CRAWFORD COUNTY

APPEARANCE DOCKET

BOOK 289
Vol. 1

JAN 1991

PIRRELLO ENTEPRISES INC.
Scanned June 2017

1991

withdrawn
~~Mary Ann Kirkpatrick~~
Gregory Keenan

1.

Ann Hansen Gamble
Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble

ROBIN FYE

vs.

ZOE ANN FYE

IN RE: CUSTODY
Ashley Fye, age 7, 8-11-83
Shannon Fye, age 4, 3-3-86

Filed Jan. 2, 1991
@ 8:59 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
State paid	5.00
service costs	47.00
Bruce Smith, Mediator	192.65
Pro Co. Pd 6-22-94	9.00
Kathleen Nelson, mediator Pro Co Pd 6-19-97	9.00

3-2-94 Received from Plff mediators deposit 150.00
3-3-94 Pd Plff Bal med dep Ck #8279 44.67
6-22-94 Pd Co med fee #8355 96.33
6-29-94 Rec'd from deft mediation 96.32
9-12-94 Pd Co #8544 96.33
11-22-96 Rec'd from Plff med fee 150.00
4-16-97 Rec'd from deft med fee 78.62
6-19-97 Pd Co #11102 157.24

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:
AND NOW, January 2, 1991, this matter is referred to BRUCE SMITH, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR. Pending mediation neither parent shall remove the children from Crawford County, Pennsylvania or from their programs at the Main Street School in Titusville, Pa.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Jan. 8, 1991, Appearance of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso & Gamble, by Ann Hansen Gamble, attorneys for defendant filed.

Jan. 10, 1991, Affidavit of Service filed. James M. Tidd, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That he is a competent adult and investigator for Assured Investigations, a duly licensed and bonded private investigation agency in Pa.

2. That on information furnished to him, he caused a certified copy of the Custody Complaint in the above matter to be served upon defendant Zoe Ann Fye at 10:23 a.m. on Jan. 2, 1991, at Franklin High Sc hool, Franklin, Pa.

3. That costs incurred for this service were as follows:
a. Service - $32.00; b. Mileage - $15.00; Notary - -- d. Total $47.00

4. Further deponent saith not.

James M. Tidd.
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq.

Jan. 16, 1991 Answer To Complaint For Custody and Counterclaim filed.

Feb 6, 1991 Stipulation and Order filed: AND NOW, this 6th day of February, 1991, the parties hereto having stipulated and agreed, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED as follows:

1. The legal custody of the children Ashely Fye, date of birth August 11, 1983, and Shannon Fye, date of birth, March 3, 1986, is and shall continue to be shared and joint between the parties hereto, Robin Fye, the father and Zoe Ann Fye, the mother.

2. The primary physical custody of the children is now and shall remain with the mother subject to the right of the father to take the children into his physical custody on a liberal basis as such times and places as the parties find mutually agreeable.

3. Each parent is entitled to obtain any and all information about the children which is maintained by third party providers of service to the children including but not limited to schools, hospitals, physicians, dentists, counselors, or any others who may provide service to the children. Neither party shall be required to obtain any other order of court for the release of such information. Each parent shll have the right to particiapte in any decisions concerning the children which are of a non-emergency nature. In the event of any emergency, the parent who at the time has the physical custody of the children is authorized to make whatever decisions may be required by the circumstances and must immediately notify the other parent of the emergency.

4. Each party to this agreement is specifically directed and ordered to encourage the children to have that love and respect for the other party and other family members which the children are entitled to have. Each shall encourage the children to adhere to the terms of this order.

5. Neither shall take the child into any place or expose them to any circumstances which might commonly be considered as detrimental to their safety and well being.

6. The mother shall notify the father sixty (60) days in advance of her intent to relocate to a residence whcih requires that the children change school districts or school buildings.

7. in the event that the parties are unable to agree regarding the right of the father to take the children into his physical custody, either shall have the right to petition the court to obtain an order for a specific schedule of shared physical custody.

Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Feb 14, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty Kirkpatrick's box and copies mailed to Attys Gamble and Smith.

Mar. 2, 1994 Petition to Modify Custody Order and NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR filed. You, Zoe Fye, Defendant, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of Ashley Fye and Shannon Fye.

You are Ordered to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator, Bruce Smith, for a Mediation Conference.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once, if you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Date: March 2, 1994 Gordon R. Miller, J.

3-7-94 Copy of Order to Attys. Keenan, Gamble & Smith

Mar. 2, 1994 Petition to Modify and Notice and NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR filed. You Robine Fye have been sued in Court to obtain custody of Ashley Fye, DOB, 08/11/83; Shannon Fye, DOB 03/03/86.

You are ORDERED to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator Bruce Smith for a mediation conference.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody,partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

Date:March2, 1994 Gordon R. Miller, J.

3-7-94 Copy of Order to Attys. Keenan, Gamble & Smith

Apr. 29, 1994 Request for Hearing De Novo and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 28 day of April, 1994, upon consideration of Plaintiff's Request for a de novo custody hearing and upon the Court finding that said Request was timely filed, said request is granted. This matter will be heard on the 6th day of July, 1994,at 9:15 m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2. The court has set aside 1½ days for this hearing; cousnel are directed to inform the Court Administrator immediately if it appears that estimate should be revised.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

5-4-94 Copy of Order to Attys. Kirkpatrick,Keenan, Gamble & Smith

Continued on Page 560

1991

2.

KAREN BECK

vs.

EDWARD BECK

Filed Jan. 2, 1991
@ 1:55 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	21.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy (plaintiff)
3 copies (sheriff)

ORDER:

And now, this 2 day of January, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions:
Def. may not contact the pl. for any reason. Custody of children awarded to plaintiff until further order of court. (Deanna & Kelly)
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for January 10, 1991 at 11:00 AM., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT;

P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Jan. 4, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Edward Beck personally on January 3, 1991 at 3:18 PM at his residence, R.D. #8, Box 624, Meadville, crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Edgar D. Householder, Chief Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan 11, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, January 10, 1991, the matter is back before the Court on another abuse petition and the Court is satisfied that on abuse petition is necessary without going into a full hearing to ascertain the truth or falsity of the various diverse allegations each of the parties make against each other. Accordingly, the Defendant is prevented from threatening or assaulting the Plaintiff in any fashion.

He may not go to her place of employment for any purpose.

He may not go to her residence for any purpose.

The parties have four (4) minor children: Jessica, 15; Edward, 12, who are currently in the custody of Edward Beck; and Deanna, 5 and Kelly, 2 who are in the custody of Karen Beck.

While the Court believes neither parent is an ideal parent, the Court believes the present division of the children under the existing circumstances makes the best of a bad situation and accordingly, we will leave the respective children in the custody of their parents as noted aforesaid.

The mother works varying shifts and usually has two days per week off during mid-week.

On the first and third weekends of every month commencing with the month of January, 1991, the two (2) older children Jessica and Edward shall be dropped off by the school bus at the mother's home to spend the weekend and the mother shall deliver them to Burger King Restaurant Sunday at 8:00 p.m. for return to the father.

On the second and fourth weekends of every month, commencing with the month of January, 1991, the two (2) younger children Deanna and Kelly shall be in the custody of the father from 11:30 a.m. Friday until 8:00 p.m. Sunday with the transfer of said children to take palce at the Burger King Restaurant at the Mall on the Conneaut Lake Road.

It is the agreement of the parties that in view of the animosity between the parties that Mrs. Beck will not go to the residence of Mr. Beck for any purpose.

Any police officer having reasonable grounds to believe that the Defendant has violated the abuse portions of this Order may upon sworn verification from the Plaintiff apprehend the Defendant without a warrant, lodge him in the Crawford County Jail and ask for an immediate contempt hearing.

This Order shall remain in force and effect for a period of one (1) year from this date.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Jan 11, 1991 Copies of order mailed to plaintiff and Defendant and given to Co. Control.

1991

pro se

3.

QUENTIN E. HARGENRATER, JR.

vs.

BRENDA HARGENRATER, NOW
BRENDA CZECH

Filed Jan. 3, 1991
@ 10:47 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00
plff on orig	9.50
Sheriff's Costs	20.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

DSB 1986 - 25

Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant, in the sum of Four Thousand Seven Hundred Thirty Six and 07/100 Dollars.
H - 24
C - 26

Debt -----$4,736.07
Int from ---
JUDGMENT REVIVAL
James F. Simmons, Pro

Issued (sheriff)

Jan 22, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Brenda Hargenrater, now Brenda Czech, by Certified Mail at her last known address, 830 Sheldon Street, El Segundo, Ca 90245 on January 18, 1991. Signed return receipt attached.
So anwers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 8, 2003 - See Computer for further entries.

4

1991

Shafer, Swick,Bailey, Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

4.

Blystone & Blystone
Peter E. Blystone

THE CITIZENS FOR PROGRESS, COMMUNITY, LIFE & ENVIRONMENT, INC., A CORPORATION

vs.

BOROUGH OF CAMBRIDGE SPRINGS, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA WILLIAM CAMPBELL, JOAN NEWARK, THOMAS BETTERS, NANCY NORMAN, WILLIAM TONN, GORDON SHERMAN, AND JAY AKLIN, COUNCILPERSONS, AND BOROUGH OF CAMBRIDGE SPRINGS, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DONALD HAVEN, RICHARD DUNCAN AND SAMUEL SHADE, AS MEMBERS OF THE ZONING BOARD,

Filed Jan. 3, 1991
@ 3:26 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	68.80

COMPLAINT IN MANDAMUS TO COMPEL ENFORCEMENT OF ZONING ORDINANCE

10 copies (sheriff)

Jan 17, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED William Campbell, Joan Newark, Thomas Betters, Nancy Norman, William Tonn, Gordon Sherman, and Jay AKlin, Counsilpersons; and Donald Have, Richard Duncan and Samuel Shade, members of the Zoning Board, all on January 7, 1991 at 11:20 a.m. by serving Susan Yanc, Secretary and person in charge at City Building, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 25, 1991, Preliminary Objections to Plaintiffs' Complaint filed.

Feb. 7, 1991 Motion for Emergency Argument filed.

Feb. 7, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, FEB 6, 1991, UPON consideration of the plaintiff's motion for emergency argument, said motion is denied.
It is further ordered that argument onthe defendant's preliminary objections will be held at the regularly scheduled argument court for Mar. 25, 1991, The exact time of the argument will be determined by the court administrator and the parties will be notified when the argument list is prepared. The parties shall filed theri briefs in accordance with the Local Rules.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Feb. 7, 1991, copies put in attorneys boxes.

1991 March Argument List

Mar. 5, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, March 5, 1991, argument in the above captioned matter is set for March20, 1991, at 3:30 P.M. in Courtroom No. 2.
For The Court,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.
Mar. 7, 1991 Copies of order put in James Irwin and Peter Blystone's box.

Mar. 6, 1991 Motion for Peremptory Judgment filed.

Mar. 6, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, March 1, 1991 the date of the argument on the defendant's preliminary objections must be changed.
Accordingly, the argument on the preliminary objections will be held on March 22, 1991 at 1:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.
The parties shall file their briefs in accordance with the Local Rules. The defendants are the moving parties and the plaintiff is the responding party.
BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Mar 6, 1991 copies of order put in James Irwin and Peter Blystone's box.

Mar. 6, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, March 1, 1991 upon presentation of the plaintiff's motion for peremptory judgment an argument on said motion shall be held on March 22, 1991 at 1:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 2, of the Crawford County Courthouse.
The parties shall file their briefs in accordance with the Local Rules. With respect to this motion the plaintiff is the moving party and the defendants are the responding parties.
BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Mar. 6, 1991 copies of order put in James Irwin and Peter Blystone's box.

Mar. 8, 1991 Answer to Motion for Preemptory Judgment filed.

Apr. 9, 1991 Motion to AMEND Complaint filed.

April 9, 1991 Amended Complaint filed.

Apr.12, 1991, ORDER filed. And now, Apr. 9, 1991, upon presentation of the plaintiff's Motion to Amend its complaint and after an informal conference with counsel for all parties the plaintiff is granted leave to file its amended complaint forthwith.
The Preliminary Objections which the defendants have heretofore filed need not be refiled at this time and said preliminary objections shall apply to the amended complaint as well as the original complaint.
The Court will now proceed to to dispose of the defendant's preliminary objections and the plaintiff's motion for preemptory judgment.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller P.J.
Apr. 12, 1991, copies put in attorneys boxes.

May 17, 1991, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 16, 1991, the defendants' preliminary objections in the nature of a demurrer are sustained and the plaintiff's complaint is dismissed as failing to state a cause of action upon which relief may be granted.
Additionally, the palintiff's motion for preemptory judgment is denied.
This order is without prejudice to the palintiff to bring any other appropriate aciton touching on the facts uinderlying this action for this is only a determination that mandamus is not a proper remedy.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
May 17, 1991, copies put in attorneys box.

1991

Blystone & Blystone
Peter E. Blystone

5.

PENNBANK

vs.

THOMAS R. CHATLEY, JR. AND
LINDA L. CHATLEY

Filed Jan. 4, 1991
@ 11:21 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	27.00
Pro Co Pd 3/4/91	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN A CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Jan 21, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: I accept service of the Complaint on behalf Thomas R. Chatley/and certify that I am authorized to to so.
1/11/91 Linda L. Chatley
SERVED Linda L. Chatley personally on January 11, 1991 by handing copy of Complaint to her personally at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa 16335 at 1:56 p.m.
SERVED Thomas R. Chatley, Jr. on January 11, 1991 at 1:57 p.m. by handing copy of Complaint to Linda L. Chatley, his Authorized Agent, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa 16335. The signed Acceptance of Service attached.
So answers Diane Severo, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Mar. 4, 1991 at 9:04 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with default notices attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor ofthe plaintiff and agaisnt the defendants for failure to answer; damages assessed in the amount of Fifteen Thousand Thirty Six and 65/100 Dollars, with interest on prin. bal. from Dec. 12, 1990 at $3.62 per diem.
(total prin. debt, int. and attys. fees of (int) $1,282.03 and atty fees of $2,292.43 included)
Judgment entered - 3/4/91
C - 165, 105 Debt ----$15,036.65
Int. thru 12/12/90

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

6

1991

Kathleen R. Nelson

6.

James Irwin

APRIL L. FELL

vs.

WILLIAM M. FELL I

Filed Jan. 4, 1991
@ 11:52 a.m.

1/24/91 Rec'd $49.49 From Defendant.

1/24/91 Pd $49.49 To Sheriff Stewart Ck 6011

Tax & entry	Pd 1/24/91	50.50
User's Fee	Pd 1/24/91	5.00
Sheriff's Costs		49.49
Pro to Pd 1/24/91		9 00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 4th day of January, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff or her minor children. Temporary possession of the parties home is awarded to plaintiff

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for January 14, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Jan 7, 1991 Appearance of Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq. for Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 9, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED William M. Fell personally on January 8, 1991 at 4:25 PM at his residence, 167 e. State Road, Jamestown, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. STewart, sHeriff

Jan. 17, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Jan. 14, 1991 at the time fixed for hearing in this matter, both parties appeared with counsel. The Defendant admits that he engaged in the conduct set forth in the Plaintiff's petition, and the parties entered into an agreement, which said agreement is reflected by the following order:

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass, or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the petition, or any of the Plaintiff's relatives.
2. Exclusive possession of the marital home is awarded to the Plaintiff.
3. The parties shall have shared legal custody in their minor children, with primary physical custody being in the Plaintiff.

The Defendant shall have the following visitation rights in hsi minor children: He shall have the right to visit and take said children into his custody three out of every four weekends, from 6:00 p.m. on Friday evening to 6:00 p.m. on Sunday evening, which said weekend visitations shall commence on Friday, Jan. 18, 1991. The Defendant shall have the responsibility of picking up his children and returning his children at the times indicated at the Wildwood Restaurant in Kinsman, OH. If the Defendnat does not appear by 6:30 p.m. on Friday, the Plaintiff may assume that that weekend's visitation has been cancelled unless the Plaintiff has agreed ahead of time to a later pick up time. The children may be picked up and returned by the Defendant's parents.

During the weekend visitations, the Defendant shall nto consume alcoholic beverages in any manner whatsoever, nor shall he take his children into a place that serves alcoholic beverages.

The Defendant does not know his exact work schedule. The one weekend out of four that he will not have visitation rights shall be selected by him provided that that is the weekend that he is working. He shall notify the Plaintiff at least 5 days in advance of the weekend where he would be working and not exercising his visitation rights to that she may make appropriate plans to keep the children on that weekend.

4. This order on custody and visitation is subjec to modification and is temporary only, all pursuant to 35 Pa. C.S.A. 10186(a) (4).
5. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable groudns to believe that it has been violated.
6. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police Dept. in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides, and to County Control.
7. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court, provided it shall nto be in effect beyond Jan. 13, 1992.
8. Record Court costs shall be paid by the Defendant no later than Friday, Jan. 25, 1991.
9. The Defendant is reminded that this is an Order of Court and violation of this order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to 6 months.

By Order Of The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan. 18, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. Kathleen Nelson, James Irwin, County Control

1991

Kemp C. Scales Attorney in Fact)

7.

PRO SE

(Joseph F. McCandrew and Shirley Y. McCandrew, by Becky M. Berlin, their
BECKY BERLIN, AGENT FOR
JOSEPH McCANDREW

vs.

PHILIP G. JONES, JR.

Filed Jan. 4, 1991
@ 2:06 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.25
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 3/20/91	15.00
Pro Co pd 7/31/91	9.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF RONALD A. COLE, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-3-06

Jan. 4, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Jan. 8, 1991, Proof of Service filed.

Jan 22, 1991 Complaint filed.

Mar. 7, 1991 Answer filed.

Mar. 20, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney For Reference to Arbitrators the following are hereby appointed:
William F. Pineo, Chairman
Charles J. Swick
Robert J. Felton

April 10, 1991 Arbitrators Take the Qualifying Oath.

June 3, 1991 Decision of Arbitrators filed. And now, the 3rd day of June, 1991, we the undersigned Arbitrators chosen in this case, after having been duly sworn and having heard the evidence and allegations of the parties find for the plaintiff in amount of $632.00 plus interest and record costs of suit.
William F. Pineo, Chairman
Charles J. Swick
Robert J. Felton

Decision entered - June 3, 1991
J-133
Decision of Arbitrators
James F. Simmons, Pro.

June 3, 1991 Copy to Atty. Scales (box); copy mailed to defendant.

And now, July 31, 1991 at 2:39 p.m. upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney judgment is hereby enterd in the amount of Six Hundred Thirty-Two and no/100, plus interest and record costs of suit per Award of Arbitrators on June 3, 1991.
J-133
judgment entered 7/31/91
Debt $632.00
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Ex 1991-73

1991

Jorden & White
Harry Faber White II

8.

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso
Gamble & Fabian
By: Gary A. Fabian

Ernest D. Preate, Jr.
Attorney General
Brian H. Baxter
Deputy Atty. General
Robert S. Andrews, Jr.
Deputy Atty. General
for Addl. Defendant

VINCENT B. BILECKI AND
LUANN BILECKI

vs.

MICHAEL J. PARENTI AND
ALEXANDER T. PARENTI AND
PARENTI & SONS, INC.

vs.
Robert D. Buckeye
Additional Defendant

Filed Jan. 4, 1991
@ 2:38 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	64.25
Sheriff's Costs	21.00
Pro Co pd 5-24-95	6.00
Pro Co pd 5/26/95	5.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Jan. 30, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Mark S. Fisher, Dep. Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 16t h day of Jan. 1991 at 1:30pm, he served Summons, filed at No. AD 1991 -8 Crawford Co., Pa. Upon defendant Parenti & Sons, Inc. at R.D.# @ Box 2240, Grove City, Pa. 16127. By making known the contents thereof to Patty Parenti, Sec.,person in charge and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the summons received from Sheriff of Crawford County

Mark S. Fisher, Dep. Sheriff
So answers William H. Romine, Jr. Sheriff
Mercer Co.

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Mark S. Fisher, Dep. Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 16th day of Jan. 1991, at 1:30 P.M. he served Summons filed at No. AD 1991 - 8 Crawford Co. Pa., upon defendant Alexander T. Parenti, At R.D.2, Box 2240 Grove City, Pa. 16127 By making known the contents thereof to Patty Parenti, Sec. person in charge and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the summons received from Sheriff of Crawford County

Mark S. Fisher.Dep. Sheriff
So answers William H. Romine, Jr. Sheriff, Mercer Co.

SERVED MIchael J. Parenti on Jan. 14, 1991 at 1:16PM by handing copy of Summons to Joyce A. Stevens, Sec. to Atty. Ted Watts, Authorized Agent, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. Signed Acceptance of Service attached.

SERVED Alexander Parenti and Parenti and Sons, Inc. both by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer Co. Pa. as per his Affidavits of Service attached. Both served: Jan. 16, 1991

So answers, Catherine I. ALLEN, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 31, 1991 Appearance of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, Gamble & Fabian, By: Gary A. Fabian on behalf of all defendants in the above captioned matter filed.

Feb. 20, 1991, Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued. Issued (atty)

Mar. 6, 1991, Service of foregoing Rule accepted on Mar. 5, 1991 by Harry White, Esq. attorney for plaintiffs

Mar. 22, 1991 Complaint filed.

April 8, 1991 Preliminary Objections filed.

May 10, 1991 Compalint To Join Additional Defendant filed.

June 12, 1991 **SHERIFF'S RETURN** filed. SERVED Robert D. Buckeye personally on May 21, 1991 at 2:29 P.M. at his residence, 680 Ellis Avenue, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 14, 1991 Appearance of Ernest D. Preate, Jr., Attorney General, by Brian H. Baxter, Deputy Attorney General and Robert S. Andrews, Jr., Deputy Attorney General, for additional defendant, Robert D. Buckeye, filed.

Oct. 4, 1991 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attorney the above-captioned matter is placed on the next available argument list.

1991 NOVEMBER ARGUMENT LIST.

Oct. 17, 1991, Answer and New Matter of Additional Defendant Robert D. Buckeye filed.

Dec. 17, 1991 MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Dec. 17, 1991 it is ordered as follows:

1. Paragraph 9(d) of the plaintiffs' complaint is stricken for not being sufficiently specific and thus contrary to Pa. R.C.P. 1019(a).
2. The defendants' motion for more specific pleading is denied.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. MIller, p.J.

Dec. 17, 1991 copies in box of H. White and G. Fabian and mailed to Atty. Baxter.

Jan. 3, 1992 Answer and New Matter filed.

Jan. 3, 1992 Reply Of Original Defendants Michael J. Parenti and Alexander T. Pa renti and Parenti & Sons, Inc. To New Matter Of Additional defendant Robert D. Buckeye filed.

Jan. 28, 1992 Plaintiff's Reply To Defendants' New Matter filed.

March 25, 1992 Notice of Service of Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatoreis Directed to the Defendants, Parenti filed.

Sept. 15, 1992 Notice of Service of Filing Answers to Interrogatories Directed to the Plaintiffs Vincent Bilecki and Luann Bilecki, filed.

Mar. 6, 1995 Notice to Complete Discovery, filed.

March 14, 1995 Certificate of Service filed. I hereby certify that on the 1st day of March 1995, I served a true and correct copy of a Petition of Judgment of Non-pros together with Notice of Presentation to the Court Administrator that said Petition would presented on March 8, 1995 upon Harry F. White, Esq., 966 S. Main St., Meadville, PA 16335, Attorney for Plaintiffs Vincent Bilecki and Luann Bilecki, and also upon Brian H. Baxter, Esq., Office of Attorney

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 8 (a).....

Bincent and Luann Bilecki

vs.

Parenti et al

vs.

Robert D. Buckeye

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 8

OF

AD 1991 - 8

****

General, Tort Litigation Unit, 4th Floor Manor Complex, 564 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15219, Attorney for Robert D. Buckeye, Additional Defendant, by first class, U.S. Mail, postage pre-paid, pursuant to PA. and Local Rules of Civil Procedure.

Gary A. Fabian, Esq.

Mar. 8, 1995 Petition For Judgment of Non Pros Pursuant to Crawford County Civil LOcal Rule LGA 1901 and PA. R.J.A. 1901 and Order, filed. AND NOW, the 14th day of March,1995, a rule is hereby entered upon the Plaintiffs to show cause if any they have as to why this action should not be dismissed and judgment of Non Pros entered agaisnt the Plaintiffs. Said Rule is returnable for hearign and/or argument on the 27th day of April, 1995, at 2:15 o'clock p.m., in Court Room No. 1 or 2.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar. 15, 1995 Faxed White, Fabian, Preate

Mar. 29, 1995 Answer to Plaintiffs to Defendant's Petition for Judgment of Non Pros filed.

Mar. 31, 1995 Motion To Strike Notice to Complete Discovery and to Stay Proceedings filed.

Mar. 31, 1995 Order filed. AND NOW, March 31, 1995, upon consideration of the DEfendants' motion to strike notice to complete discovery and to stay proceedings, it is ordered and directed as follows:

1. Insofar as there is currently a petition of the Plaintiffs to diligently pursue this action, this matter is scheduled for hearing and/or argument on April 27, 1995 at 2:15 pM
2. No further discovery shall take place until there is a decision by the Court withrespect to that petition and, in the event the Court does not enter a judgment of non pros as a result of that hearing and argument, the Defendants' notice to complete discovery and the 60 days to do so pursuant to that notice shall be deemed to have commenced on the day the Court denies the Defendants' mtoion for a judgment of non pros.

If that occurs, this order is without prejudice to the parties to agree to an extension of time for discvery or for a party to seek leave of court extending the period of time for discovery all consistent with Crawford County Local Rule L302A2(a).

We note in entering this order that the attorneys for Plaintiffs and defendants appear in Motion Court and both agree that in the event this litigation does go forward, the discovery process has just recently begun and the parties would need at least the 60 days for discovery and conceivably more than that.

Finally, in entering this order, we recognize that the docket reflects that the Plaintiffs filed the notice to complete discovery onMarch 6, 1995 and the Defendants filed their petition for judgment of non pros on March 8, 1995.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Mar. 31, 1995 Copies dist by Court.

Apr. 4, 1995 Motion for Sanctions and ORDER filed. AND NOW,this 3 day of Apr. , 1995,upon consideration of the foregoing Motion for Sanctions, the same is hereby scheduled for April 27, 1995, at 2:15 p.m. in courtroom one or two.

Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

4-4-95 Faxed White, Fabian & B.Baxter

Apr. 10, 1995 Petition for Non Pros and ORDER filed. And Now, April 7, 1995 this matter is set for April 27, 1995 at 2:15 P.M. in Ct.Rm. 1 or 2.

By the Court,
Anthony J.Vardaro,J.

4-10-95 Faxed White, Fabian, Halliday, & Baxter

Apr. 24, 1995 Motion to Continue Petition for Judgment of Non Pros and Motion for Sanctions, and to Continue Stay of Proceedings and ORDER filed. ORDER. AND NOW,April 24, 1955 upon consideration of the defendants' motion to continue, it is ordered and directed as follows:

1. The Defendants' Petition for Judgment of Non Pros and the Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions and Argument thereon are both continued to the same date and time, which said date and time shall be the morning of next Argument list May 30, 1995.

The courts's order of March 31, 1995 shall remain in full force and effect except in so far as the said order is modified by the above herein date to which proceedings are continued.

3. The parties agree that in preparation for the argument Deposition shall be taken May 12, 1995.
4. Each party may file a supplemental brief up to 3 days before the Argument.

Anthony J. Vardaro,J.

4-24-95 Faxed to Attys. Fabian, White & Baxter. & Halliday Copy to Ct.Adm.

ON MAY, 1995 ARGUMENT LIST

May 11, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 11, 1995, counsel for plaintiff and defendant appear before the Court and pursuant to agreement of counsel, it is ordered and directed as follows:

1. The Defendants' Petition for Judgment of Non-Pros and the Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions and argument thereon, are both continued to the same date and time, which said date and time shall be the day of the next argument list June 26, 1995.
2. The Court's Order of March 31, 1995, shall remain in full force and effect except in so far as the said order is modified by the above herein date to which proceedings are continued.
3. The parties agree that depositions which were pursuant to order of Court dated April24,1995,scheduled to occur on May 12, 1995,are hereby continued and shall occur on May 26, 1995.
4. Each party may file a supplemental brief up to three (3) days prior to the argument.

P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

ON JUNE, 1995 ARGUMENT LIST

5-11-95 Faxed White, Fabian, Baxter, Preate & Andrews

May 23, 1995 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

May 26, 1995, Upon praecipe of defendants attorney, all claims against the aDDITIONAL DEFENDANT, Robert D. Buckeye, are hereby settled and discontinued of record.

8 (b)

1991

1991

9.

M. Dan Mason

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

RICHARD L. LONGO

Filed Jan. 4, 1991

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976
(Sections 304 and 305)

Jan. 16, 1991 Report of Mental Health Review Officer Recommendation and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 16 of Jan., 1991, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Reveiw Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Richard L. Longo be committed to MMC (Grove Street) for treatment for a period not to exceed 30 days.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Jan. 17, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Bruce Barrett, 2 cys-MH/MR.

June 29, 1992 Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

July 1, 1992 REPORT OF MENTAL HEALTH REVIEW OFFICER and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 30th day of June, 1992 upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Richard L. Longo be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days.

This order shall take effect on July 2, 1992.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 1, 1992 copy given to Mdvl. Med. Ctr., MH/MR & J. Petruso

Oct 1, 1992 Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

Oct 1, 1992 Report of Mental Health Review Officer and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 29th day of September, 1992, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the Respondent be committed to the Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days from Sept. 15, 1992.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Oct. 7, 1992 copy to T. Africa, ~~MMC~~, MH/MR, WSH

March 31, 1993 Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

March 31, 1993 REPORT OF MENTAL HEALTH REVIEW OFFICER and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 1st day of April, 1993, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DECREED that the said RICHARD LONGO be committed to the Warren State Hospital in Warren, PA., for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days from March 14, 1993.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

April 5, 1993 Copy given to MMC, MH/MR and Atty. Thomas Africa.

Jun. 7, 1994 Petition for Involuntary Treatment, filed.

June 13, 1994 Report Of Mental Health Review Officer and Order, filed. And now, June 10, 1994, uponconsideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Richard L. Longo be committed to Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days.

This order shall take effect on June 14, 1994

FOR THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

June 15, 1994 copy to MH/MR & D. Mason. MMC picked up copy for WSH

Aug. 31, 1994 Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

Oct. 5, 1994 Report of Mental Health Review Officer and Order, filed. AND NOW, this 4th day of October, 1994, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Richard Longo be committed to the Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days from September 20,1994.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Oct. 11, 1994 sent to Africa, WSH, MH/MR

Apr 7, 1995 Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976 and Order, filed. AND NOW, this 7 day of April, 1995, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Richard Longo be committed to the Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days from March 14,1995.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Apr. 18, 1995 copy to D. Mason, MH/MR, WSH

Sep 28, 1995 Petition For Involuntary Treatment, filed.

Sept 28,1995 Report of Mental Health Review Officer and Order, filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of Sept., 1995, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Richard Longo be committed to the Warren state Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days from Septermber 19, 1995. BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Oct. 2, 1995 Faxed to Mason, sent to WSH,MH/MR & Africa

Continued to Page 571

10

1991

Daniel J. Kuhn

10.

AMBROSE AND FRIEDMAN
William P. Weichler

DONALD L. BARTON

vs.

CAROLYNN B. BARTON

Filed Jan. 4, 1991
@ 9:30 a.m.

Tax & entry 1/8/91 $50.50 ✓
state 1/8/91 5.00
Bruce Smith, mediator
Pro & Prot 3/6/91 9.00 ✓

3/6/91 Recd. $1.87 from Defendant
Recd - 3-1-91
$1.87
Vivian Stoltz

3/6/91 Recd $1.88 from Plaintiff
Recd 4-1-91
$1.88
Vivian Stoltz

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY, PARTIAL CUSTODY, VISITATION

ORDER OF COURT:

YOU, Carolynn B. Barton, defendant, have been sued in court, to obtain custody, partial custody or visitation of the following child: Nicole Barton

You are ordered to appear in person at the hearing: Refer to Mediator Bruce Smith.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order or to bring the child an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Association, Ser. to the Pub. Comm, c/o Prothonotary, Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335.

Date: 1/4/91 P. R. Thomas, S. J.

Jan. 4, 1990, copy of order to mediator & Atty. Kuhn.

Jan 7, 1991 Appearance of Ambrose and Friedman by William P. Weichler, Esq. for Defendant filed.

Feb. 7, 1991 TEMPORARY ORDER OF COURT AND NOW, this 5 day of February, 1991, after Mediation and upon recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Nicole Barton, age four, born May 21, 1986, th eparties to this action, shall share legal custody of the said minor child. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitle both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the child held by third parties, including, but not limited to, school and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to the educational and religious upbringing of the child, as well as to elective surgery.

2. Primary physical custody fo the child shall remain with the natural father, Donald L. Barton. Regular visitation with and temporary custody of the child shall be granted to the defendant mother, Carolynn B. Barton. Physical custody for the defendant mother shall be as follows:

a) The child shall visit in the home of the natural mother the first, second, and fourth weekends of each month from 5:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Friday through 5:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Sunday.

b) The child shall visit in the mother's home during the mother's one-week Christmas vacation break and during the mother's one-week spring break so long as that visitation does not interfere with the child's school schedule. The child shall also spend nine consecutive weeks during the months of June, July, and August with the mother to coincide with her eight-week summer vacation, plus one week before she begins her summer vacation or after she has returned to work after her summer vacation. During that nine-week period, the father shall have temporary custody of the child on the second and fourth weekends of each month from 5:00 p.m., prevailing time, Friday through 5:00 p.m., prevailing time, Sunday.

c) Holiday visitation shall take priority over other scheduled visitation. The parties are encouraged to agree as to holiday visitation; however, in the event that they cannot, each year the child shall spend Mother's Day with the mother, Father's Day with the father, and the parent's birthday with the parent having the birthday. These days shall begin at 9:00 a.m., prevailing time, and shall end at 9:00 p.m., prevailing time. Should these days interfere with the child's school schedule, they shall not take place. During odd-numbered years, the child shall spend Easter, Memorial Day, and Labor Day with the mother and the child's birthday and the 4th of July with the father. In even-numbered years, these holidays shall be reversed. These holidays shall begin at 9:00 a.m., prevailing time, and end at 9:00p.m., prevailing time. IN odd-numbered yeras, the child shall spend Thanksgiving Day with the mother and the day after Thanksgiving with the father. In even-numbered years, Thanksgiving shall be reversed. Thanksgiving Day and the day following shall begin at 9:00 a.m., prevailing time, and shall end at 9:00 p.m., prevailing time. During odd-numbered years, the child shall spend Christmas Eve from 3:00 p.m., prevailing time, until 2:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Christmas Day with the mother and from 2:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Christmas Day until 2:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Dec. 26 with the father. In even-numbered years, these days shall be reversed.

d) The parent receiving custody shall be responsible for picking up the child at the other parent's home. Any parent who is one hour or more late for a scheduled transfer of custody shall forfeit the right to receive custody until the following day at the same time. On a one-day visitation, that visitation shall be completely waived. Prior satisfactory arrangements between the parents will be attempted to avoid the loss of any visitation time.

3. The parent having custody of the child at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of injury to or illness of the child requiring medical treatment.

4. Neither parent shall take the child into any environment which might be commonly through of as detrimental to her development or to the development of her character or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to her physical person.

5. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangemetns for physical custody of the child; and, if the parties mutually agree to alternative arrangements to accommodate themselves and/or the child's schedules, they may adjust the physical custody arrangements accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

6. Each of the parents shall teach the child to develop and express that natural love and respect due a parent from his or her child, and neither parent will do or say anything to blacken the character of the other parent in the eyes of the child. This admonition shall extend to the grandparents of the child.

7. This Order shall nto prejudice the right of either party to request further Mediation if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the child or in the event that the best interest of the child would be served by further Mediation and possible change of custody arrangements.

8. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford Co., PA., shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either parent or the child resides in the Court's jurisdiciton and for at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

9. The fee for Child Custody Mediation shall be paid 50% by the plaintiff father and 50% by the defendant mother.

10. The Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent Order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty days from the date of this Temporary Order.

By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Feb. 13, 1991 Copy of Order to ATtys. Daniel J. Kuhn, Wm. P. Weichler, Bruce Smith.

1991

Kathleen R. Nelson

11.

Brian J. Lindsay

~~Pepicell, Youngs & Youngs~~
~~Christopher J. Youngs~~
withdrawn

MADELEINE SVIDUNOVICH

vs.

NICHOLAS E. SVIDUNOVICH

Filed Jan. 7, 1991
@ 2:00 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	15.00
Pro Co Pd 11/27/91	9.00
Pro Co Pd 11-20-92	9.00
Pro Co Pd 1-28-98	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 14, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. KATHLEEN R. NELSON ESQUIRE, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Notice were sent by registered mail to the defendant, Nicholas E. Svidunovich, on January 8, 1991.
3. The registered mail was sent to Nicholas E. Svidunovich, at R.D. #4, Box 754, Meadville, PA 16335. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. that the Defendant received said registered mail on January 9, 1991. Said Return Receipt card P 269 402 186 is attached hereto, signed by Nicholas Svidunovich and made a part hereof.

Kathleen R.Nelson

Jan. 24, 1991, Appearance of Brian J. Lindsay, attorney for defendant filed.

July 8, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug. 30, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Oct. 4, 1991 STIPULATION and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 4th day of October, 1991, upon stipulation of the parties, it is hereby Ordered and Decreed as follows:

1. Legal custody of Jerod Reid Svidunovich and Daniel Lee Svidunovich shall be shared between the father, Nicholas E. Svidunovich and the mother, Madeleine Svidunovich, with each parent entitled to full access to medical records, educational records, and other important records, and each parent shall be entitled to take part in important decisions affecting the children, i.e. the children's education, medical treatment, and religious instruction.

2. Physical custody of Jerod Reid Svidunovich and Daniel Lee Svidunovich shall be with their mother, Madeleine Svidunovich, with father entitled to visitation with the children as follows:

a) Father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody during the first three weekends of each month from Friday at 6:00 p.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m. The first weekend of a month shall consist of the first Friday, Saturday and Sunday falling within that month. Father agreesto give Mother reasonable notice in the event he is unable to exercise his weekend visitation.

During the Sundays on which the children are with their father, they shall attend mass at St. Agatha's church with the maternal grandparents, Henry and Rita LInz, transporting the children to and from said services.

b) Father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody for two (2) non-consecutive one 91) week periods during each sumer, with the father providing the mother thirty (30) days written notice of which two (2) weeks he chooses.

c) Holidays shall be defined as Thanksgiving, Christms, and New Year's DAy.

In 1991 and odd years thereafter, father shall have visitation with the children on Thanksgiving Day from 1:00 p.m. until 6:00 p.m. In 1992 and even years thereafter, father shall have visitation with the children on the day after Thanksgiving from 1:00 p.m. until 6:00 p.m.

The parties have indicated a willingness to divide the remainder of the children's Christmas vacation from school and shall make arrangements for said division no later than the Thanksgiving holiday.

In 1991 and odd years thereafter, father shall have visitation with the children on New Years Day from 12:00 noon until 6:00 p.m.

3. In addition to the provisions set forth above, the parents shall see that Jerod Svidunovich's schedule as an altar boy at St. Agatha's is followed. Specifically the parent having physical custody of Jerod shall insure that he is transported to and from St. Agatha's Church in order to perform his duties as scheduled.

4. The parties shall share the responsibility for transportation of the children during visitations with the party receiving custody of the children providing for their transportation.

5. Neither parent shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children toward both parents.

6. Neither parent shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to health or well being of the children.

7. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements regarding the visitation periods; however; said arrangements shall be by utual consent only, in the absence of which this order shall govern.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J.

Oct. 8, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. Kathleen Nelson and Brian LIndsay.

Nov. 25, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey for review under 201(c) of the divorce code, this case moved to court.

Dec. 4, 1991 **S T I P U L A T I O N A N D D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 4th day of December, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Stipulation this Court hereby directs that:

1. The Plaintiff shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and personalty currently in her possession and Defendant shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings currently in his possesion.
2. The Plaintiff shall execute and deliver a Quitclaim Deed to the Defendant for the marital property located in Vernon Township, crawford County, Pennsylvania, at a date not later than five (5) days from the date of this Decree.
3. Defendant shall deliver the sum of $8,000.00 to Plaintiff upon delivery of the aforesaid Quitclaim Deed, representing payment to Plaintiff by Defendant for the Plaintiff's equitable interest in said marital real estate.
4. Plaintiff hereby waives any and all rights she may have to retirement benefits Defendant may have acquired by virtue of Defendant's prior employment and Plaintiff agrees to execute any and all documents necessary to effectuate said waiver.
5. The bonds of matrimony that have existed hereto between the parties are hereby dissolved.

By the Court:
Gordon R.Miller, J

May 15, 1992 Petition for Contempt with Rule to Show Cause filed. AND NOW, May 18, 1992, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petition for Contempt, a Rule is entered upon the Respondent to show cause, if any she has, why the prayer of the Petitioner should not be granted.

THIS RULE IS RETURNABLE ON July 2, 1992, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania at 1:30 o'clock p.m.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 22, 1992 Copy of the Rule to Show Cause to:
Brian Lindsay, Esq. & Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq.

Received from defendant 8-28-92 150.00 mediator deposit

10-29-92 Pd Deft Ch# 7142 Bklyn Mediator deposit 400

11-20-92 Pd Co Comm Med Fee Ch# 7186 100.00

6-15-94 Rec from Plff Med. Fee 100.00

9-12-94 Pd Co #8544 100.00

11-21-94 Pd 200.00 FINE

11-10-97 Rec from deft medfee 200.00

1-28-98 Pd Deft 9.00 refund #11618

1-28-98 Pd Co #11619 182.00

Continued of Record to Page 555.

12

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin and Stack
James R. Irwin

12.

Marsh, Spaeder, Baur,
Spaeder & Schaaf
Donald F. Fessler

DIRECT HEALTH

vs.

KEVIN LAVELLE AND
WORLD GYM

Filed Jan. 8, 1991
@ 12:48 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.25 ✓
state paid 5.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF
WILLIAM CHISHOLM, DJ, 30 - 2 - 01

Jan. 8, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Jan 10, 1991 Proof of Service of Notice of Appeal and Rule to File Complaint filed.

Jan. 28, 1991 Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

Jan. 29, 1991, Certificate of Mailing filed. I, James R. Irwin, attorney for plaintiff did on Jan. 28, 1991 send a true and correct copy of complaint in this matter to defendants Kevin Lavelle, Ind. and World Gym, defendants and Atty. donald F. Fessler at his address, by first class mail postage prepaid.
James R. Irwin, Esq.

Feb. 8, 1991 Defendants' Preliminary Objections to Plaintiffs Complaint filed.

Feb. 13, 1991 Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, the above objections placed on next argument list.

ON MARCH 1991 ARGUMENT LIST - (Apr. 22, 1991 @ 9:00 a.m. C.R.#2)

May 14, 1991 Memorandum and ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 13, 1991, the defendant's preliminary objections are affirmed and this action is dismissed as this Court does not have jurisdiction over the defendant.

By The Court
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 14, 1991 Copy of Order put in box of Atty. Millin; copy mailed to Atty. Fessler.

1991

Jorden and White
William T. Jorden
13.

Brian J. Lindsay
for R. West

~~William J. Gregg~~ withdrawn
~~for J. Paglia~~

ERIC SMITH

vs.

RON CREMONESE, AND
RON WEST
PAGLIA, INC. d/b/a
BREAK AWAY

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF
WILLIAM D. CHISHOLM, DJ - 30 - 2 - 01

✓ Jan. 9, 1991, rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

✓ Jan. 24, 1991, Proof of Service filed.

✓ Jan. 29, 1991 Complaint filed. 4 copies (atty)

✓ Feb. 19, 1991 Answer and New Matter filed. (of Defendant Ron West)

✓ Mar. 1, 1991, Certificate of Service of Answer and new Matter filed.

✓ Mar. 5, 1991, Important Notice of Default to Paglia INc. filed.

✓ Mar. 5, 1991, Important Notice of Default to John Paglia filed.

✓ Mar. 5, 1991, Important Notice of Default to Ron Cremonese filed.

✓

Filed Jan. 9, 1991
@ 9:04 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.25
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 4/1/91	9.00
Pro Co 11/1/91	9.00

✓ Mar. 11, 1991 Reply To The Defendant West's New Matter PUrsuant To 2252(d) filed.

✓ Mar. 14, 1991 Answer and New Matter filed.

✓ Mar. 14, 1991 Answer filed.

And Now, March 27, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with default notice and service attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant Ron Cremonese, for failure to answer complaint.
Judgment entered - 3/27/91
C - 144

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

✓ Apr. 4, 1991 Reply to Defendant John Paglia's New Matter pursuant to 2252(d) filed.

May 8, 1991 Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON October 1991 Trial List.

(PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE TO BE HELD OCT. 7, 1991 at 11:00 a.m.)

✓ Oct. 3, 1991 Motion In Liminie and RULE filed. AND NOW, this 3rd day of October, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, this Court enters a Rule upon the Plaintiff and Co-Defendants in this case to show cause, if any they have, why this suppression of testimony should not be granted.
THIS RULE IS RETURNABLE ON the 7th day of October, 1991, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA., at 11:00 o'clock A.M.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct. 7, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. Wm. Jorden and Brian Lindsay.

Oct. 15, 1991 Upon praecipe of Atty. Jorden plaintiff's demand for jury trial is her eby withdrawn.

Oct. 16, 1991 VERDICT filed. AND NOW, Oct. 15, 1991 after a nonjury trial limited solely to the issue of liquidating the damages as to Ronald Cremonese against whom a default judgment was take, the court awards the plaintiff Erie Smith damages in the amount of $1,751.00, which includes medical bills of $251.00, initial pain and suffering and punitive damages in the amount of $500.00 BY ORDER OF THE COURT, Gordon R. Miller, p.J. Verdict entered - 10/16/91 C - 144 VERDICT, James F. Simmons,Pro

Oct. 18, 1991 copies of order to attys. Wm. Jorden, Brian Lindsay and Wm. Gregg.

Oct. 16, 1991, Exhibits filed. (with papers-four photos)

Oct. 18, 1991, Motion to Withdraw Appearance and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Oct. 18, 1991, motion granted. By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct. 21, 1991 copies of order to Attys. W. Jorden B. Lindsay and W. Gregg.

And now, Nov. 1, 1991 Upon praecipe of William T. Jorden, Esq., judgment is hereby entered upon the verdict, in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, in the sum of One Thousand Seven Hundred fifty One Dollars, plus interest.
C-144
judgment entered 11/1/91

Debt $1,751.00
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

14

1991

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug
Glenn A. W. Thompson

14.

Pope, Pope and Drayer
Henry Ray Pope

Rosen, Rosen & Bloom
F. Walter Bloom, III
Carol J. Williams

KLASEN OIL COMPANY INC.
vs.
AND CAROL J. WILLIAMS
JACK W. SHREFFLER d/b/a
BILL'S SUNOCO

Filed Jan. 9, 1991
@ 11:26 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.25 ✓
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 3/8/91	9.00 ✓
Exemp.of Record	10.00 ✓
Satisfaction Pd. 5/14/91	5.00 ✓
~~Sheriff's Costs~~	~~20.00~~

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-3- 04

Jan. 9, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

Jan. 15, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

Jan. 29, 1991, Complaint filed.

Feb. 4, 1991, Motion for Consolidation pursuant to Pa. Rule of civil Procedure 213 and Order filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, this 4 day ofFeb. 1991 in consideration of plaintiffs Motion for consolidation it is hereby ordered as follows:
1. The actions captioned Klasen Oil Co. INc. plaintiff vs. Jack W. Shreffler, defendant at Crawford County Court of Common Pleas AD no. 1991 - 14 and Klasen Oil Company Inc. plaintiff vs. Carol J. Williams Defendant at Crawford CountyCourt of Common Pleas AD 1991 - 20 are consolidated into a single action captioned Klason Oil Company, Inc. plaintiff vs. Jac k W. Shreffler and Carol J. Williams d/b/a Bill's Sunoco, Defendants at Crawford County Court of Common pleas AD nO. 1991 - 14.
2. The respective pleadings n the actions hereby consolidated shall remain as the pleadings in the consolidated action.
3. Such findings, verdicts and judgments in the consolidated action shall be entered as though the said action had been originally commenced as a single action.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Feb. 7, 1991, copies of order to Attys. Glenn Thompson, Henry R. Pope and F. Walter Bloom III.

Mar. 4, 1991 Answer Of Carol J. Williams, defendant filed.

And now, Mar. 8, 1991 at 2:06 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against defendant Jack W. Shreffler, ONLY, for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Five Thousand One Hundred Three and 82/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

S-94
judgment entered Mar. 8, 1991

Debt $5,103.82
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Mar. 27, 1991 Reply to New Matter - Joinder of Defendant Williams filed.

May 15, 1991 this judgment satisfied. (see paper filed)

August 5, 1991 NOTICE TO PLEAD AND COMPLAINT filed.

August 5, 1991 CERTAIFICATE OF SERVICE filed. We hereby certify that a copy of the Complaint filed was served on the Defendant, Jean E. Lambdin, by mailing the same first class, postage prepaid, to her attorney of record noted below, at the address indicated on Monday, August 5, 1991: Douglas W. Ferguson, Esq., Ferguson & Spataro, Attorneys at Law, 283 Walnut St., Meadville, Pa. 16335. Yolanda G. Barco

1991

Marsh, Spaeder, Baur,
Spaeder & Schaaf
Donald F. Fessler, Jr.

15.

William J. Gregg

MERLE ROBERT GAWNE

vs.

RICHARD G. RHODES

Filed Jan. 10, 1991
@ 11:21 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriff costs	30.25
Pro Co Pd 9/16/91	15.00
Pro co Pd. 12/4/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Jan. 25, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Richard Rhoades personally on Jan. 21, 1991 at 11:59 a.m. at his residence, R. D. #2, foust Road, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 15, 1991, Answer to Complaint in Civil action filed.
1 copy (atty)

Sept. 6, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Reference To aRbitrators the following are hereby appointed:
E. Max Weiss, Chairman
David P. Truax
Joseph W. Tinko

Sept. 20, 1991, Arbitrators Take the Qualifying Oath.

Sept. 30, 1991 Notice of Hearing Before Board of Arbitrators filed.

Nov. 15, 1991, Decision of the Arbitrators filed in which they find in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of $6,000.00 (Six Thousand) plus interest at the legal rate from the date of this decision, together with record costs of suit, and also attorney fees in the amount of Five Hundred Dollars.($500.00)
Decision entered
11/15/91
R - 224

DECISION OF ARBITRATORS
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Nov. 15, 1991 copy to Atty. Gregg (box) and copy to Atty. fessler (mail)

And Now, Dec. 4, 1991, at 1:42 p.m. Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of $6,000.00 plus int. at a legal rate form the date of decision together with record costs of suit and atty. fees in amount of Five Hundred Dollars, in accordance with arbitrators decision.
R - 264
Judgment entered on
12/2/91

Debt -----$6,000.00

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Dec. 9, 1991 notice of entry of judgment mailed to dft.

Revived to AD 1996-827

pro se

16.

RONALD OVERTON

vs.

JAMES R. MACIELAK,
JAMES R. McLAMB,
ORTHOPEDIC ASSOCIATES OF
MEADVILLE, INC., P.C.
AND MEADVILLE MEDICAL CENTER

Filed Jan. 10, 1991
@ 1:50 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

May 10, 1991 Writ Returned: Summons returned to the Prothonotary's Office, May 10, 1991 unserved. No instructions or costs received.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
Jeffrey K. Millin

17.

WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA ANNUAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED METHODIST CHURCH, AS SUCCESSOR TO STATE ROAD METHODIST CHURCH

vs.

CALFINE REED & HIRAM REED, THEIR HEIRS, SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

Filed Jan. 10, 1991
@ 2:09 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 4/4/91	9.00

COMPLAINT - ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

Jan. 16, 1991 Motion For Service Pursuant To Special Order Of Court Pursuant To P.A.R.C.P. 430.and Order filed

Jan. 16, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, this 16th day of January, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the Plaintiff is granted leave to make service ofthe complaint on Defendants, Calfine Reed and Hiram Reed, their heirs, successors and assigns; by publication of the notice attached to the motion once inthe Crawford County Legal Journal and The Meadville Tribune, a newpaperrin the general circulation of the County of Crawford, Pennsylvania, and once in the Lake City Graphic, a newspaper of general circulation in the County of Wabasha, Minnesota.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Jan. 17, 1991 Copy of order put in Jeffrey Millin's box.

Jan. 16, 1991 Affidavit filed. Before me, this 9th day of January, 1990, appeared the undersigned, after being duly sworn according to law stated as follows:

I, Jeffrey K. Millin, represent that I have conducted a diligent and good faitheffort to determine the whereabouts of Defendants, Calfine Reed and Hiram Reed, their heirs, successors, and assigns, which included the following:

A search in the crawford County Recorders Office; Assessment Office, Treasurer's Office; Register of Wills Office; Prothonotary's Office; The Local Phone Directories; and other Directories, including the Meadville Public Library.

After said diligent search and good faith investigation the Plaintiff could not locate the heirs, successors, and assigns of Calfine Reed. The Plaintiff could only locate a newspaper account of the death of Hiram Reed, which indicated that Hiram Reed died in Florance Township, Lake City, Minnesota.

In further your deponent sayeth not. Jeffrey K. Millin

Feb 27, 1991 Motion for Judgment Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1066 filed.

Feb 27, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, this 27th day of February, 1991, upon Motion of the Plaintiff for a Default Judgment pursuant to Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure 1066, and it appearing that service has been made in accordance with this Court's order and that Defendants have failed to file an answer within the time permitted by the rules; it is hereby Ordered and Decreed that the Defendants, their heirs, successors, and assigns, be and are hereby forever barred from asserting any right, lien, title or interest to the premises located in East Mead Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, which is bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

On the north by lands of public highway (S.R.0077)
On the east by lands of Township Road (2009)
On the south and west by lands of Robert Genis, formerly the land of John J. Genis.
And more fully described by the survey attached hereto as Exhibit A.

inconsistent with the interest or the claims that the Plaintiff set forth in his complaint; from impeaching, denying, or in any way attacking Plaintiffs title to said property; from issuing or maintaining any action attacking same; and from encumbering, mortgaging or conveying the samesame or any part thereof unless the Defendants or any one of them shall, within thirty (30) days of this order, file with the Court written objections to this order. If such action is not taken within the thirty (30) day period, the Prothonotary, on Praecipe of the Plaintiff, shall enter final judgment.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

March 4, 1991 Copy of order put in Atty. Jeffrey Millin's box.

And Now, Apr. 1, 1991 at 2:43 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Final Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant pursuant to above order of court.
Judgment entered - 4/1/91
R - 36, 86

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

18

1991

Pro Se

18.

Stephen E. Hall
Allen & Hall

THELMA ADDLEMAN

vs.

EDITH BORTNER

Filed Jan. 10, 1991
@ 4:00 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50 ✓
state paid 5.00
Pro Co Pd 4/12/91 15.00 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF
WILLIAM CHISHOLM, DISTRICT MAGISTRATE

Jan. 10, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

Jan. 15, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

Jan 17, 1991 Complaint filed. (1 copy-atty)

Feb 12, 1991 Notice of Filing of Default Judgment filed.

Feb 20, 1991 Answer filed.

Apr. 12, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff, for reference to the arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed:
Peter E. Blystone, Ch.
~~Gary A. Fabian~~ William F. Gorman
Jeffrey K. Millin

April 24, 1991 Arbitrators Take the Qualifying Oath.

June 17, 1991 Upon praecipe of Arbitrator Gary A. Fabian, is hereby withdrawn and William F. Gorman is hereby appointed.

July16, 1991, DECISION OF THE ARBITRATORS filed in which they find for the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Three Hundred Five ($305.00) Dollars with interest from Nov. 1, 1990 and costs.
Decision entered 7/16/91
B - 54

ARBITRATORS DECISION
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

July 16, 1991, copy mailed to T. Addleman and copy to S. Hall ESq.

1991

Mazzotta, McCague &
Associates, PC
Timothy J. Snodgrass

19.

GENERAL MOTORS ACCEPTANCE
CORPORATION

vs.

CHARLES T. SHELATZ

Filed Jan. 11, 1991
@ 11:42 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	26.36
Pro Co Pd 2-27-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Jan. 22, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Charles T.Shelatz on Jan. 21, 1991 at 10:30 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Steve Shelatz, his Father, at their residence, RD # 1; Box 174A, Hartstown, Crawford Co., PA 16131
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Feb 27, 1991 @ 10:59 a.m., upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the same is liquidated as follows: Amount claimed in Complaint Five Thousand Seven Hundred Forty-six and 72/100 Dollars; Interest from 6/28/89 to 2/25/91, Five Hundred Seventy-two and 28/100 Dollars; and costs of suit; TOTAL Six Thousand Three Hundred Nineteen and 00/100 Dollars

S-31	Debt.....$6,319.00 + costs
	JUDGMENT
2-27-91 Judgement	James F. Simmons
entered	Prothonotary

March 4, 1991 Notice of Judgment mailed defendant.

Ex. 1991-64

1991

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug By: Glenn A.W. Thompson 20. F. Walter Bloom III	KLASEN OIL COMPANY vs. CAROL J. WILLIAMS JACK W. SHREFFLER d/b/a BILL'S SUNOCO Filed Jan. 11, 1991 @ 12:02 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.25 ✓ state paid 5.00	APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-3- 04 Jan. 11, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued. Jan. 16, 1991 Proof of Service filed. Jan. 30, 1991 Complaint filed. (1 copy atty.) Feb. 4, 1991, Motion for Consolidation pursuant to Pa. Rule of Civil Procedure 213 and Order filed. (see Order at No. AD 1991 - 14) (See further pleadings in this case at AD 1991 - 14) Mar. 4, 1991 Answer Of Carol J. Williams, Defendant filed. (See paper filed at A.D. 1991-14)

1991

William J. Gregg

21.

LARRY SCOTT HAAS

vs.

SHELLY GAY HAAS

Filed Jan. 11, 1991
@ 12:55 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 4/29/91	9.00
State pd. 1/11/91	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 25, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. William J. Gregg, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Notice were sent by registered mail to the Defendant, Shelly Gay Haas, on Jan. 15, 1991.

3. The registered mail was sent to Shelly Gay Haas at R D #2, Box 2561, Union City, PA 16438. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on Jan. 18, 1991. Said Return Receipt card P 269 402 153 is attached hereto, signed by Shelly Gay Haas and made a part hereof.

William J. Gregg. Esq.

Apr. 29, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Apr. 29, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Apr. 29, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review, this case moved to court.

May 1, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, May 1, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Larry Scott Haas, plaintiff, and Shelly Gay Haas, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

22

1991

Jack, Kookogey & Felton
Robert J. Felton

22.

ROBERT W. WALLACE AND BETTY J. WALLACE, HIS WIFE

vs.

MARK A THOMPSON

Filed Jan. 15, 1991
@ 11:08 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	42.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 19, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Michael L. Almes who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that onthe 4th day of February, 1991, at 11:30 a.m., he served Complaint Civil Action, filed at No. 22 Term, 1991, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Mark A. Thompson at Atrium, Philadelphia Street, Indiana Borough, Indiana County, PA By making known the contents thereof to him personally and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford County, PA
So answers, Michael L. Almes, Deputy Sheriff
John R. Gondal, Sheriff

SERVED Mark A. Thompson by deputizing Sheriff of Indiana County, PA as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Feb. 4, 1991
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

23.

Brian Lindsay

DOROTHY S. BURKHARDT

vs.

WILLIAM M. BURKHARDT

Filed Jan. 14, 1991
@ 1:27 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's costs	25.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 14 day of December, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions:
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for Janaury 25, 1991, at 3:30 P.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Jan 15, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED William M. Burkhardt personally, on January 15, 1991 at 9:46 A.M. at his place of employment, St. Paul's Church, Park Ave., Meadville, Crawford Co., Pa. 16335.

So answers, Robert J. Donaldson, Deputy Sheriff
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 28, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Jan. 25, 1991 at the time fixed for hearing in this matter both parties appeared without counsel. The defendant indicated that he wanted to be represented by Atty. Brian Lindsay, that he contacted Mr. Lindsay some time ago, that Mr. Lindsay is at another hearing and unavailable at this time.

Accordingly, the hearing in this matter is continued and shall be held on Thurs. Jan. 31, 1991 at 3:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

In the meantime; it is ORDERED as follows:

1. Neither party shall assault, abuse, harass or threaten the other.
2. the plaintiff is temporarily awarded sole possession of the parties residence at 1079 Market St. Meadville, Pa. and the dft. shall not go there until further order of court.
3. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe that it has violated.
4. A copy of this order shall be delivered tot he Meadville City Police Dept. and to County Control.
5. The plaintiff shall not damage or destroy any of the belongings of the defendant. Those belongings shall remain exactly where they are now until further order of court.
6. The defendant shall be permitted to go to the garage that is near the house at 1079 Market St., Meadville, Pa. provided (A) that is a necessary part of his job; and (B) he has no contact with the plaintiff when he does that. The defendaNT SHALL NOT park his car in front of the garage or in an area that could be reasonably thought of as being a driveway leading to that garage.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan. 30, 1991, copies to Brian Lindsay, plff., dft. and county control.

1991

24.

Legal Services
Gerald Sullivan

VICKI ARCHER

vs.

THERESA GILCHRIST

Filed Jan. 14, 1991
@ 1:36 p.m.

Indigent filing

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF
HUBERT E. VOGAN, DISTRICT MAGISTRATE

Jan. 14, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Jan 14, 1991, Praecipe to Proceed in Forma Pauperis and Affidavit to Proceed in Forma Pauperis filed.

Jan. 16, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

1991

James R. Irwin
Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack

25.

Robert Felton

3/26/91 Rec'd # 39 28 From Defendant.

Rec'd 4-1-91
3928
Vivian Stoltz

4/10/91 Rec'd # 72.97
From Plaintiff
Rec'd May 1, 1991
72.97
Vivian Stoltz

MELVIN BERGSTROM JR.

vs.

DEBRA S. MUIR (Kemp)

Filed Jan. 14, 1991
@ 3:37 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Bruce Smith, mediator
Proc'd Rd 4/10/91 9 00

PETITION FOR CUSTODY (UCCJA)

1 copy (mediator)
1 copy (atty)

Jan. 14, 1991, ORDER filed. 1 copy (mediator 1 copy (atty) AND NOW, this 9th day of Jan. 1991, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a mediation conference before the Mediator, BRUCE SMITH, at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse with the child custody mediator as required by Rule 31(e) of the Court of Common pleas of Crawford County, Pa.

It is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination. by the court the aforesaid minor child shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the court by either party hereunder, udner possible punishment for Contempt of Court..

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Mar. 8, 1991 Temporary Order of Court filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of March, 1991, after Mediation and upon recommendation of the child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Shalyn Kathleen Muir, not yet one year old, born May 7, 1990, the parties to this action, shall share lega custody of the said minor child. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitle both of the parents to equal access to any record relating to the child held by a third party, including, but not limited to, school and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to the educational and religious upbringing of the child, as well as to elective surgery.

2. Physical custody of the child shall also be shared, but primary physical custody ofthe child shal be with the natural mother, Debra S. Muir. Regular vistiation with the and temporary custody of the child shall be granted to the defendant father, Melvin Bergstorm, Jr. There will be a gradual beginning of temporary custody of the minor child with the plaintiff father until a normal parent-child relationship can be established; thereafter, more expanded temporary custody shall take p lace. Physical custoyd for the plaintiff father shall be as follows:

a) During the month of March, 1991, the child shall be available to visit in thehome of the father every Saturday from 10:00 a.m., prevailing time, through 7:00 p.m., prevailing time. During the months of April through November, 1991, the child shall be available to spend time in the father's home from 10:30 a.m., prevailing time, Friday, through 7:00 p.m., prevailing time, Saturday, every weekend. Additionally, during the months of Mary and June, 1991, after the anticipated birth of a child to the plaintiff father's wife, Rebecca, the child, the subject matter of this action, shall be available to spend one full week, that being the last full week of May and the last full week of June, 1991, in the father's home.

b) Beginning Sunday, december 1, 1991, the child shall be in the father's home for one full week from 9:30 a.m., prevailing time, Sunday, through 9:30 a.m., prevailing time, the following Sunday. Thereafter, the child shall spend the next week in the mother's home. The weeks thereafter will be alternated between the parents until furthe rorder of court.

c) Holiday visitation shall take priority over other scheduled visitation. The parties are encouraged to agree as to holiday visitation; however, in the event that they cannot, during odd-numbered years, beginning in 1991, the mother shall have the child on Easter and the 4th of July of each year, and the father shall have the child on Memorial Day and Labor Day of each year. These days shall begin at 9:00 a.m., prevailing time, an dshall end at 7:00 p.m., prevailing time. These days shall be reversed in even-numbered years. The child shall be with the mother on her birthday in ood-numbered years and with the fathe rin even-numbered years. The child shall spend Thanksgiving each year in the mother's home and the day following Thanksgiving each year in the father's home beginning in 1991. These days shall begin at 9:00 a.m., prevailing time, and end at 7:00 p.m., prevailing time. With regard to Christmas each year, the child shall be available to spend Christmas Day each year in the mother's home from 8:00 a.m., prevailing time, through 6:30 p.m. prevailing time. The child shall spend Christmas Eve Day, december 24, in the father's home from 8:00 a.m., prevailing time, through 8:00 a.m., prevailing time, Christmas Day.

d) The parent receiving custody shall pick up the child at the other parent's residence. Any time a parent is one hour or more late for a scheduled transfer of cusotdy, the transfer and resulting partial custody shall be waived until the next day at the same time. This shall be so unless satisfactory arrangements have been made between the parents prior to the scheduled pick-up time.

3. The parent having custody of the child at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of injury to or illness of the child requiring medical treatment.

4. Neither parent shall takethe child into any environment which might be commonly throught of as detrimental to her development or to the development of her character or which would be commonly throught of as dangerous to her physical person.

5. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the child; and, if the parties mutually agree to alternative arrangements to accommodate themselves and/or the child's schedules, they may adjust the physical custody arrangements accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

6. Each of the parents shall teach the child to develop and express that natural love and respect due a parenent from his or her child, and neither parent will do or say anything to blacken the character of th eother parent in the eyes of the child. This admonition shall extend to the stepparents and grandparents of the child.

7. Neither of the parents shall drink alcohol or ingest any nonprescription drug while the child in his or her custody and control. In the event that the parent having custody of the child wishesto ingest alcohol or other chemicals, he or she shall first make certain that the child is in the temporary physical custody of a qualified adult baby-sitter prior to ingesting such substance.

8. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request further Mediation if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the child or in the event that the best interest of the child would be served by further Mediation.

9. The court of Common Pleas of crawford County, Pennsylvania shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either parent or the child resides in the Court's jurisdiction and for at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

10. The fee for Child Custody Mediation shall be paid 65% by the plaintiff father and 35% by the defendant mother.

11. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permenent Order unless exceptions are file dby either par ty within thirty day sfrom the date of this Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, PJ
Mar. 14, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Plaintiff, Defendant, Robert Felton and Bruce Smith and copy of order put in James Irwin's box.

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 597.....

1991

Blystone & Blystone
Peter E. Blystone

26,

IN RE:

TITLE TO 1981 GMC 3/4 TON PICKUP TRUCK

Filed Jan. 14, 1991

Tax & entry Pd 1/16/91 $10.50
state Pd 1/24/91 5.00

PETITION TO ADJUDICATE TITLE

1 copy (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 11th day of Jan. 1991 upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petition and after due consideration thereof, it is ordered and decreed that allownership rights of the Borough of Cambridge Springs of, in and to the 1981 GMC 3/4 ton, four wheel drive, pickup truck bearing vehicle indentification No. 2GTGK24M0B1549528 be and are hereby extinguished and that Wallace Hyde, Jr. is the legal owner of said vehicle and the Pa. Dept. of Trans., Bur. of Motor Vehicles, is ordered and directed to issue a cert. of title to Wallace Hyde, Jr. whose address is Box H, Beaver St, Springboro, Pa. 16435, upon payment of all sales tax and titling fees owing the Com. of Pa. inconnection with the transfer of the vehicle from the Borough of Cambridge Springs to Wallace Hyde, Jr. The Borough of Cambridge Springs and Wallace Hyde, Jr. shall complete all necessary title application and sales and use tax forms required by teh Pa. Dept. of Trans, Bur. of Motor Vehicles in connection with such application process.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon r. Miller, Judge

1991

David R. Devine

Elizabeth A. Malc
Devine & Kuftic

27.

JEAN A. JACKSON

vs.

KELVIN JACKSON

Filed Jan. 15, 1991
@ 10:40 a.m.

~~Indigent filing~~

Tax + Entry fee 1/16/91 ... 50.50
State fee 1/16/91 ... 5.00
Pro. Th. 1/16/91 ... 5.00

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE

5 copies (atty)

ORDER OF COURT:

AND NOW, to-wit, this 14th day of Jan. 1991, upon consideration of the Petition for Protection from Abuse in the above identified matter, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

A final hearing for the Petitioner and REspondent will be held on the 25th day of Jan, 1991 at 3:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

Pending the final hearing, it is ordered that:

1. Temporary custody is granted to your Petitioner of the parties' one minor child, Sean, and both children of Petitioner's prior marriage.

2. Respondent, Kelvin Jackson, husband of the petitioner, is directed to refrain from threatening to abuse or physically abusing the petitioner and the parties' child, Sean, and the Petitioner's two children by a prior marriage, Erik and Carey, by any act or actions, both physically and verbally, including but not limited to those recited in this petition.

3. Possession of the primises at R. R. #2, Springboro, Pa. 16435, is grante dto the Petitioner for the exclusive occupancy by her and her children. Respondent, Kelvin Jackson, is completely excluded from the residence and sahll thereafter have no license to enter or be present on the above stated premises.

4. The Sheriff's Dept. of Crawford County is hereby ordered to serve a cert. copy of this order on the respondent, Kelvin Jackson, at R.R. #2, Springboto, Pa. 16435, where the said respondent now resides, if he is present there and escort him from the premises.

5. The Sheriff's dept. or any other police Dept. in the area of the residence of either of the parties, including the State police Dept., shall have the right to enforce this order. If the respondent violates this order he is to be arrested on the charge of indirect criminal contempt. An arrest for violation of this order may be made without warrent based solely on probable cause, whether or not the violation is admitted in the presence of the Sheriff or the polcie. If the respondent is placed under arrest for violation of this order, he must be taken before the court or, in the alternative, if the court is unavailable, the respondent shall be arraigned before the Dist. Magistrate, who shall be empowered to fix security.

6. An affidavit having been filed pursuant to Sect. 35 P.S. § 10184, this petition shall be filed and service shall be made without paymen tof costs.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

(information sheet and affidavit of financial ability attached)

Jan. 22, 1991, Appearance of Elizabeth A. Malc, and David R. Devine of Devine and Kuftic, attorneys for plaintiff filed.

Jan. 25, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is hereby marked discontinued of record. Copy To County Control

1991

28.

SHARON REPASKY

vs.

JOSEPH REPASKY

Filed Jan. 15, 1991
@ 11:00 a.m.

Tax & entry	Pd 3/11/91	$50.50
state	Pd 3/11/91	5.00
Sheriff's Costs		24.80
Pro Co	Pd 3/11/91	9.00

3/11/91 Rec'd $24.80 From Plaintiff

3/11/91 Paid $24.80 To Howard Stewart Sheriff Cert 6070

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy Plaintiff
3 copies Sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 15 day of Jan., 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: defendant may not go to the residence of Pl for any purpose.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for Jan. 23, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Jan. 16, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Joseph Repasky personally on January 15, 1991 at 1:30 PM at his former residence, R.D. #2, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Robert J. Donaldson, Deputy Sheriff
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 25, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, January 23, 1991, this abuse matter is before the Court for a hearing. It appears that since the Order was originally signed and filed, the defendant has sought help for his drinking problem and has jointed AA. The wife has no desire to have a permanent Order entered as the parties are attempting a reconciliation when the wife ascertains that the husband's intentions to quit drinking are genuine.

ACCORDINGLY, at the request of the Peittioner, this action is DISMISSED, an dthe defendant is cautioned if he goes to the wife's residence without her consent, she can have him arrested for hara harassmenttor disorderly conduct, and if he assaults her as she cla imed he did in the filing of the original Petition, he can be arrest for assault and a new Abuse Petition can be filed.

The defendant agrees to pay costs in this case within fifteen (15) days of the time he is billed for the same at his residence at R.D. #3, Cambridge Springs, PA.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Jan. 29, 1991 Copies of order mailed to pl aintiff and defendant and copy put in County Control's box.

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck,
& Leemhuis Inc.

Lawrence C. Bolla

29.

SAINT VINCENT HEALTH CENTER

vs.

EDWIN MOORE a/k/a
H. EDWIN MOORE

Filed Jan. 15, 1991
@ 1:17 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00
plff on orig	67.50
Sheriffs costs	22.00
Pro-Co. Pd 2/14/91	9.00
Exemp. Pd. 2/14/91	10.00
Pro Co Pd 2/25/91	5.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

AD 1987 - 248

Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of plaintiff and against he defendant in the amount of Five Thousand Two and 40/100 with interest from March 20, 1987 and costs of action.

Debt ------$5,002.40
Int. from Mar. 20, 1987
M - 54, 84
REVIVAL OF JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

Jan. 22, 1991, Writ returned. NOT FOUND as to Edwin Moore a/k/a H. Edwin Moore. Moved, no forwarding address at Post Office.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 14, 1991 at 1:54 p.m., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with copy of Notice of Intention to file default judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered against the Defendants per Writ of Revival in the amount of Five Thousand two and 40/100 Dollars, plus interest from March 20, 1987, and the costs of this action.

M-54,84
Judgment entered 2/14/91

Debt $5,002.40
Interest from 3/20/87
Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro.

Feb. 25, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, received satisfaction in full of this judgment debt, int. and costs and hereby discharge same of record; marked satisfied .

30

1991

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

30.

Pro Se

SALENA WALTER a/k/a
SALLY WALTER

vs.

STEPHEN B. WALTER

Filed Jan. 15, 1991
@ 1:44 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
1 Count paid 4/3/91	15.00
user's Fee pd 4/3/91	5.00
Pro Co Pd 10/29/01	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Jan 21, 1991 Acceptance of Service and Entry of Appearance Pro Se filed: AND NOW, comes the Defendant, Stephen B. Walter, who acknowledges his receipt of a true and correct copy of the Divorce Complaint and hereby enters his appearance pro se.
Date 1-21-91 Stephen B. Walter, Defendant

Mar. 28, 1991 Petition For Additional Remedies filed. (Equitable Distribution)

Sept. 25, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Oct. 7, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Oct. 23, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review under 201 (c) of the Divorce Code; this case moved to court.

Nov. 1, 1991 **STIPULATION AND FINAL DECREE IN DIVORCE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 1st day of Nov., 1991, after review and due consideration of the pleadings and Affidavits filed in this action, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the marriage heretofore existing between Salena Walter, also known as Sally Walter, and Stephen B. Walter is hereby dissolved and all legal duties, rights, and claims of either of the parties to the other in pursuant of said marriage are hereby terminated except as provided below.

CUSTODY

The parties shall hereafter share joint and physical custody over Janessa Walter and Daniel Walter, the parties' minor children. Joint legal custody shall include the r ight of each party to have full access to information concerning the children and to have an equal voice in the major decisions affecting the children's welfare. School officials and medical personnel shall provide each of the parties with any and all information concerning the minor children in their possession and control upon the request of either of the parties hereto.

Physical custody over the minor children shall be shared between the parties. The parties now anticipate that Daniel Walter will reside primarily with the defendant, Stephen B. Walter, and Janessa Walter will reside primarily with Plaintiff, Salena Walter. However, in the absence of mutual agreement between the parties respecting the actual custodial arrangements, each of the parties shall be entitled to a minimum and guaranteed custodial period over both of the minor c hildren encompassing three full and consecutive days one week and four full and consecutive days the week following.

This schedule for minimum and guaranteed custody shall be effected upon five days advance written notice of that party's intention to invoke this provisions. The party giving the other written notice of his or her intention to invoke this provilsion will thereafter be entitled to commence a period of minimum and guaranteed custody commencing at 9:00 a.m. on the first Sunday following the close of the give day advance notice.

The initial week under this minimum and guaranteed custodial period shall provide for custody over both of the minor children for three full and consecutive days. The week following shall provide for four full and consecutive days. Thereafter, the par ty invoking this provision shall be entitled to this minimum and guaranteed custodial schedule until such time as he or she provides the other pa rty with written ntoice that he or she no longer desires to follow this minimum guaranteed custodial provision, and will, instead, abide by some other arrangement which has been mutually agreed upon by the parties hereto.

Holiday visitation shall include the right of each of the parties to alternating Christmas vistiation periods. The Christmas visitation period shall be defined as visitation commencing at noon on Christmas Eve Day and ending at noon on Christmas Day. During all odd-numbered years, the Defendant, Stephen B. Walter, shall be entitled to custody of both children during the Christmas vistiation period. During even-numbered years, the Plaintiff, Salena Walter, a/k/a Sally Walter, shall be entitled to custody of both of the minro children during the Christmas visitation period.

The party not entitled to the Christmas vistiation period in any year shall thereby be entitled to custody of both of the minor children from noon Christmas Day until noon on December 26.

The party not entitled to the Christmas vistiation period in any year shall thereby be entitled to a Thanksgiving Day visitation period with the minor children commencing at 10:00 a.m. on Thanksgiving Day and ending at 8:00 a.m. on the Friday following Thanksgiving Day.

The parties shall alternate a New Years HOliday visitaiton period. The New Years Holiday visitation period shall commence at noon on New Years Eve Day and shall end at noon on New Years Day. The par ty not entitled to the New Years HOliday vistiation period shall thereby be entitled to take obth of the minor c hildren into his or her custody from noon on New Years Day until noon on January 2nd. That party who was not entitled to the Christmas visitation period immediately prior to the New Years HOliday visitation period shall be entitled to the New Years Holiday visitation period.

Each of the parties hereto shall be entitled to three weeks of vacation visitation periods with both of the minor children in their care during such vacation visitation periods, each pa rty shall enjoy the right to the continuous and uninterrupted custody of both minor children; any otherwise provided for visitation period being suspended during any party's right to a vacation visitation period. While the vacation visitation period shall constitute three weeks annually, said three weeks need not be consecutive. In any case, however, where a pa rty desires to exercise his or her right to a vacation visitation period, that par ty shall give theother party thrity days advance notice of an intention to exercise that vacation visitation period.

EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

The Defendant, Stephen B. Walter, has paid to the Plaintiff, Salena Walter, a/k/a Sally Walter, the sum of Thirty-Nine Thousand Five Hundred Dollars ($39,500.00) which the Plaintiff hereby acknowledges to have received in full.

Each of the parties have heretofore divided to their mutual satisfaction any and all other individually-owned and marital personalty. Hereafter, each of the parties shall retain as his or her own se parate property any personalty in his or her possesion.

The Plaintiff, Salena Walter, a/k/a Sally Walter, shall, no later than thirty (30) days from the entry of this Final Decree in Divorce, quit-claim any right, title or interest that she might have in the parties' former marital residence in Sparta Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania to the Defendant, Stephen B. Walter.

Each of the parties shall be entitled to claim one of the children as his or her tax de pendent for Federal and State Income Tax purposes unless and until such time as a Court of appropriate jurisdiction enteres an Order declaring one of the parties the primary physical custodian of both of the minor children.

Each of the parties shall pay any and all obligations arising out of the purchase of, or encumbering proper ty distributed to them in accordance with this Final Decree in Divorce. Each party shall indemnify and hold harmless the other party form any and all claims arising out of debts or obligations encumbering proper kty distributed to them in accordance with this Final Decree in Divorce.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

31.

Kathleen Nelson

DENISE CALVIN

vs.

THOMAS E. CALVIN

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Filed Jan. 15, 1991
@ 2:19 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 12/3/91	9.00
Pro Co pd 12/3/91	15.00
State pd 12/3/91	5.00

FEb. 7, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, feb. 7, 1991, comes Lisa M. Sherretts being duly sworn according to law, and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legal sec. of Atty. for Denise Calvin, Plff in above matter.

2. That on information furnished her by the plaintiff, she caused a cert. copy of complaint in div. to be forwarded by Cert. Mail P 398 123 126 postmarked Feb. 5, 1991 to the last known address of dft. c/o Virgil Calvin R. D. #1 Hartstown, Pa. 16131.

3. That the dft. thomas E. Calvin on Feb. 6, 1991 personally signed the ret. rec. card which was affixed tothe Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto.

4. Service of the Complaint against defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pa. Rules of Civil Procedure.

Lisa M. Sherretts.

Apr. 29, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Oct. 29, 1991, Inventory of Plaintiff filed.

********** Oct 30, 1991 see below

Nov. 6, 1991 Petition For Court Costs and Counsel Fees and Order filed. And Now, November 6, 1991, hearing on Plaintiff's request for counsel fees, costs, and expenses is scheduled for November 22, 1991 at 11:00 a.m.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Nov. 13, 1991 Copies of order put in Mary Ann Kirkpatrick's box.

Oct 30, 1991 Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce filed: AND NOW, 11-8-91 Bettie Muth is appointed master in respect to the following claims: Divorce (Indignities) & Equitable distribution.
Deposit Required: By Plaintiff $300; By Defendant $300.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Dec. 13, 1991
DECREE FILED.

And Now, Dec. 12, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Denise Calvin, Plaintiff, and Thomas E. Calvin, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas

Nov 15, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Muth, Kirkpatrick and Nelson filed.

Nov 1, 1991 Re: Appointment of a Master and Order filed: Plaintiff acting through counsel has moved the court for appointment of a master to recommend appropriate grounds for divorce and to determine equitable distribution of marital property. We decline to act on this motion at this time for the following reasons:

First, it is obvious that a consent divorce on the grounds of irretrievable breakdown of the marriage is not possible in this case as the defendant has not filed the appropriate consenting affidavit. Thus, the master will be faced with the complex problems of determining whether the defendant has committed such indignities or cruel and barbarous treatment that entitled the wife to a divorce.

Secondly, and most important, if a master is requested to make a recommendation regarding equitable distribution of marital property, it is absolutely essential that the parties comply with Divorce Rule 1920.33 requiring a filing of an inventory listing individually owned property and marital and marital property. This divorce complaint was filed January 15, 1991, and was served upon the defendant by certified mail February 16, 1991. The file totally lacks any semblance of an inventorty mandated by the rules as noted aforesaid.

We do not believe that masters in divorce cases should be appointed until at least one and preferably both of the parties have filed or consented to a listing of individual non-marital assets and marital assets.

ACCORDINGLY, at this time, we decline to appoint a master in this case.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, Senior Judge

Nov 15, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Kirkpatrick, Nelson and Muth's boxes.

Nov 13, 1991 Petition for Court Costs and Counsel Fees and Order filed: AND NOW, November 13, 1991, hearing on Plaintiff's request for counsel fees, costs and expenses is scheduled for November 22, 1991 at 11:00 a.m.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Nov 15, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Muth, Kirkpatrick and Nelson's boxes.

Nov. 18, 1991 Plaintiff's Income and Expense Statement filed.

Nov. 22, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Nov. 22, 1991 Memorandum of Agreement as to Divorce and Equitable Distribution filed.

Nov. 22, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Nov. 27, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, November 22, 1991, at the time set for hearing on this matter, both parties and their respective counsel appear before the Court and advise the Court the entire divorce action has been amicably resolved, and accordingly the Plaintiff wishes to withdraw the pending petition for costs and counsel fees. The Court grants said request and the same is withdrawn, and Plaintiff waives any further right to court costs and counsel fees.

The parties mutually agree that the services of a master will not be needed, and, accordingly, the appointment of Bettie Muth November 8, 1991, as master is her eby resigned, and neither party shall be required to pay the deposit required in said order.

An Affidavit of consent has this date been filed by the Defendant, husband, and the prothonotary is directed to file the same.

A memorandum of agreement resolving the equitable distribution issue signed by both parties andtheir counsel is presented to the Court his date, and the prothonotary is directed to file the same; they mutually agree all equitable distribution matters have been amicably resolved, and no issue thereon remains for Court decision.

Likewise, a praecipe and a proposed final decree is presented to the Court this date, and the Court directs the prothonontary to file the praecipe and forward this divorce to the Court for appropriate processing.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. R. Thomas, S.J.

Dec. 3, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Kirkpatrick, Nelson and Muth

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

32.

Fuller, Kinnenen
Petruso, Gamble and
Fabian
Ann Hansen Gamble

JAMES RONALD MILLER

vs.

CONNIE M. MILLER

Filed Jan. 15, 1991
@ 2:20 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	10.00
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 10/24/91	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 7, 1991, Appearance of Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, and Gamble and Fabian, by Ann Hansen Gamble, filed.

Feb. 12, 1991, Affidavit of Servcie filed. And Now, Feb. 11, 1991 comes lisa M. sherretts being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legal sec. of Atty. for James Miller, Plaintiff in the above matter.

2. That on information furnished her by the plaintiff she caused a copy of compalint in divorce to be forwarded by cert. mail P 398 123 124; postmarked Feb. 5, 1991 to the last known address of the dft. R. D. #3, Union City, Pa. 16438.

3. That the defendant on Feb. 8, 1991 personally signed the ret. rec. card which was affixed to the complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto.

4. Service of the complaint Agaisnt Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pa. Rules of Civil Procedure.

LIsa M. Sherretts.

Apr 19, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 26, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Oct. 17, 1991, Memorandum of Agreement as to Divorce and Equitable Distribution filed.

Oct. 22, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review Under sect. 3301(c) ofthe Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Oct. 24, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, October 24, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that James Ronald Miller, plaintiff, and Connie M. Miller, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Jorden & White
Harry Faber White II

33

KATHY S. PETRUSO

vs.

JOHN E. PETRUSO

Filed Jan. 15, 1991
@ 3:35 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's fee pd.	10.00
State paid	10.00
Add'l Count	15.00
Pro Co Pd 5-1-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE - EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION
1 copy (atty)

Aug. 16, 1991 PETITION FOR ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES AND EXPENSES and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 16th day of Aug, 1991, a hearing on the within Petition for Alimony Pendente Lite, attorneys fees and costs is set for the 30th day of Aug, 1991 at 3:30 o'clock in courtroom 1 or 2.

Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 30, 1991 Motion To Continue Hearing and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of August, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion to Continue, the hearing on the Plaintiff's Petition for Alimony Pendente Lite and Counsel Fees previously set for Friday, Aug. 30, 1991, is hereby continued.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept. 4, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Harry White.

Jan. 28, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE filed. HARRY FABER WHITE, II, ESQ., attorney for plaintiff in the above captioned matter, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served the Notice to Pleas and Complaint under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code upon the defendant, John E. Petruso, by certified mail, return receipt requested, on Jan. 17, 1991, at the defendant's address, R.D.D 5, Box 930A, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa., 16335. The Defendant's acceptance of the same is attached hereto.

Feb. 25, 1992 Affidavit of Consent Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code of Plaintiff filed

Mar. 30, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

Apr. 3, 1992 MOTION TO WITHDRAW CLAIMS and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 3rd day of April, 1992, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the Plaintiff's claims for equitable distribution of marital property, alimony pendente lite, counsel fees and costs are hereby withdrawn.

Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Apr. 3, 1992 copy in box for H. White

May 1, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

May 13, 1992 AND NOW, May 11, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that Kathy A. Petruso, plaintiff, and John E. Petruso, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gorodn R. Miller, P.J.

34

1991

Jorden & White
Harry Faber White II

34

PATRICIA A. NICHOLS

VS.

JAMES EDWARD NICHOLS

FILED JAN. 15, 1991
@ 3:37 P.M.

TAX & ENTRY PAID	50.50
USER'S FEE PAID	5.00
STATE PAID	10.00
Pro Co pd 5/29/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(D) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

May 29, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. HARRY FABER WHITE, II, ESQUIRE, attorney for Plaintiff in the above captioned matter, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served the Notice to Plead and Complaint and NOtice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code upon the defendant, James Edward Nichols, by certified mail, return receipt requested, on January 16, 1991, at the defendant's address, 244 Arch Street, Apt. 8, Meadville, PA 16335. The defendant's acceptance of the same is attached hereto.

Harry Faber White, II

May 29, 1991 Defendant's Counteraffidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

May 29, 1991 UPon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

June 3, 1991 D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .
AND NOW, June 3, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Patricia A. Nichols, plaintiff, and James Edward Nichols, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R.Miller, J

1991

Pro Se
John V. Adams, Jr.
Edward G. Shoemaker
Paul Bogdon, Esq.
for Shoemaker

35

James G. McLean
Paul A. Manion
for Defendants

Douglas G. Linn, II
Add'l Deft

JOHN V. ADAMS, JR. &
EDWARD G. SHOEMAKER

VS.

HAROLD GONDELMAN, FRED E. BAXTER, JR., TERRENCE F. McVERRY, WILLIAM S. SMITH, LAWRENCE W. YATCH & THOMAS W. TRIMM, individuals, t/d/b/a GONDELMAN, BAXTER, McVerry, Smith, Yatch & Trimm
Defendants
NORTH & SOUTH SHENANGO JOINT MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY
Add'l Deft

Filed Jan 16, 1991 @ 8:44 A.m.
50.50
5.00

ALLEGHENY COUNTY DOCKET No. GD 87-2930
THIS CASE REMANDED TO CRAWFORD COUNTY BY
ALLEGHENY COURT ORDER DATED JANUARY 9, 1991

Order: AND NOW, to-wit, this 9th day of January, 1991, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the motion of additional defendant for reconsideration of its request for change of venue is granted and the request for change of venue is also granted and the Prothonotary of this County is hereby directed to certify to the Prothonotary of the County of Crawford, Pennsylvania, the record in the above captioned case.

BY THE COURT,
Ralph H. Smith Jr , J. Ralph H. Smith, J., J.

(See Allegheny County Docket Entries filed with Record Received from Allegheny Co. in box marked GD 87-2930)

Jan 16, 1991 Certificate of Contents of Remanded Record and Notice of Remand Under Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure 2571 and 2572 filed.

(ALL PAPERS PERTAINING TO THIS CASE RETURNED TO ALLEGHENY COUNTY PROTHONOTARY - ATT: MRS. SCHONBERGER - on 2/25/91)

Jan. 27, 1994 (See Allegheny County Docket Entries filed with Record Received from Allegheny Co. in box marked GD 87-2930)

Mar. 24, 1994 Motionfor Consolidation of Actions filed.

Mar. 24, 1994 Re: Consolidations of Actions and ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 24, 1994, for the foregoing reasons, motion for consolidation of these two cases requested by North and South Joint Municipal Authority is denied without prejuduce to request reconsideration ofthe ruling in the future.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

3-28-94 Copies of Order to all Counsel of record.

36

1991

MASON & MASONS AND YOUNGS
Joan[illegible] Mason[illegible]

36

GLORIA ALOUJONES JONES

VS.

DANIEL R. JONES, JR.

Filed Jan 16, 1991 @ 11:15 AM.

Tax & Entry paid	80.50 ✓
State Pd	10.00
User fees	15.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION
CUSTODY
1 copy (atty)

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 1-16-1991 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: ~~February~~ March 13, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
Feb. 17, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

MASON & MASON
Joan D. Mason

Gregory A. Keenan

37

James R. Irwin

10-29-93 Rec from Plf Med. Deposit 150.00
12-1-93 Ed Plf Balmed Fee Ck# 7931 141.00

DEBORAH L. CALLADINE

VS.

CECIL C. CALLADINE

Filed Jan 16, 1991 @ 11:19 A.M.

Tax & Entry Paid	95.50 ✓
User fee paid	20.00
State paid	10.00
John Fuller, Mediator	180.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 12-29-93 1884	9.00 ✓
Pro.Co. pd 2/25/94	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION ATTORNEY FEES, COURT COSTS AND EXPENSES

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 1, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq., Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on Jan. 17, 1991, mail to the above Defendant, Cecil C. Calladine, by certified mail #P 966 073 990, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received by Cecil C. Calladine on Jan. 18, 1991, as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Atty.

Oct. 29, 1993 Emergency Petition To Prevent The Removal Of Children From The State Pending Mediation Conference, filed.

Oct. 29, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 28 day of October, 1993, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petitioner, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the subject minor children in the abovecapationed case are not to be removed from the Crawford County by either party pending further order of court.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Millelr, P.J.

Nov. 3, 1993 copy to J. Mason & J. Irwin

Oct 29, 1993 Motion To Appoint Custody Mediator and Order, filed. You, Cecil C. Calladine, Defendant, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of Lynn Ann Calladine and Timothy Robert Calladine.

You are Ordered to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator, John Fuller, for a Mediation Conference.

Date: 10/29/93 Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 3, 1993 copy to J. Mason, J. Irwin, J. Fuller & G. Keenan

Dec. 1, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, November 22, 1993 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Lynn Ann Calladine and Timothy Robert Calladine shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject children.

II. Physical custody of Lynn Ann Calladine shall be with her father, subject to the following conditions:

A. The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the child into her custody for approximately five (5) weeks every summer, which, unless otherwise agreed on by the parties, shall be from one week after school is out in the Spring until July 1t.

III. Physical custody of Timothy Robert Calladine shall be with his mother, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody for approximately five (5) weeks every summer, which, unless otherwise agreed on by the parties, shall be from July 15 until one week before school starts in the Fall.

IV. A. The Christmas holidays from approximately December 24 to January 2, each year, shall be alternated, with the father having custody of both children for that holiday period in 1993 and odd years thereafater and the mother having custody of both children for that holiday period in 1994 and even years thereafter.

B. Transportation of the children on holidays and Summer visits shall be equally divided between the parties with the parent receiving custody providing transportation, or, in the alternative with the parents meeting at a half way point.

C. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

D. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

E. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

F. Anytime mother is in Crawford County she shall be entitled to have Lynn Ann in her custody for a period not to exceed 48 hours and father shall have the same rights with respect to Timothy should he be in, or near, Elgin, Illinois.

V. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within ten (10) days of the date hereof.

VI. Cost of the mediation conference will be divided as follows: The father shall pay one-half (½) thereof and Crawford County shall pay one-half (½). The Prothonotary of Crawford County is hereby directed to refund of the deposit paid in advance by the Plaintiff, less the cost of the custody order.

Anthony J. Vardaro

Dec. 6, 1993 copy to G. Keenan, J. Irwin, J. Fuller, Plf. & Dft.

Continued on page 567

Dec. 2, 1993 Request for Hearing De Novo and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of November, 1993 upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Request for Hearing De Novo, a hearing is scheduled with the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, on the 29th day of December, 1993 at 9:15 o'clock A.M. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

FOR THE COURT:
Ann A. Walker, Court Adminsitrator

12-7-93 Copy of Order to Attys. Mason, Keenan, Irwin & Fuller.

38

1991

Gerald Sullivan

38

Sylvia Dratler

CAROL L. OVERMIER

VS.

CARL G. OVERMIER

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER
PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy (plaintiff
3 copies (sheriff)

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

Filed Jan 16, 1991 @ 2:01 P.M.

Tax & Entry Pd 1-25-93 50.50 ✓
User fee Pd 1-25-93 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 27.40
Pro for Co. Pd 1-25-93 9.00 ✓

1-25-93
Received Sheriff's
Costs 27.40

Pd Sheriff 1-25-93
Ck # 7303 27.40

ORDER:

And now, this 16 day of Jan, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.

2. Special Conditions: **Def may not go to residence of plaintiff for any purpose. Deft may not call plaintiff for any purpose. Pl shall have exclusive custody of child till further order.**

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.

4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.

5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.

6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.

7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.

8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for Jan 24, 1991, at 4:00 pM., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Jan. 22, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Carl G. Overmier personally on January 21, 1991 at 2:50 PM at his residence, 121 Main Street, Springboro, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 28, 1991 Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, January 24, 1991, at the time this matter is set for hearing the parties and their counsel advise the Court the matter has been amicably resolved as follows:

1. The Defendant will refrain from abusing , threatening or harassing the Plaintiff in any fashion and will not go to her residence for any purpose.

2. The parties mutually agree that the Plaintiff/Mother shall have primary custody of the minor child Jessica. The Defendant may have visitations with said child every weekend commencing JaNUARY26, 1991 between the hours of 1:00 p.m. Saturday until Sunday at 10:00 a.m. The father agrees that for the overnight visit he will housee the child at the residence of his brother Michael Overmier.

The parties agree that exchange of the child will take place at the residence of Patricia Petergal. The father will pick up and return the child to said residence.

In addition, the father may have telephone contact with his child by calling her at the residence of the mother every Wednesday at approximately 4:00 p.m.

3. The Defendant may not procure others to annoy, assault, harass or abuse his wife in any fashion.

4. This Order shall remain in force and effect for a period of one(1) year.

5. Any police officer having reasonable grounds to believe that the Defendant has violated the terms of the abuse portion of this Order may apprehend the Defendant without warrant and lodge him in the Crawford County Jail and promptly notify the Court so a contempt hearing may be scheduled.

6. The Defendant is DIRECTED to pay costs in this case within twenty (20) days of the time he receives a bill therefor from the Prothonotary at his current residence, 173 Main Street, Springboro, Pennsylvania 16435.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Feb. 1, 1991 copies of order to Gerald Sullivan, Sylvia Dratler, attys, County Control and plaintiff and defendant

Aug. 16, 1991 MOTION FOR AMENDED ORDER TO APPROVE NOTICE REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTINUED AUG 2, 1991 SHERIFF'S SALE AND AMENDED SPECIAL ORDER PURSUANT TO RULE 3129.3, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit, this 16th day of August, 1991, it is hereby.

ORDERED, DECREED AND ADJUDGED that the Order entered on Aug. 5, 1991, in the above-captioned matter is hereby amended to provide that notice of the continuation of the Aug. 2, 1991 Sheriff's sales to Aug. 16, 1991 shall be advertised in the Meadville Tribune on Aug. 8, 1991 rather than on Aug. 7, 1991. All other provisions contained in the aforesaid Order shall remain in full force and effect.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

in error

1991

PEPICELLI, YOUNGS & YOUNGS
Lisa Pepicelli Youngs

39

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

ROBERT M. MILLER

VS.

CINDY LOU MILLER

Filed Jan 16, 1991 @ 3:07 P.M.

Tax & Entry paid	80.50 ✓
User fee paid	15.00
State Paid	10.00
2 counts Pd. 7/3/91	30.00 ✓
state Pd 7/3/91	10.00
Pro Co Pd 3/18/92	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION
CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 22, 1990, Appearance of James R. Irwin, of Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack, attorneys for defendant filed.

FEb. 11, 1991 Certificate of Service filed. I hereby certify that a cert. copy fo the complaint in Divorce with Notice to Defendant attached thereto which was filed Jan. 16, 1991 was mailed to Cindy Lou Miller on Jan. 17, 1991 by cert. mail, Rest. Del. Ret. Rec. Req. to PO Box 471, 621 Main St. Saegertown, Pa. 16433 and was received by Cindy Lou Miller on Jan. 18, 1991. Said receipt is attached as Ex. "A". Lisa Pepicelli Youngs.

June 24, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 28, 1991, Answer and Counterclaim filed.
(Adding counts of Alimony, Alimony pendente Lite, Counsel Fees, costs and Expenses)

Jan. 10, 1992 Consent Custody Order filed. AND NOW, 1/10/92, by agreement of the parties, it is Ordered and Decreed as follows:

1. Legal custody of the mnor children, Justin Edward Miller, Jay Michael Miller, and Bobbi J. Miller, shall be shared between the MOther and Father, thatis, each shallhave equal access to any records relating to the children and held by a third party, including but not limited to, schoo records, medical records and other important records, and each shall have the right to take part in decisions affecting the children's medical treatment, religious instruction, education and other important matters.

2. Primary physical custody of the minor children shall be with their Mother, Cindy Lou Miller:

3. Legal visitation and temporary custoyd shall be granted to the fAter, as follows:

A. Father shall be entitled to take the chidlren into his custody for a minimum of each Sunday, except the last Sunday of each month, from 12:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. Mother shall make the children readily available to fAther at her residence at 621 Main Street, Saegertown, PA.

B. In addition, father shall also have temporary physical custoyd of the children for a period of up to two weeks each year for purposes of vacation, travel and other activity. Said period may be consecutive or may be divided into several shorter periods. Father shall give thirty (30) days advance notice of his intentions under this Paragraph 3B.

C. Father shall have additional visitation as can be agreed upon form time to time between the parties.

4. In addition to the above-mentioned visitaitons, Father shall be entitled to the following holiday visitation:

A. Christmas - Father shall have physical custody on Christmas Eve, from 5:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. or Christmas Day from 10:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., alternating each year. Beginning with Christmas 1991, Father shal lhave Christmas Day.

B. Thanksgiving - Father shall have physical custody on Thanksgiving Eve, from 5;00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.; or Thanksgiving Day, from 10:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., alternating each year. Beginning with Thanksgiving 1992, FAther shallhave Thanksgiving Day.

C. Each Child's Birthday - Beginning in 1992, Father shall have physical custody on each child's birthday from 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., and alternating each year thereafter. In years when Fther does not hav ephysical custody on the birthday, he shallhave custody of the child from 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. on the day before or the day following the childl's birthday, at his choice. On occasions where a child's birthday falls on Sunday, Father shall have usual Sunday custody from 12;00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. as set forth in Paragraph 3-A above.

5. The person h aving the visitation shall be responsible for providing the transportation.

6. The party having custoyd of the child at any time shall notify th eother parent as soon as possible in the event of injury or illness requiring medical treatment.

7. Neither party sh all take the the children into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to development, the development of character, or which would be commonly thought to be dangerous to physical person, nor shall Mother or Father use drugs or alcohol, or expose the children to persons using drugs or alcohol while the child is in their custody. Under no circumstances shall the chidlren be transported in any vehicle with anyone who has recently consumed drugs or alcohol, or which is not equipped with seat belts.

8. Neither the Father nor the Mother shall do or say anything in an effort to turn the child against theother parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each other to create a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child toward each of the parents.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Jan. 15, 1992 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Youngs and Irwin

Mar. 18, 1992, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Mar. 18, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review Under 3301(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Continued on Page 552

1991

40	SANFORD EQUIPMENT CO. VS. RAY ATKINS Filed Jan 16, 1991 @ 3:36 P.M. Tax & Entry Paid 50.25 ✓ User fee paid 5.00	NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM DISTRICT JUSTICE JUDGMENT OF RONALD A. COLE, SR. Jan. 18, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

1991

Andrew S. Bacallao

Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs
Lisa Pepicelli Youngs

41.

4-5-93 Rec from Pltf Masters 500.00

4-5-93 Rec from Deft Masters 250.00 (Paid by Pltf)

6-23-93 Pd Pltf Refund Masters Fee Ck #24 750.00

Balo.

GEORGE E. SAMPLE

vs.

CAROLE L. SAMPLE

Filed Jan. 16, 1991
@ 4:11 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 6-7-93	9.00

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Master

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

FEb. 11, 1991, Certificate of Service filed. I hereby certify that a cert. copy of the Complaint in Divorce with Notice to defend attached thereto which was filed Jan. 16, 1991 was mailed to Carole L. Sample on Jan. 17, 1991 by Cert. Mail, Rest. Del. Ret. Rec. Req. to 718 Hickory St. Meadville, Pa. 16335 and was received by Carole L. Sample on Jan. 18, 1991. Said receipt is attached as Ex. "A". Lisa Pepicelli Youngs Esq.

Oct 27, 1992 Plaintiff's Inventory and Appraisement, filed.

Jan. 6, 1993 MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF MASTER IN DIVORCE, and Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Jan. 6, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Jan. 5, 1993 upon receipt and review of the Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce, said motion is denied.

The only ground for divorce is a no-fault divorce under 23 Pa.C.S.A. 3301(c). The defendant has not filed a consent even though the plaintiff has. The Master cannot decide the divorce and should not consider equitable distribution of marital property unless it is decided simultaneously with the entry of a divorce decree.

The Court will appoint a Master if the defendant files a 3301(c) consent or if the plaintiff proc eeds on other grounds so that the Master and Court can consider equitable distribution along with the divorce. BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan 6, 1993 copy given to Bacallao

Jan. 13, 1993 Affidavit of Consent by George E.Sample,Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 17, 1993 Affidavit of Service field. I certify that a true and correct copy of the Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code, along with the Counter-Affidavit were served upon the defendant, Carole A. Sample, 718 Hickory Street, Meadville, PA 16335 and her attorney, Joan D. Mason, Esq., 973 Liberty Street, Meadville, PA 16335, by first class, regular U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, the 17th day of February, 1993.
Andrew S. Bacallao

Mar. 12, 1993 Notice Of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree filed.

Mar. 17, 1993 Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce and Order Appointing Master filed. AND NOW, March 22, 1993, Maryann Kirkpatrick, Esquire is appointed Master in respect to the following claims:

Equitable Distribution

Deposit required: By Plaintiff $500.00 By Defendant $250.00

If not filed already the parties are ordered to file their pretrial statements within thirty (30) days from this date. Per Curiam,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Mar. 25, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Kirkpatrick and Bacallao.

Apr. 26, 1993, Notice of Scheduled Preliminary Pre-Hearing Conference filed.

May 6, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

May 25, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 7, 1993 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney to Transmit Record, this case is moved to court.

June 8, 1993 **STIPULATION AND DECREE FILED.** AND NOW, this 7 day of June, 1993, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. **DIVORCE:** Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed form the date of the filing of the complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 3301(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. **REAL ESTATE:** Plaintiff shall transfer to the Defendant, all of his right, title and interest in the jointly owned real estate located at 718 Hickory Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania. He agrees to pay tax in the amount of just under $400.00, for said property. He shall also pay the home owners' insurance through Prudential Insurance in the amount of $132.00, on or before July 31, 1993. Allother financial responsibilities regarding said property, shall be the responsibility of the Defendant. The Plaintiff shall deliver to the defendant all documents relating to the real estate, including all home improvement documents, invoices, receipts, and all warranties relating to any improvements, including but not limited to the windows. Documents to transfer said property to the Defendant shall be prepared by Defendant's attorney, and preparation fee for the deed and filing costs for the same, shall be paid by the Plaintiff, to Mason and Mason Attorneys, at such time as he signs the attached Stipulation.

Once the Plaintiff has signed the attached Stipulation to this documnt, he is not to come onto the property located at 718 Hickory Street, except for the sole purpose of picking up the ladder and the commemorative figure, and simultaneously delivering all the above noted documtns pertaining to the real estate and its imporvements.

3. **VEHICLE: BOAT:** Defendant has transferred her interest in the 1990 Doge Dakota pickup to the Plaintiff, such that the same shall be his alone without any further claim form the defendant. Plaintiff has refinanced said vehicle, so that the debt previously encumbering it, is now in his name alone. Plaintiff relinquishes any right, title and interest he may otherwise have in the Defendant's sl989 Doge Dynasty automobile, such that same is hers alone. Said behicle is unencumbered by any debt.

Plaintiff shall retain the 14 foot boat and trailer, with an approximate value of $1,500.00.

4. **PERSONAL PROPERTY:** Each party shall retain those items of personal property that he or she now has in his or her respective possession, with the exception that Plaintiff is to d eliver to Defendant that documents noted in connection with the real estate, which documents shall be delivered to her as soon as he has a copy of this document signed by the Defendant. The only exception to this provision shall be that the Defendant shall make available to the Plaintiff, at such time as he delivers the documents ntoed above, a commemorative figurine with seal unbroken, and a 32 foot ladder, as noted above.

Continued on page 565

42

1991

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore
Vardaro, Walker

R. Charles Thomas

42.

LOREEN CHAVEZ

vs.

STEWART A. CHAVEZ

Filed Jan. 16, 1991
@ 4:17 p.m.

Tax & entry Pd 1/23/91 $50.50
state Pd 1/23/91 5.00
John Fuller, mediator
Mediation Costs 150.00
9.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

2 copies (atty)

ORDER OF COURT:

YOU, STEWART A CHAVEZ, dft., have been sued in court to obtain custody of Anthony M. Chavez and Ashley M. Chavez.

You are ordered to appear in person at the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pa. at such time as you are ordered to do so by JOHN FULLER, ESQUIRE, who has been appointed as Custody Mediator to conduct a mediation conference in this case.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer orcannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Committee c/o Prothonotary Crawford County Court House, Meadville, Pa. 16335, 814 724-4636.

Jan 16, 1991 BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 3, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, May 2, 1991, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Anthony M. Chavez and Ashley M. Chavez shall be shared between their mother, Loreen Chavez, and their father, Stewart, A. Chavez, that is each parent shall be entitled to full accress to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children, such as the children's education, medical treatment and religious instruction.

II. Physical custody of Anthony M. Chavez and Ashley M. Chavez, shall be with their mother, Loreen Chave subject to the following conditions:

A. Beginning with the summer of 1991, the father shall be entitled to take the children into his custody for a period beginning two (2) weeks after the last day of school, until one (1) week before school starts in the Fall. The same visitation schedule shall apply each Summer thereafter until this order is amended.

B. Beginning with Christmas of 1991 and odd years thereafter, the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody for a continuous period of two weeks which two (2) week period shall coincide largely with the children's vacatio from school.

C. Bost of transporting the children form the mother's home to the father's home and back to the mother's home shall be born entirely by the father.

D. The father shall be entitled to visit the children and take them into his temporary cusotdy at any time the father is visiting in Crawford County, Pennsylvania, provided that the father shall notify the mother at les tone (1) week prior to any such visit, and provided further, that such visit shall not exceed seven (7) days in duration.

E. The father shall be entitled to take to the children onthe telephone at reasonable times at the father's expense.

F. The mother shall be entitled to have the children in her custody on Christmas Day of 1992 and every Christmas on even years thereafter and any temporary visit by the father to Crawford County shall not deny the mother's right to have the children in her custody on Christmas Day on even numbered years.

G. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, bu ton the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the chidlren towards both parents.

H. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be hamful to the children's health or well being.

I. Both parents agree to inform the otehr immediately of any illness or injury regarding one or both of the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. Tnis order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either par ty within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided with one-half (1/2) of the cost thereof being paid by Crawford County and the remaining one-half (1/2) being paid by the father.

Gordon R. Miller, J

May 7, 1991 Copies put in box for Atty. Thomas & Fuller and copies mailed to Stewart Chavez and Dolores Ramaker

1991

Thomas, Thomas, Truax Spadafore
Vardaro, Walker

William L. Walker

43.

Watts & Pepicelli
Christopher Ferry

9-20-95 Rec'd from Atty Med dep. 150.00

12-2-95 Pd Atty Mid refund 95.00
46.00

EDWARD A. SERNIK

vs.

GINGER M. SERVIK

Filed Jan. 16, 1991
@ 4:18 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
William Pineo, mediator	
Mediation Costs	47.00
	9.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

2 copies (atty)
1 copy (mediator

Jan. 16, 1991, ORDER OF COURT filed. 2 copies (atty) 1 copy (mediator)

YOU, Ginger M. Servik, dft. have been sued in court to obtain custody of the children: John Edward Servik and Bonnie Marie Sernik.

You are ordered to appear in person in front of WILLIAM PINEO child Custody Mediator, at such time and such place as he shall designate for a mediation conference.

Pending the mediation conference, temporary custody of the children, John Edward Sernik and Bonnie Marie Sernik, shall remain with their father, Edward A. Sernik, and Edward A. Sernik is hereby ordered to bring all of the children with him to the Mediation Conference. It is further ordered that Plaintiff/father shall grant reasonable visitaion to the mother during the pendency of the custody proceeding.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order or to bring the children, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Public Comm. c/o Prothonotary Courthouse, Meadville Pa. 16335. Tele. 814 724-4636

Date: Jan. 16, 1991

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Jan 25, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed: Debra Mattocks, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the secretary to William L. Walker, Esquire, Attorney for Petitioner in this action, and certifies that on January 17, 1991 she delivered to the Post Office in Meadville, Pa for delivery to Ginger M. Sernik at 645½ Washington Street, Meadville, Pa 16335, by certified mail, return receipt requested, delivery restricted to addressee only, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in this matter for which deponent received at the time receipt for certified article No. P 398 121 554 which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Thereafter on January 21, 1991 it was returned to William L. Walker, Esquire return receipt card bearing the same number and signed by Ginger M. Sernik said return receipt card being attached hereto as Exhibit "B".

Debra Mattocks

Mar. 6, 1991 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 1st day of March, 1991, after mediation in the above referenced matter andupon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Legal and physical custody of John Edward Sernik and Bonnie Marie Sernik, the subject minor children, is hereby awarded to the plaintiff, their father.
2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.
3. The defendant mother shall have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody of the children as the parties may arrange. Should the parties be unable to arrange a reasonable schedule of visitations, this issue may be mediated.
4. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the par ty seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.
5. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation withthe party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the children at the home of the other.
6. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent form his or her children.
7. Neither party shall use illega drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom ofthe children.
8. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.
9. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other inthe children's estimation. Each party shall treat th eother in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall intruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other par ty in the childdme's estimation.
10. Neither party shall expose the children to the company of persons to whm they are not related by block or marriage between the hours of 9:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m.
11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and vistiation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this order shall govern.
12. Either party may request a review of this Order two years fromdate hereof to make any adjustments.
13. Costs and fees ofthis Mediation, which are fixed at $47.00 are assessed to plaintiff father as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothontoary of Crawford County.
14. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 8, 1991 Copies of order put in William Pineo and William Walker's box and copies mailed to plaintiff and defendant

Sept. 13, 1995, Appearance of Watts and Pepicelli, PC by Christopher Ferry, attorneys for defendant, filed.

Sept. 20, 1995 Petition for Modification of a Partial Custody Order and NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR filed. You, EDWARD A. SERNIK, have been sued in Court to obtain partial custody of JOHN E. SERNIK and BONNIE M. SERNIK.

You are ORDERED to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the mediator, Wm. Pineo, for a mediation conference.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 336-4000

Date: 9/18/95

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept. 20, 1995 ORDER FAXED Attys. Pineo, Ferry, Walker.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 583

1991

Barbara J. Walton
Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas W. Ferguson

44.

DEBORAH J. WOOD

vs.

RICHARD J. WOOD

Filed Jan. 17, 1991
@ 9:10 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50✓
state paid	10.00
1 count paid	15.00✓
state paid	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS, EXPENSES

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 25, 1991, affidavit of Service filed. I, the undersigned being duly sworn according to alw, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy ofthe complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pa. on the above named receipt requested, dated Jan. 18, 1991. The defendant acknowledged service on Jan. 19, 1991, by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed ret. rec.
Douglas E Ferguson, Esq.

Oct. 21, 1991 Petition For Contempt and Motion For Special Relief and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 21 day of Oct., 1991, upon consideration of the within Motion, a hearing is scheduled thereon for the 8th day of November, 1991, in Courtroom 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA. at 11:00 A.M.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.
Oct. 22, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Douglas Ferguson.

Sept. 18, 1992 Appearance of Barbara J. Walton for Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 9/18/92 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.
If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.
I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.
Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: March 12, 1998
Feb. 13, 1998 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.
By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

Altomare & Barnhart Joseph E. Altomare 45.	HAZEL E. YOUNG AND RALPH YOUNG vs. ELEANOR HELFRICH Tax & entry Paid $50.50 ✓ state paid 5.00 Pro Co. pd 9-27-91 5.00 ✓	SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION Issued (atty) May 30, 1991 Acceptance Of Service filed. I her eby accept service of the Summons issued in the above-captioned action this 13th day of February, 1991,. Eleanor Helfrich Sept. 26, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiffs Attorney this case marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Jorden & White
William T. Jorden

46.

Zimmer, Kunz, Loughren
Hart, Lazaroff, Trenor,
Banyas & Conaway, PC
Joni M. Mangino

CHARLES R. JACKARD AND
RUTH JACKARD, HIS WIFE

vs.

A. D. McNAMARA

Filed Jan. 17, 1991
@ 3:50 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	21.00
Pro Co pd 8/17/92	6.00

COMPLAINT

Jan. 31, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed.
SERVED A.D. McNamara on Jan. 25, 1991 at 10:20 AM, by handing copy of Complaint to Ruth Hudson, Secretary and person in charge at R.D.# 1 Wayland Road, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. Sheriff
Howa rd N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 15, 1991, Appearance of Zimmer, Kunz, Loughren, Hart, Lazaroff, Trenor, Banyas & Conaway, P.C., by JOni M. Mangino, Esq., filed.

May 8, 1991 Default Notice filed.

May 14, 1991 Answer and New Matter Of A.D. McNamara To Complaint filed.

June 27, 1991 Notice of Service of Interrogatories to Defendant filed.

July 3, 1991 ANSWER TO NEW MATTER filed.

July 10, 1991 Notice of Deposition of A. D. McNamara, Willis Foulk, and Elmer Hostetler filed.

July 10, 1991 Notice of Deposition of Dr. Karl F. Frankovitch filed.

Sept. 17, 1991 Notice of Deposition of Dr. Karl F. Frankovitch filed.

Nov. 14, 1991 Notice Of Deposition of Charles R. Jackard and Ruth Jackard filed.

Jan. 10, 1992 Notice Of A Videotape Deposition of Charles R. Jackard and Ruth Jackard filed.

Feb. 11,, 1992, Notice of Depositions of Dr. W. Keith Petrella filed.

Feb. 28, 1992 CERTIFICATE OF READINESS, filed.

ON TRIAL LIST FOR APRIL 1992

Mar. 3, 1992, Motion for summary Judgment filed.

Mar. 3, 1992, Upon praecipe of defebdant ATTORNEY, Motion for summary Judgment placed on next Argument List.

ON APRIL 1992 ARGUMENT LIST

Mar. 5, 1992, Motion to Place the Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment on the March Argument list filed.

Mar. 5, 1992, Re: Defendant's Motion or Summary Judgment and Defendant's Motion to strike Certificate of Readiness - ASSIGNMENT OF ARGUMENT DATE filed. Mar. 4, 1992, argument on above matters is set for Mar. 30, 1992 at 10:00 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthosue.
FOR THE COURT, Imogene Yetman, C. Adm.
Mar. 5, 1992, copies given to attorneys of record.

Mar. 5, 1992, Motion of Defendant to Strike Certificate of Readiness filed.

March. 27, 1992 Motion for Continuance and ORDER filed. AND NOW, to wit, this 27th day of March, 1992, upon consideration of the within Motion, it is HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that a hearing and argument on this shall be held on Monday, March 30, 1992 at 10:00 AM in Room 1 or 2.
BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.
March 27, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. William Jorden and Joni M. Mangino.

Mar. 31, 1992 ANSWER TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR A CONTINUANCE filed.

Mar 31, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 30, 1992, it is ordered as follows:
1. The defendant's motion to continue this case is granted. This case will be tried in the June 1992 term of civil trials if possible or, it not possible, a jury panel will be selected at that time and the case will be tried in June or July of 1992 at a time to be specially set by the Court Administrator. That decision will be made no later than June 15, 1992.
2. The defendant's motion to strike the certificate of readiness is denied.
3. The defendant's motion for an independent medical examination is granted under Pa. R.C. P. 4010. The examination shall be by Dr. Lyons within the next thirty (30) days.
BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Mar. 31, 1992 copies distributed

Apr. 7, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, April 7, 1992, the defendant's motion for summary judgment is denied as this Court finds that there is a genuine issue of material fact concerning whether or not Foulk and/or Hostetler were employed by the Defendant, employed by others or were independent contractors. Furthermore there is a genuine issue of material fact as to whether or not the defendant breached any duty of care to the plaintiff, Charles R. Jackard and whether or not Charles R. Jackard was also negligent and, if so, to what degree. BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Apr. 13, 1992 copy in box for W. Jorden, mailed copy to J. Mangino

Apr. 7, 1992 Answer To A.D. McNamara's Motion To Compel Independent Medical Examination filed.

Apr. 13, 1992 Notice Of Service Of Requests for Admission to Defendant filed.

Continued on page 46A

Charles R. Jackard and
Ruth Jackard, his wife
vs.
A. D. McNamara

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 46
of Record
A.D. 1991 - 46

****

May 1, 1992 Notice of Service of Answers to Expert Interrogatories Directed to Plaintiffs, filed.

May 1, 1992 Notice of Service of Plaintiffs' Expert Interrogatories Directed to Defendant, A.D. McNamara, filed.

May 22, 1992 RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION FILED.

May 29, 1992 Motion for Continuance filed.
May 29, 1992 Answer to Defendant's Second Motion for a Continuance filed.

May 29, 1992Memorandum and Order Filed. AND NOW, May 29, 1992, a pre-trial conference is set in this matter for 4:00 P.M. on June 22, 1992 in front of a Judge to be designated by the Court Administrator.

At that time, the attorneys for the Defendants shall have available a transcript of a deposition of Dr. Frank Yohe with respect to the emotional and mental condition of the Defendant to proceed to trial at this time. Any opinions expressed by the doctor with respect to this issue shall be within a reasonable degree of medical certainty and the deposition is to be taken during the week of June 15, 1992.

The attorneys for the Defendant shall also make available at the time of the pre-trial conference counsel for Federal Kemper Insurance Company with respect to the declaratory judgment action, if at all possible, or at least as much information and background concerning the current status with respect to that proceeding as possible.

If the parties wish at that time, the Court will also discuss possible settlement of this matter and, accordingly, the parties should have available either at the conference or by telephone the appropriate persons with authority to settle. With respect tothat issue, the Court notes that such settlement activities may not be possible if Dr. Yohe indicates that the Defendant is not capable of participating in the same.

Parties are advised that if the Court refuses to continue this matter until the next term of Court after conductin the pre-trial conference, the parties should be prepared to pick a jury from the panel available during the week of June 22, 1992.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

May 29, 1992 copies of the Order to: R. Charles Thomas, Esq., Court Administrator, & Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

June 16, 1992 DEFENDANT'S PRE-TRIAL STATEMENT: STATEMENT OF FACTS, filed.

June 30, 1992 Supplemental Motion for Continuance filed.
June 30, 1992 Consent to Defendant's Motion to Continue filed.
June 30, 1992 Order Filed. AND NOW, June 29, 1992, it is ordered as follows:

1. The defendant's motion and supplemental motion,for continuance are granted in view of the plaintiff's consent. This case shall be tried during the October 1992 term of civil jury trials.
2. The pretrial conference shall be held at 2:15 o'clock p.m. onFriday, July 17, 1992.

BY ORDER OF COURT
GordonR. Miller,P. J.

June 30, 1992 Copy of Order to William T. Jorden, ESq., R. Charles Thomas, ESq. and Mailed to Joni M. Mangino, Esq.

August 17, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiffs Attorney, William T. Jorden, the above case marked settled and discontinued of record.

46
-b-

1991

1991

47.

Bruce A. BArrett

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

vs.

CHRISTINA DERAPS

Filed Jan. 17, 1991

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976
(Sections 304 & 305)

Jan. 23, 1991 Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 23 of January, 1991 upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Christina DeRaps be committed to WSH Adolescent Unit for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Jan. 23, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Bruce Barrett, MH, WSH.

Mar 20, 1991 Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

Apr. 1, 1991, Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 28 day of Mar. 1991, upon consideration of the petition filed at above no' and other relevant matter, and after a review of the re port of the Mental Health Review Officer it is hereby ordered and directed that the said Christina DeRaps be ocmmitted to WSH Adolescent Union for treatment for a period nto to exceed 180 days.

BY THE COURT, P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Apr. 4, 1991, copies to Atty. Barrett, Hosp and MH/MR.

1991

48.

R. Christopher Brode
Legal Services

CLEO C. ROSIER
ROBERT J. EDWARDS, Jr.

vs.

JACQUELYN GAGEAN

Filed Jan. 17, 1991
@ 4:39 p.m.

Indigent filing

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF W.D. CHISHOLM, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT OF 30-2-01

Jan. 17, 1991, Praecipe to Proceed In Forma Pauperis; and Affidavit to Proceed in Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed.

Jan. 22, 1991, Proof of Service filed.

And now, Feb. 28, 1991 2:42 upon praecipe of defendants atto rney judgment of Non Pros is
hereby entered against the named plaintiff for failure to file a C Complaint within twenty days after being ruled so to do.
R-34-144 JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Mar. 12, 1991 Preliminary Objections and Rule filed.
AND NOW, this 7 day of March, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing MOtion, a Rule is entered upon the Defendant to show cause, if any she has, why the motion of the Plaintiffs should not be granted.
THIS RULE IS RETURNABLE ON THE 29 day of April, 1991, at 9:00 o'clock o'clock a.m. in courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford county Courthouse Meadville, PA 16335.
1 copy atty.
BY THE CUORT:
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

49.

PAMELA DE MAISON

vs.

RICHARD DE MAISON

Filed Jan. 18, 1991
@ 9:58 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	15.00
Pro Co Pd 5/1/91	9.00
Pro Co. Pd 5/15/91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION
CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 31, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. AND NOW, Jan. 24, 1991, comes Lisa M. Sherretts being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legal secretary of Attorney for Pamela DeMaison, Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P 398 123 125; postmarked Jan. 21, 1991, to the last known address of the Defendant, Richard DeMaison, C/O Roy DeMaison, R.D.# 2, Guys mills, Pa. 16327.

3. That the Defendant, Richard DEMaison, on Jan. 22, 1991 personally signed the return re ceipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in t he above-matter and which is attached hereto.

C 4. Service of the Complaint against Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Lisa M. Sherretts

Apr. 23, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Apr. 23, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Apr. 23, 1991 MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT as to Equitable Distribution, Child Custody and Divorce filed.

Apr. 29, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey for review under Section 201(c) of the divorce Code, this case moved to court.

May 10, 1991 **PROPOSED DECREE IN DIVORCÆ FILED.**

And Now, May 10, 1991, pursuant to the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure and the Divorce Code, 23 P.S. 1 et seq., the court enters the following Order, Adjudication and Decree:

1. Pamela DeMaison and Richard DeMaison are hereby divorced from the bonds of matrimony pursuant to §201(c) of the Divorce Code.

2. Husband and Wife shall share legal and physical custody of their minor children as follows:

a. The children shall reside with their mother;

b. Father shall have the right to take the children into his custody for visitation on alternate weekends from 4:00 p.m. Friday until 7:00 p.m. Sunday, on alternating holidays and for onw week each month during June, July and August.

c. Father shall provide transportation for all visits.

3. The parties owe a certain debt to Beneficial Consumer Discount Company. Each party shall pay one-half of each monthly payment until their house is sold or until 7 payments have been made following the date of this decree. After 7 payments have been made, Wife shall be soley liable for further payments to beneficial Consumer Discount Company.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, J

May 15, 1991 Notice of Election To Retake Prior Name filed, to be known as Pamela Collins. (3 copies atty.)

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

50.

Rosen, Rosen & Bloom
By: Bruce T. Rosen

ANNE HOLQUIST

vs.

ROBERT HOLQUIST

Filed Jan. 18, 1991
@ 9:58 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
2 counts paid	30.00 ✓
state paid	5.00
state Pd 1/21/91	10.00
state Pd 1/21/91	10.00
master Pd 11-12-96	250.00 ✓
Pro. Co. pd. 6/26/97	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS, EXPENSES, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 31, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. AND NOW, Jan. 28, 1991 comes Lisa M. Sherretts being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legal secretar y of Attorney for Anne Holquist, Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divor ce to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P. 398 123 120; postmarked Jan. 21, 1991 to the last known address of the Defendant, Robert Holquist, 324 East Bloss St., Titusville, Pa. 16354

3. That t he DefendaNT, Robert Holquist, on Jan. 24, 1991, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above-matter and which is attached hereto.

4. Service of the Complaint against Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Lisa M. Sherretts

Mar. 12, 1991 Appearance of Rosen, Rosen & Bloom, by Bruce T. Rosen for defendant filed.

May 8, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 11, 1996 Proof of Service of Interrogatories to the Defendant filed.

May 21, 1996 Notice to Plaintiff filed.

June 6, 1996, Acceptance of Servcie of copy of Dft's Affidavit filed.

Nov. 12, 1996, Income and Expense Statement of dft. filed.

Nov. 12, 1996, Inventory and Appraisement of dft. filed.

Nov. 12, 1996, Motion for appointment of a Master and Order Appointing Master filed. ORDER APPOINTING MASTER: And Now, Nov. 13, 1996 David Pickens Esq. isappointed master in respect to the following claims:

Divorce, Alimony, Equitable Distribution, counsel fees costs and expenses.

If not filed already the parties are ordered to file their pretrial statements within 30 days from this date. Both parties shall comply with Pa. RCP 1920.33 and 1920.31 within 20 days without fail.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

11/14/96 faxed to Kirkpatrick, Pickens, and Rosen.

Nov 22, 1996 Notice of Scheduled Pre-Hearing Conference, field. (Thursday, Dec. 19, 1996 at 2:00 p.m.)

Dec. 5, 1996, Proof of Service of Answers to Interrogatories filed.

Dec. 20, 1996 Plaintiff's Preliminary Pre-Trial Narrative Statement filed.

Dec. 20, 1996 Notice of Master's Hearing filed.

TO: Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
941 Federal Court
Meadville, PA 16335

Bruce T. Rosen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
P.O. Box B
Oil City, PA 16301

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned Master has scheduled a hearing in the above captioned case for Friday, Frebuary 28, 1997 at 9:30 o'clock, a.m. in the Green Room of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

David W. Pickens, Esq.
Master

Dec. 20, 1996 Pre-Trial Statement on Behalf of Defendant filed.

Dec. 20, 1996 Summary of Pre-Hearing Conference filed.

Feb 28, 1997 Proof of Service of Notice to Produce on the Defendant's attorney of record.

Apr 9, 1997 Marital Agreement, filed.

June 2, 1997 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

June 11, 1997 Waiver of Notice of Intention to Request Entry of a Divorce Decree Under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code by Defendant filed.

June 16, 1997 Waiver of Notice of Intention to Request Entry of a Divorce Decree Under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code by Plaintiff filed.

June 16, 1997 Praecipe for Review Under Section 3301(c) Under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code filed.

Continued Pg. 50A

50

ANNE HOLQUIST
VS.
ROBERT HOLQUIST

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 50
OF RECORD
A. D. 1991 - 50

****

June 19, 1997 Motion to Adopt Qualified Domestic Relations Order and Order filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 18 day of June, 1997, the following is hereby ORDERED:

1. Definition of Terms--Retirement Equity Act of 1984 (hereafter called the "Retirement Act.")

A. Domestic Relations Order.

The term "Domestic Relations Order" meads a Judgment, Decree, or Order (including approval of a property settlement agreement) which:

1. relates to the provision of child support, alimony/maintenance payments, or marital rights to a spouse, former spouse, child, or other dependent of a Participant, and

(SEE PAPERS FILED)

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

June 30, 1997 And, Now, June 30, 1997, it is ordered and decreed that ANNE HOLQUIST, Plaintiff and ROBERT HOLQUIST, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony. The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

It is further ordered that the Memorandum of Agreement filed of record herein is made a part hereof and shall be fully enforceable under the Divorce Code.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

50
-B-

1994

1991

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma and Schug
Glenn A. W. Thompson

51.

IN RE:

CHANGE OF NAME OF:

PAUL PHILIP SUNDBACK

Filed Jan. 18, 1991
@ 10:59 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
cert pd 1-25-91	5.00 ✓

PETITION FOR CHANGE OF NAME

1 copy (atty)

ORDER FOR PUBLICATION:

AND NOW, to wit, this 18th day of Jan. 1991, upon motion of Glenn A. W. Thompson, Esq. atty. for the petitioner above named, it is Ordered and Decreed that the within petition be heard on the 5th day of March 1991, at 1:00 p.m. in C. R. NO. 2 and that notice of the filing of the within Petition and of the aforesaid date of hearing be published once in the Meadville Tribune and the Crawford County Legal Journal.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Jan. 18, 1991, copy of order to Atty. Thompson.

Mar. 5, 1991, Proof of Publication, Certificate of No Lien from Prothonotary Office, Certificate of No Lien and Decree for Change of Name filed.

DECREE FOR CHANGE OF NAME:

AND NOW, this 5th day of March 1991 upon hearing of the within Petition and upon motion of Glenn A. W. Thompson, Esq. attorney for the petitioner, and upon presentation of proof of publication of notice as required by law together with proof that there are no judgments or decrees of record or any other matter of like effect against the petitioner, and it appearing that there is no legal objection to the granting of the prayer of the petition:

IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED that the name of the petitioner be and is hereby changed to P. Sundback.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

1991

Robert J. Felton

52.

CONDEMNATION OF:

REAL ESTATE OWNED BY THE BIBLE BAPTIST CHURCH OF TITUSVILLE SITUATE IN OIL CREEK TOWNSHIP, CRAWFORD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

BY:
OIL CREEK TOWNSHIP FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A SANITARY SEWER LINE AND ITS APPURTENANCES

Filed Jan. 18, 1991
@ 11:38 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00

DECLARATION OF TAKING

Jan 18, 1991, Memorandum of Filing of Notice filed.

Jan. 30, 1991 Proof of Service filed. I, Robert J. Felton, Esq., 144 W. Spring Street, Titusville, Pennsylvania, am the Attorney for the Condemnor in the within action. In that capacity, I hereby certify that on January 24, 1991, I served a notice of condmnation, as required by Section 405 of the Eminent Domain Code, copies of which are attached, on the Condemnee, Bible Baptist Church, by mailing the same by certified mail, return receipt requested, Number P 297 231 744, to the Pastor of the Church, Rev. Harry L. Cleland, 753 W. Central Avenue, Titusville, Pennsylvania, a copy of said return receipt executed by Mrs. Harry Cleland is attached hereto, and by serving the same on the per son reputed to be the administrator of the Church, George C. Brickner, Rice Road, R.D. 5, Titusville, Pennsylvania, by certified mail, return receipt requested, Number P 297 231 743, the same having been executed by george C. Brickner, a copy of which is attached.

Robert J. Felton

1991

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso and Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

53.

Mahany, Roeder & Rothschild
Tamera Ochs Rothschild

JOANN FELDMEYER

vs.

ROBERT G. FELDMEYER, SR.

Filed Jan. 18, 1991
@ 11:51 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Paid 4-22-91	9.00
Pro Co pd 11/22/91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 24, 1991, Acceptance of Service filed. And Now, this 22nd day of Jan. 1991, I hereby accept servcie of a copy of the complaint in divorce in regard to the above captioned matter on behalf of the defendant as proper service hereof.
Tamera Ochs Rothschild, Esq.

Jan. 24, 1991, Appearance of Mahany, Roeder & Rothschild, by Tamera Ochs Rothschild, attorneys for defendant, filed.

Feb. 22, 1991, STIPULATION AND QUALIFIED DOMESTIC RELATIONS ORDER filed. 1 copy (atty)

AND NOW, this 14 day of Feb. 1991 it appearing to the court that:

A. The parties hereto are husband and wife and a divorce action is presently pending in this court at the above no.

B. Robert G. Feldmeyer Sr. Soc. Sec. No. 126-38-8753 hereinafter referred to as "husband" or "participant" is employed by The Gage Company and is a participant in the Western Pa. Teamsters and Employers Pension Fund (hereinafter referred to a the "Fund".

C. JoAnn Feldmeyer, soc. Sec., No. 095-42-5253, hereinafter referred to as "wife" or "alternate Payee," has raised claims for, inter alia, equitable distribution of marital property pursuant to the Pa. Divorce Code, 23 P.S. et seq;

D. Husband's current and last known mailing address is 21479 Spring Creek Road, Titusville, Pa. 16354;

E. Wife's current and last known mailing address is 20 Pershing Way, Titusville, Pa. 16354.

F. The assignment of benefits does not require the Fund to provide any type or form of benefit nor any options that were not already provided under the Fund. This assignment does require the Fuind to provide increased benefits. This assignment does not require the Fund to provide benefits to the Alternate Payee in lieu of an Alternate Payee who was previously designated under another Qualified Domestic Relations Order.

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. A portion of Participant's account under the aforementioned Fund is marital property subject to distribution by this court.

2. The fund to which this order applies is the Western Pa. Teamsters and EMployers Pension Fund or any successor plan.

3. The alternate payee, JoAnn Feldmeyer is entitled to fifty (50%) percent interest in the marital fraction in Participant's existing retirement assets which fraction is composed of a numerator of 15 and a denominator which is the total no. of years the participant participates in the plan or is employed by the Gare Company ($\frac{1}{2}$ (15/x)), together with all interest accruing thereon from Jan. 22, 1991 to the date of the transfer of the Funds to Wife. The participant Robert G. Feldmeyer Sr. is awarded the remainder, plus interest and dividends earned on the remainder.

4. Benefits shall be payable to the Alternate Payee as long as Participant received benefits from the fund.

5. The Alternate Payee shall be entitled to payment of the amount held for her benefit under the Fund as provided above following the earliest date at which the participant is entitled to a distribution udner the Fund.

6. The parties shall promptly submit this order to the Western Pa. Teamsters and Employers Pension Fund, Kathleen walsh, Plan Administrator, foster plaza VIII, 730 Holiday Drive, Pgh, Pa. 15205, for determination of its status as a Qualified Domestic Relations Order.

7. The parties shall promptly notify the Plan Adm. of any change in their addresses from those set forth above in this order.

8. The Alternate Payee shall be solely responsible for the payment of such taxes as might be owing on funds distributed to her pursuant to this order.

IT IS INTENDED that his order shall quialify as a Qualified Domestic Relations Order Under the Retirement equity Act of 1984.

The court retains jurisdiction to amend this order as might be necessary to establish or maintain its status as a qualified Domestic Relations Order under the Retirement Equity Act of 1984.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Feb. 27, 1991, copies to A. Gamble and T. Rothschild.

Apr 17, 1991 Affidavit of consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr 19, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr 22, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Apr. 30, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, April 30, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that JOAN FELDMEYER, plaintiff, and ROBERT G. FELDMEYER, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Nov. 19, 1991 Notice Of Election To Retake Maiden (or prior) Name filed. Joann Feldmeyer TO BE KNOWN AS JoAnn Mancuso

11-17-03 See Computer for further entries.

1991

54.

BOROUGH OF SPRINGBORO
P.O. Box 33
Springboro, Pa. 16435

vs.

CLAIR NORR
LOIS NORR

Filed Jan. 18, 1991
@ 1:00 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00 ✓
plff on orig 14.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 37

Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants in the sum of Fourteen Thousand Dollars plus costs.
Debt -----$14,000.00
N - 34, 104
Dated --- Jan 15, 1991
JUDGMENT
James F.Simmons,
Prothonotary

Revived to AD1996-41

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck,
and Leemhuis Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

55.

MARINE BANK

vs.

ROBERT L. PETERS

Filed Jan. 18, 1991
@ 1:06 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00
plff on orig.	69.60
sheriffs costs	26.25
sheriffs costs	25.25
Pro co pd 3/13/91	9.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL
of
AD 1986 - 1042

Writ of revival of Judgment indexedin favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of One Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty and 54/100 Dollars, with interest from Nov. 25, 1986 and costs of this action plus fees of $390.11.
Revival entered - 1/18/91
Debt ---$1,950.54
plus fees of $390.11
Int. from 11/25/86
P - 145 JUDGMENT

Issued (sheriff)

Jan. 28, 1991, Writ returned. NOT FOUND as to Robert L. Peters Not at R. D. #3, 502 S. Main St Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, Pa. Not known at Post Office.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jan. 31, 1991, Writ of Revival Reissued.

Feb. 25, 1991, Writ Returned. SERVED Robert L. Peters personally on Feb. 4, 1991 at 1L:20 a.m. at his residence, 130 Poplar St Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, Pa. 16403.
So answers, robert M. Stevens, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

And Now, Mar. 13, 1991 at 1:40 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File default Judgment attached, judgment is her eby entered in favor ofthe plaintiff and agianst the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of One Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty and 54/100 plus interest and cost of suit plus fees of Three Hundred Ninety and 11/100.
P-145
judgment entered Mar. 13, 1991

Debt $1,950.54
Int. from Nov. 25, 1986
Judgment
James F. simmons,
Prothonotary

12-5-94 Revived AD1994-1226

56.

DOROTHY S. BURKHARDT

WILLIAM M. BURKHARDT

Filed Jan. 18, 1991
@ 1:27 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's costs	25.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 18th day of January, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions:

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for January 25, 1991, at 3:30 M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Jan 21, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED William M. Burkhart personally on January 18, 1991 at 3:06 p.m. at his residence, 917 N. Main St. Extension, Meadville, Crawford Co., Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 8, 1991 Re: Petition Under Abuse Act and ORDER filed. AND NOW, Jan. 31, 1991, this matter is before the Court on an Abuse Petition and both parties appear represented by counsel. Without the necessity of hearing the without an admission of any of the allegations in the petition, the parties mutually resolve the matter as follows:

1. The Plaintiff, Dorothy S. Burkhardt, shall have the right to exclusively occupy the residence at 1079 Market St., Meadville, PA. The Defendant may not go there for any purpose whatsover without the express invitation of the Plaintiff. In this regard, the parties mutually agree that on Feb. 2, 1991, at 10:00 A.M., the Defendant may go to the residence at 1079 Market St. for the purpose of picking up a list of personal property, which list both parties have reviewed and agreed to.

2. The Defendant is precluded from threatening, assaulting, annoying or harassing the Plaintiff in any manner and may not procure others to do so on his behalf.

This Order shall remain in force and effect for a period of one year.

Any police officer having reasonable grounds to believe that the abuse portions of this Order have been violated and has personally discussed the violations with the Plaintiff and seen physical evidence of some nature of the ciolation, may apprehend the Defendant without a warrant, lodge him in the County Jail and promptly notify the Court to schedule a contempt hearing.

The Court notes that another Abuse Petition at 1991-23 was filed in this case and the parties mutually agree that action is discontinued. Any future actions will be at 1991-56.

In view of the limtied income of both parties, the ocunty is directed to pay the costs in both cases.

By Order Of The Court,
P Richard Thomas, S.J.

Feb. 8, 1991 Copy of order put in Attys. Gerald Sullivan and Brian Lindsay box. Copy to County Control.

Apr. 29, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, April 24, 1991 the defendant is in jail again on a contempt citation for breaching an abuse order. It appears that after the order was entered January 31, 1991 the parties attempted to reconcile but, for divergent reasons, got into disagreements on April 21 and 22. There is confusion about who struck who, but there appears to be no dispute that the Plaintiff was injuried andhad to be attended for minor injuries in the emergency room.

The parties now believe a reconciliation is impossible and the Defendant has agreed to move out of the premises at 1079 Market Street.

The Defendant is released form the Crawford County Jail forthwith to take up residence at the home of his parents at 917 North Main Street Extension, Meadville.

On Friday, April 26, 1991 at 12:00 noon the Defendant, accompanied by his father, may go to the residence at 1079 Market Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania, at which time he may remove his clothing and some computers in a closet. The court requests a representative fom Womens Services to be present at the time to make certain the parties do not get in another altercation.

The order heretofore entered shall be reinstated, and the Defendant is directed not to go to the residence of the Plaintiff at 1079 Market Street for any purpose, nor harass, annoy, assault, or bother her in any manner.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Apr. 29, 1991 Copy to County Control, plaintiff, defendant, City Police and Chisholm

1991

Blystone & Blystone
Peter E. Blystone

57.

JOHN T. BOYLES, d/b/a
PROFESSIONAL BUSINESS
FORMS & PRINTING

vs.

TED ROWSE d/b/a
ROWSE DRUG STORE

Filed Jan. 18, 1991
@ 4:05 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	44.00
Pro Co Pd 3/5/91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 13, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared H. Thomas Shane who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 22nd day of January, 1991, at 3:57 p.m., he served Complaint, filed January 18, 1991 at No. AD 57 Term, 1991, Beaver County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Ted Roswe, d/b/a Rowse Drug Store at 688 Third Shreet, Beaver, PA 15009 By making known the contents thereof to Office Manager - Betty Carver and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford County.
So answers H. Thomas Shane, Deputy Sheriff
Frank Policaro, Jr., Sheriff
SERVED Ted Rowse,, d/b/a Rowse Drug Store by deputizing Sheriff of Beaver County, PA, as per his Affidavit of Service attached.
Served: January 22, 1991
So answers, Howard N.SStewart, Sheriff

Mar. 1, 1991, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, all claims and causes of action which were, or could have been, asserted in this action having been fully settled and determined, this action is marked discontinued of record.

Jack, Kookogey & Felton
John S. Kookogey

58.

GARY E. FENSTERMAKER AND BONNIE R. FENSTERMAKER, HIS WIFE, BONNIE R. FENSTERMAKER, PARENT AND NATURAL GUARDIAN FOR GARY L. FENSTERMAKER AND SHANNON R. FENSTERMAKER, MINORS

vs.

ANTHONY AUTO SALES, INC.

Filed Jan. 21, 1991
@ 10:37 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50 ✓
state paid 5.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Jan. 25, 1991 Petition To Compromise Actoin For a Minor Plaintiff and Order filed. AND NOW, January 24th, 1991,
1. The parties may compromise this action on behalf of the minor, Gary L. Fenstermaker, upon the terms of the proposed compromise s set forth in the Petition file dby Bonnie R. Fenstermaker.
2. Bonnie R. Fenstermaker, parent and natural guardian of said minor, Gary L. fenstermaker, is authorized to receive the payment of $2,500.00 om full settlement and compromise of his claim. said sum shall be deposited in the name of Gary L. fenstermaker in a savings account in Cyclops Titusville Federal Credit Union and no withdrawal therefrom can be made until the said gary L. Fenstermaker reaches his majority on February 11, 2000, except as authorized by Court Order. A copy of this order shall be delivered to Cyclops Titusville Federal Credit Union. BY THE COURT,
2 copies atty Gordon R. Miller, J

May 1, 1991, Petition to Withdraw Custodial Account for Minor and Reinvest and Order filed. APPROVAL OF WITHDRAWAL: AND NOW, May 1, 1991, the court hereby authorizes Bonnie R. Fenstermaker, pzrent and natural guardian of her minor child, Gary L. Fenstermaker, to withdraw the sum of $2,500.00 plus accrued interest from cyclops Titusville federal Credit Union and to transfer and reinvest said amount in a restricted certificate of deposit to be purchased at Northwest Savings Bank, Titusville, Pa. from which no withdrawal or redemption shall be made until the said Gary L. Fenstermake reached his majority on Feb. 11, 2000, except as further authorized by court order.
Gorden r. Miller, J.
2 copies (atty)

May 1, 1991 Petition to compromise Action for a Minor Plaintiff and Approval of Compromise filed. AND NOW, May 1, 1991,
1. The parties may compromise this action on behalf of the minor, Shannon R. Fenstermaker, upon the terms of the proposed compromise set forth inthe Petition filed by Bonnie Fenstermaker.
2. Bonnie R. fenstermaker, parent and natural guardian of said minor, Shannon R. Fenstermaker is authorized to receive the payment of $3,200.00 in full settlement and compromise of her claim. Said sum shall be deposited in the name of Bonnie R. Fenstermaker, custodian for Shannon R. Fenstermaker under the Pa. Uniform Gift to Minors Act in a restricted c ertificate of deposit at Northwest savings Bank, Titusville, Pa. and no withdrawal therefrom nor redemption thereof shall be made until the said Shannon R. Fenstermaker reaches her majority on Apr. 23, 1998, except as authorized by court order. BY THE COURT,
2 copies (atty) Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 10, 1991 Writ Returned: Summons returned to Prothonotary Office, May 10, 1991 unserved. No costs or instructions received.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Robert Felton,
solicitor

59.

IN RE:

APPOINTMENT OF AN AUDITOR TO FILL A VACANCY IN THE OFFICE OF AUDITOR FOR THE TOWNSHIP

Filed Jan. 22, 1991
@ 10:56 a.m.

Tax & entry 1/22/91 $10.50
state 1/22/91 5.00

PETITION FOR AN APPOINTMENT TO FILL A VACANCY IN THE OFFICE OF AUDITOR FOR THE TOWNSHIP

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 18th dayof Jan. 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, Lois Seeley, of Steuben Township, Crawford County, Pa. (R. D. #1, Townville, Pennsylvania), is hereby appointed as an auditor for said Steuben Township to fill the bacancy in office created by the resignation of Effie Yochum and to serve in that office until the first Monday in January following the next municipal election in Steuben Township.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

Jan. 22, 1991, copy of order to Robert Felton ESq.

1991

William J. Gregg

60.

TERRY G. BRADEN

vs.

DEBRA A. BRADEN

Filed Jan. 22, 1991
@ 10:59 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Cc pd 9/9/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

May 3, 1991 Complaint Reinstated.

May 13, 1991 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

May 30, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed: WILLIAM J. GREGG, ESQUIRE, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the Affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in divorce and Plaintiff's Affidavit were sent by certified mail to the Defendant, Debra A. Braden, on May 14, 1991.

3. The certified mail was sent to Debra A. Braden at Box 1644, APO New York, 09406-5000. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant Received said certified mail on May 22, 1991. Said Return Receipt Card P 848 678 436 is attached hereto, signed by Debra Braden and made a part hereof.

William J. Gregg, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Aug 27, 1991 Affidavit of Service of Notice of Intention filed.

Sept. 10, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed. Review Under §201(d) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Sept. 10, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 10, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Sept. 17, 1991 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

And now, September 12, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that TERRY G. BRADEN, plaintiff, and DEBRA A. BRADEN, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1991

Rosen, Rosen & Bloom
Bruce T. Rosen

61.

MARK P. GRANDIN

vs.

CARRIE S. GRANDIN

Filed Jan. 22, 1991
@ 11:40 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Paid 8-20-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 6, 1991 AFfidavit of Servcie filed. Bruce T. Rosen, being first duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he did, on the 23 day of JaN. 1991 forward a true and correct copy of the Complaint in divorce filed at the abov no. to the above named defendant, properly addressed to said dft. delivered to the Postmaster at Oil city, Pa. on said date , Postal receipt No. P016 553 539 marked Ex "A" and made a part hereof; attached hereto and made a part hereof is the ret. rec. issued by the postal authorities purporting to be signed by said dft. on the 25 day of Jan. 1991 which ret. rec. is marked Ex "B".
Dated: 2/5/91 Bruce T. Rosen, Atty.

June 28, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 1, 1991 Affidavit of Consent Defendant filed.

Aug 19, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

* Aug. 27, 1991 **ORDER AND DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 27th day of August, 1991, after review ofthe above captioned case, and upon considerationof the pleadings and other documents filed therein, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that;

1. The Plaintiff, MARK P. GRANDIN, and the defendant, CARRIE S. GRANDIN, are hereby divorced fromthe bonds ofmatirmony because their marriage is irretrievably broken.
2. This Decree constitutues a final Order as to all matters and claims pending in this action, and the Court retains jurisdictionofthe parties andthe subject matter for purposes of enforcement only.
3. Each party shall pay his or her own costs.

By the Court,
GordonR. Miller, J

Rosen, Rosen & Bloom
Bruce T. Rosen

62.

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas Ferguson

JEFFREY A. HEETER,
t/a/d/b/a CHANGING TIMES
TELEPHONE SERVICE

vs.

RICK J. TRAVAGLIO AND
NANCY A. TRAVAGLIO,
HUSBAND AND WIFE,
t/a/d/b/a
CANADOHTA LAKE MOTEL

Filed Jan. 22, 1991
@ 1:45 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	37.00
Arb pd. 7/3/91	15.00 ✓
Satisfaction Pd 8/3/92	5.00
Certificate pd 8-20-92	1.00

Received from Deft 1-17-92 $160 on

Paid out 1-17-92 to Crawford Comm. $160 on Chk no 6649

COMPLAINT AT LAW

2 copies (sheriff)

Feb. 1, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Nancy A. Travaglio personally on Jan. 25, 1991 at 1:50 PM by handing copy of Complaint to her at residence, Canadohta Lake Motel, RD # 2, Box 2554 Union City, Crawford Co., PA 16438.
SERVED Rick J. Travaglio on Jan. 25, 1991 at 1:51PM by serving his wife, Nancy A. Travaglio, at their residence, Canadohta Lake Motel, RD # 2, Box 2554, Union City, Crawford Co., PA 16438.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 4, 1991 Appearance of Ferguson and Spataro, by Douglas W. Ferguson, attorneys for defendants filed. 2 copies (atty)

June 4, 1991 Answer and New Matter filed. 2 ocpies (atty)

June 28, 1991 Answer To New Matter filed.

July 2, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey for reference to the Arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed: Robert J. Felton Ch.
Stephen E. Hall
Kathleen R. Nelson

July 19, 1991, Arbitrators Take the Qualifying Oath.

Jan. 9, 1992, DECISION OF ARBITRATORS filed in which they find for the plaintiff against the defendants for the sum of $4,621.35 plus interest atr from October 11, 1990 plus costs of suit.
Decision entered - 1/9/92
T - 144, 124
C - 183

DECISION OF ARBITRATORS
Barbara H.King
Prothonotary

Jan. 9, 1992, copy put in box of Atty. Ferguson and copy mailed to Atty. rosen

Jan. 17, 1992 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attorney the Arbitrator's Decisions is hereby Appealed.

Feb. 26, 1992 Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON APRIL 1992 TRIAL LIST

Mar. 27, 1992, Motion to LIst Case for Jury Trial and Order filed. Upon consideration of the foregoing motion it is hereby denied.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. MIller, Judge

Mar. 31, 1992, copies to B. Rosen and D. Ferguson attys.

Apr. 13, 1992 ASSIGNMENT OF NONJURY TRIAL DATE, filed. April 10, 1992, nonjury trial in the above matter is set for June 1, 1992, at 1:30 p.m., in Courtroom 1 or 2.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm.

Apr. 16, 1992 copy in box for D. Ferguson, mailed copy to B. Rosen

June 2, 1992 VERDICT FILED. AND NOW, June 1, 1992, after non-jury trial, the Court finds in favor of the Plaintiffs and against the Defendants for the sum of $4,200. The Defendants shall pay simple interest at the rate of 6% per annum from October 11, 1990 plus costs of this suit.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

T - 144, 124
C - 183
June 2, 1992 Copy of the Order mailed to Atty.Rosen and given to Atty.Ferguson.
June 2, 1992 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 filed with papers.

Aug. 3, o992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned action is hereby discontinued of record, as same has been settled.

1991

Bernstein & Bernstein
Susan W. Laatsch

63.

Donald E. Lewis

Watts and Pepicelli
Christopher D. Ferry

CORONET INDUSTRIES, INC.

vs.

DORIS M. MORIAN, TRADING AND DOING BUSINESS AS JONES FLOOR COVERING

Filed Jan. 22, 1991
@ 1:49 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	26.50
Pro pd 10/16/95	5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Jan. 31, 1990 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Doris M. Morian personally on Jan. 25, 1991 at 12:50 PM at her place of business, Jones Floor Covering, East Erie St. Ext., Box 862, Linesville, Crawford Co. Pa.
So answers , R obert M. Stevens, Dep. Sheriff,
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb.11, 1991, Answer and Counterclaim filed. 1 copy (atty)

Apr. 1, 1992, Answer to Counterclaim filed.

July 7, 1992 Appearance of Watts and Pepicelli PC by Christopher D. Ferry for Defendant, filed.

Sept. 18, 1995, Motion to Dismiss filed.

Oct. 10, 1995, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 6, 1995, the plaintiff]s motion to dismiss the defendant's counterclaim for lack of docket activityis denied.
by the court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
10/10/95 dist. toBernstein and Ferry.

1991

64.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

LOUIS R. DeDOMENIC
MILMINA DeDOMENIC (deceased)

Filed Jan. 22, 1991
@ 2:34 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00 ✓
plff on orig. 9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 430

Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant Louis R. DeDomenic, in the sum of Seven Thousand and 00/100 dollars plus costs.

Debt - $7,000.00
Dated - Jan. 15, 1991
D - 102 JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1991

65.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

JOHN E. WARD
WANDA J. WARD

Filed Jan. 22, 1991
@ 2:50 p.m.

Pro simmons paid	$9.00
plff on orig	9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 441

Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants in the sum of Thirteen Thousand Seven Hundred Eighty Two and 74/100 Dollars plus costs.

Debt -- $13,782.74
Dated -- Jan. 12, 1991
W - 94, 174 JUDGMENT REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Revived to:
A.D 1996-111

1991

66.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

DOROTHY A. BEDOW

Filed Jan. 22, 1991
@ 3:08 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00 /
plff on orig 9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 470

Judgment of revival indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Nine Hundred Ninety Dollars plus costs.

Debt --- $990.00
Dated -- Jan. 14, 1991
B - 46 ---JUDGMENT REVIVAL

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Revived to:
AD1996-107

1991

67.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

POLLY CUSTARD

Filed Jan. 22, 1991
@ 3:12 p.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$9.00
plff on orig	9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE
of
DSB 1986 - 166

Judgment of revival indexed in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Three Hundred Seventy and 00/100 Dollars plus costs.

Debt -- $370.00
Dated -- Jan. 14, 1991
C - 135 --- JUDGMENT REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Revived to:
AD1996-109

1991

68.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

JOHN HARRINGTON
KAREN E. HARRINGTON

Filed Jan. 22, 1991
@ 3:16 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$9.00
plff on orig.	9.50
Pro Co. pd 11-5-92	5.00
Pro.Co. pd 1/5/94	5.00

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 252

Judgment of revival indexed in the sum of SevenThousand and 00/100 Dollars plus costs, in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants.

Debt ---- $7,000.00
Dated --- Jan. 14, 1991
H - 94, 104 -- REVIVAL OF JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Nov. 5, 1992 Writing filed wherein plaintiff postpones the lien of the above stated judgment in favor of certain mortgage given by the said John M. and Karen Harrington to Redevelopment Authority of the City of Meadville dated Oct. 16, 1992, in the amount of Seven Thousand and 00/100 Dollars. This postponement to affect the lien and collection of said judgment out of the following described property: Crawford Co. Record Book _____ at Page _____.

Jan.5, 1994 Writing filed wherein plaintiff subordinate the lien of the above stated judgment in favor of a certain mortgage given by the said John Harrington and Karen E.Harrington to MELLON BANK, N.A. dated November 29, 1993 in the sum of TWenty-six Thousand Dollars. This Subordiation Agreement to affect the lien and collection of said judgment out of the following described property: located at 110 Glenn Street, CAmbridge Springs,PA 16403, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, more fully bounded and described in the Recorder of Deed's Office in Book 1986, page 252.

Revived to:
A.D. 1996 116

69.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

THOMAS M. STYBORSKI
ROBIN J. STYBORSKI

Filed Jan. 22, 1991
@ 3:21 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$9.00
plff on orig	9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 69

Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants in the sum of Three Thousand Four Hundred Seventy Seven and 00/100 Dollars, plus costs.

Debt ---$3,477.00
Dated ---- Jan. 15, 1991
S - 165, 145 ---REVIVAL OF JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1991

70.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

CORA E. BISSELL

Filed Jan. 22, 1991
@ 3:23 p.m.

Pro Simmons, Paid $9.00 ✓
plff on orig. 9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 97

Judgment of revival indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Seven Thousand and 00/100 dollars plus costs

Debt --- $7,000.00
Dated -- Jan. 14, 1991
B - 31 -- REVIVAL OF JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Revived to:
A.D 1996-117

1991

71.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

JEREMY D. RACOP
FRANCES K. RACOP

Filed Jan. 22, 1991
@ 3:25 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00
plff on orig 14.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE
of
DSB 1986 - 188

Judgment of revival indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants in the sum of Seven Thousand and 00/100 dollars plus costs.

Debt --- $7,000.00
Dated - Jan. 12, 1991
R - 96, 66 -----JUDGMENT REVIVAL

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Revived to AD 1997-69

1991

72.

CATHERINE M. COOK

vs.

STANLEY E. COOK

Filed Jan. 22, 1991
@ 3:31 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 5/14/91	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

May 10, 1991, Acceptance of Service of Compalint filed.
I hereby accept service ofthe compalint filed in the above action.
Date: 1/26/91 Stanley E. Cook.

May 10, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

May 10, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

May 10, 1991 Upon praecipe for review under section201(c) of the divorce code, this case moved to court.

May 15, 1991 DECREE AND ORDER FILED.

And Now, this 15 day of May, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Catherine M. Cook, Plaintiff, and Stanley E. cook, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, .J.

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

73.

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker
R. Charles Thomas

PAUL G. STAMM

vs.

DIANA D. STAMM

Filed Jan. 23, 1991
@ 8:37 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
Pro co Pd 3/27/91	30.00
State Pd 3/27/91	10.00
Pro co Pd 12/6/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 6, 1991, Affidavit of Service filed. And now, Feb.5, 1991, comes Lisa M. Sherretts being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legal secretary ofAtty. for Paul G. Stamm plff. in the above matter.

2. That on information furnished her by the plaintiff she caused a cert. copy of the Complaint in divorce to be forwarded by Cert. mail, P 398 123 122; postmarked Jan. 28, 1991 tothe last known address of dft. diana D. Stamm 630 N. Morgan st. Meadville, Pa. 16335.

3. That the dft. on feb 4 1991 personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the complaint in the above matter and Which is attached hereto.

4. Service of the complaint against dft. is therefore effected in accordance with Pa. Rules of Civil Procedure.

Lisa M. Sherretts.

Feb. 20, 1991, Appearance of Thomas, Truax,Spadafore, Vardaro, and Walker, by R. Charles Thomas, attorneys for dft. filed.

Mar. 1, 1991 Answer and Counterclaim filed. (Alimony Pendente Lite, counsel Fees & Costs, Permanent Alimony)

Mar. 7, 1991 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Sept 20, 1991, Inventory and Appraisement of Paul G. Stamm filed.

Dec. 4, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec. 5, 1991, MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT as to Divorce, Equitable Distribution and Alimony filed.

Dec. 6, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Dec. 6, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review Under Sect. 3301(c) of the divorce code, this case moved to court.

Dec. 10, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And Now, this 9th day of December, 1991, the Court Orders and Decrees that Paul G. Stamm, Plaintiff, and Diana D. Stamm, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony and further orders that their Memorandum of Agreement filed in this case is incorporated herein and made a part hereof and is subject to enforcement under the provisions of Divorce Code of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

74.

TERRY SIMONETTE

vs.

KATHY SIMONETTE

Filed Jan. 23, 1991
@ 8:38 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 3-9-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 6, 1991, Affidavit of Service filed. And now, Feb. 5 1991 comes Lisa M. sherretts bring duly sworn according to law deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legal sec. of Atty. for Terry Simonette, plaintiff int he above matter.

2. That on information furnished her by the plaintiff she caused a cert. copy of complaint in div. to be forwarded by cert. mail P 398 123 123; postmarked Jan, 28, 1991 to the last known address of dft. Kathy Simonette Star Route Meadville, Pa. 16335.

3. That the defendant on Jan. 30, 1991 personally signed the ret. rec. card which was affixed to the complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto.

4. Service of the complaint against dft. is therefore effected in accordance with Pa. Rules of Civil Procedure. Lisa m. Sherretts.

Feb. 25, 1992, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Feb. 26, 1992 Motion To Withdraw Counts and ORDER filed. And, Now, this 26 day of February, 1992, the within motion is allowed.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Feb. 28, 1992 Copy of Order to Atty. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick.

Feb. 28, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed

Mar. 9, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Mar. 13, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, March 12, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that Terry Simonette, plaintiff, and Kathy Simonette, defendant, are divorced form the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

75.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF
THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

AGNES M. FOLMAR

Filed Jan. 23, 1991
@ 10:22 a.m.

Pro Simmons Paid $9.00
pl.ff on orig 9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 382

Revival of Judgment indexed in the favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Seven Thousand Dollars plus costs.

Debt -- $7,000.00
Dated -- Jan. 18, 1991
F - 11 ----JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Revived to:

AD1996-104

1991

Gary A. Fabian
Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso, Gamble
& Fabian

76.

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas W. Ferguson

JOANNE M. RICE

vs.

BARRY J. RICE

Filed Jan. 24, 1991
@ 2:18 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
State paid	10.00
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	15.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 31, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. GARY A. FABIAN, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that:

1. He is an attorney authorized to practice law within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

2. He is the attorney for the plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.

3. On Jan. 23, 1991, he had deposited in the United States Mail by certified mail, return receipt requested, Certified Mail No. P 414 752 829 as evidenced by Certified Mail Receipt No P 414 752 829 as evidenced by Certified Mail Receipt No. P 414 752 829 attached hereto and made a part hereof, a true and correct copy of a Complaint in Divorce addressed to Barry J. Rice said Complaint naming Barry J. Rice as the defendant in the above-captioned matter.

4. Service was obtained upon the defendant, Barry J. Rice on Jan. 24, 1991, as evidenced by Return Receipt for Certified Mail No. P 414 752 829 attached hereto and made a part hereof, said receipt being signed by Barry J. Rice.

FURTHER, DEPONENT SAYETH NOT.

Gary A. Fabian.

Feb. 1, 1991 Appearance of Ferguson and Spataro, by Douglas W. Ferguson, attorneys for defendant filed.

Feb 26, 1991 Motion for Hearing and Order filed: AND NOW, this 25 day of February, 1991, it is ordered that a hearing upon plaintiff's request for Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees, Expenses and Costs shall occur on the 25 day of March, 1991 at 1:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 at the Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

Marl, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Fabian and Ferguson's boxes.

Mar. 5, 1991 Motion To Reschedule Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, this 5th day of March, 1991, it is ordered that the hearing upon plaintiff's request for alimony pendente lite, counsel fees, expenses and costs presently scheduled for March 25, 1991, shall be rescheduled and shall occur onthe 24 day of April, 1991, at 10:00 o'clock a.m. in courtroom number 1 or 2 at the Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

For The Court,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Mar. 7, 1991 Copies of order put in Gary Fabian and Douglas Ferguson's box.

Apr 26, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, April 24, 1991 the matter is before the Court on a request for Alimony, counsel fees, and appraisal fees.

Both parties are employed and the husband's net income is roughly $1000 a month and the wife's net income is roughtly $1000.00 a month. Each is paying on joint obligations incurred in the past which indirectly benefits both parties, and when considering the payments made by the husband on joint obligations previously incurred, it appears that the husband is paying approximately $200.00 more per month on these joint obligations than the wife is paying.

The husband's employment is rather steady but the wife's employment in sporadic.

There is also looming on the horizan a child support obligation for the one minor child residing with the husband, and this matter will be soon heard in the Domestic Relations Section.

From the aforesaid, the Court concludes that a minimal alimony pendente lite award is appropriate, and accordingly, commencing with the month of May, 1991, the husband shall pay alimony pendente lite in the amount of $100.00 a month directly to the wife, with said payments to be paid on or before the 15th of each and every month.

This order shall last for a period of six months, as the Court believes the simplicity of the parties' respective assets should not delay the divorce from prompt disposition. In entering this order, the Court enters it with the intention that the parties continue to pay the aforesaid joint obligations assumed by each on the separation.

The parties mutually agree that within 15 days, they will agree on a mutually satisfactory appraiser to appraise the real estate located in Blooming Valley and they will each be responsible for paying one half of the appraisal fee within 15 days of the receipt of the bill.

As far as counsel fees are concerned, the Court directs that the Defendant pay $400.00 in counsel fees in installments hereafter set forth.

This order is made without prejudice to the right of counsel to petition for further counsel fees if the divorce becomes unduly delayed or becomes protracted because of the husband's conduct. Likewise, this order is made without prejudice of the right of the master and ultimately the Court to divide each parties' counsel fees in an equitable manner as a part of the final decree. Payments on the aforesaid counsel fees will be made in the amount of $100.00 per month commencing May 15, 1991 and on the 15th of each and every month thereafter until the $400.00 is paid in full.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

May 2, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Fabian and Ferguson's boxes.

August 2, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT filed.

AS OF JANUARY 21, 2000 - ANY FILINGS INVOLVING THIS CASE MAY NOW BE FOUND ON THE COMPUTER

1991

77.

ROXANE FUCCI

vs.

JOSEPH FUCCI

Filed Jan. 24, 1991
@ 11:12 a.m.

Tax & entry pd 2/5/91	$50.50	
state pd 2/5/91	5.00	
Sheriff's Costs	25.00	
Pro Co pd 2/5/91	9.00	

2/5/91 Rec'd $25.00 From Defendant

2/5/91 Paid $25.00 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff Ck# 6026

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies Sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 24 day of January , 1991 , upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petitio n.
2. Special Conditions: Temporary Possession of the residence is awarded to plaintiff. temporary custody of the children is awarded to plaintiff.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for February 4, 1991 , at 3:30 P.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon r. Miller, J

provided by 35 P.S. 10186(a)(5) since it is not known whether the defendant will resume living at the marital residence.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J
Feb. 12, 1991 copies put in Joan Mason and Kathleen Nelson's box.

Jan. 30, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Joseph Fucci personally on January 25, 1991, at 11:00 AM at his place of employment, Heatrex, South Main Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers ,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 5, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Feb. 4, 1991, after a formal hearing at which both parties were represented by counsel, the Court, having some doubt about whether the conduct of the Defendant amounts to abuse as that term is strictly defined by the Act, does believe that the Plaintiff has proven her case by the fair weight and preponderance of the evidence and finds that the Defendant did abuse his son by throwing him onto a couch and his wife by grabbing her around the chin and/or neck in a threatening manner. Accordingly, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. This Order shall remain in full force adn effect for a period of one (1) year from this date, to-wit: February 3, 1992 unless modified or terminated by further Order of this Court.
3. The Defendant shall pay the record court costs in this case in the amount of $89.50 forthwith.
4. A copy of this Ordershall be delivered to the police jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. This Order may be enforced by arrest without warrant by any law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe it has been violated.
6. The Defendant is reminded this is an Order of Court and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine of up to One Thousand Dollars ($1,000.00) and jail up to six (6) months.

By Order Of The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Feb. 5, 1991 Copy placed in Attys. Joan Mason and Kathleen Nelson box, copy to County Control.

Feb. 6, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, February 4, 1991, after a formal hearing under the Protection From Abuse Act as well as an informal discussion regarding support matters the Court entered a separate order with respect to protection from abuse in which case the defendant was not prohibited from reentering the marital residence nor was sole possession thereof awarded to the plaintiff.

The plaintiff raised questions concerning support and custody. We make no temporary order with respect to custody. We would hlpe that the parties would be able to resolve the issues of support in the event that the defendant does not move back into the marital household. It appears to the Court that the parties may not be able to resolve that and therefore the Court, in order to help the parties resolve some of their own problems, deisre to enter a support order. Therefore, it is ordered as follows:

1. In the event that the defendat moves back into the marital residence andin the further event that the plaintiff and her children remain in the residence the Court makes no decisions with respect to support.
2. In the event that the defendant moves back into the marital residence and the plaintiff and her children move out of the marital residence the Court does not now make any provisions or orders for support.
3. In the event that the defendant doe snot move back into the marital residence the defendant shall pay the follwoing e xpenses: monthly electric bill, monthly truck payment, monthly telephone bill, monthly charge cards, monthly mortgage payment (if any) and automobile insurance premiums. He shall not be required by this order to make any further payment to the plaintiff.
4. By this order the Court ameks no decision one way or the other as to whether or not the defendant owes spousal support. These provisions with respect to support are temporary and are subject to change or modification by a subsequent support orders. In the event that any future support orders are made retroactive to a period of time when the defendant is complying with this orde rhe shall receive appropriate credit as determined by a hearing officer or the Court for compliance with this order. Any beneficiary of this order is relieved fromthe obligation of filing a petition for support within two weeks form the date of this order as

1991

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

78.

SHERRY L. LENHART

vs.

DAVID P. LENHART

Filed Jan. 24, 1991
@ 11:32 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00

Bruce Smith, mediator

Pro Co pd 7/10/91 9.00

Pro Co Pd 12-19-96 $

11-6-96 Rec'd from deft med fee 58.08

12-19-96 Pd Co Treas 58.08

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

Feb. 12, 1991, Affidavit of Service filed. Before me the undersigned officer, personally appeared Jos. C. Barnhart, Esq. atty. for the aboye plaintiff, who being duly sworn according to law, did depose and say that he did serve a cert. copy of a Divorce Compalint upon the named defendant at his mailing address of R. D. #1, Box 61, Townville, Pa. 16360 by U. S. Cert. mail, rest. del., evidenced by postal ret. rec. #P 620 647 556 purportedly signed by said dft. and dated feb. 5, 1991 attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. Joseph C. Barnhart, Esq.

Feb. 12, 1991, Motion for Appointment of Child Custody Mediator and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 19 day of Feb. 1991 upon consideration of plaintiffs Motion for Appointment of a Custody Mediator, BRUCE SMITH ESQ. is appointed custody mediator and is hereby directed to hold such custody mediation conferences as the mediator deems appropriate and to make recommendations thereafter to this court. The parties are ordered to appear before the custody mediator atsuch times as the mediator shall direct and to bring with them the children, the subject of this action.

If you fail to appear as provided for by this order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrext.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Comm.
C/o Prothonotary Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335 724 4636.

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Mar. 20, 1991 Temporary Order of Court filed. AND NOW,this 19 day of March, 1991, after Mediation and upon recommendation of the child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Timothy J. Lenhart, age six, and Amber C. Lenhart, age four, the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of said minor children. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitle both of the parents to equall access to any records relating to the children held by a third party, including, but not limited to, school and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to the educational and religious upbringing ofthe children, as well as to elective surgery.

2. Physical custodyofthe children shall remain with the natural mother, Sherry L. Lenhart. Regular visitation and temporary physical custody of the children shall be granted to the defendant father, david P. Lenhart. Physical custody for the defendant father shall be s follows:

a) The children shall be available to be in the father's custody every Wednesday afternoon from 3:30 p.m. through 8:00 p.m., prevailing time. In the event that the father is out of the area due to his job, his parents shall be authorized to exercise this visitation. In that event, they shall pick up and drop off the children at the mother's residence. The father shall also have the right to take the children into his temporary custody on the first, second and fourth Saturday mornings of each mohth beginning at 9:00 a.m., prevailing time,and shall be permitted to keep the children in his custody until noon, prevailing time, Sunday. The father shall be responsible for both picking up and dropping off the children at the mother's residence. In the event the father, because of his work schedules, is unable to exercise the visitation above provided, he shall give telephone notice as soon as he knows his schedule to the plaintiff mother. Additionally, the children shaall be made availabe to speak with thier fathe ronthe telephone at anytime they are at home and he calls between the hours of 4:00 p.m. and8:00 p.m., prevailing time.

b) Beginning with the summer of 1991, the father shall be entitled to three uninterrupted weeks of physical custody with the children, those weeks being the first full calendar week of June, July, and August. Additionally, the mother shall be entitled to three consecutive uninterrupted weeks of phyisical custody of the children each summer on a notice of ten (10) days to the father. This is to provide the mother an opportunity to travel with the children, presumably to her home area in Colorado. The mother shall make every effort to see that these three consecutive weeks do not interrupt the father's full week visitations in June, July and August. If that is the case, the mother shall assign tothe father, and he shall choose, an alternate week for one ofthe months each summer.

c) Because of the father's work schedule, holidays will not be assigned to the mother or to the father; however, the parties are encouraged to indicate that they will be able to provide visitation time with the father on all major holidays when he is not working and is in his home area. Special emphasis shall be made to provide visitation with the father at Thanksgiving and Christmas. No visitation shall be exercised which would interfere withthe children's school schedules.

d) anytime the father is more than one hour late for a scheduled transfer of custody, the transfer and resulting partial custody shall be waived and shall not occur until the same time the next day unless satisfactory arrangements to the contrary are made with the mother.

3. The parent having custody of the children at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of injury or illness of the children requiring medical treatment.

4. Neither parent shall take the childme into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to their devleopment or to the development of their characters or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to their physical persons.

5. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the children; and, if the parties mutually agree to alternitive arrangements to accommodate themselves and/or the children's schedules, they may adjust the phyisical custody arrangements accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement. With the permission of the mother, either or both of the children will be permitted to take trips withthe fathe ron his work.

6. Each of the parents shall teach the children to develop and express that natural love and respect due a parent form his or her children, and neither parent will do ro say anything to blacken the character of the other parent in the eyes of the children. This admonition shall extend to the grandparents and other parties involved with the children.

7. This Order shall not prejudice the right of eithe rparty to request further Mediation if there are changed circumstances in th elives of the parties or the children or in the event that the best interest of the children would be served by further Mediation.

8. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford county, Pennsylvania, shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either parent or either child resides in the Court's jurisdiction and for at least six months form the date of this Temporary Order.

9. The fee for Child custody Mediation shall be paid 50% by the County of Crawford on behalf of the plaintiff mother and 50% by the defendant fa ther.

10. This Order shall be a Temporary Orde,r but shall become a permanent Order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty days from the date of this Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 22, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Attys. Barnhart, Smith and defendant and copy put in Bettie Muth's box.

May 8, 1991 Plaintiff's Affidavit Of Consent To Divorce filed.

July 10, 1991 Defendant's Affidavit of Consent To Divorce filed.

July 10, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To transmit Record, this case moved to court.

July 26, 1991 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 18th day of July, 1991, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. UPon consideration of the pleadings and Affidavits filed in the above-captioned matter, the Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matirmony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint and and Affidavit has been file dby each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. No other matters or causes of action provied under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code of 1980, as amended, are reserved by either of the parties.

BY THE COURT,:
P. richard Thomas, S.J.

1991

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

79.

BRENDA SUE KISKADDEN

vs.

RICHARD DALE KISKADDEN

Filed Jan. 24, 1991
@ 1:18 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$ 50.50
state paid	5.00
William Pineo, mediator	152.00
Pro Copd 12-3-96	9.00

5/28/91 #76.00 Paid by defendant. Mediation Costs.

7-1-91 pd. Co. Comm. #76.00 ck. 6295

5-8-96 Recd from Deft medfee 150.00

11-6-96 Recd from Plff medfee 60.00

12-3-96 Recd from Plff medfee 16.00

12-18-96 Rel'd

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (mediator)
1 copy (atty)

You, Richard Dale Kiskadden, defendant have been sued in court to obtain custody ofthe minor children, Amy Kiskadden and Alaina Kiskadden.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by WILLIAM PINEO, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Public Comm. c/o Prothonotary Crawford Countycourthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335 814 724-4636.

Date: Jan. 25, 1991 BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Feb. 20, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Bruce A. Barrett, Esq., being duly sworn to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of a Complaint for Custody was sent by registered mail to the Defendant.

3. The registered mail was sent to the Defendant at, RD #2, Box 218, Cochranton, PA 16314 on Jan. 30, 1991. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. The Defendant received said registered mail on Feb. 2, 1991. Said receipt card number 320 791 284 is attached hreto and signed the Defendant, Richard Dale Kiskadden.

Bruce A. Barrett, Atty.

May 13, 1991 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 10 day of May, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. The subject minor children shall remain with their father until 5:00 p.m. of the day following the close of school at Greenwood Elementary, for the summer. They shall then reside with their mother until 5:00 p.m. of July 15, and with their father from 5:00 p.m. of July 15 until 5:00 p.m. August 21. Thereafter, the mother shall have primary physical custody from 5:00 p.m. of August 15 of each year until 5:00 p.m. of June 15 of the following year. Starting in the summer of 1992, the father shall have primary physical custody from June 15 at 5:00 p.m. until August 15, at 5:00 p.m..

b. The subject minor children shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Christmas holiday visitation periods, the first beginning Christmas Eve at noon and ending Christmas Day at 10:00 a.m. and the second beginning Christmas Day at 10:00 a.m. and ending Christmas Day at 7:00 p.m. The father shall always have the children for visitation on the first period and the mother, the second. The father shall have temporary physical custody and visitation during the children's Christmas vacation from school each year from Christmas Day at 7:00 p.m. through New Year's Day at noon.

b. Thanksgiving holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Thanksgiving holiday visitation periods. The first shall begin on the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving at 5:00 p.m. and end Thanksgiving Day at 10:00 a.m. and the second shall begin Thanksgiving Day at 10:00 a.m. and end Thanksgiving Day at 7:00 p.m. The children shall visit their father each year on the first period and their mother the second period. The father shall have visitation with his children during the Thanksgiving holiday from Thanksgiving Day at 7:00 p.m. through the Sunday following Thanksgiving at 7:00 p.m.

c. The Easter vacation visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Easter vacation visitation periods. The first beginning on Holy Saturday at 10:00 a.m. and ending on Easter Sunday at 10:00 a.m. and the second beginning Easter Sunday at 10:00 a.m. and ending Easter Sunday at 7:00 p.m. The father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody of his children for the first period each year and the mother, the second.

d. The children shall spend Father's Day with their father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with their mother, during the same hours.

e. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported on in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the chilren's estimation.

Continued on page 591

1991

Joel B. Gold

80.

PITTSBURGH NATIONAL BANK, A NATIONAL BANKING ASSOCIATION

vs.

IDA W. BLACK

Filed Jan. 24, 1991
@ 2:17 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriffs costs	25.00
Pro Co Pd 3-27-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

FEb. 26, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Ida W. Black personally on Feb. 5, 1991 at 12:22 p.m. at Sheriffs Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.
So answers, Carole Stefanucci, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

AND NOW, March 27, 1991 @ 11:29 a.m., Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney with notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant for failure to file a responsive pleading and the same is liquidated in the amount of Nine Thousand Six Hundred Sixty-seven and 89/100 Dollars together with interest and fees from January 25, 1991, of One Hundred Ninety-three and 61/100 Dollars for a total of Nine Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty-one and 50/100 Dollars plus costs of suit.
B-81

Debt...$9,861.50 + costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

3-27-91 Judgment entered.

April 1, 1991 Notice of Judgment mailed defendant.

5-1-96 Revived & ADI996-443

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
Charles J. Swick

81.

AMERICAN FEDERAL SAVINGS

vs.

MARK B. ZIMMERMAN
AND DONNA M. ZIMMERMAN

Filed Jan. 24, 1991
@ 2:20 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sh||riff's Costs	31.00
Pro Co Pd 2/21/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Feb. 11, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Donna M. Zimm\|rman p\|rsenally on January 29, 1991 at 3:03 PM at h\|r r\|sid\|nc\|, 1413 L\|sl\|\| Road, M\|adv\|ll\|, Crawford County, PA. SERVED Mark B. Zimm\|rman on January 29, 1991 at 3:04 PM by handing copy of Complaint to his wif\|, Donna M. Zimm\|rman, at th\|ir r\|sid\|nc\|, 1413 L\|sl\|\| Road, M\|adv\|ll\|, Crawford County, PA.
So answ\|rs, Rob\|rt M. St\|v\|ns, D\|puty Sh\|riff
Howard N. St\|wart, Sh\|riff

And Now, Feb. 21, 1991, at 3:58 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to answer, and damages are assessed in the sum of Twenty Eight Thousand Five Hundred Thirty Four and 10/100 Dollars together with interest on debt at rate of 10.00% per annum from 12/15/90 and costs of suit. (includes int. to 12/15/90 of $232.49; late chgs of 110.40, taxes, Ins. (486.84; attys comm. 1,319.26)
Judgment entered 2/21/91
Z - 112, 42
Debt ------- 28,534.10

Int. from 12/15/90
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EX. 1991 - 16

1991

82.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE

vs.

CAROL M. KUHN

Filed Jan. 24, 1991
@ 3:28 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$9.00
plff on orig	9.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 296

Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Five Thousand Seven Hundred Forty Four and 40/100 Dollars plus costs.

Debt ---- $5,744.40

Date ----- Jan. 19, 1991
K - 33 --- REVIVAL OF JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Revived to
AD 1996 - 106

1991

Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs
Christopher J. Youngs

83.

IDA J. HOUCK

vs.

DAVID C. STEVENS

Filed Jan. 24, 1991
@ 4:18 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co PA 3/15/91	9.00

PETITION TO ESTABLISH CUSTODY AND VISITATION SCHEDULE

2 copies (atty)

Feb. 5, 1991 AFfidavit of Service filed. Christopher J. Youngs, hereby certifies that he is the atty. for plaintiff in the within action and that a copy of the Petition to Est. Custody and Visitation Sc hedule was sent by cert. mail, ret. rec. req. del. to addressee only to the dft. David C. Stevens, at Main St. Conneautv ille, Pa. 16406 on Feb. 1, 1991. The rec. from cert. mail and the ret. rec. are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A". Christopher j. Youngs, esq.

Feb. 6, 1991 CONSENT CUSTODY AND VISITATION ORDER filed. AND NOW, Feb. 6, 1991 an appropriate Petition have been filed, upon the stipulation of both parties, attached hereto it is hereby ordered:

1. The parties shall share legal and physican custody of the minor children, Nicole L. Stevens and Denton M. Stevens.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. The mother, Ida J. Houck shall have the primary physical custody of the children. The father, david C. Stevens, shall have physical custody of the children every other weekend. Father shall have physical custody of the children during such holidays and portions of the children's summer vacation as mother and father shal mutually agree.

b. The subject minor children shall have the right to telephone the parent not having plysical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitation as set out in this order or as mutually arranged by the parties, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impells the cancellation or modification.

5. Father shall be responsible for all transportation ofthe children in the exerices of his rights under this order.

6. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

7. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

8. Any person transporting the chidren shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pa.

9. Neither party shall do or say anything toblacken the character ofthe other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

10. The parties are free to make additional alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this order shall govern. By the Court,

3 copies (atty) Robert L. Walker, J.

1991

MacDonald, Illig,
Jones & Britton
Susan Fuhrer Reiter

84.

EQUIPMENT, LTD.

vs.

MAPLEX DIMENSIONAL WOOD
PRODUCTS, INC.

Filed Jan. 25, 1991
@ 11:22 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	18.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Feb. 13, 1991 Writ return filed. NOT FOUND as to Maplex Dimensional Wood Products, Inc. Business closed, Gate locked. So answers,

Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

C. Barton Jones

85.

WAYNE E. BAGGOT AND JOYCE M. WEHRER

vs.

DAVID DONAHY AND ROBERTA DONAHY, THEIR HEIRS, SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

Filed Jan. 25, 1991
@ 11:34 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 4/29/91	9.00

PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT TO QUIET TITLE

Jan. 25, 1991 Motion for Service by Publication filed.

Jan. 25, 1991
ORDER OF COURT FOR SERVICE BY PUBLICATION filed.
AND NOW, this 29th day of January, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion,requesting service by publication, the Plaintiffs are hereby granted leave to obtain service of the Complaint filed above captioned action in the following manner:

1) By publication in the Meadville Tribune, a newspaper of general circulation in Crawford County, Pennsylvania, as to all Defendants whose addresses or whereabouts are unknown as set forth in the Plaintiffs' Affidavit regarding unlocated, deceased and unknown defendants, and in the Crawford Law Journal.

2) That servic e by publication be made against any of the heirs, successors, administrators, devisees or assigns, known or unknown, of the Defendant in the above-captioned action, as provided by PennsylvaNIA Rules of Civil Procedure No. 1064(b), which publication shall be in the form of the attached Legal Notice.

By Order of the Court of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, this 29th day of January, 1991.
Number of times of publication: 1

Gordon R. Miller, Judge

Mar. 22, 1991 Affidavit Of Service of Complaint filed.
C. Barton Jones, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says as follows:

1) Your Dependent is an adult citizen ofthe Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and resides at 1267 East Lake Road, Jamestown, Crawford County Pennsylvania.

2) Your Dependent is the attorney of record for Plaintiff in the above captioned action and makes this Affidavit on their behalf.

3) A Complaint in Action to Quiet Title, endorsed with Notice to Plead within Twenty (20) days was served upon all defendants named in the above captioned action by publication in the Meadville Tribune newspaper on the 20th day of February 1991, and in the Crawford County Legal Journal on the 27th day of February 1991, pursuant to Orde ro this Court dated the 29th day of January, 191, as will more fully appeared by reference to Proof of Publications annexed hereto and made a part hereof.

4) The Defendants named in the above captioned action did not enter their appearances, nor filed a responsive pleading to the Complaint wihtin Twenty (20) days after service upon said Defendants as hereinabove set forth, to this date.

C. Barton Jones

Mar. 27, 1991 Motion For Judgment filed.

Mar. 27, 1991 Order of Court filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of March, 1991, an Affidavit of Service of the Complaint with Notice to Plead attached thereto having been filed, and no appearance or answer having been made by or on behalf of the Defendant sin the above-captioned aciton, upon motion of C. Barton Jones, Attorney for Plaintiffs, this Honorable Count hereby ORDERED AND DECREES:

1) That the defendnats, DAVID DONAHY and ROBERTA DONAHY, their Heirs, Assigns are hereafter forever barred from asserting any right, lien, or interest in or to the parcel of land owned by the Plaintiff in this Action to Quiet Title.

2) The Plaintiffs, are hereby declared the rightful title holder to the whole of that land as described in their Complaint; and the Plaintiffs are her eby recongnized as in possession of all the undivided right, title interest and ownership due them as the sole owners of that land.

3) The Defendnats, their representatives, heirs, successors, administrators, executors, or assigns, have Thirty (30) days form the date of this Order to bring an action in ejectment or to take exception. If such action is not take within Thirty (30) days frm the date of this Order the Prothonotary, on Praecipe of the Plaintiff, shall enter final judgment, all in accordance with Pa. R.C.P. 1066.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 28, 1991 copy of order mailed to C. Barton Jones

And Now, Apr. 29, 1991 at 1:22 p.m., upon plaintiff attorney praecipe, FINAL JUDGMENT is hereby entered in the above captioned action in accordance with the foregoing order of court.
Judgment entered - 4/29/91
D - 43, 143

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Charles J. Swick

86.

MARQUETTE SAVINGS
ASSOCIATION

vs.

JOSEPH BRULEIGH AND KAREN
PHELPS

Filed Jan. 25, 1991
@ 1:24 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	44.10
Pro Co Pd 3/6/91-	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

1 copy (atty)
2 copies (sheriff)

Feb. 14, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Karen Phelps pers personally on February 1, 1991, at 1:01 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
So answers, Diane Severo, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 6, 1991, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Charles J. Swick, being first duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am authorized to make this affidavit, wherein I do hereby afirm that on the 30 day of Jan. 1991, Dft. was served in this matter by cert. mail, first class, postage prepaid, ret. rec. req., at his last known address at c/o Duchess Home of Adults, 196 Washington St. Poughkeepsie, NY 12601-1340, as is more fully shown by the attached ret. rec. Charles J. Swick Esq.

And Now, Mar. 6, 1991 at 3;26 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to file answer; damages assessed in the sum of Fourteen Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty and 75/100 dollars plus int. to 1/31/91 of $259.45 and Atty. Comm of $1,549.88. together with int. of 10.5% per annum from 1/31/91 and costs. Judgment entered 3/6/91
B - 94
P - 101

Debt --$16,570.08
Int. from 1/31/91
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EXECUTION 1991 - 21

1991

Shafer, swick Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
Charles J. swick

87.

MARQUETTE SAVINGS
ASSOCIATION

vs.

CHARLES D. HUSSING AND
CYNTHIA L. HUSSING, HIS WIFE

Filed Jan. 25, 1991
@ 1:34 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	31.25
Pro Co. Pd 3-6-91	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

3 copies (sheriff)

Feb.12, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Charles D. Hussing personally on Jan. 29, 1991 at 2:09 p.m. at his residence R. d. #1, Raleigh Lane, Espyville, Crawford County Pa.
SERVED Cynthia L. Hussing by handing copy of Compalint to Charles D. Hussing, her husband on Jan. 29, 1991 at 2:10 p.m. at their residence R. D. #1, Raleigh Lane, Esqyville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

March 6, 1991, 3:38 p.m., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, to file default judgment, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against Defendant for failure to file an answer and damages are assessed as follows:

Principal Debt	$14,541.61
Interest to 1-31-91	114.22
Attorney's Commission	727.08
TOTAL	$15,382.91

Together with interest on debt at a rate of 9.5% per annum from 1-31-91 and costs of suit.

H-33,33
Judgment entered 3/6/91

Debt $15,382.91
Interest at 9.5% per annum from 1-31-91
Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro.

EXECUTION NO. 1991 - 22

1991

88.

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

DAVID KEVIN RORER

Filed Jan. 25, 1991
@ 3:37 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

APPEAL FROM MOTOR VEHICLE LICENSE SUSPENSION

1 copy (atty)

ORDER:
AND NOW, Jan. 25, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition a hearing is hereby set for the 25th dayof April 1991, at 9:30 a.m.
Further, the defendant shall be entitled to continue to operatre a motor vehicle pending further hearing.
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Feb. 20, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Bruce A. Barrett, Esq.,being duly sworn to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.
1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Defendant in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of an Appeal from Motor Vehicle License Suspension was sent by registered mail to the Plaintiff.
3. The registered mail was sent to the Plaintiff at, Commonwealth of PA., Dept. of Transportation, Office of Chief Counsel, 1209 State Office Bldg., 300 Liberty Ave., Pgh. PA 15222 on Jan. 29, 1991. s The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. The Plaintiff, Commonwealth of PA., received said registered mail on or about Feb.1,1991. Said receipt card number 320 791 285 is attached hereto and signed by a representative of the Commonwealth of PA., Dept. of Transportation.
Bruce A. Barrett, Atty.

Apr 25, 1991 Exhibits filed with papers.

Apr. 26, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Apr. 25, 1991 after hearing the defendant's appeal is dismissed. the court finds that the defendant originally received a notice of suspension dated Dec. 26, 1990. It is the defendant's contention that the death of his mother on Jan. 5, 1988 interfered with his attendance at a sc heduled Departmental suspension Hearing. Defendant contends he notified the Dept. and contends he was orally assured that the hearing would be resc heduled.
The defendant continued to drive and in Feb. and Oct. of 1988 committed two (2) more violations for which he received a suspension. The defendant's plea is to address the equitable powers of the court in that he missed the original Departmental Hearing which triggered an indefinite suspension because of the death of his mother, and accordingly, was led to believe he would get a further hearing.
In this regard, the court notes that he received adequate notice of an indefinite suspension and received at least two (2) more suspensions for violation of the Vehicle Code and took no procedural steps to attack these various suspensions.
BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.
May 1, 1991 copies to B. Barrett and Kathryn Lynn Stevenson attys.

1991

Jorden & White
Harry Faber WHite II

89.

CHARLENE D. REICHEL

vs.

KIM E. REICHEL

Filed Jan. 25, 1991
@ 4:30 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 5/2/91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 9, 1991, Complaint reinstated.

May 2, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. AND NOW, this 25th day of April, 1991, comes the Defendant, Kim E. Reichel, and swears that he accepted service of a Divorce Complaint under Section 201(c), and a Notice to Defend and Claim Rights on the 25th day of April, 1991 in the above captioned matter, with the full force and effect of service under the Divorce Code of Pennsylvania.

Kim E. Reichel

May 2, 1991 Affidavit of Consent Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code of defendant filed.

May 2, 1991 Affidavit of Consent Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code of Plaintiff filed.

May 2, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

May 8, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, May 8, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that CHARLENE D. REICHEL, plaintiff, and KIM E. REICHEL, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1991

90.

MELODY BARTH

vs.

JOSEPH T. BARTH

Filed Jan. 28, 1991
@ 12:05 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's costs	27.30

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 28 day of Jan., 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions:

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for Feb. 5, 1991, at 3:00 P.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Jan. 30, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Joseph t. Barth personally on Jan. 29. 1991 at 1:50 PM at his place of residence, RD2, Box 110, Linesville, Crawford Co. Pa.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 8, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, February 5, 1991, after hearing, the Court is satisfied by the fair weight of the evidence that the facts of this case do not comport with the intention of the Legislature in adopting the Abuse Act. The Plaintiff intends to move to the State of Ohio but still has some hopes of reconcilation. The Defendant's intentions are unknown at this time. Accordingly, the Court dismisses the petition and directs the County to pay the costs.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. richard Thomas, S.J.

Feb. 8, 1991 Copy put in Atty's box and copy given to County Control.

1991

91.

DEBORAH CASTILE

vs.

MILTON BISHOP

Filed Jan. 28, 1991
@ 12:05 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's costs	21.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies Sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 28th day of January, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Temporary possession of the parties residence is granted to plaintiff. Defendant shall not go to plaintiff's home.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for february 7, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Jan 30, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Milton Bishop personally on Jan. 28, 1991 at 12:46 PM at place of employment, Zeke's Car Cure, Poplar St., Meadville, Crawford Co. Pa.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 8, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Feb. 7, 1991, after informal hearing, it appearing that there is substantial dispute of fact between the parties as to what conduct has occurred in the past but it further appearing that the Defendant has indicated that he has no intention of harassing or contacting the Plaintiff in any way and has no objection to the entry of an Order requiring him to refrain from any abuse or contact with the Plaintiff, the following Order is entered by consent and without admission by either party concerning the facts as they in fact occurred:

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or her minor child in any way.
2. The Defendant shall not go to the Plaintiff's residence at 197 Spring St., Meadville, PA. or to any other residence or place of employment where he may know the Plaintiff to be at any time or for any purpose.
3. The Defendant has apparently been incarcerated since Feb. 6, 1991 for an alleged violation of the temporary Order and he is hereby released from incarceration immediately and upon his release, a representative of the Sheriff's Office shall go with him to 197 Spring St., Meadville, PA., for the purpose of obtaining his personal effects, mail, tax returns and the like which the Plaintiff has advised the Court are all in one or more bags or other containers, including his stereo, and the Defendant shall deliver to the Plaintiff any keps for the residence formerly occupied by the parties.
4. This Order may be enforced by any law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe that the Order has been violated.
5. A copy of this Order shall be furnished to the Meadville City Police and to County Control.
6. This Order shall remain in full force adn effect for a period of one (1) year from this date.
7. The Defendant shall pay the record costs in this matter within sixty (60) days of this date.

It should be noted that the Defendant's current address is 337 E. 22nd St., Erie, PA 16505.

By Order Of The Court,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Feb. 8, 1991 Copy mailed to Plaintiff, Defendant and City Police. Copy given to County Control.

Mar. 7, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, March 7, 1991, the Court having been advised that the Defendant has been placed in the Crawford County Jail in contempt of the Abuse Order dated February 7, 1991, hearing is set for March 8, 1991, at 10:30 A.M., in Courtroom No. 1.

For The Court,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Mar. 7, 1991 Copies of order given to Jail and Sheriff's Dept.

, Mar. 8, 1991 ORder filed. AND NOW, Mar. 8, 1991, after hearing and it appearing that the Defendant, Milton Bishop, did not substantially violate the Court Order of February 7, 1991, the contempt citation issued in this matter is dismissed and the Order of Judge Walker dated February 7, 1991, shall continue for the balance of the one (1) year period provided for in said Order.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
William E. Pfadt, S.J.

Mar. 15, 1991 copy mailed to Plaintiff, Defendant , City Police.copy given to County Control and Warden..

Sept 10, 1991 Petition for Emergency Relief Under the Protection from Abuse Act and Order filed: AND NOW, this 6 day of Sept., 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court enters the following Temporary Order. 1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.

2. Special Conditions: Defendant may not go to the residence of Plaintiff for any purpose or contact her in any fashion.

Continued on page 587

1991

Bernstein & Bernstein Susan W. Laatsch 92.	HYPER ALLOYS, INC. vs. GRASON CORPORATION Filed Jan. 28, 1991 @ 1:05 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.50 state paid 5.00 Sheriff's Costs 27.50	COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION 1 copy (sheriff) Feb. 19, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Not Found as to grason Corporation. Out of business, but maintains mailing address of P.O. Box 246, Titusville, PA. So answers, Howard N. STewart, Sheriff

1991

Shafer, Swick Bailey, Irwin & Stack Charles J. Swick 93.	MARQUETTE SAVINGS ASSOCIATION vs. RICHARD L. WATKINS Filed Jan. 28, 1991 @ 2:50 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.50 ✓ State paid 5.00 sheriffs costs 27.00 ✓ Pro Co Pd 3/8/91 - 5.00 ✓	COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE 2 copies (sheriff) FEb. 12, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed.. SERVED Richard L. Watkins personally on Jan. 29, 1991 at 2:55 p.m. at his residence 583 Washington st. Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. No other person found to be in possession of Property. So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. sheriff Howard N. Stewart, sheriff Mar. 6, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned matter is hereby discontinued of record.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack

Charles J. Swick

94.

MARQUETTE SAVINGS ASSOCIATION

vs.

PAUL D. ALLEN AND TAMMY D. ALLEN a/k/a TAMMY NAGARE

Filed Jan. 28, 1991
@ 2:53 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	82.75
sheriffs costs	119.73
pro co pd. 5/17/91--	9.00
Pro Co Pd 10/21/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

3 copies (sheriff)

Mar. 6, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. AND NOW, February 1, 1991 the attached complaint against Tammy D. allen, a/k/a Tammy Nagare defendant is being return unserved.
So answers, Toby Rigazzi, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff

Personally appeared before me, Sgt. Lewis a deputy for Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff of Allegheny County and in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he made diligent search and inquiry for Paul D. Allen at 1015 N. Euclid Ave. Pgh., Pennsylvania, and elsewhere on the 13 day of Feb., A.D. 1991, up to and including the day of A.D. 19 , and was unable to find the said deft. moved out anywhere within the said County of Allegheny, Pennsylvania.
So answers, Sgt. Lewis, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. coon, Sheriff

Not Found as to defendant Paul D. allen, defendant moved out according to Affidavit received from Sheriff of Allegheny County and hereto attached.
Not Found as to defendant TAmmy D. allen a/k/a Tammy Nagare. The residence torn down according to Affidavit received from Sheriff of Erie County and hereto attached.
Served Tenant, person in possession of property, Nicola Cuzzocrea personally on February 4, 1991 at 11:14 AM at Lot #3, Block #1, Sunnyside Addition, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, deputy Sher;iff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

March 19, 1991 Praecipe to Reinstate Complaint filed.

Apr. 17, 1991 Complaint Reinstated.

May 20, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. Before me the undersigned authority, appeared William Parker, Dep. who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 22 day of April 1991 at 9:29 a.m. he served Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure on Paul D. Allen, at 1030 Weschler Ave., Erie County, Erie, Pa. by aaking known the contents thereof to him and handing and leaving with him the cert. copy of the Complaint received from Crawford County.

Before Me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Steve Brandon, Dep. who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 30 day of Mar. 1991, at 1720 hrs. he served Compalint in Mortgage Foreclosure filed at AD 1991 94 Allegheny County Pa. upon dft. Tammy D. Allen a/k/a Tammy Nagare at 6540 Peach ST. Erie County, Erie, Pa. By making known the contents thereof to Art Nagare, her husband and handing to and leaving with him the cert. cpy of the Complaint received from Allegheny County.

Steve Brandon, Dep. Sheriff
William Parker, Dep. Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff

SERVED Paul D. Allen by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, Pa. as per his affidavit of service atta ched. Served: Apr. 22, 1991.

SERVED Tammy D. Allen a/k/a Tammy Nagare by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, Pa. as per his affidavit of Service attached. Served: mar. 30, 1991.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart Sheriff

at 2:22 p.m.

And nOw, May 17, 1991, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in Favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer; damages assessed in the amount of Twenty Eight Thousand Seven Hundred and 28/100 Dollars plus interest from Jan. 31, 1991 at 13 3/4 % per annum and cost of suit.
Judgment entered - 5/17/91
A - 131, 161
N - 164

Debt ---- $28,700.28
Int. from 1/31/91
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,

May 21, 1991 Notice of entry of Judgment mailed to defendants.

EXECUTION 1991 42

And Now, Oct. 21, 1991 at 3:54 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with discharge of debtor attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the p laintiff and against the defendants in the sum of Twenty Eight Thousand Seven Hundred and 28/100 Dollars, plus interest from Jan. 31, 1991 at 13 3/4 % per annum and costs of suit.
judgment entered
10/21/91
A - 131, 161
N - 164

Debt ----$28,700.28
Int. from 1/31/91 at 13 3/4% per annum
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 23, 1991 notices of entry of judgment mailed to defendants.

EXECUTION 1991 - 91

1991

James R. Irwin
Shafer, swick Bailey,
Irwin & Stack

95.

Jordan & White
Harry Faber White

KENNETH HLOPICK

vs.

CHERYL A. PETERSON

Filed Jan. 28, 1991
@ 3:08 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Pro Co pd 11-6-92 9.00

PETITION FOR CUSTODY (UCCJA)

1 copy (atty)

ORDER:

This custody matter was presented to the court late DEcember and the court became aware of the pending charges against the juvenile involving drugs and his tneuous position with the school system.

We referred the matter to the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer and requested he schedule a meeting with counsel for the parties. This meeting resulted in the attached Memorandum.

ACCORDINGLY we direct the Prothonotary to file this custody action, but the court defers any action thereon and defers any appointment of a custodymediator at this time.

Once the juvenile case is disposed of, either party may petition the court for appointment of a custody mediator or for a court hearing to resolve the custody issue. In the event of a dramatic change in conduct on the part of the juvenile pending the outcome of the juvenile case, either party may likewise petition for appointment of a mediator or hearing before the court addressing the custody issue.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

2 copies (attys)
1 copy (juvenile officer)

Mar. 27, 1991 Stipulation and Amended Consent Custody Order and Order filed. AND NOW, this 27th day of March, 1991, it is hereby ordered and decreed:

1. That legal and physical custody of Jennifer Hlopick and Christopher Hlopick shall be with the Mother, Cheryl A. Peterson, at R.D. #3, Cambridge Springs, Pennsylvania.
2. All ofthe fAther, Kenneth Hlopick's future child support olbiations or payments for and on behalf of Jennifer Hlopick and Christopher Hlopick shall be suspended.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 28, 1991 Copies of order put in James Irwin and Jorden & White's box.

Sept. 1, 1992 STIPULATION AND CONSENT TO ENTRY OF CUSTODY ORDER and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 31st day of August, 1992, upon presentation of the Stipulation and Consent of the parties, attached hereto, and after consideration of same, it is hereby ORDERED, DIRECTED and DECREED that:

1. Primary physical custody of the parties' minor child, Christopher L. Hlopick, shall be with the father, Kenneth Hlopick at his residence at 1730 Lake Rd., Lot 13, Conneaut, Ohio 44030.
2. The parties shall share joint legal custody of said minor child.
3. The natural father waives any right that he may have to receive child support from the natural mother, Cheryl A. Peterson on behalf of the minor child, Christopher L. Hlopick.
4. All visitation between the natural mother, Cheryl A. Peterson and the minor child Christopher L. Hlopick, shall be by mutual agreement of the parties with the consent of the minor child.
5. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if it were to be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which, this order shall govern.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Sept. 4, 1992 copy to J. Irwin & H. White

Nov. 6, 1992 Consent Custody Order and Stipulation and Consent to Entry of Custody, filed.

1991

Terry Toomey

96.

DAVID R. ROMANISZYN

vs.

WAYNE B. CHAMBERS

Filed Jan. 28, 1991
@ 4:31 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	46.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Feb. 13, 1991 Writ Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared William H. Peck, IV, deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn accor ding to law, deposes and says that on the 31st day of January, 1991, at 2:20 P.M., he served Summons, filed at NO. 96 tErm, 1991, County, Pennsylvania, upon Defendant Wayne Chambers at Kossuth, PA. By making known the contents thereof to Wayne Chambers and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Summons received from Sheriff of Crawford County.

So answers, William H. Peck, IV, Deputy Sher.
Vern E. Smith, Sheriff

SERVED Wayne B. Chambers by deputizing the Sheriff of Clarion County, PA as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served January 31, 1991.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman, Berlin, May Walsh and Brabender
Stephen H. Hutzelman

97.

BENEFICIAL CONSUMER DISCOUNT COMPANY

vs.

RICHARD WEBSTER AND HAZEL WEBSTER, HIS WIFE

Filed Jan. 29, 1991
@ 11:34 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	33.45
Pro Co. PD 3-19-91	9.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Feb. 25, 1991 Sheriff's REturn filed. SERVED Richard Webster on February 4, 1991 at 11:27 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Carl Allen, his Brother-in-Law, and person in charge of residence, R.D. #3, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, PA. 16335

SERVED Hazel Webster on February 4, 1991 at 11:28 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Carl Allen, her Brother, and person in charge of residence, R.D. #3, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County PA 16403

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy SHe;riff
Howard N. STewart Sheriff

March 19, 1991 at 1:32 p.m., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with copy of Notice of Intention to file default judgment aattached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against Defendant for failure to file an answer and damages are assessed as follows:

Amount claimed in Plaintiff's Complaint	$1,908.72
Interest from August 1990	66.81
Attorney's fees	381.74
TOTAL	$2,357.27

Plus court costs of record.
Dated: March 18, 1991

W-145,85
Judgment entered 3/19/91

Debt $2,357.27
Interest from August 1990
Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro.

1991

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker
R. Charles Thomas

98.

Zimmer, Kunz, Loughren Hart, Lazaroff, Trenor Banyas & Conaway PC
P. Brennan Hart

BARBARA L. BAYER AND MINOR BAYER, HER HUSBAND

vs.

DAVID CALL, AND CALL TOOL MANUFACTURING, INC.

Filed Jan. 30, 1991
@ 8:45 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	24.00
Sheriff's costs	43.00
Pro.Co. pd 11/30/92	6.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Feb. 12, 1991 Sheriff's REturn filed. SERVED David Call personally on Jan. 30, 1991 at 12:27 PM at his residence, 990 Maple Lane, Meadville, Crawford Co., Pa.
So. answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 19, 1991, Appearance of Zimmer, Kunz, Loughren, Hart, Lazaroff, Trenor, Banyas & Conaway, P.C., by P. Brennan Hart, attorneys for defendants filed.

March 14, 1991 Writ return filed. SERVED Call Tool Manufacturing on Feb. 14, 1991 at 11:50 AM by handing copy of Summons to Shirley Severo, Secretary and person in charge, at 831 Mulberry, Meadville, Crawford Co., Pa.
SERVED Call Tool & Mfg. Inc. by Certified Mail on Feb. 12, 1991 c/o Reef-Baker Corp., 27322 23-Mile Rd., Suite 5, Mt. Clemens, MI 48045. Return signed receipt attached.
SERVED Call Tool & Mfg. Inc. by Certified Mail on Feb. 19, 1991 c/o Sudbery, Inc., 25800 Science Park Dr., Suite 250, Cleveland, OH 44122. Return signed receipt attached.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 20, 1991 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attorney Rule To File A Complaint Issued.

21 March, 1991, Service of the foregoing Rule is hereby accepted by R. Charles Thomas.

Apr. 4, 1991, Complaint filed. 2 copies (atty)

June 25, 1991, Answer and New Matter filed. (copy to R C Thomas, Esq.)

Oct 14, 1992 Answr to New Matter, filed. 1 copy (atty)

Oct 14, 1992 60 Day Notice to Complete Discovery, filed 1 copy (atty)

Nov.30, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above case marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker
R. Charles Thomas

99.

Mason & Mason
Joan D. Mason

DORIS A. FOWLER

vs.

DAVID L. FOWLER

Filed Jan. 30, 1991
@ 8:52 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
3 counts paid	45.00
state Pd 2/27/91	15.00
John Fuller Mediator	150.00
Pro Co Pd 4-21-92	9.00
Pro.Co. pd 1/24/94	9.00
State Pd 1/24/94	10.00

David Pickens, Master

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DIVISION OF MARITAL PROPERTY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS, AND CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 14, 1991 Praecipe for Reinstatement of Complaint filed.

May 3, 1991 Motion to appoint custody mediator and Order filed. And now, May 3, 1991 John Fuller is appointed custody mediator.
1 copy atty, Gordon R. Miller, J
mediator
May 3, 1991 Answer and Counterclaim filed.

Mar 26, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 25, 1992, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esq., IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Elissa M. Fowler, Rebecca L. Fowler, Tammy Fowler and Tara Fowler shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full acess to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject children.

II. Physical custody of Elissa M. Fowler, Rebecca L. Fowler, Tammy Fowler and Tara Fowler shall be with their father, subject to the following conditions:

A. The child, Elissa M. Fowler, is not required, but strongly encouraged to visit her mother, which visits shall be at places and times mutually agreed upon between Elissa M. Fowler and her mother, Doris A. Fowler.

B. The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the child, Tara, into her custody the first Friday of each month and keep the child from 5:00 p.m. on Friday, to 5:00 p.m. on Sunday, beginning April 3, 1992.

C. The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the children, Rebecca and Tammy, into her custody the first Friday of each month and keep the children in her custody from 5:00 p.m. on Friday to 5:00 p.m. on Sunday, beginning April 3, 1992. Rebecca and Tammy will be required to visit their mother, as provided above, for the week ends in April, May and June. Thereafter, if the children object to further visits they shall not be required to go, but they are encouraged to go on such visits.

D. The mother shall be entitled to visit the children at the father's residence the third Saturday of each month, beginning April 18, 1992 from 10:00 a.m. Saturday morning until 5:00 p.m. Saturday afternoon. The father shall not be present at the residence during such visits. In the event the mother is unable to keep a Saturday visit, she shall notify the children at least forty-eight (48) hours prior to the visit.

E. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. Custody of the children shall be shared on the aforesaid holidays and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the children shall be in the custody of the father on the aforesaid holidays until 1:00 p.m. and in the custody of the mother on the aforesaid holidays from 1:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m.

F. Transportation of the children on weekends and holidays shall be equally divided between the parents with the parent who is receiving custody providing transportation, i.e., the mother shall pick up the children on Friday and the father shall pick up the children on Sunday.

G. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

H. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

I. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regardfing the children and both parents agaree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference will be equally divided between the plaintiff and the defendant.

Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar. 31, 1992 copy in box for R.C. Thomas, J. Mason, J.Fuller, mailed copy to Plf. & Dft.

April 15, 1992 INCOME AND EXPENSE STATEMENT OF PLAINTIFF FILED.

Apr. 21, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Aug. 4, 1992 APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL RELIEF and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 31st day OF July, 1992, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a Rule is granted upon the Defendant to show cause, ifany he has, why the relief requested in the aforesaid Petition should not be granted.

Returnable and for hearing on the 24th day of August, 1992, at 11:00 a.m. in Court Room No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court Houe, Meadville, Pa.

A copy of this Petition to be served upon the Defendant by Certified Mail and a copy upon Defendant's counsel by regular mail.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug. 10, 1992 copy in box for R.C. Thomas & J. Mason, mailed by Certified mail copy to Dft. Also mailed copy to J. Mason

Aug. 25, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Aug. 24, 1992, this matter is before the Court on plaintiff's Application for Special Relief. The plaintiff appears represented by counsel and the defendant's attorney appears and indicates that the parties have agreed to a Consent Order.

ACCORDINGLY, with the consent and stipulation of both parties, we enter the following order:

1. The defendant will cooperate to allow appraisal of the jointly owned residential real estate by Mr. Larry Price in the immediate future. The parties hereto shall equally divide the cost fo said appraisal and the Sherman Allen appraisal of $210.00 (The defendant has paid for the appraisal of Bob Miller in the amount of $200.00.)

Continued on Page 557

Received 4-7-92 mediation from Defendant $75.00

Received from Plf 4-21-92 mediation fee $75.00

Pd C.C. Comm 6-25-92 med fee Ck 6902 $150.00

3-31-93 received $600.00 from Dft Masters Depasit

10-22-93 Received from Pltf Masters fee $200.00

1-31-94 RA RCT Ch# 8053 200.00

1-31-94 Pd Pickens Master Fee Ch#8054 175.00

1-31-94 Pd J Mason Ch# 8055 42.50

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack

Charles J. Swick

100.

GORDON PIETROWSKI AND CARRIE L. PIETROWSKI, HIS WIFE

vs.

CECELIA RUTH McLAUGHLIN MAILLOUX, AND ALL HER HEIRS, SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

Filed Jan. 30, 1991
@ 10:38 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Pro Co. Pd 4-15-91 9.00

COMPLAINT - ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

Feb. 1, 1991 Motion For Servcie Pursuant to Special Order of Court Pursuant to PA. R.C.P. 430 filed.

Feb. 1, 1991 ORDER filed.AND NOW, to-wit, this 1st day of February, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the Plaintiffs are granted leave to make service of the complaint on the Defendants, their heirs, successors and assigns, by publication once in the Crawford County Legal Journal and the Meadville Tribune, two periodicals of general circulation in the County of Crawford, PA.

PER CURIAM,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Feb. 5, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. Charles Swick.

Mar. 8, 1991 Motion For Final Judgment Pursuant To Rule 1066 and Order filed. AN DNOW, this 8th day of March, 1991, upon Motion of the Plaintiff for default judgment pursuant to Pennsylvania Rule of Civil Procedure 1066, an dit appearing that service has been made in accordance with this Court's Order and that the Defendant's have failed to file an Answer within the time permitted by the Rules it is hereby ordered and decreed tha tthe dEfendants, their successors and assigns, be and hereby forever varred from asserting any right, lien , title or interest to the premises located in Cussewago Crawford Coutny, Pennsylvania, hereafter described to wit:

ALL THAT CERTAIN PIECE OR PARCEL OF LAND situate in Cussesswago Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

BEGINNING, at a post on the north side of the road leading from Crossingville to Brakes Mills, and known as the Irish Road; thence westerly twenty-two (22) rods and eight (8) links by said concrete road to land of Randolph Harned; thence northerly by land of Randolph Harded twenty-four (24) rods to center of Cussewago Creek thence by center of Cussewago Creek and land of Leora Pulling easterly to the place of beginnin, containing; one and three-fourths (1 3/4) acres of la nd, be the same, more or less, and having erected thereon a one and one-half frame dwelling house together with other out-buildings.

Said Defendants are forever barred from asserting any right, lien, title or interest inconsistent with th einterest or claims of the Plaintiff set forth in the Complaint; from impeaching, denying, or in any way attacking Plaintiff's title to said property; form issuing; or maintaining any action attacking same; and form encumbering, mortgage or conveying the same or any part hereof unless the Defendants shall, within thirty (30) days of this Orde,r file with the Court written objections to this Order. If such action is not taken within the thirty (30) day period, the Prothonotary, on Praecipe by the Plaintiff, shall enter final judgment.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 11, 1991 Copy of order put in Charles Swick's box.

April 10, 1991 AND NOW, April 10, 1991, 3:23 p.m. upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney, final judgment is hereby entered in this case, in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendants, pursuant to the foregoing Order of Court.

M-31

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1991

William J. Gregg 101.	JOHN A. SAUER AND KIMBERLY J. SAUER, HIS WIFE vs. AMELIA HARNED, JOHN L. HARNED, JR., AND DAVID A. HARNED Filed Jan. 30, 1991 @ 2:04 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.50 State paid 5.00	COMPLAINT IN ACTION TO QUIET TITLE 3 copies (atty) Feb. 19, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. WILLIAM J. GREGG, ESQUIRE, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief. 1. That the Affiant is an adult individual, over the age of eighteen (18) years, and a citizen of the United States of America. 2. That on February 14, 1991, at 3:20 p.m., he served a certified copy ofthe Complaint and Notice in the above-referenced matter upon the defendant, JOHN L. HARNED, by hand-delivering the same to him at East Side Knolls, Route 18, Conneaut Lake, Pennsylvania. FURTHER AFFIANT SAITH NOT. william J. Gregg

1991

102.	JEFFREY JACKSON vs. KIMBERLY POWELL Filed Jan. 30, 1991 @ 2:29 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.25 state paid 5.00	APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF WILLIAM D. CHISHOLM, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-2-01 Jan. 30, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued. Feb. 8, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

1991

Joseph F. Kulwicki

103.

TERRI L. CARPENTER

vs.

SCOTT H. CARPENTER

Filed Jan. 30, 1991
@ 3:25 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 5/13/91	9.00
Pro Co Pd 5/20/91	5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

FEb. 7, 1991, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Lisa E. Grove being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says she is an adult individual, employed by the law office of Jos. Kulwicki III and as such on Sat. Feb. 2, 1991 she personally served a cert. copy of the Divorce Compalint in the matter above captioned upon defendant Scott H. carpenter at 891 Grove St., Meadville, Crawford County, pa. at approximately 1:00 p.m. by handing him the same. Lisa E. Grove. Es

Apr. 16, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 9, 1991 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 9, 1991 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 9, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case is moved to court.

May 14, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, May 14, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Terri L. Carpenter, plaintiff, and Scott H. Carpenter, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 16, 1991 Notice of Intention to Retake Maiden Name filed. To Be Known as: TERRI L. HOOD.

1991

Joseph F. Kulwicki

104.

JOANNIE CROSS

vs.

LARRY R. CROSS

Filed Jan. 30, 1991
@ 3:30 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
2 counts Pd 7/31/91	30.00
state Pd 7/31/91	10.00
Pro co Pd 7/31/91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Feb 12, 1991 Certificate of Service filed Lisa E. Grove, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is employed by Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq., attorney for the plaintiff and as such on or about February 5, 1991, she placed a certified copy of the above Divorce Complaint in the U.S. Mail, addressed to the defendant, as follows:

Larry R. Cross
763½ North Main Street
Meadville, Pa 16335

Said Divorce Complaint was mailed certified mail, restricted delivery, being certified mail item number P 724 954 515. The signature card for said certified mail item was returned to the office of Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq., bearing the signature of the defendant as having received same on February 6, 1991. Said signature card is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT.

Lisa E. Grove

Apr. 16, 1991, Petition for Related Claims Under Divorce Code, Alimony , Attorneys Fees, Costs, and Expenses, and Rule to Show Cause filed. RULE TO SHOW CAUSE: AND NOW, April 10, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a Rules is hereby granted upon the defendant Larry R. Cross, to show cause, if any he has, why the request fo the petitioner should not be granted.

RULE RETURNABLE on the 4 day of June 1991 at 10:30 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, to be heard at the same time as a previously scheduled Dom Re. hearing.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas S. J.

Apr. 19, 1991 copy of order to Atty. Kulwicki.

Apr 22, 1991 Motion to Schedule Hearing and order filed: AND NOW, this 19th day of April, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion to Schedule Hearing, a Rule is hereby granted upon the Defendant, LARRY R. CROSS, to show cause, if any he has, why Plaintiff's request for Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel fees and costs should not be granted.

RULE RETURNABLE on the 20 day of June, 1991, at 9:00 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
P. R. Thomas, S.J.

Apr 25, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty Kulwicki's box.

May 14, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, STEPHANIE BELLAS, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am an adult individual employed by the law office of Joseph F. Kulwicki, III and as such, on Friday, May 3, 1991, I mailed a copy of the Court Order scheduling a hearing on Plaintiff's Petition for Related Claims for June 4, 1991, at 10:30 a.m. to Larry R. Cross by first class United States mail, postage prepaid.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAITH NOT. Stephanie Bellas

July 12, 1991 Motion To Withdraw Petition For Related Claims and Order filed. AND NOW, this 12th day of July, 1991, motion granted. Gordon R. Miller, J

July 16, 1991 Copy put in box for atty. Kulwicki

July 31, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

July 31, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

July 31, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, to transmit the Record, this case moved to court.

Aug. 5, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, Aug. 5, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Joannie Cross, plaintiff, and Larry R. Cross, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1991

Donald E. Lewis

105.

~~Mason and Mason~~
~~M. Dan Mason~~
(withdrawn)

GARY ROBISON AND DARLA ROBISON, HIS WIFE

vs.

ROBERT WALKER AND ALICE F. WALKER, HIS WIFE, INDIVIDUALLY AND t/d/b/a A.F. WALKER BUILDERS

Filed Jan. 30, 1991
@ 3:53 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	35.10
Pro Co Pd 8/26/91	5.00

COMPLAINT,

2 copies (sheriff)

(TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED)

Feb. 21, 1991 Defendants' Preliminary Objections to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Feb. 22, 1991 Defendants' Preliminary Objections to Plaintiffs Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

Feb 26, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Alice F. Walker, personally on February 6, 1991 at 11:35 A.M. at her residence, R.D.#4, Box 525, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED Robert Walker on February 6, 1991 at 11:36 AM by handing copy of Complaint to his wife, Alice Walker, at residence, R.D. #4, Box 535, Conneaut Lake, Crawford Co., Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr. 29, 1991 Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON OCTOBER 1991 TRIAL LIST

June 12, 1991 Answer to Defendant's Preliminary objections filed.

July 8, 1991 Petition To Withdraw Certificate of Readiness and Order filed. 7/8/91 Certificate of Readiness recinded. P. R. Thomas, S.J.
July 9, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Lewis and Mason

July 19, 1991 Motion To Withdraw Appearance and Order filed. And now, this 19th day of July 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition, M. Dan Mason, Esquire, is permitted to withdraw his appearance in the above stated matter.
Petitioner is directed to send a copy of this Petition and Order to defendants by first class mail and certified mail forthwith. BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 19, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty. Lewis and Mason's box.

Aug. 26, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with consent of plaintiffs, this above captioned case is discontinued of record.

1991

Ferguson & Spataro
John F. Spataro

106.

LESLEY S. SANDERSON

vs.

ROBERT G. SANDERSON

Filed Jan. 31, 1991
@ 10:55 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 5/29/91	9.00 ✓
Pro Co Pd 10/11/91	5.00 /

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

May 14, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, PA., on the above named Defendanb by certified mail, addrssee only, return receipt requested, dated Feb. 4, 1991. The Defendant acknowledged service on Feb. 11, 1991, by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Ferguson & Spataro
John F. Spataro, Atty.

May 14, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 22, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

May 24, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record - Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

May 30, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D**

AND NOW, May 30, 191, it is ordered and decreed that Lesley S. Sanderson, plaintiff, and Robert G. Sanderson, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 11, 1991, Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name filed.
To Be Known as: LESLEY S. HILL

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack Paul D. Shafer, Jr. 107.	DOLLAR SAVINGS ASSOCIATION vs. RONALD L. DINSMORE AND PAMELA J. DINSMORE Filed Jan. 31, 1991 @ 10:59 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.50 state paid 5.00 Pro Co Pd 2/8/91 5.00 Sheriff's Costs 27.00	COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE 2 copies (sheriff) Feb. 6, 1991, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned matter is marked discontinued of record. Feb 26, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Ronald L. Dinsmore personally on February 4, 1991 at 11:05 AM at his residence, 912 D Street, Meadville, Crawford Co., Pa. SERVED Pamela J. Dinsmore on February 4, 1991 at 11:06 AM by handing copy of Complaint to her husband, Ronald L. Dinsmore at their residence, 912 D. Street, Meadville, Crawford Co., Pa. So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Dominic D. Salvatori
Cauley & Conflenti

108.

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore
Vardaro and Walker
By: R. Charles Thomas

KATHLYN LONG

vs.

G. SYLVESTER SMITH

Filed Jan. 31, 1991
@ 11:20 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	21.00
Pro Cp pd 10-15-92	6.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

(JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)

Mar. 19, 1991 Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro and Walker by R.Charles Thomas, attorney for defendant filed.

Mar 27, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED G. Sylvester Smith personally on February 27, 1991 at 1:21 pm at his residence, R.D.#5, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 1, 1991, Answer to Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

Jan. 9, 1992 Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Feb. 24, 1992, Affidavit of Servcie of Interrogatories filed.

Feb. 24, 1992, Request for Production on Defendant filed.

April 15, 1992, REPLY TO NEW MATTER FILED.

Oct 8, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney the above action is settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Ann Hansen Gamble
Fuller, kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble

109.

Joseph C. Barnhart

DAWNENE A. ONGLEY

vs.

LYNN J. ONGLEY

Filed Jan. 31, 1991
@ 3:48 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	15.00
Pro Co Pd. 2-13-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES, AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 21, 1991, Acceptance of Service filed. And Now, this 7th day of Feb. 1991, I hereby accept service of a copy of the Complaint in Divorce in regard to the above captioned matter on behalf of the defendant as proper service hereof.
Joseph C. Barnhart.

June 18, 1991 Affidavit of Consent filed by Plaintiff.
Dawnene A. Ongley

June 19, 1991 Motion toentry the agreement attached filed.

June 19, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, this 19 day of June, 1991, on motion of Ann Hansen Gamble, attorney for plaintiff wife, Dawnene A. Ongley, the marriage separation and final settlement agreement attached hereto is hereby entered as an order of court for th epurpose of enforcement as provided in Section 401.1 of the Divorce Code of 1980.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 20, 1991 Copies of order to Ann Gamble and Joseph barnhart

Feb. 13, 1992 Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree filed.

Feb. 13, 1992 Upon praecipe of Platintiff Attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Feb. 24, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, February 24, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Dawnene A. Ongley, Plaintiff, and Lynn J. Ongley, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.
BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Dolores J. Ramaker

110.

STEWART CHAVEZ

vs.

LOREEN CHAVEZ

Filed Feb. 1, 1991
@ 8:30 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $10.50
state paid 5.00
John Fuller mediator

SUMMONS, PETITION, CONFIDENTIAL COUNSELING STATEMENT, INCOME & EXPENSE, BLANK RESPONSE, RESPONSIVE DEC., INCOME & EXPENSE DECL., AFFIDAVITS OF SERVICE, AND ORDERS OF COURT, CERTIFIED FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA-TD 001 676

1 copy (plaintiff)

Feb. 1, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, feb. 1, 1991, the matter is before the court on an emergency petition arising from the following facts:

It appears that stewart Chavez commenced a divorce action in Califirnia against his wife, which contained a count for custody of their two (20 minor hcildren. This divorce and custody complaint was served on the wife in Crawford County on or about Jan. 7, 1991. She retained counsel and produces evidence before the court this date that on Jan. 25, 1991 they filed an Answer to the complaint in divorce and the custody complaint and sent the same by Federal Express, with a copy to father's attorney in California, Delores J. Ramaker, and another copy to the Clerk of the Superior Court in Compton, California. They were guaranteed by Federal Express that the parcels would be delivered Jan. 28, 1991. Apparently, said delivery did not occur.

Under any circumstances, on Jan. 29, 1991, the father, with his counsel, appeared in the Superior Court before Judge Lee B. Regins and procured a custody order, awarding custody tothe father. There is no dispute that the wife received notice of the hearing in California, but had pre viously filed on Jan. 6, 1991, a custody action in Crawford County based on the fact that she had had custody fo the chidren in the State of Pa. since on or about Mar. 3, 1991, and that the Crawford CountyCourt had jurisdiction to litigate the child custody action.

On the night of Jan. 31, 1991, the defendant appeared at the City Police State in Meadville, requesting aid in execution fothe California Court Order awarding custody of the two (2) children to him. The matter was indirectly referred to this court and this court scheduled an emergency hearing at 1:30 p.m. Feb. 1, 1991, at which time, the father appeared unrepresented by counsel, and the mother appeared represented by her counsel in the custody action. The court notes that on the service of papers made upon the wife, the summons indicates that the wife had thirty (30) days from the date of service (Jan. 7, 1991), to file a responsive pleading to the divorce and custody action. in spite of the fact the thirty days had not elapsed, the California court entered the above referred to custody order.

This court is of the opinion that the best interest of the children at this time is not to surrender them to the custody fothe father toreturn to the State of California, and accordingly, we decline at this time to honor the California Order.

In this regard, the father is advised that this matter of custody has been referred to Custody Mediator Attorney John Fuller, who will shortly schedule a hearing and send notice to the father at his address in California.

The children shall remain in the custody of the mother until further order of this court and the mother is prohibited from removing the residence of the children from Crawford County without first obtaining further court order to do so.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Feb. 1, 1991, certified copies (3) to plaintiff; and on Feb. 4, 1991, copy to R. Charles Thomas, atty., and John Fuller, atty. mediator.

1991

Ferguson & Spataro
John F. Spataro

111.

JULIE K. JOHNSTON

vs.

MARK A. JOHNSTON.

Filed Feb. 1, 1991
@ 11:40 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co. pd 6-4-91	9.00
Pro Co Pd 6/28/91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

June 4, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, PA., on the above named Defendant by certifeid mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated Feb. 4, 1991. The Defendant acknowledged service on Feb.5, 1991, by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Ferguson & Spataro
By: John F. Spataro, Esq.

June 4, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 4, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 4, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code this case moved to Court.

June 12, 1991 **DIVORCE DECREE** filed.

AND NOW, June 12, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Julie K. Johnston, plaintiff, and Mark A. Johnston, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 28, 1991 NOTICE OF ELECTION TO RETAKE MAIDEN NAME FILED.
To Be Known as: JULIE K. McMASTER.

1991

Federman and Phelan
Frank Federman

112.

VICTORIA MORTGAGE COMPANY
3737 Perrin Central
San Antonio, Tex. 78217

vs.

RODNEY A. FORD AND LISA FORD
R. D. #7, Box 174
Arthur St.
Meadville, Pa. 16335

Filed Feb. 1, 1991
@ 1:20 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	30.00
Sheriff's Costs	21.00
Pro Co pd 6/3/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Mar. 6, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Rodney A. Ford personally on February 8, 1991 at 2:34 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
NOT FOUND as to defendant Lisa Ford. Moved to Columbus, Ohio, address unknown.
So answers, Carole Stefanucci, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 28, 1991, Complaint reinstated.

Apr. 30, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Lisa Ford personally on april 2, 1991 at 12:48 p.m. at her residence, 432½ Fairmont Ave., Meadville, Crawford County, Pa 16335.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 3, 1991 Verification of Non-Military Service filed.

And Now, June 3, 1991 at 9:38 a.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor ofthe plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Forty-Four Thousand Four Hundred Eighty-four and 33/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

F-144-104	Debt $44,484.33
judgment entered 6/3/91	Judgment
notice of entry of judgment mailed 6/7/91	James F. Simmons Prothonotary

EX 1991-44

Sept. 19, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 18th day of September, 1991, after consideration of Plaintiff's Petition to Postpone Sheriff's Sale of the mortgaged property, it is hereby ORDERED that the said sale is extended one month to the regularly scheduled Crawford County Sheriff's Sale dated 11/1/91. No further advertising or additional notice to lienholders is required.

By THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept. 19, 1919 Two copies of Order to Atty. Mark J. Udren.

Sept. 20, 1991 Motion For Service Pursuant To Special Order of Court, Memorandum of Law and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 20 day of Sept., 1991, upon consideration of Plaintiff's Motion and the Affidavit of Reasonable Investigation attached thereto, it is hereby ORDERED that Plaintiff may obtain service of the Notice of Sale on the above-captioned Defendants, Lisa Ford by regular mail to Community House, 521 W. 7th St., Erie, PA 16502 and notice left at Public Defender office c/o Mark Waitlevertch and on Rodney A. Ford, by mailing a true and correct copy of the Notcie of Sale by Certified Mail and Regular Mail to Defendants' last known address and by posting of the premises, RD # 7, Box 174 Arther St., Meadville, PA 16335, by the Sheriff.

Service of the aforementioned mailings is effective upon the date of mailing and is to be done by Plaintiff's attorney, who will file with the Prothonotary's Office an Affidavit as to the mailing.

By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Sept. 20, 1991 Two copies of Order given to Atty. Stack; copy mailed to Atty. Lawrence T. Phelan.

Oct 30, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE PURSUANT TO RULE 3129, filed. I, Frank Federman, Esq., attorney for Victoria Mortgage Co., hereby verify that on June 3, 1991 and Sept. 30, 1991, true and correct copies of the Notice of Sheriff's Sale were served by certificate of mailing to the recorded lienholder, and any known interested party see Ex. "A" attached hereto, and the Notice of Sale were sent to the defendant(s) on 6/3/91 and 9/30/91 by first class mail and certified mail return receipt requested see Ex. "B" attached hereto.

1991

Going and Plank
Bradford J. Harris

113.

GMAC MORTGAGE CORPORATION OF PA.

vs.

JACK E. FRAZIER AND KAREN R. FRAZIER

Filed Feb. 1, 1991
@ 1:29 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	50.00
Pro for Co. pd 3/15/91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN EJECTMENT

2 copies (sheriff)

Feb 26, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Jack E. Frazier personally on February 7, 1991 at 10:23 at his place of employment U.S. Bronze, Rt 19, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED Karen R. Frazier personally on February 4, 1991 at 11:50 A.M. at her residence, R.D.#3, Box 121B, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 15, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiffs attorney, Bradford J. Harris, the above-captioned action is marked discontinued of record.

Paul J. Carney, Jr.

114.

KAYE ALTMAN

vs.

PAUL E. ALTMAN JR.

Filed Feb. 1, 1991
@ 2:09 p.m..

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 7-19-91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

2 copies (atty)

July 19, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed: I, Paul E. Altman, Jr., Defendant, in the above-captioned case hereby accept service of the Complaint in Divorce Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code on 2-8-91.

Paul E. Altman, Jr., Defendant

July 19, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

July 19, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 19, 1991 Motion for Decree filed.

July 19, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

July 26, 1991 Memorandum filed. this matter is before the the court for a final divorce decree pursuant to the plaintiff's praecipe to transmit the record. The Court is returning the papers to the Prothonotary for further proceedings consistent with this memorandum.

The Plaintiff filed a complaint in divorce. She simply asks for a divorce and has asked for no other relief, either in the initial pleading or by a subsequent petition. Accompanying the praecipe to transmit the record was a lengthly marital agreement which resolves matters of alimony, equitable distribution of marital property, custody, etc. Also submitted was a form decree for the Court to sign that grants the divorce and adopts the settlement agreement as part of the decree. This is improper.

This Court only has jurisdiction to determine and grant decrees as to matters raised by the pleadings or by a subsequent petition (23 Pa.C.S.A. 3104(a); 23 Pa. c.S.A. 3323(b); PA.R.C.P. 1920.11, 1920.13).

Therefore this Court has jurisdiction only to grant a decree in divorce and nothing else.

The plaintiff has two choices. She could indicate in writing that she wants the Court to enter a divorce decree only so that the Court has clear instructions that other aspects of the partys' settlement will not become a part of the decree. The second choice is for the plaintiff to file an amended complaint raising additional matters for the Court which said filing, and the subsequent service thereof, must all comply withthe rules of civil procedure; or in lieu thereof, file an appropriate petition raising additional matters as envisioned by the Rules.

This is more than a technicality in what appears to be an amicable resolution of the marriage and divorce problem. The jurisdiction of the court is a fundamental matter. Without jurisdiction the Court is without power to act. We cannot entertain matters that are beyond our jurisdiction.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Date: July 24, 1991

July 26, 1991 Copy of order mailed to Paul Carney

Aug. 13, 1991 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 13th day of August, 1991, the Court Orders and decrees as follows:

Divorce: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an affidavit has been file dby each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to thhe divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) now 3301(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

Other aspects of the Marital Agreement between the parties dated June 28, 1991 shall not become a part of this Decree.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

115.

LORA A. LAMB

vs.

EDWARD P. LAMB

Filed Feb. 4, 1991
@ 10:14 a.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
sheriffs costs	33.50

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT
3 copies Sheriff
1 copy Plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 4 day of Feb., 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Def. may not go to residence of the Pl for any purpose. Def will have utilities turned back on. Pl granted exclusive use of apartment 310½ Brook St. until further order of court.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for Feb. 8, 1991, at 4:00 P.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, SJ

Feb. 8, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED edward P. Lamb personally on Feb. 4, 1991 at 4:40 p.m. at Titusville Police Dept. Titusville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers Willaim R. Clower, Titusville, P.D.
Howard N. Stewart, Sh eriff

Feb. 19, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, February 8, 1991 at the time fixed for hearing in this matter, the parties appear adn advise the Court that they have reached an agreement on many of the issues between them, which agreement they have reduced to writing, and a copy of which agreement is attached hereto and incorporated into this order, insofar as they cover matters which the Court has jurisdiction to order in this type of a proceeding.

1. The Defendant shall not harass, assault, abuse, or threaten the Plaintiff or her minor children at any time.
2. The Plaintiff is currently residing at 308 Brook Street, Titusville, PA., one unit in a building owned by the Defendant, and she is permitted to continue occupying that residence during the term of this order and in accordance with the agreement between the parties.
3. The Defendant shall not go to the Plaintiff's residence for any purpose except as provided in the attached agreement or with the consent of the Plaintiff, and if he goes to the premises with the consent of the Plaintiff, shall leave promptly at her request.
4. The Defendant has made arrangements to enter a drug and alcohol abuse inpatient facility operated at the Oil City Hospital and he shall proceed with that voluntary decision, and the agreement attached hereto shall not be effective unless he successfully completes that treatment and continues to abide by any after-care program(s) established by that facility.
5. This order shall continue in full force and effect for one (1) year from this date.
6. This order may be enforced by the Titusville Police Department or any other law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe that it has been violated, and a copy of this order shall be furnished to County Control.
7. The Defendant shall pay the record costs in this proceeding within 60 days after his discharge from the alcohol abuse inpatient program that he is entering.

By Order Of The Court,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Feb. 19, 1991 Copy of Order given to County Control. Feb. 21, 1991 Copy mailed to Plaintiff and Defendant.

Mar. 26, 1993 Petition for Protection From Abuse and Order of Court filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 25 day of March, 1993, upon consideration of the Petition for Protection from Abuse in the above captioned case, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED as follows:

A final hearing for the Petitioner and Respondent will be held on the 8 day of April, 1993, at 9:00 A.M. in the Courtroom No. C , Erie County Court HOuse, Erie, Pennsylvania.

Pending said hearing it is ORDERED that:

(a) Temporary custody is granted to your Petitioner of the parties 1 minor child. Edward Lamb II, 7 months.

(b) Posession of the premises at 319½ E.Washington, Corry/is granted to the Petitioner for the exclusive occupancy by her/~~him~~ and her/~~his~~ child. Respondent Edward Lamb is completely excluded from the residence. Respondent shall hereafter have no license to be present on the premises, and is prohibited from having contact with the Petitioner. 16407

(c) Respondent, Edward Lamb, husband (relationship to Petitioner), is directed to refrain from striking, hitting, threatening, abusing or harassing Petitioner and the minor children at any location including but not limited to Petitioner's residence, ~~his~~/her place of employment and the children's school, by any act or actions, including both physically and verbally, including but not limited to those recited in this petition.

Continued to Page 563

1991

116.

3/11/91 Recd $ 33.50 From Plaintiff
3/11/91 Paid $33.50 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff
CC # 6068

AMBER G. WAGNER

vs.

JOSEPH C. WAGNER

Filed Feb. 4, 1991
@ 11:44 a.m.

Tax & entry	pd 3/11/91	$50.50 ✓
state	pd 3/11/91	5.00
Shiriff's Costs		33.50 ✓
ct. order	pd 3/11/91	9.00 ✓

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 4 day of feb., 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Pl may go residence at 412 W. Spruce St. to pick up further personal possessions & clothing for Plaintiff & children. Def. cannot go to Pl's residence in Pleasantville for any purpose.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for FEb. 15, 1991, at 4:00 ? M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P/ Richard Thomas, S.J.

Flb. 11, 1991 Shlriff's Rlturn fillld. SERVED Joslph C. Wagnlr plrsonally on Flbruary 4, 191 at 9:00 PM at his rlsidlncl, 412 Wlst Sprucl Strllt, Titusvillll, crawford County, PA.
So answlrs,
Jonathan E. Elslly, Titusvillll, P.D.
Howard N. STlwart, Shlriff

Feb. 22, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Feb. 15, 1991, at the time set for hearing on the Abuse Petition neither party appears. The Prothonotary is directed to send a bill for filing fee and service tothe plaintiff, and the plaintiff is directed to pay the same within 15 days of receipt of the bill. No further action on thispetition will entertained by the court unless the court by special order authorizes the same.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Feb. 26, 1991 copies to county control, plaintiff and defendant.

1991

William F. Peters

117.

pro se

DAVID MANNING

vs.

GEORGE ANTOLIK

Filed Feb. 4, 1991
@ 4:23 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.25
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 5/30/91	9.00
Pro Co pd 7/5/91	9.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF ROBERT J. LEONHART, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-3-03

Feb. 4, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Feb. 8, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

Feb. 28, 1991, Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

And Now, May 30, 1991 at 10:15 a.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, in accordance with Pa. RCP 1037, Judgment of NOn Pros is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff against the named defendant. ($1,600.00 plus costs of $250.)
Judgment entered - 5/30/91
A - 73

JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

And Now, July 5, 1991 at 3:13 pm upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the judgment of non pros in favor of the Plaintiff in the above cited case is reduced to a money judgment in favor of Plaintiff in the amount of One Thousand Eight Hundred Fifty and 00/100 plus costs of suit.
A-73
judgment entered 7/5/91

Debt $ 1,850.00
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX 1991 - 57

Michael G. Nelson

118.

Watts & Pepicelli, PC
Alan L. Pepicelli

LORETTA E. FORTNEY.

vs.

SUSAN A. BRADEN AND
CHEMICAL FINANCIAL CORPORATION

Filed Feb. 5, 1991
@ 11:33 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	100.00
Pro Co pd 11/13/91	5.00

COMPLAINT SEEKING PARTITION WHERE MORTGAGE LIEN IS OUTSTANDING

4 copies (atty)

Mar. 11, 1991 Praecipe to Reinstate filed.

Apr 29, 1991 Appearance of Watts & Pepicelli PC by Alan L. Pepicelli, Esq. for Defend-for Chemical Financial Corporation filed.

May 2, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. AND NOW, March 15, 1991 the attached complaint against Susan A. braden defendant is being returned unserved.
So answers,
Robert N. Michel, Sher
William Parker, deputy
Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Donald LaRose who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 10th day of April, 1991, at 1:25 P.M., he served Complaint, filed at No. 118 Term, 1991, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Chemical Financial Corp. at 1265 Drummer Lane, Suite 100, Wayne, PA 19087 By making known the contents thereof to Jeri Blumenthal and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the complaint received from Crawford County Sheriff
So answers,
Donald LaRose, Deputy Sheriff
Robert A. Erling, Sheriff
SERVED Susan A. braden personally on April 9, 1991 at 10:26 AM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
SERVED Chemical Financial Corporation by deputizing Sheriff of Chester County, PA as per his Affidavit of Service attached.
Served: April 10, 1991
So answers,
Diane Severo, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 6, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above-captioned action is marked setled and discontinued of record.

1991

Robert J. Felton

119.

CONDEMNATION OF:: REAL ESTATE OWNED BY DONALD E. BICKEL AND MARJORIE D. BICKET, HIS WIFE, AND BY WILLIAM L. STERLING, IN OIL CREEK TOWNSHIP, CRAWFORD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA BY OIL CREEK TOWNSHIP: FOR CONSTRUCTION OF A SANITARY SEWER LINE AND ITS APPURTENANCES

Filed Feb. 5, 1991
@ 1:30 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

DECLARATION OF TAKING

Feb. 5, 1991, Memorandum of Filing of Notice filed.

Feb. 19, 1991 Proof of Service filed. I, Robert J. Felton, Esq., 144 W. Spring Street, Titusville, Pennsylvania, am the Attorney for the Condemnor in the within action. In that capacity I hereby certify that on February 8, 1991, I served a notice of condemnation, as required by Section 405 of the Eminent Domain Code, copies of which are attached, on the Condemnee, Donald E. Bickel and Marjorie D. Bickel, by mailing the same by certified mail, return receipt requested, Number P 297 231 755, on February 7, 1991, a copy of said return receipt executed by Marjorie D. Bickel being attached hereto; and that I served a notice of condemnation on February 11, 1991, on the Condemnee, William L. Sterling, by mailing the same by certified mail return receipt requested, Number P 297 231 711, on february 7, 1991, a copy of said return receipt executed by denise Sterling also being attached hereto.

Robert J. felton

120

1991

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma and Schug
Nancy M. Sennett

120.

TONYA LYNN BRAWLEY

vs.

ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY
AND ALLSTATE INDEMNITY
COMPANY

Filed Feb. 5, 1991
@ 3:10 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Pro Co pd. 1-14-92 6.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (atty)

May 10, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed: I hereby accept service of the Writ of Summons filed on behalf of Tonya Lynn Brawley against Allstate Insurance Company and Allstate Indemnity Company and certify that I am authorized to do so on behalf of both named Defendants.

Thomas D. Curry, Authorized Agent
of Allstate Insurance Company and Allstate
Indemnity Company

Jan. 14, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above-captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs, PC
Christopher Youngs

121.

BEATRICE M. SHERRY

vs.

JAROLD PHELPS AND
JAMIE GARIS

Filed Feb. 5, 1991
@ 4:14 p.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$15.00
plff on orig.	108.65
Sheriff's Costs	79.60
Pro Co Pd 5/16/91	9.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL
OF
AD 1986 - 107

Writ of Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Six Thousand Five Hundred Forty Seven and 50/100 Dollars, with interest from July 3, 1975.

Debt -------$6, 547.50
Int. from --7/3/75
P - 91
G - 94 ------REVIVAL OF JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

Mar. 7, 1991 Writ Reissued.

May 10, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Jamie Garis personally on April 2, 1991 at 11:54 A.M. ather residence, 121 Park Manor Drive, Jamestown, Crawford County, Pa.
SERVED Jerold Phelps on April 2, 1991 at 11:55 a.m. by handing copy of Writ of Revival to Jamie Garis, his daughter, at residence, 121 Park Manor Drive, Jamestown, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, May 16, 1991 at 3:44 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with default notices attached, Judgment of default is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants for want of an answer; damages assessed in the amount of Six Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty Nine and 75/100 dollars plus int. on prin. from July 3, 1975.
Judgment entered 5/16/91
P - 91
G - 94

Debt --------$6,759.75
Int. from 7/3/75
James F Simmons,
Prothonotary

May 20, 1991 notices of entry of judgment mailed to defendants

1991

Barrett & Dratler
Sylvia L. Dratler

122.

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore
Vardaro & Walker
R. Charles Thomas

Halliday & Halliday, P.C.
Michael Halliday

BOBBIE JO RICHARDS

vs.

EUGENE P. RICHARDS

Filed Feb. 6, 1991
@

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
William PIneo, mediator	47.00
Pro Co pd 7-31-92	9.00
Pro Co pd 2-17-94	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, AND CUSTODY

1 copy (atty - 1 copy (mediator)

Feb. 6, 1991, ORDER OF COURT:

You, Eugene P. Richards, Defendant, have been sued in court to obtain custody of the minor children, Amy Jo Richards, Dustin Eugene Richards and Bradley Taylor Richards.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by WILLIAM PINEO, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order of custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Comm. c/o Prothonotary, Crawford County couthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335
814 724-4636 BY THE COURT,
DAte: Feb. 22, 1991 P. Richard Thomas, J.

Mar. 1, 1991 Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro & Walkr by R. Charles Thomas for defendant filed.

Mar. 21, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. SYLVIA L. DRATLER, Esq., being duly sworn to law , deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of a Complaint for Divorce under Section 201(c) was sent by registered mail to the Defendant.
3. The registered mail was sent to the Defendant at 205 Summit St., Jamestown, Pa. 16125 on Feb. 22, 1991. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. The Defendant received said registered mail on Feb. 26,1991. Said receipt card number 320 791 288 is attached hereto and signed by the Defendant, Eugene P. Richards.

Sylvia L. Dratler, Atty. for Plaintiff

Mar 25, 1991 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 22nd day of March, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.
2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, shcool personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. Primary physical custody shall be with the mother, The father shall have temporary physical custody each weekend from Friday at 4:30 p.m. until Sunday at 7:00 p.m.

The father shall have visitation and temporary physical cutody for two one week periods each summer. Said periods not to be consecutive. He shall notify the mother three weeks in advance of the period he wishes to select.

b. The subject minor children shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitors by the parent having physical custody.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Christmas holiday visitation periods, the first beginning on Christmas Eve at 4:30 p.m. and ending Christmas Day at noon and the second beginning Christmas Day at noon and ending Christmas Day at 7:00 P.M. For Christmas 1991, the mother shall have the first period and the father, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

b. The Easter vacation visitation shall be arranged by having the father return the children fro their weekend visitation at noon on alternate Easter Sundays beginning with Easter, 1992.

c. The other holidays to be observed are New Years Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, and Thanksgiving. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch the fireworks. The mother shall have the children for Memorial Day, 1991, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

d. The children shall spend Father's Day with their father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and on Mother's Day, the father shall return the children from his weekend visitation period at 11:00 a.m.

e. Each of the children's birthday shall be split with the father having all of the children on the birthday of each from 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. and the mother having the children from 2:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

f. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The father shall provide all transportation necessitated by the provisions of this order.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under th influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall expose the children to the company of persons to whom he or she is not related by blood or marriage between the hours of 8:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. and neither party shall expose the children at any time to the display of affections toward such persons.

11. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

Continued on page 122A.....

Received from mediation fees $23.50 Pltf 7-31-92

Received from Dept. Mediation fees 23.50 8-21-1992

Paid CC Comm mediation fees 9-14-92 CK7046 47.00

BOBBIE JO RICHARDS

VS.

EUGENE P. RICHARDS

Record Continued from Page 122

of

AD 1991-122

****

12. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

13. Either party may request a review of this Order two years from date hereof to make any adjustments.

14. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $47.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

15. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Mar. 28, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys Pineo, & Dratler and copy mailed to Defendant. and Plaintiff and copy put in Atty. Thomas's box.

July 5, 1991 Petition For Contempt Order and Order filed. AND NOW, this 2 day of July, 1991, upon consideration of the within Petition for Contempt, a Rule is hereby entered upon the Plaintiff Bobbie Jo richards, to show cause, if any she has, why she should not be held in intempt of Court. RULE RETURNABLE the 17 day of July, 1991, at 9:00 o'clock a.m., in Courtroon No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania, at which time hearing will be held.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

July 7, 1991 Copies of order to Michael Halliday, Sylvia Dratler and William Pineo

Mar. 18, 1992 Motion to Set Hearing Date and Order filed. ORDER : AND NOW, this 16th day of March, 1992, upon consideration of the within Motion to Set Hearing Date, it is hereby ORDERED that the hearing on Defendant's Petition for Contempt shall be held on the 23rd day of April, 1992, at 1:30 P.M., in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar. 23, 1992 cooy in box for S. Dratler, mailed copy to M. Halliday

April 27, 1992 ORDER FILED. AND NOW, April 23, 1992 this matter comes before the Court this date in a unique and strange posture. We convened at this hearing to consider and decide the Defendant's petition for contempt, yet the Defendant did not appear personally, but was represented by record counsel, and the Plaintiff did not appear, nor has she been served. Normally we would not be able to proceed on the petition for contempt.

However, it appears that the children have been delivered by the Plaintiff to her sister Wilma Andrews, and Wilma Andrews believes that it is in the best interest of the children that they reside for the immediate future, after May 1, 1992, with their paternal grandmother Luella Jane Richards of Hartstown, Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

There is nothing before this Court that this Court can act on; however, it appears reasonable and proper for the children Amy, Dustin and Bradley to reside with their paternal grandmother Luella Jan Richards until further proceedings or further order of court in a proper proceeding, or until the parties amicable resolve the matter on their own.

The petition for contempt is not disposed of at this point, and is continued generally to be rescheduled upon motion of either party.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
GordonR. Miller, P.J.

April 27, 1992 copy of order mailed to Michael Halliday, Esq. in box for S. Dratler, Esq. and William Pineo, Esq.

July 29, 1992 Petition forVisitation and Custody Clarification of Amy, Dustin and Bradley Richards filed.

July 29, 1992 Order Filed. AND NOW, July 29, 1992, the Plaintiff appears pro se and presents a petition asking for visitation with respect to the minor children who are the subject of this action. The Court has received a call from Defendant's attorney indicating that he is aware of this motion being presented but is unable to appear in Motion Court.

Essentially, the Plaintiff's petition addresses not only visitation but support for the minor children which will not be dealt with in this action but can be dealt with by the Defendant in the proper forum if he wishes to receive such support from the Plaintiff.

It appearing that based on Judge Miller's Order of April 23, 1992 that the Defendant's petition for contempt has not been disposed of the Court will set a hearing to dispose of the petition for contempt and to consider the Plaintiff's petition for visitation with the minor children.

Said hearing is set for August 21, 1992 at 10:30 A.M. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville.

Prior to the hearing set in this matter, the Defendant shall pay outstanding mediation fees to the Prothonotary in the amount of$23.50 and the Plaintiff shall pay such fees in the amount of $32.50.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

July 29, 1992 Copies circulated.

Aug. 3, 1992, Motion for Hearing and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 3 day of Aug. 1992, upon consideration ofthe within motion for hearing, a hearing is hereby scheduled for the 21st day of Aug. 1992, at 10:00 a.m. in the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. for the determination of the merits of defendant's Petition to hold Plaintiff in Contempt of court.

For the Court:
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

Aug. 7, 1992, copies of order to M. Halliday and R. C. Thomas.

Continued on Page 122B

BOBBIE JO RICHARDS
vs.
EUGENE P. RICHARDS

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 122A
of Record
A. D. 1991 - 122

Aug 24, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Aug. 21, 1992, the parties appeared before the Court on two matters, to-wit: The Defendant's petition for contempt that was filed July 5, 1991 and the Plaintiff's petition for visitation and custody clarification filed July 29, 1992. The Defendant seeks to withdraw his petition for contempt and, therefore, said petition isdismissed with prejudice as to the events that occurred prior to the filing of that petition with respect to contempt.

The Plaintiff has not established a stable permanent residence and, therefore, is only seeking visitation rights at the moment. It appears that she will be askign for custody when she finds her own place and can them have the children. The parties generally agree that primary physical custody may remain with the Defendant. They do not agree on what will happen when the Plaintiff obtains a permanent residence.

Therefore, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of their minor children Amy, dustin and Bradley. They shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regardign health, education and major events in the life of techildren. Health care providers, schoolpersonnel and other providers are authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which the providers have regarding these children.

2. The Plaintiff shall be granted liberal visitation rights to see her children at least once a week and, hopefully, twice a week. The parties shall work together to fulfill this Order and to permit the Plaintiff to have liberal visitation rights.

In the event that they cannot agaree from time to time on visitation rights then the Plaintiff may file another petition with the Court to seek a more structured schedule from the Court regardign her visitation rights.

In addition, the mother shall have reasonable rights to contact the children by telephone for reasonable periods of time to be arranged and agreed upon by the parties which said telephone conversations shall not be monitored or interfered with by the Defendant, but which said telephone conversations shall not take more than 15 minutes in length unless the Defend ant so agrees.

3. We make no provisions with respect to holidays and summer visits believing that the parties can work those matters out on their own between themselves without further Court intervention but with the right of either party to come back to court if that becomes necessary.

4. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

5. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or beunder the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

6. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

7. Neither party shall expose the children to the company of persons to whom he or she is not related by blood or marriage between the hours of 8:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. and neither party shall expose the children to any time to the display of affections toward such persons.

8. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when inthe children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

9. The Order of March 22, 1991 is hereby terminated and vacated in view of the provisions set forth in this Order.

10. Neither party shall take the children outside of Pennsylvania under any circumstances until further Order of Court.

11. This Court retains continuing jurisdiction in this matter in accordance with the appropriate Custody and Uniform Custody Statutes.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug 24, 1992 copies of order to Atty Dratler, Halliday, Thomas and mailed to Plf.

Oct. 13, 1992 Custody Order filed. AND NOW,October 12, 1992, the parties are present for a hearing with regard to a petition filed under t he Protection FromAbuse Act by the Plaintiff against the Defendant at A.D. 1992- 985.

The Court hears informal testimony and it appears that this incident really arose with respect to a continuing custody dispute and insofar as about ninety percent of what the Court hears is with regard to custody.

By agreement of the parties, even though the custody case is not before the Court, we will make a modification with respect to the custody arrangement in an effort to resolve the differences between the parties.

Accordingly, the prior Order of August 21,1992 and any previous custody Order still in effect at this number and term is continued in full force and effect and it is modified as follows:

1. The liberal visitation granted to Plaintiff in the Order of August 21, 1992 shall immediately resume with the only change being that Plaintiff shall be responsible for providing transportation by someone other than herself to pick up the children for each visit and Defendant shall be responsible for providing transportation by someone other than himself to take back custody of the children at the end of each visit.

2. The parties shall avoid any personal contact with one another and any telephone contact with one another with respect to the children and arrangements for visitation but each shall make sure that there is cooperation so that the spirit and letter of the Order of August 21, 1992 and any prior custody Order still in effect is followed.

3. During the course of such exchanges for visitations or at any other time the parties shall not in any way threaten, abuse, or harass one another nor shall there be any assaultive behavior. The parties should recognize that it is in the best interest of the children if both parties cooperate and are civil towards one another.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Oct. 13, 1992 Copy of Order toAttys Halliday, Dratler, & Thomas & Plft.

Nov. 19, 1993, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Nov. 19, 1993, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Feb. 14, 1994, Motion to withdraw Count II from Plaintiffs complaint in divorce and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 11 day of Feb. 1994, the court grants the within motion to withdraw count II of the plaintiff's Complaint in Divorce since the parties have resolved that matter between them.

BY THE COURT,
anthony J. vardaro, J.

Feb. 17, 1994, copies of order to Attys. Dratler, Halliday, Thomas.

Feb. 17, 1994 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

Feb. 17, 1994 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Feb. 17, 1994 Upon praecipe of Defendant's attorney to transmit records, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Feb. 28, 1994 AND NOW, Feb. 24, 1994, it is hereby ordered and decreed that BOBBIE JO RICHARDS, Plaintiff, and EUGENE P. RICHARDS, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT: Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

1991

Swensen, Perer & Johnson
John J.Edson

~~McGarthy, Martone & Peasley~~
~~Joseph P. Martone~~ withdrew
~~Paul J. Gitnik~~ withdrew
123.

John C. Bogut, Jr.
for Plft.

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore Vardaro, Walker
R. Charles Thomas

PATRICK CAMPBELL

vs.

LOUIS SCHWARTZ

Filed Feb. 6, 1991 ✓
@ 2:29 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Cost	27.00
Pro Co. pd 7/17/95	30.00
State pd.	5.00
Certified Copy pd 11/22/95	5.00
Pro Co pd 12-1-95	35.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

✓ Feb. 27, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Louis Schwartz personally on February 8, 1991 at 9:56 AM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA 16335
So answers, Carole Stefanucci, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

✓ Mar. 1, 1991 Appearance of R. Charles Thomas, Esq., for Defendant, filed.
✓ Apr. 8, 1991 Answer filed. 1 copy (atty)

✓ Apr 22, 1991 Answer to New Matter filed.

✓ June 6, 1991 Notice of Service of Interrogatories No. 1 through 25 filed.

✓ Oct. 14, 1992 Gitnik, Baltic & Associates by Paul J. Gitnik for Plaintiff filed.

✓ Oct. 14, 1992 Appearance of Joseph P. Martone, Esq. is hereby withdrawn.

✓ May 25, 1994 Upon praecipe of John J.Edson, Esquire, to withdraw appearance of Paul J. Gitnik, Esquire and appearance of Swenson, Pere & Johnson by John J.Edson, Esquire for Patrick Campbell, Plaintiff, filed.

✓ Apr. 20, 1995 Certificate of Readiness, filed.

ON OCTOBER, 1995 TRIAL LIST

✓ Apr. 24, 1995, Motion for Judgment of Non Pros and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 21 day of April 1995, upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion, a Rule is hereby granted upon the plaintiff to show cause if any why judgment onnon pros should not be entered. Returnable for hearing and/or argument on the 19 day of May 1995 at 3:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.
A copy of this motion and order to be served upon the plaintiff by cert. mail and upon the Plaintiff's counsel by regular mail. BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
4/24/95 faxed to Thomas and Edson.

✓ Apr. 26, 1995 Appearance of Swensen, Perer & Johnson by John C.Bogut, Jr., Esquire, for Patrick Campbell, Plaintiff, filed.

✓ May 10, 1995 Answer & New Matter to Motion for Judgment of Non-Pros, filed.

✓ May 15, 1995 Motion To Strike Certificate of Readiness and ORDER filed. And now, this 12th day of May, 1995, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, a rule is issued upon the plaintiff to show cause, if any he has, why the relief requested should not be granted.
Rule returnable for hearing and argument on May 19, 1995 at 3:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2, at the same time previously set on defendant's motion for non pros.
BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.
May 15, 1995 Order FAXED Attys. Thomas and Edson.

✓ May 18, 1995 Reply To Plaintiff's New Matter and Plaintiff's Answer to Motion for Judgment of Non Pros, filed.

✓ June 28, 1995, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, June 27, 1995, the defendants motion for judgment of non pros is granted. Judgment is entered in favor of the defendant and against the plaintiff, This action is dismissed.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
6/28/95 dist.to Bogut and Thomas.

✓ July 18, 1995 Transcript of Proceedings at the time of Motion for Judgment of Non Pros filed.

✓ July 17, 1995 Notice of Appeal of plaintiff to the Superior Court of PA., filed.

✓ Jul. 20, 1995 Notice of Appeal returned for processing.

✓ July 24, 1995 Amended Notice of Appeal filed.

✓ Jul. 27, 1995 Notice of Superior Court of Pennsylvania Court Docketing, Docket #01406PGH95, filed.

✓ Sept. 5, 1995, Petition for Relief from Judgment of Non Pros filed.

✓ Sept. 5, 1995, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above Petition for Relief is placed on the next argument list.

on argument LIST FOR OCTOBER 1995

CONTINUED ON PAGE 568

1991

Jack Kookogey &
Felton
Robert J. Felton

124.

KIMBERLY D. ROWE

vs.

CHARLES H. ROWE

Filed Feb. 6, 1991
@ 3:36 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE
DIVORCE CODE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 26, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Robert J. Felton, Esquire, Attorney at Law, 144 West Spring Strete, Titusville, Pennsylvania, do hereby certify that, in my capacity as attorney for the plaintiff in the above-captioned matter, served a certified copy of the Divorce Complaint in this matter on February 8, 1991, by sending defendant the same by certified mail no. P 297 231 713, return receipt requested. A complaint was mailed to the defendant on Thursday, February 7, 1991, as reflected in the receipt for mailing and the return receipt attached hereto.
Robert J. Felton

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed.
Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 3/26/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.
If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.
If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:
Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: March 12, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
Feb. 17, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

125.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

SUSAN E. MAIN

vs.

GARY V. MAIN, SR.

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS, & EXPENSES AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Filed Feb. 7, 1991
@ 3:22 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	15.00
Kathleen Nelson, Master	
Pro.Co. pd 9/3/93	9.00

Nov. 4, 1992 Dft. deposited $500.00 for Master.
1-5-93 Rec from Pltf Master fees 250.00
10-22-93 Dd dept Refund Negated dep 500.00 (Atty shown)

Feb. 7, 1991 Plaintiff's Income and expense Statement filed.

Feb. 7, 1991, Inventory and Appraisement of Susan E. Main filed.

Feb. 12, 1991, Affidavit of Service filed. AND NOW, Feb. 11, 1991 comes lisa M. Sherretts being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth asfollows:

1. That she is the legal sec. of Atty. for Susan E. Main Plainiff.

2. That on information furnished her by plaintiff she caused a cert. copy of complaint in divorce to be forwarded by Cert. Mail, P 398 123 165; postmarked Feb. 7, 1991 to the last known addres sof dft. Gary V. Mail Sr. R. d. #4, Box 220 Brooks Rd. Meadville, Pa. 16335

3. That the dft. personally signed the ret. rec. card which was affixed to compalint in above matter and is attached.

4. service ofthe complaint is therefore effected in accordance with the Pa. Rules of Civil Procedure. Lisa M. Sherretts.

May 22, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 9, 1992 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 3301 (d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Sept. 15, 1992 Appearance of Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin& Stack by James R. Irwin, Esquire for Gary V. Main, Defendant, filed.

Sept. 18, 1992 Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Sept. 18, 1992 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, September 17, 1992, comes Constance L. Deitman being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. that she is the legal secretary of Attorney for Susan E. Main, Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished her by the Plaintiff, she caused a copy of the Motion Requesting Hearing for Alimony Pendente Lite, counsel Fees, costs and expenses and a copy of the Plaintiff's Affidavit of Consent to Divorce (with Counter-Affidavit) to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P 899 085 781; postmarked September 11, 1992, to the last known address of the Defendant, Gary V. Main, R.D. #4, Box 220, Brooks Rd., Meadville, PA 16335.

3. That the Defendant, Gary V. Main, on September 14, 1992, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the above-mentioned documents int he above-matter an dhwich is attached hereto.

4. Service of the documents against Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Constwnce L. Deitman

Sept. 21, 1992 Motion to set Hearing for Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees, costs and Expenses and Order filed. And Now, September 18, 1992, hearing on Plaintiff's request for alimony pendente lite, counsel fees, costs and expenses is scheduled for November 25, 1992 at 1:30 p.m. o'clock in Courtroom number 1 or 2 ofthe Crawford County Courthouse at Meadville, PA

For the Court:
Imogene Yetman, CA.

Sept. 21, 1992 Copies of order to Atty's Kirkpatrick and Irwin

Oct 1, 1992 Order Appointing Master Filed. And Now, Sept. 29, 1992, Kathleen Nelson, Esq. is appointed master in respect to the following claims:

Divorce, Alimony, Equitable Distribution, Counsel Fees and Costs.

Deposit required: By Plaintiff $250.00; By Defendant $500.00

If not filed already the parties are ordered to file their pretrial statements within 30 days from this date.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Oct 8, 1992 copy to M.A. Kirkpatrick, J. Irwin, K. Nelson

Dec. 2, 1992 ORDER FILED. AND NOW, NOvember 25, 1992 after an informal hearing, it appearing that there are not major factual issues to be resolved, the parties being at least substantially in agreement as to the steps that need to be taken to move this divorce action along but are in disagreement as to where the funds to do so should come from, the following order is entered:

1. The Defendant shall pay to the Plaintiff's counsel within ten days of this date the sum of $1,000 to be allocated $200 to the appraisal of the personal property, $250 as a deposit toward master's fees required from the Plaintiff, and $500 to apply on Plaintiff's counsel fees. This sum shall be taken from either the United States savings bonds listed as item 3 on the Plaitiff's inventory report or the other bonds listed as item 5 on the Plaintiff;s inventory at the election of the Defendant. The Plaintiff shall execute any necessary documents to liquidate sufficient of those assets to provide the sum of $1,000.

2. Allocation of the foregoing sum as to the ultimate reponsibility for its payment shall be a matter to be determined by the master in her recommendation to the Court and ultimately for disposition by the Court at the time of the entry of a final decree, adjustments to be made and a final decree disposing of the marital assets.

3. The Defendant indicates that he has had an appriasal made of the real estate by a competent realtor and will furnish a copy thereof to Plaintiff's counsel on or before December 7, 1992. If the appraisal is in appropriate form, no further appraisal will be ordered at the expense of the Defendant, provided the Plaintiff can have an additional appraisal at her own expense if she so desires. If an appraisal is not forthcoming from the Defendant by said date, the Defendant shall pay an additional $150 to Plaintiff's cousnel to supplement the money to pay for a real estate anpraisal and said sums shall be allocated by the master and the Court in the final decree as set forth above.

4. The Defendant shall pay the sum of $125 per month as alimony pendente lite beginning with the first day of December 1992 and continuing through the first day of April, 1993 and not thereafter uness this order is modified by the Court or until the entry of a final decree in divorce, whichever shall first occur.

Continued to Page 561.

126

1991

Halliday & Halliday
Michael Halliday

126.

MICHAEL HALLIDAY

vs.

CHESTER ALAN BLAIR

Filed Feb. 7, 1991
@ 3:41 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	19.25

COMPLAINT IN A CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 2, 1991 complaint reinstated.

May 1, 1991, Sheriff's Return filed. NOT FOUND. Lives in Norfolk, Va.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

1991

Shafer, swick Bailey, Irwin & Stack Paul D. Shafer, Jr. 127.	DOLLAR SAVINGS ASSOCIATION vs. LAWRENCE M. MICKLE AND MARLENE M. MICKLE, HIS WIFE Filed Feb. 8, 1991 @ 4:03 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.50 state paid 5.00 Sheriff's costs 32.50 Pro Co Pd 3/8/91- $5.00	COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE 2 copies (sheriff) Mar. 6, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned matter is hereby discontinued of record. Mar. 13, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Lawrence M. Mickle personally on February 12, 1991 at 12:10 PM at his residence, 127 Jefferson Street, LInesville, Crawford County, PA. SERVED Marlene M. Mickle on February 12, 1991 at 12:11 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Lawrence M. Mickle, her husband and person in charge of residence, 127 Jefferson street, Linesville, crawford County, PA. So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Bruce L. Smith

pro se

128.

R. Christopher Brode
NW Legal Services

HASBROUCK SAND & GRAVEL, INC.

vs.

GREGORY LONG

Filed Feb. 11, 1991
@ @ 10:38 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.~~50~~ 25
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 8/13/91	15.00
Pro Co Pd 11/12/91	9.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF
RONALD COLE, DISTRICT JUSTICE 30-3-06

Feb. 11, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Feb. 11, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

Feb. 28, 1991, Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

Apr. 11, 1991, Answer New Matter and Counterclaim of Defendant Long filed. 1 copy (atty)

Apr. 11, 1991, Appearance of Northwestern Legal Services, by R. Christopher Brode, Esq. attorney for defendant, filed.

May 1, 1991 Affidavit of SErvice of Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

May 9, 1991 Plaintiff's Reply To Defendant's New Matter and Answer To Defendant's Counterclaim filed.

Aug. 8, 1991 Stipulation For Trial By Arbitrators Under Crawford County Local Rule 6, Section 1 filed.

Aug 13, 1991 Attorney for Plaintiff files praecipe for Arbitrators, whereupon the following Arbitrators are appointed:

Curtis E. Blytone, Chairman

Nancy M. Sennett

F. C. Kiebort, Jr.

Aug. 29, 1991 Arbitrators take the qualifying oath.

Oct. 8, 1991, Decision of the ARbitrators filed in which they find Judgment in favor of plaintiff in the amountof $3,671.14. Judgment in favor of plainitff on defendants counterclaims.
Decision entered on 10/8/91
L - 73

DECISION OF ARBITRATORS
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Oct. 8, copy mailed to Atty Smith and inbox for Atty. Brode.

And Now, Nov. 12, 1991 upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor fo the plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of Three Thousand Six Hundred Seventy One and 14/100 Dollars, plus costs of suit pursuant to the decision of the arbitrators entered on Oct. 8, 1991/
Judgment entered 11/12/91
L - 73

Debt -------$3,671.14

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

1991

Jack, Kookogey & Felton Robert J. Felton 129.	BERKSHIRE VALLEY QUALITY HOMES, INC. vs. RODNEY MARTZ Filed Feb. 11, 1991 @ 11:06 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.50 state paid 5.00 Sheriffs costs 60.47	COMPLAINT 1 copy (sheriff) Apr. 4, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Rodney Martz personally on Mar. 6, 1991 at 8:30 a.m. at his residence, R. D. #1 Hydetown Rd. titusville, Crawford County, Pa. 16354. So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

130.

SUSAN M. SMOCK

vs.

ROGER A. SMOCK

Filed Feb. 11, 1991
@ 11:55 a.m.

Tax & entry Pd 3/18/91	$50.50	✓
state Pd 3/18/91	5.00	
Sheriff's Costs	28.00	
Pd 3/18/91	9.00	✓

3/18/91 Recd $ 58.00 From DEFENDANT

3/18/91 Paid $ 58.00 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff Hwy 6085

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

ORDER:

And now, this 11 day of Feb., 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions:
Dft. may not go to residence of plaintiff for any reason except to pick uyp children on Sundays at 9:30 a.m. - return them at 8:00 p.m, Plaintiff awarded temporary custody except for Sunday Visits.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for Feb. 21, 1991, at 11:30 AM., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Feb. 14, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Roger A. Smock personally on February 12, 1991 at 11:38 AM at his residence, RD #1 Box 5, Springboro, PA.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 22, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, February 21, 1991, at the time scheduled for hearing, the Defendant has failed to appear, although the record indicates that he was served on February 12, 1991 and the Plaintiff has indicated that he made an oral statement to her that he probably would not be attending this hearing.

Having heard the evidence presented by the Plaintiff, the following Order is entered:

1. The defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or the minor children of the parties in any way.
2. The Defendant shall not go to the Plaintiff's residence for any purpose whatsoever except insofar as he is permitted to visit the children under the provisions of this Order.
3. The Court has been advised that in a separate divorce and/or custody action the parties have agreed that the Defendant could have temporary custody and visitation privileges with the minor children Kyle Alan Smock and Kristin Marie Smock, each Sunday from 9:30 o'clock a.m. until 8:00 o'clock p.m. The Defendant shall pick up the children at the Plaintiff's residence, but shall not go in the mobile home located at the residence for any purpose but shall wait outside until the children are delivered to him for such visits.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Pennsylvania State Police and to County Control.
5. The Pennsylvania State Police or any other police department having jurisdiction in the premises may enforce this Order in accordance with the Protection From Abuse Act.
6. This Order shall remain in full force and effect for a period of one (1) year from this date.
7. The Defendant shall pay the record costs in this proceeding with thirty (30) days of this datee.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. walker, J

Feb. 27, 1991 Copies mailed to plaintiff, defendant, and P.S.P and copy put in County Control's box.

1991

Marsh, Spaeder,
Baur, Spaeder & Schaaf
John P. Eppinger

131.

METLIFE CAPITAL CREDIT CORP.

vs.

DAVID L. DURFEE, SR. AND
DAVID L. DURFEE, JR.

Filed Feb. 11, 1991
@ 2:16 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid $15.00
plff on orig 9.50
Sat. Pd. 2/4/93 $5.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

DSB 1987 - 585

Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Three Thousand Sixty Six Thousand Three Hundred Seven Six and 05/100 Dollars plus costs and interest from Mar. 1, 1986.

Debt ----$366,376.05
Int. from--3/1/86

D - 44, 44 ---JUDGMENT REVIVAL

James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

May 10, 1991 Writ Returned: Writ of Revival returned to Prothonotary Office, May 10, 1991, unserved. No costs or instructions received.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 4, 1993 This Judgment Satisfied.
(See Paper filed)

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

132.

ANGENETTE GRAY

vs.

CLIFTON GRAY

Filed Feb. 11, 1991
@ 3:45 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 7/24/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

June 5, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, despose and say that I did on Feb. 21, 1991 mail to the above Defendant, Clifton Gray, by certified mail return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a Complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received on March 7, 1991, as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason - Attorney for Plaintiff

June 14, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 19, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

July 23, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

July 29, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, July 29, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that ANGENETTE GRAY, plaintiff, and CLIFTON GRAY, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

133.

STEPHANIE L. SMITH

vs.

JEFFREY D. SMITH

Filed Feb. 11, 1991
@ 4:01 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 3/13/91	9.00
Pro.Co. pd 5/16/94	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Feb 12, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed: I, the above named Defendant, hereby accept service of the Complaint in the above action and I also accept service of Plaintiff's affidavit that she has been separated from me for at least two (2) years, and I accept service of a Counter Affidavit which I have signed and returned to Plaintiff's attorney and I accept service of Plaintiff's Notice of Intention to request entry of Divorce Decree here. Jeffrey D. Smith

Feb 12, 1991 Plaintiff's Affidavit Uncer Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Feb 12, 1991 Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Feb 12, 1991 Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree filed.

Mar. 8, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

March 15,, 1991 DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

AND NOW, March 14, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Stephanie L. Smith, plaintiff and Jeffrey D. Smith, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,

Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 16, 1994 Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name of Stephanie L. Smith, to be known as STEPHANIE L. RUBY, filed.

Evans, Rosen, Portnoy & Quinn
John E. Quinn

134.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug
E. Max Weiss
John B. Brautigam

KATHERINE ANN CARBONE

vs.

CATHERINE P. BLAKESLEE

Filed Feb. 12, 1991 @ 9:45 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	24.00
Pro Co pd 4-13-94	9.00
Pro Co pd 4-13-94	6.00

JURY TRIAL

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Mar. 13, 1991 Writ return filed. SERVED Catherine P. Blakeslee on February 14, 1991 at 2:17 PM by handing copy of Summons to Andrew Blakeslee, person in charge of residence, R.D. #5, Box 550, Meadville, crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Chief Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 21, 1991 Complaint in Civil Action filed.

Apr 29, 1991 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by E. Max Weiss, Esq. for Defendant filed.

May 21, 1991 Answer and New Matter of Catherine P. Blakeslee to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

May 22, 1991 Notice of Service of Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents filed.

June 17, 1991 Plaintiff's Reply To New Matter Of Defendant filed.

Jan. 14, 1992 Certificate Of Service of Answers to Plaintiff's Interrogatories filed.

Apr. 3, 1992 Notice of Taking Depositions on Oral Examination of Katherine Ann Carbone, filed.

Nov. 4, 1992 Notice to Complete Discovery filed.

Jan 8, 1993 Certificate of Readiness, filed.

1993 April Civil Trial List

Mar. 29, 1993 Order filed. And now, this 29th day of March, 1993, following the call of the April Civil Trial List, pretrial conferences are scheduled in the following case on the following dates and times before the judge indicated below:
Pretrial Conference Date and Time: Judge. Tuesday, April 6, 1993 at 9:00 A.M.; Miller,P.J.
Counsel are directed to report any change in the status of any case, or any special scheduling needs to theCourt Administrator at the earliest possible time.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

Mar. 29, 1993 Copies distributed by Court.

Apr. 7, 1993 PRE-TRIAL ORDER FILED. (Gordon R. Miller, J.)
Apr. 7, 1993 Copies to Attys.Quinn & Brautigam.

April 20, 1993 Exhibits filed.

April 20, 1993 Deposition of John P. Scullin III, M.D. filed.

April 20, 1993 Plaintiff's Points For Charge filed.

April 20, 1993 Defendant's Request for Jury Instructions filed.

April 20, 1993 AND NOW April 12, 1993, 10:42 a.m., (April 12, 1993) Jury selected and sworn, April 19, 1993 at 10:56 a.m. as follows:

1. Richard P. Abrams
2. Kevin Byers
3. Howard Moore
4. Alton Decker
5. Julie Myers
6. Brian Patterson
7. John Luchansky III
8. Robert L. Manross
9. Scott McKissock
10. Diana K. King
11. Adelaide Bellis
12. Donna Davis
13. Alt. Bettie M. Rodgers

twelve good and lawful persons who upon their solemn oaths which they have taken and at least 10 of them say: We, the jurors empanelled in the above entitled case, find in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant and award the plaintiff damages in the amount of $1,000.00 Unanimously.

John Luchansky III, Foreman

Apr. 29, 1993 Plaintiff's Motion for Post-Trial Relief filed.

May 7, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 3, 1993, argument on the plaintiff's motions for post trial relief shall be held in Courtroom No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse on the 28th day of June, 1993 at 9:15 a.m.
The Court believes that a transcript is not required to properly dispose of these motions. Nonetheless, if either party believes that a transcript of part of all of the trial is necessary or appropriate then the party desiring said transcript shall obtain the same in accordance with the Uniform Rules Governing Court Reporting and Transcript (Rule 5001 et seq. of the Rules of Judicial Administration). The transcript shall be delivered to the Court at least seven (7) days prior to the time of the argument.
BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 10, 1993 copy to J. Quinn & J. Brautigam

May 13, 1993 Transcript Of Verdict Taken At Time Of Jury Trial filed.

Jun. 23, 1993 Transcript of Closing Statements and Jury Charge, TAken at Time of Jury Trial filed.

June 23, 1993 Transcript of Proceedings in Chambers Conference at Time of Jury Trial filed.

Continued on Pg. 134 A

Oct. 27, 1993, Transcript of Proceedings at time of Jury Trial filed. (Vol I)

Oct. 28, 1993, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, October 27, 1993, the plaintiff's motion for new trial is denied.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, p.J.

Oct. 28, 1993 Copeis dist. to Quinn and Brautigam.

And now, April 13, 1994 upon praecipe of John B. Brautigam, Esquire judgment is hereby entered upon the verdict, in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant, in the sum of One Thousand Dollars and oo/100.

Entered 4-13-94
B - 31

Debt: $1,000.00
JUDGMENT
Barbara H. King, Prothonotary

Apr. 13, 1994 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney the Judgment entered in favor of Plaintiff is satisfied.

1991

KaTHLEEN R. Nelson

135.

JEFFERY P. ANDERSON

vs.

NICOLLE L. ANDERSON

Filed Feb. 12, 1991
@ 10:13 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
John Fuller, Mediator	150.00
Pro Pd 6/24/91 -	#9.00
Pro Pd. 7/10/91	9.00

6/24/91 Rec'd
#75.00 from plff.
Mediation Costs
7-1-91 paid
to Comm #75.00
CK6295

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 19, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. KATHLEEN R. NELSON, ESQUIRE, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy ofthe Complaint in Divorce and Notice were sent by registered mail to the defendant, NICOLLE L. Anderson, on February 13, 1991.

3. The registered mail was sent to NICOLLE L. ANDERSON in care of P.O. Box 688, Linesville, PA 16424. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on February 14, 1991. Said Return Receipt card P. 269 402 225 is a ttached hereto, signed by Nicolle L. Anderson and made a part hereof. Kathleen R. Nelson

Mar. 20, 1991 MOtion For Appointment Of Custody Mediator and Order filed. AND NOW, 3-20, 1991, John Fuller is hereby appointed Child Custody Mediator in the above-captioned matter.
1 copy atty, 1 copy mediator P R Thomas, S.J.

May 14, 1991 Affidavit of Consennt of Defendant filed.

May 14, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 30, 1991 Order Filed: AND NOW, May 29, 1991, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, and based upon an agreement of the parties, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED as follows:

I. Legal custody of Jeff Paul Anderson and Davina R. Anderson shall be shared between the father, Jeffrey P. Anderson and the mother, Nicolle L. Anderson, that is each parent shll be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such as the children's education, medical treatment and religious instruction.

II. Physical custody of Jeff Paul Anderson and Davina R. Anderson shall be with their father, Jeffrey P. Anderson, subject to the following conditions:

A. The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the children into her custody Saturday, May 18, 1991 and Saturday, May 25, 1991 from 7:30 a.m. until 2:30 p.m. each Saturday.

B. Beginning June 1, 1991, the mother shall be entitled to visit and take the children into her custody every Saturday, Monday and Wednesday, from 7:30 a.m. until 2:30 p.m.

c. Transportation of the children on Monday, Wednesday and Saturday visits shall be the responsibility of the mother.

C. Beginning September 1, 1991, in the event the mother becomes re-employed, it will be necessary for the parties to schedule another mediation conference, in the event they are unable to arrive at a consent order.

E. Holidays shall be defined as Easter, Christmas and Thanksgiving. Custody of the children on the aforesaid three holidays shall be shared between the mother and the father and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the children shall be in the custody of the father on each of the three holidays until 1:00 p.m. and in the custody of the mother on the three aforesaid holidays from 1:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m.

F. In the event the mother is more than one-half hour late for any scheduled visit, the father may consider the visit cancelled.

G. When transporting the children both parents shall observe the Pennsylvania seatbelt law.

H. Neither parent shall smoke in the children's bedrooms nor in the automobile when the children are passengers.

I. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everyting in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

J. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

K. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding on or both of the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided with the father paying one-half of the cost thereof and the remaining one-half to be paid by Crawford County.

Gordon R. Miller, Judge

May 31, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys Nelson and Fuller's boxes and copy mailed to Plaintiff. and Defendant

July 10, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

July 17, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, July 17, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Jeffrey P. Anderson, plaintiff, and Nicolle L. Anderson, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Phillips and Galanter
Bret J. Southard

136.

MOTORISTS INSURANCE COMPANY AS SUBROGEE OF EELLS USED CAR SALES

vs.

DAVID A. MELRAY
DAVUD A, McELROY, SR.

Filed Feb. 12, 1991
@ 1:14 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	17.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Mar. 21, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Jerry Hanlon, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 4 day of March1991, at 9 a.m. he did not serve filed at No. 136 - 1991 Crawford County Pa., upon defendant David A. Melray at 1130 Brookline Blvd. Pgh.
By making known the contents thereof to NO service - no answer looks vacant

Jerry Hanlon, Dep. Sheriff
So answers, Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff Allegheny Co.

Not Found, as to defendant David A. Melray per Affidavit received from Sheriff of Allegheny Co. Pa. and hereto attached.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 13, 1992 UPon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned matter is amended and complaint reinstated.

Oct. 13, 1992 Amended Complaint filed.

1991

Marsh, Spaeder, Baur,
Spaeder & Schaaf
John C. Brydon

137.

JOHN E. WILKINSON, III

vs.

JAMES R. WILLEY AND KAREN A. WILLEY

Filed Feb. 12, 1991
@ 2:22 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	30.00

MECHANIC'S LIEN COMPLAINT
(of MLD 1989 - 306)

2 copies (sheriff)

Mar. 7, 1991 Answer and New Matter Of Owners, James R. Wiley and Karen R. Willey filed.

March 14, 1991 SERVED James R. Willey personally on Feb. 15, 1991 at 2:38 PM by handing copy of Complaint to him at residence, RD #1, Box 150, Guys Mills, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Karen A. Willey on Feb. 15, 1991 at 2:39 PM by handing copy of Complaint to her husband, James R. Willey at residence, RD # 1, Box 150, Guys Mills, Crawford Co., Pa.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 26, 1991 Reply To New Matter filed.

Oct. 15, 1991Notice of Service REquests for Production filed.

Oct. 15, 1991 Notice of Serving Interrogatories filed.

1991

Jorden & White
Harry Faber White II

138.

JAMES L. BARRY

vs.

DOUGLAS E. ARTMAN AND
MELODY FOSTER

Filed Feb. 12, 1991
@ 3:25 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 2/27/91	6.00
Sheriff's Costs	34.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Feb. 25, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, the above captioned action, for value received and upon payment of costs, is hereby settled and discontinued of record.

Mar. 13, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Not found as to defendant Douglas E. Artman. Unknown at Post Office. Served Melody Foster personally on February 14, 1991 at 3:33 P at her residence, R.D.#2 Box 608, Mosiertown Road, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sher.
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

~~Stephen E. Hall~~
Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso, Gamble,
Fabian & Hall
Brian J. Lindsay

139.

HELEN BITENC

vs.

GEORGE W. BURGESS AND
MARY ANN BURGESS, HIS WIFE

Filed Feb. 12, 1991
@ 4:17 p.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$15.00
plff on orig	9.50
Sheriff's Costs	41.00
Pro.Co. pd 2/28/96	9.00
Propaid 10/21/97	6.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL
of
DSB 1983 - 111

Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of the plaintiff and agaisnt the defendant in the sum of Twenty Thousand Four Hundred Seventy Five and 00/100 dollars, without interest.

Debt ------$20,475.00
G - 74
G - 138 -----_JUDGMENT REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Issued (atty)

Jan 24, 1996 Writ reissued.

Feb 1, 1996 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED George W. Burgess personally on January 30, 1996 at 3:35 PM by handing a copy of the Writ of Revival to him in the Sheriff's Office, Third Floor, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
SERVED Mary Ann Burgess on January 30, 1996 at 3:36 PM by handing a copy of the Writ of Revival to George W. Burgess, Authorized Agent, Signed Acceptance of Service attached.
So answers, Dale A. Collins, Chief Deputy
Robert M. Stevens, Sheriff

Feb 9, 1996 Motion For Order Continuing Lien of Writ of Revival Pursuant to Rule 3030, Pa.R.C.P. and Order. AND NOW, February 9,1996, upon consideration of the foregoing motion and in accordance with Rule 3030(c), Pa. R.C.P., the lien of the writ of revival filed February 12, 1991 in this matter shall be continued for a period of time not to exceed five (5) years from the date of this Order and to expire as of the date of entry of judgment on the writ. A true and correct copy of this Petition and Order shall be served upon defendnats forthwith and a return of service made.
Defendants shall have until February 20, 1996 to file a motion for reconsideration of this Order.
Feb 9, 1996 dist to Hall
Feb 14, 1996 Faxed to Lindsay

Feb 23, 1996 Affidavait of Service of Motion For Order Continuing Lien or Writ of Revival Pursuant to Rule 3030, Pa. R.C. P.

And Now, Feb. 22, 1996 at 3:30 P.M. upon Praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendants, on plaintiff's Writ of Revival issued February 12,1991 and re-issued January 24, 1996 in the amount of Nineteen Thousand One hundred Nine and 96/100 Dollars, plus costs, upon defendant's failure to plead to the Writ.

Debt $19,109.96
Judgment
Barbara H. King
Prothonotary

B-74; B-238;
Entered 2-22-96
Stephen E.Hall, Esq.

Oct. 19, 1997, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney (guardian of estate) this judgment marked settled and dicontinued of record.

1991

Gifford Lay & Johnson
Ted G. Miller

140.

MacDonald Illig JOnes
and Britton
J. Douglas Murphy

LINDA S. OURS

vs.

CURTIS H. LAUB

Filed Feb. 13, 1991
@ 9:28 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	25.00
Pro Co Pd 3/5/91	9.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Mar. 13, 1991 Writ return filed. SERVED Curtis H. Laub on February 14, 1991 at 9:33 AM by hanidng copy of Summons to B. K. Smith, Medical Records Secretary, at place of employment, 640 Alden Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

Mar. 15, 1991 Appearance of MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton by J. Douglas Murphy for Defendant filed.

Mar. 15, 1991 Upon praecipe of Defendant attorney Rule To file Complaint Issued.

Mar. 22, 1991 Service of the foregoing Rule is hereby acepted by Ted G. Miller,

May 30, 1991 Motion for Plaintiff's Extension of Time to File Complaint and Order filed: AND NOW, this 30th day of May, 1991, upon consideration of the Plaintiff, Linda Ours' Motion for Extension of Time in which to file a Complaint, and after notice and opportunity to be heard, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff is granted an additional twenty (20) days from date of this Order in which to file her Complaint. Defense counsel shall be furnished with a copy of Dr. Freed's report with 10 days of receipt of same by Pl and before deposition of defendant is taken.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.
May 31, 1991 Copies of order Mailed to Atty Miller.

And Now, June 26, 1991, at 2:50 p.m., JUDGMENT OF NON PROS is hereby entered agaisnt the plaintiff and in favor of defendant for failure to file a Complaint after being ruled so to do.
Judgment entered 6/26/91
O = 104 JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

July 1, 1991, notice of entry of judg. of non pros to Atty. Ted G. Miller.

1991

Dale, Woodard, Montgomery, Greenfield & Pemrick
Ralph L. Montgomery Jr

141.

NORTHWEST PENNSYLVANIA REGIONAL PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

vs.

JACK C. BILTZ AND JEANETTE D. BILTZ AND NI-TECH, INC., A CORPORATION

Filed Feb. 13, 1991
@ 11:27 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	35.05
Ret'd 6/27/91	9.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

March 14, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Jack C. Biltz personally on Feb. 15, 1991 at 2:36 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA.

SERVED Jeanette D. Biltz on Feb. 15, 1991 at 2:36 PM by handing copy of Complaint to her Authorized Agent, Jack C. Biltz, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA. Signed Acceptance of Service attached.

So answers,

Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

** March 11, 1991 Praecipe For Default Judgment filed. See Below**

March 18, 1991 ORDER for Action to Conform Judgment filed. It appearing to the Court from the pleadings and docket entries in the Office of the Prothonotary that: the judgment confessed against the defendants on Sept. 25, 1989, at D.S.B. 1989-504, has been pleaded by Complaint to conform said judgment as required Pa. R.C.P. 2981, et seq; and the defendants, Jack C.Biltz have not appeared or filed responsive pleadings notwithstanding the passage of at least twenty (20) days after service of the Complaint containing the required Notice to Plead; and that a default judgment was entered upon the defendants, Jack C.Biltz and Jeanette D. Biltz, in conformity to Pa. R.C.P. 1037;

It is the ORDER of this Court that:

1. The judgment entered in the above captioned matter shall merge with the confessed judgment at D.S.B. 1989-504.
2. The confessed judgment shall be conformed to the judgment entered at AD 1991-141.
3. The Prothonotary shall record the merger and conform the confessed judgment by appropriate notation on the docket at both D.S.B. 1989-504 and AD 1991-141.

By The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

March 18, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Ralph L. Montgomery, Jr.

, 1991, 11:36 A.M., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney to file default judgment, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against the defendants, Jack C. Biltz and Jeanette D. Biltz, for failure to file an answer in the amount of $66,973.61 plus interest from and after January 31, 1991, at the rate of $7.87 per day and late charges after January 31, 1991, in the amount of $253.22 per month as averred in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint.

B-91,91
Judgment entered 3/11/91

Debt $ 66,973.61
Interest from and after 1/31/91.
Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro.

142

1991

Mazzotta, McCague &
Associates P.C.
Donald S. Mazzotta
William T. Molczan

142.

FIRST DEPOSIT NATIONAL BANK

vs.

LINDA C. MATTERN

Filed Feb. 13, 1991
@ 1:48 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	25.00
Pro Co pd 3/28/91	9.00
Pro.Co. pd 10/6/93	5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

March 14, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Linda C. Mattern personally on Feb. 20, 1991 at 3:42 PM at her residence, RD # 1, Box 234, Golden Dr., Meadville, Crawford Co., PA.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Mar. 28, 1991 at 2:13 PM upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Three Thousand Seven Hundred Eighty-eight and 82/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

M-105
judgment entered Mar. 28, 1991
notice of entry of judgment
mailed 4/3/91

Debt $3,788.82
Int. from 1/23/91 to 3/25/91
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothontoary

Oct. 6, 1993 Upon Praecipe of William T. Molczan, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff, the above judgment marked satisfied of record.

1991

Mazzotta, McCague & Associates, PC
William T. Molczan

143.

FIRST DEPOSIT NATIONAL BANK

vs.

JULIE G. DICKINSON

Filed Feb. 13, 1991
@ 1:49 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	26.50
Pro Co pd 3/28/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

March 14, 1991 Sheriff's REturn filed. SERVED Julie G. Dickinson on Feb. 25, 1991 at 11:40 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Jim Dickinson, her husband at their residence, RD #2, Box 286, Guys Mills, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Mar. 28, 1991 at 2:18 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Four Thousand One Hundered Fifteen and 00/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

D-93 Debt $4,115.00
judgment entered 3/28/91 Int. from 12/31/90 to 3/25/91
notice of entry of judgment Judgment
mailed 4/3/91 James.F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1991

Watts & Pepicelli Theodore H. Watts	RUTH JOANN BIEGANSKI AND ARTHUR B. BIEGANSKI, HER HUSBAND	SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
	vs.	Issued (atty)
144.	SUZANNE K. HENRY, EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF WILLIAM E. HENRY	Feb. 14, 1991, Acceptance of Service in Accordance with Pa R.C.P. Rule 402(b) filed. I, Paul D. Shafer, Jr. Esq. hereby accept servcie of the Writ of Summons on behalf of Suzanne K. Henry, Ex. of the Est. of William E. Henry, Deceased, the above captioned defendant, and I certify that I am authorized to do so. Date: 2/14/91 Paul D. Shafer, Jr. Esq.
	Filed Feb. 13, 1991 @ 4:02 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.50 state paid 5.00	

1991

MacDonald Illig Jones
& Britton
Susan Fuhrer Reiter

145.

ERIE-CRAWFORD COOPERATIVE,

vs.

HAROLD W. SCHMIDT, LUCILLE M. SCHMIDT, JOSEPH O. SCHMIDT AND ELAINE C. SCHMIDT

Filed Feb. 14, 1991
@ 11:45 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	40.50

COMPLAINT

4 copies (sheriff)

March 22, 1991 Sheriff's REturn filed. SERVED Harold W. Schmidt personally on Feb. 21, 1991 at 1:40 PM at his residence, RD # 3, Conneautville, Crawford Co., PA. 16406

SERVED Lucille M. Schmidt on FEb. 21, 1991 at 1:41 PM by serving Harold W. Schmidt, adult in charge of residence, at RD #3, Conneautville, Crawford Co., PA.

SERVED Joseph O. Schmidt on Feb. 21, 1991 at 1:42 PM by serving Harold W. Schmidt, adult in charge of residence, RD # 3 Conneautville, Crawford Co., Pa.

SERVED Elaine C. Schmidt on FEb. 21, 1991 at 1:43 PM by serving Harold W. Schmidt, adult in charge of residence, at RD #3, Conneautville, Crawford Co., Pa.

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Jack H. Kudzma

146.

Watts and Pepicelli PC
By: Alan L. Pepicelli
for: Barko Hydraulics, Inc.
and Pettibone Corp.

James R. Irwin
Jeffrey MIllin
for Lohr & Sylvan

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma and Schug
JOhn B. Brautigam
for dfts. William L. Reese
t/d/b/a Sylvan Equip. Co.

R. Charles Thomas
John Fillenworth
Northshore Mfg. Co &
Northshore Mfg., Inc.

RAY E. RHOADES AND SANDRA S. RHOADES, HIS WIFE

vs.

LAKESHORE INDUSTRIAL, INC., A CORPORATION: NORTHSHORE MANUFACTURING COMPANY, a/k/a NORTHSHORE MANUFACTURING, ICN. A CORPORATION: BARKO HYDRAULICS, INC., A CORPORATION: PETTIBONE CORP., A CORPORATION: WILLIAM L. REESE, t/d/b/a SYLVAN EQUIPMENT CO.: AND SYLVAN EQUIPMENT, CO.

Filed Feb. 14, 1991
@ 2:08 p.m.

Tax & entry	Pd 2/19/91	$50.50
state	Pd 2/19/91	5.00
sheriff's costs		50.00
sheriff's costs		50.00
Pro Co Pd 8-8-91		9.00
Pro Co. pd		5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

3 copies (sheriff)

7 copies (atty)

March 14, 1991 Complaint Reinstated.

March 14, 1991 Appearance of Watts and Pepicelli PC by Alan L. Pepicelli, Attorney for Barko Hydraulics, Inc. and Pettibone Corp, defendants filed.

April 5, 1991 Appearance of James R. Irwin and Jeffrey K. Millin, attorneys for defendant Edwin Lohr , t/d/b/a Sylvan Equip. Co. filed.

April 29, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Edwin M. Lohr d/b/a Sylvan Equipment Co., by serving Pat Lohr, Secretary, at place of business, RD # 4, Rt 8, Box 62A, Centerville, Pa., on Feb. 15, 1991 at 3:14 pm.
SERVED William L. Rees d/b/a Sylvan Equipment Co., by serving Pat Lohr, Secretary, at place of business, RD # 4, Rt. 8, Box 62A, Centerivlle, Pa., on Feb. 15, 1991 at 3:15 PM.
SERVED Sylvan Equipment Co., by serving Pat Lohr, Secretary, at place of business, RD#4, Rt 8, Box 62A, Centerville, Pa. on Feb. 15, 1991, at 3:16 PM.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

April 29, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED William L. Reese, personally, at Spartywoods Products, RD # 2, Spartansburg, Pa. on March 28, 1991 at 11:19 AM.
SERVED Sylvan Equipment Co., by serving Edwin M. Lohr, person in charge, on March 25, 1991 at 11:44 AM, at place of business, RD #4, Rt. 8, Box 62A, Centerville, Pa.
SERVED Edwin M. Lohr, personally, at place of business Sylvan Equipment Co., RD #4, Rt 8, Box 62A, Centerville, PA., on Marc 25, 1991 at 11:45 AM.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 20, 1991 Answer filed. (of dfts. Edwin M. Lohr t/d/b/a Sylvan Equip. Co and Sylvan Equip. Co.)

Aug 8, 1991 Affidavit of Service of Complaint filed: Before me a Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, County of Crawford, personally appeared Jack H. Kudzma, Esquire, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in the above case by certified mail, return receipt upon the Defendants:

1. Barko Hydraulic, Inc.
c/o C.T. Corporation Systems, Inc.
405 Second Avenue South
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401

2. Barko Hydraulics, Inc
PO Box 16227
Duluth, Minnesota 55816

3. Pettibone Corporation
C.T. Corporation
System, Inc
405 Second Avenue South
Ninneapolis, Minnesota
55401

4. Pettibone Corporation
Corporation Trust Company Company
Corporation Trust Center
1209 Orange Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19801

5. Barko Hydraulics, inc.
Banks Avenue
Superior, Wisconsin 54880

6. Northshore Manufacturing Company
a/k/a Northshore Manufacturing, Inc.
Highway 61 West,
P.O. Box 273,
Two-Harbours, MN 55616

The receipts and return receipts for certified mail are attached hereto, made a part hereof and marked Exhibit "A".

Jack H. Kudzma, Esq.

Aug 8, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed: I. Gerald O. Weeks, a competent adult who is not a part to the within action, served the defendant, Lakeshore Industrial, Inc. by handing a copy of the Writ of Summons to John Anderson, an officer or director of the corporation, Lakeshore Industrial, Inc., making known to him the ccntents thereof, at the present office and plant location of Northshore Manfacturing, Two Harbours, St. Louis County, MN on the 3 day of June, 1991 at 1 p.m.

Gerald Weeks, Process Server

2-15-94 Brief - Lohr

AND NOW, Aug 8, 1991 @ 2:41 p.m.Upon Praecipe of Plaintiffs' Attorney with Notices of Entry of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and Against the Defendant, Lakeshore Industrial, Inc. for failure to file an Answer to the Complaint within the required time.

JUDGMENT
L-184
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

August 8, 1991 Judgment entered.

August 13, 1991 Notice of Judgment mailed Lakeshore Industrial, Inc.

Sept. 13, 1991 Motion To Permit appearance and Order filed. Came on this day for consideration by this Court the Motion of Alan L. Pepicelli, esquire, to grant permission for Richard P. Klaus, Esquire, of the law firm of MeDermott, will & Emery, to practive pro hac vice, as co-counsel for Pettibone Corporation, and further permitting him to appear and participate in the above-captioned matter for all purposes. After due consideration of such Motion, this Court is of the opinion that such Motion should be granted.
THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Richard P. Klaus, Esquire, of the law firm of McERmott, will & Emery, may practive pro hac vice for the purpose of representing Pettibone Corporation in this action. Entered this 13th day of September, 1991.

BY THE COURT
Gorodn R. Miller, J

Sept. 16, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Atty. Kudzma and Klaus and copy put in box for Atty. Pepicelli & Irwin

Sept. 20, 1991, Amended Answer and New Matter of dfts. Lohr and Sylvan Equip. filed.

Continued on 146A

Ray E. Rhoades and Sandra S. Rhoades, his wife,

vs.

Lakeshore Industrial, Inc., et al

Record Continued from Page 146

Of

AD 1991 - 146

****

Sept. 30, 1991 Motion To Compel Answers To Interrogatories filed.

Sept. 30, 1991 Order On Motion To Compel Response To Interrogatoreis filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of September, 1991, upon consideration of Defendants' Motion to Compel Responses to Interrogatories addressed to Plaintiff, it is hereby Ordered that the Motion is granted and Plaintiffs shall answer said Interrogatories within 20 days of the date of this Order or suffer appropriate sanctions.

By The Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct. 1, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. Jack Kudzma, Alan Pepicelli, James Irwin and Jeffrey Millin.

Oct. 15, 1991 Plaintiff's Reply to Amended Answer and New Matter filed on Behalf of the Defendants Edwin M. Lohr t/d/b/a Sylvan Equipment Company, and Sylvan Equipment Company filed.

Nov. 22, 1991 Preliminary Objections filed on behalf of the defenants named above as Barko Hydraulics, Inc. and Pettibone Corporation, filed..

Dec. 4, 1991 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug, by John B. Brautigam, Esquire, attorneys for one of the defendants, William L. Reese, t/d/b/a Sylvan Equipment Company, in the above captioned case filed. [illegible] courthouse at which time a determination may be made on the request [illegible] the [illegible] in the possession of the defendant. Thelma [illegible] [illegible] the court.

Dec. 5, 1991 Certificate of Service of Appearance filed.

Jan. 15, 1992 Appearance of R. Charles Thomas, & John Fillenworth for Northshore Manufacturing company a/k/a Northshore Manufacturing, INc. filed.

Jan. 28, 1992, Preliminary Objections of defendant Northshore Manufacturing Company a/k/a Northshore Manufacturing Inc. filed. 1 copy (atty)

Jan. 18, 1993 Notice Of Service of Request for Admissions and Interrogatories filed.

Aug. 9, 1993, Plaintiffs Response to Request for Admissions Filed on Behalf of the Defendant Northshore MANufacturing Company, a/k/a Northshore Manufacturing, Inc., filed.

Feb. 17,1994 Petition For A Rule To Show Cause Why A Non Pros Should Not Be Granted and Rule To Show Cause, filed. AND NOW, this 16 day of February, 1994, a Rule is issued upon the Plaintiffs to show cause why Non Pros should not be entered.

It is further Ordered that this Rule is returnable on the 28th day of March, 1994, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse at 1:00 o'clock p.m.

The parties may file Briefs or Memorandum in support of their position in accordance with the Local Rules.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Feb. 22, 1994 copy to J. Kudzma, A. Pepicelli, J. Irwin, J. Millin, J. Brautigam, R.C. Thomas, R. Klaus, J. Fillenworth

Mar.23, 1994 Stipulation for Discontinuance against the Defendants Barko Hydraulics, Inc., and Pettibone Corporation ONLY, filed.

Mar. 28, 1994 Motion of Reorganized Pettibone Corporation to Discontinuance as to Less Than All Defendants Pursuant to Pa. R.C. P. rule 229 and Order, filed. AND NOW, this 28th day of March, 1994, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, it is hereby ordred that this action is discontinued as to the Defendants,Barko Hydraulics, Inc., a Corporation, and Pettibone Corp., a Corporation, only.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Apr. 4, 1994 copy to A. Pepicelli, J. Kudzma, J. Irwin, J. Millin, J. Brautigam, R.C. Thomas

Mar. 28, 1994 Certificat of Service of Motion of Reorganized Pettibone Corporation to Discontinue as to Less Than All Defendants Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. Rule 229, filed.

July 25, 1994 Memorandum and Order, filed. AND NOW, July 22, 1994, upon consideration of the petition for non pros. filed by Defendants Edwin M. Lohr t/d/b/a Sylvan Equipment co. and Sylvan Equipment Co. in the action at 1991-146, it is ordered and decreed that Defendnats' petition is denied. Upon consideration of the petition for non pros. filed by Defendants Edwin M. Lohr t/d/ba Sylvan Equipment co. and Sylvan Equipment Co. in the action at 1991-217, it is ordered and decreed that said rule to show cause be returned for hearing on September 27, 1994, at 9:15 A.M. in Courtroom No. 2, before the undersigned for the purpose of developing the record consistent with the attached Memorandum.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

July 25, 1994 copies dist sto Kudzma, Bautigam, Irwin & Millen
July 29, 1994 Faxed to A. Pepicelli & thomas

Oct. 10, 1995, Notice of Intent to Complete Discovery filed.

1991

Doepken Keevican Weiss
& Medved Pro. Corp.
David E. Sweitzer

147.

JUAN MONTOYA

vs.

HONDA MOTOR COMPANY LIMITED,
A JAPANESE CORPORATION

Filed Feb. 14, 1991,
@ 3:02 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

PETITION FOR SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM

Feb. 21, 1991 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, February 20, 1991, the defendant's petition for subpoena duces tecum is refused.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Feb. 21, 1991 Judge Miller's secretary sent copy to Glenn Thompson

1991

Füller, Kinnunen
Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

148.

DORIA L. GREGG

vs.

GEORGE G. GREGG

Filed Feb. 15, 1991
@ 8:41 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
2 count paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	15.00
Pro. Co. Pd. 1-8-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS, EXPENSES & CUSTODY

Feb. 27, 1991 Affidavit Of Mailing filed. Ann Hansen Gamble being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practive law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and that on February 15, 1991, she sent a certified mail, rstricted delivery, postage prepaid, receipt requested, to George G. Gregg, 1355 Pottstown Pike, Apt. B4, West Chester, PA 19380, the Defendant's last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto. Ann Hansen Gamble

Jan. 2, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 8, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 8, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, this case moved to Court.

Jan. 16, 1992 Stipulation and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 16 day of Jan., 1992, the parties hereto having stipulated and agreed, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED as follows:

1. The parties hereto Doria L. Gregg, plaintiff and George G. Gregg, defendant, are hereby divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

2. The primary physical custody of the child, Rebecca Yvonne Gregg, date of birth, Nov. 8, 1986, is and shall remain with the plaintiff mother, Doria L. Gregg, subject to reasonable rights of partial custody to be accorded to the father defendant, George G. Gregg. The father shall have the right to take the child into his physical custody on alternate weekends, the custody transfer to be arranged at such times and places as the parties find mutually agreeable. In the event that the parties cannot reach an agreement regarding the time and place of the custody transfer, either may petition the court for a more specific order.

3. Both parties shall have the right to full access to any and all recrods pertaining to the child which may be kept by third party providers of service including but not limited to schools, hospitals, doctors, counselors, dentists, or others who may provide service to the said child.

4. Neither shall take the child to any place or expose her to any circumstances which might commonly be thought of as detrimental to her well being.

5. Each of the parties hereto shall pay his or her own attorneys fees incurred in prosecuting or defending this action in divorce.

6. In the event that either of the parties hereto must obtain the assistance of the court in enforcing this order, the court in its discretion may award attorneys fees to the party seeking enforcement.

7. The defendant husband shall pay to the plaintiff wife the sum of $350.00 alimony which sum shall be paid directly to the plaintiff. The said alimony shall be payable for thirty six (36) months or until wife cohabits or remarries, which ever shall first occur. Cohabitation shall be as defined in the Divorce Code in Section 507. In the event that the defendant fails to make the said alimony payments on a timely basis, the plaintiff on petition may ask the court to require the said payments to be made through the Domestic Relations Section of Crawford County and further may petition the court to have these funds paid by wage attachment through his place of employment. BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Jan. 17, 1992 copy in box for A. Hansen Gamble and copy mailed to Dft.

1991

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

149.

Tamera Ochs-Rothschild
Mahany, Roeder &
Rothschild

PATRICIA L. WRIGHT

vs.

LESTER E. WRIGHT, JR.

Filed Feb. 15, 1991
@ 8:45 a.m.

Tax & entryPaid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd. 6/6/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 5, 1991 Ac ceptance of Service filed. And now, this 19th day of Feb. 1991 I hereby accept service of a copy of the Complaint in Divorce in regard to the above captioned matter on behalf of the defendant as proper service hereof.
Tamera Ochs-Rothschild, Esq.

May 9, 1991 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

June 7, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

June 6, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, with Waiver of nOtice Under Rule 1920.42(c) attached, this case moved to court.

June 17, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, June 17, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that PATRICIA L. WRIGHT, plaintiff, and LESTER E. WRIGHT, JR., defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

150.

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker
William L. Walker

GINGER M. SERNIK

vs.

EDWARD A. SERNIK

Filed Feb. 15, 1991
@ 12:01 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriffs costs	30.75

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy (plff)
4 copies (sheriff)

TEMPORARY ABUSE ORDER:

AND Now, this 15 day ofFeb. 1991 upon review of the petition of plaintiff, the court enteres the following temporary order:

1. The defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the plaintiff or nay of the minor children named in the petition.

2. Special conditions: Defendant shall not go to plaintiffs present residence for any purpose.

3. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.

4. A copy of this order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to county control.

5. Service of a copy of the petition and this order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, poliče officer or competent adult.

6. This temporary order shall remaIN IN full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.

7. The defendant is reminded that this is an order of court and violation of this order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000 and a jail sentence of up to six months.

8. The court reserves ruling on allocation of fees and costs.

Hearing is hereby set for Feb. 26, 1991 at 3:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

The defendant is advised he may retain a lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
Robert L.Walker, S. J.

Feb. 21, 1991, Motion to Continue and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 21 day of Feb. 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the hearing previously scheduled for Feb. 26, 1991 at 3:30 p.m. is hereby continued to the 13 day of March 1991 at 4:00 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

Dated: 2/21/91

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Feb. 21, 1991, copies of order to plaintiff by Atty. Walker, mailed.

Feb. 22, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Edward A. Sernik personally on Feb. 15, 1991 at 1:30 p.m. at his residence 125 Elm Dr., Westford, County County, Pa.

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 14, 1991 Order Resetting Hearing filed. AND NOW, March 12th, 1991, hearing in the above matter heretofore set for March 13th, 1991, is hereby reset by the court for March 22nd, 1991, at 3:00 P.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Court administrator

Mar. 14, 1991 copy of order mailed to Plaintiff, and copy of order put in Atty. Walker's box.

Mar. 25, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Mar. 22, 1991 at the time fixed for hearing in this matter the defendant appeared with counsel but the plaintiff did not appear.

This hearing was originally scheduled for Feb. 26, 1991, then continued at the request of the plaintiff to this date. The plaintiff made an oral request by telephone directly to the court administrator.

The court administrator appeared at this heairng and indicated that she gave oral notice, during that telephone ocnversation, to the plaintiff advising her that the hearing was this date at this time.

Since the plaintiff filaED TO APPEAR, THIS ACTION SHALL BE DISCONTINUED of record. Normally the court would assess court costs to the plaintiff, but the defendant has advised that the plaintiff may not be employed and may be receivding public assistance and if that is true, may not be able to pay court costs. Accordingly, court costs shall be assessed to the county.

The defendant indicates that the plaintiff now resides at R. D. #1, Rt. 285, Espyville, Pa. 16424, care of Kary Dean Wrataric.

A true and correct copy of this order shall be delivered to the plaintiff by regular mail, by the Prothonotary to the address given above in care of Mr. Wrataric.

A copy of this order shall also be delivered to the police dept. in the jurisdiction of which the palintiff resides, to County Control and to any other police departments where the temporary abuse order of Feb. 15, 1991 was also delivered.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, p.J.

Mar. 25, 1991, 2 copies put in atty's box. and copy mailed to plaintiff & County Control.

1991

MacDonald, Illig,
Jones & Britton
Stephen R. Thelin

151.

ROBINSON'S GOLDEN RULE
WALLPAPER, INC. d/b/a
CROSS CREEK RESORT

vs.

BLN FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.

Filed Feb. 15, 1991
@ 1:30 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	46.75
Pro Co Pd 5/2/91	9.00
Exemp. pd 6/3/91	10.00
Pro Co Pd 6/25/91 -	10.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

March 27, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared R. Noone, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 26 day of Feb., 1991, at 2:04 P.M., he served Complaint filed at No. 151 Term, 1991, Crawford, Co. PA., upon defendant BLN Financial Services Inc., at 4232 Northern Pike, Monroeville. By making known the contents thereof to Nora Bridges PIC and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the _____ received from Crawford.

R. Noone, Seputy Sheriff
So answers,
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff
Allegheny Co., PA.

SERVED BLN Financial Services, Inc. by deputizing Sheriff of Allegheny County, PA. as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served: Feb. 26, 1991.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 2, 1991, And now, at 11:28 a.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with copy of default notice and service attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defenant for want of an answer in the sum of Twelve Thousand One Hundred Forty Six and 59/100 Dollars plus costs.

judgment entered 5/2/91
B - 186 debt ----$12,146.59
Int. from 5/1/91
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

May 6, 1991 notice of entry of judgment mailed to dft.

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck & Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

152

Paul J. Carney, Jr.

SAINT VINCENT HEALTH CENTER

vs.

JOSEPH J. HUFF

Filed Feb. 15, 1991
@ 1:34 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 7/1/91	9.00
Pro Co. pd 4-3-92	5.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

March 20, 1991 Answer And New Matter filed.(2 cpys. Att.)

March 27, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Stephen Brandon, Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 28th day of Feb., 1991, at 10:28:00 Hours he served Complaint at No. AD 1991-152, Crawford Co., PA., upon defendant Joseph Huff at 127 Wroth St., Erie Co., Corry, PA. By making known the contents thereof to Joseph Huff, and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Complaint received from Crawford Co.

Stephen Brandon, Deputy Sheriff
So answers,
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff
Erie Co., Pa.

Apr. 24, 1991 Answer To New Matter filed. [illegible]

And Now, July 1, 1991, the above captioned case is hereby indexed against the defendant as a Lis Pendens against the following real property: ALL that certain piece or parcel of land situate in Spring Twp. Crawford County Pa. more fully described in a deed recorded in the Craw. Co. Recorder of Deeds office at Book 0567, page 0256, a copy of which is attached.
Lis Pendens entered
on 7/1/91
H - 96

LIS PENDENS
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

April 3, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney the above record is marked satisfied.

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck,
& Leemhuis Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

153.

MARINE BANK

vs.

JOHN D. SPINNATO

Filed Feb. 15, 1991
@ 1:41 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00
plff on orig	111.25
Sheriff's Costs	28.00
Pro Co Pd 3-28-91	9.00
Pro.Co. pd 6/1/94	5.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

AD 1987 - 621

Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Eight Thousand Three Hundred Thirty One and 31/100 Dollars, with int. from Nov. 2, 1983 and costs of action and atty. fees of $1,249.65

Debt ------$8,331.31
Int. from---11/2/83
Atty fees $1,249.65
S - 93 JUDGMENT REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

Mar. 26, 1991 Writ Return filed. SERVED John D. Spinnato personally on February 22, 1991 at 1:33 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
So answers, Diane Severo, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Mar 28, 1991 @ 1:53 p.m. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney judgment is hereby entered against the Defendants per Writ of Revival in the amount of Eight Thousand Three Hundred Thirty-one and 31/100 Dollars, plus interest from November 2, 1983 and the costs of this action, plus fees of One Thousand, Two Hundred Forty-nine and 65/100 Dollars.

S-93

Debt...$8,331.31 + Int, costs & fees.
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Mar 28, 1991 Judgment entered.

Apr 2, 1991 Notice of Judgment mailed defendant.

Jun. 1, 1994 UponPraecipe of LawrenceC. Bolla, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff, the above-captioned judgment, debt, interest and costs is satified of record.

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck, Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

154.

SAINT VINCENT HEALTH CENTER

vs.

ROY J. LOEPP AND BARBARA LOEPP

Filed Feb. 15, 1991
@ 1:48 p.m.

Pro Simmons Paid	$15.00
plff on orig.	82.77
Sheriff's Costs	43.00
Pro Co pd 3/28/91	9.00
Pro.Co.pd 7/28/94	5.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

AD 1987 - 304

Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants in the sum of Six Hundred Eight and 30/100 Dollars, with int. from March 19, 1987 and costs of action

Debt ------ $608.30
Int. from Mar. 19, 1987
L - 146, 26 - JUDGMENT REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

Mar. 26, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Roy J. Loepp personally on February 21, 1991 at 1:17 PM at his residence, RD #2, Springboro, Crawford County, PA 16435
SERVED Barbara Loepp on February 21, 1991 at 1:18 Pm by handing copy of Writ to Roy J. Loepp, her husband and adult in charge of residence, R.D. #2, Springboro, Crawford County, PA. 16435
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy SHeriff
Howard N. STewart, Sheriff

And Now, Mar. 28, 1991 at 1:53 P.M. upon praecipe of plaintiff's, with Notice of Intention to File default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and the defendant for want of an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Six Hundred Eight and 30/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

L-146-26
judgment entered 3/28/91
notice of entry of judgment mailed 4/2/91

Debt $608.30
INt. from March 19, 1987
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Jul. 28, 1994 Upon Praecipe of Lawrence C. Bolla, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff, the judgment, debt, interest and costs are hereby discharged of record.

1991

Shafer, swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
Jeffrey K. Millin

155.

Swensen, Perer,
Johnson & McCandless
jOhn W. McCandless

ELSPETH SEDDIG, LYTA SEDDIG,
& ROBERT SEDDIG

vs.

CARRIE STORINO

Filed Feb. 15, 1991
@ 2:28 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	21.00
Pro Co pd 1/28/91	6.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Mar. 6, 1991, Appearance of Swensen, Perer, Johnson & Mc Candless by John W. McCandless, attorneys for defendant filed.

Mar. 21, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Carrie Storino on Feb. 20, 1991 at 3:50 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Christine Storino, Mother, at residen ce, 820 Ravine St., Meadville, Crawford Co., Pa.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 28, 1991 Answer and New Matter To Plaintiffs' Complaint filed.

Apr. 3, 1991 Answer to New Matter filed.

Apr. 15, 1991 Notice Of Service of INterrogatories and Request for Production filed.

May 23, 1991 Notice Of Service of Answers To Interrogatories directed to defendant (First SEt) filed.

June 17, 1991 Notice Of Deposition of Elspeth Seddig filed.

JUly 2, 1991, Notice of Deposition of Elspeth Seddig filed

Mar. 13, 1992 Certificate Of Readiness For Placement On Civil Trial List filed.

1992 APRIL CIVIL TRIAL LIST

April 15, 1992 MOTION FOR SPECIFIC TRIAL DATE FILED. ORDER, AND NOW, this 30th day of March, 1992, after consideration of the foregoing Motion of Plaintiff, it is hereby ordered that this case will not be tried during the April term of court; however, the parties shall select a jury from the panel of jurors called for the June term of court with trial of the said case to commence on the 20th day of July, 1992, before Judge Anthony J. Vardaro.

Gordon R., Miller, J.
April 22, 1992 copy distributed to John W. McCandless, Esq. and Jeffrey K. Millin, Esq.

July 28, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned matter is settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

156.

Northwestern Legal Services
Gerald Sullivan

RALPH EDWARD STEELE, JR.

vs.

TINA MARIE STEELE

Feb. 15, 1991
@ 3:22 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50	
state paid	5.00	
William Pineo, mediator	182.00	
	9.00	
Mediation Costs	152.00	

COMPLAINT FOR PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY

2 copies (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR: You, Tina Marie Steele, REspon dent, have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody, or visitation of the children: Ralph Edward Steele, III, age 7, born 9/23/83; Keith Allen Steele, age 6, born 12/25/84; and Lisa Marie Steele, age 3, born 3/23/87.

You are ordered to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by teh Mediator: WILLIAM PINEO, for a mediation conference.

If you fAIL TO APPEAR AS PROVIDED BY THIS ORDER, or to bring the children, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

It is further ordered and decreed that pending the final resolution of this matter, neither party amy remvoe the minor children from the jurisdiction of this court, nor shall the children be removed from venango county. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe that it has been violated.

YOu should take this apper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Comm. c/o Prothonotary Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335 841 724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

TEMPORARY ORDER OF CUSTODY: AND NOW, this 15th day of Feb. 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Complaint for Custody, including the court for Special Releif, Ralph Edward Steele, Jr. sahll have physical and legal custody of the minor children, Ralph Edward Steele, III, Keith Allen Steele pending further order of the court.

Said custody shall be subject to reasonable visitation with the respondent, said visits to be mutually arranged between the parties and to occur on a weekly basis.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller J.

FEb. 19, 1991, Service Verification filed. I, Richard Stinson, State Constable, do hereby verify that I did on the 18th day of Feb. 1991 at 9:40 a.m. personally serve respondent with a true and correct copy of Custody Complaint and accompanying Orders, filed at above number.

Richard Stinson.

Mar. 6, 1991 Petition For Special Relief and Order filed. AND NOW, this 6th day of March, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petition, Temporary Custody of the minor daughter, Lisa Steele, is awarded to the Petitioner/Father, Ralph Edward Steele, pending further Order of this Court. All other provisions of the February 15, 1991 Order for Custody, filed at the above term and number, shall remain in full force and effect.

BY THE COURT,:
Gordon R. Miller, J

1 copy atty.

Mar. 13, 1991 Appearance of Northwestern Legal Services by gerald sullivan for Defendant filed.

Apr 5, 1991 Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, this 4th day of April, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Lega and physical custody of the subject minor children is awarded to the plaintiff father pending the outcome of further investigation as set out below.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3. The mother shall have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody on alternative weekends from Saturday at 9:00 a.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m.

4. During the time when the mother has temporary physical custody and visitation with the children, they are not to be exposed in any manner whatever to the company of David Crum, the mother's first cousin. The mother is to see that the children's quarters are neat and clean and that each of the children has his or her own bed to sleep in.

5. The mediator has indicated that he needs to contact Children's and Youth's Services of Venango County to inquire about the progree of certain investigations. When the investigations have been completed, the mediator is to report further to the court and make further recommendations.

6. The mother shall provide transportation in the exercise of her visitation and custodial rights.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit other to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

Record Continued to page 156(a)

Ralph Edward Steele

vs.

Tina Marie Steele

Record Continued from page 156

of

AD 1991-156

****

12. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the the visitations as set out in this order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modification or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

13. Either party may request a review of this order two years from date hereof to make any adjustments.

14. The mediator will contact Children's and Youth's Services of Franklin regarding the investigations now in progress with respect to the subject minor children. Children's and Youth's Services, and any other persons or entities, having confidential information about said children is hereby authorized to share said information with the custody mediator in the course of his official duties.

15. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $182.00. The mediator notes for the Court that both parties are receiving public assistance and therefore costs are assessed to Crawford County.

16. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

April 12, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Pineo, Lindsay and Sullivan's boxes.

July 22, 1991 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this day of , 1991, after mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.

2. The parties shall share with e ach other any and all information which eacy may have regarding the health, education, and the major event sin th elife of the subject minor children. Health care, s chool personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3. The mother shall have primary physical custody of her daughter, Lisa, from August 15 of each year until June 15 of the following year and the father shall have primary physical custody of the Lisa each year from June 15 until the following August 15.

The father shall have primary physical custody of Ralph and Keith from August 15 of each year until June 15 of the following year and the mother shall have primary physical cusotdy of Ralph and Keith from June 15 of each year until the following August 15.

Starting with the weekend of July 19, 1991, th emother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody of Lisa from Friday at 5:00 p.m. through Sunday at 5:00 p.m. Starting with the weekend of July 26, the father shal lhave visitaiton and temporary physical custody of Lisa from Friday at 5:00 p.m. through Sunday at 5:00 p.m. In this way the children shall be together each weekend and shall alternate the weekends between the homes of the parties. The alternation shall continued in this matter regardless of who has primary physical custody of the children.

b. The subject minor chidlren shal lhave the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. There shall be two Christmas holiday visitaiton periods, the first beginning at 5:00 p.m. of day at the children's school session ends and ending Christmas Day at noon, and the second beginnin Christmas Day at noon and ending at 5:00 p.m. of the day preceding the reopening of the school session. For Christmas, 1991, the father shall have temporary physical custody and visitation with all of the children during the first period and the mother, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

b. There shall be two Thanksgiving holiday visitation periods, the first beginning onthe Wednesday preceding Thanksgivings at 5:00 p.m. and ending Thanskgiving day at 1:00 p.m. and the second beginning Thanksgiving day at 1:00 p.m . and ending on the Friday following Thanksgiving Day at 5:00 p.m. For Thanksgiving 1991, the mother shall have the first period, and the father, the second, andthe parties shall thereafter alternate.

c. The other holdiays to be observed are New Year's Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day and Easter. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch the fireworks. The motehr shall have visitation and temporary physical custody of the children for Labor Day, 1991, and the parties shall thereafter laternate the holidays.

d. The children shall spend father's Day with their father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with the mother, during the same hours.

e. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provision sof this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this order or as previously arranged, the party seekeing cancellationor modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellaiton or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The mother shall be responsible for transportation which is necessitated by the exercise of the provisions of this order.

7. Each party shal teach said chidlren to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said pa rty has the care and custody of the subject minor childme. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing chidlren. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit other to do so in a closed automobile in which the chidlren are being transported or in the bedroon of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of th eother in the childme's estimation. Each party shall treat th eother in a polite and respectful manner when in the chidlren's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatiaves and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11. The par ties are gree to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would b eproper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

12. Either party may request a review of this order two years from date hereof to anke any adjustments.

13. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00. The mediator notes for the Court that both parties are receiving public assistance and therefore cots are assessed to Crawford County.

14. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 23, 1991 Copies of order put in box for atty.s Pineo, Lindsay and Sullivan

156
-b-

1991

1991

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas W. Ferguson

157.

FREDRICK L. YEAGER, SR.

vs.

RUTH I. YEAGER

Filed Feb. 15, 1991
@ 4:43 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co. pd 5/28/91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 15, 1991, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed. 1 copy (atty)

Feb. 26, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, the undersigned being duly sworn according to law, depose and says that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code and the Pl aintiff's Affidavit under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County , Pennsylvania on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated February 19, 1991. The Defendant acknowledged servic eon February 21, 1991, by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Douglas W. Ferguson

Mar. 14, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I the undersigned being duly sworn accordingly to law say that I served a true and correct copy of the attached Notice of Intention to request entry of a Divorce Decree and a copy of the Defendant's Counter-Affidavit under section 201(D) of the Divorce Code upon the Defendant, Ruth I. Yeager, at her last known address, 403 Asbury Manor East, Meadville, Pa. 16335 on Mar. 13, 1991

This NOtice was given to the Defendant as required by Pa. R.C.P. 1920.42 (C) 1.

DouglaS W. Ferguson. Atty. for Plaintiff

May 20, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review under Section 201(d) of Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

May 31, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, May 31, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Frederick L. Yeager, Sr., plaintiff, and Ruth I. Yeager, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1991

Gifford, Lay &
Johnson
Ted G. Miller

158.

Marsh, Spaeder, Baur
Spaeder & Schaaf
Francis J. Klemensic
John Nesbitt, M.D.

Quinn, Gent Buseck
and Leemhuis Inc.
Natalie Dwyer Haller
JOhn M. Quinn Jr.

KATHLEEN A. MORIAN AND
PAUL E. MORIAN, HER HUSBAND

vs.

JOHN NESBITT AND MEADVILLE
MEDICAL CENTER

Filed Feb. 19, 1991
@ 8:31 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	37.00
Pro Co Pd 5/30/91-	9.00
Pro Co Pd 6/17/91	9.00
Pro Co Pd 6/25/91	9.00
Pro Co Pd 7/1/91	9.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Mar. 4, 1991 Appearance of Marsh, Spaeder, Baur, Spaeder & Schaaf by Francis J. Klemensic for John Nesbitt, M.D., only filed.

Mar. 4, 1991 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attorney For Rule To File Complaint Issued to Plaintiff. (Issued)

Mar. 8, 1991 Appearance of Quinn, Gent, Buseck & Leemhuis, Inc by John M. Quinn, Jr. for Meadville Medical Center.

Mar. 8, 1991 Upon praecipe of Atty. John M. Quinn Rule To File Complaint issued to plaintiff.

Mar. 8, 1991 Service of the foregoing Rule is hereby accepted by Ted G. Miller on March 7, 1991.

Mar. 14, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED John Nesbitt on Feb. 21, 1991 at 3:51 PM by handing copy of Summons to Janice Grant, Receptionist at his place of business, 279 Walnut St., Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
SERVED Meadville Medical Center on Feb. 20, 1991 at 2:56 P.M. by handing copy of Summons to Nancy Blystone, Adminastrative Assist., at 751 Liberty St., Meadville, Crawford Co. Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 27, 1991, Notice of Deposition of custodian of Records for Mead. Med. Center, Robert Kirkpatrick, David Howell, Aiman Daghestani, M.D.s, filed.

And Now, May 30, 1991 at 2:35 p.m., uPon praecipe of attorney for defendant John Nesbitt, JUDGMENT OF NON PROS is hereby entered with prejudice against plaintiffs for failure to file Complaint pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1037(a).
Judgment entered - 5/30/91
M - 104, 134

JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

May 30, 1991 notice mailed to both plaintiffs and Atty. Miller.

June 11, 1991, at 11:37 a.m., And Now, upon praecipe of defendant Meadville Medical Center Judgment of non pros with prejudice is hereby entered in favor of defendant and against the plaintiffs for failure to file Complaint pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1037.(a).

Judgment entered - 6/11/91
M - 104, 134

JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

June 17, 1991 Notice of entry of judgment of non pros mailed to plaintiffs.

And Now, June 25,1991 at 1:20 p.m., upon praecipe of attorneys for defendant, Meadville Medical Center, Judgment of Non Pros with prejudice is hereby entered in favor of defendant and against the named plaintiffs, for failure to file Complaint pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1037.7(a) filed.
Judgment entered 6/25/91
M - 104, 134

JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

June 28, 1991, notice of entry of judgment mailed to plffs. and plff. atty.

And Now, July 1, 1991, at 1:28 p.m. upon praecipe of attonreys for dft. Nesbitt, Judgment of Non Pros is hereby entered in favor of defendant and against the plaintiffs for failure to file complaint; This amended praecipe to reflect the plaintiff's current and correct address.
Judgment entered - 7/1/91
M - 104, 134

JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

July 1, 1991 notices of entry of judgment mailed to plffs. and their counsel.

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

159.

DEBORAH L. KING

vs.

DOUGLAS E. KING

Filed Feb. 19, 1991
@ 10:04 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 6/4/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 20, 1991, Affidavit of Acceptance of Service filed. I, Douglas E. King, being duly sworn according to law, do hereby certify that I did on Feb. 19, 1991 at 1:40 p.m. receive a cert. copy of the Complaint in Divorce, filed in above case, which complaint was handed to me personally at the offices of Mason and Mason Attys. 973 Liberty St, Meadville, Pa. 16335
Douglas E. King, dft.

May 23, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

May 23, 1991 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 30, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

June 10, 1991 DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

DECREE: AND NOW, June 6, 1991 it is ordered and decreed that DEBORAH E. KING, plaintiff, and DOUGLAS E. KING, defendant are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, Sen Judge

June 10, 1991, STIPULATION AND ORDER filed.
CONSENT CUSTODY ORDER: AND NOW, this 6 day of June 1991 the ocurt orders as follows:

1. Primary legal and physical custody ofthe child, Andrew Michael king, born Mar. 3, 1991, shall be with his mother, Deborah L. King, with appropriate temporary custody in Defendant father, douglas E. King, every other weekend from noon Sat. until the following Monday at 2:00 p.m. beginning with the weekend of Mar. 2-3, 1991 and continuing on alternate weekends thereafter.

2. Father shall provide transportation to and from the mother's home, delivering the child to a designated child care location if mother shall direct, at su ch time as father returns the child to mother.

3. Additional visitation shall be liberally accorded to the father, by mutual agreement fo the parties.

4. National holidays and the child's birthday shall be shared by the parties, with the exact hours and days of saidholiday sharing to be worked out by mutual agreement between the parties.

5. Eac h party shall notify the other party immediately, in the event of serious illness or injury to the child.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

3 copies (atty)

1991

Kathleen R. Nelson

160.

GREGORY T. O'DAY

vs.

MARSHA A. O'DAY

Filed Feb. 19, 1991
@ 11:33 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co. Pd.5/31/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE (201.c)

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 6, 1991 Affidavit Of Service filed. KATHLEEN R. NELSON, ESQUIRE, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Notice were sent by registered mail to the defendant, Marsha A. O'Day, on February 20, 1991.
3. The registered mail was sent to Marsha A. O'Day at 779 Market Street, Meadville, PA 16335. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. That the defendant received said registered mail on February 27, 1991. Said Return Receipt card P 269 402 141 is attached hereto, signed by Marsha A. O'Day and made a part hereof.

Kathleen r. Nelson

May 29, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 29, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

May 30, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for Reveiw Under §201(c) of Divorce Code this case moved to Court.

June 3, 1991 D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .

AND NOW, June 3, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Gregory T. O'Day, plaintiff, and Marsha A. O'Day, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

161.

ORDER TO SELECT JURORS
FOR THE 2ND AND 3RD
MONDAYS OF APRIL 1991

Filed Feb. 19, 1991
@ 12:01 p.m.

ORDER FOR EIGHTY (80) QUALIFIED PERSONS TO COME
AND SERVE FOR TRIALS COMMENCING APRIL 8, 1991

Issued (sheriff)

To Sheriff and Jury Commissioners: We command you, in your official capacity to draw from the jury wheel in accordance with the law, the names of 80 persons to serve as jurors in the court of Common Pleas, to be held at the Court House in Meadville, Pa. commencing Apr 8 at 9:00 a.m.

You the sheriff shall make an appropriate return as to how you have executed this order.

BY ORDER OF the Hon Gordon R. MIller, Pres. Judge at meadville this 19th day of Feb. 1991. James F. Simmons, Pro.

May 14, 1991 Writ Return filed. I do hereby make return that I have summoned the eighty persons whose names appear on schedule hereto attached to serve as jurors at a term of Court to be held at Meadville, PA., commencing on Monday, April 8, 1991. So answers,
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

The Schedule hereto attached is a correct list of the above Jurors drawn by us.
Nancy L. Hanes - Jury Com.
Dorothy H. Kennedy

~~June 4, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.~~

1991

Gary Fabian

ROBERT W. FEEMAN

162.

AGWAY, INC.

vs.

WALTER F. HELLER d/b/a
HELLER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

Filed Feb. 19, 1991
@ 1:27 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00
plff on orig	70.62
sheriffs costs	25.50
Pro Co pd 5/20/91	9.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

AD 1986 - 95

Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants in the sum of Four Thousand Eight Hundred Ninety Nine and 91/100 Dollars with int. from Mar. 26, 1986.

Debt -------$4,899.91
Int. from----Mar. 26, 1986
H - 171, 181 JUDGMENT REVIVAL
James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

Mar. 18, 1991 Writ Reissued.

May 1, 1991, Writ returned. SERVED Walter f. Heller, d/b/a Heller Construction Do. on Apr. 4, 1991 at 12:16 p.m. by serving his wife, Nancy Heller, at theri address, R. D. #1, Center St., Conneaut Lake, Pa. Robert M. stevens, Dep.
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, May 20, 1991 at 1:28 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with default notice and affidavit attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants for want of an answer to the Writ of Revival, in the sum of Four Thousand Eight Hundred Ninety Nine and 91/100 Dollars, with interest from Mar. 26, 1986 and costs.
Judgment entered 5/20/91
H - 171, 181 Debt --------$4,899.91
Int. from Mar. 26, 1986

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

May 20, 1991 notice of entry of judgment mailed to defendants.

Revived to AD 1995-1314

1991

Halliday & Halliday
MIchael Halliday

163.

Halliday & Halliday

DUANE EDWARD BLYSTONE

vs.

LORRI MARIE BLYSTONE

Filed Feb. 19, 1991
@ 1:40 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
STATE Pd 2/21/91	10.00
Pro Co Pd 7-1-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

Apr. 19, 1991 General Appearance of Larrie Marie Blystone and Acceptance of Service of Copy of Orignial Complaint in Divorce filed.
Date 3/11/91 Lorri Marie Blystone

July 1, 1991 Affidavit of Consent Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code (Plaintiff) filed.

July 1, 1991 Affidavit of Consent Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code (defendant) filed.

July 1, 1991 Motion for Final Decree in Divorce filed.

July 9, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, July 9, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Duance Edward Blystone, plaintiff, and Lorrie Marie Blystone, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck
& Leemhuis, INc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

164.

MARINE BANK

vs.

LEON H. FINK AND
PAULA M. FINK a/k/a
PAULA M. DARNELL

Filed Feb. 19, 1991
@ 2:09 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	60.75
Pro Co Pd 11/29/91	9.00
Pro.Co. pd 6/10/94	5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

May 9, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: AND NOW, March 21, 1991, the attached complaint against Leon H. Fink, defendant is being returned unserved.
So Answers, Robert N. Michel, Sheriff
Steve Brandon, Deputy Sheriff
Several Attempts never at home.
Not found as to defendant Paula M. Fink. Moved from R.D.#1, Cambridge Springs, Pa over a year ago. No forward.
Not Found as to Leon H. Fink as per Affidavit received from Sheriff of Erie County and hereto attached.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 17, 1991 Motion For Leave To Serve By PUblication In Accordance with Pa. R.C.P. 430 and Order filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 16thday of September, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion and Atty's Affidavit, leave is granted to serve the Defendants, Leon H. Fink and Paul M.Fink a/k/a Paula M. Darnell, inthe within case by publication of a NOtice of the facts set forth in the Complaint by publication one time in The Meadville Tribune andone time in the Crawford County Legal Journal.
1 copy atty Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 29, 1991, Motion for Judgment for Failure to Answer and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit, this 29 day of Oct. 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, and it appearing that service has been made upon the above named defendants, pursuant to the Rules of Civil Procedure, and it further appearing that no appearance has been entered, nor answer made to the complaint by or on behalf of the defendants, it is herebyordered, adjudged and decreed that the Prothonotary shall enter judgment against the defendants Leon H. fink and PAULA M. fink a/k/a Paula M. Fink a/k/a Paul M. Darnell, in the amount of Five Thousand Twenty-seven Dollars and ($5,027.04) four cents, plus interest hereon until paid in full, plus the costs of this action. P. Richard Thomas, S. J,.
Judgment entered - 10/29/91
F - 163, 133
D - 134

Debt --$5,027.04
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Jun. 10, 1994 UponPraecipe of Joel B. Gold, Esquire, Attorney for PNC Bank, N.A. the record as to PAULA M. FINK a/k/a PAULA DONNELL,only, is marked settled and discontinued.

Removed to AD 1995-991

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck,
& Leemhuis Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

165.

MARINE BANK

vs.

JAMES BEERBOWER AND
MARGARET E. BEERBOWER

Filed Feb. 19, 1991
@ 2:17 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	34.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Mar. 21, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Margaret Beerbower personally on Feb. 21, 1991 at 10:20 AM at her residence, R.D.# 2 Box 253, Cambridge Springs, Crawfoed County, Pa. 16403.
SERVED James Beerbower on Feb. 21, 1991 at 10:21 AM By handing copy of Complaint to his wife, Margaret E.Beerbower, at residence, R.D.# 2, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, Pa. 16403.

So answers,

Edgar D., Householder, Chief Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

166.

JULIA M. HOGAN

vs.

RANDY P. HOGAN

Filed Feb. 19, 1991
@ 2:25 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriffs costs	21.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies (sheriff)
1 copy (plaintiff)
1 copy (Doris Miller/MH)

ORDER:

And now, this 19 day of February, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions:
Dft. may not return to family home until further order of court. Defendant may not remove the children from the home. Court must be notified when defendant is ready for release from MH Center and court will set hearing.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for to be set when court is notified, dft. ready for release from Mental Health Center. at M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

Addition: Def. may not go to his home for any reason.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Feb. 20, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Randy P. Hogan personally on Feb. 19, 1991, at 4:20 p.m. at Meadville Medical Center, Mental Health Unit, Grove St. Meadville, Crawford County Pa..
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 5, 1991 Motion For Hearing filed.

Mar. 5, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, this 5th day of March, 1991, there already having been a temporary abuse order entered February 19, 1991, the court now, on motion of the petitioner, hereby schedules a hearing on the petition for March 15, 1991 at 3:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 ofthe Crawford County Courthouse.

In the meantime the defendant shall not contact the plaintiff in any matter whatsoever, in person by telephone or in writing. The defendnat shall not go to the place of residence of the plaintiff and her children. Temporary possession of the parties' residence is hereby awarded to the plaintiff. Temporary custody of the parties children is hereby awarded to the plaintiff. The Defendnat may not visit or see his children until further order of court. The defendant shall not remove or attempt to remove the children from the home or from the temporary custody of their motherThe defnednat shall not have nay contact with his children at home, at school or anywhere else.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

2 copy atty, 1 copy County Control

Mar. 20, 1991 ORder filed. ORDER: AND NOW, March 15, 1991, at the time fixed for the hearing on the Plaintiff's petition under the Protection From Abuse Act, the Plaintiff appeared with counsel, the Defendant appeared without counsel and after having been advised of his right to counsel and the nature of thesee proceedings agreed that the Court could enter an Abuse Order containing provisions for custody and visitation.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.

2. Sole possession of the Plaintiff's current residence is granted to the Plaintiff. The Defendant may not return to the family home without further Court Order and he shall not go to the family home for any purpose until further Court Order. The Defendant shall not contact the Plaintiff by any method. If he does want to discuss anything with the Plaintiff, he may tell the Plaintiff's mother, Mary Woods, Mary Woods shall communicate that to the Plaintiff and if the Plaintiff wants to have further communication with the Defendant, she may contact him by telephone or writing. This shall not prevent the Defendant from writing to his children provided that he does not write anything of an abusive, harassing or threatening nature.

3. The Defendant maintains that he has personal belongings at the family residence. He shall make a list of those items and submit said list to the Plaintiff either by mail or through Mrs. Woods. The Plaintiff shall determine whether she agrees if those items belong to the Defendant. As to the items that the Plaintiff agrees belong to the Defendant, the Plaintiff through Mrs. Woods shall indicate to the Defendant when he may come to pick them up and the Defendant shall make appropriate arrangements for transportation to pick those items up. When the Defendant picks said items up, he shall have a responsible adult in his presence and the Plaintiff may have one or more responsible adults in her presence to make certain that the parties do not become involved in any violent or abusive conduct unless, however, the Plaintiff is capable of packaging those items up and delivering them to the home of her mother for pick up by the Defendant. As to items where there is a dispute concerning the right to possession and ownership, the Plaintiff shall not turn those items over to the Defendant nor shall she destroy or ddispose of those items. As to those items the Defendant my wish to bring an appropriate civil action to enforce his right to ownership and possession if he feels that he has the right to own and possess said items.

Continued on next page 166-A

Julia M. Hogan
vs.
Randy P. Hogan

Record Continued from Page 166
of
A.D. 1991 - 166

4. Temporary custody of the parties' minor children is awarded to the Plaintiff. The Defendant shall have the right to visit his children once a week every Tuesday afternoon between the hours of 4:00 o'clock p.m. and 7:00 o'clock p.m. at the home of the maternal grandmother, Mary Woods, provided Mary Woods is present and supervises said visitation to make certain that the Defendant does nothing to adversely affect his children. The Plaintiff shall have the children available at her mother's home every Tuesday by 4:00 o'clock p.m. for these visitations. In the event that the Defendant cannot get transportat ion or does not otherwise intend to exercise a particular visitation time, he shall call the office of Attorney Terry Toomey,Plaintiff's counsel, and advise him that he will not be able to make a particular visitation. That phone call to Mr. Toomey's Office shall be made no later than 3:00 o'clock p.m. on the day of the visitation that the Defendant cannot make, with the Court encouraging the Defendant to call before that if he is able to and if he knows that he cannot make that particular visitation so as to cause the least possible interruption on the lives and schedules of the Plaintiff, her mother and the children. These provisions with respect to custody and visitation are temporary until further Order of Court as provided under 35P.S. 10186.

5. This Order shall remain in full force and effect until March 14, 1992 unless further modified or terminated by Order of Court or unless the custody and visitation portions are modified as herein above provided.

6. The Defendant is reminded that this is an Order of Court and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to One Thousand Dollars ($1,000.00) and jail senten ce up to six (6) months.

7. Since both parties are on Public Assistance, they do not have sufficient funds to pay court costs and therefore, the costs of this proceeding shall be paid by the County.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT.
Gordon R . Miller, P.J.

Mar. 20, 1991 2 copies attys., 1 copy county control and 1 copy mailed to defendant.

March 20, 1991 Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, March 15, 1991, after the conclusion of an informal contempt hearing where it appears that the Defendant did violate the temporary abuse Order of February 19, 1991 by returning to the family home, the Court does find that the Defendant is in contempt of court for having violated that Order.

The Defendant has spent nearly a week in the Crawford County Jail having been arrested on the allegations of contempt. At this point the Court does not impose any further punishment or incarceration but advises the Defendant that he is now under a Protection From Abuse Order, has now been adjudicated in contempt of at least one Order, and is warned that the Court will not tolerate any further violations of thesee Orders and insists that he comply faithfully with these Orders until they are changed by further Order of Court.

The Defendant is released from the Crawford County Jail as soon as the Warden is capable of Processing him.

Although the Court cannot order the Defendant to do anything further, we strongly suggest and urge him to continue with his partial hospitalization and comply with all of the requests and suggestions and recommendations of the Meadville Medical Center and his physicians and that he appear in some upcoming criminal matters faithfully as required.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar. 20, 1991 copy mailed to plaintiff and defendant, copy to county control and copy to Jail & Terry Toomey, esq.

Mar. 27, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, SUSAN WERLE, Socialworker with the Community Mental Health Center, after being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did, on March 5, 1991, personally deliver to the defendant, Randy P. HOgan, a true and correct copy of the Order of Court dated March 5, 1991, of President Judge Gordon R. Miller.
I verify tha tthe statements mad ein this Affidavit of Service are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Date: 3-5-91 Susan Werle

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

167.

KATHY WILCZYNSKI

vs.

DONALD WILCZYNSKI

In Re: Devon Andrew Wilczynski age 4
Jason Michael Wilczynski - age 3

Filed Feb. 19, 1991
@ 4:02 p.m.

Tax & entry Pd 2/20/91 $50.50
state Pd 2/20/91-- 5.00
JOhn Fuller, mediator

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER: AND NOW, Feb. 19, 1991, the parties herein, their counsel and the children are ordered to appear before JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR, who shall schedule mediation.

By the court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Mar. 13, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, March 8, 1991, comes Lisa M. Sherretts being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legal secretary of Attorney for Kathy Wilczynski, Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint for Custody to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P 398 123 164; postmarked February 21, 1991, to th elast known address of the defendant, Donald Wilczynski, 8 Ptarmigan Drive, Reading PA 19606.
3. That the Defendant, Donald Wilzxynski, on March 4, 1991, personally signed the return re ciept card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above-matter and which is attached hereto.
4. Service ofthe Complaint against Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Lisa M. Sherretts

Apr. 3, 1991 CONSENT CUSTODY AGREEMENT AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, April 3, 1991, the above agreement is hereby made an Order of court.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller J.

2 copies
(atty)

1991

William J. Gregg

168.

Dennis G. Kuftic

PAMELA A. MITCHELL SCHELL

vs.

DANIEL L. SCHELL, III

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS, AND EXPENSES, CUSTODY, AND SUPPORT

1 copy (atty)

Filed Feb. 20, 1991
@ 9:30 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
3 count paid	45.00
state paid	20.00
2 counts pd 10-9-92	30.00
State pd 10-9-92	10.00
Pro co pd 10-15-92	9.00
Pro Co pd 7/20/93	5.00

Mar. 15, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. WILLIAM J. GREGG, ESQUIRE, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Notice were sent by registered mail to the Defendant, Daniel L. Schell, III, on Feb. 21, 1991.

3. The registered mail was sent to Daniel L. Schell at Delta Company, 2/2nd Avn. Regt. APO San Francisco, CA 96524-0464. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on Mar. 5, 1991. Said Return Receipt card P 269 402 196. is attached hereto, signed by Daniel L. Schell and made a part hereof.

William J. Gregg, Esq.

Apr. 8, 1991, Letter form Dept. of Army, San Francisco, concerning request for stay of action filed.

Nov. 27, 1991 Affidavit of Military Service filed. I, Daniel L. Schell, III, an active member of the Armed Forces of the United States of America, hereby acknowledge that divorce proceedings are currently pending in the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, and I hereby knowingly and voluntariliy waive my rights under the Soldiers and Sailors Relief Act for the sole and limited purpose of said divorce proceedings. I her eby request that said proceedings continue as if I were not on active duty with the Armed Forces of the United State of America.

Daniel L. Schell, III

Nov. 27, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. I, Daniel L. Schell, III, the defendant in the above-captioned matter, her eby certify that on the 28th day of February, 1991, I was duly served a true and attested copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed at the above term and number.

Daniel L. Schell III

July 28, 1992 Defendant's Affidavit Under Section 3301 (d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Sept. 24, 1992 Certificate of Service filed. I certify that a copy of the Defendant's Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree pursuant to Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure 1920.42 and 1920.73 was served on the Plaintiff and her attorney by first class mail on September 23, 1992 as follows:

Pamela A. Mitchell Schell
R.D.#3, Box 180A
Liensville, PA 16424

William J. Gregg, Esq.
East Side Knolls, Route 18
Conneaut Lake, PA 16316

Dennis G. Kuftick, Esquire, Attorney for Defendant

Sept. 24, 1992 Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree filed.

Oct 9, 1992 Claim of Right - Alimony, Marital Property, filed.

Oct. 15, 1992 Upon praecipe of Defendant attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D

Oct. 26, 1992 AND NOW, Oct. 22, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that **P A M E L A A . M I T C H E L L S C H E L L**, Plaintiff, and **D A N I E L L . S C H E L L , I I I ,** Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

All duties, rights and claims of the parties in regard to each other as a result of their marriage are hereby terminated, except for those duties, rights and claims specifically enumerated in a Postnuptial Agreement executed by the parties and dated Nov. 25, 1991, the terms of which are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

July 20, 1993 Notice Of Election To Retake Maiden Name filed. Pamela A. Mitchell-Schell TO BE KNOWN AS: Pamela Ann Mitchell

1991

R. Charles Thomas
Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker

169.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
E. Max Weiss

John B. Brautigam for Jeffrey S. Rose, et al Defendants

GORDON B. COON

vs.

JEFFREY S. ROSE, ROBERT T. ROSE, SANDRA ROSE, AND ROBERT T. ROSE, d/b/a ROSE ABATTOIR

Filed Feb. 20, 1991
@ 9:36 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	41.05
Pro Co pd 2-16-96	6.00

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

4 copies (sheriff)

Mar. 11, 1991 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by E. Max Weiss for defendants filed.

Mar. 18, 1991 Answer, New Matter and counter Claim of Defendants to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

March 22, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Sandra Rose personally on Feb. 21, 1991 at 11:30 AM at her residence/business RD # 2, Cochranton, Crawford Co., PA.
SERVED Robert T. Rose personally on Feb. 21, 1991 at 11:31AM at his residence/busienss, RD #2, Cochranton, Crawford Co.PA.
SERVED Robert T. Rose, d/b/a Rose Abattoir, on Feb. 21, 1991 at 11:32 AM at RD # 2, Cochranton, Crawford Co., PA.
Not Found, as to Jeffrey S. Rose. Moved to 45 S. Maple St., Westfield, MA 01085.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 12, 1992 REPLY TO NEW MATTER, filed. 1 copy (atty)

May 18, 1992 Reply to the New Matter of Plaintiffs, filed.

Feb. 24, 1993, - 60 Day Notice to complete Discovery filed.

Apr. 1, 1993, Notice of Taking Depositions on Oral Examination of Gordon B. Coon, filed.

May 25, 1994 Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON OCTOBER, 1994 TRIAL LIST

Sep. 27, 1994 Motion to Continue Case to the February, 1995 Trial Term and ORDER filed. And Now, this 23rd day of September, 1994, upon the Motion of Defendants, Jeffrey S. Rose, Robert T. Rose, Sandra Rose and Robert T. Rose, d/b/a Rose Abattoir, to have the above matter continued from the October, 1994 Civil Trial Term to the February, 1995 Civil Trial Term, the action is hereby continued until the February, 1995 Civil Trial Term.

ON FEBRUARY, 1995 TRIAL LIST

9-27-94 Faxed to Attys. R. Charles Thomas & Atty. Brautigam

Jan. 31, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, January 30, 1995, on motion of the Plaintiff, consented to by Defendants, this matter is stricken from the trial list.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.
Jan. 31, 1995 copies dist to Thomas & Brautigam

Feb 13, 1996 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney the above entitled action is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

170. Gerald Sullivan	RALPH & MARION HICKMAN vs. RICHARD SMITH AND MARY (KINNEY) SMITH, Filed Feb. 20, 1991 @ 9:41 a.m. INdigent filing	APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-3- 04 Feb. 20, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint filed. Feb. 20, 1991, Praecipe and Affidavit to Proceed in Forma Pauperis filed. (Richard Smith) Feb. 20, 1991,Praecipe and Affidavit to Proceed in Forma Pauperis filed. (Mary (Kinney) Smith) Feb. 22, 1991, Proof of Service filed. June 25, 1991, Upon praecipe of defendants for withdrawal of appeal; no longer wish to pursue action; this appeal withdrawn.

1991

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Walker, Vardaro

R. Charles Thomas

171.

James R. Irwin

4/19/91 Recd $68.50 From Plaintiff

4/25/91 Rec'd $68.50 from dft.

Recd May 1, 1991 $68.50 Vivian Stalty

Recd May 1, 1991 $68.50 Vivian Stalty

SUSAN L. CLARK

vs.

THOMAS E. SMITH

Filed Feb. 20, 1991
@ 11:34 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50 ✓
state paid 5.00
William Pineo, mediator
Prac Ad 4/19/91 ✓

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT;

YOU, THOMAS E. SMITH, dft. have been sued in court to obtain custody of the following child: Jon T. Smith

You are hereby ordered to appear in person at such time and place as is hereby designated by the Custody Mediator hereinafter appointed for a conference.

WILLIAM PINEO, ESQ. is hereby appointed as Custody Mediator in this case.

If you fail to appear at the time specified by the custody mediator hereby appointed by this court an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

In the meantime the defendant shall not remove the child from the jurisdiction of this court and shall make the child available to the plaintiff under the same terms and conditions that existed in connection with the custody and visitation of the said child prior to the entry of this order.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Association Ser. to the Pub. Committee, c/o Prothonotary, Crawford County court House Meadville, Pa. 16335 Tele: 724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
P.Richard Thomas, S.J.

Mar 4, 1991 Appearance of Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin and Stack by James R. Irwin, Esq. for Defendant filed.

April 1, 1991 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOw, this 27th day of March, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.

3a. So long as the mother works second shift, the subject minor child shall reside with her from Sunday at 7:00p.m. through Friday at 3:00 p.m., and with the father from Friday at 3:00 p.m. through Sunday at 7:00 p.m. The father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody Monday, Wednesday, and Thursday afternoons from when he returns from work until 7:00 p.m.

b. Should the mother return to working first shift, then the custodial periods shall change. In that case, starting with the first Saturday after she shall have begun working first shift, she shall have physical custody from Saturday at 7:00 p.m. through the following Saturday at 7:00 p.m. and the father shall have physical custody from the following Saturday at 7:00 p.m. through 7:00 p.m. of the Saturday following that, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the weekly custodial periods.

c. The mother shall have the right to take the child to Sunday School each Sunday morning. The mother shall also have the right to temporary physical custody and visitation on the first full weekend of each month from Friday at 3:00 p.m. through Sunday at 7:00 p.m.

d. The subject minor child shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Christmas holiday visitation periods, the first beginning Christmas Eve at 3:00 p.m. and ending Christms DAy at noon and the second beginning Christms Day at noon and ending Christmas Day at 7:00 p.m. For Christmas 1991, the mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody during the first period, and the father, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate these periods.

b. Thanksgiving holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Thanksgiving holiday visitation periods, the first beginning Thanksgiving Day at 9:00 a.m. and ending Thanksgiving Day at 2:00 p.m. and the second beginning Thanksgiving Day at 2:00 p.m. and ending on the Friday following Thanksgiving at noon. For Thanksgiving 1991, the father shall have the first period, and the mother, the second, and the parteis shall thereafter alternate.

c. The Easter vacation visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Easter vacation visitation periods, the first beginning on Holy Saturday at 7:00 p.m. and ending Easter Sunday at noon and the second beginning Easter Sunday at noon and ending Easter Sunday at 7:00 p.m. For Easter, 1991, the mother shall have the first period and the father, the second, adn the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods. The mother shall have the right to take the subject minor child to church and Sunday School each Easter Sunday.

d. The other holidays to be observed are Memorial Day, Independence Day, and Labor Day. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch the fireworks. The father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody for Memorial Day, 1991, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

e. The child shall spend Father's Day with his father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with his mother, during the same hours.

f. The provisions of his paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

RECORD CONTINUED ON
PAGE 595.....

1991

Fuller, kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

172.

Peters, Lindsay, Lewis
& Hatheway
Brian J. Lindsay

CYNTHIA J. DOUGHERTY

vs.

JEFFREY M. DOUGHERTY

Filed Feb. 20, 1991
@ 1:57 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
3 counts paid	45.00
state paid	20.00
Pro Co pd 12-2-98	9.00✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS, AND EXPENSES, CUSTODY AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 26, 1991 Affidavit of Mailing filed. Ann Hansen Gamble, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania an dthat on February 25, 1991, she sent a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to the defendant by certified mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid, receipt requested to Jeffrey M. Dougherty, 608 W. Spruce St., Titusville Pa 16354, the Defendant last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto. Ann Hansen Gamble

Sept. 14, 1992 Affidavit of Consent by Cynthia J.Dougherty, Plaintiff, filed.

Apr. 19, 1993 Motion and Order filed. AND NOW this 16th day of April, 1993, hearing on the plaintiff's claim for alimony pendente lite, counsel fees,costs and expenses, is set for the 3rd day of June, 1993 at 3:00 o'clock, p.m. in Courtroom #1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
FOR THE COURT,
Ann A. Walker, Court Administrator
Apr. 23, 1993 Copy of Order to Atty. Gamble & Defendant.

May 7, 1993 Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

June 2, 1993, REASSIGNMENT OF HEARING DATE filed. AND Now, June 1, 1993, Hearing in the above matter heretofore set for June 3, 1993, at 3:00 p.m. is hereby reset for June 29, 1993 at 11:00 a.m. in CR 1, 2 Or 3.
FOR THE COURT, Ann A. Walker, Ct. Adm.
June 2, 1993, copies dist. to Atty. Gamble and dft. Daughterty.

July 9, 1993 Motion To Continue Generally and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 8th day of July, 1993, the hearing previously set for Juen 29, 1993, at 11:00 A.M., is hereby continued generally.
FOR THE COURT:
Ann Walker, Court Administrator
July 14, 1993 Copy of Order to Atty. Gamble.

Feb. 21, 1995 Appearance of Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire on behalf of defendant, filed.

May 20, 1996 Affidavit of Consent by Defendant filed.

March 16, 1998 Defendant's Waiver of Notice of Intention to Request Entry of a Divorce Decree Under Section 3301(c) of Divorce Code, filed.

Oct 29, 1998 Qualified Domestic Relations Order, filed.

Oct 27, 1998 Waiver of Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree Under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code for Plaintiff, filed.

Oct 29, 1998 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Oct 29, 1998 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

December 8, 1998 Order Filed. This divorce matter has been referred to the Court fro review and decree. The court has reviewed the record and is returning the record to the Prothonotary. A fianl decree will not be entered at this time because.
Economic and other issues or counts have been raised in the pleadings or by petition which are not being disposed of by stipulation, withdrawal or hearing. To grant a divorce decree without a resolution of those other issues would be tantamount to an improper bifurcation.
Either party may file a praecipe to refer the matter back to the Court when the record is in such a state that the paraties are entitled to a final decree.
By the Court
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
12/9/98 Dist. Gamble & Lindsay

Dec 18, 1998 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Jan. 18, 1999 AND NOW, January 7, 1999, it is hereby ordered and decreed that CYNTHIA J. DOUGHERTY, Plaintiff, and JEFFREY M. DOUGHERTY, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.
Any existing spousal support order shall hereafter be deemed an order for alimony pendente lite if any economic claims remain pending.
BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Jan. 18, 1999 MOTION TO WITHDRAW CLAIMS and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 6 day of January 1999, motion to withdraw claims in the above captioned divorce matter is hereby granted.
BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

2/1/00 FUTURE FILINGS ON COMPUTER

1991

Shafer, Swick Bailey
Irwin and STack

Charles J. Swick

173.

GREENVILLE SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION

vs.

RICHARD G. WATT

Filed Feb. 20, 1991
@ 4:04 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	50.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

1 copy (sheriff)

Mar. 12, 1991 Complaint Reinstated.

Apr 17, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Eric A. Garrett, Dep. Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 14 day of Mar. 1991 at 4:00 p.m. he served Complaint in Mort. foreclosure filed AD 1991 - 173 Crawford Pa upon dft. Richard G. Watt at his palce of employment Tri State Aggregate, 502 E. Jamestown Rd. Greenville, Pa. 16125 by making known to him personally the cert. copy of Complaint received from Crawford County, Pa.

Eric A. Garrett Dep. Sheriff
Wm. H. Romine, Sheriff

SERVED Richard G. Watt by deputizing Sheriff of Mercer Co. Pa. as per his affidavit of servcie attached. Served: 3/14/91
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug
JOhn P. Brautigam

174.

Marsh, Spaeder, Baur, Spaeder & Schaaf
W. Patrick Delaney
for dfts. Allwes and NW Rur. Electric Coop.

Knox, McLaughlin, Gornall & Sennett, PC
Timothy M. Sennett
Louis Longo

GARRY L. AND JOANNE FRAZEE, HUSBAND AND WIFE

vs.

LOUIS LONGO, GEORGE ALLWES, AND NORTHWESTERN RURAL ELECTRIC CO-OP ASSOCIATION, INC.

Filed Feb. 20, 1991
@ 4:04 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	57.90
Pro.Co. pd 6/24/92	6.00

COMPLAINT

3 copies (sheriff)

Mar, 1, 1991, Appearance of Marsh, Spaeder, Baur, Spaeder, & Schaaf, by W. Patrick Delaney, attorneys for defendants George Allwes and Northwestern Rural Electric Cooperative, filed.,

Mar. 14, 1991 Answer and New Matter filed.

Mar. 15, 1991 Reply of Plaintiffs to answer and New Matter of Defendants Allwes and Northwestern Rural Electric Co-Op Association, Inc. filed.

March 27, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Northwestern Rural Electric Co-op Assoc. on Feb. 21, 1991 by handing copy of Complaint to Daniel Golombieski, Human Resources Mgr., at place of business R D # 1, Cambridge Springs, Crawford Co. PA.
SERVED Louis Longo on Feb. 27, 1991 at 3:12 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Yvonne Bennett, adult in charge of residence, RD # 1, Springboro, Crawford Co., PA.
SERVED George Allwes on March 14, 1991 at 11:25 AM by serving his Authorized Agent, Dan Golombreski, Human Resources Manager at Northwestern Rural Electric Co-op, RD # 1, Cambridge Springs, Crawford Co., PA. Signed Acceptance of Servcie attached.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 22, 1991 Appearance of Knox, McLaughlin, Gornall & Sennett, P.C. by Timothy M. Sennett for Louis Longo filed.

June 12, 1991, Answer and New Matter filed.

June 19, 1991, Reply of Defendants George Allwes and Northwestern Rural Electric Co-op Association, Inc. to New Matter filed.

June 28, 1991 Notice Of Service Of Interrogatories and Request For Production To Plaintiffs (First Set) filed.

July 10, 1991 Reply of Plaintiffs to New Matter of Defendant, Louis Longo filed.

Sept. 3, 1991 Certificate of Service of Answers to Defendant Longo's Interrogatories filed.

Sept. 4, 1991, Answers to Interrogatories filed.

Sept. 9, 1991 Certificate of Service of Answers To Interrogatories filed.

Sept 12, 1991, Reply to New Matter filed.

Jan. 20, 1992, Notice of Taking Depositions on Oral Examination Under Pa. R.C.P. No. 4007.1 filed.

June 22, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney the above case marked settled and discountinued of record.

1991

WILLIAM J. Gregg

175.

RICHARD J. HOEGERL

vs.

HERBERT W. RHODES, HIS HEIRS AND ASSIGNS

Filed Feb. 21, 1991
@ 3:44 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	220.17
Pro Co pd 5/31/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

1 copy (atty)

Mar 1, 1991 Motion for Service by Publication and Order filed: AND NOW, to-wit: March 1, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the Sheriff of Crawford County is ORDERED AND DIRECTED to make service of the Complaint on the Defendant, HERBERT W. RHODES, his heirs and assigns, by publication once in the Meadville Tribune newspaper, an newspaper of general circulation in Crawford County, Pennsylvania, and in the Crawford County Legal Journal.

P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Mar5, 1991 Copy of order put in Atty. Gregg's box.

Apr 24, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED BY publication once only in the Meadville Tribune, Meadville, Pa on Wednesday, March 20, 1991. Proof of publication attached.

SERVED by publication once only in the Crawford County Legal Journal, Meadville, Pa on Wednesday, March 20, 1991. Proof of publication attached.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr 26, 1991 Motion for Judgment filed: AND NOW, April 26, 1991, upon presentation of the foregoing motion, and after due consideration thereof, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Defendant, HERBERT W. RHODES, his heirs and assigns, are forever barred from asserting any right, title, lien or interest in the land described in Paragraph No. 3 of the Complaint in the above-entitled case inconsistent with the interest of the Plaintiff as set forth in the Complaint, provided, however, that this Decree shall become final only if the Defendants fail to take any action as is herein directed within thirty (30) days from the date of this Decree, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DIRECTED that if no petition to open this judgment or to set aside this Order and Decree is presented by the Defendants, or no action of ejectment is commenced by him within thirty (30) days from and after the entry of this Order, the same shall become final, and if no such action is taken within said thirty (30) day period, the Prothonotary on Praecipe of the Plaintiff shall enter final judgment.

Gordon R. Miller, J

May 1, 1991 Copy of order put in Atty Gregg's box.

And now, May 29, 1991 a t 1:24 pm upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, final judgment is hereby entered in this case, in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendants, pursuant to the foregoing Order of Court.

R-86

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1991

SHAFER, SWICK, BAILEY,
IRWIN & STACK
Jeffrey K. Millin

176.

William J. Gregg

CONNEAUT LAKE JOINT
MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY

vs.

R. DENNIS, INC.

Filed Feb. 21, 1991
@ 4:04 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.25
state paid	5.00
Pro Co. Pd 5/23/91	9.00
Pro Co Pd 8-20-91	5.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF HUBERT E. VOGAN, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-3-02

Feb. 21, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

Mar 1, 1991 Proof of Service of Notice of Appeal and Rule to File Complaint filed.

Apr 19, 1991 Motion for Consolidation filed:

Aapr 19, 1991 Order for Motion for Consolidation filed: AND NOW, on this 19 day of April, 1991, upon consideration of the Plaintiff's Motion for Consolidation, the actions filed at AD No. 1991-176 and AD No. 1991-253 are consolidated at AD 1991-176.

It is further Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed that the amount of fifty-five Dollars and 50/100 ($55.50) mistakenly paid to the Prothonotary of Crawford County shall be reimbursed to the Plaintiff.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Apr 25, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Gregg and Millin's boxes.

May 21, 1991, 3:35 P.M., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with copy of Notice ot Intention to file default judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for failure to file an Answer and damages are assessed as follows: In the sum of Seven hundred two and 90/100 Dollars plus interest and cost of suit.

Debt $702.90
Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro.

D-183
Judgment entered 5/21/91

May 23, 1991, Notice of entry of judgment mailed to Defendant.

EX1991-63

Aug 20, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, the above-captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

177.

CHERYL M. COTTRELL

vs.

ALLAN GENE COTTRELL

Filed Feb. 22, 1991
@ 9:34 p.m.

~~Indigent filing~~
TAX + ENTRY Pd 6/14/91 50.50
STATE Pd 6/14/91 10.00
STATE Pd 6/14/91 10.00
EXTRA COPY Pd 6/14/91 15.00
Pro Co Pd 6/14/91 4.00
Pro Co. pd 3/13/95 5.00 ✓ 2 copies (atty)

PETITION BY INDIGENT PARTY UNDER PA. R.C. P. 1920.62 - FOR DIVORCE CASE

2 copies (atty)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 20 day of Feb. 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the attached Petition, Plaintiff is found to be an indigent party and shall be afforded the opportunity to proceed with the above cause of action without the necessity of paying legal fees or costs. BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Mar. 1, 1991 Complaint in Divorce, Custody, Visitation filed. 2 copies (atty)

TEMPORARY ORDER OF CUSTODY: AND NOW, this 1 day of March 1991, uponpresentation and consideration of the foregoing complaint for Custody, Cheryl M. Cottrell, shall have physical and legal custody of the minor child, pending further order of this court, subject to reasonable visitation rights with the defendant, said visits to be mutually arranged between the parties.

This matter is set for hearing and continuance of temporary custody order March 27, 1991 at 10:00 a.m. Ct. Rm. 1 or 2.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.
2 copies (atty)

Mar. 20, 1991 Verification of Service filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for the above named Plaintiff, and that as such I am authorized to make this verification; that I did on the 1st day of March, 1991, send to the above named Defendant, by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Temporary Order of Custody filed at the above term and number; and that I did on the 18th day of March, 1991, receive a duly executed return receipt dated Mar. 8, 1991.
Dated March 18, 1991
Brian J. Lindsay, Esq.

Mar. 28, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, March 27, 1991 at the time of the hearing on the above-captioned matter, counsel forthe Plaintiff indicated that the parties had agreed to the following provisions for visitation:

1. The Defendant/husband shall have visitation provileges each Sunday from 12:00 noon until 6:00 p.m.
2. There will be no drinking before or during any visitations withthe child.
3. The Defendant/husband shall pay the costs of this hearing.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
James B. Dwyer, S.J.

Apr. 2, 1991 Copy put in Brian Lindsay's box and copy mailed to defendant

July 9, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

July 15, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 15, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

July 18, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, July 15, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Cheryl M. Cottrell, plaintiff, and Allan Gene Cottrell, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

March 13, 1995 Notice of Election To Retake Maiden Name filed, to be known as Cheryl Petergal.

178

1991

William J. Gregg
~~Jack L. Cherkin, Esq. PC~~
Cauley and Conflenti
Dominic D. Salvatori

178.

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore,
Vardaro and Walker
By: R.Chas, Thomas

HENRY WHITE AND SHIRLEY B. WHITE, CO-ADMINISTRATORS OF ESTATE OF

THOMAS E. WHITE, DECEASED

vs.

SCOTT SMITH

Filed Feb. 22, 1991
@ 11:23 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	26.50
Pro Co pd 9/17/92	6.00

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT IN A CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Mar. 19, 1991 Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro and Walker, by R.Chas. Thomas, for defendant filed.

Mar 27, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Scott Smith personally on February 27, 1991 at 2:41 p.m. at his residence R.D.#2, Geerton Road, Linesville, Crawford Co., Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 29, 1991 Answer filed.

Feb. 6, 1992, plaintiff's First Request for Production of Documents filed.

Feb. 6, 1992, Affidavit of Servcie of Interrogatories filed.

Feb. 6, 1992, Reply to New Matter filed.

Feb. 13, 1992, Suggestion of Death and Substitution of Party Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 13, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney the firm of Jack L. Cherkin, P.C. substituted for firm of Cauley and Conflenti; Dominic D. Salvatori remains as trial counsel.

Feb. 13, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, this action placed on next argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR MARCH 1992

Mar. 23, 1992, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above case listed for Jury Trial.

ON TRIAL LIST FOR OCTOBER 1992

Mar. 31, 1992, ORDER filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 30th day of March 1992, itis hereby ordered adjudged and decreed that Henry white and Shirley b. white, co-administrators ofthe Estate of Thomas E. White, deceased,shall be substituted as Party Plaintiffs for Thomas E. White, deceased. The caption is amended to conform to the caption inthis order.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Apr. 1, 1992. copies to Attys. Dominic D. Salvatori and R. Charles Thomas.

sept. 17, 1992 Appearance of William J. Gregg for Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 17, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney the above-captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Meyer, Darragh, Buckler
Bebenek, Eck & Hall
Marshall j. Tindall

179.

Thomas, Truax, Spada-
fore Vardaro, Walker
Emil m. Spadafore Jr.

SELECTIVE INSURANCE COMPANY
OF AMERICA

vs.

BRIAN COUNSELMAN AND KIM
COUNSELMAN, HIS WIFE
IN THEIR OWN RIGHT AND AS
PARENTS AND NATURAL GUARDIANS
OF GREGORY COUNSELMAN,
KRISTINE COUNSELMAN,
AND BRADLEY COUNSELMAN,
ALL MINORS

Filed Feb. 22, 1991
@ 11:29 a.m.

Tax & entry pd 4/9/91 $50.50
state pd 4/9/91 5.00

ACTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 22, 1991 Acceptance Of Service Of Action For Declaratory Judgment filed. I hereby accept service on behalf of the respondants in this matter ofthe ACTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT received by me on this 25 day of February, 1991.
Emil M. Spadafore

Apr. 30, 1991 Answer, New Matter & Counterclaim filed. 1 copy (atty)

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
Jeffrey K. Millin

180.

WILLIAM E. McGEE, HELEN R. BURNS, NORA McGEE, AS EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH A. McGEE, AND GEORGE A. McGEE, AS EXECUTOR OF THE ESTATE OF ROBERT J. McGEE

vs.

EDWIN E. SMITH AND MARY F. SMITH, HIS WIFE, THEIR HEIRS, SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

Filed Feb. 22, 1991
@ 1:52 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Pro Co Pd 5-13-91 9.00

COMPLAINT _ ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 25, 1991 Affidavit filed. Before me, this 22ndday of February, 1991, appeared the undersigned, after being duly sworn according to law, stated as follows:

I, Jeffrey K. Millin, represent that I have conducted a diligent good father effort to determine the whereabouts of the above named Defendants, Edwin E. Smith and Mary F. Smith, and their heirs, successors, and assigns, which included the following:

A search in the crawford County and Allegheny County Recorder's Office; Assessment Office; Treasurer's Office; Register Of Wills Office; Prothonotary's Office; the local phone directory; and other directories; including telephone calls to Defendants' neighbors.

After said diligent search and good faith investigation, the Plaintiffs could not locate the heirs, successors and assigns of the Defendants and it doe snot appear that the defendants had any children.

AND, further your deponent saith not.

Jeffrey K. Millin

Feb. 25, 1991 Motion For Service Pursuant To Special Order of Court (PA. R.C.P. 430) filed.

Feb. 25, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, this 25th day of February, 1991 upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the Plaintiffs are granted leave to make service of the Complaint on Defendants, Edwin E. Smith and Mary F. Smith, their heirs, successors, and assigns, by publication of the Notice attached to the Motion once in the Crawford County Legal Journal and Meadville Tribune, a newspaper of general circulation in the County of Crawford, Pennsylvania, and once in the Pittsburgh Press a newspaper of general circulation in the county of Allegheny Pennsylvania.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J

fEb. 27, 1991 copy of order put in Jeffrey Millin's box.

April 10, 1991 Motion For Judgment Pursuant to PA. R.C.P. 1066 and Affidavit of Service filed.

April 10, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 10th day of April, 1991, upon Motion of the Plaintiff for Default Judgment pursuant to PA. Rule of Civil Procedure 1066, and it appearing that servcie has been made in accordance with this Court's Order and that the Defendants have failed to file an answer within the time permitted by the rules; it is hereby Ordered and Decreed that the Defendants, their heirs, successors and assigns, be and are hereby forever barred from asserting any right, lien title or interest to the premises located in the County of Crawford, Commonwealth of PA., and bounded and described as follows:

On the North by Shadyside Avenue; on the EAst by land now or formerly of William Walster; on the South by land now or formerly of John C. Monnie; on teh West by land now or formerly of H.E. Rhodes, having a frontage of fity (50) feet on Shadyside Ave., and a depth of one hundred (100) feet, and known as 205 Shady AVenue.
inconsistent with the interest of the claims that the Plaintiffs set forth in their Complaint; from impeaching, denying, or in any way attacking Plaintiffs' title to said property; from issuing or maintaining any action attacking same; and from encumbering, mortgaging or conveying the same or any part thereof unless the Defendants or any one of them shall, within thirty (30) days of this order, file with the Court written objections to this order. If such action is not taken within the thirty (30) days period the Prothonotary, on Praecipe of the Plaintiffs, shall enter final judgment.

By The Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

April 16, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Jeffrey K. Millin.

AND NOW, May 10, 1991 @ 3:02 p.m. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, final judgment is hereby entered in this case, in favor of th Plaintiffs and against the defendants by reason that no action has been taken by the Defendants with the Thirty (30) days as Order by the Court on the 10th day of April, 1991.

S-208, 213

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

May 10, 1991 Judgment entered.

1991

~~Joseph F. Kulwicki~~ withdrawn

181.

~~James R. Irwin~~ withdrawn

Barbara J. Walton

KENNETH D. REESE, SR.

vs.

LINDA L. REESE

Filed Feb. 22, 1991
@ 2:31 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro.Co. pd 7/29/94	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER 201 (c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 4, 1991 Certificate of Service filed. Irene P. Alexander being duly sworn according to law deposes and says that she is employed by J. Kulwicki, Esq. atty;. for plff and on about feb. 22, 1991, she placed a cert. copy of the above divorce complaint in the U.S. Mail, addressed: Linda L. Reese, R. D. #1 box 413 Espyville;. Pa. 16424.

Said divorce complaint was mailed cert. mail rest. del. being cert. mail item No. P 724 954 516. The signature card for said cert. mail item was returned to office bearing the signature of dft. as having received same on Feb. 25 1991. Attached hereto.

Irene P. Alexander.

July 7, 1994, Appearance of Barbara J. Walton, attorney for defendant, filed.

July 13, 1994 Counter-Affidavit Under Section 3301 (d) of the Divorce Code, filed.

July 29, 1994 Motion to Withdraw Appearance and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 29 day of July, 1994, Granted.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

July 29, 1994 Copy of Order Faxed to Attys. Walton & Kulwicki.

Jul. 29, 1994 Affidavit of Consent by Kenneth D. Reese, Sr.,Plaintiff, filed.

Jul. 29, 1994 Affidavit of Consent by Linda L. Reese, Defendant, filed.

Jul. 29, 1994 Upon Praecipe of Gregory A.Keenan, Esquire,Attorney for Plaintiff to transmit record, case moved to court.

Aug. 1, 1994 Praecipe for Withdrawal of Appearance of James R.Irwin, Esquire, Attorney for the Defendant, Linda L. Reese, and Appearance of Barbara J. Walton, Esquire, for Defendant, filed.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

August 9, 1994 AND NOW, August 5, 1994, it is Ordered and Decreed that KENNETH D. REESE, SR., Plaintiff, and LINDA L. REESE, Defendant, are Divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has nto yet been entered: none.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

1991

Jorden & White
Harry Faber white II

182.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
John B. Brautigam

Raymond Erickson, Ind. and Raymond Erickson, Patrick M. Erickson and Dennis Erickson individually and d/b/a Erickson Farms
additional defendants

PATRICK M. ERICKSON AND CHERYL A. ERICKSON, HUSBAND AND WIFE, INDIVIDUALLY, AND AS PARENTS AND NATURAL GUARDIANS OF ANGELA M. ERICKSON

vs.

HARNED OIL COMPANY, INC.
dfts,

Patrick M. Erickson and Cheryl A. Erickson, husband and wife and individually
add'l dfts.

Filed Feb. 22, 1991
At 3:59 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	23.05
Sheriff's Costs	35.25
Pro Co pd 10-21-92	6.00
Pro.Co. pd 10-22-92	1.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Mar. 12, 1991 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by John B. Brautigam for Defendant filed.

March 27, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Harned Oil Co., Inc., on Feb. 27, 1991 at 1:58 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Trudy Same, Secretary and person in charge at their office, Pymatuning Ave., Conneaut Lake, Crawford Co., Pa.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sherif
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 28, 1991 Answer, New Matter and New Matter Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 2252(d) of Harned Oil Company, Inc. filed.

Apr. 8, 1991 Notice of Serv ice of Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents filed.

Apr 19, 1991 Reply to New Matter filed.

Apr 29, 1991 Complaint of Original Defendant, Harned Oil Company, Inc. Against Additional Defendants, Raymond Erickson, individually and Raymond Erickson, Patrick M. Erickson, and Dennis Erickson, individually and d/b/a Erickson Farms filed.
2 copies (sheriff)

Apr. 30, 1991 Certificate of Service filed. The udnersigned hereby certifies that the Complaint of Orig. Defenant Harned Oil Co. Inc. Against Additional Defendants, Raymond Erickson ind. and Raymond Erickson, Patrick M. erickson, and Dennis Erickson ind. and d/b/a Erickson Farms was served by First class mail upon Harry Faber White II Esq. Atty. for Patrick M. Erickson on Apr. 29, 1991 by forwarding him a copy of said complaint. JOhn B. Brautigam ESq.

June 11, 1991 Notice of Service of Answers to Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories filed.

June 11, 1991, Notice of Service of Answer to Defendant's Request for production filed.

June 12, 1991, **SHERIFF'S RETURN** filed. SERVED Dennis Erickson personally on May 16, 1991 at 3:45 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse,Meadville, PA.
SERVED Raymond Erickson on May 16, 1991 at 3:46 PM BY SERVING Dennis Erickson, his Authorized Agent. at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA. Signed Acceptance of Service attached.
So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 17, 1991 Notice of Service of Request for Production filed.

July 17, 1991 Notice Of Depositions of Trudy Sime and Philip Fredericks filed.

Aug. 13, 1991 RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION filed by Defendant Harned Oil Co., Inc..

Nov. 12, 1991 Answer And New Matter To Complaint Against Additional Defendants Raymond Erickson, Individually, and raymond Erickson, Patrick M. Erickson, and dennis Erickson, Individually and d/b/a Erickson Farms filed.

Jan. 21, 1992, Reply of Original Defendant, Harned Oil co. Inc. to New Matter of Additional Defendants, Raymond Erickson, Individually and Raymond Erickson, Patrick M. Erickson, and Dennis Erickson, Individually and d/b/a Erickson farms, filed.

Jan. 29, 1992, Motion to Amend Complaint and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 24 day of Jan. upon consideration of the foregoing Moton to Amend Complaint, the plaintiffs are hereby granted the authority to filed their First Amended Compalint as attached hereto.
(Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint filed)
BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro,, J.
Feb. 2, 1992, copies of order to Attys. White and Brautigam.

Feb. 24, 1992, Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint filed.

Feb. 28, 1992 NOTICE OF SERVICE FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL ANSWER TO DEFENDANT, HARNED OIL COMPANY, INC.'S REQUEST FOR PORDUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, filed.

Feb. 28, 1992 CERTIFICATE OF READINESS, filed.

ON TRIAL LIST FOR APRIL 1992

Mar. 18, 1992 NOTICE OF DEPOSITIONS and CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE filed. I hereby certify that on the 13th day of March, 1992, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document on all partiew or counsel of record, by first class, United States mail, postage prepaid, pursuant to Pa. Rules of Civil Procedures. Harry Faber White,II

Mar. 19, 1992, Answer, New Matter and New Matter pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 2252(d) of Harned Oil Company, Inc. to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint filed.

March 25, 1992 Motion to Strike Case From Trial List and ORDER filed. And Now, this 25 day of March, 1992, upon consideration of Defendant's Motion to STrike, it is hereby ordered that this case is continued to the October 1992 Trial List.
By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
March 26, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. John Brautigam, Harry White II.

Mar. 30, 1992 Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

Continued on Page 182A

Patrick M. Erickson, et al
vs.
Harned Oil Co.
vs.
Patrick M. Erickson, et al
vs.
Raymond Erickson, et al

CONTINUED ON PAGE 182 A
of Record
A.D. 1991 - 182

****

June 18, 1992 REPLY TO NEW MATTER, filed.

June 18, 1992 Discovery Notice, filed.

July 14, 1992 Notice Of Service of Filing Answers to Interrogatories to Plaintiffs, Patrick M. Erickson and Cheryl A. Erickson, as Parents and Natural Guardians of Plaintiff, Samantha L. Erickson filed.

July 14, 1992 Ntoice of Service of Filing Supplemental Answers to Interrogatories filed.

July 28, 1992 Amended Complaint of Original Defendant, Harned Oil Company, Inc. Against Additional Defendants, Raymond Erickson, individually and Raymond Erickson, Patrick M. Erickson, and Dennis Erickson, individually and d/b/a Erickson Farms filed.

Aug. 7, 1992, Motion to compel Answering of Interrogatories and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 6 day of Aug. 1992, in consideration fo the Motion to compel Answering of Interrogatories, of Dft. Harned Oil Co. Inc. it is hereby ordered as follows;

1. Plaintiffs shall, within thirty (30) days of this date, provide answers to Harned's Interrogatories 3.b through c., in the spaces provided inthe Interrogatories as required by the Pa. Rules of Civil Procedure and, if said answers are references to an expert's report or reports, to attach said report or reports.

2. plaintiffs shall within twenty (20) days of the date of this order, serve Amended Answers to Harned's Interrogatories including Answers to Interrogatories 18.d, 52, 53, 54, and 56, in the spaces previded inthe Interrogatories and attaching the documents requested therein referred to by plaintiffs in prior interrogatory answers.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. vardaro, J.

Aug. 11, 1992, copies of order to Attys. Harry white, John brautigam,

Aug. 12, 1992 Notice of Service of Filing Answers to Interrogatories filed.

Sept. 14, 1992 Motion to Continue and Order Filed. And Now, this 14th day of September, 1992, upon consideration of Defendant's Motion to Continue, it is hereby ordered that this case is continued to the February, 1993 trial list.

By The Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept. 14, 1992 Copy of the Order given to Attys.White and Brautigam.

Sept. 14, 1992 Notice of Deposition on Oral Examination of Raymond Erickson filed.

Sept. 14, 1992 Notice of Deposition on Oral Examination of Patrick Erickson, Cheryl Erickson, Angela Erickson and Samantha Erickson filed.

Sept. 25, 1992 Certificate of Service of Second Request for Production of Documents filed.

Oct 21, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney the above captioned matter is hereby settled and discontinued of record.

Oct. 21, 1992 PETITION FOR SETTLEMENT OF A MINOR'S CLAIM, filed.

1991

1991

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

183.

LYNETTE M. NESTER

vs.

VAN A. NESTER

Filed Feb. 25, 1991
@ 1:03 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Feb. 25, 1991, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed. 1 copy (atty)

Mar. 28, 1991 Complaint reinstated.

Apr. 9, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. I, Van A Nester, defendant do hereby accept service of the notice of defendant and claim rights and the Complaint iN Divorce, and Plaintiffs Affidavit Under Sect. 201(d) of the Divorce Code, filed in the above captioned matter this April day of 8, 1991. Van A. Nester.

June 12, 1991, Affidavit of Service of Proposed Praecipe for review Under Sec.t 201(d) of the Div. Code, a notice of Intention to REquest Entry of Divorce Decree and a form for the Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Sect. 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: March 12, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Mar. 12, 1998 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick
Brian J. Lindsay
Gilbert S. Merritt Jr.

184.

R. Charles Thomas
Thomas, Truax, Spadafore Vardaro Walker

ROBERT E. MORIAN

vs.

LILA M. MORIAN

Filed Feb. 25, 1991,
@ 2:00 p.m.

Tax & entry Pd 3-23-93 $50.50
state Pd 3-23-93 10.00
3 counts Pd 3-23-93 45.00
state Pd 3-23-93 20.00

CERTIFICATION OF DIVORCE CASE TRANSFERRED FROM THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CAMERON COUNTY, 59TH JUDICIAL BRANCH OF PENNSYLVANIA No. 90-2087

CAMERON COUNTY ENTRIES:

May 30, 1990, Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

May 30, 1991 Notice to Defendant and Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) filed.

July 9, 1990, Complaint Reinstated.

Dec. 13, 1990, Certified card, Affidavit of NOn Military Service and Praecipe to Transmit filed.

Dec. 17, 1990, Decree filed.

Jan. 29, 1991, Petition to Vacate and/or Open Decree of Divorce and Order filed.

Feb. 19, 1991, Order of Court filed: AND NOW, to-wit this 19th day of Feb. 1991 upon stipulation of the parties through their respective attorneys, it is hereby ordreed, adjudged and decreed that the divorce decree entered in the above captioned matter on Dec. 13, 1990 is opened effective said date to the extent that defendant shall be allowed to raise claims for economic relief under the Divorce Code (alimony pendente lite, alimony, counsel fees, costs and equitable distribution) and that this case is transferred to the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County Pa. for the adjudication of said economic claims as may be raised by the defendant.
The parties remain divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
Costs of the transfer to be paid by plaintiff.
Any existing spousal support order shall hereafter be deemed and order for alimony pendente lite.
Sipulated to: Gilbert S. Merritt, Jr. Esq.
R. Charles Thomas, Esq.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon J. Daghir, P.J.

Mar. 19, 1991 (1 copy atty.) Petition for Related Claims Under the Divorce Code (ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES AND COSTS, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION AND PERMANENT ALIMONY) filed.

Apr. 16, 1991 Appearance of Brian J. Lindsay, attorney for plaintiff filed.

Nov. 27, 1991 Petition For Contempt And Sanctions and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 27th day of November, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a Rule is hereby granted upon the Plaintiff, Robert E. Morian, to show cause, if any he has, why the requests of the Defendant as set forth in the within Petition should not be granted.
This Rule is returnable for argument and/or hearing on the 18th day of December, 1991, at 2:30 o'clock, p.m., in Court Room Number 1 or 2, at the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, PA. A copy of this Petition and Order to be served upon the Plaintiff, Robert E. Morian by Certified Mail.

By The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

Nov. 27, 1991 One copy of Order to Atty. R. Charles Thomas; Dec. 3, 1991 Copy of Order to Brian J. Lindsay.

Dec. 17, 1991 Inventory and Appraisement of Lila M. Morian filed.

Dec. 17, 1991 Defendant's Income and Expense Statement filed.

Dec. 31, 1991 MEMORANDUM and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Dec. 18, 1991, the petition for adjudication of contempt is denied. Request for counsel fees in connection with this phase of the matter is reserved to be disposed of at a future date as a part of an overall disposition of economic issues. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Dec. 31, 1991 copies given to B. Lindsay & R.C. Thomas

FEb. 11, 1994, Appearance of Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, attorney for plaintiff filed.

1991

Thomas, Truax , Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker
William L. Walker

185.

MARINE BANK

vs.

GEORGE H. DESHNER, III

Filed Feb. 25, 1991
@ 2:21 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 4/8/91	9.00 ✓
Sheriffs Costs	21.00
Satisfaction Pd. 8-21-91	5.00

COMPALINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

1 copy (sheriff)

Mar. 28, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED George H. Deshner, III personally on March 6, 1991 at 11:15 AM at R.D. #7, Graff Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Apr. 4, 1991 at 1:16 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of Seventy Two Thousand Five Hundred Forty Seven and 91/100 Dollars together with int. at rate of $18.32 per day from Jan. 22, 1991.
Judgment entered 4/4/91
D - 73 Debt --- $72,547.91
Int. from Jan 22, 1991-rate of $18.32 per day
JAMES F. SIMMONS,
Prothonotary

Aug. 21, 1991 This judgment satisfied.
(See paper filed)

1991

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, Gamble & Fabian
Gary A. Fabian

186.
Robert J. Felton

AGWAY, INC.

vs.

CARL L. SAXTON, HIS HEIRS, SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

Filed Feb. 25, 1991
@ 3:40 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Pd 4/22/93	5.00

COMPLAINT - ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 9, 1991 Appearance and Acceptance of Servcie filed. I; Robert J. Felton do hereby appear on behalf of the defendant in the above captioned matter and do also hereby accept service of the complaint on behalf of the defendant being authoritzed to do so. Robert J. Felton Esq.
Dated: 3/27/91

May 8, 1991 Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

April 22, 1993, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned matter is marked discontinued and settled of record, with prejudice.

1991

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, Gamble & Fabian
Gary A. Fabian

187.

Robert J. Felton

Bruce L. Smith
Add'l Defendants

AGWAY, INC.

vs.

CARL L. SAXTON, his heirs, successors and assigns

vs.

CLARA A DAHLE and PAUL A. DAHLE and PHYLLIS DAHLE, his wife, Additional Defendants

Filed Feb. 25, 1991
@ 3:40 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro co pd. 4/22/93	5.00

COMPLAINT IN EJECTMENT

2 copies (atty)

Apr. 9,,1991, Appearance and Acceptance of Service filed. I, Robert J. Felton, do hereby appear on behalf of the defendant in the above captioned matter and do also hereby accept servcie of the Complaint on behalf of the defendant being authorized to do so.
Date: 3/27/91 Robert J. Felton, Esq

May 8, 1991 Answer and New Matter filed.

[illegible] filed.

May 8, 1991 Defendant's Complaint Against Additional Defendants filed.

June 14, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. AND NOW, this 10th day of June, 1991, I, Bruce L. Smith, Esquire, Attorney at Law, do hereby accept service of a certified copy of Defendant's Complaint against Additional Defendants filed in the within action as attorney for the Additional Defendants. I certify that I have been authorized by my clients to accept service of this pleading.
Bruce L. Smith

Apr. 22, 1993, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued with prejudice.

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

188.

COLLEEN ESTES

vs.

LAWRENCE ARTHUR ESTES

Filed Feb. 25, 1991
@ 3:44 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
State paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co 9-12-96	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

FEb. 27, 1991, Plaintiff's AFfidavit Under Section 201(d) of the DivorceCode filed.

April 5, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq., attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being sworn according to law, despose and say that I did on March 11, 1991 mail to the above Defendant, Lawrence Arthur Estes, by certified mail return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a Complaint and Plaintiff's 201(d) Affidavit in the above divorce action. Said notice was received on March 19, 1991, as indicated by the return receipt card which was returend to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Atty.

Sept 6, 1996 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Sept. 13, 1996 AND NOW, Sept. 12, 1996, it is ordered and decreed that COLLEEN ESTES, plaintiff, and LAWRENCE ARTHUR ESTES, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

David P. Truax
Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker

189.

David Taylor

HYLAND ENTERPRISES, INC. AND JOHN D. HYLAND, t/a/d/b/a MICKEY'S LOUNGE

vs.

PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD

Filed Feb. 25, 1991
@ 4:30 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid		$50.50
state paid		5.00
Appeal pd.	11/13/91	30.00
state paid	11/13/91	5.00

✓ APPEAL FROM DENIAL OF RENEWAL OF LIQUOR LICENSE AND REQUEST FOR SUPERSEDEAS

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 25 day of Feb. 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the within Appeal from Denial of Renewal of Liquor License and Request for Supersedeas in the above case, the same is set for hearing and argument on the 18 day of April at 1:30 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 at the Courthouse at Meadville Pa. This order shall act as asupersedeas as to the refusal of renewal of restaurant liquor license as of Aug. 1, 1990.

By the court,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Feb. 28, 1991 copy to Atty. Truax .

✓Apr. 16, 1991 Motion For Continuance and Order filed. AND NOW, April 15, 1991 hearin gin above matter is set for June 27, 1991, at 9:30 A.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2.

BY THE COURT,
3 copies atty. Truax P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

✓Oct 16, 1991 Memorandum and order filed: AND NOW, this 14th day of October, 1991, the Court affirms the decision of the Board and dismisses the licensee's appeal.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Oct 16, 1991 Copies put in Atty. Truax' box and copy mailed to LCB.

Oct 16, 1991 Exhibits filed with papers.

✓Nov. 13, 1991 Appeal of plaintiff to the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania from the Memorandum and Order entered on Oct. 14, 1991, and filed on Oct. 16, 1991, filed.

✓ Nov. 18, 1991 Motion For Supersedeas and Order filed. AND NOW, November 13, 1991, the above motion is allowed.

By The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Nov. 20, 1991 Copies of order to Atty's Truax and Taylor

✓Nov. 21, 1991 Notice of Appeal Docketing in Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania, Docket No. 2409 C.D. 1991 - filed.

✓Mar. 16, 1992, Transcript of Proceedings taken At Time of Hearing filed.

Sep. 3, 1993 Dissenting Opinion by Judge Friedman filed.

Sep. 3, 1993 Opinion by Judge Smith and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 1st day of September, 1993, the order of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County is affirmed.

Doris A. Smith, Judge

Sep. 9,1993 Copy of Order to Atty.Truax and Atty. Taylor

Dec. 2, 1993 Certificate of Contents of Remanded Record and Notice of Remand Under Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure 2571 and 2572 (e) and Reason for Remand Affirmed, filed.

1991

Hayt, Hayt & Landau
Arthur Lashin

190.

FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY
One American Road
Dearborn, Michigan 48122

vs.

JOHN A. LUEBBERT, SR. AND
JOY D. LUEBBERT
R. D. #3, Lincolnville
Union City, Pa. 16438

Filed Feb. 25, 1991,
@ 4:30 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state Pd 3/11/91	5.00
Sheriff's costs	42.95
Pro Co Pd 6-13-91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Apr 25, 1991, Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Joy D. Luebbert, personally, RD#2, Eisentrout Drive, Union City, on March 25, 1991 at 10:15 a.m.

SERVED John A. Luebbert,Sr., personally, RD#2, Eisentrout Drive, Union City, on March 25, 1991 at 10:16 a.m.

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 10, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, this case is marked discontinued, settled and ended.

1991

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas W. Ferguson

191.

MATTHEW J. ONDERKO, JR.

vs.

LINDA L. ONDERKO

Filed Feb. 26, 1991
@ 11:21 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 7/9/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 5, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, the undersigned being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated february 27, 1991. The Defendant acknowledge service on March 1, 1991, by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.
Douglas W. Ferguson

July 9, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

July 9, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 9, 1991 Upon praecipe Of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record - Section 201(c) of th eDivorce Code, this case moved to court.

July 17, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, July 15, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Matthew J. Onderko, Jr., plaintiff, and Linda L. Onderko, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

192.

DEBORAH LYNNE MATTOCKS

vs.

DOUGLAS RAYMOND MATTOCKS

Filed Feb. 26, 1991
@ 2:03 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 6/4/91	9.00
Pro Co pd 6/20/91	5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 21, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. BRUCE A. BARRETT, Esq., being duly sworn to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of a Complaint for Divorce under Section 201 (c) was sent by registered mail to the Defendant.

3. The registered mail was sent to the Defendant at, Box 229, Harmonsburg, Pa. 16422 on Feb. 26, 1991. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. The Defendant received said registered mail on Mar. 5, 1991. Said receipt card number 320 791 289 is attached hereto and signed by the Defendant, Douglas Raymond Mattocks.

Bruce A. Barrett, Atty. Plaintiff

June 3, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

June 3, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

June 3, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review under 201(c) ofthe Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

June 12, 1991 **DIVORCE DECREE** filed.

And now, June 12, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Deborah Lynne Mattocks, plaintiff, and Douglas Raymond Mattocks, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 17, 1991 Notice Of Election To Resume Maiden Name filed. Deborah Lynn Mattocks TO BE KNOWN AS Deborah Lynne Handy

1991

David W. Pickens

193.

Robert S. Bailey

GEORGE CANFIELD d/b/a
CANFIELD ELECTRIC

vs.

PETER HOLMAS AND MAPLEX
WOOD PRODUCTS, INC.
(INCORRECTLY NAMED AS
MAPLEX CORP.)

Filed Feb. 26, 1991
@ 2:03 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.25
state paid 5.00
Pro Co pd 4/30/91 5.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF HUBERT
VOGAN, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30 - 3 - 02

Feb. 26, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

Feb. 26, 1991, Proof of Service filed.

Mar. 18, 1991 Complaint filed. (1 copy atty).

Mar. 27, 1991 Defendants' Preliminary Objections To Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Apr. 8, 1991 Amended Complaint filed.

Apr. 30, 1991, Stipulation to Settle and Discontinue actions as to Peter Holmas, Individually filed.

Apr. 30, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, Count 1 of the above case is settled and discontinued with prejudice.

1991

Bettie J. Peterson-Muth

194.

Robert S. Bailey

BURKHARDT SHEET METAL

vs.

MAPLEX DIMENSIONAL WOOD PRODUCTS, LTD.

Filed Feb. 26, 1991
@ 2:03 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.25
state paid 5.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF G. HERZBERGER, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-3-04

Feb. 26, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Feb. 26, 1991, Proof of Service filed.

Mar. 20, 1991 Complaint filed. (2 copies atty.)

1991

Fuller, kinnunen,
Petruso, Gamble and
Fabian
Gary A. Fabian

195.

JOANNE M. RICE

vs.

BARRY J. RICE

Filed Feb. 26, 1991
@ 2:50 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
William Pineo, mediator	127.00
recording fee	9.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:
You, Barry j. rice, defendant have been sued in court to obtain custody of the child, Jason P. Rice.
You are ordered to appear in person at a mediation conference at a time, place and date to be established by the CUSTODY MEDIATOR, WILLIAM PINEO is hereby appointed custody mediator.
If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not ahve a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.
Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Comm. c/o Prothonotary, Crawford County courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335. 814 724-4636
Date: Feb. 25, 1991

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

May 13, 1991 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 10 day of May, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Pending further order of the Court, physical and legal custody of the subject minor child is awarded to his father, Barry J. Rice.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.

3. The mother shall have visitation with her son on Sunday afternoons each week from noon to 5:00 p.m. The father will deliver Jason to his mother's house at noon and the mother will deliver Jason to his father's house at 5:00 p.m., unless the father shall specify differently.

4. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

5. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

6. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

7. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

8. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the otehr party in the children's estimation.

9. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

10. The parties and the subject minor child are directed to participate in counseling. The parties have suggested Debbie Gregg from the Community Mental Health Center of the Meadville Medical Center. This is acceptable to the Court. Whoever the counselor is, he or she will make an initial evaluation of the problems which Jason is facing and what might be done to ameliorate them. The counselor shall advise the mediator of his or her thoughts in the matter at the mediator's request.

11. This order will be reviewed after the counselor has rendered the evaluation but in any case, before Sept. 1,1991.

12. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

13. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 15, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. William Pineo, Gary Fabian, Doug Ferguson, plaintiff, defendant.

Oct 30, 1991 TEMPORTARY ORDER, filed. AND NOW, this 28th day of Oct., 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Pending further order of the Court, physical and legal custody of the subject minor child is awarded to his father, Barry J. Rice.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.

3. The mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody of her son on Sunday afternoons each week from noon to 5:00 p.m. The father shall deliver Jason to his mother's house noon and the mother will deliver Jason to his father's house at 5:00 p.m., unless the father shall specify differently.

4. The parties shall arrange for further counseling for Jason with the Community Mental Health Services. The mother has indicated to the mediator that she is continuing in counseling and that if Jason appears with her, both appointments can be charged to her account as an employee of the Meadville Medical Center. The mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody of Jason on the afternoon, beginning with the close of school, if school isin session or at 5:00 p.m. if it is not, until such time as is sufficient to allow her to deliver Jason to his father's house after the counseling session shall have ended.

Continued to page 553

1991

William J. Gregg

196.

KATHY L. GOODNIGHT

vs.

WILLIAM J. GOODNIGHT

Filed Feb. 26, 1991
@ 3:29 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
State paid	10.00
State paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 6/4/91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OR 201(d) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 6, 1991, Affidavit of Service filed. Kathleen R. Nelson, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her affidavit of service are ture and correct.

1. That the affiant is the Atty. for the plaintiff in above action.

2. That a true and correct copy of complaint in divorce and notice were sent by reg. mail to the dft. on 2/27/91.

3. The reg. mail was sent to Wm. J. Goodnight at R. D. #1 Box 89, Hartstown, Pa. 16131. The sender's receipt attached.

4. That the dft. received said reg. mail on Mar. 1, 1991. Said Ret. Rec. card P 269 402 222 is attached hereto, signed and made a part hereof. Kathleen R. Nelson.

June 4, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 4, 1991 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 4, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney Fore Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

June 11, 1991, DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

AND NOW, June 11, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Kathy L. Goodnight, Plaintiff, and William J. Goodnight, defendatn, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
James B. Dwyer, S. J.

1991

Lamancusa & Cilli, PC
Carmen F. Lamancusa

197.

LANCE MALINOWSKI AND DEBRA MALINOWSKI, HIS WIFE

vs.

SHIRLEY MALINOWSKI

Filed Feb. 27, 1991
@ 11:06 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	26.05
Pro Co Pd 2-12-92	6.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Mar 27, 1991 Writ Returned: SERVED Shirley Malinowski personally on February 28, 1991 at 1:38 P.M. at her residence, R.D.#4, Box 912, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, Pa 16142.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb 10, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney this case is marked discontinued and settled of record.as to Shirley Malinowski, Defendant.

1991

Lester S. Becker

198.

Theodore H. watts

FEDERAL ASSURANCE CORP.

vs.

DALE L. BONNELL AND CAROL A. BONNELL, HIS WIFE dfts.

MEDINA TRUCK AND TRAILER SALES add'l dft.

Filed Feb. 27, 1991 @ 3:10 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	32.50
Sheriff's costs	24.84
Pro Co. pd 9-18-92	5.00 ✓
Pro Co. pd 9-18-92	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Apr. 8, 1991 Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

April 11, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Dale L. Bonnell On March 7, 1991 at 12:22 PM by handing copy of Complaint to his authorized Agent, Carol A. Bonnell, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthosue, Meadville, Pa. Signed Acceptance of Service attached.

SERVED Carol A. Bonnell personally on March 7, 1991 at 12:21 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA.

So answers, Carole Stefanucci, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr. 25, 1991 Amended Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim filed. 1 copy (sheriff)

May 7, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared J. Michael Parsittie Deputy of Medina County Sheriff's Department who being duly sworn according to law deposes and says tha ton the 30th day of April, 1991, he served Rick Bowman of Medina Truck & Trailer Sales, in Medina County, Ohio at 7114 Wooster Pike Road, Medina, OH 44256 by handing to and leaving with Rick Bowman the certified copy thereof.

Sso answers, J. Michael Parsittie, Deputy
L. John Ribar, Sheriff

SERVED Medina Truck and Trailer Sales by deputizing Sheriff of Medina County, Ohio, as per his Affidavit of Service attached.
Served: April 30, 1991.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 18, 1992 Upon Praecipe of attorney for Federal Assurance Corp., this case Discontinued with Prejudice.

Sept. 18, 1992 Upon Praecipe of attorney for Estate of Dale L. and Carol A. Bonnell this case Discontinued with Prejudice.

199.

CAROL S. VAUGHN

vs.

WILLIS S. VAUGHN

Filed Feb. 27, 1991
@ 3:31 p.m.

Tax & Entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	20.50
Sheriff's costs	17.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT
3copies (sheriff)
1 copy (plff)

ORDER:

And now, this 27th day of February, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions:
Defendant may not go to the residence for any purpose. Df. may not contact plaintiff for any purpose.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for March 6, 1991, at 1:30 P M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,

Mar. 6, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. Not Found as to Willis S. Vaughn. Possibly moved out of area per Linesville Polcie Dept.
so answers, Howard N. Stewart,Sheriff

Mar. 28, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, March 27, 1991, the plaintiff appears before the Court and advises the Court that she wishes to withdraw her Petition. THEREFORE, the Petition is DISMISSED and the County is directed to pay the costs.
BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
James B. Dwyer, SJ
Apr. 2, 1991 copies of order mailed to plaintiff and copy givento County Control

Mar. 7, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, March 6, 1991, at the time scheduled for hearing in this mater, the Plaintiff appeared but the Defendant did not. The Defendant has not yet been served and, therefore, this Court may not now hold a hearing.
Accordingly, this case is continued until Thursday, March 21, 1991 at 3:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.
In the meantime, the Temporary Abuse Order entered February 27, 1991 shall continue in full force and effect. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police department in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
Service of a copy of the Petition and Order of February 27, 1991 together with a copy of this Order shall be made upon by Sheriff, Constable, police offier, or competent adult. The Plaintiff shall now go to the Sheriff's Office and tell the Sheriff where she believes the defendant is living an dthe Plaintiff shall assist the Sheriff with attempting to locate the Defendant so that proper service may be made upon him. If the Defendant lives out of county, the Sheriff may deputize the Sheriff of that other county for the purpose of making service or send the papers that are to be served on the Defendant to a constable or police officer in such other jurisdiction where the defendant may be served. The Sheriff, Constable of police officer who effectuates service shall file an appropriate return of service.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar. 7, 1991 Copies put in Sheriff's and county Control's box and copy mailed to plaintiff

Mar. 15, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Mark S. Fisher, Dep. Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 8th day of March 1991 at 2:30 P.M. he served Order, Petition For Emergency Relief Under Protection From Abuse Act., Temporary Abuse Order at No. 199 A.D. 1991, Crawford Co., Pa., upon defendant Willis S. Vaughn at K & R Tires, Sheakleyville, Pa. 16151.
By making known the contents thereof to Willis S. Vaughn and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the order and petition, temporary order , received from Sheriff of Crawford Co.
Mark S. Fisher, Dep. Sheriff
So answers
William H. Romine, Jr.,Sheriff, Mercer Co.
SERVED Willis S. Vaughn by deputizing Sheriff of Mercer County, Pa. as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served: March 8, 1991
So answers,
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

March 25, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 21, 1991, the plaintiff called the Judge's Chambers to indicate that she wanted to discontinue this action and that she could not be present at the time of the hearing scheduled for this date.

Accordingly, the matter is continued until the 27th day of March, 1991 at 3:00 o'clock p.m. at which time both parties must appear.

If the plaintiff wishes to discontinue this action she shall go to the Prothonotary's office in the Crawford Co. Courthouse to sign a written discontinuance and pay the record court costs at that time. If she believes that she is incapable of paying the Court costs or should not be obligated to pay the Court costs then she shall appear at the hearing at the date and time indicated above to discontinue the case and to testify under oath concerning her financial ability.

By The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

March 25, 1991 Copy of Order sent to Plaintiff. March 26, 1991 Copy of Order sent to Defendant.

200

1991

Bruce L. Smith

200.

Joseph C. Barnhart

TIMOTHY J. DIEGELMAN

vs.

CHRISTINA M. DIEGELMAN

Filed Feb. 28, 1991
@ @ 12:29 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 6/4/91	9.00
Pro Co pf. 6/21/91	5.00

COMPLAINT UDNER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Mar 4, 1991 Acceptance of Service and Entry of Appearance of Joseph C. Barnhart, Esq. for Defendant filed: AND NOW, this 1st day of March, 1991, I hereby accept service of a copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed in the above-captioned matter on behalf of the Defendant, as proper service hereof. Further I am authorized to do so.

Joseph C. Barnhart

June 4, 1991 Affidavit Of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 4, 1991 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 4, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, this case moved to court.

June 12, 1991 **DIVORCE DECREE** filed.

And now, June 12, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Timothy J. Diegelman, plaintiff, and Christina M. Diegelman, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Juen 21, 1991, Electionto REsume Prior Name filed. To Be Known as: CHRISTINA M. YOUNG.

1991

201.

Donald Lewis

SALLIE MILO

vs.

MANNING FREEMAN

Filed Feb. 28, 1991
@ 1:32 p.m.

Taxe & entry Paid	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	25.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies (sheriff)
1 copy (plff)

ORDER:

And now, this 28th day of February, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact the plaintiff in any way., Defendant shall not call the plaintiff by phone. Defendant shall not go to the plaintiffs residence
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for Friday, March 8, 1991, at 3:30 p. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,

Mar. 5, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Manning Freeman personally on March 1, 1991 at 4:25 Pm by handing copy of Protection From Abuse to him at Sheriff's Office, crawford county Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
So answers,

Catherine I. Allen, deputy sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 8, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, March 8, 1991, after hearing, the Defendant consenting to the continuance of the Temporary Abuse Order previously issued in this matter on February 28, 1991, the said Order shall continue for the balance of the one (1) year period subject to the same terms and conditions as contained in the Temporary Abuse Order.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
William E. Pfadt, S.J.

Mar. 15, 1991 copies of order to Plaintiff, DonLewis, atty. and County Control

1991

Bernstein & Bernstein Susan W. Laatsch 202.	PYLE INDUSTRIES, INC. vs. ADVANCED WAVE FORM SCIENCES, INC. Filed Feb. 28, 1991 @ 1:37 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.50 state paid 5.00 Sheriff's Costs 21.00 Pro Co Pd 4/11/91 9.00 ✓	COMPLAINT 1 copy (sheriff) Mar 27, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Advanced Wave Form Sciences on March 4, 1991 at 4:04 p.m. by handing copy of Complaint to Lora Santorina, Vice-Presidenct, person in charge at business, 632 Arch Street, Crawford County, Pa. So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff And Now, April 11, 1991, at 1:50 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with default notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the pl aintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer; damages assessed in the amount of Eighteen thousand Eight Hundred Sixty Eight and 53/100 Dollars. Judgment entered 4/11/91 A - 183 Debt ----- $18,868.53 (includes int. from 2/28/91 to 4/8/91 of $343.11) JUDGMENT James F. Simmons, Prothonotary April 16, 1991 notice of entry of judgment mailed to dft.

1991

H. Edwin Mountford

203.

GEORGE F. MOUNTFORD

vs.

EVANGELINE (MAGBITANG) MOUNTFORD

Filed Feb. 28, 1991
@ 1:45 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd. 6/21/91 -	#9.00
Pro Co Pd 6/21/91 -	#3.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c)

2 copies (atty)

Mar. 13, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. I, Evangeline (Magbitang) Mountford, Defendant, hereby accept service of a certified copy of the Complaint In Divorce filed in the above-captioned action.
Date: March 12, 1991 Evangeline (Magbitang) Mountford

June 14, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

June 14, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

June 14, 1991 Consent of both parties to Change of Venue filed.

June 14, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

June 19, 1991 **DECREE IN DIVORCE FILED.**

NOW, this 19th day of June, 1991, it is ORDERED AND DECREED that GEORGE F. MOUNTFORD, Plaintiff and EVANGELINE (MAGBITANG) MOUNTFORD, Defendant are divorced from the bonds of Matrimony. Costs on Plaintiff.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered. None.

BY THE COURT,
James B. Dwyer, P.J.

204.

JULIE M. WOLFE

vs.

JOHN B. ALLEN

Filed Mar. 1, 1991
@ 11:26 a.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	33.50

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 1 day of March, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant may not go to the residence of the Plaintiff for any reason. Pl accrded exclusive custody of child till further order.

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for March 8, 1991, at 1:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Mar. 6, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED John B. Allen personally on March 1, 1991 at 2:00 p.m. by handing copy of Protection From Abuse to him at L & M Restaurant, Titusville, Crawford County, PA. Served by Titusville Police Dep't.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 8, 1991 Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, March 8, 1991, Plaintiff having appeared in court and the Defendant not having appeared, Plaintiff indicated that she and Defendant are presently living together, but she desires the Protection From Abuse Order to continue for the balance of the one(1) year period, although the Court sees no real reason for granting her request, the Order will be continued as requested.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
William E. Pfadt, S.J.

Mar. 15, 1991 copies of order to Plaintiff, Defendant and County Control

1991

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph C. Barnhart

205.

TAMMY L. SUTTON

vs.

JACK E. SUTTON

Filed Mar. 1, 1991
@ 1:50 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
1count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co. Paid 12/24/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

Mar. 8, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared JOSEPH C. BARNHART, ESQ., attorney for the above-captioned Plaintiff, who being duly sworn according to law, did depose and say that he did serve a certified copy of a Divorce Complaint upon the above-named Defendant at his mailing address of Country Roads Park, T-13, Seneca, Pennsylvania, 16346 by United States certified mail, restricted delivery, evidenced by postal return receipt #P 620 647 560 purportedly signed by said defendant and dated March 5, 1991 attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and seal this 7th day of March, 1991. Joseph C. Barnhart,

June 19, 1991, Plaintiff's Affidavit of Consent Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code filed.

Sept. 19, 1991 Defendant's Affidavit ofConsent Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code filed:

Oct 2, 1991 Stipulation for Child Custody Order and Order filed: AND NOW, this 30th day of September, 1991 upon consideration of the foregoing Stipulation of the parties, same is hereby approved and entered as an Order of Court.

BY THE COURT,

Oct 3, 1991 Copy of order mailed to Atty. Barnhart.

Dec. 24, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney to transmit record, this case moved to Court.

Jan. 10, 1992 D E C R E E F I L E D .

AND NOW, this 9th day of January 1992, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Upon consideration of the pleadings and Affidavits filed in the above-captioned matter, the Court hereby grants an absolute divorice from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint, and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to §3301(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. No other matters or causes of action provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code of 1980, as amended, are reserved by either of the parties.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

206

1991

Mazzotta, McCague
& Associates PC
Donald D. Mazzotta
William T. Molczan

206.

FIRST DEPOSIT NATIONAL BANK

vs.

RUTH N. WALLACE

Filed Mar. 1, 1991
@ 1:50 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	26.00
Pro Pd. 4/29/91	9.00 ✓
Pro Co. Pd 2-5-93	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT - CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

Apr. 12, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Ruth N. Wallace on March 8, 1991 at 3:43 PM at her residence, R.D. #2, Box 1180 A, Gordon Rd., Conneaut Lake, Crawford Co., PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr. 29, And Now, at 11:35 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with affidavit of non military service and certification of mailing of notice of intent to take default judgment and service thereof, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an anwser; damages assessed in the amount of Three Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty Eight and 13/100 Dollars, including int. of $192.00 from 12/29/90 to 4/22/91. and costs of suit.
Judgment entered - 4/29/91
W - 141 Debt -----$3,768.13
Int. from 4/22/91
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

May 1, 1991 Notice of Entry of judgment mailed to dft.

Feb. 5, 1993 This Judgment satisfied
(no paper filed)

1991

Bernard Stuczynski &
Bonanti
Bruce W. Bernard

207.

MELLON BANK (NORTH)
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

vs.

JUDITH A. KELLY

Filed Mar. 1, 1991
@

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	25.00
Pro Co Pd 4/3/91	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

AND Now, Apr. 3, 1991 at 2:41 p.m. Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and againt the defendants for want oa na answer, in the amount of Eleven Thousand Seventy-two and 76/100 Dollars, with int. at rate of Nov. 14, 1986 being sum of $2.97 per diem from 2/26/91 and costs.
Judgment entered - 4/3/91
K - 91

Debt - $11,072.76
Int. from 2/26/91
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Apr. 3, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Judith A. Kelly personally on Mar. 13, 1991 at 1:35 p.m. at Sheriffs Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.
So answers, Diane Severo, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

EX. 1991 - 32

Brian J. Lindsay

208.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
John B. Brautigam

LINDA RAE GABEL

vs.

GEORGE DUDLEY GABEL

Filed Mar. 1, 1991
@ 2:17 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	15.00
Pro Co Pd 2-6-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, SPECIAL RELIEF, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES

2 copies (atty)

RULE TO SHOW CAUSE:
AND NOW, this 1 day of March 1991 upon presentation and consideration of the averments set forth in the foregoing Complaint, this court enters Rule to Show Cause against the defendant to show cause why the prayers of the plaintiff should not be granted under Count 2 of theDivorce Complaint.
THIS RULE IS RETURNABLE on the 21 day of March 1991 in C. R. No. 1 or 2 ofthe Crawford CountyCourthouse, Meadville, pa. commencing at 10:00 a.m.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Mar. 11, 1991 Verification of Service filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for the above named Plaintiff, and that as such I am authorized to make this verification ; that I did on the 1st day of March, 1991, send to the above named Defendant, by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint and Rule filed at the above term and number; and that I did on the 8th day of March, 1991, receive a duly executed return receipt dated March 6th, 1991.
Dated March 8, 1991

Brian J. Lindsay Esq.
Atty. for Plaintiff

Mar. 12, 1991 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug by John B. Brautigam for defendant filed.

Apr. 8, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

May 20, 1991 Stipulation and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 20 day of May, 1991, upon consent of the parties, the above Stipulation is hereby entered as an Order of the Court.

By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, J.

May 20, 1991 Two copies Order to Atty. Brian Lindsay; May 22, 1991 copy of Order placed in Atty. John Brautigam's box.

Dec. 11, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Feb. 3, 1992, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Feb. 6, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Feb. 13, 1992, MEMORANDUM filed. BY THE COURT, Anthony J. Vardaro, Judge
Feb. 18, 1992, copies to B. Lindsay and J. Brautigam, attys.

Feb. 24, 1992 Motion To Withdraw Ancillary Claim In Divorce and Order filed. AND NOW, this 21 day of February, 1992, upon presentation and consideration, the above Motion is granted.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Feb. 27, 1992 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Lindsay and Brautigam

Jun. 29, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire, to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

July 7, 1993 AND NOW, July 6, 1993, it is hereby ordered and decreed that LINDA RAE GABEL, Plaintiff, and GEORGE DUDLEY GABEL, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

1991

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

209.

EQUIPMENT LTD.

vs.

MAPLEX DIMENSIONAL WOOD PRODUCTS

Filed Mar. 1, 1991
@ 4:03 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriffs costs	23.13

COMPLAINT IN REPLEVIN

1 copy (sheriff)

Apr. 29, 1991, Sheriffs Return field. SERVED Maplex Dimensional Wood Products, 99 Rodinea Rd. Maples, Ontario, Canada, 0681R3, by Cert. Mail, on Mar. 4, 1991.
So answers, Howard N. Sheriff

1991

Akman & Assoc. PC
Robert S. Shreve

210.

ROBERT W. HURD AND BETTY J. HURD, HIS WIFE, INDIVIDUALS

vs.

WILLIAM VIBBERT

Filed Mar. 4, 1991
@ 11:21 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	45.60

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (atty)

Apr. 17, 1991, Sheriffs (writ) return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority personally appeared Raymond Casper, Dep. Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 14 day of March 1991 at 7:37 a.m. he served Summons at AD 210 1991 Crawford County Pa. upon defendant at 70 East Ave. bradford, Pa. 16701 by making known the contents thereof to him and handing to and leaving with him the cert., copy of Summons. Raymond Casper, Dep. sheriff
Donald D. Morey, Sheriff
McKean County, Pa.
SERVED William Vibbert by deputizing Sheriff of McKean County, Pa as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: Mar. 14, 1991.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff.

Specter Law Offices
John C. Evans

211.

ELIZABETH BAXTER

vs.

ATLANTIC DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION OF PENNSYLVANIA

Filed Mar. 4, 1991
@ 11:25 a.m.

Tax & Entry	3/6/91	$50.50 ✓
state	3/6/91	5.00
Sheriff's Costs		80.30

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Mar. 28, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Roger Gunesch, Deputy, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 15th day of Marhc, 1991, at 12:35 hrs, he served Writ of Summons, filed at No. AD 211 Term, 1991, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Atlantic Enterprises at 3839 Liberty Street, Erie County, Erie, Pennsylvania By making known the contents thereof to Diane Lanagan, adult in charge and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Summons received from Crawford County.

So answers, Roger Gunesch, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff

Atlantic Development corp. of Pa served by handing a certified copy of the Writ of Summons to robert Lippy, Area Supervisor at Franklin County Sheriff's Office on Mar. 14, 1991 at 10:15 a.m.

So answers, Wayne E. Shuman, Sheriff

SERVED Atlantic devleopment Corporation of Pennsyvlania, c/o Pizza Hut, on March 6, 1991 at 11:30 AM at place of business, 174 Park Avenue Plaza, Meadville, Crawford County, PA. Served Daniel Colwell, Manager.

SERVED Atlantic Development Corp. of Pa by deputizing Sheriff of Franklin County, PA as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served: Mar. 14, 1991

SERVED Atlantic Enterprises by deputizing Sheriff of Erie County, PA as per his Affidavi tof Service attached. Served: Mar. 15, 1991.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

212.

1991

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

212.

DEANNA L. KLAKAMP

vs.

FRANK J. KLAKAMP

Filed Mar. 4, 1991
@ 11:36 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro.Co. pd 10/29/92	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 15, 1991 Affidavit of Mailing filed. Ann Hansen Gamble, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and that on Mar. 5, 1991, she sent a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to the Defendant by certified mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid, receipt requested, to Frank Klakamp, R.D.# 2, Box 36 A 3, Pittsfield, Pa. 16340, the Defendant's last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto.

Ann Hansen Gamble

May 15, 1991 Stipulation and Order filed. AND NOW, this 15 day of May, 1991, the parties hereto having stipulated and agreed, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED as follows:

1. The legal and physical custody of the child, Jennifer Lynn Klakamp, date of birth, October 30, 1986, is and shall remain shared and joint between the parties hereto, Deanna L. Klakamp, hereinafter called "mother" and Frank J. Klakamp, hereinafter called "father", with the primary physical custody to be accorded to the mother subject to the rights of the father to periods of physical custody as set forth hereinafter.

2. The father shall be entitled to take the child into his physical custody on Wednesday at 10:00 a.m. and retain the custody of her until 3:00 p.m. on Thursday of each and every week, except for the holiday and extended visitation as set forth herein.

3. The holidays of New Years Day, Easter, Memorial Day, Fourth of July and Labor Day shall be alternated between the parties with the father being entitled to the holiday of New Years Day in 1991, all holidays alternating thereafter. The father shall also be entitled to have father's Day each year from 10:00 a.m. until 7:00 p.m.

4. On the holiday of Thanksgiving the father shall return the child to the mother at 3:00 p.m. On the holdiay of Christmas, the parties will arrange a sharing of the day dependent upon their respective work schedles with the mother providing her work schedule to the father on or before december 17th. In the event that the parties cannot agree on an appropriate division of the day, the mother shall be entitled to keep the child from 7:00 p.m. on December 24th, until 2:00 p.m. on Christmas Day regardless of what day of the week it may fall upon and the father shall have the right to take the child into his custody at 2:00 p.m. and retain her until 7:00 p.m. of the following day regardless of the day of the week it may fall upon.

5. Each parent shall be entitled to one (1) week of uninterrupted custody during the summer. The party first giving notice of the one (1) week which he or she may elect for this purpose shallhave the first right to that period of uninterrupted custody. Except for the one (1) uninterrupted week accorded to each, the normal schedled of phyiscal custody shall continue throughout the year.

6. The father shall provide all transportation. In the event that the child is not at the home of the mother but is instead at Day Care at the time she is to be placed in the physical custody of the father, the mother shall advise the father twenty-four (24) hours in advance of the specified pick up time. In the event the father is more than one (1) hour late in recovering the physical custody of the chld, he shall be deemed to have waived that period of custody.

7. The praties may make whatever other further and different arrangements they may find mutually acceptable for sharing the custody of this child. In the event that there is any change of circumstances including but not limited to a change of residence which is fifty (50) miled or more from the City of Titusville and which makes the shared physical custody schedule set out herein difficult or impractical either par ty is authorized to petition the court for a modification of this order.

8. Each pa rty to this agreement is specifically directed and ordered to encourage the child to have that love and respect for the other party which the child is entitled to have. Each shall encourage the child to adhere to the terms of this order.

9. Neither shall take the child into any place or expose her to any circumstances which might be considered as detrimental to her safety and well being.

10. Each parent is entitled to obtain any and all information concerning the child which is maintained by third party providers of service to the child including but not limited to schools, hopsitals, physicians, dentists, counselors, or any others who may provide services to the child. Neither party shall be required to obtain any other order for court of the release of such information. Each parent shall have the right to participate in any decisions concernning the child which are of a non-emergency nature. In the event of any emergency, the parent who at that time has the physical custody of the child is authorize dto make whatever decisions may be required by the circumstances and mus timmediately notify the other parent of the emergency.

P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

May 16, 1991 Copies oforder mailed to Ann Hansen Gamble and Joseph C. Barnhart

Oct. 5, 1992 Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) Of The Divorce Code of Plaintiff filed.
Oct. 29, 1992 Affidavit of Mailing by Ann Hansen Gamble, Filed.
Oct. 29, 1992 Notice of INt ention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree filed.
Oct. 29, 1992 Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 3301 (d) of the Divorce Code filed.
Oct. 29, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D

Nov. 6, 1992 AND NOW, November 5, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that **D E A N N A L . K L A K A M P ,** PLAINTIFF, AND **F R A N K J . K L A K A M P ,** defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

213.

SANDRA PAGAN

vs.

JOSE' RIOS

Filed Mar. 4, 1991
@ 1:31 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	21.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 4th day of March, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff ~~or any of the minor children named in the Petition.~~
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff in any manner. Sole possession of 372½ Randolph St is granted to plaintiff. Defendant shall not go there.

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for March 12, 1991, at 4:00 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 6, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Jose' Rios personally on March 4, 1991 at 2:15 PM by handing Protection From Abuse to him at Crawford County Jail, 260 Center Street, Meadville PA So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar 15, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, March 12, 1991, at the time fixed for hearing on the plaintiff's Petition Under Protection from Abuse Act, the plaintiff appeared with counsel and the defendant appeared without counsel. The defendant was advised as to the nature of these proceedings, that the plaintiff had the burden of proving abuse and that he had the right to an attorney to represent him in this matter. He indicated that he understood the nature of these proceedings and he waived his right to a hearing.

The parties have agreed, without the defendant admitting any wrongdoing whatsoever, to the following Order, and therefore, it is ORDERED:

1. The defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the plaintiff or Cassandra Gonzalez and Ramon Gonzalez in any manner whatsoever.
2. Sole possession of 327½ Randolph Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania is granted to the plaintiff. The defendant shall not go to 327½ Randolph Street or where the plaintiff works.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe that it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police Department in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. This Order shall remain in full force and effect until March 11, 1992.
6. The plaintiff shall package up all of the defendant's personal belongings and property and take them to Jeff Smith's house. She shall not cause any damage or loss to that property.
7. The defendant is reminded that this is an Order of Court and a violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine of up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six (6) months.
8. Neither party is required to pay court costs as it appears that the defendant is not working and in jail and the plaintiff is not earning sufficient wages to pay court costs.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar 18, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty. Bode's box, given to Co. Control and mailed to Defendant.

214.

4/1x/91 Reed. $25.00 From Defendant

4/1x/91 Paid $ 25.00 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff- Costs Ck# 2159

BARBARA NUNEMAKER

vs.

EDWARD LEE SMITH JR.

Filed Mar. 4, 1991
@ 1:56 p.m.

Tax & entry Pd 4/1x/91 $50.50
state Pd 4/1x/91 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 28.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 4th day of March, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff in anyway. He shall not go to her residence. Custody of the child Edward III shall be with plaintiff.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for March 12, 1991, at 4:00 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 7, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Edward Lee Smith, Jr. personally on March 5, 1991 at 11:45 AM at his residence, Rt. 77, Riceville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar 15, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, March 12, 1991, after hearing where the defendant waived his right to an attorney and agreed to proceed without an attorney, the court finds that the plaintiff has proven by the fair weight and preponderance of the evidence the allegations set forth in her petition and enters the following order:

1. The defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the plaintiff or his minor child in any way.
2. The defendant shall not go to the plaintiff's residence except for the purpose of picking up his child and returning his child as hereinafter provided.
3. Temporary custody of the parties' minor child is granted to the plaintiff, with the defendant haviang aright to take the child into his custody every Saturday from 12:00 Noon until 6:00 o'clock p.m., with the defendant having the responsibility of picking up the child and returning the child; and further provided that at all times when the defendant has custody of the child, one or both of this parents shall be present to make certain that the defendant does not abuse, harass, assault or threaten the child in any way.
4. The plaintiff shall permitted to go to the defendant's residence for the purpose of picking up her clothing and her own personal belongings and for the purpose of picking up the child's clothing and toys. The Court does not now order the defendant to give the plaintiff any of the child's money, silver certificates or other assets like that.
5. The plaintiff shall be permitted to go to the defendant's residence between 12:00 Noon and 12:30 p.m. on Friday, March 15, 1991 to pick up her personal belongings and the child's clothing and toys. She shall take someone with her, which said person shall be a peaceful, law-abiding citizen. The defendant shall have someone at home with him, which said person shall be a peaceful, law-abiding citizen. The purpose of this visit is simply for the plaintiff to pick up the items this Court now lets her take. Neither party shall become abusive or threatening in any manner. If there is an argument over the things that the plaintiff is permitted to take, and if the parties are unable to work out that argument, the plaintiff shall then leave those items at the defendant's home and bring an appropriate replevin or other property action to resolve whether or not she has a right to those items.
6. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement Officer having reasonable grounds to believe that it has been violated.
7. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police Department in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to County Control.
8. This order shall remain in full force and effect for one (1) year or until March 11, 1992, unless modified or terminated by further Order of the Court.
9. The defendant shall pay court cousts in full no later than April 15, 1991.
10. The defendant is reminded that this is an Order of Court and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine of up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six (6) months.
11. The custody and visitation portions of this Order shall remain in full force and effect unless modified as provided by the Abuse Act.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar 21, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Sullivan's box, given to Co. Control and mailed to Defendant.

1991

James R. Irwin
Shafer, Swick,
Bailey & Stack

215.

Mahaney, Roeder & Rothchild
Tamera Ochs Rothchild

VICTORIA G. BOYLE

vs.

CHARLES M. BOYLE

Filed Mar. 4, 1991
@ 2:09 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
2 counts paid	45.00
State paid	10.00
state paid	20.00
Pro.Co. pd 8/3/93	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATION AND DISTRIBUTION, COUNSEL FEES, EXPERT FEES, ALIMONY ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE

1 copy (atty)

Apr 15, 1991 Appearance of Mahany, Roeder and Rothchild by Tamera Ochs Rothchild, Esq. for Defendant filed

July 13, 1992 Affidavit of Service filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record, and as such say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated March 4, 1991. The Defendant acknowledged service on March 5, 1991 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return reciept. James R. Irwin

July 15, 1992 Request For Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, this 15 day of July, 1992 upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Request for Hearing a hearing is scheduled with the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, on the 2nd day of Sept., 1992 at 9:00 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.
for the court,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

July 20, 1992 Copies of order put in box for atty's Irwin & Rothschild

Aug. 25, 1992, Certificate of Service of Defendant's Answers to Interrogatories to dft. filed.

Sept. 2, 1992, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Sept. 3, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Sept. 3, 1992, this matter is before the Court on the Plainitff's Request for Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees and Expert Fees.

The matter proceeded informally, with both parties represented by counsel.

It appears that the plaintiff wife has worked as a dietician or insimilar positions in that industry ofr several years and ultimately was working as such in 1991 at Fairview Manor, earning approximately $7.69 per hour. She left that employment on Nov. 15, 1991, due to the fact that she wished to go into business for herself with apartner and also due to the fact that apparently her employment was requiring her to do some things that were unethical. She did not seek Unemployment Compensation when she left that employment and it appears that her current business continues to exist but is not grossing nor netting much income to her.

The Court believes that an income should be imputed to her of about $900.00 to $1,000.00 per month based on what she would be able to earn.

The defendant is employed at Cy-Temp in Titusville and as is pretty much common knowledge, is in a tenuous situation with respect to the possibility of continuing employment. HOWEVER, it appears that his employment will continue for the next few months and it further appears that after discussing the matter with the parties, everything should be in order to m ove this divorce action forward quite promptly. It is the Court's understanding that pension information with regard to the defendant is now available and will be forwarded to Plaintiff's counsel forthwith so that plaintiff's expert may review the same.

The plaintiff requests alimony pendente lite for the next wo or three months that it should take this matter taken care of and additionally requests attorney fees and expert fees.

It appears that the defendant has numerous expenses, including some of the marital expense that are still being paid but it also appears that he does have some income available to help the plaintiff so that this matter may move along.

ACCORDINGLY, the defendant shall pay on or before the 15th of each month of September, October and November, 1992 the sum of $200.00 to the plaintiff for alimony pendente lite.

Additionally, he shall pay in each of the months of September, October and November by the 30th of the month, the sum of $225.00 toward counsel fees and expert fees, which shall be paid directly to the attorney for the plaintiff, to be allocated to counsel fees and expert fees as the plaintiff and her counsel see fit.

Apparently this matter will be praeciped for the appointment of a Master, and in doing so, the plaintiff shall not be required to make an up-front payment by agreement of both parties.

This Order is without prejudice to the Master or the Court to make an appropriate determination and allocation with respect to all of these items based on the total facts presented at the time of a Master's hearing or at any time upon agreement of the parties.

This Order is based on the Court's determination that the defendant's net income is $1,770.00 per month approximately.

It is the Court's understanding that both parties agree that they will forthwith file an Affidavit of Consent to a 3301(c) divorce so that this matter may be praeciped for the appointment of a Master.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Sept. 9, 1992 copy to J. Irwin & T. Rothschild

Sept. 8, 1992 Certificate of Service of Defendant's Supplemental Answers to Interrogatories to Plf. filed.

Nov. 9, 1992 Affidavit of Consent by Charles M.Boyle, defendant, filed.

Aug. 3, 1993 Upon Praecipe of James R. Irwin, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

August 6, 1993 AND NOW, this 6 day of August, 1993, it is ordered and decreed that VICTORIA BOYLE, Plaintiff, and CHARLES M. BOYLE, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

It is further ordered that the parties' Separation and Property Settlement Agreement dated April 15, 1993, is incorporated herein and made a part hereof and shall be fully enforceable under the provisions of the Divorce Code.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

1991

Allen and Hall
Paul E Allen

216.

DOUGLAS KEITH MILLER

vs.

GRETCHEN LYNN KOHNE MILLER

Filed Mar. 4, 1991
@ 4:04 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 7/2/91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 21, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. I, the undersigned Defendant in the above captioned action, hereby accept service of the Complaint in Divorce.
Date Mar. 19, 1991 Gretchen Lynn Kohne Miller, Def.

June 28, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 28, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 28, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To tRansmit Record, this case moved to court.

July 10, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, July 9, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that DOUGLAS KEITH MILLER, plaintiff, and GRETCHEN LYNN KOHNE MILLER, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

by the court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1991

Gary L. Bilotta
Jack H. Kudzma

217.

Watts and Pepicelli
Alan Pepicelli
for dfts. Barko Hydraulics, Inc., Barko HYdraulics, Div. of Pettibone Corporation & Pettibone Corp.

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore and Walker
R. Charles Thomas
for dft. Northshore Mfg.

RAY E. RHOADES AND SANDRA S. RHOADES, HIS WIFE

vs.

LAKESHORE INDUSTRIAL, INC., A CORPORATION; NORTHSHORE MANUFACTURING COMPANY, a/k/a NORTHSHORE MANUFACTURING, INC. A CORPORATION; BARKO HYDRAULICS INC., A CORPORATION; BARKO HYDRAULICS, DIVISION OF PETTIBONE CORPORATION; PETTIBONE CORP., A CORPORATION WILLIAM L. REESE t/d/b/a SYLVAN EQUIPMENT CO.; EDWIN M. LOHR t/d/b/a SYLVAN EQUIPMENT CO; AND SYLVAN EQUIPMENT CO.

Filed Mar. 5, 1991
@ 10:43 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriff's costs	63.80
Pro Co pd	5.00
Pro.Co.pd 9/8/94	5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 1, 1991, Complaint Reinstated.

April 29, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED William L. Reese, personally, at RD # 2, Spartansburg, PA on March 28, 1991 at 11:20 PM.

SERVED Edwin M. Lohr, personally, at RD # 4, Rt. 8 Box 62A, Centerville, PA on March 28, 1991 at 11:33 AM.

SERVED Sylvan Equipment Co., at RD # 4, Rt 8, Box 62A, Centerville, PA by serving Edwin M. Lohr, person in Charge on March 28, 1991 at 11:34 AM.

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 8, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Gerald O. Weeks a competent adult who is not a part of this a ction served the dft. Lakeshore Ind. Inc. by handing a copy of the Writ of Summosn to John Anderson an officer of Crop. making known to him the contents thereof at present office and plant location of Northshore Mfg. Two harbors, St. Louis County, Minn. on the 3 day of June 1991 at 1 PM. Gerald Weeks, precess Server.

Aug. 8, 1991 Affidavit of SErvice of Complaint filed. Before me a notary public in and for the Com. of Pa. County of Crawford personally appeared Ja ck H. Kudzma, Esq. who being duly sworn aCCORDING TO LAW, DEPOSES AND SAYS THAT HE SERVED A TRUE and correct copy of the Complaint in the above case by cert. mail, return receipt upon the defendants:

1. Barko Hydraulics, Inc., c/o C. T. Corp. Systems, Inc.
2. Pettibone Corp., C. T. Corp. Systems, Inc.
3. Pettibone Corp., Corp. Trust Co.
4. Barko Hydraulics, Inc.
5. Barko Hydraulics, Inc.
6. Northshore Manufacturing Co., a/k/a Northshore Mfg. INc.

(see paper filed, for addresses)

The receipt and return receipt for certified mail are attached hereto, made a part hereof, and marked "Exhibit A" Jack H. Kudzma, Esq.

Nov. 22, 1991 Preliminary Objections filed on behalf of the defendants named above a "Barko Hydraulics, Inc.", Barko Hydraulics, Divosion of Pettibone Corporation", and Pettibone Corporation" filed.

2-15-94 Lohr Brief

Jan. 28, 1992, Preliminary Objections of defendant Northshore Manufacturing Company a/k/a Northshore Manufacturing Inc. filed. 1 copy (atty)

Feb. 17, 1994 Petition For A Rule To Show Cause Why A Non Pros Should Not Be Garanted and Rule To Show Cause, filed. AND NOW, this 16 day of February, 1994, a rule is issued upon the Plaintiffs to shwo cause shy Non Pros should not be entered.

It is further Ordered that this Rule is returnable on the s28th day of March, 1994, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse at 1:00 o'clock p.m.

The parties may file Briefs or Memorandum in support of their position in accordance with the Local Rules.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Feb. 22, 1994 copy to J. Kudzma, A. Pepicelli, R. C. Thomas

Mar. 23, 1994 Stipulation for Discontinuance against the Defendants Barko Hydraulics, Inc., Barko Hydraulics, Division of Pettibone Corporation, and Pettibone Corporation ONLY filed.

Mar. 28, 1994 Motion of Reorganized Petition Corporation to Discontinuance as to Less Than All Defendants Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. Rule 229 and Order, filed. AND NOW, this 28 day of March, 1994, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, it is hereby ordered that this action is discontinued as to the Defendants, Barko Hydraulics, Inc., a Corporation; Barko Hydraulics, Division of Pettibone Corporation; and Pettibone Corp., a Corporation, only.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Apr. 4, 1994 copy to A. Pepicelli, R.C. Thomas, J. kudzma

Mar 28, 1994 Certificate of Service of Mtion of Reorganized Pettibone Corporation to Discontinue as to Less Than All Defendants Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. Rule 229, filed.

July 25, 1994 Memorandum and Order, filed. AND NOW, July 22, 1994, upon consideration of the petition for non pros. filed by Defendants Edwin M. Lohr t/d/b/a Sylvan Equipment Co. and Sylvan Equipment Co. in the action at 1991-146, it is ordered and decreed that Defendants' petiation is denie. Upon consideration of the petition for non pros. filed by Defendnats Edwin M. Lohr t/d/b/a Sylvan Equpment Co. and Sylvan Equipment Co. in the action at 1991-217, it is ordered and decreed that said rule to show cause be returned for hearing on September 27, 1994, at 9:15 A. M. in Courtroom No. 2, before the undersigned for the purpose of eveloping the record consistent with the attached Memorandum.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

July 27,1994 copeis dist. to Kudzma, Bratigam, Irwin & Millen
July 29, 1994 Faxed A. Pepicelli & Thomas

Sep.8, 1994 Upon Praecipe of Gary L.Bilotta, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiffs, this suit marked discontinued of record.

218.

ANNETTE RITENOUR

vs.

JAMES TODD SHIFFER

Filed Mar. 5, 1991
@ 10:43 a.m.

Tax & entry	AM 3/15/91	$50.50 ✓
state	AM 3/15/91	5.00
Bro & AM	3/15/91	5.00 ✓
Sheriff's Costs		21.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 5th day of March, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions:

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for March 18, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
William E. Pfadt, S.J.

Mar. 8, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED James Todd Shiffer personally on March 5, 1991 at 1:58 Pm at 523 Arch Street, Apt. A, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answes,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 14, 1991 Upon praecipe of Annette M. Ritenour please mark above captioned case discontinued of record.
Annette M. Ritenour.

Copy To County Control

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck,
& Leemhuis Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

219.

MARINE BANK

vs.

MKM RESOURCES, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND t/d/b/a CONTINENTAL ALUMINUM AND BRONZE FOUNDRY; AND HIRA L. KAUL AND HANSI KAUL

Filed Mar. 5, 1991
@ 1:25 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	46.56
Pro Co Pd. 5/8/91	6.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

3 copies (sheriff)

April 12, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Hanski Kaul and Hira L. Kaul each separately by Certified Mail on March 8, 1990 at their last known address, 6405 Ely Vista Drive, Parma, OH 44129. Signed return receipts attached.

SERVED MKM Resources, Inc., Individually and t/d/b/a Continental Aluminum and Bronze Foundry by Certified Mail on March 20, 1991, c/o Hira L. Kaul, 6405 Ely Vista Drive, Parma, OH 44129. Signed return receipt attached.

So answers,

Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 3, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record, with prejudice.

Pepicelli Youngs and
Youngs PC
Christopher J. Youngs

220.

JAMES A. RYND

vs.

CAROL LEE MUSSER

Filed Mar. 5, 1991
@ 3:39 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50 ✓
state paid 5.00
William Pineo, mediator. $202.00
Pro Co Pd 5/28/91 9.00 ✓

5/28/91 Rec'd mediator costs of $101.00 from pltff.

7-1-91 pd. Co. Comm. $101.00 Ck # 6295

Aug 18, 1992 Rec'd mediation costs 101.00 from defendant

9-14-92 C.C. Comm Ck# 7046 # Mediation 101.00

ORDER APPOINTING MEDIATOR AND PROVIDING TEMPORARY CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER APPOINTING MEDIATOR AND PROVIDING TEMPORARY CUSTODY: You Carol Lee Musser, have been sued in court to obtain custody of the child, Jamie Sue Rynd.

YOu are ordered to appear in person for a custody mediation conference at such time and place as may be set by WILLIAM PINEO Esq. Child Custody mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

The party having physical custody of the child on the date of the custody mediation conference shall see that the child isbrought to the conference.

Until further order of this court, legal custody of Jamie Sue Rynd shall be shared between plaintiff and defendant. Until further order of this court, primary physical custody of Jamie Sue Rynd shall be with the plaintiff, James A Rynd; beginning with the weekend of Mar. 8, 1991, physical custody shall be with plaintiff. The following weekend, the defendant shall have physical custody of the child from Fri. immediately after school until Sat. evening at 6:00 p.m. when Defendant shall return the child to the Plaintiffs home. The following weekend, defendant shall have physical custody of the child beginning Fri. Mar. 22, 1991 immediately after school and continuing thru Mon. Mar. 25, 1991, at which time the defendant shall deliver the child to sc hool. The parties shall alternate physical custody inthe above manner until further order. Physical custody shall be with mother at such other times as the child may, in her discretion, choose.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. ser. tothe Pub. Comm. c/o Prothonotary, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335 Tele: 814 724-4636.

March 6, 1991

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller J.

Mar. 6, 1991, Affidavit of Service filed. Christopher j. Youngs, hereby certified that he is the atty. for plff. James A. Rynd, in the within action and that a copy of the Petition was hand delivered to Carol Lee Musser at her place of employment, Water St. Urgen Care, 1009 Water St. Crawford County, Meadville, Pa. 16335, on Mar. 5, 1991, The statements made herein are made subject to 18 Pa. CSA 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Christopher J. Youngs.

May 3, 1991 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 2 day of May, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the subject minor child.

3a. The father shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor child. The mother shall ahve the right of visitation and temporary physical custody for two consecutive weekends, beginning with the weekend of May 11, from Friday after school until Monday morning when school is in session. Following the mother's two consecutive weekends, Jamie shall remain with her father for the follwoing two consecutive weekends and them visit with her mother fo rthe following two consecutive weekends. The pattern shall them continue.

b. The subject minor child shallhave the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Christmas holiday visitation periods. The first will begin 9:00 a.m. Christmas Eve and end 10:00 p.m. Christmas Eve and the second will begin at 10:00 p.m. Christmas Eve and end at 9:00 p.m. Christmas Day. For Christmas 1991, the mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody during the first period, and the father, the second andthe parties shall thereafter alternate the periods. In addition, the mother shallh ave the right of visitation and temporary physical custody during the remaining portion of the subject child's Christmas vacation from school on those days when the mother is not having to work. The mother shall notify the father by Christmas Even of the days she wishes to select.

b. Thanksgiving holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Thanksgiving visitation periods, the first beginning at 10:00 a.m. Thanksgiving Day and ending 10:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day and the second beginning 10:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day and ending at 10:00 p.m. of the Friday after Thanksgiving. For Thanksgiving 1991, th emother shall have the first period and the father, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate. The mother shall have visitation each year from the end of the second Thanksgiving visitation period through Sunday at 6:00 p.m.

c. The Easter vacation visitation shall be arranged as follows:

The Easter visitation period shall begin on Holy Saturday at 6:00 p.m. and end on Easter Sunday at 6:00 p.m. The mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody for the Easter period in 1992 and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

d. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to tue custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other pa rty as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the child in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the child at the home of th eother, unless on the weekend vistation, the mother is groing to drop the subject minor child off at school.

Continued on page 220A

James A. Rynd

vs.

Carol Lee Musser

Record continued from page 220

of record

A.D. 1991 - 220

In that case, she shall provide transportation

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. The mediator has noted for the Court that the subject minor child is 14 years old and has expressed a strong desire to participate in the observances of the Christian faith as provided by the United Brethren Church of Cochranton. The Court therefor directs that the parents will respect the conscience of the subject minor child in this matter and will do everything reasonably possible to see that she is able to participate in the observances and celebrations of that denomination.

9. Neither pa rty shall use illegal drugs or be under th influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor child. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither partys hall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the child is being transported or in the bedroom ofthe child.

10. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

11. Neither party shall do or sa anything to blacken the character ofthe other in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party inthe child's estimation.

12. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

13. Either party may request a review of this Order six months from date hereof to make any adjustments.

14. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $202.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford county.

15. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 7, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty's. Pineo, Youngs and Barrett's box and copies mailed plaintiff and defendant.

220
B

1991

1991

221.

Donald Lewis

DOROTHEA RIVELEY

vs.

JONES FLOOR COVERING

Filed Mar. 5, 1991
@ 3:56 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.25
state paid	5.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF
WAYNE E. HANSON, DISTRICT JUSTICE

Mar. 5, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Mar. 7, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

Emil M. Spadafore Jr.
Thomas, Truax , Spadafore, Walker,Vardaro.

222.

MARINE BANK

vs.

WILLIAM F. LIVORIO AND KAREN L. LIVORIO, HIS WIFE

Filed Mar. 6, 1991
@ 2:38 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	110.50

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

8 copies (sheriff)

May 24, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Louis Leon, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 18 day of March, 1991, at 11:30 a.m., he did not serve, filed at No 222 Term, 1991,Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant William Livorio at 121 Gatehouse Dr. Coraopolis, Moved.
So answers, Louis Leon, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. coon, Sheriff
Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Louis Leon, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 18 day of March, 1991, at 11:30 a.m. he did not serve, filed at No 222 Term, 1991, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, upon defendant Karen Livorio at 121 Gatehouse Dr. Coraopolis, Moved.
So answers, Louis Leon, Deputy Sheriff
Eugene L. Coon, Sheriff
Not Found as to William F. Livorio and Karen L. Livorio, his wife, as per Affidvits received from Sheriff of Allegheny County, and hereto attached.
Served Brad Smith personally on March 18, 1991 at 1:10 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
Served David pHillips on March 12, 1991 at 2:40 PM by serving Barbara Johns, adult in charge of residence, 669 Highland Ave., Meadville, Crawford County, PA. (Apt. #2)
Served Greg Ivan personally on March 18, 1991 at 1:00 pm at residence 669 Highland Ave., Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
Served Edward Hall onMarch 12, 1991 at 3:21 PM by serving Thomas Sapio, adult in charge of residence, 669 Highland Ave., Meadville, Crawford County, PA (Apt. #4)
Served Thomas Sapio personally on March 12, 1991 at 3:20 PM at his residence, 669 Highland Ave., Meadville, Crawford County, PA (Apt. #4)
Served John Tighe on Mar ch 12, 1991 at 3:22 PM by serving Thomas Sapio, adult in charge of residence 669 Highland AVe., Meadville, Crawford County, PA. (Apt. #4)
So answers. Diane Severo, deputy Sheriff
robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

offices of
William J. Gregg ESQ

Kathleen R. Nelson

223.

JOHN E. WAID

vs.

NANCY G. WAID

Filed Mar. 6, 1991
@ 3:52 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 6/19/91	9.00
Pro Co Pd 9/9/91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 15, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. KATHLEEN R. NELSON, RDQUIRE, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Notice were sent by registered mail to the Defendant, Nancy G.Waid.

3. The registered mail was sent to Nancy G. Waid at R.D. #8, Pettis Road, Meadville, Pa. 16335. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on Mar. 9, 1991. Said Return Re ceipt card P 269 402 220. is attached hereto, signed by Nancy Waid and made a part hereof.

Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq.

June 14, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

June 14, 1991 AFfidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

June 14, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

June 19, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, June 19, 1991 it is ordered and decreed that John Waid, plaintiff, and Nancy G. Waid, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
James B. Dwyer, S.J.

SEpt. 3, 1991 Notice of Election to REsume prior Surname filed.
TO BE KNOWN AS: NANCY STATES NYILYK.

224.

LYNDA BICKEL

vs.

BRUCE BICKEL

Filed Mar. 6, 1991
@ 4;00 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's costs	30.75

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 6th day of March, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall have no contact with Plaintiff or their children during the pendency of this order.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for Monday, March 11, , at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
William E. Pfadt, S.J.

Mar. 13, 1991 Order Resetting Abuse Hearing filed. And now, March8, 1991, the court having been advised that the defendant is required to be in court in the State of Alabama the week of March11, 1991, hearing heretofore set for March 11, 1991, at 3:30 P.M., is reset for March 20, 1991, at 3:30 P.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
William E. Pfadt, S.J.

Mar. 13, 1991 Copy put in County Control's box. Parties copies mailed by C.A.

Mar. 19, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Bruce Bickel personally on March 18, 1991 at 12:35 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, MeaDVILLE, Pa.

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 22, 1991 Order filed. ORDER : AND NOW, March 20, 1991 at a formal hearing under the protection from abuse act where both parties were represented by counsel, the Court is satisfied that the Plaintiff has satisfied the burden of proof by the fair weight of the evidence that there has been at least one incident of abuse recently in Alabama, and accordingly enters the following order:

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass, or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the petition in any manner whatsoever, nor will he procure or encourage others to do so.
2. Until further order of Court, either in these proceedings or in a custody or visitation proceeding, the Defendant shall not go to the Plaintiff's residence. We make no order with respect to visitation and custody since there are other proceedings to accomplish that and since the parties this date appear to be a far distance apart from resolving the custody and support matters.
3. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe that it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police Department in the jurisdi ction where the Plaintiff resides, and to County Control.
5. This order shall remain in full force and effect until March 19, 1992.
6. The Defendant is reminded that this is an Order of Court and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to 6 months.
7. It appears that neither party has any current income, although they have been employed in the last year, and therefore the cost of this proceeding shall be paid by Crawford County.

The Court enters this order based upon the Plaintiff's testimony that the Defendant kicked her and became physical with her while she was in Alabama. We make no finding at this point that the Defendant engaged in any abuse, as that term is defined by the Protection From Abuse Act, with respect to the children.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar. 22, 1991 copy to County Control and 2 copies each pu in Atty's box

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

225.

Christopher J. Youngs
Joan D Mason

MARK E. SUTLIFF

vs.

TERESA R. SUTLIFF

Filed Mar. 7, 1991
@ 10:47 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$ 50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 3-2-92	9.00

Kathleen Nelson, mediator
Pro Co pd 2-13-96 9.00
Pro Co Pd 9-4-96 9.00
Pro Co pd 10-16-97 5.00
Pro Co pd 12-16-99 13.50

10-10-95 Red from Atty
med. dep 150.00

Pd Co. 2-12-96 144.00
#9772

5-7-96 Red from Atty
med fee 10.00

7/9/96 Red from deft
med fee 61.00

9-4-96 Red from Atty
med fee 1.91

9-19-96 Pd Co #10244 73.91

5-12-97 from deft 100.91

100.91

10-8-99 Red from deft
med fee 300.00

12-16-99 Pd Co 37.15
med fee

12-16-99 Pd deft 149.35
refund

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPERTY DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

April 19, 1991 Stipulation And Consent to Entry of Custody Order filed.

April 19, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 19 day of Apr., 1991, upon presentation of the Stipulation and Consent of the parties, attached hereto, and after consideration of same, it is hereby ORDERED DIRECTED and DECREED that:

1. Legal and physical custody of the parties' two (2) minor children shall be shared between the mother, Teresa Sutliff and the father, Mark Sutliff.

2. The natural father, shall have custody of the aforementioned minor children from Friday at 5:30 p.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m. the first three weekends of each month.

3. During the month of June, Father shall have exclusive custody of the children beginning the first day after the last day of school and ending July 1st. Each August Father shall have exclusive custody from August 1st until the day before the children's school begins.

4. The natural mother, Teresa Sutliff, shall have physical custody of the aforementioned minor children at all other times unless designated in this agreement.

5. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

A. Christmas holiday visitation. The natural father shall have visitation for Christmas 1991 from 7:00 p.m. December 24,th until 12:00 Noon December 25th. The natural mother shall have custody of the children from 12:00 Noon Dec. 25th until 9:00 p.m. that same day. This schedule shall be followed each odd numbered year. Each even numbered year said schedule shall be reversed, with Mother having custody from 7:00 p.m. Dec. 24th until 12:00 Noon on Dec. 25th and Father receiving custody from 12:00 Noon Dec. 25th until 9:00 p.m. that same day.

B. Easter holiday visitation. The natural mother shall have custody from 7:00 p.m. the evening preceeding Easter Sunday until 12:30 p.m. Easter Sunday. The natural father shall have visitation from 12:30 p.m. until 9:00 p.m. of the same day. The parties shall alternate the Easter holiday visitation schedule each year thereafter.

C. Thanksgiving holiday visitation. The natural mother shall have custody of the partis minor children until 2:00 p.m. Thanksgiving Day. The natural father shall have visitation on Thanksgiving Day from 2:00 p.m. until 9:00 p.m. of the same day. It is the intention of the parties that this schedule be maintained throughout the course of this Order.

D. The other holidays to be obsrved are: Memorial Day, Fourth of July, and Labor Day. Visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m., except for Fourth of July, when the holiday visitation shall be extended until 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch fireworks. Each odd numbered year the natural fatehr shall have visitation on Memorial Day and Labor Day beginning in 1991. Mother shall ahve custody for July 4th, 1991.

Each even numbered year the parties shall reverse this schedule with the natural mother having custody on Memorial Day and Labor Day, 1992 and Father having custody on the 4th of July, 1992. The parties shall alternate this visitation schedule every year thereafter.

6. The holiday visitation schedule shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

7. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the children at the home of the other.

8. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

9. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children.

10. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pa.

11. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

12. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if it were to be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which, this order shall govern.

13. Except in emergency situations, each party shall give the other at least Twenty-four (24) hours notification when he or she will not be exercising a scheduled visitation.

By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

April 25, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. James Irwin.

SEE CUSTODY ACTION AD 1991 - 286

Mar. 2, 1992 Separation and Property Settlement Agreement, filed.

Mar. 2, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record, and as such say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated Mar. 7, 1991. The Defendant acknowledged service on Mar. 8, 1991 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Mar. 2, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

Mar. 2, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Mar. 2, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Mar. 6, 1992 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

A N D N O W , this 6th day of March, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that Mark E. Sutliff, Plaintiff, and Teresa R. Sutliff, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

IT is further ordered that the parties' Separation and Property Settlement Agreement dated April 15, 1991, is incorporated herein and made a part hereof and shall be fully enforceable under the provisions of the Divorce Code.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Continued on Pg 581

1991

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph E. Altomare

226.

Quinn, Gent, Buseck
& Leemhuis Inc.
John m. Quinn Jr.
for Mead. Med. Ctr.

Marsh, Spaeder, Baur,
Spaeder & Schaaf
~~Will J. Schaaf~~ withdrawn
for Bedwell
~~Mac Donald, Illig, Jones~~
~~and Britton~~ withdrawn
~~J. Douglas Murphy~~

Law Offices Of J.
Douglas Mrphy
J. Douglas Murphy
Scott H. Bedwell, M.D.

Irvin O.Murphy &
Joel M.Snavely for
Scott H. Bedwell,MD

LYNDA BABCOCK, INDIVIDUALLY,
AND AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE
ESTATE OF FRANKLIN D. BABCOCK
DECEASED

vs.

SCOTT H. BEDWELL AND
MEADVILLE MEDICAL CENTER

Filed Mar. 7, 1991
@ 11:52 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
State paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	31.00
Pro Co Paid 4-18-95	9.00

JURY TRIAL OF 12 DEMANDED

✓ COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

✓ Apr. 3, 1991, Preliminary Objections of Meadville Medical Center filed.

✓ Apr. 3, 1991, Upon praecipe of defendat attorney, (Meadville Medical Center) Preliminary Objections placed on next argument list.

ON MAY ARGUMENT LIST 1991

✓ Apr. 8, 1991, appearance of Marsh, Spaeder Baur Spaeder & Schaaf, attorneys for defendant Bedwell, by Will J. Sc haaf, Esq. filed.

✓ April 16, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Scott H. Bedwell on March 8, 1991 at 2:50 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Laura Pears, Secretary, at place of business, 505 Poplar St., Meadville, PA.
SERVED Meadville Medical Center on March 8, 1991 at 2:29 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Molly Keenan, Administrative Assistant, at Liberty Street, Meadville, PA.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

✓ Apr. 17, 1991 Notice of Deposition of Custodian of Records for: Meadville Medical Center, VNA of Crawford County, Ronald C. Martin, MD. filed.

✓ May 1, 1991 Answer of Scott H. bedwell, M.D., filed

✓ Aug. 28, 1991 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, this 27 day of August, 1991, we overruled Defendant Meadville Medical Center's objections as to Count I and sustain defendant's objections in the form of demerrer as to Count II ofthe Plaintiff's Complaint.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Raichard Thomas, S.J.

Aug. 28, 1991 Copies mailed to Atty. Altomare, Quinn and Schaaf

✓ Oct. 2, 1991 Plaintiff's First Request For Production of Documents to Defendant Scott H. Bedwell and Answers of Scott H. Bedwell, MD To Requests For Production filed.

✓ Oct. 2, 1991 Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories To Defendant Scott H. Bedwell, MD. Answers of Scott H. Bedwell filed.

✓ July 7, 1992 NOTICE PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE L302A(2), filed.
July 8, 1992 Answer of Defendant Meadville Medical Center filed.

✓ July 15, 1992 Verification of Zeline Euler, R.N. to Answer filed.

✓ Aug. 31, 1992 CERTIFICATE OF READINESS AND PRAECIPE TO LIST FOR TRIAL, filed.

ON OCTOBER 1992 TRIAL LIST

✓ Sept. 22, 1992 MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE AND FOR LEAVE TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit, this 16th day of September, 1992, upon consideration of the within Motion,the within case is continued to the February 1993 term of civil court and leave is granted to Will J. Schaaf, Esq., of Marsh, Spaeder, Baur , Spaeder & Schaaf to withdraw as counsel upon the entry of appearance by J. Douglas Murphy, Esq., of MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton.
PER CURIAN:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Sept. 23, 1992 copy to J. Altomare, J. Quinn, W. Schaaf & J. D. Murphy

✓ Oct 15, 1992 Praecipe for Withdrawal of Appearance and Entry of Marsh , Spaeder, Baur, Spaeder & Schaaf of Will J. Schaaf filed.

✓ **Dec. 24, 1992 Motion Of Defendant Meadville Medical Center For Summary Judgment filed.**

✓ **Dec. 24, 1992 Affidavit IN Support of Motion For Summary Judgment filed.**

✓ **Dec. 24, 1992 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attorney the above matter is placed on the next available ARgument List.**

1993 JANUARY ARGUMENT LIST

1993 February Trial List

✓ Dec. 31, 1992 Appearance of Law Offices Of J. Douglas Murphy by J. Douglas Murphy for Scott H. Bedwell, M.D. filed.

✓ Jan. 7, 1993 Motion to Withdraw Appearance of MacDonald, Illig, JOnes & Britton filed.

✓ Jan. 22, 1993 Affidavit of Plaintiff'sCounsel filed.

✓ Jan. 22, 1993 Affidavit of Lynda Babcock filed.

✓ Jan. 22, 1993 Motion for Trial Date Certain filed.

✓ Jan. 25, 1993 Order filed. AND NOW, January 25, 1993, on motion of the Plaintiff, a pretrial conference is set in this matter for February 2, 1993 at 10:00 A.M. in the Chambers of the undersigned.
All parties shall comply with Crawford County Local Rule L212A with regard to such conference.
BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Jan. 25, 1993 Copies distributed by Court.

Continued on Page 226A

Lynda Babcock, individually, and as administrator of the Estate of Franklin D. Babcock deceased
vs.
Scott H. Bedwell and Meadville Medical Center

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 226
of Record
A. D. 1991 - 226

****

~~Hab~~ JAN. 29, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW Jan. 28, 1993, upon due consideration of the motion of Defendant, Meadville Medical Center, for summary judgment, and after hearing oral argument with respect to the same, said motion is denied.

This matter is scheduled for a pretrial conference on Feb. 2, 1993 at 10:00 a.m. before the undersigned.

At that time, the Court should be prepared to indicate to the parties when jury selection will occur sometime during the week of Feb. 8, 1993 with trial to commence at 9:00 a.m. on Feb. 16, 1993 in Courtroom No. 2 before the undersigned.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Jan. 29, 1993 copies sent by Vickie(Judge's Sec.)

Feb. 4, 1993 Pretrial Order filed. (See paper filed)

Feb. 4, 1993 Copies sent by Vickie (Judge's Sec.)

Feb. 5, 1993 Motion in Limine and/or Partial Summary Judgment filed.

Feb. 9, 1993 Proposed Plaintiff Voir Dire filed.

Feb. 10, 1993 Proposed Planitiff Voir Dire (Amended) filed.

Feb. 10, 1993 Proposed Voir Dire Of Defendant Scott H. Bedwell, M.D. filed.

Feb. 12, 1993 Stipulation of Facts for Use at Trial filed.

Feb. 17, 1993 Memonrandum and Order filed. AND NOW, February 12, 1993, the Defendant Bedwell's motion for a partial summary judgment is denied and the Plaintiff may proceed in a manner consistent with the Memorandum that precedes this Order this day.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

FEb. 17,1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Altomare, Murphy & Quinn, Jr.

Feb.17,1993 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, February 12, 1993, it is herebyordered that Plaintiff's nursing expert Carpenito may testify inthe parameters set forth in this Memorandum.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.VArdaro, J.

FEb. 17, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Altomare, Murphy & Quinn, Jr.

Feb. 26, 1993 VERDICT: AND NOW, Feb. 10, 1993 at 4:50 P.M. jury selected and sworn Feb. 16, 1993 at 9:39 A.M.as follows: 1. Susan Dimerling; 2. Mabel Jean Porter; 3. Wendy Wells; 4. Allen Wallis; 5. Gene Clark; 6. Debra Cornell; 7. Karen Peterson; 8. Lucille Komar; 9. David Dygert; 10. Nancy Mumford; 11. Richard Reaugh; 12. Edward Graber; twelve good and lawful persons who upon their solemn oaths which they have taken and least 10 of them say (Court directs verdict: AND NOW, we, the jury, impaneled in the above action do hereby answer the following questions and find accordingly:
Count I - Negligence. Question 1: Do you find that Franklin D. Babcock died of an air embolism? Yes _____ No X
If you answer Question 1 "No", proceed to Question 4 and enter a verdict in favor of the Defendant and also to Question 8 and enter a verdict for the Defendant. After doing so, you should return to the courtroom.
Question 4: If your answers to Questions 1, 2 and 3 were "Yes", then you must find for the Plaintiffs on the negligence count.
If your answer to any one or more of those three questions was "No", then you must find for the Defendant on the negligence count.
As to the negligence count,we find in favor of the Plaintiffs _____ Defendant X
Question 8: If your answers to Questions 5, 6 and 7 were "Yes", you must find for the Plaintiffs on the informed consent count.
If your anwers to any one or more of Questons 5, 6 and 7 were "No", then you must find for the Defendant on the informed consent count.
On the informed consent count, we find for the Plaintiffs _____ Defendant X
Susan F. Dimerling, Jury Foreperson

Date verdict reached 2/26/93 Time: 12:45 P.M.

Mar. 1, 1993 Order Filed. AND NOW, February 24, 1993, the motions for nonsuit orally made by Defendants are denied.
BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

Mar. 1, 1993 Copies distributed.to Attys. Altomare, Murphy and Quinn, Jr.

March 1, 1993 Order filed. AND NOW, February 25, 1993, both Defendants move for a directed verdict with respect to all counts and the Defendant Bedwell moves in the alternative for a partial directed verdict.
Defendant Meadville Medical Center's Motion is granted and a verdict is directed for that Defendant.
With respect to Defendant Bedwell, a verdict is directed to the extent that the only counts that shall go to the jury will be informed consent and whether or not the Defendant Bedwell was negligent in causing an air embolism resulting in the death of Franklin Babcock when Dr. Bedwell removed the central venous catheter from the body of Franklin Babcock.
BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

Mar. 1, 1993 Copies distributed.to Attys. Altomare, Murphy & Quinn, Jr.

Mar. 1, 1993 Deposition of Jay L. Jenkins, M.D. filed.

Mar. 1, 1993 Motion for Leave to Amend Answer to Conform to the Evidence, filed.

Mar. 1, 1993 Motion in Limine Re: "Arrow Cautions" filed.

Mar. 1, 1993 Plaintiffs' Points for Charge filed.

Mar. 1, 1993 Plaintiffs' Supplemental Points for Charge filed.

Mar. 1, 1993 Motion for Binding Instructions filed.

Mar. 1, 1993 Motion for Binding Instructions and Proposed Points for Charge on Behalf of Scott H.Bedwell, M.D. filed.

Mar. 1, 1993 Defendant Meadville Medical Center's Proposed Points for charge filed.

Mar. 3, 1993 Exhibits filed.

Lynda Babcock al

vs.

Scott H. Bedwell al

Record continued from page 226a

of record

A.D. 1991 - 226

****

Mar. 8, 1993 Plaintiff's Motion for Post Trial Relief, Request for Transcriptio and for Leave to Specify Additional Grounds filed.

March 9, 1993 Request For Transcripts filed.

March 23, 1993, ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 19 day of March 1993, upon Motion of Plaintiff for Post Trial Relief and for Leave to supplement said Motion and other interim relief, it is ordered that:

1. Plaintiff shall within fifteen days of the date hereof order all or such portion of the proceedings and testimony transcribed as it deems necessary in compliance with local rule L227.3A and 3B. Plaintiff shall advise defendant in writing of the particular portions ofthe record the transcription of which plaintiff has requested.

2. Plaintiff is hereby granted leave to amend, supplement and/or amplify the grounds set forth in its Motion for Post Trial Relief at any time on or before the thirtieth day following the date on which all trans cripts requested by plaintiff in accordance with the foregoing are delivered to Plaintiff's counsel by the office of the Court reporter.

3. Each of the defendants are likewise granted leave to amend, supplement or amplify any ofthe grounds for post trial relief contaned in any motion for post trial relief which either such defendaNT SHALL timely file within the time frame prescribed by Pa. R.C.P. 227.1 unti on or beforetthe thirtieth day following receipt by defendants' counsel of such portion ofthe transcripts of proceedings as shall be requested by Defendants from the office ofthe Court Reporter within twenty (20) days of the date hereof. Defendants shall notify plaintiff in writing of any portion of the proceedings the transc ription of which was not requested by plaintiff which defendants or either of them have requested be transcribed.

4. The brief of the plaintiff shall be filed on or befodre twenty (20) days following the last date on which plaintiff is entitled under this order to supplement its motion, and the brief for defendants shall be filed on or before the tenth (10th) day thereafter.

5. Argument Date shall be set by court Administrator nolonger than 5 days after defendants briefs are due.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, Judge

Mar. 23, 1993, copies sent to counsel by Judge Vardaro Secretary.

Mar. 29, 1993 Order filed. AND NOW, March 29, 1993, upon agreement of the parties as set forth in a letter from the attorney for Meadville Medical Center dated March 22, 1993 and attached hereto, our order of March 19, 1993 with regard to the Plaintiff's Motion for post-trial relief and for leave to supplement said mtoionis amended to that Paragraph 2 shall state any grounds for post-trial relief not specified in Plaintiff's post-trial motion are waived unless leave of Court is granted upon cause show to specify additional grounds.
In all other respects, the order of March 19, 1993 is aggirmed.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Mar. 29, 1993 Copies given to Altomare, Murphy and Quinn

Apr. 19, 1993 Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Praecipe to Proceed in Forma Pauperis filed.

Apr. 22, 1993 Motion to Strike Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Praecipe to Proceed in Forma Pauperis and Request for Evidentiary Hearing filed.

Apr. 30, 1993 Praecipe to Proceed in Forma Pauperis, filed.

Apr. 30, 1993 Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Praecipe to Proceed in Forma Pauperis filed.

Apr. 30, 1993 Motion to Strike Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Praecipe to Proceed in Forma Pauperis and Request for Evidentiary Hearing filed.

Apr. 30, 1993 Order filed. AND NOW this 29 day of April, 1993 Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Praecipe to Proceed in Forma Pauperis is set down for legal argument on next available argument list.

ON MAY, 1993 ARGUMENT LIST

July 20, 1993 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, July 20, 1993, the Plaintiff's motion to strike the Defendants response to Plaintiff's praecipe to proceed in forma pauperis is granted and said mtoion is so stricken.
The Plaintiff having complied with Pa. R.C.P. 240(d)(1) is permitted to proceed in forma pauperis which, pursuant to Griffin vs. Tedesco, 356 Pa. Super. 556, 486 A.2d 419 (1984), shall include an entitlement to the transcription of the trial record in this matter.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

July 21, 1993 Copies dist. to Quinn, Altomare & Murphy

Jul. 27, 1994 Transcript of Proceedings Taken at Time of Jury Trial Volume I, filed.

Jul. 27, 1994 Transcript of Proceedings Taken at Time of Jury Trial Volume II, filed.

Jul. 27, 1994 Transcript of Proceedings taken at time of Jury Trial, Volume III,filed.

July 27, 1994 Transcript of Proceedings taken at time of Jury Trial Volume IV, filed.

Aug. 8, 1994 Order filed. AND NOW, August 8, 1994, it appearing that the transcript in this matter has now been filed in its entirety as of July 27, 1994 and, having reviewed out order of March 19, 1993 with respect to Plaintiff's motion for post-trial relief and for leave to supplement said motion, the Prothonotary is directed to schedule oral argument with regard to Plaintiff's and/or defendant's motion for post-trial relief on the September 1994 argument list before the undersigned.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Aug. 8, 1994 Copies dist by Court.

Sept. 7, 1994 Motion For Continuance filed.

Sept. 7, 1994 Order filed. AND NOW, this 6 day of September, 1994 argument on Plaintiff's post-trial motions is rescheduled to the October argument list.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Sept. 7, 1994 Faxed Altomare, Quinn& Murphy

Continued to Page 226 C

Oct. 18, 1994 Motion to Continue Argument and ORDER filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 14 day of October, 1994,upon consideration of the foregoing Motion,it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that argument on Plaintiff's Motionfor Post Trial Relief is hereby continued until the November,1994 argument list.

ON NOVEMBER 1994 ARGUMENT LIST

10-19-94 Faxed to Attys. Quinn, Murphy & Altomare.

Nov. 28, 1994 Motion To Continue Argument and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 23 day of November, 1994 upon Motion of Plaintiff, argument previously scheduled in the above-captioned matter for November 28, 1994 is hereby continued to December 20, 1994 at 2:30 o'clock P.M.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, Judge

Nov. 28, 1994 Order FAXED Attys. Quinn and Murphy.

February 16, 1995, Memorandum and Order, Order filed. And Now, February 15, 1995, after due consideration of the Plaintiff's motion for post-trial relief as well as consideration of all briefs and oral argument of the parties, the Plaintiff's motion for post-trial relief is denied.

BY THE COURT
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

2-16-95 two copies of order dist. to all counsel.

Mar. 7, 1995 Notice of Appeal, filed.

Mar 13, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 13, 1995, the Plaintiff/Appellant is directed to file of record in this Court and serve on the Trial Judge a concise statement of matters complained of on appeal no later than 14 days after the entry of this order pursuant to Pa. Rule of Appellate Procedure 1925(b).

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Mar. 13, 1995 copies dist by Court.

March 13, 1995 Superior Court Of Pennsylvania Official Docket # 00467PGH95 Notice of Appeal Docketing filed.

Mar. 22, 1995 Statement of Matters on Appeal, filed.

Apr. 10, 1995 Appearance of J.Douglas Murphy Law Offices by Irving O. Murphy, Esquire and Joel M. Snavely,Esquire, Attorneys for Scott H.Bedwell, M.D., only, one of the defendants in the above-referenced case filed.

And Now, April 13, 1995 Judgment is hereby entered on the Verdict in favor of the defendants Scott H. Bedwell and Meadville Medical Center and against the Plaintiff, Lynda Babcock, indivdually and as administrator of the Estate of Franklin D. Babcock, deceased.
B-106,66

Judgment on the Verdict
Barbara H. King
Prothonotary

Mar. 20, 1996 Notice of Filing Petition for Allowance of Appeal from Superior Court Docket No. 0467PGH95 to Supreme Court of Pennsylvania,Western District, filed.

Aug. 5, 1996 Notice of Filing Petition for Allowance of Appeal from Superior Court filed. "AND NOW, this 1st day of August, 1996, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is GRANTED."

Apr. 16, 1997 Certificate of Remand of Record filed.

Apr. 16, 1997 ORDER certified from record of Supreme Court of Pa. filed. ORDER: Appeal dismissed as having bee improvidently granted. Decided Mar. 20, 1997

Apr. 16, 1997, OPINION AND JUDGMENT certified from records of Superior court of Pa. filed. JUDGMENT: on Consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this court that the judgment of the court of common pleas of Crawford COURT be, and the same is hereby affirmed.
DATED: 12/7/95

BY THE COURT, Rowley, PJ Cirillo and Del Sole JJ

226
-D-

1991 TERM

1991

Law office of
William J. Gregg

Kathleen R. Nelson

227.

CAMERON D. WRIGHT
t/a/d/b/a CONNEAUTVILLE
FARMER'S EXCHANGE

vs.

RAYMOND ANTHONY AND HELEN
ANTHONY, HIS WIFE

Filed Mar. 7, 1991
@ 1:33 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	27.00
Pro Co pd 4/23/91	9.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

April 19, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Helen A.Anthony personally on March 21, 1991 at 11:19 AM at her residence, RD #4, Box 823, Meadville, Crawford Co., PA. 16335.

SERVED Raymond Anthony on March 21, 1991 at 11:20 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Helen Anthony, his wife and adult in charge of residence, at RD # 4, Box 823, Meadville, Crawford Co., PA. 16335.

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Apr. 23, 1991, at 11:21 a.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with default notice and service attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer; damages assessed in the sum of Tw enty One Thousand Nine Hundred Sixty Six and 67/100 dollars with int. from 1/1/91 and costs of suit.

A - 143, 232
Judgment entered 4/23/91

JUDGMENT
DEBT --- $21,966.67
Int. from 1/1/91
JAMES F. SIMMONS,
Prothonotary

EX1992-

Remised to
AD1996-286

228.

RUTH KNEUBEHL

vs.

JAMES KNEUBEHL, JR.

Filed Mar. 7, 1991
@ 1:45 p.m.

Tax & entry PA 4/12/91 $80.50✓
state PA 4/12/91 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 16.00
Pro Co PA 4/12/91 259.50✓

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT
3 copies sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 7th day of March, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant To Vacate Premises at 309 Jefferson st. Conneautville, Pa. & have no contact with plaintiff during the pendency of this order.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for March 19 , at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
William E. Pfadt, S.J.

Mar. 8, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED James Kneubehl, Jr. personally on March 8, 1991 at 10:35 AM by handing Protection From Abuse to him at residence, 309 Jefferson Street, Conneautville Crawford County, PA.
So answers,

Sue Austin
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 13, 1991 Praecipe to Discontinue Action filed. Please mark this action discontinued as the undersigned does not want to proceed further with it.

Ruth A. Kneubehl

Mar. 20, 1991 Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, March 13, 1991, at the time set for the hearing on an allegation that the defendant is in contempt of court for violating the Temporary Abuse Order of March 7, 1991 by going to 309 Jefferson Street, Conneautville, Pennsylvania when he was ordered not to, the plaintiff appeared and indicated that she wanted to withdraw this action and the defendant appeared after having been in jail for the better part of five (5) days. The defendant advises that he will go to the HELP Unit for drug and alcohol problems as soon as possible. The plaintiff indicated that the defendant can come and live with her.

ACCORDINGLY, upon the plaintiff filing a written discontinuance of this action, it is DISCONTINUED and the defendant is directed to pay court costs in the amount of $35.00 on or before April 12, 1991.

ACCORDINGLY, the defendant shall be released from the Crawford County Jail as soon as practicable.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar. 20, 1991 copy mailed to plaintiff and defendant, and copies to County Control and Jail.

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck
& Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

229.

MARINE BANK

vs.

GILBERT F. GRUBOR AND
CONSTANCE C. GRUBOR

Filed Mar. 7, 1991
@ 1:58 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriffs Costs	36.20
Pro Co Pd 4/17/91	9.00
Pro.Co Pd 5/13/92	5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Apr. 12, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Gilbert F. Grubor personally on Mar. 14, 1991 at 12:50 p.m. at his residence R. d. #2, Box 1103, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County Pa.
SERVED Constance C. Grubor on Mar. 8, 1991 at 3:30 p.m. by handing copy of Complaint to her husband James May, at their residence 915 State St., Meadville, Pa. Crawford County.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Apr. 17, 1991 at 11:39 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, with copy of default notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer; damages assessed in the sum of Seven thousand Three Hundred Fourteen and 71/100 Dollars plus interest from Mar. 1, 1991 and all costs of suite plus fees of $1,462.94.
Judgment entered Debt -----$8,777.65
Int. from 3/1/91
G - 74, 34
M - 36

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Apr. 19, 1991 Notice of entry of judgment mailed to each defendant.

May 13, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney the above case marked settlement and discontinuance of record against Gilbert F. Grubor, only.

Revived to AD1996-85

230

1991

Hundertmark & Getsinger
Bruce L. Getsinger

230.

William H.Burwell

WANDA THEURET

vs.

JOHN L. THEURET

Filed Mar. 7, 1991
@ 2:09 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	10.00
3 counts paid	45.00 ✓
state paid	20.00
Pro.Co. pd. 10/22/92	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY, ALIMONY, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

(1 copy (atty)

Mar. 22, 1991 Motion For Appointment Of Custody Mediator and Order filed. You, JOHN L. THEURET, Defendant, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of the said minor child, Matthew Theuret. John Fuller, ESQUIRE, is appointed Mediator for the purpose of conducting a conference with respect to the issues raised in the Complaint. The Mediator shall conduct such a conference and shall report to the Court as to whether or not the issues raised in the Complaint are capable of resolution by agreement between the parties. The Mediator shall confer with the parties and made every effect to achieve negotiated resolutions of the issues raised in the Complaint. If no such resolution can be achieved, the Mediator shall so report to the Court. He shall also submit an interim proposed order which shall include a hearing date before the court.

You are further ordered to appear before the Mediator at such time as the Mediator shall establish. The Mediator shall provide you with written notice ofthe date and time set for the conference in this case.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for Custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

A conference will be scheduled by Mediator.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
c/o Prothonotary
Courthouse, Meadville 16335

BY THE COURT:
P. richard Thomas

Date: March 22, 1991
1 copy atty, 1 copy mediator

Dec. 12, 1991 Proof of Service of Complaint in Divorce and Motion for Appointment of a Custody mediator filed. The undersigned bruce L. getsinger, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and states as follows:

1. That he is counsel of record for the plaintiff, Wanda Theuret, in the above matter.

2. That a true anc correct copy of Compalint in Divorce and Motion for Appointment of Custody mediator filed Mar. 22, 1991, were properly served upon the defendant John L. Theuret, by both reg. US mail, first class, postabe prepoaid and by cert. mail, ret. rec. req. restricted delivery, and were received by the defendant on May 7 1991 as established by Dom. return receipt PS Forma 3811, a copy of which is attached hereto as exhibit "Exhibit "A".

Bruce L. Getsinger, ESq.

June 30, 1992 Amended Complaint in Divorce filed.

Oct.22, 1992 Affidavit of Service filed. I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce was served upon John L. Theuret by certified U.S.mail,restricted delivery, return receipt requested,on March 9, 1991, as evidenced by the return receipt attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Bruce L. Getsinger, Esquire

Oct. 22, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Wanda L. Theuret, Plaintiff, filed.
Oct. 22, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of John L. Theuret, Defendant,filed.
Oct. 22, 1992 Upon praecipe of William H.,Burwell, Attorney for Defendant, to transmit record, case moved to court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Nov. 4, 1992 AND NOW, this 28th day of October, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that **WANDA THEURET**, Plaintiff and **JOHN LOUIS THEURET**, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of anyclaims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

That the Plaintiff and the Defendant have entered into an amicable agreement, the purpose and object of which is to compromise, settle and adjust all matters pertaiing to property arising out of their marital status.

Said Agreement is annexed hereto and the same be and is hereby:

(a) Approved by this Honorable Court pursuant to the provisions of the Section 3323 of the Divorce Code, 23 Pa. C.S.A. Section 3323, and the amendments and supplements thereto; and

(b) Incorporated as a part of the Order and Decree of this with full force and effect as though fully and at length set forth herein, but is not merged herein.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, Judge

1991

231.

DEANNA L. SHORT

vs.

DALE D. SHORT

Filed Mar. 7, 1991
@ 2:59 p.m.

Tax & entry	Pd 3/20/91	$50.50 ✓
state	Pd 3/20/91	5.00
Sheriff's costs		16.00
Fee to Pd 3/20/91		9 00 ✓

3/20/91 Recd $16 00
From Plaintiff
3/20/91 Paid $16 00 To
Howard Stewart, Sheriff
Costs Pd 6690

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 7th day of March, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions:

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for March 19, 1991, at 4:00 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
William E. Pdaft, S.J.

March 8, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Dale D. Short on March 7, 1991 at 6:30 PM by handing copy of Protection From Abuse to him at 108 Walnut Street, Cochranton, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers,

Deanna L. Short
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 22, 1991 Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, March 19, 1991, at the time fixed for hearing both parties appeared, the Defendant did not have counsel, he was advised as to the nature of these proceedings, waived his right to counsel and agreed to the entry of the following Order:

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or his minor son Justin.
2. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe that it has been violated.
3. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police department in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
4. This Order shall remain in full force and effect for one year or until March 18, 1992.
5. By agreement, the Plaintiff shall pay the court costs out of joint funds.
6. The Defendant is reminded that this is an Order of Court and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence up to six (6) months.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar. 25, 1991 copies given to County Control, Mailed to Plaintiff, Defendant and Police Department

Watts and Pepicelli
PC
Alan L. Pepicelli

232.

Watts & Pepicelli,PC
Alan L.Pepicelli

KENNETH A. SEAMON AND
KIMBERLY R. SEAMON, HIS WIFE

vs.

TODD HARVEY CRAYS

Filed Mar. 7, 1991
@ 4:02 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	24.00

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Apr. 30, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Todd Harvey Crays on April 2, 1991, at 12:29 PM by handing copy of Summons to Norma Crays, his mother, at their residence, R.D. #8, box 620, Harmonsburg Road, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Feb. 24, 1993 Complaint in Civil Action, filed. 2 copies Atty

Feb. 25, 1993 Notice of Service filed. I hereby certify that a certified copy of the Complaint in Civil Action was served upon the above-captioned Defendant, Todd Harvey Crays, by sending the same via regular U.S. mail to said Defendant at RD 8, Box 620, Meadville, Pa 16335, on Feb. 25, 1993. Alan L. Pepicelli

Feb. 25, 1993 Notice of Service of Requests for Production of Documents and two sets of Interrogatories.

Apr. 30, 1993 Certificate of Service filed. I, hereby certify that an original and two (2) copies of Defendant, Tood Harvey Crays, Interrogatories and Request for Production were served upon the following counsel of record for said parties by personal hand delivery and/or U.S.First Class Mail, postage prepaid this 30 day of April, 1993, to their office address as listed below:
Alan L. Pepicelli, Esquire
916 Diamond Park
Meadville, PA 16335

May 24, 1993 Answer containing New Matter filed.

Jan. 26, 1995 Reply to New Matter of Defendant, filed.

Mar. 19, 1996 Notice of Deposition of Todd Harvey Crays, filed.

Mar. 29, 1996 Notice of Taking Deposition of Kenneth A. Seamon filed.

July 9, 1996, Notice of Intent to complete Discovery filed.

1991

Knox, McLaughlin
Gornall & Sennett PC
David M. Mosier

233.

BERKLEY DWAINE BOSSARD, JR.

vs.

CARLA KAY BOSSARD

Filed Mar. 8, 1991
@ 11:37 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 6/18/91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

June 18, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. The undersigned, Carla Kay Bossard, defendant in the above-captioned matter, hereby acknowledges receipt of a copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed on behalf of Berkley Dwaine Bossard, Jr. and accepts service thereof in accordance with the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
Dated: 3/12/91 Carla Kay Bossard

June 18, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 18, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 18, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

June 24, 1991 **DECREE AND ORDER FILED.**

AND NOW, June 24, 1991, it is ORDERED AND DECREED that Berkley Dwaine Bossard, Jr., plaintiff, and Carla K. Bossard, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony, and said parties shall be at liberty to marry again.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

234

1991

James R. Steadman

234.

DEBRA LYNN ANCE

vs.

ERIC JOHN ANCE

Filed Mar. 8, 1991
@ 11:46 a.m

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Service costs	35.00
Pro.Co. pd 3/2/94	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

2 copies (atty)

May 24, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. Received this writ on the 10 day of May 1991 and served same on the within named defendant at 11:15 a.m. on the 15th day of May 1991 in Collier County, Florida by delivering a true copy of writ. I, Dep. C. W. Tarrington did personally serve Erie John Ance on May 15, 1991 at 1258 Trial Terrace, Naples, Fla.
C. W. Tarrington, S94 (75) (notorized)

Sept. 24, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Oct. 31, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Mar. 2, 1994 Upon Praecipe of James R. Steadman,Esquire,Atty. for Plaintiff to transmit record, Case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

March 9, 1994, AND NOW, this 8 day of March 1994, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED that DEBRA LYNN ANCE, Plaintiff, and ERIC JOHN ANCE, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

1991

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma
& Schug
John R. Brautigam

235

KAREN L. HATLELI,
a/k/a JUNE KAREN HATLELI

VS.

DUANE HATLELI

Filed Mar 8, 1991 @ 2:06 p.m.

Tax & Entry	paid	$50.50
User Fee	Paid	5.00
State paid		10.00
Pro Co pd 7/2/91		9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 18, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, March 18, 1991, comes JOhn B. Brautigam, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, depose and sets forth as follows:

1. That he is the Attorney for Karen L. Hatleli, a/k/a June Karen Hatleli, Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished to him by the Plaintiff, he caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by certified Mail No. P398126705., postmarked March 14, 1991, to the last known address of the defendant, Duane Hatleti, at P.O. Box 731, Cochranton, Pennsylvania 16314.
3. That the Defendant, Duane Hatleli, on March 14, 1991, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
4. Service ofthe Complaint against the Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

John b. Brautigam

June 27, 1991 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 27, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

June 27, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

July 9, 1991 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And Now, July 9, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Karen L. Hatleli, a/k/a June Karen Hatleli, Plaintiff, and Duane Hatleli, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J

236

1991

EDGAR M. SNYDER
& ASSOCIATES, P.A.
Todd Berkey

236

BARBARA VIVIAN and
CHARLES VIVIAN

VS.

DAVID BARBER

Filed Mar 8, 1991
@ 2:12 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	24.00

WRIT OF SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

April 16, 1991 Writ Return filed. SERVED David Barber on March 13, 1991 at 12:50 PM by handing copy of Summons to Art Barber, his father, and person in charge of residence, 526 Cussewago Road, Meadville, Crawford Co., PA. 16335
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

PHILLIPS & GALANTER
Bret J. Southard

237

CONSTANCE POWELL and
PETER POWELL

VS.

MARIO LONGO

Filed Mar 8, 1991
@ 2:33 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
Use fee paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 4/12/91	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	21.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Apr. 8, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned matter is settled and discontinued of record.

Apr. 16, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Mario Longo personally on March 13, 1991 at 1:10 Pm at his residence, 920 Conneaut Lake Road, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

238

1991

pro se

238

Schroeck & Segel, P.C.
Eric Borgia

KENNETH C. FUHRMAN

VS.

FRANK LIPINSKI

Filed March 8, 1991
@ 3:56 P.M.

Tax & Entry paid $50.25
User Fee paid 5.00

NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM DISTRICT JUSTICE
JUDGMENT - ROBERT LEONHART

Mar. 11, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

Mar. 28, 1991, Complaint filed. 1 copy (plff)

Mar. 28, 1991, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Kenneth C. Fuhrman, certify that I personally mailed to Frank Lipinski by certified mail notification of civil action law suit on Mar. 28, 1991. Copy of certified mail receipt attached.
Kenneth C. Fuhrman.

Apr 19, 1991 Preliminary Objections filed.

May 10, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Attorney for Defendant, this case is placed on the Argument List.

ON JUNE ARGUMENT LIST

June 27, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, June 24, 1991, at the time scheduled for argument in this matter, the Plaintiffs continue to be unrepresented by counsel but have agreed with counsel for th Defendant to the following Order:

The Defendant's motion to strike the Plaintiff's Complaint is granted and Plaintiff's Complaint is stricken in its entirety. The Plaintiffs are given leave to file a Complaint that does conform to the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure and law which said Complaint shall be filed within thirty (30) days after the Plaintiffs receive written notice from the Defendant or his attorney notifying the Plaintiffs to file their Complaint.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 1, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Plaintiff and to Atty. Borgia.

1991

William J. Gregg

239

VICKIE E. CHAPIN

VS.

CHRISTOPHER D. CHAPIN

Filed Mar. 8, 1991 @ 4:00 P.M.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
State Paid	100000
Pro Co. Pd 8-13-91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDERCSECTION 201(c)
OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

April 10, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. William J. Gregg, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned aciton.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Notice were sent by registered mail to the Defendant, Christopher D. Chapin, on March 26, 1991.

3. The registered mail was sent to Christopher D. Chapin in care of PO Box 183, Linesville, Pa 16424. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on April 2, 1991. Said REturn REceipt card P 269 402 159 is attached hereto, signed by Christopher D. Chapin and made a part hereof.

William J. Gregg, Esq.

June 13, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

June 13, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

August 13, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Aug. 22, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, Aug. 21, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Vickie E. Chapin, plaintiff, and Christopher D. Chapin, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

240

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

240

MARY LOU MARTSOLF

VS.

TIMOTHY MARTSOLF

Filed Mar 11, 1991
@ 9:44 A.M.
Tax & Entry paid 50.50 ✓
User fee paid 5.00
Paid 4/1/91 9.00 ✓

PETITION FOR RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

2 copies (atty)

TEMPORARY ABUSE ORDER:

AND NOW, this 11th day of March, 1991, upon review of the Petition of Plaintiff, the Court enters the following Temporary Order:

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff.

2. Special Conditions: Defendant may not contact Plaintiff in any manner, except to arrange for child visitation and shall not come to Plaintiff's residence except for exchange of children.

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.

4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.

5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the Defendant by a Sheriff, constable, police officer of competent adult.

6. This Temporary Order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final Court Order.

7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an order of court and violation of this Order may punishable by a fine up to $1,000 and a jail sentence of up to six months.

8. The Court reserves ruling of allocation of fees and costs.

Hearing is hereby set for March 25, 1991, at 3:30 p.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

The Defendant is advised he may retain a lawyer to represent him at said Hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 15, 1991 Verification of Service filed. I, Robert Barickman certify that I am 18 years of age; that I am not a party to this suit, and that I did on the 14 day of March, 1991, personally serve a true and correct copy of the Petition and Temporary Abuse Order, upon the Defendant, Timothy Martsolf, at Barickman's Welding & Radiator Shop.

I make this verification with notice that willful false statements made herein are subject to the penalties of perjury under §4904 of the PA Crimes Code, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Dated : 3-14-91

Robert J. Barickman

Mar. 26, 1991 Consent Order and Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of March, 1991, upon presentation of the Attached Consent Order, the same is hereby adopted as an Order of this Court.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 28, 1991 Copies of order put in Brian Lindsay's box.

241

3/11/91 Reed $61.55 From Defendant

3/11/91 Paid $61.55 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff Ck # 6110

LILLIAN WEIDNER

VS.

JIM WEIDNER

Filed Mar 11, 1991
@ 10:12 A.M.

Pro Co, Pd 3/11/91 50.50
State Pd 3/11/91 5.00
Sheriff's costs $61.55
Pro Co Pd 3/11/91 9.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF
UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies (sheriff)
1 copy (Plaintiff)

TEMPORARY ABUSE ORDER:

AND NOW, this 11 day of March, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court enters the following Temporary Order.

1. The defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.

2. Special Conditions:_____.

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.

4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.

5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the Defendant, constable, police officer or competent adult.

6. This temporary ordershall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.

7. The defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation is this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1000 and a jail sentence of up to six months.

8. The court reserves ruling on allocation of fees and costs.

Hearing is hereby set for March 21, 1991 at 3:30 P.M. in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Mar. 15, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED JIm Weidner personally on March 14, 1991 at 6:10 PM at his place of residence, R.D.#2, Spartansburg, Crawford County, Pa.

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 25, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, March 21, 1991 at the time fixed for hearing in this mater, the Defendant failed to appear although he was personally served with a petition and notice by the Sheriff of Crawford County on March 14, 1991.

The Plaintiff testified and the Court is satisfied that she has carried her burden of proof as required by the Act and accordingly, it is ordered as follows:

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass, or threaten the Pl aintiff in any manner whatsoever, nor will he procure or encourage others to do so.

2. This orde rmay be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe that it has been violated.

3. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police Department in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides, and to County Control.

4. This Order shall remain in full force and effect until March 20, 1992.

5. The Defendant shall pay court costs by that time, the Prothonotary may file an appropriate motion with the Court to hold the Defendant in contempt of Court for failing to comply with this order as to payment of costs.

6. The Defendant is reminded that this is an Order of Court and violation of this order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to 6 months.

this Order shall be served on the Defendant by the Prothonotary mailing a true and correct copy of it to the Defendant at R.D. #2, Box 294, Spartansburg, PA 16434.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 25, 1991 2 copies put in Atty's box copy & invoice mailed to Dft. + County Control

1991

MAYERSON, GERASIMOWICZ &
MUNSING, P.C.
Jonathan Ostroff

242

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore,
Vardaro & Walker
By: Emil M. Spadafore, Jr.

SHARON L. TAYLOR

VS.

STATE FARM INSURANCE COMPANY

Filed Mar 11, 1991
@ 11:46 A.M.

Tax & entry paid $50.50 ✓

User fee paid 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 21.00
Pro.Co. pd 6/28/93 5.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Mar. 18, 1991 Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker by Emil M. Spadafore, Jr., attorney for Defendant filed.

4, 1991,
Apr. Praecipe to Substitute Verification filed.

Apr. 15, 1991 Preliminary Objections filed.

Apr. 16, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED State Farm Insurance Company on March 13, 1991 at 1:05 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Roz Abbott, Secretary, at R.D. #4, Rt. 98, Box 3, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. STeven, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 22, 1991, Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Preliminary Objections filed.

July 31, 1991, MEMORANDUM filed. The defendant filed preliminary objections tothe plaintiff's complaint. The plaintiff counters with a "respone" to preliminary objections and a prposed order.
The Prothonotary has delivered the proposed order to the court for action. Most of this is improper.
The Prothonotary shall file the plaintiff's response of record. The court will not enter the proposed order nor entertain any other order until the proper procedure is followed.
The next step is for one of the parties to palce the preliminary objections on the argument list. Both parties are cautioned that they must follow the local rules of court regarding how the matter is placed on the argument list, the filing of briefs and the timing of the filing of briefs.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
July 31, 1991, copies to J. Ostroff and e. Spadafore Jr.

Aug 16, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, this case is placed on the September Argument List

ON SEPTEMBER 1991 ARGUMENT LIST

Sept. 18, 1991 Plaintiff's Motion To Remove Defendant's Preliminary Objections From September Argument List and Order filed. AND NOW, this 18th dayofSeptember, 1991, it is hereby ORDERED that the Defendant's Preliminary Objections are removed fromthe Court's September ARgument List without prejudice.
BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J
1 copy atty.

Jan. 31, 1992, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, defendants Preliminary Objections placed on next argument list. (relisted)

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR MARCH 1992

Feb. 24, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Defendant's Preliminary Objections relisted for next argument list.

ON APRIL 1992 ARGUMENT LIST

June 2, 1992 MEMORANDUM AND ORDER FILED. AND NOW, June 2, 1992, the defendant's preliminary objections are denied for the reasons set forth in the attached memorandum.
BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.
June 2, 1992 Copy of the Order given to Atty. Spadafore and copy mailed to Atty. Ostroff.

June 22, 1992 Answer of Defendant, filed.

Jun. 28, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, Jonathan Ostroff,Esquire, the above matter settled, discontinued and ended upon payment of your costs only.

1991

Tamera Ochs Rothchild

243

pro se

TODD A LESKO and
JUDY L. LESKO

VS.

ZONING HEARING BOARD OF
THE CITY OF TITUSVILLE

Filed Mar 11, 1991
@ 11:46 A.M.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	33.50
Pro Co Pd 11/15/91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN MANDAMUS

1 copy (sheriff)
1 copy (atty)

Apr 25, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Zoning Hearing Board of the City of Titusville, by handing a copy of the Complaint to Dennis Peden, City Manager at City Hall, 107 N. Franklin Street, Titusville, on March 25, 1991 at 1:00 p.m..
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 30, 1991 Certificate Of Service Of Notice of Intention To File A Praecipe For Entryof Default Judgment filed.

June 17, 1991 Entry of Appearance of Zoning Hearing Board of the City of Titusville is pro se.

June 17, 1991 Preliminary Objection To Plaintiffs' Complaint filed.

July 11, 1991 Answers To Defendant's Preliminary Objection To Plaintiffs' Complaint filed.

Oct. 31, 1991 UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above preliminary objections placed on next argument list.

ON DECEMBER 1991 ARGUMENT LIST

Nov. 15, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned action is hereby marked discontinued of record.

244

1991

DALLAS W. HARTMAN, P.C.
Jean S. Best

244

DONNA L. SERNIK

VS.

RONALD VEADO

Filed Mar 11, 1991
@ 1:06 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	29.35
Pro Co Pd 10/31/91	6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Apr. 17, 1991 Writ Return filed. SERVED Ronald Veado on March 14, 1991 at 12:35 PM by handing copy of Summons to Pam Marburger, clerk, at his place of business, 145 Erie Street, Linesville, Crawford County, PA. 16424
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 24, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned matter is marked settled, ended, and discontinued of record.

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

245

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro and Walker
R. Charles Thomas

KEVIN E. BLEUTGE

VS.

LYNETTE S. BLEUTGE

Filed Mar 11, 1991
@ 2:57 p.m.

Tax & Entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
State paid	10.00
User fees paid	10.00
Pro Co. pd 1/16/92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c) or 201(d), EQUITABLE PROPERTY DETERMINATION AND DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Dec. 9, 1991 Appearance of Thomas, Truax, spadafore, Vardaro and Walker by R. Charles Thomas, attorneys for defendant filed.

Jan. 15, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 16, 1992 Affidavit of Service filed. I, James R. Irwin, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record, and as such say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, PA. on the above named defendant by certified mail, addrssee only, return receipt requested, dated March 11, 1991. The Defendant acknowledged service on March 14, 1991 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

James R. Irwin, Esquire

Jan. 16, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 16, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for Review under Section 3301(c) of Divorce Code this case moved to Court.

Jan. 22, 1992 MEMORANDUM filed. BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Jan. 22, 1992 copies in box for Attys.

Feb. 3, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record, and as such say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated March 11, 1992. The Defendant acknowledged service on March 14, 1992 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Feb 3, 1992 STIPULATION filed.

Feb 3, 1992 Upon praecipe by Plaintiff Attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Feb. 13, 1992 **D E C R E E F I L E D .**

1. Divorce: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed inthe abovecaptioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matirmony to the abovenamed parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and Ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of filing of the complaint and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the entry of a divorce decree, pursuant to Section 3301 (c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.
2. Equitable Property Determination and Distribution: It is ordered and decreed that the martial real estate has previously been sold. The proceeds from said sale shall be divided equally between the parties. In addition thereto, Defendant shall receive the sum of Two Thousand ($2,000.00) Dollars representing a portion of Plaintiff's IRA account. Plaintiff shall be responsible for any penalties with regard to the withdrawal of said monies.
3. No other matters or causes of action provided under the Pennsylvania Divorce Code are reserved by either of the parties.

This Order shall be effective as of this 12 day of February, 1992.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

1991

246.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS OF THE CRAWFORD COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS

Filed Mar 11, 1991
@ 3:00 p.m.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS OF THE CRAWFORD COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 8th day of March, 1991, since two members of the Board of County Commissioners are candidates for nomination and election to a public office in 1991 and since it becomes the duty of the President Judge of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania to appoint electors of the County to serve in their stead, Richard J. Ruhlman of the City of Meadville, Pennsylvania and Frederic Wagner of West Mead Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania are appointed members of the Crawford County Board of Elections to take the place of David Glenn and Ivan G. Rose for 1991 with all of the powers, duties and responsibilities that normally pertain to members of a County Board of Election.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

CULBERTSON, WEISS, SCHETROMA AND SCHUG
Glenn A.W. Thompson

247

GELVIN, JACKSON & STARR, INC.

VS.

MAPLEX WOOD PRODUCTS, INC.

Filed Mar 11, 1991
@ 3:39 P.M.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	23.00

WRIT OF SUMMONS

Issued (sheriff)

Apr. 16, 1991 Writ Return filed. SERVED Maplex Wood Products Inc. on March 12, 1991, at 11:06 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Peter Halmos, Chief Executive Officer, at their office, R.D #2, Dunham Road, Meadville, Crawford County, PA 16335.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Chief Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

248

1991

CULBERTSON, WEISS, SCHETROMA & SCHUG
John B. Brautigam

248

ASSOCIATED CONTRACTORS OF CONNEAUT LAKE, INC.

VS.

MAPLEX WOOD PRODUCTS, INC.

Filed Mar 11, 1991
@ 3:42 mp.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50 ✓
User fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	21.00
Pro Co Pd 4-22-91	9.00 ✓

Jury Trial demanded

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

April 16, 1991 Sheriff's REturn filed. SERVEd Maplex Wood Products, Inc. on March 12, 1991 at 11;08 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Peter Halmos, Chief Executive Officer, at their office, RD # 2, Dunham Road, Meadville, Crawford Co., PA 16335. So answers,

Edgar D. Householder, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, April 22, 1991 @ 4:52 p.m. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney with notice of Judgment Attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant for failure to file an Answer and the same is liquidated in the amount of Six Thousand Two Hundred Ten and 40/100 Dollars together with interest thereon and costs of suit.

M-181 Debt.....$6,210.40 + Int. & Costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Apr 22, 1991 Judgment entered.

249

Berniece Renee Wytcherley

vs.

Cecil Rawson Wytcherley

Filed Mar 12, 1991
@ 9:20 a.m.

Sheriff's Costs 25.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 12 day of Mar., 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: defendant shall remove himself from the marital house immediately & Pl is given exclusive possesison ofthe marital home. Pl granted exclusive custody of the 2 minor children.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for March 15, 1991, at 4:00 P.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P R Thomas, S.J.

Mar. 14, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Served Cecil Wytcherley personally on March 14, 1991 at 3:02 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 20, 1991 Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, March 15, 1991 , at the time fixed for hearing in this matter, the Plaintiff appeared with counsel and the Defendant appeared without counsel but was advised of his right to counsel and advised as to the nature of these proceedings. The Defendant agreed that the Court would enter an abuse Order without admitting the allegations contained in the petition.

Therefore, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.

2. Sole possession of the parties' marital residence is awarded to the Plaintiff and the Defendant shall not live there. The Defendant shall not go to the Plaintiff's residence except under the following two circumstances provided he gives at least twenty-four (24) hours advance notice and provided that he is in the company of another adult whose identity is agreed upon by both parties.

A. He may go to the Plaintiff's residence for the purpose of picking up his tools of trade, his hunting and fishing equipment and other personal belongings that he desires to take with him.

His remaining items shall be stored in anoutside shed by the Plaintiff.

B. He may go to the residence of the Plaintiff within the next month to pick up the items that are left in the shed.

The parties agree that Reverend Dean Heisey is an agreed upon adult who may accompany the Defendant in picking up his belongings as aforesaid.

3. Primary custody of the parties' minor children is awarded to the Plaintiff and the Defendant shall have the right to contact his children by mail at any time and by telephone every Monday, Wednesday and Friday at 7:00 o'clock p.m. local time, provided that he does not engage in any abuse, harassment or threatening behavior during those communications.

4. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.

5. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police department in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.

6. This Order shall remain in full force and effect until March 14, 1992 unless modified or terminated by further Order of Court.

7. The Defendant is reminded this is an Order of Court and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and of a jail sentence up to six (6) months.

8. It appears that neigher party has sufficient financial ability to pay court costs; accordingly, court costs are waived and should be paid by the County.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Mar. 20, 1991 one copy to County Control, 2 copies to attorney, and 1 copy mailed to defendant.

250

1991

Emil M. Spadafore Jr.

250

MARINE BANK, formerly the FARMERS NATIONAL BANK OF CONNEAUTVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA

VS.

JAMES GORDON KEARNS and JACQUELINE ANN KEARNS, his wife

Filed Mar 12, 1991
@ 10:52 a.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 4/15/91	9.00
Sheriff's costs	35.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

And Now, Apr. 15, 1991 at 10:23 a.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Ten Thousand Six Hundred Twenty-nine and 63/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

K-94-94
judgment entered 4/15/91

Debt $10,629.63
Int. from March 16, 1991
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

April 24, 1991 Sheriff's REturn filed. SERVED Jacqueline Ann Kearns personally on March 15, 1991 at 1:42 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA 16335.

SERVED James Gordon Kearns personally on March 21, 1991 at 2:20 PM at his place of employment, US Bronze, Rt 19, Meadville, Crawford Co., PA. 16335.

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

EX1991-41

1991

Mac Donald, Illig, Jones
& Britton
Dale E. Huntley

251

Swensen, Perer, Johnson
& McCandless
John W. McCandless

GLENN DORR, as Executor of the
ESTATE OF APRIL LOUISE DORR,
Deceased

VS.

NATIONWIDE INSURANCE COMPANY

Filed Mar 12, 1991
@ 11:15 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	39.00
Pro Co pd 3-18-94	6.00
Pro Co pd 3-29-94	1.00

ACTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

1 copy(sheriff)

Apr. 3, 1991 Answer and New Matter to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

April 24, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Butler Co. Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 18th day of March, 1991, at 2:50 PM. he served Action For Declaratory Judgment Et Al Nationwide Insurance co., Claim Dept., filed 3/12/91 at No. AD 1991-251, Crawford Co. Pa., upon defendant Nationwide Insurance Co., - Claim Dept. at 437 N. Main St., City of Butler, PA. By making known the contents thereof to Shirley Thomas (Receptionist) and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the Complaint action Declaratory - judgment et al, received from Crawford Co. Sheriff's Office.

Howard D. Kinney, Deputy Sheriff
Dennis C. Rickard, Sheriff
Butler County, PA.

May 8, 1991 Plaintiff's Reply To New Matter filed.

May 10, 1991 Notice Of Deposition of Glenn Dorr filed.

July 2, 1992, STIPULATION OF COUNSEL AND Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, based upon the attached Stipulation of Counsel, it is hereby ordered that this case shall be discontinued and the matter referred to arbitration.
July 1, 1992 Gordon R. Miller, J.
July 9, 1992, copies to Attys. Dale Huntley and John W. McCandless.

Mar. 18, 1994 Uponpraecipe of Plaintiff's attorney the above-captioned case is settled and discontinued of record.

1991

David R. Devine

252

Blystone & Blystone
Curtis Blystone

HAROLD A. LILLY

VS.

DOROTHY H. LILLY

Filed Mar 12, 1991
@ 2:45 P.M.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
User fee paid	10.00
State paid	10.00
1 count Pd 4-23-91	15.00
state Pd 4-23-91	5.00
Pro Co Pd 11/8/91 - David Pickens Master	8.00
Pro Co Pd 8-7-92	8.00
Pro Co Pd 7/8/98	5.00

Received from Defendant $250 on master fees

Received from Plaintiff 5-24-92 $500 on master fees

Paid Master fees 9-1-92 Ck# 7024 $363.50

Paid Plff Refund master Hepdent 9-1-92 Ck# 7025 257.67

Paid Defr Refund master Hepdep 9-1-92 Ck 7026 128.83

COMPLAINT UNDER THE DIVORCE CODE,
EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF MARITAL PROPERTY

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 19, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Before me, the undersigned Notary Public, personally appeared David R. Devine, Esquire of 201 Erie St. Edinboro, Pa., Attorney for Plaintiff, Harold A. LIlly, in the above captioned case. Attorney Devine deposes and states that he mailed a true and certified copy of a Complaint in Divorce in the above captioned case to Defendant, Dorothy H. LIlly, by certified mail, return receipt requested, pursuant to Rule 1920.4 (a) (ii). The original of the return receipt is attached to this Affidavit and made a part hereof.

David R. Devine, atty. for plaintiff

Apr. 8, 1991 Answer and Counterclaims filed.

Apr.8, 1991, Defendant's Budget Information filed.

Apr. 8, 1991, Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Bill of Particulars Issued.

April 10, 1991 Certificate of mailing of Rule filed.

Apr. 12, 1991, Petition for Discovery inthe Form of Production of Documents and for Special Relief filed. (service attached)

Apr. 12, 1991 ORDER filed. And Now, this 12 day of Apr. 1991 upon consideration of defendants petition for discovery inthe Form of Production of Documents and for Special Relief, a rule is hereby granted upon the plaintiff to show cause why the relief requested therein should not be granted.

Returnable for hearing onthe 26 day of April, 1991 at 9:00 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2, Crawford County courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller J.

Apr. 16, 1991 copies of order to D. Devine and C. Blystone, attys.

Apr. 12, 1991, Petition for Award of Alimony Pendente Lite, and Interim counsel Fees, Costs and Expenses, and for Right to reside in Marital Residence filed. (service attached)

Apr. 12, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 12 day of Apr. 1991, upon consideration of defendants Petition for AWARD OF Alimony Pendente Lite, and Interim Counsel Fees, Costs and Expenses, and for Right to Reside in Marital Residence, a rule is hereby granted upon the plaintiff to show cause why the relief requested therein should not be granted.

Returnable for hearing onthe 26 day of Apr. 1991 at 9:00 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Apr. 16, 1991 copies of order to D. Devine and C. Blystone, attys.

Apr. 30, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, April 26, 1991, at the time set for hearing on ancillary issues in this divorce action, the parties and their counsel before the hearing advise the Court the matter has been amicably resolved on a temporary basis along the following lines:

With regard to alimony pendente lite, counsel fees, costs, and expenses, the parties mutually agree that a 1990 income tax refund check in the amount of $990.59 in the possession of the wife will be forthwith endorsed by the husband and become the sole and exclusive property of the wife. From said sum, she will pay her counsel fees to date and may use the balance of said funds for he rown personal use.

Further, with regard to alimony pendente lite, it is mutually agreed that the Defendant will pay alimony pendente lite in the mount of $100.00 per month directly to his wife, with the first payment being this date, April 26, 1991 and onthe 26th of each and every month thereafter until further order of Court. either party may petition the court for review of th alimon award after 2 calender months form this date.

With regard to exclusive use of the marital residence, this matter is deferred and the parties agree they will jointly occupy the marital residence but either pa rty can request a review of the rights to exclusive use of the marital residence on petition after 2 months from this date.

The request for special relief relating to the protection of marital assets is agreeably deferred, but either party may petition for hearing upon reasonable grounds that there is an intentional misuse or destruction of marital assets.

With regard to the discovery request, the parties consent that the husband will comply with the discovery request now on file and will forthwith deliver copies of all relevant documents in his possession and willt ake immediate steps to secure requested information which is not presently in his possession and upon receiving the same will forthwith supply a copy thereof to counsel for the wife.

The parties mutually agree that the alimony pendent lite above specified will be paid from the Defendant's current and future earnings and marital assets willn ot be used to pay the same.

It is mutually agreed that the husband will continue to pay the utilities, taxes, and insurance on the jointly occupied household, but that each party will be responsible for purchasing their own groceries to use within the household.

In regard to the income tax refund check an dth epayment of counsel fees therefrom, the parties mutually agree that the counsel fees to be paid from said refund check currently amount to $670.62.

While there has been no formal petition for discovery filed by the husband against the wife, she mutually agrees that she will furnish to counsel for her husband all of the requisite documentation she has in her possession or is able to get regarding any marital assets or assets in her own name.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richar dThomas, S.J.

May 6, 1991 Copy of order mailed to David Devine and copy put in Curtis Blystone's box.

July 1, 1991 PETITION FOR REVIEW OF ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE AWARD, ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY, INTERIM COUNSEL FEES, EXPENSES, AND SPECIAL RELIEF filed.

July 1, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 1st day of July, 1991, upon consideration of Defendant's Petition for Review of Alimony Pendente Lite Award, Additioinal Discovery, Interim Counsel Fees, Expenses, and Special Relief, a Rule is hereby granted upon the Defendant to show cause why the relief requested therein should not be granted.

Returnable for Hearing on the 31st day of July, 1991 at 1:30 o'clock p.m., prevailing local time, in Court Room No. 1 or 2 Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa..

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 1, 1991 MOTION FOR SANCTIONS filed.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 252A

Harold A. Lilly

vs.

Dorothy H. Lilly

Record continued from page 252

of record

A.D. 1991 - 252

July 1, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 1st day of July, 1991, upon consideration of Defendant's Motion for Sanctions, a Rule is hereby granted upon the Defendant to show cause why the relief requested therein should not be granted.

Returnable for hearing on the 31st day of July, 1991 at 1:30 o'clock p.m., prevailing local time, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 1, 1991 Income and Expense Statement of Harold A. Lilly filed.

Aug. 2, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, July 31, 1991, at the time fixed for hearing on a Motion for Sanctions and a Petition for Alimony Pendente Lite, Additional Discovery, Interim Counsel Fees Exepenses and Special Relief all filed by the defendant, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. In view of the fact that the plaintiff is not present at the hearing, all matters are continued except the discovery issues, and a hearing on alimony pendente lite, interim counsel fees, expenses and special relief shall be held on August 15, 1991, at 10:30 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No. 2 of the crawford County Courthouse.

2. The plaintiff shall file his income and expense statement forthwith and it is noted that he has already served a copy on counsel for the defendant.

3. Counsel for the defendant shall furnish to counsel for the plaintiff a list of counsel fees to date no later than August 9, 1991.

4. The plaintiff shall furnish to counsel for the defendant all of the items referred to in Paragraphs 3 through 8, exceping Paragraph 6, of the defnedant's Peittion for Discovery, dated April 3, 1991, and filed April 12, 1991. Those materials shall be submitted no later than 12:00 o'clock Noon on Wednessay, August 14, 1991. The pl aintiff is further ordered to continue to furnish periodic statements regarding the assets referred to in Paragraphs 3 through 8, excluding Paragraph 6, of said petition. He shall furnish those to counsel for the defendant no later than ten (10) d ays after he receives the same. To the extent that the plaintiff has not ocmplied with this discovery Order by Noon onAugust 14, 1991, he shall be prepared to sign an authorization prepared by counsel for the denfendat or her counel of opportunity to gain the information that would have been contianed in the doucments the plaintiff is required go give, which said authorization shall permit the defendant or her counsel to deal directly withthe banks, davings institutiions, insurance comanies, corporations, pension plans and retirement systems or other entities having information to the matters referred to in Pargraphs 3 through 8, excluding Paragraph 6. Said Authorization shall be signed no later than the itme of the hearing on August 15, 1991, unless counsel for the plaintiff objects to thw wording of the authorization; in which case, the woridng of the authorization shall be determined by the Court at the hearing on August 15, 1991.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug.2, 1991 Copy of orde rmailed to Atty. Devine and copy put in box for Atty. Blystone

August 16, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, August 15, 1991 the Court orders the parties to file their inventories and appraisements as required by the Rules of Civil Procedure no later than November 15, 1991.

By Order Of The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 22, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. David Devine and Curtis Blystone.

Sept. 6, 1991 ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, September 5, 1991, it is ordered and directed as follows:

1. The plaintiff shall pay alimony pendente lite to the defendant in the amount of f$160.00 per month for four (4) months. The first payment shall be made for the month of Sept. 1991 and shall be paid on or before Sept. 20, 1991 and each monthly payment shall be made on the 20th day of each succeeding month for four (4) months. This award is based upon the plaintiff's average net income of $1,179.22 per month and the defendant's average net income of $797.87 per month and takding into account their monthly expenses.

2. The plaintiff shall pay the sum of $350.00 to apply on the defendant's attorneys fees, which said sum shall be paid no later than Oct. 5, 1991 and which said payment may be made directly to Curtis E. Blystone, attorney for the defendant.

This order does not limit or affect the ability of a Master or the Court to make further awards for alimony pendente lite, counsel fees and expenses in this case as the law and facts may permit.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Sept. 11, 1991 copy in box for Atty. C.Blystone. Mailed copy to Atty. D. Devine.

Exhibit 1 and B filed with papers.

Nov. 13, 1991 Petition To Have Plaintiff Adjudicated In Contempt Of Court For Failing To Make Payment Of Court Ordered Alimony Pendente Lite, and For Counsel Fees INcurred As Result Thereof filed.

Nov. 13, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, this 13th day of November, 1991, upon consideration of defendant Dorothy H. Lilly's Petition to have the plaintiff adjudicated in contempt of Court for failing to make payment of Court ordered Alimony Pendente Lite, and for counsel fees incurred as a result thereof, a rule is hereby granted against the plaintiff to show cause why the relief requested by the defendant in the Petition should not be granted. Returnable for hearing on the 9 day of December, 1991 at 11:00 o'clock Court Room No. 2, Crawford County Courthouse Meadville, Pennsylvania.

Gordon R. Miller, J

Nov. 18, 1991 Copies of order to Atty's Blystone and Devine

Nov. 18, 1991 Inventory and Appraisement of Harold A. Lilly filed. 2 copies (atty)

And Now Nov.15, 1991 at 3;58 p.m., upon praecipe of defendant attorney, Judgment is hereby entered - Judgment of Non Pros - against the plaintiff and in favor of the defendant with respect to plaintiffs cause of action in divorce under Sect. 201(a) of Div. Code, as result of the plaintiff's failure to timely file Bill of Particulars, entered on Apr. 8, 1991 and served on Apr. 10, 1991.

Judgment of non Pros entered
11/15/91
L = 81

JUDGMENT OF NON PROS,
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Continued on Pg. 252 B

252 b

1991

Harold A. Lilly

vs.

Dorothy H. Lilly

Record continued from page 252a

of record

A. D. 1991 - 252

****

Nov. 19, 1991 INVENTORY AND APPRAISEMENT OF DOROTHY H. LILLY, DEPENDANT and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Nov. 15, 1991, upon receipt of a letter from Curtis E. Blystone, Esq., Attorney for the Defendant, asking the Court to hold the defendant's inventory and appraisement until the plaintiff files his inventory and appraisement, all by reason of the allegation that the plaintiff did not file his inventory and appraisement as ordered by this Court on Aug. 15, 1991, we do not believe that it is appropriate of necessary for the Court to hold the defendant's inventory and appraisement and therefore we direct that it be filed of record with the Prothonotary. We consider the defendant's inventory and appraisement to have been filed timely even though it may not reach the Prothonotary's office until after Nov. 15, 1991.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Nov. 22, 1991 copy in box for Atty. C. Blystone. Sent copy to David Devine.

Dec. 10, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Dec. 9, 1991 counsel for the defendant appeared at the time of the contempt hearing and orally withdrew the defendant's petition to have the plff. adjudicated in contempt of court, which said petition was filed on Nov. 11, 1991; reserving however, the right to claim additional counsel fees as a result of the plaintif f's alleged failure to apy alimony pendente lite and counsel fees in a timely manner.

Therefore, the contempt petition filed Nov. 11, 1991 is withdrawn. This order does not affect or limit the ability of a master or the court to award counsel fees and expenses by reason of, inter alia, the contempt petition filed Nov. 11, 1991.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. MIller, p.J.

Dec. 12, 1991 copies of order to Attys. D. Devine and C. Blystone.

Dec. 19, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Dec. 23, 1991 Petition for Continuance of Alimony Pendente Lite,filed.

Dec. 23, 1991 Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Dec. 23, 1991, upon consideration of defendant Dorothy H. Lilly's Petition for Continuance of Alimony Pendente Lite, a rule is hereby granted upon plaintiff Harold A. Lilly to sh ow cause why the relief requested in the Petition should not be granted.

Returnable for hearing on the 23rd day of Jan., 1992 at 1:30 PM prevailing local time in Court Room No. 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Jan. 6, 1992 copy in box for C. Blystone. Sent copy to D. Devine

Jan. 6, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 28, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Jan. 23, 1992, after an informal hearing on the Defendant's petition for continued alimony pendente lite, the Court orders and directs the Plaintiff to furnish the following to the Court by 4:30 o'cloock p.m. Monday, Jan. 27, 1992:

1. A true and correct copy of the Plaintiff's pay stub from hi semployer for the last pay received in 1991.
2. A true and correct copy of the Plaintiff's pay stub from his employer for the most recent pay he received in January of 1992.
3. A true and correct copy of the Article of Agreement or any other instrument that evidences any monthly payments made to or for the Estate of Forbes Lilly from which the Plaintiff receives or is entitled to receive a portion.

Copies of these same documents shall be delivered to the attorney for the Defendant at the same time they are delivered to the Court. The Court will then make a decision and hear no further argument or presentation unless counsel for the Defendant believes that the documents submitted by the Plaintiff are not accurate; in which case, he shall file a motion requesting a hearing. That motion must be filed no later than Jan. 30, 1992.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan. 28, 1992 copies of order given to Attys.

Feb. 5, 1992, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Feb. 4, 1992, the plaintiff is ordered and directed to pay alimony pendente lite to the defendant in the amount of $100.00 per month for fourt (4) months. The first payment shall be made for the month of Feb. 1992 and should be paid on or before Feb. 20, 1992. Each succeeding monthly payment shall be made on the 20th day of each succeeding month. This award is based upon the palintiff's average net income of $1,061.74 per month calculated as follows: $100.00 on an Article of Agreement, $46.00 of the tax refund, $803.21 from his employment and $112.53 froma a retirement plan. It is also based onthe defendant's average net income of $830.00 per month and takes into accournt their monthly expenses. The defendant's monthly expenses nearly approximate her earnings. The plaintiff's monthly expenses are approximately $400.00 less than his earnings. The court has used the support guidelines for this purpose.

This order does not limit or affect the ability of a Master or the court to make further awards for alimony pendetne lite as the law and the facts may jistify and permit.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Feb. 11, 1992, copies to D. Devine and C. Blystone, Esqs.

Apr. 28, 1992 Amended Inventory and Appraisement of Defendant, filed.

May 5, 1992 Petition for Civil Contempt and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW , this 1st day of May,1992, upon presentation of defendant Dorothy H. Lilly's Petition for Civil Contempt, a rule is hereby entered upon plaintiff Harold A. Lilly to show cause why he should not be adjudicated in contempt of Court for failing to make alimony pendente lite payments as ordered and directed by this Court's Order of Feb. 4, 1992.

Returnable for hearing on the 4th day of June, at 2:30 p.m., previling local time, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 11, 1992 copy in box for C. Blystone, mailed copy to D. Devine

Continued on Pg. 252c

Harold A. Lilly
vs.
Dorothy H. Lilly

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 252B
of Record
A.D. 1991 - 252

****

May 5, 1992 Motion For Appointment of Master in Dovorce and Order, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, May 4, 1992, David Pickens, Esq. is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Alimony, Alimony Pendente Lete, Equtable Distribution, Counsel Fees, Costs and Expenses.

Depost required: By Plaintiff $500.00; By Defendant $250.00

PER CURIAM,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 4, 1992 copies to all atty's

May 6, 1992 Affidavit of Service filed. The undersigned, Curtis E. Blystone, is attorney for defendant, Dorothy H. Lilly, in the above captioned action. On May 6, 1992, affiant mailed a true and correct copy of the Court's Order appointing a Master entered May 4, 1992 and the Court's Order granting a rule to show cause entered May 1, 1992 to the following: David R. Devine, Esq.
201 Erie Street
Edinboro, PA 16412

I hereby verify that the statements made in this affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements made herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. No. 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Dated: May 6, 1992 Curtis E. Blystone

June 2, 1992 Petition for Continuance of Alimony Pendente Lite filed.
June 2, 1992 Order Filed. AND NOW, June 1, 1992, upon consideration of defendant Dorothy H. Lilly's Petition for Continuance of Alimony Pendente Lite, a rule is hereby granted upon plaintiff Harold A. Lilly to show cause why the relief requested in the Petition should not be granted.

Returnable for hearing on the 15 day of July, 1992 at 9:00 o'clock A.M., prevailing local time in CourtRoom No. 1, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsyvlania.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J.Vardaro

June 5, 1992 Copy of the order to Curtis Blystone, Esq. and David Devine, Esq.

June 9, 1992 ORDER FILED. AND NOW, June 4, 1992 at the time fixed for hearing on the Defendant's petition for civil contempt that was filed May 5, 1992, the Court finds that the Plaintiff did ultimately make the April payment for alimony pendente lite and in fact made it at or about the time the Defendant signed the petition for contempt. Accordingly, the contempt petition is dismissed. The Defendant is not in contempt of Court.

The Defendant's request for attorney's fees is not now decided. Any claim for attorney's fees for this aspect of the proceeding shall be made to the Master along with any other claims for attorney's fees. However, the Master is ordered and directed to not award counsel fees for any work and services counsel for the Defendant performed with respect to the petition for civil contempt that was filed May 5, 1992; from the date the April 20, 1992 alimony pendente lite payment was made, through June 4, 1992. For clarification, the Court believes that any work counsel for the Defendant did on the most recent petition for contempt from the moment payment was made through the hearing of June 4, 1992 was work that may not have had to have been done.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

June 9, 1992 Copy of the order to Curitis Blystone, ESq. and David Devine,ESq.

June 9, 1992 Affidavit of Service filed by Curtis E. Blystone, Attorney for Defendant.
June 11, 1992 Notice of Scheduled Pre-Hearing Conference filed.

July 8, 1992 Plaintiff's Pretrial Statement, filed.

July 15, 1992 NOTICE OF RESCHEDULED MASTER HEARING, filed.

July 24, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 21, 1992, the plaintiff is ordred and directed to pay alimony pendente lite to the defendant in the amount of $100.00 per month for four (4) months or until the parties are divorced, whichever occurs first and unless there is any further modification by the Court after a hearing or after a Master's hearing. The first payment shall be made for the month of July, 1992 and shall be paid on or before July 31, 1992. Each succeeding payment shall be made on the last day of each succeeding month. This award is based on the plaintiff's average net income of approximately $1,000.00 per month from hi employment, a retirement plan, payment on an article of agreement and additions to his employment income by reason of tax refunds. This award is also based on the defendant's average net take home of $830.00 per month including an add back to her income for taxes withheld. The plaintiff's expenses are less than his income. The defendant's expenses are not. The Court has used the support guidelines for this purpose.

This order shall not limit or affect the ability of a Master or the Court to make further awards for alimony pendente lite as the law and the facts may justify and permit.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 24, 1992 copies to Devine & Blystone

Aug 4, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

August 7, 1992 Qualified Domestic Relations Order filed. AND NOW, this 6th day of Aug., 1992, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED as follows:

1. The parties were husbnd and wife, having been married on Aug. 11, 1956, and were divorced on Aug. 6, 1992 by Decree of this Honorable Court.
2. Participant, Harold A. Lilly is currently receiving a Single Life Annuity under the Talon Retirement Income Plan (a/k/a) the Textron "Other" Plan) in the amount of $112.53 monthly. Participant elected early retirement at age 55 and benefit payment commenced on July 1, 1989.
3. The parties have entered into a Postnuptial Agreement dated Aug. 4, 1992, by which paragraph 1(e) stipulates that Dorothy H. Lilly, Alternate Payee, is entitled to sixty percent (60%) of the Single Life Annuity while Participant will retain forty percent (40%) of the Single Life Annuity effective Sept. 1, 1992, or as soon thereafter as this Order is approved by the Plan Administrator.
4. The Participant, Harold A. Lilly's current and last known mailing address is 553 Lord St., Meadville, PA 16335. His social security number is 178-28-7158.
5. The Alternate Payee, Dorothy H. Lilly's current and last known mailing address is 793 Garden St., Meadville, PA 16335. Her social security number if 159-30-3081.
6. Both the Participant and the Alternate Payee shall immediately notify the Plan Administrator of any change of address.
7. The aforesaid retirement plan is marital property subject to equitable distribution by the Court.
8. Both parties acknowledge that this retirement benefit will terminate with the death of Harold A. Lilly. The benefit allocated to the Alternate Payee shall survive her death should sh predecease the Participant.
9. The payment of benefits shall be made to each of the parties directly by the Plan Administrator and in accordance with the plan.. The Alternate Payee shall receive $67.52 per month, as her sixty percent (60%) share and the Participant shall receive $45.01 per month, as his forty percent (40%) share. Each party is responsible for all incidents of taxation including Federal, States, and Municipal taxation resulting from the payment of benefits to such party.

(RECORD CONTINUED ON Page 252 d).....

HAROLD A. LILLY
vs.
DOROTHY H. LILLY

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 252 (c)

AD 1991 - 252

10. The parties shall promptly submit this Order to the Plan Administrators for determination of its status as a Qualified Domestic Relations Order.

11. It is intended that this Order shall qualify as a Qualified Domestic Relations Order under the Retirement Act of 1984.

12. This Court retains jurisdiction to amend this Order as may be necessary to establish or maintain its status as a Qualified Domestic Relations Order under the Retirement Act of 1984.

Gordon R. Miller, Judge

Aug. 7, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. Curtis Blystone and David Devine.

August 7, 1992 Motion For Entry Of Consent Divorce Decree filed.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

August 7, 1992 AND NOW, this 6th day of Aug., 1992, upon presentation and consideration of plaintiff's and defendant's Motion for Entry of a Consent Divorce Decree, it is ORDERED and DECREED that Harold A. Lilly, plaintiff, and Dorothy H. Lilly, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony. The Postnuptial Agreement entered into by and between the plaintiff and the defendant which is attached to the Motion for Entry of a Consent Divorce Decree is incorporated and merged into this Divorce Decree as though fully set forth herein. Pursuant to the Postnuptial Agreement, this Court conjunctively herewith enters a Qualified Domestic Relatoins Order effecting division beteen plaintiff and defendant of plaintiff's retirement benefits under the Talon Retirement Income Plan.

Approved:

David R. Devine, Attorney for Plaintiff

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Curtis E. Blystone, Attorney for Defendant

(Aug. 13, 1992 Copy of Divorce Decree, Motion For Entry of Consent Divorce Decree and Postnuptial Agreement placed in Dom. Rel. mailbox.)

Sept. 1,1992 APPLICATION FOR MASTER'S FEE and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, upon receipt and review of the Master's Petition for Payment of Fees filed Aug. 31, 1992, and without objection of the parties who were notified of the presentation of the petition on that date, it is ordered as follows:

1. A Master's fee of $363.50 is approved and awarded to the Master based upon 4.9 hours of time legitimately devoted to this case and a reporter's fee of $45.00, as represented by the Master in his petition at $65.00 per hour.

2. Each of the parties shall receive a refund. The Plaintiff shall receive $257.67 of his $500.00 deposit and the Defendant shall receive $128.83 of her $250.00 deposit. That division, which the Court independently believes isappropriate, pursuant to the post-nuptual agreement filed of record bvy the parties.

3. The court reporter's fee of $45.00 shall be paid by the Master from the fee approved for him.

4. The Prothonotary is directed to pay the Master $363.50 forthwith and the remaining balance is to be refunded to the parties as described above.

5. The Master is directed to pay the reporter's fee from the funds first paid him by the Prothonotary.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Sept. 4, 1992 copy to D. Pickens, D. Devine & C. Blystone

July 8, 1998 NOTICE OF ELECTION TO RETAKE PRIOR NAME filed.
To Be Known As: DOROTHY H. MERCIER.

1991

SHAFER, SWICK, BAILEY, IRWIN AND STACK
Charles J. Swick

253

CONNEAUT LAKE JOINT MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY

VS.

R. DENNIS, INC.

Filed Mar 12, 1991 @ 2:45 p.m.

Tax & Entry Paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

Apr 19, 1991 Motion for Consolidation filed.

Apr 19, 1991 Order for Motion for Consolidation filed: AND NOW, on the 19 day of April, 1991, upon consideation of the Plaintiff's Motion for Consolidation, the actions filed at AD No. 1991-176 and AD 1881-253 are consolidated at AD 1991-176.

It is further Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed that the amount of fifty-five dollars and 50/100 ($55.50) mistakenly paid to the Prothonotary of Crawford County shall be reimbursed to the Plaintiff.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Apr 25, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Millin and Gregg's boxes.

254

1991

PRATHER, PRATHER
& PINEO
William Pineo

254

Shafer, Swick Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
Louis J. Stack

DAVID E. MOSS AND LISA
M. MOSS, HIS WIFE

VS.

COVERFOAM SERVICES,
INCORPORATED

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	39.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

May 3, 1991, UPon praecipe of defendant attonrey Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint, issued.

May 6, 1991 Service of the foregoing Rule accepted by William Pineo, attorney for plaintiff.

Apr 25, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Coverfoam Service, Incorporated, by deputizing the Sheriff of Florence County, SC, as per his affidavit attached. Served March 25, 1991, at 11:00 a.m.
So answers,Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 17, 1991, Complaint filed. 2 copies (atty)

June 4, 1991, Defendant's Preliminary Objections filed.

June 24, 1991, Plaintiff's Answers to Defendant's Preliminary Objections filed.

1991

William Walker

255

6/27/91 Rec'd $76.00 from Plaintiff

6/27/91 Rec'd $76.00 from Defendants

7-1-91 paid Co. Comm. $152.00 Ck 6295

SHARON PRICE

VS.

ROBERT W. PRICE

Filed Mar 13, 1991
@ 8:45 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
William Pineo, Mediator Mediation Cost	152.00
	9.00

Pro Se Ord 6/27/91

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

2 copies (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

YOU, ROBERT W. PRICE, DEFENDANT, have been sued in court to obtain custody of the child: Erin Elizabeth Price.

You are ordered to appear in person in front of William Pineo, Child Custody Mediator, at such time and such place as he shall designate for a Mediation Conference.

Pendingthe Mediation Conference, temporary custody of the child, Erin Elizabeth Price, shall remain with her mother, Sharon Price, and Sharon Price is hereby ordered to bring the child with her to the Mediation Conference. It is further ordered that Plaintiff/Mother shall grant supervised visitation to the father during the pendency of the custody proceeding.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order or to bring the child, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
c/o Prothonotary, Courthouse
Meadville, Pa 16335
Telephone 814-724-4636
BY THE COURT,
P. R. Thomas, S.J.

Mar. 21, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. I, Robert W. Price, hereby accept service of the Complaint for Custody in the above captioned case. Robert W. Price, Defendant

May 15, 1991 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 14 day of May, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECRED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child.
2. The parties shall share with ea ch other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major event sin the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.
3a. Primary physical custody of the subject minor children is awarded to their mother, the plaintiff. The father shall have the right of visitation and temporary phsical custody each weekend, except for the first full weekend of each month, from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m. During the children's summer vacation from school, the same schedule will continue except that the second weekend of every month will be an extended visitation period beginning Thursday at 6:00 p.m. and ending Monday at 6:00 p.m.

During the children's summer vacation from school, each party shallhave the right to designate a two-week period during which he or she shall have physical custody and shall not be required to give visitation to the other party. Each pa rty shall notify the other party by May 30 each year of the two-week summer time visitation period he or she wishes to select.

b. The subject minor children shallhave the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times an dfor reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas holiday visitation period shall begin on Christmas Eve at 6:00 p.m. and end Christmas Day at 6:00 p.m. The child shall spend the Christmas holiday visitation period with her mother for Christmas 1991 and the parties shall thereafter alternate the Christmas holiday visitation period. In addition, the father shallhave the right to designate a three-day visitation period duringthe child's Christmas vacation from school during which he shallhave the right of visitation and temporary physical custody. He shall notify the mother by December 1 of the Christmas holiday visitation period he wishes to select.

b. Thanksgiving holiday vistiation shall begin on the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving at 6:00 p.m. and end on Thanksgiving Day at 6:00 p.m. The father shallhave the child for visitation and temporary physical custody for Thanksgiving 1991, and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

c. The other holidays to be observed are New Years Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, and easter. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the child may watch the fireworks. The mother shall have visitation for Memorial Day 1991, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

d. The child shall spend Father's Day with her father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. and MOther's with her mother, during the same hours.

e. The provisions of this paragraph shal ltake precedence over the other provisions ofthis order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either par ty to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the par ty seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other par ty as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the pa rty receiving the child in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the child at thehome of the other.

7. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent form his or her child.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody ofthe subject minor child. Neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit other to do so in a closed automobile in which the child is being transported or in the bedroom of the child.

9. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of th eother in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat th eother in a polite and respectful manner when in the

Continued on page 598

256

1991

Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs, PC
~~Christopher J. Youngs~~
withdrawn

256

Buckac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

REBECCA FLEMING

VS.

MARK B. FLEMING

Filed Mar. 13, 1991
@ 3:50 p.m.

Pro Co paid	50.50
Additional Counts Pd 30	30.00
State paid	20.00
User's Fee paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 3/19/91	15.00
1 count paid 4/8/91	15.00
state paid 4/8/91	5.00
John Fuller Mediator	
Pro.Co. Pd 5-29-92	9.00

Received from Defendant 5-29-92 Mediation $75.00

Pd C.C. Comm Ck#6902 6-25-92 $75.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION,
CHILD CUSTODY, CHILD SUPPORT
1 copy atty.

Apr. 4, 1991, Petition for Additional Remedies (counsel fees) filed. 1 copy (atty)

June 20, 1991 Appearance of Bukac and Toomey by Terry Toomey, attorney for Defendant Mark E. Fleming.

Apr. 8, 1992 Application For Spacial Relief filed.

Apr. 8, 1992 Temporary Consent Custody/visitation Order filed. AND NOW, this 8th day of April 1992, upon application by the defendant for spcial relief, an dupon the agreement of the parties to the entry of this Order of Court, the Court hereby ORDERS, and DIRECTS the Plaintif fmother to permit the Defendant father to take th eminor child, Mark brady Fleming, Jr. into his custody without supervision f rom the Plaintiff mother for the following periods of time, on the ocndition that the Defendan tfather not leave the jurisdiction of the Crawford County Court of Common Pleas during any said period of visitation.

The Defendnat father shall be afforded the right to take the minor into his cuare and custody for the following periods of itme: Thursday 4/9/92 from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.; Friday 4/10/92 from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.; Saturday 4/11/92 form 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.; Sunday 4/12/92 form 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday 4/13/92 from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. Tuesday 4/14/92 from 1:00 p.m. until Wednesday 4/15/92 at 1:00 p.m.

Provided further, that the father shall provide any and all transportation required to exercise these visitation rights. The Defendant father shallp ick up the child for the visitation periods at the home of the Plaintiff mother at R.D. #2 Box 252 Venango, Pennsylvania. The Defendan tfather shall return the child to the home of the Plaintiff mother at R.D. #2 Box 252 Venango, Pennsylvania.

The Defendant father shall keep the chld in his own care and custody during said visitation periods an dshallnot place the child in the care and custody of any third periods.

4 copies (atty)

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 9, 1992 Stipulation To The Entry Of Temporary Custody Order filed.

Apr. 20, 1992 MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR and ORDER filed. ORDER: And now, April 20th, 1992, John Fuller is appointed mediator. Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
2 copies (atty)

May 8, 1992 MOTION FOR LEAVE TO WITHDRAW and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this the 8th day of May, 1992, upon presentation and consideration fo the foregoing motion, Christopher J. Youngs, Esq. is granted leave to withdraw as counsel for the Plaintiff in the above action.

P. Richard Thomas, Esq.

May 14, 1992 copy in box for T. toomey, C. Youngs

May 19, 1992 ORDER FILED. AND NOW, May 15, 1992, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Mark B. Fleming, Jr. shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the child such as the education, medical treatrment and religious instruction of the subject child.

II. Physical custody of Mark B. Fleming, Jr. shall be with his mother, Rebecca Fleming, subject to the following consitions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody from 4:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. onTuesday,May 12, 1992 and Thrusday, May 14, 1992.

B. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody from 10:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. onSaturday, May 16, 1992 and onSunday, May 17, 1992.

C. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody from 4:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Monday, May 18, 1992.

D. The father shall provide transportation in connenction with the above visits, both to and from the mother's home.

E. Following the above-listed visits, the father shall be entitled to no further visits until there has beena subsequent agreement with the mother, or a further custody order, which agreement or order is anticipated when the father returns from overseas and is in a position to have visits with his son.

F. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a vavorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

G. Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or well being.

H. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the child and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions threto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference will be divided with the father paying one-half (1/2) of the cost thereof and the remaining one-half (1/2) being paid by Crawford County.

Gordon R. Miller, Judge

May 19, 1992 Copy of the Order to: REbecca Fleming and Terry Toomey, Esq. and John Fuller, Esq. & Mark B. Fleming

May 20, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Rebecca Fleming
vs.
Mark B. Fleming

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 256
of Record
A. D. 1991 - 256

****

June 15, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed

June 15, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 6/15/92 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.
If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.
I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24; 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.
Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: March 12, 1998
Mar 12, 1998 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

256
-b-

1991

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

257

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro and Walker
R. Charles Thomas

David J. Graban

ELIZABETH A. HORNING

VS.

TIMOTHY J. HORNING

FILED MAR. 14, 1991 AT
@ 9:12 A.M.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
3 Additional Counts pd	45.00
User's Fee paid	20.00
State paid	10.00
Gary Fabian, Master	
Pro.Co. pd 7/30/93	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE PROPER TY DETERMINATION and DISTRIBUTION, COUNSEL FEES and EXPERT FEES and ALIMONY
ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE
1 copy atty

Apr 22, 1991 Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro and Walker, by R. Charles Thomas, Esq. for Defendant filed.

Apr 22, 1991, Petition for Counseling Pursuant to the Provisions of Section 202 of the Divorce Code and Order filed: AND NOW, this 22 day of April, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petition, three (3) counsel sessions are ordered within a period of ninety (90) days from April 22, 1991.
Parties agree on Dr. Musone. Defendant responsible for all costs & counseling fees.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.
Apr 26, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Irwin and Thomas's boxes.

July 9, 1992 Affidavit of Service filed. I, JAMES R. IRWIN, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney of record, and as such say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonota of Crawford County, Pennsylvania on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, date March 14, 1992. The Defendant acknowledged service on March 19, 1992 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attache signed return receipt. James R. Irwin

July 23, 1992 Income and Expense of Elizabeth Horning, Plaintiff, filed.

Aug. 11, 1992 Inventory of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 17, 1992 Motion To Appoint Master and Order filed. AND NOW, August 17, 1992, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Equitable Distribution, Alimony, counsel fees & Costs
Deposit required: Plaintiff $200 Defendant $500
Per Curiam,
Gordon R. Miller, J

aug. 18, 1992 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Irwin, Thomas and Kirkpatrick

Sept. 11, 1992 MOTION FOR REMOVAL AS MASTER and ORDER filed. ORDER: And, Now, Sept. 11, 1992, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq., is removed as master in the case of Horning v. Hornign and Gary A. Fabian, Esq. is appointed Master. By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, p.J.
Sept. 14, 1992 copy to J. Irwin R.C. Thomas M.A. Kirkpatrick & G. Fabian.

Sept. 28, 1992 Appearance of David J. Graban for Defendant filed.

Dec. 4, 1992, INcome and Expense Statement of Timothy Horning filed.

Dec. 4, 1992, INventory of Timothy Horning filed.

Mar. 10, 1993 Motion for Recalculation of Master's Fees filed.

Mar. 10, 1993 Order Filed. AND NOW,March 10, 1993, upon consideration of the foregoing motion for recalculation of Master's fees said motion is denied without hearing. The Court has not calculated the Master's fees. That will ultimately be decided by the Master or the Court at a later time. The Court simply required a deposit based upon the information set forth in the motion for the appointment of a Master. It is by no means a final decision on the Master's fees.
BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.
Mar. 10, 1993 Copies given to Irwin, Graban & Fabian.

May 21, 1993 Memorandum of Master's Pre-Hearing Conference, filed.

May 21, 1993 Proof of Notice of Master's Hearing, filed.

July 27, 1993 MOTION TO PROHIBIT INTRODUCTION OF TESTIMONY, filed.

July 27, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 27th day of July, 1993 upon review and consideration of the defendant's Motion to Prohibit Introduction of Testimony and after a conference with counsel it appears that the defendant is objecting to the plaintiff calling Emily Boughton to testify as to marital misconduct as that relates to permanent alimony. Counsel represented that Mrs. Boughton would testify to many of the same matters that the plaintiff would testify to. Her testimony appears to be corroborative.
Accordingly the defendant's Motion to Prohibit Introduction of Testimony is denied. However if the defendant or his counsel are legitimately surprised by the testimony of Mrs. Boughton and need additional time to develop a response thereto or to call other witnesses or to produce other evidence the Master shall grant the defendant a reasonable continuance in order for the defendant to respond to the testimony of Mrs. Boughton. BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
July 28, 1993 copy to J. Irwin, D. Graban, R. C. Thomas, G. Fabian

July 30, 1993 Upon praecipe of James R.Irwin, Esquire, to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

August 4, 1993 AND NOW, this 3rd day of August, 1993, it is Ordered and Decreed that ELIZABETH A. (Betsy) Horning, Plaintiff and TIMOTHY J. HORNING, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:
1. The marital residence located at 711 Grant St., Saegertown, PA., shall become the sole and exclusive property of the husband. Wife shall execute and deliver to the husband a Quit Claim Deed conveying all of her right, title and interest in and to said property. Husband shall refinance the existing mortgage now held with PNC Bank.

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 590 -b-.....

Received from Plaintiff 8-28-93 master dep. $200.00

Received from dept 2-22-93 master dep. 550.00

8-23-93 Pd Master Ck# 7745 $710
8-23-93 Pd Ct Rep. Ck# 7746 -40.00 Bal 0

1991

Kathleen R. Nelson

258

APRIL L. FELL

vs.

WILLIAM M. FELL

Filed Mar. 14, 1991
@ 9:48 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
2 Add'l Counts paid	30.00
User's Fee paid	15.00
State paid	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) of the DIVORCE CODE,
EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, CUSTODY
1 copy Atty.

Mar. 25, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. KATHLEEN R. NELSON, ESQUIRE, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Notice were sent by registered mail to the defendant, William M. Fell, on March 18, 1991.
3. The registered mail was sent to William M. Fell at c/o Lyle Fell, Market Square, Kinsman, Ohio 44428. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. That the Defnednat received said registered mail on March 19, 1991. Said Return Receipt card P 269 402 218 is attached hereto, signed by William M. Fell and made a part hereof.

Kathleen R. Nelson

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 3/25/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: March 12, 1998

Mar. 12, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

Jorden & White
Harry F. White, II
Gifford, Lay & Johnson
Ted G. Miller

259

Voorhies, Rowley,
Wallace, Keck & Karson
George HardyRowley

STACEY A. WAID

VS.

MOTORISTS INSURANCE COMPANIES

Filed Mar. 14, 1991
@ 10:24 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00

COMPLAINT
2 copies Atty.

May 13, 1991 Answer and New Matter filed.

Jan. 21, 1992, Plaintiff's Reply to New Matter filed.

Mar. 16, 1992, Notice of Serving Interrogatories and rEques for Production on Defendant filed.

May 18, 1992 Certificate of Service of Answers to Plaintiff's INterrogatories and requests for Production filed.

May 18, 1992 Motion For Sanctions filed.

July 6, 1992, Notice of Deposition of Stacey A. Waid filed.

Oct. 8, 1993 Notice of Deposition of Betty VanTassel filed.

Sept. 23, 1994, Request for Admissions filed.

Nov. 3, 1994 Motion For Summary Judgment on the Pleadings, filed.

Jan. 10, 1997 Answer to Petition for Judgment of Non Pros, filed.

Jan. 10, 1997 Answer to Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, filed.

Jan. 8, 1997 Praecipe filed. Kindly enter my appearance on behalf of the defendant, Motorists Insurance Companies, as substitute counsel for Geo. Hardy Rowley.
ROWLEY, WALLACE, KECK, KARSON & ST. JOHN
By _ Christopher J. St. John,
Attorney for Defendant

Jan. 8, 1997 Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Judgment of Non Pros filed.
Jan. 8, 1997 Petition for Judgment of Non Pros and Order filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of January, 1997, upon consideration of the foregoing petition, it is hereby ordered that:
(1) A rule is issued upon the respondent to show cause why the petitioner is not entitled to the relief requested;
(2) the respondent shall file an answer to the petition within 20 days of this date;
(3) the petition shall be decided upon Pa.R.C.P.206.7;
(4) depositions, if any, shall be completed within 45 days of this date;
(5) argument shall e held on March 7, 1997, at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse; and
(6) notice of the entry of this order shall be provided to all parties by the petitioner.
BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J
1-9-97 FAXED St. John, White & Miller

Jan. 15, 1997 Answer to Petition for Judgment of Non Pros filed.

Jan. 15, 1997 Praecipe for Argument List by Plaintiff filed.

March 12, 1997 ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 7, 1997, it is ordered as follows:
1. The Defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings filed Nov. 3, 1994, is in fact a motion for summary judgment. Said motion is granted, and summary judgement is entered in favor of the Defendant and against the Plaintiff.
2. In view of the fact that the Court has granted summary judgment in favor of the Defendant, the petition for judgment of non pros becomes moot and is therefore dismissed.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
March 12, 1997 Order Mailed Attys. St. John and Lay.

1991

Dunlavey, Nichols, Ward & Krill
Robert C. Ward

260

Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett PC
Mark E. Mioduszewski

Shafer, Swick Bailey Irwin & Stack
Paul D. Shafer, Jr.
Jeffrey K. Millin

LAURA JOHNSON

vs.

Northwest Savings Bank

vs.

Joan E. Wass
Additional Defendant

Filed Mar. 14, 1991
@ 11:14 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee	5.00
Sheriff's costs	21.00
Pro Co pd 1/9/92	6.00

COMPLAINT
1 copy Sheriff
1 Copy Atty.

Apr. 2, 1991, Appearance of Knox McLaughlin Gornall & sennett P.C. by Mark E. Mioduszewski, attorneys for dft. filed.

Apr. 9, 1991, Notice of Service of Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents filed.

April 19, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Northwest Savings Bank on March 21, 1991 at 11:03 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Richard Bieberitz, person in charge at the time, at their place of business, 880 Park Ave., Meadville, Crawford Co., PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 9, 1991 Stipulation filed.

May 9, 1991 Answer and New Matter filed.

May 9, 1991 Complaint To Join Additional Defendant filed.

May 13, 1991 Appearance of Shafer, swick Bailey, Irwin and Stack, by Paul D. Shafer, Jr. and Jeffrey K. Millin, filed, for Joan E. Wass, add'l dft.

May 14, 1991 Answer To Complaint To Join Additional Defendant filed.

May 23, 1991 Reply To New Matter filed.

Oct. 17, 1991 Notice of Service of Interrogatories and REquests for Production of Documents Directed to Defendant filed.

Dec. 31, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above-captioned action is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Federman & Phelan
Frank Federman

261

FUNDAMENTAL MORTGAGE CORPORATION

VS.

JAMES MCGOWAN & LISA MCGOWAN

Filed Mar. 14, 1991
@ 11:22 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	27.00

CIVIL ACTION -- EJECTMENT
2 copies sheriff

April 24, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Lisa McGowan personally on March 21, 1991 at 2:25 PM at her place of residence, House #1, RD 2, Saegertown, PA..

SERVED James McGowan on March 21, 1991 at 2:26 PM by serving his wife, Lisa McGowan, at residence, Hosue #1, RD # 2, Saegertown, PA.

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Thomas, Truax,
Spadafore Vardaro,
& Walker
R. Charles Thomas

262

Joseph W. Tinko

CHERYL G. ROSS and KEVIN ROSS, her husband

vs.

SARA J. BECK

Filed Mar. 14, 1991
@ 2:49 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	21.00
Pro Co Pd 5/23/91	9.00
Pro Co Pd 10/15/91	9.00
Sat Pd. 1/6/92	#5.00

COMPLAINT
1 copy Sheriff

And Now, Mar. 14, 1991 at 2:49 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff atotrney, this action is indexed against the defendant in the judgment index as a les pendens.
B-156 LIS PENDENS

Apr. 24, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Sara J. Beck, personally on March 25, 1991 at 3:55 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Sept 27, 1991, at 11:10 a.m., AGREEMENT FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT filed. And now, this 27 dayof Sept. 1991 it is hereby agreed between the parties that judgment be entered in this aciton in favor of the plaintiffs Cheryl G. Ross and Kevin Ross, her husband, and agaisnt the defendant Sara j. Beck, for the sum of $5,000.00. Costs to be paid by the defendant.
B - 156
judgment entered on 9/27/91
Debt ---$5,000.00
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary
(signed by atty. for plffs and atty. for dft)

Date: 9/27/91
APPROVED BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.
Sept. 30, 1991 copies to Attys. R. C. Thomas and J. Tinko.

Jan. 6, 1992 This judgment marked satisfied.
(see paper filed)

1991

Thomas, Truax,
spadafore, Vardaro
& Walker
R. Charles Thomas

263

ROBERT T. WOLFORD

VS.

THERESA A. WOLFORD

Filed Mar. 14, 1991
@ 2:58 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
State paid	10.00
Pro Co. Pd. 1-21-92	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) or 201(d)
of the DIVORCE CODE
1 copy atty.

Jan. 16, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE filed. R. CHARLES THOMAS, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on March 15th, 1991, he sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce, properly endorsed with the Notice to Defend, filed in the above action to the Defendant, Theresa A. Wolford, at Defendant's last known address of R.D. 1, Huckelberry Rd., Adamsville, Pa. 16110, by Certified Mail No. P398 121 616, postate prepaid, restricted delivery, return receipt requested and that attached hereto is a duly executed return requested and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as March 20, 1991.

Jan. 16, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT of Defendant filed

Jan.16, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 16, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit records, this case moved to Court.

Jan. 22, 1992 D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .

AND NOW, January 21, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Robert T. Wolford, plaintiff, and Theresa A. Wolford, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

1991

Thomas, Truax,
Spadafore, Vardaro
& Walker
R. Charles Thomas

264

JOHN C. ALLEN

vs.

NORMA JEAN ALLEN

Filed Mar. 14, 1991
@ 2:59 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
State paid	10.00
Pro Co. PD 10/24/91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) or 201(d)
of the DIVORCE CODE

1 copy Atty.

Mar. 14, 1991 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, filed.

Sept. 27, 1991 Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree filed.

Oct. 22, 1991 Affidavit of Service of Complaint In Divorce filed. R. Charles Thomas, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on March 15th, 1991, he sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint In Divorce, Affidavit and 201(d) properly endorsed with the Notice To Defend, filed in the above action to the Defendant, Norma Jean Allen,at Defendant's last known address of Box 177, Foxburg, PA 16036, by Certified Mail No. P398 121 617, postage prepaid, restricted delivery, return receipt requested and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as 3/18 91.

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore,
Vardaro and Walker
R. Charles Thomas, Atty.

Oct. 22, 1991 Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 201 (d) of Divorce Code filed.

Oct. 22, 1991 Affidavit of Service of Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce along with Defendant's Counter-Affidavit filed.

Oct. 22, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for Review Under Section 201(d) of Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Oct. 24, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, October 24, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that John C. allen, plaintiff, and Norma Jean Allen, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

Joan D. Mason

265

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro and Walker
R. Charles Thomas

CARL W. TEARE

vs.

MARY WASHOK

Filed Mar. 14, 1991
@ 4:37 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	24.00

Rec Co Pd 2/21/92 - 6.00

WRIT OF SUMMONS

April 19, 1991 Writ Return filed. SERVED May Washok personally on March 21, 1991 at 10:45 AM at her residence, RD #6, Meadville, Crawford Co., PA 16335
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 15, 1991, Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro and Walker, by R. Charles THomas, attorneys for defendant filed.

Oct. 15, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Nov. 6, 1991 Service of the foregoing Rule is hereby accepted by Joan D. Mason on November 5, 1991

Jan. 22, 1992, Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

Feb. 19, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

266

DEBORAH MOLNAR

vs.

PAUL MOLNAR

Filed Mar. 15, 1991
@ 9:25 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50 ✓
User's Fee	5.00
STATE PA 3/15/91	10 ✓
Pro Co Pd 5/1/91	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE
2 copies Atty.

Apr. 3, 1991 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Apr. 12, 1991 Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Apr. 12, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. And NOw, April 8, 1991, comes Lisa M. Sherretts being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:
1. That she is the legal secretary of Attorney for Deborah Molnar, Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P. 398 123 138; postmarked April 4, 1991, to the last known address of the Defendnat, Paul Molnar, 610 Colorado Place Unit 49, Altomonte Springs, FL 32714.
3. That the Defendant, Paul Molnar, on April 6, 1991, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed tothe Complaint in the above-matter and which is attached hereto.
4. Service of the Complaint against Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.
Lisa M. Sherretts

Apr 29, 1991 Upon praecipe of palintiff attorney, with Defendants Counter-Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, and notice ofintention to request entry of divorce decree attached, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

May 2, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, May 2, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that DEBORAH MOLNAR, PLAINTIFF, AND PAUL MOLNAR, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

1991

MASON & MASON
M. Dan Mason

267

James E. Harvin
for dft. Lawrence M. Mickle Jr.

BRUCE WHEELER

VS.

LAWRENCE M. MICKLE, JR.
JEFF MICKLE, LINESVILLE VOL. FIRE DEPARTMENT, and BOROUGH OF LINESVILLE

Filed Mar. 15, 1991
@ 2:00 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	40.25 ✓
Pro Co Pd 3/25/91	10.00 ✓
State Pd 3/25/91, Pro Co Pd 4/25/91	5.00 / 9.00
Pro Co pd 2/5/92	5.00 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM DISTRICT JUSTICE 30-3-01 JUDGMENT

Mar. 15, 1991 Rule entered upon Plaintiff to File a Complaint filed.

Mar. 25, 1991 Proof of Service Of Notice Of Appeal filed.

And Now, April 11, 1991 at 2:22 p.m., upon praecipe of defendant Lawrence M. Mickle, Jr. defendant, Judgment of Non Pros is hereby entered against the plaintiff for failure to file Complaint within required time.
Judgment entered 4/11/91
W - 21 JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

May 8, 1991 Petition To Strike Off Judgment, Consent and ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 8, 1991, upon presentation of the foregoing Petition and after due consideration thereof, the judgment entered in the above stated matter is striken, and it is Ordered that the Plaintiff must file a Complaint within five days of the date of this Order.
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.
May 9, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. M. Dan Mason and James Harvin.

May 13, 1991 Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

Sept. 30, 1991 Answer To Complaint and New Matter filed.

Oct. 21, 1991, Answer to New Matter filed. 1 copy (atty)

Feb. 5, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, the above case is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

MASON & MASON
M. Dan Mason

268

James E. Harvin
for dft. Lawrence M. MIckle Jr.

JON DEATER

vs.

LAWRENCE M. MICKLE, JR., JEFF MICKLE, LINESVILLE VOL. FIRE DEPARTMENT, and BOROUGH OF LINESVILLE

Filed Mar. 15, 1991
@ 2:00 p.m.

Tax & entry paid 40.25 ✓
Pro Co Pd 3/25/91 10.00 ✓
State Pd 3/25/91 5.00
Pro Co Pd 6/25/91 9.00
Pro Co Pd 2/10/92 5.00 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM DISTRICT JUSTICE OF 30-3-01 JUDGMENT

Mar. 15, 1991 Rule filed upon plaintiff to file a complaint filed.

Mar. 25, 1991 Proof of Service of Notice of Appeal filed.

And Now, Apr. 11, 1991 at 2:22 p.m, upon praecipe of attorney for Lawrence M. Mickle, Jr. dft., JUDGMENT OF NON PROS is hereby entered against the plaintiff for failure to file Complaint within required time.
Judgment entered 4/11/91
D - 95
JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

May 8, 1991 Petition To STrike Off Judgment, Consent and ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 8, 1991, upon presentation of the foregoing Petition and after due consideration thereof, the judgment entered in the above stated matter is striken, and it is Ordered that the Plaintiff must file a Complaint within five days of the date of this Order.

P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

May 9, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. M. Dan Mason and James Harvin.

May 13, 1991 Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

Sept. 30, 1991 Answer To Complaint and New Matter filed.

Oct. 21, 1991 Answer to New Matter filed. 1 copy (atty)

FEb. 5, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, the above captioned case is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

269.

JULIE D. NORR

S.

VS.

KEN S. EDEBURN

Filed Mar. 15, 1991
@52:53 p.m.

Tax & entry 3/13/91 50.50
State paid 5.00
Rob Ad 3/21/91 5.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 15 day of March, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: The defendant shall not go to Plaintiffs residence under any circumstances. Defendant shall not contact the plaintiff in any way
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for March 25, 1991 at 3:30 P.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 21, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff, Julie D. Norr, the above captioned case is hereby settled and discontinued of record.

Copy To County Control

Julie D. Norr, plaintiff

1991

270

LEORA K. AIKENS

vs.

RICHARD THEODORE WILLIAMS

Filed Mar. 15, 1991
@ 2:53 p.m.

Tax & entry	50.50
Sheriff's costs	21.00
	9.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 15 day of March, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff. He shall not go to Plaintiff's house. Sole Possession of RD 2 Box 250A Cochranton is granted to plaintiff.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for March 26, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 21, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Richard T. Williams personally of Mar. 15, 1991 at 3:25 PM at Crawford County Jail, Center St., Meadville, Pa.

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar 28, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, March 26, 1991, the Petitioner, Leora K. Aikens, filed a Petition alleging abuse by Richard Theodore Williams. Both parties appeared in court on today's date, and after discussing the problem, the Court make the following Order:

The defendant is to stay away from the plaintiff's home. He is not to contact her or try to contact her in any way or have anyone else contact her on his behalf, with the exception set forth below.

The plaintiff is also to stay away from the defendant and not cause trouble if th ey do meet accidently. Both parties are to stay away from each other.

The defendant has some clothing and other property at the residence of Leora Aikens, where he has been living. This clothing and other items will be picked up by the plaintiff's sister, Beverly Whitmore, and the defendant will receive them from the sister as he is living with her now. Both parties are warned that they are to stay completely away from each other and not to make any attempt to contact each other, either directly or through any other parties.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
James B. Dwyer
Senior Judge, Specially presiding

Mar 28, 1991 copy of order given to Co. Control. April 1, 1991 Copies mailed to plaintiff and defendant.

1991

Brian Lindsay

271

Gregory A. Keenan

GERO LYNN SHREFFLER

VS.

RON SHREFFLER

Filed Mar 15, 1991 @ 4:20 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User fee	5.00
William Pineo Mediator	52.00
Sheriff's Costs	29.00
Pro Co	9.00
William Pineo Mediator	92.00
Sheriff	33.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR:

You, RON SHREFFLER, Respondent, have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody or visitation of the children; Ronald Dale Shreffler and Amy June Shreffler.

You are ordered to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator: John Fuller, for a mediation conference.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GE LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association
c/o Prothonotary
Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, Pa 16335
814/724-4636

Date: March 6, 1991

Gordon R. Miller, J.

April 23, 1991 Consent Custody Order filed. AND NOW, this 17th day of April, 1991, the Court, in consideration of the following Agreement of the parties to this action, does hereby enter the following as a Consent Order based on the terms of said Agreement:

AGREEMENT

THIS Agreement, made and entered into this 17th day of April, 1991, by and between the parties Geri Lynn Shreffler, (hereinafter called "Mother"), and Ron Shreffler, hereinafter called "Father"), as follows:

WHEREAS, the parties hereto are the parents of the minor children: Ronald Shreffler, Jr., and Amy Shreffler; and

WHEREAS, the Mother has filed a custody action, at the above term and number requesting custody of the aforenamed minor children of the parties, and Father desires to share in said custody and to establish his rights of visitation consistent with the best interest and general welfare of the minor children sho are the subject of said custody action.

WHEREAS, a prior Custody Order has been entered by this Court on the 30th day of April, 1990, concerning legal and physical custody of the parties' child, Ronald D. Shreffler, Jr. at No. aD 1990-388 and it is the parties' desire and intent that the prior Order be superseded by this Consent Order.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and of the mutual promises and covenants and undertakings hereinafter set forth, the parties hereto, each intending to be legally bound hereby, covenant and agree as follows:

1. The parties agree that physical and legal custody of the said minor children shall be shared equally between the parties.

2. Primary physical custody of Ronald Shreffler, Jr. shall be primarily with Father during weekdays, subject to Mother's visitation rights, on every other weekend.

2. Primary physical custody of Amy Shreffler shall be with Mother, subject to every other weekend visitations with Father.

3. Every other weekend visitations of the child, Ronald Shreffler, Jr, with Mother shall begin on Friday at 6:00 p.m. until Sunday at 6:00 P.M.

4. Every other weekend visitations of the child, Amy Shreffler, with Father shall begin on Friday at 6:00 PM until Sunday at 6:00 PM.

5. The parent receiving the child shall provide transportation for visits with that child.

6. The intent of this Order is to accommodate the parties' desire to have both children in their respective households on every other weekend.

7. It is acknowledged by the parties that Mother will be relocating to the State of Ohio and this has prompted the Consent Order.

8. The parties shall share custody on the following holidays and in the following manner:

a. Christmas Day: Mother shall have custody of the minor child from 4:00 PM Christmas Eve until 7:00 AM on Christmas Day.

b. Easter: On the even numbered years, the Father shall have custody of the minor children on Good Friday until 9:00 AM on Easter Sunday, with the Motehr having custody from 9:00 AM Easter Sunday until Monday following Easter at 3:00 PM and alternate years thereafter.

c. Thanksgiving: Father shall have custody of the minor children on Thanksgiving Day and alternate therafter.

d. The parteis shall alternate custody on the following designated holidays: Memorial Day, Fourth of July, and Labor Day, with Father having custody on Memorial Day and Labor Day of even numbered years and Mother having custody on July 4th every even numbered year.

Every odd numbered year the visitation schedule will be reversed with Mother having custody on Memorial Day and Labor Day and Father having custody on the 4th of July. For th epurposes of the holiday visitations for Memorial Day, Fourth of July, and Labor Day, said visitations shall commence at 10:00 AM and terminate at 8:00 PM on said holiday.

9. Except in emergency situations, each party shall give the other at least twenty-four (24) hours notification when he or she will not be exercising a scheduled visitation.

10. EAch party shall teach said child to give the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

11. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. EAch party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

12. This Agreement shall be construed in accordance with the laws of the Commonwealth of PA.

13. This AGreement constitutes the entire understanding of the parties and supersedes any and all prior agreements and negotiations between them regarding custody and visitation of the minor children.

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 593 .....

272

1991

THOMAS, TRUAX, SPADAFORE, VARDARO & WALKER
Anthony J. Vardaro

272

SHAUNA MARIE CRANE

VS.

CHARLES MICHAEL CRANE

Filed Mar 15, 1991 @ 4:25 p.m.
Tax & Entry paid $50.50✓
User feel 5.00
State Paid 10.00
Pro Co pd 7/10/91 9.00✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Mar 15, 1991 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed. 1 copy (atty)

Apr. 2, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Vicki Bradshaw, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says thatshe is the Sec. to Anthony J. vardaro, Esq. atty. for plff in this action and certifies that on Mar. 15, 1991 she set a true and correct copy of the complaint in divorce and plaintiffs affidavit Under 201(d) of the divorce code in this matter to Charles M. crane at 3519 Post St. Erie, Pa. 16508 by cert. mail, postage prepaid, ret. rec. req. del. restricted to addressee only by cert. mail No. P 398 121 408 which is attached hereto as ex. "A". Thereafter on Mar. 18, 1991 it was returned to atty. ret. rec. card bearing the same no. and signed by dft. ret. rec. card being attached hereto as Ex. "B" Vicki Bradshaw.

June 20, 1991 Affidavit of Service of Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code and a Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree filed.

July 10, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

July 17, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, July 17, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Shauna Marie Crane, plaintiff, and Charles Michael Crane, defendant, are divorced fromthe bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Northwestern Legal Services
R. Christopher Brode

273

Brian J. Lindsay

EDITH E. LINZ

VS.

EDWARD LINZ III

Filed Mar 18, 1991 @ 9:39 A.M.

Indigent filing-
Sheriff's Costs 21.00

COMPLAINT FOR PARTIAL CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

YOU, Edward Linz II, defendant, have been sued in court to obtain custody of the children Katelyn R. Linz, born June 16, 1989 and Michael T. Linz, born July 21, 1990.

You are ordered to appear in person at the time and place selected by JOHN FULLER Custody Mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

Service shall be effectuate by the Crawford County Sheriff's Department serving a certified copy of theis complaint and order upon the defendant, in the alternative service shall be by certified mail.

The defendant shall immediately return the above minor children to the plaintiff mother. Subject to defendants right to request immediate hearing on Temporary Custody.

The Crawford County Sheriff's Department shall accompany the plaintiff to obtain the return of said children.

Pending the custody mediation, the physical custody of the above child shall remain with the plaintiff.

Plaintiff is allowed to proceed without payment of Court costs reserving to the Court the right to impose costs.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, TO TO YOUR TELEPHONE AND CALL THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
c/o Prothonotary
Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, Pa 16335
(814) 724-4636

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar 18, 1991 Appearance of Northwester Legal Services by R. Christopher Brode, Esq. for Plaintiff filed.

Mar 18, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, Plaintiff permitted to proceed InForma Pauperis.

Mar. 20, 1991 Appearance of Brian J. Lindsay, attorney for Defendant filed.

March 20, 1990 MOTION TO SET HEARING DATE and ORDER FILED. AND NOW, this 20 day of March, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, a hearing is set to determine the issue of temporary custoy on the 16 day of April, 1991, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA., commencing at 2:00 o'clock P.M.

In the meantime, Defendant father may have two temporary visits per week with the children for a period of 4 yours either at residence of mother or at his own residence. Defendant may not remove children from Crawford County. If parties cannot agree, the visits shall be Monday and Friday from 1PM to 5PM.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

March 20, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Brian Lindsay,
March 22, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. R. Christopher Brode.

Apr. 3, 1991 Temporary Consent Order and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 3rd day of April, 1991 the following Temporary Consent Order ofthe parties to this action is adopted as a Temporary Order of this court.

2 copies (atty)

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Apr. 3, 1991 copies to R. Christopher Brode and B. Lindsay and J. Fuller attys.

Apr. 16, 1991 Motion To Dismiss Request For Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, this 16th day of April, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, the Court hereby dismisses the request for hearing and refers this matter to the Mediator John Fuller.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1 copy atty; 1 copy mediator

Apr. 17, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Edward Linz III personally on March 19, 1991 at 1:05 PM at his residence, RD #7, Arthur Street, Apt. 205-A, Meadville, Crawford County, PA. So answers,

Robert J. Donaldson, Deputy Sheriff
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 10, 1991 Motion For Dismissal and Order filed. AND NOW, this 10 day of May, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion for Dismissal, siad Motion is granted.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

May 13, 1991 Copies of order to Christopher Brode and Brian Lindsay

Reding, Rea & Cooper, P.C.
P. Ronald Cooper,

274

NIKOLAUS, HOHENADEL, CHESTERS & UMBENHAUER
Matthew J. Creme, Jr.
212 N. Queen St.
Lancaster, Pa 17603

ROBERT M. GORMLEY and RANDY S. COVELL, individually and t/a/d/b/a L. G. C. INSURANCE AGENCY, a partnership

vs.

DONEGAL MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY

Filed Mar 18, 1991 @ 11:08 A.M.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50 ✓
User fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	39.50
Pro Co Pd 12/10/91	9.00
Pro Co pd 2-10-99	6.00 ✓

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued

April 12, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Served Donegal Mutual Insurance Company at Route 441 Marietta, PA 17547, PO Box 302; Harry E. Newman, Vice President of Claims served on 4-4-91 at 1:15 p.m.

SO ANSWER,
Jeffrey S. Kirchner, Deputy Sheriff
Theodore S. Sattler, Sheriff
Lancaster County

SERVED Donegal Mutual Insurance Company by deputizing Sheriff of Lancaster County as epr his Affidavit of Service attached. Served: 4-4-91.

So answers,
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr 22, 1991 Appearance of Nikolaus, Hohenadel, Chesters & Umbenhauer by Matthew J. Creme, Jr. Esq. for Defendant filed.

Dec. 10, 1991 Complaint in Civil action filed.

FEb. 24, 1992, Answer of Defendant filed.

Apr. 10, 1992 Response To New Matter filed.

Dec. 16, 1993, Notice of Service of Interrogatories Directed to Defendant filed.

Dec. 16, 1993, Notice of Service of Plaintiff's first Request for Production of Documents filed.

Sept. 13, 1994 Notice of Service of Plaintiff's Second Set of Interrogatories Directed to Defendant, filed.

Sep. 19, 1994 Defendant's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings Pursuant to Pa.R.C.P. 1034, filed.

Sep. 19, 1994 UponPraecipe of Matthew J.Creme, Jr., Esquire, Attorney for Defendant, the above captioned matter is placed on the next available Argument List.

ON OCTOBER, 1994 ARGUMENT LIST

Oct. 27, 1994 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, October 26, 1994, for the reasons set forth in the attached memorandum, the defendants' motion for judgemnt on the pleadings is denied.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 28, 1994 Copies maield Cooper, Renner & Creme

Mar. 2, 1995 Affidavit of Service of Subpoena and Notice of Deposition, filed.

Oct. 30, 1996 Notice of Deposition by Plaintiffs filed.

Oct. 30, 1996 Notice of Deposition by Plaintiffs filed.

Nov 7, 1996 Amended Notice of Deposition of Randy D. Covell, Plaintiff, filed.

Nov 7, 1996 Amended Notice of Deposition of Robert M. Gormley, Plaintiff, filed.

April 23, 1997 Certificate of Readiness filed.

on TRIAL LIST FOR OCTOBER 1997

Sept 29, 1997 Motion For Continuance and Order: AND NOW, to-wit, this 26th day of September 1997 upon Motion of P. Ronald Cooper, Esquire, attorney for Plaintiffs, it is hereby ORDERED and DECREED that the herein captioned matter be removed from the civil trial list scheduled to commence on Oct 13, 1997 and be relisted on the next available civil trial list. Attorneys for all parties are hereby excused from attending the call of the list now scheduled for September 29, 1997.
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Sept 30, 1997 Faxed Cooper & Creme

Jan 20, 1998 Motion For Continuance and Order: AND NOW, to-wit, this 20th dayof January, 1998, upon Motionof P. Ronald Cooper, Esquire, attorney for Plaintiffs, it is hereby ORDERED and DECREED that the herein captioned matter be removed from the civil trial list scheduled to commence on Feb 9, 1998 and be relisted on the next available civil trial list. Attorneys for all parties are hereby excused from attending the call of the list now scheduled for January 26, 1998.
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Jan 22, 1998 sent Cooper and Creme

March 25, 1998 MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE AND ORDER OF COURT FILED. AND NOW, to wit this 24th day of March 1998 upon Motion of Matthew J. Creme, Jr. Esq. Atty for defendants, it is hereby ordered and decreed that the herein captioned matter be removed from the April civil trial list of the Court and be relisted for the next available civil trial list. Attorneys for all parties are hereby excused from attending the call of the list now scheduled for March 30, 1998. No Further continuances whatsoever. This is a 1991 Case! !

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.
3/25/98 FAXED P. RONALD COOPER @ Reding Rea & Cooper Pitts. and mailed deft. atty.

Cont on Page 274-A

274-A

1991

S30410

Robert M. Gormley and Randy D. Covell t/d/b/a L.G.C. Insurance Agency
vs
Donegal Mutual Insurance Co.

continued from page 274

of record
AD 1991 - 274

****

September 2, 1998 Rule to Show Cause and Order filed. And Now, this 1st day of September 1998, upon consideration of the Rule to Show Cause it is hereby Ordered that a Rule is Granted and that Plaintifffs shall respond to the Motion in Limine within 20 days of the entry of this Order. Oral arguemnt is scheduled on the Motion in Limine for Sept 28, 1998 at 9:45 AM in Courtroom 1. As part of the September Argument List (Immediately follows Call of October 1998 Civil Trial List)

Gordon R. Miller, J

9/2/98 Faxed Creme & Cooper

September 2, 1998 Motion in Limine Filed.

Sept 28, 1998 Motion inLimine, filed.

Feb. 4, 1999, Upon praecipe of plff. atty., the above case is hereby marked settled and discontinued and ended.

1991

THOMAS J. DUFFY
Patrick J. Keenan

✓
275

KAPLAN TRUCKING COMPANY

VS.

SAM HAMILTON and
STEPHEN HAMILTON

Filed March 18, 1991 @ 11:33 A.M.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50 ✓
User fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	63.00
Pro Co. Pd 12/4/91	5.00 ✓

Jury Trial Demanded

COMPLAINT - CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Apr. 29, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority personally appeared Beverly A. Balint, Butler County Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 21 day of March, 1991, at 3:00 P.M., she served Complaint in Civil Action, ET AL, filed 3/18/91 at No. AD 1991 275 Term, 19 , Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant Stephen Hamilton at place of residence, R.D. #1, West Park Road, Portersville, Muddycreek Township, Butler County, PA By making known the contents thereof to Mrs. Stephen Hamilton, wife of Stephen and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy ofthe Complaint to Ad 1991-275 received from Crawford County Sheriff.
So answers, Beverly A. Balint, Deputy Sheriff
Dennis C. Rickard, Sheriff
Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Beverly A. balint Butler County Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the21 day of March, 1991, at 2:53 P.M., she served Complaint in Civil Action, ET AL, filed 3/18/91 at No. AD 1991 275 Term, 19 , Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defnedant Sam Hamilton at place of residence, R.D. #1, Box 46, PortersVille, Muddycreek Township, Butler County, PA By making known the contents thereof t Dolores Hamilton, wife of Sam and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the complaint et al AD 1991 275 received from Crawford County Sheriff
So answers, Beverly A. Balint, Deputy Sheriff
Dennis C. Richard, Sheriff
SERVED Stephen Hamilton by deputizing Sheriff of Butler County, PA as per his Affidvit of Service attached. Served: March 21, 1991
SERVED Sam Hamilton by deputizing Sheriff of Butler county, PA as per his Affidavit of service attached. Served: March 21, 1991
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 2, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney the above-entitled case is marked settled, discontinued and ended.

1991

FULLER, KINNUNEN, PETRUSO, GAMBLE & FABIAN
Gary A. Fabian

276

Brian J. Lindsay

SUSAN E. HARTNER

VS.

JOHN W. HARTNER

Filed Mar 18, 1990 @ 2:28 P.M.

Tax & Entry paid	$65.50
User fee paid	10.00
State paid	10.00
Costs Paid 3-22-91	$45.00
User fee paid 3-22-91	15.00

William Pineo, Mediator
Terry Toomey, Master

Pro.Co. pd 1/13/93 9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) of the Divorce Code
EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 26, 1991 Acceptance of Service Putsuant to Pa. R.C.P. 402(b) and 1920.4(e) filed. I, John W. Hartner, Accept servcie of the Complaint in the above captioned matter.
Date: 3/22/91 John W. Hartner, Dft.

Apr 22, 1991 Motion to Amend Complaint in Divorce and Order filed: AND NOW, this 22 day of Apr., 1991, the plaintiff is granted leave to amend her complaint in accordance with the foregoing motion.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Apr 26, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty Fabian's box.

Apr 22, 1991 Amended Complaint under Section 201(c) and 201(a)(6) of the Divorce Code (Equitable Distribution, Alimony Pendente Lite, Permanent Alimony, Counsel Fees, Expenses and Costs, Custody) and Order filed: You, John W. hartner, defendant have been sued in court to obtain custody of the child, Jennifer Dawn Hartner.

You are ordered to appear in person at a mediation conference at a time, place and date to be established by the custody mediator, WILLIAM PINEO is hereby appointed custody mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
Crawford County Courthouse
c/o Prothonotary
Meadville, Pa 16335
(814) 724-4636

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (Mediator)

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

May 17, 1991, Appearance and Acceptance of Service filed. I hereby appear on behalf of the defendant in the above captioned matter and accept service ofthe Amended Complaint in Divorce on behalf of the defendant being authorized to do so.
Date: 4/25/91 Brian J. Lindsay Esq.

June 19, 1991 Consent Custody Order and Order filed: AND NOW, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing, and the parties hereto having executed same, the foregoing Agreement is entered as an Order of this Court.

Date: 6-19-91
2 copies (atty)

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Sept 13, 1991 Motion to Permit Discovery by Submission of Interrogatories and order filed: AND NOW, this 13th day of September, 1991, upon presentation of the foregoing motion, it is hereby ordered and directed that plaintiff may conduct discovery upon the defendant by means of submission of written interrogatories to the defendant inquiring into the following areas:

A. The identity of all accounts of any nature with any financial institution as of March 18, 1991.

B. The name and address of each financial institution where such accounts were and are held.

C. The account number for each such account.

D. The balance in each such account as of March 18, 1991.

E. The amount of any addition or withdrawal from any such account and the reason therefore since March 18, 1991.

AND FURTHER, defendant shall produce for plaintiff copies of all statements rendered with regard to each such account since March 18, 1991.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept 16, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Lindsay and Fabian's boxes.

Oct. 23, 1991 Motion for Hearing Upon Request for Alimony Pendente Lite and Counsel Fees and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 23rd dayof Oct.' 1991, a hearing upon plaintiff's request for alimony pendente lite and counsel fees is hereby scheduled for the 5th day ofDec. 1991, at 1:30 p.m. at the courthouse Meadville, Pa in C. R. No. 1 or 2.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon r. MIller, J.

Oct. 29, 1991 copies to Attys. G. Fabian and B. Lindsay.

Oct. 23, 1991 Motion for Sanctions and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 23rd day of Oct. 1991, hearing and/or argument upon plaintiff's request for sanctions is scheulde for the 5th day of Dec. 1991 at 1:30, at Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. in C. R. No. 1 or 2.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. MIller, J.

Oct. 29, 1991 copies to Attys. g. Fabian and B. Lindsay.

Oct. 23, 1991 Motion for Injunction Pursuant to 23 Pa. C.S.A. § 3505(a) of the Divorce Code and Order filed. AND NOW, this 23rd day of Oct. 1991, plaintiff's request for Injunction pursuant to the divorce Code 23 Pa. C.S.A. is scheduled for a hearing on the 5th dayof Dec. at 1:30 p.m. at Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. in C. R. No. 1 or 2.

Further the defendant, John W. Hartner is hereby temporarily enjoined from transferring, encumbering, dissipating, or otherwise disposing of any assets of the parties until such time as a hearing is held before this court upon the said matter. Except Bank Accounts or funds that are composed solely of funds that defendant received post separation.

BY THE COURT, Gordon r. Miller, J.

Oct. 29, 1991 copies to G. Fabian and B. Lindsay, attys.

Continued on page 276A

Susan E. Hartner

vs.

John W. Hartner

record continued from page 276

of record

A.D. 1991 - 276

****

Dec. 5, 1991 Defendant's Answers To Plaintiff's Interrogatories To Defendant (First Set) filed.

Dec. 5, 1991 INcome and Expense Statement of Plaintiff, Susan E. Hartner filed.

Dec. 10, 1991 Petition to Release Frozen Assets filed.

Dec. 10, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, December 5, 1991, after an informal review of the Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions, it appears that on the face of the defendant's Answer to the plaintiff INterrogatories, there is information provided that is internally inconsistent, even though the defendant maintains that he has fully and completely responded to the discovery.

In order to make certain that the plaintiff receives the information this Court believes she is entitled to receive and to avoid a continuation of a situation where the defendant gives the plaintiff bank information, the Court believes the plaintiff should be permitted to go straight to the bank to find out the information the plaintiff now seeks directly f rom the bank without any translation or handling by the defendant.

ACCORDINGLY, it is ORDERED as follows:

The plaintiff or her attorney are hereby permitted to contact Marine Bank in person or by written request, or both, to obtain the following information with respect to any accounts which John B. Hartner held at Marine Bank in his own name or with any others or in which John W. Hartner had an interest from February 1, 1991, to date:

1. The indentity of all accounts of any nature with Marine Bank.
2. The account number for each account.
3. The balances in each account at any time after February 1, 1991.
4. The amount of any additions or withdrawals from such accounts since February 1, 1991.

In the event that the Plaintiff elects and/or Marine bank so chooses, if Marine Banke gives to the plaintiff photocopies of all such accounts and the activities insuch accounts from Feburary 1, 1991, to date or for any periods of time in between and should Marine bank charge the plaintiff for the cost of that, the plaintiff shall initially pay for the cost, keep track of the cost and an appropriate Order will be entered by the Master or the Court deciding who should ultimately pay that cost. If it turns out that the defendant has not accurately and adequately answered the plaintiff's Interrogatories, first set, the Court or the Master shall impose upon the defendant the Marine bank charges for furnished ocpies tothe plaintff.

Neither the plaintif fnor her counsel shall do anything at Marine Bank that costs negative inferences on the defendant or his financial status, his trustworthiness or believability. The purpose of this Order is not to put the defendant in a bad light, but to give the plaintiff the information this Court believes she is entitled to receive and which she believes she has not yet received in full.

The Court doe snot now impose any further sanctions on the defendant, but gives the plaintiff the opportunity to approach the Court for sanctions in this respect or in other respects if it believes that the defendant has not complied withthe original discovery request.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
GordonR. Miller, J

Dec. 12, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Fabian and Lindsay.

Dec. 10, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, December 5, 1991, after an informal hearing on the Plaintiff's Motion for Injunction, the injunction of October 23, 1991 is continued until further Order of Court.

The defendant is enjoined from transferring, encumbering, dissipating or otherwise disposing of any assets owned in his name alone or jointly owned between him an dthe plaintiff until further Order of Court, with one exception.

Excepted form his injunction are funds that the defendant will receive from this point out of his sole proprietorship, which said funds and receipts andincome shall be received by him properly accounted for in his books and records and deposited in a new bank account in his name alone. All of his expenditures, personal or otherwise, shall come out of said account by check only, with a full and complete record of the payee of each check, the amount of each check and the purpose for which the check was written. The intent of the Court is that all assets will be frozen except for income and receipts received by the defendant for work he performs in his sole proprietorship from this moment forward.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Dec. 12, 1991 Copies of order put in box for atty's Fabian and Lindsay.

Dec. 10, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, December 5, 1991, upon presentation of a petition by the defendant to release frozen assets to pay the defendant to file similar petition in the future. This Court believes that in view of the fact that the defendant took joint funds from an A. G. Edwards account and spent most or all of it, that no further account should be invaded until the plaintiff fully understands all of the financial transactions involving acocunts where the defendant had an interest since February 1, 1991. In the meantime, the Court hopes the defendant will pay his attorney out of current earnings and other funds that are legitimately his and that he has access to.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon r. Miller, J

dec. 12, 1991 Copies of order put in box for atty's Fabian and Lindsay.

June 12, 1992 MOTION TO COMPEL FILING OFINVENTORY AND INCOME AND EXPENSE STATEMENT and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, THIS 12 th day of June, 1992, it is ordered and directed, that not later than twenty days from the date of this Order, the defendant shall file with the Prothonotary an Inventory as required by Pa. R.C. P. 1920.33(a) and in the form required by Pa.R.C. P. 1920.75 and a completed Income and Expense Statement as required by Pa. R.C. P. 1920.31(a)(1) together with a true copy of his most recent federal income tax return, and if he has been employed during the preceding six months, then together with his pay stubs. BY THE COURT
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

June 16, 1992 cooy in box for G. Fabian & B. Lindsay

276
-B-

1991

SUSAN E. HARTNER

vs.

JOHN W. HARTNER

Record continued from page 276-a-

of record

A.D. 1991 - 276

****

Dec. 17, 1991 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, December 13, 1991, it is ordered as follows:

1. The plaintiff is entitled to alimony pendente lite but since the defendant has been ordered to pay spousal support in the amount of $229.40 per month and ordered and directed to continue to make the car payment and insurance payment on the automobile which the plaintiff is now driving as well as to provide medical care coverage for the plaintiff andher child, all in a domestic relations support case at No. D.R. 1991-214 a separate or additional award of alimony pendente lite is not now made.

2. The defendant shall pay the sum of $500 to apply on the plaintiff's counsel fees in this case. Said amount shall be paid as follows: the amount of $100 on or before december 31, 1991; the amount of $200 on or before January 31, 1992 and the sum of $200 on or before February 28, 1992. Said sum shall be paid directly to Gary A. Fabian, Attorney for the plaintiff.

3. This order shall not affect the ability of a Master or the Court to make further awards of alimony pendente lite, counsel fees and expenses in the future as the facts and law permit.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Dec.17, 1991 Copies of Memorandum and order put in Atty's Lindsay and Fabian's boxes.

May 21, 1992 Inventory of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 10, 1992, Income and Expense Statement of defendant filed.

Sept. 18, 1992 Affidavit of Consent by Susan E.Hartner, Plaintiff, filed.

Sept. 23, 1992 Motion to Appoint Master filed. Order Appointing Master filed. AND NOW, September 25, 1992, Terry Toomey, Esquire is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Divorce, Alimony, Equitable Distribution, Counsel Fees, costs and expenses. Order of Defendant filed.
Deposit required: By Plaintiff $150.00 by Defendant $600.00.
If not filed already the parties are ordered to file their pretrail statements within thirty (30) days from this date.

Per Curiam,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

Sept. 30, 1992 Copy of the Order to Attys. Fabian, LIndsay, Pineo, Toomey

Sept. 28, 1992 Affidavit of Consent filed by John W. Hartner, Defendant.

Oct. 21, 1992 Stipulation and Order filed. AND NOW, October 21, 1992, the foregoing Stipulation is approved and entered as an Order of the Court.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 23, 1992 Copies of order to Atty.s Fabian, Lindsay, Pineo and Toomey

Nov. 13, 1992 Stipulation and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Nov. 13, 1992, the foregoing Stipulation is approved and entered as an Order of the Court.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Nov. 17, 1992 copy to G. Fabian and B. Lindsay

Dec. 4, 1992, Consent Motion to Permit Liquidation of I.R.A. Accounts and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 4 day of Dec. 1992, this Honorable court hereby grants the liquidation of the I.R.A accounts in the name of John W. Hardner, in the following manner:

1. That the I.R.A accounts are no longer subject to this court's order of Dec. 5, 1991, and shall be liquidated.
2. That the funds generated by the liquidation of the accounts shall be in the care and control of John W. Hartner.
3. that, o fthe I.R.A proceeds, $7,583.43 shall be paid to Susan E. Hartner by cashers check, forthwith.
4. That taxes and other penalties imposed on the liquidation of the I.R.A. accounts be paid by John W. Hartner our of the proceeds.
5. That the balance due tothe lien holder on the Toyota Camry driven by Susan E. Hartner shall be paid in full by John W. Hartner, forthwith.
6. That jOhn W. Hartner maintain receipts and be subject to account for all of the above transactions and any other uses for which the proceeds are put.
7. That of the I.R.A. proceeds, the additional sum of $1,000.00 shall also be paid to Susan E. Hartner, by cashiers check, forthwith.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Dec. 4, 1992, 4 copies (atty)

Jan. 13, 1993 Upon praecipe of Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire, Attorney for Defendant to transmit record,case moved to Court.

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D

Jan. 22, 1993 STIPULATION AND CONSENT DECREE filed. AND NOW, this 21st day of January, 1993, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Stipulation, this Court hereby orders and directs that:

1. Divorce

Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to teh above-named parteis, on the grouhs that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint and an affidavit has been field by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code of Pa.

2. Equitable Distribution of Marital Property, Personalty

A. That Mr. John Hartner shall liquidate the I.R.A. Accounts which are in his name and shall pay to Mrs. Hartner, from the proceeds of liquidation, the lump sum of $7,583.43 within ten (10) days of the receipt of said proceeds by him. Mr. Hartner shall pay all of the taxes of any kind and penalties on the liquidation on the I.R.A. accounts.

B. In addition to the payment to Mrs. Hartner of $7,583.43, Mr. Hartner shall also use the proceeds of the liquidation of the I.R.A. accounts to pay to First National Bank within ten (10) days of the receipt of said proceeds by him, the balance due for Mrs. Hartner's Toyota. Mr. Hartner shall be responsible for all payments upon said Toyota until such time as payment of the final balance is made by him in full, and he shall receive no accounting for any such payments made. Mrs. Hartner shall retain the said Toyota, and Mr. Hartner shall assign any interest he has in the Toyota to Mrs. Hartner.

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 276 (C).....

SUSAN E. HARTNER

VS.

JOHN W. HARTNER

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 276 (B)

OF

AD 1991 - 276

****

C. Mr. Hartner shall retain his 1983 Chevrolet Camaro, and he shall be responsible for the payment of the debt upon the same in full to Marine Bank. Mrs. Hartner shall assign any interest she has in the said Camaro to Mr. Hartner.

D. Mr. Hartner shall assign any and all interest that he has in one, International Tractor, to Mrs. Hartner.

E. Each of the parties has in his or her possession those items of personalty that they have previously divided and each of the parties shall keep and possess all of those items which he or she has in his or her possession.

3. Marital Real Estate

The parties are owners of a residence situated on 6 3/4 acres in Fairfield Township, Crawford Co., PA., and each of the parties shall execute a listing agreement with Price Realty or other reputable realtor for the purpose of selling the marital real estate at a price agreeable to both parties, and the net proceeds of that transaction shall be divided with 53% of the net proceeds being made payable to Mrs. Hartner, and 47% of those net proceeds being made payable to Mr. Hartner. The net proceeds shall be determined by deducting from the sale normal closing costs, including attorney's fees, transfer taxes, broker's commission and satisfaction of the balance then due upon the current mortgage with Marquette Savings Association.

Until such time as a closing shall occur, Mr. Hartner shall be responsible for making all monthly mortgage payments upon the said premises and the payment of all real property taxes. Until such time as a closing shall occur, Mr. Hartner shall also keep the said premises insured with hazard insurance in at least the amount of $82,000.00 for the benefit of both parties, and he shall be responsible for the payment of premiums for such hazard insurance. Until such time as a closing shall occur, Mr. Hartner shall also be responsible for the cost and expense of routine and normal maintenance of the premises and for all utilities at the said premises. Mr. Hartner shall not receive any accounting in the closing upon said premises with regard to mortgage payments made, taxes paid, insurance premiums paid, utilities paid or the cost of any routine and normal maintenance paid by him prior to the date of closing.

4. Alimony Pendente Lite, Permanent Alimony, Counsel Fees, Costs and Expenses

There shall be no award to either party of alimony pendente lite, permanent alimony, counsel fees, costs and expenses.

5. Child Custody

Child custody has been separately resolved through the entry of a Court Order following mediation, which has since been modified amicably by the parties.

6. Master's Fees and Costs

The parties shall split equally the payment of all Master's fees and costs.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

276
-D-

1991

1991

277

BARBARA L. HUFF

VS.

RAYMOND L. HUFF, JR.

Filed Mar 18, 1991
@ 3:38 p.m.

Theft Entry Pd 4/5/91. 50.50 ✓
State. Pd 4/5/91 5.00
Pro Co. Pd 4/5/91 5.00 ✓

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

Mar 18, 1991 Re: Abuse Petition Order filed: AND NOW, March 12, 1991, it appears the Defendant in this abuse action is in the Intensive Care Unit at the Meadville Medical Center, but it is reported he will be transferred to a regular patient room this date. The Plaintiff is unable to ascertain his possible release date.

The Court defers action on this Abuse Petition pending the release of the Defendant from the hospital.

Upon the Defendant's release, he may not go to the residence of the Plaintiff for any purpose or threaten her in any manner.

It shall be the obligation of the Plaintiff to advise the Court as soon as she ascertains the Defendant is released from the hospital and if she requests a hearing on this Abuse Petition, she may contact the Court Administrator upon the Defendant's release and request a hearing.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, Senior Judge

Mar 19, 1991 Copies of order mailed to plaintiff and Defendant. Copy to Co. Control.

Mar 18, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, March 15, 1991, the Court having been advised that Defendant has now been released from the Meadville Medical Center, hearing on the Petition Under the Abuse Act is set for March 21, 1991, at 11:00 A.M., in Courtroom No. 1 or 2.

The Defendant shall not go to the residence of the Plaintiff for any purpose or threaten her in any manner.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.
Mar 18, 1991 Copies mailed to parties by Court Administrator.

Mar. 22, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, March 21, 1991 at the time scheduled for hearing in this matter, both parties appeared with counsel and agreed to a resolution; however, the Defendant did not admit that he engaged in the conduct set forthin the petition. Accordingly, it is ordered as follows:

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or her daughter Deanna Huff in any manner whatsoever, nor will he procure or encourage others to do so.
2. The Defendant shall not go the the plaintiff's place of residence or place of employment.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe that it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police Department in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides, and to County Control.
5. This order shall remain in full force and effect until March 20, 1991 unless modified or terminate dby further order of Court.
6. The Defendant shall pay the Court costs in full no later than April 21, 1991 by making direct payment to the Prothonotary.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an Order of Court and violation of this order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to 6 months.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 22, 1991 Copy to County Control and 2 copies each put in Atty's box.

278

1991

Brian Lindsay - plff.
Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug, E. Max Weiss for dft.
278

Betti J Peterson-Muth

CAROL MAIN

VS.

VICKE HAMILTON

Filed Mar 18, 1991
@ 4:36 P.M.

Tax & Entry paid $50.50 ✓

User fee paid 5.00
sheriff's costs 21.00
Pro Co pd 11-2-92 6.00
Pro Co pd 3-18-93 15.00

Jury Trial Demanded

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

April 3, 1991, Answer and Counterclaim filed. 1 copy (atty)

Apr. 10, 1991, Certificate of Service of Default Notice filed.

Apr. 17, 1991 Certificate of Service of Answer and Counterclaim filed.

April 19, 1991 SERVED Vicke Hamilton on March 20, 1991 at 1:56 PM by handing copy of Complaint to her Authorized Agent, Atty. Betti Peterson-Muth, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. Signed Acceptance of Service attached. So answers,

Diane Severo, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 23, 1991, Answer to Defendant's Counterclaim filed. 2 copies (atty)

June 11, 1992 Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions and Motion in Limine and Rule to Show Cause filed. AND NOW, June 10, 1992, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, a Rule is entered upon the defendant to show cause, if any she has, why plaintiff's requests should not be granted.

THIS RULE IS RETURNABLE ON July 21, 1992 in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania at 10:00 a.m. BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

June 11, 1992 - 1 copy (atty)

July 27, 1992 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, July 27, 1992, after argument with respect to the Plaintiff's motion for sanctions and in liminie, the Defendant is directed within 30 days to file new, more specific responses to Interrogatory No. 3 and Interrogatory No. 5 directed to her and to serve the same upon the Plaintiff.

With respect to Plaintiff's motion in limine, the Court will defer a decision with respect to the same and if the issues raised in that motion are not resolved prior to trial the Plaintiff may request a ruling some time prior to trial with respect to the issues raised in that motion. The fact that discovery may have closed will not at a later date preclude the Plaintiff from asking for a ruling with respect to the issues raised in the motion in limine if they shall still be pertinent.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

July 27, 1992 Copy of the Order put in boxes for Attys. Lindsay and Muth.

Oct 27, 1992 Upon praecipe of Defendant's attorney the above captioned matter is discontinued. Counterclaim of record filed.

Jan. 29, 1993 Plaintiff's Second set of Interrogatories and Requests for Production Directed to Defendant, filed.
Jan. 29, 1993 3 copies atty

Mar. 17, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for appointment of Arbitrators, the following Arbitrators are appointed.

Bruce Barrett, Chairman
Stephen Hall
~~E. Max Weiss~~
Curtis Blystone

Mar. 26, 1993 Arbitators take qualifying oath.

Mar. 30, 1993 Notice Of Hearing Before Board Of Arbitrators filed.

May 20, 1993 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug by E.Max Weiss, Esquire for Vicke Hamilton,Defendant, filed.

June 3, 1993, DECISION OF ARBITRATORS filed in which they find in favor ofthe defendant and against the plaintiff.
June 3, 1993, copies dist. to Attys. Lindsay and Muth.
June 7, 1993, copy to Atty. Weiss.

1991

JORDEN & WHITE
Harry Faber White,II

279

GEORGE D. CORNELL

VS.

CARLA L. CORNELL

Filed Mar 19, 1991
@ 11:24 A.M.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
State paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 7/10/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 21, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. AND NOW, this 20th day of March, 1991, comes the Defendant, Carla L. Cornell, and accepts service of a Divorce Complaint pursuant to Section 201 (c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code, as well as a NOtice to Defend, with the full force and effect of service pursuant to the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Carla L. Cornell

July 10, 1991 Affidavit of Consent Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code of Defendant filed.

July 10, 1991 Affidavit of Consent Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, of Plaintiff filed.

July 10, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court

July 26, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D**

And now, July 18, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that GEORGE D. CORNELL, plaintiff, and CARLA L. CORNELL, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

280

1991

James R. Irwin

280

ALICE H. FUCCI-HAMMITT

VS.

MARVIN J. HAMMITT

Filed Mar 19, 1991
@ 11:31 a.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50 ✓
User fee paid	5.00
State paid	10.00
Pro Pd 7/1/91	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE UNDER SECTION 201(c) or 201(d)

1 copy (atty)

June 26, 1991 Affidavit of SERvice filed. I, James R. Irwin, Esq. duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the atty. of record, and as suc h say that I served a true and correct copy of the complaint in Divorce certified by Prothonotary on the above named defendant by cert. mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated Mar. 19, 1991. The defendant acknowledged service on Mar. 20, 1991 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed ret. receipt. James R. Irwin.

June 26, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

June 26, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

June 26, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

July 10, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, July 10, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Alice H. Fucci-Hammitt, plaintiff, and Marvin J. Hammitt, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

James R. Steadman

281

JOSEPH VARISH and
BETTY VARISH, his wife

VS.

WILSON S. BELFIORE, Jr.

Filed Mar 19, 1991
@ 1:17 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	32.95
Pro Co Pd 5/3/91	9.00
Pro Co.	9.00

COMPLAINT IN EJECTMENT

1 copy (sheriff)

Apr 25, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Wilson S. Belfiore, personally, at RD#3, Union City, Pa on March 25, 1991 at 10:40 A.M.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr 29, 1991 Motion to Amend Complaint Nunc Pro Tunc and order filed: AND NOW, this 29 day of April, 1991 upon consideration of the above and foregoing motion, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the complaint heretofore filed in the above matter is hereby amended nunc pro tunc to change the name of the Defendant to Wilson S. Belfiore, Jr.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

May 1, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Atty. Steadman.

Apr 29, 1991 Proof of Service of Notice and Motion to Amend Complaint filed.

Apr 29, 1991 Notice to the Defendant filed.

And Now, May 31, 1991 at 1:10 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Default Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the above stated case for possession of the premises described in the complaint as follows:
ALL that certain piece or parcel of land situate in Bloomfield Township, Crawford County, Pa. boiunded and described as follows, to-wit:
BEGINNING at a point in the center line of legislative rt. 20077, said point being 801.5 feet,, more or less, eastwardly from the interseciton of the center line of said Legislative Rt. 20077, and the center line of a public road, said point of intersection being the northwest corner of all that certain land conveyed to Jos. Varish et ux by Elvin Waid, et al, dated Apr. 28, 1945 and recorded inthe Records of Crawford County, Pa. in Deed Book 323, page 125, thenc ein a southerly direction in a line perpendicular to the cetner line of said legislative Rt. 20077 along remaining land of Grantors, a distanc eof 260 ft. 8 inches to a stake; thence in a westerly direction along remaining land of Grantors a distance of 239 feet, 6 inches to a stake; thence in a northly direction along remaining land of Grantors, a distance of 238 feet to a point in the center line of Leg. Rt. 20077; thence in an easterly direction along the center line of Route 20077, a distance of 296.5 feet to a point, the point or place of beginning. Containing approx. 1½ acres of land more or less together with a dwelling house situate thereon.
BEING a part of the same property conveyed to Joseph Varish, et ux by Elvin Waid, et al, by deed dated Apr. 28, 1945 and recorded in the records of Crawford County Pa in Deed Book 323, page 125.
Judgment entered - 5/3/91
B - 171

JUDGMENT FOR POSSESSION
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

July 2, 1991 Affidavit of Mailing of Notice filed.

July 2, 1991, 11:31 a.m., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with copy of Notice of Intention to file default judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for failure to file an answer and damages are assessed as follows:

B-171
Judgment entered 7-2-91

Debt $1,550.00
Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro.

282

1991

Robert S. Bailey 282 Complaint	SANRAY CORPORATION VS. PETER HALMOS and MAPLEX DIMENSIONAL WOOD PRODUCTS Filed Mar 19, 1991 @ 2:14 p.m. Tax & Entry paid $50.25 User fee paid 5.00	APPEAL FROM JUDGMENT OF DISTRICT JUSTICE DISTRICT 30-2-01 Mar 19, 1991 Rule Entered Upon Plaintiff to File Complaint issued. Mar. 20, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

1991

283

JANICE L. BARRALL

VS.

DONALD D. BARRALL

Filed Mar 19, 1991
@ 3:41 p.m.

TAX + ENTRY PD 4/1/91- 50.50
STATE PD 4/1/91 5.00
PHC & PD 4/1/91 5.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies Sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 19th day of March, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Plaintiff shall have sole possession of the marital residence. Defendant shall not go to Plaintiffs home except to get his personal belongings if he has an adult who is acceptable to Plaintiff with him.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for March 28, 1991, at 3:30 P.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

March 25, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff the above case marked discontinued as the Plaintiff, Janice L. Barrall does not want to proceed further with it.

Apr. 4, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Mar. 28, 1991 at the time set for hearing in this matter, neither party appeared. Therefore, no hearing was held.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
James B. dwyer, S. J.

Apr. 5, 1991 copies to plff and dft. + County Control

1991

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug
John Brautigam

284

JUDY NICE

VS.

ROBERT FULTZ

In Re: Robert Alton Nice

Filed Mar 19, 1991
@ 3:41 p.m.

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

Order:

You, Robert Fultz, Defendant, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of the child, Robert Alton Nice.

You are ordered to appear in person before Custody Mediator WILLIAM PINEO at such time and place as the Mediator may specify.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for Custody may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE, IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
c/o Prothonotary
Courthouse
Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335
Telephone No., 814-724-4636

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Date: March 19, 1991

Mar 19, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney, Plaintiff is permitted to proceed Informa Pauperis.

Apr. 26, 1991 Affidavit of Service Of Custody Complaint and Order filed. And Now, April 25, 1991, comes John B. Brautigam, Esquire, who, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff, Judy Nice, in the above-captioned action.
2. That on information furnished to him by the Plaintiff, he caused a certified copy of the Complaint and Order entered on March 19, 1991 to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P398126706, postmarked March 20, 1991 to the last known address of the Defendant, Robert Fultz, at Route 2, Box 146, Terra Alta, West Virginia 26764.
3. That the Defendant, Robert Fultz, on March 28, 1991, personally signed the return receipt card which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

John B. Brautigam

Sept 22, 1998 ORDER: Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 4-26-1991. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear from you in writing on or before October 23, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in oour records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's office on or before Oct 23, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

Date: Sept 22, 1998

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 5, 1998 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of Oct., 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given by publication of a "Notice of Intent To Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on Oct. 14, 1998, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, all and each of the 84 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice. A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901l.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

2844

1991

Theodore H. Watts

284-A

IN RE: NOMINATION PETITION OF JAMES GRANAT as Candidate for the Democratic Nomination for the Office of School Director of PENNCREST School District

Filed Mar 19, 1991
@ 3:55 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
Appeal pd. 4/3/91	30.00

PETITION OBJECTING TO NOMINATION PETITION OF JAMES GRANAT FOR SCHOOL DIRECTOR OF THE PENNCREST SCHOOL DISTRICT

3 copies (atty)

Order:

AND NOW, this 19th day of March, 1991, upon consideration and presentation of the Petition of thePENNCREST School District seeking to set aside the Nomination Petition of James Granat, a hearing is set to determine whether the prayed for relief should be granted.

A hearing is set for March 22, 1991 at 1:30 o'clock p.m. Courtroom 1.

The Petitioner, PENNCREST School District is hereby directed to served the Respondent James Granat within 1 day of the date of this Order in the following manner:

By personal service and upon the Crawford County Board of Elections forthwith.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Mar. 20, 1991 Certification of Service filed. I certify that I served a copy of the Petition Objecting to Nomination Petition of James Granat for School Director of the Penncrest School District on the Defendant James Granat, on March 20, 1991, at 311 Walnut St., Meadville, Pa. at 4:00 P.M., by personally handing him a certified copy. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Date: March 20, 1991 Joyce A. Stevens

March 27, 1991 Exhibits filed with papers.

March 27, 1991 OPINION AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Mar. 27, 1991, the petition of Penncrest School district requesting the court to set aside the nominating petition of James Granat is denied and the Crawford County Board of Elections is directed to include the name of James Granat as a CANDIDATE FOR BOTH THE Republican and Democratic nominations for school director in Penncrest School District. This order shall only be effective provided the said Mames Granat files the necessary Statement of Financial Interest with the Penncrest School District Office within seven (7) working days of the date of this order.

The prothonotary shall furnish a copy of this Opinion and Order to the Solicitor for Penncrest School District, James Granat and the Crawford CountyBoard of Elections, the copy to James Granat to be forwarded to him immediately by cert. mail and the other copies to be delivered by personal service. BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S. J.

Mar. 27, 1991 copy put in box for Atty. Watts after phone call to his office; Copy mailed (certified return receipt P835 986 198 to JAMES GRANAT. Copy handed to Kerry Corbett member of Board of Elections at 1:05 p.m. March 27 1991 in his office at the Crawford County Courthouse.

Apr. 3, 1991, Notice of Appeal of Penncrest School District to the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania from order entered in this matter on Mar. 27, 1991, filed. (request for transcript and affidavit of service attached)

April 11, 1991 Transcript of Proceedings At Time Of Hearing filed.

April 15, 1991, Notice of Appeal Docketing at Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania at No. 0786 C.D. 1991, filed.

April 15, 1991, Order Certified from the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania filed. ORDER: NOW, April 11, 1991, it is ordered as follows:

1. The Chief Clerk is directed to list the above appeal for argument on April 22, 1991, at 10:00 a.m. in the Supreme Court Room, Eighth Floor, City-County Building, Pittsburgh.
2. Appellant's brief (15 copies) shall be filed and served on or before April 15, 1991.
3. Appellee's briefs (15 copies) shall be filed and served on or before April 18, 1991.
4. Appellant is excused from filing a reproduced record.
5. The Prothonotary of the Court of Common pleas of Crawford County shall certify the record to this Court's Harrisburg Filing Office by April 18, 1991.

PER CURIAM.

May 1, 1991 List of Commonwealth Court Docket Entries filed.

May 1, 1991, Dissenting Opinion by Judge Pellegrini of the Commonwealth court of Pa. filed

May 1, 1991, Opinion by Sen. Judge Silvestri of Commonwealth Court of Pa. and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 29 day of April 1991, the order of the trial court denying Penncrest School district's Petition to set aside the nominating petitions of James Granat and directing the crawford CountyBoard of elections to include the name of James Granat as a candidate for both the republican and democratic nominatons for school district inteh Penncrest School District is affirmed. Silvestri Silvestri, sen. Judge.

July 5, 1991 Certificate of Contents of Remanded Record and Notice of Remand Under Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure 2571 and 2572(e) filed.

284B

1991

1991

Theodore Watts

285.

IN RE: NOMINATION PETITION OF PHILIP L. SMITH, AS CANDIDATE FOR THE DEMOCRATIC NOMINATION FOR THE OFFICE OF COUNTY CORONER FOR CRAWFORD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

Filed Mar 19, 1991
@ 3:55 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50
User fee paid 5.00

OBJECTION TO AND PETITION TO SET ASIDE NOMINATION PETITON OF PHILIP L. SMITH

3 copies (atty)

Order:

AND NOW, this 19th day of March, 1991, upon consideration of the within objections to and Petition to Set Aside Nomination Petition of Philip L. Smith, a Rule is hereby entered upon the Respondent, Philip L. Smith, to show cause, if any there be, why the various relief prayed for in the within Objections to and Petition to Set Aside Nomination Petition of Philip L. Smith should not be granted, the objections thereto sustained, and the subject Nomination Petition set aside.

Hearing in the within case is hereby set for the 22nd day of March, 1991, at 1:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

Service of the within Petition and Order shall be made upon the Respondent, Philip L. Smith, on or before March 20, 1991, as follows:

By personal service and upon the Board of County Elections.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Mar. 22, 1991 Motion and Order filed. AND NOW, this 22 day of March, 1991, upon consideration of the within Motion, and the fact that the Respondent, Philip L. Smith, filed his Primary Candidate's Withdrawal Notice, withdrawing his candidacy, the hearing originally set for March 22, 1991, at 2:00 p.m., is hereby cancelled. robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 26, 1991 Copy of order put in Alan Pepicelli's box.

286

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

286

MARK E. SUTLIFF

vs.

TERESA R. SUTLIFF

Filed Mar. 20, 1991
@ 11:41 a.m.

Tax & entry paid 50.50
User's Fee pd 3/21/91 5.00

PETITION FOR CUSTODY (UCCJA)
1 copy Atty, 1 copy Mediator

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 15th day of March, 1991, it is ordered that all parties in the above captioned case and their counsel shall appear for a Mediation conference before the Mediator, John Fuller, at a time to be set by the Mediator in the Crawford County Courthouse with the child Custody Mediator as required by rule 31(e) of the Cour tof Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

It is further ordered and decreed that pending final determination by the Court, the aforesaid minor children shall not be removed from the jurisdiction of the court by either party hereunder, under possible punishment for Contempt of Court.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

SEE CUSTODY ORDER AT AD 1991 - 225

1991

Dunlavey, Nichols,
Ward & Krill
Robert C. Ward

287

William J. S chaaf
Eugene C. Sundberg Jr.
Marsh, Spaeder, Baur,
Spaeder & Schaaf

and

LAURA JOHNSON

vs.

WATTS, PEPICELLI, YOUNGS &
Youngs, P.C. and LISA
PEPICELLI YOUNGS

Filed Mar. 20, 1991
@12:03 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	27.00
Pro.Co.pd 1/12/93	$6.00
Pro Co Pd 2/12/93	6.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies Sheriff, 1 copy Atty.

Apr 22, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Watts, Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs, P.C., on March 21, 1991 at 1:50 p.m by handing copy of Complaint to Sally Frisina, Secretary and person in charge, at the office, 363 Chestnut Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.

SERVED Lisa Pepicelli Youngs on March 21, 1991 at 1:51 p.m. by handing copy of Complaint to Sally Frisina, Secretary and person in charge, at the office, 363 Chestnut Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

June 24, 1991 Amended Complaint filed.

Aug 23, 1991 Defendants' Answer and New Matter to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint filed.

Sept. 16, 1991 Reply To New Matter filed.

Oct. 7, 1991, Notice of service of Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents Directed to Defendants filed.

Jan. 21, 1992 NOTICE OF SERVICE filed. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on the 13th day of January, 1992, the undersigned served an original and two copies of Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents Directed to Defendants (Second Set) upon William Schaaf, Esq., counsel fo rDefendants, by hand delivery, addressed as follows:

William Schaaf, Esquire
300 State Street
Suite 300
Erie, Pennsylvania 16507

Repectully submitted, Robert C. Ward, Esq.

Dec. 17, 1992 Petition To Enforce Settlement filed.

Dec. 17, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above matter is placed on the next available argument list.

1993 JANUARY ARGUEMENT LIST

Jan. 12, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Defendant's Attorney, Eugene C. Sundberg,Jr., Esq. this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

Feb. 5, 1993, Upon praecipe of plaintiff Petition to Enforce Settlement, withdrawn.

Feb. 10, 1993, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, this case is hereby settled and discontinued of record.

288

1991

Prather, Prather &
Pineo
William Pineo

288

CHARLES B. YAEGER and IRMA J. YAEGER, his wife and CHARLES B. YAEGER and IRMA J. YAEGER, his wife DEBTOR-IN-POSSESSION UNDER CHAPTER 11, TITLE 11, UNITED STATES CODE

vs.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PENNSYLVANIA

Filed Mar. 20, 1991
@ 12:04 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50 ✓
User's Fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	24.00

SUMMONS

issued

Apr. 29, 1991 Writ Return filed. SERVED First Nation Bank of Pa. at place of business 940 Park Avenue, Meadville, PA, by serving Jeanne Mailliard, Receiptionist, on March27, 1991 at 1:15 PM
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sher

1991

Prather, Prather & Pineo
Mark D. Prather

289

RICHARD S. MAILLARD

vs.

ANDREW J. KURT and ELAINE KURT, his wife

Filed Mar. 20, 1991
@ 1:22 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	15.00
plff on orig.	9.50
Sheriff's costs	33.00
Satisfaction PD. 7/1/96	5.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

OF

DSB 1986 - 179

Revival of Judgment (writ) indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants in the sum of Three Thousand Six Hundred Fifty and 00/100 doolars, with interest from Mar. 20, 1986 plus cost of suit.

Debt - $3,650.00
Int. from Mar. 20, 1986
K-239-210

JUDGMENT REVIVAL
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

issued Sheriff

April 29, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Andrew J. Kurt, personally, at RD # 3, Box 212, Hatch Hill Road, Meadville, PA on March 26, 1991 at 12:57 PM.

SERVED Elaine Kurt, by serving her husband Andrew J. Kurt, at their residence RD # 3, Box 212, Hatch Hill Road, Meadville, PA on March 26, 1991 at 12:58 PM.

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 1, 1996 Writ of Revival Satisfied.

1991

Prather, Prather & Pineo
William Pineo

290

ELDERKIN, MARTIN, KELLY & MESSINA
James H. Richardson, Jr.

AC MACHINE AND MFG., CO, INC. DEBTOR-IN-POSSESSION UNDER CHAPTER 11, TITLE 11, UNITED STATES CODE, AND AC MACHINE AND MFG. CO. INC

vs.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PENNSYLVANIA

Filed Mar. 20, 1991
@ 1:49 p.m.

tax & entry paid	50.50 ✓
User's Fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	24.00
Pro.Co. pd 7/29/93	5.00

SUMMONS

Issued Sheriff

Apr. 26, 1991 Verification of SErvice of Plaintiff's Interrogatories and Requests for Producton of Documents to Defendant, First Set, filed.

Apr. 29, 1991 Writ Return filed. SERVED First National Bank of Pa, at place of business 940 Park Avenue, Meadville, by serving Jeanne Mailliard, Receptionist, March 27, 1991 at 1:16 PM
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 14, 1991 NOTICE OF DEPOSITION AND SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM, filed.

Aug 22, 1991 Rule upon Plaintiff to file Complaint issed.

Sept. 6, 1991 Certificate of Service of Rule to file a Complaint filed.

Sept. 23, 1991 Motion For Extension of Time To File Complaint and ORDER on Plaintiff's Motion For Extension of Time to File Complaint filed. AND, Sept. 23, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, the same is hereby granted and the time in which the plaintiffs have to file their complaint is extended to Oct. 15, 1991.
Gordon R. Miller, J.
Sept. 24, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. Wm. Pineo, James Richardson, Jr. and Richard W. Roeder.

Oct. 14, 1991 Complaint filed. 2 copies (atty)

Nov. 4, 1991 Defendant's Preliminary Objections filed.

Nov. 19, 1991 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, filed.

Nov. 19, 1991 Notice of Depositions of Dennis S. Suminski, Ted Szalewicz, David L. Stevens, Mary Dwyer and Doug Stazer filed.

July 29, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Attorneys for Assignees the above suit discontinued of record.

1991

Knox, McLaughlin,
Gornall & Sennet, PC
John O. Dodick

291

John A. Burkhiser

HALLIBURTON COMPANY

vs.

OLD MOUNTAIN GAS COMPANY,
INC. and SYLVESTER G.
THOMPSON

Filed Mar. 20, 1991
@ 1:58 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	63.25

COMPLAINT

2 copies Sheriff

Apr. 17, 1991 Answer and New Matter of defendants filed

Apr 25, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Sylvester G. Thompson, by handing copy of Complaint to his wife, Mrs. Sylvester G. Thompson, at RD#4, Centerville Pa 16404, on March 25, 1991 at 12:01 P.M.
SERVED Old Mountain Gas Company at their place of business, Route 36, Pleasantville, Pa by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango County, on April 2, 1991 at 1:55 p.m. by handing copy of complaint to Sylvester Thomspon, President, as per affidavit.
So answers, Robet M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 14, 1991 Reply to New Matter filed.

292

1991

pro se

292

Alan R. Shaddinger

JAMES H. ANDERS

vs.

WEST MEAD TOWNSHIP BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Filed Mar. 21, 1991
@ 9:42 a.m.

Tax & entry paid 50.50
User's fee paid 5.00

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2 copies Plaintiff

May 9, 1991, Record of Proceedings Before the Board of Supervisors of West Mead Township on Application of James Anders for Curative Amendment to Township Ordinance filed Dec. 13, 1990 and Denied February 13, 1991 filed.

May 14, 1991 Concealment by Appellee of the Findings of the Crawford County Planning Commission from this Court in the Matter of Appellant's Curative Amendment to Add a Definition for Building Area filed.

June 28, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Appellee's Attorney this case is placed on the July 1991 Argument list.

ON JULY -T- 1991 ARGUMENT LIST

July 9, 1991 Motion To Have Judge Walker Acknowledge Receipt Of The Report Of The Crawford County Planning Commission Dated January 30, 1991 Omitted From The Record Of Proceedings Prepared By The West Mead Township Board of Supervisors filed.

July 9, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, June 28, 1991, upon presentation of the attached motion wich said motion was consented to by counsel for West Mead Township Board of Supervisors, it is ordered that the letter of January 30, 1991 from the Crawford County Planning Commission on the subject of the curative amendment clarifying what is meant by the term building area shall be filed of record as a part of this case and shall be considered by Judge Walker in disposing of the issues presently pending before hi in this case.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 10, 1991 Copies of order to James Anders and Alan Shaddinger

July 18, 1991 Motion to Consolidate filed.

July 18, 1991 Re: ORDER ON CONSOLIDATION and ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 15, 1991, the Motion to Consolidate is denied.

In view of the foregoing, the Court Administrator is directed to postpone scheduling argument on the case at AD 1991-292 involving the appeal on the Curative Amendment until the same may be specially set after the filing of an Order at AD 1990-1191 by Judge Robert L. Walker.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, Senior Judge

July 18, 1991 Copy of Order to all counsel of record.

Aug 16, 1991 Motion to Set a Date for Trial filed.

August 16, 1991 Order Setting Hearing Date filed: AND NOW, August 13, 1991, after the defendant has presented a motion to set a date on his appeal from the township's denial of his request for a curative amendment to the zoning ordinance, we hereby set this matter for hearing and argument before Judge Robert L. Walker, September 19, 1991 at 9:30 A.M.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Aug 23, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Plaintiff and given to Atty Shaddinger and Judge Walker.

Sept 11, 1991 Motion to Have the Above Case Heard filed.

Sept. 11, 1991 Order Confirming Hearing Date filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Sept. 6, 1991, we enter this order to confirm the hearing date set for appellant's curative amendment appeal, as Sept. 19, 1991 at 9:30 a.m. before the undersigned. said hearing having been previously set by Judge p. Richard THomas in an order dated Aug. 13, 1991. This hearing will also serve as a forum for the disposition of appellant's exceptions to the fraud and costs portion of the Memorandum and Order at 1990 - 1191, dated Aug. 15, 1991.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Sept. 11, 1991 copies to J. Anders (mailed and A. Shaddinger (box)

Oct. 4, 1991 MEMORANDUM and ORDER filed. AND NOW, Sept. 30, 1991, the appeal of James H. Anders from the action of the West Mead Township Board of Supervisors in refusing his curative amendment is dismissed.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Oct. 4, 1991 Copies to J. Anders (mail) and Atty. Alan Shaddinger (box).

Oct 10, 1991 Motion for Reconsideration Based on the Crawford County Plannng Commission's Report filed.

Oct 10, 1991 Memorandum and Order filed: AND NOW, October 10, 1991, the application of James H. Anders, appellant for reconsideration of the prior order entered in this case is denied.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Copy put Attys. box.
copy mailed to plaintiff

Nov. 1, 1991 2nd Motion for Reconsideration based on the Pa. Municipalities Planning Code filed.

Nov. 1, 1991, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER FILED. ORDER: AND NOW, Oct. 31, 1991 appellant's second motion for reconsideration in this case is hereby denied.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S. J.

Nov. 7, 1991 copies to J. Anders and A. Shaddinger.

Record Continued on Page 292 (a).....

1991 [redacted]

James H. Anders

vs.

West Mead Township Board
of Supervisors

Record Continued from Page 292

OF

AD 1991 - 292

****

Dec. 17, 1991 Third Motion For Reconsideration based on the theory that doing right costs the same as doing wrong.

Dec. 17, 1991 Memorandum and ORDER filed. AND NOW, Nov. 25, 1991, the appellant's motion for reconsideration is denied.

By Order Of The Court,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Dec. 17, 1991 Copy of Order mailed to plaintiff, copy placed in Atty. Alan Shaddinger's box.

Jan. 28, 1992 4th Motion For REconsideration filed.

Jan. 28, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Jan. 23, 1992, the appellant's fourth motion for reconsideration is hereby denied without further discussion.

By The Court,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Jan. 28, 1992 Copy of Order placed in Atty. Alan Shaddinger's box, copy mailed to plaintiff.

[redacted] 1991

(6)
292

1991

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

293

KENNETH E. SINDLINGER and
PATRICIA ANN SINDLINGER

vs.

PAUL R. KASPICK nad
JACQUELYN RAE KASPICK,
his wife

Filed Mar. 21, 1991
@ 1:22 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	27.00

COMPLAINT FOR SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE

2 copies Sheriff

Apr. 29, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Paul E. Kaspick by serving his daughter Amy Braymer, at their residence, RD #2, Box 602, Meadville, PA on March 27, 1991 at 12:58 PM
SERVED Jacquelyn Rae Kaspick, by serving her daughter Amy Braymer, at their residence, RD #2 Box 602, Meadville, OA on March 27, 1991 at 12:59 PM
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

294

1991

Joseph Kulwicki

Susmarski Law Offices
Ronald J. Susmarski

294
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

JEFFREY J. STAGL

vs.

THERESE V. STAGL

Filed Mar. 21, 1991
@ 1:40 p.m.

Tax & entry	11/30/91	50.50
2 Add'l Counts	11/30/91	30.00
User's Fee	11/30/91	15.00
State	11/30/91	10.00
Prothonotary	11/30/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY and VISITATION and EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF MARITAL PROPERTY

Erie County enteries:

Nov. 13, 1990 Complaint under Divorce Code, filed with Notice of Available of Counseling and Notice to Defendant and Claim Rights.

Count I Section 201(c) of New Divorce code
Count II Section 201(A)(6) under New divorce Code
Count III Custody and Visitation
Count IV Equitable Distribution of Marital Property

Nov. 13, 1990 - Preliminary Objections As To Venue: File dby Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire with Verification.

Dec. 27, 1990 Stipulation To Change Venue: File dby Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire and ronald Susmarski, Esquire with the following ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, to-wit, on this the 27th day of December 1990 it is hereby ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the aforesaid complaint in Divorce shall be transferred to Crawford County Court of Comon Pleas. S/Michael T. Joyce, Judge.

Crawford County Entries:

Dec. 10, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Dec. 10, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Dec. 16, 1991 Motion to WithDraw Equitable Distribution and Custody issue in Divorce and order filed. AND NOW, Dec. 16, 1991 motion granted.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller J.
Dec. 17, 1991 copy of order to Atty. Kulwicki

Dec. 17, 1991, Acceptance of Service filed. I accept service of the Complaint in Divorce on behalf of Therese V. Stagl and Certify that I am authorized to do so.
Dated: 11/28/91 Mary Ann Kirkpatrick Esq

Dec. 27, 1991 Upon praecipe of the Plaintiff's Attorney to transmit record, this case moved to Court.

Jan. 13, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, January 10, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that Jeffrey J. Stagl, plaintiff and Therese V. Stagl, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Barbara J. Walton
William, Adair & Ridge
Evan E. Adair

295

DEBORAH D. MCBRIDE

vs.

KEVIN F. MCBRIDE

Filed Mar. 21, 1991
@ 1:55 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
1 Add'l Count paid	15.00
User's Fee paid	10.00
State paid	10.00
Pro Co. pd 7-31-96	9.00

COMPLAINT FOR DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy Atty.

June 10, 1991, Complaint Reinstated.

June 13, 1991 **AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE** filed. Harold Gehring, Constable of County of Crawford and State of Pennsylvania, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served Kevin F. McBride, Defendant in the above divorce proceedins, with a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and notice to appear and answer in the manner and form set forth on the original Compaint in Divorce, and made known to him the contents of the same by handing the same to him at his place of employment, Sherry's Distributor, Water Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania, on June 7, 1991 at 1:05 P.M.; that the person upon whom service was made is the Defendant named in the above-entitled divorce proceedings by identification of Social Security Number & Date of Birth.

Harold Gehring, Constable

May 7, 1992 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code, filed.

May 12, 1992 Affidavit of Service of Section 3301(D) filed.

Feb. 22, 1993 Notice of Service of Interrogatoreis & Requests for Production filed.

June 24, 1996 Stipulation and Consent to Separation Agreement filed.

July 11, 1996 Affidavit of Service filed. AND NOW, July 10, 1996, comes Barbara J. Walton, Esq., and avers as follows:
1. A true an docrrect copy of the NOtice of Intention To Request Entry of Divorce Decree, was mailed to the Defendant via Certified Mail, return receipt requested,on July 5, 1996.
2. That the Defendant signed the return card onJuly 6, 1996, and in accordance with Pa.R.C.P. 412, service of the Petition was made as is evidenced by theUnited States Postal Service Return Receipt (Certified No.P535894625) attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
I VERIFY that the statements made in this affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Barbara J. Walton

July 18, 1996, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

July 18, 1996, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

July 25, 1996 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Aug. 2, 1996 Order filed. This divorce matter has been referred to the Court for review and decree. The Court has reviewed the record and is returning the record to the Prothonotary. A Final decree will not be entered at this time because:
The Defendant was not served with process within 30 days after the date of the filing of the complanit or reinstated complaint. Pa.R.C.P. 401(a); Lauterback v. Lautrback, 202 Pa. Super. 260, 195 A.2d 159 (1963); Fox v. Thompson, 327 Pa. Super. 39, 546 A.2d 1146 (1988). Complaint was served onJune 7, 1991 but not resinstated until June 10, 1991.
Purusant to Pa. R.C.P. 1920.42(d)1 no notice of intention to request entry of a divorce decree under 3301(c) as required effective January 1, 1996, has beenfiled and there has not been a waiver of that notice pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1920.42(e). No indication that Rule 1920.42(d)(2) complied with.
Either party may file a praecipe to refer that matter back to the Court when the record is in such a state that the parties are entitled to a final decree.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Aug. 2, 1996 Faxed Walton

Aug. 5, 1996 Complaint Reinstated.

Aug 30, 1996 Acceptance of Service: I accept service of the Complaint for Divorce on this Aug 19, 1996, Kevin McBride.

Aug 30, 1996 Amended Praecipe to Transmit the Record, filed.

Sept 12, 1996 ORDER: This divorce matter has been referred to the Court for review and decree. The Court has reviewed the record and is returnign the record to the Prothonotary. A final decree will not be entered at this time because:
Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1920.42(d)1 no notice of intention to request entry of a divorce decree under Sec. 3301(c) as required effective Jan. 1, 1996, has been filed and there has not been a waiver of that notice pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 1920.42(e). No indication that Rule 1920.42(d)(2) complied with.
Either party may file a praecipe to refer the matter back to the Court when the record is in such a state that the parties are entitled to a final decree.
Date: Sept 12, 1996

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Sept 12, 1996 Walton

Oct. 29, 1996, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, with notice of intention to request entry of divorce decree, this case moved to court.

Continued on page 570

1991

Bettie Peterson-Muth

296

KATHLEEN D. MOOK

vs.

DONALD R. MOOK, JR.

Filed Mar. 21, 1991
@ 1:55 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50 ✓
User's Fee paid	5.00
State paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 7/8/91	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy Atty.

July 8, 1991 Affidavit Of Service By Mail filed. Bettie J. Peterson-Muth, esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the attorney for plaintiff, Kathleen D. Mook, and that she did mail a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed in the above matter, by certified mail, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, to the defendant, Donald R. Mook, Jr., on May 6, 1991, at his last known address, 759 penn Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania 16335. The signed receipt is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

Bettie J. Peterson-Muth

July 8, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

July 8, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 8, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

July 17, 1991 MEMORANDUM filed. This case has been reviewed pursuant to a praecipe for review filed by the Plaintiff on July 2, 1991. The file is returned to the Prothonotary for further proceedings consistent with this memorandum. The Court will review this matter further upon the filing of another praecipe for review after the further proceedings have been completed.

The complaint was filed on March 21, 1991. Service of the Defendant was made on May 6, 1991. That is not proper. Service of the complaint more than thirty days after filing was invalid and does not give the Court jurisdiction over the defendant in a divorce case.

Pa. R.C.P. 401(a) mandates that original process shall be served within thirty (30) days after the filing of the complaint if service is to be made within the Commonwalth. Beyond that the complaint becomes stale, not dead, and must be reinstated before it may be properly served. See Pa. R.C.P. 401(a), 401(b) (1); Lauterbach v. Lauterbach, 202 Pa. Super 260, 195 A.2d 159 (1963); Blose v. Blose, 21 D & C 2d 186 (1960); O'Shall v. O'Shall, 22 D & C 2nd 158 (1960).

By The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 18, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Bettie Peterson-Muth.

July 18, 1991 Complaint Reinstated.

Aug. 8, 1991 Affidavit of Service by Mail filed. Bettie J. Peterson-Muth, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the attorney for the plaintiff, Kathhleen D. Mook, and that she did mail a true and correct copy of the Reissued Complaint in Divorce filed in the above matter, by certified mail, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, to the defendant, Donald R. Mook, Jr., on July 23, 1991, at his last known address 759 Penn Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania 16335. The signed receipt is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

Bettie J. Peterson-Muth

Aug. 8, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Atttorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to Court.

Aug. 22, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, Aug. 21, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Kathleen D. Mook, plaintiff, and Donald R. Mook, Jr., defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

Richard T. Ruth

297

HORWATH ELECTRIC MOTORS, INC

VS.

MAPLEX WOOD PRODUCTS

Filed Mar. 21, 1991
@ 2:05 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 5/28/91	9.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy Atty.

Apr. 23, 1991 Affidavit of Servcie made Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. Rule 504c filed. Before me, the undersigned NOtary Public appeared Richard T. Ruth Esq. atty. for plff. to me known, who, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on Apr. 1, 1991 he deposited in U.S. Mail, postage prepaid first class reg. mail, a Complaint in above case to defendant at their address; that he obtained a reg. mail Senders Receitp for said mailing no. A 00 4000 833; and that on Apr. 20, 1991, he received a Ret. Rec. no. A 00 4000 833 bearing the signature "C Quergl". Copies of receipt and ret. rec. are attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Richard T. Ruth ESq.

And NOw, May 28, 1991 at 1:16 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with copy of notice to file default judgment, and certificate of parties addresses attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer; damages assessed in the amount of Six Thousand Six Hundred Ninety five and 89/100 Dolalrs
Judgment entered - 5/28/91
M - 181 DEBT --- $6,695.89
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

May 30, 1991 notice of entry of judgement mailed to dft.

1991

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
John B. Brautigam

298

CHOL S. VARNER

vs.

RICHARD VARNER

Filed Mar. 21, 1991
@ 2:10 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
4 Add'l Counts	60.00
User's Fee paid	25.00
State paid	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES and EXPENSES, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY, CHILD SUPPORT

1 copy Atty.

Apr. 30, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, April 30, 1991, comes, John B. Brautigam, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That he is the Attor;ney for Chol S. Varner, Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished tohim by the Plaintiff, he caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail No. P398126707, postmarked March 21, 1991, to the last known address ofthe Defendant, Richard Varner, R.D. #7, Raymond Drive, Box 880, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335.
3. That the defendant, Richard Varner, on March 23, 1991, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed tothe Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
4. Service ofthe Complaint against the defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

John B. Brautigam

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed.
Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 4/30/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: March 12, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
Mar. 12, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

Barbara J. Walton
Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Ann Hansen Gamble

299

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker
R. Charles Thomas

7-28-94 Rec from Plf Masters Fee 300.00

9-27-94 Rec'd from dft masters fee 400.00

3-23-95 Rec'd from plf masters fee 450.00

5-15-95 Rec'd from dft masters fee 550.00

5-16-95 Paid #9186 10285 Lois Conklin

7-27-95 Rec'd from dft-master 154.35

7-27-95 Pd master # 9345 82550

SANDRA BOWLER

vs.

DAVID BOWLER

Filed Mar. 21, 1991
@ 2:23 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
2 Add'l Counts	30.00
User's Fee paid	15.00
State paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 6-2-95	9.00

Kathleen Nelson, Master

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE COUNSEL FEES, COSTS and EXPENSES, and EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy Atty.

Apr. 2, 1991, Affidavit of Mailing filed. Ann Hansen Gamble, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an attorney at law, duly authorized to practice law in the Com. of Pa. and that on Mar. 27, 1991 she sent a cert. copy of complaint in Div. to the dft. by cert. mail, restricted del.', postage prepaid rec. req. to David Bowler, R.D. #1, Box 149, Guys Mills, Pa. 16327 the defendant last known address. The said recipt being attached hereto. Ann Hansen Gamble Esq.

May 1, 1991 Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker, by R. Charles Thomas, attonrey for defendant filed.

Mar. 29, 1993 Plaintiff's Inventory filed.

Mar. 29, 1993 Income and Expense Statement of Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 29, 1993 Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Oct. 8, 1993 Inventory and Appraisement of David Bowler filed.

Oct. 8, 1993 Income and Expense Statement ofDavidBowler filed.

Dec. 10, 1993 Petition For Additional Discovery In Accordance with Pa. R.C.P. 1920.22 and Order filed. AND NOW, this 8th day of December, 1993, hearing on the attached Petition for Additional Discovery will be heard onthe 29th day of December, 1993, at 1:00 p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2.

FOR THE COURT,
Ann A. Walker, C.A.

Dec. 16, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Gamble and Thomas

Dec. 30, 1993 Order filed. AND NOW, December 29, 1993 after informal hearing on the Plaintiff's petition for additional discovery, wherein the Plaintiff asks to review books and records of the Defendant's jewelry business for the years 1990 and 1991 in order to determine the inventory and value of merchandise in said business, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. Within 30 days from this date, on a Wednesday afternoon to be selected bythe attorneys, the Defendant shall furnish at the office of his attorneyany of his books and records for the years 1990 and 1991 that would reflect the acquisition or purchase of inventory as well as the disposition or sale of inventory and merchandise in the business, whether in the regular course of business or not.

2. The Plaintiff, her lawyer, and a Certified Public Accountant to be chosen by them shall be permitted to review and go through these books and records for a reasonable period of time. The Plantiff shall be permitted to make photocopies at her own expense, with the stipulation that said photocopies shall not be disseminated to any persons other than the Plaintiff, her lawyer, her CPA, and any experts which she consults with for the purposes of this litigation, either to prepare for litigation or to present evidence or testimony in the litigation. Said records and information shall not be disseminated to anyone else, such as competitors, taxing authorities, or any other entity or person, for the Court will protect the confidentiality of the Defendant's business records while at the same time permitting the reasonable inspection and discovery in the Plaintiff for the purposes of preparation for and trial of economic issues in this case.

3. Within the next 30 days, the Plaintiff shall: (a) Delivery or furnishe to counsel for the Defendant all of the record which she has relative to her checking account or accounts, saivngs account or acchounts, and bank records for the years 1988 through 1993. If she doe not have and consel for the Defendant shall attempt to determine whether or not there are any other records that should be obtained and he shall ask counsel for the Plaintiff if the other records could be obtained from the bank at the Defendant's expense, and if so, the Plaintiff shall sign appropriate authorizations authorizing the Defendnt and his attorney to obtain bank records that may be misisng from the Plaintiff's possession.

(b) Deliver to counsel for the Defendant a current statement regarding her school pension plan and account; that is, the Public School Employee Retirement System.

(c) Deliver to a jeweler or appraiser of the Defendant's choosing all of the jewelry that is listed on the appraisal of George's Jewelry that has been previously furnished in this case to enable the Defendant's expert to review, analyze and possibly appraise that jewelry. The Plaintiff shall deliver the jewelry to the expert. The name of the expert shall be furnished by counsel for the Defendant to consel for the Plaintiff. The expert shall keep that jewelry only for a reasonable period of time to do his work and shall then return the jewelry directly to the Plaintiff. This jewelry shall not be handled by the Defendant. It shall not be in the custody, ontrol or possession of the Defendant for any purpose.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan. 3, 1994 Copies dist. to Gamble & RCT

July 25, 1994 Motion For Appointment of Master in Divorce and Order filed. AND NOW, July 22, 1994, James R. Irwin, Esquire, is appointed master with respect to the following claims: divorce and equitable distribution.

Deposit required: By Plaintiff $300 By Defendant 450

If not filed already the parties are ordered to file their pretrial statements within thrity (30) days form this date.

Per Curiam,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 26, 1994 Faxed Irwin, Gamble & Thomas

August 11, 1994 Motion To Vacate Appointment of Master and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 10 day of August, 1994, upon consideration of the above Motion to Vacate, James R. Irwin, Esq. is relieved as Master in the abovecaptioned divorce action and Kathleen Nelson, Esq. is appointed in his place.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug. 11, 1994 Order Faxed to Attys. Irwin, Nelson, Gamble and Thomas.

Continued to Page 569

300

1991

Harry N. Sydor

300

AMERICAN COLORS,. INC.

vs.

DAVID RICHARD DILLAMAN

Filed Mar. 21, 1991
@ 2:35 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50 ✓
User's Fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	25.00
Pro Co. Pd.	9.00
Cert. Pd. 11/13/91	$2.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy Atty.

April 29, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED David Richard Dillaman, personally, at 584 State ST., Meadville, PA on March 26, 1991 at 1:18 PM.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 22, 1991, 2:04 p.m., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with notice of Intention to file default judgment and Affidavit of Military Service attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for failure to file an answer and damages are assessed as follows: Judgment entered against the defendant in the amount of One Thousand nine hundred twenty-one and 72/100 Dollars pursuant to reg. 1047 P.L.C.P.

D-41
Judgment entered 7-22-91

Debt $1,921.72
Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro.

1991

Allen & Hall
Paul E. Allen

301

EDWARD C. NELSON

vs.

JULIE M. NELSON

Filed Mar. 21, 1991
@ 2:50 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
State paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 7/9/91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) of the DIVORCE CODE

1 copy Atty.

Apr. 16, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. I, the undersigned defendant in the above captioned action, hereby accept service of the Complaint in Divorce.
Date: Mar. 30, 1991 Julie M. Nelson.

July 9, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

July 9, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 9, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To transmit Record, this case moved to court.

July 17, 1991 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, July 15, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Edward C. Nelson, plaintiff, and Julie M. Nelson, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

302

1991

STOKES, LURIE & COLE
James B. Cole

302

JEANITTIE FRANCES DRONKO

vs.

ESTHER L. WITTER

Filed Mar 22, 1991 @ 11:17 a.m.

Tax & Entry paid $50.50 ✓

User fee paid 5.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION
(Automobile Negligence)

Issued (atty)

1991

WATTS AND PEPICELLI, PC.
Alan L. Pepicelli

303

John R. Gavin

MARTHA DELP and CONRAD DELP, her husband

vs.

GERALD A. BIENIO

Filed Mar 22, 1991 @ 2:19 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	35.15
Pro Co Pd 3/6/92	6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

April 17, 1991 Appearance of John R. Gavin, Attorney for defendant filed.

April 17, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file a Complaint issued.

Apr 25, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Gerald A. Bienio, personally 203 W. Bloss Street. Titusville, Pa on March 25, 1991 at 1:21 p.m.
So Answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

April 30, 1991 Service of the foregoing Rule is hereby accepted by Alan Pepicelli, attorney for plaintiffs

Mar. 2, 1992, Upon pr aecipe of plaintiff attorneys, the above captioned case is hereby settled and discontinued of record.

1991

HALLIDAY AND HALLIDAY, P.C.
Michael Halliday

304

Janet Greenlee,
Attorney In Fact for
Opal B. Schultz

OTTO SCHULTZ

VS.

OPAL B. SCHULTZ

Filed Mar 22, 1991 @ 3:36 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User Fee paid	5.00
State paid	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

May 8, 1991 Answer To Complaint In Divorce and Counterclaim filed.

May 8, 1991 Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 5/8/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: March 12, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
Mar. 12, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

305

PATRICIA M. VROMAN

VS.

BRIECE R. VROMAN

Filed Mar 25, 1991
@ 9:33 a.m.

4/2/91 Rec'd $ 32.50
From DEFENDANT

4/2/91 Paid $ 32.50 To
Howard Stewart, Sheriff
Costs Ck # 6124

Tax & entry Pd 4/1/91 50.50 ✓
User's Fee Pd 4/1/91 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 32.50
Pro to Pd 4/1/91 9.00 ✓

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies Sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 25th day of March, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Def. may not confront Pl. with a gun or any other dangerous weapon.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for April 1, 1991, at 3:30 P.MM., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Mar. 26, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Bruce R. Vroman personally on March 25, 1991 at 6:30 PM by handing copy of Protection From Abuse to him at residence, R.D. #1, Box 242, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Patricia M. Vroman, Plaintiff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr. 4, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, apr 1 1991 after a formal hearing in which both parties agreed to proceed without counsel but where the testimony was not transcribed, the Court is satisfied that the plaintiff has proved by the fair weight and preponderance of the evidence that the defendant has abused her, as that term is defined by the Act, on at least one occasion and accordingly, we enter the following order:

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the pl aintiff in any manner.
2. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
3. A copy of this order shall be delivered to the polcie department in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to County Control.
4. This order shall remain in force and effect until Mar. 31, 1992 unless modified or terminated by further order of this court.
5. The defendant shall pay the court costs in the amount of $97.00 forthwith.
6. The defendant is reminded that this is an order of court and violation of this order may be punishable by a fine up to $,000.00 and a jail sentence up to six (6) months.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Apr. 4, 1991 copy given to county control; and Apr. 8, 1991 copies mialed to plff and dft.

1991

Emil M. Spadafore, Jr.

306

BRIAN COUNSELMAN and
KIMBERLY COUNSELMAN,
his wife

VS.

BRUCE ROBERTS and VICKIE
ROBERTS, his wife

Filed Mar 25, 1991
@ 1:11 p.m.

Tax & Entry Paid	$50.50
User fees paid	5.00
Pro Co. pd 4/24/91	9.00
Sheriff's Costs	31.00
Sat paid 6/28/91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

And Now, Apr. 24, 1991 at 9:01 a.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor ofthe plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Thirty-five Thousand Eight Hundred Fourty One and 86/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

R-24-164
judgment entered 4/24/91

Debt $35,841.86
Int. from Apr. 1991
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Apr. 29, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Bruce Roberts, by serving Vickie Roberts, his wife, at their residence, RD #5, Meadville, PA, on March 27, 1991 at 4:10 PM
Served Vicki Roberts, personally, at RD #5, Meadville, PA on March 27, 1991 at 4:11 Pm
So answer,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sher

June 27, 1991, Satisfaction of Judgment filed, by plaintiff attorney. (see paper filed)

1991

~~BARRETT & DRATLER~~
~~Sylvia Dratler~~ -
David P. Brandt

307

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso, Gamble
Fabian and Hall
Ann H. Gamble

CHARLES F. TAYLOR

vs.

SALLY A. TAYLOR

Filed Mar 25, 1991 @ 3:13 p.m.

Tax & Entry Paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
State paid	10.00
2 counts paid 1/23/92	30.00
state paid	10.00
Pro.Co. pd 12/15/92	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Nov. 26, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Sylvia L. Dratler, Esq., being duly sworn to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to teh best of her knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of a Complaint Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code was sent by registered mail to the Defendant.

3. The registered mail was sent to the Defendant at, RD 2 Box 2236, Union City, Meadville, PA. 16335 on March 27, 1991. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. The Defendant received said registered mail on March 28, 1991. Said receipt card number 320 791 292 is attached hereto and signed by the Defendant, Sally A. Taylor.

Sylvia L. Dratler,Atty.

Jan. 23, 1992, Petition for Related Claims Under the Divorce Code, of equitable Distribution, Alimony, Alimony Pendente Lite, attorney's Fees, Costs and Expenses filed.

August 27, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Aug. 31, 1992 INVENTORY OF DEFENDANT filed.

Aug. 31, 1992 DEFENDANT'S MONTHLY INCOME AND EXPENSES filed.

Sept. 9, 1992 MOTION FOR HEARING and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 9th day of September, 1992, on defendant's claims for alimony, alimony pendente lite, counsel fees, costs and expenses is now set for October 27, 1992, at 9:30 a.m. at the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

FOR THE OCURT:
Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm.

Sept. 11, 1992 copy in box for S. Dratler & mailed copy to A. Gamble

Sept. 17, 1992 Appearance of David P. Brandt, Attorney for plaintiff filed.

Oct 27, 1992 MOTION TO CONTINUE TO A DATE CERTAIN and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 22nd day of October, 1992, hearing on the defendant's claim for alimony, alimony pendente lite, counsel fees, costs and expenses is set for the 1st day of December, 1992, at 3:30 p.m., in courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm.

Oct. 30, 1992 copy to A. Gamble & D. Brandt

Nov. 19, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec. 15, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Dec. 18, 1992 ORDER AND DECREE filed. AND NOW this 18th day of December, 1992, it is ORDERED AND DECREED that **CHARLES F. TAYLOR**, Plaintiff and **SALLIE A. TAYLOR**, Defendant are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of the following claims which have been raised of record in this action for which a final Order has not yet been entered: NONE

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, President Judge

Dec. 18, 1992 Marriage Settlement Agreement and ORDER AND DECREE filed. AND NOW, this 18th day of December, 1992, this Court, hereby approves the foregoing and annexed Marriage Settlement Agreement, orders that the said Agreement be filed with this ORDER AND DECREE in the above-captioned action and ORDERS and DECREES:

1. That all provisions, covenants, and agreements of the said Agreement shall have the same force and effect as if incorporated in and set forth in length in this Order and Decree;

2. The continuing jurisdiction of the Plaintiff, Charles F. Taylor, and the Defendant, Sallie A. Taylor, and of the matters and subjects of this Order and Decree is retained by this Court; and

3. In accordance with the terms and conditions of said Marriage Settlement Agreement and notwithstanding the approval, adoption, confirmation, and incorporation of the same by and in this Decree, the said Marriage Settlement Agrement shall not be merged herein but shall survive and be binding upon the parties.

4. The said Marriage Settlement Agreement shall nto be modified or amended except as provided therein.

5. This Decree constitutes a final Order as to all matters and claims pending in this action, and the Court retains jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter for the purposes of enforcement only.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

Dec. 29, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. David Brandt and Ann Gamble.

1991

MASON & MASON
Joan D. Mason

308

VICTORIA ANN FELTENBERGER

VS.

RICHARD A. FELTENBERGER

Filed Mar 25, 1991 @ 3:59 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$65.50 ✓
User fee paid	10.00
State paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd. 1-21-92	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ATTORNEY FEES, COURT COSTS AND EXPENSES

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 2, 1991, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, atty. for plaintiff in the above case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on Mar. 26, 1991 mail to dft. by cert. mail ret. rec. req. del. to addressee only, a complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was recrived on Mar. 30, 1991 as indicated by the ret. rec. card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's atty. and is attached hereto. Joan D. Mason Atty.

July 29, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 30, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 21, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, this moved to Court.

Jan. 24, 1992 Motion to remove Count II and Order filed. And Now, January 21, 1992 motion granted. Gordon R. Miller, J
Jan. 28, 1992 Copy of order to Atty. Mason

Jan. 24, 1992 D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .

AND NOW, January 22, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that Victoria Ann Feltenberger plaintiff, and Richard A. Feltenberger, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Culbertson, Weiss,
Schetroma & Schug
Glenn A. W. Thompson

309

Mark Prather

Griffin Motor Company

VS.

Benjamin A. Ogello

Filed Mar 26, 1991 @ 10:54 p.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.25
User fee paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 5/31/91	15.00
Pro Co Pd 7/25/91	5.00

APPEAL FROM DISIRICT JUSTICE JUDGMENT OF
DISIRICT 30-2-01

Mar 26, 1991 Rule to File Complaint and Rule to File entered.

Mar. 27, 1991, Proof of Service filed.

Apr. 15, 1991 Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

May 20, 1991 Answer filed.

May 28, 1991, Reply to Answer and New Matter filed.

May 29, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for reference to the arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed:
M. Dana Mason
Betti J.Peterson Muth
Terry Toomey

June 3, 1991 Arbitrators take qualifying oath filed.

July 25, 1991. Decision of the Arbitrators filed in which they find in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of $2400. plus costs of suit.

Decision of Arbitrators
July 25, 1991 copies to attys. of record.

July 25, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked satisfied and discontinued of record.

JACK, KOOKOGEY AND FELTON
John Kookogey

310

JOHN ANDRICH and DOROTHY D. ANDRICH, his wife, and CABOT OIL AND GAS CORPORATION

VS.

MARGARET SWEAT, a/k/a MADGE SWEAT, AUSTA FISHER and _____ FISHER, her husband, WILBUR SWEAT and JOHN BANTA, their respective heirs, personal representatives and assigns

Filed Mar 26, 1991 @ 10:55 a.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.50
User fee paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 6/3/91	9.00

ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

Mar. 27, 1991 Affidavit, Motion For Leave To Service By Publication and Order filed. AND NOW, March 26, 1991, on motion of John S. Kookogey, Attorney for Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter, leave is hereby granted to serve MARGRET SWEAT, a/k/a MADGE SWEAT, AUSTA FISHER and ------ FISHER, her husband, WILBER SWEAT, and JOHN BANTA, and their respective heirs, personally representatives, and assigns, generally, Defendants in said Action, by publication of a notice ofthefacts set forth in the Complaint in the Titusville Hearld and Crawford County Legal Journal, newspaper of general circulation, one (1) time and requiring the said Defendants to plead within twenty (20) days from last date of publication thereof.

PER CURIAM,
Robert L. Walker, J

Mar. 28, 1991 Copy of order mailed to John Kookogey

May 1, 1991 Affidavit, Motion for Judgment and Order filed. AND NOW, this 1st day of May, 1991, upon presentation of the foregoing motion and due consideration thereof, it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED that the defendants, MARGRET SWEAT, a/k/a, MADGE SWEAT, AUSTA FISHER and FISHER, her husband, WILBUR SWEAT and JOHN BANTA, an dtheir heirs, personal representatives, and assigns, are forever barred from asserting any right, title, lien or interest inconsistent with the claim ofthe Plaintiff, as set forth in the Complaint in this case, of, in, or any way attacking the title of the Plaintiffs in said property, or form issuing or continuing any action attackin gthe same, and from encumbering, mortgaging or conveying the same or any part thereof, unless the Defendant, MARGRET SWEAT, a/k/a MADGE SWEAT, AUSTA FISHER and FISHER, her husband, WILBUR and JOHN BANTA, and their heirs, personal representatives, and assigns, take such actionas is her ein directed within thirty (30) days after the entry of this judgment. It if further ORDERED AND DECREED that if no Petition to open this judgment or set aside this decree is presented by the Defendants, MARGRET SWEAT, a/k/a MADGE SWEAT, AUSTA FISHER and FISHER, her husband, WILBUR SWEAT, and JOHN BANTA, and their heirs, personal representatives, and assigns, within thirty (30) days from and after the entry of this Decree, the same shall be final. If such action is not taken within said thirty day period, the Prothonotary, on praecipe of the Plaintiffs, shall enter final judgment.

PER CURIAM,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 6, 1991 Copy of order mailed to John Kookogey

And now, June 3, 1991 at 9:03 a.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, final judgment is hereby entered in this case, in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendants, pursuant to the foregoing Order of Court.

S-115, F-14; S-175; B-93

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1991

KNOX MCLAUGHLIN GORNALL
& SENNETT, P.C.

311

CARL E. AVERS and PRISCILLA A. AVERS, husband and wife

VS.

LEONARD BAKER

Filed Mar 26, 1991 @ 11:05 a.m.

Tax & Entry paid	$50.00
User fee paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	17.00
Pro Co Pd 7/10/91	6.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 30, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority personally appeared Mark S. Fisher, Dep. Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 1st day of Apr. 1991 at 12;30 p.m. he served complaint at No. 311 AD 1991, Crawford County Pa upon dft. at his residence R. d. #5, Box 5189A, Mercer, Pa. 16137.
By making known the contents thereof to Karen Baker, adult family member with whom Leonard resides and hang to and leaving with her the cert. copy of complaint received from Sheriff of Crawford County. Mark S. Fisher, Dep. sheriff
Wm. H. Romine, Jr. sheriff, Mercer Co.
SERVED Leonard Baker by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer County, Pa. as per his affidavit of Srevice attached.
Served 4/1/91
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, all claims and causes of action which were, or could have been asserted in this action having been settled, adjusted and compromised, this action is hereby discontinued of record.

1991

Joseph F. Kulwicki

312.

CINDY L. WICK

vs.

DAVID J. WICK

Filed Mar. 26, 1991
@ 3:09 p.m.

tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 7/8/91	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT UNDER 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 4, 1991, Certific ate of Service filed. Irene P. Alexander, being duly sworn a ccording to law, deposes and says that she is employed by Jos. Kulwicki Atty. for plaintiff ans as such on or about Mar. 29, 1991 she placed a cert. copy of above div. complaint in the U.S. mail, addressed to dft.

Said div. complaint was mailed Cert. mail rest. del. being cert. mail item no. P 262 246 307 The signature card for said cert. mail was returned to the office bearing the signature of the dft. as having received same on Apr. 1, 1991. Said signature card is attached hereto. Irene P. Alexander.

July 3, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 3, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

July 3, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

July 9, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, July 9, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Cindy Wick, plaintiff, and David Wick, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

313.

McClure and Miller
Edward P. Wittmann

CHARLES RAY ADAMS

vs.

PATRICIA L. ADAMS

Filed Mar. 27, 1991
@ 11:45 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 2, 1991, Affidavit of SErvice filed. Bruce A. BArrett, Esq. being duly sworn to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of his affidavit of service are true and correct ofthe best of his knowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the atty. for plff in the above divorce action.

2. That a true and correct copy of complaint for divorce under Sect. 201(c) was sent by reg. mail to the dft.

3. The registered mail was sent to thedft. at 4660 Crayton Rd. West Springfield, Pa. 16433 on Mar. 27 1991. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. The defendant received said reg. mail on Mar. 28, 1991. Said rec. card No. 320 791 293 is attached hereto and signed by dft. Bruce A. Barrett, Esq.

Apr. 8, 1991 Appearance of McClure and Miller, by Edward P. Wittmann, attorneys for defendant filed.

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 4/8/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: March 12, 1998

Mar 12, 1998 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

Jack, Kookogey &
Felton
Robert J. Felton

314.

Gerald Sullivan

ROBERT C. SOSNOWSKI

vs.

LYNDA J. VAN VALKENBERG

Filed Mar. 27, 1991
@ 11:49 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Bruce Smith, mediator	153.41
Rec'd 7/24/91 -	9.00

7/26/91 Rec'd from plaintiff mediators (75%) of costs #115.06

Aug 1, 1991 paid Co-Comm. #115.06

COMPLAINT FOR PRIMARY, PHYSICAL AND LEGAL CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 27 day of March 1991, upon presentation of the foregoing Complaint for Custody, the parties hereto and their respective counsel are ordered to appear before BRUCE SMITH, the Child Custody mediator, who is hereby appointed in this case. The said parties and their respective counsel shall appear at suc h time or times that the Child Custody mediator shall hereinafter determine.

Robert L. Walker, S. J.

Apr. 8, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Robert J. Felton, atty. at Law, Titusville, Pa. do hereby certify that, in my capacity as atty. for the plaintiff in the above captioned matter, served a cert. copy of the Custody Complaint in this matter on Apr. 4, 1991, by sending dft. the same by cert. mail P 297 231 641 ret. rec. req. A complaint was mailed to the dft. on Wed. Apr. 3, 1991 as reflected inthe receipt for mailing and the return rec. attached hereto. Robert J. Felton ESq.

June 7, 1991 **TEMPORARY ORDER OF COURT** filed. AND NOW, THIS 5th day of June, 1991, after Mediation and upon recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Robert Michael Sosnowski, age five, and Ryan Lee Sosnowski, age three, the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of the said minor children. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitle both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the children held by a third party, including, but not limited to, school and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to the educational and religious upbringing of the children, as well as to elective surgery.

2. Primary physical custody of the children shall be with the natural father, Robert C. Sosnowski. Regular visitation and temporary custody of the children shall be granted to the natural mother, Lynda J. Van Valkenberg. Physical custody of the minor children for the mother shall be as follows:

a. The children shall visit in the home of the natural mother from 4:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Friday through 5:00 p.m., prevailing time, on Sunday the second and fourth weekends of each month beginning in June of 1991.

b. During the summers of each year, beginning in 1991, the children shall be available to be in the mother's home for two uninterrupted weeks, which, unless agreed to the contrary by the parties, shall be the first two full weeks of August each year.

c. Holiday visitation shall take priority over other scheduled visitation. The parties are encouraged to agree as to holiday visitation; however, in the event that they cannot, during odd-numbered years, beginning in 1991, the mother shall have the children on Easter and the 4th of July, and the father shall have the children on Memorial Day and Labor Day each year. These days shall begin at 9:00 a.m., prevailing time, and end 7:00 p.m., prevailing time. These days shall be reversed in even-numbered years. The children shall spend Thanksgiving each year in the mother's home and the day following Thanksgiving each year in the father's home beginning in 1991. With regard to Christmas each year, the children shall be available to spend Christmas Day each year in the mother's home from 2:00 p.m. until 7:00 p.m., prevailing time.

d. The father shall pick up the children at the mother's residence and return the children to the mother's residence.

3. The parent having custody of the children at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon possible in the event of injury or illness of the children requiring medical treatment.

4. Neither parent shall take the children into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to their development or to the development of their character or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to their physical persons.

5. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the children; and, if the parties mutually agree to alternative arrangements to accommodate themselves and/or the children's schedules, they may adjust the physical custody arrangements accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

6. Each of the parents shall teach the children to develop and express that natural love and respect due a parent from his or her children, and neither parent will do or say anything to blacken the character of the other parent in the eyes of the children. This admonition shall extend to the girlfriends and boyfriends of the parents and to the grandparents of the children.

7. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to reguest further Mediation if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the children or in the event that the best interest of the children would be served by further Mediation.

8. The Court ofCommon Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either of the parents or one the children resides in the Court's jurisdiction and for at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

9. The fee for Child Custody Mediation shall be paid 75% by the father and 25% by the County of Crawford on behalf of the mother.

10. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent Order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty days from the date of this Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

315.

CHRISTINE E. TAYLOR

vs.

WALTER C. CARR

Filed Mar. 27, 1991
@ 2:22 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
sheriffs costs	26.90
Pro Co.	9.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff

3 copies Sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 27th day of March, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: The Defendant shall not go to Plaintiff's place of residence.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for April 5, 1991, at 3:00 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Apr. 3, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Walter C. Carr personally on Apr. 2, 1991 at 11:11 am at the residence of his brother Emmett Carr Fisher Rd. Conneaut ville, Crawford County Pa. So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

Apr. 16, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, April 5, 1991 at the time set for hearing, both Christine Taylor and Walter Carr appeared in Court. The defnedant stated that he now lieves at R.D. 1, Conneautville, Fisher Road, and he has agreed that he will stay away from the Plaintiff and the children completely, and is given permission as soon as he has the truck available, that he should contact Christine Taylor by phone through the woman who lives upstairs in her house and arrange for a time that's convenient to both of them so that he can take his belongings away. He is to bring the key that he has to this place and leave it there at the house so tha the will not have a key to the place, and he has agreed to say completely away from these people entirely.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
James B. Dwyer, S.J.

4/16/91 copy given to County Control and 4/23/91 copies mailed to plaintiff and defendant.

Jan. 3, 1992 ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Jan. 2, 1992, a hearing scheduled in this matter is set for the 8th day of Jan., 1992 at 1:30 P.M. in Courtroom NO. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Jan.3, 1992 copies to Plf and Dft by mail.

Jan. 10, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Jan. 8, 1992, the matter is back before the Court in a contempt posture. It appears that the parties recently attempted some sort of a reconciliation, but around New Year's Eve, trouble started, which the plaintiff attributes to the defendant's drinking and the defendant refused to remove himself from her household at Lotll, Countryside Estates, Conneautville, Pa. The Police were called and apparently under authority of an Abuse Order entered April 5, 1991, apprehended the defendant and lodged him in the County Jail on or about Jan. 1, 1992. The defendant has remained in jail since.

It appears the parties lived together for nine years without the benefit of marriage, that the plaintiff has three children by three different men, the youngest of which, Angela, was fathered by the defendant. The plaintiff contends the defendant has a drinking problem and she is apprehensive about him visiting his daughter after consuming alcoholic beverages.

The defendant is released from confinement in the Crawford County Jail and he is directed not to annoy, harass or assault the plaintiff in any fashion.

The plaintiff mother advises the Court she has no objection to the defendant father visiting sever-year-old Angela so long as he has not been consuming alcoholic beverages. The Court interprets this as her consent to have the defendant periodically visit his child at her home so long as he has not consumed alcoholic beverages.

The parties mutually agree that the defendant father will have the right to come to the residence of the plaintiff to visit his minor child on Wednesday of each week at approximately 3:30 p.m. when the child gets out of school and stay for a period of two (2) hours. The defendant shall not consume alcoholic beverages during the visit.

Other than the visits with the child, the defendant may not go to the plaintiff's premises for any pruposes.

Any costs accrued in this case shall be p aid by the County.

In view of the peculiar circumstances surrounding these parties, the Court directs that this Abuse Order shall terminate April 5, 1992 any new incidents of abuse alleged by the plaintiff after said date will require the filing of a new abuse petition.

The defendant is advised if he wishes more extensive custody or visitation rights, he has the right to file a separate custody and visitation petition to secure the same, which matter, once filed, will be referred to a Custody Mediator.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Jan. 10, 1992 copy given to County Control. Jan. 15, 1992 copy sent to Plf. & Dft. Gave Plf cooy for Police

316

1991

(JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)

Watts and Pepicelli PC
Alan L. Pepicelli

316.

MARY F. PLANK

vs.

BLUE CROSS OF WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA, A CORPORATION

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 24, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record.

Filed Mar. 27, 1991
@ 2:49 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 4/24/91	6.00 ✓

1991

Shafer, swick Bailey, Irwin & Stack

Charles J. Swick

317.

MARQUETTE SAVINGS ASSOCIATION

vs.

ROBERT R. WOODROW AND DOROTHY C. WOODROW

Filed Mar. 27, 1991
@ 4:04 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro. paid 4/23/91	5.00
sheriffs costs	35.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Apr., 23, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the debtor having cured the default by payment of arrearages and prin. and int. atty.fees and costs, this case is settled and discontinued fo record without prejudice to commence further foreclosure actions in the event of default.

May 1, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Robert R. Woodrow, personally at the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville Pa. on Apr. 5, 1991 at 12:07 p.m.

SERVED Dorothy C. Woodrow, on Apr. 5, 1991 at 12:08 p.m. by serving Robert R. Woodrow her husband at the Sheriffs Office, Crawford Countycourthouse, Meadville, Pa. signed acceptance of service attached.

So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Dep.
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack

Charles J. Swick

318.

LAWRENCE AND RUTH COLLURA

vs.

SENECA L. SHONGO

Filed Mar. 27, 1991
@ 4:05 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	21.00
Pro Co pd 8/1/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

1 copy (sheriff)

May 1, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Seneca L. Shongo, personally on Apr. 3, 1991 at 1:44 p.m. at the Sheriffs Office, Crawford County courthouse, Meadville, Pa.
So answers, Diane Severo, Dep.
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Aug. 1, 1991 at 3:10 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Twenty-one Thousand Two Hundred Ninety-eight and 67/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

S-153
judgment entered 8/1/91

Debt $21,298.67
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

EX 1991 - 65

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack

Charles J. Swick

319.

MARQUETTE SAVINGS ASSOCIATION

vs.

WILLIAM HART AND CAROL HART

Filed Mar. 27, 1991
@ 4:05 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	36.75
Pro Co Pd 6/5/91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORELCOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

May 1, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Carol Hart, personally, at her residence R. D. #5, Waterford, Pa. on Apr. 4, 1991 at 11:08 a.m.

SERVED william Hart, on Apr. 4, 1991 at 11)9 a.m. by serving his wife, Carol Hart, at their residence R. D. #5, Waterford, Pa.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, dep.
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 5, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney,, the defendants having cured the default by payment of arrearages, principal, interest reasonable attorney fees and costs, in accordance with Act 6 of 1974, the above captioned matter is narjed discontinued on record without prejudice to commence further foreclosure actions in event of additional default.

Shapiro & Kreisman
Carolee Berasi

320.

WESAV MORTGAGE CORPORATION
Financial Plaza, Suite 11000
1201 South Alma School Road
Mesa, Az. 85210-2014

vs.

DONALD M. PRESTER
DEDRA B. PRESTER
429 Poplar Street
Meadville, Pa. 16335

Filed Mar. 28, 1991
@ 11:15 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriffs costs	31.00
Pro Co Pd 6/3/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

Apr. 30, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Donald M. Prester personally on Apr. 3, 1991 at 10:45 a.m. at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335.
SERVED debra B. Prester on Apr. 3, 1991 at 10:46 a.m. by handing copy of complaint to her authorized Ag ent, donald M. Prester, at Sheriffs Office, crawford County courthouse, Meadville Pa. Signed acceptance of Service attached.
So answers, Carole Stefanucci, dep.Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

And Now, June 3, 1991, at 4:09 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with certification of address of plaintiff and defendant, affidavit of non military service, copy of default notice, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendatns in teh sum of Thirty Three Thousand Twenty Eight and 17/100 Dollars,
Judgment entered - 6/3/91
P - 44 Debt ------$33,028.17

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

June 10, 1991, notices of entry of judgment mailed to each defendant.

EX 1991 - 46

1991

MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton
Susan Fuhrer Reiter

321.

MacDonald, Illig, JOnes & Britton
James M Greenfield

PENNBANK

vs.

GREGORY B. STRAWBRIDGE AND SHARON T. STRAWBRIDGE

Filed Mar. 28, 1991
@ 11:20 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 7/1/91	5.00

COMPLAINT

Apr. 4, 1991, Acceptance of service filed. I accept Service of the Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure on behalf of Gregory B. Strawbridge and Sharon T. Strawbridge and certify that I am authorized to do so. James M. Greenfield, Esq.

June 27, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above action is discontinued without prejudice, and docket marked accordingly.

322

1991

Knox McLaughlin Gornall
& Sennett P.C.
Joanna K. Budde

322.

JOSEPH LAPUMA

vs.

BEN A OGELLO d/b/a
FAMILY ENTERPRISES

Filed Mar. 28, 1991
@ 11:34 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriffs costs	25.00
Pro Co pd 7/8/91	6.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

Apr. 30, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Ben A. Ogello on Apr. 2, 1991 at 1:10 p.m. by handing copy of complaint to Carol Reese, Office Manager, at place of business 873 Water St. Meadville, crawford County Pa.

SERVED FAmily Enterprises on Apr. 2, 1991 at 1:11 p.m. by handing copy of complaint to Carol Rees, Office Manager at place of business 873 Water st. Meadville, Crawford County Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. stewart, sheriff

June 10, 1991 Service of Important Default NOtice filed.

July 8, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney this action is marked settled and discontinued of record without prejudice to the attorney

1991

323.

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED
INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

TERRY STORVALL

Filed Mar. 28, 1991

APPLICATION FOR EXTENDED INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT
Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976-Section 303

Certification by the court: And now, this 27 day of March, 1991, the court orders that patient receive inpatient treatment at WSH Forensic Unit as a severely mentally disabled person for period of twenty (20) days.

Patient represented by Bruce Barrett, esq.
for the court, John D. Petruso, MHRO
Mar. 28, 1991 copies distributed by Mr. Lilly to MH/MR Jail, J. Petruso, B. Barrett, and Warren St. Hosp.

1991

Riehl & Moore
Ralph R. Riehl, III

324.

JOSEPH JOHN BRENNER AND
TERESA A. BRENNER, HIS WIFE

vs.

MARTIN E. GALLAGHER

Filed Mar. 28, 1991
@ 1:56 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	31.00
Pro Co pd 9/17/91	6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

May 1, 1991 Writ Returned. SERVED Martin E. Gallagher personally on Apr. 18, 1991 at 11: 35 a.m. at his residence, 1206 s. Cottage St. Meadville Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, dep. sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

Sept. 16, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above-captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record

325.

MARGARET A. MESSINA

vs.

WILLIE E. BAILEY

Filed Mar. 28, 1991
@ 2:49 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
sheriffs costs	21.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies Sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 28th day of April, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions:

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for April 9, 1991, at 3:30 P.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Robert L. Walker, SJ

Apr. 2, 1991 Sheriffs Return field. SERVED Willie E. Bailey personally on Mar. 28, 1991 at 4:10 p.m. at crawford County Jail, 360 Center St. Meadville, Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr. 12, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, April 9, 1991, after informal hearing the Court is satisfied that the requisites of the Abuse Act have been met and accordingly, the Defendant is DIRECTED not to assault, annoy or harass the victim, Margaret A. Messina, in any fashion. The Defendant shall not go to any residence where the Plaintiff is located for any purpose.
The Defendant may not contact by telephone or in writing the Plaintiff for any purpose.
This Order shall remain in force and effect for a period of one (1) year unless discontinued earlier on motion of the Plaintiff.
Any police officer having reasonable grounds to believe that the provisions of this Order have been violated may apprehend the Defendnt without warrant and incarcerate him in the Crawford County Jail and promptly notify the Court to hold a contempt hearing.
Costs in this case will be paid by the Defendant within thirty (30) days of the time a bill is received form the Prothonotary
It shall be an added condition that the Defendant may not go to the Mental Health Center for the purpose of seeing the Pl a intiff at any time. If he has appointments there and the Plaintiff is there at the Mental Health Center, he may not contact her and if they are present in the same room, he nust leave the room.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Apr. 12, 1991 2-copies Atty. Nelson and 1 copy each dft, adult Prob. and County Control

1991

Michael Halliday
Halliday & Halliday PC

326.

PAULA D. BELFIORE

vs.

TIMOTHY P. BELFIORE

Filed Apr. 1, 1991
@ 8:55 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
pro co pd. 11/18/91	-9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 9, 1991 Appearance and Acceptance filed. Enter my General Appearance in the above entitled Divorce action. I hereby accept service of and acknowledge receipt of a copy of the original Complaint filed in the above action.
Timothy P. Belfiore

Sept. 9, 1991 Affidavit of Consent Under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code of Defendant filed.

Sept. 9, 1991 Affidavit of Consent Under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 1, 1991 Complaint reinstated.

Nov. 18, 1991 General Appearance filed. Enter my general appearance in the above captioned divorce action. I hereby accept service of and acknowledge receipt of a cert. copy of the complaint filed in this action. Timothy P. Belfiore.

Nov. 18, 1991 Affida vit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Nov. 18, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Nov. 18, 1991, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Dec. 2, 1991 O R D E R F I L E D .

AND NOW, this 26th day of November, 1991, upon consideration of the within Motion, it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED as follows:

1. That Paula D. Belfiore and Timothy P. Belfiore be divorced and separated from nuptial ties and the bonds of matrimony heretofore contracted between them, said divorce having been sought and granted on the basis of Section 3301-(c) ofthe Divorce Code of 1980, as amended.

2. That the agreement as executed by the parties and as attached to the Motion for Final Decree in Divorce be filed as a part of the divorce proceedings.

3. That the custody of the parties' two minor children, namely, Danielle M. Belfiore, born July 9, 1989, and Amanda R. Belfiore, born November 10, 1990, be as follows:

(a) Wife shall have primary physical custody of the children with reasonable visitation rights being granted unto the Husband at times and places to be mutually agreed upon between the parties.

(b) Neither parent shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other parent in the childrens' eyes and each parent shall gout of their way to foster a good and loving relationship between the children and both parents.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon r. Miller J

1991

R. Charles Thomas
Thomas, Truax, Spada-
fore, Walker, Vardaro

327.

MARY M. SPAULDING, ADMINISTR-
ATRIX OF THE ESTATE OF
MARY JO MOORE, DECEASED

vs.

CHRISTOPHER R. NICKEL

Filed April 1, 1991
@ 10:14 a.m.

Tax & entry PAID	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	29.00

COMPLAINT IN REPLEVIN

1 copy (sheriff)

May 1, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Christopher R. Nicket, personally at theCrawford County Sheriff office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. on April 3, 1991 at 2:15 p.m.
So answers, Diane Severo, Dep
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

328

1991

Hayt, Hayt & Landau
Arthur Lashin

328.

FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY
One American Road
Dearborn, Michigan 48122

vs.

GEORGE R. BABCOCK
R. D. #2, P.O. Box 255
Linesville, Pa. 16424

Filed Apr. 1, 1991
@ 11:43 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	28.50
Pro Co pd 5/13/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

May 2, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED George R. Babcock, personally, at his residence, RD #2, Linesville, PA, on April 4, 1991 at 1:41 P.M.
So answers, Robert M. sTevensy, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, May 13, 1991 at 2:39 pm, upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to file Default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sumof Six Thousand Eight Hundred seven and 01/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

B-76 Debt $6,807.01
judgment entered 5/13/91 Judgment
notice of entry of judgment mailed 5/14/91 James F. Simmons, Prothonotary

Ex 1991-54

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

329.

ROBERT WAYNE BEAUCHAT

vs.

MELISSA ANN MILLER

Filed Apr. 1, 1991
@ 1:05 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50 ✓
state paid 5.00
John Fuller, mediator

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY/VISITATION

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediation)

NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR:
You, Melissa Ann Miller, respondent, have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody or visitation of the child: Kristi Beauchat.

You are ordered to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the mediator: JOHN FULLER ESQ. for a mediation conference.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, and to bring the minor child with you, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

It is further ordered and decreed that pending the final resolution of this matter, neither party may remove the child from the jurisdiction of this court.

You should take this paper t o your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot affored one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Public Comm. c/o Prothonotary Office Crawford county Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335 814 724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept 22, 1998 ORDER: Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 4/1/1991. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear from you in writing on or before Oct 23, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the cawe being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's office on or before Oct 23, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

Date: Sept 22, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 5, 1998 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of Oct., 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given by publication of a "Notice of Intent To Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on Oct. 14, 1998, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, all and each of the 84 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice. A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 19011.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

330.

VICKY L. PATTERSON

vs:

JAMES R. PATTERSON

5/20/91 Recd. $21.00 from Defendant

5/20/91 Paid $21.00 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff # 6234

Filed Apr. 1, 1991
@ 1:36 p.m.

Tax & entry	Pd 5/20/91	$50.50 ✓
state	Pd 5/20/91	5.00
sheriffs costs		21.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy Plaintiff
3 copies Sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 1 day of April, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Pl. is awarded exclusive possession (with) children of marital residence. Def will immediately surrender the marital residence and keys to residence & keys to Pl. car to the plaintiff. Vernon Twp. police will confescate & take into possesison all fireamms in the premises. Pl awarded exclus. custody of children till further order of court. Dft. may not go to residence or to the childrens school or the residence of children's babysitter for any purpose.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for April 10, 1991, at 3:30 P.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Apr. 3, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED James R. Patterson personally on Apr. 1, 1991 at 3:15 p.m. and confiscated 18 firearms in his possession, at his residence R. S. #4, Carr Hill Road, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Chief W. F. Brown, Vernon Twp. Police
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr. 16, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, April 10, 1991, at the time set for hearing on this abuse matter, th eparties appear before the Court and advise the Court the matter has been amicably resolved.

Upon admission of the allegations in the petition, the defendant agrees that he will not assault, harass or annoy the plaintiff in any fashion and will not go to her premises except as noted hereafter for any purpose. The defendant also agrees that he will not assault, harass or annoy any of the five children named in the petition.

ACCORDINGLY, the defendant consents that the primary custody of the children shall be with the mother and he agrees to give her exclusive use of the residence at R.D. #4, Carr Hill Road, Meadville Meadville, Pennsylvania.

The Sheriff, who now has custody of the firearms confiscated by the Police, is authorized to surrender the same to the plaintiff wife.

The parties mutually agree that primary custody having been awarded to the mother, the father shall be entitled to the following visits with his five minor children: The visits shall be at the home ofthe maternal grandmother, Kathrine Aug, R.D. #2, Hadley, Pennsylvania. Each Saturday, commencing withthe weekend of April 20, 1991, the defendant shall be entitled to Satirday visits with all five children between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. It shall be the responsibility of the father to pick up the children up at the aforesaid grandmother's residence and to return them after the visit.

Additionally, the defendant shall be entitled to visit any school function in which the children are involved and to have casual contact with the children at said visits if the same is allowed.

Additionally, the father shall be entitled to have frequent telephone conversations with his children, but the calls to the children must be made before 8:00 p.m. in the evening.

The defendant may have some personal belongings remaining at the residence, and after his release from present voluntary confinement as a patient in the Mental Health Center, he may go to the residenced at an agree dtime to pick up his personal belongings, providing he gives his wife three (3) hours advanced telephone notice of the time he will be there and providing the wife is present when said personal effects are picked up.

Any Police Officer having reasonable grounds to believe that the abuse portions of this Order have been violated may apprehend the defendant upon probable cause, without warrant, and lodge hims in the County Jail and request an immediate ocntempt hearing before the Court.

The defendant is directed to pay costs in this case within sixty (60) days of a bill being sent by the Prothonotary's Office at R.D.#4, Carr Hill Raod, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Apr. 16, 1991 Copies put in Atty's and County Control's box and copy mailed to defendant.

331.

Bruce A. BArrett

Received from Deft 4-25-91 39.50

4/25/91 P.J. Howard N. Stewart Sheriff $39.50 Check no. 6195

FRANCES A. GARCHER

vs.

MICHAEL F. GARCHER

Filed Apr. 2, 1991
@ 11:40 a.m.

Sheriff's costs		39.50
Tax and entry	Pd 4-25-91	50.50
User fee	Pd 4-25-91	5.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies Sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 2 day of April, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Pl is granted exclusive possession of the marital home & def may not go there for any purpose.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for April 12, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Apr. 8, 1991 Appearance of Bruce A. Barrett,. attorney for defendant filed.

April 12, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared William Parker, Deputy who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 4th day of April 1991, at 1456 M., he served Petition for Protection from ABuse and Court Order at No. 331 Term, 1991., Crawford Co., PA., upon defendant Michael R. Garcher at 8150 West Ridge Rd., Erie, Co., Fairview, PA. By making known the contents thereof to Michael F. Garcher and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Petition received from Crawford County.

William Parker, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff
Erie, PA.

SERVED Michael F. Garcher by deputizing Sheriff of Erie Co., PA. as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served: April 4, 1991.
So answers,

Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

April 16, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, April 12, 1991, at the time of the hearing in this matter both parties appeared with counsel and settled their differences and have consented to the entry of the following Order, however, the Defendant does not admit that he engaged in the conduct that is set forth in the Petition:

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. The Plaintiff is granted exclusive possession of the marital home.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it hs been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departmetn in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. This Order shall remain in force and effect until October 11, 1991, unless modified, extended or further terminated by further Order of this Court.
6. The Defendant shall pay the record court costs no later than May 12, 1991.
7. If the Defendant goes to the home of the Plaintiff, he shall do so only after having given the Plaintiff advance notice in writing or by telephone and only if he is in the presence of a law enforcement officer or other responsible adult person.
8. The Defendant is reminded that this is an Order of Court and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence up to six (6) months.

By Order Of The Court,
Gordon R. Milelr, P.J.

Apr. 16, 1991 Two copies put in box for Attys. Bruce Barrett and R. Christopher Brody, Copy to County Control.

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

332.

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro and Walker
R. Charles Thomas

JUDITH B. GILIBERTO

vs.

FRANK M. GILIBERTO

Filed Apr. 2, 1991
@ 2:00 p.m..

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
3 Counts Pd 2-8-93	45.00
user fee Pd 2-8-93	15.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 2, 1991, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

April 15, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed: I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, despose and say that I did on April 4, 1991 mail to the above defendant, Frank M. Gilberto, by certified mail return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a Complaint and 201(d) Affidavit in the above divorce action. Said notice was received on April 10, 1991 as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.
Joan D. Mason, Attorney for Plaintiff

Apr. 15, 1991, Answer filed.

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed.
Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 4/15/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.
If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.
If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:
Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: March 12, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
Mar. 12, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.
By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

333.

MELINDA A. RUCK
44 Asbury Manor West
Meadville, Pa. 16335

vs.

MICHAEL D. RUCK
207 Little Creek Road Apt. 1
Harmony, Pa. 16037
412 452-0634

Filed Apr. 2, 1991
@ 2:57 p.m.

Tax & entry	$10.50
state	5.00

CERTIFIED COPY OF ORDER OF THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
OF BUTLER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA No.90-1327A,Bk.27, pg.240

2 copies (plff)
1 copy (county control)
1 copy (sheriff)

FINAL PROTECTION ORDER:

AND NOW, this 31st day of Dec. 1990, after a hearing and upon finding that the plaintiff and/or minor child has been abused within the meaning of the Protection From Abuse Act, the following order is entered:

1. The defendant shall refrain from abusing, harassing and or threatening the plaintiff and/or minor child, Randy R. Ruck, or placing them in fear of abuse in any place where they may be found.

2. The defendant is prohibited from having any contact with the plaintiff including, but not limited to, entering or attempting to enter the place of employment, business, or school of plaintiff and plaintiff's relatives.

3. The plaintiff is awarded temporary physical and legal custody of child subject to reasonable visitation by dft.

4. The defendant shall pay tmeporary support for the plaintiff and/or minor child in the amount of $50.00 per wk.

6. The defendant shall pay the costs of filing and petition and orders herein within 3 months.

7. The defendant is hereby notified that if he violates the terms of this order, he may be found to be in direct criminal contempt which is punishable by a fine not to exceed $1,000.00 and/or a sentence of up to six months in jail.

8. The court shall serve a copy of this order on the Jackson Twp. Police Dept. and the Pa. State Police, Butler Barracks.

9. The Jackson Twp POlice Dept. shall serve a copy of this order upon the dft. Failure to make such service shall not stay theeffect of this order.

10. Lawenforcement officers, the staff of the county office maintaining registry of proteciton orders, and court personnel staff shall not disclose to dft., his counsel or any third party the confidential address of any domestic violence program involved in this case.

11. This order shall be enforced by any law enforcement agency in a county where a violation of this order occurs.

12. This order shall remain in full force and effect until Apr. 28, 1991.

This in an order of court, any violation of this orde shall constitute contempt of court and may bepunishable by a fine of up to $1,000 and/or a jail sentence of up to six months.

BY THE COURT,
Floyd A. Rauschenberger, Jr. Judge

Dec. 31, 1991, copies to Dom REl, Wesley F. Hamilton Esq. Michael D. Ruck, Sheriff, DA,s Office, Jackson Twp. Police, and Pa. St. police.

1991

Stephen E. Hall

334.

William L. Walker,
for patient

IN THE MATTER OF:

BESS HAGER (older adult)

Filed Apr. 2, 1991
@ 3:47 p.m.

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY INTERVENTION BY EMERGENCY COURT ORDER

EMERGENCY ORDER FOR INVOLUNTARY INTERVENTION:

AND NOW, April 2, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing petition , it is hereby ordered that Active Aging, Inc. shall provide the following protective services to Bess Hager and that such services shall be provided without her consent:

For such services as was requested in the attached petition.

The State or local police, accompanied by a representative of Active Aging, Inc., are hereby authorized to forcibly enter the premises of the aforesaid order adult toenforce the terms of this order.

The terms of this order shall expire at 6 p.m. April 5, 1991. The court sets ----------as the time and place for a review hearing in event of need for an additional emergency court order or continued court and protective services involvement or both. The court hereby appoints William L. Walker, esq.to represent the aforesaid older adult in any further proceedigns with regard to this matter.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
James B. Dwyer, S. J.

Apr. 3, 1991, copies of order to Atty. S. Hall and W. Walker.

1991

Ann Hansen Gamble
Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso and Gamble

335.

CAROLYN L. STERLING

vs.

JEFFREY G. STERLING

Filed Apr. 3, 1991
@ 10:04 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
3 counts paid	45.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	20.00
Pro.Co. pd 2/17/93	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES, CUSTODY EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 12, 1991 Affidavit of Mailing filed. Ann Hansen Gamble, being duly sworn acco rding to law, deposes and says that she is an attorney at Law, duly authorized to practive law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and tha ton April 8, 1991 she sent a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to the Defendant by certified mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid, receipt requested, to Jeffrey G. STerling, Main St., Hydetown, PA 16328 the Defendant's last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto. Ann Hansen Gamble

June 2, 1992 AFFIDAVIT UNDER SECTION 3301 (d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE, filed.

Oct. 26, 1992 Inventory of Plaintiff, filed.

Oct. 26, 1992 Plaintiff's Monthly Income and Expenses, filed.

Nov. 6, 1992 Motion for Appointment of Master and Order filed. The Court returns the plaintiff's motion for appointment of Master which was filed with the Court Administrator on November 4, 1992 because it is not in proper form. The proper motion for the appointment for a Master in divorce is set forth on Exhibit L 1920.74 of the Crawford County Civil Rules. BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller

Nov. 6, 1992 Copy of Order to Atty. Gamble

Nov. 10, 1992 PETITION FOR BIFURCATION and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 9th day of November, 1992, hearing on the Plaintiff's Petition for Bifurcation is set for Dec. 11, 1992 in Courtroom #1 of #2 at 10:00 a.m., in Crawford County Courthouse, in Meadville, Pa.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm.

Nov. 17, 1992 copy to A. Gamble & Dft.

Dec. 10, 1992 Consent To Bifurcation of Jeffrey G. Sterling filed.

Feb. 17, 1993 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D

March 11, 1993 AND NOW, March 9, 1993, it is hereby ordered and decreed that **C A R O L Y N L . S T E R L I N G** , Plaintiff, and **J E F F R E Y G . S T E R L I N G** , Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Terrance L. Whitling

336.

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug
E. Max Weiss
for G. Williams

Altomare & Barnhart
JUSEPH E. ALTOMARE
FOR Titusville Herald and American Publishing Co.

CHARLES R. MOON AND DOROTHY J. MOON, HUSBAND AND WIFE
AND
FRANK LONGIOTTI AND MARY LONGIOTTI, HUSBAND AND WIFE

vs.

GERALD L. WILLIAMS AND THE TITUSVILLE HERALD AND THE AMERICAN PUBLISHING COMPANY, INC.

Filed Apr. 3, 1991
@ 11:24 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	56.02
Pro Co Pd 8-14-91	6.00 ✓
Pro Co pd 10-6-94	6.00 ✓

COMPLAINT

3 copies (atty)

(JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)

Apr. 25, 1991 Appearance of Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug, by E. Max Weiss, attorneys for one of the defendants, Gerald L. Williams, filed.

Apr. 29, 1991, Answer and New Matter of Defendant Gerald L. William, to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

May 2, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED by certified mail, April 6, 1991, American Publishing Co., INc., P.O. Box 1000 West Frankfort, Ill 62896.
SERVED Titusville Herald, April 9, 1991, at 10:30 AM, by serving Jane Hayes, Systems Manager at place of business 209 W. Spring Street, Titusville.
SERVED Gerald L. Williams, personally, at the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA on April 10, 1991 at 9:43 AM
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Jim Withers, deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 23, 1991 Reply to Defendant's New Matter filed.

June 12, 1991, Appearance of ALtomare and Barnhart, by Joseph E. Altomare, attorneys for The Titusville Herald and the American Publishing Company, Inc. filed.

June 12, 1991 Preliminary Objections of The Titusville Herald and The American Publishing Company filed.

June 19, 1991, Preliminary Objections of plaintiffs filed.

Aug. 9, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued as to all claims against Gerald L. Williams only.

Aug 26, 1991 Amended Preliminary Objections filed.

Aug 27, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Atty for Titusville Herald and American Publishing Co., this case is place on the Argument list

ON SEPTEMBER 1991 ARGUMENT LIST

Oct. 13, 1993 Order filed. AND NOW, October 11, 1993 the Judge having hearing the preliminary objections of The Titusville Hearld and the American Publishing Company has passed away without a decision, oral argument on the preliminary objections is hereby scheduled before the undersigned Judge for the 25th day of October, 1993 at 2:30 o'cock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse.
If both paraties agree the undersigned Judge will decide this matter based upon the briefs only. If that is the case counsel for both parties shall so indicate in writing addressed to the Court Administrator and the argument here above scheduled will be cancelled.
BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 14, 1993 Copies dist. to Whitling & Altomare

Oct. 26, 1993 Memorandum And Order, filed. AND NOW, October 25,1993 it is ordred as follows:
1. For the reasons set forthin the attached memorandum the demurrer of The Titusville Hearld and The American Publishing Company, Inc. are denied.
2. The defendants, The Titusville Herald and The American Publishing Company, Inc. shall file an answer to the plaintiffs' complaint within twenty (20) days from the date of this Order.
BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Oct. 26, 1993 copies dist to Whitling & Altomare

Nov. 4, 1993 Motion For Reconsideration And For Stay Meanwhile, filed.

Nov. 12, 1993 Discussion and Order, filed. AND NOW, November 12,1993, the defendants' motion for reconsideration is denied. Defendants shall file their responsive pleading within twenty (20) days from this date. BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Nov.12, 1993 copies mailed to Attys. Whitling & Altomare

Dec. 3, 1993 Answer and New Matter on behalf of The Titusville Herald, filed.

Dec. 16, 1993, Certification of Service of pleading to add'l defendant filed.

dec. 29, 1993 Answer and New Matter of Williams to Titusville Herald Cross Claim filed.

Jan. 19, 1994 Answer of Defendants Titusville Herald and The American Publishing Company, Inc. to Defendant Gerald L. Williams' New matter filed.

May 23, 1994 Certificate of Readiness on behalf of The Titusville Herald and the American Publishing Company, Inc. filed.

ON TRIAL LIST FOR OCTOBER, 1994

Oct 6, 1994 Upon praecipe of Plaintiffs attorney the above captioned action is settled of record.

1991

David P. Truax
Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker

337.

DONALD L. CADY

vs.

CYNTHIA L. CADY

Filed Apr. 3, 1991
@ 2:41 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 9/10/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

May 6, 1991 Complaint reinstated.

May 20, 1991 Affidavit Of Service Of Complaint In Divorce filed. David P. Truax, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on May 7, 1991, he sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint In Divorce, properly endorsed with the Notice To Defend, filed in the above action to the Defendant, Cynthia L. Cady, at Defendant's last known address of c/o Wendy Fern, P.O. Box 555 Conneaut Lake, PA 16316, by Certified Mail No. P 398 121 601, postage prepaid, restricted delivery, return receipt requested and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as May 16, 1991.

David P. Truax

Aug 27, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 5, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 5, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Sept. 10, 1991 DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

AND NOW, September 10, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Donald L. Cady, plaintiff, and Cynthia L. Cady, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Bettie J. Peterson -Muth

338.

Bruce Barrett

SHARI L. CAFARO

vs.

DAVID R. CAFARO

Pro Co pd 1-18-95 9.00

Filed Apr. 4, 1991
@ 9:41 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
John Fuller, mediator	$200.00
Mediation Cost	150.00
Pd 5-11-92 Prothy	9.00
Pd 10-12-92 Ch#7107	9.00
Mediation pd. 6/28/93	150.00
John Fuller, Mediator	150.00
Pro Co	9.00

Received cash 100.00 from Defendant 1-14-92

Pd 3-11-92 Craw Co Comm Ch# 6700 $100.00 mediation

Received 75.00 from defendant 5-12-92 mediation

Received from Atty 100.00 3-4-92

Pd 6-25-92 Comm med fee CC Comm 175.00 Ch #6902

Received from deft 7-31-92 mediation deposit $150.00

Pd 10-12-92 Comm med fees Ch#7106 75.00

4-29-93 Received from Plff med dep 150.00.

Pd 9-22-93 Co. Ch# 7794 $216

10-22-93 Rec from deft Med Cost 9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 8, 1991 Petition to Refer Custody to a Custody Mediator and Order filed. 1 copy (atty 1 copy (mediator)
ORDER: AND NOW, this 5th day of April 1990, JOHN FULLER is appointed custody mediator to hear testimony and make a recommendation to this court regarding the issues of custody and/or visitation. BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Nov. 21, 1991 Affidavit of Service By Mail filed. Bettie J. Peterson-Muth, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and syas that she is the attorney for the plaintiff, Shari L. Cafaro, and that she did mail a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed in the above matter, by certified mail, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, to the defendant, dAvid R. cafaro, on April 4, 1991, at his last known address, P.O. Box 224, conneaut Lake, Crawford County, Pennsylvania 16316. The signed receipt is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Bettie J. Peterson Muth

(SEE COMPLETE CUSTODY MEDIATION ORDER -NO. AD 1991 - 1078)

Apr. 27, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, April 24, 1992, after mediation conference before John Fuller, esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Victor Cafaro and anthony Cafaro shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant her ein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and othe rimportant records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject children.

II. Physical custody of Victor Cafaro and Anthony Cafaro shall be shared by the mother and father, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody the months of May and July in 1992 and the mother shall be entitled to custody of the children th emonths of June and August in 1992.

B. The mother shall be entitled to visit with the children in May and July and the father shall be entitled to visits in June and August at times and places mutually agreeable for the parties.

C. Either mother or father can request a further mediation conference at any time after August 1, 1992 in the event they are unable to arrive at a consent order. If neither party requests a mediation conference the parties will continue to have the children in their respective custody every other month until this order has been changed.

D. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. Custody of the chidlren shall be shared on the aforesaid holidays and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the chidlren shall be in the custody of the mother on the aforesaid holidays until 1:00 p.m. and inthe custody of the father on the aforesaid holidays from 1:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m.

E. Transportation of the chidlren shall be equally divided by the mother and the father.

F. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attmept to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the pwoer of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the chidlren towards both parents.

G. Neither mother nor father shall expose the chidlren to any person or situation which may be harful to the childrne's health or well being.

H. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the chidlren and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are file dby either party wihtin thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference will be equally divided with the father paying one-half of the cost thereof and the remaining one-half by Crawford County.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J

May 4, 1992 Copies of order to Bettie Muth, bruce Barrett, John Fuller and David Cafaro

July 31, 1992 MOTION and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 31st day of July, 1992, the above motion is allowed. The matter is referred back to John Fuller, Esq., for further mediation.
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug 5, 1992 copy to J. Fuller, B. Muth & Dft.

August 28, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Aug. 27, 1992, after mediation conference before John Fulle, Esq., IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Victor Cafaro and Anthony Cafaro shall be shred between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such asthe education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject children.

II. Physical custody of Victor Cafaro and Anthony Cafaro from Sept. 1st to June 1st, each year, shall be with their father, subject to the following conditions:

A. The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the children into her custody every weekend from 9:00 a.m. on Sturday until 7:00 p.m. on Sunday, beginning Sept. 5, 1992.

III. Physical custody of Victor Cafaro and Anthony Cafaro shall be with their mother from June 1st to Sept. 1st, each year, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to take the children into his custody every weekend during the Summer months from 9:00 a.m. Saturday until 7:00 p.m. Sunday, beginning June 5, 1993.

IV. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. Custody of the children shall be shared on the aforesaid holidays and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the children shall be in the custody of their father on the aforesaid holidays until 1:00 p.m. and in the custody of their mother on the aforesaid holidays from 1:00 p.m. until 7:00 p.m.

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 338(a).....

SHARI L. CAFARO

VS.

DAVID R. CAFARO

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 338

OF

AD 1991 - 338

****

V. A. Transportation of the children on weekends, holidays and Summer visits shall be equally divided between the mother and the father.

B. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

C. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

D. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

E. When the children are in the mother's custody, they shall reside at the mother's parent's residence.

VI. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

VII. Cost of the mediation conference will be equally divided between the parties.

Anthony J. Vardaro, Judge

Sept. 1, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. John Fuller, Bettie Muth, Bruce Barrett. plaintiff and defendant.

Sept. 15, 1992 Motion For Return Of Mediation Deposit and ORDER OF COURT filed. And Now, September 14, 1992, The above motion is granted. However, the cost of the order shall be assessed to the defendant. The balance shall be returned.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept. 17, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. Bettie Muth, Bruce Barrett and John Fuller.

Jun. 28, 1993 Petition to Modify Custody and NOTICE filed. You,David R.Cafaro, have been sued in court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take prompt action. These proceedings may affect your child custody and/or visitation rights.

You are ORDERED to appear for a hearing before John Fuller,Esq. Child Custody Mediator, at such time and place as he shall designate.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, and Order for custody,partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

By the Court:
Gordon R.Miller, J.

6-29-93 Copy Fuller, 1 copy Walton. 7-1-93 Copy to Atty.Barrett.

July 28, 1993 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, July 28, 1993 comes Lisa M. Sherretts and states as follows:

1. That she is the legal assistant of Attorney for Shari Cafaro, Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy ofthe Petition to Modify Custody to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P341 728 841; to the last known address of the Defendant, David R. Cafaro, Doral Estates, Conneaut Lake, Pennsylvania 16316.
3. That the Defendant, David R. Cafaro, on July 23, 1993, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Petition in the above-matter and which is attached hereto.
4. Service of the Petition against Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and information. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Lisa M. Sherretts

Aug. 30, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 7, 1993 ORDER, filed. AND NOW, September 7, 1993, after mediation conference the parties have signed an agreement regarding custody and visitation.

Costs of the mediation conference are equally divided between the parties and the Prothonotary is directed to srefund to the Plaintiff one-half of the deposit paid in advance by the Plaintiff, less the cost of the custody order.

Insofar as Plaintiff owes $75.00 from this mediation and $75.00 from 8-27-92 mediation as well as $9.00 court cost, she still owes $9.00 within 30 days. The Defendant owes $75.00 less $66.00 left in deposit from prior mediation. He shall therefore pay $9.00 within 30 days.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Sept. 20, 1993 copy to B. Walton, Dft.

Sept. 13, 1993 CONSENT CUSTODY ORDER, filed. And Now, this 10 day of September, 1993, upon presentation of the Stipulation and Cosnent of the parties, attached hereto, and after consideration of same, it is hereby ORDERED, DIRECTED and DECREED that:

1. The parties will share legal and physical custody of their two mnor children, VICTOR CAFARO, born 9/4/86 and ANTHONY CFARO, born May 15, 1988. The children will live at the home of the Mother through the school year and attend Conneaut Lake schools for the 1993-94 school year. The Mother agarees she will live in the condominium owned by her grandfather throughout this year.
2. The parties agree that the Father's visitation for weekends, holidays and other special events will be decided by the parents according to what is in the best interest of the children. A minimum schedule would be every weekend, Friday at 5 p.m. until Sunday at 7 p.m., with a mid-week visitation every other Wednesday evening from 6 to 8 p.m.
3. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other paty that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.
4. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.
5. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instaruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.
6. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.
7. Neither party shall be under the influence of illicit drugs or alcohol while the children are in their custody, nor allow others to care for the children while under the influence of drugs or alcohol.
8. The parties will each keep the other informed of upcoming school and other activities to allow the other meaningful participation in the children's lives.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Sept. 20, 1993 copy to B. Walton, B. Barrett, J. Fuller, Plf. & Dft.

Apr. 20, 1994 Affidavit under Section 3301 (d)of Shari L.Cafaro, Defendant, filed.

Continued to Page 338 B

338
(b)

1991

Sep. 2, 1994 Affidavit of Personal Service filed. Ken Slosky, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is an adult over the age of eighteen (18) years, that he served the Affidavit Under Section 3301 (d) of the Divorce Code filed in the above matter on David R.Cafaro personally by handing to him a true and correct copy hereof and informing him of its contents at Doral Estates Conneaut Lake, PA 16316.

Deponent further avers that at the time of said service the said David R. Cafaro identifed himself to deponent.

Date: August 31, 1994 Ken Slosky

Dec. 29, 1994 Affidavit of Personal Service of Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce, filed.

Jan. 17, 1995 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Jan. 20, 1995 AND NOW, this 18th day of January, 1995, upon presentation of the Stipulation and Consent of the parties, attached hereto, and after consideration of same, it is hereby ORDERED, DIRECTED AND DECREED that SHARI L. CAFARO, Plaintiff, and DAVID R. CAFARO, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

November 25, 2002 - See Computer for further entries.

1991

Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, Gamble & Fabian
Victor J. Kinnunen

339.

Altomare & Barnhart
Joseph E. Altomare

JOHN FULLER, VICTOR J. KINNUNEN, JOHN D. PETRUSO, ANN HANSEN GAMBLE, & GARY A. FABIAN, A PARTNERSHIP t/d/b/a FULLER, KINNUNEN, PETRUSO, GAMBLE & FABIAN

vs.

WAYNE A. MARTIN AND JUNE MARTIN, HIS WIFE t/d/b/a PARK BUILDING

Filed Apr. 4, 1991
@ 9:45 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50✓
state paid 5.00

ACTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

2 copies (atty)

April 11, 1991 Return of Service filed. James Withers, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that:

1. He is a Pennsylvania State Constable.

2. On the 9th day of April, 1991, at 1:10 p.m. o'clock he personally served the defendant, Wayne A. Marti, at his usual place of business being 202 Union St., Titusville, PA. by handing to him a certified copy of the complaint in the above-captioned matter.

3. On the 9th day of April, 1991, at 1:28 p.m. o'clock he srved the defendant, June Martin, by handing a certified copy of the complaint in the above-captioned matter to Jill Dowlin, at the M & M Store, 201 West Spring St., Titusville, PA., the person for the time being in charge of the M & M Store, said place of business being the usual place of business of the defendant, June Martin.

FURTHER DEPONENT SAYETH NOT.

James Withers

Apr 19, 1991 Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

1991

340.	CHARLES AND JENNIFER BUNDY vs. MARK S. ALLEN DEBRA L. ALLEN Filed Apr. 4, 1991 @ 11:45 a.m. Tax & entry paid $50.25 ✓ state paid 5.00	APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF WILLIAM D. CHISHOLM, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-2-01 Apr. 4, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued. Apr.5, 1991, Proof of Service filed.

1991

Paul J. Carney, r

341.

John E.Cooper

6/10/91 Recd $76.00 from Defendant

6/12/91 Recd $76.00 from Plaintiff

T-1-91 pd. Co. Comm. $76.00 Ck 6295

7-1-91 paid Co. Comm. $76.00 Ck 6295

11/22/91 Recd $76.00 from dft. Recd 12-2-91 $76.00 C. Comm.

Aug. 14, 1992 Recd 76.00 from pltf

9-14-92 CC Comm. Ck# 7046 Mediation 76.00

LILLIAN KITELINGER n/b/m
LILLIAN MARIE DILEO

vs.

PATRICK KITELINGER

Filed Apr. 4, 1991
@ 11:45 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
WILLIAM PINEO, MEDIATOR	
Pro to Atty 6/14/91	5.00
William Pineo, Mediator	152.00
filing costs pd 8-14-92	9.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

April 4, 1991, ORDER OF COURT:

You, Lillian Marie Dileo, Plaintiff/Respondent, have been sued in court for custody of the children: Bambi Ann Kitelinger, Tiffany Lee Kitelinger, William Patrick Kitelinger.

You are ordered to appear in person before WILLIAM PINEO CUSTODY MEDIATOR, at a time set by the mediator for hearing.

You are further ordered to bring with you the children:

If you fail to appear as provided by this order or to bring the children, an order for custody may be en tered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get help.

Crawford County Bar assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Comm. c/o Prothonotary, Meadville, Pa. 16335.

Date: Apr. 4, 1991

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

May 15, 1991 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 14 day of May, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subjec tminor children.

2. The parties shall shre with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. The mother shall have primary physical custody of the subjectg minor children until the Saturday following the close of the children's school session for the year 1991. Until then, the father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody each weekend from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m. Starting at noon on the Saturday following the close of the children's school session, the father shall have primary physical custody of Tiffany and Bambi and the mother shall retain primary physical custody of William. Starting with the weekend following the shift of of custody of the two girls, the father shall have temporary physical custody and visitation with William from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m. on alternate weekends. The mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody of Bambi and Tiffany on alternate weekends starting the second weekend after the shift in custody of the two girls so that the children will have each weekend together.

Each party shall have the right to designate a two-week period during the surm of 1991 during which he or she may have temporary physical custody of said children without visitation to the other party. Each party shall notify the other party of the two-week period he or she wishes to select promptly so that proper planning may be done.

b. The parties shall seek counseling and evaluation for themselves and the subject minor children. The parties have suggested that the expenses can be covered through the employer benefit plan of the father's present wife. They are therefore able to seek private counselors. The parties shall designate a counselor by May 30 and shall provide the mediator with the name and address of the counselor whom they have selected. If they are unable to agree, they shall notify the mediator of that and the mediator shall designate someone.

c. The mediator shall schedule further mediation during the month of August, 1991, to review the matter in light of the counseling and evaluations and see what changes should be made.

d. The subject minor children shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

5. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the children at the home of the other.

6. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

7. The parties shall deal only with each other on matters respecting custody and visitation and neither party shall have the children carrying messages to the other nor shall either party interrogate the children with respect to the conditions of the other party's household nor with respect to what happened during the children's visits with the other party.

8. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of PA.

9. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

10. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangemetns shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

11. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

12. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 20, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. Paul J. Carney, John E. Cooper, William Pineo; copy mailed to plaintiff, defendant.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 551

342

1991

John E. Cooper

342.

IDA M. FUCSCH

vs.

WILLIAM A. WILLIS

Filed Apr. 4, 1991
@ 11:45 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

BRUCE SMITH, MEDIATOR

Mediation Cost 170.33

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:
You, Ida M. Fucsh, dft. have been sued in court for custody of the chilren: Michael P. Willis and Tessa M. Willis.
You are ordered to appear in person befodre BRUCE SMITH, CUSTODY MEDIATOR, at a time designated by teh mediator, for hearing.
You are further ordered to bring with you the children,
If you fail to appear as provided by this order or to bring the children, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get help.
Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. tothe pub. Comm. c/o Prothonotary, Meadville, Pa. 16335.
Date: Apr. 4, 1991 BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

May 14, 1991 Temporary Order of Court filed. AND NOW, this 13 day of May, 1991, after Mediation and upon recommendation of the Child Cutody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Michael P. Willis, age eight, born July 18, 1982, and Tessa M. Willis, age six, born July 19, 1984, the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of the said minor children. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitle both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the children held by a third party, including, but not limited to, school and medical records, and shall also entitle both praents to equal input with regard to the educational and religious upbringing of the children, as well as of elective purgery. Additionally, either parent may seek and pay for any belived necessary counseling for the children at anytime.

2. Primary physical custody of the children shall remain with the natural mother, Ida M. Fuchs, and her husband Rich Fuchs. Regular visitation and temporary physical custody of the children shall be granted to the fater, William A. Willis, and his wife, Carol Willis. Physical custody of for the father shall be as follows:

a) Beginning immediately, the children shall be available to spend each weekend with the father and his wife beginning Friday at 4:00 p.m., prevailing time, and ending Sunday at 4:00 p.m., prevailing time. The parent receiving the children for temporary custody or return to primary cutody shall be responsible to pick up the children at th eother parent's home.

b) During the summer of each year, beginning 1991, the children shall be in the father's home at least one full week during the months of June, July and August. Unless the parties otherwise agree, that shall be the first full week of each month, beginning Sunday at 9:00 a.m., prevailing time, and ending the following Sunday at 9:00 a.m., prevailing time.

c) Holiday visitation shall take priority over other scheduled visitation. The parties are encouraged to agree as to holiday visitation; however, in the event that they cannot, during odd-numbered years, beginning in 1991, the mother shall have the children on Easter and the 4th of July of each year and the father shallhave the chidlren on Memorial Day and Labor Day each year. These days shall begin at 9:00 a.m., prevailing time, and shall end at 7:00 p.m., prevailing time. These days shall be reversed in even-numbered years. Beginning in 1991, the children shall spend Thanksgiving Day each year in the mother's home and the day following Thanksgiving Day each year in the father's home. The children shall be available to spend Christmas Day each year in the father's home from 2:00 p.m., prevailing time, until 7:30 p.m., prevailing time. Additionally, the children shall be available to spend from 4:00 p.m. through 7:00 p.m., prevailing time, with the father on his or her birthday.

3. The parent having custody of the children at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon possible in the event of injury or illness of the children requiring medical treatment.

4. Neither parent shall take the children into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to their development or to the development of their characters or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to their physical persons.

5. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the children; and, if the parties mutually agree to alternative arrangements to accommodate themselves and/or the chidlren's schedules, they may adjust the physical custody arrangements accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

6. Each of the parents shall teach the children to develop and express that natural love and respect dues a parent form his or her children, and neither parent will do or say anything to blacken the character of the other parent or the stepparents in the eyes of the children. This admonition shall extend to the stepparents and other aprties involved with the children.

7. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request further Mediation if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the children or in the event that the best interest of the children would be served by further Mediation. All officials in the Titusville Area School District are authorized to share any and all thoughts, observations, and/or written reports with the parents and stepparents of the children.

8. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either of the parents or either of the children resides in the court's jurisdiction and for at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

9. The fee for Child cutody Mediation shall be paid 50% by the County of Crawford on behalf of the mother and 50% by the father.

10. This Orde rshall be a Temporary Orde,r but shall become a permanent Order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty days from the date of this Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 16, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Atty's. Kuhn, Cooper & Smith and plaintiff and defendant

Shapiro & Kreisman
Carolee Berasi

343.

VICTORIA MORTGAGE COMPANY
3737 Perrin Central
San Antonio, Tx 78217

vs.

RICHARD J. SMITH
69 Summitt Rd. #203
Greenville, Pa. 16125

PAMELA S. SMITH
26888 Nomad Drive
Bonita Springs, Fla. 33923

Filed Apr. 4, 1991
@ 11:45 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	46.90
Pro Co Pd 6-3-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

1 copy (sheriff)

May 1, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Eric A. Garrett, Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the on the 12th day of April, 1991, at 4:30 p.m., he served Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure, filed at No. 343 AD Term, 1991, Crawford County Pennsylvania, upon defendant RICHARD J. SMITH at his residence 69 Summitt Road, #203, Greenville, Pennsylvania 16125-9277 By making known the contents thereof to Richard J. Smith personally and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the complaint received from Sheriff of Crawford County.
So answers, Eric A. Garrett, Deputy Sheriff
William H. Romine, Jr. Sheriff
SERVED defendant Richard J. Smith, by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer County, Pennsylvania, as per his affidavit attached.
Served April 12, 1991.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

AND NOW, May 3, 1991 @ 3:02 P.M. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney with Certification of Address of Plaintiff and Defendant, Affidavit of Non-Military Service and Notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendants for failure to file an answer to Plaintiff's Complaint and damages are assessed as follows and calculated as state in the Complaint: Principal of mortgage debt due and unpaid, Twenty-five Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty-one and 37/100 Dollars; Court Costs(excluding Sheriff's sale cost)-Prothonotary fee to file complaint, Fifty-five and 50/100 Dollars; Sheriff, Service of Complaint, Forty-six and 90/100; Title Search, One Hundred Fifty-six and 00/100 Dollars; FOIA letter, One Dollar; Interest at 10.50% from March 1, 1990 to May 30, 1991 (Each day, add $7.41 after May 30, 1991) Three Thousand Three Hundred Seventy-eight and 96/100 Dollars; Late charges (on the 16th of each month add after May 28, 1991), One Hundred Sixty and 72/100 Dollars; Excrow Deficit, Two Hundred Twenty-seven and 61/100 Dollars; Attorneys fees (anticipated and actual to 5% of principal), One Thousand Two Hundred Eighty-eight and 07/100 Dollars; TOTAL AMOUNT DUE, Thirty-one Thousand Seventy-six and 13/100 Dollars.
S-201, 218
Debt.....$31,076.13
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

June 3, 1991 Judgment entered.

June 10, 1991 Notice of Judgment mailed defendants.

EXECUTION 1991-45

June 3, 1991 Certification of Service of Complaint in Mortgage Foreclosure filed.

1991

344.

Bruce L. Smith

CENTRAL TRANSPORT
ED DAWSON

vs.

CLIFFORD KIRVAN, d/b/a
OIL CREEK PLASTICS

Filed Apr. 4, 1991
@ 1:03 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.25
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 5/2/91	9.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF
RONALD A. COLE, SR. DISTRICT 30-3-06

Apr. 4, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

Apr.9, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

And now, May 2, 1991, upon praecipe of appellant attorney, Judgment of Non Pros is hereby entered in favor of defendant and against the plaintiff for failure to file Complaint within 20 days from service of appeal
Judgment entered 5/2/91
C - 183
D - 53

JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

May 2, 1991 notice mailed to plaintiff by Prothonotary

1991

Jorden & White
Harry Faber WHite II

345.

StanleyJ. Wolowski
for PLCB

IN RE:
LIQUOR LICENSE APPEAL OF
CLIFFORD D. AND MADELEINE
BORTZ, t/a THE WOODEN KEG

856 Water Street
Meadville, Pa. 16335-3423

Filed Apr. 4, 1991
@ 2:33 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

APPEAL

3 copies (atty)

ORDER:

AND NOW, this 4 day of April 1991 upon consideration of the foregoing Appeal, a hearing on the same is scheduled for the 3rd day of June 1991 in C. R. No. 1 or 2 at 1:30 p.m.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller J.

June 4, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 3, 1991 at the close of the oral arguments in this matter, counsel for the licensees is given leave of Court to file a brief in support of their position, which said brief shall be filed no later than July 3, 1991. Counsel for the licensees shall immediately serve a copy fothe brief, when filed, upon counsel for the Liquor Control Board. Counsel for the Liquor Control Board shall have 15 days, or until July 18, 1991 to file a responsive brief.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. MIller, P.J.

June 4, 1991 copies mailed to S. Wolowski, copy in box for Atty. White.

July 29, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 29th day of July, 1991, upon the motion in limine presented by plaintiff prior to scheduled hearing, in which the plaintiff advises the court that in light of In re: Appeal of Igg, Inc. Pa. Cmwlth-- A.2d--(1991) the scope of review is limited to a review of whether the decision is based upon substantial evidence on the record below and not a hearing de novo, the defendant is in agreement that the scope of review is a limited one; and accordingly, hold that the hearing will be limited solely to oral argument and to the submission of briefs.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, p.J.

July 31, 1991 copies to Attys. H. white and S. Wolowski.

July 29, 1991 Motion in Limine filed.

Aug. 9, 1991 Exhibit filed. (Liquor Control Board Record in manila envelope)

Aug. 9, 1991 MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, August 7, 1991 we dismiss defendants' appeal and affirm the decision ofthe Liquor Control Board.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug.. 9, 1991, copy mailed to Atty. Wolowski;copy put in box for Atty. White.

1991

Mazzotta McCague &
Associates PC
Donald S. Mazzotta

346.

FIRST DEPOSIT NATIONAL BANK

vs.

KATHLEEN PALMER AND
SCOTT A. PALMER

Filed Apr. 5 1991
@ 11:35 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	35.25 ✓
Pro Co Pd 5/30/91	9.00 ✓
Sat paid 7/1/91	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN ASSUMPSIT

2 copies (sheriff)

May 3, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Scott A. Palmer personally on April 24, 1991 at 9:45 AM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
SERVED Kathleen Palmer on April 24, 1991 at 9:46 AM by handing copy of Complaint to her Authorized Agent, Scott A. Palmer, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA. Signed Acceptance of Service attached.
So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, May 30, 1991, at 1:57 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with default notices and affidavit of military servcie attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor ofthe plaintiff and agaisnt the defendants for want of an answer, damages assessed inthe amount of Six Thousand Eight Dollars and 74/100 Dollars and int. from 1/11/91 to 5/29/91 of $299.46. with int. accruing on bal. of $6,308.20 at rate of 6% form date of Judgment
Judgment entered - 5/30/91
P - 101, 151 Debt -----$6,308.20
Int. from date of Judgment
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

June 26, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, this above judgment marked satisfied of record.

1991

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Brian J. Lindsay

347.

SHARRON RUNDELL, FOR HERSELF AND SHARRON RUNDELL AS PARENT AND GUARDIAN OF ROBERT STEPHAN RUNDELL, A MINOR

vs.

DAWN REYNOLDS AND KEITH REYNOLDS, HUSBAND AND WIFE

COMPLAINT

Filed Apr. 5, 1991
@ 4:11 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50 ✓
state paid 5.00

348

1991

Kathleen R. Nelson

348.

KETURAH A. ROBERTS

vs.

DONOVAN K. ROBERTS

Filed Apr. 8, 1991
@ 9:40 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50✓
1 count paid	15.00✓
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 23, 1991, Affidavit of Service filed. Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of Affidavit are ture and correct to the best of herknowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is the Atty. for the Plff. in the above action.

2. That a ture and correct copy of Complaint in Divorce and notice were sent by reg. mail to the defendant Donovan K. Roberts on Apr. 15, 1991.

3. The reg. mail was sent to dft. at R. D. #4, Box 265, Conn. Lake, Pa. 16316 The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the defendant received said reg. mail on April 18, 1991. Said ret. rec. card P-848 678 406 is attached hereto, signed by Donovan K. Roberts and made a part hereof.

Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq.

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 4/23/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: March 12, 1998

Mar. 12, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

349.

CHERYL A. ROSE

GEORGE LEE ROSE

Filed Apr. 8, 1991
@ 9:48 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00

PETITION FOR CUSTODY (UCCJA)

1 copy (atty)

SEpt 22, 1998 ORDER: Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 4/8/1991. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear from you in writing on or before Oct 23, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objectioins must be filed in the Prothonotary's office on or before Oct 23, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

Date: Sept 22, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 5, 1998 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of Oct., 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given by publication of a "Notice of Intent To Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on Oct. 14, 1998, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, all and each of the 84 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice. A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 19011.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

Northwest Legal Service
Gerald Sullivan

350.

LORRIE BUTTRAY

vs.

LEONARD CARLSON AND BETTY CARLSON

Filed Apr. 8, 1991
@ 11:06 a.m.

INdigent filing
William Pineo, Mediator

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

3 copies (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Leonard Carlson and Betty Carlson, dfts., have been sued in court to obtain custody ofthe minor children, Donald Carlson and Larry Carlson, Jr.

You are ordered to appear in person at the time and place selected by WILLIAM PINEO, CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody,. partial custody, or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

The Crawford County Sheriffs Dept. is directed to serve a cert. copy of this complaint and order upon the defendant. In the alternativ e, this petition and order may be served by any competent adult or by cert. mail.

Plaintiff is allowed to proceed without payment of court costs reserving to the court the right to impose costs.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help:

Crawford County bar Assoc. Ser. tothe PUbl Comm. c/o Prothonotary Crawford County courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335 814 724-4636:

Date: Apr. 8, 1991

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. MIller, Judge

Apr. 8, 1991, Praecipe to Proceed in Forma pauperis and Affidavit to Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed.

1991

Louis J. Stack
Shafer, swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack

351.

DOROTHEA E. BATES, WIDOW

vs.

TIMOTHY E. ELLIOTT AND
LYNN D. ELLIOTT, HIS WIFE

Filed Apr. 8, 1991
@ 11:57 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	28.00
Pro Co pd 6/11/91	9.00
Pro paid 6/3/94	5.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

May 2, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Timothy e. Elliott, personally, April 10, 1991 at 12:31 PM, at the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
SERVED Lynn D. Elliott, by serving her authorized agent, Timothy E. Elliott, April 10, 1991 at 12:32 PM, at the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA, Signed acceptance of Service Attached.
So answers, Catherine Allen, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, June 11, 1991, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with default notice and service attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer in the sum of $25,000.00 forclosure of the mortgage, possession the the premises togethter withi interest at rate of 8% per annum from 1/14/71 and costs of suit.
Judgment entered 6/11/91
E - 161, 101 Debt -- $25,000.00
int. from 1/14/71 at 8%
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EXECUTION 1991 - 47

May 25, 1994, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above judgment is hereby satisfied of record.

352.
Brian Lindsay

HELEN TWILLA

vs.

CARL TWILLA

Filed Apr. 8, 1991
@ 1:07 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's costs	25.00
Pro Co	9.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 8th day of April, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not go to the door of Plaintiff's house. When he delivers the children to Plaintiffs house he shall drop them off.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for April 18, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

April 11, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Carl Twila personally on April 9, 1991 at 3:00 PM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
So answers,
Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 1, 1991 ORDER SETTING HEARING filed. And Now, Apr. 30, 1991 hearing in the above matters is hereby set for May 10, 1991 at 9:00 a,m. in C. R. No. 2.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 1, 1991 copies mailed to plff and dft.

May 15, 1991 ORDER filed. And Now, May 14, 1991 after hearing wherein the testimony of the parties is diametrically opposed, the court having to determine credibility finds that the plaintiff has met her burden of proof by the fair preponderance and weitht of the evidence primarily because of her hospital visit which the court does not believe a reasonable person would do if she were lying.

Accordingly, it is ordered as follows:

1. The defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the plaintiff in any manner.
2. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
3. A copy of this order shall be delivered to the polcie depts/ in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to county control.
4. This order shall remian in full force and effect for six (6) months from this date unless modified or termianted by further order of this court.
5. Court costs shall be paid by the defendant forthwith.
6. The defendant is reminded that this is an order of court and violation of this order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000 and a jail sentence of up to six months.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

5/15/91 copy given to county control.
5/16/91 copy and invoice to dft; copy put in box of Atty. Lindsay.
5/17/91 copy mailed to plff.

May 15, 1991, Exhibits filed.

July1, 1991 Petition for Reconsideration of Determination of Plaintiff's Credibility and order filed: Montion denied this 1st day of July, 1991.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, Judge

July 3, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty. Lindsay's box and copy mailed to Plaintiff.

1991

353.

BOROUGH OF SPRINGBORO
PO Box 33
Springboro, Pa. 16435

vs.

BOBBIE L. BROWN
AINO E. BROWN

Filed Apr. 8, 1991
at 1:35 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$9.00 ✓
plff on orig	14.50
Satisfaction pd 7/12/96	5.00 ✓

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 253

The ungdrsigned hereby consents that judgment be entered: the prothonotary indexes judgment of revival in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants in the sum of Sixteen Thousand four hundred Thirty Six and 50/100 Dollars plus cost's.

Debt -----$16,436.50
Dated: ---Mar. 27, 1991
B - 220, 208 ----JUDGMENT

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

7-12-96 This Lien Satisfied (See Papers filed)

1991

354.

PETITION FOR A COURT ORDER

for

MICHELLE A. CLARK

Filed April 8, 1991

MENTAL HEALTH PROCEDURES ACT OF 1976
(Sections 304 & 305)

April 17, 1991 Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 15 of April, 1991, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Michelle Clark be committed to the adolescent unit for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days, at an appropriate State Hospital which has an opening being either Mayview or Warren State Hospital. This order shall take effect when arrangements can be made for the transfer of the patient.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

April 17, 1991 Copy of Order given to Mental Health and WSH.
April 24, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Bruce Barrett.

1991

pro se 355.	JAMES H. ANDERS vs. TIM POLASKI, ELECTRICAL INSPECTOR MIDDLE DEPARTMENT INSPECTION AGENCY Filed Apr. 9, 1991 @ 8:44 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.50 state paid 5.00 Pro Co Pd. 4/23/91 5.00	COMPLAINT 2 copies (plaintiff) Apr. 11, 1991, Suit to Recover Time Lost Because the Inspection Fails to Show Up to Inspect, filed. 2 copies (plaintiff) Apr. 23, 1991, Upon mOtion for Dismissal of Complaint, this above complaint is hereby dismissed.

1991

Akman & Associates PC
Robert S. Shreve

356.

WILLIAM FERRY

vs.

KAREN FERRY

Filed apr. 9, 1991
@ 11:34 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 9/11/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (aTTY)

Apr 22, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed: Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Robert S. Shreve, Counsel for Plaintiff who, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on April 12, 1991, he sent by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, Restricted Delivery a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed in the above-captioned case to the Defendant, Karen Ferry. Said Complaint in Divorce was received by Defendant, Karen Ferry, on April 15, 1991. The Return Receipt which was signed by the Defendant is hereto attached.

Akman & Associates, P.C.
Robert S. Shreve, Esq.

Sept. 11, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Sept. 11, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Sept 11, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Sept. 18, 1991 **DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 16th day of September, 1991, the Court, having reviewed this case, finds that the marriage between the Plaintiff and defendant is irretrievably broken. It is DECREED that thePlaintiff, william Ferry, is divorced fromthe bonds of matimmony contracted between himself andthe defendant.

BY THE COURT,
GordonR. Miller, J

1991

Phillips and Galanter
Bret J. Southard

357.

VERNON TOWNSHIP

vs.

LEONARD R. BAIRD AND KEITH EDWARDS i/a/d/b/a MAPLE VIEW FARMS

Filed Apr. 9, 1991
@ 1:12 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 5-28-91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

Apr 22, 1991 Verification of Service filed: I, Bret J. Southard, Esquire, hereby swear or affirm that I am attorney for the plaintiff above and that service of a copy of the Complaint filed by the plaintiff was made upon the defendant, Leonard R. Baird, at the address of 1011 Taylor Road, Sandusky, OH 44870, on April 15, 1991, by certified mail No. P 315 533 889, the original receipt is attached hereto.

Phillips and Galanter, P.C.
Bret J. Southard
Attorney for Plaintiff

May 23, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney this case is marked settled and discontinued of record, the defendants having paid the amount due to the plaintiff.

358.

THERESA MUNSCH

vs.

RANDY WIMER

Filed April 9, 1991
@ 1:58 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's costs	26.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 9 day of April, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Pl may go to residence at RD 2 to remove children's clothing and personal items. Pl is granted temporary custody of the 2 minor children. Def may not go to the residence of Pl for any purpose.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for April 16, 1991, at 10:30 A.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

April 12, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Randy Wimer personally on April 11, 1991 at 3:10 PM at Meadville Medical Center Emergency Room, Liberty St., Meadville, Crawford Co., Pa. 16335.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Shriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Apr 19, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, April 16, 1991, after informal hearing, at which both parties were present, the following Order is entered:

1. The defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the plaintiff or either of the parties' minor children.

2. the plaintiff is still residing at the Greenhouse, but will be finding a more permanent residence in the near future; and once such a permanent residence is obtained, the defendant shall not go to that residence for any purpose whatsoever.

3. The defendant shall have the right to have his children with him for a visit each Sunday from 10:00 o'clock a.m. to 7:00 o'clock p.m. during the school year, and until 8:30 p.m. when school is not in session. The plaintiff will see that the children are at the home of the plaintiff's mother, Beverly Munsch, in Springboro, Pennsylvania, at or about 10:00 o'clock a.m. each Sunday and the defendant shall return the children to that location at the end of the visit herein ordered. The defendant shall create no physical or verbal confrontations at any time with either the plaintiff or her mother during the transfer of custody.

4. This Order shall continue in full force and effect for a period of one (1) year from this date.

5. The plaintiff shall have the right to go to the former joint residence to remove clothing owned by she and the children and any other personal effects of her own or that are needed for the care of the children.

6. Since neither of the parties has income other than Public Assistance, the costs in this proceeding will be paid by Crawford County.

7. A copy of this Order shall be filed with County Control and the Pennsylvania State Police, and any Police Agency having jurisdiction in the matter may enforce the Order in accordance with the Protection from Abuse Act.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Apr 19, 1991 Copies given to Co. Control and copies mailed to St. Police, Defendant and Plaintiff.

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

359.

MARJORIE M. FRY

vs.

HENRIK NORDSTROM

Filed Apr. 9, 1991
@ 4:04 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 7-9-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

May 8, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq., attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, despose and say that I did on April 17, 1991 mail to the above Defendant, Henrik Nordstrom, by certified mail return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a Complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received on April 30, 1991, as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Atty.

Mar. 6, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF CONSENT OF Defendant, filed.

Mar. 18, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

July 6, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record,case moved to Court.

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D

July 16, 1992 AND NOW, this 15th day of July, 1992, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the PA. Divorce Code.

2. RELEASE OF ALL OTHER CLAIMS: Each party hereto, releases any other claims he or she may conceivably have against the other (except in regard to custody and child support matters, which are dealt with separately), except as set forth in this Decree. Specifically, Defendant shall make no claim to real estate owned by Plaintiff or members of her family, including any real estate located in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and Plaintiff shall make no claim to the translation business which the Defendant owns and operates.

3. WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS: Neither party hereto shall require the other party hereto to file an Inventory and Appraisement as would otherwise have been required by the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Each party is aware of the debts and assets acquired during the marriage.

4. COURT COSTS: Each party shall be responsible for his or her own attorney fees and court costs in connection with this litigation.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

360

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

360.

MARILYN ANN SWABIK

vs.

RICHARD E. SWABIK

Filed Apr. 9, 1991
@ 4:04 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 1-20-93	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE.

1 copy (atty)

June 11, 1992 COMPLAINT REINSTATED.

Oct. 21, 1992 Complaint Reinstated.

Nov.16, 1992 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason,Esquire, attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, despose and say that I did on October 30, 1992 mail to the above Defendant, Richard E. Swabik, by certified mail return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a Complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received on October 31, 1992, as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.
Nov. 16, 1992 Joan D. Mason, Attorney for Plaintiff

Jan. 19, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 19, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 29, 1993 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record case moved to Court.

Feb. 5, 1993, DIVORCE DECREE filed.

AND NOW, february 3, 1993, it is ordered and decreed that Marilyn Ann Swabik, plaintiff, AND Richard E. Swabik, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Steven Hall

361.

William Walker

IN THE MATTER OF
MARY CANTWELL
(OLDER ADULT).

Filed Apr. 9, 1991
@ 4:30 p.m.

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY INTERVENTION BY EMERGENCY COURT ORDER

EMERGENCY ORDER FOR INVOLUNTARY INTERVENTION:
AND NOW, April 4, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing petition it is hereby ordered that Active Aging, Inc. shall provide the following protective services to MARY CANTWELL and that such services shall be provided without her consent.
Place in Springs Manor Care Center
The terms of this order shall expire at 11:00 April 18, 1991. the court hereby sets April 18, 1991 atl1:00 a.m. as the time and place for a review hearing in the event of need for an additional emergency court order or cntinued court and protective services involvement or both. The court hereby appoints WILLIAM WAKER, ESQ. to represent the aforesaid older adult in any further proceedings with regard to this matter.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.
Apr. 11, 1991, copies to S. Hall and W. Walker, attys.

Apr. 22, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, April 18, 1991 the matter is before the Court in a Chambers hearing for the purpose of reviewing the emergency order previously entered and for the Court to establish and crystalize the desires of Mary Cantwell. It appears at this hearint that Mary recognizes that in her present physical condition she is unable to live along in her former residence at Canadohta Lake and is very happy with the care and attention given her at Springs Manor. She appears to be under the care of a doctor at this time but at best is only semi-ambulatory.
The Court's concern is that he situation o;ncitnue to be stabilized and that Active Aging, INc. continue assistance in securing the premises where she formerly lived and applying for all of the requisite medical nd other service son her behalf. To this end, without an official declaration of guardianship, the court authorizes Active Aging and their representatives to take all necessary steps to facilitate the care of Mary Cantwell.
The patient expresses a desire that Active Aging, Inc. explore the possibility of ultimate sale of the real estate and any of the contents desired sold by the patient, but the parties recognize that efore an official sale may occur, further legal proceedings will be necessary.
It has been suggested by Active Aging, Inc. that perhaps a power of Attorney authorizing Active Aging to act on her behalf would be appropriate, and we refer this matter for desision to her counsel, William Walker.
This order shall remain in force and effect for a period not to exceed 30 days.
Active Aging, Inc. with the consent of the patient this day expressed, is authorized to utilize a savings account in Mary Cantwell's name at Pennbank in Union City and to apply the proceeds towards her care and maintenance, and to otherwise handle her financial affairs in her best interest.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.
Apr. 22, 1991, copies to attys. Walker & Stephen Hall

362

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

362.

PHYLLIS A. RETTBERG

vs.

LEONARD W. RETTBERG

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS, EXPENSES, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Filed Apr. 10, 1991
@ 10:07 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50 ✓
2 counts paid	30.00 ✓
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 2-5-93	9.00 ✓

April 10, 1991 Motion to Scheule Hearing on Alimony, Alimony Pendente Lite Counsel Fees, Costs, Expenses, and Insurance and ORDER filed. And Now, April 10th, 1991, hearing on Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees, costs, Expenses and Insurance is scheduled for May 10, 1991, at 3:00 O'clock P.M. in courtroom number 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, located in Meadville, Pa.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

April 10, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick.

Apr 19, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed: AND NOW, April 15, 1991, comes Lisa Sherretts being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legal secretary of Attorney for Phyllis A. Rettberg, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P 398 123 134; postmarked April 10, 1991, to the last known address of the Defendant, Leonard W. Rettberg, R.D.#2, Box 159, Linesville, Pa 16424.

3. That the Defendant, Leonard W. Rettberg, on April 12, 1991, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto.

4. Service of the Complaint against Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Lisa M. Sherretts.

May 8, 1991 Affidavit of Service of Motion to Scheduled Hearing on Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees, Costs, Expenses and Insurance with Order Setting Hearing filed.

May 23, 1991, Income and Expense Statement by Defendant filed.

May 23, 1991, Income and Expense Statement of Plaintiff filed.

May 23, 1991, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, May 23, 1991, it is ordered as follows: 1. The defendant shall pay to the plaintiff the sum of $500 to apply on the plaintiff's counsel fees and expenses which said sum shall be paid to plaintiff's counsel no later than June 10, 1991.

2. The defendant shall pay tothe plaintiff alimony pendente lite in the sum of $250.00 per month for three (3) months, to-wit: May, June and July of 1991. Said payment shall be made on or before the last dayof each month commencing with May 31, 1991.

3. This order shall not limit or affect the ability of a MaSTER OR THE Court to make further provisions regarding alimony, counsel fees and expenses as the facts and the interests of Justice require.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 23, 1991, copies put in boxes of attys.

Jan. 22, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

Jan. 22, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Feb. 5, 1993 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Feb. 10, 1993 ATIPULATION AND DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

And, Now, this 9 day of February, 1993, it is hereby ordered and decreed:

1. Divorce: Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of filing of the Complaint and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the entry of a divorce decree, pursuant to Section 3301(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. Equitable Property Determination and Distribution: It is ordered and decreed that the marital property of the parties shall be divided as follows:

A. Each party shall keep the proceeds of any savings accounts and certificates of deposit and checking accounts now in her or his own name.

B. Husband shall become the sole owner of the former marital residence located at R.D. #2, Linesville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

C. Husband shall pay the wife the sum of $13,000.00.

D. Each party shall keep the personal property in his and her own possession except that the items listedon Schedule A (attached) shall become the sole and separate property of the wife and she may pick them up at the former marital residence on the date of her choosing within 30 days of the date of this decree.

3. Alimony, Counsel Fees, Costs and Expenses: Neither ofthe parties hereto shall be awarded alimony, counsel fees, costs or other expenses.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro,

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

363.

MARTHA G. RODGERS

vs.

CHARLES E. RODGERS

Filed Apr. 10, 1991
@ 11:39 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 8-7-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

May 14, 1991 Verification of Service filed. I, Leroy Chaney, do hereby verify that I did, on the 2nd day of May, 1991, at 9:45 AM serve a true and correct copy of the Divorce Complaint filed at the above term and number, upon the Defendant, Charles E. Rodgers, at the Villa Tavern in Cambridge Springs, PA.

LeRoy Chaney, Constable

Dated: May 10, 1991

July 16, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 16, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

August 2, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Aug. 9, 1991 S T I P U L A T I O N A N D C O N S E N T D E C R E E F I L E D.

AND NOW, this 9th day of August, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Stipulation, this Court hereby dicrects that:

1. The Plaintiff shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and personalty currently in her possession; and defendant shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and personalty currently in his possession.

2. The Plaintiff shall have sole and exclusive title to a 1984 Buick Century, currently titled to Plaintiff, and Plaintiff shall be solely responsible for any and all outstanding blances due and owing on said vehicle, including but not limited to: insurance, title and registration.

The Defendat shallhave sole and exclusive title to a 1979 Ford Bronco and Plaintiff agress to execute any and all papers necessary to effect the transfer of this vehicle title. Said title will be executed and delivered to Defendant at a date not later than ten (10) days after the date of this Decree. Defendant shall be solely responsible for any and all outstanding balances due owing on said vehicle, including but not limited to: insurance, title and registration.

3. Plaintiff shall be the sole and exclusive owner of the marital residence located in the Borough of Woodcock, Crawford County, Pennsylvania. Defendant shall execute and deliver to Plaintiff a Quitclaim Deed to said property at a date not later than ten (10) days from the date of this Decree. Plaintiff shall be sonely responsible for any and all outstanding obligations due and owing against said marital residence, including but not limited to: any outstanding mortgage, insurance, maintenance and utilities.

For an din consideration of the above transfer of title to the marital real estate, Defendant shall receive the sum of Thrityeen Thousand Three Hundred Fifity ($13,350.00) Dollars, which sum shall be reduced by the sum of One Thousand ($1,000.00) Dollars, representing Defendant's prorated portion of the outstanding marital credit card obligations. The remaining sum of Twelve Thousand Three Hundred Fifity ($12,350.00) Dollars shall be paid by Plaintiff to Defendant as follows:

a) Upon receipt by Plaintiff of the duly executed Quitclaim Deed from Defendant, Plaintiff shall deliver the sum of Four Thosuand oNe Hundred Sixteen ($4,116.00) dollars;

b) Within three (3) months from the date of the first payment referred to in subparagraph 3(a) abaove, Plaintiff shall deliver the sum of Thour Thousand One Hundred Seventeen ($4,117.00) Dollars to defendant; and

c) Within six (6) months from the date of the first payment referred to in subparagraph 3(a) above, Plaintiff shall deliver th esum of Four Thousand One Hundred seventeen ($4,117.00) Dollars to defendant.

It is the defendant's responsibility to keep Plaintiff advised of his mailing address for the purpose of forwarding the payments set forth above.

4. The bonds of matirmony that have existed between the parties is hereby dissolved.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug
David L. Hothckiss

364.

Bruce A. Barrett

CHIPSCO, INC.

vs.

BOND INDUSTRIAL MACHINERY COMPANY

Filed Apr. 10, 1991
@ 3:10 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	19.52
Exemp. of record pd 12-16-93	13.50
Pro Co pd 1-27-95	9.00
Exemp.of record pd 2-2-95	10.00
Exemp of record pd 5-30-96	10.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED by certified mail, April 17, 1991, Bond Industrial Machinery Company, 499 Atlantic avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11217, signed receipt attached.
so answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 3, 1991 Appearance of Bruce A. Barrett for Defendant filed.

May 20, 1991 Preliminary Objections filed.

June 14, 1991, Answer to Preliminary Objections filed.

June 19, 1991, Plaintiff's Motion to Set Preliminary Objections for Hearing and Argument filed.

june 19, 1991, Order filed. AND NOW, this 19 day of June, 1991 upon consideration of the foregoing Motion of Plaintiff's Counsel, this matter is hereby set down for argument and evidentiary hearing, upon Defendant's Preliminary Objections and Plaintiffs' Answer thereto, as follows:
Hearing and argument July 30, 1991 - 9:30 a.m. Ct. Rm 1 or 2.
BY THE COURT,
P. R. Thomas J.
June 20, 1991, copies to D. Hotchkiss and B. Barrett.

Aug 27, 1991 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, August 27, 1991, the defendant's preliminary objections are dismissed. The defendant shall file a responsive pleadings no later than twenty (20) days fromthe date of this order.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug. 27, 1991 copies to Attys. Hotchkiss and Barrett

Jan. 9, 1992 Default Notice filed.

Jan. 17, 1992 Answer To Complaint filed.

Mar. 23, 1992, Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON TRIAL LIST FOR OCTOBER 1992

Oct.7, 1992 Assignement of Nonjury Trial Date filed. October 2, 1992, nonjury trial in the above matter is set for December 16, 1992, at 9:15 A.M. in Courtroom 1.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator
Oct.7, 1992 Copy to Attys. Hotchkiss, and Barrett.

Dec. 11, 1992 Motion for Continuance and Order of Court filed. And Now, December 11, 1992 The above motion allowed. The nonjury trial is rescheduled for February 3, 1993 at 9:30 a.m.
Gordon R. Miller, J.
Dec. 16, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. Hotchkiss and Barrett.

February 19, 1993 DECISION filed. The Court finds as a fact that the defendant sold and delivered to the plaintiff non-conforming goods and that the plaintiff did have a right to inspect the goods and reject them if it found them to be non-conforming.
Accordingly a verdict is hereby rendered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of $6,251.00 together with interest from Oct. 15, 1990 and costs of suit.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Feb. 19, 1993 Copies of Verdict to Attys. David Hotchkiss and Bruce Barrett.

Jan. 27, 1995 And Now, upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney judgement has been entered in favor of the Plaintiff Chipsco, Inc., and against Defendant Bond Industrial Machinery Company, in the amount of Six thousand two hundred fifty-one and 00/100 plus interest at the rate of 6% per annum from October 15, 1990, and costs of suit, pursuant to the decision and Order of Judge Gordon R. Miller entered February 19, 1993.
Entered 1-27-95 Debt: $6,251.00 plus itnerest and costs
B - 183 JUDGMENT
Barbara H. King, Pro.

May 29, 1996 Praecipe for Exemplification of Judgment, filed.

1991

Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC
Lisa Pepicelli Youngs

365.

DOROTHY A. HARRISON

vs.

DOUGLAS R. HARRISON

Filed Apr. 10, 1991
@ 4:28 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 5-29-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 10, 1991, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed. 1 copy (atty)

Apr. 17, 1991 Certificate of Service filed. I, LISA M. YOUNGS, hereby certify that I am the Attorney for the Plaintiff, Dorothy A. Harrison, in the wihtin Action and that a certified copy of the Divorce Complaint with Notice to defend and Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) o fthe Divorce Code with a form Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code attached thereto were sent by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, Restricted delivery, to the defendant, Douglas R. Harrison at %Robert J. Harrison, Geneva Rd., Atlantic, PA 16111 on April 11, 1991 and was received by the Defendant on April 12, 1991. The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto marked Exhibit "A". Lisa Pepicelli Youngs

May 29, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §201(d) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

June 3, 1991 D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .

AND NOW, June 3, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Dorothy A. Harrison plaintiff, and Douglas R. Harrison, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

366.

JOHN STULL

vs.

KAREN WHITACRE

Field Apr. 11, 1991
@ 10:10 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00 ✓
plff on orig	9.25
sheriffs costs	28.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

DSB 1986 - 385

Writ of Revival indexed in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of One Thousand Nine Hundred Ninety Three and 69/100 Dollars and cost of suit.

Debt ---- $1,993.69
Int. from ----
Dated: 4/11/91
W -105 REVIVAL OF JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

May 6, 1991 Writ returned. SERVED Karen Whitacre on Apr. 24, 1991 at 9:30 a.m. by handing copy of Writ of Revival to her authorized agent, Lori Hailwood, Sec. to Atty. Terry Toomey, at Sheriffs office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, crawford County, Pa.
Signed acceptance of Service attached.
So answers, Diane Severo, Dep. Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

1991

Thomas Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker
R. Charles THomas

367.

Ferguson & Spataro
John F, Spataro, Esq.

JUDITH E. JANES

vs.

WILLIAM A. JANES

John Fuller, Mediator

Filed Apr. 11, 1991
@ 10:28 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 11/8/91	45.00
State Pd 11/8/91	15.00
Pro Co Pd 5-26-92	9.00
Pro Co pd 5-10-95	9.00

Received from Deft Mediation fees 5-22-92 100.00

Received from Plff Mediation fees 5-26-92 100.00

Pd C.C. Comm. Ck #6902 Med. Fees 200.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Oct 29, 1991 Petition for Related Claims Under Divorce Code and (Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees and Costs, Equitable Distribution, and Custody) and Order filed: AND NOW, this 28 day of October, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition, a Rule is hereby granted upon the Defendant, William A. Janes, to show cause, if any he has, why the request of the Plaintiff as set forth in this Petition should not be granted.

Returnable for hearing and/or argument on the 21 day of Nov., 1991 at 9:00 o'clock ___.M. in Court Room No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania. Copies of this Petition to be served upon the Defendant and his counsel.

BY THE COURT,
P. R. Thomas, S.J.

Nov 7, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty. Thomas' box.

Jan. 24, 1992, Inventory and Appraisement of Judith E. Janes filed.

Jan. 24, 1992, PlaINTIFF'S Income and Expense Statement filed.

Feb. 14, 1992 Motion For Appointment Of Custody Mediator and Order filed. And now, February 14, 1992 upon presentation and consideration of the within Motion, John Fuller, Esquire, is appointed Custody Mediator pursuant to Count IV of Plaintiff's Peittion For Related Claims. Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Feb. 14, 1992 Copies of order to Atty's Thomas and Fuller

April 23, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, April 22, 1992 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of William A. Janes, Jr. shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the child such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject child.

II. Physical custody of William A. Janes, Jr. shall be with his mother, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child, William A. Janes, Jr., into his custody the first weekend each month from 7:00 p.m. on Friday to 7:00 p.m. on Sunday, beginning May 1, 1992. The first weekend of each month shall be deemed to be the one on which the first Friday falls.

B. The father shall be entitled to visit the child, William A. Janes, Jr. and also the child, Todd Blauvelt, at the home of the mother, on the third weekend of each month, beginning May 15, 1992, at times mutually agreeable to the mother and father.

C. On weekend visits, or at other times when the children are in his custody, the father shall abstain from the use of alcohol or illegal drugs.

D. Within thirty (30) days of the date of this order, the father shall submit to a drug and alcohol evaluation, the results of which shall be made available to the mother or her attorney. The mother and/or her attorney shall keep this information confidential and shall not reveal the information to any other person.

E. On weekends and other visits when William A. Janes, Jr. is in the custody of his father, the father shall not permit a woman, other than the child's mother, to be in his home after 9:00 p.m.

F. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. Custody of the child shall be shared on the aforesaid holidays and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the child shall be in the custody of the mother on the aforesaid holidays until 1:00 p.m. and in the custody of the father on the aforesaid holidays from 1:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m.

G. Beginning with the Summer of 1993, the child shall be in the custody of the father for a period of one (1) week in June, one (1) week in July and one (1) week in August. The father shall give the mother at least thrity (30) days notice of the dates of the Summer visit.

H. Transportation of the child on weekends, holidays andSummer visits shall be provided as follows: The parent receiving custody shall provide transportation, that is, the father shall pick up the child at the beginning of the visit and the mother shall provide transportation at the conclusion of the visit.

I. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everying in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

J. Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or well being.

K. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the child and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanet order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference will be equally divided between the mother and father.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

April 29, 1992 copy of order given to: R.Charles Thomas, Esq., John F. Spataro, Esq. John Fuller, Esq.

Apr. 10, 1995 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Apr. 11, 1995 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 10, 1995 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. R. CHARLES THOMAS, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on April 12, 1991, he sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce, properly endorsed with the Notice to Defend, filed in the above action to the Defendant, William A. Jans, at Defendant's last known address of 894 Grove St., Meadville, PA 16335, by Certified Mail No. P398121619, postage prepaid, restricted delivery, return receipt requested and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receiplt card showing date of delivery as April 19, 1991.

May 10,1995 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Record Continued on Page 585.

1991

Thomas, Truax , Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker
David 368. P. Truax

STEVEN JOHN BARR

vs.

JULIE DAVIS

Filed Apr. 11, 1991
@ 11:49 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.25
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 6/21/91	9.00
Cert. pd 8/22/91	2.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF HUBERT
VOGAN, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30- 3- 02

Apr. 11, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

Apr. 12, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

Apr. 26, 1991 Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

May 1, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. David p. Truax , Esq. be ing duly sworn ac cording to law, deposes and says that on Apr. 26, 1991 he sent a true and correct copy of Complaint to the defendant by Cert. mail P 398 121 585 postage prepaid, restricted delivery ret. rec. req. at her last known address 44 Clarksville St. Greenville, Pa. 16125 further that there is attached hereto a duly executed return rec. card showing date of del. as 4/29/91.
David P. Truax , ESq.

And Now, June 19, 1991 at 4:25 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in this action in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an action; damages assessed in the sum of Two Thousand Five Hundred Sixty Five and 00/100 Dollars together with costs incurred to date in amount of $37.00 and int. from date of Judg. entry.
Judgment entered 6/20/91

D - 205

Debt --- $2,565.00
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1991

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas W. Ferguson

369.

CINDY SHELBY

vs.

ROBERT F. SHELBY

Filed Apr. 11, 1991
@ 11:58 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 7/11/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 23, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, the undersigned being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pa. on above dft. by cert. mail, addressee only, ret. rec. req. dated Apr. 11, 1991. The defendant acknowledged service on Arp. 13, 1991 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.
Douglas W. Ferguson, Esq.

July 11, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

July 11, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

July 11, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit-Record - Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

July 26, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, July 18, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that CINDY SHELBY, plaintiff, and ROBERT F. SHELBY, defendant, are divorced frmo the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

370

1991

Thomas, Truax, [illegible] Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker Anthony J. Vardaro 370. Curtis E. Blystone	WILLIAM DARRIN d/b/a PLANT PLACE OF MEADVILLE, INC. & PLANT PLACE OF MEADVILLE INC. vs. BRUCE NICOLLS, d/b/a NICOLLS AND ALLEN AUCTION BRUCE NICOLLS d/b/a NICOLLS AUCTION SERVICE NICOLLS & ALLEN AUCTION Filed April 12, 1991 @ 2:26 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.25 state paid 5.00	APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF HUBERT E. VOGAN, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-3-02 Apr. 12, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued. Apr. 12, 1991 Proof of Service filed. May 1, 1991 Complaint filed. May 24, 1991 Answer field. Dec. 20, 1991 Motion To Withdraw Appearance of Plaintiff Attorney and Order filed. AND NOW, this 20 day of Dec., 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, Anthony J. Vardaro, Esquire, is permitted to withdraw his appearance on behalf of ths Plaintiff, William Darrin. BY THE COURT, P r Thomas, S.J. Jan. 2, 1992 Copies of order put in box for atty's Vardaro and Blystone

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck
Leemhuis Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

371.

NATIONAL FUEL GAS
DISTRIBUTION CORPORATION

vs.

HARRIET L. McGARVIE AND
JOHN McGARVIE

Filed April 15, 1991
@ 11:13 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	39.50
Pro Co Pd 6/19/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

May 8, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Harriet L. McGarvie personally on May 2, 1991 at 9:27 AM at her residence, 308 N. Franklin Street, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED John McGarvie on May 2, 1991 at 9:28 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Harriet L. McGarvie, his wife, at residence, 308 N. Franklin Street, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 14, 1991 at 1:34 p.m, And now, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with copy of default notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants, in the sum of $2,025.77 plus interest from April 11, 1991 and all costs of suit, for failure to answer.
Judgment entered 6/14/91
M - 84, 94 Debt --- $2,025.77
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

June 14, 1991 Notice of entry of judgment mailed to dfts.

EX1992-24

1991

Emil M. Spadafore Jr.

372.
Northwest Legal Service
R.Christopher Brode

RAY H. DEETER, JR.

vs.

JACQUELINE A. CHURCHILL

Filed April 15, 1991
@ 11:34 a.m.

Tax & entry	$50.25
state	5.00
arb. pd. 9/16/91	15.00
Pro.Co.	9.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF DISTRICT JUSTICE ROBERT J. LEONHART,FILED IN ERROR IN COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ERIE COUNTY, PENNA.

Oct. 23, 1990, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued. (Erie county)

Oct. 29, 1990, Proof of Service filed. (Erie County)

April 15, 1991, Motion to Transfer Venue, Certificate of Notice and Order of Court filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 11 day of April 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing, the Prothonotary of Erie County is directed to transfer all filings in this case to the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pa.
Date: 4/11/91 BY THE COURT,
(signature of Erie Co. Judge illegible)
Apr. 16, 1991, copy to Atty. Spadafore.

May 23, 1991 Complaint filed.

July 24, 1991 Reply to New Matter and Counterclaim filed.

Sept 16, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for reference to the Arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed:
Victor J. Kinnunen, Ch.
Alan L. Pepicelli
Mark D. Prather

Oct. 2, 1991 Arbitrators take the qualifying oath.

June 3, 1992 Motion for Court Approval of Consent Judgment and Agreement for Entry of Judgment filed. AND NOW, this 27th day of May, 1992, it is hereby agreed between the parties that judgment be entered in this action in favor of the plaintiff, Ray H.Deeter,Jr., and against the defendant, JacquelineA. Churchill, for the sum of $960.22. Costs to be paid by the defendant.
Thomas, Truax,Spadafore & Walker
By: Emil M. Spadafore, Jr. Esquire
Attorney for Plaintiff
R. Christopher Brode,Esquire
Attorney for Defendant
BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.
Date: 6/4/92
June 3, 1992 Copy of Agreement for Entry of Judgment given to R. Christopher Brode, Esq.

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

373.

CHERYL I. LEW

vs.

THOMAS JAMES LEW

Filed Apr. 15, 1991
@ 11:48 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro.Co. pd 9/28/92	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

July 17, 1991 Verification of Service filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire, do hereby verify that I am the attorney of record for the above named Plaintiff, and that as such I am authorized to make this verification; that I did on the 19th day of April 1991, send to the above named defendant, at his last known address of c/o Ellsworth Lew, R.D.#1, Box 331A, Guys Mills, PA-16327, by Certified Mail, Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint filed at the above term and number; and that said service was effected on April 24, 1991 as evidenced by the duly executed return receipt.

Brian J. Lindsay

Oct. 22, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. I, the above named defendant hereby accept service ofthe complaint in the above action.

Date: 11/22/91 Thomas James Lew.

Oct. 22, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Sept. 28, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Cheryl I. Lew, Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 28, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney, Brian J. Lindsay, to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Oct. 2, 1992 AND NOW, September 30, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that CHERYL I. LEW, plaintiff, and THOMAS JAMES LEW, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

374

1991

Ferguson & Spataro
John F. Spataro

374.

~~Jeffrey K. Millin~~ withdrawn

Mason and Mason
M. Dan Mason
Cash Bond of $2909.50 posted by dft. on 4/15/91

4/15/91 Cash Bond In The Amount $2909.50 Posted

5/24/91 Rec'd $2904.50 To M. Dan Mason Esq. Ck #6329

REBECCA AND JOSEPH BARCLAY

vs.

WIESLAWE SURAZSKA

Filed April 15, 1991
@ 2:21 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.25 ✓
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 5/30/91	15.00 ✓
Pro co Pd 7-26-91	5.00 ✓

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF W. D. CHISHOLM, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-2-01

Apr. 15, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

April 17, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

May 8, 1991 Complaint filed.

May 15, 1991 Motion To Withdraw As Attorney for Defendant filed.

May 15, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, this 15 day of May, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion to Withdraw as Attorney for the defendant, the movant, Jeffrey K. Millin, Esquire, is hereby permitted to withdraw as counsel and attorney for the defendant, Wieslawe Surazska, in the above captioned matter.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, s.j.

May 16, 1991 Copies of order put in John Spataro and Jeffrey Millin's box.

May 28, 1991 Answer of Defendant filed. 1 copy (atty)

May 29, 1991 Upon praecipe of PLaintiff Attorney, For Reference To Arbitrators the following are hereby appointed:

Paul E. Allen, Chairman
Brian J. Lindsay
~~Joan B. Mason~~ David Pickens

June 11, 1991 Arbitrators take the Qualifying Oath.

July 5, 1991 Decision of Arbitrators filed in which they find in favor of the Plaintiff in the sum of $972.04.

S-174 DECISION OF ARBITRATORS

July 5, 1991 copies of order put in John Spataro and M. Dan Mason's box.

July 26, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiffs' Attorney this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

July 29, 1991 Motion and Order filed: AND NOW, this 29 day of July, 1991, the Prothonotary is directed to release to Attorney M. Dan Mason the amount of $2,904.50 representing the amount currently on deposit less the $5.00 cost of the praecipe for a discontinuance.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

July 29, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty. Spataro and Mason's boxes.

1991

James R. Irwin
Shafer, swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack

375.

BRENDAN R. KRZYWKOWSKI

vs.

JANNIE R. KRZYWKOWSIK

Filed Apr. 16, 1991
@ 3:33 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 9/11/91	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Sept 11, 1991 Affidavit of SErvice filed. I, James R. Irwin, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the atty. of record, and as such say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pa. on the above named defendant by cert. mail, addressee only, ret. rec. req., dated Apr. 16, 1991. The defendant acknowleded service on Apr. 18, 1991 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached sined return receipt

James R. Irwin Esq.

Sept. 11, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

sept. 11, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Sept. 11, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to Transmit the Record, this case moved to court.

Sept. 13, 1991 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, September 13, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Brendan T. Krzywkowski, plaintiff, and Jannie F. Krzywkowski defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Sidney M. Carlburg

376.

DONALD J. ORMSBEE

vs.

ELAINE H. ORMSBEE

Filed Apr. 16, 1991
@ 3:40 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count. paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Paid 8-26-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

July 24, 1991 Acceptance of Service of Complaint filed. I, Elaine H. Ormsbee, defendant in the above captioned case in divorce, do hereby certify that I accepted service of Notice to Defend and Claim Rights, Notice of Availability of Counseling, and Complaint in Divorce. Elaine H. Ormsbee. Dated: 5/2/91

AND NOW, Aug 21, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney for Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Aug 21, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug 21, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug 26, 1991 Motion to Withdraw Claim of Equitable Distribution filed.

Sept. 4, 1991 DECREE FILED.

AND NOW, to-wit: this 4th day of September, 1991, this cause camon on to be heard on the claim of DONALD J. ORMSBEE, and it appearing to the court that the facts set forth and the Affidavits set forthby the parties consenting to the granting of a divorce under the No-Fault Divorce Act 1980, Public Lay 640, in that the marriage is irretrievably broken under Section 201(c) and therefore in consideration by the Court IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

DONALD J. ORMSBEE is hereby divorced and forever separated from the nuptial ties or bonds of matrimony heretofore existing between he and the said ELAINE H. ORMSBEE and the said marriage is her eby ORDERED and DECREED to be wholly null and void, and all and every duties, rights and claims accruing to either of said parties in pursuant of said marriage shall and do cease and determine, and the said parties shall severally be at liberty to marry again in like manner as though they had never been married.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1991

Sidney M. Carlburg

377.

Emil Spadafore

CHERYL E. BROWN

vs.

DANIEL M. BROWN

Filed Apr. 16, 1991
@ 3:40 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
sheriff's costs	33.50
Sheriff's Costs	44.00
Pro Co pd	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

June 6, 1991 Complaint Reinstated.

July 12, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Mark S. Fisher, Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 14th day of June, 1991, at 1:10 P.M., he served Complaint in Divorce at No. aD 377 Term, 1991, Crawford County PA., upon defendant Daniel M. Brown at the State Regional Correctional Facility Mercer, 801 Butler Pike, Mercer, PA. By making known the contents thereof to Daniel M. Brown personally and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the complaint received from Sheriff of Crawford County.

Mark S. Fisher, Deputy Sheriff
So answers,
William H. Romine, Jr. Sheriff
Mercer County, PA.

SERVED Daniel M. Brown by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer County, PA. as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on June 14, 1991.
So answers,

Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 29, 1991 Answer To Complaint in Divorce filed.

Aug.19, 1992 PETITION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE and ORDER filed. ORDER:- AND NOW, TO-WIT: this 19th day of August, 1992, upon presentation of the foregoing Petition, it is hereby ORDERED and DECREED that Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter is permitted to amend her Complaint in Divorce so as to enable her to proceed under Section 3304(a)(2) of the Divorce Code.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 20, 1992 copy to S. Carlburg, E. Spadafore

Aug. 19, 1992 COMPLAINT IN ANNULMENT, filed.

Oct. 13, 1992 Praecipe for Reinstatement of Divorce Action filed.

Nov. 20, 1992 Sheriff's Return filed.SERVED Daniel M. Brown by deputizing the Sheriff of Westermoreland County, PA, as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served On November 2, 1992 at 9:40 AM at the State Correctional Institute, R.D.#10, Greensburg, PA.
So answers,

Robert M.Stevens, Sheriff

May 24, 1993 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff the above captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

378.

TERRY A. BEDOW

vs.

KARLA M. BEDOW

Filed Apr. 16, 1991
@ 3:54 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

4 copies Sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 15th day of April, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: The Defendant shall remove herself from the marital residence and shall not return until after the said hearing.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for April 22, 1991, at 4:00 P M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Apr 25, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, April 22, 1991, the matter is before the Court on an Abuse Petition. The Defendant has no place to live, and accordingly, the parties mutually agree that the defendant may move back into the household until such time as she can find independent living arrangements.

Upon finding the same, the parties mutually agree that she may pack up her belongings, including furniture and other household items belonging to her, and leave the residence.

Neither party will assault, strike or wound the other for any reason.

This case is continued generally and upon removal from the household, the Defendant will notify the Court that she has moved from the household and does not intend to return. Once she has left the household and the Court has received the aforesaid notice, this Order will be considered recinded.

So long as the parties are residing together, the conditions of non-abuse in this Order as it applies to both parties shall continue as long as the parties are living together and if the parties continue in the future living together, the conditions of this order will terminate six (6) months from this date without further Order of Court.

The County is DIRECTED to pay costs in this case.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Apr 25, 1991 Copy given to Co. Control and May 2, 1991 copies put in Atty. Irwin's box and copy mailed to Plaintiff.

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

379.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

JOYCE DeWALT, sometimes k/a
JOYCE PEIRCE

vs.

MICHAEL J. PEIRCE

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, PERMANENT ALIMONY, TEMPORARY SUPPORT, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS, AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

RULE TO SHOW CAUSE: AND NOW, this 3rd day of April 1991 upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Complaint in Divorce, a Rule is entered upon the defendant to showcause, if any he has, why the prayer of the plaintiff in Count 2 of the Complaint should not be granted.

RULE RETURNABLE ON the 10 day of May 1991, at 11:00 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

1 copy (atty)

Filed Apr. 17, 1991
@ 9:26 a.m.

Indigent filing
Pro Co pd 4-15-93 9.00
Pro Co Pd 7-30-93 80.50
user fee pd 7-30-93 15.00
state pd 7-30-93 10.00

Apr. 17, 1991 Petition by Indigent Party Under Pa. R.C.P. 1920.62 and order filed. ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO PROCEED AS AN INDIGENT PARTY: AND NOW, this 17 dayof Apr. 1991 upon presentation of the foregoing Petition, and a hearing having been scheduled on May 10, 1991 at 11:00 a.n. before this court to determine the plaintiff's prayer for Special Relief ocntained in Count 2 of the Divorce complaint, plaintiff is granted leave to file her complaint in divorce, and ancillary claims, as an indigent party.

The Prothonotary is directed to process said complaint in accordance with this order and to release true and correct copies to PLaintiff's counsel for the purpose of service.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 29, 1991, Petition for Declaratory Judgment filed.

May 1, 1991 ORDER filed. Plaintiff commenced a divorce action filed April 17, 1991, and a hearing has been set on ancillary issues involving alimony pendente lite, counsel fees and costs for May 10, 1991. In this regard, we note Paragraph Four of the Plaintiff's divorce complaint alleges the parties were married in April of 1983 in Crawford County and separated November 30, 1990.

The Defendant now files a Petition for Declaratory Judgment contending that the parties were never married and requesting that the Petition for Declaratory Judgment be held at the same time previously scheduled for hearing on the Plaintiff's request for alimony pendente lite and related claims.

We first note that actions for declaratory judgment cannot be commenced by a petition and in accord with Rule 1601, a declaratory judgment action must be commenced by filing a complaint in accord with the appropriate procedures prescribed in the equity rules. Thus, this declaratory judgment action which purports to commence a separate legal action at the same number as the divorce complaint does not comport with Pa. Rules of Court regarding service or form. We note further that even if the Court were to find that the "Petition: comported with the required rules, the opposing party would have twenty (20) days to file an answer to the declaratory judgment complaint.

It seems obvious to the Court that an ancillary declaratory judgment action, even if begun properly, and with appropriate responsive pleadings, is a cumbersome and unnecessary proceeding to determine whether a marriage exists between the parties.

The very basis of any divorce proceeding is that the Plaintiff bears the burden of showing there was a valid statutory or common law marraige existing between the parties. As noted previously, the Plaintiff in this action has made said allegation in Paragraph Four of her complaint and the issue of the existence of a marriage could be raised by the Defendant husband filing an answer to the divorce complaint and denying that a valid statutory or common law marriage exists. These pleadings would thus frame the issue and the matter could be appropriately resolved within the framework of the wife's divorce action.

The hearing now set for May 10, 1991, will be held and after listening to legal argument from both attorneys, the Court will enter an appropriate Order.

DATED: April 30, 1991.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, Senior Judge

May 6, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. Brian Lindsay, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick.

May 7, 1991 Motion for Reconsideration filed.

May 9, 1991 Motion for Reconsideration filed.

May 9, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, May 7, 1991, Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's previous Order of April 30, 1991, is set for argument May 10, 1991 at 11:00 a.m., at the same time set for previous argument on the case.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. richard Thomas, S.J.

May 9, 1991 Copy put in Atty's box.

May 10, 1991 Defendant's Income and Expense Statement filed.

May 15, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, May 10, 1991, the Court meets with the parties and counsel and it appears the main thrust of this combined divorce and declaratory judgment action is whether a valid marraige exists between the parties. The plaintiff has requested alimony pendente lite, counsel fees and expenses and hearing on this matter will be held July 9, 1991, at 9:00 a.m.

The burden shall be on the plaintiff in this action to prove a valid marriage, ceremonial or common law, exists between the parties.

In preparation for the hearing this date, a subpoena was issued on the plaintiff requesting a check in the amount of $4,000.00, birth certificates, marriage licenses and divorces. Counsel for the plaintiff has procured copies of the same except for the check an dthe birth certificate of the plaintiff. The $4,000.00 check apparently has already been deposited in the joint account of the parties so cannot be produced. The birth certificate ofthe plaintiff has not been produced, but will be furnished to opposing counsel within ten (10) days.

The Court advises counsel no further discovery will be authorized before the hearing.

The Court considers the Petition for Declaratory Judgment an ancillary matter to this proceeding, raising the sole issue of whether the parties have a valid ceremonial or common law marriage. ACCORDINGLY, the defendant will not require a resonsible pleading to the declaratory judgment petition.

The defendant has agreed until modified by further Court Order to continue to allow the plaintiff to occupy the jointly owned real estate, continue to have full use of the GMA van and will continued to pay the mortgage on said premises in the amount of approximately $621.00 per month and will continue to pay child support for the parties' one child in the amount of $150.00 per week.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT, P. Richard Thomas

May 16, 1991 Copies of order to Brian Lindsay and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

Continued on page 590

380

1991

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

380

STANLEY BOSTITCH, INC.

vs.

HOLLAND DUTCH WOOD PRODUCTS

Filed Apr. 17, 1991 @
1:18 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
Sheriff Costs	28.00
Pro Co Pd 6/5/91	5.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy sheriff

May 6, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Holland Dutch Wood Products on Arp. 26, 1991 at 9:29 a.m. by handing copy of complaint to William VanFlandern, owner, at Sheriffs Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.
So answers, Catherine I. Allen
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 5, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above action is hereby discontinued of record.

1991

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas Ferguson

381

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

JAMES W. HOTCHKISS

vs.

PATRICIA L. HOTCHKISS

Filed April 17, 1991
@ 1:18 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
1 Count paid	15.00
User's Fee paid	10.00
State paid	10.00
Pro Co. Pd 8-14-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 19, 1991 Apprearance of Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq. for Defendant filed.

May 8, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, the Undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, PA., on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated April 17, 1991. The Defendant acknowledged service on April 26, 1991 by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

FERGUSON & SPATARO
Douglas W. Ferguson, Esq.

Aug. 8, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug. 15, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 15, 1991 Motion To Withdraw Count for Equitable Distribution and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 14th day of August, 1991, the within Motion is allowed.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

Aug. 20, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. Douglas Ferguson and M. A.Kirkpatrick.

Au. 14, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for Review under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case is moved to Court.

Aug 22, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, Aug. 21, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that James W. Hotchkiss, plaintiff, and Patricia L. Hotchkiss, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma & Schug
Glenn A. W. Thompson

382

Joann M. Jofery
for Deborah Taxacher Ind. & as Executrix of Est.

Joseph W. Tinko
for dft. Robert T. Kincaid

MICHAEL DICKSON, d/b/a DICKSON FUNERAL HOME

vs.

DEBORAH TAXACHER, EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF DOROTHY C. KINCAID, DEBORAH TAXACHER, individually and ROBERT T. KINCAID

Filed April 17, 1991
@ 3:20 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	50.50
User's Fee paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	43.05
Arb pd. 6/5/91	15.00
Pro Co Pd 11/4/91	5.00

COMPLAINT

3 copies sheriff

May 2, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Deborah TAxacher, personally, on April 22, 1991 at 9:12 AM, at the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
SERVED Deborah Taxacher, Executrix of Kincaid Estate, personally, on April 22, 1991 at 9:13 AM, at the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA
SERVED Robert T. Kincaid, personally, on April 24, 1991, at 9:32 AM at the Sheriff's Office, Crawford county Courthouse, Meadville, Pa 16335
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Carole Stefanuccia, Deputy
Howard N.Stewart, Sheriff

May 13, 1991 Answer filed.

May 13, 1991, Answer and New Matter filed.

May 28, 1991, Verification to be attached to Answer filed.

May 28, 1991 Verification to be attached to Answer and New Matter filed.

May 29, 1991 Reply To Answer and New Matter of Defendant Taxacher, Executrix filed.

June 4, 1991, Robert T. Kincaid's Answer to Plaintiffs Complaint filed. 1 copy (atty)

June 5, 1991 Upon praecipe of attorney for plaintiff, for reference to Arbitration, the following arbitrators are appointed: ~~William Walker, Ch~~. Stephen E. Hall ,CH.
Sylvia Dratler
Jeffrey K. Millen

Aug. 1, 1991 Arbitrators take qualifying oath.

(upon letter of disqualification, from Wm. Walker, Esq, Stephen E. Hall substitued in his stead, as chairman)

Sept. 25, 1991, DECISION OF ARBITRATORS filed in which they find in favor of plaintiff and against defendant Robert T. Kincaid in the amount of $1,000.00; in favor of plaintiff and against defendant Deborah Ta xacher, Executrix of the Estate of Dorothy C. Kincaid in the amount of $4,532.00 plus interest and costs of suit (interest form April 17, 1991) less Administrative Estate Costs allowed by the court and in favor of defendant Deborah Taxacher individually, and against plaintiff Michael Dickson, d/b/a Dickson Funeral HOme.
T - 44
K - 143
Decision entered
9/25/91

DECISION OF ARBITRATORS,
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Sept. 25, 1991 copies to Attys. Thompson, Jofery and Tinko.

Oct. 29,1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, all sums which were due and payable to plaintiff pursuant to award of arbitrators and judg. against dft. Robert T. Kincaid having been fully and completely paid, the above said judgment is now marked satisfied of record.

1991

Stephen E. Hall
Solicitor

383

William Walker
for patient

In the Matter of
JOHN ROTKOVECZ

Filed April 17, 1991
@ 4:09 p.m.

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY INTERVENTION BY EMERGENCY COURT ORDER

ORDER:

AND NOW, April 17, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing petition, it is hereby ordered that Active Aging, Inc. shall provide the following protective services to John Rotkovecz and that such services shall be provided without his/her consent: Treatment at Meadville Medical Center inpatient. Petition to arrange for respondents appearance at hearing.

The terms of this order shall expire at 1:30 pm, April 19, 1991. The court hereby sets April 19, 1991 at 1:30 p.m. CR to be assigned as the time and place for a review hearing in the event of need for an additional emergency court order or continued court and protective services involvement or both. The court hereby appoints William L. Walker, Esq. to represent the aforesaid older adult in any further proceedings with regard to this matter.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

3 copies atty.

Apr 23, 1991 Re: Petition for Involuntary Intervention Order filed: AND NOW, April 19, 1991, the matter is before the Court on a Petition by representatives of Active Aging, involving the above seventy-six-year-old patient with numerous physical problems and marginal emotional stability. The patient with numerous physical problems and marginal emotional stability. The patient resides with a girl-friend, but medical reports and personal input from the Emergency Room physician indicates repeated visits to the hospital, leaving of the hospital without medical recommendation and a constant calling of ambulance for deliveries to the hospital to render services. This Court is satisfied that the evidence indicates a stability period is necessary with more active intervention by Active Aging.

ACCORDINGLY, this court directs that John Rotkovec remain at Meadville Medical Center until discharged by the order of an attending physician.

The Court DIRECTS Active Aging to continue active in the case, attempt to recruit Visiting Nurses Services and other community services to assure that the prescribed medication are properly administered and that the needs of the partient are met.

Counsel for the patient advises the Court he has interviewed the patient and he believes his mental stability does not require the appointment of a guadian at this time, but recognizes that his repeated requests for admission to the hospital and his leaving without consent of the physician jeopardize his health.

The Order shall remain in effect for a period of sixty (60) days and the Court leaves to the discretion of Active Aging and their counsel whether further modifications to the Order are necessary and whether or not a guardian of the person or estate, or both, is needed in this case.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1991

Elderkin, Martin, Kelly, & Messina
Craig A. Markham

384.

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker
Emil M. Spadafore

Knox, McLaughlin, Gornall & Sennett PC
Mark E. Mioduszewski
for add'l dft.

HELEN L. KLEBER, AND
ANTHONY KLEBER, HER HUSBAND

vs.

MARY J. WAGNER dft.

Glenn Peterson Floors and Furniture, Inc. add'l dft

Filed Apr. 17, 1991
@ @ 11:20 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriffs costs	21.00
Sheriff's costs	21.00
Pro Co. pd 3/4/92	5.00
Pro Co Pd 2/12/93	6.00

WRIT OF SUMMONS

Issued (sheriff)

May 6, 1991, Writ returned. SERVED Mary J. Wagner personally on Apr. 25, 1991 at 10:52 a.m. at residence R. D. #9, limber Rd., Meadville, Pa. Crawford County.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. stewart, sheriff

July 18, 1991 Complaint filed.

Sept. 4, 1991 Complaint Of Original Defendant, Wagner, Against Additional Defendant, Glenn Peterson Floors and Furniture Inc. filed.

Sept. 4, 1991 Answer filed.

Sept. 27, 1991 Appearance of Knox McLaughlin Gornall and Sennett PC, by Mark E. Mioduszewski, attorneys for additional defendant, filed.

Oct. 4, 1991, Certific ate of Service of Interrogatories (first set) filed.

Nov. 4, 1991 ANSWER AND NEW MATTER OF ADDITIONAL DEFENDANT, filed.

Nov. 6, 1991 Notice Of Taking Deposition Upon Oral Examination of Mary J. Wagner filed.

Nov. 7, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Glenn Peterson Floors and Furniture, Inc., on Sept. 6, 1991 at 12:25 PM by handing a copy of the Complaint to Julie Peterson, Salesperson and Adult in Charge at business, Route 27, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Nov. 18, 1991, Plaintiffs' Preliminary Objections to New Matter of Additional Defendant filed.

Nov. 18, 1991 UPon praecipe of palitniff attorney, this case (preliminary objections) placed on next argument list.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR DECEMBER 1991

Dec. 20, 1991 Assignment Of ARgument Date filed. And now, December 20, 1991, argument on the above matter is set for February 4, 1992, at 1:30 P.M., in Courtroom 1, before Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

Jan. 3, 1991 Copies mailed to Atty. Markham and Mioduszewski and copy put in box for atty. Spadafore

Jan. 29, 1992 AMENDMENT TO ADDITIONAL DEFENDANTS NEW MATTER filed.

Jan. 31, 1992 Plaintiffs' Reply to Amended New Matter of Additional Defendant filed.

March 4, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Emil M. Spadafor, Jr., Attorney for Defendant, Wagner, this case marked discontinued as to the Additional Defendant, Glenn Peterson Floors and Furniture, Inc. only, as per Consent signed by Craig A. Markham, Attorney for Plaintiffs.

June 19, 1992 Notice of Intent to Complete Discovery filed.

Sept. 23, 1992 Certificate of Readiness filed, placed on the next avaialable Trial List.

ON FEBRUARY, 1993 TRIAL LIST

Dec. 7, 1992 Notice of Videotape Deposition for Use at Trial filed.

Dec. 8, 1992, NOtice of Videotape Deposition for Use at Trial FILED.

Feb. 9, 1993, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned lawsuit is hereby settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Joseph F. Kulwicki

385.

RONALD A. HALL

vs.

MICHELLE L. HALL

Filed Apr. 17, 1991
@ 2:14 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 4/17/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: March 12, 1998
Mar. 12, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

386

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

386.

JOHNATHON C. DICKERSON

vs.

KELLY R. DICKERSON

Filed Apr 17, 1991
@ 3:40 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 4/21/95	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 25, 1991 Affidavit of ACCEPTANCE OF Service filed.
I, Kelly R. Dickerson being duly sworn according to law, do hereby certify that I did on Apr. 18, 1991 at 4:21 p.m. receive a cert. copy of the Complaint in Divorce, file in above case, which Complaint was handed to me personally at the offices of Mason and Mason attorneys 973 Liberty St. Meadville, Pa. 16335.
Kelly R. Dickerson.

Sept. 23, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 28, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 28, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Oct. 1, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Kelly R. Dickerson,Defendant, filed.

Apr. 21, 1995, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review, this case moved to court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

May 2, 1995 AND NOW, May 1, 1995, it is hereby ordered and decreed that JOHNATHON C. DICKERSON, Plaintiff, and KELLY R. DICKERSON, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

387.

ERIC L. WRIGHT

vs.

CONNIE MARIE WRIGHT

Filed Apr. 17, 1991
@ 3:40 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co 3-3-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Jan. 21, 1992 Complaint reinstated.

Jan. 28, 1992 Affidavit Of Acceptance of Service filed. I, Connie Marie Wright, Defendant, being duly sworn according to law, do hereby certify that I did receive a certified copy of the divorce complaint filed in the above captioned case and reinstated on January 21, 1992, on January 27, 1992, at 1:05 o'clock p.m., at the offices of Mason and Mason Attorneys, 973 Liberty Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335-3291. Connie Marie Wright

Feb. 25, 1992 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 25, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 3, 1992 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Mar. 6, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, March 6, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that Eric L. Wright, plaintiff, and Connie Marie Wright, defendant are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

388.

HARRIET E. KISER

vs.

DARYL L. KISER

Filed Apr. 19, 1991
@ 11:50 a.m.

Tax & entry Pd 5/3/91 $50.50✓
state Pd 5/3/91 5.00
sheriffs costs. 27.00
disc. Pd 5/3/91 5.00✓

5/3/91 Recd $ 27.00 From Plaintiff

5/3/91 Paid $27.00 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff Costs Ck# 6214

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 19th day of April, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: The Defendant shall vacate the marital home and not return there without further Order of Court.

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for April 29, 1991, at 3:30 P.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Robert L. Walker, J

Apr. 29, 1991, Praecipe to Discontinue Action and Order filed.
ORDER: Plaintiff agrees to pay costs by 5/3/91.
P. R. Thomas, S.J.
May 2, 1991 copies to plff and dft. + County Control

Apr. 29, 1991, Sheriffs Return filed. Served Daryl L. Kiser, personally , on April 20, 1991 at 3:00 p.m. at Town & County Trailer Court, Lot #2, Conneaut Lake, Pa.
So answers,
Officer Rector
Officer Merry
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Joseph W. Tinko

389.

MARY ANN SLIDE

vs.

WADE D. SLIDE, SR.

Filed Apr. 19, 1991
@ 2:06 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 3-3-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

July 30, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Aug 22, 1991 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE, filed. I, Joseph W. Tinko, the undersigned, hereby certify that on the 22nd day of Apr., 1991, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Complaint for Divorce was served on the following party by United States Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, postage prepaid, pursuant to the Pa. R.C.P: Wade D. Slide, R.D. #1, Cochranton, Pa. 16314

Jan. 8, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Mar. 3,1992 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE filed. I, the undersigned, hereby certify that ton the 3rd day of March, 1992, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing Praecipe to Transmit Record on all parties or counsel of record for same by United States First Class Mail, postage prepaid, pursuant to the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure: Joseph W. Tinko

Mar. 3, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case is moved to Court.

Mar. 6, 1992 **DECREE IN DIVORCE FILED.**

AND NOW, to wit, this 6th day of March, 1992, the Court having reviewed the above-captioned case, finds that the marriage between Mary Ann Slide and Wade D. Slide, Sr. is irretrievably broken, that ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of filing of the above-captioned Complaint in Divorce, and that Mary Ann Slide and Wade D. Slide, Sr. each filed an affidavit consenting to the divorce:

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Mary Ann Slide, the plaintiff, and Wade D. Slide, Sr., the defendant be and hereby are divorced fromthe bonds of matrimony heretofore contracted between them.

THIS DECREE OF DIVORCE constitutes a FINAL ORDER as to all pending claims herein.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Joseph W. Tinko

390.

GARY L. ARMSTRONG

vs.

JESSIE E. ARMSTRONG

Filed Apr. 19, 1991
@ 2:06 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 8/15/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

***

Aug. 12, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 12, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug. 12, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmit Record, this case moved to court.

***

Apr. 19, 1991 Certificate Of Service filed. I, the undersigned, hereby certify that on the 19th day of April, 1991, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing on all parties or counsel of record by United States First Class Mail, postage prepaid, pursuant to the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Joseph W. Tinko

Aug. 27, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. I, Jessie E. Armstrong, the undersigned, accept service of the Complaint in the abov above-captioned case. Jessie E. Armstrong

Aug. 27, 1991 Certificate of Service filed. I, Joseph W. Tinko, Esquire, the undersigned, hereby certify that on the 19th day of April, 1991, a true and correct copy of a complaint for divorce in the case of ARmstrong v. Armstrong was personally accepted by the dEfendant Jessie E. Armstrong pursuant to Rule 402(b) ofthe Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Joseph W. Tinko

Aug. 27, 19991 **DECREE OF DIVORCE FILED.**

ANDNOW, to wit, this 26th day of August, 1991, the Court, having reveiwed the above-acptioned case, finds that the marriage between Gary L. Armstrong and Jessie E. Armstrong is irretrievably broken, that ninety (90) days have elapsed fromthe date of filing the above-captioned Complaint in Divorce, and that Gary L. Armstrong and Jessie E. Armstrong each filed an affidavit consenting to the divorce:

THEREFORE, TI IS HER EBY ORDERED, ADJDUGED, AND DECREED

that Gary L. Armstrong, the plaintiff, and Jessie E. Armstrong, the defendant, be and hereby are divorced fromthe bonds of matrimony heretofore contracted between them.

THIS DECREE OF DIVORCE constitutues a FINAL ORDER as to all pending claims herein.

BY THE COURT;
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso, Gamble &
Fabian
John D. Petruso

391.

NICK PETRUSO

vs.

CAROL P. PETRUSO

Filed Apr. 19, 1991
@ 3:22 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 9/12/91	9.00
Pro Co Pd 10/15/91	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

June 14, 1991 ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE OF COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE filed. AND NOW, this 10th day of May, 1991, and in accordance with Rule of Civil Procedure 402(b), I hereby accept service of a true and correct copy of the complaint in divorce.
Carol P. Petruso

July 30, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Aug 6, 1991 Affidavit of Consent Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code. (Defendant)

Sept. 12, 1991 UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney for Review Under 201(c) of the DivorceCode, this case moved to court.

Sept. 17, 1991 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, September 16, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Nick Petruso, plaintiff, andCarol Pl Petruso, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon r. Miller, J

Oct. 15, 1991 Notice of INtent to REtake Prior Name filed.
TO BE KNOWN AS: CAROL PATRICIA ANDERSON

392

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

392.

BRENDA L. TRUESDAIL

vs.

BRIAN L. TRUESDAIL

Filed Apr. 22, 1991
@ 10:05 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 5/29/91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

2 copies (atty)

May 23, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, this case is hereby discontinued of record.

1991

Dallas W. Hartman

393.

Knox, McLaughlin, Gornall & Sennett PC
Sean J. McLaughlin

DONNA L. SERNIK

vs.

RICHARD L. JONES, SR.
SHIRLEY L. JONES

Filed Apr. 22, 1991
@ 11:35 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	38.50
Pro Co Pd 10/31/91	6.00

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

May 7, 1991 Writ Return filed. SERVED Richard L. Jones, Sr. on May 1, 1991 at 1:33 PM by serving Shirley L. Jones, his wife, at residence, 130 Jefferson Stret, Linesville, Crawford County, PA SERVED Shirley L. Jones personally on May 1, 1991 at 1:32 PM at residence, 130 Jefferson Street, Linesville, Crawford County, PA. So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 15, 1991 Appearan ce of Knox, McLaughlin, Gornall & Sennett, Inc. by Sean J. McLaughlin, attorneys for defendants filed. (Jury of Twelve demanded)

May 15, 1991, Upon praecipe of defendant attorneys, Rule to file Complaint Issued.

May 29, 1991 Service of the foregoing Rule is hereby accepted on 5-21-91 by Dallas W. Hartman

Oct. 24, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned matter is marked settled, ended, and discontinued of record.

1991

William J. Gregg

394.

Peters, Lindsay, Lewis & Gagliotti
John P. Gagliotti

Barbara J. Walton

MICHELLE S. CORP

vs.

EARL V. CORP, III

Filed Apr. 22, 1991
@ 11:42 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50✓
state paid 5.00

~~William Pineo, mediator~~
JOhn Fuller, mediator

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR AND ORDER FILED: ORDER: And Now, this 17 day of April 1991, upon presentation of the herein Motion, the parties and their counsel are ordered to appear before WILLIAM PINEO, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR, at such time and place as the child custody mediator shall determine.

It is further ORDERED that pending mediation, plaintiff shall be awarded legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.

BY THE COURT,
P.Richard Thomas, J.

Apr. 30, 1991 ORDER ifled. And now Apr. 26, 1991 William Pineo having disqualified himself in this case, John Fuller, is appointed Custody mediator.

By order of the court,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

Received from Left. Mediation Dict 75.00 6-17-92

June 4, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, June 4, 1992, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO WIT:

I. Legal custody of William J. Corp and Andrew M. Corp shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject children.

II. Physical custody of William J. Corp and Andrew M. Corp shall be with their mother, subject to the following condition:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody for two and one-half (2½) weeks during the Summer of 1992 which shall be form approximately July 29, 1992 to August 16, 1992. The father shall notify the mother of the exact dates within thirty (30) days of the date of this order.

B. The father shall arrange and pay for the children's round trip airfare from the children's home in Crawford County to the father's home in GErmany for the 1992 Summer visit.

C. This order is entered without prejudice to the righ tof either parent to request a fruther mediation conference with the father returns to this country in 1993.

D. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attmept to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

E. Neithe rmother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the childrne's health or well being.

F. Both parents agree to inform th eother immediately of any illness or injury regarding the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a tempora ry order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are file dby either pa rty within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conferene will be equally divided with the father paying one-half (½) of the cost thereof and the remaining one-half (½) being paid by Crawford County.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J Anthony J.

June 11, 1992 Copies of order to atty's. Gregg, Gagliotti, Fuller, Plaintiff and Defendant

Aug. 21, 1992 Petition To Have Respondent Held in Contempt For Failure To Comply With Visitation Order and Notice And Order To Appear filed. Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging you have willfully disobeyed an order of court for custody/visitation.

If you wish to defend against the claim set forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with the court your defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in writing with the court, your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in court on the 1st day of October, 1992, at 1:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA 16335.

IF YOU DO NOT APPEAR IN PERSON, THE COURT MAY ISSUE A WARRANT FOR YOUR ARREST.

If the court finds that you have willfully failed to comply with its order for custody/ visitation, you may be found to be in contempt of court and committed to jail, fined or both.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Court Administrator
Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
814/336-1151, ext. 298

BY THE COURT:
Date: August 18, 1992 Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug. 25, 1992 Copy of Order to Atty. Wm. Gregg, John Fuller, John Gagliotti.

Oct 2, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 1, 1992, after hearing, the Court declines to adjudicate the Plaintiff in contempt under all of the circumstances for the following reasons:

A. The Order, itself, does not clearly indicate that the obtaining of passports was her responsibility although from the testimcny we find as a fact that she assumed that responsibliity at least by the early part of June within probably a week to ten days after the Order, itself, had been filed.

B. We find as a fact that although the Plaintiff may have been somewhat dilatory in attempting to obtain passports after she assumed that responsibility, we are unable to conclude that her conduct was either wilful or malicious.

The Order of June 4, 1992 is now modified and supplemented in the following manner:

1. Plaintiff shall immediately institute application for passports whether or not she has received the original applications back from the appropriate agency and she shall make

Continued on Page 394A

MICHELLE S. CORP
VS.
EARL V. CORP, III

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 394
of Record
A. D. 1991 - 394

application on or before Oct. 9, 1992 at the Office of the Prothonotary of Crawford County for passports for her two children furnishing whatever documentation is necessary as for example identification photographs, birth certificates and the necessary application fees. If apparent delays are encountered, her counsel shall immediately notify the Court and the Court will make whatever efforts are available to it to unravel any bureaucratic delays. If such application is not made on or before Oct. 9, 1992, counsel for the Plaintiff will immediately advise the Court in writing of the reasons therefore.

2. The Court intends to order a visitation Order on behalf of the father with the two sons who are the subject matter of this Order for a period of approximately 2 weeks at a time acceptable to the father who must make arrangements with his military superiors regarding leave time. Father's counsel shall immediately contact him either personally or through other members of the family to make arrangements for leave and obtain some prompt indication as to when an appropriate time for such visit would be.

3. The Court recognizes that the two sons will probably have to be removed from school for a short period of time, although it would be best if the visitation could coincide at least in part with regular school vacations, and the Plaintiff shall immediately contact the appropriate shcool officials at the schools where the children attend school to make arrangements for their being excused from school for the period of time necessary to implement this Order.

4. It is imperative that the parties communicate in working out the arrangements for this visit and we will expect the Plaintiff and the Defendant's mother to remain in contact with each other in an effort to expedite the appropriate arrangements.

5. Either party may make further application to the Court for the Court's assistance in expediting any of these arrangements.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Oct 2, 1992 1 copy (Brode) 1 copy (Gagliotte) Oct 9, 1992 copy to J. Fuller

Apr. 27, 1993 Consent Custody Order and Petition to Modify Custody, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 26 day of April, 1993, upon presentation of the Stipulation and Consent of the parties, attached hereto and after consideration of same, it is hereby ORDERED, DIRECTED AND DECREED that:

1. Primary physical custody of the parties' minor child WILLIAM CORP will be with the Mother, MICHELLE CORP, at her residence, located at RD 2, Conneaut Lake, Pa.

2. Primary physical custody of the parties' nimor child ANDREW CORP will be with the Father, EARL CORP III, at his residence at Box 26, Atlantic, Pa.

3. Each parent will have the right of visitation with the child residing with the other during such times and periods as the parents agree. The parents will make all attempts to make sure that the boys are together for holidays, and will alternate custody of both boys on the holidays of Easter, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

4. The children shall spend at least a month each summer with the maternal grandmother at her home in Maine, to continue the close and strong relationship which they have with her. The remainder of the summer shall be shared between the parents.

5. This agreement shall be reviewed at the endof the school year (June 1993), and if ANDREW wishes to return to his mother's home, he shall be allowed to do so by his father.

6. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or m odify the visitation as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modification or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reason. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternate visits or make alternative arrangements.

7. Each party shall teach the children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child.

8. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the laws of Pennsylvania.

9. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

10. The parties to this action agree that this Honorable Court retain jurisdiction of this matter.

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

May 5, 1993 copy to B. Walton, W. Gregg, J. Gagliotti, J. Fuller

May 18, 1993 Amendment to Consent Custody Order filed.

394
-6-

1991

1991

395.

BOROUGH OF SPRINGBORO

vs.

JOSEPHINE B. RENDULIC
JOHN RENDULIC

Filed Apr. 22, 1991
@ 1:18 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00✓
Plff on orig. 14.50

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 320

Revival fo Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant in the sum of Six Thousand Nine Hundred Seventy Nine and 50/100 Dollars plus costs.

Debt ----$ 6,979.50
Dated--- Apr. 10, 1991
R - 206, 206 JUDGMENT REVIVAL
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Revived to AD1996-389

1991

Barrett & Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

396.

THOMAS REGIONAL DIRECTORY, INC.

vs.

JAMES CLARK, INDIVIDUALLY, and d/b/a
CRESCIVE SYSTEMS

Filed Apr. 23, 1991
@ 8:52 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriff's costs	31.25
Pro Co Pd 6/14/91	9.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

May 6, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED James Clark personally on April 25, 1991 at 3:41 PM at 152 Venango Avenue, CAmbridge Springs, Crawford Co., PA.

SERVED James Clark d/b/a Crescive Systems personally on April 25, 1991 at 3:42 Pm at 152 Venango Avenue, Cambridge Springs, Crawford Co., PA.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, June 10, 1991, at 2:47 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with default notice copy attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer; in the sum of Two Thousand Five Hundred Fifty Three and 87/100 Dollars with int. from 2/15/91 and costs.
Judgment entered 6/10/91
C - 243 Debt -----$2,553.87
C - 184

Int. from 2/15/91
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1991

William L. Walker

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Walker, Vardaro

397.

EQUIPCO, INC.

vs.

MAPLEX DIMENSIONAL WOOD PRODUCTS, LIMITED

Filed Apr. 23, 1991
@ 4:15 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 6/20/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN ASSUMPSIT

1 copy (atty)

May 24, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed: Debra Mattocks, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the secretary to William L. Walker, Esquire, attorney for petitioner in this action, and certifies that on May 2, 1991, she delivered to the Post Office in Meadville, Pa for delivery to Maplex Dimensional Wood Products, Ltd. at 99 Rodinea Road, Maple, Ontario, Canada L6A1R3, by registered mail, return receipt requested, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in this matter for which deponent received at the time receipt for registered article no. R483880665 which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Thereafter on May 7, 1991 it was returned to William L. Walker, Esquire, return receipt cared bearing the same number and signed by Maplex, said return receipt card being attached hereto as Exhibit "B".

Debra Mattocks

And Now, June 20, 1991 at 2:39 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at th esum of Eleven Thousand Four Hundred Fifty-three and 04/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

M-181
judgment entered 6/20/91

debt $11,453.04
Int. from Sept. 17, 1990
Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro

1991

Blystone & Blystone
Peter E. Blystone

398.

PENNBANK

vs.

CHARLES DAVID McQUONE AND
DEBORAH ANN McQUONE

Filed Apr. 24, 1991
@ 11:29 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	27.00
Pro Co Pd 5/24/91	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

Apr. 24, 1991 Affidavit of Non-Military Service of Defendants filed.

May 3, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Deborah Ann McQuone personally on April 25, 1991 at 10:37 AM at residence, 68 William St., Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Charles David McQuone on April 25, 1991 at 10:38 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Deborah Ann McQuone, his wife, at residence, 68 William Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, May 24, 1991 at 3:28 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to file answer; damages assessed in the amount of Fourteen Thousand Three Hundred Ten and 98/100 Dollars, with interest on prin. debt at rate of 12.5% per annum from 10/25/90.
Judgment entered 5/24/91
M - 33, 43

Debt -----$14,310.98
Int. from 10/25/90
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EXECUTION 1991 - 43

1991

Watts & Pepicelli PC
Alan L. Pepicelli

399.

ERIC NYE

vs.

TAMA HETRICK

Filed Apr. 24, 1991
@ 11:35 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (mediator)
1 copy (atty)

ORDER OF COURT: You, Tama Hetrick, dft, have been sued in court to obtain custody ofthe child: Jada Lee Nye (12)

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

Pending time of conference, you are precluded from removing the above named child or permitting her removal from the Com. of Pa.

Pending time of hearing, temporary physical custody of the above named child is hereby awarded to plff, Eric Nye, her natural father. Hearing on continued custody with father is scheduled for Apr. 30, 1991 at 11:00 a.m. courtroom No. 1 or 2.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, ar otherwise violate this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. tothe Public Comm. c/o Prothonotary, Court house Meadville, Pa. 16335.
Tele: 814 724-4636.
Date: 4/24/91

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Apr 29, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed: James C. Withers, Crawford County Constable, hereby certifies that he served a certified copy of the Complaint for Custody upon Tama Hetrick, the above-captioned Defendant, by handing the same to her at Lot No. 86, Doral Estates Trailer Park, Conneaut Lake, Pennsylvania, on Thursday, April 25, 1991, at 2:06 P.M.

The statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities. James C. Withers

May 1, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, April 30, 1991, after informal hearing and after receiving input frm the father and apersonal discussion with the child Jada, the Court is of the opinion that it is in the best interest ofthe child at this time to award temporary custody to the father until the matter can be heard by a Custody Mediator and a recommendation be made to the Court.

It appeara that there has recently been some criminal charges of a drug paraphernailia nature filed against the mother and apparently has pled guilty before District Justice Vogan. The relationship between mother and her boyfriend is uncertain to the Court, but the Court believes that until the mother's situation stabilizes, it is in the best interest of the child to tak eup residence with her father.

ACCORDINGLY, the Court DIRECTS, that temporary custody of the child Jada is awarded to the father until a mediation hearing can be held by Mediator John Fuller and a further Order of Court entered in this regard.

The Court is aware that arrangements have been made by the father to continue the child in the same school.

Apparently, some of the child's clothing and belongings are still at the mother's residence and the mtoerh is DIRECTED to surrender to the father and the child or their representative all of the child's clothing and per sonal effects when requested to do so.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

May 6, 1991 Copy of order put in Alan Pepicelli and John Fuller's box and copy mailed to Defendant

July 19, 1991 Motion For Leave To Enroll Child in Different School District and Order filed. AND NOW, this 19th day of July, 1991, upon consideration of the within Motion, an Order is entered granting to Plaintiff, Eric Nye, leave to enroll the minor child, Jada Lee Nye, in the Meadville Area Junior High School for the upcoming 1991-1992 school year.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1 copy atty.

to plaintiff and defendant. **1991**

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore vardaro, Walker
R. Charles Thomas

400.

9/4/91 Recd $51.00 from Defendant

9/10/91 Recd $51.00 from Plaintiff

Oct 1, 1991 paid Co. Comm $51.00

Oct 1, 1991 paid Co. Comm $51.00

HEATHER M. INGRAHAM

vs.

KARL R. INGRAHAM

Filed Apr. 24, 1991
@ 1:04 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
state paid	10.00
state Pd 7/22/91	5.00
William PIneo, Mediator	
Mediation Costs	102.00
Pro Pd 9/11/91 -	9.00 ✓
Pro Pd 9/27/91 -	9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE,CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

June 14, 1991 Motion For Appointment of Custody Mediator and Order filed. And now, June 14, 1991, William Pineo, Esquire, is appointed Custody Mediator in the above captioned action and is directed to schedule a mediation conference in connection therewith.

P R Thomas, S.J.

June 18, 1991 Copies of order put in R. Charles Thomas and William Pineo's box.

July 30, 1991 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 29 day of July, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either par ty any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.

3. The mother shall have primary physical cusotdy of the subject minor child. The father shall have temporary physical custody and visitation on alternate weekends, beginning with the weekend of July 26, from Friday at 4:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:30 p.m. In addition, the father shal lhave visitation each week from Monday at 7:00 po.m. through the following Tuesday at 8:00 p.m. Also, the father shall have vistiation each Friday when the mother is working but the fathe ris not, from 9:00 a.m. through 7:00 p.m. As soonas the father knows that he will not be working on nay particular Friday, he shall call the mother and see if she is working so that this arrangement may be worked out.

4. HOliday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Christmas visitation periods, the first beginning Christmas Eve at noon and ending Christmas Day at 10:00 a.m. and the second beginning Christmas Day at 10:00 a.m. and ending Christmas Day at 7:00 p.m. For Christmas, 1991, the father shallhave the first period and the mother, the second, andthe parties shall thereafter alternate the periods.

b. Thanksgivings holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Thanksgiving holiday vistation periods, the first beginning on the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving at 3:00 p.m. and ending Thanksgiving Day at 2:00 p.m. and the second beginning Thanksgiving Day at 2:00 p.m. and ending Thanksgiving Day at 7:00 p.m. For Thanksgiving, 1991, the mother shall have the first period, and the father, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods.

c. There shall be two visitations on Jessica's birthday, the first beginning at 9:00 a.m. and ending 2:00 p.m. and the second beginnignat 2:00 p.m. and ending at 7:00 p.m. Each year, the father shall have visitation with Jessica during the second period.

d. The other holidays to be observed are New Years Day, Memorail Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, and easter. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch the fireworks. The father shall have visitation for Labor Day, 1991, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

e. The child shall spend Father's Day with her father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with her mother, during the same hours.

f. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over theother provisions of this orde relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the vistiations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation of modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall aatempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the child in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the child at the home of the other.

7. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent form his or her child.

8. Neither party shal luse illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said pa rty has the care and custody of the subject minor child. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indictaed that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither pa rty shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the child is being transported or inthe bedroon of the child.

9. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and vistiation periods and are strongly encouraged to do si if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

12. Either party pay request a review ofthis ORder two years from date hereof to make any adjustments.

13. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $102.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as ocsts in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

Record Continued on Pg. 400 A

14. Eithr party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 31, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Pineo, Thomas & Muth and copies mailed

(see Top of this page)

1991

Continuation from page 400 of Heather M. Ingraham vs. Karl R. Ingraham - divorce- AD 1991 - 400

Aug. 22, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Sept. 26, 1991, Affidavit of Service of Complaint in Divorce filed. R. Charles Thomas, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on April 25, 1991 he sent a true and correct copy of Complaint in divorce properly endorsed with Notice to Defend, filed in above action to dft. Karl R. Ingraham, at Dft's last known address of R. D. #1, Box 238, Townville, Pa. by Cert. Mail No. P 398 121 622 postage prepaid rest. del. ret. rec. req., and that attached hereto is a duly executed ret. rec. card showing date of delivery as 4/29/91.
R. Charles Thomas, Esq.

Sept. 26, 1991 Affidavit of consent of plaintiff filed.

Sept. 26, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review under section 201(c) of the divorce code, this case moved to court.

Sept. 30, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, September 30, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Heather M. Ingraham, plaintiff, and Karl R. Ingraham, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

400B

1991

1991

R. Charles Thomas
Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker

401.

DARLENE L. MOON

vs.

DELBERT G. MOON

Filed Apr. 24, 1991
@ 1:07 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	15.00
state pd 7/22/91	10.00
Pro Co pd 5/19/92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES & COSTS

1 copy (atty)

July 22, 1991 Complaint Reinstated.

July 24, 1991 ORDER filed: AND NOW, July 18, 1991, this nonsupport case comes before the Court on a requested hearing by the Defendant contesting an Order of the Hearing Officer of March 26, 1991, finding that he owes an obligation for spousal support.

The Defendant contends the break-up of the marriage was not attributable to his fault and wishes a hearing on this issue.

There is also a claim for support for a seventeen (17) year old child, but it now appears that when the mother was to go into the hospital May 12th, the child went to live with the father and is still residing with him. Apparently father and child are now living in the former marital home and the Plaintiff now resides at 100-5B, Apartment 304, Timbercrest Apartments, Meadville, PA.

As the hearing was called, Plaintiff advised she was represented by Atty. R. Charles Thomas, but he is reported to be in Pittsburgh this date and unavailable for the hearing.

The sole issue before the Court in this Domestic Relations case then involves the issue of whether the Defendant should pay spousal support and the Court does not wish to litigate this matter without the presence of the Plaintiff's attorney.

In conjunction with the support action, the Court notes there is a divorce action with the same parties as Plaintiff and Defendant filed at A.D. 1991-401.

In this action to the divorce, the Plaintiff/Wife asks for equitable distribution, alimony pendente lite, counsel fees and costs.

The records in the case do not show that the divorce action was served on the Defendant, but the Defendant's attorney, James R. Irwin, advised him to go to the Prothonotary's Office and secure a copy of the Divorce Complaint from the Prothonotary's Office, which he did.

The records in the Sheriff's Office do not show the papers officially received. The Defendant informs that he received about six (6) or eight (8) weeks ago a copy of the Divorce Complaint.

Accordingly, this case is continued generally and the Court believes the support obligation should be litigated as part of the claim for alimony pendente lite in the divorce aciton. Accordingly, this support case is continued generally without prejudice to either party to request a further hearing on the spousal obligation in the support case if the same is not recovered as a result of a hearing on the alimony pendente lite.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

July 25, 1991 Copy of Order to ATtys. R. Charles Thomas, David W. Pickens and James Irwin.

July 29, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Jan. 24, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

May 19, 1992 Affidavit of Service Of Complaint In Divorce filed. R. CHARLES THOMAS, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on July 23rd, 1991, he sent a true and correct copy of the Complaint In Divorce, properly endorsed with the Notice To Defend, filed in the abaove action to the Defendant, Delbert G. Moon, at Defendatn's last known address of 44 Asbury Manor, Meadville, Pa 16335, by Certified Mail No. P 398 121 659, postage prepaid, restricted delivery, return receipt requested and that attached hereto is a duly executed return receipt card showing date of delivery as 7-25-91

R. Charles Thomas

May 19, 1992 Motion For Withdrawal Of Counts From Divorce Complaint and Order filed. And now, May 15, 1992 The Pl aintiff is authorzied and directed to withdraw her requests for Equitable Distribution and Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees & Costs and this matter shall proceed to the Court on the divorce alone.

Gordon R. Miller, J

May 19, 1992 Copy of order to R. Charles Thomas

May 19, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under §201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

AND NOW, May 22, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that Darlene L. Moon, plaintiff, and Delbert G. Moon, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

Elderkin, Martin,
Kelly & Messina
James H. Richardson, Jr.
Knox McLaughlin
Gornall & Sennett PC
Joanna K. Budde
William C. Wagner

402.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey,
Irwin & Stack
Paul D. Shafer Jr.
for Est. of W. L. Dunn,
David L. dunn, Adm. of Est.
of W. L. Dunn, and as Trustee
of Fund A and Fund B under
Will of W. L. Dunn and Est. of
Iris Dunn

Watts and Pepicelli
Theodore H. watts
for W. L. Dunn Construction
Co. Dunn & Dunn, Walter &
Donna Dunn and George
and Patricia Dunn

Filed Apr. 24, 1991
@ 2:54 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	121.25
Pro Co. pd 9-9-97	5.00 ✓

WAGNER INSURANCE
ASSOCIATES, INC.

vs,

W. L. DUNN CONSTRUCTION
COMPANY, DUNN AND DUNN,
THE ESTATE OF W. L. DUNN,
DAVID L. DUNN, ADMINISTRATOR
OF THE ESTATE OF W. L DUNN,
AND AS TRUSTEE OF FUND A
AND FUND B UNDER THE WILL
OF W. L. DUNN, W. L. DUNN
INTERNATIONAL SALES AND
SERVICE, DUNN'S MEAT MARKET,
JUSTA DUNN FARMS, JUSTA
DUNN STABLES, WALTER AND
DONNA DUNN, HUSBAND AND
WIFE, GEORGE AND PATRICIA
DUNN, HUSBAND AND WIFE, AND
IRIS DUNN

COMPLAINT

12 copies (sheriff)

May 7, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Iris Dunn personally on April 26, 1991 at 10:59 AM at residence, R.D.#6, Cochranton Road, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED David L. Dunn on April 26, 1991 at 11:00 a.m. by serving his wife, Iris Dunn at residence, R.D. #6, Cochranton Road, Meadville Crawford county, PA.
SERVED George Dunn on Paril 26, 1991 at 11:00 AM by serving Fanny Wagler, adult in charge of residence, 164 North Franklin Street, Cochranton, PA.
SERVED Patricia Dunn on April 26, 1991 at 11:16 AM by serving Fanny Wagler, adult in charge of residence, 164 North Franklin Street, Cochranton, PA.
SERVED W.L. Dunn Internatinal Sale s& SErvice on April 26, 1991 at 11:19 AM by serving Dawson Roberts, employee in charge at business, 180 Franklin St., Cochranton, PA.
SERVED Dunn's Meach Market on april 26, 1991 at 11:25 AM by serving Douglas Calvert, Manager, at place of business, 131 Adams St., Cochranton, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED W. L. Dunn Construction co. on April 26, 1991 at 11:28 AM by serving Shirly Choffel, Secretary, at business, 158 Adams St., Cochranton, Crawford County PA.
SERVED Dunn & Dunn on april 26, 1991 at 11:29 AM by serving Shirley Choffel, Secretary at place of business, 158 Adams St. Cochranton, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Justa Dunn Famrs on April 26, 1991 at 11:30 AM at place of business, 158 Adams Street, Cochranton, Crawford County, PA. Served Shirley Choffel, Secretary.
SERVED Justa Dunn Stables on April 26, 1991 at 11:31 Am at business, 158 Adams Street, Cochranton, Crawford County, PA. Served Shirley Choffel, Secretary.
SERVED Donna Dunn personally on April 26, 1991 at 11:37 AM at residence, 120 Pine St., Cochranton, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Walter Dunn April 26, 1991 at 11:38 AM by serving wife, Donna Dunn, at residence, 120 Pine Street, Cochranton, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 9, 1991 Answer filed.

Oct. 10, 1991, Answer to plaintiff's Complaint of Defendants W. L. Dunn Construction Co. Dunn and Dunn, Waler and Donna Dunn, and George and Patricia Dunn, filed.

****

Oct. 18, 1991 Preliminary Objections filed. (of dfts. Est. of W. L. Dunn et al)

Oct. 31, 1991 Amended Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

*******Oct. 14, 1994 Joint Stipulation of Withdrawal of counsel by Sean J. McLaughlin and Appearance of Counsel by William C. Wagner filed on behalf of the plaintiff, filed.

Aug 6, 1997 Appearance of Elderkin, Martin, Kelly & Messina by James H. Richardson, Jr., Esquire, on behalf of Plaintiff, Wagner Insurance Associates, Inc., filed.

Aug. 22, 1997 Upon Praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the within suit is settled, discontinued, ended of record, filed.

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

403.

DOUGLAS B. PRICE

vs.

MARY M. PRICE

Filed Apr. 24, 1991
@ 3:35 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50 ✓
state paid 5.00
state paid 10.00
Pa. Co Pd. 12/23/91 #9 00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 30, 1991 Verification of Service filed.
I, Brian J. .Lindsay, esq. do hereby verify that I am the atty. of record for above plaintiff and that as such I am authorized to amke this verificationn; that I did on the 24th day of Apr. 1991, send to the above named dft. by cert. mail, addressee only , Ret. Rec. Req. a true and correct copy of the divorce, complaint filed at the abov term and no.; and that I did on the 26 day of Apr. 1991, receive a duly executed ret. rec. dated Apr. 25, 1991
Dated: Apr. 29, 1991 Brian Lindsay, Esq.

Dec 17, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Dec 17, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Dec 20, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney for Review Under §3301(c) of the Divorce Code, this case is moved to court.

Jan. 13, 1992 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D**

AND NOW, January 10, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that Douglas B. Price, plaintiff, andMary M. Price, defendant, are divorced form the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

404

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

404.

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

~~Kathleen R. Nelson~~

DENNIS SUTTON

vs.

WANDA SUTTON

Filed Apr. 24, 1991
@ 3:40 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
1 count paid Pd 5/9/91	15.00 ✓
state paid Pd 5/9/91.	5,00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

May 9, 1991 Petition for Additional Remedies filed. (equitable Distribution of Assets)

May 20, 1991, Verification of Service filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, Esq. do hereby verify that I am the atty. of record for the above anmed plff and that as suc h I am authorized to make the verification; that I did on the 24 day of Apr. 1991, send to the above named defendant by cert. mail, addressee only, Ret. Rec. Req. a true and correct copy of divorce complaint and 201(d) notice and affidavits filed at above term and no; and that I did on the 16 day of May 1991 received a duly executed ret. receipt. dated Apr. 27, 1991.
Dated: May 17, 1991 Brian J. Lindsay Esq.

Sept. 18, 1997 Waiver of Notice of Intention to REquest Entry of Divorce Decree (dft) filed.

Sept. 18, 1997 Affidavit of Consent of defendatn filed.

Oct. 22, 1997 Stipulation and Qualified Domestic Relations Order filed. AND NOW, this 22 day of October, 1997, upon agreement of the parties to this matter, and pursuant to claims raised by Wanda Sutton for equitable distribution of marital property pursuant to the Pennsylvania Divorce Code, 23 Pa. C.S.A. 101, et seq. the Court enteres this Domestic Relations Order as follows:

1. The parties intend for this Order to constitute a Qualified Domestic Relations Order (QDRO) as defined in 414(p) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.
2. This Order applies to the following Qualified Retirement Plan (hereinafter referred to as the "Plan"): Pneumo Abex Corporation Retirement Income Plan.
3. The Plaintiff, Deniis L. Sutton, (Social Security number 183-34-6200) is a Participant in the plan and is hereinafter referred to as "Participant". His address is RD #7, Box 396, Springs Road, Meadville, Pennsylvania, 16335.
4. Defendant, Wanda J. Sutton, (Social Security number 161-38-6229) is the Alternate Payee of a designated portion of Participant's Plan benefits and is herein after referred to as "Alternate Payee". Her address is RD #2, Box 123, Cochranton, Pennsylvania 16134.
5. Fifty percent (50%) of Participant's vested accrued benefit determined as of the date of this Order is to be allocated to the Alternate Payee as her equitable distribution share of the Participant's retirement. This amount/percentage shall be known as Alternate Payee's "Designated Protion of Participant's Plan Benefits".
6. Alternate Payee's designated portion shall be paid to Alternate Payee in the payment form selected by Alternate Payee from payment forms available under the Plan.
7. Alternate Payee's designated portion shall be paid to Alternate Payee at such time as Alternate Payee shall elect, but in no event earlier than Participant's retirement age as defined in Code Section 414(p) (4) (B). If Alternate Payee elects to commence receiving benefits before participant has attained normal retirement age, Alternate Payee's benefit shall be reduced in accordance with the Plan's actuarial reduction factors, if appropriate.
8. In the event of Participant's death, Alternate Payee shall be treated as the Participant's surviving spouse under the Plan for all purposes.
9. All payments made to Alternate Payee from the Plan pursuant to this Order (to the extent taxable) shall be taxable to Alternate Payee in accordance with federal, state and local law.
10. This Order shall not be construed to require the Plan to (i) provide any type of benefit, form of benefit, or option not otherwise provided under the Plan, (ii) provide benefits greater than those provided under the terms of the Plan, or (iii) pay benefits to Alternate payee which are required to be paid to another alternate payee under the prior QDRO. In addition, if the Plan is required by law to provide joint and survivor annuities as an optional form of benefit, Alternate Payee may not elect to receive a joint and survivor annuity with respect to Alternate Payee and his or her subsequent spouse.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

10-22-97 Faxed Nelson

Nov 17, 1997 Affidavit of Service of Waiver of Notice of Intention and Counter-Affidavit.

Nov 24, 1997 Counter-Affidavit 3301(d) of the Divorce Code, filed.

July 9, 1999 Motion For Leave To Withdraw Appearance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 9 day of July, 1999, the her ein Motion is granted.

BYTHE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

July 9, 1999 Faxed Nelson & Ferguson

10/15/01 As of this date any future filings involving this case may now be found on the computer.

1991

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso & Gamble
Ann H. Gamble

405.

TERRY Z. PARKS

vs.

JENNIFER BURDICK ANTILL

Filed Apr. 25, 1991
@ 8:53 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	50.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (sheriff)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER: AND NOW, this 26 day of April 1991, BRUCE SMITH is hereby appointed Custody Mediator and is hereby directed to hold such custody mediation conference as he deems appropriate and to make recommendations thereafter to the court.

The child shall remain in the primary physical custody of the father, plaintiff herein, Terry Z Parks, until further order ofcourt. Whatever arrangements the father may make with the mother for periods of visitation and physical custody to b accorded to her shall be temporary in nature and shall not be deemed as a waiver of his right to primary physical custody under this order. P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 6, 1991 **Sheriff's Return** filed. SERVED Jennifer Antill personally on May 15, 1991 at 11:39 AM at her residence, 418 N. Martin Street, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept 22, 1998 ORDER: Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 6/6/1991. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear from you in writing on or before Oct 23, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objectioins must be filed in the Prothonotary's office on or before Oct 23, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
Date: Sept 22, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 5, 1998 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of Oct., 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given by publication of a "Notice of Intent To Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on Oct. 14, 1998, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, all and each of the 84 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice. A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 19011.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

M. Dan Mason 406.	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA EX REL CHRISTOPHER J. WOZNYJ vs. JACK BRICKNER, WARDEN CRAWFORD COUNTY JAIL CRAWFORD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA Filed Apr. 25, 1991 @ 4:42 p.m.	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS Issued (warden) ORDER: AND NOW, this 24 day of April 1991 upon consideration of the within Petition and upon motion of M. Dan Mason, assistant Public Defendant and atty. for the relator, is is directed that a Writ of Habeas Corpus ad subjiciedum be issued forthwith and a hearing be held on the 29 day of April 1991 at 9:30 a.m in C. R. No. 1 2 or 3, Courthouse, Crawford County, Pa. and that the Prothonotary be directed to serve Ja ck Brickner, Warden of the Crawford County Jail, 360½ Center St. Meadville, Crawford County, Pa. with the said writ or copy. Notice of said hearing shall be given forthwith to the District Attorney of Crawford County. BY ORDER OF THE COURT, R. Richard Thomas, S. J. May 1, 1991, Motion to Withdraw Petition and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Apr. 26, 1991 the above motion allowed. P. Richard Thomas, S. J. May 6, 1991 copies to M. Dan Mason, and D. A.'s office. & jail. (previously served by Atty Mason)

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

407.

TIMOTHY P. JONES, d/b/a
TIM'S AUTO SALES AND SERVICE

vs.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

Filed Apr. 26, 1991
at 10:55 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

COMPLAINT IN REPLEVIN

2 copies (atty)

RULE TO SHOW CAUSE:
AND NOW, this 26th day of Apr. 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Complaint a Rule is entered upon the defendant to show cause, if any it has, why the prayer of the plaintiff should nto be granted.
This Rule is returnable onthe 8th day of May, 1991, in C. R. No. 1 or 2 ofthe Crawford CountyCourthouse, Meadville, Pa. commencing at 4:00 p.m.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 3, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. I, PSP Tpr. Jeffrey Hoskowitz, hereby accept service of a true and correct copy of the Complaint filed at the above term and number, this 1 day of May 1991. Jeffrey Hoskowitz.

May 8, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, this 8 day of May, 1991, after hearing in the above matter, this Court Orders that all right, entitlement, possession, control and interest of the subject 1982 Harley Davidson FXR580 motorcycle is with Plaintiff and Plaintiff shall be free to file any and all necessary requests with the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to obtain clear and proper titled to said vehicle.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

2 copies Plaintiff Atty, 1 copy Tpr Hoskewitz

1991

Daniel J. Kuhn

408.

MICHAEL R. DUNLAP

vs.

JOANN L. DUNLAP

Filed Apr. 26, 1991
@ 11:48 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro.Co.Pd 5/11/94	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

May 6, 1991 Affidavit of Mailing filed. I, Daniel J. Kuhn, Esq Attorney for Plaintiff, after being duly sworn according to law, hereby state that I mailed by Certified Mail No. 890 982 073, Return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of the Complaint in this Action, Notice to Defendant and Claim Rights and Notice of Counseling to the defendant, at 12 Pershing Way, Titusville, PA 16354, and that the Defendant did receive the same on May 1, 1991, as evidenced by the signed receipt attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Daniel J. Kuhn

April 13, 1994 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

May 6, 1994 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

May 11, 1994 Upon Praecipe of Daniel J. Kuhn, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

May 17, 1994 AND NOW May 16, 1994, it is ordered and decreed that MICHAEL R. DUNHAP, plaintiff, and JOANN L. DUNLAP, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

409. R. Christopher Brode	DOROTHY JEAN GASS vs. LORI AND MARIO ELIZONDO Filed Apr. 26, 1991 @ 2:57 p.m. Indigent filing	APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF DISTRICT 30-2-01 Apr. 26, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued. Apr. 26, 1991, Affidavit to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and Praecipe to Proceed in Forma Pauperis filed. Apr. 29, 1991, Proof of Service filed.

Jorden & White
William T. Jorden

410.

FRANCIS JAMES LEACH AND CAROL ANNETTE LEACH, ADMINISTRATORS OF THE ESTATE OF LAURIE LEACH

vs.

ERIC JOHN SMITH, SHIRLEY KARASTURY AND ANN NEWTON

Filed Apr. 26, 1991
@ 3:51 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$ 50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 5-5-92	6.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (atty)

Jan. 20, 1992 PETITION FOR SETTLEMENT OF WRONGFUL DEATH AND SURVIVAL ACTION AND THE APPORTIONMENT OF SETTLEMENT FUNDS and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 20th day of Jan., 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petition for settlement of wrongful death and survival actions in the above entitled case, this Court hereby approves the settlement of said action for the gross amount of $155,000.00 and further orders and decrees that the proceeds of said settlement shall be distributed as follows:

(a) $5,000.00 under the survival action to be distributed as follows:
    (i) $2,500.00 to Francis James Leach;
    (ii) $2,500.00 to Carol Annette Leach.
(b) $150,000.00 under the wrongful death action to be distributed pursuant to the structured settlement agreement attached to this Petition.

Upon payment of all monies due under this Order the Court authorizes counsel for the Plaintiffs-Petitioners to mark the case at No. A.D. 1991-410 in the Court of Common PLeas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, settled and discontinued of record and further authorizes your Petitioners as Co-Administrators of the Estate of Laurie Leach to sign any and all necessary Releases and other documents necessary to complete this settlement.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Jan. 21, 1992 copy in box for W. Jorden.

May 5, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney the above captioned action is hereby settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Kathleen R. Nelson

411.

R. Charles Thomas

Barbara J. Walton

Received 12-12-91 from Deft $75.00

12/30/91 Recd $75.00 From Plaintiff.

Dec. 31, 1991 paid Co. Comm $75.00

Dec. 31, 1991 paid A. Comm. $75.00

5-27-94 Rec from dft med dep $150.00

6-14-94 Pd deft chk #8375 Fokkien 66.00

6-23-94 Pd Co Ck 8394 75.00

7-27-95 Rec'd from Pltf med fee 75.00

9-21-95 Pd Co 75.00 #9462

WILLIAM E. KITTELL

vs.

AMORFINA C. KITTELL

Filed Apr. 26, 1991
@ 4:06 p.m.

Tax & etnry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
John Fuller, Mediator	150.00
Pro Co Pd 11/20/91	30.00
STATE Pd 11/20/91	10.00
PRO Co Pd 12/30/91	9.00
John Fuller Mediator	150.00
Pro Co 6-23-94 Pd	9.00
Pro Co pd 7-13-95	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

May 14, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Notice were sent by registered mail to the defendant, Amorfina C. Kittell, on May 3, 1991.
3. The registered mail was sent to Amorfina C. Kittell at c/o Ben B. Gillo, Jr., 12958 Sycamore Village, Norwalk, CA 90650. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.
4. That the defendant received said registered mail on May 7, 1991. Said return receipt card P 848 678 440 is attached hereto, signed by Amorfina C. Kittell and made a part hereof.

Kathleen R. Nelson

Aug. 27, 1991 Petition For Restoration Of Custodial Status Quo filed. 1 copy (Plaintiff) 1 copy (mediator)

Aug. 27, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of August 1991 upon consideration ofthe allegations contained inthe within petition John Fuller, Esq., is appointed custody mediator tohold a mediation conference as soon as possible inthis matter in accordance withthe Rules of Court and law.

BY THE JCOURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1 copy (Plff); 1 copy (mediator)

Nov. 12, 1991 Answer and Counterclaim filed. (Equitable Distribution, Alimony Pendente Lite Counsel Fees and Costs)

Nov. 25, 1991 ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Nov. 20, 1991, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

1. Legal custody of Kathleen A. Kittell shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in decisions affecting the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject child.

II. Physical custody of Kathleen A. Kittell shall be with her father, subject to the following conditions:

A. The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the child into her custody for a two (2) week period, beginning Dec. 21, 1991 and ending Jan. 4, 1992. The mother shall likewise be entitled to have the child in her custody for a two (2) week period each year thereafter, at or about the Christmas vacation.

B. Beginning with the Summer of 1992, the child shall be in the custody of the mother for a period of three (3) months (June, July and August).

C. The expense of transportation of the child on Christmas vacation and Summer visits shall be shared equally between the mother and the father.

D. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in lan attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

E. Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or well being.

F. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the child and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference will be divided equally between the parties, with the mother paying one-half (1/2) of the cost thereof and the remaining one-half (;/2) to be paid by the father.

P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Dec. 3, 1991 copy in box for Attys. K. Nelson, R.C. Thomas & J. Fuller, Sent copy to Plf. & Dft.

Sept. 11, 1992 Petition for Contempt and Order filed. Legal proceedings have been brought agianst you alleging you have wilfully disobeyed an order of court for (custody)(partial custody)(visitation).

If you wishe to defend against the claim set forth in the follwoing pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with thecourt your defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in writing with the court your defenses or objections, you must apear in person in court on September 29, 1992, at 3:30 P.M. in Courtroom No. 1, 2 or 3, at the Crawford County Courthouse, Diamond Park, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

IF YOU DO NOT APPEAR IN PERSON, THE COURT MAY ISSUE A WARRANT FOR YOUR ARREST.

If the court finds that you have wilfully failed to comply with its order for (custody) (partial custody)(vistiaton), you may be found to be in contempt of court and ocmmitted to jail, fined or both.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 336-1151; ext. 298

BY THE COURT,
Gorodn R. Miller, J

Date: 9-9-92

ORDER: AND NOW, this 9th day of September, 1992, hearing on Plaintiff's Petition for Contempt is hereby scheduled for the 29th day of September, 1992, at 3:30 o'clock at p.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2 or 3.

A copy of this Petition and Order shall be served upon the defendhat either by per sonal service or regular mail to her address in Los Angeles County, California, and upon her atotrney, R. Charles Thomas, by regular mail.

FURTHERMORE, if Plaintiff has paid or tenders payment for one half of the transportation costs by September 12, 1992. physical custody of the minor child, Kathleen A. Kittell, shall be immediately returned to her father, William E. Kittell, pending further order of court. The child may be found at the Defendant, Amorfina C. Kittell's residence, 9426 East Nancy Avenue, Alpt. 203, Downey, Los Angeles County, California, 90241, or at the of Ben and Mercia Gillo, 12958 Sycamore Village Drive, Norwalk, Los Angeles County, California, 90650.

The Defendant's employment address for service purposes is Nutrilite Products, Inc. 5600 Beach Bolevard, Buena Park, Los Angeles County, California, 90621.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Sept. 14, 1992 Copies of order to Atty'.s Nelson and Thomas

Continued of Record to Page 559.

412

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

412.

MARGARET A. COX

vs.

DOUGLAS E. COX

Filed Apr. 29, 1991
@ 9:12 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	50.50
2 counts pd.	30.00
state paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 8/23/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION
SHARED CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

May 10, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, May 9, 1991, comes Lisa M. Sherretts being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:
1. That she is the legal secretary of Attorney for Margaret A. Cox, Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P398 123 142, postmarked May 1, 1991, to the last known address of the Defendant, Douglas E. Cox, 173 Livingston Road, Jamestown, PA 16134.
3. That the Defendant, Douglas E. Cox, on May 6, 1991, personally signed the return receipt care which was affixed to the Complaint in the above-matter and which is attached hereto.
4. Service of the Complaint against Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Lisa M. Sherrets

Aug. 20, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 20, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Aug. 20, 1991 Memorandum of Agreement as to Divorce, Equitable Distribution and Custody filed.

Aug. 23, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Sept. 4, 1991 **DECREE IN DIVORCE FILED.**

And now, Sept. 4, 1991, pursuant to the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Prodecure and the Divorce Code, the Court enters the following Order, Adjudication and Decree:
1. Margaret A. Cox and Douglas E. Cox are her eby divorced form the bonds of matrimony pursuant to §3301(c) of the Divorce Code.
2. Husband shall be the sole owner ofthe parties' marital residence and vacant lotlocated in Jamestown, PA.
Date: 9/4/91

By the Court;
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

413.

SHIRLEY NEISWONGER

vs.

RUSSELL NEISWONGER

Filed Apr. 29, 1991
@ 10:11 a.m.

Tax & etnry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

May 13, 1991 Affidavit Of Service filed. I, Joan d. Mason, Esquire, attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I did on May 2, 1991 mail to the above defendant, Russell Neiswonger, by certified mail return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a Complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received on May 6, 1991, as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.
Joan D. Mason

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed.
Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 5/13/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.
If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.
If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:
Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: March 12, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
Mar. 12, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

Peters,Lindsay, Lewis & Hatheway -Edward J.Hatheway
MaSON AND Mason
Joan D. Mason

414.

JEFFREY ALAN ACKER, SR.

vs.

BRENDA SUE ACKER

Filed April 29, 1991
@ 10:11 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
1 count paid 15.00
state paid 10.00
state paid 10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY,

1 copy (atty)

May 23, 1991 Complaint Reinstated.

July 2, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq. atty. for plff inteh above case, and being duly sworn according to law, deposes and say that I did on June 3, 1991, Mail to the above dft., by cert. mail ret. rec. req. deliver to addressee only a complaint int he above divorce action. Said notice was received on June 6, 1991 as indicated by ret. rec. card which was returned to the office of the Plff atty. and is attahced hereto. Joan D. Mason Esq.

July 2, 1991 Motion to appoint a custody mediator and Order filed. And now, July 9, 1991, John Fuller, is appointed Custody Mediator.
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 12, 1991 Copies of order put in box for atty. Mason & Fuller

May 22, 1995 Appearance of Peters,Lindsay,Lewis & Hatheway by Edward J.Hatheway,Esquire, for Jeffrey Alan Acker, filed.

May 24. ;995 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

May 31, 1995, Motion to Withdraw Custody Count in Plaintiff's Divorce Complaint and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 26th day of May 1995, upon presentation and consideration of the plaintiff's Motion to Withdraw Custody Court in his May 23, 1991 Divorce Complaint: Said motion is granted.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

6/1/95 Faxed to Hatheway, mailed to dft.

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 5/31/95 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.
If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.
I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.
Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: March 12, 1998
Mar 12, 1998 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.
By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

Thoms, truax , Spadafore, vardaro, walker

R. Charles Thomas

~~415.~~

415.

FRANK M. GILIBERTO

vs.

JUDITH B. GILIBERTO

Filed Apr. 29, 1991
@ 10:17 a.m.

Tax & entry 4/5/1991 $50.50
state 4/5/1991 5.00
John Fuller, mediator

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, judith B. Giliberto, dft, have been sued in court to obtain custody of the following children: Todd James Giliberto, Erin Nicole giliberto and Jodi Giliberto.

You are hereby ordered to appear in person at such time and at such place as is hereby designated by the Custody mediator hereinafter appointed for a conference.

You are further ordered to bring with you the following named children: Todd James Giliberto, Erie Nicole Giliberto, and Jodi Giliberto.

JOHN FULLER, ESQUIRE is hereby appointed as Custody Mediator in this case.

If you fail to appear at the time specified by the mediator hereby appointed by this order or to bring the children, as order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one to to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. ser., to The Public Comm.
c/o Prothonotary, Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Sept 22, 1998 ORDER: Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 4/29/1991. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear from you in writing on or before Oct 23, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. This case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explainging why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's office on or before Oct 23, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

Date: sept 22, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 5, 1998, ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of Oct., 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given by publication of a "Notice of Intent To Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on Oct. 14, 1998, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, all and each of the 84 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice. A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 19011.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

416.

DON MONTGOMERY
P.O. Box 897
Franklin, Pa. 16323

vs.

RALPH J. MANGINO
MADELINE MANGINO
341 Benson Ave.
Meadville, Pa. 16335

Filed Apr. 29, 1991
@ 11:03 a.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$9.00 ✓
plff on orig	9.50
Pro. Co. Pd. 8-30-91	6.00 ✓

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

Of

DSB 1986 - 312

Judgment of revival indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants in the sum of Thirty Thousand and 00/100 Dollars and interest at 9%.

Debt ------$30,000.00
dated------Apr. 29, 1991
M - 143, 113 ----JUDGMENT OF REVIVAL

James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Aug. 30, 1991 This Lien satisfied.
(see paper filed)

1991

Mazzotta, McCague & Associates, PC
Donald S. Mazzotta
William T. Molczan

417.

FIRST DEPOSIT NATIONAL BANK

vs.

JOHN T. GALLETTA

Filed Apr. 29, 1991
@ 1:19 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	33.50
Sheriff's Costs	29.50
Sheriff's Costs	37.40
Pro Co. pd. 12/18/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

June 13, 1991 **SHERIFF'S RETURN** filed. NOT FOUND as to defendant John T. Galletta. Neighbors say he moved several weeks ago. No forward at Post office.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 26, 1991 Complaint Reinstated

Aug. 9, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Not found. House vacant no forward.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 30, 1991 Complaint Reinstated.

Oct. 29, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. I hereby deputize the Sheriff of Venango County, Pa. to serve the within Complaint according to law. The defendants alleged address is his place of employment: Southside Store, 400 East Second, Oil City, Pa. 16301 Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff
AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, SERVED the within Notice to Defend-Complaint in Civil Action on Oct. 7, 1991, at 11:25 AM, upon John T. Galletta at his employment, Riverside Market, 400 Block-E,2nd St., Oil City by handing to John T. Galletta, in person a true and correct copy thereof and made known to him the contents therein. Gene Price, Sheriff Venango Co.

Dec. 18, 1991, 1:06 PM, AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with copy of Notice of Intention to file default judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for failure to file an answer and damages are assessed as follows:

Amount claimed in Complaint		$3,795.02
Interest from 3/29/91 to 12/17/91		
Costs of suit		$ 460.25
	TOTAL	$4,255.27

With interest accruing on total balance of $4,255.27 at the rate of 6% from date of judgment.

G-91
Judgment entered 12/18/91.

Debt $4,255.27
Interest at 6% from date of Judgment
Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro.

418

1991

Evans, Rosen, Portnoy & Quinn
John E. Quinn

418.

Swensen, Perer, Johnson & McCandless
John W. McCandless

David A. Schroeder

BERNICE A. WRAY AND JAMES A. WRAY, HER HUSBAND

vs.

MARCUS D. POWELL

Filed Apr. 29, 1991
@ 1:19 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Pro.Co. pd 5/13/93 5.00

JURY TRIAL

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (atty)

May 17, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, appeared JOhn E. Quinn, esq. who being duly sworn according to law, dposes and says that he caused a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Civil Action to be forwarded to the defendant Marcus D. Powell 67695 Cool Springs, New Concord, Ohio, 43762 on May 1, 1991 by cert. mail ret. rec. req. restricted delivery, the orig. receipt of the U. S. Post Office evidencing receipt of said pleading by the dft. on May 13, 1991 is attached hereto and made part hereof. John E. Qujnn Esq.

June 6, 1991 Appearance of Swensen, Perer, Johnson & McCandless By John W. McCandless, Attorney for defendant filed.

Sept. 16, 1991 Answer To Complaint filed.

Sept 16, 1991 Notice of Service of Interrogatories and Request for Productions filed.

July 15, 1992 Notice of Service of Answers to Interrogatories filed.

July 15, 1992 Notice Of Deposition of Bernice Wray and James A. Wray filed.

Oct. 21, 1992 Motion to Compel Discovery filed.
Oct. 21, 1992 Order Re: Motion to Compel Discovery filed. AND NOW, October 16, 1992, after argument in MOtion Court with respect to Defendant's Motion to compel discovery, the said motion is denied without prejudice to the Defendant to obtain discovery permissible under the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.
BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. VArdaro, J.
Oct. 21, 1992 Copies mailed to Attys. Schroeder & Quinn.

Oct. 21, 1992 Motion to Amend Answer to Include New Matter filed.
Oct. 21, 1992 Order Re: Motion to Amend Answer to Include New Matter filed. AND NOW,October 19, 1992, upon consideration of the Defendant's Motion to amend answer to include new matter,the Defendant is granted leave to file an amended complaint pursuant to Rule 1033 within 20 days of the date of this Order.
BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.
Oct. 21, 1992 Copies mailed to Atty. Schroeder & Quinn.

Oct. 29, 1992 Defendant's New Matter filed.

Nov. 24, 1992 Plaintiff's Reply To Defendant's New Matter filed.

Dec. 7, 1992 Notice to Complete Discovery filed.

Feb. 18, 1993 Plaintiffs' Certificate of Readiness filed.

1993 April Civil Trial List.

Mar. 29, 1993 Defendant, Marcus D. Powell's Pre Trial Statement filed.

Mar. 29, 1993 Order Filed. And now, this 29th day of March, 1993, following the call of the April Civil Trial List, pretrial conferences are scheduled in the following cases on the following dates and times before the judge indicated below:
Pretrial Conference Date and Time: Judge. Tuesday, April 6, 1993 at 11:00 A.M.: Vardaro, J.
Counsel are directed to report any change in the status of any case, or any special scheduling needs to the Court Administrator at the earliest possible time.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R.Miller, P. J.
Mar. 29, 1993 Copies distributed by Court.

Apr. 6, 1993 Affidavit of Service of Subpoena to Personnel Department, Nursing Solutions, filed.

April 6, 1993 Affidavit of Service of Subpoena to PersonnelDepartment, PA Medical Concepts filed.

May 13,1993 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, John E.Quinn, Esquire, this case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Joseph F. Kulwicki III

419.

JOANNIE CROSS

vs.

LARRY R. CROSS

Filed Apr. 29, 1991
@ 4:15 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 8/7/91	6.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Aug. 2, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

420

1991

Devine & Kuftic
David R. Devine

420.

Brian J. Lindsay

FREDERICK STEADMAN

vs.

SHELLY MERRITT

Filed Apr. 30, 1991
@ 11:54 a.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
~~William Pineo, mediator~~
John Fuller, mediator 150.00
9.00

PETITION FOR CUSTODY ORDER

2 copies (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Shelly Merritt, respondent, have been sued in court to obtain visitation of the child, Jason Michael Leonhart.

YOU ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR IN PERSON BEFORE WILLIAM PINEO, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR at a time to be scheduled for a conference, hearing.

You are further ordered to bring with you the child, Jason MIchael Leonhart.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order or to bring the child, an order for visitation may be entered agaisnt you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to teh Pub. Comm. 360 Chesnut St. Meadville, Pa. 16335. 814 724-50232.

Date: Apr. 30, 1991 BY ORDER OFTHE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

May 3, 1991, ORDER filed. And now, May 2, 1991 William Pineo, Custody Mediator appointed in the above case, having advised the court that he has a conflict of interest in this case, John Fuller is appointed Custody Mediator.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1 copy (atty)
1 cppy (mediator)

Oct. 1, 1991 Appearance of Brian J. Lindsay for Defendant filed.

Oct 23, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, October 21, 1991, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of jason Michael Leonhart shall be shared between the father, Frederick Steadman and the mother, Shelly Merritt, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the child such as the child's medical treatment, education and religious instruction.

II. Physical custody of Jason Michael Leonhart shall be with his mother, subject to the following condistions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take Jason into his custody the first and third Saturdays of each month, beginning October 6, 1991, from 9:00 a.m. Saturday morning until 9:00 Saturday evening.

B. Holidays shall be defined as Thanksgiving, Christmas and Easter. Jason shall spend every Thanksgiving vacation with is mother. Jason shall spend two (2) days at Christmas vacation and two (2) days at Easter vacation with his father, and, unless the parties agree others, the two (2) days vacation at Christmas shall begin at 12:00 Noon on Christmas Day and terminate at 12:00 Noon on December 27th. Unless the parties agree otherwise, the two (2) days vacation at Easter shall begin at 12:00 Noon on Good Friday and end at 12:00 noon on Easter Sunday.

C. Beginning with the Summer of 1992 the father shall be entitled to visit and take Jason into his custody for two (2) separate weeks each summer. The father shall give the mother at least thirty (30) days notice of each of the two one (1) week periods in which he intends to exercise his Summer visitation priviliges.

D. Transportation shall be divided between the parties as follows: When Jason is to visit his father, the mother shall drop the child off at the home of the paternal grandmother at the time specified and when the father is to return Jason after a visit, the father shall drop the child off at the home of the paternal grandmother where he will be picked up by his mother.

E. Jason will be entitled to receive one (1) telephone call from his father each Sunday and shall be entitled to call his father from time to time collect.

F. Neither party is to be under the influence of alcohol while the child is in their custody.

G. The parties are encouraged to consult together so that if visits go well, the parties may consent to increase the visits.

H. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

I. Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or well being.

J. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the child and both parents agree to furnish the other with a currenct telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unelss exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of thedate hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

P. Richard Thomas, S.Judge

Oct 28, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Lindsay and Fuller's boxes and mailed to Atty. Malc and Plaintiff and Defendant.

James B. Cole
Stokes, Lurie & Cole

421.

~~MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton~~ withdrawn
~~J. Douglas Murphy~~
Ingrid M. Lundberg
& Wilbur McCoy for Deininger
Marsh, Spaeder, Baur, Spaeder & Schaaf
Francis J. Klemensic

Quinn, Gent Buseck & Leemhuis, INc.
John M. Quinn, Jr.
Meadville Medical Center

Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote
Wilbur McCoy Otto
for Arthur G. Deininger, Defendant

Law offices of J. Douglas Murphy
Irving O. Murphy
for A.G. Deininger, M.D.

KATHERINE A. PALMER AND JOHN P. PALMER, ADMINISTRATORS OF THE ESTATE OF KRISTOFFER P. PALMER, DECEASED

ARTHUR G. DEININGER dft.
Meadville Medical Center and Ronald M. Vrablick M.D. addit. dfts.

Filed Apr. 30, 1991
@ 1:23 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriff's costs	24.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

May 8, 1991 Writ return filed. SERVED Arthur G. Deininger on May 1, 1991 at 11:28 AM by handing copy of Summons to Gay Slozat, nurse and person in charge at office, 390 Park Avenue, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 14, 1991 Appearance of MacDonald, Ilig, Jones & Britton, By J. Douglas Murphy, Esquire for defendant filed.

May 14, 1991 Rule entered upon Plaintiff to file a Complaint issued.
May 15, 1991 certified copy mailed to plaintiff attorney.

May 17, 1991 on 5/16/91 service of the foregoing Rule is hereby accepted by James B. Cole, attorney for plaintiff.

June 19, 1991 Complaint in Civil ACTION filed.

Aug. 30, 1991, Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, Writ to Join Additional Defendant Meadville Medical Center issued. (sheriff) 9/11/91, (writ mailed to atty)

Aug. 30, 1991, Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, Writ to Join Additional Defendant Ronald M. Vrablick, M.D., issued. (sheriff) (writ mailed to atty) 9/11/91

Sept. 24, 1991, Appearance of Marsh, Spaeder, Baur, Spaeder & Schaaf, by Francis J. Klemensic, Attorneys for Ronald Vrablick, M.D., additional dft., filed.

Sept. 26, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. Ronald M. Vrablick, M.D., by his attorney Francis J. Klemensic, Esquire, hereby accepts service of the Writ to Join Ronald M. Vrablick, M.D. as an Additional Defendant this 17 day of September, 1991. Francis J. Klemensic

Sept. 26, 1991 Answer and New Matter filed.

Oct. 2, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. Meadville Medical Center, by its attorney John M. Quinn, Jr., Esq., hereby accepts service of the Writ to Join Meadville Medical Center as an Additional Defendant this 17th day of September, 1991. John M. Quinn, Jr.

Dec. 18, 1992 Appearance of J. Douglas Murphy for Arthur G. Deininger, M.D. filed.

Dec. 23, 1992, withdrawal of Appearance of T. warren Jones, on behalf of dft. Jeffrey C. shivers, M.D., filed.

Feb. 19, 1993 Withdrawal of Appearance of MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton on behalf of defendant Arthur G. Deininger filed.

Apr. 5, 1993, notice of Deposition of Arthur D. Deininger filed.

Nov. 23, 1993, Notice of Deposition of Nadine Schaffer, R. N. filed.

June 6, 1995 Notice of Deposition of Nadine Schaffer, R.D. filed.

Jun.19, 1995 Notice of Deposition of Nadine Schaffer, R.N., filed.

Jun. 19, 1995 Notice of Deposition of Ronald M.Vrablick,M.D., filed.

Jul. 20, 1995 Appearance of Dickie, McCamey and Chilcote by Wilbur McCoy Otto, Esquire, Attorney for Arthur G.Deininger, Defendant,filed.

Jul 28, 1995 Appearance of Law Offices of J. Douglas Murphy by Irving O. Murphy, Esquire for Defendant ARTHUR G. DEININGER, M.D. only, filed.

Oct. 30, 1995 NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION filed.

Nov. 27, 1995 Rule entered upon Defendant, Arthur G. Deininger, to file a Complaint issued.

Nov.30, 1995 Rule upon Plaintiff to file Complaint issued

Dec. 13, 1995 Service of the foregoing Rule is hereby accepted on November 30, 1995 by Ingrid M. Lundberg, Esq. Mail Receipt.

Dec. 15, 1995 Proof of Service filed.

Jan. 16, 1996 Complaint To join Additional Defendants filed.

Jan. 19, 1996, Substitution of Verification filed.

Jan. 26, 1996 Preliminary Objections of Defendant Meadville Medical Center to Complaint to Join Additional Defendant, filed.

Jan. 26, 1996 Upon Praecipe of John M. Quinn, Jr. Esq. the above captioned matter is placed on the next available Argument List.
ON FEBRUARY, 1996 ARGUMENT LIST

Jan. 26, 1996 Preliminary Objections of Ronald Vrablik,M.D., to Defendant's Complaint to Join Additional Defendants, filed.

Continued to Page 594

422

1991

Mazzotta, McCague &
Associates, PC
Timothy J. Snodgrass

422.

GENERAL MOTORS ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION

vs.

JILL L. MURDOCK

Filed April 30, 1991
@ 1:31 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriffs costs	23.10
Sheriff's Costs	23.05

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION - ASSUMPSIT

1 copy (sheriff)

June 3, 1991 Complaint Reinstated

JUne 5, 1991 Sheriffs Return field. Not Found. Defendant moved from R. D. #3, Box 7, Cochranton, Pa. has pOst Office Box #318, but never changed residence address. Still in Cochranton area, address unknown.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 3, 1991 Complaint Reinstated

Oct 29, 1991 SHERRIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Jill L. Murdock personally on Oct. 17, 1991 at 11:05 AM by handing her a copy of the complaint at her place of employment, 125 High St., Cochranton, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack

Charles J. Swick

423.

MARQUETTE SAVINGS ASSOCIATION

vs.

ROBERT W. PETRUSO AND ARLENE L. PETRUSO

Filed Apr. 30, 1991
@ 2:35 p.m.

Tax & etnry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	29.00
Sheriff's Costs	39.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

May 10, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: I accept service of the Complaint No. AD 1991-423 on behalf of Robert W. Petruso and certify that I am authorized to do so.
5-9-91 Ernest L. Fausnaught
Authorized Agent

I accept service of the Complaint No. AD 1991-423 on behalf of Arlene L. Petruso and certify that I am authorized to do so.
5-9-91 Ernest L. Fausnaught,
Authorized Agent

May 10, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Robert W. Petruso on May 9, 1991 at 11:59 a.m. by handing copy of Complaint to his Authorized Agent, Ernest L. Fausnaught, at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. Signed Acceptance of Service attached.
So answers, Catherine, I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 28, 1991 Motion To Amend Complaint In Mortgage Foreclosure and Order filed. AND NOW, this 28th day of June, 1991, the court does hereby grant motion of Plaintiff to amend its complaint to include the United States of America as a defendant.
Gordon R. Miller, J
July 2, 1991 Copy of order put in Charles Swick's box.

July 2, 1991, Amended Complaint in Mortgage Forelcosure filed. 2 copies (atty)

Aug. 1, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Charles J. Swick, being first duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am the attorney for Plaintiff and that as such, I am authorized to make this affidavit, wherein I do hereby affirm that on the 8th day of July, 1991, I did serve by first class mail, postage prepaid, re turn receipt requested, Defendant United States of America c/o U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice at their last known address at 10th and Consititution Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530, a true and correct copy of the Amended Complaint in the above captioned matter, as is evidenced by the attached s igned receipt.
Charles J. Swick

Aug. 2, 1991 Consent Judgment filed. AND NOW, to wit, this 2nd day of August, 1991, it appearing that counsel for pl aintiff and counsel for defendant, United States of America, have consented to the entry of the within Order on behalf of their respective clients, it is here by ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that a judgment be entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the United States of America for foreclosure of the mortgage of plaintiff in the within cause and for sale of the mortgaged proper ty of defendant (s) Robert W. Petruso and ARlene L. Petruso.
It is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that defendant, United States of America, shall be notified by plaintiff of the date, time and place scheduled for any sheriff's sale of the real proper ty of the aforesaid defendant; that the United States of America shall be entitled to payment from the proceeds of the sheriff's sale to the extent its proper priority would entitle it to the same; and that the United States of America shall be entitled to redeem the aforesaid propert within 120 days from the date of sale, as provided by 28 U.S.C. §2410.
Nothing contained in the within Orde rshall, in any way, be construed as entry of a monetary judgment against the United States of America, but rather said judgment is limited to the foreclosure and sale of the real estate of the aforesaid defendant in the wihtin proceeding.
Consented to by: BY THE COURT,
Charles J. Swick, Counsel for Pla intiff P. richard Thomas, S.J.
Amy Reynolds Hay, Assisitant U.S. Attorney
Aug. 6, 1991 Copies of order to Charles Swick and Any Reynolds Hay

August 7, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED the United States of America by deputizing the Sheriff of Allegheny County, PA as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served July 16, 1991. So answers,
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

424

1991

Shafer, swick, Bailey, Irwin & stack

Charles J. Swick

424.

MARQUETTE SAVINGS ASSOCIATION

vs.

WILLIAM C. KEARNS AND
DIANE L. KEARNS

Filed Apr. 30, 1991
@ 2:35 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	31.80
Pro Co. pd 6-6-91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

May 31, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED William C. Kearns on May 15, 1991 at 12:02 PM by handing copy of Complaint to his wife Diane Kearns, at residence, R.D. 32, Box 285, Conneautville, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Diane L. Kearns personally on May 15, 1991 at 12:02 PM at her residence, R.D. #2, Box 285, Conneautville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 6, 1991 Upon Praecipe ot Plaintiff Attorney, the above captioned matter is marked discontinued on record without prejudice to commence further foreclosure actions in the event of additional defaults filed.

1991

425.

SALLY J. BEISH

vs.

THOMAS L. BEISH

Filed Apr. 30, 1991
@ 4:14 p.m.

TAx & entry	Pd 5/10/91	$50.50
state	Pd 5/10/91	5.00
sheriff costs		25.00
Pro Co	Pd 5/10/91.	9.00

5/10/91 Rec'd $25.00 from Defendant

5/10/91 Paid $25.00 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff Chk 6225

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 30th day of April, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.

2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not go to plaintiffs residence at any time nor shall he go to her place of work. He shall not contact her i n person.

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.

4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.

5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.

6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.

7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.

8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for May 9, 1991, at 3:30 P M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 3, 1991 Sheriffs Return filed. SERVED Thomas L. Beish personally on May 2, 1991 at 4:13 p.m. at his residence, 247 Wadsworth Avenue, meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Dep. sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 13, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, May 9, 1991, at the time this matter is before the Court, it appears the parties have reached an amicable resolution that they will not take up living together again. The Defendant is advised that if he harasses or assaults the Plaintiff in the future, she may file a criminal charge against him.

By agreement of the parties this case is dismissed upon the Defendant's payment of costs in the amount of $89.50 forthwith.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

May 13, 1991 Copies given to county Control and plaintiff and defendant.

1991

Joan D. Mason

Mason and Mason

426.

Shafer, Swick, BAiley, Irwin & Stack
James R. Irwin

7/12/91 Recd #76 cc from plff (mediation Costs)

7/15/91 Recd. #76 cc from Defendant

Aug 1, 1991 paid Co. Comm. $76.00

Aug 1, 1991 paid Co. Comm. $76.00

JAY D. THOMPSON

vs.

BARBARA L. THOMPSON

Filed Apr. 30, 1991
@ 4:23 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
William PIneo, mediator	152.00
Pro Co Pd 7/12/91	9.00
Pro.Co. pd 5/26/93	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Apr. 30, 1991 Motion to Appoint A Custody Mediator and order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, May 2, 1991 WILLIAM PINEO is appointed custody mediator in the above matter.
Gordon R. Miller, J.
1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

May 9, 1991, Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq., atty. for the plff. in the above case, and being duly sworn according to law depose and say that I did on May 1, 1991, mail to the above defendant barbara L. Thompson, by cert. mial, ret. rec. req. del to addressee only, a complaint in the above case. Said notice was received on May 2, 1991 as indicated by the ret. rec. card which is attached hereto.
Joan D. Mason Atty.

May 24, 1991 Petition For Temporary Custody and Order filed. 5/24/91 Petition denied. Father to have weekend visits Fri 9 AM till Sunday 8 PM every weekend until temporary mediation order entered. Effective 1 PM this date.
1 copy atty; 1 copy mediator P R Thomas

June 21, 1991 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 19 day of June, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minir child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.

3. The mother shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor child except as noted:

The mother shall provide the father with a written copy of her rotating work schedule as soon as she has received it. When the mother is working from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., the subject minor child shall be in the custody of her father from 7:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. When the mother is working noon to 9:00 p.m., the father shall have physical custody from 11:30 a.m. to 9:30 p.m. If the mother's rotation will specify that the mother works from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. the next day, then the father will have custody overnight until 5:30 p.m. of the next day.

Each party shall have the right to designate a two-week period during the summer during which he or she will have primary physical custody of the subject minor child and with no visitation being accorded to the other party. For 1991, the parties shall designate the periods they have selected within one week of the date of this order. For years succeeding, the parties shall designate the summer visitation periods by the end of the preceding Memorial Day.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Christmas holiday visitation periods, the first beginning Christmas Eve at 6:00 p.m. and ending Christmas Day at noon and the second beginning Christmas Day at noon and ending Christmas Day at 9:00 p.m. In odd numbered years, the mother shall have the first period and the father, the second; and in even numbered years, the father shall have the first period, and the mother, the second.

b. Thanksgiving holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Thanksgiving holiday periods, the first beginning at 6:00 p.m. of the Wednesday perceding Thanksgiving and ending Thanksgiving Day at noon and the second beginning Thanksgiving Day at noon and ending on Friday at 7:30 a.m. In even numbered years, the mother shall have the first period and the father, the second; and in odd numbered years, the father shall have the first period and the mother, the second.

c. The Eastervacation visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Easter visitationperiods, the first beginning on Holy Saturday at noon and ending Easter Sunday at noon and the second beginning Easter Sunday at noon and ending Easter Sunday at 9:00 p.m. In odd numbered years, the father shall have the first period and the mother, the second; in even numbered years the mother shall have the first period and the father, the second.

d. The other holidays to be observed are Memorial Day, Independence Day, and Labor Day. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch the fireworks. The mediator notes for the Court that the mother in each year has to work one of these holidays. In even numbered years, the father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody on the holiday which the mother has to work and on one of the other two holidays, which he shall designate at the same time that the mother informs him of the holiday in which she shall have to work. In odd numbered years, the father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody of his daughter on the holiday which the mother has to work. For 1991, that holiday is Independence Day.

e. The child shall spend Father/s Day with her father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with her mother during the same hours.

f. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern. Because of the mother's work schedule, the arrangements set out herein is necessarily complicated. The Court expects the party to be able to make reasonable adjustments necessitated by the impossibility of foreseeing all potential circumstances and to cover situations when one of the other parties has a change in their work schedule.

6. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall motify the other as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to m ake alternative arrangements.

7. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the child in the excercise of his or her rights picking up the child at the home of the other.

8. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 426A

1991

Jay D. Thompson

vs.

Barbara L. Thompson

Record continued from page 426

of record

A.D. 1991 - 426

****

9. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor child. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the child are being transported or in the bedroom of the child.

10. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

11. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.

12. Neither party shall expose the subject minor child to the company of persons of the opposite sex to whom he or she is not related by blood or marriage between the hours of 8:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m.

13. Either party may request a review of this Order two years from date hereof to make any adjustments.

14. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

15. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Jan. 21, 1992, Affidavit of Consent of dft. filed.

May 3, 1993 Defendant's Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

May 26, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Defendant's Attorney, James R.Irwin, ESquire, to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

June 11, 1993, AND NOW, this 7th day of June, 1993, upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavit filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absoltue divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the abovenamed parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and the parties have lived separate and apart for a period of at least two (2) years pursuant to Section 3301(d) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code, and that timely Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree pursuant to Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure 1920.42 and 1920.73 has been given to the Defendant.

The issue of child custody has been resolved by Order of Judge P. Richard Thomas, dated June 19, 1991.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.

426
-6-

1991

1991

427.

R. Charles Thomas

THOMAS MORGAN

vs.

MARGARET ANN MILLER

Filed May 1, 1991
@ 9:05 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.25
state paid 5.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF WILLIAM D. CHISHOLM, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-2-01

May 1, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

May 13, 1991, Proof of Service filed.

428

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

428.

WALLACE LYNN HAMILTON

vs.

CAROLE ANN HAMILTON

Filed May 1, 1991
@ 9:11 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co. Pd 9/26/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

May 8, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. And Now, May 3,1991, I, Carole Ann Hamilton, hereby accept service of the Complaint in Divorce.

By: Carole Ann Hamilton

Sept. 19, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 19, 1991 Afifdavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 26, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for Review Under Section 3301(c) of Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Sept. 30, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, September 30, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Wallace Lynn Hamilton, plaintiff, and Carole Ann Hamilton, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

429.

10-30-96 Pd Sheriff's #10306 21.00

MATTIE JONES

vs.

TRUMAN BOYD

Filed May 1, 1991
@ 10:09 a.m.

Tax & entry	Pd 10-30-96	$50.50
state	Pd 10-30-96	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	Pd	21.00
Pro Co	Pd 10-30-96	9 a

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 1st day of May, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact plaintiff in any way.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for May 9, 1991, at 3:30 P M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 2, 1991 SERVED Truman Boyd personally on May 1, 1991 at 11:52 PM at his residence, 432 Fairmont Avenue, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 13, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 9, 1991, at the time set for hearing, the parties are in disagreement as to the truth of the allegations made. The Plaintiff insists she was assaulted and abused and the Defendant contends nothing of that nature happened. After informal discussion with the parties, the Defendant agrees that without the necessity of a full hearing and the Court making any findings of the truth from the facts heard that he will not annoy, harass or assault the Plaintiff in any manner.

He agrees he will not go to her residence for any purpose nor will he go to her place of employment for any purpose.

Any police officer having reasonable grounds to believe that the Defendant has violated this Order may require the Plaintiff to sign a sworn affidavit to the facts alleged to constitute a violation of this Order and after said affidavit is procured the police officer may apprehend the Defendant without warrant, lodge him in the County Jail and request the Court to grant an immediate contempt hearing.

This Order shall remain in full force and effect for a period of one (1) year.

The Defendant is DIRECTED to pay costs in the approximate amount of $89.00 after being billed by the Prothonotary at his address at 432 Fairmont Ave., Meadville, PA.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

May 13, 1991 Copy to County Control.
May 15, 1991 Copy to Gerald Sullivan, Atty., copy mailed to defendant.

430.

BARBARA J. ST. JEAN

vs.

EDDIE F. SMITH

Filed May 1, 1991
@ 2:17 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	35.50

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 1st day of May, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: The defendant shall not: a) contact the plaintiff by any means. B) Go to the Plaintiff's residence. C) Go to the plaintiff's place of work
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for May 13, 1991, at 3:30 p M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 15, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 13, 1991 at the time set for the hearing on this abuse action, the defendatn fails to appear. The Dep. Sheriff advises the cuort they made several attempts to serve him at his residence but were unsuccessful. The plaintiff advises the Court she talked to the defendant this date on the telephone and he was aware of the heaRING but he fails to appear. The hearing is continued until May 30, 1991 at 4:00 p.m. and the Sheriff is directed to not only continue to attempt personal service but to send a copy of this order by regular mail to the defendant at his address.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas. S.J.

May 15, 1991, copies to plff, dft county control and sheriff.

May 21, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Eddie F. Smith personally on May 13, 1991 at 4:35 PM at his residence, R.D. #1, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,
Robert A. Fischer, deputy Sheriff
Howard N. STewart, Sheriff

June 3, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, May 30, 1991 this abuse matter is before the Court on allegations by the Plaintiff occuring on or about April 27, 1991. The allegations are that the Defendant made threats against her life and the Plaintiff postulates that the primary motivating factor in filing this abuse petition is that if she turns up deceased or disappears, she wishes the records to show that the Defendant would be the prime suspect.

It appears that a previous Abuse Act petition was filed in 1990 but was immediately withdrawn withthe Plaintiff paying the costs.

It appears the big dispute between the parties is that they lived together approximately 10 years and each claims an interest in a trailer where the Defendant resides, at R.D. #1, Cambridge Springs, plus some personal property. There appears to be some individual or joint obligations to creditors that are also in dispute.

The Plaintiff has contacted Atty. Joseph Tinko and asserts she has advised the Defendant she will not discuss a division of the joint assets or failr compensation for the same directly with the Defendant but only through her attorney. Since it appears the main thrust of the differences between the parties involves the division of the jointly owned property or equitably owned property. The Court deems it inadvisable for the parties to meet in an attempt to resolve the issue. The Plaintiff agrees, and has retained an attorney to resolve these matters in her best interest.

Accordingly, the Defendant agrees that he will not contact the Plaintiff in any fashion, by mail, or by telephone, nor will he go to her place of employment nor her residence nor anyplace where he knows she might be present for any purpose. It the Defendant wishes to settle the matter of division of personal assets and evaluation of the jointly owned real estate, he must do so through the Plaintiff's attorney.

The Court directs the County to pay the filing fee in this case, but Sheriff's costs will be paid by the Defendant within 10 days of the time he was billed therefore.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 6, 1991 Copies of order given to County Control and mailed to parties.

1991

pro se

431.

RICK SHAULIS

vs.

KAREN PETERSON

Filed May 1, 1991
@

Indigent filing

COMPLAINT FOR VISITATION

1 copy (plff)
1 copy (dft)

May 1, 1991, Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis filed.

May 1, 1991 Re: Complaint for Visitation - Order filed. ORDER: The plaintiff petitions the curt to proceed in forma pauperis in this complaint for visitation wherein he requests visitation with Joshua James Artman, R. D. #1, Town ville, Pa. age six. He alleges the child is in the custody of the mother, Karen Peterson, and that he is father of said child.

The plaintiff is currently incarcerated in the State Correctional Institution at Mercer on a two and one-half to five year sentence entered Nov. 5, 1990, but made effective June 8, 1990. Thus, his minimum release date on parole will be Nov. 8, 1992.

We note in the plaintiff's Pre-sentence report he claimed two children by this relationship. He did not intentionally or unintentionally list the six year old child who is the subject of the present visitation complaint, and we interpret his complaint as alleging that the child was born out of wedlock to one Karen Peterson.

We direct that his compalint for visitation be filed without the payment of any fees, but in view of the fact that he will be incarcerated until at least November of 1992, we decline to grant a hearing or enter a visitation order until suc h time as he would have the opportunity to have visits with his alleged child.

This Order is entered without prejudice to the right of the plaintiff upon his release on parole to request a hearing on this petition.

Dated: April 26, 1991

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1 copy (plff)
1 copy (dft)

1991

Gerald Sullivan
Legal Services

432.

Mason & Mason
M. Dan Mason

PATRICIA WOJSIAT

vs.

FRANK ROTH

Filed May 1, 1991
@ 4:22 p.m.

Indigent filing
Sheriff's Costs 21.00

COMPLAINT IN REPLEVIN FOR PROPERTY WRONGFULLY TAKEN AND DETAINED

3 copies (atty)

May 1, 1991 Praecipe to Proceed in Forma Pauperis and Affidavit to Proceed in Forma Pauperis filed.

May 6, 1991 Motion To Direct Sheriff's Department to Serve Complaint Without Payment of Costs and ORDER filed.AND NOW, this 6th day of May, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, the Crawford County Sheriff's Departmetn is directed to serve Plaintiff's Complaint upon Defendant Frank Roth without payment of costs by the Plaintiff.

By The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

(3 copies Atty.)

May 31, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Frank Roth on May 15, 1991 at 10:30 a.m. at his residence, R.D.#4, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 6, 1991 Answer To complaint filed.

Sept. 20, 1991 Notice of Service of Interrogatories filed.

May 5, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, Gerald Sullivan, Esquire and Defendant Attorney M. Dan Mason, Esquire, the above captioned case is marked discontinued of record.

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

433.

SHERRY A. LONG

vs.

LARRY E. LONG

Filed May 2, 1991
@ 11:16 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro.Co. pd 12/17/92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY, VISITATION

1 copy (atty)

May 20, 1991 Verification of Service filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, do hereby verify that I am the atty. of record for the above named palintiff, and that as su ch I am authorized to make this verification; that I did on the 8 day of April, 1991, send to the above defendant, by Cert. mail, addressee only, ret. rec. req., a true and correct copy fothe divorce compalint filed at the above term and no. and that I did on the 16 day of May, 1991, receive a duly executed ret. rec. dated May 13, 1991.
Dated: May 17, 1991 Brian J. Lindsay, Esq.

June 14, 1991 Consent Custody Order and Order filed. AND NOW this 14 day of June, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing, and the parties hereto having executed same, the foregoing Agreement is entered as an Order of this Court.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J. 2 copies atty.

Aug. 7, 1992 ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE, filed. I, the above named Defendant, hereby accept service of the Complaint in the above action. Larry E. Long

Oct. 23, 1992 Affidavit of Consent by Sherry A.Long, Plaintiff, filed.

Dec 7, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

Dec. 17, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D

Dec. 30, 1992 AND NOW, December 29, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that **S H E R R Y A . L O N G ,** Plaintiff, and **L A R R Y E . L O N G ,** Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

434.

Northwestern Legal Servs.
R.Christopher Brode

Kathleen Nelson

VERLIN GENE MOSS

vs.

ROBIN ANN MOSS

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:

AND NOW, May 3, 1991 the parties herein and their counsel are ordered to appear before WILLIAM PINEO, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR at suc h time and place as the Child Custody Mediator shall determine.

And further, Neither parent shall remove the child from Crawford Countypending further order of court.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Filed May 3, 1991
@ 8:58 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
William Pineo, mediator	102.00
Pro to Al 10/25/91	9.00
Wm. Pineo, mediator	15200
Al to 10/25/91	9.00
Pd to Pl 5-14-99	13.50

10/25/91 Recd $127.00 from Plaintiff now 1, 1991 paid Co. Comm $127.00 (send front drawer)

May 14, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed: AND NOW, May 10, 1991 comes Lisa M. Sherretts being duly sworn according to law, depose and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legal secretary of Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Attorney for Verlin Gene Moss, Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.

2. That on information furnished her by the Plaintiff, she cause a certified copy of the Complaint for Custody to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P 398 123 143; postmarked May 8, 1991, to the last known address of the Defendant, Robin Ann Moss, P.O. Box 198, Harmonsburg, Pa 16422.

3. That the Defendant, Robin Ann Moss, on May 9, 1991, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint for Custody in the above-matter and which is attached hereto.

4. Service of the Complaint for Custody against Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Lisa M. Sherretts

3-30-99 Rec'd from Robin Moss Black 200 re med fee

5-14-99 - Pd to med fee $20 re

5-14-99 Pd Robin Black med refund re 6.50

June 10, 1991 **TEMPORARY ORDER** filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of June, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1.The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child, Emily Ann Moss.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.

3. The mother shall have primary physical custody each week from Sunday at 6:00 p.m. through Saturday at 9:00 p.m. and the father shall have temporary physical custody and visitation from Saturday at 9:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 In addition, the father shall have temporary physical custody and visitation each Wednesday from 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m.

4. The mother has advised the mediator that she intends to move to Illinois as soon as this matter is finally disposed of and the mediator has advised the Court that the circumstances surrounding the move are such that he does not believe it to be in the best interest of the subject minor child. The mediator will therefore schedule further mediation to determine whether or not the mother will insist upon making the move, the custody arrangements to be made if she does, and to further detail the visitation and custodial arrangements between the parties, if she does not so move.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to m ake alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the child in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the child at the home of the other.

7. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from hjis or her child.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor child. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the child is being transported or in the bedroom of the child.

9. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's presence and each party shall instruct h is or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.

11. The parties are free to m ake additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

12. The subject monor child shall not be removed from the Commonwelath of Pennsylvania without further Court order. Under no circumstances is the child to be exposed to the company of one David Valone.

13. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $102.00. The mediator has advised the Court that the mother is receiving public assistance. Her share is therefore assessed to Crawford County. The share of the father is assessed to him as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

14. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novofor thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 434A

Verlin Gene Moss

vs.

Robin Ann Moss

Continued from page 434

of record

A.D. 1991 - 434

June 27, 1991 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of Juen, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child.

2. The parties shall share with each orther any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.

3. Until August 3, 1991, the mother shall have primary physical custody each week from Sunday at 6:00 p.m. through Saturday at 9:00 p.m. and the father shall have temporary physical custody and visitation from Saturday at 9:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m. In addition, the father shall have temporary physical custody and visitation each Wednesday from 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m.

4. Starting August 3, 1991, the father shall have physical custody for two weeks beginning at 6:00 p.m. and ending at 6:00 p.m. of the second Saturday thereafter, August 17. The mother shall have physical custody from 6:00 p.m. of August 17 through 6:00 p.m. of the second Saturday thereafter, August 31. The parties shall thereafter alternate the two-week custodial periods. The party not having physical custody shall have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody each Tuesday and Thursday from 9:00 a.m. to noon.

5. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Christmas holiday visitation periods, the first beginning Christmas Eve at noon and ending Christmas Day at noon and the second beginning Christmas Day at noon and ending Christmas Day at 8:00 p.m. For Christmas, 1991, the m other shall have the first period and the father, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

b. Thanksgiving holiday visitation shall be arranged as follws:

There shall be two Thanksgiving holiday visitation periods, the first beginning Thanksgiving Day at 9:00 a.m. and ending Thanksgiving Day at 2:00 p.m. and ending Thanksgiving Day at 8:00 p.m. For Thanksgiving 1991, the father shall have the first period, and the mother, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods.

c. The other holidays to be observed are New Years Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, and Easter. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch the fireworks. The father shall have visitation for Independence Day 1991 and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

d. The children shall spend Father's Day with their father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with their mother, during the same hours.

e. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

6. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall motify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

7. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the child in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the child at the home of the other.

8. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child.

9. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor child. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health or growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the child is being transported or in the bedroom of the child.

10. Any persofsn transporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

11. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.

12. Neither party shall expose the subject minor child to the company of persons of the opposite sex to whom she or he is not related by blood or marriage between the hours of 9:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m.

13. The parties are to arrange for counseling for themselves to help them accept and work with their status as separated parents and to cooperate in the rearing of the subject minor child. The parties shall see if they can agree on the identity of the counselor. If they cannot agree within one month from the date of this order, then they are directed to seek counseling through Community Mental Health Service sof the Meadville Community Hospital.

14. The parties are free to m ake additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

15. Either party may request a review of this Order two years from date hereof to make any adjustments.

16. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00. The mediator notes for the Court that the mother is receivisng public assistance. Her share is therefore assessed to Crawford County. The share of the father is assessed to him as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

17. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 28, 1991 copy in boxes of Attys. Pineo, Kirkpatrick and K. Nelson. Sent copy to Plf. and Dft.

July 8, 1991 Motion For Trial De Novo and Order filed. AND NOW, this 8 day of July, 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion for Trial De Novo, a hearing is set for the 30 day of Aug., 1991, at 9:00 a.m. o'clock .m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT,
P R Thomas, S.J.

July 9, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Kirkpatrick, Nelson & Pineo's box.

July 24, 1991 Motion for continuance of Mr. Pineo's June 7th custody recommendations and Order filed. Motion denied.

July 24, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. William Pineo, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick and Kathleen Nelson.

July 29, 1991 Motion To Withdraw Appearance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 29 day of July, 1991, upon presentation of the herein MOtion, Defendant's counsel, Kathleen R. Nelson, is hereby authorized to withdraw her appearance on behalf of the Defendant in the above captioned matter.

P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

July 29, 1991 Copies put in box for Atty.s Nelson and Kirkpatrick

CONTINUED TO PAGE 434B

Verlin Gene Moss

vs.

Robin Ann Moss

Continued from page 434A

of record

A.D. 1991 - 434

Aug. 22, 1991 Appearance of Northwestern Legal Services by R. Christopher Brode, for Defendant.

Aug. 22, 1991 MOTION TO CONTINUE and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 21st day of Aug, 1991, upon Petitioner's Motion for Continuance, it is hereby Ordered that the hearing presently scheduled for Aug. 30, 1991 at 9:00 a.m. is continued to Sept. 23, 1991, at 9:30 a.m. Gordon R. Miller
Aug. 27, 1991 copy in box for Attys. M.Kirkpatrick and R.C.Brode

Aug. 22, 1991 Certificate of Service of Motion to Continue and Order, filed.

Sept. 9, 1991, Certificate of Service of Motion to Continue and Order filed.

Sept. 25, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Sept. 23, 1991, at the time set for de novo hearing, the Court notes the parties are temporarily complying with the Order entered June 26, 1991. However, the father intends to move about a mile away and to procure babysitters for the child to accommodate his working schedule.

The parties are in parental counseling at the Mental Health Center and both intend to continue said counseling. However, apparently because of stressful situations created when teh parties undergo joint counseling, the immediate future counseling will be on an individual basis with each parent.

The father continues full time employment working second shift and the mother is unemployed at this time but diligently seeking employment.

In view of the fact the basis of this custodial dispute appears to rest in the antagonistic personalities of the parties when they attempt to make joint decisions in the best interest of the child. Accordingly, the Court believes that it is not appropriate for the Court to hold a final hearing on the case at this time and to accord the parties the opportunity to amicably resolve their differences. The matter may be further compounded if the mother secures full or part time employment as she is seeking.

Accordingly, this case is continued generally and both parties are urged to continue the present counseling they are engaged in and if the parties are unable to reach a satisfactory custody and visitation agreement of a permanent nature, either party may petition the Court for de nove hearing after Dec. 1, 1991. In the meantime, the aforesaid Order of June 26, 1991 shall guide the custody and visitation of the child except the father agrees that he will provide all transportation necessary to accommodate the motehr's visitation in view of the fact that she lacks her own transportation and must borrow relatives cars to procure transportation.

Each of the parties mutually agree that they will keep the other advised of the name, address and telephone numbers of babysitters used for temporary custody of the child while in their possession.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Sept. 26, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Christopher Brode, William Pineo.

Mar. 30, 1999
You, Verlin Moss , have been sued in Court to obtain Custody of the child(ren): Emily

You are Ordered to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator John Spataro , Esquire for a mediation conference. The Mediator shall send you a Notice of the Hearing my mail to the address listed onthe complaint or petition that has been filed recently.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitaiton may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE, OF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE TH EOFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990

The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County is required by law to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. For information about accessible facilities and reasonable accommodations available to disabled individuals having business before the Court, please contact the Court Administrator's Office. All arrangements must be made at least 72 hours prior to any hearnig or business before the Court. You must attend the scheduled conference or hearing.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Date: 3-31-99

Apr. 9, 1999 Petition For Modification Of Custody, Petition To Be Excused From Attending Seminar For Separating Parents and Orders AND NOW, March 31, 1999, upon receipt and review of Petitioner's request to be excused from attending the Seminar For Separating Parents, said peittion is denied.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

AND NOW, this 31st day of MARCH ,1999 it is ordered and decreed that the parents in this matter shall satisfactorily complete the Seminar for Separating Parents in accordance with Crawford County Civil Rule L1930. Applications for the seminar may be obtained at the Center for Family Services, Inc. at 213 Center Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335; the Prothonotary at the Crawford County Courthouse; or the Court Administrator at the Crawford County Courthouse.

Application for attendance at the seminar must be made by the plaintiff or petitioner within fifteen (15) days after the pleading or petition is filed; and by the defendant or respondent within fifteen (15) days after he or she is served with the pleading or petition.

THE SEMINAR MUST BE COMPLETED WITHIN FORTY-FIVE (45) DAYS AFTER APPLICATION. FAILURE TO ATTEND THE SEMINAR MAY RESULT IN SANCTIONS, INCLUDING CONTEMPT OF COURT, A DISMISSAL OF THE ACTION OR A DELAY IN THE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS ATTENDANCE IS WAIVED BY ORDER OF COURT.

If either party has any questions about this seminar he/she should consult an attorney or refer to the Crawford County Civil Rules of Court that are available in the Prothonotary's office and in the Crawford County Law Library or contact the Center for Family Services, Inc.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller

Continued on page 4346

434-C

1991

S30410

Verlin Gene Moss
vs.
Robin Ann Moss

Record continued from page 434 B
of record
A.D. 1991 - 434

****

Amended Order and Notice

AND NOW, this 9th day of April ,1999 it is ordered and decreed that the parents in this matter shall satisfactorily complete the Seminar for Separating Parents in accordance with Crawford County Civil Rule L1930. Applications for the seminar may be obtained at the Center for Family Services, Inc. at 213 Center Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335; the Prothonotary at the Crawford County Courthouse; or the Court Administrator at the Crawford County Courthouse.

Application for attendance at the seminar must be made by the plaintiff or petitioner within fifteen (15) days after the pleading or petition is filed; and by the defendant or respondent within fifteen (15) days after he or she is served with the pleading or petition.

THE SEMINAR MUST BE COMPLETED WITHIN FORTY-FIVE (45) DAYS AFTER APPLICATION. FAILURE TO ATTEND THE SEMINAR MAY RESULT IN SANCTIONS, INCLUDING CONTEMPT OF COURT, A DISMISSAL OF THE ACTION OR A DELAY IN THE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS ATTENDANCE IS WAIVED BY ORDER OF COURT.

If either party has any questions about this seminar he/she should consult an attorney or refer to the Crawford County Civil Rules of Court that are available in the Prothonotary's office and in the Crawford County Law Library or contact the Center for Family Services, Inc.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 9, 1999 Amended Notice and Order To Appear filed.

You, Verlin Moss , have been sued in Court to obtain Custody of the child(ren): Emily

You are Ordered to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator John Spataro , Esquire for a mediation conference. The Mediator shall send you a Notice of the Hearing my mail to the address listed onthe complaint or petition that has been filed recently.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitaiton may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE, OF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE TH EOFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990

The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County is required by law to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. For information about accessible facilities and reasonable accommodations available to disabled individuals having business before the Court, please contact the Court Administrator's Office. All arrangements must be made at least 72 hours prior to any hearnig or business before the Court. You must attend the scheduled conference or hearing.

BY THE COURT,
Date: April 9, 1999
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 14, 1999 Order filed. And Now, this 12 day of May 1999 after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The mother, Robin Flack shall have exclusive legal and physical custody of the subject minor child, Emily Flack.
2. Costs and Fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $120.00 ($60.00/hr x 2 hrs.) and the $13.50 Court Order filing fee are to be paid by the mother.
3. The deposit required for the appointment of the Custody Mediator was made by the mother. The deposit shall first be applied to the entire cost of the mediation and the remainder of $66.50 shall be remitted to the mother within 30 days of the date of this Order.
4. The provisions of this Order supercede all previous orders.
5. Either party may move the Corut for hearing de novo within 20 days from the date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considred permanent.

By the Court
Gordon R. Miller, J.

5/14/99 Faxed Spataro 5/17/99 Mailed Pltf & Deft.

1991

Lawrence C. Bolla
Quinn, Gent, buseck
and Leemhuis, Inc.

435.

NATIONAL FUEL GAS
DISTRIBUTION CORPORATION

vs.

RONALD RHINEBERGER

Filed May 3, 1991
@ 1:17 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00
plff on orig	79.03
Sheriff's Costs	28.80
Pro Co pd 7/5/91	9.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

OF

AD 1987 - 534

Writ of Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendatn in the amount of Three Thousand Eighty and 67/100 Dollars, with interest from June 18, 1987 and costs of action.

Debt ---- $3,080.67
Int. from June 18, 1987
Writ of Revival of Judgment
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Issued (sheriff)

June 21, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. SERVED Ronald Rhineberger onMay 28, 1991 at 12:11 PM by handing copy of Writ of Revival to his Step-son, Fred Dennis, at their residence, 1401 Water Street, Conneautville, Crawford County, PA. 16406

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N .Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, July 5, 1991 at 1:36 pm upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, with Notice of Intention to file defeault judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answers and the damages are assessed to the sum of Three Thousand Eight and 67/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

R-143
judgment entered 7/8/91
notice to entry of judgemnt
mailed 7/8/91

Debt $3,080.67
Int. from June 18, 1987
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Revised to AD1995-320

1991

436.

DEBORAH A. CURRAN
(herself and minor children)

vs.

RICHARD GENE GRINNELL

Filed May 3, 1991
@ 2:29 p.m.

Indigent filing

CERTIFIED COPY OF PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE, IFP AFFIDAVIT, NOTICE, INFORMATION SHEET AND ORDER FROM THE COUURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ERIE COUNTY, PA.

2 copies (plff)
1 copy (county control)

ORDER OF COURT:

AND NOW, to-wit this 2 day of May 1991 upon consideration of the petition for protection from abuse inthe above case, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows:

A final hearing for the petition and respondent will be held on the 10 day of May 1991 at 9:15 a.m. in Courtroom No. 5, Erie county Court House, Erie, Pa.

Pending said hearing it is ordered that:

a. Temporary custody is grnated to your petitioner of the parties one minor child, Melissa.

b. Possession of the premises at 97 Washingtonave. Oil City Pa. is granted to the petitioner for exclusive occupancy by her and her child. Respondent Richard Gene Grinnell is completely excluded from the residence, Respondent shall hereafter have no license to be present on the p remises, and is prohibited from having contact with the petitioner.

c. Respondent, boyfriend, is directed to refrain from threateńing to abuse or physically abusing the petitioner by any act or actions, including both physically and verbally, including but not limited to those children, Melissa and Danielle Curran. 7 mos. and 5 yrs.

d. That the sheriffs dept. or any other police agency within the area of the residence of the parties, including the State police, shall enforce this order by:

1. Serving a cert. copy of this order on the respondent, Richard Gene Grinnell, at --- where the said respondent now resides, if he is present thereon and then escorting him from the premises.

2. If the respondent violates this order, he is to be arrested on the charge of indirect criminal contempt, An arrest for violation of this order may be meade without war rant, based solely on probable cause, whether or not the violation is committed in the presence of the sheriff or police aforesaid or not.

3. If the respondent is placed under arrest for violation of this order, he should be taken before the court. If this court is unabailable, the respondent shall be arraigned before the Dist. Magistrate who shall fix security.

4. an affidavit having been filed pursuant to Sect. 35 P.S. 10184, this petition shall be filed and service shall be made without paymen tof costs.

BY THE COURT,
S/Jess S. Juiliante, Judge of Erie County, Pa.

437.

RENEE' D. DOMINICK

vs.

VINCE E. JENKINS

Filed May 3, 1991
@

Tax & entry Pd 6/3/91 $50.50
state Pd. 6/3/91 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 30.50
Pro Co Pd 6/3/91 #9.00

6/3/91 Sheriff Costs Pd by dft. #30.50

6/3/91 Check No. 6254 in #30.50 to Howard N. Stewart Sheriff

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 3rd day of May, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not go to Plaintiffs residence nor shall he contact the Plaintiff in any way

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for May 14 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 8, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Vince E. Jenkins personally on May 8, 1991 at 8:55 AM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
So answers,

Diane Server, Deputy Sheriff
~~Vince E. Jenkins, defendant~~
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 15, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 14, 1991, at the time scheduled for the hearing in this matter, both parties appeared without counsel, were advised of their right to counsel and both agreed to proceed without counsel.

The Defendant agreed that the Court enter an Order against him even though he does not admit to the matters contained in the petition for he maintains that he will not assault or abuse the Plaintiff in any way and intends to leave her alone.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or the minor child named in the petition.
2. The Plaintiff shall have primary custody of Susan and the parties have agreed that she shall amicably arrange for the Defendant to have reasonable visitation rights during th weekends, holidays and vacations. They are advised that if they cannot work that out between themselves, that one or both of them should bring an appropriate custody or visitation proceeding against the other.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police department in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. This Order shall remain in full force and effect for one (1) year from this date unless modified or terminated by further Order of Court.
6. The Defendant is reminded that this is an Order of Court and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence up to six (6) months.
7. The Defendant shall pay costs in the amount of $95.00 no later than June 4, 1991.

It is noted for the record that the Defendant's mailing address is Box 911, Linesville, PA 16424 and that the Plaintiff's current address for mailing is RD # 2, Box 41A, Linesville, PA 16424.

By Order Of The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 17, 1991 Copy of Order to County Control; copy mailed to plaintiff and defendant.

1991

Barrett and Dratler
Bruce A. Barrett

438.

EMPIRE COMFORT SYSTEM

vs.

NOEL ZIMMERMAN, INDIVIDUALLY AND d/b/a WOODSTREAM MANUFACTURING

Filed May 3, 1991
@ 2:33 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	27.00
Pro Co Pd 11/4/91	9.00
Pro Co pd 11-19-92	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	28.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

June 6, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Noel Zimmerman on May 15, 1991 at 12:51 pM by handing copy of Complaint to Connie Zimmerman, his wife, at RD #6, Wayland Road, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Woodstream Manufacturing on May 15, 1991 at 12:50 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Connie Zimmerman, adult in charge at RD # 6 Wayland Road, Meadville, Crawford Co., PA.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, Oct. 29, 1991 at 10:24 a.m. with 10 day default notice copy attached; Judgment is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants for failure to answer; damages assessed in the amount of Four Thousand One Hundred Eighty One and 63/100 Dollars with int. from Oct. 31, 1990
Judgment entered - 10/29/91
Z - 122
W - 185

Debt -----$4,181.63
Int. from 10/31/90
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

EX1992-7

Nov. 19, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney the above captioned action is satisfied of recored.

Nov. 20, 1992 Sheriff's Return filed. NOT SERVED as per a telephone conversation with Attorney Barrett's Office on November 19, 1992 that the Defendant had paid in full.
So answers, Robert M.Stevens, Sheriff

1991

Joseph F. Kulwicki

439.

DENISE SCRIVENS

vs.

JOHN SCRIVENS

Filed May 6, 1991
@ 9:52 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
State paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 8/28/91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

JUne 6, 1991, Certificate of Service filed. Stephanie Bellas, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is employed by Jos. Kulwicki, Esq. attorney for plaintiff and as suc h on or about May 8, 1991 she placed a cert. copy of the above Div. Complaint in the U.S. mail, addressed to the defendant.

Said Div,.Complaint was mailed cert. mail, restricted delivery, being cert. mail item No. P 262 246 308. The signature card for said cert. mail item was returned to office of Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq. bearing the signature of the dft. as having received same on May 10, 1991. Said signature card is attached hereto and made a part hereof. Stephanie Bellas.

Aug 15, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed

Aug. 27, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Aug. 28, 1991, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for Review, this case moved to court.

Sept. 4, 1991 DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

And now, September 4, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that DENISE SCRIVENS, plaintiff, and JOHN SCRIVENS, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matirmony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Brian J. Lindsay

440.

DONNA LYNN GEHRT

vs.

WILLIAM CHARLES GEHRT

Filed May 6, 1991
10:04 a.m.

Indigent filing
Sheriff's Costs 29.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY/VISITATION

1 copy (atty)

May 6, 1991, Petition by indigent party under Pa. R.C.P. 1920.62 and order filed. ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO PROCEED AS AN INDIGENT PARTY: AND NOW, this 6 day of May 1991, upon consideration fo plaintiff's petition to proceed as a pauper, plaintiff is granted leave to file her complaint in Divorce, and ancillary claims, as an indigent party, and to proceed accordingly untilthe termination of said proceedings without payment of costs and attorney fees thereof. The court reserves the right to direct payment of costs and attorny fees to defendant upon further order.

1 copy (atty)

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. MIller, J.

May 20, 1991 Verification of Service filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, do hereby verify that I am the atty. of record for the above named plaintiff, and that as such I am authorized to make this verification; athat I did on the 8 day of April 1991, send to the above dft. by Cert. Mail, addressee only, ret. rec. req., a true and correct copy of the divorce complaint file at above number; and that I did on the 16 day of May 1991, receive a duly executed ret. rec. signed by L. R. Potter as authorized agent for defendant dated May 10, 1991.

Dated 5/17/91 Brian J. Lindsay, Esq.

June 14, 1991 Consent Custody Order and Order filed. AND NOW, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing, and the parties hereto having executed same, the foregoing Agreement is entered as an Order of this Court.

2 copies atty 6/14/91

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

July 1, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. SERVED Vernon Township Water Authority, at place of business ~~Vernon Township~~ Municipal Office, by handing to Collen Petaruso, Secretary/Treasurer, person in charge, on June 6, 1991 ~~at 11:46 AM~~

SERVED Vernon Township, at place of ~~business~~ Vernon Township Municipal Office, by handing to Collen ~~Petruso, Secretary/Treasurer~~, person in charge, ~~on~~ June 6, 1991 at 11:47 AM

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec 29, 1996 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

Dec 29, 1995 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 12/29/95. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: March 12, 1998

Mar 12, 1998 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC
John V. Pepicelli

441.

DENNIS V. O'DONNELL AND JOAN E. O'ODONNELL, HIS WIFE

vs.

SAEGERTOWN INN COMPANY a/k/a SAEGERTOWN INN CO: a/k/a SAEGERTOWN INN. ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

Filed May 6, 1991
@ 10;LO a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	166.15
Pro Co Pd 7/19/91	9.00

COMPLAINT TO QUIET TITLE

1 copy (atty)

May 6, 1991, Motion for Service byPublication, Affidavit, and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 6 day of May 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion and Affidavit it appearing that the identity and whereabouts of the defendants its successors and assigns are unknown, following diligent search and inquiry, it is hereby ordered that the Sheriff of Crawford County, Pa. mkae service upon the defendant, its successors and assigns, by publication in both the Meadville Tribune and the Crawford County Legal Journal, one time. sAid publications shall include notices requiring the dft. its s uccessors and assigns to file an answer to PLaintiff's Complaint within twenty (20) days after date of publication.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. MIller, J.

1 copy (atty)

June 14, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE filed. I, John V. Pepicelli, hereby certify that I am attorney for the above-named Plts.; that the Complaint to Quiet Title, with notice to plead, was served upon the Defendant, its successors and assigns by publication pursuant to this Honorable Court's Order dated May 5, 1991, in the Cr. Co. Leg. Journal and in the Meadville Tribune, one time, on May 22, 1991 and May 22, 1991, respectively; that since the Complaint was published, Defendant, its successors or assigns have neither entered an appearance nor filed an Answer or responsive pleading of any kind; and that the last day to file an Answer or other responsive pleading was June 11, 1991, I understand that the statements made herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities. John V. Pepicelli

June 14, 1991 MOTION FOR JUDGMENT, FINAL ORDER AND ORDER OF JUDGMENT ENTRY filed. AND NOW, this 16 day of June , 1991, it appearing that the Complaint in the above action has been properly served upon defendant, its successors and assigns, in accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, and that no Answer or other responsive pleading has been filed or Appearance entered by or on behalf of any of the defendant, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the above-mamed defendant, its successors and assigns, are hereby and forever barred from asserting any right, lien, title or interest inconsistent with the respective interests of the Plaintiffs as set forth in their Complaint in all those certain pieces or parcels of land situate in teh Borough of Saegertown, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, bounded and decribed as follows:

FIRST Bounded on the North by land Mary Martha Peadon Eggers; on the East by Water Street; on the South by Renner Alley; and on the West by French Creek. Being two lots having a front-age of 120 feet on Water Street and a depth of 210 feet, more or less, to French Creek.

SECOND Bounded on the North 255.98 feet, m or or less, by Renner Alley; on the East 38.57 feet by Water Street; on the South and in a line parallel to the north boundary hereof a distance of 234.45 feet, more or less, and on the West 39 feet, more or less by French Creek.

Chain of title to said parcel may be found by referring to and as more specifically set forth in Complaint filed in the office of the Prothonotary of Crawford County at the above number and term.

Defendant, its successors and assigns, are hereby forever barred from impeaching,levying or in any way attacking the titles of the Plaintiffs to the aforesaid parcels of land, from ccommencing or maintaining any action attacking the same or from encumbering, mortgaging or conveying the same or any part thereof unless the Defendant, its successors or assigns, shall within thirty (30) days of the date of the entry of this Order file a Petition to open or to strike judgment in this proceedign or bring an action in ejectment against the Plaintiffs.

If no Petition to Open or Strike Judgment is filed within thirty (30) days of this Order, then upon Praecipe of the Plaintiffs, the Prothonotary is directed to enter final judgment against the Defendant, its successors and assigns.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Judgment entered June 14, 1991
S - 184, 184

July 1, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. I, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff of Crawford County, do hereby certify and make return, that I have made publication in the Crawford County Legal Journal, Meadville, PA, a periodical newspaper printed with my bailiwick only once on Wednesday, May 22, 1991, as per copy and proof of said publication attached.

I. Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff of Crawford County, do hereby certify and make return, that I have made publication in the Meadville Tribune, Meadville, PA, a periodical Tribune printed with my bailiwick only once, on Wednesday, May 22, 1991, as per copy and proof of said publication attached.

So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And now, July 18, 1991 at 4:17 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, final judgment is hereby entered in this case, in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendants, pursuant to the foregoing Order of Court.

S-184

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1991

442.

CINDY SPECK

vs.

RANDY SPECK

Filed May 6, 1991
@

Tax & entry Pd 1-16-95 $50.50
state Pd 1-16-95 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 37.50
Praecipe Pd 1-16-95 5.00

1-16-95 Rec'd from Dept Sheriff's Costs $37.50

1-16-95 Pd Sheriff #6801 37.50

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy Plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 6th day of May, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff or children in any way. Defendant shall not go to Plaintiff's residence or Place of work. Temporary custody of the children is granted to Plaintiff
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for May 20, 1991, at P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 8, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Randy Speck personally on May 8, 1991 at 8:02 AM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthoue, Meadville, PA.
So answers,
Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

June 26, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff, this action discontinued as the undersigned does not want to proceed further with it.

1991

Jack E. Grayer

443.

Brian J. Lindsay

CHRISTIAN VEATES

vs.

HELEN A. VEATES

Filed May 6,1991
@ 1:10 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
John Fuller, mediator	150.00
Recording Fee	19.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

2 copies (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER AND NOTICE:

You, Helen A. Veates have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody or visitation of the child, Christian Lee Veates, 18 August 1989 age 1½.

You are ordered to appear in person before John Fuller, child custody mediator, at a time and place to be selected by him.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order or to bring the child, an order for custody partial custody or visitation may be netered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the lawyer's referral servcie 501 Sassafras ST. Erie, Pa. 16507 814 459-3111 to find out where you can get help.

BY THE COURT,
May 6, 1991 Gordon R. Miller, J.

July 10, 1991 **ORDER** filed. AND NOW, July 9, 1991, after mediation conference before John Fuller, ESquire, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS.

1. Legal custody of Christian Lee Veates shall be shared between the father, Christian Veates, and the mother, Helen A. Veates, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part in important decisions affecting the child such as the child's education, medical treatment and religious instruction.

II. Physical custody of Christian Lee Veates shall be with his mother, Helen A. Veates, subject to the following conditioins:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every other weekend, beginning June 28, 1991 from 7:00 p.m. Friday evening until 5:00 p.m. Sunday evening. When the mother is working between October and May, the father shall return the child to the home of the mother at 3:00 p.m. on Sunday afternoon rather than5:00 p.m.

B. The father shall provide all transportation for the child on weekend, holiday and other visits.

C. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter, Thanksgiving and the child's birthday. The mother and father shall share physical custody of the child on the aforesaid four holidays with the mother having custody of the child in the morning and until 1:00 p.m. and the father having custody of the child on those four holidays from 1:00 p.m. until 7:00 p.m.

D. Beginning with the Summer of 1991, the father shall be entitled to have custody of the child continuously for a period of one (1) week, or less. The father shall give the mother at least th irty (30) days noticeoftthe period of time that he expects to exercise this extended Summer visit.

E. Neither mother nor father shall say anything in the presence of the child to turn the child against the other parent but on the other hand, each parent shall do everything in their power to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

F. Neither mother nor father shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child's health or well being.

G. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any serious illness or injury affecting the child.

III. This sorder is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided with the father paying one-half of the cost thereof and the remaining one-half being paid by Crawford County.

Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 12, 1991 copy in boxes of Attys. B.Lindsey & J.Fuller. J.Fuller. Mailed copy to Plf. & Dft. and Atty. Grayer.

444

1991

Ruthanne Beighley

444.

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas W. Ferguson

GWYNNE M. TUTTLE

vs.

JAMES TUTTLE

Filed May 6, 1991
@ 1:20 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro.Co.pd 8/12/92	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

May 24, 1991, General Appearance filed. General Appearance entered in the above divorce action. I hereby accept service of and acknowledge receipt of a copy of the original Complaint in Divorce filed in the above action. James Tuttle.

June 18, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

June 18, 1992 Appearance of Ferguson & Spataro by Douglas W. Ferguson for Defendant filed.

Aug. 12, 1992 Upon Praecipe of Attorney Ruthanne Beighley, Esquire, the above case marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

445.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK
OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

RICHARD J. GERO
DEBORAH L. GERO

Filed May 6, 1991
@ 2:08 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid $9.00 ✓
plff on orig 14.50
(satisfaction of $5.00 paid)

AGREEMENT TO REVIVE

of

DSB 1986 - 516

Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants in the sum of Fifty Three Thousand Dollars plus costs.

Debt --------$53,000.00
Dated--------May 6, 1991
G - 144, 44-----JUDGMENT REVIVAL

James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

May 6, 1991 notice of entry of revival of judgment mailed to defendants.

1991

Brian J. Lindsay

446.

RANDY LYNN LEWIS

vs.

DEBORAH SUE LEWIS

Filed May 6, 1991
@ 2:32 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
paid state	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 11/13/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

May 10, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed: I, Deborah Sue Lewis, the above named Defendant, hereby accept service of a true and correct copy of the Divorce Complaint filed at the above term and number.

Deborah S. Lewis

Date May 6, 1990

Aug. 23, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept 9, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Nov. 13, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Nov. 13, 1991 Upon praecipe of palitniff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Nov. 26, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, November 20, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that RANDY LYNN LEWIS, plaintiff, and DEBORAH SUE LEWIS, defendant are divorced form the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1991

Donald E. Lewis

447.

RONALD L. OVERTON

vs.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Filed May 6, 1991
@ 2:35 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

PETITION FOR WRIT OF SUPERSEDEAS

1 copy (atty)

MOTION AND ORDER OF COURT:
AND NOW, this 6 day of May 1991 upon Motion by the appellant, a hearing is set on said Appeal for the 28 day of August 1991, at 9:00 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of theCrawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

Further, notice of said Appeal and of this order are to be given or mailed forthwith by Appellant's attorney to the appropriate representatives of the Appellee.

Finally, said appeal shall act as a supersedeas to Appellee' suspension of Appellant's operating privileges.

1 copy (atty)

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 6, 1991 Notice of Appeal filed.

Aug. 29, 1991 Motion To Quash Appeal filed.

Aug. 29, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, August 28, 1991, in view ofthe statement made by Mr. Lewis and the comment made by Mr. Kuhar, the Commonwealth's motion to quash is GRANTED.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
J. Quint Salmon, S.J.

Sept. 4, 1991 Copies to Atty's Lewis and Kuhar

1991

Watts & Pepicelli
Alan L. Pepicelli

448.

David W. Pickens

ROBERT TRIOLA

vs.

GLADYS CALDWELL
CLIFFORD KLINKO

Filed May 6, 1991
@ 3:55 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.25
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 6/4/91	5.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF DISTRICT JUSTICE ROBERT J. LEONHART, DISTRICT 30-3-03

May 6, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

May 10, 1991, Proof of Service filed.

May 29, 1991 Appearance of Watts & Pepicelli PC, by Alan L. Pepicelli for Plaintiff

May 31, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

~~Watts and Pepicelli PC~~
~~Christopher D. Ferry~~
Brian J. Lindsay
Pro se

449.

William F. Peters

GUY BURCHILL

vs.

DAVID & EVELYN MANNING

Filed May 7, 1991
@ 11:25 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.25
state paid	5.00
Arb pd 12/4/91	15.00
Pro Co Pd 6/2/93	5.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF ROBERT J. LEONHART, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-3-03

May 7, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

May 10, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

May 20, 1991 Complaint filed. 1 copy (plff)

June 7, 1991 Answer to Complaint filed 1 copy (atty)

Oct. 10, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, October 10th, 1991, comes Guy Burchill, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:
1. That he is the Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
2. That he caused a certified copy of the Complaint in the above matter to be forwarded by Certified Msil No. P 625 307 361, postmarked May 16, 1991, to the last known address of the Defendants, David and Evelyn, at R.D. #1, Box 92-D, Cambridge Springs, Pennsylvania, 16403.
3. That the Defendants, David and Evelyn Manning, on May 29, 1991 personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above matter and which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
4. Service of the Complaint against the Defendants is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.
Guy Burchill

Nov. 27, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff, for Appointment of the Arbitrators, the following Arbitrators are Appointed: Paul D. Shafer, Jr. Ch.
George R. Gray
Terry Toomey

Dec. 19, 1991 Arbitrators Take the Qualifying Oath.

Jan. 8, 1992 I, PAUL D. SHAFER, JR., Chairman of the Board of Arbitrators, do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the Notice of Hearing Before Board of Arbitrators was mailed by Certified U.S. Mail, Return Receipt Requested, to the Plaintiff, Guy Burchill, on Jan. 2, 1992, and was received by him on Jan. 3, 1992 as per the Return Receipt, the original of which is attached hereto.

Jan. 15, 1992 Appearance of Brian J. Lindsay, attorney for plaintiff filed.

Mar. 27, 1992 Motion of Brian Lindsay and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, THIS 23rd. day of March, 1992, upon presentation and consideration, the above Motion is granted. BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Mar. 31, 1992 copy in box for B. Lindsay & W. Peters.

Apr. 8, 1992 Appearance of Watts and Pepicelli PC by Christopher D. Ferry, filed.

Nov. 12, 1992 Affidavit of Service of Hearing Before Board of Arbitrators, filed.

Nov. 18, 1992 decision of Arbitrators filed in which they find in favor of the plaintiff in the amount of $619.71 plus interest at 6% from Feb. 18, 1991 and costs.
M-43-53 Decision of Arbitrators
Nov. 18, 1992 copy of decision given to Atty's Peters and Ferry

May 26, 1993, UPon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

450

1991

450

IN RE:
REPORT OF THE AUDITORS OF CRAWFORD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1990

Filed May 7, 1991
@ 3:59 p.m.

ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF COUNTY OF CRAWFORD

ORDER:
AND NOW, May 1, 1991 upon receipt of the annual Financial Report of the County of Crawford for the year 1990, it is ordred and directed that said report be filed with the Office of Prothonotary of Crawford County with the costs to be paid by Crawford County.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R.Miller

1991

Pepicelli, Youngs

451.

WILLIAM J. BAINBRIDGE AND JOHN W. BAINBRIDGE, CO-ADMINISTRATORS OF THE ESTATE OF JESSIE G. SMITH, DECEASED, AND MARGARET U. SMITH

vs.

AETNA CASUALTY & SURETY COMPANY

Filed May 7, 1991
@ 4:14 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 6/24/91	6.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

May 15, 1991 Petition For Approval of Settlement In a Death Case and Order filed. AND NOW, May 15, 1991 upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petition for Approval of Settlement in a Death Claim, it is ORDERED AND DECREED as follows:

1. The uninsured motorist settlement in the amount of one hundred thousand dollars ($100,000.00) is approved and shall be distributed as follows: a. $4,400.00 - To the Estate of Jessie G. Smith, Deceased, in full settlement of the survival claim arising out of Decedent's death.

b. $70,493.75 - To Plaintiff Margaret Y Smith, dependent child of Decedent, in satisfaction of her wrongful death claim arising out of Decedent's death.

c. $25,000.00 - To Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC for legal services in accordance with the attached contingent fee agreements, Exhibits "B" and "C".

d. $106.25 - To Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC for expenses and other out-of-pocket costs in connection with the investigation, evaluation, and negotiation of this claiming and filing of the within Petition, allin accordance with the attached contingent fee agreement, itemized as follows:

1. Accident report form Meadville City Police, August 17, 1991 $5,00
2. Supplemental Accident Report from Meadville City Poice, October 3, 1990 $5.00
3. Medical Reports from Meadville Medical Center October 22, 1990 $10.75
4. Photographs of vehicles and accident scene from Meadville City Police, December 10, 1990 $24.00
5. Fee to file Praecipe for Writ of Summons paid to Crawford County Prothonotary. $55.50
6. Fee to file Praecipe to Settle and Discontinue this action paid to Crawford County Prothonotary. $6.00

TOTAL ----------$106.25

2. Plaintiffs are authorized and directed forthwith to execute the Uninsured Motorist Release in favor of Defendant Aetna Casualty & Surety Company, Exhibit "D".

3. Plaintiffs are authorized and directed forthwith to execute and file a Praecipe to Settle and Discontinue the within action.

4. Plaintiffs are authorized and directed to perform all other acts necessary to effectuate the within-approved settlement.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

May 16, 1991 Copy of order put in Christopher Youngs box.

June 20, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the within action is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Kathleen R. Nelson

452.

~~Brian Lindsay~~ Withdrew

ERIC P. ALLEN

vs.

MARSCHELLIA A. ALLEN

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, AND CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

Filed May 8, 1991
@ 11:09 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	15.00
John Fuller, Mediator	150.00
	9.00
	9.00
	9.00

11/21/91 Recd $ 75.00 From ~~DEFENDANT~~ PLAINTIFF

Recd 12-2-91 $75.00 Co. Comm.

Received from Plaintiff 5-20-92 mediation dep. $150 in

2-1-96 Rec'd from deft for oct91CD. 75.00

2-12-96 Pd Co 150.00 #9772

May 17, 1991 Affidavit ofService filed. Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of herknowledge, information and belief.

1. That the affiant is the atty. for the plaintiff in the above action.

2. that a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Notice were sent by reg. mail to the dft. Marschellia A. Allen on May 9, 1991.

3. The reg. mail was sent to dft. at 1041 Liberty St. Meadville Pa. 16335. Sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the dft. received said reg. mail on May 13, 1991 Said ret. rec. card P 848 678 438 is attached hereto, signed by Marschellia Allen and made a part hereof. Kathleen R. Nelson Esq.

August 5, 1991 MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF CUSTODY MEDIATOR AND ORDER filed. AND NOW, August 5th, 1991, John Fuller is hereby appointed Child Custody Mediator in the above-captioned matter. P. Richard Thomas,S.J.
Copy given to the Atty. and Mediator

2-12-96 2-14-96

Oct 23, 1991, Temporary Order filed: AND NOW, October 21, 1991, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Jason Allen and Jordan Allen, shall be shared between the father, Eric P. Allen, and the mother, Marschellia A. Allen, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such as the children's medical treatment, education and religious instruction.

II. Physical custody of Jason Allen and Jordan Allen shall be with their mother, subject to the following conditions:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody the first, second and fourth weekends of each month, from 5:00 p.m. Friday evening until 5:00 p.m. Sunday evening. The father shall provide transportation of the children on weekend visits to and from the home of the mother. When custody of the children is being exchanged, there shall be no angry confrontations between the parents.

B. The first, second and fourth weekends shall be defined as those weekends on which the first, second and fourth Fridays of each month occur. The first weekend visits shall begin Friday, Sepember 27, 1991 at 5:00 p.m.

C. In addition to weekend visits, the mother is encouraged to allow the father to take the children from time to time for brief visits during the week so long as such visits do not interfere with the children's school work or bedtimes.

D. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. The children shall be in the custody of the father every Christmas Eve from 2:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m. and in the custody of their mother every Christmas Day. On even numbered years the children shall be in the custody of their mother on Easter Sunday and in the custody of their father on Thanksgiving Day and on odd numbered years the children shall be in the custody of their father on Easter Sunday and in the custody of their mother on Thanksgiving Day.

E. Beginning with the Summer 1992, the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody for an extended period, not to exceed two (2) weeks. The father shall given the mother at least thirty (30) days notice of the two (2) weeks Summer vacation period.

F. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and lovaing attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

G. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

H. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding one or both of the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided between the parties.

P. Richard Thomas, S. Judge.

Oct 29, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Fuller, Nelson and Lindsay's boxes and copies mailed to Plaintiff and defendant.

May 12, 1992 Petition for Contempt and Order filed. AND NOW, May 11, 1992, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing petition, a Rule is entered upon the respondent to show cause, if any he has, why the prayer of the petitioner should not be granted.

THIS RULE IS RETURNABLE on May 21, 1992 in Courtroom No. 1or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsyvania at 1:30 o'clock P.M.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 12, 1992 copy of Order to: Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq., Brian Lindsay, Esq. & John Fuller, ESq.

May 20, 1992 Petition For Review Of Custody Order By Mediator and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 15th day of May, 1992, upon consideration of the within Petition, this matter is referred back to John Fuller, Esquire, Custody Mediator.

By The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 20, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. Kathleen Nelson, Brian LIndsay and John Fuller.

May 26, 1992 ORDER FILED. AND NOW, May 21, 1992, this matter is before the Court on a narrow issue regarding Defendant's petition to ask the Court to hold the Plaintiff in contempt due to the fact that the minor child Jason Allen has been inthe custody of the Plaintiff since April 5, 1992 contrary to the custody Order entered on October 21, 1991 after mediation.

It appears at this time there has been a petition for review of the custody Order entered by the Mediator which petition was filed by the Plaintiff and a mediation conference has not been set, although by Order of the Honorable Gordon R. Miller this matter was referred back to the Mediator on May 15, 1992.

Continued to Page #554.

1991

Phillips & Galanter
Bret J. Southard

453.

VIRGIL D. COTTERMAN

vs.

JAMES EMIG

Filed May 8, 1991
@ 1:13 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	21.00
Pro Co Pd. 6-12-92	5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

June 6, 1991 **Sheriff's Return** filed. SERVED James Emig personally on May 15, 1991 at 1:08 PM at his residence, R.D. #6, Box 652, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 12, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff attorney the above-captioned case is settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Yurcheshen& Baggett
J. Michael Baggett

454.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Charles J. Swick
Jeffrey K. Millin

Lisa Pepicelli Youngs
for dft. C.C. Ind. Dev.
Auth.

RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION, CONSERVATOR FOR COLONY FEDERAL SAVINGS BANK, AND PENNWOOD SAVINGS ASSOCIATION

vs.

CRAWFORD COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, ED KAU DEVELOPERS, ED KAUFMAN AND WILLIAM M. DeARMENT

Filed May 8, 1991
@ 2:05 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	37.00
Sheriff's Costs	50.00
Pro.Co.pd 9/29/94	5.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

1 copy (atty)

June 20, 1991 Preliminary Objection of Defendant Ed Kau Developers and Ed Kaufman filed.

June 24, 1991 Amended Preliminary Objection of Defendants Ed Kau Developers and Ed Kaufman, field.

June 24, 1991, Preliminary Objections of Defendant Crawford County Industrial Development Authority, filed.
1 copy (atty)

July 1, 1991 SHERIFF;S RETURN filed. SERVED Edward Kaufman, June 5, 1991 at 12:55 PM, at his placed of business, 1103 Park Ave., Meadville, Crawford County, PA, by handing to Sharon Biggs, Office Manager, person in charge.

SERVED Ed Kau Developers, June 5, 1991 at 12:56 PM, at place of business 1103 Park Ave., Meadville, Crawford County, PA, by handing to Sharon Biggs, Office Manager, person in charge.

SERVED Crawford County Industrial Development Authority, June 5, 1991 at 1:05 PM, by handing to Chris Youngs, for Lisa Youngs, Solicitor, at 363 Chestnut St., Meadville, Crawford County, PA

so answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 15, 1991 Complaint Reinstated.

Aug. 13, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Crawford County Industrial Development Authority on July 17, 1991 at 3:10 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Marjorie B. Smith, Chairman, personally at her residence, 894 Ernst Place, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Ed Kaufman personally on Aug. 6, 1991 at 9:31 AM in the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.

SERVED Ed Kau Developers on Aug. 6, 1991 at 9:32 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Ed Kaufman, partner, in the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Diane Severo, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 16, 1991 Preliminary Objections Of Defendants, Ed-Kau Developers and Ed Kaufman filed.

Aug. 28, 1991, Preliminary Objections of Defendant Crawford County Industrial Development Authority, filed. 2 copies (atty)

Oct 15, 1991 Response to Preliminary Objections Filed by Defendant Crawford County Industrial Development Authority filed.

Oct 15, 1991 Response to Preliminary Objections filed by Defendants Ed Kau Developers and Ed Kaufman filed.

Sep. 29, 1994 Upon Praecipe of J. Michael Baggett, Esquire, the above captioned matter is discontinued and settled of record.

1991

Gary A. Fabian
Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, Gamble and Fabian

455.

Baginski & Bashline
Karen L. Hughes

VALERIE A. SAUER, FORMERLY
VALERIE A. SHELATZ

vs.

MOLDED FIBER GLASS TRAY CO.

Filed May 8, 1991
@ 3:36 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Pro Co Paid 7-10-91 6.00

SUMMONS IN A CVIIL ACTION

Issued (atty)

May 17, 1991 Accearance and Acceptance of Service filed. I, Karen L. Hughes, esq. do hereby appear in the above captioned matter on behalf of the defendant and do also hereby accept servcie ofthe writ of summons issued inthe above captioned matter. Dated: May 16, 1991 Karen L. Hughes, Esq.

July 10, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Attorney for Plaintiff, the above-captioned matter is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record.

456

1991

Jack, Kookogey &
Felton
Robert J. Felton

456.

TAUNYA N. POST

vs.

GLEN M. POST

Filed May 8, 1991
@

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 11/14/91	9.00
state paid 5-8-91	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

May 31, 1991 I, Robert J. Felton, Esquire, Attorney at Law, 144 West Spring Street, Titusville, PA., do hereby certify that, in my capacity as attorney for the plaintiff in the above-captioned matter, served a certified copy of the Divorce Complaint in this matter on May 18, 1991, by sending defendant the same by certfied mail no. P 297 231 626, return receipt requested. A complaint was mailed to the defendant on Friday, May 17, 1991, as reflected in the receipt for mailing and the return receipt attached hereto.

Robert J. Felton, Esquire

Nov. 12, 1991 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

Nov. 14, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Nov. 14, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Nov. 25, 1991 D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .

AND NOW, November 21, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Taunya N. Post, plaintiff, and Glen M. Post, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matirmony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

Jack, Kookogey & Felton
Robert J. Felton

457.

Mahany, Roeder & Rothschild
Tamera Ochs Rothschild

LISA M. WHITMER

vs.

KEITH E. WHITMER

Filed May 8, 1991
@

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 9-13-93	9.00
STATE Pd 5-8-91	10.00
Pro Co pd 3/10/95	5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

June 19, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Robert J. Felton Esquire, Attorney at Law, 144 West Spring Street, Titusville, Pennsylvania, do her eby certify that, in my capacity as attorney for the plaintiff in the above-captioned matter, served a certified copy of th eDivorce Complaint in this matter on May 31, 1991, by sending defendant the same by certified mail no. P 297 231 625, return receipt requested. A complaint was mailed to the defendant on Friday, May 17, 1991, as reflected in the receipt for mailing and the return receipt attached hereto.

Robert J. Felton

June 28, 1991 Appearance, Mahany, Roeder & Rothschild by Tamera Ochs Rothschild, for defendant filed.

July 19, 1993 Amended Complaint filed.

July 28, 1993 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. I, Robert J. Felt Felton, Esquire, Attorney at Law, 144 West Spring Street, Titusville Pa., do hereby certify that, in my capacity as attorney for the plaintiff in the above-captioned matter, served a certified copy of the Divorce Complaint in this matter on July 24, 1993 by sending defendant the same by certified mail no. P 297 231 688, return receipt requested. A complaint was mailed to the defendant on Friday, July 23, 1993, as reflected in the receipt for mailing and the return receipt attached hereto.

Sept. 13, 1993 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE of Notice of Intention to Request the Entry of a Divorce Decree, filed.

Sept. 13, 1993 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Sept. 24, 1993 AND NOW, September 21, 1993, it is ordered and decreed that LISA M. WHITMER , plaintiff, and KEITH E. WHITMER, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Mar. 10, 1995 Notice Of Election To Retake Maiden Name filed. Lisa M. Whitmer TO BE KNOWN AS: Lisa M. Jackson

458

1991

Timothy J. Lucas

458.

R. Charles Thomas
Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker

ETHEL LARKIN

vs.

GARY SULLIVAN AND CHRISTINE SULLIVAN HIS WIFE

Filed May 9, 1991
@ 12:03 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	29.00
Pro Co Pd 10/29/93	6.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

June 26, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiffs to file Complaint issued.

June 28, 1991 Writ Return filed. SERVED Christine Sullivan personally on May 28, 1991 at 11:04 AM, at RD #4, Box 436, Saegertown, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED Gary Sullivan, on May 28, 1991 at 11:05, by handing to his wife, Christine Sullivan, at their residence, RD #4, Box 436, Saegertown, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, sheriff

Oct. 29, 1993, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned case is settled and discontinued with prejudice, of record.

1991

Bruce L. Smith

459.

HARRY R. CALDWELL

vs.

CHERYL A. CALDWELL

Filed May 9, 1991
@ 12:04 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co. pd 9-25-91	9.00
State pd.	10.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

May 15, 1991, Affidavit of Service filed. Bruce L. Smith, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 10 day of May 1991 he served the Compalint and Notice to defend and Claim Rights in the above matter on dft. by mailing a true and correct copy of said Compalint and notice to dft at her residence at 324½ E./ Main St. Titusville, Pa. 16354, and that the Complaint and notice were received by dft on May 11, 1991 as evidenced by ret. rec. No. P 853 732 321 attached hereto.
Bruce L. Smith Esq.

Sept. 24, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 24, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 24, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for Review under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Oct. 1, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, September 30, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that HARRY R. CALDWELL, plaintiff, and CHERYL A. CALDWELL, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

460

1991

460.

WANDA WILEY

vs.

DENNIS McANDREWS, JR.

Filed May 9, 1991
@ 12:15 p.m.

Tax & entry PA 5/24/91 $50.50
state PA 5/24/91 5.00
Sheriff 45.50
Proc PA 5/24/91 5.00

5/24/91 Received $45.55 From Defendant

5/24/91 Paid $45.50 To Sheriff Dept Hh 6x39

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 9th day of May, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff ~~or any of the minor children named in the Petition.~~
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact the Plaintiff and shall go to her residence.

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for May 15 1991, at 3:30 P M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 17, 1991, ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 15, 1991 after a formal hearing on this petition, the court is not satisfied that the defendant engaged in abuse as that term is defined by the Act, and ac cordingly, the Petition is DISMISSED and the defendant shall pay the court costs to the Prothonotary of Crawford County no later thatn June 15, 1991.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 17, 1991 copy of order to plff, dft. and copy to county control on 5/15/91

May 24, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared John Folga, Deputy, who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 10th day of May, 1991, at 1530 hurs he served Petition for Protection from Abuse and Court Order filed at AD 1991-460, Crawford County, Pa., upon defendant Dennis McAndrew, Jr. and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the Petition received from Crawford County.

John Folga, Deputy Sheriff
Robert N. Michel, Sheriff

SERVED Dennis McAndrew, Jr. by deputizing the Sheriff of Erie County, Pa as per his affidavit of service attached. Served: May 10, 1991.
So answers,

Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Douglas W. Ferguson
Ferguson & Spataro

461.

Jorden & White
Harry Faber White II

KIM CURTIS AND PAM CURTIS

vs.

LARRY MERRITT

Filed May 9, 1991
@ 1:19 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	21.00

COMPLAINT IN ASSUMPSIT

2 copies (sheriff)

May 23, 1991 Defendant's Preliminary Objections filed.

May 31, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Larry Merritt personally on May 10, 1991 at 3:50 p.m. at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.
So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

462

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck,
& Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

462.

SAINT VINCENT HEALTH CENTER

vs.

RANDY MILLER AND CATHERINE
J. MILLER

Filed May 9, 1991
@ 1:27 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	30.50
Pro Co pd 6-4-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

June 11, 1991, Motion for Leave to Serve By Publication in Accordance with Pa. R.C.P. 430, Affidavit and Order filed. order; AND NOW, to-wit, this 12 day of June 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion and Attonrey's Affidavit, leave is granted to serve the Defendants, Randy Miller and Catherine J. Miller, in the within case by publication of a Notice of the facts set forth in the Compalint by publication one time in the Titusville Herald and one time in the Crawford County Legal Journal.
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.
June 17, 1991 copy of order to Atty. Bolla.

June 18, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Not Found. Unknown at Post Office.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Oct. 11, 1991 Motion for Judgment for Failure to Answer and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit, this 10 day of Oct. 1991 upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, and it appearing that service has been made upon the above named defendants, Randy Miller andCatherine J. MIller, pursuant to the Rules of Civil Procedure, and it further appearing that no appearance has been entered, nor answer made to the complaint by or on behalf of the Defendants Randy Miller and Catherine J. Miller, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Prothonotary shall enter judgment against the defendants, Randy Miller and Catherine J. Miller, in the amount of $3,751.95, plus interest thereon until paid in full, plus the costs of this action,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.
Oct. 16, 1991, copy of order to Atty. L. Bolla.

M - 206, 202
Judgment entered 10/11/91

DEbt - $3,751.95
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Returned to AD1995-811

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck
& Leemhuis Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

463.

SAINT VINCENT HEALTH CENTER

vs.

RICHARD J. WOOD

Filed May 9, 1991
@ 1:28 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 7/1/91	9.00
Sheriff's costs	26.63

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

May 31, 1991 SERVED Richard J. Wood personally on May 15, 1991 at 11:31 a.m. at residence, R.D.31, Fisher Road, Conneautville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, June 26, 1991 at 2:17 p.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, with copy of default notice attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the p laintiff and against the defendant for want of an answer, in the sum of Two Thousand Two Hundred Thirty and 34/100 Dollars, plus interest from Apr. 26, 1991 and all costs of suit.
Judgment-entered 6/26/91
W - 297 Debt - $2,230.34
Int. from Apr. 26, 1991
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

July 1, 1991 notice of entry of judgment mailed to defendant.

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck, & Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bollis

464.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF COCHRANTON, PA., NOW BY PURCHASE MARINE BANK

vs.

THOMAS J. HENDERSHOTT AND SUSAN J. HENDERSHOTT

Filed May 9, 1991
@ 1:32 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	43.10
Pro Co pd 7/8/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

June 21, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. SERVED Thomas J. Hendershott personally on May 28, 1991 at 2:35 PM at his place of employment, Otter's Pub, North Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Susan J. Hendershott on May 28, 1991 at 2:36 PM by handing copy of Complaint to her husband and Authorized Agent, Thomas J. Hendershott, at his place of employment, Otter's Pub, North St., Meadville, Crawford County, PA. His signed Acceptance of Service attached.

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, July 5, 1991 at 1:27 p.m. upon praecipe of Plaintiff's attroney, with Notice of Intention to File Default Judgment attached judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Two Thousand Nine Hundred Seventy-nine and 27/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

H-163-153
judgment entered 7/5/91
notice of entry mailed to defendants 7/8/91

Debt $2,979.27
Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

465.

ELIZABETH M. STINEDURF

vs.

CHRISTOPHER H. STINEDURF

Filed May 9, 1991
@ 3:26 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	15.00
Pro Co pd 9/18/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE
CUSTODY, AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

June 7, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, despose and say that I did on May 20, 1991 mail to the above Defendant, Christopher H. Stinedurf, by certified mail return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a Complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received on May 22, 1991, as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Attorney

June 24, 1991 Stipulation and Consent Custody Order filed. AND NOW, this 21 day of June, 1991, the court orders as follows:

1. The Plaintiff, Elizabeth M. Stinedurf, mother ofthe two minor children; Christa Marie Stinedurf, age three and a half (3½), and Chelsea Leann Stinedurf, age one and a half (1½), shall have primary legal and physical custody of said minor children.

2. The father, Christopher H. Stinedurf, shall have temporary custody of the children as follows:

a. Every other weekend, beginning the weekend of June 28, 1991, from 7:00 o'clock p.m. Friday until 7:00 o'clock p.m. Sunday.

b. Father shall have the children for each Christmas Eve from 7:00 p.m. on December 23rd to 7:00 p.m. on December 24th, returning the children to the mother, who shall keep the children from return time through CHristmas Day. If Christmas Day happens to fall on father's weekend, the children shall be made available to the father at 12:00 o'clock noon December 26th, and the children will be with their mother from December 24th at 7:00 p.m. till December 26th at noon.

3. The holidays shall be alternated, with the hours of holiday visitation to be from 10:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m., with said holidays to consist of the following, plus the children's birthdays: Memorial Day, July 4th, August 6th, August 14th, Labor Day, Thanksgiving day, and Easter Sunday. Holidays and Christmas visitations shall take precedence over normally scheduled custody and temporary custody schedules.

4. Father shall be entitled to have the children one full week at summertime, or for a one week vacation at some other time during the year, as long as said vacation week does not conflict with mother's holiday or weekend visits, or the children's school. Father shall give mother at least thirty days advance notice of the time he wishes to have the children from said vacation week, and said notice shall be given formfather to mother directly.

5. Father shall provide transportation to and form each visit. During times when the children are transported they shall be secured safely within any vehicle.

6. Each parent shall promptly notify th eother parent hereto, of any serious injury or illness on the part of either child.

7. Each parent shall keep the other parent informed of any change of address or telephone number, within twenty-four hours of said change, or in advance if possible. The parties hereto acknowledge that the children are living in Crawford County, Pennsylvania. Crawford County, Pennsylvania shall be deemed to have jurisdiction in regard to custody matters involving the children.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 26, 1991 Copy of order to Joan Mason

Aug. 21, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 21, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 16, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under 201(c) ofthe Divorce Code, this case moved to court

Sept. 23, 1991 **S T I P U L A T I O N A N D D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 19th day of September, 1991, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. **DIVORCE:** Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce form the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed form the date of the filing of the complaint, and an affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. **DEBTS:** Defendant, Christopher H. Stinedurf shall assume responsibility for the following debts: Sears approximate balance $657.00; Discover, aproximate balance $1,566.03; J.C. Penney, approximate balance $650.00; Sharon Beneral Hospital (for expenses relating to Elizabeth Stinedurf and child, Christa, in connection with Christa's delivery), approximately $900.00.

3. **TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY, TAX REFUND:** **A.** Vehicles: Christopher H. Stinedurf shall retain the Plymouth Horizon automobile, and pay any debt owed thereon. **B.** The parties hereto have divided the household items, furniture, appliances and furnishings, to their mutual satisfaction, and each shall retain those items which he or she now has. **C.** The parties expect to receive approximately $435.00 as a tax refund, from their 1988 joint filing withthe IRS. said refund shall be applied in full to the costs of this action, to be paid to Mason and Mason Attorneys.

4. **WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS:** Neither party hereto shall require th eother party hereto to file an inventory and appraisement as would otherwise have been required by the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Each party is aware of the debts and assets acquired during the marriage.

5. **WAIVER OF CLAIMS:** Neither party hereto shall make any claim against the other party hereto, except for enforcement of the rights and responsibilities set forth in this decree.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

September 18, 2003 See Computer for further entries.

466

1991

David R. Devine

466.

NEIL B. MILLIGAN AND PATRICIA MILLIGAN, HIS WIFE

vs.

LEVI F. TAYLOR AND CORA A. TAYLOR, HIS WIFE

Filed May 10, 1991
@ 9:31 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50✓
state paid 5.00

Pro Co Pd 8-13-91 9.00

COMPLAINT IN ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

3 copies (atty)

May 10, 1991 Petition for Order of Service by Publication in Quiet Title Action, Verification and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 10 day of May, 1991 upon consideration of the attached Petition, it is hereby ordered that Defendants, Levi F. Taylor and Cora A. Taylor, his wife, be served by oen publication in a newspaper of general circulation in Erie County and one publication in the Crawford County Legal Journal, pursuant to Pa. RCP ¶430.

P. Richard Thomas J.

June 26, 1991 Petition for Order in Action to Quiet Title and Order filed: AND NOW, this 25 day of June, 1991, upon consideration of the attached Pettion and above-referenced Action to Quiet Title, it is hereby ordered that Defendants, Levi F. Taylor and Cora A. Taylor, and all persons claiming through Defendants, commence an Action in Ejectment within Thirty (30) days; or,

1. be forever barred from asserting any right, title, lien, or interest in the premises herein described;
2. to have Plaintiff's title to the premises herein described to be declared good, marketable, valid and indefeasible against defendants and all others for all time;
3. the Defendants shall be forever barred from claiming any interest in the herein described real estate inconsistent with the interest or claim of the Plaintiffs;
4. enter a final judgment that the Deed delivering the title to the Plaintiff and dated the 27th day of February, 1991, and recorded the 27th day of February, 1991, in the Crawford County Recorder of Deed's Office in Record Book 113 at Page 538, be declared binding and valid on the Defendants.

P. R. Thomas, S.J.

June 28, 1991 Copy of order mailed to Atty. Devine.

AND NOW, August 13, 1991 @ 11:23 a.m. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney final judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiffs and against the defendants pursuant to the Order of June 25, 1991 as an Action of Ejectment has not been commenced within 30 days of the date of that Order.

T-214, 215

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Aug 13, 1991 Judgment entered.

1991

Carney and Good John R. Wingerter 467.	CONNEAUT LAKE ENTERPRISES, INC. vs. JOHN T. FLYNN, CHARLES W. FLYNN, JOHN T. FLYNN, JR., MARY BURKE BABYAK, AND ASSOCIATED GAMES COMPANY, A PENNSYLVANIA GENERAL PARTNERSHIP Filed May 10, 1991 @ 11:40 a.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.50 state paid 5.00 Pro Co Pd 6/25/91 6.00	COMPLAINT - CIVIL ACTION 1 copy (atty) June 25, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.

468

1991

MacDonald, Illig,
Jones & Britton
Susan Fuhrer Reiter

468.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK
OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

LUCY P. GILLINGHAM, JR.

Filed May 10, 1991
@ 11:55 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	21.00
Pro Co pd 7/8/91	9.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

June 20, 1991 **SHERIFF'S RETURN** filed. SERVED Lucy P. Gillingham, Jr. on May 28, 1991 at 10:28 AM by handing copy of Complaint to her daughter, Toni Gillingham, at their residence, R.D. #6, Box 758, Meadville, Crawford County, PA
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Chief Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, July 8, 1991 at 1:12 pm upon praecipe of plaintiff's atorney, with Notice of Intention to file default Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the sum of Twenty-seven and Sixty-seven and 16/100 plus interest and cost of suit.

G-101
judgment entered 7/8/91
notice of entry of judgment
mailed 7/9/91

Debt $27,067.16
May 8, 1991 (Int. from)
Judgment
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

Ex 1991-58

1991

Mahany, Roeder & Rothschild

Tamera Ochs Rothschild

469.

Shafer,Swick,Bailey, Irwin & Stack
James R.Irwin

WILMA J. EHRHART

vs.

LeROY R. EHRHART

Filed May 10, 1991
@ 1:30 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
3 counts paid	45.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	20.00
Pro. Co. pd 11/22/94	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF MARITAL PROPERTY, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE COUNSEL FEES, COSTS, AND SPECIAL RELIEF

2 copies (atty)

May 15, 1991 Certificate of Service filed. On May 14,1991, I mailed a copy of Divorce Compalint by cert. mail No. P. 899 496 ret. rec. req. Restricted Delivery, postage prepaid to dft. LeRoy r. Ehrhart, addressed as follows: LeRoy R. Ehrhart R. D. #2 Box 301, Spartansburg, Pa. 16334. The receipt for Cert. Mail is attached hereto and marked Ex. A.
Tamera Ochs Rothsc hild, Esq.

May 23, 1991 Affidavit of Return of Service By Mail filed. On May 14, 1991, I mailed a true copy of th edivorce Complaint in the above captioned matter by Certified Mail No. P 899 145 497 Restricted Delivery to the Defendant, LeRoy R. Ehrhart. Defendant si gned a return receipt upon delivery May 16, 1991. The receipt attache dhereto and marked as "Exhibit A" was returned by the post office on May 16, 1991.
I make these statements pursuant to 18 Pa.C.S. Sec. 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities and understand that false statements may subject me to criminal penalties under that statute.
Tamera Ochs Rothschild

Dec. 13, 1991 Inventory of Plaintiff filed.

Jan. 27, 1992 MOTION TO WITHDRAW FROM COUNSEL, CONSENT and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 24th day of January, 1992, after due consideration of the attached Motion to Withdraw from Counsel and the Consent of LeRoy R. Ehrhart, the defendant; it is hereby ordered that Daniel J. Kuhn, Esq., be and is hereby allowed to withdraw as counsel for defendant. Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Jan. 29, 1992 copy sent to T. Rothschild and D. Kuhn

Nov. 9, 1994, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Nov.15, 1994 Affidavit of Consent by LeRoy Ehrhart, Defendant, filed.

Nov. 22, 1994 Upon Praecipe of James R.Irwin, Esquire, to transmit record,case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Dec. 6, 1994 AND NOW, December 6, 1994, it is ordered and decreed that WILMA J. EHRHART, plaintiff, and LEROY EHRHART, defendant are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that terms, provisions and conditions of a certain marriage settlement agreement between the parties dated November 7, 1994 and attached hereto, are hereby incorporated in this decree and order by reference as fully as though the same were set forth herein at length. Said agreement shall nto merge with, but shall survive this decree and order.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

470

1991

Mahany Roeder
and Rothschild

Tamera Ochs Rothschild

470.

PAULA L. ONGLEY

vs.

DOUGLAS P. ONGLEY

Filed May 10, 1991
@ 1:36 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 8/23/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

2 copies (atty)

May 15, 1991, certificate of Service filed. On May 14, 1991 I mailed a certified copy of divorce complaint in the above matter by cert. mail No. P 899 145 496 Ret. Rec. rEq. Restricted Delivery postage prepaid to dft. addressed as follows: Douglas P. Ongley Box 34, Spartansburg, Pa. 16434. The receitp for Cert. mail is attached hereto and marked exhibit a. tamera Ochs Rothschild, Esq.

May 23, 1991 Affidavit of Return of Service By Mail filed. On May 14, 1991, I mailed a true copy of the Divorce Complaint in the above captioned matter by Certified Mail No. P 899 145 496 Restricted Delivery to the Defendant, Douglas P. Ongley. Defendant signed a return receipt upon delivery May 15, 1991. The receipt attached hereto and marked as "Exhibit A" was returned by the post office on May 15, 1991.

I make these statemetns pursuant to 18 Pa. C.S. Sec. 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities and understand that false statemetns may subject me to criminal penalties under that statute.

Mahany, Roeder & Rothschild
Tamera Ochs Rothschild, Esq.

Aug. 23, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 23, 1991 Affidavit of Consent Under Section 201(c) of the Divorce Code of Defendant filed.

Aug. 23, 1991 UPon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney To Transmist Record, this case moved to court.

Sept. 4, 1991 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, this 4th day of Septembre, 1991, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Paul L. Ongley, Plaintiff, and Douglas P. Ongley, Defendant, are divorced form the bonds of matrimony. No claims have been raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT,
P. richard Thomas, s.j.

1991

Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC
Lisa Pepicelli Youngs

471.

RICHARD E. SCHNARRS AND BARBARA J. SCHNARRS, HIS WIFE, AND C. MICHAEL HANSTINE AND PAULETTE HANSTINE, HIS WIFE

vs.

JANE HOGG McNAMARA, HER HEIRS, SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

Filed May 13, 1991
@ 9:01 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	170.09
Rec'd 7-18-91	900

COMPLAINT - ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

1 copy (atty)

May 13, 1991 Motion for Service by Publication, Affidavit and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 13 day of May 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion and Affidavit, it appearing that the identity and whereabouts of the defendants, their heirs, successors and assigns are unknown, following diligent search and inquiry, it is hereby ordered that the Sheriff of Crawford County,Pa. make service upon the defendants, their heirs, suc cessors and assigns, by publication in both the Meadville Tribune and the Crawford County Legal Journal, one time. Said publications shall include notices inquiring the defendant, their heirs, successors and assigns to file an Answer to Plaintiffs' Compalitn within Twenty (20) days after date of publication.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

May 13, 1991 copy to Atty L. Youngs.

June 14, 1991 Affidavit of Servcie filed. I, Lisa Pepicelli Youngs, hereby certify that I am attorney for the above-named Plaintiffs' that the Complaint to Quiet Title, with notice to plead, was served upon the Defendants, their heirs, executors and assigns by publication pursuant to this Honorable Court's Oder dated May 13, 1991, in the Crawford Co. Legal Journal and in the Meadville Tribune, one time, on May 22, 1991 and May 22, 1991, respectively; that since the Complaint was published, Defendants, their heirs, successors or assigns have neither entered an appearance nor filed an Answer or responsive pleading of any kind; and that the last day to file an Answer or other responsive pleading was June 11, 1991. I understand that the statements made herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Lisa Pepicelli Youngs

June 14, 1991 Motion For Judgment and Final Order And Order of Judgment Entry filed. AND NOW, this 16 day of June 1991, it appearing that the Complaint in the above action has been properly served upon all defendants, their heirs, successors and assigns, in accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, and that no Answer or other responsive pleading has been filed or Appearance entered by or on behalf of any of the defendants, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that the above-named defendants, their heirs, successors and assigns, re hereby and forever barred from asserting any right, lien, title or interest inconsistent with the respective interests of the Plaintiffs as set forth in their Complaint in all that certain piece or parcel of land situate in East Mead Township, Crawford County, PA. bounded and described as follows:

A. All that certain piece or parcel of land situate in East Mead Township, Crawford Co., PA., bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

BEGINNING at a point of intersection between the south line of Township Route 517 and the east line of Route 27, which said point is marked by an iron pin; thence North 64 degrees 43 minutes 9 seconds East along the south line of Route 517 a distance of 278.32 feet to a point, marked by an iron pin; thence South 22 degrees 28 minutes 21 seconds East along other land of Richard E. Schnarrs and Barbara J. Schnarrs, a distance of 268.73 feet to a point, marked by an iron pin; thence South 66 degrees 51 minutes 39 seconds West a distance of 270 feet to a point, marked by an iron pin, in the EAst line of Route 27; thence North 22 degrees 28 minutes 21 seconds West along the East line of Route 27, a distance of 167.90 feet to a point, marked by an iron pin; thence continuing along the East line of Route 27 on a line with a radius of 514.10 feet a distance of 90.81 feet to the point and place of beginning.

B. All that certain piece or parcel of land situate in East Mead Township, Crawford Co., PA., bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

On the north by lands now or formerly of L.S. Wagner Estate and lands now or formerly of J.C. Walton Estate;on the east by lands now or formerly of J.C. Walton Estate and lands now or formerly of the William Wagner Estate; on the wouth bya public road and lands now or formerly of D. R. Theuret; and on the west by lands now or formerly of Jacob Roha Estate, containing one hundred seventeen (117) acres and eighty-four (84) perches of land more or less.

EXCEPTING AND RESERVING THEREFROM a parcel of land containing two (2) acres more or less which was conveyed by Mary A. Wehrle et al to James M. Kindervater et ux by a deed dated July 11, 1946 and recorded in Crawford Co. in Deed Book 332, page 499, and by a corrective deed dated May 8, 1948 and recorded in Crawford Co. in Deed Book 344, page 398.

ALSO EXCEPTING AND RESERVING THEREFROM a parcel of land conveyed by Mary A. Wehrle et al to East Mead Volunteer Fire Co. No. 1 and Relief Association of East Mead Township by a deed dated August 2, 1949 and recorded in Crawford Co. Deed Book 351, page 64.

Parcel A is a portion of that larger parcel described as Parcel B.

Chain of title to said parcel may be found by referring to and as more specifically set forth in Complaint filed in the office of the Prothonotary of Crawford County at the above number and term.

Defendants, their heirs, successors and assigns, are hereby forever barred from impeaching, levying or in any way attacking the titles of the Plaintiffs to the aforesaid parcel of land, from commencing or maintaining any action attacking the same or from encumbering, mortgaging or conveying the same or any part thereof unless the Defendants, their heirs, successors or assigns, shall within thirty (30) days of the date of the entry of this Order file a Petition to open or to strike judgment in this processing or bring an action in ejectment against the Plaintiffs.

If no Petition to Pen or Strike Judgment is filed within thirty (30) days of this Order, then upon Praecipe of the Plaintiffs, the Prothonotary is directed to enter final judgment against the Defendants, their heirs, successors and assigns.

By The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 18, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Lisa Pepicelli Youngs.

July 1, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. I, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff of Crawford County, do hereby certify and make return, that I have made publication i n the Crawford County Legal Journal, Meadville, PA, a periodical newspaper printed with my bailiwick only once, Wednesday, May 22, 1991, as per copy and proof of publication attached.

I, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff of Crawford County, do hereby certify and make return, that I have made publication in the Meadville Tribune, Meadville, PA, a tribune printed with in my bailiwick only once, Wednesday, May 22, 1991 as per copy and proof of publication hereto attached.

So. answers,

Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And now, July 18, 1991 at 4:17 p.m. upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, final judgment is hereby entered in this case, in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendants, pursuant to the foregoing Order of Court.

M-93

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1991

Watts & Pepicelli
Alan L. Pepicelli

472.

ROBERT J. SHAY, JR.

vs.

DARLA M. SHAY

Filed May 13, 1991
@ 9:10 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
John Fuller, mediator	150.00
Recording Cost	9.00

COMPLAINT FOR PARTIAL CUSTODY

2 copies (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Darla M. Shay, defendant have been sued in court to obtain partial custody of the children: Robert J. Shay, III and Stacey Renee Shay.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, or otherwise violate this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set for th below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Comm. c/o Prothonotary, Courthouse Meadville, Pa. 16335, Tele: 814 724-4636.

Date: May 13, 1991 BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, J.

May 21, 1991 Certificate of Service filed. Alan L. Pepicelli Esquire, hereby certified that he is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the within action and that a certified copy of the Complaint for Partial Custody was sent to the Defendant, Darla M. Shay, R.D. #4, Box 118, Davis Road, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335 by certified mail, return receipt requested, addressee only, on May 14, 1991 and was received by the Defendant on May 15, 1991. The receipt for certified mail and the return receipt are attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

Alan L. Pepicelli

July 11, 1991 ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, July 10, 1991, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Robert J. Shay, III, and Stacey Renee Shay shall be shared between the father, Robert J. Shay, Jr., and the mother, Darla M. Shay, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part in important decisions effecting athe children such as the children's education, medical treatment and religious instruction.

II. Physical custody of Robert J. Shay, III and Stacey Renee Shay shall be with their mother, Darla M. Shay, subject to the following conditions:

A. In the Summer of 1991, the father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into h is custody one (1) week in July, beginning at 9:00 a.m. on Sunday, July 21, 1991, until Sunday, July 28, 1991 at 5:00 p.m.

B. The father shall be entitled to have the children in h is custody for two (2) weeks in August of 1991, those weeks being from August 4, 1991 at 9:00 a.m. until August 11, 1991 at 5:00 p.m. and from August 18, 1991 at 9:00 a.m. until August 25, 1991 at 5:00 p.m.

C. In the months from September through May, each year, the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody every other Wednesday evening beginning September 11, 1991, from 6:00 p.m. until 8:30 p.m. The parties are encouraged to change this evening visit to another evening if the children's homework or other problems exist.

D. From September through May, each year, the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody every other weekend, beginning September 6, 1991, from Friday afternoon at 5:00 p.m. until Sunday afternoon at 5:00 p.m.

E. The parties have indicated a willingness to agree in the future on Summer visitation rights for 1992 and subsequent years, but in the event they are unable to arrive at an agreement, then the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody for two (2) weeks in June, two (2) weeks in July and two (2) weeks in August, each Summer, with the father provuding the mother at least thirty (30) days notice of which two (2) weeks each month he chooses to have the children. The provisions of this paragraph are ordered without prejudice to the right of either the mother or the father to request a further mediation conference to resolve this Summer visitation problem.

F. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Thanksgiving, Easter, Mother's Day and Father's Day. The children shall be in the custody of the mother on Mother's Day and in the custody of the father on Father's Day. The children's birthdays, Thanksgiving and Easter shall be divided so that the children are in the custody of each parent for some portion of each of the aforesaid holidays and in the absence of an agreement, they shall be in the custody of the mother until 1:00 p.m. on such holidays and in the custody of the father from 1:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m. on such holidays.

In 1991 and odd years thereafter, the children shall be in the custody of their mother on Christmas Eve and Christmas Day until 12:00 Noon and in the custody of the father from 12:00 Noon until 8:00 p.m. In 1992 and even years thereafter, the children shall be in the custody of the father on Christmas Eve from 7:00 p.m.until Christmas Day at 12:00 Noon and in the custody of the mother from 12:00 Noon Christmas Day for the remainder of the day.

In addition to Christmas Day, the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody for a four (4) day period during rthe children's vacation from school. The father shall notify the mother of the four (4) days in which he intends to exercise such custody rights at least thirty (30) days in advance of the Christmas holidays.

G. The father shall provide all transportation in connection with the weekend, weeknight, holiday and other visits.

H. The father shall be entitled to h ave reasonable telephone privileges with the children.

I. Neither mother nor father shall say anything in the presence of the children to turn the children against the other parent but on the other hand, each parent shall do everything in their power to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

J. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

K. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any serious illness or injury affecting the children.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation condreence shall be paid by Crawford County.

Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 12, 1991 copy in boxes of Attys. A. Pepicelli, K. Nelson & J.Fuller. Mailed copy to Plf. & Dft.

Continued on page 472A

Robert J. Shay, Jr.

vs.

Darla M. Shay

Record continued from page 472

of record

A.D. 1991 - 472

****

Aug. 12, 1991 Motion to Modify and Order filed. AND NOW, this 12th day of August, 1991, upon stipulation of the parties, the temporary order entered July 10, 1991 is hereby modified, and in its place IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I. Legal custody of Robert J. Shay, III, and Stacey renee Shay shall be shared between the fther, Robert J. Shay, Jr., and the mother, Darla M. Sahy, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and each parent shall be entitled to take part in importatn decisions affecting the children such as the children's education, medical treatment and religious instruction.

II. Physical custody of Robert J. Shay, III and Stacey Renee Shay shall be with their mother, Darla M. Shay, subject to the following conditions:

A. In the summer of 1991, the father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody one (1) week in July, beginning at 9:00 a.m. on Sunday, July 21, 1991, until Sunday, July 28, 1991 at 5:00 p.m.

B. The father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody for two (2) weeks in August of 1991, those weeks being from August 4, 1991 at 9:00 a.m. until August 11, 1991 at 5:00 p.m. and from August 18, 1991 at 9:00 a.m. untl August 25, 1991 at 5:00 p.m.

C. The father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody every other Wednesday evening beginning September 11, 1991, from 6:00 p.m. until 8:30 p.m. The parties are encouraged to change this evening visit to another evening if the children's homework or other problems exist.

D. The father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody every other weekend, beginning September 6, 1991, from Friday afternoon at 5:00 p.m. until Sunday afternoon at 5:00 p.m.

E. The parties hae indicated a willingness to agree in the future of Summer visitation rights for 1992 and subsequent years, but in the event they are unable to arriage at an agreement, then the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody for two (2) weeks in June, two (2) weeks in July and two (2) weeks in august, each Summer, with the father providing the mother at least thirty (30) days written notice of which two (2) weeks each month he chooses to have the childre. The provisions of this paragraph are ordered without prejudice to the right of either mother or mother to request a further meidation conference to resolve this Summer visitation problem.

F. Holidays shall be defined as Labor Day, Thanksgiving, Christmas Eve, New Years Eve, New Years Day, Easter, Memorail Day, July 4, Mother's Day, fAther's Day, and the children's birthdays. The children's birthdays, Thanksgiving, and Easter shall be divided so that the children are in the custody of each parent for some portion of each of the aforesaid holidays and in the absence of an agreement, they shall be in the custody of mother until 1:00 p.m. on such holidays and in the custody of the father from 1:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m. on such holidays, unless such holiday is followed by school on the next day, in which case the children shall be returned by the father at 7:00 p.m.

In 1991 and odd years thereafter, the children shall be in the custody of their mother on Labor Day, Christmas Day, New Years Day, and July 4, and in the custody of their father on Christmas Eve, New Years Eve, and Memorial Day. In 1992 and on even years thereafter, the children shall be in the custody of their father on Labor Day, Christmas Day, New Years Day, and July 4, and in the custody of their mother on Christmas Eve, New Years Eve, and Memorial Day. Alternate holiday custody on Labor Day, New Years Day, Memorial Day, and July 4 shall commence at 9:00 a.m. and shall continue until 8:00 p.m., unless such holiday should be followed by school on the next day, in which case it shall continue until 7:00 p.m. Alternate holiday custody on New Years Eve shall commence at 5:00 p.m. and shall continue until 9:00 a.m. the following day. Alternate holiday custody on Christmas Eve shall commence at 7:00 p.m. and shall continue until Christmas Day at 12:00 noon. Alternate holiday custody for Christmas Day shall commence at 12:00 noon and shall continue until 8:00 p.m.

Additionally, over the Christmas vacation, the father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody for a four(4) day period, provided however that mother shall be entitled to have the children in her custody on December 26, 1992 and on even years thereafter in which the father has custody of the children on Christmas Day, and then further provided, that in 1991and on the odd years thereafter, in the event the father elects to exercise his four (4) day visitation period on December 26 and Christmas Day. The father shall notify the mother of the four (4) days in which he intends to exercise such Christmas vacation visitation at least thirty (30) days in advance of the Christmas holidays.

G. With the exception of Wednesday evening visitation (as set forth in paragraph C, above, the parties shall share all transportation in connection with the weekend, weeknight, holiday and other visitations, with the party receiving custody of the children to provide for and/or arrange for their transportation. During all such exchanges, the parties shall treat the person transporting the children and the persons transporting the children shall treat the parties and persons receiving the children with the utmost respect in order that no confrontations shall arise. With regard to the aforesaid Wednesday evening visitation, father shall be solely responsible for furnishing transportation of the children.

H. The father and mother shall each be entitled to have reasonable telephone privileges with the children and the children shall be permitted to call their parents.

I. Neither mother nor father sahll say anything in the presence of the children to turn the children against the other parent but on the other hand, each parent shall do everything in their power to foster a favorabale and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

J. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health or well being.

K. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any serious illness or injury affecting the children.

III. Cost of the mediation conference shall be paid by Crawford County.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

August 16, 1991 copies in boxes for Attys. A.Pepicelli, K. Nelson & J. Fuller

CONTINUED ON PAGE 472(b)

472
B

1991

Robert J. SHAY, Jr.
vs
Darla M. SHAY

continued from page 472 (a)
of record
AD 1991-472

****

July 17, 1998 PETITION FOR CIVIL CONTEMPT FOR DISOBEDIENCE OF A CUSTODY ORDER AND NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR FILED. Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging you have wilfully disobeyed an order of court for custody and visitation. If you wish to defend against the claim set forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with the court your defenses or objections.
Whether or not you file in writing with the court your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in court on Monday, Aug. 3, 1998 at 2:15 PM in Ct room 3 IF YOU DO NOT APPEAR IN PERSON, THE COURT MAY ISSUE A WARRANT FOR YOUR ARREST.
If the curt finds that you have wilfully failed to comply with its order for custody and visitation, you may be found to be in contempt of court and committed to jail, fined or both. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.
Court Administrator, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA 16335 Phone 814-333-7498
Date July 15, 1998 BY THE COURT
GORDON R. MILLER, J.
7/17/98 FAXED PEPICELLI, MASSEY & NELSON MAILED DEFT.

Aug. 7, 1998 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Aug. 3, 1998, the matter is before the Court on a contested custody and a request for contempt because the father has not been accorded extensive periods of visitation in accord with a Custody Order dated Aug. 12, 1991. The matter is informally discussed with counsel, the parties, and the children and it appears that an amicable resolution of the issue is impossible at this time due to bitterness between the parties and the expressed reluctance of the children to visit with the father.

This Court believes that father was unduly deprived of summer visits provided for by the Order of Aug. 12, 1991 but lengthy period of temporary custody to make up for the lost summer visits. Accordingly, the Court accords the father a weekend visit for the weekend of Aug. 7 from 5:00 p.m. through Aug. 9 at 5:00 p.m.

The father advises he will be unavailable for visits for the week of Aug. 9 through 15.

Accordingly, the father shall have the children in his exclusive custody for the period of Aug. 17 at 9:00 a.m. through Aug. 24 at 9:00 a.m. Commencing with the month of Sept 1988, the father shall have the children in his custody on teh second and fourth weekends of each and every month from Friday at 5:00 p.m. until Sunday at 8:00 p.m.

This monthly visitation if unchanged by agreement or court order, shall continue for the months of October and thereafter.

The Court directs that both parents sign up for the seminar for separating parents and satisfactorily complete the same.

After completion of the seminar, if the parties with the assistance of their counsel cannot enter into an agreeable future custody and visitation written order, either parent may petition for the appointment of a custody mediator to resolve the issue.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Aug. 7, 1998 DISTRIBUTED Attys. Massey and DeVore.

FOR FURTHER DOCKET ENTRIES SEE COMPUTOR. 1991 30472

1991

473.

Bruce a. BArrett

PETITION FOR A COURT ORDER

for

TAMARA M. MIGDAL

Filed May 13, 1991

MENTAL HEALTH PROCEDURES ACT OF 1976
(Sections 304 and 305)

May 17, 1991, Report of Mental Health Review Officer, Recommendation and Order filed. AND NOW, this 17 day of May 1991, upon consideration of the petition filed at the above no. and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the report of the Mental Health review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and directed that the said Tamara A. MIgdal be committed to Warren state Hosp. for treatment for a period not to exceed 90 days. This order shall take effect when arrangements can be made for the transfer of the patient. BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

May 17, 1991, copy given to Hosp., 5/20/91 copies to Atty. Barrett and MH/MR.

Aug. 2, 1991, Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

Aug. 30, 1991 Petition for Involuntary Treatment filed.

Aug. 30, 1991 Report of Mental Health Review Officer and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 29th day of Aug., 1991, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and other relevant matter, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the REspondent be committed to the Warren State Hospital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days from Aug. 23, 1991.
By The Court,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug. 30, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Thomas E. Africa, WSH, and MH/MR - All copies mailed by MH.

1991

Bernstein & Bernstein
Charles E. Bobinis

474.

William J. Gregg

VALMET AEOR INC.

vs.

WAYNE L. SAMPSON INDIVIDUALLY AND AS A PARTNER TRADING AND DOING BUSINESS AS SAMPSON SILO REPAIRS, A POSSIBLE PARTNERSHIP

Filed May 13, 1991
@ 11:48 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
sheriff's costs 37.00

COMPLAINT IN A CIVIL ACTION
2 copies (sheriff)

June 6, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Sampson Silo Repairs on May 15, 1991 at 2:30 PM at RD # 2, Cochranton, Crawford Co., PA. by serving Linda Sampson, wife of Wayne L. Sampson, owner.

SERVED Wayne L. Sampson on May 15, 1991 at 2:31 PM by handing copy of Complaint to Linda Sampson, his wife, at RD # 2, Cochranton, Crawford Co., PA.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 12, 1991 Answer filed. 1 copy (atty)

Sept. 3, 1991 Affidavit of Service Of Discovery filed.

Oct. 9, 1991 Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Answers to Request for Admissions Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents and order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, TO WIT, THIS 10TH DAY of Oct. 1990 upon consideration of plaintiffs' foregoing Motion to Compel Answers to Requests for Admissions, Interrogatories, and Request for Production of documents, pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 4019(a), it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that defendant shall serve Plaintiff with answers to said Discovery within 20 days.

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S. J.

Oct. 9, 1991 one copy to Attys. Charles Bobinis, and one copy in W. Gregg.s box.

474-A

1991

474-A

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

of

MARY L. KANCEL

Filed May 13, 1991

MENTAL HEALTH PROCEDURES ACT OF 1976
(Sections 204 and 305)

474 B.

1991

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

475.

LORI WARD

vs.

ROBERT S. WARD

Filed May 13, 1991
@ 1:57 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	15.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY
EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

June 5, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, despose and say that I did on May 17, 1991 mail to the above Defendant, Robert S. Ward, by certified mail return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a Complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received on May 28, 1991, as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto.

Joan D. Mason, Attorney

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 6/5/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: March 12, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
Mar. 12, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

476

1991

pro se

476.

FRANCES K. HOWARD AND
THOMAS R. HOWARD

vs.

KIMBERLY KEARNEY AND
JOSEPH L. HOWARD

Filed May 13, 1991
@ 2:05 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50 ✓
state paid 5.00

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

NOTICE AND ORDER OF COURT:
You, Kimberly Kearney and Jos. L. Howard, defendants have been sued in court to obtain custody ofthe child Michael James Howard.

You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at suc h time and place as may be set by JOHN FULLER, CHILD CUSTODY Mediator.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOLD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Crawford County Bar Association
Service to the Public Committee
c/o Prothonotary
Courthouse
Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335
Telephone 724-4636

Date: 5-14-91

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

May 20, 1991 Stipulation and Consent Custody Order of Court filed. AND NOW, this 15 day of May 1991, upon consideration of the Complaint for Custody file dby the Plaintiffs, and upon further consideration of the Stipulation of the parties to this Order of Court, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that:

1. Legal and physical custody over the minor child, Michael James Howard, born April 21, 1991 is herby awarded to the Plaintiffs, the child's paternal grandparents, Frances K. Howard and Thomas R. Howard.

2. The Defendants, the natural mother, Kimberly Kearney, and the natural father, Joseph L. Howard, are entitled to visitation periods withthe minor child, Michael James Howard, at such times and at such places as the parties shall mutually agree. Provided, howver, that the Plaintiffs shall not unreasonably refuse the requests of Defendant Kimberly Kearney and Defendant Joseph L. Howard for visitation periods with the minor child.

3. Any change of heart or objection on the part of either the Defendant Kimberly Kearney or the Defendant Joseph L. Howard shall be considered adequate grounds for either to commence a legal action to modify the custody arrangement provided for herein.

4. Jurisdiction over th ematter of the parties' custody and visitation rights shall remain with the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania.

5. Every pa rty to this action shall teach the child to give to every other party to this action that love and respect which is due a parent or grandparent from his or her child or grandchild.

6. No party to this action shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time during which said par ty has the case and custody of the minor child. The parties are further cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, no par ty to this action shall smoke or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the child is being tansported or within the bedroom of the minor child.

7. Any person transporting this child shall make sure that the seatbelt or other restraint is used in accordance with the law of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

8. No party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of any other party in the child's estimation. Each party to this action shall treat every other party to this actionin a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence, and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and parents to do likewise and also not to disparage the other par ty in the child's estimation.

9. The parties are free to make any additional or alternative arrangement respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. All such arrangements shall be by mutual consent alone, in the absence of which this Order of Court shall govern.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

May 22, 1991 Copy of order to Terry Toomey

May 20, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, May 15, 1991, the Court notes the stipulation regarding a consent custody order was filed in this case May 15, 191. Previously, by Order dated May 14, 1991, in response to a complaint for custody, the Court appointed John Fuller as custody mediator. In view of the agreed custody settlement, we here by rescind the appointment of John Fuller to act as custody mediator in this case.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

May 22, 1991 Copies of order to Terry Toomey and John Fuller

Aug. 21, 1996 Motion to Approve Agreement as Order of Court filed.

July 9, 1991 Acceptance of Service and Appearance Pro Se filed. I, Joseph L. Howard, do hereby accept service of the Plaintiffs' Complaint for Custody and I enter my appearance pro se. I accept service on the date written below.

Date: 5/14/91

Jospeh L. Howard

July 9, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. I, KIMBERLY KEARNEY, Defendant in the above-captioned custody action, do hereby accept service of the Plaintiffs' Complaint for Custody on the date written below.

Dated: 5/14/91

imberly Kearney

Aug. 26, 1996 ORDER filed. AND NOW, August 23, 1996 the Motion to Approve Agreement as Order of Court that was submitted to the Court on August 21, 1996 is denied for the following reasons:

1. Kimberly Kearney is a party to this action and she has not signed the consent.
2. Evelyn Bollinger is referred to an additional defendant when there has not been a proper joinder of an additional defendant. We will not make her a party to this action unless the Rules of Civil Procedure are complied with.
3. We know of no procedure to permit a motion to approve an agreement after a court order has been entered. We thing the proper procedure, although potentially expensive and time consuming, to bring this matter to the court's attention is by way of a petition to modify.

BY THE COURT
Gordon r. Miller, P.J.
8-26-96 MAILED Hundertmark

1991

477.

Dennis V. Williams

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

MICHAEL K. ALBERSTADT

Filed May 13, 1991
@

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 9/13/91	5.00

APPEAL FROM LICENSE SUSPENSION

2 copies (atty)

RULE TO SHOW CAUSE:
AND NOW, to-wit, this 13 day of May, 1991 upon consideration of the facts set forth inthe Appeal from License Suspension, a Rule is hereby granted upon the Commonwealth of Pennsylvanis to show Cause why the relief requested herein shall not be granted. Returnable the 28 dayof Aug., 1991 in Court Room No. 1 or 2, at 9:00 a.m. in the Court of Common pleas of Crawford County.
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Aug. 29, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, August 28, 1991, it appearing fromthe discussion this morning between Mr. william Kuhar representing the Commonwealth and Mr. dennis Williams represen the appellant that there is some confusionor at least some ambiguity in the driving record of the appellant so far as the papers presently before us indicate, this case is now continued to the nex list of license appeals in order to give Mr. Kuhar an apportunity to review the records in Harrisburg. If it turns out from that review that the case can be disposed of without waiting for the next license appeal, counsel may tkae that up withthe Court.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
J. Quint Salmon, S.J.

Sept. 3, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Atty's Williams and Kuhar

Sept. 10, 1991 UPon praecipe of Atty. Williams the above Appeal is hereby withdrawn and this matter is now moot.

Sept. 10, 1991 Notice Of Hearing Date filed. The Court Administrator having been directed by Order ofCourt to set hearing inthe above matter, hearing is set for: October 24, 1991, at 1:30 p.m., Courtroom 1 or 2
Date: September 10, 1991 Imogene Yetman

Sept. 18, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Atty's William and Kuhar

1991

Jack, Kookogey &
Felton
John S. Kookogey

478.

RUTH MORGAN LANSBERRY AND
CABOT OIL AND GAS CORPORATION

vs.

CLIFFORD HUNT, MARY
ELIZABETH HUNT, ALELINE
HINEMAN, JAMES HINEMAN,
JOHN M. HINEMAN, GEORGE M.
HINEMAN, HATTIE BILLIG AND
RALPH BILLIG, HER HUSBAND,
THEIR HEIRS, PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVES AND ASSIGNS

Filed May 14, 1991
@ 9:43 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 7/26/91	9.00

Judgment entered - 6/24/91
H - 33, 113, 13, 93, 93, 73,
B- 141, 81

COMPLAINT

May 15, 1991 Affidavit, Motion For Leave To Serve By Publication and Order filed. AND NOW, May 14, 1991, on motion of John S. Kookogey, Attorney for Plaintiffs in the above-captioned matter leave is hereby granted to serve CLIFFORD HUNT, MARY ELIZABETH HUNT, ADELINE HINEMAN, JAMES HINEMAN, JOHN M. HINEMAN, GEORGE M. HINEMAN, HATTIE BILLIG, and RALPH BILLIG, her husband, and their respective heirs, personal representatives, and assigns, generally Defendant is said Action, by publication of a notice of the facts set forthin the Complaint in the Crawford County Legal Journal and Meadville Tribune a newpaper of general circulation, one (1) time and requiring the said Defendants to plead within twenty (20) days from last date of publication thereof.

PER CURIAM,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 16, 1991 Copy of order mailed to John S. Kookogey

June 24, 1991 Affidavit, Motion For Judgment and Order filed. AND NOW, this 21st day of June, 1991, upon presentation of the foregoing motion and due consideration thereof, it it hereby ORDERED AND DECREED that the Defendants, CLIFFORD HUNT, MARY ELIZABETH HUNT, ADELINE HINEMAN, JAMES HINEMAN, JOHN M. HINEMAN, GEORGE M. HINEMAN, HATTIE BILLIG and RALPH BILLIG, her husband, and their heirs, personal representatives, and assigns, are forever barred from asseting any right, title, lien or interest inconsistent with the cliam of the plaintiffs, as set forth in the Complaint in this case, of , in , or any way attacking the title of the Plaintiffs in said proepr ty, or form issuing or continuing any ac tion attacking the same, and form encumbering, mortgaging or conveying the same or any part thereof, unless the defendants, CLIFFORD HUNT, MARY ELIZABETH HUNT, ADELINE HINEMAN, JAMES HINEMAN, JOHN M. HINEMAN, GEORGE H. HINEMAN, HATTIE BILLIG and RALPH BILLIG, her husband, and their heirs personal representatives, and assigns, take such action as is herein directed within thrity (30) days after the entry of this judgment. It is further ORDERED AND DECREED that if no Petition to open this judgment or set aside this Decree is presented by the Defendant, CLIFFORD HUNT, MARY ELIZABETH HUNT, ADELINE HINEMAN, JAMES HINEMAN, JOHN M. HINEMAN, GEORGE M. HINEMAN, HATTIE BILLIG and RALPH BILLIG, her husband, and their heirs, personal representatives, and assigns, within thirty (30) days from and after the entry of this Decree, the same shall be final. If such action is not taken within said thirty day period, the Prothonotary, on praecipe ofthe Plaintiffs, shall enter final judgment.

PER CURIAM,
Gordon R. Miller

June 26, 1991 copy of order to John S. Kookogey

And Now, July 26, 1991 at 11:37 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Final Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendants for want of an answer, in accordance with the foregoing order of court of June 24, 1991.

Judgment entered - 7/26/91
H - 33, 113, 13, 93, 93, 73,
B - 81, 141

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1991

Hayt, Hayt & Landau
Arthur Lashin

479.

Watts & Pepicelli
Alan Pepicelli

FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY
One American Road
Dearborn, Michigan 48122

vs.

ANDREW J. KURT
R. D. #3, PO Box 212
Meadville, Pa. 16335

Filed May 14, 1991
@ 10:48 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	21.50
Pro Co Pd 11/1091	5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

1 copy (sheriff)

June 21, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. SERVED Andrew Kurt on May 28, 1991 at 10:47 AM by handing copy of Complaint to his wife, Elaine Kurt, at residence R.D.3, Meadville, Crawford County, PA
So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 26, 1991 Answer and New Matter filed. 1 copy (atty)

July 8, 1991 Plaintiff's Reply To Defendant's New Matter filed

Oct. 9, 1991 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above captioned matter is hereby discontinued without prejudice.

480

1991

Fuller, Kinnunen
Petruso, Gamble
and Fabian
Ann Hansen Gamble

480.

Bruce L.Smith

ROBERT G. BLYMILLER, JR.

vs.

CAROL E. BLYMILLER

Filed May 14, 1991
@ 11:01 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro.Co. pd 3/8/94	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

AUG. 2, 1991,Complaint reinstated.

Aug. 22, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING, filed. Ann Hansen Gamble, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pa. and that on Aug. 16, 1991, she sent a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to the Defendant by certified mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid, receipt requested, to Carol E. Blymiller, 525½ N. Washington St., Titusville, Pa.16354, the Defendant's last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto.

June 11, 1993, Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Mar.8, 1994 Upon Praecipe of Ann Hansen Gamble, Esquire,Attorney for Plaintiff to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Mar. 8, 1994 NOtice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree, filed.

Mar. 18, 1994 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, March 17, 1994, this matter is before the Court for a review with regard to the Plaintiff's request for a 3301(d) divorce

A final decree cannot be entered at this time because, while the Plaintiff has filed an affidavit under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code on Juny 11, 1993, the only indication that it was served onthe Defendant is in the praecipe to transmit record where it is indicated that it was served on the Defendant's attorney on June 10, 1993. Apparently, the Defendant has had an attorney involved in this matter but he has not appeared either by entry of an appearance or by the filing of any pleading in this matter and, therefore, he cannot be served with the affidavit required under Section 3301(d). The same will either have to be served upon the Defendant directly or else upon her counsel if he appears in this action for the Defendant.

Thereafter, the proper notice required by Pa. R.C.P. 1920.42(c)(1) in the appropriate form provided in Pa. R.C.P. 1920.73(a)(1) will have to be sent before the divorce can be entered. If the Defendant does not have counsel, such notice will have to be accompanied by a form counter-affidavit substantially in the form prescribed by Pa. R.C.P. 1920.72(d) as required by Pa. R.C.P. 1920.42(c)(2).

Either party may file a praecipe to refer the matter back to the Court when the record is in such a state that the parties are entitled to a final decree.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Mar. 18, 1994 Copies mailed to Atty. Gamble

Apr. 4, 1994 Appearance of Bruce L.Smith, Esquire for Carol E.Blymiller, Defendant, filed.

Apr. 4, 1994 Waiver of Notice filed.

Apr. 4, 1994 Upon Praecipe of Ann Hansen Gamble, Esquire,Attorney for Plaintiff to transmit record, Case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

April 13, 1994 AND NOW, April 11, 1994, it is hereby ordered and decreed that ROBERT G. BLYMILLER, JR., Plaintiff, and CAROL E. BLYMILLER, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

1991

481.	MAPLEX WOOD PRODUCTS vs. WOODCORE, INC. Filed May 14, 1991 @ 11:20 a.m. Tax & entry paid $50.25 state paid 5.00	APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF W. E. CHISHOLM, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT - 30-2-01 May 14, 1991 rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued. May 20, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

482

1991

Kathleen Nelson 482.	CAMERON D. WRIGHT t/d/b/a CONNEAUTVILLE FARMER'S EXCHANGE vs. JOHN PORTER Filed May 14, 1991 @ 1:12 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.50 state paid 5.00 Sheriff's Costs 25.00 Pro Co pd 9-30-92 9.00	COMPLAINT 1 copy (sheriff) June 20, 1991 **SHERIFF'S RETURN** filed. SERVED John Porter personally on May 28, 1991 at 11:27 AM at h is residence, R.D. #3, Box 220, Linesville, Crawford County, PA. so answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff And Now, Sept 30, 1992 at 11:21 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney judgment is hereby entered against the Defendant for failure to answer or appear to the Complaint within thirty days in the amount of Seven thousand, three hundred, seventy-four dollars and sixty-six cents with interest from May 1, 1991, and costs of suit. P- 94 Debt: $7,374.66 Judgment Barbara H. King, Prothonotary

1991

Kathleen R. Nelson

483.

CAMERON D. WRIGHT t/d/b/a CONNEAUTVILLE FARMER'S EXCHANGE

vs.

PETER SCHWARTZ AND DAN SCHWARTZ

Filed May 14, 1991 @ 1:20 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	32.00
Pro Co Pd 6-17-91	9.00
Sat pd. 11/12/91	5.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

May 31, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Dan Schwartz personally on May 15, 1991 at 11:44 a.m. at his residence, R.D.#2, Box 204, Conneautville, Crawford Co., Pa

SERVED Peter Schwartz personally on May 15, 1991 at 11:45 a.m. at his residence, R.D.#2, Box 204, Conneautville, Crawford County, Pa.

So Answers, Edgar D. Householder, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, June 17, 1991 @ 1:48 p.m. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney with Notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to answer or appear to the Complaint and the same is liquidated in the amount of Seven Thousand Five Hundred Thirty-four and 72/100 Dollars with interest from May 1, 1991 and costs of suit.

S-134, 44 Debt.....$7,534.72 + Int. & Costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary
June 17, 1991 Judgment entered.

Nov. 12, 1991 This Judgment Lien satisfied.
(see paper filed)

1991

James R. Irwin

~~Altomare & Barnhart~~
~~Joseph G. Barnhart~~
(withdrawn)

484.

HELEN F. BENNER

vs.

TERRY L. BENNER

Filed May 14, 1991
@ 1:15 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50 ✓
state paid 5.00
Bruce L. Smith, mediator

COMPLAINT IN CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

May 14, 1991 Plaintiff's Motion for ex Parte Temporary Order of Court and Order filed. ORDER: You, terry L. benner, dft. have been sued in court to obtain custody or partial custody of the child, Theresa A. Benner.

BRUCE L. SMITH, ESQ. is appointed custody mediator and is hereby directed to hold such custody mediation conferences as the mediator deems appropriate and to make recommendations thereafter to theCourt. The parties are ordered to appear before the child custody mediator at such times as the mediator shall direct and to bring with them the children, the subject of this action.

If you fail to appear as provided for by this order, an order for custody partial custody or visitation may be entered agaisnt you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

Pending further order of court, upon consideration ofthe attached ex parte motion for temporary custody order , the court hereby awards full legal and physical custody of Theresa A. Benner to the plaintiff Helen F. Benner.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. if you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. tothe Pub Comm. c/o Prothonotary, Meadville Pa. 16335. 814 724-4636.

Date: 5/14/91

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept. 25, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, Joseph C. Barnhart, appearance withdrawn.

Sept. 25, 1991, Upon praecipe of James R. Irwin, Appearance entered for plaintiff, filed.

Sept. 25, 1991, Petition Reinstated.

Oct. 2, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, James R. Irwin, attorney for Plaintiff, do herby verify that I personally served a true and correct copy of the Petition for Custody upon the Defendant abov enamed at my office at 360 Chestnut Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania, on October 1, 1991 at 10:30 a.m.

Dated: 10-1-91

James R. Irwin

Nov. 18, 1991 Stipulation and Consent To Entry Of Custody Order filed.

Nov. 18, 1991 Order filed. AN DNOW, this 18th day of November, 1991, upon presentation of the Stipulation and Consent of the parties, attached hereto, and after consideration of same, it is hereby ORDERED, DIRECTED and DECREED that:

1. Legal custody of the parties' minor child, Theresa A. Benner shall be shared between the mother Helen F. Benner and the father, Terry L. Benner.
2. The natural mother shall have primary physical custody of the aforementioned minor child at all other times unless designated in this agreement.
3. At the parties' mutual consent and with psychological counsellor's advice, father shall have liberal visitations with the child.
4. The parties shall split resonsibility for the child's transportation with the par ty receiving the child in the exercise of his or her rights pickup up the child at the home of the other.
5. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent form his or her children.
6. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor child.
7. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.
8. Neither paarty shall do or say anything to blacken the character of theother in the child's estimation. Each pa rty shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatiaves and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.
9. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitaiton periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if it were to be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which, this order shall govern.
10. Except in emgerency situtations, each party shall give the other at least Twenty-four (24) hours notification when he or she will not be exercising a scheduled visitation.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Nov. 21, 1991 Copy of order put in box for Atty. Irwin

1991

Thomas, Truax,
Spadafore, Vardaro &
Walker
Anthony J. Vardaro
485.

Donald E. Lewis
Brian Lindsay

FIREDEX OF ERIE

vs.

MARY SAYLOR

Filed May 14, 1991
@ 3:43 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.25
state paid 5.00
Arb. Pd 1/17/92 - $15.00
Pro Co. pd 2-28-97 $5.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF HUBERT E. VOGAN, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30 - 3 - 02

May 14, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

June 3, 1991 Complaint filed.

June 24, 1991 Answer filed. 2 copies (atty)

July 18, 1991 Reply To Counter-Claim filed.

Jan 16, 1992 Praecipe for Appointment of Arbitrators filed whereupon the the following Arbitrators are appointed:

David Hotchkiss

Sylvia Dratler

Barbara J. Walton

Jan. 22, 1992 Arbitrators Take Qualifying Oath filed.

March 11, 1992 Notice of Hearing Before Board of Arbitrators filed.

Apr. 8, 1992 NOTICE OF HEARING BEFORE BOARD OF ARBITRATORS, filed.

Oct. 22, 1992 Notice of Hearing Before Board of Arbitrators filed.

Oct. 30, 1992 NOTICE OF HEARING BEFORE BOARD OF ARBITRATORS, filed.

Jan. 7, 1993 Decision of Arbitrators filed in which they find in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant on Plaintiff's claim in the amount of Eighteen hundred seventy-three dollars and eighteen cents ($1,873.18) and in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant on the counterclaim
S-111 DECISION OF ARBITRATORS
1-7-93 copies given to Atty's Lindsay and Walker

Jan. 25, 1993 Petition for Arbitrator's Compensation in Accordance with Crawford County Rule L1301C and Order filed. AND NOW,to-wit, this 22 day of Jan., 1993, it is hereby ordered that the Petitioner's request for additional arbitrator's fees for service in excess of the one-half day allowance, is granted, as follows: Chairm Total Fee $250; Each arbitrator Total Fee $225 each.
By the Court:
P. Richard Thomas, SJ.

Jan. 26, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Walker, Lindsay, Hotchkiss, Sratler Walton and Finance Office

Feb. 24, 1997 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, the above-captioned matter marked settled, discointinued and satisfied of record.

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

486.

Jim Irwin

ERIC DYGERT

vs.

SHANNON DYGERT

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

May 15, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 15, 1991 the parties herein and their counsel are ordered to appear before WILLIAM PINEO CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR at suc h time and place as the child custody mediator shall determine.

Pending further order of court, the children shall remain in the custody fot he plaintiff.

5 copies (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Filed May 15, 1991
@ 9:32 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
WILLIAM PINEO, mediator	152.00
Pro Co Pd 6/17/91	9.00
2 counts pd 3-17-92	30.00
State pd 3-17-92	10.00
Pro.Co. Pd 2/18/93	9.00
Pro Pd 10/16/95	5.00
Pro Co Pd 12-19-96	9.00

6/17/91 Recd $76.00 From Plaintiff

6/27/91 Recd. $76.00 From Defendant

7-1-91 paid Co. Comm. $76.00 Ck 6295

7-1-91 paid Co. Comm $76.00 Ck 6295

5-21-96 Rec'd from Plt'f med dep 150.00

10-1-96 Pd plt'f Refund #10252 78.50

10-2-96 Rec'd from Dept 62.50 (62.50)

Pd 12-19-96 Co #10433 (62.50)

May 22, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, May 20, 1991, comes Lisa M. Sherretts being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legal secretary of Attorney for Eric Dygert, Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P 398 123 144; postmarked May 15, 1991, to the last known address of the Defendant, Shannon Dygert, c/o Hopper, 340 Grant st., Saegertown, PA 16433.
3. That the Defendant, Shannon Dygert, on May 18, 1991, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above-matter and which is attached hereto.
4. Service of the Complaint against Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Lisa M. Sherretts

June 10, 1991 **TEMPORARY ORDER** filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of June, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. The father shall have physical custody of his children on alternate weeks from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through the following Friday at 6:00 p.m., starting with Friday, June 7, 1991. The mother shall have physical custody on alternate weeks from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through the following Friday at 6:00 p.m., starting Friday, June 14, 1991,. The party not having physical custody shall have the right of visitation with the children on Wednesdays from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.

b. When the subject minor child has reached the age of fie, he or she shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Christmas holiday visitation periods, the first beginning on Christmas Eve at noon and ending Christmas Day at noon and the second beginning Christmas Day at noon and ending December 26 at noon. For Christmas, 1991, the mother shall have the first period and the father, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

b. Thanksgiving holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Thanksgiving holiday periods, the first beginning on Thanksgiving Day at 9:00 a.m. and ending Thanksgiving Day at 2:00 p.m. and the second beginning Thanksgiving Day at 2:00 p.m. and ending Thanksgiving Day at 7:00 p.m. For Thanksgiving, 1991, the father shall have the first period and the mother, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

c. The Easter vacation visitation shall be arranged as follows:

There shall be two Easter holiday visitation periods, the first beginning on Easter Sunday at 8:00 a.m. and ending at 1:00 p.m. the same day; the second beginning Easter Sunday at 1:00 p.m. and ending at 8:00 p.m. For Easter, 1992, the mother shall have the first period, and the father, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

d. The other holidays to be observed are Memorial Day, Independence Day, and Labor Day. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch the fireworks. The mother shall have visitation on Independence Day, 1991, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

e. The children shall spend Father's Day with their father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with their mother, during the same hours.

f. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cnacel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cnacellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the children at the home of the other.

Continued on Page 486A.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical reaearch has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11. Neither party shall expose the subject minor children to the company of persons of the opposite sex to whom they are not related by blood or marriage between the h ours of 9:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m.

12. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient.. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

13. Either party may request a review of this Order during the summer previous to the entry of the oldest of the subject minor children into kindergarten in order to see what adjustments may be made.

14. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

15. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Aug. 27, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 17, 1992 Petition Raising Economic Claims, Equitable Distribution of Marital Property, Alimony/Alimony Pendente Lite and Counsel Fees, Costs and Expenses, filed. 1 copy (atty)

May 5, 1992 PETITION FOR SPECIAL RELIEF EXCLUSIVE POSSESSION OF MARITAL RESIDENCE and RULE TO SHOW CAUSE, filed. AND NOW, This 1st day of May, 1992, upon consideration of the within Petition for Exclusive Possession of the Marital Residence, a Rule is granted upon Respondent, Eric Dygert, to show cause why the relief requested in said Petition should not be granted.

RULE RETURNABLE, with hearing thereon on the 8th day of June, 1992, at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 or the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 12, 1992 copy in box for J. Irwin & M. A. Kirkpatrick

July 22, 1992 Memorandum and Order filed. AND NOW, July 22, 1992, the Defendant's peittion for special relief is denied without prejudice to her to request such re lief if there is a judicial determination that the property in question is in fact marital property of the parties.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

July 22, 1992 Copies of order put in box for atty's Kirkpatrick & Irwin

Feb 10, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

Feb. 18, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire for Review under Section 3301 (c) of the Divorce Code this case moved to Court.

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D

March 3, 1993 AND NOW, March 1, 1993, it is ordered and decreed that **E R I C D Y G E R T**, plaintiff and **S H A N N O N D Y G E R T**, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony. The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

Equitable Distribution:

Husband shall be the sole owner of the former marital residence located in Atlantic, PA. and shall be solely liable for all mortgages, liens, taxes and other expenses of said residence. Husband shall pay to wife the sum of $9,000.00 within 10 days of the entry of a final decree in divorce between the parties.

Each party shall be the sole and exclusive owner of all personal property in his or her possession and each waives any right or interest they may have in property possessed by the other or in the other's name.

Alimony, Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees, Costs and Expenses:

Wife waives the claim raised in her divorce for alimony, alimony pendente lite, counsel fees, costs and expenses.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct. 16, 1995, Notice of Election to Retake Maiden Name filed.
TO BE KNOWN AS: SHANNON STERLING.

May 21, 1996 Petition to Modify Custody, Notice and Order to Appear filed. You, Shanon Dygert, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of Erica Marie Dygert, born October 15, 1989, age 6 and Joseph Paul Dygert, born June 16, 1988, age 7.

You are **ORDERED** to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator William Pineo for a mediattion conference. The Mediator shall send you a Notice of the Hearing by mail to the address listed on the complaint or petition that has been filed recently.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for you arrest.

May 22, 1996 Gordon R. Miller, J.

5-23-96 FAXED Kirkpatrick, Irwin & Pineo

And Now, this May 22 day of 1996, it is ordered and decreed that the parents in this matter shall satisfactorily complete the Seminar for Separating Parents in accordance with Crawford County Civil Rule L1930. Applications for the seminar may be obtained at the Center for Family Services, Inc. at 996 S. Main Street, Meadville, Pennsylvania 16335; the Prothonotary at the Crawford County Courthouse; or the Court Administrator at the Crawford County Courthouse.
By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

5-23-96 FAXED Kirkpatrick, Irwin & Pineo

Aug 23, 1996 Certificate of completion of the Seminar for Separating Parents forERIC DYGERT, filed.

486
-B-

1991

~~CONTINUATION OF ERIC DYGERT VS. SHANNON DYGERT A.D. 1991-486 CONTINUED FROM PAGE 486-A~~

Sept. 30, 1996 Order filed. AND NOW, this 30 day of September, 1996, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children, Joseph Paul Dygert and Erica Maria Dygert.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. The father shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor children from 7 P.M. of the seventh day preceding the opening of the children's school session to the seventh day following the close of the school session for the Summer vacation. The mother shall have primary physical custody from 7 P.M. of the seventh day after school shall have closed for the Summer vacation until 7 P.M. of the seventh day preceding the opening school for the succeeding session.

Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $125.00, are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case.

The deposit required for the appointment of the Custody Mediator was made by the father. The deposit shall first be applied against the father's share of costs and the remainder, if any, shall be remitted to him. The mother shall pay her share of costs and fees to the Prothonotary of Crawford County within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

(FOR REMAINDER OF ORDER SEE FILE FOLDER)

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

1991

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

487.

Ferguson & Spataro
John F. Spataro

Barbara J. Walton

DOROTHY BEITER

vs.

RUSSELL BEITER

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

Filed May 15, 1991
@ 10:03 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	10.00 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓
state paid	10.00
1 count paid 7-23-92	15.00
state pd 7-23-92	5.00
Pro Co pd 10-2-92	9.00

May 22, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. And now, May 20, 1991, comes Lisa M. Sherretts being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is th elegal secretary of Attorney for Dorothy Beiter, Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
2. That on information furnished her by the Plaintiff, she caused a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P 398 123 145; postmarked May 16, 1991, to the last known address of the defendant, Russell Beiter, R.D. #1, Cochranton, PA 16314.
3. That the Defendant, Russell Beiter, on May 17, 1991, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Complaint in the above-matter and which is attached hereto.
4. service of the Complaint against Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rles of Civil Procedure.

Lisa M. Sherretts

Aug. 21, 1991 Appearance of Ferguson & Spataro by John F. Spataro for Plaintiff.

May 11, 1992 Appéarance of Barbara J. Walton for Defendant, filed.

July 23, 1992 PETITION FRO SUBSEQUENT CLAIM: Alimoy, Alimony Pendente Lite, Reasonable Counsel Fees, Appraisal Fees, Costs and Expenses, filed.

July 27, 1992 Motion to set Hearing for Alimony Pendente lite,counsel fees, appraisal fees, costs and expenses and Order filed. AND NOW, July 27, 1992 hearing on Alimony Pendente Lite, Reasonable Counsel Fees, Appraisal Fees, Costs and Expenses is set for Sept. 3, 1992 at 3:00 p.m. o'clock in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse at Meadville, PA.

For the Court
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator

July 31, 1992 Copy of the Order Given to: Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq. and John F. Spataro, Esq. and Barbara J. Walton, Esq.

Aug. 7, 1992 Affidavit of Service filed. And Now, August 5th, 1992, comes Constance L. Deitman being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That she is the legal secretary of Attorney for Dorothy Beiter, Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
2. taht on information furnished her by the Pl aintiff, she caused a certified copy of the petition for Subsequent Claim in Divorce to be forwarded by Certified Mail, P 899 085 277; postmarked July 28, 1992, to th elast known address of the Defendant, Russell Beiter, R.D. #1, Cochranton, PA 16314.
3. That the Defendant, Russell Beiter, on August 1, 1992, personally signed the return receipt card which was affixed to the Petition in the above-matter and which is attached hereto.
4. Service of the Petition against Defendant is therefore effected in accordance with Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

Constance L. Deitman

Aug. 31, 1992 Affidavit of Consent by Russell R. Beiter, Plaintiff, filed.

Sep. 2, 1992 Motion to Cancel Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW,Sep. 2, 1992, the hearing scheduled for September 3,1992, 3:00 p.m. on Alimony Pendente Lite is canceled.

By /for the Court:
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator

Sep. 2, 1992 Copy of the Order to Attys; Kirkpatrick and Spataro & Walton.

Oct 2, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Oct 2, 1992 Upon praecipe of Defendant attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

October 15, 1992 STIPULATION and CONSENT DECREE filed. And Now, this 13th day of October,1992, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Stipulation, this Court hereby directs that:

1. The Plaintiff shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances, and personalty currently in her possession and Defendant shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and personalty currently in his possession. Plaintiff shall take possession of the Lane chest, the hutch and her crystal within 10 days of the signing of this Stipulation.
2. The Defendant shall have sole and exclusive possession of the marital residence located in Cochranton, PA. and Defendant shall be responsible for any and all outstanding obligations due and owing on said residence, including but not limited to the outstanding mortgage due and owing on said residence, insurance, utilities, and maintenance.

Plaintiff shall execute and deliver a Quit-Claim Deed to the Defendant within ten (10) days of the signing of this decree.

3. The Plaintiff shall receive $10,000.00 as settlement for her share of the marital assets and shall make no further claim for the marital residence, Mr. Beiter's pension or any other asset. The settlement shall be paid to Plaintiff no later than the date of signing of this decree.
4. The Plaintiff and Defendant waive any and all claims each may have to the other's retirement benefits or to alimony.

WHEREFORE, the Bonds of Matrimony that have existed between the parties are hereby dissolved.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

488

1991

Robert E. McBride

488.

BENEFICIAL CONSUMER DISCOUNT COMPANY

vs.

ROBERT L. GREENLEE AND JACKIE J. GREENLEE, HIS WIFE

Filed May 15, 1991
@ 11:29 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$ 50.50✓
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	45.00
Pro Co pd 7/9/91	5.00✓

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

July 8, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. SERVED Jackie L. Greenlee personally on June 6, 1991 at 11:17 AM at 150 South Main Street, Springboro, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Robert L. GAreenlee on June 6, 1991 at 11:18 AM at 150 South Main Street, Springboro, Crawford County, PA, by handing copy of Complaint to Jackie L. Greenlee, his wife, at the residence.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 9, 1991 Certificate of Service filed.

July 9, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above-captioned action is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Quinn, Gent, Buseck,
& Leemhuis, Inc.
Lawrence C. Bolla

489.

NATIONAL FUEL GAS
DISTRIBUTION CORPORATION

vs.

ROBERT A. BILTZ AND
JOAN E. BILTZ

Filed May 15, 1991
@ 11:59 a.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state	5.00

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

2 copies (sheriff)

1991

Donald S. Mazzotta
William T. Molczan

490.

FIRST DEPOSIT NATIONAL BANK

vs.

DEBRA ANCE

Filed May 15, 1991
@ 1:23 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	28.00
Pro Co Pd 7/2/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN ASSUMPSIT

1 copy (sheriff)

June 28, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Debra Ance, personally, at RD #2, Box 8 A, Springboro, PA on May 28, 1991, at 12:29 PM.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, July 2, 1991, at 11:25 a.m., upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney with default notice and affidavit of non military service and cert. of mailing of notice of intent to take default judgment attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the plaintiff and agaisnt the defendant in the sum of Four Thousand Seventy two and 54/100 Dollars. (includes $207.09 int. from 3/6/91 to 7/1/91)
Judgment entered 7/2/91
A - 43

Debt ---------$ 4,072.54
Int. from 7/1/91 at 6%

JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

July 3, 1991 Notice of entry of Judgment mailed to defendant.

1991

Hundertmark & Getsinger
Bruce L. Getsinger

491.

Se

RAY D. JACK

vs.

RUTH E. JACK

Filed May 15, 1991
@ 2:01 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 2-24-92	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

May 15, 1991 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Nov. 27, 1991, Notice Of Intention To Request Entry of Divorce Decree, Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code, filed.

Feb. 24, 1992 Praecipe to Transmit Record filed, with Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree, Defendant's Counter-Affidavit Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce Code and Certificate of Service.

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D

Mar 3, 1992 AND NOW, Feb. 28, 1992, its is hereby ordered and decreed that Ray D. Jack, Plaintiff, and Ruth E. Jack, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this aciton for which a final order has not yet been entered. BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Mar. 3, 1992 mailed copy to B.Getsinger.

C. Barton Jones

492.

HELEN M. BARRY

vs.

RUSSELL J. VICTOR

Filed May 15, 1991
@ 3:24 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	28.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy Plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 15th day of May, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact the plaintiff in any way. He shall not go to the Plaintiff's residence.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for Friday May 24, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 20, 1991, Appearance of C. Barton Jones, attonrey for plaintiff filed.

May 21, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Russell J. Victor personally on May 16, 1991 at 11:25 am at his residence, R.D. #1, Box 34, Hartstown, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 29, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 24, 1991 at the time set for hearing, it appears the parties lived togetehr for a number of yers without the benefit of marriage but have now had disagreements and have separated. The Plaintiff has now removed herself from the premises and lives at 126 W. Jamestown Road, Lot 34, Cardinal Court, Jamestown, PA 16134. The Defendant has abandoned the premises and can be located at Box 1045, Linesville, PA.

The Plaintiff has presented a list of her personal possessions which she wishes retrieved from the property and the Defendant acknowledges they are all there and are stored in one or two storage sheds behind the cottage. The Plaintiff may go there at any time to retrieve her property. In the event the Defendant is there at the same time, he shall immediately leave the premises.

The parties mutually agree that other than the items on the list, the Plaintiff will not remove any other property from the premises.

In view of the bitterness between the parties, in the event they happen to come into each other's presence at a social function or any public place, the Defendant will not annoy, harass, or chastise her in any fashion.

The parties mutually agree, and without any admission that he committed violations under the Abuse Act, the Defendant agrees that he will not contact the Plaintiff, threaten her, harass, assault, or annoy her in any fashion and will not go to her residence for any purpose.

This order shall remain in force and effect for a period of 6 months.

Any police officer having reasonable grounds to believe that the abuse portions of this order have been violated may have the Plaintiff reduce said violations to writing, swear to the same as being truthful, and the investigating police officer is accorded the authority to apprehend the Defendant, lodge him in the County Jail, and request an immediate contempt hearing.

The County is directed to pay the costs in this case.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

May 29, 1991 Copy of Order given to County Control; May 31, 1991 Copy of Order mailed to Atty. C. Barton Jones.

1991

493.

DELBERT G. MOON JR.

vs.

DARLENE L. MOON

Filed May 15, 1991
@ 4:06 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	29.25

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 15th day of May, 19 91, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact plaintiff in any way. Defendant shall not go to plaintiff's residence.

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for May 28, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 21, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Darlene L. Moon personally on May 17, 1991 at 3:35 Pm at Meadville Medical Center, Mental Health, Grove Street, Meadville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 3, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, May 28, 1991 this matter of an Abuse Petition comes before the Court for hearing. The Plaintiff/Husband appears but the Court is advised by Attorney R. Charles Thomas that the Defendant/Wife is currently a patient at the Mental Health Center haveing been committed on or about May 12, 1991 after the incident referred to in this petition.

Since the Defendant/Wife is unavailable this hearing will be continued generally and the Husband shall have the responsibility of requesting a hearing when he learns his wife has been released from the Mental Health Center.

In the meantime, the original Order entered May 15, 1991 by Judge Miller shall continue and the Defendant shall not contact the Plaintiff in any fashion, and may not go to the Plaintiff's residence for any purpose. The Defendant/Wife may not possess a firearm of any kind and may not have in her possession any other dangerous weapon.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 3, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty. Thomas's box and copy given to Co. Control.
June 5, 1991 copy mailed to plff and dft.

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. MASON

494.

EDWARD M. MATTERA

vs.

DAWN L. MATTERA.

Filed May 16, 1991
@ 1:31 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 9/18/91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

2 copies (atty)

May 20, 1991 Affidavit of Acceptance of Service filed. I, Dawn L. Mattera, being duly sworn according to law, do hereby certify that I did on May 16, 1991, at 2:49 o'clock P.M., receive a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce, filed in the above captioned case, which complaint was handed to mer personally at the offices of Mason and Mason Attorneys, 973 Liberty Street, Meadville, PA. 16335.

Dawn L. Mattera - Defendant

Sept. 5, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 10, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Sept. 16, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under 201(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

Sept. 24, 1991 **S T I P U L A T I O N A N D D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, this 20 day of Sept., 1991, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. **DIVORCE:** Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce form the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed fromthe date of the filing of the complaint, and an affidvit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 201(c) of the Pennsylvania Divorce Code.

2. **REAL ESTATE:** Defendant shall transfer to plaintiff, all of her right, title and interest in the jointly onwed real estate located at 147 Wadswoth Avenue, Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, so that same shall be his alone. In consideration therefore, Plaintiff shall assume full responsibility for the debt on said residence, owed to Margarretten and Company, a mortgage upon which the principal balance is now approximately $23,761.54. He shall also assume responsibility for all taxes, homeowner's insurance and any other expenses in connection with said real estate. Beginning with the date that the last of the parties her eto has signed and stipulation attached hereto, exclusive possesison ofthe marital residence shall be with the Pl aintiff, Edward M. Mattera.

3. **VEHICLES:** Defendant shall retain the 1986 Buick Century automobile, and any debt thereon owed to Cortland Savings and Loan. Plaintiff shall retain the 1983 Ford Ranger pickup truck, titled to him alone and owned by him free and clear, prior to this marriage.

4. **TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY:** Plaintiff shall retain all household furniture, appliances, furnishings, and miscellaneous tangible property, including outdoor items as well, withthe exception of the double bed mattress and springs and related linens, the antique vanity and mirror, a dresser, sweeper, her clothing and small personal items and hope chest, and some kitchen items and knickknackes as the parties shall mutually agree.

5. **DEBTS:** Plaintiff will assume responsibility for the Discover charge account and Meadville ARea Federal Credit Union debt, which has a minimal balance, and Defendant shall assume responsibility for MOntgomery Wards (approximately $300.00), J.C. Penneys and Sears (upon which minimal amounts, if any, are owing). Defendant shall be entitled to receive the same of $600.00 owned to both parties by Larry Sabia.

6. **BANK ACCOUNT:** Each party shall retain those items in his or her individual name. Plaintiff shall retain the Marine Bank checking and savings accounts, (except for $500.00 which shall be paid to Defendant, $250.00 immediately, and $250.00 within ten days after the divorce is final), which previously were in joint name. Defendant hsall retain any checking or savings accounts she has in her individual name.

7. **WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS:** Neither party hereto shall required by the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Each par ty is aware of the debts and assets acquired during the marriage.

8. **WAIVER OF CLAIMS:** Neither pa rty hereto shall make any claim against th eother party hereto, except for enforcement of the rights and responsibilities set forth in this decree.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1991

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro, Walker
R. Charles Thomas

495.
withdrew
~~Culbertson, Weiss, Schetroma and Schug~~
~~Nancy M. Sennett~~
~~C. Edward Dunmire~~
~~Nancy F. Dunmire~~

Timothy M. Sennett
Knox, McLaughlin
Gornall & Sennett PC
for dfts. Dairy Mart Co
and Lawson Milk Co.

Knox McLaughlin Gornall
& Sennett
John O. Dodick
C. Edward Dunmire
Nancy F. Dunmire

PAUL EWING

vs.

C. EDWARD DUNMIRE AND NANCY F. DUNMIRE; DAIRY MART CO.; THE LAWSON MILD COMPANY DIVISION OF CONSOLIDATED FOODS CORP.

Filed May 16, 1991
@ 1:35 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	75.00
Pro Co pd 5/19/92	6.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

June 17, 1991 APPEARANCE of Culbertson, Weiss Schetroma and Schug by Nancy M. Sennett for Defendants C. Edward Dunmire and Nancy F. Dunmire filed.

June 20, 1991 **SHERIFF"S RETURN** filed. SERVED E. Edward Dunmire and Nancy F. Dunmire each by deputizing the Sheriff of Armstrong County, PA as per Affidavits of Service attached. Each served: 5-29-91

SERVED Lawson Milk Co. Division of Consolidated Foods Corp. by Certified Mail May 23, 1991, signed return receipt attached.

SERVED Dairy Mart Co. on May 24, 1991 by handing copy of Summons, Requests, and Interrogatories to Maggie Gray, clerk and person in charge at the time, 10:41 AM, at place of business 322 Pine Street, Meadville, Crawford Countu, PA.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 17, 1991 Appearance of Knox McLaughlin Gornall and Sennett PC by Timothy M. Sennett, attorneys for defendants DAiry Mart Co. and The Lawson Milk Co. Div. of Consolidated Foods, filed.

June 17, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint within 20 days of service, or suffer entry of Judgment of Non Pros, issued.

June 25, 1991, Complaint filed. 2 copies (atty)
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED.

July 12, 1991 Appearance of Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett By John O. Dodick, Esq., Attorney for defendants C. Edward Dunmire and Nancy F. Dunmire, filed.

July 19, 1991 Upon praecipe of Nancy M. Sennett her appearance is hereby withdrawn.

July 24, 1991 Answer and New Matter of Defendants, Dairy Mart Company, The Lawson Milk Compan, Division of Consolidated foods corporation filed.

August 5, 1991 ANSWER AND NEW MATTER OF DEFENDANTS, C. EDWARD DUNMIRE AND NANCY F. DUNMIRE filed.

Feb. 21, 1992, Motion for Summary Judgment filed on Behalf of Defendants, C. Edward Dunmire and Nancy F. Dunmire, filed.

Feb. 21, 1992, Upon praecipe of defendant (Dunmires) attorney, above Motion for Summary Judgment placed on next ARgument List.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR MARCH 1992

Apr. 10, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, April 9, 1992, based upon the representation that all counsel agree the motion for summary judgment filed on behalf of the defendants, C. Edward Du Dunmire and Nancy F. Dunmire is granted and summary judgment is entered in their favor.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 14, 1992 Copies distributed to Atty's Thomas & Dodick

May 19, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

Purcell, Nissley,
Krug & Haller
Leon P. Haller

496.

FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION

vs.

BARBARA EULIANO
a/k/a Barbara Virtue

Filed May 16, 1991
@ 1:45 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state 11/5/14/91	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	27.00
Pro Co Paid 8-6-91	9.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

JUne 17, 1991 Complaint reinstated.

July 11, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Barbara Euliano a/k/a Barbara Virtue, on June 24, 1991, at 11:15 aM by handing copy of Complaint to James A. Euliano, her authorized agent, at the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA. Signed Acceptance of Service attached.
So answers, Diane Severo, deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, August 6, 1991 @ 9:01 a.m. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney JUDGMENT is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against defendants BARBARA EULIANO A/K/A BARBARA VIRTUE for failure to plead to the above action within twenty days from date of service of the Complaint and damages are assessed as follows:

Unpaid principal balance	$3,807.10
Interest	$ 132.13
Late charges	$ 11.80
Escrow Deficit	$ 157.03
5% Attorney's Commission	$ 189.70
TOTAL	$3,983.70

E-21, V-24

Debt.....$3,983.70
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

Judgment entered 8-6-91
Aug 8, 1991 Notice of Judgment mailed defendants.

EXECUTION 1991-67

1991

Terry Toomey

497.

VIRGINIA BOND

vs.

DOUGLAS K. COULTER,
PAUL W. COULTER, AND
ROBERT BOND

Filed May 16, 1991
@ 3:17 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	50.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

June 14, 1991 Summons reissued.

July 12, 1991 Summons reissued.

Aug. 20, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN, filed. SERVED Robert Bond on Aug. 8, 1991 at 10:12 PM by handing copy of Summons to Kathy Shoup, adult in charge at residence, R.D.#2, Cochranton, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Paul W. Coulter personally on Aug. 8, 1991, at 10:39 AM at h is residence, R.D.#3, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Douglas K. Coulter on Aug. 8, 1991 at 10:40 AM by handing copy of Summons to Paul W. Coulter, his father, at R.D.#3, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, PA. Signed Acceptance of Service attached.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

Blystone & Blystone
Peter E. Blystone

498.

Terry A. Toomey

GRAPHIC REALTY CORPORATION

vs.

FREE POLAND GROUP 2770,
POLISH NATIONAL ALLIANCE

Filed May 16, 1991
@ 4:16 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state	5.00
Pro Co Pd 12/12/91	6.00

COMPLAINT IN EJECTMENT

1 copy

May 20, 1991, Acceptance of Service filed. I accept service of the compalint in Ejectment on behalf of Free Poland Group 2770, Polish National Alliance, defendant, and certify that I am authorized to do so. Terry A. Toomey Esq.

June 21, 1991, Defendant's Answer to Complaint in Ejectment filed. 1 copy (atty)

Sept 10, 1991, Certificate of REadiness filed.

ON OCTOBER 1991 TRIAL LIST

Sept. 9, 1991 Plaintiff's Mction For Consolidation Of Actions and for Expedited Trial and Order filed. AND NOW, this 9th day of September, 1991, upon Motion of plaintiff, Graphic Realty Corporation, it is ordered that the cases filed at No. AD 1990-1180 and No. Ad 1991-498 are consolidated for purposes of discovery and trial. Gordon R.Miller, J
Sept. 12, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty's. Toomey and Blystone

Sept 18, 1991 Defendant's Motion to Enlarge Time to Answer Plaintiff's Interrogatories filed. (See paper filed at AD 1990-1180)

Sept 18, 1991, Order filed: AND NOW, this 18th day of September 1991, upon defendant's Motion to enlarge the time during which to respond to Plaintiff's Interrogatories, said Motion is GRANTED and the Defendant is permitted until Tuesday, October 8, 1991 to respond to Plaintiff's Interrogatories. BY THE COURT,
(See papers filed Gordon R. Miller, J.
at AD 1990-1180)
Sept 19, 1991 Copies put in Attys. Toomey and Blystone's boxes.

Sept 18, 1991 Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Certificate of Readiness filed. (See paper filed at AD 1990-1180)

Sept 18, 1991 September 18, 1991, This motion is denied.
Gordon R. Miller, J
(See paper filed at AD 1990-1180)
Sept 19, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Toomey and Blystone's boxes.

Oct. 15, 1991 ORDER SETTING NONJUR TRIAL filed. AND NOW, Oct. 15, 1991, nonjury trial in this matter is hereby set for Dec. 10, 1991, at 9:00 A.M. in Courtroom 1 or 2.
FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator
Oct. 18, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. Peter Blystone and Terry Toomey.

Nov. 27, 1991 Pretrial Narrative Statement of Plaintiff, Graphic REalty Corp., filed. (see paper filed at AD 1990 - 1180)

Dec. 9, 1991 upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney and consented to by defendant attorney, all causes of action which were, or could have been asserted in the above action, including defendant's counterclaims, having been fully settled and determined, the above action is hereby marked settled and discontinued of record.

pro se

499.

DEBRA F. ECKERT

vs.

RICHARD R. ECKERT

Filed May 17, 1991
@ 8:40 a.m.

Indigent filing
Sheriff's Costs 27.45

AUTHENTICATION OF RECORD FROM THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF MACOMB, CASE NO. 91-2082-DM

4 copies (plff)

EX-PARTE INTERIM ORDER: Dated: May 14, 1991
IT IS ORDERED that temporary custody of the minor children of the parties hereto be awarded to plaintiff until further order of court and that the defendant is hereby granted reasonable rights of visitation with said children.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the dft. shall pay to the Macomb County Friend of the court ea ch week the sum of $15.00 commencing this date for the care and support of minor children until said child attains the age of 18 yrs. or beyond if the children are reg. attending hi school with a reasonable expectation of completing sufficient credits to graduate from high school while residing on a full time basis with the payee of support or at an institution, but in no case after the child reached 19 yrs. and 6 mos. of age, and be responsible for the necessary and reasonable medical, dental hosp. andoptical expenses incurred in behalf of said minor children until further order of the court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the domicile or Residence of the minor children shall not be removed fromthe state of Michigan without the permission of the Macomb County Circuit Court and the pfff/dft shall advise the Macomb County Friend of the Court of any change in their addresses or the address of the minor children forthwith.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that an order of INcome withholding pursuant to MCL 552.604.6 shall be presented to the judges clerk for entry by any party seeking support 14 days after this interim or der has been served upon the opposite party files a written objection with the friend of the court and the clerk of the court within that 14 day period. If an objection is filed the friend fo the court shall initiate proceedings pursuant to MCL 552.607.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the dft. shall pay to the friend fo the court the sum of $2.00 per month payable semi-annually in advance of Jan. 2 and July 1, hereafter while the support order is operative pursuant to MCL 780.173, (see paper filed)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that except as otherwise provided in Sec. 3 of the Support and Visitation Enforcement Act, being section 552.603 of MCL, a support order that is part of a judgment or is an order in a dom. rel. matter, is a judg. on and after the date each support payment is due, with the full force, effect, and attributes of a judg. of this state, and is not, on and after the date it is due, subject to retroactive modification.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this order shall become effective on the date of entry. Upon filing of an appropriate motion within 14 days of serv ice, this order may be changed by the court. (see paper filed)

5/14/91 Peter Maceroni, Judge

(SEE PRELIMINARY MUTUAL INJUNCTION ORDER filed also)

May 17, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 17, 1991, pursuant to authenticated Orders from the State of Michigan filed inthe Prothonotary's Office awarding custody ofthe three minor children, philip, Tony and Mary, to their mother, the Court hereby directs that the father surrender custody of said children pursuant to the Michigan Order to the mother of said children Debra F. Eckert forthwith. The sheriff of Crawford County is authorized to execute this order and to remov e the children from the care and custody of richard R. eckert or any other person having custody of said children and deliver them tothe mother for return to the state of Mich.

Upon receipt of the children, the mother is directed to return the children to the state of Michigan at her residence, 132 Hubbard avenue, Mt. Clemens, Michigan 48403.

2 copies (sheriff)

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard thomas, S. J.

June 12, 1991 **SHERIFF'S RETURN** filed. SERVED Richard R. Eckert personally on May 17, 1991 at 11:10 AM at his Mother's residence, R.D. #3, E. Spring Road, Conneautville, Crawford County, PA. So answers,

James Withers, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

500

1991

William J. Gregg

500.

Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs
Christopher J. Youngs

JOSEPH R. DeVOGE, JR.

vs.

KATHLEEN A. DeVOGE

Filed May 17, 1991
@ 10:20 a.m.

Tax & entry pd 5/24/91 $50.50 ✓
state pd 5/24/91 5.00

PETITION FOR CUSTODY

MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF CUSTODY MEDIATOR AND ORDER filed.
ORDER: AND NOW, May 17, 1991 WILLIAM PINEO is hereby appointed Child custody Mediator inthe above captioned matter.
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.
May 20, 1991 copy of order to Atty. Gregg and mediator.

May 31, 1991 Appearance of Pepicelli, Youngs & Youngs, PC by Christopher J. Youngs, for Defendant filed.

June 10, 1991 Motion to Withdraw as Custody Mediator and Order filed: AND NOW, this 7th day of June, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing motion, the same is hereby granted and the appointment of William Pineo, Esquire, as Custody Mediator is hereby withdraw. The Court hereby appoints John Fuller to be the Mediator in the above referenced matter.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, Judge

June 10, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys Pineo, Fuller, Gregg and Youngs Boxes.

July 22, 1991 Stipulation and Consent Custody Order filed.
AND NOW, July 15, 1991, by agreement of the parties, it is Ordered and Decreed as follows:

1. Legal custody of the minor child, Kristine M. DeVoge, shall be shared between the Mother and Father, that is, each shall have equal access to any records relating but not limited to, school records, medical records and other important records, and each shall have the right to take part in decisions affecting the child's medical treatment, religious instruction, education and other important matters.
2. Primary physical custody of the minor child, Kristine M. DeVoge, shall be with her father, Joseph r. DeVoge, Jr.
3. Legal visiation and temporary custody shall be granted to the mother, as follows:
A. Mother shall be entitled to take the child into her custody for a minimum of two 2-day periods during each calendar month, or a total of four (4) days per month. Mother shall be entitled to elect which two 2-day periods shall be designated as hers and shall provide at least seven (7) days advance notice to Father.
B. In addition, Mother shall also have temporary physical custody of the child during such periods of time as FAther, for business or personal reasons, will not be returning to his residence at all during the nighttime.
C. Mother shall hav esuch additional visitation as can be agreed upon from time to time between the parties.
4. In addition to the abaove-mentioned visitations, Mother shall be entitled to the following holiday visitation:
A. Christmas - Mother shall have physical custody on Christmas Eve or Christmas Day, consistent with her work schedule. Mother shall give Father thirty (30) days notice of her work schedule.
B. Thanksgiving - Mother shall have physical custody on Thanksgiving Eve or Thanksgiving Day, consistent with her work schedule. Mother shall give father thirty (30) days notice of her work schedule.
5. The person having the visitation shall be responsible for providing the transportation.
6. The party having custody of the child at any time shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of injury or illness requiring medical treatment.
7. Neither party shall take the child into any environment which might be commonly throught of as detrimental to her development, the development of her character, or which would be commonly thought to be dangerous to her physical person, nor shall MOther or FAther use drugs or alcohol, or expose the child to persons using drugs or alcohol while the child is in their custody. Under no circumstances shall the child be transported in any vehicle with anyone who has recently consumed drugs or alcohol, or which is not equipped with seat belts.
8. Neither the Father nor the Mother shall do or say anything in an effort to turn the child against the other parent,b ut on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each other to create a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child toward each of the parents.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 23, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty.'s Gregg and Youngs

1991

~~Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs PC~~
~~Christopher J. Youngs~~
Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq.
501.

Mason & Mason
Joan D. Mason

NEIL MacPHERSON

vs.

BONITA L. MacPHERSON

Filed May 17, 1991
@ 4:19 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
add'l Remedies	60.00
Master's fee Pd 11-6-96	250.00
Pickens, Master	
Pro Co pd 4-28-97	9.00
Pro Co pd 9-9-97	5.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

June ,5 1991 Affidavit of Acceptance of Service field. I, Bonita L. MacPherson, dft. inthe above action, being duly sworn according to law, do hereby certify that I did receive a cert. copy of the complaint in div. inthe above captioned action, on June 3, 1991 from my atty., Joan D. Mason, at her office on 973 Liberty St. Meadville, Pa. 16335.
Bonita L. MacPherson, dft.

Aug. 9, 1991 Petition To require Marital Counseling and Order filed. AND NOW, this 9th day of August, 1991, the Plaintiff is hereby ordered to show cause as to why he should not be ordered to attend marriage counseling. Hearing set 8/19/91 1:30 p.m.
PER CURIAM,
P. Richard Thomas, J

Aug. 13, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty. Youngs & Mason

Aug. 19, 1991 Motion To Continue Hearing and Order filed. And Now, August 16, 1991 the above hearing is continued generally to be rescheduled upon motion of either party.
Gordon R. Miller, J

Aug. 26, 1991 Copies of order put in box for Atty. Young

Sept. 12, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Aug. 25, 1992, Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Sept. 25, 1992, Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree filed.

Oct. 28,1992, Petition for Additional Remedies filed.

Nov. 20, 1992 Plaintiff's Inventory & Appraisement filed.

Jan. 20, 1993 Motion For Sanctions and/or to Compel Filing of Inventory and Appraisement and Order filed. AND NOW, upon presentation of the foregoing Motion for Sanctions and/or to Compel Filing of Inventory and Appraisement, a Rule is issued upon the Defendant, Bonita L. MacPherson, to appear and show cause, if any, why the relief requested by the Plaintiff should not be granted.
This Rule shall be returnable and a hearing held thereon on Feb. 25th, 1993, at 3:30 o'clock p.m. Courtroom 1 or 2, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania. BY
BY THE COURT,
Date: 1-14-93 Gordon R. Miller, J
Jan. 20, 1993 Copies of order to atty's Youngs and Mason

Feb. 24, 1993 Inventory and Appraisement of Defendant, filed.

Mar. 1, 1993 Motion to Withdraw Motion for Sanction and Order filed. AND NOW, Marchl, 1993, upon Plaintiff' request, the above mentioned mtion is withdrawn.
BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Mar. 5, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Youngs and Mason

Nov. 4, 1996 Appearance filed. I, Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esq., enter my Appearance for the Plaintiff in the above matter.

Nov. 4, 1996 Inventory of Plaintiff filed.

Nov. 4, 1996 Income and Expense Statement of Plaintiff filed.

Nov 6, 1996 Motion For Appointment of Master in Divorce and Order: And Now, November 8, 1996 David W. Pickens, Esquire is appointed master in respect to the following claims: Divorce, equitable distribution, alimony, costs and expenses
If not filed already the parties are ordered to file their pretrial statements within thirty (30) days from this date. Defendant shall comply with PaRCP 1920.31 and 1920.33 within 20 days.
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Nov 8, 1996 Faxed kirkpatrick, Mason & Pickens

Nov 22, 1996 Notice of Scheduled Pre-Hearing Conference - Thursday, January 16, 1997 at 10:00 a.m., filed.

Nov. 26, 1996 Income and Expense State of Defendant filed.

Nov. 26, 1996 Inventory and Appraisement of Defendant filed.

Jan. 15, 1997 Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Statement filed.

Jan. 15, 1997 Notice of Continuation of Pre-Hearing Conference filed.

Jan. 28, 1997 Motion To Disqualify filed.

Jan. 28, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, January 17, 1997, upon Motion of the Defendant to Disqualify Plaintiff's Counsel, a hearing is scheduled for February 11, 1997, at 3:30 p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or NO. 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse in Meadville
Pending that hearing, the proceedings in this matter are stayed and neither party shall make any effort to move this case forward in any manner.
BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

1-28-97 distributed Kirkpatrick & Mason

Cont. on Pg. 572

Dennis G. Kuftic,

502.

John Bonanti

LEORA HIGBY

vs.

JOHN M. HIGBY

Filed May 20, 1991
@ 10:06 a.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00

PETITION FOR PROTECTION FROM ABUSE

1 copy (county control)
1 copy (sheriff Dept.)
2 copies (atty)

ORDER OF COURT:

AND NOW, to-wit, this 20 day of May 1991, upon consideration of the Petition for Protection From Abuse in the above case, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

A final hearing for the Petitioner and REspondent will be held on the 31 day of May 1991 at 2:30 p.m.at Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

Pending said hearing it is ordreed that;

a. Temporary custody is granted to your petitioner of the parties three minor children, Leora Ann Higby, Serena Maria Higby, and Anthony Matthew Higby.

b. Respondent, John M. Higby, husband of the Petitioner, is directed to refrain from threatening to abuse or physically abusing the petitioner and the parties' children by any act or actions, including both physically and verbally.

c. -------

d. That the sheriff Dept. or any other police Dept. within the area of the residence of the parties, including the State Police Dept., shall enforce this order by:

1. The sheriff's Dept. of Crawford County is hereby ordered to serve a cert. copy of this order on the respondent, John M. Higby at R. D. #2, Box 2289, Union City, Pa. where the said respondent now resides.

2. If the respondent violates this order, he is to be arrested on the charge of indirect criminal contempt. An arrest for violation of this order may be without warrant, based solely on probable cause, whether or not the violation is committed in the presence of the Sheriff or Police aforesaid or not.

3. If the respondent is placed under arrest for violation of this order, he should be taken before the court. If this court is unavailable, the respondent shall be arraigned before the District Magistrate, who shall fix security.

Defendant shall have visitation with children Mon. Wed and Fridays 7 p.m. to 9:30 p.m. and may pick up children at Pl.'s residence and take them to his residence for the visit.

P. R. Thomas, J.

May 29, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, May 24, 1991, the matter is back before the Court on a motion for special relief in this abuse action. It is contended by the plaintiff that the Defendant husband and his family have moved the washer, the dryer, and other possessions out of the marital household. The Plaintiff is now residing at Horizon House in Union City with the children and will continue to do so until possession of the marital residence is determined at a hearing scheduled for May 31, 1991.

The father of the Defendant appears and gives the Court reasons why the marital property was removed from the residence. The Court directs that the Defendant John M. Higby within 24 hours return any and all personal property formerly located in the marital residence to the marital residence. His personal clothing is excluded. The Plaintiff will continue to reside at Horizon House or any other place of her choice and exclusive use of the marital residence will be decided at the hearing on May 31, 1991. The Defendant is cautioned that should any property removed be damaged, destroyed, or refused to be returned, he will be held in contempt of Court and assessed damages for the loss.

Previous visitation orders accorded the husband are recinded.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

May 30, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Atty. Kuftic and Defendant and copy given to Co. Control.

June 5, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, May 31, 1991 the matter is before the Court for a final order in the abuse case and the matter is handled informally with the parties and their respective counsel, resulting in an amicable agreement resolving the majority of the issues raised in these three companion actions.

In the abuse action, the parties mutually agree that a hearing is unnecessary and the Defendant, without admitting he committed any of the acts alleged in the petition by the wife, agrees that he will not abuse, assault, harass, or annoy his wife in any fashion. Likewise, the wife agrees that she will not assault, annoy or harass the husband in any fashion. Each party mutually agrees that they will not encourage or allow friends or family members to do so on their behalf.

In regard to the right to occupy the marital residence at R.D.2, Box 2289, Union City, the parties mutually agree that the wife together with her children shall have exclusive custody of the marital residence. The wife shall be responsible for making the monthly payments in the amount of approximately $127 per month plus the insurance on the premises.

With regard to taxes, it appears that the real estate taxes in the amount of approximately $800 remain unpaid and the parties mutually agree that the expected refund check from the Department of Revenue relating to income taxes will be endorsed by both parties and applied toward the 1990 unpaid real estate taxes. Regarding the 1991 real estate taxes, the parties are unable to reach an agreement and the Court enters no order with respect to the same.

With regard to child visitation, the parties mutually agree the father shall have weekend visitations with his three children the first and their weekends of every month commencing with the month of June, 1991. It shall be the responsibility of the mother to deliver the children for said visits on Friday at 6:00 p.m. and the responsibility of the father to return the children Sunday at 7:00 p.m.

In addition, the father shll be entitled to have the children in his exclusive possession or at the home of his parents every Tuesday and Thursday commencing with the week of June 2, 1991 between the hours of 6:00 p.m. and 9:00 p.m. It shall be the responsibilty of the mother to deliver the children and the responsibility of the father to return the children.

The parties and their counsel request the Court allow them time to amicably resolve visitations on the traditional holidays and birthdays of the parents and likewise to resolve the issue of each parent having a more extended exclusive custody of the children for any planned summer vacation period. Accordingly the Court leaves to the parties and their counselto mutually resolve these issues and if the same are resolved, request they file a copy supplementing the visitation schedule set forth herein.

Continued to Page 502a

LEORA HIGBY FOR [illegible]

VS.

JOHN M. HIGBY

Record Continued from page 502

of

AD 1991-502

****

Since the husband is in possession of the real eatate now, he mutually agrees that he will vacate the premises on June 1, 1991 at 12:00 noon and turn over all keys to the wife. The husband shall be allowed to take his clothing and his other personal possessions from the premises; i.e., hunting equipment, sports equipment, and clothing. The husband agrees he will remove none of the household furnishings and other than his clothing will only remove any sports equipment, hunting equipment or fishing equipment that belongs to him.

This order is made to cover all of the issues involved with the same force and effect as if separate hearings had been held. With regard to the custody action, the parties and counsel mutually agree that this custody issue is resolved with the same force and effect as if custody had bee separately raised as a separate count in the wife's divorce action.

With regard to the support obligation, the parties mutually agree that commencing with the month of June, 1991, the Defendant/father will pay through the Domestic Relations Section the sum of $130.00 per month for the support of three minor children listed on the support complaint now lodged in the Domestic Relations Section. These payments will be paid on the first and 15th of each and every monty. The parties mutually agree that this child support obligation is not dispositive of the request for permanent alimony in the divorce action nor the request for alimony pendente lite, counsel fees, and expenses in the divorce action. The parties mutually agree with respect to the support order, it shall remain in effect for as long as the wife occupies the marital residence with the children and so long as there is no substantial change in either of the parties.

With regard to costs, the wife is directed to pay the filing fees and costs in the divorce case, the Defendant is directed to pay $28.00 costs in the Domestic Relations Section, and the Court will pay the costs in the abuse case.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 12, 1991 Copies of order mailed Attys Kuftic and Bonanti.

502
-b-

1991

1991

Routman, Moore,
Goldstone & Valentino
Joseph P. Valentino

503.

Thomas, Truax, Spada-
fore and Walker
R. Charles Thomas

Cusick, Madden, Joyce
and McKay
Peter C. Acker,ESquire

KATHERINE M. YOUNT

vs.

DEBRA MARIE BARTGES,
JOHN W. KOVACH,
KATHERINE L. KOVACH

Filed May 20, 1991
@ 1:25 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	75.00
Sheriff's Costs	75.00
Pro Co pd 7-26-93	6.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

Dec. 4, 1991 Summons reissued.

Jan. 3, 1992 Writ Return filed. SERVED the within Summons on December 11, 1991, at 12:50 PM, upon Thomas J. Kovach at his residence, 5886 Virginia Rd., Hermitage, PA by handing to Katherine L. Kovach adult family member with hom Thomas J. resides a true and correct copy thereof and made known to her the contents therein.
So answers, Anthony J. Hnida,
William H. Romine,

I hereby deputize the Sheriff of Mercer County, Pennsylvania to serve the within Summons according to law.
Howard N. Stewart,

SERVED the within Summons on December 11, 1991, at 12:50 PM, upon Katherine L. Kovach at her residence, 5886 Virginia Rd., Hermitage, Pa by handing to her personally a true and correct copy thereof and made known to her the contents therein.
Anthony J. Hnida, Deputy Sheriff
William H. Romine, Jr. Sheriff

SERVED the within Summons on December 11, 1991, at 12:50 PM, upon John W. Kovach at his residence, 5886 Virginia Rd., Hermitage, PA by handing to Katherine L. Kovach, adult family member with whom John W. resides, a true and correct copy thereof and made known to her the contents therein. Anthony J. Hnida, deputy Sheriff
William H. Romine, Jr., Sheriff

SERVED Debra Marie Bartges personally on December 9, 1991 at 4:20 PM in the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
SERVED John W. Kovach by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer County, PA, as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on december 11, 1991.
SERVED Thomas J. Kovach by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer County, PA, as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on December 11, 1991.
SERVED Katherine L. Kovach by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer County, PA, as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on December 11, 1991.
So answers, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Mar. 13, 1992, Complaint in Civil Action - Law (Trespass-Motor Vehicle) filed.

Mar. 30, 1992 SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. I hereby deputize the Sheriff of Mercer Co., Pa. to serve the within Complaint according to law. The defendants alleged address is 5886 New Virginia Rd., Hermitage, Pa. 16148. Robert M. Stevens, Sheriff, Cr. Co., Pa.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE filed. SERVED the within Complaint in Civil Action - Law on March 19, 1992, at 11:23 a.m., upon John W. Kovach, Thomas J. Kovach and Katherine L. Kovach at 5886 New Virginia Rd., Hermitage, Pa. by handing to Thomas J. Kovach a true and correct copy thereof and made known to him the contents therein. William H. Romine, Jr. Sheriff, Mercer Co.

SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. SERVED Debra Marie Bartges personally on March 18, 1992 at 11:35 AM by handing her a copy of the Complaint at her residence, R.D. 2, Box 1105, Conneaut Lake, Crawford County, Pa. 16316.

SERVED John W. Kovach by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer County, Pa. asper his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on March 19, 1992.

SERVED Thomas J. Kovach by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer County, Pa. as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on March 19, 1992.

SERVED Katherine L. Kovach by deputizing the Sheriff of Mercer Co., Pa. as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on March 19, 1992.
So answers, Dale A. Collins, Deputy Sheriff
Robert M. Stevnes, Sheriff

Apr. 7, 1992 Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadefore and Walker by R. Charles Thomas for Defendant Debra Marie Bartges./

April 22, 1992 Appearance of Cusick, Madden, Joyce and McKay by Peter C. Acker for defendants John W. Kovach, Thomas J. Kovach and Katherine L. Kovach filed.

May 20, 1992 Answer filed.

June 22, 1992 Answer and New Matter, filed.

July 9, 1992 Reply Of Plaintiff To New Matter Plead By Defendants, John W. Kovach, Thomas J. Kovach and Katherine L. Kovach filed.

July 9, 1992 Reply Of Plaintiff To New Matter Filed by Debra Marie Bartges filed.

March 8, 1993 Plaintiff's Answer to The Motion For Summary Judgmetn filed on Behalf of Defendant Debra Marie Bartges filed.

March 8, 1993 Motion For Summary Judgment on Behalf of Defendant, Debra Marie Bartges and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 8 day of March, 1993, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition a Rule is granted upon the Plaintiff to show cause, if any she has, why the Defendant's request for summary judgment should not be granted. List on next available Argument List.
BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

March 10, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. J. Valentino, R. Charles Thomas and Peter Acker.

ON APRIL 1993 ARGUMENT LIST

Mar. 16, 1993 Affidavit of Joseph Paul Valentino, Esquire filed.

RECORD CONTINUED ON
PAGE 564.....

504

1991

Wasson, Egan & Wilson
William G. Martin

504.

Donald E. Lewis

KEVIN D. HUDOCK

vs.

FREDERICK C. RICE

Filed May 20, 1991
@ 2:47 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.25
state paid	5.00
Arb. paid 12/2/92	15.00

APPEAL OF PLAINTIFF FROM JUDGMENT OF WILLIAM D. CHISHOLM, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-2-01

May 23, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

May 31, 1991 Complaint filed.

July 25, 1991 Defendant's Answer To Plaintiff's Complaint filed

Dec. 2, 1992, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for reference to the arbitrators, the following arbitrators are appointed: ~~John Spataro,~~ Ch. Bruce Barrett, Ch.
Paul Allen
Theodore Watts

(Bruce Barrett Substituted as Chairman in place of J. Spataro)

Jan. 20, 1993 Arbitrators take qualifying oath.

Mar. 30, 1993, DECISION OF ARBITRATORS filed in which they find in favor of the defendant and make no award in favor ofthe plaintiff.

Mar. 30, 1993, copies of Decision to Attys. Martin and Lewis.

H - 106
decision entered
3/30/93

ARBITRATORS DECISION
Barbara H. King, Pro.

1991

John D. Petruso
Fuller, Kinnunen, Petruso, Gamble & Fabian
505.
James R. Irwin
Shafer, Swick, BaILEY, Irwin & Stqck

KATHERINE P. RUBLE

vs.

ROBERT D. RUBLE

Filed May 20, 1991
@ 3:36 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	10.00
state paid	15.00
Pro Co. pd 7/10/92	9.00
Pro Co pd 7/21/92	5.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE
EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE
PERMANENT ALIMONY, COUNSEL FEES, EXPENSES, COSTS

1 copy (atty)

June 7, 1991 Appearance of Shafer, swick Bailey, Irwin and Stack, by James R. Irwin, attorneys for defendant filed.

June 7, 1991 **Acceptance of Service of Complaint in Divorce** filed. AND NOW, this 25th day of May, 1991, and in accordance with Rule of Civil Procedure 402(b), I hereby accept service of a true and correct copy of the complaint in divorce.
Robert D. Ruble, Defendant

Nov. 20, 1991 Affidavit Of Consent of Defendant filed.

July 1, 1992 Affidavit of Consent by Katherine P. Ruble, Plaintiff, filed.

July 7, 1992 MOTION TO WITHDRAW COUNTS and ORDER, filed. ORDER; AND NOW this 6th day of July, 1992 the motion is granted.
BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
July 9, 1992 copy in box for J. Petruso & J. Irwin.

July 10, 1992 Upon Praecipe of plaintiff attorney for Review under §3301 of Divorce Code this case moved to court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

July 16, 1992 AND NOW, July 15, 1992, it is ordered and decreed that **KATHERINE P. RUBLE**, plaintiff, and **ROBERT D. RUBLE**, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Corut retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered and which has not been withdrawn by the party making the claim.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 21, 1992 Notice Of Intent to Retake Maiden Name filed. Katherine P. Ruble TO BE KNOWN AS Katherine Lee Patterson

1991

Fuller, Kinnunen,
Petruso, Gamble, Fabian
Ann Hansen Gamble

506.

ANDREW E. WAYCHOFF

vs.

TAMMY R. WAYCHOFF

Filed May 21, 1991
@ 1:12 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	10.00
State paid	10.00 ✓
1 count paid	15.00 ✓

Bruce Smith, Mediator
Pro Co Pd 12/12.91 9.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

May 23, 1991 Motion For The Appointment of A Custody Mediator and Orde rfiled. AND NOW, this 23 day of May, 1991, Bruce Smith, is appointed Custody Mediator in the abov ematter and to hold a hearing and make report to this court.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 29, 1991 Copies of order to Ann Hansen Gamble and Bruce Smith

May 29, 1991 Affidavit of Mailing filed. Ann Hansen Gamble, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is an Attorney at Law, duly authorized to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and that on May 22, 1991, she sent a certified copy of the Complaint in Divorce to the Defendant by certified mail, restricted delivery, postage prepaid, receipt requested, to Tammy R. Waychoff, 201 West Main St., Titusville, PA 16354, the Defendant's last known address. The said receipt being attached hereto.

Ann Hansen Gamble

ooooooo see below

And now, Dec. 9, 1991, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Dec. 9, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

June 3, 1991 Stipulation adn Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 31 day of May, 1991, the parties hereto having stipulated and agreed, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED as follws:

1. The legal and physical custody of the children of the parties, Andrew Eric Waychoff, Jr., date of birth, May 20, 1988; and Kayla Marie Waychoff, date of birth, August 4, 1990; is and shall remain joint and shared legal and physical custody, with the primary physical custody of the children remaining with the mother, defendant herein Tammy R. Waychoff. Both parties hereto shall be equally entitled to information from any and all third party providers of service to the two children including but not limited to schools, hospitals, physician, dentists, counselors, or any others who may provide services to the children. Neither shall be required to obtain any additional order of court for such information to be released to his and her. Each parent shall have the right to participate in any decision concerning the children which are of a non-emergency nature. In the event of any emergency, the parent who at the time has physical custody of the children is authorized to make whatever decisions may be required by the circumstances and must immediately notify the other parent of the emergency.

(a) The father shall have the right to take the children into his physical custody on the first, third, and fourth Fridays of each month and retain them until the following Sunday. The visits shall begin at 5:00 o'clock p.m. on Friday and continue until 7:00 o'clock p.m. on Sunday. For the purpose of numbering the weekends, on those occasions when a new month begins on Saturday or Sunday, that shall be deemed the last weekend of the previous month; the first weekend of a month must begin with Friday being a part of the month in which it is counted.

(b). The father shall also have the right to take the children into his custody on Wednesdays from the conclusion of his employment until 8:00 p.m.

(c). For the summers of 1991 and 1992 the father shall be entitled to have the children in his physical custody for two one week periods which he may elect on two (2) weeks written notice to the mother. After the summer of 1992 if the parties cannot agree on an expansion of the summer visit with the father, either party may petition the court for the purpose of considering such expansion and that without showing any other change of circumstances.

(d). The holidays of New Years, Easter, Memorial Day, Fourth of July, and Labor Day will be alternated between the parties with the father beginning the rotation with the holiday of Fourth of July in the summer of 1991. Those holidays which are spent with the non-custodial parent shall extend from 9:00 a.m. until 8:00 p.m.

(e). For the holiday of Thanksgiving the children shall remain in the home of the mother until 3:00 o'clock on Thanksgiving Day until 6:00 p.m. of the following day.

(f). For the holiday of Christmas the children shall remain in the home with the mother until 1:00 p.m. on Christmas Day and shall be with the father from 1:00 p.m. on Christmas Day until 6:00 p.m. on December 28th.

2. Each party to this agreement is specifically directed and ordered to encourage the children to have that love and respect for the other party which the children are entitled to have. Each shall encourage the children to adhere to the terms of this order.

3. Neither shall take the children into any place or expose them to any circumstances which might be considered as detrimental to their safety and well being.

4. Jurisdiction shall be retained by the Court ss long as either party resides in Crawford County.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 6, 1991 copies to Atty. Gamble, Atty. Bruce Smith and defendant.

ooooooo see above

Dec. 9, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, to transmit the record, this case moved to court.

Dec. 19, 1991 D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .

AND NOW, December 17, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Andrew E. Waychoff, plaintiff, and Tamyy R. Waychoff, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

In Error

Jan 6, 1997 ORDER (Argument on Motion for Sanctions), filed: AND NOW, Jan 2, 1997, the Motion for Sanctions is before this Court for determination. Counsel for both parties appear.

It appears and is contended by counsel for the plaintiff that depositions of the defendant have been scheduled seven times, all of which have had to be continued at the request or due to the fault of the defendant. The last deposition scheduled for Nov 26, 1996 was forced to be canceled allegedly because the defendant's employer again required him to work on that date in the State of Indiana or elsewhere.

[illegible]

1991

507.

LORRAINE POPE

vs.

MICHAEL GORMAN

7/1/91 Recd $21.00 from Pl + Def.

7/1/41 Paid $21.00 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff Ck #6296

Filed May 21, 1991
@ 3;41 p.m.

Tax & entry	PH 7/1/91	$50.50 ✓
state	PH 7/1/91	5.00
Sheriff's costs		21.00
Pro Co	PH 7/1/91	5.00 ✓

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 21st day of May, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact the plaintiff in any way. Defendant shall not go to plaintiffs house until further Court Order.

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for May 30 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 24, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Michael Gorman on Mayy 22, 1991 at 3:25 p.m. by handing copy of Protection from Abuse to Beatrice Gorman, his Grandmother, at their residence, 521 E. Henry Street, Meadville, Crawford County, Pa.
So answers,
James Withers, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 30, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff this case is discontinued of record.

June 4, 1991 Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, May 30, 1991, at the time fixed for hearing in this matter, both parties appeared with counsel, were advised of their right to counsel and were generally advised as to the nature of these proceedings.

The Plaintiff indicated she did not want to pursue this matter any further and that she did not want a Protection From Abuse Order.

ACCORDINGLY,the plaintiff shall go to the Prothonotary's Office and sign a discontinuance, whereupon, this action shall be discontinued.

The plaintiff and defendant do not work. The plaintiff receives aproximately $1300.00 per month from various sources and the defendant receives $150.00 every two weeks from Public Assistance.

The Court costs shall be split by the parties, with the plaintiff paying one-half (1/2) of the court costs and the defendant payinga the other half within thirty (30) days from this date.

The Temporary Abuse Order of May 21, 1991, is hereby REVOKED. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Police Department in the jurisdiction where the plaintiff resides and to County Control.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

June 4, 1991 copy given to County Control.

508

1991

Allen and Hall
Stephen E. Hall

508.

CHARLES L. CALVERT, SR.

vs.

ARLEEN Y. CALVERT

Filed May 22, 1991
@ 9:27 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co. pd 9-26-91	9.00

COMPALINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

Sept. 25, 1991 Acceptance of Service filed. I, the undersigned Defendant in the above captioned action, hereby accept service of the Complaint in Divorce.

Arleen Y. Calvert, Defendant

Sept. 25, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept.25, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 25, 1991 Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff attorney for Review under Section 201(c) of Divorce Code, this case moved to Court.

Oct. 1, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

And now, September 30, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that CHARLES L. CALVERT, plaintiff, and ARLEEN Y. CALVERT, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

1991

509.

TAMMY R. WAYCHOFF

vs.

ANDREW E. WAYCHOFF SR.

Filed May 22, 1991
@ 10:43 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid 8/20/91 $50.50
state Pd 8/20/91 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 33.50
Gro. Co Pd 8/20/91 9.00

8/20/91 Recd #33.50 sheriff costs from dft.

Pd to Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff #3350 Check no. 6358

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 21st day of May, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not go to Plaintiffs house. Defendant shall not contact the plaintiff in any way until further order of court.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for May 28, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 24, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SEREVED Andrew E. Waychoff, Sr., personally on May 22, 1991 at 2:40 PM at his residence, 336 East Central Avenue, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers,
James Withers, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

May 28, 1991 Motion to Continue and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 23 day of May 1991, hearing on the plaintiff's petition for protection from abuse is continued to June 4, 1991 at 4:00 p.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

All of the terms and ocnditions fo the temporary abuse order entered May 22, 1991, are hearby extended and continued until further order of court.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. MIller, J.

May 30 1991 copies to plff and dft.

June 3, 1991 Stipulation and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 31 day of May, 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Stipulation of the parties, the Court enters the following Order:

1. Although the Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations set forth in the Plaintiff's Petition for Emergency Relief under Protection from Abuse Act, the Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass, or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in Plaintiff's Petition. Telephone communications are permitted for the purpose of dealing with the needs of the children and the custody and visitation arrangements.
2. The Plaintiff shall have the exclusive possession of the former residence of the parties located at 201 West Main Street Titusville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania.
3. The Defendant shall pay the costs in the above matter within sixty (60) days hereof.
4. The within Order shall remain in full force and effect for a peroid of six (6) months from the date hereof.
5. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe it has been violated.
6. A copy of this order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas

June 6, 1991 copies to plaintiff, Atty. Gamble and County Control

1991

510.

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, walker, Vardaro
Emil Spadafore

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

vs.

WILLIAM R. DROSS, JR.

Filed May 23, 1991
@ 1:19 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

APPEAL FROM SUSPENSION OF OPERATING PRIVILEGES

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 20 day of May 1991, upon presentation and consideration of the within Petition for Appeal from Suspension, a hearing on the appeal shall be held on the 28 day of Aug. 1991 at 9:00 a.m. at the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 or as soon thereafter as the attention of the court may be had.

The petitioner's operating privileges are hereby reinstated pending a final decision of the appeal after the hearing. A copy of the within Petition and Order shall be served on the Dept. of Trans., Bur. of Driver licensing, Citation Processing Division, Room 103, Transportation and Saftely Building, Harrisburg, Pa. 17120, by cert. mail, ret. rec. req. at least 30 days prior to the aforesaid hearing date.

Date: 5/20/91 BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, Judge

May 23, 1991 copy of order to Atty. Spadafore.

***

Aug. 29, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, August 28, 1991, in view of the statement just made on the record by Mr. Spadafore as counsel for the appellant, the motion to withdraw the appeal is GRANTED. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
J. Quint Salmon, S.J.

Sept. 3, 1991 Copies of order to Atty:s Spadafore and Kuhar

***Aug. 29, 1991 MOtionTo wIthdraw Appeal filed.

1991

Diane E. Murphy

511.

ROBERT F. WAGNER AND LINDA MARIE WAGNER, HIS WIFE

vs.

RONALD M. STACHURA AND JUDITH STACHURA, HIS WIFE

Filed May 23, 1991
@ 1:36 p.m.

Tax & entry paid $50.50
state 11/30/91 5.00
Pro Co Pd. 12/4/91 - 9.00

COMPLAINT IN ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

Aug. 15, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. DIANE E. MURPHY ESQUIRE, attorney for Robert F. Wagner and Linda Marie Wagner, the Plaintiffs herein, hereby deposes and says that a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Action to Wuiet Title was served upon the Defendants, Ronald M. Stachura and Judith Stachura, his wife, pursuant to Pa. Rule of Civil Procedure 403 and Rule 404, by placing a true and correct copy of the Complaint in U.S. Certified Mail, return receipt requested, addressed to each defendant, and the defendants did receive same by either signing the return receipt personally or by an authorized agent. The certified mail receipts are attached hereto and marked as Ex. "A"

Aug. 15, 1991 NOTICE OF DEFAULT, filed.

Oct. 1, 1991 Affidavit filed. BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, a notary public personally appeared ROBERT F. WAGNER, and LINDA MARIE WAGNER, the Plaintiffs her ein, who being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that they are the Plaintiffs in this action; that a Complaint in Quiet Title, endorsed with a Notice to defendant wihtin twenty days from service thereof was served upon the Defendants by placing a true and correct copy of same in the United STates certified mail, return receipt requested, and addressed to each defendant at 454 Randall drive, Troy, Michigan 48084, which the defendant did receive per the return receipt dated May 31, 1991; and that neither the defendants have filed an Answer thereto although the time in which to do so has expired.

Robert F. wagner
Linda Marie Wagner

Oct. 7, 1991, Motion for Judgment and Order of court filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, this 4th day of Oct. 1991 it is hereby ORDERED AND DECREED that the defendants, their heirs, executors, successors, administrators, devisees, and assigns are forever barred from asserting any right, lien, title or interest whatsoever, inteh property which is the subject matter of this suit, inconsistent with the interest or claim of the plaintiff set forth in their Complaint, unless the Defendants, their heirs, executors, successors, administrators, devisees, and assigns, take such action whitin thirty (30) days from the date such relief is ordered. If such action is not taken within the thirty (30) day period, the Prothonotary is directed to enter final judgment upon the praecipe of the plaintiff.
(affidavit attached)

BY THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S. J.

Oct 9, 1991 copy of order to Atty. D. Murphy

And Now, Dec. 4, 1991 at 1:19 p.m., Judgment (Final Judgment) is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants pursuant to the order of court dated Oct. 4, 1991, Judgment entered 12/4/91
S - 145, 95

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

512

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

512.

AMY J. BURKHARDT

vs.

DAVID P. BURKHARDT

Filed May 23, 1991
@ 1:57 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

June 21, 1991 complaint reinstated.

August 5, 1991 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esq., attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, despose and say that I did on June 25, 1991 mail to the above Defendant, David P. Burkhardt, by certified mail return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a Complaint in the above divorce action. Said notice was received on June 26, 1991, as indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto. Joan D. Mason

Oct. 16, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

March 4, 1992 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed.
Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 3/4/92 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.
If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.
If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:
Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: March 12, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
Mar. 12, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

1991

Bernstein & Bernstein
Susan W. Laatsch

513.

HEARING TECHNOLOGY, INC.

vs.

ROBERT W. PETRUSO INDIVIDUALLY AND AS A PARTNER TRADING AND DOING BUSINESS AS R. W. PETRUSO HEARING AID SERVICE

Filed May 23, 1991
@ 2:00 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5/23/91 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 27.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

June 21, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. SERVED Robert W. Petruso personally on May 28, 1991 at 11:44 AM by handing copy of Compaint to him at place of business, 939 Park Avenue, Meadville,, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED R.W.Petruso Hearing Aid Service on May 28, 1991 at 11:45 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Robert W. Petruso, owner, at business, 939 Park Ave Meadville, Crawford County, PA.

So answers, Edgar D. Householder, Chief Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

514

1991

Watts and Pepicelli
Alan L. Pepicelli

514.

Thomas, Truas, Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker
R. Charles Thomas

ROSALEE ANN ROSE

vs.

FRANCIS G. ROSE, III

FILED May 23, 1991
@ 3:57 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	24.00
Pro co pd 6-7-93	6.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

June 20, 1991 **SHERIFF'S RETURN** filed. SERVED Francis G. Rose, III personally on May 24, 1991 at 9:29 AM at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA.
So answers, Diane Severo, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 26, 1991 Appearance of Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro and Walker, by R. Charles Thomas, attorneys for defendant filed.

June 1, 1993 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney the above-captioned case is settled and discontinued of record.

1991

515.

Theodore H. Watts

JOHN RIFENBERRICK

vs.

DAVID AND MARIE MILLER

Filed May 23, 1991
@ 4:07 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.25
state paid 5.00
Pro Co pd 6/27/91 9.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANTS FROM JUDGMENT OF HUBERT E VOGAN, DISTRICT MAGISTRATE NO. 30-3-02

May 23, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

May 24, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

And now, June 24, 1991 at 4:07 p.m. upon praecipe of defendants attorney judgment of Non Pros is hereby entered against the named plaintiff for failure to file a Complaint within twenty days after being ruled so to do.

R-93
JUDGMENT entered 6/24/91
notice mailed 6/24/91

JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1991

516.

IN THE MATTER OF:

RONDA CALOMINO FOR
THE 1991 PRIMARY ELECTION

Filed May 23, 1991
@ 4:10 p.m.

REGISTRATION OF RONDA CALOMINO - PRIMARY ELECTION 1991

ORDER:

AND NOW, May 21, 1991 the court, having the right to permit persons to vote inthe May 1991 Primary Election who through some erroe were not listed as registered voters, all pursuant to 25 P.S. 3046 and Philadelphia Election Case,332 Pa. 447, 2 A.2d 301 (1938) herebyorders that Ronda Calomino of 141 Smith Street, Cochranton, Crawford County, Pa., shall be permitted to vote as a Republican in the May 1991 Primary Election held this date even though her name doen not appear among the registered voters in Crawford County. Statements made by Ronda Calomino and Elizabeth Henrich to the court satisfy the curt that Ronda Calomino completed an application for registration, delivered the same to Elizabeth Henrich and that Elizabeth Henrich delivered the same to Voter Registrars office in February 1991 prior to the April 1991 deadline. It appears to the court based upon this credible evidence that Ronda Calomino took all of the necessary and requisite steps to beocme registered to vote in the May 1991 Primary Election and that an error may have occurred in the Voter Registrar's office.

Ronda Calomino shall be permitted to register, nunc pro tunc. She is ordered and directed to appear in person at the Voter Registrar's Office at the Crawford County courthouse prior to July 1, 1991 to complete the registration process so that her name shall appear as a properly registered voter. In the event that she does not register nunc pro tunc she shall not be permitted to vote further although her failure to register nunc pro tunc shall not affect her ability to vote, or the vote she caste, in the May 1991 Primary Election held this date.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

May 21, 1991, copies to R. Calomino, GlennSmock and Ann Larson.

Bukac and Toomey
Terry Toomey

517.

MICHELE FIELD

vs.

JAMES L. FIELD, II

Filed May 24, 1991
@ 8:39 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00

COMPLAINT FOR SHARED CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

NOTICE AND ORDER OF COURT:
You, James L. Field, II, dft. have been sued in court to obtain custody of the children:
Cortnie Field and Matthew Field
You are ordered to appear in person for a conference at such time and place as may be set by JOHN FULLER, CHILD CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR.
If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot affored one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.
Crawford County Bar Assoc. ser. tothe Pub. Comm.
c/o Prothonotry, Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335 724-4636
Date: 5/24/91 BY THE COURT,
P. R. Thomas, S. J.

May 24, 1991, Application for Special RElief filed.
1 copy (atty 1 copy (mediator)

May 24, 1991
INTERMIM CUSTODY ORDER OF COURT filed.
AND NOW, this 24 day of May 1991 upon consideration of the Plaintiff's application for Special Relief inthe above custody action, the court hereby issues this Interim Order, pending the outcome of child custody mediator to be scheduled at a later date. Inthe interim, it is hereby ORDERED ADJUDICATED, AND DECREED THAT:
1. The Plaintiff, the natural mother of Cortnie Filed, age 4, and Matthew Filed, age 2, shall be entitled to custody fo the minor children at all times, except as provided below:
2. The defendant natural father shall be entitled to weekend visitation with the minor children on an every other weekend basis from Friday at 3:30 p.m. to Sunday at 6:00 p.m. commencing with the weekend of Friday May 31 through Sunday June 1, 1991;
3. The defendant natural father shall be entitled to weekday visitation on an every week basis from Tuesday at 3:30 p.m/ through Wednesday at 7:30 p.m.. this weekday visitation on an every week basis from Tuesday at 3:30 p.m. through Wednesday at 7:30 p.m.; this weekday visitation to commence on Tuesday May 28, 1991.
4. The above-des cribed interim custody arrangement shall govern the parties until such time as the child custody mediation takes place. In the interim, the party receiving the children back into his or her custody shall provide transportation. Ergo, the defendant shall pick up the children on Tuesdays of each week at 3:30 p.m. and on every other Friday at 3:30 p.m. The plaintiff natural mother shall pick up the children on Wednesday evening of eac h week at 7:30 p.m. and every other Sunday evening at 6;00 p.m.

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas J.

518

1991

Dennis G. Kuftic

518.

John Bonanti

LEORA ANN HIGBY

vs.

JOHN M. HIGBY

Filed May 24, 1991
@ 8:50 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
3 counts paid	45.00 ✓
state paid	10.00
state paid	20.00
Pro Co. paid (4/1/98)	5.00 ✓

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, ALIMONY, MARITAL PROPERTY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, AND EXPENSES

2 copies (atty)

May 24, 1991 Motion for Special Relief and Order filed: 5/24/91 See Special Order. (filed at AD 1991-502)

June 5, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, May 31, 1991 the matter is before the Court for a final Order in the abuse case and the matter is handled informally with the parties and their respective counsel, resulting in an amicable agreement resolving the majority of the issues raised in these three companion actions.

In the abuse action, the parties mutually agree that a hearing is unnecessary and the Defendant, without admitting he committed any of the acts alleged in the petition by the wife, agrees that he will not abuse, assault, harass or annoy his wife in any fashion. Likewise, the wife agrees that she will not assault, annoy or harass the husband in any fashion. Each party mutually agrees that they will not encourage or allow friends of family members to do so on their behalf.

In regard to the right to occupy the marital residence at R.D.#2, Box 2289, Union City, the parties mutually agree that the wife together with her children shall have exclusive custody of the marital residence. The wife shall be responsible for making the monthly payments in the amount of approximately $127 per month plus the insurance on the premises.

With regard to taxes, it appears that the real estate taxes in the amount of approximately $800 remain unpaid and the parties mutally agree that an expected refund check from the Department of Revenue relating to income taxes will be endorsed by both parties and applied toward the 1990 unpaid real estate taxes. Regarding the 1991 real estate taxes, the parties are unable to reach an agreement and the Court enters no order with respect to the same.

With regard to child visitation, the parties mutually agree the father shall have weekend visitations with his three children the first and third weekends of every month commencing with the month of June 1991. It shall be the responsibility of the mother to deliver the children for said visits on Friday at 6:00 p.m. and the responsibility of the father to return the children Sunday at 7:00 p.m.

In addition, the father shall be entitled to have the children in his exclusive possession or at the home of this parents every Tuesday and Thursday commencing with the week of June 2, 1991 between the hours of 6:00 p.m. and 9:00 p.m. It shall be the responsibility of the mother to deliver the children and the responsibility of the father to return the children.

The parties and their counsel request the Court to allow them time to amicably resolve visitations on the traditional holidays and birthdays of the parents and likewise to resolve the issue of each parent having a more extended exclusive custody of the children for any planned summer vacation period. Accordingly, the Court leaves to the parties and their counsel to mutually resolve these issues and of the same are resolved, request they file a copy supplementing the visitation schedule set forth herein.

Since the husband is in possession of the real estate now, he mutually agrees that he will vacate the premises on June 1, 1991 at 12:00 noon and turn over all keys to the wife. The husband shall be allowed to take his clothing and his other personal possessions from the premises; i.e., hunting equipment, sports equipment, and clothing. The husband agrees he will remove none of the household furnishings and other than his clothing will only remove any sports equipment, hunting equipment or fishing equipment that belongs to him.

This order is made to cover all of the issues involved with the same force and effect as if separate hearings had been held. With regard to the custody action, the parties and counsel mutually agree that this custody issue is resolved with the same force and effect as if custody had been separately raised as a separate count in the wife's divorce action.

With regard to the support obligation, the parties mutually agree that commencing with the month of June 1991, the Defendant/father will pay through the Domestic Relations Section the sum of $130.00 per month for the support of three minor children listed on the support complaint now lodged in the Domestic Relations Section. These payments will be paid on the first and 15th of each and every month. The parties mutually agree that this child support obligation is not dispositive of the request for permanent alimony in the divorce action nor the request for alimony pendente lite, counsel fees and expenses in the divorce action. The parties mutually agree with respect to the support order, it shall remain in effect for as long as the wife occupies the marital residence with the children and so long as there is not substantial change in either of the parties.

With regard to costs, the wife is directed to pay the filing fees and costs in the Domestic Relations Section, and the County will pay the costs in the abuse case.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,

June 12, 1991 Copies of order mailed to Attys. Kuftic and Bonanti.

May 6, 1998 Order Terminating Case as of the above date filed.

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed. Out court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 6/1/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

I If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.

YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHER EYOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Date: March 12, 1998

Mar 12, 1998 Copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

March 19, 1998 PRAECIPE AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR SATISFACTION AND OR TERMINATION. THE WITHIN Suit is Settled, Discontinued, Ended and costs paid. (SEE PAPER FILED) Dennis G. Kuftic, Esq

1991

Northwest Legal Service
Gerald Sullivan

519.

KITTY McMILLEN

vs.

DARRELL CALVERT

Filed May 24, 1991
@ 10:12 a.m.

Indigent filing
William Pineo, mediator 102.00
John Fuller, Mediator

COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY

2 copies (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER OF COURT:

You, Darrell Calvert, Dft., have been sued in court to obtain custody of the minor children, Tadd Calvert and Ashley Calvert.

You are ordered to appear in person at the time and place selected by WILLIAM PINEO, CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

The Crawford County Sheriffs Dept. is directed to serve a cert. copy of this complaint and order upon the defendant. In the alternative, this petition and order may be served upon Charles Sutton by any competent adult or by cert. mail, or upon the defendants atty. fo record by reg. mail or personnal service.

Plaintiff is allowed to proceed without payment of court costs reserving to the court the right to impose costs, if appropriate.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. tothe publ Comm. c/o Prothonotary Crawford County Courthouse Meadville, Pa. 814 724-4636.

BY THE COURT,
P. R. Thomas, S. J.

May 24, 1991, Praecipe to Proceed in Forma pauperis and Affidavit to Proceed in Forma Pauperis, filed.

June 10, 1991, Affidavit of Service filed. I hereby certify that the defendant, Darrell Calvert, was served by cert. mail on June 1, 1991. Return receipt is attached.

Gerald Sullivan Esq.

July 2, 1991 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 28th day of June, 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical of the subject minor children.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. The father shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor children. The mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody for two weeks beginning 10:00 a.m. June 29 and ending at 10:00 a.m. two weeks thereafter. The father shall have temporary physical custody for the next two weeks and the parties shall thereafter alternate, the mother receiving her last two week period ending August 30. During the school year, the mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody on every weekend except for the first full weekend of each month from Friday at 5:30 p.m. through Sunday at 5:30 p.m. Beginning with the summer of 1992, the mother shall have primary physical custody for a two week period beginning at 10:00 a.m. of the first Saturday after the end of the children's school session and ending two weeks thereafter, and the father shall have the following two weeks and the parties shall thereafter alternate for a total of six complete cycles. The party not having physical custody during the summer under this arrangement shall not have visitation.

b. The subject minor children shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonable monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

Each year the mother shall have temporary physical custody and visitation from 5:00 p.m. Christmas Eve until 11:00 a.m. Christmas Day and from 5:00 p.m. of December 27 through 5:00 p.m. of the last day of the children's Christmas vacation from school.

b. Thanksgiving holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

Beginning with Thanksgiving 1991, and in alternate years thereafter, the mother shall have temporary physical custody and visitation from 11:00 a.m. Thanksgiving Day through the beginning of her regular weekend visitation.

c. The other holidays to be observed are New Years Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day Labor Day, and Easter. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the children may watch the fireworks. The mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody for Independence Day, 1991, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

d. The children shall spend Father's Day with their father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with their mother, during the same hours.

e. The provisions of this paragaraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the children at the home of the other.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 589

520

1991

William J. Gregg

520.

Barbara J. Walton

JERRY L. SALSBERRY

vs.

CANDANCE SALSBERRY
Mike Lytle

Filed May 24, 1991
@ 1:20 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
1 count paid	15.00
state paid	10.00

William Pineo, mediator
Pro Co Pd 11/1/91 9.00
Pro Co Pd 11-20-92 9.00

9-24-92 Received from Defendant Sped deposit $150.00

10-31-92 Pd deft, Refund on med. deposit Ck#7149 65.00

11-20-92 Pd Comm. Med Fee Ck# 7186 76.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF DIVORCE CODE, CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)

June 4, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.

2. That a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce and Notice were sent by registered mail to the Ddefendant, Candace Salsberry on May 30, 1991.

3. The registered mail was sent to Candace Salsberry at R.D. #2, Box 1148, Rising Sun Estates, Conneaut Lake, PA 16316. The sender's receipt is attached hereto.

4. That the Defendant received said registered mail on May 31, 1991. Said Return Receipt card P 848 678 911 is attached hereto, signed by Candace Salsberry and made a part hereof. Kathleen R. Nelson, Esq.

Oct. 1, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Oct. 1, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Oct. 4, 1991, MOtion for Appointment of Custody Mediator and Order filed. AND NOW, Oct. 4, 1991 WILLIAM PINEO, is hereby appointed Child Custody mediator inthe above captioned magger.
1 copy (atty) Robert L. Walker, S.J.
1 copy (mediator)

Oct. 25, 1991 Stipulation and CONSENT CUSTODY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 25th day of October, 1991, upon Stipulation of the parties to this action, the following Order is hereby entered:

1. Legal custody of Jerry L. Salsberry, II, shall be shared between the parties hereto, with each parent entitled to records relating to the child held by a third-party including, but not limited to school and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to the child's health, education, religious instruction, and other important decisions.

2. Physical custody of Jerry L. Salsberry, II, shall be with his father, Jerry L. Salsberry, subject to the following conditions:

a) The mother shall be entitled to reasonable visitation rights, including overnight visits, holiday visits, weekend visits and extended Summer visits, as agreed upon from time to time between the father and the mother.

b) This order is entered without prejudice to the right of either party to request a mediation conference at some time in the future for the purpose of obtaining a more specific visitation schedule between the child and the child's mother.

c) The parties shall share all transportation in regard to the mother's visitation rights with the party receiving custody of the child to provide for the transportation.

3. Neither of the parents shall do or say anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child towards both parents.

4. Neither father nor mother shall expose the child to any person or situation which may be hamful to the child's health or well being.

5. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any serious illness or injury affecting the child.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct. 29, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. William Gregg and William Pineo.

Oct. 28, 1991 upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney, for review Under 201(c) of the divorce code, this case moved to court.

Nov. 8, 1991 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, November 7, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Jerry L. Salsberry, plaintiff, and CAndace Salsberry, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 27, 1992 Motion For Modification Of Custody, Notice To Plead filed.

May 11, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE FILED. 1. A true and correct copy of the Motion for Modification of Custody, and Notice Plead filed in the above-captioned matter was served upon thePlaintiff, Jerry Salsberry, by certified mail, restricted delivery, pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 412 on May 2, 1992, as is evidenced by the United States Postal Service Return REceipt (Certified No. P 899097818) attached hereto as Exhibit A.

I verify that the statements made in this Affidavit are true and correct. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.
Date: May 6, 1992 Barbara J. Walton, Esq.

Sept. 11, 1992 AMENDED MOTION FOR MODIFICATION OF CUSTODY AND VISITATION, filed.
1 copy (atty)

Sept. 24, 1992 Motion to Appoint Child Custody Mediator and Order filed. ORDER:

You, Jerry Salsberry , have been sued in Court to obtain custody of Jerry Lee Salsberry
You are Ordered to appear for a hearing before Child Custody Mediator, at such time and place as he shall designate
If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visi tation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Court Administrator
Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
Telephone: 814-336-1151 ext 298

Barbara J. Walton, Esq.
1111½ Park Ave.
Meadville, PA 16335
9/23/92

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J

Sept. 24, 1992 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Walton, Gregg and Pineo

Continued to Page 600

1991

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Walker & Vardaro Emil M. Spadafore Jr. 521.	SEYMOUR ELLIS AGENCIES, INC. d/b/a PREMIER HARDWOOD INTERNATIONAL INC. vs. MAPLEX WOOD PRODUCTS, INC. Filed May 24, 1991, @ 3:26 p.m. Tax & entry Paid $50.50 ✓ state paid 5.00 Sheriff's Costs 21.13	COMPLAINT TO RECOVER PROPERTY WRONGULLY TAKEN AND DETAINED 1 copy (sheriff) July 17, 1991 Sheriff's return filed. Not Found. Certified mail addressed to Maplex Dimensional Wood Products, Ltd. at 99 Rodina Road, Maple, Ontario, Canada L6A1R3, came back marked "moved, No Forwarding Address". So answrs, Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

522.

Jorden & White
Harry Faber white II
for Brandi Buck

MICHAEL KEENO

vs.

PAUL MILLER AND
BRANDI BUCK

Filed May 24, 1991
@ 4:13 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.25
state paid 5.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF HUBERT VOGAN
MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT OF 30-3-2

May 24, 1991 Rule entered upon plaintiff to file complaint issued.

May 28, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

Sept. 20, 1991, Complaint filed. 2 copies (plff)

Oct. 3, 1991, Preliminary Objections filed by the defendant Brandi Buck filed.

Oct. 18, 1991, Answer to Complaint filed. 1 copy (answer of dft. Paul Miller)

1991

523.

DAVINA A. C. CAMPBELL

vs.

JOHN S. CAMPBELL

Filed May 28, 1991

Tax & entry pd 7/6/92 $50.50
state paid 7/6/92 5.00

Sheriff's costs 21.00
Pro Co pd 7-6-92 9.00

Received from defendant $21.00 Sheriff's costs 7-6-92

Paid Sheriff 7-6-92 Ck# 6924 $21.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

1 copy plaintiff
3 copies Sheriff

ORDER:

And now, this 28 day of May, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Plaintiff is granted exclusive custody of marital residence 737 Stewart St. until further order of court. Plaintiff is awarded exclusive custody of minor children till further Court order.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for June 7, 1991, at 1:00 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 4, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED John S. Campbell personally on May 28, 1991 at 3:20 p.m. at Crawford County Jail, Center Street, Meadville, Pa.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 13, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, June 7, 1991, the matter is before the Court on an Abuse Petition. The defendant does not deny the allegations in the Petition.

The defendant is directed not to assault, threaten, harass, or annoy his wife for any reason. He may not return to the residence at 737 Stewart Street to live without the consent of his wife.

The parties mutually agree that they will arrange occasuional visits for the father with his children from the mother's residence for reasonable periods of time to carry out his visits. It shall be a condition that for a period of at least ten (10) hours before and during the visits with the children, the defendant will not consume any alcoholic beverages.

There is a companion assault case pending in District Justice Chisholm's office, with bond thereon at $2800.00. The defendant advises he has waived this case to court.

ACCORDINGLY, bond on this case is reduced to nominal bond, with the usual conditions and the additional conditions set forth in this Abuse Order.

Any Police Officer having reasonable grounds to believe that the defendant has violated the terms and conditions of this Order regarding Abuse of his wife and upon a sworn affidavit from the wife, may immediately apprehend the defendant without an arrest warrant, lodge him in the Crawford County Jail and notify the Court of the need to schedule a contempt hearing.

This Order shall remain in effect for a period of one (1) year unless cancelled sooner by the plaintiff.

The defendant is directed to pay costs in this case within thirty (30) days of receipt of a bill for the same at the address of the father, R.D. #4, carr Hill Road, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 18, 1991 Copies of order to plaintiff, defendant, County Control and District Justice Chisholm.

524

1991

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas W. Ferguson

524.

MICHELE A. ROTH

vs.

STEVEN P. ROTH

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE

1 copy (atty)

JUNE 6, 1991 **Affidavit of Service** filed. I. the undersigned, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce certified by the Prothonotary of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, on the above named Defendant by certified mail, addressee only, return receipt requested, dated May 30, 1991. The Defendant acknowledged service on June 3, 1991, by accepting delivery on that date as evidenced by the attached signed return receipt.

Douglas W. Ferguson, Esq.

Filed May 28, 1991
@ 2:52 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50 ✓
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co Pd 8/27/91	9.00
Pro Co pd 9/10/91	5.00

Aug. 27, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

Aug. 27, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

Aug. 27, 1991 upon praecipe of plaintiff attonrey, to transmit the record, this case mov ed to court.

Sept. 3, 1991 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, September 3, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Michele A. Roth, plaintiff and Steven P. Roth, defendant, are divorced formthe bonds of matrimony.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller,

Sept. 5, 1991 Notice Of Election To Retake Maiden Name filed. Michele A. Roth TO BE KNOWN AS: Michele L. Armour

1991

Bruce T. Rosen
Jack, Kookogey & Felton
Robert J. Felton

525.

8/1/91 Recd $93.81 From Plaintiff

Sept 3, 1991 paid Co. Comm. $93.81

10/18/91 Recd $53.20 From Plaintiff

Nov. 8, 1991 paid Co. Comm $53.20

WILLIAM BRUCE BROMLEY

vs.

SABRINA M. KANYUCK

Filed May 29, 1991
@ 10:32 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Bruce Smith, Mediator	125.08
Proc. Pd 8/1/91	9.00
Bruce Smith, Mediator	106.40
Proc. Pd 10/18/91	9.00

COMPLAINT FOR SHARED CUSTODY

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

ORDER:
AND NOW, this 29 dayof May 1991 upon presentation of the foregling Compalint for Custody, the parties hereto and their respective counsel are ordered to appear before BRUCE SMITH, CHILD CUSTODY MEDIATOR, who is hereby appointed inthis case. The said parties and their respective counsel shall appear at such time or times that the Child Custody mediator shall hereinafter determine. Gordon R. Miller, J.

July 17, 1991 TEMPORARY ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, this 17th day of July, 1991, after Mediation and upon recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Kierston Nicole Bromley, born November 7, 1990, the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of the said minor child. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitle both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the child held by a third party, including, but not limited to, medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to the educational and religious upbringing of the child, as well as to elective surgery.

2. Physical custody of the child shall be shred on the following basis:

a. Beginning with the month of July, 1991, the child shall spend the entire month with the defendant mother, but the plaintiff father shall be entitled to weekend visitation the second and fourth weekends of July, 1991, beginning at 3:00 p.m., prevailing time, Friday, and ending at 3:00 p.m., prevailing time, Sunday. Thereafter, beginning in August, 1991, the father shall have physical custody of the child and the mother shall be entitled to visitation with the child the second and fourth weekends of the month, beginning at 3:00 p.m., prevailing time, Friday, and ending at 3:00p.m. prevailing time, Sunday. Thereafter, the months shall be alternated between the mother and the father, with the mother having the month of September and the father having the month of October, 1991, and so forth thereafter.

b. The child shall spend her birthday from 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., prevailing time, with the mother. The child shall spend the day after Thanksgiving and Christmas Day from 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., prevailing time, with the mother. The child shall spend Thanksgiving Day and the day before Christmas from 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., prevailing time, with the father. The above holiday visitation shall take priority over other scheduled visitation.

c. The father shall pick up the child at and return the child to the mother's residence at the time of exchange of custody.

3. The parent having custody of the child at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon possible in the event of injury or illness of the child requiring medical treatment.

4. Neither parent shall take the child into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to her development or to the development of her character or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to her physical person.

5. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the child; and, if the parties mutually agree to alternative arrangements to accommodate themselves and/or the child's schedule, they may adjust the physical custody arrangements accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

6. Each of the parents shall teach the child to develop and express that natural love and respect due a parent from his or her child, and neither parent will do or say anything to blacken the character of the other parent in the eyes of the child. This admonition shall extend to the child's grandparents and other people who come into regular contact with the child.

7. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request further Mediation if there re changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the child or in the event that the best interest of the child would be served by further Mediation.

8. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, PA., shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either of the parents or the child resides in the Court's jurisdiction and for at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

9. The fee for Child Custody Mediation shall be paid 75% by the father and 25% by the County of Crawford on behalf of the mother.

10. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent Order unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty days from the date of this Temporary Order.

By The Court:
Gordon R. Miller, President Judge

July 18, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. Robert Felton, Bruce L. Smith, defendant, plaintiff.

Sept. 3, 1991 Petition For MOdification of Custody and Order filed. YOU, SABRINA M. KANYUCK, have been sued in Court to obtain custody, partial custody, or visitation rights of Kierston Nicole Bromley.

Bruce L. Smith, Esquire, is re-appointed a Child Custody Meidator for the purpose of conducting a Conference with respect to the issues raised in the Petition. The mediator shall conduct such conference and shall report to the Court as to whether or not the issues raised in the Petition are capable of resolution by agreement between the parties. The Mediator shall confer with the parties and made every effort to achieve negotiated resolutions of the issues raised in the Petition. If no such resolution can be achieved, the Mediator shall so report to the Court. He shall also submit an intermin porpose order which shall include a hearint date befroe the Court.

You are further ordered to appear before the Mediator at such time as the Mediator shall establish. The Mediator shall provide you with written notice ofthe date and time set for the conference in this case.

Conference on the within matter has been fixed for , 1991, at o'clock .m., at , Pennsylvania.

I fyou fail to appear as provided by this Orde ror to bring the child, an order for custody, partial custody, or visitation may be entered against you cr the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAYWER CR CANNOT AFFORD CNE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP. Court Administrator, Court House, 903 Diamond Park, Meadville, PA 16335 (814) 336-1151

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. miller, J

DATE: 9-4-91

1 copy mediator, 1 copy atty.

Oct. 9, 1991 Temporary Order of Court filed, AND NOW, this 7 day of October, 1991, after additional Mediation and upon recommendation of the Child Custody Mediatior, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Kierston Nichole Bromley, born November 7, 1990, the parties to this action, shall share legal custody of the said minor child. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitle both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the child held by a their party, including, but not limited to, shcocl and medical records and shall also entitled both parents to equal input with regard to the educational and religious upbringing of the child as well as elective surgery.

Continued on page 599....

526

1991

Jack, Kookogey &
Felton
John S. Kookogey

526.

Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

STEPHEN E. BILLMAN

vs.

ALISON K. BILLMAN

Filed May 29, 1991
@ 11:27 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 7/16/91	30.00
User's Fee pd 7/16/91	10.00
Pro Co pd 11/12/91	15.00
State pd 11/12/91	5.00
Pro Co Pd 11/15/91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(d) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

May 29, 1991, Plaintiff's Affidavit Under section 201(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

July 15, 1991 Petition For Equitable Distribution and Child Support filed.

Nov. 7, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Personally appeared before me, a Notary Public, in and for said State and County, at Titusville, Pa., Richard E. Lydick, a duly qualified Constable in and for the State of PA., who, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he served a true and attested copy of the Complaint in Divorce (the same having been certified by James F. Simmons, Prothonotary, as such) on Alison K. Billman, the Defendant, personally at 4:55 P.M. on June 17th, 1991, at her place of residence, Main St., Townville, Crawford Co., PA., by reading the same to her and making known the contents of same and leaving a true and attested copy with her.

Richard E. Lydick

Nov. 12, 1991 Petition For Child Custody filed.

Nov. 15, 1991 AGREEMENT between parties filed.

Nov. 15, 1991 Notice of Intention to REquest Entry of Divorce Decree filed.

Nov. 15, 1991, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney for Review Under Section 201(d) of the Divorce code, this case moved to court.

Dec. 2, 1991 **D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D .**

AND NOW, November 27, 1991, it is ordered and decreed as follows:

1. That Stephen E. Billman, plaintiff, and Alison K. Billman, defendant are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
2. That the agreement of the parties entered into on an unspecified day in October 1991 and filed with the Prothonotary on November 15, 1991 is incorporated into this decree and thus made a decree of this Court.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

1991

Harry L. Lentchner & Associates
Harry L. Lentchner

527.

Assigned to United States of America
~~MELLON BANK, N.A.~~

vs.

OLA MAE WILSON

Filed May 29, 1991
@ 1:24 p.m.

Pro Simmons paid	$15.00
plff on orig	53.50
Sheriff's Costs	25.00
Pro Co. Pd 8/19/91	9.00
Pro Co Pd 11/12/91	5.00

WRIT OF REVIVAL

of

AD 1984 - 1092

Revival of Judgment indexed in favor of plaintiff and against the defendant in the amount of Four Thousand Seven Hundred Forty Two and 00/100 Dollars, plus interest in the amount of $389.16, or a total of $5,131.16.

Debt ---------$5,131.16
W - 121

REVIVAL OF JUDGMENT

Issued (sheriff)

June 28, 1991 Writ Return filed. SERVED Ola Mae Wilson, personally, on May 31, 1991, at 9:16 AM, at the Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Room 303, Meadville, PA
So answers, Catherine I. Allen, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Aug. 19, 1991 at 4:11 p.m., AND NOW upon Praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with copy of Notice of Intention to file default judgment and Affidavit of Non Military SErvice attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for failure to file an answer and damages are assessed as follows: Amount claimed in Revival: Five thousand one hundred thirty-one and 16/100 Dollars.

W-121
Judgment entered 8/19/91

Debt $ 5,131.16
Judgment
James F. Simmons, Pro.

This Judgment. ..
Nov. 12, 1991 Assigned to United States of America.

1991

528.

GENEVIEVE J. LOVELL

vs.

DWAYNE R. CRAFT

Filed May 29, 1991
@ 3:17 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	21.00
Pro Co	9.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 29th day of May, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Temporary Custody of the Child is awarded to Plaintiff. Defendant shall not contact Plaintiff. Defendant shall not go to Plaintiffs residence.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for June 10, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

June 4, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Dwayne R. Craft personally on May 30, 1991 at 4:05 p.m. at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa 16335.
So answers, Carole Stefanucci, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 12, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, June 11, 1991, without admission by the defendant, the parties agree as follows:

1. That the defendant will refrain from abusing, threatening to abuse or harass the plaintiff at any time or place.
2. The defendant shall not go to the plaintiff's residence.
3. That temporary custody ofthe minor children shall be with the plaintiff, reserving the right to either party to file for full custody proceedings at any time.
4. The defendant shall be permitted to go to the plaintiff's residence on Thursday, June 13, 1991 at 6:00 o'clock p.m. for the purpose of removing his personal property.
5. This Order shall remain in effect for a period of six (6) months.
6. The defendant shall be permitted to have the minor children in his custody on Sunday, June 16, 1991, Father's Day, from 10:00 o'clock a.m. through 6:00 o'clock p.m., with the understanding that at all times, this visit will be supervised by his aunt and uncle.
7. The defendant shall be responsible for record costs of this proceeding.
8. If there is any alleged violation of this agreement by the defendant, the plaintiff must file a written notarized affidavit to this effect before a Police Officer has any right to pick up the defendant.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
James B. Dwyer, S.J.

June 13, 1991 Copy to County Control and copy put in box for Atty's Thomas & Sullivan

529.

MARY STEVENSON

vs.

ROBERT REAM

Filed May 29, 1991
@ 3:18 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	29.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 29th day of May, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendan tshall not contact Plaintiff in any way. Defendant shall not go to Plaintiff's residence. Temporary possession of 1000 Catherine Street is awarded to Plaintiff

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for June 7, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

June 4, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Served Robert Ream personally on June 4, 1991 at 11:00 AM at Crawford County Jail, Center Street, Meadville, PA. 16335.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 13, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, June 7, 1991, this abuse matter is before the Court. While there is some dispute about whose fault it was that the parties entered into an altercation, the defendant does not wish to contest the abuse because he intends to vacate the premises, live elsewhere and have nothing more to do with the plaintiff or her children.

ACCORDINGLY, the defendant is directed that he shall not assault, abuse, harass, threaten or annoy the plaintiff in any fashion. While the present lease is in the defendant's name for the resi dence at 1000 Catherine Street, the parties mutually agree that the lease will be change to the effect that Mary Stevenson will be the lessee an dwill be responsible for the payment of rent.

The defendant agrees the plaintiff may occopy the premises, together with her children, an dhe shall not go to the premieses for any purpose. The defendant has a fan and a telephone and intends to send his sister to retrieve the same and the plaintiff agrees she may have them.

There apparently is a companion assault and battery case pending against the defendant resulting from this incident, but he plaintiff advises the Court that in view of the Protection Order entered this date, she is not interested in pursuing the assault charge.

The defendant is under supervision of the Adult Probation Department an dis currently being held on a permanent detainer signed by Judge Miller. This Court makes no disposition of this matter.

This Order shall remain in force and effect for a period of one (1) year.

Any Police Officer having reasonable grounds to believe that the defendant has violated the terms of this Abuse Order may apprehend the defendant upon a sworn affidavit from the plaintiff and confine him in the Crawford County Jail and request an immediate contempt hearing.

In view of the fact both parties are on Public Assistance, the County is directed to pay the costs.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 18, 1991 copies of order to Mary Stevenson, Robert Ream and County Control

Paul J. Carney Jr.

530.

LARRY A. BROWN AND LINDA M. BROWN, HIS WIFE

vs.

BENJAMIN KELLY, a/k/a BEN KELLY
HIS SPOUSE, HEIRS, EXECUTORS, ADMINISTRATORS, BENEFICIARIES, ASSIGNS, TRANSFEREES, AND ALL OTHERS CLAIMING, FROM, BY, UNDER AND THROUGH THEM

Filed May 30, 1991
@

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Pro Co pd 11/1/91 9.00

COMPLAINT IN ACTION TO QUIET TITLE
MOTION FOR PUBLICATION, and AFFIDVAITS

June 3, 1991 Order filed. AND NOW, May 31, 1991, upon receipt and review of the plaintiff's motion for publication, said motion is denied since the affidavit accompanying the motion does not state the nature and extent of the investigation which has been made to determine the whereabouts of the defendants and the reasons why service cannot be mad ein another manner (Pa.R.C.P. 410, 430).

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

June 3, 1991 copy mailed to Atty. Carney

June 27, 1991 Motion for publication, Affidavits, and Order for Publication filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 25 day of June, 1991, upon motion filed by Paul J. Carney, Jr. esq. atty. for the Plaintiffs, leave is hereby granted to serve the defendant Benjamin Kelly, a/k/a Ben Kelly, his heirs, spouses, administrators executors, assigns, beneficiaries, transferees and all others claiming from, by and through them, by publication of a notice of the facts as set forth in this action in the Corry Evening Journal, a newspaper of general circulation, in Erie County, pa. once a week for 1 week and once a week for 1 week in the Erie County Legal Journal, a legal publication of general circulation in Erie, Pounty, Pa. and requiring the said dft. to plead within twenty (20) days.

1 copy (aTTY)

Gordon R. Miller, J.

Sept 25, 1991 Motion to Enter Judgment in Action to Quiet Title, Affidavit of Service and Final Decree filed: AND NOW, to-wit, this 27th day of September, 1991, it appearing that the Plaintiffs' Action to Quiet Title has been duly served by publication and that the Defendant has not entered an appearance or served an Answer thereto, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that title of Larry A. Brown and Linda M. Brown, his wife, to the following described property;

All that certain piece or parcel of land situate in the Sparta Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, bounded and described as follows: BOUNDED on the north by a raod; on the east by land of Shuntill; on the south by land of Proper and being more correctly described as being bounded on the North by a road, on theeast by lands of Joseph Betag, on the south by land of L. Proper and J. Betag, on the south by land of L. Proper and J. Betag, and on the west by the C. Rhodes Estate. Consisting of 21 acres of land more or less. EXCEPTING AND RESERVING from this conveyance all of the real estate previously conveyed by Parties of the First Part to Francis M. Maloney by deed recorded in Crawford County Deed Book 532 at page 424, said premises being bounded and described as follows: BEGINNING at a point in the south line of State Lot Road at its intersection with the northeast corner of lands of First Parties (being the northeast corner of lands described in the two source deeds hereinafter referred to); thence southwardly along the line comprising First Parties east line and west line of property formerly of Joseph Betag (now Ralph Walter) a distance of 242 feet to a point; thence westwardly on a line parallel with the south line of State Lot Road 180 feet to a point; thence northwardly parallel with the first course 242 feet to a point in the south line of State Lot Road; thence eastwarly along the south line of State Lot Road 180 feet to a point and the place of beginning. The within described premises (excepting the previous conveyance above referred to in Crawford County Deed Book 532 at page 424) are the same premises as those deeded to First parties by deed recorded in Crawford County Deed Book 396 at pages 503, 504 and 812.

Being the same land conveyed to Larry A. Brown and Linda M. Brown, his wife, by deed dated April 25, 1991 and recorded in Crawford County Record Book 118, page 870, and further identified by Crawford County Tax Assessment No. 4908-22, is valid and indefeasible as against all rights and claims whatsoever and that the Defendant, Benjamin Kelly, a/k/a Ben Kelly, his heirs, spouse, assigns, executors, administrators, beneficiaries, transferees, and all others claiming from, by, under and through them, are barred forever from asserting any right, title, lien or interest in said land inconsistent with the interest or claims of the Plaintiffs as set forth in their Action to Quiet Title, and further that the Defendant is enjoined from having any interest in their Action to Quiet Title, unless the said Defendant bring an Action of Ejectment within thirty (30) days after the entry of this decree.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct 1, 1991 Copy of order mailed to Atty Carney.

And now, upon praecipe of plaintiff's attorney, final judgment is hereby entered in this case, in favor of the plaintiffs and against the defendants, pursuant to the foregoing Order of Court.

FINAL K-21

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1991

Alison G. Baumann

531.

JOHN R. CASELLA

vs.

BRENDA S. LUNDBERG

Filed May 30, 1991
@ 2:02 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $50.50
state paid 5.00
Bruce Smith mediator

COMPLAINT FOR JOINT CUSTODY

1 copy (mediator)
1 copy (atty)

June 7, 1991, ORDER OF COURT filed. You, Brenda S. Lundberg defendant, have been sued in court to obtain custody of the following children: Avenlea Marie Casella.

You are hereby ordered to appear in person at such time and at such place as is hereby designated by the Custody Medaator hereinafter appointed for a conference.

You are further ordered to bring with the following named children: Avenlea Marie Casella.

Bruce Smith Esq. is ehreby appointed as Custody Mediator in this case.

If you fail to appear at the time specified by the Custody Mediator hereby appointed by this order or to bring the children, an order for custody may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

You should take this paper to your atty. at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Crawford County Bar Assoc. Ser. to the Pub. Comm.
c/o Prothonotary, Meadville, Pa. 16335

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1 copy (atty)
1 copy (mediator)

June 14, 1991 Motion for Substitute Mediator and Order filed: AND NOW, this 13 day of June, 1991, the within Motion is allowed, and John Fuller is appointed Custody Mediator in place of Bruce L. Smith.

P. Richard Thomas, S. Judge

June 20, 1991 Copies of order given to Atty. Fuller and copies mailed to Atty. Bauman and Defendant.

Sept 22, 1998 ORDER: Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 6/14/1991. We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonable delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear from you in writing on or before Oct 23, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.

If you do not object to this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.

If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your objections in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objectioins must be filed in the Prothonotary's office on or before Oct 23, 1998. You must also give or send a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
Date: Sept 22, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Nov. 5, 1998,ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 30th day of Oct., 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given by publication of a "Notice of Intent To Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on Oct. 14, 1998, and no objection thereto having been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, all and each of the 84 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice. A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 19011.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

Mason and Mason
Joan D. Mason

532.

BRYAN A. ROCHE

vs.

LINDA L. ROCHE

Filed May 30, 1991
@ 2:30 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
1 count paid	15.00
State paid	10.00
state paid	10.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

1 copy (atty)

June 26, 1991 Complaint reinstated.

July 24, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Joan D. Mason, Esquire, attorney for the Plaintiff in the above entitled case, and being duly sworn according to law, despose and say that I did on July 1, 1991 mail to the above Defendant, Linda L. Roche, by certified mail return receipt requested, deliver to addressee only, a Complaint in the above divorce action. said notice was received on July 3, 1991, at indicated by the return receipt card which was returned to the office of the Plaintiff's attorney and is attached hereto. Joan D. Mason

Mar. 12, 1998 Important Notice and Court Order filed.
Our court records show that you are a party in the case listed above, but that nothing has been filed with the court in this case since 7/24/91 . We are required by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to dismiss cases in which there has been "unreasonably delay". Your case appears to be inactive. Therefore, unless we hear form you in writing on or before April 24, 1998, we will automatically terminate and dismiss your case without further notice to you or your attorney.
If you do not object this this case being dismissed, you do not have to do anything. The case will be marked "dismissed with prejudice" in our records, and will be over forever.
If you object to the case being dismissed, you must put your object in writing and follow Crawford County Civil Local Rule L206, LJA 1901E and LJA 1901F, explaining why there has been a delay in your case and why it is not unreasonable. These written objections must be filed in the Prothonotary's Office on or before April 24, 1998. You must also give or sent a copy of any objections you file to the other party or his or her attorney, and certify that you have done so when you file your objections with the Prothonotary.
YOU MAY LOSE IMPORTANT RIGHTS IF YOUR CASE IS TERMINATED. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP:
Court Administrator
Second Floor-Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

By the Court,
Date: March 12, 1998 Anthony J. Vardaro, J
Mar. 12, 1998 copies mailed by Court Administrator's Office

May 6, 1998 Order filed. AND NOW, this fourth day of May, 1998, written notice of the Court's intent to terminate the cases listed below having been given to the parties or their counsel of record, and further notice having been given to counsel and parties by publication of a "Notice of Intent to Terminate Cases" printed in the Crawford County Legal Journal on April 15, 1998, and no objection thereto haing been received by the Court from either party in the cases listed below, **all and each of the 245 cases listed below are hereby terminated and dismissed with prejudice.** A copy of this order shall be filed at each of the docket numbers listed below, but copies need not be given to or served upon the parties or their attorneys. Cases may be reinstated only in accordance with Crawford County Rule LJA 1901.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

(See original filed at A.D. 1997 - 702)

William Walker,
Solicitor for Aging

533.

Harry Faber White, II

IN THE MATTER OF:

CHARLES BAIRD

Filed May 30, 1991
@ 2:30 p.m.

PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY INTERVENTION BY EMERGENCY COURT ORDER

3 copies (atty)

EMERGENCY ORDER FOR INVOLUNTARY INTERVENTION:
AND NOW, May 30, 1991 upon consideration of the foregoing petition, it is hereby ordered that Active Aging, Inc. shall provide the following protective servcies to Charles BAird and that such services shall be provided without his consent:
Remove Charles Baird form his home for his own best welfare and place for short time in Peaceful Rest Home.
The State or local police, accompanied by a representative of Active Aging, Inc. are hereby authorized to forcebly enter the premises of the aforesaid older adult to enforce the terms of this order.
The terms of this order shall expire at 6;00 p.m. June 3, 1991 The court hereby sets June 3, 1991 as the time and place for a review hearing in the event of need for an additional emergency court order or continued court and protective servcies involvement, or both. The court hereby appoints -- Esq. to represent the aforesaid older adult at said hearing.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

May 30, 1991 Ju venile Probation Dept has supervisory authority over juvenile George Norton III and is directed to produce him for said hearing.
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Active Aging Inc. granted access for information purposes only to all bank accounts or other financial records.
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Court requests State police or West Mead police to assist in execution of the removal of Charles Baird under this order.
P. Richard Thomas, J.

June 6, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, June 3, 1991, the matter is back before the Court for review. Court DIRECTS that Charles Baird be returned to his own home from the Peaceful Rest Home. The family situation apparently has been compromised and agreed on as follows:
Charles Baird shall open a new checking account in his own name and his wife agrees that she will not write any checks on that account, have her name placed on the account and will not sign her husband's checks on the account. Charles Baird shall be solely responsible for writing checks on this account.
Carol Bair, the wife, receives Social Security in the amount of $598.00 per month and she shall continue to receive the same and dispose of the proceeds any way she sees fit.
It appears that through Charles Baid, George Norton III receives Social Security benefits in the amount of $598.00 per month with the check payable to the mother, Carol Baird. Carol Baird agrees taht upon receiving said check each month, she shall endorse the same, deliver it to the Juvenile Probation Department and the Juvenile Probation Department shall open an account for the same, return to the mother, Carol Baird, the sum of $400.00 case and deposit the balance of said check in a special savings account for the use of the Juvenile in paying restitution due in Juvenile Court and any other such needs they believe appropriate for the Juvenile.
Active Aging, Incorporated, is DIRECTED by the Court at least once a month to meet with Charles Baird and review his checking account and ascertain if all his medical appointments are being kept. Charles Baird requests the meeting to review his financial situation monthly and to see if his doctor appointments have been kept shall be held at the Office of Active Aging.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 7, 1991 Copies to Attys. Walker, Toomey, White and to Juv. Prob & Active Aging.

July 29, 1991 Petition for Vacation of Involuntary Intervention Order and Order filed: AND NOW, this 29 day of July, 1991, a hearing on the within Petition for Vacate the Involuntary Intervention Order, is hereby scheduled for the 7 day of Aug., 1991 at 9:00 o'clock. Pendiang said hearing, your Petitioner, Charles A. Baird is not required to meet with the Office of Active Aging, Inc. to review his checking account and to ascertain if all of his medical appointments are being kept.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, P.J.

July 29, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty Walker and White's boxes.

Aug. 2, 1991 Answer To Petition For Vacation Of Involuntary Intervention Order filed.

Aug 9, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, August 7, 1991, the matter is back before the Court at the request of Charles A. Baird for the following reasons. He stoutly contends that he never wanted the assistance of Active Aging in spite of their that their intitial contact came from him; that he was offended by their conduct; that he refuses to visit the office as required by this court's order of June 3, 1991; that the checking account previously in existence has been cancelled and a new checking account in his own name opened, to which his wife has no access; and that he does not wish the court nor the office of Active Aging to interfere in his life or his lifestyle, or attempt to protect his finances and estate interest. Accordingly, the previous conditions of the court order of June 30, 1991 regarding the relationship between Active Aging and Charles Baird is recinded and Active Aging shll have no obligation to carry out the previous order.
The Court notes, however, that in the fourth paragraph of said order, the mother of George Norton III was receiving Social Security in the amount of $598 per month, and during the hearing it appeared that the mother was handling this check to provide for the support of the child. In view of the fact that there was a restitution juvenile court order against this juvenile dated December 21, 1991 and that no restitution had been paid as ordered by Judge Miller, this Court required the mother to surrender the child's check, with her consent, to the Juvenile Probation Department and they would return $400 to the mother for maintenance of the child and use the balance to apply toward restitution. On or about August 2, 1991 the mother paid restitution in the amount of $240 to the Juvenile Probation Department, leaving a balance of $239.72 due on the juvenile's account.
The mother now contends she never consented to such arrangments and refuses to pay the balance due at this time, so the juvenile is in violation of Judge Miller's order requiring all restitution be paid by August 1, 1991.
The Court is advised that this case and two other juvenile court the week of August 12, and the Court refers this matter back to Judge Miller or such other judge as shall hear the case on that date.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT, P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

August 14, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys. Walker, Toomey and White's boxes and to Juv. Prob.

Shafer, Swick, Bailey
Irwin & Stack
Paul D. Shafer, Jr.

534.

EMMA F. DOBBINS

vs.

WARNER LEE ROBINSON, JR. a/k/a WARNER LEE ROBERTSON, JR. and JOAN ROBERTSON HIS WIFE, AND BETTY ROBINSON a/k/a BETTY ROBERTSON a/k/a BETTY JOHNSON and FRED JOHNSON HER HUSBAND: THEIR HEIRS, SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

Filed May 30, 1991
@ 3:52 p.m.

Tax & entry paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Pro Co Pd 9/25/91	9.00

COMPLAINT - ACTION TO QUIET TITLE

4 copies (atty)

July 10, 1991 Complaint Reinstated.

Aug 19, 1991 Motion for Final Judgment Pursuant to Rule 1066 filed.
Aug 19, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, this 19th day of August, 1991, upon Motion of the Plaintiff for default judgment pursuant to Pennsylvania Rulee of Civil Procedure 1066, and it appearing that service has been made and that the Defendants have failed to file an Answer within the time permitted by the rules; it is hereby ordered and decreed that the defendants, their successors and assigns, be and hereby forever barred from asserting any right, lien, title or interest to the premises located in Third Ward, City of Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania, hereafter described to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the East line of Park Avenue which point is the northwest corner of the land hereby conveyed and the southwest corner of land of [illegible] now or formerly of Michael Kobalinskiy thence East along the line of land now or formerly of Michael Kobalinskiy one hundred twenty-five (125) feet to a point; thence South on a line parallel with Park Avenue eighty (80) feet to land now of Michael Kobalinski or formerly of Michael Kobalinskiy thence west along the line of land now or formerly of Michael Kobalinskiy one hundred twenty-five (125) feet to the east line of Park Avenue; thence Northerly along the East line of Park Avenue eighty (80) feet to the place of beginning; being a lot fronting eighty (80) feet on Park Avenue and extending eastwardly therefrom at a uniform width of eighty (80) feet, a distance of one hundred twenty-five (125) feet, being known as 1317 Park Avenue.

Said defendants are forever barred from asserting any right, lien, title or interest inconsistent with the interest or claims of the Plaintiff set forth in the Complaint; from impeaching, denying, or in any way attacking Plaintiff's title to said property; from issuing; or maintaining any action attacking same; and from encumbering, mortgaging or conveying the same or any part hereof unless the Defendants shall, within Thirty (30) days of this Order, file with the Court written objections to this Order. If such action is not taken within the thirty (30) days period, the Prothonotary, on Praecipe of the Plaintiff, shall enter final judgment. BY THE COURT,
R-204, 174, 94, 24, 23, J-216, James B. Dwyer, J.
217 Aug 26, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty Shafer's box.

Sept. 23, 1991 at 2:55 p.m., And Now, upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, FINAL JUDGMENT is hereby entered in the above matter in favor fo the plaintiff and against the defendants, by reason of no action taken, in accordance with the foregoing order of court.
Judgment entered - 9/23/91
R - 204, 174, 94, 24, 24,
J - 23, 217

FINAL JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons,
Prothonotary

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin and Stack

Charles J. Swick

535.

MARQUETTE SAVINGS ASSOCIATION

vs.

ROBERT L. CROSLEY AND DEBORAH K. CROSLEY, HIS WIFE

Filed May 30, 1991
@ 3:50 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
State Paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	27.00
Pro Co pd 7/10/91	5.00

COMPLAINT IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

2 copies (sheriff)

July 1, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. SERVED Deborah K. Crosley, personally, on June 3, 1991, at 4:02 PM, at her residence, 15 William St., Meadville, Crawford County, PA.

SERVED Robert L. Crosley, on June 3, 1991, at 4:03 by handing to his wife, Deborah Crosley, at their residence, 15 William St., Meadville, Crawford County, PA

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

July 10, 1991 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney the above captioned matter is marked settled and discontinued of record.

536.

STEPHANIE CHRISTY

vs.

KEVIN CHRISTY

Filed May 31, 1991
@ 9:09 a.m.

Tax & entry pd 7/16/91 $50.50
state pd 7/16/91 5.00
Sheriff's Costs 33.50
Pro Co Pd 7/16/91 9.00

7/16/91 Recd $ 33.50 From Defendant

7/16/91 Paid $33.50 To Howard Stewart, Sheriff LH 6316

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies Sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 31 day of May, 19___, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Def. not go to residence of Pl. Pl awarded custody of minor child.

3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for June 7, 1991, at 10:00 A M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P R Thomas, S.J.

June 4, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Kevin Christy personally on May 31, 1991 at 1:10 PM at his residence, R.D. #1, Lot 4A, Titusville, Crawford County, PA 16354.
So answers,
Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

June 13, 1991 ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 7, 1991, at the time set for hearing on this abuse matter, the parties advise the Court they have amicably resolved the issue.

Without admission of the allegations of the petition, the Defendant agrees that he will not assault, threaten, harass or annoy the Plaintiff for any purpose. He will not go to her residence except for the return of the child as hereafter noted without being expressly invited to come there by the Plaintiff.

The parties have one (1) minor child, Brian (D.O.B. 2/21/89), who will remain in the primary custody of the mother.

The parties mutually agree the father will be accorded liberal visitations with the child. In view of the fact the father is unemployed and the mother is employed, the father will have custody of the child each week day commencing June 10, 1991 between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. Also commencing with the weekend of June 15, 1991, the father will be entitled to have weekend visits with the child every other weekend from Friday at 5:30 p.m. until Sunday at 4:00 p.m. During the visitations between father and child, the same will be ehdl at the father's mother's residence, RD # 1, Lot 4-a, Titusville.

The parties mutually agree that it shall be responsibility of the mother to deliver the child to the father for all visits and the responsibility of the father to return the child to the mother at the end of the visits.

The parties mutually agree the Defendnat will vacate the premises presently occupied by the mother and on Monday, June 10, at 4:00 p.m., may go to the residence and in the presence of Tim or Connie Brant remove his personal clothing, personal possessions and any firefighting clothing or equipment.

The Defendant is ORDERED to pay costs in this case within twenty (20) days of the time he is billed for the same by the Prothonotary's Office of Crawford County at his address at RD # 1, Lot 4-a, Titusville, PA. in care of June Christy.

Any police officer having reasonable grounds to believe that the abuse portion of this Order has been violated and procures a sworn statement from the Plaintiff to that effect may apprehend the Defendant without a formal arrest warrant and lodge him in the Crawford County Jail and advise the Court to schedule a prompt contempt hearing.

By Order Of The Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

June 13, 1991 Copy of Order to County Control, copy placed in ATtys. Brode's box.

1991

Joseph F. Kulwicki

537.

CONNIE L. SIMONS

vs.

MELVIN E. SIMONS

Filed May 31, 1991
@ 12:55 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
state paid	10.00
Pro Co pd 9/13/91	9.00

COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 201(c) OF THE DIVORCE CODE

1 copy (atty)

July 12, 1991 Certificate of Service filed. Stephanie Bellas being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is employed by Joseph F. Kulwicki, Esq., attorney for the plaintiff, and as such on or about June 25, 1991, she placed a certified copy of the above Divorce Complaint in the U.S. mail, addressed to the defendant, as follows: **Melvin E. Simons, P.O. Box 173, Jamestown, PA 16134** Said Divorce Complaint was mailed certified mail, restricted delivery, being certified mail item number P 262 246 350. The signature card for said certified mail item bearing the signature of the defendant as having received same on June 27, 1991. Said signature card is attached hereto and made a part hereof.
FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT. Stephanie Bellas

Sept. 10, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Sept. 10, 1991 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Sept. 10, 1991 UPon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review, this case moved to court.

Sept. 17, 1991 **DIVORCE DECREE FILED.**

AND NOW, September 16, 1991, it is ordered and decreed that Connie Simons, plaintiff, and Melvin Simons, defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

538

1991

Bernard, Stuczynski & Bonanti
Brcue W. Bernard

538.

Jorden and White
Harry Faber White II

NANCY HIGBY d/b/a CENTURY 21

vs.

DANA W. KILBURN

Filed May 31, 1991
@ 2:57 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.25
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 4/8/92	15.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF ROBERT LEONHART, MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30 - 3 -03

May 31, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiff to file Complaint issued.

June 4, 1991, Proof of Service filed.

June 20, 1991 Complaint filed.

June 25, 1991 Certificate of Service filed. The undersigned hereby certifies that on June 24, 1991 a true and correct copy of the Compalint filed in the above matter was served upon Dana W. Kilburn by mailing same to him first class mail, postage prepaid and addressed to Mr. Kilburn at 12735 Donation Road, Waterford Pa. 16441.. Bruce W. Bernard, Esq.

July 24, 1991 Answer, New Matter and Counterclaim filed. 1 copy (atty)

August 5, 1991 PRELIMINARY OBJECTION TO COUNTERCLAIM filed.

August 5, 1991 PRECIPE FOR ARGUMENT LIST of Bruce W. Bernard filed. Place on next available argument list.

On September 1991 - Argument list

Nov. 6, 1991 Amended Answer and New Matter filed.

Nov. 26, 1991 Reply to New Matter filed.

Apr. 8, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Reference To Arbitrators the following are hereby appoint as follows:
James R. Irwin, Chairman
Gregory Keenan
Kathleen R. Nelson

May 19, 1992Arbitrators take Qualifying Oath, filed.
May 19, 1992 Copy of Arbitrators Qualifying Oath to Bruce Bernard, Esq.

1991

Shafer, Swick, Bailey, Irwin, Stack & Millin
James R. Irwin

Bettie Peterson-Muth

539.

Christopher Ferry

4-23-99 Rec from dft 100.26

4-23-99 pd Dallas ct Rep. 100.26

ROSE M. FOULK

vs.

BERNARD R. FOULK

Filed May 31, 1991
@ 3:33 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	10.00
2 counts paid	30.00
state paid	15.00
master Pd 7-23-98	250.00
Pro Co Pd 2/25/99	9.00

COMPLAINT IN DIVORCE, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION, ALIMONY, ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE, COUNSEL FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES

1 copy (atty)

July 22, 1992 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE filed. Bettie J. Peterson-Muth, Esq., being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that she is the attorney for the plaintiff, Rose M. Fould, and that she did mail a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed in the above matter, by certified mail, return requested, deliver to addressee only, to the defendant, Bernard R. Foulk, on June 6, 1992, at his last known address, RD 1, Box 19, Guys Mills, Crawford County, Pa. 16327. The signed receipt is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

Sept 15, 1997 Appearance of Shafer, Swick, Biiley, Irwin, Stack & Millin by James R. Irein, Esquire, on behalf of Plaintiff filed.

Oct. 10, 1997 Waiver of Notice of Intention To Request Entry of a Divorce Decree Under 3301(d) of the Divorce code of Plaintiff filed.

Oct. 10, 1997 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under 3301(d) of the Divorce Code of Plaintiff filed.

May 18, 1998 Income and Expense Statement, filed.

May 19, 1998 Inventory of Plaintiff, filed.

July 27, 1998 Motion To Appoint Master and Order: AND NOW, July 24, 1998, DAVID W. PICKENS, Esquire is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Alimony, Alimony Pendente Lite, Equitable Distribution, Counsel Fees, Costs and Expenses.
Depost required: Plaintiff $250.00
If not filed already, the parties are ordered to file their pretrial statements within thirty (30) days from this date. The Defendant shall file his income and expense statement and inventory within 30 days. Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
July 27, 1998 Faxed Ferry, Irwin, Pickens

Aug 17, 1998 Notice of Scheduled Pre-Hearing Conference, filed.

Sept 18, 1998 Income and Expense Statement of Defendant, filed.

Sept 18, 1998 Inventory of Defendant, filed.

Oct 12, 1998 Notice of Scheduled Master's Hearing, filed.

Oct 15, 1998 Summary of pre-Hearing Conference and Scheduling Order, filed.

Jan. 20,1999 Notice of Filing Master's Report, filed.

Jan. 20, 1999 Master's Report and Recommendation, filed.

FEb. 18, 1999 Praecipe to Transmit Record Filed. Upon Praecipe of James R. Irwin Esq. Atty for Plaintiff this Divorce moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

March 15, 1999 AND NOW, March 12, 1999, it is Ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows:

1. The parties hereto are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
2. The parties property and debt will be distributed in accordance with the terms, conditions and provisions of the attached transcription of their settlement agreement and the same is incorporated herein as if set forth in full. To the extent not provided for in the agreement, claims for alimony, alimony pendente lite, counsel fees, and costs are waived.
3. The parties will execute any and all documents necessary to effectuate this agreement and otherwise cooperate in accordance with the terms of the agreement.
4. Defendant is directed to pay $100.26 to the Prothonotary forthwith to cover stenographic fees. He is further directed to reimburse Plaintiff in the amount of $74.87 for his share of the costs.
5. The Prothonotary is directed to pay the reporter's fee of $ to Lorraine Dallas, 820 Ravine St., Meadville, PA 16335.
6. The court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered. Any existing spousal support order shall hereafter be deemed an order for alimony pendente lite if any economic claims remain pending.
7. A certified copy of this decree may be provided to either party only after payment of all costs due hereunder, including master's fees, reporter's fees and fees otherwise due the Prothonotary.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

May 4, 1999 Petition for Contempt and Notice and Order to Appear filed. Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging you have willfully disobeyed an order of Court.
If you wish to defend against the claim set forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with the Court your defenses or objections.
Whether or not you file in writing with the ocurt your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in court on the 25th day of May 1999 at 3 pm in Courtroom no 1 or 2 or 3 of the Crawford County Courthouse.
If you do not appear in person, the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.
If the court finds that you have willfully failed to comply with its Order you may be found to be in contempt of court and committed to jail, fined or both.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator, Courthouse
Meadville,PA 16335
Telephone No: (814)333-7498

Cont on Page 588

Cusick, Madden, Joyce & McKay
Donald R. McKay

540.

Thomas, Truax, Spadafore, Vardaro & Walker
R. Charles Thomas for Vernon Twp.

Ferguson & Spataro
Douglas W. Ferguson, for Vernon Twp. Water Auth.

SOUTH PARK PLAZA, INC.

vs.

VERNON TOWNSHIP WATER AUTHORITY, AND
VERNON TOWNSHIP

Filed June 3, 1991
@ 1:30 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	29.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

June 18, 1991 Complaint filed.

July 1, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. SERVED Vernon Township Water Authority, at place of business Vernon Township Municipal Office, by handing to Collen Petruso, Secretary/Treasurer, person in charge, on June 6, 1991 at 11:46 AM

SERVED Vernon Township, at place of business Vernon Township Municipal Office, by handing to Collen Petruso, secretary/Treasurer, person in charge, on June 6, 1991 at 11:47AM

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

August 2, 1991 Appearance of Douglas W. Ferguson for Ferguson & Spataro, for defendant, filed.

August 2, 1991 VERNON TOWNSHIP WATER AUTHORITY'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT filed.

Aug. 9, 1991 Answer Of The Township of Vernon To The Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Oct. 25, 1991 Amended Complaint filed.

Jan. 9, 1992 Certificate of Readiness filed.

ON FEBRUARY 1992 TRIAL LIST

Jan. 28, 1992 MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE filed.

Jan. 28, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Jan. 27, 1992 on motion of counsel for the Plaintiff, this case is continued to the April 1992 civil trial list, there being no objection to the motion for continuance. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Feb. 3, 1992 copy in box for D. Ferguson, R.C. Thomas, mailed coopy to D. McKay

March 23, 1992 Petition For Continuance and ORDER filed. Motion granted. Case continued to October 1992 list.

P. Richard Thomas, S.J.
March 24, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. Donald McKay and R. Charles Thomas, Douglas Ferguson.

Apr. 6, 1992 ANSWER OF THE TOWNSHIP OF VERNON TO THE PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT, filed. 2 copies (atty)

July 20, 1992 Motion for Sanctions filed.
July 20, 1992 Order Filed. AND NOW, July 16, 1992 upon presentation of the attached motion for sanctions a rule, to show cuase is hereby entered and directed to the defendant to show cause, if any they have, why sanctions should not be granted.

Said rule is returnable for hearing and/or argument on July 29, 1992 at 3:30 o'clock in Courtroom No. 1 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 22, 1992 Copy of Order mailed to Donald R.McKay, Esq. and put in Boxes of Atty's.Thomas & Ferguson.

July 31, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 29, 1992 after argument on the Plaintiff's motion for sanctions, it is ordered as follows:

1. The Defendants shall jointly and severally pay to Donald R. McKay, Esq., attorney for the Plaintiff, the sum of $650 to apply on attorney's fees necessitated by these proceedings to obtain discovery that was asked for some time ago, which should have been furnished some time ago. Said payment shall be made on or before Aug. 20, 1992. In doing this, the Court finds athat sanctions are appropriate under the circumstances of this case.

2. Vernon Township shall file answers to the first set of interrogatories and a response to the request for production of documents no later than Friday, Aug. 7, 1992 and promptly thereafter serve a copy on counsel for the Plaintiff.

3. In view of some indication at the time of argument by counsel for the Plaintiff who received the Authority's responses shortly before the argument and who did not have an opportunity to fully and completely review the responses, it may well be that the Authority's responses are not complete, and they are certainly not verified. The Authority, if it believes that its responses are not full and complete, shall fiel any amendments or additions to their responses no later than Aug. 7, 1992 even if it does not intend to supplement or add to its responses.

4. The Plaintiff's motion to continue the case to the February 1993 term of civil jury trials is denied without prejudice to the Plaintiff to move for a continuance at a later time if it thinks that is appropraite. If such a motion is filed, counsel for the Plaintiff shall be prepared, if he believes the delay by the Defendants in filing responses to the first set of interrogatories and request for production caused him to not be prepared for the October 1992 term, to satisfy this Cour tas to why the time between now and the October 1992 term of Court was not sufficient for them to prepare. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 31, 1992 copy sent to McKay, and copies put in box for Ferguson & Thomas

Sept. 29, 1992 Assignment Of Nonjury trial Date filed. September 29, 1992, nonjury trial in the abov ematter is set for December 10, 1992, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom 1, before Gordon R. Miller P.J.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, CA

Sept. 29, 1992 Copies given to Atty's McKay, Bailey, Ferguson and Thomas

Continued on Page 540A

	SOUTH PARK PLAZA, INC. vs. VERNON TOWNSHIP WATER AUTHORITY, AND VERNON TOWNSHIP	CONTINUED FROM PAGE 540 of Record A. D. 1991 - 540
Nov. 30, 1992 AGREEMENT and Agreement is hereby entered as a understanding of all of the parties Dec. 1, 1992 copy to D. McKay, R. C. Thomas, D. Ferguson	CONSENT DECREE, filed. DECREE: CONSENT DECREE tot be entered at and their counsel that this CONSENT	AND NOW, this 30th day of November, 1992, the above each of the above three docket numbers with the DECREE shall be final and non-appealable. Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

Davis Reilly
Thomas E. Reilly

541.

LANDMARK SAVINGS ASSOCIATION

vs.

DOUGLAS D. DICKEY AND
LANE L. DICKEY

Filed June 3, 1991
@ 2:04 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	75.00
Pro Co Pd 8-16-91	9.00

COMPLAINT

2 copies (sheriff)

July 12, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Nancy A. Cox, Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 21st day of June, 1991, at 1:57 PM, she served Notice to Defend - Complaint filed at No. AD 541 Term, 1991, Crawford Co. PA., upon defendant Jane L. Dickey at her place of visitation, 1186 Elk St., Franklin, PA 16323 - Sheriff's Office By making known the contents thereof to Jane L. Dickey (Page), in person and handing to and leaving with her the certified copy of the writ received from Crawford County Sheriff.

Nancy A. Cox, Deputy Sheriff
So answers,
Gene Price, Sheriff
Venango County, PA.

SERVED Douglas D. Dickey personally on June 19, 1991 at 10:32 AM at his residence, Murray St., Box 543, Hydetown, Crawford Co., PA.

SERVED Jane L. Dickey by deputizing the Sheriff of Venango Co., PA as per his Affidavit of Service attached. Served on June 21, 1991.

So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, Aug. 16, 1991 @ 1:32 p.m. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney with Notice of Judgment Attached, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant DOUGLAS D. DICKEY ONLY for failure to file an answer and the same is liquidated as follows: Amount claimed in complaint, Thirteen Thousand Five Hundred Fifty and 05/100 Dollars with interest thereon at the rate of $3.74 per diem from date of Judgment; TOTAL Thirteen Thousand Five Hundred Fifty and 05 Dollars plus costs.

D-46

Debt.....$13,550.05
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary
August 16. 1991 Judgment entered

Aug 23, 1991 Notice of Judgment mailed defendant.

542.

JANE E. HOLZER

vs.

ROBERT C. SMITH

Filed June 3, 1991
@ 2:39 p.m.

Tax & entry	$50.50
state	5.00
Sheriff's costs	21.00

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF UNDER PROTECTION FROM ABUSE ACT

3 copies sheriff
1 copy plaintiff

ORDER:

And now, this 3rd day of June, 1991, upon review of the petition of Plaintiff, the Court entered the following Temporary Order.

1. The Defendant shall not assault, abuse, harass or threaten the Plaintiff or any of the minor children named in the Petition.
2. Special Conditions: Defendant shall not contact the Plaintiff. Defendant shall not go to plaintiff's residence or place of work.
3. This Order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe it has been violated.
4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.
5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this Order shall be made upon the defendant by a sheriff, constable, police officer or competent adult.
6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this Court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.
7. The Defendant is reminded that this is an ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000.00 and a jail sentence of up to six months.
8. The court reserves ruling on allocations of fees and costs.

Hearing is here by set for June 12, 1991, at 3:30 P. M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

June 4, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed: SERVED Robert C. Smith personally on June 3, 1991 at 2:30 p.m. at Sheriff's Office, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

1991

543.

pro se

Watts & Pepicelli
Theodore H. Watts

JOHN SULLIVAN d/b/a
SULLIVAN CRANE SERVICE

vs.

ROBERT DINGMAN
OIL CREEK & TITUSVILLE LINES

Filed June 3, 1991
@ 4:02 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.25
state paid	5.00
Pro Co pd 8/26/91	9.00

APPEAL OF DEFENDANT FROM JUDGMENT OF RONALD A. COLE, SR., MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT 30-3-06

June 3, 1991, Rule entered upon plaintiffs to file Complaint issued.

June 5, 1991 Proof of Service filed.

Aug. 26, 1991 Appearance of Watts & Pepicelli, PC by Theodo H. Watts for Defendant filed.

And Now, Aug. 26, 1991 at 4:20 pm upon praecipe of defendants attorney judgment of Non Pros is hereby entered against the named plaintiff for failure to file a Complaint wihtin twenty days after being ruled so to do.

S-181-91

JUDGMENT OF NON PROS
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

1991

544.

DAVID A. GAMMEL

vs.

PATRICIA ANN GAMMEL

Filed June 4, 1991
@ 12:51 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid $15.50
state paid 5.00

CERTIFICATON OF DECREE OF DIVORCE FROM THE
COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS - MIDDLESEX
(Probate 89D 2079-D1)

JUDGMENT OF DIVORCE NISI:
All persons interested having been notified in accordance with law and after hearing it is adjudged nisi that a divorce from the bond of matrimony be granted the said plaintiff for cause of cruel and abusive treatment as provided by Chaper 208ss 1-2 and that upon and after the expiration of Ninety Days from the entry of this judgment it shall become and be absolute unless, upon the application of any person within such period, the court shall otherwise order.
Dec. 8, 1989

Edward M. Ginsburg,
Judge of Probate court

June 4, 1991 NOTICE OF ELECTION TO RETAKE PRIVIOUS NAME filed.

To Be KNown as: PATRICIA ANN RAGUSO.

1991

Plate, Shapira, Hutzelman, Berlin, May, walsh, and Brabender
Stephen H. Hutzelman

545.

STATESMAN INSURANCE COMPANY

vs.

SARAH J. RUDY

Filed June 4, 1991
@ 1:36 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's costs	$21.00
Pro Co Pd 7-29-91	9.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

July 9, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. SERVED Sarah J. Rudy on June 14, 1991 at 11:25 AM by handing copy of Complaint to Laura Corliss, adult person in charge of the residence at RD # 3, Box 226, Meadville, Crawford Co., PA.
So answers,

Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

AND NOW, July 29, 1991 @ 1:15 p.m. Upon Praecipe of Plaintiff's Attorney with Notice of Judgment attached, judgment is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiffs and against the defenant for failure to file an answer and damages are assessed in the amount below:

Amount claimed in Plaintiff's Complaint, One Thousand Two Hundred Seventy-one and 44/100 Dollars; Interest from December 1990, Forty-four and 50/100 Dollars; Attorney's fees, Two Hundred Fifty-four and 29/100 Dollars; TOTAL, One Thousand Five Hundred Seventy and 23/100 Dollars plus court costs of record.

R-156

Debt $4,570.23 + costs
JUDGMENT
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

7-29-91 Judgment entered.

July 29, 1991 Notice of Judgment mailed defendant.

Sikov and Love, PA

Seymour A. Sikov
Merle S. Kramer

546.

Marsh, Spaeder, Baur
Spaeder & Schaaf
Francis J. Klemensic

YVONNE R. TURK AND JOEL TURK, HER HUSBAND

vs.

DAMON ALTOMARE

Filed June 4, 1991
@ 1:49 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriff's costs	36.50
Pro Co Pd 11/1/93	6.00

JURY TRIAL

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

July 9, 1991 Writ Return filed. SERVED Damon Altomare on June 13, 1991 at 3:49 PM by handing copy of Summons to Joseph Altomare, his attorney and father, at 228 East Central Avenue, Titusville, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Sept. 9, 1991 Complaint in Civil Action filed.

Sept. 13, 1991 Appearance of Marsh, Spaeder, Baur, Spaeder, & Schaaf by Francis J. Klemensic for defendant filed.

Sept. 13, 1991 defendant's Preliminary Objections To Plaintiff's Complaint filed.

Sept. 13, 1991 Upon praecipe of Defendant Attorney the above matter is placed on the next available argument list.

1991 OCTOBER ARGUMENT LIST

Sept 16, 1991 Amended Complaint in Civil Action filed.

Sept 20, 1991, Upon praecipe of defendant attorney, preliminary Objections withdrawn.

Sept. 26, 1991 Defendant's Answer and New Matter to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint filed.

Oct. 3, 1991 Notice Of Serving Interrogatories filed.

Oct. 17, 1991 Notice of Servicng Interrogatories filed.

Oct. 30, 1991 Plaintiff's Reply to New Matter filed on Behalf of Defendant filed.

Nov. 12, 1991 Verification Of Service Of Plaintiffs' First Set Of Interrogatories and Request For Production Of Documents Directed To Defendant filed.

Nov. 22, 1991 NOTICE OF SERVING INTERROGATORIES, filed.

Dec 6, 1991 Notice of Service of Answers to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories Directed to Defendant filed.

Dec 6, 1991 Notice of Service of Answers to Plaintiffs' Request for Production of Documents Directed to Defendant filed.

Jan. 8, 1992 PETITION FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF A GUARDIAN FOR A MINOR DEFENDANT PURSUANT TO PA. R.C.P. 2031 and ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, to-wit, this 8th day of Jan., 1992, upon consideration of the foregoing Petition for the Appointment of a Guardian Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 2031, it is hereby ORDERED that Joseph E. Altomare, Esq., is appointed guardian of Damon Altom a minor, for the purposes of representing his interests in this action. Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Jan. 13, 1992 copy mailed to F. Klemensic and M. Kramer.

Feb. 19, 1992 Notice of Deposition of Damon Altomare filed.

April 30, 1992 PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS FILED.

Aug. 7, 1992, Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order and Order of Court filed.
ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, to wit, this 7 day of aug. 1992, upon presentation of the within motion for Protective Order, and after oral argument thereon, and after due and deliberate consideration thereof, it is hereby:
ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said motionis denied.
By the court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.
Aug. 11, 1992, copies of order to Attys. Kramer and Klemensic.

Nov. 20, 1992 Notice of Videotape Deposition of Doctor Mary Jane Fina Kinosian, filed.

Aug. 2, 1993 Notice of Video Tape Deposition For User At Trial Of James Loker filed.

Aug. 4, 1993 Certificate of Readiness filed.

Sep. 8, 1993 Plaintiffs' Request for Admissions filed.

Sep.15, 1993 Videotape Depositon of Mary Jane Fina Kinosian, M.D.,filed.

Sep.15,1993 Videotape Deposition of James Loker, Monday,August 30, 1993, filed.

Oct. 8, 1993 Memorandum and Order, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, October 6, we enter the following order:
1. The defendant will not be prohibited from using Drs. Vega and DeMatteis as experts in this case by reason of late disclosure. The Court makes no ruling now as to whether or not their testimony may be barred or limited by other principles of evidence.
2. If this case is tried in October or November the witnesses, Vega and DeMatteis, shall come live. Counsel for the plaintiffs shall not be required to attend depositions for use at trial unless she is willing to do so.
3. In the event that counsel for the plaintiffs desires to now re-depose the plaintiffs' expert witnesses,in view of the new information nbow presented, she may do so but the cost of taking those depositions for use at trial shall be borne by the defendant. That includes all costs for traavel, stenographer, and one-half of the witness fees. It does not include counsel fees. BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Oct. 8,1993 copies dist to Kramer & Klemensic

Record Continued on Page 546A

Oct. 21, 1993, Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine filed.

Nov. 1, 1993, Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorneys, the above captioned action is hereby discontinued of record.

1991

William L. Walker
Thomas, Truax, Spada-
fore, Walker, Vardaro

547.

BRIAN L. WEIMERT

vs.

COLLEEN J. WHITMORE

Filed June 5, 1991
@ 11:23 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	25.25
Pro Co pd 7/10/91	9.00

COMPLAINT

1 copy (sheriff)

July 8, 1991 SHERIFF'S RETURN filed. SERVED Colleen J. Whitmore personally on June 6, 1991 at 12:05 PM at Box 191, Atlantic, c/o Nancy Michaud, Crawford County, PA.
So. answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

And Now, July 10, 1991 @ 3:17 p.m. upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney, with Notice of Intention to File default Judgment attached, judgment is her eby entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for failure to file an answer and the damages are assessed at the amount of Three Thousand Six Hundred Thirty-eight and 33/100 plus interest and cost of suit.
W-35 Debt $3,638.33
judgment entered 7/10/91

Judgment
James F. Simmons
Prothonotary

548

1991

Specter Law Offices
Robert A. Sambroak Jr.

548.

Knox, McLaughlin,
Gornall & Sennett PC
John O. Dodick

MATTHEW ALLEN ATHEY

vs.

DALE R. FRANCIS

Filed June 5, 1991
@ 11:54 a.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state pd 6/11/91	5.00
Pro Co pd 1-4-93	6.00

JURY TRIAL DEMANDEd

COMPLAINT

1 copy (atty)

Appearanc eand Acceptance of Servcie filed. I, John O. Dodick, of Knox, McLaughlin, Gornall & Sennett, P.C.,hereby enter my appearance for and on behalf of the defendant Dale R. Francis, in above case.

Further I hereby accept service of the Complaint filed at above no. and term on behalf of plaintiff on this 18th day of June 1991. JOhn O. Dodick Esq.

July 31, 1991, Defendant's Answer and New Matter filed.

July 31, 1991, Reply to New Matter filed.

July 31, 1991, Notice of service of Reply to New Matter filed.

Oct. 22, 1991 Notice of service of Plaintiff's Answers to Defendant's Interrogatories filed.

Feb. 20, 1992, Notice of Deposition of dale R. Francis filed.

Apr. 22, 1992 Notice of Deposition of Dale R. Francis, filed.

Apr. 22, 1992 Notice of Deposition of Richard E. Adams, filed.

July 9, 1992 Notice of Deposition of David Francis filed.

July 9, 1992 Notice of Deposition of Dale R. Francis filed.

Aug. 18, 1992 Notice Of Intent To Complete Discovery filed.

Dec. 15, 1992, Certificate of Trial Readiness filed.

ON TRIAL LIST FOR FEBRUARY 1993

Jan. 4, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff's attorney the above captioned action is marked settled and discontinued of record.

1991

The McDonald Group, LLP.
Gary Eiben
Marsh,Spaeder,Baur, Spaeder & Schaaf
John C. Brydon
Swensen, Perer, Johnson
& McCandless Withdrawn
~~John W. McCandless~~
Taggert, Moran &
Morehouse
James L. Moran

549.

MacDonald,Illig,Jones
& Britton
Craig R.F. Murphey

CAROLE L. CONSTANTINO

vs.

RALPH CROOK AND SANDRA CROOK, HIS WIFE

Filed June 5, 1991
@ 4:10 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
Sheriff's Costs	37.25
Pro Co pd 2-14-97	6.00

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

July 10, 1991 **SHERIFF'S RETURN** filed. SERVED Sandra Crook personally on June 13, 1991 at 2:02 pm at her residence, R.D. 1, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, PA.
SERVED RalphCrook on June 13, 1991 at 2:03 PM by handing copy of Summons to Sandra Crook, his wife, at the residence, R.D. 1, Cambridge Springs, Crawford County, PA.
So answers, Robert M. Stevens, Deputy Sheriff
Howard N. Stewart, Sheriff

Dec. 3, 1991 Notice Of Presentation Of Mtoion To Withdraw and certificate of Service and Order filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 3 day of December, 1991, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that James L. Moran is no longer counsel of record for the above-captioned plaintiff, Carole L. Constantino.
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.
Dec. 6, 1991 Copy of order mailed to James Moran.

Jan. 13, 1992 Appearance of Swensen, Perer, Johnson & McCandless by John W. McCandless for Plaintiff filed.

Mar. 29, 1993 Praecipe for withdrawal ofAppearance of John W. McCandless, Esquire on behalf of the Plaintiff Carole L. Constantino, filed.

Oct. 8, 1993 Appearance of Marsh, Spaeder, BAur, Spaeder & Schaaf by John C. Brydon, Esquire for Carole L. Constantino, Plaintiff, filed.

Apr. 7, 1994 Notice of Serving Requests for Production of Documents, filed.

Apr. 7, 1994 Notice of Serving Interrogatories, filed.

Apr. 21, 1994 Appearance of MacDonald,Illig, Jones & Britton by Craig R. F. Murphey, Esquire, for Ralph Crook and Sandra Crook, defendants, filed.

Apr. 21, 1994 Praecipe for Rule to File Complaint issued. (issued Atty.)

May 10, 1994 Complaint filed.

June 17, 1994 Answer to Complaint filed.

May 10, 1995 Motion to Compel and ORDER filed. AND NOW, to-wit, this 10th of May,1995, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion to Compel,
IT IS ORDERED that said Motion is granted, and that plaintiff Constantino shall serve complete Answers and Responses to defendant Crook's Interrogatories and Requests for Production, with proper verification and without objections, within twenty (20) days from the date of this Order, or otherwise be subject to appropriate sanctions upon further motion of the defendant.
Gordon R. Miller, J.
5-10-95 Faxed Brydon, mailed Murphey

May 31, 1995, Notice of Filing Answers to Interrogatories filed.

May 31, 1995, Notice of Filing Response to Request for Productionof Documents filed.

Sep. 22, 1995 Motion to Withdraw Appearance of John C.Brydon, Esquire, filed.

Sep. 25, 1995 ORDER filed. AND NOW, September 22, 1995 we have before us a motion to withdraw appearance, with counsel for the Plaintiff appearing in Motions Court in support of his motion and the Plaintiff appearing pro se opposing the motion.
We proceed informally and after hearing both sides, we are satisfied that the attorney-client relationship has broken down to the point it would be more appropriate for the Plaintiff to have other counsel. Accordingly, John C. Brydon, Esq., and the firm of Marsh, Spaeder, Bauer, Spaeder &Schaaf is granted leave to withdraw under the following conditions:
1. Counsel shall represent the Plaintiff at a deposition shceduled onSeptember 27, 1995 unless that matter can be continued for a period of at least 45 days.
2. Counsel will follow up with introducting the Plaintiff to other counsel he has arranged to possibly represent her in this case and if that does not work out, he will attempt toobtain other counsel for the plaintiff.
3. In the event that the Plaintiff cannot obtainother counsel and the Plaintiff's deposition is rescheduled or some other matter of importance in this case is scheduled within thenext 60 days, Mr.Brydon shall represent the Plaintiff at any such event prior to withdrawing his appearance in this matter.
BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro,J.
9-26-95 Copies dist.to Brydon& Murphy

Apr 22,1996 Praecipe, filed.

Apr 22, 1996 Application For Status Conference, filed.

Apr 22, 1996 Notice for Completion of Discovery, filed.

Apr 22, 1996 Appearance of The McDonald Group, LLP bny Gary Eiben, Esquire, on behalf of Plaintiff.

May 28, 1996 Notice of Taking Deposition of Ralph Crook filed.

Continued on Page 573

1991

Terry Toomey

550.

MARY ANN PRATHER, ON BEHALF OF REBECCA PRATHER, A MINOR

vs.

VICKI GEORGE, INDIVIDUALLY, AND RICHARD GEORGE AND BETTY GEORGE, HIS WIFE

Filed June 5, 1991
@ 4:27 p.m.

Tax & entry Paid	$50.50
state paid	5.00
sheriff's costs	101.50

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Issued (sheriff)

December 6, 1991 Sheriff's Return filed. Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Mark S. Fisher, Deputy Sheriff who being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on the 8th day of June, 1991, at 12:00 P.M., he sered at No. AD 1991-550, Crawford County, PA., upon defendants Vicki George,Richard George and Betty George at their residence, R D # 1 Carlton, PA. By making known the contents thereof to Richard George personally,and handing to and leaving with him the certified copy of the summons received from Crawford County Sheriff.

Mark S. Fisher, Deputy Sheriff
So answers
William H. Romine, Jr. Sheriff
Mercer County, PA.

FRONT

Lillian Kitelinger n/b/m Lillian Marie. Dileo

vs.

Patrick Kitelinger

Continued from page 341

of record

A.D. 1991 - 341

Aug.27, 1991 TEMPORARY ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 27th day of Aug., 1991, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor children.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3a. The father shall have primary physical custody of Bambi and Tiffany and the mother shall have primary physical custody of William.

b. The visitation schedule for the children shall be as follows:

Weekend visitation shall begin on Fri. at 6:00 p.m. and end Sun. at 6:00 p.m. Starting with the weekend of Aug. 24, William shall visit h is mother for the first two weekends out of every three. On the third weekend, Tiffany shall visit her mother. The party receiving visitation shall also have temporary physical custody. In th is way, Tiffany and William will be together every weekend. The parties have indicated to the mediator that the visitations between Bambi and her mother have not gone well and therefore it seems best if she not be required to visit with her mother at this time, although the father should encourage her to do so.

c. The father should provide transportation in connection with the above visitation schedule on Fridays, and the mother on Sundays, regardless of wjo is to receive the children int he course of the visitation schedule.

4. Holiday visitations shall be arranged as follows:

a. All visitations shall take place between 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Trick-ro-Treat day in which visitation shall end at 9:00 p.m., so that the parent having the care of the children can take them around trick-or-treating. Holidays to be observed are New Year's Day, Easter, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Trick-or-Treat Day, Thanksgiving, Christmas Eve, and Christmas. The holiday visitations shall be compulsory only for William and Tiffany, although Bambi is encouraged to participate. In odd years, the father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody of William and Tiffany on New Year's Day, Memorial Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving, and Christmas Eve, and the mother shall have temporary physical custody and visitation of said children on Easter, Independence Day, Trick-or-Treat Day, and Christmas. In even years, the situation will reverse and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

b. The party receiving the child in the exercise of the holiday visitation rights shall be responsible for picking up the said children at the home of the other, except for Trick-or-Treat Day when the party having visitation and temporary physical custody shall also deliver the children to the home of the other.

c. Provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence other provisions of this order relating to custodial or visitation periods.

5. The children shall continue counseling with Kathy Berkey, MA, as she may recommend. The parties are cautioned to respect the children's confidencees and their privacy in their counseling sessions and not to interrogate them and to ask them no questions whatever about the counseling sessions. The Court cautions that having the confidence of the children is crucial to the therapy and that interference cannot be tolerated. Kathy Berkey is authorized to discuss the case with the mediator and to release to him any information which he may require in the course of their discussions.

6. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any person transporting trhe children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation paeriods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

12. Either party may request a review of th is order if he or she thinks that proper and the mediator may schedule further mediation if he thinks it proper.

13. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

14. Eiother party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
J. Quint Salmon, S.J., Specially Presiding

Sept. 5, 1991 copy in boxes for Attys. T.Toomey & W.Pineo. Mailed copy to Atty. J.Cooper, Plf. & Dft.

Sept 16, 1991 Motion for Technical Correction and Order filed: AND NOW, this 13 day of Sept., 1991, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion, the same is hereby granted and paragraph 3b of the temporary order is hereby amended to read as follows:

"3b. The visitation schedule for the chidlren shall be as follows:

Weekend visitation shall begin on Friday at 6:00 p.m. and end Sunday at 6:00 p.m. Starting with the weekend of August 24, William shall visit his father for the first two weekends out of every three. On the third weekend, Tiffany shall visit her mother. The party receiving visitation shall also have temporary physical custody. In this way, Tiffany and William will be together every weekend. The parties have indicated to the mediator that the visitations between Bambi and her mother have not gone well and therefore it seems best if she not be required to visit with her mother at this time, although the father should encourage her to do so."

It is further ordered that in all other respects, the aforesaid temporary order is affirmed.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S. Judge

Sept 17, 1991 Copies of order put in Attys Pineo and Toomey's boxes and copy mailed to Atty. Cooper.

RECORD CONTINUED TO PAGE 566.....

Robert M. Miller
vs.
Cindy Lou Miller

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 39
of Record
A.D. 1991 - 39

****

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D

AND NOW, this 25th day of March, 1992, the Court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE:

Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken and the parties have each filed affidavits of consent.

2. REAL ESTATE:

Plaintiff shall transfer to the Defendant, by Special Warranty Deed, all his right, title and interest in the jointly owned real estate located at 621 Main St., Saegertown, Pa., consisting of house and land, subject to the condition precedent that within a reasonable time after date of Decree Defendant shall obtain refinancing in her sole name so as to satisfy in full the mortgage owed to Marquette Savings Association which is secured by said property; Plaintiff shall cooperate at closing of said refinancing by conveyance of his interest as set forth above and shall execute any and all documents necessary to transfer property to Defendant. Defendant shall assume full responsibility for any taxes or costs incident to this refinancing and/or transfer, including all bank charges and document preparation.

3. VEHICLES:

Defendant shall retain the 1989 Ford Aerostar Van, subject to the condition precedent that Defendant shall within a reasonable time after date of this Decree refinance said vehicle in her sole name and obtain release of Plaintiff from any and all liaability on and for the existing loan owed to integra Bank which is secured by said vehicel; Plaintiff shall cooperate in refinancing said vehicle loan and shall at time of closing thereon execute any and all documents necessary to transfer title to said vehicle to Defendant.

4. PERSONAL PROPERTY:

Plaintiff hereby transfers to the Defendant, all right, title and interest he may other wise have in the household goods, appliances, contents, and equipment, and all other personal property located upon the premises referred to above in Paragraph 2, with the exception of one antique child's bed formerly belonging to Plaintiff's mother, which shall be made available to Plaintiff within 30 days following entry of this decree. Plaintiff shall supply a child's bed of equivalent size and of a quality acceptable to Defendant whose acceptance shall not be unreasonably withheld. If any papers belonging to the Plaintiff are still in existence, and are located by the Defendant, she will return these items to him.

5. EMPLOYMENT AND PENSION BENEFITS:

It is the agreement of the parties that Defendant shall retain all employment benefits and retirement/pension benefits in connection with her employment at Integra Bank; and that Plaintiff ahall retain all employment benefits and retirement/pension benefits in connection with his employment at Miller's Disposal & Truck Service, Inc., now known by change of name as Miller's Truck Repair, Inc. Each party slhall within 30 days after request therefor execute any and all documentation necessary to release his or her interest in or claim to said benefits of the other.

6. BUSINESS INTERESTS:

Defendant agrees to claim no portion or share whatsoever of Plaintiff's shares in the corporation formerly known as Miller's Disposal & Truck Service, Inc., now by change of name, Miller's Truck Repair, Inc. Plaintiff shall retian all right, title and interest in and to said shares, and all rights to dividends, payments, distributions and for employment benefits, past, present and future accruing to Plaintiff in connection with his ownership of and employment by said corporation. Defendant shall within 30 days following request therefor execute any and all documentation necessary to give effect to this Paragraph 6.

7. ALIMONY, ATTORNEY FEES:

In ligh the property distribution stated herein, no payment will be required of Plaintiff, towards alimony, alimony pendente lite, or Defendant's counsel fees, costs or expenses.

8. LIFE INSURANCE:

Each party has disclosed to the other full information on all life insurance policies insuring his or her life. Each party shall become and remain the sole owner of all policies insuring his or her life and shall have all rights to receive dividends, designate beneficiaries and any other rights and shall have full responsibility for payment of all premiums.

9. MISCELLANEOUS RIGHTS AND CLAIMS:

Except as set forth above, neither party shall make any claim to any property acquired by the other party hereto before they were married, during the marriage or after they separated, and neither shall make any further claim to any other assets of the other party hereto, except as set forthe in this Decree.

10. SPOUSAL AND CHILD SUPPORT:

Defendant filed a spousal and child support action at Crawford County No. DR 1991-265. Defendant at the time of hearing thereon waived spousal support without prejudice. In view of the decree of divorce and property distribution hereinabove granted, Defendant's right to spousal support is hereby terminated and forever barred. Pursuant to said support hearing, child support is currently being paid by Plaintiff to Defendant for the parties' three shcildren, to-wit:

Justin Edward Miller
Jay Michael Miller
Bobbi J. Miller

No further action is taken at this time and the parties' retain the usual right to modification of said child support.

11. CHILD CUSTODY AND VISITATION::

By agr and stipulation of the parties, a Consent Custody Order was entered at the above captioned number and term on Jan. 10, 1992, and so no further action is taken with respect to custody and visitation at this time. The parties retain the usual rights to modification thereof.

12. WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS:

Neither party hereto shall require the other party hereto to file an inventory and appraisement of to update income and expense statements prior ot entry of this Decree as each party is satisfied with the knowledge he or she now has.

13. DECREE NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AGAINST DRAFTING PARTY:

This Dtended negotiation between the parties, through respective counsel. No provision herein shall be construed against or in favor of either party by reason of the identity of the scrivener.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Mar. 26, 1992 copy in box for L. Pepicelli Youngs

1991

Joanne M. Rice

vs.

Barry J. Rice

Record continued from page 195

record of

A.D. 1991 - 195

---

5. The mother shall also have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody with Jason on the afternoon of Thanksgiving, 1991, from 3:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m., and on Christmas Day, 1991, during the same hours.

6. The counselor shall be authorized to share with the mediator his or her thoughts, evalua tions, and insights.

7. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously asrranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

8. The father shall urge Jason to give to his father that love and respect which is due a father from his children.

10. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor child. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the child is being transported or in the bedroom of the child.

11. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pa.

12. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

13. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $127.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being asessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

14. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Nov. 7, 1991 copies in box for Attys. Pineo, Fabian & Ferguson. Mailed copy to Plf. & Dft.

ERIC P. ALLEN
vs.
MARSCHELLIA A. ALLEN

Record Continued from Page 452
of A.D. 1991 - 452

****

After hearing testimony with respect to this matter, the Court declines to hold the Plaintiff in contempt insofar as it appears that there has been some sort of a mutual understanding that this child would be in the custody of the Plaintiff Father as of April 5, 1992 and that it appears that arrangement continued to the extent that mother even made no effort to keep the child in her custody pursuant to the previous Order when the child visited her on a weekend in mid-May, 1992 just prior to this hearing.

The Court does not believe it would be in the best interest of the child to be removed from father's home with the possibility that the Mediator could then return the child to the father's home when there was full mediation concerning this matter, and therefore, declines to do so with the understanding that the Court has not conducted a full hearing in regard to what would be in the best interest of the child concerning physical custody and the Mediator or other trier of fact, if a de novo hearing becomes necessary, should not consider this Order to be any determination or efidence with respect to whether it would be in the best interest of the child to be with his mother or his father.

It appears that since the child has been with his fther, there has been little effort on the part of the father to arrange for visitation with the mother including Easter weekend which would have normally been hers pursuant to the original Order, and accordingly, pending the mediation conference, the Defendant/Mother shall have visitation with Jason on the first, second and fourth weekends of each month from 5:00 p.m. Friday evening until 5:00 p.m. Sunday evening.

The father shall provide transportation of the children on weekend visits to and from the home of the mother, and in doing so, he shall not have his current girl friend in the vehicle at any time upon making those exchanges.

It appears that father does not have any intention of removing the child from the Cochranton Schools pending the mediation conference, but he is DIRECTED to require the child remain in the Cochranton Schools should that become an issue until further Order of Court after mediation.

It appears that the Defendant has not paid her share of the mediation costs in the amount of $75.00 as ordered on October 21, 1991, and she shall do so within ten (10) days of this date and until she does so, she shall be precluded from filing any other filing in this case unless she shall have petitioned the Court to proceed in forma pauperis and shall have received permission to do so.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

May 26, 1992 Copies of order put in Atty. Lindsay & Nelson's boxes.

July 10, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, July 6, 1992, after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esq., IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED as follows, to wit:

I. Legal custody of Jason Allen and Jordan Allen shall be shred between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting child such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject children. Jason shall attend the school where his father resides and Jordan shall attend school where her mother resides.

II. Physical custody of Jason Allen shall be with his father, subject to the following conditions:

A. The mother shall be entitled to visit and take the child into her custody every other weekend from 5:00 P.M., on Friday to 5:00 P.M., on Sunday, beginning July 3, 1992.

III. Physical custody of Jordan Allen shall be with her mother subject to the following condition:

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the child into his custody every other weekend from 5:00 P.M., on Friday to 5:00 P.M. on Sunday, beginning June 26, 1992.

IV. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Easter and Thanksgiving. Custody of the child shall be shred and alternated on the aforesaid holidays and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the children shall be in the custody of the father every Christmas Eve from 2:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. and in the custody of the mother every Christmas Day from 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

A. On even numbered years the children shall be in the custody of the mother on Easter Sunday, and in the custody of the father on Thanksgiving Day.

B. On odd numbered yers the children shall be in the custody of the mother on Thanksgiving Day and in the custody of the father on Easter Sunday.

C. Beginning with the Summer of 1992, both children shall be in the custody of the mother for a period of three consecutive weeks beginning July 6, 1992 at 4:00 p.m., and ending July 27 at 4:00 p.m., and in the custody of the father for three consecutive weeks beginning July 27 at 4:00 p.m. and ending Aug. 17 at 4:00 p.m. During this six week period there shall be no weekend visits.

D. Transportation of the children on weekends, holidays and summer visits shall be provided as follows: transportation shall be shred equally with the person receiving custody providing transportation. Father shall not have his girlfriend in the vehicle when making exchanges.

E. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the child against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the child(ren) towards both parents.

F. Neither mother nor father shall expose the child(ren) to any person or situation which may be harmful to the child(ren)'s health or well being.

G. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

V. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

VI. Cost of the mediation conference will be divided as follows: one-half from the father, Eric P. Allen, and one-half from the mother, Marshellia A. Allen.

Gordon R. Miller, Judge

July 14, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. Kathleen Nelson, Brian Lindsay, John Fuller; copy mailed to plaintiff, defendant.

Nov. 2, 1992 Plaintiff's Affidavit Under Section 3301(d) of the Divorce Code filed.

Nov. 13, 1992 MOTION TO WITHDRAW OF COUNT IN DIVORCE and ORDER, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 13th day of November, 1992, upon consideration of the herein Motion, Count II, Equitable Distribution, of the above referenced action is hereby withdrawn.

Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Nov. 17, 1992 copy to K. Nelson, B. Lindsay, J. Fuller

Nov. 13, 1992 Motion to Withdraw Appearance and Order filed.AND NOW, this 10th day of November, 1992, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, counsel of record,Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire, us hereby granted leave to withdraw.

Gordon R.Miller, J.

Nov. 18, 1992Copy of Order to Nelson, Lindsay & Fuller.

Dec. 14, 1992 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney For Review Under 3301(d) of the Divorce code, this case moved to court.

D I V O R C E D E C R E E F I L E D

Dec. 21, 1992 AND NOW, Dec. 18, 1992, it is hereby ordered and decreed that **E R I C P . A L L E N ,** Plaintiff, and **M A R S C H E L L I A A . A L L E N ,** Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Continued to Page 554 - 1

1991

Eric P. Allen
vs
Marshellia A.Allen

Continued from Page 554
of Record

AD 1991 - 452

****

Feb. 6, 1996 Return of Mediation Deposit filed. AND NOW, this 5 day of February, 1996, the Prothonotary of Crawford County is directed to refund to Eric P. Allen,Plaintiff in the above-captioned custody action, the sum of $66.00, representing the balance of his mediation deposit.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

2-6-96 Faxed Nelson

554
2

1991

MADELEINE SVIDUNOVICH
vs.
NICHOLAS E. SVIDUNOVICH

Continued of Record from Page 11

A.D.1991 - 11

****

July 7, 1992 Motion to Reschedule Continued Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, July 7, 1992 the within Motion is hereby granted and theRule to Show Cause Hearing is scheduled for July 21, 1992, at 1:30 P.M., Courtroom 1 or 2.

For theCourt,
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator

July 7, 1992 Copy of the Order to K. Nelson,ESq. and B. LIndsay, Esq.

July 14, 1992 Motion to Reschedule Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, July 14, 1992, the within Motion is her eby granted and the Rule to Show Cause Hearing is scheduled for July 28, 1992 at 11:00 a.m., Courtroom 1.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, C.A.

July 16, 1992 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Nelson and Lindsay

July 21, 1992 Motion to Reschedule Hearing and Order filed. AND NOW, July 21, 1992, the within Motion is hereby granted and theRule to Show Cause Hearing is scheduled for August 4, 1992 at 10:30 A.M. Courtroom 1 or 2.

For the Court,
Imogene Yetman, Court Administrator

July 23, 1992 Copy of Order given to Atty's Lindsay and Nelson.

Aug. 5, 1992, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: NOW, THEREFORE, this 4 day of aug. 1992 it is ORDERED as follows:

1. The defendant's petition for contempt is dismissed as the court is not convinced by the fair weight and preponderance of the evidence that the plaintiff wilfully and intentionally violated the court order.

2. The visitation and custody prov isions set forth inthe Protection From ABuse Order at AD 1992 - 454 are hereby rescinded and the following custody and visitation provisions shall take effect.

3. The custody and visitation order of Oct. 4, 1991 shall remina in full force and effect in all respects except as herein after modified.

4. Paragrapt 2(a) of the custody and visitation order of Oct. 4, 1991, isdeleted and in its place the following shall be substituted:

A. Father shall be entitled to have the children in his custody between the hours of 1:00 p.m. and 6;00 p.m. the first three (3) sundays of each month. the father agrees to give the mother twenty -four (24) hours notice in advance if he does not intend to exercise a Sunday visit- ation. When he gives the mother that notic e he shall be civil in all respects and engage in no prolonged ocnversation. He shall simply indicate tothe mother that he does not intend to exercise a Sunday visitation, and terminate the conversation.

The party receiving the children shall have the responsibility to provide transportation. When the defendant receives the children for his Sunday visitations his current wife, not the Defendant, shall go to the home ofthe children and pick them up at 1:00 p.m. When the visitation has terminated the plaintiff shall go to the defendant's home at 6:00 to receive the children. The defendant shall send the children out ofthe house to the palintiff's car where the plaintiff shall be. the defendant shall nto go out of the house and shall nto go to the plaintiff's car. THese provisions with respect to transportation are being entered to preserve the Protection From Abuse Order entered May 19, 1992. At no time shall the defendant be present inthe car or near the pla intiff's car when the children are picked up or returned to the plaintiff's home.

5. The 1992 summer week long visitations are concelled.

6. The transportation provisions in Paragraph 4 of the Oct. 1991 Order are modified so as to pro vide that when the defendant picks up and receives his children that should be done by his current wife and when the plaintiff receives the children back she shall simply go in her car to the defendant's property, not get out of the car, and the defendant, who shall remain in his residence, shall send the children out and the children gfo unattended from the house to the car.

7. The Defendant shall not threaten the plaintiff in any manner. The defendant shall not argue and bicker with the plaintiff in the presence ofthe children or at any time where the child- ren could be witnesses to or hear that bickering or arguing.

8. A copy fo this osrder shall be filed of record inthe Protections from Abuse action at A.D. 1992 - 454. The Prothonotary is ORDERED AND DIRECTED to deliver a copy of this order to County control and to the Mead. City Police Dept. so that they will have a record that this is a partial modification ofthe Protection From Abuse Order fo May 19, 1992.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Aug.11 1992, copies distributed to county control, Mead. Police Dept. Atty Nelson,& dft. (copy filed at AD 1992 - 454-Protection from ABuse case) Also copy to B. Lindsay Esq.

Aug. 28, 1992 PETITIONTO APPOINT A MEDIATOR and ORDER, filed. ORDER: You, Madeleine Svidunovich, Plaintiff/Respondent, have been sued in court to obtain custody, partial custody or visitation of the children: Jerod Reid Svidunovich, and Daniel Lee Svidunovich.

You are ordered to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator, John Fuller, for a mediation conference.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

Dated: Aug. 26, 1992 Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

3 copies (atty)

Sept. 15, 1992 Affidavit of SErvice filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, Esq., after being duly sworn according to law, do hereby certify that I am the attorney of record for the above named Defendant, and that as such I am authorized to make this verification; that I did on the 2nd day of Sept.,1992, send to the above named Plaintiff, by Certified Mail, REturn Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of the Petition to Appoint a Mediator filed at the above term and number; and that I did on the 4th day of Sept., 1992, receive a duly executed return receipt dated Sept. 3, 1992.

Brian J. Lindsay, Esq.

Oct. 28, 1992 Order Filed. AND NOW, October 28, 1992, after mediation conference before JohnFuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Jerod Reid Svidunovich and Daniel Lee Svidunovich shall be shared between the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the children such as the education, medical treatment and religious instruction of the subject children.

II. Physical custody of Jerod Reid Svidunovich and Daniel Lee Svidunovich shall be with their mother, subject to the following conditions:

CONTINUED OF RECORD TO PAGE 556

Madeleine Svidunovich
vs
Nicholas E. Svidunovich

Continued from Page 555
of
A.D.1991 - 11

****

A. The father shall be entitled to visit and take the children into his custody the first three weekends each month from 6:00 p.m. onFriday to 6:00 p.m. on Sunday, beginning NOvember 6, 1992.

B. Holidays shall be defined as Christmas, Thanksgiving and New Years Day. Custody of the children shall be alternated on the aforesaid holidays.

On even numbered years the children shall be in the custody of the father on the day after Thanksgiving and in the custody of the father on Christmas Eve from 11:00 p.m. until Christmas Day at 6:00 p.m.

On odd numbered years the children shall be in the custody of the father on Thanksgiving Day from 1:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. and in the custody of the father on Christmas Eve from 12:00 Noon until 11:00 p.m. and on New Year's Day from 12:00 Noon until 6:00 p.m.

C. Beginning with the Summer of 1993, the children shall be in the custody of the father for a period of two non-consecutive one week periods. The father shall give the mother at least thirty (30) days notice of the dates of the Summer visits.

D. Transportation of the children on weekends, holidays andSummer visits shall be provided as follows: the person receiving custody of the children shall provide transportation. There shall be no verbal exchanges between the parents when custody is transferred.

E. Neither mother nor father shall say or do anything in an attempt to turn the children against the other parent, but on the other hand shall do everything in the power of each to foster a favorable and loving attitude on the part of the children towards both parents.

F. Neither mother nor father shall expose the children to any person or situation which may be harmful to the children's health of well being.

G. Both parents agree to inform the other immediately of any illness or injury regarding the children and both parents agree to furnish the other with a current telephone number.

H. The parents agree that the children shall attend mass Sunday mornings at 10:00 a.m. The mother shall pick the children up at the father's home on the Sundays when they are in the father's custody, take them to church, and return them to the father's home after church.

I. In the event that the father is not permitted to return to his home after October 29, 1992, then the father must secure a place that is satisfactory to the mother, prior to any visits with the children.

III. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within thirty (30) days of the date hereof.

IV. Cost of the mediation conference will be equally divided between the parties. The Prothonotary of Crawford County is hereby directed to refund the amount of $50.00 of the deposit paid in advance by the Defendant less the cost of the custody order.

Anthony J. Vardaro, Judge

Oct.28, 1992 Copy of the Order to Attys. Nelson & Lindsay.

March 14, 1994 NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR filed. Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging you have wilfully disobeyed an order of court for partial custody.

If you wish to defend against the claim set forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with the court your defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in writing with the court your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in court on April 22, 1994, at 10:30 A.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2. at the Crawford Co. Courthouse, Meadville, PA., 16335.

IF YOU DO NOT APPEAR IN PERSON, THE COURT MAY ISSUE A WARRANT FOR YOUR ARREST.

If the Court finds that you have wilfully failed to comply with its order for partial custody, you may be found to be in contempt of court and committed to jail, fined or both.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Crawford County Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 336-1151, ext. 298

BY THE COURT:
Date: 3/14/94 Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

March 16, 1994 Copy of Order to Attys. Nelson and Lindsay.

Apr. 4, 1994 Affidavit of Service filed. AND NOW, this 4th day of April, 1994, Kathleen R. Nelson, Esquire, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the following facts in support of her Affidavit of Service are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief:

1. That the Affiant is the Attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action.
2. That a true and correct copy of the Notice of Hearing was sent by certified mail to the Defendant, Nicholas E. Svidunovich, onMarch 24, 1994.
3. That the Defendant received said certified mail on March 26, 1994. Said Return Receipt Card P 238 202 449 is attached hereto, signed by Nicholas E. Svidunovich and made a part hereof.

Kathleen R. Nelson

Apr. 13, 1994 Motion for Continuance of Custody Hearing and ORDER filed. The contempt hearing in this matter is continued to May 20, 1994, at 1 o'clock p.m. Court Room 1 or 2.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

4-14-94 Copy of Order to Attys. Nelson, Youngs & Lindsay

May 20, 1994 ORDER, filed. AND NOW, May 20, 1994, both parties have appeared before this Court, together with their respective counsel. After an informal discussion with the parties and their counsel, the Court enteres the following Order,to which the parties and their respective counsel have orally stipulated:

1. Neither party shall place any telephone call to the residence of the other party, nor shall either party solicit third parties to place a telephone call to the residence of the other party.
2. Neither party shall use any obscene or vulgar language or obscene or vulgar gestures toward the other party.
3. Either child of the parties, Jerod or Daniel, shall be free to place telephone calls to the residence of the party not then in physical custody of such child at any reasonable time selected by the child, or at any other reasonable time pre-arranged between such child and that party not then in physical custody. The party having physical custody shall not overly monitor a telephone call between the child and the other parent; neither shall the parent attempt to speak to or be heard by the non-custodial parent by yelling in the background during the child's conversation.
4. It is this Court's intention that the parties shall avoid confrontations with one anot her at all times. In order to avoid confrontations during t he exchanges of custody between the parties, all exchanges of custody of the children shall be at the residence of one of the parties. The party transporting the children to the receiving party shall remain in his or her vehicle during each exchange of custody. The party receiving custody shall remain inside his or her residence during each exchange of custody. It is this Court's intention that there shall be no verbal exchanges whatsoever between the parties during the exchange of custody.
5. Neither party shall disparage the other party before the children or third parties. Each party shall teach the children to give the other party that love and respect that is due a parent from his or her children.

Continued to Page 556 A

DORIS A. FOWLER
VS.
DAVID L. FOWLER

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 99
of Record
A.D. 1991 - 99

****

@. Any documents received by either party, whether appraisals of real estate or personal property, including appraisals of real estate andy personal property and any other information received regarding the defendant's pension retirement and Unemployment benefits shall be shared, such that the party receiving said information or documents shall promptly provide the attorney of the other party with full, true and correct copies of the same.

3. The defendant shall pay towards the plaintiff's attorney fees to Attorney R. Charles Thomas the sum of $500.00, to be paid $250.00 by Aug. 31, 1992 and $250.00 by Sept. 30, 1992.

4. It is noted the Court's intention to suggest to the ultimate trier of fact, whether it be a Master or otherwise with respect to these issues, a determination with respect to the same insofar as the Court does not have all fo the facts that may be pertinent to that final determination before it at this time.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Aug. 25, 1992 copies put in box for Mason & Thomas

Nov. 10, 1992 Inventory and Appraisement of Plaintiff, Doris A. Fowler, filed.

Feb. 10, 1993 Motion for Appointment of Master in Divorce and Order,filed. ORDER: AND NOW, 2-8-93, David W. Pickens Esq., is appointed Master in respect to the following claims: Divorce, Alimony Pendente Lite, Equitable Distribution. Deposit required: By Plaintiff $200.00 by Defendant $600.00

If not filed already the parties are ordered to file their pretrial statements within 30 days from th is date.

Defendant shall comply with Pa. R.C.P. 1920.31 and 1920.33(a) within 15 days.

Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Feb. 12, 1993 copy to R.C. Thomas, J. Mason & J. Fuller

Mar. 10, 1993 Petition To Adjudicate Defendant In Contempt and For Imposition of Sanctions and Order filed. AND NOW, this 10 day of March, 1993, upon presentation and consideration of the wtihin Petition, a Rule is granted upon the Defendant to show cause, if any he has, why the relief requested in the aforesaid Petition should not be granted. Returnable for hearing and/or argument on the 31 day of March, 1993, at 3:30 o'clcock p.m., in Court Room 1 or 2, Crwaford County Court House, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

A copy of this Petition shall be served upon the Defendant by Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested and upon his counsel, Joan Mason, Esq., by regular mail.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Mar. 11, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Mason and Thomas

Mar.30, 1993 Answer to Petition to Adjudicate Defendant in Contempt filed.

Mar. 30, 1993 Income and Expense Statement of David L.Fowler, Defendant,filed.

Jan. 24, 1994 Affidavit of Service of Complaint in Divorce filed. ROBERT OSBORNE, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that on March 17, 1991 at 11:10 o'clock A.M. he served a true and correct copy of the Complaint in Divorce filed in the above captioned action upon the Defendant, David L. Fowler,by personal service, at the residence of the Defendant's mother.

Jan. 21, 1994 Robert Osborne

Jan. 24, 1994 Affidavit of Consent by David L.Fowler,Defendant, filed.

Jan. 24, 1994 Upon Praecipe of R. Charles Thomas, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Jan. 31, 1994 ORDER OF COURT filed. And Now, January 31, 1994 Upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Motion, the Prothonotary is authorized and directed to release to R. Charles Thomas, Esq., Attorney for the Plaintiff the security deposit deposited by the Plaintiff in this case for Master's fees and to release to Joan D. Mason, Esq., Attorney for the Defendant the security deposit deposited by the Defendant less Master's fees in the amount of $175.50 which shall be paid from the Defendant's security deposit directely to the said David W. Pickens,Esquire, Master, by the Prothonotary. A copy of the statement of the Master is attached.

Gordon R. Miller, J.

2-2-94 Copy of Order to Attys. Thomas, Mason & Pickens.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Feb. 2, 1994 STIPULATION/Divorce Decree. AND NOW, this 27 day of January, 1994, the court orders and decrees as follows:

1. DIVORCE:

Upon consideration of the pleadings and Affidavits filed in the above-captioned case, this court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above-named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievabl broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the Complaint, and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties, evidencing that each fo the parties consents to the divorce pursuant to Section 3301(c) of the PA. Divorce Code.

2. REAL ESTATE:

Plaintiff shall transfer to Defendant, by special warranty deed, all of her right, title and interest in the jointly owned real estate located in Wayne Township, Crawford Co., PA., which property was transferred to them by way of deed dated June 17, 1975, found at Crawford Co. Deed Book 492, page 305. The Defendant shall be responsible for any outstanding taxes or liens owed jointly by these parties, in connection with said property.

3. INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT ACCOUNT:

Defendant shall transfer to Plaintiff all his right, title and interest to the Individual Retirement Accoutn #731090189 previously located in Marine Bank, Cochranton, PA., now by merger First National Bank of PA., which accoutn has an approximate balance of $19,000.00. Defendant shall transfer said account, including all principal and interest, into an account in the Plaintiff's name alone. Plaintiff agrees to complete the custodian agreement to open said account in the First National Bank of Pa., Cochranton, PA., and to complete the other papers, including but not limited to beneficiary designation. She shall receive all of the principal and accrued interest by way of debit from the account titled in the Defendant's name to be credited to the new account to be opened in her name. It is the intent of the parties hereto that this transfer, being a transfer of entireties properties accrued entirely during the term of this marriage (although titled to the Defendant alone at this time) shall be tax free and penalty free to the Defendant. If any taxes or penalties arise as a result of said transfer, or at any time when the Plaintiff then or later transfers or withdraws the same or any part of the same, all such taxes and penalties shall be her sole responsibility and she shall hold the Defendant harmless and indemnify him in regard thereto.

4. ANNUITY FUND; PENSION FUND:

Plaintiff hereby releases any right, title and interest she may have otherwise have had in the Annuity Fund and Pension Fund that has accrued in the Defendant's name, through his employment, specifically now administered through Operating Engineers Local 66, AFl/CIO and Construction Industries Combined Funds, Inc. Likewise, any benefits that may otherwise accrue to the Defendant through his employment shall remain his alone. Plaintiff acknowledges that she has had access to information regarding the pension, annuity and benefits, through authorization provided to Plaintiff's attorney by Defendant, and acknowledges that she has apprised herself of any information she believes necessary or advisable, through the use of said authorization.

RECORD CONTINUED ON PAGE 558 .....

DORIS A. FOWLER

VS.

DAVID L. FOWLER

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 557

OF

AD 1991 - 99

****

5. SAVINGS ACCOUNT; CHECKING ACCOUNT:

Plaintiff hereby relinquishes to Defendant any right, title and interest she otherwise has, or at any time in the past has had, to checking accoutn #4128-9563, and savings account #104128-9563, previously held at the time of separation in Marine Bank, Cochranton, PA., now by merger First National Bank of Pennsylvania.

6. TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY; VEHICLES:

Defendant shall tranfer to the Plaintiff the jointly titled Coachman camping trailer, and the 1973 John Deere lawn tractor. Said items shall be available immediately to the Plaintiff, and she shall give the Defendant one week advance notice of the time she wishes to remove one or more of them. She shall have 45 days from the time a judge of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford Co., PA. signs the Divorce Decree, to remove the camping trailer and the lawn tractor.

Plaintiff shall transfer to Defendant the jointly titled 1988 Ford Aerostar van and the jointly titled Keiffer-Built horse trailer. Plaintiff shall transfer said vehicles to the Defendant, upon his request, at any time after the paraties have both signed the Stipulation to this Divorce Decree.

7. TRANSFER OF MONIES:

Defendant shall pay to the Plaintiff the sum of $7,500.00, to be paid within 15 days of the signing of the Divorce Decree bya judge of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford Co., PA.

8. ATTORNEY FEES; COURT COSTS:

The Defendant has heretofore paid the sum of $500.00 to Plaintiff's counsel towards attorney fees. He shall pay an additional $500.00 toward Plaintiff's counsel fees, making said payment directly to Attorney R. Charles Thomas, attorney for Doris A. Fowler. Said payment shall be due immediately upon the signing of the attached Stipulation by both parties, and upon the signing of the deed by the Plaintiff.

9. MASTER'S FEES:

The Defendant shall be responsible for payment of Master's fees incurred to date.

10. ALIMONY;ALIMONY PENDENTE LITE: COSTS AND EXPENSES:

11. WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS:

12. WAIVER OF CLAIMS:

Neither party hereto shall make any claims against the other party hereto, except for enforcement of the rights and responsibilities set forth in this Decree. This Decree in no way affects child support.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

William E.Kittell
vs.
Amorfina C.Kittell

Continued of Record from Page 411
of
A. D. #1991 - 411

****

Sept. 29, 1992 Affidavit of Service of Kathleen R. Nelson,Esquire, filed.

Oct. 1, 1992 Order filed. AND NOW, September 29, 1992, this matter is before the Court on a petition for contempt file dby the Plaintiff due to the fact that the Defendant did not return the child home to the Plaintiff after a three month visitation with the defendant.

The Plaintiff maintains that he sent an appropriate airplane ticket for the child to be returned on August 25, 1992 with said ticket sent on or about August 18, 1992.

The Defendant does not appear because she lives in Los Angeles, California, but her attorney maintains tha tshe did not have a return ticket and therefore did not return the child until September 18, 1992 when the Plaintiff senta one-way ticket for the child to come back to Pennsylvania.

This is a difficult case to determine insofar as the defendant is not present in Court but the Court ends to believe the Plaintiff's testimony is more credible.

The Court will nonetheless decline to hold the defendant in contempt but strongly warns her that the burden is on her to make sure that she understands the orders of this Court clearly and any future violations could result in her being found in contempt.

the Court also will take this opportunity to modify Paragraph II, C, of the custody order of November 20, 1991 so that the expense of transportation of the child on Christmas vacation and summer visits shall be shared equally between the defendant and Plaintiff with the defendant butying a round trip airline ticket on both occasions and fowarding said ticket to Plaintiff. Plaintiff shall then reimburse defendant for one-half of the cost invovled in each case within twenty days of the date the tickets are mailed to him by defendant.

If further appears that prior to these new arrangements coming into effect for sharing costs due to the Christmas 1992 visit and the summer visit, there is some confusion as to what amount is owed by one party to the other.

The Court determines that for Christmas of 1991 defendant paid $488 for a round trip ticket and therefore Plaintiff would have owed her $244. He has made numerous payments to her but it appears that she has only cashed $70 in checks. She or her attorney is holding an additional $88.08 and those checks shall be forthwith returned to the attorney for the defendant. Therefore, cancelling out those checks, the Plaintiff continues to owe the Defendant $174 from christmas of 1991.

For the Summer visit, it appears that defendant bought a one-way ticket for $244 and that the Plaintiff then paid an additional $90 to make it a two-way ticket for a total of $325. tehrefore, while each pa rty shares $162.50 Plaintiff has only paid $90 and he therefore owes defendant $72.50.

Therefore, prior to his purchase of the $490 ticket to return the child in mid-September 1992 and assuming that the $8808 worth of checks that have not been cashed are returned to him Plaintiff would owe defendant $246.50

Since we believe the $490 ticket in the middle of September only become necessary because DEfendant refused to send the child back, she shall be responsible for the entire cost of that ticket so that he owes him $490 less $246.50 and therefore defendant shall pay to Plaintiff the sum of $243.50 within ten days of the date of this Order.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Oct. 1, 1992 Copies of order put in box for Atty's Nelsona nd Thomas

May 23, 1994 Petition to Modify Custody; And nOtice and Or der to Appear filed. YOU, WILLIAM E. KITTEL, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of Kathleen A. Kittell.

You are ORDERED t o appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Meadiator JOHN FULLER, ESQUIRE for a mediation conference.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

Until the time of the above hearing neither party shall remove the child from the state of Pennsylvania.

The mediator shall not schedule nor hold a hearing until $150 is deposited or the defendant is permitted to proceed informa pauperis.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

5-26-94 Faxed to Nelson & Thomas. Gave 1 copy Mediator & 2 to Walton.

May 31, 1994, Affidavit of Consent of defendant filed.

June 13, 1994 ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 13,1994 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. The Order of November 20, 1991 shall remain in full force and effect, with the following modifications:

1. As provided in the Order of the Court dated September 29, 1992, the expense of transportation of the child on Christmas vacation and summer visits shall be shared equally by Plaintiff and Defendant with the Defendant buying a round trip airline ticket on both occasions and forwarding said ticket to Plaintiff. Plaintiff shall then reimburse Defendant for one-half (½) of the costs involved in each case within twenty (20) days of the date the tickets are mailed to him by Defendant.

2. Summer visits shall begin two (2) days after school is out in the Spring and shall end two (2) days before school resumes in the Fall.

3. Neither party shall remove the child from the continental United States without prior Court Order.

II. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within ten (10) days fo the date hereof.

III. Cost of the mediation conference will be divided equally between the parties. The Prothonotary of Crawford County shall reimburse the Defendant for the deposit paid by Defendant in advance, less one-half (½) the cost of the mediation conference.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

June 21, 1994 Faxed to Nelson, Walton, Fuller +Thomas. Mailed to Plf. & Dft.

Aug. 19, 1994 Petition for Contempt and NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR filed. Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging you have wilfully disobeyed an Order of Court for custody.

If you wish to defend against the claim set forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with the Court you defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in writing with the Court your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in Court on September 27, 1994 at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 2 at the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

IF YOU DO NOT APPEAR IN PERSON, THE COURT MAY ISSUE A WARRANT FOR YOUR ARREST.

If the court finds that you have wilfully failed to comply with its order for custody, you may be found to be in contempt of court and committed to jail, fined or both.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Adminstrator
Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA 16335
Telephone 336-1151 ext. 298

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

8-22-94 Faxed to Attys. Walton & Nelson

Continued on page 562

Robin Fye
vs.
Zoe Ann Fye

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 1
of Record
A. D. 1991- 1

****

Apr. 29, 1994 TEMPORARY ORDER OF COURT, filed. AND NOW, this 29 day of April, 1994, after Mediation and upon recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is hereby ordered and decreed that:

1. The natural parents of Ashley Fye, age ten, born August 11, 1983, and Shannon Fye, age eight, born March 3, 1986, the paraties to this action, shall share legal custody fo the said minor children. Said joint legal custody being shared shall entitle both of the parents to equal access to any records relating to the children held by a third party, including, but not limited to, school and medical records, and shall also entitle both parents to equal input with regard to the educational and religious upbringing of the children, as well as to any elective surgery.

2. Primary physical custody of the children shall remain with the natural defendant mother, Zoe Ann Fye. She shall be permitted to relocate to the Indianapolis, Indiana, area for the purpose of marrying Richard Schlorff, and may take the children with her to her new residence with her new husband. Regular visitation and temporary custody of the children shall be granted to the plaintiff father, Robin Fye. Physical custody for the plaintiff father shall be as follows:

a. The children shall be available to visit in the home of the natural father beginning three days after school has ended for the children for the entire summer up to seven days prior to the beginning of school in the fall for the children in their home area. Summer visitation shall begin with the summer of 1994; however, the children shall be permitted to be with the mother for five days prior to her marriage and five days after her marriage, if she so desires.

b. Holiday visitation shall take place in the home of the parent where the children are spending their primary custody during the school year or summer time. However, the children shall spend ten days, including Christmas Day, with the father at his request during the school Christmas recess. The father shall also be permitted to have the children in his custody during the Easter or spring break recess each year.

c. Upon a written or telephone notice of ive (5) days, the father shall be permitted to visit with the children in their home area over a weekend and to share hotel/motel room(s) with him and his wife. The children shall be returned to the mother no later than 8:00 p.m., prevailing time, Sunday.

d. The children shall be permitted to call the father collect at least one time each week between the hours of 6:00-9:00 p.m., prevailing Pennsylvania time.

e. The person taking custody of the children shall pick up the children at the other parent's residence. If the parents decide to use commercial transportation, whether bus, rail, or air, the cost of said transportation shall b equally divided.

3. The parent having custody of the children at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of injury to or illness of the children requiring medical treatment.

4. Neither parent shall take the children into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to their development or to the development of their character of which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to their physical persons.

5. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the children; and, if the parties mutually agree to alternative arrangements to accommodate their and/or the children's schedules, they may adjust the physical custody arrangements accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement.

6. Each of the parents, stepparents, any grandparent, or adult coming into regular contact with the children shall teach the children involved in this case to develop and express that natural love and respect due a parent or stepparent from his or her children or stepchildren. None of the adults coming into regular contact with the children will do or say anything to blacken the character of either parent, either stepparent, or any grandparent in the eyes of the children.

7. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request further Mediation if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the children or in the event that the best interest of the children would be served by further Mediation. For the purpose of this paragraph, a move of the mother out of the general Indianapolis, Indiana, area or a move of the father out of the Titusville-Oil City-Franklin general area will require a written notice of thirty (30) days to the other parent. Such notice will give that parent an opportunity to seek further Mediation.

8. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either of the parents or one of the children resides in the Court's jurisdiction and for at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

9. The fee for Child Custody Mediation shall be paid 50% by the plaintiff father and 50% by the defendant mother. Any amount of the $150.00 deposit made by one of the parents in excess of their one-half share shall be refunded by the Prothonotary under Local Rules.

10. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent Order unless exceptions are filed by either party within ten days from the date of this Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

May 11, 1994 copy to G. Keenan, A. Gamble, B. Smith, Plf. & Dft.

May 10, 1994, Withdrawal of Appearance of Mary ann Kirkpatrick attorney for plaintiff filed.

July 25, 1994 Memorandum and Order, filed. ORDER: AND NOW, July 20, 1994, Robin Fye and Zoe Schlorff shall share legal custody of the minor children, Ashley and Shannon, with primary physical custody of the children accorded to the mother , Zoe Ann Schlorff, at her residence in Fishers, Indiana. The parties are directed to meet in accord with the Court's above observations in an attempt to amicably resolve visitation arrangements to accord the father extensive summer visits with his minor children during the school summer vacation period and occasional holiday school period visits and shorter visits when the children accompany their mother and/or step-father when they return to the Franklin area. In the event the parties are unable to reach a common sense visitation schedule for the father as noted above, this Court, on motion of either party, will attempt to reduce to writing a shcedule delineating when the children are to be in the custody of the father for visitation periods.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

July 25, 1994 Copies dist to Keenan & Gamble
July 29, 1994 Faxed copy to Smith

Nov. 30, 1994 Motion to set Custody Schedule, filed.

Nov. 30, 1994 Defendant's Motion for Hearing onPlaintiff's Motion to Set Custody Schedule and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 29 day of November, 1994 Meeting with counsel 9 day of Dec., 1994, at 1:30 PM in Court Room to be scheduled.

P. Richard Thomas

11-30-94 Faxed to Keenan & Gamble

Jan 5, 1995 Supplemental Custody and Visitation Order, filed. By Memorandum and Order of July 20, 1994, after hearing, this Court decided basic custody of the two minor children and left visitation schedules for the two children with the non-custodial parent tot he discretion and agreement of the parents. Acting through counsel, the parties have been unable to agree on a holiday and visitation shcedule for the minor children and the Court scheduled a review and conference with counsel for the parties to assist the Court in establishing a meaningful visitation schedule, taking into consideration that the primary custodial parent (mother) resides in the State of Indiana and father resides in the State of Pennsylvania.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 560A

1991 TERM

Robin Fye
vs
Zoe Ann Fye (Schlorff)

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 560
of Record
A. D. 1991 - 1

****

CHRISTMAS VISIT, 1994 The father will pick up the children in the State of Indiana December 16, or any time thereafter, with reasonable notice to the mother, and have them in his custody through December 24. The children will be in the custody of the mother on December 25 at 10 a.m. until the 26th of December at 10 a.m., when the children will return to the custody of the father. The mother will return to pick up the children for return to the State of Indiana on January 1, 1995 at a mutually agreeable time and return them.

FUTURE CHRISTMAS VISITS In any year when the mother is returnign to the State of Pennsylvania for the Christmas holiday season, the children will be in the custody of the father from the day after school lets out until two days before the return to school, subject to a visit in 1995 from 10 a.m., December 24, to 10 a.m. December 25th, with the mother in odd years. In even years, the visits will be from 10 a.m. on the 25th until 10 a.m. on the 26th.

On all Christmas visits at the outset of any Christmas holiday, it shall be the responsibility of the father to pick up the children and the responsibility of the mother to return the children.

If the mother does not come to Pennsylvania for the Christmas visit holiday season, then the father has the right to pick up the children one day after school until two days before the commencement of school with the responsibility on the father to pick up the children and the responsibility of the mother to return the children; provided, however, that if the father brings the children from Indiana to Pennsylvania for a Christmas visit, he shall make the necessary arrangements for the visit with the maternal grandparents for a period of not less than 12 hours. The father shall provide return transportation if he elects to remove the children from Indiana for that visit.

THANKSGIVING VISITS In the event mother returns to Pennsylvania for the Thanksgiving school holiday season, the father will have the children in his custody fromn Thursday at 5 p.m. until Saturday at Noon. If the mother does not return to Pennsylvania for Thanksgiving visits, the father has the option on 30 days' notice to got o the State of Indiana and have a visitation with the children either in the State of Indiana or returning them to the State of Pennsylvania for Thursday, Friday, Saturday and Sunday of the Thanksgiving weekend, with this privilege not occurring oftener than once every two years.

SPRING BREAK RECESS The parties mutually recognize, that Easter and school recesses do not always coincide, but on any scheduled spring school vacation break, the father may pick up the children mid-day following the children's last day of school and have them in his custody until the day before the last day of the spring school vacation period. It shall be the responsibility of the father to pick up the children and the responsibility of the mothe to return the children to her custody.

SUMMER VISITS Generally, the father shall have the right to have the children in his custody commencing with the period five days after the last day of school until five days before school commences in the fall. The father will pick up the children in Indiana and the mother shall return them. The parties mutually agree during summer visits with the father, the mother should have two weekend visits, subject to giving 10 days' notice. The mother may have two weekend visits with the children durign the father's summer custodial period.

Additionally, during the lengthy stay with the father during the summer visits, the mother shall be entitled to a 10-day visitation period with the children in her custody at a date agreed upon, with the requirement that notice of this period be given to the father 30 days in advance. The purpose of this 10-day visit is to enable the mother and stepfather to have a period of summer time with the children to spend quality time or vacation time with the children

The parties mutually agree that in 1995, the mother's 10-day summer vacation period will be from July 14th to July 24th, and the mother will have the responsibility of picking up andreturning the children for her mid-summer visitation period with the children. The mother shall be accorded future 10-day mid-summer vacation periods in all future years, upon giving the father 30 days notice of the desired period.

OCCASIONAL FATHER VISITS If the father desires to visit the children in the State of Indiana, he may do so upon 10 days notice and have the children in his custody either in the State of Indiana or to return them to Pennsylvania and back to the State of Indiana for said visitation and the hours shall be Friday at 5 o'clock until Sunday at 7 p.m., provided, however, said Indiana visits shall occur no more than one time in any four-week period.

PHONE CALLS Either party may call the children at reasonable hours when they ar in the custody of theopposite parent, and the calling parent shall bear the expense of said telephone calls.

Whenever mother is to be in the Titusville area with the children visiting relative sor for any other reason, she shall notify the father 10 days in advance and arrangements shall be made for a reasonable visit between father and children.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Originally Dictated December 9, 1994. Revised by consent of Final Draft on December 29, 1994.
Jan. 5, 1995 distl to Gamble & Keenan
Jan 10, 1995 Faxed to Smith

Nov.14, 1995 Transcript of Proceedings at Time of Custody Contempt Hearing, filed.

Nov 21, 1996 Petition for Modification of Custody Order; Order and Note and Notice and Order to Appear, filed ORDER AND NOTICE to attend Seminar for Separating Parents in accordance with Crawford County Civil Rule L1930.
Dated: November 20, 1996 Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Nov 22, 1996 Faxed Nelson, Gamble and Dist Keenan

NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR: You Zoe Ann Schlorff, have been sued in Court to (obtain) (modify) custody, partial custody or visitation of the children:

You ar ORDERED to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator Kathleen Nelson, Edquire, for a mediation confeence. The Mediator shall send you a Notice of the Hearing by mail to the address listed on the complaint or petition that has been filed recently.
Date: 1-20-96 Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Nov 22, 1996 Faxee Nelson, Gamble and Dist Keenan
(see paper filed for complete order)

Jan. 10, 1997 Petition to Waive Requirement for Attendant at Seminar For Separating Parents and Order filed. AND NOW, this 10 day of January, 1997, upon consideration of Petitioner's Request that the Court waive the requirement that the Petitioner attend the Seminar for Separating Parents, said request is hereby granted.

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

1-10-97 Faxed Keenan & Gamble

Jan. 27, 1997 Order filed. AND NOW, January 24th, 1997 after Mediation and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator, it is Ordered and Decreed ass follows:

1. This Court's Order of December 29, 1994, is hereby affirmed, with the following modifications:

A. The paragraph entitled "Thanksgiving Visits", on page three is modified as follows:

In the event the Mother returns to Pennsylvania for the Thanksgiving school holiday season, the Father will have the children in his custody from Thursday at 5:00 p.m. until Saturday at 12:00 noon.

If the Mother does not return to Pennsylvania for Thanksgiving visits, the Father has the option on thirty days' notice to go to the State of Indiana and have a visitation with the children either in the State of Indiana and have a visitation with the children either in the State of Indiana or returning them to the State of Pennsylvania for Thursday, Friday, Saturday and Sunday of the thanksgiving weekend, with this privilege occurring only in odd years. The Father shall provide return transportation if he elects to remove the children from Indiana for that visit.

The cost of the mediation conference shall be equally divided between the parties. The deposit paid in advance by the Father shall first be applied to his share of the costs and the remainder refunded to him, less the cost of the Court's Order. (SEE FILE FOLDER

BY THE COURT: Gordon R. Miller, J. 1-27-97 FAXED Keenan, Gamble & Nelson

560
-6-

1991 TERM

1991

Susan E.Main
vs.
Gary V.Main

Continued from Page 125
of record
A.D. 1991 - 125

****

5. Nothing herein contained shall be deemed to preclude the Court from making further orders for the equitable disposition of this matter.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Robert L. Walker, S.J.

Dec. 8, 1992 Copy of the Order to Attys. Kirkpatrick, Irwin & Nelson.

Jan. 20, 1993 Income & Expense Statement of defendant filed.

Jan. 20, 1993 Inventory and Appraisement of Defendant filed.

Jan. 21, 1993 Memorandum of Pre-Trial Conference filed.

Jan. 21, 1993 Notice of Rescheduled Master's Hearing filed.

Sep. 3, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff, to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Sept. 13, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Sept. 10, 1993, the Court having entered a final divorce decree obligating the husband to pay the Master's fees in full within thirty (30) days from this date. If the Master and the husband re unable to agree on the amount of the Master's fees that should be paid the Master shall file a petition with the Court to establish the amount of the Master's fees that shall be paid in this case.

Once the Master is paid then one or both of the parties shall file a motion with the Court for an order directing the Prothonotary to refund any deposits made in order to clear the finances and record in this case.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Sept 14, 1993 Copies of Order distributed to Attys. Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, James Irwin and Kathleen Nelson.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Sept. 14, 1993 STIPULATION AND FINAL DECREE. AND NOW, Sept. 10, 1993, it is Ordered and Decreed that SUSAN E. MAIN, Plaintiff, and GARY V. MAIN, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

It is further Ordered and Decreed as follows:

Equitable Distribution

1. The Defendant shall become the sole and exclusive owner of the following:
   - A. The former marital residence located at RD # 4, Box 220, Brooks Rd., Meadville, PA
   - B. Jointly owned Kemper High Yield Fund
   - C. Jointly owned Investmet Portfolio High Yield Fund
   - D. Husband's Disability Annuity
   - E. All U.S. Series E Savings Bonds having an approximate total value of $500
   - F. Husband's Certificate of Deposit at Mellon Bank
   - G. Husband's Talon Pension
   - H. All personal property in his current possession
   - I. 1988 Thunderbird vehicle
   - J. Husband's Traveler's Annuity
2. Plaintiff shall be the sole and exclusive owner of the following:
   - A. Wife's Pension at Avtex
   - B. All personal property currently in Plaintiff's possession
   - C. The sum of $22,500.00, said sum to be paid upon the execution of a Quit Claim Deed giving all of her interest in and to the marital residence at RD #4,, Box 220, Brooks Rd., Meadville, and upon the entry of a final divorce decree.
3. Defendant shall be solely responsible for the indebtedness with Colony Savings Bank in the form of a mortgage on the real estate located at RD #4, Box 220, Brooks Rd., Meadville, PA. Further, he shall hold harmless and indemnify Wife in regard to same.
4. Plaintiff waives any and all rights she may have to attorney's fees, expert fees.
5. Husband shall be responsible for the payment of the Master's Fees in this matter.

By the Court:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Oct. 21, 1993, Petition for Refund of Unused Masters Fees and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 18 day of Oct. 1993, the Prothonotary of Crawford County is ordered to refund Gary V. Main, Dft. in the above captioned divorce action, the amount of $500.00 for unused Master's Fees. BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Nov. 1, 1993, copies of order to Attys. M. Kirkpatrick, James Irwin and K. Nelson.

William E. Kittell

vs.

Amorfina C. Kittell

Record continued from page 559

of record

A.D. 1991 - 411

****

Sept. 28, 1994 Petition for Contempt filed.

Sept. 28, 1994 Order filed. AND NOW, September 27, 1994, this matter is before the Court with regard to the Defendant's petition to hold the Plaintiff in contempt with regard to his failure to pay one-half (1/2) of the air fare for the summer visit of the minor child with the Dfendant.

Defendant appears by counsel insofar as she is a resident of California, and the Plaintiff appears without counsel and indicates that he simply does not have the funds to pay this amount.

He indicates that his employment is in Erie and that he makes $6.51 per hours. Additionally, he receives child support from the Defendant in the amount of $280.57 per month which is through a wage attachment.

We believe that the Defendant has adequate income to take care of this $196.00 air fare whcih represents one-half of the summer air fare and has failed to do so. Accordingly, we find that he is in contempt of the Court's previous Order and he may purge himself of contempt by making a payment of $60.00 toward the amount due no later than October 15, 1994 and a like amount each month thereafter by the 15th of the month until the $196.00 has been paid in full, and additionally, until the sum of $100.00 has been paid in full toward attorney fees to Defendant's counsel for filing this petition.

Accordingly, since the Plaintiff owes a total of $296.00, he should have this matter paid in full including attorney fees in five (5) months.

The Plaintiff is cautioned that if he fails to do so, the Defendant may seek to have appropriate punishment beyond the payment of attorney fees imposed for his continued contempt

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Sept. 28, 1994 Copies to Nelson & Walton

Oct. 24, 1994, Motion to withdraw Grounds and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, upon presentation of the Petition for Withdrawal of Grounds for Divorce, it is hereby ordered that the request for Equitable Distribution, Alimony Pendente Lite, Counsel Fees, and Expenses are withdrawn.

By the Court,
Dated: 10/24/94 Gordon R. Miller, J.
10/24/94 faxed to Nelson and Walton.

Dec. 28, 1994 Amended Complaint in Divorce, filed.(1 copy Atty.)

Jan. 11, 1995 Acceptance of Service filed. I accept service of the Amended Complaint in Divorce on behalf of AMORFINA C. KITTELL, the Defendant and certify that I am authorized to do so.

Dated: 1-4-95

Barbara J. Walton

Jan. 27, 1995 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

Apr. 17, 1995, Petition for Special Relief and Rule filed. RULE TO SHOW CAUSE ORDER: AND NOW, this 13 day of April 1995 upon consideration fo the enclosed Petition for Special Relief, it is hereby entered ordering and directing th plaintiff William E. Kittell, to shw cause, if any he has, why said Petition for Special Relief should not be granted. The said Rule to Show cause is returnable for hearing and/or argument on the 19 day of May 1995 at 3:30 p.m. in C. R No. 2 in the Crawford County courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

By the Court:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
4/17/95 faxed to Nelson, given Walton.

May 19, 1995, Affidavit of Consent of plaintiff filed.

July 7, 1995 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Jul. 14, 1995 ORDER filed. This divorce matter has been referred to the Court for review and decree. The Court has reviewed the record and is returning the record to the Prothonotary. A final decree will not be entered at this time because:

__x__ The custody mediator fees have not been paid. Plaintiff still owes $75 for a custody mediation which resulted in a custody order dated June 13, 1994.

Either party may file a praecipe to refer the matter back to the Court when the record is in such a state that the parties are entitled to a final decree.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

7-14-95 FAxed Attys. Nelson & Walton

Jul. 27, 1995 Amended Praecipe to Transmit the Record filed by Barbara J. Walton,Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Aug. 11, 1995 AND NOW, this 7th day of August, 1995, the Court ORDERS and DECREES as follows:

I. DIVORCE:

Upon consideration of the pleadings and Affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds of matrimony to the above name parties upon the grounds that the marriage is irretrievably broken, ninety days have elapsed from the date of filing of the Complaint, and an Affidavit has been filed by each of the parties evidencing that each consents to the divorce, pursuant to 3301(c) of the Divorce Code.

II. MARITAL PROPERTY:

a. The parties shall each retain those items of marital personal property now in his or her possession as teh sole and separate property of each.

b. It is ORDERED and DIRECTED that the Plaintiff, William E. Kittell, shall take possession of any and all remaining marital personal property located at 682 Arch St., Meadville, Crawford Co., PA., or at such other place as the property may be located.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Continued to Page 562 A

William E.Kittell
vs
Amorfina C. Kittell

Continued from Page 562
of Record
AD 1991 - 562

****

Mar. 18, 1996 Petition for Contempt and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 18 day of March, 1996, uponpresentation of the within Petition, the Court fixes a time for hearing thereon tobe the 1st dayof May, 1996, at 4:00 p.M. in Courtroom 2.
BY THE COURT:
Gordron R.Miller,J.

3-18-96 Faxed Walton & Nelson

May 6, 1996, Motion for Continuance and Order filed. ORDER OF COURT: AND NOW, May 6, 1996 the herein motionis granted. The hearing scheduled for May 1, 1996 at 4:00 pm in C. R. No. 2 is hereby continued and shall be reset for Tues. may 28, 1996 at 11:00 a.m. in C. R. No. 2.
For the court, A. Walker, Ct.Adm.
5/6/96 faxed to Walton and Nelson.

May 15, 1996 Petition for Speical Relief and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 15th day of May, 1996, it is ordered thatthe Petition for Special Relief is set for hearing before this Court the 28th day of May, 1996. At same time as custody contempt is heard, Court Room #2, 11:00 AM.
BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, J.

FAXED 5-15-96 Nelson & Walton.

May 29, 1996 ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 28, 1996, this matter comes before the Court for a hearing with regard to the Plaintiff's Petition for Contempt filed against the defendant and also with regard to a Petition for Special Relief filed by the defendant.

The contempt petition related to our Order of September 29, 1992, in which the defendant was directed to pay to the plaintiff within 10 days $243.50. She has not done so to this date, and accordingly, we find that she is in contempt of court. We will not impose further sanctions upon her, provided that she pays to the defendant the sum of $243.50 within 14 days of the date of this Order and that she also pays $112.50 in attorney's fees to the plaintiff's attorney within 30 days of the date of this Order.

The Petition for Special Relief regard the defendant's desire to take the child on a vacation to Hong Kong and then to the Philippines between August 5, 1996 and August 19, 1996.

After informal hearing and some discussion with the defendant on the telephone insofar as she is residing in California, we are satisfied that it is not her intention to keep the child in the Philippines and that the child will be safe during the trip.

ACCORDINGLY, if the defendant, and only if the defendant, has purged herself of contempt by paying the above amounts in a timely manner, the child will be permitted to go on the trip beginning August 5, 1996. If the defendant has not purged herself in a timely manner, the child may go on that trip without further Order of Court.
BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

5-29-96 DISTRIBUTED Nelson & Walton

562
B

1991

1991

LORA A.LAMB
vs.
EDWARD P. LAMB

Continued from Page 115
of Record
A. D. 1991 - 115

****

(d) That the Sheriff's Department or any other police agency within the area of the residence of the parties, including the State Police, shall enforce this Order by:

(1) Serving a certified copy of this Order on the Respondent, Edward Lamb, at 634 Superior Titusville, PA where the said Respondent now resides,

(2) If the Respondant violates this Order, he/~~she~~ is to be arrested on the charge of indirect criminal contempt. An arrest for violation of this Order may be made without warrant, based solely on probable cause, whether or not the violation is committed in the presence of the Sheriff or Police aforesaid or not.

(3) If the Respondent is placed under arrest for violation of this Order; he/~~she~~ shall be arraigned before the District Justice, who shall fix security.

(4) An Affidavit having been filed pursuant to Section 35 P.S. 10184, this Petition shall be filed and service shall be made without payment of costs.

(5) It is further ORDERED that the respondent immediately relinquish posession of custody of: Minor child be returned - Edward Lamb (7 month) - to natural mother.
The Sheriff's Department or any law enforcement agency is ordered to enforce this and if applicable, to witness the exchange.

BY THE COURT
S/ Jess S. Juiliante, Judge

Mar. 26, 1993 Copy of Order to Sheriff of Crawford County and copy given to Titusville Police by Pltf.

July 26, 1993 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney the above-captioned case is marked settled and discontinued of record.

KATHERINE H. YOUNT

VS.

DEBRA MARIE BARTGES, KOVACH

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 503

OF

AD 1991 - 503

****

April 26, 1993 Motion of the Plaintiff For a Continuance of Oral Argument From the April 26, 1993 Argument Court To The May 1993 Term of Argument Court and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 22nd day of April, 1993, upon consideration of the attached Motion of the Plaintiff for a Continuance of Oral Argument from the April 26, 1993 Argument Court to the May 1993 Term of Argument Court and there being no objection to the Motion for Continuance from any of the Defendants or their counsel;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED that the Motion of the Plaintiff for Continuance of the Oral Argument is GRANTED, and IT IS ORDERED that the oral argument on the Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Debra Marie Bartges is continued from the April 26, 1993 Argument Court to the May 1993 Term of Argument Court and is to be rescheduled for the Argument List for the May 1993 Term of Argument Court.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, President Judge

April 29, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. J. Valentino, R. Charles Thomas, Peter C. Acker.

May 26,1993 Motion of the Plaintiff for a Continuance of Oral Argument from the June 1, 1993 Argument Court to the June 1993 Argument List and Term of Argument Court and ORDER FILED. AND NOW, this 26 day of May, 1993, upon consideration of the attached Motion of the Plaintiff for a Continuance of Oral Argument from the June 1, 1993 Argument Court to the June 1993 Argument List and Term of Argument Court and there being no objection to the Motion for Continuance from any of the Defendants or their counsel;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED that the Motion of the Plaintiff for Continuance of the Oral Argument is GRANTED, and IT IS ORDERED that the oral argument on the Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Debra Marie Bartges is continued from the June 1, 1993 Argument Court to the June 1993 Argument List and Term of Argument Court and it is to be rescheduled for the Argument List for the June 1993 Term of Argument Court.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro, Judge

May 27, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Valentino, Thomas & Acker.

June 25, 1993 ASSIGNMENT OF ARGUMETN DATE, filed. AND NOW, June 24, 1993, argumetn in the above matter is hereby set for July 20, 1993, at 10:30 A.M., in Courtroom 2.

FOR THE COURT,
Ann A. Walker, Crt. Adm.

June 28, 1993 copies distributed by Court.

1991

George E. SAmple

vs.

Carole L. Sample

Record continued from page 41.

of record

A.D. 1991 - 41

****

5. PENSION: Plaintiff shall retain all right, title and interest in the pension and employment benefits currently held in his name through Actco Tool and Manufacturing Company, worth approximately $15,200.00 as of December 1, 1991, and shall also retain any and all interest in the 401K plan titled to him through his employment. Defendant further relinquishes any interest she may otherwise have in the individual retirement account titled to plaintiff, which has an approximate present value of under $5,000.00. She further relinquishes any interest she may otherwise have in the cash value of the Prudential life insurance policy insuring the life of her husband, but retains any cash value on nay benefit that insures her own life. For the purpose of this agreement, the cash value of any benefit on th elife ofthe Defendant shall be calcutated as though no loan had been made against said benefit insuring her life. Said value, if encumbered because of a loan made by the Plaintiff, shall be reimbursed to her within thirty days of date of his signature on the attached stipulation.

6. STOCKS:Defendant hereby transfers to the Plaintiff, all interest shy may otherwise have in the jointly owned stocks, including Virginia Power and Electric, Union Carbide, Occidental Petroleum, and Praxair Inc., which have an approximate value of $8,000.00, more or less. Plaintiff shall be responsible for any capital gains regarding said stocks, and shall take care of all necessary documentation necessary to transfer them into his own name. Each party shall pay taxes on the particular portion of interest or dividend he or she has received.

7. SAVINGS ACCOUNT - NORTHWEST SAVINGS BANK: The parties hereto shall divide equally any balance of principal and interest in their jointly owned savings account now held at Northwest Savings Bank, with the exception that husband shall receive $1,000.00 more than wife. Any accrued interest that has not been taxed shall be divided in the same proportion as the combined interest and accrued principal.

8. WAIVER OF FILING OF DOCUMENTS: Neither party hereto shall require the other party to file an inventory and appraisement as would otherwise have been required by the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. Each party is aware of the debts and assets acquired during the marriage.

9. WAIVER OF CLAIMS: Neither party hereto shall make any claim against the other party hereto, except for enforcement of the rights and responsibilities set forth in this decree.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

June 23, 1993 Petition For Return Of Master's Fee and Order filed. AND NOW, this 22 day of June, 1993, the Prothonotary of Crawford County is ordered to refund George E. Sample, Plaintiff in the above-captioned divorce action, the amount of Seven Hundred Fifty ($750.00) Dollars for unused Master's fees.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

June 28, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Bacallio and Mason

Dec. 29, 1994 Petition to Modify Custody Court Order and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 28 day of December, 1994, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petition to Modify Custody Court Order, this Court enters the following Order:

1. The Plaintiff's Petition to Modify Custody Court Order is hereby denied.
2.------
3.-----
4. Additional Comments: We will modify as requested if consents of the parties are attached.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Dec. 29, 1994 Order mailed Cooper; Order FAXED Attys. Hatheway, Lindsay and Toomey.

February 17, 1995 Petition for Entry of Agreement, Stipulation, and Custody of Consent Order Filed. And Now, this 17th day of February, 1995, upon stipulation of the parties to this action, the following Order is hereby entered:

1. Patrick Kitelinger is awarded full legal custody of all three children, Bambi Ann Kitelinger, Tiffany Lee Kitelinger and William Patrick Kitelinger.
2. Lillian Kitelinger n/b/a Lillian Marie Dileo shall not have any visitation privileges with her three children, Bambi Kitelinger, Tiffany Kitelinger and William Kitelinger.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Faxed 2-17-95 Hatheway, Mailed Defendant.

1991

Deborah L. Calladine

vs.

Cecil C. Calladine

Record continued from page 37

of record

A.D. 1991 - 37

****

Dec. 28, 1993 Motion To Withdraw Request For Hearing De Novo and Order filed. AND NOW, this 22 day of December, 1993, upon presentation and consideration of the wtihin Motion, the De Novo Custody Hearing in the above matter is hereby withdrawn.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Jan. 6, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Irwin and Keenan

Dec. 29, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Feb. 7, 1994, Motion to Withdraw Counts from Complaint in Divorce and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 7 day of Feb. 1994, upon consideration of plaintiff's Motion to Withdraw Counts III and IV from the Divorce Complaint at No. 1991 - 37 leave to withdraw said counts is hereby granted.

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Feb. 8, 1994, copies to Attys. Irwin and Keenan.

Feb. 23, 1994 Affidavit of Consent by Cecil C. Calladine, Defendant, filed.

Feb.25, 1994 Upon Praecipe of Gregory A. Keenan, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff to transmit record, Case moved to Court.

FINAL DECREE FILED

March 7, 1994, Divorce Decree filed.
AND NOW, March 2, 1994, it is hereby ordered and decreed that Deborah L. Calladine, Plaintiff, and Cecil C. Calladine, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims reaised by the parties to this action for whick a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, Judge

PATRICK CAMPBELL

VS.

LOUIS SCHWARTZ

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 123
of RECORD
A. D. 1991 - 123

****

Oct. 30, 1995 Order filed. AND NOW, October 23, 1995, after oral argument and a brief hearing on the Plaintiff's petition for relief from judgment of non pros under Pa.R.C.P. 3051, it is ordered as follows:

1. The Court will not consider as fact any of the allegations set forth in the petition for relief since it was unverified.

2. All matters of record in this case shall be considered by the Court in disposing of this petition. All prior arguments and briefs filed in this case will be considered by this Court in disposing of this petition.

3. Current counsel for the Plaintiff as well as Lauren Baltic, Esquire shall review their files to determine if in fact there are records regarding phone calls Ms. Baltic allegedly made to R. Charles Thomas, Esquire after, and in response to, Mr. Thomas's letter of September 1, 1993. Since Ms. Baltic has testified that she made numerous phone calls to Mr. Thomas to discuss the matter in that letter especially depositions of certain witnesses, they shall review their files within the next 15 days and shall advise Mr. Thomas whether or not there is any documentation to support her testimony that she made phone calls to hime that were not returned by him. If there is no such documentation, then current counsel for the Plaintiff along with Ms. Baltic shall file a statement of record to that effect. If there are materials that support her oral testimony that phone calls were made and not returned, the parties shall either (a) stipulate to the relevant information regarding those phonecalls and file such stipulations of record within 30 days from this date or (b) if the parties are unable to so stipulate, then Mr. Thomas may file a motion with the Court within 30 days from this date asking that a further evidentiary hearing be held before the Court where he may attempt to introduce into evidence from the files of Ms. Baltic or current Plaintiff's counsel relative to phone calls Ms. Baltic allegedly made after September 1, 1993 in response to the letter of September 1, 1993. The Court will not receive any further evidence other than evidence with respect to phone calls allegedly made by Ms. Baltic in response to Mr. Thomas's September 1, 1993 letter and furthermore is limited to evidence or lack of evidence that may be part of Ms. Baltic's file or the file that current counsel now has. BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Oct. 30, 1995 Copy placed in Atty. Thomas box; mailed Atty. J. Bogut, Jr.

Nov. 13, 1995 Memorandum and ORDER filed. AND NOW, November 6, 1995, for the reasons set forth in the attached memorandum the plaintiff's petition for relief from judgment of non pros under Pa.R.C.P. 3051 be denied.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Nov. 13, 1995 Copy to Atty. Thomas; mailed Bout Jr.

Nov. 15, 1995 Certificate of Contents of Remanded Record and Notice of Remand Under Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure 2571 and 2572 filed.

Nov. 15, 1995 "ORDER OF COURT The above-captioned appeal is quashed sua sponte as interlocutory. Xu v. Montefiore Hospital, 422 Pa.Super. 114,618 A. 2d 1043 (1993); 210 Pa.Code 65.12.
DATE: September 25, 1995 PER CURIAM"

Nov. 14, 1995 Eleanor R.Valecho,Deputy Prothonotary
11-21-95 Copy to Attys. Thomas, mailed Atty. Bogut,Jr.

Nov. 16, 1995 Transcript of Proceedings At the Time of Argument,filed.

~~Nov. 22, 1995 Notice of Service of Plaintiff's Answers to Defendant's Interrogatories, filed.~~ (in error)

Dec 1, 1995 Notice of Appeal, filed.

Dec. 7, 1995, Notice of Appeal Docketing at Docket No. 02205PGH95 filed. (Superior Court)

July 16, 1996 Judgment Filed. ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court that the judgment of the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, be and the same is hereby Affirmed.
BY THE COURT,
Eleanor R. Valecho, Deputy Prothonotary

Dated: June 5, 1996

July 16, 1996 Certificate of Contents of Remanded Record and Notice of Remand Under Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure 2571 and 2572 filed.

Sandra Bowler
vs
David Bowler

Continued from Page 299
of Record
AD 1991 - 299

****

Aug.30, 1994 Notice of Pre-Trial Conference, filed.

Oct. 5, 1994 Notice of Master's Hearing filed.

Mar. 15, 1995 Petition for Payment of Master's Expense and ORDER filed. AND NOW,this 15 day of March,1995, upon presentation of the herein Petition for Payment of Master's Expense, it is hereby ordered as follows:
1. The Plaintiff shall deposit withthe court, within 10 days of the date of this Order, the sum of $450 representing her portionof the additional funds necessary to pay the expenses associated withthe Master's Hearing.
2. The Defendant shall deposit with the court,within 10 days of the date of this Order, the sum of $550 representing his portion of the additional funds necessary to pay the expenses associated with the Master's Hearing.
3. Upon receipt of the above additional deposits by the Plaintiff and Defendant, the Prothonotar shall pay the sum of $1,028.50 to Lois Conklin Reporting Service per Exhibit "A" attached to the Master's Petition.
BY THE COURT:
Anthony J.Vardaro,J.
3-15-95 Faxed to Attys.Thomas,Nelson & Gamble

5-23-95 Exhibits in Master's Hearing filed.

May 24, 1995 Affidavit of Consent by David E.Bowler, Defendant, filed.

May 24, 1995 Marriage Settlement Agreement, Stipulation and Consent to Entry of Order, filed.

June 1, 1995 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

June 7, 1995 DIVORCE DECREE FILED.

AND NOW, this 5th day of June, 1995, upon presentation ofthe Stipulation and Consent of the parties, attached hereto, and after consideration of same, it is hereby ORDERED, DIRECTED AND DECREED that:
1. The bonds of marriage between Plaintiff, SANDRA A. BOWLER, and Defendant, DAVID E. BOWLER, are dissolved because the marriage is irretrievably broken. Plaintiff and Defendant are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.
AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the terms provisions and conditions of the Marriage Settlement Agreement between the parties herein dated May 17, 1995, shall be incorporated in this decree and order by reference as fully as though the same were set forth herein, at length, including the following:
1. The Husband shall become the sole and exclusive owner of the residential real estate situate in Richmond Township, Crawford County, Pennsylvania. Husband shall also become exclusive owner of real estate situate in the First Ward of the City of Meadville, Crawford County, Pennsylvania. The parties agree to sign Quit Claim Deeds transferring any right or interest the Wife may at this time or in the furture have to thse properties.
2. The Husband shall pay to theWife thesum of $140,000.00 as her distribution of marital property in the following manner:
a. $100,00.00 at the time the parties sign the Marriage Settlement Agreement.
b. $40,000.00 on or before January 15, 1996. Interest at the rate of 10% per annum shall be applied to any balance after January 15, 1996 in the event it is not paid in full.
3. Husband agrees to pay all legal fees, including but not limited to, attorney fees, filing fees, and recording fees for the preparation of the Marriage Settlement Agreement and filing and recording of the Quit Claim Deeds.
4. Any items which the parties are currently in possession shall be retained by the respective party.
5. Both parties waive any and all rights to any pension or retirement benefits of the other party.
6. The parties waive all rights to make any claim of alimony or spousal at this time or in the future.
7. All other provisions of the Marriage Settlement Agreement dated May 17, 1995 are incorporated herein and the parties are directed to comply with said agreement.
8. This Honorable Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter.
By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Jun. 8, 1995 Petition for Payment of Master's Fee and ORDER filed. AND NOW,this 31st day of May, 1995,upon consideration of the attached Petition, the Prothonotary is ordered topay the sumof $825.50 to Kathleen R.Nelson, Esquire, representing the Master's fees for services rendered in the above-referenced divorce action. Defendant shall deposit an additional $154.35 with the Prothonotary within 30 days to cover the Master's Fees. ($154.35)
BY THE COURT:
Gordon R.Miller, J.
6-13-95 Faxed Nelson,Thomas,Gamble. 6-20-95 Copy Walton

295. | DEBORAH D. McBRIDE VS. KEVIN F. McBRIDE | CONTINUED FROM PAGE 295 OF RECORD A. D. 1991 - 295

Nov. 8, 1996 Order filed. This divorce matter has been referred to the Court for review and decree. The Corut has reviewed the record and is returning the record to the Prothonotary. A final decree will not be entered at this time because:

As to a 3301(d) divorce:
There is no showing that the proper notice required by 1920.42(d)(1) in the form provided by 1920.73 to a party who is unrepresented or to counsel of a represented party was properly mailed or delivered; and/or a copy of said notice is not attached to the praecipe to refer or submit.

Either party may file a praecipe to refer the matter back to the Court when the record is in such a state that the parties are entitled to a final decree.
BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
11-8-96 FAXED Walton

Dec. 5, 1996, Waiver of Notice of Intention to request Entry of a divorce Decree filed. (dft)

Dec. 5, 1996, Waiver of Notice of Intention to rEquest entry of a divorce Decree filed. (plff)

Dec. 18, 1996 Amended Praecipe to Transmit the Record filed by Plaintiff Attorney filed.

Jan. 3, 1997 Order filed. This divorce matter has been referred to the court for review and decree. The Court has reviewed the record and is returning the record to the Prothonotary. A final decree will not be entered at this time because:

As to a this 3301(d) divorce, there still is no showing that the proper notice required by 1920.42(d)(1) in the form provided by 1920.73 to a party who is unrepresented or to counsel of a represented party was properly mailed or delivered; and/or a copy of said notice is not attached to the praecipe to refer or submit.

The plaintiff has now filed Waiver of Notice of Intention to Request Entry of a Divorce Decree under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code which is permissible for a 3301(c) divorce pursuant to Rule 1920.42(e), but is not permissible for a 3301(d) divorce.

Either party may file a praecipe to refer the matter back to the Court when the record is in such a state that the parties are entitled to a final decree.
BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

1-3-97 DISTRIBUTED Walton

Mar 24, 1997 Service of Counter Affidavit Notice of Intention to Request Divorce Decree, filed.

Apr 3, 1997 Certificate of Service of counter-affidavit, filed.

Apr 3, 1997 Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree, filed.

Apr 3, 1997 Counter-Affidavit, filed.

Apr 3, 1997 Amended Praecipe to Transmit the Record, filed.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

April 22, 1997 AND NOW, this 22 day of April, 1997, it is ordered and decreed that DEBORAH D. MCBRIDE, Plaintiff, and KEVIN F. MCBRIDE, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

The court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Petition for Involuntary Treatment

of

Richard L.Longo

Continued from Page 9

of Record

AD 1991 - 9

****

Mar. 29, 1996 Report of Mental Health Review Officer and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 28 day of March, 1996, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer,it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Richard Longo be committed to the Warren State Hospsital for treatment for a period not to exceed 180 days from March 13, 1996. BY THE COURT,
Anthony J.Vardaro,J.
4-2-96 Copy to Atty.Afirca, Mason,WSH, MH/MR &Atty. Africa

Oct 2, 1996 Petition For Involuntary Treatment Via the Criminal Justice System, filed.

Oct 2, 1996 ORDER: AND NOW, this 7 day of October, 1996, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Richard Longo be committed to the Warren State Hospital for a treatment period not to exceed 180 days from September 17, 1996. Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
Oct 11, 1996 sent Africa, WSH, MH/MR

Mar 19, 1997 Petition For Involuntary Treatment: Report of Mental Health Review Officer and Order: AND NOW, this 19th day of March, 1997, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDER and DIRECTED that the said Richard Longo be committed to the Warren state Hospital for a treatment period not toe exceed 180 days from March 11, 1997. Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Apr 1, 1997 copy sent to WSH, Skerda and MH/MR

Oct 1, 1997 Report of Mental Health Review Officer and Order: AND NOW, this 7 day of October, 1997, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Richard Longo be committed to the Warren State Hospital for a treatment period not to exceed 180 days from September 16, 1997. Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
Oct 15, 1997 copy to Skerda, MH/MR, WSH

Mar 25, 1998 Petition For Involuntary Treatment; Report of Mental Review Officer and Order: AND NOW, this 24th day of March, 1998, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Richard Longo be committed to the Warren State Hospital for a treatment period not to exceed 180 days from March 10, 1998. Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Apr 6, 1998 sent WSH, dist. D. Mason & MH/MR

Oct 1, 1998 Report of Mental Health Review Officer and Order: AND NOW, this 30th day of September, 1998, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Richard Longo be committed to the Warren State Hospital for a treatment period not to exceed 180 days from September 15, 1998. Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Oct 6, 1998 sent WSH, MH/MR & D. Mason

Apr. 6, 1999 Petition For Involuntary Treatment via The Criminal Justice System filed.

Apr. 6, 1999 Findings of Fact, Recommendations and Order filed. AND NOW, this 6 day of april, 1999, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Richard Longo be committed to the Warren State Hospital for a treatment period not to exceed 180 days form March 9, 1999. BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Oct. 1, 1999 Findings of Fact, Recommendation and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 1st day of October, 1999, upon consideration of the Petition filed at the above number and term, and after a review of the Report of the Mental Health Review Officer, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that the said Richard Longo be committed to the Warren State Hospital for a treatment period not to exceed 180 days from Sept. 9, 1999.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

Oct. 5, 1999 Petition For Involuntary Treatment Via The Criminal Justice System and Order For Involuntary Treatment filed. This 1st day of October, 1999 after hearing and consideration , the court find the patient is severely mentally disabled and in need of(continued) treatment. The court orders that Richard Longo receive inpatient treatment which is the least restrictive treatment setting appropriate for the patient. As a severely mentally disabled person pursuant tothe provisions of Section 305 of the Mental Health Procedures Act of 1976 for a period of 180 days. (see papers filed).
For the court
Gordon R. Miller, Judge
Oct. 6, 1999 ORDER Mailed Atty. Africa, WSH. MH/MR.

Neil MacPherson
vs.
Bonita L. MacPherson

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 501
of Record
A. D. 1991 - 501

****

Feb 27, 1997 Motion to Continue Hearing and Order: And now, Feb 19, 1997, Hearing is rescheduled for Fri., April 4, 1997, at 3:30 PM CR #2.
Ann A. Walker, C.A.
Feb 27, 1997 Dist Mason Feb 28, 1997 Faxed Kirkpatrick
March 5, 1997 copy to Pickens

Apr. 10, 1997 Motion to Reschedule Hearing and Order filed. And, Now, April 9, 1997, hearing on Defendant's Motion scheduled for April 4, 1997, is rescheduled for Thursday, April 17, 1997, at 1:30 p.m. o'clock in courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court of Common Pleas in Meadville, Pennsylvania.
By/For the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
4-11-97 FAXED Kirkpatrick & J. Mason

Apr 17, 1997 Waiver of Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree Under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code, filed. (Plaintiff)

Apr 17, 1997 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff, filed.

Apr 17, 1997 Waiver of Notice of Intention to Request Entry of Divorce Decree Under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code, filed. (fefendant)

Apr 17, 1997 Acceptance of Service: I, Bonita L. MacPherson, accept service of the Complaint in Divorce in the above matter. I received the Complaint on or before June 16, 1991.

Apr 17, 1997 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant, filed.

Apr 22, 1997 Upon praecipe of plaintiff attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Apr 29, 1997 ORDER: This divorce matter has been referred to the Court for review and decree. The Court has reviewed the record and is returning the record to the Prothonotary. A final decree will not be entered at this time because:
Economic and Other issues or coutns have been raised in the pleadings or by petition which are not being disposed of by stipulation, withdrawal or hearing. To grant a divorce decree without a resolutionof those other issues would be tantamount to an improper bifurcation.
Either party may file a praecipe to refer the matter back to teh Court when the recor dis in such a state that the parties are entitled to a final decree. Gordon R. Miller, P.J. Dated: 4-28-97
Apr 29, 1997 Faxed J. Mason & Kirkpatrick

May 13, 1997 Upon praecipe of Plaintiff Attorney for Review Under Section 3301(c) of the Divorce Code, this case moved to court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

May 28, 1997 AND, NOW, May 27, 1997, it is ordered and decreed that NEIL MACPHERSON, Plaintiff and BONITA . MACPHERSON, Defendant, are divorced from the bonds of matrimony. The Court retains jurisdiction of any claims raised by the parties to this action for which a final order has not yet been entered.
By the Court;
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Aug. 26, 1997 Notice of Election to Retake Mainden Name filed. Bonita L. MacPherson to be known as: Bonita Louise Klinginsmith.

1991

Carole L. constantino

vs.

Ralph Crook

Record Continued from page 549

of

AD 1991 -= 549

June 13, 1996, Notice of Service of REquests for Admission (First Set) directed to Defendant, Ralph Crook, filed.

July 30, 1996 Responses to Requests for Admission (first Set) Directed to Defendant, Ralph Cook filed.

Aug. 7, 1996 Notice of Taking Videotape Deposition for Use at Trial by Vincent P. Rogers, M.D. filed.

Aug. 7, 1996 Notice of Taking Videotape Deposition for Use at Trial by Joseph M. Thomas, M.D., filed.

Aug 27, 1996 Motion For Status/Pre-Trial Conference, filed.

Aug 27, 1996 Certificate of REadiness For ?Trial, filed.

ON TRIAL LIST OFOR October 1996

Oct. 2, 1996 Notice of Rescheduled Videotape Deposition for Use at Trial by Plaintiff filed.

Oct. 30, 1996 Assignment of NOnjury Trial Date filed. AND NOW, October 30, 1996, having been directed bythe Court to schedule non-jury trial in the above captioned case for 2-3 non-consecutive days, the same shall commence on Wednesday, December 4, 1996 at 9:15 a.m., continue on Thursday, December 12, 1996, at 9:15 a.m. and conclude the morning of Tuesday December 17, 1996, (if time is required) before Senior Judge P. Richard thomas, Courtroom #3, Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA 16335

FOR THE COURT,
Ann A. Walker, C.A.

10-30-96 Faxed Brydon, Moran, Murphy & Eiben

Nov. 21, 1996 Notice of Taking VideoTape Deposition For Use at Trial of N. Ake Nystrom, M.D., Ph.D. filed.

Nov. 27, 1996 Supplement to Pre-Trial Narrative filed.

Nov. 27, 1996 Notice of Service of Supplemental Responses to Requests for Production by Plaintiff filed.

Feb 12, 1997 Upn praecipe of plaintiff attorney the within suit has been settled and discontinued of record.

1991

1991

1991

1991

1991

1991

1991

1991

Mark E.Sutliff

vs.

Teresa R. Sutliff

Record continued from page 225

of AD 1991 - 225

Oct. 10, 1995 Petition to Modify Custody-Notice & Order to Appear: Order:

You, Teresa R. Sutliff, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of Jason and Andrea Sutliff. You are ORDERED to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator, Kathleen Nelson for a mediation conference.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE, IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Courthouse
Meadville, PA 16335
(814) 333-7498

10/16/95 Anthony J. Vardaro, Judge

Oct. 30, 1995, Appearance of Pepicelli, Youngs and Youngs, by Christopher J. youngs, attorneys for defendant filed.

Jan. 24, 1996 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. This Custody Order is a Temporary Order but shall become permanent unless exceptions are filed within ten days of the date hereof (see papers filed).

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Jan. 25, 1996 Order FAXED Attys. Youngs and Irwin.

Feb. 8, 1996, Request for a DeNovo Hearing filed.

Feb. 8, 1996, ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 7 day of Feb. 1996 upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Request for Hearing De Novo a hearing is scheduled with the court of common pleas on the 26 day of March 1996 at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2 of Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

by the court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

2/8/96 faxed to Irwin and Youngs.

Mar. 1, 1996 ORDER filed. AND NOW, February 29, 1996, it having become necessary to reschedule the de novo custody hearing in the above case previously set for March 25, 1996 due to a conflict in the court calendar, the said hearing is hereby reset for March 27, 1996,at 1:30 P.M., in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

FOR THE COURT,
Ann A. Walker,Court Administrator

2-29-96 Deist. by Court

Mar. 19, 1996 Notice to Attend sent to Plaintiff, c/o James R.Irwin, Esquire, filed.

March 29, 1996 STIPULATION and ORDER filed. AND NOW, March 27, 1996, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the stipulation set forth attached hereto shall become a Court Order.

BY THE COURT,
George Levin, S. J.

March 29, 1996 Order to Attys. Irwin and C. Youngs.

Aug. 7, 1996 Request for Hearing by Plaintiff filed.

Aug. 7, 1996 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 7th day of August, 1996, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Request for hearing is scheduled with the Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, on the 3rd day of September, 1996 at 9:00 o'clock A.M. in courtroom No. 3 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

8-7-96 FAXED C. Youngs & Irwin

Sept 4, 1996 Stipulation and Consent to Entry of Custody Order and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 3 day of September, 1996, upon presentation of the Stipulation and Consent of the parties, attached hereto, and after consideration of same, it is hereby ORDERED, DIRECTED and DECREED that:

1. Legal and physical custody of the parties' minor children shall be shared between the mother, Teresa R. Sutliff and the father, Mark E. Sutliff. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

2. Effective September 22, 1996, primary physical custody of Jason and Andrea Sutliff shall be with their father, subject to the following conditions:

a. Effective September 22, 1996, and continuing for a period ending five (5) days after the final day of school, Mother shall be permitted to visit and take the children into her temporary physical custody during the first three (3) full weekends of each month from Friday at 4:30 p.m. until Sunday at 6:30 p.m.

b. **(FOR REMAINDER OF ORDER, PLEASE SEE FILE FOLDER)**

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Sept. 4, 1996 Stipulation and Consent to Entry of Custody Order filed.

Oct. 10, 1997 Notice of Election To Retake Maiden Name filed. Teresa R. Sutliff TO BE KNOWN AS Teresa R. Skillsky

AS OF OCTOBER 8, 1999 ENTRIES MAY BE VIEWED ON COMPUTER.

 CONTINUED FROM PAGE 583

Mar 15, 1996 ORDER: AND NOW, March 7, 1996 this matter comes before the Court on a petition for civil contempt for disobedience of a custody order, which was filed on behalf of the Defendant.

Essentially, factually, the parties mediated this matter before William Pineo, Esq., on November 20, 1995, which resulted ina temporary order of December 5, 1995, signed by the undersigned. There was no request for a de novo hearing, and that became a final order.

At the time of the mediation, apparently the Defendant, through her counsel, had made a proposal to the Plaintiff, through his counsel, to resolve the custody situation, which included a provision that neither party would have overnight guests of the opposite sex while the children were in that party's custody. Apparently there were discussions at the mediation conference about that language being included in the temporary order, and the Plaintiff alleges at this time, through his counsel, that he believed that it was included, although a reading of the December 5, 1995 order based on the mediator's recommendations clearly does not include tht language.

In any event, while we do not find that the Defendant is in willful contempt of the corut order of December 5, 1995, based on our accepting the Plaintiff's word that eh believed that language was in the order, he has violated the terms and conditions of the order.

Therefore, while finding the Plaintiff in contempt, it is ordered and directed that commencing immediately, until further order of court, the parties follow the December 5, 1995 order as it was worded, and that the Defendant shall have make-up visitation in addition to her normal periods of temporary physical custody and visitation, with the make-up visitation and temporaruy physical custody to be from Saturday at 9:00 a.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m. on the weekends commencing March 16, 1996, March 30, 1996, and April 13, 1995.

This Court does nfot make a determination as to whether or not the current livign arrangements of the Defendant are appropriate for these children to be in her custody when she resides with her current boyfriend, sonce that matter is not before the Court, and this order is without prejudice to either party to request further mediation or to proceed in any other manner they deem appropriate. But again, it is reiterated that this is the order currently in effect, and the parties are expected to follow this order which was entered on December 5, 1995.

Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Mar 15, 1996 Walker & Ferry
Mar 19, 1996 Faxed Pineo

43.	EDWARD A. SERNIK, Plaintiff VS. GINGER M. SERNIK, Defendant	CONTINUED FROM PAGE 43 of RECORD A. D. 1991 - 43

****

Dec. 6, 1995 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 5 day of December, 1995, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Legal and physical custody of John Edward Sernik and Bonnie Marie Sernik, the subject minor children, is hereby awarded to the Plaintiff, their father.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and major events in the life of the subject minor children. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor children.

3.a. The mother shall have the right of temporary physical custody and visitation on alternate weekends beginning with the first full weekend of December, 1995, from Saturday at 9 A.M. through Sunday at 6 P.M. All full weekend is defined as one in which Saturday and Sunday occur within the same month. The mother shall also have the right of temporary physical custody and visitation for three weeks during the children's Summer vacation from school. The father shall be entitled to one weekend visitation to be taken during the mother's Summer time period. The parties shall arrange by May 31st the scheduling of the mother's custodial period and the father's weekend visitation period.

b. The subject minor children shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonable monitored by the parent having physical cusotdy.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. Christmas: The mother shall have temporary physical custody and visitation from 9 A.M. Christmas Eve until 7 P.M. Christmas Eve each year. The mother shall also have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody for a period of three days to be taken during the children's Christmas vacation from school. 1 The mother shall notify the father two weeks in advance of which tree day period she wishes to select.

b. Thanksgiving: For 1995, the mother shall have the children from 6 P.M. of the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving until 4 P.M. of the Friday after Thanksgiving. Thereafter, there shall be two Thanksgiving holiday visitation periods, the first beginning on Wednesday evening at 6 P.M. and ending on Friday eveing at 4 P.M., the second beginning on Friday evening at 4 P.M. and ending on Sunday evening at 6 P.M. For Thanksgiving, 1996, the father shall have there first period, and the mother, the second, and the parties shall thereafter alternate.

c. The other holidays to be observed are Easter, Memorial Day, Independence Day, and Labor Day. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 9 A.M. and 8 P.M., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11 P.M. so that the children may watch the fireworks. In even numbered years, the mother shall have visitation for Easter and Independence Day, and in odd numbered years, on Memorial Day and Labor Day.

d. The children shall spend Father's Day with their father from 9 A.M. to 7 P.M., and Mother's Day with their mother during the same hours.

e. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this Order relating to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the children in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the children at the home of the other. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the laws of Pennsylvania.

7. Each party shall teach said children to give the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in the same room as the children, in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported, or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

10. If the subject minor children have previously scheduled school activities at the time when their mother should have visitation, the mother shall, if reasonably possible make it possible for the children to attend said activities.

11. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $92.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case.

12. The deposit required for the appointment of the Custody Mediator was made by the mother. The deposit shall first be applied against the mother's share of costs and the remainder, if any, shall be remitted to her. The father shall pay his share of costs and fees to the Prothonotary of Crawford County within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

13. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo within ten days from the date hereof. If neither party so move, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

12-7-95 FAXED Ferry, Walker, & Pineo.

Feb. 21,1996 Petition for Civil Contempt for Disobedience of Custody Order and NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR filed. Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging you have willfully disobeyed an Order of Court for custody.

If you wish to defend against the claim sest forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing with the Court your defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in writing with the Court your defenses or objections,you must appear in person in Court on March 7, 1996,at 9:00 A.M. in Courtroom 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

Date: 2-21-96

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R.Miller, J.

2-21-96 Faxed Ferry,Pineo, Walker

Continued on Pg. 582

Kitty McMillen

vs.

Darrell Calvert

Record continued from page 589

of record

A.D. 1991 - 519

****

Jan. 21, 1994 Order filed. AND NOW, January 14, 1994, the plaintiff's petition to proceed informa pauperis is neither rejected nor approved. The form is not complete and the Court will not consider the petition until it is complete in all respects. If there is any place on the form as to which the answer is "none" the petitioner shall say so. If any particular area of inquiry is not applicable the petitioner shall say so. She must complete every line.

To the very scant extent that she did complete the affidavit it is inconsistent. For instance she indicates in subsection 3(c) that she worked at Montgomery Wards within the past twevel months yet in subsection 3 (b) she makes no entry as to her date of last employment or salary or wages per month when she was working.

When the affidavit is completed in full, without exception, the affidavit and petition may be referrred back to the court for further consideraiton.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Jan. 21, 1994 Petition To Proceed in Forma Pauperis Pursuant To Pa. R.C.P. 240 and Order filed. AND NOW, January 13, 1994, upon considertion of the petition filed by the Plaintiff in this action, an dsuppoted by an affidavit attached thereto, all being pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 240, the Plaintiff is granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis at this time.

The Plaintiff is directed to inform the Prothonotary's Office or the Court of any improvement in her financial circumstance that would permit her to pay the costs incurred in this action and shall be responsible for doing so upon an improvement of financial condition. This order supersedes the order of January 14, 1994 which had rreally been prepared on January 3, 1994. Apparently between J anuary 3, 1994 and January 13, 1994 the plaintiff corrected the affidavit.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Jan. 21, 1994 Copies of order to Pltf., and Atty's Sullivan and Lindsay

Jan. 21, 1994 Order filed. You, Carrell L. Calvert, have been sued in Court to obtain custody of Tadd L. and Ashley J. Calvert.

You are ORDERED to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator John Fuller for a mediation conference.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE, IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Courthouse
Meadville, Pa 16335
(814) 336-1151, ext 298.

Date: 1-27-94

GordonR. Miller, J

Feb. 2, 1994 Copies of order to Pltf. and Atty's Sullivan and Lindsay

May 18, 1994 ORDER filed. AN DNOW, May 17, 1994 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esquire, IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Paragrapsh 1 through 11 of the Order of June 28, 1991, in this case, shall remain in full force and effect.

II. This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within ten (10) days of the dat e hereof.

III. Costs of the mediation conference will be divided with the Father-Defendant paying one-half (½) of the costs and Crawford County paying the remainder one-half (½).

Gordon R. Miller, Judge

5-24-94 Copy to Attys. Sullivan, LIndsay, Fuller & Deft.

1991

JUDITH E. JANES

VS.

WILLIAM A. JANES

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 367

OF

AD 1991 - 367

****

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

May 17, 1995 DECREE and ORDER filed. AND NOW, May 16, 1995, it is ordered and decreed that JUDITH E. JANES, plaintiff and WILLIAM A. JANES, defendant are divorced from the bonds of matrimony.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that terms, provisions and conditions of a certain marriage settlement agreement between the parties dated March 31, 1995 and attached hereto, are hereby incorporated in this decree and order by reference as fully as fully as though the same were set forth herein at length. Said agreement shall not merge with, but shall survive this decree and order.

BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

1991

DEBORAH CASTILE

VS.

MILTON BISHOP

Record Continued from Page 91

of

AD 1991-91

****

3. This order may be enforced without a warrant of arrest by any law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe it has been violated.

4. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the police departments in the jurisdiction where the Plaintiff resides and to County Control.

5. Service of a copy of the Petition and this order shall be made upon the Defendant by a Sheriff, constable, police officer of competent adult.

6. This temporary order shall remain in full force and effect until modified or terminated by further order of this court. Liability for payment of fees and costs will be determined by final court order.

7. The Defendant is reminded that this is in ORDER OF COURT and violation of this Order may be punishable by a fine up to $1,000 and a jail sentence of up to six months.

8. The court reserves ruling on allocation of fees and costs.

Hearing is hereby set for Sept. 13, 1991 at 3:30 p.m. in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse. The defendant is advised he may retain an lawyer to represent him at said hearing if he so desires but he is not required to do so.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Sept 10, 1991 Re: Protection from Abuse Contempt, Hearing Order filed: AND NOW, September 6, 1991, the above Plaintiff appeared at the Judges' Chamber with a new Abuse Petition against the same defendant alleging incidents occurring in early September, 1991. The Court belatedly discovered that an Abuse order dated February 7, 1991, is still in existence and that the defendant is currently incarcerated in the Crawford County Jail as a result of a police arrest for violation of the Abuse Order of February 7, 1991. Thus, no new abuse petition and order is necessary.

The Court is also advised that as a result of the September incidents or perhaps other incidents, a Harrassment or Criminal Mischief charge is currently pending in the Office of District Justice William Chisholm and the Plaintiff advises it is scheduled for hearing September 17.

We set a hearing for September 13, 1991, at 3:30 p.m., to ascertain whether the Defendant should be held in contempt of court for the existing Abuse Order in this case. For enlightenment for all concerned, we direct the Prothonotary to lodge with the papers at this number, new unnumbered Abuse Petition presented to the Court September 6, 1991.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, Senior Judge

Sept 9, 1991 Copies to Pltf, Deft., Mead. City Police, Justice Chisholm and Co. Control.

Sept 20, 1991 Order filed: AND NOW, September 13, 1991, the abuse matter is before the Court with each party accusing the other of certain actions, but the matter has been amicably resolved by the intervention of the Court.

The Defendant agrees without admitting that he abused the Plaintiff or broke her property as alleged in the petition, that he will not assault, threaten, harass or annoy the Plaintiff in any fashion, and that he will not go to her residence for any purpose.

It appears there is a dispute over a joint account at American Federal Savings and Loan, and the parties advise the Court that the account is now in the name of the Defendant, and he is in exclusive control of the proceeds remaining in that account. The plaintiff agrees she will not withdraw or attempt to withdraw any money from this account.

There apparently is a district justice hearing scheduled on a harassment and criminal mischief charge in District Justice Chisholm's office for September 17, 1991. The thrust of this proceeding is that the defendant broke the window of the Plaintiff at 984 South Main Street, and the Plaintiff will be willing to withdraw the charges if the Defendant pays restitution in the amount of $40 to the Plaintiff for repaid of the window. The defendant agrees that on or before September 17th he will pay the $40 in restitution to District Justice Chisholm's office and the costs incurred in the case. Upon doing the same, the Plaintiff advises the district justice whe wishes the harassment and criminal mischief charges withdrawn.

The abuse order shall remain in force and effect for a period of one year. Any law enforcement officer having reasonable ground to believe that the defendant violated the abuse conditions of this order may apprehend the defendant without a warrant, confine him in the Crawford County Jail, and immediately request the Court to schedule an abuse hearing.

In view of the indigent conditions of the parties, the county is directed to pay the costs.

In view of the bench warrance of the Defendant, the Defendant may be released from confinement forthwith.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

Sept 23, 1991 Copies of order mailed to defendant, D.J. Chisholm and city police and copy given to Co. Control and Plaintiff.

1991

Rose M. Foulk
vs
Bernard R. Foulk

continued from page 539
of record
AD 1991 - 539

****

Gordon R. Miller, J.

5/4/99 Faxed Irwin & Ferry

May 24, 1999 MOTION TO Withdraw Request For Hearing and ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 21 day of May, 1999, upon consideration of the foregoing Motion to Withdraw Request for Hearing, the hearing now set for May 25, 1999 is hereby withdrawn.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 25, 1999 Order FAXED Attys. Irwin, Ferry.

SEE COMPUTER FOR FUTURE FILINGS AS OF NOVEMBER 3, 1999.

Kitty McMillen

vs.

Darrell Calvert

Record continued from page 519

of record

A. D. 1991 - 519

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.

9. Any person transporting the children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

12. Either party may request a review of this Order two years from date hereof to make any adjustments.

13. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $102.00 are divided equally between the parties. The mediator notes for the Court that the mother qualifies for free legal services and therefore her share is assessed to Crawford County. The father's share is assessed to him as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

14. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirlty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

July 3, 1991 put copies in boxes of Attys. W. Pineo, G. Sullivan & B. Lindsay. Mailed copies to Plf. & Dft.

July 20, 1993 Petitionfor contempt and Order filed. AND NOW, July 16, 1993, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Petition for Contempt, a Rule is entered upon the Plaintiff to show cause, if any she has, why the prayer ofthe Defendant should not be granted This Rule Is Returnable on August 3, 1993, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crwaford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania at 1:30 o'clock p.m.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

July 22, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Pineo, Sullivan and Lindsay

Aug. 4, 1993 ORDER filed. AND NOW, August 3, 1993, this matter is before the Court on a contempt petition filed by the Defendant alleging that the Plaintiff failed to return the two (2) minor children after a scheduled period of temporary custody.

Both parties appear represented by counsel and the parties don't agree as to the facts precisely, but the Plaintiff admits that she has been in contempt of the Court's Order of June 28, 1991.

As of July 20, 1993, both children have been placed back in the custody of the Defendant.

Nonetheless, upon her admission and upon the facts as we find them, we find the Plaintiff is in contempt of the Court's Order of June 28, 1991.

She may purge herself of contempt by following the terms and conditions of that Order of June 28, 1991 and specifically, but not limited to, not keeping the children or any child beyond the time period when she is supposed to return that child or children after a period of temporary custody.

In addition to the terms and conditions of the June 28, 1991 Order, in order to purge herself of contempt at any time she is going to move her residence and she is in physical custody of either child or both children,she shall notify the Defendant at least twenty-four (24) hours prior to moving as to where her new address willbe. Obviously, if she is not inphysical custody of a child or children,it would be in her best interest to notify the Defendnt as to where she will be so that she will be able to have her periods of physical custody in the future.

So that the parties are clear,the Plaintiff's final period of physical custody for the summer of 1993 pursuant to Paragraph 3(a) of the Order of June 18, 1991, will begin on August 16, 1993 and end on August 30, 1993.

The Plaintiff is cautionel that if she violates this Court's Order in the future and is, therefore, in contempt again,the Court will impose appropriate sanctions.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J.Vardaro, J.

Aug. 4, 1993 Copies dist. to Sullivan & Lindsay. 8-9-93 Copy of Order toAtty. Pineo.

Jan. 5, 1994 ORDER filed. AND NOW, January 3, 1994, the plaintiff's petition to proceed informa pauperis is neither rejected nor approved. The form is not complete and the Court will not consider the petition until it is completed in all respects. If there is any place on the form as to which the answer is "none" the petitioner shall say so. If any particular area of inquiry is not applicable the petitioner shall say so. She must complete every line.

To the very scant extent that she did complete the affidavit it is inconsistent. For instance she indicates in subsection "3(c)" that she worked at Montgomery Wards within the past twelve months yet in subsection 3(b) she makes no entry as to her date of last employment or salary or wages per month when she was working.

When the affidavit is completed in full, without exception, the affidavit and petition may be referred back to the Court for further consideration.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

1-11-94 Copy of Order to Attys. Sullivan, Lindsay & Pineo

Record Continued on page 584

Joyce DeWalt, sometimes known as Joyce Peirce

vs.

Michael J. Peirce

Record continued from page 379

of record

A.D. 1991 - 379

****

May 22, 1991 Affidavit of Service filed. Susan C. Reese, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and sets forth as follows:

1. That he/she is a contempt adult.
2. That on information furnished him/her, he/she served a Subpoena Duces Tecum in the above-matter upon Joyce DeWalt, somestimes known as Joyce Peirce at 5:15 o'clock p.m., on May 8, 1991 at residence on South Watson Run Road.
3. That costs incurred for this service were as follows:

a.) Service	$30.00
b.) Mileage	3.00
Total	$33.00

Susan C. Reese

May 23, 1991, Notice of Service of Interrogatories to the Plaintiff filed.

June 21, 1991 Motion To Continue Generally and Order filed. And Now, June 21, 1991, hearing scheduled for July 9, 1991, is continued generally.

By the Court,
P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

June 24, 1991 Copies of order to Brian Lindsay and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick

Mar. 11, 1992, Motion for Sanctions and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, Mar. 11, 1992, plaintiff shall file her Answers to Interrogatories on or before May 11, 1992 or the court shall enter order granting defendants request that the court declare there is no marriage existing between plaintiff and defendant.

Mar. 11, 1992, copies to Attys. M. Kirkpatrick & B. lindsay

June 2, 1992 Petition for Injunction and order filed. AND NOW, , this 28th day of May, 1992 upon review and consideration of the plaintiff's petition for injunction theCourt treats the same as a petition for special relief under the DivorceCode and hereby grants a rule to show cause directed to the defendant to show cause if any he has why the special relief requested should not be granted.

This Rule is returnable for hearing and/or argument on the 11th day of June, 1992 at 3:30 o'clock p.m. in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse.

It appears that the defendant is represented by Mary Ann Kirkpatrick,Esquire. There is no showing or indication as to whether or not she was advised of the filing of this petition prior to its filing as is required by local motions and petitions practice.

A true and correct copy of the petition, all attached documents and this order shall be served upon defendant's counsel forthwith.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P. J.

June 2, 1992 Copy of the order to Brian J. Lindsay, Esq. and Mary Ann Kirkpatrick,Esq.

June 4, 1992 PETITION FOR SPECIAL RELIEF AND ORDER, filed. ORDER: And, Now, June 4, 1992, hearing on Defendant's Petition for Special Relief is scheduled for June 11th, 1992, at 3:30 p.m. in court room 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Crt. Adm.

June 4, 1992 copy in box for M.A. Kirkpatrick & B. Lindsay

June 4, 1992 Verification of Service filed. AND NOW, this 3rd day of May, 1992, comes Brian J Lindsay, Attorney for Plaintiff in the above captioned action verifies that he had served Answers to Interrogatories upon Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Counsel of record for Defendant, and that said service was pursuant to an oral agreement between counsel that the service of an original verified copy of the answers on the 14th day of May constituted sufficient and adequate compliance with this Court's Order that Answers be served by the 12th day of May, 1992, because said Answers were verified on April 29, 1992, which was the time of their completion.

June 11, 1992 Verification Of Service filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, Attorney for Plaintiff, do verify that a copy of Petition for Injunction and Order of May 28, 1992, was served on Mary Ann Kirkpatrick, Esquire by First Class U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid on this the 9th day of June, 1992.

Dated: June 11, 1992 Brian J. Lindsay

July 2, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, June 30, 1992, after hearing on the plaintiff's petition for injunction filed May 26, 1992 and the defendant's petition for special relief filed June 4, 1992 it is ordered as follows:

1. Neither party shall receive to the exclusion of the other nor appropriate for his or her own use any sums due to the parties or paid or payable to the parties under any agreement for the sale of real estate to George Zamias or controlled or owned by George Zamias or any entity in which he is an owner or part owner. All payments from Zamias shall be paid to the attorneys for the parties to be held in escrow in an interest free account until further order of Court. A true and correct copy of this order shall be sent by one or both of the attorneys to George Zamias so that he knows that any payments made under any agreements with the parties shall be paid in accordance with this order.
2. The defendant's petition to compel the plaintiff to sign a listing agreement to sell the jointly owned real estate is refused.

BY ORDER OF COURT
Gordon R. Miller, P.J.

July 2, 1992 copies given to Attys Kirkpatrick & Lindsay

Jan.13, 1993 Affidavit of Service filed. I, Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire, after being duly sworn according to law, do hereby certify that I am the attorney of record for the above named Plaintiff, and that as such I am authorized to make this verification; that I did on the 20th day of April, 1991, send to the above named Defendant, by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested,a true and correct copy of the Divorce Complaint filed at the above term and number; and that I did on the 24th day of April, 1991, receive a duly executed, (by an authorized agent as shown on Certified receipt) return receipt dated April 23, 1991 Brian J. Lindsay, ESquire

Continued on Page 590A

Joyce DeWalt, sometimes known as Joyce Peirce
vs.
Michael J. Peirce

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 590
of Record
A. D. 1991 - 379

****

Jan. 22, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Defendant filed.

Feb. 11, 1993 Appearance of Mary Ann Kirkpatrick for Michael J. Peirce, filed.

Mar. 18, 1993 Affidavit of Consent of Plaintiff filed.

Apr. 15, 1993 Upon praecipe of Defendant attorney to transmit record, case moved to Court.

Apr. 23, 1993 Memorandum filed. The File in this case was submitted to the court on April 22, 1993 for review and for the entry of a divorce decree.

This Court has reviewed the record but will not sign the proposed divorced decree for the following reasons:

1. Plaintiff was permitted to proceed informa pauperis. It appears that the parties do have sufficient funds to pay court costs in view of the assets that are going to be distributed. Therefore one or both of the parties shall pay all record court costs to date as a condition to the entry of a divorce decree.

2. The consent decree contains some blank spaces in paragraph 3(a). Paragraph 3(a) must be complete and accurate in all respects before the Court will sign the decree.

3. Paragraphs 3(c) and (d) require additional language. The Court will not sign the decree where those paragraphs are vague and incomplete. It appears that paragraph 3(c) provides for a payment for attorney fees on an installment basis but the paragraph fails to say that. It appears that paragraph 3(d) is an installment payment on account of equitable distribution but the paragraph fails to say that.

The Court will review the record against when costs are paid and the consent decree is amended and completed to cover the matters referenced above.

BY ORDER OF COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Apr. 23, 1993 Copies dist. to Lindsay and Kirkpatrick

July 30, 1993 Upon Praecipe of Brian J. Lindsay, Esquire, Plaintiff's Attorney, to transmit record, case moved to Court.

DIVORCE DECREE FILED

Aug. 4, 1993 AND NOW, this 30th day of July, 1993, upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing Stipulation, this Court hereby directs that:

1. Divorce

Upon consideration of the pleadings and affidavits filed in the above captioned case, this Court hereby grants an absolute divorce from the bonds fo matrimony to the above named parties, on the grounds that the marriage is irretrievabl broken and ninety (90) days have elapsed from the date of the filing of the complaint and an affidavit has been field by each of the parties evidencing that each of the parties consents to the divorce, pursuant to S3301(c) of the Divorce Code of PA.

2. Alimony Pendente Lite, permanent Alimony, Temporary Support, Counsel Fees and Costs.

There is no award of alimony pendente lite, permanent alimony, temporary support, counsel fees and costs, except as follows: Defendant shall pay the amount of $3,213.00 to Brian J. Lindsay as attorney for Joyce A. Peirce within ninety (90) days from today's date and shall execute a Demand Note and Confession of Judgment reflecting these terms, with 6% interest accruing on the unpaid balance thereafter.

3. Equitable Property Determination and Distribution

A. Defendant shall assume and shall be solely liable for any and all Internal Revenue Service, Federal and State tax liabilities incurred by the parties to this marriage for the tax years 1984 to the present, and shall defend, indemnify and hold Plaintiff harmless from said tax liability.

B. The parties are possessed of certain real estate, one of which is a marital residence located in Vernon Township, Crawford Co. PA., and which was conveyed to the parties by deed of Maurice F. Bailey and Gladys M. Bailey, his wife dated Sept 18, 1985, and recorded in Crawford Co. Deed Book 563, page 1076 and by deed of Clarence Onspaugh dated Aug. 16, 1989, and recorded in Crawford Co. Record Book 72, at page 884. Plaintiff shall convey her title and itnerest in this property to Defendant, Michael J. Peirce.

C. Defendant shall be liable for and shall make installmetn payments on the purchase price of a 1986 Dodge Caravan recently purchased for the use of Joyce A. Peirce until paid in full and shall indemnify and hold Joyce A. Peirce harmless from that purchase liability.

D. Defendant shall also pay to Joyce A. Peirce in installments, and on account of equitable distribution, the sum of $16,787.00 in the following manner: Six Thousand Seven Hundred Eighty Seven ($6,787.00) Dollars, without interest, being due at the end of one year from today's date. Defendant shall also pay to Plaintiff the sum of Ten Thousand and no/100 ($10,000.00) Dollars being due and payable at the end of two (2) years from today's date. Interest on the unpaid balance shall accrue at the rate of 6% per annum.

E. Defendant shall execute two primissory demand notes with Confessions of Judgment, as security for the above recited installment payments to Plaintif, each demand promissory note reciting Defendant's promise to pay money to Plaintiff one note in the amount of Six Thousand Seven Hundred Eighty Seven and no/100 ($6,787.00) Dollars and the other note in the amount of Ten thousand and no/100 ($10,000.00) Dollars, within five days of the date of this Decree, each Note representing the terms of payment for the installmetns of Six thousand Seven hundred eighty seven and no/100 ($6,787.00) Dollars and Ten thousand and no/100 ($10,000.00) Dollars that are recited in paragraph D above. Each Note shall also confess judgment against Defendant individually and against any and all interest that Defendant may have in Klingensmith Construction.

F. Plaintiff shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and personalty currently in her possession, and Defendant shall have sole and exclusive possession of any and all furnishings, appliances and personalty currently in hsi possession.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

ELIABETH A. HORNING

VS.

TIMOTHY J. HORNING

RECORD CONTINUED FROM PAGE 257

OF

AD 1991 - 257

****

2. The husband shall pay to the wife the sum of $9,500.00 at the time of the conveyance as consideration for said conveyance.

3. The 1987 Honda motor vehicle shall become the sole and exclusive property of the wife.

4. All personal property and household furnishings shall become teh sole and exclusive property of the person in possession of same, with the exception of the items identified by the wife on List "B" attached hereto, which shall become the sole and exclusive property of the wife.

5. Wife shall become the sole and exclusive owner of the Integra Savigns Account in the approximate amount of $1,660.00.

6. Husband shall become the sole and exclusive owner of the Greenleaf Corporation Savings and Retirement Plan having an approximte value of $24,751.66, and any appreciation thereof.

7. The Individual Retirement Account with Fidelity Investments currently in Husband' name, bearing the account number T051722003, having a balance of not less than $17,000.00, shall become the sole and exclusive property of the wife and shall be transferred to an IRA of the wife's designation.

8. The following items in husband's possession are the property of Joseph and Emily Boughton and shall be made available immediately to said individuals: Tiger Maple Front Chest, Dough/wood Box and Table, wingbacked stuffed chair with clawfeet, spool type Jenny Lynn bed, child's rocking chair, child's woodburning bench, and yellow and blue potters (4 cups, 1 pie plate).

COUNSEL FEES

9. Immediately upon the entry of a final decree in divorce, the husband shall pay on behalf of wife, the sum of $1,000.00 in counsel fees. Said payment shall be made directly to James R. Irwin, Esq., 360 Chestnut St., Meadville, PA 16335.

ALIMONY

10. Commending the first day of the first month following the entry of a final decree in divorce, the husband shall pay alimony to the wife in the amount of $200.00. Said payments shall continue for a period of 18 consecutive months and shall be non-modifiable as to term or amount.

It is the intent of the Court that these payments shall be qualified as alimony under the Internal Revenue Code and Regulations and shall be tax deductible to the payor and taxable to payee.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Aug. 20, 1993 Approval of Payment of Master and Court Reporter Pursuant to Crawford County Local Rule L1920.51B(5) by David J. Graban, filed.

Aug. 20, 1993 Approval of Payment of Master and Court Reporter Pursuant to Crawford County Local Rule L1920.51B(5) by James R. Irwin, filed.

79.

BRENDA SUE KISKADDEN

VS.

RICHARD DALE KISKADDEN

Record Continued from Page 79

of

AD 1991-79

Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this order shall govern.

12. Either party may request a review of this Order two years from date hereof or upon any major change in the circumstances of the parties to make any adjustments.

13. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

14. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

May 15, 1991 Copies of order put in Atty. Pineo, Barrett and Allen's boxes. and copies mailed to plaintiff and defendant.

May 9, 1996 Petition for Modification of Custody and Notice and Order to Appear filed. You, BRENDA SUE KISKADDEN, have been sued in Court to modify custody, partial custody or visitation of the children: Amy Kiskadden and Alaina Kisdadden.

You are ORDERED to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by the Mediator William Pineo, Esquire, for a mediation conference. The Mediator William Pineo, Esquire, for a mediation conference. The Mediator shall send you a Notice of the Hearing by mail to the address listed on the complaint or petition that has been filed recently.

If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custody, partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the Court may issue a warrant for you arrest. COURT ADMINISTRATOR

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES
ACT OF 1990

The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County is required by law to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. For information about accessible facilities and reasonable accommodations available to disabled individuals having business before the Court, please contact the Ct. Administrator's office. All arrangements must be made at least 72 hours prior to any hearing or business before the Court. You must attend the scheduled conference or hearing.
BY THE COURT
Gordon R. Miller, J.

FAXED 5-9-96 J. Mason & Pineo, Barrett.

ORDER AND NOTICE FILED. AND NOW, this 8th day of May, 1996, it is ordered and decreed that the parents in this matter shall satisfactorily complete the Seminar for Separating Parents in accordance with Crawford County Civil Rule L1930. Applications for the seminar may be obtained at the Center for Family Services, Inc.; The Prothonotary at the Crawford County Courthouse; or the Court Administrator at the Crawford County Courthouse.
(please see file for more information.)

FAXED 5-9-96 J. Mason, Pineo, Barrett.

Oct. 14, 1997 Certificate of Completion of the Seminar for Separating Parents for Richard D. Kiskadden filed.

Geri Lynn Shreffler Fluty
vs.
Ron Shreffler

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 593
of Record
A.D. 1991 - 271

17. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Apr. 27, 1992 copy in box for W. Pineo, C. Brode, G. Keenan, mailed copy to Plf. & Dft.

Sept. 19, 1994 Defendant's Motion For Judgment On The Pleadings Pursuant To Pa.R.C.P. 1034, filed.

Sept. 19, 1994 Praecipe of Matthew J. Creme, Jr. Esq. for Argument, filed.

ON ARGUMENT LIST FOR October 1994

Nov. 25, 1996 Petition to Proceed In Forma Pauperis Pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 240 and Order filed. AND NOW, November 22, 1996, upon consideration of the petition filed by the Plaintiff in this action and supported by an affidavit attached thereto, all being pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. 240, the Plaintiff is granted leave to proceed in forma Pauperis at this time.

The Plaintiff is directed to inform the Prothonotary's office or the Court of any improvement in her financial circumstance that would permit her to pay the costs incurred in this action and shall be responsible for doing so upon an improvement of financial condition.

BY THE COURT:
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Nov. 25, 1996 Custody Complaint, Notice and Order to Appear, Order and Notice and Order filed. You, Ronald D. Shreffler, Sr., have been sued in Court to (obtain)(modify) custody, partial custody or visitation of the child(ren): Ronald D. Shreffler, Jr.

You are ORDERED to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by Mediator William Pineo, Esq. for a mediation conference. The Mediator shall send you a Notice of the hearing by mail to the address listed on the complaint or petition that has been filed recently.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

ORDER AND NOTICE: AND NOW, this 25th day of November, 1996, it is ordered and decreed that the parents in this matter shall satisfactorily complete the Seminar for Separating Parents in accordance with Crawford County Civil Rule L1930. Applications for the seminar may be obtained at the Center for Family Services, Inc. at 996 S. Main Street, Meadville, Pa. 16335; the Prothonotary at the Crawford County Courthouse; or the Court Administrator at the Crawford County Courthouse.
BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

ORDER: AND NOW, this 25th day of November, 1996, the Court having appointed a custody mediator in this action for custody, and/or partial custody and/or visitation of a minor child or children, it is ordered and directed as follows:

1. The plaintiff is allowed to proceed without payment of court costs reserving to the Court the right to impose costs at a later time.
2. The Prothonotary is ordered and directed to deliver a true and correct copy of this Order and any complaint or petition filed at the time this Order was entered and any required notice, with appropriate numbers of copies, to the sheriff of Crawford county for service upon the defendant.
3. The plaintiff is ordered and directed to immediately go to the Sheriff's Office to give the Sheriff written instructions on when and where the defendant may be served.
4. the Sheriff of Crawford county is ordered and direct to serve a true and correct copy of the complaint or petition and order upon the defendant and make an appropriate return of service as required by the law. The Sheriff shall indicate the cost of service which said cost shall be paid by Crawford County and which said cost may be taxed as record costs and imposed as costs by the Court.
5. The Sheriff of Crawford County is also ordered and directed to serve the following documents upon the defendant and make appropriate return of service: a copy of order directing attendance at the mandatory seminar for separating parents; a copy of the mandatory seminar program brochure; and a copy of the application for mandatory seminar registration. The Sheriff shall indicate the costs of service which said cost shall be paid by Crawford County and which said cost may be taxed as record costs and imposed as costs by the Court.

BY THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J.

Dec. 4, 1996 Sheriff's Return filed. Served Ronald D. Shreffler, Sr. personally on December 4, 1996 at 12:34 PM in the Sheriff's Office.

So answers,
Diane Brittain, Deputy
Robert M. Stevens, Sheriff

Jan 16, 1997 Certificate of completion of the Seminar for Separating Parents for Geri L. Fluty, filed.

Jan 16, 1997 ORDER: AND NOW, this 16th day of January,1997, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendation of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The Order entered April 21, 1992, is affirmed in all respects and no changes are made.
2. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $92.00, are assessed to Crawford County. The Mediator advises the Court that neither party is employed and both would qualify for legal services.
3. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo within ten days from the date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

Gordon R. Miller, P.J.
Jan 23, 1997 Faxed Pineo, Lindsay & Keenan, sent Plf. & Dft

Feb. 26, 1997 Certificate of completion of Seminar for Separating Parents of Defendant, filed.

July 9, 1998 CUSTODY COMPLAINT AND NOTICE AND ORDER TO APPEAR FILED. YOU, Ronald D. Shreffler, Sr, have been sued in Court to (obtain)(maintain) (modify) custody, partial custody or visitation of the child(ren): Rondald D. Shreffler, Jr.
You are Ordered to appear in person at such time and place as will be determined by Mediatro John Fuller, Esq. for a mediation conference The Mediator shall send you a notice of teh hearing by mail to the address listed on the complaint or petition that has been filed recently.
If you fail to appear as provided by this Order, an Order for custoyd partial custody or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator, Courthouse
Meadville,PA 16335
Telephone No: (814)333-7498

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990

The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County ir requried by law to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. For information about accessible facilities and reasonable accommodations available to disabled individuals having business before the Court, please contact the Court Administrator's Office. All arrangements must be made at least 72 hours prior to any hearing or business before the Court. You must attend the scheduled conference or hearing.

BY THE COURT
Anthony J. Vardaro

Date 7/8/98
ORDER, AND NOW, THIS 7th day of July, 1998 the Court having appointed a custody mediator in this action for custody, and/or visitation of a minor child or children, it is ordered and directed as follows
1. The Pltf is allowed to proceed without payment of court costs reserving to the Corut the right to impose costs as a later time.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 592 - A

S29052

Geri L. Shreffler Fluty
vs
Ron D. Shreffler, Sr.

Continued from page 592
of record
AD 1991-271

****

2. The Prothonotary is ordered and directed to deliver a true and correct copy of this Order and any Complaint or Petition filed at the time of this Order and any Complaint or Petition filed at the time this Order was entered and any required Notice, with appropriate numbers of copies, to the Sheriff of Crawford county for service upon the Defendant.
3. The Plaintiff is ordered and directed to immediately to the Sheriff's Office to give the Sheriff written instructions on when and wher the Defendant may be served.
4. The Sheriff of Crawford County is ordered and directed to serve a true and correct copy of the Complaint or Petition and order upon the Defendant and make an appropriate return of service as required by law. The Sheriff shall indicate the cost of service which said cost shall be paid By Crawford county and which said cost may be taxes as record costs and imposed as costs by the Court.
5. The Sheriff of Crawford County is also ordered and directed to serve the following documents upon the Defendant and make appropriate return of serivce: a copy of order directing attendance at the mandatory seminar for separating parents; a ocpy of the mandatory seminar program brochure; and a copy of the application for mandatory seminar registration. The Sheriff shall indicate the costs of service which said cost shall be paid by Crawford County and which said cost may be taxed as record costs and imposed as costs by the Court.

By the Court
Anthony J. Vardaro

And now, This 7 day of July 1998 it is ordered and decreed that the parents in this matter shall satisfactorily complete the Seminar for Separating Parents in accordance with Crawford County Civil Rule L 1930. Applications for the seminar may be obtained at the Center for Family Services, Inc, at 996 S. main St, Meadville, pA 16335; the Prothonotary at the Crweford County Courthouse; or the Court Administrator at the Crawfcrd County Courthouse. Application for attendance at the seminar must be made by the plaintiff or petitioner within 15 days after the pleading or petition is filed; and by the defendant or respondent within 15 days after he or she is served with the pleading or petition.
THE SEMINAR MUST BE COMPLETED WTIHIN 45 Days after Application Failure to Attend the seminar may result in sanctions, including contempt of court, A dismissal of the Action or A Delay in the proceedings unless attendance is waived by Order of Court.
Ifeither party has any questions about this seminar, he/she should consult an attorney or refer to the Crawford County Civil Rules of Court that are availabale in the Prothonotary's Office and in the Crawford county Law Library or contact the Center for Family Services, Inc.

By the Court
Anthony J. Vardaro, J

Dist, Sheirr, mediator

July 9, 1998 PEITTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS PURSUANT TO PA. R.C.P. 240 and order filed. And Now, July 7, 1998 upon consideration of the petition filed by the Plaintiff in this action, and supported by an affidavit attached thereto, all being pursuant to PA.R.C.P. 240 the Plaintiff is granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis at this time.
The Plaintiff is directed to inform the Prothonotcary's office of teh Court of any improvement in his/her financial circumstances that would permit him/her to pay the costs incurred in this action and shall be responsible for doing so upon an improvement of financial Condition.

BY THE COURT
ANTHONY J. VARDARO, J.

July 16, 1998 SHERIFF'S RETURN FILED. SERVED RONALD D. Schreffler, Sr. on July 15, 1998 at 2:15 PM by handing copy of Custody Complaint to Barbara Lyda, Adult in charge at the residence 429 Walnut St., Meadville, Crawford co, PA
So answers,

Shawn E. Ashbaug, Deputy
Robert M. Stevens, Sheriff

Aug. 24, 1998 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Aug. 24, 1998 after mediation conference before John Fuller, Esq., IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS, TO-WIT:

I. Legal custody of Ronald D. Shreffler, Jr. shall be shared beteen the mother and the father, the plaintiff and defendant herein, that is, each parent shall be entitled to full access to school records, medical records and other important records and that each parent shall be entitled to take part fully in important decisions affecting the child such as eduction etc.

This order is a temporary order but shall become a permanent order unless exceptions thereto are filed by either party within twenty (20) days of the date hereof.

The fees ($210.00) and costs ($9.00 court order filing fee and $5.54 certified mail) in this case are to be paid by Crawford County. (SEE PAPERS FILED).

Anthony J. Vardaro, Judge

Aug. 25, 1998 FAXED Atty. Fuller; MAILED Plff. and Deft.

Gero Lynn Shreffler

VS.

Ron Shreffler

Record Continued from Page 271

OF

AD 1991 - 271

****

14. The fact that this Agreement has been drafted by the legal reprsentative of one of the parties shall have no effect, whatsoever, on the validity of the terms and provisions of this Agreement.

WHEREFORE, the parties hereto request the Court to enter the above as a Consent Order.

Gero Shreffler, Plaintiff
Ronald D. Shreffler, Defendant

4/17/91 Above entered as a consent custody order.

P. Richard Thomas, S.J.

April 23, 1991 Three (3) copies of Order to Atty. Brian Lindsay.

Feb. 26, 1992, Complaint for Custody and Order of Court filed. ORDER OF COURT: You Ronald Shreffler, dft, have been sued in court to obtain custody of the minor child Ronald L. Shreffler, Jr.

You are ordered to appear in person at the time and place selected by WILLIAM PINEO, CUSTODY MEDIATOR.

If you fail to appear as provided by this order, an order for custody, partial custody, or visitation may be entered against you or the court may issue a warrant for your arrest.

The Craw. County sheriffs Dept is directed to serve a cert. copy of complaint and order upon the defendant. In the alternative this petition and order may be served by any competent adult or by cert. mail.

Defendant is allowed to proceed IFP reser ving to the court the right to impose costs.

You should take this paper to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Court ADM. Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa. 16335, 814 336-1151 ext. 298.

2/26/92
1 copy (mediator)
1 copy (atty)

BY THE COURT,
Anthony j. vardaro, J.

Feb. 26, 1992, Affidavit To Proceed In Forma Pauperis filed.

Feb. 26, 1992, Praecipe to Proceed IN Forma Pauperis filed.

Apr. 13, 1992 Appearance of Gregory A. Keenan for Defendant, filed.

Apr 21, 1992 TEMPORARY ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 21 day of April, 1992, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties agree that physical and legal custody of the subject m inor child shall be shared equally between the parties.
2. The father shall have primary physical custody of Ronald Shreffler, Jr.
3. Primary physical custody of Amy Shreffler shall be with Mother, subject to every other weekend visitation with Father.
4. The mother shall have visitation with Ronald Shreffler, Jr. every weekend from Friday at 5:00 p.m. through Sunday at 5:00 p.m. and each Wednesday from 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. It is acknowledged that visits may depend upon the weather since neither party has a vehicle and each has to depend upon the operation of the numicipal bus line.
5. In addition, the mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody for one month each summer during Ronald's vacation from school. She shall give the father 15 days notice of the month period she wishes to select.
6. Every other weekend visitations of the child, Amy Shreffler, with Father shall begin on Friday at 6:00 p.m. until Sunday at 6:00 p.m.
7. The parent receiving the child shall provide transportation for visits with that child.
8. The parties shall share custody on the following holidays and in the following manner:

a. Christmas Day: Mother shall have custody of the minor child from 4:00 p.m. Christmas Eve until 7:00 a.m. on Christmas Day.

b. Easter: On the even numbered years, the Father shall have custody of the minor children on Good Friday until 9:00 a.m. on Easter Sunday, with the Mother having custody from 9:00 a.m. Easter Sunday until Monday following Easter at 3:00 p.m. and alternate years thereafter.

c. Thanksgiving: Father shall have custody of the minor children on Thanksgiving Day and alternate thereafter.

d. The parties shall alternate custody on the following designated holidays: Memorial Day, Fourth of July, and Labor Day, with Father having custody on Memorial Day and Labor Day of even numbered years and Mother having custody on July 4th e very even numbered year.

Every odd numbered year, the visitation schedule will be reversed with Mother having custody on Memorial Day and Labor Day and Father having custody on the Fourth of July. For the purposes of the holiday visitations for Memorial Day, Fourth of July, and Labor Day, said visitations shall commence at 10:00 a.m. and terminate at 8:00 p.m. on said holiday.

e. On the birthday of Ronald Shreffler, Jr., the parent not having physical custody shall have the right to temporary physical and visitation from 4:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

f. On the birthdays of Ronald's brothers and sisters, the parent having the brother or sister whose birthday it is shall have the right of visitation and temporary physical custody with Ronald from 4:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

g. The mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody with Ronald on Mother's Day from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. and the father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody on Father's Day from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

h. Visitation and temporary physical custody that is set out in this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relating to custody and visitation.

9. Except in emergency situations, each party shall give the other at least 24 hours notification when he or she will not be exercising a scheduled visitation.
10. Each party shall teach said child to give the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.
11. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the children's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the children's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the children's estimation.
12. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.
13. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time whensaid party has the care and custody of the subject minor children. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growiing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the children are being transported or in the bedroom of the children.
14. Any person transporting trhe children shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law in Pennsylvania.
15. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.
16. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $52.00 are assessed to Crawford County. The mediator advises the court that neither party is employed and both would qualify for legal services.

Continued on Page 592

Katherine A. Palmer and John P. Palmer, Adm. of the Estate of Krisoffer P. Plamer
vs
Arthur G.Deininger,M. D.
vs
Meadville Medical Center and Ronald Vrablik, M.D.

Continued from Page 421

of Record

AD 1991 - 421

****

Jan. 26,1996 Upon Praecipe of Francis J.Klemensic, Esq. attorney for Ronald Vrablik, M.D., Defendant, the above captioned matter is placed on the next available Argument List.

ON FEBRUARY, 1996 ARGUMENT LIST

Mar. 18, 1996 Motion to Compel Answers to Deposition Questions Directed to Dr. Vrablick, M.D. and Motion for Sanctions, filed.

Mar. 20, 1996 Order filed. AND NOW, March 18, 1996 upon presentation of a motion to compel answers to deposition questions, argument on said motion is hereby set for wednesday, May 1, 1996 at 3:00 p.m. in Courtroom No. 2 of the crawford county Courthouse.
The moving party shall file a brief 15 days in advance of the argument, and the responding party shall file their brief 5 days in advance of the argument.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Gordon R. Miller, J

Mar. 20, 1996 Faxed Cole, Lundberg, Quinn & Klemensic

July 10, 1996, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER filed. ORDER: AND NOW, July 9, 1996, upon consideration of various matters now before the court, it is ordered and directed that the preliminaryobjections of add;l dft. Vrablik in the nature of Motion to Strike and alternatively a Motion for More Specific Pleading are overruled. The additional Dft. Vrablik's demurrer tothe claim for damages to life pleasures is sustained.

The preliminary objections by additional defendant Meadville MedicalCenter in the nature of a Motion to Strike Paragraph 72(k) and 72(l) are overruled. The additional Defendant Meadville Medical Center's Motion to Strike or alternatively, Motion for More specific pleading with Regard to paragraph 72(d) and 72(m) are sustained and those subparagraphs are stricken without prejudice to the dft. to re-plead those more specifically within 20 days ofthe date of this order.

The Motion to comple Answers to Deposition questions filed on behalf of the dft. deininger is granted with respect tothe questions on page 30, lines 16-25 and page 33, lines 5-14. Finally, the motion for sanctions filed by dft. deininger is denied.

by the court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.
7/10/96 mailed to allcounsel of record.

Aug. 5, 1996 Meadvilles Answer to Complaint to Join Additional Defendants filed.

Aug. 27, 1996 Answer and New Matter to Joinder Complaint filed.

March 12, 1998 Petition For Approval of a Settlement and ORDER OF COURT filed. AND NOW, this 12 day of March, 1998 upon petition of Katherine A. Palmer and John P. Palmer, administrators of the estate of Kristoffer P. Palmer, deceased, it is hereby ORDERED that the claims asserted by the plaintiff in this civil action may be settled for the amount of $200,000.00 to be paid by the defendant Arthur G. Deininger, M.D. It is noted that Arthur G. Dininger, M.D. is preserving his right to proceed on his claims for contributon and indemnity against the additional defendants Ronald M. Vrablick, M.D and Meadville Medical Center. Dsitribution of the $200,000.00 settlement shall be as follows:

TO: Stokes Lurie Cole & Hens-Greco, P.C
Attys. fee (1/3 of settlemtn after deduction of expenses).....$ 66,082.00

TO: Stokes Lurie Cole & Hens-Greco, P.C.
Reimbursement of expenses..... 1,753.42

TO: Katherine Palmer and John Palmer, under the Wrongful Death Act..... 127,164.58

TO: Katherine Palmer and John Palmer, administrators of the Estate of Kristoffer Palmer, deceased, under the Survival Act 5,000.00

TOTAL.....$200,000.00

BY THE COURT:
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

March 13, 1998 Order FAXED all counsel involved.

February 12, 1999 Notice of Completion of Discovery filed on behalf of Defendant, Arthur Deininger, MD

AS OF MARCH 10, 2000, ANY FILINGS INVOLVING THIS CASE MAY BE FOUND ON THE COMPUTER.

1991

Susan L. Clark

VS.

Thomas E. Smith

Record Continued from Page 171

of

AD 1991 - 171

****

6. The parties shall split responsibility for transportation with the party receiving the child in the exercise of his or her rights picking up the child at the home of the other.

7. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her child.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has the care and custody of the subject minor child. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the child are being transported or in the bedroom of the child..

9. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the other in the child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

12. Either party may request a review of this Order two years from date hereof to make any adjustments.

13. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $137.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

14. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Robert L. Walker, S. J.

April 5, 1991 Copy of Order to Attys. William Pineo, R. Charles Thomas, James Irwin, Plaintiff, Defendant.

1991

1991

Melvin Bergstrom, Jr.

VS.

Debra S. Muir (Kemp)

Record Continued from Page 25

of

AD 1991 - 25

****

March 28, 1991 Exception To Temporary Order of Court AND NOW, this 28 day of March, 1991, upon consideration of the within Exceptions filed by Defendant, a hearing on this matter is scheduled for the 2nd day of May, 1991, at 3:00 o'clock P.M. in Courtroom 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Court House, Meadville, PA.

By The Court,
Robert L. Walker, S. J.

April 4, 1991 Copy of Order to Atty. James R. Irwin, Bruce Smith, Robert Felton.

Jan. 10, 1992 Notice And Order to Appear and Petition for Contempt filed. (One copy to Atty. Irwin)

Jan. 10, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, this 10 day of Jan., 1992, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that a Rule to Show Cause is hereby entered upon Defendant, Debra S. Muir, to show cause why she should not be held in contempt of Court.

Said Rule is returnable on the 29 day of Jan., 1992, in Courtroom No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, PA. at 2:00 o'clock P.M.

By The Court:
P. Richard Thomas, S. J.

Jan. 10, 1992 Copy of Order to Atty. James Irwin; Jan. 15, 1992 Copy of Order to Attys. Bruce Smith and Robert Felton.

Jan. 23, 1992, Motion to set date for hearing on defendants exceptions to Custody Mediators Recommendations and the Temporary Order of court and order filed. ORDER OF COURT: And now, Jan. 23, 1992, hearing set at smae time as hearing heretofore set for Jan. 29, 1992 at 2:00 p.m.

For the court, Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

Jan. 27, 1992, copies to J. Irwin, Bruce Smith and r. Felton.

Jan. 31, 1992, Exhibit filed.

Jan. 31, 1992, ORDER filed. AND NOW, Jan. 29, 1992, the completion of the hearing inthe above matter is set for Mar. 4, 1992 at 9:30 a.m. before Sen. Judge Robert L. Walker.

FOR THE COURT, Imogene Yetman, ct. Adm.

Feb. 4, 1992, copies to J. Irwin and R. Felton, attys.

Jan. 31, 1992 MEMORANDUM filed. (Robert L. Walker)
(This order shall be effective Feb. 1, 1992 and continue on each weekend thereafter.)
Jan. 31, 1992 copies given to counsel and Crt. Adm.

Mar. 10, 1992, ORDER filed. Mar. 3, 1992, hearing in the above matter set for mar. 4, 1992 is continued until after the necessary psychological reports are completed. Hearing will be reset upon motion of either party.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, Ct. Adm.

Mar. 12, 1992, copies to Attys. J. Irwin and R. Felton.

Aug. 21, 1992, Request for Hearing and Order filed. ORDER: AND NOW, this 20 day of Aug. 1992 upon presentation and consideration of the foregoing request for hearing a hearing is scheduled witht he Court of Common Pleas of crawford County, Pa. on the 2nd day of Oct. 1992, at 9:00 a.m. in C. R. No. 1 or 2 of the Crawford County Courthouse, Meadville, Pa.

FOR THE COURT,
Imogene Yetman, ct. Adm'.

Aug. 25, 1992, copies of order to attys. J. Irwin and R. Felton, and Bruce Smith.

Oct. 5, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 2, 1992 the Court is advised that the Defendant has remarried and her name is now Debra S. Kemp. Accordingly, the caption is amended to so reflect.

Additionally, the Court is advised that there may be some concern in the Domestic Relations Office with respect to whether or not the Plaintiff is now admittign that he is the father of the child who is the subject of this action, and the Court notes that he does admit that he is the father of the child at this time.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Oct 5, 1992 2 copies (Irwin), 2 copies (Felton), 1 copy (Dom. Rel)

Oct 5, 1992 ORDER filed. AND NOW, Oct. 2, 1992 this matter is before the Court on a request for hearing filed by the Plaintiff. This matter was heard earlier this year by Judge Robert L. Walker and he entered an order allowing the hearing to be reset upon motion of a party once the parties had obtained psychological visitation evaluation.

They have obtained the same from staff psychologist Gloria Kieffer and it indicates that a split-week schedule with the parties alternating weekend visitations would be an appropriate method of handling custody at this time.

The Court discusses the matter with the parties and for the most part they have worked out details of the same, and the Court will therefore enter the following order, which shall amend the temporary order of March 7, 1991 to the extent that the same temporary order is inconsistent with this order. In all other respects, the temporary order of March 7, 1991 shall be in full force and effect.

The parties shall alternate weekends with the child, with the mother having the child on the weekend beginning Oct. 2, 1992, with mother's weekend being from Friday at 4:00 p.m. to Monday at 10:00 a.m. Father, beginning on Monday, Oct. 5, 1992 shall have temporary custody from 10:00 a.m. until Tuesday, Oct 6, 1992 at 7:00 p.m. The father shall have the same visitation schedule every fourth Monday thereafter.

The father shall have visitation from Friday at 4:00 p.m. on Oct. 9, 1992 through Tuesday, Oct 13, 1992 at 7:00 p.m. Said visitation shall occur thereafter ever other weekend.

On Oct 19, 1992 the father shall have visitation from that Monday at 10:00 a.m. through Wednesday, Oct 21, 1992 at 10:00 a.m. and shall have the same visitation ever fourth Monday thereafter.

It is the intention of this order that a shared legal custody arrangement continue not withstanding any reference to visitation versus temporary custody or custody with respect to this arrangement.

The parties agree that the holiday schedule set forth in the temporary order shall continue, except that there shall be the addition of Mother's Day which the child will spend with the mother, and Father's Day, which the child will spend with the Father, both taking precedence over any other visitation schedule.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Oct 5, 1992 2 copies (Felton), 2 copies (Irwin), 1 copy (Mediator)

Sharon Price

vs.

Robert W. Price

Record continued from page 255

of record

A.D. 1991 - 255

****

child's presence and each pa rty shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to dis parage the other party in the child's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation perios and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

12. Either party may request a review of this Order two years frm date hereof to make any adjustments, or upon a substantial change of circumstances.

13. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00 are divided equally between the parties with a share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case. Payment shall be made to the Prothonotary of Crawford County.

14. Either party may move the court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Gordon R. Miller, J

May 17, 1991 Copies of order put in William Pineo and William Wlaker's box and copies mailed to plaintiff and defendant.

1991

WILLIAM BRUCE BROMLEY

VS.

SABRINA M. KANYUCK

Record Continued from Page 525

OF

AD 1991-525

AD 1991-525

2. Primary physical custody of the child is awarded to the natural father, William Bruce Bromley. Temporary custody and visitation with the child shall be granted to the defendant mother, Sabrina M. Kanyuck, every Wednesday, beginning October 2, 1991, from 3:00 p.m. through 8:00 p.m., prevailing time. The child shall be delivered to the home of Lynn Sterling on North Franklin Street, Titusville, the location of which is known to the plaintiff father. This system shall continue unless and until the mother has a more settled lifestyle, a permanent residence and some stability in her life. In addition to the above-mentioned visitation, the mother shall have the opportunity to have the child with her, by picking up the child at the father's home, on Christmas Day from 8:00 a.m. through 6:00 p.m., prevailing time, at which time she shall return the child to the father's home. Other than on Christmas Day, all picking up and dropping off of the child shall be the father's responsibility. In the event that the mother knows in advance that she will be unable to spend time with the child on the Wednesday as provided or on Christmas Day, she shall notify the father by telephone at the home of his parents, William and Carol Bromley. That telephone number shall be immediately provided to the mother by the father.

3. The parent having custody of the child at anytime shall notify the other parent as soon as possible in the event of injury or illness of the child requiring medical treatment.

4. Neither parent shall take the child into any environment which might be commonly thought of as detrimental to her development or to the development or to the development of her character or which would be commonly thought of as dangerous to her physical person.

5. The parents are encouraged to discuss arrangements for physical custody of the child; and, if the parties mutually agree to alternative arrangements to accomodate themselves and/or the child's schedules, they may adjust the physical custody arrangements accordingly and are encouraged to do so by mutual consent and agreement. The parties are alson encouraged to expand the mother's visitation by agreement if and when her life stabilizes and she has residence where she is either the owner or the primary tenant, if said accommodations are appropriate for overnight visitations with the child.

6. Each of the parents shall teach the child involved in this case to develop and express that natural love and respect due a parent from his or her child, and neither of the parents will do or say anything to blacken the character of the other parent int he eyes of the child. This admonition shall extend to the child's grandparents and other people who come into regular contact with the child.

7. This Order shall not prejudice the right of either party to request further Mediation if there are changed circumstances in the lives of the parties or the child or in the event that the best interest of the child would be served by further Mediation.

8. The Court of Common Pleas of Crawford County, Pennsylvania, shall retain jurisdiction of this matter so long as either of the parents or the child resides in the Court's jurisdiction and for at least six months from the date of this Temporary Order.

9. The fee for Child Custody Mediation shall be paid 50% by the father and 50% by the County of Crawford on behalf of the mother.

10. This Order shall be a Temporary Order, but shall become a permanent orde unless exceptions are filed by either party within thirty days from the date of this Temporary Order.

BY THE COURT,
P. Richard Thomas, Senior Judge

Oct 11, 1991 Copies of order put in mailed to Attys. Rosen and Smith and Plaintiff and Defendant. Copy also q mailed to Atty. Felton.

Jerry L. Salsberry
vs.
Candance Salsberry,
a/k/a Lytle

Continued from Page 520

AD 1991 - of 520

****

Oct. 28, 1992 Temporary Order filed. AND NOW, this 28 day of October, 1992, after Mediation in the above referenced matter and upon the recommendations of the Child Custody Mediator,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The parties shall share legal and physical custody of the subject minor child, Jerry Lee Salsberry.

2. The parties shall share with each other any and all information which each may have regarding the health, education, and the major events in the life of the subject minor child. Health care, school personnel, and other care providers are hereby authorized to release to either party any and all confidential information which they may have regarding the subject minor child.

3a. Effective Friday, October 23, 1992, at 5:00 p.m., the mother shall have primary physical custody of the subject minor child. The father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody on alternate weekends, beginning with the weekend of November 6, 1992, from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m. The father shall also have temporary physical custody from 6:00 p.m. of the day following the day that school closed for the summer vacation until 6:00 p.m. of the sixth FRiday following. During the father's summer period, the mother shall have visitation and temporary physical custody on alternate weekends, beginning with the second Friday following the beginning of the father's summer period from Friday at 6:00 p.m. through Sunday at 6:00 p.m.

b. The subject minor child shall have the right to telephone the parent not having physical custody at reasonable times and for reasonable lengths of time and such conversations will not be unreasonably monitored by the parent having physical custody.

4. Holiday visitation shall be arranged as follows:

a. There shall be two Christmas holiday visitation periods, the first beginning on Christmas Eve at noon and the second beginning Christmas Day at noon and ending Christmas Day at 7:00 p.m. The father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody during the first period for Christmas 1992 and the parties shall thereafter alternate the periods.

b. The other holidays to be observed are Thanksgiving, Easter, Memorial Day, Independence Day, and Labor Day. Holiday visitation shall be between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except for Independence Day, when the holiday visitation shall be extended to 11:00 p.m. so that the child may watch the fireworks. The father shall have visitation and temporary physical custody for Thanksgiving, 1992, and the parties shall thereafter alternate the holiday periods.

c. The child shall spend Father's Day with his father from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., and Mother's Day with his mother, during the same house.

d. The parties shall also work out a visitation schedule for Jerry's birthday.

e. The provisions of this paragraph shall take precedence over the other provisions of this order relatinv to the custodial or visitation periods.

5. Should it be necessary for either party to cancel or modify the visitations as set out in this Order or as previously arranged, the party seeking cancellation or modification shall notify the other party as soon as he or she knows of the necessity which impels the cancellation or modification. Said modifications or cancellations shall not be proposed except for good reasons. Should a cancellation or modification be necessary, the parties shall attempt at once to arrange for alternative visits or to make alternative arrangements.

6. The parties shall arrange to split responsibility for transportation.

7. Each party shall teach said child to give to the other party that love and respect which is due a parent from his or her children.

8. Neither party shall use illegal drugs or be under the influence of alcohol at any time when said party has te care and custody of the subject minor child. The parties are cautioned that recent medical research has indicated that tobacco smoke is injurious to the health of growing children. Therefore, neither party shall smoke tobacco or permit others to do so in a closed automobile in which the child is being transported or in the bedroom of the child.

9. Any person transporting the child shall make sure that the seat belts or other restraints are used in accordance with the law of Pennsylvania.

10. Neither party shall do or say anything to blacken the character of the child's estimation. Each party shall treat the other in a polite and respectful manner when in the child's presence and each party shall instruct his or her relatives and friends to do likewise and also not to disparage the other party in the child's estimation.

11. The parties are free to make additional or alternative arrangements respecting the custodial and visitation periods and are strongly encouraged to do so if that would be proper and convenient. Said arrangements shall be by mutual consent only, in the absence of which this Order shall govern.

12. Costs and fees of this Mediation, which are fixed at $152.00, are divided equally between the parties with the share of each being assessed to him or her as costs in this case.

13. The deposit required for the appointment of the custody mediator was made by mother. The deposit shall be disposed of as follows:

The deposit will first be applied against the mother's share of costs and the remainder remitted to her. The father shall pay his share of costs to the Prothonotary of Crawford within thirty (30) days of the this date.

14. Either party may move the Court for a hearing de novo for thirty (30) days from date hereof. If neither party so moves, this Order shall be considered permanent.

By the Court,
Anthony J. Vardaro, J.

Oct. 28, 1992 Copy of the Order to Attys. Pineo, Walton, Nelson.

Jan. 12, 1993 Notice and Order to Appear filed. Legal proceedings have been brought against you alleging you have wilfully disobeyed an order of court for (custody) (partial custody) (visitation).

If you wish to defend against the claim set forth in the following pages, you may but are not required to file in writing,with the court your defenses or objections.

Whether or not you file in writing with thecourt your defenses or objections, you must appear in person in court on January 25, 1993, at 9:45 A.M. in Courtroom No. 1, at the Crawford County Courthouse, Diamond Park, Meadville, Pennsylvania.

If you do not appear in person,the Court May Issue a Warrant for your Arrest.

If the Court finds that you have wilfully failed to comply with its order for (custody) (partial custody) (visitation), you may be found to be in contempt of court and committed to jail, fined or both.

BY THE COURT:
P. Richard Thomas, J.

Jan. 18, 1993 Copy of Order to Attys. Gregg,Walton, & Pineo

Jan. 28, 1993 Motion For Continuance and Order filed. AND NOW, this 26th day of January 1993, the herein Motion is granted and the Hearing schedule on this matter is continued generally to be rescheduled on motion of either party.

Gordon R. Miller, J

Jan. 29, 1993 Copies of order to Atty's Pineo, Walton and Nelson