, September 9, 1988, hearing on the Petition for Bifurcation is set for September 28, 1988 at 2:00 o'clock P.M. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

sept. 12, 1988 Copies of order to Brian Lindsay and Lisa Youngs

Oct. 5, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Nov. 10, 1988 ORDER filed. And Now, Nov. 10, 1988, the defendant's Petition for Alimony Pendente Lite is denied because of the existence of a spousal support order. The defendant's request for counsel fees is granted in that the plaintiff shall pay to defendant's counsel the sum of $100.00 on or before Nov. 30, 1988 and $100.00 on or before Dec. 30, 1988 to apply on counsel fees, reserving the right to the Court to make further adjustments of counsel fees if appropriate.

The plaintiff's Petition for Bifurcation is denied as premature without prejudice to the right of the plaintiff to renew the request for bifurcation after a Master's hearing has been held.

By Order Of the Court,
Robert L. Walker,J.

Nov. 15, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Brian Lindsay, Lisa Pepicelli Youngs.

Nov. 10, 1988 Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce and ORDER Appointing Master filed. And now, Nov. 10, 1988, William F. Peters, Esquire is appointed Master in respect to the following claims:

Alimony, counsel fees, costs and expenses, and dissolution of the marriage.

Plaintiff Ronald D. Galle shall file his Income and Expense Statement on or before Nov. 30,1988, or be barred from offering any testimony or evidence at trial.

Deposit required: By Plaintiff $300.00; By Defendant $200.00.

Per Curiam,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Nov. 15, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Brian Lindsay, Lisa Pepicelli Youngs.

Nov. 30, 1988, Income and Expense Statement of Ronald D. Galle filed.

Continued on page 554

1988

William J. Gregg 106. Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin and Stack, Paul D. Shafer, Jr.	NYE'S MARINA SERVICE, INC. vs. MERCATORIS OIL COMPANY, INC. Filed Feb. 8, 1988 @ 11:05 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Sheriff's Costs 21.00	SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION Issued (sheriff) Feb 23, 1988 Writ Returned: SERVED Mercatoris Oil Company, Inc. within named defendant on February 9, 1988 at 11:01 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Jan Metzler, Office Manager, and person in charge at the time, at their place of business, 1365 Main Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa 16335 So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept 26, 1988 Rule upon Plaintiff to filed Complaint issued. Appearance of Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack by Paul D. Shafer, Jr., Esq for Defendant filed.

Nov. 1, 1988, Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

Nov. 17, 1988 Acceptance of Service , Paul D. Shafer , Jr. ,atty for Defendant, accept service of the Complaint filed on Nov. 1, 1988, filed.

Sept. 29, 1989 Answer And New Matter filed.

1988

Bruce L. Smith

107.

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

ROGER C. PEEBLES

vs.

GARRY G. GATES
PATRICIA A. GATES

Filed Feb.8, 1988
@ 1:28 P.M.

Tax & entry paid $40.25 ✓
Pro Co pd 9/29/88 5.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 9-30-88 5.00 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF DISTRICT JUSTICE RONALD A. COLE 30-3-06

Feb. 8, 1988, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Feb. 10, 1988 Proof Of Service filed.

Feb. 26, 1988 Complaint filed.

Mar. 2, 1988 Affidavit filed. BRUCE L. SMITH, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 29th day of February, 1988, he served the complaint and Notice to Defend and Claim Rights in the above-captioned matter on the above-named Defendants by mailing a true and correct copy of said Complaint and Notice to defend and Claim Rights to the Defendants at their residence at 520 W. Spruce Street, Titusville, Pennsylvania, 16354.
Bruce L. Smith

March 9, 1988 Appearance of Altomare & Barnhart By Joseph C. Barnhart, Attorney for Defendant filed.

May 18, 1988, Defendant's Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

Sept. 27, 1988 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attorney, the Defendant's Counterclaim in the above-captioned is marked fully settled and discontinued of record.

Sept 30, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, this case is marked settled and discontinued on behalf of the Plaintiff and the Defendant per mutual Release attached to Praecipe.

1988

Alan L. Pepicelli

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs
108.

Jorden and White
Harry Faber White II

KURT RYHAL

vs.

NANCY UNGER

Filed Feb. 8, 1988
@ 2:35 p.M.

Indigent filing

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30- 3- 02

Feb. 8, 1988, Rule Entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

Feb. 8, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed Informa Pauperis and Petition for Leave to Proceed IN forma Pauperis filed.

Feb. 10, 1988 Proof Of Service filed.

Mar. 4, 1988, Complaint in Civil Action filed. 2 copies (atty)

Mar. 16, 1988 Certificate Of Service filed. Alan L. Pepicelli, Esquire, hereby certifies that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff, Kurt Ryhal, in the within Action and that a copy of the Complaint in Civil Action was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, to the Defendant, Nancy Unger, at R.D.#1, Sand Lake, Pennsylvania 16145 on March 5, 1988 and was received by the Defendant on March 7, 1988. The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A". Alan L. Pepicelli

Mar. 23, 1988, Preliminary Objections of Defendant Nancy Unger, filed.

Mar. 28, 1988, Complaint in Civil Action filed. 1 copy (atty)

Apr. 6, 1988, Certificate of Service filed. Alan L. Pepicelli, Esq. hereby certifies that he is the attorney for plaintiff in the within action and that a certified copy of the amended complaint was served upon defendant, through her counsel by delivering same to his atty. box in the Prothonotary's office of Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. on Mar. 29, 1988.
Alan L. Pepicelli, Esq.

April 20, 1988 Answer in Civil Action filed.

1988

Anthony J. Vardaro

pro se

109.

Jorden and White
Harry Faber WhiteaII

MEADVILLE ITALIAN BAKERY

vs.

~~CLIFF COOPER~~
~~DON COOPER~~
CLIFFORD D. BORTZ AND MADELEINE BORTZ AND DONALD A. COOPER, d/b/a THE WOODEN KEY

Filed Feb. 8, 1988
at 2:47 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.25 ✓

Arb Paid 8-2-88 15.00 ✓

Pro Co Pd 12-20-88 9.00 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF WM. CHISHOLM, D. J. OFFICE 30-2-01

Feb. 8, 1988, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Feb 12, 1988 Proof of Service of Notice of Appeal and Rule to File Complaint filed.

Feb. 29, 1988 Complaint filed. 1 copy (plff).

Mar. 23, 1988, Preliminary Objections filed.

Apr 4, 1988 Amended Complaint filed.

June 17, 1988, Answer filed.

Aug 2, 1988 Plaintiff files Praecipe for Appointment of Arbitrators, whereupon the following Arbitrators are appointed:

Bruce L. Smith, Chairman
Richard W. Roeder
John B. Brautigam

Oct. 25, 1988, Notice of Hearing before Board of Arbitrators filed.

Oct. 25, 1988, DEcision of the ARbitrators filed in which they find in favor of the plaintiff in the amount of $675.00 and record costs.
Decision entered - 10/25/88
B - 34, 114
C - 44 W - 183

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATORS
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 25, 1988, copies to A. Vardaro and Harry White, attys.

AND NOW, Dec 20, 1988 @ 4:19 P.M. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney with copy of Decision of Arbitrators attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants Clifford D. Bortz, Madeline Bortz and Wooden Keg only, pursuant to the decision of the Board of Arbitrators dated October 25, 1988. Amount of the judgment is Six Hundred Seventy-five Dollars and record costs. See Copy of Decision of Arbitrators which does not reflect the fact that the Arbitrators dismissed this action as to Donald A. Cooper and therefore, you are not requested to enter judgment against him.
B-34, 114; W-183

Debt.....$675.00 + record costs.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX1988-119

1988

Jorden and White
William T.Jorden

110.

Elderkin, Martin, Kelly, Messina & Zamboldi
Kenneth G. Vasil

DONALD F. BAKER AND
GAIL A. BAKER, HIS WIFE

vs.

CLARENCE GRUNDY AND
ANN GRUNDY, HIS WIFE

Filed Feb. 8, 1988
at 4:15 p.m.

Tax & entry pd	40.50
Sheriff's Costs	24.00
Pro Co Pd 11/13/89	6.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Feb. 19, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Clarence Grundy within named defendant on February 9, 1988 at 11:39 AM by handing a copy of within Complaint to Ann Grundy, his wife, at their residence, 547 Walnut Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Ann Grundy personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on February 9, 1988 at 11:40 AM at her residence, 547 Walnut Street, Meadville Crawford County PA.
So answers Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 2, 1988 Appearance of Elderkin, Martin, Kelly, Messina & Zamboldi by Kenneth G. Vasil for defendants filed.

Mar. 17, 1988 Notice Of Service Of Interrogatories To Plaintiffs (First Set) filed.

Mar. 17, 1988 Defendants' Answer and New Matter To Plaintiffs' Complaint filed.

May 9, 1988 Plaintiffs' Answer To New Matter filed.

June 30, 1988 Notice Of Depositions of Clarence Grundy and Ann Grundy filed.

June 30, 1988 Answers To Interrogatories and Request For Production Of Documents Addressed To Plaintiffs (First set) filed.

July 28, 1988, Notice of Taking Depositions on Oral examination of Donald F. BAker and Gail A. Baker, his wife filed.

July 28, 1988 Notice of Depositions of Clarence Grundy and Ann Grundy filed.

Aug 2, 1988 Plaintiffs' Supplemental Answers to Interrogatories and Requests for Production (First Set) filed.

Aug. 25, 1988 Notice Of Service Of Interrogatories To Plaintiffs (Second Set) filed.

Sept. 22, 1988, Plaintiffs' Supplemental Answers to Interrogatories and Requests for Production (First Set) filed.

Nov. 2, 1988, Answers to Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents Addressed to Plaintiffs (Second Set) filed.

Jan. 6, 1989 Notice Of Depositions of Dr. Randall Tharp filed.

Jan. 6, 1989 Certificate Of Readiness filed.

On February 1989 Trial List.

Jan. 18, 1989 Plaintiffs' Answer To Defendants' Objection To Certificate of Readiness filed.

Jan 13, 1989 Objection to Plaintiffs' Certificate of Readiness filed.

Jan 24, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, January 23, 1989, this case is continued on oral motion of counsel for the Defendant to the April term of court, but no further continuance will be allowed for the same reason.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, PJ

Jan 25, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Jorden and Vasil.

Mar. 3, 1989, Motion to Remove Case From the Trial List and Order of Court filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 3rd day of March 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, it is reled that the certificate of readiness filed by the plaintiffs is hereby withdrawn, and the above captioned case is removed from the trial list.

Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar. 3, 1989, copies of order to Wm. JOrden and K. G. Vasil. attys.

Mar. 16, 1989 Notice Of Service Of Interrogatories To Defendants filed.

Sept. 8, 1989 Notice Of Filing Supplemental Answers To Interrogatories and Requests For Production Directed to Plaintiffs' (First Set) filed.

Sept. 8, 1989 Certificate of Readiness filed.

Sept. 8, 1989 Notice Of Service Of Request For Production To Defendants filed.

On October 1989 Trial List

Nov. 8, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for value received and upon payment of costs, the above captioned action is hereby settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

111.

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso and Gamble
Gary A. Fabian

THERESA A. ATKINS

vs.

LARRY D. ATKINS

Filed Feb. 10, 1988

Tax & entry paid	$40.50
2 counts paid	30.00
Pro Co. Pd 1-5-90	9.00
State Surcharge Pd.	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION
TEMPORARY ALIMONY, COUNSEL FEES, AND COSTS

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 24, 1988, Appearance of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso and Gamble, by Gary A. Fabian, attorneys for defendant, filed.

Dec. 29, 1989, Affidavit of Consent Under Sec. 201(c) of the Divorce Code, of defendant, filed.

Jan. 5, 1990 Affidavit of Service of Complaint in Divorce filed. R. Charles Thomas, Esquie, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on Feb. 10, 1988, he sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint In Divorce, properly endorsed with the Notice To Defend, filed in the above action to the Defendant, Larry D. ATkins, at Defendant's last known address of c/o Donna Caldwell, R D # 2, Mosiertown Rd., Meadville, PA 16335, by Certified Mail No. P414 739 159, postage prepaid, restricted delivery return receipt requested and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as 2/12/88.

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore,
Vardaro And Walker
R. Charles Thomas, Esquire

Jan. 5, 1990 Marital AGreement filed.

Jan. 5, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 5, 1990 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Jan . 19, 1990 DIVORCE DECREE FILED

And now, January 18, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Theresa A. Atkins, plaintiff and Larry D. Atkins, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,

P. Richard Thomas, J.

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

112.
Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
By Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

DIANA L. TREHARNE

vs.

WILLIAM E. TREHARNE

Filed Feb. 10, 1988
@ 1:29 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
1 count	15.00

Robert S. Bailey, Master

Pro Co Pd 1-17-90	9.00
State Pd 1-17-90	10.00

7/13/89 Recd #200.00 Master Fee from pltf.
9/25/89 RECD $600.00 From DEFENDANT
12/27/89 PAID $311.25 TO Robert Bailey, Master Ck # 5358
12/27/89 PAID $44.38 To Diana Treharne Ck #5359
12/27/89 PAID $444.37 To William Treharne Ck #5360

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Oct. 28, 1988, Motin for Authority to Amend Divorce Complaint and order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, Oct. 28, 1988, Upon presentaton and consideration of the within Motion, the Plaintiff is authorized to amend the original Divorce Complaint filed in this case so as to add to the Dviorce Complaint fault grounds to-wit Indignities to the person, and an additional count requesting alimony pendente lite, counsel fees and costs.

Robert L. Walker, J.

Oct. 31, 1988 copy to R. Charles Thomas.

Oct. 28, 1988, Amended Complaint in Divorce filed.(adding counts of equitable distribution, alimony pendente lite and costs)

Nov. 17, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug, by Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Attorney for Defendantfiled.

Dec. 8, 1988, Inventory and Appraisement of defendant filed.

Dec. 21, 1988, Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, Rule Entered upon plaintiff to file Bill of Particulars, Issued.

Dec. 28, 1988, Complaint Reinstated.

Dec. 28, 1988, INcome and Expense Statement of plaintiff filed .

Jan. 4, 1989, Service of the foregoing Rule accepted by R. Charles Thomas, plaintiff atty.

Apr. 4, 1989 Bill of Particulars of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 4, 1989 Inventory and Appraisement of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 12, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 12, 1989 Motion For Appointment Of Master In Divorce and Order filed. AND NOW, April 12, 1989, Robert S. Bailey, Esquire is appointed Master in respect tothe following claims: Divorce, Alimony Pendente Lite Equitable Division.
Deposit required: By Plaintiff $200; By Defendant $600.

PER CURIAM,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Apr. 14, 1989 Copies of order put in boxes for R. Charles Thomas, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and Robert Bailey

Apr. 14, 1989 Affidavit Of Service filed. R, CHARLES THOMAS, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that;
1) That on February 14, 1988 I sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed by the Plaintiff to the Defendnat, William E. Treharne, at 1317 Baird Avenue, Meadville Pennsylvania, by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, Deliver to Addressee Only.
2) Attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as February 15, 1988.
3) That on December 30, 1988 I sent a true and correct copy of the Amended Complaint in Divorce file dby the Pl aintiff, to the defendant, William E. Treharne, at 1317 Baird AVenue, Meadville, Pennsylvania, by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, Deliver to Addressee Only.
4) Attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as December 31, 1988.

R. Charles Thomas, Esq.

Apr. 17, 1989 Motion For Scheduling of Hearing and Order filed. And now, April 17, 1989 a hearing in this case is set for the 18 day of May, 1989 at 1:30 o'clock P.M. in Court Room No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House.

P R Thomas, J

Apr. 18, 1989 Copies of order put in boxes for R. Charles and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

May 19, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, May 18, 1989, Defendant is ORDERED to pay alimony pendente lite in the sum of $25.00 per week in bi-weekly installments. Court reserves decision on counsel fees and costs and refers that matter to the Master for ultimate recommendation when a hearing is held on the economic issues.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

May 19, 1989 Copies of order put in R. Charles Thomas, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and Robert Bailey's box.

May 19, 1989 Exhibits filed with papers.

Sept 18, 1989 Petition to Adjudicate the Defendant in Contempt and for the Imposition of Appropriate Sanctions and Order filed: AND NOW, this 18th day of September, 1989, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition a Rule is granted upon the Defendant to show cause, if any he has, why he should not be adjudged in contempt of the Order of Court heretofore entered in this case and sanctions imposed as requested in the Petition.

Returnable for hearing and /or agrument on the 28th day of Sept., 1989 at 9:15 o'clock A.M.

The Defendant is warned that his failure to appear at the time and place aforesaid may result in sanctions being imposed upon him in his absence. A copy of this Petition and Order shall be served upon the Defendant by regular mail and a copy of the same upon his Attorney, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept 18, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. Thomas and Kirkpatrick's boxes. Alson Atty Bailey's box.

Sept. 25, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

CONTINUED ON

PAGE 112 A

Nov. 16, 1989, Income and Expense Statement of defendant filed.

Dec. 5, 1989 Agreement between the parties filed.

Dec 22, 1989 Motion for Payment of Master's Fees and Order filed: AND NOW, this 22 day of December, 1989, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion, the appointment of Robert S. Bailey, the Master in this case, is vacated and the Prothonotary is further authorized and directed to pay to Robert S. Bailey Master's fees in the amount of $311.25 pursuant to the statement attached; the Prothonotary is further authorized and directed to refund to the plaintiff the sum of $44.38 representing her excess deposit and to refund to the Defendant the sum of $444.37 representing his excess deposit.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Dec 29, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. Bailey, Thomas and Kirkpatrick.

Jan 17, 1990 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court

Jan. 24, 1990 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, January 24, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Diana L. Treharne, plaintiff, and William E. Treharne, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

112B

1988

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso
Gamble Fabian & Hall
Ann Hansen Gamble
~~Culbertson, Weiss,~~
~~Schetroma & Schug~~
~~Mary Ann Kirkpatrick~~ (withdrawn)

113.

Altomare & Barnhart
~~Joseph Barnhart~~
~~Thomas J. Ruth, Esq.~~
Herbert P. Butcher, Esq.

NANCY COX

vs.

JEFFREY COX

Filed Feb. 10, 1988
@ 3:47 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
3 counts paid	45.00 ✓
master Pd 4-8-96	250.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS, EXPENSES, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, & ALIMONY

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 16, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. And Now, February 15th, 1988, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:
1. That she is the Attorney for Nancy Cox, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished to her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P 547 806 338, postmarked February 10, 1988 to the last known address ofthe defendant, Jeffrey Cox, 506 W. Spruce Street, Titusville, Pennsylvania 16354.
3. That the Defendant, Jeffrey Cox, on February 11, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint inthe above matter which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
4. Service ofthe Complaint against the defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

11-12-96 Rec'd from defft Master Fee 98.50

12-24-96 Pd Fuller Attorney 98.50

Feb. 22, 1988, Appearance of Altomare and Barnhart, by Joseph C. Barnhart, attorneys for defendant filed.

Aug. 15, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 17, 1995, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney Kirkpatrick, appearance withdrawn, and appearance of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, Gamble, Favian and Hall, by Ann Hansen Gamble, entered for plaintiff.

Aug. 18, 1995, Amended Complaint in Divorce filed.

Aug. 18, 1995, Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Aug. 18, 1995, Plaintiff's Monthly Income and Expense statement filed.

Aug.18, 1995, Defendant's Counter Affidavit under 3301(d) filed.

Aug. 28, 1995, Ann Hansen Gamble, being duly sworn deposes and says that she is the atty. duly authorized to practice law, and that on Aug. 18, 1995 she sent a cert. copy of Amended Complaint in Div. affidavit Under Sect. 3301(d) of the Div. Code, Defendants Counter Affidavit and Plaintiffs monthly Income and Expense Statemeent to the dft., by cert. mail, ret. rec. req. to JeffreyCox 153 Front St. Lakewood, NY 14750-1461, the dft's last known address. Said receipt being attached hereto showing unknown. The items were remailed on Aug. 23, 1995 to Jeffrey Cox, 319 W. Central Ave, Titusville, Pa. 16354, the dft's business address. The said receipt being attached herto indicating delivery. Ann Hansen Gamble.

Apr. 8, 1996 Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce and ORDER APPOINTING MASTER,filed. AND NOW, April 9,1996, David Pickens, Eskquire, is appointed Master in respect to the following claims; divorce, alimony, alimony pendente lite, distribution of property,counsel fees, costs and expeneses.
If not filed already, the parties are ordered to file their prehearing statements within twenty (20) days from this date.
The Defendant shallfile an Income and Expense Statement and Inventory within 30 days of this date.
Per Curiam,
Anthony J.Vardaro,Judge
4-9-96 Faxed Gamble & Pickens

Apr. 8, 1996 Notice to appear in Motion Court filed.

Apr. 8, 1996 Inventory of Plaintiff Nancy Cox, filed.

Apr. 8, 1996 Pre-Hearing Statement of Plaintiff, filed.

Apr. 15, 1996 Notice of Scheduled Pre-Hearing Conference filed.

Apr. 15, 1996 Petition for Exclusive Possession of Marital Residence and ORDER filed. AND NOW, April 1996, hearing on plaintiff's petition for exclusive possession of the marital residence is scheduled for April24, 1996, at 9:15 o'clock A.M. in courtroom #1 or #2, of the Crawford County Courthouse,Meadville,Pennsylvania.
FOR THE COURT;
Ann A. Walker,Court Administrator
4-15-96 Faxed Gamble &Altomare

Apr. 26, 1996, ORDER filed. And Now, April 24, 1996, this matter comes before the court on a petition for Exclusive Possession o f Marital Residence filed by plff.
These parties have been separated clearly at least for a period of a year and apparently have been having marital difficulties for longer than that.
Their testimony with regard to their relationship as they continue to live inthe same house is conflicting, but after hearing all the testimony, we are convinced that it is not appropriate for both parties to live inthe same residence.
LIKEWISE, it appears that the dft. has better financial means to find other accomodations, and accordingly, it is ORDERED AND DIRECTED that effective May 1, 1996, the plaintiff shall have exclusive possession of the marital home for a period of time no longer than Nov. 1, 1996, without further order of court.
This order is not intended to be any guidance to a Master or trier of fact as to who should ultimately have the property in quiestion as a result of equitable dist. ofthe marital property. BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, Judge

4/26/96 mailed to Gamble and Ruth.

Continued on Page 568

114

1988

Daniel N. Hoffman

114.

SUSAN P. SMITH

vs.

LYLE D. SMITH

Filed Feb. 10, 1988

Tax & entry Paid $10.50 ✓

DECREE IN DIVORCE CERTIFIED FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF SANTA CLARA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA-632811

Dissolution of Divorce

1. This proceeding heard as follows: default
9/25/87
Judge Stanley L. Bartelmie
Petitioner present
Attorney present

2.Jurisdiction-7/9/871 respondent served.

3. Judgment of Dissolution entered - 1/10/88

4. Wife's former name restored: Susan Barbara Palm

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED, DECREED AND ORDERED THAT:

1. Legal and Physical custody ofVirginia L. Smith (b.3/12/77) is awarded to Petitioner.

2. Restricted and supervised visitation is awarded to Respondent subject to respondent being solely responsible for all transportation expenses, and further subject to petitioner's sole approval of the supervisor.

3. Respndent is ordered to pay to Petitioner, as and for child support, the sum of $200 per month, effective October 1, 1987,

4. The Court reserves jurisdiction over the issue of spousal support.

5. Respondent is ordered, forthwith, to pay Petitioner's attorney fees and costs as follows: Danile N. Hoffman $3,300

6. The following is confirmed as Respondent's separate property:
(a) certain furniture, furnishings and appliances in his possession;
(b) and 1973 Chevrolet truck.

7. The following is confirmed as Petitioner's separate property:
(a) certain furniture, furnishings and appliances in her possession,
(b) 1962 Mercury sedan, and
(c) checking and savings accounts in her name

8. All of the community and quasi-community property is awarded as follows: To Respondent: 44.648 acres oil-gas-mineral rights and leases, Canal Township, Pa.; oil well on respondent's parents' property; and respondent shall be entitled to all royalties which accrue thereto as of Sept. 25, 1987 or thereafter and shall be solely responsible for any payments due in consequence.

To Petitioner: 7.98 acres undeveloped property and 10 acres oil-gas-mineral rights, Canal township, Pa.; 19 (8.3) acres undeveloped river frontage, Canal Township, Pa., including oil-gas-mineral rights; all other oil-gas-mineral leases; furniture, furnishings, appliances, including washer and dryer.

9. All of the community and quasi-community debts are awarded to Petitioner except the following, which respondent is orderd to pay:
(see paper filed for list of debts)

10. Petitioner's former name is restored: Susan Barbara Palm.

Dated: 10/1/87

Stanlley L. Bartelmie
Judge of the Superior Court, Pro Tem

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

115.

MARLENE L. McCRACKEN

vs.

DAVID BYRON McCRACKEN

Filed Feb. 11, 1988
@ 1:07 P.M.

Tax & entry paid	$40.50
3 counts paid	45.00
Pro co Pd 5/25/88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES AND COSTS

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 4, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason,esquire, Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on Februry 26, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, David Byron McCracken, III, by certified mail #P 547 808 332, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by David Byron McCracken, III on February 29, 1988 ad indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason

Mar 10, 1988 Answer to Complaint in Divorce filed.

Mar 10, 1988 Interrogatories Directed to Plaintiff filed.

May 24, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

May 24, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

May 24, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, For Review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

June 6, 1988 **STIPULATION AND DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW,this 3 day of June, 1988, the Court decrees as follows:

1. **DIVORCE:** Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, onthe grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed fromthe date of filing of the complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the aprties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. **custody;**

A. Legal custody of the parties' two minor children, Benjamin Earl McCracken, born April 5, 1980; and Sarah Elizabeth McCracken, born December 21, 1981, shall be joint.

B. Primary residence and primary phyiscal custody of the children shall be with their mother.

C. Father shall have liberal partial custody, upon a minimum of twelve (12) hours notice, with notice to be given to Plaintiff mother. In the event that upon receiving notice of requested partial custody from father, mother already has plans for the children, then father and mother shall ateempt to find a mutually acceptable alternate time for the children to be in the partial custody of their father.

D. father shall not remove the children fromthe United State of AMerica during the year 1988. For the 1989, father will not remove the children form the united States of America for a period in excess of twenty one (21) hsays plus four (4) days travel time. At any time when father is going to take the children out of the country, for any period of time, he is to provide a written itinerary to the mother within seventy-two (72) hours of the time he intends to take the children out of the country, which itinerary shall include destination addresses, withthe applicable period of time he intends to be at said location, and a telephone number for each location if one exists.

Also, at any time when the children are out of the continental United States with father during his itmes of partial custody, he is to arrange in advance with mother a time that is mutally agreeable to both of them, at which time father will have the children place a telephone call to mother, at father's expense, and at which time both children are to be able to talk freely with their mother, for a minimum period of time of ten minutes unless mother whishes toe conversation to be shorter.

For the year 1990 and future years, deliverof the written itinerary and provisions for telephone calls shall remain intact provisions; all other aspects of this paragraph will be subject to chang eupon the agreement of the parties in writing.

Said partial custody arrangements for father to have the children outside the continental United States shall take place during the summer months, when the children are not in public school. In no event shall their school schedule be infringed uponby way of father's partial custody.

E. Mother shall have custody of the children every christmas day.

F. The parties shall alternate custody for easter and Thanksgiving.

G. Other holidays shall be as mutually agreed upon between the parties, with resonable advance notice of request to be given by father, with said notice to be given to mother only.

H. Neither party shall molest, interfere with the other party hereto, nor invade the other party's privancy by eay of uninvited visits tothehome or place of business of the other party hereto.

3. **EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION:**

A. **DISCLOSURE:** Each party represents and warrants tothis court that he or she has made full and complete disclosure of all of the real estate and personal property of whatever nature, and wheresoever situate, belonging in any way to him or to her, and further that each has revealed to the other any debt or encumbrance incurred or owed, and furthermore that each has revealed all sources and amounts of his or her income received or receivable.

Continued on page 601

Jorden and White
Harry Faber White II

116.

IN RE:
LICENSE SUSPENSION
of
DENNY LYNN RAMBO

Filed Feb. 11, 1988
@ 2:31 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

APPEAL FROM LICENSE SUSPENSION

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 12 day of February 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, a hearing on the same is scheduled for the 6 day of April 1988, at 9:30 in courtroom No. 1 or 2.

This appeal sahll act as a supersedeas of the defendant's purported suspensions and assignment of points.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Feb. 12, 1988, copy of order to H. White, Esq.

April 5, 1988 Motion To Continue License Suspension Hearing and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 5th day of April, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing petition, hearing on the same is continued to the 29 day of June, 1988 at 9:30 A.M. o'clock in Courtroom No. 1.

For The Court,
Imogene Yetman
Court Administrator

April 6, 1988 Copy of Order to Atty. Harry White, II.

June 17, 1988 Motion to Withdraw Appeal and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 17 day of June, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, the defendant's appeal is hereby withdrawn and the suspension imposed is hereby reinstated.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 20, 1988 Copy of Order to Atty. Harry Faber White.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

117.

Carney & Good
W. Richard Cowell

3/23/89 Recd. $100.00 from Plaintiff
4/10/89 Recd. $200.00 from Plaintiff & Defendant

8/23/89 Paid Out $150.00 to Harry F. White, Esq. Master Check #5224

8/23/89 Paid Out $48.30 for Ct. Reporter Morse, Gantzer & Hodge, Clerk Check #5225

LOIS ETHEL COOK

vs.

KEITH L. COOK

Filed Feb. 11, 1988
@ 3:31 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$40.50
2 counts paid	30.00

Harry White, Master

State	pd 3-23-94	10.00
Pro Co	pd 3-23-94	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DISTRIBUTION AND DETERMINATION, REASONABLE COUNSEL FEES AND EXPENSES

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 1, 1988, Verification filed. I, James R. Irwin, Esq. do hereby verify that I am the atty. of record and that as such, I am authorized to execute this verification; that I did, on the 11th day of Feb. 1988, send by Cert. Mail, ret. rec. req. addressee only, to Keith L. Cook a true and correct copy ofthe divorce complaint filed at above no. at his last known address of 9910 West Law Rd., North East, Pa. 16428, as evidenced by the attached senders receipt No. P547-801-637 and that on the 15th day of Feb. 1988 I did receive the duly executed ret. rec. dated the 12th day of Feb. 1988.

James R. Irwin, Esq.

Apr. 29, 1988 Petition for Special Relief and Order filed. AND NOW, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petition, a Rule is hereby entered upon the Defendant, Keith L. Cook, to show cause, if any he has, why Petitioner's prayer should not be granted.

RULE IS RETURNABLE on the 6th day of May, 1988, at 1:30 o'clock P.M. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

Robert L. Walker, J

James R. Irwin, Esquire,
SHAFER, SWICK, BAILEY, IRWIN& STACK
360 Chestnut St.
Meadville, PA 16335
Attorneys for Plaintiff

May 3, 1988 Copy of order to James R. Irwin

May 6, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, May 6, 1988, after informal hearing, the Court DIRECTS that 1 1977 Chevrolet automobile now in the possession of the Defendant/Husband will be surrendered to the Wife on May 6, 1988 at 6:00 p.m. at the Defendant's residence in North East, Pennsylvania. The vehicle will be in the same condition as when it was removed fromthe wife's possession on or about April 28, 1988, and the defendant shall not damage the car in any way, remove any parts therefrom or in any way attempt to amke the car inoperable.

This Order shall remain in effect until further Order of Court or until the disposition of the car in the divorce action.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

May 6, 1988 Copies of order to James Irwin and defendant

May 9, 1988 Verification of Service filed: I, LEROY CHANEY, CONSTABLE, do hereby verify that I did, on the 2nd day of May, 1988, personally serve a certified copy of the Petition for Special Relief and Order, filed at the above term and number, upon the Respondent/Defendant, Keith L. Cook, at his residence at 9910 West Law Rd., North East, Pennsylvania, at 7:55 o'clock P.M.

Leroy Chaney, Constable

May 13, 1988 Petition for Contempt and Order filed. AND NOW, this 10 day of May, 1988, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a Rule to show Cause is hereby entered upon Respondent, Keith L. Cook, to show cause why he should not be held in Contempt of Court. Said Rule is returnable on the forth after conclusion of defendant's Criminal Case.

BY THE COURT,
P R Thomas, J

May 16, 1988 Copy of order to James Irwin

May 13, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, May 10, 1988, on petition for contempt, the Court notes the Defendant is this date befor ethe Court on a criminal charge and the Court is in receipt of a petition for contempt of this Court's Order entered May 6, 1988. After informal hearing, the Defendant admits he did not voluntarily surrender the car, gives no reaosnable explanation to the Court therefor, and accordingly he is held in contempt of court. Sentence of the Court is for contempt, you are hereby committed to the Crawford County Jail for a period of sixty(60) days or until such time as you can present to the Court a viable plan to purge yourself of contempt of Court, the first conditionof which will be a surrender of the vehicle at no cost to your wife in an operable condition, in the same condition as it existed on May 6, 1988. You may be relieved of serving your jail sentence until the jury returns a verdict in a case at 1988-83; regardless of the outcome of the criminal case, you will serve this contempt sentence.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

May 16, 1988 copies of order to James Irwin and defendant

May 13, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, May 10, 1988, the Court having within the past hour found the Defendant in wulful and deliberate contempt of the court Order entered may 6, 1988 for surrender of a vehicle and setenced him to Crawford County Jail for contempt, the Sentence of imprisonment is suspended upon the following conditions;

1. The Defendant will deliver said behicle to the residence of Harold and Lois Thomas, R.D.#2 Bloomfield Drive, Union City, on or about May 11, 1988 at 4:00 P.M. Said vehicle shall be in good working condition, and the Defendant may not remove any parts or equipment form said vehicle or violate any conditions of this Court's prior Order of May 6, 1988.

Upon failure ofthe Defendant to comply with this Order, the Court AUTHORIZES any police officer or any constable to apprehend the Defendant forthwith and lodge himin the Crawford County Jail to await a further contempt hearing before this Court.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT
P. Richard Thomas, J

May 16, 1988 Copies of order to James Irwin, defendant and District attorney

Nov. 23, 1988, Income and Expense Statement of Lois Ethel Cook filed.

Nov. 23, 1988, Inventory and Appraisement of Lois Ethel Cook filed.

Dec. 8, 1988 Amended Income and Expense Statement of Plaintiff, Lois Ethel Cook filed.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 561

1988

JaCK, Kookogey
& Felton
John S. Kookogey

118.

IN RE:
JAMES EDWARD JOLLY

Filed Feb. 11, 1988
@ 4:18 p.m.

Tax & entry fd 3/8/88 $40.50
Fee & fd 3/8/88 .50

PETITION FOR CHANGE OF NAME

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 10 day of Feb., 1988, upon motion of John S. Kookogey, Esq. attorney for petitioner above named, it is ordered and decreed that the within Petition be heard on the 8 day of March 1988, at 9:00 in courtroom 1 or 2, and that notice of the filing of the within Petition and of the aforesaid date of hearing be published in the Titusville Herald and the Crawford County Legal Journal once, each.

P. Richard Thomas, P.,J.

Feb. 12, 1988, copy of order to Atty. J. Kookogey

Mar 8, 1988, Certificate of Non Lien of Prothonotary, Certificate of Recorder of Deeds, Proof of Publication, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 8 day of March, upon hearing of the within petition and upon motion of JOhn S. Kookogey, Esq. Atty. for the petitioner, and upon presentation of proof of publication of notice as required by law together with proof that there are no judgments or decrees of record of any other matter of like effect against the Petitioner and it appearing that there is no legal objections to the granting of the prayer ofthe Petition, it is ordered that the name of the petitioner be changed to James Jay Edwards, from James Edward Jolly.
(4 copies - atty)

Robert L. Walker, Judge

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey Irwin and Stack
James R. Irwin
119.
Curtis E. Blystone

Elderkin, Martin, Kelly, Messina, & Zamboldi
Craig A. Markham

for Add'l Def. Norandex, Inc

Anthony J. Vardaro
for C.C.R.A.

Knox, McLaughlin, Gornall & Sennett, P.C.
William C. Wagner
for C.C.R.A.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug
David L. Hotchkiss
for Borough of Springboro

BOBBIE L. BROWN

vs.

KARL E. PERRY

Norandex, Inc, Borough of Springboro and Crawford County Redevelopment Authority, Additional Defendants

Filed Feb. 11, 1988
@ 4:28 P.M.

Tax & entry Pd 2/16/88 $40.25 ✓
Sheriff's costs 61.25
Pro Co pd 9/18/89 6.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 12-13-89- 1.00 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF DISTRICT JUSTICE WAYNE E. HANSON

Feb. 11, 1988, Rule Entered upon the plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Feb. 12, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

Mar. 1, 1988, Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

Mar. 11, 1988 Verification filed. I verify that the facts set forth in the foregoing Complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief; I make this Verification with notice that willful false statements are punishable as perjury under §4904 of the Pennsylvania Crimes Code, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities. Bobbie L. Brown

Mar. 16, 1988 Preliminary Objections In the Nature Of A Motion For A More Specific Pleading and A Motion To Strike filed.

Mar. 28, 1988, Amendments to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Apr. 8, 1988, Plaintiff's Motion Regarding Entry Upon Property and For Additional Time Within Which to File A Responsive Pleading, filed.

Apr. 8, 1988, ORDER: AND NOW, this 8 day of April 1988, upon consideration of plaintiffs motion regarding entry upon property and for additional time within which to file a responsive pleading, a rule is hereby entered upon the plaintiff to show cause why the defendant and the defendant's representatives should not be permitted entry upon the property at 121/121 1/2/123 North Main Street, Springboro, Pa. for the purpose of inspecting the contracting work of which the plaintiff complains on April 15, 1988 at 1:00 p.m. prevailing time, and further why the defendant should not be afforded twenty (20) days immediately following the subject inspection within which to file a responsive pleading to the plaintiff's complaint as amended.
Returnable for hearing the 15 day of April 1988, at 10:00 a.m. prevailing local time in courtroom Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. P. Richard Thomas, J.
Apr. 11, 1988, copies of order to J. Irwin and C. Blystone.

Apr. 18, 1988 Order filed. And now, April 15, 1988, at the time set for hearing counsel for the parties advise the Court the matter has amicably resolved as follows: Plaintiff has arranged to make access tothe premises in question available to the defendant and his representatives on April 16, 1988, at 1:00 p.m.
The defendant willhave 20 days from April 16, 1988, to file a responsive pleading to the plaintiffs complaint and amended complaint.
The pl aintiff agrees that in making the arranged inspection, appropriate photographs of construction areas in question may be taken by the defendant or his representatives.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Apr. 19, 1988 Copies of order to James Irwin and Curtis Blystone

May 5, 1988 Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint as Amended filed.

May 27, 1988, Defendant's Motion for Additional Time Within Which to Join Additional Defendants and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 27th day of May 1988, upon consideration of the Defendant's Motion for Additional Time Within Which to Join Additional Defendants, it is hereby ordered that the defendant Karl E. Perry, shall have an additional sixty (60) days within which to join Norandex, Inc. and/or any other appropriate parties as additional defendants in the above captioned action.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.
May 31, 1988, copies of order to James Irwin and Curtis Blystone, attys.

July 26, 1988 Complaint Against Additional Defendant filed. (4 copies Atty.)

Aug. 2, 1988 Certificate Of Mailing filed. The Undersigned hereby certifies that an attested copy of Defendant's complaint Against Additional Defendants was mailed to James R. Irwin, Attorney for P laintiff Bobbie L. Brown, on August 1, 1988.
Curtis E. Blystone

August 18, 1988 Appearance of Elderkin, Martin, Kelly, Messina & Zamboldi by Craig A. Markham, for Additional Defendant, Norandex, Inc. Filed.

Aug 19, 1988 Appearance of Anthony J. Vardaro, Esq. for Additional Defendant, Crawford County Redevelopment Authority filed.

Aug. 19, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: Before me, the undersigned authority,personally appeared Paul Greiner, Dep. who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 5th day of Aug. 1988, at 11:45 a.m. he served Complaint against Additional Defendant, filed at No. AD 1988-119 Crawford Co. Pa. upon defendant Norandex, Inc at 3003 Pittsburgh Ave. Erie Co., Erie. Pa. By making known the contents thereof to Joe Little, adult in charge and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford Co
Paul Greiner, Dep. Sheriff
So answers
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff, Erie Co.

SERVED Crawford County Redevelopment Authority on Aug. 4, 1988 at 3:55 P.M. by handing a true copy of the Complaint Against Additional Defendants to Marlene Robertson, Sect. at Crawford at Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.
SERVED Norandex, Inc. within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie Co Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Aug. 5, 1988.
SERVED Borough o f Springboro within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint Against Additional Defendants to Pres.ident of Council, Philip Smith on Aug. 9,1988 at 12:15PM at his residence, Box 2, Springboro, Crawford Co. Pa.
So Answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Continued on page 552

1988

Elderkin, Martin,
Kelly, Messina & Zamboldi
Kenneth G. Vasil

120.

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY
800 Millcreek Mall
Erie, Pennsylvania

vs.

STACEY SMITH

Filed Feb. 12, 1988
@ 10:49 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriffs costs 28.30
Pro Co pd 3/25/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Feb. 24, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Stacey Smith within named defendant on Feb. 16, 1988, at 11:39 a.m. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Patricia Tice, adult in charge of the residence at R. D. #2, Box 312 A Blakeslee Rd., Spartansburg, Crawford County, pa. 16434.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

And now, Mar. 25, 1988 Upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice Of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to answer and the damages are assessed at One Thousand Eight Hundred Ninety-seven and 36/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
S-216 Debt. $1,897.36
judgment entered 3/25/88 Int. from Jan. 1988
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

William J. Gregg

121.

CUSICK, MADDEN, JOYCE & MCKAY
Peter C. Acker

JAMES M. HAZEN AND MARGARET HAZEN, HIS WIFE

vs.

LAKELAND SAND AND GRAVEL, INC. AND ATLAS SAND AND GRAVEL, INC.

Filed Feb. 12, 1988
@ 3:24 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 24.12

COMPLAINT - ACTION IN EJECTMENT

2 copies (sheriff)

Mar. 3, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Atlas Sand and Gravel, Inc. within named defendant on February 26, 1988 by handing a true copy of the within Action in Ejectment to Him Adzima, President, and person in charge, at their place of business, Hartstown Crawford County, PA.
NOT FOUND, as to within named defendant, Lakeland Sand and Gravel, Inc. moved to Georgia.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar 21, 1988 Appearance of Cusick, Madden, Joyce and McKay by Peter C. Acker, Esq. for Defendants filed.

Mar. 21, 1988 Upon Preliminary Objections filed.

Mar 21, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiffs' Attorney, this case is placed on the Argument List.

On 1988 April Argument List.

April 26, 1988 Petition for Leave to Amend Pleadings and Order filed. ORder:AND NOW, this 26th day of April, 1988 , upon consideration of the foregoing petition and upon motion of William J. Gregg, Attorney for the Petitioner, Petitioners are hereby granted leave to amend their Complaint so as to allow them to plead their best possible case.

By The Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

April 28, 1988 copies of order to William J. Gregg and Peter C. Acker, esqs.

1988

122.

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC
Alan L. Pepicelli

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

RUDOLPH F. KOIVISTO

Filed Feb. 12, 1988
@ 4:21 p.m.

Tax & entry Pd 2/17/88 $40.50 ✓

APPEAL FROM SUSPENSION OF OPERATING PRIVILEGES

2 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 12 day of February, 1988, upon presentaton and consideration of the within petition, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that a hearing on the within appeal should be held on the 6th day of April 1988 at 10:00 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 Crawford County Courthouse, MeaDVILLE, Pa. It is further ordered that thirty (30) days written notice shall be given by counsel for the defendant to theDept of Trans. Bur. of Driver Licensing, Citation and Processing Div., Rm 302, Trans. and Safety Bldg., Harrisburg, Pa. 17120, by cert. mail, ret. rec. req., with an appropriate Certificate of Service to thereafter be filed with the Prothonotary.

It is further ordered and decreed that the appellant Rudolph F. Koivisto, shall retain his operating privilege and license pending the outcome of this appeal, which shall act as a supersedeas.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Feb. 16, 1988, copy of order to Atty. Pepicelli.

Feb. 22, 1988 Certificate of Service filed. I, Alan L. Pepicelli, Esquire, am an attorney duly authorized to practive law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and on February 12, 1988, I sent a copyof Appeal From Suspension of Operating Privileges filed at the above number, properly endorsed to department of Transportation, Bureau of Driver Licensing, Citation and Processing Division, Room 302, Transportation sand Safety Building, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120, by Certified Mail No. P 404 874 152, return receipt requested and that on February 22, 1988, I received a return receipt for said certified mail signed by Scott A. Klauser and dated February 17, 1988, a copy of which is attached hereto.

I verify that the statements made in this Certificate are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn faslification to authorities.

Date: February 22, 1988 Alan L. Pepicelli

Mar21, 1988 Motion to Continue Hearing and Order filed: AND NOW, this 21 day of March, upon consideration of the within Motion, the Hearing on the within Appeal is hereby continued until 27 day of April, at 10:00 o'clock A.M. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania. Counsel for Defendant shall provide to the Department of Transportation, Bureau of Drivers Licensing, a true and correct copy of the within Order via first-class U.S. mail.

It is further ordered and decreed that the supersedeas previously granted shall continue pending throughout the within Appeal and the Defendant shall retain his operating privileges and license pending the same.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Mar 22, 1988 Copies of order to Alan Pepicelli and Kathryn Linn-Stevenson, Esqs.

Apr. 28, 1988 Order ifled. AND NOW, April 27, 1988, at the time fixed for hearing onthe Defendant's appeal from suspension of his driving privileges, it appearing that the underlying were suspended, in which the District Justice found the defendant guilty, was appealed to the court of Common Pleas and the Defendant was ultimately found not guilty, this matter is remanded to the Department of transportation for correction of its records in accordance with the ultimate judgment on the underlying conviction. The supersedeas granted at the time the appeal was filed shall remain in full force and effect pending the correction of the recordsof the Department of Transportation.

the adjudication made by the Honorable P. Richard Thomas in the underlying proceedings held in this Court at No. 1988 - 77 is hereby incorporated by reference as a part of the record in this proceeding and a copy thereof shall be attached to this Order.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

May 3, 1988 Copies of order to Alan Pepicelli and David Berkley

1988

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug
Mary A. Kirkpatrick

123.

Bozic And Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

RUTH E. COLLINS

vs.

ROBERT C. COLLINS, SR.

Filed Feb. 16, 1988
@ 9:28 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
3 counts paid 45.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS, EXPENSES, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, AND ALIMONY

1 copy (atty)

Feb 23, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: AND NOW, February 23rd, 1988, comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the Attorney for Ruth Collins, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished to her by the Plaintiff, she cause a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P 402 057 098, postmarked February 19, 1988 to the last known address of the Defendant, Robert C. Collins, 544 Chestnut Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16445

3. That the Defendant, Robert C. Collins, on February 20, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

4. Service of the Complaint against the Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire

March 4, 1988 Appearance of Bozic And Thomas By R. Charles Thomas, Attorney for Defendant filed.

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 3-4-88 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney. If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever. I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary. YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: July 3, 1997

July 8, 1997 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

Paul D. Shafer, Jr.

124.

William F. Gorman

SYLVIA A. SPANGLEHOUR

vs.

MARK McIVER AND LORETTA McIVER

Filed Feb. 16, 1988
@ 10:29 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓
Pro Co pd 6/2/88 15.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 8/24/88 5.00 ✓

APPEAL OF PLAINTIFF FROM JUDGMENT OF
MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30- 2- 01

Feb. 22, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

Mar. 7, 1988, Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

May 11, 1988 Appearance of WilliamF. Gorman for Defendants filed.

May 11, 1988 Answer filed.

May 31, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Reference to Arbitrators the following are appointed: Gordon R. Miller, Chairman
Louis D. Musica
William Peters

June 8, 1988 Arbitrators take qualifying oath.

Aug. 24, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Robert C. Ward
Nichols Ward & Krill

125.

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
John B. Brautigam

Nichols Ward & Krill
Robert C. Ward for
add'l dft. L. J. Budzbanowski

DENNIS J. BUDZBANOWSKI AND SANDRA BUDZBANOWSKI, HIS WIFE, AND CHUBB GROUP OF INSURANCE COMPANIES

vs.

CANDICE JOYCE CORTES

vs.

Lisa Jo Budzbanowski
additional defendant

Filed Feb. 16, 1988
@ 10:46 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 18.00
Pro Co Pd 10/14/88 15.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 2/17/89 6.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

Mar 3, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Candice Joyce Cortes personally, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on March 1, 1988 at 11:30 A.M. at her place of residence, 438 Edgewood Drive, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 11, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson,Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by John B. Brautigam for Defendant filed.

Apr. 6, 1988 Answer and New Matter of Candice Joyce Cortes to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Apr. 6, 1988 Complaint of Original Defendant Candice Joyce cortes Against Additional defendant Lisa Jo Budzbanowski filed.

May 23, 1988, Plaintiff's Reply to New Matter filed.

May 23, 1988 Answer and New Matter of Lisa Jo Budzbanowski filed.

June 17, 1988 Acceptance Of Service filed. I hereby accept service of the Complaint of Original Defendant, Candice Joyce Cortes, against Additional Defendantn LIsa Jo Budzbanowski, on behalf of said Additional Defendant, in the above captioned action. Robert C. Ward

Oct 3, 1988 Motion to Consolidate Actions and Order filed: AND NOW, this 3 day of October, 1988, it is ordered that the above-captioned actions are consolidated into a single action to be captioned at AD NO. 1988-125. (AD/1988-340)
The respective pleadings in the actions hereby consolidated shall remain as the pleadings in the consolidated action.
By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J
Oct. 4, 1988 Copies of order to Attys Ward, Brautigam.

Oct. 13, 1988, Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, for reference to the arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed: Victor J. Kinnunen, Chairman
Louis Stack
~~Sylvia L. Dratler~~
Terry Toomey
Nov. 16, 1988, Arbitrators Take the Qualifying Oath; Terry Toomey, Esq. substituted in place of Sylvia L. Dratler.

Dec. 22, 1988, STIPULATION between counsel filed.

Dec. 22, 1988, DECISION OF ABITRATORS filed in which they find that Lisa Jo Budxzbanowski was 50% negligent and Candice J. cortes was 50% negligent and that each party is awarded 50% of the stipulated damages submitted to the arbitrators at the time of hearing.
Judgment for Budzbanowski and Chubb in the amount of $1,268.52.
Judgment for Cortes in the amount of $889.45.

C - 34
B - 103

DECISION OF ARBITRATORSS

Dec. 22, 1988, copies given to all attorneys.

Feb. 16, 1989 Upon praecipe of both counsel the above-captioned consolidated actions are marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

~~Adams, Shoemaker &~~
~~McSorley~~
Edward G. Shoemaker

126.

Israel and Wood PC
James F. Israel

JAMES E. CHACE, AN INDIVIDUAL

vs.

CHAMBERSBURG ENGINEERING COMPANY, A PENNSYLVANIA CORPORATION

Filed Feb. 16, 1988
@ 11:20 a.m.

Tax & entry 2/26/88 $40.50 ✓
Pro for Co pd11-7-88 6.00 ✓

CERTIFICATION OF RECORD FROM THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

GD8714195

Allegheny County entries:

August 13, 1987, Complaint filed. Jury Trial Demanded.

August 19, 1987, Sheriffs Return filed.

Nov. 25, 1987, Preliminary Objections in the Nature of a Petition Raising a Question of Venue, and in the Nature of a Demurrer and a motion to Strike filed.

Nov. 25, 1987, Brief in Support of Preliminary Objections filed.

Dec. 16, 1987, Plaintiff's Reply to Preliminary Objections of Defendant filed.

Dec. 16, 1987, Plaintiff's Reply Brief in Reply to Defendants Brief in Support of Preliminary Objections, filed.

Feb. 2, 1988, Notice of Service of Interrogatories Directed to Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 8, 1988, ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, towit, this 3rd day of Feb. 1988, it is hereby ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

1. the preliminary objections in the nature of a demurrer are denied;
2. the preliminary objections raising a question of venue are sustained; and,
3. the Prothonotary of this County is directed to certify the record and cause the same to be filed with the Prothonotary in and for the County of Crawford, Pennsylvania.

Ralph H. Smith, Jr., Judge

CRAWFORD COUNTY ENTRIES:

Apr 25, 1988 Answer and New Matter to Plaintiff's Complaint filed. (Jury Trial Demanded)

Apr 25, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Defendant's Attorney, this case is placed on the argument list.

Apr 25, 1988 Motion for Sanctions filed.

On May 1988 Argument List

June 6, 1988, Plaintiff's Answers to the Interrogatories of Defendants filed.

June 8, 1988, Plaintiff's Answer to the New Matter of Defendant Chambersburg Engineering filed.

Nov. 3, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiffs' attorney, to settle, discontinue- Verdicts, Judgments, Executions, Awards, Decrees, Equity, Liens, Counterclaims or Crossclaims and Plaintiff's Case or as to Garnisheefiled.

Edward G. Shoemaker, Atty. for Plaintiff

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Gary A. Fabian

127.

David W. Pickens

PAUL W. BROWN AND CAROL M. BROWN, HIS WIFE

vs.

MAUREEN BREITFELDER a/k/a MAUREEN BREITFELDER PETRUCELLI

Filed Feb. 16, 1988
@ 2:16 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs 22.15 ✓
Pro Co Pd 3/23/88- 9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 3/30/88 40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 12/22/89. $5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

March 7, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED and made known to Maureen Breitfelder personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on March 2, 1988 at 3:15 p.m. at her place of residence, 307 Spring St., Cambridge Springs, Crawford Co. PA.
So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Mar. 23, 1988, at 10:17 a.m. with notice of and upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor ofthe plaintiffs and against the defendant for want of an answer; damages assessed in the amount of Eleven Thousand Ninety Eight and 40/100 Dollars. (includes int. to 1/31/88- $730.10; 1986 taxes $562.40; 1987 taxes $567.93 and atty. comm of $528.50)

Debt - $11,098.40

Judgment entered
3/23/88
B 114, 115

JUDGMENT
JaMES F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Mar. 23, 1988, Answer and Counterclaim filed. 1 copy (atty)

Mar. 30, 1988, Motion and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 30th day of March 1988, defendant's Motion is granted. further action toexecute on the Judgment entered in the above matter is stayed pending resolution of defendant's Petition to Open Judgment.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Apr. 4, 1988, copies to Attys. G.Fabian and D. Pickens.

Mar. 30, 1988, Defendant's Petition to Open Judgment filed.

Apr 13, 1988 Motion to Open Judgment by Consent and Order filed: AND NOW, this 13th day of April, 1988, the judgment taken by the plaintiffs against the defendant on March 23, 1988, is by the consent of both parties hereby opened, and the plaintiffs shall be allowed twenty (20) days from the date of this order in which to make and file a response to the defendant's answer, new matter and counter-claim.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

April 15, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Fabian and Pickens.

Apr 26, 1988 Reply to New Matter and Answer to Counterclaim and New Matter filed.

May 6, 1988 Stipulation Between Counsel For Both Parties filed.

May 6, 1988 Answer and Counterclaim filed.

May 9, 1988, Reply to New Matter and Answer to counterclaim and New Matter filed.

May 20, 1988 Reply filed.

May 26, 1988 Notice Of Deposition of Maureen Breitfelder a/k/a Maureen Breitfelder Petrucelli, Paul W. Brown and Lorna Jayne Parker filed.

May 26, 1988 Notice Of Deposition of Maureen Breitfelder a/k/a Maureen Breitfelder Petrucelli, Paul W. brown and Lorna Jayne Parker filed.

July 8, 1988 Certificate Of Readiness and Praecipe To Place on trial list filed.

Sept. 1, 1988, Notice of Service of Request for Admissions filed.

Sept. 1, 1988, Certificate of Service of Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents Addressed to Defendant (First Set) filed.

On October 1988 Trial List

Sept. 26, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Pre-trial Conference filed.

Sept. 29, 1988 Depositions of Lorna Jayne Parker and Maureen Breitfelder filed.

Continued on Page 553

Sept 30, 1988 Depostion of Paul W. Brown filed.

Oct 3, 1988 Request for Admissions filed.

Oct 3, 1988 Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents Addressed to Defendant (First Set) filed.

Oct. 6, 1988, PRETRIAL SUMMARY filed.
Copy of Summary to Attys. Gary Fabian and David Pickens.

1988

Jack, Kookogey & Schug
Robert J. Felton

128.

KENNETH L. WHITEMAN

vs.

JUNE L. WHITEMAN

Filed Feb. 16, 1988
@ 3:04 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Paid 8/19/88 9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 2/7/90 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(d) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 16, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

July 20, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

August 5, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Robert J. Felton Esq., Attorney at Law, 144 West Spring Street, Titusville, PA. Am the Attorney for the plaintiff in the within action. In that capacity I served a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce in this action on Defednant June L. Whiteman, by certified mail No. P 620 657 106, retukrn receipt requested, by mailin the same from the Titusville Post Office on 7/20/88. The mailing was received by Def. June L. Whiteman on 7/27/88 as evidenced by her signature on the return receipt for certified mail attached hereto.
Robert J. Felton

August 18, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Robert J. Felton, for Review under Section 201 (d) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Sept. 8, 1988 D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .

AND NOW, September 8, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

K E N N E T H L . W H I T E M A N and J U N E L . W H I T E M A N

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursance of said marriage are hereby terminated.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Feb. 7, 1990, Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name filed.
TO BE KNOWN AS: JUNE L. AUSTIN.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

129.

GERALD ZAKOSTELECKY

vs.

CHRISTINE A. ZAKOSTELECKY

Filed Feb. 16, 1988
@ 3:27 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
3 counts paid	45.00
Pro Co Pd 6/13/88	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATION, AND DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, & COUNSEL FEES, CHILD SUPPORT, AND CHILD CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 17, 1988 Acknowledgment Of Service filed. I, Christine A. Zakostelecky being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that on this 16th day of February, 1988 I was personally served by James R. Irwin, Esquire, a Certified copy ofthe Divorce Complaint, filed at the above stated term and number. Christine A. Zakostelecky

June 13, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked discontinued of record.

1988

Bette J. Peterson-Muth

130.

MARY LYNN JOHNSTON

vs.

TIMOTHY ALAN SLOCUM

Filed Feb. 16, 1988
@ 4:18 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 9/29/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 27, 1988 Affidavit Of Service Of Complaint By Mail filed. Bettie J. Peterson-Muth, being duly sworn according to law, deposes that she is an attorney at law duly authorized to practice law in the County of Crawford, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and that on February 22, 1988, she sent a certified copy of the Complaint In Divorce, properly endorsed, to defendant, by certified mail, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to P.O. Box 41A Cochranton, Pennsylvania, the defendant's post office box.

The original green card receipt bearing defendant's signature is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "A". The original receipt for certified mail is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "B".

Bettie J. Peterson-Muth

Sept. 27, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 27, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 27, 1988 Upon praecipe To Transmit Record, this case moved to court, (Plaintiff's Attorney)

Oct. 13, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, October 13, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

MARY LYNN JOHNSTON and TIMOTHY ALAN SLOCUM

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Mahany, Roeder & Smith
Richard W. Roeder

131.

JOHN G. McCOY

vs.

HOWARD L. KNAPP AND
MARGARET R. KNAPP, HIS WIFE

Filed Feb. 17, 1988
@ 10:52 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 30.30 ✓
Pro Co Pd 8-17-88 9.00 ✓
Pro.Co. Pd 1/27/94 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Mar. 10, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Howard L. Knapp personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on March 8, 1988 at 2:05 PM at Sheriff's Office, Room 303 Courthouse, Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania.
So answers, Carole Stefancucci, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, July 14, 1988 at 2:54 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the Defendant Howard L. Knapp for failure to answer the Compalint and the damages are assessed at the sum of Five Thousand Five Hundred Fourty five and 00/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
K-83 Debt $5,545.00
judgment entered 7/14/88 Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Jan.27, 1994 This Judgment Satisfied. (See paper Filed.)

1988

Elderkin, Martin,
Kelly, Messina &
Zamboldi
Kenneth G. Vasil

132.

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY
800 Millcreek Mall
Erie, Pennsylvania

vs.

CANDACE K. CARBAUGH

Filed Feb. 17, 1988
@ 11:08 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 29.35
Pro Co Pd 3/30/88 #900 ✓

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Mar. 18, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Candace K. Carbaugh personally the within named defendnat by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on March 17, 1988 at 2:45 PM at her place of residence, R.D.#2, Spartansburg, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Mar. 30, 1988, at 1:53 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, and with written consent of the defendant, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of One Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty Eight and 30/100 plus interest from Jan. 1988 at the rate of 1.25% per month, plus costs.
Judgment entered - 3/30/88
C - 34

Debt - $1,758.30
Int. from 1/88
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

William J. Gregg

133.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

KIMBERLY PETRUSO

vs.

MICHAEL PETRUSO

Filed Feb. 17, 1988

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Proc. Fd 3/2/88 $.00 ✓

3/2/88 Rec'd $49.50 From Defendant.
3/2/88 Paid $40.50 To Att Gregg Ck #4419 Recording Fee.
6/13/88 Rec'd $100.00 Fine From Defendant.
6/14/88 Paid $100.00 Ck #4597 To Crawford Co. Commissioners

PETITION - PROTECTION FROM ABUSE

3 copies (atty)

TEMPORARY EX PARTE ORDER:

AND NOW, this 25 day of Feb. 1988, upon motion of William J. Gregg, Esq. atty. for plaintiff, and upon consideraton ofthe within petition for relief which shows to the Court's satisfaction that good cause exists to enter a temporary ex parte protection order:

It is hereby ordered that defendant, is restrained from physically striking, abusing, harassing, and threatening plaintiff, Kimberly Petruso, and her children, Nancy Leigh Petruso and Michael James Petruso, and from living at, entered upon or visiting the residence located at R. D. #2, Ryan Drive, Conneaut Lake, Pa.

Plaintiff shall have custody of said children pending further order of the court.

This order shall remain in effect until hearing in the above captioned matter.

The Penna. State Polcie are requested to escort the plaintiff to the residence, and to arrest the defendant if he fails to comply with this order.

Violation of this order may result in imprisonment of up to six (6) months or fine of $1,000.00 or both.

By the court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

RULE TO SHOW CAUSE:

AND NOW, this 17 day of Feb. 1988 a Rule is granted upon defendant Michael Petruso, to show cause why an order should not be entered pursuant to the Protection From ABUSE Act enjoining him from physically striking, abusing, harassing, and/or threatening the plainiff, prohibiting him from living at, entereing upon or visiting the property located at R. D. #2, Ryan Drive, Conneaut Lake, Pa. ordering him to pay temporary support, and awarding custody of the minor childrento plaintiff.

A hearing will be held on the 25 day of Feb. 1988, in C. R. 1 or 2, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. at 2:30 p.m. to determine whether the re quested relief will be granted.
(3 copies atty)

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Feb. 17, 1988 Acceptance Of Service filed. And Now, February 17, 1988, comes Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug, and accepts service of the Petition for Protection from Abuse filed.

Feb 26, 1988, Order filed: AND NOW, February 25, 1988, at the time scheduled for hearing, the parties having agreed that the following Order be entered, it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. The defendant is prohibited from entering the residence occupied by the plaintiff at R.D.#2, Ryan Drive, Conneaut Lake, Pennsylvania.

2. The defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the plaintiff.

3. The minor children of the parties, Mandy Leigh Petruso and Michael James Petruso, shall reside with the plaintiff and the defendant shall be entitled to visitation as follows:

A) Tuesdays and Wednesdays from 6:00 p.m. to 9:15 p.m. At the close of such visit, the children shall be returned to the home of their mother, b ut the defendant shall not enter the home when returning the children.

B) Alternate Sundays from Noon until 8:00 o'clock p.m., beginning February 28, 1988.

C) Such further visits as may be agreeable to the parties.

D) Except as to the return of the children after the Tuesday and Wednesday visits, the children shall be picked up by the defendant at the home of their maternal great grandparents, Charlie and Virginia Griggs, and returned to that location.

E) Pending any Order in any child or spousal support proceedings, the defendant shall pay to the plaintiff the sum of $280.00 per month as child support, said payment to be made directly.

4. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the defendant may go to the home of the plaintiff on February 28, 1988 at 9:00 o'clock a.m. for the purpose of obtaining the following items of personal property: one sofa bed, one microwave oven, one vacuum sweeper, one television set, one set of dishes, personal effects, including clothing, papers and tools, and one small regrigerator.

5. This Order shall continue in full force and effect for one (1) year from this date and the record costs shall be paid by the defendant.

6. The Pennsylvania State Police or any other police agency having jurisdiction may enforce this Order in accordance with the Protection from Abuse Act.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Feb 29, 1988 Copies of order to Kathleen Nelson and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esqs.

June 7, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 3, 1988, the matter is before the court on a motion for contempt against the defendant for having violated provision one of the abuse order entered Feb. 25, 1988 by Judge Robert L. Walker. It appears, and the court is satisfied by the fair weight of the evidence, that the defendant violated provision by going to the residence of his wife on or about 8:30 a.m. June 2, 1988 in violation of this provision. For his violation of this provision, the sentence of the court is the defendant pay a fine of $100.00 within ten (10) days of this date and undergo impresonment in the Crawford County Jail for a minimum term of one (1) month and a maximum term of six (6) months. Insofar as imprisonment is concerned, execution of the imprisonment sentence is suspended for a period of until Feb. 25, 19889 on the conditon that the defendant faithfully comply with the conditions of the previous order entered by Judge Walker, In the event any police officer has reasonable cause to believe that there has been a violation of provision six of Judge Walker's order, the order will remain in effect and the defendant may be apprehended not only for a new violation of the order, but for service of the suspended sentence entered this date. Sheriff is Directed to release the defendant forthwith.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

June 10, 1988, copies of order to Attys. M. Kirkpatrick and K. Nelson.

1988

134.	APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT of DANIEL H. FRONCE Filed Feb. 17, 1988	APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT MENTAL HEALTH PROCEDURES ACT OF 1976 (Section 303) Feb. 19, 1988 Certification By The Court For Extended Involuntary Emergency Treatment By Mental Health Review Officer, John D. Petruso filed.

1988

Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker & Johnson William L. Walker 135.	STEPHEN C. STUREY AND HELEN STUREY, HIS WIFE vs. CABOT OIL AND GAS CORPORATION Filed Feb. 17, 1988 @ 1:32 P.M. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Pro Co Pd 3-8-88 6.00 ✓	COMPLAINT 1 copy (atty) Mar 8, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, this case is is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

136.

GERTRUDE I. PETERS

vs.

RICHARD E. PETERS

Filed Feb. 17, 1988
@ 2:26 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 2/17/88- 9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 17, 1988, Plaintiff's AFFIDAVIT Under Section 201(a) (5) of the Divorce Code filed.

Mar 14, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Brian Lindsay, Esquire, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Gertrude I. Peters and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 18th day of February, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee only, Return Receipt Requested to Richard E. Peters a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce at his last known address of P-8835, P.O. Box 200, CampHill, Pennsylvania 17011 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P276 200 208 and that on the 2nd day of March, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 29th day of February, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to Authorities.
Date: 3/14/88 Brian Lindsay, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff

Mar. 22, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Apr. 11, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, April 7, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

GERTRUDE I. PETERS and RICHARD E. PETERS

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

137.

STEVEN A. ROTKOVECZ

vs.

LINDA F. ROTKOVECZ

Filed Feb. 17, 1988
@ 2:28 P.M.

TAx & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 2/17/88 -9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 17, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Feb 24, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Brian Lindsay, Esquire, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Steven A. Rotkovecz and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 18th day of February, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested to Linda F. Rotkovecz a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Plaintiff's Affidavit under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code at her last known address of 590 Washington Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 276 200 206 and that on the 22nd day of February; 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 19th day of February, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Date: 2/23/88

Brian Lindsay, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Mar. 23, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to Transmit the Record, this case moved to court.

Apr. 11, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D.**

AND NOW, April 7, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

S T E V E N A. R O T K O V E C Z and **L I N D A F. R O T K O V E C Z**

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Sylvia Dratler

Legal Aid
Brian Lindsay

138.

ELEANOR L. SWANSBROUGH

vs.

THOMASINA WHEELER
DANNY WALKER

Filed Feb. 17, 1988
@ 2:30 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY AND/OR VISITATION

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Thomasina Wheeler and Danny Walker, Defendants, have been sued in court to obtain custody and/or visitation of Danny Walker.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time as may be set by WILLIAM PINEO, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc., Ser. to the Public Comm.
310/312 Chestnut St, Meadville, Pa. 16335 Ph. 814 724-7719.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar 14, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Brian Lindsay, Esquire, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Eleanor Swanbrough and that as suvh I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 25th day of February, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addrerssee Only, Return Receipt Requested to Thomasina Wheeler, a true and correct copy of the Complaint for Custody and/or visitation at her last known address of 902 Main St., Conneautville, Pennsylvania 16406 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 276 200 211 and that on the 29th day of February, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 26th day of February, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.§4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Date: 3/14/88 Brian Lindsay, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff

Mar. 22, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, BRIAN LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Eleanor Swansbrough and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did onthe 16th day of March, 1988, receive a telephone call from Danny Walker, who acknowledged receipt of the Complaint for Custody ando/or Visitation andthe Acceptance of Service of form, and who further stated that he has no interest in visitation ofthe child or in attending any conferences, and who stated thathis one main reason for no signing any papers was that he wanted to avoid doing anything that would show has was acknowledging paternity.

I say that on the 22nd day of March, 1988, I forwarded a copy of the NOtice of Mediation conference to Danny Walker through Domestic Relations, which was also the means by which I had forwarded the Complaint for Custody and/or Visitation.

I verify that the statements made in this affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Dated 3/22/88 Brian Lindsay

Mar. 25, 1988 Acceptance of Service filed. I, DANNY WALKER, defendant in the above cited action, hereby accept service of the Complaint for Custody and/or Visitation. Date: 3-22-88

Apr. 22, 1988 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 21st day of April, 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

!. Thomasina Wheeler, now by marriage Thomasina Brown, is awarded custody of the subject minor child, Danny Walker.

2. The plaintiff, who is the mother of Mrs. Brown, will have visitation with the subject minor child on alternate weekends, beginning with the weekend of April 19, 1988, from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m.

3. Each party shall teach the child to give to the other that love and respect which a child owes his parent or grandparent, respectively, and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the eyes of said child.

4. Transportation for visitation shall be provided by the plaintiff.

5. The parties are free to make alternative arrangements with respect to visitation. Such arrangements must be by mutual agreement in the absence of which the terms of this Order shall govern strictly.

6. The Mediator has noted for the Court that all of the parties are indigent and were represented either by Crawford Legal Aid or by Northwest Legal services and therefore costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $42.00 are assessed to Crawford County.

7. Any party may move the court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J

Apr. 26, 1988 Copies of order to Brian Lindsay, Northwest Legal Service and William Pineo

Continued on the next page.

138
A

1988

Swansbrough vs. Wheeler A.D. 1988 - 138 CUSTODY

Aug. 7, 1989 Petition To Have Respondent Held In Contempt For Failure To Comply With Visitation Order and Order filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of August, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, a hearing is hereby set for the 29th day of August, 1989, at 10 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom #2. Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 7, 1989 Copy of order put in Sylvia Dratler, Northwest Legal Service and Wiliam Pineo's box.

Aug. 7, 1989 Petition To Modify Visitation and Order filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of August, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, a hearing is hereby set for the 29th day of August, 1989, at 10 o'clock a.m.in courtroom #2.
Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 7, 1989 Copiy of order put in Sylvia Dratler, Northwest Legal Service and William Pineo's box.

A ug. 29, 1989 Affidavit of Service filed. SYLVIA L. DRATLER, ESQ., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her information, knowledge and belief.

1. That the affiant is the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of a Petition to Modify Visitation and a true and correct copy of a Petititon to Have Respondent Held in Contempt for Failure to Comply with Visitation Order were sent to the Defendant on Aug. 11, 1989. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
3. The registered mail was sent to Thomasina Brown, 15 S. Stewart St., Apt. 204, Blairsville, Pa. 15717.
4. The Defendant received said registered mail on Aug. 21, 1989. Said receipt card number P 063 588 972 is attached hereto and signed by Thomasina D. Brown.

Sylvia L. Dratler, Atty.

Aug. 29, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, August 29, 1989, after an informal discussion between the parties, and their respective counsel, it appears that the Defendant has moved from the Meadville area to Blairsville, Pennsylvania, and is in the further process of moving a short distance to a small community near Indiana, Pennsylvania. The child willin all probability be attending Head Start this school year, but the exact hours and dates upon which Head Start attendance will be in force are currently unknown. the child is currently in Meadville at the present time, and transportation on the part of both parties is somewhat difficult to arrange. We, therefor, modify the existing Order to attempt to accommodate the problems the parties have as follows:

1. The Plaintiff shall have a visit with the child commencing immediately following this hearing and continuing until Friday at 5:00 o'clock p.m.
2. The Plaintiff shall have the right to take the child into her custody every third weekend beginning September 21, 1989 from 5:00 o'clock p.m. on Thursday until 5:00 o'clock p.m. on Sunday. These teims are selected onthe assumption that the child will be attending Head Start a half day Monday through Thursday of each week. If it ultimately develops that the time and dates of Head Start attendance are different, we will except the parties to voluntarily modify the Order to maintain as nearly as possible the same amount of visit, but to accommodate the Head Start schedule. We would suggest if the parties cannot agree, perhaps counsel could contact the Court on an informal basis rather than schedule an additional hearing, so that the matter could be resolved
3. The Plaintiff shall have the right to a two-week visitation during the months of June, July and August, when school is not in session; the two-week period to be selected by the Plaintiff, and notice to be given the Defendant at least two (2) weeks in advance of the time the two-week visit is to commence.
4. Transportation for all visits shall be furnished by the party receiving the child.
5. A petition for adjudication of contempt has been filed, but since the circumstances have changed, and we are modifying the original Order, we decline to hold the Defendant in contempt, but caution her that we expect the Order to be complied with.
6. Each party shall promptly notify the other of any change in address and any telephone number, whether listed or unlisted, so that contact can be maintained.
7. Except as herein necessarily modified, the Order of April 21, 1988 is affirmed.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 30, 1989 Copies of order put in Sylvia Dratler and Gerald Sullivan's box.

738
B

1985

1988

R. Charles Thomas
Bozic and Thomas

139.

Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
Thomas D. O'Shea

Douglas Ferguson

DORIS R. BROWN

vs.

GEORGE W. BROWN

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, TEMPORARY ALIMONY, COUNSEL FEES AND COSTS PERMANENT ALIMONY

1 copy (atty)

Filed Feb. 17, 1988
@ 3:48 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
3 counts paid	45.00
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Master	
Pro.Co. pd 7/29/93	9.00
State Tax pd 7/29/93	10.00
Pro.Co. pd 12/8/93	5.00

Apr. 5, 1988 Appearance of Ferguson, Spataro & O'Shea by Thomas D. O'Shea for Defendant filed.

Apr 13, 1988 Motion for Scheduling of Hearing on Temporary Alimony, Counsel Fees and Costs and Order filed: And Now, 13 April, 1988, Hearing set for the 25th day of May, 1988 at 9:00 A.M. in Courtroom 1 or 2.

Robert L. Walker, J.

April 13, 1988 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas and Thomas O'Shea, Esqs.

May 25, 1988 Filing Of Budget Information of Defendant filed.

May 25, 1988 Plaintiff's Income and Expense Statement filed.

11-24-92
Received from
defendant
Master's deposit
$600.00

6-21-93 Pd Master
Ch# 7613 600.00

May 27, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, May 25, 1988, at the time set for hearing on alimony pendente lite, counsel fees and costs, competent counsel for both parties and with some informal input from the parties themselves have enlightened the Court as to the complex marital asset problem involved in this case. It appears at this time, there is not hope of immediate reconciliation and numerous complexities attendant to the divorce action remain to be resolved.

First, the parties mutually agree that it would be financially advantageous for both parties to secure one or more mutually agreeable appraisers to appraise the farm real estate, livestock and equipment, the value of the partnership bus business and apparently a companion business interwoven with the farm business whereby the husband individually runs some type of an ancillary hauling or bussing business.

The parties mutually agree that they shall have appraisers in order that each party have some enlightenment as to an objective view of of marital and individual assets. The parties mutually agree that if they cannot reach an agreement on this appraisal issue, that either party may move the Court after a thirty-day period to appoint one or more appraisers at the court's discretion to do the appraisal work and permit the Court to allocate the cost thereof equitably between the parties.

As far as counsel fees are concerned, the Court directs the following:

Counsel fees in the amount of $500.00 will be paid to counsel for the wife on or before June 1 and a similar amount on or before July 1 and on or before August 1. This Order for counsel fees is made without prejudice to the right of the Master in the divorce action or ultimately the Court, to allocate total counsel fees incurred by both parties equitably among the parties as part of a final decree in the divorce action.

The defendant husband is directed to continue to cary medical health insurance on the wife and pay the premiums thereon and not discontinue the same without agreement of the wife.

There appears to be no dispute about an automobile in the wife's name titled in her own name, but it shall be the responsibility of the husband to continue to pay the insurance on this vehicle.

Commencing May 28, 1988, the Court orders that alimony pendente lite in the amount of $200.00 per week be paid by the husband to the wife, but any extension of said alimony after October 1 will require applicable agreement between the parties or absent the same, further court hearing.

By mutual agreement of the parties and their counsel, the income and expense statements this day will be filed, but the income tax returns attached thereto or produced separately will be returned to counsel. In the event of future hearings, the income tax returns produced this day will be reproduced at that hearing.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

June 1, 1988 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas and Thomas O'Shea, Esqs.

June 7, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 10, 1988 8:50 A.M. Affidavit of Consent of Defendant Filed.

July 15, 1988 Application for Special Relief and Order filed: AND NOW, this 15th day of July, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Application for Special Relief, a Rule is granted upon the Defendant to show cause, if any he has, why the Order for Alimony Pendente Lite should not be increased for the reasons set forth in the within Petition.

A hearing on this matter is set for the 21st day of July, 1988 at 1:15 o'clock P.M. in Court Room No. 1 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

July 15, 1988 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas and Douglas Ferguson, Esqs.

July 20, 1988 Motion For Continuance and Order filed. And Now, July 20, 1988 The within Motion is allowed and the hering in this case is continued to the 3rd day of August, 1988 at 9:30 o'clock a.m. Imogene Yetman, C.A.

July 21, 1988 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas and Douglas Ferguson

Continued on page 551

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

140.

HEIDI M. WRIGHT

vs.

BRADLEY J. WRIGHT

Filed Feb. 17, 1988
@ 3:48 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
2 counts paid 30.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES & COSTS

1 copy (atty)

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a part in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 2-17-88 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 8, 1997 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

R. Charles Thomas
Bozic and Thomas

141.

Jorden & White
Harry Faber White II

DONALD R. MOOK

vs.

CHERYL A. MOOK

Filed Feb. 17, 1988
@ 3:48 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 5/3/89	9.00
State pd 5/3/89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 19, 1989, Appearance of Jorden and White, by Harry Faber White, II, attorneys for plaintiff filed.

Apr. 19, 1989, Affidavit of Defendant Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code filed.

Apr. 19, 1989, Affidavit of Plaintiff Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code filed.

Apr. 19, 1989, Acceptance of Service filed. And Now, this 30 day of Mar. 1989, comes the defendant Cheryl A. Mook, and swears that she accepted service of a Divorce Complaint Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code and a Notice to Defend and Claim Rights in the above matter, on or about feb. 21, 1989, with the full force and effect of service under the Divorce Code of Pa.

Cheryl A. Mook.

May 3, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

May 19, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, May 19, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Donald R. Mook, plaintiff, and Cheryl A. Mook, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

142

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

142.

TODD A. BAILEY

vs.

CECILY L. BAILEY

Filed Feb. 17, 1988
@ 4:21 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid 2/27/91	10.00
Pro Co Pd. 2/27/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATION AND DISTRIBUTION, AND CHILD CUSTODY AND VISITATION

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 25, 1988, Verification filed. I, James R. Irwin, Esq. do hereby verify that I am the attorney fo record for plff. and that as such, I am authorized to execute this verification; that I did, on Feb. 18, 1988, send by cert. mail, ret. rec. req., addressee only to Cecily L. Bailey a true and correct copy of divorce complaint filed at her last known address of R. D. #2, Box 28, Saegertown, Pa. 16433, as evidenced by the attached sender's rec. No. P 547 801 699 and that on Feb. 23, 1988 I did receive the duly executed return rec. dated Feb. 20, 1988. James R. Irwin Esq.

Mar 3, 1988 Separation and Property Settlement Agreement filed.

June 1, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Jan. 16, 1991 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 2019(d) of the the Divorce Code filed.

Feb. 25, 1991, Motion to Withdraw Counts from Plaintiff's Complaint in Divorce and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 25th day of Feb. 1991, upon consideration of the within Motion, Counts 2 and 3 of the Complaint in divorce filed at the above number are hereby withdrawn.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Feb. 25, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, To Transmit the Record, this case moved to court.

Feb. 27, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, February 27, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Todd A. Bailey, plaintiff, and Cecily L. Bailey, defendant, are divorced fromthe bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1988

Specter Law Offices
Diane CLark Hoffman

143.

Wayman, Irvin & McAuley
~~Donald J. McCormick~~
Warren L. Siegfried

MARK ULBRICH

vs.

COW SHED, INC. A CORPORATION
a/k/a STABLE PIT AND PUB
RESTAURANT

Filed Feb. 18, 1988
@ 11:51 am

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 39.12
Pro Co pd 10/19/90 6.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 3, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Cow Shed, Inc. within named defendant on February 26, 1988 at 3:15 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint and Interrogatories to Bill Perfett owner and person in charge at the place of business, Rt. 18 and Midway Blvd., Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA. 16316.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

April 27, 1988 Appearance of Wayman, Irvin & McAuley, by Donald J. McCormick for defendant filed.

Aug. 31, 1988, Answer and New Matter filed.

Sept 23, 1988 Plaintiff's Reply to New Matter filed. (Jury Trial Demanded)

Oct. 27, 1988, Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Nov. 2, 1988, Answers to Plaintiffs' Interrogatories to defendant and REquest for Production of Documents, filed.

Feb. 21, 1989, Notice of Deposition of Mark Ulbrich filed.

Jan. 2, 1990 Plaintiff's Notice of Deposition of Bill Perfett filed.

Jan. 2, 1990 Plaintiff's Notice of Deposition of Sally Ramley filed.

Apr. 12, 1990, Plaintiff's Notice of Deposition of Sally Ramley filed.

Apr. 12, 1990, Plaintiff's Notice of Deposition fo Bill Perfett filed.

May 16, 1990 Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON OCTOBER 1990 TRIAL LIST

Sept. 25, 1990 Appearance of Wayman, Irvin & McAuley by Warren L. Siegfried for Defendant filed.

Sept 26, 1990, Motion of defendant to continue and order filed. And Now, this 24 day of Sept. 1990 upon consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby ..... SEE SEPARATE ORDER....
BY THE COURT, P. R. Thomas, S.J.

Sept. 26, 1990, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Sept 24, 1990, at the call of the trial list, there is a motion for continuance by the defendant contending they never received pre-existing x-rays for their exper tto examine. Counsel for the plaintiff and the plaintiff are in cuort this date and they agree to sign a release to the Meadville Medical Center so that counsel for the defendant can procure the requisite x-rays this date for delivery tohis doctor. This case is listed fro trial at the Oct. Term commencing Oct. 8, 1990. Accordingly, defendant's request for continuance is denied. BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. R. Thomas, S.J.

Sept. 27, 1990 , copies mailed to attys. of record.

Oct. 3, 1990 Notice To Attend To Bill Parfett, filed.

Oct. 3, 1990 Notice To Attend To Janet Soloman filed.

Oct. 18, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

MacDonald, Illig,
Jones & Britton
Robert W. Parker, Jr

144.

ERIE-CRAWFORD DAIRY COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATION

vs.

WILLIAM JAMES RASEY, SR.
AND CLARA RASEY, HIS WIFE

Filed Feb. 18, 1988
@ 1:12 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00
Plff on orig	75.38
Sheriff's Costs	50.15
Pro Co Pd 5/13/88	9.00
Pro Sat pd. 12/28/88	5.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL
of
DSB 1982 - 160

Writ of revival of judgment entered against the defendants on Feb. 18, 1988 by Prothonotary.
Dated.....February 17, 1988
Debt.....$3,153.56
Interest to 1/12/88....$1,715.11 (not included)
R - 176, 36.....JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL
James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

Apr. 8, 1988, Writ Reissued.

May 3, 1988 Writ Returned: SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Clara Rasey personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival to her on April 20, 1988 at 11:44 A.M. at her residence, R.D.#2, Hatchtown Road, Spartansburg, Crawford County, Pa.
SERVED William James Rasey, Sr., on April 20, 1988 at 11:45 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival to Clara Rasey, adult member of his family, namely his wife, at their residence, R.D.#2, Hatchtown Road, Spartanburg, Crawford County, Pa.
So Answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, May 13, 1988 at 10:52 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, with copy of default notice and certificate of intention attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for want of an answer; damages assessed in the sum of Four Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty Eight and 67/100 Dollars, plus reasonable attorneys fees and costs. (debt includes $1,715.11 in interest)

Judgment entered - 5/13/88
R - 176, 36

Debt - - $4,868.67
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Dec. 28, 1988, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, judgment in above action satisfied of record.

1988

PlaTE, Shapira,
Hutzelman, Berlin, May,
Walsh and Brabender
Stephen H. Hutzelman

145.

HAMMOND BUILDERS SUPPLY

vs.

MR. JOHN GRABSKI AND MRS.
JOHN GRABSKI

Filed Feb. 18, 1988
@ 1:37 P.M.

Pro Simmons Paid	$15.00
Plff on orig.	9.25
Sheriff's Costs	30.07
Pro Co pd 4/21/88	9.00
Sat paid 12/13/90	5.00

WRIT OFF REVIVAL

of

DSB 1983 - 272

Writ of revival indexed by Prothonotary against the defendants on 2/18/88 in amount of Seven Hundred Ten and 43/100 Dollars. Plus court costs of record.

Debt.....$710.43
Dated.....Feb. 18, 1988
G - 94, 04....JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

Mar. 15, 1988 Writ Return filed. Not Found, as to Mr. John Grabski, within named defendnat, Moved, no forwarding address.
Not Found, as to Mrs. John Grabski, within named defendant, Moved, no forwarding address.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Apr. 21, 1988 at 11:46 AM upon praecipe plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer and the damamges are assessed at the sum of Seven Hundred Ten and 43/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

G-94-94
judgment entered 4/21/88
notice mailed to defendant 4/26/88

Debt $710.43
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Dec. 12, 1990, This Judgment satisfied. (see paper filed)

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin and Stack
Charles J. Swick

146.

AMERICAN FEDERAL SAVINGS

vs.

KENNETH E. HERBERT AND EDNA
H. HERBERT, HUSBAND AND WIFE

Filed Feb. 18, 1988
@ 2:03 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	37.00
Pro Co Pd 4-6-88	9.00 ✓

Apr 6, 1988 Judgment entered

EX 1988-34

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

3 copies (sheriff)

Mar. 3, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED by certified mail February 22, 1988, a true copy of the within Complaint to the defendant Kenneth E. Herbert, 3347 Cherokee Lane, Provo, Utah 84603, the return receipt attached and made a part ofthis return.
SERVED by certified mail February 22, 1988, a true copy of the within Complaint to the Defendant Edna H. Herbert, 3347 Cherokee Lane, Provo, Utah 84603, the return receipt attached and made a part ofthis return.
SERVED and made known to Janice Dubrosky, person in possession of the property, on March 1, 1988 at 9:50 AM, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA 16335.
So answers, Carole Stefanucci, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

And Now, Apr 6, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney, judgment is entered in faor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendants for failure to file an Answer and the same is liquidated in the amount of Fifty-nine Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty and 61/100 Dollars with interest from February 12, 1988.
H-104,54 Debt....$59,860.61 + int.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
John F. Spataro

147.

JOSEPH R. TUMINELLO AND
KAREN S. TUMINELLO, HIS WIFE

vs.

HAROLD DAYTON COLT, a/k/a
DR. HAROLD DAYTON COLT,
a/k/a H. D. COLT, AND
RENNA B. COLT, HIS WIFE,
THEIR HEIRS, BENEFICIARIES,
EXECUTORS, ADMINISTRATORS,
TRANSFEREES, AND ASSIGNS,
AND ANYONE CLAIMING BY,
THROUGH, OR UNDER THEM,

Filed Feb. 19, 1988
@ 9:27 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

Pro Co Pd 5-6-88
9.00

COMPLAINT - ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

FEb. 19, 1988, Affidavit That Defendants Are Dead Or Whereabouts Unknown, filed.

Feb. 19, 1988, Motion for Publication, and Order for Publication, filed. ORDER FOR PUBLICATION: AND NOW, this 19th day of February, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the Plaintiffs are granted leave to make service ofthe complaint on the defendants, by publication once in the Crawford County Legal Journal and once in a newspaper of general circulation in the County of Crawford. Defendants shall answer said complaint within twnety days fo the latest publication. Plaintiffs shall serve the aforesaid complaint in compliane with Pa. R.C.P. 1009(f).

Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb. 19, 1988, copy of order to Atty. J. Spataro.

March 25, 1988 Affidavit with Proofs of Publication filed.

March 25, 1988 Motion For Judgment and Order filed. AND NOW, this 25th day of March, 1988, an affidavit of service of the Complaint with notice to plead having been filed, and no Answer having been made by the Defendants, the Court, upon motion of John F. Spataro, Esq., attorney for Plaintiffs, hereby orders that, the Defendants be forever barred from asserting any right, lien, title, or interest inconsistent with the interest or claims of the Plaintiffs in the premises of the Plaintiffs, more particularly described as follows:

ALL that certain piece or parcel of land situate in Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, PA. bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

BEGINNING at the northeast corner of land described above as parcel 1; thence easterly along Beach Ave. Forty-eight (48) feet to a post; thence in a southerly direction one hundred and fifty (150) feet, more or less, to an alley; thence along said alley westerly forty-eight 948) feet, more or less, to a post; thence northerly one hundred and fifty (150) feet, more or less, to the place of beginning.

Provided, however, that the Defendants shall have thirty days from the date of this order to file with the Prothonotary of Crawford Co. a responsive pleading (answer or preliminary objections as provided by the Rules of Civil Procedure) to the complaint of the Plaintiffs before this order becomes final. If such action is not taken within the thirty (30) day period, the Prothonotary on praecipe of the Plaintiffs, shall enter final judgment.

Robert L. Walker, J.

March 30, 1988 Copy of Order to Atty. John Spataro.

AND NOW, May 5, 1988 @ 2:27 P.M. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, final judgment is hereby entered in this case, in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendants, pursuant to the foregoing Order of Court.

C-81, 141

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

148.

JOYCE RAMBO

vs.

CHARLES RAMBO, II

Filed Feb. 19, 1988
@ 9:31 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co Pd 2/19/88	9.00
Sheriff's Costs	29.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE
CUSTODY
1 copy (atty)

Feb. 19, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed. 1 copy (atty)

Mar 14, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Brian Lindsay, Esquire, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Joyce Rambo and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 25th day of February, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested to Charles Rambo, II, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce with Count for Custody at his last known address of 40-25 Country Acres, Guys Mills, Pennsylvania 16327 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P276 200 210 and that on the 2nd day of March 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 1st day of March, 1988

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Date: 3/14/88 Brian Lindsay, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff

Apr 4, 1988 Notice of Eligibility/Retainer Agreement filed.

April 15, 1988 ORDER of Court filed. AND NOW, this 15 day of April, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing provisions and upon the Agreement of the parties hereto, the provisions of the within Consent Custody Agreement are hereby entered as an Order of this Court.
By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, J.

April 15, 1988 Copies of Order to Attorney Brian Lindsay.

Apr. 20, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attoreny To transmit Record, this case moved to court.

May 5, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, May 4, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

JOYCE RAMBO and CHARLES RAMBO, II

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.
BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Dec 18, 1996 Certificate of completion of the Seminar for Separating Parents for JOYCE MARIE RAMBOII/ROBERTS, filed.

Dec. 13, 1996 Custody Complaint Modification, Notice and Order to Appear, Order and Notice and Order filed. You, Charles M. Rambo II, have been sued in court to (obtain)(modify) custody, partial custody or visitation of the child(ren): Charles M. Rambo III .

You are ORDERED to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by Mediator William Pineo, Esq. for a mediation conference. The Mediator shall send you a Notice of the hearing by mail to the address listed on the complaint or petition that has been filed recently.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J. DISTRIBUTED 12-30-96 Pineo Sheriff & Pltf
ORDER AND NOTICE: AND NOW, this 10 day of December, 1996, it ordered and decreed that the parents in this matter shall satisfactorily complete the Seminar for Separating Parents in Accordance with Crawford County Civil Rule L1930. BY THE COURT
12-20-96 DISTRIBUTED Pineo, Pltf & Sheriff Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
ORDER: AND NOW, this 10 day of December, 11996, the Court having appointed a custody mediator in this action for custody, and/or partial custody and/or visitation of a minor child or children, it is ordered and directed as follows:

1. The plaintiff is allowed to proceed without payment of court costs reserving to the Court the right to impose costs at a later time.

2. The Prothonotary is ordered and directed to deliver a true and correct copy of this Order and any complaint or petition filed at the time this Order was entered and any required notice, with appropriate numbers of copies, to the sheriff of Crawford county for service upon the defendant. **(SEE PAPERS FILED)**

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
12-20-96 DISTRIBUTED Pineo, Pltf & Sheriff
ORDER: AND NOW, December 10, 1996 upon consideration of the petition filed by the Plaintiff in this action andin this action, and supported by an affidavit attached thereto, all being pursuant to Pa.RCP. 240, the Plaintiff is granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis at this time.

The Plaintiff is directed to inform the Prothonotary's office or the Court of any improvement in his/her financial circumstance that would permit him/her to pay the costs incurred in this action and shall be responsible for doing so upon an improvement of financial condition.
BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
12-20-96DISTRIBUTED Pineo, Pltf & Sheriff

Cont. on Pg. 148A

Jan 2, 1997 SHERIFF'S RETURN: Served Charles Maynard Rambo on Dec 30, 1996 at 3:24 PM at the Sheriff's Office.
So answers,
Diane Brittain, Deputy
Robert M. Stevens, Sheriff

Feb. 10, 1997 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 7 day of February, 1997, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediaotr,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Legal and physical custody of the subject minor child, Charles Maynard Rambo, III, is awarded to his mother, the Plaintiff.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.

3. The father shall have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody on a reasonable basis, and the parents are directed to attempt to make reasonable arrangements for the visitations.

4. The Mediator informs the court that the father retains certain items of the child's personal property. The father is hereby directed to deliver to the mother all personal property of the child.

costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $122.00, are assessed to Crawford County. The mother is proceeding in forma pauperis and shall be allowed to continue.

(SEE PAPERS FILED)

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

148
-b-

_____ TERM, 1988

1988

Legal Services
Gerald Sullivan

149.

Bruce A. Barrett

PATRICIA DAVIS

vs.

DANA DAVIS

Filed Feb. 19, 1988
@ 10:02 A.M.

Tax & entry RH 6/13/88 $40.50 ✓
Pro to RH 6/13/88 9.00 ✓

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE

6 copies (atty)

Feb. 19, 1988, Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 19th day of February 1988, upon presentation and ocnsideration of the within Petition, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 24th day of Feb. 1988, at 11:00 a.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pa.

The defendant is directed to refrain from abusing and/or threatening to abuse, or harassing the plaintiff and/or the minor child of the parties.

The defendant is exlcuded immediately and forthwith from the plaintiff's residence which is located at R. D. #2, Linesville Pa. 16424, pending the hearing scheduled above.

The plaintiff is hereby awarded temporary custody of the minor child Alesha Davis, pending the hearing. The dft. and/or any other custodian of the child shall immediately return the child to the physical custody ofthe plaintiff.

The crawford County Sheriff Dept. is directed to serve a cert. copy of this Petition and order upon the dft. In the alternative, this Petition and Order may be served by any competent adult.

The City of Meadville Police Dept. and/or the State Police of the Com. of Pa. and /or the Linesville Police Dept. are directed to enforce this order and to prevent the return of the defendant to the aforesaid premises by any appropriate means.

This Petition and Order shall be filed and served without payment of costs; the court shall determine at the hearing if the dft. shall be required to pay the costs.

The dft. is hereby advised of his right to be represented by counsel, and he is notified that his failure to attend the hearing scheduled above, may have serious legal consequences.

The defendant should take this apper to his lawyer at once. If he does not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, he should go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where he can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Ass'n., Service tothe Public Committee
310/312 Chestnut St, Meadville, Pa. 16335 814 724-7719.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

February 24, 1988 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 24th day of February, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 3rd day of March, 1988, at 2:00 P.M. o'clock in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, PA. Service allowed by certified mail.

By The Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb. 24, 1988, Copy of Order toAtty. Gerald Sullivan.,(5 copies Atty.)

FEb 25, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, February 25, 1988, the Order of February 19, 1988 is amended by adding to the list of police officers authorized and directed to enforce the Order, the Sheriff of Crawford County. If the Sheriff is required to assist in the enforcement of this Oder by returning the physical custody of the child to the plaintiff, he shall at the same time serve a copy of this Amended Order upon the defendant.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb 26, 1988 Copies of order to Gerald Sullivan, Esq.

Feb. 26, 1988 Motion to amend Order and Order filed. And now, Feb. 26, 1988 Motion for modification of custody denied. All parties to keep child in Crawford Co until hearing Mar. 3, 1988. Robert L. Walker, J

Feb. 29, 1988 Copies of order to Gerald Sullivan and Bruce Barrett

Mar. 3, 1988 Sheriff's REturn filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Dana Davis personally the within named defendan tby handing a true copy of the within Petition and Order for Protection From abuse to himon February 24, 1988 at 4:10 pm at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
So answers, E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 3, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, March 3, 1988, at the time fixed for hearing, the parties have amicably agreed that the following Order be entered, which Order shall not be deemed an admission or denial of any of the facts set forth in the Petition:

1. The defendant shall not abuse, harass or attempt to abuse or harass the Plaintiff at any time.
2. The defendant shall not go to the home of the plaintiff except as may be necessary for obtaining the child for visits, an din those instances, shall not go inside of the home.
3. The parties shall share cutody of their minor child Alesha Davis on an alternating weekly basis, with custody to be transferred from one party to the other at 2:00 o'clock p.m. on each Friday and the party receiving custody shall furnish transportation.
4. Neither of the parties shall remove the child fromthe Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on any permanent basis, but nothing contained herein shall prevent the party having custody at any given time from taking the child outside of the State temporarily for a period not to exceed twenty-four hours.
5. This Order shall remain in full force and effect for one year; provided however, that the portion of the Order relating to custody and visitation is entered in a separate custody proceeding.
6. Any Police Officer having jurisdiction shall have the right to enforce this Order in accordance with the Protection FromAbuse Act.
7. The record costs in this matter will be borne equally by the parties.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 7, 1988 Copies of order to Gerald Sullivan and Bruce Barrett

150

1988

David L. Berkley

150.

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs & Youngs PC
Alan L. Pepicelli

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

of

WILLIAM ROBERT GRANDA

Filed Feb. 19, 1988
@ 10:23 A..M.

Tax & entry Feb 2/22/88 $40.50 ✓

APPEAL FROM SUSPENSION OF OPERATING PRIVILEGES

2 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 19 day of Feb. 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that a hearing on the within appeal should be held on the 6th day of April, 1988, at 9:30 a.m. in courtroom no. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, pa. It is further ordered that thirty (30) days written notice shall be given by counsel for the dft. to the Dept. of Trans., Bur. of Driver Licensing, Citation and Processing Div., Rm. 302, Trans. and Safety Bldg., Harrisburg, Pa. 17120, by cert. mail, return receipt requested, with an appropriate Certificate of Service to thereafter be filed with the Prothonotary.

It is further ordered and decreed that the appellant, William Robert Granda, shall retain his operating privilege and license pending the outcome of this Appeal, which shall act as a supersedeas.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Mar. 4, 1988 Certificate Of Service filed. I, Alan L. Pepicelli, Esquire, am an attorney duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and on February 22, 1988, I sent a copy of Appeal From Suspension of Operating Privileges filed at the above number, properly endorsed, to Department of Transportation, Bureau of Driver Licensing Citation and Processing Division, Room 302, Transportation and Safety Building Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120, by Certified Mail No. P 404 874 150, return receipt requested, and that on February 29, 1988, I received a return receipt for said certified mail signed by Scott A. Klouser and dted February 25, 1988 a copy of which is attached hereto.

I verify that the statements made in this Certificate are true and correct I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.§4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Dated March 2, 1988

Alan L. Pepicelli

Apr. 7, 1988, Appeal From Suspension of Operating Privigeges - ORDER filed. And Now, April 6, 1988 at the time set for hearing counsel for the defendant advises the court that the defendant is withdrawing his appeal. Accordingly the appeal is dismissed and the suspension imposed by the Department of Transportation shall remain in effect.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Apr. 7, 1988, copies to Attys. Alan L. Pepicelli and David L. Berkley.

1988

Jack, Kookogey & Felton
Robert J. Felton

151.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
E. Max Weiss

THOMAS A. BURT

vs.

WALLACE'S OLD FASHIONED SKINS, INC.

Filed Feb. 19, 1988
@ 11:46 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 7/1/88 6.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 9, 1988, Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma, and Schug, by E. MaX Weiss, attorneys for defendant, filed.

Mar. 17, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, ROBERT J. FELTON, ESQ., 144 West Spring Street, Titusville, Pennsylvania, Attorney for Thomas A. Burt, Plaintiff in the above action, do hereby verify that I served a certified copy ofthe Complaint filed at the above term and number upon the Defendant, Wallace's Old Fashioned Skins, Inc. by mailing the same to the address of Florence, SC 29501, by Certified Mail No. P 266 225 773, the same being posted at the Titusville, Pennsylvania, Post Office on February 25, 1988, and the same having been received by the Defendant on March 4, 1988, ad evidenced by the receipt for certified mail and the return receipt attached hereto.
Robert J. Felton

June 30, 1988, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued fo record.

1988

Bruce A. Barrett

152.

FINGERLAKES CHEMICALS, INC.

vs.

JOSEPH MacBLAIN,
INDIVIDUALLY, AND d/b/a
JOE'S CAR CLEANING

Filed Feb. 19, 1988
@ 11:41 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	24.00
Pro Co Pd 3/30/88	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Mar. 1, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Joseph MacBlain within named defendant on Feb. 24, 1988 at 8:45 am by handing copy of Complaint to Todd Walsh, person in charge at his place of business, Joe's Car Cleaning, 537 State St., Meadville Crawford Co., Pa.
SERVED Joe's Car Cleaning within named defendant on Feb. 24, 1988 at 8:44 am by handing a copy of Complaint to Todd Walsh, person in charge, at their place of business, 537 State St., Meadville, Crawford Co.Pa.

Soanswers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart. Sheriff

And Now, Mar. 30, 1988 at 1:27 P.M., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with notice of default copy attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants, for failure to file answer, in the sum of One Thousand Five hundred Eighty Two and 35/100 Dollars, with int. of $480.07 through 1/31/88.

Judgment entered 3/30/88
N - 91
J - 186

Debt --------$1,582.35
Int. from 2/1/88
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EX1989-53

1988

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis E. Blystone

153.

PENNBANK, FORMERLY THE PENNSYLVANIA BANK AND TRUST COMPANY

vs.

ANITA MOSS, EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF IRENE C. HIRSCH, DECEASED, ANITA MOSS a/k/a ANITA L. MOSS, INDIVIDUALLY, CHRISTINE DAHL, a/k/a CHRISTINE A. DAHL, INDIVIDUALLY, D. SCOTT DATTON, OR ANITA MOSS, TRUSTEE FOR LAURA HIRSCH a/k/a LAURA M. HIRSCH AND LAURA HIRSCH a/k/a LAURA M. HIRSCH, INDIVIDUALLY

Filed Feb. 19, 1988
@ 12:30 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $ 40.50 ✓
Pro co pd 6/14/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN ACTION OF MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

6 copies (atty)

Apr 4, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

Apr 25, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

May 17, 1988 Proof of Service filed: The undersigned, Mark Graham, deposes and says that he is an adult individual who resides at Anchorage Alaska, and that on 2nd of May, 1988, at 4:30 o'clock P.M. prevailing local time, he served a true and attested copy of the Complaint in Action of Mortgage Foreclosure endorsed with Notice to Defend in the above captioned matter on D. Scott Dattan, Trustee for Laura Hirsch by handing same to Debra A. Veiw, the person for the time being in charge his place of business, at his place of business at 1101 W. 7th Avenue, Anchorage, AK 99501.
Mark Graham, Process Server

May 17, 1988 Proof of Service filed: The undersigned, Mark Graham, deposes and says that he is an adult individual who resides at Anchorage, Alaska, and that on April 11, 1988 at 9:45 A.M., prevailing local time, he served a true and attested copy of the Complaint in Action of Mortgage Foreclosure endorsed with Notice to Defend in the above captioned action on Christine A. Dahl, by handing same to her personally at her place of residence at 3441 W. 88th St., Apt 16, Anchorage, Alaska 99502.
Mark Graham, Process Server

May 17, 1988 Proof of Service filed: The undersigned, Mark Graham, deposes and says that he is an adult individual who resides at Anchorage, Alaski and that on 2nd day of May, 1988 at 8:00 P.M. prevailing local time, he served a true and attested copy of the Complaint in Action of Mortgage Foreclosure endorsed with Notice to Defend in the above captioned matter on Anita Moss, Trustee for Laura Hirsch by handing same to her personally at her place of residence at 5842 Dow Place, Anchorage, AK 99507
Mark Graham, Process Server

May 17, 1988 Proof of Service filed: The undersigned, Mark Graham, deposes and says that he is an adult individaul who resides at Anchorage, Alaska, and that on 2nd of May, 1988, at 8:00 P.M., prevailing local time, he served a true and attested copy of the Complaint in Action of Mortgage Foreclosure endorsed with Notice to Defend in the above captioned matter on Anita Moss, Individually by handing same to her personally at her place of residence at 5842 Dow Place, Anchorage, AK 99507.
Mark Graham, Process Server

May 17, 1988 Proof of Service filed: The undersigned, Mark Graham, deposes and says that he is an adult individual who resides at Anchorage Alaska and that on 2nd of May, 1988 at 8:00 P.M. prevailing local time, he served a true and attested copy of the Complaint in Action of Mortgage Foreclosure endorsed with Notice to Defend in the above captioned matter on Anita Moss, Executrix of the Estate of Irene C. Hirsch by handing same to her personally at her place of residence at 5842 Dow Place, Anchorage, AK 99507.
Mark Graham, Process Server

May 20, 1988 Affidavit as to Efforts to Serve Original Process on Defendant Laura Hirsch, Individually filed.

May 20, 1988 Motion for Special Order Nunc Pro Tunc Directing Service Upon Defendant Laura Hirsch, Individually, Under Pa R.C.P. #430(a) and #410(c) and Order filed: AND NOW, this 20 day of May, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion and Plaintiff's counsel's Affidavit as to Efforts to Service Original Process on Defendant, Laura Hirsch, individually, it is hereby ordered, nunc pro tunc, that service of the Complaint in Action of Mortgage Foreclosure on defendant, Laura Hirsch, individually, be made by certified mail addressed to defendant Laura Hirsch at 2919 West 34th Avenue, Anchorage, Alaska 99503, and that the Complaint in Action of Mortgage Foreclosure was and is hereby deemed to have been served on defendant Laura Hirsch, individaully, as of April 20, 1988.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

May 23, 1988 Copies of order to Curtis Blystone, Esq.

And Now, June 14, 1988 at 4:12 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to answee and the damages are assessed at Eight Thousand Four Hundred Twenty-nine and 05/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
M-16; D-31-45; H-64
judgment entered June 14, 1988

Debt. $ 8,429.05
Int. from 2/8/88 on principal debt @ $1.89 per diem
James F. Simmons, Pro Prothonotary

EX 1988 - 51

154

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

154.

RICHARD A. COULTER

vs.

WAUNITA A. FENSTERMAKER-COULTER

Filed FEb. 19, 1988
@ 12:42 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Pro Co Pd 5/24/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

Feb. 24, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared Joseph C. Barnhart, Esq. who did depose and say that he is the atty. for the plaintiff in the above matter and that he did serve a certified copy of a Complaint in Divorce upon Dft. at her address by U. S. cert. mail. Delivery of same being evidenced by postal ret. rec. #P 620 643 111 dated Feb. 22, 1988 attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

In Witness Whereof, I hereunto set my hand and seal this 23rd day of Feb. 1988. Joseph C. Barnhart, Esq.

May 23, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit of Consent to Divorce filed.

May 23, 1988, Defendants Affidavit of Consent to Divorce filed.

May 24, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved tocourt.

June 6, 1988 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 3 day of June, 1988, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Upon consideration ofthe pleadings and Affidavits filed inthe above-captioned matter, the Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, onthe grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed fromthe date of the filing ofthe complaint, and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §201(c) ofthe Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. No other matters or causes of actions provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code of 1980, as amended, are reserved by either of the parties.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas

1988

Peterson & Gula
Richard A. Peterson

155.

DAVE W. McCURRY

vs.

JUDITH B. McCURRY

Filed Feb. 19, 1988
@ 12:51 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co. Pd 5/8/91	9.00
State Pd.	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

Dec. 1, 1989 Complaint Reinstated.

Dec. 20, 1989 Appearance Filed. Please enter my General Appearance in the above-described divorce action. I hereby accept service of and acknowledge receipt of a true and correct copy of the original Complaint in Divorce filed in this action.
Date: 12/13/89 Judith B. McCurry

May 7, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 7, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 7, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

May 10, 1991 **DECREE IN DIVORCE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 10 day of May, 1991, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED that Dave W. McCurry, Plaintiff, and Judith B. McCurry, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1988

156.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug
Alan R. Shaddinger

TAMMY RATCHFORD

vs.

ERIC LEO WARNER

Filed Feb. 19, 1988
@ 4:25 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓
Pro Co Pd 5/24/88 6.00 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF
MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30 -2 - 01

Feb. 19, 1988, Rule Entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

Feb. 23, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

May 24, 1988, Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, this case is marked settled, discontinued and ended upon payment of costs.

1988

Legal Services
Margaret Eglinton

157

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

10/13/88 Reed. 24.75 from Plaintiff.

8/1/89
Paid to
Crawford
County

TONYA A. BLAHUT

vs.

THEODORE T. CHECHAK, JR.

Filed Feb. 22, 1988
@ 9:28 a.m.

Tax & entry	$40.50
Pro Co	9.00
Sheriff's Costs	29.12

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE

7 copies (attys)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 22 day of Feb. 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 2nd day of March, 1988, at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom No 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pa.

The defendant is directed to refrain form contacting, abusing and /or threatening to abuse, or harassing the plaintiff and/or the minor child of the parties.

The plaintiff is hereby awarded temporary custody of the minor child of the parties pending the hearing scheduled above.

The Crawford County Sheriff Dept. is directed to serve a cert. copy of Petition and order upon the defendant. In the alternative, this may be service by any competent adult or by cert. mail.

The City of Meadville Police Dept, the Conneautville Police, and/or the State police of the Com. of Pa. are directed to enforce this order and to prevent the return of the defendant to the aforesaid premises by any appropriate means.

This petition and order shall be filed and served without payment of costs; the court shall determine at the hearing if the dft. shall be required to pay the costs.

The defendant is hereby advised of his right to be represented by counsel, and he is notified that his failure to attend the hearing scheduled above may have serious legal consequences.

The defendant should take this apper to his lawyer at once. If he does not have a lawyer, or cannot afford one, he should go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where he can get legalhelp.

Crawford County Bar Assn. Ser. tothe Public Comm. 310/312 Chesthut St., Meadville, Pa. 16335, 814 724-7719.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar. 2, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 2, 1988, the request for a permanent Order under the Protection From Abuse Act is DENIED, the record costs in this matter to be paid equally by the parties.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Mar. 2, 1988, copies of order to Attys. M. Eglinton and Brian Lindsay.

Mar. 1, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Theodore T. Chechak, Jr. personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Order and Petition to him on Feb. 26, 1988 at 10:50 am at his place of residence, 612 Licking St. Conneautville, Crawford Co. Pa.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

158

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

158.

SHARON M. MARTIN

vs.

TONY ANTHONY MARTIN

Filed Feb. 22, 1988

Indigent filing
Pro Co Pd 1/27/89 9.00✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 22, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed in Forma Pauperis, and Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, and order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 22 dayof Feb. 1988, Sharon M. Martin, Plaintiff in the above cited divorce action, is granted leave to proceed In Forma Pauperis, reserving the right to impose costs if appropriate. BY THE COURT, Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar 14, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Brian Lindsay, Esquire, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Sharon M. Martin, and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 25th day of February, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested to Tony Anthony Martin a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce at his last known address of 8002 St. Cyril, Detroit, Michigan 48213 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P276 200 209 and that on the 8th day of March, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 26th day of February, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Date: 3/14/88 Brian Lindsay, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff

Apr. 29, 1988 Notice Of Elegibility/Retainer Agreement filed.

Nov. 17, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Dec. 7, 1988 Affidavit of service of Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Jan. 27, 1989, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Feb. 7, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, February 7, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Sharon M. Martin, plaintiff, and Tony Anthony Martin, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony. BY THE COURT, P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

159.

GAIL M. PETERS

vs.

ROBERT PETERS

Filed Feb. 22, 1988

Indigent filing

Bzo. Co Pd 4-3-90 - 9.00
State Pd. 4-3-90 - 10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 22, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed in Forma Pauperis, and Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 22 day of Feb. 1988, Gail M. Peters Plaintiff in the above cited divorce action, is gratned leave to proceed in Forma Pauperis reserving the right to impose costs if appropriate. BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Apr. 18, 1988 Notice Of Eligibility/Retainer Agreement filed.

FEb. 27, 1990, Affidavit filed. I, Gail m. Peters, depose and say that on the 6th day of May 1988, I did send by Cert. Mail, Addressee Only, ret. rec. req. to Robert L. Peters, a true and correct copy of complaint in divorce at his last known address of 160 Poplar St, Cambridge Springs Pa. 16403, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt NO. P276 200 421, and that on May 23, 1988, I did receive the duly executed ret. rec. dated the 16th day of Mar 1988.
Date: June 2, 1988 Gail M. Peters, Plaintiff

Feb., 27, 1990, Notice to defendant filed.

Mar. 6, 1990 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, BRIAN J. LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Gail M. Peters, at that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did on the 1st day of March, 1990 send by Certified Mail, Addressee ONly, Return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of Notice to defendant, along with a regular copy of Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce decree and Defendant's Counte-Affidavit to defendant, robert Peters, at his last known address of 160 Poplar Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P276 200 332, and that on the 5th day of March, 1990, I did receive the duly executed return receipt.
I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities. Brian J. Lindsay

Apr 3, 1990 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(d) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

April 11, 1990 **divorce decree filed.**

AND NOW, April 11, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Gail M. Peters, plaintiff, and Robert L. Peters, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman, Berlin, May, Walsh & Shapira Stephen Hutzelman 160.	MARINE MIDLAND BANK vs. CHERIE G. HUMES Filed Feb. 22, 1988 @ 1:02 P.M. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Sheriff's costs 22.00 Pro Co Pd 4-19-88 5.00 ✓	COMPLAINT 1 copy (sheriff) March 11, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED and made known to Cherie G. Humes personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on March 8, 1988 at 11:33 A.M. at her place of employment, Meadville Medical Center, Liberty St., Meadville, Crawford Co. PA. So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff Apr 15, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney, this case is marked satisfied of record.

1988

Bettie J. Peterson-Muth

161.

LAURA A. KENNEDY

vs.

CHARLES E. KENNEDY

Filed Feb. 22, 1988
@ 1:16 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co PD 6/23/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

June 22, 1988 Affidavit of Service of Complaint by Mail filed. Bettie J. Peterson-Muth, being duly sworn according to law deposes that she is an attorney at law duly authorized to practice law in the Co. of Crawford, Commonwealth of PA., and that on February 22, 1988, she sent a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce, properly endorsed, to defendant, by certified mail, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to P.O. Box 78 Guys Mills, PA., the defendant's post office box.

The original green card receipt bearing defendant'signature is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "A". The original receipt for certified mail is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "B".

Bettie J. Peterson-Muth

June 22, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 22, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 22, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For review under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

July 6, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, July 6, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

L A U R A A . K E N N E D Y and C H A R L E S E . K E N N E D Y

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are her eby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Prather, Prather & Pineo
William Pineo

162.

JOHN B. LANE

vs.

DARLEEN J. LANE

Filed Feb. 22, 1988
@ 1:24 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 6/14/88	9.00 ✓
Costs Paid 7/5/88	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

Feb 24, 1988 Certification of Service filed:

William Pineo, Esquire, hereby certifies that on February 19, 1988, the complaint in the above referenced case was served upon Darleen J. Lane, defendant by certified mail, return receipt requested. See the certified mail receipts attached hereto and made a part hereof.

This certification is made under penalties of 18 Pa. SC.S. Sec. 4904 relating to unsworn falsification of authorities.

William Pineo, Esquire

June 9, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff and defendant filed.

June 9, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

June 27, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, June 27, 1988, it is her eby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

J O H N B . L A N E and D A R L E E N J . L A N E

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties rights, and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT
P. Richard Thomas, J

July 5, 1988 Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name Filed.

1988

P. L. Graul, Jr.

163.

ROBERT CARLSON
MILDRED CARLSON

vs.

ARDEN DALE ROBERTS
JANICE LEE ROBERTS

Filed Feb. 22, 1988
@ 1:49 P.M.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00
plff on orig.	9.50
Sheriffs costs	40.15
Sat. pd 5/26/93	5.00

REVIVAL OF JUDGMENT
(Writ)
of

DSB 1983 - 126

Revival of Judgment indexed against defendants in the amt. of Twenty One Thousand Fifty Four and 00/100 Dollars with int. from Sept. 20, 1982.

Debt.....$21,054.00
Int. from 9/20/82
R - 14, 18.....JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL

James F. Simmons, Pro.

Issued (sheriff)

Mar. 31, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Janice Lee Roberts personally the within defendant by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival to her on March 22, 1988 at 11:24 AM at Sheriff's Office, Room 303, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
SERVED by mailing by certified mail March 28, 1988, a true and attested copy of the Writ of Revival to the defnedant Arden Dale Roberts, 45 County Street, Ipswich Mass. 01938, the return receipt hereto attached and made a part of this return.
So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 26, 1993 This judgment Satisfied.
(See papers filed)

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

164.

ARTHUR D. SUESS

vs.

DONNA J. SUESS

Filed Feb. 23, 1988
@ 10:35 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
Pro Co. Paid 8/1/88	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 29, 1988 Affidavit of Mailing Complaint Under PA. R.C.P. No. 1124(b), Ann Hansen GAmble, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of PA. and that on Feb. 24, 1988, she sent a copy of the Complaint in Divorce the Defendnat by certified mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to Donna J. Suess, 604 W. Elm St., Titusville, PA 16354., the Defendant's last known address.The said receipt being attached hereto.

Ann Hansen Gamble

May 18, 1988 Stipulation and Order for Custody of Children filed: AND NOW, this 18 day of May, 1988, the parties hereto having stipulated and agreed, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. The legal custody of the children, Laura Suess, date of birth, January 12, 1983, and Andrea Suess, date of birth, November 25, 1984, is and shall continue to be joint and shared by the parties hereto, Arthur D. Suess, Plaintiff herein, and Donna J. Suess, Defendant herein.

2. The primary physical custody of the children who are the subject of this Order is and shall continue to be primarily with the Defendant, Donna J. Suess, subject however to the right of the Plaintiff, Arthur D. Suess to take the children into his physical custody for the purpose of visitation at such times and under such circumstances as the parties hereto find mutually agreeable.

3. The home jurisdiction of the children who are the subject of this action is at the present time in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania. It is within the contemplation of the parties however that the Defendant, Donna J. Suess may remove herself from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and relocate in Niagara Falls, New York, or elsewhere, but the minor children of the parties shall continue to reside primarily with the said Defendant. The Defendant however is ordered and directed to report any change of address to the Plaintiff within five (5) days of changing her residence whether that change of residence is within or without the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

In the event the parties are unable to agree on what constitutes reasonable periods of physical custody to be accorded to the Plaintiff, then and in that event either of the parties hereto may petition this court or whatever Court may then constitute the home jurisdiction of the minor children of the parties for a modification to specify what periods of partial custody are to be accorded to the Plaintiff herein.

P. Richard Thomas, J

May 19, 1988 Copies of order to Ann Gamble and Joseph Barnhart, Esqs.

June 22, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 14, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed

Aug. 1, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for review under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Aug. 8, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, August 8, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

A R T H U R D . S U E S S and D O N N A J . S U E S S

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of siad marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso and Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

165.

JANET McINTYRE

vs.

DANNY McINTYRE

Filed Feb. 23, 1988
@ 10:35 A.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 6-26-95	9.00
State pd 6-27-95	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 23, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Mar. 11, 1988 Affidavit Of Mailing Complaint Under Pa. R.C.P. No. 1124(b) filed. Ann Hansen Gamble being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and that on March 8, 1988, she sent a copy of the Complaint in Divorce and the P laintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code to the Defendant by certified mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to Danny McIntyre, 422 Brook Street, Titusville, PA 16354, the Defendant's last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto. Ann Hansen Gamble

June 22, 1995 Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 3301 (d) of the Divorce Code, filed.

June 22, 1995 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

June 30, 1995 AND NOW, June 28, 1995, it is ordered and decreed that JANET MCINTYRE, plaintiff, and DANNY MCINTYRE, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action fo which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1988

Richard J. Parks
MacDonald, Illig,
JOnes and Britton

Blystone & Blystone
Peter E. Blystone

166.

PENNBANK

vs.

PHYLLIS A. FELTENBERGER, AND MARIAN E. BROOKS, AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Filed Feb. 23, 1988
@ 1:35 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	78.46
Pro Co Pd 12/14/88	9.00
Pro Co. Pd 12/9/88	9.00
Pro Co Pd 12/20/93	5.00

COMPLAINT IN ACTION OF MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

5 copies (sheriff)
1 copy (atty)

Apr. 5, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared James Nelson who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 10 day of March, 1988, at 10:26 A.M., he served Complaint in Mort. Fore. filed at No. 166 Term, 1988, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant US Attorney General at 633 U.S. Post Office & Courthosue Pgh, PA. By making known the contents thereof to Scott Kanary Criminal Dockets. and hading to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Comp. received from Crawford.
So answers, James Nelson, deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff

SERVED by mailing by certified mail, March 25, 1988, a true and attested copy of the within Complaint to the defendant Phyliss A. Feltenberger, Herkimer Motel, Room 221, Herkimer, NY 13350. The return receipt was signed by someone other than defendant and attached to return.
SERVED and made known to Marian E. Brooks personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within complaint to her on March 18, 1988 at 2:55 PM at her place of residence, R.D.#2, Box 96, c/o William Huson, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED and made known to Nancy Joliet, personin possession of the premises at R.D.#10, Box 24, Meadville, Crawford County, PA by handing a copy of the within Complaint to her on March 4, 1988 at 10:42 AM.
Mortgaged premises on Watson Run Road, Meadville, Crawford County is vacant.
SERVED U.S. Atty. General by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, PA, as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: March 10, 1988.
So answers, Edgar d. Householder, deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

April 11, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. 1. The undersigned, Peter E. Blystone, is atty. for Pennbank, plaintiff in the above captioned action of mortgage foreclosure.

2. On Feb. 23, 1988, affiant mailed a true and correct copy of complint with nOtice to Defend to the Hon. Edwin Meese II, Atty. Gen. fo the U. S. in accordance with the requirements of Title 28 US code, Section 2410 by certified mail posted at the Meadville, Pa. U. S. Post Office, Art. No. P547 808 948 as indicated by teh attached receipt for cert. mail.

3. The aforesaid cert. mail article was delivered to the Dept. of Justice in Washington, D.C. on Geb. 26, 1988, as indicated by teh attached ret. rec.

I verify the statements made in this affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statement made herein are subject to the penalties of 18Pa. C. S. No. 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authroities.
Dated: Apr. 11, 1988 Peter E.Blystone, Esq.

Apr. 11, 1988, Sheriffs Cert. of Service filed. I, Gordon L. fike, Jr. dep. Sheriff of the County of Herkimer, State of New York, do hereby certify that I served the annexed Complaint AD 1988 - 166 in the above action upon Phyllis A. Feltenberger the person named as dft. at Herkimer motel, 100 Marginal Rd. Rm. 221, Herkimer, NY on April 5, 1988, at 10:00 a.m., by personally delivering to and leaving with said Phyllis A. Feltenberger a true copy thereof, that at the time of service, dft. admitted that she was not a member of the military service of the U. S.
Dated: April 8, 1988 Gordon L. Fike, Jr.
Herkimer County, New York.

Apr. 22, 1988 Answer Of Defendant United States of America filed.

And Now, Dec. 9, 1988 at 9:46 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the United States of America, in the within cause, and for sale of mortgaged propertyof defendants.
Judgment entered 12/9/88 JUDGMENT
U - 183 James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Dec. 9, 1988 at 4:28 P.M., and now upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to file an answer within twenty (20) days and damages are assessed as follows:

Principal debt due pursuant to the terms of the mortgage and bond.	$21,090.12
Reasonable attorney's fees of 5% of the mortgage debt in accordance with the terms of the instruments	1,054.50
TOTAL DEBT and ATTORNEY's FEES	$ 22,144.62

Together with interest on the principal debt at the rate of 9.25% per annum from 6/15/87.

F-131,B-114
Judgment entered 12/9/88.

Debt $22,144.62
Interest from 6/15/87
Judgment
James F. Simmons,Pro.

EXECUTION NO. 1988 - 115

Dec. 12, 1988 Affidavit of Non-Military Service of Defendant filed.

Dec. 20, 1993, APPEARANCE of Richard J. Parks, MacDonald, Illig, Jones and Britton, by Richard J. Parks, attorneys for plaintiff filed.

Dec. 20, 1993, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, the above judgment is satisfied of record.

1988

William F. Peters

167.

WILSON BUILDING SUPPLIES, INC.

vs.

DAN BAILEY

Filed Feb. 23, 1988
@ 3:00 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	31.15 ✓
Pro Co Pd 5/23/88	9.00 ✓
Sat. Pd 9-17-90	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT (ASSUMPSIT)

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 24, 1988, Complaint Reinstated.

Apr. 29, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Dan Bailey personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Assumpsit to himon April 12, 1988 at 9:59 A,M. at his place of residence, R.D.#2, Box 21, Conneautville Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

May 23, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. William F. Peters, Esquire, bein gduly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 12th day of May, 1988, he served a copy of the abovecaptioned Notice of Default upon Dan Bailey by regular mail at his residence, at R.D.#2, Conneautville, Crawford County Pennsylvania 16406. A copy of said Notice of Default is attached hereto. William F. Peters

May 23, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, (at 1:40 P.M.), and with copy of default notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer, in the amount of Seven Thousand Five Hundred Fifteen and 16/100 Dollars, with appropriate addit. int. and costs. Debt - $7,515.16
Judgment entered 5/23/88 JUDGMENT
B - 266. James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EXECUTION 1988 - 44

Sept. 17, 1990 This Judgment Satisfied.
(see paper filed)

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

168.

ANNA H. BENNETT

vs.

HAROLD B. BENNETT

Filed Feb. 23, 1988
@ 4:18 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 3, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, Attorney for the Plaintiff int he above entitled case, andbeing duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on February 24, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Harold B. Bennett by certified mail #P-547 808 331, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Harold B. Bennett on february 29, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a part in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 3-3-88 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 8, 1997 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

Gordon R. Miller

169.

HENRY S. WILLIAMS AND TWYLA WILLIAMS

Vs.

JOHN P. STEELE AND PEGGY S. STEELE

Filed Feb. 24, 1988
@ 1:46 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	26.00
Pro Co Pd 3/30/88	9.00

COMPLAINT - ACTION IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

March 7, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND made known to Peggy S. Steele personally the within Complaint to her on February 26, 1988 at 11:55 a.m. at her residence, 1207 S. Main St., Meadville, Crawford Co. PA.

SERVED and made known to John P. Steele personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on March 1, 1988 at 3:11p.m. at his place of employment, Brian's Auto Repair, Baldwin St. Ext., Meadville, Crawford Co. Pa.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Mar. 30, 1988 at 9:27 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendants for want of an answer, and damages assessed in the amount of Eleven Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty Four and 07/100 Dollars plus int. from Mar. 25, 1988. (real debt included int. from 4/15/87 to 3/25/88 of $820.80 -- Int. from 3/25/88 to date of payment; Taxes of $404.69; Ins. prem. of $119.00 and collection fee $559.52)

Judgment entered 3/30/88 -
S - 95, 135

Debt - - $11,754.07
Int. from 3/25/88
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EX 1988-30

170

1988

William F. Peters

170.

JOHN D. WHITE

vs.

ROBERT JOHN WAGNER, SR. AND VIRGINIA ROSE WAGNER, HIS WIFE

Filed Feb. 24, 1988
@ 3:24 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 61.50

COMPLAINT - QUIET TITLE ACTION

2 copies (atty)

Mar. 23,1988 Sheriff's Return filed.Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Robert Noone who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 11 day of March 1988 at 1:45 p.m. he served Action to Quiet Title, filed at No. 170 - 1988, Crawford Co. Pa., upon defendant Virginia Rose Wagner, at 6929 Verona St. Verona, Pa. By making known the contents thereof to Robert, husband, andhanding to and leaving with him the certified copy of the QT received from Crawford.

R.Noone,Dep. Sheriff
So answers, Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff
Allegheny Co

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Robert Noone, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 11 day of Mar. 1988, at 1:45 p.m. he served Action to Quiet Title, filed at No 170 - 1988, Crawford Co., Pa., upon defendant Robert John Wagner, Sr. at 6929 Verona St. Verona, Pa. By making known the contents thereof to Robert(he didn't want yo svvrpy psprtd do frp. youvhrf him with papers) and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the QT received from Crawford

R.Noone, Dep. Sheriff
So answers, Eugene L. C oon, Sheriff
Allegheny Co.

SERVED Robert John Wagner, Sr. within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny Co., as per affidavit of service attached. Serv ed: Mar. 11, 1988.

SERVED Virginia Rose Wagner within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny Co. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Mar. 11, 1988.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs PC
Alan L.Pepicelli

171.

BOZIC & THOMAS
R. Charles Thomas

ANNA NADZAM AND MICHAEL NADZAM, HER HUSBAND

vs.

JOHN STEPHEN CEREMUGA

Filed Feb. 24, 1988
@ 4:22 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs $50.00
Pro Co Pd 3/9/89 60.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

(JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)

Feb. 24, 1988, Requests for Production of Documents directed to Defendant (First Set) filed. 1 copy (sheriff)

Apr 7, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED John Stephen Ceremuga, within named defendant on March 23, 1988 at 9:44 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint, Interrogatories, and Requests to Elizabeth Ceremuga, adult , member of the family with whom he resides, namely his mother, at their residence, 460 Livingston Road, Jamestown, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

April 19, 1988 Appearance of Bozic & Thomas by R. Charles Thomas, Esq. for Defendant filed.

July 13, 1988 Answer To Plaintiffs' Complaint filed.

August 16, 1988 Plaintiffs' Reply to new Matter of Defendant filed.

Sept. 7, 1988 Certificate Of Readiness filed.

On October 1988 Trial List

Sept. 22, 1988, Notice of Depositon of John Stephen Ceremuga filed.

Sept 26, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, September 26, 1988, the parties agreeing that discovery has not yet been concluded in this case, it is continued to the February term of court.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Sept 27, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Pepicelli and Thomas.

Sept. 28, 1988 Notice Of Rescheduled Deposition of JOhn Stephen Ceremuga filed.

Oct. 27, 1988 Deposition of John Stephen Ceremuga filed.

Oct. 27, 1988 Deposition of Anna Nadzam filed.

On February 1989 Trial List

Jan. 16, 1989 Notice Of Deposition of Roy E. Kerry, M.D. filed.

Mar. 8, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

172.

DONALD F. OBERT

vs.

SUSAN K. OBERT

Filed Feb. 25, 1988
@ 12:46 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co Pd 12/22/88	9.00
State pd 5/11/89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 2, 1988 Affidavit Of Mailing Complaint Under Pa. R.C.P. No. 1124(b) filed. Ann Hansen Gamble, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practive law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and that on February 26, 1988, she sent a copy of the Complaint in Divorce the Defendant by certified Mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to Susan K. Obert, R.D.1 Box 119 Guys Mills, PA 16327, the Defendant's last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto.
Ann Hansen Gamble

Nov. 18, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Dec. 22, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Dec. 22, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Jan. 3, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, January 3, 1989, it appearing that the complaint in divorce in the above captioned case contains a count for equitable distribution and the divorce has been submitted to the Court forreview with no disposition of that count being evident, this matter is returned to the Prothonotary's Office for further proceedings.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Jan. 6, 1989 Copies of order to Ann Hansen Gamble

May 11, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

May 26, 1989 MOtion to withdraw counts and Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of May, 1989, Count II, Equitable Distribution of the Plaintiff's Complaint is hereby dismissed.
Robert L. Walker, J

May 31, 1989 Copy of order mailed to Ann Hansen Gamble.

May 30, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, May 30, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that DONALD F. OBERT, Plaintiff, and SUSAN K. OBERT, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Marsh, Spaeder, Baur,
Spaeder & Schaaf
John P. Eppinger

173.

MAPLEVALE FARMS, INC.

vs.

RANDY WHEELER AND CYNTHIA WHEELER, INDIVIDUALLY AND d/b/a GODDARD MARINA RESTAURANT

Filed Feb. 25, 1988
@ 12:55 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 24.00
Pro Co pd 9/26/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Mar. 16, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Randy Wheeler personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to himon March 14, 1988 at 9:18 AM at his place of employment, Market Street Cafe, 894 Market Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED AND MADE KNWON TO Cynthia Wheeler personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on March 14, 1988 at 9:19 AM at her place of employment, Market Street Cafe, 894 Market Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Sept. 26, 1988 at 1:18 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiffs attonrey, with Notice Of Intension to file Default Judgment attached,, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants Randy Wheeler and Cynthia Wheeler for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at Two Thousand Two Hundred Eighty and 99/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
W-141-31 Debt. $2,280.99
judgmented entered
9/26/88 Int. from date of judgment
notice mailed to defendants
9/26/88 Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX/1988-106

Specter Law Offices, PC
JOhn C. Evans

174.

Bozic & Thomas
R. Charles Thomas,

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
E. Max Weiss
Additional Dft.

MICHAEL BRAMLETT AND
DEBBIE BRAMLETT, HIS WIFE

vs.

DIANA FISH

vs.
Michael Bramlett,
Additional Defendant

Filed Feb. 25, 1988
@ 1:18 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	34.00
Pro Co Pd 5-5-92	6.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

(JURY OF TWELVE JURORS DEMANDED)

Mar. 16, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Stephen Brandon, Deputy who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 9th day of March, 1988, at 2:54 P.M., he served Complaint, Interrogatories, and Request for Production of Documents filed at No. 174 -A-Term, 1988, Allegheny County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Diana Fish at her residence, 13210 Route 6, Erie County, Corry, Pennsylvania By making known the contents thereof to Fred Fish, her husband and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of of the Complaint and Interrogaotries received from Allegheny County.
So answers, Stephen Brandon, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff

SERVED Diana Fish within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, PA as per his affidavit of service hereto attached. Served: Mar. 9, 1988
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 18, 1988 Appearance of Bozic & Thomas by R. Charles Thomas for Defendant filed.

April 28, 1988 Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint filed. (2 copies atty.)

May 25, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by E. Max Weiss for Additional defendant, Michael Bramlett filed

May 27, 1988, Reply to New Matter filed.

June 2, 1988 Stipulation filed.

July 18, 1988 Notice Of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Aug. 11, 1988 Plaintiffs' Notice of Deposition of Carol Malone filed.

Aug. 17, 1988 Reply of Bramletts to defendant's New Matter and New Matter Joinder filed.

Mar 28, 1989 Motion to Compel Discovery and Order filed: AND NOW, this 28th day of March, 1989, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that R. Charles Thomas, Esquire, Counsel for Defendant in the above entitled action file full and complete answers to both sets of Interrogatories propounded by the Plaintiffs, and will likewise provide the Plaintiffs with the documents requested in its Request for Production of Documents within 20 days of this Order or will suffer further sanctions that this Court may impose.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that if the said discovery required is not responded within 20 days, Defendant Diana Fish shall be precluded from presenting testimony or evidence rebutting causation of the Plaintiffs' injuries.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar 30, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Evans, Thomas and Weiss.

December 18, 1989 Motion To Compel Discovery and ORDER filed.AND NOW, this 18 day of December, 1989, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion, it is hereby ordered that the Plaintiffs in the above entitled action shall file full and complete answers to both sets of Interrogatories propounded by the Defendants within twenty (20) days of the date of this Order or will suffer further sanctions to be imposed by this Court.
It is further ordered that if the said discovery required is not responded to within twenty (20) days, the Plaintiffs, Michael Bramlett and Debbie Bramlett, shall be precluded from presenting testimony or evidence relative to the causation of the Plaintiffs' injuries and the nature of the Plaintiffs' injuries.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, Judge

Dec.18, 1989 Copy of Order to Atty. R. Charles Thomas, E. Max Weiss, John G. Clough.

Apr. 24, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney this case is settled and discontinued of record.

1988

William J. Gregg 175. Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug E. Max Weiss Alan R. Shaddinger	RICHARD D. LOUGHNER, SR. vs. COLONIAL LIFE & ACCIDENT INSURANCE COMPANY Filed Feb. 26, 1988 @ 9:03 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Pro Co pd 9/22/88 6.00 ✓	COMPLAINT 1 copy (atty) Mar. 17, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. WILLIAM J. GREGG, ESQ., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in suport of his Affidavit Of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief 1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned Action. 2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint was sent by registered mail to the defendant on February 26, 1988. 3. The registered mail was sent to Colonial Life & Accident Insurance Company, P.O. Box 1365, Columbia, South Carolina 29202. The senders receipt is attached hereto: 4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on March 1, 1988. Said receipt card number P 725 345 068 is attached hereto and signed by Jeff Dougles. William J. Gregg

Mar. 22, 1988, Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug, by E. Max Weiss, and Alan R. Shaddinger, attorneys for defendant, filed.

Apr. 7, 1988, Answer and New Matter filed.

Sept. 20, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

William J. Gregg

176.

MARLANE M. ARNOLD

vs.

WILLIAM E. ARNOLD

Filed Feb. 26, 1988
@ 9:05 a.m.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c)- DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 26, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed IN Forma Pauperis filed.

Feb. 26, 1988, Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 26 day of February 1988 upon consideration ofthe within Petition, it is hereby ordered that leave is granted for the Petitioner, to proceed In Forma Pauperis. P. Richard Thomas, J.

Mar. 3, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. WILLIAM J. GREGG, ESQ.,being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support ofhis Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned Action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code was sent- by registered mail to the Defendant of Feb. 26, 1988.

3. The registered mail was sent to Mr. William E.Arnold, Box 27.Conneautville, Pa. 16406. The senders receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered ,mail on Feb. 29, 1988. Said receipt card number P. 725 345 067 is attached hereto and signed by William E. Arnold.

William J. Gregg. atty. for plaintiff

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 3-3-88 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: July 3, 1997

July 8, 1997 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

177.

ORDER TO SELECT JURORS FOR APRIL CIVIL TERM OF COURT

Filed Feb. 26, 1988

ORDER FOR EIGHTY FIVE PERSONS TO COME AND SERVE AS JURORS FOR COURT TO BE HELD COMMENCING @ 9:00 ON APRIL 1988, MONDAY

ORDER: (to sheriff and Jury Commissioners of Crawford County)
WE command you, in your official capacity to draw from the jury wheel in accordance with the law, the names of eighty-five persons to come and serve as Jurors in the Court of Common Pleas, to be held at the court house in Meadville, Pa. commencing April 11, 1988 at 9:00 a.m.

You, the sheriff, shall make an appropriate return as to how you have executed this order on the day and date aforesaID.

BY ORDER OF, the Hon. P. Richard Thomas, Pres. Judge at Meadville, this 26th day of Feb. 1988.

James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

Apr. 28, 1988, Writ Returned. I do hereby make return that I have summoned the 85 persons whose names appear on schedule hereto attached to serve as jurors at a term of court to be held in Meadville, Pa. commencing on Monday, Apr. 11, 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

The schedule hereto attached is a correct list ofthe above jurors drawn by us.
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff
Dorothy H. Kennedy, Jury Comm.

1988

Kemp C. Scales

178.

DONALD FOLTZ AND PATRICIA FOLTZ, HIS WIFE, t/a A. SHREVE & SON

vs.

LARRY FOSBURG

Filed Feb. 26, 1988, @ 12:57 p.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$15.00 ✓
plff on orig	74.25
Sheriff's Costs	29.25

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

AD 1982 - 598

Writ indexed against defendant in the amount of Two Thousand Seven Hundred Eighty Six and 83/100 Dollars with int. from 9/5/80.

Debt.....$2,786.83
Int. from....9/5/80
F -.....104

JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

Mar. 18, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Larry Fosburg personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival to him on March 17, 1988 at 3:55 PM at his place of residence, R.D.#2, Centerville, Crawford County, PA.
So answerrs, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

2 8 93 Revived to AD 1993-126

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

179.

KEVIN W. FLEEK

vs.

NICKI L. FLEEK

Filed Feb. 26, 1988
@ 3:10 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
3 counts paid	45.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 6-3-88	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY, CHILD SUPPORT
EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 14, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, Attorneys for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, deposes and say that I did on March 23, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Nicki L. Fleek by, Certified mail, #P-547 808 333, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Nicki L. Fleek on March 4, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto. Attached
Joan D. Mason
So answers. E. Richard Campbell, Chief Deputy

June 2, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 2, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 2, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

June 15, 1988 **STIPULATION AND DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 15 day of June, 1988, the Court decrees as follows:

1. **DIVORCE:** Upon consideration ofthe pleadings and affdavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elpased from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(C) ofthe Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. **MOBILE HOME:** The 1982 Commodore mobile home (14' x 70') presently jointly titled is hereby awarded to the P laintiff, and wife shall sign all necessary papers to transfer all of her irhgt, title and interest to the same to him, immediately. In consideration, Plaintiff shall assume full responsibility for the debt owed on said mobile home, to Pennbank, which at time of separation had an approximate principal balance of $10,500.00. Plaintiff shall be responsible for all payments on said mobile home to Pennbank, and shall hold Defendant harmless regarding that debt.

3. **AUTOMOBILES:** Defendant sahll convey all of her right, title and interest to the plaintiff, in the 1981 Chrysler LeBaron automobile which is presently hointly titled. She shall transfer all of her right, title and interest by signing whatever papers shall be necessary, immediately. Plaintiff shall be soley responsible for the balance owed to Meadville Area Credit Union for the purchase of said vehicle, which at the time of separation of the parties was approximately $2,500.00. Plaintiff shall make no claim to the 1979 Plymouth automobile, which was purchased for Defendant at or about the time of separation of the parties, and sh shall assume full responsibility for the debt owed on said vehicle to Meadville AReа Credit Union.

4. **HOUSEHOLD AND TANBILE PERSONAL PROPERTY:** Plaintiff shall retain all of the jointly owned household and tangible per sonal property, including the popup camper, with the exception of the items listed on Schedule "A" attached hereto, which have been removed by Defendant and shall be her property alone.

5. **DEBTS:** Plaintiff shall assume full responsibility, in addition to the debts related to the mobile home and the automobile noted above, tor the following debts:

a. Back rent owed for when the parties lives together at Shady Acres MObile Home Park, of five months at the rate of $90.00 a month for a total of $450.00 owed at date of separation.

c. Doctor's bill owed to DRs. Dunn and Find, of approximately $450.00

6. **CUSTODY:** The parties hereto shall share legal custody of their minor children, so that important decisions shall be made jointly, and all documents and important papers regarding the chidlren shall be equally accessible to both parties.

Physical custody of the children shall be primarily with their mother, subject to the following:

a. Father shall have the children every other weekend from 3:30 p.m. Friday until 6:00 p.m. Sunday, beginning with the weekend of March 4th through 6, 1988.

b. The father shall have the chidlren all Wednesdays, throughout the year, from 3:30 p.m. until 8:30 p.m.

C. Father shall have the right to have the children with him one full week of each month during June, July and August each year, in addition to h is regularly scheduled visitation as set forth above, with fifteen days advance notice to the mtoher for each said week. Said weeks shall be taken nonconsecutively.

d. **CHRISTMAS:** Mother shall have the children Christmas Day of each year of all even-numbered years. On odd-numbered years, father shall have the children on Christmas Day from 10:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m. On even=numbered years, father shall have the children Christmas Eve from 3:30 p.m. until 9:00 p.m.

e. Father shall have the children for Thanksgiving in even-numbered years, beginning at 3:30 the Wednesday prior to Thanksgiving Day, until Thanksgiving Day at 8:00 p.m.

f. the parties shall laternate Easter Day, with mother to have the children from 10:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m. Easter Sunday in even-numbered years, regardless of which parent would ordinarly have that weekend. In odd-numbered years, the father shall have the children from 10:00 a.m. until 6:00 p.m. Easter Sunday, regardless of which parent would ordinarily have that weekend.

g. Other holidays shall be shared as the parties shall decide mutually.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Continued on Page 583

1988

Bozic and Thomas
John H. Bozic, Jr.

180!

MARQUETTE SAVINGS ASSOCIATION

vs.

OLIVER L. EXLEY AND ESTHER W. EXLEY, HIS WIFE

Filed Feb. 26, 1988
@ 3:42 P.M.

Ta x & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	24.00
	5.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Mar 3, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Oliver L. Exley personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure to him on February 26, 1988 at 4:15 P.M. at 761 N. Main Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED Esther W. Exley, within named defendant on February 26, 1988 at 4:16 P.M. by handing a copy of the within Complaint to Oliver L. Exley, her authorized agent, at 761 N. Main Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. as per acceptance of service hereto attached.

So answers, E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 24, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned action of record is hereby discontinued of record, by reason of the fact that the Defendants have cured the delinquencies averred in the Complaint without prejudice to the Plaintiff to reinstitute suit in the event of further and future defaults.

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

181.

TERRY AND KATHLEEN CHIARAMONTE

vs.

PHYLLIS McNAUGHTON

Filed Feb. 26, 1988
@ 4:29 p.m.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (sheriff)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Phyllis McNaughton, dft. have been sued in court to obtain custody of Eric and Terry E. Chiaramonte.

You are order to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by WILLIAM PINEO, Child Custody Mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody may be entered against you ro the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer, or cannot afford one go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. tothe public Comm.
310/312 Chestnut St. Meadville, Pa. 16335 814 724-7719

Feb. 29, 1988 BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb. 26, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed.

Mar 14, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Brian Lindsay, Esquire, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Terry and Kathleen Chiaramonte and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 4th day of March, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addrerssee Only, Return Receipt requested to Phyllis McNaughton a true and correct copy of the Complaint for Custody at her last known address of 1329 6th Ave, New Brighton, Pennsylvania 15066 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P276 200 214 and that on the 8th day of March, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 7th day of March, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affiddvit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Date: 3/14/88 Brian Lindsay, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff

April 15, 1988 Order of Court filed. AND now, this 15 day of April, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing provisions and upon the Agreement of the parties hereto, the provisions of the within Consent Custody Agreement are hereby entered as an Order of this Court.

By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, J.

April 15, 1988 Copies of Order to Attorney Brian Lindsay.

1988

William J. Gregg

182.

THE ANDOVER BANK

vs.

KERRY E. LOUCKS

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Apr 15, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: I accept servyice ofuthe Complaint No AD 1988-182 on behalf of Kerry E. Loucks and certify that I am authorized to do so.
3-25-88 James Philson
Defendant or Authorized Agent
R.D.#2,
Linesville, Pa
SERVED Kerry E. Loucks on March 25, 1988 at 11:58 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to James Philson, his authorized agent as per his acceptance of service hereto attached, at
Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville Pa.
So answers Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Filed Feb. 29, 1988
@ 10:57 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	24.97
Pro Co Pd 8-10-89	9.00

AND NOW, Aug 10, 1989 @ 1:32 P.M., Upon praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, with Notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant for failure to file an answer and the same is liquidated in the amount of Five Thousand Three Hundred Five and 29/100 Dollars, plus interest and costs.
L-106
Debt.....$5,305.29 + int. and costs.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary
Aug 11, 1989 Notice of Judgment mailed defendant.

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Miller and Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

183.

JUDITH L. BLOOM

vs.

DONALD C. BLOOM

Filed Feb. 29, 1988
@ 10:55 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 1/27/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 9, 1988, Affidavit of Mailing Complaint Under Pa. No. R.C.P. 1124(b) filed. Ann Hansen Gamble being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an atty. at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Com. of Pa. and that on Mar. 1, 1988, she sent a copy of Compalint in Divorce to dft. by cert. mail, restricted del. postage prepaid, ret. rec. req., to Donald C. Bloom, 102 Pine Leaf Drice, Erie, Pa. 16501, the defendant's last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto.
Ann Hansen Gamble, Esq.

Jan. 17, 1989 Affidvit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 26, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 26, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Feb. 2, 1989 DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

And now, February 2, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Judith L. Bloom, plaintiff, and Donald C. Bloom, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

184.

FULLER, KINNUNEN, PETRUSO & GAMBLE
Ann h. Gamble

KEVIN S. GRIFFIN

vs.

TAMMY SUE GRIFFIN

Filed Feb. 29, 1988
@ 11:00 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd. 7-11-88 - 90.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 8/16/88 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

Mar. 7, 1988 Appearance of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso & Gamble by Ann Hansen Gamble, Esq. for defendant filed.

May 12, 1988 Amended Acceptance Of Service filed. AND NOW, this 2nd day of March, 1988, I, ANN HANSEN GAMBLE, ESQ., attorney for Defendant TAMMY SUE GRIFFIN, hereby accept service ofthe Plaintiff's Complaint in Divorce as filed February 29, 1988 and further certify that I am authorized to accept service of same on behalf of the defendant.

Ann Hansen Gamble

May 23, 1988 Stipulation between parties and counsel and Order filed. AND NOW, this 23rd day of May, 1988, the parties hereto having stipulated and agreed, it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED as follows:
1. Plaintiff Mother TAMMY GRIFFIN and Defendant Father KEVIN GRIFFIN are hereby awared

in Error

June 22, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit of Consent to Divorce filed.

July 11, 1988 Defendant's AFfidavit of Consent to Divorce Filed.
July 11, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Joseph C. Barnhart, Attorney for Plaintiff, to Transmit Record, This Case is moved to court.

July 26, 1988 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 26 day of July, 1988, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:
1. Upon consideration of the pleadings and Affidavits filed in the above-captioned matter, the Court here by grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, onthe grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.
2. No other matters or causes of actions provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code of 1980, as amended, are reserved by either of the parties.

BY THE COURT
P. Richard Thomas, J

Aug. 16, 1988 Notice Of Election To Retake Maiden (or prior) Name filed.
Tammy Griffin TO BE KNOWN AS: Tammy Sue Bodamer

1988

MacDonald, Illig,
Forssell & Kirvan
Kemp C. Scales

185.

Marsh, Spaeder,
Baur, Spaeder &
Schaaf
W. Patrick Delaney

Gary A. Fabian
for Add'l dft.

ELSIE V. BOND

vs.

HAROLD R. BOND
Brenda Fike, add'l dft.

Filed Feb. 29, 1988

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff'sCosts	30.30
Sheriff's costs	19.00
Pro Co Pd 12/30/88	8.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Mar. 15, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Harold R. Bond personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on March 9, 1988 at 2:45 PM at Crawford County Courthosue, Meadville, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 16, 1988 Appearance of Marsh, Spaeder, Baur Spaeder & Schaaf by w. Patric, Delaney for Defendant filed.

Mar. 28, 1988, Preliminary Objections of Defendant Harold R. Bond, filed.

July 21, 1988 Amended Complaint filed.

Sept. 6, 1988 Answer filed.

Sept. 6, 1988, Upon praecipe of defendant, Writ of Summons against the additional defendant Brenda Fike, Issued, and sent to Sheriff.

Sept. 27, 1988 , Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned, personally appeared Mark D. London, Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 13th day of Sept., 1988, at 9:10 A.M., he served Summons in Civil Action at No. AD 185 Term, 1988, Crawford Co.,PA., upon defendant Brenka Fike at Star Route, Box 19, Cooperstown, PA 16317. By making known the contents thereof to James Fike, Adult in charge/father and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Summons in Divil Action received from Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff of Crawford Co.
Mark D. London, Deputy Sheriff
Gene Price, Sheriff
Venango, Co. Pa.
SERVED Brenda Fike within named defendant by deputing the Sheriff of Venango Co., PA. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 9-13-88.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 5, 1988, Appearance of Gary A. Fabian, attorney for additional defendant Brenda Fike filed.

Oct. 7, 1988, Answers to Interrogatories to Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 3, 1988, Answers to Interrogatories for Answer by Defendant, Harold Bond (First Set) filed.

Dec. 28, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above referenced action is marked settled and discontinued with prejudice.

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

186.

GWENDOLYN A. CLINE

vs.

DOUGLAS CLINE

Filed Feb. 29, 1988
@ 1:43 P.M.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 12/9/88 9.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 9/11/89 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 3, 1988 Authorization of Curtis Davis to accept registered mail on behalf of Douglas S. Cline filed.

I, GWENDOLYN A. CLINE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am a competent adult; that I did, on the 29th day of Feb., 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested to Douglas Cline a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce at his last known address of c/o Curtis Davis, 340 Elm St., Ravenna, Ohio 44266 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 276 200 212 and that on the 9th dau pf ,ar/ ;988 I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 7th day of Mar. 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.§ 4904 , relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Gwendolyn A. Cline

Dec. 9, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Dec. 9, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Dec. 9,1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Dec. 22, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED:**

AND NOW,December 21, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

GWENDOLYN A. CLINE AND DOUGLAS CLINE

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are herey terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Sept. 11, 1989 Notice Of Election To Retake Maiden Name filed. Gwendolyn Cline
TO BE KNOWN AS Gwendolyn Davis

1988

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs
Alan L. Pepicelli

187.

Marsh, Spaeder, Baur Spaeder & Schaaf
Will J. Schaaf

SHAUN C. BORTNER, A MINOR, BY PAMELA J. BORTNER, HIS GUARDIAN, AND PAMELA J. BORTNER, INDIVIDUALLY

vs.

CARL SAMPSON

Filed Feb. 29, 1988
@ 2:10 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	20.46
Pro Co Pd 11-15-89	6.00
Pro Co Pd 11-17-89	6.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Feb. 29, 1988, Requests for Production of Documents Directed to Defendant (First Set) filed.

Mar. 15, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Not Found, as to within named defendant, Carl Sampson. Moved, left no forwarding address.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar 18, 1988 Complaint reinstated.(Jury trial demanded)

Apr 19, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

July 18, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED CArl Sampson personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on May 5, 1988 at 3:45 PM at his place of employment, Meadville Forging Company, Saegertown, Rd., Meadville crawford County, PA.
So answers, E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 20, 1988 Appearance of Marsh, Spaeder, Baur, Spaeder & Schaaf by Will J. Schaaf for Defendant filed.

Sept. 12, 1988, Notice of Deposition of Carl Sampson filed.

Sept. 14, 1988 Amended Complaint filed.

Sept. 15, 1988 Certificate Of Service filed, ALAN L. PEPICELLI, Esquire, hereby certifies that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the wihtin action and that a certified copy of the Amended Complaint was sent by regular first-class U.S. mail to Thomas M. Lent, Esquire, attorney for the defendant, Carl Sampson, at 300 State Street, Suite 300, Erie,Pennsylvania 16507 on September 14, 1988. Alan L. Pepicelli

Oct. 18, 1988, Answer and New Matter filed.

Oct. 18, 1988, Answer to Amended Complaint and New Matter filed.

Oct. 18, 1988 Answers to Interrogatories Directed to Defendant Carl Sampson (First Set) filed.

Oct. 18,1988, Answers to Requests for Production of Documents Directed to Defendant, (First Set) filed.

Oct. 18, 1988, Oral Deposition of Carl Sampson filed.

June 7, 1989 Petition to Settle Minor's Claim and Order filed: AND NOW, this 7 day of June, 1989, upon consider--ation of the within Petition to Settle Minor's Claim, it is hereby ordered and decreed that a settlement of the within action on behalf of Shaun C. Bortner, a minor, for the aggregate sum of $33,500 be approved, with distribution thereof to be as follows:

To Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs, and Youngs PC - attorney's fees $11,166.00
To Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC -reimbursement for costs advanced $ 428.97

The balance of $21,905.03 to a savings account, money fund account or certificate of deposit in the name of said minor, with the income earned on said funds to be accummulated and/or reinvested, such that no withdrawal of principal or interest can be made prior to said minor reaching the age of majority, except as authorized by prior order of Copurt. Invest with 30 days and deposit evidence of investment with this Ct. Order.

Upon payment of the aforesaid sums and costs of suit, the Defendant, Carl Sampson shall be released from any and all further liability; said Pamela J. Bortner, individually and as guardian, shall be released from any and all claims for contribution, indemnity, and liability; and the within case shall be dismissed with prejudice, Defendant, Sampson, to pay costs of suit.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 14, 1989 Copies of order put in atty. Pepicelli's box and copy mailed to atty. Schaaf.

Aug. 17, 1989 Proof of Investment filed.

Nov 13, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Thomas M. Lent, Esq., Attorney for Defendant, the claim of Carl Sampson for contribution and indemnity against Pamela J. Borner as guardian of Shaun C. Bornter, a minor and Carl V. Sampson against Pamela J. Bortner individually, is hereby marked "Settled and Discontinued with Prejudice."

Nov 17, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, the above-captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

Aug. 6, 1993 Petition For Permission to Release Interest For The Benefit of The Trust Beneficiary and Order, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 6 day of August, 1993, upon consideration of the within Motion, and Order is hereby entered authorizing the withdrawal from PNC Bank account number 10-022-314-031-7570 in the name of Pamela J. Bortner C/F Shaun C. Bortner of the sum of $809.65, said sum to be used by Pamela J. Bortner, as guardian of said minor, first to pay on behalf of said minor, any remaining tax due for the calendar year 1992, and secondly to reimburse said guardian for income tax payments heretofore made by her on behalf of said minor for the tax year 1990, 1991 and 1992.
BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardarc, J.

Aug. 11, 1993 copy to A. Pepicelli,

Continued to Page 596

1988

Jorden and White
Harry F. White II

188.

LARRY R. CRECRAFT

vs.

KAREN L. CRECRAFT

Filed Feb. 29, 1988
@ 3:28 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co Pd 6/14/88	9.00
Pro Co Pd 8/1/88	5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 18, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. HARRY FABER WHITE, II, ESQUIRE; attorney for plaintiff Larry r. Crecraft in the above-captioned matter, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served the NOtice to Plead, and Complaint under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code upon the defendant, Karen L. Crecraft, by certified mail, return receipt requested, on March 3, 1988, at the defendant's address, 1010 South Main Street, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, 16335. The defendant's acceptance of the same is attached hereto.

Harry Faber White, II

June 9, 1988, Affidavit of plaintiff under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code filed.

June 9, 1988, Affidavit of defendant under Section 201(c) of Divorce code filed.

June 9,1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

June 27, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, June 27, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

L A R R Y R . C R E C R A F T and K A R E N L . C R E C R A F T

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are her eby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

July 29, 1988, Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name filed.
TO BE KNOWN AS: KAREN L. McKIM.

1988

Ferguson, Spataro &
O'Shea
John F. Spataro

189.

Jorden & White
Harry Faber White

ESTATE OF MAX E. BLAIR, BY KAREN S. BLAIR, ADMINISTRATRIX; KAREN S. BLAIR, INDIVIDUALLY, AND ROBBIE LEE BLAIR, A MINOR, AND MAX WILLIAM BLAIR, A MINOR, BY THEIR PARENT AND NATURAL GUARDIAN, KAREN S. BLAIR

vs.

GEORGE WILCOX AND CAROLYN WILCOX, d/b/a
BUTCH'S PUB

Filed Feb. 29, 1988
@ 3:50 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	43.08
Pro Co pd 2-19-97	5.00

SUMMONS IN TRESPASS

Issued (sheriff)

Mar. 1, 1988, Complaint filed. 2 copies (sheriff)

Mar. 10, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED George Wilcox personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Summons and Complaint to him on March 7, 1988 at 3:51 PM at his place of business, Butch's Pub, Blooming Valley, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Carolyn Wilcox within named defendant on March 7, 1988 at 3:52 pm by handing a true copy of the within Summons and Complaint to George Wilcox, person in charge, at her place of business, Butch's Pub, Blooming Valley, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 14, 1988 Answer and New Matter of Defendant George Wilcox filed.

Aug 19, 1988 Reply to New Matter filed.

Feb 7, 1997 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Douglas W. Ferguson
Bozic and Thomas
John H. Bozic, Jr.

190.

CULBERTSON, WEISS, SCHETROMA & SCHUG
E. Max Weiss

MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY OF THE BOROUGH OF CONNEAUT LAKE

vs.

CONNEAUT LAKE JOINT MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY

Filed Feb. 29, 1988

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
sheriff's Costs 23.50
Pro Co Pd 3/24/92. 6.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Apr. 6, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED Conneaut Lake Joint Municipal Authority on March 21, 1988 at 3:06 PM by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Sherry Urey, Secretary, and person in charge of their place of business, First Street, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County,PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr 19, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by E. Max Weiss, Esq. for Defendant filed.

May 6, 1988, Rule entered Upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

May 16, 1988, Service of the foregoing Rule accepted by R. Charles Thomas, attorney for plaintiff.

Nov. 8, 1989, Complaint filed. (Jury Trial Demanded) 2 copies (atty)

Jan. 31, 1990, Answer and New Matter of Conneaut Lake Joint municipal Authority to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Feb. 22, 1990 Plaintiff's Reply To Defendant's New Matter filed.

Aug. 16, 1990 Notice filed. Pursuant to Pennsylvania rules of Civil Procedure, the Defendant Conneaut Lake Joint Municipal Authority, hereby files this Notice that Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents under the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure were served by first class mail upon Douglas W. Ferguson, Esq., Attorney for the Plaintiff, Municipal Authority of the Borough of Conneaut Lake, on Aug. 16, 1990, by forwarding to him an original and two copies in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure 4001.
Nancy M. Sennett, Esq.

Apr. 16, 1991 Notice of Service of Plaintiff's Answer to the Defendant's first set of Interrogatories and first set of Request for Production of Documents filed.

Mar. 23, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaitniff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs
Alan L. Pepicelli

191.

VIRGINIA J. SHANLEY

vs.

STEPHEN W. SHANLEY

Filed Feb. 29, 1988
@ 3:55 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Pro Co Pd 8-17-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Mar 16, 1988 Certificate of Service filed: ALAN L. PEPICELLI, Esquire, hereby certifies that he is the the Attorney for the Plaintiff, Virgina J. Shanley, in the within Action and that a copy of the Divorce was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, to the defendant, Stephen W. Shanley at 11 Park Avenue, Warren aPennsylvania 16365 on March 2, 1988 and was received by the defendant on March 7, 1988. The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A".

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC
Alan L. Pepicelli, Attorneys for Plaintiff

June 22, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

June 22, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Aug 17, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Oct. 13, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, October 13, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

V I R G I N I A J . S H A N L E Y and S T E P H E N W . S H A N L E Y

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to th eother in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

192

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, Gamble, fabian & Hall, John d. Petruso
Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
~~James R. Irwin~~ withdraw

192.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

MICHELLE T. HAMILTON

vs.

MARTIN N. HAMILTON

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATION AND DISTRIBUTION, COUNSEL FEES, CHILD CUSTODY, ALIMONY/ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE

1 copy (atty)

Filed Feb. 29, 1988

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
3 counts paid 45.00 ✓
Kathleen Nelson, Mediator
William Pineo, Mediator 182.00
Pro Co 9.00

Mar 7, 1988 Verification of Service filed: I, James R. Irwin, Esquire, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for Plaintiff and that as such, I am authorized to execute this Verification; that I did, on the 29th day of February, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, Addressee Only, to Martin N. Hamilton a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint filed at the above number and term at his last known address of c/o Hamilton Tool Co., Inc., RD#4, Middle Rd., Meadville, Pa 16335, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P-547-801-702 and that on the 2nd day of March, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 1st day of March, 1988.
James R. Irwin, Esquire

12-20-96 Pd from deft med fee 150a

4-28-97 Pd deft refund #10934 50a

91a

Nov. 8, 1996 Appearance filed. I, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq., enter my Appearance on behalf of the Defendant, Martin N. Hamilton.
Respectfully submitted, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant

Nov. 22, 1996, Defendant's Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint in Divorce with Counterclaim filed.

Dec. 5, 1996, Appearance of fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, Gamble fabian and Hall, by John D. Petruso, attorney for plaintiff filed.

Dec 20, 1996 Withdraw appearance of Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin, Stack & Millin by James R. Irwin, Esquire for Plaintiff, filed. **AND ORDER:** AND NOW, this 19 day of December, 1996, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion, Plaintiff's counsel, James R. Irwin, Esquire, is hereby authorized to withdraw his appearance on behalf of the Defendant in the above captioned cawe. Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
Dec 20, 1996 Faxed Irwin, Kirkpatrick & Petruso

ORDER: AND NOW, this 30 day of December, 1996, a Complaint in Divorce being filed herewith which avers that there are children of the marriage under the age of 18 years it is ORDERED AND DECREED that the parties shall comply with Crawford County Civil Rules and shall attend and complete the Seminar for Separating Parents. Applications for the seminar may be obtained at the Center for Family Services, Inc., 213 Center Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335; the office of the Prothonotary in the Crawford County Courthouse; the Court Administrator's Office in the Crawford County Courthouse.

Applications must be made by the Plaintiff within fiteen (15) days after he/she filed the Complaint in this action; and applications must be made by the Defendant within fifteen (15) days after he/she was served with the Complaint.

Each party is required to complete the seminar within forty-five (45) days after he/she makes application.

Failure to complete the seminar WILL BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE COURT AND MAY RESULT IN THE FINDING OF CONTEMPT, A DISMISSAL OF THE PROCEEDINGS, A DELAY IN THE PROCEEDINGS OR OTHER SANCTIONS. If either party has any questions concerning this seminar that party should consult with an attorney; the Crawford County Civil Rules of Court, copies of which may be obtained in the Prothonotary's Office or the Crawford County Law Library located in teh Crawford County Courthouse; or the Center for Family Services. Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Dec 20, 1996 Motion To Appoint Mediator and Order: And Now, December 30, 1996, Kathleen Nelson, esq., is appointed child custody mediator in the above-captioned matter. In addition since it appears that the custody count in this action is now being litigated for the first time even though the divorce complaint was filed in 1988, the parties are ordered to attend the seminar for separating parents (see separate order) pursuant to the court's discretionary powers under L1930(1)(C). Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
Jan 3, 1997 Faxed Kirkpatrick, Petruso & Nelson

Jan. 9, 1997 Motion To Withdraw From Appointment As Child Custody Mediator and Order filed. AND NOW, this 9th day of January, 1997, Kathleen R. Nelson, shall be permitted to withdraw as Child Custody Mediator. John Spataro, Esq., is hereby appointed as Mediator.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J
1/10/97 Faxed Petruso, Kirkpatrick, Nelson & Spataro

Jan 16, 1997 Certificate of completion of the Seminar for Separating Parents for Martin N. Hamilton, filed.

Jan 16, 1997 Certificate of completion of the Seminar for Separating Parents for Michelle Hamilton, filed.

Jan. 13, 1997 Motion to Appoint Substitute Mediator and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 13th day of January, 1997, upon consideration of the within Motion, Kathleen Nelson, Esq., is hereby appointed Mediator in the above captioned case. BY THE COURT,
1-14-97 FAXED Nelson, Petruso, Kirkpatrick, Spataro Gordon R. Miller, J.

1988

Michelle T. Hamilton

vs.

Martin N. Hamilton

Record continued from page 192

of record

A.D. 1988 - 192

****

Jan. 30, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW,January 29, 1997, upon finding that mediators Nelson, Fuller and Spataro have all indicated conficts in the above matter, William Pineo, esquire, is hereby appointed to mediate this action.

FOR THE COURT,
Ann A. Walker, C.A.

1-30-97 Faxed Nelson, Kirkpatrick, Petruso, Pineo, Fuller & Spataro

Apr 23, 1997 TEMPORARY ORDER: AND NOW, this 22 day of April, 1997, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children, Laina Hamilton, Gretta Hamilton, and Adin Hamilton.

3a. The mother shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor children.

b. The father shall have visitation and temporary physical custodty on alternate weekends, beginning with the second weekend after the date of the entry of this Order, from Friday at 7 P.M. to Sunday at 6 P.M.

11. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $182.00, are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this casse.

12. The deposit required for the appointment of the Custody Mediator was made by the father. The deposit shall first be applied agaisnt the father's share of costs and the remainder, if any, shall be remitted to him. The mother shall, within thirty (30) days of the date of thsi Order, reimburse the father for that portion of his deposit attributable to her share of fees and costs and also pay the remainder of outstanding costs and fees to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Apr 28, 1997 Faxed Kirkpatrick, Pineo, Petruso

May 2, 1997 Notice of Service of Interrogatories, filed.

May 21, 1997 Notice of Serving Interrogatories To Defendant filed.

July 31, 1997 Inventory of Martin Hamilton, Plaintiff filed.

Aug 28, 1997 Notice of Service of Defendant's Answers to Plaintiff's Interrogatories, filed.

12-23-99 See Computer for further information

192
~B~

198

1988

Terry K. Wheeler

193.

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker,
By: Emil M. Spadafore, Jr

RANDY A. BLOSS

vs.

WILLIAM J. BUCKHOLTZ

Filed Mar. 1, 1988
@ 10:45 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriffs costs 46.50

Pro for Co pd 10-25-90 5.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Apr. 13, 1988, Writ Returned. And Now, Mar. 21 1988, after diligent search and inquiry, William J. Buckholtz defendant within named, NOT FOUND within the jurisdiction of this court.
So answers, William H. Romine, Jr. Sheriff
Ronald L. Clark, Chief Dep. Sheriff

NOT FOUND, as to the within named defendant William J. Buckholtz, as per the attached affidavit from Mercer County, dated Mar. 21, 1988.

SERVED William J. Burkholtz personally the within named defendant on Mar. 30, 1988 at the Sheriffs Office, Rm. 303, Crawford County Courthouse.
So answers, Carole E. Stefanucci, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan.8, 1990 Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker, by Emil M. Spadafore, Jr., Atty. for defendant filed.

Feb. 23, 1990 UPon praecipe of defendant, Rule entered upon Plaintiff to File Complaint Issued.

Mar. 6, 1990, ---2/28/90 Service of the foregoing Rule accepted by Terry K. Wheeler, attorney for plaintiff

Oct, 25, 1990 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney the above- captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

194.

James G. Kelly,
pro se

BARBARA A. KELLY

vs.

JAMES G. KELLY

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

Mar. 10, 1988 Appearance of James G. Kelly, pro se filed.

Filed Mar. 1, 1988
@ 11:15 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 6/16/88 9.00 ✓

June 8, 1988 Defendant's AFfidavit of Consent to Divorce Filed.

June 14, 1988 Plaintiff's Affidavit Of Consent To Divorce filed.

June 14, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

June 27, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, June 27, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

B A R B A R A A . K E L L Y and J A M E S G . K E L L Y

is hereby dissolved and the parties are her eby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin and Stack
James R. Irwin

195.

Culbertson, Weiss
Schetroma & Schug
Russell Schetroma

VICTOR T. CAMASI

vs.

MULTI-TOOL CO., INC.
A PENNSYLVANIA CORPORATION,
AND MULTI-PLASTICS, INC.
A PENNSYLVANIA CORPORATION

Filed Mar. 1, 1988

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs 30.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Mar. 4, 1988 Acceptance of Service of Writ of Summons by Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by Russell L.Schetroma on behalf of Defendant filed.

March 7, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Multi-Tool Co., Inc. within named defendant on March 4,1988 at 10:15 a.m. by handing a copy of the within Summons to June REbrassier, Secretary, at the office of their Atty. Russell L. Schetroma, 911 Diamond Park, Meadville, Crawford Co. PA.

SERVED Multi-Plastics,Inc. within named defendant on March 4, 1988 at 10:14 A.M. by handing a copy of the within Summosn to June Rebrassier, Secretary, at the office of their Atty. Russell L. Schetroma, 911 Diamond Park, Meadville, Crawford Co. Pa.

So answers

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

196.

JANET NEAL

vs.

GARY NEAL

Filed Mar. 2, 1988
@ 10:48 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
ProCo Pd. 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 2, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed. 1 copy (atty)

Mar 14, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Brian Lindsay, Esquire, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Janet Neal and that as such I did, on the 3rd day of March, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested to Gary Neal a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce at his last known address of 45 W. Geroge Place, Iselin, New Jersey 08830 as evidenced by the attached senter's receipt No. P276-200-213 and that on the 10th day of March, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 5th day of March, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are ture and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject tot he penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Date: 3/14/88 Brian Lindsay, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff

Sept. 9, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit REcord, this case moved to court.

Sept. 20, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, September 20, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

JANET NEAL and GARY NEAL

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

197.

LINDA L. ROBEY

vs.

CHARLES ROBEY

Filed Mar. 2, 1988
@ 10:49 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd	9.00 ✓
Pro Co pd	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 2, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed. 1 copy (atty)

March 8, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Sallie Muma, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is a competent adult; that she served the Complaint in Divorce, filed in the above matter on Charles Robey, personally, by handing him a true and correct copy thereof and informing him of its contents at 1086 East Alley, Meadville, PA. 16335 on Friday, March 4, 1988, at approximately 6:32 p.m.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Sallie Muma

March 25, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(d) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Apr. 11, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, April 7, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjduged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

L I N D A L . R O B E Y and C H A R L E S R O B E Y

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the par ties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Apr. 18, 1988 Notice Of Intention To Resume Prior Name filed.
Linda L. Robey TO BE KNOWN AS: Linda L. Irlam

1988

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis E. Blystone

198.

COLONY SAVINGS BANK, F.S.B., FORMERLY FIRST FEDERAL SAVINGS OF ERIE, A DIVISION OF COLONY FIRST FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION, FORMERLY FIRST FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION OF ERIE

vs.

ELMER L. HAUN AND
SUSAN D. HAUN

Filed Mar. 2, 1988
@ 2:48 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	58.10
Pro Co Pd 5/4/88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Mar. 31, 1988, Complaint reinstated.

Apr. 20, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Douglas R. Long Deputy Sheriff, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 12th day of April, 1998 at 2:52 P.M., he served Complaint in Civil Action, filed at No. 198 AD Term, 1988, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Elmer L. Haun at Armenia Estates Trailer Park, R.D.#1, Lot 23, Carlton, PA 16311 By making known the contents thereof to Susan D. Haun, adult in charge of residence and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the complaint received from Sheriff of Crawford County.
So answers, Douglas R. Long, Deputy Sheriff
William H. Romine Jr. Sher;iff
Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Douglas r. Long Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 12th dayof April, 1988, at 2:52 P.M. he served Compalint in Civil Action, filed at No. 198 AD Term 1988, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Susan D. Haun at Armenia Estates Trailer Park, R.D.#1, Lot 23, Carlton, PA 16311 By making known the contents thereof to Susan D. Haun, personally and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the complaint received from Sheriff of Crawford County
So answers, Douglas R. Long, deputy Sheriff
William H. Romine, Jr. Sheriff
SERVED Elmer L. Haun within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Merccer county, as per his affidavit of service hereto attached, Served: April 12, 1988.
SERVED Susan D. Haun within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer County, as per his affidavit of service hereto attached. Served: April 12, 1988
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 4, 1988, Affidavit of Non Militray Service of Defendants filed.

And Now, May 4, 1988, at 2:11 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to file answer, damages assessed in the amount of Seventeen Thousand Seven Hundred Fourteen and 75/100 Dollars, together with int. on the principal debt at 4.233 per diem from 9/1/87.
(includes late chgs of $32.35; escros payments of $47.54; attys fees $828.49, and expenses of $500.00)
Judgment entered - 5/4/88
H - 53, 153

Debt - - $17,714.75
Int. from 9/1/87
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EXECUTION NO. EX 1988 - 41

1988

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs & Young, PC
Christopher Youngs
pro se

199.

Margaret Eglinton

EUGENE L. STIVANSON

vs.

KIMBERLY GILBERT

Filed Mar. 2, 1988
@ 3:26 P.M.

Indigent filing

John Fuller, Mediator 160.00
160.00

COMPLAINT OF VISITATION

Mar. 2, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 2, 1988, the plaintiff having filed a pro se complaint for visitation, the matter is referred to John Fuller, esq. Custody Mediator, for further proceedings. The defendant is advised that she will be required to appear before the custody mediator at such time as a mediation conference is scheduled. Since the plaintiff is presently incarcerated in the State Correctional Institution at Pittsburgh, P.O. Box 99901, Pittsburgh, Pa.,15233, the mediator will have to make suc h arrangements as necessary concerning input from the plaintiff at the custody mediation. Since the plaintiff is presently incarcerated, he is granted leave to proceed in this matter in forma pauperis without paying the filing fees; reserving the right to impose costs if appropriate.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L.Walker, J.

Mar. 3, 1988, copies to John Fuller, Esq. plaintiff and defendant.

May 27, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, May 27, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Robert Turner shall be with the mother, Kimberly Gilbert Beck.

II. Physical custody of the Child, Robert Turner, shall be with his mother, Kimberly Gilbert Beck, subject to the following conditions:

(a) From and after thirty (30) days from the date of this Order the mother shall furnish the father her telephon number and the father shall be entitled to call the child once a week until the father is released from prison.

(b) This Order is entered without prejudice to the right of the father after his release from prison to request a further mediation conference or hearing for the purpose of establishing weekend or weekly visits with the child or other visitation or custody rights.

(c) This Order shall be a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions hereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

(d) Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

(e) Neither marther nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

P. Richard Thomas, J

May 31, 1988 Copies of order to Margaret Eglinton and John Fuller, Esqs. and Plaintiff.

Aug. 29, 1988 ORDER filed. AND now, Aug. 29, 1988, the plaintiff having alleged that the defendant has not complied with the Order of May 27, 1988 by supplying a telephone number where the plaintiff could call the child whose custody is involved in this proceeding, a rule is entered upon the defendant to show cause why she should not be held in contempt of court for violation of said order, said rule to be returnable Sept. 9, 1988 at 9:00 o'clock, A.M. in Courtroom 2, Courthouse, Meadville, PA.

By Order of the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 29, 1988Copy of Order to Atty. Margaret Eglinton. Eugene L. Stivanson. (Copies sent by Judge Walker's secretary)

Dec. 9, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, December 8, 1988, the Court hereby elects to treat the pro se letter received from the Plaintiff, a copy of which is attached to this Order, as a request for further mediation conference as a result of the fact that he is now on parole and no longer incarcerat ed, and thus able to have more meaningful visits with his minor son.This matterr is, therefore, returned to John Fuller, Custody Mediator, to hold a further custody mediation hearing preferably prior to Christmas and make a further recommendation to the Court.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 12, 1988 copies of order to Attys. Margaret Eglinton,John Fuller and Plaintiff Eugene L. Stivanson

Jan. 30, 1989 Appearance of Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs, PC by Christopher Youngs for Plaintiff filed.

Mar 21, 1989 Copies of order to Attys Youngs & Eglinton and Fuller.

Mar 21, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, March 20, 1989, after further mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Robert Turner shall be shared between the mother, Kimberly Gilbert Beck and the father, Eugene L. Stivanson, that is each party shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part in decisions affecting the child's health, education, religious instruction and other such important decisions.

II. Physical custody of Robert Turner shall be with his mother, Kimberly Gilbert Beck, subject to the following conditions:

A. Beginning March 11, 1989 and continuing through the months of March and April, 1989, the father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every Saturday, from 1:00 p.m. until 4:00 p.m.

B. In the months of May, June, July and August, 1989 and thereafter until this order has been changed or amended, the father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every Saturday from 9:00 a.m. until 7:00 p.m.

C. In the event the father cannot provide transportation personally for the child on Saturday visits, transportation will be provided by the father's mother, Betty Stivanson, or the father's brother, Gerald Stivanson.

D. The father shall not consume alcohol at any time when the child is in his custody.

E. Each parent shall provide the other parent with a telephone number where they can be contacted by the other parent in the event of illness or emergency. If either parent intends to move from Crawford County, they shall provide the other parent with at least thirty (30) days notice of such intended move.

F. This order is entered without prejudice to the right of either party to request a further mediation conference or hearing at any time after August 1, 1989, for the purpose of enlarging or changing visitation privileges.

G. This order shall be a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

III. Costs of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

Robert L. Walker.

200

1988

Bruce A. Barrett

200.

R. Charles Thomas

GLATTE & COMPANY

vs.

D & B WIRING COMPANY

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FORM JUDGMENT OF DISTRICT JUSTICE ROBERT J. LEONHART, No. 30-3- 03

Mar. 2, 1988, Rule Entered Upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

Mar. 16, 1988 Proof Of Service filed.

Mar 21, 1988 Complaint filed.

Mar. 24, 1988, Acceptance of Service filed. I, R. Charles Thomas, the atty. for D & B Custom Wriing hereby accepts service of the Complaint at the above no. on this 24 day of March 1988.
R. Charles Thomas, Esq.

April 25, 1988 Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Filed Mar. 2, 1988
@ 3:36 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25
Pro Co pd 5/10/88 15.00
Pro Co Pd 11/15/88 9.00
Pro Co Pd 12/5/88 5.00

May 10, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For REference to Arbitrators the folowing are appointed:
Harry F. White, Chairman
Curtis E. Blystone
Lisa Pepicelli Youngs

May 10, 1988 Reply To New Matter filed.

June 1, 1988, Arbitrators Take the Qualifying Oath.

Sept. 15, 1988 Notice Of Arbitration Hearing filed.

Oct. 4, 1988, Decision of the Arbitrators filed in which they find judgment for the plaintiff and agianst the defendant in the amount of $753.91, plus costs of $49.50, plus interest at the legal rate, from date of judgment.
D - 186
DECISION OF ARBITRATORS
James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

Oct. 4, 1988, copies of decision to plff. and dft. attorney.

And Now, at 1:37 p.m.,
Nov. 15, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of Seven Hundred fifty Three and 91/100 dollars together with int. form Oct. 4, 1988 plus costs in the amount of $49.50, as set forth in the Arbitrators decision dated Oct. 4, 1988.
Judgment entered - 11/15/88
D - 186
Debt ---$753.91
Int. from 10/4/88, andd costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

EXECUTION 1988 - 109

Nov. 30, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiffs' Attorney this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

201.

LORI A. FLICKINGER

vs.

BRUCE R. FLICKINGER

Filed Mar. 3, 1988
@ 9:24 a.m.

Tax & entry P $40.50

PETITION FOR RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

2 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 3 day of March 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a hearing is set on this matter for the 9 day of March 1988 at 2:00 p.m. in court room 1 or 2 of the Crawford County court House, Meadville, Pa.

In themeantime the defendant isdirected to refrain from abusing, threatening or harassing the plaintiff and is further directed to refrain from contacting the plaintiff in any manner pending full hearing on this Petition. The defendant is admonished that a violation of this Order may result in the court imposing sanctions upon him. The defendant is further advised that he may be represented by counsel at the time of hearing in this matter. Costs and filing fees in this case are deferred until after hearing. A copy ofthis Petiton shall be served upon the defendant by Cert. Mail, ret. rec. req, and a copy of the same shall be sent to theVernon Township Police Dept.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

March 11, 1988 Continuance Order, And now, March 9, 1988, the time set for hearing, the plaintiff and her counsel appear and advise the Court that the defendant apparently has admitted himself to the emergency room at a local hospital, and whether he will be admitted as a patient is undetermined at this time.

The hearing is continued generally on the same terms and conditions regarding the defendant's conduct, as set forth in this Court's temporary Order of March 3, 1988.

The Court will take no further action on this abuse petition until a written motion to set a hearing is filed setting forth the reasons why said hearing is requested.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

March 11, 1988 Copy of Order to Atty. R. Charles Thomas.

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

202.

William J. Gregg,

LORI A. FLICKINGER

vs.

BRUCE R. FLICKINGER

Filed Mar. 3, 1988
@ 9:27 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
4 counts paid	60.00
Pro Co pd 6/21/88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, & COSTS, CUSTODY, AND PERMANENT ALIMONY

June 9, 1988 1:36 PM. 1 copy (atty) Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed

June 10, 1988, Acceptance of Service filed. I accept service of the complaint in divorce on behalf of the defendant as of March 7, 1988 and certify that I am authorized todo so.
William J. Gregg, Esq.

June 20, 1988 Agreement As To Custody and Visitation With The Parties Minor Children filed.

June 20, 1988 Memorandum of Agreement As To Divorce, Equitable Distribution, Alimony and Counsel Fees filed.

June 20, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 20, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To tRansmit Record - Section 201(c) ofthe Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

June 28, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, June 28, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

L O R I A . F L I C K I N G E R and B R U C E R . F L I C K I N G E R

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marraige are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Hayt, Hayt & Landau
~~Scott F. Landau~~
Arthur Lashin

203.

FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY
One American Road
Dearborn, Michigan 48122

vs.

SAMUEL C. MARTIN
R. D. #3, Box 235B
Union City, Pa. 16438

Filed Mar. 3, 1988
@ 10:48 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's costs 28.30
Pro co pd 4/21/88 9.00

COMPLAINT - CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Mar. 22, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED and made known to Samuel C. Martin personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on March 17, 1988 at 1:30 p.m. at his residence, RD #3, Box 235 B, Union City, Crawford Co. PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder,Dep.Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart,Sheriff

And now, Apr. 21, 1988 at 10:41 AM upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defnedant for want of an answer and the damamges are assessed at the sum of Two Thousand Nine Hundred and 28/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
M-154
judgment entered 4/21/88
notice mailed to dft. 4/26/88

Debt $2900.28
Int. from 5/28/86
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX1988-43

Aug. 12, 1988 Appearance is hereby withdrawn of Scott D. Landue for Plaintiff and Appearance of Arthur Lashin for Pl aintiff is hereby entered.

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Miller, Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

204.

MARCIA A. PEARSALL

vs.

KENNETH R. PEARSALL

Filed Mar. 3, 1988
@ 1:31 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
3 counts paid 45.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION,
ALIMONY AND COUNSEL FEES, CHILD CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this Mar. 3, 1988, WILLIAM PINEO is hereby appointed Custody Mediator and is hereby directed tohold such Custody Mediation conference as he deems appropriate and to make recommendations thereafter to this court.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Mar.24, 1988 Affidavit of Mailing Complaint Under Pa. R.C.P. No. 1124 (b) filed.Ann Jamsem Gamble, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pa. and that on Mar. 18, 1988, she sent a copy of the Complaint in Divorce to the Defendant by certified mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid , return receipt requested, to Kenneth R. Pearsall, 309 Second St., Pleasantville, Pa. 16341. the Defendant's last known address. The said receo½t being attached hereto.

Ann Hansen Gamble

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 3-24-88. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.
If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.
I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.
Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 8, 1997 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

Bozic and Thomas
John H. Bozic, Jr.

205

JEFFREY M. IRWIN

vs.

JULIE A. IRWIN

Filed Mar. 3, 1988
@ 4:20 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Pro Co pd 9/26/88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 10, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Julie A. Irwin personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on March8, 1988 at 10:44 AM at her place of residence, 700 N. Grant Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sher.
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 23, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 23, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 23, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Oct. 6, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, October 6, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

J E F F R E Y M . I R W I N and J U L I E A . I R W I N

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either ofthe parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

206

1988

R. Charles Thomas
Bozic and Thomas

206.

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC
By:-Alan Pepicelli

REGINA M. GILLILAND

vs.

RONALD M. GILLILAND

Filed Mar. 4, 1988
@ 9:08 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
State Paid 10-18-91	10.00
Pro Co Paid 10-18-91	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

June 6, 1990 Appearance of Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs Pc., by Alan L. Pepicelli, attorney for Defendant filed.

Aug. 13, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Oct 15, 1991 Affidavit of Service of Complaint in Divorce filed: R. Charles Thomas, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on March 4th, 1988, he sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce, properly endorsed with the Notice to Defend, filed in the above action to the Defendant, Ronald M. Gilliland, at defendant's last known address of R.D.#4, Cochranton, Pa., by certified mail No. P414 739 177, postage prepaid, restricted delivery, return receipt requested and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as March 7, 1988.

THOMAS, TRUAX, SPADAFORE,
VARDARO AND WALKER
R. Charles Thomas,
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Oct 15, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Oct 15, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Oct 15, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code this case is moved to Court.

Oct. 30, 1991 Consent Custody Order and Order filed. AND NOW, this 29 day of October, 1991, after consideration of the proposed Custody Order and the Cosnents thereto by the Plaintiff and Defendant, an Order is hereby entered in accordance with the terms and conditions thereof.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J

Oct. 30, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Aty's Thomas and Pepicelli

Oct. 30, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, October 29, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Regina M. Gilliland, plaintiff, and Ronald M. Gilliland, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J

1988

Elderkin, Martin,
Kelly, Messina & Zamboldi
Kenneth G. Vasil

207.

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY
800 Millcreek Mall
Erie, Pennsylvania

vs.

FRED D. ROBERTS

Filed Mar. 4, 1988
@ 10:43 a/m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 4/5/88	9.00 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	22.15

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

And Now, Apr. 5, 1988 at 11:14a.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant by consent of defendant to enter judgment and the damages are assessed at the sum of Nine Hundred Thirty-five and 88/100 plus intered and cost of suit.

R-64
judgment entered 4/5/88

Debt $935.88
Int. from February, 1988
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Apr 7, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Fred D. Roberts within named defendant on March 23, 1988 at 2:10 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Earl Williams, adult in charge of his residence, R.D.#2, Box 1478, Guys Mills, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

C. Barton Jones

208.

DONALD E. BATES

vs.

ARLENE BATES

Filed Mar. 4, 1988
@ 10:57 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro & Pd 3/11/88 45.00 ✓
Pro Co Paid 8/17/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE, PROPERTY DISTRIBUTION, SUPPORT, CUSTODY

August 17 , 1988 Affidavit of Service by Mail Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1920.4(a)(1)(ii) filed. C. Barton Jones, ESQ., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is the attorney for Plaintiff, Donald E.Bates, and that he did mail a ture and correct copy of the complaint in divorce filed in the above matter, by registered/certified mail, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only to the defendant, Arlene Bates on April 25, 1988, at her last known address, Lake Road, Andover OH. The signed reciept is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
C. Barton Jones

August 17, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

August 17, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

August 17, 1988 Motion for Decree and Order filed.

August 17, 1988 Upon Praecipe of C. Barton Jones, Atty for Plaintiff, this case is moved to court.

Sept. 12, 1988 ORDER filed. The matter comes before the Court for grant of a divorce under §201(c) of the Divorce Code. The original complaint also raised issues of equitable property division, custody and support. On August 17, 1988, counsel for the plaintiff filed a "Motion for Decree and Order" in which counsel alleges that the parties have agreed to an amicable custody arrangement and have amicably settled the marital property issue. Counsel then filed a praecipe to transmit the records to court and presents to the Court a proposed Decree and Order which would grant a 201(c) divorce, make equitable property division, resilve the custody issue and solves or resolves the support issue. The implication from the proposed Decree and Order is that there is a written agreement between the parties resolving these issues, but the same is not filed with the records in this case.

The Court further notes that there is disturbing language in the proposed Decree simply noting that, "the husband and wife have amicably and satisfactorily distributed and divided their personal property between themselves."

This Court is reluctant to sign the proposed Decree and Order due to its vagueness and particularly due to the fact that there is not attached the written agreement of the parties, if indeed teh same exists and the vagueness of the proposed decree invites a further return to Court for clarification.

Accordingly, we return this divorce action to the Prothonotary's Office until such time as the parties mutually agree that the issues of equitable property division, custody and support are withdrawn, or in the alternative until a copy of the written agreement resolving these issues between the parties with the signatures of each party thereon is attached to the records in this case.

When the aforesaid is accomplished counsel may repraecipe to transmit the record to the Court for the grant of a divorce.

By Order Of The Court
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Sept. 15, 1988 Copy of Order to C. Barton Jones, Atty.

Sept. 20, 1988, Marriage Settlement and Separation Agreement Signed by Parties filed.

Sept. 20, 1988 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, this case is resubmitted to the court.

Oct. 3, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, October 3, 1988, it is hereby ordereed, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between Donald E. Bates and ARlene Bates is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other inpursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

The parties have amicably resolved all collateral issues and the court notes said written agreement is filed with the records in this case.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

1988

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

209.

Pro se

JUDY CARR NEEDLER

vs.

JOHN ALLEN NEEDLER

Filed Mar. 4, 1988
@ 11:59 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 6/14/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

June 9, 1988 Acceptance of Srvice and Entry of appearance pro se by defendant filed. And Now, comes the defendant John Allen Needler, and accepts service of the plaintiff's complaint in Divorce on the date set forth hereunder, and directs the entry of appearance pro se.
Dated: Mar. 7, 1988 John Allen Needler,

June 9, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

June 9, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

June 9, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for transmitting the record, this case moved to court.

June 27, 1988 **FINAL DECREE IN DIVORCE FILED.**

AND NOW, THIS 27 DAY OF June, 1988, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED tha tthe marriage heretofore existing between JUDY CARR NEEDLER and JOHN ALLEN NEEDLER is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights, and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuant of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

210

1988

Brian J. Lindsay

pro se

210.

KATHERINE ANN CARBONE

vs.

DAVID ANTHONY CARBONE, JR.

Filed Mar. 4, 1988
@ 1:06 P.M.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd. 1/27/89 9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 10-30-90 9.00 ✓
State Pd 10/30/90- $10.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 8/21/91- $5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (plff)

Mar. 4, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed. (1 copy -plff)

Jan. 27, 1989 Affidavit filed. I, Katherine Ann Carbone, depose and say that I did on the 21 day of April, 1988, sned by Certified Mail Addressee Only, No. P 404 862 080 Return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of a divorce complaint, and a true copy of the plaintiff's affidavit of separation for at least three (3) years, to Defendant, DAvid Anthony Carbone, Jr. The certified mail was sent to David Carbone's address at 1408 Carlisle Street, New Castle, Pennsylvania 16105. (See attached Sender's Receipt). The Sender never received confirmation of Mr. Carbone's acceptance or rejectment of certified mail.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Date: 1/27/89 Katherine Ann Carbone

Jan. 27, 1989 Affidavit filed. I, Shelly Miller, depose and say that, on the 25th day of August, 1988, I did send by regular mail, a true copy of a divorce complaint, and a true copy ofplaintiff's affidavit of separation for at least three (3) years, to Defendant, David Carbone, Jr. The Regular mail was sent to David Carbone's address at 1408 Carlisle Street, New Castle, Pennsylvania 16105. More than fifteen (15) days have elapsed since August 25, 1988, and this mail hs not been returned.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statments herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Dated: 1/27/89 Shelly Miller

Jan. 27, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Record Contin ued.....

Aug. 21, 1991 NOTICE OF ELECTION TO RETAKE MAIDEN NAME, filed. To be known as: Katherine A. Williamson.

Feb. 10, 1989 RE: PRAECIPE TO TRANSMIT RECORD MEMORANDUM AND ORDER Filed. The matter is before us on a praecipe to grant a 201(d) divorce. Apparently, the plaintiff represented herself throughout the action, until such time as Attorney Brian Lindsay's name appears on the praecipe forwarding the case to Court.

We note, initially, that there is some question about compliance with service rules in obtaining service by mail upon the defendant. Apparently, certified mail was attempted, but the letter was never returned with any notation thereon. Accordingly, further service by mail under Rule 403 was made with no response to that regular mail service. We note that it apparently is a requirement of SErvice Rule 403 that before regular mail service can be effective the certified mail letter must be returned with certain notations thereon by the postal authorities. However,service irregularities are seemingly moot at this time because the life of the complaint had expired before attempted service.

The complaint was filed pro se March 4, 1988, but we note that in an affidavit filed Jan. 27, 1989, the plaintiff affirms that the first attempt at certified mail service was not made until April 21, 1988. Rule of Civil Procedure 401(a) specifically provides that original process, which in this case was the Divorce Complaint filed March 4, 1988, must be served within 30 days of the filing of the complaint. Subsection (2) then provides in essence that if service is not made within 30 days of the filing of the complaint, that the complaint may be reinstated on praecipe to commence the running of a new period of 30 days in which to effectuate service. WE note that even if the mail service were in accord with the rules the same was not accomplished within 30 days of the March 4, 1988 filing.

Thus, we are compelled to rule that there has been no valid service of the complaint in this divorce action. Until the plaintiff praecipes for reinstatement of the complaint and perfects service of the complaint within the required time this uncontested divorce cannot be granted.

ORDER And now, Feb. 8, 1989, this divorce is returned to the Prothonotary's Office for further processing in accord with this Memorandum.

By Order of the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Feb. 13, 1989 Copy of Order to Atty. Brian Lindsay and Plaintiff.

Feb. 22, 1989 Complaint Reinstated

Sept. 28, 1990 Complaint Reinstated.

Oct 30, 1990 Acceptance of Service filed: I, the above named defendant, hereby accept service of the Complaint in the above action, and all notices and affidavits under §201(d) of the Pa. Divorce Code.
Date: October 5, 1990 David Anthony Carbone, Jr.

Oct 30, 1990 Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed

Oct 30, 1990 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Oct 30, 1990 Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree filed.

Oct 30, 1990 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(d) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Nov. 15, 1990 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, November 15, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Katherine Ann Carbone, plaintiff, and David Anthony Carbone, Jr., defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

RECORD CONTINUED ON MARGIN

1988

Gordon R. Miller

211.

BRENDA L. WAITKUS

vs.

JOHN J. WAITKUS

Filed Mar. 4, 1988
@ 1:30 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro. Co. Pd 6/30/88 9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 8/22/88 - 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

apr. 18, 1988 Affidavit Of Service Of Complaint filed. GORDN R. MILLER, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that is the attorney for the plaintiff in this matter and duly authorized to make this Affidavit of service of complaint: tha ton the 8th day of March, 1988 he served the Complaint and Notice to Defend in the above captioned matter on the above named defendant by mailing a true and correct copy of said Complaint and Notice to Defend on the 3rd day of March, 1988, to the defendant at his residence, Box 12W, R.D.#1, Franklin, Pennsylvania 16323, postage prepaid, certified mail with restricted delivery to addressee only; that said Complaint and Notice to Defend was actually received by the defendant on the 8th day of March, 1988 as is evidenced by a return receipt signed by him for certified mail article no. P404 874 350 which said receipt is attached hereto, made a part hereof and marked Exhibit A. Gordon Miller

June 30, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 30, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 30, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review under Section 201 (c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

July 6, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D.**

AND NOW, July 6, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

B R E N D A L . W A I T K U S and J O H N J . W A I T K U S

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 17, 1988 Notice of Intention to Retake Prior Name filed. To be known as Brenda Lea Nelson.

212

1988

Verdell Dean
Joseph F. Kulwicki

212.

Halliday & Halliday
F. Sandra Humphrey

DAVID C. PORTER.

vs.

SHIRLEY S. PORTER

Filed Mar. 4, 1988
@ 3:11 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 4/4/18/88	45.00
Pro Co pd 4/10/90	9.00
State pd 4/10/90	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER 201(c)OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 10, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. LEE A. EVANS, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an employee of Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq., attorney for the plaintiff, and as such on March 8, 1988, at approximately 11:35 a.m. she hand delivered a certified copy of the Divorce Complaint to the Defendant at the office of Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq., 891 Grove Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT. Lee A. Evans

Mar. 25, 1988, Appearance of Halliday & Halliday, by F. Sandra Humphrey, attorneys for defendatn filed.

Mar. 25, 1988, Petition for Equitable Distribution of Property, Counsel fees, Alimony and Alimony Pendente Lite, filed.

April 25, 1988 Domestic Relations Budget Information filed.

May 17, 1988 Appearance of Verdell Dean, Esq. for Plaintiff filed.

June 13, 1988 Moiton to withdraw Appearance and Order filed. AND NOW, June 13, 1988, motion allowed. BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

June 15, 1988 Copies of order to Joseph Kulwicki, Verdell Dean and F. Sandra Humphrey

Nov. 8, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 9, 1990 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr. 9, 1990 Agreement between the Parties filed.

Apr. 9, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Apr. 19, 1990 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, April 19, 1990 , it is ordered and decreed that David C. Porter plaintiff, and Shirley S. Porter , defendant are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1988

213.

MERLE L. BARLOW

vs.

MARC & DAWN BABBITT

Filed Mar. 4, 1988
@ 3:32 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓
Pro Co Pd 3-21-88 5.00 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF
ROBERT J. LEONHART, DISTRICT JUSTICE

Mar. 4, 1988, Rule entered upon Complaint to File Complaint Issued.

Mar. 8, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

Mar 21, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff, this case is discontinued of record as matter is being settled out of court by agreement between the two parties mentioned above.

214

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso and Gamble
John D. Petruso

214.

KIM A. WILCOX

vs.

DARRELL E. WILCOX

Filed Mar. 4, 1988
at 3:50 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
1 count paid 15.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

1988

C. Barton Jones

215.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
Robert S. Bailey

THOMAS L. MOWERY

vs.

EDWARD R. ARNOLD AND
CATHERINE E. ARNOLD

Filed Mar. 7, 1988
@ 12:45 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	43.00
Arb. pd. 10/12/88	15.00
Pro Co pd 3/21/89	5.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

March 29, 1988 Writ Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Anthony Buccilli who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 15th day of March, 1988, at 5:50 p.m., he served Complaint filed at No. AD 1988-215, Crawford Co. PA., upon defendants Edward R. Arnold and Catherine E. ARnold at 51 Jackson St., Freedom, PA. 15042. By making known the contents thereof to Catherine E. Arnold and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy.

Anthony Buccilli, Dep. Sheriff
Frank Policaro, Jr.
Beaver County, PA.

SERVED Catherine E. Arnold by deputizing the Sheriff of Beaver County, PA., as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 3-15-88.
So answers,

Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr 4, 1988 Preliminary Objections in the Nature of a Demurer filed.

Apr. 18, 1988 Answer To Preliminary Objections filed.

On Argument List for May 1988

May 2, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the preliminary objections place on next argument list.

May 11, 1988 Certificate Of Mailing filed.

June 29, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, June 28, 1988, the Defendants Preliminary Objections in the Nature of a Demurrer are overruled and the Defendants are granted twenty (20) days from this date in which to file a further response of pleading.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Robert L. Walker, J.

June 30, 1988 Copies of order to Attys Jones and Bailey.

July 20, 1988 Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

Aug. 15, 1988, Reply to New Matter and Answer to Counterclaim filed.

Oct. 12, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for reference to the arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed:

Bruce L. Smith, Esq.
Anthony J. Vardaro, Esq.
Glenn Thompson

Oct. 24, 1988 Arbitrators take qualifying oath.

Mar. 20, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above caption case is marked Settled and Discontinued of Record.

1988

Bernard & Stucznski
Bruce W. Bernard

216.

David H. Acker

MELLON BANK (NORTH)
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

vs.

RICHARD PERROTT AND
LAURA PERROTT

Filed Mar. 7, 1988
at 1:53 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 54.00

COMPLAINT IN REPLEVIN

2 copies (sheriff)

Mar. 25, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Dan McClenahan, Dep. Sheriff of Lawrence Co., Pa. who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 16th day of March, 1988 at 6:00 pm., he served Complaint in Replevin,filed at No. AD 1988-216,County Pa., upon defendaNT Laura Perrott, at P.O. Box 51, Hillsville, Pa. By making known the contents thereof to her personally and Handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford County.
Dan McClenahan, Dep. Sheriff
So answers,
George Sigler, Sheriff
Lawrence Co., Pa.

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Dan McClenahan, Dep. Sheriff of Lawrence Co. Pa. who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 16th day of March, 1988 at 6:01PM he served Complaint in Replevin, filed at No AD 1988-216, County, Pa., upon defendant Richard Perrott at P.O. Box 51, Hillsville, Pa. By making known the contents thereof to Laura Perrott, and aduly member of the household and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford Co.
Dan McClenahan, Dep. Sheriff
So answers,
George Sigler, Sheriff
Lawrence Co. Pa.

SERVED Richard Perrott within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Lawrence Co.Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 3-16-88
SERVED Laura Perrott within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Lawrence Co., Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 3-16-88.
So answers,
Howar d N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 30, 1988, Answers by Defendants filed.

Apr 13, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, this case is place on the Argument List.

Apr 13, 1988 Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings filed.

On Argument List for May 1988

May 31, 1988 Order on Motion for Judgment on Pleadings filed: AND NOW, to-wit, this 31 day of May, 1988, upon consideration of plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings, and following argument thereon, is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the prothonotary is hereby directed to enter judgment in favor of the plaintiff and against defendants for possession of the two (2) gas S-75 Galaxie Tubular Overhead Radiant Heaters located at Willey's Car Wash, at 1228 Park Avenue, Meadville, Pa. Determination of the Monetary value of the property and the right of the plaintiff, if any, to special damages, shall be determined by further proceedings of this court.
P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 1, 1988 Copies of order to Bruce Bernard and David Acker, Esqs.

1988

217.

Robert S. Bailey

THE PLANT PLACE

vs.

GELVIN, JACKSON & STARR

Filed Mar. 7, 1988
@ 3:59 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-3-02- VOGAN

Mar. 7, 1988, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Mar. 9, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

1988

218	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPOINTMENT OF NANCY L. HANES AS JURY COMMISSIONER OF CRAWFORD COUNTY Filed Mar. 8, 1988 @ 10:37 a.m.	APPOINTMENT OF CRAWFORD COUNTY JURY COMMISSIONER ORDER: AND NOW, March 8, 1988, the court noting that Lucile M. Kean resigned as Jury Commissioner Septebmer 23, 1987, and this court having been notified by letter from the General Counsel to the Governor that this court should make the appointment to fill said vacancy pursuant to 42 Pa. C.S.A. §2122 (c), the court hereby appoints Nancy L. Hanes as Jury Commissioner of Crawford County to fill the unexpired term of said lucile M. Kean, which will expire the first Monday of January 1990. BY ORDER OF THE COURT, P. Richard Thomas, P.J. (oath in Drawer file) (Resignation Letter of Lucile M. Kean, and letter from Office of General Counsel, Com. of Pa., attached)

1988

Joseph F. Kulwicki

219.

ROBIN HOIPO BOSHARD

vs.

KIMBERLEE ANN BOSHARD

Filed Mar. 8, 1988
@ 3:32 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 7/1/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 23, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. LEE A. EVANS, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is employee of Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq., and that as such on Mar. 10, 1988, she placed a true and correct copy of the Co mplaint in Divorce in the above matter in the United States Mail, Certified No. P 401 445 576, Return Receipt Requested, addressed to the Defendant, Kimberlee Boshard, at her last known address of P.O. Box 642, Cochranton, Pa. 16314.

The signature card for said certified mail item was returned to the office of Jos. F. Kulwicki, bearing the signature of the defendant as having received same on Mar. 14, 1988. The receipt and signature car d for certified mail item No. P 401 445 576 are attached hereto and made a part hereof.

FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT.

Lee A. Evans

June 14, 1988 @ 3:36 P.M. Affidavit of Consent of Defendant Filed.

June 30, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 30, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, For Review Under §201 (c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

July 6, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, July 6, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

ROBIN HOIPO BOSHARD and KIMBERLEE BOSHARD

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marraige are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

220

X

1988

Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
Thomas D. O'Shea

Brian J. Lindsay
220.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
Louis J. Stack

HALL'S MOTOR TRANSIT, INC.

vs.

DRAFTO CORPORATION

Filed Mar. 9, 1988
@ 9:20 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's costsn 20.51
Pro Co Pd 11/15/88 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT AT LAW

1 copy (sheriff)

Mar. 23, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Drafto Corporation within named defendant on Mar. 18, 1988 at 1:34 pm by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Lori Vaughn, Sec. and person in charge, at their place of business, Pine St., Cochranton, Crawford Co. Pa. So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 30, 1988, Appearance of Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack, by Louis J. Stack, attorneys for defendant filed.

Mar. 30, 1988 Defendant's Preliminary Objections filed.

Apr. 7, 1988, Amended Complaint at Law filed. 1 copy (atty)

April 26, 1988 Defendant's Preliminary Objections to Amended Complaint filed.

Nov. 9, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above lien is hereby satisfied of record.

1988

Ferguson, Spataro & O'Shea
Thomas D. O'Shea

221.

Warren and Young
Stuart W. Cordell

HALL'S MOTOR TRANSIT, INC.

vs.

MOLDED FIBERGLASS CO. INC.

Filed Mar. 9, 1988
@ 9:20 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriffs costs 23.00

COMPLAINT AT LAW

1 copy (sheriff)

Apr 4, 1988 Petition for Extension of Time and Order filed: For good cause shown upon the Petition of the Defendant, MOLDED FIBER GLASS CO., INC., an extension of time is hereby granted to Defendant until April 20, 1988, to file its response to the Complaint in the within action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: April 4, 1988 Robert L. Walker, Judge

April 5, 1988 Copies of order to Thomas O'Shea and Stuart W. Cordell, Esqs.

Apr. 7, 1988 Sheriffs Return filed.SERVED Molded Fiberglass Co. Inc. within named defendant on Mar. 23, 1988, at 10:08 a.m. by handing a true copy ofthe within complaint to Charles Leech, Controller, and person in charge at their place of business, East Erie St, Linesville, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

April 26, 1988 Defendant's Preliminary Objections to Complaint filed.

222

1988

Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
Thomas D. O'Shea

222.

HALL'S MOTOR TRANSIT, INC.

vs.

OIL CREEK PLASTICS, INC.

Filed Mar. 9, 1988
@ 9:21 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs 28.30

COMPLAINT AT LAW

1 copy (sheriff)

April 7, 1988 Sheriff's REturn filed. SERVED Oil Creek Plastics within named defendant on March 24, 1988 at 12:44 p.m. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Rich Heliker, Traffic Manager, and person in charge at the place of business, Titusville, Crawford Co., PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Brian J. Lindsay

Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
Thomas D. O'Shea

223.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin and Stack
Robert S. Bailey

HALL'S MOTOR TRANSIT, INC.

vs.

DIC TOOL COMPANY,
A DIVISION OF TROJAN INC.

Filed Mar. 9, 1988
@ 9:21 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Pro Co Pd 11/15/88	5.00

COMPLAINT AT LAW

1 copy (sheriff)

Mar. 17, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED DIC Tool Co. within named defendant on March 16, 1988 at 11:42 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Sue Pompa, Office Manager and person in charge at their place of business, 114 Poplar Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr. 6, 1988, Defendant's Preliminary Objections filed.

Nov. 9, 1988 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, this action is discontinued as to all defendants.

224

1988

Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
Thomas D. O'Shea

224.

HALL'S MOTOR TRANSIT, INC.

vs.

ABC RAIL CORPORATION,
FORMERLY KNOWN AS
ABEX CORPORATION

Filed Mar. 9, 1988
@ 9:21 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 18.00

COMPLAINT AT LAW

1 copy (sheriff)

Mar. 28, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVEDABC Rail Corporation on March 22, 1988 at 2:11 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Dan Higham, Manager and person in charge, at their place of business, RT. 19, Baldwin St. Ext.,,Meadville, Crawford Co., Pa.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Ferguson, Spataro,
& O'Shea
Thomas D. O'Shea

225.

CAROLINE HOFFMAN

vs.

DONALD WAYNE HOFFMAN

Filed Mar. 9, 1988
@ 9:21 a.m.

Tax & entry $40.50

COMPLAINT UNDER 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 9, 1988, Petition to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 9th day of March 1988 the Petition is granted and Caroline Hoffman is granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis with a divorce action, reserving the right to assess costs if appropriate.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar. 9, 1988, copy of order to Atty. O'Shea.

Mar. 9, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed. 1 copy (atty)

Mar. 9, 1988, Petition to Allow Special Service of the Divorce Complaint and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, March 9, 1988, plaintiff's petition is granted and plaintiff may serve the divorce complaint upon defendant by mailing a certified copy of said divorce complaint by certified mail to Defendant's mother, Mary Hoffman, at P.O. Box 23, Linesville, Pa. 16424.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar. 9, 1988, copy of order to Atty. O'Shea.

April 5, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford Co. PA., on the above named Defendant's mother, Mary Hoffman, by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated March 15, 1988. The Defendant's mother, Mary Hoffman, acknowledged service on March 17, 1988 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Thomas D. O'Shea. Esq.

Apr. 12, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(d) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

May 6, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Plaintiff's 201(d) Affidavit in the above captioned divorce case on the above named Defendant's mother, Mary Hoffman, by certified mail, addressee only return receipt requested, dated March 15, 1988. the Defendant's mother, Mary Hoffman, acknolwedged service on March 17, 1988 by accepting delivery on that date. The 201(d) affidavit was included in the same envelope as the Divorce Complaint and th epostal service's signed return receipt has already been filed at the above captioned number.

Thomas O'Shea

May 6, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, May 6, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

CAROLINE HOFFMAN and DONALD WAYNE HOFFMAN

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Elderkin, Martin,
Kelly, Messina & Zamboldi
Craig A. Markham

226.

Knox, Graham,
McLaughlin, Gornell
& Sennett, Inc.
M. Mioduszewski

WILLARD GUSTAFSON AND
NORMA GUSTAFSON, HIS WIFE

vs.

RONALD L. RUSHTON AND JUDITH
A. RUSHTON, HIS WIFE

Filed Mar. 9, 1988
@ 10:47 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	24.00
Pro Paid 7/8/88	6.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

(Jury of Twelve Demanded)

Mar. 17, 1988 Appearance of Knox, Graham, McLaughlin, Gornall & Sennett, Inc. by Mark E. Mioduszewski for defendant filed.

Mar. 17, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Judith Rushton personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on March 16, 1988 at 11:27 AM, at her place of business, Reflections Resale, 949 Market Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA SERVED Ronald L. Rushton within named defendant on March 16, 1988 at 11:28 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Judith A. Rushton, person in charge at his place of business, Reflections Resale, 949 market Street, Meadville, Crawford County PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 18, 1988 Preliminary Objections filed.

Mar. 18, 1988 Notice Of Service Of Interrogatories To Plaintiff, Norma Gustafson (First Set) filed.

Mar. 18, 1988 Notice Of Service Of Request For Production To Plaintiff, Norma Gustafson (First Set) filed.

Mar. 23, 1988, Amended Complaint filed. JURY OF TWELVE DEMANDED.

Apr. 4, 1988 Answers To Interrogatories To Plaintiff, Norma Gustafson (First Set) filed.

Apr. 4, 1988, Responses to Request for Production to Plaintiff, Norma Gustafson, filed.

Apr. 4, 1988 Answer To Amended Complaint and New Matter filed.

Apr. 7, 1988, Plaintiffs' Reply to New Matter filed.

Apr. 11, 1988, Certificate of Service of Interrogatories and Requests for Production of documents Addressed to Defendants (First Set) filed.

April 12, 1988,Notice of Taking depositions Upon Oral Examination of Norma and Willard Gustafson, filed.

Apr. 18, 1988 Notice Of Taking Depositions Upon Oral Examination of Ronald L. Rushton and Judith A. Rushton filed.

June 6, 1988, Oral Depositions of Norma Gustafson, Willard Gustafson, Judith A. Rushton, and Ronald L. Rushton, filed.

July 7, 1988, Upon praecipe of attorney for plaintiffs, the above captioned action is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Conner and Associates
Andrew J. Conner

Cauley & Conflenti
Robert L. Mellinger

227.

Gordon R. Miller

R. Charles Thomas

KIMBER LEE LOWING

vs.

CYNTHIA L. FIFE

Filed Mar. 9, 1988
@ 10:56 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriffs costs	23.00
Pro.Co. pd 5/27/93	6.00

case placed on next trial list.

ON APRIL 1990 TRIAL LIST

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Apr 5, 1988 Appearance of Gordon R. Miller, Esq. for Defendant filed.

Apr. 7, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Cynthia L. Fife personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within complaint to her on Mar. 23, 1988 at 9:58 a.m. at her place of residence 400 East state St., Linesville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 3, 1988, Answer of defendant filed.

Feb. 26, 1990, Notice of Depositon for Use at Trial filed.

Feb. 27, 1990, Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, this

July 17, 1990, NOtice of Serving Interrogatories upon the counsel for defendant filed.

Mar 9, 1990 Notice of Deposition for Use at Trial of Edward P. Spiegal, DDS filed.

Mar. 23, 1990 Motion to Strike Certificate of Readiness and Praecipe For Trial List and Order filed. AND NOW, this 21st day of March, 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the within motion and upon consent of both parties through their counsel the trial of this case is continued to the June 1990 Civil Trial LIst.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 23, 1990 Copy of order mailed to Robert Mellinger and copy put in R. Charles Thomas' box

Apr. 6, 1990, Appearance of Conner and Associates, by Andrew J. Conner, attorneys for plaintiff filed.

June 1, 1990 Motion For Continuance and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 29 day of May, 1990 upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion, this case is continued until the October Term of Court.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 5, 1990 Copy of Order to Attys. Andrew J. Conner, Robert L. Mellinger, R. Charles Thomas.

On October 1990 Trial List

Sept. 24, 1990 Motion To Continue and Order filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 24th day of September, 1990, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this matter is continued from the October, 1990 trial term to the next regularly shceduled trial term of this Court.
P. Richard Thomas, J

Sept. 25, 1990 Copies of order mailed to Andrew Conner, Robert Mellinger and copy put in R. Charles Thomas' box.

Jan. 28, 1991 Motion To Continue and ORDER filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 28 day of Jan. 1991, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this matter is continued from the Feb., 1991 trial list and will not beplaced back on the trial list until either party places this case back on the trial list and/or until there is a further Order of Court placing it back on the trial list.
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Jan.28, 1991 Copy of Order to Andrew J. Conner.
Jan. 30, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. R. Charles Thomas, Robert L. Mellinger.

April 15, 1991 Plaintiff's Supplemental Answers To Defendant's Interrogatories filed.

June 15, 1992 Notice of Service Supplemental Interrogatories filed.

August 13, 1992 Notice of Deposition of Cynthia L. Fife filed.

Dec. 29, 1992 Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON FEBRUARY, 1993 TRIAL LIST

Jan. 26, 1993 Order filed. AND NOW, January 25, 1993, at the call of the trial list both attorneys agree this case is not ready for trial at this time and, therefore, this case is continued to the April, 1993 civil trial list.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1-27-93 Copies mailed to Conner & givento Thomas

ON APRIL, 1993 TRIAL LIST

Mar. 29, 1993 Order Filed. And now, this 29th day of March, 1993,following the call of the April Civil Trial List, pretrial conferences are scheduled inthe following cases on the following dates and times before the judge indicated below:
Pretrial Conference Date and Time: Judge. No pretrial scheduled; to be tried before Thomas, S.J.
Counsel are directed to report any change in the status of any case, or any special scheduling needs to the Court Adminstrator at the earliest possible time.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

Mar. 29, 1993 Copies distributed by Court.

May 27, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, the above case marked settled and discontinued of record.

228

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso
& Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

228.

VICKI J. LOKER

vs.

LARRY L. LOKER

Filed Mar. 9, 1988
@ 11:04 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co Pd 12/7/88	9.00
Pro Co Pd 12-22-88	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 18, 1988 Affidavit Of Mailing Complaint Under Pa R.C.P. No. 1124(b) filed. Ann Hansen Gamble being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an attorney at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and that on March 10, 1988, she sent a copyof the Complaint in Divorce the Defendant by certified mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to Larry L. Loker, 5500 DeSota 3618, Houston, TX 77091. The defendant's last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto.

Ann Hansen Gamble

July 27, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 2, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec. 2, 1988 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to Transmit to the court, this case moved to court.

Dec. 20, 1988 DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

AND NOW, December 19, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

VICKI J. LOKER AND LARRY L. LOKER

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec 22, 1988 Notice of Election to Retake Maiden (or prior Name filed. To be known as Vicki J. Roberts.

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Miller & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

229.

KATHRYN L. TANNER

vs.

LaMONT L. TANNER

Filed Mar. 9, 1988
@ 11:08 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 8/12/88 9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd. 1/20/89 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 15, 1988 Affidavit Of Mailing Complaint Under Pa. R.C.P. No. 1124(b) filed. Ann Hansen Gamble being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and that on March 10, 1988, she sent a copy of the Complaint in Divorce the defendant by certified mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to LaMont L. Tanner, 5208 W. 26th St., Erie, PA 16506 the defendant's last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto.
Ann Hansen Gamble

July 8, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 5, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug. 12, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Sept. 9, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, September 9, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

K A T H R Y N L . T A N N E R and L A M O N T L . T A N N E R

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either ofthe parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Jan. 20, 1989, Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name (or Prior Name) filed. 4 copies (atty)
To Be Known As KATHRYN L. HUMMER.

1988

230.

Lope & Criss

ANDERSON COMPONENT PRODUCTS

vs.

HARMONY CASTINGS, INC.
& DONALD WEIL

Filed Mar. 9, 1988
@ 11:12 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25

Pro Co Pd 4-26, 1988 9.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF
ROBERT J. LEONHART, DISTRICT JUSTICE

Mar. 9, 1988, Rule Entered Upon Plaintiff to File Complaint Issued.

March 23, 1988 Affidavit of Mailing Service filed. I hereby certify that I have caused a true and correct copy of the Rule to File Complaint in the above captioned matter to be mailed by certified mail, Receipt No. P-626 242, on the 10th day of March,1988, from Zelienople, Pa., to Anderson Component Products.
By Philip P. Lope,Esq.

AND NOW, Apr 26, 1988 @ 11:24 A.M., Upon praecipe of Defendant's attorney, judgment of Non Pros is hereby entered against the plaintiff for failure to file complaint
A-183

JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

Joseph F. Kulwicki

231.

JERRY L. HOYTE

vs.

NANCY J. HOYTE

SHOOK, being duly sworn accord
F. Kulwicki, esq., and that as
of the reinst

Filed Mar. 9, 1988
@ 4:25 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd. 6/10/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

May 23, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

June 2, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: DEBRA A. SHOOK, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an employee of Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq.; and that as such on May 23, 1988, she personally served a certified copy of the reinstated Divorce Complaint in the matter above captioned, upon the defendant, Nancy J. Hoyte, at 891 Grove Street, Meadville, Pa., at approximately 4:15 p.m., by handing her same.

FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT.
Debra A. Shook

June 10, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

June 10, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

June 10, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, for review under 201(c) of the Divorce code this case moved to court.

June 27, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, June 27, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

J E R R Y H O Y T E and N A N C Y J . H O Y T E

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marraige are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Cusick, Madden, Joyce & McKay
Henry M. Ekker

232.

Joan D. Mason

LINDA L. DOUGLAS

vs.

JAMES M. DOUGLAS

Filed Mar. 10, 1988
@ 10:51 A.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
4 counts paid	60.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 4/25/91	9.00 ✓
state pd. 4/25/91	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, PROPERTY DISTRIBUTION, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, PERMANENT ALIMONY, CUSTODY OF CHILDREN, SUPPORT

Mar 21, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed, I, Henry M. Ekker, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that on March 15, 1988, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce was mailed to the defendant James M. Douglas, by United State certified mail at his address, Post Office Box 1164, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335, as evidenced by the attached return receipt No. P402 486 928.

Henry M. Ekker,
Attorney for Plaintiff

Oct. 5, 1988 Defendant's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, filed. 1 copy (atty)

Oct. 5, 1988, Counterclaim (201(d) in Divorce filed. 1 copy (atty)

Oct. 18, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq., Attorney for the Defendant in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on Oct. 6, 1988 mail to the above Plaintiff, Linda L. Douglas by certified mail #P-547 808 418, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a Counterclaim 201(d) divorce and Defendant's 201(d) affidavit in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Linda L. Douglas on OCt. 7, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Defendant's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Atty.

Apr. 17, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Apr. 25, 1991 Affidavit of Service of Complaint in Divorce filed.

Apr. 25, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Apr. 25, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review Under 201(c) of the divorce Code, this case moved to court.

May 1, 1991 Stipulation and Ancillary Divorce Decree - PUblic School Employes' Retirement System (Pension and Retirement Benefit) filed. AND NOW, this 1st day of May, 1991, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. For the purpose of determining division of this benefit, the parties were married after the time the Defendant commenced working under the above noted retirement system. Specifically, Plaintiff commenced working September 3, 1960. The parties were married August 25, 1961. The parties separated finally on May 5, 1985. The Defendant continued to work, and accrue benefits under said pension and retirement system.

For the purpose of division of this benefit, the following fraction shall be utilized to determine the entire marital fraction of the eventual benefit to be received at time of retirement, and shall apply both to any lump sum benefit and monthly benefit:

Years, months and says between August 25, 1961
and May 5, 1985

Years, months and says between September 3, 1960
and date of retirement/death of James M. Douglas

As to said marital fraction, the parties shall divide and share said portion of the following benefit or benefits that are payable pursuant to said retirement plan, such that Plaintiff, Linda L. Douglas shall be entitled to a figure arrived at by applying the above defined fraction, and them multiplying same by 50%, representing her share of following benefit or benefits:

1. Preretirement death benefit, or
2. Lump sum benefit elected by Defendant at time of retirement, and/or
3. Monthly benefit amount.

The Defendant shall retain the balance of said benefits.

2. The calculation of benefits to which said fraction shall apply shall include all employee contributions and increase to and interest thereon, and all employer and state contributions and increase to and idnterest thereon, earned by or credited to the Defendant (which employer and state contributions only impact annuity payment and death benefit), between his date of hire and date of fimal retirement or his dath (if he should die prior to retirement).

3. The parties realize the Public School Employes' Retirement System statute is established by and must comply with its enabling ligislation, which at present (according to the retirement System) does not allow for delivery of separate checks to both a retiree and his former spouse. In the event that P laintiff's portion of any payment is paid to the defendant either through lack of legal authority for delivey of separate checks or through oversight, or until said division of payments is legally authorized and accomplished through the Public School Employes' Retirement System (or by the Defendant, if a check has been mailed to him in error) to the domestic Relations Office of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County Pennsylvania (P.O. Box 1055, 898 Park Avenue, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335-7055), and division shall be made by said Officer in accordance with this Ancillary Divorce decree and disbursed to the Plaintiff and Defendant respectively.

The parties shall cooperate to sign any documents required to implement this provision.

If, in the future, the payment of separate checks by the Public School Employes' Retirement System is legally authorized, such that the retiree (James M. Douglas) and his former spouse (Linda L. Douglas) may receive their checks separately and in accordance with this decree, both parties shall cooperate in accomplishing said division of checks as soon as possible.

The Plaintiff, Linda L. Douglas, shall be responsible for reporting amounts received by her as her income if same is table to anyone as income, and she shall pay any taxes on all amounts forwarded form the defendant (or from any division of the Court, or from the Public School Employes' Retirement System if applicable) to her, from her share, and shall hold Defendant harmless and indemnify him immediately regarding said taxes which are designated herein as being her responsibility.

4. The Defendant shall retain the right to exercise all options under said Plan. The Plan shall not be required, by way of this decree, to provide any benefits or options over and above the provisions of the Plan of said Public School Employes' Retirement System, in effect and applying to these benefits at the time of Defendatn's retirement/death.

Continued on page 232A

Linda L. Douglas

vs.

James M. Douglas

Record continued from page 232

of record

A.D. 1988 - 232

****

5. Regarding said Public School Employes' Retirement System benefits and any successor plan, Plaintiff, Linda L. Douglas shall be entitled to benefits under this decree, whether she has remarried, or relocated, and whether she is employed or unemployed. In the event Linda L. Douglas has dies prior to the retirement of James M. Douglas (ir if she has died prior to the death of James M. Douglas if he dies before retirement), said vesting and division of benefits shall not occur, the Defendant shall retain the entire retirement and pension benefits. In the event that Linda L. Douglas dies subsequant to the retirement or death of James M. Douglas, all payments from any benefit to or through her, or to her estate, or to any subsequant spouse of hers, shall cease immediately upon her death.

6. The Plaintiff, Linda L. Douglas's social security number is 204-30-3557, her address is R.D. 1, Monnie Avenue, Conneaut Lake, Pennsylvania 16316, and her birthdate is June 9, 1940. The Defendant, James M. Douglas's social security number is 059-30-8477, and his addres is 965 Grove Street (P.O. Box 1164), Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335, and his birthdate is August 6, 1938.

7. The court retains jurisdiction for the purpose of clarification, if clarification is necessary to the implementation of this decree.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 1, 1991 **STIPULATION AND DECREE FILED**

AND NOW, this 1st day of May, 1991, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed inthe above-captioned case, this court her eby grants an absolute divorce form the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing ofthe complaint, and an affidavit hs been file dby each ofthe parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. ALIMONY: Defendant shall pay to Plaintiff, as alimony, the sum of $500.00 a month ($250.00 to be paid by mid-month, and $250.00 to be paid by the end of the month), commencing January 1, 1990, through December 31, 1990. Said sums shall be paid directly tothe Plaintiff by the Defendant, and shall be taxable tothe Plaintiff and reported as her income by the Plaintiff, and deductable tothe Defendant, for income tax purposes.

Commencing with January 1, 1991, and continuing until such time as the present first mortgage onthe Monnie Avenue, Conneaut Lake real estate is paid in full (approximately September, 1992) Defendant shall pay to the Plaintiff as alimony a sum equal to the monthly mortgage figure (which includes principal, interest, real estate tax escrow and homeowner's insurance escrow). Said alimony payment shall be made to the Plaintiff who shall be resonsible for promptly remitting her mortgage payment to American Federal by the monthly mortgage due date, and likewise taxes and homeowners insurance premiums shall be remitted by her (or American Federal on her behalf) on or before their due dates. defendant shall make sure that said alimony payment is forwarded to the Plaintiff in a timely manner, to enable her to meet her obligation regarding the mortgage, taxes andinsurance on the Monnie Avenue real estate. Said monthly alimony payments by the Defendant shall increase said alimony commensurate with any increases real estate taxes and homeowner's insurance premiums during the period of January 1, 1991 through final scheduled payment date on said mortgage.

The alimony obligation, as to the cash payments in the amount of $500.00 monthly through 1990, shall only terminate on the death of either party, but shall not terminate if the Plaintiff remarries and shall not be modifiable despite fluctuation of income of either par ty nor under any other circumstance other than the death of either party. In regard to the alimony obligation measured bythe mortgage payment (inclusive of real estate taxes and homeowners' premiums), said obligation shall terminate only in the event of the sale by the Plaintiff of the Monnie Avenue property, or the death of the defendant.

In the event that the Plaintiff, Linda L. Douglas, dies prior to September of 1992, Defendant, James m. Douglas shall not owe any alimony obligation whatsoever fromthe date of her (Plaintiff's) death, but instead will make payments beginning January 1, 1991, for the mortgage onthe Monnie Avenue property, directly to the mortgage holder, and shall also pay real estate taxes and homeowners' insurance onsaid property until such time as the property is sold, or distributed from Plaintiff's estate.

3. REAL ESTATE:

A. Defendant has transfered to Plaintiff all of his right, title and interest inthe jointly owned real estate located at Monnie Avenue, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, Pennsylania, by way of quit claim deed, which has been duly executed and delivered to Plaintiff, in exchange for a deed duly executed by Plaintiff, as noted below, transferring Plaintiff's interest in the Harmon Avenue real estate to Defendant.

B. Plaintiff has transfered to Defendant all of her right, title and interest in the jointly owned real estate located at Harmon Avenue, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, by way of quit claim deed, which has been duly executed and delivered to Defendant, in exchange for a duly executed deed noted above, transferring Defendant's interest in the Monnie Avenue real estate to Plaintiff. In the event either deed requires rechnical correction, both parties shall cooperate in executing a corrected deed.

4. MORTGAGES AND HOME EQUITY LOANS:

A. Payments ofthe first mortgage onthe Monnie Avenue property, owed to American Federal Savings shall be made by the Plaintiff, except that if she shall die before it is paid in full, Defendant shall pay only those payments that come due after the date of her death, without liability for any principal or interest payments due prior to her date of death. Principal balance as of the end of 1989 was approximately $1,020.00

B. The parties hereto wed a home equity loan to First National Bank, Conneaut Lake, which loan was account #6980034466, in the names of James Douglas and Linda Douglas, taken out of 1987, which had a principal balance of $7,534.04 at the end of 1989. Plaintiff Linda L. Douglas, shall assume responsibility for the balance of said payments and any interest that has accrued or shall accrue until such time as said home equity loan is paid in full. Defendant borrowed approximately $6,000.00 on this particular home equity loan ($3,000.00 of which has already been repaid by him through and to the Plaintiff), andhe has since then paid to the Plaintiff the remaining $3,000.00 share of said loan that is or was his responsibility, plus interest as agree dupon between the parties, after calcuation of said interest by the bank.

Continued on page 232 B

232
B

1988

Linda L. Douglas

vs.

James M. Douglas

Record continued from page 232b

of record

A.D. 1988 - 232a

****

C. Defendant ha sassumed full responsibility for the jointly owed mortgage on the Harmon avenue property (mortgage loan #13000350), which was incurred in 1974 in the amount of $25,000.00, and which was due to the paid off in 1997, with monthly payments being $137.00.

D. defendant has also assumed full responsibility for the balance owed by the parites onthe Pennbank home equity loan (home equity loan #1300072), secured by the Harmon Avenue property, which was originally incurred in 1987 in the amount of $20,000.00 and was due to be paid in full in the year 2007, with monthly payments of $310.03.

E. Defendant has refinanced the mortgage referred to in paragraph 4C above, andth ehome equity loan referred to in paragraph 4D above, into a home equity loan in his name alone, secured by the Harmon Avenue property, which loan is his sole and separate responsibility. Plaintiff shall be held harmless inregard tothis loan.

5. MARITAL DEBTS: Defendant shall assume full responsibility for the following marital debts, without contribution from Plaintiff toward the same:

a. Marine Bank Mastercharge/Visa, $2,292.00 as of May, 1985.

b. Mellon Bank loan, $131.00 as of May, 1985.

c. American Financial Services (was TSO - Teachers' Service Organization), personal loan, $4,836.00 as of May, 1985.

d. Other small miscellaneous debts that he assumed responsibility for, which he has been paying since time of separation.

Plaintiff shall assume responsibility for the following marital debts:

a. Mortgomery Ward;

b. Mastercharge or Visa (other than the Marine Bank account noted above in 5"a");

c. Other personal and miscellaneous loans or debts she assumed responsibility, for which she has bben paying since time of separation.

6. LIFE INSURANCE: INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT ACCOUNTS: Each party shall retain those life insurance policies insuringhis or her individual life (and shall assume sole responsibility for any debts against said policies), and shall retain any individual retirement account titled to him or her individually, or the proceeds ofthe same, if same have been cashed in or surrendered.

7. VEHICLES: Plaintiff shall retainthe 1981 Chrysler New Yorker. defendant shall retain the proceeds, if any, of the vehicle that was in his possession at time of separation, which he believes was a ford mustang, which has long since been traded in.

8. HOUSEHLD FURNISHINGS AND TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY: Plaintiff shall retain all household furnishings, applicandes, and furniture, including outdoor equipment, with the exception of Defendant's personal belongings and the workbench and tools, and such items as theyshall mutually agree upon, which shall include division of family photorgraphs. Division of these items has already been accomplished.

9. INSURANCE BUSINESS: Plaintiff hereby relinquishes any right, title and interest she may othewise have in the assets, accounts receivable, and any other rights or interests owned now or at any time in the past, by the Douglas Insurance Agency, operated by the defendant. Defendant assumes full responsibility for any debts or obligations owed by said insurance business, and shall hold Plaintiff harmless and indemnify her, regarding said debts and liabilities.

10. CUSTODY: Count V of Plaintiff's complaint filed in this matter is hereby dismissed, as the child is no longer a minor, and has graduated from high school.

11. PUBLIC SCHOOL EMPLOYES' PENSION AND RETIREMENT BENEFITS: The parties hereto shall enter into an ancillary divorce decree for the purpose of dealing with the rights, title and interest in the Public School Employes' Retirement System, titled to the Defendant, on account of his employment as a teacher first withthe conneaut School district and now with the Penncrest School District, both locate in Crawford County, Pennsylvania. A portion of said benefits have accrued during the marriage ofthe parties, and a portion is therefore acknowledged by the parties to be marital property. The ancillary divorce decree shall be treated as though it were a part of this decree, but has been set forth in an ancillary decree due to the fact that said document is intended to be utilized by the Public School Employes' Retirement System, and the parties see no need to incorporate it in a document with all other matters pertaining to their divorce. Provisions of said ancillary decree, retaining jurisdiction of the Court for clarification purposes, shall not be deemed to subject any portion of this decree to be reopened.

12. WAIVER OF FURTHER CLAIMS, SECURITY FOR PAYMENTS: Each party hereby waives and relinquishes any other claim he or she may have against the other, except as specficially set forth in this decree, andin the ancillary decree as it pertains to the Public School Employes' Retirement Pension and Retirement Benefits. This provision shall not be deemed to affect Social Security benefits.

13. WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS: Neither party hereto shall be required to file an inventory and appraisement nor an income and expense statement, as would otherwise have been required by the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Each party hereby waives his or her right to re quire further disclosure of the debts and assets of the other party. Each has been represented by legal counsel in connection with the negotiation and formulation of this and the ancillary consent decree, and has discussed his or her legal rights in detail, with his or her attorney.

14. DIVORCE: The parties hereto agree to proceed promptly with conclusion of this divorce.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1988

s

233.

Kemp C. Scales

ANTHONY V. GALLETTA

vs.

RICHARD B. MAHANY, OWNER
AND WENDY E. MAHANY, DRIVER

Filed Mar. 10, 1988
@ 11:46 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.25
Pro Co 4/6/88	9.00
Pro Co fd 4/11/88	1.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF
RONALD A. COLE, SR., DISTRICT JUSTICE

Mar. 10, 1988, Rule Entered Upon Plaintiff to File Complaint Issued.

Mar. 15, 1988 Proof Of Service filed.

And now, Apr. 6, 1988 at 11:06 am upon praecipe of defendants attorney judgment of Non Pros is hereby entered against the named plaintiff for failure to file a Complaint within twenty days after being ruled so to do.

G-11

JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

234

1988

Bettie J. Peterson-Muth

234.

Thomas D. O'Shea

BERNICE K. MORFENSKI

vs.

CHESTER MORFENSKI

Filed Mar. 10, 1988
@ 4:21 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
1 count paid 15.00 ✓
Pro Co. PD 6/17/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy - (atty)

Apr. 15, 1988 Acceptance of Service filed. I accept service of the Complaint in Divorce on behalf of Chester Morgenski, Defendant, and certify that I am authorized to do so.
4/13/88 Thomas D. O'Shea

June 16, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed

June 16, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

June 16, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

June 16, 1988 Motion For Withdrawl of Contingent Matters and Order filed. AND NOW, July 6, 1988 The Motion is hereby granted and all contingent pending matters in the above action are hereby withdrawn from consideration by this Court.
Robert L. Walker, J

July 6, 1988 Copies of order to Bettie Muth and Thomas O'Shea

July 6, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, July 6, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

B E R N I C E K . M O R F E N S K I a n d C H E S T E R M O R F E N S K I

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.
BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Sidney M. Carlburg

235.

CHERYL L. SEELEY

vs.

DONALD J. SEELEY

Filed Mar. 11, 1988
@ 9:22 A.M.

Tax & entry paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 30.30

PETITION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

2 copies (atty)

AND NOW, this 11 day of March 1988, upon presentation of the within Petition in the above cause, the following Order is entered:

IT IS FOUND as fact that Cheryl L. Seeley, Petitioner is in immediate and present danger of abuse from respondent, as defined in Section 2 of the Protection From Abuse Act and the following temporary Protective Order is entered.

RESPONDENT is hereby enjoined from abusing (as defined in said Act) Petitioner.

RESPONDENT shall refrain from attempting to cause, or intentionally, knowingly or recklessly causing, bodily injury to the petitioner.

RESPONDENT shall refrain from placing, by physical menace, the petitioner in fear of imminent serious bodily injury.

A copy ofthis order will be given to the appropriate Crawford County Law Enforcement agencies. Those agencies are hereby directed to enforce this order.

This order shall remain in effect until a hearing can be held on the 17 day of March, 1988, at 4:00 p.m. in Courtroom No. 2, of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pa. Upon violation of this order the court may hold respondent in indirect criminal contempt and the sentence may include imprisonment up to six months or a fine not to exceed $1,000.00 or both.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

(copies to Petitioner, respondent, and appropriate Crawford County Law Enforcement Agencies, by atty.)

Mar. 16, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Donald J. Seeley personally the within named defendant by handing a true copyof the within Petition for Protective Order to him on March 11, 1988 at 4:05 PM at Mental Health Unit of Meadville Medical Center, Grove Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar 22, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, March 17, 1988, after informal hearing, the defendant is directed not to harass, annoy or threaten his wife in any fashion or procure other to do so on his behalf. He may not go to her place of residence for any purpose unless he is specifically invited in writing by his wife to do so.

The wife advises the Court this date that she has no objection to loaning the jointly owned truck now in her possession to Donald L. Seeley, son of her husband, for the purpose of going to the former marital residence in Spartansburg, Pennsylvania for the purpose of removing a welding set and related equipment, tools belonging to the husband, personal clothing and personal effects belonging to the husband. However, the household furniture shall remain until further Court Order or by agreement of the parties.

The wife has confiscated a number of firearms belonging to the husband and she is authorized to keep the same in her possession until further Order of Court or until there is an amicable return of the same or a disposition of the same under the divorce action.

This Order shall remain in effect for a period of one (1) year unless terminated earlier by Court Order or agreement of the parties.

Any Police Officer having reasonable grounds to believe that the defendant has annoyed, harassed, threated or assaulted the wife in this case may promptly apprehend the defendant husband and lodge him the Crawford County Jail and report said fact immediately to the Court, whereupon, a prompt hearing will be ordered.

The defendant at this time is a self-admitted patient to the Mental Health Center and his future release date is unknown at this time. The defendant will be responsible for payment of the costs in this case within a reasonable time after his release.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Mar. 22, 1988 Copies of order to Sidney Carlburg, and Defendant.

1988

Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
Thomas D. O'Shea

236.

THEODORE J. ALLEN

vs.

SUSAN SHREFFLER

Filed Mar. 11, 1988
@ 10:01 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓
William Pineo, Mediator
Mediation Costs 82.00

COMPLAINT FOR CHILD CUSTODY AND VISITATION

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 11 day of March 1988, in consideration of the within Petition, WILLIAM PINEO, ESQ. is hereby appointed Child Custody Mediator in this matter. The said child Custody Mediator shall conduct a conference attended by the parties and their counsel at such time and place as he directs. Attendance of the child and witnesses shall be at the discretion ofthe Mediator.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

April 6, 1988,Affidavit of Service filed.I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Custody Complaint certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford Co. PA. on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated March 15, 1988. The Defendant acknowledged service on March 17, 1988 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Thomas D. O'Shea, Esq.

May 11, 1988 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 9 day of May, 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Until Jeffrey shall have reached the age of 6 years, legal and physical custody is hereby awarded to Susan Shreffler, his mother. When Jeffrey shall have reached the age of 6 years, legal and physical custody shall be joint between the parties hereto.
2. Starting with Sunday, May 8 and ending Sunday, June 12, the father shall have visitation with his son, Jeffrey, from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. every Sunday. For the weekend of June 25 and July 9, the father shall have the right of visitation with his son on Saturday from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. and on Sunday from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. Starting with the weekend of July 23, the father shall have the right of visitation on alternate weekends from Saturday at 8:30 a.m. to Sunday at 6:30 p.m.
3. The Christmas holiday shall be divided so that Jeffrey shall spend from noon on Christmas Eve to noon on Christmas Day with one parent and noon on Christmas Day to noon on December 26 with the other parent For Christmas 1988 the father shallh ave the first period and the mother, the second and the parties shall thereafter alternate the two Christmas holiday visitation periods. Other holiday are defined as Memorial day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving, Jeffrey's birthday, NewYear's Day and easter. Holiday visitations shall be from 8:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. The father shall have his son for Memorial Day, 1988 and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.
4. The father shall have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody for two weeks each summer. The father will give the mother thirty (30) days notice of the summer two-week period he wishes to select.
5. The parties have indicated to the Mediator that Jeffrey is presently undergoing counseling at the Meadville community Health Center. Both parties are directed to cooperate with the counseling and to speak with the counselors as required by the counseling service.
6. When transporting Jeffrey in an automobile, both parties shall take care that the automobile in which Jeffrey is being transported is equipped with proper car seats and that he is securely belted in.
7. Neither party shall be under the influence of alcohol or illegal drugs while having Jeffrey in his or her care and custody.
8. Each party shall teach Jeffrey to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the eyes of said child.
9. the parties shall cooperate with respect to providing transportation so that the provisions of this Order may be carried out.
10. The parties are free to make alternative or additional arrangements regarding custody and visitation. Such arrangements must be made by mutual consent, in the absence of which this ORder shall govern.
11. The Mediator has noted for the court that the father is without funds and is presently unemployed and that the mother has qualified for the services of Northwest Legal Services, Inc., and therefore costs and fees of this Mediation which are fixed at $82.00 are assessed to Crawford County.
12. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By The Court
P. Richard Thomas, J

May 11, 1988 Copies of order to Thomas O'Shea, Northwest Legal Service and William PIneo

1988

R. Charles Thomas
Bozic and Thomas

237.

Joan D. Mason

CHERYL A. DOUGHERTY

vs.

JEFFREY W. DOUGHERTY

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, ATTORNEY FEES & COSTS, PERMANENT ALIMONY

1 copy (atty)

Filed Mar. 11, 1988
@ 11:13 a/m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
4 counts paid	45.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 8/12/88	15.00
John Fuller, Mediator	
Mediation Costs	120.00
Pro Co pd 8/1/89	9.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 6/3/91	9.00 ✓
State pd 6/3/91	10.00 ✓

*John Fuller, Mediator 150.00

Aug. 12, 1988 Petition For Additional Remedies (CUSTODY) and Order filed. You, Cheryl A. Dougherty, have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody, or visitation with the children, Amber Audrey Dougherty and trevor Ross Dougherty.

And now, this 12th day of August, 1988, John Fuller, is hereby appointed mediator to her this matter.

You are ordered to appear in person at such times and places as shall be set for hearing in this matter, whether by the mediator or the Judge.

Neither party hereto is to remove the children from Crawford County, Pennsylvania until this matter is finally decided, either by way of custody mediation and recommended order which tem becomes final, or by way of order of the Judge. Whichever parent has the children inhis or her custody at the time of any mediation conference or hearing shall produce the children at the time scheduled for said conference or hearing.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFOR DONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
c/o Prothonotary
Crawford CCounty Courthouse
Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335
Telephone No.: (814) 724-4636

By the Court
Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 12, 1988 Copies of order to r. Charles Thomas, Joan Mason and John Fuller

Sept. 28, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, September 27, 1988, after hearing before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Amber audrey Dougherty and trevor Ross Dougherty shall be shared between the parents, that is each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affecting the children's education, religous instruction and medical treatment and that each parent shall be entitled to full access of all school records, medical records and other important records.

II. Physical custody of Amber audrey Dougherty and trevor ross Dougherty shall be with their mother, cheryl A. Dougherty, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody every other weekend, beginning September 30, 1988 from 6:30 p.m. Friday evening until sunday evening at 7:00 p.m. The father shall pick up the chidlren at the home of th emother on Friday evening and the mother shall pick up the chidlren at th ehome of the father on Sunday evening.

B. Beginning with the Summer of 1989 the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody on Father's Day (mid June) and for the week following fAther's Day. Unless the parties agree otherwise, the father shall pick up the chidlren on the Friday preceding Father's Day at 6:30 p.m. and return them to the home of the mother on the Sunday following Father's Day at 7:00 p.m.

C. The father shall be entitled to have the children inhis custody for one-week period in July and a one-week period in August each Summer and shall give the mother at least thirty(30) days notice of the week in which he intends to exercise such Summer visitaiton.

D. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, thanksgiving and Easter. The chidlren shall be in the home of th emother every Christmas Eve and Christmas morning. The father shall pick up the children at 12:00 Noon on Christmas Day and keep the children in his custody until December 31st at 12:00 noon. The children shall be in the home of the father on Thanksgiving Day on even numbered years an din th ehome of the mtoher on odd numbered years. The children shall be in th ehome of th emother on Easter Sunday on odd numbered years and in the home of th father on Easter Sunday on even numbered years. When the children are in th ehome of the father on Thanksgiving, and Easter, unless otherwise agreed between the parties, they shall be in his home from9:00 a.m. until 7:00 p.m. on such holidays. Holidays shall take precedence over weekend schedules.

E. Neither parent shall expose the children to any situation or condition which would be harmful to the children's health and well being. The father specifically shall not consume excessive amounts of alcoholic beverages on weekends or other times when the children are in his custody nor shall the father allow the children to consume alcoholic beverages. Additionally, the father shall not take the chidlren to establishments where alcoholic beverages are sold. On weekends when the children are in the father's custody, the father is encouraged to take the children to church.

F. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against th eother parent but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude onthe part of the chidlren towards both parents.

G. Both parents agree to in form the other of any illness or injury concerning the children and shall furnish the other parent with a current telephone number at all times.

This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions hereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

Cost of the mediation Conference shall be equally divided between the parties.

P. Richard Thomas, J

Oct. 3, 1988 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas, Joan Mason, Plaintiff Defendant and John Fuller

Oct. 24, 1988 Motion to schedule de novo hearing and order filed. And now, Oct. 24, 1988 Hearing set for Dec. 1, 1988 at 1:30 P.M. Robert L. Walker, J

Oct. 25, 1988 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas, Joan Mason and John Fulle

Dec. 2, 1988 Motion for Continuance and Order of Court filed. And Now, December 2nd, 1988 The denovo hearing in the above captioned case is rescheduled for the 14th day of Dec.,1988 at 2: o'clock p.m. in Court Room No. 1

Robert L. Walker, J.

Continued on margin

10/24/88 Rec'd $60.00 from Defendant

Rec'd 11-2-88 $60.00 Vivian Stalby

3/5/90 Rec'd $60.00 from Plaintiff

Rec'd 4-4-90 $60.00 Vivian Stolty

7/7/91 Rec'd $35.00 From Defendant

Aug. 1, 1991 paid Co. Comm $75.00

Continued on Page 589.

Dec. 6, 1988 copies of order to R.Chas. Thomas, Joan Mason and John Fuller, esqs.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

238.

HELEN MARIE HUNTER

vs.

VERNE HAROLD HUNTER

Filed Mar. 11, 1988
@ 3:12 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
3 counts paid	45.00
Pro Co pd 6/20/88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATION AND DISTRIBUTION, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS, EXPENSES, AND CHILD CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 14, 1988, Verification of Service filed. I, Verne Harold Junter, the defendant in the above action, do hereby verify that I was personally served by Bernadette M. Young, at firm of Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack, 360 Chestnut St, Meadville, Pa. 16335 with a cert. copy of divorce complaint filed at the above no. I verify that service was effected the 11th day of March 1988. I make this verification with notice that willful false statements are punishable as perjury under§4904 of the Pa. Crimes Code, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Dated: Mar. 11, 1988 Verne Harold Hunter.

June 15, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

June 17, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of defendant filed.

June 17, 1988 Separation And Property Settlement Agreement filed.

June 17, 1988 Upon praecipe of P laintiff Attorney To Transmit record this case moved to court.

June 28, 1988 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

1. **DIVORCE:** Upon consideration ofthe pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and Ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the aprties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. **EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINTION AND DISTRIBUTION:** This Decree provides that the marital property of the above named parties shall be divided in accordance with a Separation and Property settlement Agreement dated May 23, 1988, executed by the parties and filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania at the above captioned number and term.

This agreement, in part, provides that P laintiff shall have sole and exlusive possession of the marital residence located at RD #1 Box A-25 Hartstown, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, until said residence is sold and the proceeds therefrom distributed as provided in the May 23, 1988 Agreement of the parties under Paragraph 14 therein.

Further, the divisionof marital owned pe rsonal property shall be made in accordance with Paragraphs 11, 12 and 13 of the Separation and Property Settlement Agreement dated May 23, 1988,a s aforesaid.

3. **CHILD SUPPORT**: The issue of Child Support is addressed pursuant to the Order of the Crawford County Domestic Relations Office, Meadville, Pennsylvania see Paragraph 16 of the May 23, 1988 Separation and Property Settlement Agreement.

4. **CHILD CUSTODY:** This Decree provides that custody of the children shall be shared legally with Wife having primary physical custody of the children. Husband is entitled to liberal visitation, as a minimum, of every other weekend. More specifically, the parties agreement to child custody and visitation is set forthin Paragraphs 17, 18 and 19 of the May 23, 1988 Separation and Property Settlement Agreement.

5. A copy of the Separation and Property Settlement Agreement of the parties, dated May 23, 1988, which sets out in further detail the agreement of the parties concerning the matters herein, shall be filed with this Decree in the Prothonotary's Office at the above number and term.

6. No other matters or causes of action provide dunder the Pennsylvania Divorce Code, are reserved by either of the parties.

This Order shall be effective as of this 28th day of June, 1988.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
Louis J. Stack

239.

Burton L. Fish

SPAULDING'S, INC.

vs.

BARTHOLOMEW SIDUN t/d/b/a
SIDUN'S VARIETY STORE

Filed Mar. 14, 1988
@ 11:11 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriffs costs 48.00
Pro Co pd 1/11/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Mar. 30, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, appeared, personally, Ned Anderson, dep. who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 21st day of Mar. 1988, at 12:44 p.m. he served complaint filed at No. AD 239 1988, Crawford County Pa. upon defendant Bartholomew Sidun, and on Sidun's Variety Store, at Erie County sheriff's office, 140 W. Sixth St, Erie County Court House, Erie County, Pa. by making known the contents thereof to Bartholomew Sidun and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of complaint received from Crawford County. Ned Anderson, Dep. sheriff
Roland N. Michel, Sheriff

Served Bartholomew Siden by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: March 21, 1988.

Served Sidun's variety Store by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie county, Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Mar. 21, 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

June 16, 1988 Answer to Complaint Filed. (2copies Attys)

July 20, 1988 Reply To New Matter filed.

Sept. 7, 1988, Upon praecipe of attorney for plaintiff, this case placed on next non-jury Trial List.

ON OCTOBER 1988 TRIAL LIST - NON JURY

Sept. 27, 1988 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Sept. 26, 1988, this case is continued to the February trial list. If the original defendant intendss to file a motion to join an additional defendant beyond the time in which such joinder was permissible as a matter of right, he shall file his motion to do so within one week of this date; otherwise, such motion will not be considered.
By Order Of The Court,
Robert L. Walker, Judge
Sept. 28, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Louis Stack, Burton Fish.

Oct 3, 1988 Motion to Add Addition Defendant and Order filed: Oct 3, 1988 Denied.
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct 4, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Stack and Fish.

Nov. 4, 1988 Motion for Non-Jury Trial date filed.

Nov. 4, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, upon consideration of Plaintiff's motion and by reason of the fact that this case was originally on the October Civil Trial List, it is hereby ordered that this case shall be set for a Non-Jury Civil Trial on the 21st day of Dec., 1988 in Courtroom 1 or 2 at 9:15 a.m.
BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J.

Nov. 9, 1988 copies of order to Attys. Louis Stack, & Burton Fish.

Dec. 21, 1988 VERDICT filed. AND NOW, Dec. 21, 1988, the Court and counsel having been advised that neither the Defendant nor his counsel intends to appear for this trial, and adequate evidence having been presented on behalf of the Plaintiff, Plaintiff is awarded damages against the Defendant in the amount of $9,764.83 with interest at the legal rate from Dec. 17, 1987, together with the costs of suit. If no request for post-verdict relief is filed within ten (10) days, the Prothonotary shall upon praecipe enter this award as a judgment against the Defendant.

By Order of the Court,
Robert L. Walker, Judge
Dec. 22, 1988 Copy of Verdict to Atty. Burton Fish. (Dec. 21, 1988 Copy of Verdict to Atty. Louis Stack).

Dec 21, 1988 Exhibits filed with papers/

And now, Jan. 11, 1989 at 2:02 p.m. uponpraecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, judgment is hreby entered upon the verdict, in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, in the sum of Nine Thousand, Seven Hundred Sixty-four and 83/100 with interest at the legal reate from december 17, 1987, together with the cost of suit.

S-23-183
judgment entered 1/11/89

Debt $9,764.83
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX 1989-54

240

1988

Spadafore, Vardaro,
Walker & Johnson
Karen S. Johnson

240.

RICHARD C. CAMPBELL

vs.

DIANNA C. CAMPBELL

Filed Mar. 14, 1988
@ 11:16 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co pd 3/1/89 9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Mar 21, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: Vicki L. Bradshaw, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the secretary to Karen S. Johnson, Esquire, Attorney for the Plaintiff in this action and certifies that on March 17, 1988 she delivered to the Post Office in Meadville, Pa for delivery to Dianna C. Campbell at 180 Murray Road, Meadville, Pa 16335 by certified mail, return receipt requested, delivery restricted to addressee only, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce in this matter for which deponent received at the time receipt for certified article No. P-547-803-564 which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Thereafter on March 18, 1988, it was returned to Karen S. Johnson, return receipt card bearing the same number and signed by Diana C. Campbell said return receipt card being attached hereto as Exhibit "B".
Vicki L. Bradshaw

Feb. 28, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 28, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 28, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Mar. 8, 1989 D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .

And now, March 8, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that RICHARD C. CAMPBELL, Plaintiff, and DIANA C. CAMPBELL, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Legal Aid
Brian Lindsay

241.

SUSAN M. O'DAY

vs.

MARK PAEZ

Filed Mar. 14, 1988
@ 11:51 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
William Pineo, Mediator

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Mark Paez, dft, have been sued in court to obtain custody of Erica Marie O'Say-Paez.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by WILLIAM PINEO, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County BAr Association, Ser. to the Public Committee, 360 Chestnut St., Meadville Pa. 16335 Telephone: 814 724-7719

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dated: Mar. 14, 1988

Mar. 22, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, BRIAN LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Susan M. O'Day and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 15th day of March, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested to Mark Paez a true and correct copy of the Complaint for Custody at his last known address of 429 Kawkapolei St., apt. 32 Waikiki, Hawaii 96815 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 276 200 216 and that on the 21st day of March, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 18th day of March, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Dated: 3/21/88

Brian Lindsay

April 15, 1988 Order of Court filed. AND Now, this 15 day of April, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing provisions and upon the Agreement of the parties hereto, the provisions of the within Consent Custody Agreement are hereby entered as an Order of this Court.

By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas,J.

April 15, 1988 Copies of Order to Attorney Brian Lindsay.

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

242.

PATRICIA M. COTTON

vs.

JOHN M. HODEN

Filed Mar. 14, 1988
@ 12:44 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 8/29/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

Apr. 15, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared JOSEPH C. BARNHART, ESQ., who did depose and say that he is the attorney for the P laintiff in the above-captioned matter and that he did serve a certified copy of a Complaint in Divorce upon the Defendant, at his address by United States certified mail. Delivery of same being evidenced by postal return receipt #P 620 643 114 dated April 12, 1988 attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and seal this 13th day of April, 1988.
Joseph C .Barnhart,Esq

Aug. 23, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 29, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug. 29, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Sept. 14, 1988 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 14 day of Sept., 1988 IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:
1. Upon consideration of the pleadings and Affidavits filed in the above-captioned matter, the court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.
2. No other matters or causes of actions provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code of 1980, as amended, are reserved by either of the parties.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman,
Berlin, May Walsh & Brabender

Stephen H. Hutzelman

243.

MARINE MIDLAND BANK

vs.

VICKIE A. RICHARDS

Filed Mar. 14, 1988
@ 12:51 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's costs 20.00
Pro Co pd 4/18/88 9.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

March 30, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED and Made known to Vickie A. Richards personally by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on Mar. 22, 1988 at 1:25 P.M. at her place of residence, R D #5, Meadville, Crawford Co., Pa.
So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And now, Apr. 18, 1988 at 10:56 AM upon Stipulation of both parties judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and Against the defendant, as agreed to in the Stipulation, in the amount of One Thousand Thirty-eight and 46/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

R-164

Judgment entered 4/18/88

Debt $1038.46
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

244

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

244.

Guy Kevin Swails
pro se

RHONDA D. McKISSICK

vs.

GUY KEVIN SWAILS

Filed Mar. 14, 1988
@ 12:57 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 6/24/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

Mar. 16, 1988 Acceptance Of Service and Entry of Appearance, Pro Se filed. I, Guy Kevin Swails, hereby enter by appearance, pro se and durther accept service of a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce as filed in the above captioned matter
Dated: March 14, 1988 Guy Kevin Swails

June 24, 1988, Plaintiffs Affidavit of Consent to Divorce filed.

June 24, 1988, Defendants Affidavit of Consent to Divorce filed.

June 24, 1988, Upon praeicpe of plaintiff attorneys,to transmit the divorce, this case moved to court.

July 6, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, July 6, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

R H O N D A D . M c K I S S I C K and G U Y K E V I N S W A I L S

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Mason and Mason
JOan Mason

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

245.

R. Charles Thomas

BONNIE BARGAR

vs.

ANDREW BARGAR

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Filed Mar. 14, 1988
@ 2:41 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
William Pineo, Mediator 69.50
Pro Co Pd 5/5/88 15.00
Pro Co Pd 7/9/90 9.00
1 count paid 11/27/90-15.00
state paid 5.00
Pro Co pd 4/2/91 9.00
State pd 4/2/91 10.00

8/21/89 Recd 43.75 from plaintiff

Recd 9-6-89
$43.75
Vivian Stoltz

3/6/90 Recd $34.75 from Defendant

Recd 3-1-90
$34.75
Vivian Stoltz

Apr. 29, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, Terry Toomey, Esquire, Attorney for the Plaintiff, do hereby certify that:

1. I am the attorney of record for the Plaintiff, Bonnie L. Bargar, in the above-captioned Divorce action.

2. on March 15, 1988 I sent a copy of the Complaint in Divorce to the Defendan tby certified mail, return receipt requested, directed to his residence at 302 Poplar Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania 16335.

3. The Defendant apparently received the Complaint in Divorce as evidence by his signature on the attached return receipt.

4. Attached hereto is a copy of the receipt for certified mail and the return receipt bearing the Defendant's signature.

I verify that the statements madein this Affidavit of Service are true and correct. I understand that false statements made herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Dated: 4/26/88 Terry Toomey

May 5, 1988, Petition for Additional Remedies (custody) filed. ORDER: You, Andrew Barger, have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody, or visitation with the child, Benjamin Brain Bargar.

And Now, this 6 day of May 1988, WILLIAM PINEO is herebyb appointed mediator to hear this matter.

You are ordered to appear in person at such times and places as shall be set for hearing in this matter, whether by the mediator or the Judge.

Neither party is to remove the chld from Crawford County, Pa., until this matter is finally decided, either by way of custodymediation and recommended order which then becomes final or by way of order of the Judge. Whichever parent has the child in his or her custody at the time of any mediation conference or hearing, shall produce the child at the time scheduled for said conference or hearing.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer, or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford county Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Comm. 310/312 Chestnut St, Meadville, Pa. 16335 Tele No. 814 724-7719.

1 copy (atty) 1 copy (mediator)

By the Court,
P. Richard thomas, J

June 10, 1988 9:48 A.M. AFfidavit of SErvice Filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, Attroney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on May 10, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Andrew Bargar by certified mail #P-547 808 374, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a Petition for Additional Remedies in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Andrew Bargar on May 16, 1988 as indicated by the retukrn receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Attorney

Aug 2, 1989 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 2nd day of August, 1989, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child, Benjamin Brian Barger.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education and the major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel and other persons or entities having confidential information about said child are hereby authorized to divulge to either party such information.

3. The parties shall alternate primary physical custody with Benjamin residing each month from the 1st to the 15th with his father and from the 15th through the end of the month with his mother.

4. Holiday visitation and custodial arrangements shall be made by the parties so that each will have a fair division of holiday visitation time.

5. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love, respect and obedience which is due a parent from his or her child.

6. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each pary shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.

7. The parties are free to make alternative or additional arrangements regarding visitation and custody and are encouraged to do so where that is convenient and proper. Said arrangements shall be by mutual agreement only in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

8. The Mediator has noted for the Court that the parties are going to attempt a negotiation of the daycare arrangements regarding Benjamin, based on their mutual work scheduled and so forth. If they cannot agree, then they may request further Mediation but the request must be accompanied by a detailed proposal.

9. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $69.50, and divided equally between the parties with the share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

10. Either party may move the court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J

Aug 4, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. Thomas, Mason and Pineo's boxes and copies mailed to Plaintiff and defendant.

Continued on Page 571

1988

Joseph F. Kulwicki

246.

LEE LYBARGER

vs.

MARIE LYBARGER

Filed Mar. 14, 1988
@ 4:07 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 6/16/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 23, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. LEE A. EVANS, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an employee of Jos. F. Kulwicki, Esq., attorney for the plaintiff, and as such on Mar. 14, 1988, at approximately 4:05 p.m., she hand deliver ed a certified copy of the Divorce Complaint to the Defendant at the office of Jos. F. Kulwicki, Esq., 891 Grove St., Meadville, Pa.

FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT.

Lee A. Evans

June 14, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 14, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 14, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

June 27, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D.**

AND NOW, June 27, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

L E E L Y B A R G E R and M A R I E L Y B A R G E R

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Jack, Kookogey and Felton
John S. Kookogey

247

LARRY A. ARMSTRONG, JOHN H. ARMSTRONG, AND KARINA M. ARMSTRONG, HIS WIFE, AND GEORGE HERR

vs.

CHARLES THOMAS SLIGER, AND ELIZABETH R. SLIGER, HIS WIFE, THEIR RESPECTIVE HEIRS, PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVES AND ASSIGNS

Filed Mar. 15, 1988
@ 11:06 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Pro Co Pd 5-24-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT - ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

Mar. 16, 1988 Affidavit, Motion For Leave To Serve By Publication and Order filed. AND NOW, March 15, 1988, on Motion of JOhn S. Kookogey, Attorney for Plaintiffs in the above-captioned matter leave is hereby granted to serve CHARLES THOMAS SLIGER, and ELIZABETH R. SLIGER, his wife, and their repsective heirs, per sonal representatives, and assigns, generally, Defendants in said Action, by publication of a notice of the facts set forth in the Complaint in the Meadville Tribune and the crawford County Legal Journal newspapes of general circulation, one (1) time and requiring the said Defendants to plead within twenty (20) days from last date of publication thereof.

PER CURIAM,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Mar. 21, 1988 Copy of order to John S. Kookogey

Apr. 22, 1988 Affidavit, Motion for Judgment and Order filed. AND NOW, this 22 day of April, 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing motion and due consideration thereof, it it hereby ORDERED AND DECREED that the Defendants, CHARLES THOMAS SLIGER and ELIZABETH R. SLIGER, his eife, and their heirs, personal representatives, and assigns, are forever barred from asserting any right, title, lien or interest inconsistent with the claim of the Plaintiffs as set forthin the Complaint in this case, of, in, or any way attacking the title of the plaintiffs in said property; fromissuing or conveying the same or any part thereof; unless the defendants, CHARLES THOMAS SLIGER and ELIZABETH R. SLIGER, his wife, and their heirs, personal representatives and assigns, within thirty (30) days fromand after the entry of this Decree, the same shall be final. If such action is not taken within said thirty day period, the Prothonotary, on praecipe of the Plaintiffs, shall enter judgment.

PER CURIAM,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Apr. 26, 1988 Copies of order to John S, Kookogey

May 24, 1988 @ 3:35 P.M., upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney, final judgment is hereby entered in this case, in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendants, pursuant to the foregoing Order of Court.

S-31, 51

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

May 24, 1988 Judgment entered.

1988

JaCK, Kookogey and Felton
Robert J. Felton

248.

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso and Gamble
Ann H. Gamble

ROBERT W. GOODWILL

vs.

RITA A. GOODWILL

Filed Mar. 15, 1988
@ 11:10 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co Pd 11/17/88	30.00
Pro.Co. pd 6/19/94	9.00
State pd 6/19/94	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTIONS 201(c) & 201(a)(6) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

July 21, 1988 Affidavit of Service of Robert J. Felton filed. I Robert J. Felton, Esq., 114 W. Spring St., Titusville, PA., Attorney for Robert W. Goodwill, Plaintiff in the above action, do hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed at the above term and number upon the Defendant, Rita A. Goodwill, 114 N. Monroe ST, Titusville, PA 16354, by mailing the same to her by certified mail No P 620 653 648, the same being posted at the Titusville, PA Post Office on March 17, 1988, the same having been received by the Defendant on March 18, 1988, as verified by the return receipt attached hereto.

Robert J. Felton

Nov. 16, 1988 Petition for Related Claims in Divorce of Equitable distribution, Alimony, Attorney Fees, Costs and expenses filed.

Nov. 16, 1988 Motion for Hearing and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 16 dayof November, 1988, hearing on Defendants Claim for Alimony Pendente Lite is set for the 8th dayof December, 1988, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom NO. 1 or 2 of the Crawford CountyCourt House, Meadville, Pa.

Imogene Yetman, ct. Adm.

Nov. 16, 1988, copies of order to R. Felton, and A. Gamble, Esqs.

De c 6, 1988 Motion to Continue hearing set for Dec. 8, 1988 and Order filed. Order: AND NOW, this 6th day of December, 1988, hearing on the above matter is continued to 24th of Jan. 1989, at 9:00 o'clock a.m., in Court room # 1 or #2 of the Crawford County Court House, in Meadville, Pennsylvania.

Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator

Dec. 9, 1988 copies of order to R.Felton and A. Gamble, esqs.

Jan 24, 1989 Plaintiff's Income and Expense Statement filed.

Jan 25, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, January 24, 1989, the matter is before the Court on a request for alimony pendente lite, counsel fees and costs. At the time of the hearing, Defendant/wife withdraws any claim for alimony pendente lite.

It appears neither of the parties have substantial income, and the Plaintiff/Husband runs a marginal dairy farm and has not additional part time job. It appears no income taxes have been filed lately and the Husband contends the farm operation is a losing proposition. The Wife resides with a friend, receives $195 per month Welfare payments and has applied for SSI, because of severe physical problems.

The parties mutually agree that they will employ Ray Adams to appraise the real estate and buildings on the farm, and that they will employ Bruce Nichols to make an appraisal of the farm equipment, dairy herd and household goods, some of which are located at the wife's residence at R.D#2, Centerville. Both parties agree to be bound by these appraisals as fixing the value of the assets. In this regard, it appears the farm real estate was brought into the marriage in the husband's name and still remains in his name, and that the equitable marital interest in this real estate will be in the increase in value of the property since the marriage in 1971.

Each party will be responsible for paying one-half (½) of the appraiser fees.

In regard to counsel fees, the Husband contends he is near bankruptcy, but the court believes he should contribute something towards the attorney fees to allow his wife to defend this action and establish her marital interest. Accordingly, the Court awards initially $500.00 in attorney fees with $250.00 to be paid by February 1, 1989 and other $250.00 by March 1, 1989. This Order is entered without prejudice to the right of the wife to repetition for additional counsel fees after May 1, 1989 if the matter becomes protracted in litigation.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, PJ

Jan 26, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Felton and Gamble.

May 4, 1994, Motion to Withdraw Claims and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 3 day of May, 1994, Defendant's Motion to withdraw claims for equitable distribution, alimony, counsel fees, costs and expenses is hereby granted and the said claims are hereby dismissed.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 4, 1994, copies faxed to Gamble and Felton, attys.

Jun. 10, 1994 Affidavit of Consent of RobertW. Goodwill, Plaintiff, filed.

Jun. 10, 1994 Affidavit of Consent of Rita A. Goodwill, Defendant,filed.

Jun. 10, 1994 UponPraecipe of Robert J. Felton, Esquire,Attorney for Plaintiff to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

June 20, 1994 AND NOW, June 15, 1994, it is hereby ordered and decreed that ROBERT W. GOODWILL, Plaintiff, and RITA A. GOODWILL, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

1988

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis E. Blystone

249.

TAYLOR - RAMSEY CORPORATION

vs.

ABRAHAM M. COZZENS AND CHARLES WHEELER, THEIR HEIRS AND ASSIGNS

Filed Mar. 15, 1988
@ 1:14 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co pd 6/8/88 9.00

COMPLAINT IN ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

Mar. 18, 1988 Motion For Service By Publication Pursuant To Pa.R.C.P. No. 430 and Order filed. AND NOW, this 18th day of March, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, plaintiff's counsel is Ordered and Directed to make service of the Complaint in Action to Quiet Title on the defendants, Abraham M. Cozzens and Charles Wheeler, their heirs and assigns, by advertising a notice ofthe action once in the Crawford County Legal Journal and once inthe Meadville Tribune Newspaper, being a newspaper of general circulation in Crawford County, in accordance with Pa. R.C.P. No. 430(b). BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 22, 1988 Copy of order to Curtis Blystone

May 4, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. CURTIS E. BLYSTONE,deposes and says that he is counsel for the plaintiff in the above captioned case and, as such makes this affidavit on behalf of the said Pl aintiff:

That on March 18, 1988, this HOnorable Court entered an Order in this case ordering and directing counsel for the p laintiff to make service of the Complaint in Action to Quiet Title on the defendant, Abraham M. Cozzens and Chaarles Wheeler, their heirs and assigns,by publication advertising notice of the action once in the Crawford Count Legal Journal and once in The Meadville Tribune Newspaper, a newspaper of general circulation in Crawford County in accordance with Pa. R.C.P. 430(b);

That, in accordance withthe Court's Order of March 18, 1988, notice of the action was published in The Meadville Tribune Newspaper on March 29, 19 as shown by the Proof of Publication of Notice in The Meadville Tribune attached hereto;

That, in accordance with the court's Order of March 18, 1988, notice of the action was published in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 6, 1988, as shown by the Proof of Publication of NOtice in the Crawford County Legal Journal attached hereto;

That more than twenty (20) days have elapsed from the date of completion of service upon the defendant, Abraham M. Cozzens and Charles Wheeler, their heirs and assigns, by publication as aforesaid and no appearance has been entered or answer filed on behal of the defendants, Abraham M. Cozzens and Charles Wheeler, their heirs and assigns.

I verify that the statements made inthis Affidavit of Service are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities. Curtis E. Blystone

May 4, 1988 Motion for Judgment Pursuant To Pa. R.C.P. No. 1066 and Order filed. AND NOW, May 4, 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing Motion and after due consideration thereof, it is hereby ordered and decreed that fee simple title to all of the real estate described in paragraph 2 of the plaintiff's complaintif is vested in the plaintiff, Taylor - Ramsey Corporation; that the defendants, abraham M. Cozzens and Charles Wheeler, their heirs and assigns, are forever barred from asserting any right, lien, title or interestin and to the real estate, or any portion thereof, described in paragraph 2 of the plaintiff's complaint inconsistent with the interest or claim of the plaintiff as set forth in the plaintiff's complaint, unless the said defendants, Abraham M. Cozzens and charles Wheeler, or either of them, or their respective heirs and assigns, take such action as herein directed within thirty (30) days after the entry of this judgment. It is ordered and decreed that, if no petition to open this judgment or set aside this decree is presented by the defendants, Abraham M. Cozzens and charles Wheeler, or either of them, or their respective heirs and assigns, within thirty (30) days from and after the entry of this decree, the same shall be come final. If said action is not taken within thirty (30) days, the Prothonotary, on praecipe of the plaintiff, shall enter final judgment.
Robert L. Walker, J

May 6, 1988 Copies of order to Curtis Blystone

And Now, June 8, 1988, at 2:30 p.m., upon praecipe of p laintiff's attorney, final judgment is her eby entered in this case, in favor of the Plaintiffs and against the defendants, pursuant to the foregoing Order of Court.

C-13-31 FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

250

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

250.

ROBERT W. PHILLIPS, JR.

vs.

EDITH M. PHILLIPS

Filed Mar. 15, 1988
@ 11:15 a.m.

Tax & Entry Paid $40.50 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(d) OF DIVORCE CODE

2 copies (atty)

Mar. 15, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed. 2 copies (atty)

Mar. 29, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according law to law, depose and say that I did on March 21, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Edith M. Phillips by certified mail #P-547 808 334, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Edith M. Phillips on March 22, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the P laintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.
Joan D. Mason

July 7, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 3-29-88. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney. If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever. I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.
Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 7, 1997 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Gamble & Petruso
Ann Hansen Gamble

251.

DEBRA S. WAKEFIELD

vs.

THEODORE J. WAKEFIELD

Filed Mar. 15, 1988
@ 1:00 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 8/29/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 18, 1988 Acceptance Of service filed. I, Theodore J. Wakefield, do hereby accept service of the Notice to Defend and Claim Rights, Notice of Availability of Counseling and of the Complaint in Divore, filed in the above captioned matter this 16th day of March, 1988. Theodore J. Wakefield

July 29, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 29, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug. 29, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Sept. 14, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, September 14, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heetofore existing between

DEBRA S. WAKEFIELD and THEODORE J. WAKEFIELD

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

252

1988

MacDonald Illig Jones
& Britton
James M. Antoun

252.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK
OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

DANIEL T. LEECH

Filed Mar. 15, 1988
@ 2:47 p.m.

Pro Simmons Paid $9.00 ✓
Plff on orig. 32.00
Sat. Pd 6/18/91 - 5.00 ✓

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 - 231

The undersigned hereby agrees that judgment be revived and aut authorizes the prothonotary to index against the defendant in the sum of Four Hundred Twenty Thousand and 00/100 dollars plus costs, with a current balance of $51,807.54.

Debt ------ $420.000.00 (bal. of $51,807.54)
Dated------March 9, 1988
L - 46----JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

June 18, 1991 This Judgment
Satisfied.
(See paper filed)

1988

MacDonald, Illig,
Jones & Britton
James M Antoun

253.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK
OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

LEETECH, INC.

Filed Mar. 15, 1988
@ 2:47 p.m.

Pro Simmons Paid $9.00
plff on orig. 32.00
Sat. Pd 6/18/91 5.00

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 - 233

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment entered on Mar. 30, 1983 be revived and lien continued and authorizes Prothonotary to index against defendant in the amount of Four Hundred Twenty Thousand and 00/100 Dollars; balance of Fifty One Thousand Eight Hundred Seven and 54/100 Dollars.

Debt ----- $420,000.00 (bal of $51,807.54)
Dated------ March 9, 1988
L - 185 JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons, Jr.
Prothonotary

June 18, 1991: This Judgment Satisfied.
(see Papers filed)

1988

MacDonald Illig
Jones & Britton
James M. Antoun

254.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK
OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

DANIEL J. LEECH

Filed Mar. 15, 1988
@ 2:47 P.M.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00 ✓
plff on orig 41.00
Sat. Pd 6/18/91 5.00

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 - 234

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment entered to DSB 1983 - 234 be revived and lien continued and prothonotary indexes against defendant in the orig. amt. of Four Hundred Twenty Thousand and 00/100 dollars; bal. being $51,807.54.

Debt ----- $420,000.00 - bal of $51,807.54
Dated----- Mar. 9, 1988
L - 46 JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

June 18, 1991 This Judgment Satisfied. (see papers filed)

1988

Brian Lindsay
Legal Aid

255.

MARSHA S. LAZORISHAK

vs.

JAY LAZORISHAK

Filed Mar. 15, 1988
@ 4:13 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co Paid	9.00
Pro Co pd 6/14/89	9.00
State pd 6/14/89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 11, 1988, Notice of ELigibility/Retainer Agreement filed.

April 27, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, BRIAN LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Marsha S. Lazorishak and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did , on the 16th day of March, 1988,, send by Certified Mail, Addressee ONly, Return Receipt Requested to Jay Lazorishak a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce at his last known address of 210 56 8254, HHC 1/503D INF (First), APO SF 96224 as evidenc ed by the ATTACHED SENDER"S RECEIPT No. P 276 200 218 and that on the 25th day of April 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 8th day of April 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subjec t to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Brian Lindsay, Esq.

Dec. 30, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, December 30, 1988, the defendant having filed a pro se application for stay in accordance with the Soldiers' and Sailors' Civil Relief Act, this proceeding is stayed until the defnedant is discharged from the military service and no longer entitled to the protection of the Soldiers' and Sailors' Civil Relief Act, or until such time as a further order of court may be made upon application of any party in interest and for good cause shown.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Dec. 30, 1988 Copies sent by Judges Office

June 14, 1989 Motion To Discontinue Stay of the Divorce and Order filed. And now, June 14, 1989 The above motion allowed.

P. R. Thomas, J

June 19, 1989 Copy of order to Bruce Barrett

June 14, 1989 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attonrey For Review Under Section 201(d) of Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

June 22, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, June 22, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that MARSHA LAZORISHAK, plaintiff and JAY LAZORISHAK, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

~~Mason and Mason~~
~~Joan D. Mason~~
(withdrawn)
256.

CLARA B. RAMEY

vs.

RONALD L. RAMEY

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY;ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES AND COURT COSTS

1 copy (atty)

Filed Mar. 16, 1988
@ 9:22 a.m.

Indigent filing
Pro Co Pd 4-13-89 9.00
State Paid 4-13-89 10.00

Mar. 16, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed IN Forma Pauperis, Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 16 day of March 1988, upon consideration of the Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, an order is hereby granted for leave to the plaintiff to proceed in forma pauperis. The court reserves the right to allocate costs at a later date.

1 copy (atty) Per Curiam,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Mar. 16, 1988, Motion to sc hedule hearing on Count III of divorce relating to Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees and Costs and order filed.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

ORDER: AND NOW, 3/16/88 Hearing March 31, 1988, at 1:30 P.M.

Mar. 16, 1988, copies of order to Attys Mason.

Mar. 31, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. Joan D.Mason, Esq. atty. for plaintiff in the above case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on Mar. 21, 1988 mail to the above dft.,by cert. mail P-547 808 336, ret. rec. req. deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above action. Said notice was received by Ronald L. Ramey on Mar. 22, 1988, as indicated by the ret. rec. card which was returned to the office of the plaintiff attorney and is attaCHED HERETO. Joan D.Mason, Atty.

May 4, 1988 Motion to reschedule hearing on A. P.L. and Order filed. And now, May 4, 1988 Hearing set for June 2, 1988 at 1:30 P.M. CR 1

Robert L. Walker, J

May 6, 1988 Copy of order to Joan Mason

Nov. 30, 1988, Motion to Allow Atty. to Withdraw, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, 11/30/88. Granted.

P. R. Thomas, J.

Dec. 2, 1988, copy to J. Mason, Esq.

Apr 11, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr 11, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

April 11, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Apr. 11, 1989 Motion to Withdraw Counts II & III and Order filed. And Now, April 24, 1989, the above motion allowed.

Robert L. Walker, J

Apr. 24, 1989 Copy put in Douglas Ferguson's box.

Apr. 24, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, April 24, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that CLARA B. RAMEY, plaintiff, and RONALD L. RAMEY, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Kathleen R. Nelson

257.

6/20/88 Recd. $30.00 from Defendant

Recd 7-5-88
$30.00
Vivian Stalty

7/27/88 Recd. $30.00 from Defendant

Recd 11-2-88
$30.00
Vivian Stalty

KAREN K. YONEK

vs.

EARL H. GORECK, SR.

Filed Mar. 16, 1988
@ 9:29 a.m.

Indigent filing

John Fuller, Mediator ... 120.00

PETITION FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (mediator)
1 copy (atty)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Earl H. Goreck, Sr. have been sued in Court to obtain custody of the children, Marcia Goreck and Earl Goreck, Jr.

You are ordered to appear in person at the time and place selected by JOHN FULLER, Custody Mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody, or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, goto or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Allegheny County Bar assoc. Lawyer Referral service, 920 City-County Building, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15219, Telephone 412 261-0518

BY THE COURT,
Dated: 3/16/88
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Mar. 16, 1988, Praecipe to proceed in forma pauperis filed.

Mar. 16, 1988, Petition for Leave toProceed In Forma Pauperis and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 16 day of March 1988, upon consideration of the within Petition, it is hereby ordered that leave is granted for the Petitioner, to proceed In forma Pauperis.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Apr. 4, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. KATHLEEN R. NELSON, ESQ., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned Action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Petition for Custody was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on March 17, 1988.
3. The registered mail was sent to Mr. Earl H. Goreck, Sr., 914 Woodland Avenue, McKeesport, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania 15132.
4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on March 18, 1988. Said receipt card number P 725 345 077 is attached hereto and signed by Earl H. Goreck.

Kathleen R. Nelson

May 27, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, May 27, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, and based upon an agreement of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Marcis Goreck and Earl H. Gorek, Jr. shall be with their mother, Karen K. Yonek.

II. Physical custody of Marcia Goreck and Earl H. Goreck, Jr. shall be with their mother, Karen K. Yonek, subject to the following:

(a) The father, Earl H. Goreck, Sr., shall be able to visit and take the children into his custody one weekend a month, that being the first full weekend of each month, beginning June 3, 1988, from approximately 6:00 p.m. Friday evening until 6:00 p.m. Sunday evening.

(b) If visitations between the children and their father go well it is anticipated that the parties will expand the father's visits to two weekends a month by a consent agreement. Additionally, if weekend visits go well it is anticipated that the parties by consent agreement provide a two week summer visitation between the children and the father.

(c) Holidays shall be defined as Thanksgiving, Christmas and Easter. The children shall be in the home of the father on Thanksgiving Day of 1988 and Easter of 1989. The children shall be in the home of the mother on Christmas Day of 1988. In 1989 the children shall be in the home of the mother on Thanksgiving Day and in the home of the father on Christmas Day. On Christmas Day when the children are in the home of the mother, the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody on December 23 and December 24th.

(d) Transportation of the children on weekends and holidays shall be provided by the father.

(e) Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

(e) The order shall be a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days from the date hereof.

(f) Cost of the mediation conference shall be shared between Crawford County and the father.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

May 31, 1988 Copies of order to Kathleen Nelson, Defendant and John Fuller, Esq.

1988

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

258.

JAMES E. PALM

vs.

JEANETTE MARIE NORR

Filed Mar. 16, 1988
at 3:48 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50

COMPLAINT FOR VISITATION

Mar. 21, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 21, 1988, this action having been filed by the plaintiff as a complaint for visitation and partial custody, the complaint is stricken as having been improperly filed since there is already an order in existence in this court at A.D. 1985 - 104 in relation to custody and visitation, and any request for further disposition should be by way of a petition filed in that proceedding for modification of the existing order. The complaint is stricken without prejudice to the right of the defendant at A.D. 1985 - 104 who is the alleged plaintiff in this action, to file a petition in the original case for modification.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar. 22, 1988, copies of order to Atty. Barrett.

1988

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

259.

WITTMANN ENGINEERING

vs.

DENNIS BUBNA, INDIVIDUALLY
AND d/b/a D & B CUSTOM WIRING

Filed Mar. 16, 1988
@ 3:51 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	26.10
Pro Co Pd 5/3/88	9.00 ✓
Pro Co Rtd 8/15/88	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Apr. 5, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Dennis Bubna within named defendant on March 22, 1988 at 1:49 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to John Groover, person in charge, at R.D. #8, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED D & B Custom Wiring within named defendant on March 22, 1988 at 1:50 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to John Groover, person in charge at R.D.#8, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, May 3, 1988 at 4:29 P.M., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with default notice copy attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, for failure to file answer, in the sum of One Thousand Eight Hundred Forty Four and 00/100 Dollars.
B - 43 Debt - $1,844.00
D - 186
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Judgment entered- 5/3/88

Ex 1988-66

Aug 16, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

260

1988

Bruce L. Smith

260.

RICHARD M. BEACH, JR.

vs.

KATHLEEN D. BEACH

Filed Mar. 17, 1988
@ 12:05 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Pro Co Pd 7-5-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

March 28, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed.Bruce L. Smith, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 21st day of March, 1988, he served the Complaint and Notice to Defend and Claim Rights in the above captioned matter on the above named Defendant by mailing a true and correct copy of said Complaint and Notice to Defend and Claim Rights to the Defendant at her residence at RD #3, McCracken Road, Cochranton, PA 16314, and that the Complaint and accompanying Notice to Defend and Claim Rights were received by the Defendant on March 24,1988, as evidenced by return receipt No. P-620 643 212 attached hereto, all pursuant to Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure No. 412.

Bruce L. Smith, Atty.

July 5, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

July 5, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 5, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

July 25, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, July 25, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

R I C H A R D M . B E A C H , J R . and K A T H L E E N D . B E A C H

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

2611

DIXIE L. FILEGAR

vs.

MARK A. FILEGAR

Filed Mar. 18, 1988
@ 9:20 a.m.

Tax & Entry Pa	$40.50
Pro Co	9.00

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE

2 copies (atty)

COURT ORDER:

AND NOW, this 18 day of March 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition , a hearing is set on this matter for the 24th day of March 1988, at 9:00 a.m. in Court Room No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pa.

In the meantime the defendatn is directed to refrain from abusing, threatening or harassing the plaintiff in any mannenr pending full hearing on this Petition. The defendant is admonished that a violation of this order may result in the court imposing sanctions upon him. The defendant isfurther advised that he may be represented by counsel at the time of the hearing in this matter. Costs and filing fees in this case are deferred until after the hearing. A copy of this Petition shall be served upon the defendant by certified mail, return receipt requested, and a copy of the same shall also be sent to the West Mead Township Police Department.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar. 18, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 24, 1988, at the time fixed for hearing in this matter, the parties have agreed that the following Order be entered, without an admission or denial on the part of any party as to the truth or falsity ofthe allegations of the petition:

1. The defendant shall not abuse, threaten to abuse or otherwise harass the plaintiff in any way and sahll not go to the plaintiff's residence except as otherwise herein provided.

2. The defendant shall have the ritht to obtain possession of his mechanic's tools, carpenter tools, four-drawer dresser, models, an H-O train, a ble desk and a 1970 Plymouth Baracuda, which is currently inoperable, within one week at a time to be agreed upon by the parties.

3. The defendant shall return to the marital domicile a stereo.

4. The defendant shall pay the costs of this proceeding.

5. The defendant shall be entitled to reasonable visitations with the parties' minor child, to be agreed upon by the parties, and if no agreement can be reached, to be adjudicated by the court either in a supplemental hearing in this proceeding or through any other proceeding in which the issues of custody and visitation are raised and the defendant shall be permitted to go to the marital domicile for the purpose of obtaining custody of the child of the parties for such visits, but shall in no event in any way harass the plaintiff during such contracts.

6. This order shall remain in full force and effect for a period of six (6) months from this date unless otherwise ordered by the court.

The Pennsylvania State police or any other Police agency shall have the right to enforce this order in accordance with the Protection From Abuse Act.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L.Walker, Judge

Mar. 28, 1988, copies of order to R. Charles Thomas, James R. Irwin and Defendant.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

262.

Joan Mason

7/5/88 Recd. $60.00 from Plaintiff

Recd 7-5-88
$60.00
Vivian Stalty

FLOYD E. KEBERT
Petitioner

vs.

LINDA KING
Respondent

IN RE:
MATTHEW KING, A MINOR

Filed Mar. 18, 1988
@ 9:37 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50
John Fuller, Mediator
Mediation Costs 120.00
Rec'd 7/5/88 9cm

PETITION FOR CUSTODY (UCCJA)

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 18th dayof March 1988, IT IS ORDERED THAT all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the Mediator, JOHN FULLER, at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford CountyCourt House with the child custody mediator as required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pa.

It is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the court, the aforesaid minor child shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Corut.

BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar 22, 1988 Service Verification filed: I, Leroy Chaney, Constable, do hereby verify that I did on the 21st day of March, 1988, at 10:40 A.M., did personally serve a certified copy of the custody peitition filed at the above term and number, upon the Respondent Linda King, at her place of employment Four Star Pizza, Penn Plaza, Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania.
Dated: March 21, 1988

Leroy Chaney,
Constable

May 17, 1988 Subpoena filed.

May 27, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, May 27, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Matthew King shall be shared between the father,Floyd E. Kebert, and the mother, Linda King, that is each sahll be entitled to partake fully in decisions affecting the child's education, religious instruction, medical treatment and other such important decisions and each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records.

II. Physical custody of Matthew King sahll be shared between the father, Floyd E. Kebert, and the mother, LInda King, as follows:

(a) The father sahll be entitled to physical custod y ofthe child every week beginning June 1, 1988, from Wednesday evening at 6:00 p.m. untl Sunday morning at 9:00 a.m.

(b) The mother shall be entitled to custod y of the child every week beginning June 5, 1988 from Sunday morning at 9:00 a.m. until Wednesday evening at 6:00 p.m.

(c) Transportation of the child to and from the home of the mother and father shall be provided by the father.

(d) This Order is entered without prejudice to th eright of either party to request further mediation conference or hearing befor ethe Court at any time after August 1, 1988, without proving a substantial change of circumstances.

(e) Neither mother nor father sahll say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything inthe power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

(f) This Order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days ofthe date hereof.

Costs of the mediation conference shall be equally divided between the mother and the father.

P. Richard Thomas, J

May 31, 1988 copies of order to James Irwin, Joan Mason, Plaintiff, Defendant and John Fuller

Aug. 5, 1988 Motion and Order filed. And now, Aug. 5, 1988, Referred back to Mediator John Fuller.

P.R. Thomas, J.

Aug. 5, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. James Irwin, Joan Mason and John Fuller.

Sept 19, 1988, after further mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, and based upon an agreement between the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Matthew King shall be shared between the father, Floyd E. Kebert, and the mother, Linda King, that is each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affecting the child's education, religious instruction, medical treatment and other such important decisions and each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records.

II. Physical custody of Matthew King shall be shared between the father, Floyd E. Kebert and the mother, Linda King, as follows:

A. During the school year (from approximately September 1 to approximately June 1) each year, physical custody of Matthew King shall be with the mother subject to the following conditions:

(1) The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody the first three weekends of each month (the weekends with the first three Fridays of each month), beginning September 16, 1988, from 3:00 p.m. on Friday until 8:00 p.m. on Sunday.

(2) The father shall be entitled to have the child in his custody each year on the Friday after Thanksgiving from 9:00 a.m. until 9:00 p.m. and shall also be entitled to have the child in his custody on Good Friday each year from 9:00 a.m. until 9:00 p.m.

(3) The father shall be entitled to have the child in his custody each

Continued on next page

year from 9:00 a.m. on December 26th until 9:00 p.m. on December 29th.

B. Custody of Matthew King shall be with the father each Summer beginning one week after school closes until one week prior to the opening of school in the Fall, subject to the following conditions:

(1) The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the child into her custody the last weekend in June each year, from 3:00 p.m. Friday until 8:00 p.m. Sunday.

(2) The mother shall be entitled to take the child into her custody the first weekend in August each year from 3:00 p.m. on Friday until 8:00 p.m. on Sunday.

(3) The mother shall be entitled to take the child into her custody for two (2) three-day periods in July from 9:00 a.m. on the first day until 9:00 p.m. on the third day. The Mother shall provide the father with at least thirty (30) days notice of the two (2) three-day periods she intends to exercise such July visitation.

C. Major holidays are defined as being Thanksgiving, Christmas and Easter. In 1988, the child shall be in the home of the father on Thanksgiving Day from 9:00 a.m. until 2:00 p.m. and in the home of the mother from 2:00 p.m. until 9:00 p.m. In 1988, the child shall be in the home of the mother from 9:00 p.m. Christmas Eve until 2:00 p.m. Christmas Day and in the home of the father from 2:00 p.m. Christmas Day until 9:00 p.m. Christmas Day. In 1989, the child shall be in the home of the father on Easter from 9:00 p.m. the evening before Easter Sunday until 2:00 p.m. on Easter Sunday, and in the home of the mother from 2:00 p.m. Easter Sunday and thereafter. In 1989, the child shall be in the home of the mother on Thanksgiving Day from 9:00 a.m. until 2:00 p.m. and in the home of the father on Thanksgiving Day from 2:00 p.m. until 9:00 p.m. The child shall be in the home of the father in 1989 from Christmas Eve at 9:00 p.m. until 2:00 p.m. on Christmas Day., and in the home of the mother from 2:00 p.m. Christmas Day until 9:00 p.m. Christmas Day. In 1990, the child shall be in the home of the mother on Easter, from 9:00 p.m. on the evening preceding Easter Sunday until 2:00 p.m. Easter Sunday and in the home of the father from 2:00 p.m. Easter Sunday until 9:00 p.m. that day. The parties shall continue to alternate having the child in homes on the above three major holidays in the same manner as provided above until this order has been changed or amended.

D. Minor holidays shall be defined as Memorial Day, Fourth of July and Labor Day. In 1989, the child shall be in the home of the father on Memorial Day from 9:00 a.m. until 9:00 p.m. and in the home of the father on Labor Day from 9:00 a.m. until 9:00 p.m.. The child shall be in the home of the mother on Fourth of July from 9:00 a.m. until 9:00 p.m. In 1990, the child shall be in the home of the mother on Memorial Day and Labor Day from 9:00 a.m. until 9:00 p.m. and in the home of the father on the Fourth of July from 9:00 a.m. until 9:00 p.m. The parties shall continue to alternate having the child in their custody on the above three minor holidays in the same manner as provided above until this order has been changed or amended.

E. On any day when the child is in the custody of the father and there is school the following day, the father shall return the child to the home of the mother at 8:00 p.m. rather than 9:00 p.m.

F. Whenever the father is picking up the child, the father shall provide transportation when the child is returned to the home of the mother. Also, when the mother is picking up the child, when the child is generally in the physical custody of the father, she shall provide transportation and the father shall provide the transportation when the child is being returned to the home of the father.

III. Neither mother nor father shall say of do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand, shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

IV. Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or welfare.

V. It is understood and agreed between the parties that each parent shall inform the other parent as soon as reasonably possible in the event of any injury or illness concerning the child and that each parent agrees to furnish the other with a current telephone number at all times.

VI. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions hereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

P. Richard Thomas, Judge

Sept 22, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. J. Mason, Irwin and Fuller.

Nov. 14, 1990 Stipulation and Consent To Entry of Custody Order filed.

Nov. 14, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, this 14th day of November, 1990, upon presentation of the Stipulation and Consent of the parties, attached hereto, and after consideration of same, it is hereby ORDERED, DIRECTED and DECREED that:

1. Legal custody of the parties' minor child shall be with the father, Floyd Kebert.
2. Physical custody of the parties' minor child shall be shared between the father and mother.
3. During the school year (from approximately September 1 to approximately June 1) each year, physical custody of Matthew King shall be with the father subject to the following conditions:

A. The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody the first three weekends of each month (the weekends withthe first three Firdays of each month), beginning September 16, 1990, from 3:00 p.m. on Friday untl 8:00 p.m. on Sunday.

B. The mother shall be entitled to have the child in her custody each year on the Friday after Thanksgiving from 9:00 a.m. until 9:00 p.m. and shall also be entitled to have the child in her custody on Good Friday each year from 9:00 a.m. until 9:00 p.m.

C. The mother shall be entitled to have the child in her custody each year from 9:00 a.m. on December 26th until 9:00 p.m. on December 29th.

4. Custody of Matthew King shall be with the mother each Summer beginning one week after school closes until one week prior to the opening of school in the fall, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody the last weekend in June each year from 3:00 p.m. on Friday until 8:00 p.m. on Sunday.

B. The father shall be entitled to take the child into his custody the first weekend in August each year from 3:00 p.m. on Friday until 8:00 p.m. on Sunday.

Continued on the next page

262
-B-

1988

Floyd E. Kebert

vs.

Linda King

Record continued from page 262 a

of record

A.D. 1988 - 262

****

C. The father shall be entitled to take the child into her custody for two (2) three-day periods in July from 9:00 p.m. on the first day until 9:00 p.m. on the third day. The father shall provide the father with at least (30) days notice ofthe two (2) three-day periods he intends to exercise such July visitation.

5. Major holidays are defined as being Thanksgiving, Christmas and Easter. In 1990, the child shall be in the home of the mother on Thanksgiving Day from 9:00 a.m. until 2:00 p.m. and in the home of the father from 2:00 p.m. until 9:00 p.m. In 1990, the child shall be in the home of the father from 9:00 p.m. Christmas Eve until 2:00 p.m. Christmas Day and in the home of the mother from 2:00 p.m. Christmas Day until 9:00 p.m. Christmas Day. In 1991, the child shall be in the home of the mother on Easter from 9:00 p.m. the evening before Easter Sunday until 2:00 p.m. on Easter Sunday, and in the home of the father form 2:00 p.m. on Easter Sunday and thereafter. the parties shall alternate years thereafter.

6. Minor holidays shall be defined as Memorial Day, Fourth of July and Labor Day. In 1991, the child shall be in the home of the mother on Memorial Day from 9:00 a.m. until 9:00 p.m. and in the home of the mother on Labor Day from 9:00 a.m. until 9:00 p.m. The child shall be in the home of the fathe ron the Fourth of July from 9:00 a.m. until 9:00 p.m. The parties shall continue to alternate having the child in their custody on the above three minor holidays in the same manner as provided above until this order has been changed or amended.

7. On any day when the child is in teh custody of the mother and there is school the following day, the mother shall return the child to the home of the father at 8:00 p.m. rather than 9:00 p.m.

8. Whenever the mother is picking up the child, the mother shall provide transportation and the father shall provide transportation when the child is being returned to the home of the father.

9. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent form his or her children.

10. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor child.

11. Any persona transporting the child shall make sure that seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

12. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each par ty shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other pa rty in the child's estimation.

13. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if it were to be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which, this order shall govern.

14. It is understood and agreed between the parties that each parent shall inform the other parent as soon as reasonably possible in the event of any injury or illness concerning the child and that each parent agrees to furnish the other with a current telephone number at all times.

15. Except in emergency situations, each party shall give th eother at least Twenty-four (24) hours notification when he or she will not be exercising a scheduled visitation.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Nov. 20, 1990 Copies of order put in James Irwin and Joan Mason's box.

1988

Joseph F. Kulwicki

263.

HECTOR R. JIMENEZ

vs.

CHRISTINE PELC

IN RE:
CARLOS JIMENIZ, A MALE MINOR, AGE 16 YEARS,
ELISA JIMENEZ, AGE 15 YEARS, FEMALE MINOR
ELANA JIMENEZ, AGE 12 YEARS, FEMALE MINOR

Filed Mar. 18, 1988
@ 9:40 a.m.

Tax & entry Pd 3/23/88 $40.50
William Pineo, Mediator

CUSTODY COMPLAINT

ORDER:
AND NOW, March 18, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within petition, WILLIAM PINEO, is hereby appointed child Custody Mediator and ordered to schedule a hearing in this matter.
Pending final hearing, custody ofthe children shall continue as it is currently arranged.

BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J.

Apr 15, 1988 Consent Custody Agreement and Order of Court: The within Consent Custody Agreement accepted this 15 day of April, 1988.
P. Richard Thomas, J

Apr 15, 1988 Copies of order to Joseph Kulwicki, Esq.

1988

Mason and MaSON
Joan D.Mason

264.

TINA L. TERRILL

vs.

MICHAEL S. WHITE

Filed Mar. 18, 1988
@ 10:45 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

CUSTODY COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

April 27, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, ESQ., Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on March 21, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Michael S. White, by certified mail #P-547 808 335, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only,a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Michael S. White on Mar. 29, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Esq.

1988

Rosen, Rosen & Bloom
Bruce T. Rosen

265.

CAROL F. BECK

vs.

CHESTER C. & HELEN A. LEVETO

Filed Mar. 18, 1988
@ 11:29 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

Sheriff's Costs 33.15

SUMMONSIIN CIVIL ACTION.

Issued (sheriff)

Apr 15, 1988 Writ Returned: SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Helen A. Leveto, personally, the within named defendant on March 28, 1988 at 3:38 P.M. at her place of residence, R.D.#2, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED Chester C. Leveto on March 28, 1988 at 3:39 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Helen A. Leveto, adult member of the family with whom he resides, namely his wife, at their place of residence, R.D.#2, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Blystone & Blystone
Peter E. Blystone

266.

CULBERTSON, WEISS, SCHETROMA AND SCHUG
E. Max Weiss

PENNBANK

vs.

PRUDENTIAL PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY

Filed Mar. 18, 1988
@ 3:10 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 36.00
Pro Co Pd 11/15/88 5.00
Cert. Pd 11-16-88- 1.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Apr. 18, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. The above document was served on the Defendant as per information listed above in the County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
So answers, Francis P. Lalley, Sheriff
Cpl. E. H. Walzak, Deputy
SERVED on the within named defendant, Prudential Property and Casualty Insurance Company, by deputizing the Sheriff of Montgomery County, Pennsyvlania, as per his affidavit of service hereto attached. Served April 4, 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 2, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by E. Max Weiss, Esq. for defendant filed.

Nov. 14, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked discontinued of record.

1988

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick
~~Donald Lewis~~ Withdrawn
~~Bruce A. Barrett~~
~~Barrett & Dratler~~

267.

Gerald Sullivan
Legal Services

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

DANA A. DAVIS

vs.

PATRICIA A. DAVIS

Filed Mar. 18, 1988
@ 3:14 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
William Pineo, Mediator	64.00
William Pineo, Mediator	69.50
	9.00
Pro Co pd 5/19/89	9.00
State pd 5/19/89	10.00
William Pineo, Mediator	122.00
Pro Co Pd 5-8-95	9.00

2-17-95 Ref from plff med. dep 150.00
4-12-95 Pd plff Refund #9064 86.00
5-8-95 Pd Co 64.00 mediation 9166

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE
CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Patricica A. Davis, Defendant, have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody or visitation of the child: Alesha.

You are ordered to appear in person at direction of mediator WILLIAM PINEO, at time to be set.

You are further ordered to bring with you the child: Alesha Davis.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order or to bring the child, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Association, Service to the Public Committee, 360 Chestnut St, Meadville, Pa. 16335, Phone: 814 724-7719.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Date: 3/22/88

Mar. 22, 1988, Acceptance of Service filed. I, Gerald Sullivan Esq. the atty. for Patricia A. Davis, hereby accepts service of the Complaint in Divorce and the Complaint for Custody at the above captioned no. and term on this 21st dayof March 1988. Gerald Sullivan, Esq.

May 13, 1988 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 13 day of May, 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Pending further review of this matter, legal and physical custody of Alesha Davis is hereby awarded to her mother, Patricia A. Davis.
2. The father shall have the right of visitation every weekend from Saturday at noon through Sunday at 6:00 p.m., except for the last weekend of every month.
3. The Mediator will schedule further Mediation following the outcome of the criminal charges now brought against the father and make further report and recommendation to the court.
4. Each party shall teach Alesha to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the eyes of said child.
5. The father's visitation shall take place at the home of his mother. The defendant mother, Patricia A. Davis, shall provide the necessary transportation.
6. The Mediator has noted for the Court that the mother is indigent and is receiving public assistance. He has also noted that the father his presently laid-off from his work and has very limited income. Costs and fees of this Mediation which are fixed at $64,00 are hereby assessed to Crawford County, Pennsylvania.
7. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a periof of thirty (30) days from date hereof. Should neither party so move, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

May 16, 1988 Copies of order to Bruce Barrett and Gerald Sullivan and William Pineo, Esqs.

Dec. 9, 1988, Petition for Modification of Custody, and order filed. (1 copy-mediator, 1 copy Atty. Barrett & 1 copy Atty. Mason) ORDER: AND NOW, this 9th day of Dec. 1988, upon consideration of the attached petition for Modification of Custody, this matter is hereby referred back to mediator, William Pineo, who has heard this case previously.

Per Curiam,
Robert L. Walker, J.

May 18, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 18, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 18, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

May 26, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, May 26, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that DANA A. DAVIS, plaintiff, and PATRICIA A. DAVIS, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

June 30, 1989 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of June, 1989, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The Order of May, 1988, is hereby continued in force except for the changes noted below.
2. The parties are hereby ordered to arrange for evaluation of themselves and the subject minor child, Alesha Davis, with a reputable psychologist. The Mediator has noted for the Court that the parties had agreed upon Michael Mercatoris to serve in this regard but the parties are free to choose any reputable counselor upon whom they agree.
3. The father shall pay for the evaluations. If it appears that further counseling and treatment will be necessary, the cost of same may be apportioned by the Court following further Mediation. Both parties are directed to supply the Mediator with all information regarding their income and expenses and the insurance coverages which may apply.

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 585.....

1988

Ferguson, Spataro & O'Shea
Douglas W. Ferguson

268.

APRIL J. MEYER

vs.

RICK MARRANCONI

Filed Mar. 18, 1988
@ 3:27 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
John Fuller, Mediator

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 22 day of March 1988, upon petition of April J. Meyer, through her attorneys, Ferguson, Spataro and O'Shea, JOHN FULLER, ESQ. is hereby appointed Child Custody Mediator in this matter. The parties and their counsel shall attend the mediation conference scheduled by the Child Custody Mediator and shall comply with allrequests of the Child Custody Mediator.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Mar. 30, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford Co. Pa., on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated Mar. 23, 1988. The Defendant acknowledged servic e on Mar. 28, 1988 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.
FERGUSON, SPATARO & O'SHEA
By: Douglas W. Ferguson

May 23, 1988 ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 20, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esq. and based upon an agreement of the parties, It is hereby ordered and decreed as follows:

I. Legal custody of Jeffrey Ian Gillson shall be shared between the mother, April J. Meyer and the father, Rick Marranconi, that is each parent shall be entitled to take part in important decisions affecting the child, such as religious instruction, education and medical treatment, and each parent shall be entitled full access to medical records, school records, and other important records.

II. Phycial custody of Jeffrey Ian Gillson shall be shred between the mother and the father, as follows:

(a) The child shall reside with the father four days each week;

(b) The child shall reside with the mother three days each week. These three days shall correspond with the mother's days off from work. The mother will provide the father at lease one week's notice of the three days for the following week which she intends to exercise her partial custody rights. The first three days that the mother shall have custody of the child shall be May 10, May 11 and May 12, 1988.

(c) The father shall provide transportation for the child to and from the home of the mother on such weekly visits.

(d) Holidays shall be defined as Memorial Day, Thanksgiving DAy, Christmas Easter and 4th of July. The parties shall alternate having the child in their custody on the above-specified holidays. The mother will pick the first holiday the child is to be in her custody. For instance, if the mother should pick Memorial Day as the holiday that the child is to be with her, the child would be in the custody of the father on the 4th of July and the parties would continue to alternate holidays thereafter. Whenever the child is in the home of the other parent on the day before such holidays from 12:00 Noon until 8:00 p.m.

(e) The child shall be in the home of the mother on Mother's Day and in the home of the father on Father's Day each year.

(f) The child's birthday each year shall be shared between the mother, father, and child.

(g) Beginning with the Summer of 1988, the mother shall be entitled to have the child in her custody for a continuous three week period to correspond with the mother's vacation from work. The mother shall provide the father with at least thirty (30) days notice of the three week period she intends to exercise her Summer visitation period. Crawford Co. shall retain jurisdiction and venue in this case until the child is eighteen (18) years of age, even though one party may move from Crawford Co. and establish a residence elsewhere.

(h) Neither party shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand, both parents shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

(i) This order shall be a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.
P. Richard Thomas, J.

May 25, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Douglas Ferguson, Wm. Walker and John Fuller.

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs PC
Christopher J. Youngs

269.

MICHAEL A. WALLS

vs.

LORIE WALLS

Filed Mar. 18, 1988
@ 4:24 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 11/30/88	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 4, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Before the undersigned, a Notary Public for the State of Pennsylvania personally appeared Michael A. Walls, who, having been duly sworn a ccording to law, deposes and says that he personally served a certified copy of the Complaint in the within action upon the defendant Lorie Walls on the 19 day of March, 1988, at 8:30 o'clock p.m., at the following address: RD 7 Graff Rd., Meadville, PA 16335 and making known to her the contents of the Complaint.

Michael A. Walls

Oct. 19, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Nov. 2, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Nov. 2, 1988, Memorandum of Agreement as to Equitable Distribution, Child Custody, Visitation and Support filed.

Nov. 23, 1988 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for this case moved to court.

Review under 201(c) of the Divorce Code,

Dec. 13, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED**

AND NOW, December 13, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

MICHAEL A. WALLS AND LORIE WALLS

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

1988

Carney & Heberle
Thomas D. Heberle

270.

TED A KENNISTON

vs.

KAY KENNISTON

Filed Mar. 21, 1988
@ 10:35 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 6/8/88 9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(d) OF DIVORCE CODE

2 copies (atty)

Apr 8, 1988 Plaintiff's Affidavit under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

June 8, 1988, Affidavit of Service of Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) filed.

June 8, 1988, Affidavit of Service of Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

June 8, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Thomas D. Heberle, atty. for plaintiff, Ted A. Kenniston, hereby certify that a true and attested copy ofthe Complaint in Divorce Under Section 201(d) of Divorce Code in above action, was served on defendant Kay Kenniston, by cert. U. S. Mail, ARt. No. P 740 769 074, Restricted Del on Mar. 29, 1988. See ret. Rec. card attached and marked as Exhibit "A".

Thomas D. Heberle, Esq.

June 8, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, To Transmit the Record, this case moved to court.

June 16, 1988 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 15 day of June, 1988, it is ORDERED and DECREED that TED A. KENNISTON, Plaintiff, and KAY KENNISTON, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Quinn, GEnt, Buseck,
and Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

271.

SAINT VINCENT HEALTH CENTER

vs.

GEORGE D. BALDWIN, JR.

Filed Mar. 21, 1988
@ 10:52 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriffs costs	25.00
Pro Co Pd 5-2-88	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Apr. 13, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED George D. Baldwin, Jr. Complaint AD 1988 - 271 to Lelin Brown, adult in charge, on Mar. 24, 1988 at R. D. #4, Box 304, Centerville, Pa
So answers, Edgar D. Householder Dep Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

AND NOW, May 2, 1988 @ 11:32 A.M., Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, with notice of Judgment attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendants for failure to file an answer and the same is liquidated in the sum of Three Thousand Three Hundred Twenty-two and 46/100 Dollars plus interes from March 17, 1988, and all costs of suit.

B-71

Debt.....$3,322.46 + int. & costs.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

May 4, 1988 Judgment entered.

Feb 13, 1992 Removal To:
AD 1992-162

1988

William J. Gregg

272.

DAVID KUHN

vs.

LAURIE LOCKWOOD

Filed Mar. 21, 1988
@ 2:55 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co Pd 12/9/88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 31, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. KATHLEEN R. NELSON, ESQ., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned Action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on March 23, 1988.
3. The registered mail was sent to Mr. Laurie Lockwood, 3807 Halfturn Road; Apt. 234, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80917.
4. That the defendant received said registered mail on March 26, 1988. Said receipt card number P 622 922 583 is attached hereto and signed by Michael Roe.

Kathleen R. Nelson

Dec. 6, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec. 9, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Dec. 9, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for Review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Dec. 22, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, December 21, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

DAVID KUHN AND LAURIE LOCKWOOD

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,

P. Richard Thomas, J.

1988

William J. Gregg

273.

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso and Gamble
Gary A. Fabian

RAYMOND A. SCHETLIN

vs.

SHIRLEY H. SCHETLIN

Filed Mar. 21, 1988
@ 2:55 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 4/13/88 - $30.00
Pro Co. Pd 7/27/38 9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 31, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. WILLIAM J. GREGG, ESQ., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned Action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on March 22. 1988.
3. The registered mail was sent to Mrs. Shirley H. Schetlin, R.D. #2, Conneautville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania 16406.
4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on March 23, 1988. Said receipt card number P 622 922 581 is attached hereto and signed by Shirley Schetlin.

William J. Gregg

Apr. 12, 1988, Answer to Divorce and Counterclaim (Claims of Alimony Pendente Lite, Permanent Alimony, counsel fees, expenses and costs)

June 23, 1988 Plaintiff's affidavit Under Section 201(d) of The Divorce Code filed.

July 27, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(d) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Aug. 8, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Aug. 8, 1988, the above captioned divorce case having been submitted to the court for review under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, it appears that the submission to the court is premature for the following reasons:

1. The original Complaint in divorce did not allege 201(d) grounds.
2. An affidavit under 201(d) was filed subsequent to the complaint and there is no evidence that the affidavit under 201(d) has been served in accordance with the rules.
3. There has been no leave granted to amend the complaint to a 201(d) divorce, nor any amended complaint filed as required by case law.
4. The defendant filed an answer and counterclaim requesting equitable distribution, alimony pendente lite, permanent alimony, counsel fees, expenses and costs and these issues remain unresolved.

This matter is, therefore, returned to the Prothonotary to be re-submitted when appropriate.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 9, 1988, copies of order to G. Fabian and W. Gregg.

Aug 19, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: WILLIAM J. Gregg, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law deposes and says that he is an adult over the age of (18) years; that he served the Plaintiff's Affidavit filed in the above matter on SHIRLEY H. SCHETLIN personally by handing to her a true and correct copy thereof and informing her of its contents at Lakeside Square, Conneaut Lake, Pennsylvania on June 21, 1988.

Deponent further avers that at the time of said service the said SHIRLY H. SCHETLIN identified herself to deponent.William J. Gregg, Esquire

Aug 19, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code this case is moved to court.

Sept. 8, 1988 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

1. **Divorce;** Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above - named parties, onthe grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and the parties have liveds separate and apart for a period in excess of three years.
2. **Real Estate:** Shirly H. Schetlin shall transfer to Raymond A. Schetlin all of her right, title and interest in thejointly owned real estate located in Summerhill Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania. This transfer shall be by way of special warranty deed, stating consideration to be $1.00. Raymond A. Schetlin shall thereafter assume sole responsibility for an existing lien against the property in the amount of $7,000.00, and in favor of Larry and Terry Ewing. Raymond A. Schetlin shall agree to indemnify, hold harmless, and reinburse Shirley H. Schetlin for any payments she may be made to make on account of said lien.
3. **Personal Property:** Each party shall retain those items of personal property he or she now has, including vehicles and household items.
4. **Attonreys Fees, Alimony Pendente Lite, Permanent Alimony and court Costs**: Neither party shall seek contribution towards his or her attorney fees or court costs, nor alimony pendente lite or permanent alimony from the other party hereto.
5. **Acknowledgment and Waiver:** Each party hereby acknowledges that he or she has knowledge sufficient ot his or her own satisfaction regarding the debts and assets that existed at the time of separation, and the debts and assets or each party at the present time, and therefore waives the requirements of the Rules of Civil Procedure relating to the filing of an inventory and appraisement or an income and expense statement.

In consideration of the terms and conditions set forth in paragraphs three and four above, Raymond A. Schetlin shall pay to Shirley H. Schetlin the sum of $1,500.00. Upon receipt of said sum, by Shirley H. Schetlin, each party shall waive and relinquish any right or claim that he or she may otherwise have had against the other party hereto, and hereby acknowledges to the court that all claims of one against th eother are hereby settled. Neither party shall make any claim against the estate of the other nor seek to administer the estate ofthe other.

6. Raymond A. Schetlin, by himself and by his attorney, William J. Gregg, Esquire, and Shirley H. Schetlin do hereby consent to the terms and conditions ofthis Divorce Decree.

Raymond A. Schetlin 8-15-88 William G. Gregg, Esq.
Shirley H. Schetlin 8-15-88 Kathleen R. Nelson 8/15/88

This Order shall shall be effective as of this 8th day of September, 1988.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J

274

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

274.

ANDREW J. DeJOHN

vs.

LAURA M. DeJOHN

Filed Mar. 22, 1988
@ 10:35 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

PETITION FOR CUSTODY (UCCJA)

1 copy (atty)

June 13, 1988 @ 11:50 A.M. Verification Of Service Filed.
I, Laura M. DeJohn, Respondent, Do hereby acknowledge that I was served by Attroney James R. Irwin, Esquire, with a certified copy of the custody petition, filed at the above term and number, on this, the 21 day of May, 1988.

Laura M. DeJohn

June 17, 1988 Agreement and Consent Order filed.
AND NOW, this 17 day of June, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing attached Agreement and Consent Court Order, entered into between the parties, an Order is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the attached Agreement and consent Court Order.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

June 20, 1988 Copies of order to James Irwin

1988

275.

Gregory B. Fraser

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

DOROTHY S. LEE

Filed Mar. 22, 1988

Treatment filed.

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

Mar. 22, 1988, Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Findings of Fact, Recommendation, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 21 day of March 1988, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above no. and term, and other relevant and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer (Thomas Africa, Esq.) it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Dorothy Lee be committed to the Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days from Feb. 24, 1988.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Mar. 23, 1988, copies of order to Atty. Thomas AFrica, and Warren State Hosp., Medical Records.. Esq.

Feb. 28, 1990, Petition for Order of court filed.

March 21, 1990 Petition for Involuntary

March 30, 1990 Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 29th of March, 1990, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Dorothy S. Lee be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days. This order shall take effect when arrangemetns are made for transfer of the patient.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

March 30, 1990 Copy of Order to Atty. Bruce Barrett, Atty., WSH, and Mr. Lilley.

July 17, 1990, Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

Aug. 16, 1990 Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Findings of Fact, Recommendation and Order filed. ORDER: And Now, this 16 day of August, 1990, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Dorothy Lee be committed to the Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days from July 23, 1990.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 21, 1990 copies of order to Thomas E. Africa, Warren State Hospital, MH/MR Administratror and Bruce Barrett.

Jan. 4, 1991, Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

Feb. 1, 1991 Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Findings of Fact, Recommendation and ORDER filed.AND NOW, this 29th day of Jan., 1991, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a reveiw of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the Respondent by committed to the Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days from January 16, 1991.

By The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Feb. 5, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Gregory B. Fraser, WSH, MH.

June 27, 1991 Report of Mental Health Review Office and Order filed. AND NOW, this 26 of June, 1991, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Dorothy S. Lee be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 28, 1991 copies to Atty. B. Barrett, W.S.H. and MH/MR

276

1988

Pro se (as of 1/9/91)

Ferguson & Spataro
John F. Spataro

~~David R. Devine~~
(withdrawn)

276.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
E. Max Weiss
Nancy M. Sennett

MILLARD DAVIS

vs.

PENNSYLVANIA NATIONAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY

CIVIL COMPLAINT

3 copies (atty)
1 copy (sheriff)

Filed Mar. 22, 1988
@ 3:41 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

Sheriff's Costs 37.50

Pro Co Pd 2-28-91 6.00

April 13, 1988 Sheriff's Return Filed: AND NOW, March 29, 1988, at 10:26 A.M., served the within Complaint upon Pennsylvania National Life Insurance Company by personally handing to Kim Brady, sec'y and person in charge at time of service a true attested copy of the original complaint and making known to her the contents thereof at 1900 Derry St., Harrisburg, Dauphin County, Penna.
So answers, William H. Livingston, Sheriff
Michael Rinehart, Deputy Sheriff

SERVED on the within named defendant, Pennsylvania National Life Insurance Company, by deputizing the Sheriff of Dauphin County, Pa., as per his affidavit of Service herto attached. Served March 29, 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr. 14, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by E. Max Weiss and Nancy M. Sennett for Defendant filed.

May 2, 1988 Preliminary Objections of the Defendant, Pennsylvania National Life Insurance Company, to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Aug. 5, 1988 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attorney the above case is placed on the next available argument list.

On September 1988 Argument list.

Sept. 12, 1988 Order Setting Argument Date filed. And now, September 12, 1988, because of the number of cases on the September 26, 1988 Argument List and the amount of court time available, argument in the above-captioned matter is hereby schuduled in Courtroom No. 1, before the Honorable P. Richard Thomas, on October 20, 1988, at 1:30 pm.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Sept. 13, 1988 Copies to counsel of record.

Dec. 30, 1988 Memorandum and Order filed. And now, December 28, 1988, the Court hereby denies the defendant's Preliminary Objections requesting that Counts II, III, and IV be dismissed or stricken fromthe Plaintiff's Complaint. the Defendant's request to dismiss or strike Count V is denied without prejudice to the Defnedant's right to raise the smae objection to Count V at the time of trial.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Dec. 30, 1988 Copies to E. Max Weiss and David Devine

Jan. 26, 1989 Answer and New Matter of Pennsylvania National Life Company filed.

March 9, 1989 Reply To New Matter filed.

Aug. 8, 1989 Notice of service of Interrogatories and Requests for Productionof Documents filed.

Aug. 25, 1989 Notice of Service of Answers and Reponses to Plaintiff's Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents filed.

Oct. 19, 1989, Appearance of Ferguson & Spataro, by JOhn F. Spataro, Attorneys for plaintiff filed.

Oct. 26, 1989, Upon praecipe of David R. Devine, attorney for plaintiff, appearance withdrawn.

Dec 29, 1989 Certificate of Readiness filed.

Dec 29, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, this case is placed on the February 1990 Trial List.

ON FEBRUARY 1990 TRIAL LIST

Jan. 5, 1990 Motion of Pennsylvania National Life Insurance Company to Strike Certificate of Readiness and Order filed.
Order: AND NOW, this 5th day of January, 1990, upon consideration of the Motion of Pennsylvania National Life Insurance Company to Strike Plaintiff's Certificate of Readiness, said Motion is granted and the Certificate of Readiness is stricken and it is ordered that this case shall not appear on the February 1990 Trial List.

By The Court:
Robert L. Walker, J.

Jan. 10, 1990 copies of order to E. Max Weiss and John F. Spataro, esqs.

Jan. 9, 1990 Amendment to Answer and New Matter of Pennsylvania National Life Insurance Company and Consent filed.

Jan. 18, 1990, Notice of Taking Depositions on Oral examination Under Pa. R.C.P. No. 4007.1 filed.

Jan. 25, 1990 Reply to Amendment to New Matter filed.

Continued on Pg. 276a

Mar. 1, 1990 Certificate of Readiness filed. (non Jury)

ON APRIL 1990 TRIAL LIST

Apr. 5, 1990, ORDER SETTING NON JURY TRIAL fied. And now, Apr. 4, 1990, nonjury trial in the above case is hereby set for June 28, 1990 zt 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom No. 2, of the Crawford County Court House.

FORTHE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

Apr. 9, 1990, copies to J. Spataro and E. M. Weiss, attys. (box)

Apr. 6, 1990 Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of Pennsylvania National Life Insurance Company on Count V of Plaintiff's Complint and Order filed. And Now, this 6 day of April, 1990, a rule is entered upon the Plaintiff to show cause if any he has why partial summary judgment in favor of Pennsylvania National LIfe Insurance Company on Count V of Plaintiff's Complaint should not be granted and argument regarding the motion is hereby scheduled for the 29 day of May, 1990 at time to be set in Courtroom No. _____ of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pennsylvania.

By the Court
P. Richard Thomas, J

Apr. 9, 1990 Copies put in John Spataro and E. Max Weiss' box.

On May 1990 Argument List

Apr. 9, 1990 Appendix to Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of Pennsylvania National Life Insurance Company filed.

Apr. 11, 1990, Affidavit of Joseph W. Corio in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment by defendant Pa. National Life Ins. co. filed.

May 7, 1990 Motion To Amend Complaint To Allow For Punitive Damages and Order filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of May, 1990, upon consideration of the within Motion, the Court will hear argument on the motion on May 29, 1990 at the same time when the Court will hear argument on the Defendant's motion for partial summary judgment. Plaintiff is directed to file his brief on or before May 14, 1990 and the Defendant shall file its brief within ten days thereafter.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, j

May 8, 1990 Copies of order put in John Spataro and E. Max Weiss' box.

May 14, 1990 Affidavit of Millard Davis In Opposition To The Defendant's Motion For Partial Summary Judgment filed.

May 14, 1990 Motion To amend Pleadings and Order filed. AND NOW, this 14th day of May, 1990, upon consideration of the within motion, argument is scheduled to be held on May 29, 1990 at 10:45 a.m. in Courtroom No. 2, which is the same time and place scheduled for argument on other matters raised by the parties.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon r. Miller, J

May 15, 1990 copies of order put in John Spataro and E. Max Weiss' box.

June 21, 1990, ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 20, 1990 the court not having ruled on pending motions, the nonjury trial heretofore set for June 28, 1990 is continued by the Court to August 13, 1990, at 9:30 a.m. before Judge Gordon R. Miller.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

June 21, 1990 copies of order to John Spataro and E. M. Weiss. (box)

July 19, 1990 , MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, July 19, 1990, the plaintiff is given twenty (20) days from this date to amend Court V of his Complaint, to add the allegations set forth in paragraph 7, subparagraphs a through d, and the prayer of relief contained in the plaintiff's motion to amend his pleadings.

The Following are denied:

a. The plaintiff's request to amend his complaint to allege a defamation action by reason of a statement made by the defendant to the Bureau of Employment Security on Nov. 13, 1987.

b. The plaintiff's request to claim a wrongful discharge from employment.

c. The defendant's motion for partial summary judgment.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

July 19, 1990, copies put in attourneys box.

July 24, 1990, Amendment of Plaintiff's Complaint - Count V- filed. (JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)

Aug. 1, 1990, AMENDED MEMORANDUM: AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, JUly 31, 1990, the plaintiff is given twenty (20) days from this date to amend Count V of his complaint, to add the allegations set forth in paragraph 7, subparagraphs a through d, and the prayer for relief contained in the plaintiff's May 14, 1990, motion to a mend his pleadings.

The following are denied:

a. The plaintiff's request to amend his complaint to allege a defamation action by reason of a statement made by the defendant to the Bureau of Employment Security on Nov. 13, 1987.

b. The plaintiff's request to claim punitiv e damages as to Counts I through IV of the initial complaint.

c. The defendant' motion for partial summary judgment.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Aug. 1, 1990, copy sent to attys. of record by Judge Miller' Sec. Kim.

August 2, 1990 Memorandum and ORDER filed. AND NOw, August 2, 1990 the Defendant's preliminary objections in the nature of a motion to strike Plaintiff's jury trial demand are denied. The trial scheduled for August 13, 1990, being a nonjury trial, is hereby continued and to be scheduled during the October, 1990 term of civil jury trials.

By The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Aug. 2, 1990 Copy of Order put in Attys. John Spataro and E. Max Weiss box.

Aug. 10, 1990, Preliminary Objections of the Defendant Pa. National Life Insurance Compan y, In the nature of a Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Demand for Jury Trial filed.

Continued on next page 276B.

276 B

1988

Continuation of Millard Davis vs. Pa. Nat. Life Ins. Co. (AD 1988 - 276)

Aug. 15, 1990 Answer and New Matter of PennsylvaNIA National Life Insurance Company to Amendment of Plaintiff's Complaint - Count V filed.

On October 1990 Trial List

***

Sept. 12, 1990 Motion of Pennsylvania National Life Insurance Company to Continue October, 1990 Civil Jury Trial and Order filed. AND NOW, this 12 day of September, 1990, upon consideration of the Motion of Pennsylvania National Life Insurance Company to continue thr trial in this case, said Motion is granted, and the trial of this case, said Motion is granted, and the trial of this case, presently scheduled during the October 1990 term of civil jury trials is continued, and this case shall be scheduled for trial during the February, 1991 termof civil jury trials.

By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, J

Sept. 13, 1990 Copies of order put in John Spataro and E. Max Weiss' box

1991 FEBRUARY CIVIL TRIAL LIST

***

Sept. 10, 1990 Reply To New Matter filed.

Oct. 15, 1990 Motion to withdraw as counsel and Order filed. AND NOW, this 15th day of October, 1990, the above motion is hereby granted.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. walker, J

Oct. 15, 1990 Copies of order put in John Spataro and E. Max Weiss' box.

Jan. 8, 1991, Motion to Allow For "Self" Legal Representation filed.

Jan. 8, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Jan. 7, 1991, the plaintiff's Motion to represent himself is granted.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. MIller, P. J.

Jan. 9, 1991, copies to Millard Davis, E. Max Weiss and John Spataro.

Feb. 11, 1991, Plaintiff's Proposed Voir Dire filed.

Feb. 21, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, February 20, 1991, the Court hereby schedules a pretrial conference in this case to be held February 28, 1991 at 1:00 o'clock p.m. The parties are reminded of the Local Rules governing pretrial conferences primarily set forth in new Rule 21 effective March 15, 1984 which includes, among other things, a requirement that pretrial narratives must be filed prior to the pretrial conference. The Local Rules requires that the pretrial narratives be filed five (5) days prior to the pretrial conference. However, in view ofthe shortness of the time between the date of this order and the date of the pretrial conference pretrial narratives need not be filed five (5) days prior to pretrial conference but must be filed at the pretrial conference.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Feb. 21, 1991 Copies of order sent by Judge Miller's secretary

Feb. 25, 1991 Mtoion to Quash Subpoenas and for Protective Order Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 234.4(b) filed.

Feb. 25, 1991 Motion Of Pennsyvlania National Life Insurance Company for Pre-Trial Conference filed.

Feb. 25, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, this 25th day of February, 1991 upon consideration of the motion of Pennsylvania Ntional Life Insurance Company to quash subpoenas served upon John Longnaker and Daniel Walters and for a protective order pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 234.4b an argument on the matter shall be hald at the time of the pre-trial conference scheduled for February 28, 1991 at 1:00 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. of the crawford County Courthouse.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

feb. 28, 1991 Copies given to M. Davis and Nancy Sennett

Feb 28, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff the above-captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Quinn, Gent, Buseck and Leemhuis Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

277.

SAINT VINCENT HEALTH CENTER

vs.

ARLENE M. HARPER AND
JOHN W. HARPER

Filed Mar. 23, 1988
@ 10:54.am.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Costs 34.30 ✓
Pro co pd 3/21/88 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT - CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Apr 19, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO John W. Harper personally, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on March 24, 1988 at 12:20 P.M. at his place of residence, 777 W. Central Ave, Titusville, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED Arlene M. Harper, within named defendant on March 24, 1988 at 12:21 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to John W. Harper, adult member of the family with whom she resides, namely her husband, at their residence, 777 W. Central Avenue, Titusville, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr. 21, 1988 Upon praeicpe of Plaintiff Attorney the above ca ptioned matter is marked Settled and Discontinued of record.

278

1988

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

278.

DEBORAH MAY RUHL

vs.

LEROY DEAN RUHL

Filed Mar. 23, 1988
@ 3:18 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 2/27/89- 9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 3/10/89 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

April 6, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Bruce A. Barrett, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on March 31, 1988.

3. The registered mail was sent to Leroy D. Ruhl at RD #1, Box 254A, Venango, PA 16440. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on April 2, 1988. Said receipt card number P 547 807 185 is attached hereto and signed by Leroy D. Ruhl.

Bruce A. Barrett, Atty.

Dec. 29, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Dec. 29, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Feb 23, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Mar. 8, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, March 8, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that DEBORAH MAY RUHL, plaintiff, and LEROY DEAN RUHL, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 10, 1989, Notice of Intention to Resume Prior Name filed.
TO BE KNOWN AS: DEBORAH MAY HUEGEL.

1988

Jorden & White
Harry F. White II

279.

Terry Wheeler

DAVID HERDERING

vs.

MARY HERDERING

Filed Mar. 23, 1988
@ 4:01 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
~~John Fuller, Mediator~~	120.00
Pro Co 2/16/88	9.00 ✓
Kathleen Nelson, Mediator	185.04
Pro Co.	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE
CHILD CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Apr 7, 1988 Motion for Appointment of Child Custody Mediator and Order filed: AND NOW, this 6th day of April, 1988, the above motion is granted and John Fuller, Esquire is appointed as Child Custody Mediator in the above-captioned case.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

April 8, 1988 Copies of order to Harry White, Esq.

Apr. 18, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. HARRY FABER WHITE, II, ESQUIRE, attorney for plaintiff, David Herdering in the above-captioned matter, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served the Notice to Plead, and Complaint under Section 201(c) of the Divorce code upon the defendant, Mary Hedering, by certified mail, return receipt requested, on March 24, 1988, at the defendant's address, 317 Chestnut Street, Jamestown, Pennsylvania 16134. The defendant's acceptance of the same is attached hereto.

Harry Feber White, II

May 19, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, May 18, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, and based upon an agreement of the parties,
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of David P. Herdering, Jr. and Theresa Herdering shall be shared between the father, David P. Herdering, Sr. and the mother, Mary M. Herdering, that is, each parent shall be entitled to participate fully in decisions affecting the health, education, religious instruction and other important matters affecting the children and each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records.

II. Physical custod of the two children shall be shared between the mother and father in the following manner:

(a) The children shall reside with the mother, but the father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody every weekend, beginning May 6, 1988, with the exception of the first weekend of each month, that being the weekend on which the first Friday of each month falls. The father shall pick up the children at the home of the mother for weekend visits on Friday at 5:00 p.m. and shall return the children to the home of the mother on Sunday at 7:00 p.m.

(b) The father additionally shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody every Tuesday and Thursday evening from 4:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m. It shall be the responsibility of the father to provide transportation on weekend and week night visits.

(c)Beginning with the Summer of 1988 the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody for a total of six (6) seeks which Summer visitation period shal be divided into two separate three (3) week periods. The father shall provide the Mother with at least thirty (30) days notice of the time in which he expects to exercise such two three week Summer visitation periods. During the Summer there shall be no regular weekend visitation period by mother or father; however, the parties may arrange between themselves for brief visits with the children when the children are in the custody of the other parent.

(d) Holidays shall be defined at Easter, Thanksgiving, Christmas, Memorial Day, 4th of July, and Labor Day. The father shall be able to visit and take the children into his custody on each of the aforementioned holidays from 12:00 Noon until 8:00 p.m.

(e) Neither mother nor father shall do or say anything in an effort to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand, shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

(f) Neither party shall expose the children to any situation, person or conditions that would be adverse to the health and well-being of the children.

(g) Theis order shall be a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

(h) Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

P. Richard Thomas, J

May 20, 1988 Copies of order to Harry White, Terry Wheeler and John Fuller, Esqs.

June 3, 1988, Plaintiff's Exceptions to Custody Mediator's Recommendations and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW this 3 day of June 1988, upon presentation of the within exceptions, a trial de novo is scheduled for the 7 dayof July 1988 at 2:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 2.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

June 7, 1988, copies of order to H. White, Terry Wheeler, and JOhn Fuller, attys.

July 8, 1988 Plaintiff's Motion To Continue Trial and Order filed. AND NOW, this 8th day of July, 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing motion trial is continued untilthe 1st day of September, 1988, at 9:30 o'clock, in courtroom 1 or 2.

Robert L. Walker, J

July 11, 1988 Copies of order to Harry White, Terry Wheeler and John Fuller

Sept. 1, 1988 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Sept. 1, 1988, the temporary Order entered May 18,1988 on recommendation of the Custody Mediator is confirmed as a final Order of Court with the following modifications:

In furnishing transportation to and from the visits, the father may either furnish transportation personally or through a responsible adult.

By Order of the Court,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Sept. 2, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Harry F. White II, Terry Wheeler and John Fuller.

Continued on Page 579

1988

280.	BONNIE FORAN vs. SPA INTERNATIONAL (GAY HILTON) Filed Mar. 23, 1988 @ 4:13 P.M. Tax & entry paid $40.25 ✓	APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF DISTRICT JUSTICE WILLIAM D. CHISHOLM Mar. 23, 1988, Rule Entered Upon Plaintiff to File Complaint Issued. Mar. 24, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

1988

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

281.

Richard J. Enterline

KENNETH G. LACOMBE
Appellant

vs.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Appellee
and
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
Party in Interest

Filed Mar. 23, 1988
@ 4:15 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Mar. 25, 1988, Motion for consolidation of Appeals, and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, Upon motion by the Appellant, and due consideration thereof, it is hereby ordered that the instant appeal is consolidated with the Appellant's prior Appeal before this court and docketed at A.D. 1987 - 279.

FURTHER, it is hereby ordered that the merits of the instant Appeal shall be considered and determined at the hearing on the prior appeal now scheduled for Wednesday, April 27, 1988 at 9:00 a.m.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar. 25, 1988, copy of order to Atty. T. Toomey.

Mar. 30, 1988 Certificate Of Service filed. I, Terry Toomey, Esquire, hereby certify that I am on this day serving notice ofthe Notice of Appeal, Motion to Consolidate, and Order of Court dated March 25, 1988, on the following representatives of the Appellee and the party in interest, and in the following manner: By first class certified mail, return receipt requested, to:

Douglas K. Tobin
Dureau of Driver Licensing
Room 305
Transportation and Safety Building
Harrisburg, PA 17105

Kathy Linn, esquire
Office of the Chief Counsel
1209 State Office Building
300 Liberty Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Rick Enterline, Esquire
Legal Counsel
Catastrophic Loss Trust Fund
1341 Strawberry Square
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Bukac & Toomey
Terry Toomey

Apr. 29, 1988, Appearance of Richard J. Enterline, Esq., attorney on behalf of Commonwealth of Pa., Insurance Department, filed.

Apr. 29, 1988 ORDER FILED. AND NOW, April 27, 1988, testimony having been concluded, the Appellant is granted until June 15, 1988, in which to file a brief and the Commonwealth, both through the Department of Transportation and the Department of Insurance, is granted until July 29, 1988, in which to file a brief.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

May 3, 1988 Copies of order to TerryToomey and David Berkley,

May 23, 1988 Joint Stipulations Of Fact by All Parties filed.

August 9, 1989 Memorandum and ORDER filed. AND NOW, August 9, 1989, the Court holds that the issues raised in the present appeals are moot and the Secretary of Transportation is directed to reinstate the appellant's registration of his vehicle and to accept future applications for renewal and renew the registration without reference to any payment into the Catastrophic Loss Trust Fund, provided such renewal applications are otherwise in accordance with law.

By Order Of The Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 9, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. Terry Toomey, Richard Enterline, David L. Berkley.

1988

Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
Thomas D. O'Shea

282.

NORA J. PRATT

vs.

RANDY E. PRATT

Filed Mar. 24, 1988
@ 10:47 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 7/1/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

April 5, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford Co. PA., on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addessee only, return receipt requested, dated March 24, 1988. The Defendant acknowledged service on March 25, 1988 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Thomas D. O'Shea. Esq.

June 30, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

June 30, 1988 Affidavit of consent of defendant filed.

June 30, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to Transmit the record, this case moved to court.

July 6, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, July 6, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

N O R A J . P R A T T and R A N D Y E P R A T T

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Spatafore, Vardaro,
Walker & Johnson
Anthony Vardaro

283.

TERENCE NEELY AND SHARON NEELY,

vs.

JAMES ELDIN LUCE AND
JALEEN S. LUCE, HIS WIFE

Filed Mar. 24, 1988
@ 1:53 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Costs 29.18

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

April 13, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED and made known to James Eldin Luce personally, the within named defendant, on March 31, 1988 at 8:16 A.M. at his residence, R.D.#2, Saegertown, Pa.

SERVED Jaleen S. Luce, the within named defendant, by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her husband James Eldin Luce, at their residence R.D.#2, Saegertown, Pa.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

284.

William J. Gregg

ROSEANNE TAYLOR

vs.

CHARLES H. TAYLOR

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION
ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, FEES, COSTS & EXPENSES

1 copy (atty)

Filed Mar. 24, 1988
@ 3:51 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
2 counts paid	30.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 9/18/89	9.00 ✓
State pd 9/18/89	10.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 9/27/89	5.00 ✓

Mar. 25, 1988 Petition for Special Relief Under the Divorce Code Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1920.43and Order filed.(1 copy atty.)

ORDER: AND NOW, March 25, 1988, after presentation of the Petition for Special Relief, a rule is issued upon the Defendant to show cause, if any he has, why Plaintiff should not be permitted to remove her separate property and some of the marital personal property from the marital residence.

Hearing is schedule for the 19th day of April, 1988 at 9:15 o'clock A.M., in Court Room 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, at Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar. 30, 1988Copy of Order to Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

Mar. 25, 1988 Motion to Set Hearing on Alimony Pendente Lite Appraisal Fees, Counsel Fees and Expenses filed.((1 copy atty.) and Order filed: AND NOW, March 25, 1988, a hearing on the Motion for Alimony Pendente Lite, Appraisal Fees, Counsel Fees and Expenses is set for the 19 day of April, 1988 at 9:15 o'clock, a.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar. 30, 1988 Copy of Order to Mary Ann Kirkpatrick.

Mar. 29, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. And now, Mar. 29, 1988, comes M. A. Kirkpatrick, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows;

1. That she is the atty. for Roseanne Taylor, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished to her by plff. she caused a cert. copy of the complaint in divorce to be forwarded by cert. mail NO. P 042 056 685, postmarked Mar. 25, 1988 to the last known address of the dft. R. D. #3, Conneaut Lake, Pa. 16316.
3. That the dft. on Mar. 26, 1988 personally signed the ret. rec. card which was affixed to the complaint in the above matter and is attached hereto as Ex. "A".
4. Service fo the complaint against the dft. is therefore effected in accordance with Pa. Rules of Civil Procedure.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq.

April 19, 1988 Motion for continuance and Order filed. Order: And Now , April 19, 1988 Motion granted. (1 copy attorney)

By the Court,

P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 20, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

July 19, 1989 Motion to Reschedule Hearing on Alimony Pendente Lite and Order filed. And NOw, July 19, 1989, hearing on Plaintiff's Motion For Alimony Pendente Lite, Appraisal Fees, Counsel Fees and expenses is scheduled for Aug. 15, 1989, at 10:00 o'clock a.m./p.m. in court room number one or two of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pennsylvania.

By the Court,
P. R. Thomas, J

July 19, 1989 copy of order put in Mary Ann Kirkpatrick's box.

Aug. 14, 1989 Appearance of William J. Gregg for Defendant filed.

Aug. 14, 1989 Motion to Continue and Order filed. AND NOW, August 14, 1989, the hearing is rescheduled from Aug. 21, 1989, at 3:30 o'clock, P.M., in Courtroom 2.

BY the court,
Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 14, 1989 Copies put in Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and William Gregg's box.

Aug. 28, 1989, Motion to Continue Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, August 28, 1989, the within motion is allowed. (continued generally)

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 30, 1989, copies of order to M. Kirkpatrick and W. Gregg, attys. (box)

Sept. 12, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept 13, 1989 Memorandum of Agreement as to Equitable Distribution, Alimony Pendente Lite Counsel Fees, Costs and Expenses filed.

Sept. 15, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Sept. 27, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And Now, September 26, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Roseanne Taylor, Plaintiff, and Charles H. Taylor, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

By the Court.
Robert L. Walker, J

Sept. 27, 1989, Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name filed. To be Known As; ROSEANNE EULIANO.

1988

JOhn Paul Garhart

285.

ROBERT GOODRICH

vs.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

Filed Mar. 25, 1988
@ 8:40 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

2 ccopies (atty)

RULE TOSHOW CAUSE:

AND NOW this 25th day of March 1988, upon consideration of the petition for a writ of habeas corpus it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED athat argument on said Petition shall be held the 20th day of April 1988, at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar. 25, 1988, copy of order to Atty. Garhart.

July 5, 1988 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, this 1st day of July, 1988, the defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is hereby denied and the District Attorney is ordered to schedule this case for the next available trial term.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas

July 5, 1988 Copies of order to John Garhart and John Dawson

July 5, 1988 Exhibits filed with papers.

1988

Bozic & Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

286.

DIXIE L. FILEGAR

vs.

MARK A. FILEGAR

Filed Mar. 25, 1988
@ 8:54 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
3 counts paid	45.00
Pro Co pd 5/18/89	9.00
State pd 5/18/89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, PERMANENT ALIMONY, TEMPORARY ALIMONY, FEES,COSTS

1 copy (atty)

May 17, 1989 Affidavit of Service of Complaint In Divorce filed. R. CHARLES THOMAS, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on March 25th, 1988, he sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce, properly endorsed with the Notice To dEfend, filed in the above action to the Defendant, Mark A. Filegar, at Defendant's last known address of 858 Lamont Drive, Meadville, PA 16335, by Certified Mail No. P414 739 192, postage prepaid, restricted delivery, return receipt requested, and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as March 31st, 1988.
R. Charles Thomas

May 17, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 17, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 17, 1989 Motion For Withdrawal of counts from Divorce Complaint and Order filed. And now, May 17th, 1989 The within Motion is allowed and Plaintiff is authorized and directed to withdraw Counts II, III and IV fromthe Complaint in Divorce filed in this matter and this case shall proceed on the divorce alone.
P. Richard Thomas, J

May 18, 1989 copy of order put in R. Charles Thomas's box.

May 17, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

May 30, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, May 30, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that DIXIE L. FILEGAR, plaintiff, and MARK A. FILEGAR, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

287.

Fuller, Kunnunen, Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

MARK R. PRICE

vs.

SHEILA R. PRICE

Filed Mar. 25, 1988
@ 8:54 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co. Pd 8-24-89	9.00
State Surcharge Pd.	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 18, 1989 Appearance of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso & Gamble By Ann Hansen Gamble, Attorney for Defendant filed for the Plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer an July 10, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 26, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

August 24, 1989 Affidavit of Service of Complaint in Divorce filed. R. Charles Thomas, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on March 25, 1988, he sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce, properly endorsed with the Notice To Defend, filed in the above action to the Defendant, Sheila R. Price, at Defendant's last known address of Box 49, Utica, PA. 16362, by Certified Mail No. P 414 739 191, postage prepaid, restricted delivery, return receipt requested, and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as April 1, 1988.

R. Charles Thomas

August 24, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Sept. 1, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, September 1, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that MARK R. PRICE, plaintiff, and SHEILA R. PRICE, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

AS OF MAY 3, 2000 - ANY FILINGS INVOLVING THIS CASE MAY NOW BE FOUND ON THE COMPUTER

1988

Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
Douglas W. Ferguson

288.

IN RE:
PRIVATE SALE OF PINE
TOWNSHIP LAND, A PARCEL
CONSISTING OF APPROXIMATELY
1½ ACRES WITH CRAWFORD COUNTY
ASSESSMENT NO. 3902-088

Filed Mar. 25, 1988
@ 10:08 a.m.

Tax & entry 3/30/88 $40.50 ✓

OBJECTIONS TO PRIVATE SALE OF LAND AND PROPERTY

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 25 day of March 1988, upon presentation and consideration ofthe within Pbjections to the sale of Land and Property by the Crawford County Tax C laim bureau, a rule is granted upon the treasurer ofCrawford County and upon James r. Stewart and Dorothy M. Stewart, his wife, of R. D. #2, Box 18, Linesville, Pa. 16124, to show cause if any they should have, why the tax sale for the property assessed to Dorothy I. Wolford for the sum of $350.00 should not be set aside.

This rule is returnable for hearing on the 26 day of April 1988, at 1:30 p.m. at the Crawford CountyCourthouse, C. R. No. 1 or 2.

It is further ordered and decreed that if said Objections totheSales are granted, all parties appearing at such time shall be prepared to bid on the aforesaid property in an immediate auction to be conducted by Frederick Wagner, tax Claim Director, with the aforesaid propertyto sell for not less than a sum to be set by this court pursuant to 72 P.S. 5860.613(a).

A true and correct copy ofthis order shall be served upon theTreasurer of crawford County, Pa. by regular mail and upon the proposed purchaser of the private tax sale, James R. Stewart and Dorothy M. Stewart, his wife, by cert. mail to their address at R. D. #2, Box 18, Linesville, Pa. 16424.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar. 25, 1988, copy oforder to Atty. D. Ferguson.

April 26, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Douglas W. Ferguson, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he forwarded a copy of the Petition and Court's Order of March 25, 1988 to James R. Stewart and Dorothy M. Stewart, his wife by certified mail no. P-547 806 887 to their address at R.D. # 2, Box 18, Linesville, Pa. 16424. Said certified mail was received by Dorothy Stewart on Mar. 29, 1988 as will more fully appear by reference by the attached certified mail receipt.

FERGUSON, SPATARO & O'SHEA
By: Douglas W. Ferguson, Esq.

April 28, 1988 Order filed. Order: AND NOW, April 26, 1988, the Court having previously established an upset bid price for the property at $350.00 and the same having been offered but the County having incurred additional costs in this case, the Court deems its previous upset bid to be inadequate. After receipt of the amount of further costs expended for postage and advertising, the Court deems an upset bid to be not less than $500.00 and directs the County Treasurer to conduct an auction sale forthwith to sell the property to either of the two bidders present in the courtroom.

SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER

AND NOW, April 26, 1988, after the Treasurer conducts an auction sale for said property, the successful bidder is David Pachuk for the sum of $600.00, which will be paid to the Treasurer forthwith. The Treasurer is directed within ten (10) days to prepare a Treasurer's Deed for delivery to counsel for the successful bidder.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P.Richard Thomas, P.J.

April 29, 1988 copies of order to Tax Claim Bureau, Anthony J. Vardaro and Douglas W. Ferguson, Esqs.

1988

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

289.

JOHN PHILLIP RITCHEY

vs.

JANICE ESTHER RITCHEY

Filed Mar. 25, 1988
@ 3:37 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
Pro Co pd 2/17/89	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 30, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code along with a Count for Custody was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on March 25, 1988.

3. The registered mail was sent to Janice e. Ritchey at 401 Randolph Street, Meadville, PA 16335. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on March 26, 1988. Said receipt card number P 547 807 186 is attached hereto and signed by Janice Ritchey.

Bruce A. Barrett

Feb. 16, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 16, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 16, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Mar. 8, 1989 Order filed. The matter is praeciped to the Court for grant of a consent divorce, but we must decline to enter the Decree at this time. The Court notes that in the original complaint filed March 25, 1988, the count of custody involving a two year old minor child was raised. The papers in this case do not disclose if the custody issue has been withdrawn or amicably resolved by the parties.

Accordingly, we are in doubt as to whether we should grant the divorce and reserve this issue for future disposition, or whether plaintiff wishes to withdraw the custody count in this action. Until we are advised of the intention of the parties in this regard, we will return this divorce action to the Prothonotary's Office pending clarification after which the same can be repraeciped to the Court for consideration.

Dated: March 7, 1989.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas ,J

Mar. 9, 1989 Copies of order to Bruce Barrett

Mar. 10, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to Transmit the Record, this case submitted to the court.

Mar. 10, 1989, AGREEMENT BETWEEN PARTIES filed.

ORDER: AND NOW, this 14 day of March, 1989, the attached Agreement dated June 27, 1988, and said agreement having been signed by the parties, the said Agreement is hereby adopted in it's entirety and the terms and conditions of the said Agreement are adopted as an Order of this Court. P. richard Thomas, J

Mar. 15, 1989 Copy of order to Bruce Barrett

Mar. 14, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D.**

And now, March 14, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that John Phillip Ritchey, plaintiff, and Janice Esther Ritchey, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Marshall, McNamee,
MacFarlane & McCune
Timothy F. McCune

290.

JOHN R. MURPHY AND
DEBORAH K. MURPHY, HIS WIFE

vs.

ROBERT GLENN COOPER

Filed Mar. 28, 1988
@ 10:50 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's costs 32.50

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

May 6, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Robert Glenn Cooper personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Summons to him on April 26, 1988 at 3:55 PM at his place of residence, Oakmere Place, East Side, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, E. ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sher.
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
Louis J. Stack

291.

PHILIP TEMPLIN

vs.

OAKLAND BEACH PROPERTIES, INC.

Filed Mar. 28, 1988
@ 10:56 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	48.25
Pro Co Pd 12/19/88	6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

May 19, 1988 Writ Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Sgt. John Telford who bieng duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that onthe 27 day of April, 1988, at 5:00 p..m he did not serve Oakland Beach Properties , filed at No. 291 Term, 1988, Crawford County ,Pennsylvania, upon defendant Mr. Edward Prebor Pres. of Oakland Beach Properties at 504 Delaware Ave. Oakmont PA By making known the contents thereof to NEI-attempts made never there.
So answers, Sgt. Telford, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff
Not Found, as to Mr. Edward G. Prebor, President of within named defendant Oakland Beach Properties, Inc. as per affidavit received from Sheriff of Allegheny County, and hereto attached.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 30, 1988, Summons reissued.

Dec. 16, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

J. Terrence Farrell

292.

JAMES C. SHULL, SR.

vs.

MARK S. ALLEN, AND
DEBRA L. ALLEN, FORMERLY
DEBRA L. ERFORD, HIS WIFE

Filed Mar. 28, 1988
@ 11:03 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 6-1-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

1 copy (atty)

May 3, 1988 Acceptance of Service filed: We, Mark A. Allen and Debra L. Allen, formerly known as Debra L. Erford, do hereby accept service of the complaint in Civil Action Mortgage Foreclosure filed on behalf of James C. Shull, Sr. on ths 27 day of April, 1988.

Mark S. Allen
Debra L. Allen
Debra L. Erford

AND NOW, June 1, 1988 @ 3:47 P.M. upon praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants in the amount of Fourteen Thousand Two Hundred Thirty-four and 64/100 Dollars plus interest from February 1, 1988 at the rate of 8.50 percent plus costs.

A-124, 217, 44

Debt.....$14,234.64 + int.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

June 3, 1988 Judgment entered.

EX 1988-46

1988

Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman, Berlin, May, Walsh and Brabender Stephen H. Hutzelman 293.	ASSOCIATES CONSUMER DISCOUNT COMPANY vs. BENTON C. KIGHTLINGER Filed Mar. 28, 1988 @ 11:25 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Sheriff's Costs 20.00	COMPLAINT 1 copy (sheriff) Apr. 18, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Benton C. Kighlinger within named defendant on april 4, 1988 at 10:46 AM by handing a true copy of the wtihin Complaint to Jason Kightlinger, adult member of the family with whom he resides, namely his daughter, at their residence, 1344 Pennsylvania Avenue, Meadville, Crawford County, PA. So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Jack, Kookogey & Felton
Robert J. Felton

294.

JEFFREY R. JACKSON

VS.

HOLLY M. JACKSON

Filed Mar. 28, 1988
@ 1:57 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

Pro Co. Pd. 7-19-88. #9 09

Pro Co. pd. 9-20-90 5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

July 19, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Robert J. Felton, Esq., 144 West Spring St., Titusville,PA., Atty. for Jeffrey R. Jackson, Plaintiff in the above action, do hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed at the above term and number upon the Defendant, Holly M. Jackson, 306 East Spruce St., Titusville, Pa. 16335, by mailing the same to her by Certified Mail No. P 620 653 651, the same being posted at the Titusville, PA.,, Post Office on March 29, 1988, the same having been received by the Defendant on March 30, 1988, as verified by the return receipt attached hereto.

Robert J. Felton

July 19, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 19, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

July 19, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Aug. 2, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, August 2, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

J E F F R E Y R . J A C K S O N and H O L L Y M . J A C K S O N

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Sept. 20, 1990 NOTICE OF ELE CTION TO RETAKE MAIDEN(or prior) NAME FILED.

TO BE KNOWN AS : HOLLY MARIE ERICKSON

1988

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs
Theodore H. Watts for Richmonds
William L. Walker

295.

assigned to Stanley G. Richmond & Laura Richmond

~~PENNBANK, FORMERLY THE PA. BANK AND TRUST COMPANY~~

vs.

WILLIAM K. HILL,
MARTHA A. HILL,
STANDLEY G. RICHMOND,
LAURA RICHMOND,
MEADVILLE SPORTING GOODS

Filed Mar. 28, 1988
@ 4:24 p.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$15.00
plff on orig	20.50
Sheriff's Costs	45.30
Pro Co. Pd. 5-3-89	5.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

AD 1983 - 263

Writ of revival of judgment indexed against the defendants in the amount of Fifty Seven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars, with int. from April 7, 1978.
Debt.....$57,500.00
Dated.....Mar. 28, 1988
Int. from 4/7/78
H - 171, 209 R - 152, 102 M - 181

JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL
James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

Apr. 20, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED Meadville Sporting Goods onMarch 31, 1988 at 11:26 AM by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revial to William K. Hill, co-owner and personin charge, at place of business, 903 Market Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO William K. Hill personally the wihtin named defendant on March 31, 1988 at 11:27 AM at his place of business, 903 Market Street Meadville, Crawford County, PA
SERVED Martha A. Hill within named defendant on March 31, 1988 at 11:28 AM by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revial to William K. Hill, co-owner of her business, 903 Market Street, Meadville, Crawford County,PA
SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Laura Richmond personally the within named defendant on April 6, 1988 at 11:28 AM at her place of residence, R.D.#8, Norrisville Road, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Standley G. Richmond within named defendant on April 6, 1988 at 11:29 AM by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival to Laura Richmond, adult member of the family with whom he resides, namely his wife, at their residence, R.D.#8, Norrisville Road, Meadville, Crawford County,PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder,Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 3, 1989, This Judgment assigned to Stanley G. Richmond and Laura Richmond (see paper filed).

May 10, 1989 Appearance of Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC by Theodore H. Watts, Esq. for Plaintiffs Richmond filed.

1988

Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman, Berlin, May, Walsh and Brabender Stephen Hutzelman 296.	SETH PETER LEVINE, M.D. vs. JOSEPH WHITFORD Filed Mar. 29, 1988 @ 11:26 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Sheriff's Costs 22.15 Pro.Co. pd 1/19/93 5.00 ✓	COMPLAINT 1 copy (sheriff) Apr 13, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Joseph Whitford the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Mary Kurst, the adult in charge of his residence, on March 31, 1988 at 8"34 A.M., at 117 High Street, Cambridge Springs, Pa. So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff Jan. 19, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Robert S. Bailey
Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack

297.

TROJAN INC. d/b/a
HYTRON ELECTRIC PRODUCTS

vs.

HARRISON ELECTRIC

Filed Mar. 29, 1988
@ 1:29 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Pro Co Pd 5-9-88 9.00 ✓

Exempt Pd 9/14/88 13.50 ✓

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

Apr 12, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Robert S. Bailey, attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned matter, verify that on the 29th day of March, 1988, I did by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, first class mail, postage prepaid, mail a true and correct certified copy of the Complaint in this matter to the defendant at their known business address of 1017 East Main Street, Nacogodoches, Texas 75963. Receipts for certified mail are attached hereto.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
Robert S. Bailey
Attorney for Plaintiff

AND NOW, May 10, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney with Notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the same is liquidated in the amount of One Thousand Two Hundred Fifteen and 60/100 Dollars plus interest and costs of suit.

H-184 Debt.....$1,215.60 + Costs & Interest
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary
May 10, 1988 Judgment entered.
May 10, 1988 Notice of Judgment mailed defendant.

1988

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

298.

JEAN M. HAYES HARMAN

vs.

GREGORY HARMAN

Filed Mar. 29, 1988
@ 1:36 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

ProCo Paid 8/17/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Aug. 15, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 15, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

August 16, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Terry Toomey, Esq., attorney for the Plaintiff, hereby certifies and verifies the following:

1. He is an adult individual employed as a licensed Pennsylvania Attorney maintianing an office at 307½ Chestnut Street Meadville, Pa 16335
2. Terry Toomey, Esq., is presently representing the Plaintiff, Jean M. Hayes, in the above captioned action in divorce.
3. On April 7,1988, Terry Toomey, Esq., sent a certified, true and correct copy of the Notice to Defend and Claim Rights and a Complaint in Divorce to the Defendant at 649 Jennie Lane, Apt. E-5, Fairbanks, Alaska99709, by certified mail return receipt requested, restricted delivery, Certified No.P-547-809-460. The Original of the senders receipt is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
4. On April 12, 1988, the Defendant apparently received the aforesaid certified, true and correct copy of the Notice to Defend and Claim Rights and Complaint in Divorce as evidence by the signature on the green return receipt card, Certified no. P-547-809-460. The return receipt card is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit of Service are true and correct. I understand that false statements made herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.A. Section 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Terry Toomey, ESQ.

August 16, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Terry Toomey, Esquire, Atty for Plaintiff this case is moved to court.

Sept. 8, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, September 8, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

J E A N M . H A Y E S H A R M A N and **G R E G O R Y H A R M A N**

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

MASON & MASON
M. Dan Mason

299.

~~David W. Pickens~~
(withdrawn)

3/30/88
$720.00 Cash Bond
Posted.

E. QUORESIMO

vs.

CAROL MOORE

Filed Mar. 30, 1988
@ 8:44 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FORM JUDGMENT OF
MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-2-01

Mar. 30, 1988, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Mar. 30, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

April 19, 1988 Complaint filed. 1 copy(atty)

May 6, 1988, Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, David w. Pickens, appearance withdrawn.

May 6, 1988, Motion to Withdraw Appearance and Order filed ORDER: AND NOW, this 6th day of May 1988, the motion of atty. for the defendant is hereby granted.
1 copy (atty) BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

May 9, 1988, copies of order to Attys. M. D. Mason and D. Pickens.

May 16, 1988 Petition for Dismissal of Appeal and Order filed: AND NOW, this 16th day of May, 1988, upon consideration of the attached Petition for Dismissal of Appeal, hearing is scheduled for the 26th day of May, 1988 at 11 o'clock A.M., courtroom # 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
Per Curiam,
Robert L. Walker, J

May 16, 1988 Copies of order to Dan Mason, Esq.

May 26, 1988 I,Verna M. Mode, delivered the within Petition to Carol Moore on May 18, 1988, by posting the Petition, with attached Order in a conspicuous place at the fron door of said residence, informing her that a hearing is scheduled for May 26, 1988 at 11:00 A.M. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, in Meadville, Pennsylvania. Verna M. Bode

May 26, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, May 26, 1988, at the time set for hearing on the above petition, the Defendant fails to appear even though proof of notice was filed this date. Accordingly, the petition is granted, the appeal is dismissed, and the original judgment awarding possession to the Plaintiff/Landlord is reinstated and the Defendant is directed to vacate the premises no later than 5:00 p.m. May 31, 1988. BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

May 27, 1988 Copies of order to Dan Mason.

1988

Hayt, Hayt & Landau
~~Scott D. Landau~~
Arthur Lashin

300.

FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY
One American Road
Dearborn, Michigan 48122

vs.

DAVID A. LIMANO
1106 Forrestgrave
Meadville, Pa. 16335

Filed Mar. 30, 1988
@ 1:33 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Pro Co Pd 5-9-88	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Apr. 18, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED David A. Limano within named defendant on April 4, 1988 at 11:18 AM by handing a true copy ofthe within Complaint to Elaine LImano, adult member of the family with whom he resides, namely his mother, at their residence, 1106 Forrest Green Dr., Meadville, Crawford County, pA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, May 9, 1988 @ 10:58 A.M. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney with Notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant for failure to file an answer and damages are assessed as follows: Unpaid Balance, $Seven Thousand Eight Hundred Seven and 46/100 Dollars; plus interest; plus attorney fees at 20%, One Thousand Five Hundred Sixty-one and 49/100 Dollars less Credits if any; TOTAL DUE, Nine Thousand Three Hundred Sixty-eight and 95/100 Dollars .
L-42 Debt.....$9,368 + Int.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary
May 9, 1988 Judgment entered.
May 10, 1988 Notice of Judgment mailed defendant.

EX 1988-70

July 28, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Scott D. Landau, this appearance on behalf of Plaintiff withdrawn, appearance of Arthur Lashin on behalf of Plaintiff filed.

1988

MacDonald, Illig,
Forssell & Kirvan
Kemp C. Scales

301.

NORTHWEST MUTUAL SAVINGS ASSOCIATION

vs.

HOWARD A. WILLIS AND
ELIZABETH J. WILLIS,
HIS WIFE

Filed Mar. 30, 1988
@ 1:39 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 27.15 ✓
Pro Co Pd 4-28-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN ACTION OF MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

apr. 20, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO ELIZABETH J. Willis personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on april 5, 1988 at 1:40 PM at her place of residence, R.D.#2, Rt. 27, Guys Mills, Crawford County, PA
SERVED Howard N. Willis within named defendant on April 5, 1988 at 1:41 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Elizabeth J. Willis, adult member of the family with whom he resides, namely his wife, at their residence, R.D.32, Route 27, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, April 28 @ 12:52 p.m. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendants, for want of an Answer, and damages are assessed as follows: Amount claimed in plaintiff's complaint, Four Thousand and 99/100 Dollars; Interest from March 4, 1988 Plus attorneys' fees and costs of suit.
W-81, 51

Debt.....$4,000.99 + Atty fees & costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Apr 28, 1988 Judgment entered.

1988

Elderkin, Martin,
Kelly, Messina &
Zamboldi
Kenneth G. Vasil

302.

ROBERT R. RICHARDSON AND
GWYNNE RICHARDSON, HIS WIFE

vs.

WILLIAM A. JAGGERS,
INDIVIDUALLY AND t/d/b/a
WILLIAM A. JAGGERS
PLUMBING & HEATING COMPANY

Filed Mar. 30, 1988
@ 1:59 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 3/30/90 - #6.00

SUMMONS - CIVIL ACTION

Issued (atty)

May 2, 1988 Acceptance of Service filed: I accept service of the Writ of Summons on behalf of the Defendants William A. Jaggers, individually and t/d/b/a William A. Jaggers Plumbing & Heating Company, and certify that I am authorized to do so.

Anthony J. Vardaro

Mar 29, 1990 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, this case is marked discontinued of record.

1988

Elderkin, Martin, Kelly, Messina & Zamboldi
Kenneth G. Vasil

303.

ROBERT R. RICHARDSON AND GWYNNE RICHARDSON, HIS WIFE

vs.

BUCKEYE GAS PRODUCTS COMPANY

Filed Mar. 30, 1988
@ 2:03 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 30.12

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Apr. 18, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED Buckeye Gas Pruducts Company within named defendant on April 4, 1988 at 2:38 PM by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Joan Allshouse, clerk and person for the timebeing in charge, at their place of business, Rt. 618, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA
So answers, Edgar D. HOuseholder, Deputy SHeriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Gary A. Fabian

304.

CYNTHIA E. STROUP

vs.

RICHARD J. STROUP

Filed Mar. 30, 1988
@ 3:48 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
2 counts paid	30.00
Pro Co. Pd 12/29/88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION
ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE

1 copy (atty)

April 8, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: Gary A. Fabian, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that:

1. He is an attorney authorized to practice law within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

2. He is the attorney for the plaintiff in the above captioned matter.

3. On March 29, 1988, he had deposited int eh United State Mail by certified mial, return receipt requested, Certified Mail No. P 414 752 677 as evidenced by Certified Mail Receipt No. P 414 752 677 attached hereto and made a part hereof, a true and correct copy of a complaint in divorce addressed to Richard J. Stroup said complaint in divorce naming Richard J. Stroup as the defendant in the above-captioned matter.

4. Service was obtained upon the defendant, Richard J. Stroup, on March 31, 1988, as evidenced by Return Receipt for Certified Mail No. P 414 752 677 attached hereto and made a part hereof, said receipt being signed by Richard J. Stroup.

FURTHER DEPONENT SAYETH NOT.
Gary A. Fabian, Esquire

Dec. 29, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 29, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec. 29, 1988 Marital Settlement Agreement filed.

Dec. 29, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Jan. 20, 1989 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

1. **DIVORCE:** Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above named parties onthe grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have e lapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce,pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. **EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATION AND DISTRIBUTION:** This decree provides that that marital and non marital property of the above named parties shall be divided in accordance with a marital settlement agreement dated December 29, 1988, and executed by the parties and filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, at the above captioned number and term.

This agreement, in parti, provides that defendant shall be the sole owner of certain jointly owned real estate in East Mead Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, together with a mobile home located thereon as set forth in Paragraph 3 of the aforesaid marital settlement agreement dated december 29, 1988, said agreement further provides that defendant will deliver to plaintiff the sum of Three Thousand Two Hundred ($3,200.00) Dollars in cash and pay the sum of Seven Hundred Fifty ($750.00) Dollars in installments to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Public Welfare in exchange for plaintiff's interest in and to the subject real estate and mobile home, upon receipt of which, plaintiff will deliver to defendant a duly executed quit-claim deed to the subject real estate conveying her interest in and to the subject real estate together with an executed power of attorney to permit assignment of the title to the said mobile home to the defendant.

Further, defendant shall obtain the aforesaid sum of Three Thousand Two Hundred ($3,200.00) Dollars from his401K plan through New York Life at his place of employment, Leech Tool & Die Works, Inc., which plan is administrered through D.C.A., Inc. Pension Planners of North America. Pursuant to Paragraph 3 of the agreement, wife shall be entitled to the sum of Three Thousand Two Hundred ($3,200.00) Dollars from this plan and shall receive the same from husband pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 3 of the marital settlement agreement in exchange for the therein described deed and assignment.

Further, the division of all other marital owned and non marital owned tangible personal property shall be made in accordance with Paragraph 5 of the marital settlement agreement dated December 29, 1988.

3. A copy ofthe marital settlement agreement of the parties, dated December 29, 1988, which sets out in further detail the agreement of the parties concerning the matters herein shall be filed with this Decree in the Prothonotary's Office at the above number and term.

This Order shall be effective as of this 20th day of January, 1989.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Spector Law Offices
John C. Evans

305.

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
E. Max Weiss

MELINDA STEELE, ADMINISTRATRIX of the ESTATE OF JESSICA ANN COULTER, A MINOR; DECEASED

vs.

JOHN C. AND ELAINE NICKELSON

Filed Mar. 31, 1988
@ 10:43 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 32.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Apr. 28, 1988 Plaintiff's Notice Of Deposition of Trooper Jeffrey Mermon filed.

May 12, 1988 Writ REturn filed. SERVED and made known to John C. Nickelson personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Summons to him on April 20, 1988 at 2:28 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA SERVED Joyce Elaine Nickelson wihtin named defendant on April 20, 1988 at 2:29 PM by handing a true copy of the within Summons to John C. Nickelson, her authorizd agent, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA, as per his signed acceptance of service hereto attached.
So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 26, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by E. Max Weiss for Defendant filed.

Jan. 25, 1989 Notice Of Service of Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents filed.

Mar. 13, 1989 Certification of Service of Answer to Plaintiff's Interrogatories filed.

Oct. 10, 1989 Complaint filed.

Aug. 22, 1990 Plaintiff's Petition for Leave to Settle and Compromise Civil Action filed. (1 copy atty.) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED.

Aug. 22, 1990 Order filed. (1 copy atty.) ORDER: AND NOW, this 22nd day of August, 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the Plaintiff's Petition to Settle and Compromise a Civil Action, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that said motion be and it hereby is granted and that settlement and compromise of this action be and it hereby is approved.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the settlement proceeds shall be distributed as follows:

Specter Law Offices, P.C. (attorney's fees)	$24,000.00
Specter Law Offices, P.C. (costs advanced)less reimbursed expenses	2,178.88
Melinda A. Steele (now Eells) Administratrix of the Estate of Jessica Ann Coulter, for the benefit of the Estate of Jessica Ann Coulter	33,821.12
TOTAL	$60,000.00

Council for the Plaintiff shall file of record the contingent fee agreement.
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 24, 1990 copy of order to E. Max Weiss.

Cauley and Conflenti
Robert L. Mellinger

306.

ROBERT MORIAN, SANDRA MORIAN, HIS WIFE, ALICE MORIAN, A MINOR, BY ROBERT MORIAN AND SANDRA MORIAN, HER PARENTS AND NATURAL GUARDIANS, AND AMBER MORIAN, A MINOR, BY ROBERT MORIAN AND SANDRA MORIAN, HER PARENTS AND NATURAL GUARDIAN, AND ROBERT AND SANDRA MORIAN INDIVIDUALLY AND IN THEIR OWN RIGHT

vs.

KATHLEEN A. MORIAN

Filed Mar. 31, 1988
@ 10:55 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	26.20
Pro Co Pd 7-14-88	6.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

April 28, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Kathleen A. Morian within named defendant on April 12, 1988 at 2:45 PM by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Robert Morian, adult member of the family with whom she resides, namely her brother-in-law, at her place of residence, R.D.# 1, Atlantic, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, EDgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 17, 1988 Petition for the approval of the SEttlement of minors cliams, Certificate of Counsel, Consent and Joinder and Order Filed. AND NOW, this 17th day of June, 1988, upon petition of Franklyn E. Conflenti, Esquire, and Robert L. Mellinger, Esquire, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed, that the within action at No. AD 1988 - 306 shall be marked and discontinued and the Petitioners are hereby authorized to execute all Releases necessary to obtain the settlements in the amounts of $5,000.00 and $55,000.00 for Amber and Alice Morian, respectively, are hereby approved with the proceeds received in settlement to be allcoated and distributed as follows: AS TO: Amber Morian (a) Cauley and Conflenti Costs and expenses $ 128.20 (b) Cauley and Conflenti attorney's fees $ 1,6000 (c) In trust for Amber Morian, A minor, To be placed in an interest bearing account with no withdrawal until she attains the age of majority except as authorized by Order of Court $3,271.80 AS TO: AliceMorian (a) Cauley and Conflenti Costs and Expenses $353,10 (b) Cauley and Conflenti Attoreny's Fees $18,200.00 (c) In Trust for Alice Morian, A minor, to be placed in an interest bearing account with no withdrawal until she attains theage of majority except as authorized by order of Court $36,446.90.
BY THE COURT P. Richard Thomas

July 14, 1988 Supplemental Statement of Counsel filed.

July 14, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney, this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

June 14, 1989 Petition For The Approval Of The Settlement of Minors' Under Insurance Claims and Order filed. AND NOW, this 14 day of June, 1989, upon petition of Robert Alton Wilson, Esquire:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the Petitioners are hereby authorized to execute all Releases necessary to obtain the offered underinsurance benefits.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the settlements in the amounts of $5,000.00 and $50,000.00 for AMBER and ALICE MORIAN, respectively, are hereby approved with the proceeds received in settlement to be allocated and distributed as follows:

AS TO: Amber Morian

(a) Cauley and Conflenti Attorney's fees	$1,665.00
(b) In Trust for Amber Morian, a minor, to be placed in a federally-insured interest bearing account with no withdrawl until she attains the age of majority, except as authorized by Order of court,	$3,335.00
	$5,000.00

AS TO: Alice Morian

(a) Cauley and Conflenti Attorney's fees	$16,650.00
(b) In Trust for Alice Morian, a minor, to be placed in a federally-insured interest bearing account with no withdrawal until she attains the age of majority except as authorized by Order of Court	$33,350.00
	$50,000.00

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that counsel shall file with the records in this case proof of deposit in the Bank or Institution acting in a trust capacity.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

June 16, 1989 Copy of order mailed to Robert Mellinger

July 26, 1989, Proof of Deposit filed.

1988

Kathleen R. Nelson

307.

JOANNE L. SHARPE

vs.

JOHN E. SHARPE

Filed Mar. 31, 1988
@10:57 a.m.

Tax & entryPaid $40.50

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

April 11, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: KATHLEEN R. NELSON, ESQUIRE, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an adult over the age of eighteen (18) years; that she served a Complaint in Divorce filed in the above matter on JOHN E. SHARPE personally by handing to him a true and correct copy of thereof and informing him of its contents at his then residence, Lake Road Motel, 1509 Conneaut Lake Road, Meadville, Pennsylvania on April 6, 1988 at 9:05 a.m.

Deponent further avers that at the time of said service the said JOHN E. SHARPE identified himself to deponent.

Kathleen R. Nelson, Esquire

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a part in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 4-11-88 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 8, 1997 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

David W. Pickens

308.

David M. Keck

CHRIS A. LEHMANN AND
SHERRY J. LEHMANN

vs.

WILLIAM V. RAUSCH,
INDIVIDUALLY AND d/b/a
W & W CONSTRUCTION CO.

Filed Mar. 31, 1988
@ 11:21 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 40.00
Pro Co. PD 10-31-89 9.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Apr. 21, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Joyce Hyche, Deputy who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that onthe 11th day of April, 1988, at 3:33 PM he served Complaint, filed at No. AD 1988-308, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant William V. Rausch, i/d/b/a W & W Construction company at Sheriff's Office, 140 West 6th street, Erie County, Erie Pennsylvania By making known the contents thereof to William V. Rausch and handing him the certified copy of the complaint received from Crawford County.
So answer, Joyce Hyche, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff
SERVED William V. Rausch within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, PA, as per his affidavit of service attached. SERVED: April 11, 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 19, 1988 Answer to Complaint and Counterclaim of Plaintiff filed.

May 26, 1988 Reply filed.

Aug. 24, 1988 Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories filed.

Sept. 28, 1988 Answers To Pl aintiff's First Set Of Interrogatories filed.

Nov. 7, 1988 Notice of Deposition of William V. Rausch and Certificate of Service filed.

Jan. 30, 1989, Certificate of Readiness and Praecipe to Place on Trial list filed.

ON APRIL 1989 TRIAL LIST

Mar 22, 1989 Motion to Continue Trial from April Civil Trial List Until August Non-Jury Trial List and Order filed: AND NOW, this 21st day of March, 1989, upon consideration of the attached Motion, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECLARED THAT the above-captioned case shall be continued from the April Civil Trial List and scheduled for non jury trial August 1, 1989 at 9:00 A.M. in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar 27, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Pickens and Keck.

On Trial List for June 1989

July 21, 1989 Motion To Continue Trial From August 1st Trial Date Until The Next Trial Date After August 4th and Order filed. And now, July 20, 1989, nonjury trial in above case is hereby reset for August 31, 1989, at 9:30 a.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.
By Order of Court,
P.Richard Thomas, J

July 24, 1989 Copies of order put in David Pickens' box and copy mailed to David Keck

Aug. 30, 1989 MotionFor Continuance and Order filed. AND NOW, August 30, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion for Continuance, the same is hereby granted and the trial in the above-captioned matter is continued until the 6th day of October, 1989, at 9:30 a.m. in Courtrom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.
By Order of Court,
Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 30, 1989 Copy of order put in David Picken's box and copy mailed to David Keck

On Trial List October 1989

Oct. 6, 1989 Exhibits filed.

Oct. 12, 1989 Verdict filed.

And now, October 6, 1989, after nonjury trial the Court enters a verdict in favor of the Plaintiffs and awards damages in the amount of $7,190.00 on the various claims of the Plaintiffs. The Court further finds that the Defendant abandoned the construction project before completion and the unpaid balance due on the original contract of some $2,700.00 has been abandoned by the Defnedant and is not due and owing by the Plaintiffs.
R-176; W-186
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Oct. 13, 1989 Copy put in David Pickens box and copy mailed to David Keck

Oct. 31, 1989 And now, October 31, 1989 at 4:20 p.m. upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney with Certificate of Service, judgment is hereby entered on the Verdict rendered on Oct. 6, 1989, in favor of the Plaintiffs and against the Defendants as follows:

Judgment	$7,190.00, plus costs and interest.
R-176,W-186	Debt $7,190.00 Judgment on Verdict James F. Simmons, Pro.

Dec. 5, 1989 Certificate of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Jan. 26, 1990 Interrogatories In Aid Of Execution filed.

EX/1990-113

1988

Bernstein & Bernstein
Nicholas D.Krawec

309.

D-M-E COMPANY, A DIVISION OF VSI CORPORATION

vs.

KAY-JAY TOOL, INC.

Filed Mar. 31, 1988
@ 11:47 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	20.00
Pro Co. pd 6/8/88	9.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

May 6, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Kay-Jay Tool Inc. within named defendant on April 27, 1988 at 8:23 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Robert Kightlinger, father of the owner of Kay-Jay Tool, Paul D. Kightlinger, at their place of residence, 1120 Carmont Drive, Meadville, Crawford Co., PA.
So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howrd N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, June 8, 1988 at 1:26 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice Of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor ofthe plaintiff and against the defendant, for in default of an Answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Five thousand Eight Hundred twenty-eight and 92.100 plus interest and cost of suit.

K-186
judgment entered 6/8/88

notice mailed to defendant 6/14/88

Debt $5,828.92
Int. from 3/25/88 to 5/31/88
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

Mason and Mason
JOan D. Mason

310.

MARIAN E.SMITH

vs.

OLIVER L.EXLEY AND
ESTHER W. EXLEY

Filed Mar. 31, 1988
@ 1:03 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 102.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

June 1, 1988, Complaint reinstated.

July 1, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

July 25, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. NOT FOUND, as to within named defendant Oliver L Exley, as per affidavit received from Buck Withrow, constable, Dallas County, Texas. Affidavit attached to return.
NOT FOUND as to within named defendant Esther W. Exley as per affidavit received from Buck Withrow, Constable, Dallas County, Texas. Affidavit attached to return.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Elderkin, Martin,
Kelly, Messina & Zamboldi
Kenneth G. Vasil

311.

ROBERT R. RICHARDSON AND
GWYNNE RICHARDSON, HIS WIFE

vs.

WEIL McCLANE CO., A DIVISION
OF WYLAN INC.

Filed Mar. 31, 1988
@ 1:09 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (atty)

Apr. 22, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. The undersigned hereby certifies that he served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in the above matter on the Defendant, weil McClane Co., a Division of Wylan, Inc. who is a non-resident corporation, by mailing the same to themon April 8, 1988 by certified mail, return receipt requested, with sufficient U.S. postage affixed thereto, at their last known address of c/o Wylan, Inc., Michigan City, Indiana, 46360, all as provided by Rule 403 of the Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure. Said Complaint was received by the Defendant on April 12, 1988, all as will more fully appear from the return receipt card, a copy of which is attached hereto as an exhibit and incorporated herein by reference as if more fully set forth.

Kenneth C. AVsil

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

312.

SUSAN A. MAILLE

vs.

MICHAEL J. MAILLE

Filed Mar. 31, 1988
@ 2:20 p.m.

Tax & Entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 10-4-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 11, 1988, Affidavit of Mailing Compalint Under Pa. R.C.P. No. 1124(b) filed. Ann Hansen Gamble, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that hse is an Atty. at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Com. of Pa. and that on April 5, 1988, she sent a copy of Complaint in Divorce, the defendant by cert. mail, restricted del, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to Michael J. Maille, 7383 Buffalo, Rd., Harborcreek, Pa. 16421, the defendant's last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto. Ann Hansen Gamble.

Aug. 22, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Oct 3, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Oct 4, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Oct. 14, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, October 14, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

S U S A N M A I L L E and M I C H A E L J . M A I L L E

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

313.

Margaret Eglinton

DIANE M. JOHNSON

vs.

PHILLIP K. JOHNSON

Filed Mar. 31, 1988
@ 2:47 p.m.

Tax & entry	$40.50
Sheriff's Cost	$34.20

PETITION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

2 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 30 day of March 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition for Protective Order, it is hereby ordered that:

1. A hearing be held on the Petition for Protective Order on the 8th day of Apr. 1988 at 11::00 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 on 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

2. Pending said hearing,the defendant is hereby ordered not to abuse, follow, threaten, harass, oar communicate with the children or the plaintiff, not to contact her in any way whatsoever, directly or through anyone else.

3. Pending said hearing the dft. is hereby excluded from the marital home located at 357 Allegheny St, Meadville, Pa. and is not to enter the premises whatsoever nor come to Bethesda, where plaintiff works.

4. A copy of this order is to be given to the Pa. State Police and the Meadville City police, who are hereby ordered to enforce this order. If the defendant shall violate this order, he shall immediately be committed to the Crawford County Jail, until such time as this matter may come to the attention ofthe court to impose proper penalty for violation of the order.

5. Costs of filing and of service of this Petition on the defendant, are not required to be advanced by the plainitff, but instead shall be determined at the time of the hearing.

Per Curiam,
Robert L.Walker, J.

April 11, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, April 8, 1988, at the time the matter is scheduled for hearing before the Court on this abuse action, counsel for the parties and the parties advise the Court the matter is amicably resolved without the taking of testimony or without proof of any of the allegations in the petition. ACCORDINGLY, the Court directs and the defendant consents that he will not threaten, abuse, annoy or harass his wife or her child in any fashion, He will not contact the wife or the child or procure others to do so on his behalf and he will not go the residence where the wife resides.

The situation is complicated by the fact both parties are employed by the same employer and they amicably agree they will contact the employer in an attempt to design working schedules that minimizes their direct contact with each other.

The Plaintiff has taken up residence in the marital home on Allegheny Street. The defendant agrees that he has removed all of his belongings therefrom and that he will not go to said residence for any purpose without written request from the plaintiff.

The applicability of this Order covers both of the plaintiff's children by agreement of the defendant.

This Order shall remain in force and effect for a period of one (1) year; and in the event of any alleged violations, any Police Officer, after review of a copy of this Order and having reasonable grounds to believe said Order has been violated, shall have the authority to apprehend the defendant for said violation, lodge him in the County Jail and promptly notify the Court and request a prompt hearing.

The defendant agrees to pay the costs in this case, including Sheriff's costs in Crawford and Venango Counties within thirty (30) days of this date.

BY THE ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

April 12, 1988 Copies of order Joan Mason and Margaret Eglinton, Esqs.

Apr 13, 1988 Motion for Issuance of Bench Warrant and Order filed: AND NOW, April 14, 1988, a rule is issued against the defendant to show cause why he should not be held in contempt for violation of the court's Order dated April 8, 1988. Hearing is set for Monday, April 18, 1988, at 9:00 A.M., in Courtroom 1.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

April 14, 1988 Copies of order to Joan Mason and Margaret Eglinton, Esqs.

Apr. 18, 1988 Motion to Continue Hearing and Order filed. And now, 4/18/ Continued to April 27, 1988 3:00 pm. Counsel for defendant must be present.

P. R. Thomas, J

Apr. 20, 1988 Copies of order to Joan Mason and Margaret Eglinton

Apr. 21, 1988 Motion to issue a bench warrant filed.

April 26, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, April21, 1988, after informal hearing, and the filing of an affidavit that there have been alleged violations of this Court's Order of April 8, 1988, the Court amends the aforesaid Order as follows:

Any Police Officer having reasonable grounds to believe that there has been a violation of the Court's Order of April 8, 1988 may immediately apprehend the defendant without a warrant for violation of this Order, lodge him in the Crawford County Jail and notify this Court of said fac5, whereupon, the Court will schedule an immediate hearing. The defendant is cautioned that he may not contact his wife, directly or indirectly, in any way, regardless of whether or not she talks with his relatives.

B Y ORDER OF THE COURT,

P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

April 26, 1988 copies of Order given to Joan D. Mason and Margaret Eglinton, Esqs.

Continued on page 600

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

314.

Ricky D. Haught
Pro Se
Brian J. Lindsay

9/20/90 Rec'd $68.50 From Plaintiff
Rec'd 10-2-90
$68.50
Duncan Stoltz

KAREN M. HAUGHT

vs.

RICKY D. HAUGHT

Filed Mar. 31, 1988
@ 4:08 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 7-8-88 15.00 ✓

Costs Pd 7-15-88 9.00 ✓
William Pineo, Mediator
Mediation Cost 137.00
Pro Co Paid 9/20/90 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

July 11, 1988 Petition for Additional Remedies (Child Custody and Visitation)

July 11, 1988 Acceptance of Service and Entry of Appearance of Defendant filed: I, Ricky D. Haught, the Defendant in the abov-captioned action in Divorce, do hereby accept service of the Plaintiff's Complaint in Divorce and direct that my appearance be entered in said action pro se.
March 31, 1988 Ricky D. Haught, Defendant

July 11, 1988 Acceptance of Service of Petition for Additional Remedies filed.

July 11, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

July 11, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 15, 1988 Consent Custody and Visitation Agreement and Order filed. AND NOW, this 15th day of July, 1988, the foregoing Consent and Custody and Visitation Agreement is hereby by this Court and shall here and aafter be effective and an enforceable Order of this Court.
BY THE COURT,
Robert Walker, J.
Copies of order sent to Terry Toomey 7/15/88

July 15, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Terry Toomey , Attorney for Plaintiff, to Transmit Record, This Case is moved to court.

July 26, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, July 26, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

KAREN M. HAUGHT and RICKY D. HAUGHT

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties rights and claims of either ofthe parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

June 15, 1990 Petition To Modify Custody Order and To Schedule A Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, this 15 day of June, 1990, it is hereby Ordered that Ricky D. Haught, Petitioner herein, shallhave temporary custody of Nicole Haught, beginning July 2, 1990 and Karen Haught shall coop erate and made Nicole available for transportation to Crawford County for the July 2nd visitation with Ricky Haught. Said visitation shall continue until further Order of Court.
REferred to Mediator William Pineo to hold conference. Mediator hearing to be set between July 3 & 13, '90.
2 copies (atty)
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

June 21, 1990 Affidavit filed. I, BRIAN J. LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for RICKY D. HAUGHT, at that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did on the 15th day of June, 1990, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of Petition to Modify Custody and to Schedule a Hearing, to Plaintiff, KAREN M. HAUGHT, at her last known address of 1015 Adams Avenue, Dakota Park South, Minot, North Dakota, 58781, ad evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No.P 276 200 355, and that on the 20th day of June, 1990, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated June 18, 1990.
I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements her ein made are subject to the penalties of 19 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Date: 6/21/90 Brian J. Lindsay

July 10, 1990 Counterclaim For Primary Physical Custody filed.

July 23, 1990 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 23 day of July, 1990, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:
1. The parites shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child.
2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, an dother care providers are her eby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.
3a. The father shall have primary physical custody of his daughter from June 15 of each year until August 15 of each year. The mother shallhave primary physical custody of her daughter from August 15 of each year until June 15, of the following year.
b. The parent not having physical custody shall have the right to telephone the subject minor child once each week for a period not to exceed one-half hour. The non-custodial parent shall give the custodial parent one week's notice either by telephone or in writing of the time he/she wishes to make the telephone call. The custodial parent shallhave Nicole ready to receive the telephone call. The conversation shall not be unreasonably monitored by the custodial parent.
4. HOliday visitation shall be arranged as follows:
a. Christmas holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows: A Christmas holiday visitation period shall begin in the morning of the day following the close of Nicole's school session and end in the afternoon of December 26.

KAREN M. HAUGHT

VS.

RICKY HAUGHT

Record continued from page 314

of record

A.D. 1988 - 314

****

For Christmas 1990, Nicole shall spend the Christmas holiday period with her mother, for 1991, with her father, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the visitation period. The father shallhave the right of visitation and temporary physical custody each year from December 26, in the afternoon until January 1 in the afternoon, all times to be adjusted to fit transportation scheduled.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitation as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall motify the other par jty as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The mediator informs the court that fiven the distances involved it will be necessary to rely generally on air transportation. The parties shall arrange transportation between the airport in Cleveland, Ohio, and the airport serving Minot, NOrth Dakota, the mother's residence. The party receiving the children and the exercise of his/her visitation rights shall be responsible for the cost of arranging the transportation of Nicole and, if required, of a chaperone to accompany her. In this way, the overall costs of transportation shall be split evenly. The parties shall make every good faith effort to take advantage of any airfare discounts to be had by making arrangements well in advance of the schedule dtimes for the transfer of custody or for visitations. As an exc eption to the above rule, the father shall be responsible for paying 75 percent of the airfare for Nicole and custodian to return Nicole to the mother's household on or about August 15, 1990.

7. Each pa rty shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect whcih is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Either pa rty shall use illegal drugs or b eunder the influence of alcohol at any time when said pa rty has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroon of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are sued in accordance with the law of the parties state.

10. Neither pa rty shall do or say anything to blacken the character of th eother in the childrne's estimation. EAch party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visi tation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shallgovern.

12. Either party may request a review of this Order four years from date her eof to make any adjustments.

13. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $137.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made ot the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

14. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J

July 27, 1990 Copies of order mailed to Plaintiff and Defendant, and copies of order put in William PIneo, Terry Toomey and Brian Lindsay's box.

Aug. 22, 1990 Request For A Hearing De Novo filed.

Aug. 24, 1990 Order of Court filed. AND NOW, upon request for a Hearing de novo filed by the Plaintiff, it is hereby ORDERED AND DIRECTED that a Hearing be held on the Plaintiff's exceptions which Hearing shall be hold on Oct. 25, 1990 at 1:30 o'clock p.m. in either Courtroom 1 or Courtroom 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

2 copies atty

Nov. 1, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, October 25, 1990, the matter is back before the Court on a request for a hearing de novo largely arising because of complications involving Christmas and summer visits with the minor child now that the mother has moved to the State of North Dakota. Both parties are represented by counsel and with consent of counse, the matter is handled informally without formal sworn testimony.

The parties and their counsel mutually agree that because ofthe great distance and the expense involved that the father will relinquish any claim to a Christmas visit with the child as presently established. In return for this the father requests a more extensive summer visit.

Accordingly, any visit between the father and the chld for the Christmas season of 1990 is rescinded. Commencing with calendar year 1991, the father shall be entitled to have a more extended summer visit beginning at approximately June 15th and terminating approximately August 15th with the father allowed a latitude of one to two days in order to accommodate airline schedules but will make every diligent effort to send and return the child on the aforesaid dates.

It shall be the financial responsibility of the father to provide unsupervised non-stop air transportation for the minor child from the Cleveland Airport to the Minneapolis Airport and pay the cost of the round trip therefor. It shall be the responsibility of the mother to deliver the child to the Minneapolis Airport and return the child form the Minneaopolis Airport to Minot, North Dakota by whatevery means she chooses to use and the expense thereof shall be the responsibility of the mother.

It the mother so desires, she is accorded a continuous seven (7) day visit beginning at a period at least three (3) weeks after June 15th each year when she may come to crawford County and have the child in her exclusive custody for a period not in excess of seven (7) days. It shall be a condition of this optional visit that the mother give the father three (3) weeks advance notice of the seven (7) day period she wishes to have the child while the child is in Crawford County

314
B

1988

Karen M. Haught

vs.

Ricky D. Haught

Record continued from page 314 A

of record

A.D. 1988 - 314

****

and said seven (7) day period may not interfere with any pre-arranged vacation plans of the father.

There is a dispute between the parties regarding whether reimbursement should be awarded because of a mix-up in the air transportation and the cost thereof for the past summer. After listening to input from both parties, the Court refuses to act on this request and the situation will remain as it is with the parties not owing either party any supplemental payments because of the cost of transportation.

During the time the child is in the custody of the father, he shall diligently follow the medication schedule of the child prescribed by her doctor to treat an allergy and an asthma condition. In this regard, the Court notes the mother advises that the child's doctor recommends that the chld not be in the presence of smokers. The mother contends that while she smokes she diligently is following this instruction. The Court directs the father and his family to follow said instructions and not be smoking in the presence of the child or anywhere else so the child will be inhaling cigarette smoke in violation of the doctor's recommendations.

The Court urges the father to keep in touch with the child throughout the year either by telephone or by mail but declines to schedule any periodic contacts between father and child, leaving the same to the father's discretion.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Nov. 2, 1990 Copies of orde rput in Terry Toomey and Brian Lindsay's box.

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs & Youngs PC
Theodore H. Watts

315.

Anthony J. Vardaro

M. SCOTT DeREMER

vs.

CRAWFORD COUNTY, AND
CRAWFORD COUNTY BOARD OF
PRISON INSPECTORS

Filed Mar. 31, 1988
@ 4:23 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Appeal pd. 11/9/89 30.00

APPEAL FROM THE DECISION OF THE CRAWFORD COUNTY BOARD OF PRISON INSPECTORS

2 copies (atty)

Apr. 4, 1988 Proof Of Service filed. I hereby certify that I am this day serving the foregoing Appeal from the Decision of the Crawford County Board of Prison Inspectors upon the following persons and in the matter indicated below, which service saitsfies the requirements of Pa. R.A.P. 121: Personal Service

James R. Irwin, Esquire
Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
360 Chestnut Street
Meadville, PA 16335

Anthony J. Vardaro, Esquire
Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker
& Johnson
898 Park Avenue
Meadville, PA 16335

John Dawson, Esquire,
District Attorney
Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335

Date: April 4, 1988 Theodore Watts

April 20, 1988 Appearance of Anthony J. Vardaro, County Solicitor for defendants Crawford County and Crawford County Bpard of Prison Inspectors, filed.

May 6, 1988 Motion to set Hearing and ORDER filed. AND now, this 5th day of May, 1988, a hearing in the above matter is set for the 25th day of May, 1988, at 9:30 o'clock, AM, in Court Room No. 2.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

May 9, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Theodore Watts and Anthony Vardaro and John Dawson.

May 23, 1988 Motion To Continue Hearing and ORDER filed. AND now, this 23rd day of May, 1988, the above Motion is granted and tne hearing scheduled for May 25, 1988, at 9:30 A.M. is continued generally.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

May 25, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Theodore Watts; Anthony Vardaro and John Dawson.

Aug. 12, 1988 Motion To Reset Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of Sept., 1988, a argument in the abvoe matter is reset for the 26th day of Sept., 1988, at 1:30 o'clock p.m., in Courtroom No. 2. BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 12, 1988 Copies of order to Theodore Watts and anthnoy Vardaro

Oct. 11, 1989, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, October 11, 1989, this matter is remanded to the Crawford County Board of Prison Inspectors to give adequate notice and hold a proper due process hearing as to the termination of employment of the appellant in accordance with teh Local Agency Law, 2 Pa. C.S. 551 et seq., and the foregoing Memorandum.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Oct. 11, 1989, Copies of Memorandum and order put in boxes of T. Watts and A. Vardaro.

Nov. 9, 1989 Notice of Appeal to the Commonwealth Court of Pa. from order entered in this matter on Oct. 11, 1989, filed. (docket entries & proof of service attached)

Nov. 16, 1989 Notice of Docketing Appeal at No. 2166 C.D. 1989 filed.

Nov. 17, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, November 16, 1989, in accordance with Pa. R.A.P. 1925(b), the Appellant is ordered to file of record in this court and serve upon the undersigned a consice statment of the matters complained of on the appeal to the Commonwealth Court within fourteen (14) days of this date. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Nov. 21, 1989 Copies of order put in Theodore Watts and Anthony J. Vardaro's box.

Nov. 27, 1989, Concise Statement of Matters Complained of on Appeal Pursuant to Pa. R.A.P. 1925(b) and notice of service filed.

Jan. 18, 1990, Petition to Amend Order to Comply with 42 Pa. C.S.A. §702(b) filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, this 19 day of January , 1990, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition a Rule is entered upon M. Scott DeRemer to show cause, if any he has, why the relief sought by this Petition should not be granted.
This rule is returnable on the 26 day of January, 1990, at 9:30 o'clock A.M. in Courtroom No. 3, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania. BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S. J.

Jan 23, 1990 Copies of order put in Attys. Watts & Vardaro's boxes and copy given to Co. Commissioners.

Mar. 9 1990, Certificate of Contents of Rmanded REcord and Notice of Remand, Contents of Record, and Certified copy of order from Commonwealth Court of Pa. filed.
ORDER: NOW, Jan. 4, 1990, upon consideration of the record in this matter, and it appearing that the order being appealed remanded the matter "to the Crawford County Board of Prison INspectors to give adequate notice and hold a proper due process hearing.....," and it further appearing that said order is an interlocutory order and is not appealable as of right, the above appeal is dismissed as an appeal form a nonappealable, interlocutory order without prejudice to proceed pursuant to seciton 702(b) of the Judicial code, 42 Pa. C.S. §702(b), and Pa. RAP 1311.
BY THE COURT, (judge illegible)
Mar. 12, 1990 certificate mailed to Commonwealth Court of Pa.

Continued on Page 588.....

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs & Youngs PC
Christopher Youngs

316.

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

ELEANOR T. LUBA

vs.

JAMES L. LUBA

Filed Mar. 31, 1988
@ 4:23 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

7/5/88 Recd. $60.00 From Defendant

Recd 7-5-88
$60.00
Vivian Stalter

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, James L. Luba, dft, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of the children: Shelley Ann Marie and Eleanor Theresa.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by JOHN FULLER, child custody mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar!. Assn. Ser. to the Pub. Committee
310/312 Chestnut St, Meadville, Pa. 16335, Tele: 814 724-7719.

Date: 4/4/88

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas,J.

April 8, 1988 Certificate of Service filed: Christopher J. Youngs, hereby certifies that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff, Eleanor T. Luba, in the within Action and that a copy of the Complaint for Custody was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only to the Defendant, James L. Luba, at R.D.2, Box 2551, Circuit Drive, Union City, Pa 16438 on March 31, 1988 and was received for certified mail and and the return receipt are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A".

WATTS, PEPICELLI, YOUNGS AND YOUNGS PC.
By Christopher Youngs, Attorney for Plaintiff

Apr. 13, 1988, Appearance of Bozic and thomas by R. Charles Thomas, attorneys for James L. Luba, defendant, filed.

June 16, 1988 Order Filed. AND NOW, June 16, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esq., IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Shelley Ann Marie LUba and Eleanor Theresa Luba shall be shared between the Mother, Eleanor T. Luba, and the father, James L. Luba, that is, each parent shall be entitled full access to school records, medical records and other important records, and eahc parent shall be entitled to participate fully in important decisions affection the children such as medical treatment, religious instruction and education.

II. Physical Custody of Shelley ann Marie Luba and Eleanor Theresa Luba shall be shared between the parties as follows:

(a) The children shall reside with their mother beginning June7, 1988 during the week and shall reside with the father on the weekends, beginning June 10, 1988,from Friday at 5;00 P.M. until Sunday at 7:00 P.M.

(b) The mother shall provide transportation for the children on Friday Evenings and shall deliver the children to the home of the father and the father shall provide transportation for the children on Sunday Evenings by delivering the children to the home of the mother. In the event the father or mother are unable to personally provide this transportation they shall see to it that the children are provided trsansportation by some responsible adult.

(c) The mother is to have the children in her custody one weekend a month, or a portion of such weekend, as agreed upon between the parties. In the event the parties are unable to arrive at an agreement regarding the weekend the mother is to have the children in her custody, this weekend shall be the first full weekend of each month.

(d) Beginning with the summer of 1988, the father is entitled to have the children in his custody for a continuous period, not to exceed two (2) weeks. The father shall provide the mother at least thirty (30) days notice of the time that he intends to exercise such extended Summer visitation. In the event the father chooses to exercise his Summer visitation right not for a period in excess of one week, the two week period may be two nonconsecutive one week periods.

(d) Holidays shall be defined as Thanksgiving and Christmas. In 1988 the children shall be in the home of the father on Thanksgiving Day and in the home of the mother on Christmas Day and Christmas Eve. In 1988 the children shall be in the home of the father on Christmas Day from 12:00 Noon until 7:00 P.M. In 1989 the children shall be in the home of the mother on thanksgiving Day and in the home of the father from 8:00 P.M. on Christmas Eve until 12:00 Noonon Christmas day. The parties shall continue to alternate having the children in their homes in subsequent years on Thanksgiving and Christmas in th same manner as provided above.

(e) Neither party shall expose the children to any person or situation that would be harmful to the children's welfare and neither party shall say or do anthing in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents. The parents are expressly prohibited to exhcange angry words in the plresence of the children.

(f) The mother is encouraged, but not requlired byk this order, to give the father her telephonbe number, so that the fathe can make one telephone call per day to the children as long as such telephone calls are non-harassing calls.

This order shall be a temporary but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

Cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided between the parties.

Robert Walker.

1988

Allen and HaLL
Paul E. Allen

317.

Gordon R. Miller

VICKI KAISER, A MINOR,
BY PATRICIA KAISER, HER
MOTHER AND NATURAL GUARDIAN

vs.

CONNEAUT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Filed Apr. 4, 1988
@ 10:32 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 58.07

APPEAL FROM SUSPENSION FROM ATTENDANCE

SUPERSEDEAS AND ORDER FOR HEARING DE NOVO:
AND NOW, APRIL 4, 1988, Vicki Kaiser, a minor, by Patricia Kaiser, her mother and natural guardian, having filed an Appeal from the Decision of the Conneaut School District suspending Vicki Kaiser for ten (10) school days beginning April 5, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Appeal act as a supersedeas, and the Conneaut School District is directed to permit Vicki Kaiser to continue to attend her regularly scheduled classes; it is further ordered that a hearing and argument (de novo) be held the 7 day of Apr. 1988 at 2:30 p.m..
All proceedings stayed meanwhile.
Per Curiam,
P. Richard Thomas, Judge
Apr. 4, 1988, copy of order to Atty. Paul Allen.

Apr 5, 1988 Motion for Continuance and Order filed: AND NOW, April 4, 1988, upon presentation of the attached Motion for Continuance, the hearing is scheduled for April 7, 1988 at 2:30 o'clock P.M. is changed and continued to the 20th day of April, 1988 at 1:30 o'clock p.m. in Court Room No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House.
For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator
Apr 6, 1988 Copies of order to Gordon Miller and Paul Allen, Esqs.

Apr. 21, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, April 20, 1988, at the close of the Plaintiff's case, counsel forthe Plaintiff, after consultation with his client and her parents moves the Court for withdrawal ofthe petition. Said motion is granted. Petition dismissed.
BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.
Apr. 25, 1988 Copies of order to Paul Allen and Gordon Miller

Apr. 21, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Amy Fuller personally on April 18, 1988 at 2:56 PM at Conneaut Valley High School, Conneautville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Cherry Jones personally on April 18, 1988 at 2:55 PM at Conneaut Valley High Schook, Conneautville, Crawford County ,PA.
SERVED Robin Wall personally on April 18, 1988 at 3:44 PM at 500 Jefferson Street, Conneautville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Ilene Loepp personally on April 18, 1988 at 3:11 PM at her residence, apartment over Red & white Store in Springboro, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

William J. Gregg

318.

THE ANDOVER BANK

vs.

RANDALL S. CONFER AND
DEBORAH A. CONFER, HIS WIFE

Filed Apr. 4, 1988
@ 11:01 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	26.00
Pro Co Pd 6/3/88	9.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Apr. 21, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Randall S. Confer within named defendant on April 7, 1988 at 4:03 PM by handing a true copy ofthe within Complaint to Robert Cox, adult in charge of residence, Star Route Estates, Lot #46, Meadville, CrawfordCounty, PA. SERVED Deborah A. Confer within named defendant on April 7, 1988 at 4:02 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Robert Cox, adult in charge of residence, Star Route Estates, Lot #46, Meadville, Crawford County, PA. So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, June 3, 1988 at 3:42 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer, damages assessed in the amount of One thousand Eight Hundred Twenty Three and 23/100 Dollars, plus damages for delay in payment int. and costs of action.
Judgment entered 6/3/88
C - 143, 43

Debt - $1,823.23
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothontoary

EX 1988-66

1988

William J. Gregg

319.

THE ANDOVER BANK

vs.

JOHN B. RICHARDSON AND
LEO E. MORIAN

Filed Apr. 4, 1988
@ 11:01 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	72.59
Pro Co Pd 6/13/88	9.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

May 9, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

May 24, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Leo Morian within named defendant on April 12, 1988 at 2:50 p.m. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Robert Morian, adult in charge of the residence at R D #1, Box 268, Atlantic, Crawford Co. PA 16111.

SERVED and made known to John B. Richardson personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on May 11, 1988 at 10:32 a.m. at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA 16335.

So answers,

Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, June 13, 1988 at 9:16 a.m.,upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with default notices and service attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for want of an answer, damages assessed in the amount of Four Thousand Fifteen and 72/100 Dollars, with int. and costs of suit as court shall determine.

R - 94
M - 104
Judgment entered 6/13/88

Debt - - $4,015.72

JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EX1989-34

320

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

320.

BOZIC & THOMAS
R. Charles Thomas

Mediation Cost
82.00
9.00

Pro Co pd 11/1/89 9.00
State pd 11/1/89 10.00

2/22/90 Recd $50.00 from Plaintiff

Recd 3-1-90
$50.00
Vivian Stoltz

3/12/90 Recd. $20.00 from Plaintiff

Recd 4-4-90
$20.00
Vivian Stoltz

BRENDA J. BOGDA

vs.

JOSEPH MICHAEL BOGDA, II

Filed Apr. 4, 1988
@ 11:43 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
2 counts paid 30.00
William Pineo, Mediator
Mediation Cost 122.00
9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:

You, JOseph Michael Bogda, II, have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody, or visitation with the child, Joseph Michael Bogda, III.

And Now, this 4 day of April 1988, WILLIAM PINEO is hereby appointed mediator to hear this matter.

You are ordered to appear in person at such times and places as shall be set for hearing in this matter, whether by the mediator or the Judge.

Pending determination of this amtter, temporary custody shallbe granted to the mother, with visitation to be with the father as agreed upon between the parties.

Neither party hereto is to remove the chld from Crawford County, Pa. until this matter is finally decided, either by way of custody mediation and recommended order which then becomes final, or by way of order of the Judge. Whichever parent has the child in his or her custody at the time of the mediation conference or hearing, shall produce the child at the time scheduled for said conference or hearing.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Association Service to the Public Committee, 310/312 Chestnut St., Meadville, Pa. 16335 Tele: 814 724-7719.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

April 20, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn accordisng to law, depose and say that I did on April 5, 1988 mail to the above defendant, Joseph Michael Bodga, II, by certified mail #P-547 808 353, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Joseph Michael Bogda, II, on April 7, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Attorney for Plaintiff

May 11, 1988 Appearance of Bozic and Thomas by R. Charles Thomas, Esq. for Defendant file.

May 27, 1988, Petition for Special Relief and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 27th day of May 1988, upon consideration of the attached Petition for Special Relief, hearing is set for the 23rd day of June 1988 at 9:00 a.m., courtroom No. 1 or 2, Crawford CountyCourthouse, Meadville, Pa. Pending hearing, defendant is not to dispose of or alienate any property acquired during the marriage.

1 copy (atty)

Per Curiam,
Robert L. Walker, J.

May 31, 1988, copies of order to Attys. J. Mason and R. C. Thomas.

June 2, 1988 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 2nd day of June, 1988, after Mediation in the above refereenced matter and upon the recommendations of William Pineo, Custody Mediator. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of their child, Joseph Michael Bogda, III Since this is a joint custody arrangement, each party shall share with the other any and all information which he or she may have regarding Joey's health, education, and the major events inhis life. Health care and school personnel are hereby authorized to disclose to either party any confidential information wich they may have concerning the subject minor child except that information which he legally has the right to request be kept confidential from anyone.
2. The plaintiff mother shall have primary physical custody of Joey.
3. The father shall have visitation iwth his son on laternate weekends, starting with the weekend of June 3 from Friday, at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m. Starting withthe weekend of September 9, 1988, the father shall have visitation with his son every weekend from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m. except for the last full weekend of each month.
4. For the summer of 1988, the father shall have the right of visitation and tmeporary physical custody for a four-week period beginning at 6:00 p.m. July 22 and ending at 6:00 p.m. August 14, 1988. The mother shall have the right of visitation with Joey on the weekend of August 5, from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m. For the summer of 1989 and in following summers, the father shall have the right of temporary physical with Joey from July 1 at 6:00 p.m. through August 15, at 6:00 p.m.
5. The Thanksgiving holiday visitation period shall begin Wednesday preceeding Thanksgiving, at 6:00 p.m. and run through 6:00 p.m. of the Monday after Thanksgiving. The first period shall end on the Friday after Thanksgiving at 6:00 p.m. at which time the second period shall begin. For 1988, the mother shallhave JOey for the first Thanksgiving period and the father for the second and the parties shall thereafter alternate the two periods. The Christmas visitation period shall begin December 24 at noon and end Christmas Day at 6:00 p.m. For Christmas 1988, the father shall have visitation with his son from December 24 at noon through Christmas Day at noon and the mother from Christmas Day at noon to Christmas day at 8:00 p.m. Thereafter the parties shall laternate the two periods. The father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody each year from christmas Day at 8:00 p.m. through New Year's Eve at 8:00 p.m. The other major holidays are defined as Independence Day, Labor Day, the child's birthday, Easter and Memorial Day. The holiday visitation period shall begin at 9:00 a.m. and end at 6:00 p.m. The father shall have visitation with his son on Labor Day 1988 and the parties shall thereafter alternate these holidays.
6. The father shall have the right to telephone the child at reasonable times and for reason= able lengths of time, and the child shall be permitted to telephone his father for reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time. During the summer visitation period, the mother shall be permitted to telephone the child at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and the child shall be permitted to telephone her at reasonable times for reasonable lenghts of time.

Continued on the next page...

7. Each party shall teach the child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's eyes.
8. Neither party shall consume alcoholic beverages or illegal drugs or be under their influence while he or she has Joey in his or her custody and care.
9. Neither party is to use the child as a messenger to send messages to the other party. Neither party shall quiz or pump Joey with respect to the activities of the other and each party is to take care to speak of th eother party in a civil manner and with respect while speaking to the subject minor child or when the subject minor child is present and eacy party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise.
10. Neither party shall expose the subject minor child to the company of persons ofthe opposite sex to whom he or she is not related by blood or marriage betwee the hours of 8:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m.
11. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter regardless of the change of domiciles ofthe parties.
12. Neither party shall use the contacts which are necessitated by the provisions ofthis Order regarding telephone coversations, visitation, and custody, to harass, spy on, or argue with the other party. The parties are to treat each other in a civil and polite manner during such contacts and at any other time when the subject minor child is present.
13. The parties shall arrange for counseling for themselves and for the subject minor child in order to help them understand, accept, and cope with their roles as separated parents and to help the subject minor child understand and accept the new relationship between his parents.
14. Costs and fees oftis Meidation, which are fixed at $122, are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed tohimor her as costs in this case.
15. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J

June 3, 1988 Copies of order to Joan Mason, R. Charles Thomas, Plaintiff Defendant and William Pineo

June 24, 1988 Petition for Special Relief Order filed. AND NOW, June 23, 1988, the amtter is before the Court on a Petition for Reief seeking to enjoin the defendant from conveying real estate titled in his name and occupied by the defendant. The Court notes neither party has filed an inventory and appraisment within the time limit prescribed by the Rules nor has either party filed an income and expense statement. It appears the defendant came to court this date accompanied by a realtor who would advise the Court of their opinion of the fair market value of the real estate. The Court rules that issue is not before the court this date. ACCORDINGLY, we direct both parties to comply with Divorce Rule 1920.33 and file an inventory and appraisment and an income and expense statement within the next thirty (30) says.
In the meantime, the defendant is prohibited from directly or indirectly encumbering the marital real estate in any fashion either by signing judgment notes or other evidence of indebtedness or committing himself to pay sums of money withi the intention that in the future, said or promise would ripen into a debt or encumberance against the real estate.
After thirty days, the Court will entertain anyfurther motions for relief by either party.
This Order regarding encumbering the real estate will exist until further Order of Court.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

June 24, 1988 copies of order to Joan Mason and R. Charles Thomas

Sept. 19, 1988 Inventory and Appraisement of Plaintiff filed.

Oct 3, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Oct. 5, 1988, Income and Expense Statement of Plaintiff filed. 1 copy (atty)

Dec. 21, 1988, Petition for modification of Custody Order, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 21 day of Dec. 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition this case is returned tothe Custody Mediator, William Pineo, for the purpose of review of the original Custody Order heretofore entered and for the purpose of considering the Defendant's Petition for modification of the same.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 22, 1988, copies of order to J. Mason, R. C. Thomas, and W. Pineo.

Mar. 3, 1989 Income and Expense Statement of Defendant filed.

Mar. 10, 1989, Petition (of plaintiff) for Temporary Custody and Order filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, Mar. 10, 1989, Request now moot - Petition to be filed with papers at 1988 - 320.

Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar. 10, 1989, copies to Attys. Joan Mason, R. C. Thomas, Wm. Pineo.

Mar. 15, 1989 Petition For Reduction Of Father's Visitation and Order filed. AND NOW, this 15 day of March, 1989, the court here by refers this matter to the mediator, William Pineo, for mediation.

Per curiam,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Mar. 16, 1989 Copies fo order to Joan Mason, R. Charles Thomas and William Pineo

Continued on the next page

320
B

1988

Bogda vs. Bogda A.D. 1988 - 320 Divorce/Custody

Mar. 21, 1989 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 20th day of Mar., 1989, after Mediation in the above referenced matter, and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HER EBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The Order of June 2, 1988, is her eby continued in full force in effect except that paragraphs 10, 14, and 15 are deleted.
2. The Mediator has informed the Court that further investigation is necessary and that the parties have provided the necessary authorizations. After the further investigations is completed, the Mediator will make further report and Order to the Court.
3. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $82.00 are divided equally between the parties with the share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.
4. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, the Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 21, 1989 Copies of order to Joan Mason, R. Charles Thomas, Plaintiff Defendant and William Pineo

Nov. 1, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Nov. 1, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 1, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Nov. 9, 1989 STIPULATION AND DECREE FILED.

AND NOW, this 9th day of Nov., 1989, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.
2. REAL ESTATE: Plaintiff shall transfer to the Defendant, by way of quit claim deed, all of her interest in the jointly owned property located at R.D. #2, Kreitz Road, Cambridge Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, and Defendant shallhold Plaintiff harmless regarding the existing mortgage in favor of Mellon Bank, and shall immediately refinance said mortgage into his own name. Said deed shall be executed by Plaintiff and held by her attorney, to be released to Defendant's attorney at time of closing (or upon tender of said $20,000.00), together with proof of compliance with the financial provisions of this decree.

Defendnat, Joseph Michael Bodga, II, shall be responsible for any real estate taxes, liens, or expenses or debts of any kind in connection with said real estate, and for the costs of releasing Plaintiff from the existing mortgage.

Defendant shall pay to Plaintiff, at time of closing or by January 1, 1990, whichever shall first occur, the sum of $20,000.00, by way of certified check or trust check from his attorney in consideration of said transfer.

3. HOUSEHOLD PERSONALTY: Defendant shall retain the tangible personal property consisting of furniture, furnishings, a ppliances and outdoor equipment, except for the following items which shall belong to the Plaintiff, and which shall be made available to her in good condition: blue leather sofa; blue leather chair; blue leather ottoman; two oak end tables; oak square coffee table; oak sofa table; pinecone wreath; canvas baby picture of the parties' son, Joseph Michael Bogda, III; handstitched wall hanging of a barn, made by Plaintiff's grandmother; blue picture album of the parties' son, Joseph Michael Bogda, III; with all photographs previously contained therein; Plaintiff's remaining clothing and shoes; and sheepskin rug. The parties hereto shall mutually agree upon a date to be established, for the removal of said items, within ten days of closing date referred to ablve, and Defendant shall notify Plaintiff at least seven days in advance of closing date as to exact date closing will take place.
4. VEHICLES: Defendant shall retain the 1988 pickup truck, and being responsible for any debt in regard to that vehicle and shall hold Plaintiff harmless and indemnify her, regarding said debt or any liability relating to operationof said vehicle, which is in his exclusive possession.
5. DEBTS: The parties hereto incurred the following debts during the marriage, which shall be divided as follows:

a. Chase Manhattan account #257617053, balance of $2,898.00 as of June 15, 1989, shall be divided so that husband shall pay $500.00 towards said account balance at the date of the closing of the refinancing of his mortgage, anticipated to be through Marquette Savings and Loan Association, or by October 15, 1989, whichever shall occur first. (Said account, at time of separation the beginning of April, 1988, had a balance of approximately $3,500.00, and Plaintiff has made all payments since date of separation.)

b. Michigan Bankcard Visa account #4460275089748, balance of $1,710.00 as of June 20, 1989, shall be divided so that husband shall pay $500.00 towards said account balance at the date of the closing of the refinancing of his mortgage anticipated through Marquestte Savings and Loan Association, or by October 15, 1989, whichever shall occur first. (Said acocunt, at time of separation the beginning of April, 1988, had a balance of approximately $2,300.00, and Plaintiff has made all payments since date of separation.)

c. First Card Visa account #4250081556695, balance of $1,489.00, as of June, 1989, shall be divided so that husband shall pay $500.00 towards said account balance at the date of the closing of the refinancing of his mortgage anticipated through Marquette Savings and Loan Association, or by October 15, 1989, whichever shall occur first. (Said account, at time of separation the beginning of April, 1988, had a balance of approximately $1,800.00, and Plaintiff has made all payments since date of separation.)

d. Mellon Ready Credit credit line, shall be the sole responsibility of the Defendant, who has had full use of said credit line since the time ofthe parties' separation, and may also have utilized it for his benefit post-separation. The principal amount due at time of separation was approximately $4,000.00. Defendant shall pay off said Ready Credit account at the time of the refinancing of his present mortgage, through Marquette Savings and Loan Association. Neither party shall place any further charges on said account, but shall open his or her own individual account. Defendnat shall indemnify Plaintiff and save her harmless in regard to said account.

e. In regard to the Chase Manhattan account, Michigan Bankcard Visa account, and First Card Visa account, noted above, Plaintiff shall hold Defendnat harmless and idemnify him in regard to said accounts, but only if he has paid said $500.00 on each account as noted above, as a pre-condition to said have harmless and indemnify provision. Defendant shall provide a check in the amount of $1,500.00 to Plaintiff to pay said obligations, same to be paid by her to said creditors, or he may make three checks out to the said creditors as noted, and his attorney shall deliver said checks to Plaintiff's attoney, Joan D. Mason on date of closing, and P laintiff shall apply them promptly to said accounts.

Continued on the next page

1988

Brenda J. Bogda

vs.

Joseph Michael Bogda, II

record continued from page 320b

of record

A.D. 1988 - 320

****

6. SUPPORT ARREARAGE: Defendant shall, at date of closing referred to above, pay all arrears to date, on both spousal and child support, as calculated by the Domestic Relations Division of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, case#1987-278, Brenda J. Bogda vs. Joseph M. Bogda, II, being approximately $1,164.00 as of September 15, 1989.

7. PENSION AND RETIREMENT BENEFITS: Provided that all payments as set forth above are made by January 1, 1990, or by closing date, whichever shall first occur, Plaintiff shall waive any right, title and interest she may otherwise have to pension and retirement benefits that accrued during the marriage, on account of Defendant's employment, and shall not seek divisionof said benefits.

8. WAIVER OF CLAIMS: Neither party hereto shall make any claim against the other party hereto, except for enforcement of the rights and responsibility set forth in this decree.

9. WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS: Neither party hereto shall be required to file or update his or her inventory and appraisement, nor income and expense statement, if same has not already been filed. Each aprty is aware of the assets and debts of the other party hereto, sufficient to his or her own satisfaction.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Nov 20, 1989 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 17 day of November, 1989, upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The Mediator has advised the Court that the further investigation provided for in the previous Order entered in this case has been completed and that further Mediation is necessary. The matter is therefore referred back to William Pineo, Esquire, for further Mediation and the parties are ordered to appear before him with the subject minor child at such time as the Mediation conference is scheduled.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov 21, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. Pineo, Mason and Thomas' boxes.

320
D

1988

1988

Thomas R. Haist

321.

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, Gamble, Fabian and Hall-J. Petruso for Guy R. and Dianne L. Johnston

IN RE:
CONDEMNATION BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, OF RIGHT OF WAY FOR STATE ROUTE 3016, SECTION 01S, IN THE TOWNSHIP OF VERNON
PROPERTY OF:

Guy R. Johnston and
Diane L. Johnston

Beverly J. Haun

Rose Ann Piccolo

Last Minit Mart, Inc.

Filed Apr. 4, 1988
@ 1:44 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

DECLARATION OF TAKING

5 copies (atty)

Apr 8, 1988 Memorandum to Prothonotary of Notice of Condemnation recorded in Record Book 35 page 698 in Office of Recorder of Deeds filed.

Apr. 20, 1988 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 28, 1992 Petition for the Appointment of a Board of Viewers and Order filed. Order: AND NOW, this 27th day of August, 1992, upon consideration of the petition of Guy R. Johnston and Dianne L. Johnston, his wife, Condemnees, thecourt appoints:

William L. Walker Esq., Chairman, Howard Newson and Fran Richmond as a Board of Viewers to assess damages in the condemnation and further orders tha the Board of Viewers perform their duties in accordance with the law and acts of assembly and grants leave to the Board of Viewers to issue an interlocutory report or interlocutory reports covering such properties or claims oâs the Board of Viewers determines appropriate.

By the Court
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Sept. 2, 1992 copy to T. Haist, W. Walker, Fran Richmond and Howard Newson

Sept. 2, 1992, Affidavit of Service of Petition for the Appointment of Viewers upon Atty. Haight filed.

June 25, 1993 Notice of Hearing before the Board of Viewers filed.

Oct. 29, 1993, Notice of Rescheduling of Hearing filed.

Oct. 29, 1993, DECISION OF BOARD OF VIEWERS -REPORT OF VIEWERS filed.

(APPEAL of Defendants Guy R. and Diane L. Johnson filed at AD 1993 - 1121.)

Nov. 4, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, November 3, 1993, the Board of Viewers having completed their work in this matter, it is ordered and directed that William L. Walker, Esquire, Chairman, be paid the sum of $150; Howard Newson be paid the sum of $100 and Fran Richmond be paid the sum of $100. Lorraine A. Dallas, Court Reporter, shall be paid the sum of $75 which check may be forwarded to William L. Walker, Esquire, to be paid to Lorraine A. Dallas with all of the same to be paid from Account 01-0460-010401.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 4, 1993 copy given to Crt. Adm. & Finance Office by Court.
Nov. 10, 1993 copy to T. Haist, J. Petruso, W. Walker

Aug. 23, 1994 Upon Praecipe of John D.Petruso, Esquire, Attorneys for Condemnees, the above captioned matter settled and discontinued of record. (See paper filed at AD 1993-1121)

Rel. Guy & Dianne Johnston

322

1988

Bruce A. Barrett

322.

KAREN SUE CHAKLOS

vs.

LEE GEORGE CHAKLOS

Filed Apr. 4, 1988
@ 1:44 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co Pd 7/24/90	9.00
State pd 7/24/90	10.00
Pro.Co. pd 11/12/93	5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 18, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge; information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on April 4, 1988.
3. The registered mail was sent to Lee G. Chaklos at R.D.#3, Box 169, Conneautville, PA 16406. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. That the defendant received said registered mail on April 12, 1988. Said receipt card number P 404 868 809 is attached hereto and signed by Lee G. Chaklos.

Bruce A. Barrett

Dec. 16, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Dec. 16, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

July 20, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

July 25, 1990 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, July 25, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Karen Sue Chaklos, plaintiff, and Lee Goerge Chaklos, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, j

Nov. 12, 1993 Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name of Karen S. Chaklos to be known as Karen S. Perry, filed.

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

323.

VALERIE MANROSS

vs.

GERALD MANROSS

Filed Apr. 4, 1988
@ 4:01 P.M.

Tax & Entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 10-11-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

April 27, 1988 Affidavid of Service filed. And Now, April 27th, 1988 comes Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the Attorney for Valerie Manross, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished to her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P 401 440 833, postmarked April 16 1988, to the last known address of the Defendant, Gerald Manross, R.D. #1, Box 114-D, Kennerdell, Pa. 16374

3. That the Defendant, Gerald Manross, on April 16, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

4. Service of the Complaint against the Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pa. Rules of Civil Procedure.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq.

Sept 30, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Oct. 7, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Oct. 11, 1988, Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Nov.2, 1988 DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

DECREE: AND NOW, November 1, 1988, it is ordered and decreed that Valerie Manross, Plaintiff, and Gerald Manross, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

1988

Quinn, Gent, Buseck, and Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

324.

Jorden & White
Harry White

MARINE BANK

vs.

MARLENE A. TENON

Filed Apr. 5, 1988
@ 10:38 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 18.00
Pro Co Pd 10/3/88 - 9.00 ✓
Pro Co. Pd. 11/15/88 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT - CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Apr. 21, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Marlene A. Tenon personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within complaint to her on April 6, 1988 at 10:55 AM at her place of residence, 743 Garden Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sher
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr. 29, 1988 Answer and New Matter filed.

May 12, 1988 Reply to New Matter filed.

June 1, 1988, Motion for summary Judgment filed.

June 1, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff Marine Bank, Motion for Summary Judgment placed on next argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR JUNE 1988

June 27, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, the Court being satisfied that the parties to this action have stipulated that summary judgment be entered against the defendant in the amount of $1,187.86, it is hereby ordered that plaintiff's Motion for Summary judgment be granted and that judgment be entered against defendant in the amount of $1,187.86.
Dated: June 27, 1988 P. Richard Thomas, J
Consented to: Harry Faber White, II.
T-113

Nov. 10, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, the above judgment is marked satisfied in full of this judgment, debt, interest, and costs, and hereby discharged.

1988

Quinn, Gent, Buseck,
and Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

325.

MARINE MIDLAND BANK

vs.

WILLIAM H. BROOKS

Filed Apr. 5, 1988
@ 10:45 a.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$15.00
plff on orig.	97.83
Sheriff's Costs	20.00
Pro Co pd 5/12/88	9.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

AD 1984 - 1027

Revival of Judgment indexed against the defendant in the amount of $4,154.18, with interest from July 24, 1980, and costs of action.

Debt ----$4,154.18
Int. from July 24, 1980
B - 244 - - JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary
Issued (sheriff).

April 28, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Not Found as to within named William H. Brooks at R.D.# 2, 781 Brown Road, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, Pa. as of April 12, 1988.Wrong Brooks family.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, May 12, 1988 at 10:57 AM, upon praecipe of plaintiff's attonrey, with Notice Of Intention to file Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Four Thousand One Hundred Fifty-four and 18/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

B-244
Judgment entered May 12, 1988

Debt $4,154.18
Int. from July 24, 1980
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

Quinn, Gent, Buseck, &
Leemhuis Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

326.

MARINE MIDLAND BANK

vs.

THOMAS J. BOHLENDER AND
NOLA R. BOHLENDER

Filed Apr. 5, 1988
at 10:47 a.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$15.00
plff on orig	115.22
Sheriff's Costs	34.50
Pro Co Pd 5/25/88	9.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

AD 1984 - 1028

Debt ---- $3,216.85
Int. from May 13, 1980
B - 161, 121 --JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Revival of Judgment indexed against the defendants in the amount of Three Thousand Two Hundred Sixteen and 85/100 Dollars with interest from May 13, 1980 and costs of action.

Issued (sheriff)

May 17, 1988 Writ Returned: I accept service of the Writ of Revival No. AD 1988-326 on behalf of Nola R. Bohlender and certify that I am authorized to do so.
4-14-88 Thomas J. Bohlender
SERVED Thomas J. Bohlender personally on April 14, 1988 at 11:15 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival to him at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.
SERVED Nola R. Bohlender on April 14, 1988 at 11:16 am by serving her authorized agent, Thomas J. Bohlender at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa., as per his signed acceptance of service attached.
So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now May 25, 1988, upon praecipe of plaitiff attorney, with copy of default notice attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of Three Thousand Two Hundred Sixteen and 85/100 Dollars plus interest from May 13, 1980 and costs of action;.
Judgment entered - May 25, 1988
B - 161, 121

Debt ----$3,216.85
Int. from May 13, 1980
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

Quinn, Gent, Buseck
and Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

327.

MARINE MIDLAND BANK

vs.

WAYNE L. GEIST

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

AD 1984 - 327

Revival of Judgment indexed against the defendant in the amount of One Thousand Two Hundred Four and 56/100 Dollars, plus interest from Jan. 5, 1984 and costs of action;.

Debt - -- $1,204.56
Int. from Jan. 5, 1984
G - 175 ----JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Filed Apr. 5, 1988
@ 10:59 a.m.

ProSimmons paid	$15.00
plff on orig.	63.65
Sheriff's costs	27.20
Pro Co Pd 5/18/88	9.00

Issued (sheriff)

May 6, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED Wayne L. Geist within named defendant on April 11, 1988 at 2:54 p.m. by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival to Jay Brunot, adult person in charge of his residence, RD #1, Box 187, Cochranton, Crawford Co. PA.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, May 18, 1988, at 1:44 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, and with copy of notice of intention attached, Judgment is hereby entered per writ of revival in the amount of One Thousand Two Hundred Four and 56/100 Dollars with interest frm Jan. 5, 1984, and costs of action.

Debt - $1,204.56
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Judgment entered 5/18/88
G - 175

1988

Quinn, Gent, Buseck, and Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

328.

MARINE BANK

vs.

ERIC J. ERICKSON AND
RITA M. ERICKSON

Filed Apr. 5, 1988
@ 11:02 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00 ✓
plff on orig	128.95
Pro Co Pd 4/29/88	9.00 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	31.30

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

AD 1984 - 1039

Revival of Judgment indexed against the defendants in the amount of Eight HUndred Seventy Five and 00/100 Dollars plus interest from Sept. 18, 1979 and costs of action and attorney fees of One Hundred Thirty One and 25/100 Dollars.

Debt - - - $875.00
Int. form Sept. 18, 1979
E - 54, 144 - JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

And Now, Apr. 29, 1988 at 10:48 a.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with default notice attached, Judgment of revival is hereby entered in the amount of Eight Hundred Seventy Five and 00/100 Dollars, plus int. from Sept. 18, 1979 and costs of action, plus atty. fees of $131.25.

E - 34, 144 Debt - $875.00
Int. from 9/18/79
Atty. Fees- $131.25
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons, Pro

May 17, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: Not Found as to within named defendant, Eric J. Erickson. Not known at address given.

Not Found as to within named defendant, Rita M. Erickson. Not known at address given.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Quinn, Gent, Buseck and Leemhuis Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

329.

MARINE BANK

vs.

ERIC C. WATSON AND
DARLENE C. WATSON

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

DSB 1984 - 585

Revival of Judgment indexed agaisnt the defendants in the amount of Ten Thousand Six hundred Forty Five and 56/100 Dollars with interest from Feb. 28, 1979 attorneys fees of $1,064.50 and all costs.

Debt - - $10,645.56
Int. from 2/28/79
Atty. fees of $1,064.50 and costs
W - 55, 45 - JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Filed Apr. 5, 1988
@ 11:06 a.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$15.00
plff on orig	19.50
sheriffs costs	19.00
Pro Co Pd 5-11-88	9.00

Issued (sheriff)

Apr. 29, 1988, Writ Returned. And Now, Apr. 11, 1988, after diligent search and inquiry, Eric C. Watson, and Darlene C. Watson, defendants within named, "Not Found" within the jurisdiction of this court. Has not lived at this address for the past 2 years per the landlord.
So answers, Robert N. Michel, Sheriff
Roger Gunesch, Dep. sheriff (Erie Co)

Not Found as to within named dft. Erie C. Watson, as per affidavit received from Erie County Sheriff, and hereto attached.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

AND NOW, May 11, 1988 @ 10:55 P.M. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney with Notice of Judgment attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiffs and against the defendants per writ of revival in the amount of Ten Thousand Six Hundred Forty-five and 56/100 with interest from February 28, 1979 attorney fees of One Thousand Sixty-four and 50/100 Dollars and all costs.
W - 55, 45 Debt.....11,710.06 + costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

May 11, 1988 Judgment entered to Lawrence Bolla, Esq.

Revived to AD1992-362

330

1988

Legal Services
Brian Lindsay

330.

DEBORAH A. BROWN

vs.

GLADYS SNEE
APRIL REYOR
JERRY BROWN

Filed Apr. 5, 1988
@ 11:37 a.m.

Indigent filing

Bruce Smith, Mediator 178.60

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:
You, Jerry Brown, Gladys Snee, and April Reynor, defendants, have been sued in court to obtain custody of Donald J. Sterling.
You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by WILLIAM PINEO, Child Custody Mediator.
If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get help.
Crawford county Bar assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Committee, 310/312 chestnut St, Meadivlle, Pa. 16335 Ph. 814 724-7719
April 5, 1988 BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Apr. 5, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed in Forma Pauperis, and Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed.

Apr. 12, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, April 12, 1988, William Pineo, who was appointed mediator in this custody matter, having advised the court that he must disqualify himself, his appointment as Child Custody Mediator is vacated, and BRUCE L. SMITH, is appointed custody mediator.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Apr. 13, 1988, copies to Attys. B. Lindsay, William Pineo and Bruce L. Smith

Apr. 14, 1988 Notice Of Elegibility/Retainer Agreement filed.

Apr. 21, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, BRIAN LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Deborah A. Brown and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 11th day of April, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee ONly, REturn Receipt Requested to Gladys Snee a true and correct copy of the Complaint for Custody at her last known address of RD #1, Box 215A, centerville, PA 16404 as evidenced by the attached snder's receipt No. P 276 200 221 and tha ton the 13th day of april, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 12th day of April, 1988.
I veirfy that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject tothe penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
4/20/88 Brian Lindsay

Apr. 21, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, BRIAN LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Deborah A. Brown and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did,onthe 11th dya of April 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested to april Reyor a true and correct copy ofthe Complain tfor Custody at her plast known address of c/o Gladys Snee, RD #1, Box 215A Centerville,PA 16404 as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 276 200 222 and tha ton the 13th day of April, 1988 I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 12th day of April, 1988.
I verify that the statements madein this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject tothe penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Date; 4/20/88 Brian LIndsay

Apr. 21, 1988 Affidavit filed, I, BRIAN LINDAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sowrn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Deborah A. Brown, and that as such I am authorized to executethis affidavit; that I did,on the 11th day of April, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, rEturn Receipt Requested, to Jerry Brown a true and correct copyof the Complaint for Custody at his last known address of 536 First St., West Des Moines, Iowa 50265 as evidenced by the attached sender's reciept No. P 276 200 220 and that on the 18th day of April, 1988 I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 14th day of April, 1988.
I verify that the statements made in this affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Date: 4/20/88 Brian Lindsay

May 16, 1988 Temporary Order of Court filed: AND NOW, this 13th day of May, 1988, after Mediation, and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Madiator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The plaintiff in this action is the natural mother of the child, Donald J. Sterling, born October 10, 1987, at the Titusville Hospital. The party defendant, Gladys Snee is the paternal grandmother of the child, and the party defendant, April Reyor, is the married sister of Jerry Brown and the aunt of the child. The parent parties to this action shall share legal custody of said minor. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitled both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the child held by a third party, including, but not limited to, medical and (later) school records, and shall entitled both parents to equal input with regard to the educational and religious upbringing of the child, as well as elective surgery.

2. The child's mother, Deborah A. Brown, shall have primary physical custody of the child. During the period of time from ten (10) days after the date of this Order, the natural mother shall visit at least eight times with the defendant, April Reyor, who has had physical custody of the child, and shall become acquainted with the current habits and needs of the child. These visits shall take place at the home of April Reyor, which is being made with the defendant grandmother, Gladys Snee. These visits shall last as long as the parties and shall be arranged for by telephone. On the eleventh (11th) day after the date of this Order, the said defendants, primarily April Reyor, shall deliver physical custody of the child to the mother, Deborah A. Brown. Thereafter, the natural father, Jerry Brown, shall

Continued on next page.....

have the right to take the child into his custody and control from Saturday morning at 10:00 a.m., prevailing time, throughSunday at 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, the second and fourth weekends of each month. The first weekend of each month, the defendant grandmother, Gladys Snee, shall have the right to take the child into her temporary custody on Saturday at 10:00 a.m., prevailing time, through 4:00 p.m., prevailing time.

3. Holiday visitation for all holidays, including, but not limited to, Easter, Memorial Day, 4th of July and Labor Day, shall be alternated between the mother and father with the mother having Easter and the 4th of July in even numbered years and Memorial Day and Labor Day in odd numbered years. The father shall have those days n reverse order. Thanksgiving Day shall be spent with the defendant father, and the day before and the day after Thanksgiving shall be spent with the plaintiff mother. The mother shall have the child the day before Christmas until 7;00 p.m., prevailing time, Christmas eve. The father shall have the child from that time on Christmas Eve until 3:00 p.m., prevailing time, Christmas Day.

4. For the first six (6) months after this Order is in effect, representatives of Crawford County Children & Youth Services shall have the right to visit in the home of either parent with or without prior notice for the purpose of determining how the needs of the child are being met in both or either homes. All of the parties in this case are directed to fully cooperate with representatives of Children & Youth Services during these visits. The officials from Children & Youth Services shall have the right and obligation to report any thoughts and/or recommendations to the Judge or Judges of Crawford County.

5. The parent having custody of said child at any time shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of injury or illness requiring medical treatment. Injury or illness shall not prevent a shift of custody, unless the child is in a treatment facility.

6. Neither parent shall take the child into any environment which would be commonly thought of as detrimental to his development or to the development of his character or which would commonly be thought of as dangerous to his physical person. Neither parent shall use alcohol or other prescription or illegal drugs or chemicals while the child is in his or her custody or control, nor shall either parent allow anyone to do so in the child's presence, unless the prescription medication is currently prescribed by a practicing physician. This prohibition shall not be interpreted so as to prohibit the grandparents of the child from having an occasional cocktail or highball in the company of other adult friends in the presence of the child.

7. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for the physical custody of the child; and, if the parties mutually agree to an alternative arrangment to accommodate themselves or the child's schedule, they may adjust the physical custody arrangement accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement, taking into account whenever possible the needs and wishes of the child. Each of the parents and grandparents will teach the child to display that love and respect due a parent or grandparent from his or her child or grandchild, and neither parent nor any grandparent will do anything to blacken the character of any parent or grandparent in the eyes of the child.

8. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request further mediation if there are changed circumstances in the life of the parties or the child. When the child begins kindergarten or regular school, it shall be considered a changed circumstance.

9. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either parent or the child resides in the Court's jurisdiction and for at least six (6) months from the date of this Temporary Order. The fee for Child Custody Mediation shall be paid by the County of Crawford.

10. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty (30) days from the date of this Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

May 17, 1988 Copies of order to Margaret Eglinton, Brian Lindsay and Bruce Smith, Esqs.

330
-b-

1988

1988

Jack, Kookogey &
Felton
Robert J. Felton

331.

CHARLES E. WESCOAT, SR.

vs.

KIMBERLY A. WESCOAT

Filed Apr. 5, 1988
@ 3:32 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
State Pd 3-19-92 10.00
Pro Co. Pd. 3-19-92 9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

April 25, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Robert J. Felton, Esq., 144 West Spring St., Titusville, PA. Attorney for Charles K. Wescoat, Sr., Plaintiff in the above action, do hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed at the above term and number upon the Defendant, Kimberly A. Wescoat, Star Route Estates, Meadville, PA. 16335, by mailing the same to him by Certified Mail No. P 620 653 654, the same being posted at the Titusville, PA., Post Office on April 7, 1988, the same having been received by the Defendant on April 13, 1988, as verified by the return receipt attached hereto.

Robert J. Felton

Mar. 17, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

Mar. 17, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Mar. 17, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Ma. 24, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, March 23, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that Charles E. Wescoat, plaintiff, and Kimberly A. Wescoat, defnedant, are divorced formthe bonds of matrimony.
The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not been entered an dwhich have not been withdrawn by leave of Court.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

332.

JEAN L. CHESS

vs.

RANDALL M. CHESS

Filed Apr. 5, 1988
@ 3:45 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 21, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on April 7, 1988 mail to the above defendant, Randall M. Chess, by certified mail #P-547 808 352, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only a complaint in the above divorce aciton Said notice was received by Randall M. Chess on April 18, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the Office of the Plaintiff's attorneyand is attached hereto.
Joan D. Mason

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a part in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 4-21-88 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.
If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.
If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:
Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 8, 1997 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

Spadafore, Vardaro,
Walker & Johnson
Emil M. Spadafore Jr.

333.

MARINE BANK

vs.

JOHN EDWARD HILTABIDDLE, AND
JOANN BYUS HILTABIDDLE,
HIS-WIFE

Filed Apr. 5, 1988
@ 4:10 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 46.60

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)
2 copies (atty)

May 2, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Not Found, as to the within named defendant John Wedward Hiltabiddle. Moved no forward at Post Office.

Not Found, as to the within named defendant JoAnn Byus. Moved, no forward at Post Office

Posted a copy of the Complaint on the property on April 15, 1988 at 2:30 PM at 312 Brook Street, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Joseph F. Kulwicki

334.

DANA L. LEE

vs.

OWEN W. LEE

Filed Apr. 5, 1988
@ 4:16 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co. PD 7/18/88	9.00
Pro Co. Pd 9/12/88	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

April 26, 1988 LEE A. EVANS, being duly sworn according to law,deposes and says that she is an employee of Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq., attorney for the plaintiff, and as such on April 13, 1988, she placed a certified copy of the Divorce Complaint in the U.S. Mail, addressed as follows: Owen W. Lee, P.O. Box 683, Meadville, Pa. 16335.

Said Divorce Complaint was sent c ertified mail, restricted delivery, deliver to addressee only, being certified item No. P 401 445 578. On April 18, 1988, the office of Joseph F. Kulwicki, ESq., received the signature card for said certified mail item, bearing the signature of Owen W. Lee as having received same on April 15, 1988.

The receipt and signature card for certified mail item No. P 401 445 578 are attached hereto and made a part hereof.

FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT.

Lee A. Evans

July 18, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 12, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 12, 1988, Upon praecipe of Plaintiff ATtorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Sept. 20, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, September 20, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

D A N A L . L E E and O W E N W . L E E

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

R. Charles Thomas
Bozic and Thomas

335.

6/10/88 Reed. $41.00 from Defendant.
6/14/88 Reed $41.00 From Plaintiff

Rec'd 7-5-88
$41.00
Vivian Stoltz

Rec'd Sept 1, 1988
$41.00
Vivian Stoltz

3/14/91 Reed. $50.00 from Plaintiff

Rec'd 3-1-91
$50.00
Vivian Stoltz

CARL J. TYLER

vs.

SHEILA L. HAMMOND

Filed Apr. 6, 1988
@ 9:08 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
William Pineo, Mediator
Mediation Cost 82.00
9.00

COMPLAINT FOR PARTIAL CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

YOU, Sheila L. Hammond, defendant have been sued in court to obtain custody ofthe following child: BRANDON J. TYLER.

You are hereby ordered to appear in person at such time and at such place as is hereby designated by the Custody Mediator. hereinafter appointed for a conference.

You are further ordered to bring with you the following named child: Brandon J. Tyler.

WILLIAM PINEO, Esquire, is hereby appointed as Custody Mediator in this case.

If you fail to appear at the time specified by theCustody Mediator or to bring the child, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legaL HELP:

Crawford County Bar Association, Service to the Public Comm. 310/312 Chestnut St, Meadville, Pa. 16335, Tele: 814 724-7719.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, J.

May 26, 1988 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 25 day of May, 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child, Brandon Tyler.
2. The mother shall have primary physical custody.
3. The father shall have visitation on laternate weekends, beginning with the weekend of May 27, 1988 from Friday at 7:00 p.m. through Sunday at 5:00 p.m.
4. Holiday visitations shall be arranged as follows: Each year at Christmas, the mother shall have Brandon from Christmas Eve at noon through Christmas Day at noon. The father shall have Brandon for visitation from Christmas Day at noon to New Years Day at noon. Other holidays are defined as Memorial Day, Independance Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving, Brandon's birthday, and Easter. The father shall have visitation every holiday from noon to 8:00 p.m.
5. For the summer of 1988, the father shall have temporary physical custody and visitation with his son for one week in June, one week in July and one week in August. The week which the father selects shall begin on Sunday at 7:00 p.m. and end the following Sunday at 5:00 o.m. He shall give the mother two weeks notice of the week he wishes to select. For succeeding summers, the father shall have two weeks in each of the months of June, July and August. None ofthe two-week periods shall be consecutive. Each two-week period shall begin Sunday at 7:00 p.m. and end the second Sunday thereafter at 5:00 p.m. The mother shall have visitation on the middle weekend from Friday at 7:00 p.m through Sunday at 5:00 p.m.
6. If either parent has not appeared within forty-five (45) minutes of the time when his or her visitation is scheduled and has not telephoned or otherwise notified the custodial parent of the reasons why he or she is going to be late, the custodial parent may consider the visitation cancelled.
7. The party receiving Brandon in the course ofhis or her custodial or visitation rights shall be responsible for picking him at at the home of the other party.
8. Neither party shall expose Brandon to situations which would be dangerous to himor which would be morally improper.
9. the parties are free to amke alternative or additional arrangements with respect tothe custodial and visitation periods and each is encouraged to be reasonable about the other's requests in this regard. However, unless the parties have mutually agreed on alternative or additional arrangements, the terms of this Order shall govern.
10. Given Brandon's youth andthe youth of the parties, it is expected that Brandon's family situation will change withthe passage of time and therefore, either party is given the right to move the Court for further Mediation in review ofthis Orde ron or after two (2) years from the date hereof.
11. Costs and fees ofthis Mediation, which are fixed at $82.00, are divided equally between the parties with the share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.
12. Either party may move the court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) says fromdate hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J

May 27, 1988 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas, Plaintiff, defendant and William Pineo

Aug. 10, 1988 Contempt Petition and Order filed. AND NOW, August 10, 1988, hearing on Plaintiff's Contempt Petition is scheduled for Aug. 24, 1988, at 11:00 a.m., o'clock, in Court Room 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania, and pending further Order of Court, the minor child, Brandon J. Tyler, shall be in the custody of his father, Carl J. Tyler, from Sunday, August 21, 1988, at 7:00 p.m. until Sunday, August 28, 1988, at 5:00 pm

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 10, 1988 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and William Pineo

Continued on Page 555

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

336.

DONNA I. MOSIER

vs.

LINDA M. GODWIN

Filed Apr. 6, 1988
@ 10:30 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
William Pineo, Mediator 82.00
9.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

YOU, Linda M. Godwin, dft., have been sued in court to obtain custody of the following child: DAWN NICOLE MOSIER.

You are hereby ordered to appear in person at such time and at such place as is hereby designated by the Custody Mediator hereinafter appointed for a conference.

You are further ordered to bring with you the following named child: Dawn Nicole Mosier.

WILLIAM PINEO, ESQ. is hereby appointed as Custody Mediator in this case.

If you fail to appear at the time specified by the Custody Mediator hereby appointed by this order or to bring the child, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Comm. 310/311 Chestnut St. Meadville, Pa. 16335
Tele: 814 724-7719.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

May 20, 1988 Notice Of Intervention and Order filed. AND NOW, this 20 day of May, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, JACK MOSIER, as the natural father of the aforesaid child which is the subject of the custody proceeding, is hereby authorized to intervene and shall become a party thereto and shall be permitted to attend the mediation conference if he so desires which is scheduled for May 23rd, 1988 at 2:00 o'clock P.M.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

May 23, 1988 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas and William Pineo

May 27, 1988 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 27 day of May, 1988, after mediation in the above referenced matter, and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Jack Mosier, the child's father, and Linda M. Godwin, defendant herein, the child's mother, shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child.

2. The mother shall have primary physical custody.

3. Starting with the weekend of May 28, 1988, the father shall have visitation every weekend, except for the last weekend of every month from Saturday at noon to Sunday at 7:30 P.M.

4. The parties are to arrange visitation with the plaintiff, Donna I. Mosier, who is the child's grandmother. Said visitation shall occur with reasonable frequency, but she shall have visitation at least one day every second week.

5. The father shall have temporary physical custody and visitation with his daughter for six weeks each summer. Said vacation shall include the father's vacation from his job. He shall give the mother at least one weeks notice of the six-week period he wishes to select. During the father's summer custodial period, the mother shall have visitation with her daughter evry weekend, except for the first full weekend of the month, from Saturday at noon through Sunday at 7:30 p.m.

6. The holidays of Thanksgiving, Easter, and Christmas, shall be split between the parties. Dawn shall spend the morning of each said holiday from 8:00 a.m. until noon with her mother and the afternoon of each said holiday from noon to 7:30 .p.m. with her father. The parties shall arrange reasonable visitation for the other holidays. Holiday visitation shall take precedence over the other proivisions of this Order relating to the custodial and visitation periods.

7. Neither party shall be under the influence of alcohol nor shall consume or be under the influence of illegal drugs or controlled substances while he or she has the care and custody of the subject minor child. This especially includes the operation of any automobile.

8. Neither party shall expose the subject minor child to situations which are physically unsafe or morally improper for her given her age and understanding.

9. Neither party shall expose the child to the company of persons who deal in illegal controlled substances or who uses them or isunder their influence.

9. The parties are free to make alternative or additional arrangements respecting the custodial arrangements, so long as they both agree. In the absence of agreement, this Order shall govern.

10. Each parent shall teach the subject minor child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the eyes of the child. This provision also applies to the plaintiff grandmother.

11. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $82.00, are divided equally between the parties. The Mediator has noted for the Court that the mother is indigent and is receiving public assistance. Her share is therefore assessed to Crawford County. The father's share shall be paid by him to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

12. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, is Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

May 31, 1988 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas, Jack Mosier and Defendant, and William Pineo, Esq.

1988

Rosen, Rosen & Bloom
F. Walter Bloom, III

337.

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

SHARON M. DILLINGER

vs.

DANIEL B. DILLINGER

Filed April 6, 1988
@10:54 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:
AND NOW, April 6, 1988, it is ORDERED AND DIRECTED that:
1. WILLIAM PINEO, ESQ. is appointed a mediator to conduct a hearing on the issues of custody and/or visitation rights. The hearing shall be at suc h time and place as he may from time to time direct.
2. At the time of hearing, he shall encourage and attempt to obtain a settlement agreement between the parties. If a settlement agreement cannot be reached, he shall conduct a hearing and thereafter submit a written resume tothe court together with his reccomendations for disposition of the case. He shall give notice of the filing to the parties or their counsel by ordinary mail.
3. Said recommendations will be adopted as the order of court unless either party files a motion within ten (10) days after the filing of the recommendations requesting a full hearing before the court.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

April 27, 1988 Appearance of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso & Gamble, By Ann Hansen Gamble, Attorneys for Defendant filed.

1988

Law Offices of
Harry L. Lentchner
Jesse L. Katz

338.

MELLON BANK, N.A.

vs.

ANDREW J. KURT
AND ELAINE KURT

Filed Apr. 6, 1988
at 10:56 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00
plff on orig	60.65
Sheriff's Costs	30.00
Pro co Pd 6/10/88	9.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

AD 1983 -219

Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants in the amount of Two Thousand One Hundred Forty Four and 14/100 Dollars plus int. of $536.15, for total of $2,680.29.
Debt - - $2,144.14
Int of $536.15
K - 239, 110 JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary
Issued (sheriff)

May 11, 1988 Writ REturn filed. Served and made known to Andrew J. Kurt within named defendant personally by handing a true copy of the wihtin Writ of Revial to him on May 2, 1988 at 2:41 PM at his plac eof residence, R.D. #3, Meadville, Crawford County ,PA.
Served Elaine Kurt, within named defendant on May 2, 1988 at 2:42 PM, by handing a true copy ofthe within Writ of Revial to andrew J. Kurt, adult member of the family with whom she resides, at their residence, r.D.#3, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, June 10, 1988, at 11:20 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with affidavit of non military service and copy of default notice attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for want of an answer, damages assessed in the amount of Two Thousand Six Hundred eighty and 29/100 Dollars.
Judgment entered - 6/10/88
K - 239, 210

Debt - -$2,680.29
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

June 14, 1988, Notice of entry of judgment mailed to defendants.

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

339.

withdrawn
~~Christopher Youngs~~

BARBARA M. ADKINS

vs.

DARWIN S. ADKINS

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, ALIMONY, COSTS, EXPENSES

Apr. 29, 1988, Complaint Reinstated.

May 6, 1988 Motion For Special Relief filed.

May 6, 1988 Order filed. 5/6/88 Hearing set May 20, 1988 2PM P R Thomas
May 9, 1988 copy of order to Joseph Barnhart

Filed Apr. 6, 1988
@ 11:11 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
2 counts paid	30.00
State pd 9-20-93	10.00
Pro Co pd 9-20-93	9.00

May 24, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 20, 1988, at the time the matter is coming before the court on a motion for special relief, the parties and their counsel advise the court that the subject matterof the motion has been amicably resolved along with all other related matters in the divorce action, and this agreement will be reduced to writing by the court reporter, and filed with the records in this case, with a copy to counsel for each of the parties.

By agreement of the parties, the motion for special relief is now moot and no hearing is held thereon.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P,J.

May 25, 1988, copies to Attys: Jos. Barnhart and Christopher Youngs.

May 24, 1988, STIPULATION filed.
May 25, 1988, copies to Attys. Jos. Barnhart and Christopher Youngs.

July 28, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit of Consent Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code filed.

Sept. 14, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 26, 1993 Withdrawl of Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC by Christopher J. Youngs as counsel for Darwin S. Adkins, filed.

Sept. 20, 1993 ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE, filed. I, Darwin S. Adkins, do hereby acknowledge tht I have this date received a true and correct copy of a Complaint in divorce as filed in the above-captioned matter. Dated: 5-5-88

Sept. 20, 1993 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Sept. 28, 1993 AND NOW, THIS 27th day of September, 1993, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED THAT, upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned matter, the Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to Section 3301(c) of the Pa. Divorce Code.

No other matters or causes of action provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code of 1980, as amended, are reserved by either of the parties.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

340

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
JOhn B. Brautigam

340.

Robert C. Wardd

CANDIDO CORTES, JR.

vs.

LISA J. BUDZBANOWSKI

Filed Apr. 6, 1988
@ 2:24 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

June 17, 1988 Acceptance of Service filed. I hereby accept service of the Complaint, in the above captioned action, on behalf of Defendant, Lisa J. Budzbanowski. Robert C. Ward

Sept. 12, 1988 Answer and New Matter To Complaint filed.

Sept. 27, 1988 Reply of Pl aintiff, Candido Cortes Jr. to New Matter of Defendant Budzbanowski filed.

Oct 30, 1988 Motion to Consolidate Actions and Order filed: AND NOW, this 3 day of October, 1988, it is ordered that the above captioned actions are consolidated into a single action to be captioned at AD. No. 1988-125.

The respective pleadings in the actions hereby consolidated shall remain as the pleadings in the consolidated actions.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Oct 4, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Brautigam and Ward

(See Paper filed at AD 1988-125)

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Paul D. Shafer, Jr.

341.

Bozic & Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
John D. Petruso
for dft. State Farm Ins.

ELBERT A.HOMER, ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF NANCY G. HOMER

vs.

RICHARD ALAN SAEGER
and
STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY

Filed Apr. 6, 1988
@ 3:15 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	43.10
Sheriffs costs	20.00

SUMMONS - CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

June 27, 1988 Writ Reissued.

Aug. 5, 1988, Writ Return filed. SERVED Richard Alan Saeger personally the within named defendant on July 26, 1988 at 1:55 p.m. by handing a copy of the within Summons, the original and two copies of Interrogatories to him at his place of employment, Master Scale Co., R.D. Cochranton, Crawford Co. PA.
So answers,
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept 14, 1988 Complaint filed. (Jury Trial Demanded)

Oct. 14, 1988 Appearance of Bozic & Thomas by R. Charles Thomas for Defendant filed.

Dec. 7, 1988 Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint and New Matter filed. 1 copy(atty)

Apr. 13, 1989, Reply to New Matter filed.

Feb. 12, 1990 MOtion for Leave to Amend Complaint filed.

Feb. 12, 1990, ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 12 dayof Feb. 1990 upon presentation of plaintiff's Motion for Leave to amend his ocmplaint is is hereby ordered that Leave is granted to the plaintiff to file an Amended Complaint adding a Declaratory Judgment Action in Court III.
By the court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.
Feb. 12, 1990, copies to P. Shafer and R. C. Thomas, ESq.s

Feb. 12, 1990 Plaintiff's Amended Complaint filed. 1 copy (sheriff)

Feb. 23, 1990, Sheriffs' Return filed. SERVED State AFrm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co. on Feb. 14, 1990, at 10:37 a.m. by handing copy of Complaint to Helen Dalton, Sec., at the Claims Office, R. D. #4, Box 4, Conneaut Lake Rd. Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

Mar. 7, 1990 answer of State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint filed.

Apr. 17, 1990 Answer of Richard Alan Sager to the Amended Complaint filed. 2 copies (atty)

Jan. 17, 1991 Certificate Of Readiness For Jury Trial List filed.

Feb. 25, 1991 Petition Of Elbert A. Homer For Aproval of Apportionment Of Settlement Funds in This Wrongful Death and Survival Action filed.

Feb. 25, 1991 Order Approving Settlement and Distribution Of Settlement Funds filed. AND NOW this 25th day of February, 1991 upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, it is ordered that the Settlement and Compromise of this action for the gross sum of $25,000.00 is approved. Counsel fees and expenses are also approved as set forth below. This distribution is directed as follows:

1. To the estate: $2,000.00
2. To Elbert Homer: $8,038.50
3. To Maurice Snyder: $4,019.25
4. To be paid to Petitioner on behalf of the minor Plaintiff, Deena Snyder, and to be placed in and insured savings account to be marked "not to be withdrawn until minor plaintiff reaches her majority or without the order of court of competent jurisidction": $4,019.25.
5. To Louis J. Stack, Esquire for counsel fees: $6,250.00.
6. To Louis J. Stack, Esquire for costs as set forthin the petition: $673.00

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J

Feb. 27, 1991 Copies of order put in Louis Stack, R. Charles Thomas and John Petruso's box.

342

1988

Michael DeLoss,
pro se
342.

James R. Irwin

MICHAEL DeLOSS

vs.

MEADVILLE FURNITURE
LIQUIDATORS
DARYL REINHART

Filed Apr. 6, 1988
@ 4:01 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF
DISTRICT JUSTICE CHISHOLM - 30-2-01

Apr. 6, 1988, Rule Entered Upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Apr. 7, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

Apr. 18, 1988 Complaint filed.

1988

pro se

343.

James R. Irwin

KATHY STOYER

vs.

MEADVILLE FURNITURE
LIQUIDATORS

Filed Apr. 6, 1988
@ 4:01 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT
OF DISTRICT JUSTICE CHISHOLM-30-2-01

Apr. 6, 1988, Rule Entered Upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Apr. 7, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

Apr. 11, 1988, Complaint of Trespass filed. 2 copies (plaintiff)

Apr 12, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the complaint certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pa on the above named defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested dated 4/11/88. Thier attorney acknowledged service on_____by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipts.
Kathy Stoyer

1988

William F. Peters

344.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
John B. Brautigam

KENNETH W. MORIAN, AND DORIS M. MORIAN, d/b/a JONES FLOOR COVERING

vs.

DAVID L. OSKIN

Filed Apr. 6, 1988
@ 4:16 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriffs costs	23.00
Pro Co Pd 10/31/88	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN ASSUMPSIT

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 21, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by John B. Brautigam for Defendant filed.

Apr. 29, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED David L. Oskin within named defendant on April 12, 1988 at 3:18 p.m. by handing a true copy ofthe within complaint to Larry Mickle, adult person, in charge of residence, Penn Line Road, Linesville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, dep. Sheriff
Howard N Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 31, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above cited case, has been marked satisfied in full.

1988

Pro se 345.	PROFESSIONAL BUSINESS FORMS vs. ROBERT TURNER Filed Apr. 7, 1988 @ 9:08 a.m. Tax & entry paid $40.25 ✓	APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF WILLIAM CHISHOLM, DISTRICT JUSTICE Apr. 7, 1988, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued. Apr. 13, 1988, Proof of Service filed. April 25, 1988 Complaint filed. (1 copy-atty.)

1988

Edgar M. Snyder &
Associates
James D. Belliveau

346.

CULBERTSON, WEISS, SCHETROMA
AND SCHUG
E. Max Weiss

JOSEPH T. PALMIERO AND
PAMELA PALMIERO

vs.

RANDALL R. GRUBER

Filed Apr. 7, 1988
@ 10:25 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriffs costs	22.15
Pro Co Pd 11/9/88	6.00

COMPLAINT IN A CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Apr 19, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by E. Max Weiss for Defendant filed.

Apr. 28, 1988 Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Apr 28, 1988 Request for Production filed.

Apr. 29, 1988, Sheriffs return filed. SERVED Randall R. Gruber within named defendant on Apr. 12, 1988, at 2:30 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Mrs. Gruber, adult person in charge of residence R. D. #1, Atlantic, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 9, 1988, STIPULATION between parties filed.

May 17, 1988, Answer and New Matter of Randall R. Gruber to Plaintiffs' Complaint filed.

June 10, 1988, Reply to New Matter filed.

June 21, 1988, Answers to Interrogatories Directed to Defendant filed.

June 21, 1988, Response of Defendant to Request for Production filed.

July 12, 1988 Notice Of Deposition of Joseph T. Palmiero and Pamela Palmiero filed.

Nov. 4, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is hereby satisfied, settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Carney & Heberle
Thomas D. Heberle

347.

GERALD L. KACPURA

vs.

J. C. FIELDING, AND MARIAN FIELDING, HIS WIFE, JACK E. McCOOL, t/a LANE DEVELOPMENT CO., MAX FELS, R. C. JACKSON, H. R. CONOVER, HUGH CUTSHALL, HERMAN E. VANDERHOOF, AND NORA A. VANDERHOOF, HIS WIFE, THEIR HEIRS, SPOUSES, ASSIGNS, BENEFICIARIES, EXECUTORS, ADMINISTRATORS, TRANSFEREES, AND ALL OTHERS CLAIMING FROM, BY, AND UNDER AND THROUGH THEM

Filed April 7, 1988
@ 10:32 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co Pd 7-29-88	9.00
Pro Co Pd 9/8/88	9.00

COMPLAINT - ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

April 7, 1988, Motion for Publication, Affidavit of plaintiff, and Order of Publication filed.
ORDER OF PUBLICATION: AND NOW, to-wit, this 11 day of April, 1988, upon motion filed by Thomas D. Heberle, esq. atty.for the plaintiff, leave is hereby granted to serve the defendants their heirs, spouses, assigns, beneficiaries, executors, administrators, transferees and all others claiming from by and through them by publication of a notice of the facts as set forth in this Action to Quiet Title in the Meadville Tribune, a newspaper of general circulation in Crawford County, Pa. once a week for 1 week, and once a week for 1 week in the Crawford County Legal Journal, a legal publication of general circulation in Crawford County, Pa. and requiring the said defendants to plead within twenty (20) days.

Robert L. Walker, J.

April 12, 1988, copy of order to Atty. Heberle.

July 29, 1988 Motion to Enter Judgment in Action to Quiet Title and Order filed: AND NOW, this 1 day of Aug., 1988, it appearing that the Plaintiff's Action to Quiet Title has been duly served by publication and that none of the Defendants have entered an appearance or filed or served and Answer thereto, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that title of Gerald L. Kacpura to the following described property:

ALL THAT CERTAIN PIECE OR PARCEL OF LAND SITUATE IN THE Township of Troy, County of Crawford and State of Pennsylvania, BOUNDED on the north by Route 408, on the east by Huckleberry, on the south by Quay and on the west by Maynard, containing thirty-seven (37) acres of land.

Being the same land conveyed to Gerald L. Kacpura by deed dated May 5, 1981 and recorded in Crawford County Deed Book 536 at page 457, is valid and undefeasible as against all rights and claims whatsoever and that the Defendants, J. C. Fielding and Marian Fielding, his wife; Jack E. McCool, t/a Lane Development Co.; Max Fels; R. C. Jackson; H. R. Conner; Hugh Cutshall; Herman E. Vanderhoof and Nora A. Vanderhoof, his wife, their heirs, spouses, beneficiaries, executors, administrators, transferees, assigns, and all persons generally, whatsoever, are barred forever from asserting any right, title, lien or interest in said land inconsistent with the interest or claim of the Plaintiff as set forth in his Action to Quiet Title, unless the said Defendants bring an Action in Ejectment within thirty (30) days after the entry of this decree.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Aug 2, 1988 Copies of order to Thomas Heberle, Esq.

Final

And Now, Sept. 8, 1988, at 11:28 a.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendants for want of an answer, Pursuant to the foregoing order of court.

F - 91, 111,
M- 91
L - 183
E - 11
J - 143
C - 83,85
V - 244, 123

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

348.

RICHARD A. SHEETS

vs.

KIMBERLY K. SHEETS

Filed Apr. 7, 1988
@ 3:37 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 11/4/88 15.00
Pro Co Pd 7-7-89 15.00
Pro Co Pd 11/14/89 9.00
Pro State Pd 11/14/89 10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

April 28, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on April 11, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Kimberly K. Sheets, by

certified mail #P -547 808 351, return receipt receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Kimberly K. Sheets on April 12, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Atty.

Nov. 1, 1988, Petition for Additional Remedies, Custody, filed. 1 copy (atty)

July 5, 1989 Petition for Additional Remedies (Equitable Distribution) filed. 1 copy (atty)

Oct. 6, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Oct. 6, 1989, Affidavit of consent of defendant filed.

Nov. 1, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendnat filed.

Nov. 9, 1989, Upon praecipe for Review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Nov. 28, 1989 STIPULATION AND DECREE FILED:

AND NOW, this 28 day of Nov. 1989, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201 (c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code..

2. REAL ESTATE: Plaintiff shall transfer to Defendant, by way of quitclaim deed, all of his right, title and interest in the jointly owned real estate now located at R.D.# 2, Phelps Road, Linesville, Pennsylvania, and in consideration, Defendant shall assume full responsibility for any debts owed on the property, including the mortgage owed to Northwest Mutual Savings, all real estate taxes, including past, 1988 , and all future taxes, any municipal liens or other expenses in connection with said real estate. Defendant shall indemnify and save Plaintiff harmless regarding said mortgage, including any expenses or debt that may be incurred, in the event that Defendant defaults on said mortgage.

By May 1, 1995, wife shall apply for the purpose of refinancing the Northwest Mutual Savings Mortgage into her name, with the purpose of absolving the Plaintiff from any responsibility under said mortgage, and she shall continue to actively and in good faith, pursue refinancing, until such time as she has received approval, and has actually concluded refinancing.

3. VEHICLES: A. Plaintiff shall retain the 1982 Ford Van which was purchased after separation , and Defendant acknowledges that she has no interest in the same. Plaintiff shall also retain the proceeds of the 1979 Monza, which has since been sold.

B. Defendant shall retain the 1981 Mercury Lynx, which is jointly titled, and Plaintiff shall transfer his interest in said vehicle to the Defendant, once she produces the title for him to sign. The title is presently being held by the bank financing the purchase of the vehicle, being Mellon Bank. Defendant shall be solely responsible for the balance on said vehicle, owed to Mellon Bank, and shall save Plaintiff harmless and indemnify him regarding said debt.

C. Plaintiff shall retain the 1975 Harley Davidson motorcycle, and Defendant shall produce the title and transfer said vehicle to the Plaintiff, within ten days of the date she signsthe stipulation to this divorce decree.

4. PERSONAL PROPERTY: Each party shall retain those items of household and tangible personal property that he or she now has in his or her possession.

5. DEBTS: Plaintiff shall assume the following debts, many of which he has already paid off: J. C. Penny, Kaufmann's , Sears, Wickes, Linesville Lumber, Lakeland Emergicenter, Western Auto, Ashland Oil, Marine Bank (personal loan), and Pennbank (his student loan).

Defendant shall be responsible for payment of the following debts, in addition to Mellon Bank and the Northwest Mutual Savings mortgage as noted above: Fashion Bug, Avco and Gee Bees.

6. MONETARY PAYMENT:

Plaintiff ack nowledges payment to him, by Defendant, of the sum of $1,000.00, which payment is part of the total economic settlement.

7. CUSTODY: The parties hereto shall share legal custody of their minor child, Emily Nicole Sheets, age two (2), born February 22, 1987.

Primary physical custody of the child shall remain with her mother, and father shall have the following rights to temporary custody with the child:

A. Every other weekend, beginning December 16, 1989, from 4:00 p.m. Saturday until 5:00 p.m. Sunday, with father to provide transportation to and from the weekend visit. Until December 16th father shall have temporary custody of the child from 12:30 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. every other Sunday, beginning August 20.,1989.

B. On Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day, from 2:00 p.m. to 8:00 P.M. , with father to provide transportation to and from each visit.

Mother shall not relocate taking the child with her, a distance of fifty miles or more from her present residence, without securing a modification custody order, either by agreement as incorporated in a consent custody modification order signed by a judge of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, or through the litigation process, culminating in an order signed by a judge of the same court. In the event of such relocation, the mother shall give the father advance notice of her intention to move, as much in advance as possible.

Each party shall keep the other party informed of his or her residential address and telephone number, and shall notify the other party of any accidents that may befall the child, other than minor accidents, scrapes or bruises.

Additional visitation shall be arranged as the parties shall agree upon mutually..

Neither party is to expose the child to any environment in which a person is intoxicated from any source, and each parent is to encourage the child to love and respect the other parent, and to keep the child from the presence of those who talk badly of the other parent.

8. WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS:

Neither party hereto shall be required to file an inventory and appraisement, nor an income and expense statement, as would otherwise have been required by the Rules of Civil Procedure. Each party is aware of the assets and debts of the other party hereto, sufficient to his or her own satisfaction.

9. WAIVER OF CLAIMS:

Neither party hereto shall make any claim against the other party hereto, except for enforcement of the rights and responsibilities set forth in this decree.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

1988

Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
Douglas Ferguson

349.

LORRIE A. HARRY

vs.

GREGORY SCOTT HARRY

Filed Apr. 8, 1988
@ 8:46 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Pd 7/28/88 9.00
Pro Co pd 8/9/88 5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

July 27, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. I, the undersigned being duly sworn according to law, dpose and say that I served a true and correct copy of Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary on the above named defendant by cert. mail, addressee only, ret. rec. req. dated April 12, 1988. The defendant acknowledges service on April 15, 1988 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.
Douglas E. Ferguson, Esq.

July 27, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

July 27, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

July 27, 1988, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to Transmit the Record, this case moved to court.

Aug. 8, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, August 8, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

L O R R I E A . H A R R Y and G R E G O R Y S C O T T H A R R Y

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 9, 1988 Notice Of Election To Retake Maiden Name filed.
Lorrie A. Harry TO BE KNOWN AS: Lorrie A. Pollick

350

1988

350.

Quinn, Gent, Buseck, and Leemhuis, Inc.
James K. McNamara

THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

BETTY MAE PETRELLA

Filed Apr. 6, 1988
@ 10:38 a.m.

Tax & entry pd 4/18/88 $40.50 ✓

PETITION TO VACATE SUSPENSION OF DRIVER'S LICENSE PRIVILEGES

3 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, to-wit, this 8 day of April 1988, upon consideration of the petition of Betty Mae Petrella, the suspension indicated by the Official Notice with mailing date Mar. 16, 1988, transmitted to Petrella (copy attached to Petrella's Petition) is hereby suspended subject to further order of court. In the event Petrella is found guilty at the hearing on her appeal from her summary conviction, the Department of Transportation shall re-notify Petrella of the action it intends to take and of her appeal rights thereunder. Hon. P. Richard Thomas,
President Judge

1988

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis E. Blystone

351.

KELLY S. SWEITZER

vs.

ORVAL P. SWEITZER, JR.

Filed Apr. 8, 1988
@ 2:38 p.m.

Indigent Filing

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

Apr. 8, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed IN Forma Pauperis Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. No. 240 filed.

ORDER: Approved 4/8/88
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Apr. 8, 1988, Affidavit Under Rule 240 Re In Forma Pauperis filed.

Apr. 8, 1988, ORDER AND NOTICE: You, Orval P. Sweitzer, Jr. dft. have been sued in court to obtain custody ofthe children: Jessica M. Sweitzer and Lucette E. Sweitzer.

You are hereby ordered to appear in person for a conference at the time and place to be scheduled by the Custody Mediator appointed in this case.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Law Librarian, Crawford County Courthosue, Meadville, Pa. 16335, Tele: 814 336=1151.
Date: 4/8/88

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, Judge

Apr. 8, 1988, Motion for Appointment of Child Custody Mediator and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, 4/8/88 Upon presentation of the foregoing motion JOHN FULLER is appointed child custody mediator in the above captioned action.
1 copy (atty) 1 copy (mediator)

P. Richard thomas, J.

April 25, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed.

1. The undersigned, Curtis E. Blystone, is attorney for the plaintiff, Kelly S. Sweitzer, in the above action.

2. On April 13, 1988, affiant mailed a true and attested copy of the Complaint For Custody in the above captioned action, together with the Order and Notice required by Pa.R.C.P. 1915.3(b), tothe defendant, Oval P. Sweitzer, Jr., addressed to his mailing address of P.O. Box 64, Cooperstown, Pennsylvania, 16317, by certified mail posted at the Meadville, Pa. Post Office and bearing certified mail item no. P 404 856 655 as indicated by the "Receipt for Certified Mail" attached hereto. Said item of certified mail was restricted for delivery to the addressee only .

3. The aforesaid item of certified mail was delivered to the defendant, Orval P. Sweitzer, Jr., personally on April 14, 1988 as indicated by the return rec eipt attached hereto and signed by the defendant personally.

I verify that the statements made in this affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S.§4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Curtis E. Blystone, Esq.

June 2, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, June 1, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Jessica Sweitzer and Lucette Sweitzer shall be shared between their mother and father, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part in important decisions affecting the children, including decisions regarding medical treatment, religious instruction and education.

II. Physical custody of Jessica Sweitzer and lUcette Sweitzer shall be with their mother, Kelly S. Sweitzer, subject to the following conditions:

(a) the father, Orval P. Sweitzer, Jr., shall be entitled to visit and take the older child into his custody every other weekend, beginning June 3, 1988 from 6:00 p.m.on Friday until 6:00 p.m. on Sunday. The father shall be afforded the same visitation rights with the younger child, Lucette Sweitzer after he has seen thehounger child several times in the mother's home and they have beocme better acquainted. The father shall provide transportation for the children on such weekend visits.

(b) Holdiays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. The mother shall be entitled to have the children in her custody on the aforesaid three holidays until 12:00 noon and the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody on such three holdiays from 12:00 noon until 6:00 p.m.

(c) Beginning with the summer of 1988, the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody for a continuous period of two weeks. the father shall provide the mother at least thirty (30) days notice of the two week period in which the father intends to exercise such summer visitation privileges.

(d) The father shall provide transportation for the children on such weekend, holiday and summer visitation.

(e) At no time when the children are in the father's custody shall the father be under the influence of alcohol.

(f) Neither father nor mother shall say or do anything in an attmept to turn the childen against the other parent but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude onthe part of the children towards both parents.

This Order shall be a temporary Order but shall become a permanent Order unless exceptions are filed hereto by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof, provided, however, that this order is entered without prejudice to the right of either party to request a further mediation conference or hearing after six months fromthe date hereof without proving a major change in circum= stances.

Costs of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

P. Richard Thomas, J

June 3, 1988 Copies of order to Curtis Blystone, Defendant and John Fuller

352

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

352.

KARL R. WEAVER

vs.

SHIRLEY L. WEAVER

Filed Apr. 8, 1988
@ 2:49 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 3/21/90	9.00
State pd 3/21/90	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

June 6, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Lawrence Hyldahl an adult ind., being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on Apr. 14, 1988 at approximately 11:44 a.m. serve on the defendant Shirley L. Weaver, by personally handing her a cert. copy ofthe complaint in the above divorce action at 195 South Main St., Cambridge Spings, Pa. Lawrence Hyldahl.

Feb. 26, 1990, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Feb. 26, 1990, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Mar. 21, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Mar. 26, 1990 DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

AND NOW, March 26, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Karl R. Weaver, plaintiff, and Shirley L. Weaver, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1988

Bozic and Thomas
David P. Truax

353.

GENE RILEY HEBERLING

vs.

HELEN KAY HEBERLING

Filed Apr. 8, 1988
@ 3:32 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

Pro Co Pd 1-26-89 9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

April 15, 1988 Affidavit of Service of Complaint In Divorce filed. David P. Truax, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on April 12, 1988, he sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint In Divorce, properly endorsed with the Notice To Defend, filed in the above action to the Defendant, Helen Kay Heberlingat Defendant, Helen Kay Heberlingat Defendant's last known address of 422 Willow St., Apt. E, Meadville, PA 16335 c/o Susan Rossey, by Certified Mail No. P 151 159 839, postage prepaid, restricted delivery, return receipt requested, and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as April 13, 1988.

David P. Truax, Esq.

Jan 25, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan 25, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan 25, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Feb. 2, 1989 **D I O V R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, February 2, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Gene Riley Heberling, plaintiff, and Helen Kay Heberling, defnedant, are diovrced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

William J. Gregg
~~Mary Ann Kirkpatrick~~
~~C. Barton Jones~~

354.

James R. Irwin

MICHAEL L. SMITH

vs.

JEANNE E. SMITH

COMPLAINT UNDER 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, PROPERTY DISTRIBUTION, CUSTODY, & SUPPORT

Filed Apr. 8, 1988
@ 3:34 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
3 counts paid	44.00
Pro Co Rec'd 4/14/88	1.00
Pro Co pd 9/7/88	30.00
Pro Co Pd 6-7-90	5.00

July 18, 1988 Motion to Withdraw as Counsel for Plaintiff and Order filed. AND NOW, this 19 day of July, 1988, Motion Granted. P. Richard Thomas
July 20, 1988 Copies of order to C. Barton Jones

Sept. 6, 1988 Answer and Counterclaim filed. (Alimony, Alimony Pendente Lite, and Counsel Fees)

Sept. 29, 1988 Verification filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, Esquire, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for defendant and that as such, I am authorized to execute this Verification; that I did,on the 16th day of September, 1988 send by certified Mail,Return Receipt Requested, Addressee ONly, to Plaintiff a true and correct copy of Defendant's Answer and Counterclaim to divorce filed at the above term and number at his last known address of 112 College Avenue, 3E, Greenville, PA 16125, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P-547 801 428, and that on the 26th day of September, 1988 I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 21st day of September, 1988. JamesR. Irwin

Feb. 21, 1990 Request For Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, February 21, 1990 upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Request for Hearing a hearing is scheduled with the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, on the 30 day of March, 1990 at 9:30 o'clock a.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
FOR THE COURT:
Imogene Yetmas, C.A.

Feb. 23, 1990 copy of order put in James Irwin's box.

Apr. 6, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of March, 1990, after a hearing on the defendant's Counterclaim for alimony pendente lite and counsel fees in this divorce action brought by her husband it is ordered as follows:
1. That the plaintiff shall pay the sum of $250.00 to James R. Irwin, attorney for the defendant as counsel fees, wich said payment shall be made no later than April 30, 1990. The ultimate disposition of counsel fees and the allocationof counsel fees between the aprties is reserved unto the Master or the Court fo rthis is an interim order only.
2. The defendant is awarded alimony pendente lite in the amount of $120.00 per month for four months commencing with the month of April 1990. Said payment shall be made by the plaintiff on or before the 15th day of each month, starting with the 15th day of April, 1990 and each subsequent payment shall be made onthe 15th day of each subsequent month. This order is based upon the plaintiff's average net take home pay of $1,040.00 per month and the defendant's average net take home pay of $715.00 per month. The Court was not convinced of the accuracy ofthe plaintiff's net take home pay but, in the absence of any other figures or evidence, accepted the plaintiff's representations on that. However, the plaintiff is ordered and directed to deliver a true and correct copy of his 1989 Federal and State income tax returns to James R. Irwin, attorney for the defendant as soon as he files the same and, in no event, no later than April 20, 1990. If the plaintiff's gross income for 1989, as relfected by his 1989 tax returns, is greater than $15,600.00, the amount he represented at the hearing, the defendant shall be permitted to file a request for another hearing and for reconsideration of the amount of alimony pendente lite and counsel fees which the plaintiff should pay. At that time the Court will consider additional counsel fees if it turns out that the plaintiff misrepresented his earning capacity.
3. The Court did not take into account, in awarding alimony pendente lite, the fact that the plaintiff may continue to make the monthly mortgage payment, and, possibly, other expenses related to the jointly owned former marital residence whwich the defendant continues to reside in. There was uncertainty as to whether or not the plaintiff would be making those payments. If he does, issues such as whether or not he should receive credit for part for all of those payments, the obligation of the defendant to pay a monthly rental for the plaintiff's half ownership of the property all should be resolved by the Master of the Court in the divorce action and is specifically reserved until that time as not having been considered up to this point on the issue of alimony pendente lite.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 9, 1990 Copy of order mailed to plaintiff and copy put in James Irwin's box.

May 18, 1990 Petition for Contempt filed.

May 18, 1990 Order filed: AND NOW, this 18th day of May, 1990, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a Rule to Show Cause is hereby entered upon Respondent, to show cause why he should not be held in contempt of Court.
Said Rule is returnable on the 6th day of June, 1990 in Courtroom No 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania at 10:30 o'clock A.M.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, Esq.

May 21, 1990 Copies of order put in Atty Irwin's box and copy mailed to Plaintiff.

*** May 18, 1990 Exhibits filed with papers.

May 21, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, May 21, 1990, upon presentation and review of the attached petition, a Rule to Show Cause is hereby entered directed to the Defnedant to show cause if any she has why said petition for bifurcation should not be granted.
The Rule is returnable for hearing and/or argument on Wednesday, June 6, 1990 at 10:30 a.m. in Courtroom No. 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.
The Prothonotary shall deliver a copy of this Order to James R. Irwin, Attorney for the Defendant forthwith.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 21, 1990 Copy handed to Plaintiff and copy put in Atty Irwin's box.

*** May 21, 1990 Petition For Bifurcation filed.

Continued
Pg 354 A

1988

Michael L. Smith
vs
Jeanne E. Smith

Record Continued from page 354
of
AD 1988 - 354

June 7, 1990, ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 6, 1990, after hearing and argument on the plaintiff's petition for bifurcation, the same is DENIED.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.
June 13, 1990, copies to plff. and James R. Irwin.

June 7, 1990, upon praecipe of plaintiff, this case is marked discontinued of record.

June 8, 1990, ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 6, 1990, at the time scheduled for hearing on the Defendant's petition for contempt alleging that the Plaintiff did not comply with our Order of March 30, 1990, the Plaintiff maintains that he did not receive notice of this hearing and was not prepared to proceed; we did take the testimony of one witness regarding issues relating to alimony pendente lite and counsel fees, and the Defendant has represented that he did not file his tax return but rather obtained an extension, it is hereby ORDERED as follows:

1. The Plaintiff's address for the purpose of serving any papers or documents or notices or Orders in this case shall be P.O. Box 187, Jamestown, PA. 16134.

2. The Plaintiff shall this date give to James R. Irwin copies of any and all documents that he mailed to the I.R.S. to obtain an extension for the filing of his 1989 Federal Income Tax return.

3. The Defendant shall pay James R. Irwin $250.00 to apply on counsel fees no later than June 15, 1990.

4. The Plaintiff shall file his 1989 Federal and State Income Tax returns no later than Aug. 15, 1990. He is ORDERED and DIRECTED to deliver a true and correct copy of his 1989 Federal and State Income Tax returns to James R. Irwin, Atty. for the Defendant, within five (5) days after the returns are filed, but in no event later than Aug. 20, 1990. If the Plaintiff's gross income for 1989 as reflected in his 1989 tax returns is greater than $15,600.00 the amount that he represented to be his earnings, the Defendant shall be permitted to file a request for a hearing and a reconsideration of the amount of alimony pendente lite and counsel fees, which the Plaintiff should pay.

At that time, the Court will consider additional counsel fees if it turns out that the Plaintiff has misrepresented his erning capacity.

The tax returns which the Plaintiff shall furnish to James R. Irwin shall be (a) His individual tax returns (b) tax returns for any partnership in which he is a partner (c) tax returns for any corporation that he is a stockholder, officer or director of.

The plaintiff shall be required to furnish James R. Irwin with a true and correct copy of the corporate tax return of Systems Design & Installation, Inc. for its fiscal year ended Oct., 1988.

With respect to the corporate tax return of Systems Design & Installation, Inc. for the fiscal year ended Oct., 1989, the Defendant may be required to furnish that within a reasonable period of time after it is due.

5. Since the Defendant represented that he was not prepared for the testimony of Sherry Basford, he is given ten (10) days from this date to file a request with the Court to set another hearing to rebut or defend the testimony of Sherry Basford. He shall notify James R. Irwin of his intention to file said motion. If he files said motion, we will schedule a hearing to permit the introduction of additional evidence with respect to the testimony of Sherry Basford.

By The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.
June 14, 1990 Copy of Order to Atty. James Irwin, copy mailed to Plaintiff.

Nov. 7, 1990 Petition for Contempt filed.

Nov. 7, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of November, 1990, it is hereby ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT A Rule to Show Cause is hereby entered upon Respondent, Michael L. Smith, to show cause why he should not be held in contempt of Court.
Said Rule is returnable on the 16th day of Nov., 1990, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania at 1:30 o'clock p.m.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Nov. 7, 1990 Copies of order put in James Irwin's box.

Nov. 19, 1990 Motion for Continuance and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 15th day of November, 1990, motion granted. Hearing in the above matter is rescheduled to January 8, 1991 , at 1:30 P.M. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Nov. 26, 1990 copies of Order to Attys. James Irwin and William J. Gregg.

Jan. 10, 1991 Motion for Continuance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 9th day of January, 1991 upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion this hearing is continued generally Hearing to be scheduled upon Motion of either Plaintiff or Defendant.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon r. Miller, J

Jan. 10, 1990 Copies of order put in James Irwin and William Gregg's box.

Jan. 25, 1991 Petition For Bifurcation of Divorce Action and Order filed. AND NOW, this 25 day of Jan., 1991, upon consideration of the herein Petition, a hearing is set for the 27 day of Feb., 1991, at 3:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2.

BY THE COURT,
P R Thomas, S.J.

Jan. 25, 1991 Copies of order put in James Irwin and William Gregg's box.

Feb. 4, 1991, Request for Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, this 4 day of Feb. 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing request for hearing a hearing is sc heduled with the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County Pa. on the 27th day of Feb. 1991 at 3:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford CountyCourthouse, Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Feb. 6, 1991, copies to Attys. J. Irwin and W. Gregg.

Continued on Pg 354 B

354B

1988

Continuation from page 354A of MIchael L. Smith vs, Jeanne Y. Smith divorce

Feb. 22, 1991, Income and Expense Statement of Michael L. Smith filed.

Feb. 22, 1991, Inventory and Appraisement of Michael L. Smith, plaintiff filed.

Feb 26, 1991 Motion for Appointment of Master filed.

Mar 1, 1991 Memorandum and Order filed: AND NOW, February 28, 1991, it is ordered as follows:

1. The discontinuance filed by the plaintiff on June 7, 1990 is stricken as having been filed without leave of Court.

2. The plaintiff's petition for bifurcation is refused. The Defendant's motion for dismissal of the plaintiff's petition and bifurcation made orally at the close of the plaintiff's presentation of evidence is granted.

3. The plaintiff's motion for the appointment of a Master in divorce is refused. The plaintiff may file another motion for the appointment of Master once he has complied with Pa.R.C.P, 1920.31, Pa. R.C.P. 1920.33 and Pa. R.C.P. 1920.42.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar 1, 1991 Copies of order given to Attys. Gregg and Irwin.

Mar 1, 1991 Exhibits filed with papers.

June 5, 1991 Petition For Discontinuance of Divorce Action filed.

June 5, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, June 5, 1991, upon presentation of the attached petition a rule to show cause is issued directed to the defendant to show cause, if any she has, why the prayer of the petition should not be granted. Said Rule is returnable for hearing and/or argument on june 6, 1991 at 10:00 o'clcok a.m. in Courtroom No. 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

The plaintiff shall immediately serve a true and correct copy of the petition for discontinuance of the divorce action and this order on James R. Irwin, attorney for the defnedant.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

June 5, 1991 Copies of order put in William Gregg and James Irwin's box.

June 6, 1991 MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed., ORDER: AND NOW, June 6, 1991 the plaintiff's petition for discontinuance of the divorce action is granted, but said discontinuance shall not affect the right of the defendant to proceed further with her ocunterclaims for alimony pendente lite, counsel fees and costs to the date of this discontinuance (Pa.R.C.P. 232).

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

June 6, 1991 copies in boxes of Attys. gregg and Irwin.

June 12, 1991 Request for Hearing and order filed: AND NOW, this 6 day of June, 1991 upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Request for Hearing a hearing is scheduled with the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, on the 15 day of July, 1991 at 3:00 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
P. R. Thomas, J.

June 17, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys Irwin and Gregg's boxes.

July 15, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Laurie Black, do hereby verify that I did, on the 13th day of June 1991, send a true and correct copy of the Order setting a hearing date for July 15, 1991 at 3:00 pm by regular mail to Michael L. Smith, the above named Plaintiff, to his home address at: Timbercrest Apartment, Apt. Building 5B, Apartment No. 203, Meadville, PA 16335.

Laurie Black

July 17, 1991, exhibit filed.

July 17, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, July 15, 1991, after formal hearing in this matter and on the Defendant's request for alimony pendente lite, counsel fees, and expenses from the date of the last order of March 30, 1990 until June 16, 1991 when on Plaintiff's petition this divorce was granted, the court finds and orders as follows:

The Court finds that the only evidence of the Plaintiff's earning capacity indicates that he has a financial ability to earn $25,000 per year, which amounts to approximately $2,000 per month. The Defendant's earning capacity is $758 net take-home pay per month from her part-time employment, plus $190 per month in supporth the Plaintiff should be paying for support of the parties' minor child. the further finds that the Defendant's counsel fees that the Defendant has incurred sinch the March 30, 1990 order amounts to $610 incurred in this action which the Plaintiff has now discontinued.

Accordingly, it is ordered as follows:

1. The Plaintiff shall pay counsel fees in the amount of $610 to James R. Irwin, attorney for the Defendant at the rate of $203.33 per month, which said payment shall be paid on or before the last day of each month commencing with the month of July, 1991. The Plaintiff shall pay the balance no later than September 30, 1991.

2. The Defendant is awarded alimony pendente lite in the amount of $250.00 per month. This award of alimony pendente lite is basically retroactive because the Plaintiff discontinued this action. The Court will not order the Plaintiff to pay said amount in a lump sum, but will give the Plaintiff four months to pay that alimony pendente lite in full. The Plaintiff is therefore ordered to pay $250 per month for four months commencing with the month of July, 1991 which said payment shll be made on or before the 31st day of July, and each subsequent payment made on or before the last day of each month hereafter.

The Court notes for the benefit of the parties and the Superior Coult if an appeal is taken that this divorce action was discontinued by the plaintiff, which he had a right to do, but while the action was pending, the Defendant, whose earnings were considerably less than the Plaintiffs, was put to the test and responsibility of supporting herself and hiring an attorney, and the Court believes that to put the parties on an equal footing, this order is appropriate.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 18, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys Gregg and Irwin's boxes and copies mailed to Atty. Jones, and Plaintiff.

Aug. 21, 1991 Notice of Petition For Contempt filed.

Aug. 21, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 19 day of August, 1991, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a Rule to Show Cause is hereby entered upon Respondent, Michael L. Smith, to show cause why he should not be held in contempt of Court.

Said Rule is returnable on the 6th day of Sept., 1991, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA. at 9:30 o'clock A.M.

By The Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Aug. 21, 1991 Copy of Order to ATty. James Irwin, Dom Rel; Aug. 29, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Wm. Gregg.

Sept. 9, 1991 Motion To Withdraw Contempt Petition and Order filed. AND NOW, this 5th day of September, 1991, upon presentatio and consideration of the within Motion, Petitioner's above-captioned Contempt Petition is hereby withdrawn andthis hearig is ocntinued generally.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J

Sept. 11, 1991 Copies of order to Atty's Irwin, Gregg and Dom Rel.

Sept. 20, 1991 Appearance of Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, attorney for plaintiff filed.

Oct. 2, 1991 Motion To Consolidate filed. (See paper filed at A.D. 1991-677)

Oct. 2, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, October 1, 1991, the motion of Michael L. Smith to consolidate these actions is denied however the Court will permit a consolidated hearing if issues of alimony pendente lite are raised in one or both of the cases or if there are any hearings or argument son issues that may be raised at 1988-354 that are also reaised at 1991-67.

BY THE COURT.
Gorodn R. Miller,

Oct. 2, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Irwin and Kirkpatrick

Continued on next page

JEANNE Y. SMITH

vs.

MICHAEL L. SMITH

Record continued from page 354B

of record

A.D. 1988 - 354

****

Oct. 4, 1991 Motion To Withdraw Appearance and Order filed. AND NOW,this 4th day of October, 1991, upon consideration of the her ein Motion, Defendant's counsel, William J. Gregg, is her eby authorized to withdraw his appearance on behalf of the Defendant in the above cpationed matter. By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J

Oct. 8, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Gregg, Irwin and Kirkpatrick

Feb. 19, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 19th day of February, 1992, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a Rule to Show Cause is hereby entered upon Respondent, Michael L. Smith, to show cause why he should not be held in contempt of Court.

Said Rule is returnable on the 31st day of March, 1992 in Courtroom No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvanian at 1:30 p.m. BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Feb. 24, 1992 copy in box for W. Gregg & J. Irwin

Feb. 19, 1992 Petition For Contempt filed.

Apr. 6, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 31, 1992 the parties appeared in court on support issues with counsel and entered into an agreement and stipulation as covering a resolution of all of the issues raised in these cases. Based on their agreement, it is ordered as follows:

1. The Plaintiff shall pay the following sums to the Defendant no later than April 14, 1992:

A. $500 to apply to alimony pendente lite.

B. $203.33 to apply on the Defendant's counsel fees.

2. Upon receipt of the payments referred to in Paragraph 1, the Defendant shall mark her claims for alimony, alimony pendente lite, and counsel fees settled of record. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Apr. 10, 1992 copy in box for M. Kirkpatrick, J. Irwin

354
D

1988

1988

William L. Walker 355.	GLORIA A. ANTONIOTTI vs. WILLIAM HARKNESS Filed Apr. 8, 1988 @ 4:20 p.m. Indigent Filing	COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY 1 copy (atty) 1 copy (mediator) ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 11th dayof April 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, JOHN FULLER is hereby appointed child custody mediator in this matter. The parties and their counsel shall attend a mediation conference scheduled by the child custody mediator and shall comply with all requests of the child custody mediator. Date: April 11, 1988 BY THE COURT, Robert L. Walker, J. Apr. 8, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed in Forma Pauperis,Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed.

1988

Anthony J. Vardaro

356.

ROBERT F. BEITER

vs.

REBECCA J. BEITER

Filed Apr. 11, 1988
@ 10:46 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 7-22-88 12.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c)

1 copy (atty)

May 4, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Vicki Bradshaw, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the secretary to Anthony J. Vardaro, Esq., Atty. for Plaintiff in this action and certifies that on April 12, 1988 she delivered to the Post Office in Meadville,PA for delivery to Rebecca J. Beiter at Box 466, Oakdale, PA 15071 by certified mail, return receipt requested, delivery restricted to addressee only, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in thismatter for which deponent received at the time receipt for certified article no. P-547 803 542 which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Thereafter on April 18, 1988 it was returned to Anthony J. Vardaro, Esq., return receipt card bearing the same number and signed by Rebecca Beiter said return receipt card being attached hereto as Exhibit "B".
Vicki Bradshaw

July 22, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

July 22, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 22, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Aug. 2, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, August 2, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

R O B E R T F . B E I T E R and R E B E C C A J . B E I T E R

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorce and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Quinn, Gent, Buseck, and Leemhuis Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

357.

MARINE MIDLAND BANK

vs.

DAVID PALMER

Filed Apr. 11, 1988
@ 1:28 p.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$15.00
plff on orig	62.35
Sheriff's costs	23.00
Pro Co pd 5/20/88	9.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

AD 1983 - 991

Revival of Judgment indexed against the defendant in the amount of Three thousand Two Hundred One and 63/100 Dollars, with int. from Aug. 19, 1981, and costs of action.
Debt ----$3,201.63
Int. from 8/19/81
P - 41 ----------JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

May 10, 1988 Writ Return filed. NOT FOUND, as to within named defendant David Palmer. Moved, left no forwarding address.
So answers,
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And now, May 20, 1988 at 11:02 am upon praecipe of p laintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Defaulg judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor ofthe plaintiff and against the Defendant per writ of revival and the damages are assessed at the sum of Three Thousand Two Hundred One and 63/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
P-41
judgment entered May 20, 1988

Debt $3,201.63
Int. from Aug. 19, 1981
Judgment
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

Stephen Hutzelman
Plate, Shapira,
Hutzelman, Berlin, May
Walsh and Brabender

358.

MARINE MIDLAND BANK

vs.

BLAIR ROGERS AND TINA M. ROGERS

Filed Apr. 11, 1988
@ 1:36 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	29.20
Pro Co Pd 3/22/89	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

May 3, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Blair Rogers personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on April 18, 1988 at 12:35 P.M. at his residence, 118 South Chestnut Street, Linesville, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Tina Rogers within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on April 18, at 12:36 P.M. at her place of residence, 118 South Chestnut Street, Linesville, Crawford County, Pa.

So Answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Mar. 22, 1989, at 10:54 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with copy of default notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, for want of an answer, damages assessed in the sum of One Thousand Thirty Two and 62/100 Dollars, plus court costs of record. (includes INt. from July 16, 1987 of $140.97 and atty. fees of $281.94)
Judgment entered - 3/22/89
R - 24, 164

Debt --- $1,832.62
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Mar. 23, 1989, Notices of entry of Judgment mailed to each defendant.

1988

William J. Gregg 359.	JOSEPH W. KIMMEL vs. BETTY L. KIMMEL Filed Apr. 11, 1988 @ 1:41 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓	PETITION FOR CUSTODY 1 copy (atty) 1 copy (mediator) ORDER: AND NOW, this 12 day of April 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing Petition, the parties hereby are ordered to appear before WILLIAM PINEO, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR, at such time and place as said Child Custody Master shall hereafter determine. BY THE COURT, Robert L. Walker, J.

1988

Edgar M. Snyder &
Associates
James F Belliveau

360.

CHRISTIE K. TRYPUS INDIVIDUALLY, AND AS THE PARENT AND NATURAL GUARDIAN OF CRYSTAL L. TRYPUS, A MINOR, AND MICHELLE TRYPUS, A MINOR

vs.

RANDALL J. HULLEY

Filed Apr. 12, 1988
@ 11:04 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Costs 22.85

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

May 2, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: NOT FOUND, as to within named defendant, Randall J. Hulley. Moved, left no forwarding address at Post Office.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 15, 1989 Petition for Approval to Settle the Claims of Minors and Order filed: AND NOW, to-wit, this 14 day of June, 1989, upon the aforegoing Petition, it is hereby Ordered that the prayer of said Petitioner is granted.

Petitioner Christie L. Trypus is given court approval to settle the claims of the minors with distribution to be made as follows:

a) For Chrystal Trypus, the sum of $2,962.67, said sum to be deposited in an interest-bearing account in a federally insured depository, at Pennsylvania Bank, Conneaut Lake Office, 210 Water Street, Conneaut Lake, Pa 16316, with the restricted endorsement that no withdrawal be made until the minor achieves the age of eighteen (18) years or unless otherwise approved by Order of Court;

b) The sum of $1,481.33 to the law firm of Edgar M. Snyder & Associates for its fee for services rendered in conjunction with this matter; and

c) The sum of $56.00 to the law firm of Edgar M. Snyder & Associates as reimbursement for its costs;

d) For Michelle Trypus, the sum of $2,962.00, said to be deposited in an interest-bearing account in a federally insured depository, at Pennsylvania Bank, Conneaut Lake Office, 210 Water Street, Conneaut Lake Pa 16316, with the restricted endorsement that no withdrawals be made until the minor achieves the age of eighteen (18) years or unless otherwise approved by Order of Court;

e) The sum of $1,481.00 to the law firm of Edgar M. Snyder & Associates for its fee for services rendered in conjunction with this matter; and

f) The sum of $57.00 to the law firm of Edgar M. Snyder & Associates as reimbursement for its costs.

By the court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 19, 1989 Copies of order mailed to Atty. Belliveau.

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
E. Max Weiss
Nancy M. Sennett

361.

Knox Graham McLaughlin
Gornall and Sennett, Inc.
John O Dodick

MacDonald , Illig,
Jones & Britton
By:Matthew W. McCullough

For-Aaron R. Baker

TONYA LYNN BRAWLEY

vs.

AARON R. BAKER

Filed Apr. 12, 1988
@ 3:02 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Costs 27.15
Pro Co pd 11-12-91 5.00
Pro Co pd 11-18-91 1.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

May 11, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

May 11, 1988 Motion for Leave To Amend Plaintiff's Complaint and Order filed. And Now, May 11, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing MOtion for Leave to file an Amended Compalint presented on behalf of Plaintiff by her attorneys, Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Motion is granted and the Complaint and Amended Complaint shall both be served upon the Defendant.

By the Court
P. Richard Thomas, J

May 11, 1988 Copy of order to E.Max Weiss

May 11, 1988 Amended Complaint filed.

June 6, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Aaron R. Baker personally, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on June 1, 1988 at 4:17 P.M. at his place of employment, Dad's Dog Food, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 23, 1988 Appearance of Knox Graham McLaughlin Gornall and Sennett, Inc. by John O. Dodick, Esq. for defendant filed.

June 24, 1988 Notice of service of Interrogatories and Request for Production filed.

July 6, 1988 Answer and New Matter filed.

July 18, 1988 Reply to Plaintiff to New Matter Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1030 filed.

Aug. 1, 1988 Notice Of Service Of Request For Production To Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 4, 1988 Responses to: Request for Production of Documents and Things To: Defendant, Aaron R. Baker filed.

Aug. 4, 1988 Interrogatories Directed to defendant (First Set) and Answers thereto: filed.

August 8, 1988 Notice of Service of Interrogatories to Plaintiff (first set) filed.
August 8,1988 Notice of Service of Request for Production to Plaintiff (1stset) filed.

Aug. 17, 1988 Notice of serves of Request for Production filed.

Sept. 27, 1988 Answers To Interrogatories To Plantiff (First Set) filed.

Sept. 27, 1988 Responses To RequestFor Production To Plaintiff filed.

Oct. 5, 1988, Notice of Taking Depositions Upon Oral Examination of Pa. St. Trooper Larry M. White and Anthony Balut, filed.

Nov. 21, 1988 Praecipe for Appearance of MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton, By Matthew W. McCullough, on behalf of defendant Aaron R. Baker , filed.

Nov. 30, 1988, Notice of Taking Depositions Upon Oral Examination, filed.

Apr. 28, 1989 Defendant's Supplemental Responses to Interrogatories Directed to Defendant filed.

Nov. 12, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above-captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
Douglas W. Ferguson

362

ARTHUR A. CATALANO, JR.
ADMINISTRATOR OF THE
ESTATE ARTHUR A. CATALANO,
DECEASED

vs.

CARMELLA G. PARKS AND
ROBERT M. PARKS, HER
HUSBAND

Filed Apr. 12, 1988
@ 3:59 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	24.00
Pro Co Pd 6/15/88	5.00

COMPLAINT - QUIET TITLE ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

May 4, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED and made known to Carmella G. Parks personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on April 22, 1988 at 4:35 p.m. at her residence, RD #3, Limber Road, Meadville, Crawford Co. PA.

SERVED and made known to Robert M. Parks personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on April 22, 1988 at 4:35 p.m. at his residence RD #3, Limber Road, Meadville, Crawford Co. Pa.
So anwers,

Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 13, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

363.

Bozic and Thomas
David P. Truax

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

CHARLES KATULICH

Filed Apr. 13, 1988
@ 9:21 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid 4-13-88 $40.50

PETITION FOR APPEAL FROM ORDER OF DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SUSPENDING DRIVER'S LICENSE

2 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, April 13, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition and upon Motion of David P. Truax, Esq. attorney for the Petitioner, a hearing is granted to determine whether the action ofthe Dept. of Trans in suspending the Driver's license of the Petitioner should be set aside.

The above matter is set for hearing on the 29th day of June 1988 at 9:30 a.m. at the Court House, Meadville, Pa. in C. R. no. 1 or 2.

Petitioner is directed to give thirty (30) days written notice to (1) Dept. of Trans. Bur. of Driver Licensing, Citation Processing Div., Rm. 302, Trans. and Safety Bldg., Harrisburg, Pa. 17120, and (2) Dept. of Trans. Office of Chief Counsel, 1209 State Office Bldg. Pgh, Pa. 15222, said notice to be by Certified Mail in each case ret. rec. req.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Apr. 13, 1988, copy of order to Atty. Truax .

June 28, 1989 Exhibit filed with paper

June 28, 1989 Transcript of Proceedings filed.

June 28, 1989 Memorandum and Order filed: AND NOW, June 28, 1989, the appeal of Charles Katulich from the suspension of his driving privileges is sustained and the Department of Transportation is directed to reinstate his driving privileges.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT.
Robert L. Walker, J.

June 30, 1989 Copy of order put in Atty. Truax's box and copy mailed to Atty D'Alfonso.

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

364.

RANDOLPH K. SCHNEIDER

vs.

JACQUELINE S. SCHNEIDER

Filed Apr. 13, 1988
@ 11:17 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co Pd 3/10/89	9.00
State pd 3/30/89	10.00
Pro Co pd 4/24/89	5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

May 17, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

Nov. 7, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

Feb. 22, 1989, Plaintiff's Affidavit of Consent to Divorce, filed.

Mar. 10, 1989, Defendant's Affidavit of Consent to divorce filed.

Mar. 10, 1989, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Mar. 16, 1989 Order filed. This matter is praeciped to the Court for the granting of a 201(c) divorce. We note the consent of both parties attached to the records in this case. However, even through the praecipe state that the complaint was served by certified mail on or about November 16, 1988, the records in this case do not contain any affidavit of service or any evidence whatsoever that the divorce complaint was ever served upon the defnednat. Accordingly, even through the praecipe alleges service and even through both parties seemingly consent to the divorce, we are not in a position to grant this divorce action until the records show that the complaint was actually served upon the defendant.

Accordinly, we will return this file to the Prothonotary's Office and the same may be resubmitted when evidence of service of the divorce complaint comprises part ofthe official record inthis case.

Date: March 14, 1989

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Mar. 17, 1989 Copies of order to Joseph Barnhart

Mar. 27, 1989 Affidavit Of Service filed. Before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared JOSEPH C. BARNHART, ESQ., attorney for the above-captioned Plaintiff, who being duly sworn according to law, did depose and say that he did serve a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce upon the above-named defendant at R.D.#1, Cooperstown, PA 16317, by United States certified mail, restricted delivery, evidenced by postal return receipt ²P 620 656 371 purportedly signed by said Defnedant and dated November 16, 1988. attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and seal this 22nd day of March, 1989.

Joseph C. Barnhart,

Mar. 27, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Atto;rney to Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Mar. 31, 1989 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 31 day of March, 1989, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Upon consideration ofthe pleadings and Affidavits filed in the above-captioned matter, the Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties,on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date ofthe filing ofthe Complaint, and an Affidavit has been file dby each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties evidencing that each of the aprties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. No other matters or causes of actions provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code of 1980, as amended, are reserved by either of the parties.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

P. Richard Thomas, J

Apr. 19, 1989, NOTICE OF ELECTION TO RETAKE MAIDEN NAMED FILED.
TO BE KNOWN AS: JACQUELINE SUE BURK.

1988

~~Buchanan Ingersoll Professional Corporation~~
~~KEVIN L. RIDLEY~~ withdrew

365

The Kissell Company

vs.

Robert C. Burton and
Dorothy M. Burton

Filed April 14, 1988
@ 2:25 P.M.

Pro Co Pd	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	27.15
Pro Co pd 5/16/89	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION
MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 Copies (sheriff)

May 24, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Dorothy J. J. Burton, personally, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on May 13, 1988 at 11:49 A.M. at her place of residence, R.D.#1, Guys Mills, Crawford County, Pa 16327.

SERVED Robert C. Burton on May 13, 1988 at 11:50 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Dorothy Burton, adult member of his family, namely his wife, at their residence, R.D. #1, Guys Mills, Crawford County, Pa. 16327.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, May 16, 1989 at 1:58 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of One Hundred Five Thousand Two Hundred Five and 19/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

B-144-44
judgment entered May 16, 1989
Notice of entry of jdugment mailed
May 18, 1989

Debt $105,205.19
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Ex. 1989-59

Aug. 2, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney his appearance is hereby withdrawn in the above captioned matter.

1988

Bettie J. Peterson-Muth

366

Agresti & Falcone
Joseph V. Agresti

HENRY P. ANIELEWSKI

vs.

JANET E. ANIELEWSKI

Filed April 14, 1988
@ 4:09 P.M.

Pro Co Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 10/28/88	9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 4/11/91	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

May 10, 1988 Appearance of Agresti & Falcone by Joseph V. Agresti for defendant filed.

May 10, 1988 Acceptance Of Service filed. I accept service of the Complaint in Divorce on behalf of JANET E. ANIELEWSKI, Defendant, and certify that I am authorized to do so. Jospeh V. Agresti

Oct. 24, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Oct. 26, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Oct. 26, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Oct. 26, 1988, Motion for Withdrawal of Contingent Matters and Order filed.

ORDER: AND NOW, Nov. 1, 1988, The Moiton is hereby granted and all contingent pending matters in the above action are hereby withdrawn from consideration by this Court. Robert L. Walker, J

Nov. 1, 1988 Copies of order to Bettie Muth and Joseph Agresti

Nov. 1, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, November 1, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

H E N R Y P . A N I E L E W S K I and J A N E T E . A N I E L E W S K I

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties rights, and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Apr. 11, 19991, Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name filed. To Be Known as: JANET E. NICHOLSON.

1988

SHAFER, SWICK, BAILEY
IRWIN & STACK
James R. Irwin

367

DEOLORES ANN BROWN

vs.

DALE MILTON BROWN, SR.

Filed April 15, 1988
@ 2:25 P.M.

Pro Co Pd	$40.50
2 counts paid	30.00
Pro Co paid 12/30/88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c) or 201(d), or 201(a), EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATION AND DISTRIBUTION, COUNSEL FEES

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 22, 1988 Acknoledgment of Service filed. I, Dale Milton Brown, Sr. being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that on this 22nd day of April, 1988, I was personally served by James R. Irwin Esquire, a certified Copy ofthe divorce Complaint, filed at the above stated term and number.
Dale Milton Brown, Sr.

Sept. 28, 1988 Plaintiff's Income & Expense Statement filed.

Sept. 28, 1988 Inventory and Appraisement of Delores Ann Brown, Plaintiff filed.

Dec 5, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec. 5, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 16, 1988 Motion to Withdraw Collateral Issues and Order filed. Order: AND NOW, this 16th day of December, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing, Counts 2 and 3 of Plaintiff's divorce action are hereby withdrawn.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 19, 1988 copy of order to James R. Irwin, esq.

Dec. 29, 1988, UPon praecipe of palintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Dec. 30, 1988 **DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 30th day of December, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between DELORES ANN BROWN and DALE MILTON BROWN, SR. is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to th eother in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

William B. Moore

368

NAOMI EMMA CUSTARD

vs.

JAMES LOUIS CUSTARD

Filed April 15, 1988
@ 4:23 P.M.
Pro Co Pd 40.50 ✓
1 count paid 15.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 10-4-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 28, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. William B. Moore, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served a true and correct copy of the Divorce Complaint in the above-captioned matter upon the defendant,James Louis Custard, by mailing a certified copy of the same to him, by certified mail, Item No. P-542 836 123, restricted Delivery, rEturn Receipt requested,on April 19, 1988, at his last known address of 784 Alden Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

The return receipt card was returned to your Affiant duly signed by James L Custard, as having received same onApril 21, 1988. A copy of the certificate of mailing together with the return receipt card, signed by the defendant, are attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Dated: 9/28/88

William B. Moore

Sept. 28, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 28, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept 30, 1988 Motion for Leave to Discontinue Court II of the Divorce Code and Order filed: AND NOW, September 30, 1988, Upon consideration of the foregoing motion, the Plaintiff is granted leave to discontinue Count II of her divorce complaint filed herein, and the Prothonotary is directed to mark the said count discontinued.

Oct 4, 1988 Copies of ordr to William Moore, Esq.

Sept 30, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code this case is moved to court.

Oct. 13, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, October 13, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

NAOMI EMMA CUSTARD and **JAMES LOUIS CUSTARD**

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE OCURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

SHAFER, SWICK, BAILEY,
IRWIN & STACK
James R. Irwin

369

Culbertson, Weiss
Schetroma & Schug
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

MARIA M. LONG

VS.

PAUL W. LONG

Filed April 18, 1988
@ 10:03 A.M.

Pro Co Pd	$40.50
John Fuller, Mediator	120.00
Pro Co Pd 6/15/88	9.00
Pro Co Pd 10-13-88	9.00
Pro Co Pd 6-29-92	9.00
Pro Co Pd 10-12-92 Ch#7107	9.00

PETITION FOR CUSTODY (UCCJA)

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (med)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 18 day of April, 1988, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the Mediator, JOHN FULLER, at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse with the Child Custody Mediator as Required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

It is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the Court, the aforesaid minor child shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the Court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

5/31/88 Recd $60.00 from Defendant
4/7/88 Recd $60.00 from Plaintiff
Rec'd 7-5-88 $60.00 Vivian Stoltz
Rec'd 7-5-88 $60.00 Vivian Stoltz
9/30/88 Recd $60.00 from Defendant
Rec'd Oct 5, 1988 $60.00 Vivian Stoltz
10/3/88 Recd. $60.00 from Plaintiff
Rec'd 11-2-88 $60.00 Vivian Stoltz

Received from Plaintiff 6-29-92 40.00 mediation fee
Received from Plaintiff 8-25-92 150.00 mediator deposit
Received from dft. 9-9-92 40.00 mediation fee
Pd Comm 9-14-92 med fee Ch# 7046 40.00
Pd Comm. Med. Fee Ch#7106 $150.00 10-12-92

April 20, 1988 Service Verification filed. I, RAINER EATON, do hereby verify that I am an adult individual over the age of eighteen (18) year s; that I did on the 19th day of April 1988, at one o'clock p.m., personally serve a certified copy of the Custody Petition and Order, filed at the above term and number, upon the Respondent, Paul W. Long, at his place of business, located at Cutter's Condo, Conneaut Lake Rd., Meadville, Crawford Co. Pa.

I make this verification with notice that willful false statements made herein are punishable as perjury under §4904 of the Pennsylvania Crimes Code, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Rainer Eaton

Apr. 22, 1988 Motion for Shared Custody Pending Mediation filed.

Apr. 22, 1988 Order filed. And Now, April 22, 1988, upon presentation of Motion for Shared Custody pending Mediation,it is ordered that the parents shall share custody as follows until further order of Court:

1. the child shall live with Plaintiff/Mother from Wednesday at 6:00 p.m. until Sunday at 9:00 AM
2. The child shall live with Defendant/father from sunday at 9:00 am until Wednesday at 6:00 pm
3. The parents shall continue to employ Jean Prystoloski, as baby sitter.
4. MOther shall deliver child to father each Sunday at 9:00 AM unless the parents agree to other transportation arrangements.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Apr. 26, 1988 Copies of order to James Irwin and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

May 24, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, May 23, 1988 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Kristin Marie Long shall be shared between the mother and the father, that is each shall be entitled to take part in important decisions affecting the child such as education, religious instruction and medical treatment and each parent shall be entitled full access to medical records, school records and other important records.

II. Physical custody of Kristin Marie Long shall be shared between the mother and father as follows;

(a) Beginning May 16, 1988 the child shall spend every other week with the father. That is, the father shall pick up the child at the home of the mother when the father is out of work on Monday evening and keep the child until the following Monday. On Monday through Friday the mother shall pick up the child at the babysitter at or about 2:30 p.m. and have the child from 2:30 p.m. until the father picks up the child at approximately 6:00 p.m.

(b) during the weeks when the child is in the custody of the father, the mother shall have the child in her custody all day Thursday until approximately 6:00 p.m. when the father shall pick up the child at the home of the mother. The mother shall have the child in her physical custody every other week beginning May 23, 1988 from Monday to Monday, that is, on Monday, May 23, 1988 and every other Monday thereafter the father shall deliver the child to the home of the babysitter Monday morning and thereafter the child shall be in the physical custody of the mother until the following Monday.

(c) The parties shall continue to alternate having the child in their custody every other week in the same manner as provided above until this order has been changed or amended.

(d) Holidays are defined as being Christmas, Eater and Thanksgiving. Taking into account the alternating week schedule set forth above, the non-custodial parent, that is, the person not having custody during the week on which any of the above three holidays should fall shall be entitled to have custody of the child for a minimum period of three hours on any of the above three specified weeks.

(e) Neither mother nor father shall do or say anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

(f) Neither parent shall expose the child to any person, or situation that would be harmful to the child and each parent shall keep the other advised of any illness or emergency affecting the child. The parent not having custody of the child during any given day shall be entitled to one telephone call per day to inquire into the condition of the child.

This order shall be a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days. of the date hereof.

Costs of the mediation conference shall be equally divided between the mother and the father.

P. Richard Thomas, J

May 25, 1988 Copies of order to James Irwin, M. Kirkpatrick and John Fuller, Esqs.

Sept. 2, 1988, Petition for Custody and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Sept. 2, 1988, this matter is referred to John Fuller, custody Mediator, for the s cheduling of a CHild Custody Conference.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Sept. 2, 1988, copies of order to James Irwin, M. Kirkpatrick and JOhn Fuller.

Sept. 2, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is a competent adult and attorney for the Respondant in the above captioned case, whose office is located at Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug, 911 Diamond Park, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
2. That on information furnished to her, she caused a copy of the Petition for Custody in the above matter to be served upon James Irwin, Esquire, attorney of record for the Petitioner at 8:45 o'clock a.m., on September 2, 1988, at the Crawford County Court House, proir to presenting it to the Court.

3. That costs incurred for this service were as follows:

a.) Service	N/A
b.) Mileage	N/A
Total	N/A

4. Further Deponent Saith Not.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

Pd to Plaintiff 9-22-92 Ch# 7059 refund mediation 66.00

Continued on Page 597.

9-30-92 Received from dft mediation fee 75.00

1988

MASON AND MASON
Joan D. Mason

370

SANDRA J. KRAUS

VS.

CHARLES N. KRAUS

Filed April 18, 1988
@ 1:04 P.M.

Pro Co Pd	$40.50
Pro Co pd 2/28/90	9.00
State pd 2/28/90	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

April 27, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq., Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on Apr. 19, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Charles N. Kraus, by certified mail #P-547 808 347, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Charles N. Kraus on Apr. 21, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's Attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason,,Atty.

Feb. 7, 1990, Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 26, 1990 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 26, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Mar. 6, 1990 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, March 6, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Sandra J. Kraus, plaintiff, and Charles N. Kraus, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

MASON & MASON
Joan D. Mason

371
Fuller,Kinnunen,Petruso,
Gamble, Fabian & Hall
Stephen E.Hall

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

5-10-96 $150.00 Pd.
Rec'd. for mediation
from Pltf.

9-19-96 Pd Ck #10244
141 a

1-30-98 Rec'd from Pltf
med fee 36.62

Pd comm 2/98 36.62

2-24-98 Rec'd deft 60.00
med fee

2-24-98 Pd Ck #11762
60 00

3-17-98 Rec'd from deft
60 00

3-17-98 Pd Ck 60 00

3-30-98 Rec'd deft
Ck# 62

4-6-98 Pd Ck 66.62
in full

SUSAN B. FIELDS

VS.

GREGORY FIELDS

Filed April 18, 1988
@ 1:04 P.M.

Tax & Entry paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
State pd 1-29-93	10.00
Pro Co pd 1-29-93	9.00

Pro Co Pd 9-19-96

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, AND CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

April 28, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on April 19,1988 mail to the above DefendaNT, GregoryFields, by certified mail #P-547-808 344, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Gregory Fields on April 22, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the offic e of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.
Joan D. Mason, atty.

Oct. 21, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 23, 1992, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Jan. 28, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 29, 1993 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Feb. 5, 1993, STIPULATION AND DECREE filed. (FINAL DIVORCE DECREE)
AND NOW, this 4th day of February, 1993, the court orders and decrees as follows:
1. DIVORCE:
Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony tothe above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the ocmplaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each ofthe parties, evidencing that each of th eparties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 3301(c) of the Pa. Divorce Code.
2. CUSTODY:
Primary legal and physical custody of Erin Beth fields, age five (5), shall be with her mother, Susan B. Fields.
Temporary custody shall be granted to the father every other weekend, from Friday at 4:30 p.m. to sunday at 4:00 p.m., with the next visit sc heduled for the weekend of Dec. 11-13, 1992. If the father or mother must concel a scheduled weekend visit, they shall attempt to agree mutually upon a make-up weekend. Father shall provide all trnasportation for the child for visits, and shall call mother in advance to prearrange any return time, if different from 4;00 p.m. Sunday.
No. holiday or other visitation shall be prearranged, but may be agreed upon between the parents.
3. Neither parent shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, nor make derogatory remarks in regard to the frients for family of the other parent.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

July 23, 1993 Petition for Adjudication ofContempt filed.

July23, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW _____ upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, a rule is issued to respondent and plaintiff herein, to show cause why she should not be adjudged in contempt for failing to comply with the Court's Decree of February 4, 1993.
Rule returnable onAugust 19, 1993, at 10:30 o'clock, A.M., in Courtroom No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

7-27-93 Copy of Order to Atty. Mason & Atty. Hall.

Aug. 23, 1993, Motion to Withdraw Petition for Adjudication of Contempt and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Aug. 23, 1993, petitioners motion to withdraw his petition is granted and the hearing scheduled for Aug. 19, 1993, at 10:30 a.m. is herebycancelled.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug. 26, 1993, copies of order to Attys. J. Mason and S. Hall.

May 31, 1995, Agreement to Modify Custody Order, and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, May 26, 1995 upon consideration of the above Consent Agreement, the said agreement is hereby entered as an order of this court, thereby amending the Decree entered in this matter, on Feb. 4, 1993.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

6/1/95 faxed to Attys. Hall and Mason.

May 10, 1996 Petition for Modification of Custody, Notice and Order to Appear filed. You, GREGORY FIELDS, have been sued in Court to modify custody, partial cusotdy or visitation of the child: ERIN BETH FIELDS.
You are ORDERED to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator Kathleen Nelson, Esquire, for a mediation conference. The Mediator shall send you a Notice of the Hearing by mail to the address listed on the complaint or petition that has been filed recently.
If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for you arrest. BY THE COURT
DISTRIBUTED 5/14/96 Nelson, Mason & Hall. Gordon R. Miller, J. 5-14-96

May 10, 1996 ORDER AND NOTICE filed. AND NOW, this 14th day of May, 1996, it is ordered and decreed that the parents in this matter shall satisfactorily complete the Seminar for Separating Parents in accordance with Crawford County Civil Rule L1930. BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.
Distributed 5-14-96 Nelson, Mason & Hall.

Continued on Page 564

Elderkin, Martin, kelly
Messina & Zamboldi
Robert C. LeSuer
Craig A. Markham

372

~~Quinn, Gent, Buseck & Leemhuis Inc~~ (withdrawn)
~~John Quinn, Sr.~~

Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett PC
Sean J. McLaughlin for dft. Spencer Hosp. now Mead.Med.Ctr.

Grogan, Graffam, McGinley & Lucchino PC
~~Robert W. Murdoch~~
for dft. E. J. Owens
by Terrence F. McVerry

J. Douglas Murphy
MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton
for Robert A. Bazylak MD

Grogan, Graffam, McGinley & Lucchino, P.C.
Terrence F. McVerry
for Drs. Owens & Bazylak, Inc now by name change Edward J. Owens, D.O., P.C.

Quinn, Gent, Buseck & Leemhuis, Inc.
John M. Quinn, Jr.
Robert A. Bazylak

JOHN H. SHAFER, a minor by and through his parents and natural guardians, JOHN R. SHAFER, and CONSTANCE J. SHAFER, his wife, and JOHN R. SHAFER and CONSTANCE J. SHAFER, Individually

vs.

(additional defendant, Constance J. Shafer)
SPENCER HOSPITAL, now by merger, MEADVILLE MEDICAL CENTER and EDWARD J. OWENS, D.O. and
ROBERT A. BAZYLAK, M.D., AND DRS. OWENS AND BAZYLAK, INC. NOW BY NAME CHANGE, EDWARD J. OWENS, D.O.P.C.

✓ Filed April 19, 1988 @ 11:05 A.M.

Tax & Entry paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 21.00
Pro.Co. pd 9/7/93 6.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (Sheriff) & (Atty)

✓ May 4, 1988, Acceptance of Service of Writ filed. And Now, Defendant Edward J. Owens, D.O. hereby accepts and acknowledges servic eof the Writ of Summons in this matter pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 402(b) in lieu of and waiving personal service.
Date: 4/21/88 Edward J. Owens, D.O.

✓ May 10, 1988 Writ Returned: SERVED Meadville Medical Center, within named defendant on April 29, 1988 at 3:14 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Louise Randolph, Administrative Secretary, at their place of business, Liberty Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

✓ May 11, 1988 Appearance of Quinn Gent Buseck and Leemhuis, Inc by John M. Quinn, Sr., Esq for Defendants filed.

✓ May 11, 1988 Rule upon Plaintiff to filed Complaint issued.

✓ May 18, 1988 Notice Of Service Of Interrogatories and Request For ProductionOf Documents Addressed To Defendants (First Set) filed.

✓ May 25, 1988, Stipulation regarding filing of Complaint filed.

✓ June 1, 1988, 5/20/88 Service of the foregoing Rule is hereby accepted.
Craig A. Markham, Esq.

✓ June 27, 1988 Answers To Interrogatories And Request For Production Of Documents Addressed To defendants (First Set) filed.

✓ July 18, 1988 Complaint filed.

✓ Aug. 1, 1988, Defendants' Preliminary Objections filed.

✓ Aug. 1, 1988, Upon praecipe of defendants attorney, preliminary objections placed on next argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR SEPTEMBER 1988

✓ August 8, 1988 Amended Complaint filed.

✓ Aug. 11, 1988 Defendants' Preliminary Objections to amended Complaint filed.

✓ Aug. 11, 1988 Upon praecipe of defendant attorney the above captioned matter is placed on the next available argument list.

on September 1988 Argument List

✓ Sept. 12, 1988 Order Settling ARgument date filed. And now, September 12, 1988, because of the number of cases on the September 26, 1988 Argument List and the amount of court time available, argument in the above-captioned matter is hereby scheduled in Courtroom No. 1, before the honorable P. Richard Thomas, on October 7, 1988, at 1:30 P.M.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Sept. 13, 1988 copies to atty. of record.

✓ Oct. 24, 1988 Re: Defendant's Preliminary Objections, Memorandum and Order filed:, ORDER: And Now, this 24 day of October 1988, the Court at this time denies the Defendants' Preliminary Objections requesting that Counts III and IV , be striken from the Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint, providing that the plaintiffs' comply with our specific rulings noted herein.
The request to strike Paragraphs 39(b) and (c) is denied.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Oct. 25, 1988 copies of order to Robert C. LeSuer, Craig A. Markham and John M. Quinn, Jr., esqs.

Nov. 21, 1988 Plaintiffs' Brief in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Reconsideration filed.

✓ Dec. 16, 1988 Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

✓ Dec. 28, 1988, Answers to Interrogatories to Plaintiffs (First Set) filed.

✓ Nov 3, 1989 Defendants' Motion for Reconsideration filed.

✓ Jan 25, 1989 Re: Defendants' Motion for Reconsideration, Memorandum and Order filed: And Now, January 20, 1989, the Court denies the defendants' Motion for Reconsideration and reaffirms its previous Order dated October 24, 1988.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Jan 26, 1989 Copies of order to all counsel of record.

✓ Feb. 14, 1989, Answer to Complaint filed. (JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)

✓ Feb. 22, 1989, Reply to New Matter filed. (TRIAL BY JURY OF 12 DEMANDED)

✓ Mar. 17, 1989 Notice Of Service Of Interrogatories To Defendants (First Set) filed.

✓ April 10, 1989, Appearance of Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett PC by Sean J.McLaughlin, attorney for dft. Spencer Hosp. now Mead. Med. Ctr. filed. (JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)

Continued on the next page

Apr. 19, 1989 Notice Of Service of Interrogatories To Defndants (First Set) filed.
Apr. 27, 1989 Motion for partial Summary Judgment On The Pleadings on Behalf of Defendants filed.
May 16, 1989 Upon praecipe of Atty. McLaughlin the above case is listed on the next available argument list.

1989 JUNE ARGUMENT LIST

May 22, 1989 Notice Of Service of Interrogatories To Plaintiffs (First Set) filed.

May 22, 1989 Notice of Service of Request For Production To Plaintiffs (First Set) filed.

June 21, 1989 Certificate of Service of Request For Production of Documents filed.

June 28, 1989 Re: Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on the Pleadings, Memorandum and Order filed: And Now, June 27, 1989, the Court grants defendants' Mition for Partial Summary Judgment on the Pleadings, and orders that Paragraphs 39(b) and (c) of plaintiff's Complaint alleging damages for loss of consortium of the minor plaintiff be dismissed with prejudice.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT.
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Juane 30, 1989 Copies of memorandum and order mailed to Attys. SeSuer, Markham, McLaughlin and Quinn.

July 25, 1989, AMENDED ORDER filed. And now, July 24, 1989, pursuant to the attached correspondence counsel for defendants have no objection to the court amending its Order of June 27, 1989, to the end that loss of services damage claimed in Paragraph 39(b) of the Complaint be reinstated as part of the complaint.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

July 25, 1989, copies to Robert C. LeSuer, Sean J. McLaughlin and JOhn M. Quinn, Jr.

July 25, 1989, Plaintiffs' Petition for Reconsideration filed.

Aug. 3, 1989, RE: PLAINTIFFS' PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION - ORDER filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, July 26, 1989, the court has received the Plaintiffs' Petition for Reconsideration of our prior Order dated June 27, 1989. The court filed an Amended Order on July 24, 1989, granting the plaintiffs' previous request that the loss of services damage claimed in Paragraph 39(b) of the Complaint be reinstated as part of the complaint. the plaintiffs' present request raised in their Petition for Reconsideration is identical to their previous request, which already has been granted by our Amended Order of July 24, 1989. In light of said Amended Order, we find that the plaintiffs' present request is moot and hereby dismiss the Plaintiffs' Petition for Reconsideration..

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Aug. 4, 1989, copies mailed to attorneys of record.

Nov. 8, 1989 Notice Of Taking Depositions Upon Oral Examination of John R. Shafer and Constance J. Shafer filed.

Jan. 26, 1990 Notice Of Service Of Interrogatories To Plaintiffs (Second Set) filed.

Feb. 12, 1990, Notice of Taking Depositions Upon Oral Examination filed.

Mar. 23, 1990, Appearance of Grogan, Graffam, McGinley & Lucchino, P.C., by Robert W. Murdoch, attorneys for defendant Edward J. Owens, D. O., filed.

Mar. 27, 1990, Upon praecipe of John M. Quinn, Jr., attorney for defendant Edward J. Owens, D.O., appearance withdrawn.

May 3, 1990 Motion For Summary Judgment Of Defendant, Spencer Hospital filed.

May 3, 1990 Upon praecipe the above Motion for Summary Judgment is placed on the next available argument list.

1990 JUNE ARGUMENT LIST

May 23, 1990 MOtion For Summary Judgment Of Defendant, Edward J. Owens, D.O. filed.

May 23, 1990 Upon praecipe of Attorney Murdoch this upon matter is placed on the next available argument list.

June 4, 1990 Notice of Taking Depositions upon Oral Examination of Kwang Y.Choi, M.D.& Robert Bazylak, M.D. filed.

June 14, 1990, Plaintiffs' answer to Motion for Summary Judgment of Defendant, spencer Hospital, filed.

Aug. 7, 1990 And now, this 6 day of August, 1990, upon the Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment on Counts I through IV of Plaintiffs' Complaint, the Plaintiffs at hearing abandoned their claims for breach of contract and their (the parents') claims for negligence against both defendants, admitting that the statute of limitations is a bar to the causes of action. The only issue left for resolution was whether the child's claim in negligence was also bound by the statute of limitations. In reply, the Plaintiffs invoked the Discovery Rule and the Minor's Tolling Act, 42 Pa.C.S.A. §5533(b) (which tolls the statute of limitations in tort actions of a minor until the age of maturity is reached).
We HOld that there does exist factual issues in dispute as to the parents' knowledge of the child's illness and whether the parents knew or should have known that it may have been caused by someone's negligence. Accordingly, we dismiss Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, PJ

Aug. 8, 1990 Copies mailed to all counsel of record.

Aug. 29, 1990 Notice of Taking Deposition Upon Oral Examination of Edward J. Owens, D.O. filed. (Trial By Jury of 12 Demanded)

Continued on page 372 B

372
B

1988

John H. Shafer, a minor by and through his parents and natural guardians, John R. Shafer and Constance J. Shafer his wive, and JOhn R. Shafer and Constance J. Shafer, individually

vs.

Spencer Hospital et al

Record continued from page 372 a

of record

A.D. 1988 - 372

****

✓ Sept. 4, 1990 Appearance of Grogan, Graffam, McGinley & Lucchino, PC by Terrance F. McVerry for Edward J. Owens, D.O. filed.

✓ Sept 17, 1990, Motion of defendant Spencer Hosp. now by merger Meadville Medical Center, for Reconsideration, filed.

✓ Sept. 21, 1990, RE: MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF DENIAL OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT - ORDER filed. By Order filed Aug. 7, 1990 we denied the defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment and briefly stated our reasons for so doing. On. Sept. 14, 1990, defendants' counsel presented to the court a motion for Reconsideration of our aug. 9, 1990, ruling, and cited their reasons therefore.

We have reviewed the Motion for Reconsideration together with the attached brief and decline the Motion for Reconsideration and reaffirm our order denying summary judgment.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Sept. 21, 1990, copies mailed to listed attys.

✓ Oct. 10, 1990 Plaintiffs' Motion For Leave Of Court To Amend Complaint and Order filed. AND NOW, this 10th day of October, 1990, upon consideration of Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave of Court to Amend Complaint, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that said motion is granted. The Plaintiffs may amend their Complaint in the manner set forth in their motion. Subject to the right of added defendants to move to strike this order. Robert L. Walker, SJ
(1 copy atty)

✓ Oct. 10, 1990 Second Amended Complaint filed.

✓ Oct. 18, 1990 Notice Of Taking Deposition Upon Oral Examination of Dr. Joseph Susi, filed.

✓ Oct. 18, 1990 Notice Of Taking REscheduled Deposition Upon Oral Examination of Edward J. Owens, D.O. filed.

✓ Oct. 22, 1990, Appearance of MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton, by J. Douglas Murphy, attorneys for defendant Robert A. Bazylak, M.D., filed.

✓ Nov. 2, 1990 Acceptance Of Service Of Amended Complaint filed. I, Edward J. Owens, D.O., being a duly-elected and.or appointed Officer of Defendant, Drs. Owens and Bazylak, Inc., now by name change, Edward J. Owens, D.O., P.C., do hereby accept service of the Second Amended Complaint on behalf of Defendant, Dr. Owens and Bazylak, Inc., now by name change Edward J. Owens, D.O., P.C. having the express authority to so act. Edward J. Ownes, D.O.

✓ Nov. 21, 1990 Acceptance of Service of Second Amended Complaint filed. I, J. Douglas Murphy, Counsel of Record on behalf of Robert A. Bazylad, M.D., do hereby accept and acknowledge service of the Second Amended Complaint pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 402(b).

J. Douglas Murphy
MacDonald, Illig, JOnes & Britton, attys for defendant
Robert A. Bazylak, M.D.

✓ Dec. 14, 1990, Notice of Taking Rescheduled Deposition Upon Oral Examination filed. (of Edward J. Owens, D.O.)

✓ Jan 9, 1991 Appearance of Grogan, Graffam, McGinley & Lucchino, P.C. by Terrence F. McVerry, Esq. for Drs. Owens& Bazylak, Inc now by name change, Edward J. Owens, D.O., P.C.

✓ Jan 9, 1991 Answer and New Matter filed. (Owens & Bazylak)

✓ Jan. 14, 1991 Appearance of Quinn, Gent, Buseck & Leemhuis, Inc. by John M. Quinn, Jr. for Robert A. Bazylak filed.

✓ Jan. 17, 1991 Plaintiff's Reply To New Matter Of Defendants, Edward J. Owens, D.O. and Drs. Owens & Bazylak, Inc., Now By Name Change Edward J. Owens, D.O., P.C. filed.

✓ Feb. 1, 1991 Answer and New Matter of Robert A. Bazylak, M. D. filed.

✓ Feb. 14, 1991 Answer and New Matter of defendant Spencer Hospital now by merger, Meadville Medical Center (Spencer) filed.

✓ Feb. 21, 1991, Plaintiffs' Preliminary Objectins to New Matter of Defendant Spencer Hospital now by merger, Meadville Medical Center, filed.

✓ Feb. 21, 1991, Plaintiffs' Preliminary Objections to New Matter of Defednat, Robert A. Bazylak, M.D., filed.,

✓ Mar. 27, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys Preliminary Objections to New Matter of Defendant Robert A. Bazylak, M.D. placed on next argument list.

✓ Mar. 27, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys Preliminary Objections to New Matter of Defendant Spencer Hospital now by merger Meadville Medical Center, placed on next list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR APRIL 1991

✓ May 23, 1991 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, this 22 day of May, 1991, we sustain sustain Plaintiff's Preliminary Objections and permit the Defendants within thirty (30) days of this order to amend their New Matters to conform within the opinions stated herein.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT
P. richard Thomas,

May 29, 1991 Copies to Counsel of record.

✓ Sept. 13, 1991 Amended New Matter Of Defnedant Robert Bazylak filed.

Continued on Pg. 372 c

John H. Shafer, a minor, by and through his parents and natural guardians John r. Shafer and Constance J. Shafer, his wife
vs.
Spencer Hospital et al

✓ Oct. 17, 1991, Amended New Matter of Defendant Spencer Hospital, now by merger Meadville Medical Center, filed.

✓ Oct. 21, 1991 Plaintiffs' Preliminary Objections To Amended New Matter Of Defendant, Robert A. Bazylak, M.D. filed.

✓ Oct. 21, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned case is placed on the next available argument list.

On Argument List for November 1991

✓ Oct. 31, 1991, Verification that facts are true and correct in foregoing amended new Matter, filed.

✓ Dec. 2, 1991 Plaintiff's Preliminary Objections to Amended New Matter fo Defendant Spencer Hospital filed.

✓ Jan. 7, 1992 Memorandum and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Jan. 2, 1992, we grant the Defendant leave to file a complaint to join Plaintiff's mother, Constance Shafer, as an additional defendatn in order to assert a right to apportionment of the damages.

We also grant Defendatn Meadville Medical Center leave to file a joinder complaint against Plaintiff's mother, since its averments mirror those of Dr. Bazylak.

Defendants ahll have thirty (30) days from the date of this Order to file their complaints upon Mrs. Shafer.

BY ORDR OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Jan. 7, 1992 copies mailed to R.C. LeSuer, C.A. Markham, S.J. McLaughlin, T.F. McVerry, J.D. Murphy & J. M. Quinn, Jr.

✓ Jan. 22, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 3rd day of January, 1992, after receipt and review of a motion to compel production of plaintiffs' expert report filed by Spencer Hospital, now by merger, Meadville Medical Center, a rule to show cause is hereby issued and directed to the plaintiffs to show cause, if any they have, why said motion to compel production should not be granted.

Said rule is returnable for hearing and/or argument before Judge P. Richard Thomas, Senior Judge, on Monday, January 27, 1992 at 1:30 p.m.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J..

Jan. 22, 1992 copy mailed to S. McLaughlin, R. Murdoch, T. McVerry & J. Quinn.

✓ Jan. 24, 1992, Petition for Leave of Court to File Complaint To Join Additional Defendant and Order of Court filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, to-wit, this 23 dayof Jan. 1992, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition for Leave of Court to File a Complaint to Join Additional Defendant and with no objection expressed thereto, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said Petition is granted and Petitioners may proceed to File a Complaint to Join Constance J. Shafer an an Additional Defendant in order to assert a right to apportionment of damages. Petitioners shall have twenty (20) days from the date of this order to file said Complaint upon Mrs. Shafer.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Jan. 28, 1992 copies to S. McLaughlin, R. LeSuer, T. McVerry and J. Quinn. & J. Douglas Murphy attys

✓ Jan. 27, 1992, Defendant Dr. Robert Bazylak's Complaint Against Additional Defendant Constance J. Shafer filed.

✓ Jan. 22, 1992, Motion to Compel Productin of Plaintiff's Expert Report Filed by Spencer Hospital- ASSIGNMENT OF HEARING/ARGUMENT DATE filed. Jan. 24, 1992 hearing/argument in the above matter is set for Feb. 13, 1992 at 1:00 p.m. before Sen. Judge p. Richard Thomas.

For the Court, Imogene Yetman Ct. ADm.

Jan. 28, 1992, copies to attys. of record (see above names on order of 1/24/92)

✓ Feb. 3, 1992, Spencer Hospital, now by merger, Meadville Medical Center's Complaint Against Additional Defendant Constance J. Shafer, filed.

✓ Feb. 10, 1992 Complaint To Join Additional Defendant Constance J. Shafer filed.

✓ Feb. 18, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, February 13, 1992 the matter is before the Court onthe defendant's motion to compel the production of expert's reports. A Similar motion, while not filed in writing, is made orally on behalf of counsel for Bazylak this date.

The matter is reviewed generally, and counsel for the Plaintiff delineates various difficulties they have had in securing written reports form their experts. It appears that a liability expert, dr. Mancy Cheney, is about to issue a report precisely delineating the negligence of Meadville Medical Center, and perhaps others, in this case. Counsel for Plaintiff advises that in order for a pediatric neurologist and an economic expert to testify, they must have the Cheney report.

The Court this date directs that Plaintiffs secure and forward a copy of Dr. Nancy Cheney's written report within 30 days of this date. A copy of this report will be forwarded to all defense counsel. Within 30 days after production of the Cheney report, counsel for the Plaintiff will secure and forward to all defense counsel the written reports of the pediatric neuroligist, the economic expert, and any other experts they intend to call at the time of trial.

The Court advises counsel that the next available term of court under the above schedule is a one-week term in the month of June, and that this case should be ready for trial at that time.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Feb. 21, 1992 Copies mailed to counsel of record.

✓ Apr. 29, 1992 Re: MOTION OF MINOR PLAINTIFF FOR COURT APPROVAL TO WAIVE CONFLICT IN LEGAL RESPRESENTATION: AND NOW, April 28, 1992, the Court is inclined to grant the above motion unless argument on this issue is requested by oppposing counsel on or before May 15, 1992. Absent a request for argument, the Motion will be considered granted.

Re: PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF EXPERT REPORT. AND NOW, April 28, 1992, Motion granted and all Defendants expecting to call experts at trial will supply copies of said experts' report and opinion on or before May 15, 1992.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Apr. 29, 1992 copies distributed

✓ June 15, 1992 Answer of Additional Defendant, Constance J. Shafer, to Compalint of Defendant, Robert Bazylak, M.D. filed.

June 15, 1992 Answer of Additional Defendant, Constance J. Shafer, to Complaint of Defendant, Spencer Hospital, now Merger Meadville Medical Center filed.

June 15, 1992 Answer of Additional Defendant, Constance J. Shafer, to Complaint of Defendants, Edward J. Owens, D.O. Drs. Owens & Bazylak, Inc., now by Name change Edward J. Owens, D.O., P.C. filed

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 372(d).....

372 D

1988

SHAFER VS. SPENCER HOSPITAL ET AL RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 372(c) of AD 1988 - 372

Aug. 14, 1992 Notice of Videotape Deposition for Use at Trial filed.

Dec. 29, 1992 Certificate of Readiness for Trial filed.

ON FEBRUARY, 1993 TRIAL LIST

Jan. 19, 1993 Defendant's Objection To Certificate of Readiness filed.

Jan. 12, 1993 Defendant's Motion To Strike Plaintiffs' Expert Report filed.

Jan. 19, 1993 Assignment of Argument Date filed. January 19, 1993: The Court Administrator having been directed by the Court to schedule an argument date in the above matter, hereby sets argument for January 25, 1993, at 10:00 AM in Courtroom 2.

FOR THE COURT
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Jan. 19, 1993 Court Administrat's Office distributed copies.

Jan. 22, 1993 Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Expert Report filed.

Jan 25, 1993 Plaintiff's Request for Pre-Trial Conference, filed.

Jan. 25, 1993 Plaintiff's Request for Settlement Conference, filed.

Jan. 25, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Jan. 25, 1993, on motion of the Plaintiff, a pretrial conference is set in this matter for Feb. 3, 1993 at 9:00 a.m. in the Chambers of the undersigned with the same to be immediately followed by a settlement conference.

All parties shall comply with Crawford County Local Rules L212A, L212B and L212C with regard to such conference.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J . Vardaro, J.

Jan. 25, 1993 copies distributed by Court.

Jan. 27, 1993 Order filed. AND NOW, January 27, 1993, after due consideration of Defendants' motion to strike Plaintiff's expert report regarding Carol Klett Vance, M.D. and after oral argument with respect to the same, Defendants' motion is denied.

Defendants' objection to certificate of readiness, although apparently not filed within the time limites set forth in Local Rule L302A.5, under the circumstances has been considered by the Court and while we will not strike the certificate of readiness at this time, we will continue this matter to the April 1993 Term of court.

Additionally, the pretrial conference and settlement conference set for February 3, 1993 at 9:00 a.m. are continued to be set upon motion of either party at a later date.

It is the Court's understanding that one or more of the Defendants may wish to obtain additional expert opinion for use at the time of trial and, if that has not been concluded for this matter to be tried in April 1993, further continuance will be allowed at that time. In any event, as indicated to the attorneys at the time of oral argument with respect to these motions, it may be more convenient for the Court and all involved to pick a jury during either the April 1993 Term of Civil Court or during the June 1993 Termof Court whether it be all criminal or partially civil and then try the case at some time other than during either of those actual trial terms in light of the fact that the case is anticipated to take approximately 7 days to try.

This matter can be explored further either at the time of the April trial list or if it does not appear that the case is in a posture to try at th etime some time subsequent to that whether it be at the call of the June 1993 Civil Trial List if there is one or at another time to be set it being the Court's intention to under any circumstance have this case tried no later than the summer of 1993.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Jan. 27, 1993 Copies mailed by Court.

Apr. 30, 1993 Joint Motion for Continuance from April, 1993 Civil Trial Term and for Pre-Trial Schedule Relating to June, 1993 Term of Court and Order filed. AND NOW, to wit, this 28 dayof April, 1993, after due consdieration of the JOint Motion for Continuance from April, 1993 Civil Trial Term and for Pre-Trial Schedule RElating to June, 1993 Term of Court, it is hereby Ordered, Adjudged and Decreedas follows:

1. this matter shall be continued from the April, 1993 civil trial list;
2. If not already accomplished, the reports of any and all experts that are expected to testify at the trial of this matter shall be filed withthe Court and served upon all counsel of record on or before May 15, 1993.
3. A settlement conference shall be held relating to this matter on the 24th day of June, 1993, at 3:00 o'clock p.m.;
4. A pre-trial conference shall be held relating to this matter on the 28th day of July, 1993 at 9:30 o'clock a.m;
5. Jury selection regarding the trial of this matter shall commence on the 23rd day of August, 1993 at 9:15 o'clock a.m.; and
6. The trial of the above matter shall commence onthe 23rd day of August, 1993, following selection of the jury and continue thereafter until completed.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

May 5, 1993 Copies to all counsel of record.

June 7, 1993 Order filed. AND NOW, June 4, 1993, to allow sufficient time for a settlement conference scheduled for June 24, 1993 before the undersigned, the court directs that the conference scheduled for 3:00 p.m. be changed to an earlier time of 1:30 p.m.

All parties shall comply with the Crawford County Local Rules L212B and L212C with regard to such conferences.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro,

June 7, 1993 Copies dist. by Court.

July 29, 1993 Notice of Videotape Deposition of David E. Shapter, D.D.S, filed.

Aug. 11, 1993 Plaintiffs' Response to Defendnat, Robert A.Bazylak, M.D.'s Motion in Limine Re: Counsel's Correspondence with Expert Witness filed.

Jul. 23,1993 Motion in Limine of Defendant Robert A. Bazylak, M.D. filed.

July 23, 1993 Motion to Bifurcate filed. (See page 372 E)

Aug. 11, 1993 ORDER filed. Re: Motion in Limine of Defendant Robert A. Bazylak, M.D. Filed. AND NOW, August 10, 1993, the Court having reviewed in camera a letter from Plaintiffs' counsel to Carol Vance, M.D., dated April 28, 1992, we grant the motion in limine of the Defendant Robert A.Bazylak, M.D. and release this letter to the Defendants as discoverable material.

A copy of the letter as supplied to us is attached to this order.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

Aug. 17, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. LeSuer, Markham, McLaughlin, McVerry, Quinn.& Murphy.

Shafer VS Spencer Hospital, ET AL RE cord continued from Page 372 D of AD 1988 - 372

- Jul. 23, 1993 Motion to Bifurcate filed. (See Page 372 D)

✓ Aug. 11, 1993 ORDER filed. Re:Motion to Bifurcate. AND NOW,August 10, 1993,after consideration of the Defendants' joint motion to bifurcate liability from damages, we grant said motion and liability will be heard first at this trial.

We do so pursuant to Pa.R.C.P. 213(b) insofar as we believe that this case can be more conveniently tried in this manner and that the jury will be able to focus on the liability issues. All parties agree that there are significant damages in this case and we believe that interjecting those damages with the liability case would make it difficult for the jury to focus on liability and would be prejudicial to the Defendants.

We do not believe that this order prejudices the Plaintiffs insfar as the only significant issue raised in opposition to this motionby the Plaintffs was that one, Dr. Vance,would be coming from California and would have to return to testify as to damages. Her testimony with respect to damages will be limited to an opinion as to life expectancy and we will allow that testimony to be given during the liability portion of the trial.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

Aug. 17, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. LeSuer, Markham, McLaughlin, McVerry, & Quinn, Murphy.

✓ Aug. 11, 1993 Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine Re: Admissibility of "Day-in-the-Life" Video of John H.,Shafer, filed.

Aug. 11, 1993 ORDER filed. Re: Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine Re: Admissibility of Day in Life Video of John H. Shafer filed. AND NOW,August 10, 1993, insofar as we have entered any order this day bifurcating the liability portion of this trial from the damages portion and there is no objection by theDefendants to the use of the day in the life video, it is ordered that the Plaintiffs may do so during the damages portion of the trial.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

Aug. 17, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. LeSuer, Markham, McLaughlin, McVerry, Quinn & Murphy.

✓Aug. 11, 1993 PRE-TRIAL ORDER filed. AND NOW,August 10, 1993, after pre-trial conference has been held with all counsel present, the Court enters the following Order:

1. All discovery is completed, all parties are ready for trial and trial shall commence on Monday, August 23, 1993, immediately following a final pre-trial conference to be held at 8:30 A.M. on that day. It is estimated that this case will take twelve to fourteen days to try liability and damages and we are granting the Defendnats' motion to bifurcate the trial of this matter so that liability will be tried separately first. It is estimated that portion of the trial will take five to seven days.

2. Description of the case. This matter is a medical negligence case brought by the Plaintiffs against the physicians who treated John Shafer during the first few days of his life and the hospital in which he was treated during those days. Essentially, the Plaintiff maintains that as a result of the negligence of the Defendants John Shafer is profoundly mentally and physically retarded and will require complete attendant care for the remainder of his life.

3.Legal issues. It appears that theprimary legal issue wthat will remain unresolved prior to trial is whether or not this action was filed prior to the expiration of the appropriate statute of limitations. That issue will turn on the discovery rule as well as the applicabilityor inapplicabilityof the 1984 change regarding the statute of limitations for a minor. Also, the Plaintiffs have raised the fraudulent concealment rule as a possibility of being an issue in this case.

The parties shall file trial briefs with respect to the issues surrounding the statute of limitations question no later than the final pre-trial conference on Monday, August 23, 1993 at 8:30n A.M.

4. Stipulations. The parties indicate that they are working on an agreement with regard to a stipulation concerning the medical bills of the Plaintiffs. Additionally, the parties will stipulate to the admission of the medical records of Drs. Owens and Bazylak.

Apparently, the records of Spencer Hospital will also be admitted by stipulation so long as any opinions of physicians are deleted from those records.

5. Voir dire. The Court will ask some preliminary, general voir dire questions and then counsel will be able to ask that wuestions that they deem appropriate. All counsel shall prepare and exchange written voir dire questions no later than 4:30 P.M. on August 20, 1993. All objections to proposed voir dire questions should be brought to the attention of the Court at the final pre-trial conference at 8;30 A.M. on Monday, August 23, 1993. The Court will permit counsel to supplement their voir dire questions if said questions are reasonably necessary to make further inquiry on matters raised by voir dire of the Court and other counsel.

6. Peremptory challenges. The Plaintiffs shall have six peremptory challenges and the Defendants shall have nine peremptory challenges as a group. Thirty-one jurors will be drawn with there ultimately to be twelve jurors and four alternates. The four jurors last called that remain after all challenges shall be the alternates.

7. Requested points for charge. All counsel shall submit requested points for charge as soon as possible but no later than the close of presentation of all evidence. Prior to oral argument by the parties, the Court will rule on requested points for charge so tht the parties will know what the Court intends to tell the jury.

8. Proposed verdict slip. Any counsel desiring to submit a proposed verdict slip shall do so prior to the commencement of final arguments.

9. Exhibits. If the parties have not already done so,they shall pre-number all exhibits and provide true and correct copies of all exhibits, as pre-numbered, to opposing counsel no later than 4:30 P.M. on Friday, August 20, 1993. Any known objections to the exhibits should be raised at the final pre-trial conference on Monday, August 23, 1993 at 8:30 A.M.

10. Deposition objections. It appears that there will be quite a bit of testimony offered by video deposition and in that regard the parties shall determine what objections remain within the record of such depositions and provide that information to the Court as soon as possible so that the Court may make rulings with respect to those depositions in the interest of keeping the trial moving once it begins.

11. Additional witnesses. There are still some trial depositions to be taken with respect to doctors which may or may not raise additional objections. If issues arise that need the Court's attention, we will hear them at the final pre-trial conference at 8:30 A.M. on August 23, 1993.

There was various discussion at the pre-conference regarding medical witnesses from both sides being able to testify with respect to life expectany even though their reports may not extend that far and they may have been listed as a liability witness. We will allow such witnesses to simply give their opinion as to life expectancy if they have one. If there are further matters that have not been resolved with respect to those issues, they can be raised at the final pre-trial conference.

12. Settlement negotiations. At the conclusion of the pre-trial conference, the Defendants asked to meet with the Court privately and we were advised of a firm settlement offer from the Defendants which was passed on to the Plaintiffs' attorney. we have reason to believe that, contrary to our previous opinion after the settlement conference approximately a month and a half ago, further settlement negotiations between the parties would appear to be fruitful.

The parties are directed to continue their settlement discussions and the Court would be happy to become involved in those discussions if the parties deem it appropriate either through a personal settlement conference or through a conference telephone call or calls.

The parties are reminded that a jury is being brought in for the sole purpose of trying this case and should a settlement occur the Court Administrator should be advised as soon as possible.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug.11, 1993 Copies dist. to counsel of record.

Continued to Page 372 F

Aug. 12, 1993 Notice of Taking Video-taped Deposition Upon Oral examination for use at Trial filed.

Aug. 13, 1993 Motin for Summary Judgment filed on Behalf of Additional Defendant, Cosntance J.Shafer,filed.

Aug. 13, 1993 ORDER filed. Re: Motion for Summary Judgment filed on behalf of Additional Defendant, Constance J. Shafer filed. AND NOW, August 12, 1993, upon due consideration of the motion for summary judgment filed on behalf of the Additional Defendnat, Cosntance J.Shafer, said motion is denied insofar as, while Dr. Michael E. Ryan seems to recognize in most cases of cerebral palsy it is difficult to determine the exact cause, he reaches the following conclusion which if accepted by the jury could result in a finding that the Additional Defenant's negligence was the sole, proximate cause of all of the minor Plaintiff's injuries:

> I think this infant's problems stated in utero as a result of chronic hypoxia from maternal smoking. This also resulted in a large amount of blood in this small for gestationl age neonate with the development of polycythemia and hyperviscosity syndrome. This resulted in seizures, hypoglycemia, apnea, cardiac arrest and subsequently seizure disorder and cerebral palsy.
>
> As I stated in the onset of this discussion,the etiology and exact moment when cerebral palsy was unavoidable is almost always difficult to diagnose even in retrospect, but hyperviscosity syndrome in this infant is as clear a cause of neurologic damage and cerebral palsy as can be elucidated in any case. There is no evidence that sepsis or meningitis or hypoglycemia or hypocalcemia at Spencer Hospital caused the cerebral palsy.[1]

[1] Medical Report of Michael E. Ryan, D.O. dated July22, 1991, pg. 9.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

Aug. 17, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. LeSuer, Markham, McLaughlin, McVerry, Quinn & Murphy

Aug. 13, 1993 Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine Re: Expert Testimony of Mark S. Scher, M.D. filed.

Aug. 13, 1993 ORDER filed. Re: Plaintiff's Motion in Limine Re: Expert Testimony of Mark S. SCher, M.D. AND NOW, August 12, 1993, upon due consideration of the above captioned motion, said motion is denied with respect to testimony concerning liability and is granted with respect to testimony concering damages except to the extent such testimony may be permissible with respect to life expectancy pursuant to our pre-trial order of August 10, 1993.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

Aug.13, 1993 Copies distributed by Court. Aug. 17, 1993 Copy of Order to Atty. Murphy.

Aug. 13, 1993 Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine Re: Expert Testimony of Michael E.Ryan, D.O. filed.

Aug. 13, 1993 ORDER filed. Re: Plaintoff's Motion in Limine Re: Expert Testimony of Michael E.Ryan, D.O. AND NOW, August 12, 1993,upon due consideration of the above captioned motion, said motion is denied with respect to testimony concerning liability and is granted with respect to testimony oncerning damages except to the extent such testimony may be permissible with respect to life expectancy pursuant to our pre-trial order of August 10, 1993.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug. 13, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. LeSuer, Markham, McLaughlin, McVerry, Quinn dist. by Court. 8-17-93 Copy to Atty,Murphy.

Aug. 17, 1993 Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Motion to Bifurcate filed.

Aug 20, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, August 18, 1993, at the request of the parties, we conduct another settlement conference with all of the attorneys for the Defendants present and the Plaintiffs and their attorney present.

All agree that this case shall be settled for the sum of $300,000.00 withs the Defendants to pay records costs.

In light of the fact that this claim was brought on behalf of the minor Plaintiff, the attorney for the Plaintiffs shall file the appropriate petition seeking leave to settle this matter on behalf of the minor.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug. 20, 1993 copies dist. by Court.

Aug. 25, 1993, Petition for Approval of Compromise Settlement of Minor's Claim and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit, this 25 day of Aug. 1993, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition for Approval of Compromise Settlement of a Minor's Claim, and after ample opportunity for all parties and other interested persons to object, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The terms ofthe settlement set forth inthe attached petition are hereby approved.
2. The Pa. Medical Professional Liability Catastrophe Loss Fund shall make payment of the sum of $300,000.00 to the law firm of Elderkin, Martin, Kelly & Messina to be distributed by said law firm in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 9 of the petition.
3. Integra Trust Company, National Association is hereby approved and appointed as Trustee of John H. Shafer for purposes of administration ofthe John H. Shafer Trust, and shall serve as Trustee under the terms of the Trust Agreement attached herto as Exhibit "C".
4. Petitioners, John R. Shafer and Constance J. Shafer, as parents and natural guardians of minor-Petitioner, John H. Shafer are hereby authorized and empowered to execute the release attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and the Trust Agreement attached hereto as Exhibit "C" on behalf of the minor Petitioner, John H. Shafer.
5. The Prothonotary is hereby directed to mark this matter settled and discontinued of record, with record costs to be paid by respondents.
6. This settlement is made without admission by any party of liability.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug. 27, 1993, copies of order to Attys. LeSuer, Markham, McLaughlin, McVerry, Quinn.

Sep. 7, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Robert C. LeSuer, Esquire, the above case marked settled and discontinue of record.

File on top of old docket cabinet

1988

JACK, KOOKOGEY & FELTON
John S. Kookogey

373

SUSAN K. ROBINSON

vs.

LARRY K. ROBINSON

Filed April 19, 1988
@ 1:33 P.M.

Tax & Entry Pd $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 8-1-88 - $9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c)

1 copy (atty)

August 1, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Personally appeared before me, a Notary Public, in and fo said State and County at Titusville, Pennsylvania, Richard E. Lydick, a duly qualified Constable in and for the State of PA, who, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served a true and attested copy of the Complaint in Divorce (the same having been by James F. Simmons, Prothonotary, as such) on Larry K. Robinson, the Defendant, personally at 11:11 AM on 4/21/88 at his place of Residence, Walker Rd, Centerville PA 16404 by reading the same to him and making known the contents of the same and leaving a true and attested copy with him.

Richard E. Lydick

August 1, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

August 1, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

August 1, 1988 Upon Praecipe of John S. Kookogey, atty for Plaintiff, to transmit record, This case is moved to court.

Aug. 8, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, August 8, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

S U S A N K . R O B I N S O N and L A R R Y K . R O B I N S O N

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

374

1988

Anthony J. Vardaro

374

Mason and Mason
M. Dan Mason

Anthony J. Vardaro
WILLIAM C. MINETTI

vs.

EDWIN M. ARNOLD

Filed April 19, 1988
@ 2:12 P.M.

Tax & Entry paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Costs 23.00

Arb. Paid 7-20-88 15.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 11/3/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

May 4, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Edwin M. Arnold, personally, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on April 25, 1988 at 11:52 A.M. at Lion's Den Restaurant, Linesville, Crawford County, Pa
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 1, 1988, Answer filed.

July 19, 1988 Attorney for Plaintiff filed Praecipe for Reference to Arbitrators, whereupon the following arbitrators are appointed:

John D. Petruso, Chairman

Louis D. Musica

F. C. Kiebort, Jr.

July 27, 1988 Arbitrators take qualifying oath.

Sept. 29, 1988 decision of Arbitrators filed in which they find in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of $5,000.00
A-54 DECISION OF ARBITRATORS

Sept. 29, 1988 copies given to atty's.

Sept. 29, 1988Notice of Hearing before Board of ARbitrators filed.

Nov. 3, 1988, And Now, at 8:57 a.m., Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, pursuant to the Decision of the board of arbitrators dated sept. 29, 1988, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Five thousand dollars.
A - 54
Judgment entered - 11/3/88

Debt - - $5,000.00
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EX 1988-11 ✓

1988

MASON & MASON
M. Dan Mason

375

NEWPORT NEWS SHIPBUILDING
EMPLOYEES' CREDIT UNION

vs.

WALTER F. HELLER

Filed April 20, 1988
@ 4:23 P.M.

Tax & Entry paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	21.15
Pro Co Pd 6-3-88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

May 5, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Walter F. Heller, within named defendant, on April 25, 1988, at 11:32 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Rose Heller, adult member of the family with whom he resides, namely his sister, at their residence, R.D.#4, Box 264, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, June 3, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney with notice of judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the same is liquidated in the sum of One Thousand Eighty-two and 53/100 plus interest from April 20, 1988, and all costs of suit for failure to answer and otherwise plead.
H-171 Debt.....$1,083.53 + int. & costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary
June 3, 1988 Judgment entered.

Apr 6, 1989 Notice of Service of Interrogatories to Defendant filed.

April 7, 1989 Motion For Sanctions and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of April, 1989, upon consideration of the Motion of M. Dan Mason, Esq. on behalf of the Plaintiff, a hearing on said Motion is set for the 4th day of May, 1989 at 11 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford Co. Courthouse.
The Plaintiff shall serve a copy of the Motion for Sanctions and this Order on the defendant, Walter F. Heller, by Certified Mail and regular mail to his last known address.
Robert L. Walker, J.
April 10, 1989 Copy of Order to Atty. M. Dan Mason.

May 5, 1989 Proof of Service of Motion for Sanctions filed.

May 5, 1989 ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 5, 1989, defendant having failed to appear at the time scheduled in connection with the Motion for Sanctions although properly served with notice of the time and place of hearing, he is ordered and directed to answer the interrogatories filed by the plaintiff on or before May 25, 1989 and if he fails to do so, he is hereby adjudicated in contempt and a further hearing will be held to determine the sanctions that should be applied.
The plaintiff shall serve a copy of this Order upon the defendant by certified mail, return receipt requested, and by regular mail.
By Order of the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.
May 10, 1989 Copy of Order to Atty. M. Dan Mason, Defendant.

July 11, 1990 Motion For Sanctions and Order filed. AND NOW, this 11th day of July, 1990, upon consideration of the Motion of M. Dan Mason, Esquire, on behalf of the Plaintiff, a hearing on said Motion is set forth the 30 day of July, 1990 at 1:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.
The Plaintiff shall serve a copy of the Motion for Sanctions and this Order on the defendants, WALTER F. HELLER, by Certified Mail and regular mail to his last known address.
P. Richard Thomas, J
July 13, 1990 Copy of order put in Dan Mason's box.

1988

TAGGART & MORAN
James L. Moran

Jeffrey A.Misko

376

Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett PC
~~JOhn M. McLaughlin~~

John W.Beatty

WALTER A. MORAN

vs.

BRUCE J. RENN, DR. AND UNION ST. CLINIC

Filed April 21, 1988
@ 10:39 A.M.

Tax & Entry paid $40.50

Sheriff's Costs 36.30

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (Sheriff & atty)

May 5, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Bruce J. Renn, within named defendant, on April 26, 1988 at 12:13 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Diane Waychoff, person in charge of his office, 202 Union Street, Titusville, Crawford County, PA 16354

SERVED Union Street Clinic within named defendant on April 26, 1988 at 12:14 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Diane Waychoff, person in charge at their place of business, 202 Union Street, Titusville, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr. 20, 1990 Complaint filed.

May 10, 1990, Appearance of Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett, PC by JOhn M. McLaughlin, Esq. attorneys for defendants filed.

May 10, 1990, Preliminary Objections filed.
(Jury of Twelve Demanded)

May 11, 1990 Notice Of Service Of INterrogatories To Plaintiff (First Set) filed.

May 25, 1990 Notice of Serving Interrogatories filed.

Dec. 13, 1990 Motion to Compel answers To Interrogatories and Order filed. AND NOW, this 11 day of December 1990 upon consideration of the foregoing Motion arguement on the Motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories and the preliminary objections is hereby scheduled for the 28th day of January 1991 at 10:00 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2.

P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Dec. 17, 1990 Copies of order mailed to James Moran and John McLaughlint

Dec. 13, 1990 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attorney the above preliminary objections are placed on the next available argument list.

1991 January Argument List

Jan. 28, 1991, Verification filed; plaintiff's verification to complaint.

Jan. 28, 1991, Plaintiff's Answers to Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories filed.

Jan. 31, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Jan. 28, 1991, defendant's Motion to Compel Answers to Interrogatories is granted. The plaintiff shall file his answers to Interrogatories This date.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, p.J.

Feb. 4, 1991, copies of order to James L. Moran and J. McLaughlin, attys/.

FEb. 6, 1991 MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Feb. 5, 1991 the defendant's preliminary objections are sustained with respect to subparagraphs 17 (c) (d) and (n) of the plaintiff's complaint. The plaintiff is giv en leave of court tofile an amended complaint within twenty (20) days from the date of this order to aver with more specificity the matters claimed or alleged in subparagraphs 17(c) (d) and (n).

The defendants' preliminary objections to subparagraph 17(e) of the plaintiff's complaint are dismissed.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. MIller, P.J.

Feb. 6, 1991, copies mailed to attys. by Prothonotary.

Feb. 25, 1991 Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint filed.

Mar. 8, 1991 Answer Of Defendant Renn To first Amended Complaint filed.

Mar. 18, 1991 Notice of Deposition of Walter A. Moran to be taken on Friday, Mar. 22, 1991 at 2:00 P.M.

Sept. 3, 1991 Notice Of Serving Request For Production of Documents filed.

Oct. 9, 1992 Motion to Terminate Discovery, filed.

Oct. 22, 1992 Notice of Taking Deposition of Bruce J. Renn, Dr. filed.

Jan. 21, 1993 Reply to Amended New Matter of Defendnat, Bruce J.Renn, Dr. filed.

May 6, 1993 Motion to Compel Discovery filed.

May 6, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Attorney John M. McLaughlin, Esquire, the above captioned matter is placed on the next available argument list.

1993 June Argument list

May 21, 1993 Reassignment of Argument Date filed. AND NOW, May 21, 1993, argument on the Defendants' Motion to Compel Discovery heretofore set for the June Argument List pursuant to Defendants' praecipe is hereby reset for June 1, 1993 at 10:15 A.M. in Courtroom Number 2.

FOR THE COURT,
Ann A.Walker, Court Administrator

May 21, 1993 Copies distributed by Court Administrator

May 28, 1993 Plaintiff's Answers to Defendant's Second Set of Interrogatories filed.

Continued on Pg. 376A

Walter A. Moran

vs.

Bruce J. Renn, Dr. and
Union St. Clinic

Record continued from page 376 -- No. AD 1988 - 376

June 3, 1993, REASSIGNMENT OF ARGUMENT DATE and order filed. AND NOW, May 21, 1993, argument of the defendants motion to Comppel Discovery heretofore set for the June Argument List pursuant to Defendants Praecipe is hereby reset for June 1, 1993, at 10:15 a.m. in C. R. #2.

FOR THE COURT,
Ann A. Walker, Ct. Adm.

June 10, 1993, copies to Attys. Moran and McLaughlin.

May 26,1995 Notice of Intent to Complete Discovery filed.

Dec. 27, 1995 Appearance of Knox, McLaughlin, Gornall & Sennett, PC by John W. Beatty, Esq. for Defendants filed.

Dec. 27, 1995 Certificate of Readiness filed.

Feb. 6, 1996 Pretrial Order filed AND NOW,February 5, 1996, after a pretrial conference with both counsel present, the Court enters the following Order:

1. All discovery is completed,all parties are ready for trial an trial will commence the week of February 20, 1996, and it is anticipated that this case will take two days to try. Counsel should be prepared upon notice from the Court Administrator too pick a jury on February 12, 1996.

2. Description of the Case. This case essentially alleges dental malpractice from October 15, 1985 through April 24, 1986,as a result of the defendant Renn's treatment of the plaintiff.

3. Legal Issues. It is agreed by both parties that the defendant has raised an issue respecting the contributory negligence of the plaintiff for allegedly failing to take antibiotics as prescribed by the defendant Renn.

Also at the time of the pretrial conference, plaintiff's counsel first provided to defendant's counsel a copy of the report prepared by plaintiff's expert, Dr. Martin Phillips. That report makes reference to negligence prior to treatment beginning in October of 1985, and while some refrence to that treatmentmay be significant to establish factually the condition of the plaintiff's tooth number twenty-one known to defendant Renn in October of 1985, there can be no testimony by the expert regarding negligence prior to October, 1985. Both parties are aware of that and plaintiff will attempt to illicit the testimony in that manner with the understanding that if that does not occur the Court may need to give some instruction to the jury.

The only other legal issue is that plaintiff's counsel indicates that he may be a witness on behalf of the plaintiff. Unless plaintiff's counsel notifies defense counsel that that is no longer his intention, the parties shal prepare briefs on the issue as to what shall occur if the plaintiff's counsel testifies. The Court's recollection is that plaintiff's counsel may be able to try the case up until the time he testifies and then other counsel must take over, but we are not sure if plaintiff's counsel may be totally banned from trying the case if he knows prior to the beginning of the case that he is going to testify.

Briefs on that issue, if it is still an issue, should be presented to the Court just prior to jury selection.

4. Special Damages. The plaintiff has alleged special damages in the nature of fee for services to Marlind H. Stiles, D.M.D., in the amount of $345 and additional prescription expenses of $10. The parties agree that solong as the plaintiff has some documentation of those exlpenses there will no need to call witnesses withrespect to those expenses.

The plaintiff has also alleged work loss to travel to Erie, Pennsylvania, onvarious dates totally $320 and it is agreed that the plaintiff may testify with respect to that work loss and it will not be necessary for any independent witnesses to do so.

5. Stipulations. The parties agree that the medical records may come in without the need for authentication by a custodian of those records. The only question remaining at the time of the pretrial conference is with regard to the x-rays. The parties will either resolve that matter or bring it to the attention of the Court at the final pretrial conference just prior to trial of this case.

6. Witnesses. The plaintiff intends to call the plaintiff and Ann Moran, plaintiff's wife,as well as Dr. Martin Phillips as an expert witness and as we have indicated possibly James L.Moran. The defendant intends to call the defendant Dr. Bruce J.Renn and Dr. Michael M. Polimus as an expert witness. Both expert witnesses will be testifying live.

7. Voir Dire Questions. All counsel shall prepare and exchange voir dire questions no later than 4:30 P.M., on Friday, February 9, 1996. We note that defendant's counsel has already provided his proposed voir dire questions. All objections to proposed voir dire questions shall be brought to the attention of the Court just prior to jury selection. After the Court has asked general questions of the jury panel, counsel shall be permitted to read or paraphrase their own voir dire questions. The Court will permit counsel to supplement their voir dire questions, if said questions are reasonably necessary to make further inquiry on matters raised by voir dire of theCourt or other counsel.

8. Peremptory Challenges. The plaintiff shall have four peremptory challenges and defendant shall have four peremptory challenges. Twenty-one jurors willbe called with last remaining juror after the peremptory challenges being the alternate.

9. Points for Charge. Bothcounsel shall submit requested Points for Charge as soon as possible, but no later than the close of presentation of all evidence. Prior to oral argument by the parties, the Court will rule on requested Points for Charge so that the parties will know what the Court intends to tell the jury. The defendant has already submitted Points for Charge and a Motion For A Directed Verdict and defendant may, of course, supplement those points consistent with this Order.

10. Proposed Verdict Slip. Any counsel desiring to submit a proposed verdict slip shall do so prior to the commencement of final arguments.

11. Exhibits. Parties should pre-number all exhibits, provide true and correct copies of the exhibits to one another as soon as possible. Any known objections to the exhibits should be raised at the final pretrial conference just prior to trial.

Counsel for the defendant may have a conflict in Federal Court on February 22, 1996, at 11:00 A.M. He should contact the Court Administrator as soon as possible to let her know whether or not that is going to be a problem.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

2-6-96 Mailed Moran, Faxed Beatty. Copy to Ct. Adm..

Feb. 7, 1996 Points for Charge and Motion for Directed Verdict of Defendant, Dr.Bruce J. Renn, filed.

Feb. 7, 1996 Proposed Supplemental Voir Dire of Defendant, Dr.Bruce J.Renn, filed.

Feb. 12, 1996 Plaintiff's Proposed Voir Dire, filed.

Feb. 12, 1996 Appearance of Moran,Morehouse & Bruno by Jeffrey A. Misko, Esquire, Attorney for Walter A.Moran, Plaintiff, filed.

Feb. 20, 1996 Defendants' Additional Points forCharge,filed.

Continued to Page 376 B

376B

AND NOW, Feb. 21, 1996, Jury selected on Feb. 12,1996 at 10:28 AM and sworn in on FEb.20, 1996 at 9:40 AM as follows:

1. Thomas Conrad
2. Raymond Copeland
3. Kenneth E.Lloyd, Jr.
4. Cynthia M. Sherrod
5. Henry White,Jr.
6. MOnica Flummer
7. FrankFisher
8. Daniel Dygert
9. John H. Pinch (excused 2-21-96 at 11:05 AM)
10. Joan C.Mamrak
11. Wanda F.Smith
12. Rose Alice Russell
13. Earl Pequeen took juror No. 9 position.

twelve good and lawful persons who upon their solemn oaths which they have taken at least 10 of them say the Verdict as follows: VERDICT SLIP

We the jury empaneled in the above captioned case find as follows:

Question 1. Do you find that the defendnat was negligent: Yes If you answer Question 1 "No", the plaintiff cannot recover and you should not answer anyfurther questions and should return your verdict to the courtroom. If you answer Question 1 "Yes", you must go on to Question 2.

Question 2: Was the defendant's negligence a substantial factor in bringing about the plaintiff's harm? Yes If you answer Question 2 "No", the plaintiff cannot recover and you should not answer any further questions and should return to the courtroom. If you answer question 2 "Yes", you must go on to Question 3.

Question 3: Was the plaintiff contributorily negligence? Yes If you answered Question 3 "No" proceed to Question 6. If you answer Question 3 "Yes", you must proceed to Question 4.

Question 4: If you answered Question 3 "Yes", was the plaintiff's contributory negligence a substantial factor in bringing about his harm? Yes. If you answered Question 4 "No", go on to Question 6. If you answer Question 4 "Yes", you must go on to Question 5.

Question 5: Taking the combined negligence that was a substantial factor in bringing about the plaintiff's harm as 100 percent,what percentage of that causal negligence was attributable to the defendant and what percentage was attributable to the plaintiff:

Percentage of causal negligence attributable to the defendant. (Answer only if you have answered "Yes" to Questions 1 and 2). 30 %

Percentage of causal negligence attributable to the plaintiff. (Answer only if you have answered "Yes" to Questions 3 and 4. 70 %

If you have found the plaintiff's causal negligence to be greater than 50% then the plaintiff cannot recover and you should not answer Question 6 and should return to the courtroom with your verdict. If you have found that plaintiff's causal negligence is 50% or less,you must go on to Question 6.

DATE AND TIME VERDICT REACHED: Feb. 21, 96 3:00 P Thomas Conrad, Foreperson

2-22-96 Faxed to Attys.Misko &Beatty

FEb.22,1996 Exhibits filed.

Feb.22, 1996 ORDER filed. AND NOW, February 20,1996, the defendants' motion for compulsory nonsuit is DENIED.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J.Vardaro, Judge

2-22-96 Copy to Attys. Misko & Beatty

March 7, 1996 Plaintiff's Motion For Post Trial Relief In The Form of a Motion for Judgment Notwithstanding The Verdict Or, In The Alternative, For a New Trial, filed.

March 7, 1996 ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 6, 1996, upon consideration of the plaintiff's Motion for Post Trial Relief, the Court Administrator is directed to list this matter for argument on the April 1996 Argument List.

The parties shall follow the briefing schedule requried by Crawford County Local Rules of Court.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

March 7, 1996 Order given Attys. Misko and Beatty.; copy given to Court Adm. by the Court.

July 10, 1996, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, July 10, 1996 the plaintiff's Motion for Post Trial Relief and the nature of a Motion for Judgment not withstanding the verdict or alternatively a Motion for a new trial is denied.

by the court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

7/10/96 mailed to Misko and Beatty.

1988

Quinn, Gent, Buseck and
Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

377

MARINE BANK

vs.

J. CHRISTOPHER WEILAND
AND ELIZABETH POLON

Filed April 21, 1988 @ 10:53 A.M.

Tax & Entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	26.50
Sat pd 6/27/88 -	5.00
Pro Co Pd 6/6/88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

May 17, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED J. Christopher Weiland within named defnedant on April 25, 1988 at 11:15 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to James Dennis, adult member of the family with whom he resides, namely his uncle, at 280 Maple Street, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Elizabeth Polon within named defendant on April 25, 1988 at 11:10 aM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to James Dennis, adult member of the family with whom she resides, namely her brother at their place of residence, 280 Maple Street, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County ,PA
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, deputy sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 6, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney with Notice of Judgment Attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to file an answer or otherwise plead, and the same is liquidated in the sum of Three Thousand Eight Hundred Eighty-seven and 57/100 plus interest from April 15, 1988 and all costs of suit plus attorney fees of Seven Hundred Seventy-seven and 40/100 Dollars against all defendants.
W-91, P-51

Debt.....$3,887.57 Plus int, costs & atty. fees.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

5-10-88 Judgment entered.

June 24, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, received satisfaction in full of this above judgment debt, interest and cost and hereby discharge the same of record; record marked satisfied.

1988

Emil M. Spadafore

378

CINDY A. PLATT

vs.

MICHAEL B. PLATT

Filed April 21, 1988
@ 11:08 A.M.

Tax & Entry paid $40.50✓

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE

2 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 21st day of April, 1988, upon motion of Emil M. Spadafore, Jr., Esquire, and upon consideration of the within Petition for Relief, a hearing thereon is scheduled to be held as follows:

PLACE: Crawford County Courthouse
DATE: April 28, 1988
TIME: 11:30 A.M.

The Defendant is hereby advised of the right to be represented by counsel.

Services of the Petition and this Order for Hearing on Defendant shall be made by the Sheriff or by a competent adult in the same manner as a Complaint in an action in equity.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Apr. 29, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, April 28, 1988, at the time fixes for hearing, it appearing that the Defendant has not been formally served with the process in this action but is aware of this hearing through a conversation with the Plaintiff, and it further appearing that the Defendant has voluntarily entered Decision House in Oil City, a substance abuse operation, now, therefore, this hearing is continued to be rescheduled after the discharge of the Defendant from Decision House upon motion of any partyin interest and pending further hearing, the temporary Order for protection from abuse is continued in full force and effect.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

May 3, 1988 all copies to Emil Spadafore

June 1, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: Cindy A. Platt, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on May 9, 1988 she personally hand delivered true and correct copies of the Court Orders dated March 21, 1988 to the defendant, Michael Platt, at Race Street Lumber, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

And further your deponent saith naught.

Cindy A. Platt, Plaintiff

Nov. 3, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed. (in error see A.D 1988-391)

Nov. 3, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed. (in error see A.D. 1988-391)

Dec. 1, 1988 Affidavit of Consentof Plaintiff filed. (in error see AD 1988-391)

Dec. 15, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of defendant, Michael B. Platt filed. (in error see A.D 1988-391)

1988

WILLIAM J. GREGG

379

Joan Mason, Atty for Defense

6/15/88 Recd #61.00 From Defendant

Recd 7-5-88 #61.00 Vivian Stoltz

7/14/88 Recd #61.00 from plaintiff

Recd Sept 1, 1988 #61.00 Vivian Stoltz

MAX G. HYDE

VS.

BARBARA J. HYDE

Filed April 21, 1988

Tax & Entry paid $40.50

William Pineo, Mediator 122.00

Pro Co Pd 7/14/88 - 9.00

PETITION FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty) (med.)

You, Barbara J. Hyde, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of the child, Max Richard Hyde.

You are ordered to appear in person at the time and place selected by William Pineo, Custody Mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an order for custody, partial custody, or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

CRAWFORD COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION
Service to the Public Committee
310/312 Chestnut Street
Meadville, PA 16335
Telephone: (814) 724-7719

Dated April 21, 1988

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

June 7, 1988 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 3rd day of June, 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter before William Pineo, Esquire, Custody Mediatior and upon the recommendations of said Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child, Max Richard Hyde.

2. The plaintiff father shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor child from 6:00 p.m. of June 20 each year through 6:00 p.m. of the following August 15 of each year. The defendant mother shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor child from 6:00 p.m. of August 15 of each year through 6:00 p.m. of the following June 20.

3. When the father does not have primary physical custody, he shall have the right of temporary physical custody and visitation on the first two full weekends of each month from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6 p.m.. When the mother does not have primary physical custody of the subject minor child, she shall have the right of temporary physical custody and visitation on the last two full weekends of each month from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m.

4. The Christmas visitation periods shall begin on Christmas Eve at noon and end on Christmas Day at 9:00 p.m. For Christmas 1988, the mother shall have her son for visitation from Christmas Eve at noon through Christmas Day at 1:00 p.m. and the father from Christmas Day at 1:00 p.m. through Christmas Day at 9:00 p.m.. The parties shall thereafter alternate the two Christmas visitation periods. The father shall thereafter alternate the two Christmas visitation periods. The father shall have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody each year from noon of December 26 through noon of New Year's Day. For those years in which the father has had his son for visitation from Christmas Day at 1:00 p.m. through Christmas Day at 9:00 p.m. this period shall be extended to be continuous with his yearly Christmas vacation visitation period.

5. The father shall have visitation and temporary physical cutody of his son each Thanksgiving from 3:00 p.m. of Thanksgiving to 9:00 p.m. of the day following Thanksgiving.

Other holidays are defined as the child's birthday, Independence Day, Labor Day, Easter, and Memorial Day. The visitation period shall begin at 9:00 a.m. and end at 9:00 p.m.. The mother shall have visitation with her son on his birthday for 1988 and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holidays so defined. Holiday visitation shall take precedence over the other provisions relating to custody and visitation periods.

6. The party receiving the subject minor child in the cours of his or her visitation or custodial rights and duties shall be responsible for picking the child up at the home of the other party.

7.This a joint custody arrangement and each party shall share with the other any information which he or she may have concerning the child's education, health, and major events in the child's life. Health care and school personnel are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have concerning the subject minor child, except that information which he has legally requested be kept confidential from anyone.

8. The parties shall cooperate in and sharing that the subject minor child receives the necessary health and medical care, and any psychological counseling which may be necessary for him.

9. Each party shall teach the child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child and neither shall do say anything to blacken the character of the other in the eyes of the child.

10. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements with respect to the custody and visitation arrangements. They are encouraged to do so where that would be convenient and proper, but unless both parties agree to a proposed variation, this Order shall govern.

11. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $122 are divided equally between the parties with the share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

12. Either party may move the court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from the date hereof. If neither pa rty so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J

June 13, 1988 Copies of order to William Gregg, Joan Mason, William Pineo, Plaintiff and Defendant

1988

Shafer, Swick,
Bailey & Irwin
Louis J. Stack

380

Dollar Savings
Association

vs.

Kenneth L. Jones, Jr.
and Eileen M. Jones,
his wife

Filed Apr. 21, 1988
@ 2:22 p.m.

Tax & entry pd 40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 24.00 ✓

Pro Co Pd 8-23-88 9.00 ✓

Complaint
2 copies Sheriff

May 6, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Eileen M. Jones personally the within named defendan tby handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure to her on April 26, 1988 at 3:54 PM at her place of residence 1140 Alden Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA SERVED Kenneth L. Jones, Jr. within named defendant on April 26, 1988 at 3:55 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Mortgage foreclosure to Eileen M. Jones, adult member of the family with whom he resides, namely his wife, at their residence 1140 Alden Street, Meadville Crawford County PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug 23, 1988 Verification of Service of Notice of Default filed.

AND NOW, Aug. 23, 1988 @ 3:34 P.M. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendants in the sum of Twelve Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty-six and 03/100 Dollars, plus interest from 7/28/88 at 10 3/4% per deim ($3.45) and costs for failure to file an Answer within 20 days of service of the complaint.
J-103, 210 Debt.....$12,956.03 + Int & costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary
Aug 23, 1988 Judgment entered.

EX 1988-80

1988

Shafer, Swick.
Bailey Irwin &
Stack
Louis J. Stack

381

Landmark Savings
Association

vs.

Joseph C. Gordon and
Judith A. Gordon, his
wife

Filed Apr. 21, 1988
@ 2:22 p.m.

Tax & entry pd. 40.50
Sheriff's costs 29.15
Pro co pd 6/1/88 9.00

Complaint

3 copies sheriff

May 6, 1988 SERVED and made known to Judith A. Gordon personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure to her on April 28, 1988 at 4:15 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

SERVED Joseph C. Gordon on April 28, 1988 at 4:16 PM by serving his authorized agent, Judith A. Gordon, as per her signed acceptance of service attached, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA. The Gordon's are in possession of the property, R D #1, McFadden Rd., Guys Mills.
So answers,

Catherine I. Allen, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, June 1, 1988 at 4:16 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the p laintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Thirty-two thousand Three Hundred Ninety-four and 38/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
G-94-94
judgment entered 6/1/88

Debt $32,394.38
Int. from April 30, 1988
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Ex 1988-47

1988

Elderkin, Martin, Kelly, Messina & Zamboldi Kenneth C. Vasil 382	Sears, Roebuck and Company vs. Leon L. Vanderhoff, Exexutor of the Estate of Jennie V. Vanderhoff deceased Filed apr. 21, 1988 @ 3:06 p.m. tax & entry pd. 40.50 ✓ Pro Co Pd 10/18/88 5.00 ✓	Complaint 1 copy Sheriff May 11, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Not Found, as to within named defendant, Jennie V. Vanderhoff, She is deceased. So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff May 18, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To amend Caption to read: Sears, Roebuck and Company vs. Leon L. Vanderhoff, Executor of the Estate of Jennie V. Vanderhoff, Deceased. May 18, 1988 Amended Complaint filed. Oct. 18, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is settled and discontinued of record.

1988

David W. Pickens

383

Harriet Powell

vs.

Sondra M. Powell, Roy Turner and charles McLaurin

Filed Apr. 22, 1988
@ 8:41 a.m.

tax & entry pd 40.50

Complaint In Custody

1 copy mediator & 3 copies Atty

Order: You, Sondra M. Poweel, Roy Turner and Charles McLaurin, have been sued in court to obtain custody of the children, Bradley D. Poweel, Jennifer L. Turner and Kevin C. McLaurin.

William Pineo is hereby appointed mediator to hear this matter.

Custody of the three children is hereby awarded to Harriet Powell pending further order of this court.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOUCAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Attorney for Plaintiff:
David W. Pickens
1044 Liberty Street
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 336-1273

Crawford County Bar Assoc.
Service to Public Committee
360 Chestnut Street
Meadville,PA 16335
(814) 336-1273

Robert L. Walker, J

May 12, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, david w. Pickens, am an attorney duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and on April 27, 1988, I sent a copy of Complaint in Custody filed at the above number, properly endorsed, to Roy Turner, c/o Western Penitentiary, Pittsburgh PA 15219, by certified mail No. P404874921; and that on May 1, 1988, I received a return receipt for said certified mail signed by J. Walls, and dated April 30, 1988, a copy of which is attached hereto.

I verify that the statements made in this affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

May 12, 1988 David W. Pickens

May 12, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, David w. Pickens, am an attorney duly authorized to practice law inthe Commonwealth of Pennsyvlania, and on April 27, 1988, I sent a copy ofComplaint in Custody filed at the above number, properly endorsed, to Sondra Powell, 474 Willow Street Meadville, PA 16335, by certified mail No. P404874920; and that on April 29, 1988, I received a return receipt for said certified mail signed by Sondra Powell, and dated April 28, 1988, a copy of which is attached hereto.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

May 12, 1988 David W. Pickens

May 12, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, David W. Pickens, am an attorney duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and on April 27, 1988, I sent a copy of Complaint in Custody filed at the above number, properly endorsed, to Charles McLaurin, c/o UNSCO, 433 East Nineth Street, Erie, PA 16503, by certified mail No. P 404874919; and that on April 29, 1988, I received a return receipt for said certified mail signed by S. Desin, and dated April 28, 1988, a copy of which is attached hereto.

I verify that the statements made in this affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

May 12, 1988 David W. Pickens

May 18, 1988, Motion to Enter Custody Order by Consent, and Order filed. 1 copy (atty) ORDER: AND NOW, this 18 day of May 1988 upon consent of all parties involved and in consideration of their best interests, custody of the following children:

Bradly D. Powell, age 6
Jennifer L. Turner, age 4
Kevin C. McLaurin, age 5 mo.

is hereby placed with their maternal grandmother, Harriet Powell, subject to reasonable visitation by the parents.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Sept. 2, 1988 Petition To Modify Custody Order and Order filed. AND NOW, this 2d day of September, 1988, upon consideration of the preceding Petition for Modification of a Custody Order and with consent of Sondra M. Powell, mother of Bradley D. Powell, Jennifer L. Turner and Kevin C. McLaurin, custody of Jennifer L. Turner and Kevin C. McLaurin is temporarily placed with their mother, Sondra M. Powell, until such time as an order based on consent of all the parties is entered or until such time as one of the parties requests a hearing on the matter. Copy of this order to be served on both fathers.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Sept. 2, 1988 copy of order to DAvid Pickens

Sept. 15, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed I David W. Pickens, am an attorney duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and on September 8, 1988 I sent a copy of the order entered September 2, 1988, granting temporary custody to Sondra M. Powell, filed at the abov enumber, properly endorsed, to Roy E. Turner, at Western Penitentiary, P.O. Box 9901, Pittsburgh, PA 15219, by certified mail No. P404874924; and that on September 15, 1988, I received a return receipt for said certified mail signed by Roy E. Turner, and dated September 13, 1988, a copy of which is attached hereto.

I verify that the statements madein this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 PA.C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities. David W. Pickens

CONTINUED ON PAGE 593

384

1988

Northwestern Legal Services
By: Gerald Sullivan

384.

R. Charles Thomas

7/18/88 Rec'd $100.00 fine costs from defendant.

7/19/88 Paid $100.00 To Crawford County Comm. #4639 James J Bummer Esq.

ANN HUSON

vs.

THOMAS HUSON

Filed Apr. 22, 1988
@ 10:08 AM

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE WITH
TEMPORARY ORDER
(5 copies attorney)

ORDER: AND NOW, this 22 day of April 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 29th day of April, 1988, at 10:30 am o'clock in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pennsylvania.

The defendant is directed to refrain from abusing and/or threatening to abuse, or harassing the plaintiff at any time or place.

The Plaintiff is hereby awarded temporary custody of the minor children of the parties pending the hearing scheduled above.

The Crawford County Sheriff Department is directed to serve a certified copy of this Petition and Order upon the Defendant. In the alternative, this Petition and Order may be served by any competent adult.

The City of Meadville Police Department and/or the State Police of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania are directed to entorce this Order.

This Petition and Order shall be filed and served without payment of costs; the Court shall determine at the hearing if the defendant shall be required to pay the costs.

THE DEFENDANT IS HEREBY ADVISED OF HIS RIGHT TO BE REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL, AND HE IS NOTIFIED THAT HIS FAILURE TO ATTEND THE HEARING SCHEDULED ABOVE MAY HAVE SERIOUS LEGAL CONSEQUENCES.

THE DEFENDANT SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO HIS LAWYER AT ONCE. IF HE DOES NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, HE SHOULD GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE HE CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association Service to the Public Committee
310-312 Chestnut St.MeaDVILLE, Pa. 16335 (814) 724-7719

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

May 12, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, April 29, 1988, by agreement of the parties and without admitting or denying any of the facts alleged in the petition, the following Order is entered.

1. The Defendant shall not abuse, threaten to abuse or harass the Plaintiff at any time or place.
2. the Defendant shall not go to the Plaintiff's premises or place of employment.
3. The Plaintiff is awarded custody of the minor children, Joshua Huson and Chrystal Husaon, subject to the right of the Defendant to have supervised visitation with the children only at the residence ofthe Defendant's parents, Thomas and Jean Huson. At least one paternal grandparent must be present at all times during these visits.
   The visit shall take place Tuesday at 4:00 p.m. through Thursday at 7:00 p.m. Transportation shall be furnished by the paternal grandparents.
4. If Children and Youth Services issues an indictaed abuse report against the defendant, either party may immediately file for a custody mediation hearing to modify these visitation arrangements. If Children and Youth Services issues an unfounded report, the only change in visitation will be from supervised to unsupervised visits.
5. The Defendant shall pay child support inthe amount of $20.00 a week per child.
6. This Order shall continue in full force and effect for a period of one year frm this date. Any police officer having jurisdiction and having reasonalbe grounds to believe that this Order has been violated may enforce this Order in accordance with the Protection from Abuse Act.
7. The costs of this proceedings shall be paid by Crawford county.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

May 12, 1988 copies of order to Gerald Sullivan and Brian Lindsay

July 11, 1988 Order For Contempt Hearing Under Protection from Abuse Act and Order filed. AND NOW, this 11th day of July, 1988, upon consideration of the within Petition for Contempt, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 18th day of July, 1988, at 9:15 o'clock a.m., at Court 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

The Crawford County Sheriff Department is directed to serve a certified copy of this Petition and Order upon the Defendant. In the alternative, this Petition and Order may be served by any competent adult.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

July 11, 1988 Copies of order to Gerald Sullivan

July 15,1988 Affidavit of Service Filed. I verify that Richard Stivson Personally served a certified copy of the Petition and Order for Contempt upon the Defendant on 7/14/88.

BY Gerald Sullivan

July 19, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, July 18, 1988, after hearing, the Court finds the Defendant in violation of the Order entered April 29, 1988 in that on July 7, 1988, he pushed his wife and attempted to molest her in a fashion against her consent, all of this taking place at her residence.

Accordingly, the Court inds the defendant violated the terms and conditions of Paragraph 1 of the Order. As punishment for contempt, the Defendant is DIRECTED to pay a fine of $100.00 within ten (10) days of this date, and to prevent further incidents of this type, the visitation portion of the Order entered April 29, 1988 is modified as follows:

1. Visits with the father shall continue as prescribed in Paragraph 3, but the father shall arrange for other family members or toher adult persons to return and pick up the children on Tuesdays and Thursdays during the time he has the visits.
2. The Defendant shall not communicate with his wife unless it is done to modify the hours of the visit or to report to the wife any change in the condition of the children.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

July 19, 1988 Copies of order to Gerald Sullivan and R. Charles Thomas

1988

Jordon and White
By: William T. Jorden

385.

7/15/88 Recd $60.00 from Plaintiff
7/19/88 Recd $60.00 from Defendant
Recd Sept 1, 1988 $60.00
Vivian Stoltz
and the Fergusons
Recd Sept 1, 1988 $60.00
Vivian Stoltz
11/28/88 Paid Jorden & White 9.00 + fund or program chk # 4785

IN RE:

THE CUSTODY OF
JEREMY HITCHOCK

Filed April 22, 1988
@ 10:16 a.m.

Tax and entry paid	$40.50 ✓
Mediator- John Fuller	120.00
Sheriff's costs	$24.15
	9.00 ✓
Proc Ck 7/18/88	
Proc Ck 7/19/88	4.00 ✓

PETITION FOR CUSTODY AND ORDER

(1 copy atty., 1 copy mediator)

ORDER: AND NOW, thjs 22nd day of April, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing petition, this matter is referred to Attorney John Fuller, the Crawford County Mediation Counselor, for further proceedings. It is further ordered that the child shall not be removed from Crawford County until a final disposition of this matter has been made, or upon further Order of Court.

Robert L. Walker, J.

May 24, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED and made known to Robert Kenneth Hitchcock personally the within named person by handing a true copy of the within in Petition for Custody to him on May 10, 1988 at 4:18 p.m. at Sheriff's office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
So answers,
Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 8, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, July 8, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Jeremy Hitchcock shall be shared between the mother, Judith Kay Rodgers, and the father, Robert Kenneth Hitchcock, that is each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part in important decisions affecting the child including but not limited to medical treatment, education and religious instruction.

II. Physical custody of Jeremy Hitchcock shall be shared between the mother and the father in the following manner:

(1) During the months of September through May the child shall reside with the father, subject to the following conditions:

(a) The mother shall pick up the child after school at approximately 2:30 p.m. every Friday and have the child in her custody every weekend from that time on Friday afternoon until after church on Sunday at which time the mother shall deliver the child to the home of the father at approximately 1:00 p.m.

(2) During the months of June, July and August the parties shall alternate having the child in their custody in the same manner as they are doing at the present time, that is the child shall reside with the father a continuous seven (7) day period and then live with the mother for a continuous seven (7) day period and the parties shall continue to alternate having the child with them in the same manner as provided above during these Summer months.

(3) Holidays shall be defined as Thanksgiving, Christmas and Easter. The child shall be in the home of the father on Thanksgiving Day in 1988 and in the home of the mother on Christmas Day of 1988. The child shall be in the home of the father on Easter Sunday of 1989 and in the home of the mother on Thanksgiving day of 1989 and in the home of the father on Chirstmans Day of 1989. The parties shall continue alternating having the child in their home on the above three holidays in the same manner provided above until this order has been changed or amended. On holidays when the child is in the home of the father, the child shall be in the home of the mother on the evening preceding such holiday and on holidays when the child is in the home of the mother the child shall be in the home of the father on the evening preceding such holiday.

(4) Neither party may remove the child from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for a period exceeding seven (7) days without the prior consent of the other parent or without further Court order.

(5) Neither parent shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but each parent shall do everything in their power to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

(6) Neither parent shall expose the child to any situation or person that would be harmful to the health and welfare of the child and each parent shall notify the other of any illness or emergency affecting the child.

(7) This oder shall be a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

Cost of the mediation conference shll be divided equally between the parties.

Robert L. Walker, Judge

July 8, 1988 Copies of order to Plaintiff, Defendant, and Attys. Jorden, Ferguson and Fuller.

1988

Specter Law Offices, P.C.
By: John C. Evans
Robert A. Sambroak,Jr

386.

BOZIC & THOMAS
R. Charles Thomas

ADAM L. ROWICKI AND
~~IRENE T. ROWICKI, his wife~~
THE ESTATE OF IRENE T. ROWICKI

vs.

VERONICA L. NICHOLS

filed Apr. 22, 1988
@ 11:01 a.m.

tax & entry paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriffs costs	84.30
Pro Co. Pd 4/12/91	6.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 4-23-91	1.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

1 COPY (attorney)

June 29, 1988 Appearance of Bozic and Thomas by R. Charles Thomas, Esq. for Defendant filed.

June 29, 1988 Preliminary Objections filed.

July 18, 1988 Response To Preliminary Objections filed.

July 25, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Mark D. London, Dep. Sheriff, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 20th day of May 1988 at 12:02 p.m. he served Veronica L. Nichols at AD 1988 - 386 upon defendant at Cross Creek REsort Rt. 8, by making known the contents thereof to her and handing to and leaving with her the cert. copy of the Complaint and interrogatories received from Sheriff of Crawford County.

Mark London, Dep. Sheriff
Gene Price, Sheriff, Venango Co.

SERVED Veronica L. Nichols within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango County, Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: May 20, 1988.
So answers,
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec 18, 1989 Motion to Strike the Defendant's Preliminary Objections filed.

Dec 18, 1989 Motion to Compel Discovery and Order filed: AND NOW, to-wit, this 18 day of December, 1989, it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED that the Defendant's Veronica L. Nichols, furnish the plaintiffs' consel with responses to the plaintiffs' written interrogatories and request for production witrhin 20 days of this date or be subject to sanctions.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Dec 18, 1989 Copies of order mailed to Atty Evans and copy put in Atty. Thomas box.

Dec 20, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, December 18, 1989, Plaintiffs' counsel appears before the Court with a motion to strike preliminary objections filed by the Defendant. Defense counsel appears and advises that he had previously been notified by Plaintiffs' counsel that they intended to file an amended Complaint and therefore he did not schedule the preliminary objections for argument. Counsel for the Defendant shall place the case on the argument list for the January term.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Dec 20, 1989 Copies of order put in Atty. Thomas Box and mailed to Atty McArdle.

Jan. 18, 1990, Notice of Deposition of Veronica L. Nichols filed.

Jan.24, 1990, Answer of Defendant filed. 1 copy (atty)

Jan. 25, 1990, Motion for Protective Order and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 24th day of Jan. 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion, it is ordered and decreed that pursuant to Pa. E.C.P. 4012, and upon a representation that counsel for the plaintiffs was aware of the filing of the within Motion, a Protective Order is hereby entered prohibiting the taking of the defendant's deposition on Jan. 25, 1990. The deposition of the defendant shall be taken within the next thirty (30) days at a time to be mutually agreed upon by the parties. If the parties are not able to agree on such a time then said deposition may be taken anytimeduring regular business hours within the next thirty (30) days when counsel for the defendant is not, at the time of the giving of the notice, thenrequired to appear in any matter before anycourt or scheduled deposition and, further provided, that counsel for the defendant be given at least seven (70 days written notice of the date, time and place of the defendant's deposition. The request for counsel fees is denied.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Jan. 26, 1990, copies to both attys of record.

Jan. 29, 1990, Motion for Withdrawal of Preliminary Objections and Order filed. ORDER: And now, Jan. 29, 1990 the within Order is allowed and defendant is authorized and directed to withdraw the Preliminary Objections in the above entitled case.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Feb. 1, 1990, copies of order to J. C. Evans and R. C. Thomas.

Jan. 30, 1990, Notice of Deposition of defendant Veronica Nichols filed.

June 29, 1990, Reply to New Matter filed.

June 29, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff, captioned amended as follows:"Adam L. Rowicki and The Estate of Irene T. Rowicki vs. Veronica T. Nichols."

Sept. 21, 1990, Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Sept. 21, 1990, Certificate of REadiness filed.

Sept. 21, 1990, Notice of Service of Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON TRIAL LIST FOR FEBRUARY 1991

Dec. 21, 1990 Notice Of Deposition of Mary Jane Konosian, M.D., filed.

Jan. 7, 1991 Notice Of Deposition of John Karian, M.D. filed.

Jan. 7, 1990 Notice Of Deposition of Jose Pacheco, M.D. filed.

Mar. 1, 1991, Notice of Video Deposition filed.

Apr. 8, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned matter is settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Bernstein and Bernstein,
By: Jacqueline K. Stevens
By: Nicholas D. Krawec
By : Charles E. Bobinis

387.

Jorden & White
Harry F. White,II

SPECIALIZED BICYCLE COMPONENTS, INC.

VS.

STRAWBERRY ENTERPRISES, INC., trading and doing business as WILDERNESS; and JEAN JENKINS and ROBERT KULAK,, individual guarantors.

filed Apr. 22, 1988 @ 11:03 am

tax & entry paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	30.00
Pro co pd 6/8/88	9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 11/29/88	15.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

3 copies (Sheriff)

May 10, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Jean Jenkins personally, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on April 29, 1988 at 2:35 P.M. at her place of business, Wilderness Shop, 219 Chestnut Street, Meadville, Crawford county, Pa.

SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Robert Kulak personally, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on April 29, 1988 at 2:36 P.M. at his place of business, Wilderness shop, 219 Chestnut Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED Wilderness Shop within named defendant on April 29, 1988 at 2:37 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Rober Kulak, adult person in charge of business, at 219 Chestnut Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 19, 1988 Answer Tc Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

And Now, June 8, 1988 at 1:23 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor ofthe plaintiff and against Jean Jenkens and Robert Kulak, individual guarantor for in default of an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Eight Thousand One Hundred Thirty nine and 77/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

J-93; K-141
Judgment entered June 8, 1988
Notices mailed to defendants June 14, 1988

Debt $8,139.77
Int. from 4/9/88-6/1/88
Judgment
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

July 27, 1988 Interrogatories For Discovery of Assets In Aid of Execution Directed to Robert Kulak filed.

July 27, 1988 Interrogatories For Discovery of Assets in Aid of Execution Directed to Jean Jenkins filed.

EX 1988-89

Nov. 28, 1988, Affidavit of No Answer to the Plaintiff's Request for Admissions, Interrogatories and Re1uest for production of Documents, filed.

Nov. 29, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, for appointment of arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed: ~~Mary Ann Kirkpatrick~~, Chairman William B. Moore
~~William B. Moore~~ Anthony Vardaro
Curtis E. Blystone

Dec. 23, 1988, Chairman M. A. Kirkpatrick removed and William Moore as chairman, with Anthony Vardaro appointed arbitrator. Arbitrators take the qualifying oath.

1988

MASON AND MASON
Joan Mason

388.

DEBORAH S. GREGOR

VS.

KENNETH A. GREGOR

filed Apr. 22, 1988
@ 1:47 P.M.

tax and entry and
1 entry paid $55.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE AND CUSTORY

1 copy (attorney)

Apr. 29, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on April 25, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Kenneth A. Gregor by certified mail #P-547 808 342, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Kenneth A. gregor on April 26, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Pl aintiff's attorney and is attached hereto. Joan D. Mason

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a part in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 4-29-88 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 8, 1997 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

William J. Gregg 389.	DONALD ARMOUR AND GLORIA ARMOUR, his wife vs. PENN MUTUAL FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY filed April 22, 1988 @ 3:05 P.M. Tax aand entry paid $40.50 ✓	COMPLAINT 1 COPY (atty)

1988

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC
Theodore H. Watts

390 .

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

JACK C. BILTZ AND
JEANETTE BILTZ, his wife

vs.

THE ZONING HEARING BOARD OF
SADSBURY TOWNSHIP

filed Apr. 22, 1922
@ 4:23 p.m.

tax and entry paid $40.50 ✓

APPEAL FROM THE DECISION OF

SADSBURY TOWNSHIP ZONING HEARING BOARD
1 COPY Certiorari issued

June 15, 1988, Certiorari Returned, with record from The Zoning Hearing board of Sadsbury Township.

June 15, 1988, Motion to Set a Hearing and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 15 dayof June 1988, upon consideration of the within Motion, the matter of the denial of the request for special exception filed by teh Appellants before the Zoning Hearing Board of Sadsbury Township is set for hearing on the 14th of July 1988, at 11:30 a.m. in C. R. No. 1.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, Judge

June 16, 1988, copy of order to T. Watts, esq.

June 24, 1988, Motion to Re-Schedule Hearing, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW this 24th day of June 1988, upon consideration of the within Motion, hearing in the above matter is re-scheduled for the 26th day of July 1988 at 9:30 a.m. in Court Room No. 1 or 2.

By The Court,
Robert L. walker, J.

June 24, 1988, copy to Atty. T. H. Watts.

July 26, 1988, Appearance of Bozic and Thomas, by R. Charles Thomas, attorneys for defendant, filed.

July 26, 1988, ORDER RESCHEDULING HEARING filed. And now, July 26, 1988, at the time set for hearing, it appears that through oversight notice of said hearing was not given to R., Charles Thomas on behalf of the Zoning Hearing Board of Sadsbury Township. Accordingly, this case will have to be continued, we direct Atty. Thomas this date to forthwith enter an appearance in this cae in the Prothonotary's Office.

Hearing is scheduled for August 30, 1988 at 2:00 p.m. in Courtroom 1.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

July 27, 1988, copies to T. Watts, and R. C. Thomas, attys.

Aug. 29, 1988, ORDER filed. And now, August 26, 1988, hearing heretofore set for Aug. 30, 1988, is hereby reset by the court for Sept. 28, 1988, at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Admin.

Aug. 31, 1988, copies to T. Watts, and R. C. Thomas.

Mar. 14, 1989, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, March 14, 1989, the decision of the Sadsbury Township Zoning Hearing Board denying the appellants' request for a variance is reversed and the appropriate authorities of Sadsbury Township are directed to grant a variance and issue the necessary and proper documents to the appellants for the construction of the residential additions as set forth in their application for variance, and in the various exhibits in this matter.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Mar. 15, 1989, Copies to Attys. of record by Gretchen, Judge's Sec.

Mar. 14, 1989, Exhibits filed. (with papers)

1988

Emil M. Spadafore, Jr.

391.

CINDY A. PLATT

VS.

MICHAEL B. PLATT

filed Apr. 25, 1988
@ 10:15 am

Tax and entry paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 1/16/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION
201 (c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE
(1 copy atty)

May 3, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed: Vicki Bradshaw, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the secretary to Emil M. Spadafore, Jr., Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff in this action and certifies that on April 25, 1988, she delivered to the Post Office in Meadville, Pa., for delivery to Michael B. Platt at Decision House, 806 Grandview Road, Oil City, PA 16301, by certified mail, return receipt requested, delivery restricted to addressee only, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in this matter for which deponent received at the time receipt for certified article no. P-547 803 597 which is attached herto as Exhibit "A". Thereafter on April 29, 1988 it was returned to Emil M. Spadafore, Jr., return receipt card bearing the same number and signed by Michael B. Platt said return receipt card being attached hereto as Exhibit "B".

Vicki Bradshaw

Nov.3, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Nov. 3, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 1, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed

Dec. 15, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 13, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review under 201(c) of the divorce code, this case moved to court.

Jan. 31, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, January 31, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that **CINDY A. PLATT**, plaintiff, and **MICHAEL B. PLATT**, defendant, are diovrced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Elderkin, Martin, Kelly, Messina & Zamboldi
Kenneth G. Vasil

392.

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY

VS.

BETTY J. FIRST

filed April 25, 1988
@ 11:06 a.m.

tax and entry paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	19.25
Pro Co Pd 5/26/88	9.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

May 12, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Betty J. First personally the within named defendan tby handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on May 5, 1988 at 10:01 AM at her place of residence, R.D.#6, Meadville, Crawford County, PA
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, May 26, 1988 at 11:33 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney and the written consent of defendant, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of Seven Hundred Thirty Nine and 00/100 Dollars, plus interest from April 1988 at rate of 1.25% per month, plus costs.

Debt - $739.00
Judgment entered 5/26/88
F - 24
Int. from Apr. 1988 @ 1.25% per mo.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

Gordon R. Miller

393.

EUGENE E. ZERFOSS

VS.

THOMAS G. LUZIER and
DARILYNN J. LUZIER, original
defendants, and
WILLIAM E. REED, terre tenant

filed Apr. 25, 1988
@ 11:44 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	44.00
Plff on orig	9.00
Pro Co Pd 9/8/88	9.00 ✓
Satisfaction 9/10/96	5.00 ✓

WRIT OF REVIVAL (issued sheriff)

of

DSB 1983 - 311

Writ of revival of judgment entered in judgment index against defendant.
Debt----------$2,070.00
Interest from 4-19-83
L-164-44; R- 176 JUDGMENT

May 31, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED William E. Reed, within named terre tenant, on May 2, 1988 at 1:43 PM by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival to Marian Reed, adult member of his family, whife, at their residence 480 Hartz Avenue, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Darilynn Luzier personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Writ of Revival to her on May 23, 1988 at 8:04 AM at her placce of residence, R.D.#7, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
Not Found, as to within named defnedant Thomas G. Luzier as of May 17, 1988.
So answers Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Sept. 8, 1988, at 3:58 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with default notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the original defendant and the terre tenant, for failure to answer, damages assessed in the amount of Two Thousand Seventy and 00/100 Dollars, plus interest and costs. (includes atty.. comm. of $270. and int.)
L - 164, 44
R - 176

Debt - - $2,070.00
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons, Pro.

9-3-96 This Lien Satisfied!
(See Papers Filed)

1988

Northwestern Legal Services
Gerald Sullivan

394.,

TERESA A, BESCO

VS.

JOSEPH J. BESCO.

Filed Apr. 25, 1988
@ 1:22 P.M.

Sheriff's costs 16.00

PRAECIPE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

ORDER: 4-25-88 Approved.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

April 25, 1988 PETITION FOR CIVIL CONTEMPT FOR DISOBEDIENCE OF CUSTODY ORDER AND ORDER FILED. 5 copies (atty)

Order: AND NOW, THIS 25 day of April, 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing Petition for Contempt, a Rule is issued upon the respondent, Joseph J. Besco, to show cause, if any he has, why he should not be held in contempt of the May 18, 1977 Order of the Court of Common Pleas of Trumball County, Ohio, and a hearing is thereon set for the 6 day of May 1988, at 10 o'clock in Courtroom #2 of the Crawford County Courthouse at Meadville, Pa.

The respondent, Joseph J. Besco, is hereby ordered to bring the children, Monica Besco and Mathew Besco, to the hearing.

The Crawford County Sheriff Department is directed to serve a c ertified copy of this Petition and Order upon the defendant. In the alternative, this Petition and Order may be served by regular mail.

BY THE COURT,

P. Richard Thomas, J.

May 5, 1988, Certified copy of Judgment Entry (Divorce Decree) from the Court of Common Pleas- Division of Domestic Relations of Trumbull County, Ohio, filed.

May 6, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Donald A. Marenchin, Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 2nd day of May, 1988, at 11:50 a.m. he served Petition for Civil Contempt for Disobedience of Custody Order and Order, filed at No. Term, 19, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Joseph J. Besco at Mercer County Sheriff's Office, 136 S. Diamond Street, Mercer, Pennsylvania 16137 by making known the contents thereof to Joseph J. Besco personally and handing to and leaving with im the certified copy of the petition and order received from Sheriff of Crawford County.

So answers, Donald A. Marenchin, Deputy Sheriff
William H. Romine Jr., Sheriff

Served Joseph J. Besco within named defendant by deputizing theSheriff of Mercer County,PA, as per his affidavit hereto attached. Served: May 2, 1988

So answers Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 9, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, May 6, 1988, it appearing that an Order was entered in the court of Common Pleas of Trumbull County, Ohio, on May 18, 1977, under which general custody of Monica Besco and Matthew Besco was granted to their mother, Teresa A. Besco, with a general provisions for reasonable visitation rights in the father, and it further appearing that since that time, the children have lived essentially with their mother and crrently live onthe County Line Road in Crawford County Pennsylvania, and have continued to have visits with their father, who lives inthe area of West Middlesex, Mercer County, Pennsylvania, and it further appearing that at the children's request, the father took them at a facility of the Conneaut School District to his home and the mother has now instituted a proceeding in this Court asking that we find the father in contempt by reason of his conduct, and it further appearing that the father has instituted a proceeding in the Court of Common Pleas of Mercer County, Pennsylvania, for modificationofthe Ordr and has had an emergency hearing fixed before a Judge of that Court for May 20, 1988, and if further appearing that under the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act this Court has jurisdiction and the Mercer County Court may not have jurisdiction, but this Court is of the opinion that a determination as to who would hear the modification proceedings should await an opportunity for the Mercer County Court to act on May 20, 1988, and we would be willing to relinquish jurisdiction to the Mercer County Court on the basis of a convenience forum, and having discussed the matter in chambers with the children, both of whom seen to be mature and intelligent children for their ages, that it would be inappropriate for this Court to Order the children returned to the mother until such time as a hearing can be held, we enter the following Order:

1. Monica Besco and Matthew Besco shall remain at the residence of their father and stepmother until such time as a further Order can be entered after hearing either before the Mercer County Court or this Court.
2. The mother shall take the children for a visit over the Mother's Day weekend beginning Saturday morning at 10:00 a.m. and ending sunday evening at 7:00 p.m. during which time there shall be no improper efforts to influence the children concerning this matter.
3. While the Court does not condone the conduct of Joseph J. Besco in unilaterally taking the children in violation oftheCourt Order, we at this time decline to hold him on contempt because of what appears to be mitigating circumstances.
4. The children hav enot yet been enrolled inschool and there is at least some evidence that that is as a result of the mother's conduct and, therefore the mother is ordered and directed not to interfere with the enrollment of the children in the school district in which they are now residing with the father and to make no threats of retaliation against school officials or impede such enrollment, and the officials of Conneaut School District and the school in West Middlesex area, which the children would be attending, are directed to facilitate their enrollment in the school district in which they are currently residing.
5. The Court will consider setting a further hearing after May 20, 1988, if whatever may occur in the court of Common Pleas of Mercer County necessitates it.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

May 9, 1988 copies of order to Gerald Sullivan and John Calior

May 17, 1988 Transcript of Proceedings Held in Chambers.

1988

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs
and Youngs, PC
Christopher J. Youngs

395

ROBERT C. RIORDAN and
WALTER L. JOHNSTON,
individually and trading
and doing business as
TRIO TOOL AND DIE MFG.,
a partnership

VS.

KAY JAY TOOL, INC.
a corporation

Filed Apr 25, 1988
@ 4:14 P.M.

Tax & Entry paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	12.00
Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Pro Co Pd 10/4/88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

May 25, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Not found, as to within named defendant, Kay-Jay Tool, Inc. Business closed, residence appears empty.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. STewart, Sheriff

May 31, 1988, Complaint Reinstated.

July 25, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Kay Jay Tool, Inc. within named defendant, on June 1, 1988 at 2:28 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Sheriffy Kightlinger, wife of Paul Kightliner, owner of Kay Jay Tool, Inc. at their residence, 1110 Carmont Drive, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now Oct. 4, 1988 at 4:04 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with copy of default notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the palintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer, in the sum of Five Thousand Ninety and 25/100 Dollars, plus interest from date of judgment.
(includes costs of $70.50)
Judgment entered 10/4/88
K - 186

Debt - - $5,090.25
Int. from 10/4/88
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 6, 1988, notice of entry of judgment mailed to defendant.

396

1988

FULLER, KINNUNEN, PETRUSO
& GAMBLE
Gary A. Fabian

396

DOROTHY L. GEHR

vs.

EDWARD J. GEHR

Filed Apr 26, 1988
@ 2:59 P.M.

Tax & Entry Paid 40.50 ✓
2 counts paid 30.00 ✓
ProCo Pd - 8-12-88 9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION,
ALIMONY PENDENT LITE

1 copy (atty)

May 11, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. Gary A. Fabian, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that :

1. He is an attorney authorized to practice law w within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
2. He is the attorney for the p laintiff in the above-captioned matter.
3. On april 26, 1988, he had deposited in the United States Mail by certified mail, return receipt requested, Certified Mail No. P 414 752 690 as evidenced by Certified Mail Receipt No. P 414 752 690 attached hereto and made a part hereof, a true and correct copy of a complaint in divorce addressed to Edward J. Gehr said complaint in divorce naming Edward J. Gehr as the defendant in the above= captioned matter
4. Service was obtained upon the defendant, Edward J. Gehr, on April 28, 1988, as evidence by REturn Receipt for Certified Mail No. P 414 752 690 attached hereto and, and made a part hereof, said receipt being signed by Edward J. Gehr.

FURTHER, DEPONENT SAYETH NOT.

Gary A. Fabian

Aug. 10, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 11, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent Under Section 201(c) of the divorce code of Defendant filed.

Aug 12, 1988 Marital Settlement Agreement filed.

Aug 12, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Sept. 8, 1988 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

!. **Divorce;** UPon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. **Equitable Property Determination and Distribution:** This decree provides that the marital property of the above named aprties shall be divided in accordance with a Marital SEttlement Agreement dated August 10, 1988, executed by the parties and filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania at the above captioned number and term.

This agreement, in part, provides that defendant shall be the sole owner of jointly owned real estate in the borough of Conneautville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, as set forth in Paragraph 3 of the aforesaid Marital Settlement Agreement dated August 10, 1988; said agreement further provides that defendant will delier to plaintiff the sum of Twelve Thousand ($12,000.00) Dollars for her interest in and tothe subject real estate, upon receipt of which, the plaintiff will deliver to defendant a duly executed quit-claim deed to the subject property conveying her interest in and to the subject real estate.

Further, the division of marital owned tangible personal property shall be made in accordance with paragraph 5 of the Marital Settlement Agreement dated August 10, 1988.

3. A duplicate original ofthe Marital Settlement Agreement dated August 10, 1988, which sets out in further detail, the agreement of the parties concerning the matters herein, shall be filed with this decree in the Prothonotary's office at the above number and term.

4. No other matters or causes of action provided for under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code are reserved by either of the parties.

this Order shall be effective as of this 8 day of Sept., 1988.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Anthony J. Vardaro

397

Jane M. Earll

THE PLANT PLACE

VS.

ROGER RICHARDS

Filed Apr 26, 1988
@ 4:11 P.M.

Tax & Entry paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 7/20/88 - 5.00

WRIT OF CERTIORARI
Issued (atty)

Apr. 29, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

May 2, 1988 Transcript of Record of Hubert Vogan, District Justice, filed.

June 10, 1988, Appearance of Anthony J. Vardaro, attorney for plaintiff filed.

June 10, 1988, Upon praecipe of attorney for plaintiff, this case placed on next argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR JULY 1988

July 19, 1988, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked discontinued of record.

1988

SHAFER, SWICK, BAILEY,
IRWIN & STACK
James R. Irwin

398

KIMBERLY S. CASBOHM

VS.

JOHN W. CASBOHM

Filed Apr 27, 1988
@ 10:02 A. M.

Indigent filing

William Pineo, Mediator
Mediation Costs $42.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION
201(c) or 201(d), CHILD CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Apr 27, 1988 Petition by Indigent Party Under Pa. R.C.P. 1920.62 and Order filed: AND NOW, this 27 day of April, 1988, after consideration of the foregoing Petition of Kimberly Casbohm, alleging indigency, Petitioner is hereby granted permission to proceed as an indigent without the payment of costs, or fees in this divorce action, with this Court reserving, however, the right to allocate costs to either party at the time of the entry of a final order.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

May 9, 1988 Verification filed: I, JAMES R. IRWIN, ESQUIRE, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for Plaintiff and that as such, I am authorized to execute this Verification; that I did, on the 28th day of April, 1988 send by Certified Mail, Reburn Receipt Requested, Addressee Only, to John W. Casbohm, the above named Defendant, a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint filed at the above term and number at his last known address of 4121 Cleveland Avenue, Ashtabula, Ohio 44004, as evidenced by the attached senter's receipt No. P-547-801-414 and that on the 4th day of May, 1988 I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 30th day of April, 1988.

James R. Irwin, Esquire

July 29, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 14, 1988 Motion for Appointment of Custody Mediatior and Order filed. Order: And NOW, this 14th day of November , 1988 , upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the Mediator, William Pineo, at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse with the Child Custody Mediator as Required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

It is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the Court, the aforesaid minor child shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the Court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Nov. 15, 1988 copies of order to James R. Irwin and Wm. Pineo, attys.

Dec. 15, 1988 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 15 day of Dec. 1988, after mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the child cusotdy mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Legal and physical custody of the subject minor children is hereby awarded to their mother, Kimberly S. Casbohm.

2. The father shall have reasonable visitation rights as the parties may agree. If the parties cannot agree on visitation rights for the father, then either party may request the court for further mediation on this issue.

3.Each party shall teach the children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children and neither shall do or say anthing to blacken the character of the other in the eyes of the children.

4. The parties will share with each other any and all information which they may have regarding the children's health, education, and the major events in their lives.

5. The mediator has noted for the court that the mother is on public assistance and that this case was referred to Mr. Irwin by the Crawford CountyBar Assn., service to the public committee. Costs of this mediation, which are fixed at $42.00, are therefore assessed to Crawford County.

6. Either party may move the court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so mov es, this order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 16, 1988, copies to James R. Irwin, dft. and custody mediator Pineo.

May 10, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 10, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Mar. 14, 1989 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW,this 14 day of March, 1989, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between KIMBERLY S. CASBOHM and JOHN W. CASBOHM is her eby dissolved and the aprties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights, and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

ATWELL & MORROW
Mark F. Morrow

399

ELIZABETH A. WRIGLEY

ii VS.

HAROLD MARTIN WRIGLEY

Filed April 27, 1988
@ 11:33 A.M.

Tax & Entry Paid 40.50 ✓
3 counts paid 45.00 ✓
Pro co Pd 1/17/89 9.00 ✓
Pro co Pd 3/14/90 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY

1 copy---(atty)

June 2, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

Jan. 5, 1989 Acknowledge of Service filed. I, Harold Martin Wrigley, hereby certify that I was served with a copy ofthe Complaint In Divorce filed by the Plaintiff, Elizabeth A. Wrigley, on April 27, 1988, and reinstated on June 2, 1988 service having been made to me on the 11th day of June, 1988.
Harold Martin Wrigley

Jan. 5, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 5, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 5, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Jan. 5, 1989 Motion to adopt the Marital Dissolution Agreement and Order filed. AND NOW, this 24 day of Jan., 1989, in accordance with the foregoing Motion, the prayer of the same is granted and the Marital dissolution Agreement dated 12/14/88 is hereby adopted and made an Order of Court. A copy of this Order and Agreement shall be provided to the Domestic relations service.
By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Jan. 25, 1989 Copies to Mark Morrow and Dom. Rel.

Jan. 24, 1989 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, Jan 24, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that ELIZABETH A. WRIGHLEY, PL AINTIFF, and HAROLD MARTIN WRIGLEY, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
The court retains jurisdiction of the following claims which have been raised of record inthis action for which a final order has not yet been entered: NONE
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Mar.14, 1990, Notice of Electionto retake Prior Name filed.
TO BE KNOWN AS: ELIZABETH ANN ATWELL

400

1988

Robert Felton
Brian Lindsay

400

DEBORAH M. WATSON

vs.

DAVID A. WATSON

Filed Apr 27, 1988
@ 3:14 P.M.

Tax & Entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro. Co. Pd 7/29/77 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

April 27, 1988 Notice of Eligibility/Retainer Agreement filed.

July 7, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

July 29, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Robert J. Felton, Esq., 144 West Spring St., Titusville, PA., Atty. for Deborah M. Watson, Plaintiff in the above action, do hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed at the above term and number upon the Defendant, David A. Watson, 315 Bank St., Titusville, PA. 16354, by mailing the same to him by Certified Mail No. P-620 653 661, the same being posted at the Titusville, PA. Post Office on July 7th, 1988, the same having been received by the Defendant on July 8th, 1988, as verified by the return receipt attached hereto.

Robert J. Felton

July 29, 1988, Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(d) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Aug. 8, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, August 8, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

DEBORAH M. WATSON and DAVID A. WATSON

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

SHAFER, SWICK, BAILEY
IRWIN & STACK
James R. Irwin

401

PAMELA E. OLLIE

vs.

RICHARD A. OLLIE

Filed April 27, 1988
@ 3:29 P.M.

Tax & Entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 8-5-88 9.00
Pro Co pd 8/11/88 5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

May 11, 1988 Verification filed: I, JAMES R. IRWIN, ESQUIRE, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for Pamela Ollie, Plaintiff and that as such, I am authorized to execute this Verification; that I did, on the 28th day of April, 1988 send by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, Addressee Only, to Richard A. Ollie, Defendant a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint filed at the above term and number at his last known address of RD#2, Parker Rd., Springboro, PA-16435, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P-547-801-415 and that on the 4th day of May, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 2nd day of May, 1988.
James R. Irwin, Esquire

Aug 4, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug 4, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed:

Aug 4, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Aug. 5, 1988 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

1. Divorce:

Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the Bonds of Matrimony to the above named parties, onthe grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed fromthe date of filing of the Complaint and an Affidavit has been filed by each ofthe parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania divorce Code.

2. Collateral Issues:

No other collateral issues under the Divorce Code have been reserved by either party.

ORDER

This Order shall be effective as of this 5 day of Aug., 1988.
By Order of the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Aug. 11, 1988 Notice Of Election To Retake Maiden Name filed.
Pamela Ollie TO BE KNOWN AS: Pamela Gutelius

1988

SHAFER, SWICK, BAILEY
IRWIN & STACK
James R. Irwin

402

CULBERTSON, WEISS, SCHETROMA
AND SCHUG
David L. Hotchkiss

STARLITE DIVERSIFIED, INC.

vs.

SUPERIOR DOOR CORPORATION

Filed April 27, 1988
@ 3:30 P.M.

Tax & Entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's costs 31.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

May 19, 1988 Sheriff return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Clifford Simmons who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 3rd day of May, 1988, at 12:17 P.M., he served Complaint, filed at No. AD 402 term, 1988, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Superior Door Corporation at 2885 Spring Grove Avenue, Cincinnati, Ohio 45225 by personally serving Sharon Hronback - person-in-charge By making known the contents thereof to (see above) and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the complaint received from sheriff of Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Clifford Simmons, Deputy Sheriff
Simon L. Leis, Jr., Sheriff
SERVED within named defendant Superior Door Corporation by deputizing the Sheriff of Hamilton County, Ohio, as per his affidavit of service attached.
Served: 5-3-88
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 24, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug by David L. Hotchkiss, Esq. for Defendant filed.

Aug 16, 1988 Notice of Default Judgment filed.

Sept. 6, 1988 Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim of Superior Door Corporation to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

1988

BRUCE L. SMITH

403

PRISCILLA L. GESIN

vs.

DONALD C. GESIN

Filed April 27, 1988
@ 3:32 P.M.

Tax & Entry Paid $40.50

Pro Co Pd 12-7-88 9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c)
OF THE DIVORCE CODE

2 copies (atty)

Oct. 6, 1988, Complaint Reinstated.

Nov. 3, 1988 Acceptance of Servicefiled. Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1920.4(e), I, DONALD C. GESIN, Defendant in the above-captioned Divorce Action, hereby acknowledge acceptance of a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed in the above captioned case.
Date: October 29, 1988 Donald C. Gesin, Defendant

Dec. 7, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec 7, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec 7, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Dec. 22, 1988, **DIVORCE DECREE FILED**

AND NOW, December 21, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

PRISCILLA L. GESIN AND DONALD C. GESIN

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,

P. Richard Thomas, J.

1988

ALTOMARE & BARNHART
Joseph C. Barnhart

404

Bozic & Thomas
R. Charles Thomas,

ERIC C. BURT

vs.

CAROLYN R. BURT

Filed Apr 28, 1988
@ 12:59 P.M.

Tax & Entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 7/25/88 30.00
Pro Co pd 1/30/89 9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c)
OF THE DIVORCE CODE

May 4, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. Before Me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared Joseph C. Barnhart, esq. who did depose and say that he is the atty. for the plff in the above captioned matter and that he did serve a certified copy of Complaint in Divorce upon the dft. at her address by U. S. Cert. mail. Delivery of same being evidenced by postal ret. rec. P 620 643 161 dated Apr. 29, 1988, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

In Reference Whereof, I hereunto set my hand and seal this 3rd day of May 1988. Joseph C. Barnhart, ESq.

July 25, 1988 Answer and Counterclaim filed. (Equitable Distribution and Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees and Court Cost)

Jan 25, 1989 Defendant's Affidavit of Consent Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code filed.

Jan. 25, 1989 Motion For Withdrawal of Counts from Answer and Counterclaim and Order filed. And now, January 25, 1989 The within Motion is allowed and the Defendant is authorized and directed to withdraw Counts II and III from her Answer and Counterclaim and this case shall proceed to the Court onthe divorce alone.

P. Richard Thomas, J

Jan. 26, 1989 Copies of order to Joseph Barnhart and R. Charles Thomas

Jan. 27, 1989 Plaintiff's Affidavit Of Consent Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, filed.

Jan. 27, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Feb. 7, 1989 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 7 day of Feb., 1989, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Upon consideration of the peladings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned matter, the Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the aprties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.
2. No other matters or causes of actions provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code of 1980, as amended, are reserved by either of the parties.

BY THE COURT,

1988

Joseph C. Barnhart
Altomare & Barnhart

405.

CLIFFORD SHREVE AND
MAXINE J. SHREVE

vs.

DAN B. SHREVE AND
JETTIE L. SHREVE

Filed Apr. 29, 1988
@ 10:37 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00
plff on orig	9.50
Sat paid 3/1/91	5.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

DSB 1983 - 508

Writ of revival of judgment entered in judgment index against defendants in the amount of Fourteen Thousand One Hundred forty and 00/100 Dollars.

Debt..... $14,140.00
Int. from -----
S - 44, 94.....JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL
James F. Simmons, Pro

2 copies (atty)

June 2, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: Before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared JOSEPH C. BARNHART, ESQ., who did depose and say that he is the attorney for the Plaintiffs in the above-captioned matter and that he did serve a certified copy of a Writ of Revival as filed April 29, 1988 upon the defendant Jettie L. Shreve, at her address by United States certified mail. Delivery of same being evidenced by postal return receipt #P620 643 125 dated May 18, 1988 attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and seal this 1st day of June, 1988.
Joseph C. Barnhart, Esq.

June 3, 1988, Acceptance of Service of Dan B. Shreve, of the above revival of judgment filed.

Feb. 26, 1991 This Judgment Satisfied.
(See Payser filed)

Feb. 26, 1991, Appearance of McClure and miller by Eugene J. brew Jr. attorneys for plaintiffs filed.

406

1988

Elderkin, Martin,
Kelly, Messina & Zamboldi
Kenneth G. Vasil

406.

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY
800 Millcreek Mall
Erie, Pennsylvania

vs.

EUGENE L. MOOK AND PEARL MOOK, HIS WIFE

Filed Apr. 29, 1988
@ 11:13 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	30.20
Pro Co. Pd 6/21/88	9.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

May 25, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Eugene L. Mook personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on May 17, 1988 at 11:55 AM at his residence, R.D.#2, CenterRoad, Linesville, Crawford County, PA. SERVED Pearl Mook within named defendant on May 17 1988 at 11:54 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Eugene Mook, adult member of the family, namely her husband, at their residence, R.D.#2, Center Rd., LInesville, Crawford County,PA
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 21, 1988, at 1:57 P.M., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with copies of default notices attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for want of an answer; damages assessed in the amount of Four Hundred Sixty Four and 43/100 Dollars, plus interest at rate of 1.25% per month from April 1988 and costs of action
Judgment entered 6/21/88
M - 56, 136

Debt - - $464.43
Int. from April 1988
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Revived to AD1993-655

1988

407.

IN RE:
ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF
THE COUNTY OF CRAWFORD

Filed Apr. 29, 1988
@ 1:34 p.m.

ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE COUNTY OF CRAWFORD
FOR 1987

ORDER:

AND NOW, April 27, 1988, the prothonotary is directed to file the attached Annual Financial Report of the County of Crawford for the year 1987.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs PC
Christopher Youngs

408.

Francis Klemensic

8/3/88 Recd $60.00 from Defendant

8/9/88 Recd $60.00 from Plaintiff

Recd Sept 1, 1988
$60.00
Vivian Stoltz

Recd Sept 1, 1988
$60.00
Vivian Stoltz

JOHN B. SHOEMAKER

vs.

SANDRA L. SHOEMAKER

Filed Apr. 29, 1988
at 1:42 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

John Fuller, Mediator 120.00
9.00 ✓
Rec'd 8/4/88

COMPLAINT FOR CHILD CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Sandra L. shoemaker, defendant, have been sued in court to obtain custody of the child Rhett Shoemaker.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set for th below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Publ.Committee
310/312 Chestnut St, Meadville, Pa. 16335 Tele: 814 724-7719

Date: Apr. 29, 1988

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

June 17, 1988 Answer to Complaint for Child Custody filed.

July 25, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, July 22, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, and based upon an agreement of the parties,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Rhett Shoemaker shall be shared between the mother and the father, that is, each shall be entitled to full access to medical records, school records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part in important decisions affecting the child, such as medical treatment, education and religious instruction.

II. Physical custody of Rhett Shoemaker shall be with his mother, Sandra L. Shoemaker, subject to the following conditions:

(1) The father shall be entitled to visit and have the child in his custody from July 20, 1988 until Sunday, July 31, 1988, or Monday, Augustl, 1988, depending upon whether the father can make more suitable airplane arrangements on July 31 or August 1, 1988

(2) The father shall be entitled to have the child visit him and have the child in his custody for a period of time corresponding with the child's Thanksgiving vacation from school in 1988 and on even years thereafter. The father shall be entitled to have the child in his custody for a period corresponding with the child's Christmas vacation from school in 1989 and on odd years thereafter.

(3) In 1989 and each year thereafter the child shall visit the father for a two week period each Summer during the child's vacation from school. The parties shall communicate with each other regarding the child's employment and vacation and following such communication the father shall notify the mother and the child of the two weeks in which he intends to exercise the Summer visitation.

(4) Transportation costs for the child from the child's home in Florida to Crawford County and back to Florida shall be paid by the father so long as the father is not paying support for the child. In the event support proceedings are brought, the hearing officer or judge shall determine how these transportation costs are to be divided.

(5) At any time the father is in Florida, he shall be entitled to see and visit the child on a liberal basis.

III. Neither parent shall say anything or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand, shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

IV. Neither parent shall expose the child to any person or situation which would be potentially harmful to the child.

V. Both parents agree to contact the other parent as soon as possible in the event of any injury or emergency affecting the child and each parent agrees to supply the other with a current telephone number.

VI. This order shall be a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

Cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided between the parties.

P. Richard Thomas, Judge.

July 25, 1988 Copies of order to John Fuller, Christopher Youngs, and Francis Klemensic, Esqs.

1988

Spadafore, Vardaro
Walker and Johnson
Emil Spadafore Jr

409.

MARINE BANK

vs.

WILLIAM BRADLEY AND VICKY
L. BRADLEY, HUSBAND AND WIFE

Filed Apr. 29, 1988
@ 2:03 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 33.85
Pro Co pd 7/5/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

May 25, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED William Bradley within named defendant on May 19, 1988 at 9:18 AM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA 16335.
SERVED Vicky L. Bradley on May 19, 1988 at 9:19 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her authorized agent, William Bradley, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthosue, Meadville, PA, His signed Acceptance of Service attached.
So answers, Edgar D. HOuseholder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, July 1, 1988 at 3:02 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's Attorney, with Notice Of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the p laintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an Answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Forty-five Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty-four and 39/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
B-248-164 Debt $45,724.39
Judgment entered 7/1/88 Int. to July 1, 1988
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX 1988: 60

AMENDED

July 19, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED William Bradley personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on May 19, 1988 at 9:18 AM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
SERVED Vicky L. Bradley within named defendant on May 19, 1988 at 9:19 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her authorized agent, William Bradley, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA. His signed acceptance of service attached.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

410

1988

Bruce A. Barrett
Crawford County
Public Defender

410.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
EX REL RAYMOND R. GORZYNSKI

vs.

RICHARD GIGLIOTTI, WARDEN
CRAWFORD COUNTY JAIL
CRAWFORD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

Filed Apr. 29, 1988
@ 3:59 P.M.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Issued (sheriff)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 29th day of April 1988, upon consideration of the within petition and upon motion of Bruce A. Barrett, First Assistant Public Defender and atty. for the relator, it is directed that a Writ of Habeas Corpus ad subjiciedum be issued forthwith and a hearing be held on the 3rd day of May 1988, at 10:00 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 Courthouse, Crawford County, Pa. and that the prothonotary be directed to serve Richard Gigliotti, Warden of the Crawford County Jail, 360½ Center St, Meadville, Crawford County Pa. with the said writ or copy.

Notice of the said hearing shall be given forthwith to the Dist. Atty. of Crawford County.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

May 2, 1988, copy to District Attorney of Crawford County.

May 4, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, May 3, 1988, the Defendant is released from the Crawford County Jail on Writ of Habeas Corpus for the following reasons:

1. The sentence imposed is a single sentence of one hundred five (105) days relating to four separate offences and the sentence, either of fine or imprisonment or both, should have been entered individually as to the four separate items.

2. Since the Defendant's sentence was under the enhancement provisions of 75 Pa. C.S. 6503, which permits an imposition of sentence of a maximum of six months, it can no longer be deemed a summary under 42 Pa. C.S. 9756(c), even if a summary offense, which does not contain a minimun and a maximun.

The Defendant is remanded to District Justice Wayne Hanson to appear for resentencing at such time as the District Justice shall determine.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

May 5, 1988 Copies of order to Dan Mason and John Dawson, Esqs.

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Miller, Petruso &
Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

411.

KRISTI K. BARNES

vs.

DONALD D. BARNES

Filed May 2, 1988
@ 10:50 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
3 counts paid	45.00 ✓
Pro Co Paid 5-11-89	9.00
State Paid 5-11-89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES, AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

May 9, 1988 Affidvit of Mailing Complaint Under PA. R.C.P. No. 1124(b) filed. Ann Hansen Gamble, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and that on May 3, 1988, she sent a copy of the Complaint in Divorce the defendant by certified mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to Donald D. Barnes, 812 Church St., Titusville, PA 16354 the Defendant's last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto.

Ann Hansen GAmble

Apr. 17, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 10, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 10, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

May 23, 1989 **STIPULATION AND ORDER FILED.**

AND NOW, this 23rd day of May, 1989, the parties hereto having stipulated and agreed, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The parties hereto, Kristi K. Barnes, Plaintiff and Donald D. Barnes, Defendant are and are hereby divorced from the bonds of matrimony the same as if no marriage had existed between them.
2. The entire proceeds of sale of the home formerly jointly owned by Plaintiff and Defendant, located in the Fourth Ward of the City of Titusville, in the amount of $5,358.29, is hereby awarded to the Plaintiff, Kristi K. Barnes. This sum, presently held by Robert J. Felton, attorney for the Defendant, shall be paid to the Plaintiff forthwith.
3. The defendant shall be entitled to retain all of the proceeds of the terminationof a business partnership with Gary Clark known as Northwest Physical Therapy Services, the said proceeds of sale having already been paid over to the Defendant, Any sums of money which the Defendant may have paid to the Plaintiff for any reason which originated from the temrination of the business partnership are deemed to have been a voluntary payment and are not recoverable by the Defendant.
4. The 1986 Dodge Van currently in the possession ofthe palintiff shall be transferred to her sole and se parate ownership and shall become her sole and separate property. The Plaintiff shall assume any and all obligations which may encumber the siad vehicle. In the event that any payments shall be more than two (2) months in arrears the defendant shall have the right to make any such late payments as they fall due and to withhold the sum from the payments of alimony only which are due to the plaintiff under this Order.
5. The defendant shall be entitled to retain an Individual Retirement Account in an amount of approximately $4,000.00 and shall assume and pay any and all unsecured debts incurred during the marriage by Plaintiff and Defendant including but not limited to VISA, MasterCard,Pennbank, Northwest Savings, and medical expenses incurred prior to September of 1987.
6. The custody of the three (3) minor children of the parties, Kimberly Barnes, DOB, April 6, 1978; Aaron Barnes, DOB, April 24, 1981 and JOhn Barnes, DOB, March 27, 1986, is and shall continue to be joint and shared legal and physical custody with the primary physical custody of the children to be with the Plaintiff mother, Kristi K. Barnes. The defendant father shall be entitled to periods of physical custody as the parties shall agree. In the event that the parties cannot agree to an appropriate schedule of physical custody be accorded to the father, either of the parties hereto may petition any Court of competent jurisdiction and that without showing any change of circumstances.
7. The defendant shall pay to the plaintiff the sumof $280.00 per month alimony for herself. She shall be entitled to this alimony from January of 1989, until December of 1991, at which time the parties shall be entitled to a review of their circumstances by this Court to determine if an additional period of alimony should be accorded to the plaintiff. In the event that the parties are unable to reach an agreement, either party may petition this Court to consider whether any additional alimony should be awarded to the wife. Alimony shall end on the death of either party or on the remarriage of the Plaintoff or on her cohabiting with a person to whom she is not related by blood or marriage as set out in §401(c) of the Divorce Code of Pennsylvania.
8. The defendant shall pay to the Plaintiff the sum of $600 per month for the support of the minor children. This amount may be paid directly by the defendant to the plaintiff. In the event that the defendant falls in arrears in his obligation to make such payments, the plaintiff may petition any Court of competent jurisdiction for enforcement of this Order. The defendant shall be entitled to the exemptions for the children for Internal Revenue Service purposes provided that he has made all payrrents required of him under this agreement. In the event that the defendant fails or refuses to make all of the necessary and required payments, in any calender year, the plaintiff shall be excused from her obligation to execute the necessary exemption forms in a timely manner to entitle the Defendant to tkae the siad excemptions. The parties hereto have agreed and do hereby stipulate that they had intended for the children to attend college. The parties acknowledge that the circumstances at the time that the children are ready for post-secondary education cannot now be predicted or assumed and the obligation of each to assist the child in such post=secondary education or training shall be dependent upon the circunstances existing at the time that the children are ready for such training or education.

This Court shall retain jurisdictionover the parties only for purposes of enforcement and for thepurpose of reviewing the alimony to be paid tothe wife after 1991 in the event that the parties unable to agree between themselves.

9. Each of the parties hereto shall bear his and her own attorneys fees and Court costs.

Robert L. Walker, J.

1988

412.

ORDER TO SELECT 80 JURORS

Filed May 2, 1988

ORDER FOR EIGHTY JURORS TO COME AND SERVE
AS JURORS FOR COURT TO BE HELD COMMENCING
JUNE 20, 1988 at 9:00 a.m.

Issued (sheriff)

To the Sheriff and Jury Commissioners of Crawford County:
We command you, in your official capacity to draw from the jury wheel in accordance with the law, the names of 80 persons to serve as jurors in the court of common pleas of Crawford County Pa.; commencing June 20, 1988 at 9:00 a.m.
You, the sheriff, shall make an appropriate return as to how you have executed this order on the day and date aforesaid.
BY ORDER OF the Hon. P. richard Thomas, President Judge at Meadville, this second day of May 1988.
James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

July 20, 1988, Sheriff's Return filed. I do hereby make return that I have summoned the eighty persons whose names appear on schedule hereto attached to serve as Jurors at a term of court to be held in Meadville, Pa. commencing on Monday, June 20, 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff
The schedule hereto attached is a correct list of the above Jurors drawn by us.
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff
Nancy L. Hanes, Jury Commissioner
Dorothy H. Kennedy, Jury Commissioner

1988

Phillips and Galanter
Cary W. Valyo

Diane S. Landis

413.

NATIONWIDE INSURANCE COMPANY
AS SUBROGEE OF
WOODCOCK TOWNSHIP

vs.

CLIFTON L. ERB

Filed May 2, 1988
@ 11:35 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	21.50
Pro Co Pd 9-14-88	5.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

May 19, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED Clifton L. Erb within named defendant on May 5, 1988 at 3:03 PM by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Kara Erb, adult member of the family with whom he resides, namely his sister, at their place of residence R.D.#2, Box 405 , Saegertown, Crawford County ,PA 16433.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 1, 1988, Complaint filed.

August 5, 1988 Verification of SErvice filed. I, Diane S. Landis, Esq., hereby swear or affirm that I am attorney for the plaintiff above and that service of a copy of the Complaint filed by the Plaintiff was made upon the defendant Clifton L. ERb, at the address of c/o Mrs. Karen Whitacre Hopkins, RD #2 Box 405 Saegertown, PA 16433, on 8/2/88, by certified Mail No. P 324 377 817, the original receipt is attached hereto.

Diane S. Landis, Esq.

Sept 14, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiffs' Attorney for Voluntary Discontinuance, this case is marked discontinued.

414

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso and Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

414.

Wasson, Egan & Wilson
William H. Burwell

ROGER A. BRIGGS

vs.

JANET A. BRIGGS

Filed May 3, 1988
@ 10:36 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Prothd 8/31/90 —45.00 ✓

Pro Co Paid 7-26-91 9.00 ✓
State Paid 7-26-91 10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

May 13, 1988 Affidavit Of Mailing Complaint Under PA. R.C.P. No 1124(b) filed. Ann Hansen Gamble, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an attorney at Law, duly authorized to practive law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and that on May 4, 1988, she sent a copy of the Complaint in divorce the Defendant by certified mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to Janet A. briggs, % Charlotte & William Apel, 6 Hollywood Dr., Whitesboro, NY 13492 the defendant's lat known address. the said receipt being attached hereto. Ann Hansen Gamble

July 25, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

May 16, 1990 Answer To Complaint In Divorce and Counterclaims filed. (Marital Property, Alimony, Attorney Fees)

May 16, 1990 Certificate of Service filed. I, William H. Burwell, attrney for Janet A. Briggs, Defendant in the above captioned action, hereby cerity that I have served the Plaintiff with a copy of the Defendant's Answers and Counterclaims in Divorce by personally handing a certified true and correct copy of the same to her attorney Ann Hansen Gamble this day.
May 14, 1990 William H. Burwell

Aug. 30, 1990 Motion For Appointment Of Master filed.

Sept. 10, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, September 7, 1990, Bruce A. Barrett, Esquire, is appointed Master with respect to the claims of the Defendant relating to divorce, alimony, distribution of proper ty, counsel fees, costs and expenses. The parties shall deposit the sum of $750.00 for the Prothonotary with the Plaintiff depositing $500.00 and the Defendant $250.00.
BY THE COURT,
9/10/90 Gordon R. Miller, J
1 copy to each atty & Master

Mar. 14, 1991 Plaintiff's Affidavit under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Apr. 23, 1991, Motion to withdraw Claims and Order filed. (agreed to by both attys)
ORDER: And Now, apr. 22, 1991 motion granted.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.
Apr. 29, 1991 copies to Attys. Gamble and Wm. Burwell.

July 26, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(d) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Aug. 7, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, August 7, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that ROGER A. BRIGGS, plaintiff, and JANET A. BRIGGS, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
P. richard Thomas, S.J.

1988

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis E. Blystone

415.

COLONY SAVINGS BANK, F.S.B., FORMERLY FIRST FEDERAL SAVINGS OF ERIE, A DIVISION OF COLONY-FIRST FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION, FORMERLY FIRST FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION OF ERIE

vs.

DALLAS E. FOLMAR

Filed May 3, 1988
@ 3:08 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 6/17/88 9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy(atty)

June 8, 1988 4:12 P.M. Affidavit of Service filed. I, D. Rodriques, a Deputy Sheriff for the Parish of East Baton Rouge, State of Louisiana, being first duly sworn, say that I am over the age of 18 years and a resident of the Parish of East Baton Rouge, State of Louisiana; that I am not a party nor an attorney for either of the parties in the matter at hand. That I did serve a complaint in East Baton Rouge Parish, State of Louisiana, in person and personally upon Dallas L. Folmar at 10950 DArryl Dr., #21 at 7:21 A.M. on the 27th day of May 1988, by delivering to said person a n attested copy of said complaint endorsed with Notice to Defend.

David N. Rodriquez, Deputy Sheriff
Parish of East Baton Rouge, State of Louisiana.

June 15, 1988 AFfidavit of NonMilitary Service of Defendants filed.

And Now, June 17, 1988, at 2:34 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file answer, damages assessed in the amount of Twenty Two Thousand Six Hundred Ninety Six and 27/100 Dollars, together with interest on prin. debt of $4.324 per diem from 5/1/88. (Judgment includes late chgs. of $145.94;taxes of $666.76 int. to 4/30/88 of $1,279.82; atty. fees of $2,017.85, expenses of $500.00)
Judgment entered - 6/17/88 Debt - $22,696.27
F - 41 Int. from 5/1/88

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EXECUTION 1988 - 54

1988

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis E. Blystone

416.

COLONY SAVINGS BANK, F.S.B., FORMERLY FIRST FEDERAL SAVINGS OF ERIE, A DIVISION OF COLONY-FIRST FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION FORMERLY FIRST FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION OF ERIE

vs.

DANIEL E. NELLIS

Filed May 3, 1988
@ 3:08 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	34.45
Pro Co Pd 6/16/88	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

May 19, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Daniel E. Nellis within named defendant on May 11, 1988, at 2:30 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Margaret Thompson, adult member of th family with whom he resides, namely his sister-in-law at their place of residence, 211½ Beach Avenue, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 15, 1988 Affidavit of Non-Military Service of Defendants filed.

@ 3:30 p.m.,

And Now, June 16, 1988, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer, damages assessed in the amount of Seventeen Thousand Four and 84/100 Dollars, together with interest on principal debt at $3.6395 per duem from 5/1/88.
(late chgs - $42.48; excrow payments $452.42 Int. $553.20; atty. fees of $1,500.44; expenses $500.00 included)
N - 41
Judgment entered 6/16/88 Debt - - $17,004.84

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EXECUTION 1988 - 53

1988

Ferguson, Spataro & O'Shea
Thomas D. O'Shea

417.

JANICE M. KACZMORSKI

vs.

KENNETH C. KACZMORSKI

Filed May 3, 1988
@ 3:34 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
2 counts paid	30.00
Pro Co pd 3/17/89	9.00
State pd 3/17/89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

May 16, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford Co. Pa., on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated May 4, 1988. The Defendant acknowledged servcie on May 11, 1988 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Thomas D. O'Shea, Esq.

Mar. 10, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Mar. 17, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 17, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record - Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Mar. 17, 1989 Motion To Withdraw Counts In Divorce and Order filed. AND NOW, this 28th day of March 1989 the within motion is: granted. Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 29, 1989 Copies of order to Douglas Ferguson

Mar. 28, 1989 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, March 28, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that JANICE M. KACZMORSKI, plaintiff, and KENNETH C. KACZMORSKI, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso and Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

418.

FREDERICK D. WISE AND
SHIRLEY J. WISE, HIS WIFE

vs.

MITTIE FLEEK, HER HEIRS,
SUCCESSORS, AND ASSIGNS

Filed May 4, 1988
@ 8:48 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50

COMPLAINT TO QUIET TITLE

May 4, 1988, Notice of Action to Quiet Title filed.

May 4, 1988, Petition for Leave to Serve By Publication, and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, TO-WIT: this 4th day of MaY, 1988, upon petition of plaintiff, pursuant to Rule 430 (b) (1) to effect service upon defendants, her heirs, devisees, administrators, executors, trustees, successors and assigns by publication, it is hereby ordered that plaintiffs be permitted to serve all the same by publication of the attached notice one time in the Crawford County Legal Journal and one time in the Titusville Herald. BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

May 4, 1988, copy to A. Gamble, Esq.

May 27, 1988, Motion for Judgment and Order filed. WHEREAS, it appears and the court finds:

THAT a complaint in the above entitled action was duly served on dfts, by publication May 5, 1988.

THAT the time within which said Defendants were required to appear and plead herein has expired;

THAT they have not appeared herein;

THAT no answer, preliminary objection, or other pleading has been served or filed herein; and

THAT the default of said defendants has been duly and regularly entered; and

WHEREAS, the court being satisfied that the allegations of the complaint are true and that the relief asked for should be granted;

NOW, THEREFORE, on Motion of Ann Hansen Gamble, atty. for plaintiffs,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

1. That the plaintiffs own in fee simple and are entitled to the quiet and peaceful possession of that certain parcel of land situated in Athens Township, Crawford County, Pa. and described as:

On the south by State Road Rt. 77; on the West by land of C. J. Holcomb; on the north by land of C. J. Holcomb; and on the east by land formerly owned by Mrs. Belle Fleek, now owned by Walter Cross and Wife, being sixty-five (65) feet along the road, and one hundred thirteen (113) feet deep and having erected thereon a frame house and garage.

2. That the plaintiffs title to said real property is hereby forever quieted against any and all claims or demands of defendants, Mittie Fleek, her heirs, successors, and assigns, and any persons claiming under them to any estate, right, title, lien or interest in said real property.

3. That Defendants, Mitties Fleek, her heirs, successors, and assigns, and any persons claiming under them are permanently enjoined, and restrained from asserting any claim or interest in or to said real property or any part thereof.

Dated this 27th day of May 1988.

Robert L. Walker, J.

June 1, 1988, copy of order to Ann Gamble, Esq.

May 27, 1988, Affidavit of Service by Publication filed.

1988

Anthony J. Vardaro
Spataro, Vardaro,
Walker & Johnson

419.

Withdrawn
~~Brian Lindsay~~
Wm. Moore
Neal Alan Sanders

7/5/89 Paid $160.00 To Crawford County Commissioners Ck# 5157 Arbitration Fee

NORTHWEST LANDSCAPE CONTRACTING

vs.

RECREATION EQUIPMENT UNLIMITED, INC.

Filed May 4, 1988

Tax & entry Paid	$40.25
Arb. pd 3/28/89	15.00
Pro Co. Pd 7/5/89	160.00

APPEAL OF PLAINTIFF FROM JUDGMENT OF DISTRICT JUSTICE HUBERT VOGAN, 30 - 3 - 02

May 4, 1988, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

May 10, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, BRIAN LINSDAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Recreation equipment Unlimited, Inc., and that as such I am authorized to execute this affidavit; that I did on the 5th day of May, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested to Northwest Landscape Contracting a true and correct copy of the Notice to Appeal at its last known address of R.D.#4, Meadville PA 16335, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 446 365 352, and that on the 10th day of May, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 6th day of May, 1988.
I verify that the statements made inthis Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penatlies of 18 PA. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
5/10/88 Brian Lindsay

May 11, 1988 Motion for AMendment filed. And now, 5/11/88 The Prothonotary is hereby directed to strike the name of Northwest Landscape Contracting from the Appellant's box on Petitioner's Notice of Appeal and inset therein the name of Recreation Equipment Unlimited, Inc. P. Richard Thomas
May 11, 1988 Copy of order to Brian Lindsay

May 19, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, BRIAN J. LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Recreation Equipment Unlimited, Inc., and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 6th day of May, 1988, per sonally deliver to Deistrict Justice Vogan's office a true and correct copy of the Notice of Appeal and Praecipe to Enter Rule to File Complaint and Rule to File.
I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements hrein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Dated: 5/19/88 Brlian J. Lindsay

May 19, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, BRIAN J. LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Recreation Equipment Unlimited, Inc., and that as such I am authorized to execute this affidavit; that I did, onthe 5th day of May, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested to Northwest Landscape Contracting a t rue and correct copy of the Praecipe to Enter Rule to File Complaint and Rule to File at its last known address of R.D.#4, Meadville, PA 16335. The Rule to File Complaint was contained onthe same page and in the same envelope as the Notice of Appeal served on Appellee through Certified Mail, sender's receipt number P 446 365 352. Proof of Service ofthe Notice of Appeal and of the Rule to File Complaint was filed on May 10, 1988.
I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Dated: 5/19/88 Brian J. Lindsay

May 23, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, BRIAN J. LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Recreation Equipment Unlimited, Inc., and that as such I am authorized to execute this affidavit; that I did, onthe 16th day of May, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee ONly, REturn receipt Requested to Northwest Landscape Contracting a true and correct copy of the MOtion for Amendment of Appellant's name from Northwest Landscape Contracting to recreation Equipment Unlimited Inc., at its last knwon address of R.D.#4, Meadville, PA 16335, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 446 365 354, and that on the 20 day of May 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 19th day of May 1988.
I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Dated 5/23/88 Brian J. Lindsay

May 24, 1988, Complaint filed.

June 10, 1988, Preliminary Objections of Defendant to Plaintiff's Complaint filed. (2 copies - atty)

July 13, 1988 UPON praecipe of Attorney for plaintiff, Preliminary Objections placed on next argument list.

PLACED ON AUGUST 1988 ARGUMENT LIST.

Sept 16, 1988 Re: Defendant's Preliminary Objections, Memorandum and Order filed: AND NOW, this 14 day of September, 1988, the defendant's demurrers are denied. The Plaintiff is granted 20 days to file an amended complaint in compliance with the above directives.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Sept 20, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Lindsay and Vardaro.

Sept 16, 1988 Notice of Service of Plaintiff's Brief in Opposition of Defendant's Preliminary Objections filed.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 595

420

1988

R. Paul Rockwell

420.

NANCY L. GROSS

vs.

JAMES R. GROSS

Filed May 5, 1988
@ 11:09 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
Sheriffs costs	28.30
Pro Co. Pd 1/16/90	9.00 ✓
State Surcharge Pd.	10.00
Sheriff's Costs	38.80

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTIONS 201(c) AND
201(a)(6) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

May 24, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO James R. Gross personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Divorce to him on May 10, 1988 at 12:39 p.m. at his place of employment, WTIV Radio Station, Titusville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 15, 1989, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, filed.

Jan. 4, 1990 Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Jan. 16, 1990 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under section 201(d) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Jan. 25, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, this 24th day of January, 1990 upon the filing of a praecipe to transmit the record to the Court for the entry of a Divorce under Section 201(d) (1) of the Divorce Code and after review by the Court it appears that the defendant denies the allegation that the marriage is irretrievably broken.

Therefore, the Court hereby schedules a hearing under Section 201 (d) of the Divorce Code (23 PS 201 (d)) for the 8th day of March, 1990 at 9:30 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

Service of this Order shall be made upon the defendant personally or by first class mail, postage prepaid, to the defendant at his last known address by certified mail, return receipt requested, requiring a receipt signed by the defendant or his authorized agent. Service by mail shall be complete upon delivery. A return of service shall be filed by plaintiff's counsel before the date and time for hearing.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Jan. 29, 1990 copy of Order to R. Paul Rockwell and James R. Gross, defendant.

Mar. 30, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, this 29th day of March, 1990, the Court has been advised that there may not have been proper service of the Order and notice of hearing entered January 24, 1990 and therefore the Court reschedules the hearing.

We hereby schedule a hearing under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code (23 P.S. 201 (d)) for the 4th day of May, 1990 at 9:30 o'clcok a.m. in Courtroom No. 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

Service of this Order shall be made upon the defendant, personally, or by first class mail, postage prepaid, to the defendant at his last known address by first class mail, postage prepaid, to the defendant at his last known address by certified mail, return receipt requested wtih mail service requiring a receipt signed by the defendant or his authorized agent. Service by mail shall be complete upon delivery, not upon mailing. A return of service shall be filed by plaintiff's counsel prior to, or at, the date and time for hearing. The responsibility for making service upon the defnedant in the manner set forth above shall be on plaintiff's counsel.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 2, 1990 Copies mailed to R. Paul Rockwell

Apr. 24, 1990 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED James R. Gross personally on April 18, 1990 at 12:02 PM by handing copy of Court Order tohim at his place of employment, Radio Station WTIV, 150 West Central Avenue, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 8, 1990 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, May 4, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Nancy L. Gross, plaintiff, and James R. Gross, defendant, are divorced form the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

1988

Brian Lindsay

421.

JANICE K. PORTER

vs.

GLEN A. PORTER

Filed May 6, 1988
@ 9:34 a.m.

Tax & entry	$40.50
Sheriffs Costs	16.00

PETITION FOR RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

4 copies (atty)

TEMPORARY PROTECTION ORDER:

And Now, this 6 day of May 1988, upon motion of Brain Lindsay, Esq. atty. for Petitioner, and upon considerationof the within petition for relief and further, upon Petitioners' showing during an ex parte proceeding to the Courts' satisfaciton that there is an immèdiate and present danger of abuse tothe petitioner, the following Temporary Protection Order is herebyentered:

1. The respondent, Glen A. Porter, is directed and prohibited from:

a. attempting to cause or intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly causing bodily injury or serious bodily injury with or without a deadly weapon tothe petitioner,

b. contacting the Petitioner in any way until further Order of Court

2. Petitioner is permitted to proceed without payment of costs, costs to be determined by the court after hearing,

3. Custody of the parties' minor child, Melissa, shall be with the petitioner until further order of court.

A true and correct copy of this order shall be made on the respondent by the sheriff or by a competent adult in the same manner as a complaint in an action in equity. A true and correct copy ofthe order shall likewise be given to the State Police which has appropriate jurisdiction to enforce this order.

The Respondent is hereby advised of the right to be represented by counsel.

A violation of this order may subject the violating party to punishment for contempt.

A final hearing is scheduled for the 13 day of May, 1988, at 3:00 P.M. at C. R. No. 1 or 2, of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pa. 16335.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

May 6, 1988, Praecipe to Prpceed in Forma Pauperis, Petition for Leave to Proceed IN Forma Pauperis and order filed. ORDER: 5/6/88 Granted.
P. R. Thomas, J.

May 17, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 13, 1988, at the time set for hearing, the Defendant fails to appear and counsel for the Plaintiff advises they have not yet secured service. Accordingly, the Order heretofore entered on May 6, 1988 is continued indefinitely and upon service of the original petition, Court will entertain a motion to set a hearing.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard thomas, P.J.

May 18, 1988, copies to Brian Lindsay, Esq.

July 26, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Mark D. London, Dep. Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 25 day of May 1988, at 9:25 a.m. he served Glen Porter at No. AD 421 1988, Crawford County, Pa. upon dft Glenn A. Porter, in person at 329 Elk St., Franklin, Pa. 16323 by making the contents thereof to him and handing to and leaving with him the cert. copy of the Petition for Protection from Abuse Order received from Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff of Crawford County.

Mark D. London, Dep. Sheriff
So answers,
Gene Price, Sheriff, Venango Co.

SERVED Glen A. Porter within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango County, Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: May 25, 1988.
So answers,
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

422

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

422.

Ferguson, Spataro
and O'Shea
Douglas W. Ferguson

DORIS A. McBRYAR

vs.

THOMAS D. McBRYAR

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, CUSTODY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, FEES & COSTS

1 copy (atty)

Filed May 6, 1988
@ 9:38 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
3 counts paid	45.00
William Pineo, Mediator	102.00
Pro Co Pd 9-19-88	9.00
Pro Co pd 5/17/89	9.00
State pd 5/17/89	10.00
Mediation Costs	137.00
Pro Co Pd 10/31/89	9.00

May 9, 1988 Motion for Custody Mediator and Order filed: AND NOW, upon Motion by Plaintiff's Attorney, Bukac & Toomey, it is hereby ORDERED that William Pineo is appointed Custody Mediator and directed to schedule a conference between the parties and make recommendations to the Court respecting the parties' respective rights to custody and visitation with the minor children.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

May 10, 1988 Copies of order to Terry Toomey, Esq.

May 18, 1988, Entry of Appearance and Acceptance of Service filed. And now, come the atty. for the dft. Thomas D. McBryar, Douglas W. Ferguson, esq. and directs the Prothonotary to enter his appearance in this divorce Action.

And further, hereby accepts service ofthe plaintiff's complaint in divorce on behalf of the defendant.

May 19, 1988 Douglas W. Ferguson, Esq.

Aug 24, 1988, Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 24th day of Aug., 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the reccmmendation of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Legal and physical custody of the subject minor children is hereby awarded to their father, Thomas D. McBryar.

2. The mother shall have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody on alternate weekends from Saturday at 9:00 a.m. through Saturday at 9:00 p.m. and Sunday at 9:00 a.m. through Sunday at 9:00 p.m. the alternate weekends shall coincide with those which the mother has off from work. On the weekends which she does not have off from work, she shall have visitation from Friday at 9:00 a.m. through Friday at 9:00 p.m. and Monday at 9:00 a.m. through Monday at 9:00 p.m., provided that school is not is session, and when school is in session, from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Friday at 9:00 p.m.

3. The mother shall have visitation with her children every Christmas Eve from 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. During the children's Christmas vacation from school, she may have visitation with her children from 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. for any four days between December 26, and January, that she wishes to select. The parties shall arrange for these periods so that the children may visit their mother during the time when she does not work.

4. Neither party shall expose the children to the company of persons of the opposite sex to whom he or she is not related by blood or marriage between the hours of 9:00 p.m. and at any other time, each party shall be discrete, while in the preence of the children, in expressions of affection toward girlfriends and boyfriends.

5. Each party shall teach the children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the eyes of the children.

6. Neither party shall criticise the other to the children nor shall either party interrogate the children with respect to happenings in the other's household.

7. The Mediator has noted for the Court that the mother's present living situation is recent and there is some question as to whether it will be stable and long-lasting, which question my resolve over the course of time. Further Mediation may therefore be scheduled by either party in this matter with a view toward establishing whether or not a joint custody arrangement is feasible during the summer of 1989.

8. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $102.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case.

9. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug 29, 1988 Copies of order to Terry Toomey, Douglas Ferguson and William Pineo, Esqs.

Sept. 23, 1988 Motion For De Novo Hearing and Order filed. And now, September 23, 1988 De Novo hearing is set for the 26th day of October, 1988 at 1:30 o'clock p.m.,

Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Sept. 26, 1988 Copies of order to Terry Toomey, Douglas Ferguson, R. Charles Thomas and William Pineo

Oct. 28, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, October 26, 1988, the tiemporary order of Aug. 24, 1988, is adopted as the permanent order of court, provided however that the provisions of paragraph two thereof that require the children to return home between 9:00 p.m. on Saturdays and 9:00 p.m. on Sundays on the weekends wheen the mother has the children are vacated and on the weekends that she has the childre, visits shall run from 9:00 Saturday to 9:00 p.m. on Sunday.

This order is entered without prejudice to the right of the plaintiff to request a further hearing or mediation conference to be scheduled approximately durig the last motnth of the school year of 1989, at which time afurther examination of a shared custody arrangement will be made and/or an order will be entered for an extensive summer vacation visitation program.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L Walker, Judge

Oct. 28, 1988 copies to R. Charles Thomas, Douglas Ferguson and Wm. Pineo.

Oct 28, 1989 Exhibits filed with papers.

Dec. 12, 1988 Income and Expense Statement of Plaintiff, Doris A. McBryar filed.

Dec. 12, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 8, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 8, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 8, 1989 Motion For Return To Mediation and Order filed. And now, 8 May, 1989 After presentation and consideration of the above motion, this matter is referred back to William Pineo, the original Custody Mediator, pursuant to the Order of Court heretofore entered.

Robert L. Walker, J

May 10, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. Thomas, Ferguson and Pineos' box

4/3/88 Recd $51.00 From Defendant

9/19/88 Recd $51.00 from plff.

Recd Oct 5, 1988
$5.00
Vivian Stoltz

Recd Oct 5, 1988
$5.00
Vivian Stoltz

8/1/89 Recd $68.50 From Defendant.

Recd $68.50
Aug 1, 1989
Vivian Stoltz

10/31/89 Recd $68.50 From Plaintiff

Recd 11-2-89
$68.50
Vivian Stoltz

May 17, 1989 Motion For Withdrawal of Counts From Divorce Complaint and Order filed. And now, May 17, 1989 The Plaintiff is authorized and directed to withdraw Counts Two and Four of this Divorce Complaint so that this case may be submitted to the Court for disposition of the divorce. The Court retains jurisdiction over Count Three which involves the custody of minor children and in which an Order has been entered which provides for futher mediation if requested.
P. Richard Thomas, J

May 18, 1989 Copies of order put in R. Charles Thomas and Douglas Ferguson's box.

May 17, 1989 Marital Agreement filed.

May 17, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

May 30, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, May 30, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that DORIS A. McBRYAR, plaintiff, and THOMAS D. McBRYAR, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

July 14, 1989 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 13 day of July, 1989, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share lega and physical custody of the subject minor children, Christopher Schott and Valerie McBryar.
2. Each party shall share with the other any and all information which he or she may have regarding the children's health, education, and the major events in the lives of the children. Health Care, school personnel, and other persons or entities having confidential information about the subject minor children are authorized and directed to divulge to either parent any confidential information which said person or entity may have, except that which the affected child has legally requested be kept confidential from everyone.
3. For 1989, the children shall reside with their mother from July 1 at 9:00 a.m. through 9:00 p.m. of the second day previous to the opening of the children's school session, and with their father from 9:00 a.mm. of the second day previous to the opening of the children's school session for the autumn of 1989 through 9:00 p.m. of the seventh day following the closing of the children's school session at the beginning of the summer vacation of 1990. thereafter, the children shall reside with their mother each summer from 9:00 p.m. of the seventh day following the close of the children's school session for the summer vacation to 9:00 p.m. of the second day preceding the reopening of the school session in the autumn.
4. The parent not having physical custody of said children shall have the right of temporary physical custody on alternate weekends from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 9:00 p.m. The alternate weekends shall be set up so that the children will spend with their mother those weekends that she has off from work. The party not having physical custody shall also have the right to designate one evening weekday visitation per week from 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. Said party shall give the other party one week's notice of the evening visitation he or she wishes to have.
5. The mother shall have visitation with her chidlren every Christmas Eve from 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. During the children's Christmas vacation from school, she shall have visitation with her chidlren from 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. for any four days between December 26 and January 1, that she wishes to select. The par ties shall arrange for these periods so that the children may visit their mother during the time when she does not have to work.
6. The other holidays are defined as Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving, Easter, and Memorial Day. The mother shall have her children for visitation on Labor Day, 1989, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holidays. The visitation periods shall be from 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m.
7. Each party shall teach the children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children and neither shall do ro say anything to blacken the character of the other in the eyes of the children.
8. Neither party shall criticize the other to the children nor shall either party interrogate the children with respect to happenings in the other's household.
9. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $137.00, are divided equally between the parties with the share of each being assessed to him or her as costs inthis case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.
10. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J

July 18, 1989 Copies of order put in R. Charles Thomas, Douglas Ferguson and William Pineo's box and copies mailed to plaintiff and defendant.

Apr. 4, 1990 Petition To Adjudicate The Defendant In Contempt and For Imposition Of Sanctions and Order filed. AND NOW, this 4th day of April, 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a Rule is granted upon the Defendant to show cause, if any he has, why he should not be adjudicated in contempt by reason of his failure to comploy with the provisions of the Marital AGreement entered into by and between the Plaintiff and Defendant on May 3, 1989, and further why the relief requested by the Plaintiff herein should not be granted. Returnable and for hearing on the 25th day of April, 1990, at 10:30 o'clock a.m., in Courtroom No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 5, 1990 Copies of order put in R. Charles Thomas and Douglas Ferguson's box.

Apr 25, 1990 Order filed: AND NOW, April 25, 1990, the matter is before the Court on petition to adjudicate the defendant in contempt for failing to comply with the agreement regarding equitable division of marital assets in this divorce. The paragraph in question is Paragraph 7(2)(ii). It appears that a Mastercard (PNC National) has fallen in default in the past and as has Sears Discovery card. There is currently an approximate balance of $2,500.00 due on the Mastercard as a result of negotiations between the husband and Mastercard after Mastercard had notified him that because of default in payments, they were writing it off as a bad debt, and referring it for collection. The defendant now has an agreement whereby he pays $50.00 per month without interest to satisfy this negotiated settlement with Mastercard.

The Discovery card has an approximate $900.00 balance, and apparently has at time fallen in default in the past, but the husband is now paying $47.00 a month to amortize this debt.

The Court is called upon to interpret Paragraph 2 with the Plaintiff contending that the Defendant by missing any of the promised monthly payments on credit accounts violated the terms and conditions of this paragraph. The former husband's contention is that the agreement required him to make the monthly payments and only when proceedings were brought individually against the wife to collect the debt was he responsible to indemnify her for any loss incurred

Continued on next page.....

422
B

1988

DORIS A. MCBRYAR

VS.

THOMAS D. MCBRYAR

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 422(b)

of

AD 1988-422

****

The Court interprets the agreement to require the husband to make timely payments on these credit cards, which he has not done. The Court agrees that only when the wife becomes responsible or demands for payment have been made upon her would the husband be in violation of the Order and be responsible for reimbursing the wife for any payment she was required to make or any attorney fees incurred.

As the situation now stands, the husband contends he will faithfully make the payment on these two accounts so that the wife will not be troubled by collection calls nor will suits be brought against her because of his defalcations. This could arise because apparently these are joint cards and both parties are still technically responsible for payment.

The other credit accounts named therein apparently are not an issue at this tiem, but if the former wife wants relieved from responsibilty on other former joint accounts, it shall be her obligation to notify the creditors that she no longer wishes to be responsible on a joint account.

With the above interpretation, the Court cannot find that there is any reimbursement due the wife at this time, and so long as the payments are made without default, the Court will not entertain a further petition for contempt. If the Defendant fails to make the required payments and action is brought against the wife or threatened, the Court will entertain a further petition for contempt, because the defendant violated the conditions of the Order to make timely payments thereon.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

April 27, 1990 Copies of order put in Attys. Ferguson and Thomas' boxes.

1988

Cusick, Madden, Joyce and McKay
William G. McConnell

423.

Donahoe and Moskal
Thomas L. Donahoe

William J. Madden
for add'l dft.

7/17/90 Recd. $64.96
From Aetna Insurance Co.

7/17/90 Paid $58.96 to Cusick, Madden, Joyce + McKay advanced costs Ck # 5751

JOHN MUDREY AND ELVA MUDREY
HUSBAND AND WIFE

vs.

ROBERT OSBORNE dft
John Mudrey,
Additional defendant

Filed and issued
May 6, 1988 @ 10:43 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 18.46
Inc Co Pd 7/17/90 6.00

Jury trial

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff & Atty)

May 19, 1988 Writ Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Robert Osborne personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the wihtin Summons to him on May 9, 1988 at 2:20 PM at his residence, R.D. #5, Patricia Drive, Meadville, Crawford County, PA
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov 23, 1988 Complaint filed.

Nov 23, 1988 Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Dec. 19, 1988, Appearance of Donahoe and Moskal, by Thomas L. Donahoe, attorneys for defendant filed.

Jan. 9, 1989 Answer and New Matter and New Matter Pursuant to PA R.C.P. 2252(d) filed.

Jan 13, 1989 Preliminary Objections filed.

Jan 13, 1989 Notice of Depostion filed. (Robert Osborne)

Jan 13, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiffs' Attorney this case is placed on the Argument list.

Jan. 20, 1989, Amended Answer and New Matter filed.

On Argument List for Feb. 1989

Feb. 2, 1989, Notice of Change in Location of Deposition of Robert Osborne, filed.

Feb. 2, 1989, Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Amended New Matter filed.

Feb. 2, 1989, Motion to Withdraw Preliminary Objections and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 2nd day of Feb. 1989, upon motion of plaintiffs' counsel, the Preliminary Objections filed by plaintiffs attacking the New Matter originally filed, are dismissed and/or withdrawn.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas,J.
Feb. 2, 1989 copies of order to Wm.,McConnell and Thomas L. Donahoe.

Feb. 3, 1989, Appearance of William J. Madden, attorney for additional defendant, JOhn Mudrey, filed.

Feb. 3, 1989, Preliminary Objections of additional defendatn John Mudrey filed.

Feb. 22, 1989, Notice of Rescheduled Deposition, filed.

Mar. 14, 1989, Deposition of Robert Osborne filed.

Mar. 23, 1989, Reply to New Matter Asserted Under rule 2252(d) Joining JOhn Mudrey as An Additional Defendant filed.

May 1, 1989 Notice of Compliance With Discovery filed.

May 5, 1989 Upon praecip of Plaintiff Attorney the above-captioned case is listed on the next Trial List.

On Trial List for June 1989

May 31, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, May 30, 1989, on unopposed motion of Defendant Osborne, this case is continued until the October term. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.
June 6, 1989 Copies mailed to William McConnell, Thomas Donahoe and William Madden

Aug. 30, 1989 Request For Production Of Documents filed.

Sept. 7, 1989, Notice of Deposition of Joseph J. Conti, D. O. filed.

Sept. 7, 1989, Notice of Deposition of David A. Vermeire, M.D. filed.

On October 1989 Trial List

Oct. 9, 1989 Voir Dire of Additional Defendant filed.

Oct. 9, 1989 Voir Dire of Plaintiffs filed.

Oct. 10, 1989 Affidavit filed. I, JOHN W. HANNON, III, did serve a true and correct copy of the subpoena at AD 1988-423 to: Trooper Scott Gibson, Meadville Barricks, Murray Road Meadville, PA on 10-4-89 at 2:58 p.m. John W. Hannon, III

Oct. 16, 1989 Motion For Binding Instructions and Points for Charge filed.

Oct. 16, 1989 Points for Charge filed.

And now, Oct. 9, 1989 at 10:00 a.m., jury selected and sworn Oct. 12, 1989 at 9:47 a.m. as follows: 1. Esther Heath; 2. Tammy Fox; 3. Anne Turk; 4. William R. Smith; 5. Timothy Maruska; 6. Jack A. Kordes; 7/ Betty L. Godby; 8. Cindy McWright; 9. John Hunt; 10. Vonetta Mead; 11. Donna Baker; 12. William F. Walton; Alt. Melinda A. Bottles, twelve good and lawful persons who upon their solemn oaths which they have taken and at least 10 of them say Mrs. Mudrey entitled to $48,000, Mr. Mudrey entitled to $5,000. Donna Baker, Foreman

0-144 Oct. 17, 1989 Deposition of Josph J. Conti, D. O. filed.
Oct. 17, 1989 Deposition of David A. Vermeire, M.D. filed.

Continued on page 565

1988

Bruce A. Barrett

424.

WALTER A. SPOSKOSKI

vs.

SEPTEMBER BETH SPOSKOSKI

Filed May 6, 1988
@ 2:05 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 9/22/88 9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 2/24/89 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

May 12, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, ESQ., being duly sowrn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit Of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.
1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code was personally served upon the defendant at 965 S. Main Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania on May 9, 1988. Bruce A. Barrett

Sept. 20, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 20, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 20, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff ATtorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Sept. 28, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, September 28, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

WALTER A. SPOSKOSKI and SEPTEMBER BITH SPOSKOSKI

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either party of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Feb. 24, 1989, Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name filed. To Be Known as: SEPTEMBER BETH KETCHAM.

1988

R. Charles Thomas
Bozic and Thomas

425.

BEVERLY JO SOMMERS

vs.

WILLIS EDWIN SOMMERS

Filed May 9, 1988
@ 9:22 a.m.

Indigent filing
Tax + Entry Pd. 8-26-88. $40.50
Pro Co Pd 8-26-88-9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

May 9, 1988, Petition to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and Order filed. COURT ORDER: AND NOW, this 9th dayof May, 1988, upon presentation and consideration ofthe within Petition a hearing on the same is set for the 26th day of May 1988, at 10:30 a.m in Court Room No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pa. A Copy of this petition and order shall be served upon the said Willis Edwin Sommers who is directed to appear at the time and place of the aforesaid hearing.

1 copy (atty)

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

May 27, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 26, 1988, the plaintiff's petition to proceed in forma pauperis is granted.

The defendant appears at this time advising the court he does not wish to contest the divorce and rather than be brought back into court for payment of costs, he agrees that he will pay the costs, he agrees that he will pay costs in this case in the amount of $50.00 on or before June 24, 1988, and will pay the same to counsel for the plaintiff at his office.

At this time, the defendant advises counsel for the wife and the court that it is not his intention to contest this action and that he will sign an affidavit of consent as required by the Rules on or after August 9, 1988.

BY ORDER OF THIS COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

May 31, 1988, copies of order to R. C. Thomas, and dft.

Aug. 26, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. R. C. Thomas, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on May 11, 1988, he sent a true and correct copy of Complaint in Divorce, properly endorsed with Notice to Defend, file in above action, to defendant at defendants last known address of 489 Randolph St, Meadville, Pa. 16335 by cert. mail no. P414 739 199 postage prepaid, restricted delivery ret. rec. req. and that attached hereto is a duly executed ret. rec. card showing date of del as May 13, 1988. R. Charles Thomas, Esq.

Aug. 26, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 26, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug. 26, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for Reveiw Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Sept. 14, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, September 14, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

B E V E R L Y J O S O M M E R S and W I L L I S E D W I N S O M M E R S

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

426

1988

Specter Law Offices
Diane Clark Hoffman

426.

SAMUEL LEE WHITE AND LOIS A. WHITE, HIS WIFE

vs.

BYRON LEE DONOVAN

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

(Jury Trial Before Twelve Jurors Demanded)

Filed May 9, 1988
@ 11:05 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Cost $40.50
Sheriff's costs 46.30
Pro Co Pd 9/1/88 9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 9/19/88 6.00 ✓

June 13, 1988 @ 2:26 P.M. Sheriff's Return Filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Rosemary breder who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 1 day of June, 1988, at 2:00 P.M., he did not serve comp. & interr., filed at No. 426 Term, 1988, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Bryon Lee Donovan at RD#3 Box 222 Elizabeth. By making known the contents thereof to no such box number.

Rosemary Breder, Deputy Sheriff (Alleghney Co)
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff (Alleghney Co.)

Not found, as to within named defendant Bryon Lee Donovan, as per affidavit received from Alleghney County Sheriff Office. Claim no such Box #.

So Answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 17, 1988 Reinstated Complaint filed

June 28, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Byron Lee Donovan personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint and Interrogatories to him on June 27, 1988 at 11:38 a.m. at his place of employment, Titusville Hospital, 406 W. Oak St., Titusville, Crawford Co., Pa. 16354.
So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 28, 1988, Notice of Service of Notice of Default filed.

AND NOW, August 19, 1988 @ 11:01 A.M., Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney with Notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant for failure to appear or plead to the Complaint.
D-23

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Aug. 22, 1988 Affidavit of Non-Military Service filed.

Sept 19, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney this case settled and discontinued of record.

1988

David W. Pickens

427.

J. MICHAEL GRIFFIN AND
PAULINE M. GRIFFIN

vs.

RICK R. PRICE, TRACY PRICE
AND LARRY O. PRICE

Filed May 9, 1988
@ 2:02 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs	35.75
Pro co Pd 6-2-88	9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 1-19-89	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN ACTION OF MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

3 copies (sheriff)

May 24, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Larry Price within named defendant on May 12, 1988 at 2:45 pm by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Eileen M. Berkstresser, Secretary at his place of business, 1083 Park Ave., Meadville, Crawford Co. PA.

SERVED Tracy Price personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on May 12, 1988 at 3:39 p.m. at her residence, RD #4, Cochranton, Crawford Co. PA.

SERVED Rick R. Price within named defendant on May 12, 1988 at 3:40 p.m. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Tracy Price, adult member of his family, namely his wife, at their residence, R D #4, Cochranton, Crawford Co. PA.

So answers,
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, June 2, 1988 @ 2:50 P.M. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the same in liquidated as follows: Principal debt, Eight Thousand Eight Hundred Fifty and 20/100 Dollars; Late Fees, Three Hundred Fifteen Dollars; Reasonable attorney fees of 5%, Four Hundred Forty-two and 50/100 Dollars; Total, Nine Thousand Six Hundred Seven and 7/100 Dollars, Plus interest from 10/10/87 at $2.458 per diem.

P-144, 164, 104

Debt.....$9,607.71 + int.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

6-2-88 Judgment entered

EX 1988-52

Jan 19, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiffs' Attorney, this judgment is marked satisfied.

1988

Ferguson, Spataro,
& O'Shea
John F. Spataro

428.

SIGNAL CONSUMER DISCOUNT COMPANY

vs.

EARL R. SHERMAN AND
BETTY M. SHERMAN

Filed May 9, 1988
@ 3:32 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	37.00
Pro Co Pd 8-9-88	9.00

MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE COMPLAINT

6 copies (atty)

June 7, 1988, Complaint reinstated.

July 19, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: David L. Hawn, Deputy Sheriff of Ashtabula County, Ohio, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: that affiant is and was on the day he served the within Mortgage Foreclosure Complaint, Notice and Exhibits 1, 2 and 3, a citizen of the United State, over 21 years of age and not a party to nor interested in the within action: that affiant received the above papers on May 13, 1988 at 12:49 P.M. pursuant to its command, served the same to Earl R. Sherman by handing a true copy of it with the indorsements thereon to him personally on May 17, 1988 at 2:00 P.M. at 5841 Washington Avenue, Ashtabula, Ohio, 44004.

William K. Johnson, Sheriff
David L. Hawn, Deputy Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Geraldine Taylor, Deputy, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 1st day of July, 1988, at 10:55 A.M. he served a complaint filed at AD 1988-428, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Betty M. Sheriman at her residence, 8 Mindi Court, Erie County, Erie, Pennsylvania by making known the contents thereof to Betty Sherman and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford County.

Geraldine Taylor, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff

SERVED Betty M. Sherman by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: July 1, 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, August 9, 1988 @ 3:21 P.M., upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants, for failure to file an answer and damages are assessed as follows:

1. Principal Balance Due Pursuant to terms of mortgage-Sixteen Thousand Eight Hundred Eight and 81/100 Dollars;
2. Interest - Three Thousand Five Hundred Sixty-nine and 81/100 Dollars;
3. Reasonable Attorney's Fees - Three Thousand for a total of **Twenty-three Thousand Three HundredSeventy-eight and 32/100 Dollars, together with interest on the principal debt at the rate of 20% per annum from March 3, 1984 to the present.**

S-54, 24

Debt.....$23,378.32 + Int.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

8-10-88 Judgment entered.

EX 1988-74

1988

Gordon R. Miller

IN RE:

APPEAL OF JANET AND ROBERT HARRISON,
MINI AND HARDING THAYER,
ANNE AND WILLIAM HORGAN,
SALLY AND KENNETH SHIELDS,
FRANCES AND EUGENE HARMON,
DOROTHY LEGSTROM,
MARILYN MARAS,
RUTH AND WILBUR ANSTROM,
BOBBIE AND PHILIP ROYER,
ANN AND JOHN RAYNE,
DOROTHY AND JACK GLASSON,
JOAN AND ROBERT COTTON,
JUNE MALTBIE,
BARBARA AND JON RUHLMAN,
VERNA AND HORACE YATES,
CAROLYN AND GOERGE PEARSALL,
JANET AND BARRIE SMITH,
NATHALIE AND THOMAS CROSS,
GLORIA AND FRANK THOMPSON,
JUDY AND HARRY WHITE,
SUE AND KEN GRAHAM,,
BARBARA AND LEONARD LITTLE,
VIRGINIA AND RICHARD PETRO,
ABAGAIL AND ROBERT RUHLMAN,
KATHLEEN AND JAMES BEARD,
ALICE AND ROBERT PIERSON,
JUDY AND DICK HALL,
PAT AND RON BRUBAKER,
ELLIE AND TOM FINTA,
JUDY AND JACK McKIBBEN,
KAROLE AND DOANE BAILEY,
CAROL AND ALAN DONLEY,
TISH AND BILL KOEPF,
ANN AND J. ROBERT McCLARAN,
MARGARET AND MYRON TUTA,
JANE AND CHARLES CURTISS,
LOUISE AND JACK LUDWIG,
SALLY AND JON ANSTROM,
NANCY BROWN,
WYN NELLE AND JAMES WRIGHT,
BERTIE AND JOHN WERELY
JACK DARNELL,
PAT PROCTER,
MARY AND PHIL KOEPF,
MRS. JOHN WILLOUGHBY,
CAROLINE AND TOM GILLILAND,
VIRGINIA AND RICHARD PETRO,
PHYLLIS AND DAVID HULTON,
BARBARA AND JOEL RIDGE,
MARIEL CONNELL,
NEDRA LEWIS,
MARJORIE AND JOHN SWARTZ,
JACKIE AND GEORGE CUMMINGS,
DOROTHY AND LEWIS McCARTY,
CAROLYN AND EDWARD WERELY,
FRANCES AND DONALD COURTSAL,
DOLORES AND LUD LEKSON,
MARGARET AND GERALD KING,
CHARLOTTE AND SAL MILANI,
SANDRA AND DAVID KUNTZ,
BRIDGET AND ROBERT FRYMIER,
IRENE AND TERRY PATRICK,
ANNE AND JACK BORLAND,
BETSY AND ROBERT SCHER,
CAROLE AND THOMAS SANKEY,
Le VAUGHN AND DONALD BLASIER,
ANN AND JAMES MUSS,
DORIS AND CHRIS ZIMERMAN,
CAROL AND KIM RUSHWORTH,
LOREE AND DONALD MELONIO,
ROSEMARY AND LUCIANO SCALA,
CONNIE AND DON DeSPLINTER
SKEIE AND LASZLO ECSY,
JOAN AND HERBERT JESTEL

429,

Anthony J. Vardaro
Solicitor

FROM

THE BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS
OF CRAWFORD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Filed May 10, 1988
@ 9:11 a.m.

PETITION FOR APPEAL FROM THE BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS

May 25, 1988 Answer To Assessment Appeal Petition filed.

1988

Cauley and Conflenti
Franklyn E. Conflenti

430.

Wayman, Irvin & McAuley
Michael L. Magulick

TRUDY J. SIME

vs.

RICHARD J. OBERMEIER, D.M.D.

Filed May 10, 1988
@ 11:45 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	21.00
Pro Co. PD.8-23-90	6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

May 24, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Richard J. Obermeier personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Summons to him on May 12, 1988 at 12:05 P.M. at 1058 South Main Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa 16335.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 27, 1988 Complaint In Civil Action filed.

August 5, 1988 Appearance of Wayman, Irvin & McAuley by Michael L. Magulick, Attorney for Defendant filed.

Mar. 7, 1989, Answer and New Matter filed.

Mar. 31, 1989, Reply to New Matter filed.

May 4, 1989 Notice of Deposition of Richard J. Obermeier, D.M.D. filed.

Feb. 8, 1990, Notice of Deposition of Trudy J. Sime, filed.

July 26, 1990 Notice of Deposition for Use at Trial fo Dr. Steven Snyder filed.

August 23, 1990 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney this case marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

D.S. Mazzotta &
Associates, P.C.
Gregory Whitney

431.

MELLON BANK, N.A.

vs.

LENA POULOS

Filed May 10, 1988
@ 12:23 p.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$9.00 ✓
plff on orig	9.50 ✓

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1982 - 968

The undersigned hereby agrees that judgment entered on 12/8/82 be revived and lien continued; Prothonotary indexed the judgment of revival in the amount of Seven Thousand Three Hundred Eighty One and 77/100 plus costs.

Debt - - $7,381.77
Dated April 30, 1988
P --- 101 JUDGMENT - entered May 10, 1988
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Aug 30, 1988 This Judgment Satisfied
(see paper filed)

1988

Joan D. Mason
Mason and Mason

432.

Douglas W. Ferguson

ROBIN MUMAU

vs.

DAVID MUMAU, SR.

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Filed May 10, 1988
@ 2:00 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
1 count pd.4/10/88- 10.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 5-12-88 - 5.00 ✓
John Fuller, Mediator 120.00
Pro Co pd 10/21/88 30.00 ✓
State Paid 7-25-91 10.00
Pro Co Paid 7-25-91 9.00 ✓

June 6, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq. atty. for plff in the above case, and being duly sworn, depose and say that I did on May 17, 1988 maile to above dft. by cert. mail P 547 808 371 ret. rec. req. del. to addressee only, a complaint n above action. said notice was received by David Mumau, Sr. on May 19, 1988 as indicated by ret. rec. card which was returned to office of plff atty. and attached hereto.
Joan D. Mason, Esq.

July 12, 1988 Motion to appoint custody mediator and Order filed. And now, July 14, 1988, John Fuller appointed Custody Mediator. Robert L. Walker, J
July 15, 1988 Copies of order to Joan Mason and John Fuller

Sept 1, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, September 1, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:
Custody of the children shall remain with the mother and father, as is presently the case, inasmuch as the parents are not considered to be legally or factually separated.
This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thiry (30) days of the date hereof.
Robert L. Walker, J.
Sept 1, 1988 Copies of order to Joan Mason and John Fuller, Esqs.

Sept. 2, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Sept. 2, 1988, the order of Sept. 1, 1988 is amended as follows:
Costs of mediation in the sum of $120.00 shall be paid by Crawford County.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.
Sept. 6, 1988, copies of order to Attys. J. Mason, D. Ferguson and J. Fuller.

Sept. 28, 1988 Motion to schedule a de novo hearing and Order filed. And now, 9/28 1988 Hearing set Oct. 20, 1988 2PM Ct Rm 1. P. Richard Thomas
Sept. 30, 1988 Copies of order to Joan Mason, Douglas Ferguson and John Fuller

Oct. 20, 1988 Petition For Temporary Custody filed.

Oct. 21, 1988 Petition For A dditional Remedies filed. (Equitable Distribution and Alimony Pendente LIte, Counsel Fees and Court Costs)

Oct. 21, 1988 Motion to Schedule hearing on A.P.L. and Order filed. And now, 10/21 1988 Nove. 28, 1988 9:30 A. P. Richard Thomas
Oct. 21, 1988 Copies of order to Joan Mason and Douglas Ferguson

Oct. 21, 1988 Petition For Special Relief Under Section 402(h) and Order filed. AND NOW, this 21 day of October, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition for Special Relief Under Section 401(h), hearing is set for the 28 day of NOv., 1988, at 9:30 o'clock A.M., in Courtroom #1, Crawford County Courthosue, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
Per Curiam,
P. Richard Thomas, J
Oct. 21, 1988 Copies of order to Joan Mason and Douglas Ferguson

Oct. 24, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, October 20, 1988, the matter is before the Court on a request for a de novo hearing in a custody action. It appears that on September 1, 1988, Judge Robert L. Walker, on recommendation of the Custody Mediator, accepted the recommendation of the Mediator and there has been no factual change since that Order was entered.

The parties continue to live together in the same household with their two children and the primary thrust of the mother's custody action is that she is only partially employed but can secure subsidized housing at Forest Green if she procures a Court Order granting her custody of one or both of the two children. Thus, the Court views the situation as a desire by the mother to secure custody of the children as being the most desirable parent under all the circumstances, but also to secure the children so she will have a place to live if she leaves the common household.

The Court is advised and the records seem to indicate that the mother has some mental health problems and has been counseling at the Mental Health Center and it is alleged by the father that the mother allegedly had an extra-marital affair during the summer months.

The posture of the father is he has no obligation to move, that he provides a proper household and finances the same for his wife and children and that he is not desirous of having the children move out of the home where they were raised and take up residence elsewhere. The father's concern is that while he works in Oil City, Pennsylvania, he could make the necessary arrangements for care of the children in his absence.

Under all the peculiar facts of this case, the Court does not believe the matter is ripe at this time for a custody action between the parties, particularly when the prime motivating factor behind the request for custody is for the mother to secure her own separate living arrangements.ACCORDINGLY, the Court refuses to act on the custody request at this time and the children shall remain in the custody of both parents.

After the entry of the above Order, counsel for the mother presents a petition for temporary custody, contending that when the father comes home from work he removes the children from the household and the mother has inadequate time to see or share time with the children. The Court denies to entertain this petition but will file the same with the papers in this case.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,

P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Oct. 25, 1988 Copies of order to Joan D. Mason, Douglas W. Ferguson, and John Fuller, esqs.

Continued on Page 432 (a).....

Robin Mumau

VS.

David Mumau, Sr.

Record Continued from Page 432

OF

AD 1988 - 432

****

May 22, 1989 Consent Custody Order and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 19 day of May, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing attached Consent Custody Order, entered into between the parties, an Order is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the attached Consent Custody Order.

Per Curiam
P. Richard Thomas, J.

May 24, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. Joan Mason, Douglas Ferguson and John Fuller.

Aug. 30, 1990 Petition For Modification Of Custody and Order filed. AND NOW, this 29 day of Aug., 1990, upon consideration of the attached Petition, this matter is referred back to the custody mediator, John Fuller.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Aug. 31, 1990 Copies of order put in Attys. Mason, Ferguson and Fuller's box.

Sept. 20, 1990 Affidavit of Acceptance of Service of Douglas Ferguson, esq. filed

June 27, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

July 25, 1991 Affidavit of Consent filed. (Plaintiff)

July 25, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code this case is moved to court.

July 29, 1991 **S T I P U L A T I O N A N D D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 29th day of July, 1991, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE: Upon consideration of pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably brokenand ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the fil ing of the complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. MOBILE HOME: Plaintiff shall transfer all of her right, title and interest in the 1978 fourteen by seventy (14' x 70') Holly Park mobile home, to the Defendant, in exchange for immediate cash payment of th esum of One thousand five hundred and no cents ($1,500.00). In connection with said mobile home, the defendant assumes responsibility for any lot rent, debt owed to First Seneca bank of Sharon or any other creditor in c onnection with said mobile home, and he is furthermore responsible for any and all taxes or other obligations or liabilities in connection with said mobile home. Any costs of the transfer of said mobile home shall be his responsibility. In the event that the Defendant defaults on any payment in connection with said mobile home, and that the creditor seeks payment from the Plaintiff, the Defendant shall be responsible for any costs to the Plaintiff in regard to the debt on said mobile home, including attorney fees she may incur to protect herself from said debt.

3. MOTOR VEHICLES: Plaintiff shall retain the 1986 Chevrolet station wagon, and Defendant shall immediately transfer any interest he may have in said vehicle, to the Plaintiff. The transfer of said vehicle shall be at the Plaintiff's expense. said vehicle is debt free, Defendant having paid the remaining balance during the summer of 1990.

Defendant shall retain the 1982 Chevrolet Chevette automobile, titled to him alone, without any claim from the Plaintiff.

4. TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY: Each party shall retain those items of tangible personal property now in his or her respective possession, free and clear from any claim of the other party hereto.

5. BANK ACCOUNTS: Plaintiff hereby relinqueshes any claim she may otherwise have had, to the Mellon Bank joint savings account, which was utilized by the defendant alone, to the extent of approximately thrity five hundred to four thousand dollars ($3,500.00 to $4,000.00), shortly after seperation. Plaintiff shall be entitled to retain any balance that existed in the smaller Mellon Bank savings account at the time of seperation.

6. WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS: Neither party hereto shall require the other party hereto to file an inventory and appraisement as would otherwise have been required by the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Each par ty is aware of the debts and assets acquired during the marriage.

7. WAIVER OF CLAIMS: Neither party hereto shall make any claim against the other party hereto, except for enforcement of the rights and responsibilities set forth in this decree.

8. COURT COSTS: Each party shall be responsible for his or her own attorney fees and court costs in connection with this litigation.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

432 (b)

1989

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

~~McFate, McFate, McFate, Williams and McFate~~
~~John E. McFate~~ withdrawn

433.

NEENA VAN CAMP MURRAY

vs.

JAMES B. SCHWAB
CYNTHIA L. SCHWAB

Filed May 10, 1988
@ 2:43 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

Sheriff's Costs 48.60

COMPLAINT IN EJECTMENT, ASSUMPSIT

2 copies (sheriff)

June 2, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED James B. Schwab personally, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on May 27, 1988 at 11:35 A.M. at his residence, R.D. #1, Titusville, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED Cynthia L. Schwab within named defendant on May 27, 1988 at 11:36 A.M. by handiang a true copy of the within Complaint to James B. Scwab, adult member of her family, namely her husband, at their residence, R.D.#1, Titusville, Crawford Co., Pa.

So answers, E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr. 19, 1989, Appearance of Altomore and Barnhart, by Joseph C. Barnhart, Esq., attorneys for plaintiff, filed.

May 8, 1989 Withdrawal of Appearance of McFate, McFate & McFate by John E. McFate for Plaintiff filed.

1988

Joseph F. Kulwicki

434.

KEITH N. HENRY, III

vs.

MICHELLE A. HENRY

Filed May 10, 1988
@ 3:09 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Prob Rd. 7/12/88- $5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

2 copies (atty)

May 12, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. DEBRA A. SHOOK, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an employee of Joseph F. Kulwicki Esq., and that as such on May 10, 1988, she personally served a certified copy ofthe Divorce Complaint in the matter above captioned, upon the defendant, Michelle A. Henry, at 891 Grove Street, Meadville, PA, at approximately 3:20 p.m. by handing her same.
FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT. Debra A. Shook

June 13, 1988, Motion to Discontinue Action and Order filed.
ORDER: AND NOW,June 13, 1988, MOtion Allowed.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.
June 13, 1988, copy of order to J. Kulwicki, Esq.

1988

Lawrence R. Wieder

435.

Bozic and Thomas
David P. Truax

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

RICHARD L. BROWN

Filed May 10, 1988
@ 4:08 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
on 5/11/88

Pro Co Pd 11-14-88 30.00 ✓

✓ PETITION FOR APPEAL FROM ORDER OF DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SUSPENDING CERTIFICATE OF APPOINTMENT AS AN OFFICIAL INSPECTION STATION

1 copy (atty)

ORDER:
AND NOW, May 10, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing petition and upon motion of David P. Truax, Esq. atty. for petitioner, a hearing is granted to determine whether the action of the Dept. of Transportation in suspending the Certificate of appointment as Official Inspection Station ofthe Petitioner should be set aside.

The above matter is set for hearing on the 2nd day of August 1988, at 9:00 a.m.at the Court House, Meadville, Pa. in C. R. No. 1 or 2.

Petitioner is directed to give sixty (60) days written notice of the within proceedings to the Com. of Pa. Dept. of Trans. at Harrisburg 17123 by cert. mail return receipt requested. of the within petition and order.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

May 11, 1988, copy of order to Atty. Truax.

✓ Aug 3, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, August 2, 1988, after hearing, the Court take the appeal under advisement and supplies copies of a recent Supreme Court decision in Adair versus Liquor Board to counsel and asks counsel to file a limited brief comparing the appeal sections of the Liquor Code with the appeal sections of the Vehicle Code applicable here. The Court is interested in whether the analogy of Adair applies to the case now before the Court to the end that the Court, upon finding the same facts, could modify the penalty imposed by the Department.

The Court requests a brief memorandum from each counsel on their positions on this matter by September 1, 1988.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Aug 3, 1988 Copies of order to Melissa K. Dively and David Truax, Esqs.

✓ Oct. 21, 1988 RE: APPEAL FROM SUSPENSION OF OFFICIAL INSPECTIONSTATION Memorandum and Order filed. And Now, October 21, 1988, after de novo hearing, the suspension imposed by the Department of Transportation, Bureau of Motor Vehicles, upon Richard L. Brown, trading as Titusville Lincoln-Mercury, AMC, Inc OIS No. 338, are hereby set aside. The defendant's appeal is sustained and we direct the Department to reinstate the defendant's inspection license.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Oct. 21, 1988 Copies to Melissa Dively and David Truax

✓ Oct. 21, 1988 Exhibits filed.

✓ Nov 14, 1988 Notice of Appeal to Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania and Proof of Service filed. (In conformity with Rule 1922 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure).

Nov. 21, 1988, Notice of Appeal Docketing at 2679 CD 1988 filed.

Jan. 31, 1989, Transcript of Proceedings Taken at time of Hearing filed.

Feb 1, 1989 Order from Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania filed: January 30, 1989, the above action is hereby withdrawn and discontinued , by order of

Lawrence R. Wieder
Assistant Counsel

1988

Louis P. Vitti

436.

FIRST INVESTMENT COMPANY

vs.

DAVID C. PORTER AND ELAINE G. PORTER, HIS WIFE
SHIRLEY PORTER

Filed May 11, 1988
@ 10:47 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs $31.25
Sheriff's Costs 25.00
Sheriff's Costs 21.75
fee to pd 10/27/88 9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 12/19/88 9.00 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 29.25

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

June 13, 1988 @ 2:27 P.M. Sheriff's Return Filed. Not Found as to within named defendant David C. Porter. Several attempts made at residence, notes left, no response. Not Found as to within named defendant Elaine G. Porter. Moved to RD #3 Box 251 Conneaut Lake, PA; Then moved to Trailer Park in Hartstown; then moved again with no forwarding address.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff.

June 30, 1988, Complaint Reinstated.

Aug. 3, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED David C. Porter, personally, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure to him on July 22, 1988 at 2P.M. at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335.
Not Found as to within named defendant Elaine G. Porter, former wife, Moved no forward at post office.
So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug 10, 1988 Petition for Service Pursuant to Rule 430 and the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure 400, Et Seq. and Order filed: AND NOW, this 12th day of August, 1988, it appearing to the Court that the Sheriff has been frustrated in service of process, it is Ordered Adjudged and Decreed that service of the Complaint and all subsequent documents be accomplished by ordinary mail by Plaintiff and by posting the property by the Sheriff in order to effect compliance with Rule 400, et seq. and Rule 3129.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Aug 15, 1988 Copies of order to Louis Vitti, Esq.

Aug. 22, 1988 Praecipe to Reinstate Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure filed.

Aug. 22, 1988 Certification of Mailing filed

Sept. 20, 1988, Complaint Reinstated

Sept. 28, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. On September 21, 1988 at 10:50 AM, posted the within property, located at R.D. #3, Box 316, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sher.
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 21, 1988, Amended Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure filed. 2 copies (sheriff)

Oct. 21, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff, To Reinstate Complaint by Adding Party Defendant, Shirley Porter added as party defendant, and complaint reinstated.

And Now, Oct. 24, 1988 at 1:23 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Affidavit of Non Military Service Act of 1940 attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Thirty-three Thousand Seven Hundred Ninety-six and 39/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
P-44-54 Debt. $33,796.39
judgment entered 10/24/88 Judgment
notice of entry of judgment mailed 10/26/88 James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Nov 23, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: ON October 25, 1988 at 3:40 P.M., Posted the property of David C. Porter and Elaine G. Porter, his wife and Shirley Porter at R.D.#3, Box 316, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, Pa.
NOT FOUND, as to within named defendant Shirley Porter. Moved, no forward at Post Office.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Dec. 19, 1988, at 4:06 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, and with non military affidavit attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant Shirley Porter only, in default of an answer, damages assessed in the amount of Thirty Three Thousand Seven Hundred Ninety Six and 39/00 Dollars, together with interest and costs.
judgment entered - 12/19/88 Debt - $33,796.39
P - 154
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Dec. 19, 1988, Notice of entry of judgment mailed to defendant.

EXECUTION 1988 - 118

1988

William J. Gregg

437.

Frank S. Anthony
for dft. Bird Inc.

Terry Toomey
Ron Campbell

Brian J. Lindsay
Bird & Son, Inc.

4/21/89 Reed $160.00
Arbitrators Fee
4/21/89 Paid $160.00 To
Crawford Co. Commissioners
Refund Arbitration Fee
Ck #5027

JOSEPH YOURCHISIN

vs.

BIRD & SON INCORPORATED AND
RON CAMPBELL

Filed May 11, 1988
At 2:17 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	37.96
Pro Co Pd 12/6/88	15.00
Pro Co Pd 3-27-90	9.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

May 24, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. WILLIAM J. GREGG, ESQ., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Services are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief.
1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff inthe above captioned Action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint was sent by registered mail to the Defendant, Bird and son Incorporated, May 15, 1988.
3. The registered mail was sent to Bird and Son Incorporated Pleasant street, Norwood, MASS 02062. The senders receipt is attached hereto.
4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on May 19, 1988. Said receipt card number P 725 345 697 is attached hereto and signed by J. Niddick.
William J. Gregg

June 2, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Ronald L. Clark, Chief Deputy Sheriff, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 24th day of May, 1988, at 9:05 A.M., he served Complaint filed at AD 1988-437, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Ron Compbell at Mercer County Sheriff's office, 136 S. Diamond St., Mercer, Pa. by making known the contents thereof to Ron Campbell personally, and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the complaint received from Sheriff of Crawford County.
Ronald L. Clark Chief Deputy
William H. Romine, Jr. Sheriff

Served Ron Campbell within named defendant by deputizing the sheriff of Mercer County, Pa as per his affidavit of service hereto attached. Served 5-24-88.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 28, 1988, Answer of defendant Bird Inc. filed.

July 6, 1988 Answer To New Matter filed.

Aug. 12, 1988 Motion For Judgment On the Pleadings filed.

Aug. 12, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney this case is placed on the next available argument list.

On September 1988 Argument List

Sept. 12, 1988 Order Setting Argument Date filed. And now, September 12, 1988 because of the number of cases on the September 26, 1988 Argument List and the amount of court time available, argument in the above-captioned matter is heeby scheduled in Courtroom No. 2, before the Honorable Robert L. Walker, on October 24, 1988, at 1:30 p.m.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Sept. 13, 1988 Copies to counsel of record.

Sept. 21, 1988 Appearance of Terry Toomey for Defendant Ron Campbell filed

Sept. 21, 1988 Answer filed.

Oct 3, 1988 Certificate of Mailing of Foregoing Pleadings filed.

Oct 6, 1988 Motion for Leave to Amend Answer filed.

Oct 6, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Defendant Bird's Attorney, this case is placed on the argument list

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR NOVEMBER 1988

Oct. 27, 1988, Consent by Plaintiff to Allow Defendant to Amend Pleading filed.

Oct. 27, 1988 Upon praecipe of attorney for plaintiff, the Motion of the above named plaintiff, for Judgment of the pleadings, is withdrawn.

Nov. 7, 1988 Amended Answer filed.

Nov. 14, 1988 Answer to New Matter to Defendant Bird & Son Incorporated's New Matter filed.(2 copies atty)

Nov. 14, 1988 Answer to New Matter to Defendant Ron Campbell's New Matter filed. (2 copies atty.)

Dec. 6, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for reference to the arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed: Bruce A. Barrett, Ch.
~~Lisa P. Youngs~~ Christopher Youngs
John B. Brautigam

Dec. 29, 1988, Arbitrators Take the qualifying oath.

Mar 10, 1989 Upon Praecipe for Substitute Arbitrator, Lisa Pepicelli Youngs is removed as Arbitrator in the above case and Christopher Youngs, Esq. appointed to take her place.

Mar. 27, 1989, Decision of Arbitrators filed in which they find in favor ofthe defendant and against the plaintiff.
Mar. 27, 1989, copies of decision to all attorneys of record.

Record Continued
on Page 587

438

1988

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

438.

Fuller, Kinnunen, Miller, Petruso & Gamble
John D. Petruso

MICHAEL OWEN CLAWGES

vs.

HOLBROOK TOOL INC.
DALE A. BARNARD, INDIVIDUALLY
AND
RICHARD D. LOCKWOOD, INDIVIDUALLY

Filed May 11, 1988
@ 3:23 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	26.00

COMPLAINT

3 copies (atty)

June 22, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, LORI L. HAILWOOD, the Deponent, being duly sworn according to law, hereby saeth:

1. That I personally served the Defendant, Holbrook Tool Inc., with a copy of the Complaint in the instant matter, by personally handing the same to Beverly Lockwood, the clerk or other person for the time being in charge of Holbrook Tool, Inc. at its regular place of business on May 27, 1988 at 11:50 a.m.

2. That I personally served the Complaint in the instant matter upon the Defendant, Dale A. Barnard, by personally handing to Beverly Lockwood, the Defendant's agent or person for the time being in charge of the office or usual place of business of the Defendant Dale A. Barnard, on May 27, 1988 at 11:50 a.m.

3. That I personally served the Complaint in the instant matter upon the Defendant, Richard D. Lockwood, by personally handing to Beverly Lockwood, the Defendant's agent or person for the time being in charge of the office or usual place of business of the Defendant, Richard D. Lockwood, on May 27, 1988 at 11:50 a.m.

June 22, 1988 Lori L. Hailwood

July 25, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

Aug. 24, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED DAle A. Barnard personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on August 18, 1988 at 11:31 AM at his place of business, Holbrook Tool, Inc. Rt. 19, Meadville, Crawford County, PA
SERVED Richard D. Lockwood within named defendant on August 18, 1988 at 11:32 AM by handing a true copy of the wihtin complaint to Dale A. Barnard, Pres., and person in charge of his place of business, Holbrook tool, Inc., Rt. 19, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
Served Holbrook Tool, Inc. within named defendant on August 18, 1988 at 11:33 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Dale A. Barnard, Pres., and person in charge at the time, at business, Rt. 19, Meadville, crawford County,PA.
So answers,
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 12, 1988 Answer and New Matter filed.

Oct 3, .988 Reply to New Matter filed.

1988

439.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF COCHRANTON
NOW BY MERGER: MARINE BANK

vs.

HAROLD McGRATH

Filed May 12, 1988
@ 11:06 a.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$9.00 ✓
plff on orig	14.50
Sat Pd 10-27-88.	5.00 ✓

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 - 513

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment entered on July 1, 1983 be revived; Prothonotary has indexed the lien in the judgment index in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant in the aount of Nine Thousand Six Hundred Seventy and 24/100 Dollars, plus costs.

Debt-----$9,670.24
M - 84---
Dated - 4/25/88

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 27, 1988 This Judgment Satisfied.
(see paper filed)

440

1988

Brian J. Lindsay

440.

JANIS M. PHILLIPS

vs.

ARLIE E. PHILLIPS

Filed May 12, 1988
@ 2:14 P.M.

Tax & Entry paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
John Fuller, Mediator	
Mediation Cost	140.00
Pro Co pd 5/22/90	9.00
State pd 5/22/90	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OR 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

May 23, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, BRIAN J. LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Janis M. Phillips, at that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did on 16th day of May, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee ONly, Return rEceipt requested a true and correct copy of the Notice to defend and Claim Rights and the Complaint at his last known address of 1187 Youngstown Road, Warren Ohio 44484, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 446 365 353, and that on the 21st day of May, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 19th day of May, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Date: 5/23/88 Brian J. Lindsay

Jan. 19, 1989 Order of court filed. AND NOW, this 19, day of January, 1989 upon consideration of the foregoing Complaint, presented by Janis M. Phillips through her attorney, Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire, it is ordered that all parties and their counsel are to appear in person for a mediation conference before John Fuller, Child Custody Mediator at such time and place as the Mediator may specify.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas

Jan. 26, 1989 Copies of order to Brian Lindsay and John Fuller

Apr. 7, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, April 6, 1989, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, and based upon an agreement of the parties, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Cheryl L. Phillips and Kimberly M. Phillips shall be shared between the mother and the father, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affecting the children's health and medical treatment, religious instruction, education and other such important decisions.

II. Physical custody of Cheryl L. Phillips and Kimberly M. Phillips shall be with their mother subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody from time to time at times mutually agreeable to both mother and father. The father shall provide the mother at least forty-eight (48) hours notice of any intended visit.

B. The holidays of Christmas, Thanksgiving and Easter shall be shared or alternated as equally as possible, between the parties, according to the mutal agreement of the parties arrived at from time to time.

C. When the children are in the custody of the father, the father shall not consume alcohol or engage in any sort of reckless driving.

D. Transportation of the children on visits with the father shall be the responsibility of the father, unless the parties agree otherwise.

E. The father shall be entitled tocustody of the children for a two week period in the summer of 1989, and each Summer thereafter, to correspond with the mother's Army Reserve training period. In 1989, this period shall be from July 8, 1989 through July 22, 1989.

F. Both mother and father shall keep the other parent advised at all times of their current address and telephone number.

G. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or circumstance that to would be harmful to the children. to both mother and father. the father shall provide the mother at H. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything vin an attmept to turn the children against the other parent; but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the aprt of the children towards both parents. les arrived at I. This order is entered without prejudice to the right of either party to request a further mediation conference or hearing at any time after two years from the date of this order, without proving a significant change of circumstances.

III. This Order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order un less exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County. P. Richard Thomas, J

Apr. 10, 1989 Copies of order put in box for Brian LIndsay and John Fuller and copy mailed to Defendant.

Apr. 24, 1990 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 17, 1990, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

May 21, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney To Transmit REcord, this case moved to court.

June 4, 1990 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, June 4, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Janis M. Phillips, plaintiff, and Arlie and Arlie E. Phillils, defendnats, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

441.

KATHY J. BUZIKOWSKI

vs.

DENNIS L. BUZIKOWSKI

Filed May 12, 1988
@ 3:37 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro co pd 9/26/88 9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

2 copies (atty)

June 6, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq. atty. for plaintiff in above case, and being duly sworn, depose and say that I did on May 18, 1988, mail to dft. by cert. mail P 547 808 400 ret. rec. req. del. to addressee only a complaint in the above action. said notice was received by dft. on May 27, 1988 as indicated by ret. rec. card which was returned to office of plff. atty. and is attached. Joan D. Mason, Esq.

Sept. 23, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendnat filed.

Sept. 23, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 23, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Oct. 6, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, October 6, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

KATHY J. BUZIKOWSKI and DENNIS L. BUZIKOWSKI

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

442

1988

442. Paul D. Shafer Jr.	MARY E. SEELEY vs. JUDI AND JON PYLE, HER HUSBAND Filed May 12, 1988 @ 4:07 P.M. Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓	APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 3-4 May 12, 1988, Rule entered Upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued. May 17, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

1988

James D. Belliveau
Edgar M. Snyder &
Associates, P.A.

443.

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

CAROL R. GEORGE AND
RICHY D. CADY

vs.

DONNA J. GRANDA

Filed May 13, 1988
@ 10:58 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Pro Co pd 8/7/90	6.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

May 25, 1988 Sheriff's REturn filed SERVED Donna J. Granda within named defendant on May 16, 1988 at 4:44 PM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Anna Knapp, adult member of the family, namely her mother, at their residence, 946 Washington Street, Meadvile, Crawford County ,PA 16335.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 27, 1988, Appearance of Bozic and Thomas, by R. Charles Thomas, attorneys for defendant, filed.

July 13, 1988 Answer filed.
July 15, 1988 Notice of Service of Interrogatories and request for production of Documents filed.

Feb. 22, 1989 Notice Of Deposition of Donna J. Granda filed.

June 9, 1989, Oral Depositions of Donna J. Granda and Carol R. George filed.

Mar. 14, 1990,Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON APRIL 1990 TRIAL LIST

Apr. 2, 1990, Notice of Videotape Deposition of John P. Scullin, M.D. filed.

Apr. 3, 1990, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Apr. 2, 1990, there is concern at the call of the list about whether this case is to be continued or not on motion fo plaintiff or in the alternative on motion of the defendant. Counsel for the parties will advise the Court Administrator by April 6, 1990, at 4:p.m. the status of this case, and if the matter raises issues that must be argued, the mater will be argued or settled by a chambers conference Apr. 9, 1990 at 10 a.m. before Judge P. Richard Thomas.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.
Apr. 5, 1990 copies to R. C. Thomas, and James D. Belliveau Esqs. (box and mail)

Aug. 6, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the within=captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Ferguson, Spataro & O'Shea
John F. Spataro

444.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Robert S. Bailey

JAMES E. FERGUSON AND
CYNDA D. FERGUSON, HIS WIFE

vs.

PALMIERO - GRAY TOYOTA, INC.

Filed May 13, 1988
@ 3:12 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Pro Co pd 10/21/88	6.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

May 24, 1988, Appearance of Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack, by Robert S. Bailey, attorneys for defendant, filed.

May 31, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Palmiero-Gray Toyota, Inc. within named defendant on May 20, 1988 at 3:50 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Joseph Palmiero, owner, at their place of business, 1417 Conneaut Lake Road, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 5, 1988 Answer and New Matter Filed.

July 13, 1988 Reply to New Matter filed.

July 14, 1988 Request For Admissions filed.

July 18, 1988 Certificate of Service of Requests for Admissions filed.

Aug. 4, 1988, Answers to Requests for Admissions filed.

Aug. 12, 1988 Certificate Of Readiness filed.

On October 1988 Trial List

Oct. 20, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney this matteris is marked settled and discontinued of record with prejudice.

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

445.

BEVERLY JOHNSTON

vs.

GEORGE PAUL JOHNSTON

Filed May 13, 1988
@ 4:10 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Pro Co pd 12/17/90	9.00
State pd 12/17/90	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

2 copies (atty)

June 6, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq. atty for plaitniff in above case and being duly sworn, depose and say that I did on May 16, 1988 mail to the dft by cert. mail, P 547 808 372 ret. rec. req. del. to addressee only, a complaint in above action. Said notice received by dft. on May 18, 1988 as indicated by the ret. rec. card which was returned to office of plff. atty. and atta ched hereto. Joan D. Mason, Esq.

Dec. 13, 1990 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 13, 1990 Affidvit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec. 13, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Jan. 2, 1990 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, January 2, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Beverly Johnston, plaintiff, and George Paul Johnston, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

446.

CAROL A. PRINCE

vs.

WILLIAM E. PRINCE

Filed May 13, 1988
@ 4:20 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
3 counts paid	45.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 8-31-88	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ATTORNEY FEES, COURT COSTS

1 copy (atty)

June 6, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D Mason, Atty. for plaintiff, in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on May 16,1988 mail to above dft. by cert. mail P 547 808 373 ret. rec. req. del to addressee only, c complaint in the above action. Said notice was received by Wm. E. Prince on May 18, 1988 as indicated by the ret. rec. card which was returned to the office of plaintiff atty. and attached hereto. Joan D.Mason, Esq.

Aug. 25, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent Of Plaintiff filed.

Aug 31, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug 31, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Sept. 14, 1988 **STIPULATION AND DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 14 day of Sept., 1988, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. **DECREE:** Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) ofthe Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. Plaintiff shall retain all of the household furnishings that are presently in her possession, which are the great majority of household contents. He shall retain those householder and personal tangible items now in his possession, consisting primarily of clothing, tools and sprots equipment.

3. defendant shall retain the 1979 Ford Fairmont stationwagon, which is presently titled to both parties, and is paid for. Plaintiff shall cooperate in signing any necessary documents to transfer her interest in said vehicle to the defendant.

Defendant shall transfer to the Plaintiff any right, title and interest he may otherwise have in the 1984 Mecury Cougar automobile, which is titled to both parties hereto, but which is encumbered by a loan made through the Plaintiff's credit union, and which is being paid for through deduction fromher wages. Defendant shall cooperate in taking whatever steps are necessary in order to transfer title to said behicle to the Plaintiff, immediately.

defendant shall retain the honda motorcycle presently titled to himself alone.

4. Defendant shall pay as property settlement the following:

a. $300.00 every two weeks effective formthe date the parties hereto sign or have signed a stipulation agreeing to the entry of this decree by the the court, until such time as this decree is actually signed into order by a judge of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania. I f any of said amount has not been paid by the date this decree is signed, it shall be due and payable immediately.

b. From the date ofthis decree, beginning with the first payday of defendant's thereafter, he shall pay to the Plaintiff the sum of $200.00 and thereafter shall pay a like sum every two weeks, with the last payment being due June 22, 1990.

c. Thereafter, for a period of four years, beginning with the month of July, 1990, defendant shall pay to Plaintiff the sum of $150.00 per month.

d. The above payments shall be in satisfaction of the balance of defendant's obligation to wife to settle her claim for equitable distribution.

e. Neither party shall make any further claim to any assets now titled to the other party hereto, whether said assets are titled in that person's name individually, or that person's name and one of the children's names.

f. Plaintiff shall pay the regular monthly VISA payment on the VISA account which has an approximate balance of $800.00, till such time as the divorce is granted. Beginning with the following month after the date of the decree, husband shall be fully responsible for any balance on said account. Both parties hereto agree not to make any further charges or use of said account, but instead to close it out as soon as it is paid off.

g. The only chages for which Plaintiff shall be responsible are the Sears and Discover cards. Defendant shall be responsible for any other charge accounts or chage balances due or owing at date of separation.

5. Plaintiff shall assume full responsibility for the mortgage currently encumbering the real estate now titled to her, which has previously been trans ferred from both parties hereto to Plaintiff alone. Said mortgage is held by Marquette Savings and Loan association, with a present balance of approximately $19,700.00. She shall also assume full responsibility for any taxes or insurance or levies regarding said property.

6. **CUSOTDY:** Plaintiff shall have legal custody of both of the children for any tax or other purposes, and shall have physical custody of Todd Edward Prince.

Temporary custody of Todd shall be granted to the defendant on a schedule that shall be worked out between the parents.

Custody of brian James Prince is hereby granted to the Plaintiff for the purpose of claiming him for income tax exemption purposes.

7. **ATTORNEY FEES AND COURT COSTS:** Defendant shall pay wife's attorney fees and court ocsts in connection with this divorce, which shall be $663.50 for services rendered through May 16, 1988.

8. Neither party hereto shall be required to file an income and expense statement nor an inventory and appraisement in accordance with the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure, as each is aware to his or her own satisfaction of the

.nature and value of assets, and indentity and balance of debts of the other party hereto.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas

1988

Legal Services
Margaret Eglinton

447.

MARLENE KNAPP

vs.

ROBERT J. KNAPP

Filed May 16, 1988
@ 9:16 a.m.

Indigent filing Pd 11/14/88 40.50 ✓
Sheriffs Costs $31.44
Pro Co Pd 10/26/88 9.00 ✓

10/26/88 Recd. 81.00 From Defendant

10/26/88 Paid # 3644 To Sheriff Dept LL # 4756

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FRM ABUSE AND ORDER

6 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 16 dayof May, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 24th day of May 1988, at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pa.

The defendant isdirected torefrain from abusing and/or threatening to abuse, or harassing the plaintiff and/or the minor children of the parties.

The defendant is excluded immediately and forthwith from the marital reisdence which is located at R. D. #2, Oil Creek Road, Guys Mills, Pa. pending the hearing scheduled above.

The plaintiff is hereby awarded temporary custody of the minor children of the parties pending the hearing scheduled above.

The Crawford County Sheriff Dept. is directed to serve a cert. copy of this Petition and Order upon the defendant. IN the alternative, this petition and order may be served by any competent adult or by cert. mail.

The City of Meadville Police Dept. and/or the State Police of the Com. of Pa. are directed to enforce this order and to prevent the return of the defendant to the aforesaid premises by any appropriate menas.

This petition and order shall be filed and served without payment of costs; the court shall determine at the hearing if the dft. shall be required to pay the court costs.

The dft. is hereby advised of his right to be represented by counsel, and he is notified that his failure to attend the hearign scheduled above may have serious legal consequences.

The defendant should take this paper to his lawyer at once. If he does not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, he should go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where he can get legal help.

Crawford CountyBar Assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Comm. 310/312 Chestnut St, Meadville, Pa. 724-7719.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

May 17, 1988, Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Robert J. Knapp personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Petition and Order for Protection From Abuse to him on May 16, 1988 at 4:40 PM at his place of residence, 946 Washington St., Meadville, Pa.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy sheriff
E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 24, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, May 24, 1988, at the time fixed for hearing in this matter, both parties being present in open court and having indicated a willingness for the Court to enter the following consent order without any admission or denial of any of the facts contained in the petition the following Order is entered:

1. The Defendant shall not abuse or harass the Plaintiff or the children of the parties nor threaten to abuse or harass them.
2. The defendant shall not go to the residence where the Plaintiff is residing without her consent.
3. The four (4) oldest children may visit the defendant at such times as they desire; the youngest child, Marty J. Knapp, shall visit the Defendant on Sundays from 10:00 o'clock A.M. until 8:00 o'clock P.M. with transportation to be furnished by the defendant's sister to and from the marital home to the sister's home, where the Defendant is presently residing.
4. the defendant shall promptly contact the Drug & Alcohol Abuse Agency for evaluation and shall participate in any counseling or therapy recommended by that agency and not discontinue the same without the consent of the agency
5. The Defenant shall pay the record costs in this proceedings.
6. The Pennsylvania State Police or any other agency having jurisdiction shall enforce this Order in accordance with the Protection From Abuse Act.
7. This Order shall remain in full force and effect for a period of one (1) year form this date, provided that if the parties are able to reconcile their differences, this Order may be terminated at the request of both parties.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

May 26, 1988 Copies of order to Margaret Eglinton and Defendant.

1988

Sesler & Sesler
Gregory P. Sesler

448.

ARTHUR C. HUGHES AND MONA HUGHES, AS NATURAL GUARDIANS FOR BRIAN LEROY HUGHES, A MINOR

vs,

ROGER BLOSS AND
ROSE MARY BLOSS

Filed May 16, 1988
@ 10:48 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro for Co. pd 8-18-89 5.00 ✓

SUMMONS - CIVIL ACTION

May 16, 1988, Petition for Court Approval of Minor's Settlement filed.

May 16, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 16th day of May, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition for Court Approval of Minor's Settlement, it is hereby ordered and decreed that the settlement more particularly described in paragraphs 7 and 8 of the petition, as well as the release, a copy of which is attached to the petition as Exhibit "B", be and are hereby approved.

It is also ORDERED that the attorney's fees in the amount of $6,250.00 are to be paid directly by Federal Kemper insurance Company, the automobile insurance carrier for Mr. and Mrs. Roger Bloss, to the law firm of Sisler and Sesler from the settlement proceeds.

It is further ORDERED that Federal Demper Insurance Company issue a check payable to "Arthur C. Hughes and Mona Hughes, as trustees for Brian LeRoy Hughes", in the amount of $18,750.00, which represents the remaining settlement proceeds.

It is further ORDERED that upon receipt of this check, Arthur C. Hughes and Mona Hughes, as trustees for Brian LeRoy Hughes shall open an interest bearing trustee savings account in the name of "Arthur C. Hughes and Mona Hughes, as trustees for Brian LeRoy Hughes" in a financial institution which is insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. It is further ordered that no withdrawal can be made from such account until Brian LeRoy Hughes attains his majority, except as by Order of this court. A court order may be issued to withdraw funds from this account for the payment of future medical treatment for Brian LeRoy Hughes as it relates to the injury which he sustained from the motor vehicle accident.

Proof of deposit of said sum in accordance with this order shall be promptly filed with this court by Arthur C. Hughes and Mona Hughes or their attorney.

1 copy (atty)

Robert L. Walker, Judge

Aug. 18, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, Gregory P. Sesler, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

~~Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso and Gamble~~
~~Gary A. Fabian~~
(withdrawn)
449.

WILLIAM L. MOOK

vs.

BARBARA ANN MOOK

Filed May 17, 1988

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Barbara Ann Mook, defendant have been sued in court to obtain custody of the children William M. Mook, Jr. and Anthony E. Mook.

You are ordered to appear in person at a mediation conference at a time, place and date to be established by the custody mediator. WILLIAM PINEO is hereby appointed custody mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Public Comm.
310/310 Chestnut St. Meadville, Pa. 16335
814 724-7719

BY THE COURT,
Date: 5/18/88 P. Richard Thomas, J.

Jan. 4, 1989, Motion to Withdraw as Counsel for Plaintiff and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 4 day of Jan. 1989, Gary A. Fabian, Esq. is granted leave to withdraw as counsel for pliantiff in the above captioned matter.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Jan. 9, 1989, copies to G. Fabian, atty.

1988

Ely & Smith
Theodore B. Ely

450.

AMERICA'S PHARMACY,
S.P.E., AN IOWA CORPORATION

vs.

LINDA WALLS

Filed May 17, 1988
@ 10:51 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's costs 18.00
Pro Co Pd 10/7/88 - 9.00 ✓
6.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

May 31, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Linda Walls within named defendant on May 23, 1988 at 8:20 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Ira Kelly, adult member of her family, father, at their place of residence, 290 Willow Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar d. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now Oct. 7, 1988, at 11:47 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff, and with written consent of defendant attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of Nine Hundred Fifty and 07/100 Dollars, together with costs and int., at the rate of 6% per annum from Oct. 9, 1986 and costs of suit.
Judgment entered - 10/7/88
W - 101

Debt - $950.07
Int. from 10/9/86

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 11, 1988 Notice of entry of judgment mailed to defendant.

1988

Specter Law Offices
Anthony J. D'Amico

451:

Gordon R. Miller

JOAN MARIE INMAN AND
DALE WILLIAM INMAN,
HER HUSBAND

vs.

VICTORIA ANN WHEELING

Filed May 17, 1988
@ 10:55 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriffs costs	22.30
Sheriff's Costs	70.90
Pro Co Pd 11-17-89	6.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

(Jury Trial Demanded)

May 25, 1988, Sheriffs Return filed. Not Found, as to the within named defendatn, Victoria Ann Wheeling. Moved, left no forwarding address.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 21, 1988 Complaint Reinstated

Aug. 17, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Victoria Ann Wheeling personally the within named deendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint and INterrogatories to her on July 14, 1988 at 3:50 PM at her place of residence, R.D. #4, Box 233, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 6, 1988, Appearance of Gordon R. Miller, Attorney for defendant filed.

Oct. 18, 1988, Answer of defendant filed.

Oct. 19, 1988, Answers to Plaintiffs' Interrogatories to Defendant and Request for Production of Documents, filed.

Dec. 15, 1988, Answers to Interrogatories to Plaintiffs filed.

Nov 16, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiffs' Attorney this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

452

1988

Cynthia Reagle

452.

CYNTHIA L. REAGLE

vs.

WILLIAM R. HARPS

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (plff)

Filed May 18, 1988
@ 10:43 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro co Pd 9/1/88 5.00 ✓

June 10, 1988 9:16 A.M.
Affidavit of Service to Pa.R.C.P. 405 (c) of Plaintiff. filed.

I, Cynthia L. REagle, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that:

1. I am the plaintiff in the above-captioned divorce action;

2. On May 18, 1988 I sent a copy of the Complaint in Divorce in the above matter by certified mail, return receipt requested, to defendant at his residence, 1184 Wilmington Street, Washington, PA 15301;

3. On May 20, 1988, the return receipt was returned to me. Such return receipt is attached hereto, made a part hereof and marked Exhibit "A";

I represent that the information contained in this affidavit is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Cynthia L. Reagle, Plaintiff

Sept. 1, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff, the above captioned divorce is withdrawn of record.

1988

Harry N. Sydor 453.	TRAVELERS INSURANCE COMPANY vs. JOHN E. RAINEY Filed May 18, 1988 @ 11:22 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Sheriffs Costs 17.50	SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION Issued (sheriff) June 1, 1988, Writ Returned. Not Found, as to within named defendant JOhn E. Rainey, Moved, forward expired. So answers, Howard. N. Stewart, sheriff

1988

Bozic, Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

454.

IVAN A. VIRTUE, JR.

vs.

BARBARA VIRTUE

Filed May 18, 1988
@ 1:25 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 1/5/89 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OR 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

May 18, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed. 1 copy (atty)

Sept. 28, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

Dec. 30, 1988, Affidavit of Service of Complaint in Divorce filed. R. Charles Thomas, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on Sept. 28, 1988, he sent a true and correct copy of the complaint in divorce properly endorsed with the notice to defend, filed in the above action to the defendant at defendantslast known address of R. D. #3 2, Meadville, Pa. 16335, by Cert. mail No. P724 952 041, postage postpaid, restricted delivery, ret. rec. req. and that attached hereto is a duly executed ret. rec. card showing date of delivery as Sept. 29, 1988.
R. Charles Thomas, Esq.

Dec. 30, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review under Section 201(d) of Divorce code, this case moved to court.

Jan. 20, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, January 20, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that IVAN A. VIRTUE JR., plaintiff, and BARBARA VIRTUE, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of martrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs .
Christopher J. Youngs

455.

Joseph Barnhart

3/15/90 Recd $60.00 from Defendants
3/26/90 Recd $60.00 from Plaintiff
Recd 3-1-90
$60.00
Vivian Stolby
Recd 3-1-90
$60.00
Vivian Stolby

DANIEL J. COLEMAN

vs.

REGINA M. EVANS

Filed May 18, 1988
@ 4:11 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
John Fuller, Mediator 120.00
9.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Regina M. Evans, defendant have been sued in court to obtain custody of the child Brandy Lee Coleman.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by JOHN FULLER Child Custody Mediator.

If you fail to appear as proveded by this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Association, Service tothe Public Committee, 310/312 Chestnut St, Meadville, Pa. 16335.
Telephone: 814 724-7719.
Dated: 5/18/88

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, J.

May 24, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: Christopher J. Youngs, hereby certifies that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff, Daniel J. Coleman, the within action and that a copy of the Complaint for Custody was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only to the defendant, Regina M. Evans, at RD#1, Centerville, Pa 16404, on May 19, 1988 and was received by the Defendant on May 23, 1988. The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A". I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs, PC
Christopher J. Youngs
Attorney for Plaintiff

July 26, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, July 25, 1988 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, and based upon an agreement of the parties
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Brandy Lee Coleman shall be shared between the mother and the father , that is, each parent shall be entitled full access to school records, medical records and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part in important decisions affecting the child such as religious instruction, education and medical treatment.

II. Physical custody of Brandy Lee Coleman shall be with her father, Daniel J. Coleman, subject to the following conditions:

(1) The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the child into her custody every other weekend beginning Friday, July 29, 1988 from 6:00 p.m. on Friday until 6:00 p.m. on Sunday. The mother shall be responsible for providing transportation for such weekend visits.

(2) Holidays shall be defined as Thanksgiving, Christmas and Easter. On even numbered years (1988, 1990, etc) Brandy shall spend Thanksgiving Day and Easter Sunday at her mother's home, and Christmas at her father's home. On odd numbered years (1989, 1991 etc) Brandy shall spend Christmas at her mother's home and Thanksgiving and Easter Sunday at her father's home. On Christmas when Brandy spends Christmas in her father's home shle shall spend Christmas Eve at the mother's home and on Christmas when she spends Christmas Day in her mother's home she shall spend Christmas Eve at her father's home.

(3) During the Summer of 1988 the mother may have Brandy in her custody for a continuous period of one week, provided that this is agreeable to the minor child, Brandy, and during the Summer of 1989 and subsequent Summers the mother shall be entitled to have Brandy in her custody for a continuous period of two (2) weeks, provided that the minor child consents. The mother shall provide the father in 1989 and thereafter with at least thirty (30) days notice of the two week period in which she intends to exercise such Summer visitation. In 1988, the mother shall provide the father with as much notice as possible.

(4) Neither mother nor father shall say any thing or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but shall do everything in the power if each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

(5) Neither parent shall expose the child to any person or situation that could be harmful to the child.

(6) Both mother and father agree to notify the other as soon as possible in case of any injury or emergency affecting the child and to supply the other parent with a current telephone number at all times.

(7) This order shall be a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

Costs of the mediation conference shall be divided equally between the parties.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

July 26, 1988 Copies of order to Plaintiff, Defendant, Attys. C. Youngs, Barnhart and Fuller.

1988

William B. Moore

456.

COCHRANTON CO-OPERATIVE ASSOCIATION

vs.

ARVID D. KOBOSKY, AND KATHLEEN A. KOBOSKY

Filed May 19, 1988
@ 12:12 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	24.00
Pro Co Pd 7-6-88	9.00 ✓

May 19, 1988 and costs of suit.
K-16, 106

July 6, 1988 Judgment entered.

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

June 1, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Arvid D. Kobosky within named defendant on May 23, 1988 at 11:04 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Kathleen Kobosky, adult member of his family, namely his wife, at their residence, Star Route, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Kathleen A. Kobosky personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on May 23, 1988 at 11:05 A.M. at her residence, Star Route, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, July 6, 1988 @ 3:03 P.M., Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney with notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendants for failure to file an answer and the same is liquidated in the amount of Eleven Thousand Four Hundred Fifty-seven and 68/100 Dollars, plus interest at the rate of 1.5% per month

Debt.....#11,458.68 + int. & costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

Stephen E. Hall

457.

William J. Gregg

LILA BEEDLE

vs.

JAMES STANLEY

Filed May 19, 1988
@ 1:52 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF
WILLIAM CHISHOLM, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-2-01

May 19, 1988, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

MAY 19, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

June 23, 1988 Complaint filed.

458

1988

Dennis G. Kuftic
Devine and Kuftic

458.

DONALD E. HALL

vs.

VERONICA HALL

Filed May 19, 1988
@ 3:11 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Pro Co Paid 10-4-88 9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

2 copies (atty)

May 26, 1988 Acceptance Of Service filed. I, VERONICA HALL, the Defendant inthe above-captioned matter, hereby certify that on the 22 day of May, 1988, I was duly served a true and attested copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed at the above term and number. Veronica Hall

Sept. 2, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept 30, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept 30, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Oct. 17, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D.**

AND NOW, October 17, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

D O N A L D E. H A L L and V E R O N I C A H A L L

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Victor J. Kinnunen

459.

MATTHEW B. IRWIN

vs.

WAIVE H. IRWIN

Filed May 19, 1988

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	29.50
Pro Co. Pd 9/6/88	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (sheriff)

June 2, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED and made known to Waive H. Irwin personally, the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Divorce to her on May 25, 1988 at 8:54 A.M. at her place of residence, Main Street, Spartansburg, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 6, 1988 Affidavit of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 6, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 6, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201 (c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Sept. 19, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, September 19, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

MATTHEW B. IRWIN and WAIVE H. IRWIN

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

460

1988

Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
Douglas W. Ferguson

460.

JANET LORRAINE PERRY
Petitioner

Filed May 20, 1988
@ 10:32 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50 ✓
Pro. Co. Pd. 7/13/88 5.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 7-18-88 9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 12-27-89 - $1.00 ✓

PETITION FOR CHANGE OF NAME

ORDER:
AND NOW, to-wit: May 20, 1988, upon motion of Douglas W. Ferguson, Esq. Atty. for the petitioner above named, it is ordered and decreed that the within be heard on the 14 day of July 1988 at 10:30 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 and that notice of the filing of the within petition and of the aforesaid date of hearing be published one time in the Meadville Tribune and Crawford County Legal Journal.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

May 23, 1988, copy of order to D. Ferguson, Esq.

July 14, 1988 Proof of Publication filed.

July 14, 1988 Decree filed. AND NOW, to-wit: July 14, 1988, upon hearing of the within petition and upon motion of Douglas W. Ferguson, Esquire, Attorney for Petitioner, and upon presentation of proof of publication of notice as required by law together with proof that there are no judgments or decrees of record or any other matter of like effect against the petitioner, and it appearing that there is no legal objection to the granting of the prayer of the petition.

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED that the name of the Petitioner be and is hereby changed to Janet Lorraine Schulz.

Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Joseph F. Kulwicki

461.

SUSAN L. RAYBUCK

vs.

JAMES H. RAYBUCK

Filed May 20, 1988
@ 1:12 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Pro Co Pd 8-30-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OR 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

May 23, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. Debra A. Shook being duly sworn ac cording to law, deposes and says that she is an employee of Jos. F. Kulwicki, Esq. and that as such she personally served a cert. copy fothe Divorce Complaint in the matter above captioned, upon the defendant James H. Raybuck at 891 Grove St., MeaDVILLE, Pa. aT APPROX. #:30 p.m. by handing him same.
Further deponent saith not. Debra Shook

Aug 30, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug 30, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug 30, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

sept. 14, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, September 14, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

SUSAN L. RAYBUCK and JAMES H. RAYBUCK

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hreby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

462

1988

Kathleen R. Nelson 462.	BONNIE L. GRAHAM vs. KENNETH A. GRAHAM, SR. Filed May 20, 1988 @ 2:01 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ 2 counts paid 30.00 ✓ Pro Co Pd 10/11/88 5.00 ✓	COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF PROPERTY AND DEBTS, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COSTS AND EXPENSES, COUNSEL FEES 1 copy (atty) Oct. 10,1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

William B. Moore

463.

JAMES LEE

vs.

LILLIAN E. LEE

Filed May 20, 1988

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Pro Co Pd 10-4-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c)

1 copy (atty)

Oct 4, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: William B. Moore, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served a true and correct copy of the Divorce Complaint in the above-captioned matter upon the Defendant, Lillian E. Lee, by mailing a certified copy of the same to her, by certified mail, item No. P-542 836 126, Restricted Delivery, Return Receipt Requested, on May 21, 1988, at her last known address of S. Franklin Street, RD#4, Cochranton, Pennsylvania.

The Return receipt card was returned to your Affiant, duly signed by Lillian E. Lee, as having received same on May 24, 1988. A copy of the certificate of mailing, together with the return receipt card, signed by the Defendant, are attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Date: 10/3/88 William B. Moore

Oct 4, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Oct 4, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Oct 4, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Oct. 24, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, October 21, 1988, at the time this matter is before the Court for review of a §201(c) divorce request, the Court believes the defendant's wife should have the benefit of counsel before Court grants the divorce. Accordingly, we hereby appoint Stephen E. Hall as attorney for the defendant wife, and requests that he conduct fact finding interviews to determine why the granting of the uncontested divorce would be equitably fair to the defendant spouse.

The Court requests a written report within 30 days together with a bill for services.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Oct. 25, 1988 copies of order to Wm. Moore, Stephen E. Hall, esqs. and Lillian E. Lee

Nov 22, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, November 22, 1988, the court having received a report from Attorney Stephen E. Hall, who was appointed by the Court to investigate this matter, said report is directed to be filed with the case.

The Prothonotary is directed to filed the same and forward the divorce to the court, which the Court will grant in due course.

Nov 22, 1988 Report to Court filed.

Nov 22, 1988 Order for Payment of Attorney Fees filed: AND NOW, November 22, 1988, this Court having appointed Attorney Stephen E. Hall to investigate this divorce action, and Attorney Hall **having completed** said assignment and forwarded a bill to the Court in the amount of $105.00, the County of Crawford is directed to pay Attorney Hall the sum of $150.00 and charge the court's budget under account number 10-0460-011490.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Nov 22, 1988 Copies of order to Attys Hall & Moore.

Nov. 23, 1988 DIVORCE DECREE FILED

AND NOW, November 22, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

JAMES LEE AND LILLIAN E. LEE

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,

P. Richard Thomas, J.

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma and Schug
David L. Hotchkiss

464.

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
John D. Petruso

WALTER G. SWARTZFAGER AND
RICHARD N. FINDLAY

vs.

ROBERT D. MILLER,
MARTHA LOUISE MILLER,
DONALD M. MILLER AND
MARGARET ELIZABETH MILLER

COMPLAINT IN ACTION OF MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

4 copies (sheriff)

June 13, 1988 2:26 P.M. Sheriff's Return Filed.
SERVED Martha Louise Miller personally by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Morgage Foreclosure to her on June 9, 1988 at 2:28 P.M. at her residence, 435 Euclid Avenue, Saegertown, Crawford Co., PA. SERVED Robert D. Miller within named defendant on June 9, 1988 at 2:29 P.M by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Martha Miller, Adult member of his family, namely his wife, at their residence , 435 Euclid Avenue, Saegertown, Crawford Co., PA. So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff, and Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff.

June 20, 1988, Appearance of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, & Gamble, by John D. Petruso, attorneys for defendants, filed.

Sept. 29, 1988 Complaint Reinstated

Filed May 20, 1988
@ 4:15 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 /

Sheriff's Cost $27.15 /

Pro Co Pd 6/1/90 - 9.00 /

Pro.Co.pd 1/11/94 5.00 /

Nov. 14, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, November 10, 1988, comes David L. Hotchkiss, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That he is the Attorney for Walter G. Swartzfager and Richard N. Findlay, Plaintiffs in the above captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished to him by the Plaintiffs, he caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Action of Mortgage Foreclosure to be forwarded by Certified Mail No.P 401 440 858, postmarked May 23, 1988, to the last known address of the Defendant, Donald M. Miller, 12320 E. 136th St., South Broken Arrow, Oklahoma 74011.

3. That on informationfurnished to him by the Plaintiffs, he caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Action of Mortgage Foreclosure to be forwarded by Certified Main No. P 401 440 915, postmarked Sept. 30, 1988, to the known address of the Defendant Margaret Elizabeth Miller, 12320 E. 136th St. South Broken Arrow, Oklahoma 74011.

4. That the Defendant Donald M. Millerr on June 4, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhitit"A".

5. That the Defendant, Margaret Elizabeth Miller, on Oct.3, 1988, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the abovc matter whic h is attached hereto as Exhitit "B".

6. Service of the Complaint against the Defendant, Donald M. Miller and Margaret Elizabeth Miller, is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

David L. Hotchkiss, Esq.

Nov. 23, 1988 Answer and New Matter filed.

Jan. 13, 1989 Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's New Matter filed.

Jan. 18, 1989 Plaintiffs' Request for Admissions filed.

Feb. 14, 1989, Defendants' Answer to Plaintiffs' Request for Admission filed.

Mar 13, 1989 Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Order filed: AND NOW, this 13 day of March, 1989, upon consideration of Plaintiffs' foregoing Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, the matter is set down for argument as follows: Pro place on April Argument List

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Mar 13, 1989 Copies of order to Attys Hotchkiss and Petruso.

On April 1989 - Argument list

June 6, 1989, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, June 5, 1989, partial summary judgment is entered in favor ofthe plaintiffs and agaisnt the defendants determining that the mortgage balance is not due and payable and the aciton in mortgage foreclosure appropriate. If the parties are unable to agree upon the balance due on the mortgage, that fact will have to be determined after evidence is heard by a trier of fact.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

June 6, 1989, copies put in boxes of D. Hotchkiss and J. Petruso, attys.

Nov. 17, 1989 Request for Admissions filed.

Mar. 12, 1990 Motion For Summary Judgment Pursuant to Rule 1035 and Order filed. Upon consideration of Plaintiffs' foregoing Motion for Summary Jdugment, this matter is set down for argument: at 10:30 am on April 4, 1990 in Court Room 1 or 2.

By The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 13, 1990 Copies of order put in David Hotchkiss and John Petruso's box.

Continued on the next page 464A

Walter G. Swartzfager and
Richard N. Findlay

vs.

Robert D. Miller, Martha
Louise Miller, et al

Record continued from page 464

of record

A.D. 1988 - 464

****

Apr. 5, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, April 4, 1990, it is ordered as follows:
1. The defendants are in default under this subject mortgage and the entire balance of the mortgage debt is now due and payable.
2. The outstanding balance of principal and interest due on said mortgage as of September 29, 1988 is in the amount of $8,625.55.
3. That said mortgage bears interest from September 29, 1988 at the rate of $2,243 per day.
4. The Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment in the amount of $8,625.55 plus interest at the rate of $2.243 per day from September 29, 1988, together with counsel fees in the amount of five percent (5%) of the total debt and record court costs.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

4/5/90 Copy put in Atty's box.

June 6, 1990, And Now, at 4:11 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendants in the amount of Eight Thousand Six Hundred Twenty Five and 55/100 Dollars, plus interest at rate of $2.243 per day from Sept. 29, 1988, together with counsel fees in amount of 5% of total debt and costs, in accordance with court order of 4/4/90.
Judgment entered - 6/6/90
M - 206, 207,
212, 207

Debt - $8,625.55
Int. from 9/29/88
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EXECUTION 1990 - 60

Jan. 11, 1994 Upon Praecipe of David L. Hotchkiss, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff, the above number and term having been fully and completely paid, the said judgment is now absolutely and irrevocably satisfied, is hereby marked satisfaction of record.

464
B

1988

1988

465.

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

RHEA M. MALONEY

Filed May 20, 1988

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976 - Section 303

1988

Jack, Kookogey &
Felton
Robert J. Felton

466.

Mahany, Roeder & Smith
Barbara L. Smith

10/13/88 Recd $60.00 from Plaintiff

Rec'd 11-2-88
$60.00
Vivian Slotty

LARRY A. MILLER

vs.

SHEILA A. MILLER

Filed May 23, 1988
@ 10:04 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
John Fuller, Mediator 120.00
Pro Co Pd 10/13/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT FOR PARTIAL CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 25 day of May 1988 upon presentation ofthe foregoing Complaint for Custody, the parties hereto and their respective counsel are ordered to appear before JOHN FULLER, the Child Custody Mediator, who is hereby appointed in this case. The said parties and their respective counsel shall appear as such a time or times that the Child Custody Mediator shall hereinafter determine.
P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 14, 1988 Acceptance of Service Filed. I, Barbara L. Smith, Esq., Attorney at Law, 101 W. Main Street, Titusville, Pennsylvania, do hereby state that I am Attorney for the Defendant, Sheila A. Miller. I certify that I am authorized to accept a true and correct copy of the Complaint filed in said action on behalf of the said Defendant, Sheila A. Miller.
Barbara L. Smith, Esq.

Sept 12, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, September 9, 1988 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Kaleasha L. Miller and Kalobe L. Miller shall be shared between the father, Larry A. Miller, and the mother, Sheila A. Miller, that is each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part in decisions affecting the children's education, religious instruction, medical treatment and other such important decisions.

II. Physical custody of Kaleasha L. Miller and Kalobe L. Miller shall be with their mother, Sheila A. Miller, subject to the following conditions:

(A) The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody in the Summer of 1989 for a three (3) week period. The father shall provide the mother and the children at least thirty (30) days notice of the three week period in which he intends to exercise such visitation rights. The responsibility for transporting the children from the mother's home in Crawford County to the father's home in Omaha, Nebraska and back, shall be the responsibility of the father.

(B) The father shall be entitled to take the children into his custody for a four (4) week period in the Summer of 1990 and shall likewise provide the mother and children thirty (30) days notice and shall be responsible for transportation of the children to and from Nebraska.

(C) In the Summer of 1991 and each Summer thereafter until this order has been changed or amended the father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody for a six (6) week period which unless otherwise agreed to between the mother and father shall be from June 15, 1988 until August 1, 1988. The father shall be responsible for providing transportation for the children to and from Nebraska.

(D) The parties are encouraged to allow the children to visit the father for a one (1) week vacation period near Christmas of 1988. The father is encouraged to re-establish contact with the children by correspondence, telephone calls and otherwise.

(E) On any occasions when the father is visiting in Crawford County, he shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody for brief periods of time corresponding with his stay here in Crawford County at the home of relatives.

III. Neither mother nor father shall say anything or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand, shall do everything in the poser of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

IV. Both parents agree to contact the other parent as soon as reasonably possible in the event of any illness or injury involving either of the children and each parent agrees to furnish the other parent with a current telephone number.

V. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or welfare.

VI. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

Costs of the mediation conference shall be equally divided with the father paying one-half thereof and the remaining one-half to be paid by Crawford County.

P. Richard Thomas, Judge

Sept 15, 1988 Copies of order to Robert Felton, Barbara Smith and John Fuller, Esqs. and to Plaintiff.

1988

Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
Douglas W. Ferguson

467.

SUSAN B. LEVETO

vs.

DAVID L. LEVETO

Filed May 23, 1988
@ 10:38 a.m.

Tax & entry Pd 5/24/88-$40.50 ✓
3 counts paid 5/24/88 45.50 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF PROPERTY, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COSTS AND EXPENSES, & COUNSEL FEES

1 copy (atty)

June 8, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed

I , the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated May 23, 1988. The Defendant acknowledged service on May 25, 1988 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 6-8-88 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: July 3, 1997
July 8, 1997 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

468

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Paul D. Shafer

468.

Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
JOhn F. Spataro

THE ESTATE OF
LAWRENCE A. BECK, DECEASED

vs.

JOHN F. McCARTHY

Filed May 23, 1988
@ 3:34 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 9/19/90 5.00 ✓

✓COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

✓June 16, 1988 Answer to Complaint filed.

✓June 21, 1988, Jury Trial Demanded, upon praecipe of defendant attorney.

✓**Jan. 8, 1990 Certificate of Readiness for Placement on Civil Trial List filed.**

On February 1990 Trial List

Feb. 9, 1990 Objections filed.

Feb. 9, 1990 PRETRIAL ORDER filed.
Feb. 9, 1990 Copy of Order to Attys. Paul Shafer, John Spataro.

Feb. 14, 1990, Motion to Continue and Order filed. ORDER: AND Now, Feb. 12, 1990, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, the above case is continued to the April Term of court.
Gordon R. Miller, Judge
Feb. 20, 1990, copies to P. Shafer and J. Spataro, Esqs

On April 1990 Trial List

On October 1990 Trial List

Sept 14, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is hereby settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Kathleen R. Nelson

469.

CYRELLA M. MORGAN

vs.

DARYLE T. MORGAN

Filed May 24, 1988
@ 10:32 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 8/29/88 9.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 9/23/88 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

June 10, 1988 1:50 P.M. AFfidavit of Service Filed Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned Action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint under Section 201 (c) of the Divorce Code was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on May 31, 1988.

3. The registered mail was sent to Mr. Daryle T. Morgan, Box 192, Conneautville, Pennsylvania 16406. The senders receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on June 8, 1988. Said receipt card number P 725-345 691 is attached hereto and signed by Daryle T, Morgan

Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq.

Aug. 29, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Aug. 29, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Aug. 29, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for Review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Sept. 14, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, September 14, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

C Y R E L L A M . M O R G A N and **A R Y L E T . M O R G A N**

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Sept. 23, 1988 Notice Of Election To REtake Maiden Name filed. Cyrella M. Morgan TO BE KNOWN AS: Cyrella M. Ploechinger

470

1988

J. Ronald Kushner

470.

Fuller, Kinnunen Petruso and Gamble
Victor J. Kinnunen

SANDRA A. McCORD

vs.

TIMOTHY W. McCORD

Filed May 24, 1988
@ 11:00 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
2 counts paid	45.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 12/6/88	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, CUSTODY AND/OR VISITATION

June 3, 1988, Proof of Service filed. And now comes J. R. Kushner, atty. for plaintiff, and being duly sworn says that a copy of the complaint filed in this action was placed in the U.S. mail, cert. mail on May 26, 1988 and that said complaint has been received by dft. as attested to by the ret. rec. which is hereby attached. J. R. Kushner, Esq.

June 9, 1988, Appearance of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, and Gamble, by Victor J. Kinnunen, attorneys for defendant filed.

Dec. 6, 1988, Affidavit of consent of plaintiff filed.

Dec. 6, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Dec. 6, 1988 Agreement between parties filed.

Dec. 6, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, To Transmit the Record, this case moved to court.

Dec. 20, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, December 19, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

SANDRA A. McCORD and TIMOTHY W. McCORD

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 19, 1988 Stipulation and Order filed. Order: AND NOW, this 19th day of December 1988, upon Stipulation of the parties, it hereby ordered as follows:

1. The residence real estate jointly owned by the parties and located at 210 West Bloss street, Titusville, Crawford County, Pa. 16354, where wife and children presently reside, shall be listed for sale no later than Jan. 2, 1989, with an asking price of $32,000.00, the net proceeds of sale to be equally divided between husband and wife. Prior to the sale of the subject premises, wife and children shall continue to reside upon the subject premises, and wife shall make timely payment of all obligations having to do with the occupancy, maintenance, and upkeep of the subject premises, as well as indebtedness against the same, including but not limited to the Pennbank mortgage, city services, all utilities, all real estate taxes, fire and extended coverage insurance, and all maintenance and upkeep expenses. In the event wife defaults in making any of the aforesaid payments in timely manner, husband may, in his discretion, make such payments, in which caSe the full amount of any and all such payments may be offset by husband as against child support payments which may otherwisee be due.

2. Wife shall immediately transfer to husband all of her right, title, and interest in and to a certain 1986 Dodge 600 presently leased from Chrysler Credit Corp.

3. Neither party is entitled to alimony, alimony pendente lite, counsel fees, costs or expenses.

4. Husband and wife shall have shared and joint physical and legal custody of Katie Anne McCord, born Sept. 3, 1981, and Michael Timothy McCord, born July 25, 1985.

5. Each parent shall promptly and in a timely manner notify the other concerning travel plans, travel arrangements, and any delays which may occur. Any such delays causing a loss of custodial time with the children for the other parent will result in a reverse of the Holiday split of time.

6. Each parent shall be entitled to complete and full information from any doctor, dentist, teacher, or authority, and to have copies of any reports given to either party as a parent. These documents shall include, but are not limited to, medical reports, report cards, birth certificates, and baptismal certificates.

7. Katie Anne McCord and Michael Timothy McCord will reside with wife on weekdays, Monday through Friday, and on alternate weekends. Both children will reside with husband every other weekend from 9:00 a.m. Saturday to 6:30 p.m. Sunday. In addition, husband shall have temporary custody of both children every Wednesday evening, and not less often than one other evening per week, on twenty-four hours notice to mother.

8. Husband shall have the right to have both children visit with him during two separate two week periods each summer, said two week visitation periods to be separated by at least one week, and said two week visitation periods to be preceded by not less than thirty (30) days notice to mother.

9. Physical custody of the children shall be alternated during the following holidays:
A. Thanksgiving Day.; B. Christmas Eve, beginning at 3:00 p.m. on Dec. 24, and ending at 11:00 a.m. on Dec. 25. C. Christmas Day, beginning at 11:00 a.m. on Dec. 25, and eding at 11:00 a.m. on Dec. 26.; D. New Year 's Day. E. Easter.; F. Memorial Day; G. July 4, ; H. Labor Day.; I. Birthday of the child.

10. Husband shall also have physical custody of children for three continuous days during school Christmas Vacations each year, said three day periods not to conflict or reduce in any way the Christmas Eve, Christmas Day, and New Year's Day visitation rights of either parent.

11. Husband shall pay to wife for the support of the two minor children the sum of Seventy -eight(78.00) dollars per week, said support payments to be apportioned as follows: Thirty-nine ($39.00) dollars for Katie Anne McCord, and thirty-nine ($39.00) dollars for Michael Timothy McCord.

12. Husband shall continue in force, at no expense to wife, health insurance coverage for children as provided by husband's employer, Titusville Area School District, and husband shall pay for one-half of any and all me dical, dental, orthodontia, surgicaldr, or other related health care expenses not covered by said insurance.

12. Husband shall be entitled to claim Katie Anne McCord as an exemption and wife shallbe entitled to claim, Michael Timothy McCord as an exemption, until such time as the oldest child is no longer a dependant, following which the parties shall alternate taking the youngest child as an exemption.

13. If either party breaches any provision of this Order, the other party shall have the right to sue for damages for such breach and/or for specific enforcement under the provisions of the Divorce Code of 1980, as amended, and the breaching party shall be responsible for all legal fees and costs incurred by the other in enforcing rights under this agreement and/or in seeking such other remedies or relief as may be available. Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 21, 1988 copies of order to J. Ronald Kushner and Victor J. Kinnunen, esqs

1988

Dale, Woodard, Montgomery
Greenfield & Pemrick
Raymond S. Woodard

471.

Meyer, darragh, Buckler,
Bebenek & Eck
Steven P. Franckhauser

Henry Sneath

RICHARD E. KLINGER AND
LINDA J. KLINGER, HIS WIFE

vs.

MARGARET ANN LEVETO AND
DANIEL J. LEVETO, HER
HUSBAND

Filed May 24, 1988
@ 1:14 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

Sheriff's Costs 30.00
Pro Co. pd 3-18-92 6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

June 2, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Margaret Ann Leveto within named defendant on May 24, 1988 at 2:57 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Daniel J. Leveto, adult member of her family, namely her husband, at their residence, 388 Edgewood Drive, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED Daniel J. Leveto, personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Summons to him on May 24, 1988 at 2L58 P.M. at his residence, 388 Edgewood Drive, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 21, 1988, Upon praecipe of defendant attorneys, rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint, issued.
(copies of rule mailed to Atty. Franckhauser)

August 17, 1988 Complaint filed.

Oct 3, 1988 Appearance of Meyer, Darragh, Buckler, Bebenek & Eck by Henry M. Sneath, Esq. for Defendants filed.

Nov. 14, 1988 Answer and New Matter filed.

Nov. 17, 1988 Request for Production of Documents to Richard E. Klinger filed.

Nov. 17, 1988 Request of Production of Documents to Linda J. Klinger filed.

Nov. 17, 1988 Notice of Deposition of Richard E. Klinger filed.

Nov. 17, 1988 Notice of Deposition of Linda J. Klinger filed.

Nov. 17, 1988 Notice of Service of Interrogatories of defendants filed(Linda J. Klinger)

Nov. 17, 1988 Notice of Service of Interrogatories of defendants filed.(Richard E.Klinger)

Dec 5, 1988 Reply to New Matter filed.

Dec. 30, 1988, Verification of Answer and New Matter filed.

Jan. 3, 1989 First Set Of Interrogatories DirectedTo Richard E. Klinger and Answers Thereto filed.

Jan. 3, 1989 First Set Of Interrogatories Directed To Linda J. Klinger and Answers Thereto filed.

Jan. 9, 1989, Notice of Deposition Upon Oral Examination of Margaret Ann Leveto, filed.

Dec. 19, 1989 Notice Of Service of Request For Production Of Documents filed.

May 28, 1991, Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON TRIAL LIST FOR OCTOBER 1991

Sept. 9, 1991 Notice of Deposition of Dr. David Lobas filed.

Sept. 9, 1991 Notice Of Deposition of Dr. G. Malcolm Cottington filed.

Oct. 2, 1991, Motion for Continuance filed.

Oct. 2, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 1, 1991 the plaintiffs' Motion to continue the trial in this case is granted. Said trial is continued to the Feb. 1992 term of civil jury trials. BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Oct. 3, 1991 copies mailed to K. Pemrick, S. Franckhauser and H. sneath.

Oct. 16, 1991 Notice of Deposition of Dr. David Lobas filed.

Oct. 16, 1991, Notice of Deposition of Dr. G. Malcolm Cottington filed.

On Trial List for February, 1992

Jan. 21, 1992 Notice Of Continued Videotape deposition of Richard E. and Linda J. Klinger filed.

Jan. 21, 1992 Notice of Deposition of Brian E. Dalton, M.D. filed.

Jan. 23, 1992 NOTICE OF DEPOSITION and CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE filed. I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF DEPOSITION was served upon counsel for plaintiffs by U.S. First-class mail, postage prepaid, this 22nd day of January, 1992. Henry M. Sneath, Esq.

Jan. 24, 1992 Notice of Deposition of Defendants filed.

Jan. 27, 1992, Videotape deposition fo Garrett W. Dixon M. D. filed.

Jan. 28, 1992 ORDER filed. (See original at AD 1990 - 1017).

March 17, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney this case is hereby discontinued of record.

472

1988

Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman, Berlin May Walsh and Brabender
Stephen H. Hutzelman

472.

ASSOCIATES CONSUMER DISCOUNT COMPANY

vs.

CHRISTOPHER HALL AND JUDY HALL, HIS WIFE

Filed May 24, 1988
@ 3:23 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

COMPLAINT

Aug 22, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

Nov. 15, 1988, Complaint Reinstated.

Dec. 8, 1988, Complaint reinstated.

1988

Legal Services
Gerald Sullivan

473.

William F. Gorman

HOWARD LINDSEY

vs.

CLOTILDE ANDERSON

Filed May 25, 1988
@ 9:36 a.m.

Indigent filing

Sheriff's Costs	18.00
Mediation Costs	122.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

4 copies (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Clotilde Anderson, Defendant, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of the minor children, Derrek Anderson, Tousissant Andersona dn Danielle Anderson.

You are ordered to appear in person at the time and place selected by WILLIAM PINEO, CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided bythis order, an order for custody, partial custody, or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

The Crawford CountySheriff's Dept. is directed to serve a cert. copy of this complaint and order upon the defendant. In the alternative, this Petition and Order may be served by any competent adult or by certified mail.

Plaintiff is allowed to proceed without payment of court costs reserving the right to impose costs, reserving to the court the right to reserve costs.

Plaintiff is allowed to proceed without payment of court costs. You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help..

Crawford CountyBar Assoc. ser.to the Pub. Committee, Meadville, Pa. 16335, 814 724-4636

BY THE COURT,
Robert L.Walker, J.

May 25, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, Affidavit to Proceed IN Forma Pauperis filed.

June 2, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Clotilde Anderson personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Court Order and Complaint for Custody to her on May 26, 1988 at 3:55 P.M. at her place of residence, 513B Willow Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 1, 1988 Temporary Order Filed. AND NOW, this 1st day of July 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the reccomendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Legal and physical custody of the subject minor children is awarded to their mother, Clotilde Anderson..
2. The father shall have the right of visitation with his children every weekend, except for the last weekend of every month, from Saturday at 9:00 am through Saturday at 6:00pm and Sunday at noon through Sunday at 6:00 pm.
3. The parties are free to make alternative or additional arrangements respecting Visitation. These must be by mutual agreement. If no agreement can be reached, then this order shall govern strictly.
4. Each party shall teach the children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the eyes of said children.
5. The parties shall treat each other in a civil and polite manner whenever the children are present and shall instruct their friends and relatives to do likewise.
6. Neither party shall use the contacts necessitated by the provisions for visitation in this Order to harrass or annoy eahch other in any way.
7. Neither party shall be under the influence of alcohol or consume any controlled substance when he or she has care or custody, or is in the presence of the children.
8. The mediator has noted for the Court that both parties are indigent. Costs and fees of this Mediation, are fixed at $122.00 are therefore assessed to Crawford County.
9. Either party may move the Court for a hearing De Novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

BY THE COURT
P. Richard Thomas, J.

July 5, 1988 Copies of the order Sent to Gerald Sullivan & Wm Pineo

July 25, 1988 Motion For Hearing De Novo and Order filed. AND NOW, this 25 day of July, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion a hearing is scheduled in this matter for the 11 day of Aug., 1988, at 3:00 p.m. in the court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

this Motion and Order shall be served upon defendant's attorney of record by mailing a copy to him at his business address.

by the court,
P. Richard Thomas, J

July 25, 1988 copies of order to Gerald Sullivan and William Pineo

Aug 4, 1988 Appearance of William Gorman, Esq. for Clotilde Anderson filed.

August 12, 1988 ORDER filed. And now, Aug. 12, 1988, the temporary Order of July 1, 1988 based on the recommendation of the Custody Mediator is adopted as the permanent Order of the Court until further Order of Court.

The Court notes that the children were interviewed individually in chamber, with only the Judge, the child and the court reporter present, notwithstanding the fact Plaintiff's counsel requested the right to be present, which request was denied and an exception noted on behalf of the Plaintiff.

By Order Of The Court,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

8-15-88 Copy of Order to Attys. Gerald Sullivan and William Gorman.

1988

Ferguson, Spataro
O'Shea
Thomas D. O'Shea

474.

JO ANN McGRAW

vs.

MICHAEL W. McGRAW

Filed May 25, 1988
@ 9:42 p.m.

Tax & entry $40.50
9.00

PETITION FOR RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

2 copies (atty)

ORDER FOR HEARING:

AND NOW, this 25 day of May 1988, upon consideration of the within Petition for Relief, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 3rd day of June 1988 at 11:30 a.m. in C. R. No. 1, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

Pending the time set for hearing, the Respondent shall be prohibited from attempting to cause or intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly causing bodily injury to the petitioner, or placing by physical menace the petitioner in fear of bodily injury, or contacting the petitioner. Any law enforcement officer with the power to arrest is ordered to assist in the implementation of this order.

Custody of the parties minor children shall remain with the petitioner pending the time for said hearing.

The Court shall determine wich party shall pay the court costs and service costs at the time set for hearing and the Prothonotary isdirected to proceed with the filing of the petition and order forthwith. In addition, the Sheriff of Crawford County is hereby ordered and directed to serve the within petition without the filing ordered and directed to serve the within Petition without the filing of costs at the present time but costs to be directed and ordered at the time of hearing.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

May 25, 1988, copy of order to T. O'Shea, Esq.

June 2, 1988 Motion for withdrawal of Petition and Order filed: AND NOW, June 2, 1988, the above motion allowed.

P. Richard Thomas, Judge

June 3, 1988 Copies of order to Thomas O'Shea, Esq.

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis E. Blystone

475.

WALDO M. WOGE

vs.

LAURI J. WOGE

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Pro Co Pd 10-26-93 9.00

Filed May 25, 1988
@ 9:44 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
John Fuller, Mediator	120.00
Pro Co Pd 11-28-88	9.00
Pro Co Pd 11-23-88	9.00
John Fuller, Mediator	180.00

8-30-93 Received from Plff med dep 150.00

10-14-93 Pd Plff Bal med dep Chk #850 51.00

10-26-93 Pd Co Ch# 7874 med fee 90.00

ORDER AND NOTICE:

You, Lauri J. Woge, defendant, have been sued in court to obtain custody of the child: Nicole M. Woge.

You are herebyordred to appear in person for a Mediators conference at the time and place scheduled by the custody mediator appointed in this case.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody may be entered agaist you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legalhelp.

Crawford County Law Librarian, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335, Tele: 814 336 - 1151.

May 25, 1988 BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

May 25, 1988, copy of order to C. Blystone, esq.

May 25, 1988, Motion for Appointment of Child Custody Mediator and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, May 25, 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing motion, JOHN FULLER is appointed child custody mediator in the above captioned case.

1 copy (atty) 1 copy (mediator) Robert L. Walker, J.

May 31, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed.

1. The undersigned, Curtis E. Blystone, is attorney for the plaintiff, Waldo M. Woge, in the above action in divorce.

2. On May 26, 1988, affiant mailed a true and attested copy of the complaint in the above captioned action, with NOtice to Defend and Claim Rights together with the Order and Notice required by Pa. R.C.P. 1915.3(b), to the defendant Lauri J. Woge addressed to her mailing address of 1211 Chula Street, Meadville Pennsylvania, 16335, by certified mail posted at the Meadville, Pennsylvania Post Office being certified mail item no. P 404 856 684 as indicated by the "Receipt for Certified Mai;" attached hereto. Said item of certified mail was restriected for delivery to the addressee only.

3. The aforesaid item of certified mail was delivered to the defendnat, Lauri J. Woge, personally on May 27, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt attached hereto and signed by the defendant personally.

I verify that the statements made in this affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements herien are made subject ot the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

DAted: May 31, 1988 Curtis E. Blystone

June 22, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, June 22, 1988 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS: TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Nicole Woge shall be shared between the father, Waldo M. Woge and the mother, Lauri J. Woge, that is each parent shall be entitled full access to school records, medical records and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part in important decisions affecting the child, such as religious instruction, medical treatment and education.

II. Physical custody of Nicole Woge shall be shared between the mother and father in the following manner:

(a) The father shall pick up the child at the home of the mother at 9:30 a.m. each day Monday through Friday, beginning June 15, 1988 and the father shall deliver the child to the home of the mother each day Monday through Friday at 3:00 P.M., beginning June 4, 1988.

(b) Beginning June 17, 1988, the mother shall have the child in her home every other weekend from 3:00 p.m. on Friday until Monday morning at 9:30 A.M.

(c) The father shall have the child in his custody every other weekend beginning June 25, 1988 from 9:30 a.m. Saturday morning until 3:00 p.m. Monday afternoon.

(d) Transportation of the child on daily and weekend visits shall be provided by the father.

(e) Holidays shall be defined as Thanksgiving, Christmas and the child's birthday. The child shall be in the custody of the mother on her birthday in 1989 and in the custody of the father on her birthday in 1990 and the parties shall continue to alternate having the child in their custody on alternating years as provided above. The child shall be in the custody of the father on Thanksgiving Day in 1988 and in custody of the mother on Christmas Day in 1988. In 1989 the child shall be in the custody of the mother on Thanksgiving Day and in the custody of the father on Christmas Day. The parties shall continue to alternate having the child in their custody on such holidays in the same manner as provided above until this order is changed or amended. On holidays when the child is in the home of the father, the child shall be in the home of the mother on the evening preceding such holiday from 3:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m. and on holidays when the child is in the custody of the mother, the child shall be in the home of the father on the evening preceding such holiday from 3:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m.

(f) Either mother or father may have the child in their custody for a continuous one week period, once a year. The mother or father shall notify the other parent at least thirty (30) days in advance of the time in which they intend to exercise such continuous one week visitation period.

(g) Neither parent shall expose the child to any person or situation which would be harmful to the child and neither parent shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent but on the other hand shall speak at all time respectfully of the other parent and do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

(h) This order shall be a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed within thirty (30) days of the date hereof; however, this order is entered without prejudice to the right of either mother or father to request a further mediation conference or hearing after six (6) months from the date hereof.

Costs of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.
Robert L. Walker, J

June 22, 1988 Copies of order to Curtis Blystone and John Fuller Esqs.

Sept. 2, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Continued on page 557

1988

Barbara J.Walton

Douglas Ferguson
Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
~~Thomas D. O'Shea~~

476.

Bettie J. Peterson-Muth

Wasson Egan & Wilson
William G. Martin

JO ANN McGRAW - now known as Jo Ann Reichel

vs.

MICHAEL W. McGRAW

Filed May 25, 1988
@ 11:21 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
1 count paid	15.00
State pd. 2-12-92	10.00
Pro Co pd. 2-12-92	9.00
Pro.Co. Pd 11/14/94	$5.00

John Fuller, Mediator
5-15-96 Pro Co Pd
5-15-96 Pro Co Pd

2-16-95 Rec'd from dept med. Dep. 150.00
3-2-95 Pd dept 150.00 #8970

4-8-96 Pd plf 132.00 #9915

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

June 2, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed: I, the undersigned being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated May 26, 1988. The Defendant acknowledged service on May 27, 1988 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

FERGUSON, SPATARO & O'SHEA
Thomas O'Shea, Attorney for Plaintiff

Dec. 31, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec. 31, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 12, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

feb. 25, 1992 Motion For Withdrawl Of Contingent Matter and Order filed. AND NOW, February 24, 1992, The Motion is hereby granted and all contingent pending matters in the above action are hereby withdrawn from consideration by this Court.

Gordon R. Miller, J

Feb. 26, 1992 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Ferguson and Muth.

Feb. 25, 1992 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, February 24, 1992, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

JO ANN McGRAW and MICHAEL W. McGRAW

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Nov. 14, 1994 Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name of JoAnn McGraw to be known as JO ANN REICHEL, filed.

Jan. 18, 1995 Motion for Modification of Custody, filed.

Jan. 31, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW,January 18, 1995, upon presentation of the within Motion to Modify, this matter is referred to John Fuller, Esquire, a Custody Mediator, for mediation proceedings in accordance with the applicable rules and laws.

In the meantime, the agreement of November 25, 1991 that resulted in the last Order entered in this case shall remain in full force and effect without change or modification with respect to the father's rights. The children shall remain with Robert and Dorothy O'Brien, their material grandparents, rather than the mother because of the mother's recent hospitalization. We are keeping the children in the same area so that they can attend the same school and have the same friends and attempt to retain as much of the status quo as possible in this matter pending thorough review by the Mediator.

Mr. and Mrs. O'Brien are required to comply with the last Order with respect to the father's visitation rights and assure that those visitation rights take place without any problems.

The father desires mental health information regarding the mother. We believe that tthat is appropriate and relevant to her ability to be a proper parent and to advance the best interests and welfare of the children. We do not now order any records or information being disclosed. We would hope that counsel for the father would make a request to counsel for the mother for particular information and if that information would be disclosed without need for further court intervention. In the event that that does not happen, then this Court would be happy to hear any motions and petitions by the father,which motions and petitions should set forth in detail what he wants, when he wants it and who he wants the information from and then we will consider further relief.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R.Miller, President Judge

1-31-95 Copies given to Attys. Walton & Fuller. 2-1-95 Copy to Atty. Martin, Ferguson & Peterson-Muth

Feb.24, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, February 24, 1995 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Michael McGraw, Casey McGraw, Meghan McGraw and Codie McGraw shall be shared between the mother,the maternal grandmother shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject children.

II. Physical custody of Michael McGraw, Casey McGraw, Meghan McGraw and Codie McGraw shall be with their maternal grandmother, Dorothy O'Brien, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody every other weekend from 5:30 o'clock p.m. on Friday to 5:30 o'clock p.m. on Sunday, beginning February 17, 1995.

B. The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the children into her custody every other weekend, beginning Friday, February 24, 1995. The children shall go directly to the mother's home from school on Friday afternoon and stay at mother's home until Monday morning when they shallgo directly to school from mother's home.

C.Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. Custody of the children shall be shared onthe aforesaid holidays and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the children shall be in the custody of the mother on the aforesaid holidays until 1:00 o'clock p.m. and in the custodyof the father on the aforesaid holidays from 1:00 o'clock p.m. until 8:00 o'clock p.m.

Continued to Page 573

1988

Emil M. Spadafore Jr.

477.

MARINE BANK

vs.

LOUIS J. BARANYAI

Filed May 26, 1988
@ 1:39 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Pro Co Pd 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

June 30, 1988 Acceptance Of Service filed. I, Louis J. Baranyai accepted service of the Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure, having the same caption and number as above, onJune 24, 1988.

Louis J. Baranyai

AND NOW, July 19, 1988 @ 8:57 A.M., Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant for failure to file an Answer and damages are assessed as follows: Principal, Fifty-one Thousand One Hundred Seventy-two and 13/100 Dollars; Interest to July 15, 1988, Four Thousand One Hundred Fifty-six and 31/100 Dollars; Late Charges One Hundred Sixty-three and 04/100; Negative Escrow balance Two Hundred Ninety-eight and 37/100 Dollars; Attorney's Commission Two Thousand Seven Hundred Eighty-nine and 49/100 Dollars; TOTAL Fifty-eight Thousand Five Hundred Seventy-nine and 34/100 Dollars.

B-104

Debt....$58,579.34
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

7-19-88 Judgment entered

EX 1988-75

478

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

478.

SANDRA J. TURNER

vs.

LARRY W. TURNER

Filed May 26, 1988
@ 2:07 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 3/21/90 9.00 ✓
State pd 3/21/90 10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

June 6, 1988, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Atty. for plaintiff in above case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on May 27, 1988 mail to dft. by cert. mail P 547 808 370 ret. rec. req. del. to addressee only, a complaint in above action. Said notice received by dft. on June 1, 1988 as indicated by ret. rec. card which is attached hereto. Joan D.Mason, Esq.

Mar. 19, 1990 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Mar. 19, 1990 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 19, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Mar. 29, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, March 27, 1990, after the plaintiff has filed a praecipe for review under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, counsel for the Plaintiff has requested that the matter be returned to the Prothonotary without any further action.

Therefore, at the request of the plaintiff, this matter is not now reviewed and a divorce decree is not entered. The case shall be returned to the Prothonotary. BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 30, 1990 copy of order put in Joan Mason's box.

Apr. 3, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned divorce action is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

479.

PATRICIA ANN ABROWSHAN

vs.

MAHMOUD ABROWSHAN

Filed May 26, 1988
@ 4:05 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 10/28/88 9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

June 10, 1988 9:48 A.M. AFfidavit of Service Filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being dulysworn according to law, do depose and say that I did on may 27, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Mahmoud Abrowshan by certified mail #p-547 808 369, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. SAid notice was received by Mahmoud Abrowshan on June 3, 1988 as indicated by the return of receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Attorney

Sept 14, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 16, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Oct. 26, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review under 201(c) of the divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Nov. 1, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, November 1, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

P A T R I C I A A N N A B R O W S H A N and M A H M O U D A B R O W S H A N

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

480

1988

Gordon R. Miller

480.

M. Dan Mason

RONALD RONCAGLIONE

vs.

MIKE KATORA

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF DISTRICT JUSTICE WAYNE E. HANSON - NO. 30-3-01

Filed and issued
May 27, 1988 @ 8:55 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓
Pro Co 9/8/88 15.00 ✓

May 27, 1988, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

May 31, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

June 15, 1988 Complaint Filed.

June 29, 1988 Proof of Service filed. Gordon R. Miller, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is the attorney for the plaintiff, the appellee in this action; that he mailed a copy of the complaint filed by the appellee in this action on June 14, 1988 to the defendants, Michael E. Katora and Barbara A. Katora at the address shown in the District Justice records, to-wit: Golden Goose Lodge, Box 443, Linesville, PA 16424 and to the defendants' residence address at 105 Mall Boulevard, Suite 270 West, Monroevile, PA 16146; and therefore the defendants were served with a complaint in accordance with Pa. R.C.P.D.J. 1005 on June 14, 1988. Gordon R. Miller,

July 27, 1988 Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

Sept 1, 1988 Plaintiff's Reply to Defendants' New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

Sept. 1, 1988, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney for appointment of arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed: Theodore Watts, Ch.
William Gregg
Louis Stack

Sept 14, 1988 Arbitrators take qualifying oath.

1988

Daniel J. Kuhn
Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin and Stack
James R. Irwin

481.

3/6/90 Rec'd $68.50
Peron Plaintiff

3/26/90 Rec'd $35.00 From
Defendants

Recd 4-4-90
$68.50
Vivian Stolby

Recd 4-4-90
$35.00
Vivian Stolby

GEORGE W. DAVIS

vs.

JOAN BELFIORE (DAVIS)

Filed May 27, 1988
@ 9:25 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Mediation Cost 137.00
Pro Co pd 3/3/90 9.00

William Pineo, master

PETITION FOR CUSTODY (UCCJA)

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 27 day of May 1988, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediator conference before the Mediator, JOHN FULLER, at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse with theChild Custody Mediator as required byRule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pa.

It is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the court, the aforesaid minor child shall not be removed from the jurisdiction ofthe court byeither party, hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

June 1, 1988, Verification of Service filed. I, Rainer Eaton, do hereby verify that I did on the 27th day of May 1988, at 6:00 p.m. personally did serve the above named respondent at her address of 328 Bolard Ave, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, Pa., a certified copy of the custody petition, notice and order as filed at the above no.
Dated: June 1, 1988 Rainer Eaton.

July 13, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, July 13, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Melissa Ann Davis shall be shared between the mother, Joan Belfiore, and the father George W. Davis, that is each shall be entitled full access to medical records, school records and other important records and that each shall be entitled to take part in decisions affecting the child, including but not limited to decisions regarding education, religious instruction and medical treatment.

II. Physical custody of Melissa Ann Davis shall be shared between her mother Joan Belfiore, and her father, George W. Davis inthe following manner:

(a) During the months of June, July and August, physical ckustody of Melissa Ann Davis shall be with her mother subject to the following conditions:

1. The father shall be able to visit and take the child into his custody every othr weekend from Friday at 6:00 p.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m., beginning July 15, 1988.

2. The father shall also be entitled to have the child in his custody for one week during the Summer vacation period. The father is to provide the mother at least thirty (30) days notice of the one week in which he intends to exercise such summer vacation period except that inthe Summer of 1988, it shall be sufficient if the father gives the m other two weeks notice.

(b) Physical custody of Melissa Ann Davis shall be with her father George W. Davis, during the months of September through May, subject to the following conditions:

1. The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the child into her custody every other weekend from Friday at 6:00 p.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m., beginning September 9, 1988.

2. In addition, the mother shall be entitled to have the child in her custody for a full week during the Christmas vacation, beginning the day after Christmas each year.

III. Holidays shall be defined as Thanksgiving, Christmas and Easter, The child shall spend Thanksgiving Day of 1988 with her father and Christmas Day of 1988 with her mother. The child shall spend Easter of 1989 with her father, Thanksgiving Day of 1989 with her mother and Christmas Day of 1989 withher father. The parties shall continue to alternate having the child in their custody onthe same schedule from year to year thereafter until this order has been changed or amended. On holidays when the child is inthe custody of her mother, the child shall be inthe custody of her father onthe evening preceding such holiday and on holidays when the child is in the custody of the father, the child shall be inthe custody of the mother on the evening preceding such holidays

IV. Neither moher nor father shal lsay or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand, shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude onthe part of the child towards both parents.

V. Neither parent shall expose the child to any person or situation which would be harmful to the child and both parents agree to contact the other immediately inthe event of any accident or injury regarding the child, and to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

VI. This order is entered without prejudice to the irght of either party to request a further mediation conference or hearing at any time after January 1, 1989.

VII. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

Robert L. Walker, J

July 13, 1988 Copies of order to Gerald Sullivan, James Irwin and John Fuller

Dec. 8, 1989 Complaint for Rehearing for Custody, Partial Custody, Visitation and ORder of Court filed.

Continued on page 581

1988

Jack, Kookogey & Felton
Robert J. Felton

482.

pro se

LETTY R. DAHLE

vs.

CHARLES J. MANGEL AND
ANGELA ALLEN

Filed and issued
May 27, 1988
@ 10:26 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25
Arb. Pd 10/20/88 15.00
Pro Co pd 8/30/89 9.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF
MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30 - 3 -06

May 27, 1988, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

June 29, 1988 Complaint in Trespass filed.
(1) One copy Attorney.

Oct. 20, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for reference to the Arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed: David L. Hotchkiss, Esq.
Lisa Pepicelli Youngs
Terry Toomey

Nov. 22, 1988, Arbitrators Take the Qualifying Oath.

May 4, 1989 Notice of Hearing Before Board of Arbitrators filed.

July 19, 1989, Decision of the ARbitrators filed in which they find: in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants in the amount of Eighteen Hundred Dollars ($1,800.00) together with record costs.
M - 33 A 227
Decision entered 7/19/89

DECISION OF THE ARBITRATORS
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

July 19, 1989, copies mailed to Atty. for plaintiffs and to defendants.

And Now Aug. 30, 1989 at 9:57 a.m. upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney judgement is hereby entered infavor of Plaintiff in the amount of $1,800.00 plus costs as defendants failed to appeal from the decision.
M-33-227-A
judgment entered Aug. 30, 1989

Debt $1,800.00
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

483.

William T. Jorden

T. J. TIGHE

vs.

COMMODORE ENERGY CO.

Filed and issued
May 27, 1988 at 10:26 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25 ✓
Pro Co pd 8/29/88 9.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF
WAYNE E. HANSON, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT
30-3-01

May 27, 1988, Rule entered upon the plaintiff to file complaint issued.

June 1, 1988 Proof of Service filed.

And now, Aug. 29, 1988 at 3:12 p.m. upon praecipe of defendants attorney judgment of Non Pros is hereby entered against the named plaintiff for failure to file a Complaint within twenty days after being ruled so to do.

T-166

JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

484

1988

Jorden and White
Harry F. White, II

484.

Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
Douglas W. Ferguson

FRANK L. RIMER

vs.

SUSAN R. RIMER

Filed May 27, 1988
@ 4:00 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid 6/1/88-$40.50
State Pd 2-12-92 10.00
Pro Co. Pd 2-12-92 9.00
Pro Co Pd 2/26/92 5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

June 8, 1988, Appearance of Ferguson, Spataro and O'Shea, by Douglas w. Ferguson, attorneys for defendant, filed.

June 9, 1988 12:08 P.M. Affidavit of Service Filed. Harry Faber White, II, Esquire, Attorney for plaintiff, Frank L. Rimer in the above-captioned matter, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served the Notice to Plead, and Complaint under SEction 201 (c) of the Divorce Code upon the defendant, Susan R. Rimer, By certified mail, return receipt requested, on May 31, 1988, at the defendant's address, 526½ Chestnut Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania, 16335. The defendant's acceptance of the same is attached hereto.

Harry White II, Esquire

Aug. 23, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Oct. 24, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Feb. 12, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Feb. 24, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, February 24, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Frank L. Rimer, Plaintiff, and Susant R. Rimer, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Feb. 26, 1992, NOTICE OF ELECTION TO RETAKE MAIDEN NAME filed.

RO BE KNOWN AS: SUSAN R. RILEY

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs, PC
Alan L. Pepicelli

485.

KIMBERLY L CHAMPION

vs.

STEVEN L. CHAMPION

Filed May 27, 1988
@ 4:08 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
2 counts paid	30.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 12/5/89	9.00 ✓
State pd 12/5/89	10.00
Pro Co Pd 1/4/90	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE,
EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS,EXPENSES

1 copy (atty)

June 22, 1988 Certificate Of Service filed. Alan L. Pepicelli, Esquire, hereby certifies that he is the attorey for the Plaintiff, Kimberly L. Champion inthe within Action and that a copy ofthe Complaint in divorce was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, to the Defendant Steven L. Champion, at R.D.#1, Box 78, Cochranton, Pennsylvania 16314 on May 31, 1988, and was received by said Defendant on June 2, 1988. The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "A".
Alan L. Pepicelli

Nov. 17, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 17, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec. 4, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Dec. 12, 1989 Motion to withdraw paragraph 6 and Order filed. And now, 12/12/89 granted.
P. Richard Thomas, J

Dec. 13, 1989 copy to plaintiff

Dec. 12, 1989 **STIPULATION AND DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 12 day of December, 1989, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed fromthe date of the filing of the complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each ofthe parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) ofthe Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. REAL ESTATE: Plaintiff shall transfer to Defendant all of her right, title and interest in the jointly owned real estate located at R.D. #1, Box 78, Cochranton (Wayne Township, Crawford County), Pennsylvania, so that the same shall belong tohim alone.

In exchange therefore, Defendant, Steven L. Champion shall pay to Plaintiff, Kimberly L. Champion the sum of $4,000.00, interest free, to be paid no later than August 1, 1990, which shall be compensation in full for Plaintiff's interest in said propety. However, if said property is sold by Steven L. Champion prior to August 1, 1990, and if the date of closing of the sale takes place before August 1, 1990, Kimberly L. Champion shall be paid in full on that date. In the meantime, beginning no later than January 1, 1990, Steven L. Champion shall pay to Kimberly L. Champion the sum of $100.00 a month, and $100.00 a month thereafter by the first day of each month, until such time as said $4,000.00 is paid in full. Each payment shall be creditied against the $4,000.00 debt owed hereunder.

Also in consideration of said transfer of interest form the Plaintiff to the Defendant, the Defendant agrees to remain solely responsible for the jointly owed debt which is in favor of Exchange Bank Division of First National Bank of Mercer County, which loan was taken out in the amount of approximately $5,000.00 in November of 1987, and which is being paid at the rate of $262.00 a month. Defendnat has already made at least twelve of the payments himself in the last year, during which time the parties have been separated.

Defendant, Steven L. Champion shall also be responsible for any real estate taxes, past, present or future, regarding said real estate.

3. VEHICLES: Plaintiff hereby relinquishes to Defendant, any interest she may otherwise have had in the 1986 Ford Ranger, and Defendant assumes full responsibility for any debt owed on said vehicle to Ford Credit, which presently is approximately $600.00. In the event that the title to said vehicle (which is presently held by Frod Credit) is titled totally or in part to the Plaintiff, she agrees to sign said title to transfer the interest to the Defendnat, promptly upon his request.

Plaintiff hereby waives any possible idnterest she may otherwise have had in the 1981 Plymouth Reliant automobile which was Defendant's before the parties were married, and in the twelve foot camper/trailer, and in the 550 Kawasaki motorcycle, which he owned before the aprties were married

4. PERSONAL PROPERTY: Each party hereto shall retain those items of tangible personal property that he or she now has in his or her individual possesison, free and clear from any claim of the other party hereto.

5. MISCELLANEOUS: Each party hereby waives any interest he or she may otherwise have had, in any and all employment-related benefits, bank accounts, or other assests earned or accured by the other party during the course of the marriage.

6. DEBTS: Each party shall be responsible for those debts individually incurred, whether during the marriage or post-separation, separation having occurred in February, 1988.

Defendant shall be responsible for only the following marital debts, present balances being noted: a. Exchange Bank (noted above in connection with the real estate) approximately $800.00. b. Montgomery Ward, approximately $900.00. c. Ford Credit (noted above) $600.00. Plaintiff shall be responsible for only the following debts: a. Any schooling debt or debts owed for education or schooling pursued by the Plaintiff. b. Any remaining debts or claims of Fred J. Hoegerl and Nancy J. Hoegerl, aga inst the parties hereto, and/or debts and claims as a result of the operation of the slaughterhouse by the Champions (except for the Exchange Bank debt), shall be the responsibility of both parties equally. However, the slaughterhouse debt or claim for which Defendnat shall be solely responsible, is the debt owed to Exchange Bank as noted above, which debt aws incurred for the purpose of providing a downpayment in the amount of approximately $5,000.00 towards the purchase of the Hoegerl's interest in Hoegerl's Slaughterhouse.

7. WAIVER OF FILING DOCUMENTS: Each party hereto waives the requirements of the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure, which would otherwise require each party to file a detailed inventory and appraisement listing all assets and debts, giving various detailed information regarding same. Each party indicates that he or she has been respresented, either now or in the past, by competent legal counsel relating to this divorce action and tothe division of assets and debts. Each party hereby indicates tothe court that he or she is fully satisfied with the terms of this decree, and that said decree has been agreed upon between the parties freely and without pressure or duress of any kind.

If either party shall be required to sign documents tofacilitate the accomplishment of the provisions of this decree, he or she shall do so immediately upon request.

8. ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, EXPENSES AND COSTS: Plaintiff hereby waives and relinquishes any claims she may otherwise have made for alimony pendente lite, counsel fees, expenses and costs, in light of the fact that she is presently employed, and has terminated representation by prior counsel, and in light of the fact that Defendant is incurring costs for the conclusion of this divorce action through his attorney.

9. Waiver of Claims; Neither party hereto shall make any claim against the other party hereto, except for enforcement of the rights and responsibilities set froth in this decree.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Jan. 4, 1990, Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name filed.
TO BE KNOWN AS:
KIMBERLY L. FIELD

1988

William J. Gregg

486.

Christopher YOungs

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC

FRED E. GARVEY AND CHARLENE E. GARVEY, HIS WIFE

vs.

DONALD L. RAY AND ROSEMARIE F. RAY, HIS WIFE

Filed May 27, 1988
@ 4:15 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 6/6/89 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

2 copies (atty)

June 1, 1988, Acceptance of Service filed. I accept service of the complaint on behalf of Donald L. Ray and Rosemarie F. Ray and certify that I am authorized to do so.
Date: June 1, 1988 Christopher J. Youngs, Esq.

June 24, 1988 Preliminary Objections filed.

June 24, 1988 Notice Of Deposition of Fred E. Garvey and Charlene E. Garvey filed.

July 1, 1988 Notice of Rescheduled Deposition of Fred E. Garvey and Charlene E. Garvey Filed.

July 6, 1988 Amended Complaint filed.

July 27, 1988, Notice of Service of Interrogatories and Requests for Production, filed.

Sept. 23, 1988, Deposition of Donald L. Ray filed.

Oct. 27, 1988 Defendants' First set Of Interrogatories and Request For Production To Plaintiffs and Answers Thereto filed.

Nov 23, 1988 Notice of Entry of Judgment filed.

Dec. 5, 1988 Answer, New Matter and Counter -Claim filed.

Dec. 28, 1988, Second Request for Production of Documents Directed to the plaintiffs pursuant to Rule 4009 filed.

Mar. 27, 1989, Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON JUNE 1989 TRIAL LIST

Apr. 24, 1989, Deposition of Fred and Charlene Garvey filed.

Apr. 24, 1989, Praecipe to File Depositon filed.

Apr. 24, 1989, Motions of Defendants for Summary Judgment filed.

Apr. 24, 1989, Upon praecipe of defendants, Motion for Sumamry Judgment is placed on next Argument List.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR MAY 1989

June 6, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued with prejudice.

1988

Watts, Pepicelli
Youngs & Youngs
Alan L. Pepicelli

487.

pro se
Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
~~Nancy M. Sennett~~
withdrawn

DANIEL O. GRINNELL

vs.

GEORGE L. MATTESON

Filed and issued.
May 31, 1988 @ 9:58 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25
Pro Co Pd 4-21-92 5.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF
ROBERT J. LEONHART, DISTRICT JUSTICE

May 31, 1988, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

June 3, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

June 20, 1988 Complaint In Civil Action filed.

June 30, 1988 Certificate Of Service filed. Alan L. Pepicelli, Esquire, hereby certifies that he is the attorney for the Plaintiffs, Daniel O. Grinnell and Martha L. grinnell, his wife, in the within Action and that a certified copy of the Complaint in Civil Action was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested deliver to addressee only, tothe Defendant, George L. Matteson, at R.D. #2, Centerville, Pennsylvania 16404 on June 21, 1988 and was received by said Defendant on June 24, 1988. The receipt for certified mail and return receipt are attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "A' Alan L. Pepicelli

July 14, 1988 Certificate of Service filed. Alan L. Pepicelli, Esq., hereby certifies that he is the attorney for the Plaintiffs, Daniel O. Grinnell, his wife, in the within Action and that a Ten-Day Default Notice was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, to the Defendant, George L. Matteson, at R.D. #2 Centerville, PA 16404 on July 12, 1988 and was received by said Defendant on July 13,1 988. The Receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "A".
By Alan L. Pepicelli

July 22, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by Nancy M. Sennett for defendant filed.

Aug. 1, 1988 Answer and New Matter filed.

Aug. 9, 1988 Reply To New Matter filed.

Aug. 9, 1988 Certificate Of Readiness filed.

On October 1988 Trial List

Sept 26, 1988 Motion to Continue Trial and Order filed: AND NOW, this 26th day of September, 1988, Motion denied.
Robert L. Walker, J.

Sept 26, 1988 **NONJURY TRIAL DATE:** AND NOW, September 26, 1988, nonjury trial is hereby set for December 14, 1988, at 9:00 A.M. in Courtroom 1 or 2.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

Sept 27, 1988 Copies of order to all counsel.

Nov. 28, 1988, Motion to Continue Trial and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 23 day of Nov. 1988, upon consideration of the within Motion, trial in the above case is hereby continued generally, to be reset upon further motion by either party.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.
Nov. 30, 1988 copies to Nancy Sennett and A. Pepicelli.

Aug. 16, 1990 Praecipe to Place Back on Trial List filed.

On October 1990 Trial List

Oct. 5, 1990 Motion To Continue Trial and Order filed. And Now, this 20 day of September 1990, upon consideration of the MOtion of counsel for the Defendant, George L. Matteson, to continue the trial of this case, said Motion is sgranted, and the non-jury trail o fthis case is continued until the February, 1991 term of court. Not to be scheduled sooner than Jan. 10, 1991.
By The Court,
P R Thomas, S.J.

Oct. 8, 1990 Copies of order put in Nancy Sennett and Alan Pepicelli's box.

Oct. 5, 1990 Order Setting Nonjury Trial filed. And now, October 5, 1990, nonjury trial in the above captioned matter is hereby set for January 29, 1991, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom No. 1 or 2, of the Crawford County Courthouse.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Oct. 8, 1990 Copies of order put in Alan Pepicelli and Nancy Sennett's box.

On February 1991 Trial list
On April 1991 Trial list
On October 1991 Trial list
On February 1992 Trial List

Jan 16, 1992, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, this case removed from trial list.

Feb. 18, 1992 ASSIGNMENT OF DATE FOR NONJURY TRIAL filed. Feb. 14, 1992: Nonjury trial in the above case is herby set for Apr. 7, 1992, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom No. 2.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm.

Feb. 21, 1992 copy in box for A. Pepicelli & N. Sennett

Continued on page 562

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Gary A. Fabian

488.

JUANITA CONLEY

vs.

DONALD M. FERRY AND BEVERLY A. FERRY, HIS WIFE

Filed May 31, 1988
@ 11:26 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	24.00
Pro Co Pd 7/27/88	9.00
Sat pd. 10/25/88	5.00

COMPLAINT IN ACTION OF MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

June 30, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

July 12, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Donal M. Ferry personally the within named defendant on July 5, 1988 at 10:55 a.m. at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA 16335.
SERVED Beverly A. Ferry On July 5, 1988 at 10:56 am by handing a copy of complaint to her authorized agent, Donald M. Ferry, at the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA. Signed acceptance of service attached.
So answers, Ctherin I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, July 27, 1988, at 2:30 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, plaintiffs affidavit attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for want of an answer, damages assessed in the amount ofTwenty Three Thousand Four Hundred Ninety and 74/100 Dollars. (includes int. to 5/27/88 of $566.43, and atty's comm. of $1,118.61)
Judgment entered - 7/27/88
F - 44, 24

Debt - - $23,490.74
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EX/1988-72

Oct. 21, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey this judgment satisfied of record.

1988

Williams and Adair
David G. Ridge

489.

PAUL H. VANSLYKE

vs.

JOANNE L. VANSLYKE

Filed May 31, 1988

Tax & entry Paid		$40.50
1 count paid		15.00
Pro Co Pd	3-29-89	9.00
State Paid	3-29-89	10.00

COMPLAINT FOR DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

3 copies (atty)

Oct. 4, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Oct 6, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 4, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 16, 1989 Affidavit Of Service Of Complaint In Divorce filed. Harold Gehring, Constable, of the City of Erie, County of Erie and State of Pennsylvania, being duly sworn accor ding to law, deposes and says that he served JOANNE L. VANSLYKE, Defendant, in the above divorce proceedings, with a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and notice to appear and answer in the matter and form set forth on the original Complaint in Divorce and made known toher the contents of the same by handing the same to her at Plastek, place of Employment on June 29, 1988 at 2:10 P.M.; that the person upon whom service was made is the Defendant named in the above-entitled divorce proceedings by identificationof personal admission & signature. Harold Gehring, Constable

Mar 29, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Apr. 10, 1989 **STIPULATION AND DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 10th day of April, 1989, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. **DIVORCE:** UPon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed inthe above captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the abaove named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of filing of the complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the aprties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the diovrce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. **REAL ESTATE:** Joanne L. Van Slyke shall transfer all of her right, title and interest to Paul H. Van Slyke, by way of quitclaim deed, in the residential real estate consisting of approximately one lot and a house, located at 505 Church Street, Cambridge Springs, Pennsylvania, in exchange for the following:

a. Paul H. Van Slyke shall assume full responsibility for any balanced owed to Fleet Mortgage Corporation, relating to the mortgage owed on said property, and shall catch up on all payments immediately.

b. Paul H. Van Slyke shall be fully responsible for any insurance and taxes or other debts, liens, or encumbranes regarding said property.

c. Paul H. Van Slyke shall do all that is necessary to remove Joanne Van Slyke from the jointly owed mortgage, owed to Fleet Mortgage Corporation, and shall do so immediately.

d. Paul H. Van Slyke shall pay to Joanne L. Van Slyke, for her interest in the property, the sum of $645.00, which shall be paid at the rate of $65.00 a week, beginning withthe first week after he has finished paying his support obligation to JOanne L. Van Slyke.

e. Paul H. Van Slyke will repay to Don Stout, $300.00 for funds borrowed by these parties towards closing costs onthe real estate, within 120 days of this decree.

3. **VEHICLE** Paul H. Van Slyke shall transfer to JOanne L. Van Slyke, all of his right, title and interest in the 1978 AMC Concord, which is presently titled to Paul H. Van Slyke alone. He shall also buy a motor (or repair the existing motor) and buy a hearter core, and heater blower motor which items he shall install in the 1978 Concord, at his expense, and fix the car either by replacement or repair, until it is in good running order. He shall at his expense keep the motor for the car in good running order (parts and labor) for a period of one year from the date the divorce between the parties is granted. He shall not be obligated to repair or replace motor where damage is caused by Joanne Van Slyke's negligence. Joanne Van Slyke will be responsible to transport the vehicle to Paul Van Slyke for all required motor work. The work on the car, as set forth above as being the Plaintiff's obligation, shall begin as soon as possible after the time both parties have signed the stipulation to the entry of this divorce decree into court order.

4. **TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY:** Each party shall retain those items of tangible personal property from the home, which he or she now has, with the exception of the following items which are in Plaintiff's possession, which he shall deliver to the Defendant: glue gun, air brush, and compressor.

Plaintiff shall pay to JOan D. Mason, attorney for Joanne L. Van Slyke, the sum of $505.00, with the understanding that she shall prepare and draft the divorce decree and stipulation, which is to be done on behalf of Plaintiff, but is to embody Plaintiff's understanding of agreement reached between the parties, and the necessary paper to submit the divorce to the court for final determination.

5. **DEBTS:** Paul H. Van Slyke shall be responsible for the payment of any utility bills, including National Fuel Gas, Penalec, and telephone, for accounts for services rendered to the marital residence at 505 Church Street, Cambridge springs, Pennsylvania, whether said bills are acutually in the name of Plaintiff or the Husband.

Paul H. Van Slyke will pay to Don Stout the sum of $300.00 owed for the purchase of a trailer hitch, or return the hitch, in acceptable condition, to Don Stout, within 60 days of the date of this decree.

6. Neither party hereto shall be required to file an inventory and appraisement as required by the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure, as each party hereto is aware to his or her own satisfaction, ofthe debts and assets of the other party hereto.

7. Neither party hereto shall make any further claim against the other party hereto.

Robert L. Walker, J

1988

490.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK
OF PENNSYLVANIA
940 Park Avenue
Meadville, Pa. 16335

vs.

WILLIAM F. MORTON
CONSTANCE L. MORTON

Filed May 30, 1988
@ 1:09 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$9.00
plff on orig	19.50
release paid 8/3/89	5.00

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983-- 505

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment entered on June 30, 1983 be revived and Prothonotary has indexed judgment of revival in the amount of One Hundred Three Thousand and 00/100 Dollars plus costs.

Debt.....$103,000.00
Dated.....May 26, 1988
M - 174, 34.....JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

June 16-1989 This judgment Satisfied
(See paper filed)

Aug. 2, 1989, Writing filed wherein plaintiff releases from the lien of the above judgment the following property:
All that certain piece or parcel of land situate in the Borough of Spartansburg, County of Crawford and State of Pa., (for more complete description, see paper filed)

1988

491.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK
OF PENNSYLVANIA
940 Park Ave, Meadville, Pa. 16335
vs.

SCOTT W. MORTON
PAMELA MORTON

Filed May 31, 1988
@ 1:09 P.M.

Pro Simmons paid	$ 9.00
plff on orig	14.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 - 506

The undersigned hereby agrees that the judgment entered on June 30, 1983 to DSB 1983 - 506 be revived and prothonotary has indexed a judgment of revival in the sum of One Hundred three Thousand and 00/100 Dollars plus costs.

Debt.....$103,000.00
Dated.....May 26, 1988
M - 154, 134.....JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

June 16-1989 This Judgment Satisfied
(See paper filed)

1988

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

492.

CHERYL R. SHUMATE

vs.

KEVIN L. SHUMATE

Filed May 31, 1988
@ 1:24 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE,CUSTODY

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a part in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 5-31-88 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 8, 1997 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

493.

WALTER E. GRATKOWSKI

vs.

BARBARA J. GRATKOWSKI

Filed May 31, 1988
@ 1:27 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Pro Co Pd 10/18/88 9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

June 17, 1988 Affidavit of Mailing Complaint under PA R.C.P. NO. 1124 (b) filed.

Oct 3, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Oct. 5, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Oct. 18, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Nov. 2, 1988, DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

DECREE: AND NOW, November 2, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

Walter E. Gratkowski and Barbara J. Gratkowski

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

1988

Anthony J. Vardaro

494.

BRENDA J. VITELLI

vs.

JOHN J. VITELLI

Filed May 31, 1988
@ 2:56 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 9/22/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Junw 28, 1988 Affidavit of service filed. Vicki Bradshaw, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the secretary to Anthony J. Vardaro, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff in this action and certifies that on 6/1/88 she delivered to the Post office in Meadville , PA for delivery to JOhn J. Vitelli at 799 Stewart St Meadville, PA 16335 by certified mail, return receipt requested, delivery restricted to addressee only, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in this matter for which deponent received at the time receipt for certified article no. P-547 803 554 which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Thereafter on 6/8/88 it was returned to Anthony J. Vardaro, return receipt card bearing the same number and siggned by John J. Vitelli said return receipt card being attached hereto as Exhibit"B".

Vicki Bradshaw

Sept. 7, 1988, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Sept 14, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 20, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Sept. 28, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, September 28, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

BRENDA J. VITELLI and JOHN J. VITELLI

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs and Youngs PC
Alan L. Pepicelli

495.

Quinn, Gent, buseck
& Leemhuis, Inc.
Paul F. Burroughs

BARNETT H. CRAWFORD

vs.

GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION,
AND BUICK MOTOR DIVISION,
GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION

Filed May 31, 1988
@ 3:58 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs $151.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

(Jury Trial Demanded)

May 31, 1988, Request for Production of documents filed. 1 copy (sheriff)

June 30, 1988, Appearance of Quinn, Gent Buseck & Leemhuis Inc. by Paul F. Burroughs, attorneys for defendants, filed.

July 28, 1988 Answer and New Matter filed.

Aug. 10, 1988 Plaintiff's Reply To New Matter of Defendants filed.

Sept 23, 1988 Answers to Interrogatories Directed to Defendants, General Motors Corporation and Buick Motor Division, General Motors Corporation, (First Set) filed.

Sept 30, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO General Motors Buick Div., Defendant Company by handing a true and attested copy of the within Complaint issued in the above captioned matter on 13 June, 1988, at 1:00 o'clock P.M., DST at 123 S. Broad, c/o CT Corp, in the County of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania, to Ms Spear, agent or person for the time being in charge of defenant's office or usual place of business.
So answers, John D. Green, Sheriff
Signature Illegible, Deputy Sheriff

SERVED General Motors Corporation and Buick Motor Division, General Motors Corporation by deputizing the Sheriff of Philadelphia County, as per his affidavit of service attached.
Served: June 13, 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Theodore H. Watts

Watts, Pepicelli,
Youngs & Youngs PC

496.

MARILYN L. EVERS

vs.

EUGENE B. EVERS

Filed May 31, 1988
@ 4:08 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Cost 20.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

July 25, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

August 18, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Served Eugene B Evers Personally the within named defednant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Divorce to him on August 15, 1988 at 10:18 AM at his place of Residence, 233 Boileau Place, Meadville, Crawford Co. PA

So Answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a part in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 8-18-88 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 8, 1997 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

1988

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

497.

KATHRYN KRISTENSEN

vs.

STUART KRISTENSEN

Filed June 1, 1988
@ 8:45 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 3/6/89	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 2, 1989 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, Terry Toomey, Esquire, attorney for the Plaintiff, Kathryn Kristensen, do hereby certify as follows:
1. That on June 1, 1988 I caused to be mailed to the Defendant, Stuart Kristensen, c/o of Tracy Logan 80 Georgian Avenue Rochester, New York, 14610, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed by your Plaintiff on June 1, 1988.
2. The mailing of the said Complaint in Divorce was by certified mail, return receipt requested and a receipt for the said certified mail is attached hereto.
3. On June 14, 1989, the Defendant, Stuart Kristensen apparently received the said Complaint in Divorce as is evidenced by the return receipt dated June 14, 1988 and attached hereto.
Further, I sayeth not. Terry Toomey

Mar. 2, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Mar. 2, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 2, 1989 Stipulation between the parties filed.

Mar. 2, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Mar. 10, 1989 **DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 10th day of March, 1989, this Court ORDERS AND DECREES as follows:

DIVORCE: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court her eby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of filing of the Complaint, and that an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the Divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

CUSTODY: The parties shall share equally legal custody of their minor children Aaryn Kristensen and Amanda Kristensen. The Plaintiff mohter shall have primary physical custody of the minor children. The Defendant father shall have reasonable visitation rights to the minor children at such times and places as are mutually agreed upon by the parties hereto.

BY THE COURT
Robert L. Walker, J

1988

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

498.

Ferguson and Spataro
Douglas Ferguson

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

RICHARD SCOTT

vs.

CYNTHIA A. SCOTT

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

NOTICE AND ORDER OF COURT:

You, Cynthia A. Scott, defendant, have been sued in court to obtain custody of the children: Gary Scott, Stephen Scott, Nicole Scott and Michael Scott.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford CountyBar Assoc. Ser. to the Publ. Committee
310-312 chestnut St, Meadville, Pa. 16335, Tele: 814 724-7719.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dated: 6/1/88

Filed June 1, 1988
@ 8:47 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
John Fuller, Mediator 135.00
9.00

Aug. 23, 1988 ORDER filed. AND now, Aug. 23, 1988 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esq., and based upon an agreement of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Gary Scott, Stephen Scott, Nicole Scott and Michael Scott shall be shared between the father, Richard Scott, and the mother, Cynthia A. Scott, that is each parent shall be entitled full access to school records, medical records and other important records, and each parent shall be entitled to participate fully in decisions affecting the children's health, education, religious instruction and other such important decisions.

II. Physical custody of GAry Scott, Stephen Scott, Nicole Scott and Michael Scott shall be with their mother, Cynthia A. Scott, subject to the following conditions:

(A) The father, Richard Scott, shall be entitled to visit and take the children in his custody the first and third Saturday of each month, beginning Aug. 20, 1988, and shall keep them from 6:00 p.m. on Saturday until 8:00 p.m. on Sunday.

(B) On the second Sunday of each month, beginning Sept. 11, 1988, the father shall visit and take the children into his custody from 2:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m.

(C) When the father has completed his schooling which is scheduled to be completed about Jan. 1, 1989, then it is anticipated that the father shall be entitled to a weeknight visit each week at a time mutually agreeable to the mother. Although such weeknight visits shall require the mother's consent, such consent shall not be unreasonably withheld.

(D) On any visit, either weekend or weeknight, if the father is forty-five minutes or more late and has not called and made other arrangements with the mother, the motehr shall be entitled to deem such visit cancelled.

(E) The father shall provide transportation to and from the home of the mother on weekend and weeknight visits.

(F) Holidays shall be defined as Thanksgiving, Christmas and Easter. The children shall be in the mother's custody on Easter and Thanksgiving on even numbered years and in the father's custody on odd numbered years. In 1988 the children shall be in the father's custody from 7:00 p.m. Christmas Eve until 11:00 a.m. Christmas morning and in the mother's custody from 11:00 a.m. Christmas morning thereafter. In 1989, the children shall be in the mother's custody Christmas Eve and until 11:00 a.m. on Christmas morning and in the father's custody from 11:00 a.m. Christmas morning until 7:00 p.m. Christmas Day. The parties shall continue to alternate having the children in their custody on Christmas in the same manner as provided above until this order has been changed or amended. On even numbered years the children shall spend their birthdays with mother and on odd numbered years they shall spend their birthdays with father. With respect to having the children in his custody, unless otherwise agreed between the parties, the children on their birthdays shall be in the father's custody from 12:00 Noon until 8:00 p.m. on odd numbered years.

(G) Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

(H) Neither parent shall expose the children to any situation or person which would be harmful to their health and welfare and each parent shall keep the other parent apprised of any emergency situation or illness affecting the children.

This order shall be a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

Robert L. Walker, Judge

Aug. 25, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Terry Toomey, John Fuller.

Sept. 21, 1988 Motion For De Novo hearing and Order filed. And now, September 23, 1988 hearing set for October 27, 1988, at 10:30 a.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2.

Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Sept. 26, 1988 Copies of order to Terry Toomey and John Fuller

Oct. 28, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 27, 1988, at the time fixed for de novo hearing, the following amendments to the Order of August 23, 1988, are made, with the general consent of the parties:

Paragraph II(a) is amended by adding after the work Saturday in line 3 thereof "and Sunday."

The court has been advised that the father has completed his schooling earlier thatn expected and Paragraph II(c) in placed in effect at this time. The visitation period shall be from 5:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m. and the weeknight visits shall take palce on Wed. of each week unless otherwise agreed to by the parties.

Paragraph II(f) is vacated and the following is substituted therefor: Holidays shall be defined as New Year' Day, Easter, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving and Christman. The visitation period on holidays other than Christmas and New Years shall be for a period from 12:00 noon until 8:00 p.m. and holidays shall be alternated between the parties, with the plaintiff to be entitled to Thanksgiving in 1988, and thereafter, all holidays to be alternated. Insofar as Christman and New Years are concerned, the visitation period shall be from 7:00 p.m. on the evening

CONTINUED ON PAGE 498A

before the holiday until 11:00 a.m. on the morning of the holiday. The provisions of this order relating to holiday visits shall take precedence over any general provisions regarding visitation contained in this order.

The children shall be int he father's custody from Noon until 8:00 p.m. on their birthdays in odd-numbered years and with the mother in even-numbered years.

Except as modified herein, the Order of August 23, 1988 is adopted as a final order.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Oct. 31, 1988, copies to T. Toomey and D. Ferguson and J. Fuller.

Oct. 13, 1989 Complaint To Modify Custody and Order filed. You, Cynthia A. Scott, Defendant, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of the Children: Gary Scott, Stephen Scott, Nicole Scott and Michael Scott

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by John Fuller, Esq., Child Custody Mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
c/o Prothonotary
Courthouse
Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335
Telephone 724-4636

Date: Oct. 13, 1989

BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J

Oct. 13, 1989 1 copy atty 1 copy mediator

Oct. 13, 1989 Application For Special Relief and Order filed. AND NOW, this 13th day of October, 1989, upon Application for Special Relief, and after due consideration thereof, it is hereby ORDERED that custody of the four (4) minor children, Gary Scott, Stephen Scott, Nicole Scott, and Michael Scott be placed with the Plaintiff Richard Scott, pending the Mediation Conference to be scheduled by John Fuller, Child Custody Mediator.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1 copy atty. 1 copy mediator

Aug 15, 1990 Order filed: AND NOW, August 14, 1990, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Gary Scott, Stephen Scott, Nicole Scott and Michael Scott shall be shared between their mother, Cynthia A. Scott, and their father, Richard Scott, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to medical records, school records, and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affecting the children's education religious instruction, medical treatment and other such important decisions.

II. Physical custody of the children shall be with their father, Richard Scott, subject to the following conditions:

A. The mother shall be entitled to visit Nichole Scott and Michael Scott every remaining Saturday in August and each Saturday in September of 1990 from 10:00 a.m. Saturday morning until 8:00 p.m. Saturday evening. The mother shall be also entitled to visit and have Gary Scott and Stephen Scott in her custody each Saturday in September of 1990 from 10:00 a.m. until 8:00 p.m. Saturday evening.

B. The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the four minor children into her custody every other weekend, beginning October 6, 1990, from 10:00 a.m. Saturday morning until 6:00 Saturday evening.

C. Transportation of the children on weekend and other visits shall be shared between the mother and the father, with the mother picking up the children at the home of the father when she is receiving custody and the father picking up the children at the home of the motehr when custody of the children is being returned to him.

D. Holidays shall be defined as Thanksgiving, Christmas and Easter. Custody of the children on the three aforementioned holidays shall between the mother and father as they may agree, with the children being in the home of each parent for some portion of each holiday. In the absence of an agreement between the parties, the children shall be in the home of the father on each of the three holidays until 2:00 p.m. and in the home of the mother on each of the three holidays from 2:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m. The holiday visitation schedule shall take precedence over weekend schedules.

E. Beginning with the Summer of 1991 the mother shall be entitled to have the children for two non-consecutive one (1) week periods to correspond with the mother's vacation from work. The mother shall provide the father with at least thirty (30) days notice of these one week periods.

F. Both mother and father are encouraged to cooperate with each other and to take the children to professional for counseling and mother and father are urged to cooperate and participate in the counseling to the extent recommended by the counselor.

G. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to faster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

H. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

I. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding on or both of the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by the parties equally. There is not petition or order for informa pauperis approval and both parties are working.

Gordon R. Miller, Judge

Aug 20, 1990 Copies of order put in Attys. Toomey, Kirkpatrick and Fuller's boxes and copies mailed to Plaintiff and Defendant.

Aug. 31, 1990 NOtice , Contempt Petition and Orders filed. ORDER; Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging you have wilfully disobeyed an order of court for custody. If you wish to defend against the claim set forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with the court your defenses or objections. Whether or not you file in writing with the court your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in court on Oct. 2, 1990 at 2:00 pm o'clock in Courtroom Number 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House in MeaDVILLE, Pa.

IF YOU DO NOTAPPEAR IN PERSON, THE COURT MAY ISSUE A WARRANT FOR YOUR ARREST.

If the Court finds you have wilfully failed to comply with its order for CUSTODY, you may be found to be in contempt of court and committed to jail, fined or both.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Continued on next page.

498
-a-

1988

Continuation of AD 1988 - 498 (Richard Scott vs. Cynthia A. Scott)

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
c/o Prothonotary, Courthouse
Meadville, Pa. 16335
(814) 724-4636

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Date 8-31-90

ORDER: AND NOW, Agust 31, 1990, upon presentation of Defendant's Contempt Petition it is hereby Ordered that hearing shall be held on Oct. 2, 1990 at 2:00 pm O'clock in Courtroom Number 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville, Pennsylvania.

By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, J.

2 copies (atty).

Oct. 9, 1990 Motion To Withdraw Contempt Petition and Order filed. And now, October 8, 1990, Motion allowed.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Oct. 9, 1990 Copies of orde rput in Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Terry toomey and John Fuller's box.

1988

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

499.

CHARLENE A. BULICH

vs.

MARK E. BULICH

Filed June 1, 1988
@ 9:03 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 9/16/88 15.00 ✓

Pro. Co. pd 11/17/93 9.00 ✓
State Pd 11/17/93 10.00
Mob Pd 12-12-96 9.00 ✓

8-9-96 Refund from deft mtd Dey 150.00
Oct. 17, 1996 Refunded
Deft. $26.38
Ck #10286
12-19-96 Pd to #114433
114.62

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

June 10, 1988 9:48 P.M. Affidavit of Service Filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq., attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on June 2, 1988 mail to the above Defendant, Mark E. Bulich by certified mail #P-547 808 368, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Mark E. Bulich on June 3, 1988 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Attorney

Sept. 16, 1988 Petition For Additional Remedies filed. (Custody)

Sept. 16, 1988 Petition For Temporary Custody and Order ifled. AND NOW, this 16th day of September 1988, upon considerationof the attached Petition for Temporary Custody, custody of Joshua Bulich and Maria Bulich is granted to their mother, charlene A. Bulich, untilfurther order of court. Mother shall have the right to enroll the five and a half (5 1/2) year old son in kindergarten. Father shall have the right to have the children in his custody every ofther weekend from 6:00 p.m. Friday until 4:00 p.m. Sunday, beginning Friday, September 23, 1988, father to provide transportation.

A certified copy of this order shall be delivered to the Pennsylvania State Police, and such other law enforcement officials as the Plaintiff may deem necessary, in orde rto secure custody of the two children with the Plaintiff.

Per Curiam,
Robert L. Walker, J

Sept. 21, 1988 Copy of order to Joan Mason

May 17, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

August 5, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT filed.

Nov.17, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Joan D. Mason, Esquire,Attorney for Plaintiff, to transmit record, case moved to court.

Dec. 7, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Dec. 10, 1993 Stipulation and Consent Custody Order filed. AND NOW, this 22nd day of November, 1993, the Court orders as follows:

1. The parties hereto are parents of two (2) minor children, Joshua Bulich, now age eleven (11) years old, having been born October 28, 1982, and Maria Bulich now age, nine and one half (9½) years old, having been born January 16, 1984.
2. Legal custody of the two minor children is hereby placed with their mother, Charlene A. Bulich, with father to have temporary physical cusotdy of the children every other weekend from Friday at 6:00 p.m. to Sunday at 6:00 p.m., said weekend schedule having commenced October 25, 1991.
3. The parties hereto shall mutually agree upon times during the following school holidays, when father shall have temporary custody of the chidlren for at least half of the chidlren's holiday vacation time from school: Thanksgiving, Christmas and Easter.
4. During each summer, father and mother shall alternate weeks of physical custody of the children throughout the times of the school vacation, beginning with the first full week of summer vacation and ending with the last full week of vacation, with each week beginning and ending on Sundays at 6:00 p.m.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Dec. 16, 1993 copy of order to Atty. Mason

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Jan. 17, 1994 AND NOW, January 14, 1994, it is ordered and decreed that CHARLENE A. BULICH, plaintiff, and MARK E. BULICH, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 9, 1996 Petition to Modify Custody/Special Relief, Notice and Order to Appear, ORDER, and Order and Notice filed. You, Charlene A. bulich, have been sued in court to obtain Custody of the childre, Joshua Bulich and Maria Bulich.

You are Ordered to appear in person at such time and place as wil be determined by the Mediator Kathleen Nelson, Esquire for a mediation conference. The Mediator shall send you a Notice of the Hearing by mail to the address listed on the complaint or petition that has been filed recently.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.

ORDER: AND NOW, this 9th day of August, 1996, upon consideration of the fathers Petition requesting the appointment of a Custody Mediator and requesting Special Relief in the form of an Order miantaining the status quo both of which requests were presented in Motions Court this 9th day of August, 1996, it is hereby ordered as follows;

1. That, for the balance of the summer, both children shall continue to be exchanged on an every weekend basis which arrangement shall continue until August 25, 1996, at which time each child shall go to their respective parents' households and shall follow the every other weekend visitation schedule set forth below.
2. That primary physical custody of Joshua Bulich shall be with his father, Mark E. Bulich.
3. That primary physical custody of Maria Bulich shall be with her mother, Charlene Bulich (Thomas).
4. That the children continue to be exchanged by the parties on an every other weekend

CONTINUED ON PAGE 572

500

1988

Ferguson, Spataro
& O'Shea
Douglas Ferguson

500
David E. Lamm

GLENN BRITTON

vs.

REBECCA HOAGLAND AND
MARK CHRISTMAN

Filed June 2, 1988
@ 10:39 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.25 ✓

Arb paid 8-23-88 15.00 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF
ROBERT J. LEONHART, DISTRICT JUSTICE

June 2, 1988, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

June 6, 1988 Proof of Service of Notice of Appeal and Rule to File Complaint filed.

June 20, 1988 Complaint in Trespass filed.

Aug 18, 1988 Defendant Christman's Answer and New Matter filed.

Aug. 23, 1988 Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's New Matter filed.

Aug 23, 1988 Attorney for Plaintiff filed Praecipe for Arbitration, whereupon the following arbitrators are appointed:

John D. Petruso, Chairman
Stephen E. Hall
~~Sylvia Dratler~~ Glenn Thompson

Sept. 8, 1988, ARbitrators Take the Qualifying Oath.

Sept. 21, 1988 Upon praecipe of Sylvia Dratler to withdraw as arbitrator the following arbitrator is appointed: Glenn Thompson

1988

Stanley Preiser
100 Laidley Towers
Charleston, WV 25301
C. Crady Swisher, III
Preiser Law Offices

501.

MacDonald, Illig, Jones
& Britton
~~Irving Murphy~~ withdrawn

Knox McLaughlin Gornall
& Sennett, P.C.
Wallace J. Knox

DENNY EMIG AND SANDRA EMIG, HIS WIFE

vs.

DAVID W. DUNN M.D.

Filed June 2, 1988
@ 10:52 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

Sheriff's costs 21.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

June 6, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED David W. Dunn within named defendant on June 3, 1988 at 10:34 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Carol Morris, Secretary and person in charge of his office at 381 Chestnut Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 10, 1988, Notice of Motion for Admission Pro Hac Vice and Order filed.
ORDER: 6/13/88 Granted.
1 copy (atty) P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 20, 1988 Appearance of MacDonald, Jones & Britton by Irving Murphy, Esq. for Defendant filed.

June 20, 1988 Rule upon Plaintiff to file Complaint issued. (rule mailed to C. Crady Swisher, III, Esq.)

July 11, 1988 Complaint filed.

Aug. 25, 1988 Answer and New Matter filed.

Aug. 25, 1988 Stipulation Between the parties that paragraph 9(e) is hereby stricken from the Complaint filed.

Sept. 13, 1988 Plaintiffs' Reply To New Matter filed.

Sept 15, 1988 Motion for Admissions Pro Hac Vice and Order filed: AND NOW, September 15, 1988 Motion granted.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Sept 20, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Murphy, Swisher and Preiser.

Sept 15, 1988 Plaintiffs' First Request for Production of Documents to Defendant filed.

Sept 15, 1988 Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant filed.

Sept. 26, 1988 Appearance of Speiser Law Offices by Stanley E. Preiser for Plaintiff filed.

Oct 3, 1988 Notice of Serving Interrogatories and Request for Production filed.

Oct. 17, 1988 Plaintiffs' First Set Of Interrogatories To Defendant filed.

Nov. 4, 1988 Response to Request for Production to Plaintiff Denny Emig filed.

Oct. 20, 1989 Defendant's Motion To Compel Responses To Interrogatories and Request For Production of Documents filed.

Oct. 20, 1989 Upon praecipe of defendant Attorney the above is placed on the next available Argument List.

1989 NOVEMBER AGRUMENT LIST

Nov. 15, 1989, Response to Request for Production to Plaintiff Denny Emig filed.

Nov. 15, 1989, Answers to Defendant's Interrogatories Directed to Plaintiff Denny Emig filed.

On February 1990 Argument List.

May 8, 1992 Appearance of Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett, P.C. by Wallace J. Knox for David W. Dunn, Defendant, filed.

June 24, 1992 Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Prosecute filed.
June 24, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Defendant Attorney the above is placed on the next available Argument List.

ON JULY 1992 ARGUMENT LIST

June 26, 1992 Upon praecipe of J. Douglas Murphy has withdrawn appearance of for Defendant

July 28, 1992 Order entereing Judgment of Non Pros filed. AND NOW, July 27, 1992, insofar as no one has appeared on behalf of the Plaintiffs at the time set for argument with respect to the Defendant's motion to dismiss for failure to prosecute and it further appearing that no response has been filed to the same and that 1) the Plaintiff has shown a lack of due diligence by failing to proceed with reasonable promptitude; 2) there is no compeling reason for the delay and 3) there has been a delay in excess of two years which is therefore presumed to cause prejudice to the Defendant, the Court enters a judgment of non pros and the Prothonotary is directed to entere the same with respect to this matter.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

July 28, 1992 Copies of the Order mailed to Attys. Preiser and Knox.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
Charles J. Swick

502.

MARQUETTE SAVINGS
ASSOCIATION

vs.

BERNARD G. FRANKS AND
LINDA A. FRANKS

Filed June 2, 1988
@ 11:14 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (atty)
1 copy (sheriff)

June 15, 1988 Sheriff's Return Filed. No one found to be in possession of mortgaged property located at 437 South Main Street, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, PA 16403. Property is vacant.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
Charles J. Swick

503.

11/15/88 Recd. #116.50 From Bozic + Thomas
11/16/88 Paid #4.00 To Bozic + Thomas Ck #4776
11/16/88 Paid #112.50 To Shafer, Swick, Bailey + Irwin Ck #4777

MARQUETTE SAVINGS ASSOCIATION

vs.

THOMAS M. JANNOT AND MARY E. JANNOT

Filed June 2, 1988
@ 11:14 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	42.00
Pro. Co. Pd 8-10-88	9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 11/16/88	$6.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

June 15, 1988, Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Thomas M. Jannot personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on June, 6, 1988 at 8:45 A.M. at Sheriff's Office, Room 303 Courthouse, Meadville, Crawford CO. PA. SERVED Mary E. Jannot within named defendant on June 7, 1988 at 9:31 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Richard Adsit, Adult person in charge of her residence, 447 Poplar St. Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. SERVED person in possession of mortgaged property, 619 Church Street, meadville, PA, named Wallace Good on June 7, 1988 at (:45 A.M. at his place of employment, Allegheny College, N. Main Street , Meadville, Crawford Co. PA.
So Answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 10, 1988 at 2:43 p.m., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against the Defendants for failure to file an answer within twenty (20) days of the service of the Complaint and assess the damage as follows:

Principal Debt	$64,715.75
Interest to 5-30-88	3,573.59
Deficient Escrow	112.10
Atty's Commission	3,235.78
	$71,637.22

Together with interest on debt at a rate of 9.25% per annum from May 30, 1988 and costs of suit.

Debt $71,637.22
Interest from 5-30-88
Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro.

J-163, J-231
Judgment entered 8-10-88

EXECUTION NO. 1988 - 77

Nov. 15, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above case is satisfied of record.

1988

Louis D. Musica

504.

FLOYD R. McCLURE

vs.

SUSAN A. McCLURE

Filed June 2, 1988
@ 1:12 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 10-17-88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

June 10, 1988 11:43 a.m. Affidavit of Service Filed
I, Louis D. Musica, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff, verify that on June 2, 1988 I mailed a true copy of the Complaint Under Section 201 (c) of the Divorce Code and Notice to Defend and Claim Rights to Susan A. McClure, Defendant , at RD #3 Box 610, Meadville, Crawford Co., Pennsylvania, via Receipt for Certified Mail No. P 440 902 885, Return Receipt requested, Restricted Delivery; that on June 6, 1988 said true copies were received by the defendant as is evidenced by her signature on the attached Return Receipts; and that I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Louis D. Musica, Attorney

Oct. 17,1988, Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Oct. 17, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Oct. 17, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Nov. 2, 1988, DIVORCE DECREE FILED. P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

DECREE: AND NOW, November 2, 1988, it is hereby ordered adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

Floyd R. McClure and Susan A. McClure

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties tothe other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
S chetroma & Schug
Nancy M. Sennett

505.

ROBERT K. MATHIS

vs.

DANIEL L. MURRAY

Filed June 2, 1988
@ 2:44 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Cost $24.15

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

June 16, 1988 Sheriff's Return Filed. SERVED Daniel L. Murray within named defendant on June 14, 1988 at 10:45 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Laurie Murray, adult member of his family, namely his wife, at their residence, Pettis Rd., RD #3, Cochranton, Crawford Co. PA.

So anwsers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Schroeck & Segel PC
George M. Schroeck

506.

Knox Grahan Mc Laughlin Gornall and Sennett Inc.
(For Kolcraft)
& Fishers Big Wheel
Mark E. Mioduszewski

Marsh, Spaeder, BAur Spaeder & Schaaf
Francis J. Klemensic
for addl dfts Cheryl M. Tracy and Alan W. Wilson

CHERYL M. TRACY AND ALAN W. WILSON, ADMINISTRATORS OF THE ESTATE OF JUSTIN EUGENE WILSON DECEASED, AND CHERYL M. TRACY, AND ALAN W. WILSON, INDIVIDUALLY

vs.

KOLCRAFT PRODUCTS, INC. NOW BY MERGER KOLCRAFT ENTERPRISES INC., AND FISHER'S BIG WHEEL, INC.

Cheryl M. Tracy and Alan W. Wilson add'l dfts.

Filed June 2, 1988
@ 3:02 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 101.50
Pro Co Pd 4/8/91 6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

July 14, 1988 Appearance of Knox Graham Mclaughlin Gornall and Sennett, Inc. by mark E. Mioduszewski, for defendant Kolcraft filed.

July 14, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Attorney for Defendant rule on Plaintiffs to file complaint issued. Issued Atty McLaughlin

July 28, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Before me, a notary public in and for the Commonwealth and County aforesaid, personally appeared Mark E. Mioduszewski, who, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is the attorney for the defendant, Kolcraft Products, Inc., now by merger, Kolcraft Enterprises, Inc., and that, in that capacity, he caused the service upon George M. Schroeck, Esq., counsel for plaintiffs, of a rule upon the plaintiffs to file a complaint within 20 days of service or suffer judgment of non pros, an authentic copy of which is appended hereto as Exhibit "A," said service having been accomplished on July 22, 1988, by United States Certified Mail, REturn receipt requested, the return receipt card evidencing such service being appended hereto as Exhibit "B."

Mark E. Mioduszewski

Aug. 11, 1988 Complaint filed.

Aug. 24, 1988 Notice of Service of Request for Production to Plaintiffs (First Request) filed.

Aug. 24, 1988 Notice of Service of Interrogatories to Plaintiffs (First set) filed.

Sept 7, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO All above named defts X Defendant Company, by handing a true and attested copy of the within Summons, issued in the above captioned matter on 13 June, 1988 at 1:00 P.M. DST at 123 S. Broad, c/o CT Corp, in the County of Philadelphia, State of Pennsylvania to Ms. Spear, agent or person for the time being in charge of defendant's office or usual place of business.

John D. Green, Sheriff
Signature Illegible, Deputy Sheriff

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Dan McClenahan, Deputy Sheriff of Lawrence County, Penna. who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 6th day of June, 1988, at 3:15 P.M. he served Summons, filed at AD 1988-506, upon defendant Fisher's Big Wheel, Inc. at 102 Nesbitt Rd., New Castle, Penna. by making known the contents thereof to Mark McNulty, Director of Accounts, person found in charge at time being and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Summons received from Crawford County.

Dan McClenahan, Deputy Sheriff
George Sigler, Sheriff

SERVED Kolcraft Enterprises, Inc., within named defendant by deputizing the sheriff of Philadelphia County, Pa., as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 6-13-88.

SERVED Fisher's Big Wheel, Inc. within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Lawrence County, Pa., as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 6-6-88.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 11, 1988, Appearance of Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett P.C. by Mark E. Mioduszewski, attorneys for defendant Fisher's Big Wheel, Inc., filed.

Oct. 19, 1988, Answer and New Matter filed.

Nov. 7, 1988 Reply to New Matter filed.(2 copies attorney)

Dec. 7, 1988 Answers to Request for Production to Plaintiffs filed.

Dec. 7, 1988 Answers to Interrogatories to Plaintiffs and Affidavit filed.

Dec. 9, 1988 Notice of Taking Depositions Upon Oral Examination of Alan W. Wilson and Cheryl M. Tracy filed.

May 9, 1989 Motion To Compel Discovery filed.

May 9, 1989 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attorney the above captioned case is listed for argument.

On June 1989 Argument list

July 19, 1989 Appearance of Marsh, Spaeder, Baur, Spaeder & Sc haaf, by Francis J. Klemensic, attorneys for additional defendants, Cheryl M. Tracy and Alan W. Wilson, filed.

Sept. 14, 1989 Notice Of Service Of Interrogatories To Additional Defendant filed.

sept. 14, 1989 Notice Of Service Of Request For Production To Additional Defendants, Alan W. Wilson and Cheryl M. Tracy filed.

Sept. 20, 1989, Notice of Taking Deposition Upon Oral examination of Elisha Vivier filed.

Sept. 20, 1989, Answers of Kolcraft Enterprises, Inc. to Interrogatories to Defendants, filed.

Sept. 20, 1989, Notice of Serving Interrogatories and Requests filed.

Oct. 4, 1989 Notice of Rescheduling Deposition filed.

Continued on Page 506A

1988

Cheryl M. Tracy and Alan W. Wilson et al
vs.
Kolcraft Products, Inc.,al

Record Continued from page 506
of
AD 1988 - 506

Oct. 18, 1989 Answers of Defendants to Interrogatories of Additional Defendants filed.

Nov. 15, 1989, Notice of Rescheduling Deposition of Elisha vivier, filed.

Dec. 29, 1989, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Dec. 27, 1989, the plaintiffs' objections to discovery including the production of documents and things requested by the defendants of the plaintiffs is overruled, and the plaintiffs are ordered and directed to complete the discovery and furnish all documents and claims requested by the defendants. Nothing herein contained shall be deemed to make any determination as to the ultimate admissibility of the things produced at trial, such decision being reserved until the time of trial.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.
Jan. 3, 1990, copies to Schroeck & Segal, PC. Mark Mioduszewski, and F. Klemensic, Esqs.

Jan. 5, 1990 Notice of Third Resche;duled Deposition of Elisha Vivier, filed.

Jan. 18, 1990, Amended Reply to New Matter filed.

Feb. 5, 1990 Notice Of Serving Interrogatories and Requests For Production Of Documents filed.

Feb. 12, 1990 Defendants Reply to Additional Defendants' Affirmative Defense of Statute of limitations filed.

July 19, 1990, Answers of Defendant, Kolcraft Enterprises, Inc. to Interrogatories and Request for Production of Additional Defendants, filed.

Apr. 8, 1991, Petition for Leave to Settle Wrongful Death and Survival Action and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, TO WIT THIS 8th day of April 1991 upon consideration of the foregoing petition for court approval of settlement, and being satisfied that the settlement as descrived therein is fair and reasonable and is in the best interest of all parties involved, it is hereby Ordered Adjudged and Decreed as follows:

a. That the settlement be and hereby is approved;
b. That the plaintiffs execute and deliver to the defendants the release, a copy of which is appended to the petition as Ex. A, and to take all measures necessary and appropriate to discontinue this and any other lawsuit arising out of the death of Justin Eugene Wilson, with prejudice;
c. That the defendants deliver to the plaintiffs the settlement proceeds of $100,000.00. Upon payment by the defendants of the settlement proceeds to the plaintiffs, the defendants shall be forever exonerated from any and all liability arising out of the a ccident which gives rise to this lawsuit; the death of Justin Eugene Wilson; or the purchase, sale, condition, characteristics, design or performance of the product which is the subject of this lawsuit;
d. That the proceeds of settlement be distributed as follows:

a. To Cheryl M. Tracy and Alan W. Wilson, individually (Wrongful Death Action)	$64,326.60
b. To the estate of Justin Eugene Wilson, deceased (Survival Action)	1.00
c. To Schroeck & Segel P.C. Attorneys fees	33,333.33
Reimbursement of expenses	2,339.07
total	$100,000.00

Gordon R. Miller, J.

Apr. 8, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, all claims and causes of action which were, or could have been, asserted in this action having been settled, adjusted and compromised, this action is hereby discontinued of record.

506B

1988

1988

Brian J. Lindsay

507.

Alan Pepicelli

2-8-94 Rec'd $150.00 deposit for mediation by dft

3-16-94 rec'd pb 100.00 mediation fee dft.

3-16-94 refunded dft $91.00 med. ck #8166

3-22-94 Pd Co Ck# 8179 50.00

CHRISTINE BOSCO

vs.

BRYAN BOSCO

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

2 copies (atty)

Filed June 3, 1988
@ 10:12 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$ 40.50
1 count paid	15.00
John Fuller, Mediator	
Mediation Costs	140.00
Pro Co. pd 8/31/90	9.00
State pd 8/31/90	10.00
Pro Co pd 3-22-94 8180	9.00

July 28, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, BRIAN J. LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Christine Bosco, at that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did on the 11th day of July, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Addressee only, Return Receipt Requested a true and correct copy of the Notice to Defend and Claim Rights and the Complaint to Defendant, Bryan Bosco at his last known address of R.D.#4, Box 795, Meadville, PA 16335, as evidence dby the attachedsender's receipt No. P 446 365 372, and that on the 14th day of July, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 13th day of July, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Dted 7/27/88 Brian Lindsay

FEb 6, 1989 Order of Court filed: AND NOW, this 6th day of February, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Complaint, presented by Christine Bosco through her attorney, Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire, it is ordered that all parties and their counsel are to appear in person for a mediation conference before John Fuller, Child Custody Mediator at such time and place as the Mediator may specify.

BY THE COURT:
Robert L. Walker, J

Feb 6, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Lindsay and Pepicelli. and Fuller.

May 4, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, May 4, 1989, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, and based upon an agreement of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of the two minor children, Tawnya Bosco and Kyle Bosco, shall be shared between th emother and the father, that is, each parent shall be entitled full access to full access to medical records, school records and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part indecisions affecting the children's medical treatment, education, religious instruction and other such important decisions.

II. Physical custody of Tawnya Bosco and Kyle Bosco shall be with their mother, Christine Bosco, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody every other weekend beginning May 5, 1989 from 5:00 p.m. on Friday evening until 6:00 p.m. on Sunday evening. The fther shall pick up the children at day care and shall deliver the children to the home of the mtoehr on Sunday evening, provided, however, that the father shall not enter thehome of the mother and that there shall be no angry exchanges between the aprties when the father delivers the children to the home of the mother and as little verbal contact as possible.

B. Beginning with the Summer of 1989, the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody for two separate one (1) week periods, provided that he give the mother at least thirty (30) days notice of any such Summer visitation.

C. Holidays shall be shared as equally as possible between the mother and father, according to their mutual agreement, subject to the follows:

(1) In even numbered years the children shall spend Christmas Eve at themother's home from 5:00 p.m. until 10:00 a.m. Christmas Day. The children shall then spend Christmas Day at the father's home form 10:00 a.m. until 8:00 p.m. In odd numbered years the children shall spend Christmas Eve at the father's home from 5:00 p.m. until 10:00 a.m. Christmas Day and they shall spend the remainder of the day at the mother's home.

(2) The chidlren shall be with the father form December 26th at 5:00 p.m. until 12:00 noon of the day before school resumes during each Christmas vacation.

(3) In even numbered years the children shall spend Thanksgiving at the father's home from 12;00 Noon on Thanksgiving Eve until 12:00 Noon on Thanksgiving Day. In odd numbered years the children shall spend Thanksgiving at the father's home from 12:00 noon until 7:00 p.m. the same day.

(4) The mot;her shall be entitled to have the children in her custody on Either Easter vigil or Easter morning service in those years when the children otherwise spend the Easter weekend at the father's home. The father shall give the mother ten (10) days notice ofhis wishes regarding the Easter vigil or Easter service. The mother shall be responsible for transportation of the children on Easter visits.

D. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the chidlren against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

E. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

F. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding one or both of the chidlren, and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County. Robert L. Walker, J

May 8, 1989 Copies of order put in attys. Lindsay, Pepicelli and Fullers' box.

Aug. 3, 1990 Verification of Service filed. I, BRIAN J. LINDSAY, Esquire, verify that I am the attorney of record for the Plaintiff, and that as such I am authorized to make this verification of service; that I did,on July 30th, 1990, send to Defendant's atotnrey of record, Alan L. Pepicelli, Esquire, the Plaintiff's Affidavit of Consent, all notices required unde rth eRules, and Defendant's counter-Affidavit for a 201(d) divorce, by First Class United States Mail, postage prepaid. Brian J. Lindsay

Aug. 8, 1990 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Continued on page 594

508

1988

William J. Gregg

508.

William Peters

DEBRA M. BARTGES

vs.

DONALD G. BARTGES

PETITION FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 3 day of June 1988 upon presentation of the foregoing Petition, the parties hereto are ordered to appear before WILLIAM PINEO, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR, at such time and place as said Child Custody Mediator shall hereinafter determine.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard thomas, J.

Filed June 3, 1988
@ 11:17 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
William Pineo, Mediator 82.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 9-20-88 - 9.00 ✓

6/3/88 Recd. $41.00 from Defendant

9/19/88 Rec'd $41.00 from plff.

Recd Oct 5, 1988 $41.00 Vivian Stoltz

Recd Oct 5, 1988 $41.00 Vivian Stoltz

Aug 24, 1988 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 24th day of Aug. 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter, and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal custody of the subject minor children.

2. The mother shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor children.

3. The father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody on the first weekend of each month that the mother does not have to work. Visitation shall begin Friday at 6:00 p.m. and end Sunday at 6:00 p.m.. For the two weekends following, the father will have visitation either Saturday or Sunday from 6:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.. He will notify the mother by 6:00 p.m. of the preceding Tuesday of the day that he wishes to select and if that day is Sunday, when he will be returning the children.

4. The father will have visitation and temporary physical custody of the children for at least two weeks each summer and up to one month at his option. He is not required to have visitation in one block but may take it in blocks as short as one week. If the block is longer than two consecutive weeks, then the mother shall have visitation one day every two weeks. The father shall give the mother six weeks notice of the period or periods that he wishes to select and of the mother is entitled to visitation, she will notify him at that time which day of days she wishes to select.

5. Holidays are defined as Labor Day, Thanksgiving, Christmas, New Year's Day, Easter, Memorial Day, and Independence Day. The mother has to work on alternate holidays and will be working on Labor Day, 1988. The father shall have visitation with his children on those holidays on which the mother has to work and the parties shall work out the length of the visits.

6. The mother shall deliver the children to the father's residence for his visitation, and he shall return them to the mother's residence when the visitation is completed.

7. The parties are free to make alternative or additional arrangements with respect to visitation. This must be by mutual agreement in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

8. Each party shall take care to instruct the children to give to the other parent that love, respect, and obedience which is due a parent from his or her child and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the eyes of the children. Each party will in turn, instruct his or her friends and relatives not to disparage the other in the estimation of the children.

9. Costs and fees of this Mediation which are fixed at $82.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this care.

10. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court, Robert L. Walker,

Aug 29, 1988 Copies of order to William Gregg, William Peters and William Pineo, Esqs.

in error see Naples v. Naples A.D. 1983 508

May 14, 1990 Petition To Modify Custody and Order filed. AND NOW, this 11 day of May, 1990 upon consideration of the foregoing Petition a hearing is scheduled for the 4th day of June, 1990 in the Crawford County Courthouse, Crawford County, Pennsylvania at 10:30 a.m. o'clock, before Senior Judge Robert L. walker, in Courtroom designated on schedule.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, J

May 15, 1990 Copies of order put in William Gregg, William Peters and James Irwin's box

1988

William J. Gregg 509.	DOUGLAS K. WHITE vs. YVONNE WHITE Filed June 3, 1988 @ 11:22 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓	PETITION FOR CUSTODY/VISITATION 1 copy (atty) 1 copy (mediator) ORDER: AND NOW, this 3 day of June 1988, upon presentation of the foregoing petition, the parties hereto are ordered to appear before JOHN FULLER CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR, at such time and place as said Child custody Master shallhereafter determine. BY THE COURT, P. Richard Thomas, J.

510

1988

Kathleen R. Nelson

510.

RAYMOND E. DILLE

vs.

LINDA L. DILLE

Filed June 3, 1988
@ 11:25 a.m.

Ta x & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co Pd 9/12/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

June 10, 1988 1:50 P.M. Affidavit of Service Filed.
Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information, and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint Under Section 201 (c) of the Divorce Code was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on June 8, 1988.

3. The registered mail was sent to Mrs. Linda L. Dille, Box 111, RD #1, Conneaut Lake, PA 16316. The senders receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on June 9, 1988. Said receipt.card number p 725 346 311 is attached hereto and signed by Linda L. Dille.

Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq.

Sept. 12, 1988 Affidvit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 12, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 12, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Sept. 20, 1988 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, September 20, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

R A Y M O N D E . D I L L E and L I N D A L . D I L L E

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

511.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF COCHRANTON, NOW BY MERGER, MARINE BANK

vs.

ROBERT J. NAHAY AND JANET E. HUNT

Filed June 3, 1988
@ 11:15 a.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$ 9.00
Plff on orig	9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

Of

DSB 1983 - 539

The undersigned hereby agrees that judgment ontered on 7/18/88 be revived and prothonotary has indexed against defendants in the sum of Ten thousand One Hundred Fifty Eight and 81/100 dollars plus costs.

Debt..... $10,158.81
Dated.....May 18, 1988
N - 146, 93...JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

512.

Terry Toomey

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso &
Gamble,
Ann H. Gamble

DONALD SHADE

vs.

NOLA SHADE

Filed June 3, 1988
@ 2:12 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
1 count paid 15.00 ✓
1 count Pd. 7-11-88 15.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 9-22-89 9.00 ✓
State pd 9-22-89 10.00
Pro Co Pd 10-30-89 5.00 ✓

3/14/89 Recd. $300.00 From Plaintiff
3/1/89 Recd $200.00 From Plaintiff (for Defendant)
10/18/89 Paid $500.00 To Mary Ann Kirkpatrick Ck # 5247

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

June 3, 1988, Petition for Special Relief Under the Divorce Code Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1920.43 filed.

June 3, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 3, 1988, after presentation of the Petition for Special Relief, a rule is issued upon the defendant to show cause, if any she has, why plaintiff should not be given exclusive possession of the marital residence pending equitable distribution.

Pending further order of court, defendant shall not enter the marital residence.

Hearing is scheduled for the 16 day of June 1988, at 3:00 P.M. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse at Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 3, 1988, copy to M. A. Kirkpatrick Esq.

June 22, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, June 16, 1988, the marrow issue before the Court at this time is the right to possession of the seventy-five acre horse farm jointly owned by the parties and the possession of the former marital residence which is a Princess mobile home, which, however, is titled in the name of the wife's mother, Jean C. Lee.

The parties were running a horse farm prior to the separation on May 31, 1988, and the wife now contends that their temporary agreement is inadequate to provide her with adequate living arrangements outside the home of her mother which is inadequate to house the wife, her mother and wife's chidlren by a prior marriage.

It appears the wife has no great desire to move into the aforesaid mobile home and see to the division of the horse business during the divorce action or to arrange for its liquidation. The concern of both parties is that the present animosity may generate further difficulties between the parties if the wife were to attempt to move back into the mobile home or to take up residence on the seventy-five acre farm.

ACCORDINGLY, the court circts that the husband be permitted to occupy exclusively the seventy-five acre farm and the Princess mobile home located thereon. The wife may not attmept to repossess the mobile home or to establishe any kind of permanent or temporary residence onthe seventy-five acres. HOWEVER, as noted above, the mobile home is titled in the name of Jean C. Lee, mother-in-law of the plaintiff, and the Court does not intend by this Order to preclude her from taking any legal action or proceedings to regain possession of said mobile home in her own name. The Court notes, however, that were she to repossess the same, she would not be permitted under this Order to allow her daughter Nola Shade to move into said mobile home under this Court's Order.

The Court urges the parties to try to amicably resolve the alimony issue and the issue of liquidation of marital assets without the necessity of further hearing. If the same cannot be accomplished, the Court will handle the matter ad seriatim with further Orders for pre=trial relief or alimony pendente lite.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT
P. Richard Thomas, J

June 23, 1988 Copies of order to Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and Terry Toomey

June 29, 1988 Petition for Additional Remedies and Order filed. (Alimony Pendente Lite, Attorney's Fees, Court Costs).

Dec 5, 1988 Inventory and Appraisement of Donald Shade filed.

Jan. 11, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 2, 1989 Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce and ORDER Appointing Master filed. And Now, Feb. 6, 1989 Charles J. Swick, Esq. is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Dissolution of Marriage; equitable distribution of property.

Deposit required: By Plaintiff $300.00; By Defendant $200.00.

Per Curiam,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Feb. 7, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Terry Toomey, Charles J. Swick.

Feb. 6, 1989 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Feb. 6, 1989, a Master having been appointed on motion of the plaintiff, it is noted that the defendant has not field the requisite inventory and appraisement, and if defendant does nto file an inventory and appraisement on or before Feb. 24, 1989, as a sanction for that failure, she shall not be permitted to offer testimony in regard to the value of marital assets available for equitable distribution.

By Order of the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Feb. 7, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Terry Toomey, Charles j. Swick.

Mar. 29, 1989, Motion to Refer Defendant's Claim for Counsel Fees and Costs to Master, filed.

Mar. 29, 1989, ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 29, 1989, Defendant's Claim for counsel fees is referred to the Master for recommendation.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Mar. 29, 1989, copy of order to M. Kirkpatrick T. Toomey and C. Swick attys.

Mar 29, 1989 Affidavit of Service of Notice of Master's Hearing filed.

Mar 30, 1989 Appearance of Culbertson, Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso and Gamble by Ann Hansen Gamble, Esquire, for Defendant filed.

Continued on the next page

512
A

1988

Shade vs. Shade A.D. 1988 - 512 DIVORCE

Mar. 31, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

July 19, 1989 Memorandum of Agreement as to Divorce, Equitable Distribution and Counsel Fees filed.

Sept. 21, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Sept. 27, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, september 27, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Donald Shade, Plaintiff and Nola Shade, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
The parties agree that their settlement agreement be incorporated as part of the Divorce Decree.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Oct. 18, 1989 Petition for Return of Master's Deposit and Order filed. And Now, October 18th, 1989 the Prothonotary is directed to release the funds on deposit in this case to Plaintiff's attorney, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick.

By the Court:
Robert L. Walker, J

Oct. 19, 1989 Copies of order put in Mary Ann Kirkpatrick's box and copy mailed to Ann Hansen Gamble

Oct. 30, 1989, Notice of Election to Retake Maiden (or Prior) Name filed.
To Be Known As: NOLA E. LEE

512
B

1988

1988

Brian J. Lindsay

Anthony J. Vardaro

513.

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

KATHERINE E. KEDZIERSKI

vs.

MICHAEL KEDZIERSKI

Filed June 3, 1988
@ 2:19 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
2 counts paid	30.00 ✓
State Pd 6-4-92	10.00
Pro Co. Pd 6-4-92	9.00
Pro.Co. pd 10/18/93	5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE
CUSTODY AND VISITATION, PROPERTY DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

June 20, 1988, Appeara nce of Bozic and Thomas, by R. Charles Thomas, attorney for defendant filed.

June 28, 1988 Affidavit of Service Filed. Vicki BRADSHAW being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says thatshe is the secretary to Anthony J. Vardaro, Esq., Attorney for the Plaintiff in this action and certifies that on 6/7/88 she delivered to the Post OFfice in meadville,,PA for delivery to Michael Kedzierski at RD # 1 Saegertown,PA 16433 by certifed mail, return receipt requested, delivery restricted to addressee only, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in this matter for which deponent received at the time receipt for certified article no. P-547 803 556 which is attached hereto as Exhibit "a". Thereafter on 6/10/88 it was returned to Anthony J. VArdaro, return receipt card bearing the same number and signed by Mike Kedzierski said return receipt card being attached hereto as Exhibit"b".
Vicki Bradshaw

Dec. 12, 1990, Appearance of Brian J. Lindsay, attorney for plaintiff filed.

Oct. 17, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Nov. 8, 1991 Agreement between the parties filed.

May 1, 1992 Affidavit of Consent by Plaintiff filed.

May 4, 1992 Affidavit of Consent by Defendant filed.

June 4, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

June 17, 1992 STIPULATION and CONSENT DECREE filed.

1. Divorce

Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of filing of the complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §3301(c) of the Divorce Code of Pennsylvania.

2. Custody

The parties hereto have resolved the issue of custody between themselves by mutual agreement and this Court enters no Order in respect thereto.

3. Equitable Division of Property

Defendant has sole and exclusive ownership of the marital residence in Hayfield Township, Crawford Co. PA., and is, and shall be, solely responsible for any and all outstanding balances due and owing on said residence, including but not limited to: mortgage, utilities, insurance, and maintenance. Defendant shall hold Plaintiff harmless and indemnify her against any and all liability arising from said outstanding obligations. Plaintiff has executed and delivered a Quitclaim Deed to Defendant for effectuating Defendant's ownership of this real estate contemporaneously with the signing of the parties' stipulation to the entry of this Consent Decree.

Defendnat is the sole and exclusive owner of a certain 1952 Chevrolet Truck. The title to saud vehicle has been transferred to the Defendant. Defendant shall be responsible for any and all outstanding obligations on said vehicle, including but not limited to: insurance, inspection, maintenance, registration and title.

Defendant is the sole and exclusive owner of a certain 1980 Coleman Camper, Plaintiff's interest in said camper having been conveyed to Defendant. Defendant shall be responsible for any and all outstanding obligations on said camper, including: insurance, maintenance, registration and title.

For and in consideration of the above transfers, Defendant has paid to Plaintiff the sum of $4,500.00 Said payments have been or will be made as follows:

a. The Defendant shall execute any and all documents necessary to satisfy all support arrearages currently due and owing from Plaintiff through the Domestic Relations office, which amount was $488.58, as of March 6, 1992, and which amount shall be credited to the total consideration of $4,500.00. Such documents shall have been filed with the Domestic Relations office within five days from the date of the stipulation to the entry of this decree; and

b. $900.00 in cash is hereby acknowledged by Plaintiff to have been paid to her by Defendant contemporaneously with the signing of the parties' stipulation to the entry of this Consent Decree.

c. The remaining balance of $3,111.42 shall be paid by monthly installments on the first day of each month, in the amount of at least One Hundred ($100.00) Dollars, until the balance is paid in full; and

d. The Defendant shall execute and deliver to Plaintiff a Note and Mortgage in the principal amount of $3,111.42, said Mortgage to be a second mortgage on the real estate described above. The mortgage shall specify that Defendant shall be responsible for payment to Plaintiff of at least One Hundred ($100.00) Dollars per month due and payable on the 1st day of each month until paid in full.

The Plaintiff shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and personalty currently in her possession, unless specifically provided for herein and Defendant shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and personalty currently in his possession, unless specifically provided for herein.

By The Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Dated: June 15, 1992

Oct. 18, 1993 Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name of Katherine E. Kedzierski, to be known as KatherineE. Leonheart, filed.

514

1988

Jack, Kookogey &
Felton
Robert J. Felton

514.

MICHAEL J. ONDOVCHIK

vs.

BRENDA S. ONDOVCHIK

Filed June 3, 1988
@ 4:09 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro Co pd 5/1/89 9.00 ✓
State pd 5/1/89 10.00 ✓
Pro Co. Pd. 3/7/90 5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE DECREE

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 28, 1989 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, Robert J. Felton, Esquire, Attorney at Law, 144 West Spring Street, Titusville, Pennsylania, do hereby certify that, in my capacity as attonrey for the plaintiff in the above-captioned matter, served a certified copy of the Divorce Complaint in this matter on Defendant, Brenda S. Ondovchik, by sending the same by certified mail, return receipt requested. A complaint was mailed to the defendant on June 10, 1988, and receipted for a member of her residence on June 10, 1988, as reflected in the receipt for mailing and the return receipt attached hereto.

Robert J. Felton

Apr. 28, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 28, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr. 28, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit rEcord, this case moved to court.

May 2, 1989 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, May 2, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that MICHAEL J. ONDOVCHIK, plaintiff, and BRENDA S. ONDOVCHIK, defendant, are divorced formthe bonds of matirmony.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

March 7, 1990 Notice of Election to Retake Prior name filed. Brenda S. Ondovchik to be known as Brenda S. Lundberg.

1988

William L. Walker

515.

MICHAEL C. RANKIN

vs.

HELEN RANKIN

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Filed June 3, 1988
@ 4:21 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	24.20
Pro Co Pd 12-21-88	9.00

July 8, 1988 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

July 11, 1988 Complaint Reinstated.

July 27, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED AND MADE KNOWN TO Helen Rankin personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Divorce to her on July 20, 1988 at 2:35 PM at her place of residence, c/o Margarie Gosick, R.D.#3, Cambridge Springs, PA 16403.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 7, 1988 Amended Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Helen Rankin within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint in Divorce and Affidavit to her on July 20,1988 at 2:35 PM at her residence, C/O Margarie Gosick R.D.# 3, Teepleville Road, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, Pa. 16403

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec 20, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(d) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Dec. 30, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, December 30, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

MICHAEL C. RANKIN and HELEN RANKIN

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Brian J. Lindsay

516.

RITA J. WILDSTONE

vs.

BARNABY L. WILDSTONE

Filed June 6, 1988
@ 9:34 a.m.

Indigent Filing

Pro Co pd 4/18/89 5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

June 6, 1988, Praecipe to Proceed in Forma Pauperis and Petition for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed.

June 6, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 6th day of June 1988 Petitioner is granted the right to proceed in Forma Pauperis. The court reserves the right to impose costs.

Robert L. Walker, J.

June 6, 1988, copy of order to B. Lindsay, Esq.

Mar. 2, 1989 Affidavit filed. I, BRIAN J. LINDSAY ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Rita J. Wildstone, at that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did on the 10th day of February, 1989, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of the Notice to defend, and Claim Rights and the Complaint to Defendant, Barnaby L. Wildstone, at his last known address of P.O. Box 53, Erie, Pennsylvania 16512, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P446 365 289, and that onthe 15th day of February, 1989, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 14th day of February, 1989.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

2/2/7/89 Brian J. Lindsay

Apr. 18, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney this action is marked discontinued of record.

1988

Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman, Berlin, May Walsh & Brabender Stephen Hutzelman	MARINE MIDLAND BANK vs. RONALD CASS AND PHYLLIS CASS, HIS WIFE	COMPLAINT 2 copies (sheriff)
517.	Filed June 6, 1988 @ 11:41 a.m.	June 20, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Not Found as to within named defendant, Ronald CAss. Moved, left no forwarding address. Not found, as to within named defendant, Phyllis Cass. Moved, left no forwarding address. So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff
	Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓ Sheriff's Costs 25.30	

1988

Edward G. Shoemaker
and
Joseph F. Kulwicki

518.

JOSEPH N. BERCIS AND ANNA C. BERCIS, HIS WIFE

vs.

DIANE E. ANTHONY

Filed June 7, 1988
@ 10:19 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	$21.00
Pro Co Pd 8/8/90	6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (atty)

June 14, 1988 9:38 A.M. Sheriff's Returned Filed: SERVED Dianne E. Anthony personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within summons to her on June 10, 1988 at 11:23 A.M. at Sheriff's office, Room 303 Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA.

So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

August 8, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, the above captioned case is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record. An appeal of this case has not been filed/docketed in the Superior Court.

9/24/90 Refd $67.50 From
Aetna Ins. Co.
Ck# 3766893-6

9/24/90 Paid $67.50 To
Joseph Kulwicki Esq,
Ck# 5853

1988

Edward G. Shoemaker
and
JOseph F. Kulwicki

519.

9/26/90 RECD. # 67.50
From AETNA INS. Co.
Ck# 3766/893-6

9/26/90 Paid # 67.50 To
JOSEPH KULWICKI, ESQ
Ck # 5853

JOSEPH T. BERCIS AND
DEBRA A. BERCIS, HIS WIFE

vs.

DIANE E. ANTHONY

Filed June 7, 1988
@ 10:19 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Cost	$21.00
Pro Co Pd 8/8/90	6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (atty)

June 14, 1988 @ 9:38 A.M. Sheriff's Return filed:
SERVED Diane E. Anthony personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within summons to her on June 10,1988 at 11:24 A.M. at Sheriff's Office, Room 303 Crawford Co. COUrthouse, Meadville, PA.
So Answers, Catherine I . Allen,Deputy Sheriff
Howard N.Stewart, Sheriff

August 8, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, the above captioned case is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record. An appeal of this case has not been filed/docketed in the Superior Court.

1988

520.

William J. Gregg

WILLIAM D. AND SUZANNE MEANS

vs.

DONALD HEPLER

Filed June 7, 1988
@ 10:22 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25
Pro Co pd 6/29/88 9.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF DISTRICT JUSTICE WAYNE E. HANSON _ No. 30 - 3 - 01

June 7, 1988, Rule entered upon plaintiffs to file Complaint issued.

June 8, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

And now, June 29, 1988 at 11:32 a.m. upon praecipe of defendants attorney judgment of Non Pros is hereby entered against the named Plaintiff for failure to file a Complaint within twenty days after being rules so to do.
M-173-153

JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1988

Kathleen R. Nelson

521.

LINDA CHOFFEL

vs.

RODNEY CHOFFEL

Filed June 7, 1988
@ 10:23 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Pro. Co. Pd 10/31/88 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

June 10, 1988 1:49 P.M. Affidavit of service filed. Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information, and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint under Section 201 (c) of the Divorce Code was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on June 7, 1988.

3. The registered mail was sent to Mr. Rodney Choffel, RD #4, Carr Hill Road Meadville, Pennsylvania, 16335. The senders receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on June 9, 1988. Said receipt card number P 725 347 013 is attached hereto and signed by Rodney Choffel.

Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq.

Oct. 24, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Oct. 24, 1988 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Oct. 31, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Nov. 15, 1988 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED**

AND NOW, November 14, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

-Linda Choffel and Rodney Choffel

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,

P. Richard Thomas, J.

1988

Marsh, Spaeder, Baur,
Spaeder & Schaaf
Wm. Patrick Delaney

522.

ROBERT MANFREDONIA, JR., AND JENNIFER MANFREDONIA, A MINOR, BY HER PARENT AND NATURAL GUARDIAN, ROBERT MANFREDONIA, SR., AND ROBERT MANFREDONIA, SR., INDIVIDUALLY

vs.

LAKESIDE REAL ESTATE COMPANY, INC., OPGAKCO, INC., t/d/b/a CONNEAUT LAKE PARK

Filed June 7, 1988
@ 10:34 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 42.15

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

July 5, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED OPGAKCO, Inc. within named defendant on June 24 1988 at 1:46 p.m. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Rita McAdoo, Secretary, and person in charge at their place of business, Hotel Conneaut, Conneaut Lake Park, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Conneaut Lake Park within named defendant on June 24, 1988 at 1:47 PM by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Rita McAdoo, Secretary, and person in charge at their place of business, Hotel Conneaut, Conneaut Lake Park, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Lakeside Real Estate Company, Inc. within named defendant on June 24, 1988 at 1:48 PM by handing a true copy of th ewithin Summons to rita McAdoo, Secretary, and person in charge at their place of busiess, Hotel Conneaut, Conneaut Lake Park, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

Agresti and Falcone
Kevin J. Kingston

523.

LORRAINE R. FERRICK

vs.

JOHN A. FERRICK

Filed June 7, 1988
@ 10:46 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $40.50
Pro Co pd 8/7/90 9.00
State pd 8/7/90 10.00
Pro Co. pd 8-17-90 5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

2 copies (atty)

Nov. 10, 1988 Voluntary Acceptance of Service filed. I, John A. Ferrick, defendant in the above-captioned divorce proceeding, do hereby knowingly and voluntarily acknowledge and accept receipt of a true and certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed at the above term and number. I hereby accept service of this Complaint in Divorce this date and acknowledge that I am doing so freely and voluntarily, and further acknowledge that I am fully aware that I have the option and right to contact an attorney of my choice concerning this divorce.

John A. Ferrick.

Aug. 6, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug. 6, 1990 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 6, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Aug. 10, 1990 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, August,10, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Lorraine Ferrick, plaintiff, and John A. Ferrick, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug. 17, 1990 Election to Resume Prior name Pursuant to Act No. 1978-173, Now to be known as LORRAINE REVAK.

524

1988

Apple and Apple
Joel E. Hausman

524.

William E. Barney

THE GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER COMPANY

vs.

JIM SLOCUM t/a/d/b/a SLOCUM'S TIRE SHOP

Filed June 7, 1988
@ 10:54 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Cost	$ 26.25
Pro Co Pd 9/5/89	15.00
Pro Co Pd 2/23/90	5.00
Pro Co. pd 9/20/90	5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

June 16, 1988 Sheriff's Return Filed. SERVED Jim Slocum personally on June 14, 1988 at 2:02 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him at his place of Business, Slocom's Tire Shop, Main Street, Spartansburg, Crawford Co., PA.
So Answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 18, 1988 Answer,New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

Feb. 13, 1989, Reply to New Matter and Answer to Counterclaim filed.

Sept. 5, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for reference to the arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed: William J. Gregg, Esq.
Donald E. Lewis
Brian J. Lindsay

Sept. 26, 1989 Arbitrators Take the Qualifying Oath.

Feb. 1, 1990 Upon praecipe of Brian Lindsay, Arbitrator is hereby withdrawn.

Feb. 21, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, this case settled and discontinued of record.

Sept. 20, 1990 Upon praecipe of Plaintiffs; attorney, mark counterclaim filed in the above captioned case settled and discontinued of record.

1988

R. Charles Thomas

525.

ROGER GRANT GROVE

vs.

PHYLLIS JEANNETTE GROVE

Filed June 7, 1988
@ 1:29 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

June 7, 1988, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

July 8, 1997 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a part in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 6-7-88 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before August 5, 1997, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before August 5, 1997. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: July 3, 1997 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
July 8, 1997 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

Aug. 18, 1997 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August, 1997, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on July 23, 1997, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 252 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1988

Bozic and Thomas
R. Charles Thomas

526.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

1/4/89 RECD. # 500.00 From Plaintiff
1/13/89 RECD # 100.00 From Defendant
3/23/89 paid #125.00 TO Wm Jorden/MASTER CK# 4962
3/23/89 paid #232.50 To Anthony LaScola CK#4963
3/23/89 paid #232.50 To Kathleen LaScola CK#4964

ANTHONY P. LaSCOLA

vs.

KATHLEEN M. LaSCOLA

Filed June 7, 1988
@ 1:29 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
William T. Jorden, Master	
Pro Co Pd 2/28/89	9.00 ✓
State pd 3-23-89	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

June 7, 1988 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the divorce code filed.

Aug. 8, 1988 Petition for Alimony, Alimony Pendente Lite, Reasonable Counsel Fees, Costs and Expenses filed.

Oct 3, 1988 Inventory and Appraisement of Kathleen LaScola filed.

Nov 30, 1988 Petition for Special Relief Under the Divorce Code Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1920.43 and Order filed: AND NOW, November 30, 1988, after presentation of the Petition for Special Relief, a rule is issued upon the Plaintiff to show cause if any he has why personal property should not be appraised and Defendant's ring returned.
Hearing is scheduled for the 14 day of Dec., 1988 at 1:30 o'clock P.M. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse at Meadville, Pennsylvania.
By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.
Dec 2, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Thomas and Kirkpatrick.

Dec. 2, 1988 Affidavit of Anthony P. LaScola, plaintiff filed.

Dec. 8, 1988 Inventory and Appraisement of Anthony P. LaScola filed.

Dec. 8, 1988 Income and Expense Statement of Anthony P. LaScola filed.

Dec. 21, 1988 Affidavit of Service of Complaint in Divorce filed. R. Charles Thomas, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on June 7th, 1988, he sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce, properly endorsed with the Notice To Defend, filed in the above action to the defendant, Kathleen M. LaScola, at Defendant's last known address of 713 Beverly Dr., RD #7, Meadville, Pa., by Certified Mail No. P414 739 205, postage prepaid, restricted delivery, return receipt requested, and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as June 9th, 1988.
By: R. Charles Thomas, Atty.

Dec. 21, 1988, Motion for Appointment of Master and Order filed. ORDER APPOINTING MASTER: AND NOW, Dec. 21, 1988, William T. Jorden, esq. is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Dissolution of Marriage
Distribution of Property
Deposit required: By plainitff $500.00 By Defendant $100.00
PER CURIAM,
Robert L. Walker, Judge
Dec. 22, 1988 copies of order to Attys. R. C. Thomas, M. Kirkpatrick and Wm. Jorden.

Jan 13, 1989 Motion to Refer Alimony, Court Costs, Counsel Fees and Expenses to the Master and Order filed: AND NOW, January 13, 1989, so ordered.
By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.
Jan 16, 1989 Copies of ordr to Attys Kirkpatrick and Thomas. and Atty. Jorden.

Jan. 23, 1989 Petition For Bifurcation and Order filed. AND NOW, this 23rd day of Jan., 1989, upon presentation and considerationof the within Petition a hearing on the matters set forth therein is set for the 28th day of Feb., 1989, at 10:15 o'clock a.m. in Court Room No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennslyvania.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Jan. 24, 1989 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

Jan. 23, 1989 Notice Of Master's Hearing filed.

Feb. 28, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, For Review Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Feb. 28, 1989, Stipulation filed.

Feb. 28, 1989, Motion for Vacating Appointment of Master and order. ORDER: And now, February 28th, 1989 The appointment of William T. Jorden, Esquire, as Master in this case is vacated and the Prothonotary is authorized and directed to pay Master's fees to be approved by the Court and the balance on deposit with the Prothonotary shall be refunded as follows: To R. Charles Thomas, Esquire, counsel for Plaintiff one-half (1/2) of the excess deposit and to Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, counsel for the Defendant one-half (1/2) of the excess deposit.
Robert L. Walker, J
Mar. 23, 1989 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and William Jorden

Mar. 22, 1989 Petition For Payment of Master's Fees and Order filed. AND NOW,this 22nd day of March, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, the Prothonotary is directed to issue a check in the amount of one hundred and twenty-five dollars ($125.00) for payment to attorney William T. Jorden, for Master's fees.
Robert L. Walker, J
Mar. 23, 1989 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas, Mary Ann Kirkaptrick and William Jorden

CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE

526
A

1988

LaScola vs. LaScola A.D. 1988 - 526 DIVORCE

Mar. 22, 1989 DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

AND NOW, this 22nd day of March, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Anthony P. LaScola, Jr., Plaintiff and Kathleen M. LaScola, Defendant, are divorced fromthe bonds of matrimony. It is further ordered:

1. That the real estate situate in West Mead Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania and known as 713 Beverly Drive, Meadville, Pennsylvania and as more specifically described in a certain Deed to Anthony P. LaScola and Kathleen M. LaScola, his wife, dated the 14th dayof January, 1972, and recorded in the Office of the Recorder of Deeds of Crawford County in Deed Book 462, Page 101, shall become the sole and exclusive property of the defendant and the Plaintiff shall sign, execute and acknowledge a Quit Claim Deed conveying his interest in the aforesaid property to the Defendant. The aforesaid property is subject to a Mortgage in favor of Marquette Savings Association and the Defendant agrees to assume and pay the regular monthly payments on the aforesaid Mortgage and accompanying Bond until said obligation is liquidated and Defendnat further agrees to indemnify and hold the Plaintiff harmless from any liability on the aforesaid obligation.

2. That the tangible personal property located at the marital residence at 713 Beverly Drive, Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania is awarded to the Defendant.

3. That the tangible personal property located at the residence of the Plaintiff at R.D.#7, Box 7817, Mercer, Pennsylvania is awarded to the Plaintiff.

4. That all of the common stock of the corporation known as Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park,Illinois 60064 is awarded to the Plaintiff.

5. That all retirement benefits to which the Plaintiff may be entitled by reason of his employment with the corporation known as Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, Illinois, is awarded to the Plaintiff.

6. That cash in the amount of $20,000.00 is awarded to the Defendant, which sum shall be paid to the Defendant not later than April 20, 1989.

7. That all intangible personal property in the possession of the Plaintiff which has not been specifically defined herein is awarded to the Plaintiff.

8. That all intangible personal property presently in the possession of the Defendant which has not been specifically defined herein is awarded to the Defendant.

9. That no award is made to the Defendant for alimony pendente lite or permanent alimony.

10. That the Plaintiff and defendnat shall be responsible for payment of their respective counsel fees.

11. That Master's fees and Court costs will be divided equally between the Plaintiff and the Defendant provided, however, that any excess deposit remaining with the Prothonotary of this Court after payment of costs and Master's fees will be divided equally between the Plaintiff and the Defendant and the Prothonotary is authorized and directed to distribute the excess deposit accordingly.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

526
B

1988

1988

Anthony J. Vardaro
solicitor

527.

William Gregg,
for respondents Headley

John Fuller
for petitioners

RE:
PRIVATE SALE OF LAND IN
PINE TOWNSHIP,
CRAWFORD COUNTY, PA.

PROPERTY OF:
James Madison

Filed June 8, 1988
@ 9:53 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

PETITION TO DISAPPROVE SALE

ORDER:
AND NOW, June 8, 1988, upon consideration of the within Petition, a hearing is hereby scheduled for July 21, 1988 at 9:00 a.m. Ct. Rm. 1 or 2, REquired notices to be given.
P. Richard Thomas, J.
June 10, 1988, copy of order to J. Fuller, eSq.

July 19, 1988 Affidavit of Service of Notice of hearing filed.

July 22, 1988 ORDER filed. AND Now, July 21, 1988, after hearing in the above case, the proposed sale to Thomas R. Headley and Karen K. Headley of three lots in Pine Township, Crawford, Co., PA., with improvements, Assessors Nos. 3901-022-21-22, is disapproved and the Court having disapproved such sale, fixed a price of $1,300.00 below which such property could not be sold, and the Court thereafter directed the County Treasurer to conduct a public sale of such property. The County Treasurer having received a high bid of $7,000.00 for such property from Paul Vohar and Nancy E. Vohar, his wife, such sale to Paul Vohar and Nancy E. Vohar, his wife, is approved by the Court and the County Solicitor is ordered and directed to prepare and deliver a deed for such property to Paul Vohar and Nancy E. Vohar, his wife.
P. Richard Thomas, J.
July 22, 1988 Copies of Order to Atty.s John Fuller, Anthony Vardaro and William Gregg.

July 25, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 21,1988, the court establishes a minimum bid for public sale of the property here at issue in the amount of $1300.00 and turns over the bidding process to the County Treasurer, Fred Wagner.
FOLLOWING AUCTION:
The court notes that public auction sale resulted in a bid of $7,000.00 for the property and a supplemental Court Order will be entered validating the sale to the purchaser.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.
July 25, 1988, copies of order to Attys. A. Vardaro, J. Fuller and W. Gregg.

528

1988

Specter Law Offices
John C. Evans Jr.

528.

RICHARD A. SANDERS AND
JULIA D. SANDERS, HIS WIFE

vs.

McCRAY'S DOXOL GAS AND
APPLIANCE SERVICE AND BUCKEYE
GAS PRODUCTS COMPANY

Filed June 8, 1988
@ 10:54 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs 44.25
Pro Co pd 8/1/88 6.00 ✓

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

June 24, 1988 Complaint filed.

July 5, 1988 Writ Retun Filed. SERVED Buckeye Gas products within named defendant on June 24, 1988 at 1:39 PM by handing a true copy of the within Summons and Interrogatories to Donna (Refused to give last name) clerk, and person in charge at the time,, at their place of business RD 618, Conneaut Lake, Crawford Co., PA SERVED McCrays Doxol Gas and Appliance Service within named defendant on June 24, 1988 at 3:51 PM by handing a true copy of the within Summons and Interrogatories to Billy McCray, son of owner and person in charge at business, RD #2 Centerville, Crawford Co., PA 16404.

So Answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputysheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 1, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Jorden and White
William T. Jorden

529.

William J. Gregg-

SHEARWOOD TERRANCE
DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

vs.

DONALD TYLER

Filed June 8, 1988
@ 4:16 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 24.60

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

July 7, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Donald Tyler personally by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on June 28, 1988 at 12:05 PM at his residence, R.D. #1, Conneautville, Crawford County, PA
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 15, 1988, Default Notice filed.

Nov. 28, 1988, Answer filed. 1 copy (atty)

Jan. 18, 1989 Plaintiff's Reply To Defendant's Counterclaim filed.

530

1988

Prather, Prather
& Pineo
William Pineo

530.

Silin, Eckert,
Burke & Hunter
William H. Eckert

ROSS R. CASELLA

vs.

HENRY KLESZOWSKI should be
Henry Kleszczowski

Filed June 9, 1988
@ 12:13 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50

Sheriff's Costs 36.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

July 12, 1988 Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Lena Salvi, Deputy, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 27th day of June, 1988, at 1:15 P.M., he served Complaint filed at AD 1988-530, upon Defendant Henry Kleszowski at Sheriff's Office, 140 West 6th Street, Erie County, Erie, Pennsylvania.
by making known the contents thereof to Attorney William Eckert and handing to and leaving with Attorney William Eckert, the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford County.
Lena Salvi, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff
SERVED Henry Kleszowski, within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, Pa as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: June 27, 1988.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 25, 1988 Defendant's Answer To Plaintiffs Complaint, New Matter and Counterclaim, and Demand for Replevin Against Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 3, 1989 Motion To Amend complaint and Order filed. AND NOW, this 3rd day of Mar., 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the same is hereby granted and the Complaint is deemed to be amended as requested. New Complaint to be filed.
BY THE Court,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 6, 1989 Copies of order to William PIneo and William Eckert.

Mar. 7, 1989, Amended Complaint filed.

Mar. 22, 1989, Certification of Service filed. William Pineo, eSq. hereby certifies that he is the attorney for the plaintiff in the above referenced matter and that on Mar. 6, 1989 he served the Amended Complaint in the above matter on the defendant by sending a true and correct copy to Wm. H. Eckert, Esq. the atty. for the defendant at 234 W. Sixth St Suite 2000, Erie, Pa. by regular first class mail, postage prepaid.
This certification is made under penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.A. Section 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities. William Pineo, Esq.

June 23, 1989, Answer of Defendant to the Amended Complaint of Plaintiff, New Matter and Counterclaim and Demand for Replevin Against Plaintiff, filed. 2 copies (atty)

1988

Jorden and White
Willialm T. Jorden

~~Prather, Prather & Pineo~~
~~Mark D. Prather~~

531.
Peter C. Acker
Cusick, Madden, Joyce & McKay
Thomas W. Kuster
First Federal & Patriot Bank, Bank of New England
William D. Himmelreich for Patriot Bank, N.A.

Lewis & Ristvey
Robert G. Yeatts
First Federal Savings & Loan Assoc. of Mercer Co, Ivor J. Lee, Inc

ROBERT LILLY AND MARTHA B. LILLY, HIS WIFE

vs.

ROBERT F. LILLY, Ivor J. Lee, Inc ~~FIRST FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION OF MERCER COUNTY~~, PATRIOT BANK, N.A. AND DEBRAL REALLTY, INC.

Filed June 9, 1988
@ 2:34 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓

Sheriff's Costs 35.24

COMPLAINT - ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

4 copies (atty)

June 17, 1988 Answer Of Debral Realty, Inc filed.

June 17, 1988 Certificate Of Service filed.

June 24, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Albert Rock, Deputy Sheriff, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 16th day of June, 1988, at 10:55 A.M. he served Complaint (Action to Quiet Title) filed at AD 1988-531, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Mercer County at 1 East State St., Sharon, Pa 16146 by making known the contents thereof to Lew Voisey, Assistant Vice President, person in charge and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the complaint received from Sheriff of Crawford County.

Albert Rock, Deputy Sheriff
William H. Romine, Jr., Sheriff

Served First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Mercer County by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer County, Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 6-16-88.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 6, 1988 Appearance of Cusick, Madden, Joyce and McKay by Thomas W. Kuster, Esq. for First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Mercer County filed.

July 25, 1988 Preliminary Objections filed.

July 25, 1988 UPon praecipe of Defendant Attorney this case is placed on the next available argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR AUGUST 1988

Aug. 3, 1988 Amended Complaint filed.

Sept. 21, 1988 Memorandum and Order filed. And now, this 16 day of September, 1988, defendant First Federal's preliminary objection requesting the Court to dismiss the issue of constructive notice is denied without prejudice to the defendant to renew said request at an appropriate time during trial.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Sept. 22, 1988 copies to Mark Prather, Thomas Kuster, Nathan Karmazine and Lilly & Patriot

Oct. 13, 1988 Appearance of William D. Himmelreich for Defendant Patriot Bank, N.A. filed.

Nov. 1, 1988, Notice of Service of Interrogatories to Plaintiffs filed.

Nov. 1, 1988, ANSWER AND New Matter To Complaint As Amended filed.

Mar. 1, 1989 Reply To New Matter filed.

Mar. 3, 1989 Notice of Service of First Federal's first set of Interrogatories filed.

Mar. 14, 1990 First Federal's Amendment To New Matter filed.

March 20, 1991 Appearance of Jorden and White By William T. Jorden, Attorney for Plaintiff's filed.

Apr. 3, 1991 Notice of Service of Request for Production directed to Defendant, Patriot Bank, N.A., filed.

Apr. 11, 1991 Appearanc eof Cusick, Madden Joyce and McKay by Peter C. Acker, attorneys for Patriot Bank, now known as Bank of New England, filed.

May 8, 1991 Upon praecipe of Attorney Peter Acker his appearance is hereby withdrawn.

May 8, 1991 Appearance of Lewis & Ristvey by Robert G. Yeatts for First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Mercer Co. and Ivor J. Lee, Inc filed.

May 8, 1991 Motion For Voluntary Substitution of Ivor J. Lee, Inc. in place of First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Mercer County as a Party defendant and Order filed. AND NOW, this 8 day of May, 1991, upon consideration of the within Motion for Voluntary Substitution of Ivor J. Lee, Inc. as a Party defendant, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED that Ivor J. Lee Inc. be substituted for First Federal and Savings and Loan Association of Mercer County in the above-captioned matter and that, further, the caption of this matter is hereby amended such that that the defendant shall now be Robert F. Lilly, Ivor J. Lee, Inc. Patriot Bank, N.A., and Debral Realty, Defendants.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

May 9, 1991 Copies to William Jorden, William Himmelreich and Robert Yeatts

FOR DOCKET ENTRIES AS OF SEPTEMBER 29, 2000 SEE COMPUTER ENTRIES

532

1988

Legal Services
Margaret Eglinton

532.

GLORIA J. ARMOUR

vs.

DONALD W. ARMOUR

PETITION FOR PROTECTIONS FROM ABUSE

6 copies (atty)

Filed June 10, 1988
@ 9:15 a.m.

Tax & entry	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	24.20

June 10, 1988, ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 10 dayof June, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a hearing thereon is scheduled for the 17 day of June 1988, at 4:00 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House in Meadville, Pa.

The defendant is excluded immediately and forthwith from the marital residence which is located at R. D. #1, Box 326, Espyville, Pa. pending the hearing sc heduled above.

The defendant is directed to refrain from abusing and/or threatening to abuse, or harassing the plaintiff and/or the minor children of the parties.

The plaintiff is hereby awarded temporary custody of the minor children of the parties pending the hearing scheduled above.

The Crawford County Sheriff Dept. is directed to serve a cert. copy of thisPetition and Order upon the defendant. In the alternative this Petition and order may be served by any competent adult or by certified mail.

The Linesville Police Department and/or the State Police of the Com. of Pa. are directed to enforce this order and to prevent the return of the defendant to the aforesaid premises by any appropriate menas.

This petition and order shall be filed and served without payment of costs; the court shall determine at the hearing if the defendant shall be required to pay the costs.

The defendant is hereby advised of his right to be represented by counsel, and he is notified that his failure to attend the hearing scheduled above may have serious legal consequences.

The defendant should take this paper to his lawyer at once. If he does not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, he should go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where he can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Association, Ser. to the Public Committee Meadville, Pa. 16335
814 724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 14, 1988 @ 10:38 A.M. Proof of Service of Joel Tuzynski, Filed

June 21, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Donald W. ARmour personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Protection From Abuse Petition and Order to himon June 10, 1988 at 12:31 PM at his residence, R.D. #1, Box 326, Epysville, Crawford County, PA
So answers,

E. Ralph Copeland, Chief Deputy
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy
Howard N. STewart, Sheriff

June 22, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, June 17, 1988, the Defendant generally admits the allegations of the abuse petition and advises the Court through counsel that a hearing thereon is unnecessary.

The parties mutually agree that the Defendant may have visitation rights with the six (6) remaining children, with said visits to be inthe household of the mother each week on Wednesday between the hours of 3:00 p.m. and 11:00 p.m. On any date when the father does not intend to keep the visit for any reason, he will give his mother-in-law at least twelve (12) hours advance notice that he will not keep the visit with the chidlren, so the mother may secure other custodial care for the children during this visitation period time.

Plaintiff has filed a divorce action, and it appears that the only substantial marital asset is the jointly owned real estate of the parties.

the defendant shall not assault, threaten, molest, harass or annoy the Plaintiff, his wife, in any fashion. The visitations between father and children will be in accord with the above.

The County is DIRECTED to pay costs in this case.

The wife/Plaintiff is granted exclusive custody of the marital residence until September 1, 1988. Either party may petition the Court prior to September 1, 1988 for further hearing to resolve the issue of the right to occupy the marital residence and upon the filing of said petition, the Court will set a hearing thereon.

This Order shall remain in force and effect for a period o fone (1) year, with the exception of the condition regarding the marital residence, as noted above.

Any duly authorized police officer, upon having probable cause to believe the terms and conditions of this Order regarding abuse of the wife are concerned may apprehend the Defendant without a warrant, take him forthwith before a District Justice for arraignment and further proceedings will be held in due course on a contempt citation.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

June 22, 1988 Copies of order to Margaret Eglinton and Brian Lindsay

1988

Joseph F. Kulwicki
and
Edward G. Shoemaker

533.

~~Daniel J. Pastore~~
~~The McDonald Group~~

Prather, Prather & Pineo
William Pineo
for Miller Ford & Bud Miller

JOSEPH F. KULWICKI,
JUDITH A. KULWICKI, his wife

vs.

NOBLE MOTOR VAN CORPORATION;
LARRY McGARY; an individual,
AL WYMAN; an individual
BUD MILLER FORD, IND. a business corporation, BUD MILLER, JR. an individual

Filed June 10, 1988
@ 10:42 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.25
Sheriff's Costs	$26.50

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JDUGMENT OF
DISTRICT JUSTICE WILLIAM CHISHOLM 30-2-01

June 10, 1988, Rule Entered Upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

June 15, 1988 Proof of service filed.

June 21, 1988 Affidavit of Service of Notice of Appeal and Rule to File Complaint filed.

July 15, 1988 Complaint filed. (1 copy Atty, 2 copies sheriff)

July 14, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Bud Miller, Jr. Personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on July 5, 1988 at 1:44 PM a his place of business, Bud Miller Ford, Rt. 322 Conneaut Lake, Crawford Co., PA. SERVED Bud Miller Ford, Inc., On July 5, 988 At 1:45 PM by serving owner, Bud Miller, Jr., at the place of business, RT 322, Conneaut Lake, Crawford Co, Pa.

So Answers,
Edgar D. Householder, DeputySheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 27, 1988 Preliminary Objections filed.

July 29, 1988, Partial Withdrawal of Preliminary Objections filed.

Aug. 4, 1988 Appearance of Prather, Prather & Pineo by William Pineo, Esq. for Bud Miller Ford, Inc. and Bud Miller, Jr. filed.

Oct. 27, 1988 Preliminary Objection of Bud Miller Ford, Inc and Bud Miller, Jr filed.

April 9, 1990 Upon Praecipe of The McDonald Group, Daniel J. Pastore, Attorney for Noble Motor Van Corporation, Larry McGary and Al Wyman, appearance withdrawn.

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

534.

BRUCE A. WARNER, SR.

vs.

LORETTA A. WARNER

Filed June 10, 1988
@ 11:30 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
State pd 3-11-94	10.00
Pro Co pd 3-11-94	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

June 21, 1988 Affidavit Of Mailing Complaint Under Pa. R.C.P. No. 1124(b) filed. Ann Hansen Gamble, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practice law inthe Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and that on June 16, 1988, she sent a copy of the Complaint in Divorce the defendant by bertified mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid return receipt requested, to Loretta A. Warner, 926 E. Spruce sT., Titusville,Pennsylvania 16354, the Defendant's last known address. the said receipt being attached hereto. Ann Hansen Gamble

Dec. 12, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, Loretta A. Warner filed.

July 8, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Bruce A. Warner, Sr. Plaintiff, filed.

Mar. 10, 1994 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney to transmit records, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

March 16, 1994 AND NOW, March 14, 1994, it is ordered and decreed that BRUCE A. WARNER, plaintiff, and LORETTA A. WARNER, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin and Stack
Charles J. Swick

535.

JANET E. BAYER

vs.

EDWARD C. BAYER

Filed June 10, 1988,
@ 2:12 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$40.50
Pro Co. Pd 2-23-89	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 23, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 23, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 23, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Mar. 9, 1989, ORDER FILED. This divorce matter is before the court on praecipe to grant a 201(c) divorce. In reviewing the records, we note that there is no evidence that the divorce complaint was ever served upon the defendant, even though the praecipe to Transmit REcord to the court contends that certified mail service was made June 15, 1988. Apparently, through oversight, an affidavit of service with the attached cert. mail return has not been made part of the record in this case.

Until evidence of srevice of the complaint is part of this record, the court cannot act on this divorce and returns the same to Prothonotary. Upon filing an appropriate affidavit of service and a new praecipe, the court will reentertain this matter.

Dated: Mar. 8, 1989 BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Mar. 9, 1989, copy to Charles Swick Esq.

Mar. 13, 1989 Verification filed. I, CHARLES J. SWICK, ESQUIRE, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for Plaintiff and that as such, I am authorized to execute this Verification; that I did, on the 14th day of June, 1988 send by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, Addressee ONly, to the Defendant, Edward C. Bayer a true and correct copyofthe divorce complaint filed at the above term and number at this last known address of RD #9 Box 282, Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P-547-805-362 and that on or about June 17th, 1988 I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 15th day of June, 1988.

Charles J. Swick, Esq.

Mar. 13, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Mar. 15, 1989 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, March 15, 1989, it is ordered and decreed that Janet E. Bayer plaintiff, and Edward C. Bayer, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Kuftic and Devine
David R. Devine

536.

LEON H. FINK

vs.

PAULA M. FINK

Filed June 13, 1988
@ 11:12 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 10-4-88	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

3 copies (atty)

Sept 30, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept 30, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept 30, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Oct. 13, 1988, Motion to Withdraw Count 1 - Equitable Distribution of Marital Property filed.

Oct. 13, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with Postnuptial Agreement attached, to the Praecipe for review, this case moved to court.

Oct. 13, 1988 **DECREE OF DIVORCE FILED.**

AND NOW, to-wit, this 13 day of Oct., 1988 on Motion of David R. Devine Attorney for Plaintiff, the Court by virtue of the authority vested in it by law decress that said Leon H. Fink and Paula M. Fink be, and they are hereby divorced from the bonds of matrimony, and all and every duties, rights, and claims accruing to either of the said parties inpursuance of said marriage, shall henceforth cease and determine and the said parties shall be at liberty to marry again, in like manner, as if they had never been married.

P. Richard Thomas, J

1988

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso and Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

537.

Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett PC
Mark E. Mioduszewski

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
By: E.Max Weiss
Nancy M. Sennett for Lynn Hicks

MARY L. HICKS AND MICHAEL R. HICKS

vs.

VIVEK V. KUMAR

vs.

Lynn Hicks

Filed June 13, 1988
@ 11:18 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
sheriffs costs	45.40
Sheriff's costs	27.30
Pro Co Pd 7/24/89	9.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Sept. 26, 1988 Writ Reissued.

Sept. 26, 1988 Complaint filed.

Oct. 5, 1988, Appearance of Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett P.C., by Mark E. Mioduszewski, attorneys for defendant filed.

Oct. 11, 1988, Notice of Service of Interrogatories to Plaintiffs filed. (first set)

Oct. 11, 1988, Notice of Service of REquest for Production to Plaintiffs (first set) filed.

Oct. 14, 1988, writ Returned. Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared Rhonda L. Davis, dep. sheriff, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 28 dayof Sept. 1988 at 2;05 p.m. he served summons in civil action and complaint in civil action filed Sept. 26, 1988 at AD 1988 - 537 upon dft. Vivek V. Kumar at 3 Crestview Drive, Oil City, Pa. by making known the contents thereof to Leela Kumar, adult in charge/mother by handing to and leaving with her the cert. copy of the complaint.
Rhonda L. Davis, Dep. sheriff
So answers, Gene Price, Sheriff

SERVED Vivek V. Kumar within named defendant by deputizing the sheriff of Venango County, Pa. as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 9/28/88.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 27, 1988 Compalint Against Additional defendant filed.

Nov. 22, 1988 Answer and New Matter and Stipulation filed.

Dec. 5, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Lynn Hicks within named additional defendant on November 18, 1988 at 10:18 AM by serving Cathy Fielding, adult in charge of the residence, R.D. #2, Chase Road, Spartansburg, Crawford Co., PA.
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 9, 1988 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug, by E. Max Weiss and Nancy M. Sennett, attorneys for Additional Defendant Lynn Hicks filed.

Feb 8, 1989 Answer and New Matter of Lynn Hicks to Complaint Against Additional Defendant filed.

Feb. 15, 1989 Reply Of Vivek V. Kumar To New Matter Of Lynn Hicks filed.

Feb. 15, 1989 Motion For Judgment on the Pleadings filed

Feb. 15, 1989 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attorney the above captioned case is placed on the next argument list.

1989 MARCH ARGUMENT LIST

Feb. 27, 1989 Motion Of Lynn Hicks for Judgment on the Pleadings filed.

Feb. 27, 1989 Upon praecipe of Additional Defendant Attorney the above captioned case is placed on the next available argument list.

Mar. 20, 1989 Motion to Continue and Order filed. AND NOW, this 20th day of March, 1989, the Argument in the above matter is continued to April 24, 1989 at 9:00 a.m. (argument list) in Court Room 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Mar. 21, 1989 Copies of order to Ann Hansen Gamble, Mark Mioduszewski and Nancy Sennett

July 12, 1989 Defendants' MOtions For Judgment on the Pleadings Memorandum and Order filed. And now, July 10, 1989, (1) we grant Defendant Kumar's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings and, accordingly, dismiss the Plaintiffs' Complaint with prejudice;
(2) we grant the additional Defendant's MOtion for Judgment onthe Pleadings and, accordingly, dismiss Defendant's joinder complaint; and
(3) we deny Defendant Kumar's request for counsel fees and costs.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

July 12, 1989 copies mailed to Attys. Gamble and Mioduszewski, put in Atty. Sennett's box.

July 24, 1989, Upon praecipe of defendant attorneys, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the defendant vivek v. Kumar, and against the plaintiffs Mary L. Hicks and Michael R. Hicks on the order dated July 10, 1989, of Judge P. richard Thomas, granting the defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and dismissing the plaintiffs' Complaint.
Judgment entered 7/24/89
H - 116, 116
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

July 25, 1989, Notice of entry of judgment mailed to plaintiffs, in care of Atty. Gamble.

1988

538.	PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT of CHARLOTTE A. ROBINSON Filed June 13, 1988 @ 1:19 p.m.	PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT Mental Procedures Act of 1976 (Sections 304 & 305) June 16, 1988 Report Of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and Order filed. AND NOW, this 15th day ofJune, 1988, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review ofthe report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED AND DIRECTED that the said Charlotte A. Robinson be committed to Warren state Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days. BY THE COURT, P. Richard Thomas, J June 17, 1988 copies of order to WSH, Bruce Barret and MH/MR

1988

Brian J. Lindsay

539.

PATRICK D. MIHAILOV

vs.

PETER KRALJ AND
GAIL KRALJ

Filed June 13, 1988
@ 2:29 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's costs	27.15
Pro Co Pd 11/1/88	9.00
Pro Co Pd 3/2/89	5.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (atty)

June 22, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, BRIAN LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn acoc rding to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for the plaintiff, Patrick D. Mihailov, and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 20th day of June, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested Original Complaint at its last known addres sof R.D.#1, Guys Mills, Guys Mills PA 16327, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P446 365 355, and that on the 22nd day of June, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 21st day of June, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Dated: 6/22/88 Brian Lindsay

June 29, 1988 Affidavit filed. I, Brian Lindsay, Esq., after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Patrick D. Mihailov, and that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did, on the 20th day of June, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Return receipt requested original Complaint as its last known address of RD #1, Guys Mills, PA. 16327, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P446 365 356, and that on the 28th day of June, 1988, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 27th day of June, 1988.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Brian Lindsay, Esq.

Aug 8, 1988 Complaint Reinstated (Jury Trial Demanded)

Sept. 7, 1988, Complaint Reinstated.

Sept. 27, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Peter Kralj within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to him on Sept. 14, 1988 at 9:51 AM at his residence, RD #1, Guys Mills, Crawford Co. PA.

SERVED Gail Kralj within named defendant on Sept. 14, 1988 at 9:52 am by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Peter Kralj, adult member of the family with whom she resides, namely her husband, at their residence, R D #1, Guys Mills, PA.

So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Nov. 1, 1988, at 3:02 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with copy of default notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to file answer, in the amount in excess of Ten Thousand dollars, plus interest, and costs.

Judgment entered - 11/1/88
K - 134, 74

Debt -----$10,000.00 (in excess of)

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Jan. 9, 1988, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked satisfied of record.

1988

Blystone & Blystone
Peter E. Blystone

540.

PENNBANK

vs.

DALE A CRANDALL

Filed June 13, 1988
@ 4:02 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
Sheriff's Costs	22.15
Pro.Co.Pd 7/18/88	9.00 ✓
Pro Co Sat pd 6/16/89	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

June 23, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Dale A. Crandall within named defendant on June 20, 1988 at 10:43 A.M. by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to Norma Smith, person in charge of his place of business, Spring Inn, 106 Venango Avenue, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County ,PA
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 18, 1988 at 3:28 p.m., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, judgment is entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against Defendant for failure to file an answer within twenty (20) days of the service of the Complaint and assess the damages as follows: Principal debt of $10,467.44 with Atty's collection fee of 10% for $1,046.74; with a Total debt, Atty's fees, etc., in the amount of Eleven Thousand five hundred fourteen and 88/100 Dollars; Together with interest on the principal debt from 10/25/87 at 10.5% per annum.

Debt $11,514.88

Interest from 10/25/87 at 10.5% per annum

C-44
Judgment entered 7/18/88
Judgment
James F. Simmons,Pro.

EXECUTION No. 1988-63

June 15, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned judgment debt, interest and costs received in full, and the same is hereby satisfied of record.

1988

Quinn, Gent, Buseck
& Leemhuis, Inc.
JOhn G. Gent

541.

Barnard and Gannon
Timothy B. Barnard

GREENHORNE & O'MARA, INC.

vs.

ROY F. WESTON, INC.

Filed June 14, 1988
@ 9:08 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's costs 42.00
Pro co pd 5/10/89 5.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

June 29, 1988 Sheriff's REturn filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Jose Romero who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 21st day of June, 1988, at 2:10 p.m., he served Roy F. Weston, Inc., filed 6/14/88 at AD 541 Term, 1988, Crawford Co. PA., upon defendant Roy F. Weston, Inc. at Phoenixville Pike and King Road, West Chester, PA. By making known the contents thereof to Carol Welch and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Summons received from Crawford Co.

Jose Romero, Deputy Sheriff
Robert A. Erling, Sheriff
Chester Co. PA.

SERVED Roy F. Weston, Inc. within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Chester Co. PA. as per his affidavit of service attached.

Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

So answers,

July 13, 1988 Appearance of Barnard and Gannon by Timothy B. Barnard for Defendant filed.

July 13, 1988 Demand for Jury Trial Filed.

May 9, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued with prejudice to the plaintiff.

Emil M. Spadafore
Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker & Johnson

542.

MARINE BANK

vs.

FLOYD R. OLIVER AND DIANE OLIVER, HIS WIFE, AND WILLIAM K. BOWEN AND DENISE M. BOWEN, HIS WIFE

Filed June 14, 1988
@ 9:45 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 83.40

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

4 copies (sheriff)

Aug. 9, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Sgt. Mazza, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 7 day of July, 1988, at 12:12 p.m., he served complaint in Mort. Fore., f iled at No. 542 Term, 1988, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Floyd R. Oliver at room 111 Sheriff's Offie Pgh, PA By making known the contents thereof to Floyd personally and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy ofthe complaint received from Crawford.
So answes, Sgt. Mazza, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff
Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Robert J. Wenner, Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that onthe 21st day of June, 1988, at 10:50 a.m., he served Diane J. Oliver, filed at No. AD 542 Term, 1988, Venango County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Diane Oliver in person at 22 East Sixth Street, Oil city By making known the contents thereof to her and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure received from Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff Crawford County.
So answers, Robert J. Wenner, deputy sheriff
Gene Price, Sheriff
SERVED Floyd R. Oliver within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny county, PA, as per his affidavits of service attached. Served: 7-7-88.
Served Diane J. Oliver within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango County, PA, as pe rhis affidvit of service attached. Served: 6/21/88.
SERVED Denise M. Bowen personally the within named defendant by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to her on July 14, 1988 at 1:06 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville,PA.
SERVED WilliamK. Bowen within named defendant on July 14, 1988 at 1;07 PM by handing a true copy of the wtihin Complaint to his Authorized Agent, denise M. Bowen, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA. The signed Acceptance of Service attached.
So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1988

543.

MEADVILLE PRODUCTION
CREDIT ASSOCIATION

vs.

WILLIAM K. TITUS

Filed June 14, 1988
@ 10:29 a.m.

Pro Simmons Paid $9.00 ✓
plff on orig 9.50
Pro. Co. Pd. 12-7-88 5.00 ✓

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1983 - 486

The undersigned hereby agree that the judgment entered on June 23, 1983 to DSB 1983 - 486 be revived; prothonotary indexed judgment of revival in the amount of Ninety Four Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty Five and 00/100 Dollars plus costs.

Debt ---$ 94,755.00
DAted ----June 9, 1988
T - 175 ---JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Dec. 7, 1988 This judgment satisfied.
(see paper filed)

1988

544.

WILLIAM DAVIS

vs.

HARRY TURNER

Filed June 14, 1988
@ 1:11 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.25

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF
GEORGE HERZBERGER III, DISTRICT 30-3-04

June 14, 1988, Rule entered upon the plaintiff to file complaint issued.

June 14, 1988, Proof of Service filed.

July 29, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Christopher J. Youngs, Esquire, hereby certify that I am counsel of record for the above-named Plaintiff: that on July 27, 1988 I cuased to be mailed to Robert McFate, Esquire, counsel of record for the above-named Defendant, a true and correct copy of the Notice of Appeal from district justice judgment filed in the within action; and that the within Affidavit is filed without the return receipt because the Plaintiff originally attempted service upon Defendant himself by certified mail, return receipt requested, which mail was unclaimed by Defendant though properly addressed. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Dated: July 29, 1988 Christopher J. Youngs

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

545.

James L. Liberto

DIANA F. BAVERA

vs.

BRUD E. BAVERA

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CHILD CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

June 21, 1988 Verification of Service filed: I, James R. Irwin, Esquire, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for Plaintiff and that as such, I am authorized to execute this Verification; that I did, on the 15th day of June, 1988 send by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, Addressee Only, to the Defendant, Brud E. Bavera a true and correct copy of the Divorce complaint filed at the above term and number at his last known address of 335 Markle Rd., Apollo, Pennsylvania 15613, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No.)-547-801-420 and that on the 20th day of June, 1988 I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated June 17, 1988.

James R. Irwin, Esquire

Filed June 15, 1988
@ 8:58 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 9/12/88	9.00 ✓
William Pines, mediator	82.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 3/1-/89	9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 4-29-94	9.00 ✓

Aug. 15, 1988 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Aug. 19, 1988 Verification of Service filed: I, James R. Irwin,.ESQ., do hereby verify that I did on the ;15h day of Aug. 1988 send by certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested Addressee Only, to the Defendant, Brud E. Bavera,a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Affidavit of Consent under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code.

James R. Irwin, Esquire

2/17/89 Recd. $41.00 From Defendant
2/24/89 Recd $41.00 From Plaintiff
Recd 3-1-89
$41.00
Vivian Stoltz
Rec'd 3-1-89
$41.00
Vivian Stoltz

Sept. 6, 1988 Stipulation And Consent To Entry of Custody Order and ORDER of COURT filed. AND NOW, this 6th day of September, 1988, upon consideration of the Petitioner's petition for hearing and the Stipulation and Consent of the parties, it is hereby ORDERED, directed and decreed that:

1. Custody of the minor children, Casey A. Bavera and Nicholas D. Bavera, shall be shared legally between Petitioner and Respondent; Petitioner shall have primary physical custody of the minor children.

2. Visitation is awarded to the Respondent at such times as the parties shall agree, with it being contemplated by and between the parties that visitation by the Respondent with his minor children shall take plce one weekend per month throughout the school year. For the purposes of this paragraph, a weekend shall be defined as "from 4:00 P.M. Friday until 6:00 p.m. Sunday." Further, the Respondent shall be entitled to visitation for an extended period of one week during the summer school vacation, provided that Respondent give thirty (30) days written notice of his intention to exercise said visitation; and further, that the Respondent shall make every attempt to schedule said one week visitation so as to not interfere or interrupt with any scheduled activities of said minor children.

The parties agree to alternate the major holidays of Thanksgiving, Christmas and Easter, with Respondent having Thanksgiving of 1988 and alternating thereafter.

3. Repondent shall provide all transportation necessary to effectuate his visitation privileges.

4. Neither party shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the otherhand, shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

5. Both parties shall have reasonable telephone privileges with the minor children. For the purpose of this paragraph, reasonable telephone privileges shall be defined as "one telephone call per week."

6. Both parties agree to inform each other as to the whereabouts of the children while said children are in their respective custody and shall provide a telephone number to the other parent by which the children may be contacted.

7. Both parties shall notify each other of any illness of said minor children and each shall consult with the other as to medical care to be rendered. Said requirement to consult with the other parent shall not apply in emergency cases, however, both parties agree to notify the other parent as to the occurrence of an emergency situation.

By The Court:
Robert L. Walker,J.

Sept. 7, 1988 Copy of Order to Atty. James Irwin.

Sept. 8, 1988 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Sept. 19, 1988 **DECREE FILED.**

1. **Divorce:** UPOn consideration of the pleadings and affidavits file din the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and Ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, the parties have been living separate and apart since June of 1985, and an affidavit has beenfiled by the Plaintiff under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code and served uponthe defendant on August 17th, 1988 by Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested, Addressee ONly and no counter affidavit has been file dby the defendant.

2. **Child Support:** The issue of child support has been raised through a Consent Support Order filed at the Domestic Relations Office of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, and accordingly, this Decree provides that the Husband shall pay to the Wife the for support, maintenance and education of their minor Children, the sumof $200.00 per month, ($100.00 per month per child) payable in advance, on the first day of each month, and Husband agrees to abide by the other provisions relating to said children as contained in the Order of this HOnorable Court at DR 1988-477, dated September 7, 1988.

3. **Child Custody and Visitation:** This Decree provides that custody of theminor children shall be shared legally between the parties with primary physical custody of the minor children withthemother subject to the visitation schedule agreed to by the parties pursuant to the Consent Agreement entered into by and between the parties and signed into an Order by this HOnorable Court on September 6, 1988. A copy of the September 6th, 1988 Order ofthe Court relating to child custody and visitation is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by reference.

4. No other matters or causes of action provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code are reserved by either of the parties.

This Orde rshall be effective as of this 19th day of September, 1988.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Continued on page 591

546

1988

Barrett & Dratler
Sylvia Dratler
~~Anthony J. Vardaro~~ withdrawn

546.

BOZIC AND THOMAS
R. Charles Thomas

3/20/89 Rec'd $51.00 From Plaintiff

3/28/89 Rec'd $51.00 From Defendant

Rec'd 4-3-89 $51.00 Vivian Stoltz

Rec'd 4-3-89 $51.00 Vivian Stoltz

3/2/90 Rec'd $51.00 From Plaintiff

Rec'd 4-2-90 $51.00 Vivian Stoltz

10/1/91 Rec'd $91.00 From Plaintiff

Nov. 7, 1991 paid Co. Comm. $91.00

HARRY SCOTT BODENHAGEN

vs.

DEBORAH JEAN BODENHAGEN

Filed June 15, 1988
@ 10:17 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
William Pineo, Mediator	102.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 3/20/89	9.00 ✓
Mediation Cost	102.00
	9.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 10/3/89	15.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 10-25-89-	9.00
Pro Co Pd 10-25-89-	19.00
Pro Co Pd 10/1/91	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY AND VISITATION

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

June 15, 1988 Order of the Court Filed.

ORDER OF COURT: YOU, DEOBRAH JEAN BODENHAGEN, Defendant, have been sued in Court to obtain custody, partial custody, or visitation of the children: Harry James Bodenhagen and Joshua Scott Bodenhagen. You are ordered to appear before William Pineo, child custody mediator for a mediation conference at such time and place as he shall designate. You are ordered to bring with you the children, Harry James Bodenhagen and Joshua Scott Bodenhagen, if they are in your custody at the time of the hearing. If you fail to appear as provided by this Order or to bring the children, an Order for custody, partial custody, or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrent for your arrest. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SER FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

BY THE COURT: P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 28, 1988 Affidavit of Service filed. Vicki Bradshaw, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the secretary to Anthony J. Vardaro, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff in this action and certifies that on 6/16/88 she delivered to the Post office in Meadville, PA for delivery to deborah Jean Bodenhagen at 4 Reed St. Oil City, PA 16301 by certified mail, return receipt requested, delivery restricted to addressee only, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in this matter for which deponent received at the time receipt for certified article no. P-547 803 557 which is attaced hereto as Exhibit "A". Thereafter on 6/21/88, it was returned to Anthony J. Vardaro, return receipt bearing the same number and signed by Deborah jean Bodenhagen said return receipt being attached hereto as Exhibit "B".

Vicki Bradshaw

June 28, 1988 Petition for Temporary Custody Pending Mediation Conference filed.

ORDER: AND NOW, this 28th day of June, 1988, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition and upon motion of Anthony J. Vardaro, Esq., attorney for the Petitioner, it is ordered that temporary custody of the minor children, Harry James Bodenhagen, and Joshua Scott Bodenhagen, shall remain with the petitioner and the Respondent shall have visitation privileges with the children each Saturday from 10:00 AM until 4;00 PM at the home of the Petitioner. No party shall remove the children from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania prior to the Mediation Conference in this Matter.

By the Court: Robert Walker, J.

Copies of Order Sent to Anthony J. Vardaro

Aug 4, 1988 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 4th day of August, 1988, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The father shall retain legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.

2. The mother shall have the right of visitation each Tuesday and Thursday from 6:45 a.m. until 3:45 p.m. The father shall deliver the children to the corner of Terrace Street and Reynolds Avenue, Meadville, Pennsylvania, at 6:45 a.m. to be picked up by the mother and the mother shall bring the children to the same place at 3:45 P.M. so that the father may pick them up on his way home from work. The mother shall also have the right of visitation with the children at the father's residence every Saturday from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.. Visitation privileges shall not be restricted to the home of the father.

3. The parties shall arrange for psychological evaluations to determine the fitness of each to perform the duties of parent and to determine what counseling is necessary to guide them through the break-up of the marriage, and to detrmine whether or not the parties can cooperate to a degree sufficient to allow for joint legal custody. The parties shall also arrange for Psychological evaluation of the children to determine what counseling will be necessary for the children. The parties shall advice the Mediator of their choice of counselors by August 5, 1988 and if the parties have been unable to agree on a choice of counselors, the Medator shall make the choice himself, notify the parties in writing, and advise the Court.

4. When the evaluations have been received, the Mediator shall schedule further Mediation and make a final report and suggested order to the Court.

5. Costs and fees in this Mediation which are fixed at $102.00 are divided equally. The father's share is assessed to him as costs. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County. The mother's share is assessed to Crawford County, the Mediator having advised the Court that she is presently without funds.

6. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this orde shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug 5, 1988 Copies of order to Plaintiff, Anthony Vardaro and William Pineo, Esqs.

Nov. 1, 1988 Appearance of Bozic and Thomas, by R. Charles Thomas, Attorney for defendant filed.

Mar. 15, 1989 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 15th day of Mar., 1989, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HER EBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.

2. The mother shall have physical custody of the children until July 15, 1989. The father shall have the right of temporary physical custody and visitation with the children every weekend, except for the last weekend of every month, from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m. From July 15 at 6:00 p.m. until Labor Day at 6:00 p.m., the father shall have physical custody of the children and the mother shall have the right of visitation every weekend, except for the last weekend of every month from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m. Each party shall have the right to designate a two-week period during his or her portion of the summer during which no visitation need be accorded the other party provided the custodial party intends to take a vacation trip or is having his or her vacation from his or her employment.

3. The holidays og Good Friday, Memorial Day, Independence Day, and Labor Day, shall be alternated with the children spending good Friday with their father. The holiday visitation h ours shall be from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Holiday visitation shall take precedence over the other provisions of this Order relating to custody and visitation.

4. The parties shall arrange for counseling with a qualified mental health professional upon whom they can mutually agree. If they cannot agree then said counseling shall be arranged through the Community Mental Health Center of Meadville. The counselor shall report to the Mediator on any findings or problems as said counselor may deem necessary and said counselor shall make such report to the Mediator as he may require. Further Mediation will be scheduled during July or August of 1989 for the purpose of evaluating the arrangements set out by this Order and attempting to recommend a final Order to the Court.

5. eAch party shall teach the children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child and neither parent shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the estimation of the children.

6. The responsibility for transportation shall be split between the parties with the party receiving the children picking them up at the residence of the other.

7. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $102.00, are divided equally between the parties with the share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case.

8. Either party may move the Court for ahearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent. By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 16, 1989 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas, Anthony Vardaro, Plaintiff, Defendant and William Pineo

April 12, 1989 Motion for Hearing De Novo and Order filed: AND NOW, this 12th day of April, 1989, a hearing de novo was set in the above captioned matter for the 3rd day of May, 1989 at 9:00 o'clock A.M. in Courtroom No. 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
For the Court:
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

April 13, 1989 Copies of order put in boxes of Attys. Thomas, Vardaro and Pineo.

June 6, 1989 Memorandum and Order filed: AND NOW, June 5, 1989, the following is entered in lieu of the Temporary Order of March 15, 1989:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.

2. Physical custody of the children shall continue with the mother until July 15, 1989, at which time basic custody will shift to the father until Novmeber 15, 1989, and shall be alternated at four month invertals thereafter.

3. The non-custodial parent, during said four month periods, shall have the right of temporary physical custody and visitation with the children every other weekend beginning with the second weekend after the basic custody changes, the weekend being from Friday at 6:00 P.M. through Sunday at 6:00 P.M. Transporation to and from visits shall be furnished by the father.

4. No separate order relating to holidays is entered since with the alternating nature of basic custody, the holidays will in their very nature alternate between the parties. However, if any holiday falls on a Friday or Monday thus creating a three day weekend and if that weekend is the weekend for visitation as otherwise provided herein, the weekend visit shall be extended by commencing either at 6:00 P.M. on Thursday, or extending to 6:00 P.M. on Monday as the case may be in order to include the holiday in the weekend.

5. The parties shall arrange for counseling with a qualified mental health professional upon whom they can mutually agree. If they cannot agree, the counseling shall be arranged through the Community Mental Health Center at Meadville Medical Center. Counseling shall be at the expense of the father and the counselor shall feel free to contact the Court an/or report to the court as deemed necessary.

6. This Order shall continue in full force and effect until the August preceding the start of the children in kindergarten at which time if no further agreement can be reached between the parties, this matter will be referred back to the custody mediator for further mediation to accommodate any changes made necessary by the commencement of attendance at kindergarten.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

June 6, 1989 Copies put in Attys. Vardaro& Thomas's boxes.

June 8, 1989 Exhibits filed with papers.

June 16, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Oct. 2, 1989 Amended Complaint Pursuant To §301(a) of the Divorce Code filed.

Oct. 2, 1989 Separation and Property Settlement Agreement filed.

Oct. 18, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Oct. 18, 1989, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Oct. 18, 1989, Acceptance of Service of Amended Complaint filed. I hereby accept service of a true and ccrrect copy of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint pursuant to Section 301(a) of the Divorce code on behalf of the Defendant and with the permission of the defendant. R. Charles Thomas, Esq.

Oct. 24, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to Transmit the Record, this case moved to court.

Oct. 27, 1989 **DECREE FILED.**

1. Divorce: Upon consideration of the pleadings and Affidavits filed in the above case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety days have elapsed from the date of filing the Complaint under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code.

CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE

546
B

1988

Harry Scott Bodenhagen

vs.

Deborah Jean Bodenhagen

Record continued from page 546A

of record

A.D. 1988 - 546

****

2. Equitable Property Determination and Distribution: This Decree provides that the marital property of the above named parties shall be divided in accordance with a Separation and Property Settlement Agreement dated September 19, 1989 executed by the parties and filed at the above captioned number and term in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County which distribution shall be as follows:

(A) Each party shall have possession of all tangible personal property in their possession as of September 19, 1989;

(B) Defendant shall have sole possession of a dresser with a mirror that was given to her by her mother.

(C) Plaintiff shall pay to Defendant's mother the sum of $200 with the first payment of $70 being due October 19, 1989; another $70 being due November 19, 1989 and the balance of $60 being due December 19, 1989.

(D) Plaintiff shall pay toward defendant's attorney's fees the sum of $350 in consecutive monthly installments of $50 beginning September 19, 1989.

3. No other matters or causes of action provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code, Act No. 1980-26 are reserved by either of the parties.

This Order shall be effective as of this 27 day of Oct., 1989.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

July 25, 1990 Petition For Contempt And Imposition of Sanctions and COURT ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 25 day of July 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the within petition, a rule is granted upon the plaintiff to show cause, if any he has, why the relief requested in this petition should not be granted. Returnable and for hearing on the 14 day of Aug., 1990 at 9:30 o'clock .M. in Court Room 2 of the Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA.

Copies of this petition to be served on the plaintiff by regular mail and certified mail at his last known address.

The Plaintiff is warned that if he fails to appear for this hearing, the same may be conducted in his absence and an Order entered against him imposing such sanctions as the Court deems appropriate.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, Judge

July 25, 1990 Copy of Order to R. Charles Thomas, Anthony Vardaro.

Aug 10, 1990 Motion to Withdraw and Order filed: AND NOW, this 10th day of August, 1990, upon presentation of the foregoing Motion, Anthony J. Vardaro, Esquire, is permitted to withdraw as counsel for the Plaintiff.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, PJ

Aug 10, 1990 Copies of order put in Attys. Vardaro and Thomas's boxes.

Nov. 16, 1990, Motion for Return of Matter to custody Mediator and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Nov. 16, 1990, this matter is returned for further mediation to the original custody mediator, William Pineo, Esq. Gordon R. Miller, J.

Nov. 21, 1990, copies to H. Bodenhagen, w. Pineo and R. C. Thomas.

Mar. 8, 1991 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 7 day of March, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT ISHEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.

2. The parties shall share with each mother any and all information which eacy may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor childme.

3a. The order of June 5, 1989 will continue in effect until June 15, 1991. Thereafter the children shall reside with their fther form June 15, of each year until August 15 of each year and with their mother from August 15 of eachyear until June 15 of the following year. Whenthe new terms shallhave gone into effect, the parent not having physical custody shall have the right of temporary physical custody and visitation with the subject minor children each weekend, except for the last weekend of every month, from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m.

b. The subject minor children shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lenghts of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custoyd.

4. Holiday visitation s hall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows: There shall be two Christmas holiday visitation periods. The first will begin christmas Eve at noon and end Christmas Day at noon and the second shall begin Christmas Day at noon and end Christmas Day at 7:00 p.m. For Christmas, 1991, the children shall have the first period with their father and the second period with their mother and the parents shall thereafter alternate the periods.

b. Thanksgiving holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows: The children shall spend each Thanksgiving vacation from school, from the friday follwoing Thanksgiving at noon until 6:00 p.m. of the Monday following Thanksgiving with their father. For Thanksgiving itself, there shall be two visitation periods, the first beginning at 6:00 p.m. of the Wednesda preceding Thanksgiving and ending at 2:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day. The second shall begin at 2:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day and end at noon of the Friday following Thanksgiving. For thanksgiving 1991, the children shall spend the first period with their mothr and the second with their father andthe parties shall thereafter alternate the two holiday visitation periods.

c. The children shall spend their Spring vacation from school from 6:00 p.m. of the day that the vacation starts until 6:00 p.m. of the last day of the vacation with their father.

d. The other holidays to be observed are New Years Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day and Easter. Holidlay visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch the fireworks. The father shallhave visitation on Independence Day 1991, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

e. The children shall spend Father's Day with their father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with their mother, during the same hours.

f. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to t he custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the othe rparty as soon as he or she know of the necessity which impels the cancellation of modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The mediator has noted for the court that the mother does not have an automobile. Nevertheless, the parties shall attempt to arrange transportation so that not all of it falls upon the father, making reasonable use of the public bus lines where necessary. If the actual times when the children are to be picked up and delivered can reasonably be changed in order to accommodate the public bus schedules, then the parties shall do so.

7. Each party shall teach said chidlren to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugsor be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custoyd of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are bieng transported or in the bedroon of the children.

9. Any person transporting the chidlren shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are sued in accordance with the alw of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to balcken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each pa rty shallinstruct his or her relatives to do likewise and also not to disparage the other par ty in the children's estimation.

11. The parties are gree to make addtional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and arestrongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

12. Costs and fees ofthis Mediation, which are fixed at $182.00 are divided equally between the parties. The mediator notes for the Court that th mother is receiving public assistance and he rshare is therefore assessed to crawford county. The father's share is assessed to him as costs int his case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of crawford county.

13. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If niehter party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon r. Miller, J
Mar. 14, 1991 copy of order put in William Pineo's box and copies mailed to plaintiff and defendatn.

Aprl 5, 1991, Exceptions to Mediations Recommendations filed. 1 copy (atty)

HARRY SCOTT BODENHAGEN

VS.

DEBORAH J. BODENHAGEN

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 546B

OF RECORD

A.D. 1988 - 546

Apr. 12, 1991 Motion For Amendment of Caption and Motion filed. And now, April 12, 1991 The above motion allowed. Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 16, 1991 Copy of order put in Bruce Barrett's box.

May 16, 1991, Affidavit of Service of copy of Exceptions to Mediation Recommendations, received by dft. on Apr. 18,1991, filed.

June 3, 1991 Motion To Continue and Order filed. AND NOW, May 30, 1991, hearing is continued to the 2nd day of July, 1991, at 9:30 o'clock a.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2.
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

June 7, 1991 Copies of order put in Sylvia Dratler and Bettie Muth's box.

July 9, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 2, 1991, the Court is unable to continue this hearing because of scheduling purposes. Accordingly the matter is continued to July 24, 1991 at 10:00 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No. 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.
By The Court
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 10, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. Sylvia Dratler, Bettie Peterson-Muth and William Pineo.

July 26, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 24, 1991 after formal hearing in this matter and after the Court interviewed the children in the presence of counsel but not in the presence of the pparties, the Court beleives that both parents are good parents but because the Defendant is able to spend more time with the children when they are not in school or elsewhere and believes that it is in the best interest of the children to remain with their mother.

Accordingly, the temporary order of March 7, 1991 is continued without modification.

However, at the father's request, the Court now gives him the opportunity to take his children into his custody one (1) night a week between the hours of 4:00 p.m. and 8:00 p.m. during the periods of time when the children are living with their mother. That night shall be decided by the parties and must be either Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, or Thursday night. The father shall give the mother at least 48 hours advance notice of the night he desires to pick. The Court would hope that the parties would agree on a regular night or agree to give each other more advance notice than that so that they each know their schedules, but if they are unable to do so, the least amount of notice the father is required to give the mother is 48 hours advance notice.

The mother shall not prohibit her children from talking with the current Mrs. Bodenhagen or her son if they want to.

By Order Of The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 26, 1991 Copy of Order to ATtys. Sylvia Dratler and Bettie Peterson-Muth, William Pineo.

April 4, 2002 See Computer for furture entries

546
D.

1988

1988

Harry N. Sydor

547.

JASON DAVIS, A MINOR, BY HIS PARENT AND NATURAL GUARDIAN, GRACE KENDALL

vs.

CLARENCE ESTER

Filed June 15, 1988
@ 1:48 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50
Sheriff's Costs 10.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Aug. 9, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. And now, July 28, 1988 the witin Notice to defend and Complaint in Ciil Action and Affidavit to have been served upon the within named defendant Clarence Ester are returned NOT SERVED the said defendant Last known Residents Oil City Post Office-Vacant, No Listing Telephone Book per Robert J. Wanner, Deputy Sheriff

Gene Price, Sheriff

Not Found, as to within named defendant Clarence Ester, as per affidavit received from Sheriff Gene Price, Venango County, PA

So answers Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

548

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey & Irwin

James R. Irwin

548.

VICTORIA A. LOIACONA

vs.

NICK A. LOIACONA

Filed June 15, 1988
@ 3:13 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $40.50 ✓
3 counts paid 45.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 2/22/89 5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY/ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES, AND CHILD CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

June 21, 1988 Verification filed: I, James R. Irwin, Esquire, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for Plaintiff and that as such, I am authorized to execute this Verification; that I did, on the 15th day of June, 1988, send by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, Addressee Only, to the Defendant, Nick A. Loiacona a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint filed at the above term and number at his last known address of RD#2 Box 266, Meadville, Pa 16335, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No.P-547-801-419 and that on the 20th day of June, 1988 I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 17th day of June, 1988.

James R. Irwin, Esq.

Feb. 21, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
E. Max Weiss
John Brautigam

549.

Evans, Garvey & Lackey
Robert L. Lackey

NATHAN S. BARKER

vs.

JAMES D. DEMPSEY AND DAILEY
AND KOCAN CHEVROLET
OLDSMOBILE

Filed June 15, 1988
@ 3:38 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid		$40.50
Sheriff's Costs		60.30
Pro co Pd	1/25/90	6.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Aug 9, 1988 Appearance of Evans, Garvey and Lackey by Robert L. Lackey, Esq. for Defendants filed.

Aug. 23, 1988 Answer with New Matter filed.

sept. 13, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Geraldine Taylor, Deputy who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 11th day of July, 1988, at 1:40 P.M., he served a Complaint filed at No. AD 1988 - 549 Term, 19, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant James D. Dempsey at 45-1/2 Wall street, Erie County, North East, Pennsylvania By making known the contents thereof to Mary Lai rose, his mother and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy ofthe Complaint received from Crawford County.
So answers, Geraldine Taylor, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff
SERVED James D. Dempsey within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, PA, as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: 7-11-88
SERVED Dailey and Kocan Chevrolet Oldsmobile within named defendant on June 27, 1988 at 11:45 AM by handing a true copy of the within Complaint to JIm Kocan, owner, at place of business, 118, East Central Avenue, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
So answrs, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart,Sheriff

Sept. 14, 1988 Reply To New Matter filed.

Jan. 25, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

550

1988

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
Paul D. Shafer, Jr.

550.

Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC
Christopher Youngs

WILLIAM P. JACK AND MARY JO JACK, HIS WIFE, INDIVIDUALLY AND JACK'S PHARMACY, INC.

vs.

JOSEPH S. CIRRINCIONE AND DEBRA Z. CIRRINCIONE, INDIVIDUALLY AND CIRR, INC.

Filed June 15, 1988
@ 3:42 P.M.

Tax & entry Paid	$40.50
Sheriff's Costs	30.00
Pro co Pd 3-17-89	6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (atty)

June 21, 1988, Appearance of Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC by Christopher J. Youngs, attorneys for defendants filed.

June 21, 1988Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, Rule Entered UPon Plaintiff to File Complaint issued.

June 24, 1988 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Joseph J. Cirrincione, within named defendant on 6-21-88 at 3:00 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Christopher Youngs, Attorney for defendant at Sheriff's office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

SERVED Debra Z. Cirrincione within named defendant on 6-21-88 at 3:01 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Christopher Youngs, Attorney for defendant, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

SERVED Cirr, Inc., within named defendant on 6-21-88 at 3:02 P.M. by handing a true copy of the within Summons to Christopher Youngs, Attorney for defendant at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

So answers,

Catherine I. Allen Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 5, 1988 Service Of the foregoing Rule is hereby accepted by Paul D. Shafer filed.

Mar 15, 1989 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

FRONT

Doris R. Brown

vs.

George W. Brown

****

Record continued from page 139 of Record
A. D. 1988 - 139

****

Aug. 5, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, August 3, 1988, the matter is back before the Court on a Petition for Special Relief, withthe Court being advised that the former residence ofthe wife is no longer available and she has moved into a jointly owned residence which is in need of repair. The particular problem at present centeres on a defective septic system and an estimation of $1200.00 to correct the same. There is also a potential water well problem, but this problem is so ill-defined at this time that the Court declines to take any remedial action for lack of definitive facts on this problem.

The wife has been consulting with mental health specialists and doctors and has been incurred a bill to Dr. Mercatoris and has been advised that Dr. Yohe will require payment before privately handling her case.

Real estate taxes on the residence of the wife amount to $543.00 face value and are unpaid.

The husband's farming business has been severely impacted by the present drought and he contends he is presently strapped for sach and owes approximately $280,000.00 to the Frederad Land Bank and Production Credit.

The Court resolves the above problems as follows:

1. In regard to the septic system, the husband is unaware of the problem and accord him the opportunity to consult with one Don Ferris, whose bid of $1200.00 is received this date. The husband will pay $1,000.00 toward this estimate of $1200.00 on or before August 25, 1988. This Order is entered without prejudice to the righ to fthe Master in the divorce action to take this payment into consideration in making equitable distribution.

2. With regard to the real estate taxes, the husband offers to pay the same, either at face value or discount and we direct him to comply with his promise to the Court to do so without prejudice to the right of the Msater to take this fact into consideration in making equitable distribution.

3. With regard to the payments to the doctors for their mental health services in the past and in the future, the parties are uncertain as to whether these bills are covered by the husband's medical policy. The wife contends the agent for the insurance company prepared a form and forwarded it to the husband for signature to process the bills in question, but the husband has no recollection of receiving the same. The husband will immediately contact Mr. Jim Hotchkiss to ascertain whether or not these bills are totally or partially covered under his medical insurance and whether a deductible provision in the policy does not cover the same. If the bills are covered totally or partially, the husband will take all steps necessary to see toward their payment. If they are not covered, the husband shall be responsible for seventy-five percent (75%) of said bills on or before September 1, 1988, and will continue to be responsible for bills of a like nature to the extent of seventy-five percent(75%)

4. The Court notes at this time the husband has no objection to her occopying the jointly owned residence which she shares with her son, with the Court noting that since the son is residing in the residence,a portion of the maintenance expenses for that residence should be borne by the son. The Court declines to establish a precise formula for the son's share in view of the fact we have no jurisdiction over the son in this action. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Aug. 8, 1988 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas and Douglas Ferguson

Oct. 14, 1988 MotionFor Extension Of Order and Order filed. And now, October 15, 1988 Hearing on this matter is set for the 18 day of Oct., 1988 at 2:00 o'clock. Pending hearing on this matter the alimony award as set forth in the Court Order of May 25, 1988 is continued. P. Richard Thomas

Oct. 14, 1988 Copies of order to R. CharlesThomas, Douglas Ferguson

Oct. 21, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, October 18, 1988, the matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's petition to continue alimony pendente lite. It appears the same was paid through October 1, 1988, in accord with the previous Court Order at the reat of $200.00 per week.

The matter is informally reviewed and the Court finds that as divorces go, this matter has moved along with reasonable rapidity. It appears there still remains for filing an inventory by the Plaintiff and the Court directs that this inventory be filed by November 1, 1988. The court likewise directs that a motion for appointment of a Master be filed by either party on or before November 7, 1988.

Alimony is continued at the reate of $175.00 per week commencing with the week of October 9, 1988, and to continue until further Order of Court.

To save the parties and counsel further appearances, the Court advises that upon filing a motion for appointment of a Master, the Court will require a deposit by the Defendant of $500.00 and by the Plaintiff of $250.00 to be made within a certain time limitation after the Master is appointed.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Oct. 21, 1988 Copies of order to R. Charles Thomas and Douglas Ferguson

Sept 13, 1990, Inventory and Appraisement of Doris R. Brown filed.

Oct. 5, 1990, Petition for Sanctions and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 5 day of Oct. 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a Rule is granted upon the defendant to show cause, if any he has, why he should not be adjudicated in contempt of court and sanctins imposed upon him by reason of his failure to answer the Interrogatories hereto fore submitted to him by palintiff.

Returnable for hearing and/or argument on the 25 day of Oct. 1990 at 3:30 p.m.

BY THE COURT,
P. R. Thomas, S. J.

Oct. 8, 1990, copies to R. C. Thomas and D. Ferguson attys.

Oct. 5, 1990, Petition for Review and Increase of Alimony and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 5 day of Oct. 1990, upon presentation and ocnsideration of the within Petition, a Rule is hereby granted upon the defendant to show casue, if any he has, why the alimony

Continued on 569 page.....

Bobbie L. Brown
vs.
Karl E. Perry
vs.
Norandex, Inc., Borough of Springboro & Crawford County Redevelopment Authority
****

Record continued from page 119
of record

A. D. 1988 - 119
****

Sept. 26, 1988 Answer and New Matter of Additional Defendant Norandex, Inc filed.

Sept. 29, 1988 Appearance of Knox, McLaughlin, Gornall & Sennett, P.C. by William C. Wagner for additional defendant Crawford County Redevelopment Authority filed.

Oct. 13, 1988, Defendant's REply to New Matter of Additional Defendant Norandex, Inc., filed.

Oct. 17, 1988, Notice of Service of Defendant's First Request for Production of Documents Addressed to the Plaintiff and Additional Defendants Borough of Springboro and Crawford County Redevelopment Authority, filed.

Oct. 19, 1988, Answer and New Matter of Additional Defendant, Crawford County Redevelopment Authority, filed.

Oct. 21, 1988, Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug, by David L. Hotchkiss, Attorney for Defendant, Borough of Springboro filed.

Oct. 24, 1988 Preliminary Objections of Additional Defendant, Borough of Springboro, to the Complaint of Original Defendant, Karl E. Perry, Joining Additional defendants filed.

Oct. 27, 1988, Amended Answer and New Matter of Additional Defendant, Crawford County Redevelopment Authority, filed.

Jan. 6, 1989 Notice Of Service Of Interrogatories To Plaintiff (First Set) filed.

Jan. 6, 1988 Notice Of Service Of Interrogatories To defendant, Karl E. Perry (First Set) filed.

Feb. 9, 1989, Answer to Preliminary Objections of AdditionaL Defendant Borough of Springboro to the Complaint of Original Defendant Karl E. Perry Joining Additional Defendants, filed.

Feb. 9, 1989, Defendant Karl E. Perry's Reply to Amended New Matter of Additional Defendant Crawford County Redevelopment Authority, filed.

Feb. 9, 1989, Notice of Service of Defendant Karl E. Perry's Answers to Additional Defendant Norandex, Inc.'s First Set of Interrogatories and Request for Production Addressed to Defendant Karl E. Perry, filed.

Feb 15, 1989 Motion for Leave to Join an Additional Defendant and Order filed: AND NOW, this 15th day of February, 1989, upon consideration of defendant Karl E. Perry's Motion for Leave to Join Redevelopment Authority of the City of Meadville as an Additional Defendant, a rule is hereby granted on Plaintiff Bobbie L. Brown and additional defendants Norandex, Inc., Borough of Springboro and Crawford County Redevelopment Authority to show cause why the relief requested in the subject Motion should not be granted.
Returnable for hearing the 15th day of March, 1989, at 11:30 A.M., in Court Room No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker,

Feb 15, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Irwin, Blystone, Vardaro, Hotchkiss, Markham and Wagner.

Feb 15, 1989 Motion for Sanction filed.

Feb 15, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, this 15th day of February, 1989, upon consideration of defendant Karl E. Perry's Motion for Sanctions, a rule is hereby granted on plaintiff Bobbie L. Brown and additional defendants Borough of Springboro and Crawford County Redevelopment Authority to show cause why appropriate sanctions should not be imposed for failure of the said plaintiff and additional defendants to serve a written response to defendant Karl E. Perry's First Request for Production of Documents addressed to the said plaintiff and additional defendants and for failure to permit inspection and copying of the documents which are the subject matter thereof.
Returnable for hearing on the 15th day of March, 1989, at 11:30 o'clock a.m. in Court Room No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker,

Feb 15, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Irwin, Blystone, Vardaro, Hotchkiss, Markham and Wagner.

Mar. 15, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, March 15, 1989, at the time scheduled for hearing and/or argument ona motion for leave to join an additional Defendant, and on a motion for sanctions in relation to discovery matters, counsel for the Plaintiff and the original Defnedant appear and represent tothe Court that settlement negotiations are pending, which may resolve the issues in this matter, and at the joint request of those parties, hearing on the matter scheduled for today is continued generally, to be rescheduled if necessary upon motion of any party in interest.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 16, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Irwin, Blystone, Harkham, Vardaro, Wagner and Hotchkiss

Sept. 15, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record with prejudice as to Additional Defendants: Norandex, Inc. Borough of Springboro, and CrwafordCounty Redevelopment Authority.

1988

Paul W. Brown and
Carol M. Brown, his wife

vs.

Maureen Breitfelder a/k/a
Maureen Breitfelder
Petrucelli, Defendant

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 127

OF

AD 1988 - 127

****

Oct. 6, 1988 Motion for Judgment and Consent Judgment filed. NOW, this 6th day of Oct., 1988, it appearing that counsel for plaintiffs and counsel for defendant have consented to the entry of the within Order on behalf of their respective clients, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that upon the claim of plaintiffs judgment is entered in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendant, Maureen Breitfelder a/k/a Maureen Breitfelder Petrucelli, in the amount of $11,907.86 together with costs of suit. It is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that upon the counter-claim of the defendant in the above captioned matter, judgment is entered in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendant, Maureen Breitfelder a/k/a Maureen Breitfelder Petrucelli with prejudice.

By The Court
Robert L. Walker, J.

Oct. 10, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. Gary A. Fabian and David W. Pickens.

B-114, 115
Judgment Entered Oct. 6, 1988

Judgment
James F.Simmons,Prothonotary

EX/1988-103

Oct 6, 1988 Motion to Compel Production filed.

Oct 6, 1989 Motion in Limine filed.

Dec. 22, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, judgment in the above captioned case is marked satisfied of record.(amt. of $11,907.86) Also noted that judgment entered Mar. 23, 1988 in amt. of $11,098.40 was opened pursuant to order of court dated Apr. 13, 1988.

Ronald D. Galle
vs.
Ann S. Galle
****

Record continued from page 105
of record
A.D. 1988 - 105
****

Mar. 31, 1989 Order Appointing Substitute Master filed. AND NOW, 3 - 31, 1989, Curtis Blystone, Esqurie is appointed substitute Master in respect to the following claims: Alimony, counsel fees, costs and expenses, and dissolution of the marriage. Plaintiff Ronald D. Galle shall file his Income and Expense Statement on or before 4-15-89, or be barred fromoffering any testimony or evidence at trial.
Deposit required: By Plaintiff $300; By Defendant $200.
PER CURIAM,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Apr. 4, 1989 Copies of order to Lisa Youngs, Brian Lindsay, William Peters and Curtis Blystone

Apr. 12, 1989 Motion For Leave To Withdraw and Order filed. AND NOW, April 12, 1989, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, the law firm of Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC is hereby granted leave to withdraw as counsel for Defendant, Ann S. Galle Counsel serve copy on Master.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Apr. 13, 1989 Copies of order put in boxes for Christopher Youngs, Brian Lindsay and Curtis Blystone

August 9, 1989 Contempt Petition and RULE filed. AND NOW, this 9th day of August, 1989,a Rule is issued upon the Defendant to show cause why she should not be held in contempt for failure to pay a court ordered master's fee deposit.
Said Rule is returnable the 29th day of August, 1989, in courtroom no. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, at 11:00 A.M., at which time a hearing will be held.
By The Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 9, 1989 Copy of Rule to Attys. Richard W. Cleckner,Brian Lindsay and Curtis Blystone.

Sept. 1, 1989 Notice Of Master's Hearing filed.

Sept. 22, 1989 Upon praecipe Of Plaintiff To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

Oct. 12, 1989 Petition For Allowance Of Master's Fees and For Payment Of Stenographer's Invoice and Order filed. AND NOW, this 11 day of October, 1989, upon consideration of the Master's foregoing Petition, Master's fees are hereby set in the sum of $125.00 and the Prothonotary is directed to effect payment to the Master, Curtis E. Blystone, Esq., of said sum. The Prothonotary is further directed to pay McDonald Reporting Services, 1673 W. 8th Street, Erie, PA, 16505, the sum of $65.00 as compensation to the stenographer in this case. The remaining balance of $ shall be refuned to the parties as follows: to plaintiff, Ronald D. Galle $186; to defendant Ann S. Galle, $124.
P. Richard Thomas, J

Oct. 13, 1989 Copies of order put in [illegible], Brian LIndsay and Curtis Blystone's box , and copy mailed to Defendant.

Oct. 12, 1989 Supplemental Order Divorce Action filed. And now, October 9, 1989, the Court has granted a Divorce Decree upon stipulation of the parties, but the Court notes that said stipulation did not provide for the payment of Master's fees in the divorce. The Court notes that in accordance with prior orders, the parties have deposited a total of $500.00 in the Prothonotary's Office.
Accordingly, Master Curtis Blystone is directed to forward the Court a petition for payment of counsel fees setting forth his time spent in this matter. The Court will order payment of Master's fees from the deposits held with the Prothonotary, and the remaining balance in the Prothonotary's hands will be distributed 60% to the Plaintiff and 40% to the defendant.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Oct. 13, 1989 Copies of order put in [illegible], Brian Lindsay and Curtis Blystone's box. and copy mailed to defendant.

Oct. 9, 1989 **STIPULATION AND DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 9 day of Oct., 1989, the Court decrees as follows:
1. DIVORCE: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elpsed form the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the aprties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201 (c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.
2. CUSTODY: A. Legal custody of minor child, R. Duncan Galle, shall be shared equally between the parties.
b. Primary physical custody of R. Duncan Galle shall remain with the mother, subject to liberal visitation with the father, said visitation to consist of not less than one (1) weekend permonth, from Friday through Sunday and up to two (2) weeks of summer visitation, provided Plaintiff gives Defendant thirty (30) days notice of his intent to exercise visitation.
3. CHILD SUPPORT: A. Amount Plaintiff shall pay ninety-five dollars ($95.00) per week child support. When Plaintiff's net weekly income increases by fifteen dollars ($15.00) from the present amount of three hundred seventy dollars ($370.00), then Plaintiff shall pay one hundred ten dollars ($110.00) as weekly child support. Further increases in Plaintiff's net weekly income shall be grounds for upward modifications of Plaintiff's support obligation.
B. Duration; Obligation shall terminate when son Duncan reaches nineteen (19) years of age unless son Duncan enters college for the fall term immediately following Duncan's graduation from high school, provided he is physically able to do so. If Duncan enters college then Plaintiff's obligation will continue through four (4) consecutive years of college or until Duncan reaches the age of twenty three (23), whichever occurs later.
C. Taxation For income tax purposes, Defendant Ann Galle is entitled to claim Duncan as her dependent.
D. Uninsured Health and Medical Ca[illegible]laintiff shall be responsible for payment of sixty five percent (65%) of Duncan's uninsured health and medical expenses and Defendant shall be responsible for payment of thirty five percent (35%) of these expenses.
E. The parties h ereto shall each sign, within ten (10) days of the entry of this Decree, a Consent Support Order that incorporates the terms and conditions of subparagraphs (a) through (d).
4. HOUSEHOLD CONTENTS AND TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY: Each party shall be entitled to possession of household contents and items of personal property that were in his or her possesion prior to 1986, with the exception of a wooden cedar chest previously owned by Plaintiff's maternal grandmother, that is in the possession of Defendant. Defnedant shall transfer the wooden chest to Plaintiff's possession after the entry of a final decree in divorce.
5. ALIMONY: There shall be no award of alimony.
6. ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES AND COSTS: The Plaintiff Ronald D. Galle shall pay to Defendant's counsel the

sum of seven hundred dollars ($700.00) within ninety (90) days from the date of the entry of this decree.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Oct. 25, 1989
Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name filed.

To Be Known As:
ANN S. BUFALINO

1988

Continued from page 335, Tyler vs . Hammond AD 1988 - 335

Carl J. Tyler

vs.

Sheila L. Hammond

.....

Nov. 16, 1988 Consent Order filed. AND NOW, this 16th day of November, 1988, by Agreement of the parties,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of Brandon Tyler.

2. The mother shall have primary physical custody.

3. The father shall have visitation on alternate weekends beginning with the weekend of September 9, 1988 from Friday at 7:00 P.M. through Sunday at 5:00 P.M.

4. Holiday visitations shall be arranged as follows: Each year at Christmas, the mother shall have Brandon from Christmas Eve at noon through Christmas Day at noon. The father shall have Brandon for visitation from Christmas DaY AT Noon to New Year's Day at noon, Other holidays are defined as Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving , Brandon's birthday, and Easter. The father shall have visitation every holiday from noon to 8:00 P.M

5. For the summer of 1988, the father shall have temporary physical custody and visitation with his son for one week in June,, one week in July, and one week in August. The week which the father selects shall begin on Sunday at 7:00 P.M. and end the following Sunday at 5:00 P.M. He shall give the mother two weeks' notice of the week he wishes to select. For succeeding summerss, the father shall have two weeks in each of the months of June, Julyand August. None of the two-week periods shall be consecutive. Each two- week period shall begin Sunday at 7:00 P.M. and end the second Sunday thereafter at 5:00 P.M. The mother shall have visitation on the middle weekend from Friday at 7:00 P.M. through Sunday at 5:00 P.M.

6. The party receiving Brandon in the course of his or her custodial or visitation rights shall be responsible for picking him up at the home of the other party. The party picking up the child has the right to send in his or her place a responsible person, age 21 or over, known to the parties.

7. If either parent, or their representative as described in Paragraph 6 above, has not appeared within forty-five (45) minutes of the time when his or her visitation is scheduled and has not telephoned or otherwise notified the custodial parent of the reasons why he or she is going to be late, the custodial parent may consider the visitation cancelled.

8. Neither party shall expose Brandon to situations which would be dangerous to him or whi ch would be morally improper.

9. The parties are free to make alternative3 or additional arrangements with respect to the custodial and visitation periods and each is encouraged to be reasonable about the other's request in this regard. However, unless the parties have mutually agreed on alternative or additional arrangements, the terms of this Order shall govern.

10. Given Brandon"s youth and the youth of the parties, it is expected that Brandon"s family situation will change with the paggage of time and therefore, either party is given the right to move the Court for further Mediation in review of this Order on or after May 25, 1990.

By The Court:

P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov. 18, 1988 copy of order to R. Chas. Thomas, esq.

Sept. 7, 1990 Petition For Modification Of Custody Order and Request For Further Mediation and Order filed. AND NOW, this 7 day of Sept., 1990, upon presentation and consideration ofthe within Petition, this matter is returned to William Pineo, Esquire, the original Custody Mediator in this case, for the purpose of reviewing the original Order entered in this case and addressing the issues set forth in the Plaintiff's Petition For Modification. A copy of this Petition shall be served upon the Defendant by Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested and also by regular mail upon record counsel for the Defendant, to-wit, Douglas Ferguson, Esquire.

BY THE COURT,

1 copy mediator, 1 copy atty. P. richard Thomas, S.J.

Nov. 16, 1990 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 14 day of Nov., 1990, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child.

2. The parties shall share with eac other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in th elife of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minr child.

3.a Until Bradon shall have entered kindergarten, he shall reside with his father for a two week period, beginning with 6:00 p.m. of the friday next following the date of this order, through 6:00 p.m. of the second Friday thereafter. He shall then reside with his mother for the succeeding two week period so defined, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the two week custodial periods.

b. After Brandon shall have entered kindergarten, the custodial periods will be changed so that th emother will have primary physical custody f rom August 15 of each year through June 15 of the following year and the father shall primary physical custody from June 15 of each year through the following August 15. The party not having primary physical custody shall have tempor ary physical custody and visitation each weekend, except for the first full weekend of each month, from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m. This s chedule will begin on August 15, of the year in which Brandon shall be entering kindergarten.

4a. Holidays are defined as New Years Day, Easter, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Christmas, and the child's birthday. The party not having physical custody under the alternating two week schedule set out in paragraph 3a above shall have visitation on any holiday during the two week period in which the other party shall be enjoying custody from 4:00 p.m. of the holiday itself until 4:00 p.m. of the following day. Additionally, the father shall have Father's Day from 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. and the mother, Mother's Day during the same hours.

b. After the schedule set out in paragraph 3b hereof shal lhave taken effect, holiday visitations shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two visitation periods of the holidays of Thanksgiving, Christmas and New Year's Day, the first beginning at 8:00 a.m. and ending at 4:00 p.m. of the holiday itself, and the second beginning at 4:00 p.m. of the holiday and ending at 4:00 p.m. of the following day. For the Thanksgiving of the year in which the schedule set out in paragraph 3b shall have taken effect, the father shallhave the first Thanksgiving period and the mother the second; for Chstimas that year, the mother shall have the first period and the father the second, and the following New Year's Day, the father shall have the first period and the mother the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods. The remaining holidays are defined as Easter, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, adn the child's birthday. HOliday visitation

Continued on Pg 556

shall be between the hours of 8:00

Carl J. Tyler

vs.

Sheila L. Hammond

Record continued from page 555

of record

A.D. 1988 - 335

****

shall be between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. The mother shal lhave visitation and temporary physical custody for the firth said holiday which occurs after the schedule set out in paragraph 3b shall have taken effect, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holidays. In addition, the subject minor chld shall continue to spend Father's Day with his father and Mother's Day with his mother during the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m.

c. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over th eother provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arrange,d the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attepmt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the children at the home of the other.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to th eother party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the chidlren are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any persona transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are sued in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the othe rin a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shallinstruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11. The parties have advised the mediator that the subject minor child suffers from upper respiratory infections, and asthma. The child's physician is Timothy Downing, M.D. Each party is hereby directed to cooperate with Dr. Downing in treating the cause of the child's asthma and following the physician's recommendations with respect to diagnosis and treatment.

12. the parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

13. Either party may request a review of this Order two years from date hereof to make any adjustments.

14. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $182.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County. The mediator noted for the court that because of the questions raised about the effect of the father's living environment on the subject minor child's health, the mediator has had to make inquiries of Timothy Downing, M.D.. Dr. Downing's charges for rendering information to the mediator are also divided in h alf and each par ty is directed to pay his/her share directly to Dr. Downing..

15. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Nov. 21, 1990 Copies of order put in Atty. Pineo, Thomas and Nelson's box and copies mailed to plaintiff and defendant.

Dec. 12, 1990 Motion For Trial De Novo and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 12 day of December, 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion for Trial De Novo, a hearing is set for the 11 day of Jan., 1991, at 2:00 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Dec. 12, 1990 Copy of Order to Attys. Wm. Pineo, R. Charles Thomas and Kathleen Nelson.

Jan. 7, 1991, Motion for Continuance and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, Jan. 4, 1991, the hearing in this case is continued to the 8th day of Feb. 1991, at 9:30 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2. For the Court Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

Jan. 8, 1991, copies to Atty. R. C. Thomas and K. Nelson.

Feb. 11, 1991, MOtion for Continuance filed.

Feb. 11, 1991 ORDER filed. And now, Feb. 8, 1991 the curt meets with counsel in view of the fact that the custody hearing this date scheduled could not be held due to a death in the family. The court briefly reviews each parties' position and believes a hearing is required on Plaintiffs request to drastically modify the temporary order entered Nov. 14, 1990.

this case is assigned to Judge robert L. Walker, Sen. Judge, and hearing is scheduled at 9:00 am Feb. 19, 1991 in a courtroom to be designated on the day of the hearing.

In the meantime, the present order will remian in force and effect until further order of court. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard thomas, S. J.

Feb. 11, 1991 copies to attys. of record. in boxes

Feb. 20, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, February 19, 1991, after hearing de novo, the temporary Order of November 14, 1990 is adopted as the fpermanent Order of this Court with the following modifications:

The non-custodial parent shall have the right to contact the child by telephone four (4) times during each two (2) week visitation period and not more than that unless there is some serious emergency that requires further contact.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Feb. 22, 1991 Copies of order put in R. Charles Thomas, Kathleen Nelson and William Pineo's box.

10/12/2001 - for all future references please see computer

Nov. 17, 1988 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Nov 23, 1988 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Dec. 6, 1988 DIVORCE DECREE FILED

AND NOW, December 5, 1988, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage heretofore existing between

WALDO M. WOGE and LAURI J. WOGE

is hereby dissolved and the parties are hereby divorced and all legal duties, rights and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuance of said marriage are hereby terminated.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,

Robert L. Walker, J.

Aug. 27, 1993 Petition to Modify Custody and Order filed. ORDER: And Now, August 26 1993, the above captioned case is referred to John Fuller, child custody mediator, for further mediation to be scheduled as soon as possible.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug. 31, 1993 copy to J. Fuller, C. Blystone

Sep. 3, 1993 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, August 31, 1993, comes Constance L. Deitman being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legal secretary of Attorney for,Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished her by the Plaintiff, she caused a copy of the Petition to Modify Custody to be forwarded by CErtified Mail, P 100 621 225; postmarked August 27, 1993, to the last known address of the Defendant,Lauri J. Woge, c/o John Steel, Trailer Court, Park Avenue Ext., R.D.#4, Saegertown,PA 16433
3. That the Defendant, Lauri J.Woge, on August 30, 1993,personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Petition in the above-matter and which is attached hereto.
4. Service of the Petition against Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

August 31, 1993 Constance L.Deitman

Oct. 13, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, October 11, 1993 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Nicole Woge shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important ecords and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the child such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject child.

II. Physical custody of Nicole Woge shall be with her mother, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every other weekend from 5:30 o'clock p.m. on Friday to 5:00 o'clock p.m. on Sunday, beginning October 8, 1993.

B. On even numbered years the child shall be in the custody of the mother on thanksgiving Day, and in the custody of the father on Easter Sunday.

On odd numbered years the child shall be in the custody of the mother on Easter Sunday, and in the custody of the father on thanksgiving Day.

The Christmas Holidays shall be shared as follows: The child shall be in the custody of her mother on Christmas Eve and until 11:00 o'clock a.m. on Christmas Day, and int he custody of the father from 11:00 o'clock a.m. on Christmas Day to 11:00 a.m. on January 1.

C. Beginning with the Summer of 1994, the child shall be in the custody of the father for a period of one month, each Summer, which, unless otherwise agareed on between the parties, shall be the Month of July.

D. Transportation of the child on weekends shall be provided as follows: The father, or his mother, shal provide transportation, except that on the first weekend of each month the mother shall pick up the child at father's home.

E. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child agaisnt the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

F. Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or well being.

G. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the child and both parents agree to furnish the other with a curent telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within ten (10) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference will be divided with the father paying one-half (½) thereof and the remaining one-half (½) being paid by Crawford County. The Prothonotary of Crawford County is hereby directed to refund a portion of the deposit paid in advance by the Plaintiff, less the cost of the custody order. Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Oct. 21, 1993 copy to M.A. Kirkpatrick, J. Irwin, J. Fuller

Honesdale National Bank
(Katharene L. Masters)
vs.
I. J. Hamilton, his heirs,
successors and assigns

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 558

of Record

A.D. 1988 - 1

****

Apr. 21, 1992 MOTION FOR JUDGMENT, filed.

Apr. 21, 1992 Order filed. And Now, this 21st day of April, 1992, upon motion of the Plaintiff and after having determined that no appearance has been entered on the record on behalf of the Defendant, Bernard Lobdell, his heirs or assigns generally, and no communication having been made to the Crawford County Bar Assoc. Service to the Public Committee, by the Defendant, Bernard Lobdell, his heirs or assigns, or anyone on their behalf, it is hereby ordered and decreed, as follows:

1. The Plaintiff is the owner of the subject premises described in the Complaint in fee simple absolute as her respective interest may appear, free and clear of all claims of the Defendant, Bernard Lobdell, his heirs and assigns generally, by virtue of the open, notorious, continuous and adverse possession of the subject premises for a period of time in excess of 21 years.

2. The Defendant, Bernard lobdell, his heirs and assigns generally, are forever barred from asserting any right, lien, title or interest in the subject premises inconsistent with the interest or claim of the Plaintiff as set forth in her Complaint, and from impeaching, denying, litigating or in any way attacking the Plaintiff's title thereto.

3. The fee simple title of the Plaintiff herein to the subject premises is confirmed, ordered and decreed, unless the Defendant Bernard Lobdell, or his heirs or assigns, files Exceptions to this Order within Thirty (30) days from the filing hereof to the Prothonotary of this Court.

4. No notice of the filing of this Order is required to be given to the Defendants or to any other person.

5. If no action is taken by the Defendant, Bernard Lobdell, his heirs or assigns generally, or any one or some of them, within the said thirty day period of time, this Order shall become absolute and final, upon Praecipe of the Plaintiff.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Apr. 28, 1992 copy in box for K. Scales

And Now, May 26, 1992 @ 4:16 PM upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, final judgment is hereby entered in this case, in favor ofthe plaintiffs and agains tthe Bernard Lobdell pursuant to the foregoing Order of Court.

L-21

FINAL JUDGMENT
Barbara H. King
Prothonotary

1988

Continuation of Albaugh vs. Albaugh (No. 1988 - 83)

Richard W. Albaugh
vs.
Stephanie D. Albaugh

Record continued from page 83

Nov. 30, 1988, Petition to Adjudicate Plaintiff in Contempt of Court and Rule filed. RULT TO SHOW CAUSE: AND NOW, Nov. 30, 1988, a rule is entered against the Defendant Stephanie D. Albaugh to show cause, if any, why she should nto be adjudicated in contempt of court and the other relief requested by teh Defendant should be not granted.

Rule returnable on Dec. 13, 1988, at 3:00 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 2, 1988, copies of order to D. Ferguson, and H. White & John Fuller.

Dec 15, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, December 13, 1988, at the time scheduled for hearing on the petition for adjudication of contempt, both parties being in open court and represented by counsel, have agreed that the following Order be entered:

1. The petition for adjudication of contempt is withdrawn and cancelled.
2. The existing custody and visitation Order of March 31, 1988 is modified as follows:

A) The father shall have custody of the children on the weekend beginning Friday, December 16, 1988, from 7:00 o'clock p.m. until Sunday at 7:00 o'clock p.m.

B) The father shall have custody of the children for a visit on January 1, 1989 from 11:00 o'clock a.m. to 7:00 o'clock p.m.

C) Beginning with the month of January, 1989, and thereafter until further Order of Court, the father shall have two weekend visits per month, which weekends shall begin on Friday at 7:00 o'clock p.m., ending on Sunday at 7:00 o'clock p.m. The two weekends shall be the second and fourth weekend of the month and the second weekend shall be defined as the one beginning on the second Friday in any calendar month.

D) The mother shall deliver the children to the father's home at the beginning of all visits, either as defined herein or as defined in the original visitation Order and the father shall furnish transportation to the mother's home at the end of such visits.

3. Except as necessarily modified by this Order, the provisions of the Order of March 31, 1988 shall remain in full force and effect.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Dec 15, 1988 Copies of order to Attys Attys. Ferguson, White and Fuller.

August 11, 1989 Petition For Contempt and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 11 day of August 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition is hereby Ordered and Decreed that a rule to show cause is placed upon the Defendant, Stephanie D. Albaugh, to show cause if any she should not be held in Contempt of the Court's Order of December 13, 1988. Returnable for hearing Aug. 29, 1989 at 3:00 P.M.

Service of this order and Petition shall be made upon the Defendant's Attorney, Harry F. White, Esq., which shall constitute personal service upon the Defendant, Stephanie D. Albaugh.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Aug. 11, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. Douglas Ferguson, Harry White and John Fuller.

Aug. 30, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, August 29, 1989, the Plaintiff having filed a petition for contempt alleging that the Defendant ha snot lived up to the visitation provisions presently existing, has given trivial reasons for refusing visitations, has refused to consider alternate dates when a visitation is canceled for cause, and has refused to let one child visit unless both children are able to visit, and the Defendant having denied some of those allegations but having agreed to the principle of an alternate weekend where a weekend is not available, the request for an adjudication of contempt is reserved for further action by the Court if deemed necessary and the existing Order is modified to provide as follows:

1. The Defendant shall not refuse a visitation simply because one or both of the children may have some minor illness or may be taking some sort of medication and we assume that the Plaintiff, as the father of the children, will have their best interests at heart and will see that they get any type of mediciation that he is advised is needed.
2. In the event of serious illness or legitimate reason for the cancellationof the visit of one child, the other child will be permitted to visit.
3. In the event of such serious reason for the decline of a visit as to either or both of the children, the Defendant shall be entitled to visit on the following weekend unless otherwise mutually agreed upon by the parties.

Both parties are cautioned that the Court intends visitation Order be complied with strictly and we do not expect either party to attempt to avoid the plain intent of the visitation Order for light or trivial reasons.

Plaintiff has requested an increase in the number of weekends visits and a decision on that question is also reserved so that in the event there are continued problems which the Court believes can be ascribed to the Defendant, an Order for expanded visitation will be made.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Aug. 31, 1989 Copies of order put in Douglas Ferguson and Harry White's box.

113. NANCY COX
Plaintiff
VS.
JEFFREY COX,
Defendant

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 113
OF RECORD
A.D. 1988 - 113

****

May 10, 1996 Inventory of Jeffrey Cox filed.

May 10, 1996 Pre-Trial Narrative of Defendant, Jeffrey Cox filed.

May 10, 1996 Praecipe of Appearance of Thomas J. Ruth, Esq., for Jeffrey Cox, Defendant, filed.

May 10, 1996 Summary of Pre-Hearing Conference filed.

May 17, 1996 Notice of Scheduled Master's Hearing, set for Thursday, August 15 and Friday, August 16, 1996 at 9:30 a.m. in the Green Room of the Crawford County Cofurthouse.

July 16, 1996, Notice of Re-Scheduled Master's Hearing filed.

Oct. 18, 1996 Notice of Filing Master's Report filed.

Oct. 18, 1996 Master's Report and Recommendation filed.

Oct. 18, 1996 Testimony from Master's Hearing filed.

Oct. 27, 1997 Petition To Modify and Enforce Order of Equitable Distribution and Alimony and Order filed. AND NOW, October 27, 1997, hearing on planitiff's petition to modify and enforce order of equitable distribution and alimony is scheduled for Tuesday, Dec. 23, 1997, at 9:15 o'clock am, in courtroom #1 or #2, of the Crwaford County Courthosue, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
Ann A. Walker, C.A.
10-27-97 Faxed Gamble & Ruth

Jan 5, 1998 MEMORANDUM AND ORDER FILED. AND NOW, Jan 2, 1998, it is ordered as follows:

1. The parties, especially the defendant, shall render a full and complete accounting of the follwoing items:
   a. All transactions that have occurred in any joint banking account from Oct 3, 1996 to date.
   b. All receipts and disbursements relating to the proceeds of any auctions or sales of marital property.
   c. All financial and business activities of Cox's Garage from Oct. 3, 1996 to date.
   d. All sales or transfers of motor vehicles, equipment, yachts and other personal proeprty that has occurred since Oct. 3, 1996 excepting property solely belonging to the defendant that he acquired after Oct. 3, 1996.
2. Defendant shall produce for the Plaintiff at a time and place to be arranged by the plaintiff pursuant to the discovery rules any and all documents that reflect the matters set forth in paragraph 1.
3. If the parties have not already done so they shall list the real estate upon which Cox's Garage was formerly situated with a real estate broker, shall agree on the sales price or range of sale prices, shall cooperate with each other and shall in good faith make a reasonable attempt to sell said real estate as soon as possible.
4. The Defendant shall advise the plaintiff as to any and all inquiries and indications of interest in said real estate that he has become aware of since Oct. 3, 1996. Both parties shall disclose to the other any interest or activity with respect to said real estate until the real estate is sold.
5. The parties shall arrange the auction of all unsold boats, including the 33 foot viking. They shall cooperate right with each other in the selection of an suctioneer or auctioneers and they shall schedule the auctions to take place sometime within the next five (5) months. They shall come to terms on the auctioneer, the terms of the auction and the date of the auction within the next forty-five (45) days even though the auctions may not take place until sometime later within the next five months.
6. The defendant shall continue to pay the plaintiff $800 per month as slimony pendente lite until further order of court.
7. The defendant shall continue to provide medical insurance coverage for the defendant until further order of court.
8. If the defendant continues to provide the plaintiff with an automobile and pay her fuel expenses the same may be considered ultimately in a final decree of equitable distribution since it appears that the payment of those items was not contemplated by the parties agreement of Oct. 3, 1997.
9. A further hearing on said petition is hereby scheduled for March 3, 1998 at 11:00 o'clock A.M. in Courtroom No. 1 of the Crawford Co. Courthouse. At that time the parties shall be required to produce evicence of all transactions that have taken place with respect to marital property and the property that was subject of the agreement of Oct. 3, 1996 for the period of time from Oct. 3, 1996 to date. The Court has set aside one hour for this hearing. If either party believes that one hour is not sufficient that party should file a motion with the Court in keeping with local motions practice asking the Court to schedule the matter for a more lenghty hearing. Before filing said motion the parties must meet to discuss the estimated amount of time the hearing would take and shohld make a bonafide representation to the Court as to the amount of time it is expected the hearing will take.

BY THE COURT
GORDON R. MILLER, J.

1/5/98 Distributed Gamble & Deft.

January 7, 1998 ENTRY OF APPEARANCE FILED. PLEASE ENTER MY APPEARANCE FOR THE Defendant in the above matter.
Herbert P. Butcher, Esq.
119 N. Franklin St.
Titusville, Pa. 16354

June 22, 1998 PETITION FOR CONTEMPT AND NOTICE FILED.

June 22, 1998 ORDER FILED AND NOW, June 22, 1998 upon receipt of Plaintiff's Petiton for Contempt hearing is hereby set for Thursday July 2, 1998 at 1:15PM CR 1 Crawford county Courthouse.
For the Court
Ann A. Walker, Ct. Admin

6/23/98 FAXED GAMBLE & BUTCHER

May 6, 1999 Order Setting Hearing Filed. And Now, May 4, 1999 the Court Administrator having been directed to set hearing on Plaintiff's Petition for Contempt in the above the same is scheduled for Tuesday, July 20. 1999 at 9:15AM Cr #1 Crawford County Courthouse.
For the Court
Ann A. Walker Ct Admin.

Faxed Gamble & Butcher

Oct. 5, 1999, Petition for Contempt Motion for Continuance and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW this 29 day of Sept. 1999 it is hereby ordered that the hearing in abov3 matter scheduled for July 20, 1999 be continued to Dec. 10, 1999 at 1:15 p.m. C. R. No. 1. John Shuttleworth, Ct. Adm.
10/5/99 faxed to Gamble and Butcher

FOR FURTHER DOCKET ENTRIES SEE COMPUTER 1988 30113 AD 1988 113

Lois Ethel Cook

vs.

Keith L. Cook

Record Continued from page 117

of

AD 1988 - 117

Dec. 15, 1988, Appearance of Carney & Good, by W. Richard Cowell, attorneys for defendant filed.

Dec. 15, 1988, Inventory and Appraisement of Keith L. Cook filed.

Dec. 15, 1988, Income and Expense Statement of Keith Cook, filed.

Mar. 13, 1989 Motion For Appointment of Master In Divorce and Order filed. AND NOW, 3-13-89,1989, Harry White, Esquire is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Divorce, Equitable Distribution, Counsel Fee & Costs
Deposit required: By Plaintiff $100; By Defendant $200.

PER CURIAM,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Mar. 14, 1989 Copies of order to James Irwin, W. Richard Cowell and Harry White

Apr. 17, 1989, Notice of Master's Hearing filed.

May 9, 1989 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 9, 1989 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug. 14, 1989 Transcript of Proceedings of Master's Hearing filed.

Aug. 23, 1989 Petition for Payment of Master's Fees and Court Stenographer's Costs and Order filed.

Order: AND NOW, this 23rd day of August, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, the Petitioner is here by awarded Master's Fees in the amount of One Hundred Fifty Dollars ($150.00) and the Prothonotary is directed to release said sum to him; and further, the Prothonotary is directed to pay Morse, Gantverg & Hodge, Inc. the sum of Forty Eight Dollars and Thirty Cents ($48.30) for Court Reporter charges incurred in this matter.

BY THE COURT
Robert L. Walker,J.

Aug. 24, 1989 copies of order to James R. Irwin., W. Richard Cowell and Harry White , esqs.

Mar. 22, 1994 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney to transmit records, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

March 24, 1994 AND NOW, this 23 day of March, 1994, it is ordered and decreed that LOIS ETHEL COOK, Plaintiff, and KEITH L. COOK, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

It is further ordered that the pursuant to the Stipulation entered into between the parties:

1. The costs of the Master's proceedings, including Master's fees and stenographic expenses have been paid with each party paying one-half of said fees and expenses;

2. The mobile home, which is the former marital residence of the parties shall be the sole and exclusive property of the Plaintiff, and the Defendant shall relinquish all right and title he has in said mobile home.

3. The 1977 Monte Carlo motor vehicle shall become the sole and exclusive property of the Plaintiff and the Defendan shall execute all documents necessary to tranfer title of said vehicle to the Plaintiff;

4. All personal property and furnishings located at the former marital residence shall be the sole and exclusive property of the Plaintiff;

5. The Defendant waives any right or interest he may has, or may have in Plaintiff's pension plan through the Commonwealth of PA., which was acquired through her employment with the PA. Dept. of Agriculture;

6. The Defendant is awarded all personal belongings currently in his possession and Wife waives any right, title or interest she has in said personal belongings;

7. The Defendant is awarded the 1970 Chevrolet Half Ton Pick-up Truck;

8. The Defendant presented a list of tools and materials that he believed were at the former marital residence and was afforded the right to remove and take into his possession all tools and materials located at said residence. This shall be done in the presence of a neutral party who will be approved by both of the parties and Defendant shall make arrangements to present himself through his counsel within seven (7) days of the date of this Order;

9. The Defendant is awarded all firearms, with the stipulation that a certain 3030 Winchester Rifle and a 20 gauge Mossberg Bolt Action Shotgun shall remain in their possession until such time that their minor child shall be of legal age to hunt in the Commonwealth of PA. and at that time the ownership of said weapons shall be transferred to said child;

10. The Plaintiff has sold a certain 1974 Chevrolet Monte Carlo vehicle and is awarded any amounts received from said sale;

11. Plaintiff's claim for counsel fees is denied.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Daniel O. Grinnell

vs.

George L. Matteson

Record continued from page 487

of record

A.D. 1988 - 487

****

Feb. 28, 1992 Motion for Continuance and Rescheduling of Trial Date and Order filed. And Now this 28 day of Feb., 1992, upon consideration of the Motion for Continuance and Rescheduling of Non-Jury trial in the above-captioned matter filed on behalf of the Defendant, it is hereby ordered that the non-jury trial in the above case is continued from April 7, 1992 and rescheduled for April 22, 1992, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2, at 9:00 a.m. For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Mar. 2, 1992 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Pepicelli & Sennett

1992 April Trial List

Apr. 13, 1992 PRAECIPE TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL BY NANCY M. SENNETT, filed.

Apr. 13, 1992 MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT, GEORGE L. MATTESON and ORDER filed. ORDER: And Now, this 13th day of April, 1992, upon consideration of the enclosed Motion of Defendant's counsel for leave to withdraw, it is hereby ordered and decreed that said Motion is granted and that Movant, Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug, P.C. by Nancy M. Sennett, Esq., is hereby permitted to withdraw their Appearance of record for the Defendant in the above matter. By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Apr. 15, 1992 copy in box for N. Sennett & A. Pepicelli

Apr. 21, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney, the above captioned action is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

DIANE S. FARRELL

VS.

WILLIAM FARRELL

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 65

of

AD 1988-65

****

May 11, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, May 10, 1989, the matter is before the Court on a Petition for injunctive relief addressing the Defendant's interests in a profit sharing plan maintained by his present or former employer, Spectrum Control, Inc. Plaintiff and her counsel appear. Defendant's counsel appears. Counsel advise the Court the parties have amicably resolved the matter and they consent to the Court entering an appropriate Order regarding the Defendant's profit sharing plan as aforesaid.

Accordingly, with the consent of both parties, the Defendant is precluded from withdrawing, dissipating, or encumbering in any fashion his interests in a profit sharing plan at Spectrum Control, Inc. A copy of this Order shall be served on the employer, Spectrum Control, In., may not distribute directly or indirectly the Defendant William Farrell's interest in the company's profit sharing plan without further Order of Court or sign an acknowledged consent of the Plaintiff/Wife Diane S. Farrell.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

May 12, 1989 Copies of order to Attys. Lindsay and Mason (put in boxes).

May 15, 1989 Affidavit filed. I, SHELLY MILLER, depose and say that, onthe 1st day of May, 1989, I did hand deliver a true and correct copy of the Rule, unsigned Order and Petition for Injunctive to the office of Joan Mason, Attorney of record for William Farrell.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Dated: 5-5-89 Shelly Miller

May 15, 1989 Affidavit, I, BRIAN J. LINDSAY, ESQUIRE, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record for Diane S. Farrell, at that as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit; that I did on the 1st day of May, 1989, send by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested a true and correct copy of the Rule, unsigned Order, and the Petition for Injunctive Relief to Spectrum Control, c/o Mr. Jack Freeman at its address of, 2185 West Eighth Street, Erie, Pennsylvania, 16505, as evidenced by the attached sender's receipt No. P 276 200 283, and that on the 4th day ofMay, 1989, I did receive the duly executed return receipt dated the 3rd day ofMay, 1989.

I verify that the statements made inthis Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that falsesstatements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Dated 5/10/89 Brian J. Lindsay

Sept. 6, 1990, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Sept. 6, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Oct. 19, 1990 Motion to remove Equitable Distribution of property and debts and ORDER of COURT filed. And now, Oct. 19, 1990, Motion allowed.

Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Oct. 19, 1990 Copy of Order to Attys. Lindsay and Mason.

Oct. 30, 1990, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review under 201(c) of the Divorce code, this case moved to court.

Nov. 7, 1990 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, November 7, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Diane S. Farrell, plaintiff, and William Farrell, defnedant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Susan B. Belfiore (formerly)
Susan B/. Fields

vs/

Gregory Fields

Record continued from page 371

of

AD 1988 - 371

June 7, 1996, Appearance of Mary Ann Kirkpatrick for defendant filed.

June 7, 1996, Defendatns Answer to Plaintiffs Petition for custody filed.

Aug. 7, 1996 Motion for Hearing De Novo and ORDER filed. Andnow, Autgust 7, 1996 The hearing is set for October 4, 1996 at 9:00 a.m. in Court Room 2.
Gordon R. Miller, J.
8-7-96 FAXED J. Mason & Kirkpatrick

Aug. 7, 1996 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, August 6, 1996, after Mediation and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is Ordered and Decreed as follows:
1. Legal custody of the subject minor child, Erin Beth Fields, shall be shared by the Father and Mother, the parties to this action, with each parent entitled to full access to any records relating to the child held by a third party including, but not limited to school records and medical records and each parent shall have equal input regarding important decisions affecting the child such as education, religious instruction and medical treatment.
2. Primary physical custody of Erin Beth Fields shall be with her Father, subject to the following conditions:
a. The Mother shall be permitted to visit and take the child into her temporary physical custody during the first, second and fourth weekends of each month from Friday at 6:00 p.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m. The first weekend of each month shall be defined as that weekend containing a Friday, Saturday and Sunday in the same month.
(FOR REMAINDER OF ORDER, PLEASE SEE FILE FOLDER)
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.
8-9-96 FAXED Hall Mason, Nelson

Oct. 8, 1996 Order filed. AND NOW, October 4, 1996, this matter is before the Court for a De Novo Custody Hearing which was scheduled for one day. It appears that another day will be necessary but it also appears and the parties agree that neither party has taken the Seminar for Separating Parents which should have been done prior to a mediation conference and essentially prior to this De Novo Hearing.
Accordingly, the Court Administrator is directed to schedule this matter for one more day of heairnb but only after counsel for theone party or the other has certified to the Court Administrator that both parties have completed the Seminar For Separting parents.
The parties are directed to comply with the Local Rule with regard to taking that seminar.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

10-8-96 Distributed J. Mason, Kirkpatrick & Ct.Adm.

Oct. 17, 1996 Exhibits filed.

Mar 21, 1997 Certificate of completion of the Seminar for Separating Parents for Susan Bel Fiore, filed.

Sept. 2, 1997 Exhibits filed.

APRIL 7, 2000 - ANY FILINGS INVOLVING THIS CASE MAY NOW BE FOUND ON THE COMPUTER

1988

John Mudrey and Elva Mudrey
Husband and Wife

vs.

Robert Osborne, dft. John
Mudrey, Additional defendant

Record continued from page 423

of record

A.D. 1988 - 423

****

Oct. 18, 1989 Motion Requesting Damages For Delay filed.

Oct 25, 1989 Reply to Motion for Delay Damages filed.

Oct. 31, 1989 Amendment To Motion Requesting Damages For Delay filed.

Nov. 6, 1989 RE: Delayed Damages Order For Argument filed: And now, November 2, 1989, the Plaintiffs having filed a timely petition for delayed damages, and the Defendant having filed a timely reply followed by an amended motion requesting delayed damages, the Court hereby sets argument thereon for November 30, 1989, at 2:30 p.m, in Courtroom No. 1.

The Court believes this collateral issue should be amicably resolved. For enlightenment of counsel this Court notes that its temporary opinion, upon reading Rule 238, is that the date of filing a Writ is immaterial in establishing the commencement date of the action.

By Order of the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Nov. 8, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. William McConnell and Joseph S. Weimer.

Nov 17, 1989 Re: Plaintiffs' Motion for Delay Damages, Supplemental Order Awarding Delay Damages to Jury Verdict filed: AND NOW, this 17 day of November, 1989 the Plaintiffs having filed a Motion for Delay Damages on October 18, 1989, pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 238 and having amended the same on October 31, 1989, it is determined that under Rule 238(a)(2) that delay damages are computed as of the date of the initial complaint and not the date the original filing of the writ to commence the action, and the Plaintiffs are entitled to such damages.

Accordingly, it is hereby ordered that delay damages be added to the verdicts rentered by the jury in accordance with Plaintiffs' amended motion, and that to John Mudrey's jury verdict of $5,000.00 is added delay damages in the amount of $506.62, and to Elva Mudrey's jury verict of $40,000.00, is added delay damages of $4,863.53, making said verdicts with delay damages as follows:

JOHN MUDREY	-	$ 5,506.62
ELVA MUDREY	-	$52,863.53

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thmas, P.J.

Nov 22, 1989 Copies of order mailed to Atty. McConnell and Atty. Weimer.

July 17, 1990 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, a verdict having been rendered in the above captioned case and the same having been satisfied, the above captioned case is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record.

1988

Continued from page 53 Ross vs. Ross AD 1988 - 53 (Divorce)

Boy chair; livingroom curtains; carpets in the home; the lawn mower; and tools.

Plaintiff shall return to the Defendant the following items, which shall remain hers: shop vac; boom box; cart table and four chairs.

4. VEHICLES: Neither party shall make any claim to the vehicle now being driven by the other party. The parties have heretofore transferred titles to accomplish distribution of vehicles.

5. PENSION AND RETIREMENT BENEFITS: Neither party shall make any claim to pension and retirement benefits held in the name of the other party hereto. Plaintiff represents, the Defendant realizes that Plaintiff's retirement and pension benefits, at time of separation, was approximately $21,500.00.

6. LIFE INSURANCE: Each party shall retain any life insurance policy, and any rights as owner thereto, on any policy of l ife insurance insuring his or her individual life, except that Dennis Ross shall appoint a trustee of his choice and designate his son Cory Ross as sole beneficiary until such time as Cory attains twenty-five (25) years of age, with the proceeds of said policies to be used for the child's education. After the child attains twenty-five (25) years of age, the trust shall terminate, and any proceeds not so utilized may be left to such beneficiary as Plaintiff has designated as the contingent beneficiary. The policies to which this trust shall apply are the National Western Life Insurance Company policy and Prudential Insurance policy now in effect.

7. CASH SETTLEMENT: Plaintiff shall pay to the Defendant, for transfer of the real estate and pension ;and retirement benefits to him, the sum of $18,000.00

8. DEBTS:

a. Plaintiff shall assume full responsibility for the debt incurred during the marriage in favor of his parents, Patty and Dale Ross, which debt has a current principal balance of $2,200.00.

b. Defendant shall assume full responsibility for the debt incurred during the marriage in favor of her parents, Margaret and Alton Robbins, which debt has a current principal balance of $2,500.00.

Each party shall indemnify and save harmless the other party hereto in regard to said debt he or she hereby assumes.

9. ATTORNEY FEES, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE: Defendant hereby removes her request for alimony pendente lite.

Plaintiff shall pay as his full contribution towards the attorney fees and court costs of the Defendant, the sum of $500.00, to be paid within ten days after the granting of a decree in divorce, which sum shall be held in trust by Plaintiff's attorney, to be deposited by Plaintiff with his attorney following receipt of stipulation to the entry of this decree, signed by the Defendant and properly notarized, and following receipt by Plaintiff's attorney of an affidavit of consent signed and properly and correctly dated by the Defendant.

10. ALIMONY: Plaintiff shall pay to the Defendant the sum of $110.00 per week which payment shall commence on the date the Court enters the Decree in Divorce in this case and shall continue at the same rete for one hundred four (104) weeks. Said sums are to betaxable to the Defendant, Betsy A. Ross, and deductible to the Plaintiff, Dennis J. Ross. The Defendant's remarriage, cohabitation,. or employment shall not be considered as a circumstance for termination or decrease of alimony. ALIMONY SHALL NOT BE INCREASED NOR EXTENDED.This award is only modifiable if Plaintiff can no longer work due to serious long term illness or disability that would entitle him to Social Security Disability.

11. WAIV ER OF CLAIMS: Neither party hereto shall make any claim against the other party hereto, except for enforcement of the rights and responsibilities set forth in this decree.

12. WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS: Neither party hereto shall be required to file an inventory and appraisement, nor an income and expense statement, as would otherwise have been required by the Rules of Civil Procedure. Each party is aware of the assets and debts of the other party hereto, sufficient to his or her own satisfaction.

BY THE COURT,

P. Richard Thomas, J..

Sandra Lee Zoria

vs.

Nick Joseph Zoria

Record continued from page 41

of record

A.D. 1988 0 41

****

✓ Feb. 28, 1994 Motion To Gain Access For The Purpose of Obtaining An Appraisal filed.

✓ Feb. 28, 1994 Order filed. AND NOW, this 28th day of February, 1994 upon consideration of Plaintiff's Motion to Gain Access to the former marital residence, said Motion is granted. Defendant is hereby ordered to permit Plaintiff's appraiser, C. Sherman Allen, access to the property for the purpose of appraising all items of personal property located therein for use at the upcoming Master's Hearing in the above matter.

BY THE COURT,
GordonR. Miller

Mar. 2, 1994 Copies of order to Atty.s Irwin and Gamble

✓ Mar. 15, 1994 Petition For Special Relief and Notice, filed.

✓ Mar. 15, 1994 ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 11, 1994, after receipt and review of the defendant's petition for special relief it is ordred as follows:

1. The parties shall endeavor to choose an appraiser to appraise the items referred to by the defendant in this petition. They shall arrange a time for the appraiser to have access to the items.
2. In the event that the parties cannot agaree upon an appraiser then the plaintiff shall permit and appraiser chosen by the defendant to have access to the items referred to in the petition for the purpose of appraising them.
3. The appraisement(s) referred to herein shall be completed within 45 days from this date.
4. The defendant's request for attorneys fees is denied. The issue of attorney's fees shall be considered by teh Master or the Csourt at the time of the ultimate resolution of this case.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar. 15, 1994 coopies dist to Atty Irwin & Gamble

✓ June 13, 1994 Motion To Pay Court Reporter and Order, filed. And, Now, June 13, 1994 the Prothonotary is instructed to apy the sum of $122.50 to James I. McCleery from the amount on deposit by the Plaintiff. This payment is without prejudice to the court to make allocation of costs.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vaardaro, J.

June 14, 1994 Faxed to Kirkpatrick, Irwin & Gamble

✓ June 24, 1994 Petition For Contempt filed.

✓ June 24, 1994 Order filed. AND NOW, this 23rd day of June, 1994, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a Rule to Show Cause is hereby entered upon Defendant, Nick J. Zoria, to show cause why he should not be held in contempt of court.

Said Rule is returnable on the 4th day of August, 1994 in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania at 9:15 o'clock a.m.

BY THE COURT,
Gorodn r. Miller, J

June 24, 1994 Faxed Irwin and Gamble.

✓ July12, 1994, Motion to Discontinue and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 12 dayof july 1994, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion, Plaintiff's Petition for Contempt is hereby dismissed.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

7/12/94 copies faxed to Irwin and Gamble

✓ Jul. 25, 1994 Notice of Filing of Master's Report filed.

✓ Jul. 25, 1994 Master's Report filed.

✓ Aug. 1, 1994 Motion to Extend Time in Which to File Exceptions and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 1 day of August, 1994, plaintiff and defendant are hereby granted an additional thirty (10 (Ten) days in which to file exceptions to the recommendations of the master which was filed July 25, 1994.

P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

8-1-94 Faxed to Attys. Irwin, Kirkpatrict & Mailed to Atty.Gamble.

✓ Aug. 15, 1994, Exceptions of Defendant to Report of the Master filed.

✓ Aug. 15, 1994 Petition for Payment of Master's Fees and ORDER filed. And, Now, August 12, 1994, it is hereby Ordered that the Master shall be paid as follows: in the manner set forth in the Masters recommendations or if exceptions are filed in the manner the court orders. The fee is set at $2242.50.

By The Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

8-15-94 Faxed to Attys. Gaable, Irwin & Kirkpatrick

✓ Aug. 16, 1994 Upon Praecipe of James R. Irwin, Esquire,Attorney for Plaintiff, the above captioned matter of Defendant's Exceptions to Master's Report is placed on the next available Argument List.

ON SEPTEMBER, 1994 ARGUMENT LIST

✓ Sep. 2, 1994 Motion for Order for Payment of Master's fees and ORDER filed. And, Now, September 1, 1994, it is Ordered:

1. The Prothonotary shall pay to Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq., Master the sum of $628.50 which remains on deposit.
2. The Plaintiff shall pay the sum of $696.00 to the Master within 30 days of this date.
3. The Defendant shall pay the sum of $919.00 to the Master within 30 days of this date.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

9-6-94 Faxed to Attys.Kirkpatrick,Irwin & Gamble

✓ Sept. 12, 1994 Motion To Continue Argument and Order, filed. AND NOW, this 12th day fo September 1994, the argument now set for September 26, 1994, at 1:30 p.m. is continued to the next argument list in October. The reporter is ordered to complete the transcript and deliver it to the Prothonotary by October 7, 1994. No further continuances.

Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Sept. 12, 1994 Faxed Irwin & Gamble
Sept. 13, 1994 Faxed to Kirkpatrick

Continued to Page 568 A

Sandra Lee Zoria
vs
Nick Joseph Zoria

Continued from Page 568
of Record
AD 1988 - 41

****

✓ Sep. 12, 1994 Petition for Special Relief and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 12th day of September, 1994, hearing is set for the 22nd day of September, 1994, at 4:00 o'clock p.m., in Courtroom #1 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville,Pennsylvania, to hear the Defendant's Petition for Special Relief.
Gordon R. Miller

9-12-94 FAxed to Attys. Irwin & Gamble

✓ Sep. 13, 1994 Request for Revised Order as to Motion for Payment of Master's Fees and ORDER filed. And, Now, September 12, 1994, Paragraph 1 of the Court's Order of September 1, 1994, is revised: The Prothonotary shall pay to Mary Ann Kirkpatrick Master, the sum of $627.50.
By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

9-13-94 Faxed to Attys. Irwin, Gamble. Given to Atty,Kirkpatrick w/check

✓ Sept. 23, 1994 Motion To Discontinue and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 22nd day of September, 1994, the above motion to discontinue is granted.
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept. 23, 1994 Order FAXED to Attys. Irwin and Gamble.

✓ Oct. 13, 1994 Master's Hearing in Divorce, filed.

✓ Oct. 26, 1994 Order filed. ANDNOW, October 24, 1994, at the time the exceptions to the Master's Report were before the Court consel for the defendant/Exceptant did not file a brief timely under the Crawford County Civil Rules. The Plantiff moved to strike the exceptions for this reason. The Defendant's brief was just filed on or about October 21, 1994. Accordingly, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The Plaintiff's motion to strike the exceptions is denied for the Court will hear arguments by both parties even though the brief was not filed timely.
2. The Plaintiff is given until 4:30 o'clock p.m. ion Friday, November 4, 1994 to file her brief and at that time the Court will consider this matter ripe for determination by the Court.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

10-26-94 Copies dist. to Irwin & Gamble

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

✓ Nov. 22, 1994 MEMORANDUM, FINDINGS AND FINAL DECREE filed. AND NOW, Nov. 22, 1994, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED as follows:

1. The parties hereto are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
2. The following items of tangible personal property constitute non-marital property which shall be retained by the plaintiff or delivered by the defendant to the plaintiff within thirty (30) days from this date:

Antique dishes	$500.00
Cedar chest	$100.00
Chest of drawers	$100.00
Ruby ring	$200.00
Total	$900.00

If the defendant is unable to return these items to the plaintiff within thirty (30) days from this date he shall pay to the plaintiff the values assigned within thirty (30) days from this date. The plaintiff already has her antique dishes.

3. The following items of tangible personal property are non-marital property which shall be retained by the defendant:

Silver tray	$200.00
2 twin beds	100.00
Men's diamond ring given by defendant's father to him	unknown
Half table	20.00
Buffet	125.00
Antique Safe	500.00
Antique Sewing Machine	125.00
Antique Player Piano	500.00
Antique Piano Roll Cabinet	125.00
Antique Roll-top Desk	150.00
Antique Non-electric Phonograph	150.00
Antique Peanut Machine	100.00
Antique Meat Slicer	100.00
Antique Scales	50.00
Antique Picture frames (3)	100.00
Antique necklace -blue beads	125.00
Antique diamond earrings	unknown
Total	$2,470.00

In addition defendant is awarded all of the defendant's right, title and interest in and to a certain piece or parcel of land situate in the First Ward of the City of Meadville, Crawford Co., PA. referred to as 770 North Main St. which is described in a certain deed from Philomena Zoria, a widow, to Nick Zoria, dated Jan. 4, 1980 and recorded in Crawford Co. Deed Book 528, page 383.

4. The following items of marital proeprty are hereby awarded to and distributed to the plaintiff:

Chevrolet Caprice	$ 0
19" TV with stand	135.00
End table	35.00
Pots/pans, misc. dishes	50.00
Dining room table with 6 chairs, matching hutch and china cabinet	650.00
"Mother's" Ring	100.00
Wife's wedding rings	2,500.00
Amcast Retirement Benefit	1,383.00
Total	$4,853.00

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 568 (b).....

SANDRA LEE ZORIA

VS.

NICK JOSEPH ZORIA

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 569(a)

OF

AD 1988 - 41

5. The following marital property is hereby awarded to and distributed to the defendant:

Increase in marital share of 770 North Main St.	$5,000.00
Balance of the personal property listed on Exhibit 1	$6,720.00
Troyer Farms Profit Sharing Plan	$3,095.00
ALL of the parties right, title and interest in and to all those certain pieces or parcels land situated on Devore Road, WEst Mead Township, Crawford Co., PA., described in the following deeds: Deed of Frances E. Boynton et ux recorded in Deed Book 461, page 510 and Deed of James A. Watson et ux recorded in Deed Book 465, page 545	$79,900.00
Total	$94,715.00

6. In order to complete the plan of equitable distribution the defendant shall pay the sum of $58,878.02 to the plaintiff within ninety (90) days from the date of this decree. if the defendant does not pay said sum within thirty (30) days from the date of this decree, said sum shall bear interest at the rate of six percent (6%) per annum, computed monthly, from thirty (30) days from the date of this decree until paid in full. Furthermore, the defendant shall make, execute, acknowledge and deliver a mortgage and bond, in customary form, to the plaintiff to secure the payment ordered herein. Said mortgage shall be a first mortgage on the premises distributed to the defendant by this decree located on Devore Road in West Mead Township, Crawford Co. PA. The defendant shall pay all costs associated with the preparation, acknowledging and filing said mortgage of record as well all satisfaction fees and costs. Said mortgage and bond shall be delivered within 10 days from the date of this decree.

7. Within thirty (30) days from the date of this decree the parties shall sign any and all necessary papers to transfer title to the party to whom said property has been distributed by this decree.

8. Any party receiving any asset that is subject to any outstanding indebtedness shall be solely responsible to satisfy said indebtedness and will save andhold harmless sthe other form any loss the other suffers, including reasonable attorneys fees and costs by reasonof the failure of the responsible party to satify said indebtedness.

9. Each party shall be responsible for his or her attorneys fees, costs and expenses. Neither is awarded consel fees.

10. Any outstanding indebtedness to Dr. Paul Shok, DDS for unpaid dental expenses incurred by one of the childre of the parties shall be split by the parties on the following basis: plantiff 40% and defendant 60%.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

✓ Dec. 20, 1994 Notice of Appeal to Superior Court filed.

✓ Dec. 23, 1994 Notice of Appeal Docketing Superior Court Docket NO. Assigned 02201PGH94, filed.

Mar.3, 1995 Petition for contempt filed.

Mar. 3, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW,this 3 day of March, 1995, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a Rule to Show Cause is hereby entered upon Defendant, Nick Zoria, to show cause why he should not be held in contempt of Court.

Said Rule is returnable for hearing & argument on the 5th day of April 1995, in Courtroom No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania at 1:30 o'clock P.M.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

3-3-95 Faxed to Attys. Irwin & Gamble

Apr. 12, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, April 10, 1995, after receipt,review and informal hearing held on the plaintiff's petition for contempt, the Court finds that this matter is on appeal to the Superior Court of Pennsylvania; that this Court has the authority to enforce the divorce decree unless the decree has been superseded (Pa.R.A.P. 1701 (b) (2)); and to date there has not been an automatic supersedeas or supersedeas order (Pa.R.A.P. 1731) in this divorce matter. We further find as a fact that the defendant has not complied with the final decree and has not presented any evidence or information to lawfully justify that failure. Accordingly we enter the following order:

1. The defendant is adjudged in contempt of court for a wilful violation of the finaldecree of November 22, 1994 by not having turned over the cedar chest, chest of drawers and ruby ring referred to in paragraph 2 of the final decree and further that he did not pay cash therefor as permitted by the decree; he has not delivered over to the plaintiff the two rings, dining room table with chairs referred to in paragraph 4 of the final decree; and he has not complied with paragraph 6 of the final decree in any respect.

2. As punishment for said noncompliance the defendant is ordered and directed to:

a. Fully and completely comply with said final decree within twenty (20) days from the date of this Order or suffer additional sanctions upon proper petitionfiled by the plaintiff. For this purpose all time periods referred to in the final decree of November 22, 1994 are changed to twenty (20) days, not thirty (30) days or ninety (90) days.

b. Pay the sum of $250 to James R. Irwin as attorney's fees incurred by the plaintiff in connection with these contempt proceedings,which said sum shall be paid within twenty (20) days from this date.

c. Pay the sum of $250 to the plaintiff in addition to all sums required under the divorce decree, which said sums shall be paid within twenty (20) days from the date of this Order.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

4-12-95 Copy to Attys. Gamble & Irwin

May 4, 1995 Application for Supersedeas Appeal and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 2nd day of May, 1995, hearing on the attached Application for Supersedeas Appeal will be heard on the 22nd day of May, 1995, at 11:30 A.M. inCourtroom #1.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R.Miller

5-4-95 Faxed to Attys. Gamble & Irwin

Continued to Page 5568 C

Zoria vs Zoria AD 1988 - 41 Continued fromPage 568 B

May 15, 1995 Petition for Contempt, Notice and Order to Appear and Order filed.
Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging you have willfully disobeyed an order of court.
If you wish to defend against the claim set forth inthe following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with the Court your defenses or objections.
Whether or not you file in writing with the court your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in court on the 22nd day of May, 1995, at 11:30 o'clock A.M. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.
IF YOU DO NOT APPEAR IN PERSON, THE COURT MAY ISSUE A WARRANT FOR YOUR ARREST.
If the court finds that you have willfully failed to comply with its order, youmay be found to be in contempt of court and committed to jail, fined or both.
YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.
Court Administrator, Courthouse
Meadville,PA 16335
(814) 336-1151 ext.298
Date: May 12, 1995 Gordon R. Miller, J.

ORDER. AND NOW, this 10th day of May, 1995, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a Rule to Show Cause is hereby entered upon Defendant, Nick J. Zoria, to show cause why he should not be held in contempt of Court.
Said Rule is returnable on the 22nd day of May,1995, in Courtroom No 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville,Pennsylvania at 11:30 o'clock A.M.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller,J.

5-16-95 Faxed Irwin, Mason & Gamble

May 23, 1995, ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, May 22, 1995, the plaintiff's motion to Continue the hearing on his petition for contempt is GRANTED. Said matter is continued generally, to be rescheduled on motion of either party.
THEREFORE, the last remaining item for the court todispose of is the defendant's Application for Supersedeas filed May 4, 1995.
by the court,
Gordon R.Miller,
Pres. Judge

5/23/95 copies dist. Irwin and Gamble

May 26, 1995, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, May 25, 1995, upon consideration of the defendants "Application for supersedeas Pending Appeal" said application is granted.
It is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED that the appeal taken by the defendant in the above captioned case shall operate as a supersedeas provided that a bond be entered by the dft. inthe sum of $15,000.00 with security to be approved by the court.
The security shall be any type of appropriate security referred to in Pa. R.A.P. 1734(a). The terms of the bond shall be as set forth in Pa. R.A.P. 1734(b). The form of the bond shall be in substantially the same form as set forth in Pa. R.A. P. 1751.
Said Bond and security shall be filed within twenty(20) days from this date. If so, the supersedeas shall be in effect. If it is not filed within twenty (20) days from this date there shall be no supersedeas.
This order shall not affect paragraphs 2(b) and 2(c) of the contempt order of April 10, 1995.
BY THE COURT,
GordonR. Miller, P.J.
5/26/95 faxed to Irwin and Gamble

July 3, 1995, Plaintiff's Petition for Reconsideration of Order of May 25, 1995 and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 30 day of June 1995 upon consideration of the plaintiffs petition for reconsideration, it is hereby Ordered that a reargument of said petition is scheduled for July 24, 1995 in C. R. No. 1 at 3:30 p.m.
Anthony j. Vardaro, J.
7/3/95 faxed to Irwin and Gamble

July 25, 1995 Order filed. AND NOW, July 24, 1995, the Plaintiff's petition for reconsideration filed July 3, 1995 is denied.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
GordonR. Miller, J

July 25, 1995 copies given Irwin & Mailed Gamble

Oct. 17, 1995 Petition for Contempt and Notice and Order To Appear filed. Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging you have willfully disobeyed an order of court.
If you wish to defend against the claim set forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with the Court you defenses or objections.
Whether or not you file in writing with the court your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in court on the 9th day of November, 1995 at 10:45 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse.
IF YOU DO NOT APPEAR IN PERSON, THE COURT MAY ISSUE A WARRANT FOR YOUR ARREST.
Gordon R. Miller, J.

10-17-95 FAXED Irwin & Gamble

Nov. 13, 1995 Motion to Withdraw Request for Hearing and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 9 day of November, 1995 upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion request for this hearing is hereby withdrawn.
BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

FAXED 11-13-95 Attys. Irwin, Gamble.

Nov. 30, 1995 Certificate of Contents of Remanded Record and Notice of Remand Under Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure 2571 and 2572, filed.

Nov.30, 1995 JUDGMENT filed. ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, it is now here ordered and adjuged by this Court that the judgment of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County be, and the same is hereby AFFIRMED.
BY THE COURT:
Eleanor R.Valechko, Deputy Prothonotary

DAted: October 20, 1995
12-6-95 Faxed to Attys. Gamble & Irwin

568
D

1988

Doris R. Brown

vs.

George W. Brown

Record Continued from Page 551
of
AD 1988 - 139

---

award heretofore entered by this court should not be increased so as to bring the same in conformity with the support guidelines.

Returnable for hearing and/or argument on the 25 day of Oct. 1990 at 3:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT,
P. R. Thomas, S.J.

Oct. 8, 1990 copies to Attys. R. C. Thomas and D. Ferguson.

Oct. 29, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, October 25, 1990 the matter is before the Court on a petition for sanctions and a petition for an increase in alimony pendente lite. The sanctions issue is not resolved, as the answers to the interrogatories have just been filed and counsel for the plaintiff has not had time to review the same. Accor dingly, no ruling is made. With regard to the increase in alimony, the Court is presented withthe 1989 income tax return of the Defendant, showing a total income of $56,000.00 and adjusted gross income of $46,000.00, but it is argued by counsel for the Defendan tthat this is taxable but f ictitious income because he has been required to plow back earnings into debt reduction, capital inprovements, and other requisite expenditures to keep the farm and bus business operating. This Court lacks the time today to conduct an extensive hearing to determine the gross andnet income which should be used in applying equitable principals to award alimony pendente lite. From the tax return this date presented, the Court believes that the alimony should be increased and accordingly, the defendant, effective the week of October 28, shall make alimony pendente lite payments in the amount of $250.00 to the wife and continue to pay her car insurance and hospitalization premiumns.

The further hearing to explore an appropriate income to attribute to the Defendant is scheduled for November 26, 1990 at 1:30 p.m.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Oct. 30, 1990 Copies of order put in R. Charles Thomas and Douglas Ferguson's box.

Nov. 21, 1990 Motion for Continuance and Order filed. ORDER: And Now, November 1990, This hearing is continued to the 12 day of Dec., 1990 at 2:00 o'clock p.m. Ct. Rm. 1.

P.R. Thomas, S.J.

Nov. 27, 1990 copies of order to R. Chas. Thomas, and Douglas Ferguson, attys.

Dec. 5, 1990 Order filed. AND NOW, November 28, 1990, the hearing heretofore scheduled for December 12, 1990, is hereby reset for December 14, 1990, at 3;00 p.m., in Court Room No. 1.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Dec. 6, 1990 Copies of order put in R. Charles Thomas and douglas Ferguson's box.

Dec. 12, 1990 Motion to Continue Hearing and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 12 day of December, 1990 the within hearing is rescheduled for Jan. 31, 1991 at 9:00 A.M.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Dec. 12, 1990 Copy of Order to R. Charles Thomas and Douglas Ferguson.

Dec. 21, 1990 Motion for Continuance and order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, Dec. 21, 1990, this hearing is continued to the 23 day of Jan. 1991 at 9:30 a.m. in Court Room No. 1.

P. R. Thomas, S. J.

Dec. 24, 1990, copies to R. C. Thomas And D. Ferguson, ESqs.

Jan. 28, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Jan.23, 1991, the matter is back before the Court for a detailed examination of the Defendant's net income from farming and bus operations in order to ascertain whether the court's hastily entered alimony Order of Oct. 25, 1990 should continue. The Court receives financial statements and income regarding the Defendant's farming income with the last complete accounting statement reflecting the calendar year 1989. With the aid apparently of his accountant the Defendant projects his 1990 net income which is exhibited in Defendant's Exhibit 1. An issue in the case is the fact that the farming operation runs at a considerable loss statistically, a large portion of which loss is attributable to a depreciation line of expense. The Court hears argument for and against the issue of whether depreciation should be considered in determining a spouse's net income.

The Defendant/Husband projects that a finally filed 1990 income tax return will show a net income of only $12,370 bu this income reflects a loss in farming operations in excess of $52,000, some $26,000 of which is a depreciation item. The Defendant contends he is a life-long farmer and that the farming operations have their ups and downs depending primarily on current milk prices, weather, equipment and labor costs. Taking the Defendant's postulation that his net income was some $12,390 and adding back thereto the farm depreciation claimed on a 1989 income tax return of some $25,578, the Court projects a realistic net income for 1990 of some $38,000. This reflects an income for Guideline purposes of some $3,166 per month. The wife's sole income is Social Security in the amoutn of $300.00 per month. Using Guideline figures, however of $3,100 and $300, the Court finds a Guideline support obligation of $1,120 per month. It is undisputed that the Defendant is currently incurring expenses for car insurance and hospitalization insurance totalling approximately $272 per month. Thus under the Guidelines a projected Order would amount to $848 per month or $197 per week.

This projection by the Court gives very little ocnsideration to the depreciation item and this Court believes that it's previous Order of $250.00 per week is excessive in view of the testimony heard this date. Accordingly, effective commencing the week of Jan. 20, 1991, the support obligation is reduced to the sum of $190 per week. This Order is entered on the condition that the Defendant continue to pay automobile insurance coverage for the wife and continue to carry her under their joint hospitalization plan.

Accordingly, the Court awards alimony pendente lite in the amounth of $190 commencing with the week of Jan. 20, 1991.

When the Defendant files his 1990 income tax return, a copy of the same will be furnished to counsel for the Plaintiff in this case.

There is a minor dispute between the parties regarding the payment of the weekly $250 for the month of December. Mr. Brown contends that he wrote checks on Dec. 1, 8, 15, 22 and 29 each in the amount of $250. Mrs. Brown contends that she die not receive a $250 check for the week of Christmas, which would be apparently Mr. Brown's check of Dec. 22nd. Each of the parties are DIRECTED to recheck their records and if a bank financial statement received by Mr. Brown shows these five checks in December to have been charged to his account and endorsed by his wife, the issue would be moot. If there is a check missing the parties will attempt to reconcile the differences so that Mrs. Brown's complaint about one missing check is successfully resolved.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Feb. 1, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. R. Charles Thomas, Douglas Ferguson.

Jan 28, 1991 Exhibits filed with papers.

May 1, 1992 PETITION FOR APPRAISAL FEES AND ACCOUNTANT FEES AND ORDER FILED. AND NOW, this 1 day of May, 1992, upon presenatation and consideration of the within Petition, A Rule is graned upon the Defendant to show cause, if any he has, why the relief requested in the aforesaid Petition should not be granted.

Returnable and for hearing on the 10th day of June, 1992 at 1:30 o'clock p.m. in Court Room No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

By The Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Continued of record on next page 570.

May 1, 1992 Petition for Review and Increase of Alimony and Award of Additional Counsel fees and Order filed. AND NOW, this 1 day of May, 1992, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition a Rule is hereby granted upon the Defendant to show cause, if any he has, why the relief requested in the aforesaid Petition should not be granted.

Returnable for hearing and/or argument on the 10 day of June, 1992 at 1:30 p.m. inCourt Room No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

May 1, 1992 copy of order to: R. Charles Thomas, Esq. and Douglas Ferguson, Esq.

June 10, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

June 17, 1992 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, June 16, 1992, it is ordered as follows:

1. The plaintiff's petition for increase in alimony pendente is denied.
2. The plaintiff's petition for additional counsel fees, appraisal fees and accountants fees is granted and the defendant is ordered and directed to pay $1,000 to R. Charles Thomas, Esquire, attorney for the plaintiff. One-half of that sum, or$500.00, shall be paid no later than July 10, 1992. The remaining sum $500.00, shall be paid no later than August 10, 1992.
3. If the plaintiff seeks additional payments for counsel fees, accountant's fees and appraisal fees or if she seeks additional sums at the time of the Master's hearing she shall, with respect to the$1,000 being ordered now, (a)provide a summary of the persons to whom any portion of this $1,000.00 has been paid and the date of payment; (b) a copy of any bill or invoice supporting and justifying said payment which said bill or invoice shall summarize the services performed; and (c) provide written estimates or quotations or actual bills, for additional sums she desires and seeks.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

June 17, 1992 Copy of the order to:Atty. Thomas andAtty.Feguson

Oct.7, 1992 Motion for Appointment of Master In Divorce and Order Filed. AND NOW, 10-5, 1992, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Alimony, Equitable Distribution, Counsel Fees, Costs and Exp.
Deposit required: By Plaintiff $150.00 By Defendant $600
If not filed already the parties are ordered to file their pretrial statements within thirty (30) days from this date.

Per Curiam,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

Oct.13, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. Thomas, Ferguson and Kirkpatrick.

Oct. 13, 1992 Inventory and Appraisement of George W. Brown filed.

Feb. 8, 1993, Affidavit of Service filed. And now, Feb.8, 1993, comes constance L. Deitman being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legal secretary of Atty. for Peter D. Brown, plff. in above case.
2. That on information furnished her by the plff, she caused a cert. copy of Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by cert. Mail P 899-085-768; postmarked Feb. 2, 1993, to the last known address of dft. P.O. Box 387, Saegertown, Pa. 16433.
3. that the dft. on Feb. 3, 1993 personally signed the ret. rec. card which was affixed to the complaint in the above matter; attached hereto.
4. Service of the complaint against dft. is therefore effected in accordance with Pa. Rules of Civil Procedure.

Constance L. Deitman.

Feb. 8, 1993, Master's Pre-trial Conference Summary and Order filed.

Feb. 8, 1993, Notice of Scheduling of Master's Hearing filed.

Feb. 19, 1993 Petition to Disqualify Master and Order filed. AND NOW, this 19th day of February, 1993, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, it is hereby Ordered and Decreed that the appointment ofMary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, as Master in the above captioned case is hereby rescinded and James R. Irwin, Esq., is appointed as the substitute Master in the above captioned case.

Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 1, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Thomas, Ferguson, Kirkpatrick & Irwin

Apr. 28, 1993 Notice of Rescheduled Master's Hearing filed.

May 26, 1993 Defendant's Motion To Preclude Testimony filed.

May 26, 1993 Order filed. AND NOW, May 26, 1993, counsel for both parties appear in Motion Court with respect to Defendant's motion to preclude testimony.

After oral argument at Motion Court, it appears that while the Plaintiff has clearly not been timely in filing her pre-trial narrative, essentially Defendant's motion is aimed toward precluding the testimony of a real estate appraiser, one Ted Wilson, in light of the fact that the Plaintiff was supposed to supply a copy of the appraisal to the Defendant on or before February 13, 1993 and didn't do so until the last couple of days.

The Plaintiff's argument is that the Defendant always knew he intended to call Ted Wilson and that Wilson's appraisal was close to that of the Defendant's expert.

While we cannot condone the late filing by the Plaintiff, the Defendant could have filed something soon to compel production of that report and it appears that these appraisals involve real estate valued at between $300,000 and $400,000 and we do not think it would be appropriate to preclude the expert testimony with rspect to the same.

We will, however, give the Defendant an opportunity to have some time to refute that testimony and therefore this hearing may proceed as scheduled on May 27, 1993, May 28, 1993 and possibly May 29, 1993 and, after that testimony has been completed, the attorney for the Defendant shall by June 4, 1993 notify the Master with a copy to the Plaintiff if he wishes to present additional testimony to refute the testimony presented by Ted Wilson and, if he does,s the Master shall schedule additional hearing for the sole purpose of allowing Defendant to present such testimony at a date no sooner than July 1, 1993 unless an earlier date is agreed upon between the parties and the Master.

If the attorney for the Defendant does not give such n otice requesting hearing to present such testimony in a timely manner, the Master may consider all testimony closed after the hearings of May 27, 1993, May 28, 1993 an possibly May 29, 1993.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro

May 26, 1993 copies dist. by court

Jun. 18, 1993 Motion for Approval of Master's Fees and Stenographic Expenses filed.

Continued to Page 570 A

Doris R.Brown
vs.
George W. Brown

Record Continued from Page 570
of
AD 1988 - 139

****

Jun. 18, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 18 day of June, 1993, upon presentation of the Motion of the Master, Mater's Fees in the amount of $500.00 and Stenographic Expenses in the amount of $180.00 are approved. The Prothonotary of the Court of CommonPleas of Crawford County is hereby directed to release to the Master the sum of $600.00 currently held in deposit for master fees and expenses in the above captioned matter, and the Defendnat, George W.Brown, is ordered to pay to the Master the sum of $80.00 within fifteen (15) days of the entry of a final decree in divorce severing his matrimonial bonds from Doris R.Brown.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro

Jun. 25, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Thomas, Ferguson & Kirkpatrick. & Atty. Irwin.

July 29, 1993 Upon praecipe of Douglas W. Ferguson, Esquire,to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Aug. 3, 1993 STIPULATION AND FINAL DECREE filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of July, 1993, it is Ordered and Decreed that FORIS R. BROWN, Plaintiff, and GEORGE W. BROWN, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony. It is further Ordered and Decreed as follows:

EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1. The Plaintiff is awarded all that certain piece or parcel of land set forth in Crawford Co. Deed Book 554, page 123. The Defendant herein shall convey said property to the Plaintiff by a certain quitclaim deed which shall be executed and delivered to the Plaintiff within ten (10) days from the date of this decree.

2. The Defendant is awarded all those various parcels of land set forth in Crawford Co. Deed Book 553, page 497. The Plaintiff herein shall convey said parcels to the Defendant by a certain quitclaim deed which shall be executed by the Plaintiff and delivered to the Defendant within ten (10) days from the date of this Decree.

3. The Defendant shall execute a certain mortgage and bond in favor of the Plaintiff in the principal sum of $40,000.00 within ten (10) days from the date of this decree pledging as security thereon the various parcels of land he is to receive in paragraph two of this decree. Said mortgage and bond shall be due and payable in five years from the date of this decree or upon the sale of the pledged real estate whichever shall first occur. Said mortgage shall be interest free for a period of three (3) years from the date of this decree and if not paid within the said three (3) year period, it shall accrue interest at the rate of six (6) percent per annum on the unpaid balance for the fourth (4) and fifth (5) years of said term. Said mortgage shall be subordinate to any and all new or existing mortgages currently on the pledged real estate including but not limited to PennWest Farm Credit obtained by the Defendant on said real estate.

4. The Defendant upon delivery of the aforesaid deed from the Plaintiff in paragraph two of this decree shall immediately list the aforesaid property known as the Brown farm with a reputable realtor and advise the Plaintiff the name of said realtor. Said farm shall have a sale price of not less than $390,000.00. The Defendant shall continue to list the property for sale until the obligation from the Plaintiff due the Defendant in the sum of $40,000.00 is paid in full. The Plaintiff shall have the authority to contact the listing broken directly to inquire and receive from the broker all pertinent information with regard to the listing and sale of the aforesaid Brown farm.

5. The Plaintiff shall become the designated beneficiary on certain life insurance policies owned by the Defendant with Massachusetts Mutual policy #6840136 and Connecticut Mutual policy #4560085 in an aggregate sum of $40,000.00 which are currently owned by the Defendant. In the event of the death of the Defendant, said sum shall be immediately payable to the Plaintiff in satisfaction of the mortgage and bond given by the Defendant to the Plaintiff as set forth in paragraph three of this decree. Upon receipt of the total sum of $40,000.00, plus applicable interest, from the sale of the pledged real estate or the life insurance as set forth herein the Plaintiff shall immediately satisfy of record said mortgage and deliver said mortgage bond marked paid to the Defendant and remit to the Defendant's estate any excess insurance proceeds received by the Plaintiff. Upon payment of the said $40,000.00 and applicable interest prior to the death of the Defendant, the Defendant shall no longer be required to designate the Plaintiff as the beneficiary on said insurance policies. The Defendant shall provide to the Plaintiff on a yearly basis evidence that the premiums of said policies have been paid and are currently in full force and effect during the time any balance is due and owing on said mortgage.

6. All personal property currently in the possession of the Plaintiff shall be her sole and exclusive property.

7. All personal property currently in the Defendant's possession shall be his sole and exclusive property.

8. The Defendant is awarded and right, title and interest in and to all livestock, farm equipment, accounts or other assets of the business known as Brown's Dairy Farm.

9. The Defendant is awarded all right, title and interest in various school buses currently titled in his own name.

10. The Defendant is awarded all right, title and interest in various school busses and any partnership assets of a certain business known as Brown and Smith Busses.

11. The Defendant shall be solely liable for all other obligations which the paraties could be held legally responsible through and including the time of the parties' separation on approximately Feb. 28, 1988. He shall hold harmless and indemnify the Plaintiff from any and all claims or demands made against her by reason of these obligations.

12. The Defendant shall become the sole designted owner of all life insurance policies purchased by the parties during the course of their marriage or purchased by the Defendant prior to marriage. These shall include but not be limited to a certain life insurance policies with nationwide #914199, massachusetts Mutual #6840136, and Connecticut Mutual #4560085.

ALIMONY

13. The Defendant shall pay the Plaintiff as and for her support the sum of One hundred and ninety dollars ($190.00) each and every week commencing with the first day of June, 1993, and continuing for a total of 104 weeks or until May 31, 1995. Defendant's obligation for alimony hereunder shall terminate in the event of the death of teh Plaintiff, upon the death of the Defendant, upon the remarriage of teh Plaintiff or upon co-habitation by the Plaintiff as defined by the Divorce Code of Pa., which ever shall first occur.

COUNSEL FEES AND MASTER FEES

14. All Master's fees in this matter totaling $680.00 shall be paid by the Defendant, first by application of a certain deposit made by the Defendant to the Prothonotary of Crawford Co. in the sum of $600.00 and the balance of $80.00 paid within fifteen (15) days from the day of this decree.

15. The Defendant shall pay a certain appraisal fee to one Sherman Allen in the total sum of $315.00 plus all appearance fees of Sherman Allen for attendance at the Master's Hearing on May 28, 1993.

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 570 (B).....

DORIS R. BROWN

VS.

GEORGE W. BROWN

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 540 (A)

OF

AD 1988 - 139

****

16. The Plaintiff shall be solely responsible for the payment of certain fees due and owing to one Vicki Gillette for any and all appraisals and testimony at the Master's Hearing on May 27, 1993.

17. Each party shall be responsible for his or her own counsel fees with the exception of any amoutns paid by one party to the other from the date of this decree.

MISCELLANEOUS

18. The parties shall sign any and all documents necessary to effectuate the terms and conditions of this decree with ten (10) days of a request from the other party.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Dec. 8, 1993 Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name of DORIS RAE Brown to be known as DORIS RAE PETERS, filed.

Bonnie Bargar

vs.

Andrew Bargar

Record Continued from Page 245

of

AD 1988 - 245

Nov. 27, 1990, Petition for Additional Remedies (Equitable Distribution) filed. (1 copy (atty)

Mar. 1, 1991 Affidavit Of Consent of defendant filed.

Mar. 25, 1991 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 28, 1991 Affidavit Of Acceptance of Service filed. I, Andrew Bargar, Defendant in the above captioned action, being duly sworn according to law, do hereby state that I accepted service of the Petition for Additional Remedies in regard to equitable distribution, on February 14, 1991, by receiving said petition from my attorney, R. Charles Thomas, at his office at 296 Chestnut Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania, 16335. Andrew B. Bargar

Mar. 28, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

May 1, 1991 Motion To Withdraw as counsel and Order filed. And now, May 1, 1991 Motion granted. Gordon R. Miller, J

May 2, 1991 Copies of order to Joan Mason, Terry Toomey and R. Charles Thomas

May 1, 1991 **S T I P U L A T I O N A N D D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 1st day of May, 1991, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marraige is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint, and an affidavit has been file dby each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. REAL ESTATE: The parties here to are purchasing real estate located at 302 Poplar Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania, under an unrecorded article of agreement and attached document including :Addendum to Lease" also dated June 18, 1985, in favor of Kenneth George and Mary M. George. Defendant shall be fully responsible for any balance owed on said article of agreement, and shall ho ld Plaintiff harmless and reimburse her regarding any oblication under said article of agreement, and any expense, lien, liability or encumbrance in respect to said real estate.

At such time as Defendant has fully paid said article of agreement (or obtained a valid, fully e xecuted and notarized consent to assignment of said article of agreement, so that all obligations thereunder are his alone), Plaintiff shall, upon the meeting of both pre-conditions as set forth in this paragraph assign any rights she has under said article of agreement to the defendant.

3. VEHICLES: Plaintiff hereby relinquishes any right, title and interest she may otherwise have in the 1973 Chevrolet truck which is titled to Defendant alone.

Defendant hereby relinquishes any right, title and interest he may otherwise have to the 1987 Horizon automobile, which is currently titled in the name of both parties. Within ten days of the date that Defendant signs the stipulations for the entry of this decree by the court, he shall go to the Meadville Area Federal Credit Union and formally relinquish any interest he has in said 1987 Horizon, to the plaintiff, and execute any necessary papers in that regard. Plaintiff shall remain liable onthe debt incurred for the purchase ofthe Horizon, which is owed to the Meadville Area Federal Credit Uion, which has an approximate balance of $2,000.00, and she shall hold Defendant harmless and indemnify him, in regard to said loan.

4. WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS: Neither party hereto shall require the other party hereto to file an inventory and appraisement as would otherwise have been required by the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Each party is aware of the debts and assets acquired during the marriage.

5. WAIVER OF CLAIMS: Neither par ty hereto shall make any claim against the other party hereto, excpet for enforcement of the rights and responsibilities set forth in this decree.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

499 | CHARLENE A. BULICH Plaintiff VS. MARK E. BULICH, Defendant | CONTINUED FROM PAGE 499 OF RECORD A. D. 1988 - 499

****

basis with both children being at the home of one or the other parent on alternating weekends.

The above provisions shall continue until further Order of Court.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.
9-12-96 FAXED Lindsay, Nelson, * J. Mason

ORDER AND NOTICE: AND NOW, THIS (TH DAY OF August, 1996, it is ordered and decreed that the parents in this matter shall satisfactorily complete the Seminar for Separating Parents in accordance with Crawford County Civil Rule L1930. Applications for the seminar may be obtained at the Center for Family Services, Inc. at 996 S. Main Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335, the Prothonotary at the Crawford County Courthouse; or the Court Administrator at the Crawford County Courthouse.

Application for attendance at the seminar must be amde by the plaintiff or petitioner wihtin fifteen (15) days after the pleading or petition is filed; and by the Defendat or respondent within fifteen (15) days after he or she is served with the pleading or petition.

BY THE COURT
Fordon R. Miller, J.

8-12-96 FAXED Lindsay, Nelson & J. Mason

Oct. 17, 1996 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, October 16, 1996, after Mediation and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is Ordered and Decreed as follows:

1. Legal custody of the subject minor children, Joshua Bulich and Maria Bulich, shall be shared by the Father and Mother, the aprties to this action, with each parent entitled to full access to any records relating to the children held by a third party including, but not limited to school records and medical records and each parent shall have equal input regarding important decisions affecting the children such as education, religious instruction and medical treatment.

2. Primary physical custody of Joshua Bulich shall be with his Father, Mark E. Bulich, and primary physical custody of Maria Bulich shall be with her Mother, Charlene A. Bulich.

a. The Mother shall be entitled to visit and take Joshua Bulich into her temporary physical custody on alternate weekends from Fridayat 6:00 p.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m. The Father shall be permitted to visit with and take Maria Bulilch into his temporary physical custody on alternate weekends from Friday at 6:00 p.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m.

The cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided between the aprties. The deposit paid in advance by the Father shall first be applied to his share of the costs and the remainder refunded to him, less the cost of the Court Order.

This Order is a Temporary Order but shall become a Permanent Order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either parent within twenty days of the date hereof.

(SEE FILE FOLDER FOR ENTIRE ORDER)
BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
10-17-06 FAXED Lindsay & Nelson

Jo Ann McGraw, now known as Jo Ann Reichel
vs
Michael W.McGraw

Continued from Page 476 of Record
AD 1988 - 476

****

D. Transportation of the children on weekends shall be provided as follows: Father shall provide transportation both ways on his weekends. Mother and maternal grandmother shall share transportation, if necessary, on mother's weekends.

E. Neither mother nor father nor grandmother shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent or grandparent,but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude onthe part of the children towards both parents.

F. Neither mother nor father nor grandmother shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

G. Both parents and grandmother agree to inform the other parties immediately of any illness or injury regarding the children and both parents and grandmother agree to furnish the others with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporaryorder but shallbecome a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within ten (10) days of the date hereof; however, this order is entered without prejudice to the right of any of the parties to request a further mediation conference or hearing at any time after June 1,1995.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County. The Prothonotary of Crawford County is hereby directed to refund the deposit paid in advance by the Defendant.

Anthony J.Vardaro, Judge

3-10-95 Copy to Attys. Ferguson, Peterson-Muth, Martin,Fuller.and Walton , Karen Petroski & Scott Snyder

Mar. 3, 1995 Exceptions to Proposed Order of Custody Mediator and ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, upon consideration of theforegoing Exceptions to the proposed Order by the Custody Mediator in this case, a de novo custody hearing is set for the 24 day of May, 1995, at 9:15 o'clock, A.M. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT
Anthony J. Vardaro

3-395 Faxed Fuller, Walton 3-13-95 Mailed to Martin.

May 23, 1995 Motion to Cancel Hearing and ORDER filed. ORDER. AND NOW, May 22, 1995,the hearing scheduled for May 24, 1995 is hereby cancelled.

By the Court:
Gordon R.Miller, J.

5-24-95 Faxed Attys.Walton, Ferguson, Peterson-Muth, Martin & Fuller. (Mailed Martin)

May 25, 1995 Consent Custody Order, STIPULATION and ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, upon the review of the foregoing Stipulation entered into between the Parties, said Stipulation is hereby approved and entered as an Order of Court rescinding the Order of Feb. 24, 1995.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 24, 1995

May 25, 1995 Copy of Order given Attys. Martin and Walton; (FAXED Atty. Walton on 5-26-95).

FEb. 6, 1996 Petition to Modify Custody Order and NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR filed.

YOU, WILLIAM W. McGRAW, have been sued in Court to obtain shared custody of Michael W.McGraw, Jr; Meghan C.McGraw; Casey R.McGraw; and Codie C.McGraw

You are ORDERED to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator John Fuller,Esquire for a mediation conference.

If you fail to appear as provided bythis Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro,J.

Date: 2/5/96
2-6-96 Faxed Martin &Fuller,given Walton

Feb. 20, 1996 Acceptance of Service filed. I, William G.Martin, Esquire accept service of the NOtice and Order to Appear on this 10th day of February,1996 on behalf of William W.McGraw, the above named defendant.

By: William G.Martin, Esquire

Apr. 3, 1996 Consent Custody Order filed. AND NOW, this 4 day of April, 1996,upon presentation of the Stipulationand Consent of the parties, attached hereto and after consideration of same it is hereby ORDERED, DIRECRTED, AND DECREED that:

The parties to this actionnagree that this Honorable Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter.

By the Court:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

4-8-96 Faxed Ferguson, Muth & Martin, Distributed Walton (Backup)

Apr. 3, 1996 Motion to Refund Mediation Deposit and ORDER filed. AND NOW, April 3, 1996, this Court orders that the Prothonotary's Office refund to Plaintiff's attorney for Plaintiff the amount of $150 less any portion due to mediation and by costs.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro,J.

4-8-96 Faxed Ferguson, Muth & Martin Distributed Walton

Sept 8, 1997 Stipulation and Conset, filed.

Sept 8, 1997 ORDER: AND NOW, September 5, 1997 a Stipulation and Consent was submitted to the Court for review and approval.

We will not approve the Stipulation and Consent for two reasons.

First of all, this matter is not properly before the Court. There is no pending custody complaint (Pa.R.C.P. 1915.3) nor petition to modify (Pa.R.C.P. 1915.15).

Secondly, the record does not indicate compliance with Crawfor dcounty Civil Rule L1930 requiring parents to attend the Seminar for Separating Parents.

Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Sept 18, 1997 Dist Walton, Martin, Ferguson, Peterson-Muth & Fuller

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

David P. Herdering, Sr.

vs.

Mary Martha Herdering
and (latest filing)

Mary Martha Herdering
vs.

David P. Herdering, Sr.

Record continued from Page 279

of

AD 1988 - 279

Aug. 13, 1993, Petition to Proceed in Forma pauperis Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 240, Affidavit in Support of Petition to Proceed in Forma Pauperis Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 240 and order filed. ORDER: You, David p. Herdering have been sued in court to obtain custody of David Paul and Theresa Mae Herdering.

You are ordered to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by John Fuller, Esq. for a mediation conference.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once, if you do nto have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get help. (THIS IS CUSTODY MODIFICATION ORDER)

Ct. Adm., court house, Meadville, Pa. 16335 814 336-1151 ext. 298

Date: 8/10/93 Anthony VArdaro, J.

Aug. 13, 1993, Custody Modification complaint filed. (see above for order)

ORDER: (PETITION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS)

AND NOW, August 10, 1993, upon consideration of the petition filed by plff in this action, and supported by an affidavit attached thereto, all being pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 240, the plff is granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis at this time.

The plaintiff is directed to inform the Prothonotary's office or the court of any improvement in her financial circumstance that would permit her to pay the costs incurred in this action and shall be re sponsible for doing so upon an improvement of financial condition.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug. 20, 1993, copies of the two above orders to Gerald Sullivan, John Fuller, Harry White.

Nov. 18, 1994 Petition for Contempt, Notice and Order to Appear and Order filed. Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging you have wilfully disobeyed an Order of Court of for Shared Custody.

If you wish to defend against the claim set forth in the following pages,you may but are not required to file in writing with the Court your defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in writing with the Court your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in Court on 12th day of December, 1994, at 9:15 AM o'clock in Courtroom 1 or 2 at the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA

IF YOU DO NOT APPEAR INPERSON, THE COURT MAY ISSUE A WARRANT FOR YOUR ARREST.

If the Court finds that you have willfully failed to comply with its Order for Shared Custody, you may be found to be in contempt of Court and committed to jail, fined or both.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP?

Crawford County Bar Association
c/o the Court Administrator
Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335 (814) 336-1151

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

11-18-94 Faxed to Attys. Sullivan,Fuller & White

ORDER. AND NOW, this 16th day of November, 1994,upon consideration of the foregoing Petition for Contempt, a hearing on the same is scheduled for the 12th day of December,1994, at 9:15 o'clock.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miler, J.

11-23-94 Faxed to Attys. Sullivan, Fuller &White

Jan. 5, 1995 Motion to Cancel Contempt Hearing and ORDER filed. AND NOW,upon consideration of the foregoing Motion,the Contempt Petition in the above captioned matter is hereby withdrawn and a hearing thereon is cancelled.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

1-5-95 Faxed to Attys.White,Sullivan & Fuller

Mar. 10, 1995 Petition to Proceed in Forma Pauperis Pursuant to Pa. R.C.p. 240 and ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 10, 1995, upon consideration of the petition filed by the Plaintiff in this action, and supported by an affidavit attached thereto, all being pursuant to Pa.R.C.P.240, the Plaintiff is granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis at this time.

The Plaintiff is directed to inform the Prothonotary's office or the Court of any improvement in his/her financial circumstance that would permit him/her to pay the costs incurred in this action and shall be responsible for doing so upon an improvement of financial condition.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

3-10-95 Faxed to Attys. White,II, Nelson, Sullivan, Fuller.&Mailed to Wheeler. Copy Sheriff

Mar. 10, 1995 Visitation Modifacation filed. Notice and Order to Appear filed. You, David Herdering, Sr., have been sued in Court to obtain custody of David Jr. and Theresa.

You are ORDERED to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator Kathleen Nelson for a mediation conference.

If you fail to appear as provided bythis Order,an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE, IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator, Courthouse
Meadville,PA 16335
(814) 336-1151, ext. 298

Date:3/10/95 Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

3-17-95 Faxed to Attys. White, Nelson, Sullivan, Fuller, Mailed to Wheeler.

ORDER. AND NOW, this 10 day of March, 1995, the Court having appointed a custody mediator in this action for custody, and/or partial custody and/or visitation of a minor child or children it is ordered and directed as follows:

1. The plaintiff is allowed to proceed without payment of court costs reserving to the Court the right to impose costs at a later time.

2. The Prothonotary is ordered and directed to deliver a true and correct copy of this Order and any complaint or petition filed at the time this Order was entered and any required notice, with appropriate numbers of copies, to the Sheriff of Crawford County for service upon the defendant.

3. The plaintiff is ordered and directed to immediately go to the Sheriff's Office to give the Sheriff written instructions on when and where the defendant may be served.

Continued to Page 580

4. The Sheriff of Crawford County is ordered and directed to serve a true and correct copy of the complaint or petition and order upon the defendant and make an appropriate return of service as required by the law. The Sheriff shall indicate the cost of service which said cost shall be paid by Crawford County and which said cost may be taxed as record costs and imposed as costs by the Court.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

3-17-95 Faxed to Attys. White,II, Nelson,Sullivan, Fuller. Copy Sheriff, mailed to Atty.Wheeler & Pltf.

Mar. 15, 1995 Petition to Hold Defendant in Contempt, filed.

Mar.15, 1995 NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR Filed.

Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging you have wilfully disobeyed an Order of Court of for Visitation.

If you wish to defend against the claim set forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with the Court your defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in writing with the Court your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in Court on 6th day of April, 1995,at 2:30 P.M. in Courtroom 1, 2 or 3 at the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville,PA.

IF YOU DO NOT APPEAR IN PERSON, THE COURT MAY ISSUE A WARRANT FOR YOUR ARREST.

If the Court finds that you have willfullyfailed to comply with its Order for Visitation, you maay be found to be in contempt of Court and committed to Jail, fined or both.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association
c/o the Court Administrator
Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville,PA 16335 (814) 336-1151

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

3-15-95 Faxed to Attys. Muth, White, Pineo. 3-21-95 Mailed to Atty.Wheeler.

Apr. 3, 1995 Motion To Withdraw From Appointment As Child Custody Mediator and Order filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of March, 1995, Kathleen R. Nelson, shall be permitted to withdraw as Child Custody Mediator. John Fuller, Esq., is hereby appointed as Mediator.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 3, 1995 Faxed Nelson, White, Muth, mailed Wheeler

Apr 7, 1995 Motion To Cancel Contempt Hearing and Order, filed. AND NOW, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the Contempt Petition in the above captioned matter is hereby withdrawn and a hearing thereon is cancelled.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Apr. 7, 1995 Faxed White

Aug 30, 1995 ORDER, filed. AND NOW, August 29, 1995 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of David P. Herdering, Jr. and Theresa M. Herdering shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject children.

II. Physical custody of David P. Herdering, Jr. and Theresa M. Herdering shall be with their mother, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody every other weekend from 5:00 o'clock p.m. on Friday to 7:00 o'clock p.m. on Sunday, beginning September 8, 1995.

B. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. Custody of the children shall be shared on the aforesaid holidays and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the children shall be in the custody of the mother on the aforesaid holidays until 1:00 o'clock p.m. and in the custody of the father on the aforesaid holidays from 1:00 o'clock p.m. until 7:00 o'clock p.m.

C. Beginning with the Summer of 1996 and each summer thereafter, the children shall be in the custody of the father for a period of three (3) oen (1) week periods, which unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, shall be the second week of June, the second week of July and the second week of August.

D. Transportation of the children on weekends, holidays and Summer visits shall be provided as follows: The father, or his designee, shall pick the children up at the beginning of a visit and mother, or her designee, shall pick up the children at the end of a visit.

E. Neither mother nor father nor anyone else shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children agaisnt the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents. There shall be no arguing or angry confrontations at custody exchanges.

F. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful tot eh children's health or well being. Specifically, Adam Saulsbury, shall not be permitted to be in the house or elsewhere on father's property during any visit of the children with father.

G. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within twenty (20) days of the date hereof.

IV Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County. Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Sept. 1, 1995 Faxed Fuller, sent Plf. & Dft.

ORDER OF COURT: YOU, GEORGE W. DAVIS, Respondent, have been sued in Court, to obtain custody, partial custody or visitation of the following child: Melissa Ann Davis.

It is ordered that all parties in the above-captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the master, William Pineo, Esq., at a time to be set by the Master in the Crawford County Court House as required by Rule 31(E) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

If you faIL TO APPEAR AS PROVIDED BY THIS Order or to bring the child, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Addociation, Service to the Public Committee
% Prothonotary, Crawford County Court House, 360 Chestnut St.
Meadville, Pa. 16335 (814) 724-4636

Plaintiff's Attorney: Daniel J. Kuhn, Esq. 72 N. Main St., Union City, Pa. 16438

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 8, 1989 copies of order to Gerald Sullivan, James Irwin, John Fullerand Daniel J. Kuhn,esqs. and William Pineo, esq.

Feb. 21, 1990 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 20 day of February, 1990, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator., IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child.
2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, an dthe major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to each party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child. Each party shall keep the otherinformed of his or her telephone number and address.
3. The Mother shall have physical custody of the subject minor child each yar from 6:00 p.m. of the day before the school term shall have begun in later summer through 6:00 p.m. of the day after the school term shall have ended in the early summer of the following year. The father shallhav ephysical custody of the subject minor child from 6:00 p.m. of the day after the school term shallhave ended in early summer through 6:00 p.m. of the day previous to the reopening of school for the next term. For 1990, this Order shall go into effect at 6:00 p.m. of February 16, when Melissa shall move to her mother's residence.
4. The party not having physical custody of the subject minor child shallhave the right of visitation and temporary physical custody on alternate weekends, beginning with the second weekend after custody shallhave shifted, from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m..
5. Holiday visitation and custodial periods shall be arranged as follows: Melissa shall spend Christmas Eve from 6:00 p.m. through Christmas Day at 1:00 p.m. with her mother and Christmas Day from 1:00 p.m. through December 26 at 11:00 a.m. with her father. The other major holidays are defined as Easter, Memorial Day, INdependence Day, Labor Day and Thanksgiving. Holiday visitations for these holidays shall be from 10:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.. Melissa shall spend Easter, 1990 with her mother and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holidays so defined. Each summer, each parent shallhave the right to designate a two week period during which Melissa shall reside with the designated parent and no visitation need be accorded the other party. Each parent shall notify the other parent of the two week period he or she wishes to designate by May 30 of each year.
6. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love, respect and obedience which is due a parent from his or her child.
7. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor child.
8. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.
9. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat th eother in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each par ty shall instruct his or her relatives an dfriends to do likewise and also not to dis parage the other party in the child's estimation.
10. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitaiton periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Because the parties do not live very far from each other, each of the parties shall encourage informal visits by Melissa to the home of the other party whenever that is practical, having due regard to meal times, household duties, homework and other considerations normally incident to granting permission for visitition to friends. Arrangements which vary the terms of this order shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.
11. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $137.00, are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.
12. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court
Gordon R. Miller, J

Feb. 23, 1990 Copies of order put in James Irwin and William PIneo's box and copies of order mailed to Daniel Kuhn, plaintiff and defendant.

1988

1988

KEVIN W. FLEEK

VS.

NICKI L. FLEEK

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 179

OF

AD 1988-179

****

Nov 8, 1989 Petition for Modification and Order filed: AND NOW, this 8th day of November, 1989, upon presentation of the within Petition to Modify and Request for Special Relief, the Court hereby enters the following Order:

1. Respondent father's visitation rights are temporarily suspended until further Order of Court.

2. This matter shall be reviewed by the Court on the 30th day of Nov., 1989, at 3:00 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2.

3. This matter shall be reviewed by the Court appointed Child Custody Mediator_____, at a date and time to be set by the Mediator.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Nov 8, 1989 Copies of order put in Attys. Mason and Lindsay's boxes.

Nov. 30, 1989, Answer to Petition for Modification, and Request for Compliance with Existing Order filed.

Dec. 4, 1989 Order filed: AND NOW, November 30, 1989, the matter is before the Court in the nature of cross Complaints by either parent about the other's parenting skills when the children are in their custody. The matter is reviewed with counsel and informally with the parents. It appears the older son Adam is in counseling with the Center for Families in Change at the YMCA with Counselor Sally Moore, and that this fact was unknown to the father. The Court suggests to the father that he immediately contact the Center and attempt to ascertain from them what their analysis of the child's problem is and make every attempt to modify his conduct if it is advised by the Counselor. Likewise, the mother postulates that the children get upset when it is time to visit the father and this, in turn, upsets her, and the Court admonishes the mother that she must take a firm stance that the children are required and must visit their father.

T here is an allegation that on one or more occasions one or more of the children came home with flea bites and the Court reviews this with the parties and the oldest child and it appears to the Court that this was an isolated incident and does not indicate that the home of the father is an improper place to visit for the children.

The Court directs the mother to keep the child in counseling and suggests again that the father discuss the child's problems with the Counselor. In the event there is any dramatic change in the child's conduct, the Court will entertain a further petition for review that will contain detailed reports from the Center for Families in Change and their recommendations, but will entertain such motion by either party no sooner than sixty (60) days from today.

In the event any counselor or agency is dealing with the child, the mother will sign any necessary consents to release all information to the father, if so requested or so required by the counselor.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P..J.

Dec. 4, 1989 copies of order put in Attys. Mason and Lindsay's boxes.

1988

Dana A. Davis

VS.

Patricia A. Davis

Record Continued from Page 267

OF

AD 1988 - 267

****

4. EAch party will instruct his or her friends and relatives not to disparage the other party to Alesha and to treat the other party and his or her relatives and friends with respect and politeness.

5. When the evaluations have been completed, the evaluators are directed to release to the Mediator any reports and shall be authorized to discuss with him their findings.

6. Following the receipt of the evaluations, the Custody Mediator shall make further report to the Court.

7. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $137.00, are divided equally between the parties with the share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be amde to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

8. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

July 6, 1989 Copy of Order to Atty. Bruce Barrett, Joan Mason, William Pineo.

July 14, 1989, Petition for Civil Contempt, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 14 day of July 1989 upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, a hearing is hereby set for the 3 day of Aug., 1989, at 3:00 p.m. in C. R. No. 2. A copy of this petition shall be served on the defendant by cert. mail, restricted delivery.

P. Richard Thomas, J.

July 17, 1989, copies to Attys. B. Barrett, J. Mason and W. Pineo.

July 18, 1989 Affidavit of Service filed. Bruce A. Barrett, esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Petition for Civil Contempt was sent by registered mail to the Defendant on July 14, 1989. The Sender's REceipt is attached hereto.
3. The registered mail was sent to Patricia A. DAvis, 390 High St., Conneaut Lake, Pa 16316
4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on July 17, 1989. Said receipt card number P 063 588 966 is attached hereto and signed by Patricia A. Davis.

Bruce A. Barrett, Attorney

August 4, 1989 ORDER filed. AND NOW, August 3, 1989, Petition to adjudicate in contempt is dismissed as deminimis. The following Order, however, is entered:

The mother is cautioned that we will expect complete and absolute compliance with the visitation schedule established by the existing Order as we intend to modify it in this Order.

In the event the child is on any type of medication at the time of a visit, the parent receiving the child for the visit shall continue that medication without fail and shall not arbitrarily decide to modify the medication.

Insofar as transportation is concerned, the parent receiving the child shall furnish transportation to and from the other parent's home; that is the father at the time of his visit shall pick up the child at the mother's home and the mother at the conclusion of the visit shall pick up the child t the father's home. The father shall at all times keep the mother advised of the location of his residence, so she will know where to pick up the child.

It appears that the custody mediator requested psychological evaluations of the child, which are now to be done by Doctor Kathryn Anderson, and the father shall make such deposit as Doctor Anderson requires on or before August 10, 1989 to cover the cost thereof.

It is our understanding that following these evaluation, the matter will be returned to the Custody Mediator for his further recommendation, after which, of course, either party has a right to a hearing de novo.

By Order Of The Court,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Aug. 7, 1989 Copy of Order to Attys. Bruce Barrett, Joan Mason, William Pineo.

July 17, 1990 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 16 day of July, 1990, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The order of June 30, 1989, as modified by the order of August 3, 1989, shall continue in full force and effect.
2. The plaintiff father shall request a hearing de novo in this matter within 30 days of this date. Upon that request, the Court will set a hearing within 60 days of the date of the request.
3. Within 30 days of the date of this order, the plaintiff shall supply the defendant with a list of all witnesses which the plaintiff wishes to call for testimony at the hearing and photocopies of all exhibits which he will submit into evidence. Thrity days thereafter, the defendant will provide the plaintiff with a complete list of the witnesses which the defendant wishes to call at the hearing and photocopies of all exhibits which the defendnat will present. Thirty days previous to the scheduled hearing, the plaintiff shall file a pre-hearing statement consisting of a list of witnesses to be called, proposed findings effect and conclusions of law, with supporting citations of authority. A copy of any exhibits which the plaintiff wishes to be offered in evidence will be attached. All exhibits shall be pre-numbered.
4. Two weeks previous to the hearing, the defendant shall file her pre-trial statement meeting the same requiements.
5. Requests for modification of this schedule shall be made at Motion Court. Counsel for the requesting party shall notify opposing counsel at least 24 hours in advance of the presentation of any such request.
6. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $69.50 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the prothonotary of Crawford County.
7. Either party may move the Court for a Hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

July 20, 1990 Copy of Order to Attys. William Pineo, Donald Lewis, Joan Mason.

Feb. 17, 1995 Petition to Modify Custody filed.

Feb.28, 1995 ORDER filed. And,Now, February 24, 1995, the above matter is referred to William Pineo, Esq. who will schedule mediation.

By the Court:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

2-28-95 Faxed to Lewis,Sullivan,J.Mason,Kirkpatrick & Pineo

Record Continued to Page 586

March 13, 1995 Affidavit of Service filed. AND Now, March , 1995, comes Constance L. Deitman being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she isthe legal secretary of Atty. for Dana A. Davis, in the above captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished her by the Plaintiff, she caused a copy of the Petition to Modify Custody and a copy of the Order referring the matter to the mediator to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P 100 657 068; postmarked Marh 2, 1995, to the last known address of the Defendant, Patricia A. DAvis, 256 Arch St., Meadville, PA 16335.

3. That the Defendant, Patricia A. Davis, on March 8, 1995, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the above-mentioned documents in the above matter and which is attached hereto.

4. Service of the documents against Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with PA. Rules of Civil Procedure.

Constance Deitman

Apr 11, 1995 TEMPORARY ORDER, filed. AND NOW, this 11 day of April, 1995, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Custody of the subject minor child, Alesha Davis, is hereby awarded to her father, Dana A. Davis.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.

3. a. The mother shall have visitation temporary physical custody on alternate weekends, beginning with the weekend of April 14, from Friday at 5:00 p.m. through Sunday at 5:00 p.m.

b. The subject minor child shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonable monitored by teh parent havign physical custody.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. There shall be two Christmas holiday visitation periods, the first beginning on Christmas Eve at noon and ending Christmas day at 1:00 p.m. and the second beginning on Christmas day at 1:00 p.m. and ending on December 26 at noon. For Christmas, 1995, the father shall have the first period and the mother the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods. The mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody of the subject minor child from December 26 at noon through December 31 at noon each year.

b. There shall be two Thanksgiving holiday visitation periods, the first beginning on the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving at 5:00 p.m. and ending Thanksgiving day at 2:00 p.m. and the second beginning Thanksgiving day at 2:00 p.m. and ending on the day after Thanksgiving at 5:00 p.m. For Thanksgiving, 1995, the mother shall have the first period, and the father, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods.

c. The other holidays to be observed are Easter, Memorial Day, Independence Day, and Labor Day. In even numbered years, the mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody on Easter and Independence Day, and in odd number years she shall have visitation and temporary physical custody on Memorial Day and Labor Day.

d. The child shall spend Father's Day with her father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with her mother during the same hours.

c. The provisions of this paragaraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this Order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modification or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation wtih the party receiving the child in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the child at the home of the other. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the laws of Pennsylvania.

7. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor child. The parties are cautioned that medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the child is being transported or in the bedroom of the child.

9. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.

10. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this order shall govern.

11. Cost and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $122.00, and are divided equally between the parties.

12. The deposit required for the appointment of the Custody Mediator was made by the father. The deposit shall first be applied against the father's share of costs and the remainder, if any, shall be remitted to him. The mother shall pay her share of costs and fees to the Prothonotary of Crawford County with thsirty days of the date of this Order.

13. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo within ten (10) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Apr. 25, 1995 Faxed Pineo, Kirkpatrick, Lewis, Sullivan & J. Mason, sent Plf. & Dft.

May 19,1995 Motion to Withdraw Appearance of Donald E.Lewis, Esquire,Attorney for Dana A.Davis,Plaintiff and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 18th day of May, 1995,upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion to Withdraw, said Motion: is granted.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R.Miller,J.

5-19-95 Faxed Lewis,Pineo, Kirkpatrick, J. Mason & Sullivan

Joseph Yourchisin
vs.
Bird & Son Inc. and
Ron Campbell

Record continued from Page 437

Of

AD 1988 - 437

Apr. 21, 1989, Notice of Appeal from Award of Board of Arbitrators; appeal of plaintiff filed. (Jury Trial Demanded)

Apr. 21, 1989 copy in Atty. Toomey's box and copy mailed to Atty. Anthony.

May 8, 1989 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above-captioned matter is placed onthe next available Civil Jury Trial List.

May 8, 1989 Certificate of Readiness filed.

On June 1989 Trial List

June 13, 1989 Appearance of Brian J. Lindsay for Bird & Son, Inc. filed.

June 13, 1989 Points For Charge of Joseph Yourchisin filed.

June 13, 1989 Points For Charge of Bird & Son, Inc. filed.

June 13, 1989 Request For Jury Instructions of Ron Campbell filed.

June 13, 1989 Exhibit filed.

(VERDICT)

And Now, June 12, 1989 at 10:54 a.m., jury selected and sworn, June 12, 1989 at 1:23 as follows:

1. Barbara Ann Winsor
2. Doxie King
3. Brenda Grinnell
4. Michael Chason
5. Scott Reese
6. Timothy B. Choffel
7. Wanda Spangler
8. Joseph John Gregor
9. Raymond C. White
10. Virginia Grippi
11. John Willmarth
12. Joanna Reynolds

twelve good and lawful persons who upon their solemn oaths which they have taken and at least 10 of them say: find for the Plaintiff Mr. Joseph Yourchisin in the amount of One Thousand Five Hundred dollars, the vote was 10 in favor and 2 not in favor.

R-216

John Willmarth, Foreman

June 14, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, June 13, 1989, at the close of all of the testimony, the Court grants Defendant Bird & Son Incorporated motion for directed verdict in view of the fact that the Court finds that the relationship between bird & Son Incorporated and Ron Campbell was that of an independent contractor relationship and not a principal/agency relationship. Accordingly, any deviation fromthe contract between Bird and Campbell is not binding on Bird. There being no evidence that the original shingles or the newly applied fiberglass shingles were defective, there is no product liability aspect to this case, and Bird & Son Incorporated will not be held into the case because of the supplying of alleged defective first or second schingles.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

June 16, 1989 Copies of order put in William Gregg, Terry toomey and Brian Lindsday's box.

And Now, March 26, 1990 at 1:20 p.m.,. Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the one defendant Ron Campbell, on the jury verdict entered on June 13, 1989; no post trial motions having been file.

Judgment entered - 3/26/90

C - 216

Debt - $1,500.00
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Mar. 26, 1990, Notice of entry of judgment mailed to defendant Ron Campbell, cert mail No. P 076 254 170.

M. SCOTT DEREMER

VS.

CRAWFORD COUNTY AND CRAWFORD COUNTY BOARD OF PRISON INSPECTORS

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 315

OF

AD 1988 - 315

****

April 9, 1990 Memorandum and ORDER filed. AND NOW, April 9, 1990, the rule to show cause granted January 19, 1990 is discharged and the relief sought by the Coard in its petition requesting an amendment of the original Order of this court is denied.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

April 9, 1990 Copy of Order to ATTYS. Theodore Watts, Anthony Vardaro.

Apr. 26, 1990 Petition For Rehearing and Reargument and Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of April, 1990, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition for Rehearing and Reargument of Anthony J. vardaro, Attorney for Appellees, a Rule is entered upon M. Scott DeRemer to show cause, if any he has, why the relief sought by this Petition should not be granted.

This Rule is returnable on the 4th day of May, 1990, at 1:30 o'clock P.M. in Courtroom No. 1, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Apr. 27, 1990 Copies of order put in Theodore Watts and Anthony Vardaro's box.

June 8, 1990 Memorandum and ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 8, 1990, the rule to show cause entered April 26, 1990 is amde absolute and a further hering is scheduled for June 26, 1990 at 9:30 o'clock A.M. in such courtroom as may be assigned by the court administrator.

By The Court,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

June 8, 1990 Copy of Order to Attys. T. Watts and A. Vardaro.

Oct 10, 1990 Memorandum and Order filed: AND NOW, October 10, 1990, the appeal of M. Scott DeRemer under the Local Agency Act is dismissed.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Oct 10, 1990 Copies of order put in Attys. Watts and Vardaro's boxes.

Oct 10, 1990 Exhibits filed with papers.

Dec. 15, 1988 ORDER filed. AND NOW, December 14, 1988, at the time fixed for hearing de novo, it appearing that the only issue between the parties is the restrictive provision of subparagraph E of the Order prepared by the Custody Mediator which does not permit the father to take the children on week-ends to establishments licensed for the sale of alcoholic beverages. The mother has agreed that this can be loosened to some extent. We are not sure that the parties' definitions of what is a restaurant and what is essentially a bar are going to coincide but we will attempt to rephrase paragraph E to accommodate what we believe is an appropriate sentence of paragraph E the following:

Additionally, the father shall not take the children to establishments which are essentially operated for the sale of alcoholic beverages even though they may, as required by law, serve some food. This provision shall not prevent the father from taking the children to such sporting establishments such as bowling alleys, golf courses, ball parks, and the like where some sorts of alcoholic beverages are served. It shall not restrict the father from taking the children to truly eating establishments where the alcohol business is not the primary business of the establishment and we would suggest as exemples Pizza Hut, which serves beer, hotels that have licenses, restaurants such as Sandalini's, the downstairs of the Pit and Pub, and similar establishments which we believe should be off limits, since it has been discussed at this hearing, would be the Northgate Inn, which while it undoubtedly serves food, we will place it on the off-limits list.

We realize that this Order leaves many questions as to what is and what is not a proper establishment to take the children to and it would be impossible for the Court to define specifically or list every establishment within a fifty mile radius and we will have to rely on the parties' good judgment but we would suggest that if the father has any doubt in his mind as to whether the establishment would be approved if it came before the Court, he resolve that doubt in favor of not using the establishment.

By Order of the Court,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Dec. 15, 1988 Copy to Attys. R. Charles Thomas and Joan Mason.

Apr. 7, 1989, Inventory and Appraisement of plaintiff filed.

Apr. 7, 1989, Income and Expense Statement of plaintiff filed.

July 16, 1990 Petition For Sanctions and Order filed. AND NOW, this 16th day of July, 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a Rule is granted upon the Defendnat to show cause, if any he has, why sanctions should not be imposed upon him by reason of his failure to file an Inventoary and Appraisement and Income and Expense Statement and to provide authorizations so as to permit the Plaintiff to secure information relative to the marital assets in this case.

Returnable for hearing and/or arguement on the 7th day of August, 1990, at 3:00 o'clock p.m., in Courtroom 1, crawford County CourthOUse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

Copies of this Petition to be served upon the defendant by regular mail and on Defendant's counsel by regular mail.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller,J

2 copies atty.

July 16, 1990 Motion for Hearing and Order filed. And now, July 16th, 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion a hearing on this matter is set forth 7th day of August, 1990, at 3:00 o'clock p.m., i Court Room 1 at the Crawford County Court House, Meadville PA 16335.

Gorodn R. Miller, J

July 18, 1990 Copies of order put in R. Charles Thomas and Joan Mason's box.

August 8, 1990 Income and Expense Statement of Defendant filed.

August 10, 1990 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug 13, 1990 Order filed: AND NOW, August 7, 1990 the matter is before the court on a request for sanctions because of the failure of the Defendant to file an Income and Expense Statement and Inventory and Appraisal, and to sign authorizations for certain 401K Plans. The Court notes that the Income and Expense Statement was presented and will be filed this date, and the Inventory and Appraisal, or at least an Answer to the Plaintiff's Inventory and Appraisal, will be filed on or before August 14, 1990 and that the 401K authorizations have been delivered this date.

Plaintiff requests counsel fees because of the willful and deliberate delay of the Defendant in processing the divorce case. The Court declines counsel fees after listening to all of the facts.

Plaintiff has also requested alimony pendente lite in the divorce action, in addition to spousal support already awarded in the non-support case. After a review of all of the figures in this case, and a review of the guidelines, the Court believes supplemental alimony pendente lite should be awarded to augment the spousal income. Accordingly, for the month of August, the Defendant will pay the sum of $50.00 per month on or before the 15th of August, which will cover the month of August. Commencing with the month of September, and monthly thereafter, the Defendant will pay, as alimony pendente lite, the sum of $100.00 to be paid on or about the 15th of September and each and every month thereafter, until further Order of Court.

Counsel for the Plaintiff has also requested counsel fees and after listening to input from either party, the Court agrees that counsel fees should be awarded in a modest amount at this time to continue the processing of the case with ultimate counsel fees to be allocated in the divorce decree in an equitable manner between the parties. Accordingly, on or before September 15 and October 15, the Defendant will pay through his counsel to counsel for the Plaintiff, the sum of $200.00 for each of said months with said sum to be paid by the 15th of the month. For the month of November, 1990 the monthly sum is reduced to the sum of $100.00 with no further payments required except by further Order of Court.

The Defendant requests an authorization for disclosure of all pertinent information regarding a New York Life Insurance Company policy owned by the wife; that the Plaintiff and counsel are directed to furnish said authorization within one week of this date.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

Aug 15, 1990 Copies of order put in Atty. Mason and Thomas' boxes.

Aug. 14, 1990 Inventorary and Appraisement of Defendant filed.

Continued on page 590

1988

Cheryl A. Dougherty

vs.

Jeffrey W. Dougherty

Record Continued from Page 598

of

AD 1988-237

****

May 3, 1991 Petition for Modification of Custody and Order filed: AND NOW, this 3rd day of May, 1991, upon consideration of the attached Petition for Modification of Custody, this matter is referred back to the custody mediator, John Fuller, for further hearing.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 6, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys Fuller Mason and Thomas's boxes.

May 23, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 3, 1991 Affidavit of Service of Complaint In Divorce Filed. R. CHARLES THOMAS, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on March 11, 1988, he sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce, properly endorsed with the Notice to Defend, filed in the above action to the Defendant, JEFFREY W. DOUGHERTY, at Defendant's last known address of Laurel Drive, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335, by Certified Mail NO. P 414 739 175, postage prepaid restricted delivery, return receipt requested, and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as March 14, 1988. R. Charles Thomas

June 3, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff For Review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

June 13, 1991 **STIPULATION AND DECREE** filed. AND NOW, THIS 13th day of June, 1991, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. **DIVORCE:** Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. **VEHICLES:** Plaintiff shall retain the 1984 Chevrolet Celebrity automobile, which is presently jointly titled. Defendant shall relinquish all right, title and interest he may have to said vehicle. Defendant shall make no claim to the sum of $2,597.12 which was deducted from the proceeds of the sale of the jointly owned residence in April of 1989, and forwarded to the Meadville Area Credit Union to pay off the loan utilized to purchase said Chevrolet automobile.

Defendant shall retain the proceeds of the sale of the 1977 Ford pickup truck, which he sold for $200.00. Plaintiff shall make no claim to said vehicle nor to the sales proceeds.

Defendant shall retain the 1982 Suzuki motorcycle titled to him alone.

3. **EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION - INTANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY:** The marital residence of the Plaintiff and Defendant was sold in April of 1989, and the net proceeds therefrom, to-wit, $3,493.01 was deposited by agreement of the Plaintiff and the Defendant in a joint trust account at Marquette Savings Association, Meadville Office, under Account Number 292495-1. The entire principal balance in said Account, together with any accrued interest thereon was awarded to the Plaintiff. The value of said account as of the 31st day of January, 1991, was in the amount of $3,849.66. The Plaintiff shall be liable for payment of any income taxes that may be due on the interest accrued on the aforesaid account.

4. **INSURANCE POLICIES:** Plaintiff and Defendant shall each retain those insurance policies insuring his or her individual life, without claim from the other party to the cash value or any other right in said policies insuring the life of the other party hereto, provided, however, that the Defendant shall designate Amber Dougherty and Trevor Dougherty, minor children of the Plaintiff and Defendant, as sole and irrevocable beneficiaries of the Combined New York Life Insurance Policy with a face amount thereof in the amount of $50,000.00. The said Amber Dougherty and Trevor Dougherty shall remain the sole and irrevocable beneficiaries of the aforesaid life insurance policy until such time as Trevor Dougherty attains the age of twenty-two (22) years. Until such time, the Defendant shall be solely and exclusively responsible for pay ment of the premium due on the aforesaid policy and shall refrain from taking any action that would result in the payment less than the face amount of the aforesaid policy, to-wit, $50,000.00.

5. **RETIREMENT, SAVINGS AND INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT ACCOUNT:** The Defendant is awarded all funds in a 401K Plan in which the Defendant participates as an employee of Leech Tool and Die, which 401K Plan is invested with the American Guardian Life Insurance Company under Account Number 44784AG.

The Defendant is awarded the Individual Retirement Account with the New York Life Insurance Company.

6. **CHECKING AND SAVINGS ACCOUNTS AND CREDIT UNION ACCOUNTS:** The Plaintiff and Defendant have separated their various checking, savings and credit union accounts, to their mutual satisfaction and the Plaintiff shall retain those assets titled to her individually and the Defendant shall retain th ose assets titled to him indivdually.

7. **EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION - MISCELLANEOUS TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY:** The Plaintiff is awarded all tangible personal property presently located at the Plaintiff's residence at 417 Pine Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, formerly located at the marital home at R.D. #8, Box 690, Meadville,Vernon Township, Crawford Counmty, Pennsylvania.

The Defendant is awarded all tangible personal property presently located at the residence of the Defendant at 923½ Alden Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania, and formerly located at the martial home at R.D. #8, Box 690, Vernon Township, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

8. **ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, ATORNEY FEES AND COURT COSTS:** The Plaintiff hereby waives any request for permanent alimony, this permanent alimony is denied.

The Plaintiff is awarded temporary alimony in the amount of $503.00 per month, which shall commence the first day of the month, following the entry of this Decree in Divorce and shall continue through August, 1991, which shall then terminate finally and forever.

The Defendant has paid the sum of $500.00 on account of Plaintiff's counsel fees. The Plaintiff waives any further claim for counsel fees and costs from the Defendant and thus, additional counsel fees and costs are denied.

9. **SPOUSAL SUPPORT AND CHILD SUPPORT:** Spousal support presently being paid to the Domestic Relations Office pursuant to an Order of Court entered at 1987 - 657 at the rate of $93.00 per week shall terminate upon the entry of this Decree, provided, however, that thereafter, the Defendant shall pay to the Domestic Relations Office temporary alimony awarded to the Plaintiff as provided for in paragraph 8 of this Decree.

Continued on page 590A

The Defendant presently is paying child support for the support of Amber A. Dougherty and Trevor R. Dougherty, minor children of the Plaintiff and Defendant, in the amount of $93.00 per week pursuant to an Order of Court entered at at DR 1987 - 657. Child support is part of this negotiated consent divorce and support settlement shall be increased to $600.00 per month ($300.00 per child per month) provided that divorce decree in this matter is final, and further provided that said $600.00 per month payment shall not commence until September, 1991. Child support for the summer of 1991 only, shall remain at the rate of $93.00 per week, regardless of the extent of father's temporary custody of the children. Said $600.00 per month child support shall continue at that rate through June of 1992, without any modification by either party. Child support shall continue to be paid to the Domestic Relations Office of Crawford County, and modification of the existing support order shall be made accordingly.

10. **WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS:** Neither the Plaintiff nor the Defendant shall require the other party to file an inventory and appraisement nor an income and expense statement, provided, however, if the same has not already been filed, as would otherwise have been required or requested to be updated, by the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. The Plaintiff and Defendant acknowledge that they are satisfied with the information that he or she now has regarding the debts and assets of the other party.

11. **WAIVER OF CLAIMS:** Neither the Plaintiff nor the Defendant shall make any claim against the other party hereto, except for enforcement of the rights and responsibilities as provided in this decree.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 13, 1991 **TEMPORARY ORDER** filed. ORDER: AND NOW, June 13, 1991, after mediation before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Amber Audrey Dougherty and Trevor Ross Dougherty shall be shared between the parents, that is, each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affecting the children's education, religious instruction and medical treatment and each parent whall be entitled full access to all school records, medical records and important records.

II. Physical custody of Amber Audre Dougherty and Trevor Ross Dougherty shall be with their mother, Cheryl A. Dougherty, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody every other weekend in accordance with the present schedule, with the exception of the pick-up time on Friday being 5:00 p.m. instead of 6:30 p.m. The father will have the children in his custody until Sundlay evening at 7:00 p.m. The father shall pick up the children at the home of the mother on Friday evening and the mother shall pick up the children at the home of the father on Sunday evening.

B. The father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody for a three hour period every Tuesday and every Thursday from 4:00 p.m. until 7:00 p.m. The father shall provide transportation for the children both ways on such Tuesday and Thursday visits. Thursday visits shall be at the option of the father.

C. Beginning with the Summer of 1991, the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody on Father's Day and for two (2) weeks followisng Father's Day. Unless the parties agree otherwise, the father shall pick up the children on the Friday preceding Father's Day at 5:00 p.m. and return them to the home of the mother two (2) weeks later on Thursday, at 5:00 p.m. The father shall also be entitled to have the children in his custody for a two (2) week period in July and a two (2) week period in August, each Summer, and shall give the mother at least thirty (30) days notice of the two (2) week periof in which he intends to exercise such Summer visits.

D. During the Summer, there shall be no weekend visits nor Tuesday and Thursday visits. Alternate weekend visits and Tuesday and Thursdayvisits shall begin again in September of 1991 and prevail from September through May, each year thereafter, until the order has been changed or modified.

E. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Thanksgiving and Easter. The children shall be in the home of the mother every Christmas Eve and Christmas morning. The father shall pick up the children at 12:00 Noon on Christmas Day and keep the children in his custody until December 31st at 12:00 Noon. The children shall be in the home of the father on Thanksgiving Day on even numbered years and in the home of the mother on oddd numbered years. The children shall be in the home of the mother on Easter Sunday on odd numbered years and in the home of the father on Easter Sunday on even numbered years. When the children are in the home of the father on Thanksgiving and Easter, unless otherwise agreed between the parties, they shall be in his home from 9:00 a.m.until 7:00 p.m. on such holidays. Holidays shall take precedence over weekend schedules.

F. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

G. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

H. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding one or both of the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone numbr.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided with the father paying one-half of the cost thereof and the remaining one-half being paid by Crawford County.

P. Richard Thomas, Judge

June 19,1991 copies to Atty. Joan Mason, Atty. R. Charles Thomas, Plf. and Dft.

July 12, 1991 Motion for de novo hearing and Order filed. And now, July 12, 1991 hearing scheduled for August 13, 1991 at 3:00 p.m.

Gordon R. Miller, J

July 16, 1991 Copies put in box for Atty's. Mason and Thomas

Aug. 15, 1991 ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, August 13, 1991, at the time fixed for hearing in this matter pursuant to the agreement of the parties, the hearing is continued generally. In the meantime, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The parties and their children shall engage in counseling with Cathy Berkey in an effort to develop appropriate methods and ways to better deal with each other and to resolve problems which exist in th is case or problems that arise between the parents who are separated and to generally disspell any hostilities between the parties. Said counseling shall continue until (A) Cathy Berkey believes that counseling is no longer appropriate; (B) The parties mutually agree to terminate counseling. If Cathy Berkey is able to divide and allocate the costs of counseling between the parties on the basis of which party she is counseling or treating, the Plaintiff shall pay all of Cathy Berkey's charges for counseling and treatment that applies solely to the plaintiff and she shall pay ten percent (10%) of the remaining charges that are not covered by hospital health care, other employee benefit plans or public assistance. In the event that Cathy Berkey is unable to divide and allocate the costs of counseling between the parties, then the Plaidntiff shall pay ten percent (10%) of the counseling charges that are not paid for by hospitalization insurance and employee benefit plans ofr p ublic assistance. The Defendant shall pay the balance of the counseling expenses not other wise paid for by the Plaintiff, hospitalization, employee benefit plans or public assistance.

2. In addition to the custody and visitation provisions of the Order of June 13, 1991, the Plaintiff shall give the Defendant the opportunity to provide child care and take his children into his custody during periods of time when the Plaintiff would be hiring or selecting someone else to do that because she is required to leave the home without the children for academic or college purposes including but not limited to classes, laboratories, examinations and studying.

3. Weekend visitations that the Defendant is entitled to shall commence on Fri., Aug. 30, 1991 at 5:00 o'clock p.m. and unless the parties otherwise mutually agree, shall consist of every othe week end thereafter.

4. Either party may file a motion to reschedule the hearing de novo with respect to the Order of June 13, 1991 provided that no such motion shall be filed prior to Nov., 1. 1991 in order to five the parties an ample opportunity to give counseling a fair chance of succeeding.

5. In the event that Cathy Berkey is of the opinion and communicates said opinion to the parties that the only counseling the parties or the children should have with respect to their domestic difficulties are the counseling that she is providing, then the parties shall cease any other domestic relations counseling.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 15, 1991 copies in boxes of Attys. R.C. Thomas & Joan D. Mason

590 B

1988

Continued from page 545 AD 1988 - 545 Bavera vs. Bavers (divorce -custody)

Dec. 2, 1988 Complaint to Modify Custody and Partial Custody and Order filed. Order:You, Diana F. Johnson, Plaintiff, have been sued in Court to obtain partial custody of the children:Casey A. Bavera and Nicholas D. Bavera.

You are ordered to appear in person at the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. on t he date set by Mediator.

You are further ordered to bring with you the children: Casey A. Bavera and Nicholas D. Bavera.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order or fail to bring the children , an Order of Custody, Partial Custody, or Visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a Warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET HELP.

CRAWFORD COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION
Service to the Public Committee
310/312 Chestnut St.
Meadville, Pa. 16335
(814) 724-7719

Mediator William Pineo.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Date: Dec. 5, 1988

Dec. 2, 1988 copy of Order to Attorney, 1 copy to Mediator.

Dec. 29, 1988 Affidavit Of Service filed. James L. Liberto, the Attorney for Defendant, being duly sworn according to law, says that he served Complaint to Modify Custody and Partial Custody upon Diana F. Johnson on December 14, 1988, by certified Mail, the return receipt of which is attached as Exhibit "A".

James L. Liberto

Feb 9, 1989 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 9th day of Feb., 1989, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of their subject minor children with the mother being the primary physical custodian.

2. The father shall have visitation with his children on alternate weekends, beginning with the weekend of February 18 from Friday at 4:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m.

3. Each summer, the father shall have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody for three two-week periods, provided that he shall give to the mother thirty (30) days written notice of his intention to exercise said visiation.

4. The major holidays are defined as Thanksgiving, Christmas, and Easter. The father shall have visitation with his children on Easter, 1989 and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holidays. The visitation periods shall be arranged by the parties but shall include substantially the whole holiday. In addition, the father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody for one week each year during the children's Christmas vacation from school, from December 26 through January 2. The father shall also have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody during the children's Spring or Easter vacation from school for 1989 and in alternate years thereafter.

5. The party not having physical custody of the children shall have the right to telephone the children and reasonable times, with reasonable frequency, for reasonable lengths of time.

6. Transportation shall be shared by the parties. They shall arrange to pick and deliver said children at a point approximately midway between their residences.

7. Each party shall teach the children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children and neither shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the eyes of said children.

8. The parties are free to make alternate or additional arrangements respecting custody and visitation, and are encouraged to do so if that would be convenient and proper. However, any such agreement shall be by mutual consent only.

9. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $82.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

10. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for a period of thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J

Feb 10, 1989 Copies of order to Attys Liberto, Irwin and Pineo.

Jan. 6, 1992 ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, this 6 day of Jan., 1992, with the agreement of the parties, the Order of Feb. 9, 1989, is modified as follows:

1. The primary physical custody of Nicholas D. Bavera shall be with the natural fatehr, Brud E. Bavera, from Dec. 27, 1991, until two (2) days after the last regularly scheduled day of school in the 1991-1992 school year.

2. The summer visitation and partial custody of both children with their father shall be as expressed in Paragraph 3 of the Order of Feb. 9, 1989.

3. The weekend visitation and partial custody of the children will be as follows: Jan. 3 to Jan. 5 with Mrs. Johnson; Jan. 17 to Jan. 19 with Mr. BAvera; Jan. 31 to Feb. 2 with Mrs. Johnson; Feb. 14 to Feb. 16 with Mr. Bavera; Feb. 28 to March 1 with Mrs. Johnson; March 13 to March 15 with Mr. Bavera; March 27 to March 29 with Mrs. Johnson; April 10 to April 12 with Mr. Bavera; April 24 to April 26 with Mrs. Johnson; May 1 to May 3 with Bavera; May 15 to May 17 with Mrs. Johnson; and May 29 to May 31 with Mr. Bavera.

4. Should the child Casey A. BAvera decline to visit with his father in Apollo because of school and/or social activities, both parents agree to comply with the child's wishes.

5. The transfer of physical custody of the children shall take place at or near Butler, PA., at the location currently utilized and agreed to by the parties as being the convenient transfer point at 6 p.m. Friday and 6 p.m. on Sunday.

6. The parents having primary physical custody of each child will supply copies of report cards and progress notices within 48 hours of receiving such documents to the other parent.

7. The child Nicholas D. Bavera shall have unlimited telephone contact with his motehr with a minimum call of once per week. Mrs. Johnson shall have the same privilege in calling Nicholas.

8. At the end of this trial period, if the parties cannot reach an agreement on primary physical custody, either may petition the Courts of Crawford County for a hearing on the Petition for Modification.

9. Each party waives the right of child support for the child in his or her custody for the period of Dec. 27,1991, to June 1, 1992.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan. 6, 1992 Copy of Order to Atty. James Liberto; Jan. 13, 1992 Copy of Order placed in Attys'. IRwin and Pineo box.

Continued to Page 592

Continued from Page 591 Bavera and Bavera AD 1988 - 545

Apr. 18, 1994 Consent Order of Court filed. AND NOW, this 18 day of April, 1994, with the agreement of the parties in the above referenced matter, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT the Order of February 9, 1989, shall be modified as follows:

1. The parties shall share legal custody of the minor children with the father being the primary physical custodian. The fat her shall mail to the mother all report cards and reports from school as received by fat her soon after receipt as is practical so that mother is constantly apprised of the children's academic progress. Further, the father shall inform mother of school activities and events in which the children participate with sufficient lead time to ensure that mother can attend said events, if she so desires and is so able.
2. The mother shall have partial custody with her children on a weekend schedule as agreed between the mother and children and father shall ensure that the children will comply with mother's request.
3. The mother shall have physical custody of the children during the summer school vacation period on a schedule as agreed between mother and children and father shall ensure that the children will comply with mother's request.
4. The mother shall have physical custody of the children on holidays on a schedule as agreed between mother and children and father shall ensure that the children will comply with the mother's request.
5. Paragraphs 5, 6, 7, 8 and 10 shall not be modified.

By the Court,
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

4-20-94 Copy of Order to Attys. Irwin & Liberto & Pineo.

1988

Harriet Powell

vs.

Sondra M. Powell et al

Record Continued from Page 383

of

No. AD 1988 - 383

Oct. 10, 1988, Affidavit of Service of copy of order entered Sept. 2, 1988, to defendants, filed.

Oct. 19, 1988 Motion To Enter Custody Order By Consent and Order filed. AND NOW, this 19th day of Oct., 1988, upon consent of all parties involved and in consideration of their best interest, custody of the following children:

Jennifer L. Turner, age 4
Kevin C. McLaurin, age 10 months

is hereby placed with their natural mother, Sondra M. Powell.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Oct. 20, 1988 Copy of order to David Pickens

Christine Bosco

vs.

Bryan Bosco

Record continued from page 507
of
AD 1988 - 507

Aug. 16, 1990 Verification of Service filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, Esq., do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for the above named Plaintiff, and that as such I am authorized to make this verification; that I did on th 8th day of Aug. 1990, send to the above named Defendant, by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of the Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree and affidavits as required under Section 201 (d) of the Divorce Code, filed at the above term and number; and that I did on the 13th day of Aug., 1990, receive a duly executed return receipt dated Aug. 10, 1990; I further verify that on the 8th day of Aug. 1990 a copy of the aforesaid Notice and Affidavits were sent to the Defendant by First Class Mail, postage prepaid.
Dated: Aug. 14, 1990 Brian J. Lindsay, esq.

Aug. 31, 1990 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Sept. 20, 1990 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, September 20, 1990, it is ordered and decreed that Christine Bosco, plaintiff, and bryan Bosco, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Feb. 8, 1994, Petition to Modify Custody and order filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, this 7 day of Feb. 1994, it is ordered that all parties in the above case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before Mediator JOHN FULLER, ESQUIRE, at a time to be set by the mediator as required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common pleas of Craw. County, Pa.

It is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the court, the aforesaid minor child shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.

**BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.**

Feb. 10, 1994, copies of order to Attys. Irwin, A. Pepicelli, JOhn Fuller and B. Lindsay

March 15, 1994 CUSTODY ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 11, 1994 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esq., IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Tawnya Bosco and Kyle Bosco shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject children.

II. Physical custody of Tawnya Bosco shall be with her mother, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child, Tawnya Bosco, into his custody every other weekend from 2:30 o'clock p.m. on Saturday to 4:00 o'clock p.m. on Sunday, beginning March 19, 1994.

III. Physical custody of Kyle Bosco shall be with his father, subject to the following conditions:

A. The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the child, Kyle Bosco, into her custody every other weekend from 2:30 o'clock p.m. on Saturday to 4:00 o'clock p.m. on Sunday, beginning March 26, 1994.

IV. A. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. Custody of the children shall be shared on the aforesaid holidays and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the children shall be in the custody of the mother on the aforesaid holidays from 8:00 o'clock a.m. until 1;00 o'clock p.m. and in the custody of the father on the aforesaid holidays from 1:00 o'clock p.m. until 6:00 o'clock p.m.

B. Transportation of the children on weekends and holidays shall be provided as follows: The parents shall exchange custody of the children at the home of the paternal grandparents.

C. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

D. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

E. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

F. During the visits of Kyle Bosco at his mother's home, the mother shall see to it that her friend, Julius Magrino, is not present, until further Order of Court.

V. The parents shall arrange to have counseling for themselves and the children through Debbie Gregg at the Mental health Center and shall abide by her recommendations. Debbie Gregg shall furnish the attorneys for the parents a report with her recommendations and this Order is entered without prejudice to the right of mother or father to request a mediation conference at that time.

VI. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within ten (10) days of the date hereof.

VII. Costs of the mediation conference will be equally divided by the parties. The Prothonotary of Crawford Co. is hereby directed to refund a portion of the deposit paid in advance by the Defendant, less the cost of the custody order.

Anthony J. Vardaro, Judge

March 18, 1994 Copies to Attys. J. Irwin, B. Lindsay, J. Fuller, A. Pepicelli, copy mailed to Pf., Dft.

Mar. 29, 1994 Request for De Novo Hearing and Order, field. AND NOW, this 25th day fo March, 1994, the above request for Hearign De Novo is hereby granted and shall be scheduled on the 6th day of May, 1994, at 2:30 o'clock P.M. at the Crawford County Courthouse in Courtroom 1 or 2.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Apr. 4, 1994 copy to B. Lindsay, A. Pepicelli, J. Fuller & Plf.

May 5, 1994, Letter from plaintiff cancelling or continuing above hearing filed.

1988

Northwest Landscape Contracting

vs.

Recreation Equipment Unlimited, Inc.

Record Continued from page 419

of

AD 1988 - 419

Oct. 4, 1988, Amended Complaint filed.

Dec. 23, 1988 Defendant's Preliminary Objections to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint and Motion for a more Specific Pleading filed.

Jan. 3, 1988 Second Amended Complaint, filed.

Feb. 2, 1989, Answer filed. 2 copies (atty)

Feb 10, 1989 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Shelly Miller, depose and say that I did on the 3rd day of February, 1989, deliver to Anthony J. Vardaro, Esquire, at 898 Park Ave., Meadville, Pennsylvania, a true and correct copy of the Answer.
Date" 2-10-89 Shelly Miller

Feb. 22, 1989, Reply to Defendant's New Matter and Counterclaim, filed.

Mar. 27, 1989, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney for reference to the arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed: Victor J. Kinnunen, ch.
Bette J. Peterson-Muth
Bruce L. Smith

May 2, 1989 Arbitrators take qualifying oath.

June 2, 1989, Defendant's Motion to continue Trial Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 216 (A)(4), and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 31 day of May 1989, it is..... REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL DENIED.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.
June 8, 1989, copies of order to A. Vardaro, Brian Lindsay, and V. Kinnunen.

June 5, 1989 Motion For Leave To Withdrawn and Order filed. AND NOW, June 3, 1989, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, Brian J. Lindsay, Esq., is hereby granted leave to withdraw as counsel for Defendant, Recreation Equipment Unlimited, Inc.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J
June 9, 1989 Copies of order put in Anthony Vardaro and Brian Lindsay's box.

June 7, 1989, Decision of the Arbitrators filed in which they find in favor of plaintiff and against defendant in the amount of $2,108.17.
R - 184
Decision entered - 6/7/89 DECISION OF ARBITRATORS
James F. Simmons, Prothonotary
June 7, 1989, copies of decision but in attys boxes.

June 7, 1989, Motion to Continue hearing and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Denied. 6/7/89
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.
June 12, 1989, copies to Attys. A. Vardaro and B. Lindsay (boxes) and V.Kinnunen (mail)

July 5, 1989 Appearance of Neal Alan Sanders for defendant filed.

July 5, 1989 Notice of Appeal from Board of Arbitrators filed.

July 14, 1989, Letter to Atty. Neal Alan Sanders, from Judges Chambers, filed.

ON CIVIL TRIAL LIST FOR OCTOBER 1989

Aug 23, 1989 Demand for Jury Trial filed.

Sept. 25, 1989 Motion To Move Case To Non-Jury List and Order filed. AND NOW, this 25 day of September, 1989, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the Prothonotary of Crawford County is directed to remove the aforesaid matter from the Civil Trial Jury List and place the same on the Non-Jury Trial List. Set for non jury trial Nov. 21, 89 9:00 A.
BY THE COURT:
P R Thomas J
Sept. 26, 1989 copy put in Anthony Vardaro's box and copy mailed to Neal Sanders

Nov. 20, 1989, Amendments to Defendant's Pre-Trial Narrative Statement filed.

Nov. 21, 1989 Order filed. AND NOW, November 21, 1989, at the time scheduled for hearing, the parties having agreed to a continuance for the convenience of the court, this case is continued to December 12, 1989 at 1:30 o'clock P.M., in Courtroom No. 2, courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Nov. 21, 1989 Copies distributed by Judge's Chambers.

Dec 15, 1989 Exhibits filed with paper.

A D J U D I C A T I O N

Dec 15, 1989 Adjudication filed: AND NOW, December 14, 1989, after nonjury trial, an adjudication is entered in favor of the defendant as to the plaintiff's claim and in favor of the plaintiff as to the defendant's counterclaim.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Dec 15, 1989 Copies of order distributed by Judge Walker's Secretary

Shaun C.Bortner, a Minor, by Pamela J. Bortner, his Guardian, and Pamela J. Bortner, Individually,
vs
Carl Sampson

Continued from Page 187 of record

AD 1988 - 187

****

Jun. 2, 1995 Petition for Permission to Release Interest for Payment of Taxes for the Benefit of the Trust Beneficiary and ORDER filed. ORDER. AND NOW,this 2nd day of June,1995,upon consideration of the within Petition, an Order is hereby entered authorizing the withdrawal from PNC Bank, Account No. 213000516211, in the name of Pamela J.Bortner C/F Shaun C. Bortner, the sum of $688.00. Said sum is to be used by Pamela J. Bortner, as guardian of said minor, first, to pay on behalf of said minor, Federal and State taxes due for the calendar year 1994, and secondly, to reimburse said guardian for Federal and State taxes paid heretofore by her on behalf of said minor for the year 1993.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R.Miller,J.

6-2-95 Faxed Attys. Ferguson & Pepicelli

MARIA M. LONG

VS.

PAUL W. LONG

Record Continued from Page 369
of
AD 1988-369

****

Sept 19, 1988 Order filed: AND NOW, September 16, 1988, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of the child of the parties to be born on or about September 24, 1988, shall be shared between the parties from the moment of birth, that is, both mother and father from the time of birth of the child shall be entitled to take part in important decisions affecting the child such as medical treatment, education, religious instruction and other important decisions, and each parent shall be entitled to full access to medical records, school records and other important records.

II. Physical custody of the child of the parties to be born on or about September 24, 1988 shall be shared by the mother and father as follows:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody for two (2) four-hour periods each week. One four-hour period will be on Saturday from 1:00 P.M. until 5:00 P.M. and the other four-hour period will be on Sunday from 1:00 P.M. until 5:00 P.M. The first visit shall take place on the Saturday following the firth of the child. Visits by the father with the child as provided above shall take place for a period of six (6) weeks (that is six Saturday visits and six Sunday visits) following the birth of the child. Thereafter, the father and mother shall share physical custody in the same manner as they share physical cutody in the same manner as they share physical custody custody of Kristin Marie Long.

B. Visits and custody of the new baby shall coincide with the shared custody of Kristin Marie Long as provided in the Order of Court dated May 23, 1988, at AD 1988-369. That is, the parties shall alternate having the child in their custody every other week in the same manner as provided in paragraph II(a) and paragraph (b) of the order of May 23, 1988.

C. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

D. Neither parent shall expose the child to any person or situation that would be harmful to the child and each parent shall keep the other advised of any illness or emergency affecting the child. The parent not having custody of the child during any given day shall be entitled to one(1) telephone call per day to inquire into the conditions of the child and the parent having custody of the child is directed to respond to this telephone call. To the extendt reasonably possible, the mother shall personally deliver the child to the father when custody is being changed and both parents shall do everything in their power to see that there are no angry exchanges between the parents or between relatives of the parents during exchanges of custody or at any other time.

E. This order shall be a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

F. Cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided between the mother and father.

P. Richard Thomas, Judge

Sept 21, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Kirkpatrick and Irwin and Fuller, and Plaintiff and Defendant.

Sept 23, 1988 Motion for Hearing DeNovo and Order filed: AND NOW, this 23 day of September, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, a hearing is set for the 1st day of November, 1988, at 9:30 o'clock A.M. in Courtroom No 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa 16335.

For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

Sept 23, 1988 Copies of order to Attys. Kirkpatrick, Fuller and Irwin.

Oct. 14, 1988 Motion For Continuance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 14th day of October, 1988, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, a hearing is set for the 30 day of Nov., 1988 at 9:00 o'clock A.M. in Courtroom 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA 16335.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Oct. 14, 1988 Copies of order to James Irwin, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and John Fuller

Nov. 30, 1988, Motion to Reschedule Matter and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Nov. 30, 1988, the hearing s cheduled for Nov. 30, 1988 is rescheduled for Dec. 20, 1988, at 1:45 p.m. in Courtroom No. 2, of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Dec. 2, 1988, copies of order to J. Irwin, M. Kirkpatrick, J. fuller.

Dec. 21, 1988 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Dec. 20, 1988, after further hearing, the custody Order of Sept. 16, 1988, is adopted as the permanent Order of Court with the following additions and with the exception of the fact that the week shall alternate as of 6:00 p.m. every Monday without variation and transportation will be furnished by the parent receiving the child:

1. The parties shall employ a single baby sitter to baby-sit the children in the home in which the children are to be for that week and unless otherwise agreed upon or unless the proposed baby sitter refuses, that baby sitter shall be Rita Barickman.
2. The parties shall employ the services of a single physician for the children and until further Order of Court or further mutual agreement of the parties, that physician shall be Dr. John Zinnamosca.
3. The normal transfer of custody for the coming week would take place on Dec. 26th at 6:00 p.m., but because of the Christmas holiday, that transfer of custody shall take place on Dec. 25th at 3:00 p.m. and shall be retransferred on Jan. 1, 1989, at 3:00 p.m., after which the schedule of transfer at 6:00 p.m. on Mondays shall be reinstated.
4. The Court urgently recommends the parties to participate in some type of family counseling recognizing that probably will not result in a reconciliation between the parties but it will at least assist them in coping with the proper care of the children.

Continued on PAGE 598

5. When either party wishes to communicate with the other concerning matters relating to the children, such communication shall not be put off or excused by saying e.g., it can't be handled over the telephone, it must be face to face, or conversely, it has to be done when someone else is here, or any other similar excuse. The parties will be expected to communicate in person, by telephone, or in any other manner concerning the welfare of the children and not have such communications avoided by excuses.

6. This Court cannot even attempt to govern the affairs of the parties in relation to their children by a meticulous Order. We can only say, as we have already said but not as a part of the Order, that we expect reasonable cooperation between them for the benefit of the children and remind them that the provisions of the Custody Act say that if one or the other parent is to have primary custody, it should be that parent who is most likely to encourage contact with the non-custodial parent.

By Order of the Court,
Robert L. Walker, Judge

Dec. 21, 1988 Copy of Order to Attys. James Irwin and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick.
Dec. 22, 1988 Copy of Order to Atty. John Fuller.

In ERROR - SEE AD 1988-754

Aug. 15, 1989 Income and Expense Statement of Defendant filed.

Aug. 15, 1989 Inventory and Appraisement of defendant filed.

Mar. 15, 1990 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug. 6, 1990 Request For Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, this 6 day of August, 1990, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Request for Hearing a hearing is scheduled with the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, on the 22 day of Aug., 1990 at 9:30 o'clock A.M., in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 ofthe crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
P. R Thomas, J

Aug. 6, 1990 Copies of order put in James Irwin and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick's box.

Feb. 19, 1992 MOTION TO REFER CUSTODY BACK TO MEDIATOR and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 19th day of February, 1992 it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the Mediator, John Fuller, at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse, with the child Custody Mediator as Required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County,Pennsylvania, it is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the Court, the children shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the Court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Feb. 24, 1992 copy in box for Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and J. Irwin

June 11, 1992 ORDER FILED. AND NOW, June 11, 1992, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. The provisions of prior orders dated May 23, 1988, September 16, 1988 and December 20, 1988 shall remain in full force and effect with respect to the shared custody provisions for Kristin Marie Long and Robert Long, children of the parties.

II. The parties shall cooperate in securing from Victor Masone, an evaluation, counseling and recommendation to the Court regrding future shared custody arrangements for Kristin Marie Long and Robert Long. Cost of the evaluations and report shall be shared equally by the parties.

III. Cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided between the parties.

Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

June 11, 1992 Copy of the order to: James R.Irwin, Esq. and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick,Esq. and John Fuller, Esq.

Sept. 21, 1992 Custody Order filed. AND NOW, September 18, 1992, after mediation conference before JohnFuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Kristin Marie Long and Robert Long shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject children.

II. Physical custody of Kristin Marie Long and Robert Long shall be shared by their parents, under the following conditions:

A. The parents ahll alternate having the children in their custody every other week, in accordance with the present schedule, except that custody of te children shall be changed every Sunday at 4:00 p.m.

B. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. Custody of the children shall be shared on the aforesaid holidays and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the parent not having custody during the holiday week shall be entitled to have the children in his or her custody for a minimum of three (3) hours on Christmas Day, Easter Sunday or Thanksgiving Day.

C. Transportation of the children on weekends, holidays and Summer visits shall be divided by the parties in the same manner as they have handled it in the past.

D. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

E. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

F. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

G. Both parents shall continue counseling with Dr.Victor Masone, at least two times a month, until such time as Dr. Masone feels such counseling is no longer necessary. The cost of the counseling are to be equally divided between the parties.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediaton conference shall be equally divided between the plaintiff and the defendant. The Prothonotary of Crawford County is hereby directed to refund one-half (½) of the deposit paid in advance by the plaintiff, less the cost of the custody order.

Gordon R. Miller, Judge

Sept. 22, 1992 Copy of the Order to Attys. Irwin, Kirkpatrick and Fuller.

1988

1988

Diane H. Johnson vs. Philip K. Johnson A.D. 1988-313 Abuse

May 4, 1988 Order filed. AND NOW, April 28, 1988, after hearing, the Court is satisfied by the fair weight of the evidence that the defendant is in willful and deliberate contempt of this Court's Order of April 8, 1988 by virtue of the fact that on or about april 21, he phoned his wife and that on April 14 he was in the vicinity of the home contrary to this Court's Order. The Court also finds that on April 27, the defendant was in contact with his stepson on North Main Street in Meadvilel, Pennsylvania, but we do not believe this fact alone constitutes contempt of the Court's Order. ACCORDINGLY, you are hereby adjudged in contempt of this Court and the Court imposes penalty as follows:

As punishment for contempt of this Court's Order, the Court sentences the defendant to pay a fine of $200.00 and confines him in the Crawford County Jail for a minimum term of one (1) month and a maximum term of twelve (12) months, with the defendant to be released without further Order of Court on his exemplary behavior at the end of one month, but subject to the following conditions:

1. He will comply with this Court's prior Order of April 8, 1988 regarding contact with his wife and her two children; and in this regard, an additional condition is added to said Order as follows:

2. The defendant will not be in the city of Meadville or within five miles or the City boundary for any purpose except as he may be required at Police direction to come to a Police Officer's Headquarters or any other place designated by the Police Officer, in which instance, he will go directly to the location directed and leave the City promptly thereafter by the most direct route. Additionally, if criminal charges are filed involving an alleged abuse ofthe Plaintiff's five-year-old child,the defendant will be permitted to attend such court proceedings as directed,but will go directly to said proceedings and at the conclusion of such, will promptly leave the envirions described above.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT
P. Richard Thomas

May 6, 1988 Copies of order to Joan Mason, Margaret Eglinton, Police, Warden and defendant

June 22, 1988 Exhibit filed.

June 28, 1988 Sheriff's Return Filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Edward T. Zakutney, Chief Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 7th day of April 1988 at 8:35 AM, he served Phillip K. Johnson, in person filed 4/6/88 at No AD 313 Term 1988, Crawford Co. Pennsylvania, upon Defendant Phillip K. Johnson at 1412 Chestnut Street, Franklin PA 16323. By making known the contents thereof to him and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Notice to defend -Petition for protective Order - Temporary order received from Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff Crawford Co.

So Answers Edward T. Zakutney, Deputy Sheriff & Gene Price, Sheriff, Venango Co. PA

SERVED Phillip K. JOhnson within named defendant by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango Co., PA as per his affidavit of service attached. Served April 7, 1988

So Answers, Howard N. Stewart , Sheriff

B. TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY AND VEHICLES:
1. Each party shall keep all personal proprty that is presently in his or her possession (except the triumph automobile), free of claim fromtheother party hereto, except as may be necessary to enforce this decree.
2. The parties hereto agree that the Triumph automobile will be sold, at fairmarket value, as soon as possible, withthe proceeds thereof to be divided equally between the parties.
C. MISCELLANEOUT PERSONAL ASSETS:
1. Defendant shall transfer to Plaintiff all of his right, title and interest, inthe $50,000.00 municipal bond held by Merrill Lynch from Lehigh County, plus any accrued interest thereon from January, 1988, withinone (1) day of the P laintiff signing of said stipulation.
2. Defendant shall transfer and assign to Plaintiff all of his right, title and interest in the mortgage located in Venango County Mortgage Book 0517, Page 1076, being a mortgage between Bessie C. Endres and richard L. Bauer, and the parties hereto who ware the mortgages. This shall be in a form acceptable to the Venango County court.
4. Defendant shall deliver to Plaintiff the sumof $7,000.00 within one (1) day of the P laintiff signing of the stipulation to this decree.
D. REAL ESTATE:
1. Plaintiff shall transfer all of her irhgt, title and interest to Defendant, by way of quitcalim deed, regarding thejointly owned real estate located in Venango County, Cherrytree township.
Defendant shall pay to each of the minor children named abvoe, the sum of $12,500.00, with said $12,500.00 to be paid to said child at the date said child reaches the age of twenty=four (24). This decree sahll be deemed to convey third party beneficiary rights to the children, so that each may enforce this obligation of the defendant. In the event of the death of either child prior to the age of twenty-four (24), or prior to the time of receipt of said $12,500.00, said sum shall be paid to the remaining child.
E. BUSINESSES:
1. Plaintiff hereby relinquishes any right, title or claim she may otherwise have had to the business known as South Side Cable Company, and to the business of Allegheny Elevation. The parties hereto acknowledge that all rights and obligations regarding said companies are those of the Defendant and not of the P laintiff. The terms of this paragraph shall not be deemed to indicate or acknowledge any interest on the part of the Plaintiff, in said businesses. She makes no claim to the proceeds of the sale of said businesses. Furthermore, Defendant shall be fully responsible for any of the obligations of said businesses, as between himself and the Plaintiff. He will indemnify her and save her harmless regarding the same.
F. LITIGATION:
1. Defendant agrees that p laintiff has no liability under the law suit pending inthe Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, termed W. Robert Kerr and Marian L. Kerr et al, Plaintiffs vs. South Side Cable Company, Bryon McCracken, David McCracken, Harriet McCracken, Defendants found at A.D. 1987 - 861. Defendnat agrees that Plaintiff has no liability under that law suit, and her furthermore agrees to indemnify her and hold her harmless.
G. DEBTS AND OBLIGATIONS: Each of the parties warrants to the other that if there are any individually incurred debts or obligations generated during the marriage or after separation, that the other party hereto will have no liability for said debt or debts, and each agrees to indemnify the other and hold the other harmless for any individually incurred liabilities that might exist pre-separation or post-separation, with the exclusionof past IRS returns.
The parties hereto shall file 1987 income tax returns separately, with Defendant to pay the costs for the preparation of a 1987 tax return for the benefit of Plaintiff, which return shall be prepared by CPA Michael Apoka, as chosen by defendant. This provision does not bind Plaintiff to file the return in the form proposed by CPA Apoka, if same is not to her advantage, and she has the right to obtain individual tax advice and tax return preparation at her own expense. However, in no event will she claim the two minor children on her 1987 return.
H. ENFORCEMENT OF THIS DECREE: The parties represent to the court that this decree contain their entire understanding, withthe exception of child support, income tax exemption allocation, and related matters including helath insurance, which matters shall be entered into by way of separate order to be filed with the Domestic Relations Division of this court.
I. Each party is directed to execute any documents necessary to carry out this decree.
J. This decree shallnot be deemed modified except by way of written modification by agreement of the parties, or pursuant to further court hearing and order of the court. The court shall have authority to modify custodyonly. Any other modification must be by mutual written agreement of the parites. Howver, this provision shall not be deemed to remove from the court the powers to enforce this decree.
K. Breach of performance of any part of this decree shall not be deemed to waive any subsequent breach of performance,or any othr provision of this decree.
L. If because of any default or violation of any provision of this decree, a party shall be required to bring an action or other proceeding to enforce the terms ofthis decree,the court in that action or proceedings may incude in its award or order,provision for attorney fees and/or other reasonable costs.
M. The estate of eacy party hereto shall be subject to enforcement of the rights of the other party hereto, so that said estate shall be bound by the provisions hereof, and subject to further order of court or agreement of the parties, as set forth in paragraph 3J. for the purpose of satisfaction ofthe terms set forth herein, and in ahy subsequent court order modifying or clarifying this decree.
4. INTERROGATORIES, INVENTORY AND APPRAISEMENT: In light of the above representations to the court regarding disclousre of assets and debts, the court will not require the parties hereto to file inventory and appraisement disclosures in accordance withthe Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Furthermore,the court notes that the Defendant has filed interrogatories and that the parties hereto represent to the court that answer to said interrogatories are no longer required,

and that defendant is hereby withdrawing his request that Plaintiff respond in any way to said interrogatories.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas,J